

TEREX CORP
Form 10-Q
April 30, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

F O R M 10 – Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2010

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-10702

Terex Corporation
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State of Incorporation)

34-1531521
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

200 Nyala Farm Road, Westport, Connecticut 06880
(Address of principal executive offices)

(203) 222-7170
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically filed and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

YES NO

Edgar Filing: TEREX CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b -2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	Accelerated filer o	Non-accelerated filer o	Smaller Reporting Company "
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES o	NO x
-------	------

Number of outstanding shares of common stock: 108.7 million as of April 27, 2010.

The Exhibit Index begins on page 51.

Terex-Telelect, Inc.

Delaware

41-1603748

Forward-Looking Information

Certain information in this Quarterly Report includes forward-looking statements (within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) regarding future events or our future financial performance that involve certain contingencies and uncertainties, including those discussed below in the section entitled “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Contingencies and Uncertainties.” In addition, when included in this Quarterly Report or in documents incorporated herein by reference, the words “may,” “expects,” “intends,” “anticipates,” “plans,” “projects,” “estimates” and the negatives and analogous or similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. However, the absence of these words does not mean that the statement is not forward-looking. We have based these forward-looking statements on current expectations and projections about future events. These statements are not guarantees of future performance. Such statements are inherently subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those reflected in such forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control, include, among others:

- Our business is cyclical and weak general economic conditions affect the sales of our products and financial results;
 - the impact of the sale of our Mining business, including our use of the proceeds of this transaction;
 - our ability to access the capital markets to raise funds and provide liquidity;
 - our business is sensitive to government spending and our ability to act as a government contractor;
- our business is very competitive and is affected by our cost structure, pricing, product initiatives and other actions taken by competitors;
 - the effects of operating losses;
 - a material disruption to one of our significant facilities;
 - our retention of key management personnel;
- the financial condition of suppliers and customers, and their continued access to capital;
- our ability to obtain parts and components from suppliers on a timely basis at competitive prices;
 - our ability to timely manufacture and deliver products to customers;
 - the need to comply with restrictive covenants contained in our debt agreements;
- our business is global and subject to changes in exchange rates between currencies, as well as international politics, particularly in developing markets;
 - the effects of changes in laws and regulations, including tax laws;
 - possible work stoppages and other labor matters;
 - compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations;
 - litigation, product liability claims, class action lawsuits and other liabilities;
- our ability to comply with an injunction and related obligations resulting from the settlement of an investigation by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”);
 - investigation by the United States Department of Justice (“DOJ”);
 - our implementation of a global enterprise system and its performance; and
 - other factors.

Actual events or our actual future results may differ materially from any forward-looking statement due to these and other risks, uncertainties and significant factors. The forward-looking statements contained herein speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report and the forward-looking statements contained in documents incorporated herein by reference speak only as of the date of the respective documents. We expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to release publicly any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statement contained or incorporated by reference in this Quarterly Report to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based.

As a result of the final court decree in August 2009 that formalized the settlement of an investigation of Terex by the SEC, for a period of three years, or such earlier time as we are able to obtain a waiver from the SEC, we cannot rely on the safe harbor provisions regarding forward-looking statements provided by the regulations issued under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The forward-looking statements and prospective financial information included in this Form 10-Q have been prepared by, and are the responsibility of, Terex's management. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") has neither examined, compiled nor performed any procedures with respect to the accompanying forward-looking statements and prospective financial information and, accordingly, PwC does not express an opinion or any other form of assurance with respect thereto.

Edgar Filing: TEREX CORP - Form 10-Q

Gain on disposition of discontinued operations – net of tax	5.72	—
Net income (loss) attributable to Terex Corporation	\$4.98	\$(0.79)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding in per share calculation		
Basic	108.4	94.8
Diluted	108.4	94.8

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

TEREX CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
(unaudited)
(in millions, except par value)

	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,796.2	\$ 929.5
Investments in marketable securities	384.3	0.6
Trade receivables (net of allowance of \$60.1 at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009)	608.9	593.8
Inventories	1,322.5	1,343.9
Deferred taxes	29.5	120.5
Other current assets	143.7	203.0
Current assets – discontinued operations	109.0	723.3
Total current assets	4,394.1	3,914.6
Long-term assets		
Property, plant and equipment - net	573.6	605.0
Goodwill	491.5	511.1
Deferred taxes	173.6	145.8
Other assets	326.7	322.8
Long-term assets – discontinued operations	36.6	214.5
Total assets	\$ 5,996.1	\$ 5,713.8
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities		
Notes payable and current portion of long-term debt	\$ 63.6	\$ 73.7
Trade accounts payable	569.1	525.1
Accrued compensation and benefits	120.1	130.7
Accrued warranties and product liability	97.2	108.2
Customer advances	109.1	131.8
Other current liabilities	422.8	326.8
Current liabilities – discontinued operations	47.1	258.4
Total current liabilities	1,429.0	1,554.7
Non-current liabilities		
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,904.4	1,892.7
Retirement plans and other	421.7	448.2
Non-current liabilities – discontinued operations	116.9	143.8
Total liabilities	3,872.0	4,039.4

Commitments and contingencies

Stockholders' equity

Common stock, \$.01 par value – authorized 300.0 shares; issued 120.8 and 120.4 shares at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively	1.2	1.2
Additional paid-in capital	1,242.8	1,253.5
Retained earnings	1,498.1	958.2
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(41.6)	36.0
Less: Cost of shares of common stock in treasury – 13.0 and 13.1 shares at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively	(598.1)	(598.7)
Total Terex Corporation stockholders' equity	2,102.4	1,650.2
Noncontrolling interest	21.7	24.2
Total equity	2,124.1	1,674.4
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 5,996.1	\$ 5,713.8

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: TEREX CORP - Form 10-Q

Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	(31.4)	(20.9)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	825.0	(140.1)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	971.2	484.4
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$1,796.2	\$344.3

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

additional disclosures about the risks that a transferor continues to be exposed to because of its continuing involvement in transferred financial assets. It also eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity and will change the requirements for de-recognition of financial assets. This guidance is effective for the Company in its interim and annual reporting periods beginning on and after January 1, 2010. Earlier application was prohibited. Adoption of this guidance did not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of the Company's financial results.

annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009. Adoption of this portion of the guidance did not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of the Company's financial results. This guidance with respect to Level 3 fair value measurements is effective for the Company in its interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that adoption of this portion of the guidance will have on the determination and reporting of its financial results.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. The allowance for doubtful accounts is the Company's best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in its existing accounts receivable. The Company determines the allowance based on historical customer review. The Company reviews its allowance for doubtful accounts at least quarterly. Past due balances over 90 days and over a specified amount are reviewed individually for collectibility. All other balances are reviewed on a pooled basis by type of receivable. Account balances are charged off against the allowance when the Company determines that the receivable will not be recovered. Given current economic conditions, there can be no assurance that the Company's historical accounts receivable collection experience will be indicative of future results. The Company has off-balance sheet credit exposure related to guarantees provided to financial institutions as disclosed in Note N - "Litigation and Contingencies." Substantially all receivables were trade receivables at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. The Company's policy is to assess the realizability of its long-lived assets, including intangible assets, and to evaluate such assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets (or group of assets) may not be recoverable. Impairment is determined to exist if the estimated future undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying value. Future cash flow projections include assumptions for future sales levels, the impact of cost reduction programs, and the level of working capital needed to support each business. The Company uses data developed by business segment management as well as macroeconomic data in making these calculations. The amount of any impairment then recognized would be calculated as the difference between estimated fair value and the carrying value of the asset. The Company did not have any impairment for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The Company recognized fixed asset impairments of \$4.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, of which \$2.4 million was recognized as part of restructuring costs. See Note K – “Restructuring and Other Charges.”

Accrued Warranties. The Company records accruals for potential warranty claims based on its claim experience. The Company's products are typically sold with a standard warranty covering defects that arise during a fixed period. Each business provides a warranty specific to the products it offers. The specific warranty offered by a business is a function of customer expectations and competitive forces. Length of warranty is generally a fixed period of time, a fixed number of operating hours, or both.

A liability for estimated warranty claims is accrued at the time of sale. The non-current portion of the warranty accrual is included in Retirement plans and other in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The liability is established using historical warranty claim experience for each product sold. Historical claim experience may be adjusted for known design improvements or for the impact of unusual product quality issues. Warranty reserves are reviewed quarterly to ensure critical assumptions are updated for known events that may affect the potential warranty liability.

The following table summarizes the changes in the consolidated product warranty liability (in millions):

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	
Balance at beginning of period	\$	126.2
Accruals for warranties issued during the period		21.7
Changes in estimates		(0.8)
Settlements during the period		(25.2)
Foreign exchange effect/other		(4.7)
Balance at end of period	\$	117.2

NOTE B – BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION

Terex is a diversified global equipment manufacturer of a variety of machinery products. The Company is focused on delivering reliable, customer-driven solutions for a wide range of commercial applications, including the construction, infrastructure, quarrying, mining, shipping, transportation, refining, energy and utility industries. The Company operates in four reportable segments: (i) AWP; (ii) Construction; (iii) Cranes; and (iv) Materials Processing (“MP”).

The AWP segment designs, manufactures, refurbishes and markets aerial work platform equipment, telehandlers, light towers and utility equipment. Customers use the Company's products to construct and maintain industrial, commercial and residential buildings and facilities, construct and maintain utility and telecommunication lines, trim trees and for other commercial operations, as well as in a wide range of infrastructure projects. Additionally, the Company owns

much of the North American distribution channel for its utility products group and operates a fleet of rental utility products in the United States and Canada.

The Construction segment designs, manufactures and markets heavy and compact construction equipment, as well as roadbuilding equipment, including asphalt and concrete equipment, landfill compactors and bridge inspection equipment. Construction, forestry, rental, mining, industrial and government customers use these products in construction and infrastructure projects to build roads and bridges and in coal, minerals, sand and gravel operations.

Corporate and Other /
Eliminations

Discontinued operations	145.6	937.7
Total	\$ 5,996.1	\$ 5,713.8

NOTE C – INCOME TAXES

The effective tax rate on continuing operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was 32.3%, as compared to an effective tax rate of 28.1% for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The principal drivers of the higher tax benefit were lower expenses for uncertain tax positions and the jurisdictional mix of income and losses of Terex entities worldwide.

Edgar Filing: TEREX CORP - Form 10-Q

	Aerial Work Platforms	Construction	Cranes	Materials Processing	Total
Balance at December 31, 2009, gross	\$ 150.7	\$ 438.8	\$ 224.1	\$ 202.3	\$ 1,015.9
Accumulated impairment	(42.8)	(438.8)	-	(23.2)	(504.8)
Balance at December 31, 2009, net	107.9	-	224.1	179.1	511.1
Foreign exchange effect and other	(0.9)	-	(9.3)	(9.4)	(19.6)
Balance at March 31, 2010, gross	149.8	438.8	214.8	192.9	996.3
Accumulated impairment	(42.8)	(438.8)	-	(23.2)	(504.8)
Balance at March 31, 2010, net	\$ 107.0	\$ -	\$ 214.8	\$ 169.7	\$ 491.5

NOTE I – DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into two types of derivatives to hedge its interest rate exposure and foreign currency exposure: hedges of fair value exposures and hedges of cash flow exposures. Fair value exposures relate to recognized assets or liabilities and firm commitments, while cash flow exposures relate to the variability of future cash flows associated with recognized assets or liabilities or forecasted transactions. Additionally, the Company has entered into derivative contracts that are intended to partially mitigate risks associated with the shares of common stock of Bucyrus acquired in connection with the sale of the Mining business. These contracts have not been designated as hedges because they do not meet the requirements for hedge accounting.

The Company operates internationally, with manufacturing and sales facilities in various locations around the world, and uses certain financial instruments to manage its foreign currency, interest rate and fair value exposures. To qualify a derivative as a hedge at inception and throughout the hedge period, the Company formally documents the nature and relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk-management objectives, strategies for undertaking various hedge transactions and method of assessing hedge effectiveness. Additionally, for hedges of forecasted transactions, the significant characteristics and expected terms of a forecasted transaction must be specifically identified, and it must be probable that each forecasted transaction will occur. If it is deemed probable that the forecasted transaction will not occur, then the gain or loss would be recognized in current earnings. Financial instruments qualifying for hedge accounting must maintain a specified level of effectiveness between the hedging instrument and the item being hedged, both at inception and throughout the hedged period. The Company does not engage in trading or other speculative use of financial instruments.

The Company has used and may use forward contracts and options to mitigate its exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates on third party and intercompany forecasted transactions. The primary currencies to which the Company is exposed are the Euro and British Pound. The effective portion of unrealized gains and losses associated with forward contracts and the intrinsic value of option contracts are deferred as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until the underlying hedged transactions are reported in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income. The Company uses interest rate swaps to mitigate its exposure to changes in interest rates related to existing issuances of variable rate debt and to fair value changes of fixed rate debt. Primary exposure includes movements in the London Interbank Offer Rate ("LIBOR").

Changes in the fair value of derivatives designated as fair value hedges are recognized in earnings as offsets to changes in fair value of exposures being hedged. The change in fair value of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are deferred in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and are recognized in earnings as hedged transactions occur. Transactions deemed ineffective are recognized in earnings immediately.

In the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income, the Company records hedging activity related to debt instruments in interest expense and hedging activity related to foreign currency in the accounts for which the hedged items are recorded. On the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows, the Company records cash flows from hedging activities in the same manner as it records the underlying item being hedged.

In November 2007, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement that converted a fixed rate interest payment into a variable rate interest payment. At March 31, 2010, the Company had \$400.0 million notional amount of this interest rate swap agreement outstanding, which matures in 2017. The fair market value of this swap at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was a gain of \$44.4 million and \$30.2 million, respectively, which is recorded in Other assets.

The Company had entered into a prior interest rate swap agreement that converted a fixed rate interest payment into a variable rate interest payment. At December 31, 2006, the Company had \$200.0 million notional amount of this

interest rate swap agreement outstanding, which would have matured in 2014. To maintain an appropriate balance between floating and fixed rate obligations on its mix of indebtedness, the Company exited this interest rate swap agreement on January 15, 2007 and paid \$5.4 million. This loss is recorded as an adjustment to the carrying value of the hedged debt and will be amortized through the original debt maturity date of 2014.

The Company is also a party to currency exchange forward contracts that generally mature within one year to manage its exposure to changing currency exchange rates. At March 31, 2010, the Company had \$325.1 million notional amount of currency exchange forward contracts outstanding, most of which mature on or before March 31, 2010. The fair market value of these contracts at March 31, 2010 was a net gain of \$1.9 million. At March 31, 2010, \$244.5 million notional amount (\$2.1 million of fair value gains) of these swap agreements have been designated as, and are effective as, cash flow hedges of specifically identified transactions. During 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded the change in fair value for these cash flow hedges to Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), and reclassified to earnings a portion of the deferred gain or loss from Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as the hedged transactions occurred and were recognized in earnings.

Aerial Work Platforms	4
Construction	13
Cranes	4
Materials Processing	14
Corporate and Other	-
Total	35

The Company implemented restructuring activities at certain facilities in its AWP segment to better utilize manufacturing capacity. The Company is relocating telehandler production from Baraga, Michigan to its Moses Lake, Washington facility. This restructuring is expected to cost \$2.2 million, of which \$1.9 million has been incurred to date, and result in reductions of approximately 86 team members. This program is expected to be completed by the end of the second quarter of 2010.

	Employee Termination Costs	Facility Exit Costs	Asset Disposal and Other Costs	Total
Restructuring reserve at December 31, 2009	\$ 18.5	\$ 1.8	\$ 1.7	\$ 22.0
Restructuring charges	8.3	1.5	0.2	10.0
Cash expenditures	(7.4)	(1.5)	(0.4)	(9.3)
Restructuring reserve at March 31, 2010	\$ 19.4	\$ 1.8	\$ 1.5	\$ 22.7

In the aggregate, the restructuring charges described above incurred during the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 were included in COGS (\$6.4 million and \$12.3 million) and SG&A (\$6.5 million and \$7.6 million), respectively. Included in the restructuring costs are \$2.4 million of asset impairments.

The Company had no revolving credit amounts outstanding as of March 31, 2010 or December 31, 2009.

by any of the Company's subsidiaries, but under specified limited circumstances, along with the 4% Convertible Notes and the 8% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2017 ("8% Notes"), could be guaranteed by certain domestic subsidiaries of the Company in the future. The 10-7/8% Notes are redeemable by the Company beginning in June 2013 at an initial redemption price of 105.438% of principal amount.

4% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes

On June 3, 2009, the Company sold and issued \$172.5 million aggregate principal amount of 4% Convertible Notes. In certain circumstances and during certain periods, the 4% Convertible Notes will be convertible at an initial conversion rate of 61.5385 shares of Common Stock per \$1,000 principal amount of convertible notes, equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$16.25 per share of Common Stock, subject to adjustment in some events. Upon conversion, Terex will deliver cash up to the aggregate principal amount of the 4% Convertible Notes to be converted and shares of Common Stock with respect to the remainder, if any, of Terex's convertible obligation in excess of the aggregate principal amount of the 4% Convertible Notes being converted. The 4% Convertible Notes are not currently guaranteed by any of the Company's subsidiaries, but under specified limited circumstances, along with the 10-7/8% Notes and 8% Senior Subordinated Notes, could be guaranteed by certain domestic subsidiaries of the Company in the future.

The Company, as issuer of the 4% Convertible Notes, must separately account for the liability and equity components of the 4% Convertible Notes in a manner that reflects the Company's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate at the date of issuance when interest cost is recognized in subsequent periods. The Company allocated \$54.3 million of the \$172.5 million principal amount of the 4% Convertible Notes to the equity component, which represents a discount to the debt and will be amortized into interest expense using the effective interest method through June 2015. The Company recorded a related deferred tax liability of \$19.4 million on the equity component. The balance of the 4% Convertible Notes was \$123.8 million at March 31, 2010. The Company recognized interest expense of \$3.5 million on the 4% Convertible Notes for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The interest expense recognized for the 4% Convertible Notes will increase as the discount is amortized using the effective interest method, which accretes the debt balance over its term to \$172.5 million at maturity. Interest expense on the 4% Convertible Notes throughout its term includes 4% annually of cash interest on the maturity balance of \$172.5 million plus non-cash interest expense accreted to the debt balance as described. The 4% Convertible Notes are classified as long-term debt in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at March 31, 2010 based on their June 2015 maturity date.

8% Senior Subordinated Notes

On November 13, 2007, the Company sold and issued \$800 million aggregate principal amount of 8% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2017 ("8% Notes"). The 8% Notes are not currently guaranteed by any of the Company's subsidiaries, but under specified limited circumstances could be guaranteed by certain domestic subsidiaries of the Company in the future. The 8% Notes were issued under an indenture, dated as of July 20, 2007, and supplemental indenture, dated as of November 13, 2007, between the Company and HSBC Bank USA, National Association, as trustee. The 8% Notes are redeemable by the Company beginning in November 2012 at an initial redemption price of 104.000% of principal amount.

7-3/8% Senior Subordinated Notes

On November 25, 2003, the Company sold and issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 7-3/8% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2014 discounted to yield 7-1/2% ("7-3/8% Notes"). The 7-3/8% Notes are jointly and severally guaranteed by certain domestic subsidiaries of the Company (see Note P - "Consolidating Financial Statements"). The 7-3/8% Notes were issued in a private placement made in reliance upon an exemption from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"). During the second quarter of 2004, the outstanding unregistered 7-3/8% Notes were exchanged for 7-3/8% Notes registered under the Securities Act. The 7-3/8% Notes were redeemable by the Company beginning in January 2009 at an initial redemption price of 103.688% of principal amount.

Edgar Filing: TEREX CORP - Form 10-Q

Based on indicative price quotations from financial institutions, the Company estimates the fair values of its debt set forth below as of March 31, 2010, as follows (in millions):

7-3/8% Notes	\$303
8% Notes	\$780
4% Convertible Notes (net of discount)	\$192
10-7/8% Notes	\$327
Term debt under the 2006 Credit Agreement	\$266

The Company believes that the carrying value of its other borrowings approximates fair market value based on discounted future cash flows using rates currently available for debt of similar terms and remaining maturities.

International Plans – The Company maintains defined benefit plans in Germany, France, China, India and the United Kingdom for some of its subsidiaries. The plans in Germany, China, India and France are unfunded plans. For the Company’s operations in Italy and Thailand, there are mandatory termination indemnity plans providing a benefit that is payable upon termination of employment in substantially all cases of termination. The Company records this obligation based on the mandated requirements. The measure of the current obligation is not dependent on the employees’ future service and therefore is measured at current value.

	Pension Benefits	
	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2010	2009
Components of net periodic cost:		
Service cost	\$1.3	\$0.9
Interest cost	2.3	2.0
Expected return on plan assets	(1.2)	(1.0)
Recognized actuarial loss	0.4	0.2
Net periodic cost	\$2.8	\$2.1

The Company plans to contribute approximately \$8 million to its international defined benefit pension plans for the year ending December 31, 2010. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company contributed \$2.9 million to its international defined benefit pension plans.

NOTE N – LITIGATION AND CONTINGENCIES

In the Company’s lines of business, numerous suits have been filed alleging damages for accidents that have occurred during the use or operation of the Company’s products. The Company is insured for product liability, general liability, workers’ compensation, employer’s liability, property damage and other insurable risk required by law or contract with retained liability to the Company or deductibles. The Company has recorded and maintains an estimated liability in the amount of management’s estimate of the Company’s aggregate exposure for such retained liabilities and deductibles. For such retained liabilities and deductibles, the Company determines its exposure based on probable loss estimations, which requires such losses to be both probable and the amount or range of possible loss to be estimable. Management does not believe that the outcome of such matters will have a material adverse effect on the Company’s consolidated financial position.

The Company has recently been named in a number of class action lawsuits, which generally cover the period from February 2008 to February 2009. These lawsuits include three securities class action lawsuits, four ERISA class action lawsuits and one stockholder derivative lawsuit. The lawsuits allege, among other things, that certain of the Company’s SEC filings and other public statements contained false and misleading statements which resulted in damages to the Company, the plaintiffs and the members of the purported class when they purchased the Company’s securities and that there were breaches of fiduciary duties and of ERISA disclosure requirements. These actions are at the very early stages and the Company has no information other than as set forth in the complaints. The complaints all seek, among other things, unspecified compensatory damages, costs and expenses. The derivative complaint also seeks amendments to the Company’s corporate governance procedures in addition to unspecified compensatory damages from the individual defendants in favor of the Company. The Company believes that the allegations in the suits are completely without merit, and Terex, its directors and the named executives will vigorously defend against them. The Company believes that it has acted, and continues to act, in compliance with federal securities laws and ERISA law.

Credit Guarantees

Customers of the Company from time to time may fund the acquisition of the Company's equipment through third-party finance companies. In certain instances, the Company may provide a credit guarantee to the finance company, by which the Company agrees to make payments to the finance company should the customer default. The maximum liability of the Company generally is limited to the finance company's net exposure to the customer at the time of default. In the event of customer default, the Company is generally able to recover and dispose of the equipment at a minimum loss, if any, to the Company.

As of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company's maximum exposure to such credit guarantees was \$233.0 million and \$236.2 million, respectively, including total guarantees issued by Terex Demag GmbH, part of the Cranes segment, of \$146.4 million and \$151.4 million, respectively, and Genie Holdings, Inc. and its affiliates, part of the AWP segment, of \$41.3 million and \$41.7 million, respectively. The terms of these guarantees coincide with the financing arranged by the customer and generally do not exceed five years. Given the Company's position as the original equipment manufacturer and its knowledge of end markets, the Company, when called upon to fulfill a guarantee, generally has been able to liquidate the financed equipment at a minimal loss, if any, to the Company.

Given current financial and economic conditions, there can be no assurance that historical credit default experience will be indicative of future results. The Company's ability to recover losses experienced from its guarantees may be affected by economic conditions in effect at the time of loss.

Residual Value and Buyback Guarantees

The Company issues residual value guarantees under sales-type leases. A residual value guarantee involves a guarantee that a piece of equipment will have a minimum fair market value at a future date. The maximum exposure for residual value guarantees issued by the Company totaled \$25.0 million and \$26.7 million as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The Company is able to mitigate some of the risk associated with these guarantees because the maturity of the guarantees is staggered, limiting the amount of used equipment entering the marketplace at any one time.

The Company from time to time guarantees that it will buy equipment from its customers in the future at a stated price if certain conditions are met by the customer. Such guarantees are referred to as buyback guarantees. These conditions generally pertain to the functionality and state of repair of the machine. As of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company's maximum exposure pursuant to buyback guarantees was \$132.1 million and \$138.6 million, respectively, including total guarantees issued by Genie of \$128.8 million and \$133.6 million, respectively. The Company is able to mitigate some of the risk of these guarantees by staggering the timing of the buybacks and through leveraging its access to the used equipment markets provided by the Company's original equipment manufacturer status.

Given current economic conditions, there can be no assurance that our historical experience in used equipment markets will be indicative of future results. Our ability to recover losses experienced from our guarantees may be affected by economic conditions in the used equipment markets at the time of loss.

As of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company has recorded an aggregate liability within Other current liabilities and Retirement plans and other in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet of approximately \$20 million and \$21 million, respectively, for the estimated fair value of all guarantees provided.

NOTE O – STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Total non-stockholder changes in equity (comprehensive income) include all changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by, and distributions to, stockholders. The specific components include: net income, deferred gains and losses resulting from foreign currency translation, pension liability adjustments and deferred gains and losses resulting from derivative hedging transactions. Total non-stockholder changes in equity were as follows (in millions):

Three Months	
Ended March 31,	
2010	2009

Net income(loss)	\$541.6	\$(74.5))
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Pension liability adjustment	6.7	1.1)
Equity securities adjustment	19.7	-)
Translation adjustment	(108.7)	(70.1))
Derivative hedging adjustment	4.7	(5.4))
Comprehensive income (loss)	464.0	(148.9))
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(1.7)	(0.4))
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Terex Corporation	\$462.3	\$(149.3))

During the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company purchased 29% of the noncontrolling interest in one of its consolidated subsidiaries in the Construction segment. The result of the transaction was a decrease in Noncontrolling interest of \$0.7 million and a decrease in Additional paid-in capital of \$12.3 million in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of March 31, 2010.

During the first quarter of 2010, the Company granted 1.9 million shares of restricted stock to its employees with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$20.12 per share. Approximately 63% of these restricted stock awards vest ratably over a five-year period. Approximately 35% of the shares granted are based on performance targets containing a market condition. The Company used the Monte Carlo method to determine grant date fair values of \$16.17-\$19.08 per share and a derived service period of four years for the awards with a market condition. The Monte Carlo method is a statistical simulation technique used to provide the grant date fair value of an award. The following table presents the weighted-average assumptions used in the valuation:

Dividend yields	0.00%
Expected volatility	59.04%
Risk free interest rate	3.04%
Expected life (in years)	4

During the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company issued 41 thousand shares of its outstanding Common Stock to a deferred compensation plan under a Rabbi Trust.

NOTE P – CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On November 25, 2003, the Company sold and issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of the 7-3/8% Notes. As of December 31, 2008, the 7-3/8% Notes were jointly and severally guaranteed by the following wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company (the “Wholly-owned Guarantors”): Amida Industries, Inc., A.S.V., Inc., CMI Terex Corporation, Duvalpilot Equipment Outfitters, LLC, Genie Financial Services, Inc., Genie Holdings, Inc., Genie Industries, Inc., Genie International, Inc., Genie Manufacturing, Inc., GFS National, Inc., Hydra Platforms Mfg. Inc., Loegering Mfg. Inc., Powerscreen Holdings USA Inc., Powerscreen International LLC, Powerscreen North America Inc., Powerscreen USA, LLC, Powerscreen USC Inc., PPM Cranes, Inc., Schaeff Incorporated, Schaeff of North America, Inc., Spinnaker Insurance Company, Terex Advance Mixer, Inc., Terex Aerials, Inc., Terex Financial Services, Inc., Terex USA, LLC, Terex Utilities, Inc., Terex-RO Corporation and Terex-Telelect, Inc. All of the guarantees are full and unconditional. No subsidiaries of the Company except the Wholly-owned Guarantors have provided a guarantee of the 7-3/8% Notes.

The following summarized condensed consolidating financial information for the Company segregates the financial information of Terex Corporation, the Wholly-owned Guarantors and the non-guarantor subsidiaries. The results and financial position of businesses acquired are included from the dates of their respective acquisitions.

Terex Corporation consists of parent company operations and non-guarantor subsidiaries directly owned by the parent company. Subsidiaries of the parent company are reported on the equity basis. Wholly-owned Guarantors combine the operations of the Wholly-owned Guarantor subsidiaries. Subsidiaries of Wholly-owned Guarantors that are not themselves guarantors are reported on the equity basis. Non-guarantor subsidiaries combine the operations of subsidiaries which have not provided a guarantee of the obligations of Terex Corporation under the 7-3/8% Notes. Debt and goodwill allocated to subsidiaries are presented on a “push-down” accounting basis.

Edgar Filing: TEREX CORP - Form 10-Q

Benefit from income taxes	12.4	19.9	6.1	-	38.4
Loss from continuing operations	(91.3)	(39.5)	(43.2)	75.9	(98.1)
Income from discontinued operations – net of tax	16.4	12.0	(4.8)	-	23.6
Net loss	(74.9)	(27.5)	(48.0)	75.9	(74.5)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	-	-	(0.4)	-	(0.4)
Net loss attributable to Terex Corporation	\$ (74.9)	\$ (27.5)	\$ (48.4)	\$ 75.9	\$ (74.9)

discontinued operations					
Net cash used in discontinued operations	(19.3)	(2.2)	9.8	-	(11.7)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	-	-	(31.4)	-	(31.4)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	211.3	1.1	612.6	-	825.0
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	579.4	0.5	391.3	-	971.2
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 790.7	\$ 1.6	\$ 1,003.9	\$ -	\$ 1,796.2

Cash and cash equivalents,
beginning of period
Cash and cash equivalents,
end of period

\$ 1.2	\$ 3.5	\$ 339.6	\$ -	\$344.3
--------	--------	----------	------	---------

to the divestiture of these businesses, the reporting of these businesses has been included in discontinued operations for all periods presented.

Overview

In 2009, we managed the Company for cash, reduced inventory and raised capital. We made tough decisions and moved aggressively to rebalance our production output with current demand levels. We also restructured or sold certain businesses. The impact of restructuring activities is beginning to result in improved financial results, which we believe will continue going forward. See Note K –“Restructuring and Other Charges” in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a detailed description of our restructuring activities, including the reasons, timing and costs associated with such actions. In addition, we have significant liquidity because of the recently completed divestiture of our Mining business, our 2009 capital raising activities and the working capital reductions accomplished last year.

At the beginning of 2010, we began to change our focus from cash management to growth. As our manufacturing locations increase production and demand is expected to increase, we have begun to redeploy our cash in areas where we see a potential for high return or accelerated growth and market presence, such as developing markets and internal improvement initiatives. As a result of our shift to growth, we have made selective investments in inventory for businesses that are showing improved order and inquiry activity.

We have previously indicated that acquisitions could be a likely use of cash; however, it is difficult to predict the likelihood and timing of completion of any potential transactions. If acquisitions that meet our financial and strategic objectives do not arise, we expect to repay some debt this year, while maintaining sufficient flexibility to capitalize on market opportunities.

Our performance for the first quarter of 2010 was generally in line with our expectations. Net sales were relatively stable as compared to the fourth quarter of 2009, although we were down approximately 17% on a year-over-year basis. The year-over-year decline in net sales excludes the favorable impact of foreign currency exchange rate changes and removes the benefit of the Terex Port Equipment business acquisition. However, our loss from operations for the first quarter of 2010 improved approximately 40% versus the prior year period. We saw some tangible signs of an improving business environment, with moderately positive order activity in many of our early cycle product categories compared to year ago levels. The positive trends in orders in some of our segments is mostly due to channel inventory reductions over the last 18 months, and partially due to some increases in market demand. In addition, our production schedules have generally increased and we are now building our products in quantities generally consistent with end user demand.

Performance in the MP segment is improving, and we expect that business to return to profitability later in 2010. Our AWP business continues to reflect soft demand from the rental channel, although increased production schedules and a fuller factory workload should improve operating profit performance in the coming months. We sharply reduced operating losses in our Construction segment, reflecting benefits from cost savings initiatives we implemented throughout 2009 and lower restructuring charges and inventory write-downs in 2010. However, our off-highway truck business experienced a sharp decline. A drop in order rates for lower capacity cranes, particularly lower capacity all-terrain cranes, was a challenge for our Cranes segment. We also had two large cranes whose shipment dates were delayed due to supplier quality problems and are now expected to ship in the second quarter of 2010. In addition, the Terex Port Equipment business negatively impacted profitability in the first quarter of 2010. However, we expect the Cranes segment to have improved results later in 2010.

We see continued non-residential end-market softness in North America, with strength in some developing markets, which we expect will continue for the remainder of the year. Nearly 30% of our net sales are in developing markets, with Brazil, Russia, India and China accounting for approximately 13% of our total net sales. Sales of our products in both Brazil and India are up dramatically year-over-year, and with our new plant in India, and a recently announced new investment in southern Brazil for a new multi-product facility for several segments, we believe these trends will continue. We are pursuing initiatives to grow our market share. We have launched several new products at the recent Bauma international trade show in Munich and we have additional new product launches planned for the fall of 2010.

While we believe end-markets will not provide much overall sales volume benefit in 2010, current trends have increased our confidence in a more robust 2011 operating environment. We remain positive about the long-term outlook of our business and we are focused on achieving positive earnings per share performance exiting 2010. As we look to the full 2010 year, our expectation is that net sales will be less than \$5 billion and losses will be approximately \$1 per share, excluding the impact of restructuring and unusual items. What we hear and see in our marketplace is encouraging for the future. We expect 2011 through 2013 to be strong growth years for our industry and, in particular, our products.

We continue to successfully reduce input costs for many of our materials, although we remain concerned by the potential for steel prices to rise in the second half of this year. With the move of the major mining companies to reprice ore on a quarterly basis, rather than annually, steel purchasing will likely be more volatile. At this point, it is difficult to predict the impact that such pricing actions may have on our business. We are also seeing some early delivery challenges for key components. We are working with our suppliers to provide additional visibility into our

production plans in an effort to mitigate any availability issues.

After tax return on invested capital (“ROIC”) continues to be a key metric that we use to measure our operating performance. ROIC measures how effectively we utilize the capital invested in our operations. After tax ROIC is determined by dividing the sum of Net Operating Profit After Tax (“NOPAT”) (as defined below) for each of the previous four quarters by the average of the sum of Total stockholders’ equity plus Debt (as defined below) less Cash and cash equivalents for the previous five quarters. NOPAT, which is a non-GAAP measure, for each quarter is calculated by multiplying Income (loss) from continuing and discontinued operations by a figure equal to one minus the effective tax rate of the Company. We believe that earnings from discontinued operations, as well as the net assets that comprise that operations invested capital, should be included in this calculation because it captures the financial returns on our capital allocation decisions for the measured periods. The effective tax rate is equal to the (Provision for) benefit from income taxes divided by Income (loss) before income taxes for the respective quarter. Debt is calculated using the amounts for Notes payable and current portion of long-term debt plus Long-term debt, less current portion. We calculate ROIC using the last four quarters’ NOPAT as this represents the most recent twelve-month period at any given point of determination. In order for the denominator of the ROIC ratio to properly match the operational period reflected in the numerator, we include the average of five quarters’ ending balance sheet amounts so that the denominator includes the average of the opening through ending balances (on a quarterly basis) over the same time period as the numerator (four quarters of average invested capital).

We use ROIC as a key metric because we believe that it measures how effectively we invest our capital and provides a better measure to compare ourselves to peer companies to assist in assessing how we drive operational improvement. We believe that ROIC measures return on the full enterprise-wide amount of capital invested in our business, as opposed to another metric such as return on stockholders’ equity that only incorporates book equity, and is thus a more accurate and descriptive measure of our performance. We also believe that adding Debt less Cash and cash equivalents to Total stockholders’ equity provides a better comparison across similar businesses regarding total capitalization, and ROIC highlights the level of value creation as a percentage of capital invested. As the tables below show, our ROIC at March 31, 2010 was negative 10.0%, down from negative 9.6% at December 31, 2009, primarily due to the operating losses and cash flow from operations in the recent periods.

The amounts described below are reported in millions of U.S. dollars, except for the effective tax rates. Amounts are as of and for the three months ended for the periods referenced in the tables below.

	Mar ‘10	Dec ‘09	Sep ‘09	Jun ‘09	Mar ‘09
(Benefit from) provision for income taxes as adjusted	\$(40.9)	\$21.8	\$(24.5)	\$(30.8)	
Divided by: Loss before income taxes as adjusted	(119.7)	(121.6)	(126.9)	(108.5)	
Effective tax rate as adjusted	34.2 %	(17.9)%	19.3 %	28.4 %	
Loss from operations as adjusted	\$(74.5)	\$(62.6)	\$(94.5)	\$(85.7)	
Multiplied by: 1 minus Effective tax rate as adjusted	65.8 %	117.9 %	80.7 %	71.6 %	
Adjusted net operating loss after tax	\$(49.0)	\$(73.8)	\$(76.3)	\$(61.4)	
Debt (as defined above)	\$1,968.0	\$1,966.4	\$2,002.9	\$1,736.6	\$1,482.8
Less: Cash and cash equivalents as adjusted	(1,796.2)	(971.2)	(1,033.2)	(938.5)	(344.3)
Debt less Cash and cash equivalents as adjusted	\$171.8	\$995.2	\$969.7	\$798.1	\$1,138.5
	\$2,102.4	\$1,650.2	\$1,819.5	\$1,860.2	\$1,569.8

Total Terex Corporation stockholders'
equity as adjusted

Debt less Cash and cash equivalents plus

Total Terex Corporation stockholders'

equity as adjusted	\$2,274.2	\$2,645.4	\$2,789.2	\$2,658.3	\$2,708.3
--------------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

March 31, 2010 ROIC

(10.0)%

Adjusted net operating loss after tax (last 4 quarters)

\$ (260.5)

Average Debt less Cash and cash equivalents plus Total

Terex Corporation stockholders' equity as adjusted (5

quarters)

\$ 2,615.1

	Three months ended 3/31/10	Three months ended 12/31/09
Reconciliation of Loss before income taxes:		
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	\$(114.2)	\$(129.7)
Income from discontinued operations before income taxes	(5.5)	27.6
Loss on disposition of discontinued operations before income taxes	-	(19.5)
Loss before income taxes as adjusted	\$(119.7)	\$(121.6)
Reconciliation of Loss from operations:		
Loss from operations as reported	\$(66.5)	\$(89.6)
(Loss) income from operations for discontinued operations	(8.0)	27.0
Loss from operations as adjusted	\$(74.5)	\$(62.6)
Reconciliation of (Benefit from) provision for income taxes:		
Benefit from income taxes as reported	\$(36.9)	\$(14.3)
(Benefit from) provision for income taxes for discontinued operations	(4.0)	36.1
(Benefit from) provision for income taxes as adjusted	\$(40.9)	\$21.8
	As of 3/31/10	As of 12/31/09
Reconciliation of Cash and Cash Equivalents:		
Cash and Cash Equivalents as reported	\$1,796.2	\$929.5
Cash and Cash Equivalents in discontinued operations	-	41.7
Cash and Cash Equivalents as adjusted	\$1,796.2	\$971.2

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 Compared with Three Months Ended March 31, 2009

Consolidated

	Three Months Ended March 31,				% Change In Reported Amounts
	2010	2009	% of Sales	% of Sales	
			(\$ amounts in millions)		
Net sales	\$935.9	-	\$965.8	-	(3.1)%
Gross profit	\$98.5	10.5	% \$67.1	6.9	% 46.8
SG&A	\$165.0	17.6	% \$178.1	18.4	% (7.4)%
Loss from operations	\$(66.5)	(7.1)	(%) \$(111.0)	(11.5)	(%) 40.1

Net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased \$29.9 million when compared to the same period in 2009. Excluding approximately \$52 million from the favorable impact of foreign currency exchange rate changes and net sales of approximately \$78 million from the Port Equipment business, net sales decreased approximately 17%. Each of the Company's segments, with the exception of MP experienced slightly lower net sales compared to the first quarter of 2009 due to continued economic uncertainty that has caused customers to remain cautious in their

capital equipment spending.

Gross profit for the three months ended March 31, 2010 increased \$31.4 million when compared to the same period in 2009. Improved utilization in our factories affected gross profit favorably by approximately \$19 million. Lower restructuring charges and capacity variances improved gross profit by approximately \$13 million. Additionally, lower inventory charges improved gross profit by approximately \$8 million. Lower net sales, combined with other operational influences such as product mix and pricing, negatively affected gross profit by approximately \$13 million.

Selling, general and administrative (“SG&A”) costs decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2010 by \$13.1 million when compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease was primarily due to reductions in spending, cost savings associated with headcount reductions and lower restructuring costs.

Loss from operations decreased by \$44.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 when compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease was due to the items noted above, particularly improved utilization of our factories, lower restructuring charges and capacity variance and lower SG&A costs.

Aerial Work Platforms

	Three Months Ended March 31,						% Change	
	2010		2009				In	
		% of		% of		Reported	Amounts	
		Sales		Sales				
		(\$ amounts in millions)						
Net sales	\$215.7	-	\$226.3	-		(4.7	%)	
Gross profit	\$12.5	5.8	% \$6.0	2.7	%	108.3	%	
SG&A	\$32.8	15.2	% \$45.5	20.1	%	(27.9	%)	
Loss from operations	\$(20.3) (9.4	%) \$(39.5) (17.5	%)	48.6	%	

Net sales for the AWP segment for the three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased \$10.6 million when compared to the same period in 2009. Lower net sales volume of approximately \$18 million, primarily in the North American and European markets, drove the net sales decrease. Rental customers in the North American and European markets continued to age and reduce their aerial fleets, deferring the purchase of new products. Developing market demand for large booms, light towers and telehandlers has continued to steadily improve, as markets such as Brazil are building equipment fleets to support strong infrastructure growth under way. We also experienced a slight increase in utility product net sales. The decrease in net sales was partially offset by the favorable translation effect of foreign currency exchange rate changes of approximately \$10 million.

Gross profit for the three months ended March 31, 2010 increased \$6.5 million when compared to the same period in 2009. The improvement was driven by lower material costs and better manufacturing absorption of approximately \$25 million when compared to the prior year period as our factories have returned to steady production schedules. Additionally, lower restructuring and inventory write-downs in the current period improved gross profit by approximately \$8 million. The impact of the favorable translation effect from foreign currency exchange rate changes increased gross profit by approximately \$6 million. These positive effects were partially offset by lower net sales and the margins on the mix of products, which lowered gross profit by approximately \$19 million when compared to the prior year period. Transactional foreign currency losses and increased warranty costs also lowered gross profit by approximately \$12 million.

SG&A costs for the three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased \$12.7 million when compared to the same period in 2009. This decrease was primarily driven by reductions in spending and cost savings associated with headcount reductions taken in the past year.

Loss from operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased \$19.2 million when compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease was due to the items noted above, particularly the impact of increased production activities, lower material costs and the impact of prior cost reduction actions.

Construction

	Three Months Ended March 31,				% Change In Reported Amounts
	2010	2009	% of Sales	% of Sales	
			(\$ amounts in millions)		
Net sales	\$204.5	-		\$235.5	(13.2 %)
Gross profit	\$14.1	6.9	%	\$(16.4)	186.0 %
SG&A	\$36.9	18.0	%	\$51.7	(28.6 %)
Loss from operations	\$(22.8)	(11.1)	%	\$(68.1)	66.5 %

Net sales in the Construction segment for the three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased by \$31.0 million when compared to the same period in 2009. Lower machine sales volumes of approximately \$43 million were largely responsible for the decrease in net sales. Net sales in the off-highway truck business was lower by over 60% when compared with the first quarter of 2009, driven principally by the global slowdown in non-residential construction spending. Additionally, the material handler business, while showing growth in machine sales over the last two quarters, still had a decline in net sales when compared with the first quarter of 2009. Net sales in the Roadbuilding business in Latin America increased by over 55% when compared with the first quarter of 2009 and the compact construction business appears to have stabilized with an increasing order book as dealers began to reevaluate their inventory levels. Compact construction equipment, specifically the compact track loader, is also experiencing good order demand from international markets such as Australia, New Zealand and Canada. The lower sales volume was partially offset by the favorable translation effect of foreign currency exchange rate changes of approximately \$12 million.

Gross profit for the three months ended March 31, 2010 increased \$30.5 million when compared to the same period in 2009. Lower manufacturing costs of approximately \$12 million reflect the impact of headcount reductions and lower material purchases to meet the reduced level of demand in the market. Lower costs for warranty and inventory write-downs of approximately \$8 million in the current period improved gross profit. Additionally, approximately \$8 million of higher restructuring costs were incurred in the prior year period.

SG&A costs for the three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased \$14.8 million when compared to the same period in 2009. Cost reduction actions taken over the past year reduced SG&A costs by approximately \$13 million. Additionally, approximately \$5 million of higher restructuring costs were incurred in the prior year. These lower SG&A costs were partially offset by approximately \$3 million of higher bad debt charges in the current period.

Loss from operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased \$45.3 million when compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease was due to the items noted above, particularly the impact of increased production activities, lower material costs and prior cost reduction actions.

Cranes

	Three Months Ended March 31,				% Change In
	2010	2009	% of Sales	% of Sales	

							Reported Amounts		
				(\$ amounts in millions)					
Net sales	\$413.7	-		\$443.0	-		(6.6	%)	
Gross profit	\$56.0	13.5	%	\$80.2	18.1	%	(30.2	%)	
SG&A	\$59.1	14.3	%	\$50.6	11.4	%	16.8	%	
(Loss) income from operations	\$(3.1)	(0.7	%)	\$29.6	6.7	%	(110.5	%)

Net sales for the Cranes segment for the three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased by \$29.3 million when compared to the same period in 2009. Excluding the translation effect of foreign currency exchange rate changes of approximately \$26 million and acquisition related net sales during the first quarter of 2010 of approximately \$74 million, net sales decreased approximately 29% versus the prior year period. Lower capacity crane demand, including the lower end of the all-terrain product category, deteriorated in the first quarter of 2010 compared to the prior year period, as commercial construction demand remained soft. Customers continued to purchase high capacity crawler cranes during the first quarter of 2010, driven by global infrastructure and power projects. Tower crane and rough terrain crane demand remained stable, albeit at low levels.

Gross profit for the three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased by \$24.2 million when compared to the same period in 2009. Lower net sales, offset somewhat by the mix of larger cranes in the production schedule and the impact of reduced materials cost, negatively affected profitability by approximately \$17 million. Restructuring charges taken in the quarter negatively affected gross profit and totaled approximately \$6 million.

SG&A costs for the three months ended March 31, 2010 increased \$8.5 million over the same period in 2009, primarily due to the impact of the acquisition of the Port Equipment business, including restructuring charges in this business, partially offset by cost reduction actions taken over the past year.

(Loss) income from operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased \$32.7 million versus the same period in 2009. Income from operations in the first quarter of 2010 decreased primarily due to lower net sales volume, higher restructuring costs and slightly higher SG&A costs.

Materials Processing

	Three Months Ended March 31,				% Change In Reported Amounts
	2010	2009	% of Sales	% of Sales	
	(\$ amounts in millions)				
Net sales	\$108.2	-	\$82.7	-	30.8 %
Gross profit	\$15.9	14.7 %	\$(2.8)	(3.4 %)	*
SG&A	\$16.2	15.0 %	\$15.2	18.4 %	6.6 %
Loss from operations	\$(0.3)	(0.3 %)	\$(18.0)	(21.8 %)	98.3 %
	* Not meaningful as a percentage				

Net sales in the MP segment for the three months ended March 31, 2010 increased by \$25.5 million when compared to the same period in 2009. Higher net sales volume of approximately \$21 million was the primary driver of the increase in net sales. Demand for materials processing equipment has picked up, with growth coming from markets such as India and South Africa in addition to the North American marketplace.

Gross profit for the three months ended March 31, 2010 increased by \$18.7 million when compared to the same period in 2009. The increase in net sales combined with the impact of lower material costs and pricing actions, positively impacted gross profit by approximately \$8 million when compared with the prior year period. Other favorable variances in the quarter included lower inventory charges of approximately \$3 million. The non-recurrence of transactional foreign exchange losses realized in 2009 also favorably affected results by approximately \$8 million.

SG&A costs for the three months ended March 31, 2010 increased by \$1.0 million when compared to the same period in 2009. Restructuring costs in the current period of approximately \$2 million were partially offset by lower general and administrative costs due to actions taken in the past year to contain costs.

Loss from operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased \$17.7 million from the comparable period in 2009, primarily due to the improved gross profit noted above.

Corporate / Eliminations

Three Months Ended March 31,

Edgar Filing: TEREX CORP - Form 10-Q

	2010		2009		% Change In Reported Amounts
		% of Sales		% of Sales	
	(\$ amounts in millions)				
Net sales	\$(6.2)	-	\$(21.7)	-	71.4 %
Loss from operations	\$(20.0)	*	\$(15.0)	*	(33.3)%
	*	Not meaningful as a percentage			

Our consolidated results include the elimination of intercompany sales activity among segments. The loss from operations of \$20.0 million increased \$5.0 million compared to the prior year period, reflecting the impact of unallocated corporate expenses, substantially related to the divestiture of the Mining business.

Interest Expense, Net of Interest Income

During the three months ended March 31, 2010, our interest expense net of interest income was \$34.8 million, or \$12.5 million higher than in the prior year. Higher debt levels resulting from our capital markets activity executed in the second quarter of 2009 combined with acquisition-related debt incurred in the Port Equipment business purchase completed in July 2009 increased interest expense for the first quarter of 2010 compared to the prior year period.

Other (Expense) Income – Net

Other income (expense) – net for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was an expense of \$12.9 million, an increase of \$9.7 million when compared to the prior year. This was primarily due to expense associated with marking to market derivative instruments intended to partially mitigate risks associated with 5.8 million shares of common stock of Bucyrus International, Inc. acquired in connection with the Mining divestiture.

Income Taxes

During the three months ended March 31, 2010, we recognized an income tax benefit of \$36.9 million on a loss of \$114.2 million, an effective tax rate of 32.3%, as compared to an income tax benefit of \$38.4 million on a loss of \$136.5 million, an effective tax rate of 28.1%, for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The principal drivers of the higher tax benefit were lower expenses for uncertain tax positions and the jurisdictional mix of income and losses of our entities worldwide.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our main sources of funding are cash generated from operations, loans from our bank credit facilities and funds raised in capital markets. We have significant liquidity because of the recently completed divestiture of our Mining business, our 2009 capital raising activities and the working capital reductions accomplished last year. We had cash and cash equivalents of \$1.8 billion at March 31, 2010. In addition, our bank credit facilities provide us with a revolving line of credit of up to \$550 million that is available through July 14, 2012. The revolving line of credit consists of \$350 million of available domestic revolving loans and \$200 million of available multicurrency revolving loans. We had \$499.6 million available for borrowing under our revolving credit facilities at March 31, 2010. We have term debt of \$271.6 million that will mature on July 14, 2013. The credit facilities also provide for incremental loan commitments of up to \$163.5 million, which may be extended at the option of the lenders, in the form of revolving credit loans, term loans or a combination of both.

We believe that cash generated from operations, together with access to our bank credit facilities and cash on hand, provide adequate liquidity to meet our operating and debt service requirements. We have no significant debt maturities until 2013. We expect our liquidity to provide us with flexibility to put our cash to work to yield higher returns and accelerate growth as well as be opportunistic on acquisitions. If acquisitions that meet our financial and strategic objectives do not arise, we expect to repay a portion of our debt.

During 2009, many of our businesses operated at production levels below then-current demand as we focused on reducing inventory. Our production schedules have generally increased and we are now building product in quantities generally consistent with end user demand.

Our focus over the past 18 months has been cash generation, but with our manufacturing locations increasing production and demand expected to increase, we have shifted attention to redeploy our cash in areas where we see a potential for high return or accelerated growth and market presence, such as developing markets and internal improvement initiatives. As a result of our shift to growth, we have made and will continue to make selective

investments in inventory for businesses that are showing improved order and inquiry activity. The cash impact of this was largely reflected through increased accounts payable, as we began to purchase additional materials from suppliers during the quarter.

We are initiating inventory-stocking programs and end-customer financing through Terex Financial Services when we believe the investments are justified. These actions may result in increases in working capital as we position our businesses for the anticipated market recovery.

We are continuing our long-standing program to increase inventory turns by sharing, throughout our Company, many of the best practices and lean manufacturing processes that several of our business units have implemented successfully. We expect these initiatives to reduce the level of inventory needed to support our business and allow us to reduce our manufacturing lead times, thereby reducing our working capital requirements.

Our ability to generate cash from operations is subject to numerous factors, including the following:

- Many of our customers fund their purchases through third-party finance companies that extend credit based on the credit-worthiness of the customers and the expected residual value of our equipment. Changes either in the customers' credit profile or in used equipment values may affect the ability of customers to purchase equipment. Given current economic conditions and the lack of liquidity in the global credit markets, there can be no assurance that third-party finance companies will continue to extend credit to our customers as they have in the past.
- As our sales levels change, the absolute amount of working capital needed to support our business may change.
- Our suppliers extend payment terms to us based on our overall credit rating. Declines in our credit rating may influence suppliers' willingness to extend terms and in turn increase the cash requirements of our business.
- Sales of our products are subject to general economic conditions, weather, competition and the translation effect of foreign currency exchange rate changes, and other factors that in many cases are outside our direct control. For example, during periods of economic uncertainty, our customers have delayed purchasing decisions, which has had a negative impact on cash generated from operations. Due to the ongoing economic uncertainty, many of our customers have delayed or cancelled orders.

We negotiate, when possible, advance payments from our customers for products with long lead times to help fund the substantial working capital investment in these products.

To help fund our cash expenditures, we have maintained cash balances and a revolving line of credit in addition to term borrowings from our bank group as described above. Although we believe that the banks participating in our credit facility have adequate capital and resources, we can provide no assurance that each of these banks will continue to operate as a going concern in the future. If any banks in our lending group were to fail, it is possible that the borrowing capacity under our credit facility would be reduced. If the availability under our credit facility were reduced significantly, we could be required to obtain capital from alternate sources in order to finance our capital needs but there can be no assurance that such financing would be available at terms acceptable to us, or at all.

The interest rates charged under our bank credit facility are subject to adjustment based on our consolidated leverage ratio. We had no outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facility at March 31, 2010. The weighted average interest rate on the term loans under our bank credit facility was 4.04% and 4.00% at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

We manage our interest rate risk by maintaining a balance between fixed and floating rate debt, including the use of interest rate derivatives when appropriate. Over the long term, we believe this mix will produce lower interest cost than a purely fixed rate mix while reducing interest rate risk.

The revolving line of credit under our bank facility expires in July 2012 and the term debt under our bank credit facility matures in July 2013. Our 7-3/8% Senior Subordinated Notes mature in January 2014, our Convertible Notes mature in June 2015, our Senior Notes mature in June 2016 and our 8% Senior Subordinated Notes mature in November 2017.

Upon the closing of the sale of the Mining business, we received from Bucyrus cash proceeds of approximately \$1 billion and 5,809,731 shares of Bucyrus common stock. The sale of our Mining business constitutes an asset sale under various agreements governing our debt. We either must reinvest the net cash proceeds we received into our business (within 300 days of the consummation of the sale of the Mining business pursuant to our bank credit agreement or within 360 to 365 days after the consummation of the sale of the Mining business pursuant to the indentures for our outstanding notes) or use the proceeds to repay indebtedness. The priority of debt repayments is prescribed by the terms of our various debt agreements. Term loans under our bank credit facility would be repaid

first, then any remaining net cash proceeds would be used to pay our outstanding notes in the order provided in the note indentures. The shares of Bucyrus common stock we received are not considered net cash proceeds and will not be subject to reinvestment or debt repayment obligations unless and until such time as we sell those shares.

Reinvesting the net cash proceeds received from an asset sale in our business is broadly defined in our debt agreements and would include capital expenditures, increases in working capital or acquisitions. We have announced our intention to reinvest the proceeds of the sale of our Mining business to help grow and diversify Terex through capital expenditures in developing markets, working capital to stimulate growth and potentially acquire machinery and industrial products companies, for example. We are, however, subject to certain restrictions under our debt agreements in our uses of cash, including limitations in making acquisitions. We have restrictions in our debt agreements about the financial instruments we can use to invest our cash. We have invested our cash typically in a combination of highly rated, liquid money market funds and in short-term bank deposits with large, highly rated banks. Our investment objective is to preserve capital and liquidity while earning a market rate of interest.

Our ability to access the capital markets to raise funds, through the sale of equity or debt securities, is subject to various factors, some specific to us, and others related to general economic and/or financial market conditions. These include results of operations, projected operating results for future periods and debt to equity leverage. Our ability to access the capital markets is also subject to our timely filing of periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). In addition, the terms of our bank credit facility, senior notes and senior subordinated notes restrict our ability to make further borrowings and to sell substantial portions of our assets.

Our ability to access the capital markets is also limited because of the settlement of our previously disclosed SEC investigation of Terex. As a result of the settlement and final court decree, for a period of three years, or such earlier time as we are able to obtain a waiver from the SEC, (i) we are no longer qualified as a “well known seasoned issuer” (“WKSI”) as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933, and cannot take advantage of the benefits available to a WKSI, which include expedited registration and access to the capital markets, (ii) we cannot rely on the safe harbor provisions regarding forward-looking statements provided by the regulations issued under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and (iii) we cannot utilize Regulation A or D. Taken together, these rules limit our ability to access the capital markets and utilize certain provisions available generally to other U.S. public companies.

Cash Flows

Cash used in operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010 totaled \$106.7 million, compared to cash used in operations of \$97.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The change in cash used in operations was primarily driven by additional cash used for working capital in the current period.

Cash provided by investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was \$997.8 million, compared to \$17.6 million cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase in cash from investing activities is primarily due to proceeds from the sale of the Mining business in February 2010.

Cash used in financing activities was \$23.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to cash provided by financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2009 of \$40.3 million. In the prior year, approximately \$45 million of cash was borrowed under our credit facilities, while in the current year we repaid approximately \$10 million under our credit facilities. Additionally, we purchased most of the remaining interest in our Construction joint venture in India for approximately \$13 million.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Guarantees

Our customers, from time to time, fund the acquisition of our equipment through third-party finance companies. In certain instances, we may provide a credit guarantee to the finance company by which we agree to make payments to the finance company should the customer default. Our maximum liability is generally limited to the remaining payments due to the finance company at the time of default. In the event of customer default, we are generally able to recover and dispose of the equipment at a minimum loss, if any, to us.

As of March 31, 2010, our maximum exposure to such credit guarantees was \$233.0 million, including total credit guarantees issued by Terex Demag GmbH, part of our Cranes segment, and Genie Holdings, Inc. and its affiliates, part of our AWP segment, of \$146.4 million and \$41.3 million, respectively. The terms of these guarantees coincide with the financing arranged by the customer and generally do not exceed five years. Given our position as the original equipment manufacturer and our knowledge of end markets, when called upon to fulfill a guarantee, we have generally been able to liquidate the financed equipment at a minimal loss, if any.

Given current financial and economic conditions, there can be no assurance that historical credit default experience will be indicative of future results. Our ability to recover losses experienced from our guarantees may be affected by economic conditions in effect at the time of loss.

We issue, from time to time, residual value guarantees under sales-type leases. A residual value guarantee involves a guarantee that a piece of equipment will have a minimum fair market value at a future date. As described in Note N – “Litigations and Contingencies” in the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, our maximum exposure related to residual value guarantees under sales-type leases was \$25.0 million at March 31, 2010. We are able to mitigate the risk associated with these guarantees because the maturity of the guarantees is staggered, which limits the amount of used equipment entering the marketplace at any one time.

We guarantee, from time to time, that we will buy equipment from our customers in the future at a stated price if certain conditions are met by the customer. Such guarantees are referred to as buyback guarantees. These conditions generally pertain to the functionality and state of repair of the machine. As of March 31, 2010, our maximum exposure pursuant to buyback guarantees was \$132.1 million. We are able to mitigate the risk of these guarantees by staggering the timing of the buybacks and through leveraging our access to the used equipment markets provided by our original equipment manufacturer status.

We have recorded an aggregate liability within Other current liabilities and Retirement plans and other in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet of approximately \$20 million for the estimated fair value of all guarantees provided as of March 31, 2010.

Given current economic conditions, there can be no assurance that our historical experience in used equipment markets will be indicative of future results. Our ability to recover losses experienced from our guarantees may be affected by economic conditions in the used equipment markets at the time of loss.

Sale-Leaseback Transactions

Our rental business generally rents equipment to customers on a month-to-month basis with an average rental period of four to five months. To better match cash outflows in the rental business to cash inflows from customers, we finance the equipment through a series of sale-leasebacks classified as operating leases. The leaseback period is typically 60 months in duration. At March 31, 2010, the historical cost of equipment being leased back from the financing companies was approximately \$30 million and the minimum lease payments for the remainder of 2010 will be approximately \$4 million.

CONTINGENCIES AND UNCERTAINTIES

Foreign Currencies, Interest Rate and Bucyrus Stock Risk

Our products are sold in over 100 countries around the world and, accordingly, our revenues are generated in foreign currencies, while the costs associated with those revenues are only partly incurred in the same currencies. The major foreign currencies, among others, in which we do business, are the Euro and British Pound. We may, from time to time, hedge specifically identified committed and forecasted cash flows in foreign currencies using forward currency sale or purchase contracts. At March 31, 2010, we had foreign exchange contracts with a notional value of \$325.1 million.

We manage exposure to interest rates by incurring a mix of indebtedness bearing interest at both floating and fixed rates at inception and maintaining an ongoing balance between floating and fixed rates on this mix of indebtedness using interest rate swaps when necessary.

We have market risk with respect to the shares of Bucyrus common stock we received in connection with the sale of our Mining business to Bucyrus. Bucyrus stock is traded on NASDAQ and is subject to substantial price fluctuation and volatility. We have entered into a series of derivatives contracts to hedge a portion of the risk using a basket of stocks whose prices have historically been highly correlated with the stock price of Bucyrus.

See “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk” below, for a discussion of the impact that changes in foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and Bucyrus stock prices may have on our financial performance.

Certain of our obligations, including our senior subordinated notes, bear interest at a fixed interest rate. In November 2007, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement to convert \$400 million of the principal amount of our 8%

Senior Subordinated Notes due 2017 to floating rates. The floating rate is based on a spread of 2.81% over London Interbank Offer Rate ("LIBOR"). At March 31, 2010, the floating rate was 3.06%. In a prior year, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement to convert a fixed rate to a floating rate with respect to \$200 million of the principal amount of our 7-3/8% Senior Subordinated Notes. To maintain an appropriate balance between floating and fixed rate obligations on our mix of debt, we exited this interest rate swap agreement on January 15, 2007 and paid \$5.4 million. We recorded this loss as an adjustment to the carrying value of the hedged debt and are amortizing it through the debt maturity date.

Other

We are subject to a number of contingencies and uncertainties including, without limitation, product liability claims, workers' compensation liability, intellectual property litigation, self-insurance obligations, tax examinations, guarantees, class action lawsuits and the matters described in Note N – "Litigation and Contingencies" in the notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. We are insured for product liability, general liability, workers' compensation, employer's liability, property damage and other insurable risk required by law or contract with retained liability to us or deductibles. Many of the exposures are unasserted or the proceedings are at a preliminary stage, and it is not presently possible to estimate the amount or timing of any of our costs. However, we do not believe that these contingencies and uncertainties will, in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on us. When it is probable that a loss has been incurred and possible to make reasonable estimates of our liability with respect to such matters, a provision is recorded for the amount of such estimate or for the minimum amount of a range of estimates when it is not possible to estimate the amount within the range that is most likely to occur.

We generate hazardous and non-hazardous wastes in the normal course of our manufacturing operations. As a result, we are subject to a wide range of federal, state, local and foreign environmental laws and regulations. These laws and regulations govern actions that may have adverse environmental effects, such as discharges to air and water, and also require compliance with certain practices when handling and disposing of hazardous and non-hazardous wastes. These laws and regulations also impose liability for the costs of, and damages resulting from, cleaning up sites, past spills, disposals and other releases of hazardous substances, should any of such events occur. No such incidents have occurred which required us to pay material amounts to comply with such laws and regulations. Compliance with such laws and regulations has required, and will continue to require, us to make expenditures. We do not expect that these expenditures will have a material adverse effect on our business or profitability.

We have recently been named in a number of class action lawsuits, which generally cover the period from February 2008 to February 2009. These lawsuits include three securities class action lawsuits, four ERISA class action lawsuits and one stockholder derivative lawsuit. The lawsuits allege, among other things, that certain of our SEC filings and other public statements contained false and misleading statements which resulted in damages to the plaintiffs and the members of the purported class when they purchased our securities and that there were breaches of fiduciary duties and of ERISA disclosure requirements. These actions are at the very early stages and we have no information other than as set forth in the complaints. The complaints all seek, among other things, unspecified compensatory damages, costs and expenses. The derivative complaint also seeks amendments to our corporate governance procedures in addition to unspecified compensatory damages from the individual defendants in favor of Terex. We believe that the allegations in the suits are completely without merit, and Terex, its directors and the named executives will vigorously defend against them. We believe that we have acted, and continue to act, in compliance with federal securities laws and ERISA law.

The three securities class action complaints, all filed in the United States District Court, District of Connecticut, are:

- Sheet Metal Workers Local 32 Pension Fund, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Terex Corporation, Ronald M. DeFeo, Thomas J. Riordan and Phillip C. Widman, filed December 21, 2009;
- Michael Glassman, Trustee on behalf of the Kathleen & Michael Glassman Family Trust, individually and on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated v. Terex Corporation, Ronald M. DeFeo, Phillip C. Widman and Thomas J. Riordan, filed January 15, 2010; and
 - James C. Hays, individually and on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated v. Terex Corporation, Ronald M. DeFeo, Phillip C. Widman and Thomas J. Riordan, filed February 5, 2010.

The four ERISA class action complaints, all filed in the United States District Court, District of Connecticut, are:

- Kenneth M. Lipman, on behalf of himself and a class of persons similarly situated v. Terex Corporation, the Administrative Committee of the Terex Corporation and Affiliates' 401(k) Retirement Savings Plan, Ronald M. DeFeo, Phillip C. Widman, the Board of Directors of Terex Corporation and Does 1-10, filed January 5, 2010;
- Eddie Webb and Binyam Ghebreghiorgis, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Terex Corporation, Ronald M. DeFeo, G. Chris Andersen, Paula H. J. Cholmondeley, Donald DeFosset, William H. Fike, Thomas J. Hansen, Donald P. Jacobs, David A. Sachs, Oren G. Shaffer, David C. Wang, Helge H. Wehmeier, Phillip C. Widman, Administrative Committee of the Terex Corporation and Affiliates' 401(k) Retirement Savings Plan and Does 1-10, filed February 3, 2010;
- Scott Hollander, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated v. Terex Corporation, Ronald DeFeo, G. Chris Andersen, Paula Cholmondeley, Donald DeFosset, William Fike, Thomas Hansen, Donald Jacobs, David Sachs, Oren Shaffer, David Wang, Helge Wehmeier, the Administrative Committee of The Terex Corporation and Affiliates' 401 (k) Retirement Savings Plan, Phillip Widman and Does 1-20, filed February 8, 2010; and
- Mark Caswell, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated v. Terex Corporation, Ronald DeFeo, G. Chris Anderson, Paula H. J. Cholmondeley, Donald DeFosset, William H. Fike, Thomas J. Hansen, Donald P. Jacobs, David A. Sachs, Oren G. Shaffer, David C. Wang, Helge H. Wehmeier, The Administrative Committee of the Terex Corporation and Affiliates' 401(k) Retirement Savings Plan, Phillip C. Widman, and Does 1-20, filed February 23, 2010.

The stockholder derivative complaint was filed on April 12, 2010 in the United States District Court, District of Connecticut and is entitled Peter Derrer, derivatively on behalf of Terex Corporation v. Ronald M. DeFeo, Phillip C. Widman, Thomas J. Riordan, G. Chris Andersen, Donald P. Jacobs, David A. Sachs, William H. Fike, Donald DeFosset, Helge H. Wehmeier, Paula H.J. Cholmondeley, Oren G. Shaffer, Thomas J. Hansen, and David C. Wang, and Terex Corporation.

As disclosed in our prior filings, commencing on November 2, 2006, we have received subpoenas from the DOJ with respect to its criminal antitrust investigation into pricing practices in the U.S. rock crushing and screening equipment industry. In connection with this investigation, the DOJ has convened a grand jury. We have been cooperating with the DOJ in this investigation. Until the DOJ investigation is complete, we are not able to predict its outcome.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued guidance related to the transfers of financial assets, which has been codified under Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 860, "Transfers and Servicing." This guidance requires entities to provide more information about transfers of financial assets and a transferor's continuing involvement, if any, with transferred financial assets. It requires additional disclosures about the risks that a transferor continues to be exposed to because of its continuing involvement in transferred financial assets. It also eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity and will change the requirements for de-recognition of financial assets. This guidance is effective for us in our interim and annual reporting periods beginning on and after January 1, 2010. Earlier application was prohibited. Adoption of this guidance did not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance amending the consolidation guidance applicable to variable interest entities, which has been codified under ASC 810, "Consolidations." It replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary in a variable interest entity with an approach that is primarily qualitative and requires ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. It also requires additional disclosures about an enterprise's involvement in variable interest entities. This guidance is effective for us in our interim and annual reporting periods beginning on

and after January 1, 2010. Earlier application was prohibited. Adoption of this guidance did not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

In October 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2009-13, "Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements", which amended ASC 605, "Revenue Recognition." This guidance addresses how to determine whether an arrangement involving multiple deliverables contains more than one unit of accounting, and how to allocate the consideration to each unit of accounting. In an arrangement with multiple deliverables, the delivered item(s) shall be considered a separate unit of accounting if the delivered items have value to the customer on a stand-alone basis. Items have value on a stand-alone basis if they are sold separately by any vendor or the customer could resell the delivered items on a stand-alone basis and if the arrangement includes a general right of return relative to the delivered items, delivery or performance of the undelivered items is considered probable and substantially in the control of the vendor.

Arrangement consideration shall be allocated at the inception of the arrangement to all deliverables based on their relative selling price, except under certain circumstances such as items recorded at fair value and items not contingent upon the delivery of additional items or meeting other specified performance conditions. The selling price for each deliverable shall be determined using vendor specific objective evidence (“VSOE”) of selling price, if it exists, otherwise third-party evidence of selling price. If neither VSOE nor third party evidence exists for a deliverable, the vendor shall use its best estimate of the selling price for that deliverable. This guidance eliminates the use of the residual value method for determining allocation of arrangement consideration and it allows the use of an entity's best estimate to determine the selling price if VSOE and third party evidence cannot be determined. It also requires additional disclosures such as the nature of the arrangement, certain provisions within the arrangement, significant factors used to determine selling prices and the timing of revenue recognition related to the arrangement. This guidance shall be effective for fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact that adoption of this guidance will have on the determination and reporting of our financial results.

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-02, “Accounting and Reporting for Decreases in Ownership of a Subsidiary – a Scope Clarification,” which amends ASC 810, “Consolidations.” This amendment requires new disclosures, including a description of valuation techniques and inputs used to measure the fair value of any retained investment in a former subsidiary, the nature of any continuing involvement in the subsidiary or acquirer after deconsolidation and information regarding related party involvement before and after the transaction. This guidance was effective for us in our interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009. Adoption of this guidance did not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-06, “Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements,” which amends ASC 820, “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures.” This amendment requires new disclosures, including the reasons for and amounts of significant transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 fair value measurements and separate presentation of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the reconciliation of activity for Level 3 fair value measurements. It also clarified guidance related to determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities and the information to be provided for valuation techniques used to measure fair value. This guidance with respect to significant transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 was effective for the Company in its interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009. Adoption of this portion of the guidance did not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results. This guidance with respect to Level 3 fair value measurements is effective for us in our interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010. We are currently evaluating the impact that adoption of this portion of the guidance will have on the determination and reporting of our financial results.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to certain market risks that exist as part of our ongoing business operations and we use derivative financial instruments, where appropriate, to manage these risks. As a matter of policy, we do not engage in trading or speculative transactions. For further information on accounting policies related to derivative financial instruments, refer to Note I – “Derivative Financial Instruments” in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Foreign Exchange Risk

We are exposed to fluctuations in foreign currency cash flows related to third party purchases and sales, intercompany product shipments and intercompany loans. We are also exposed to fluctuations in the value of foreign currency investments in subsidiaries and cash flows related to repatriation of these investments. Additionally, we are exposed to volatility in the translation of foreign currency earnings to U.S. Dollars. Primary exposures include the U.S. Dollar

versus functional currencies of our major markets, which include the Euro and British Pound. We assess foreign currency risk based on transactional cash flows, identify naturally offsetting positions and purchase hedging instruments to partially offset anticipated exposures. At March 31, 2010, we had foreign exchange contracts with a notional value of \$325.1 million. The fair market value of these arrangements, which represents the cost to settle these contracts, was a net gain of \$1.9 million at March 31, 2010.

At March 31, 2010, we performed a sensitivity analysis on the effect that aggregate changes in the translation effect of foreign currency exchange rate changes would have on our operating (loss) income. Based on this sensitivity analysis, we have determined that a change in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to currencies outside the U.S. by 10% to amounts already incorporated in the financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2010 would not have had a significant impact on the translation effect of foreign currency exchange rate changes already included in our reported operating loss for the period.

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to interest rate volatility with regard to future issuances of fixed rate debt and existing issuances of variable rate debt. Primary exposure includes movements in the U.S. prime rate and LIBOR. We manage interest rate risk by incurring a mix of indebtedness bearing interest at both floating and fixed rates at inception and maintain an ongoing balance between floating and fixed rates on this mix of indebtedness using interest rate swaps when necessary. At March 31, 2010, approximately 42% of our debt was floating rate debt and the weighted average interest rate for all debt was approximately 6.67%.

Certain of our obligations, including our senior subordinated notes, bear interest at a fixed interest rate. In November 2007, we entered into an interest rate agreement to convert \$400 million of the principal amount of our 8% Notes to floating rates. The floating rate is based on a spread of 2.81% over LIBOR. At March 31, 2010, the floating rate was 3.06%. In a prior year, we entered into an interest rate agreement to convert a fixed rate to a floating rate with respect to \$200 million of the principal amount of our 7-3/8% Notes. To maintain an appropriate balance between floating and fixed rate obligations on our mix of debt, we exited this interest rate swap agreement on January 15, 2007 and paid \$5.4 million. We recorded this loss as an adjustment to the carrying value of the hedged debt and are amortizing it through the debt maturity date.

At March 31, 2010, we performed a sensitivity analysis for our derivatives and other financial instruments that have interest rate risk. We calculated the pretax earnings effect on our interest sensitive instruments. Based on this sensitivity analysis, we have determined that an increase of 10% in our average floating interest rates at March 31, 2010 would have increased interest expense by approximately \$700 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Bucyrus Shares Risk

Pursuant to the sale of our Mining business to Bucyrus, we acquired 5,809,731 shares of Bucyrus stock in lieu of \$300 million of the cash purchase price. This represents a per share price of Bucyrus stock of \$51.64, which represented the average of the daily volume weighted average price per share of Bucyrus stock for a period of 10 consecutive trading days prior to December 20, 2009, the date of the Mining business sale agreement. Upon closing, we entered into a stockholders agreement with Bucyrus with respect to our rights as a Bucyrus stockholder. Among other things, the stockholders agreement provides that we will not directly or indirectly sell or otherwise transfer our economic interest in the shares of Bucyrus stock we received for a period of one year. Bucyrus has agreed to provide us with registration rights, including demand registration and shelf registration rights, to facilitate our sale of the shares of Bucyrus stock after the one-year holding period.

We will have significant financial exposure to the price of Bucyrus stock until we sell the shares, as we will own more than 5% of the total outstanding number of shares of Bucyrus common stock. Bucyrus stock is traded on NASDAQ and is subject to substantial price fluctuation and volatility. Our obligation to hold these shares for a one-year period leaves us exposed to these price movements. We have analyzed a number of alternatives to hedge our financial exposure in the Bucyrus shares in compliance with our stockholders agreement. Accordingly, in 2010 we have entered into a series of derivatives contracts to mitigate a portion of the risk using a basket of stocks in companies in similar industries to Bucyrus, and may increase or decrease these hedges over time. These stocks have historically been highly correlated to the Bucyrus stock price. If the correlations remain at their historic levels, we believe these derivatives will mitigate a portion of our economic risk to Bucyrus stock. However, there can be no assurances that these correlations will continue during the term of the derivative contracts and, as a result, these derivatives may not mitigate our entire economic risk.

Commodities Risk

Principal materials and components that we use in our various manufacturing processes include steel, castings, engines, tires, hydraulics, cylinders, drive trains, electric controls and motors, and a variety of other commodities and fabricated or manufactured items. Extreme movements in the cost and availability of these materials and components may affect our financial performance. We have been able to successfully reduce input costs for many of our materials, although we remain concerned by the potential for steel prices to rise in the second half of the year. With the move of the major mining companies to reprice ore on a quarterly basis rather than annually, this will make steel purchasing more volatile. At this point, it is difficult to predict the impact that such pricing actions may have on our business. We are also seeing some early delivery challenges for key components. We are working with our suppliers to provide additional visibility into our production plans in an effort to mitigate any availability issues.

In the absence of labor strikes or other unusual circumstances, substantially all materials and components are normally available from multiple suppliers. However, certain of our businesses receive materials and components from a sole supplier although alternative suppliers of such materials are generally available. Current and potential suppliers are evaluated on a regular basis on their ability to meet our requirements and standards. We actively manage our material supply sourcing, and may employ various methods to limit risk associated with commodity cost fluctuations and availability. The inability of suppliers, especially any sole suppliers for a particular business, to deliver materials and components promptly could result in production delays and increased costs to manufacture our products. As a result of the macro-economic challenges currently affecting the economy of the U.S. and other parts of the world, our suppliers may experience serious cash flow problems and, as a result, could seek to significantly and quickly increase their prices or reduce their output. We have designed and implemented plans to mitigate the impact of these risks by using alternate suppliers, expanding our supply base to include Asian suppliers (which use steel from markets where prices are more stable), leveraging our overall purchasing volumes to obtain favorable quantities and developing a closer working relationship with key suppliers. We continue to search for acceptable alternative supply sources and less expensive supply options on a regular basis, including by improving the globalization of our supply base and using suppliers in China and India. One key Terex Business System initiative has been developing and implementing world-class capability in supply chain management, logistics and global purchasing. We are focusing on gaining efficiencies with suppliers based on our global purchasing power and resources.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”), as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required financial disclosure. In connection with the preparation of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, our management carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the CEO and CFO, as of March 31, 2010, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act. Based upon this evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2010.

(b) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during our quarter ended March 31, 2010, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

The effectiveness of any system of controls and procedures is subject to certain limitations, and, as a result, there can be no assurance that our controls and procedures will detect all errors or fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system will be attained.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are involved in certain claims and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, which are not considered material to our financial operations or cash flow. For information concerning litigation and other contingencies see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Contingencies and Uncertainties.”

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the quarterly period ended March 31, 2010 in our risk factors from those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) Not applicable

(b) Not applicable

(c) Not applicable

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

Not applicable.

Item 6. Exhibits

The exhibits set forth on the accompanying Exhibit Index have been filed as part of this Form 10-Q.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TEREX CORPORATION
(Registrant)

Date: April 30, 2010
Phillip C. Widman
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ Phillip C. Widman

Date: April 30, 2010
Mark I. Clair
Vice President, Controller and
Chief Accounting Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)

/s/ Mark I. Clair

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Terex Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Form S-1 Registration Statement of Terex Corporation, Registration No. 33-52297).
- 3.2 Certificate of Elimination with respect to the Series B Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 of Terex Corporation, Commission File No. 1-10702).
- 3.3 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation of Terex Corporation dated September 5, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 of Terex Corporation, Commission File No. 1-10702).
- 3.4 Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of Terex Corporation dated July 17, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated July 17, 2007 and filed with the Commission on July 17, 2007).
- 3.5 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Terex Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated March 4, 2008 and filed with the Commission on March 10, 2008).
- 4.1 Indenture, dated as of November 25, 2003, between Terex Corporation, the Guarantors named therein and HSBC Bank USA, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.10 of the Form S-4 Registration Statement of Terex Corporation, Registration No. 333-112097).
- 4.2 Indenture, dated July 20, 2007, between Terex Corporation and HSBC Bank USA, National Association, as Trustee, relating to senior debt securities (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Form S-3 Registration Statement of Terex Corporation, Registration No. 333-144796).
- 4.3 Indenture, dated July 20, 2007, between Terex Corporation and HSBC Bank USA, National Association, as Trustee, relating to subordinated debt securities (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Form S-3 Registration Statement of Terex Corporation, Registration No. 333-144796).
- 4.4 Supplemental Indenture, dated November 13, 2007, between Terex Corporation and HSBC Bank USA, National Association relating to 8% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2017 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated November 13, 2007 and filed with the Commission on December 14, 2007).
- 4.5 Supplemental Indenture, dated June 25, 2008, between Terex Corporation and HSBC Bank USA, National Association relating to 7-3/8% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 of the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 of Terex Corporation, Commission File No. 1-10702).
- 4.6 Supplemental Indenture, dated June 3, 2009, between Terex Corporation and HSBC Bank USA, National Association relating to 10-7/8% Senior Notes Due 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated June 3, 2009 and filed with the Commission on June 8, 2009).
- 4.7 Second Supplemental Indenture, dated June 3, 2009, between Terex Corporation and HSBC Bank USA, National Association relating to 4% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated June 3, 2009 and filed with the

Commission on June 8, 2009).

- 10.1 1994 Terex Corporation Long Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994 of Terex Corporation, Commission File No. 1-10702).
- 10.2 Terex Corporation Amended and Restated Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 of Terex Corporation, Commission File No. 1-10702).
- 10.3 1996 Terex Corporation Long Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form S-8 Registration Statement of Terex Corporation, Registration No. 333-03983).
- 10.4 Amendment No. 1 to 1996 Terex Corporation Long Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 of Terex Corporation, Commission File No. 1-10702).
- 10.5 Amendment No. 2 to 1996 Terex Corporation Long Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 of Terex Corporation, Commission File No. 1-10702).
- 10.6 Terex Corporation 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2000 of Terex Corporation, Commission File No. 1-10702).

- 10.7 Terex Corporation Amended and Restated 2000 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated October 14, 2008 and filed with the Commission on October 17, 2008).
- 10.8 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement under the Terex Corporation 2000 Incentive Plan between Terex Corporation and participants of the 2000 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated January 1, 2005 and filed with the Commission on January 5, 2005).
- 10.9 Form of Option Agreement under the Terex Corporation 2000 Incentive Plan between Terex Corporation and participants of the 2000 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated January 1, 2005 and filed with the Commission on January 5, 2005).
- 10.10 Terex Corporation Amended and Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 of Terex Corporation, Commission File No. 1-10702).
- 10.11 Terex Corporation Amended and Restated 2004 Annual Incentive Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated October 14, 2008 and filed with the Commission on October 17, 2008).
- 10.12 Summary of material terms of CEO and non-CEO 2009 performance targets (incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated February 26, 2009 and filed with the Commission on March 3, 2009).
- 10.13 Summary of material terms of non-CEO 2010 performance targets (incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated March 3, 2010 and filed with the Commission on March 9, 2010).
- 10.14 Summary of material terms of CEO 2010 performance targets (incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated March 18, 2010 and filed with the Commission on March 22, 2010).
- 10.15 Terex Corporation Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004 of Terex Corporation, Commission File No. 1-10702).
- 10.16 Amendment to the Terex Corporation Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated October 14, 2008 and filed with the Commission on October 17, 2008).
- 10.17 Terex Corporation 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated October 14, 2008 and filed with the Commission on October 17, 2008).
- 10.18 Amendment to the Terex Corporation 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated December 12, 2008 and filed with the Commission on December 16, 2008).

- 10.19 Summary of material terms of Terex Corporation Outside Directors' Compensation Program (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated December 13, 2006 and filed with the Commission on December 19, 2006).
- 10.20 Terex Corporation 2009 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A of the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Terex Corporation held on May 14, 2009, Commission File No. 1-10702).
- 10.21 Credit Agreement dated as of July 14, 2006, among Terex Corporation, certain of its subsidiaries, the Lenders named therein and Credit Suisse, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated July 14, 2006 and filed with the Commission on July 17, 2006).
- 10.22 Amendment No. 1, dated January 11, 2008, to the Credit Agreement dated as of July 14, 2006, among Terex Corporation, certain of its subsidiaries, the Lenders named therein and Credit Suisse, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated January 11, 2008 and filed with the Commission on January 11, 2008).
- 10.23 Amendment No. 2, dated February 24, 2009, to the Credit Agreement dated as of July 14, 2006, among Terex Corporation, certain of its subsidiaries, the Lenders named therein and Credit Suisse, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated February 24, 2009 and filed with the Commission on February 25, 2009)

- 10.24 Amendment No. 3, dated May 27, 2009, to the Credit Agreement dated as of July 14, 2006, among Terex Corporation, certain of its subsidiaries, the Lenders named therein and Credit Suisse, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated May 29, 2009 and filed with the Commission on June 3, 2009).
- 10.25 Amendment No. 4, dated January 14, 2010, to the Credit Agreement dated as of July 14, 2006, among Terex Corporation, certain of its subsidiaries, the Lenders named therein and Credit Suisse, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated January 15, 2010 and filed with the Commission on January 19, 2010).
- 10.26 Amended and Restated Guarantee and Collateral Agreement dated as of July 14, 2009 among Terex Corporation, certain of its subsidiaries and Credit Suisse, as Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 of the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 of Terex Corporation, Commission File No. 1-10702).
- 10.27 Incremental Term Loan Assumption Agreement dated as of July 22, 2009, among Terex Corporation, J.P. Morgan Chase International Financing Limited and Credit Suisse, as Administrative Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated July 22, 2009 and filed with the Commission on July 27, 2009).
- 10.28 Incremental Term Loan Assumption Agreement dated as of July 23, 2009, among Terex Corporation, the Lenders named therein and Credit Suisse, as Administrative Agent incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated July 22, 2009 and filed with the Commission on July 27, 2009).
- 10.29 Underwriting Agreement, dated May 29, 2009, among Terex Corporation and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and UBS Securities LLC, as representatives for the several underwriters named therein, relating to 10-7/8% Senior Notes Due 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated May 29, 2009 and filed with the Commission on June 3, 2009).
- 10.30 Underwriting Agreement, dated May 29, 2009, among Terex Corporation and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and UBS Securities LLC, as representatives for the several underwriters named therein, relating to the offering of 11,000,000 shares of Common Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.2 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated May 29, 2009 and filed with the Commission on June 3, 2009).
- 10.31 Underwriting Agreement, dated May 29, 2009, among Terex Corporation and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and UBS Securities LLC, as representatives for the several underwriters named therein, relating to 4% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.3 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated May 29, 2009 and filed with the Commission on June 3, 2009).
- 10.32 Asset and Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of December 20, 2009, between Terex Corporation and Bucyrus International, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated January 15, 2010 and filed with the Commission on January 19, 2010).

10.33

Edgar Filing: TEREX CORP - Form 10-Q

Equity Agreement dated as of January 15, 2010, between Terex Corporation and Bucyrus International, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated January 15, 2010 and filed with the Commission on January 19, 2010).

10.34 Stockholders Agreement dated as of February 19, 2010, between Terex Corporation and Bucyrus International, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated February 19, 2010 and filed with the Commission on February 25, 2010)

10.35 Amended and Restated Employment and Compensation Agreement, dated October 14, 2008, between Terex Corporation and Ronald M. DeFeo (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated October 14, 2008 and filed with the Commission on October 17, 2008).

10.36 Life Insurance Agreement, dated as of October 13, 2006, between Terex Corporation and Ronald M. DeFeo (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated October 13, 2006 and filed with the Commission on October 16, 2006).

10.37 Form of Change in Control and Severance Agreement between Terex Corporation and certain executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated March 4, 2008 and filed with the Commission on March 10, 2008).

10.38 Form of Change in Control and Severance Agreement between Terex Corporation and certain executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated March 4, 2008 and filed with the Commission on March 10, 2008).

10.39 Employment Letter dated as of November 8, 2006 between Terex Corporation and Thomas J. Riordan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 8-K Current Report, Commission File No. 1-10702, dated November 13, 2006 and filed with the Commission on November 13, 2006).

12 Calculation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges. *

31.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a). *

31.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a). *

32 Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes –Oxley Act of 2002. *

101.INS XBRL Instance Document. **

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. **

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document. **

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document. **

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document. **

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document. **

* Exhibit filed with this document.

** Exhibit furnished with this document.

