

ARDEN REALTY INC
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2005

Table of Contents

Securities and Exchange Commission
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13
OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarter ended June 30, 2005
Commission file number 1-12193
ARDEN REALTY, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

95-4578533
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

11601 Wilshire Boulevard,
4th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025-1740

(Address and zip code of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (310) 966-2600

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

As of August 5, 2005, there were 67,017,441 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$.01 par value, issued and outstanding.

ARDEN REALTY, INC.
FORM 10-Q
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE NO. |
|--|----------|
| <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2005 (unaudited) and December 31, 2004</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (unaudited)</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (unaudited)</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 12 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 30 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 31 |

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 32 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 32 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 32 |
| <u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 32 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 32 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 33 |

SIGNATURES

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| <u>Exhibit 31.1</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 31.2</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 32.1</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 32.2</u> | |

Table of Contents**Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

Arden Realty, Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(in thousands, except per share data)

| | June 30, 2005 (unaudited) | December 31, 2004 |
|---|--|------------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Investment in real estate: | | |
| Land | \$ 490,218 | \$ 432,291 |
| Buildings and improvements | 2,384,612 | 2,097,058 |
| Tenant improvements and leasing commissions | 380,154 | 347,640 |
| | 3,254,984 | 2,876,989 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization | (514,885) | (468,716) |
| | 2,740,099 | 2,408,273 |
| Properties under renovation | | 16,295 |
| Land available for development | 23,795 | 23,795 |
| Properties held for disposition, net | 85,434 | 103,618 |
| Net investment in real estate | 2,849,328 | 2,551,981 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 12,934 | 13,040 |
| Restricted cash | 13,449 | 14,788 |
| Rent and other receivables, net of allowance of \$4,211 and \$3,748 at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively | 7,657 | 5,953 |
| Deferred rent, net of allowance of \$1,866 and \$1,933 at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively | 40,626 | 42,886 |
| Prepaid financing costs, expenses and other assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$10,827 and \$13,244 at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively | 17,187 | 31,349 |
| Total assets | \$2,941,181 | \$2,659,997 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Mortgage loans payable | \$ 424,910 | \$ 371,548 |
| Mortgage loans payable - properties held for disposition | 3,845 | 11,091 |
| Unsecured lines of credit | 296,000 | 121,500 |
| Unsecured term loan | 125,000 | 125,000 |
| Unsecured senior notes, net of discount | 794,161 | 696,945 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 53,666 | 58,215 |
| Security deposits | 26,209 | 25,498 |
| Dividends payable | 33,844 | 33,494 |
| Total liabilities | 1,757,635 | 1,443,291 |

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Minority interest | 20,946 | 20,414 |
| Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized, none issued | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 67,017,441 and 66,325,709 issued and outstanding at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively | 670 | 664 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,180,112 | 1,212,508 |
| Deferred compensation | (11,169) | (12,830) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (7,013) | (4,050) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,162,600 | 1,196,292 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$2,941,181 | \$2,659,997 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Arden Realty, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Income
(in thousands, except per share data)
(unaudited)

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Property revenues | \$ 109,728 | \$ 96,296 | \$ 212,989 | \$ 191,718 |
| Property operating expenses | 36,945 | 31,152 | 71,011 | 62,140 |
| | 72,783 | 65,144 | 141,978 | 129,578 |
| General and administrative expense | 7,560 | 4,665 | 15,805 | 9,149 |
| Interest expense | 24,913 | 20,931 | 47,839 | 43,989 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 35,880 | 28,617 | 66,893 | 56,043 |
| Interest and other loss (income) | 893 | 435 | 1,144 | (330) |
| Impairment on investment in securities | | 2,700 | | 2,700 |
| Minority interest | 89 | 1,245 | 260 | 2,556 |
| Income from continuing operations | 3,448 | 6,551 | 10,037 | 15,471 |
| Discontinued operations, net of minority interest | 2,682 | 2,176 | 3,634 | 5,688 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued properties | 4,319 | 400 | 6,376 | 6,829 |
| Loss from debt defeasance related to sale of discontinued property | | | (557) | |
| Net income | \$ 10,449 | \$ 9,127 | \$ 19,490 | \$ 27,988 |
| Basic net income per common share: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.24 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.19 |
| Net income per common share basic | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.43 |
| Weighted average number of common shares basic | 66,587 | 65,464 | 66,423 | 65,139 |
| Diluted net income per common share: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.24 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.19 |
| Net income per common share diluted | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.43 |

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| Weighted average number of common shares diluted | 66,923 | 65,759 | 66,754 | 65,496 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.505 | \$ 0.505 | \$ 1.01 | \$ 1.01 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

4

Table of Contents

Arden Realty, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(in thousands)
(unaudited)

| | For the Six Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Operating Activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 19,490 | \$ 27,988 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Minority interest, including discontinued operations | 504 | 2,877 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued properties | (6,376) | (6,829) |
| Impairment on investment in securities | | 2,700 |
| Depreciation and amortization, including discontinued operations | 68,138 | 62,319 |
| Amortization of loan costs | 1,718 | 2,083 |
| Non-cash compensation expense | 2,212 | 1,531 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Rent and other receivables | (1,704) | (1,834) |
| Deferred rent | (2,336) | (1,982) |
| Prepaid financing costs, expenses and other assets | 262 | (2,205) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (3,837) | (4,989) |
| Security deposits | 864 | 876 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 78,935 | 82,535 |
| Investing Activities: | | |
| Acquisitions and improvements to commercial properties | (307,132) | (47,367) |
| Proceeds from sale of properties | 24,943 | 68,284 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (282,189) | 20,917 |
| Financing Activities: | | |
| Repayments of mortgage loans | (11,123) | (178,197) |
| Proceeds from unsecured lines of credit | 323,500 | 244,000 |
| Repayments of unsecured lines of credit | (149,000) | (123,000) |
| Proceeds from issuance of unsecured senior notes, net of discount and other issuance costs | 293,216 | |
| Repayment of unsecured senior notes | (200,000) | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 14,571 | 22,391 |
| Termination of fair value hedges | (466) | |
| Distributions to preferred operating partnership unit holders | | (2,156) |
| Decrease (increase) in restricted cash | 1,339 | 6,039 |
| Distributions to minority interests | (1,714) | (1,697) |
| Dividends paid | (67,175) | (65,615) |

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 203,148 | (98,235) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (106) | 5,217 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 13,040 | 4,707 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 12,934 | \$ 9,924 |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: | | |
| Cash paid during the period for interest, net of amounts capitalized | \$ 47,095 | \$ 44,069 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Arden Realty, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements
June 30, 2005
(unaudited)

1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

Organization

The terms Arden Realty, us, we and our as used in this report refer to Arden Realty, Inc. Through our controlling interest in Arden Realty Limited Partnership, or the Operating Partnership, and our other subsidiaries, we own, manage, lease, develop, renovate and acquire commercial office properties located in Southern California. As of June 30, 2005, our portfolio was comprised of 121 primarily suburban office properties, consisting of 198 buildings with approximately 19.2 million net rentable square feet, including six properties consisting of eight buildings currently held for disposition. As of June 30, 2005, our operating portfolio was 90.8% occupied excluding the six properties currently held for disposition. Including these properties, our portfolio was 90.5% occupied.

The minority interests at June 30, 2005 consist of limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership totaling approximately 2.5%.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Arden Realty, the Operating Partnership, and our subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

We consolidate all entities for which we have controlling financial interest as measured by a majority of the voting interest. For entities in which the controlling financial interest is not clearly indicated by ownership of a majority of the voting interest, we would consolidate those entities that we control by agreement. We would also consolidate all variable interest entities for which we were the primary beneficiary.

Arden Realty and the Operating Partnership currently own 100% of all of our consolidated subsidiaries and we do not have any unconsolidated investments.

Interim Financial Data

The accompanying consolidated condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with our 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The accompanying financial information reflects all adjustments, which are, in our opinion, of a normal recurring nature and necessary for a fair presentation of our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods. Interim results of operations are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts on our consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of income have been reclassified to conform with the current year presentation for properties sold or classified as held for disposition as of June 30, 2005.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement 123 (revised), Share-Based Payment (FAS 123(R)). FAS 123(R) requires that all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, are to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. The new standard will be effective as of the beginning of the first fiscal year beginning after June 15, 2005. The adoption of this statement is not expected to have a material effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Table of Contents**2. Commercial Properties***Property Dispositions*

| Property Activity Business Center | County | Submarket | Date of Sale | Property Type | Square Feet | Gross Sales Price (\$000 s) |
|--|---------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|
| | San Diego | Miramar | January 5, 2005 | Office | 167,170 | \$ 16,650 |
| 5832 Bolsa | Orange | West County | June 29, 2005 | Office | 49,355 | 8,670 |
| | | | | | 216,525 | \$ 25,320 |

Property Acquisitions

| Property | County | Submarket | Date of Acquisition | Property Type | Square Feet | Gross Acquisition Price (\$000 s) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|
| 707 Broadway | San Diego | Downtown | January 5, 2005 | Office | 169,536 | \$ 48,000 ⁽¹⁾ |
| Arden Towers at Sorrento | San Diego | Sorrento Mesa | March 22, 2005 | Office/Retail | 608,253 | 185,000 |
| 5670 Wilshire Boulevard | Los Angeles | Miracle Mile | April 8, 2005 | Office | 409,118 | 92,650 ⁽²⁾ |
| | | | | | 1,186,907 | \$ 325,650 |

(1) Approximately \$2.0 million of the acquisition price was funded through the issuance of 54,950 common operating partnership units at an average price of \$37.27.

(2) The acquisition price for 5670

Wilshire Boulevard includes the assumption of a \$51.5 million mortgage loan payable secured by the property.

We expect to finalize our purchase price allocations to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed after our valuation procedures are completed, but no later than one year from the date of purchase. Current estimates of the values of the leases acquired are included in the deferred rent line item of our consolidated balance sheets.

3. Discontinued Operations and Properties Held for Disposition

Since the beginning of 2004, we have sold a total of fourteen properties and have classified as held for disposition an additional six properties totaling approximately 800,000 square feet as of June 30, 2005. The results of operations classified as discontinued operations for these properties for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Revenues from rental operations | \$ 4,604 | \$ 9,103 | \$ 8,470 | \$ 19,902 |
| Property expenses | (1,644) | (3,240) | (3,158) | (7,109) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (11) | (3,370) | (1,245) | (6,276) |
| Interest expense | (87) | (253) | (189) | (508) |
| Minority interest | (180) | (64) | (244) | (321) |
| Discontinued operations, net of minority interest. | \$ 2,682 | \$ 2,176 | \$ 3,634 | \$ 5,688 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued properties | \$ 4,319 | \$ 400 | \$ 6,376 | \$ 6,829 |
| Loss from debt defeasance related to sale of discontinued property | \$ | \$ | \$ (557) | \$ |

Table of Contents**4. Outstanding Indebtedness**

A summary of our outstanding indebtedness as of June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 is as follows:

| Type of Debt | June 30, 2005 | December 31, 2004 (in thousands) | Annual Interest Rate at June 30, 2005 | Rate Fixed/Floating | Number of Properties Securing Loan | Maturity |
|--|------------------|--|---|---------------------------|--|----------|
| Mortgage Loans Payable: | | | | | | |
| Mortgage Financing III ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 131,315 | \$ 132,323 | 6.74% | Fixed | 21 | 4/08 |
| Mortgage Financing IV ⁽¹⁾ | 107,392 | 108,194 | 6.61% | Fixed | 12 | 4/08 |
| Mortgage Financing V ⁽¹⁾ | 102,221 | 103,504 | 6.94% | Fixed | 12 | 4/09 |
| Mortgage Financing VI ⁽¹⁾ | 21,208 | 21,325 | 7.54% | Fixed | 3 | 4/09 |
| 5670 Wilshire ^{(1), (2)} | 56,752 | | 5.02% | Fixed | 1 | 9/28 |
| Activity Business Center ^{(1), (3)} | | 7,222 | | Fixed | 1 | |
| 145 South Fairfax ⁽¹⁾ | 3,845 | 3,869 | 8.93% | Fixed | 1 | 1/27 |
| Marin Corporate Center ⁽¹⁾ | 2,511 | 2,585 | 9.00% | Fixed | 1 | 7/15 |
| Conejo Business Center ⁽¹⁾ | 2,458 | 2,531 | 8.75% | Fixed | (Note 4) | 7/15 |
| Conejo Business Center ⁽¹⁾ | 1,053 | 1,086 | 7.88% | Fixed | (Note 4) | 7/15 |
| | 428,755 | 382,639 | | | | |
| Unsecured Lines of Credit: | | | | | | |
| Wells Fargo \$310 mm ⁽⁵⁾ | 286,000 | 111,500 | 4.22% | LIBOR + 0.85% (Notes 6,7) | | 4/09 |
| City National Bank \$20 mm ^{(5), (8)} | 10,000 | 10,000 | 4.09% | LIBOR + 0.85% | | 8/07 |
| | 296,000 | 121,500 | | | | |
| Unsecured Term Loan: | | | | | | |
| Wells Fargo \$125 mm ⁽⁵⁾ | 125,000 | 125,000 | 4.55% | Fixed (Note 9) | | 2/12 |

**Unsecured Senior
Notes:**

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------|-------------|-------|
| 2005 Notes ^{(10), (11)} | | 199,974 | 8.88% | Fixed | |
| | | | | Fixed (Note | |
| 2007 Notes ⁽¹⁰⁾ | 149,172 | 149,395 | 7.00% | 12) | 11/07 |
| 2010 Notes ⁽¹⁰⁾ | 49,806 | 49,785 | 9.15% | Fixed | 3/10 |
| 2010 Notes ⁽¹⁰⁾ | 99,554 | 99,513 | 8.50% | Fixed | 11/10 |
| 2011 Notes ⁽¹⁰⁾ | 198,407 | 198,278 | 5.20% | Fixed | 9/11 |
| 2015 Notes ⁽¹³⁾ | 297,222 | | 5.25% | Fixed | 3/15 |
| | 794,161 | 696,945 | | | |
| Total Debt | \$1,643,916 | 1,326,084 | | | |

- (1) Requires monthly payments of principal and interest.
- (2) In April 2005, we assumed this mortgage loan in conjunction with the acquisition of 5670 Wilshire. The stated interest rate of this loan is 8.50% and the balance is approximately \$51.4 million at June 30, 2005. This loan was recorded at its fair market value at the date of acquisition and can be prepaid without penalty beginning in September 2008.
- (3) This loan was repaid in full on January 3, 2005.
- (4) Both mortgage loans are secured

by the Conejo
Business Center
property.

- (5) Requires monthly payments of interest only, with outstanding principal balance due upon maturity.
- (6) This line of credit also has an annual 20 basis point facility fee on the entire \$310 million commitment amount. In July 2005, we renewed this line of credit, extending the maturity to April of 2009 and reducing the interest rate from LIBOR + 0.90% to LIBOR + 0.85%.
- (7) We have entered into interest rate swap agreements that fixed the interest rate on \$50 million of the outstanding balance on this line of credit at 3.95% through April 2006.
- (8) In July 2005, we renewed this line of credit extending the maturity to August 2007 and

reducing the interest rate from LIBOR + 0.90% to LIBOR + 0.85%.

- (9) In February 2005, we extended the maturity of this loan to February 2012. This loan bears interest at LIBOR + 1.25%, however we have entered into interest rate swap agreements that fixed the interest rate on this loan at 4.55% in 2005 and 4.70% from January through June 2006, 5.29% from June 2006 through May 2007, 5.55% from June 2007 through November 2008, 5.76% from December 2008 through May 2010 and 5.99% from June 2010 through February 2012.

- (10) Requires semi-annual interest payments only, with principal balance due upon maturity.

- (11)

These senior unsecured notes were redeemed on March 1, 2005.

(12) In June 2005, we terminated \$100 million of fixed-to-floating swap agreements related to these notes for a total payment of \$466,000.

(13) In February 2005, we issued \$300 million of unsecured senior notes at an interest rate of 5.25%.

Table of Contents**5. Interest Rate Hedge Agreements**

We have periodically entered into interest rate hedge agreements to effectively convert floating rate debt into fixed rate debt or to remove the variability associated with forecasted issuances of fixed rate debt. Net amounts received or paid under these agreements are recognized as an adjustment to interest expense when such amounts are incurred or earned. Our objective in using interest rate hedge agreements is to manage our exposure to interest rate movements.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 133), as amended and interpreted, establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments and for hedging activities. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting hedge accounting designation. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges.

For derivatives designated as fair value hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivative and the hedged item related to the hedged risk are recognized in earnings. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in other comprehensive income (loss), outside of earnings and subsequently reclassified to earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings.

We have used such agreements to fix the floating interest rate associated with \$50 million of the Wells Fargo unsecured line of credit and the entire \$125 million balance of the unsecured term loan. In conjunction with the extension of our \$125 million unsecured term loan in February 2005, we also entered into a series of interest rate swap agreements to fix the interest rate through the extension period. Under these interest rate swap agreements, the interest rate on this loan is effectively fixed at 5.29% from June 2006 through May 2007, 5.55% from June 2007 through November 2008, 5.76% from December 2008 through May 2010 and 5.99% from June 2010 through February 2012. The fair value of the interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges of the interest payments on the unsecured term loan and unsecured credit facility of \$0.8 million at June 30, 2005 has been deferred in accumulated other comprehensive loss on our balance sheet. The estimated fair value of these interest rate hedge agreements is dependent on changes in market interest rates and other market factors that affect the value of such agreements. Consequently, the estimated current fair value may significantly change during the term of the agreements. Changes in the fair value of these instruments will be deferred in other comprehensive loss and will be subsequently reclassified into interest expense as the hedged interest payments occur. If these derivatives were terminated before their maturity and the hedged debt instruments remained outstanding, amounts deferred in other comprehensive loss related to the terminated derivatives would be reclassified out of other comprehensive loss into interest expense as the hedged interest payments occur. If the underlying debt related to these hedges were to be repaid prior to maturity, any amounts deferred in other comprehensive loss related to these derivatives would be immediately reclassified into current earnings.

In February 2005, we settled \$300 million of forward-starting swaps that we entered into in 2004 that were hedging the variability associated with a forecasted \$300 million issuance of unsecured senior notes. Associated with the settlement of these forward-starting swaps, we paid approximately \$3.9 million to the swap counterparties. This amount had been deferred in other comprehensive loss and will be reclassified into interest expense as the hedged interest payments on the debt occur.

In May and June 2005, we entered into \$143 million of forward-starting swaps that effectively fixed the 10-year Treasury rate at an average rate of approximately 4.32% for borrowings that are expected to occur in 2007 to primarily refinance some of our scheduled debt maturities. The forward-starting swaps were entered into at current market rates and, therefore, had no initial cost.

In June 2005, we settled \$100 million of fair value hedges that we entered into in 2003 that effectively converted \$100 million of 7.00% senior unsecured notes due in November 2007 to a floating rate. From October 2003 to June 2005, we received a total of \$2.1 million from our swap counterparties. In conjunction with the settlement of these fair value swaps, we paid approximately \$0.5 million to the swap counterparties. This amount also represents the fair value adjustment to the hedged debt, which is still outstanding. Therefore, the \$0.5 million fair value adjustment will be amortized into interest expense through November of 2007.

Table of Contents**6. Stockholders Equity and Minority Interests**

A common Operating Partnership unit, or common OP Unit, and a share of our common stock have essentially the same economic characteristics as they share equally in the total net income or loss and distributions of the Operating Partnership. A common OP Unit may be redeemed for cash or, at the election of the Operating Partnership, for shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, we redeemed an aggregate of 16,144 and 21,144 common OP Units, respectively, of the Operating Partnership for shares of our common stock.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, we issued a total of 233,500 and 571,734 respectively, common shares relating to exercises of stock options.

During the six months ended June 30, 2005, our Operating Partnership issued a total of 54,950 common OP Units for a total value of approximately \$2.0 million in conjunction with the acquisition of 707 Broadway (see footnote 2).

On April 15, 2005, we filed a Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission outlining recent material agreements entered into by us. Included in this report was information regarding restricted shares granted to our directors and senior executives, directors fees and retainers and approved salaries for certain executives for 2005, and the provisions of a new four-year Outperformance Plan, approved by our Board of Directors in April 2005, through which certain executives can receive equity or cash awards if returns generated for shareholders are in excess of threshold amounts. We hired an independent consultant to appraise the value of this plan. Based on this appraiser's report, the present value of the plan is estimated at approximately \$3.4 million which will be expensed on a straight line basis over the term of the plan. This Outperformance Plan is being accounted for as a market condition stock performance plan under SFAS 123.

On June 10, 2005, we declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.505 per share to stockholders of record on June 30, 2005. This dividend was paid on July 20, 2005. We declared quarterly dividends of \$0.505 per share for each of the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004.

7. Income (Loss) from Taxable REIT Subsidiary

Included in interest and other loss are the operating results of our taxable REIT subsidiary, or TRS. Under the name of Next>edge, the TRS provides energy consulting services to commercial real estate owners. The following is a breakdown of the components of interest and other income (loss) for each of the periods presented (in thousands):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------------------------------|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net (loss) income from TRS | \$ (539) | \$ (517) | \$ (1,029) | \$ 121 |
| Interest and other (loss) income | (354) | 82 | (115) | 209 |
| | \$ (893) | \$ (435) | \$ (1,144) | \$ 330 |

8. Stock Option Plan

Beginning on January 1, 2003, we adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure under which we began expensing the costs of new stock options granted to employees in 2003 in accordance with SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. We used the Black-Scholes option valuation model to estimate the fair value of the stock options granted. During the three months ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004, we expensed approximately \$10,000 of stock option based employee compensation costs.

The following table reflects pro forma net income and earnings per share had we elected to expense all options granted prior to 2003 assuming the fair value method and using the Black-Scholes option valuation model (in thousands, except per share amounts):

For the Six Months Ended

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | June 30, | |
|--|--|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net income available to common stockholders, as reported | \$10,449 | \$9,127 | \$19,490 | \$27,988 |
| Stock based employee compensation costs for options granted prior to 2003 assuming fair value method | | (77) | (11) | (154) |
| Net income available to common stockholders, as adjusted | \$10,449 | \$9,050 | \$19,479 | \$27,834 |
| Earnings per share: | | | | |
| Basic as reported | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.43 |
| Basic as adjusted | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.43 |
| Diluted as reported | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.43 |
| Diluted as adjusted | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.42 |

Table of Contents**9. Comprehensive Income**

Comprehensive income for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, consisted of net income, plus the results of changes in value of cash flow hedges not reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The components of comprehensive income are as follows (in thousands):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|---|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net income | \$ 10,449 | \$ 9,127 | \$ 19,490 | \$ 27,988 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Unrealized derivative (loss) gain on cash flow hedges | (6,482) | 10,406 | (3,455) | 1,357 |
| Reclassification adjustment for losses included in earnings | 112 | 2,045 | 492 | 3,046 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 4,079 | \$ 21,578 | \$ 16,527 | \$ 32,391 |

10. Commitments and Contingencies

We are presently subject to various lawsuits, claims and proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, none of which if determined unfavorably to us is expected to have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, financial condition or results of operations. There were no material changes in our legal proceedings during the three months ended June 30, 2005.

11. Subsequent Events

In July 2005, the Operating Partnership renewed its \$310 million unsecured line of credit led by Wells Fargo which was scheduled to mature in April 2006. The new facility matures in April 2009 with the interest rate and facility fee subject to adjustment, based on the Company's unsecured senior debt rating. Based on the Operating Partnership's current unsecured senior debt rating of BBB-/Baa3, the new facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 0.85% plus a 0.20% annual facility fee, representing a reduction of 0.05% from the previous facility. In addition, the financial covenants, including real estate asset valuation rates and coverage and liability ratios, were amended to increase the financial flexibility under this facility. The facility has a one-year extension option and can be increased to \$400 million.

In July 2005, the Operating Partnership also renewed its \$20 million unsecured line of credit with City National Bank which was scheduled to mature in August 2005. The new facility will mature in August 2007 with similar interest rates and compliance covenants to that of the Wells Fargo line of credit.

In July 2005, our Operating Partnership closed on a \$25 million unsecured term loan with a group of banks led by Wells Fargo. This loan matures in October 2005, has two three month extension options and bears interest at LIBOR + 1.05% during both the initial term and the extension periods. The proceeds from this loan were used to pay down our unsecured line of credit with Wells Fargo. This loan is expected to be repaid with proceeds from scheduled asset sales.

On August 4, 2005, we sold two office properties totaling approximately 217,000 square feet located in Kern County and an office property totaling approximately 191,000 square feet located in Los Angeles County for an aggregate of approximately \$55.6 million.

On August 5, 2005, we acquired Agoura Hills Business Park, an approximate 115,000 square foot, 90% leased office property located in Los Angeles County for approximately \$23.2 million.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Overview

The following discussion relates to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included herein, which should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes thereto included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q and in our 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended.

This Form 10-Q, including the documents incorporated herein by reference, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act pertaining to, among other things, our future results of operations, capital resources, portfolio performance, cash available for distribution, acquisitions, lease renewals, property development, property renovation, capital requirements, funds from operations, anticipated market and demographic conditions and general business, industry and economic conditions applicable to us. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as may, will, should, expect, anticipate, estimate, continue or comparable terminology.

Although we believe the expectations reflected in any forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, we can give no assurance that our expectations will be attained. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to certain risks, trends and uncertainties, many of which we cannot predict with accuracy and some of which we might not even anticipate. Future events and actual results, financial and otherwise, may differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations include the availability and cost of capital for future investments, our ability to lease or re-lease space at current or anticipated rents, changes in the supply of and demand for our properties, changes in interest rate levels, risks associated with the development, acquisition or disposition of properties, competition within the industry, real estate and market conditions, and other risks detailed from time to time in our SEC filings. The risks included here are not exhaustive, and additional factors could adversely affect our business and financial performance, including risks and factors included in other sections of this Form 10-Q. In addition, we discussed a number of material risks in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. Those risks continue to be relevant to our performance and financial condition. We also operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors, nor can it assess the impact of all such risk factors on our company's business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results.

In addition, we expressly disclaim any responsibility to update forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Accordingly, investors should use caution in relying on past forward-looking statements, which are based on results and trends at the time they were made, to anticipate future results or trends.

We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust that owns, manages, leases, develops, renovates and acquires commercial properties located in Southern California. We are managed by 6 senior executive officers who have experience in the real estate industry ranging from 14 to 35 years and who collectively have an average of 20 years experience. We perform all property and development management, accounting, finance and acquisition, disposition activities and a majority of our leasing transactions with our staff of approximately 300 employees.

As of June 30, 2005, we were Southern California's largest publicly traded office landlord as measured by total net rentable square feet owned. As of that date, our portfolio was comprised of 121 primarily suburban office properties, consisting of 198 buildings with approximately 19.2 million net rentable square feet, including six properties consisting of eight buildings currently held for disposition. As of June 30, 2005, our operating portfolio was 90.8% occupied excluding the six properties currently held for disposition. Including these properties our portfolio was 90.5% occupied.

Our primary business strategy is to actively manage our portfolio to achieve gains in rental rates and occupancy, control operating expenses and maximize income from ancillary operations and services. When market conditions permit, we may also selectively develop, renovate or acquire new properties in submarkets that add value and fit

strategically into our portfolio. We may also sell existing properties and use the net proceeds to repay outstanding indebtedness or place into investments that we believe will generate higher long-term value.

Critical Accounting Policies

Refer to our 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of our critical accounting policies. There were no material changes to these policies during the three months ended June 30, 2005.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2005, we do not have off-balance sheet transactions, arrangements or obligations (including contingent obligations) that have, or are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on our financial condition, changes in our financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Our financial position and operating results primarily relate to our portfolio of commercial properties and income derived from those properties. Therefore, the comparability of financial data from period to period will be affected by the timing of property developments, acquisitions and dispositions.

*Comparison of the three months ended June 30, 2005 to the three months ended June 30, 2004
(in thousands, except number of properties and percentages):*

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | Percent Change |
|---|--|-------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | Change | |
| Total Portfolio: | | | | |
| Revenue from rental operations: | | | | |
| Scheduled cash rents | \$ 96,079 | \$83,384 | \$12,695 | 15% |
| Straight-line rents | 973 | 468 | 505 | 108 |
| Tenant reimbursements | 4,371 | 4,341 | 30 | 1 |
| Parking, net of expense | 6,740 | 5,891 | 849 | 14 |
| Other rental operations | 1,565 | 2,212 | (647) | (29) |
| Total revenue from rental operations | 109,728 | 96,296 | 13,432 | 14 |
| Property expenses: | | | | |
| Repairs and maintenance | 12,694 | 10,496 | 2,198 | 21 |
| Utilities | 8,076 | 7,350 | 726 | 10 |
| Real estate taxes | 8,660 | 7,314 | 1,346 | 18 |
| Insurance | 1,845 | 1,788 | 57 | 3 |
| Ground rent | 334 | 207 | 127 | 61 |
| Administrative | 5,336 | 3,997 | 1,339 | 34 |
| Total property expenses | 36,945 | 31,152 | 5,793 | 19 |
| Property Operating Results ⁽¹⁾ | 72,783 | 65,144 | 7,639 | 12 |
| General and administrative | 7,560 | 4,665 | 2,895 | 62 |
| Interest expense | 24,913 | 20,931 | 3,982 | 19 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 35,880 | 28,617 | 7,263 | 25 |
| Interest and other loss | 893 | 435 | 458 | 105 |
| Impairment on investment in securities | | 2,700 | (2,700) | (100) |
| Minority interest | 89 | 1,245 | (1,156) | (93) |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 3,448 | \$ 6,551 | \$ (3,103) | (47)% |
| Discontinued operations, net of minority interest | \$ 2,682 | \$ 2,176 | \$ 506 | 23% |
| Number of Properties: | | | | |
| Disposed of during period | 1 | | | |
| Acquired during period | 1 | 1 | | |

| | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|----------|--------|
| In service at end of period | 121 | 128 | | |
| Net Rentable Square Feet: | | | | |
| Disposed of during period | (49) | | | |
| Acquired during period | 409 | 283 | | |
| In service at end of period | 19,214 | 18,785 | | |
| Same Property Portfolio⁽²⁾: | | | | |
| Revenue from rental operations | \$ 100,338 | \$ 98,654 | \$ 1,684 | 2% |
| Property expenses | 33,384 | 31,806 | 1,578 | 5% |
| | \$ 66,954 | \$ 66,848 | \$ 106 | % |
| Straight-line rents | \$ (155) | \$ 50 | \$ (205) | (410)% |
| Number of non-development properties | 115 | 115 | | |
| Number of buildings | 187 | 187 | | |
| Average occupancy | 90.5% | 89.5% | | |
| Net rentable square feet | 17,221 | 17,221 | | |

(1) Property Operating Results is commonly used by investors to evaluate the performance of REITs, to determine trends in earnings and to compute the fair value of properties as it is not affected by (1) the cost of funds of the property owner or (2) the impact of depreciation and amortization expenses as well as gains or losses from the sale of operating real estate assets that are included in net income computed in

accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, or GAAP. The first factor is commonly eliminated from net income because it is specific to the particular financing capabilities and constraints of the owner. The second factor is commonly eliminated because it may not accurately represent the actual change in value in real estate

Table of Contents

properties that results from use or changes in market conditions. We believe that eliminating these costs from net income gives investors an additional measure of operating performance that, when used as an adjunct to net income computed in accordance with GAAP, can be a useful measure of our operating results.

Property Operating Results captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. However, Property Operating Results excludes general and administrative costs, interest expense, interest income, depreciation and amortization expense and gains or losses from the sale of properties, changes in value in our real estate properties that result from use or permanent impairment to carrying costs as stipulated by GAAP, the level of capital expenditures and leasing costs necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which are significant economic costs. Therefore, Property Operating Results may fail to capture significant trends which limits its usefulness.

Property Operating Results is a non-GAAP measure of performance. Property Operating Results is not a substitute for net income as computed in accordance with GAAP. It excludes significant expense components such as depreciation and amortization expense and financing costs. This measure should be analyzed in conjunction with net income and cash flow from operating activities as computed in accordance with GAAP. Other companies may use different methods for calculating Property Operating Results or similarly entitled measures and, accordingly, our Property Operating Results may not be comparable to similarly entitled measures reported by other companies that do not define the measure exactly as we do.

The following is a reconciliation of net income computed in accordance with GAAP to Property Operating Results (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net income | \$ 10,449 | \$ 9,127 |
| Add: | | |
| General and administrative expense | 7,560 | 4,665 |
| Interest expense | 24,913 | 20,931 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 35,880 | 28,617 |
| Minority interest | 89 | 1,245 |
| Interest and other loss | 893 | 435 |
| Impairment on investment in securities | | 2,700 |
| Less: | | |
| Gain on sale of discontinued properties | 4,319 | 400 |
| Discontinued operations, net of minority interest | 2,682 | 2,176 |
| Property Operating Results | \$72,783 | \$65,144 |

- (2) Consists of non-development/renovation properties classified as part of continuing operations and owned for the entirety of the periods presented.

VARIANCES FOR RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our Property Operating Results for the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004 were primarily affected by our acquisitions and development activities since March 31, 2004.

As a result of these changes within our portfolio of properties since March 31, 2004, we do not believe the Property Operating Results presented above are comparable from period to period. Therefore, in the table above, we have also

presented the Property Operating Results for our same store portfolio.

Revenue from Rental Operations

Revenue from rental operations increased approximately \$13.4 million, or 14% for the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004. This increase was primarily due to revenues from our 6100 Center Drive development property at our Howard Hughes Center which was placed in service during the second quarter of 2004, two office properties acquired in Los Angeles County in October 2004 totaling approximately 391,000 square feet, two office properties acquired in San Diego County in January 2005 and March 2005, respectively, totaling approximately 778,000 square feet, one property acquired in Los Angeles County in April 2005 totaling approximately 409,000 square feet and from overall occupancy gains and scheduled rent increases in the remainder of our operating portfolio of properties.

Revenue from rental operations for the same store portfolio increased approximately \$1.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004, primarily due to an approximate \$2.8 million increase in scheduled cash rents, partially offset by an approximate \$0.9 million decrease in other rental operations. The increase in cash rents was primarily attributable to a 1.0% increase in the average occupancy of these properties and scheduled rent increases in our existing leases. The decrease in other rental operations was primarily attributable to lower lease termination fees in 2005.

Property Expenses

Property expenses increased approximately \$5.8 million, or 19% for the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004. This increase was primarily due to our acquisition and development activities, gains in occupancy and increases in operating expenses for the same store portfolio described below.

Property expenses for the same store portfolio increased approximately \$1.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004, primarily due to an approximate \$1.1 million increases in repairs and maintenance and an

Table of Contents

approximate \$0.5 million increase in property administrative expenses. The increase in repairs and maintenance is primarily attributable to increases in rates for contracted services, including janitorial and security guard services and the timing of certain projects. The increase in property administrative expenses is primarily due to higher employee compensation costs as a result of annual merit increases and the timing of property legal expenses.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenues, including revenues from discontinued properties, were approximately 6.6% for the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to approximately 4.4% for the same period in 2004. The approximate \$2.9 million increase in general and administrative expenses over 2004 was primarily due to a \$2.2 million increase in personnel costs and increases due to the timing of various other costs including investor relations and legal fees. Personnel costs increased due to costs associated with annual merit increases, costs related to our Deferred Compensation Plan, addition of resources within our capital market and investment activities and increases in non-cash compensation totaling approximately \$0.9 million. Our Deferred Compensation Plan costs increased due to an expansion in the number of participants and contributions made. Non-cash compensation costs increased primarily due to restricted stock grants made since the first quarter of 2004 and costs associated with a long-term Outperformance Compensation Plan approved by our Board of Directors in April 2005 through which certain executives can receive equity or cash awards if returns generated are in excess of threshold amounts.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased approximately \$4.0 million, or 19%, for the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004, primarily due to higher net borrowings during 2005 as a result of our approximate \$326 million in property acquisitions year-to-date which were partially offset by lower interest costs as a result of our refinancing activities. In March 2005, we refinanced \$200 million of 8.875% unsecured notes with 10-year unsecured notes at an all-in rate of 5.5%. We expect to reduce our current debt balances with proceeds from asset sales scheduled for the second half of 2005.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense increased by approximately \$7.3 million, or 25% for the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004, primarily due to depreciation related to a development property placed in service in the second quarter of 2004, two properties acquired in October 2004, one property acquired in January 2005, one property acquired in March 2005, one property acquired in April 2005 and depreciation related to capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing commissions placed in service subsequent to March 31, 2004.

Interest and Other Loss

Interest and other loss increased approximately \$0.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004 primarily due to a loss recorded on the sale of a leasehold interest in June 2005.

Table of Contents

*Comparison of the six months ended June 30, 2005 to the six months ended June 30, 2004
(in thousands, except number of properties and percentages):*

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Change | Percent Change |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | | |
| Total Portfolio: | | | | |
| Revenue from rental operations: | | | | |
| Scheduled cash rents | \$185,401 | \$166,059 | \$19,342 | 12% |
| Straight-line rents | 1,678 | 1,400 | 278 | 20 |
| Tenant reimbursements | 9,462 | 8,665 | 797 | 9 |
| Parking, net of expense | 12,689 | 11,356 | 1,333 | 12 |
| Other rental operations | 3,759 | 4,238 | (479) | (11) |
| Total revenue from rental operations | 212,989 | 191,718 | 21,271 | 11 |
| Property expenses: | | | | |
| Repairs and maintenance | 24,650 | 20,794 | 3,856 | 19 |
| Utilities | 15,568 | 14,214 | 1,354 | 10 |
| Real estate taxes | 16,762 | 14,761 | 2,001 | 14 |
| Insurance | 3,576 | 3,635 | (59) | (2) |
| Ground rent | 637 | 332 | 305 | 92 |
| Administrative | 9,818 | 8,404 | 1,414 | 17 |
| Total property expenses | 71,011 | 62,140 | 8,871 | 14 |
| Property Operating Results ⁽¹⁾ | 141,978 | 129,578 | 12,400 | 10 |
| General and administrative | 15,805 | 9,149 | 6,656 | 73 |
| Interest expense | 47,839 | 43,989 | 3,850 | 9 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 66,893 | 56,043 | 10,850 | 19 |
| Interest and other loss (income) | 1,144 | (330) | 1,474 | 447 |
| Impairment on investment in securities | | 2,700 | (2,700) | (100) |
| Minority interest | 260 | 2,556 | (2,296) | (90) |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 10,037 | \$ 15,471 | \$ (5,434) | (35)% |
| Discontinued operations, net of minority interest | \$ 3,634 | \$ 5,688 | \$ (2,054) | (36)% |
| Number of Properties: | | | | |
| Disposed of during period | (2) | (2) | | |
| Acquired during period | 3 | | | |
| Completed and placed in service during period | | 1 | | |
| In service at end of period | 121 | 128 | | |

Net Rentable Square Feet:

| | | |
|---|--------|--------|
| Disposed of during period | (217) | (295) |
| Acquired during period | 1,187 | |
| Completed and placed in service during period | | 283 |
| In service at end of period | 19,214 | 18,785 |

Same Property Portfolio⁽²⁾:

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|--------|
| Revenue from rental operations | \$ 199,738 | \$ 196,575 | \$ 3,163 | 2% |
| Property expenses | 66,525 | 63,558 | 2,967 | 5% |
| | \$ 133,213 | \$ 133,017 | \$ 196 | % |
| Straight-line rents | \$ (84) | \$ 400 | \$ (484) | (121)% |
| Number of non-development properties | 115 | 115 | | |
| Number of buildings | 187 | 187 | | |
| Average occupancy | 90.7% | 89.6% | | |
| Net rentable square feet | 17,221 | 17,221 | | |

(1) Property Operating Results is commonly used by investors to evaluate the performance of REITs, to determine trends in earnings and to compute the fair value of properties as it is not affected by (1) the cost of funds of the property owner or (2) the impact of depreciation and amortization expenses as well as gains or losses from the sale of operating real estate assets that are included in net income computed in accordance with Generally

Accepted Accounting Principles, or GAAP. The first factor is commonly eliminated from net income because it is specific to the particular financing capabilities and constraints of the owner. The second factor is commonly eliminated because it may not accurately represent the actual change in value in real estate properties that results from use or changes in market conditions. We believe that eliminating these costs from net income gives investors an additional measure of operating performance that, when used as an adjunct to net income computed in accordance with GAAP, can be a useful measure of our operating results.

Property Operating Results captures trends in

occupancy rates,
rental rates and
operating costs.
However,
Property
Operating
Results excludes

Table of Contents

general and administrative costs, interest expense, interest income, depreciation and amortization expense and gains or losses from the sale of properties, changes in value in our real estate properties that result from use or permanent impairment to carrying costs as stipulated by GAAP, the level of capital expenditures and leasing costs necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which are significant economic costs. Therefore, Property Operating Results may fail to capture significant trends which limits its usefulness.

Property Operating Results is a non-GAAP measure of performance. Property Operating Results is not a substitute for net income as computed in accordance with GAAP. It excludes significant expense components such as depreciation and amortization expense and financing costs. This measure should be analyzed in conjunction with net income and cash flow from operating activities as computed in accordance with GAAP. Other companies may use different methods for calculating Property Operating Results or similarly entitled measures and, accordingly, our Property Operating Results may not be comparable to similarly entitled measures reported by other companies that do not define the measure exactly as we do.

The following is a reconciliation of net income computed in accordance with GAAP to Property Operating Results (in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net income | \$ 19,490 | \$ 27,988 |
| Add: | | |
| General and administrative expense | 15,805 | 9,149 |
| Interest expense | 47,839 | 43,989 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 66,893 | 56,043 |
| Minority interest | 260 | 2,556 |
| Impairment on investment in securities | | 2,700 |
| Interest and other loss | 1,144 | |
| Loss from debt defeasance related to sale of discontinued property | 557 | |
| Less: | | |
| Gain on sale of discontinued properties | 6,376 | 6,829 |
| Discontinued operations, net of minority interest | 3,634 | 5,688 |
| Interest and other income | | 330 |
| Property Operating Results | \$ 141,978 | \$ 129,578 |

- (2) Consists of non-development/renovation properties classified as part of continuing operations and owned for the entirety of the periods presented.

VARIANCES FOR RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our Property Operating Results for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004 were primarily affected by our acquisitions and development activities since January 1, 2004.

As a result of these changes within our portfolio of properties since January 1, 2004, we do not believe the Property Operating Results presented above are comparable from period to period. Therefore, in the table above, we have also presented the Property Operating Results for our same store portfolio.

Revenue from Rental Operations

Revenue from rental operations increased approximately \$21.3 million, or 11% for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004. This increase was primarily due to revenues from our 6100 Center Drive

development property which was placed in service during the second quarter of 2004, two office properties acquired in Los Angeles County in October 2004 totaling approximately 391,000 square feet, two office properties acquired in San Diego County in January 2005 and March 2005 totaling approximately 778,000 square feet, one property acquired in Los Angeles County in April 2005 totaling approximately 409,000 square feet and from overall occupancy gains and scheduled rent increases in our properties.

Revenue from rental operations for the same store portfolio increased approximately \$3.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004, primarily due to an approximate \$4.7 million increase in scheduled cash rents, partially offset by an approximate \$1.2 million decrease in other rental operations. The increase in scheduled cash rents was primarily attributable to a 1.10% increase in average occupancy and scheduled rent increases in our existing leases. The decrease in other rental operations was primarily attributable to lower lease termination fees in 2005.

Property Expenses

Property expenses increased approximately \$8.9 million, or 14% for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004. This increase was primarily due to our acquisition and development activities, gains in occupancy and increases in operating expenses for the same store portfolio described below.

Property expenses for the same store portfolio increased approximately \$3.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004, primarily due to an approximate \$2.2 million increase in repairs and maintenance, an approximate \$0.4 million increase in property administrative expenses and an approximate \$0.2 million increase in ground rent expense. The increase in repairs and maintenance was primarily attributable to higher rates for contracted services, including janitorial and security guard services and the timing of certain projects. The increase in property administrative expenses was primarily attributable to higher personnel costs as a result of annual merit increases. The increase in ground rent expense was primarily attributable to contingent ground rent for one of our properties.

Table of Contents**General and Administrative**

General and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenues were approximately 7.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to approximately 4.3% for the same period in 2004. The approximate \$6.7 million increase in general and administrative expenses over 2004 was primarily due to \$1.7 million in employee separation costs, a \$3.9 million increase in personnel costs, \$0.4 million in dead-deal costs for a proposed fee-development project and increases due to the timing of various other costs including investor relations and legal fees. Personnel costs increased due to costs associated with annual merit increases, costs related to our Deferred Compensation Plan, addition of resources within our capital market and investment activities and increases in non-cash compensation totaling approximately \$0.8 million. Our Deferred Compensation Plan costs increased due to an expansion in the number of participants and contributions made. Non-cash compensation costs increased primarily due to restricted stock grants made since the first quarter of 2004 and costs associated with a long-term Outperformance Compensation Plan approved by the Board of Directors in April 2005 through which certain executives can receive equity or cash awards if returns generated are in excess of threshold amounts.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased approximately \$3.9 million, or 9%, for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004, primarily due to higher net borrowings during 2005 as a result of our approximate \$326 million in property acquisitions year-to-date which were partially offset by lower interest costs as a result of our refinancing activities. In March 2005, we refinanced \$200 million of 8.875% unsecured notes with 10-year unsecured notes at an all-in rate of 5.5%. We expect to reduce our current debt balances with proceeds from asset sales scheduled for the second half of 2005.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense increased by approximately \$10.8 million, or 19% for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004, primarily due to depreciation related to a development property placed in service in the second quarter of 2004, two properties acquired in October 2004, one property acquired in January 2005, one property acquired in March 2005, one property acquired in April 2005 and depreciation related to capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing commissions placed in service subsequent to March 31, 2004.

Interest and Other Loss (Income)

Interest and other loss (income) decreased by approximately \$1.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004, primarily due to net income recognized from a consulting and installation project completed during the three months ended March 31, 2004 for Next>edge, our taxable REIT subsidiary that provides energy consulting services and from a loss recorded on the sale of a leasehold interest in June 2005.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**Cash Flows**

Cash provided by operating activities decreased by approximately \$3.6 million to \$78.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$82.5 million for the same period in 2004. This decrease was primarily due to the loss of operating cash flows from fourteen properties sold since the beginning of 2004 as part of our capital recycling program, partially offset by the increased operating cash flows from five properties acquired since the beginning of 2004 and our 6100 Center Drive development property which was placed in service during the second quarter of 2004.

Cash used in investing activities increased by approximately \$303.1 million to an outflow of \$282.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to an inflow of \$20.9 million for the same period in 2004. This increase was primarily due to the acquisitions of three properties during the six months ended June 30, 2005 totaling approximately \$325.7 million and the sale of two assets totaling approximately \$67.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2004.

Cash provided financing activities increased by approximately \$301.3 million to an inflow of \$203.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to an outflow of \$98.2 million for the same period in 2004. This increase was primarily due to the issuance of \$300 million of unsecured senior notes in February 2005. The proceeds from these notes were used to redeem \$200 million of unsecured senior notes that matured in March of 2005 and to partially fund our acquisition activities.

Cash Balances and Available Borrowings

As of June 30, 2005, we had approximately \$26.4 million in cash and cash equivalents, including \$13.4 million in restricted cash. Restricted cash consisted of \$9.7 million in interest bearing cash deposits required by four of our mortgage loans payable and \$3.7 million in cash impound accounts for real estate taxes and insurance as required by several of our mortgage loans payable.

Table of Contents

Through our Operating Partnership, we have access to a total of \$330 million under two unsecured lines of credit. As of June 30, 2005, \$296.0 million was outstanding and \$34.0 million was available under these unsecured lines of credit.

Capital Recycling Program

Under our capital recycling program, we evaluate our existing portfolio of properties and current market opportunities to determine if the sale or purchase of properties would improve the overall quality or return on invested capital of our existing portfolio. Proceeds from sales of properties may be used to pay down our borrowings until we identify attractive properties to purchase, renovate or develop. During the three months ended June 30, 2005, we sold one office property totaling approximately 49,000 square feet for approximately \$8.7 million in gross sales proceeds. During the three months ended June 30, 2005, we acquired one office property consisting of approximately 409,000 square feet for approximately \$92.7 million. For additional information regarding the properties acquired and sold, see the accompanying notes to our financial statements elsewhere in this report.

Table of Contents**Debt Summary**

Following is a summary of scheduled principal payments for our total debt outstanding (in thousands):

| Year | Amount |
|--------------|------------------------|
| 2005 | \$ 4,361 |
| 2006 | 9,570 |
| 2007 | 169,838 ⁽¹⁾ |
| 2008 | 281,567 |
| 2009 | 398,004 ⁽²⁾ |
| 2010 | 150,019 |
| 2011 | 200,251 |
| 2012 | 125,481 |
| 2013 | 558 |
| 2014 | 627 |
| Thereafter | 303,640 |
| Total | \$1,643,916 |

(1) Includes \$10 million outstanding on our City National Bank unsecured line of credit that was extended to August 2007 in July 2005.

(2) Includes \$286 million outstanding on our Wells Fargo unsecured line of credit that was extended to April 2009 in July 2005.

Following is certain other information related to our outstanding indebtedness:

Unsecured and Secured Debt:

| | Balance | Percent | Weighted Average Interest Rate⁽¹⁾ | Weighted Average Maturity (in years) |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|---|
| | (000 s) | | | |
| Unsecured Debt | \$1,215,161 | 74% | 5.80% | 7.1 |
| Secured Debt | 428,755 | 26 | 6.88 | 3.0 |

| | | | | |
|------------|-------------|------|-------|-----|
| Total Debt | \$1,643,916 | 100% | 6.08% | 6.9 |
|------------|-------------|------|-------|-----|

Floating and Fixed Rate Debt:

| | Balance (000 s) | Percent | Weighted Average Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾ | Weighted Average Maturity (in years) |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---------|--|---|
| Floating Rate Debt | \$ 246,000 | 15% | 4.84% | 3.7 |
| Fixed Debt ⁽²⁾ | 1,397,916 | 85 | 6.30 | 6.4 |
| Total Debt | \$1,643,916 | 100% | 6.08% | 6.9 |

(1) Includes amortization of prepaid financing costs.

(2) Includes \$175 million of floating rate debt that has been fixed through interest rate swap agreements.

Total interest incurred and the amount capitalized was as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Total interest incurred ⁽¹⁾ | \$25,097 | \$21,382 | \$48,360 | \$44,851 |
| Amount capitalized | 97 | 198 | 332 | 354 |
| Amount expensed ⁽¹⁾ | \$25,000 | \$21,184 | \$48,028 | \$44,497 |

(1) Includes interest expense for loans secured by a property currently classified as held for disposition and one property sold during the three months

ended
March 31, 2005.

Table of Contents***Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service and Compliance with Principal Financial Covenants***

Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service is a non-GAAP measurement of our performance and liquidity. Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service is presented below because this data is used by investors and our management as a supplemental measure to (a) evaluate our operating performance and compare it to other real estate companies, (b) determine trends in earnings, (c) determine our ability to service debt and (d) determine our ability to fund future capital expenditure requirements. As discussed more fully below, Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service is also used in several financial covenants we are required to satisfy each quarter under the terms of our principal debt agreements.

Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service permits investors and management to view income from our operations on an unleveraged basis before the effects of non-cash depreciation and amortization expense. By excluding interest expense, Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service measures our operating performance independent of our capital structure and indebtedness and, therefore, allows for a more meaningful comparison of our operating performance between quarters as well as annual periods and to compare our operating performance to that of other companies, and to more readily identify and evaluate trends in earnings.

The usefulness of Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service is limited because it does not reflect interest expense, taxes, gains or losses on sales of property, losses on valuations of derivatives, asset impairment losses, cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle, extraordinary items as defined by GAAP and depreciation and amortization costs. These costs have been or may in the future be incurred by us, each of which affects or could effect our operating performance and ability to finance our investments at competitive borrowing costs, successfully maintain our REIT status, and acquire and dispose of real estate properties at favorable prices to us. Some of these costs also reflect changes in value in our properties that result from use or changes in market conditions and the level of capital expenditures and leasing costs necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties. Due to the significance of the net income components excluded from Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service, this measure should not be considered an alternative to (and should be considered in conjunction with) net income, cash flow from operations, and other performance or liquidity measures prescribed by GAAP. This measure should also be analyzed in conjunction with discussions elsewhere in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations regarding the items eliminated in the calculation of Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service.

The reader is cautioned that Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service, as calculated by us, may not be comparable to similar measures reported by other companies (under names such as or similar to Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service, EBITDA or adjusted EBITDA) that do not define this measure exactly the same as we do.

The following is a reconciliation of net cash provided by operating activities and net income computed in accordance with GAAP to Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$48,158 | \$38,693 | \$ 78,935 | \$ 82,535 |
| Add: | | | | |
| Interest expense including discontinued operations | 25,000 | 21,184 | 48,028 | 44,497 |
| Loss from debt defeasance related to sale of discontinued property | | | 557 | |
| Less: | | | | |
| Amortization of loan costs and fees | (848) | (1,004) | (1,718) | (2,083) |
| Straight-line rent | (997) | (448) | (1,801) | (1,341) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | | |
| Rent and other receivables | 242 | (223) | 1,704 | 1,834 |
| Deferred rent | 174 | 345 | 2,336 | 1,982 |

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Prepaid financing costs, expenses and other assets | (743) | 1,672 | (262) | 2,205 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (2,719) | 6,630 | 3,837 | 4,989 |
| Security deposits | (281) | (605) | (864) | (876) |
| Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service | \$67,986 | \$66,244 | \$130,752 | \$133,742 |

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net Income | \$ 10,449 | \$ 9,127 | \$ 19,490 | \$ 27,988 |
| Add: | | | | |
| Interest expense including discontinued operations | 25,000 | 21,184 | 48,028 | 44,497 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 35,880 | 28,617 | 66,893 | 56,043 |
| Amortization of deferred compensation | 1,693 | 785 | 2,212 | 1,531 |
| Minority interest | 89 | 1,245 | 260 | 2,556 |
| Loss from debt defeasance related to sale of discontinued property | | | 557 | |
| Minority interest from discontinued operations | 180 | 64 | 244 | 321 |
| Depreciation from discontinued operations | 11 | 3,370 | 1,245 | 6,276 |
| Impairment on investment in securities | | 2,700 | | 2,700 |
| Less: | | | | |
| Gain on sale of discontinued properties | (4,319) | (400) | (6,376) | (6,829) |
| Straight-line rent | (997) | (448) | (1,801) | (1,341) |
| Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service | \$67,986 | \$66,244 | \$130,752 | \$133,742 |

Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service is also presented because it is used in ratios contained in the principal financial covenants of the Indenture governing our publicly traded senior unsecured notes and our Credit Agreement with a syndicate of banks led by Wells Fargo. As of June 30, 2005, our senior unsecured notes represented approximately 48% of our total outstanding debt and amounts outstanding under our Wells Fargo unsecured line of credit represented approximately 18% of our total outstanding debt. The Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service ratios and the other ratios reported below are part of financial covenants we are required to satisfy each fiscal quarter. We believe information about these ratios is useful to (1) confirm that we are in compliance with the financial covenants of our principal loan agreements, (2) evaluate our ability to service our debt, (3) evaluate our ability to fund future capital expenditures, and (4) compare our ratios to other real estate companies, including other REITs, that present the same ratios.

If we were to fail to satisfy these financial covenants, we would be in default under the terms of the Indenture for the senior unsecured notes and/or the Wells Fargo Credit Agreement. A default under those agreements could accelerate the obligation to repay such debt and could cause us to be in default under our other debt agreements. Depending on the circumstances surrounding such acceleration, we might not be able to repay the debt on terms that are favorable to us, or at all, which would have a material adverse affect on our financial condition and our ability to raise capital in the future.

The reader is cautioned that these ratios, as calculated by us, may not be comparable to similarly entitled ratios reported by other companies that do not calculate these ratios exactly the same as we do. These ratios should not be considered as alternatives to the ratio of earnings to fixed charges.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the principal ratios contained in the financial covenants of our senior unsecured notes and Wells Fargo unsecured line of credit as of June 30, 2005 (in thousands, except percentage and covenant ratio data):

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Net investment in real estate | \$2,849,328 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 12,934 |
| Restricted cash | 13,449 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | 533,855 |
| | |
| Total Gross Assets | \$3,409,566 |
| | |
| Gross Value of Unencumbered Assets | \$2,443,336 |
| | |
| Mortgage loans payable ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 428,755 |
| Unsecured lines of credit | 296,000 |
| Unsecured term loan | 125,000 |
| Unsecured senior notes, net of discount | 794,161 |
| | |
| Total Outstanding Debt | \$1,643,916 |
| | |
| Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service ⁽²⁾ | \$ 267,230 |
| | |
| Interest incurred ⁽²⁾ | \$ 93,960 |
| Loan fee amortization ⁽²⁾ | (2,768) |
| | |
| Debt Service ⁽²⁾ | \$ 91,192 |

| Senior Unsecured Notes Covenant Ratios | Test | Actual |
|---|-------------------|---------------|
| Ratio of Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service to Debt Service | Greater than 1.5 | 2.9 |
| Total Outstanding Debt/Total Gross Assets | Less than 60% | 48% |
| Secured Debt/Total Gross Assets | Less than 40% | 13% |
| Gross Value of Unencumbered Assets/Unsecured Debt | Greater than 150% | 201% |

| Wells Fargo Unsecured Line of Credit Covenant Ratios | Test | Actual |
|--|-------------------|---------------|
| Ratio of Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service to interest expense ⁽³⁾ | Greater than 2.0 | 2.8 |
| Ratio of Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service to fixed charges ⁽⁴⁾ | Greater than 1.75 | 2.1 |

(1)

Represents 9 secured loans that are secured by 52 properties in our portfolio.

- (2) Represents amounts for the most recent four consecutive quarters. Loan fee amortization excludes discount amortization on senior unsecured notes.
- (3) Interest expense consists of interest expense plus capitalized interest and less amortization of loan fees and discounts. In conjunction with the amendment of this unsecured line of credit in July 2005, this compliance calculation is no longer required.
- (4) Fixed charges consist of interest costs, whether expensed or capitalized, principal payments on all debt, an amount equal to \$0.3125 per quarter multiplied by the weighted average gross leaseable square feet of the portfolio at the end of the period and preferred unit distributions. In conjunction with the amendment of this

unsecured line of credit in July 2005, the benchmark for this compliance calculation was reduced from 1.75 to 1.50.

Table of Contents**Funds from Operations**

The following table reflects the calculation of our funds from operations for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2005 | 30, 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Funds From Operations: ⁽¹⁾ | | | | |
| Net income | \$10,449 | \$ 9,127 | \$19,490 | \$27,988 |
| Depreciation and minority interest from discontinued operations | 191 | 3,434 | 1,489 | 6,597 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued properties | (4,319) | (400) | (6,376) | (6,829) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 35,880 | 28,617 | 66,893 | 56,043 |
| Minority interest | 89 | 1,245 | 260 | 2,556 |
| Income allocated to Preferred Operating Partnership Units | | (1,078) | | (2,156) |
| Funds From Operations ⁽²⁾ | \$42,290 | \$40,945 | \$81,756 | \$84,199 |
| Weighted average common shares and Operating Partnership units outstanding | Diluted | | | |
| | 68,638 | 67,430 | 68,473 | 67,173 |

(1) We believe that funds from operations, or FFO, is a useful supplemental measure of our operating performance. We compute FFO in accordance with standards established by the White Paper on FFO approved by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, in April 2002. The

White Paper defines FFO as net income or loss computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, excluding extraordinary items, as defined by GAAP, and gains and losses from sales of depreciable operating property plus real estate-related depreciation and amortization and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

We believe that FFO, by excluding depreciation costs, the gains or losses from the sale of operating real estate properties and the extraordinary items as defined by GAAP, provides an additional perspective on our operating results. However, because these excluded items

have a real economic effect, FFO is a limited measure of performance.

FFO captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. FFO excludes depreciation and amortization costs and it does not capture the changes in value in our properties that result from use or changes in market conditions or the level of capital expenditures and leasing costs necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which are significant economic costs. Therefore, its ability to measure performance is limited.

Because FFO excludes significant economic components of net income determined in accordance with GAAP, FFO should be used as an adjunct to

net income and not as an alternative to net income. FFO should also not be used as an indicator of our financial performance, or as a substitute for cash flow from operating activities determined in accordance with GAAP or as a measure of our liquidity. FFO is not by itself indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or service our debt.

FFO is used by investors to compare our performance with other REITs. Other REITs may use different methods for calculating FFO and, accordingly, our FFO may not be comparable to other REITs.

- (2) Includes approximately \$1.7 million and \$0.8 million in non-cash compensation expense for the three months

ended June 30,
2005 and 2004,
respectively,
and
approximately
\$2.2 million and
\$1.5 million in
non-cash
compensation
expense for the
six months
ended June 30,
2005 and 2004,
respectively.

Table of Contents**Portfolio and Lease Information**

The following tables set forth certain information regarding our properties as of June 30, 2005.

PORTFOLIO SUMMARY

As of June 30, 2005

| Location | Number of Properties | | Number of Buildings | | Approximate Net Rentable (Sq. Ft.) | | Property Operating Results | | | |
|--|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| | Total | % of Total | Total | % of Total | Total | % of Total | Three Months Ended June 30, 2005 (in thousands and unaudited) | % of Total | Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 (in thousands and unaudited) | % of Total |
| Los Angeles County | | | | | | | | | | |
| West ⁽¹⁾ | 30 | 25% | 32 | 16% | 5,408,635 | 28% | \$28,609 | 38% | \$ 55,353 | 37% |
| North | 28 | 23% | 44 | 22% | 3,453,333 | 18% | 13,298 | 18% | 26,956 | 18% |
| South | 11 | 9% | 15 | 8% | 2,450,252 | 13% | 7,529 | 10% | 15,146 | 10% |
| Subtotal | 69 | 57% | 91 | 46% | 11,312,220 | 59% | 49,436 | 66% | 97,455 | 65% |
| Orange County | 18 | 15% | 50 | 25% | 3,214,977 | 17% | 9,331 | 12% | 18,712 | 13% |
| San Diego County | 24 | 20% | 36 | 18% | 3,310,065 | 17% | 12,082 | 16% | 21,959 | 15% |
| Ventura/Kern Counties | 4 | 3% | 13 | 7% | 575,224 | 3% | 1,934 | 2% | 3,852 | 3% |
| Subtotal⁽²⁾ | 115 | 95% | 190 | 96% | 18,412,486 | 96% | \$72,783 | 96% | \$141,978 | 96% |
| Properties Held for Disposition | 6 | 5 | 8 | 4% | 801,403 | 4% | 2,960 | 4 | 5,312 | 4 |
| Total (Including Properties Held for Disposition) | 121 | 100% | 198 | 100% | 19,213,889 | 100% | \$75,743 | 100% | \$147,290 | 100% |

(1) Includes a retail property with approximately 37,000 net rentable square feet.

(2) Excludes six properties currently

classified as
held for
disposition as of
June 30, 2005.

PORTFOLIO OCCUPANCY AND IN-PLACE RENTS

As of June 30, 2005

| Location | Percent Occupied | Percent Leased | Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽¹⁾ | |
|--|------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | Portfolio Total | Full Service Gross Leases ⁽²⁾ |
| Los Angeles County | | | | |
| West | 93.7% | 95.3% | \$28.09 | \$ 28.11 |
| North | 93.7% | 94.4% | 22.57 | 23.21 |
| South | 91.0% | 92.6% | 19.07 | 20.40 |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average | 93.1% | 94.4% | \$24.49 | \$ 25.20 |
| Orange County | 87.7% | 92.5% | 19.54 | 22.11 |
| San Diego County | 84.7% | 85.2% | 22.78 | 25.28 |
| Ventura/Kern Counties | 97.1% | 97.1% | 19.71 | 19.71 |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average⁽³⁾ | 90.8% | 92.5% | \$23.19 | \$ 24.55 |
| Properties Held for Disposition | 84.1% | 84.1% | 17.01 | 18.85 |
| Total/Weighted Average | 90.5% | 92.2% | \$22.95 | \$ 24.41 |

(1) Based on monthly contractual base rent under existing leases as of June 30, 2005, multiplied by 12 and divided by leased net rentable square feet; for those leases where rent has not yet commenced or which are in a free rent period, the first month in which rent is

to be received is
used to
determine
annualized base
rent.

- (2) Excludes 29
properties and
approximately
3.2 million
square feet
under triple net
and modified
gross leases.
- (3) Excludes six
properties
classified as
held for
disposition as of
June 30, 2005.

Table of Contents**TEN LARGEST TENANTS⁽¹⁾**

As of June 30, 2005

| Tenant | Number of Locations | Weighted Average Lease Term Remaining in Months | Percentage of Aggregate Portfolio Leased Square Feet | Percentage of Aggregate Portfolio Annualized Base Rent⁽²⁾ | Net Rentable Square Feet | Annualized Base Rent (in thousands)⁽²⁾ |
|---|----------------------------|--|---|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Vivendi Universal | 2 | 39 | 1.36% | 2.02% | 231,681 | \$ 7,980 |
| State of California | 16 | 45 | 1.53% | 1.45% | 261,120 | 5,736 |
| U.S. Government | 14 | 51 | 0.93% | 1.10% | 158,070 | 4,336 |
| Ceridian Corporation | 2 | 86 | 0.90% | 0.97% | 152,612 | 3,822 |
| Atlantic Richfield | 1 | 15 | 0.84% | 0.90% | 143,885 | 3,551 |
| Pepperdine University | 1 | 161 | 0.67% | 0.86% | 113,488 | 3,400 |
| University of Phoenix | 5 | 44 | 0.85% | 0.83% | 144,498 | 3,303 |
| Westfield Corporation | 1 | 94 | 0.62% | 0.82% | 106,008 | 3,226 |
| Walt Disney Pictures & Television | 1 | 37 | 0.83% | 0.81% | 141,457 | 3,196 |
| Homestore.com, Inc. | 1 | 31 | 0.81% | 0.77% | 137,762 | 3,036 |
| Total/Weighted Average⁽³⁾ | 44 | 56 | 9.34% | 10.53% | 1,590,581 | \$ 41,586 |

(1) Excludes tenants occupying six properties classified as held for disposition at June 30, 2005.

(2) Annualized base rent is calculated as monthly contractual base rent under existing leases as of June 30, 2005 multiplied by 12; for those leases where rent has not yet commenced or

which are in a free rent period, the first month in which rent is to be received is used to determine annualized base rent.

- (3) The weighted average calculation is based on net rentable square footage leased by each tenant.

Table of Contents**LEASING ACTIVITY⁽¹⁾**

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2005 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 |
|--|---|---|
| Net Absorption (square feet) | 27,732 | 62,476 |
| Gross New Leasing Activity (square feet) ⁽²⁾ | 548,053 | 990,686 |
| Gross Renewal Leasing Activity (square feet) | 536,026 | 1,017,021 |
| Retention Rate | 64% | 64% |
| Cash Rent Growth ⁽³⁾ : Expiring Rate | \$ 22.38 | \$ 22.29 |
| New / Renewed Rate | \$ 22.49 | \$ 22.02 |
| Increase (decrease) | 0.5% | (1.2)% |
| GAAP Rent Growth ⁽⁴⁾ : Expiring Rate | \$ 21.46 | \$ 21.39 |
| New / Renewed Rate | \$ 23.24 | \$ 22.65 |
| Increase | 8.3% | 5.9% |
| Weighted Average Lease Term in Months New | 59 | 56 |
| Weighted Average Lease Term in Months Renewal | 40 | 39 |
| Tenant Improvements and Commissions (per square foot): New ⁽²⁾ | \$ 22.28 | \$ 20.69 |
| Renewal | \$ 11.35 | \$ 9.43 |
| Capital Expenditures (per square foot): Recurring | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.43 |
| Non-recurring | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.08 |

- (1) The information presented above excludes activity for six properties classified as held for disposition at June 30, 2005.
- (2) Excludes development/renovation space.
- (3) Represents the difference between initial stabilized cash rents on new and renewal leases as compared to the expiring cash rents on the same space. For leases with reduced initial rents, the first month of full rent is used.
- (4) Represents cash rent growth adjusted for straight-line rents.

Table of Contents**PORTFOLIO DIVERSIFICATION**

As of June 30, 2005

| North American Industrial Classification System Description | NAICS Code | Occupied Square Feet | Percentage of Total Occupied Portfolio |
|--|------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services | 541 | 4,257,588 | 25.47% |
| Finance and Insurance | 521-525 | 2,961,366 | 17.71% |
| Information | 511-519 | 1,730,483 | 10.35% |
| Manufacturing | 311-339 | 1,539,619 | 9.21% |
| Health Care and Social Assistance | 621-624 | 1,046,246 | 6.26% |
| Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services | 561-562 | 675,379 | 4.04% |
| Public Administration | 921-928 | 670,065 | 4.00% |
| Educational Services | 611 | 720,238 | 4.31% |
| Real Estate, Rental and Leasing | 531-533 | 974,390 | 5.83% |
| Wholesale Trade | 423-425 | 442,320 | 2.64% |
| Transportation and Warehousing | 481-493 | 310,803 | 1.86% |
| Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation | 711-713 | 244,922 | 1.46% |
| Construction | 236-238 | 332,007 | 1.99% |
| Accommodation and Food Services | 721-722 | 206,797 | 1.24% |
| Other Services (except Public Administration) | 811-814 | 235,070 | 1.41% |
| Retail Trade | 441-454 | 201,704 | 1.21% |
| Mining | 211-213 | 2,894 | 0.02% |
| Management of Companies and Enterprises | 551 | 34,410 | 0.21% |
| Utilities | 221 | 8,975 | 0.05% |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting | 111-115 | 3,595 | 0.02% |
| Other Uncategorized | | 118,200 | 0.71% |
| | | 16,717,071⁽¹⁾ | 100.00% |

(1) Excludes square footage for tenants occupying six properties classified as held for disposition at June 30, 2005.

Table of Contents

LEASE EXPIRATIONS ANNUAL
As of June 30, 2005

| | | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 and Thereafter |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| Los Angeles County: | | | | | | | |
| West | Expiring SF ⁽¹⁾ | 214,856 | 645,722 | 704,041 | 706,244 | 715,612 | 2,108,416 |
| | % of Leased SF ⁽²⁾ | 1.26% | 3.79% | 4.13% | 4.14% | 4.20% | 12.38% |
| | Rent per SF ⁽³⁾ | \$ 28.09 | \$ 29.61 | \$ 28.07 | \$ 29.69 | \$ 27.50 | \$ 33.29 |
| North | Expiring SF ⁽¹⁾ | 280,348 | 541,788 | 567,948 | 820,662 | 389,725 | 564,540 |
| | % of Leased SF ⁽²⁾ | 1.64% | 3.18% | 3.34% | 4.82% | 2.29% | 3.32% |
| | Rent per SF ⁽³⁾ | \$ 23.18 | \$ 23.93 | \$ 23.38 | \$ 24.69 | \$ 25.01 | \$ 23.34 |
| South | Expiring SF ⁽¹⁾ | 179,384 | 218,827 | 222,580 | 320,025 | 287,901 | 972,913 |
| | % of Leased SF ⁽²⁾ | 1.06% | 1.29% | 1.31% | 1.88% | 1.69% | 5.71% |
| | Rent per SF ⁽³⁾ | \$ 18.81 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 22.79 | \$ 22.58 | \$ 20.56 | \$ 18.99 |
| Subtotal Los Angeles County | | | | | | | |
| | Expiring SF ⁽¹⁾ | 674,588 | 1,406,337 | 1,494,569 | 1,846,931 | 1,393,238 | 3,645,869 |
| | % of Leased SF ⁽²⁾ | 3.96% | 8.26% | 8.78% | 10.84% | 8.18% | 21.41% |
| | Rent per SF ⁽³⁾ | \$ 23.58 | \$ 26.26 | \$ 25.50 | \$ 26.24 | \$ 25.37 | \$ 27.93 |
| Orange County | | | | | | | |
| | Expiring SF ⁽¹⁾ | 200,539 | 583,055 | 599,757 | 362,386 | 315,039 | 892,092 |
| | % of Leased SF ⁽²⁾ | 1.18% | 3.42% | 3.52% | 2.13% | 1.85% | 5.24% |
| | Rent per SF ⁽³⁾ | \$ 21.28 | \$ 20.78 | \$ 18.37 | \$ 19.93 | \$ 21.16 | \$ 24.16 |
| San Diego County | | | | | | | |
| | Expiring SF ⁽¹⁾ | 265,979 | 484,614 | 373,123 | 504,901 | 353,906 | 764,299 |
| | % of Leased | 1.56% | 2.85% | 2.19% | 2.96% | 2.08% | 4.48% |

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | SF ⁽²⁾ | | | | | | |
| | Rent per SF ⁽³⁾ | \$ 17.47 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 26.39 | \$ 27.62 | \$ 25.26 | \$ 26.31 |
| All Others | Expiring SF ⁽¹⁾ | 114,964 | 139,501 | 42,492 | 65,538 | 91,016 | 96,747 |
| | % of Leased SF ⁽²⁾ | 0.68% | 0.82% | 0.25% | 0.39% | 0.53% | 0.57% |
| | Rent per SF ⁽³⁾ | \$ 20.29 | \$ 21.15 | \$ 20.99 | \$ 21.97 | \$ 18.03 | \$ 22.69 |
| Total Portfolio⁽⁴⁾ | Expiring SF ⁽¹⁾ | 1,256,070 | 2,613,507 | 2,509,941 | 2,779,756 | 2,153,199 | 5,399,007 |
| | % of Leased SF ⁽²⁾ | 7.38% | 15.35% | 14.74% | 16.32% | 12.64% | 31.70% |
| | Rent per SF ⁽³⁾ | \$ 21.62 | \$ 24.44 | \$ 23.85 | \$ 25.57 | \$ 24.43 | \$ 26.99 |

(1) Represents the square footage of expiring leases, not including month-to-month tenants.

(2) Percentage of total rentable square footage expiring during the period.

(3) Represents annualized ending cash rents of expiring leases.

(4) Excludes six properties currently classified as held for disposition as of June 30, 2005.

QUARTERLY LEASE EXPIRATIONS NEXT FOUR QUARTERS

As of June 30, 2005

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

| | | Q3-05 | Q4-05 | Q1-06 | Q2-06 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Los Angeles County: | | | | | |
| West | Expiring SF (1) | 65,863 | 148,993 | 208,450 | 65,387 |
| | % of Leased SF (2) | 0.39% | 0.87% | 1.22% | 0.39% |
| | Rent per SF (3) | \$ 24.77 | \$ 29.56 | \$ 27.08 | \$ 26.88 |
| North | Expiring SF (1) | 139,540 | 140,808 | 125,915 | 109,006 |
| | % of Leased SF (2) | 0.82% | 0.82% | 0.74% | 0.64% |
| | Rent per SF (3) | \$ 22.40 | \$ 23.95 | \$ 23.58 | \$ 23.83 |
| South | Expiring SF (1) | 96,716 | 82,668 | 37,102 | 77,117 |
| | % of Leased SF (2) | 0.57% | 0.49% | 0.22% | 0.45% |
| | Rent per SF (3) | \$ 16.49 | \$ 21.53 | \$ 21.24 | \$ 22.37 |
| Subtotal Los Angeles County | Expiring SF (1) | 302,119 | 372,469 | 371,467 | 251,510 |
| | % of Leased SF (2) | 1.78% | 2.18% | 2.18% | 1.48% |
| | Rent per SF (3) | \$ 21.03 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 25.31 | \$ 24.18 |
| Orange County | Expiring SF (1) | 62,472 | 138,067 | 89,149 | 210,633 |
| | % of Leased SF (2) | 0.37% | 0.81% | 0.53% | 1.24% |
| | Rent per SF (3) | \$ 21.65 | \$ 21.11 | \$ 15.00 | \$ 20.64 |
| San Diego County | Expiring SF (1) | 107,512 | 158,467 | 97,614 | 136,848 |
| | % of Leased SF (2) | 0.63% | 0.93% | 0.57% | 0.80% |
| | Rent per SF (3) | \$ 24.96 | \$ 12.39 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 21.91 |
| All Others | Expiring SF (1) | 54,723 | 60,241 | 30,652 | 8,510 |
| | % of Leased SF (2) | 0.32% | 0.36% | 0.18% | 0.05% |
| | Rent per SF (3) | \$ 20.12 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 20.01 | \$ 19.68 |
| Total Portfolio⁽⁴⁾ | Expiring SF (1) | 526,826 | 729,244 | 588,882 | 607,501 |

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| % of Leased SF ⁽²⁾ | 3.10% | 4.28% | 3.46% | 3.57% |
| Rent per SF ⁽³⁾ | \$ 21.81 | \$ 21.48 | \$ 23.66 | \$ 22.38 |

- (1) Represents the square footage of expiring leases, not including month-to-month tenants.
- (2) Percentage of total rentable square footage expiring during the period.
- (3) Represents annualized ending cash rents of expiring leases.
- (4) Excludes six properties currently classified as held for disposition as of June 30, 2005.

Table of Contents**Development**

We have preliminary architectural designs completed for additional build-to-suit or multi-tenant projects at the Howard Hughes Center totaling approximately 475,000 net rentable square feet of office space. We also have construction entitlements at the Howard Hughes Center for a combination of up to 600 hotel rooms, apartments or condominiums. Build-to-suit projects consist of properties constructed to the tenant's specifications in return for the tenant's long-term commitment to the property.

Based on improving office trends in the West Los Angeles submarket and lack of new office supply scheduled to be delivered in the surrounding submarkets over the next 18-to-24 months, we intend to begin constructing a 160,000 square foot multi-tenant office building at our Howard Hughes Center later this year. We anticipate the permitting process to take approximately 4-to-6 months and the construction of the core and shell of the building an additional 18 months before the building is ready for occupancy.

In addition to our development at the Howard Hughes Center, we have completed preliminary designs and are marketing an approximate 170,000 square foot build-to-suit office building at our Long Beach Airport Business Park. Also, as part of our Gateway Towers acquisition in August 2002, we acquired a 5-acre developable land parcel in Torrance, California that we intend to market for a build-to-suit office building. We currently do not intend to commence construction on these projects until build-to-suit tenant leases are signed with terms allowing us to achieve yields commensurate with the project's development risk.

We expect to finance our development/renovation activities over the next 24 months through net cash provided by operating activities, proceeds from asset sales, proceeds from our unsecured lines of credit or other secured borrowings.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk is the exposure or loss resulting from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. The primary market risk to which we are exposed is interest rate risk, which is sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors that are beyond our control.

Interest Rate Risk

In order to modify and manage the interest characteristics of our outstanding debt and limit the effects of interest rates on our operations, we use a variety of financial instruments, including interest rate swaps, caps, floors and other interest rate exchange contracts. The use of these types of instruments to hedge our exposure to changes in interest rates carries additional risks such as counter-party credit risk. We do not enter into any transactions for speculative or trading purposes.

In February 2005, we settled \$300 million of forward-starting swaps we entered into in 2004 in conjunction with a forecasted \$300 million issuance of unsecured senior notes.

In conjunction with the extension of our \$125 million unsecured term loan in February 2005, we also entered into a series of interest rate swap agreements to fix the interest rate through the extension period. Under these interest rate swap agreements, the interest rate on this loan is effectively fixed at 5.29% from June of 2006 through May of 2007, 5.55% from June of 2007 through November of 2008, 5.76% from December of 2008 through May of 2010 and 5.99% from June of 2010 through February of 2012.

In May and June 2005, we entered into \$143 million of forward-starting swaps that effectively fixed the 10-year Treasury rate at an average rate of approximately 4.32% for borrowings that are expected to occur in 2007 to primarily refinance some of our scheduled debt maturities.

In June 2005, we settled \$100 million of fair value swaps we entered into in 2003 to float \$100 million of the fixed interest rate associated with the 7.00% senior unsecured notes due in November of 2007.

Some of our future earnings, cash flows and fair values relating to financial instruments are dependent upon prevailing market rates of interest, such as LIBOR. Based on interest rates and outstanding balances as of June 30, 2005, a 1% increase in interest rates on our \$246.0 million of floating rate debt would decrease annual future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$2.5 million and would not have an impact on the fair value of the floating rate debt. Conversely, a 1% decrease in interest rates on our \$246.0 million of floating rate debt would increase annual future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$2.5 million and would not have an impact on the fair value of the floating

rate debt. The weighted average interest rate on our floating debt as of June 30, 2005 was 4.84%.

Our fixed rate debt, including \$175.0 million of floating rate debt swapped to fixed through interest rate hedges, totaled approximately \$1.4 billion as of June 30, 2005 with a weighted average interest rate of 6.30% and a total fair value of approximately \$1.4 billion. A 1% decrease in interest rates would increase the fair value of our fixed rate debt by approximately \$57.1 million and would not have an impact on future earnings and cash flows. A 1% increase in interest rates would decrease the fair value of our fixed rated debt by approximately \$53.5 million and would not have an impact on future earnings and cash flows.

Table of Contents

These amounts are determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our borrowing cost. These analyses do not consider the effects of the reduced level of overall economic activity that could exist in that environment. Further, in the event of a change of this magnitude, we would consider taking actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. Due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, however, this sensitivity analysis assumes no changes in our capital structure.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide a reasonable level of assurance of reaching our desired disclosure control objectives.

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the second quarter of 2005 covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and were operating at the reasonable assurance level.

There has been no change in our internal controls over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**Part II OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

We are presently subject to various lawsuits, claims and proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, none of which if determined unfavorably to us is expected to have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, financial condition or results of operations. There were no material changes in our legal proceedings during the three months ended June 30, 2005.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds None**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities None****Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

On May 25, 2005 we held our annual meeting of stockholders in Los Angeles, California. The matters voted on at that meeting and the results were as follows:

- To elect directors of Arden Realty, Inc. to hold office until the annual meeting of shareholders in the year 2008 or until their respective successors are elected and qualified as follows:

| | Number of Shares Voted For | Number of Shares Withheld |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Director #1 - Richard S. Ziman | 41,544,617 | 18,473,684 |
| Director #2 - Victor J. Coleman | 41,538,376 | 18,479,925 |

- To ratify appointment of Ernst & Young LLP to act as independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2005.

| | Number of Shares Voted For | Number of Shares Voted Against | Number of Shares Abstained |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| | 59,358,862 | 625,381 | 34,058 |
| 3. To adopt the Third Amended and Restated 1996 Stock Option and Incentive Plan of Arden Realty, Inc. and Arden Realty Limited Partnership. The amendment provides for: An increase in the number of common shares reserved for issuance under the plan from 6,500,000 to 8,500,000; and | | | |

An extension of the term of the plan, so that no additional grants of awards may be made under the plan after the tenth anniversary of its adoption by our Board of Directors on March 22, 2005.

| | Number of Shares Voted For | Number of Shares Voted Against | Number of Shares Abstained |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| | 52,960,766 | 2,484,256 | 65,890 |
| 4. To establish policy to seek shareholder approval for future severance payments with senior executives in excess of 2.99 times the sum of the executives' annual base salary. | | | |

| | Number of Shares Voted For | Number of Shares Voted Against | Number of Shares Abstained |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| | 44,025,785 | 11,393,776 | 91,351 |

Item 5. Other Information None

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-------------------|--|
| 10.1 | Summary of Director Restricted Stock Awards, incorporated herein by reference to Item 1.01 of the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on April 15, 2005. |
| 10.2 | Summary of Increase in Director Retainer, incorporated herein by reference to Item 1.01 of the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on April 15, 2005. |
| 10.3 | Summary of Increase in Director Fees, incorporated herein by reference to Item 1.01 of the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on April 15, 2005. |
| 10.4 | Summary of Establishment of Fiscal 2005 Base Salaries for certain senior executives of the Registrant, incorporated herein by reference to Item 1.01 of the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on April 15, 2005. |
| 10.5 | Summary of Executive Restricted Stock Awards for certain senior executives of the Registrant, incorporated herein by reference to Item 1.01 of the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on April 15, 2005. |
| 10.6 | Description of the Outperformance Plan, incorporated herein by reference to Item 1.01 of the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on April 15, 2005. |
| 10.7 | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on April 15, 2005. |
| 10.8 | Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Agreement, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on April 15, 2005. |
| 10.9 | Amended and Restated Arden Realty Limited Partnership Deferred Compensation Plan, dated July 1, 2005, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on May 24, 2005. |
| 31.1 | Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certificate of Chief Financial Officer and Other Executive Officers pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. ⁽¹⁾ |
| 32.2 | Certificate of Chief Financial Officer and Other Executive Officers pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. ⁽¹⁾ |

(1) In accordance
with SEC
Release
No. 33-8212,

the following exhibit is being furnished, and is not being filed as part of this Report on Form 10-Q or as a separate disclosure document, and is not being incorporated by reference into any Securities Act of 1933 registration statement.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ARDEN REALTY, INC.

Date: August 5, 2005

By: /s/ Robert C. Peddicord
Robert C. Peddicord
Executive Vice President, Leasing and
Property Operations

Date: August 5, 2005

By: /s/ Richard S. Davis
Richard S. Davis
Executive Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer