

COMSCORE, INC.
Form DEF 14A
June 11, 2010

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 14A
(Rule 14a-101)
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-11(c) or §240.14a-2

COMSCORE, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

**11950 Democracy Drive
Suite 600
Reston, Virginia 20190**

**NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON JULY 20, 2010**

To the Stockholders of comScore, Inc.:

Notice is hereby given that the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of comScore, Inc. (the Company) will be held at the Company's offices at 11950 Democracy Drive, Suite 600, Reston, Virginia 20190 on Tuesday, July 20, 2010, at 3:00 p.m. EDT for the following purposes:

to elect three Class III members of the board of directors to serve until the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders;

to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010; and

to transact any other business that is properly brought before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Please refer to the attached proxy statement, which forms a part of this Notice and is incorporated herein by reference, for further information with respect to the business to be transacted at the annual meeting.

Stockholders of record at the close of business on June 6, 2010 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the annual meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. The presence, in person or by proxy, of shares of the Company's common stock representing a majority of shares of the Company's common stock issued and outstanding on the record date will be required to establish a quorum at the annual meeting.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend this meeting, please vote today using the enclosed proxy card to vote by Internet or by signing, dating and returning the proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided. If you are a stockholder of record of the Company's common stock, you may cast your vote by proxy or in person at the annual meeting. If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm or bank, you should instruct it on how to vote your shares.

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for
the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on July 20, 2010**

Our proxy statement is attached. Financial and other information concerning the Company is contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 and Amendment No. 1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. We have elected to provide access to our proxy materials both by sending you this full set of proxy materials, including a proxy card, and by notifying you of the availability of our proxy materials on the Internet. This proxy statement, our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K and our Amendment No. 1 to Annual Report on Form 10-K/A are available on our corporate website at www.comscore.com.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ Christiana L. Lin

Christiana L. Lin
General Counsel and Secretary

Reston, Virginia
June 11, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Information Concerning Solicitation and Voting</u>	1
<u>Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors</u>	2
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	10
<u>Proposal No. 2: Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	11
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	13
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	14
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	31
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	31
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	39
<u>Other Information</u>	42

COMSCORE, INC.

**PROXY STATEMENT
FOR
ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**

JULY 20, 2010

INFORMATION CONCERNING SOLICITATION AND VOTING

General

This proxy statement is furnished to our stockholders in connection with the solicitation of proxies for use at our annual meeting of stockholders to be held on Tuesday, July 20, 2010 at 3:00 p.m. EDT at comScore's offices at 11950 Democracy Drive, Suite 600, Reston, Virginia 20190, for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

A copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K and Amendment No. 1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2009, together with this proxy statement and accompanying proxy card and notice, will be first mailed on or about June 11, 2010 to our stockholders of record.

This solicitation is made on behalf of our board of directors, and we will pay the costs of solicitation. Our directors, officers and employees may also solicit proxies by telephone, fax, electronic mail or personal interview without additional consideration. We will reimburse banks, brokerage firms and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for reasonable expenses incurred by them in sending proxy material to our stockholders. We have retained American Stock Transfer & Trust Company to assist in the solicitation of proxies with respect to shares of our common stock held of record by brokers, nominees and institutions for a customary fee.

Our principal executive offices are located at 11950 Democracy Drive, Suite 600, Reston, Virginia 20190, and our telephone number is (703) 438-2000.

Shares Entitled to Vote and Quorum Requirement

Stockholders of record of our common stock at the close of business on June 6, 2010 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders. A list of our stockholders will be available for review at our principal executive offices during regular business hours for a period of ten days prior to the annual meeting. As of June 6, 2010, 30,959,857 shares of our common stock were issued and outstanding. The presence at the meeting, in person or by proxy, of a majority of the shares of the common stock issued and outstanding on June 6, 2010 will constitute a quorum. Our outstanding common stock constitutes the only class of securities entitled to vote at the annual meeting. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote.

Voting Procedures

A proxy card is enclosed for your use. We ask that you carefully review, complete, sign, date and return the proxy card in the accompanying envelope, which is postage prepaid if you mail it in the United States. You may also vote by Internet according to the instructions included on the proxy card.

Unless you provide different instructions on your proxy, all shares represented by valid proxies (and not revoked before they are voted) will be voted at the meeting FOR the election of all of the director nominees listed in Proposal No. 1 and FOR the ratification of the appointment of our independent public registered accounting firm in Proposal No. 2. With respect to any other business that may properly come before the annual meeting and be submitted to a vote of stockholders, proxies will be voted in accordance with the best judgment of the designated proxy holders.

The persons named as attorneys-in-fact to vote the proxies, Magid M. Abraham and Kenneth J. Tarpey, were selected by the board of directors and are executive officers of the company. All properly executed proxies returned in time to be counted at the annual meeting will be voted.

Shares represented by proxies that reflect abstentions or broker non-votes (i.e., shares held by a broker or nominee that are represented at the meeting, but with respect to which the broker or nominee is not empowered to vote on a particular proposal) will be counted as shares that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum. Broker non-votes are not deemed to be entitled to vote for purposes of determining whether stockholder approval of a matter has been obtained. As a result, broker non-votes are not included in the tabulation of voting results on any proposal. In the past, if a stockholder held shares in street name and did not indicate how the holder wanted the shares voted in the election of directors, the stockholder's bank or broker was allowed to vote those shares on the stockholder's behalf in the election of directors as they felt appropriate. Recent changes in regulation were made to take away the ability of a stockholder's bank or broker to vote uninstructed shares in the election of directors on a discretionary basis. Thus, if a stockholder holds shares in street name and does not instruct the bank or broker how to vote in the election of directors, no votes will be cast on that stockholder's behalf. The stockholder's bank or broker will, however, continue to have discretion to vote any uninstructed shares on the ratification of the appointment of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal No. 2 of this proxy statement).

The director nominees listed in Proposal No. 1 will be elected by a plurality of the votes of the shares present or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on the election of directors. The appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm listed in Proposal No. 2 will be ratified if a majority of shares present or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote thereon vote FOR such proposal.

Stockholders of record may vote by (i) completing and returning the enclosed proxy card prior to the meeting, (ii) voting by Internet according to the instructions included on the proxy card, (iii) voting in person at the meeting or (iv) submitting a signed proxy card at the meeting.

Your vote is important. Accordingly, please carefully review, complete, sign, date and return the accompanying proxy card or vote by Internet whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting in person.

You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is actually voted at the meeting either by signing and submitting a new proxy card with a later date or by attending the meeting and voting in person. However, merely attending the meeting will not revoke your submitted proxy unless you specifically request your proxy be revoked. If you hold shares through a bank or brokerage firm, you must contact that bank or firm directly to revoke any prior voting instructions.

All votes cast at the meeting will be tabulated by the persons appointed by our board of directors to act as inspectors of election for the meeting.

PROPOSAL NO. 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

General Information

Our board of directors has eight authorized seats. The board of directors is divided into three classes (Class I, Class II and Class III) with staggered three-year terms. Three Class III directors are to be elected at the 2010 annual meeting of stockholders to serve a three-year term expiring at the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders or until their respective successors have been elected and qualified. The Class I and Class II directors will continue to serve their respective

terms. Proxies cannot be voted for more than the three named nominees.

Our board of directors has nominated Gian M. Fulgoni, Jeffery Ganek and Bruce Golden to serve as Class III directors. There are no family relationships among our directors or executive officers.

Shares represented by the accompanying proxy will be voted for the election of the nominees recommended by the board of directors unless the proxy is marked in such a manner so as to withhold authority to vote. If any nominee is unable or unexpectedly declines to serve as a director, the board of directors may designate another nominee to fill the vacancy, and the proxy will be voted for that nominee. Each person nominated for election has agreed to serve if elected, and we have no reason to believe that any nominee will be unable to serve.

The names of the nominees and our other directors, their ages as of June 6, 2010 and certain other information about them are set forth below:

Name of Nominee of Director	Age	Position	Director Since
Class III Directors with term expiring at the 2010 Annual Meeting:			
Gian M. Fulgoni	62	Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors and Director	1999
Jeffrey Ganek(1)	57	Director	2008
Bruce Golden(3)	51	Director	2002
Class I Directors with term expiring at the 2011 Annual Meeting:			
Magid M. Abraham	52	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	1999
William Katz(2)(3)	56	Director	2008
Jarl Mohn(2)(3)	58	Director	2008
Class II Directors with term expiring at the 2012 Annual Meeting:			
William J. Henderson(1)(2)	62	Director	2001
Ronald J. Korn(1)	70	Director	2005

(1) member of audit committee

(2) member of compensation committee

(3) member of nominating and governance committee

The principal occupations and positions for at least the past five years of our director nominees and directors are described below.

Class III Director Nominees for Election for a Three-Year Term Expiring at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Gian M. Fulgoni, one of our co-founders, has served as our Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors since September 1999. Prior to co-founding comScore, Mr. Fulgoni was employed by Information Resources, Inc., where he served as President from 1981 to 1989, Chief Executive Officer from 1986 to 1998 and Chairman of the Board of Directors from 1991 until 1995. Mr. Fulgoni has served on the board of directors of PetMed Express, Inc. since 2002 and previously served from August 1999 through November 2000. Mr. Fulgoni has also served on the board of directors of Inxpo, Inc., an Illinois-based provider of virtual events, since July 2005 and the Advertising Research Foundation, an industry research organization, since 2008. He also served on the board of directors of Platinum Technology, Inc. from 1990 to 1999, U.S. Robotics, Inc. from 1991 to 1994, and Yesmail.com, Inc. from 1999 to

2000. Educated in the United Kingdom, Mr. Fulgoni holds an M.A. in Marketing from the University of Lancaster and a B.Sc. in Physics from the University of Manchester.

Bruce Golden has served as a director since June 2002. He is a partner at Accel Partners, which he joined in 1997. Mr. Golden has led a number of investments in enterprise software and Internet-related companies while at Accel and currently serves as a member of the boards of directors of several private companies. Mr. Golden holds an M.B.A. from Stanford University and a B.A. from Columbia University.

Jeffrey Ganek has served as a director since May 2008. Since December 1999, Mr. Ganek has also served as chairman of the board of directors and chief executive officer of NeuStar, Inc. From December 1995 to December 1999, Mr. Ganek was Senior Vice President and Managing Director of Communications Industry Services at Lockheed Martin, an advanced technology company. The Communications Industry Services group of Lockheed Martin was acquired from Lockheed Martin in 1999 to form NeuStar, which provides clearinghouse services to the telecommunications industry. From 1993 to 1995, he was Vice President Asia Operations for Global TeleSystems Group, a communications service provider in Europe and Asia. From 1991 to 1993, Mr. Ganek was Vice President of Marketing at GTE Spacenet, a satellite communications service provider. From 1985 to 1991, he was Director of Marketing and Corporate Development at MCI Communications Corporation, a telecommunications company. From 1976 to 1985, he held management positions at AT&T, a telecommunications company, in Corporate Development, Marketing and Finance. Mr. Ganek holds an M.S. in Public Policy and Management and a B.S. in Economics from Carnegie-Mellon University.

The three nominees receiving the largest number of affirmative votes cast representing shares of our common stock present at the 2010 annual meeting of stockholders in person or by proxy and entitled to vote will be elected as the Class III directors. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on this proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS YOU VOTE FOR EACH OF THE THREE NAMED DIRECTOR NOMINEES IN PROPOSAL NUMBER 1.

Class I Directors Continuing in Office until the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Magid M. Abraham, Ph.D., one of our co-founders, has served as our President, Chief Executive Officer and as a Director since September 1999. In 1995, Dr. Abraham founded Paragren Technologies, Inc., which specialized in delivering large scale Customer Relationship Marketing systems for strategic and target marketing, and served as its Chief Executive Officer from 1995 to 1999. Prior to founding Paragren, Dr. Abraham was employed by Information Resources, Inc. from 1985 until 1995, where he was President and Chief Operating Officer from 1993 to 1994 and later Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors from 1994 until 1995. Since January 2008, Dr. Abraham has also been a member of the board of directors of Milo.com, a startup company. He received a Ph.D. in Operations Research and an M.B.A. from MIT. He also holds an Engineering degree from the École Polytechnique in France.

William Katz has served as a director since June 2008. Since June 2004, Mr. Katz has also served as the chairman of the board of directors of Visible World Inc., a privately-held multimedia marketing services provider. From 1996 to 2004, Mr. Katz served as President and Chief Executive Officer of BBDO New York, the flagship office of BBDO Worldwide, the world's third largest global agency network. Mr. Katz holds a B.A. in Business and Psychology from American University.

Jarl Mohn, also known as Lee Masters from his radio career, has served as a director since June 2008. Mr. Mohn has also served on the board of directors of Scripps Network Interactive since June 2008. From December 2003 until July 2008, Mr. Mohn served on the board of directors of CNET Networks, Inc., where he also served as non-executive chairman from October 2006 to July 2008. Mr. Mohn also previously served on the boards of directors of XM Satellite Radio, Inc. from May 2004 to July 2008 and the E.W. Scripps Company from 2002 until 2008. Mr. Mohn was the founding President of Liberty Digital Inc., a publicly traded subsidiary of Liberty Media Group involved in interactive television, cable television networks and Internet enterprises, and served as its Chief Executive Officer from June 1999 to March 2002. Prior to founding Liberty Digital, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of E! Entertainment Television. From 1986 to 1989, Mr. Mohn was Executive Vice President and General Manager of MTV and VH1. His professional career also includes twenty years in radio. Mr. Mohn attended Temple University, where he studied Mathematics and Philosophy.

Class II Directors Continuing in Office until the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

William J. Henderson has served as a director since August 2001. Mr. Henderson was the 71st Postmaster General of the United States. He served in that position from May 1998 until his retirement in May 2001. Mr. Henderson also served as the Chief Operations Officer of Netflix, Inc. from January 2006 until February 2007. Mr. Henderson also currently serves on the board of directors of Axiom Corporation, where he has

been a director since June 2001. Mr. Henderson holds a B.S. from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and served in the U.S. Army.

Ronald J. Korn has served as a director since November 2005. Since 1991, he has served as the President of Ronald Korn Consulting, which provides business and marketing services. Mr. Korn served as a director, chairman of the audit committee, and member of the loan committee of Equinox Financial Corporation from 1999 until its acquisition in October 2005. Since 2002, he has served as a director, chairman of the audit committee and a member of the compensation and nominating and governance committees of PetMed Express, Inc., and since July 2003, he has served as a director, chairman of the audit committee and a member of the compensation committee of Ocwen Financial Corporation. Prior to that, Mr. Korn was a partner and employee of KPMG, LLP, from 1961 to 1991, where he was the managing partner of KPMG's Miami office from 1985 until 1991. Mr. Korn holds a B.S. from the Wharton School of Business at the University of Pennsylvania and a J.D. from New York University Law School.

Board Leadership Structure

Our board of directors does not have a policy on whether or not the role of the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman should be separate or, if it is to be separate, whether the Chairman should be selected from the non-employee directors or be an employee. Currently, we operate with Dr. Abraham serving as a director and our President and Chief Executive Officer and Mr. Fulgoni serving as our Executive Chairman. Our board of directors believes that because Mr. Fulgoni has unique and extensive experience and understanding of our business, as well as over ten years of experience serving on our board of directors, he is well situated to lead and execute strategy and business plans to maximize shareholder value by having a combined role as both an executive officer as well as our Executive Chairman.

Our board of directors does not have a policy regarding the use of a lead independent director, and we do not presently have a lead independent director.

Risk Management

Our board of directors has an active role, as a whole and also at the committee level, in overseeing management of our company's risks. Our board of directors regularly reviews our long-term business strategy, including industry trends and their potential impact on our business, our competitive positioning, potential acquisitions, as well as our market direction. Our board of directors also reviews information regarding our actual and planned financial position and operational performance, as well as the risks associated with each. Our compensation committee is responsible for overseeing management of risks relating to our executive compensation plans and arrangements. Our audit committee oversees management of financial risks. Our nominating and governance committee manages risks associated with the independence of our board of directors and potential conflicts of interest. While each committee is responsible for evaluating certain risks and overseeing the management of such risks, our entire board of directors is regularly informed through committee reports about such risks.

Standing Committees of the Board of Directors

Our board of directors has established an audit committee, a compensation committee and a nominating and governance committee. Our board of directors and its committees meet regularly throughout the year and also hold special meetings and act by written consent from time to time as appropriate. Our board of directors has delegated various responsibilities and authority to its committees as generally described below. The committees regularly report on their activities and actions to the full board of directors. Each committee of our board of directors has a written charter approved by our board of directors.

Audit Committee

The audit committee of our board of directors recommends the appointment of our independent registered public accountant, reviews our internal accounting procedures and financial statements, and consults with and reviews the services provided by our independent registered public accountant, including the results and scope of their audit. The audit committee met twelve times (including telephonic meetings) during 2009.

The audit committee is currently comprised of Ronald J. Korn (chair), William J. Henderson and Jeffrey Ganek, each of whom is independent within the meaning of the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and applicable U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, and NASDAQ rules. Ronald J. Korn is chairman of our audit committee as well as our audit committee financial expert, as currently defined under the SEC rules implementing the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. We believe that the composition and functioning of our audit committee complies with all applicable requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, The NASDAQ Global Market, and SEC rules and regulations.

The audit committee operates under a written charter adopted by the board of directors, a copy of which is available under the Investor Relations section of our website, <http://www.comscore.com>.

Compensation Committee

The compensation committee of our board of directors reviews and recommends to our board of directors the compensation and benefits for our executive officers, administers our stock plans, and establishes and reviews general policies relating to compensation and benefits for our employees. The compensation committee met twelve times (including telephonic meetings) during 2009.

The compensation committee is currently comprised of William J. Henderson (chair), William Katz and Jarl Mohn, each of whom is independent within the meaning of applicable NASDAQ rules. We believe that the composition and functioning of our compensation committee complies with all applicable requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, The NASDAQ Global Market, and SEC rules and regulations. Our compensation committee may form and delegate authority to subcommittees when appropriate.

The compensation committee operates under a written charter adopted by the board of directors, a current copy of which is available under the Investor Relations section of our website, <http://www.comscore.com>.

Nominating and Governance Committee

The nominating and governance committee of our board of directors is responsible for, among other things, reviewing the appropriate size, function and needs of the board of directors; establishing criteria for evaluating and selecting new members of our board of directors, subject to board of directors approval thereof; identifying and recommending to our board of directors for approval individuals qualified to become members of the board of directors; and monitoring and making recommendations to the board of directors on matters relating to corporate governance. The nominating and governance committee met three times (including telephonic meetings and actions by unanimous written consent) during 2009.

The nominating and governance committee currently consists of Bruce Golden (chair), William Katz and Jarl Mohn. We believe that the composition and functioning of our nominating and governance committee complies with all applicable requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, The NASDAQ Global Market and SEC rules and regulations.

The nominating and governance committee operates under a written charter adopted by the board of directors, a current copy of which is available under the Investor Relations section of our website, <http://www.comscore.com>.

Board of Directors and Committee Meeting Attendance

Our board of directors met five times (including telephonic meetings) during the year ended December 31, 2009. Each of our incumbent directors has attended at least seventy-five percent (75%) of the aggregate number of meetings held

by the board of directors (during the period in 2009 for which he was a director) and the aggregate number of meetings held by the committees of the board of directors on which such individual served (during the period in 2009 for which he served as a committee member).

Independent members of the board of directors regularly meet in executive session without management present.

Annual Meeting Attendance

We encourage, but do not require, our directors to attend our annual meeting of stockholders. Six directors attended our 2009 annual meeting of stockholders.

Director Nomination Process and Qualifications

Our nominating and governance committee identifies director nominees by first evaluating the current members of the board of directors willing to continue in service. Current members with skills and experience that are relevant to our business and who are willing to continue in service are considered for nomination. If any member of the board of directors does not wish to continue in service, or the committee or board of directors decides not to nominate a member for re-election, the committee identifies the desired skills and experience of a new nominee. Current members of the board of directors and senior management are then polled for their recommendations. To date, we have not engaged third parties to identify or evaluate potential nominees; however, the committee may do so in the future.

The nominating and governance committee will also consider nominees recommended by stockholders, and any such recommendations should be forwarded to our Corporate Secretary in writing at our executive offices as identified in this proxy statement. In accordance with our bylaws, such recommendations should include the following information:

the name, age, business address and residence address of the proposed candidate;

the principal occupation or employment of the proposed candidate;

the class and number of shares of our stock that the proposed candidate beneficially owns;

a description of all arrangements or understandings between the stockholder making the recommendations and each director nominee and any other person or persons (naming such person or persons) pursuant to which the nominations are to be made by the stockholder; and

any other information relating to such director candidate that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for elections of directors or is otherwise required pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (including without limitation such nominee's written consent to being named in any proxy statement as a nominee and to serve as a director if elected).

While our nominating and governance committee has not established specific minimum qualifications for director candidates, our committee evaluates individual director candidates based upon a number of criteria, including:

a high degree of personal and professional integrity;

commitment to promoting the long term interests of our stockholders;

broad general business experience and acumen, which may include experience in management, finance, marketing and accounting, with particular emphasis on technology companies;

adequate time to devote attention to the affairs of our company;

an ability to bring balance to our board of directors in light of our company's current and anticipated needs and in light of the skills and attributes of the other board members; and

other attributes relevant to satisfying the requirements imposed by the SEC and NASDAQ.

We believe that our board of directors represents a desirable mix of backgrounds, skills, and experiences, and they all share the personal attributes of effective directors described above. While they do not have a formal written policy on director diversity, the nominating and governance committee and our board of directors also consider diversity when reviewing the overall composition of our board of directors, and considering the slate of nominees for annual election to our board of directors and the appointment of individual directors to our board of directors. Diversity, in this context, includes factors such as experience, specialized expertise, geographic location, cultural background, gender and ethnicity. Below are some of the

specific experiences, qualifications, attributes or skills in addition to the biographical information provided above that led to the conclusion that each person should serve as one of our directors in light of our business and structure:

Magid M. Abraham, Ph.D., is one of our co-founders, president, chief executive officer and a director. Dr. Abraham has over ten years of experience with our business in a variety of roles including research and development, sales and marketing and corporate administration, since its inception. In addition, Dr. Abraham brings his experience as a founder and senior executive of previous successful market-research based companies. Dr. Abraham has a deep understanding of all aspects of our business. He also has significant corporate governance experience through service on other company boards and as an executive with other companies, and he has an extensive educational background.

Gian M. Fulgoni, is one of our co-founders, executive chairman and a director. Mr. Fulgoni has over ten years of experience with our business in a variety of roles including research and development, sales and marketing and corporate administration, since its inception. In addition, Mr. Fulgoni brings his experience as a founder and senior executive of previous successful market-research based companies. Mr. Fulgoni has a deep understanding of all aspects of our business. He also has significant corporate governance experience through service on other public company boards and as an executive with other companies, and he has an extensive educational background.

Jeffrey Ganek has served as an executive or a member of the board of directors of several large technology and telecom companies. Mr. Ganek has substantial experience with research and development, sales and marketing and corporate administration of technology companies. He also has significant corporate governance experience through his service on other company boards and as an executive with other companies, and he has an extensive educational background.

Bruce Golden has served as a member of the board of directors of several high technology and internet companies through his role as a venture capitalist. Mr. Golden has substantial experience with advising on the strategic development of technology companies. He also has significant corporate governance experience through his service on other company boards, and he has an extensive educational background.

William J. Henderson has served as an executive or a member of the board of directors of several large technology, data aggregation and multimedia companies. Mr. Henderson has substantial experience marketing and the corporate administration of large businesses. He also has significant corporate governance experience through his service on other company boards, and he has an extensive educational background.

William Katz has also served as an executive of or a member of the board of directors of several marketing and advertising companies. Mr. Katz has extensive experience in those industries, as well as with corporate governance through his service on other boards of directors.

Ronald J. Korn has served as an executive or a member of the board of directors of several large public companies. Mr. Korn has substantial experience as a public accountant, and he has sufficient background to qualify as our audit committee financial expert. He also has significant corporate governance experience through his service on other company boards, and he has an extensive educational background.

Jarl Mohn has also served as an executive of or a member of the board of directors of several multimedia companies. Mr. Katz has extensive experience in that industry, as well as with corporate governance through his service on other boards of directors.

Director Compensation

Director Compensation Policies

Retainers and Meeting Fees: During 2009, our non-employee directors were eligible to receive an annual cash retainer of \$25,000 for service on our board of directors, and the chairs of certain of the standing committees of our board of directors were eligible to receive annual cash retainers as follows: \$10,000 per year for the chair of our audit committee and \$7,500 per year for the chair of our compensation committee. In the case of new non-employee directors, these fees are prorated based on when the non-employee director

joined our board of directors during the year. Employee directors are not compensated for board of director or committee service in addition to their regular employee compensation.

Other Equity-Based Compensation: Outside directors are also eligible to receive stock awards and option grants under our 2007 Equity Incentive Plan. Since our initial public offering in 2007, our non-employee directors have been and are entitled to an annual grant of restricted stock having a value of \$50,000 at the time of grant. The total amount of each annual grant of restricted stock shall remain unvested until the earlier of (i) the date of the respective director's next anniversary upon joining our board of directors, (ii) the date of the first annual stockholders' meeting following the date of grant or (iii) a change of control. The board of directors has discretion to accelerate or modify such vesting schedule due to special circumstances.

Expenses: We reimburse our non-employee directors for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in the performance of their duties as directors. Such expense reimbursements are not included in the table below under the subheading 2009 Director Compensation.

2009 Director Compensation

The following table sets forth certain information concerning cash and non-cash compensation earned by the non-employee members of our board of directors in 2009. None of the non-employee members of our board of directors received option awards or other compensation in 2009.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards\$(1)	Total(\$)
Jeffrey Ganek	\$ 25,000	\$ 49,996(2)	\$ 74,996
Bruce Golden	25,000	49,996(2)	74,996
William J. Henderson	32,500	49,996(2)	82,496
William Katz	25,000	49,996(2)	74,996
Ronald J. Korn	35,000	49,996(2)	84,996
Jarl Mohn	25,000	49,996(2)	74,996

- (1) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation (FASB ASC Topic 718) of stock awards concerning 2009. Assumptions used in the calculation of these award amounts are included in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. The number of shares and the grant date fair value of each stock award included in the awards for which expense is shown in the table above is as follows:

Name	Award Type	Grant Date	Number of Shares	Grant Date Fair Value
Jeffrey Ganek	Restricted Stock	July 29, 2009	3,448	\$ 49,996
Bruce Golden	Restricted Stock	July 29, 2009	3,448	49,996
William J. Henderson	Restricted Stock	July 29, 2009	3,448	49,996
William Katz	Restricted Stock	July 29, 2009	3,448	49,996

Edgar Filing: COMSCORE, INC. - Form DEF 14A

Ronald J. Korn	Restricted Stock	July 29, 2009	3,448	49,996
Jarl Mohn	Restricted Stock	July 29, 2009	3,448	49,996

- (2) All of our non-employee directors that continued to serve after our 2009 annual meeting of stockholders received an annual award of restricted stock with a fair value calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 of approximately \$50,000 (as adjusted for rounding of fractional shares, which were excluded). The awards are restricted common stock subject to a right of repurchase by comScore until the earlier of (i) the date that is one (1) day prior to the date of the 2010 annual meeting of our stockholders or (ii) the one (1) year anniversary of such director's service as a director since our initial public offering, subject to such director continuing to serve on our board of directors at such date.

Director and Director Nominee Independence

Our board of directors has determined that each of Messrs. Ganek, Golden, Henderson, Katz, Korn and Mohn is independent under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market; therefore, every member of the audit committee, compensation committee and nominating and governance committee is an independent director in accordance with those standards. Other than our customer relationship with Glam Media described under the heading "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions", there were no related person transactions considered in the last fiscal year in the determination of the independence of the directors.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

William J. Henderson, William Katz and Jarl Mohn served as our compensation committee during 2009. None of the members of our compensation committee in 2009 was a present or former officer or employee of our company. In addition, during 2009, none of our officers had an interlock relationship, as that term is defined by the SEC.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all directors and employees of the company, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer or controller. The full text of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is posted under the "Investor Relations" section on our website at <http://www.comscore.com>.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Policies and Procedures for Transactions with Related Persons

Related person transactions, which we define as all transactions involving an executive officer, director, nominee for director or a holder of more than five percent of our common stock, including any of their immediate family members and any entity owned or controlled by such persons, are reviewed and approved by the audit committee of our board of directors or in some cases by a majority of disinterested directors on our board of directors.

In any transaction involving a related person, our audit committee and our board of directors consider all of the available material facts and circumstances of the transaction, including: the direct and indirect interests of the related persons; in the event the related person is a director or nominee for director (or immediate family member of a director or an entity with which a director is affiliated), the impact that the transaction will have on a director's or nominee for director's independence; the risks, costs and benefits of the transaction to us; and whether any alternative transactions or sources for comparable services or products are available.

After considering all such facts and circumstances, our audit committee and our board of directors determines whether approval or ratification of the related person transaction is in our best interests. For example, if our audit committee determines that the proposed terms of a related person transaction are reasonable and at least as favorable as could have been obtained from unrelated third parties, it will recommend to our board of directors that such transaction be approved or ratified. In addition, if a related person transaction will compromise the independence of one of our directors or nominees for director, our audit committee may recommend that our board of directors reject the transaction if it could affect our ability to comply with securities laws and regulations or NASDAQ listing requirements.

Of the transactions described below, the employment arrangement with Ms. Abraham and several of the indemnification agreements were entered into prior to the adoption of our audit committee charter. Accordingly, each of those transactions were approved by disinterested members of our board of directors after making a determination that the transaction was executed on terms no less favorable than those we could have obtained from unrelated third parties. The transactions with Glam Media were ratified by our audit committee

after making a determination that the transactions were executed on terms no less favorable than those we could have obtained from unrelated third parties.

The policies and procedures described above for reviewing and approving related person transactions are not in writing. However, the charter for our audit committee provides that one of the committee's responsibilities is to review and approve in advance any proposed related person transactions.

Transactions and Relationships with Directors, Officers and Five Percent Stockholders

We believe that there has not been any other transaction or series of transactions during 2009 to which we were or are to be a participant in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000 and in which any director, nominee for director, executive officer or holder of more than five percent of our common stock, or members of any such person's immediate family, had or will have a direct or indirect material interest, other than compensation described in Executive Compensation or Director Compensation elsewhere in this proxy statement and as described below.

Linda Boland Abraham

Since our inception in 1999, Linda Boland Abraham, the spouse of our President and Chief Executive Officer, Dr. Magid M. Abraham, has been employed in various management positions with us. Most recently, Ms. Abraham has served as our Chief Marketing Officer and Executive Vice President of International Business Development beginning in 2009. During the year ended December 31, 2009, Ms. Abraham earned approximately \$199,500 in salary. Also during the year ended December 31, 2009, Ms. Abraham received an award of shares of our restricted stock pursuant to our 2008 Bonus Plan with a fair value at the time of grant of approximately \$140,448 that was granted in February 2010.

Indemnification Agreements

We have entered into an indemnification agreement with each of our directors and executive officers. The indemnification agreements and our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws require us to indemnify our directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law.

Services Agreement with Glam Media

During 2009, we entered into various transactions for the purchases of our products and services in the ordinary course of business with Glam Media, one of our customers. One of our directors, Jarl Mohn, is also a member of Glam Media's board of directors. We recognized approximately \$216,000 of revenue pursuant to such agreements during the year ended December 31, 2009. In relation to this counterparty, there was \$237,000 included in accounts receivable balances as of December 31, 2009.

PROPOSAL NO. 2

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Our audit committee has appointed Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2010. Ernst & Young LLP has served as our independent audit firm since 2000 and has audited our financial statements for fiscal years 2000 through 2009. A representative of Ernst & Young LLP is expected to be present at our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders and will have an opportunity to make a statement and respond to appropriate questions from stockholders.

Ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm is not required by our bylaws or other applicable legal requirements. However, our board of directors is submitting the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If our stockholders fail to ratify the appointment, the audit committee will reconsider whether to retain the firm. Even if the appointment is ratified, the audit committee at its discretion may direct

the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders.

The affirmative vote of a majority of shares of our common stock present at the 2010 annual meeting of stockholders in person or by proxy and entitled to vote is required to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2010. Abstentions will have the same effect as a vote against this proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS YOU VOTE FOR PROPOSAL NUMBER 2.

Audit and Related Fees for Fiscal Years 2008 and 2009

The following table sets forth a summary of the fees billed to us by Ernst & Young LLP for professional services for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009, respectively. All of the services described in the following fee table were approved by the audit committee.

Name	2008	2009
Audit Fees(1)	\$ 1,459,325	\$ 1,232,500
Audit-Related Fees(2)	73,800	10,000
Tax Fees(3)	205,355	109,501
All Other Fees(4)	171,105	163,671
Total Fees	\$ 1,909,565	\$ 1,515,672

- (1) Audit fees represent fees for professional services relating to the audit of our financial statements included in our annual reports on Form 10-K and our registration statements on Forms S-1 and S-8, the audit of internal control over financial reporting required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the review of the financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q.
- (2) Audit-related fees represent fees for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of financial statements and not reported under Audit Fees.
- (3) Tax fees principally represent fees for professional services for tax compliance and tax advice.
- (4) Other fees consisted of miscellaneous other permissible services not included in the first three categories and were immaterial for 2008 and 2009.

The audit committee meets regularly with Ernst & Young LLP throughout the year and reviews both audit and non-audit services performed by Ernst & Young LLP as well as fees charged for such services. The audit committee has determined that the provision of the services described above is compatible with maintaining Ernst & Young LLP's independence in the conduct of its audit functions.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Our audit committee has adopted and our board of directors has approved a policy that sets forth the procedures and the conditions pursuant to which services proposed to be performed by the independent auditor may be pre-approved. Pursuant to its audit, audit-related and non-audit services pre-approval policy, our audit committee may delegate either type of pre-approval authority to one or more of its members. The member to whom such authority is delegated must report, for informational purposes only, any pre-approval decisions to the audit committee at its next scheduled meeting. Our audit committee pre-approved all audit related, tax and other services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP in 2008 and 2009, with the exception of 9.7% of the tax services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP in 2008. Such services that were not pre-approved in 2008 were promptly brought to the attention of, and were approved by, the audit committee.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The audit committee is comprised of independent directors, as determined in accordance with Rule 5605(a)(2) of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules and Rule 10A-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The audit committee operates pursuant to a written charter adopted by the board of directors, a copy of which is available under the Investor Relations section of our website located at <http://www.comscore.com>.

As described more fully in its charter, the purpose of the audit committee is to assist the board of directors with its oversight responsibilities regarding the integrity of our financial statements, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, assessing our independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence and, if applicable, the performance of the persons performing internal audit duties for our company.

Company management is responsible for preparation, presentation and integrity of our financial statements as well as our financial reporting process, accounting policies, internal audit function, internal accounting controls and disclosure controls and procedures. Our independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an independent audit of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and to issue a report thereon. The audit committee's responsibility is to monitor and oversee these processes. The following is the audit committee's report submitted to the board of directors for 2009.

The audit committee has:

reviewed and discussed our company's audited financial statements with management and Ernst & Young LLP, the company's independent registered public accounting firm;

discussed with Ernst & Young LLP the matters required to be discussed by Statement of Auditing Standards No. 61, *Communications with Audit Committees*, as currently in effect and as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T; and

received from Ernst & Young LLP, disclosures and a letter regarding their independence as required the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board requesting Ernst & Young LLP's communication with the audit committee concerning independence and discussed the auditors' independence with them.

In addition, the audit committee has met separately with company management and with Ernst & Young LLP.

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the audit committee recommended to the board of directors that the audited 2009 financial statements be included in our company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Ronald J. Korn, Chairman
Jeffrey Ganek
William J. Henderson

The foregoing audit committee report shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under these acts, except to the extent we specifically incorporate by reference into such filings.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following discussion and analysis of our compensation arrangements with our named executive officers should be read together with the compensation tables and related disclosures set forth elsewhere in this proxy statement. Our named executive officers for the year ended December 31, 2009 are Magid M. Abraham, Kenneth J. Tarpey, John M. Green, Gian M. Fulgoni, Gregory T. Dale and Christiana L. Lin. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that are based on our current plans and expectations regarding future compensation programs. Actual compensation programs that we adopt may differ materially from currently planned programs as summarized in this discussion.

Our Philosophy

The objective of our compensation programs for employees is to attract and retain top talent. Our compensation plans are designed to motivate and reward employees for achievement of positive business results and also to promote and enforce accountability. In determining the compensation arrangement of our named executive officers, we are guided by the following key principles:

Attract and Retain Top Talent. Our compensation arrangements should be sufficient to allow us to attract, retain and motivate named executive officers with the necessary skills and talent to successfully manage our business. In order to attract, motivate and retain such executives, we seek to compensate our named executive officers at levels of at least the 50th percentile of our identified peer group, with opportunities to reward stronger performers at levels as much as the 75th percentile of that peer group.

Promote Business Performance Accountability. Compensation should be tied, in part, to the performance of the portion of the business for which a named executive officers is responsible and how that named executive officer's business unit or area performs and contributes to the overall financial performance of our business.

Promote Individual Performance Accountability. Compensation should be tied, in part, to the individual named executive officer's performance to encourage and reflect individual contributions to our performance.

Align Stockholder Interests. Compensation should be tied, in part, to our financial performance through equity awards, which help to align our named executive officers' interests with those of our stockholders.

Application of our Philosophy

We believe that our executive compensation and benefit program balances short-term and long-term components, cash and equity elements, and fixed and contingent payments. We apply our compensation philosophy using both quantitative and qualitative standards to incentivize our named executive officers and reward them for achieving the following goals:

develop a culture that embodies a passion for our business and a drive to achieve and exceed established goals and objectives;

provide leadership to the organization in such a way as to maximize the results of our business operations;

lead us by demonstrating forward thinking in the operation, development and expansion of our business; and

effectively manage organizational resources to derive the greatest value possible from each dollar invested.

Our executive compensation structure aims not only to compensate top talent at levels that we believe are at the 50th percentile or greater of an identified peer group, but also to be fair relative to compensation paid to other professionals within our organization, relative to our short- and long-term performance results and relative to the value we deliver to our stockholders. We seek to maintain a performance-oriented culture with a

compensation approach that rewards our named executive officers when we achieve and exceed our goals and objectives, while putting at risk an appropriate portion of their compensation against the possibility that our goals and objectives may not be achieved. Our board of directors considers both qualitative and quantitative factors as measures of individual performance and weights these factors as appropriate in assessing a particular individual's performance. Overall, our approach is designed to relate the compensation of our named executive officers to the following: the achievement of short- and long-term goals and objectives; their willingness to challenge and improve existing policies and structures; and their capability to take advantage of unique opportunities and overcome difficult challenges within our business.

Role of Our Compensation Committee

Our compensation committee approves, administers and interprets our executive compensation and benefit policies, including our 1999 Stock Plan, our 2007 Equity Incentive Plan and our compensation, incentives and benefits programs. Our compensation committee is appointed by our board of directors, and consists entirely of directors who are outside directors for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, non-employee directors for purposes of Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and independent directors under the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market. Our compensation committee is comprised of Messrs. Henderson, Katz and Mohn, and is chaired by Mr. Henderson.

Our compensation committee reviews and approves our executive compensation and benefit program to ensure that it is consistent with our compensation philosophy and corporate governance guidelines. Our compensation committee also is responsible for establishing the executive compensation packages offered to our named executive officers.

Our compensation committee has taken the following steps to ensure that our executive compensation and benefit program is consistent with both our compensation philosophy and our corporate governance guidelines:

- regularly reviewed the performance of and the total compensation earned by or awarded to our Chief Executive Officer and Executive Chairman independent of input from them;

- examined on an annual basis the performance of our other named executive officers and other key employees with assistance from our Chief Executive Officer and Executive Chairman and approved compensation packages that are believed to be consistent with or more attractive than those generally found in the executive's marketplace;

- regularly held executive sessions of compensation committee meetings without management present; and

- engaged outside compensation consultants to review our executive compensation practices and provide comparison to other opportunities in the marketplaces for our named executive officers in connection with setting compensation for our 2009 bonus target levels and 2009 fiscal year base salaries and equity-award levels.

Utilization of Outside Compensation Consultants

Prior to our initial public offering in 2007, our compensation committee had not previously conducted formal surveys or analyses of compensation levels in various marketplaces or engaged compensation consultants to do so on our behalf. However, beginning in mid-2007, in addition to utilizing the collective experience and knowledge of our board of directors and executive management, as well as informal reviews of compensation information gained through marketplace contacts and service providers, our compensation committee selected and directly engaged the services of an independent executive compensation consulting firm, Towers Perrin. No member of the compensation committee

or any named executive officer had any affiliation with Towers Perrin. Towers Perrin had not performed any other work for us, and it has reported directly to the chairman of the compensation committee.

In July 2009, our compensation committee selected and directly engaged the services of a new independent executive compensation consulting firm, Compensia. The committee selected Compensia because

Compensia's primary focus is on technology companies and because of its familiarity and experience in advising the compensation committees for the boards of directors of technology companies. No member of the compensation committee or any named executive officer has any affiliation with Compensia. Compensia has not performed any other work for us, and it has reported directly to the chairman of the compensation committee. Compensia is engaged to conduct an annual compensation study for the compensation committee of our board of directors, including without limitation, selection of a peer group, reporting on our compensation as compared to our peers, and providing recommendations to the compensation committee on adjustments to our compensation plans and approaches to support our compensation philosophy.

Fees of the Compensation Committee Consultants

The aggregate fees billed by Towers Perrin and Compensia for 2009 to provide advice or recommendations on the amount or form of executive or director compensation did not exceed \$120,000 individually or in the aggregate. Neither Towers Perrin nor Compensia provided additional services to us or our affiliates during 2009.

Approval of Compensation Consultant Services

Our compensation committee directly approved Towers Perrin as its compensation consultant until its subsequent engagement of Compensia in 2009. In 2007, our management screened and recommended several firms, including Towers Perrin, to serve as the compensation consultant to our compensation committee. Following this process, our compensation committee determined that it would engage Towers Perrin. Our compensation committee also approved the fee schedule for executive compensation consulting fees.

In 2009, our board of directors and our management sought to engage a compensation consultant with strong experience with technology companies at similar stages of growth as our company. In 2009, our General Counsel screened and recommended several firms, including Compensia, to serve as the compensation consultant to our compensation committee. The chairman of our compensation committee interviewed representatives from three firms and our compensation committee determined that it would engage Compensia. Our compensation committee directly approved Compensia as its compensation consultant.

Review of Compensation for 2009 Fiscal Year

In 2008, as part of our ongoing commitment to link current compensation levels to our compensation philosophy and business strategy, our compensation committee requested that Towers Perrin review our direct compensation, including base salary, total cash compensation and total direct compensation. We define total cash compensation as base salary plus actual annual incentives, and we define total direct compensation as total cash compensation plus the annualized expected value of long-term incentives.

Towers Perrin provided a report to the compensation committee in October 2008 with observations and analyses regarding the direct compensation of our named executive officers. The October 2008 study referenced both published compensation survey data of comparably-sized companies and a valuation peer group determined based on inputs from investment banks as well as management input as to companies with whom we compete for executive talent, with median annual revenues of \$100 million. All of the companies included in the peer group are providers of digital marketing intelligence or related analytical products and services, marketing services and solutions or survey services. Specifically, the peer group consisted of the following companies:

Arbitron Inc.
Forrester Research, Inc.

MIVA, Inc.
Morningstar, Inc.

Think Partnership Inc.
ValueClick, Inc.

Greenfield Online, Inc.
Harris Interactive Inc.
Ipsos Group S.A.
Marchex, Inc.

National Research Corporation
Omniure, Inc.
Rainmaker Systems, Inc.
Taylor Nelson Sofres plc

Website Pros, Inc.

Based on the inputs from Towers Perrin and our management as well as their own review, our compensation committee determined that our named executive officers' compensation package for our 2008

fiscal year continued to fall within the 50th percentile range of the identified peer group for executive compensation, and target annual incentives, total cash compensation and total direct compensation were all in line with market medians, with the flexibility to exceed up to the 75th percentile range of the identified peer group. Our compensation committee further determined that, with the exception of Dr. Abraham, our named executive officers' base salaries for our 2008 fiscal year continued to fall within the 50th percentile range of our identified peer group for executive base salary. Although Dr. Abraham's base salary was found to be below the 50th percentile range, our compensation committee determined that Dr. Abraham's compensation package was heavily weighted in equity compensation. Such equity component was found to have counterbalanced the shortfall in base salary such that Dr. Abraham's compensation package remained consistent with our compensation philosophy. Moreover, the compensation committee believed that the heavier weighting towards equity compensation would better align Dr. Abraham's interests with the interests of the company and our stockholders. Accordingly, the compensation committee determined in October 2008 to leave 2009 base salaries for our named executive officers unchanged from 2008.

Our compensation committee chose the 50th percentile of this peer group as the baseline for our compensation components with a view towards what our compensation committee believed to be fair to our named executive officers and to the company as well as consistent with industry practices in the technology sector. In making such determination, our compensation committee considered such factors as the stage of our company's development, the size and characteristics of our company, based on both headcount and operations and balance sheet characteristics, as well as the expected future characteristics of our business relative to our identified peer group.

In connection with their October 2008 review of base salaries, the compensation committee requested that Towers Perrin further review our incentive programs, including annual performance bonuses and long-term incentive awards. Since our initial public offering, our annual performance bonuses have been paid in cash and, in recent years, restricted stock in lieu of a portion of the cash bonus at the election of the officers. Our long-term incentive awards utilize restricted stock, although we had used stock option awards in past years as well. Given the economic conditions in late 2008, the compensation committee sought to explore the use of non-cash incentives as an alternative to cash-based incentives in order to better control our cash usage. At the same time, our management also suggested to the compensation committee that non-cash based incentives may help enhance retention of existing named executive officers and align stockholder interest with our named executive officers' interests.

Pursuant to the compensation committee's request, Towers Perrin provided several reports to the compensation committee during the first few months of 2009 with observations and analysis as well as certain proposals regarding making salary adjustments and increasing the non-cash components of our annual performance bonuses and long-term incentive awards to our named executive officers.

Based on the inputs from Towers Perrin and our management as well as their own review, in March 2009 our compensation committee established an incentive award policy for our 2009 fiscal year to the effect that bonus target amounts would be combined with long-term incentive target amounts, and would be paid entirely with awards of restricted stock or restricted stock units according to certain target levels based on respective base salary levels for each of the executive officers included in the policy. The stock associated with such awards would be distributed in 2010, and seventy-five percent of the total shares issued would remain subject to vesting restrictions that would lapse ratably over the three years following the award date.

Based on additional inputs from Towers Perrin, the compensation committee determined, in April 2009, that our named executive officers should have a compensation package that was more heavily weighted in equity than in cash. As a result, the compensation committee determined that the 2009 base salary of our named executive officers should be reduced by 7.5% and that additional restricted stock should be awarded to

our named executive officers. On May 1, 2009, our compensation committee approved the following changes to the compensation packages of our named executive officers based on this review.

Name and Principal Position	Base Salary				Restricted Stock Awards	
	2009 through May 1	2009 after May 1	\$ Change	% Change	Additional Shares Issued	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards(1)
Magid M. Abraham, Ph.D. <i>President, Chief Executive Officer and Director</i>	\$ 425,000	\$ 393,125	\$ (31,875)	(7.5)%	2,734	\$ 31,878
Kenneth J. Tarpey <i>Chief Financial Officer</i>	300,000	285,000	(15,000)	(5)%	1,286	14,995(2)
Gian M. Fulgoni <i>Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors</i>	375,000	346,875	(28,125)	(7.5)%	2,412	28,124
Gregory T. Dale <i>Chief Operating Officer</i>	275,600	254,930	(20,670)	(7.5)%	1,773	20,673
Christiana L. Lin <i>Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Privacy Officer</i>	250,000	231,250	(18,750)	(7.5)%	1,608	18,749
John M. Green <i>Former Chief Financial Officer and Former Executive Vice President of Human Capital</i>	302,400	222,000(3)	(80,400)	(30.7)%(1)	1,645	17,996

- (1) Amounts represent fair value of stock-based awards granted in the fiscal year as calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 and as further described in Note 13 of the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.
- (2) Mr. Tarpey began his employment with us on April 20, 2009. Accordingly, the salary reduction and the compensating restricted stock award was prorated to reflect the portion of the year during which he was employed by us.
- (3) Mr. Green served as our Chief Financial Officer until April 19, 2009, at which time Mr. Tarpey was appointed as our Chief Financial Officer and Mr. Green transitioned positions to become our Executive Vice President of Human Capital. Accordingly, the terms of his employment arrangement were amended effective May 20, 2009 to align his compensation package with compensation commensurate with his new role. Mr. Green's initial salary for his new role of \$240,000 was further adjusted to reflect the 7.5% cash salary reduction taken by our management for 2009 consistent with the adjustment for all of our management in May 2009.

Each award of restricted stock was made pursuant to our 2007 Equity Incentive Plan, and is subject to vesting in equal installments over a four year period with each installment vesting annually on May 1. This compensation adjustment, which was also applied to a significant number of our other employees, was expected to allow us to reduce our cash

expenses, improve our long-term retention of employees and retain additional liquid resources to fund and accelerate certain investments in new product offerings and capabilities within our existing cost structure.

Review of Compensation for 2010 Fiscal Year

In 2009, as part of our ongoing commitment to link current compensation levels to our compensation philosophy and business strategy, our compensation committee requested that Compensia review our direct compensation, including base salary, total cash compensation and total direct compensation. Also in 2009, our compensation committee requested that Compensia review our identified peer group and recommend appropriate improvements.

Compensia provided a report to the compensation committee in October 2009 with observations and analyses regarding the direct compensation of our named executive officers. The October 2009 study referenced both published compensation survey data of comparably-sized companies and a valuation peer group determined based on inputs from investment banks as well as management input as to companies with whom we compete for executive talent with annual revenues of between half and two-and-one-half times our annual revenues. The peer group was also identified using profitability and market capitalization comparable companies in addition to revenues. comScore was slightly below the median revenues of the identified peer group but above the median for profitability and market capitalization. All of the companies included in the peer group are providers of digital marketing intelligence or related analytical products and services, marketing services and solutions or survey services. Specifically, the peer group consisted of the following companies:

Arbitron Inc.	Internet Brands	TechTarget
Bankrate	Kenexa	The Knot
Corporate Executive Board	Liquidity Services 75%	Unica
CoStar Group	LoopNet	ValueClick
Dice Holdings	Marchex, Inc.	Web.com
Forrester Research, Inc.	Omniture, Inc.*	
	SuccessFactors	

* Omniture was acquired by Adobe Systems Incorporated in October 2009

Our identified peer group for 2009 changed somewhat from the group identified and used for 2008. Upon consultation with the compensation committee and management, as well as upon conducting independent research, Compensia recommended the group identified above. The changes in composition from 2009 were due to several factors, including the determination by Compensia to better align our recommended peer group with similarly-sized companies in the technology space with similar growth characteristics as our own business. We also eliminated certain companies from our 2008 peer group due to the impact of the changing economy on identified firms as well as certain firms exiting the market altogether.

Based on the inputs from Compensia and our management as well as their own review, our compensation committee determined that our named executive officers' compensation package for our 2009 fiscal year continued to fall within the 50th percentile range of the identified peer group for executive compensation, and target annual incentives, total cash compensation and total direct compensation were all in line with market medians, with the flexibility to exceed up to the 75th percentile range of the identified peer group. Our compensation committee further determined that, with the exception of Dr. Abraham, Mr. Dale and Ms. Lin, our named executive officers' base salaries for our 2009 fiscal year continued to fall within the 50th percentile range of our identified peer group for executive base salary. Although Dr. Abraham's base salary was found to be below the 50th percentile range, our compensation committee determined that Dr. Abraham's compensation package was heavily weighted in equity compensation. Such equity component was found to have counterbalanced the shortfall in base salary such that Dr. Abraham's compensation package remained consistent with our compensation philosophy. Moreover, the compensation committee believed that the heavier weighting towards equity compensation would better align Dr. Abraham's interests with the interests of the company and our stockholders. As Chief Technology Officer, Mr. Dale's compensation fell within the 50th percentile range of our identified peer groups for executive base salary. In August 2009, Mr. Dale was promoted without an accompanying cash increase. Additionally, in July 2009, Ms. Lin was promoted to executive vice president, and in December 2009, Ms. Lin assumed the additional responsibility of overseeing our human capital department without an accompanying cash increase. Mr. Dale and Ms. Lin did not receive increases in cash salary in connection with their increased responsibilities, but each was awarded additional restricted stock in order to maintain a total compensation

package that was consistent with the 50th percentile for peers with their respective level of responsibility. Moreover, in support of our cash-conservation efforts in 2009, our compensation committee determined to accelerate the vesting of 2,500 shares of restricted stock previously issued to Mr. Dale and Ms. Lin. On April 22, 2010, based on input received from Compensia, and in consultation with management, our compensation committee determined to adjust Mr. Dale's and Ms. Lin's compensation by providing each executive with a 9% increase to their base salary effective May 1, 2010.

Because there was no change in responsibilities for the other named executive officers, the compensation committee determined to leave 2010 base salaries for those named executive officers unchanged from 2009.

Our compensation committee chose the 50th percentile of this peer group as the baseline for our compensation components with a view towards what our compensation committee believed to be fair to our named executive officers and to the company as well as consistent with industry practices in the technology sector. In making such determination, our compensation committee considered such factors as the stage of our company's development, the size and characteristics of our company, based on both headcount and operations and balance sheet characteristics, as well as the expected future characteristics of our business relative to our identified peer group.

Our compensation committee believes that our current compensation format and the target levels are consistent with the 50th percentile range of our identified peer group. In reaching these decisions, the compensation committee considered the importance of providing increased incentive opportunities to our named executive officers in equity, which would help better align the long-term incentives of those executives with the incentives of our stockholders.

Components of our Executive Compensation Program.

Our executive compensation program consists of three components: short-term compensation (including base salary and annual performance bonuses), long-term incentives (including equity awards in the form of stock options, restricted stock units and/or restricted stock awards) and benefits.

Our compensation committee evaluates executive compensation and strives to apply the mix of these components in a manner that implements our philosophy while meeting our objectives to attract and retain top talent using compensation that is consistent with or more attractive than other opportunities while also adjusting for individual relative performance and responsibilities as well as our business goals. Our compensation committee has no formal policy for allocating compensation among the compensation components described above, but it does strive to set each component at levels that are consistent with the 50th percentile range of our identified peer group.

Short-term Compensation

We utilize short-term compensation, including base salary, annual adjustments to base salary and annual performance bonuses, to motivate and reward our named executive officers in accordance with our performance-based program. Each individual's short-term compensation components are tied to an annual assessment of his or her progress against established objectives.

Base Salary

Base salary is used to recognize the experience, skills, knowledge and responsibilities required of each named executive officers, as well as to reflect market conditions as indicated by reference to our peer group. As we initially considered our named executive officers' compensation for 2009, base salary determinations were guided primarily by our objective to provide compensation at levels to attract and retain top talent. In establishing the 2009 base salaries of the named executive officers, our compensation committee and management took into account a number of factors, including the executive's seniority, position and functional role, level of responsibility and his or her accomplishments against personal and group objectives. In addition, we considered the market for corresponding positions within comparable geographic areas and industries as well as the state of our business and our cash flows. In initially setting 2009 base salaries, the compensation committee and management also compared their assessments to input provided by Compensia.

The base salary of our named executive officer group is reviewed on an annual basis and adjustments are made following each fiscal year and at other times as appropriate to reflect performance-based factors, marketplace conditions and the overall performance of our business. Increases are considered within the context of our overall annual merit increase structure as well as individual and marketplace factors. We do not apply specific formulas to determine increases. Beginning in 2008, due to increasing global economic

uncertainty, our compensation committee generally considered the impact of external marketplace conditions as a determinative factor in setting our named executive officers' salaries for 2008 and 2009. However, we have historically also considered the following when evaluating named executive officer salaries:

their achievement of specific objectives established during the prior review;

an assessment of their professional effectiveness, consisting of a portfolio of competencies that include leadership, commitment, creativity and organizational accomplishment;

their knowledge, skills and attitude, focusing on capabilities, capacity and the ability to drive results; and

external factors such as the marketplace for the named executive officer, the state of our business and the condition of the global economy.

Magid M. Abraham, our Chief Executive Officer, periodically reviews the performance of our named executive officers in the context of the factors noted above and recommends to the compensation committee any base salary changes or bonuses deemed appropriate.

In late 2008, in connection with an October 2008 report prepared by Towers Perrin, our compensation committee evaluated the base salaries of our named executive officers for our 2009 fiscal year. Although all of our named executive officers achieved various objectives and demonstrated improvements in their personal capacities during 2008, the compensation committee considered the external market factors and economic conditions particularly heavily in its October 2008 review. In light of our overall financial performance and the general uncertainty of the global economic conditions at that time, as well as the competitive conditions within our peer group and industry, our compensation committee determined at that time to set base salaries of our named executive officers for our upcoming 2009 fiscal year at the same level as were set in 2008. Our compensation committee believed that such levels remained consistent with our company's compensation philosophy of providing executive base salaries at the 50th percentile range of our peer group.

In April 2009, based on additional inputs from Towers Perrin, the compensation committee determined that our named executive officers should have a compensation package that was more heavily weighted in equity than in cash. As a result, the compensation committee determined, among other things, that the 2009 base salary of our named executive officers should be reduced by 7.5% from the 2008 base salary.

In August 2009, Mr. Dale was promoted to chief operating officer. Additionally, in July 2009, Ms. Lin was promoted to executive vice president, and in December 2009, Ms. Lin assumed the additional responsibility of overseeing our human capital department. Mr. Dale and Ms. Lin did not receive increases in cash salary in connection with their increased responsibilities, but each was awarded additional restricted stock in order to maintain a total compensation package that was consistent with the 50th percentile for peers with their respective level of responsibility.

In late 2009, in connection with input provided by Compensia, our compensation committee evaluated the base salaries of our named executive officers for our 2010 fiscal year. Similar to 2009, although all of our named executive officers achieved various objectives and demonstrated improvements in their personal capacities during 2009, the compensation committee continued to heavily consider the external market factors and economic conditions in its review of our named executive officers' respective compensation arrangements. In light of our overall financial performance and the continued general uncertainty of the global economic conditions at that time, as well as the competitive conditions within our peer group and industry, our compensation committee determined at that time to set base salaries of our named executive officers for our upcoming 2010 fiscal year at the same level as were set in 2009. Our compensation committee believed that such levels remained consistent with our compensation philosophy of

providing executive base salaries at the 50th percentile range of our peer group.

The annual base salaries for 2008, 2009 and 2010 for each named executive officer are set forth below:

Name and Principal Position	Base Salary			Percentage Change	
	2008(1)	2009(2)	2010(3)	2009 v. 2008	2010 v. 2009
Magid M. Abraham, Ph.D. <i>President, Chief Executive Officer and Director</i>	\$ 425,000	\$ 393,125	\$ 393,125	(7.5)%	
Kenneth J. Tarpey <i>Chief Financial Officer</i>	N/A	285,000	285,000	N/A	
Gian M. Fulgoni <i>Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors</i>	375,000	346,875	346,875	(7.5)%	
Gregory T. Dale <i>Chief Operating Officer</i>	275,600	254,930	277,874(4)	(7.5)%	9%
Christiana L. Lin <i>Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Privacy Officer</i>	250,000	231,250	252,063(5)	(7.5)%	9%
John M. Green <i>Former Chief Financial Officer and Former Executive Vice President of Human Capital</i>	302,400	222,000(6)	222,000(6)	(30.7)%	

(1) Effective beginning March 1, 2008.

(2) Effective beginning May 1, 2009.

(3) Effective beginning May 1, 2009.

(4) In August 2009, Mr. Dale was promoted to chief operating officer. The 2010 increase in Mr. Dale's salary reflects his increased responsibilities as compared to during 2009.

(5) In July 2009, Ms. Lin was promoted to executive vice president, and in December 2009, Ms. Lin assumed the additional responsibility of overseeing our human capital department. The 2010 increase in Ms. Lin's salary reflects her increased responsibilities as compared to during 2009.

(6) Mr. Green served as our Chief Financial Officer until April 19, 2009, at which time Mr. Tarpey was appointed as our Chief Financial Officer and Mr. Green transitioned positions to become our Executive Vice President of Human Capital. Accordingly, the terms of his employment arrangement were amended effective May 20, 2009 to align his compensation package with compensation commensurate with his new role. Mr. Green's initial salary of \$240,000 for his new role was further adjusted to reflect a 7.5% cash salary reduction taken by our management for 2009 consistent with the adjustment for all of our management in April 2009. For further details of Mr. Green's amended employment arrangement beginning May 20, 2009, refer to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 22, 2009. On November 13, 2009, Mr. Green further notified us of his intention to resign from all positions with us effective on or before February 28, 2010.

Performance Bonuses and Long-Term Compensation

Annual Bonus Policy

Based on the collective inputs from Towers Perrin, management, and the experience of the members of our board of directors and compensation committee, in February 2009 our compensation committee determined to consolidate our bonus policy with our long-term incentive compensation policy beginning with our 2009 fiscal year so that named executive officers would be awarded restricted stock according to certain target levels based on each named executive officer's respective base salary levels. Prior to 2009, we had paid a cash-based or cash- and equity-based bonuses on an annual basis to our named executive officers.

In reaching this decision, the compensation committee considered the importance of providing increased incentive opportunities to our named executive officers in equity, which would help better align the long-term incentives of those executives with the incentives of our stockholders. The compensation committee also considered the importance of reducing or delaying cash outlays from the company in light of the global economic environment, the inherent cash budgeting uncertainties in such an environment as well as

management's planned investment activities. Finally, the compensation committee considered the competitive landscape for compensation, observing that, most U.S. technology companies had not necessarily reduced the value of bonus opportunities for executives despite the recent economic downturn of the past few years but rather had adjusted the threshold performance levels and discretionary components of their bonus programs in order to reduce cash outlays while providing long-term incentives.

Additional awards under this policy for our named executive officers are tied to the achievement of our annual company goals and objectives, functional area goals, and/or individual performance objectives. Annual performance bonuses are primarily guided by our objectives of accountability for individual and business performance. We set clearly defined goals for each named executive officer, with an emphasis on quantifiable and achievable targets. A portion of each named executive officer's award is clearly tied to the achievement of specific targets relative to the performance of the particular business segment or functional area for which they are responsible, with the remainder tied to similar targets relative to our overall financial performance. Individual awards under the program are based on a thorough review of the applicable performance results of our company, business, function or individual as compared to the applicable goals.

Target bonus levels are set at a percentage of actual full-year salary. Our compensation committee approves these percentages for our named executive officers based on a determination of the appropriate portion of total compensation that should be at risk for a particular executive. Generally, target bonuses for our named executive officers are set at a higher percentage of salary than for our other officers, so as to recognize their broader responsibility for company-wide results and to place a greater portion of their total compensation at risk against the achievement of overall goals and objectives.

Our compensation committee believes that this format of a bonus and long-term compensation policy and the target levels are consistent with the 50th percentile range of our identified peer group based on their experience in the marketplace as well as insight provided by Towers Perrin's report. The specific terms of this combined bonus and long-term compensation policy is summarized in greater detail in the following section titled "Long-Term Compensation".

Long-term Compensation

Long-term, equity-based incentives are primarily guided by our objective of aligning named executive officers with the interests of our stockholders. Grants of stock options, restricted stock units and restricted stock made to executive officers are designed to provide them with incentive to execute their responsibilities in such a way as to generate long-term benefit to us and our stockholders. Through possession of stock options, restricted stock units and shares of restricted stock, our executives participate in the long-term results of their efforts, whether by appreciation of our company's value or the impact of business setbacks, either company-specific or industry based. Additionally, stock options, restricted stock units and shares of restricted stock provide a means of ensuring the retention of named executive officers, in that they are in almost all cases subject to vesting over an extended period of time, often multiple years.

Stock options, restricted stock units and shares of restricted stock are granted periodically, and are typically subject to vesting based on the executive's continued employment. Historically, most of these grants were designed to vest evenly over four years, beginning on the date of the grant.

Beginning in 2007, we began to use shares of restricted common stock as a form of long-term compensation. Such grants have been made by our board of directors upon the recommendations of our compensation committee. Our compensation committee has preferred the recent use of restricted stock in favor of stock options now that our common stock is publicly traded because it results in less dilution of our existing stockholders, it provides some

immediate, tangible value to our employees, and it also does not require cash outlay by our employees. At the same time, restricted stock with vesting creates long-term growth incentives for our employees as well. We expect to continue to predominantly use restricted stock awards in favor of stock options as a form of long-term, stock-based compensation in the foreseeable future.

Historically, upon joining us, each executive was granted an initial option award that was primarily based on competitive conditions applicable to the executive's specific position. After our initial public offering, upon

joining us, each executive is granted an initial restricted stock award that is primarily based on competitive conditions applicable to the executive's specific position. In addition, the compensation committee considers the number of shares subject to options or shares of restricted stock owned by other executives in comparable positions within our company when determining the number of shares to grant to each executive, as well as the number of shares that remain unvested. Based upon input provided by Towers Perin and Compensia and reviewed by our compensation committee, we believe this strategy is consistent with the approach of our peer group and, in our compensation committee's view, is appropriate for aligning the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders over the long term.

Periodic awards to named executive officers are made based on an assessment of their sustained performance over time, their ability to impact results that drive value to our stockholders and their organization level. Magid M. Abraham, our Chief Executive Officer, periodically reviews the performance of our other named executive officers on this basis and recommends to the compensation committee any equity awards deemed appropriate. The compensation committee reviews any such recommendations and presents them to our board of directors for approval, if appropriate.

2009 Executive Long-Term Compensation Policy

As discussed above, in February 2009 our compensation committee combined our bonus policy for our 2009 fiscal year with our long-term compensation policy, so that executives may be awarded restricted stock according to certain target levels based on our named executive officers' respective base salary levels and their performance during the 2009 fiscal year. If earned, these awards were paid out following the end of our 2009 fiscal year, with a portion of the shares issued vesting immediately upon the date of the award and the remaining shares vesting over three years thereafter. Our compensation committee believes that this format and the target levels are consistent with or more attractive than other opportunities in those named executive officers' respective marketplaces based on their experience in the marketplace as well as insight provided by Towers Perrin's report.

The combined bonus and long-term compensation targets for each named executive officers for the 2009 fiscal year long-term compensation awards as well as the actual payouts were as follows:

Name and Principal Position	2009 Full-Year Salary	Target Level as a % of 2009 Full-Year Salary(1)	Actual Payout as a % of 2009 Full-Year Salary	Actual Payout(1)
Magid M. Abraham, Ph.D. <i>President, Chief Executive Officer and Director</i>	\$ 393,125	200%	205%	\$ 807,469
Kenneth J. Tarpey <i>Chief Financial Officer</i>	285,000	125	88(2)	250,426
Gian M. Fulgoni <i>Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors</i>	346,875	160	164	569,967
Gregory T. Dale <i>Chief Operating Officer</i>	254,930	80	72	184,285
Christiana L. Lin <i>Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Privacy Officer</i>	231,250	80	78	180,462
John M. Green	222,000	75	42(3)	94,206(3)

*Former Chief Financial Officer and
Former Executive Vice President of
Human Capital*

- (1) The awards for the 2009 executive long-term compensation policy were paid in the form of restricted stock based on the value of our common stock as reported at market close by the NASDAQ Global Market on

February 18, 2010, the date of payment, as adjusted for rounding for fractional shares. Part of Mr. Green's payout was made in cash as further described in footnote (3) below.

- (2) Mr. Tarpey began his employment with us on April 20, 2009. Accordingly, his 2009 executive long-term compensation policy award was prorated to reflect the portion of the year during which he was employed by us.
- (3) Mr. Green served as our Chief Financial Officer until April 19, 2009, at which time Mr. Green transitioned positions to become our Executive Vice President of Human Capital. Accordingly, the terms of his employment arrangement were amended effective May 20, 2009 to align his compensation package with compensation commensurate with his new role. On November 13, 2009, Mr. Green further notified us of his intention to resign from all positions with us effective on or before February 28, 2010. In connection with Mr. Green's resignation, we awarded 50% of his earned Executive Long-Term Compensation in restricted stock.

The above-referenced restricted stock awards were issued on February 18, 2010 based on each named executive officer's actual performance during 2009 relative to the metrics described in further detail below. One-quarter of the total number of shares of the restricted stock award to each named executive officer vested immediately upon the grant date, and the remaining three-quarters of the shares of the restricted stock award vest ratably over the three-year period following the grant date.

Under this policy, the award levels established for the 2009 fiscal year for Dr. Abraham and Messrs. Tarpey and Fulgoni were based on a mix of quantitative and qualitative factors, certain of which were the satisfactory completion of specific projects or initiatives. The 2009 targets for Dr. Abraham and Messrs. Tarpey and Fulgoni were calculated based on the following component factors:

Achievement of	Weight of Target
Milestones for 2009 earnings before interest taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA	50%
Milestones for 2009 revenue	30%
Individual qualitative factors such as client retention, personnel retention, strategic milestones	20%

A minimum threshold must be exceeded for each component above before any award will be made with respect to that component. In the event that the target metrics are surpassed, the maximum possible awards under the plan for Dr. Abraham, and Messrs. Fulgoni and Tarpey was 320%, 240% and 175%, respectively, of base salary.

The annual performance targets established for the 2009 fiscal year for Messrs. Dale and Green and Ms. Lin were based solely on the achievement of qualitative performance factors. Targets were based on qualitative factors such as successful completion and integration of strategic transactions, effective management of their respective organizations, the development and release of new technology or product offerings, successful recruiting and development of our human capital resources and the successful implementation of strategic initiatives. The maximum possible awards under the plan for Messrs. Dale and Green and Ms. Lin were 150% in each case of base salary.

Participants in this policy must remain employed through the date that awards are paid in order to qualify for the awards. Our compensation committee, in its sole discretion, retains the right to amend, supplement, supersede or cancel this policy for any reason, and reserves the right to determine whether and when to pay out any awards, regardless of the achievement of the performance targets.

2010 Executive Long-Term Compensation Policy

In February 2010, our compensation committee confirmed that the combined bonus and long-term compensation policies and target levels that we used for our 2009 Executive Long-Term Compensation Policy remained appropriate and therefore the same as 2009 for our 2010 Executive Long-Term Compensation Policy. If earned, these awards will be paid out following the end of our 2010 fiscal year, with one quarter of the

incentive amount issued as cash immediately upon the date of the incentive award and the remaining amounts issued as shares vesting annually over three years thereafter. Our compensation committee believes that this format and the target levels are consistent with or more attractive than other opportunities in those named executive officers respective marketplaces based on their experience in the marketplace as well as insight provided by Compensia's report.

Under this policy, the award levels established for the 2010 fiscal year for Dr. Abraham and Messrs. Tarpey and Fulgoni are based on a mix of quantitative and qualitative factors, certain of which were the satisfactory completion of specific projects or initiatives. The qualitative milestones varied somewhat from 2009 to reflect the expected financial performance of the company in 2010 as compared to 2009. The 2010 targets for Dr. Abraham and Messrs. Tarpey and Fulgoni were calculated based on the following component factors:

Achievement of	Weight of Target
Milestones for 2010 earnings before interest taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA	50%
Milestones for 2010 revenue	30%
Individual qualitative factors such as client retention, personnel retention, strategic milestones	20%

A minimum threshold must be exceeded for each component above before any award will be made with respect to that component. In the event that the target metrics are surpassed, the maximum possible awards under the plan for Dr. Abraham, and Messrs. Fulgoni and Tarpey are 320%, 240% and 175%, respectively, of base salary.

The annual performance targets established for the 2010 fiscal year for Messrs. Dale and Ms. Lin were based solely on the achievement of qualitative performance factors. Targets were based on qualitative factors such as successful completion and integration of strategic transactions, effective management of their respective organizations, the development and release of new technology or product offerings, successful recruiting and development of our human capital resources and the successful implementation of strategic initiatives. The maximum possible awards under the plan for Mr. Dale and Ms. Lin were 150% in each case of base salary.

Participants in this program must remain employed through the date that awards are paid in order to qualify for the awards. Our compensation committee, in its sole discretion, retains the right to amend, supplement, supersede or cancel this program for any reason, and reserves the right to determine whether and when to pay out any awards, regardless of the achievement of the performance targets.

Market Based Performance Equity Awards Granted Subsequent to Year-End

In May 2010, following a review of market-based performance equity awards in conjunction with Compensia, our compensation committee approved awards of stock options for purchase of our common stock pursuant to the terms of our 2007 Equity Incentive Plan that are designed to motivate management to drive enterprise value toward a significantly higher market capitalization over the next two years and promote sustainability of the achievement. We refer to these options in this proxy statement as the May Stock Option Grants. The May Stock Option Grants were granted effective as of May 4, 2010 with an exercise price of \$18.21 per share, to each of the named executive officers employed as of that date, in the amounts listed below:

Named Executive Officers	Number of Shares of Common Stock Subject to Stock Option
Magid M. Abraham, Ph.D. <i>President, Chief Executive Officer and Director</i>	848,176
Kenneth J. Tarpey <i>Chief Financial Officer</i>	50,891
Gian M. Fulgoni <i>Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors</i>	63,613
Gregory T. Dale <i>Chief Operating Officer</i>	46,650
Christiana L. Lin <i>Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Privacy Officer</i>	33,715

Each of the May Stock Option Grants is subject to market-based vesting, whereby 100% of the shares subject to option is eligible to vest in the event that our common stock closing price as reported by the NASDAQ Global Market exceeds an average of \$30 per share for a consecutive thirty-day period prior to May 4, 2012, an event we refer to as the Trigger. Fifty percent (50%) of the shares subject to the options will vest upon achievement of the Trigger and the remaining fifty percent (50%) of the shares subject to the options will vest on the one year anniversary of the achievement of the Trigger, subject to the named executive officer's continued status as a service provider of the company through such dates. The thirty (30)-day price average and bifurcated vesting provisions are intended to promote sustainability of significantly higher market capitalization.

In addition to the market-based vesting conditions, the options may vest in part or entirely upon a change of control, as more fully described under the heading "Severance and Change of Control Arrangements" below.

Benefits and Perquisites

We provide the following benefits to our named executive officers on the same basis as the benefits provided to all our employees:

health and dental insurance;

life insurance;

short-and long-term disability; and

401(k) plan.

These benefits are consistent with those offered by other companies and specifically with those companies with which we compete for employees.

In general, we do not view perquisites as a significant component of our executive compensation structure. However, the compensation committee has the authority to approve perquisites, primarily for

retention purposes or to accommodate specific, and usually temporary, circumstances of executives who do not reside near their work locations.

Severance and Change of Control Arrangements

Of our named executive officers for 2009, Dr. Abraham and Messrs. Tarpey, Fulgoni and Green were parties to agreements as of December 31, 2009 that provided certain benefits to these executive officers in the event of their termination or a change of control of the Company under certain circumstances or both.

We believe the following arrangements are useful retention tools that are particularly necessary in an industry, such as ours, where there is frequent market consolidation. We recognize that it is possible that we may be subject to a change of control, and that this possibility could result in a sudden departure or distraction of our key executives to the detriment of our business. We believe that the following arrangements help to maintain the continued focus and dedication of our executives to their assigned duties to maximize stockholder value without the distraction that could result from the uncertainty of a change of control.

Magid M. Abraham and Gian M. Fulgoni

Certain shares of the restricted common stock held by Dr. Abraham and Mr. Fulgoni at December 31, 2009 that remain unvested were subject to single trigger acceleration provisions, which results in the repurchase rights fully lapsing upon the occurrence of a change of control event. In general terms, the restricted stock agreements for Dr. Abraham and Mr. Fulgoni define a change of control event as an acquisition of at least 50% of the voting control of the company, a sale or merger of the company or the sale of substantially all the assets of the company. Assuming a fair market value of our common stock of \$17.55 per share, which represented the closing market price of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Market on December 31, 2009, Dr. Abraham and Mr. Fulgoni would have obtained an immediate increase in the value of their respective stock holdings upon a change of control at December 31, 2009 as indicated in the table below.

Name	Restricted Common Stock Shares Vesting Upon a Change of Control	Value Realized Upon a Change of Control
Magid M. Abraham, Ph.D. <i>President, Chief Executive Officer and Director</i>	50,000	\$ 877,500
Gian M. Fulgoni <i>Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors</i>	37,500	658,125

Kenneth J. Tarpey

In connection with his initial employment as our Chief Financial Officer on April 20, 2009, Mr. Tarpey entered into a letter agreement with us dated April 1, 2010 providing for certain benefits upon either a change of control or upon his termination without cause.

In general, Mr. Tarpey's employment offer letter defines a change of control event as an acquisition of at least 50% of the voting control of the company, a sale or merger of the company or the sale of substantially all the assets of the company. Mr. Tarpey's employment offer letter defines cause for termination to include the commission of any act of dishonesty, embezzlement, theft or fraud with respect to the company; an indictment, plea of nolo contendere or

conviction, of any felony or of any crime involving dishonesty; a material breach of Mr. Tarpey's duties to the Company, including repeated unsatisfactory performance of job duties; or a material breach by Mr. Tarpey of the letter agreement or any written comScore policy.

Upon a change of control, the right of repurchase restrictions on all restricted stock awards held by Mr. Tarpey shall lapse immediately. Assuming a fair market value of our common stock of \$17.55 per share, which represented the closing market price of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Market on December 31, 2009, Mr. Tarpey would have obtained an immediate increase in the value of his stock

holdings of \$1,491,750 upon a change of control at December 31, 2009 that resulted in the lapsing of the repurchase rights on all 85,000 shares of his then-unvested restricted stock.

Upon a termination without cause, Mr. Tarpey is entitled to lump-sum severance payment of six (6) months' base salary as then in effect. Furthermore, the right of repurchase with respect to any restricted stock award granted to Mr. Tarpey under our executive long-term compensation policy prior to the date of such termination shall be waived for 50% of the initial portion of each such award made to Mr. Tarpey with a right of repurchase, subject to Mr. Tarpey signing a release of claims.

Assuming a fair market value of our common stock of \$17.55 per share, which represented the closing market price of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Market on December 31, 2009, Mr. Tarpey would receive the following upon a termination without cause at December 31, 2009.

Lump-sum severance payment of six months' base salary	\$ 142,500	
Acceleration of Unvested Executive Long-Term Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Awards		(1)
Total	\$ 142,500	

(1) Mr. Tarpey did not hold any unvested Executive Long-Term Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Awards as of December 31, 2009.

John M. Green

Given Mr. Green's change in position on April 20, 2009 from Chief Financial Officer to Executive Vice President of Human Capital, his employment arrangement was amended on May 20, 2009, including severance and change of control provisions, to align his compensation package with one more commensurate with his new role.

In connection with the modification of Mr. Green's prior employment arrangement and his new May 20, 2009 employment offer letter, unvested options and shares of restricted stock held by Mr. Green were cancelled as of May 18, 2009, except that Mr. Green retained 11,803 shares of restricted stock and options for the purchase of 16,248 shares of the Company's common stock that were then unvested and remained subject to vesting contingent upon Mr. Green's continued status as a service provider. However, if Mr. Green is terminated without cause (as such term is defined in the award agreements) prior to the date on which those options and shares fully vest, those options and shares will fully vest upon his date of termination. As of the date of Mr. Green's new employment agreement on May 20, 2009, our previous obligations with respect to Mr. Green's severance and changes of control were superseded by the new agreement.

On November 13, 2009, Mr. Green further notified us of his intention to resign from all positions with us effective on or before February 28, 2010. Accordingly, as of November 13, 2009, any effects that might occur relating to the change of control provisions of Mr. Green's employment arrangement were otherwise mitigated by his resignation.

May Stock Option Grants

In addition, the May Stock Option Grants to each of Dr. Abraham, Messrs. Tarpey, Fulgoni and Dale and Ms. Lin may vest in part or entirely upon a change of control, which for purposes of the options vesting will be generally defined as an acquisition of at least fifty percent (50%) of the voting control of the company, a sale or merger of the company, or the sale of substantially all the assets of comScore. Upon such a change of control, if our common stock

closing price as reported by the NASDAQ Global Market exceeds an average of \$24.10 per share for the thirty-day period immediately preceding the change of control, fifty percent (50%) of the shares subject to option will vest upon the consummation of the change of control. The percentage of the total shares subject to option that vest upon a change of control increases linearly from fifty percent (50%) at \$24.10 per share to one hundred percent (100%) at thirty dollars (\$30) per share based on the thirty (30)-day average of our common stock closing price as reported by the NASDAQ Global Market immediately preceding the change of control.

In the event of (a) an indictment, plea of nolo contendere or conviction, of any felony or of any crime involving dishonesty by the named executive officer; (b) a material breach by the named executive officer of his or her duties or of a company policy, including repeated unsatisfactory performance of job duties as determined by the compensation committee or the board of directors; or (c) a commission of any act of dishonesty, embezzlement, theft, fraud or misconduct by the named executive officer with respect to the company, any of which in the good faith and reasonable determination of the compensation committee or the board of directors is materially detrimental to the company, our business or our reputation, our compensation committee has the right to deny vesting of the option for such named executive officer and cause the option to immediately terminate for no consideration to the individual.

Total Compensation

We intend to continue our strategy of compensating our named executive officers at levels consistent with or more attractive than other opportunities for each type of executive, with the opportunity to impact their total annual compensation through performance-based incentive programs that include both cash and equity elements. Our approach to total executive compensation is designed to drive results that maximize our financial performance and deliver value to our stockholders. In light of our compensation philosophy, we believe that the total compensation package for our executives should continue to consist of base salary, annual cash performance bonus and long-term equity-based incentives, reflecting our key compensation principles of compensation to attract and retain top talent, accountability for individual and business performance, and alignment with stockholder interests, respectively. We do not consider benefits to be a key element in attracting executive officers, and we typically offer largely the same benefits to our executive officers as to our other employees. Historically, we have typically offered a combination of short-term and long-term compensation to suit our executives' preferences. Certain of our executives who joined us earlier in our history preferred to accept more long-term compensation in the form of stock options, as the potential return was higher at that stage and our ability to fund short-term cash compensation was more limited. At the same time, certain of our executives have preferred greater short-term compensation and reduced long-term compensation. As we have become more profitable and our common stock has become publicly traded, our ability to attract executives through short-term compensation has increased. Accordingly, we expect that our decisions regarding the relationship among our elements of compensation will become less dependent upon our stage as a growing company and more dependent upon our key compensation principles.

Evolution of our Compensation Approach

Our compensation approach is necessarily tied to our stage of development as a company. Accordingly, the specific direction, emphasis and components of our executive compensation program will continue to evolve as our company and its underlying business strategy continue to grow and develop. For example, we have reduced our executive compensation program's emphasis on stock options as a long-term incentive component in favor of other forms of equity compensation such as restricted stock awards. Similarly, we continue to revise how we measure senior executive performance to take into account the unique requirements of being a public company, including, but not limited to, strict compliance with the standards of the Sarbanes Oxley Act. In addition, we have engaged an outside compensation consultant since mid-2007 to assist our compensation committee in continuing to evolve our executive compensation program, and we may look to programs implemented by comparable public companies in refining our compensation approach.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this proxy statement with company management. Based on the compensation committee's review of, and the discussions with management with respect to, the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation committee recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and, by reference, in our company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

William J. Henderson, Chairman
 William Katz
 Jarl Mohn

The foregoing compensation committee report shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under these acts, except to the extent we specifically incorporate by reference into such filings.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth summary information concerning compensation for the following persons: (i) all persons serving as our chief executive officer during 2009, (ii) all persons serving as our chief financial officer during 2009 and (iii) the three most highly compensated of our other executive officers who received compensation during 2009 of at least \$100,000 and who were executive officers on December 31, 2009. We refer to these persons as our named executive officers elsewhere in this proxy statement. The following table includes all compensation earned by the named executive officers for the respective periods, regardless of whether such amounts were actually paid during the period.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary(\$)	Bonus(\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
M. Henderson, Ph.D. President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	2009	\$ 403,750		\$ 653,849(2)	\$ 807,469(3)		\$ 61(4)	\$ 1,865,117
	2008	408,333		850,000(5)		\$ 183,751(6)	3,290(7)	1,445,374
	2007	326,635	\$ 95,317(8)	1,125,000			3,178(7)	1,550,130
William J. Tarpey Chief Financial Officer	2009	200,384		1,165,895(2)(9)	250,426(3)		61(4)	1,616,766
M. Fulgoni Executive Chairman	2009	356,250		452,686(2)	569,967(3)		61(4)	1,378,964
	2008	362,500		562,492(5)		168,126(6)	4,162(7)	1,093,170

Edgar Filing: COMSCORE, INC. - Form DEF 14A

<i>of Directors</i>	2007	303,000	88,931(10)	843,750			4,178(7)	1,239
<i>ry T. Dale</i>	2009	261,820		619,236(2)(11)	184,285(3)		61(4)	1,065
<i>Operating</i>	2008	272,999		200,008(5)		51,401(6)	3,161(7)	527
<i>r</i>								
	2007	258,538	59,879(12)	205,500			3,178(7)	527
<i>iana L. Lin</i>	2009	237,500		331,608(2)(13)	180,462(3)		61(4)	751
<i>utive Vice</i>	2008	241,667		200,008(5)		49,078(6)	3,161(7)	493
<i>ent,</i>								
<i>al Counsel and</i>	2007	158,958	32,775(14)	213,750			2,482(7)	407
<i>Privacy Officer</i>								
<i>A. Green</i>	2009	251,205		357,865(2)(15)	94,206(3)		61(4)	703
<i>r Chief</i>	2008	297,000		604,795(5)		85,031(6)	4,099(7)	990
<i>cial</i>								
<i>r* and Former</i>	2007	271,500	62,819(16)	337,750			3,900(7)	675
<i>utive Vice</i>								
<i>ent of Human</i>								
<i>al</i>								

* Mr. Green served as our Chief Financial Officer until April 19, 2009, at which time Mr. Tarpey was appointed as our Chief Financial Officer and Mr. Green transitioned positions to become our Executive Vice President of Human Capital. On November 13, 2009, Mr. Green further notified us of his intention to resign from all positions with us effective on or before February 28, 2010.

(1) Amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards or equity plan compensation computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are

described in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

- (2) Includes the award of (i) a one-time award of restricted stock issued to key senior employees, including named executive officers, to promote retention given expected challenges during 2009 and (ii) a one-time May 1, 2009 award of restricted stock in connection with our April 2009 reduction in salaries, which is further described in the paragraph below this table.
- (3) In February 2009, our compensation committee determined to consolidate our annual bonus policy for our 2009 fiscal year with our long-term incentive compensation policy. Accordingly, our named executive officers were awarded restricted stock according to certain target levels based on each named executive officer's respective base salary levels. There was no cash component of these equity incentive awards paid to our named executive officers. Awards under such policy relating to 2009 performance were paid in February 2010 following approval by our compensation committee.
- (4) Includes payment of life insurance premiums paid on behalf of the named executive officer.
- (5) In December 2007 our compensation committee approved guidelines for restricted stock awards to be granted in the first quarter of 2008 based on each executive's respective 2008 base salary as well as the number of shares held by each named executive officer that remain unvested as part of our long-term compensation policy. On February 18, 2008, our compensation committee approved specific restricted common stock awards for our executives using the targets established in December 2007, as well as factors such as the number of unvested shares remaining from option grants previously awarded to the executive and the amount of restricted common stock awarded to an executive that remains subject to a right of repurchase.
- (6) Amounts represent compensation paid in a combination of cash and stock-based compensation to our named executive officers pursuant to our executive compensation bonus policy for 2008. Payments under such policy were paid in February 2009 following approval by our compensation committee. Equity awards included in such amounts are included based on the aggregate grant date fair value of equity compensation computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are described in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.
- (7) Includes discretionary matching contributions by us to the officer's 401(k) plan account and payment of life insurance premiums paid on behalf of the named executive officers.
- (8) Included an award of 1,996 shares of restricted stock granted in February 2008 with a grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 of approximately \$45,130.
- (9) Includes an award of 85,000 shares of restricted stock with a grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 of approximately \$1,150,900 granted on April 20, 2009, the start date of Mr. Tarpey's employment as our Chief Financial Officer.
- (10) Included an award of 1,850 shares of restricted stock granted in February 2008 with a grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 of approximately \$41,829.
- (11) Mr. Dale was promoted to Chief Operating Officer within the company on September 14, 2009. In connection with such promotion, Mr. Dale was awarded an additional 30,000 shares of restricted stock on November 15, 2009 with a grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 of approximately

\$482,400, which amount is included in the referenced item.

- (12) Included an award of 1,230 shares of restricted stock granted in February 2008 with a grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 of approximately \$27,810.
- (13) Ms. Lin was promoted to Executive Vice President within the company on September 14, 2009. In connection with such promotion, Ms. Lin was awarded an additional 15,000 shares of restricted stock on August 15, 2009 with a grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 of approximately \$201,300, which amount is included in the referenced item.
- (14) Included an award of 647 shares of restricted stock granted in February 2008 with a grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 of approximately \$14,629.

- (15) Mr. Green served as our Chief Financial Officer until April 19, 2009, at which time Mr. Tarpey was appointed as our Chief Financial Officer and Mr. Green transitioned positions to become our Executive Vice President of Human Capital. In connection with his change of position, Mr. Green was awarded an additional 10,000 shares of restricted stock on May 18, 2009 with a grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 of approximately \$109,400, which amount is included in the referenced item.
- (16) Included an award of 1,290 shares of restricted stock granted in February 2008 with a grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 of approximately \$29,167.

In April 2009, our compensation committee determined to adjust the compensation packages of our named executive officers to more heavily favor equity than cash than we had in recent years. As a result, the compensation committee recommended the reduction of the base salary of our named executive officers by 7.5% and the award of additional restricted stock. Such adjustment was intended to allow us to reduce our cash expenses, increase our long-term retention of employees and retain additional liquid resources to fund and accelerate certain investments in new product offerings and capabilities within our existing cost structure. All of our named executive officers and employees that were affected by the reduction received a corresponding award of restricted stock on May 1, 2009.

In August 2009, Mr. Dale was promoted to chief operating officer. Additionally, in July 2009, Ms. Lin was promoted to executive vice president, and in December 2009, Ms. Lin assumed the additional responsibility of overseeing our human capital department. Mr. Dale and Ms. Lin did not receive increases in cash salary in connection with their increased responsibilities, but each was awarded additional restricted stock in order to maintain a total compensation package that was consistent with the 50th percentile for peers with their respective level of responsibility.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth certain information concerning grants of plan-based awards to named executive officers in 2009. No options were granted to our named executive officers during 2009.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)		All Other	Grant Date
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock (#)	Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (2)
Magid M. Abraham, Ph.D.			\$ 786,250		
	2/18/2009			10,247(3)	\$ 81,669
	2/18/2009			5,902(4)	47,039
	2/18/2009			72,137(5)(6)	574,932
	5/1/2009			2,734(6)(7)	31,878
Kenneth J. Tarpey		375,000	720,000		
	4/20/2009			85,000(6)(8)	1,150,900
	5/1/2009			1,286(6)(7)	14,995
Gian M. Fulgoni		555,000	832,500		
	2/18/2009			9,097(3)	72,503
	2/18/2009			5,240(4)	41,763
	2/18/2009			48,030(5)(6)	382,799
	5/1/2009			2,412(6)(7)	28,124
Gregory T. Dale		203,944	382,395		
	2/18/2009			2,997(3)	23,886
	2/18/2009			1,559(4)	12,425
	2/18/2009			13,016(5)(6)	103,738
	5/1/2009			1,773(6)(7)	20,673
	11/15/2009			30,000(9)	482,400
Christiana L. Lin		185,000	346,875		
	2/18/2009			2,653(3)	21,144
	2/18/2009			1,380(4)	10,999
	2/18/2009			12,887(5)(6)	102,709
	5/1/2009			1,608(6)(7)	18,749
	8/15/2009			15,000(10)	210,150
John M. Green		166,500			
	2/18/2009			4,658(3)	37,124
	2/18/2009			2,683(4)	21,384
	2/18/2009			26,234(5)(6)	209,085
	5/18/2009			1,645(6)(7)	17,996
	5/18/2009			10,000(6)(11)	109,400

(1) The target incentive amounts shown in this column reflect the value of incentive compensation available to our named executive officers pursuant to our 2009 executive long-term compensation policy. The amounts

representing the target awards were pre-established as a percentage of salary. The maximum is the greatest payout which can be made if the pre-established maximum performance level is met or exceeded. The policy also provides that the entire bonus amount shall be paid in shares of restricted stock valued at the time of grant. Actual payouts under our 2009 executive long-term compensation policy were approved on February 18, 2010 and are reflected in the Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table above for 2009.

- (2) Amounts represent fair value of stock-based awards granted in the fiscal year as calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 and as further described in Note 13 of the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.
- (3) The referenced grant was issued as part of our 2008 bonus plan earned for the 2008 fiscal year. Awards under our 2008 bonus plan are reflected in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the

Summary Compensation Table above for 2008, as the 2008 bonus plan included a combination of cash- and equity-based incentives.

- (4) The referenced grant was issued as a one-time discretionary award to promote retention given the expected challenges during 2009. This award is subject to a right of repurchase that shall lapse for all of the shares subject to the original grant on the one (1) anniversary date of the grant, contingent upon the executive's continued service as of such date. These awards are reflected in the Stock Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table above for 2009.
- (5) The referenced grant was issued as a one-time grant to promote long-term retention of employees. These awards under our 2009 executive long-term compensation policy are reflected in the Stock Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table above for 2009.
- (6) This award is subject to a right of repurchase that shall lapse annually for twenty-five percent (25%) of the total shares subject to the original grant each year on the anniversary date of the grant until the full amount of the grant shall be vested as of the fourth anniversary date of the original grant, contingent upon the executive's continued service as of each such dates.
- (7) In April 2009, our compensation committee determined to adjust the compensation packages of our named executive officers to more heavily favor equity than cash than we had in recent years. As a result, the compensation committee recommended the reduction of the base salary of our named executive officers by 7.5% and the award of additional restricted stock to compensate for the reduction. This award was granted in connection with such adjustment. These awards are reflected in the Stock Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table above for 2009.
- (8) Mr. Tarpey became our Chief Financial Officer on April 20, 2009. In connection with his hiring, he was awarded the referenced restricted stock.
- (9) Mr. Dale was promoted to Chief Operating Officer within the company on September 14, 2009. This award was granted in connection with his increased responsibilities. This award is subject to a right of repurchase that shall lapse annually for twenty-five percent (25%) of the total shares subject to the original grant beginning on August 15, 2010 and on the anniversary date thereafter until the full amount of the grant shall be vested as of August 15, 2014, contingent upon Mr. Dale's continued service as of each such dates.
- (10) Ms. Lin was promoted to Executive Vice President within the company on August 15, 2009. This award was granted in connection with his increased responsibilities. This award is subject to a right of repurchase that shall lapsed immediately upon grant for twenty-five percent (25%) of the total shares subject to the original grant and annually thereafter for twenty-five percent (25%) of the total shares subject to the original grant on the anniversary date thereafter until the full amount of the grant shall be vested as of August 15, 2013, contingent upon Ms. Lin's continued service as of each such dates.
- (11) Mr. Green transitioned roles from our Chief Financial Officer to our Executive Vice President of Human Capital on April 20, 2009. In connection with the modification of Mr. Green's prior employment arrangement, Mr. Green forfeited 42,896 shares of unvested restricted stock and unvested options for the purchase of 18,960 shares of the Company's common stock held by Mr. Green were cancelled as of May 18, 2009. The referenced grant was awarded to adjust the aggregate vesting and total holdings of Mr. Green's restricted stock holdings consistent with his new employment arrangement.

Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2009

The following table shows outstanding equity awards held by the named executive officers as of December 31, 2009.

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised		Option Exercise Price(\$)	Option Expiration Date	Stock Awards Market Value of Shares of Stock	
	Options (#) Exercisable	Unexercisable			Number of Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	That Have Not Vested (\$)(1)
Magid M. Abraham, Ph.D.	241,099		\$ 0.25	12/15/2013	50,000(2)	\$ 877,500
					28,196(3)	494,840
					72,137(4)	1,266,004
					5,902(5)	103,580
					2,734(6)	47,981
Kenneth J. Tarpey					85,000(7)	1,491,750
					1,286(8)	22,569
Gian M. Fulgoni	223,345		0.25	12/15/2013	37,500(9)	658,125
					18,659(10)	327,465
					48,030(11)	842,926
					5,240(12)	91,962
					2,412(13)	42,331
Gregory T. Dale	67,925 14,400 9,000		0.25 2.45 4.50	4/27/2014 2/1/2015 12/27/2015	9,000(14)	157,950
					6,635(15)	116,444
					13,016(16)	228,431
					1,559(17)	27,360
					1,773(18)	31,116
Christiana L. Lin	2,869 10,000		0.25 4.50	4/27/2014 12/27/2015	30,000(19)	526,500
					9,500(20)	166,725
					6,635(21)	116,444
					12,887(22)	226,167
					1,380(23)	24,219
John M. Green	51,874(26)		7.50	5/9/2016	1,608(24)	28,220
					11,250(25)	197,438
					11,083	194,507
					10,000	175,500
					1,645	28,870

(1) Market value of shares of stock that have not vested is computed based on \$17.55 per share, which was the closing price of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Market on December 31, 2009.

- (2) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 25,000 shares annually on March 25, contingent upon Dr. Abraham's continued service as of each such date.
- (3) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 9,398 shares annually on February 18, contingent upon Dr. Abraham's continued service as of each such date.
- (4) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 18,034 shares annually on February 18, contingent upon Dr. Abraham's continued service as of each such date.
- (5) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for all 5,902 shares on February 18, 2010, contingent upon Dr. Abraham's continued service as of such date.
- (6) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 18,034 shares annually on May 1, contingent upon Dr. Abraham's continued service as of each such date.
- (7) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 21,250 shares annually on April 20, contingent upon Mr. Tarpey's continued service as of each such date.
- (8) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 322 shares annually on May 1, contingent upon Mr. Tarpey's continued service as of each such date.

- (9) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 18,750 shares annually on March 25, contingent upon Mr. Fulgoni's continued service as of each such dates.
- (10) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 6,220 shares annually on February 18, contingent upon Mr. Fulgoni's continued service as of each such dates.
- (11) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 12,007 shares annually on February 18, contingent upon Mr. Fulgoni's continued service as of each such dates.
- (12) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for all 5,240 shares on February 18, 2010, contingent upon Mr. Fulgoni's continued service as of such date.
- (13) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 603 shares annually on May 1, contingent upon Mr. Fulgoni's continued service as of each such dates.
- (14) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 4,500 shares annually on March 25, contingent upon Mr. Dale's continued service as of each such dates.
- (15) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 2,212 shares annually on February 18, contingent upon Mr. Dale's continued service as of each such dates.
- (16) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 3,254 shares annually on February 18, contingent upon Mr. Dale's continued service as of each such dates.
- (17) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for all 1,559 shares on February 18, 2010, contingent upon Mr. Dale's continued service as of such date.
- (18) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 443 shares annually on May 1, contingent upon Mr. Dale's continued service as of each such dates.
- (19) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 7,500 shares annually on August 15, contingent upon Mr. Dale's continued service as of each such dates.
- (20) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 4,750 shares annually on March 25, contingent upon Ms. Lin's continued service as of each such dates.
- (21) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 2,212 shares annually on February 18, contingent upon Ms. Lin's continued service as of each such dates.
- (22) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 3,222 shares annually on February 18, contingent upon Ms. Lin's continued service as of each such dates.
- (23) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for all 1,380 shares on February 18, 2010, contingent upon Ms. Lin's continued service as of such date.
- (24) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 402 shares annually on May 1, contingent upon Ms. Lin's continued service as of each such dates.

- (25) comScore's right of repurchase lapses for 3,750 shares annually on August 15, contingent upon Ms. Lin's continued service as of each such dates.
- (26) In connection with the amendment of Mr. Green's employment arrangement in May 2009, 42,896 shares of then-unvested restricted stock were forfeited and then-unvested options for the purchase of 18,960 shares of our common stock held by Mr. Green were cancelled as of May 18, 2009. For further details of Mr. Green's amended employment arrangement beginning May 20, 2009, refer to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 22, 2009. On November 13, 2009, Mr. Green notified the Company of his intention to resign, effective on or before February 28, 2010, as announced in the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 19, 2009. Pursuant to the terms of his option grants, Mr. Green may exercise his vested options until May 28, 2010.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table

The following table shows the stock options exercised and value realized upon exercise, as well as all stock awards vested and value realized upon vesting by our named executive officers during the year ended December 31, 2009.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)(1)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Magid M. Abraham, Ph.D.	150,000	\$ 1,158,000	25,000	\$ 299,000(2)
			9,398	74,902(3)
			1,996	15,908(3)
			10,247	81,669(3)
Kenneth J. Tarpey Gian M. Fulgoni			18,750	224,250(2)
			6,219	49,565(3)
			1,850	14,745(3)
			9,097	72,503(3)
Gregory T. Dale			4,500	53,820(2)
			2,211	17,622(3)
			1,230	9,803(3)
			2,997	23,886(3)
Christiana L. Lin			4,750	56,810(2)
			2,211	17,622(3)
			647	5,157(3)
			2,653	21,144(3)
John M. Green	26,666	\$ 266,660	3,750	50,325(4)
			7,500	89,700(2)
			6,687	53,295(3)
			1,290	10,281(3)
			4,658	37,124(3)

- (1) The value realized on exercise is calculated as the difference between the actual sales price of the shares underlying the options exercised and the applicable exercise price of those options.
- (2) The value realized on vesting is calculated by multiplying the number of shares vesting and by the market value of the underlying shares on the vesting date, which was \$11.96 per share at market close as listed by the NASDAQ Global Market on March 25, 2009.
- (3) The value realized on vesting is calculated by multiplying the number of shares vesting and by the market value of the underlying shares on the vesting date, which was \$7.97 per share at market close as listed by the NASDAQ Global Market on February 18, 2009.
- (4)

The value realized on vesting is calculated by multiplying the number of shares vesting and by the market value of the underlying shares on the vesting date, which was \$13.42 per share at market close as listed by the NASDAQ Global Market on August 15, 2009.

Narrative Disclosure Of Our Compensation Policies and Practices As They Relate To Our Risk Management

We believe that risks arising from our compensation policies and practices for our employees are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to beneficial ownership of our common stock, as of June 6, 2010, by:

each beneficial owner of 5% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock;

each of our directors;

each of our named executive officers; and

all of our executive officers and directors as a group.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC. Except as indicated by the footnotes below, we believe, based on the information furnished to us, that the persons and entities named in the table below have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of the common stock that they beneficially own, subject to applicable community property laws. In computing the number of shares beneficially owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person, shares of common stock subject to options or warrants held by that person that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of June 6, 2010 are deemed outstanding, but are not deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. Unless otherwise indicated, these shares do not include any stock or options awarded after June 6, 2010. A total of 30,959,857 shares of our common stock were outstanding as of June 6, 2010.

Except as otherwise indicated, the address of each of the persons in this table is c/o comScore, Inc., 11950 Democracy Drive, Suite 600, Reston, Virginia 20190.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(1)	Percentage of Common Stock Outstanding
5% Stockholders:		
Accel Partners(2)	5,902,859	19.1%
Blackrock, Inc.(3)	3,272,832	10.6
Directors and Named Executive Officers:		
Magid M. Abraham, Ph.D.(4)	1,557,960	5.0
Gian M. Fulgoni(5)	1,071,312	3.5
Kenneth J. Tarpey(6)	94,265	*
Gregory T. Dale(7)	81,839	*
Christiana L. Lin(8)	78,087	*
John M. Green	140,968	*
Jeffrey Ganek(9)	5,735	*
Bruce Golden(10)	23,866	*
William J. Henderson(11)	38,866	*
William Katz(9)	5,435	*

Edgar Filing: COMSCORE, INC. - Form DEF 14A

Ronald J. Korn(12)	20,666	*
Jarl Mohn(9)	5,435	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (eleven persons)(13)	2,983,466	9.6%

* Represents less than 1% of the outstanding shares of common stock.

(1) The information provided in this table is based on our records, information supplied to us by our executive officers, directors and principal stockholders and information contained in Schedules 13D and 13G filed with the SEC.

- (2) Includes shares held by Accel VII L.P., Accel Internet Fund III L.P. and Accel Investors 99 L.P. (together, the Accel Funds). Accel VII Associates L.L.C. is a general partner of Accel VII L.P. and has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to the shares held by Accel VII L.P. Accel Internet Fund III Associates L.L.C. is a general partner of Accel Internet Fund III L.P. and has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to the shares held by Accel Internet Fund III L.P. James W. Breyer, Arthur C. Patterson, Theresia Gouw Ranzetta, James R. Swartz, and J. Peter Wagner are managing members of Accel VII Associates L.L.C. and Accel Internet Fund III Associates L.L.C. and share voting and dispositive powers. They are also the General Partners of Accel Investors 99 L.P. and share voting and dispositive power with respect to the shares held by Accel Investors 99 L.P. The general partners and managing members disclaim beneficial ownership of the shares owned by the Accel Funds except to the extent of their proportionate pecuniary interest therein. The address for Accel Partners is 428 University Avenue, Palo Alto, California 94301.
- (3) This information is derived solely from the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 8, 2010 and effective as of December 31, 2009. BlackRock, Inc. on behalf of its investment advisory subsidiaries has shared voting and dispositive power as to 3,272,832 shares. Includes shares reportedly held by the following subsidiaries of Blackrock, Inc. that are investment advisors: BlackRock Advisors LLC, BlackRock Advisors (UK) Limited, BlackRock Asset Management Australia Limited, BlackRock Asset Management Japan Limited, BlackRock Capital Management, Inc., BlackRock Financial Management, Inc., BlackRock Fund Advisors, BlackRock Institutional Trust Company, N.A., BlackRock Investment Management, LLC, BlackRock (Luxembourg) S.A., Blackrock International Ltd, BlackRock Investment Management UK Ltd, State Street Research & Management Co. The address for Blackrock, Inc. and its subsidiaries is c/o Blackrock, Inc., 40 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10022.
- (4) Includes 241,099 shares subject to options that are immediately exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of June 6, 2010. Also includes 581,876 shares held by the Abraham Family Trust, of which Dr. Abraham and his wife, Linda Abraham, are co-trustees and share voting and investment control. Mr. and Mrs. Abraham disclaim beneficial ownership of such shares except to the extent of their respective pecuniary interests. Also includes 139,873 shares held directly by Dr. Abraham and 23,803 shares held by Mrs. Abraham subject to a right of repurchase held by the Company pursuant to restricted stock sale agreements.
- (5) Includes 223,345 shares subject to options that are immediately exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of June 6, 2010. Also includes 97,201 shares subject to a right of repurchase held by the Company pursuant to a restricted stock sale agreement.
- (6) Includes 77,096 shares subject to a right of repurchase held by the Company pursuant to a restricted stock sale agreement.
- (7) Includes 91,325 shares subject to options that are immediately exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of June 6, 2010. Also includes 56,127 shares subject to a right of repurchase held by the Company pursuant to a restricted stock sale agreement.
- (8) Includes 12,869 shares subject to options that are immediately exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of June 6, 2010. Also includes 35,468 shares subject to a right of repurchase held by the Company pursuant to a restricted stock sale agreement.
- (9) Includes 3,488 shares subject to a right of repurchase held by the Company pursuant to a restricted stock sale agreement.

- (10) Includes 3,488 shares subject to a right of repurchase held by the Company pursuant to a restricted stock sale agreement. Mr. Golden is a partner of Accel Partners, and he disclaims beneficial ownership of any of the Accel Funds shares except to the extent of his proportionate pecuniary interest therein. See footnote (2) of this table for further details of ownership by Accel Funds.
- (11) Includes 16,000 shares subject to options that are immediately exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of June 6, 2010. Additionally, includes 3,488 shares held directly by Mr. Henderson that are subject to a right of repurchase held by the Company pursuant to restricted stock sale agreements.
- (12) Includes 5,000 shares subject to options that are immediately exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of June 6, 2010. Additionally, includes 3,488 shares held directly by Mr. Korn that are subject to a right of repurchase held by the Company pursuant to restricted stock sale agreements.

- (13) Includes 589,138 shares subject to options that are immediately exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of the June 6, 2010. Also includes 450,496 shares subject to a right of repurchase held by the Company pursuant to restricted stock sale agreements. Excludes holdings of John Green, who was a named executive officer for the year ended December 31, 2009 but was no longer an executive officer as of June 6, 2010.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires that certain of our executive officers and directors, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities, file reports of ownership and changes in ownership (Forms 3, 4 and 5) with the SEC. Such executive officers, directors and greater than 10% holders are required to furnish us with copies of all of these forms that they file. Certain employees of our company hold a power of attorney to enable such individuals to file ownership and change in ownership forms on behalf of certain of our executive officers and directors.

Based solely on our review of these reports or written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that during 2009, all filing requirements applicable to our officers, directors, greater-than-10% beneficial owners and other persons subject to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, were met, except that the following reports, although filed, were not filed timely:

Name of Filer	Form	Date Filed	Description
Magid M. Abraham	4/A	April 15, 2009	Amendment to correct clerical error in filing on February 19, 2009 regarding calculation of the number of shares subject to repurchase by us.
	4/A	April 15, 2009	Amendment to correct clerical error in filing on March 27, 2009 regarding total beneficial ownership of shares.
Gregory T. Dale	4/A	April 15, 2009	Amendment to correct clerical error in filing on February 19, 2009 regarding calculation of the number of shares subject to repurchase by us.
	4/A	April 15, 2009	Amendment to correct clerical error in filing on March 27, 2009 regarding beneficial ownership of shares.
Gian M. Fulgoni	4/A	April 15, 2009	Amendment to correct clerical error in filing on February 19, 2009 regarding calculation of the number of shares subject to repurchase by us.
	4/A	April 15, 2009	Amendment to correct clerical error in filing on March 27, 2009 regarding beneficial ownership of shares.
Jeffrey Ganek	4	August 4, 2009	Late filing for grant of restricted stock on July 29, 2009.
Bruce Golden	4	August 4, 2009	Late filing for grant of restricted stock on July 29, 2009.
John M. Green	4/A	April 15, 2009	Amendment to correct clerical error in filing on February 19, 2009 regarding calculation of the number of shares subject to repurchase by us.
	4/A	April 15, 2009	Amendment to correct clerical error in filing on March 27, 2009 regarding beneficial ownership of shares.
William J. Henderson	4	August 4, 2009	Late filing for grant of restricted stock on July 29, 2009.
William Katz	4	August 4, 2009	Late filing for grant of restricted stock on July 29, 2009.
Ronald J. Korn	4	August 4, 2009	Late filing for grant of restricted stock on July 29, 2009.
Christiana L. Lin	4/A	April 15, 2009	

Edgar Filing: COMSCORE, INC. - Form DEF 14A

		Amendment to correct clerical error in filing on February 19, 2009 regarding calculation of the number of shares subject to repurchase by us.	
Jarl Mohn	4/A	April 15, 2009	Amendment to correct clerical error in filing on March 27, 2009 regarding beneficial ownership of shares.
	4	May 6, 2009	Late filing for grant of restricted stock on May 1, 2009.
Kenneth J. Tarpey	4	August 4, 2009	Late filing for grant of restricted stock on July 29, 2009.
	4	May 6, 2009	Late filing for grant of restricted stock on May 1, 2009.

OTHER INFORMATION

Other Matters to be Presented at the Annual Meeting

We do not know of any matters to be presented at our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders other than those described in this proxy statement. If any other matters are properly brought before the annual meeting, proxies will be voted in accordance with the best judgment of the person or persons voting the proxies.

Security Holder Communication with Board Members

Any holder of our common stock may contact the board of directors or a specified individual director by writing to the attention of the board of directors (or a specified individual director) and sending such communication to the attention of our Corporate Secretary at our executive offices as identified in this proxy statement. Each communication from a stockholder should include the following information in order to permit us to confirm your status as a security holder and enable us to send a response if deemed appropriate:

the name, mailing address and telephone number of the security holder sending the communication;

the number and type of our securities owned by such security holder; and

if the security holder is not a record owner of our securities, the name of the record owner of our securities beneficially owned by the security holder.

Our Corporate Secretary will forward all appropriate communications to the board of directors or individual members of the board of directors as specified in the communication. Our Corporate Secretary may, but is not required to, review all correspondence addressed to the board of directors, or any individual member of the board of directors, for any inappropriate correspondence more suitably directed to management.

Stockholder Proposals for 2011 Annual Meeting

Our bylaws provide for advance notice procedures to recommend a person for nomination as a director or to propose business to be considered by stockholders at a meeting. For the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, such nominations or proposals, other than those made by or at the direction of the board of directors, must be submitted in writing and received by our Corporate Secretary at our offices no later than March 13, 2011, which is 90 days prior to the anniversary of the expected first mailing date of this proxy statement. If our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders is moved more than 30 days before or after the anniversary date of our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders, then the deadline is the close of business on the tenth day following the day notice of the date of the meeting was mailed or made public, whichever occurs first. Such proposals also must comply with all applicable requirements of the rules and regulations of the SEC. The chairperson of the stockholder meeting may refuse to acknowledge the introduction of your proposal if it is not made in compliance with the foregoing procedures or the applicable provisions of our bylaws.

In addition, for a stockholder proposal to be considered for inclusion in our proxy statement for the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, the proposal must be submitted in writing and received by our Corporate Secretary at our offices at 11950 Democracy Drive, Suite 600, Reston, Virginia 20190 no later than February 11, 2011, which is 120 days prior to the anniversary of the expected mailing date of this proxy statement.

Householding of Annual Meeting Materials

Some banks, brokers and other nominee record holders may participate in the practice of householding proxy statements and their accompanying documents. This means that only one copy of our proxy statement is sent to multiple stockholders in your household. We will promptly deliver a separate copy of these documents without charge to you upon written request to comScore, Inc., 11950 Democracy Drive, Suite 600, Reston, Virginia 20190, Attn: Investor Relations. If you want to receive separate copies of our proxy statements in the future, or if you are receiving multiple copies and would like to receive only one copy per household, you should contact your bank, broker or other nominee record holder, or you may contact us at the above address and phone number.

**THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF
COMSCORE, INC.**

FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON JULY 20, 2010

The undersigned stockholder of comScore, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the Company), hereby acknowledges receipt of the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and accompanying Proxy Statement each dated June 11, 2010 and hereby appoints Magid M. Abraham and Kenneth J. Tarpey, or one of them, proxies and attorneys-in-fact, each with full power of substitution, to represent the undersigned at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of comScore, Inc. to be held on July 20, 2010 at 3:00 p.m., local time at the Company's office at 11950 Democracy Drive, Suite 600, Reston, Virginia 20190 and at any adjournment thereof, and to vote all shares of Common Stock of the Company held of record by the undersigned on June 6, 2010 as hereinafter specified upon the proposals listed, and with discretionary authority upon such other matters as may properly come before the meeting.

The Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K and Amendment No. 1 to Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2009 accompanies this Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement. These documents can also be accessed under the Investor Relations section of the Company's website at www.comscore.com.

(Continued and to be signed on the reverse side)

**ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF
COMSCORE, INC.
July 20, 2010**

NOTICE OF INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIAL:

The Notice of Meeting, Proxy Statement, Proxy Card
are available under the Investor Relations section at www.comscore.com
Please sign, date and mail
your proxy card in the
envelope provided as soon
as possible.

ê Please detach along perforated line and mail in the envelope provided. ê

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR
THE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS AND FOR PROPOSAL 2.
PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN PROMPTLY IN THE
ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. PLEASE MARK YOUR VOTE IN
BLUE OR BLACK INK AS SHOWN HERE ý**

FOR AGAINST ABSTAIN

1. To elect three (3) Class III members of the board of directors
to serve until the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders:

2. To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010:

NOMINEES:

- j Gian M. Fulgoni
- j Jeffrey Ganek
- j Bruce Golden

- o **FOR ALL NOMINEES**
- o **WITHHOLD AUTHORITY**
- o **FOR ALL NOMINEES**
- o **FOR ALL EXCEPT**

THIS PROXY WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED WILL BE VOTED IN THE MANNER DIRECTED HEREIN. IF NO SPECIFICATION IS MADE, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE PROPOSALS HEREIN AND AS SAID

(See instructions below)

PROXIES DEEM ADVISABLE IN THEIR DISCRETION ON SUCH OTHER MATTERS AS MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE MEETING OR MAY OTHERWISE BE ALLOWED TO BE CONSIDERED AT THE MEETING. THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE PROPOSALS HEREIN.

INSTRUCTIONS: To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark **FOR ALL EXCEPT** and fill in the circle next to each nominee you wish to withhold, as shown here:=-

IN ORDER TO ASSURE YOUR REPRESENTATION AT THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS, PLEASE MARK, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THIS PROXY CARD PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.

To change the address on your account, please check the box at right and indicate your new address in the address space above. Please note that changes to the registered name(s) on the account may not be submitted via this method.

Signature of Stockholder	Date:	Signature of Stockholder	Date:
--------------------------	-------	--------------------------	-------

Note: Please sign exactly as your name or names appear on this Proxy. When shares are held jointly, each holder should sign. When signing as executor, administrator, attorney, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. If the signer is a corporation, please sign full corporate name by duly authorized officer, giving full title as such. If signer is a partnership, please sign in partnership name by authorized person.