

WILSON BANK HOLDING CO  
Form 10-Q  
May 09, 2013

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 0-20402

WILSON BANK HOLDING COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: WILSON BANK HOLDING CO - Form 10-Q

Tennessee  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

62-1497076  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

623 West Main Street, Lebanon, TN  
(Address of principal executive offices)  
(615) 444-2265

37087  
(Zip Code)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES " NO x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common stock outstanding: 7,459,152 shares at May 9, 2013



Part I:	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	3
Item 1.	Financial Statements.	3
The unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiary are as follows:		
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.	3
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Earnings</u> For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.	4
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings</u> For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.	5
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.	6
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	
	Disclosures required by Item 3 are incorporated by reference to Management's	
	Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Part II: OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Item 5. Other Information.

Item 6. Exhibits.

Signatures

EX-31.1 SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION OF THE CEO

EX-31.2 SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION OF THE CFO

EX-32.1 SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION OF THE CEO

EX-32.2 SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION OF THE CFO

EX -101 INTERACTIVE DATA FILE

## Part I. Financial Information

## Item 1. Financial Statements

## WILSON BANK HOLDING COMPANY

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012

(Unaudited)

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(Dollars in Thousands Except Per Share Amounts)	
Assets		
Loans	\$ 1,192,281	\$ 1,167,608
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(25,845)	(25,497)
Net loans	1,166,436	1,142,111
Securities:		
Held to maturity, at cost (market value \$ 18,338 and \$16,317, respectively)	17,662	15,508
Available-for-sale, at market (amortized cost \$ 302,052 and \$ 313,111, respectively)	304,377	317,278
Total securities	322,039	332,786
Loans held for sale	6,969	15,648
Restricted equity securities	3,012	3,012
Federal funds sold	19,550	23,780
Total earning assets	1,518,006	1,517,337
Cash and due from banks	108,706	82,884
Bank premises and equipment, net	35,927	35,853
Accrued interest receivable	5,520	5,426
Deferred income tax asset	8,905	8,243
Other real estate	14,663	15,307

Edgar Filing: WILSON BANK HOLDING CO - Form 10-Q

Other assets	10,480	10,965
Goodwill	4,805	4,805
Total assets	\$ 1,707,012	\$ 1,680,820
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Deposits	\$ 1,515,356	\$ 1,493,922
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	9,276	10,584
Accrued interest and other liabilities	11,360	6,616
Total liabilities	1,535,992	1,511,122
Stockholders equity:		
Common stock, \$ 2.00 par value; authorized 15,000,000 shares, issued 7,458,952 and 7,419,204 shares, respectively	14,918	14,838
Additional paid-in capital	52,868	51,242
Retained earnings	101,798	101,046
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities, net of income taxes of \$ 889 and \$ 1,595 respectively	1,436	2,572
Total stockholders equity	171,020	169,698
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,707,012	\$ 1,680,820

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

## WILSON BANK HOLDING COMPANY

## Consolidated Statements of Earnings

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012

(Unaudited)

	2013	2012
Interest income:		
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 16,464	\$ 16,273
Interest and dividends on securities:		
Taxable securities	1,059	1,358
Exempt from Federal income taxes	146	101
Interest on loans held for sale	71	98
Interest on Federal funds sold	44	33
Interest and dividends on restricted securities	36	42
Total interest income	17,820	17,905
Interest expense:		
Interest on negotiable order of withdrawal accounts	396	506
Interest on money market and savings accounts	594	757
Interest on certificates of deposit	1,850	2,670
Interest on securities sold under repurchase agreements	13	14
Interest on Federal funds purchased		1
Total interest expense	2,853	3,948
Net interest income before provision for loan losses	14,967	13,957
Provision for loan losses	669	2,256
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	14,298	11,701
Non-interest income:		
Service charges on deposit accounts	940	1,210
Other fees and commissions	1,780	1,833
Gain on sale of loans	822	621
Gain on sale of other assets		3
Gain on sale of securities		23
Total non-interest income	3,542	3,690



Non-interest expense:		
Salaries and employee benefits	6,051	5,849
Occupancy expenses, net	618	625
Furniture and equipment expense	307	273
Data processing expense	408	309
Directors' fees	202	202
Litigation expense	2,500	
Other operating expenses	2,642	2,720
Loss on sale of other real estate	248	782
Loss on sale of other assets	2	
Total non-interest expense	12,978	10,760
3		
Earnings before income taxes	4,862	4,631
Income taxes	1,884	1,806
Net earnings	\$ 2,978	\$ 2,825
Weighted average number of shares outstanding-basic	7,445,701	7,331,514
Weighted average number of shares outstanding-diluted	7,450,543	7,337,322
Basic earnings per common share	\$ .40	\$ .39
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ .40	\$ .39
Dividends per share	\$ .30	\$ .30

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

WILSON BANK HOLDING COMPANY

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012

(Unaudited)

	2013	2012
Net earnings	\$ 2,978	\$ 2,825
Other comprehensive earnings, net of tax:		
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities arising during period, net of income taxes of \$ 706 and \$126, respectively	(1,136)	(201)
Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net earnings, net of taxes of \$ 0 and \$ 9, respectively		(14)
Other comprehensive earnings	(1,136)	(215)
Comprehensive earnings	\$ 1,842	\$ 2,610

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

## WILSON BANK HOLDING COMPANY

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012

Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents

(Unaudited)

	2013	2012
	(In Thousands)	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Interest received	\$ 18,750	\$ 18,811
Fees and commissions received	2,720	3,043
Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale	30,358	27,747
Origination of loans held for sale	(20,857)	(25,041)
Interest paid	(3,327)	(4,750)
Cash paid to suppliers and employees	(7,414)	(7,949)
Income taxes paid	(1,058)	(266)
Net cash provided by operating activities	19,172	11,595
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Proceeds from maturities, calls, and principal payments of held-to-maturity securities	1,133	475
Proceeds from maturities, calls, and principal payments of available-for-sale securities	28,607	53,278
Purchase of held-to-maturity securities	(3,314)	(1,386)
Purchase of available-for-sale securities	(18,545)	(68,490)
Loans made to customers, net of repayments	(25,584)	(19,271)
Purchase of premises and equipment	(463)	(476)
Proceeds from sale of other real estate	986	1,290
Proceeds from sale of other assets	2	12
Net cash used in investing activities	(17,178)	(34,568)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net increase in non-interest bearing, savings and NOW deposit accounts	36,046	71,637
Net decrease in time deposits	(14,612)	(24,982)
Net increase (decrease) in securities sold under repurchase agreements	(1,308)	578
Dividends paid	(2,226)	(2,191)
Proceeds from sale of common stock pursuant to dividend reinvestment	1,620	1,599

Edgar Filing: WILSON BANK HOLDING CO - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from exercise of stock options	78	75
Net cash provided by financing activities	19,598	46,716
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	21,592	23,743
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	106,664	54,174
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 128,256	\$ 77,917

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

6

---

	2013	2012
	(In Thousands)	
Reconciliation of net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Net earnings	\$ 2,978	\$ 2,825
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation, amortization, and accretion	1,413	1,263
Provision for loan losses	669	2,256
Loss on sale of other real estate	248	782
Loss (gain) on sale of other assets	2	(3)
Gain on sale of securities		(23)
Stock option compensation	8	6
Decrease in taxes payable	826	1,733
Decrease in loans held for sale	8,679	2,085
Increase in deferred tax assets		(193)
Decrease in other assets, net	481	190
Decrease (increase) in interest receivable	(94)	110
Increase in other liabilities	4,436	1,366
Decrease in interest payable	(474)	(802)
Total adjustments	\$ 16,194	\$ 8,770
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 19,172	\$ 11,595
Supplemental schedule of non-cash activities:		
Unrealized loss in values of securities available-for-sale, net of taxes of \$ 706 and \$ 135 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	\$ (1,136)	\$ (215)
Non-cash transfers from loans to other real estate	\$ 1,196	\$ 3,199
Non-cash transfers from other real estate to loans	\$ 606	\$ 86
Non-cash transfers from loans to other assets	\$ 0	\$ 9
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)		



WILSON BANK HOLDING COMPANY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

**Nature of Business** Wilson Bank Holding Company (the Company) is a bank holding company whose primary business is conducted by its wholly-owned subsidiary, Wilson Bank & Trust (the Bank). The Bank is a commercial bank headquartered in Lebanon, Tennessee. The Bank provides a full range of banking services in its primary market areas of Wilson, Davidson, Rutherford, Trousdale, Sumner, Dekalb, and Smith Counties, Tennessee.

**Basis of Presentation** The accompanying unaudited, consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with instructions to Form 10-Q and therefore do not include all information and footnotes necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. All adjustments consisting of normally recurring accruals that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations for the periods covered by the report have been included. The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing in the 2012 Annual Report previously filed on Form 10-K.

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary. Significant intercompany transactions and accounts are eliminated in consolidation.

**Use of Estimates** The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the balance sheet date and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term include the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of deferred tax assets, determination of any impairment of intangibles, other-than-temporary impairment of securities, the valuation of other real estate, and the fair value of financial instruments. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with Wilson Bank Holding Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. There have been no significant changes to Wilson Bank Holding Company's significant accounting policies as disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

**Loans** Loans are reported at their outstanding principal balances less unearned income, the allowance for loan losses and any deferred fees or costs on originated loans. Interest income on loans is accrued based on the principal balance outstanding. Loan origination fees, net of certain loan origination costs, are deferred and recognized as an adjustment to the related loan yield using a method which approximates the interest method.

Loans are charged off when management believes that the full collectability of the loan is unlikely. As such, a loan may be partially charged-off after a confirming event has occurred which serves to validate that full repayment pursuant to the terms of the loan is unlikely.

Loans are placed on nonaccrual status when there is a significant deterioration in the financial condition of the borrower, which often is determined when the principal or interest is more than 90 days past due, unless the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection. Generally, all interest accrued but not collected for loans that are placed on nonaccrual status, is reversed against current income. Interest income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received while the loan is classified as nonaccrual, but interest income recognition is reviewed on a case-by-case basis. A nonaccrual loan is returned to accruing status once the loan has been brought current and collection is reasonably assured or the loan has been well-secured through other techniques. Past due status is determined based on the contractual due date per the underlying loan agreement.

All loans that are placed on nonaccrual are further analyzed to determine if they should be classified as impaired loans. At December 31, 2012 and at March 31, 2013, there were no loans classified as nonaccrual that were not also deemed to be impaired except for those loans not individually evaluated for impairment as described below. A loan is considered to be impaired when it is probable the Company will be unable to collect all principal and interest payments due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. This determination is made using a variety of techniques, which include a review of the borrower's financial condition, debt-service coverage ratios, global cash flow analysis, guarantor support, other loan file information, meetings with borrowers, inspection or reappraisal of collateral and/or consultation with legal counsel as well as results of reviews of other similar industry credits (e.g. builder loans, development loans, church loans, etc). Generally, loans with an identified weakness and principal balance of \$100,000 or more are subject to individual identification for impairment. Individually identified impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected payments using the loan's original effective rate as the discount rate, the loan's observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the recorded investment in the impaired loan exceeds the measure



of fair value, a specific valuation allowance is established as a component of the allowance for loan losses or, in the case of collateral dependent loans, the excess is charged off. Changes to the valuation allowance are recorded as a component of the provision for loan losses. Any subsequent adjustments to present value calculations for impaired loan valuations as a result of the passage of time, such as changes in the anticipated payback period for repayment, are recorded as a component of the provision for loan losses. For loans less than \$100,000, the Company assigns a valuation allowance to these loans utilizing an allocation rate equal to the allocation rate calculated for loans of a similar type greater than \$100,000.

**Allowance for Loan Losses** The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level that management believes to be adequate to absorb probable losses in the loan portfolio. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when they are known. Subsequent recoveries are credited to the allowance. Management's determination of the adequacy of the allowance is based on an evaluation of the portfolio, current economic conditions, volume, growth, composition of the loan portfolio, homogeneous pools of loans, risk ratings of specific loans, historical loan loss factors, loss experience of various loan segments, identified impaired loans and other factors related to the portfolio. This evaluation is performed quarterly and is inherently subjective, as it requires material estimates that are susceptible to significant change including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on any impaired loans.

In assessing the adequacy of the allowance, we also consider the results of our ongoing independent loan review process. We undertake this process both to ascertain whether there are loans in the portfolio whose credit quality has weakened over time and to assist in our overall evaluation of the risk characteristics of the entire loan portfolio. Our loan review process includes the judgment of management, independent loan reviewers, and reviews that may have been conducted by third-party reviewers. We incorporate relevant loan review results in the loan impairment determination. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, will periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses, and may require the Company to record adjustments to the allowance based on their judgment about information available to them at the time of their examinations.

#### Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (Topic 820)-Fair Value Measurement (ASU 2011-04), to provide a consistent definition of fair value and ensure that the fair value measurement and disclosure requirements are similar between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU 2011-04 changes certain fair value measurement principles and enhances the disclosure requirements particularly for level 3 fair value measurements. ASU 2011-04 was effective for the Company during the first quarter of fiscal 2012 and was applied prospectively.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income Presentation of Comprehensive Income. This ASU eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of stockholders equity. Rather, it gives an entity the choice to present the components of net income and other comprehensive income in either a single continuous statement or two separate but consecutive statements. The components of comprehensive income and timing of reclassification of an item to net income do not change with this update. ASU 2011-05 requires retrospective application and is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company adopted this ASU in the first quarter of 2012 and has presented a separate Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles Goodwill and Other, regarding testing goodwill for impairment. The new guidance provides an entity the option to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If an entity does a qualitative assessment and determines that this is the case, or if a qualitative assessment is not performed, it is

required to perform a two-step goodwill impairment test to identify potential goodwill impairment and measure the amount of goodwill impairment loss to be recognized for that reporting unit (if any). Based on a qualitative assessment, if an entity determines that the fair value of a reporting unit is more than its carrying amount, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. The new guidance was adopted by the Company beginning January 1, 2012 and was used in its annual assessment as of December 31, 2012. The results of the qualitative assessment indicated that the fair value of the Company's reporting unit was more than its carrying value, and accordingly, the two-step goodwill impairment test was not performed.

Other than those pronouncements discussed above, there were no other recently issued accounting pronouncements that are expected to materially impact the Company.

## Note 2. Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

For financial reporting purposes, the Company classifies its loan portfolio based on the underlying collateral utilized to secure each loan. This classification is consistent with those utilized in the Quarterly Report of Condition and Income filed by the Bank with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ).

The following schedule details the loans of the Company at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	(In Thousands)	
	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Mortgage Loans on real estate		
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 339,930	\$ 341,977
Multifamily	16,107	16,140
Commercial	492,312	469,757
Construction and land development	199,620	190,356
Farmland	24,725	26,319
Second mortgages	12,369	12,477
Equity lines of credit	35,320	36,260
 Total mortgage loans on real estate	 1,120,383	 1,093,286
 Commercial loans	 29,293	 30,545
 Agricultural loans	 2,327	 2,238
Consumer installment loans		
Personal	37,830	38,463
Credit cards	2,955	3,250
 Total consumer installment loans	 40,785	 41,713
 Other loans	 2,499	 2,738
	1,195,287	1,170,520

Edgar Filing: WILSON BANK HOLDING CO - Form 10-Q

Net deferred loan fees	(3,006)	(2,912)
Total loans	1,192,281	1,167,608
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(25,845)	(25,497)
Net Loans	\$ 1,166,436	\$ 1,142,111

The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is assessed at the end of each calendar quarter. The level of the allowance is based upon evaluation of the loan portfolio, past loan loss experience, current asset quality trends, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrowers ability to repay (including the timing of future payment), the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, economic conditions, historical loss experience, industry and peer bank loan quality indications and other pertinent factors, including regulatory recommendations.

Edgar Filing: WILSON BANK HOLDING CO - Form 10-Q

Transactions in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and year ended December 31, 2012 are summarized as follows:

	(In Thousands)										
	Residential	Commercial					Equity			Installment	Total
	1-4	Real	Commercial	Construction	Farmland	Second	Lines	of	Commercial	and Other	
	Family	Multifamily	Residential	Commercial	Farmland	Mortgages	Credit	Commercial	Agricultural	and Other	
March 31, 2013											
Allowance for loan losses:											
Beginning balance	\$										
	5,699	89	9,305	7,191	1,658	272	492	382	15	394	25,497
Provision	544		186	186	(23)	(41)	(119)	(11)	(3)	(50)	669
Charge-offs	(130)		(194)				(56)	(6)		(56)	(442)
Recoveries	16		17	21	6	2		8	3	48	121
Ending balance	\$										
	6,129	89	9,314	7,398	1,641	233	317	373	15	336	25,845
Ending balance individually evaluated for impairment	\$										
	1,346		2,348	2,014	1,160	47	3				6,918
Ending balance collectively evaluated for impairment	\$										
	4,783	89	6,966	5,384	481	186	314	373	15	336	18,927
Ending balance loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$										
Loans:											
Ending balance	\$										
	339,930	16,107	92,312	199,620	24,725	12,369	35,320	29,293	2,327	43,284	419,528

Ending balance individually evaluated for impairment	\$	9,512	16,165	10,563	2,814	762	172		39,988			
Ending balance collectively evaluated for impairment	\$	330,418	16,107	76,147	189,052	1,911	11,607	35,148	29,293	2,327	43,284	155,299
Ending balance loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$											

11

---

	(In Thousands)									
	Residential		Commercial			Second		Equity	Installmen	
	1-4 Family	Multifamily	Real Estate	Construction	Farmland	Mortgages	Lines	Credit	Commercial	Agricultural and Other
							of			
December 31, 2012										
Allowance for loan losses:										
Beginning balance	\$ 5,414	54	8,242	6,223	1,829	326	653	1,309	19	456
Provision	1,557	35	5,021	3,020	284	62	(65)	(544)	(4)	162
Charge-offs	(1,331)		(4,057)	(2,226)	(462)	(120)	(96)	(454)		(412)
Recoveries	59		99	174	7	4		71		188
Ending balance	\$ 5,699	89	9,305	7,191	1,658	272	492	382	15	394
Ending balance individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,318		2,319	2,014	1,160	47	3			
Ending balance collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 4,381	89	6,986	5,177	498	225	489	382	15	394
Ending balance loans acquired with deteriorated	\$									

credit  
quality

Loans:

Ending balance	\$ 341,977	16,140	469,757	190,356	26,319	12,477	36,260	30,545	2,238	44,451
----------------	------------	--------	---------	---------	--------	--------	--------	--------	-------	--------

Ending balance individually evaluated for impairment

\$ 9,368		16,943	10,915	2,826	762	172				
----------	--	--------	--------	-------	-----	-----	--	--	--	--

Ending balance collectively evaluated for impairment

\$ 332,609	16,140	452,814	179,441	23,493	11,715	36,088	30,545	2,238	44,451
------------	--------	---------	---------	--------	--------	--------	--------	-------	--------

Ending balance loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality

\$



Edgar Filing: WILSON BANK HOLDING CO - Form 10-Q

At March 31, 2013, the Company had certain impaired loans of \$13,081,000 which were on non-accruing interest status. At December 31, 2012, the Company had certain impaired loans of \$16,855,000 which were on non-accruing interest status. In each case, at the date such loans were placed on nonaccrual status, the Company reversed all previously accrued interest income against current year earnings.

The following table presents the Company's impaired loans at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

	In Thousands				
	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
March 31, 2013					
With no related allowance recorded:					
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 3,668	3,598		3,508	65
Multifamily					
Commercial real estate	1,696	1,652		3,392	24
Construction	2,030	2,020		2,886	16
Farmland					
Second mortgages	606	606		606	
Equity lines of credit					
Commercial					
Agricultural					
	\$ 8,000	7,876		10,392	105
With allowance recorded:					
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 5,955	5,914	1,346	6,338	70
Multifamily					
Commercial real estate	14,556	15,205	2,348	13,040	113
Construction	8,553	10,353	2,014	9,334	
Farmland	2,819	2,814	1,160	2,832	14
Second mortgages	157	156	47	155	3
Equity lines of credit	172	172	3	223	2
Commercial					
Agricultural					
	\$ 33,212	34,614	6,918	31,922	202

Edgar Filing: WILSON BANK HOLDING CO - Form 10-Q

Total					
Residential 1-4 family	9,623	9,512	1,346	9,846	135
Multifamily					
Commercial real estate	16,252	16,857	2,348	16,432	137
Construction	10,583	12,373	2,014	12,220	16
Farmland	2,819	2,814	1,160	2,832	14
Second mortgages	763	762	47	761	3
Equity lines of credit	172	172	3	223	2
Commercial					
Agricultural					
	\$ 40,212	42,490	6,918	42,314	307

## In Thousands

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
December 31, 2012					
With no related allowance recorded:					
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 3,418	3,418		4,134	215
Multifamily				103	
Commercial real estate	4,439	5,439		5,371	66
Construction	1,952	4,252		6,166	74
Farmland				37	
Second mortgages	606	606		667	
Equity lines of credit					
Commercial					
Agricultural					
	\$ 10,415	13,715		16,478	355
With allowance recorded:					
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 5,950	5,950	1,318	6,084	325
Multifamily					
Commercial real estate	12,504	12,504	2,319	14,580	509
Construction	8,963	8,963	2,014	8,171	52
Farmland	2,826	2,826	1,160	3,155	57
Second mortgages	156	156	47	155	10
Equity lines of credit	172	172	3	223	9
Commercial				216	
Agricultural					
	\$ 30,571	30,571	6,861	32,584	962
Total					
Residential 1-4 family	9,368	9,368	1,318	10,218	540
Multifamily				103	
Commercial real estate	16,943	17,943	2,319	19,951	575
Construction	10,915	13,215	2,014	14,337	126
Farmland	2,826	2,826	1,160	3,192	57
Second mortgages	762	762	47	822	10

Edgar Filing: WILSON BANK HOLDING CO - Form 10-Q

Equity lines of credit	172	172	3	223	9
Commercial				216	
Agricultural					
	\$ 40,986	44,286	6,861	49,062	1,317

Impaired loans also include loans that the Company may elect to formally restructure due to the weakening credit status of a borrower such that the restructuring may facilitate a repayment plan that minimizes the potential losses that the Company may have to otherwise incur. These loans are classified as impaired loans and, if on non-accruing status as of the date of restructuring, the loans are included in the nonperforming loan balances noted above. Not included in nonperforming loans are loans that have been restructured that were performing as of the restructure date.

The following table outlines the amount of each troubled debt restructuring categorized by loan classification for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012:

	March 31, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Number of Contracts	Pre Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment, Net of Related Allowance	Number of Contracts	Pre Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment, Net of Related Allowance
Residential 1-4 family	1	\$ 314	\$ 314	1	\$ 365	\$ 275
Multifamily						
Commercial real estate				1	416	354
Construction				3	1,291	1,291
Farmland				1	1,445	595
Second mortgages						
Equity lines of credit						
Commercial						
Agricultural, installment and other				2	17	17
Total	1	\$ 314	\$ 314	8	\$ 3,534	\$ 2,532

Potential problem loans, which include nonperforming loans, amounted to approximately \$47.2 million at March 31, 2013 compared to \$49.4 million at December 31, 2012. Potential problem loans represent those loans with a well defined weakness and where information about possible credit problems of borrowers has caused management to have serious doubts about the borrower's ability to comply with present repayment terms. This definition is believed to be substantially consistent with the standards established by the FDIC, the Company's primary federal regulator, for loans classified as special mention, substandard, or doubtful, excluding the impact of nonperforming loans.

The following table presents our loan balances by primary loan classification and the amount classified within each risk rating category. Pass rated loans include all credits other than those included in special mention, substandard and doubtful which are defined as follows:

Special mention loans have potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the asset or in the

Company's credit position at some future date.

Substandard loans are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Assets so classified must have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize liquidation of the debt. Substandard loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful loans have all the characteristics of substandard loans with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. The Company considers all doubtful loans to be impaired and places the loan on nonaccrual status.

15

---

	(In Thousands)										
	Residential	Commercial	Commercial	Construction	Farmland	Second	Equity	Commercial	Agricultural	Installment	Total
	1-4 Family	Multifamily	Real Estate			Mortgages	Lines			and Other	
							of				
							Credit				
March 31, 2013											
Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade											
Pass	\$ 325,559	16,054	475,641	188,567	21,677	11,038	34,961	29,262	2,311	42,999	1,148,060
Special Mention	10,062	53	6,096	644	69	545	271	31	4	91	17,866
Substandard	4,309		10,575	10,409	2,979	786	88		12	194	29,350
Doubtful											
Total	\$ 339,930	16,107	492,312	199,620	24,725	12,369	35,320	29,293	2,327	43,284	1,195,286
December 31, 2012											
Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade											
Pass	\$ 326,648	16,087	452,350	179,114	23,253	11,123	35,756	30,499	2,215	44,057	1,121,100
Special Mention	9,969	53	5,699	282	71	477	295	32	5	98	16,988
Substandard	5,360	0	11,708	10,960	2,995	877	209	14	18	296	32,432
Doubtful	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	\$ 341,977	16,140	469,757	190,356	26,319	12,477	36,260	30,545	2,238	44,451	1,170,526





## Note 3. Debt and Equity Securities

Debt and equity securities have been classified in the consolidated balance sheet according to management's intent.

Debt and equity securities at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are summarized as follows:

March 31, 2013  
Securities Available-For-Sale

In Thousands

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Market Value
U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs)*	\$ 126,961	\$ 333	\$ 403	\$ 126,891
Mortgage-backed:				
GSE residential	161,329	2,376	36	163,669
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	13,762	177	122	13,817
	\$ 302,052	\$ 2,886	\$ 561	\$ 304,377

March 31, 2013  
Securities Held-To-Maturity

In Thousands

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Market Value
Mortgage-backed:				
GSE residential	\$ 2,836	\$ 100	\$ 24	\$ 2,936
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	14,826	600		15,402

\$ 17,662	\$ 700	\$ 24	\$ 18,338
-----------	--------	-------	-----------

\* Such as Federal National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Federal Home Loan Banks, Federal Farm Credit Banks, and Government National Mortgage Association.

December 31, 2012  
Securities Available-For-Sale

In Thousands

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Market Value
U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs)*	\$ 122,110	\$ 643	\$ 55	\$ 122,698
Mortgage-backed:				
GSE residential	177,787	3,373	32	181,128
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	13,214	267	29	13,452
	\$ 313,111	\$ 4,283	\$ 116	\$ 317,278

December 31, 2012  
Securities Held-To-Maturity

In Thousands

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Market Value
Mortgage-backed:				
GSE residential	\$ 2,918	\$ 122	\$	\$ 3,040
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	12,590	687		13,277
	\$ 15,508	\$ 809	\$	\$ 16,317

\* Such as Federal National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Federal Home Loan Banks, Federal Farm Credit Banks, and Government National Mortgage Association.

The amortized cost and estimated market value of debt securities at March 31, 2013, by contractual maturity, are shown below.

Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Held-to-Maturity		Available-for-sale	
	In Thousands			
	Amortized Cost	Estimated Market Value	Amortized Cost	Estimated Market Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 1,318	\$ 1,327	\$ 2,009	\$ 2,012
Due after one year through five years	6,133	6,445	30,177	30,261
Due after five years through ten years	4,233	4,401	188,723	189,910
Due after ten years	5,978	6,165	81,143	82,194
	\$ 17,662	\$ 18,338	\$ 302,052	\$ 304,377

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of the Company's investments with unrealized losses that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

In Thousands, Except Number of Securities

	Less than 12 Months			12 Months or More			Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Number of Securities Included	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Number of Securities Included	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
March 31, 2013								
Held to Maturity Securities:								
Mortgage-backed:								
GSE residential	\$	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	3,406	24	11				3,406	24
	\$ 3,406	\$ 24	11	\$			\$ 3,406	\$ 24

Available-for-Sale Securities:

US Government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs)	\$ 72,864	\$ 403	24	\$	\$	\$ 72,864	\$ 403
Mortgage-backed: GSE residential	15,465	36	7			15,465	36
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	5,887	122	17			5,887	122
	\$ 94,216	\$ 561	48	\$	\$	\$ 94,216	\$ 561

In Thousands, Except Number of Securities

	Less than 12 Months			12 Months or More			Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Number of Securities Included	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Number of Securities Included	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
December 31, 2012								
Held to Maturity Securities:								
Mortgage-backed: GSE residential	\$	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$
Available-for-Sale Securities:								
U.S. Government-Sponsored enterprises (GSEs)	\$ 22,159	\$ 55	9	\$	\$		\$ 22,159	\$ 55
Mortgage-backed: GSE residential	7,244	32	3				7,244	32

Obligations of states and political subdivisions	3,398	29	10			3,398	29
	\$ 32,801	\$ 116	22	\$	\$	\$ 32,801	\$ 116

Because the Company does not intend to sell these securities and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the securities before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be at maturity, the Company does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at March 31, 2013.

The carrying values of the Company's investment securities could decline in the future if the financial condition of issuers deteriorate and management determines it is probable that the Company will not recover the entire amortized cost bases of the securities. As a result, there is a risk that other-than-temporary impairment charges may occur in the future given the current economic environment.

#### Note 4. Earnings Per Share

The computation of basic earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted earnings per share for the Company begins with the basic earnings per share plus the effect of common shares contingently issuable from stock options.

The following is a summary of components comprising basic and diluted earnings per share ( EPS ) for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2013	2012
	(Dollars in Thousands Except Per Share Amounts)	
Basic EPS Computation:		
Numerator Earnings available to common Stockholders	\$ 2,978	\$ 2,825
Denominator Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	7,445,701	7,331,514
Basic earnings per common share	\$ .40	\$ .39
Diluted EPS Computation:		
Numerator Earnings available to common Stockholders	\$ 2,978	\$ 2,825
Denominator Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	7,445,701	7,331,514
Dilutive effect of stock options	4,842	5,808
	7,450,543	7,337,322
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ .40	\$ .39

#### Note 5. Comprehensive Income

The following is a summary of the various components comprising comprehensive income and the reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income for the period ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

March 31, 2013	Unrealized Gains and Losses on Available-for-Sale Securities

Beginning Balance	\$ 2,572
Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications	(1,136)
Amount reclassified from accumulated other Comprehensive income	
Net current-period other comprehensive income (loss)	(1,136)
Ending balance	\$ 1,436

There were no reclassifications out of other comprehensive income in the period ended March 31, 2013.

	Unrealized Gains and Losses on Available-for-Sale Securities
March 31, 2012	
Beginning Balance	\$ 865
Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications	(201)
Amount reclassified from accumulated other Comprehensive income (loss)	(14)
Net current-period other comprehensive income	(215)
Ending balance	\$ 650



March 31, 2013

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Affected Line Item in the Statement where Net Income is Presented
Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities		Realized gain/(loss) on sale of securities
		Total before tax
		Tax (expense) or benefit
		Net of tax
Total reclassifications for the periods		

March 31, 2012

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Affected Line Item in the Statement where Net Income is Presented
Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities		Realized gain/(loss) on sale of securities
	23	
	23	Total before tax
	(9)	Tax (expense) or benefit
	14	Net of tax
Total reclassifications for the periods	14	

Note 6. Income Taxes

Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) 740, Income Taxes, defines the threshold for recognizing the benefits of tax return positions in the financial statements as more-likely-than-not to be sustained by the taxing authority. This section

also provides guidance on the derecognition, measurement and classification of income tax uncertainties, along with any related interest and penalties, and includes guidance concerning accounting for income tax uncertainties in interim periods. As of March 31, 2013, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits related to Federal or State income tax matters and does not anticipate any material increase or decrease in unrecognized tax benefits relative to any tax positions taken prior to March 31, 2013.

As of March 31, 2013, the Company has accrued no interest and no penalties related to uncertain tax positions. The Company's policy is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

The Company and its subsidiaries file consolidated U.S. Federal and state of Tennessee income tax returns. The Company is currently open to audit under the statute of limitations by the state of Tennessee for the years ended December 31, 2010 through 2012 and the IRS for the years ended December 31, 2010 through 2012.

#### Note 7. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

In the normal course of business, the Company has entered into off-balance sheet financial instruments which include commitments to extend credit (i.e., including unfunded lines of credit) and standby letters of credit. Commitments to extend credit are usually the result of lines of credit granted to existing borrowers under agreements that the total outstanding indebtedness will not exceed a specific amount during the term of the indebtedness. Typical borrowers are commercial concerns that use lines of credit to supplement their treasury management functions, thus their total outstanding indebtedness may fluctuate during any time period based on the seasonality of their business and the resultant timing of their cash flows. Other typical lines of credit are related to home equity loans granted to consumers. Commitments to extend credit generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee.

Standby letters of credit are generally issued on behalf of an applicant (our customer) to a specifically named beneficiary and are the result of a particular business arrangement that exists between the applicant and the beneficiary. Standby letters of credit have fixed expiration dates and are usually for terms of two years or less unless terminated beforehand due to criteria specified in the standby letter of credit. A typical arrangement involves the applicant routinely being indebted to the beneficiary for such items as inventory purchases, insurance, utilities, lease guarantees or other third party commercial transactions. The standby letter of credit would permit the beneficiary to obtain payment from the Company under certain prescribed circumstances. Subsequently, the Company would then seek reimbursement from the applicant pursuant to the terms of the standby letter of credit.

The Company follows the same credit policies and underwriting practices when making these commitments as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. Each customer's creditworthiness is evaluated on a case-by-case basis, and the amount of collateral obtained, if any, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer. Collateral held varies but may include cash, real estate and improvements, marketable securities, accounts receivable, inventory, equipment, and personal property.

The contractual amounts of these commitments are not reflected in the consolidated financial statements and would only be reflected if drawn upon. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the contractual amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. However, should the commitments be drawn upon and should our customers default on their resulting obligation to us, the Company's maximum exposure to credit loss, without consideration of collateral, is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments.

A summary of the Company's total contractual amount for all off-balance sheet commitments at March 31, 2013 is as follows:

Commitments to extend credit	\$203,175,000
Standby letters of credit	24,212,000

The Company originates residential mortgage loans, sells them to third-party purchasers, and does not retain the servicing rights. These loans are originated internally and are primarily to borrowers in the Company's geographic market footprint. These sales are typically on a best efforts basis to investors that follow conventional government sponsored entities (GSE) and the Department of Housing and Urban Development/U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (HUD/VA) guidelines. Generally, loans held for sale are underwritten by the Company, including HUD/VA loans.

Each purchaser has specific guidelines and criteria for sellers of loans, and the risk of credit loss with regard to the principal amount of the loans sold is generally transferred to the purchasers upon sale. While the loans are sold without recourse, the purchase agreements require the Company to make certain representations and warranties regarding the existence and sufficiency of file documentation and the absence of fraud by borrowers or other third parties such as appraisers in connection with obtaining the loan. If it is determined that the loans sold were in breach of these representations or warranties, the Company has obligations to either repurchase the loan for the unpaid principal balance and related investor fees or make the purchaser whole for the economic benefits of the loan.

To date, repurchase activity pursuant to the terms of these representations and warranties has been insignificant and has resulted in insignificant losses to the Company.

Based on information currently available, management believes that it does not have significant exposure to contingent losses that may arise relating to the representations and warranties that it has made in connection with its mortgage loan sales.

Various legal claims also arise from time to time in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the resolution of these claims outstanding at March 31, 2013 will not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements. See further discussion regarding these claims in Part II, Item 1, Legal Proceedings .

Note 8. Fair Value Measurements

FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in U.S. GAAP generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The definition of fair value focuses on the exit price, i.e., the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, not the entry price, i.e., the price that would be paid to acquire the asset or received to assume the liability at the measurement date. The statement emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement; not an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, the fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

#### Valuation Hierarchy

FASB ASC 820 establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.

Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such assets and liabilities pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

#### Assets

**Securities available for sale** Where quoted prices are available for identical securities in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities include highly liquid government securities and certain other financial products. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models that use observable inputs or quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. In certain cases where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation and more complex pricing models or discounted cash flows are used, securities are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

**Impaired loans** A loan is considered to be impaired when it is probable the Company will be unable to collect all principal and interest payments due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected payments using the loan's original effective rate as the discount rate, the loan's observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral less selling costs if the loan is collateral dependent. If the recorded investment in the impaired loan exceeds the measure of fair value, a valuation allowance may be established as a component of the allowance for loan losses or the expense is recognized as a charge-off. Impaired loans are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy due to the unobservable inputs used in determining their fair value such as collateral values and the borrower's underlying financial condition.

**Other real estate owned** Other real estate owned (OREO) represents real estate foreclosed upon by the Company through loan defaults by customers or acquired in lieu of foreclosure. Substantially all of these amounts relate to construction and land development, other land secured loans, and commercial real estate loans for which the Company believes it has adequate collateral. Upon foreclosure, the property is recorded at the lower of cost or fair value, based on appraised value, less selling costs estimate as of the date acquired with any loss recognized as a charge-off through the allowance for loan losses. Additional OREO losses for subsequent valuation downward adjustments are determined on a specific property basis and are included as a component of noninterest expense along with holding costs. Any gains or losses realized at the time of disposal are also reflected in noninterest expense, as applicable. OREO is included in Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy due to the lack of observable market inputs into the determination of fair value. Appraisal values are property-specific and sensitive to the changes in the overall economic environment.

**Other assets** Included in other assets are certain assets carried at fair value, including the cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance policies and annuity contracts. The Company uses financial information received from insurance carriers indicating the performance of the insurance policies and cash surrender values in determining the carrying value of life insurance. The Company reflects these assets within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy due to unobservable inputs included in the valuation of these items. The Company does not consider the fair values of these policies to be materially sensitive to changes in these unobservable inputs.

Edgar Filing: WILSON BANK HOLDING CO - Form 10-Q

The following tables present the financial instruments carried at fair value as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 by caption on the consolidated balance sheet and by FASB ASC 820 valuation hierarchy (as described above)(in thousands):

	Total Carrying Value in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Quoted Prices in an Active Market (Level 1)	Models with Significant Observable Market Parameters (Level 2)	Models with Significant Unobservable Market Parameters (Level 3)
March 31, 2013:				
Investment securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. Government sponsored enterprises	\$ 126,891		126,891	
Mortgage-backed securities	163,669		163,669	
State and municipal securities	13,817		13,817	
Total investment securities available-for-sale	304,377		304,377	
Other assets	6,338			6,338
Total assets at fair value	\$ 310,715		304,377	6,338
December 31, 2012				
Investment securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. Government sponsored enterprises	\$ 122,698		122,698	
Mortgage-backed securities	181,128		181,128	
State and municipal securities	13,452		13,452	
Total investment securities available-for-sale	317,278		317,278	
Other assets	6,315			6,315
Total assets at fair value	\$ 323,593		317,278	6,315

Edgar Filing: WILSON BANK HOLDING CO - Form 10-Q

	Total Carrying Value in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Quoted Market Prices in an Active Market (Level 1)	Models with Significant Observable Market Parameters (Level 2)	Models with Significant Unobservable Market Parameters (Level 3)
March 31, 2013:				
Other real estate owned	\$ 14,663	\$	\$	14,663
Impaired loans, net <sup>(1)</sup>	33,070			33,070
Total	\$ 47,733			47,733
December 31, 2012				
Other real estate owned	\$ 15,307			15,307
Impaired loans, net <sup>(1)</sup>	34,125			34,125
Total	\$ 49,432			49,432

(1) Amount is net of a valuation allowance of \$6.9 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 as required by ASC 310-10, Receivables.

In the case of the bond portfolio, the Company monitors the valuation technique utilized by various pricing agencies to ascertain when transfers between levels have been affected. The nature of the remaining assets and liabilities is such that transfers in and out of any level are expected to be rare. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, there were no transfers between Levels 1, 2 or 3.

The table below includes a roll forward of the balance sheet amounts for the three months ended March 31, 2013 (including the change in fair value) for financial instruments classified by the Company within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. When a determination is made to classify a financial instrument within Level 3

of the valuation hierarchy, the determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurements. However, since Level 3 financial instruments typically include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 components, observable components (that is, components that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources), the gains and losses in the table below include changes in fair value due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology (in thousands):

## For the Three Months Ended March 31,

	2013		2012	
	Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities
Fair value, January 1	\$ 6,315	\$	\$ 2,001	\$
Total realized gains included in income	23		14	
Change in unrealized gains/losses included in other comprehensive income for assets and liabilities still held at March 31				
Purchases, issuances and settlements, net			263	
Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3				
Fair value, March 31	\$ 6,338	\$ 0	\$ 2,278	\$
Total realized gains included in income related to financial assets and liabilities still on the consolidated balance sheet at March 31	\$	\$	\$	\$

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating its fair value disclosures for financial instruments that are not measured at fair value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using discounted cash flow models. Those models are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rates, and estimates of future cash flows and borrower creditworthiness. In that regard, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, could not be realized in immediate settlement of the instrument. The use of different methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts. The fair value estimates presented herein are based on pertinent information available to management as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Such amounts have not been revalued for purposes of these consolidated financial statements since those dates and, therefore, current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein.

**Held-to-maturity securities** Estimated fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market prices where available. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models that use observable inputs or quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics.

**Loans** The fair value of our loan portfolio includes a credit risk factor in the determination of the fair value of our loans. This credit risk assumption is intended to approximate the fair value that a market participant would realize in a



hypothetical orderly transaction. Our loan portfolio is initially fair valued using a segmented approach. We divide our loan portfolio into the following categories: variable rate loans, impaired loans and all other loans. The results are then adjusted to account for credit risk.

For variable-rate loans that reprice frequently and have no significant change in credit risk, fair values approximate carrying values. Fair values for impaired loans are estimated using discounted cash flow models or based on the fair value of the underlying collateral. For other loans, fair values are estimated using discounted cash flow models, using current market interest rates offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. The values derived from the discounted cash flow approach for each of the above portfolios are then further discounted to incorporate credit risk to determine the exit price.

**Mortgage loans held-for-sale** Mortgage loans held-for-sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. The estimate of fair value is equal to the carrying value of these loans as they are usually sold within a few weeks of their origination.

**Deposits and Securities sold under agreements to repurchase** The carrying amounts of demand deposits, savings deposits, and securities sold under agreements to repurchase, approximate their fair values. Fair values for certificates of deposit are estimated using discounted cash flow models, using current market interest rates offered on certificates with similar remaining maturities.

**Off- Balance Sheet Instruments** The fair values of the Company's off-balance-sheet financial instruments are based on fees charged to enter into similar agreements. However, commitments to extend credit do not represent a significant value to the Company until such commitments are funded.

The following table presents the carrying amounts, estimated fair value and placement in the fair value hierarchy of the Company's financial instruments at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. This table excludes financial instruments for which the carrying amount approximates fair value. For short-term financial assets such as cash and cash equivalents, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value due to the relatively short time between the origination of the instrument and its expected realization. For financial liabilities such as noninterest bearing demand, interest-bearing demand, and savings deposits, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value due to these products having no stated maturity.

(in Thousands)	Carrying/ Notional Amount	Estimated Fair Value ( <sup>1</sup> )	Quoted Market Prices in an Active Market (Level 1)	Models with Significant Observable Parameters (Level 2)	Models with Significant Unobservable Parameters (Level 3)
March 31, 2013					
Financial assets:					
Securities held-to-maturity	\$ 17,662	18,338		18,338	
Loans, net	1,166,436	1,188,202			1,188,202
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	6,969	6,969			6,969
Financial liabilities					
Deposits and securities under agreements to repurchase	1,524,632	1,526,051			1,526,051
Off-balance sheet instruments					
Commitments to extend credit				-	
Standby letters of credit					
December 31, 2012					
Financial assets:					
Securities held-to-maturity	\$ 15,508	16,317		16,317	
Loans, net	1,142,111	1,166,664			1,166,664
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	15,648	15,648			15,648
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	1,504,506	1,506,186			1,506,186
Off-balance sheet instruments:					
Commitments to extend credit					

Standby letters of credit

(1)Estimated fair values are consistent with an exit-price concept. The assumptions used to estimate the fair values are intended to approximate those that a market-participant would realize in a hypothetical orderly transaction.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The purpose of this discussion is to provide insight into the financial condition and results of operations of the Company and its bank subsidiary. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this report. Reference should also be made to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for a more complete discussion of factors that impact liquidity, capital and the results of operations.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements regarding, among other things, the anticipated financial and operating results of the Company. Investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly release any modifications or revisions to these

forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

In connection with the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Company cautions investors that future financial and operating results may differ materially from those projected in forward-looking statements made by, or on behalf of, the Company. The words expect, intend, should, may, could, believe, suspect, anticipate, seek, plan, estimate and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements, but other statements not based on historical fact may also be considered forward-looking. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to those described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, and also include, without limitation, (i) deterioration in the financial condition of borrowers resulting in significant increases in loan losses and provisions for these losses, (ii) greater than anticipated deterioration in the real estate market conditions in the Company's market areas, (iii) increased competition with other financial institutions, (iv) the deterioration of the economy in the Company's market area, (v) continuation of the extremely low short-term interest rate environment or rapid fluctuations in short-term interest rates, (vi) significant downturns in the business of one or more large customers, (vii) the inability of the Company to comply with regulatory capital requirements, including those resulting from recently proposed changes to capital calculation methodologies and required capital maintenance levels; (viii) changes in state or Federal regulations, policies, or legislation applicable to banks and other financial service providers, including regulatory or legislative developments arising out of current unsettled conditions in the economy, including implementation of the Dodd Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, (ix) changes in capital levels and loan underwriting, credit review or loss reserve policies associated with economic conditions, examination conclusions, or regulatory developments, (x) inadequate allowance for loan losses, (xi) the adequacy of the reserves established by the Company to satisfy the portion of any judgment in the lawsuits described below under Part II, Item 1, Legal Proceedings not covered by insurance, (xii) the effectiveness of the Company's activities in improving, resolving or liquidating lower quality assets, (xiii) results of regulatory examinations, and (xiv) loss of key personnel. These risks and uncertainties may cause the actual results or performance of the Company to be materially different from any future results or performance expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The Company's future operating results depend on a number of factors which were derived utilizing numerous assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in forward-looking statements.

#### Critical Accounting Estimates

The accounting principles we follow and our methods of applying these principles conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and with general practices within the banking industry. In connection with the application of those principles, we have made judgments and estimates which, in the case of the determination of our allowance for loan losses and the assessment of impairment of the intangibles resulting from our mergers with Dekalb Community Bank and Community Bank of Smith County in 2005 have been critical to the determination of our financial position and results of operations. There have been no significant changes to our critical accounting policies as discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Allowance for Loan Losses ( allowance ). Our management assesses the adequacy of the allowance prior to the end of each calendar quarter. This assessment includes procedures to estimate the allowance and test the adequacy and appropriateness of the resulting balance. The level of the allowance is based upon management's evaluation of the loan portfolio, past loan loss experience, current asset quality trends, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay (including the timing of future payment), the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, economic conditions, industry and peer bank loan quality indications and other pertinent factors, including regulatory recommendations. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans that may be susceptible to significant change. Loan losses are charged off when

management believes that the full collectability of the loan is unlikely. A loan may be partially charged-off after a confirming event has occurred which serves to validate that full repayment pursuant to the terms of the loan is unlikely. Allocation of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, is deemed to be uncollectible.

A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Collection of all amounts due according to the contractual terms means that both the interest and principal payments of a loan will be collected as scheduled in the loan agreement.

An impairment allowance is recognized if the fair value of the loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan (recorded investment in the loan is the principal balance plus any accrued interest, net of deferred loan fees or costs and unamortized premium or discount). The impairment is recognized through the allowance. Loans that are impaired are recorded at the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or if the loan is collateral dependent, impairment measurement is based on the fair value of the collateral, less estimated disposal costs. If the measure of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, the Company recognizes an impairment by creating a valuation allowance with a corresponding charge to the provision for loan losses or by adjusting an existing valuation allowance for the impaired loan with a corresponding charge or credit to

27

---

the provision for loan losses. Management believes it follows appropriate accounting and regulatory guidance in determining impairment and accrual status of impaired loans.

The level of allowance maintained is believed by management to be adequate to absorb probable losses inherent in the portfolio at the balance sheet date. The allowance is increased by provisions charged to expense and decreased by charge-offs, net of recoveries of amounts previously charged-off.

In assessing the adequacy of the allowance, we also consider the results of our ongoing loan review process. We undertake this process both to ascertain whether there are loans in the portfolio whose credit quality has weakened over time and to assist in our overall evaluation of the risk characteristics of the entire loan portfolio. Our loan review process includes the judgment of management, the input from our independent loan reviewers, and reviews that may have been conducted by bank regulatory agencies as part of their usual examination process. We incorporate loan review results in the determination of whether or not it is probable that we will be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of a loan.

As part of management's quarterly assessment of the allowance, management divides the loan portfolio into twelve segments based on bank call reporting requirements. Each segment is then analyzed such that an allocation of the allowance is estimated for each loan segment.

The allowance allocation begins with a process of estimating the probable losses in each of the twelve loan segments. The estimates for these loans are based on our historical loss data for that category over the last twelve quarters.

The estimated loan loss allocation for all twelve loan portfolio segments is then adjusted for several environmental factors. The allocation for environmental factors is particularly subjective and does not lend itself to exact mathematical calculation. This amount represents estimated probable inherent credit losses which exist, but have not yet been identified, as of the balance sheet date, and are based upon quarterly trend assessments in delinquent and nonaccrual loans, unanticipated charge-offs, credit concentration changes, prevailing economic conditions, changes in lending personnel experience, changes in lending policies or procedures and other influencing factors. These environmental factors are considered for each of the twelve loan segments and the allowance allocation, as determined by the processes noted above for each component, is increased or decreased based on the incremental assessment of these various environmental factors.

We then test the resulting allowance by comparing the balance in the allowance to industry and peer information. Our management then evaluates the result of the procedures performed, including the result of our testing, and concludes on the appropriateness of the balance of the allowance in its entirety. The board of directors reviews and approves the assessment prior to the filing of quarterly and annual financial information.

**Other-than-temporary Impairment.** A decline in the fair value of any available-for-sale or held-to-maturity security below cost that is deemed to be other-than-temporary results in a reduction in the carrying amount of the security. To determine whether impairment is other-than-temporary, management considers whether the entity expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security by reviewing the present value of the future cash flows associated with the security. The shortfall of the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected in relation to the amortized cost basis is referred to as a credit loss and is deemed to be other-than temporary impairment. If a credit loss is identified, the credit loss is recognized as a charge to earnings and a new cost basis for the security is established. If management concludes that no credit loss exists and it is not more-likely-than-not that the Company will be required to sell the security before maturity, then the security is not other-than-temporarily impaired and the shortfall is recorded as a component of equity.

## Results of Operations

Net earnings increased 5.42% to \$2,978,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from \$2,825,000 in the first three months of 2012. The increase in net earnings for the period ended March 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 was related primarily to an increase in net interest income, offset in part by an increase in noninterest expense which includes \$2,500,000 in litigation expense. Net yield on earning assets was 3.72% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 3.75% for the same period in 2012, and the net interest spread was 3.60% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and the three months ended March 31, 2012. The reduction in yields on earning assets contributed to the decline in net interest spread in first quarter of 2013 as compared to the comparable period in 2012

Edgar Filing: WILSON BANK HOLDING CO - Form 10-Q

The average balances, interest, and average rates for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012 are presented in the following table:

	March 31, 2013			March 31, 2012		
	Average Balance	Interest Rate	Income/Expense	Average Balance	Interest Rate	Income/Expense
Loans, net of unearned interest	\$					
	1,175,741	5.60%	16,464	\$ 1,125,503	5.78%	16,273
Investment securities taxable	307,030	1.38	1,059	309,307	1.76	1,358
Investment securities tax exempt	26,004	2.25	146	12,896	3.14	101
Taxable equivalent adjustment		1.16	75		1.62	52
Total tax-exempt investment securities	26,004	3.41	221	12,896	4.76	153
Total investment securities	333,034	1.54	1,280	322,203	1.88	1,511
Loans held for sale	9,685	2.93	71	12,068	3.24	98
Federal funds sold	94,861	0.19	44	30,215	.43	33
Restricted equity securities	3,012	4.78	36	3,012	5.63	42
Total earning assets	1,616,333	4.43%	17,895	1,493,001	4.80%	17,957
Cash and due from banks	10,092			43,834		
Allowance for loan losses	(26,791)			(25,754)		
Bank premises and equipment	35,882			35,466		
Other assets	44,822			46,314		
Total assets	\$ 1,680,338			\$ 1,592,861		



Edgar Filing: WILSON BANK HOLDING CO - Form 10-Q

	March 31, 2013			March 31, 2012		
	Average Balance	Interest Rate	Income/ Expense	Average Balance	Interest Rate	Income/ Expense
Deposits:						
Negotiable order of withdrawal accounts	\$ 305,866	.52%	396	\$ 262,521	0.77%	506
Money market demand accounts	353,819	.51	448	309,563	0.72	555
Individual retirement accounts	98,422	1.37	336	98,439	1.87	460
Other savings deposits	96,281	.61	146	94,676	.85	202
Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and over	256,558	1.23	789	265,087	1.68	1,116
Certificates of deposit under \$100,000	258,929	1.12	725	281,542	1.55	1,094
Total interest-bearing deposits	1,369,875	.83	2,840	1,311,828	1.20	3,933
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	9,654	.54	13	7,303	0.77	14
Federal funds purchased	(9)			384	1.04	1
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank						
Total interest-bearing Liabilities	1,379,520	.83	2,853	1,319,515	1.20	3,948
Demand deposits	124,013			108,966		
Other liabilities	7,620			7,275		
Stockholders equity	169,185			157,105		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,680,338			\$ 1,592,861		
Net interest income			\$15,042			\$14,009
Net yield on earning assets <sup>(1)</sup>		3.72%			3.75%	
Net interest spread <sup>(2)</sup>		3.60%			3.60%	

<sup>(1)</sup>Net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

<sup>(2)</sup>Average interest rate on interest-earning assets less average interest rate on interest-bearing liabilities.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income represents the amount by which interest earned on various earning assets exceeds interest paid on deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities and is the most significant component of the Company's earnings.

Reflecting a reduction in loan yields that outpaced loan growth, the Company's total interest income, excluding tax equivalent adjustments relating to tax exempt securities, decreased \$85,000, or 0.47%, during the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. The ratio of average earning assets to total average assets was 96.2% and 93.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012, respectively.

Interest expense decreased \$1,095,000, or 27.74%, for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 as compared to the prior year's comparable period was primarily due to a decrease in the rates paid on deposits, particularly time deposits, reflecting the low interest rate environment and a shift in the mix of deposits from certificates of deposits to transaction and money market accounts. Interest expense declined more than interest income which resulted in an increase in net interest income, before the provision for loan losses, of \$1,010,000 to \$14,967,000 for the first three months of 2013 as compared to \$13,957,000 the same period in 2012.

#### Provision for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses totaled \$25,845,000 as of March 31, 2013 compared to \$25,497,000 as of December 2012 and \$25,801,000 as of March 31, 2012. An analytical model based on historical loss experience, current trends and economic conditions as well as reasonably foreseeable events is used to determine the amount of provision to be recognized and to test the adequacy of the loan loss allowance. The volume of net loans charged off for first quarter of 2013 totaled approximately \$321,000 compared to approximately \$980,000 and \$2,100,000 for the first quarters of 2012 and 2011, respectively. Overall, net charge offs were down for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 due to an overall improvement in the Bank's loan portfolio and the Bank having partially charged down impaired loans in 2012. Although the Bank has experienced modest loan growth of 2% and an overall stabilization in the loan portfolio, in accordance with the Bank's quarterly allowance calculation management continues to fund the allowance for loan losses

through general provisions. Reflecting the improving asset quality trends experienced by the Bank in the first quarter of 2013, the provision for loan losses during the quarter ended March 31, 2013 was \$669,000, down \$1,587,000 from the \$2,256,000 incurred in the first quarter of 2012.

The allowance for loan losses is based on past loan experience and other factors which, in management's judgment, deserve current recognition in estimating possible loan losses. Such factors include growth and composition of the loan portfolio, review of specific problem loans, review of updated appraisals and borrower financial information, the recommendations of the Company's regulators, and current economic conditions that may affect the borrower's ability to repay. Management has in place a system designed for monitoring its loan portfolio and identifying potential problem loans. The provision for loan losses raised the allowance for loan losses (net of charge-offs and recoveries) to \$25,845,000, an increase of 1.36% from \$25,497,000 at December 31, 2012 and a decrease of \$44,000, or 0.17%, from March 31, 2012. The allowance for loan losses was 2.17%, 2.18%, and 2.27% of total loans at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012.

Management believes the allowance for loan losses at March 31, 2013 to be adequate, but if economic conditions deteriorate beyond management's current expectations and additional charge-offs are incurred, the allowance for loan losses may require an increase through additional provision for loan losses which would negatively impact earnings.

#### Non-Interest Income

The components of the Company's non-interest income include service charges on deposit accounts, other fees and commissions and gain on sale of loans. Total non-interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased 4.01% to \$3,542,000 from \$3,690,000 for the same period in 2012. The Company's non-interest income in the first three months of 2013 decreased from the first three months of 2012 in part due to a decrease in service charges on deposit accounts as well as a decrease in other fees and commissions, partially offset by an increase in gain on sale of loans. Gain on sale of loans increased \$201,000, or 32.37%, to \$822,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in gain on sale of loans during the three months ended March 31, 2013 related primarily to the increase in mortgage originations and refinancing which occurred during the last quarter of 2012. Service charges on deposit accounts decreased \$270,000, or 22.31%, to \$940,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 as a result of customers slowing their spending due to the weakened economy and the impact beginning in 2011 of changes to federal regulations related to service charges on ATM and point of sale debit card transactions. Other fees and commissions decreased \$53,000, or 2.89%, to \$1,780,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012, relating primarily to a reduction in brokerage income during the two time periods. Other fees and commissions include income on brokerage accounts, insurance policies sold, debit card interchange fee income and various other fees.

#### Non-Interest Expenses

Non-interest expenses consist primarily of employee costs, occupancy expenses, furniture and equipment expenses, advertising and marketing expenses, FDIC premiums, data processing expenses, director's fees, loss on sale of other real estate, and other operating expenses. Total non-interest expenses increased \$2,218,000, or 20.61%, to \$12,978,000 during the first three months of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in non-interest expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 when compared to the comparable period in 2012 is primarily attributable to an increase in litigation expense. The increase in litigation expense is primarily due to the Company establishing a litigation reserve in the quarter ended March 31, 2013 in the amount of \$2,500,000 relating to damages awarded against the Bank by a jury during the first quarter of 2013. These verdicts, totaling \$7,500,000, relate to a

lawsuit filed against the Bank in 2009 by a former customer of the Bank. The Bank currently is in the process of reviewing its options with regard to the verdicts and currently anticipates that it will appeal the decisions to the Tennessee Court of Appeals. For more information regarding this lawsuit, see Part II, Item 1 Legal Proceedings . Loss on the sale of other real estate decreased \$534,000 or 68.29% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012 due to a lower volume of foreclosures as well as improved economic conditions and improved housing market.

#### Income Taxes

The Company's income tax expense was \$1,884,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013, an increase of \$78,000 over the comparable period in 2012. The percentage of income tax expense to net income before taxes was 38.75% and 39.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012, respectively.

#### Earnings Per Share

The computation of basic earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted earnings per share for the Company begins with the basic earnings per share plus the effect of common shares contingently issuable from stock options.

The following is a summary of components comprising basic and diluted earnings per share ( EPS ) for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(Dollars in Thousands Except Per Share Amounts)	
Basic EPS Computation:		
Numerator Earnings available to common stockholders	\$ 2,978	\$ 2,825
Denominator Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	7,445,701	7,331,514
Basic earnings per common share	\$ .40	\$ .39
Diluted EPS Computation:		
Numerator Earnings available to common stockholders	\$ 2,978	\$ 2,825
Denominator Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	7,445,701	7,331,514
Dilutive effect of stock options	4,842	5,808
	7,450,543	7,337,322
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ .40	\$ .39
Financial Condition		

#### Balance Sheet Summary

The Company's total assets increased 1.56% to \$1,707,012,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2013 from \$1,680,820,000 at December 31, 2012. Loans, net of allowance for loan losses, totaled \$1,166,436,000 at March 31, 2013, a 2.13% increase compared to \$1,142,111,000 at December 31, 2012. Reflecting improved loan demand, securities decreased \$10,748,000, or 3.23%, to \$322,039,000 at March 31, 2013 from \$332,786,000 at December 31, 2012. Federal funds sold decreased to \$19,550,000 at March 31, 2013 from \$23,780,000 at December 31, 2012, reflecting a growth in loans that exceeded deposit growth.

Total liabilities increased by 1.65% to \$1,535,992,000 at March 31, 2013 compared to \$1,511,122,000 at December 31, 2012. The increase in total liabilities since December 31, 2012 was composed of a \$21,434,000, or 1.43%, increase in total deposits and a \$4,744,000 or 71.70% increase in accrued interest and other liabilities. Included in other liabilities is federal and state taxes payable, bonus payable, and a litigation reserve for the verdicts in the legal proceeding described in more detail in Part II, Item 1 Legal Proceedings below.

#### Non Performing Assets

The following tables present the Company's non-accrual loans and past due loans as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Loans on Nonaccrual Status

	In Thousands	
	2013	2012
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 1,128	930
Multifamily		
Commercial real estate	3,636	4,445
Construction	6,474	9,626
Farmland	1,237	1,248
Second mortgages	606	606
Equity lines of credit		
Commercial		
Installment and other		
Total	\$ 13,081	\$ 16,855

(In thousands)

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Non Accrual and Greater Than 90 Days	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans	Recorded Investment Greater Than 90 Days and Accruing
March 31, 2013							
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 2,856	658	2,565	6,079	333,851	339,930	\$ 1,437
Multifamily		53		53	16,054	16,107	
Commercial real estate	150		4,664	4,814	487,498	492,312	1,028
Construction	679	54	6,574	7,307	192,313	199,620	100
Farmland	29		1,237	1,266	23,459	24,725	
Second Mortgages	202		613	815	11,554	12,369	7
Equity Lines of Credit	298	20	34	352	34,968	35,320	34
Commercial	43		19	62	29,231	29,293	19
Agricultural		12		12	2,315	2,327	
Installment and other	321	79	121	521	42,763	43,284	121
Total	\$ 4,578	876	15,827	21,281	1,174,006	1,195,287	\$ 2,746
December 31, 2012							
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 5,297	1,448	1,524	8,269	333,708	341,977	\$ 594
Multifamily					16,140	16,140	
Commercial real estate	1,599	710	4,470	6,779	462,978	469,757	25
Construction	796	72	9,650	10,518	179,838	190,356	24
Farmland	260	43	1,248	1,551	24,768	26,319	
Second Mortgages	396	7	677	1,080	11,397	12,477	71
Equity Lines of Credit	186	173	46	405	35,855	36,260	46
Commercial	204	24	54	282	30,263	30,545	54
Agricultural							
Installment and other	488	143	105	736	45,953	46,689	105
Total	\$ 9,226	2,620	17,774	29,620	1,140,900	1,170,520	\$ 919

Generally, at the time a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, all interest accrued on the loan in the current fiscal year is reversed from income, and all interest accrued and uncollected from the prior year is charged off against the allowance for loan losses. Thereafter, interest on nonaccrual loans is recognized as interest income only to the extent that cash is received and future collection of principal is not in doubt. A nonaccrual loan may be restored to accruing status when principal and interest are no longer past due and unpaid and future collection of principal and interest on a timely basis is not in doubt.

Non-performing loans, which included non-accrual loans and loans 90 days past due, at March 31, 2013 totaled \$15,827,000, a decrease from \$17,774,000 at December 31, 2012. The decrease in non-performing loans during the three months ended March 31, 2013 of \$1,947,000 is due primarily to a decrease in non-performing construction real estate mortgage loans of \$3,076,000, partially offset by an increase in non-performing residential 1-4 family real estate loans of \$1,041,000. The decrease in non-performing loans relates primarily to the transfer of one large loan relationships to ORE as well as some large principal payments. Management believes that it is probable that it will incur losses on these loans but believes that these losses should not exceed the amount in the allowance for loan losses already allocated to these loans, unless there is further deterioration of local real estate values.

Other loans may be classified as impaired when the current net worth and financial capacity of the borrower or of the collateral pledged, if any, is viewed as inadequate. Such loans generally have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the



liquidation of the debt, and if such deficiencies are not corrected, there is a probability that the Company will sustain some loss. In such cases, interest income continues to accrue as long as the loan does not meet the Company's criteria for nonaccrual status.

The reduction in impaired loans at March 31, 2013 when compared to December 31, 2012 was primarily due to the above-referenced foreclosure of a large property along with multiple other loan principal payments. The Company's market areas have seen an increase in the residential real estate market and the commercial real estate market remains steady. The allowance for loan loss related to impaired loans was measured based upon the estimated fair value of related collateral.

Loans are charged-off in the month when the determination is made that the loan is uncollectible. Net charge-offs for the three months ended March 31, 2013 were \$321,000 as compared to \$980,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The Bank has experienced a decrease in past dues and nonaccruals over the past four quarters and is experiencing fewer foreclosures which has resulted in fewer charge offs.

The collateral values securing potential problem loans, including impaired loans, based on estimates received by management, total approximately \$60,300,000. The internally classified loans have decreased \$2,200,000, or 4.45%, from \$49,418,000 at December 31, 2012. Loans are listed as classified when information obtained about possible credit problems of the borrower has prompted management to question the ability of the borrower to comply with the repayment terms of the loan agreement. The loan classifications do not represent or result from trends or uncertainties which management expects will materially impact future operating results, liquidity or capital resources.

The largest category of internally graded loans at March 31, 2013 was real estate mortgage loans. Included within this category are residential real estate construction and development loans, including loans to home builders and developers of land, as well as one to four family mortgage loans, and commercial real estate loans. Residential real estate loans, including construction and land development loans that are internally classified totaled \$30,215,000 and \$31,548,000 at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. These loans have been graded accordingly due to bankruptcies, inadequate cash flows and delinquencies. Borrowers within the real estate related loans have continued to experience some stress during the current weak economic environment; however, the Bank has recently experienced an increase in demand for real estate loans. An extension of the challenging economic environment experienced since 2008 will likely cause the Company's commercial real estate mortgage and land development loans to continue to underperform and may result in increased levels of internally graded loans which, if they continue to deteriorate, may negatively impact the Company's results of operations. Management does not anticipate losses on these loans to exceed the amount already allocated to loan losses, unless there is further deterioration of local real estate values.

#### Liquidity and Asset Management

The Company's management seeks to maximize net interest income by managing the Company's assets and liabilities within appropriate constraints on capital, liquidity and interest rate risk. Liquidity is the ability to maintain sufficient cash levels necessary to fund operations, meet the requirements of depositors and borrowers, and fund attractive investment opportunities. Higher levels of liquidity bear corresponding costs, measured in terms of lower yields on short-term, more liquid earning assets and higher interest expense involved in extending liability maturities.

Liquid assets include cash and cash equivalents and investment securities and money market instruments that will mature within one year. At March 31, 2013, the Company's liquid assets totaled \$292,008,000. The Company maintains a formal asset and liability management process to quantify, monitor and control interest rate risk and to assist management in maintaining stability in the net interest margin under varying interest rate environments. The Company accomplishes this process through the development and implementation of lending, funding and pricing

strategies designed to maximize net interest income under varying interest rate environments subject to specific liquidity and interest rate risk guidelines.

Analysis of rate sensitivity and rate gap analysis are the primary tools used to assess the direction and magnitude of changes in net interest income resulting from changes in interest rates. Included in the analysis are cash flows and maturities of financial instruments held for purposes other than trading, changes in market conditions, loan volumes and pricing and deposit volume and mix. These assumptions are inherently uncertain, and, as a result, net interest income cannot be precisely estimated nor can the impact of higher or lower interest rates on net interest income be precisely predicted. Actual results will differ due to timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes and changes in market conditions and management's strategies, among other factors.

The Company's primary source of liquidity is a stable core deposit base. In addition, short-term borrowings, loan payments and investment security maturities provide a secondary source. At December 31, 2012, the Company had a liability sensitive position (a negative gap). Liability sensitivity means that more of the Company's liabilities are capable of re-pricing over certain time frames than its assets. The interest rates associated with these liabilities may not actually change over this period but are capable of changing.

Interest rate risk (sensitivity) management focuses on the earnings risk associated with changing interest rates. Management seeks to maintain profitability in both immediate and long-term earnings through funds management/interest rate risk management. The Company's rate sensitivity position has an important impact on earnings. Senior management of the Company meets monthly to

analyze its rate sensitivity position. These meetings focus on the spread between the Company's cost of funds and interest yields generated primarily through loans and investments.

The Company's securities portfolio consists of earning assets that provide interest income. For those securities classified as held-to-maturity, the Company has the ability and intent to hold these securities to maturity or on a long-term basis. Securities classified as available-for-sale include securities intended to be used as part of the Company's asset/liability strategy and/or securities that may be sold in response to changes in interest rate, prepayment risk, the need or desire to increase capital and similar economic factors. Securities totaling approximately \$3.3 million mature or will be subject to rate adjustments within the next twelve months.

A secondary source of liquidity is the Company's loan portfolio. At March 31, 2013, loans totaling approximately \$291 million either will become due or will be subject to rate adjustments within twelve months from that date. Continued emphasis will be placed on structuring adjustable rate loans.

As for liabilities, certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or greater totaling approximately \$174 million will become due or reprice during the next twelve months. Historically, there has been no significant reduction in immediately withdrawable accounts such as negotiable order of withdrawal accounts, money market demand accounts, demand deposit accounts and regular savings accounts. Management anticipates that there will be no significant withdrawals from these accounts in the future.

Management believes that with present maturities, the anticipated growth in deposit base, and the efforts of management in its asset/liability management program, liquidity will not pose a problem in the near term future. At the present time there are no known trends or any known commitments, demands, events or uncertainties that will result in or that are reasonably likely to result in the Company's liquidity changing in a materially adverse way.

#### Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

At March 31, 2013, we had unfunded loan commitments outstanding of \$203 million and outstanding standby letters of credit of \$24 million. Because these commitments generally have fixed expiration dates and many will expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment level does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. If needed to fund these outstanding commitments, the Company's bank subsidiary has the ability to liquidate Federal funds sold or securities available-for-sale or on a short-term basis to borrow and purchase Federal funds from other financial institutions. Additionally, the Company's bank subsidiary could sell participations in these or other loans to correspondent banks. As mentioned above, the Company's bank subsidiary has been able to fund its ongoing liquidity needs through its stable core deposit base, loan payments, its investment security maturities and short-term borrowings.

#### Capital Position and Dividends

At March 31, 2013, total stockholders' equity was \$171,020,000, or 10.02% of total assets, which compares with \$169,698,000, or 10.10% of total assets, at December 31, 2012. The dollar increase in stockholders' equity during the three months ended March 31, 2013 results from the Company's net income of \$2,978,000, proceeds from the issuance of common stock related to exercise of stock options of \$78,000, the net effect of a \$1,842,000 unrealized loss on investment securities net of applicable income taxes of \$706,000, cash dividends declared of \$2,226,000 of which \$1,620,000 was reinvested under the Company's dividend reinvestment plan, and \$8,000 related to stock option compensation.

The Company's principal regulators have established minimum risk-based capital requirements and leverage capital requirements for the Company and its subsidiary bank. These guidelines classify capital into two categories of Tier I

and Total risk-based capital. Total risk-based capital consists of Tier I (or core) capital (essentially common equity less intangible assets) and Tier II capital (essentially qualifying long-term debt, of which Wilson Bank has none, and a part of the allowance for possible loan losses). In determining risk-based capital requirements, assets are assigned risk-weights of 0% to 100%, depending on regulatory assigned levels of credit risk associated with such assets. Under the Federal Reserve's regulations, for a bank holding company, like the Company, to be considered well capitalized it must maintain a Total risk-based capital ratio of at least 10%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least 6% and not be subject to a written agreement, order or directive to maintain a specific capital level. In addition, the Federal Reserve has established minimum leverage ratio guidelines for bank holding companies. These guidelines provide that a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to average assets, less goodwill and other specified intangible assets, of at least 4% should be maintained by most bank holding companies.

On the horizon, there are proposed regulations concerning the capital requirements of financial institutions as a result of the publication of Risk-Based Capital Standards: Advanced Capital Adequacy Framework - Basel II; Establishment of a Risk-Based Capital Floor by the OCC, FDIC, and Federal Reserve. This rule amends (a) the advanced risk-based capital adequacy standards (advanced approaches rules) in a manner that is consistent with certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and (b) the general risk-based capital rules to provide limited flexibility consistent with section 171(b) of the Dodd-Frank Act for recognizing the relative risk of certain assets generally not held by depository institutions. If this rule goes into effect, management has assessed the impact of the regulation and its impact on capital adequacy and determined that it will not have a significant negative impact on the Company.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) and of Tier 1 capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company and the Bank are considered to be well capitalized under regulatory definitions. To be categorized as well capitalized, an institution must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the following tables.

The Company's and the Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, are also presented in the tables:

	Actual		Minimum Capital Requirement		Minimum To Be Well Capitalized Under Applicable Regulatory Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
(dollars in thousands)						
March 31, 2013:						
Total capital to risk weighted assets:						
Consolidated	\$ 180,866	14.0%	\$ 103,722	8.0%	\$ 129,653	10.0%
Wilson Bank	179,945	14.1	102,169	8.0	127,711	10.0
Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets:						
Consolidated	164,780	12.7	51,858	4.0	77,788	6.0
Wilson Bank	163,859	12.8	51,086	4.0	76,629	6.0
Tier 1 capital to average assets:						
Consolidated	164,780	9.9	66,916	4.0	83,645	5.0
Wilson Bank	163,859	9.8	66,881	4.0	83,602	5.0



	Actual		Minimum Capital Requirement		Minimum To Be Well Capitalized Under Applicable Regulatory Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
(dollars in thousands)						
December 31, 2012:						
Total capital to risk weighted assets:						
Consolidated	\$ 178,162	14.2%	\$ 100,373	8.0%	\$ 125,466	10.0%
Wilson Bank	176,652	14.1	100,228	8.0	125,285	10.0
Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets:						
Consolidated	162,321	12.9	50,332	4.0	75,498	6.0
Wilson Bank	160,811	12.8	50,253	4.0	75,380	6.0
Tier 1 capital to average assets:						
Consolidated	162,321	9.8	66,253	4.0	N/A	N/A
Wilson Bank	160,811	9.7	66,314	4.0	82,892	5.0

### Impact of Inflation

Although interest rates are significantly affected by inflation, the inflation rate is immaterial when reviewing the Company's results of operations.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company's primary component of market risk is interest rate volatility. Fluctuations in interest rates will ultimately impact both the level of income and expense recorded on a large portion of the Company's assets and liabilities, and the market value of all interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, other than those which possess a short term to maturity. Based upon the nature of the Company's operations, the Company is not subject to foreign currency exchange or commodity price risk.

Interest rate risk (sensitivity) management focuses on the earnings risk associated with changing interest rates. Management seeks to maintain profitability in both short-term and long-term earnings through funds management/interest rate risk management. The Company's rate sensitivity position has an important impact on earnings. Senior management of the Company meets monthly to analyze the rate sensitivity position. These meetings focus on the spread between the cost of funds and interest yields generated primarily through loans and investments.

There have been no material changes in reported market risks during the three months ended March 31, 2013.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures, its Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the Company's fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.



## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Various legal proceedings to which the Company and the Bank are a party arise from time to time in the normal course of business. Except as described below, as of the date hereof, there are no material pending legal proceedings to which the Company or the Bank is a party or by which their properties are subject.

On March 20, 2013 and March 21, 2013, a jury in a case styled Kendall S. Howell, and individual, and Stones River Homes, Inc., a Tennessee Corporation, Richmond's Retreat, LLC, a Tennessee limited liability company; and Winfred Howell, an individual v. Wilson Bank & Trust, Case No. 09-0601CV, Rutherford County, Tennessee Chancery Court, awarded damages against the Bank of \$7.5 million. The award, which consists of compensatory damages of \$3.9 million and punitive damages of \$3.6 million, relates to a lawsuit filed against the Bank in 2009 by a former customer of the Bank and entities affiliated with this customer. The plaintiffs in the lawsuit alleged that a former officer of the Bank had engaged in improper conduct related to certain lending transactions with the plaintiffs. The jury also has required the Bank to pay court costs and the plaintiffs' attorney's fees in amounts not yet determined. The judgments on the awarded damages have not yet been entered by the Chancellor.

The Bank is reviewing its options with respect to the verdicts and currently anticipates that it will appeal the decisions to the Tennessee Court of Appeals. The Bank also anticipates filing post-trial motions, including a motion seeking to set aside the judgments when they are entered notwithstanding the jury's verdicts. The Company believes that the judgments in this case will be covered by insurance and the litigation reserve that was established as a result of the jury's verdicts.

In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Bank was served with a complaint filed in the Circuit Court of Rutherford County, Tennessee in the matter Tony M. Hinson and Amanda H. Gallagher vs. Wilson Bank and Trust, et al. (Civil Action No. 65380). The complaint alleges violations of the Tennessee Consumer Protection Act, common law and statutory fraud, forgery, and unauthorized alteration, breach of contract, business libel and defamation and breach of fiduciary duty arising out of alleged improper conduct by a former employee of the Bank related to certain lending transactions with the plaintiffs. The plaintiffs are seeking, among other remedies, injunctive relief, compensatory and punitive damages in the amount of \$10,000,000, treble damages and the recovery of attorney's fees and costs. On November 21, 2012, the Bank filed a motion to dismiss the complaint and a hearing on the motion is scheduled for June 4, 2013.

### Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Except as set forth below, there were no material changes to the Company's risk factors as previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

Each of the Company and the Bank is subject to certain litigation, and the expenses related to this litigation may adversely affect the Company's results.

The Company and the Bank from time to time are subject to certain litigation in the ordinary course of their business, including the matters described above under Part II, Item 1. Legal Proceedings. These claims and legal actions, including supervisory actions by the Company's and the Bank's regulators, could involve large monetary claims and significant defense costs. The outcome of these cases is uncertain. The Company and the Bank have seen both the number of cases and their expenses related to those cases increase over historical levels. Substantial legal liability or significant regulatory action against the Company or the Bank could have material adverse financial effects or cause significant reputational harm to the Company or the Bank, which in turn could seriously harm the Company's and the Bank's business prospects. As a result of the jury's verdicts in the Howell matter described above, the Company

established a litigation reserve of \$2.5 million in the quarter ended March 31, 2013. The Company believes that this reserve, together with insurance proceeds that the Company believes it will have available to it, will be sufficient to satisfy the judgments in the case, but to the extent that the payments to the plaintiffs in this case exceed such amounts, the Company may incur additional non-interest expense to satisfy such payments.

Item 2.UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

a) None

b) Not applicable.

c) None.

Item 3.DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

a) None

b) Not applicable

38

---

Item 4.MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

Item 6.EXHIBITS

- |      |   |
|------|---|
| 31.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101  | Interactive Data File   |
| 39   |   |
-

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

WILSON BANK HOLDING COMPANY

(Registrant)

DATE: May 9, 2013

/s/ Randall Clemons

Randall Clemons  
President and Chief Executive Officer

DATE: May 9, 2013

/s/ Lisa Pominski

Lisa Pominski  
Senior Vice President & Chief Financial Officer