

OSHKOSH CORP
Form 4
May 31, 2017

FORM 4

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0287
Expires: January 31, 2015
Estimated average burden hours per response... 0.5

Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue. See Instruction 1(b).

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person *
Moynihan Colleen R.

(Last) (First) (Middle)

C/O OSHKOSH CORPORATION, 2307 OREGON STREET

(Street)

OSHKOSH, WI 54902

(City) (State) (Zip)

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol
OSHKOSH CORP [OSK]

3. Date of Earliest Transaction (Month/Day/Year)
05/26/2017

4. If Amendment, Date Original Filed(Month/Day/Year)

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer

(Check all applicable)

___ Director ___ 10% Owner
 Officer (give title below) ___ Other (specify below)
Senior VP, Quality & Cont Impr

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Applicable Line)
 Form filed by One Reporting Person
___ Form filed by More than One Reporting Person

Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned

1. Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	3. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	4. Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5)	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Ownership (Instr. 4)
				(A) or (D)	Price		
				Code	V	Amount	

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SEC 1474 (9-02)

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of Derivative	2. Conversion	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if	4. Transaction of Derivative	5. Number	6. Date Exercisable and Expiration Date	7. Title and Amount of Underlying Securities	8. Pr Deriv
------------------------	---------------	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------	-----------	---	--	-------------

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form 4

Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. 82,281 87,546 Less accumulated depreciation (48,939) (52,868)

33,342 34,678 MINING PROPERTIES Operational mining properties 114,867 114,018 Less accumulated depletion (92,974) (90,245)

21,893 23,773

Mineral interests 20,125 20,125 Non-producing and development properties 25,121 25,121

67,139 69,019 OTHER ASSETS Non-current ore on leach pad 20,445 14,705 Restricted investments 8,710 8,710 Debt issuance costs, net 5,992 87 Marketable securities 28 19 Other 9,025 9,474

44,200 32,995

Total assets \$ 423,950 \$ 259,467

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

3

COEUR D'ALENE MINES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited)

	<u>March 31, 2004</u>	<u>December 31, 2003</u>
	<u>Restated</u>	
	(In Thousands)	
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	\$ 6,367	\$ 7,772
Accrued liabilities and other	5,554	5,218
Accrued interest payable	486	120
Accrued salaries and wages	3,951	5,705
Current portion of remediation costs	1,044	1,278
Current portion of bank financing	2,727	2,367
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Explanation of Responses:

3

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form 4

	<u>March 31, 2004</u>	<u>December 31, 2003</u>
	20,129	22,460
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES		
11/4% Convertible Senior Notes due January 2024	180,000	--
7 1/4% Convertible Subordinated Debentures due October 2005	--	9,563
Reclamation and mine closure	21,304	20,934
Other long-term liabilities	6,870	9,032
	<u>208,174</u>	<u>39,529</u>
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share-authorized 250,000,000 shares, issued 214,233,405 and 214,195,186 March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 (1,059,211 shares held in treasury)	214,233	214,195
Additional paid-in capital	542,892	542,900
Accumulated deficit	(546,703)	(545,050)
Shares held in treasury	(13,190)	(13,190)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,585)	(1,377)
	<u>195,647</u>	<u>197,478</u>
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	<u>\$ 423,950</u>	<u>\$ 259,467</u>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

4

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS
COEUR D'ALENE MINES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

	<u>Three Months ended March 31,</u>	
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
	<u>Restated</u>	<u>Restated</u>
	(In Thousands, except per share data)	
REVENUES		
Sales of metal	\$ 29,650	\$ 28,538
Interest and other	(647)	262
	<u>29,003</u>	<u>28,800</u>
COSTS AND EXPENSES		
Production	16,950	17,878
Depreciation and depletion	4,846	5,019
Administrative and general	3,608	3,055

Explanation of Responses:

4

	<u>Three Months ended March 31,</u>	
Exploration	1,944	1,087
Pre-development	1,614	377
Interest	938	2,007
Write-down of mining properties and other holding costs	756	624
Loss on exchange and early retirement of debt	--	28,107
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total cost and expenses	30,656	58,154
	<hr/>	<hr/>
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE TAXES AND CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLE	(1,653)	(29,354)
Income tax benefit	--	7
	<hr/>	<hr/>
LOSS BEFORE CUMULATIVE EFFECT IN CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLE	(1,653)	(29,347)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	--	(2,306)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Net loss	(1,653)	(31,653)
Other comprehensive loss	(208)	(297)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
COMPREHENSIVE LOSS	\$ (1,861)	\$ (31,950)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
BASIC AND DILUTED LOSS PER SHARE:		
Weighted average number of shares of common stock (000's)	213,142	133,503
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Net loss per common share before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.22)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	--	(0.02)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Net loss per common share	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.24)
	<hr/>	<hr/>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

COEUR D'ALENE MINES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 and 2003
(Unaudited)

<u>Three Months Ended March 31,</u>	
<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
<u>Restated</u>	<u>Restated</u>

(In Thousands)

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form 4

	<u>Three Months Ended March 31,</u>	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss	\$ (1,653)	\$ (31,653)
Add (deduct) non-cash items:		
Depreciation and depletion	4,846	5,019
Loss on early retirement of convertible subordinated debentures	--	28,107
(Gain) loss on embedded derivative	(1,127)	953
Interest expense on convertible senior subordinated notes paid in common stock	--	1,101
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	--	2,306
Compensation expense on restricted stock issue	567	29
Other charges	795	214
Changes in Operating Assets and Liabilities:		
Receivables	(3,435)	(1,683)
Prepays and other current assets	436	33
Inventories	(4,650)	(2,694)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(3,854)	(3,078)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(8,075)	(1,346)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of short-term investments	(52,107)	(40,750)
Proceeds from sales of short-term investments	9,590	19,720
Expenditures on mining assets	(1,480)	(3,264)
Other	215	(50)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(43,782)	(24,344)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Retirement of Long Term Debt	(9,561)	--
Debt issuance costs	(6,097)	(248)
Proceeds from issuance of subordinated notes	180,000	33,786
Bank borrowings on working capital facility	6,056	12,155
Payments to bank on working capital facility	(5,696)	(9,777)
Common stock repurchase	(793)	--
Retirement of building loan	(1,200)	--
Other	45	(31)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	162,754	35,885
INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	110,897	10,195
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 173,314	\$ 19,288
	<hr/>	<hr/>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form 4

Coeur d'Alene Mines Corporation
and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

NOTE A FINANCIAL STATEMENT RESTATEMENT

The Company records revenue from concentrate sales agreements in accordance with the revenue recognition policy in Note C below.

In the third quarter of 2004 it was discovered there was an error resulting from the incorrect application of revenue recognition accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Historically, the Company has recorded revenue from concentrate sales agreements based on the gold and silver prices prevailing at the time risk of loss and title to the concentrate passes to third-party smelters (at the lower of month-end spot price or the average monthly price for that month). The final settlement price is not fixed until a later date (typically one to three months after shipment) based upon quoted metal prices by an established metal exchange as set forth in each contract, at such date. The Company's provisionally priced sales contain an embedded derivative that is required to be separated from the host contract for accounting purposes. The host contract is the receivable from the sale of concentrates measured at the forward price at the time of sale. The embedded does not qualify for hedge accounting. The embedded derivative is recorded as a derivative asset in prepaid expenses and other, or, a derivative liability on the balance sheet and is adjusted to fair value through revenue each period until the date of final gold and silver settlement. The Company has corrected the error in valuing these embedded derivatives on the financial statements as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2004.

Accordingly, the Company has restated its financial statements as follows:

As of March 31, 2004			
Balance sheet data	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
(In thousands)			
Receivables	\$ 11,046	\$ 492	\$ 11,538
Prepaid expenses and other	\$ 2,044	\$ 2,079	\$ 4,123
Accrued liabilities and other	\$ 5,553	\$ 1	\$ 5,554
Accumulated deficit	\$ (549,273)	\$ 2,570	\$ (546,703)
For the three months ended March 31, 2004			
Statement of operations and comprehensive loss data	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
(In thousands)			
Sales of metal	\$ 28,271	\$ 1,379	\$ 29,650
Loss from continuing operations before taxes and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ (3,032)	\$ 1,379	\$ (1,653)
Loss before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ (3,032)	\$ 1,379	\$ (1,653)
Net loss	\$ (3,032)	\$ 1,379	\$ (1,653)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (3,240)	\$ 1,379	\$ (1,861)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share before effect of change in accounting principle	\$ (0.01)	\$ --	\$ (0.01)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.01)	\$ --	\$ (0.01)

For the three months ended March 31, 2003

Statement of operations and comprehensive loss data	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
		(In thousands)	
Sales of metal	\$ 29,001	\$ (463)	\$ 28,538
Loss from continuing operations before taxes and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ (28,891)	\$ (463)	\$ (29,354)
Loss before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ (28,884)	\$ (463)	\$ (29,347)
Net loss	\$ (31,190)	\$ (463)	\$ (31,653)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (31,487)	\$ (463)	\$ (31,950)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share before effect of change in accounting principle	\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.22)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.23)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.24)

NOTE B BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three-month period ended March 31, 2004 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2004.

The balance sheet at December 31, 2003 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Coeur d'Alene Mines Corporation (Coeur or the Company) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.

NOTE C SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation: The consolidated financial statements include the wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company, the most significant of which are Coeur Rochester, Inc., Coeur Silver Valley, Inc., Coeur Alaska, Inc., CM Cerro Bayo Ltd., Compania Minera Polimet S.A. and Empresa Minera Manquiri S.R.L. The consolidated financial statements also include all entities in which voting control of more than 50% is held by the Company. The Company has no investments in entities in which it has greater than 50% ownership interest accounted for using the equity method. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Investments in corporate joint ventures where the Company has ownership of 50% or less and funds its proportionate share of expenses are accounted for under the equity method. The Company has no investments in entities in which it has greater than 20% ownership interest accounted for using the cost method.

Revenue Recognition: Revenue is recognized when title to silver and gold passes to the buyer and when collectibility is reasonably assured. The passing of title and to the customer is based on the terms of the sales contract. Product pricing is determined at the point revenue is recognized by reference to active and freely traded commodity markets for example, the London Bullion Market, an active and freely traded commodity market, for both gold and silver, in an identical form to the product sold.

Under our concentrate sales contracts with third-party smelters, final gold and silver prices are set on a specified future quotational period, typically one to three months, after the shipment date based on market metal prices. Revenues are recorded under these contracts at the time title passes to the buyer based on the forward price for the expected settlement period. The contracts, in general, provide for a provisional payment based upon provisional assays and quoted metal prices. Final settlement is based on the average applicable price for a specified future period, and generally occurs from three to six months after shipment. Final sales are settled using smelter weights, settlement assays (average of assays exchanged and/or umpire assay results) and are priced as specified in the smelter contract. The Company's provisionally priced sales contain an embedded derivative that is required to be separated from the host contract for accounting purposes. The host contract is the receivable from the sale of concentrates measured at the forward price at the time of sale. The embedded derivative does not qualify for hedge accounting. The embedded derivative is recorded as a derivative asset in Prepaid expenses and other or, a derivative liability on the balance sheet and is adjusted to fair value through revenue each period until the date of final gold and silver settlement. The form of the material being sold, after deduction for smelting and refining is in an identical form to that sold on the London Bullion Market. The form of the product is metal in flotation concentrate, which is the final process for which the company is responsible.

The effects of forward sales contracts are reflected in revenue at the date the related precious metals are delivered or the contracts expire. Third party smelting and refining costs are recorded as a reduction of revenue.

At March 31, 2004 the Company had outstanding provisionally priced sales of \$28.1 million consisting of 3.1 million ounces of silver, 19,613 ounces of gold and 937,396 pounds of copper. For each one cent per ounce change in realized silver price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$31,000; for each one dollar per ounce change in realized gold price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$19,613; and for each one cent per pound change in realized copper price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$9,374. At December 31, 2003, the Company had outstanding provisionally priced sales of \$32.8 million consisting of 3.7 million ounces of silver, 37,487 ounces of gold and 681,196 pounds of copper. For each one cent per ounce change in realized silver price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$37,000; for each one dollar per ounce change in realized gold price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$37,487; and for each one cent per pound change in realized copper price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$6,812.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash and cash equivalents include all highly-liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase. The Company minimizes its credit risk by investing its cash and cash equivalents with major international banks and financial institutions located principally in the United States and Chile with a minimum credit rating of A1 as defined by Standard & Poor's. The Company's management believes that no concentration of credit risk exists with respect to investment of its cash and cash equivalents.

Short-term Investments: Short-term investments principally consist of highly-liquid United States, foreign government and corporate securities with original maturities in excess of three months and less than one year. The Company classifies all short-term investments as available-for-sale securities. Unrealized gains and losses on these investments are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income as a separate component of shareholders' equity. Any decline in market value considered to be other than temporary is recognized in determining net income. Realized gains and losses from the sale of these investments are included in determining net income/(loss).

Ore on Leach Pad: The heap leach process is a process of extracting silver and gold by placing ore on an impermeable pad and applying a diluted cyanide solution that dissolves a portion of the contained silver and gold, which are then recovered in metallurgical processes.

The Company uses several integrated steps to scientifically measure the metal content of ore placed on the leach pads. As the ore body is drilled in preparation for the blasting process, samples are taken of the drill residue which is assayed to determine estimated quantities of contained metal. The Company estimates the quantity of ore by utilizing global positioning satellite survey techniques. The Company then processes the ore through a crushing facility where the output is again weighed and sampled for assaying. A metallurgical reconciliation with the data collected from the mining operation is completed with appropriate adjustments made to previous estimates. The crushed ore is then transported to the leach pad for application of the leaching solution. As the leach solution is collected from the leach pads, it is continuously sampled for assaying. The quantity of leach solution is measured by flow meters throughout the leaching and precipitation process. After precipitation, the product is converted to dorè, which is the final product produced by the mine. The inventory is stated at lower of cost or

market, with cost being determined using the first-in, first-out and weighted average cost methods.

The Company reported ore on leach pads of \$35.8 million as of March 31, 2004. Of this amount, \$15.4 million is reported as a current asset and \$20.4 million is reported as a non-current asset. The distinction between current and non-current is based upon the expected length of time necessary for the leaching process to remove the metals from the broken ore. The historical cost of the metal that is expected to be extracted within twelve months is classified as current and the historical cost of metals contained within the broken ore that will be extracted beyond twelve months is classified as non-current.

The estimate of both the ultimate recovery expected over time and the quantity of metal that may be extracted relative to the time the leach process occurs requires the use of estimates which are inherently inaccurate since they rely upon laboratory testwork. Testwork consists of 60 day leach columns from which the Company projects metal recoveries up to five years in the future. The quantities of metal contained in the ore are based upon actual weights and assay analysis. The rate at which the leach process extracts gold and silver from the crushed ore is based upon laboratory column tests and actual experience occurring over approximately fifteen years of leach pad operations at the Rochester Mine. The assumptions used by the Company to measure metal content during each stage of the inventory conversion process includes estimated recovery rates based on laboratory testing and assaying. The Company periodically reviews its estimates compared to actual experience and revises its estimates when appropriate. The length of time necessary to achieve ultimate recoveries for silver and gold is currently estimated between 5 and 10 years. The estimated recoveries for silver and gold used are 61.5% and 93%, respectively. However, the ultimate recovery will not be known until leaching operations cease which is currently estimated for 2011.

Metal and Other Inventory: Inventories include concentrate ore, doré, ore in stockpiles and operating materials and supplies. The classification of inventory is determined by the stage at which the ore is in the production process. Inventories of ore in stock piles are sampled for gold and silver content and are valued based on the lower of actual costs incurred or estimated net realizable value based upon the period ending prices of gold and silver. Material that does not contain a minimum quantity of gold and silver to cover estimated processing expense to recover the contained gold and silver is not classified as inventory and is assigned no value. All inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost being determined using the first-in, first-out and weighted average cost methods. Concentrate and doré inventory includes product at the mine site and product held by refineries and are also valued at lower of cost or market.

Property, Plant, and Equipment: Expenditures for new facilities, new assets or expenditures that extend the useful lives of existing facilities are capitalized and depreciated using the straight-line method at rates sufficient to depreciate such costs over the shorter of estimated productive lives of such facilities or the useful life of the individual assets. Productive lives range from 7 to 31 years for buildings and improvements, 3 to 13 years for machinery and equipment and 3 to 7 years for furniture and fixtures. Certain mining equipment is depreciated using the units-of-production method based upon estimated total proven and probable reserves. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred.

Operational Mining Properties and Mine Development: Mineral exploration costs are expensed as incurred. When it has been determined that a mineral property can be economically developed as a result of establishing proven and probable reserves, the costs incurred to develop such property including costs to further delineate the ore body and remove overburden to initially expose the ore body, are capitalized. Such costs are amortized using the units-of-production method over the estimated life of the ore body based on proven and probable reserves. Significant payments related to the acquisition of the land and mineral rights are capitalized as incurred. Prior to acquiring such land or mineral rights the Company generally makes a preliminary evaluation to determine that the property has significant potential to develop an economic ore body. The time between initial acquisition and full evaluation of a property's potential is variable and is determined by many factors including: location relative to existing infrastructure, the property's stage of development, geological controls and metal prices. If a mineable ore body is discovered, such costs are amortized when production begins using the units-of-production method based on proven and probable reserves. If no mineable ore body is discovered, such costs are expensed in the period in which it is determined the property has no future economic value. Interest expense allocable to the cost of developing mining properties and to construct new facilities is capitalized until assets are ready for their intended use. Gains or losses from sales or retirements of assets are included in other income or expense.

Mineral Interests: Significant payments related to the acquisition of mineral rights are capitalized as incurred. The Company classifies mineral interests as tangible assets based on the consensus in EITF Issue No. 04-2, "Whether Mineral Rights Are Tangible or Intangible Assets". Accordingly, use rights are accounted for based on their substance. The time between initial acquisition and full evaluation of a property's potential is variable and is determined by many factors including: location relative to existing infrastructure, the property's stage of development, geological controls and metal prices. If a mineable ore body is discovered, such costs are amortized when production begins using the units-of-production method based on proven and probable reserves. If no mineable ore body is discovered, such costs are expensed in the period

in which it is determined the property has no future economic value.

Asset Impairment: Management reviews and evaluates its long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the related carrying amounts may not be recoverable. The Company utilizes the methodology set forth in Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, to evaluate the recoverability of capitalized mineral property costs. An impairment is considered to exist if total estimated future cash flows or probability-weighted cash flows on an undiscounted basis, is less than the carrying amount of the assets, including property plant and equipment, mineral property, development property, and any deferred costs such as deferred stripping. An impairment loss is measured and recorded based on discounted estimated future cash flows or the application of an expected present value technique to estimate fair value in the absence of a market price. Future cash flows include estimates of proven and probable recoverable ounces, gold and silver prices (considering current and historical prices, price trends and related factors), production levels, capital and reclamation costs, all based on detailed engineering life-of-mine plans. Assumptions underlying future cash flow estimates are subject to risks and uncertainties. Any differences between significant assumptions and market conditions and/or the Company's performance could have a material effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations. In estimating future cash flows, assets are grouped at the lowest level for which there are identifiable cash flows that are largely independent of cash flows from other groups. Generally, in estimating future cash flows, all assets are grouped at a particular mine for which there is identifiable cash flow.

Restricted Investments: The Company, under the terms of its lease, self insurance, and bonding agreements with certain banks, lending institutions, insurance companies and regulatory agencies, is required to collateralize certain portions of the Company's obligations. The Company has collateralized these obligations by assigning certificates of deposit that have maturity dates ranging from three months to a year, to the respective institutions or agency. At March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, the Company had certificates of deposit under these agreements of \$8.7 million and \$8.7 million, respectively, restricted for this purpose. The ultimate timing for the release of the collateralized amounts is dependent on the timing and closure of each mine. In order to release the collateral, the Company must seek approval from certain government agencies responsible for monitoring the mine closure status. Collateral could also be released to the extent the Company was able to secure alternative financial assurance satisfactory to the regulatory agencies. The Company believes there is a reasonable probability that the collateral will remain in place beyond a twelve-month period and has therefore classified these investments as long-term.

Deferred Stripping Costs: Deferred stripping costs are unique to the mining industry and are determined based on the Company's estimates for the life of mine strip ratio for each mine. These costs are capitalized in periods when the life of mine ratio is below the current mining strip ratio, and amortized during periods where the life of mine strip ratio is above the current strip ratio. The Rochester mine is the only mine that has previously capitalized deferred stripping costs. The life of mine strip ratio that was used to accumulate the deferred stripping amounts was 1.8 to 1 (waste to ore) and was based on the estimated average stripping ratio for the life of the mine, compared to the then current ratio of 2.2 to 1 (waste to ore). The deferred stripping costs have been amortized as waste and ore have been removed from the Rochester mine pit. At present the remaining life of mine plan estimates the future stripping ratio as 1.1 to 1 (waste to ore), and the remaining costs will be amortized over the remaining life of the mine. At March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, the carrying amount of the deferred stripping costs were \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, and are included in other assets in the accompanying balance sheet. No additional deferred stripping costs were capitalized during the periods presented. Based on current reserves and current production levels, complete amortization should occur in less than four years. The amounts that were amortized for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 were \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

Reclamation and Remediation Costs: Estimated future reclamation and remediation costs are based principally on legal and regulatory requirements. The Company measured the cumulative accretion and accumulated depreciation for the period from the date the liability would have been recognized if SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, was in effect when the Company incurred the liability to the date of the adoption of SFAS No. 143 and has reported these as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle. For the initial measurement of these existing obligations we have used current information, assumptions, and interest rates.

The asset retirement obligation is measured using assumptions for cash outflows such as expected labor costs, allocated overhead and equipment charges, contractor markup and inflation adjustments to determine the total obligation.

The sum of all these costs was discounted, using the credit adjusted risk-free interest rate (current assumption of 7.5%), from the time we expect to pay the retirement obligation to the time we incur the obligation. The measurement objective is to determine the amount a third party would demand to assume the asset retirement obligation.

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form 4

Upon initial recognition of a liability for an asset retirement obligation, the Company capitalizes the asset retirement cost with a corresponding debit to the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. The Company will deplete this amount to operating expense using the units-of-production method. The Company evaluates the cash flow estimates at the end of each reporting period to determine whether the estimates continue to be appropriate. Upward revisions in the amount of undiscounted cash flows will be discounted using the current credit-adjusted risk-free rate. Downward revisions will be discounted using the credit-adjusted risk-free rate that existed when the original liability was recorded.

Foreign Currency: Substantially all assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries are translated at exchange rates in effect at the date of the transaction or at end of each period. Revenues and expenses are translated at the average exchange rate for the period. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are included in the determination of net income/(loss).

Derivative Financial Instruments: On January 1, 2001, the Company adopted SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, (as amended by SFAS No. 137) and SFAS No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities. These Statements require recognition of all derivatives as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet and measurement of those instruments at fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recorded each period in current earnings (loss) or other comprehensive income (loss) (OCI). Appropriate accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives held is dependent on whether the derivative transaction qualifies as an accounting hedge and on the classification of the hedge transaction.

12

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portions of changes in fair value of the derivative are recorded in other comprehensive income (loss), and are recognized in the Statement of Consolidated Operations when the hedged item affects earnings. Ineffective portions of changes in the fair value of cash flow hedges are recognized currently in earnings. Refer to Note O Derivative Financial Instruments and Fair Value of Financial Instruments.

Stock-based Compensation Plans: The Company applies the intrinsic-value-based method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related interpretations, to account for its stock-based compensation plans. Under this method, compensation expense is recorded on the date of grant only if the current market price of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price. SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation established accounting and disclosure requirements using a fair-value-based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation plans.

Had compensation costs for the Company's options been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates consistent with SFAS No. 123, the Company would have recorded the pro forma amounts presented below:

	Quarter Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003
	Restated	Restated
Net loss attributable to Common shareholders as reported	\$ (1,653)	\$ (31,653)
Pro forma net loss	\$ (1,635)	\$ (31,663)
Basic and diluted net loss per share as reported	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.24)
Basic and diluted pro forma net loss per share	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.24)

The provisions of SFAS 148 will not have a material impact on the Company, as it does not plan to adopt the fair-value method of accounting for stock options at the current time.

Income Taxes: The Company computes income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. SFAS No. 109 requires an asset and liability approach which results in the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax basis of those assets and liabilities, as well as operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse.

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form 4

Comprehensive Income/(Loss): In addition to net income/(loss), comprehensive income includes all changes in equity during a period, except those resulting from investments by and distributions to owners. Items of comprehensive loss include the following:

	March 31, 2004	March 31, 2003
Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities	\$ 74	\$ (219)
Change in fair value of derivative hedging, net of settlement	(282)	(78)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (208)	\$ (297)

Net Income/(Loss) Per Share: Net loss per share is computed by dividing the net income/(loss) attributable to common stock by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. All stock options outstanding at each period end have been excluded from the weighted average share calculation. The effect of potentially dilutive stock options outstanding was antidilutive in the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003.

13

Detail of potentially dilutive shares excluded from earnings per share calculation due to antidilution:

	March 31, 2003	March 31, 2004
Options	1,816,805	1,888,318
1.25% Debentures Convertible at \$7.60	--	23,684,211
7.25% Debentures Convertible at \$17.45	569,270	--
9% Notes Convertible at \$1.60	23,220,307	--
Total potentially dilutive shares	25,606,382	25,572,529

Use of Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the Company's management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications: Certain reclassifications of prior year balances have been made to conform to current year presentation.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements: On April 30, 2004, the FASB issued FAS 141-1 and 142-1, Interaction of FASB Statements No. 141, *Business Combinations*, and No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* and EITF Issue No. 04-2, *Whether Mineral Rights Are Tangible of Intangible Assets*.

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form 4

The Board directed the FASB staff to issue this FASB Staff Position (FSP), which amends FASB Statements No. 141, Business Combinations, and No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.

At the March 17-18, 2004 FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) meeting, the Task Force reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 04-2, Whether Mineral Rights Are Tangible or Intangible Assets, that mineral rights, as defined in the Issue, are tangible assets. There is an inconsistency between this consensus that mineral rights are tangible assets and the characterization of mineral rights as intangible assets in Statements 141 and 142. This FSP amends Statement 141 and Statement 142 to address that inconsistency.

The Company adopted the provisions of FSP 141-1 and 142-1 as of March 31, 2004, which did not have an effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

14

NOTE D - ORE ON LEACH PADS

Ore on leach pad consists of the following:

	<u>March 31, 2004</u>	<u>December 31, 2003</u>
Ore on leach pad - Current	\$ 15,424	\$ 17,388
Ore on leach pad - Non-current	20,445	14,705
Total ore on leach pads	<u>\$ 35,869</u>	<u>\$ 32,093</u>

NOTE E - METAL AND OTHER INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following:

	<u>March 31, 2004</u>	<u>December 31, 2003</u>
Concentrate and dore inventory	\$ 8,471	\$ 7,980
Supplies	4,937	4,555
Metal and other inventory	<u>\$ 13,408</u>	<u>\$ 12,535</u>

NOTE F - INCOME TAXES

The Company computes income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. SFAS No. 109 requires an asset and liability approach which results in the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax basis of those assets and liabilities, as well as operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. The Company has operating loss carryforwards which expire in 2008 through 2023 for U.S. carryforwards and indefinitely for foreign carryforwards. The Company has reviewed its net deferred tax assets and has not recognized potential tax benefits arising therefrom because at this time management believes it is more likely than not that the benefits will not be realized in future years. The amount recognized in the first quarter of 2003 relates to a cash refund received during that quarter.

NOTE G - SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

During the first quarter of 2003, holders of \$2.8 million of the Series I 13 3/8% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes due December 31, 2003 (the Series I 13 3/8% Notes) voluntarily converted such notes, in accordance with original terms, into approximately 2.1 million shares of common stock. In addition, 0.1 million shares of common stock were issued as payment for \$0.2 million of interest expense on the Series I 13 3/8% Notes.

During the first quarter of 2003, the Company purchased \$26.9 million and \$1.7 million principal amount of its outstanding 6 3/8% and 7 1/4% Convertible Subordinated Debentures, respectively, in exchange for 16.9 million shares of common stock and recorded a loss on exchange and early retirement of debt of approximately \$28.1 million. In addition, 0.6 million shares of common stock were issued as payment for \$0.9 million of interest expense as part of the transaction. In conjunction with the issuance of the 9% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes, the Company also issued 0.6 million shares of common stock for partial payment of offering costs of \$1.0 million.

During the first quarter of 2003, the Company issued 1.2 million shares of common stock in conjunction with its long-term incentive program.

NOTE H - LONG-TERM DEBT

On January 13, 2004 the Company completed its offering of \$180 million aggregate principal amount of 1.25% Convertible Senior Notes due 2024 (the 1.25% Notes). The 1.25% Notes are convertible into shares of Coeur common stock at a conversion rate of approximately 131.5789 shares of Coeur common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes, representing a conversion price of \$7.60 per share. Interest on the notes is payable in cash at the rate of 1.25% per annum beginning July 15, 2004. The Company intends to use the proceeds of the 1.25% Notes for general corporate purposes, which may include the development of its Kensington gold project and its San Bartolome silver project, which are pending the completion of updated feasibility studies and final construction decisions. The 1.25% Notes are general unsecured obligations, senior in right of payment to Coeur's other indebtedness. The offering of the 1.25% Notes was made only by means of a prospectus under Coeur's existing shelf registration statement.

On March 11, 2004, the Company redeemed the remaining outstanding \$9.6 million principal amount of the Company's 7 1/4% Convertible Subordinated Debentures due October 15, 2005.

In 2002, the Company secured a 10-year loan at an interest rate of 10% for the corporate office building, located at our headquarters in Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, utilizing the building as collateral for the loan. The amount of this loan outstanding at December 31, 2003 was \$1.2 million and is included in other long-term liabilities in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. This loan was paid in full in February 2004.

NOTE I - SEGMENT REPORTING

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company's chief operating decision making group is comprised of the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Operating Officer.

The operating segments are managed separately because each segment represents a distinct use of Company resources and contribution to the Company's cash flows in its respective geographic area. The Company's reportable operating segments are the Rochester, Coeur Silver Valley and Cerro Bayo mining properties, the Kensington development property, and the Company's exploration programs, which includes the San Bartolomé silver development property. All operating segments are engaged in the discovery and/or mining of gold and silver and generate the majority of their revenues from the sale of precious metal concentrates and/or refined precious metals. The Coeur Silver Valley and Cerro Bayo mines sell precious metal concentrates, typically under long term contracts to smelters located in Canada (Noranda Inc. and Teck Cominco Metals Ltd.) and Japan (Sumitomo Ltd. and DOWA Mining Company). Refined gold and silver produced by the Rochester mine is primarily sold on a spot basis to precious metal trading banks such as Standard Bank, Morgan Stanley, Mitsui and N.M. Rothschild.

Intersegment revenues consist of precious metal sales to the Company's metals marketing division and are transferred at the market value of the respective metal on the date of the transfer. The other segment includes earnings from unconsolidated subsidiaries accounted for by the equity method, the corporate headquarters, elimination of intersegment transactions and other items necessary to reconcile to consolidated amounts. Revenues in the other segment are generated principally from interest received from the Company's cash and investments that are not allocated to the operating segments. The accounting policies of the operating segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company evaluates performance and allocates resources based on each segment's profit or loss before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, unusual and infrequent items, and extraordinary items.

As discussed in Note A, the Company has restated its financial statements as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The restatement is to reflect a correction of an error resulting from the incorrect application of revenue recognition accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and is reflected in the segment reporting information for the Galena Mine and the Cerro Bayo Mine as those mines have been impacted by the restatement. The other operating segments have not been impacted by the restatement. In addition, in the geographic information below, the United States and Chile amounts have been restated due to the geographic locations of the Galena Mine and the Cerro Bayo Mine.

16

Segment Reporting (In Thousands)

	Rochester Mine	Galena Mine	Cerro Bayo Mine	Exploration	Other	Total
Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 (Restated)						
Total net sales and revenues	\$ 12,254	\$ 9,292	\$ 7,754	\$ (9)	\$ (288)	\$ 29,003
Depreciation and depletion	2,233	463	2,076	10	64	4,846
Interest income	--	--	--	--	639	639
Interest expense	1	--	38	--	812	851
Gain on forward sales contracts	--	--	--	--	937	937
Profit (loss)	4,476	3,256	2,772	(1,548)	(3,975)	4,981
Segment assets (A)	69,474	12,795	31,208	21,265	30,677	165,419
Capital expenditures for property	392	378	602	6	102	1,480
	—	—	—	—	—	—
			Exploration		Other	Total

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form 4

	<u>Rochester Mine</u>	<u>Galena Mine</u>	<u>Cerro Bayo Mine</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Three Months Ended March 31, 2003						
Total net sales and revenues	\$ 9,044	\$ 4,471	\$ 15,192	\$ (20)	\$ 113	\$ 28,800
Depreciation and depletion	1,104	363	3,485	10	57	5,019
Interest income	--	--	--	--	69	69
Interest expense	--	--	101	--	1,906	2,007
Income tax (credit) expense	--	--	--	--	(7)	(7)
Gain on early retirement of debt	--	--	--	--	(28,107)	(28,107)
Profit (loss)	251	(172)	10,296	(1,091)	(3,505)	5,779
Segment assets (A)	56,912	10,138	33,213	19,463	29,528	149,254
Capital expenditures for property	1,695	727	747	1	94	3,264

Notes:

(A) Segment assets consist of receivables, prepaids, inventories, property, plant and equipment, and mining properties

17

Segment Reporting Cont.
(In Thousands)

	<u>Three Months Ended March 31,</u>	
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
	<u>Restated</u>	<u>Restated</u>
<u>Income (Loss)</u>		
Total loss from reportable segments	\$ 4,981	\$ 5,779
Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense	(4,846)	(5,019)
Interest expense	(851)	(2,007)
Loss on exchange and early retirement of debt	--	(28,107)
Other	(937)	--
Loss before income taxes	\$ (1,653)	\$ (29,354)
	<u>March 31,</u>	
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
	<u>Restated</u>	<u>Restated</u>
<u>Assets</u>		
Total assets for reportable segments	\$ 165,419	\$ 149,254
Cash and cash equivalents	173,314	19,288
Short-term investments	61,462	20,550
Other assets	23,755	22,794

		March 31,	
		2004	2004
Total consolidated assets		\$ 423,950	\$ 211,886
Geographic Information		Three Months Ended March 31, 2004	March 31, 2004
(In Thousands)		Revenues ^(a)	Long-Lived Assets
		(Restated)	
United States		\$ 21,257	\$ 63,995
Chile		7,789	14,374
Other Foreign Countries		(43)	22,112
Consolidated Total		\$ 29,003	\$ 100,481
		Three Months Ended March 31, 2003	March 31, 2003
		Revenues	Long-Lived Assets
		(Restated)	
United States		\$ 13,628	\$ 57,934
Chile		14,985	18,559
Other Foreign Countries		187	19,931
Consolidated Total		\$ 28,800	\$ 96,424

(a) Revenues are geographically separated based upon the country in which operations and the underlying assets generating those revenues reside.

The segment data for the Galena mine and the Cerro Bayo mine has been restated to correct an error in revenue recognition which resulted in an adjustment to revenues in the amount of \$1,043, and \$336, respectively, and to segment assets in the amount of \$1,880 and \$691, respectively for the three months ended March 31, 2004.

NOTE J - RECLAMATION AND REMEDIATION

Reclamation and remediation costs are based principally on legal and regulatory requirements. Management estimates costs associated with reclamation of mining properties as well as remediation cost for inactive properties. The estimated undiscounted cash flows generated by our assets and the estimated liabilities for reclamation and remediation are determined using the Company's assumptions about future costs, mineral prices, mineral processing recovery rates, production levels and capital and reclamation costs. Such assumptions are based on the Company's current mining plan and the best available information for making such estimates. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its estimates and assumptions; however, actual amount could differ from those based on such estimates and assumptions.

The following is a description of the changes to the Company's asset retirement obligations from January 1 to March 31, of 2004:

	(in thousands)
Asset Retirement Obligation - January 1, 2004	\$ 20,657
Accretion	387
Additions	--
Settlements	\$ (22)
	<hr/>
Asset Retirement Obligation - March 31, 2004	\$ 21,022

NOTE K - DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND FORWARD SALES CONTRACTS

For the first three months of 2004, the Company recorded a realized loss of approximately \$7,000 in connection with its foreign currency hedging program.

The Company no longer has forward sales in its gold price protection program. In the first quarter of 2004, the Company closed out all of its forward sales positions and recorded a loss of \$0.9 million in the first quarter of 2004.

The following table summarizes the information at March 31, 2004 associated with the Company's financial and derivative financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates, commodity prices and foreign exchange rates except as to provisionally priced sales of concentrates which are discussed below in the table. For long-term debt obligations, the table presents principal cash flows and related average interest rates. For foreign currency exchange contracts, the table presents the notional amount in Chilean Pesos to be purchased along with the average foreign exchange rate.

(dollars in thousands)	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total	Fair Value 3/31/04
Liabilities							
Long Term Debt ^(A)							
Fixed Rate	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 180,000	\$ 180,000
Average Interest Rate	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%	
(A) Debt due 2024							
Foreign Currency							
Contracts							
Chilean Peso - USD	\$ 5,755	--	--	--	--	\$ 5,755	\$ (153)
Exchange Rate (CLP to USD)	611	--	--	--	--		

Fair value is determined by trading information on or near the balance sheet date. Long term debt represents the face amount of the outstanding convertible debentures and timing of when these become due. Interest rates presented in the table are calculated using the weighted average of the outstanding face amount of each debenture for the period remaining in each period presented. All long term debt is denominated in US dollars.

At March 31, 2004, the Company had outstanding provisionally priced sales of \$28.1 million, consisting of 3.1 million ounces of silver, 19,613 ounces of gold and 937,396 pounds of copper, which had a fair value of approximately \$30.2 million.

NOTE L - LITIGATION AND OTHER EVENTS

Federal Natural Resources Action

On March 22, 1996, an action was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Idaho by the United States against various defendants, including the Company, asserting claims under CERCLA and the Clean Water Act for alleged damages to federal natural resources in the Coeur d'Alene River Basin of Northern Idaho. The damages are claimed to result from alleged releases of hazardous substances from mining activities conducted in the area since the late 1800s.

The Company and representatives of the U.S. Government, including the Environmental Protection Agency, the Department of Interior and the Department of Agriculture, reached an agreement to settle the lawsuit. The terms of settlement are set forth in a Consent Decree issued by the court. Pursuant to the terms of the Consent Decree, dated May 14, 2001, the Company has paid the U.S. Government a total of approximately \$3.9 million, of which \$3.3 million was paid in May 2001 and the remaining \$.6 million was paid in June 2001. In addition, the Company will (i) pay the United States 50% of any future recoveries from insurance companies for claims for defense and indemnification under general liability insurance policies in excess of \$0.6 million, and (ii) make a conveyance to the U.S. or the State of Idaho of certain real property to possibly be used as a waste repository. Commencing in 2006, the Company will be obligated to pay net smelter return royalties on its operating properties, up to a maximum of \$3 million, amounting to a 2% net smelter royalty on silver production if the price of silver exceeds \$6.50 per ounce, and a \$5.00 per ounce net smelter royalty on gold production if the price of gold exceeds \$325 per ounce. The royalty payment obligation expires after 15 years commencing five years after May 14, 2001.

Private Property Damage Action

On January 7, 2002, a private class action suit captioned *Baugh v. Asarco, et al.*, was filed in Idaho State District Court for the First District (Docket No. 2002-131) in Kootenai County, Idaho. Defendants include mining companies and the Union Pacific Railroad Company which were defendants in the Bunker Hill natural resource damage litigation in the Coeur d'Alene Basin, including the Company. Plaintiffs are eight northern Idaho residents seeking medical monitoring and real property damages from the mining companies and railroad who operated in the Bunker Hill Superfund site. In October 2002, the court conducted a hearing on motions resulting in an order striking certain of the alleged causes of action from the complaint, and dismissing the complaint with leave to amend it. In January 2003, the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint. The court dismissed the amended complaint with leave to amend. In May 2003 a second amended complaint was filed. The Company has filed a motion for summary judgment, which is set for hearing on July 14, 2004. While the Company believes the suit is without merit, at this stage of the proceedings, the Company cannot predict the outcome of this suit.

States of Maine, Idaho And Colorado Superfund Sites Related to Callahan Mining Corporation

During 2001, the United States Forest Service made a formal request for information regarding the Deadwood Mine Site located in central Idaho. Callahan Mining Corporation had operated at this site during the 1940's. The Forest Service believes that some cleanup action is required at the location. However, Coeur d'Alene Mines Corporation did not acquire Callahan until 1991, more than 40 years after Callahan disposed of its interest in the Deadwood property. The Company did not make any decisions with respect to generation, transport or disposal of hazardous waste at the site. Therefore, it is believed that the Company is not liable for any cleanup, and if Callahan might be liable, it has no substantial assets with which to satisfy any such liability. To date no claim has been made by the United States for any dollar amount of cleanup costs against either the Company or Callahan.

During 2002, the EPA made a formal request for information regarding a Callahan mine site in the State of Maine. Callahan operated there in the late 1960 s, shut the operations down in the early 1970 s and disposed of the property. The EPA contends that some cleanup action is warranted at the site, and listed it on the National Priorities List in late 2002. The Company believes that because it made no decisions with respect to generation, transport or disposal of hazardous waste at this location, it is not liable for any cleanup costs. If Callahan might have liability, it has no substantial assets with which to satisfy such liability. To date, no claim has been made for any dollar amount of cleanup costs against either the Company or Callahan.

In January 2003, the U.S. Forest Service made a formal request for information regarding a Callahan mine site in the State of Colorado known as the Akron Mine Site. Callahan operated there in approximately the late 1930s through the 1940s, and to the Company s knowledge, disposed of the property. The Company is not aware of what, if any, cleanup action the Forest Service is contemplating. However, the Company did not make decisions with respect to generation, transport or disposal of hazardous waste at this location, and therefore believes it is not liable for any cleanup costs. If Callahan might have liability, it has no substantial assets with which to satisfy such liability. To date, no claim has been made for any dollar amount of cleanup costs against either the Company or Callahan.

Suit By Credit Suisse First Boston

On December 2, 2003, suit was filed by Credit Suisse First Boston against the Company in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (Docket No. 03 Civ 9547). The plaintiff alleges that the Company breached a contract between the parties providing for services to be furnished by the plaintiff to the defendant. Plaintiff alleges that it is entitled to damages in the amount of \$2,400,000 attributed to the breach. The Company believes it did not breach the contract and that it is not liable. However, at this early stage of the proceedings the outcome of the suit cannot be predicted.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management s Discussion and Analysis includes references to total cash costs per ounce of silver produced both on an individual mine basis and on a consolidated basis. Total cash costs per ounce represent a non- U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) measurement that management uses to monitor and evaluate the performance of its mining operations. A reconciliation of total cash costs per ounce to U.S. GAAP Production Expenses is also provided herein and should be referred to when reading the total cash cost per ounce measurement.

General

The results of the Company s operations are significantly affected by the market prices of silver and gold which may fluctuate widely and are affected by many factors beyond the Company s control, including, without limitation, interest rates, expectations regarding inflation, currency values, governmental decisions regarding the disposal of precious metals stockpiles, global and regional political and economic conditions, and other factors.

The average prices of silver (Handy & Harman) and gold (London Final) for the first three months of 2004 were \$6.75 and \$408.44 per ounce, respectively. The market prices of silver and gold on May 3, 2004 were \$6.07 per ounce and \$388.50 per ounce, respectively.

The Company s operating mines are the Rochester mine in Nevada, the Galena mine in the Coeur d Alene Mining District of Idaho, the Cerro Bayo mine in Chile, and the Martha mine in Argentina.

This document contains numerous forward-looking statements relating to the Company s gold and silver mining business. The United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for certain forward-looking statements. Operating, exploration and financial data, and other statements in this document are based on information the Company believes reasonable, but involve significant uncertainties as to future gold and silver prices, costs, ore grades, estimation of gold and silver reserves, mining and processing conditions, changes that could result from the Company s future acquisition of new mining properties or businesses, the risks and hazards inherent in the mining business (including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, weather or geologically related conditions), regulatory and permitting matters, and risks inherent in the ownership and operation of, or investment in, mining properties or businesses in foreign countries. Actual results and timetables could vary significantly from the estimates presented. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward-looking

statements. The Company disclaims any intent or obligation to update publicly these forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Operating Highlights

South America

Cerro Bayo (Chile). At Coeur's Cerro Bayo property in Southern Chile, the mine produced 1.2 million ounces of silver and 10,536 ounces of gold during the first quarter of 2004 compared to 1.3 million ounces of silver and 22,416 ounces of gold in the first quarter of 2003. Total cash costs for the latest three-month period was \$2.31 per ounce compared to \$(0.29) per ounce in 2003. Lower gold production and higher cash costs were due to the absence of Furioso high-grade gold reserves which were mined out in 2003.

Exploration at Cerro Bayo during the first quarter focused on reserve/resource delineation drilling of the Javiera Sur, Wendy and Raul veins. Results from the drilling are expected to produce additional reserves and resources. General reconnaissance exploration was also carried out on Coeur's properties in the Santa Cruz Province of Argentina. Results obtained from drilling adjacent to the R-4 Zone on the Martha mine property have indicated the presence of high-grade mineralized zones.

Production at Martha continues at about 2,000 tons per month with grades over 80 ounces of silver equivalent per ton. During the quarter, ore was developed from open pit and underground workings.

North America

Rochester Mine (Nevada). Coeur's Rochester mine produced 1.3 million ounces of silver and 11,475 ounces of gold, during the first quarter of 2004 compared to 1.1 million ounces of silver and 10,747 ounces of gold in the first quarter of the prior year. Total cash costs for the latest three-month period were \$5.58 per ounce as compared to \$6.46 in the first quarter of 2003. Significant winter weather delayed access to high-grade gold reserves located under the old crusher, which resulted in lower grade gold ores being placed on the pad in January. However, by the end of the first quarter, higher grade ores were being placed on the leach pad and the Company expects gold production to increase and cash costs to decrease during 2004.

Coeur Silver Valley - Galena Mine (Idaho). In the latest quarter, silver production from Coeur Silver Valley was 0.9 million ounces, down 27% from the 1.2 million ounces produced in the first quarter of 2003. Total cash costs for the current quarter rose to \$4.93 per ounce compared to \$4.22 per ounce in the first quarter of the prior year. Lower production and higher costs per ounce are due to the implementation of an optimization plan in the third quarter of 2003 designed to add significant reserves, increase production and reduce operating costs over the next three years. The optimization plan is expected to increase silver production to seven million ounces per year at a cash cost of approximately \$4.00 per ounce by 2007. We remain on track to produce an estimated 3.7 million ounces of silver at cash costs of under \$4.80 per ounce in 2004.

Exploration and Development Projects

San Bartolome (Bolivia)

The final updated feasibility study at the San Bartolome silver project near Potosi, Bolivia is scheduled for completion at the end of the second quarter of 2004.

Based on the Company's assessment of the updated feasibility study, the Company is revising several of the key benchmarks of the property. The initial expected mine life is fifteen years, with proven and probable reserves of 123 million ounces of silver. The Company expects plant throughput to increase from 4,700 tons per day to 5,200 tons per day and changes in the metallurgical circuit are expected to increase silver recovery from 71% to 78%. The addition of the tin circuit will allow for the recovery of this significant by-product metal. Based upon these modifications, it is now estimated that annual mine production would be as high as eight million ounces of silver and two million pounds of tin annually. Annual production the first three full years of operation was initially expected to average six million ounces of silver per year. It is now estimated that 95 million ounces of silver and 30 million pounds of tin will be produced over the life of the project from established ore

reserves and mineralized material. Based on the work performed by the independent consultant, the Company believes there is an opportunity to expand the mineralized material.

Initial capital costs are now estimated at \$130 million, including a contingency of 12%, and per ounce operating costs, net of by-product credits, are now estimated at approximately \$3.75 per ounce of silver. The revised project is based upon a silver price of \$6.00 and a tin price of \$2.90. The updated feasibility study is addressing these project modifications along with optimization opportunities.

The final environmental study was filed with the Bolivian government on April 27, 2004. Pending the review of the final updated feasibility study and receipt of final permits, construction of the project could commence during 2004 with production commencing in 2006.

Kensington (Alaska)

At the Kensington gold project, located 45 miles north of Juneau in southeast Alaska, the final updated feasibility results to date indicate a project of approximately \$85 million to be spent during 2004-2005, with annual production of approximately 100,000 ounces of gold when the proposed mine reaches full production. In addition, 7.3 million tons of mineralized material averaging .12 ounces of gold per ton exist on the property. The cash cost of production is expected to be approximately \$195 per ounce. The expected mine life is approximately ten years.

During the first quarter of 2004, the draft supplemental environmental impact statement was released for public comment. The comment period closed and the U.S. Forest Service is currently developing responses to the comments. The Environmental Protection Agency has released the preliminary draft National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit to affected governmental agencies for review and comment. The draft permit is currently being reviewed by the Army Corp of Engineers for completeness. The Company expects the project will have all required permits in the third quarter of 2004. Upon successful completion of the final updated feasibility and receipt of final permits, construction of the project could commence during 2004 with production beginning in 2006.

Recently, the Alaska Industrial Development and Export Authority (AIDEA) introduced a bill to the Alaska House (HB 556) seeking legislative approval to issue bonds of up to \$20 million to finance the acquisition, development, improvement and construction of port and related facilities located at Slate Creek Cove and Cascade Point in Berners Bay in southeast Alaska. These proposed facilities would facilitate the operation at Kensington. On April 30, 2004, the House passed the bill by a 38 to 0 margin. The bill is now in Senate Finance with final action expected in May, 2004.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management considers the following policies to be most critical in understanding the judgments that are involved in preparing the Company's consolidated financial statements and the uncertainties that could impact its results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. Our consolidated financial statements are impacted by the accounting policies used and the estimates and assumptions made by management during their preparation. We have identified the policies below as critical to our business operations and the understanding of our results of operations. Management's discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in conformity with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of these statements requires that we make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. We base these estimates on historical experience and on assumptions that we consider reasonable under the circumstances; however, reported results could differ from those based on the current estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The impact and any associated risks related to these policies on our business operations are discussed throughout Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The areas requiring the use of management's estimates and assumptions relate to recoverable ounces from proven and probable reserves that are the basis of future cash flow estimates and units-of-production depreciation and amortization calculations; estimates of recoverable gold and silver ounces in ore on leach pad; reclamation and remediation costs; and post-employment and other employee benefit liabilities. The preparation of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. There can be no assurance that actual results will not differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition: Revenue is recognized when title to silver and gold passes to the buyer and when collectibility is reasonably assured. The passing of title and to the customer is based on the terms of the sales contract. Product pricing is determined at the point revenue is recognized by reference to active and freely traded commodity markets for example, the London Bullion Market, an active and freely traded commodity market, for both gold and silver, in an identical form to the product sold.

Under our concentrate sales contracts with third-party smelters, final gold and silver prices are set on a specified future quotational period, typically one to three months, after the shipment date based on market metal prices. Revenues are recorded under these contracts at the time title passes to the buyer based on the forward price for the expected settlement period. The contracts, in general, provide for a provisional payment based upon provisional assays and quoted metal prices. Final settlement is based on the average applicable price for a specified future period, and generally occurs from three to six months after shipment. Final sales are settled using smelter weights, settlement assays (average of assays exchanged and/or umpire assay results) and are priced as specified in the smelter contract. The Company's provisionally priced sales contain an embedded derivative that is required to be separated from the host contract for accounting purposes. The host contract is the receivable from the sale of concentrates measured at the forward price at the time of sale. The embedded derivative does not qualify for hedge accounting. The embedded derivative is recorded as a derivative asset in Prepaid expenses and other or, a derivative liability on the balance sheet and is adjusted to fair value through revenue each period until the date of final gold and silver settlement. The form of the material being sold, after deduction for smelting and refining is in an identical form to that sold on the London Bullion Market. The form of the product is metal in flotation concentrate, which is the final process for which the company is responsible.

The effects of forward sales contracts are reflected in revenue at the date the related precious metals are delivered or the contracts expire. Third party smelting and refining costs are recorded as a reduction of revenue

At March 31, 2004 the Company had outstanding provisionally priced sales of \$28.1 million consisting of 3.1 million ounces of silver, 19,613 ounces of gold and 937,396 pounds of copper. For each one cent per ounce change in realized silver price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$31,000; for each one dollar per ounce change in realized gold price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$19,613; and for each one cent per pound change in realized copper price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$9,374. At December 31, 2003, the Company had outstanding provisionally priced sales of \$32.8 million consisting of 3.7 million ounces of silver, 37,487 ounces of gold and 681,196 pounds of copper. For each one cent per ounce change in realized silver price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$37,000; for each one dollar per ounce change in realized gold price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$37,487; and for each one cent per pound change in realized copper price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$6,812.

Reserve Estimates. The most critical accounting principles upon which the Company's financial status depends are those requiring estimates of recoverable ounces from proven and probable reserves and/or assumptions of future commodity prices. There are a number of uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of reserves, including many factors beyond our control. Ore reserves estimates are based upon engineering evaluations of samplings of drill holes and other openings. These estimates involve assumptions regarding future silver and gold prices, the geology of our mines, the mining methods we use and the related costs we incur to develop and mine our reserves. Changes in these assumptions could result in material adjustments to our reserve estimates. We use reserve estimates in determining the units-of-production depreciation and amortization expense, as well as in evaluating mine asset impairments.

We review and evaluate our long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the related carrying amounts may not be recoverable. We utilize the methodology set forth in Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 144,

Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Asset, to evaluate the recoverability of capitalized mineral property costs. An impairment is considered to exist if total estimated future cash flows or probability-weighted cash flows on an undiscounted basis is less than the carrying amount of the assets, including property, plant and equipment, mineral property, development property, and any deferred costs such as deferred stripping. The accounting estimates related to impairment are critical accounting estimates because the future cash flows used to determine whether an impairment exists is dependent on reserve estimates and other assumptions including, silver and gold prices, production levels, and capital and reclamation costs, all of which are based on detailed engineering life-of-mine plans. An impairment loss exists when estimated undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition are less than its carrying amount. Any impairment loss recognized represents the excess of the asset's carrying value as compared to its estimated fair value. The Company reviews the carrying value of its assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of its assets may not be fully recoverable.

We depreciate our property, plant and equipment, mining properties and mine development using the units-of-production method over the estimated life of the ore body based on our proven and probable recoverable reserves or on a straight-line basis over the useful life, whichever is shorter. The accounting estimates related to depreciation and amortization are critical accounting estimates because the 1) determination of reserves involves uncertainties with respect to the ultimate geology of our reserves and the assumptions used in determining the economic feasibility of mining those reserves and 2) changes in estimated proven and probable reserves and useful asset lives can have a material impact

on net income.

Ore on leach pad. The Rochester Mine utilizes the heap leach process to extract silver and gold from ore. The heap leach process is a process of extracting silver and gold by placing ore on an impermeable pad and applying a diluted cyanide solution that dissolves a portion of the contained silver and gold, which are then recovered in metallurgical processes.

The key stages in the conversion of ore into silver and gold are (i) the blasting process in which the ore is broken into large pieces; (ii) the processing of the ore through a crushing facility that breaks it into smaller pieces; (iii) the transportation of the crushed ore to the leach pad where the leaching solution is applied; (iv) the collection of the leach solution; (v) subjecting the leach solution to the precipitation process, in which gold and silver is converted back to a fine solid; (vi) the conversion of the precipitate into dorè; and (vii) the conversion by a third party refinery of the dorè into refined silver and gold bullion.

We use several integrated steps to scientifically measure the metal content of ore placed on the leach pads during the key stages. As the ore body is drilled in preparation for the blasting process, samples of the drill residue are assayed to determine estimated quantities of contained metal. We estimate the quantity of ore by utilizing global positioning satellite survey techniques. We then process the ore through a crushing facility where the output is again weighed and sampled for assaying. A metallurgical reconciliation with the data collected from the mining operation is completed with appropriate adjustments made to previous estimates. We then transport the crushed ore to the leach pad for application of the leaching solution. As the leach solution is collected from the leach pads, we continuously sample for assaying. We measure the quantity of leach solution by flow meters throughout the leaching and precipitation process. After precipitation, the product is converted to dorè, which is the final product produced by the mine. We again sample and assay the dorè. Finally, a third party smelter converts the dorè into refined silver and gold bullion. At this point we are able to determine final ounces of silver and gold available for sale. We then review this end result and reconcile it to the estimates we had used and developed throughout the production process. Based on this review, we adjust our estimation procedures when appropriate.

25

Our reported inventories include metals estimated to be contained in the ore on the leach pads of \$35.8 million as of March 31, 2004. Of this amount, \$15.4 million is reported as a current asset and \$20.4 million is reported as a noncurrent asset. The distinction between current and noncurrent is based upon the expected length of time necessary for the leaching process to remove the metals from the broken ore. The historical cost of the metal that is expected to be extracted within twelve months is classified as current and the historical cost of metals contained within the broken ore that will be extracted beyond twelve months is classified as noncurrent.

The estimate of both the ultimate recovery expected over time, and the quantity of metal that may be extracted relative to such twelve month period, requires the use of estimates which are inherently inaccurate since they rely upon laboratory testwork. Testwork consists of 60 day leach columns from which we project metal recoveries up to five years in the future. The quantities of metal contained in the ore are based upon actual weights and assay analysis. The rate at which the leach process extracts gold and silver from the crushed ore is based upon laboratory column tests and actual experience occurring over approximately fifteen years of leach pad operation at the Rochester Mine. The assumptions we use to measure metal content during each stage of the inventory conversion process includes estimated recovery rates based on laboratory testing and assaying. We periodically review our estimates compared to actual experience and revise our estimates when appropriate. The length of time necessary to achieve our currently estimated ultimate recoveries of 61.5% for silver and 93% for gold is estimated to be between 5 and 10 years. However, the ultimate recovery will not be known until leaching operations cease, which is currently estimated for 2011.

If our estimate of ultimate recovery requires adjustment, the impact upon our inventory valuation and upon our income statement would be as follows:

	Positive/Negative Change in Silver Recovery			Positive/Negative Change in Gold Recovery		
	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%
Quantity of recoverable ounces	1.4 million	2.7 million	4.0 million	9,100	18,200	27,300
Positive impact on future cost of						

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form 4

	Positive/Negative Change in Silver Recovery			Positive/Negative Change in Gold Recovery		
production per silver rates equivalent ounce for Negative impact on increases in recovery future cost of	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.81	\$ 1.09	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.63
production per silver equivalent ounce for decreases in recovery rates	\$ 0.62	\$ 1.50	\$ 2.85	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.97

Inventories of ore on leach pads are valued based upon actual costs incurred to place such ore on the leach pad, less costs allocated to minerals recovered through the leach process. The costs consist of those production activities occurring at the mine site and include the costs, including depreciation, associated with mining, crushing and precipitation circuits. In addition, refining is provided by a third party refiner to place the metal extracted from the leach pad in a saleable form. These additional costs are considered in the valuation of inventory.

26

Reclamation and remediation costs. Reclamation and remediation costs are based principally on legal and regulatory requirements. Management estimates costs associated with reclamation of mining properties as well as remediation cost for inactive properties. Future remediation costs for inactive mines are accrued based on management's best estimate at the end of each period of the undiscounted costs expected to be incurred at the site. Such cost estimates include, where applicable, ongoing care and maintenance and monitoring costs. Changes in estimates are reflected in earnings in the period an estimate is revised. In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. SFAS No. 143 requires entities to record the fair value of asset retirement obligations using the present value of projected future cash flows, with an equivalent amount recorded as basis in the related long-lived asset. An accretion cost, representing the increase over time in the present value of the liability, is recorded each period and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset. As reclamation work is performed or liabilities are otherwise settled, the recorded amount of the liability is reduced.

The estimated undiscounted cash flows generated by our assets and the estimated liabilities for reclamation and remediation are determined using the Company's assumptions about future costs, mineral prices, mineral processing recovery rates, production levels and capital and reclamation costs. Such assumptions are based on the Company's current mining plan and the best available information for making such estimates. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its estimates and assumptions; however, actual amounts could differ from those based on such estimates and assumptions.

Pension and Post-Retirement Obligations

Components of Net Period Benefit Cost:	Three Months Ended March 31			
	Defined Benefit Plan		Post-Retirement Medical Plan	
	2004	2003	2004	2003
(In thousands)				
Service cost	\$ 87	\$ 67	\$ 4	\$ 5
Interest cost	94	84	29	29
Expected return on plan assets	(53)	(45)	--	--
Amortization of prior service cost	14	14	--	--
Amortization of the net (gain) loss	67	58	--	--

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form 4

	Three Months Ended March 31			
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 209	\$ 178	\$ 33	\$ 34

Contributions:

The Company previously disclosed in its financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003, that it expected to contribute \$1.0 million to its pension plans in 2004. As of March 31, 2004, \$0.2 million of contributions have been made.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On April 30, 2004, the FASB issued FAS 141-1 and 142-1, Interaction of FASB Statements No. 141, *Business Combinations*, and No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* and EITF Issue No. 04-2, *Whether Mineral Rights Are Tangible of Intangible Assets*.

The Board directed the FASB staff to issue this FASB Staff Position (FSP), which amends FASB Statements No. 141, *Business Combinations*, and No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*.

At the March 17-18, 2004 FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) meeting, the Task Force reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 04-2, *Whether Mineral Rights Are Tangible or Intangible Assets*, that mineral rights, as defined in the Issue, are tangible assets. There is an inconsistency between this consensus that mineral rights are tangible assets and the characterization of mineral rights as intangible assets in Statements 141 and 142. This FSP amends Statement 141 and Statement 142 to address that inconsistency.

27

The Company adopted the provisions of FSP 141-1 and 142-1 as of March 31, 2004, which did not have an effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Operating Statistics

The following table sets forth the amounts of silver and gold produced by the following mining properties, each of which is wholly owned by the Company, and the cash and full costs of such production during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2004 and 2003:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003
<i>ROCHESTER MINE</i>		
Silver ozs	1,310,295	1,089,700
Gold ozs	11,475	10,747
Cash Costs per oz./silver	\$ 5.58	\$ 6.46
Full Costs per oz./silver	\$ 7.21	\$ 7.40
<i>GALENA MINE</i>		
Silver ozs	906,980	1,235,771
Cash Costs per oz./silver	\$ 4.93	\$ 4.22
Full Costs per oz./silver	\$ 5.44	\$ 4.51
<i>CERRO BAYO/MARTHA MINE (A)</i>		
Silver ozs	1,217,816	1,277,457

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form 4

	<u>Three Months Ended March 31,</u>	
Gold ozs	10,536	22,416
Cash Costs per oz./silver	\$ 2.31	\$ (0.29)
Full Costs per oz./silver	\$ 4.01	\$ 2.01
 <i>CONSOLIDATED PRODUCTION TOTALS</i>		
Silver ozs	3,435,091	3,602,928
Gold ozs	22,011	33,163
Cash costs per oz./Silver	\$ 4.25	\$ 3.30
Full Costs per oz./Silver	\$ 5.61	\$ 4.50
 <i>CONSOLIDATED SALES TOTALS</i>		
Silver ozs. sold	3,293,000	4,133,000
Gold ozs. sold	20,000	35,000
Realized price per silver oz (Restated)	\$ 6.94	\$ 4.78
Realized price per gold oz (Restated)	\$ 392	\$ 347

(A) The negative cash cost per ounce of silver is the result of the gold by-product credit as a reduction of operating costs. See Cost and Expenses below.

(B) Historically, the Company has recorded revenue from concentrate sales agreements based on the gold and silver prices prevailing at the time risk of loss and title to the concentrate passes to third-party smelters (at the lower of month-end spot price or the average monthly price for that month). The final settlement price is not fixed until a later date (typically one to three months after shipment) based upon quoted metal prices by an established metal exchange as set forth in each contract, at such date. The Company's provisionally priced sales contain an embedded derivative that is required to be separated from the host contract for accounting purposes. The host contract is the receivable from the sale of concentrates measured at the forward price at the time of sale. The embedded does not qualify for hedge accounting. The embedded derivative is recorded as a derivative asset in prepaid expenses and other, or, a derivative liability on the balance sheet and is adjusted to fair value through revenue each period until the date of final gold and silver settlement. The Company has corrected the error in valuing the embedded derivatives that the incorrect application of revenue recognition accounting had on the financial statements for the three month period ending March 31, 2004. The impact of the restatement in the three months ended March 31, 2004 was an increase in revenues of \$1.4 million. In addition, the restatement resulted in a decrease in price realized per oz./gold of \$3 and increase in price realized per oz./silver of \$0.09 for the three months ended March 31, 2004.

Note: Cash Costs per Ounce are calculated by dividing the cash costs computed for each of the Company's mining properties for a specified period by the amount of gold ounces or silver ounces produced by that property during that same period. Management uses cash costs per ounce produced as a key indicator of the profitability of each of its mining properties. Gold and silver are sold and priced in the world financial markets on a US dollar per ounce basis. By calculating the cash costs from each of the Company's mines on the same unit basis, management can easily determine the gross margin that each ounce of gold and silver produced is generating.

Cash Costs are costs directly related to the physical activities of producing silver and gold and include mining, processing and other plant costs, deferred mining adjustments, third-party refining and smelting costs, marketing expense, on-site general and administrative costs, royalties, in-mine drilling expenditures that are related to production and other direct costs. Sales of by-product metals (primarily gold and copper) are deducted from the above in computing cash costs. Cash costs exclude depreciation, depletion and amortization, corporate general and administrative expense, exploration, interest, and pre-feasibility costs and accruals for mine reclamation. Cash costs are calculated and presented using the Gold Institute Production Cost Standard applied consistently for all periods presented.

Total cash costs per ounce is a non-GAAP measurement and investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on it and are urged to read all GAAP accounting disclosures presented in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying footnotes. In addition, see the reconciliation of cash costs to production costs under Costs and Expenses set forth below:

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2003

Revenues

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form 4

Sales of metal in the first quarter of 2004 increased by \$1.1 million, or 4%, from the first quarter of 2003 to \$29.7 million. The increase in product sales of metal is attributable to increased silver and gold prices offset in part by decreases in production of silver and gold, the timing of concentrate shipments.

In the first quarter of 2004, the Company produced a total of 3,435,091 ounces of silver and 22,011 ounces of gold, compared to 3,602,928 ounces of silver and 33,163 ounces of gold in the first quarter of 2003. In the first quarter of 2004, the Company sold 3,293,000 ounces of silver and 20,000 ounces of gold compared to 4,133,000 ounces of silver and 35,000 ounces of gold for the same period in 2003. The decrease in gold production is due to the fact that during the first quarter of 2003, the Cerro Bayo mine benefited from mining operations at the Furioso gold deposit which was exhausted in the first half of 2003. The decrease in silver production is primarily the result of a 328,791 ounce, or 7%, decrease in production at Silver Valley due to the implementation of a long-range optimization plan at Silver Valley which focuses on development during the 2004-2006 time frame. Realized silver and gold prices increased to \$6.94 and \$392 per ounce, respectively, in the first quarter of 2004 compared to \$4.78 and \$347 in the comparable quarter of 2003.

Interest and other income in the first quarter of 2004 decreased by \$0.9 million compared with the first quarter of 2003. The increase was primarily due to a loss of \$0.9 million on the early sale of forward gold contracts recorded in the first quarter of 2004 resulting from the closure of the Company's forward sales position of 12,400 ounces of gold.

Costs and Expenses

Production costs in the first quarter of 2004 decreased by \$0.9 million, or 5%, from the first quarter of 2003 to \$17.0 million. The decrease is the result of a decrease in production costs at the Rochester and Cerro Bayo mines, offset in part by increased production costs at Silver Valley.

The following tables present a reconciliation between cash costs per ounce and GAAP production costs reported in the Statement of Operations:

<i>Three months ended March 31, 2004</i>	Rochester	Silver Valley	Cerro Bayo⁽¹⁾	Total
Production of Silver (ounces)	1,310,295	906,980	1,217,816	3,435,091
Cash Costs per ounce	\$ 5.58	\$ 4.93	\$ 2.31	\$ 4.25
Total Cash Costs (thousands)	\$ 7,317	\$ 4,468	\$ 2,811	\$ 14,596
Add/(Subtract):				
Third Party Smelting Costs	(232)	(1,279)	(1,205)	(2,716)
By-Product Credit	4,688	793	4,308	9,789
Deferred Stripping				
Adjustment	(101)	--	--	(101)
Change in Inventory	(3,895)	1,260	(1,983)	(4,618)
Production Costs	\$ 7,777	\$ 5,242	\$ 3,931	\$ 16,950

29

<i>Three months ended March 31, 2003</i>	Rochester	Silver Valley	Cerro Bayo	Total
Production of Silver (ounces)	1,089,700	1,235,771	1,277,457	3,602,928
Cash Costs per ounce	\$ 6.46	\$ 4.22	\$ (0.29)	\$ 3.30

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form 4

<i>Three months ended March 31, 2003</i>	Rochester	Silver Valley	Cerro Bayo	Total
Total Cash Costs (thousands)	\$ 7,039	\$ 5,215	\$ (370)	\$ 11,884
Add/(Subtract):				
Third Party Smelting Costs	(173)	(1,596)	(1,995)	(3,764)
By-Product Credit	3,777	739	7,921	12,437
Deferred Stripping Adjustment	(80)	--	--	(80)
Change in Inventory	(1,807)	126	(918)	(2,599)
Production Costs	<u>\$ 8,756</u>	<u>\$ 4,484</u>	<u>\$ 4,638</u>	<u>\$ 17,878</u>

Depreciation and amortization decreased in the first quarter of 2004 by \$0.2 million, from the prior year's first quarter, due to decreased depletion recorded at the Cerro Bayo and Rochester mines in conjunction with decreased production at the mines.

Administrative and general expenses increased in the first quarter of 2004 compared to the same period in 2003 by \$0.6 million due to increased compensation expenses recorded in the first quarter ended March 31, 2004 over the same period in 2003.

Exploration expenses increased by \$0.9 million in the first quarter of 2004 compared to the same period in 2003 as a result of the Company's expanded exploration activities in the Cerro Bayo/Martha mine property areas.

Pre-development expenses increased by \$1.2 million due to increased activity related to completion of updated feasibility studies at the San Bartolomé project and the Kensington project in the first quarter of 2004 as compared to the same quarter of 2003.

Interest expense decreased by \$1.1 million in the first quarter of 2004 compared with the first quarter of 2003 to \$0.9 million from \$2.0 million as a result of a decrease in the Company's debt restructuring that resulted in the issuance of low-coupon debt in January 2004.

Write-down of mining properties and other holding costs increased from \$0.6 million in the first quarter of 2003 to \$0.7 million in the first quarter of 2004 due to increased holding costs at the Coeur mine and increased administrative costs at Earthworks Technology, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company.

During the first quarter of 2003, the Company recorded a loss on retirement of debt of \$28.1 million.

Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change

Effective with the first quarter of 2003, the Company changed the methodology used to recognize reclamation expense pursuant to *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*. Prior to 2003, the Company recognized a pro rata share of the future estimated reclamation liability on a units-of-production basis. As of January 1, 2003, companies are required to recognize the full discounted estimated future reclamation liability and set up a corresponding asset to be amortized over the life of the mine on a units-of-production basis. The impact of this change is accounted for as a change in accounting principle in the first year of implementation and resulted in a change of \$2.3 million in the first quarter of 2003. See Notes B and I of the Company's Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form 4

As a result of the aforementioned factors, the Company's net loss amounted to \$1.7 million, or \$0.01 per share, in the first quarter of 2004 compared to a net loss of \$31.7 million, or \$0.24 per share, in the first quarter of 2003.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Working Capital; Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company's working capital at March 31, 2004, increased by \$158.8 million to approximately \$259.1 million compared to \$100.3 million at December 31, 2003. The increase was primarily attributed to the increase in cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments proceeds occurring as a result of the issuance of \$180 million of 1 ¼% Convertible Senior Notes due January 15, 2024. See Management's Discussion and Analysis Issuance of 1 ¼% Convertible Senior Notes below. The ratio of current assets to current liabilities was 13.9 to 1.0 at March 31, 2004 compared to 5.5 to 1.0 at December 31, 2003.

Net cash used in operating activities in the three months ended March 31, 2004 was \$8.1 million compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$1.3 million in the three months ended March 31, 2003. The decrease in cash flow from operations is primarily due to increased receivables and inventory associated with the timing and payment of concentrate shipments. Net cash used in investing activities in the first quarter of 2004 was \$43.8 million compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$24.3 million in the prior year's comparable period. The increase in cash used in investing activities primarily resulted from an increase in short-term investments purchased with the proceeds from the issuance of the 1 ¼% Notes issued in the first quarter of 2004. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$162.8 million in the first quarter of 2004, compared to \$35.9 million used in the first quarter of 2003. The increase was primarily a result of \$180 million of proceeds from the issuance of the 1 ¼% Convertible Senior Notes as well as \$0.4 million of net borrowings received under the Company's working capital facility, offset in part by \$9.6 million of retirement of long-term debt and \$6.1 million of debt issuance costs associated with the issuance of 1 ¼% Convertible Senior Notes due 2024. As a result of the above, cash and cash equivalents increased by \$110.9 million in the first quarter of 2004 compared to an increase of \$10.2 million for the comparable period in 2003.

Debt and Capital Resources

The Company has improved its working capital position since December 31, 2003 by completing the issuance of the 1 ¼% Convertible Senior Notes. At March 31, 2004, the Company had \$173.3 million of cash and approximately \$9.3 million available under its working capital facility. Management therefore believes that its existing and available cash and cash flow from operations will allow it to meet its obligations for the next twelve months.

On April 20, 2004, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) to register the offer and sale by the Company from time to time of up to \$250 million of various securities, which may include debt securities, preferred stock, common stock and or warrants. The Company will determine the use of proceeds of any particular offering only if and when the Company actually sells securities, but the Company currently expects that it will use the proceeds of any sale of any securities registered on Form S-3 registration statement for general corporate purposes, which may include expansion and development of existing operations, possible acquisitions of mining properties or other mining companies, for working capital to support the Company's growth or the repayment of indebtedness. In addition, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-4 with the SEC to register the offer by the Company from time to time of up to 50 million shares of common stock to be used solely for exchanges, mergers, asset acquisitions and other forms of business combinations. Future issuance of shares of common stock under the above registration statements will be dependent on the approval by shareholders of a proposed increase in the total number of authorized shares from 250 million to 500 million shares at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders on May 20, 2004.

2004 Final Redemption of Remaining 7 ¼% Debentures

On March 11, 2004 the Company redeemed of the remaining outstanding \$9.6 million principal amount of the Company's 7 ¼% Convertible Subordinated Debentures due October 15, 2005.

Issuance of 1 ¼% Convertible Senior Notes

On January 13, 2004 the Company completed its offering of \$180 million aggregate principal amount of 1.25% Convertible Senior Notes due 2024 (the 1.25% Notes). The 1.25% Notes are convertible into shares of Coeur common stock at a conversion rate of approximately 131.5789 shares of Coeur common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes, representing a conversion price of \$7.60 per share. Interest on the notes is payable in cash at the rate of 1.25% per annum beginning July 15, 2004. The Company intends to use the proceeds of the offering for general corporate purposes, which may include the development of its Kensington gold project and its San Bartolome silver project, which are pending the completion of updated feasibility studies and final construction decisions. The Notes are general unsecured obligations, senior in right of payment to Coeur's other indebtedness.

Litigation and Other Events

Federal Natural Resources Action

On March 22, 1996, an action was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Idaho by the United States against various defendants, including the Company, asserting claims under CERCLA and the Clean Water Act for alleged damages to federal natural resources in the Coeur d'Alene River Basin of Northern Idaho. The damages are claimed to result from alleged releases of hazardous substances from mining activities conducted in the area since the late 1800s.

The Company and representatives of the U.S. Government, including the Environmental Protection Agency, the Department of Interior and the Department of Agriculture, reached an agreement to settle the lawsuit. The terms of settlement are set forth in a Consent Decree issued by the court. Pursuant to the terms of the Consent Decree, dated May 14, 2001, the Company has paid the U.S. Government a total of approximately \$3.9 million, of which \$3.3 million was paid in May 2001 and the remaining \$.6 million was paid in June 2001. In addition, the Company will (i) pay the United States 50% of any future recoveries from insurance companies for claims for defense and indemnification under general liability insurance policies in excess of \$0.6 million, and (ii) make a conveyance to the U.S. or the State of Idaho of certain real property to possibly be used as a waste repository. Commencing in 2006, the Company will be obligated to pay net smelter return royalties on its operating properties, up to a maximum of \$3 million, amounting to a 2% net smelter royalty on silver production if the price of silver exceeds \$6.50 per ounce, and a \$5.00 per ounce net smelter royalty on gold production if the price of gold exceeds \$325 per ounce. The royalty payment obligation expires after 15 years commencing five years after May 14, 2001.

Private Property Damage Action

On January 7, 2002, a private class action suit captioned *Baugh v. Asarco, et al.*, was filed in Idaho State District Court for the First District (Docket No. 2002-131) in Kootenai County, Idaho. Defendants include mining companies and the Union Pacific Railroad Company which were defendants in the Bunker Hill natural resource damage litigation in the Coeur d'Alene Basin, including the Company. Plaintiffs are eight northern Idaho residents seeking medical monitoring and real property damages from the mining companies and railroad who operated in the Bunker Hill Superfund site. In October 2002, the court conducted a hearing on motions resulting in an order striking certain of the alleged causes of action from the complaint, and dismissing the complaint with leave to amend it. In January 2003, the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint. The court dismissed the amended complaint with leave to amend. In May 2003 a second amended complaint was filed. The Company has filed a motion for summary judgment, which is set for hearing on July 14, 2004. While the Company believes the suit is without merit, at this stage of the proceedings, the Company cannot predict the outcome of this suit.

States of Maine, Idaho And Colorado Superfund Sites Related to Callahan Mining Corporation

During 2001, the United States Forest Service made a formal request for information regarding the Deadwood Mine Site located in central Idaho. Callahan Mining Corporation had operated at this site during the 1940's. The Forest Service believes that some cleanup action is required at the location. However, Coeur d'Alene Mines Corporation did not acquire Callahan until 1991, more than 40 years after Callahan disposed of its interest in the Deadwood property. The Company did not make any decisions with respect to generation, transport or disposal of hazardous waste at the site. Therefore, it is believed that the Company is not liable for any cleanup, and if Callahan might be liable, it has no substantial assets with which to satisfy any such liability. To date no claim has been made by the United States for any dollar amount of cleanup costs against either the Company or Callahan.

During 2002, the EPA made a formal request for information regarding a Callahan mine site in the State of Maine. Callahan operated there in the late 1960 s, shut the operations down in the early 1970 s and disposed of the property. The EPA contends that some cleanup action is warranted at the site, and listed it on the National Priorities List in late 2002. The Company believes that because it made no decisions with respect to generation, transport or disposal of hazardous waste at this location, it is not liable for any cleanup costs. If Callahan might have liability, it has no substantial assets with which to satisfy such liability. To date, no claim has been made for any dollar amount of cleanup costs against either the Company or Callahan.

In January 2003, the U.S. Forest Service made a formal request for information regarding a Callahan mine site in the State of Colorado known as the Akron Mine Site. Callahan operated there in approximately the late 1930s through the 1940s, and to the Company s knowledge, disposed of the property. The Company is not aware of what, if any, cleanup action the Forest Service is contemplating. However, the Company did not make decisions with respect to generation, transport or disposal of hazardous waste at this location, and therefore believes it is not liable for any cleanup costs. If Callahan might have liability, it has no substantial assets with which to satisfy such liability. To date, no claim has been made for any dollar amount of cleanup costs against either the Company or Callahan.

Suit By Credit Suisse First Boston

On December 2, 2003, suit was filed by Credit Suisse First Boston against the Company in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (Docket No. 03 Civ 9547). The plaintiff alleges that the Company breached a contract between the parties providing for services to be furnished by the plaintiff to the defendant. Plaintiff alleges that it is entitled to damages in the amount of \$2,400,000 attributed to the breach. The Company believes it did not breach the contract and that it is not liable. However, at this early stage of the proceedings the outcome of the suit cannot be predicted.

RISK FACTORS

The following information sets forth information relating to important risks and uncertainties that could materially adversely affect the Company s business, financial condition or operating results. References to we, our and us in these risk factors refer to the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties that we do not presently know or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business operations.

Risks Relating to our Business

We have incurred losses in the last five years due to several factors, including historically low gold and silver market prices, and may continue to incur losses in the future.

We have incurred net losses in the last five years, and have had losses from continuing operations in each of those periods. Factors significantly contributing to our losses are:

until recently, historically low gold and silver market prices;

our deliberate pursuit of a growth policy calling for the acquisition of mining properties and companies and financing such growth principally by incurring convertible indebtedness, thereby increasing our interest expense;

write-offs for impaired assets in 1999 (\$16.2 million), 2000 (\$12.2 million), 2001 (\$6.1 million), 2002 (\$19.0 million) and 2003 (\$0.0 million); and

losses on the early retirement of debt of \$19.1 million in 2002, and \$41.6 million in 2003.

If silver and gold prices decline and we are unable to reduce our production costs, our losses may continue. If lower silver and gold prices make mining at our properties uneconomical, we may be required to recognize additional impairment write-downs, which would increase our

operating losses and negatively impact our results of operations.

We may be required to incur additional indebtedness to fund our capital expenditures.

We have historically financed our operations through the issuance of convertible debt and may be required to incur additional indebtedness in the future. In particular, we anticipate that we could reach a final decision to develop the San Bartolome and Kensington projects in 2004, which would require a capital investment of approximately \$215 million. While we believe that our cash on hand will be sufficient for us to make this level of capital investment, no assurance can be given that additional capital investment will not be required to be made at these or other projects. If we are unable to generate enough cash to finance such additional capital expenditures through operating cash flow and the issuance of common stock, we may be required to issue additional indebtedness. Any additional indebtedness would increase our debt payment obligations, and may negatively affect our results of operations.

We have not had sufficient earnings to cover fixed charges in recent years and presently expect that situation to continue.

As a result of our net losses, our earnings have not been adequate to satisfy fixed charges (i.e., interest, preferred stock dividends and that portion of rent deemed representative of interest) in each of the last five years. The amounts by which earnings were inadequate to cover fixed charges were approximately \$29.3 million in 1999, \$47.5 million in 2000, \$3.1 million in 2001, \$81.2 million in 2002 and \$67.0 million in 2003, respectively. As of March 31, 2004, we are required to make fixed payments on \$180 million principal amount of our 1 1/4% Senior Convertible Notes due 2024, requiring annual interest payments of approximately \$2.25 million until their maturity.

We expect to satisfy our fixed charges and other expense obligations in the future from cash flow from operations and, if cash flow from operations is insufficient, from working capital, which amounted to approximately \$259.1 million at March 31, 2004. In the last five years, we have been experiencing negative cash flow from operating activities. The amount of net cash used in our operating activities amounted to approximately \$5.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, \$8.5 million in 2002 and \$29.9 million in 2001. The availability of future cash flow from operations or working capital to fund the payment of interest on the notes, our debentures and other fixed charges will be dependent upon numerous factors, including our results of operations, silver and gold prices, levels and costs of production at our mining properties, the amount of our capital expenditures and expenditures for acquisitions, developmental and exploratory activities, and the extent to which we are able to reduce the amount of our indebtedness through additional exchanges.

The market prices of silver and gold are volatile and until recently have been near historically low levels. Low silver and gold prices may result in decreased revenues and increased losses, and may negatively affect our business.

Silver and gold are commodities. Their prices fluctuate, and are affected by many factors beyond our control, including interest rates, expectations regarding inflation, speculation, currency values, governmental decisions regarding the disposal of precious metals stockpiles, global and regional demand and production, political and economic conditions and other factors. For the year ended December 31, 2003, we derived approximately 65% of our revenues from sales of silver. As such, our earnings are directly related to the price of this metal.

The market prices of silver (Handy & Harman) and gold (London Final) on May 3, 2004 were \$6.07 and \$388.50 per ounce, respectively. The price of silver and gold may decline in the future. Factors that are generally understood to contribute to a decline in the price of silver include sales by private and government holders, and a general global economic slowdown.

If the prices of silver and gold are depressed for a sustained period, our net losses will continue, we may be forced to suspend mining at one or more of our properties until the price increases, and record additional asset impairment write-downs. Any lost revenues, continued or increased net losses or additional asset impairment write-downs would affect our results of operations.

We have recorded significant write-downs of mining properties in recent years and may have to record additional write-downs, which could negatively impact our results of operations.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144 (SFAS 144), Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, established accounting standards for impairment of the value of long-lived assets such as mining properties. SFAS 144 requires a company to

review the recoverability of the cost of its assets by estimating the future undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. Impairment must be recognized when the carrying value of the asset exceeds these cash flows, and recognizing impairment write-downs has negatively impacted our results of operations in recent years.

While we do not believe that any of our properties presently requires a write-down pursuant to SFAS 144, if silver or gold prices decline or we fail to control production costs or realize the mineable ore reserves at our mining properties, we may recognize further asset write-downs. We also may record other types of additional mining property write-downs in the future to the extent a property is sold by us for a price less than the carrying value of the property, or if liability reserves have to be created in connection with the closure and reclamation of a property. Additional write-downs of mining properties could negatively impact our results of operations.

The estimation of ore reserves is imprecise and depends upon subjective factors. Estimated ore reserves may not be realized in actual production. Our operating results may be negatively affected by inaccurate estimates.

The ore reserve figures presented in our public filings are estimates made by our technical personnel. Reserve estimates are a function of geological and engineering analyses that require us to make assumptions about production costs and silver and gold market prices. Reserve estimation is an imprecise and subjective process and the accuracy of such estimates is a function of the quality of available data and of engineering and geological interpretation, judgment and experience. Assumptions about silver and gold market prices are subject to great uncertainty as those prices have fluctuated widely in the past. Declines in the market prices of silver or gold may render reserves containing relatively lower grades of ore uneconomic to exploit, and we may be required to reduce reserve estimates, discontinue development or mining at one or more of our properties, or write down assets as impaired. Should we encounter mineralization or geologic formations at any of our mines or projects different from those we predicted, we may adjust our reserve estimates and alter our mining plans. Either of these alternatives may have a negative effect on our actual production and operating results.

We based our ore reserve determinations as of December 31, 2003 on a long-term silver price average of \$5.25 per ounce and a long-term gold price average of \$375 per ounce.

The estimation of the ultimate recovery of metals contained within the heap leach pad inventory is inherently inaccurate and subjective and requires the use of estimation techniques. Actual recoveries can be expected to vary from estimations.

The Rochester mine utilizes the heap leach process to extract silver and gold from ore. The heap leach process is a process of extracting silver and gold by placing ore on an impermeable pad and applying a diluted cyanide solution that dissolves a portion of the contained silver and gold, which are then recovered in metallurgical processes.

The key stages in the conversion of ore into silver and gold are (i) the blasting process in which the ore is broken into large pieces; (ii) the processing of the ore through a crushing facility that breaks it into smaller pieces; (iii) the transportation of the crushed ore to the leach pad where the leaching solution is applied; (iv) the collection of the leach solution; (v) subjecting the leach solution to the precipitation process, in which gold and silver is converted back to a fine solid; (vi) the conversion of the precipitate into doré; and (vii) the conversion by a third party refinery of the doré into refined silver and gold bullion.

We use several integrated steps to scientifically measure the metal content of ore placed on the leach pads during the key stages. As the ore body is drilled in preparation for the blasting process, samples of the drill residue are assayed to determine estimated quantities of contained metal. We estimate the quantity of ore by utilizing global positioning satellite survey techniques. We then process the ore through a crushing facility where the output is again weighed and sampled for assaying. A metallurgical reconciliation with the data collected from the mining operation is completed with appropriate adjustments made to previous estimates. We then transport the crushed ore to the leach pad for application of the leaching solution. As the leach solution is collected from the leach pads, we continuously sample for assaying. We measure the quantity of leach solution with flow meters throughout the leaching and precipitation process. After precipitation, the product is converted to doré, which is the final product produced by the mine. We again weigh, sample and assay the doré. Finally, a third party smelter converts the doré into refined silver and gold bullion. At this point we are able to determine final ounces of silver and gold available for sale. We then review this end result and reconcile it to the estimates we developed and used throughout the production process. Based on this review, we adjust our estimation procedures when appropriate.

Our reported inventories include metals estimated to be contained in the ore on the leach pads of \$35.8 million as of March 31, 2004. Of this amount, \$15.4 million is reported as a current asset and \$20.4 million is reported as a noncurrent asset. The distinction between current and

noncurrent is based upon the expected length of time necessary for the leaching process to remove the metals from the broken ore. The historical cost of the metal that is expected to be extracted within twelve months is classified as current and the historical cost of metals contained within the broken ore that will be extracted beyond twelve months is classified as noncurrent.

The estimate of both the ultimate recovery expected over time, and the quantity of metal that may be extracted relative to such twelve month period, requires the use of estimates which are inherently inaccurate since they rely upon laboratory test work. Test work consists of 60 day leach columns from which we project metal recoveries up to five years in the future. The quantities of metal contained in the ore are based upon actual weights and assay analysis. The rate at which the leach process extracts gold and silver from the crushed ore is based upon laboratory column tests and actual experience occurring over approximately fifteen years of leach pad operation at the Rochester mine. The assumptions we use to measure metal content during each stage of the inventory conversion process includes estimated recovery rates based on laboratory testing and assaying. We periodically review our estimates compared to actual experience and revise our estimates when appropriate. The length of time necessary to achieve our currently estimated ultimate recoveries of 61.5% for silver and 93% for gold is estimated to be between five and 10 years. However, the ultimate recovery will not be known until leaching operations cease, which is currently estimated for 2011.

Inventories of ore on leach pads are valued based upon actual costs incurred to place such ore on the leach pad, less costs allocated to minerals recovered through the leach process. The costs consist of those production activities occurring at the mine site and include the costs, including depreciation, associated with mining, crushing and precipitation circuits. In addition, refining is provided by a third party refiner to place the metal extracted from the leach pad in a saleable form. These additional costs are considered in the valuation of inventory. Negative changes in our inventory valuations and correspondingly on our income statement would have an adverse impact on our results of operations.

Our estimates of current and non-current inventories may not be realized in actual production and operating results, which may negatively affect our business.

We use estimates, based on prior production results and experiences, to determine whether heap leach inventory will be recovered more than one year in the future, and is non-current inventory, or will be recovered within one year, and is current inventory. The estimates involve assumptions that may not prove to be consistent with our actual production and operating results. We cannot determine the amount ultimately recoverable until leaching is completed. If our estimates prove inaccurate, our operating results may be less than anticipated.

Significant investment risks and operational costs are associated with our exploration, development and mining activities, such as San Bartolome and Kensington. These risks and costs may result in lower economic returns and may adversely affect our business.

Our ability to sustain or increase our present production levels depends in part on successful exploration and development of new ore bodies and/or expansion of existing mining operations. Mineral exploration, particularly for silver and gold, involves many risks and is frequently unproductive. If mineralization is discovered, it may take a number of years until production is possible, during which time the economic viability of the project may change. Substantial expenditures are required to establish ore reserves, extract metals from ores and, in the case of new properties, to construct mining and processing facilities. The economic feasibility of any development project is based upon, among other things, estimates of the size and grade of ore reserves, proximity to infrastructures and other resources (such as water and power), metallurgical recoveries, production rates and capital and operating costs of such development projects, and metals prices. Development projects are also subject to the completion of favorable feasibility studies, issuance of necessary permits and receipt of adequate financing.

Development projects, such as San Bartolome and Kensington, have no operating history upon which to base estimates of future operating costs and capital requirements. Particularly for development projects, estimates of reserves, metal recoveries and cash operating costs are to a large extent based upon the interpretation of geologic data obtained from a limited number of drill holes and other sampling techniques and feasibility studies. Estimates of cash operating costs are then derived based upon anticipated tonnage and grades of ore to be mined and processed, the configuration of the ore body, expected recovery rates of metals from the ore, comparable facility and equipment costs, anticipated climate conditions and other factors. As a result, actual cash operating costs and economic returns of any and all development projects, including San Bartolome and Kensington, may materially differ from the costs and returns estimated, and accordingly, our results of operations may be adversely affected.

Our silver and gold production may decline, reducing our revenues and negatively impacting our business.

Our future silver and gold production may decline as a result of an exhaustion of reserves and possible closure of mines. It is our business strategy to conduct silver and gold exploratory activities at our existing mining and exploratory properties as well as at new exploratory projects, and to acquire silver and gold mining properties and businesses that possess mineable ore reserves and are expected to become operational in the near future. We can provide no assurance that our silver and gold production in the future will not decline. Accordingly, our revenues from the sale of silver and gold may decline, negatively affecting our results of operations.

There are significant hazards associated with our mining activities, not all of which are fully covered by insurance. To the extent we must pay the costs associated with such risks, our business may be negatively affected.

The mining business is subject to risks and hazards, including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, the encountering of unusual or unexpected geological formations, cave-ins, flooding, earthquakes and periodic interruptions due to inclement or hazardous weather conditions. These occurrences could result in damage to, or destruction of, mineral properties or production facilities, personal injury or death, environmental damage, reduced production and delays in mining, asset write-downs, monetary losses and possible legal liability. Although we maintain insurance in an amount that we consider to be adequate, liabilities might exceed policy limits, in which event we could incur significant costs that could adversely affect our results of operations. Insurance fully covering many environmental risks (including potential liability for pollution or other hazards as a result of disposal of waste products occurring from exploration and production) is not generally available to us or to other companies in the industry. The realization of any significant liabilities in connection with our mining activities as described above could negatively affect our results of operations.

We are subject to significant governmental regulations, and their related costs and delays may negatively affect our business.

Our mining activities are subject to extensive federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations governing environmental protection, natural resources, prospecting, development, production, post-closure reclamation, taxes, labor standards and occupational health and safety laws and regulations including mine safety, toxic substances and other matters related to our business. Although these laws and regulations have never required us to close any mine, the costs associated with compliance with such laws and regulations are substantial. Possible future laws and regulations, or more restrictive interpretations of current laws and regulations by governmental authorities could cause additional expense, capital expenditures, restrictions on or suspensions of our operations and delays in the development of our properties. Moreover, governmental authorities and private parties may bring lawsuits based upon damage to property and injury to persons resulting from the environmental, health and safety impacts of our past and current operations, which could lead to the imposition of substantial fines, penalties and other civil and criminal sanctions. Substantial costs and liabilities, including for restoring the environment after the closure of mines, are inherent in our operations. Although we believe we are in substantial compliance with applicable laws and regulations, we cannot assure you that any such law, regulation, enforcement or private claim will not have a negative effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Some of our mining wastes are currently exempt to a limited extent from the extensive set of federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations governing hazardous waste under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). If the EPA designates these wastes as hazardous under RCRA, we would be required to expend additional amounts on the handling of such wastes and to make significant expenditures to construct hazardous waste disposal facilities. In addition, if any of these wastes causes contamination in or damage to the environment at a mining facility, such facility may be designated as a Superfund site under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). Under CERCLA, any owner or operator of a Superfund site since the time of its contamination may be held liable and may be forced to undertake extensive remedial cleanup action or to pay for the government's cleanup efforts. Additional regulations or requirements are also imposed upon our tailings and waste disposal areas in Idaho and Alaska under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and in Nevada under the Nevada Water Pollution Control Law which implements the CWA. Airborne emissions are subject to controls under air pollution statutes implementing the Clean Air Act in Nevada, Idaho and Alaska. Compliance with CERCLA, the CWA and state environmental laws could entail significant costs, which could negatively affect our operations.

In the context of environmental permits, including the approval of reclamation plans, we must comply with standards and regulations which entail significant costs and can entail significant delays. Such costs and delays could have a dramatic impact on our operations.

We are required to obtain government permits to expand operations or begin new operations. The costs and delays associated with such approvals could affect our operations, reduce our revenues, and negatively affect business as a whole.

Mining companies are required to seek governmental permits for expansion of existing operations or for the commencement of new operations. Obtaining the necessary governmental permits is a complex and time-consuming process involving numerous jurisdictions and often involving public hearings and costly undertakings. The duration and success of permitting efforts are contingent on many factors that are out of our control. The governmental approval process may increase costs and cause delays depending on the nature of the activity to be permitted, and could cause us to not proceed with the development of a mine. Accordingly, this approval process could harm our results of operations.

We are an international company and are exposed to risks in the countries in which we have significant operations or interests. Foreign instability or variances in foreign currencies may cause unforeseen losses, which may negatively affect our business.

Chile, Argentina and Bolivia are the most significant foreign countries in which we directly or indirectly own or operate mining properties or developmental projects. We also conduct exploratory projects in these countries. Argentina, while currently economically and politically stable, has experienced political instability, currency value fluctuations and changes in banking regulations in recent years. Although the governments and economies of Chile and Bolivia have been relatively stable in recent years, property ownership in a foreign country is generally subject to the risk of expropriation or nationalization with inadequate compensation. Any foreign operations or investment may also be adversely affected by exchange controls, currency fluctuations, taxation and laws or policies of particular countries as well as laws and policies of the United States affecting foreign trade investment and taxation. We may enter into agreements which require us to purchase currencies of foreign countries in which we do business in order to ensure fixed exchange rates. In the event that actual exchange rates vary from those set forth in the hedge contracts, we will experience U.S. dollar-denominated currency gains or losses. Future economic or political instabilities or changes in the laws of foreign countries in which we have significant operations or interests and unfavorable fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates could negatively impact our foreign operations and our business as whole.

Any of our future acquisitions may result in significant risks, which may adversely affect our business.

An important element of our business strategy is the opportunistic acquisition of silver and gold mines, properties and businesses. While it is our practice to engage independent mining consultants to assist in evaluating and making acquisitions, any mining properties we may acquire may not be developed profitably or, if profitable when acquired, that profitability might not be sustained. In connection with any future acquisitions, we may incur indebtedness or issue equity securities, resulting in dilution of the percentage ownership of existing shareholders. We intend to seek shareholder approval for any such acquisitions to the extent required by applicable law, regulations or stock exchange rules. We cannot predict the impact of future acquisitions on the price of our business or our common stock. Unprofitable acquisitions, or additional indebtedness or issuances of securities in connection with such acquisitions, may adversely affect our results of operations.

Our ability to find and acquire new mineral properties is uncertain. Accordingly, our prospects are uncertain for the future growth of our business.

Because mines have limited lives based on proven and probable ore reserves, we are continually seeking to replace and expand our ore reserves. Identifying promising mining properties is difficult and speculative. Furthermore, we encounter strong competition from other mining companies in connection with the acquisition of properties producing or capable of producing silver and gold. Many of these companies have greater financial resources than we do. Consequently, we may be unable to replace and expand current ore reserves through the acquisition of new mining properties on terms we consider acceptable. As a result, our revenues from the sale of silver and gold may decline, resulting in lower income and reduced growth.

Third parties may dispute our unpatented mining claims, which could result in losses affecting our business.

The validity of unpatented mining claims, which constitute a significant portion of our property holdings in the United States, is often uncertain and may be contested. Although we have attempted to acquire satisfactory title to undeveloped properties, we, in accordance with mining industry practice, do not generally obtain title opinions until a decision is made to develop a property. As a result, some titles, particularly titles to undeveloped properties, may be defective. Defective title to any of our mining claims could result in litigation, insurance claims, and potential losses adversely affecting our business as a whole.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. An evaluation was performed by the Company's management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this amended quarterly report.

Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the presence of the matters described below constitute a material weaknesses as defined under standards established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. To specifically respond to this matter, and in general to meet our obligations under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Company has taken the actions described below.

As of the date of this Form 10Q, the Company believes it has a plan that, when fully implemented, will reduce the likelihood that similar errors could occur in the future. There were no other changes during the second quarter ended June 30, 2004 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, subsequent to the date of their evaluation, except as described below.

In the third quarter of 2004, it was determined that the Company's consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2003 and 2002, and the first quarter of fiscal 2004, would need to be restated as a result of an error discovered in the method of recording revenue from the sales of concentrates. The Company has determined that deficiencies in its internal review processes resulted in this error not being detected on a timely basis.

In the third quarter of 2004 it was discovered there was an error resulting from the incorrect application of revenue recognition accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Historically, the Company has recorded revenue from concentrate sales agreements based on the gold and silver prices prevailing at the time risk of loss and title to the concentrate passes to third-party smelters (at the lower of month-end spot price or the average monthly price for that month). The final settlement price is not fixed until a later date (typically one to three months after shipment) based upon quoted metal prices by an established metal exchange as set forth in each contract, at such date. The Company's provisionally priced sales contain an embedded derivative that is required to be separated from the host contract for accounting purposes. The host contract is the receivable from the sale of concentrates measured at the forward price at the time of sale. The embedded does not qualify for hedge accounting. The embedded derivative is recorded as a derivative asset in prepaid expenses and other, or, a derivative liability on the balance sheet and is adjusted to fair value through revenue each period until the date of final gold and silver settlement. The Company has corrected the error in valuing these embedded derivatives on the financial statements for each of the three, six and nine month periods ending March 31, June 30, and September 30 for 2003 and 2002, respectively, the years ending December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively and the three month period ending March 31, 2004. In addition, the Company has changed its significant accounting policy for revenue recognition, including embedded derivatives, to comply with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Company has also taken steps to implement new procedures to strengthen its review process to remediate the material weakness surrounding the preparation of the financial statements.

Even an effective internal control system, no matter how well designed, has inherent limitations including the possibility of the circumvention or overriding of controls. Therefore, the Company's internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to the reliability of the Company's financial reporting and financial statement preparation.

The Company has disclosed to the auditors and to the audit committee of the board of directors this issue as prescribed by Sarbanes Oxley Sec. 404(a).