

Navios Maritime Holdings Inc.

Form 20-F

March 16, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 20-F**

(Mark One)

**REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
OR**

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009
OR**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
OR**

**SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**Date of event requiring shell company report
For the transition period from to**

**Commission file number
001-33311
Navios Maritime Holdings Inc.
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)**

**Not Applicable
(Translation of Registrant's Name into English)**

**Republic of Marshall Islands
(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)**

**85 Akti Miaouli Street
Piraeus, Greece 185 38
(Address of principal executive offices)**

**Kenneth R. Koch, Esq., Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C., Tel: (212) 935-3000,
Fax: (212) 983-3115, The Chrysler Center, 666 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10017
(Name, Telephone, E-mail and/or Facsimile number and Address of Company Contact Person)**

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
----------------------------	--

Common Stock, par value \$.0001 per share	New York Stock Exchange LLC
---	-----------------------------

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act.	None
--	-------------

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act.

None

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report:

100,874,199 as of December 31, 2009.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See the definition of "accelerated filer" and "large accelerated filer," in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing: U.S. GAAP International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board Other

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow. Item 17 Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	3
<u>Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers</u>	4
<u>Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable</u>	4
<u>Item 3. Key Information</u>	4
<u>Item 4. Information on the Company</u>	21
<u>Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	43
<u>Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects</u>	43
<u>Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees</u>	78
<u>Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions</u>	82
<u>Item 8. Financial Information</u>	84
<u>Item 9. The Offer and Listing</u>	85
<u>Item 10. Additional Information</u>	86
<u>Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	90
<u>Item 12. Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities</u>	91
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies</u>	92
<u>Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds</u>	92
<u>Item 15. Controls and Procedures</u>	92
<u>Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert</u>	92
<u>Item 16B. Code of Ethics</u>	92
<u>Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	92
<u>Item 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees</u>	93
<u>Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers</u>	93
<u>Item 16F. Changes in Registrant's Certifying Accountant</u>	94

<u>Item 16G. Corporate Governance</u>	94
---------------------------------------	----

PART III

<u>Item 17. Financial Statements</u>	95
--------------------------------------	----

<u>Item 18. Financial Statements</u>	95
--------------------------------------	----

<u>Item 19. Exhibits</u>	95
--------------------------	----

EX-8.1

EX-12.1

EX-12.2

EX-13.1

EX-15.1

EX-8.1

EX-12.1

EX-12.2

EX-13.1

EX-15.1

EX-15.2

EX-15.3

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in this report.

Navios Maritime Holdings Inc., or the Company, desires to take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and is including this cautionary statement in connection with this safe harbor legislation. This document and any other written or oral statements made by us or on our behalf may include forward-looking statements, which reflect our current views with respect to future events and financial performance. The words believe, expect, anticipate, intend, estimate, forecast, project, plan, potential should and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements.

Please note in this annual report, we, us, our, the Company and Navios Holdings all refer to Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. and its subsidiaries.

The forward-looking statements in this document are based upon various assumptions, many of which are based, in turn, upon further assumptions, including without limitation, management's examination of historical operating trends, data contained in our records, and other data available from third parties. Although we believe that these assumptions were reasonable when made, because these assumptions are inherently subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies which are difficult or impossible to predict and are beyond our control, we cannot assure you that we will achieve or accomplish these expectations, beliefs or projections.

In addition to these important factors and matters discussed elsewhere herein, important factors that, in our view, could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements include the strength of world economies, fluctuations in currencies and interest rates, general market conditions, including fluctuations in charter hire rates and vessel values, changes in demand in the dry-bulk shipping industry, changes in the Company's operating expenses, including bunker prices, dry docking and insurance costs, changes in governmental rules and regulations or actions taken by regulatory authorities, potential liability from pending or future litigation, general domestic and international political conditions, potential disruption of shipping routes due to accidents or political events, and other important factors described from time to time in the reports filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement or statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for us to predict all of these factors. Further, we cannot assess the impact of each such factor on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to be materially different from those contained in any forward-looking statement.

Table of Contents**PART I****Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers**

Not Applicable.

Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable

Not Applicable.

Item 3. Key Information**A. Selected Financial Data**

Navios Holdings' historical successor information is derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of Navios Holdings for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006 and for the period from August 26, 2005 to December 31, 2005. The Navios Holdings historical predecessor information is derived from the audited consolidated financial statements for the period from January 1, 2005 to August 25, 2005 not included in this document. Navios Holdings' balance sheet data as of December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, and the historical information for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 is derived from the audited financial statements which are not included in this document. The purchase of the net assets of Navios Holdings by International Shipping Enterprises Inc. (ISE), through the purchase of all of its outstanding shares of common stock, and the subsequent downstream merger of ISE with and into Navios Holdings took place on August 25, 2005.

The information is only a summary and should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements and related notes contained herein.

The historical successor and predecessor results included below and elsewhere in this document are not necessarily indicative of the future performance of Navios Holdings.

	Successor	Successor	Successor	Successor	Successor	Predecessor
	Year	Year	Year	Year	August	January
	Ended	Ended	Ended	Ended	26,	1,
	December	December	December	December	2005 to	2005 to
	31,	31,	31,	31,	December	August
	2009	2008	2007	2006	31,	25,
					2005	2005

(Expressed in thousands of U.S. Dollars - except per share data)

**Statement of
Income Data**

Revenue	\$ 598,676	\$ 1,246,062	\$ 758,420	\$ 205,375	\$ 76,298	\$ 158,630
Time charter, voyage and logistic business expenses	(353,838)	(1,066,239)	(557,573)	(84,225)	(39,119)	(91,806)
Direct vessel expenses	(31,454)	(26,621)	(27,892)	(19,863)	(3,137)	(5,650)
General and administrative expenses	(43,897)	(37,047)	(23,058)	(15,057)	(4,582)	(9,964)
Depreciation and amortization	(73,885)	(57,062)	(31,900)	(37,129)	(13,504)	(3,872)
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	(2,237)	(2,668)		(6,242)	(411)	
Gain on sale of assets/partial sale of subsidiary	20,785	27,817	167,511			
	1,330	2,185	3,507			

Interest income from investments in finance lease						
Interest income	1,699	7,753	10,819	3,832	1,163	1,350
Interest expense and finance cost, net	(63,618)	(49,128)	(51,089)	(47,429)	(11,892)	(1,677)
Gain (loss) on derivatives	375	8,092	25,100	20,322	(1,980)	2,684
Other income	6,749	948	445	1,283	52	1,426
Other expense	(20,508)	(7,386)	(767)	(472)	(1,012)	(572)
Income before equity in net earnings of affiliated companies and joint venture	40,177	46,706	273,523	20,395	1,876	50,549
			4			

Table of Contents

	Successor	Successor	Successor	Successor	Successor	Predecessor
	Year	Year	Year	Year	August 26,	January
	Ended	Ended	Ended	Ended	2005 to	1,
	December	December	December	December	December	2005 to
	31,	31,	31,	31,	31,	August
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	25,
						2005
(Expressed in thousands of U.S. Dollars - except per share data)						
Equity in net earnings of affiliated companies and joint venture	29,222	17,431	1,929	674	285	788
Income before taxes	\$ 69,399	\$ 64,137	\$ 275,452	\$ 21,069	\$ 2,161	\$ 51,337
Income taxes	1,565	56,113	(4,451)			
Net income	\$ 70,964	\$ 120,250	\$ 271,001	\$ 21,069	\$ 2,161	\$ 51,337
Less: Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest	(3,030)	(1,723)				
Net income attributable to Navios Holdings common stockholders	\$ 67,934	\$ 118,527	\$ 271,001	\$ 21,069	\$ 2,161	\$ 51,337
Less:						
Incremental fair value of securities offered to induce warrants exercise			(4,195)			
Income available to common shareholders	\$ 67,934	\$ 118,527	\$ 266,806	\$ 21,069	\$ 2,161	\$ 51,337
Weighted average number of shares, basic	99,924,587	104,343,083	92,820,943	54,894,402	40,189,356	874,584

Edgar Filing: Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. - Form 20-F

Basic earnings per share	\$	0.68	\$	1.14	\$	2.87	\$	0.38	\$	0.05	\$	58.70
Weighted average number of shares, diluted		105,194,659		107,344,748		99,429,533		55,529,688		45,238,554		874,584
Diluted earnings per share	\$	0.65	\$	1.10	\$	2.68	\$	0.38	\$	0.05	\$	58.70

Balance Sheet Data (at period end)

Current assets, including cash	\$	427,680	\$	505,409	\$	848,245	\$	195,869	\$	114,539
Total assets		2,935,182		2,253,624		1,971,004		944,783		789,383
Current liabilities, including current portion of long term debt		196,080		271,532		450,491		108,979		133,604
Total long term debt, including current portion		1,622,706		887,715		614,049		568,062		493,400
Stockholders equity		925,480		805,820		769,204		274,216		207,758

5

Table of Contents

	Successor	Successor	Successor	Successor	Successor	Predecessor
	Year	Year	Year	Year	August 26,	January
	Ended	Ended	Ended	Ended	2005 to	1,
	December	December	December	December	December	2005 to
	31,	31,	31,	31,	31,	August
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	25,
						2005
	(Expressed in thousands of U.S. Dollars - except per share data)					
Other Financial Data						
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 216,451	\$ (28,388)	\$ 128,075	\$ 56,432	\$ 24,371	\$ 71,945
Net cash used in investing activities	(802,538)	(452,637)	(16,451)	(111,463)	(119,447)	(4,264)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	626,396	187,082	216,285	116,952	68,880	(50,506)
Book value per common share	9.17	8.02	7.23	4.42	4.70	5.67
Cash dividends per common share	0.27	0.38	0.24	0.25		
Cash dividends per preferred share	52.35					
Cash paid for common stock dividend declared	27,154	28,588	26,023	15,382		
Cash paid for preferred stock dividend declared	429					
Adjusted EBITDA ⁽¹⁾	\$ 206,801	\$ 165,478	\$ 349,875	\$ 103,177	\$ 26,537	\$ 55,696

(1) EBITDA represents net income before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization. Adjusted EBITDA in this

document represents EBITDA before stock based compensation. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not represent and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of the Company's results as reported under U.S. GAAP, and our calculation of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to that reported by other companies due to differences in methods of calculation. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA is included in this document because it is a basis upon which the Company assesses its liquidity position and because it is used by certain investors to measure the Company's ability to service and/or incur indebtedness, pay capital

expenditures,
meet working
capital
requirements
and pay
dividends. The
following table
reconciles net
cash from
operating
activities, as
reflected in the
consolidated
statements of
cash flows, to
Adjusted
EBITDA:

6

Table of Contents**Adjusted EBITDA Reconciliation to Cash from Operations**

	Successor	Successor	Successor	Successor	Successor	Predecessor
	Year	Year	Year	Year	August 26,	January
	Ended	Ended	Ended	Ended	2005 to	1,
	December	December	December	December	December	2005 to
	31,	31,	31,	31,	31,	August
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	25,
						2005
(Expressed in thousands of U.S. Dollars - except per share data)						
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 216,451	\$ (28,388)	\$ 128,075	\$ 56,432	\$ 24,371	\$ 71,945
Net (decrease) increase in operating assets	(30,399)	(87,797)	177,755	33,065	5,864	(14,525)
Net (increase) decrease in operating liabilities	(56,498)	226,145	(176,510)	(31,086)	1,720	21,407
Payments for drydock and special survey costs	3,522	3,653	2,426	2,480	1,710	
Net interest cost	55,237	39,298	38,414	35,593	9,476	(98)
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	(2,237)	(2,668)		(6,024)	(411)	880
Gain on sale of assets/partial sale of subsidiary	20,785	27,817	167,511			
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives, warrants and interest rate swaps	9,311	(15,376)	10,953	12,625	(16,478)	(23,728)
Earnings in affiliates and joint ventures, net of dividends received	1,355	4,517	1,251	92	285	(185)
Unrealized losses on available for sale securities	(13,778)					
Non-cash compensation received	6,082					
Noncontrolling interest	(3,030)	(1,723)				
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 206,801	\$ 165,478	\$ 349,875	\$ 103,177	\$ 26,537	\$ 55,696

B. Capitalization and Indebtedness

Not applicable.

C. Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

D. Risk Factors

Some of the following risks relate principally to the industry in which we operate and our business in general. Other risks relate principally to the securities market and ownership of our common stock. You should carefully

consider each of the following risks together with the other information incorporated into this Annual Report when evaluating the Company's business and its prospect. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones the Company faces. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to the Company or that the Company currently considers immaterial may also impair the Company's business operations. If any of the following risks relating to our business and operations actually occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected and in that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Table of Contents

Risks Associated with the Shipping Industry and Our Operations

The cyclical nature of the international drybulk shipping industry may lead to decreases in charter rates and lower vessel values, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

The shipping business, including the dry cargo market, is cyclical in varying degrees, experiencing severe fluctuations in charter rates, profitability and, consequently, vessel values. For example, during the period from January 4, 2005 to December 31, 2009, the Baltic Exchange's Panamax time charter average daily rates experienced a low of \$3,537 and a high of \$94,977. Additionally, during the period from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009, the Baltic Exchange's Capesize time charter average daily rates experienced a low of \$8,997 and a high of \$93,197 and the Baltic Exchange Dry Index experienced a low of 772 points and a high of 4,661 points. Navios Holdings anticipates that the future demand for its drybulk carriers and drybulk charter rates will be dependent upon demand for imported commodities, economic growth in the emerging markets, including the Asia Pacific region, India, Brazil and Russia, and in the rest of the world, seasonal and regional changes in demand and changes to the capacity of the world fleet. Recent adverse economic, political, social or other developments have decreased demand and prospects for growth in the shipping industry and thereby could reduce revenue significantly. A decline in demand for commodities transported in drybulk carriers or an increase in supply of drybulk vessels could cause a further decline in charter rates, which could materially adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. If we sell a vessel at a time when the market value of our vessels has fallen, the sale may be at less than the vessel's carrying amount, resulting in a loss.

The demand for vessels has generally been influenced by, among other factors:

global and regional economic conditions;

developments in international trade;

changes in seaborne and other transportation patterns, such as port congestion and canal closures;

weather and crop yields;

armed conflicts, acts of piracy and terrorist activities;

political developments; and

embargoes and strikes.

The supply of vessel capacity has generally been influenced by, among other factors:

the number of vessels that are in or out of service;

the scrapping rate of older vessels;

port and canal traffic and congestion;

the number of newbuilding deliveries; and

vessel casualties.

The economic slowdown in the Asia Pacific region has markedly reduced demand for shipping services and has decreased shipping rates, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Currently, China, Japan, other Pacific Asian economies and India are the main driving force behind the development in seaborne drybulk trades and the demand for drybulk carriers. Reduced demand from such economies has driven decreased rates and vessel values. A further negative change in economic conditions in any Asian Pacific country, but particularly in China or Japan, as well as India, may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, as well as our future prospects, by reducing demand and the resultant

charter rates. In particular, in recent years, China has been one of the world's fastest growing economies in terms of gross domestic product. Furthermore, the economic slowdown in the United States, the European Union, and other countries may deepen the economic slowdown in China, among others. While the introduction of a \$586 billion economic stimulus package by the Chinese government was designed, in part, to increase consumer spending and reignite the steep growth China experienced before the recent downturn, it remains to be seen whether such a course of action by China will ultimately have the desired effect. Our financial condition and results of operations, as well as our future prospects, would likely be adversely affected by an economic downturn in any of these countries as such downturn would likely translate into reduced demand for shipping services and lower shipping rates industry-wide. As a result, our operating results would be further materially affected.

Table of Contents

Disruptions in world financial markets and the resulting governmental action in the United States and in other parts of the world could have a material adverse impact on our ability to obtain financing required to expand our business through the acquisition of vessels or new businesses. Furthermore, such a disruption would adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows, causing the market price of our common stock to decline.

The United States and other parts of the world are exhibiting deteriorating economic trends and are currently in a recession. For example, the credit markets worldwide and in the U.S. have experienced significant contraction, de-leveraging and reduced liquidity, and the U.S. federal government, state governments and foreign governments have implemented and are considering a broad variety of governmental action and/or new regulation of the financial markets. Securities and futures markets and the credit markets are subject to comprehensive statutes, regulations and other requirements. The Securities and Exchange Commission, other regulators, self-regulatory organizations and exchanges are authorized to take extraordinary actions in the event of market emergencies, and may effect changes in law or interpretations of existing laws.

A number of financial institutions have experienced serious financial difficulties and, in some cases, have entered bankruptcy proceedings or are in regulatory enforcement actions. The uncertainty surrounding the future of the credit markets in the U.S. and the rest of the world has resulted in reduced access to credit worldwide. Due to the fact that we intend to cover all or a portion of the cost of vessel acquisition with debt financing, such uncertainty, combined with restrictions imposed by our current debt may hamper our ability to finance vessel or new business acquisition.

We face risks attendant to changes in economic environments, changes in interest rates, and instability in certain securities markets, among other factors. Major market disruptions and the current adverse changes in market conditions and regulatory climate in the U.S. and worldwide may adversely affect our business or impair our ability to borrow amounts under our existing credit facility or any future financial arrangements. The current market conditions may last longer than we anticipate. These developing economic and governmental factors may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows and could cause the price of our common stock to decline significantly.

When our charters contracts expire, we may not be able to successfully replace them.

The process for concluding charters contracts and longer term time charters generally involves a lengthy and intensive screening and vetting process and the submission of competitive bids. In addition to the quality and suitability of the vessel, medium and longer term shipping contracts tend to be awarded based upon a variety of other factors relating to the vessel operator, including:

environmental, health and safety record;

compliance with regulatory industry standards;

reputation for customer service, technical and operating expertise;

shipping experience and quality of ship operations, including cost-effectiveness;

quality, experience and technical capability of crews;

the ability to finance vessels at competitive rates and overall financial stability;

relationships with shipyards and the ability to obtain suitable berths;

construction management experience, including the ability to procure on-time delivery of new vessels according to customer specifications;

willingness to accept operational risks pursuant to the charter, such as allowing termination of the charter for force majeure events; and

competitiveness of the bid in terms of overall price.

As a result of these factors, when our charters contracts expire, we cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully replace them promptly or at all or at rates sufficient to allow us to operate our business profitably, to meet our obligations, including payment of debt service to our lenders, or to pay dividends. Our ability to renew the charter contracts on our vessels on the expiration or termination of our current charters, or, on vessels that we may acquire in the future, the charter rates payable under any replacement charter contracts, will depend upon, among other things, economic conditions in the sectors in which our vessels operate at that time and the financial sector, changes in the supply and demand for vessel capacity and changes in the supply and demand for the transportation of commodities as described above. However, if we are successful in employing our vessels under longer term time charters, our vessels will not be available for trading in the spot market during an upturn in the market cycle, when spot trading may be more profitable. If we cannot successfully employ our vessels in profitable charter contracts, our results of operations and operating cash flow could be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

We may employ vessels on the spot market and thus expose ourselves to risk of losses based on short-term decreases in shipping rates.

We periodically employ our some of vessels on a spot basis. The spot charter market is highly competitive and freight rates within this market are highly volatile, while longer-term charter contracts provide income at pre-determined rates over more extended periods of time. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in keeping our vessels fully employed in these short term markets, or that future spot rates will be sufficient to enable such vessels to be operated profitably. A significant decrease in spot market rates or our inability to fully employ our vessels by taking advantage of the spot market would result in a reduction of the incremental revenue received from spot chartering and adversely affect results of operations, including our profitability and cash flows, with the result that our ability to pay debt service and dividends could be impaired.

We are subject to certain credit risks with respect to our counterparties on contracts, and the failure of such counterparties to meet their obligations could cause us to suffer losses on such contracts and thereby decrease revenues.

We charter-out our vessels to other parties, who pay us a daily rate of hire. We also enter into contracts of affreightment (COAs) pursuant to which we agree to carry cargoes, typically for industrial customers, who export or import drybulk cargoes. Additionally, we enter into FFAs, parts of which are traded over-the-counter. We also enter into spot market voyage contracts, where we are paid a rate per ton to carry a specified cargo on a specified route. The FFAs and these contracts and arrangements subject us to counterparty credit risks at various levels. If the counterparties fail to meet their obligations, we could suffer losses on such contracts which could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, after a charterer defaults on a time charter, we would have to enter into charters at lower rates. It is also possible that we would be unable to secure a charter at all. If we re-charter the vessel at lower rates, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

We have insured our charter-out contracts through a AA+ rated governmental agency of a European Union member state, which provides that if the charterer goes into payment default, the insurer will reimburse us for the charter payments under the terms of the policy for the remaining term of the charter-out contract (subject to applicable deductibles and other customary limitations for insurance).

Trading and complementary hedging activities in freight, tonnage and Forward Freight Agreements (FFAs) subject us to trading risks, and we may suffer trading losses which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Due to drybulk shipping market volatility, success in this shipping industry requires constant adjustment of the balance between chartering-out vessels for long periods of time and trading them on a spot basis. A long-term contract to charter a vessel might lock us into a profitable or unprofitable situation depending on the direction of freight rates over the term of the contract. We seek to manage and mitigate that risk through trading and complementary hedging activities in freight, tonnage and forward freight agreements, or FFAs. We are exposed to market risk in relation to our FFAs and could suffer substantial losses from these activities in the event that our expectations are incorrect. We trade FFAs with an objective of both economically hedging the risk on the fleet, specific vessels or freight commitments and taking advantage of short-term fluctuations in market prices. There can be no assurance that we will be able at all times to successfully protect ourselves from volatility in the shipping market. We may not successfully mitigate our risks, leaving us exposed to unprofitable contracts, and may suffer trading losses resulting from these hedging activities.

In our hedging and trading activities, we focus on short-term trading opportunities in which there are adequate liquidity in order to limit the risk we are taking. There can be no assurance we will be successful in limiting our risk, that significant price spikes will not result in significant losses, even on short term trades, that liquidity will be available for our positions, or that all trades will be done within our risk management policies. Any such risk could be significant. In addition, the performance of our trading activities can significantly increase the variability of our operating performance in any given period and could materially adversely affect our financial condition. The FFA market has experienced significant volatility in the past few years and, accordingly, recognition of the changes in the fair value of FFAs has caused, and could in the future cause significant volatility in earnings.

We are subject to certain operating risks, including vessel breakdowns or accidents, that could result in a loss of revenue from the affected vessels and which in turn could have an adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Our exposure to operating risks of vessel breakdown and accidents mainly arises in the context of our owned vessels. The rest of our core fleet is chartered-in under time charters and, as a result, most operating risks relating to these time chartered vessels remain with their owners. If we pay hire on a chartered-in vessel at a lower rate than the rate of hire it receives from a sub-charterer to whom we have chartered out the vessel, a breakdown or loss of the vessel due to an operating risk suffered by the owner will, in all likelihood, result in our loss of the positive spread between the two rates of hire. Although we maintain insurance policies (subject to deductibles and exclusions) to cover us against the loss of such spread through the sinking or other loss of a chartered-in vessel, we cannot assure you that we will be covered under all circumstances or that such policies will be available in the future on commercially reasonable terms. Breakdowns or accidents involving our vessels and losses relating to chartered vessels which are not covered by insurance would result in a loss of revenue from the affected vessels adversely affecting our financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to various laws, regulations and conventions, including environmental laws that could require significant expenditures both to maintain compliance with such laws and to pay for any uninsured environmental liabilities resulting

Table of Contents**from a spill or other environmental disaster.**

The shipping business and vessel operation are materially affected by government regulation in the form of international conventions, national, state and local laws, and regulations in force in the jurisdictions in which vessels operate, as well as in the country or countries of their registration. Because such conventions, laws and regulations are often revised, we cannot predict the ultimate cost of complying with such conventions, laws and regulations, or the impact thereof on the fair market price or useful life of our vessels. Changes in governmental regulations, safety or other equipment standards, as well as compliance with standards imposed by maritime self-regulatory organizations and customer requirements or competition, may require us to make capital and other expenditures. In order to satisfy any such requirements, we may be required to take any of our vessels out of service for extended periods of time, with corresponding losses of revenues. In the future, market conditions may not justify these expenditures or enable us to operate our vessels, particularly older vessels, profitably during the remainder of their economic lives. This could lead to significant asset write-downs. Additional conventions, laws and regulations may be adopted that could limit our ability to do business, require capital expenditures or otherwise increase our cost of doing business, which may materially adversely affect our operations, as well as the shipping industry generally. In various jurisdictions, legislation has been enacted or is under consideration that would impose more stringent requirements on air pollution and other ship emissions, including emissions of greenhouse gases and ballast water discharged from vessels. We are required by various governmental and quasi-governmental agencies to obtain certain permits, licenses and certificates with respect to our operations.

Additional conventions, laws and regulations may be adopted that could limit our ability to do business, require capital expenditures or otherwise increase our cost of doing business, which may materially adversely affect our operations, as well as the shipping industry generally. For example, in various jurisdictions legislation has been enacted, or is under consideration that would impose more stringent requirements on air pollution and other ship emissions, including emissions of greenhouse gases and ballast water discharged from vessels. We are required by various governmental and quasi-governmental agencies to obtain certain permits, licenses and certificates with respect to our operations.

The operation of vessels is also affected by the requirements set forth in the International Safety Management Code (ISM). The ISM Code requires ship owners and bareboat charterers to develop and maintain an extensive safety management system that includes the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy setting forth instructions and procedures for safe vessel operation and describing procedures for dealing with emergencies. Non-compliance with the ISM Code may subject such party to increased liability, may decrease available insurance coverage for the affected vessels, and may result in a denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports. For example, the United States Coast Guard and European Union authorities have indicated that vessels not in compliance with the ISM Code will be prohibited from trading in ports in the United States and European Union. Currently, each of the vessels in our owned fleet is ISM Code-certified. However, there can be no assurance that such certification will be maintained indefinitely.

For all vessels, including those operated under our fleet, international liability for oil pollution is currently governed by the International Convention on Civil Liability for Bunker Oil Pollution Damage, or the Bunker Convention , adopted by the International Maritime Organization (IMO) in 2001. The Bunker Convention imposes strict liability on ship owners for pollution damage in jurisdictional waters of ratifying states caused by discharges of bunker oil. The Bunker Convention defines bunker oil as any hydrocarbon mineral oil, including lubricating oil, used or intended to be used for the operation or propulsion of the ship, and any residues of such oil. The Bunker Convention requires registered owners of ships over a certain size to maintain insurance for pollution damage in an amount equal to the limits of liability under the applicable national or international limitation regime (but not exceeding the amount calculated in accordance with the Convention on Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims of 1976, as amended, referred to herein as the 1976 Convention). The Bunker Convention became effective on November 21, 2008, and by early 2010 it was in effect in 47 states. In other jurisdictions, liability for spills or releases of oil from ships bunkers continues to be determined by the national or other domestic laws in the jurisdiction where the events or damages occur.

Apart from the drybulk vessels in our fleet, our subsidiary Navios South American Logistics, Inc. (Navios Logistics), acquires and operates tankers, which in certain circumstances may be subject to national and international laws governing pollution from such vessels. When a tanker is carrying a cargo of persistent oil as defined by the Civil Liability Convention 1992 (CLC) her owner bears strict liability for any pollution damage caused in a contracting state by an escape or discharge from her cargo or from her bunker tanks. This liability is subject to a financial limit calculated by reference to the tonnage of the ship, and the right to limit liability may be lost if the spill is caused by the shipowner's intentional or reckless conduct. Liability may also be incurred under CLC for a bunker spill from the vessel even when she is not carrying such a cargo, but is in ballast.

When a tanker is carrying clean oil products which do not constitute persistent oil for the purposes of CLC, liability for any pollution damage will generally fall outside the Bunker Convention and will depend on national or other domestic laws in the jurisdiction where the spillage occurs. The same applies to any pollution from the vessel in a jurisdiction which is not a party to the Bunker Convention. The Bunker Convention applies in over 100 states around the world, but it does not apply in the United States of America, where the corresponding liability laws are noted for being particularly stringent.

Environmental legislation in the United States merits particular mention as it is in many respects more onerous than

Table of Contents

international laws, representing a high-water mark of regulation with which ship owners and operators must comply, and of liability likely to be incurred in the event of non-compliance or an incident causing pollution. Additionally, pursuant to the federal laws, each state may enact more stringent regulations, thus subjecting ship owners to dual liability. Notably, California has adopted regulations that parallel most, if not all of the federal regulations explained below. We intend to comply with all applicable state regulations in the ports where our vessels call.

U.S. federal legislation, including notably the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, or the OPA, establishes an extensive regulatory and liability regime for the protection and cleanup of the environment from oil spills, including bunker oil spills from drybulk vessels as well as cargo or bunker oil spills from tankers. The OPA affects all owners and operators whose vessels trade in the United States, its territories and possessions or whose vessels operate in United States waters, which includes the United States territorial sea and its 200 nautical mile exclusive economic zone. Under the OPA, vessel owners, operators and bareboat charterers are responsible parties and are jointly, severally and strictly liable (unless the spill results solely from the act or omission of a third party, an act of God or an act of war) for all containment and clean-up costs and other damages arising from discharges or substantial threats of discharges, of oil from their vessels. In addition to potential liability under the OPA as the relevant federal legislation, vessel owners may in some instances incur liability on an even more stringent basis under state law in the particular state where the spillage occurred.

Outside of the United States, other national laws generally provide for the owner to bear strict liability for pollution, subject to a right to limit liability under applicable national or international regimes for limitation of liability. The most widely applicable international regime limiting maritime pollution liability is the 1976 Convention referred to above. Rights to limit liability under the 1976 Convention are forfeited when a spill is caused by a shipowner's intentional or reckless conduct. Certain states have ratified the IMO's 1996 Protocol to the 1976 Convention referred to herein as the 1996 LLMC Protocol. The 1996 LLMC Protocol provides for substantially higher the liability limits to apply in those jurisdictions than the limits set forth in the 1976 Convention. Finally, some jurisdictions are not a party to either the 1976 Convention or the 1996 LLMC Protocol, and, therefore, a shipowner's rights to limit liability for maritime pollution in such jurisdictions may be uncertain.

In some areas of regulation the EU has introduced new laws without attempting to procure a corresponding amendment of international law. Notably it adopted in 2005 a directive on ship-source pollution, imposing criminal sanctions for pollution not only where this is caused by intent or recklessness (which would be an offence under the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, or MARPOL), but also where it is caused by serious negligence. The directive could therefore result in criminal liability being incurred in circumstances where it would not be incurred under international law. Experience has shown that in the emotive atmosphere often associated with pollution incidents, retributive attitudes towards ship interests have found expression in negligence being alleged by prosecutors and found by courts on grounds which the international maritime community has found hard to understand. Moreover, there is skepticism that the notion of serious negligence is likely to prove any narrower in practice than ordinary negligence. Criminal liability for a pollution incident could not only result in us incurring substantial penalties or fines, but may also, in some jurisdictions, facilitate civil liability claims for greater compensation than would otherwise have been payable.

We currently maintain, for each of our owned vessels, insurance coverage against pollution liability risks in the amount of \$1.0 billion per incident. The insured risks include penalties and fines as well as civil liabilities and expenses resulting from accidental pollution. However, this insurance coverage is subject to exclusions, deductibles and other terms and conditions. If any liabilities or expenses fall within an exclusion from coverage, or if damages from a catastrophic incident exceed the \$1.0 billion limitation of coverage per incident, our cash flow, profitability and financial position could be adversely impacted.

Capital expenditures and other costs necessary to operate and maintain our vessels may increase due to changes in governmental regulations, safety or other equipment standards.

Changes in governmental regulations, safety or other equipment standards, as well as compliance with standards imposed by maritime self-regulatory organizations and customer requirements or competition, may require us to make capital and other expenditures. For example, if governmental authorities or independent classification societies that inspect the hull and machinery of commercial ships to assess compliance with minimum criteria as set by national and

international regulations enact new standards, we may be required to make significant expenditures for alterations of the addition of new equipment. In order to satisfy any such requirements, we may be required to take our vessels out of service for extended periods of time, with corresponding losses of revenues. In the future, market conditions may not justify these expenditures or enable us to operate our vessels, particularly older vessels, profitably during the remainder of their economic lives. This could lead to significant asset write-downs.

We are subject to vessel security regulations and will incur costs to comply with recently adopted regulations and may be subject to costs to comply with similar regulations which may be adopted in the future in response to terrorism.

Since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, there have been a variety of initiatives intended to enhance vessel security. On November 25, 2002, the Maritime Transportation Security Act of 2002, or MTSA, came into effect. To implement certain portions of the MTSA, in July 2003, the U.S. Coast Guard issued regulations requiring the implementation of certain security requirements aboard vessels operating in waters subject to the jurisdiction of the United States. Similarly, in December 2002, amendments to SOLAS, created a new chapter of the convention dealing specifically with maritime security. The new chapter went into effect in July 2004, and imposes various detailed security obligations on vessels and port authorities, most of which are contained in the newly created International Ship and Port Facilities Security, or ISPS Code. Among the various requirements are:

Table of Contents

on-board installation of automatic information systems, or AIS, to enhance vessel-to-vessel and vessel-to-shore communications;

on-board installation of ship security alert systems;

the development of vessel security plans; and

compliance with flag state security certification requirements.

Furthermore, additional security measures could be required in the future which could have a significant financial impact on us. The U.S. Coast Guard regulations, intended to be aligned with international maritime security standards, exempt non-U.S. vessels from MTSA vessel security measures, provided such vessels have on board, a valid International Ship Security Certificate, or ISSC, that attests to the vessel's compliance with SOLAS security requirements and the ISPS Code. We have implemented the various security measures addressed by the MTSA, SOLAS and the ISPS Code and take measures for our vessels to attain compliance with all applicable security requirements within the prescribed time periods. Although management does not believe these additional requirements will have a material financial impact on our operations, there can be no assurance that there will not be an interruption in operations to bring vessels into compliance with the applicable requirements and any such interruption, could cause a decrease in charter revenues.

Acts of piracy on ocean-going vessels have increased recently in frequency and magnitude, which could adversely affect our business.

The shipping industry has historically been affected by acts of piracy in regions such as the South China Sea and the Gulf of Aden. In 2008 and continuing through 2009, acts of piracy saw a steep rise, particularly off the coast of Somalia in the Gulf of Aden. One of the most significant examples of the increase in piracy came in November 2008 when the M/V Sirius Star, a crude oil tanker which was not affiliated with us, was captured by pirates in the Indian Ocean while carrying crude oil estimated to be worth approximately \$100 million. In December 2009, the M/V Navios Apollon, a vessel owned by our affiliate, Navios Partners, was seized by pirates 800 miles off the coast of Somalia while transporting fertilizer from Tampa, Florida to Rozi, India. The Navios Apollon was released on February 27, 2010. As these piracy attacks result in regions (in which our vessels are deployed) being characterized by insurers as war risk zones or Joint War Committee (JWC) war and strikes listed areas, premiums payable for such insurance coverage could increase significantly and such insurance coverage may be more difficult to obtain. Crew costs, including those due to employing onboard security guards, could increase in such circumstances. In addition, while we believe the charterer remains liable for charter payments when a vessel is seized by pirates, the charterer may dispute this and withhold charter hire until the vessel is released. A charterer may also claim that a vessel seized by pirates was not on-hire for a certain number of days and it is therefore entitled to cancel the charter party, a claim that we would dispute. We may not be adequately insured to cover losses from these incidents, which could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, detention hijacking as a result of an act of piracy against our vessels, or an increase in cost, or unavailability of insurance for our vessels, could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Acts of piracy on ocean-going vessels have recently increased in frequency, which could adversely affect our business and operations.

Our operations expose us to global political risks, such as wars and political instability that may interfere with the operation of our vessels and thereby cause a decrease in revenues from such vessels.

We are an international company and primarily conduct our operations outside the United States. Changing economic, political and governmental conditions in the countries where we are engaged in business or where our vessels are registered will affect us. In the past, political conflicts, particularly in the Persian Gulf, resulted in attacks on vessels, mining of waterways and other efforts to disrupt shipping in the area. For example, in October 2002, the vessel Limburg, which was not affiliated with us, was attacked by terrorists in Yemen. Acts of terrorism and piracy have also affected vessels trading in regions such as the South China Sea. Following the terrorist attack in New York City on September 11, 2001, and the military response of the United States, the likelihood of future acts of terrorism may increase, and our vessels may face higher risks of being attacked in the Middle East region and interruption of

operations causing a decrease in revenues. In addition, future hostilities or other political instability in regions where our vessels trade could affect our trade patterns and adversely affect our operations by causing delays in shipping on certain routes or making shipping impossible on such routes, thereby causing a decrease in revenues.

A government could requisition title or seize our vessels during a war or national emergency. Requisition of title occurs when a government takes a vessel and becomes its owner. A government could also requisition our vessels for hire, which would result in the government taking control of a vessel and effectively becoming the charterer at a dictated charter rate. Requisition of one or more of our vessels would have a substantial negative effect on us as we would potentially lose all revenues and earnings from the requisitioned vessels and permanently lose the vessels. Such losses might be partially offset if the requisitioning government compensated us for the requisition.

Table of Contents

A failure to pass inspection by classification societies could result in one or more vessels being unemployable unless and until they pass inspection, resulting in a loss of revenues from such vessels for that period and a corresponding decrease in operating cash flows.

The hull and machinery of every commercial vessel must be classed by a classification society authorized by its country of registry. The classification society certifies that a vessel is safe and seaworthy in accordance with the applicable rules and regulations of the country of registry of the vessel and the United Nations Safety of Life at Sea Convention (SOLAS). Navios Holdings' owned fleet is currently enrolled with Lloyd's Register of Shipping, Nippon Kaiji Kiokai, Bureau Veritas and American Bureau of Shipping.

A vessel must undergo an annual survey, or Annual Survey, an intermediate survey, or Intermediate Survey, and a special survey, or Special Survey. In lieu of a Special Survey, a vessel's machinery may be on a continuous survey cycle, under which the machinery would be surveyed periodically over a five-year period. Navios Holdings' vessels are on Special Survey cycles for hull inspection and continuous survey cycles for machinery inspection. Every vessel is also required to be dry docked every two to three years for inspection of the underwater parts of such vessel.

If any vessel fails any Annual Survey, Intermediate Survey, or Special Survey, the vessel may be unable to trade between ports and, therefore, would be unemployable, potentially causing a negative impact on Navios Holdings' revenues due to the loss of revenues from such vessel until it was able to trade again.

Vessels may suffer damage and we may face unexpected dry docking costs, which could affect our cash flow and financial condition.

If our owned vessels suffer damage, they may need to be repaired at a dry docking facility. The costs of dry dock repairs are unpredictable and can be substantial. We may have to pay dry docking costs that insurance does not cover. The loss of earnings while these vessels are being repaired and repositioned, as well as the actual cost of these repairs, could decrease our revenues and earnings substantially, particularly if a number of vessels are damaged or dry docked at the same time.

Rising crew costs may adversely affect our profits.

Crew costs are a significant expense for us under our charters. Recently, the limited supply of and increased demand for well-qualified crew, due to the increase in the size of the global shipping fleet, has created upward pressure on crewing costs, which we generally bear under our period time and spot charters. Increases in crew costs may adversely affect our profitability.

The shipping industry has inherent operational risks that may not be adequately covered by our insurance.

The operation of ocean-going vessels in international trade is inherently risky. Although we carry insurance for our fleet covering risks commonly insured against by vessel owners and operators, such as hull and machinery insurance, war risks insurance and protection and indemnity insurance (which include environmental damage and pollution insurance), all risks may not be adequately insured against, and any particular claim may not be paid. We do not currently maintain off-hire insurance, which would cover the loss of revenue during extended vessel off-hire periods, such as those that occur during an unscheduled dry docking due to damage to the vessel from accidents. Accordingly, any extended vessel off-hire, due to an accident or otherwise, could have a material adverse effect on our business. Any claims covered by insurance would be subject to deductibles, and since it is possible that a large number of claims may be brought, the aggregate amount of these deductibles could be material.

We may be unable to procure adequate insurance coverage at commercially reasonable rates in the future. For example, more stringent environmental regulations have led in the past to increased costs for, and in the future may result in the lack of availability of, insurance against risks of environmental damage or pollution. A catastrophic oil spill or marine disaster could exceed our insurance coverage, which could harm our business, financial condition and operating results. Changes in the insurance markets attributable to terrorist attacks may also make certain types of insurance more difficult for us to obtain. In addition, the insurance that may be available to us in the future may be significantly more expensive than our existing coverage.

Even if our insurance coverage is adequate to cover our losses, we may not be able to timely obtain a replacement vessel in the event of a loss. Furthermore, in the future, we may not be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates for our fleet. Our insurance policies also contain deductibles, limitations and exclusions which can result in significant increased overall costs to us.

Because we obtain some of our insurance through protection and indemnity associations, we may also be subject to calls, or premiums, in amounts based not only on our own claim records, but also on the claim records of all other members of the protection and indemnity associations.

We may be subject to calls, or premiums, in amounts based not only on our claim records but also on the claim records of all other members of the protection and indemnity associations through which we receive insurance coverage for tort liability, including pollution-related liability. Our payment of these calls could result in significant expenses to us, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition and our ability to pay interest on, or the principal of, the senior notes.

Maritime claimants could arrest our vessels, which could interrupt our cash flow.

Crew members, suppliers of goods and services to a vessel, shippers of cargo, and other parties may be entitled to a maritime lien against a vessel for unsatisfied debts, claims or damages against such vessel. In many jurisdictions, a maritime lien holder may enforce its lien by arresting a vessel through foreclosure proceedings. The arrest or attachment of one or more of our vessels could interrupt our cash flow and require us to pay large sums of funds to have the arrest lifted. We are not currently aware of the existence

Table of Contents

of any such maritime lien on our vessels.

In addition, in some jurisdictions, such as South Africa, under the sister ship theory of liability, a claimant may arrest both the vessel which is subject to the claimant's maritime lien and any associated vessel, which is any vessel owned or controlled by the same owner. Claimants could try to assert sister ship liability against one vessel in our fleet for claims relating to another ship in the fleet.

The risks and costs associated with vessels increase as the vessels age.

The costs to operate and maintain a vessel in operation increase with the age of the vessel. The average age of the vessels in our fleet is 4.4 years, and most drybulk vessels have an expected life of approximately 25 years. In some instances, charterers prefer newer vessels that are more fuel efficient than older vessels. Cargo insurance rates also increase with the age of a vessel, making older vessels less desirable to charterers as well. Governmental regulations, safety or other equipment standards related to the age of the vessels may require expenditures for alterations or the addition of new equipment to our vessels and may restrict the type of activities in which these vessels may engage. We cannot assure you that, as our vessels age, market conditions will justify those expenditures or enable us to operate our vessels profitably during the remainder of their useful lives. If we sell vessels, we may have to sell them at a loss, and if charterers no longer charter out vessels due to their age, our earnings could be materially adversely affected.

As we expand our business, we may have difficulty managing our growth, which could increase expenses.

We have significantly grown our fleet and business since August 2005. We intend to continue to grow our fleet, either through purchases of additional vessels, through chartered-in vessels or through business acquisitions. The addition of vessels to our fleet or the acquisition of new businesses will impose significant additional responsibilities on our management and staff, and may require us to increase the number of our personnel. We will also have to increase our customer base to provide continued employment for the new vessels. Our growth will depend on:

- locating and acquiring suitable vessels;

- identifying and consummating acquisitions or joint ventures;

- integrating any acquired business successfully with our existing operations;

- enhancing our customer base;

- managing our expansion; and

- obtaining required financing.

Unrealized losses of available for sale securities may negatively affect our results of operations in the future.

As part of the consideration received from the sale of the Navios Hope to Navios Maritime Partners L.P. (Navios Partners) in July 2008, the Company received 3,131,415 common units of Navios Partners (14.4% of the then outstanding units of Navios Partners), which are accounted for under guidance for available-for-sale securities (the AFS Securities). Accordingly, unrealized gains and losses on these securities are reflected directly in equity unless an unrealized loss is considered other-than-temporary, in which case it is transferred to the statement of income. The Company has no other types of available for sale securities.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the carrying amounts of the AFS Securities were \$46.3 million and \$22.4 million, respectively, and the unrealized holding gains/(losses) related to these AFS Securities included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/ (Loss) were \$15.2 million and \$(22.6 million), for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. On June 30, 2009, respectively, the Company recognized in earnings realized losses amounting to \$13.8 million following the common unit's market value being less than their acquisition price for a consecutive period of 12 months. Therefore, this decline was considered as other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI). Management evaluates securities for OTTI on a quarterly basis. Consideration is given to (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the investee, and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the investee for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

As of December 31, 2009, market valuation of these securities has increased. If the fair value of these AFS Securities declines below their June 30, 2009 value and our OTTI analysis indicates such write down to be necessary, the potential future impairment charges may have a material adverse impact on our results of operations in the period recognized.

Any drought or significant decline in production of soybeans or other agricultural products in the Hidrovia region, including any possible effects of climate change, or any significant change affecting the navigability of certain rivers in the region, would have an adverse effect on our Navios Logistics business.

Table of Contents

A significant portion of our Navios Logistics business is derived from transportation of soybeans and other agricultural products produced in the Hidrovia region. Any drought or other adverse weather conditions, such as floods, including any possible effects of climate change, could result in a decline in production of these products which would likely result in a reduction in demand for our services. This would, in turn, negatively impact our results of operations and financial condition. Further, most of the operations in our Navios Logistics business occur on the Parana and Paraguay Rivers, and any changes adversely affecting navigability of either of these rivers, such as changes in the depth of the water or the width of the navigable channel, could, in the short term, reduce or limit our ability to effectively transport cargo on the rivers.

A prolonged drought or other turn of events that is perceived by the market to have an impact on the region, including any possible effects of climate change, the navigability of the Parana or Paraguay Rivers or our Navios Logistics business in general may, in the short term, result in a reduction in the market value of the barges and push boats that we operate in the region. These barges and push boats are designed to operate in wide and relatively calm rivers, of which there are only a few in the world. If it becomes difficult or impossible to operate profitably our barges and push boats in the Hidrovia region and we are forced to sell them to a third party located outside of the region, there is a limited market in which we would be able to sell these vessels, and accordingly we may be forced to sell them at a substantial loss.

Growing any business by acquisition presents numerous risks such as undisclosed liabilities and obligations, difficulty in obtaining additional qualified personnel, and managing relationships with customers and suppliers and integrating newly acquired operations into existing infrastructures. We cannot give any assurance that we will be successful in executing our growth plans or that we will not incur significant expenses and losses in connection therewith or that our acquisitions will perform as expected, which would adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

The operation of our ocean-going vessels and Navios Logistics fleet entails the possibility of marine disasters including damage or destruction of the vessel due to accident, the loss of a vessel due to piracy or terrorism, damage or destruction of cargo and similar events that may cause a loss of revenue from affected vessels and could damage our business reputation, which may in turn lead to loss of business.

The operation of our ocean-going vessels and Navios Logistics fleet entails certain inherent risks that may adversely affect our business and reputation, including:

damage or destruction of a vessel due to marine disaster such as a collision;

the loss of a vessel due to piracy or terrorism;

damage or destruction of cargo and property losses or damage as a result of the foregoing or less drastic causes such as human error, mechanical failure and bad weather;

environmental accidents as a result of the foregoing; and

business interruptions and delivery delays caused by mechanical failure, human error, war, acts of piracy, terrorism, political action in various countries, labor strikes or adverse weather conditions.

Any of these circumstances or events could substantially increase our costs. For example, the costs of replacing a vessel or cleaning up a spill could substantially lower its revenues by taking vessels out of operation permanently or for periods of time. The involvement of our vessels in a disaster or delays in delivery or damages or loss of cargo may harm our reputation as a safe and reliable vessel operator and could cause us to lose business.

Accidents or operational disruptions in connection with loading, discharging or transiting the rivers in our Navios Logistics business could adversely affect our operations and revenues.

Our Navios Logistics business is dependent, in part, upon being able to timely and effectively transit the rivers and load and discharge cargoes. Any accidents or operational disruptions to ports, terminals, bridges or the lock on the high Parana River could adversely affect our operations and our revenues in our Navios Logistics business.

Although we have longstanding relationships with certain Japanese ship owners who provide us access to very competitive contracts, we cannot assure you that we will always be able to maintain such relationships or that such contracts will continue to be available in the future.

We have longstanding relationships with certain Japanese ship owners that give us access to time charters that are currently at favorable rates and which, in some cases, include options to purchase the vessels at favorable prices relative to the current market. We cannot assure you that we will have such relationships indefinitely. In addition, there is no assurance that Japanese ship owners will generally make contracts available on the same or substantially similar terms in the future.

Our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer holds approximately 23% of our common stock and will be able to exert considerable influence over our actions; her failure to own a significant amount of our common stock or to be our Chief

Table of Contents**Executive Officer would constitute a default under our secured credit facilities.**

Ms. Angeliki Frangou owns approximately 23% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, and has filed a Schedule 13D indicating that she intends, subject to market conditions, to purchase \$20 million of our common stock (as of December 31, 2009, she has purchased approximately \$10 million in value of our common stock). As the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and a significant stockholder, she has the power to exert considerable influence over our actions and the outcome of matters on which our stockholders are entitled to vote including the election of directors and other significant corporate actions. The interests of Ms. Frangou may be different from your interests. Furthermore, if Ms. Frangou ceases to hold a minimum of 20% of our common stock does not remain actively involved in the business or ceases to be our Chief Executive Officer, then we will be in default under our secured credit facilities.

The loss of key members of our senior management team could disrupt the management of our business.

We believe that our success depends on the continued contributions of the members of our senior management team, including Ms. Angeliki Frangou, our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and principal stockholder. The loss of the services of Ms. Frangou or one of our other executive officers or senior management members could impair our ability to identify and secure new charter contracts, to maintain good customer relations and to otherwise manage our business, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial performance and our ability to compete.

Certain of our directors, officers, and principal stockholders are affiliated with entities engaged in business activities similar to those conducted by us which may compete directly with us, causing such persons to have conflicts of interest.

Some of our directors, officers and principal stockholders have affiliations with entities that have similar business activities to those conducted by us. Certain of our directors are also directors of other shipping companies and they may enter similar businesses in the future. These other affiliations and business activities may give rise to certain conflicts of interest in the course of such individuals' affiliation with us. Although we do not prevent our directors, officers and principal stockholders from having such affiliations, we use our best efforts to cause such individuals to comply with all applicable laws and regulations in addressing such conflicts of interest. Our officers and employee directors devote their full time and attention to our ongoing operations, and our non-employee directors devote such time as is necessary and required to satisfy their duties as directors of a public company.

Because we generate all of our revenues in U.S. dollars but incur a portion of our expenses in other currencies, exchange rate fluctuations could cause us to suffer exchange rate losses, thereby increasing expenses and reducing income.

We engage in worldwide commerce with a variety of entities. Although our operations may expose us to certain levels of foreign currency risk, our transactions are predominantly U.S. dollar-denominated at the present. Additionally, our South American subsidiaries transact a nominal amount of their operations in Uruguayan pesos, Paraguayan Guaranies, Argentinean pesos and Brazilian Reales, whereas our wholly-owned vessel subsidiaries and the vessel management subsidiary transact a nominal amount of their operations in Euros; however, all of the subsidiaries' primary cash flows are U.S. dollar-denominated. In 2009, approximately 6.9% of our expenses were incurred in currencies other than U.S. dollars. Transactions in currencies other than the functional currency are translated at the exchange rate in effect at the date of each transaction. Expenses incurred in foreign currencies against which the U.S. dollar falls in value can increase, thereby decreasing our income. For example, for the year ended December 31, 2009, the value of the U.S. dollar decreased by approximately 2.7% as compared to the Euro. A greater percentage of our transactions and expenses in the future may be denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollar. As part of our overall risk management policy, we attempt to hedge these risks in exchange rate fluctuations from time to time. We may not always be successful in such hedging activities and, as a result, our operating results could suffer as a result of non-hedged losses incurred as a result of exchange rate fluctuations.

The smuggling of drugs or other contraband onto our vessels may lead to governmental claims against us.

We expect that our vessels will call in ports in South America and other areas where smugglers attempt to hide drugs and other contraband on vessels, with or without the knowledge of crew members. To the extent our vessels are found with contraband, whether inside or attached to the hull of our vessel and whether with or without the knowledge of any of our crew, we may face governmental or other regulatory claims which could have an adverse effect on our

business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Risks Relating to Our Debt

We have substantial debt, which could adversely affect our financial health and our ability to obtain financing in the future, react to changes in our business and make payments under the notes.

As of December 31, 2009, we had \$1,622.7 million in aggregate principal amount of debt outstanding of which \$1,271.9 was secured. We also have up to \$101.0 million available to us to be used for general corporate purposes under our existing and new credit facilities. We may increase the amount of our indebtedness in the future which would further exacerbate the risks listed below.

Table of Contents

Our substantial debt could have important consequences to holders of our common stock. Because of our substantial debt:

our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, vessel or other acquisitions or general corporate purposes and our ability to satisfy our obligations with respect to our debt may be impaired in the future;

a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations must be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness, thereby reducing the funds available to us for other purposes;

we will be exposed to the risk of increased interest rates because our borrowings under our senior secured credit facility will be at variable rates of interest;

it may be more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations to our lenders, resulting in possible defaults on and acceleration of such indebtedness;

we may be more vulnerable to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

we may be at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors with less debt or comparable debt at more favorable interest rates and, as a result, we may not be better positioned to withstand economic downturns;

our ability to refinance indebtedness may be limited or the associated costs may increase; and

our flexibility to adjust to changing market conditions and ability to withstand competitive pressures could be limited, or we may be prevented from carrying out capital expenditures that are necessary or important to our growth strategy and efforts to improve operating margins or our business.

Despite our current indebtedness levels, we and our subsidiaries may be able to incur substantially more debt, including secured debt. This could further exacerbate the risks associated with our substantial indebtedness.

We and our subsidiaries may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future as the terms of the indenture governing our 9.5% senior notes due 2014, or the senior notes and the terms of the new indenture governing our 8.875% first priority ship mortgage notes due 2017, or the ship mortgage notes , do not fully prohibit us or our subsidiaries from doing so. If new debt is added to our current debt levels, the related risks that we now face would increase and we may not be able to meet all of our debt obligations, including the repayment of the senior notes.

The agreements and instruments governing our debt will contain restrictions and limitations that could significantly impact our ability to operate our business.

Our secured credit facilities and our indenture impose certain operating and financial restrictions on us. These restrictions may limit our ability to:

incur or guarantee additional indebtedness;

create liens on our assets;

make new investments;

engage in mergers and acquisitions;

pay dividends or redeem capital stock;

make capital expenditures;

engage in certain FFA trading activities;

change the flag, class or commercial and technical management of our vessels;

enter into long term charter arrangements without the consent of the lender; and

sell any of our vessels.

Therefore, we will need to seek permission from our lenders in order to engage in some corporate and commercial actions that we believe would be in the best interest of our business, and a denial of permission may make it difficult for us to successfully execute our business strategy or effectively compete with companies that are not similarly restricted. Our lenders' interests may be different from our interests or those of our holders of common stock, and we cannot guarantee that we will be able to obtain our

Table of Contents

lenders' permission when needed. This may prevent us from taking actions that are in our or our stockholders' best interests. Any future credit agreements may include similar or more restrictive restrictions.

Our ability to generate the significant amount of cash needed to pay interest and principal and otherwise service our debt and our ability to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness or obtain additional financing depend on multiple factors, many of which may be beyond our control.

Our ability to make scheduled payments on or to refinance our obligations under our debt will depend on our financial and operating performance, which, in turn, will be subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions and to the financial and business factors, many of which may be beyond our control.

We will use cash to pay the principal and interest on our debt. These payments limit funds otherwise available for working capital, capital expenditures, vessel acquisitions and other purposes. As a result of these obligations, our current liabilities may exceed our current assets. We may need to take on additional debt as we expand our fleet, which could increase our ratio of debt to equity. The need to service our debt may limit funds available for other purposes, and our inability to service debt in the future could lead to acceleration of our debt and foreclosure on our owned vessels.

We may be unable to raise funds necessary to finance the change of control repurchase offer required by the indenture governing our outstanding notes and our secured credit facilities.

The indenture governing the senior notes, the ship mortgage notes and our secured credit facilities contain certain change of control provisions. If we experience specified changes of control under the senior notes, we will be required to make an offer to repurchase all of our outstanding notes (unless otherwise redeemed) at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the repurchase date. The occurrence of specified events that would constitute a change of control will constitute a default under our secured credit facilities. In the event of a change of control, we cannot assure you that we would have sufficient assets to satisfy all of our obligations under our secured credit facilities and the senior notes, including but not limited to repaying all indebtedness outstanding under our secured credit facilities or repurchasing the senior notes.

An increase in interest rates would increase the cost of servicing our debt and could reduce our profitability.

The debt under our secured credit facilities bears interest at variable rates. We may also incur indebtedness in the future with variable interest rates. As a result, an increase in market interest rates would increase the cost of servicing our debt and could materially reduce our profitability and cash flows. The impact of such an increase would be more significant for us than it would be for some other companies because of our substantial debt.

If the recent volatility in LIBOR continues, it could affect our profitability, earnings and cash flow.

LIBOR has recently been volatile, with the spread between LIBOR and the prime lending rate widening significantly at times. These conditions are the result of the recent disruptions in the international credit markets. Because the interest rates borne by our outstanding indebtedness fluctuate with changes in LIBOR, if this volatility were to continue, it would affect the amount of interest payable on our debt, which in turn, could have an adverse effect on our profitability, earnings and cash flow.

Furthermore, interest in most loan agreements in our industry has been based on published LIBOR rates. Recently, however, lenders have insisted on provisions that entitle the lenders, in their discretion, to replace published LIBOR as the base for the interest calculation with their cost-of-funds rate. Such provisions could significantly increase our lending costs, which would have an adverse effect on our profitability, earnings and cash flow.

The market values of our vessels, which have declined from historically high levels, may fluctuate significantly, which could cause us to breach covenants in our credit facilities and result in the foreclosure of our mortgaged vessels.

Factors that influence vessel values include:

number of newbuilding deliveries;

changes in environmental and other regulations that may limit the useful life of vessels;

changes in global drybulk commodity supply;

types and sizes of vessels;

development of and increase in use of other modes of transportation;

cost of vessel acquisitions;

cost of newbuilding vessels;

governmental or other regulations;

prevailing level of charter rates; and

general economic and market conditions affecting the shipping industry.

If the market values of our owned vessels decrease, we may breach covenants contained in our secured credit facilities. If we breach such covenants and are unable to remedy any relevant breach, our lenders could accelerate our debt and foreclose on the collateral, including our vessels. Any loss of vessels would significantly decrease our ability to generate positive cash flow from operations and, therefore, service our debt. In addition, if the book value of a vessel is impaired due to unfavorable market conditions, or a vessel is sold at a price below its book value, we would incur a loss.

Table of Contents

We may require additional financing to acquire vessels or business or to exercise vessel purchase options, and such financing may not be available.

In the future, we may be required to make substantial cash outlays to exercise options or to acquire vessels or business and will need additional financing to cover all or a portion of the purchase prices. We intend to cover the cost of such items with new debt collateralized by the vessels to be acquired, if applicable, but there can be no assurance that we will generate sufficient cash or that debt financing will be available. Moreover, the covenants in our senior secured credit facility, the indenture or other debt, may make it more difficult to obtain such financing by imposing restrictions on what we can offer as collateral.

We are incorporated in the Republic of the Marshall Islands, which does not have a well-developed body of corporate law.

Our corporate affairs are governed by our amended and restated articles of incorporation and by-laws and by the Marshall Islands Business Corporations Act, or BCA. The provisions of the BCA are intended to resemble provisions of the corporation laws of a number of states in the United States. However, there have been few judicial cases in the Republic of the Marshall Islands interpreting the BCA. The rights and fiduciary responsibilities of directors under the law of the Republic of the Marshall Islands are not as clearly established as the rights and fiduciary responsibilities of directors under statutes or judicial precedent in existence in certain U.S. jurisdictions. Stockholder rights may differ as well. The BCA does specifically incorporate the non-statutory law, or judicial case law, of the State of Delaware and other states with substantially similar legislative provisions. Accordingly, you may have more difficulty protecting your interests in the face of actions by management, directors or controlling stockholders than you would in the case of a corporation incorporated in the State of Delaware or other U.S. jurisdictions.

We, and certain of our officers and directors, may be difficult to serve with process as we are incorporated in the Republic of the Marshall Islands and such persons may reside outside of the United States.

We are a corporation organized under the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands. Several of our directors and officers are residents of Greece or other non-U.S. jurisdictions. Substantial portions of the assets of these persons are located in Greece or other non-U.S. jurisdictions. Thus, it may not be possible for investors to affect service of process upon us, or our non-U.S. directors or officers, or to enforce any judgment obtained against these persons in U.S. courts. Also, it may not be possible to enforce U.S. securities laws or judgments obtained in U.S. courts against these persons in a non-U.S. jurisdiction.

Being a foreign private issuer exempts us from certain Securities and Exchange Commission requirements.

We are a foreign private issuer within the meaning of rules promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act. As such, we are exempt from certain provisions applicable to United States public companies including:

the rules under the Exchange Act requiring the filing with the SEC of quarterly reports on Form 10-Q or current reports on Form 8-K;

the sections of the Exchange Act regulating the solicitation of proxies, consents or authorizations in respect of a security registered under the Exchange Act;

the provisions of Regulation FD aimed at preventing issuers from making selective disclosures of material information; and

the sections of the Exchange Act requiring insiders to file public reports of their stock ownership and trading activities and establishing insider liability for profits realized from any short-swing trading transaction (i.e., a purchase and sale, or sale and purchase, of the issuer's equity securities within less than six months).

Because of these exemptions, investors are not afforded the same protections or information generally available to investors holding shares in public companies organized in the United States.

We may earn United States source income that is subject to tax, thereby adversely affecting our results of operations and cash flows.

Under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or the Code, 50% of gross income attributable to shipping transportation that begins or ends, but that does not both begin and end, in the United States is characterized as U.S. source shipping income. Such income generally will be subject to a 4% U.S. federal income tax without allowance for deduction, unless we qualify for an exemption from such tax under section 883 of the Code. Based on our current plans, we expect that our income from sources within the United States will be international shipping income that qualifies for exemption from United States federal income taxation under section 883 of the Code, and that we will have no other income that will be taxed in the United States. Our ability to qualify for the exemption at any given time will depend upon circumstances related to the ownership of our common stock at such time and thus are beyond our control. Accordingly, we can give no assurance that we would qualify for the exemption under Section 883 with respect to any such income we earn. If Navios Holdings' vessel-owning subsidiaries were not entitled to the benefit of section 883 of the Code, they would be subject to United States taxation on a portion of their income. As a result, depending on the trading patterns of our vessels, we could become liable for tax, and our net income and cash flow could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents**We may be taxed as a United States corporation.**

The purchase by International Shipping Enterprises Inc. (ISE), our predecessor, of all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Navios Holdings, and the subsequent downstream merger of ISE with and into Navios Holdings took place on August 25, 2005. Navios Holdings is incorporated under the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands. ISE received an opinion from its counsel for the merger transaction that, while there is no direct authority that governs the tax treatment of the transaction, it was more likely than not that Navios Holdings would be taxed by the United States as a foreign corporation. Accordingly, we take the position that we will be taxed as a foreign corporation by the United States. If Navios Holdings is taxed as a U.S. corporation in the future, its taxes will be significantly higher than they are currently.

Item 4. Information on the Company***A. History and Development of the Company***

The legal and commercial name of the Company is Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. The Company's office and principal place of business is located at 85 Akti Miaouli Street, Piraeus, Greece 185 38, and its telephone number is (011) +30-210-4595000. The Company is a corporation incorporated under the BCA and the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands. Trust Company of the Marshall Islands, Inc. serves as the Company's agent for service of process, and the Company's registered address and telephone number, as well as address and telephone number of its agent for service of process, is Trust Company Complex, Ajeltake Island P.O. Box 1405, Majuro, Marshall Islands MH96960.

On August 25, 2005, pursuant to a Stock Purchase Agreement dated February 28, 2005, as amended, by and among International Shipping Enterprises, Inc. (ISE), Navios Holdings, and all the shareholders of Navios Holdings, ISE acquired Navios Holdings through the purchase of all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Navios Holdings. As a result of this acquisition, Navios Holdings became a wholly-owned subsidiary of ISE. In addition, on August 25, 2005, simultaneously with the acquisition of Navios Holdings, ISE effected a reincorporation from the State of Delaware to the Republic of the Marshall Islands through a downstream merger with and into its newly acquired wholly-owned subsidiary, whose name was and continued to be Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. As a result of the reincorporation, ISE transitioned from a shell company to an operating business, and the operations of Navios Holdings became those of a publicly-traded company. The Company publicly files its reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the rules of Foreign Private Issuers.

On February 2, 2007, Navios Holdings acquired all of the outstanding share capital of Kleimar N.V. (Kleimar) for a cash consideration of \$165.6 million (excluding direct acquisition costs), subject to certain adjustments. The purchase of Kleimar was financed by existing cash and the use of \$120.0 million revolving credit facility with HSH Nordbank AG and Commerzbank AG. Kleimar is a Belgian maritime transportation company established in 1993. Kleimar is the owner and operator of Capesize and Panamax vessels used in the transportation of dry cargoes and has an extensive COA business.

The Company operates a fleet of owned Capesize, Panamax and Ultra Handymax vessels and a fleet of time chartered Capesize, Panamax and Ultra Handymax vessels that are employed to provide worldwide transportation of bulk commodities. The Company actively engages in assessing risk associated with fluctuating future freight rates, fuel prices and foreign exchange and, where appropriate, will actively hedge identified economic risk with appropriate derivative instruments. Such economic hedges do not always qualify for accounting hedge treatment, and, as such, the usage of such derivatives could lead to material fluctuations in the Company's reported results from operations on a period-to-period basis.

On August 7, 2007, Navios Holdings formed Navios Partners under the laws of Marshall Islands. Navios GP L.L.C. (the General Partner), a wholly owned subsidiary of Navios Holdings, was also formed on that date to act as the general partner of Navios Partners and received a 2% general partner interest in Navios Partners.

In connection with the initial public offering, or an IPO, of Navios Partners, on November 16, 2007, Navios Holdings sold the interests of five of its wholly-owned subsidiaries, each of which owned a Panamax drybulk carrier, as well as interests of three of its wholly-owned subsidiaries that operated and had options to purchase three additional vessels in exchange for: (a) all of the net proceeds from the sale of an aggregate of 10,500,000 common units in the IPO and to a corporation owned by Navios Partners' Chairman and CEO for a total amount of \$193.3 million, plus; (b)

\$160.0 million of the \$165.0 million borrowings under Navios Partners revolving credit facility; (c) 7,621,843 subordinated units issued to Navios Holdings; and (d) 2% general partner interest and all incentive distribution rights in Navios Partners to the General Partner.

On or prior to the closing of the IPO, Navios Holdings entered into the following agreements with Navios Partners: (a) a share purchase agreement pursuant to which Navios Holdings sold the capital stock of a subsidiary that would own the Capesize vessel Navios Bonavis and related time charter, upon delivery of the vessel, which occurred in June 2009; (b) a share purchase agreement pursuant to which Navios Partners had the option, exercisable at any time between January 1, 2009 and April 1, 2009, to acquire the capital stock of the subsidiary that will own the Capesize vessel Navios TBN II and related time charter. On April 2, 2009, Navios Partners announced that it would not be exercising this option given the then-prevailing unfavorable capital market conditions, (c) a management agreement with Navios Partners pursuant to which Navios ShipManagement Inc. (the Manager), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Navios Holdings, provides Navios Partners commercial and technical management services; (d) an administrative services agreement with the Manager pursuant to which the Manager provides Navios Partners administrative services; and (e) an omnibus agreement with Navios Partners, governing, among other things, when Navios Partners and Navios Holdings may compete against each other as well as rights of first offer on certain drybulk carriers.

Table of Contents

On July 1, 2008, Navios Holdings sold the Navios Hope, a 75,397 dwt Panamax vessel built in 2005, to Navios Partners in exchange for \$79.9 million, consisting of \$35.0 million cash and \$44.9 million in common units (3,131,415 common units) of Navios Partners. The number of the common units issued was calculated using the \$14.3705 volume weighted average trading price for the 10 business days immediately prior to the closing date. Following Navios Partners' public equity offering of (a) 3,500,000 common units in May 2009; (b) 2,800,000 common units in September 2009 and the completion of the exercise of the overallotment option previously granted to the underwriters in connection with this offering in October 2009; (c) 4,000,000 common units in November 2009; and (d) 3,500,000 common units (plus 525,000 overallotment units) in February 2010, Navios Holdings currently owns 33.2% equity interest in Navios Partners, which includes a 2% general partner interest.

Navios Partners is engaged in the seaborne transportation services of a wide range of drybulk commodities including iron ore, coal, grain and fertilizer, chartering its vessels under medium to long term charters. The operations of Navios Partners are managed by the Manager from its offices in Piraeus, Greece.

On January 1, 2008, pursuant to a share purchase agreement, Navios Holdings contributed i) \$112.2 million in cash and ii) the authorized capital stock of its wholly owned subsidiary Corporacion Navios Sociedad Anonima (CNSA) in exchange for the issuance and delivery of 12,765 shares of Navios South American Logistics Inc. (Navios Logistics), representing 63.8% (67.2% excluding contingent consideration) of its outstanding stock. Navios Logistics acquired all ownership interests in the Horamar Group (Horamar) in exchange for i) \$112.2 million in cash, of which \$5.0 million was kept in escrow (\$2.5 million as of December 31, 2009) payable upon the attainment of certain EBITDA targets during specified periods through December 2008 (the EBITDA Adjustment) and ii) the issuance of 7,235 shares of Navios Logistics representing 36.2% (32.8% excluding contingent consideration) of Navios Logistics outstanding stock, of which 1,007 shares were kept in escrow (504 shares still kept as of December 31, 2009) pending the EBITDA Adjustment.

In November 2008, part of the contingent consideration for the acquisition of Horamar was released, as Horamar achieved the interim EBITDA target, at which time \$2.5 million in cash and 503 shares were released to the shareholders of Horamar. Following this release, Navios Holdings owns 65.5% (excluding 504 shares still kept in escrow at December 31, 2009, pending achievement of final EBITDA target) of the outstanding common stock of Navios Logistics. In accordance with the amended share purchase agreement, the final EBITDA target may be resolved until June 30, 2010.

Horamar was a privately held Argentina-based group that specializes in the transportation and storage of liquid cargoes and the transportation of drybulk cargoes in South America.

On July 1, 2008, Navios Holdings completed the IPO of units in its subsidiary, Navios Maritime Acquisition Corporation (Navios Acquisition), a blank check company. In the offering, Navios Acquisition sold 25,300,000 units for an aggregate purchase price of \$253.0 million. Simultaneously with the completion of the IPO, Navios Holdings purchased private placement warrants of Navios Acquisition for an aggregate purchase price of \$7.6 million (Private Placement Warrants). Prior to the IPO, Navios Holdings had purchased 8,625,000 units of Navios Acquisition (Sponsor Units) for a total consideration of \$25,000, of which an aggregate of 290,000 units were transferred to the Company's officers and directors and an aggregate of 2,300,000 Sponsor Units were returned to Navios Acquisition and cancelled upon receipt. Each unit consists of one share of Navios Acquisition's common stock and one warrant (Sponsor Warrants , together with the Private Placement Warrants , the Navios Acquisition Warrants). Currently, Navios Holdings owns approximately 6,035,000 shares (19%) of the outstanding common stock of Navios Acquisition.

To the knowledge of the management of the Company, there have been no indications of any public takeover offers by third parties in respect of its shares or by the Company in respect of other companies' shares during the fiscal years, 2008, 2009 and thus far in 2010.

For information concerning the Company's capital expenditures and methods of financing, see Operating and Financial Review and Prospects.

B. Business overview**Introduction**

Navios Holdings is a global, vertically integrated seaborne shipping and logistics company focused on the transport and transshipment of drybulk commodities including iron ore, coal and grain. For over 50 years, Navios Holdings has had an in-house technical ship management expertise that has worked with producers of raw materials, agricultural traders and exporters, industrial end-users, ship owners, and charterers. Navios Holdings' current core fleet (excluding Navios Logistics), the average age of which is approximately 4.4 years, consists of a total of 60 vessels, aggregating approximately 6.6 million dwt. Navios Holdings owns 15 Capesize vessels (169,000-181,000 dwt), 13 modern Ultra Handymax vessels (50,000-59,000 dwt), four Panamax vessels (75,000-83,000 dwt), and one Product Handysize (10,000-30,000 dwt) tanker vessel. It also time charters in and operates a fleet of five Ultra Handymax, two Handysize, 10 Panamax, and 10 Capesize vessels under long-term time charters, 17 of which are currently in operation, with the remaining 10 scheduled for delivery on various dates up to August 2013. Navios Holdings has options to acquire 12 of the 27 time chartered-in vessels (on two of which Navios Holdings holds an initial 50% purchase option). Navios Holdings also has committed to acquire seven newbuilding Capesize vessels which are scheduled for delivery at various times until March 2011.

Table of Contents

Navios Holdings also offers commercial and technical management services to Navios Partners' fleet which is comprised of 10 Panamax vessels, one Capesize vessel and one Ultra-Handymax vessel and received a fixed daily fee of \$4,000 per owned Panamax and \$5,000 per owned Capesize vessel until November 15, 2009. The daily fee covers all of the vessels' operating expenses, including the cost of drydock and special surveys. In October 2009, the fixed fee period was extended for two years and the daily fees were increased to \$4,500 per owned Ultra Handymax vessel, \$4,400 per owned Panamax vessel and \$5,500 per owned Capesize vessel.

Navios Logistics owns and operates vessels, barges and push boats located mainly in Argentina, the largest bulk transfer and storage port facility in Uruguay, and an upriver liquid port facility located in Paraguay.

Navios Holdings' strategy and business model focuses on:

Operation of a high quality, modern fleet. Navios Holdings owns and charters in a modern, high quality fleet, having an average age of approximately 4.4 years that provides numerous operational advantages including more efficient cargo operations, lower insurance and vessel maintenance costs, higher levels of fleet productivity, and an efficient operating cost structure.

Pursue an appropriate balance between vessel ownership and a long-term chartered-in fleet. Navios Holdings controls, through a combination of vessel ownership and long-term time chartered vessels, approximately 6.6 million dwt in tonnage, making Navios Holdings one of the largest independent drybulk operators in the world. Navios Holdings' ability, through its longstanding relationships with various shipyards and trading houses, to charter-in vessels at favorable rates allows it to control additional shipping capacity without the capital expenditures required by new vessel acquisition. In addition, having purchase options on 12 of the 27 time chartered vessels (including those to be delivered) permits Navios Holdings to determine when is the most commercially opportune time to own or charter-in vessels. Navios Holdings intends to monitor developments in the sales and purchase market to maintain the appropriate balance between owned and long-term time chartered vessels.

Capitalize on Navios Holdings' established reputation. Navios Holdings believes its reputation and commercial relationships enable it to obtain favorable long term time charters, enter into the freight market and increase its short-term tonnage capacity to complement the capacity of its core fleet, as well as to obtain access to cargo freight opportunities through COA arrangements not readily available to other industry participants. This reputation has also enabled Navios Holdings to obtain favorable vessel acquisition terms as reflected in the purchase options contained in some of its long term charters.

Utilize industry expertise to take advantage of market volatility. The drybulk shipping market is cyclical and volatile. Navios Holdings uses its experience in the industry, sensitivity to trends, and knowledge and expertise as to risk management and FFAs to hedge against, and in some cases, to generate profit from, such volatility.

Maintain high fleet utilization rates. The shipping industry uses fleet utilization to measure a company's efficiency in finding suitable employment for its vessels and minimizing the days its vessels are off-hire. At 99.3% as of December 31, 2009, Navios Holdings believes that it has one of the highest fleet utilization rates in the industry.

Maintain customer focus and reputation for service and safety. Navios Holdings is recognized by its customers for the high quality of its service and safety record. Navios Holdings' high standards for performance, reliability, and safety provide Navios Holdings with an advantageous competitive profile.

Enhance vessel utilization and profitability through a mix of spot charters, time charters, and COAs and strategic backhaul and triangulation methods. Specifically, this strategy is implemented as follows:

The operation of voyage charters or spot fixtures for the carriage of a single cargo from load port to discharge port;

The operation of time charters, whereby the vessel is hired out for a predetermined period but without any specification as to voyages to be performed, with the ship owner being responsible for operating costs and the charterer for voyage costs; and

The use of COAs, under which Navios Holdings contracts to carry a given quantity of cargo between certain load and discharge ports within a stipulated time frame, but does not specify in advance which vessels will be used to perform the voyages.

In addition, Navios Holdings attempts, through selecting COAs on what would normally be backhaul or ballast legs, to enhance vessel utilization and, hence, profitability. The cargoes are in such cases used to position vessels at or near major loading

Table of Contents

areas (such as the U.S. Gulf) where spot cargoes can readily be obtained. This reduces ballast time to be reduced as a percentage of the round voyage. This strategy is referred to as triangulation.

Navios Holdings is one of relatively few major owners and operators of this type in the drybulk market, and has vast experience in this area. In recent years, it has further raised the commercial sophistication of its business model by using market intelligence derived from its risk management operations and, specifically, its freight derivatives hedging desk, to make more informed decisions regarding the management of its fleet.

Competitive Advantages

Controlling approximately 6.6 million dwt (excluding Navios Logistics) in drybulk tonnage, Navios Holdings is one of the largest independent drybulk operators in the world. Management believes that Navios Holdings occupies a competitive position within the industry in that its reputation in the global drybulk markets permits it to enter into at any time, and take on spot, medium or long term freight commitments, depending on its view of future market trends. In addition, many of the long term charter deals that form the core of Navios Holdings' fleet were brought to the attention of Navios Holdings prior to ever being quoted in the open market. Even in the open market, Navios Holdings' solid reputation allows it to take in large amounts of tonnage on a short, medium, or long term basis on very short notice. This ability is possessed by relatively few ship owners and operators, and is a direct consequence of Navios Holdings' market reputation for reliability in the performance of its obligations in each of its roles as a ship owner, COA operator, and charterer. Navios Holdings, therefore, has much greater flexibility than a traditional ship owner or charterer to quickly go long or short relative to the drybulk markets.

Navios Holdings' long involvement and reputation for reliability in the Asian Pacific region have also allowed it to develop privileged relationships with many of the largest trading houses in Japan, such as Marubeni Corporation and Mitsui & Co. Through these institutional relationships, Navios Holdings has obtained relatively low-cost, long term charter-in deals, with options to extend time charters and options to purchase the majority of the vessels. Through its established reputation and relationships, Navios Holdings has had access to opportunities not readily available to most other industry participants who lack Navios Holdings' brand recognition, credibility, and track record.

In addition to its long-standing reputation and flexible business model, management believes that Navios Holdings is well-positioned in the drybulk market on the basis of the following factors:

A high-quality, modern fleet of vessels that provides a variety of operational advantages, such as lower insurance premiums, higher levels of productivity, and efficient operating cost structures, as well as a competitive advantage over owners of older fleets, especially in the time charter market, where age and quality of a vessel are of significant importance in competing for business;

A core fleet which has been chartered-in (some through 2023, assuming minimum available charter extension periods are exercised) on attractive terms that allow Navios Holdings to charter-out the vessels at a considerable spread during strong markets and to weather down cycles in the market while maintaining low operating expenses;

Strong cash flows from creditworthy counterparties;

Strong commercial relationships with both freight customers and Japanese trading houses and ship owners, providing Navios Holdings with access to future attractive long term time charters on newbuildings with valuable purchase options;

Strong in-house technical management team who oversee every step of technical management, from the construction of the vessels in Japan or Korea to subsequent shipping operations throughout the life of a vessel, including the superintendence of maintenance, repairs and dry docking, providing efficiency and transparency in Navios Holdings' owned fleet operations; and

Visibility into worldwide commodity flows through its physical shipping operations and port terminal operations in South America.

Management intends to maintain and build on these qualitative advantages, while at the same time continuing to benefit from Navios Holdings' reputation.

Shipping Operations

Navios Holdings' Fleet. Navios Holdings controls a core fleet of 33 owned vessels and 27 chartered-in vessels (12 of which have purchase options). The average age of the operating fleet is 4.4 years.

Owned Fleet. Navios Holdings owns a fleet comprised of 13 modern Ultra Handymax vessels, 15 Capesize vessels, four Panamax vessels and one Product Handysize vessel, whose technical specifications and youth distinguish them in the market,

Table of Contents

where, approximately 26% of the drybulk world fleet is composed of 20+ year-old ships. Navios Holdings has committed to acquire seven newbuild Capesize vessels, to be built in South Korea for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$521.4 million.

Owned Vessels

Vessel Name	Vessel Type	Year Built	Deadweight (in metric tons)
Navios Ionian	Ultra Handymax	2000	52,067
Navios Vector	Ultra Handymax	2002	50,296
Navios Horizon	Ultra Handymax	2001	50,346
Navios Herakles	Ultra Handymax	2001	52,061
Navios Achilles	Ultra Handymax	2001	52,063
Navios Meridian	Ultra Handymax	2002	50,316
Navios Mercator	Ultra Handymax	2002	53,553
Navios Arc	Ultra Handymax	2003	53,514
Navios Hios	Ultra Handymax	2003	55,180
Navios Kypros	Ultra Handymax	2003	55,222
Navios Ulysses	Ultra Handymax	2007	55,728
Navios Vega	Ultra Handymax	2009	58,792
Navios Celestial	Handymax	2009	58,063
Navios Magellan	Panamax	2000	74,333
Navios Star	Panamax	2002	76,662
Navios Orbiter	Panamax	2004	76,602
Navios Asteriks	Panamax	2005	76,801
Navios Bonavis	Capesize	2009	180,022
Navios Happiness	Capesize	2009	180,022
Navios Pollux	Capesize	2009	180,727
Navios Lumen	Capesize	2009	180,661
Navios Aurora II	Capesize	2009	169,031
Navios Stellar	Capesize	2009	169,001
Navios Phoenix	Capesize	2009	180,242
Navios Antares	Capesize	2010	169,059
Vanessa ⁽¹⁾	Product Handysize	2002	19,078

Owned Vessels to be delivered

Vessel Name	Vessel Type	Delivery Date	Deadweight (in metric tons)
Navios Melodia	Capesize	07/2010	180,000
Navios Fulvia	Capesize	08/2010	180,000
Navios Buena Ventura	Capesize	09/2010	180,000
Navios Luz	Capesize	10/2010	180,000
Navios Etoile	Capesize	10/2010	180,000
Navios Hull 1106	Capesize	03/2011	180,000
Navios Bonheur	Capesize	11/2010	180,000

- (1) 95% owned.
Contracted to be sold for \$18.3 million in the second quarter of 2010.

Long Term Fleet. In addition to the 26 currently operating owned vessels and seven owned vessels to be delivered, Navios Holdings controls a fleet of 10 Capesize, 10 Panamax, five Ultra Handymax, and two Handysize vessels under long term time charters, having an average age of approximately 3.8 years. Of the 27 chartered-in vessels, 17 are currently in operation and 10 are scheduled for delivery at various times up to August 2013, as set forth in the following table:

Table of Contents**Long term Chartered-in Fleet in Operation**

Vessel Name	Vessel Type	Year Built	Deadweight (in metric tons)	Purchase Option⁽¹⁾
Navios Astra	Ultra Handymax	2006	53,468	Yes
Navios Primavera	Ultra Handymax	2007	53,464	Yes
Navios Armonia	Ultra Handymax	2008	55,100	No
Navios Cielo	Panamax	2003	75,834	No
Navios Orion	Panamax	2005	76,602	No
Navios Titan	Panamax	2005	82,936	No
Navios Altair	Panamax	2006	83,001	No
Navios Esperanza	Panamax	2007	75,200	No
Torm Antwerp	Panamax	2008	75,250	No
Belisland	Panamax	2003	76,602	No
Golden Heiwa	Panamax	2007	76,662	No
SA Fortius	Capesize	2001	171,595	No
C. Utopia	Capesize	2007	174,000	No
Beaufiks	Capesize	2004	180,181	Yes
Phoenix Beauty	Capesize	2010	169,150	No
Rubena N	Capesize	2006	203,233	No
SC Lotta (Phoenix Grace)	Capesize	2009	170,500	No

Long term Chartered-in Fleet to be Delivered

Vessel Name	Vessel Type	Delivery Date	Deadweight (in metric tons)	Purchase Option⁽¹⁾
Navios TBN	Handysize	02/2011	35,000	Yes ⁽²⁾
Kleimar TBN	Capesize	04/2010	176,800	No
Navios TBN	Handysize	04/2011	35,000	Yes ⁽²⁾
Navios TBN	Panamax	09/2011	80,000	Yes
Navios TBN	Capesize	06/2013	180,000	Yes
Navios TBN	Capesize	09/2011	180,200	Yes
Navios TBN	Ultra Handymax	03/2012	61,000	Yes
Kleimar TBN	Capesize	07/2012	180,000	Yes
Navios TBN	Panamax	01/2013	82,100	Yes
Navios TBN	Ultra Handymax	08/2013	61,000	Yes

(1) Generally,
Navios

Holdings may exercise its purchase option after three to five years of service.

- (2) The initial 50% purchase option on each vessel is held by Navios Holdings.

Many of Navios Holdings' current long term chartered-in vessels are chartered from ship owners with whom Navios Holdings has long-standing relationships. Navios Holdings pays these ship owners daily rates of hire for such vessels, and then charters out these vessels to other parties, who pay Navios Holdings a daily rate of hire. Navios Holdings also enters into COAs pursuant to which Navios Holdings has agreed to carry cargoes, typically for industrial customers, who export or import drybulk cargoes. Further, Navios Holdings enters into spot market voyage contracts, where Navios Holdings is paid a rate per ton to carry a specified cargo from point A to point B.

Short Term Fleet: Navios Holdings' short term fleet is comprised of Capesize, Panamax and Ultra Handymax vessels chartered-in for duration of less than 12 months. The number of short term vessels varies from time to time.

Exercise of Vessel Purchase Options

Since August 25, 2005, Navios Holdings has executed eleven purchase options consisting of four Ultra Handymax, six Panamax and one Capesize vessel. The Navios Meridian, Navios Mercator, Navios Arc, Navios Galaxy I, Navios Magellan, Navios Horizon, Navios Star, Navios Hyperion, Navios Orbiter, Navios Hope and Navios Fantastiks were delivered at various dates from November 30, 2005 until May 2, 2008. Navios Galaxy I was sold to Navios Partners on November 15, 2007. In addition, on November 15, 2007, the rights to Navios Fantastiks were sold to Navios Partners, while Navios Hope was sold to Navios Partners on July 1, 2008. The acquisition cost of these vessels was approximately \$230.4 million. Navios Holdings currently has options to acquire three of the remaining 17 chartered-in vessels currently in operation and nine of the ten long-term chartered-in vessels on order (on two of the eight purchase options Navios Holdings holds a 50% initial purchase option).

Table of Contents

Commercial Ship Management: Commercial management of Navios Holdings' fleet involves identifying and negotiating charter party employment for the vessels. In addition to its internal commercial ship management capabilities, Navios Holdings uses the services of a related party, Acropolis Chartering & Shipping Inc., based in Piraeus, as well as numerous third-party charter brokers, to solicit, research, and propose charters for its vessels. Charter brokers research and negotiate with different charterers, and propose charters to Navios Holdings for cargoes suitable for carriage by Navios Holdings' vessels. Navios Holdings then evaluates the employment opportunities available for each type of vessel and arranges cargo and country exclusions, bunkers, loading and discharging conditions, and demurrage.

Technical Ship Management: The technical management of the owned vessels are conducted out of its Piraeus, Greece office, except for one of Kleimar's initial owned vessels whose management is performed by an unrelated third party. Navios Holdings provides, through its subsidiary, Navios ShipManagement Inc., technical ship management and maintenance services to its owned vessels and has also provided such services to Navios Partners' vessels following the management agreement signed at the closing of the IPO of Navios Partners. Based in Piraeus, Greece, this operation is run by experienced professionals who oversee every step of technical management, from the construction of the vessels in Japan to subsequent shipping operations throughout the life of a vessel, including the superintendence of maintenance, repairs and dry docking.

Operation of the fleet: The operations department, which is located in Greece and Belgium, supervises the post-fixture business of the vessels in Navios Holdings' fleet (i.e., once the vessel is chartered and being employed) by monitoring their daily positions to ensure that the terms and conditions of the charters are being fulfilled.

Financial Risk Management: Navios Holdings actively engages in assessing financial risks associated with fluctuating future freight rates, daily time charter hire rates, fuel prices, credit risks, interest rates and foreign exchange rates. Financial risk management is carried out under policies approved and guidelines established by the Company's executive management.

Freight Rate Risk. Navios Holdings uses FFAs to manage and mitigate its risk to its freight market exposures in shipping capacity and freight commitments and respond to fluctuations in the drybulk shipping market by augmenting its overall long or short position. These FFAs settle monthly in cash on the basis of publicly quoted indices, not physical delivery. These instruments typically cover periods from one month to one year, and are based on time charter rates or freight rates on specific quoted routes. Navios Holdings enters into these FFAs through over-the-counter transactions and over NOS ASA, a Norwegian clearing house, and LCH, the London Clearing House. Navios Holdings' FFA trading personnel work closely with the chartering group to ensure that the most up-to-date information is incorporated into the company's commercial ship management strategy and policies. See Risk Factors - Risks Associated with the Shipping Industry and Our Operations Trading and complementary hedging activities in freight, tonnages and Forward Freight Agreements (FFAs) subject us to trading risks, and we may suffer trading losses which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations - for additional detail on the financial implications, and risks of our use of FFAs.

Credit Risk. Navios Holdings closely monitors its credit exposure to charterers and FFAs counterparties. Navios Holdings has established policies designed to ensure that contracts are entered into with counter-parties that have appropriate credit history. Counter parties and cash transactions are limited to high credit quality collateralized corporations and financial institutions. Most importantly, Navios Holdings has strict guidelines and policies that are designed to limit the amount of credit exposure. We have insured our charter-out contracts through a AA+ rated governmental agency of a European Union member state, which provides that if the charterer goes into payment default, the insurer will reimburse us for the charter payments under the terms of the policy the remaining term of the charter-out contract (subject to applicable deductibles and other customary limitations for insurance).

Interest Rate Risk. Navios Holdings uses from time to time interest rate swap agreements to reduce exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. Specifically, Navios Holdings has entered into interest rate swap contracts that

entitle it to receive interest at floating rates on principal amounts and oblige it to pay interest at fixed rates on the same amounts. Thus, these instruments allow Navios Holdings to raise long term borrowings at floating rates and swap them into fixed rates. Although these instruments are intended to minimize the anticipated financing costs and maximize gains for Navios Holdings that may be set off against interest expense, they may also result in losses, which would increase financing costs. See Note 13 to the audited consolidated financial statements of Navios Holdings for the year ended December 31, 2009, included elsewhere in this document. See also item 11 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risks Interest Rate Risk.

Foreign Exchange Risk. Although Navios Holdings revenues are dollar-based, 13.2% of its expenses related to its Logistics segment are in Uruguayan pesos, Argentinean pesos, Paraguayan Guaranies and Brazilian Reales and 6.2% of its expenses related to operation of its Piraeus and Belgian office are in Euros. Navios Holdings monitors its Euro, Argentinean Peso, Uruguayan Peso, Paraguayan Guarani and Brazilian Real exposure against long term currency forecasts and enters into foreign currency contracts when considered appropriate.

Table of Contents**Navios Logistics Operations**

Inception: On January 1, 2008, Navios Holdings formed a South American logistics business through the combination of its existing port operations with a barge and upriver port business that specializes in the transportation and storage of liquid cargoes and the transportation of drybulk cargoes in South America. The combined entity has been named Navios South American Logistics Inc. (Navios Logistics).

Navios Logistics, an end-to-end logistics business which leverages Navios transshipment facility in Uruguay with an upriver port facility in Paraguay and dry and wet barge capacity, marked the successful conclusion of an effort Navios Holdings commenced in June 2006, when the Company announced its intention to develop a South American logistics business. Navios Holdings intends to continue growing its South American logistics business by opportunistically acquiring assets complementary to its port terminal and storage facilities.

Navios Logistics initial focus is on the area extending from Brazil to Uruguay on the Paraguay and Parana rivers, considering the region's growing agricultural and mineral exports, the cost-effectiveness of river transport as compared to available alternatives and its existing transportation infrastructure. We intend to grow the business by capitalizing on the region's growing agricultural and mineral commodities exports and leveraging the significant cost advantage river transport offers compared to alternatives.

Water transportation in the Hidrovía Paraguay-Paraná is considered by the governments of Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Paraguay, and Uruguay to be the backbone of plans for integrating regional economies. Expanding navigation of the Paraguay and Parana River system, which is the second largest in South America, will result in an economic boom for the region, drastically reducing transportation costs and providing the resource rich but landlocked areas of Argentina, Paraguay, Bolivia and Brazil with direct access to the Atlantic Ocean and thus the entire world.

Tanker vessels, barges and push boats operations

Overview: Navios Logistics operates different types of tanker vessels, push boats and wet and dry barges for the delivery of a great range of products meeting the needs of the market between all the ports of the Paraná, Paraguay and Uruguay Rivers System in South America, commonly known as the Hidrovia (Waterway). The Hidrovia passes through five countries, Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Paraguay and Uruguay along its 3,442 kilometers and to maritime facilities of the South American coastline.

Fleet: Navios Logistics owns or operates more than 230 barges and push boats, including three ocean-going product tanker vessels. Navios Logistics fleet consists of the following: three product tanker vessels (ocean-going vessels), 20 push-boats, 157 dry barges, 44 oil barges, three LPG barges, two self-propelled barges and two small oil tankers. Following its formation, Navios Logistics acquired six convoys as of September 2008 for dry cargo transportation, two product tanker vessels (named Estefania H and Makenita H, delivered in July 2008 and June 2009, respectively, with an aggregate capacity of 29,000 dwt. The total vessels capability is 38,000 dwt as of December 31, 2009. In February 2010, Navios Logistics took delivery of Sara H, a 9,000 dwt double hulled product oil tanker, which is chartered out for three years. Barges and self-propelled barges provide a total capacity of 327,500 dwt as of December 31, 2009.

Products transported: Navios Logistics transports through the Hidrovia to maritime facilities of the South American coastline liquid cargo (hydrocarbons such as crude oil, gas oil, naphtha, fuel oil and JP1 and vegetable oils), liquefied cargo (Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG)) and dry cargo (cereals, cotton pellets, soy bean, wheat, limestone (clinker), mineral iron, and rolling stones). During 2009, the company transported more 3.3 million cubic meter of liquids or tons of dry cargo (2.7 million in 2008, 1.9 million in 2007, 1.5 million in 2006), disaggregated in more than 2.6 million cubic meters of liquids, more than 700 thousand tons of dry cargo, and more than 12 thousand cubic meters of LPG.

Navios Logistics contracts its vessels either on a time charter basis or COA basis.

Drybulk Cargo Soybeans: Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, Bolivia and Uruguay produced more than 40 million tons of

Table of Contents

soybeans in 1995 and more than 96.0 million tons in 2009, a compound annual growth rate of more than 10% in that period. These countries accounted for a 45% of world soybean production in 2009, down from 53% in 2008, due to a decrease in South American soybean production in 2009 caused by significant droughts. Their market share has grown from only 30% in 1995. Production for these countries for 2010 is estimated at 129.0 million tons which will represent a 51% of world soybean production for this year. The installation of crushing plants in Bolivia and Paraguay has generated a large volume of vegetable oils and soybean meal that are also shipped via the river for export. Further, with advances in technology, productivity of farmland has also improved.

Drybulk Cargo Iron Ore: In the Corumba area in Brazil near the High Paraguay River, there are three large iron ore mines out of which two are owned by the international mining company Vale (following the announced acquisition of Rio Tinto's assets related to its operation in Corumba) and the third one is owned by MMX Mineração & Metálicos S.A. (MMX). Their combined production of iron ore, which is entirely transported by barges, has grown significantly during past years.

Oil transportation in Paraguay: Paraguay has no local sources of petroleum, and consequently petroleum must be imported. Barges using the rivers in the Hidrovia are currently the preferred method of supplying Paraguay with crude and petroleum products. All the petroleum products travel north to destinations in Northern Argentina, Paraguay and Bolivia, creating synergies with dry cargo volumes that mostly travel south. Brazil does not yet transport any significant quantity of petroleum products via the rivers in the Hidrovia, mainly due to lack of discharge facilities. Currently, interior regions of Brazil near the Hidrovia are supplied over land by truck. However, incentives exist to switch to barge transportation for petroleum product distribution to Brazilian cities near the river.

Fleet developments and utilization in the region: In the last ten years the barge fleet in the Hidrovia has more than doubled, while maintaining a high level of utilization. This has occurred not only due to the growth of production in the area, but also because cargo that in the past was transported by truck started to shift to river transport.

We believe that the available barge fleet in the area consists approximately of 1,500 dry and tank barges, in contrast with approximately 26,500 barges in the Mississippi River System in the United States.

Oil Tanker Industry Overview

The demand for tankers is a function of the volume of crude oil and petroleum products to be transported by sea and the distance between areas of oil consumption and oil production. The volume of crude oil and petroleum products transported is affected by overall demand for these products, which in turn is influenced by, among other things, general economic conditions, oil prices, weather, competition from alternative energy sources, and environmental concerns.

Liquid Port and Terminal Operations

Overview: In the region of San Antonio, Paraguay, Navios Logistics owns and operates an up-river port terminal containing tank storage for petroleum products, oil and gas. The port facility is used to supply international operators from Paraguay and Bolivia, to serve the growing demand for energy. Earnings derive from a tariff based on storage and logistic services in the plant expressed in dollars per metric ton of liquid products (which are petroleum derivatives such as fuel, oil and gas). The business is carried out through two main types of operations: purchase and sale of petroleum products and storage and handling services which relate mainly to services of inbound, storage and outbound of liquids and gas, or transporting them directly from the barges to the trucks. The company has fiscal tanks approved by the National Custom Office, which becomes a comparative advantage to other suppliers dedicated to the field.

Sales of products: When international prices of oil market allows to compete with the price of diesel established and regulated by Petropar, PetroSan sells the product. During the year ended December 31, 2009 we have marketed 51.500 m³ of fuel oil.

Most important clients at the Port are Petrobras Paraguay, Esso Standard Paraguay, Puma Energy Paraguay, Barcos y Rodados and YPFB. As of December 31, 2009 the inflow and outflow of liquids fuels derived from oil (primarily diesel and naphthas) was approximately 207,000 m³ (121,000 m³ in 2008 and 90,000 in 2007). These figures indicate a constant and sustained in the provision of services growth.

Dry Port and Terminal Operations

Overview: Navios Logistics owns and operates the largest bulk transfer and storage port terminal in Uruguay, one of the most efficient and prominent operations of its kind in South America. Situated in an international tax free trade zone in the port of Nueva Palmira at the confluence of the Parana and Uruguay rivers, the terminal operates 24 hours per day, seven days per week, and is ideally located to provide customers, consisting primarily of leading international grain and commodity houses, with a convenient and efficient outlet for the transfer and storage of a wide range of commodities originating in the Hidrovia region of Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Paraguay, and Uruguay.

Table of Contents

Navios Logistics has had a lease with the Republic of Uruguay dating back to the 1950 s for the land on which it operates. The lease has been extended and may be extended further until 2045 at Navios Logistics option. Navios Holdings believes the terms of the lease reflect Navios Holdings relationship within the Republic of Uruguay. Additionally, since the Navios Logistics terminal is located in the Nueva Palmira Tax Free Zone, foreign commodities moving through the terminal are free of Uruguayan taxes. Certificates of deposit are also obtainable for commodities entering into the station facility.

There is considerable scope for further expansion of this bulk terminal operation. Navios Logistics has recently been awarded an additional 15 acres of land. With this, Navios Logistics Uruguayan port has approximately 52 acres of available river front land for future development. Navios Logistics is in the process of evaluating several alternatives for developing all available space. The increased flow of commodity products through the Nueva Palmira port has allowed Navios Logistics to steadily increase throughput.

Although it is one of the smaller countries in South America, Uruguay is regarded as one of the most stable countries in the continent. The population is almost 100% literate, with a large middle class and a well-established democracy. The banking system is modern and efficient by international standards.

Port Infrastructure: The port terminal stands out in the region because of its sophisticated design, efficiency, and multimodal operations. The Navios Logistics dry port terminal has specially designed storage facilities and conveying systems that provide tremendous flexibility in cargo movements that help to avoid delays to trucks, vessels and barge convoys. The port terminal offers 350,000 tons (soybean basis) of clean and secure grain silo capacity. With eight silos (some with internal separations) available for storage, customers are assured their commodities will be naturally separated. The port terminal has the latest generation, high precision, independent weigh scales, both for discharging and loading activity.

The port terminal has two docks. The main outer dock is 240 meters long and accommodates vessels of up to 85,000 dwt loading to the maximum permitted draft of the Martin Garcia Bar and Mitre Canal. The dock has three modern ship loaders capable of loading vessels at rates of up to 20,000 tons per day, depending on commodity. The inner face of this dock is equipped for discharging barge convoys. The secondary inner dock measures 170 meters long and is dedicated to the discharge of barge convoys. This activity is carried out on both sides of the dock. The terminal is capable of discharging barge convoys at rates averaging 10,000 to 14,000 tons per day, depending on the type of barges and commodity. Fixed duty cycle cranes located on each dock carry out the discharging of barge convoys. The process is optimized through the selection of the most appropriate size and type of buckets according to the commodity to be discharged.

Port Operation: The commodities most frequently handled include grain and grain by-products, as well as some ores and sugar. The port terminal receives bulk cargoes from barges, trucks, and vessels, and either transfers them directly to drybulk carriers or stores them in its own modern silos for later shipment.

Dedicated professionals operate the port terminal, taking pride in the quality of service and responsiveness to customer requirements. Management is attentive to commodity storage conditions seeking to maintain customer commodity separation at all times and minimize handling losses. The port terminal operates 24 hours per day, seven days per week, to provide barge and ship traffic with safe and fast turnarounds. The ability to conduct multiple operations simultaneously involving ocean vessels, barges, trucks, and grain silos further enables the port terminal to efficiently service customers needs.

The port terminal has a uniquely simple pricing policy using a fixed fee structure for its clients. Other regional competitors charge clients a complicated fee structure, with many variable add-on charges. Navios pricing policy provides clients with a transparent, comprehensive, and hassle-free quote that has been extremely well-received by port patrons. The Uruguay port terminal operations present the additional advantage of generating revenue in U.S. dollars, whereas the majority of its costs are in local currency.

Future Growth: The table below highlights the gradual development of export volumes through the Navios Logistics facility in Nueva Palmira, and Navios Logistics believes this growth will continue as countries in the region continue to drive for larger hard currency income.

Table of Contents

Navios Logistics Uruguay Annual Throughput Volumes (metric tones in million)

Navios Logistics Uruguay Export Market: Over the past few years, Uruguay has begun to develop its grain exports that, historically, were very small because land was allocated to cattle and sheep farming. The rapid rise in Uruguayan exports is apparent from the chart below. Most importantly for the Navios Logistics port terminal, the natural growth area for grain in Uruguay is in the western region of the country on land that is located in close proximity to Nueva Palmira.

Uruguay Wheat and Soy Actual and Projected Exports

Source: MGAYP Uruguay Agricultural Ministry and Blasina & Tardáguila Consultores Asociados.

In 2004, the construction of four new cylindrical silos was completed. The silos were designed specifically to receive Uruguayan commodities. Before these silos had been completed, local exporters had booked their total capacity for a period of three years. This was the first time in the terminal's history that additional silo capacity was booked before completion of construction. As a result of yet further significant new customer demand from companies such as ADM, Cargill, Louis Dreyfus, and Bunge, as well as from a number of smaller local grain merchandisers, in September 2005 and June 2009, respectively, two new silos, 90,000 metric tons and 80,000 metric tons storage capacity, respectively, were constructed, and are currently the largest in Uruguay.

Customers

Fleet

The international drybulk shipping industry is highly fragmented and, as a result, there are numerous charterers. Navios Holdings' assessment of a charterer's financial condition and reliability is an important factor in negotiating employment of its vessels. Navios Holdings generally charters its vessels to major trading houses (including commodities traders), major producers and government-owned entities rather than to more speculative or undercapitalized entities. Navios Holdings' customers under charter parties, COAs, and its counterparties under FFAs, include national, regional and international companies, such as BHP Billiton Marketing, Arcelor

Table of Contents

Mittal, Oldendorff Carriers GmbH & Co, Cargill International SA, COSCO Bulk Carriers Ltd., Mitsui O.S.K. Lines Ltd., Korea Line Corporation, Daichi Chuokisen, STX Pan Ocean Co., Baosteel, Sanko Steamship and Sangamon Transportation. For the year ended December 31, 2009, one customer accounted for 13.2% of the Company's revenue. For the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 none of the customers accounted for more than 10% of the Company's revenue

Tanker vessels, barges and push boats operations

Navios Logistics has a diverse customer base including large and well-known petroleum and agricultural companies. Some of the significant customers in the last three years include Shell Argentina, Shell Paraguay, Exxon Mobil, Cargill, Molinos, Vicentin, Petrobras (the national oil company of Brazil), Petropar (the national oil company of Paraguay), Glencore, Repsol YPF, Repsol YPF Bolivia and Louis Dreyfus. In 2009, the four largest customers were Petropar, Terminales Paraguayas S.R.L, a subsidiary of Petrobras, Esso Petrolera Argentina, a subsidiary of Exxon Mobil Corporation, and Shell, a subsidiary of Royal Dutch Shell plc, which accounted for 11.5%, 10.7%, 10.4% and 10.2% of Navios Logistics' freight revenue, respectively (15.2%, 3.7%, 9.0% and 5.4% in 2008, respectively).

Dry Port Terminal

Navios Logistics' port terminal at Nueva Palmira, Uruguay conducts business with customers engaged in the international sales of agricultural commodities, which book portions of the port terminal's silo capacity and transship cargoes through the terminal. In 2009, Agrograin a subsidiary of Archer Daniels Midland group (ADM), Cargill, and Uruagui a subsidiary of Louis Dreyfus (LDC) were the customers accounting for the larger movements in volume. In 2009, ADM accounted for 30.7%, LDC accounted for 17.4% and Cargill accounted for 7.3% of the port terminal's revenue. In 2008, the three largest customers of the port terminal were too Agrograin, Cargill and Uruagui, which accounted for 35.1%, 19.2%, and 13.1% of the port terminal's revenue, respectively.

Competition

The drybulk shipping markets are extensive, diversified, competitive and highly fragmented, divided among approximately 1,400 independent drybulk carrier owners. The world's active drybulk fleet consists of approximately 7,000 vessels, aggregating approximately 460 million dwt. As a general principle, the smaller the cargo carrying capacity of a drybulk carrier, the more fragmented is its market, both with regard to charterers and vessel owner/operators. Even among the larger drybulk owners and operators, whose vessels are mainly in the larger sizes, only five companies are known to have fleets of 100 vessels or more: the two largest Chinese shipping companies, China Ocean Shipping and China Shipping Group and the three largest Japanese shipping companies, Mitsui O.S.K. Lines, Kawasaki Kisen and Nippon Yusen Kaisha. There are about 40 owners known to have fleets of between 20 and 100 vessels. However, vessel ownership is not the only determinant of fleet control. Many owners of bulk carriers charter their vessels out for extended periods, not just to end users (owners of cargo), but also to other owner/operators and to tonnage pools. Such operators may, at any given time, control a fleet many times the size of their owned tonnage. Navios Holdings is one such operator; others include Cargill, Pacific Basin Shipping, Bocimar, Zodiac Maritime, Louis Dreyfus/Cetrappa, Cobelfret and Torvald Klaveness.

It is likely that we will face substantial competition for long term charter business from a number of experienced companies. Many of these competitors will have significantly greater financial resources than we do. It is also likely that we will face increased numbers of competitors entering into our transportation sectors, including in the drybulk sector. Many of these competitors have strong reputations and extensive resources and experience. Increased competition may cause greater price competition, especially for long term charters.

Intellectual Property

We consider NAVIOS to be our proprietary trademark, service mark and trade name. We hold several U.S. trademark registrations for our proprietary logos and the domain name registration for our website.

Governmental and Other Regulations

Sources of applicable rules and standards: Shipping is one of the world's most heavily regulated industries, and in addition it is subject to many industry standards. Government regulation significantly affects the ownership and operation of vessels. These regulations consist mainly of rules and standards established by international conventions, but they also include national, state, and local laws and regulations in force in jurisdictions where vessels may operate

or are registered, and which are commonly more stringent than international rules and standards. This is the case particularly in the United States and, increasingly, in Europe.

A variety of governmental and private entities subject vessels to both scheduled and unscheduled inspections. These entities include local port authorities (the U.S. Coast Guard, harbor masters or equivalent entities), classification societies, flag state administration (country vessel of registry), state and local governmental pollution control agencies and charterers, particularly terminal operators. Certain of these entities require vessel owners to obtain permits, licenses, and certificates for the operation of their vessels. Failure to maintain necessary permits or approvals could require a vessel owner to incur substantial costs or temporarily suspend operation of one or more of its vessels.

Heightened levels of environmental and quality concerns among insurance underwriters, regulators, and charterers continue

Table of Contents

to lead to greater inspection and safety requirements on all vessels and may accelerate the scrapping of older vessels throughout the industry. Increasing environmental concerns have created a demand for vessels that conform to stricter environmental standards. Vessel owners are required to maintain operating standards for all vessels that will emphasize operational safety, quality maintenance, continuous training of officers and crews and compliance with U.S. and international regulations.

International environmental regulations: The International Maritime Organization, or IMO, has negotiated a number of international conventions concerned with preventing, reducing or controlling pollution from ships. These fall into two main categories, one consisting of those concerned generally with ship safety standards, and the other of those specifically concerned with measures to prevent pollution.

Ship safety standards: In the former category, the primary international instrument is the Safety of Life at Sea Convention 1974, as amended, (SOLAS), together with the regulations and codes of practice that form part of its regime. Much of SOLAS is not directly concerned with preventing pollution, but some of its safety provisions are intended to prevent pollution as well as promote safety of life and preservation of property. These regulations have been and continue to be regularly amended as new and higher safety standards are introduced with which we are required to comply.

An amendment of SOLAS introduced the ISM Code, which has been effective since July 1998. Under the ISM Code the party with operational control of a vessel is required to develop an extensive safety management system that includes, among other things, the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy setting forth instructions and procedures for operating its vessels safely and describing procedures for responding to emergencies. The ISM Code requires that vessel operators obtain a safety management certificate for each vessel they operate. This certificate evidences compliance by a vessel's management with code requirements for a safety management system. No vessel can obtain a certificate unless its manager has been awarded a document of compliance, issued by the respective flag state for the vessel, under the ISM Code. Noncompliance with the ISM Code and other IMO regulations may subject a ship owner to increased liability, may lead to decreases in available insurance coverage for affected vessels, and may result in the denial of access to, or detention in, some ports. For example, the United States Coast Guard and European Union authorities have indicated that vessels not in compliance with the ISM Code will be prohibited from trading in ports in the United States and European Union.

Another amendment of SOLAS, made after the terrorist attacks in the United States on September 11, 2001, introduced special measures to enhance maritime security, including the International Ship and Port Facilities Security Code (ISPS Code).

Our owned fleet maintains ISM and ISPS certifications for safety and security of operations. In addition, we voluntarily implement and maintain certifications pursuant to the International Organization for Standardization, or ISO, for its office and ships covering both quality of services and environmental protection (ISO 9001 and ISO 14001, respectively).

Regulations to prevent pollution from ships: In the secondary main category of international regulation, the primary instrument is the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, or MARPOL, which imposes environmental standards on the shipping industry set out in Annexes I-VI of the Convention. These contain regulations for the prevention of pollution by oil (Annex I), by noxious liquid substances in bulk (Annex II) by harmful substances in packaged forms within the scope of the International Maritime Dangerous Goods Code (Annex III), by sewage (Annex IV), by garbage (Annex V), and by air emissions (Annex VI).

These regulations have been and continue to be regularly amended as new and higher standards of pollution prevention with which we are required to comply are introduced.

For example, MARPOL Annex VI, together with the NOx Technical Code established thereunder, sets limits on sulfur oxide and nitrogen oxide emissions from ship exhausts and prohibits deliberate emissions of ozone depleting substances, such as chlorofluorocarbons. It also includes a global cap on the sulfur content of fuel oil and allows for special areas to be established with more stringent controls on sulfur emissions. Originally adopted in September 1997, Annex VI came into force in May 2005 and was amended in October 2008 (as was the NOx Technical Code) to provide for progressively more stringent limits on such emissions from 2010 onwards. Pursuant to Annex VI, the United States and Canada have applied jointly to have all waters within 200 nautical miles of the coast

of these countries deemed an ECA, which would require the use of lower sulfur fuels in these waters. These regulations are enforced by the member states. We anticipate incurring costs in complying with these more stringent standards.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions: In February 2005, the Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change entered into force. Pursuant to the Protocol, adopting countries are required to implement national programs to reduce emissions of certain gases, generally referred to as greenhouse gases, which are suspected of contributing to global warming. Currently, the greenhouse gas emissions from international shipping do not come under the Kyoto Protocol. The European Union confirmed in April 2007 that it plans to expand the European Union emissions trading scheme by adding vessels. In the United States (which did not join the Protocol), the California Attorney General and a coalition of environmental groups petitioned the EPA in October 2007 to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from ocean-going ships under the Clean Air Act. While the petition was originally denied, the new EPA Administration is reconsidering the petition in its entirety. The UN Climate Change Conference 2009 in Copenhagen resulted in only very limited international accord on the subject of greenhouse gas emissions from ships, with no agreement reached specifically in relation to shipping, but emissions from ships were the subject of considerable debate, when expectations were voiced that the IMO continue its examination of such emissions with a view to introducing measures to reduce and control them. Any passage of climate control legislation or other regulatory initiatives by the IMO, European Union, or individual

Table of Contents

countries where we operate that restrict emissions of greenhouse gases from vessels could require us to make significant financial expenditures that we cannot predict with certainty at this time.

Other international regulations to prevent pollution: In addition to MARPOL, more specialized international instruments have been adopted to prevent different types of pollution or environmental harm from ships. In February 2004, the IMO adopted an International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments, or the BWM Convention. The BWM Convention's implementing regulations call for a phased introduction of mandatory ballast water exchange requirements (beginning in 2009), to be replaced in time with mandatory concentration limits. The BWM Convention will not enter into force until 12 months after it has been adopted by 30 states, the combined merchant fleets of which represent not less than 35% of the gross tonnage of the world's merchant shipping. To date, there has not been sufficient adoption of this standard by governments that are members of the convention for it to take force. Moreover, the IMO has supported deferring the requirements of this convention that would first come into effect to December 31, 2011, even if it were to be adopted earlier.

European regulations: European regulations in the maritime sector are generally based on international law. However, since the *Erika* incident in 1999, the European community has become increasingly active in the field of regulation of maritime safety and protection of the environment. It has been the driving force behind a number of amendments of MARPOL (including, for example, changes to accelerate the time-table for the phase-out of single hull tankers, and to prohibit the carriage in such tankers of heavy grades of oil), and if dissatisfied either with the extent of such amendments or with the time-table for their introduction, has been prepared to legislate on a unilateral basis. In some instances where it has done so, international regulations have subsequently been amended to the same level of stringency as that introduced in Europe, but the risk is well established that EU regulations may from time to time impose burdens and costs on ship owners and operators which are additional to those involved in complying with international rules and standards.

In some areas of regulation, the European Union has introduced new laws without attempting to procure a corresponding amendment of international law. A directive on ship-source pollution was adopted in 2005, imposing criminal sanctions for pollution not only caused by intent or recklessness (which would be an offense under MARPOL), but also caused by serious negligence. The directive could therefore result in criminal liability being incurred in circumstances where criminal liability would not be otherwise incurred under international law. Experience has shown that in the emotive atmosphere often associated with pollution incidents, the negligence alleged by prosecutors has often been found by courts on grounds which the international maritime community has found hard to understand. Moreover, there is skepticism that serious negligence is likely to prove any narrower in practice than ordinary negligence. Criminal liability for a pollution incident could not only result in us incurring substantial penalties or fines, but may also, in some jurisdictions, facilitate civil liability claims for greater compensation than would otherwise have been payable.

United States Environmental Regulations and laws governing civil liability for pollution: Environmental legislation in the United States merits particular mention as it is in many respects more onerous than international laws, representing a high-water mark of regulation with which ship owners and operators must comply, and of liability likely to be incurred in the event of non-compliance or an incident causing pollution. Additionally, pursuant to the federal laws, each state may enact more stringent regulations, thus subjecting ship owners to dual liability. Notably, California has adopted regulations that parallel most, if not all of the federal regulations explained below. We intend to comply with all applicable state regulations in the ports where our vessels call.

U.S. federal legislation, including notably the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, or OPA 90, establishes an extensive regulatory and liability regime for the protection and cleanup of the environment from oil spills, including bunker oil spills from drybulk vessels as well as cargo or bunker oil spills from tankers. OPA 90 affects all owners and operators whose vessels trade in the United States, its territories and possessions or whose vessels operate in United States waters, which includes the United States' territorial sea and its 200 nautical mile exclusive economic zone. Under OPA 90, vessel owners, operators and bareboat charterers are responsible parties and are jointly, severally and strictly liable (unless the spill results solely from the act or omission of a third party, an act of God or an act of war) for all containment and clean-up costs and other damages arising from discharges or substantial threats of discharges, of oil from their vessels. In addition to potential liability under OPA 90 as the relevant federal legislation, vessel owners

may in some instances incur liability on an even more stringent basis under state law in the particular state where the spillage occurred. For example, California regulates oil spills pursuant to California Government Code section 8670 et seq. These regulations prohibit the discharge of oil, require an oil contingency plan be filed with the state, require that the ship owner contract with an oil response organization and require a valid certificate of financial responsibility, all prior to the vessel entering state waters.

Title VII of the Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation Act of 2004, or the CGMTA, amended OPA 90 to require the owner or operator of any non-tank vessel of 400 gross tons or more that carries oil of any kind as a fuel for main propulsion, including bunkers, to prepare and submit a response plan for each vessel on or before August 8, 2005. Prior to this amendment, these provisions of OPA 90 applied only to vessels that carry oil in bulk as cargo. However, before the federal requirements took effect, many of the individual states had previously adopted requirements for response plans for both non-tank and vessels. The vessel response plans must include detailed information on actions to be taken by vessel personnel to prevent or mitigate any discharge or substantial threat of such a discharge of ore from the vessel due to operational activities or casualties. OPA 90 had historically limited liability of responsible parties to the greater of \$600 per gross ton or \$0.5 million per containership that is over 300 gross tons (subject to possible adjustment for inflation). Amendments to OPA 90 which came into effect on July 11, 2006 increased the liability limits for responsible parties for any vessel other than a tank vessel to \$950 per gross ton or \$0.8 million, whichever is greater. For tank vessels the limit depends on the size and construction of the vessel, and can be up to \$3,000 per gross ton or \$22 million, whichever is greater.

Table of Contents

These limits of liability do not apply if an incident was directly caused by violation of applicable United States federal safety, construction or operating regulations or by a responsible party's gross negligence or willful misconduct, or if the responsible party fails or refuses to report the incident or to cooperate and assist in connection with oil removal activities. In addition, liability under some state laws do not include any limits, and thus, while limitation may be available under federal law, liability under state law is considered unlimited forcing a vessel owner or operator to first pay under state law and then possibly seek reimbursement from the federal government under the limitation provisions of OPA 90.

In addition, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, or CERCLA, which applies to the discharge of hazardous substances (other than oil) whether on land or at sea, contains a similar liability regime and provides for cleanup, removal and natural resource damages. Liability under CERCLA is limited to the greater of \$300 per gross ton or \$0.5 million for vessels not carrying hazardous substances as cargo or residue, unless the incident is caused by gross negligence, willful misconduct, or a violation of certain regulations, in which case liability is unlimited.

We currently maintain, for each of our owned vessels, insurance coverage against pollution liability risks in the amount of \$1.0 billion per incident. The insured risks include penalties and fines as well as civil liabilities and expenses resulting from accidental pollution. However, this insurance coverage is subject to exclusions, deductibles and other terms and conditions. If any liabilities or expenses fall within an exclusion from coverage, or if damages from a catastrophic incident exceed the \$1.0 billion limitation of coverage per incident, our cash flow, profitability and financial position could be adversely impacted.

OPA 90 requires owners and operators of all vessels over 300 gross tons, even those that do not carry petroleum or hazardous substances as cargo, to establish and maintain with the U.S. Coast Guard evidence of financial responsibility sufficient to meet their potential liabilities under OPA 90. The U.S. Coast Guard has implemented regulations requiring evidence of financial responsibility in the amount of \$900 per gross ton, which includes the OPA 90 limitation on liability of \$600 per gross ton and the CERCLA liability limit of \$300 per gross ton for vessels not carrying hazardous substances as cargo or residue. Under the regulations, vessel owners and operators may evidence their financial responsibility by showing proof of insurance, surety bond, self-insurance or guaranty. On February 6, 2008, the U.S. Coast Guard proposed amendments to the financial responsibility regulations to increase the required amount of such COFRs to \$1,250 per gross ton to reflect the 2006 increases in limits on OPA 90 liability. The increased amounts will become effective 90 days after the proposed regulations are finalized. We believe our insurance coverage as described above meets the requirements of OPA 90.

Under OPA 90, an owner or operator of a fleet of vessels is required only to demonstrate evidence of financial responsibility in an amount sufficient to cover the vessel in the fleet having the greatest maximum liability under OPA 90. Under the self-insurance provisions, the ship owner or operator must have a net worth and working capital, measured in assets located in the United States against liabilities located anywhere in the world, that exceeds the applicable amount of financial responsibility. We have complied with the U.S. Coast Guard regulations by providing a certificate of responsibility from third party entities that are acceptable to the U.S. Coast Guard evidencing sufficient self-insurance.

The U.S. Coast Guard's regulations concerning certificates of financial responsibility provide, in accordance with OPA 90, that claimants may bring suit directly against an insurer or guarantor that furnishes certificates of financial responsibility. In the event that such insurer or guarantor is sued directly, it is prohibited from asserting any contractual defense that it may have had against the responsible party and is limited to asserting those defenses available to the responsible party and the defense that the incident was caused by the willful misconduct of the responsible party. Certain organizations which had typically provided certificates of financial responsibility under pre-OPA 90 laws, including the major protection and indemnity organizations, have declined to furnish evidence of insurance for vessel owners and operators if they are subject to direct actions or required to waive insurance policy defenses. This requirement may have the effect of limiting the availability of the type of coverage required by the Coast Guard and could increase our costs of obtaining this insurance as well as the costs of our competitors that also require such coverage. In addition to these liabilities, the vessel owner or operator may incur the costs of response and clean-up, as well as damages to natural resources.

The United States Clean Water Act prohibits the discharge of oil or hazardous substances in U.S. navigable waters and imposes strict liability in the form of penalties for unauthorized discharges. The Clean Water Act also imposes substantial liability for the costs of removal, remediation and damages, and complements the remedies available under CERCLA. Pursuant to regulations promulgated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA), in the early 1970s, the discharge of sewage and effluent from properly functioning marine engines was exempted from the permit requirements of the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System. This exemption allowed vessels in U.S. ports to discharge certain substances, including ballast water, without obtaining a permit to do so. However, on March 30, 2005, a U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California granted summary judgment to certain environmental groups and U.S. states that had challenged the EPA regulations, arguing that the EPA exceeded its authority in promulgating them. On September 18, 2006, the U.S. District Court issued an order invalidating the exemption in the EPA's regulations for all discharges incidental to the normal operation of a vessel and directing the EPA to develop a system for regulating all discharges from vessels by that date.

To comply with this court mandate, EPA issued a final vessel general permit (VGP), that establishes effluent discharge limits for 28 different vessel discharges. We are required to comply with the terms of the permit, including the state specific conditions imposed by the individual states in certifying the permit. In addition, we will be required to file a notice of intent to continue operations under the VGP, or file for an individual permit. We are required to install the necessary controls to meet these limitations and/or otherwise restrict our vessel traffic in U.S. waters. The installation, operation and upkeep of these systems increase

Table of Contents

our costs of operating in the United States and other jurisdictions where similar requirements might be adopted. In addition, states have enacted legislation or regulations to address invasive species through ballast water and hull cleaning management and permitting requirements.

The Federal Clean Air Act (CAA), requires the EPA to promulgate standards applicable to emissions of volatile organic compounds and other air contaminants. Our vessels are subject to CAA vapor control and recovery standards for cleaning fuel tanks and conducting other operations in regulated port areas and emissions standards for so-called

Category 3 marine diesel engines operating in U.S. waters. The marine diesel engine emission standards are currently limited to new engines beginning with the 2004 model year. The EPA recently adopted new final emission standards under the Clean Air Act for Category 3 marine diesel engines installed on U.S.-flagged vessels. The final engine standards are equivalent to those adopted in the amendments to Annex VI to the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships. The EPA has also been required pursuant to litigation, to regulate greenhouse gas emissions. EPA has just begun the process and recently published the mandatory greenhouse gas reporting regulation. While these regulations currently require engine manufacturers to report engine emissions, EPA has made it clear that they intend to continue gathering information on whether it should require engine operators to also report. EPA may also adopt regulations near-term, to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases. These regulations would add to the operational and control equipment costs incurred in complying with criteria pollutant regulations.

The last few years have seen an increase in air pollution regulations by U.S. state and local authorities applying to the shipping industry. California, in particular, has adopted regulations requiring the use of Shoreside power for shipping fleets, banning incineration within local waters, requiring the use of low sulfur fuels, and proposals to reduce vessel speeds. These regulations impose standards and monitoring requirements on vessel owners and operators. These regulations require expenditures to add controls or operating methods as well as liabilities for noncompliance.

As noted above, in the United States, the California Attorney General and a coalition of environmental groups petitioned the EPA in October 2007 to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from ocean-going ships under the Clean Air Act. Any passage of climate control legislation or other regulatory initiatives by the IMO, European Union, or individual countries where we operate, including the U.S., that restrict emissions of greenhouse gases from vessels could require us to make significant financial expenditures, the amount of which we cannot predict with certainty at this time.

Security Regulations: Since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, there have been a variety of initiatives implemented to enhance vessel security. On November 25, 2002, MTSA came into effect. To implement certain portions of the MTSA, in July 2003, the United States Coast Guard issued regulations requiring the implementation of certain security requirements aboard vessels operating in waters subject to the jurisdiction of the United States. Similarly, in December 2002, amendments to SOLAS created a new chapter of the convention dealing specifically with maritime security. The new chapter went into effect on July 1, 2004, and imposes various detailed security obligations on vessels and port authorities, most of which are contained in the newly created ISPS Code. Among the various requirements are:

- on-board installation of automatic information systems to enhance vessel-to-vessel and vessel-to-shore communications;

- on-board installation of ship security alert systems;

- the development of vessel security plans; and

- compliance with flag state security certification requirements.

The U.S. Coast Guard regulations, intended to be aligned with international maritime security standards, exempt non-U.S. vessels from MTSA vessel security measures provided such vessels have on board, by July 1, 2004, a valid ISSC Certificate that attests to the vessel's compliance with SOLAS security requirements and the ISPS Code. The vessels in our initial fleet have on board valid ISSC Certificates and, therefore, are exempt from obtaining U.S. Coast Guard-approved MTSA security plans.

International laws governing civil liability to pay compensation or damages:

In 2001, the IMO adopted the International Convention on Civil Liability for Bunker Oil Pollution Damage, or the Bunker Convention, which imposes strict liability on ship owners for pollution damage in jurisdictional waters of ratifying states caused by discharges of bunker oil. The Bunker Convention defines bunker oil as any hydrocarbon mineral oil, including lubricating oil, used or intended to be used for the operation or propulsion of the ship, and any residues of such oil. The Bunker Convention also requires registered owners of ships over a certain size to maintain insurance for pollution damage in an amount equal to the limits of liability under the applicable national or international limitation regime (but not exceeding the amount calculated in accordance with the Convention on Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims of 1976, as amended). The Bunker Convention became effective on November 21, 2008, and by early 2010 was in effect in 47 states. In other jurisdictions, liability for spills or releases of oil from ships' bunkers continues to be determined by the national or other domestic laws in the jurisdiction where the events or damages occur.

Apart from the drybulk vessels in our fleet, Navios Logistics acquires and operates tankers, which in certain circumstances may be subject to national and international laws governing pollution from such vessels. When a tanker is carrying a cargo of

Table of Contents

persistent oil as defined by the Civil Liability Convention 1992 (CLC) her owner bears strict liability for any pollution damage caused in a contracting state by an escape or discharge from her cargo or from her bunker tanks. This liability is subject to a financial limit calculated by reference to the tonnage of the ship, and the right to limit liability may be lost if the spill is caused by the shipowner's intentional or reckless conduct. Liability may also be incurred under CLC for a bunker spill from the vessel even when she is not carrying such a cargo, but is in ballast.

When a tanker is carrying clean oil products which do not constitute persistent oil for the purposes of CLC, liability for any pollution damage will generally fall outside the Convention and will depend on national or other domestic laws in the jurisdiction where the spillage occurs. The same applies to any pollution from the vessel in a jurisdiction which is not a party to the Convention. The Convention applies in over 100 states around the world, but it does not apply in the United States of America, where the corresponding liability laws are noted for being particularly stringent.

Outside the United States, national laws generally provide for the owner to bear strict liability for pollution, subject to a right to limit liability under applicable national or international regimes for limitation of liability. The most widely applicable international regime limiting maritime pollution liability is the 1976 Convention. Rights to limit liability under the 1976 Convention are forfeited where a spill is caused by a ship-owners intentional or reckless conduct. Some states have ratified the 1996 Protocol to the 1976 Convention, which provides for liability limits substantially higher than those set forth in the 1976 Convention to apply in such states. Finally, some jurisdictions are not a party to either the 1976 Convention or the 1996 Protocol, and, therefore, ship-owners' rights to limit liability for maritime pollution in such jurisdictions may be uncertain.

Inspection by Classification Societies: Every sea-going vessel must be classed by a classification society. The classification society certifies that the vessel is in class, signifying that the vessel has been built and maintained in accordance with the rules of the classification society and complies with applicable rules and regulations of the vessel's country of registry and the international conventions of which that country is a member. In addition, where surveys are required by international conventions and corresponding laws and ordinances of a flag state, the classification society will undertake them on application or by official order, acting on behalf of the authorities concerned.

The classification society also undertakes, on request, other surveys and checks that are required by regulations and requirements of the flag state. These surveys are subject to agreements made in each individual case or to the regulations of the country concerned. For maintenance of the class, regular and extraordinary surveys of hull, machinery (including the electrical plant) and any special equipment classed are required to be performed as follows:

Annual Surveys: For sea-going ships, annual surveys are conducted for the hull and the machinery (including the electrical plant) and, where applicable, for special equipment classed, at intervals of 12 months from the date of commencement of the class period indicated in the certificate.

Intermediate Surveys: Extended annual surveys are referred to as intermediate surveys and typically are conducted two and one-half years after commissioning and each class renewal. Intermediate surveys may be carried out on the occasion of the second or third annual survey.

Class Renewal Surveys: Class renewal surveys, also known as special surveys, are carried out for the ship's hull, machinery (including the electrical plant), and for any special equipment classed, at the intervals indicated by the character of classification for the hull. At the special survey, the vessel is thoroughly examined, including audio-gauging, to determine the thickness of its steel structure. Should the thickness be found to be less than class requirements, the classification society would prescribe steel renewals. The classification society may grant a one-year grace period for completion of the special survey. Substantial amounts of money may have to be spent for steel renewals to pass a special survey if the vessel experiences excessive wear and tear. In lieu of the special survey every four or five years, depending on whether a grace period was granted, a ship owner has the option of arranging with the classification society for the vessel's integrated hull or machinery to be on a continuous survey cycle, in which every part of the vessel would be surveyed within a five-year cycle.

Risk of Loss and Liability Insurance

General: The operation of any cargo vessel includes risks such as mechanical failure, physical damage, collision, property loss, cargo loss or damage, business interruption due to political circumstances in foreign countries, hostilities, and labor strikes. In addition, there is always an inherent possibility of marine disaster, including oil spills and other environmental mishaps, and the liabilities arising from owning and operating vessels in international trade. OPA 90, which imposes virtually unlimited liability upon owners, operators and demise charterers of any vessel trading in the United States exclusive economic zone for certain oil pollution accidents in the United States, has made liability insurance more expensive for ship owners and operators trading in the U.S. market. While we believe that our present insurance coverage is adequate, not all risks can be insured against, and there can be no guarantee that any specific claim will be paid, or that we will always be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates.

Hull and Machinery and War Risk Insurances: We have marine hull and machinery and war risk insurance, which include coverage of the risk of actual or constructive total loss, for all of our owned vessels. Each of the owned vessels is covered up to at least

Table of Contents

fair market value, with a deductible of \$0.1 million per Panamax, \$0.2 million per Capesize and \$0.8 million per Ultra-Handymax vessel for the hull and machinery insurance. There are no deductibles for the war risk insurance. We have also arranged increased value insurance on our owned vessels. Under the increased value insurance, in case of total loss of the vessel, we will be able to recover the sum insured under the increased value policy in addition to the sum insured under the hull and machinery policy. Increased value insurance also covers excess liabilities that are not recoverable in full by the hull and machinery policies by reason of under-insurance.

Protection and Indemnity Insurance: Protection and indemnity insurance is provided by mutual protection and indemnity associations, or P&I Associations. This insurance covers third-party liabilities in connection with its shipping activities. This includes third party liability and other related expenses for injury or death of crew, passengers and other third parties, loss of or damage to cargo, claims arising from collisions with other vessels, damage to other third-party property, pollution arising from oil or other substances, towing and other related costs, including wreck removal. Protection and indemnity insurance is a form of mutual indemnity insurance, extended by protection and indemnity mutual associations, or clubs. Subject to the capping discussed below for pollution, our coverage is \$5.4 billion per incident. Our current protection and indemnity insurance coverage for each owned vessel for pollution is \$1.0 billion per vessel per incident. The 13 P&I Associations that comprise the International Group insure approximately 90% of the world's commercial tonnage and have entered into a pooling agreement to reinsure each association's liabilities. As a member of a P&I Association, which is a member of the International Group, we are subject to calls payable to the associations based on our claim records as well as the claim records of all other members of the individual associations, and members of the pool of P&I Associations comprising the International Group.

Uninsured Risks: Not all risks are insured and not all risks are insurable. The principal insurable risks which nonetheless remain uninsured across our fleet are loss of hire and strikes. Navios Holdings does not insure these risks because the costs are regarded as disproportionate. These insurances provide, subject to a deductible, a limited indemnity for hire that would not be receivable by the ship-owner for reasons set forth in the policy. Should a vessel on time charter, where the vessel is paid a fixed hire day-by-day, suffer a serious mechanical breakdown, the daily hire will no longer be payable by the charterer. The purpose of the loss of hire insurance is to secure the loss of hire during such periods. In the case of strikes insurance, if a vessel is being paid a fixed sum to perform a voyage and the ship becomes strike bound at a loading or discharging port, the insurance covers the loss of earnings during such periods.

Credit Risk Insurance: Receivables under our charter-out contracts have been insured through a AA+ rated governmental agency of a European Union member state, which provides that if the charterer goes into payment default, the insurer will reimburse us for the charter payments under the terms of the policy for the remaining term of the charter-out contract (subject to applicable deductibles and other customary limitations for such insurance).

Risk Management

Risk management in the shipping industry involves balancing a number of factors in a cyclical and potentially volatile environment. Fundamentally, the challenge is to appropriately allocate capital to competing opportunities of owning or chartering vessels. In part, this requires a view of the overall health of the market, as well as an understanding of capital costs and returns. Thus, stated simply, one may charter-in part of a fleet as opposed to owning the entire fleet to maximize risk management and economic results. This is coupled with the challenge posed by the complex logistics of ensuring that the vessels controlled by Navios Holdings are fully employed.

Navios Holdings seeks to manage risk through a number of strategies, including vessel control strategies (chartering and ownership), freight carriage and FFA trading. Navios Holdings' vessel control strategies include seeking the appropriate mix of owned vessels, long- and short term chartered-in vessels, coupled with purchase options, when available, and spot charters. Navios Holdings also enters into COAs, which gives Navios Holdings, subject to certain limitations, the flexibility to determine the means of getting a particular cargo to its destination. Navios Holdings' FFA trading strategies include taking economic hedges to manage and mitigate risk on vessels that are on-hire or coming off-hire to protect against the risk of movement in freight market rates.

Legal Proceedings

Navios Holdings is not involved in any legal proceedings that it believes will have a significant effect on its business, financial position, and results of operations or liquidity.

On November 30, 2006, we received notification that one of our FFA trading counter-parties filed for bankruptcy in Canada. Our exposure to such counter-party was estimated to be approximately \$7.7 million. While the recovery we may obtain in any liquidation proceeding cannot be presently estimated, based on management's current expectations and assumptions, we have provided for \$5.4 million in our 2006 financial statements, \$0.5 million additional provision in our 2008 financial statements and \$0.3 million in our 2009 financial statements. As of December 31, 2009, an amount of \$1.4 million has been recovered. No further information has developed since then which would change our expectations and assumptions either to increase or decrease the provision. However, we do not believe that this will have a material impact on our liquidity, or on our ability to make payments of principal and interest or otherwise service our debt.

From time to time, Navios Holdings may be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business. It is expected that these claims would be covered by insurance if they involved liabilities such as arise from a collision, other marine casualty, damage to cargoes, oil pollution, death or personal injuries to crew, subject to customary deductibles. Those claims, even if

Table of Contents

lacking merit, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources.

Crewing and Shore Employees

Navios Holdings crews its vessels primarily with Greek, Ukrainian, Georgian officers and Filipino, Georgian, Bulgarian, Polish and Ukrainian seamen. Navios Holdings fleet manager is responsible for selecting its Greek officers, who are hired by Navios Holdings vessel-owning subsidiaries. Other nationalities are referred to Navios Holdings fleet manager by local crewing agencies. Navios Holdings is also responsible for travel and payroll of the crew. The crewing agencies handle each seaman's training. Navios Holdings requires that all of its seamen have the qualifications and licenses required to comply with international regulations and shipping conventions.

Navios Logistics crews its fleet with Argentinean and Paraguayan officers and seamen. Navios Logistics fleet managers are responsible for selecting the crew.

With respect to shore-side employees, Navios Holdings employs 12 employees in its South Norwalk, Connecticut office, 95 employees in its Piraeus, Greece office, and 12 employees in its Antwerp, Belgium office. Navios Logistics employs 39 employees in its Asuncion, Paraguay offices, with 78 employees at the port facility in San Antonio, 99 office employees in the Buenos Aires, Argentina office and seven employees in its Montevideo, Uruguay office, with an additional 105 employees working at the port facility in Nueva Palmira.

Facilities

Navios Holdings and its affiliates currently lease the following properties:

Navios Corporation leases approximately 12,458 square feet of space at 20 Marshall Street, South Norwalk, CT, 06820 pursuant to a lease that expires on May 15, 2011. Navios Holdings sublets approximately 2,000 square feet of space to a third party, pursuant a sub-lease that expires on May 15, 2011.

Navios ShipManagement Inc. and Navios Corporation lease approximately 2,034 square meters of space at 85 Akti Miaouli, Piraeus, Greece, pursuant to a lease that expires in 2017.

Kleimar N.V. leases approximately 387 square meters of space at 5 Suikerui 2000 Antwerp, Belgium, pursuant to a lease that expires in April 2011.

Navios ShipManagement Inc. leases approximately 1,368 square meters of space at 85 Akti Miaouli, Piraeus, Greece, pursuant to a lease agreement that expires in 2019.

Navios Corporation leases approximately 11,923 square feet of space at 825 Third Avenue, New York, pursuant to a lease that expires on April 29, 2019. Navios Holdings sublets the 34th floor in the building known as and located at 825 3rd Avenue, New York, which premises comprise a portion of the premises under the main lease, to a third party pursuant a sub-lease that expires on April 28, 2019.

Navios Logistics and its subsidiaries currently lease the following premises:

CNSA leases the land on which it operates its port and transfer facility, located at Zona Franca, Nueva Palmira, Uruguay. This lease is between Uruguayan National Authority of Free Zones and CNSA, which expires on November 29, 2025, with an option to extend for another 20 years.

Navegacion Guarani S.A. leases approximately 640 square meters of space at Jejuí 324 corner Chile Edificio Grupo General, Asuncion, Paraguay, pursuant to a lease that expires in November 2010.

Mercofluvial S.A. leases approximately 91 square meters of space at Ayolas 451 casi Estrella, Asuncion, Paraguay, pursuant to a lease that expires in April 2010.

Mercopar S.A. leases approximately 220 square meters of space at Ygatimy 459 casi 14 de Mayo, Asuncion, Paraguay, pursuant to a lease that expires in July 2010.

Edgar Filing: Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. - Form 20-F

Compania Naviera Horamar S.A. leases approximately 70 square meters at Dorrego 21 bis 7° A street in the locality of Rosario, Argentina pursuant to a lease agreement that expires in September 2010.

Compania Naviera Horamar S.A. leases approximately 450 square meters at Cepeda 429 street, San Nicolas, Buenos Aires Argentina pursuant to a lease agreement that expires in November 2011.

Table of Contents

Hidronave S.A. leases approximately 195 square meters at Av. General Rondon 1473, Corumba, Brazil of pursuant to a lease agreement that expires in March 2013.

CNSA owns premises in Montevideo, Uruguay. This space is approximately 112 square meters and is located at Juan Carlos Gomez 1445, Oficina 701, Montevideo 1100, Uruguay.

Petrolera San Antonio S.A. owns the premises from which it operates in San Antonio, Paraguay. This space is approximately 146,744 square meters large and is located between Avenida San Antonio and Virgen de Caacupé, San Antonio, Paraguay.

Compania Naviera Horamar S.A. owns two storehouses located at 880 Calle California, Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina and at 791/795 Calle General Daniel Cerri, Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina of approximately 259 and 825 square meters, respectively.

Compania Naviera Horamar S.A. owns the premises from which it operates in Buenos Aires, Argentina. This space is approximately 2,300 square meters and is located in 846 Avenida Santa Fe, Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina.

C. Organizational structure

Navios Holdings maintains offices in Piraeus, Greece, South Norwalk, Connecticut and Antwerp, Belgium. Navios Holdings corporate structure is functionally organized: commercial ship management and risk management are conducted through Navios Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, while the operation and technical management of Navios Holdings owned vessels are conducted through Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, except for one of Kleimar's initial owned vessels whose management is outsourced. Navios Logistics maintains offices in Buenos Aires, Argentina, Asuncion, Paraguay and Montevideo, Uruguay and Corumba, Brazil. Navios Logistics conducts the commercial and technical management of its vessels, barges and push boats through its wholly-owned subsidiaries. Navios Logistics also owns the Nueva Palmira port and transfer facility indirectly through its Uruguayan subsidiary, CNSA, and the San Antonio port facility through its Paraguayan subsidiary, Petrolera San Antonio S.A.

All of Navios Holdings subsidiaries are wholly-owned, except for Navios Logistics and its subsidiaries, Navios Partners and its subsidiaries, Navios Acquisition and Acropolis Chartering & Shipping Inc. (Acropolis). Navios Holdings owns: (a) 63.8% (65.5% excluding the 504 shares kept in escrow at December 31, 2009) of Navios Logistics following the acquisition of Horamar and the partial sale of CNSA in January 2008, (b) 33.2% of Navios Partners following Navios Partners public offering of common units in February 2010, and (c) 19% of Navios Acquisition following its IPO in July 2008. Acropolis is a charter broker that acts on behalf of Navios Holdings and third parties and of which Navios Holdings owns 50% of the outstanding equity. The disclosures below include our interests in the publicly traded limited partnership, Navios Maritime Partners L.P. The chart below sets forth Navios Holdings current corporate structure following the acquisition and reincorporation (all corporations are domiciled in the Republic of the Marshall Islands except for Acropolis, Shikhar Ventures S.A. and Sizzling Ventures Inc., which are Liberian corporations, Hestia Shipping Ltd and Nav Holdings Limited, which are Maltese corporations, Kleimar NV, which is a Belgian corporation, Bulkinvest S.A., which is a Luxembourg corporation, White Narcissus Marine S.A., which is a Panamanian corporation, and Navios Logistics subsidiaries which are incorporated in Uruguay, Argentina, Paraguay, Brazil and Panama):

Subsidiaries included in the consolidation:

Company Name	Nature / Vessel Name	Effective Ownership Interest	Country of Incorporation	Statement of operations					
				2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	2007
Navios Maritime Holdings Inc.	Holding Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Navios Corporation	Sub-Holding Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Navios International Inc.	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Navimax Corporation	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Navios Handybulk Inc.	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31

Edgar Filing: Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. - Form 20-F

Hestia Shipping Ltd.	Operating Company	100%	Malta	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Anemos Maritime Holdings Inc.	Sub-Holding Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Navios ShipManagement Inc.	Management Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
NAV Holdings Limited	Sub-Holding Company	100%	Malta	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	2/2-12/31	
	Operating Company/Vessel	100%	Belgium	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	2/2-12/31	
Kleimar N.V.	Owning Company								
Kleimar Ltd.	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	9/13-12/31	
Bulkinvest S.A.	Operating Company	100%	Luxembourg	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	2/2-12/31	
Navios Maritime Acquisition Corporation	Sub-Holding Company	100%	Marshall Is.			3/14	6/30		
Primavera Shipping Corporation	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	10/15	12/31		

Table of Contents

Company Name	Nature / Vessel Name	Effective Ownership Interest	Country of Incorporation	Statement of operations						
				2009	2008	2007		2007	2007	
Ginger Services Co.	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	12/22				
Astra Maritime Corporation	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	10/15	12/31			
Achilles Shipping Corporation	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	
Apollon Shipping Corporation	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	
Herakles Shipping Corporation	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	
Hios Shipping Corporation	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	
Ionian Shipping Corporation	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	
Kypros Shipping Corporation	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	
Meridian Shipping Enterprises Inc.	Navios Meridian	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	
Libra Shipping Enterprises Corporation	Navios Libra II	100%	Marshall Is.							1/1-11/15
Alegria Shipping Corporation	Navios Alegria	100%	Marshall Is.							1/1-11/15
Felicity Shipping Corporation	Navios Felicity	100%	Marshall Is.							1/1-11/15
Gemini Shipping Corporation	Navios Gemini S	100%	Marshall Is.							1/1-11/15
Mercator Shipping Corporation	Navios Mercator	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	
Arc Shipping Corporation	Navios Arc	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	
Galaxy Shipping Corporation	Navios Galaxy I	100%	Marshall Is.							1/1-11/15
Horizon Shipping Enterprises Corporation	Navios Horizon	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	
Magellan Shipping Corporation	Navios Magellan	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	
Aegean Shipping Corporation	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	
Star Maritime Enterprises Corporation	Navios Star	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	
Aurora Shipping Enterprises Ltd.	Navios Hope (ex Navios Aurora I)	100%	Marshall Is.			1/21	6/30			
	Navios Ulysses	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	6/11				
Corsair Shipping Ltd.						12/31				
Rowboat Marine Inc.	Navios Vega	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31					

Edgar Filing: Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. - Form 20-F

						6/11			
						12/31			
Hyperion Enterprises Inc.	Navios Hyperion	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	2/26-12/31	
Beaufiks Shipping Corporation	Vessel Owning Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31		6/19		
Sagittarius Shipping Corporation	Vessel Owning Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	6/10	3/6	12/31		
Nostos Shipmanagement Corp.	Navios Bonavis	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	7/4-12/31	
Portorosa Marine Corporation	Navios Happiness	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	7/4-12/31	
	Navios Stellar	100%	Liberia	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	12/12	
Shikhar Ventures S.A	Operating Company	100%	Liberia	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	12/12	
Sizzling Ventures Inc.	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	12/12	
Rheia Associates Co.	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	12/12	
Taharqa Spirit Corp.	Vessel Owning Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	12/10	
Rumer Holding Ltd.(i)	Navios Aurora II	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	12/10	
Chilali Corp.								12/31	
Pharos Navigation S.A.(i)	Vessel Owning Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	12/11	
Pueblo Holdings Ltd.	Navios Lumen	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	8/8	12/31		
Surf Maritime Co.	Navios Pollux	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	8/8	12/31		
Quena Shipmanagement Inc.	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31		7/29		
							12/31		
Orbiter Shipping Corp.	Navios Orbiter	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	9/13	12/31
	Vessel Owning Company	100%	Marshall Is.		12/14-12/31				
Aramis Navigation									
White Narcissus Marine S.A.	Navios Asteriks	100%	Panama	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	4/19	12/31
Navios G.P. L.L.C.	Operating Company	100%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	8/7-12/31	

Table of Contents

Company Name	Nature / Vessel Name	Effective Ownership Interest	Country of Incorporation	Statement of operations		
				2009	2008	2007
Navios South American Logistics Inc.	Sub-Holding Company	100%	Marshall Is.			12/17-12/31
Navios Maritime Partners L.P.	Sub-Holding Company	100%	Marshall Is.			8/7-11/15
Prosperity Shipping Corporation	Navios Prosperity	100%	Marshall Is.			10/8-11/15
Aldebaran Shipping Corporation	Navios Aldebaran	100%	Marshall Is.			10/8-11/15
Fantastiks Shipping Corporation	Navios Fantastiks	100%	Marshall Is.			10/23-11/15
Pandora Marine Inc. (i)	Vessel Owning Company	100%	Marshall Is.	6/11-12/31		
Floral Marine Ltd. (i)	Vessel Owning Company	100%	Marshall Is.	6/11-12/31		
Red Rose Shipping Corp. (i)	Vessel Owning Company	100%	Marshall Is.	6/11-12/31		
Customized Development S.A.(i)	Vessel Owning Company	100%	Liberia	6/22-12/31		
Highbird Management Inc.	Navios Celestial	100%	Marshall Is.	7/14-12/31		
Ducale Marine Inc. (i)	Vessel Owning Company	100%	Marshall Is.	6/22-12/31		
Kohylia Shipmanagement S.A. (i)	Vessel Owning Company	100%	Marshall Is.	7/14-12/31		
Corporacion Navios S.A.	Operating Company	100%	Uruguay			1/1-12/31
Navios Maritime Finance (US) Inc.	Operating Company	100%	Delaware	10/20-12/31		

Navios South American Logistics and Subsidiaries:

Company Name	Nature / Vessel Name	Effective Ownership Interest	Country of Incorporation	Statement of operations			
				2009	2008	2007	
Navios South American Logistics Inc.	Sub-Holding Company	65.48%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Corporacion Navios SA (v)	Operating Company	65.48%	Uruguay	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Nauticler SA	Sub-Holding Company	65.48%	Uruguay	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Compania Naviera Horamar SA	Operating Company	65.48%	Argentina	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Compania de Transporte Fluvial Int SA	Operating Company	65.48%	Uruguay	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Ponte Rio SA	Operating Company	65.48%	Uruguay	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Thalassa Energy SA		40.93%	Argentina	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31

Barge Owning Company							
HS Tankers Inc.	Makenita H	33.39%	Panama	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
HS Navegation Inc.	Estefania H	33.39%	Panama	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
HS Shipping Ltd Inc.	Malva H	40.93%	Panama	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
	Vessel Owning	40.93%	Panama	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
HS South Inc. (ii)	Company						
Mercopar Internacional S.A.(iii)	Holding Company	65.48%	Uruguay	1/1-12/9		1/1	12/31
Nagusa Internacional S.A. (iii)	Holding Company	65.48%	Uruguay	1/1-12/9		1/1	12/31
Hidrovia OSR Internacional S.A. (iii)	Holding Company	65.48%	Uruguay	1/1-12/9		1/1	12/31
Petrovia Internacional S.A.	Holding Company	65.48%	Uruguay	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Mercopar S.A.	Shipping Company	65.48%	Paraguay	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Navigation Guarani S.A.	Shipping Company	65.48%	Paraguay	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
	Oil Spill Response & Salvage Services	65.48%	Paraguay	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Hidrovia OSR S.A.	Shipping Company	65.48%	Paraguay	1/1-1/20		1/1	12/31
Petrovia S.A.(iv)	Shipping Company	65.48%	Paraguay	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Mercofluvial S.A.	Shipping Company	65.48%	Paraguay	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Petrolera San Antonio S.A. (PETROSAN)	Oil Storage Plant and Dock Facilities	65.48%	Paraguay	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31
Flota Mercante Paraguaya S.A.(iv)	Shipping Company	65.48%	Paraguay	1/1-2/13		1/1	12/31
Compania de Transporte Fluvial S.A. (iv)	Shipping Company	65.48%	Paraguay	1/1-12/31		1/1	12/31
Hidrogas S.A. (iv)	Shipping Company	65.48%	Paraguay	1/1-1/20		1/1	12/31
	Barge and Pushboat	65.48%	Panama	1/1	12/31	4/16	
Stability Oceanways S.A.	Owning Shipping Company						12/31
	Pushboat Owning	33.39%	Brazil	1/11-12/31			
Hidronave S.A.	Company						

(i) Each company has the rights over the shipbuilding contract of a Capesize vessel.

(ii) This company has the rights over the shipbuilding contract of a tanker vessel.

Table of Contents

- (iii) These companies were sold on December 10, 2009 to independent third parties.
- (iv) These companies were merged into other Paraguayan shipping companies within the group.
- (v) This company was 100% owned in 2007.

Affiliates included in the financial statements accounted for under the equity method:

Company Name	Nature / Vessel Name	Ownership Interest (*)	Country of Incorporation	Statement of operations					
				2009		2008		2007	
Navios Maritime Partners L.P.	Sub-Holding Company	27.7%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	11/16-12/31	
Navios Maritime Operating L.L.C.	Operating Company	27.7%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	11/16-12/31	
Libra Shipping Enterprises Corporation	Navios Libra II	27.7%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	11/16-12/31	
Alegria Shipping Corporation	Navios Alegria	27.7%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	11/16-12/31	
Felicity Shipping Corporation	Navios Felicity	27.7%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	11/16-12/31	
Gemini Shipping Corporation	Navios Gemini S	27.7%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	11/16-12/31	
Galaxy Shipping Corporation	Navios Galaxy I	27.7%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	11/16-12/31	
Prosperity Shipping Corporation	Navios Prosperity	27.7%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	11/16-12/31	
Fantastiks Shipping Corporation	Navios Fantastiks	27.7%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	11/16-12/31	
Aldebaran Shipping Corporation	Navios Aldebaran	27.7%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	1/1	12/31	11/16-12/31	
		27.7%	Marshall Is.	1/1	12/31	7/1	12/31		

Aurora Shipping Enterprises Ltd.	Navios Hope (ex Navios Aurora I)								
Sagittarius Shipping Corporation	Navios Sagittarius	27.7%	Marshall Is.	6/10-12/31					
Palermo Shipping S.A.	Navios Apollon	27.7%	Marshall Is.	10/29-12/31					
Acropolis Chartering & Shipping Inc.	Brokerage Company	50%	Liberia	1/1 12/31	1/1 12/31	1/1 12/31	1/1 12/31	1/1 12/31	1/1 12/31
Navios Maritime Acquisition Corporation	Sub-Holding Company	19%	Marshall Is.	1/1 12/31	7/1 12/31				

(*) Percentage does not include the ownership of 3,131,415 common units relating to the sale of Navios Hope to Navios Partners.

D. Property, plants and equipment

Our only material property is the owned vessels, tanker vessels, barges and push boats and the port terminal facilities in Paraguay and Uruguay. See Item 4.B Business Overview above.

Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

The following is a discussion of Navios Holdings' financial condition and results of operations for each of the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007. All of these financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). You should read this section together with the consolidated financial statements including the notes to those financial statements for the years mentioned above which are included in this document.

This report contains forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements are based on Navios Holdings' current expectations and observations. Included among the factors that, in our view, could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in this report are those discussed under Risk Factors and Forward-Looking Statement.

Overview

Navios Holdings is a global, vertically integrated seaborne shipping and logistics company focused on the transport and transshipment of drybulk commodities, including iron ore, coal and grain. We technically and commercially manage our owned fleet (except for one of Kleimar's initial owned vessels which is managed by an unrelated third party), and Navios Partners' fleet, and commercially manage our chartered-in fleet. Navios Holdings has in-house ship management expertise that allows it to oversee every step of technical management of the owned fleet and Navios Partners' fleet including the shipping operations throughout the life of the vessels and the superintendence of maintenance, repairs and dry-docking.

On August 25, 2005, pursuant to a Stock Purchase Agreement dated February 28, 2005, as amended, by and among International Shipping Enterprises, Inc., Navios Holdings and all the shareholders of Navios Holdings, ISE acquired Navios Holdings through the purchase of all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Navios Holdings. As a result of this acquisition, Navios

Table of Contents

Holdings became a wholly owned subsidiary of ISE. In addition, on August 25, 2005, simultaneously with the acquisition of Navios Holdings, ISE effected a reincorporation from the State of Delaware to the Republic of the Marshall Islands through a downstream merger with and into its newly acquired wholly-owned subsidiary, whose name was and continues to be Navios Maritime Holdings Inc.

On February 2, 2007, Navios Holdings acquired all of the outstanding share capital of Kleimar for a cash consideration of \$165.6 million (excluding direct acquisition costs), subject to certain adjustments. Kleimar is a Belgian maritime transportation company established in 1993. Kleimar is the owner and operator of Capesize and Panamax vessels used in the transportation of cargoes and has an extensive COA business.

On August 7, 2007, Navios Holdings formed Navios Partners under the laws of Marshall Islands. Navios G.P. L.L.C. (General Partner), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Navios Holdings, was also formed on that date to act as the general partner of Navios Partners and received a 2% general partner interest in Navios Partners.

On January 1, 2008, pursuant to a share purchase agreement, Navios Holdings contributed (a) \$112.2 million in cash and (b) the authorized capital stock of its wholly-owned subsidiary CNSA in exchange for the issuance and delivery of 12,765 shares of Navios Logistics, representing 63.8% (67.2% excluding 1,007 shares of contingent consideration) of its outstanding stock. Navios Logistics acquired all ownership interests in Horamar in exchange for (a) \$112.2 million in cash, of which \$5.0 million was placed in escrow (\$2,500 as of December 31, 2009) payable upon the attainment of certain EBITDA targets during specified periods through December 2008 (the EBITDA Adjustment) and (b) the issuance of 7,235 shares of Navios Logistics representing 36.2% (32.8% excluding 1,007 shares of contingent consideration) of Navios Logistics outstanding stock, of which 1,007 shares were placed in escrow (504 shares still kept as of December 31, 2009) pending the EBITDA Adjustment.

In November 2008, part of the contingent consideration for the acquisition of Horamar was released, as Horamar achieved the interim EBITDA target. Following the resolution of the contingency, \$2.5 million in cash and 503 shares of Navios Logistics were released to the shareholders of Horamar. Following this release, Navios Holdings owns 65.5% (excluding 504 shares that remained in escrow at December 31, 2009, pending achievement of final EBITDA target) of the outstanding common stock of Navios Logistics. In accordance with the amended share purchase agreement, the final EBITDA target may be resolved until June 30, 2010.

On July 1, 2008, Navios Holdings completed the IPO of units in its subsidiary, Navios Acquisition, a blank check company. In this offering, Navios Acquisition sold 25,300,000 units for an aggregate purchase price of \$253.0 million. Simultaneously with the completion of the IPO, Navios Holdings purchased Private Placement Warrants of Navios Acquisition for an aggregate purchase price of \$7.6 million. Prior to the IPO, Navios Holdings had purchased 8,625,000 Sponsor Units for a total consideration of \$25,000, of which an aggregate of 290,000 units were transferred to Navios Holdings officers and directors and an aggregate of 2,300,000 Sponsor Units were returned to Navios Acquisition and cancelled upon receipt. Each unit consists of one share of Navios Acquisition s common stock and one warrant. Currently, Navios Holdings owns approximately 6,035,000 shares (19%) of the outstanding common stock of Navios Acquisition. Navios Acquisition is no longer a wholly-owned subsidiary of Navios Holdings but accounted for under the equity method due to Navios Holdings significant influence over Navios Acquisition.

Chartering Policy and Industry Outlook

Navios Holdings policy has been to take a portfolio approach to managing operating risks. This policy led Navios Holdings to time charter-out to various shipping industry counterparties, considered by Navios Holdings to have appropriate credit profiles, many of the vessels that it is presently operating (i.e. vessels owned by Navios Holdings or which it has taken into its fleet under charters having a duration of more than 12 months) during 2007, 2008 and 2009 for various periods ranging between one to 12 years. By doing this, Navios Holdings aimed to lock-in, subject to credit and operating risks, favorable forward cash flows which it believes will cushion it against unfavorable market conditions. In addition, Navios Holdings actively trades additional vessels taken in on shorter term charters of less than 12 months duration as well as COAs and FFAs.

In 2007, 2008 and 2009, this policy had the effect of generating Time Charter Equivalents (TCE) that, while high by the average historical levels of the drybulk freight market over the last 30 years, were below those which could have been earned had the Navios Holdings fleet been operated purely on short term and/or spot employment. Currently, this chartering policy has had the effect of generating higher TCE than spot employment.

The average daily charter-in vessel cost for the Navios Holdings long term charter-in fleet (excluding vessels, which are utilized to fulfill COAs) was \$9,985 per day for the year ended December 31, 2009. The average long term charter-in hire rate per vessel was included in the amount of long term hire as disclosed in Note 16 to Navios Holdings audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009, included elsewhere in this document and was computed by (a) multiplying the (i) daily charter-in rate for each vessel by (ii) number of days the vessel is in operation for the year and (b) dividing such product by the total number of vessel days for the year. These rates exclude gains and losses from FFAs. Furthermore, Navios Holdings has the ability to increase its owned fleet through purchase options at favorable prices relative to the current market exercisable in the future.

Long term drybulk fundamentals remain attractive. Navios Holdings believes that Asian demand for commodities will remain robust on the back of continued economic growth. Chinese demand for natural resources for steel and energy production and food products continues to be primarily driven by urbanization and industrialization. Significant commodities purchases by Asian countries, especially China and India, combined with favorable changing trading patterns and the growth in the Chinese coastal trade should support freight rates for the foreseeable future. Additionally, new longer haul trade routes have developed that Navios Holdings

Table of Contents

anticipates should serve to stimulate ton-mile demand while port congestion continues to absorb global fleet tonnage.

Navios Holdings believes that a decrease in global commodity demand from its current level, and the delivery of drybulk carrier new buildings into the world fleet, would have an adverse impact on future revenue and profitability. However, the operating cost advantage of Navios Holdings' owned vessels and long term chartered fleet, which is chartered-in at historically favorable fixed rates, will continue to help mitigate the impact of the current decline in freight rates. The reduced freight rate environment may also have an adverse impact on the value of Navios Holdings' owned fleet and any purchase options that are in the money. In reaction to a decline in freight rates, available ship financing is also negatively impacted. Refer also to Item 3.D Risk Factors under section Risks Associated with the Shipping Industry and Our Operations.

Navios Holdings also owns 63.8% (65.5% excluding 504 shares still kept in escrow at December 31, 2009, pending the achievement of the final EBITDA target) of Navios Logistics. Navios Logistics owns and operates vessels, barges and push boats located mainly in Argentina, the largest bulk transfer and storage port facility in Uruguay, and an upriver liquid port facility located in Paraguay. Operating results for Navios Logistics are highly correlated to South American (i) grain production and export, in particular Argentinean, Brazilian, Paraguayan, Uruguayan and Bolivian production and export, (ii) iron ore production and export, mainly from Brazil, and (iii) sales (and logistic services) of petroleum products in the Paraguayan market. Navios Holdings believes that the continuing development of these businesses will foster throughput growth and therefore increase revenues at Navios Logistics. Should this development be delayed, grain harvests reduced, or the market experience an overall decrease in the demand for grain or iron ore, the operations in Navios Logistics would be adversely affected.

Fleet Development

The following is the current core fleet employment profile (excluding Navios Logistics), including the newbuilds to be delivered. The current core fleet consists of 60 vessels totaling 6.6 million deadweight tons. The employment profile of the fleet as of March 2, 2009 is reflected in the tables below. The 43 vessels in current operation aggregate approximately 4.3 million deadweight tons and have an average age of 4.4 years. Navios Holdings has currently fixed 89.4%, 65.9% and 57.0% of its 2010, 2011 and 2012 available days, respectively, of its fleet (excluding vessels, which are utilized to fulfill COAs), representing contracted fees (net of commissions), based on contracted charter rates from our current charter agreement of \$303.1 million, \$300.3 million and \$284.6 million, respectively. Although these fees are based on contractual charter rates, any contract is subject to performance by the counterparties and us. Additionally, the level of these fees would decrease depending on the vessels' off-hire days to perform periodic maintenance. The average contractual daily charter-out rate for the core fleet (excluding vessels, which are utilized to fulfill COAs) is \$28,313, \$32,913 and \$34,118 for 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively. The average daily charter-in rate for the active long term charter-in vessels (excluding vessels, which are utilized to fulfill COAs) for 2010 is \$10,079.

Owned Vessels

Vessels ⁽¹⁾	Type	Built	DWT	Charter-out Rate ⁽²⁾	Expiration Date ⁽³⁾
Navios Ionian	Ultra Handymax	2000	52,067	11,970	04/07/2011
Navios Celestial	Ultra Handymax	2009	58,063	17,550	01/24/2012
Navios Vector	Ultra Handymax	2002	50,296	9,975	10/17/2010
Navios Horizon	Ultra Handymax	2001	50,346	36,100	08/24/2011
Navios Herakles	Ultra Handymax	2001	52,061	11,400	03/30/2010
Navios Achilles	Ultra Handymax	2001	52,063	26,864	11/17/2013

				13,609	12/17/2013
	Ultra				
Navios Meridian	Handymax	2002	50,316	23,700	10/08/2012
	Ultra				
Navios Mercator	Handymax	2002	53,553	22,800	08/01/2011
				31,350	02/20/2015
	Ultra				
Navios Arc	Handymax	2003	53,514	10,450	02/26/2011
	Ultra				
Navios Hios	Handymax	2003	55,180	12,588	06/19/2010
	Ultra				
Navios Kypros	Handymax	2003	55,222	24,063	03/31/2010
				34,024	01/28/2011
				20,685	01/28/2014
	Ultra				
Navios Ulysses	Handymax	2007	55,728	31,281	10/12/2013
	Ultra				
Navios Vega	Handymax	2009	58,792	12,350	02/18/2011
Navios Magellan	Panamax	2000	74,333	21,850	03/06/2010
Navios Star	Panamax	2002	76,662	19,000	12/05/2010
Asteriks	Panamax	2005	76,801	20,900	11/21/2010
Navios Orbiter	Panamax	2004	76,602	38,052	04/01/2014
Navios Pollux	Capesize	2009	180,727	42,250	07/24/2019
Navios Bonavis	Capesize	2009	180,022	47,400	06/29/2014
Navios Happiness	Capesize	2009	180,022	55,100	07/23/2014
Navios Lumen	Capesize	2009	186,661	37,500	12/10/2011
				39,830	12/10/2013
				39,330 ⁽⁶⁾	12/09/2017
Navios Aurora II	Capesize	2009	169,031	41,325	11/24/2019
Navios Stellar	Capesize	2009	169,001	35,874 ⁽⁸⁾	12/22/2016
Navios Phoenix	Capesize	2009	180,242	36,575	12/20/2010
Navios Antares	Capesize	2010	169,059	38,000	01/19/2015
				47,500 ⁽⁷⁾	01/19/2018
Vanessa	Handysize	2002	19,078	11,525	08/05/2010

Table of Contents**Long Term Chartered-in Vessels**

Vessels	Type	Built	DWT	Purchase Option (4)	Charter-out Rate (2)	Expiration Date (3)
	Ultra					
Navios Astra	Handymax	2006	53,468	Yes	14,012	10/15/2010
	Ultra					
Navios Primavera	Handymax	2007	53,464	Yes	20,046	05/09/2010
	Ultra					
Navios Armonia	Handymax	2008	55,100	No	23,700	06/07/2013
Navios Cielo	Panamax	2003	75,834	No	14,773	06/12/2010
Navios Orion	Panamax	2005	76,602	No	49,400	12/14/2012
Navios Titan	Panamax	2005	82,936	No	27,100	11/24/2010
Navios Altair	Panamax	2006	83,001	No	19,238	10/24/2010
Navios Esperanza	Panamax	2007	75,200	No	14,513	02/19/2013
Torm Antwerp	Panamax	2008	75,250	No		
Belisland (Kerveros)	Panamax	2003	76,602	No		
Golden Heiwa	Panamax	2007	76,662	No		
SA Fortius	Capesize	2001	171,595	No		
C. Utopia	Capesize	2007	174,000	No		
Beaufiks	Capesize	2004	180,181	Yes		
Phoenix Beauty	Capesize	2010	169,150	No		
Rubena N	Capesize	2006	203,233	No		
SC Lotta (Phoenix Grace)	Capesize	2009	170,500	No		

Vessels to be Delivered**Long Term Chartered-in**

Vessels	Type	Delivery Date	Purchase Option	DWT
Navios TBN	Handysize	02/2011	Yes ⁽⁵⁾	35,000
Navios TBN	Handysize	04/2011	Yes ⁽⁵⁾	35,000
Navios TBN	Capesize	09/2011	Yes	180,200
Kleimar TBN	Capesize	04/2010	No	176,800
Kleimar TBN	Capesize	07/2012	Yes	180,000
Navios TBN	Capesize	06/2013	Yes	180,000
Navios TBN	Ultra Handymax	03/2012	Yes	61,000
Navios TBN	Ultra Handymax	08/2013	Yes	61,000
Navios TBN	Panamax	01/2013	Yes	82,100
Navios TBN	Panamax	09/2011	Yes	80,000

Table of Contents**Owned Vessels**

Vessels	Type	Delivery Date	DWT	Charter- out Rate ⁽¹⁾	Expiration Date ⁽²⁾
Navios Melodia	Capesize	07/2010	180,000	29,356	07/2022
Navios Fulvia	Capesize	08/2010	180,000	50,588	08/2015
Navios Buena Ventura	Capesize	09/2010	180,000	29,356	09/2020
Navios Luz	Capesize	10/2010	180,000	29,356	10/2020
Navios Etoile	Capesize	10/2010	180,000	29,356	10/2020
Navios TBN	Capesize	03/2011	180,000	27,431	03/2023
Navios Bonheur	Capesize	11/2010	180,000	29,356	11/2022

(1) On January 8, 2010 and on October 29, 2009, Navios Holdings sold the Navios Hyperion and the Navios Apollon, respectively, to Navios Partners.

(2) Net Time Charter-out Rate per day (net of commissions).

(3) Estimated dates assuming midpoint of redelivery by charterers.

(4) Generally, Navios Holdings may exercise its purchase option after three to five years of service.

(5) Navios Holdings holds the initial 50% purchase option on each vessel.

(6) The net daily charter out rate is \$37,500 for years 1 and 2, \$39,830 for years 3 and 4, \$39,330 for years 5,6,7 plus option (Navios) year 8. Optional year included in the exhibit above. Profit sharing is 100% to Navios until the net daily rate of \$44,850 and 50%/50% thereafter.

(7) The net daily charter out rate is \$38,000 until expiration of five-year charter; \$47,500 net daily rate thereafter for three one-year (Navios) options. Optional years included in the exhibit above. Profit sharing is 60% (Navios) / 40% (charterer) above \$40,000 gross for years 1 and 2; 65% (Navios) / 35% (charterer) for years 3,4 and 5 above \$40,000 gross and 50%/50% above \$50,000 gross for the three one-year (Navios) options.

(8) Amount represents daily rate of insurance

proceeds
following the
default of the
original charterer.

Recent Developments

Vessel Acquisitions

On November 25, 2009, Navios Aurora II, a 2009-built, 169,031 dwt, Capesize was delivered to Navios Holdings. The vessel's acquisition price was approximately \$110.7 million of which \$102.2 million was paid in cash. The remaining amount was funded through the issuance of 1,702 shares of mandatorily convertible preferred stock (Preferred Stock).

Navios Lumen with a capacity of 180,912 dwt, was delivered on December 10, 2009 for an acquisition price of \$112.4 million and Navios Phoenix with a capacity of 180,242 dwt, was delivered on December 21, 2009 for an acquisition price of \$105.9 million.

On December 23, 2009, Navios Stellar, a 2009-built, 169,001 dwt, Capesize was delivered to Navios Holdings. The vessel's acquisition price was approximately \$94.9 million of which \$85.7 million was paid in cash. The remaining amount was funded through the issuance of 1,800 shares of Preferred Stock.

On January 20, 2010, Navios Holdings took delivery of Navios Antares, a 169,059 dwt, 2009 built Capesize, built in South Korean Shipyard for an acquisition cost of approximately \$120.4 million. As of December 31, 2009 Navios Holdings had paid an amount of \$43.1 million and \$49.5 million in cash and debt, respectively and \$10.0 million in shares (698,812 common shares at \$14.31 per share based on the price on the acquisition date) and the remaining amount was funded through the issuance of 1,780 Preferred Stock which have a nominal value of \$17.8 million,

On January 27, 2010, Navios Holdings agreed to acquire a new build 180,000 dwt Capesize vessel for a purchase price of \$55.5 million, \$52.5 million payable in cash and \$3.0 million in the form of Preferred Stock. The vessel is under construction with a South Korean shipyard and is scheduled for delivery in the first quarter of 2011.

Navios Holdings agreed to purchase Navios Vector, a 50,296 dwt, 2002 built Ultra Handymax which is currently a long term chartered-in vessel for an acquisition cost of approximately \$30.0 million, pursuant to a memorandum of agreement signed on March 8, 2010. On March 12, 2010, Navios Holdings paid a 10% deposit of \$3.0 million for the acquisition of this vessel.

Table of Contents***Sale of vessels***

On January 8, 2010, Navios Holdings sold the Navios Hyperion, a Panamax vessel, to Navios Partners for \$63.0 million in cash.

Dividend Policy

On February 18, 2010, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend for the fourth quarter of 2009 of \$0.06 per share of common stock. This dividend is payable on April 8, 2010 to stockholders of record on March 16, 2010. The declaration and payment of any further dividend remains subject to the discretion of the Board, and will depend on, among other things, Navios Holdings' cash requirements as measured by market opportunities, debt obligations and restrictions under its credit agreements.

Navios Partners:

On November 24, 2009, Navios Partners completed a public offering of 4,000,000 common units at \$14.90 per unit and raised gross proceeds of approximately \$59.6 million to fund its fleet expansion. The net proceeds of this offering, including discount and excluding offering costs of \$0.2 million, were approximately \$56.8 million. Pursuant to this offering, Navios Partners issued 81,633 additional general partnership units to the General Partner. The net proceeds from the issuance of the general partnership units were \$1.2 million. Navios Holdings' interest in Navios Partners further decreased to 37.0% in November 2009 as a result of this offering.

On February 8, 2010, Navios Partners completed its public offering of 3,500,000 common units at \$15.51 per unit and raised gross proceeds of approximately \$54.3 million to fund its fleet expansion. The net proceeds of this offering, including discount and excluding estimated offering costs of \$0.3 million, were approximately \$51.8 million. Pursuant to this offering, Navios Partners issued 71,429 additional general partnership units to the General Partner. The net proceeds from the issuance of the general partnership units were \$1.1 million. On the same date, Navios Partners completed the exercise of the overallotment option, previously granted to the underwriters in connection with the offering, and issued 525,000 additional common units at the public offering price less the underwriting discount. Navios Partners raised gross proceeds of \$8.1 million and net proceeds of approximately \$7.8 million. Navios Partners issued 10,714 additional general partnership units to the General Partner. The net proceeds from the issuance of these general partnership units were \$0.2 million. Following this offering Navios Holdings' interest in Navios Partners decreased to 33.2% in February 2010.

Dividends received during the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were \$18.1 million and \$11.3 million, respectively. On February 11, 2010, Navios Holdings received an amount of \$4.8 million as a dividend distribution from its affiliate Navios Partners.

Changes in Capital Structure

Issuance of Common Stock: On January 3, 2009, 12,658 restricted stock units were granted to the Company's employees following the Company's stock option plan for its employees, officers and directors and on February 5, 2009, pursuant to the stock plan approved by the Board of Directors Navios Holdings issued an additional 55,675 restricted shares of common stock to its employees pursuant to its existing stock option plan. On December 17, 2009, the Company issued 12,250 restricted stock units to its employees following the vesting of restricted stock units and also pursuant to the stock option plan approved by the Board of Directors, Navios Holdings issued 308,174 restricted shares of common stock to its employees. In addition, during 2009, 22,457 shares of restricted common stock were forfeited by various employees upon termination of their employment.

Issuance of Preferred Stock: On September 17, 2009 and on June 23, 2009, Navios Holdings issued 2,829 shares of Preferred Stock (fair value \$12.9 million) and 1,870 shares of Preferred Stock (fair value \$7.2 million), respectively at \$10,000 nominal value per share to partially finance the construction of three Capesize vessels. On September 18, 2009, Navios Holdings issued 500 shares of Preferred Stock (fair value \$2.5 million) at \$10,000 nominal value per share to partially finance the acquisition of Navios Celestial. On November 25, 2009, Navios Holdings issued 1,702 Preferred Stock (fair value \$8.5 million) at \$10,000 nominal value per share to partially finance the acquisition of Navios Aurora II. On December 23, 2009, Navios Holdings issued 1,800 shares of Preferred Stock (fair value \$9.2 million) at \$10,000 nominal value per share to partially finance the acquisition of Navios Stellar.

As of December 31, 2009, Navios Holdings had 100,874,199 shares of common stock and 8,201 shares of Preferred Stock outstanding.

Share Repurchase Program: On November 14, 2008, the Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program authorizing the purchase of up to \$25.0 million of Navios Holdings' common stock pursuant to a program adopted under Rule 10b5-1 under the Securities Exchange Act. The program does not require any minimum purchase or any specific number or amount of shares and may be suspended or reinstated at any time in Navios Holdings' discretion and without notice. During the year ended December 31, 2009, 331,900 shares were repurchased under this program for a total consideration of \$0.7 million. Since the initiation of the program, 907,480 shares have been repurchased for a total consideration of \$1.7 million.

Table of Contents**A. Operating Results****Factors Affecting Navios Holdings Results of Operations:**

Navios Holdings actively manages the risk in its operations by: (i) operating the vessels in its fleet in accordance with all applicable international standards of safety and technical ship management; (ii) enhancing vessel utilization and profitability through an appropriate mix of long term charters complemented by spot charters (time charters for short term employment) and COAs; (iii) monitoring the financial impact of corporate exposure from both physical and FFAs transactions; (iv) monitoring market and counterparty credit risk limits; (v) adhering to risk management and operation policies and procedures; and (vi) requiring counterparty credit approvals.

Navios Holdings believes that the important measures for analyzing trends in its results of operations consist of the following:

Market Exposure: Navios Holdings manages the size and composition of its fleet, by chartering and owning vessels, to adjust to anticipated changes in market rates. Navios Holdings aims at achieving an appropriate balance between owned vessels and long and short term chartered-in vessels and controls approximately 6.6 million dwt in drybulk tonnage. Navios Holdings options to extend the duration of vessels it has under long term time charter (durations of over 12 months) and its purchase options on chartered vessel (see separate table) permits Navios Holdings to adjust the cost and the fleet size to correspond to market conditions.

Available days: Available days is the total number of days a vessel is controlled by a company less the aggregate number of days that the vessel is off-hire due to scheduled repairs or repairs under guarantee, vessel upgrades or special surveys. The shipping industry uses available days to measure the number of days in a period during which vessels should be capable of generating revenues.

Operating days: Operating days is the number of available days in a period less the aggregate number of days that the vessels are off-hire due to any reason, including lack of demand or unforeseen circumstances. The shipping industry uses operating days to measure the aggregate number of days in a period during which vessels actually generate revenues.

Fleet utilization: Fleet utilization is obtained by dividing the number of operating days during a period by the number of available days during the period. The shipping industry uses fleet utilization to measure a company's efficiency in finding suitable employment for its vessels and minimizing the amount of days that its vessels are off-hire for reasons other than scheduled repairs or repairs under guarantee, vessel upgrades, special surveys or vessel positioning.

TCE: TCE rates are defined as voyage and time charter revenues plus gains or losses on FFA less voyage expenses during a period divided by the number of available days during the period. Navios Holdings includes the gains or losses on FFA in the determination of TCE rates as neither voyage and time charter revenues nor gains or losses on FFA are evaluated in isolation. Rather, the two are evaluated together to determine total earnings per day. The TCE rate is a standard shipping industry performance measure used primarily to compare daily earnings generated by vessels on time charters with daily earnings generated by vessels on voyage charters, because charter hire rates for vessels on voyage charters are generally not expressed in per day amounts, while charter hire rates for vessels on time charters generally are expressed in such amounts.

Equivalent vessels: Equivalent vessels data is the available days of the fleet divided by the number of the calendar days in the respective period.

Voyage and Time Charter

Revenues are driven primarily by the number of vessels in the fleet, the number of days during which such vessels operate and the amount of daily charter hire rates that the vessels earn under charters, which, in turn, are

affected by a number of factors, including:

the duration of the charters;

the level of spot market rates at the time of charters;

decisions relating to vessel acquisitions and disposals;

the amount of time spent positioning vessels;

the amount of time that vessels spend in dry-dock undergoing repairs and upgrades;

the age, condition and specifications of the vessels; and

the aggregate level of supply and demand in the drybulk shipping industry.

Table of Contents

Time charters are available for varying periods, ranging from a single trip (spot charter) to long term which may be many years. In general, a long term time charter assures the vessel owner of a consistent stream of revenue. Operating the vessel in the spot market affords the owner greater spot market opportunity, which may result in high rates when vessels are in high demand or low rates when vessel availability exceeds demand. Vessel charter rates are affected by world economics, international events, weather conditions, strikes, governmental policies, supply and demand, and many other factors that might be beyond the control of management.

Consistent with industry practice, Navios Holdings uses TCE rates, which consist of revenue from vessels operating on time charters and voyage revenue less voyage expenses from vessels operating on voyage charters in the spot market, as a method of analyzing fluctuations between financial periods and as a method of equating revenue generated from a voyage charter to time charter revenue.

TCE revenue also serves as industry standard for measuring revenue and comparing results between geographical regions and among competitors.

The cost to maintain and operate a vessel increases with the age of the vessel. Older vessels are less fuel efficient, cost more to insure and require upgrades from time to time to comply with new regulations. The average age of Navios Holdings' owned fleet is 4.7 years. But as such fleet ages or if Navios Holdings expands its fleet by acquiring previously owned and older vessels the cost per vessel would be expected to rise and, assuming all else, including rates, remains constant, vessel profitability would be expected to decrease.

Spot Charters, Contracts of Affreightment (COAs), and Forward Freight Agreements (FFAs)

Navios Holdings enhances vessel utilization and profitability through a mix of voyage charters, short term charter-out contracts, COAs and strategic backhaul cargo contracts.

Navios Holdings enters into drybulk shipping FFAs as economic hedges relating to identifiable ship and or cargo positions and as economic hedges of transactions the Company expects to carry out in the normal course of its shipping business. By utilizing certain derivative instruments, including drybulk shipping FFAs, the Company manages the financial risk associated with fluctuating market conditions. In entering into these contracts, the Company has assumed the risk that might arise from the possible inability of counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, none of our FFAs, qualified for hedge accounting treatment, while four of them qualified as of December 31, 2007. Drybulk FFAs traded by the Company that do not qualify for hedge accounting are shown at fair value through the statement of operations.

FFAs cover periods generally ranging from one month to one year and are based on time charter rates or freight rates on specific quoted routes. FFAs are executed either over-the-counter, between two parties, or through NOS ASA, a Norwegian clearing house, and LCH the London clearing house. FFAs are settled in cash monthly based on publicly quoted indices.

NOS ASA and LCH call for both base and margin collaterals, which are funded by Navios Holdings, and which in turn substantially eliminates counterparty risk. Certain portions of these collateral funds may be restricted at any given time as determined by NOS ASA and LCH.

At the end of each calendar quarter, the fair value of drybulk shipping FFAs traded over-the-counter are determined from an index published in London, United Kingdom and the fair value of those FFAs traded with NOS ASA and LCH are determined from the NOS ASA and LCH valuations accordingly. Navios Holdings has implemented specific procedures designed to respond to credit risk associated with over-the-counter trades, including the establishment of a list of approved counterparties and a credit committee which meets regularly.

Statement of Operations Breakdown by Segment

Navios Holdings reports financial information and evaluates its operations by charter revenues and not by vessel type, length of ship employment, customers or type of charter. Navios Holdings does not use discrete financial information to evaluate the operating results for each such type of charter. Although revenue can be identified for these types of charters, management does not identify expenses, profitability or other financial information for these charters. As a result, Navios Holdings reviews operating results solely by revenue per day and operating results of the owned and chartered-in fleet and, thus, the Company has determined that it has two reportable segments, Vessel Operations and Logistics Business. Following the acquisition of Horamar in January 2008 and the formation of Navios Logistics, the Company renamed its Port Terminal segment to Logistics Business segment, to include the

activities of Horamar which provides similar products and services in the region that Navios Holdings' existing port facility currently operates. The reportable segments reflect the internal organization of Navios Holdings and strategic businesses that offer different products and services. The Vessel Operations business consists of transportation and handling of bulk cargoes through ownership, operation, and trading of vessels, freight and FFAs. The Logistics Business consists of operating ports and transfer station terminals, handling of vessels, barges and push boats as well as upriver transport facilities in the Hidrovia region. Navios Holdings measures segment performance based on net income. For further segment information, please see Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Table of Contents

For a more detailed discussion about Navios Logistics Segment refer to the section Navios South American Logistics Inc. below.

Period over Period Comparisons**For the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to the year ended December 31, 2008**

The following table presents consolidated revenue and expense information for each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 and was derived from the audited consolidated revenue and expense accounts of Navios Holdings for each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

(in thousands of U.S. dollars)	Year ended December 31, 2009	Year ended December 31, 2008
Revenue	\$ 598,676	\$ 1,246,062
Time charter, voyage and logistic business expenses	(353,838)	(1,066,239)
Direct vessel expenses	(31,454)	(26,621)
General and administrative expenses	(43,897)	(37,047)
Depreciation and amortization	(73,885)	(57,062)
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	(2,237)	(2,668)
Interest income from investments in finance lease	1,330	2,185
Interest income	1,699	7,753
Interest expense and finance cost, net	(63,618)	(49,128)
Gain on derivatives	375	8,092
Gain on sale of assets/partial sale of subsidiary	20,785	27,817
Other income	6,749	948
Other expense	(20,508)	(7,386)
Income before equity in net earnings of affiliated companies and joint venture	40,177	46,706
Equity in net earnings of affiliated companies and joint venture	29,222	17,431
Income before taxes	69,399	64,137
Income taxes	1,565	56,113
Net income	\$ 70,964	\$ 120,250
Less: Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest	(3,030)	(1,723)
Net income attributable to Navios Holdings common stockholders	\$ 67,934	\$ 118,527

Set forth below are selected historical and statistical data for Navios Holdings for each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 that the Company believes may be useful in better understanding the Company's financial position and results of operations.

	Year ended December 31, 2009	2008
FLEET DATA		
Available days	15,588	22,817
Operating days	15,479	22,745
Fleet utilization	99.3%	99.7%
Equivalent vessels	43	62
AVERAGE DAILY RESULTS		

Time Charter Equivalents	\$ 25,821	\$ 45,566
--------------------------	-----------	-----------

During the year ended December 31, 2009, there were 7,229 less available days as compared to 2008. This was mainly due to the decrease in short term fleet available days by 8,478 days mitigated by an increase of 1,249 days of owned and long-term fleet available days following the delivery of nine owned vessels during the year, seven of which were during the last six months. Navios Holdings can increase or decrease its fleet's size by chartering-in vessels for long or short term periods (less than one year). The average Time Charter Equivalent (TCE) rate for twelve month year ended December 31, 2009 was \$25,821 per day, \$19,745 per day lower than the rate achieved in 2008. This was primarily due to the decline in the freight market during 2009 compared to those achieved during the same period in 2008.

Revenue: Revenue decreased to \$598.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the \$1,246.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Navios Holdings earns revenue from owned and chartered-in vessels, COAs and the logistic

Table of Contents

business. The decrease in revenue was mainly attributable to the decrease in TCE rate per day and the decrease in the available days of the fleet in 2009 as compared to 2008. The achieved TCE rate per day, decreased 43.3% to \$25,821 per day in 2009 from \$45,566 per day in 2008. The available days for the fleet decreased by 31.7% to 15,588 in 2009 from 22,817 days in 2008.

Revenue from the logistics business was approximately \$138.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to \$107.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. This is mainly due to the increased fleet of Navios Logistics with the delivery of Makenita H, compared to the same period of 2008 and due to a new silo at its port facility in Uruguay, which was operational as of the beginning of the third quarter of 2009.

Time Charter, Voyage and Logistic Business Expenses: Time charter, voyage and logistic business expenses decreased by \$712.4 million or 66.8% to \$353.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$1,066.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. This was primarily due to the decrease in the short-term fleet activity (which also negatively affected the available days of the fleet, discussed above). This decrease was mitigated by an increase of \$23.7 million in logistic business expenses.

Direct Vessel Expenses: Direct vessel expenses for operation of the owned fleet increased by \$4.9 million to \$31.5 million, or by 18.4%, for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to \$26.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Direct vessel expenses include crew costs, provisions, deck and engine stores, lubricating oils, insurance premiums, maintenance and repairs. The increase resulted primarily from the increase in ownership days from 5,537 days during 2008 to 6,718 days during 2009 mainly due to the delivery of nine owned vessels.

General and Administrative Expenses: General and administrative expenses of Navios Holdings are composed of the following:

(in thousands of U.S. dollars)	Year ended December 31, 2009	Year ended December 31, 2008
Payroll and related costs ⁽¹⁾	15,333	15,751
Professional, legal and audit fees ⁽¹⁾	5,389	4,775
Navios Logistics	9,116	8,045
Office expenses ⁽¹⁾	2,854	2,862
Other ⁽¹⁾	398	1,561
Sub-total	33,090	32,994
Credit risk insurance ⁽¹⁾	10,807	4,053
General and administrative expenses	43,897	37,047

(1) Amounts do not include expenses of the logistics business.

The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$6.9 million to \$43.9 million, or by 18.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$37.0 million for the same period of 2008 is mainly attributable to (a) \$6.8 million increase in expenses relating to credit risk insurance premium; (b) \$0.6 million increase in professional, legal and audit fees; and (c) \$1.1 million increase in general and administrative expenses attributable to the Logistics Business. This overall increase was partially mitigated by (a) \$0.4 million decrease in payroll and related costs; and (b) \$1.2 million decrease in other general and administrative expenses.

Depreciation and Amortization: For the year ended December 31, 2009, depreciation and amortization increased by \$16.8 million compared to the same period in 2008. The increase was primarily due to (a) an increase in depreciation of vessels by \$11.8 million due to the increase of the owned fleet by nine vessels, (b) an increase by \$4.3 million in amortization of favorable and unfavorable leases and (c) an increase of \$3.0 million from Logistics business. The above increase was mitigated by a decrease of \$2.3 million in backlog amortization.

Provision for Losses on Accounts Receivable: For the year ended December 31, 2009, Navios Holdings provided \$2.2 million for losses relating to receivables from vessels operations in comparison to a \$2.7 million provision that the Company had performed during 2008 and relating to receivables of FFA trading counterparties.

Interest Income from Investments in Finance Lease: Interest income from investments in finance lease decreased by \$0.9 million to \$1.3 million, or by 40.9% for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to \$2.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease was mainly due to the sale of Obeliks on June 13, 2008. Two of the vessels acquired through the acquisition of Kleimar on February 2, 2007, Obeliks and Vanessa, have been leased out and qualified as finance leases.

Interest Expense and Finance Cost, Net: Interest expense and finance cost for the year ended December 31, 2009 increased to \$63.6 million, as compared to \$49.1 million in the same period of 2008. This increase was mainly due to an increase (excluding Navios Logistics) in average outstanding loan balance from \$324.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 to \$555.1 million in the same period of 2009 (excluding the drawdowns relating to facilities for the construction of the Capesize vessels). This increase was mitigated by (a) a decrease in average LIBOR rate to 1.45% from 3.5% and (b) a decrease in interest expense and finance cost of \$0.2 million due to the outstanding loan balances of Navios Logistics for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. Interest income decreased by \$6.1 million to \$1.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$7.8 million for the same period of 2008. This is mainly attributable to the decrease in the average cash balances from \$229.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2008 to \$212.0 million in the same period of 2009, and the decrease in interest rates.

Table of Contents

Net Other Income and Expense: Net other expense increased by \$7.4 million to \$13.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$6.4 million for the same period in 2008. This increase was mainly due to (a) \$13.8 million relating to available for sale securities which were reclassified to earnings and (b) \$1.9 million increase in taxes of Navios Logistics. This increase was mitigated by (a) \$6.1 million non-cash compensation income relating to the issuance of 1,000,000 subordinated units of Navios Partners, (b) \$1.5 million cancellation fee recognized in 2008 relating to the cancellation of contracts to acquire three Capesize vessels.

Gain on Derivatives: Income from derivatives decreased by \$7.7 million to \$0.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to \$8.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. This decrease was mainly due to \$21.4 million decrease in the gain from FFA derivatives. This decrease was mitigated by a decrease of \$2.6 million on the loss from interest rate swaps during 2009 compared to 2008 and an increase of \$11.1 million gain from the valuation of Navios Acquisition warrants. Navios Holdings records the change in the fair value of derivatives at each balance sheet date. The FFAs market has experienced significant volatility in the past few years and, accordingly, recognition of the changes in the fair value of FFAs has, and can, cause significant volatility in earnings. The extent of the impact on earnings is dependent on two factors: market conditions and Navios Holdings' net position in the market. Market conditions were volatile in both periods. As an indicator of volatility, selected Baltic Exchange Panamax time charter average rates are shown below.

	Baltic Exchange's Panamax Time Charter Average Index
May 20, 2008	\$ 91,710 ^(a)
December 12, 2008	\$ 3,537 ^(b)
January 19, 2009	\$ 3,917 ^(c)
November 19, 2009	\$ 35,819 ^(d)
December 31, 2009	\$ 28,620 ^(*)

(a) High for fiscal year 2008.

(b) Low for fiscal year 2008.

(c) Low for fiscal year 2009

(d) High for fiscal year 2009

(*) End of year rate

Gain on Sale of Assets/Partial Sale of Subsidiary: The gain on sale of assets/partial sale of subsidiary for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$20.8 million, which resulted from \$16.8 million from the sale of the Navios Sagittarius and \$4.0 million from the sale of Navios Apollon to Navios Partners on June 10, 2009 and on October 29, 2009, respectively. The gain on sale of assets/partial sale of subsidiary for the year ended December 31, 2008 was \$27.8 million and related to \$24.9 million gain recognized from the sale of Navios Hope to Navios Partners on July 1, 2008, \$2.7 million gain recognized from the partial sale of CNSA to the noncontrolling shareholders of Navios Logistics as part of the acquisition of Horamar in January 2008 and \$0.2 million gain from the sale of Obeliks in

June 2008 mentioned above.

For the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007

The following table presents consolidated revenue and expense information for each of the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 and was derived from the audited consolidated revenue and expense accounts of Navios Holdings for each of the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

Table of Contents

(in thousands of U.S. dollars)	Year ended December 31, 2008	Year ended December 31, 2007
Revenue	\$ 1,246,062	\$ 758,420
Time charter, voyage and logistic business expenses	(1,066,239)	(557,573)
Direct vessel expenses	(26,621)	(27,892)
General and administrative expenses	(37,047)	(23,058)
Depreciation and amortization	(57,062)	(31,900)
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	(2,668)	
Interest income from investments in finance lease	2,185	3,507
Interest income	7,753	10,819
Interest expense and finance cost, net	(49,128)	(51,089)
Gain on derivatives	8,092	25,100
Gain on sale of assets/partial sale of subsidiary	27,817	167,511
Other income	948	445
Other expense	(7,386)	(767)
Income before equity in net earnings of affiliated companies and joint venture	46,706	273,523
Equity in net earnings of affiliated companies and joint venture	17,431	1,929
Income before taxes	64,137	275,452
Income taxes	56,113	(4,451)
Net income	\$ 120,250	\$ 271,001
Less: Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest	(1,723)	
Net income attributable to Navios Holdings common stockholders	\$ 118,527	\$ 271,001

Set forth below are selected historical and statistical data for Navios Holdings for each of the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 that the Company believes may be useful in better understanding the Company's financial position and results of operations.

	Year ended December 31, 2008	2007
FLEET DATA		
Available days	22,817	19,219
Operating days	22,745	19,198
Fleet utilization	99.7%	99.9%
Equivalent vessels	62	53
AVERAGE DAILY RESULTS		
Time Charter Equivalents	\$ 45,566	\$ 30,843

During the year ended December 31, 2008, there were 3,598 more available days as compared to 2007. This was due mainly to the increase in short term fleet available days by 4,248 days, which was mitigated by a decrease of 650 days of owned and long term fleet available days following the sale to Navios Partners of five owned vessels and two chartered-in vessels in November 2007. Navios Holdings can increase or decrease its fleet's size by chartering-in vessels for long or short term periods (less than one year). Fleet size and the corresponding available days will decrease if charters are not renewed or replaced.

The average Time Charter Equivalent (TCE) rate for the year ended December 31, 2008 was \$45,566 per day, \$14,723 per day higher than the rate achieved in 2007. This was primarily due to the improvement in the freight market during the first nine months of 2008 compared to those achieved during the same period in 2007. Although the rates deteriorated in the last three months of 2008, this still resulted in higher average TCE rate for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to that in 2007.

Revenue: Revenue increased to \$1,246.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to the \$758.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Navios Holdings earns revenue from owned and chartered-in vessels, COAs and logistic business. Revenue from vessel operations increased by \$389.6 million, or 52.0%, to \$1,138.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to \$748.7 million for the same period during 2007. This increase is mainly attributable to the increase by 47.7% in TCE rates per day excluding FFAs in 2008 compared to 2007, and the increase in the available days by 18.7% in 2008 compared to 2007.

Revenue from the logistics business was approximately \$107.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to \$9.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This is due to the acquisition of the Horamar group in January 2008.

Table of Contents

Time Charter, Voyage and Logistic Business Expense: Time charter, voyage and logistic business expenses increased by \$508.6 million, or 91.2%, to \$1,066.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to \$557.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This was primarily due to the increase in the market rates, particularly during the first nine months of 2008, which negatively affected the charter-in daily hire rate cost for the long term chartered-in fleet from \$11,592 per day during the year ended December 31, 2007 to \$15,107 per day for the same period of 2008, the increase in the short term fleet activity (which also positively affected the available days of the fleet, discussed above), as well as the acquisition of Horamar, which had a further impact on the logistics business of \$66.4 million.

Direct Vessel Expenses: Direct vessel expenses for operation of the owned fleet decreased by \$1.3 million to \$26.6 million, or by 4.7%, for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to \$27.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Direct vessel expenses include crew costs, provisions, deck and engine stores, lubricating oils, insurance premiums, maintenance and repairs. The decrease resulted primarily from the decrease in ownership days from 6,473 days during 2007 to 5,537 days during 2008 mainly due to the sale of five owned vessels to Navios Partners in November 2007.

General and Administrative Expenses: General and administrative expenses of Navios Holdings are composed of the following:

(in thousands of U.S. dollars)	Year ended December 31, 2008	Year ended December 31, 2007
Payroll and related costs ⁽¹⁾	15,751	12,174
Professional, legal and audit fees ⁽¹⁾	4,775	5,975
Logistics business	8,045	507
Office expenses ⁽¹⁾	2,862	2,003
Other ⁽¹⁾	1,561	1,978
Sub-total	32,994	22,637
Credit risk insurance ⁽¹⁾	4,053	421
General and administrative expenses	37,047	23,058

(1) Amounts do not include expenses of the logistics business.

The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$13.9 million to \$37.0 million, or by 60.2%, for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to \$23.1 million for the same period of 2007 is mainly attributable to (a) \$3.6 million increase in payroll and related costs in connection with the expansion of Navios operations, including \$2.1 million in stock plan expenses; (b) \$7.5 million in general and administrative expenses attributable to the Logistics Business due to acquisition of Horamar in January 2008; (c) \$3.6 million increase in expenses relating to credit risk insurance premium which were incurred beginning in the fourth quarter of 2007; and (d) \$0.8 million increase in office expenses. This increase was mitigated by a \$1.2 million decrease in professional, legal and audit expenses and \$0.4 million related mainly to other administrative expenses.

Depreciation and Amortization: For the year ended December 31, 2008, depreciation and amortization increased by \$25.2 million compared to the same period in 2007. The increase was primarily due to the additional depreciation and amortization

Table of Contents

following the acquisition of Horamar in January 2008, amounting to \$16.7 million, as well as the increase in amortization of intangibles by \$9.0 million, mainly due to the expiration of unfavorable contracts which positively affected amortization in the year ended December 31, 2007, and the increase in amortization of backlog by \$3.2 million. The above increase was mitigated by the decrease in depreciation of \$3.9 million in 2008, due to the sale of five owned vessels to Navios Partners in the fourth quarter of 2007.

Provision for Losses on Accounts Receivable: During the year ended December 31, 2008, Navios Holdings provided for \$2.7 million relating to receivables of FFA trading counterparties. Navios Holdings' exposure to such counterparties as of December 31, 2008, was approximately \$11.2 million. No amount has been charged to Navios Holdings' statements of income in its 2007 financial statements.

Interest Income from Investments in Finance Lease: Two of the vessels acquired through the acquisition of Kleimar on February 2, 2007, Obeliks and Vanessa, have been leased out and qualified as finance leases. The resulting interest income for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 were \$2.2 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. This decrease was mainly due to the sale of Obeliks on June 13, 2008.

Interest Expense and Finance Cost, Net: Interest expense and finance cost for the year ended December 31, 2008 decreased to \$49.1 million, as compared to \$51.1 million in the same period of 2007. This decrease was mainly due to the decrease in average LIBOR rate to 3.50% for the year ended December 31, 2008 from 5.28% for the same period in 2007. This decrease was mitigated by an increase (excluding Navios Logistics) in average outstanding loan balance from \$320.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 to \$324.0 million in the same period of 2008 (excluding the drawdowns relating to facilities for the construction of the Capesize vessels and the \$90.0 million revolving facility drawn in December 2008) and an increase in interest expense and finance cost during the year ended December 31, 2008 of \$4.4 million due to the outstanding loan balances of Navios Logistics. Interest income decreased by \$3.0 million to \$7.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to \$10.8 million for the same period of 2007. This is mainly attributable to the decrease in the average cash balances from \$240.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2007 to \$229.8 million in the same period of 2008, and the decrease in interest rates.

Gain on Derivatives: Income from derivatives decreased by \$17.0 million from \$25.1 million gain during the year ended December 31, 2007 to \$8.1 million gain for the year ended December 31, 2008. This decrease was mainly due to (a) \$10.1 million decrease in the gain from FFA derivatives; (b) \$1.6 million decrease from interest rate swaps and (c) \$5.3 million decrease in gain from the valuation of Navios Acquisition warrants. The changes in fair values of the effective portion of FFAs qualifying for hedge accounting, representing unrealized losses at December 31, 2008 and 2007, of \$0 and \$19.9 million, respectively, were recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) in the stockholders' equity while the unrealized gains or losses of the remaining FFAs not qualifying for hedge accounting together with the ineffective portion of these qualifying for hedge accounting were recorded in the statement of income under Gain/(Loss) on derivatives. The gains/(losses) included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) are reclassified to earnings under Revenue in the statement of income in the same period or periods during which the hedged forecasted transactions affect earnings. On this basis approximately \$19.9 million and \$9.8 million losses have been reclassified to earnings for each of the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The FFA market has experienced significant volatility in the past few years and, accordingly, recognition of the changes in the fair value of FFAs has caused, and can cause, significant volatility in earnings. The extent of the impact on earnings is dependent on two factors: market conditions and Navios Holdings' net position in the market. Market conditions were volatile in both years. As an indicator of volatility, selected Baltic Exchange Panamax time charter average rates are shown below.

	Baltic Exchange's Panamax Time Charter Average Index
January 31, 2007	\$ 31,719 ^(a)

October 30, 2007	\$	94,977 ^(b)
May 20, 2008	\$	91,710 ^(c)
December 12, 2008	\$	3,537 ^(d)

(a) Low for fiscal year 2007.

(b) High for fiscal year 2007.

(c) High for fiscal year 2008.

(d) Low for fiscal year 2008.

Gain on Sale of Assets/Partial Sale of Subsidiary: The gain on sale of assets/partial sale of subsidiary for the year ended December 31, 2008 was \$27.8 million and related mainly to the \$24.9 million gain recognized from the sale of Navios Hope to Navios Partners on July 1, 2008, \$2.7 million gain recognized from the partial sale of CNSA to the noncontrolling shareholders of Navios Logistics as part of the acquisition of Horamar in January 2008 and \$0.2 million gain from the sale of Obeliks in June 2008 mentioned above.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, the \$167.5 million gain was related to the IPO of Navios Partners, during which Navios Holdings sold the interests of its eight wholly-owned subsidiaries having a net book value of \$185.8 million in exchange for aggregate cash proceeds of \$353.3 million.

Net Other Income and Expense: Net other expense increased by \$6.1 million to \$6.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$0.3 million for the same period in 2007. This increase was mainly due to (a) recognition of a \$1.5 million cancellation fee relating to the cancellation of contracts to acquire three Capesize vessels; (b) \$1.2 million increase relating to voyage miscellaneous expenses; (c) \$3.0 million increase in taxes following the acquisition of Horamar. The remaining \$0.4 million of additional net losses relates mainly to miscellaneous expenses **Navios South American Logistics Inc.**

The following is a discussion of the financial condition and results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 of Navios Logistics. The comparatives used in this discussion for Navios Logistics year ended December 31, 2008 are for both balance sheet and profit and loss purposes CNSA figures of the respective periods presented. All of these financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America (US GAAP).

Table of Contents**Recent Developments*****Assets Acquisition:***

In February 2010, Navios Logistics took delivery of Sara H, a 9,000 dwt double hulled product oil tanker, which is chartered out for three years.

On October 29, 2009, Navios Logistics acquired 51% of the outstanding share capital of Hidronave S.A. for cash consideration of \$0.5 million and took delivery of the Nazira, a push-boat. The fair value of the asset at the acquisition date was \$1.7 million and the goodwill arising from the acquisition amounted to \$0.3 million.

In June 2009, Navios Logistics took delivery of a product tanker vessel named Makenita H. The purchase price of the vessel (including direct costs) amounted to approximately \$25.2 million. On December 15, 2009 HS Tankers Inc. entered into a loan facility to finance the acquisition cost of Makenita H for an amount of \$24.0 million, which bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 225 bps. The loan will be repaid by installments that shall not be less than the higher of (a) 90% of the amount of the last hire payment due to HS Tankers Inc, or (b) \$0.3 million, inclusive of any interest accrued in relation to the loan at that time. The repayment date should not exceed March 24, 2016. As of December 31, 2009, the outstanding amount under this facility was \$24.0 million.

In September 2008, Navios Logistics began construction of a new silo at its port facility in Uruguay, which has been fully operational since August 2009 and has added an additional 80,000 metric tons storage capacity. The project was funded by Navios Logistics internally generated cash. For the construction of the new silo Navios Logistics paid an amount of \$4.8 million during 2008 and \$2.8 million was paid during 2009.

Factors affecting Navios Logistics results of operations

For further discussion on factors affecting Navios Logistics results of operations see also item 3.D. Risk Factors included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Charter and freight rates

Shipping industry has been highly cyclical during the last two years. As a consequence, any eventual charter and freight rates depression in the future may adversely affect Navios Logistics future earnings and available cash flow. We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully charter Navios Logistics vessels in the future, renew its existing charters or arrange the proper voyages at rates sufficient to allow us to operate Navios Logistics business profitably, to meet its obligations, including payment of debt service to its lenders. Navios Logistics ability to renew the charters on its vessels on the expiration or termination of current charters or contract the most suitable voyages for its fleet in order to have a full utilization of its vessels, barges and push boats will depend upon, among other things, economic conditions in the sectors in which the vessels, barges and push boats operate at that time, changes in the supply and demand for vessels, barges and push boats and changes in the supply and demand for the transportation of commodities.

Weather Conditions

For Navios Logistics vessels, barges and push boats business, demand for services is driven mainly by products such as iron, grain and oil. Droughts and other adverse weather conditions, could result in a decline in production of the agricultural products Navios Logistics transports, and this could likely result in a reduction in demand for services. As Navios Logistics specializes in the transport and storage of liquid cargoes and as well as the transport of drybulk cargoes along the Hidrovia passing through Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Paraguay and Uruguay, any changes adversely affecting any of these regions, such as low water levels, could reduce or limit Navios Logistics ability to effectively transport cargo.

Foreign currency transactions

Navios Logistics operating results, which are reported in U.S. dollars, may be affected by fluctuations in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and other currencies. For accounting purposes, Navios Logistics uses U.S. dollars as its functional currency. Therefore, revenue and expense accounts are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rate in effect at the date of each transaction.

A high percentage of Navios Logistics revenues (approximately 90%) is normally denominated in U.S. dollars, while the rest is denominated in Argentinean Pesos and Paraguayan Guaranies. However, almost half of total revenue is normally collected in Argentinean Pesos or Paraguayan Guaranies.

Inflation and fuel price increases

The impact of inflation and the resulting pressure on prices in the South American countries in which Navios Logistics operates may not be fully neutralized by equivalent adjustments in the rate of exchange between the local currencies and the U.S. Dollar. Specifically for its vessels, barges and push boats business, Navios Logistics negotiated, and will continue to negotiate, fuel price adjustment clauses, although in some cases prices that it pays for fuel are temporarily not in compliance with the adjustment that it obtains under its freight contracts.

Seasonality

One significant factor that affects Navios Logistics' results of operations and revenues from quarter to quarter is seasonality. Generally, the high season for the vessels, barges and push boats' operations is the period between February and July, as a result of the South American harvest and higher river levels. Expected growth in soy and minerals production and transportation may offset part of this seasonality. During the South American summer time, mainly from November to January, the low level of water in the North of the Hidrovia could affect adversely Navios Logistics' operations. With respect to Navios Logistics' port terminal operations in Uruguay, high season is mainly from April to September, linked with the arrival of the first barges down-river and with the sea-vessels logistic operations. The port terminal operations in Paraguay are not affected by seasonality as the operations of the port are linked mainly to petroleum products.

Table of Contents**Financial Highlights**

The following table presents Navios Logistics consolidated revenue and expense information for each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, and of CNSA for the year ended December 31, 2007.

<i>(Expressed in thousands of US Dollars)</i>	Year ended December 31, 2009	Year ended December 31, 2008	CNSA Year ended December 31, 2007
Revenue	\$ 138,890	\$ 107,778	\$ 9,689
Time charter, voyage and port terminal expenses	(94,040)	(70,268)	(3,860)
General and administrative expenses	(9,116)	(8,045)	(507)
Depreciation and amortization	(21,604)	(18,562)	(1,867)
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	(1,351)		
Interest income	11	502	148
Interest expense and finance cost, net	(4,247)	(4,421)	
Other income	947	948	4
Other expense	(4,821)	(2,980)	(644)
Income before taxes	\$ 4,669	\$ 4,952	\$ 2,963
Income Taxes	1,863	(981)	
Net income	6,532	3,971	2,963
Less: Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest	(1,182)	(544)	
Net income attributable to Navios Logistics stockholders	\$ 5,350	\$ 3,427	\$ 2,963

Table of Contents

The following table presents consolidated balance sheets of Navios Logistics as of December 31, 2009, and 2008.

(Expressed in thousands of US Dollars)	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	26,927	11,516
Restricted cash	1,674	1,050
Accounts receivable, net	15,578	13,864
Due from affiliate companies		41
Short term backlog asset		44
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	13,598	6,041
Total current assets	57,777	32,556
Vessels, port terminal and other fixed assets, net	265,850	250,237
Deferred financing costs, net	870	420
Deferred dry dock and special survey costs, net	1,673	1,433
Other long term assets	9,435	9,535
Intangible assets other than goodwill	77,185	84,957
Goodwill	91,682	91,393
Total non-current assets	446,695	437,975
Total assets	504,472	470,531
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	17,954	10,165
Accrued expenses	7,520	9,058
Due to affiliate companies	94	
Current portion of long term debt	5,829	3,137
Total current liabilities	31,397	22,360
Long term debt, net of current portion	114,564	78,191
Unfavorable lease terms		1,505
Long term liabilities	6,200	22,181
Deferred tax liability	22,777	26,573
Total non-current liabilities	143,541	128,450
Total liabilities	174,938	150,810
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders equity		
Common stock \$1 par value, authorized 20,000 shares	20	20
Additional paid-in capital	284,761	284,762
Retained earnings	8,778	3,427

Total Navios Logistics stockholders equity	293,559	288,209
Noncontrolling interest	35,975	31,512
Total equity	329,534	319,721
Total liabilities and equity	504,472	470,531

Period over Period Comparisons

Navios Logistics has determined that it has two reportable segments: vessel, barges and push boat operations, and port terminal operations.

For the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to the year ended December 31, 2008

Revenue: For the year ended December 31, 2009, Navios Logistics revenue increased by \$31.1 million or 28.8% to \$138.9 million, as compared to \$107.8 million for the same period during 2008. Revenue from port terminal operations increased by \$28.5 million or 131% to \$50.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$21.7 million for the same period during 2008. The increase was mainly attributable to (a) an increase in the ports volume of activities, primarily in the liquid port in Paraguay whose revenues, including revenues from sales of fuel, increased from \$11.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 to \$34.1 million for the same period in 2009; and (b) the new silo constructed at Navios Logistics port facilities in Uruguay, which had been fully operational since August 2009 and added an additional 80,000 metric tons of storage capacity. Revenue from vessels, barges and push boats increased by \$2.6 million or 3.0% to \$88.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$86.1 million for the same period during 2008. This increase was mainly attributable to: (a) the delivery of the new fleet of liquid dry barges and push boats which were fully operational during the fourth quarter of 2009 compared to fourth quarter of 2008; and (b) the acquisition of Estefania H and Makenita H on July 25, 2008 and on June 2, 2009, respectively. Estefania H was fully operational during the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 and Makenita H was operational for six months during 2009. The total increase was adversely affected by the decline in soybean production associated with the drought experienced

Table of Contents

mainly in the first quarter of 2009, throughout the main soybean growing areas of the Hidrovia Region. Low water levels started during the fourth quarter of 2008 and extended into 2009, have also affected the volumes carried. The continuation of low water levels in the upper stretch of the Paraguay River had a negative effect on the volumes carried also in the year ended December 31, 2009.

Time Charter, Voyage and Port Terminal Expenses: Time charter, voyage and logistics business expenses increased by \$23.7 million or 33.7% to \$94.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$70.3 million for the same period during 2008. Port terminal operations expenses for the year ended December 31, 2009 increased by \$21.1 million or 148.6% to \$35.3 million, as compared to \$14.2 million for the same period during 2008. The increase is attributable to an increase in the ports volume of activities, basically in the liquid port in Paraguay, whose costs of operation, including costs of sale of fuels, increased from \$9.7 million to \$30.3 million in the period; and to the cost of operations of the new silo constructed at Navios Logistics port facilities in Uruguay. Time charter and voyage expenses of vessels, barges and push boats increased by \$2.6 million or 4.6% to \$58.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$56.1 million for the same period in 2008. The increase was mainly attributable to an increase in payroll and related costs, insurance costs (all of them related to the new fleet acquired), fleet rent expenses and general expenses in the fleet business. Such increase was partially mitigated by a decrease in fuels consumed and a decrease in repairs and maintenance costs.

General and Administrative Expenses: General and administrative expenses increased by \$1.0 million or 12.3% to \$9.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$8.1 million for the same period during 2008. General and administrative expenses relating to port terminal operations increased by \$0.4 million or 36.4% to \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$1.1 million for the same period during 2008. General and administrative expenses relating to vessels, barges and push boats increased by \$0.7 million or 8.6% to \$7.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$7.0 million for the same period during 2008. The increase was mainly attributable to an increase in salaries, audit and related fees and other administrative costs.

Depreciation and Amortization: Depreciation and amortization expenses increased by \$3.0 million or 16.1% to \$21.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$18.6 million for the same period of 2008. The main reason for the increase was the increase in depreciation of fixed assets by \$3.2 million or 20.9% to \$18.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$15.3 million for the same period in 2008. The increase in depreciation expense was mainly attributable to: (a) the delivery of the new fleet of liquid and dry barges and push boats at the beginning of the fourth quarter of 2008,; and (b) the acquisition of Estefania H and Makenita H on July 25, 2008 and on June 2, 2009, respectively. Estefania H was fully operational during the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 and Makenita H was operational for six months during 2009. The increase was also attributable to the new silo constructed at Navios Logistics port facilities in Uruguay, which had been fully operational since August 2009. This increase was mitigated by a decrease of \$0.2 million in amortization of intangible assets that amounted to \$3.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Provision for Losses on Accounts Receivable: Provision for losses on accounts receivable amounted to \$1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The main reason was the provision for bad debts for services rendered to customers including demurrages.

Interest Income: Interest decreased by \$0.5 million to \$0 for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$0.5 million for the same period in 2008.

Interest Expense and Finance Cost, Net: Interest expense and finance costs, net, decreased by \$0.2 million or 4.5% to \$4.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$4.4 million for the same period in 2008. The decrease was mainly attributable to a decrease in interest labor rates. This decrease was partially mitigated by the interest expense related to the new loans obtained for the acquisition of product tankers Estefania H and Makenita.

Other Income/Expense, Net: Other expense, net increased by \$1.8 million to \$3.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$2.0 million for the same period in 2008, mainly due to exchange rate differences and taxes other-than-income tax.

Income Taxes: Net income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2009 decreased by \$2.9 million or 290% to an income of \$1.9 million, as compared to a loss of \$1.0 million for the same period in 2008. The variation was mainly due to the reversal of deferred income tax liabilities. Income taxes consist of corporate income taxes calculated

for certain subsidiaries of Navios Logistics.

For the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007

Revenue: For the year ended December 31, 2008 Navios Logistics revenue increased by \$98.1 million or 1,011.3% to \$107.8 million as compared to \$9.7 million for the same period during 2007. Revenue from port terminal operations amounted to \$21.7 million and revenue from vessels, barges and push boats amounted to \$86.1 million. The main reason for the increase was the acquisition of Horamar in January 2008 which contributed \$97.3 million of revenues for the year ended December 31, 2008, while the rest was due to the increase in revenue of CNSA by \$0.8 million to \$10.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$9.7 million for the same period in 2007.

Time Charter, Voyage and Port Terminal Expenses: Time charter, voyage and port terminal expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 increased by \$66.4 million or 1,702.6% to \$70.3 million as compared to \$3.9 million for the same period during 2007. Port terminal expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 amounted to \$14.2 million while the remaining \$56.1 million

Table of Contents

related to time charter, voyage expenses of vessels, barges and push boats. The main reason for the increase was the acquisition of Horamar which resulted in an increase of \$65.8 million and the increase in CNSA expenses by \$0.6 million to \$4.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to \$3.9 million for the same period in 2007 which is attributable to an increase in employee salaries and other port operational costs.

General and Administrative Expenses: General and administrative expenses increased by \$7.6 million or 1,520.0% to \$8.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to \$0.5 million for the same period during 2007. General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 relating to port terminal operations amounted to \$1.1 million while the remaining amount of \$7.0 million relates to general and administrative expenses from vessels, barges and push boats operations. The main reason for the increase was the acquisition of Horamar which resulted in an increase of \$7.3 million and the increase in CNSA general and administrative expenses by \$0.3 million to \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to \$0.5 million for the same period in 2007, which is attributable to an increase in employee salaries and increase in legal and audit fees.

Depreciation and Amortization: Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$16.7 million or 878.9% to \$18.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to \$1.9 million for the same period of 2007. Depreciation of tangible assets amounted to \$15.3 million and amortization of intangible assets amounted to \$3.3 million. The increase of \$16.7 million in depreciation and amortization expense was due to purchase price allocation adjustments following the acquisition of Horamar.

Interest Income: Interest income increased by \$0.4 million to \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to \$0.1 million for the same period in 2007 and is mainly attributable to interest income resulting from the acquisition of Horamar.

Interest Expense and Finance Cost, Net: Interest expense and finance costs, net, increased by \$4.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to \$0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Interest expense amounted to \$3.9 million and the remaining \$0.5 million due to various finance costs. The main reason for the increase was the debt assumed following the acquisition of Horamar and the \$70.0 million loan with Marfin Egnatia Bank concluded in March 2008, which contributed to the total increase. In 2007, there was no loan outstanding in CNSA, and therefore, there was no interest expense.

Other Income/Expense, Net: Other expense, net, increased by \$1.4 million or 233.3% to \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase is mainly attributable to the acquisition of Horamar.

Income Taxes: Income taxes, increased to \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to \$0 for the same period in 2007. The main reason for the increase was the acquisition of Horamar. Income taxes consist of income taxes calculated for certain subsidiaries of Navios South American Logistics, which are subject to corporate income tax.

Table of Contents**Balance Sheet highlights*****Investing activities***

On July 25, 2008, Navios Logistics took delivery of a product tanker vessel named the Estefania H. The purchase price of the vessel (including direct costs) amounted to approximately \$19.9 million.

During September 2008, Navios Logistics acquired a fleet of liquid and dry barges and push boats for transporting dry and liquid cargo on the river in the Hidrovia Region, representing six convoys. The total cost of the acquisition including transportation costs amounted to approximately \$72.1 million. The fleet was fully operational at the end of the first quarter of 2009. Before this transaction, Navios Logistics controlled approximately 110 barges, pushboats and vessels and two docking platforms. As a result of this transaction, Navios Logistics controls a fleet with more than 230 barges, pushboats and other vessels and 2 docking platforms.

In September 2008, Navios Logistics began construction of a new silo at its port facilities in Uruguay. The silo was fully operational as of the beginning of the third quarter of 2009 and it added an additional 80,000 metric tons of storage capacity. For the construction of the new silo Navios Logistics paid an amount of \$7.5 million of which \$2.7 million were paid during the year ended December 31, 2009.

On June 2, 2009, Navios Logistics took delivery of a product tanker vessel named the Makenita H. The purchase price of the vessel (including direct costs) amounted to approximately \$25.2 million.

On October 29, 2009, Navios Logistics acquired 51% of the outstanding share capital of Hidronave S.A. for cash consideration of \$0.5 million and took delivery of the Nazira, a push-boat.

Financing activities

On March 31, 2008, Nauticler S.A. entered into a \$70.0 million loan facility with Marfin Egnatia Bank S.A. for the purpose of providing Nauticler S.A. with investment capital to be used in connection with the acquisition of a fleet of barges and pushboats. The loan is repayable in one installment and bears interest at LIBOR plus 175 bps. In March 2009, Nauticler S.A. transferred its loan facility of \$70.0 million to Marfin Popular Bank Public Co. Ltd. The amended facility provides for an additional one-year extension, by March 2012, and an increase in margin to 275 bps.

On September 4, 2009, HS Navigation Inc. entered into a loan facility for an amount of up to \$18.7 million which bears interest at LIBOR plus 225 bps in order to finance the acquisition cost of Estefania H. The loan will be repaid by installments of not less than 90% of the amount of the last hire payment due to be paid to HS Navigation Inc. The repayment date shall not extend beyond May 15, 2016. As of December 31, 2009, the amount outstanding under this facility was \$16.2 million.

In connection with the acquisition of Hidronave S.A. on October 29, 2009, Navios Logistics assumed a \$0.8 million loan facility that was entered into by Hidronave in 2001 in order to finance the building of a pushboat (Nazira). As of December 31, 2009, the outstanding loan balance was \$0.8 million. The loan facility bears a fixed interest rate of 600 bps. The loan will be repaid by installments of \$5,740 each and the final repayment date shall not extend beyond August 10, 2021.

On December 15, 2009 HS Tankers Inc. entered into a loan facility for an amount of up to \$24.0 million, which bears interest at LIBOR plus 225 bps to finance the acquisition cost of Makenita H. The loan will be repaid by installments of not less than 90% of the amount of the last hire payment due to be paid to HS Tankers Inc prior to the repayment date and (b) \$0.3 million, inclusive of any interest accrued in relation to the loan at that time. The repayment date shall not extend beyond March 24, 2016. As of December 31, 2009, the amount outstanding under this facility was \$24.0 million.

EBITDA: EBITDA represents net income before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization. Navios Logistics uses EBITDA because Navios Logistics believes that EBITDA is a basis upon which operational performance can be assessed and because it is used by certain investors to measure Navios Logistics' ability to service and/or incur indebtedness, pay capital expenditures and meet working capital requirements. Navios Logistics also uses EBITDA: (i) by prospective and current lessors as well as potential lenders to evaluate potential transactions; and (ii) to evaluate and price potential acquisition candidates. Navios Logistics' calculation of EBITDA may not be comparable to that reported by other companies due to differences in methods of calculation. Adjusted EBITDA is not relevant to the Navios Logistics' business.

EBITDA Reconciliation to Net Income

(Expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)	Year ended December 31, 2009	Year ended December 31, 2008	CNSA Year ended December 31, 2007
Net income	\$ 5,350	\$ 3,427	\$ 2,963
Depreciation and amortization	21,604	18,562	1,867
Dry dock amortization	270	27	
Interest income	(11)	(502)	(148)
Interest expense and finance cost, net	4,247	4,421	
Income taxes	(1,863)	981	
EBITDA	\$ 29,597	\$ 26,916	\$ 4,682

EBITDA increased by \$2.7 million to \$29.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to \$26.9 million for the same period of 2008. The increase is mainly attributable to the increase in revenue by \$31.1 million. The above increase was mitigated mainly by: (a) a \$23.7 million increase in time charter, voyage expenses and port terminal expenses; (b) a \$1.0 million increase in general and administrative expenses; (c) a \$1.8 million increase in other income and expenses, net; (d) \$1.3 million increase in provision for losses on accounts receivable; and (e) a \$0.6 million increase in noncontrolling interest.

EBITDA increased by \$22.2 million to \$26.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase is mainly attributable to (a) the increase in revenue by \$98.1 million to \$107.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to \$9.7 million for the same period during 2007. The above increase was mitigated mainly by (a) the increase in time charter, voyage expenses and port terminal expenses by \$66.4 million; (b) the increase in general and administrative expenses by \$7.6 million; (c) the increase in net other expense by \$1.4 million; and (d) a \$0.5 million increase in noncontrolling interest.

Table of Contents***Purchase Accounting***

On January 1, 2008, pursuant to a share purchase agreement, Navios Holdings contributed a) \$112.2 million in cash and b) the authorized capital stock of its wholly owned subsidiary Corporacion Navios Sociedad Anonima (CNSA) in exchange for the issuance and delivery of 12,765 shares of Navios Logistics, representing 63.8% (67.2% excluding contingent consideration) of its outstanding stock. Navios Logistics acquired all ownership interests in the Horamar Group (Horamar) in exchange for a) \$112.2 million in cash, of which \$5.0 million was kept in escrow (\$2.5 million as of December 31, 2009) payable upon the attainment of certain EBITDA targets during specified periods through December 2008 (the EBITDA Adjustment) and b) the issuance of 7,235 shares of Navios Logistics representing 36.2% (32.8% excluding contingent consideration) of Navios Logistics outstanding stock, of which 1,007 shares were kept in escrow (504 shares still kept as of December 31, 2009) pending the EBITDA Adjustment.

In November 2008, part of the contingent consideration for the acquisition of Horamar was released, as Horamar achieved the interim EBITDA target. Following the resolution of the contingency, \$2.5 million in cash and 503 shares were released to the shareholders of Horamar. In accordance with the amended share purchase agreement, the final EBITDA target may be resolved until June 30, 2010.

The acquisition was accounted for under the purchase method according to which Horamar's assets and liabilities were revalued to 100% of their respective fair values. CNSA's assets and liabilities were recorded at carryover basis, reflecting the common control nature of the transaction.

Goodwill arising from the acquisition has all been allocated to the Navios Holdings Logistics Business segment. None of the goodwill is deductible for tax purposes.

On October 29, 2009, Navios Logistics acquired the 51% of the outstanding share capital of Hidronave S.A. for a cash consideration of \$0.5 million and took delivery of the Nazira, a push-boat. The fair value of the asset at the acquisition date was \$1.7 million and the goodwill arising from the acquisition amounted to \$0.3 million and has all been allocated to the company's Logistics segment.

Non-Guarantor Subsidiary

Our non-guarantor subsidiary, Navios Logistics (after deducting the noncontrolling interest), accounted for approximately \$138.9 million, or 23.2% of our total revenue, \$3.5 million, or 5.2%, of our net income and approximately \$27.8 million, or 13.4%, of Adjusted EBITDA, in each case for the year ended December 31, 2009, \$107.8 million, or 8.7% of our total revenue, \$2.2 million, or 1.9%, of our net income and approximately \$28.5 million, or 17.2%, of Adjusted EBITDA, in each case for the year ended December 31, 2008, and to \$9.7 million, or 1.2% of our total revenue, \$3.0 million, or 1.1%, of our net income and approximately \$4.7 million, or 1.3%, of Adjusted EBITDA, in each case for the year ended December 31, 2007

B. Liquidity and Capital Resources

Navios Holdings has historically financed its capital requirements with cash flows from operations, equity contributions from stockholders and bank loans. Main uses of funds have been capital expenditures for the acquisition of new vessels, new construction and upgrades at the port terminals, expenditures incurred in connection with ensuring that the owned vessels comply with international and regulatory standards, repayments of bank loans and payments of dividends. Navios Holdings anticipates that cash on hand, internally generated cash flows and borrowings under the existing credit facilities will be sufficient to fund the operations of the fleet and the logistics business, including working capital requirements. However, see *Exercise of Vessel Purchase Options*, *Working Capital Position* and *Long Term Debt Obligations and Credit Arrangements* for further discussion of Navios Holdings' working capital position.

In November 2008, the Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program of up to \$25.0 million of Navios Holdings' common stock pursuant to a program adopted under Rule 10b5-1 under the Securities Exchange Act, as amended. The program does not require any minimum purchase or any specific number or amount of shares and may be suspended or reinstated at any time in Navios Holdings' discretion and without notice. Repurchases are subject to restrictions under the terms of Navios Holdings' credit facilities and senior notes. During the year ended December 31, 2009, 331,900 shares were repurchased under this program for a total consideration of \$0.7 million. Since the initiation of the program, 907,480 shares have been repurchased for a total consideration of \$1.8 million.

The following table presents cash flow information for each of the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

(in thousands of U.S. Dollars)	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Net cash provided by /(used in) operating activities	\$ 216,451	\$ (28,388)	\$ 128,075
Net cash used in investing activities	(802,538)	(452,637)	(16,451)
Net cash provided by financing activities	626,396	187,082	216,285
Increase / (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	40,309	(293,943)	327,909
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	133,624	427,567	99,658
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 173,933	\$ 133,624	\$ 427,567

Cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the cash used in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2008:

Net cash from operating activities decreased by \$156.5 million to \$216.5 million cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to \$28.4 million cash used in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2008. In determining net cash from operating activities, net income is adjusted for the gain on sale of assets and effects of certain non-cash items including depreciation and amortization, deferred taxes and unrealized gains and losses on derivatives which may be analyzed in detail as follows:

Table of Contents

(in thousands of U.S. Dollars)	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008
Net income	\$ 70,964	\$ 120,250
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in)/ provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	73,885	57,062
Amortization and write-off of deferred financing cost	6,682	2,077
Amortization of deferred dry dock costs	2,441	1,933
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	2,237	2,668
Unrealized (gain)/loss on derivatives	(1,674)	8,220
Unrealized (gain)/loss on warrants	(5,863)	5,282
Unrealized (gain)/loss on interest rate swaps	(1,774)	1,874
Share based compensation	2,187	2,694
Gains on sale of assets/partial sale of subsidiary	(20,785)	(27,817)
Deferred taxes	(1,565)	(56,113)
Earnings in affiliates and joint ventures, net of dividends received	(1,355)	(4,517)
Compensation income	(6,082)	
Unrealized loss on available for sale securities	13,778	
Net income adjusted for non-cash items	133,076	113,613

The net fair value of open FFA trades as included in the balance sheet at December 31, 2009, was higher than in the same period of 2008 and amounted to \$18.7 million and \$15.5 million, respectively, reflecting the marked-to-market values at the end of the respective years.

The liability for derivative accounts decreased during the year ended December 31, 2009 by \$71.6 million due to movement in the unrealized component of NOS ASA and LCH portfolios, directly affecting the cash flow statement, changing from a \$83.3 million loss to a \$10.2 million loss and the payments relating to interest rate swaps of \$1.5 million.

Restricted cash increased by \$89.3 million from \$17.9 million at December 31, 2008 to \$107.2 million at December 31, 2009. The primary reason for this increase was (a) the amount of \$90.9 million prepaid upon the issuance of the ship mortgage notes and currently held in a pledged account with HSH Nordbank and Commerzbank AG to be released to the Company subject to nominations of substitute vessels agreed by the bank which forms part of financing activities, (b) \$9.5 million following the amendment of the facility with HSH Nordbank and Commerzbank AG in March 2009, according to which Navios Holdings is obliged to accumulate cash reserves into a pledged account with the agent bank of \$14.0 million (\$5.0 million in March 2009 and \$1.1 million on each loan repayment date during 2009 and 2010, starting from January 2009) and (c) \$0.6 million increase in restricted cash of Navios Logistics. This increase was partially mitigated by (a) a decrease in deposits made to NOS ASA and LCH in 2009 with respect to FFAs trading by \$1.1 million and \$8.9 million, respectively, due to the decrease in the number of trades with NOS ASA and LCH at year end 2009 compared with the same period in 2008 and (b) \$1.7 million decrease in other pledged accounts.

Accounts receivable, net, decreased by \$31.3 million from \$109.8 million at December 31, 2008 to \$78.5 million at December 31, 2009. The primary reason was a decrease in the receivable amount from FFA trading partners which decreased by \$37.8 million from \$53.8 million at December 31, 2008 to \$16.0 million at December 31, 2009 and a decrease in all other receivable categories by \$3.4 million. This decrease was offset by a \$9.9 million increase in other categories of accounts receivable.

Prepaid expenses and other current assets decreased by \$0.6 million from \$28.3 million at December 31, 2008 to \$27.7 million at December 31, 2009. The main reason was a decrease in claims receivables of \$2.6 million and the

decrease in prepaid voyage and operating costs of \$5.6 million. This increase was offset by an increase in inventories of \$3.4 million, an increase of \$2.3 million in contributions from noncontrolling shareholders (relates to pending contributions from noncontrolling shareholders of Malva H, Estefania H and Makenita H), an increase of \$0.5 million in prepaid taxes and a net increase in other assets of \$1.4 million.

Accounts payable decreased by \$10.5 million from \$72.5 million at December 31, 2008 to \$62.0 million at December 31, 2009. The primary reasons were the decrease in the amount due to FFA trading counterparties, which decreased by \$30.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2009, the decrease by \$1.0 million in legal, audit and consulting payables and the net decrease by \$1.8 million in other accounts payable. This decrease was mitigated by an increase of (a) \$10.4 million in accounts payable trade to head owners, (b) \$1.4 million in accounts payable to port agents, (c) \$2.8 million in bunkers and lubricants suppliers, and (d) \$7.8 million in accounts payable relating to logistics business.

Accrued expenses increased by \$13.5 million to \$48.0 million at December 31, 2009 from \$34.5 million at December 31, 2008. The primary reason was an increase in accrued interest of \$6.1 million, an increase of \$3.2 million in accrued expenses relating to direct vessel expenses, an increase of \$2.8 million in accrued trade payables, an increase of \$0.5 million in dividend payable

Table of Contents

relating to the preferred stock issued during 2009, an increase of \$0.4 million in consultancy and legal fees and a net increase of \$0.5 million in all other categories.

Deferred income and cash received in advance decreased by \$1.8 million to \$9.5 million at December 31, 2009 from \$11.3 million at December 31, 2008. Deferred income primarily reflects freight and charter-out amounts collected on voyages that have not been completed and the current portion of the deferred gain from the sale of Navios Hope, Navios Apollon and Navios Sagittarius to Navios Partners to be amortized over the next year amounting to \$3.7 million. The primary reason for the decrease in deferred income was the decrease in deferred freight by \$7.6 million and the net decrease of \$1.0 million in all other categories deferred hire, which increased by \$4.3 million and deferred gain from the sale of assets that increased by \$2.5 million.

Cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2008:

Cash used in investing activities was \$802.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, or an increase of \$349.9 million from \$452.6 million from the same period in 2008.

Cash used in investing activities was the result of: (a) the payment of \$25.6 million and \$31.6 million cash portion for the acquisition of the Navios Vega in February 2009 and Navios Celestial in September 2009 respectively, and \$455.6 million cash portion for the acquisition of seven Capesize vessels (Navios Bonavis, Navios Happiness, Navios Pollux, Navios Aurora II, Navios Lumen, Navios Phoenix and Navios Stellar); (b) the deposits for acquisitions of Capesize vessels under construction amounting to \$238.8 million; (c) \$90.9 million that are kept in a pledged account and may be released to the Company subject to nominations of substitute vessels agreed by the bank; (d) the purchase of other fixed assets amounting \$26.9 million mainly relating to the construction of the new silo of Navios Logistics and the acquisition of the tanker vessel Makenita H; and (e) the payment of \$0.4 million for the acquisition of Hidronave S.A. net of cash acquired. The above was offset by \$0.5 million received in connection with the capital lease receivable and by \$66.6 million consideration received for the sale of Navios Apollon and for the sale of the rights of the Navios Sagittarius to Navios Partners.

Cash used in investing activities was \$452.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. This was the result of (a) the payment of \$110.1 million (net of acquired cash of \$5.6 million) for the acquisition of Horamar, (b) the acquisition of the vessels Navios Orbiter, Navios Hope and Navios Ulysses for an aggregate amount of \$118.8 million, (c) the deposits on vessel acquisitions amounting to \$197.9 million relating to the deposits for the eight Capesize vessels that were to be delivered on various dates through the fourth quarter of 2009 and the two Ultra Handymaxes, delivered on each of October 10, 2008 and February 18, 2009, and (d) the purchase of other fixed assets amounting to \$100.8 million mainly relating to the acquisition of tanker vessels, barges and push boats. The above was offset by \$4.8 million received in connection with the finance lease receivable, the proceeds of \$35.1 million from the sale of Obeliks and \$35.0 million cash proceeds from the sale of Navios Hope to Navios Partners.

Cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2008:

Cash provided by financing activities was \$626.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$187.1 million for the same period of 2008.

Cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2009 was the result of \$603.2 million of loan proceeds (net of relating financing fees of \$18.1 million) in connection with (a) \$48.5 million drawdown from the loan facility with DNB NOR BANK ASA for the construction of one Capesize vessel, (b) \$124.5 million drawdown from the loan facilities of Emporiki Bank of Greece for the construction of two Capesize vessels, (c) a \$60.0 million drawdown from Commerzbank for the acquisition of the Navios Bonavis, (d) \$115.8 million drawdown from Commerzbank for the construction of three Capesize vessels, (e) \$120.0 million drawdown from Deka Bank for the acquisition of two Capesize vessels, (f) \$20.0 million drawdown of the unsecured bond for the acquisition of Navios Pollux, (g) \$110.0 million drawdown from the Marfin Egnatia Bank loan facility and (h) the net movement of \$22.5 from the Logistics loan due to the drawdown for the construction of Makenita H and the acquisition of Hidronave S.A. This was offset by: (a) the acquisition of treasury stock amounting to \$0.7 million; (b) the \$9.5 million increase in restricted cash required under the amendment in one of its facility agreements; (c) the \$27.6 million of dividends paid during 2009 in connection with the third quarter and fourth quarter of 2008 and the first and second quarters of 2009;

and (d) a \$334.0 million repayment of Navios Holdings' outstanding debt.

Cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2008 was the result of \$119.8 million in loan proceeds (net of relating finance fees of \$2.3 million) in connection with the loan facility of Nauticler S.A. of \$70.0 million, the loan facilities with DNB NOR BANK ASA of \$18.0 million and Emporiki Bank of Greece of \$34.1 million for the construction of four Capesize vessels, \$90.0 million drawdown from the revolving facility with Marfin Egnatia Bank, \$102.7 million drawdowns from the available HSH revolving facility, and \$6.7 million of cash proceeds relating to the issuance of common stock through exercise of warrants. This was offset by (a) the acquisition of treasury stock amounting to \$51.0 million, (b) the \$52.6 million repayment of Navios Holdings' outstanding debt, and (c) \$28.6 million of dividends paid.

Cash used in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to the cash provided for the year ended December 31, 2007:

Net cash from operating activities decreased by \$156.5 million to \$28.4 million cash used in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to \$128.1 million cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

The net fair value of open FFA trades as included in the balance sheet at December 31, 2008, was higher than in the same period of 2007 and amounted to \$15.5 million and \$10.4 million respectively, reflecting the marked-to-market values at the end of the respective years.

The liability for derivative accounts decreased during the year ended December 31, 2008 by \$167.3 million due to movement in the unrealized component of NOS ASA and LCH portfolios, directly affecting the cash flow statement, changing from a \$81.6 million gain to a \$83.4 million loss and the payments relating to interest rate swaps of \$2.3 million.

Restricted cash decreased by \$65.8 million from \$83.7 million at December 31, 2007 to \$17.9 million at December 31, 2008. The primary reason was the decrease in deposits made to NOS ASA and LCH in 2008 with respect to FFAs trading by \$34.5 million and \$31.4 million, respectively, due to the decrease in the number of trades with NOS ASA and LCH at year end 2008 compared with the same period in 2007.

Accounts receivable, net increased by \$4.8 million from \$105.0 million at December 31, 2007 to \$109.8 million at December 31, 2008 (including receivables as a result of the acquisition of Horamar amounting to \$9.7 million). The primary reason was the increase in accounts receivable relating to logistics business of \$13.7 million. This increase was mitigated by the change in the amount receivable from FFA trading partners which decreased by \$5.3 million from \$59.0 million at December 31, 2007 to \$53.7 million at December 31, 2008 and a decrease in all other receivable categories by \$3.6 million.

Prepaid expenses and other current assets decreased by \$12.8 million from \$41.1 million at December 31, 2007 to \$28.3 million at December 31, 2008 (including prepaid expenses and other current assets obtained as a result of the acquisition of Horamar amounting to \$3.4 million). The main reason was the decrease in inventories of \$2.3 million, the decrease in prepaid voyage and operating costs of \$16.0 million and the net decrease in other assets of \$0.6 million. This decrease was mitigated by an increase in claims receivables of \$6.1 million.

Accounts payable decreased by \$34.2 million from \$106.7 million at December 31, 2007 to \$72.5 million at December 31, 2008 (including accounts payable obtained as a result of the acquisition of Horamar amounting to \$7.4 million). The primary reasons were the change in the amount due to FFA trading partners, which decreased by \$43.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2008, and the decrease by \$4.2 million in bunkers and lubricants suppliers. This decrease was mitigated by an increase of \$10.2 million in accounts payable relating to logistics business due to acquisition of Horamar, the increase in head owners payables by \$2.7 million and the increase in other suppliers and brokers by \$0.7 million.

Accrued expenses decreased by \$3.4 million to \$34.5 million at December 31, 2008 (including accrued expenses obtained as a result of the acquisition of Horamar amounting to \$6.0 million) from \$37.9 million at December 31, 2007. The primary reason was the decrease of the provision by \$10.1 million for losses on voyage in progress compared to the same period in 2007 and the decrease in consultancy and legal fees by \$2.5 million. The above decrease was mitigated by an increase in taxes payable of \$2.3 million due to the acquisition of Horamar, an increase in accrued interest of \$3.3 million, an increase in accrued voyage expenses by \$3.0 million and a \$0.6 million increase in all other categories.

Deferred income primarily reflects freight and charter-out amounts collected on voyages that have not been completed and the current portion of the deferred gain from the sale of Navios Hope to Navios Partners to be amortized over the next year amounting to \$1.2 million. Deferred freight decreased by \$11.2 million and deferred hire decreased by \$10.0 million as a result of the decrease in the number of voyages extending over the period end.

Cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2007:

Cash used in investing activities was \$452.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, or an increase of \$436.1 million from \$16.5 million for the same period in 2007.

Cash used in investing activities was the result of (a) the payment of \$110.1 million (net of acquired cash of \$5.6 million) for the acquisition of Horamar, (b) the acquisition of the vessels Navios Orbiter, Navios Hope and Navios Ulysses amounting to \$118.8 million, (c) the deposits on vessel acquisitions amounting to \$197.9 million relating mainly to the deposits for the eight Capesize vessels to be delivered in various dates until the fourth quarter of 2009 and the two Ultra Handymaxes, delivered on October 10, 2008 and on February 18, 2009, and (d) the purchase

of other fixed assets amounting to \$100.8 million mainly relating to the acquisition of tanker vessels, barges and push boats. The above was offset by \$4.8 million received in connection with the finance lease receivable, the proceeds of \$35.1 million from the sale of Obeliks and \$35.0 million cash proceeds from the sale of Navios Hope to Navios Partners.

Cash used in investing activities was \$16.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This was the result of the payment of \$145.4 million (net of acquired cash of \$22.1 million), for the acquisition of Kleimar, the acquisition of Navios Hyperion amounting to \$18.4 million, the payment of \$26.1 million for the acquisition of the 50% of White Narcissus S.A., the vessel owning company of 50% of the vessel Navios Asteriks, the payment of \$182.8 million as deposits for the acquisition of Capesize vessels, the deposit of \$5.5 million in restricted accounts in connection with the acquisition of Navios Orbiter and Fantastiks and the purchase of property plant and equipment amounting to \$0.6 million. The above was offset by \$9.0 million received in connection with the capital lease receivable and by \$353.3 million of aggregate cash proceeds from the sale of eight of Navios Holdings wholly-owned subsidiaries to Navios Partners.

Table of Contents**Cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2007:**

Cash provided by financing activities was \$187.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to \$216.3 million for the same period of 2007.

Cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2008 was the result of \$119.8 million in loan proceeds (net of relating finance fees of \$2.3 million) in connection with the loan facility of Nauticler S.A. of \$70.0 million, the loan facilities with DNB NOR BANK ASA of \$18.0 million and Emporiki Bank of Greece of \$34.1 million for the construction of four Capesize vessels, \$90.0 million drawdown from the new revolving facility with Marfin Egnatia Bank, \$102.7 million drawdowns from the available HSH revolving facility, and \$6.7 million of cash proceeds relating to the issuance of common stock through exercise of warrants. This was offset by (a) the acquisition of treasury stock amounting to \$51.0 million, (b) the \$52.6 million repayment of Navios Holdings outstanding debt, and (c) \$28.6 million of dividends paid.

Cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2007 was the result of (a) the proceeds of approximately \$114.7 million relating to share capital increases due to exercise of warrants, (b) the net proceeds of approximately \$124.9 million relating to the increase in share capital through a secondary public offering, (c) the net proceeds from Emporiki Bank of Greece of \$16.6 million and (d) the proceeds from a new secured credit facility which is composed of a \$280.0 million Term Loan Facility and a \$120.0 million reducing Revolving Credit Facility. The above increase was offset by a \$136.0 million installments paid in connection with the Company's credit facilities and \$26.0 million of dividends paid.

Adjusted EBITDA: EBITDA represents net income before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization. Adjusted EBITDA in this document represents EBITDA before stock based compensation. Navios Holdings uses Adjusted EBITDA because Navios Holdings believes that Adjusted EBITDA is a basis upon which liquidity can be assessed and presents useful information to investors regarding Navios Holdings' ability to service and/or incur indebtedness, pay capital expenditures, meet working capital requirements and pay dividends. Navios Holdings also uses Adjusted EBITDA: (i) by prospective and current lessors as well as potential lenders to evaluate potential transactions; and (ii) to evaluate and price potential acquisition candidates.

Adjusted EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of Navios Holdings' results as reported under U.S. GAAP. Some of these limitations are: (i) Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, working capital needs; and (ii) although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized may have to be replaced in the future, and Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect any cash requirements for such capital expenditures. Because of these limitations, Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as a principal indicator of Navios Holdings' performance. Our calculation of Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to that reported by other companies due to differences in methods of calculation.

Adjusted EBITDA for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$206.8 million and \$165.5 million, respectively. The \$41.3 million increase in EBITDA was primarily due to: (a) a decrease in time charter, voyage and logistic business expenses by \$712.4 million from \$1,066.2 million in 2008 to \$353.8 million in the same period in 2009; (b) an increase in equity in net earnings from affiliated companies by \$11.8 million from \$17.4 million in 2008 to \$29.2 million for the same period of 2009. This overall favorable variance of \$724.2 million was mitigated mainly by (a) a decrease in revenue by \$647.4 million from \$1,246.1 million in 2008 to \$598.7 million for the same period in 2009; (b) an increase in direct vessel expenses (excluding the amortization of deferred dry dock and special survey costs) by \$4.3 million from \$24.7 million in 2008 to \$29.0 million for the same period in 2009; (c) an increase in general and administrative expenses by \$4.4 million from \$37.3 million in 2008 to \$41.7 million for the same period in 2009 (excluding \$2.2 million and \$2.7 million share-based compensation for 2009 and 2008, respectively); (d) a decrease in gain from derivatives by \$7.7 million from \$8.1 million in 2008 to \$0.4 million for the same period in 2009; (e) an increase in net other expenses by \$10.8 million; (f) an increase in income attributable to noncontrolling interests by \$1.3 million; and (g) a decrease in gains from sale of assets by \$7.0 million.

Adjusted EBITDA for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$165.5 million and \$349.9 million, respectively. The decrease in Adjusted EBITDA of \$184.4 million was due to: (a) the \$167.5 million gain recognized

from sale of assets of Navios Holdings to Navios Partners in November 2007; (b) a decrease in gain from derivatives by \$17.0 million from \$25.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2007 to \$8.1 million in the same period in 2008; (c) an increase in time charter, voyage and logistic business expenses by \$508.6 million from \$557.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2007 to \$1,066.2 million in the same period in 2008; (d) an increase in general and administrative expenses by \$11.9 million from \$22.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2007 to \$34.4 million for the same period in 2008 (excluding \$2.7 million and \$0.6 million share-based compensation for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively); (e) a \$1.3 million decrease in interest income from finance leases; (f) a \$1.7 million decrease due to noncontrolling interest; (g) \$2.7 million of write off of doubtful accounts relating to FFA trading; and (h) a \$6.1 million increase in net other expenses. This overall unfavorable variance of \$716.8 million was mitigated by \$27.8 million gain recognized on sale of vessels Obeliks and Navios Hope and of CNSA to the noncontrolling shareholders of Navios Logistics in 2008, \$487.6 million increase in revenue, \$15.5 million increase in equity in net earnings from affiliated companies and \$1.5 million decrease in direct vessel expenses (excluding the amortization of deferred dry dock and special survey costs).

Table of Contents**Long-Term Debt Obligations and Credit Arrangements:**

Senior Notes: In December 2006, the Company issued \$300.0 million senior notes at 9.5% fixed rate due on December 15, 2014. The senior notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally and on an unsecured senior basis, by all of Company's subsidiaries, other than a subsidiary of Kleimar, Navios Logistics and its subsidiaries and the general partner of Navios Partners. In addition, the Company has the option to redeem the notes in whole or in part, at any time (1) before December 15, 2010, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus a make whole price which is based on a formula calculated using a discount rate of treasury bonds plus 50 bps, and (2) on or after December 15, 2010, at a fixed price of 104.75%, which price declines ratably until it reaches par in 2012. Furthermore, upon occurrence of certain change of control events, the holders of the notes may require the Company to repurchase some or all of the notes at 101% of their face amount. Under a registration rights agreement the Company and the guarantors filed a registration statement no later than June 25, 2007 which became effective on July 5, 2007, enabling the holders of notes to exchange the privately placed notes with publicly registered notes with identical terms. The senior notes contain covenants which, among other things, limit the incurrence of additional indebtedness, issuance of certain preferred stock, the payment of dividends, redemption or repurchase of capital stock or making restricted payments and investments, creation of certain liens, transfer or sale of assets, entering in transactions with affiliates, merging or consolidating or selling all or substantially all of Company's properties and assets and creation or designation of restricted subsidiaries. Pursuant to the covenant regarding asset sales, the Company has to repay the senior notes at par plus interest with the proceeds of certain asset sales if the proceeds from such asset sales are not reinvested in the business within a specified period or used to pay secured debt.

Ship Mortgage Notes: In November 2009, the Company issued \$400.0 million first priority ship mortgage notes due on November 1, 2017 at 8.875% fixed rate. The ship mortgage notes are senior obligations of Navios Holdings and are secured by first priority ship mortgages on 15 vessels owned by certain subsidiary guarantors and other related collateral securities. The ship mortgage notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally by all of our direct and indirect subsidiaries that guarantee the 9.5% senior notes. The guarantees of our subsidiaries that own mortgage vessels are senior secured guarantees and the guarantees of our subsidiaries that do not own mortgage vessels are senior unsecured guarantees. Concurrently with the issuance of the ship mortgage notes, Navios Holdings has deposited \$105.0 million from the proceeds of the issuance into an escrow account. In December 2009, this amount was released to partially finance the acquisition of two designated Capesize vessels. At any time before November 1, 2012, Navios Holdings may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the ship mortgage notes with the net proceeds of a public equity offering at 108.875% of the principal amount of the ship mortgage notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, so long as at least 65% of the originally issued aggregate principal amount of the ship mortgage notes remains outstanding after such redemption. In addition, the Company has the option to redeem the ship mortgage notes in whole or in part, at any time (1) before November 1, 2013, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus a make whole price which is based on a formula calculated using a discount rate of treasury bonds plus 50 bps and (2) on or after November 1, 2013, at a fixed price of 104.438%, which price declines ratably until it reaches par in 2015. Furthermore, upon occurrence of certain change of control events, the holders of the ship mortgage notes may require the Company to repurchase some or all of the notes at 101% of their face amount. Under a registration rights agreement, the Company and the guarantors have agreed to file a registration statement no later than five business days following the first year anniversary of the issuance of the ship mortgage notes enabling the holders of ship mortgage notes to exchange the privately placed notes with publicly registered notes with identical terms. The ship mortgage notes contain covenants which, among other things, limit the incurrence of additional indebtedness, issuance of certain preferred stock, the payment of dividends, redemption or repurchase of capital stock or making restricted payments and investments, creation of certain liens, transfer or sale of assets, entering into certain transactions with affiliates, merging or consolidating or selling all or substantially all of Company's properties and assets and creation or designation of restricted subsidiaries.

HSH/Commerzbank Facility: In February 2007, Navios Holdings entered into a secured loan facility with HSH Nordbank and Commerzbank AG maturing on October 31, 2014. The facility composed of a \$280.0 million term loan facility and a \$120.0 million reducing revolving facility. In April 2008, the Company entered into an agreement for the amendment of the facility due to a prepayment of \$10.0 million. After such amendment the term loan facility was

repayable in 19 quarterly payments of \$2.6 million, seven quarterly payments of \$5.7 million and a balloon payment of \$166.4 million. In March 2009, Navios Holdings further amended its facility agreement, effective as of November 15, 2008, as follows: (a) to reduce the SVM ratio (ratio of the charter-free valuations of the mortgaged vessels over the outstanding loan amount) from 125% to 100%; (b) to obligate Navios Holdings to accumulate cash reserves into a pledged account with the agent bank of \$14.0 million (\$5.0 million in March 2009 and \$1.1 million on each loan repayment date during 2009 and 2010, starting from January 2009); and (c) to set the margin at 200 bps. The amendment was effective until January 31, 2010. The loan facility requires compliance with the covenants contained in the senior notes. The loan facility also requires compliance with financial covenants including, specified Security Value Maintenance to total debt percentage and minimum liquidity. It is an event of default under the credit facility if such covenants are not complied with or if Angeliki Frangou, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, beneficially owns less than 20% of the issued stock.

The revolving credit facility is available for future acquisitions and general corporate and working capital purposes. Following the sale of Navios Apollon on October 29, 2009, Navios Holdings prepaid \$13.5 million of the loan facility and permanently reduced its revolving credit facility by \$4.8 million.

Following the issuance of the ship mortgage notes in November 2009, the mortgages and security interests on 10 vessels previously secured by the loan and the revolving facility were fully released in connection with the partial prepayment of the facility with approximately \$197.6 million, of which \$195.0 million was funded from the issuance of the ship mortgage notes and the

Table of Contents

remaining \$2.6 million from the Company's cash. The Company permanently reduced the revolving facility by an amount of \$26.7 million and the term loan by \$80.0 million. The Company further agreed with HSH Nordbank and Commerzbank AG that an amount of \$90.9 million will be kept in a pledged account and may be released to the Company subject to nominations of substitute vessels agreed by the bank. Further the amount of \$13.5 million prepaid for the sale of Navios Apollon was also kept in the same escrow account.

As of December 31, 2009, the amount available under the revolving facility was \$25.0 million and the amount drawn was \$23.9 million.

Emporiki Facility: In December 2007, Navios Holdings entered into a facility agreement with Emporiki Bank of Greece of up to \$154.0 million in order to partially finance the construction of two Capesize bulk carriers. In July 2009, following an amendment of the above mentioned agreement, the amount of the facility had been changed to up to \$130.0 million. The principal amount is available for partial drawdown according to terms of the payment of the shipbuilding contracts. As of December 31, 2009, the amount drawn was \$113.9 million. The amended facility is repayable upon delivery of the Capesize vessels in 10 semi-annual installments of \$6.0 million and 10 semi-annual installments of \$4.0 million with a final payment of \$30.0 million on the last payment date. The interest rate of the amended facility is based on a margin of 175 bps. The loan facility requires compliance with the covenants contained in the senior notes.

DNB Facility: In June 2008, Navios Holdings entered into a facility agreement with DNB NOR BANK ASA of up to \$133.0 million in order to partially finance the construction of two Capesize bulk carriers. In June 2009, following an amendment of the above-mentioned agreement, one of the two tranches amounting to \$66.5 million has been cancelled following the cancellation of construction of one of the two Capesize bulk carriers. As of December 31, 2009, the total available amount of \$66.5 million was drawn. The amended facility is repayable six months following the delivery of the Capesize vessel in 11 semi-annual installments of \$2.9 million, with a final payment of \$34.6 million on the last payment date. The interest rate of the amended facility is based on a margin of 225 bps as defined in the new agreement.

Marfin Revolving Facility: In December 2008, Navios Holdings entered into a \$90.0 million revolving credit facility with Marfin Egnatia Bank for general corporate purposes. The loan is repayable in one installment in December 2010 and bears interest based on a margin of 275 bps. The facility contains customary covenants and requires compliance with certain of the covenants contained in the indenture governing the existing senior notes. Following the issuance of the ship mortgage notes in November 2009, the ship mortgage previously secured by this revolving facility was fully released in connection with the partial repayment of the facility with approximately \$83.4 million and the remaining balance amount of \$6.6 million was fully repaid in December 2009.

Dekabank Facility: In February 2009 (amended and restated in May 2009), Navios Holdings entered into a facility of up to \$120.0 million with Dekabank Deutsche Girozentrale to finance the acquisition of two Capesize vessels. The loan is repayable upon delivery of the Capesize vessels in 20 semi-annual installments and bears an interest rate based on a margin of 190 bps. The loan facility requires compliance with the covenants contained in the senior notes. The loan also requires compliance with certain financial covenants. As of December 31, 2009, the full amount was drawn following the delivery of the two Capesize vessels.

Convertible Debt: In February 2009, Navios Holdings issued a \$33.5 million convertible debt at a fixed rate of 2% exercisable at a price of \$11.00 per share, exercisable until February 2012, in order to partially finance the acquisition of the Navios Vega. Interest is payable semi-annually. Unless previously converted, the amount is payable in February 2012. The Company has the option to redeem the debt in whole or in part in multiples of a thousand dollars, at any time after February 2010 at a redemption price equal to 105% of the principal amount to be redeemed and any time thereafter, at redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount to be redeemed. The convertible debt was recorded at fair market value on issuance at a discounted face value of 94.5%. The fair market value was determined using a binomial stock price tree model that considered both the debt and conversion features. The model used takes into account the credit spread of the Company, the volatility of its stock, as well as the price of its stock at the issuance date.

Marfin Facility: In March 2009, Navios Holdings entered into a loan facility with Marfin Egnatia Bank of up to \$110.0 million to be used to finance the pre-delivery installments for the construction of two Capesize vessels and for

general corporate purposes. Originally, \$57.2 million of the facility were repayable upon delivery of two Capesize vessels during 2009 and the remaining in one installment in February 2011. Following the refinancing of this facility in October 2009, as a result of which one subsidiary that is a guarantor of the ship mortgage notes issued in November 2009 was replaced as borrower with another, the facility was extended to October 2011. It bears interest at a rate based on a margin of 275 bps. As of December 31, 2009, \$34.0 million was outstanding under this facility.

Commerzbank Facility: In June 2009, Navios Holdings entered into a new facility agreement of up to \$240.0 million (divided into four tranches of \$60.0 million) with Commerzbank AG in order to partially finance the acquisition of a Capesize vessel and the construction of three Capesize vessels. The principal amount for the three Capesize vessels under construction is available for partial drawdown according to the terms of the payment of the shipbuilding contracts. Each tranche of the facility is repayable starting three months after the delivery of each Capesize vessel in 40 quarterly installments of \$0.9 million with a final payment of \$24.7 million on the last payment date. It bears interest at a rate based on a margin of 225 bps. As of December 31, 2009, the outstanding amount was \$174.1 million. The loan facility requires compliance with the covenants contained in the senior notes. The loan also requires compliance with certain financial covenants.

Table of Contents

Unsecured Bond: In July 2009, Navios Holdings issued a \$20.0 million unsecured bond due in July 2012 as a partial payment for the acquisition price of a Capesize vessel. Interest will accrue on the principal amount of the unsecured bond at the rate of 6% per annum. All accrued interest (which will not be compounded) will be first due and payable in July 2012, which is the maturity date. The unsecured bond may be prepaid by Navios Holdings at any time without prepayment penalty.

Emporiki Facility: In August 2009, Navios Holdings entered into a loan agreement with Emporiki Bank of Greece of up to \$75.0 million (divided into two tranches of \$37.5 million) to partially finance the acquisition costs of two Capesize vessels. Each tranche of the facility is repayable in 20 semi-annual installments of \$1.4 million with a final payment of \$10.0 million on the last payment date. The repayment of each tranche starts six months after the delivery date of the respective Capesize vessel. It bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 175 bps. As of December 31, 2009, \$61.7 million was drawn under this facility. After delivery of the vessels the loan also requires compliance with certain financial covenants.

DVB Facility: On August 4, 2005, Kleimar entered into a \$21.0 million loan facility with DVB Bank for the purchase of a vessel. The loan was assumed upon acquisition of Kleimar and is repayable in 20 quarterly installments of \$0.3 million each with a final balloon payment of \$15.4 million in August 2010. The loan is secured by a mortgage on a vessel together with assignment of earnings and insurances. As of December 31, 2009, \$16.2 million was outstanding under this facility.

Navios Logistics loans:

On March 31, 2008, Nauticler S.A. entered into a \$70.0 million loan facility for the purpose of providing Nauticler S.A. with investment capital to be used in connection with one or more investment projects. The loan is repayable in one installment by March 2011 and bears interest at LIBOR plus 175 bps. In March 2009, Navios Logistics transferred its loan facility of \$70.0 million to Marfin Popular Bank Public Co. Ltd. The loan provided for an additional one year extension and an increase in margin to 275 bps. As of December 31, 2009, the amount outstanding under this facility was \$70.0 million.

In connection with the acquisition of Horamar, Navios Logistics assumed a \$9.5 million loan facility that was entered into by HS Shipping Ltd. Inc. in 2006, in order to finance the building of a 8,900 dwt double hull tanker (Malva H). After the vessel delivery the interest rate is LIBOR plus 150 bps. The loan will be repaid by installments that shall not be less than 90% of the amount of the last hire payment due to be paid to HS Shipping Ltd. Inc. The repayment shall not extend beyond December 31, 2011. The loan can be pre-paid before such date, upon two days written notice. Borrowings under the loan are subject to certain financial covenants and restrictions on dividend payments and other related items. As of December 31, 2009, the amount outstanding under this facility was \$8.0 million.

In connection with the acquisition of Horamar, Navios Logistics assumed a \$2.3 million loan facility that was entered into by Thalassa Energy S.A. in October 2007, in order to finance the purchase of two self-propelled barges (Formosa and San Lorenzo). The loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 150 bps. The loan will be repaid by five equal installments of \$0.5 million, three of which were made in November 2008, June 2009 and January 2010 and the remaining two will be repaid in August 2010 and March 2011. Borrowings under the loan are subject to certain financial covenants and restrictions on dividend payments and other related items. The loan is secured by a first priority mortgage over the two self-propelled barges (Formosa and San Lorenzo). As of December 31, 2009, the amount outstanding under this facility was \$1.4 million.

On September 4, 2009, HS Navigation Inc. entered into a loan facility for an amount of up to \$18.7 million which bears interest at LIBOR plus 225 bps in order to finance the acquisition cost of Estefania H. The loan will be repaid by installments that shall not be less than 90% of the amount of the last hire payment due to be paid to HS Navigation Inc. The repayment date shall not extend beyond May 15, 2016. As of December 31, 2009, the amount outstanding under this facility was \$16.2 million. Borrowings under the loan are subject to certain financial covenants and restrictions on dividend payments and other related items.

On December 15, 2009, HS Tankers Inc. entered into a loan facility in order to finance the acquisition cost of Makenita H for an amount of \$24.0 million which bears interest at LIBOR plus 225 bps. The loan will be repaid by installments. The amount of each installment shall be (a) not less than 90% of the amount of the last hire payment due

to be paid to HS Tankers Inc prior to the repayment date and (b) \$0.3 million, inclusive of any interest accrued in relation to the loan at that time. The repayment date shall not extend beyond March 24, 2016. As of December 31, 2009, the amount outstanding under this facility was \$24.0 million. Borrowings under the loan are subject to certain financial covenants and restrictions on dividend payments and other related items.

In connection with the acquisition of Hidronave S.A. on October 29, 2009, Navios Logistics assumed a \$0.8 million loan facility that was entered into by Hidronave S.A. in 2001, in order to finance the building of a pushboat (Nazira). As of December 31, 2009, the outstanding loan balance was \$0.8 million. The loan facility bears a fixed interest rate of 600 bps. The loan will be repaid by installments of \$5,740 each and the final repayment date shall not extend beyond August 10, 2021. Borrowings under the loan are subject to certain financial covenants and restrictions on dividend payments and other related items.

The maturity table below reflects the principal payments of all credit facilities outstanding as of December 31, 2009 for the next five years and thereafter are based on the repayment schedule of the respective loan facilities (as described above) and the outstanding amount due under the senior notes. The maturity table below includes in the amount shown for 2015 and thereafter future principal payments of the drawn portion of credit facilities associated with the financing of the construction of Capesize vessels scheduled to be delivered on various dates throughout 2011.

Table of Contents

Year	Amount in thousands of USD	
2010	\$	59,804
2011		80,991
2012		174,831
2013		60,327
2014		471,354
2015 and thereafter		783,650
		1,630,957

Working Capital Position: On December 31, 2009, Navios Holdings' current assets totaled \$427.7 million, while current liabilities totaled \$196.1 million, resulting in a positive working capital position of \$231.6 million. Navios Holdings' cash forecast indicates that it will generate sufficient cash during 2010 and 2011 to make the required principal and interest payments on its indebtedness, provide for the normal working capital requirements of the business and remain in a positive cash position during 2010 and 2011.

While projections indicate that existing cash balances and operating cash flows will be sufficient to service the existing indebtedness, Navios Holdings continues to review its cash flows with a view toward increasing working capital.

Capital expenditures: Since 2007, the Company has entered into agreements for the acquisition of a total of 17 newbuild Capesize vessels. In November 2008, the Company terminated three of the above contracts. All Capesize vessels were scheduled for delivery on various dates throughout 2009 until March 2011. As of December 31, 2009, the Company took delivery of seven Capesize vessels, Navios Bonavis, Navios Happiness, Navios Pollux, Navios Aurora II, Navios Lumen, Navios Phoenix and Navios Stellar. The remaining capital obligations at December 31, 2009 amounted to approximately \$275.0 million.

Concentration of Credit Risk: Concentrations of credit risk with respect to accounts receivables are limited due to Navios Holdings' large number of customers, who are internationally dispersed and have a variety of end markets in which they sell. Due to these factors, management believes that no additional credit risk beyond amounts provided for collection losses is inherent in Navios Holdings' trade receivables. For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, one customer accounted for 13.2% of the Company's revenue and for the year ended December 31, 2008 none of the customers accounted for more than 10% of the Company's revenue.

In addition we have insured our charter-out contracts through a AA+ rated governmental agency of a European Union member state, which provides that if the charterer goes into payment default, the insurer will reimburse us for the charter payments under the terms of the policy for the remaining term of the charter-out contract (subject to applicable deductibles and other customary limitations for insurance).

Effects of Inflation: Navios Holdings does not consider inflation to be a significant risk to the cost of doing business in the foreseeable future. Inflation has a moderate impact on operating expenses, dry docking expenses and corporate overhead. Refer to "Statement of Operations Breakdown by Segment" in "Operating Results" for the discussion of the effects of inflation in Navios Logistics operations.

C. Research and development, patents and licenses, etc.

Not applicable.

D. Trend information

Not applicable.

E. Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Charter hire payments to third parties for chartered-in vessels are treated as operating leases for accounting purposes. Navios Holdings is also committed to making rental payments under operating leases for its office premises. With the exception of payments made during the year ended December 31, 2009, future minimum rental payments under Navios Holdings' non-cancelable operating leases are disclosed in Navios Holdings' Consolidated Financial

Statements. As of December 31, 2009, Navios Holdings was contingently liable for letters of guarantee and letters of credit amounting to \$2.2 million issued by various banks in favor of various organizations of which \$2.2 million are collateralized by cash deposits which are included as a component of restricted cash. Navios Holdings issued no guarantees to third parties at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company's subsidiaries in South America were contingently liable for various claims and penalties towards the local tax authorities amounting to a total of approximately \$6.0 million. According to the acquisition agreement, if such cases are materialized against the Company, the amounts involved will be reimbursed by the previous shareholders, and, as such, the Company has recognized a respective receivable against such liability. The contingencies are expected to be resolved in the next five years. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters is immaterial and will not adversely affect the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Table of Contents**F. Contractual Obligations as at December 31, 2009:
Payment due by period (\$ in millions)**

	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Contractual Obligations					
Long-Term Debt obligations ^{(i)(ii) (iii)}	1,630.9	59.8	255.8	531.7	783.6
Operating Lease Obligations (Time Charters)	905.5	114.6	205.9	192.7	392.3
Operating Lease Obligations Push Boats and Barges	19.4	3.9	7.8	7.7	
Vessel Deposits ^(iv)	275.0	256.0	19.0		
Rent Obligations ^(v)	14.3	2.0	3.2	3.1	6.0
Total	2,845.1	436.3	491.7	735.2	1,181.9

(i) The amount identified does not include interest costs associated with the outstanding credit facilities which are based on LIBOR or applicable interest rate swap rates, plus the costs of complying with any applicable regulatory requirements and a margin ranging from 1.5% to 2.75% per annum.

(ii) Following the amendment of the facility agreement with HSH Nordbank and Commerzbank A.G in March 2009,

Navios Holdings has to accumulate \$14.0 million of cash reserves into a pledged account with the agent bank (\$5.0 million in March 2009 and \$1.1 million on each loan repayment date during 2009 and 2010, which commenced in January 2009).

- (iii) The long-term debt contractual obligations includes in the amount shown for more than five years future principal payments of the drawn portion of credit facilities associated with the financing of the construction of Capesize vessels scheduled to be delivered on various dates throughout March 2011.
- (iv) Future remaining contractual deposits for the seven owned Capesize vessels to be delivered in various dates until March 2011.

- (v) In October 2006, the Company signed an agreement with a third party to sublease approximately 2,000 square feet of its Norwalk office. Navios Corporation leases also approximately 11,923 square feet of space at 825 3rd Avenue, New York, pursuant to a lease that expires on April 29, 2019. Kleimar has leased approximately 387 square meters to locate its offices. Navios Logistics has several lease agreements to locate its offices. The table above incorporates only the lease obligation of the offices indicated in this footnote.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance which states that accounting and reporting for noncontrolling interests will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity. The guidance also establishes reporting requirements that provide sufficient disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. Guidance applies to all entities that prepare consolidated financial statements, except not-for-profit organizations, but will affect only those entities that have an outstanding noncontrolling interest in one or more subsidiaries or that deconsolidate a subsidiary. The guidance was effective as of January 1, 2009 and the consolidated financial statements

were updated to reflect the reporting and disclosure requirements.

Business Combinations

In December 2007, the FASB issued guidance which establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed any non controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. The guidance also establishes disclosure requirements which will enable users to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. The guidance was effective for Navios Holdings for business combinations after January 1, 2009 and it did not have a material affect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Nonfinancial Assets and Nonfinancial Liabilities

In February 2008, the FASB issued guidance which delays the effective date of the guidance application for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). For purposes of applying this guidance, nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities would include all assets and liabilities other than those meeting the definition of a financial asset or financial liability as defined in guidance The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. This guidance defers the effective date of relative guidance to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and the interim periods within those fiscal years for items within the scope of this guidance. The application of this guidance did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

Table of Contents*Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*

In March 2008, the FASB issued guidance which changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. Entities are required to provide enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under relative guidance and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. This guidance is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early application encouraged. This Statement encourages, but does not require, comparative disclosures for earlier periods at initial adoption. The adoption of the guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Determination of the useful life of intangible assets

In April 2008, FASB issued guidance which amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under guidance on goodwill and other intangible assets. The intent of this guidance is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under guidance on Business Combinations, and other U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). This guidance was effective for the Company for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years, and it did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

Determining whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities

In June 2008, FASB issued guidance which addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share (EPS) under the two-class method described in guidance on Earnings per Share. This guidance was effective for the Company for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. All prior-period EPS data presented shall be adjusted retrospectively (including interim financial statements, summaries of earnings, and selected financial data) to conform to the provisions of this guidance. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Disclosures about Credit Derivatives and Certain Guarantees

In September 2008, FASB issued guidance which amends guidance on accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities, to require disclosures by sellers of credit derivatives, including credit derivatives embedded in a hybrid instrument. This guidance also amends guidance on Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others, to require an additional disclosure about the current status of the payment/performance risk of a guarantee. Further, this guidance clarifies the Board's intent about the effective date of guidance on Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. This guidance applies to credit derivatives, hybrid instruments that have embedded credit derivatives, and guarantees. This guidance also pertains to hybrid instruments that have embedded credit derivatives (for example, credit-linked notes). The provisions of this guidance are effective for reporting periods (annual or interim) ending after November 15, 2008, and encourages that the amendments be applied in periods earlier than the effective date to facilitate comparisons at initial adoption. In periods after initial adoption, this guidance requires comparative disclosures only for periods ending subsequent to initial adoption. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Fair Value Measurements

In October 2008, the FASB issued guidance which clarifies the application of guidance on fair value measurements in a market that is not active and provides an example to illustrate key considerations in determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for that asset is not active. This guidance applies to financial assets within the scope of accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. The guidance was effective upon issuance, including prior periods for which financial statements have not been issued. Revisions resulting from a change in the valuation technique or its application shall be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate (Accounting changes and Error Corrections). The disclosure provisions for a change in accounting estimate are not required for revisions resulting from a change in valuation technique or its application. The application of this guidance did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

Accounting for an instrument (or an embedded Feature)

In November 2008, the FASB issued its final consensus on accounting for an instrument (or an embedded Feature) with a settlement amount that is based on the stock of an entity's consolidated subsidiary. This issue applies to freestanding financial instruments (and embedded features) for which the payoff to the counterparty is based, in whole or in part, on the stock of a

Table of Contents

consolidated subsidiary. This Issue applies to those instruments (and embedded features) in the consolidated financial statements of the parent, whether the instrument was entered into by the parent or the subsidiary. This Issue was effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is not permitted. The consensus shall be applied to outstanding instruments as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which this issue is initially applied. The adoption of the Issue did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

Equity Method Investment Accounting Considerations

In November 2008, the FASB issued guidance on equity method investment accounting considerations to clarify the accounting for certain transactions and impairment considerations involving equity method investments. The FASB and the IASB concluded a joint effort in converging the accounting for business combinations as well as the accounting and reporting for noncontrolling interests. The objective of that joint effort was not to reconsider the accounting for equity method investments; however, the application of the equity method is affected by the accounting for business combinations and the accounting for consolidated subsidiaries, which were affected by the issuance other guidance. This guidance was effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years, consistent with the effective dates of other relative guidance. This guidance shall be applied prospectively. Earlier application by an entity that has previously adopted an alternative accounting policy is not permitted. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities

In December 2008, the FASB issued guidance which amends accounting for transfers and servicing of financial assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities to require public entities to provide additional disclosures about transfers of financial assets. It also amends Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities to require public enterprises, including sponsors that have a variable interest in a variable interest entity, to provide additional disclosures about their involvement with variable interest entities. Additionally, this guidance requires certain disclosures to be provided by a public enterprise that is (a) a sponsor of a qualifying special-purpose entity (SPE) that holds a variable interest in the qualifying SPE but was not the transferor (nontransferor) of financial assets to the qualifying SPE and (b) a servicer of a qualifying SPE that holds a significant variable interest in the qualifying SPE but was not the transferor (nontransferor) of financial assets to the qualifying SPE. This guidance is effective for the first reporting period (interim or annual) ending after December 15, 2008, with earlier application encouraged. Its adoption did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

Amendments to the Impairment Guidance on Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased Beneficial Interests and Beneficial Interests That Continue to Be Held by a Transferor in Securitized Financial Assets

In January 2009, the FASB issued guidance to achieve more consistent determination of whether an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred. This guidance also retains and emphasizes the objective of an other-than-temporary impairment assessment and the related disclosure requirements in guidance on Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities and other related guidance. This guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after December 15, 2008, and shall be applied prospectively. Retrospective application to a prior interim or annual reporting period is not permitted. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments

In April 2009, the FASB issued guidance to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies, as well as in annual financial statements. This guidance also amends guidance on Interim Financial Reporting, to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. An entity may early adopt this guidance only if it also elects to early adopt guidance on Determining Fair Value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased and identifying transactions that are not orderly and Recognition and Presentation of other-than-temporary impairments. This guidance does not require disclosures for earlier periods presented for comparative purposes at initial adoption. In periods after initial adoption, this guidance requires comparative disclosures only for periods ending after initial adoption. This guidance will be effective for interim reporting periods after June 15, 2009, with

early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Business Combinations

In April 2009, the FASB issued guidance which amends and clarifies guidance on Business Combinations, to address application issues raised by preparers, auditors, and members of the legal profession on initial recognition and measurement subsequent measurement and accounting, and disclosure of assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in a business combination. This guidance is effective for assets or liabilities arising from contingencies in business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Its adoption did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents*Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance which amends certain requirements of guidance on Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities and to provide more relevant and reliable information to users of financial statements. This guidance carries forward the scope relative guidance, with the addition of entities previously considered qualifying special-purpose entities, as the concept of these entities was eliminated in guidance on Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets. This shall be effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period, and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. Earlier application is prohibited. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of the adoption of this guidance on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance which replaces establishes the FASB for Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) as the source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. This guidance shall be effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009, except for nonpublic nongovernmental entities that have not followed the guidance included in the AICPA Technical Inquiry Service (TIS) Section 5100, Revenue Recognition, paragraphs 38-76. An entity shall follow the disclosure requirements of relative guidance and disclose the accounting principles that were used before and after the application of the provisions of this guidance and the reason that applying this guidance resulted in a change in accounting principle or correction of an error. The adoption of this guidance did not have any material effect on the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets

In June 2009, the FASB issued this guidance to address (1) practices that have developed since the issuance relative guidance on Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, that are not consistent with the original intent and key requirements of that Statement and (2) concerns of financial statement users that many of the financial assets (and related obligations) that have been derecognized should continue to be reported in the financial statements of transferors. This guidance must be applied as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. Earlier application is prohibited. This guidance must be applied to transfers occurring on or after the effective date. Additionally, on and after the effective date, the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity is no longer relevant for accounting purposes. Therefore, formerly qualifying special-purpose entities (as defined under previous accounting standards) should be evaluated for consolidation by reporting entities on and after the effective date in accordance with the applicable consolidation guidance. If the evaluation on the effective date results in consolidation, the reporting entity should apply the transition guidance provided in the pronouncement that requires consolidation. Additionally, the disclosure provisions of this guidance should be applied to transfers that occurred both before and after its effective date. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of the adoption this guidance on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Subsequent events

In February 2010, the FASB amended its guidance which established principles and requirements for subsequent events. In particular according to the amendment the guidance removes the requirement for SEC files to disclose the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events. The amended guidance also clarifies that an entity that is a conduit bond obligor for conduit debt securities that are traded in a public market (a domestic or foreign stock exchange or an over-the-counter market, including local or regional markets) must evaluate subsequent events through the date of issuance of its financial statements and must disclose such date. There is no such change to the guidance for all other equities, who will continue to be required to evaluate subsequent events through the date the financial statements are available to be issued, and must disclose such date. This guidance is effective for entities other than conduit bond obligors upon issuance. Conduit bond obligors will be required to apply the requirements of

this guidance in fiscal periods ending after June 15, 2010. The Company's consolidated financial statements were updated to reflect the disclosure requirements.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Navios Holdings' consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires Navios Holdings to make estimates in the application of its accounting policies based on the best assumptions, judgments and opinions of management. Following is a discussion of the accounting policies that involve a higher degree of judgment and the methods of their application that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses

Table of Contents

and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of its financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Critical accounting policies are those that reflect significant judgments or uncertainties, and potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. Navios Holdings has described below what it believes are its most critical accounting policies that involve a high degree of judgment and the methods of their application. For a description of all of Navios Holdings' significant accounting policies, see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, included herein.

Use of estimates: The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. On an on-going basis, management evaluates the estimates and judgments, including those related to uncompleted voyages, future drydock dates, the carrying value of investments in affiliates, the selection of useful lives for tangible assets, expected future cash flows from long-lived assets to support impairment tests, provisions necessary for accounts receivables, provisions for legal disputes, pension benefits, and contingencies. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from those estimates under different assumptions and/or conditions.

Accounting for derivative financial instruments and hedge activities: The Company enters into drybulk shipping FFAs as economic hedges relating to identifiable ship and or cargo positions and as economic hedges of transactions the Company expects to carry out in the normal course of its shipping business. By utilizing certain derivative instruments, including drybulk shipping FFAs, the Company manages the financial risk associated with fluctuating market conditions. In entering into these contracts, the Company has assumed the risk that might arise from the possible inability of counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts.

The Company also trades drybulk shipping FFAs which are cleared through NOS ASA, a Norwegian clearing house and LCH the London clearing house. NOS ASA and LCH call for both base and margin collaterals, which are funded by Navios Holdings, and which in turn substantially eliminate counterparty risk. Certain portions of these collateral funds may be restricted at any given time as determined by NOS ASA and LCH.

At the end of each calendar quarter, the fair value of drybulk shipping FFAs traded over-the-counter are determined from an index published in London, United Kingdom and the fair value of those FFAs traded with NOS ASA and LCH are determined from the NOS ASA and LCH valuations accordingly.

Pursuant to the accounting for derivative financial instruments, the Company records all of its derivative financial instruments and hedges as economic hedges except for those qualifying for hedge accounting. Gains or losses of instruments qualifying for hedge accounting as cash flow hedges are reflected under Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) in stockholders' equity, while those instruments that do not meet the criteria for hedge accounting are reflected in the statement of operations. For FFAs that qualify for hedge accounting the changes in fair values of the effective portion representing unrealized gain or losses are recorded under Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) in the stockholders' equity while the unrealized gains or losses of the FFAs not qualifying for hedge accounting together with the ineffective portion of those qualifying for hedge accounting, are recorded in the statement of operations under Gain/(Loss) on Derivatives. The gains/(losses) included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) are being reclassified to earnings under Revenue in the statement of operations in the same period or periods during which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The reclassification to earnings commenced in the third quarter of 2006 and extended until December 31, 2008, depending on the period or periods during which the hedged forecasted transactions affected earnings. All of the amount included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) had been reclassified to earnings as of December 31, 2008. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, losses of \$0 million and \$19.9 million and \$9.8 million, respectively, included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/ (Loss), were reclassified to earnings.

The Company classifies cash flows related to derivative financial instruments within cash provided by operating activities in the consolidated statement of cash flows.

Stock-based compensation: On October 18, 2007 and December 16, 2008, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors authorized the issuance of shares of restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock options in accordance with the Company's stock option plan for its employees, officers and directors. The Company awarded shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units to its employees, officers and directors and stock options to its officers and directors, based on service conditions only, which vest over two years and three years, respectively. On December 17, 2009, the Company authorized the issuance of shares of restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock options in accordance with the Company's stock option plan for its employees, officers and directors. The awards on December 17, 2009 of restricted stock and restricted stock units to its employees, officers and directors, vest over three years.

The fair value of stock option grants is determined with reference to option pricing models, principally adjusted Black-Scholes models. The fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock units grants is determined by reference to the quoted stock price on the date of grant. Compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, is recognized based on a graded expense model over the vesting period.

Table of Contents

Impairment of long-lived assets: Vessels, other fixed assets and other long lived assets held and used by Navios Holdings are reviewed periodically for potential impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a particular asset may not be fully recoverable. In accordance with Impairment of Long Lived Assets, Navios Holdings management evaluates the carrying amounts and periods over which long-lived assets are depreciated to determine if events or changes in circumstances have occurred that would require modification to their carrying values or useful lives. In evaluating useful lives and carrying values of long-lived assets, certain indicators of potential impairment, are reviewed such as undiscounted projected operating cash flows, vessel sales and purchases, business plans and overall market conditions. Undiscounted projected net operating cash flows are determined for each vessel and compared to the vessel carrying value. In the event that impairment occurred, the fair value of the related asset is determined and a charge is recorded to operations calculated by comparing the asset's carrying value to the estimated fair market value. Fair market value is estimated primarily through the use of third-party valuations performed on an individual vessel basis.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, management of Navios Holdings after considering various indicators, including but not limited to the market price of its long-lived assets, its contracted revenues and cash flows and the economic outlook, concluded that no impairment loss should be recognized on the long-lived assets of Navios Holdings as of December 31, 2009.

Although management believes the underlying indicators supporting this assessment are reasonable, if charter rate trends and the length of the current market downturn, vary significantly from our forecasts, management may be required to perform impairment analysis in the future that could expose Navios Holdings to material impairment charges in the future.

No impairment loss was recognized for any of the periods presented.

Vessel, Port Terminal, Tanker Vessels, Barges, Push boats and Other Fixed Assets, net: Vessels, port terminal, tanker vessels, barges, push boats and other fixed assets acquired as parts of business combination would be recorded at fair market value on the date of acquisition. Vessels acquired as asset acquisitions would be stated at historical cost, which consists of the contract price, any material expenses incurred upon acquisition (improvements and delivery expenses). Subsequent expenditures for major improvements and upgrading are capitalized, provided they appreciably extend the life, increase the earning capacity or improve the efficiency or safety of the vessels. The cost and related accumulated depreciation of assets retired or sold are removed from the accounts at the time of sale or retirement and any gain or loss is included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Expenditures for routine maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred.

Depreciation is computed using the straight line method over the useful life of the vessels, after considering the estimated residual value. Management estimates the useful life of the Company's vessels to be 25 years from the vessel's original construction. However, when regulations place limitations over the ability of a vessel to trade on a worldwide basis, its useful life is re-estimated to end at the date such regulations become effective.

Deferred Dry-dock and Special Survey Costs: The Company's vessels, barges and push boats are subject to regularly scheduled dry-docking and special surveys which are carried out every 30, 60, and 84 months for vessels and barges and push boats, respectively to coincide with the renewal of the related certificates issued by the Classification Societies, unless a further extension is obtained in rare cases and under certain conditions. The costs of dry-docking and special surveys is deferred and amortized over the above periods or to the next dry-docking or special survey date if such has been determined. Unamortized dry-docking or special survey costs of vessels, barges and push boats sold are written off to income in the year the vessel, barge or push boat is sold. When vessels are acquired the portion of the vessels' capitalized cost that relates to dry-docking or special survey is treated as a separate component of the vessels' cost and is deferred and amortized as above. This cost is determined by reference to the estimated economic benefits to be derived until the next dry-docking or special survey.

Goodwill and Other Intangibles: As required by the accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets, goodwill acquired in a business combination initiated after June 30, 2001 is not to be amortized. Similarly, intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized. Rather, the guidance requires that goodwill be tested for impairment at least annually and written down with a charge to operations if the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value.

The Company evaluates impairment of goodwill using a two-step process. First, the aggregate fair value of the reporting unit is compared to its carrying amount, including goodwill. The Company determines the fair value based on a combination of discounted cash flow analysis and an industry market multiple.

If the fair value exceeds the carrying amount, no impairment exists. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds the fair value, then the Company must perform the second step in order to determine the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill and compare it with its carrying amount. The implied fair value is determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to all the assets and liabilities of that unit, as if the unit had been acquired in a business combination and the fair value of the unit was the purchase price. If the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds the implied fair value, then goodwill impairment is recognized by writing the goodwill down to the implied fair value.

No impairment loss was recognized for any of the periods presented.

The fair value of the trade name was determined based on the relief from royalty method which values the trade name based on the estimated amount that a company would have to pay in an arms length transaction in order to use that trade name. The asset is being amortized under the straight line method over 32 years. The fair value of customer relationships was determined based

Table of Contents

on the excess earnings method, which relies upon the future cash flow generating ability of the asset. The asset is amortized under the straight line method over 20 years. Other intangibles that are being amortized, such as the amortizable portion of favorable leases, port terminal operating rights, backlog assets and liabilities, would be considered impaired if their fair market value could not be recovered from the future undiscounted cash flows associated with the asset. Vessel purchase options, which are included in favorable lease terms, are not amortized and would be considered impaired if the carrying value of an option, when added to the option price of the vessel, exceeded the fair market value of the vessel.

Investment in available for sale securities: The Company classifies its existing marketable equity securities as available-for-sale in accordance with guidance on Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities. These securities are carried at fair market value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported directly in stockholders' equity as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) unless an unrealized loss is considered other-than-temporary, in which case it is transferred to the statement of income. Management evaluates securities for other than temporary impairment (OTTI) on a quarterly basis. Consideration is given to (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the investee, and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the investee for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company's unrealized holding gain in available for sale securities were \$15.2 million. During 2009, the Company recognized in earnings realized losses amounting to \$13.8 million and the carrying amounts of the AFS Securities were \$46.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. See Note 24 to the consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this document.

G. Safe Harbor

Applicable to the extent the disclosures in Item 5.E and 5.F above require the statutory safe harbor protections provided to forward-looking statements.

Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees**A. Directors and Senior Management**

The current board of directors, executive officers and significant employees are as follows:

Name	Age	Position
Angeliki Frangou	45	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
George Achniotis	45	Chief Financial Officer
Ted C. Petrone	55	President of Navios Corporation and Director
Michael E. McClure	63	Executive Vice President Corporate Affairs
Vasiliki Papaefthymiou	41	Executive Vice President Legal, Secretary and Director
Anna Kalathakis	39	Senior Vice President Legal Risk Management
Shunji Sasada*	52	Chief Operating Officer Navios Corporation
Spyridon Magoulas	54	Director
John Stratakis	45	Director
Rex W. Harrington	77	Director
Allan Shaw	46	Director

* Significant employee

Angeliki Frangou has been Navios Holdings' Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since August 25, 2005, the date of the acquisition of Navios Holdings by ISE. Prior to the acquisition, Ms. Frangou was the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of ISE from September 2004 until ISE's acquisition of and merger into Navios Holdings. Ms. Frangou was the chief executive officer of Maritime Enterprises Management S.A., a company located in Piraeus, Greece that specialized in the management of dry cargo vessels of various types and sizes, from the time she founded the company in October 2001 until August 2005. From 1990 to October 2001, Ms. Frangou was the chief executive officer of Franser Shipping S.A., a company that was located in Piraeus, Greece, and

was also engaged in the management of dry cargo vessels. Prior to her employment with Franser Shipping, Ms. Frangou was an analyst on the trading floor of Republic National Bank of New York from 1987 to 1989. Ms. Frangou was also a member of the board of directors of Emporiki Bank of Greece, the second largest retail bank in Greece, from April 2004 to July 2005. Ms. Frangou is currently the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Navios Maritime Partners L.P., a New York Stock Exchange traded limited partnership, which is an affiliate of Navios Holdings. Ms. Frangou is currently the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Navios Maritime Acquisition Corporation, a New York Stock Exchange listed company, and has served in such position since June 2008. Ms. Frangou is also the Chairman of the board of directors of IRF European Finance Investments Ltd., listed on the SFM of the London Stock Exchange. She was also Chairman of the board of directors of Proton Bank, based in Athens, Greece, from June 2006 until September 2008. Ms. Frangou is a member of the Mediterranean Committee of the China Classification Society and a

Table of Contents

member of the Hellenic and Black Sea Committee of Bureau Veritas as well as a member of Greek Committee of Nippon Kaiji Kyokai. Ms. Frangou received a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from Fairleigh Dickinson University (summa cum laude) and a master's degree in mechanical engineering from Columbia University.

George Achniotis has been Navios Holdings' Chief Financial Officer since April 12, 2007. Prior to being appointed Chief Financial Officer of Navios Holdings, Mr. Achniotis served as Senior Vice President-Business Development of Navios Holdings from August 2006 to April 2007. Before joining Navios Holdings, Mr. Achniotis was a partner at PricewaterhouseCoopers in Greece, heading the Piraeus office and the firm's shipping practice. He became a partner at PwC in 1999 when he set up and headed the firm's internal audit services department from which all SOX implementation and consultation projects were performed. Mr. Achniotis has served as a Director since August 2007 and since February 2008 as the Executive Vice President-Business Development of Navios Maritime Partners L.P., a New York Stock Exchange traded limited partnership, which is an affiliate of Navios Holdings. He has more than 19 years experience in the accounting profession with work experience in England, Cyprus and Greece. Mr. Achniotis qualified as a Chartered Accountant in England and Wales in 1991 and he holds a Bachelor's degree in Civil Engineering from the University of Manchester.

Ted C. Petrone became a director of Navios Holdings in May 2007, having become President of Navios Corporation in September 2006. He heads Navios Holdings' worldwide commercial operations. Mr. Petrone served in the maritime industry for 31 years, of which 28 were with Navios Holdings. After joining Navios Holdings as an assistant vessel operator, Mr. Petrone worked in various operational and commercial positions. For the last fifteen years, Mr. Petrone was responsible for all the aspects of the daily commercial Panamax activity, encompassing the trading of tonnage, derivative hedge positions and cargoes. Mr. Petrone is currently also President of Navios Maritime Acquisition Corporation, a New York Stock Exchange listed company, and an affiliate of the Company; and has served in such capacity since June 2008. Mr. Petrone graduated from New York Maritime College at Fort Schuyler with a B.S. in Maritime Transportation. He served aboard U.S. Navy (Military Sealift Command) tankers.

Michael E. McClure has been Executive Vice President - Corporate Affairs since April and was the Chief Financial Officer of Navios Holdings from October 1, 2005 to April 2007. Prior to that date, Mr. McClure was Vice President - Research & Risk Management of Navios Corporation where he was responsible for derivative trading strategies, economic research and various commercial functions. Mr. McClure joined Navios Holdings in 1978 while the Company was a wholly-owned subsidiary of U.S. Steel. He held various positions throughout the Company including Manager of Financial Analysis and Director of South American Transportation Projects, which included Navios Holdings' owned port facility in Uruguay and its commercial lead in Venezuela and Columbia. Mr. McClure has served as the Executive Vice President - Corporate Affairs since January 2010 of Navios Maritime Partners L.P., a New York Stock Exchange traded limited partnership, which is an affiliate of Navios Holdings, having previously served as the Chief Financial Officer since April 2007. He is a board member of The Baltic Exchange and the former chairman of the Baltic Exchange Freight Market Indices Committee, which is the organization responsible for all freight indices utilized for freight derivative trading by the industry. Mr. McClure graduated from St. Mary's College with a B.A. and Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, with an M.B.A.

Vasiliki Papaefthymiou has been Executive Vice President - Legal and a member of Navios Holdings' board of directors since its inception, and prior to that was a member of the board of directors of ISE. Ms. Papaefthymiou has served as general counsel for Maritime Enterprises Management S.A. since October 2001, where she has advised the company on shipping, corporate and finance legal matters. Ms. Papaefthymiou provided similar services as general counsel to Franser Shipping from October 1991 to September 2001. Ms. Papaefthymiou received her undergraduate degree from the Law School of the University of Athens and a Masters degree in Maritime Law from Southampton University in the United Kingdom. Ms. Papaefthymiou is admitted to practice law before the Bar in Piraeus, Greece.

Anna Kalathakis has been Senior Vice President - Legal Risk Management of Navios Holdings since December 8, 2005. Before joining Navios Holdings, Ms. Kalathakis was the General Manager of the Greek office of a Bilbrough & Co Ltd. and an associate director of the company (Managers of the London Steam-Ship Owners' Mutual Insurance Association Limited). She has previously worked for a U.S. maritime law firm in New Orleans, admitted to practice law in the state of Louisiana in 1995, and has also worked in a similar capacity at a London maritime law firm. She qualified as a solicitor in England and Wales in 1999 and was admitted to the Piraeus BAR, Greece in 2003.

She has studied International Relations at Georgetown University, Washington D.C. (1991). She holds an MBA from European University at Brussels (1992) and a J.D. from Tulane Law School (1995).

Shunji Sasada became Chief Operating Officer of Navios Corporation in June 2007. Previously, as Senior Vice President of Fleet Development, he headed Navios Holdings' program for the growth and development of the Company's long term chartered-in and owned tonnage. Mr. Sasada remains President of Navimax Corporation, the Ultra Handymax operating subsidiary of the group. Mr. Sasada started his shipping career in 1981 in Japan with Mitsui O.S.K. Lines, Ltd. (MOSK). Mr. Sasada's first position with MOSK was in steel products in the Tokyo branch as a salesman for exporting steel products to worldwide destinations. Two years later, Mr. Sasada moved to the tramp section in Mitsui's bulk carrier division and was in charge of operations and then of chartering 20-40 smaller Handysize vessels between 21,000 dwt and 35,000 dwt. In 1991, Mr. Sasada moved to Norway to join Trinity Bulk Carriers as its chartering manager as well as subsidiary board member, representing MOSK as one of the shareholders. After an assignment in Norway, Mr. Sasada moved to London and started MOSK's own Ultra Handymax operation as its General Manager. Mr. Sasada joined Navios Holdings in May 1997. He is a graduate of Keio University, Tokyo, with a B.A. degree in Business.

Spyridon Magoulas has been a member of Navios Holdings' board of directors since its inception, and prior to that was a member of the board of directors of ISE. Mr. Magoulas is the co-founder and director of Doric Shipbrokers S.A., a chartering firm

Table of Contents

based in Athens, Greece, and has served as the managing director of Doric Shipbrokers S.A. since its formation in 1994. From 1982 to 1993, Mr. Magoulas was chartering director and shipbroker for Nicholas G. Moundreas Shipping S.A., a company located in Piraeus, Greece, and from 1980 to 1982, Mr. Magoulas served at Orion and Global Chartering Inc. in New York. Mr. Magoulas received a Bachelors degree in Economics (honors) from the City University of New York, New York, a Masters degree in Transportation Management from the Maritime College in New York and a Masters degree in Political Economy from the New School for Social Research in New York. In addition to his role on the Board of Directors, Mr. Magoulas also serves as a member of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Governance Committee. Mr. Magoulas is an independent director.

John Stratakis has been a member of Navios Holdings board of directors since its inception, and prior to that was a member of the board of directors of ISE. Since 1994, Mr. Stratakis has been a partner with the law firm of Poles, Tublin, Stratakis & Gonzalez, LLP, in New York, New York, where he specializes in all aspects of marine finance and admiralty law, real estate, trusts and estates and general corporate law. From 1992 to 1993, Mr. Stratakis was an associate attorney with Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker, in New York, New York. Mr. Stratakis also has been a director and the President of the Hellenic American Chamber of Commerce in New York. He serves on the board of New York Maritime Inc., an association that promotes the New York region as a maritime business center. Mr. Stratakis received a Bachelor of Arts (cum laude) from Trinity College and a Juris Doctor degree from Washington College of Law American University. Mr. Stratakis is admitted to practice law in the State of New York and in the courts of the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York. In addition to his role on the Board of Directors, Mr. Stratakis also serves as a member of the Nominating and Governance Committee and a member of the Compensation Committee. Mr. Stratakis is an independent director.

Rex W. Harrington has been a member of Navios Holdings board of directors since October 25 2005. Mr. Harrington served as shipping advisor to the Royal Bank of Scotland plc from 1998 until 2001. Mr. Harrington served as Director of Shipping of the Royal Bank of Scotland plc from 1990, to 1998, Assistant General Manager, Shipping from 1980 to 1990 and Senior Manager, Shipping from 1973 to 1980. From 1969 to 1973, Mr. Harrington served as an executive of Baring Bothers & Co., Ltd., an international merchant banking firm, and, from 1957 to 1969, served in various capacities in the Bank of England. Mr. Harrington currently serves as a director of General Maritime Corporation., a company listed on the New York Stock Exchange, and is a senior consultant to the Bank of America on shipping. He is a member of the General Committee of Lloyds Register, the London Advisory Panel of InterCargo, the Baltic Exchange and the Steering Committee of the London Shipping Law Centre. Mr. Harrington is a deputy chairman of the International Maritime Industries Forum. He was a director of Dampskibsselspaket TORM, a company listed on the NASDAQ National Market and the Copenhagen Stock Exchange from 2003 to 2006, Clarksons (International Shipbrokers) quoted on the London Stock Exchange from 1995 to 1998, and a director of Lloyd s Register from 1994 to 1999. Mr. Harrington has a Masters degree from the University of Oxford. In addition to his role on the Board of Directors, Mr. Harrington also serves as Chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee and a member of the Audit Committee. Mr. Harrington is an independent director.

Allan Shaw has been a member of Navios Holdings board of directors since October 25, 2005, He has over 20 years of financial management experience. Mr. Shaw has served as a member of the Board of Directors and the Chief Financial Officer of NewLead Holdings Ltd. since October 13, 2009. Previously, Mr. Shaw was the Founder and Senior Managing Director of Shaw Strategic Capital LLC, an international financial advisory firm. From November 2002 to April 2004, Mr. Shaw was the Chief Financial Officer and Executive Management Board Member at Serono International S.A., a global biotechnology company. Prior to joining Serono, Mr. Shaw was with Viatel Inc., an international telecommunications company, where he was a member of the board of directors and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Shaw, a United States Certified Public Accountant, was also a manager with Deloitte & Touche and received a Bachelor of Science degree from the State University of New York, Oswego in 1986. In addition to his role on the Board of Directors, Mr. Shaw also serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee and the Chairman of the Compensation Committee. Mr. Shaw is an independent director.

B. Compensation

The aggregate annual compensation paid to our current executive officers was approximately \$3,562,010 for the year ended December 31, 2009. We also made contributions for our executive officers to a 401(k) in an aggregate

amount of approximately \$51,316. In December 2006, our shareholders approved the adoption of the Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. 2006 Employee, Directors and Consultants Stock Plan (the 2006 Plan). The 2006 Plan authorizes the issuance of stock grants to our employees, directors and consultants in such amounts and pursuant to such terms as may be determined by the Board of Directors at the time of the grant. On October 18, 2007, December 16, 2008 and December 17, 2009, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors authorized the issuance of shares of restricted common stock, restricted stock units and stock options in accordance with the Company's stock option plan for its employees, officers and directors. Employees have been granted a certain amount of shares which are restricted for a two years period except for those issued in December 17, 2009 which are restricted for three years period. This restriction lapses in two or three equal tranches, respectively, over the requisite service periods, of one, two and three years from the grant date. Stock options have been granted to executives and directors only and vest in three equal tranches over the requisite service periods of one, two and three years from the grant date. Each option remains exercisable for seven years after its vesting date. As of the filing of this Annual Report on Form 20-F under the 2006 Plan, 1,264,631 stock options to purchase the Company's common stock have been issued of which 382,422 have vested. 288,000 options were granted at an exercise price of \$16.75 per share, 571,266 options were granted at an exercise price of \$3.18 per share and 405,365 options were granted at an exercise price of \$2.59 per share. In addition, 845,429 shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units have been issued of which 297,752 have vested and in the aggregate 23,540 were forfeited during 2009, 2008 and 2007. Non-employee directors receive annual fees in the amount of \$45,000 each plus

Table of Contents

reimbursement of their out-of-pocket expenses. In addition, the non-executive serving as chairman of the Audit Committee receives an annual fee of \$20,000, the chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee receives an annual fee of \$17,000, plus reimbursement of their out-of-pocket expenses, and the chairman of the Compensation Committee receives an annual fee of \$20,000, plus reimbursement of their out-of-pocket expenses.

C. Board Practices

The board of directors of Navios Holdings is divided into three classes with only one class of directors being elected in each year and each class serving a three-year term. The term of office of the first class of directors, consisting of John Stratakis, Rex Harrington and Allan Shaw, was renewed at the annual meeting of stockholders held in December 2009, and its term will expire in 2012. The term of office of the second class of directors, consisting of Ted C. Petrone and Spyridon Magoulas, was renewed at the annual meeting of stockholders held in December 2007, and its term will expire in 2010. The term of office of the third class of directors, consisting of Angeliki Frangou and Vasiliki Papaefthymiou, was renewed at the annual meeting of stockholders held in November 2008, and its term will expire in 2011. No directors are entitled to any benefits upon termination of their term.

The board of directors has established an audit committee of three independent directors. The audit committee is governed by a written charter, which was approved by the board of directors. One of the members of the audit committee is an audit committee financial expert for purposes of SEC rules and regulations. The audit committee, among other things, reviews our external financial reporting, engages our external auditors, approves all fees paid to auditors and oversees our internal audit activities and procedures and the adequacy of our internal accounting controls. Our audit committee is comprised of Messrs. Allan Shaw, Rex Harrington and Spyridon Magoulas, and our audit committee financial expert is Mr. Allan Shaw.

The board of directors has established a nominating and governance committee of three independent directors, Messrs. Rex Harrington, who serves as a Chairman, Spyridon Magoulas and John Stratakis. This committee is governed by a written charter, which was approved by the board of directors. The nominating and governance committee is responsible for providing assistance to the board of directors in fulfilling its responsibility to the Company's stockholders relating to the Company's nominating procedures and practices for appointing officers and directors as well as the Company's oversight, analysis and recommendations with respect to corporate governance and best practices, and the Company's process for monitoring compliance with laws and regulations.

In July 2007, the board of directors appointed a compensation committee consisting of three independent directors, Messrs. Allan Shaw, who serves as a Chairman, Spyridon Magoulas and John Stratakis. The compensation committee is governed by a written charter, which was approved by the board of directors. The compensation committee is responsible for reviewing and approving the compensation of the Company's executive officers, for establishing, reviewing and evaluating, in consultation with senior management, the long term strategy of employee compensation and approving any material change to existing compensation plans.

D. Employees

Navios Holdings crews its vessels primarily with Greek, Ukrainian, and Georgian officers and Filipino, Georgian, Bulgarian, Polish and Ukrainian seamen. Navios Holdings' fleet manager is responsible for selecting its Greek officers, who are hired by Navios Holdings' vessel-owning subsidiaries. Other nationalities are referred to Navios Holdings' fleet manager by local crewing agencies. Navios Holdings is also responsible for travel and payroll of the crew. The crewing agencies handle each seaman's training. Navios Holdings requires that all of its seamen have the qualifications and licenses required to comply with international regulations and shipping conventions.

Navios Logistics crews its fleet with Argentinean and Paraguayan officers and seamen. Navios Logistics' fleet managers are responsible for selecting the crew.

With respect to shore side employees, Navios Holdings employs 12 employees in its South Norwalk, Connecticut office, 95 employees in its Piraeus, Greece office, and 12 employees in its Antwerp, Belgium office. Navios Logistics employs 39 employees in its Asuncion, Paraguay offices, with 78 employees at the port facility in San Antonio, 99 office employees in the Buenos Aires, Argentina office and seven employees in its Montevideo, Uruguay office, with an additional 105 employees working at the port facility in Nueva Palmira.

E. Share Ownership

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of the common stock of Navios Holdings as of March 15, 2010, based on 100,889,651 shares of common stock outstanding as of such day, by each of Navios Holdings' executive officers and directors.

Unless otherwise indicated, Navios Holdings believes that all persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock beneficially owned by them.

Table of Contents

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner⁽¹⁾	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percentage of Outstanding Common Stock
Angeliki Frangou ⁽²⁾	23,406,589	23.2%
George Achniotis	*	*
Michael E. McClure	*	*
Vasiliki Papaefthymiou	*	*
Anna Kalathakis	*	*
Ted C. Petrone	*	*
Spyridon Magoulas	*	*
John Stratakis	*	*
Rex Harrington	*	*
Allan Shaw	*	*
Shunji Sasada	*	*

* Less than one percent

(1) The business address of each of the individuals is 85 Akti Miaouli Street, Piraeus Greece 185 38.

(2) Angeliki Frangou has filed a Schedule 13D amendment indicating that she intends, subject to market conditions, to purchase up to \$20 million of common stock and as of October 10, 2005, she had purchased approximately \$10.0 million in value of

common stock.

Under Navios Holdings' 2006 Plan, the following options to purchase the Company's common stock have been granted to the persons mentioned in the table above:

On October 18, 2007, 288,000 options were granted at an exercise price of \$16.75 per share, on December 16, 2008, 571,266 options were granted at an exercise price of \$3.18 and on December 17, 2009, 405,365 options were granted at an exercise price of \$5.87, all based on service conditions only.

As of December 31, 2009, no options have been exercised.

The stock options vest in three equal tranches over a three-year period from the grant date. Each option remains exercisable seven years after its vesting date.

Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions

A. Major Shareholders

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of the common stock of Navios Holdings as of March 15, 2010, based on 100,889,651 shares of common stock outstanding as of such date of each person known by Navios Holdings to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of its outstanding shares of common stock based upon the amounts and percentages as are contained in the public filings of such persons. All such stockholders have the same voting rights with respect to their shares of common stock.

Unless otherwise indicated, Navios Holdings believes that all persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock beneficially owned by them.

Name	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percentage of Outstanding Common Stock
Angeliki Frangou	23,406,589	23.2%
FMR LLC ⁽¹⁾	6,846,083	6.7%

(1) Disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of its pecuniary interest.

B. Related Party Transactions

Leases: On January 2, 2006, Navios Corporation and Navios ShipManagement Inc., two wholly owned subsidiaries of Navios Holdings, entered into two lease agreements with Goldland Ktimatiki-Ikodomiki-Touristiki and Xenodohiaki Anonimos Eteria, a Greek corporation which is partially owned by relatives of Angeliki Frangou, Navios Holdings' Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. The lease agreements provide for the leasing of two facilities located in Piraeus, Greece, of approximately 2,034.3 square meters and houses the operations of most of the Company's subsidiaries. The total annual lease payments are EUR 0.4 million (approximately \$0.6 million) and the lease agreements expire in 2017. These payments are subject to annual adjustments starting from the third year which are based on the inflation rate prevailing in Greece as reported by the Greek State at the end of each year.

On October 31, 2007, Navios ShipManagement Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Navios Holdings, entered into a lease agreement with Emerald Ktimatiki-Ikodomiki-Touristiki and Xenodohiaki Anonimos Eteria, a Greek corporation that is partially owned by relatives of Angeliki Frangou, Navios Holdings' Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. The lease agreement provides for the leasing of one facility in Piraeus, Greece of approximately 1,367.5 square meters and houses part of the operations of the Company. The total annual lease payments are EUR 0.4 million (approximately \$0.6 million) and the lease agreement expires in 2019. These payments are subject to annual adjustments starting from the third year, which are based on the inflation rate prevailing in

Table of Contents

Greece as reported by the Greek State at the end of each year.

Acropolis: The Company utilizes Acropolis Chartering and Shipping Inc. (Acropolis) a brokerage firm for freight and shipping charters as a broker. Navios Holdings has a 50% interest in Acropolis. Although Navios Holdings owns 50% of the stock, the two shareholders have agreed that the earnings and amounts declared by way of dividends, will be allocated 35% to the Company with the balance to the other shareholder. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the carrying amount of the investment was \$0.7 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. Dividends received for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 were \$0.9 million, \$1.9 million, and \$0.7 million, respectively. Commissions paid to Acropolis for each of the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, were \$0.2 million, \$1.7 million, and \$0.4 million, respectively. The amount due to Acropolis and included in trade accounts payable at December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

Stock Transactions: On June 6, 2006, Ms. Angeliki Frangou participated in Navios Holdings warrant exercise transaction and paid approximately \$27.3 million to Navios Holdings to exercise warrants in respect of 6,666,280 shares of common stock. Unlike the other warrant holders who participated in the warrant exercise transaction, Ms. Frangou's shares are not registered for resale.

Management fees: Pursuant to a management agreement dated November 16, 2007, Navios Holdings provided commercial and technical management services to Navios Partners' vessels for a daily fee of \$4,000 per owned Panamax vessel and \$5,000 per owned Capesize vessel. This daily fee covers all of the vessels' operating expenses, including the cost of drydock and special surveys. The daily rates are fixed for a period of two years whereas the initial term of the agreement is five years commencing from November 16, 2007. Total management fees for the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 amounted to \$11.0 million, \$9.3 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. In October 2009, the fixed fee period was extended for two years and the daily fees were increased to \$4,500 per owned Ultra Handymax vessel, \$4,400 per owned Panamax vessel and \$5,500 per owned Capesize vessel.

General and administrative expenses: Pursuant to the administrative services agreement dated November 16, 2007, Navios Holdings provides administrative services to Navios Partners which include: bookkeeping, audit and accounting services, legal and insurance services, administrative and clerical services, banking and financial services, advisory services, client and investor relations and other. Navios Holdings is reimbursed for reasonable costs and expenses incurred in connection with the provision of these services. Total general and administrative fees charged for the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 amounted to \$1.8 million, \$1.5 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

Balance due from affiliate: The balance due from affiliate as at December 31, 2009 amounted to \$2.0 million (2008: \$1.7 million) which represents the current amount of \$1.9 million (2008: \$1.5 million) due from Navios Partners. The balance mainly consists of management fees, administrative service fees and other expenses.

Omnibus agreement: Navios Holdings entered into an omnibus agreement with Navios Partners in connection with the closing of Navios Partners' IPO governing, among other things, when Navios Holdings and Navios Partners may compete against each other as well as rights of first offer on certain drybulk carriers. Pursuant to the omnibus agreement, Navios Partners generally agreed not to acquire or own Panamax or Capesize drybulk carriers under time charters of three or more years without the consent of an independent committee of Navios Partners. In addition, Navios Holdings agreed to offer to Navios Partners the opportunity to purchase vessels from Navios Holdings when such vessels are fixed under time charters of three or more years. The omnibus agreement was amended in June 2009 to release Navios Holdings for two years from restrictions on acquiring Capesize and Panamax vessels from third parties.

Sale of Navios Apollon: On October 29, 2009, Navios Holdings sold Navios Apollon to Navios Partners. The sale price of Navios Apollon was \$32.0 million received entirely in cash. The book value assigned to the vessel was \$25.1 million, resulting in gain from her sale of \$6.9 million, of which, \$4.0 million had been recognized at the time of sale in the statements of income under Gain on sale of assets and the remaining \$2.9 million representing profit of Navios Holdings' 41.8% interest in Navios Partners has been deferred under Long term liabilities and deferred income and is being amortized over the remaining life of the vessel or until it is sold. Following Navios Partners' public equity offering of 4,000,000 common units in November 2009, Navios Holdings' interest in Navios Partners decreased to 37% and \$0.3 million of the deferred gain has been recognized in the statements of income under Equity in net

earnings of affiliated companies . As of December 31, 2009, the unamortized portion of the gain was \$2.3 million.

Navios Bonavis: On June 9, 2009, Navios Holdings relieved Navios Partners from its obligation to purchase the Capesize vessel Navios Bonavis for \$130.0 million and with the delivery of the Navios Bonavis to Navios Holdings, Navios Partners was granted a 12-month option to purchase the vessel for \$125.0 million. In return, Navios Partners issued to Navios Holdings 1,000,000 subordinated Series A units. Navios Holdings recognized in its results a non-cash compensation income amounting to \$6.1 million. The 1,000,000 subordinated Series A units are included in Investments in affiliates .

Sale of Navios Hope: On July 1, 2008, Navios Hope was sold to Navios Partners in accordance with the terms of the omnibus agreement. The sale price consisted of \$35.0 million in cash and \$44.9 million in common units (3,131,415 common units) of Navios Partners. The investment in the 3,131,415 common units is classified as Investments in available for sale securities . The gain from the sale of Navios Hope was \$51.5 million of which \$24.9 million was recognized at the time of sale in the statements of income under Gain on sale of assets . The remaining \$26.6 million which represents profit to the extent of Navios Holdings' ownership interest in Navios Partners had been deferred under Long-term liabilities and deferred income and amortized over the remaining life of the vessel or until it is sold. Following Navios Partners' public equity offering of (a) 3,500,000 common units in May 2009; (b) 2,800,000 common units in September 2009 and the completion of the exercise of the overallotment option previously granted to the

Table of Contents

underwriters in connection with this offering in October 2009; and (c) 4,000,000 common units in November 2009, Navios Holdings' interest in Navios Partners decreased to 44.6% in May 2009, to 42.3% in September 2009, to 41.8% in October 2009 after the exercise of the over-allotment option and further to 37.0% in November 2009. On February 8, 2010, Navios Partners completed a public offering of 3,500,000 common units (plus 525,000 overallotment units). Navios Holdings currently owns 33.2% equity interest in Navios Partners, which includes a 2% general partner interest.

Sale of rights of Navios Sagittarius: On June 10, 2009, Navios Holdings sold to Navios Partners the rights of Navios Sagittarius, a 2006 Japanese-built Panamax vessel with a capacity of 75,756 dwt, for a cash consideration of \$34.6 million. The book value assigned to the vessel was \$4.3 million, resulting in a gain from her sale of \$30.3 million, of which, \$16.8 million had been recognized at the time of sale in the statements of income under Gain on sale of assets and the remaining \$13.5 million representing profit of Navios Holdings' 44.6% interest in Navios Partners has been deferred under Long term liabilities and deferred income and is being recognized to income based on the remaining term of the vessel's contract rights or until the vessel's rights are sold. Following Navios Partners public equity offering of (a) 2,800,000 common units in September 2009, Navios Holdings' interest in Navios Partners decreased to 42.3% and to 41.8% in October 2009 after the exercise of the over-allotment option and \$0.7 million of the deferred gain has been recognized in the statements of income under Equity in net earnings of affiliated companies. Following Navios Partners' public equity offering of 4,000,000 common units in November 2009, Navios Holdings' interest in Navios Partners decreased to 37.0% and an additional \$1.5 million of the deferred gain has been recognized in the statements of income under Equity in net earnings of affiliated companies. As of December 31, 2009, the unamortized portion of the gain was \$10.5 million.

Navios Acquisition: On July 1, 2008, Navios Holdings purchased 7,600,000 warrants from Navios Acquisition for a total consideration of \$7.6 million (\$1.00 per warrant) in the private placement that occurred simultaneously with the completion of its IPO. Each Sponsor Warrant will entitle the holder to purchase from Navios Acquisition one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$7.00. Prior to the IPO, Navios Holdings had purchased 8,625,000 Sponsor Units for a total consideration of \$25,000 of which an aggregate of 290,000 units were transferred to the Company's officers and directors and an aggregate of 2,300,000 Sponsor Units were returned to Navios Acquisition and cancelled upon receipt. Each unit consists of one share of Navios Acquisition's common stock and one Sponsor Warrant.

On March 31, 2008, Navios Holdings provided a non-interest bearing loan of \$0.5 million to Navios Acquisition, which was repaid in full during 2008.

Navios Acquisition presently occupies office space provided by Navios Holdings. Navios Holdings has agreed that, until the consummation of a business combination, it will make such office space available for use by Navios Acquisition, as well as certain office and secretarial services as may be required from time to time. Navios Acquisition has agreed to pay Navios Holdings \$10,000 per month for such services; the charge is included in general and administrative expenses. Total general and administrative fees charged for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 amounted to \$0.1 million, \$0.1 million and \$0, respectively.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the balance due from Navios Acquisition was \$0 and \$0.1 million, respectively.

C. Interests of experts and counsel.

Not applicable.

Item 8. Financial Information**A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information**

Consolidated Financial Statements: See Item 18.

Legal Proceedings: Navios Holdings is not involved in any legal proceedings that it believes will have a significant effect on its business, financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

From time to time, Navios Holdings may be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business. It is expected that these claims would be covered by insurance if they involve liabilities such as arise from a collision, other marine casualty, damage to cargoes, oil pollution, death or personal injuries to crew, subject to customary deductibles. Those claims, even if lacking merit, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and

managerial resources.

Dividend Policy: At the present time, Navios Holdings intends to retain most of its available earnings generated by operations for the development and growth of the business. The declaration and payment of any dividend remains subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors, and will depend on, among other things, Navios Holdings' cash requirements as measured by market opportunities and conditions. In addition, the terms and provisions of our current secured credit facilities and our indenture limit our ability to pay dividends in excess of certain amounts or if certain covenants are not met. (See also Long Term Debt Obligations and Credit Arrangements.)

On February 13, 2009, the Board of Directors declared a dividend of approximately \$6.0 million in respect of the fourth quarter of 2008 of \$0.06 per common share which was paid on April 3, 2009 to stockholders on record as of March 16, 2009.

On May 15, 2009 the Board of Directors declared a dividend of approximately \$6.0 million in respect of the first quarter of

Table of Contents

2009 of \$0.06 per common share which was paid on July 2, 2009 to stockholders on record as of June 18, 2009.

On August 18, 2009 the Board of Directors declared a dividend of approximately \$6.0 million in respect of the second quarter of 2009 of \$0.06 per common share which was paid on October 2, 2009 to stockholders on record as of September 18, 2009

On November 16, 2009, the Board of Directors declared a dividend of approximately \$6.1 million in respect of the third quarter of 2009 of \$0.06 per common share which was paid on January 7, 2010 to stockholders on record as of December 18, 2009.

On February 18, 2010, the Board of Directors declared a dividend of approximately \$6.1 million in respect of the fourth quarter of 2009 of \$0.06 per common share payable on April 8, 2010 to stockholders on record as of March 16, 2010.

B. Significant Changes

Not applicable.

Item 9. Listing Details

Since February 22, 2007, the principal trading market for our securities has been the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbols NM , currently for our common stock, and NMWS prior to December 9, 2008 for our warrants. As of February 22, 2007, the Company's common stock and warrants were no longer trading as a unit. In addition, on December 9, 2008, our publicly traded warrants expired and ceased to be publicly traded. For the period from November 3, 2005 to February 22, 2007 our common stock, warrants and units were trading in the Nasdaq National Market (NASDAQ) under the symbols BULK , BULKW and BULKU , respectively. Prior to November 3, 2005, the principal trading market of our securities was the Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board (OTCBB).

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the reported high and low quoted closing prices of our common stock, warrants and units on the New York Stock Exchange after February 22, 2007, on Nasdaq National Market from November 3, 2005 to February 22, 2007.

On March 15, 2010, the closing price of our common stock was \$6.51. The quotations listed below reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail markup, markdown or commission, and may not necessarily represent actual transactions:

(a) For the four most recent full financial years: the annual high and low market prices:

Year Ended	Common Stock		Warrants(*)		Units	
	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low
December 31, 2009	\$ 6.60	\$ 1.68	\$	\$	\$	\$
December 31, 2008	\$ 14.95	\$ 1.10	\$ 9.91	\$ 0.01	\$	\$
December 31, 2007	\$ 19.76	\$ 5.21	\$ 14.75	\$ 0.87	\$ 14.90	\$ 6.91
December 31, 2006	\$ 5.56	\$ 5.18	\$ 1.12	\$ 0.29	\$ 13.59	\$ 4.35

(b) For the two most recent full financial years and any subsequent period: the high and low market prices for each financial quarter:

Quarter Ended	Common Stock		Warrants(*)		Units	
	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low
March 31, 2010 (through March 15, 2010)	\$ 7.28	\$ 5.50	\$	\$	\$	\$
December 31, 2009	\$ 6.60	\$ 4.40	\$	\$	\$	\$
September 30, 2009	\$ 5.63	\$ 3.54	\$	\$	\$	\$
June 30, 2009	\$ 5.95	\$ 2.18	\$	\$	\$	\$
March 31, 2009	\$ 4.75	\$ 1.68	\$	\$	\$	\$
December 31, 2008	\$ 5.23	\$ 1.10	\$ 2.87	\$ 0.01	\$	\$
September 30, 2008	\$ 10.62	\$ 4.65	\$ 5.59	\$ 1.00	\$	\$
June 30, 2008	\$ 14.95	\$ 9.00	\$ 9.91	\$ 4.01	\$	\$
March 31, 2008	\$ 12.99	\$ 7.74	\$ 8.12	\$ 3.35	\$	\$

(c) For the most recent six months: the high and low market prices for each month:

Month Ended	Common Stock		Warrants^(*)	
	High	Low	High	Low
March 2010	\$ 6.54	\$ 6.12	\$	\$
February 2010	\$ 6.54	\$ 5.50	\$	\$
January 2010	\$ 7.28	\$ 6.08	\$	\$
December 2009	\$ 6.27	\$ 5.70	\$	\$
November 2009	\$ 6.60	\$ 4.48	\$	\$
October 2009	\$ 5.35	\$ 4.40	\$	\$
September 2009	\$ 6.60	\$ 4.48	\$	\$

(*) The warrants ceased to be publicly traded upon their expiration on December 9, 2008.

Table of Contents**Item 10. Additional Information****A. Share Capital**

Not applicable.

B. Memorandum of articles of association

Please refer to Exhibit 3.1 of Form F-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 2, 2005 with file number 333-129382, and Exhibit 99.1 of Form 6-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 17, 2007 with file number 000-51047, which the Company hereby incorporates by reference.

C. Material Contracts

Please refer to Item 4.B for a discussion of our option agreements to purchase 12 chartered-in vessels and our agreements to purchase seven newbuild Capesize vessels and to Item 5.F for a discussion of the long term debt, the operating lease obligations and the rent obligations. Other than these agreements, the Company has no material contracts, other than the contracts entered into in the ordinary course of business, to which the Company or any of its subsidiaries is a party.

D. Exchange controls

Under the laws of the of the Marshall Islands, Uruguay, Liberia, Panama, Belgium, Luxembourg, Malta, and Paraguay, the countries of incorporation of the Company and its subsidiaries, there are currently no restrictions on the export or import of capital, including foreign exchange controls, or restrictions that affect the remittance of dividends, interest or other payments to non-resident holders of our common stock.

In the case of Argentina, however, it should be noted that since the year 2001 local authorities have established certain foreign exchange restrictions that affect the export or import of capital. Such restrictions have been progressively eased since 2003 but have not been eliminated. Additionally, there can be no assurance that local authorities in Argentina will not modify such regulations.

E. Taxation**Marshall Islands Tax Considerations**

Navios Holdings is incorporated in the Marshall Islands. Under current Marshall Islands law, Navios Holdings will not be subject to tax on income or capital gains, and no Marshall Islands withholding tax will be imposed upon payments.

Other Tax Jurisdictions

Certain of Navios Holdings' subsidiaries are incorporated in countries which impose taxes, such as Malta and Belgium, however such taxes are immaterial to Navios Holdings' operations.

Navios Logistics subsidiaries are incorporated in countries which impose taxes, such as Argentina, Uruguay and Paraguay. Relating to the Argentinean subsidiaries, income tax liabilities for the current and prior periods are measured at the amount expected to be paid to the taxation authorities, using a tax rate of 35% on the taxable net income. Tax rates and tax laws used to assess the income tax liability are those that are effective on the close of the fiscal period. Additionally, at the end of the fiscal year local companies in Argentina have to calculate an assets tax (Impuesto a la Ganancia Mínima Presunta or Alternative Minimum Income Tax). This is a 1% tax applicable over the gross value of the corporate assets (based on tax law criteria). Income tax liability of a given fiscal year is creditable against the Alternative Minimum Income Tax liability of such year. Relating to the Paraguayan subsidiaries there are two possible options to determine the income tax liability. In the first option income tax liabilities for the current and prior periods are measured at the amount expected to be paid to the taxation authorities, using the tax rate of 10% on the fiscal profit and loss. 50% of revenues derived from international freights are considered Paraguayan sourced (and therefore taxed) if carried between Paraguay and Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil or Uruguay, In any other case, only 30% of revenues derived from international freights are considered Paraguayan sourced. Companies whose operations are considered international freights can choose to pay income taxes on their revenues at an effective tax rate of 1% on such revenues, without considering any other kind of adjustments. Fiscal losses, if any, are neither deducted nor carried forward.

Federal Income Tax Consequences**General**

The following discussion addresses certain United States federal income tax aspects of our business and considerations for the holders of our common stock. It does not address other tax aspects (including issues arising under state, local and foreign tax laws other than the Marshall Islands), nor does it attempt to address the specific circumstances of any particular stockholder of Navios Holdings.

Table of Contents**United States Federal Income Tax Considerations****Taxation of Operating Income: In General**

Navios Holdings is incorporated under the laws of the Marshall Islands. Accordingly, it is taxed as a foreign corporation by the United States. If Navios Holdings were taxed as a United States corporation, it could be subject to substantially greater United States income tax than contemplated below. See Risk Factors.

In general, a foreign corporation is subject to United States tax on income that is treated as derived from U.S. source income or that is effectively connected income. Based on its current plans, however, Navios Holdings expects that its income from sources within the United States will be international shipping income that qualifies for exemption from United States federal income taxation under Section 883 of the Code and the Shipping and Aircraft Agreement between the United States and the Marshall Islands, and that it will have no effectively connected income. Accordingly, Navios Holdings does not expect to be subject to federal income tax on any of its income.

If Navios Holdings is taxed as a foreign corporation and the benefits of Code Section 883 and the Shipping and Aircraft Agreement are unavailable, Navios Holdings' United States source shipping income that is not effectively connected income would be subject to a four percent (4%) tax imposed by Section 887 of the Code on a gross basis, without the benefit of deductions. Navios Holdings believes that no more than fifty percent (50%) of Navios Holdings' shipping income would be treated as United States source shipping income because, under Navios Holdings' current business plan, its shipping income will be attributable to transportation which does not both begin and end in the United States. Thus, the maximum effective rate of United States federal income tax on Navios Holdings' shipping income would never exceed two percent (2%) of gross income under the four percent (4%) gross basis tax regime.

To the extent the benefits of Code Section 883 exemption are unavailable and Navios Holdings' international shipping income is considered to be effectively connected income, such income, net of applicable deductions, would be subject to the United States federal corporate income tax. United States corporate income tax would also apply to any other effectively connected income of Navios Holdings and to Navios Holdings' worldwide income if it were taxed as a domestic corporation. This could result in the imposition of a tax of up to 35% on Navios Holdings' income, except to the extent that Navios Holdings were able to take advantage of more favorable rates that may be imposed on shipping income of domestic corporations or foreign corporations. In addition, as a foreign corporation, Navios Holdings could potentially be subject to the thirty percent (30%) branch profits tax on effectively connected income, as determined after allowance for certain adjustments, and on certain interest paid or deemed paid attributable to the conduct of its United States trade or business. Since Navios Holdings does not intend to have any vessel sailing to or from the United States on a regularly scheduled basis, Navios Holdings believes that none of its international shipping income will be effectively connected income.

United States Taxation of Gain on Sale of Vessels

Regardless of whether Navios Holdings qualifies for exemption under Code Section 883, it will not be subject to United States federal income taxation with respect to gain realized on a sale of a vessel, provided that the sale is considered to occur outside of the United States as defined under United States federal income tax principles. In general, a sale of a vessel will be considered to occur outside of the United States for this purpose if title to the vessel, and risk of loss with respect to the vessel, pass to the buyer outside of the United States. It is expected that any sale of a vessel by Navios Holdings will be considered to occur outside of the United States.

United States Federal Income Taxation of U.S. Holders

As used herein, the term "U.S. Holder" means a beneficial owner of common stock who is an individual United States citizen or resident, a United States corporation or other United States entity taxable as a corporation, an estate of which the income is subject to United States federal income taxation regardless of its source, or a trust if a court within the United States is able to exercise primary jurisdiction over the administration of the trust and one or more United States persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust;

owns Navios Holdings common stock as a capital asset; and

owns less than ten percent (10%) of Navios Holdings common stock for United States federal income tax purposes.

If a partnership holds Navios Holdings common stock, the tax treatment of a partner will generally depend upon the status of the partner and upon the activities of the partnership. If you are a partner in a partnership holding Navios Holdings common stock, you should consult your tax advisor.

Tax Treatment of Common Stock

Distributions

Subject to the discussion of passive foreign investment companies (PFICs) below, distributions made by Navios Holdings with respect to Navios Holdings common stock to a U.S. Holder will generally constitute dividends to the extent of Navios Holdings current or accumulated earnings and profits, as determined under United States federal income tax principles, and will be included in the U.S. Holder's gross income. Distributions in excess of such earnings and profits will first be treated as a non-taxable return of

Table of Contents

capital to the extent of the U.S. Holder's tax basis in his common stock on a dollar-for-dollar basis and thereafter as capital gain. Because Navios Holdings is not a United States corporation, U.S. Holders that are corporations will not be entitled to claim dividends received deduction with respect to any distributions it receives from Navios Holdings. Dividends paid with respect to Navios Holdings' common stock will generally be treated as passive income for purposes of computing allowable foreign tax credits for United States foreign tax credit purposes.

Dividends paid on Navios Holdings common stock to a U.S. Holder who is an individual, trust or estate, a U.S. Non-Corporate Holder, will, under current law, generally be treated as qualified dividend income that is taxable to such U.S. Non-Corporate Holder a preferential tax rates (through 2010), provided that (1) the common stock is readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States (such as the New York Stock Exchange); (2) the dividend income is not required to be included in gross income under the controlled foreign corporation rules; (3) Navios Holdings is not a passive foreign investment company for the taxable year during which the dividend is paid or the immediately preceding taxable year (which Navios Holdings does not believe it is or will be); (4) the U.S. Non-Corporate Holder has owned the common stock for more than sixty (60) days in the 121-day period beginning sixty (60) days before the date on which the common stock becomes ex-dividend; and (5) the U.S. Non-Corporate Holder is under no obligation to make related payments with respect to positions in substantially similar or related property. Special rules may apply to any extraordinary dividend generally, a dividend in an amount equal to or in excess of ten percent of a stockholder's adjusted basis in a share of common stock paid by Navios Holdings. If Navios Holdings pays an extraordinary dividend on its common stock that is treated as qualified dividend income, then any loss derived by a U.S. Non-Corporate Holder from the sale or exchange of such common stock will be treated as long term capital loss to the extent of such dividend.

There is no assurance that any dividends paid on Navios Holdings common stock will be eligible for these preferential rates in the hands of a U.S. Non-Corporate Holder, although Navios Holdings believes that they will be so eligible. Any dividends out of earnings and profits Navios Holdings pays, which are not eligible for these preferential rates, will be taxed as ordinary income to a U.S. Non-Corporate Holder.

Sale, Exchange or Other Disposition of Common Stock

Assuming Navios Holdings does not constitute with respect of a U.S. Holder, a passive foreign investment company for any taxable year, a U.S. Holder generally will recognize taxable gain or loss upon a sale, exchange or other disposition of Navios Holdings common stock in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized by the US Holder from such sale, exchange or other disposition and the U.S. Holder's tax basis in such stock. Such gain or loss will be treated as long term capital gain or loss if the U.S. Holder's holding period is greater than one year at the time of the sale, exchange or other disposition. Such capital gain or loss will generally be treated as United States source income or loss, as applicable, for United States foreign tax credit purposes. Long term capital gains of US Non-Corporate Holders are eligible for reduced rates of taxation. A U.S. Holder's ability to deduct capital losses is subject to certain limitations. See, United States Federal Income Tax Considerations above, for a discussion of certain tax basis and holding period issues related to Navios Holdings common stock.

Passive Foreign Investment Company Status and Significant Tax Consequences

Special United States federal income tax rules apply to a U.S. Holder that holds stock in a foreign corporation classified as a passive foreign investment company for United States federal income tax purposes. A foreign corporation will be a foreign passive investment company if 75% or more of its gross income for a taxable year is treated as passive income, or if the average percentage of assets held by such corporation during a taxable year which produce or are held to produce passive income is at least 50%. A U.S. Holder of stock in a passive foreign investment company can be subject to current taxation on undistributed income of such company or to other adverse tax results if it does not elect to be subject to such current taxation.

Navios Holdings believes that it will not be a passive foreign investment company because it believes that its shipping income is not passive income and most of its assets will be held for the production of non-passive income.

Since there is no legal authority directly on point, however, the IRS or a court could disagree with Navios Holdings position and treat its shipping income and/or shipping assets as passive income or as producing or held to produce passive income. In addition, although Navios Holdings intends to conduct its affairs in a manner that would avoid Navios Holdings being classified as a passive foreign investment company with respect to any taxable year, it cannot

ensure that the nature of its operations will not change in the future.

United States Federal Income Taxation of Non-U.S. Holders

A beneficial owner of common stock (other than a partnership) that is not a U.S. Holder is referred to herein as a Non-U.S. Holder.

Dividends on Common Stock

Non-U.S. Holders generally will not be subject to United States federal income tax or withholding tax on dividends received with respect to Navios Holdings common stock, unless that income is effectively connected with the Non-U.S. Holder's conduct of a trade or business in the United States. If the Non-U.S. Holder is entitled to the benefits of a United States income tax treaty with respect to those dividends, that income is taxable only if it is attributable to a permanent establishment maintained by the Non-U.S. Holder in the United States. In the event that Navios Holdings were to be taxed as a United States corporation, dividends received by Non-U.S. Holders could be subject to United States withholding tax. See discussion above under United States Tax Consequences Taxation of Operating Income: In General .

Table of Contents

Sale, Exchange or other Disposition of Common Stock

Non-U.S. Holders generally will not be subject to United States federal income tax or withholding tax on any gain realized upon the sale, exchange or other disposition of Navios Holdings' common stock, unless:

the gain is effectively connected with the Non-U.S. Holder's conduct of a trade or business in the United States (and, if the Non-U.S. Holder is entitled to the benefits of an income tax treaty with respect to that gain, that gain is attributable to a permanent establishment maintained by the Non-US Holder in the United States); or

the Non-U.S. Holder is an individual who is present in the United States for 183 days or more during the taxable year of disposition or is otherwise treated as a United States resident for income tax purposes and other conditions are met.

If the Non-U.S. Holder is engaged in a United States trade or business for United States federal income tax purposes, the income from the common stock, including dividends and the gain from the sale, exchange or other disposition of the stock, that is effectively connected with the conduct of that trade or business, will generally be subject to regular United States federal income tax in the same manner as discussed in the previous section relating to the taxation of U.S. Holders. In addition, if the shareholder is a corporate Non-U.S. Holder, the shareholder's earnings and profits that are attributable to the effectively connected income, which are subject to certain adjustments, may be subject to an additional branch profits tax at a rate of thirty percent (30%), or at a lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty.

Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

In general, dividend payments or other taxable distributions, made within the United States to the shareholder, will be subject to information reporting requirements if the shareholder is a non-corporate U.S. Holder. Such payments or distributions may also be subject to backup withholding tax if the shareholder is a non-corporate U.S. Holder and:

fails to provide an accurate taxpayer identification number;

is notified by the IRS that the shareholder failed to report all interest or dividends required to be shown on the shareholder's federal income tax returns; or

in certain circumstances, fails to comply with applicable certification requirements.

Non-US Holders may be required to establish their exemption from information reporting and backup withholding by certifying their status on IRS Form W-8ECI or W-8IMY, as applicable.

If the shareholder is a Non-U.S. Holder and sells the Non-U.S. Holder's common stock to or through a United States office of a broker, the payment of the proceeds is subject to both United States backup withholding and information reporting unless the Non-U.S. Holder certifies that the Non-U.S. Holder is a non-United States person, under penalties of perjury, or otherwise establishes an exemption. If the Non-U.S. Holder sells common stock through a non-United States office of a non-United States broker and the sales proceeds are paid to the Non-U.S. Holder outside the United States, then information reporting and backup withholding generally will not apply to that payment. United States information reporting requirements, but not backup withholding, however, will apply to a payment of sales proceeds, even if that payment is made to the Non-U.S. Holder outside the United States, if the Non-U.S. Holder sells common stock through a non-United States office of a broker that is a United States person or has some other contacts with the United States. Such information reporting requirements will not apply, however, if the broker has documentary evidence in its records that the shareholder is a non-United States person and certain other conditions are met, or otherwise establishes an exemption.

The conclusions expressed above are based on current United States tax law. Future legislative, administrative or judicial changes or interpretations, which can apply retroactively, could affect the accuracy of those conclusions.

The discussion does not address all of the tax consequences that may be relevant to particular taxpayers in light of their personal circumstances or to taxpayers subject to special treatment under the Code. Such taxpayers include non-US persons, insurance companies, tax-exempt entities, dealers in securities, banks and persons who acquired their shares of capital stock pursuant to the exercise of employee options or otherwise as compensation.

F. Dividends and paying agents

Not applicable.

G. Statement by experts

Not applicable.

H. Documents on display

We file reports and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). These materials, including this

Table of Contents

annual report and the accompanying exhibits, may be inspected and copied at the public facilities maintained by the SEC at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549, or from the SEC's website www.sec.gov. You may obtain information on the operation of the public reference room by calling 1-800-SEC-0330 and you may obtain copies at prescribed rates.

I. Subsidiary information

Not applicable.

Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks

Navios Holdings is exposed to certain risks related to interest rate, foreign currency and charter rate risks. To manage these risks, Navios Holdings uses interest rate swaps (for interest rate risk) and FFAs (for charter rate risk).

Interest Rate Risk:

Debt Instruments On December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, Navios Holdings had a total of \$1,630.9 million and \$889.4 million, respectively, in long term indebtedness. The debt is dollar denominated and bears interest at a floating rate, except for the senior notes, the ship mortgage notes and certain Navios Logistics loans discussed in *Liquidity and Capital Resources* that bears interest at fixed rate.

The interest on the loan facilities is at a floating rate and, therefore changes in interest rates would have effect on their value. The interest rate on the senior notes and the ship mortgage notes is fixed and, therefore, changes in interest rates do not affect their value which as of December 31, 2009 was \$714.5 million. Amounts drawn under the facilities and the ship mortgage notes are secured by the assets of Navios Holdings and its subsidiaries. A change in the LIBOR rate of 100 basis points would change interest expense for 2009 by \$5.6 million.

For a detailed discussion on Navios Holdings' debt instruments refer to section *Long Term Debt Obligations and Credit Arrangements* included in Item 5 of this Annual Report.

Interest Rate Swaps Navios Holdings has entered into interest rate swap contracts to hedge its exposure to variability in its floating rate long term debt. Under the terms of the interest rate swaps Navios Holdings and the banks agreed to exchange, at specified intervals, the difference between a paying fixed rate and floating rate interest amount calculated by reference to the agreed principal amounts and maturities. The interest rate swaps allow Navios Holdings to convert long term borrowings issued at floating rates into equivalent fixed rates.

At December 31, 2009, Navios Holdings had the following swaps outstanding:

- a) One swap with the Royal Bank of Scotland and one swap with Alpha Bank with a total notional principal amount of \$20.4 million. The swaps were entered into at various points in 2001 and mature in 2010. Navios Holdings estimates that it would have to pay \$0.6 million to terminate these agreements as of December 31, 2009. As a result of the swaps, Navios Holdings' net exposure is based on total floating rate debt less the notional principal of floating to fixed interest rate swaps. A 100 basis points change in interest rates would increase or decrease interest expense by \$0.08 million as of December 31, 2009, so long as the relevant LIBOR does not exceed the caps described below. The swaps are set by reference to the difference between the three month LIBOR (which is the base rate under Navios Holdings' long term borrowings) and the yield on the U.S. ten year treasury bond. The swaps effectively fix interest rates at 5.55% to 5.65%. However, each of the foregoing swaps is subject to a cap of 7.5%; to the extent the relevant LIBOR exceeds the cap, Navios Holdings would remain exposed.
- b) One swap with Dexia Bank Belgium with a notional amount of \$21.0 million. The swap was entered into at August 2005 and matures in 2010. Navios Holdings estimates that it would have to pay \$0.5 million to terminate these agreements as of December 31, 2009. The swap exchange LIBOR with fixed rate 4.525%.

At December 31, 2008, Navios Holdings had the following swaps outstanding:

- a) One swap with the Royal Bank of Scotland and one swap with Alpha Bank with a total notional principal amount of \$20.4 million. The swaps were entered into at various points in 2001 and mature in 2010. Navios Holdings estimates that it would have to pay \$1.0 million to terminate these agreements as of December 31, 2008. As a result of the swaps, Navios Holdings' net exposure is based on total floating rate debt less the notional principal of floating to fixed interest rate swaps. A 100 basis points change in interest rates would increase or decrease interest expense by \$0.2 million as of December 31, 2008, so long as the relevant LIBOR

does not exceed the caps described below. The swaps are set by reference to the difference between the three month LIBOR (which is the base rate under Navios Holdings' long term borrowings) and the yield on the U.S. ten year treasury bond. The swaps effectively fix interest rates at 5.55% to 5.65%. However, each of the foregoing swaps is subject to a cap of 7.5%; to the extent the relevant LIBOR exceeds the cap, Navios Holdings would remain exposed.

Table of Contents

- b) In July 2006, and in connection with our senior secured credit facility with HSH Nordbank AG, Navios Holdings entered into a second ISDA agreement with HSH Nordbank AG, whereby it exchanges LIBOR with a fixed rate of 5.52%. This contract applied for the period from December 31, 2007 to September 30, 2009, for a notional amount of \$79.3 million at redemptions in accordance with the repayment schedule of our senior secured credit facility. The ISDA agreement is secured by the same collateral as the secured credit facility. A 100 basis points change in interest rates would increase or decrease interest expense by \$0.1 million as of December 31, 2008.
- c) One swap with Fortis Bank and two swaps with Dexia Bank Belgium with a total notional amount of \$34.0 million. The swaps were entered into at May 2004 and August 2005 and mature in 2009 and 2010. Navios Holdings estimates that it would have to pay \$0.9 million to terminate these agreements as of December 31, 2008. The swaps exchange LIBOR with fixed rates varying from 3.95% to 4.525%.

FFAs Derivative Risk:

Forward Freight Agreements (FFAs) Navios Holdings enters into FFAs as economic hedges relating to identifiable ship and/or cargo positions and as economic hedges of transactions that Navios Holdings expects to carry out in the normal course of its shipping business. By using FFAs, Navios Holdings manages the financial risk associated with fluctuating market conditions. The effectiveness of a hedging relationship is assessed at its inception and then throughout the period of its designation as a hedge. If an FFA qualifies for hedge accounting, any gain or loss on the FFA, as accumulated in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss), is first recognized when measuring the profit or loss of related transaction. For FFAs that qualify for hedge accounting, the changes in fair values of the effective portion representing unrealized gains or losses are recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) in the stockholders' equity while the unrealized gains or losses of the FFAs not qualifying for hedge accounting together with the ineffective portion of those qualifying for hedge accounting are recorded in the statement of income under Gain/(Loss) on Forward Freight Agreements. The gains/(losses) included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) will be reclassified to earnings under Revenue in the statement of income in the same period or periods during which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The reclassification to earnings commenced in the third quarter of 2006 and extended until December 31, 2008, depending on the period or periods during which the hedged forecasted transactions will affect earnings. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, \$0, \$19.9 million and \$9.8 million losses, respectively, included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/ (Loss) , were reclassified to earnings.

At December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, none of the mark to market positions of the open drybulk FFA contract, qualified for hedge accounting treatment. Drybulk FFAs traded by the Company that do not qualify for hedge accounting are shown at fair value through the statement of operations.

Navios Holdings is exposed to market risk in relation to its FFAs and could suffer substantial losses from these activities in the event expectations are incorrect. Navios Holdings trades FFAs with an objective of both economically hedging the risk on the fleet, specific vessels or freight commitments and taking advantage of short term fluctuations in market prices. As there was no position deemed to be open as of December 31, 2009, any change in underlying freight market indices would have no effect on the net income.

Item 12. Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies**

None.

Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 15. Controls and Procedures***A. Disclosure Controls and Procedures***

The Company's management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation, pursuant to Rule 13a-15 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2009. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2009.

Disclosure controls and procedures means controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

B. Management's annual report on internal control over financial reporting

The management of Navios Holdings is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Navios Holdings' internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to Navios Holdings' management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Navios Holdings' management assessed the effectiveness of Navios Holdings' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009. In making this assessment, it used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control - Integrated Framework. Based on its assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2009, Navios Holdings' internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Navios Holdings' independent registered public accounting firm has issued an audit report on Navios Holdings' internal control over financial reporting.

C. Attestation report of the registered public accounting firm

Navios Holdings' independent registered public accounting firm has issued an audit report on Navios Holdings' internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on Page F-2 of the consolidated financial statements.

D. Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There have been no changes in internal controls over financial reporting (identified in connection with management's evaluation of such internal controls over financial reporting) that occurred during the year covered by this Annual Report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Navios Holdings' internal controls over financial reporting.

Item 16A. Audit Committee financial expert

Navios Holdings' Audit Committee consists of three independent directors, Spyridon Magoulas, Rex Harrington and Allan Shaw. The Company's Board of Directors has determined that Allan Shaw is an audit committee financial expert as defined in the instructions of Item 16A of Form 20-F. Mr. Shaw is a United States Certified Public Accountant and independent as determined in accordance with SEC rules.

Item 16B. Code of Ethics

Navios Holdings has adopted a code of ethics, the Navios Code of Corporate Conduct and Ethics, applicable to officers, directors and employees of Navios Holdings that complies with applicable guidelines issued by the SEC. The

Navios Code of Corporate Conduct and Ethics is available for review on Navios Holdings website at www.navios.com.

Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Our principal accountants for fiscal years 2009 and 2008 were PricewaterhouseCoopers S.A.

The following table presents fees for professional audit services by PricewaterhouseCoopers S.A. for the audit of our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and fees billed for other services rendered during those periods.

Table of Contents

(in thousands of U.S. Dollars)	Year ended December 31, 2009	Year ended December 31, 2008
Audit fees	\$ 1,270	\$ 1,614
Audit-related fees		
Tax fees		
All other fees		
Total	\$ 1,270	\$ 1,614

The Audit Committee is responsible for the appointment, replacement, compensation, evaluation and oversight of the work of the independent auditors. As part of this responsibility, the audit committee pre-approves the audit and non-audit services performed by the independent auditors in order to assure that they do not impair the auditors independence from the Company. The Audit Committee may delegate, to one or more of its designated members, the authority to grant such pre-approvals. The decision of any member to whom such authority is delegated is be presented to the full Committee at each of its scheduled meetings.

All audit services and other services provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers S.A., after the formation of our Audit Committee in October 2005 were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

Item 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees

Not applicable.

Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

In February, 2008, as previously publicly announced, the Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program for up to \$50.0 million of the Navios Holdings common stock. On October 20, 2008, Navios Holdings concluded this share repurchase program. As of October 20, 2008, 6,959,290 shares were repurchased under this program, for a total consideration of \$50.0 million.

In November 2008, the Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program for up to \$25.0 million of the Navios Holdings common stock. Share repurchases are made pursuant to a program adopted under Rule 10b5-1 under the Securities Exchange Act. The program does not require any minimum purchase or any specific number or amount of shares and may be suspended or reinstated at any time in Navios Holdings discretion and without notice. Repurchases are subject to restrictions under the terms of the Company's credit facilities and indenture.

These share repurchase programs were adopted under Rule 10b5-1 under the Securities Exchange Act, as amended. The programs do not require any minimum purchase or any specific number or amount of shares and may be suspended or reinstated at any time in Navios Holdings discretion and without notice. Repurchases are subject to restrictions under the terms of our credit facilities and indenture.

Our purchases of equity securities for the year ended December 31, 2009 were as follows:

Period	Total number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased under the share repurchase programs
January 2009	7,800	\$3.45	7,800
February 2009	149,600	\$2.26	157,400
March 2009	174,500	\$2.05	331,900

Our purchases of equity securities for the year ended December 31, 2008 were as follows:

Period	Total number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased under the share repurchase programs
March 2008	362,900	\$ 9.30	362,900
April 2008	181,300	\$ 9.39	544,200
May 2008		\$	544,200
June 2008	429,340	\$ 9.44	973,540
July 2008	1,404,200	\$ 9.18	2,377,740
August 2008	907,550	\$ 8.92	3,285,290
September 2008	1,500,000	\$ 7.49	4,785,290
October 2008	2,174,000	\$ 3.97	6,959,290
November 2008	441,981	\$ 1.39	7,401,271
December 2008	133,599	\$ 3.14	7,534,870

As of December 31, 2009, the maximum approximate dollar value of shares that may yet be purchased under the

Table of Contents

November 2008 Program currently in effect is \$23.3 million. As at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, 331,900 and 575,580 shares, respectively were repurchased under this program, for a total consideration of \$0.7 million and \$1.0 million, respectively.

Item 16F. Changes in Registrant's Certifying Accountant

Not applicable.

Item 16G. Corporate Governance

Pursuant to an exception for foreign private issuers, we are not required to comply with the corporate governance practices followed by U.S. companies under the NYSE listing standards. However, we have voluntarily adopted all of the NYSE required practices.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 17. Financial Statements

See Item 18.

Item 18. Financial Statements

The financial information required by this Item is set forth on pages F-1 to F-63 and are filed as part of this annual report.

Separate consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for Navios Maritime Partners L.P. for each of the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 are being provided as a result of Navios Maritime Partners L.P. meeting a significance test pursuant to Rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X for the year ended December 31, 2009 and, accordingly, the financial statements of Navios Maritime Partners L.P. for the year ended December 31, 2009 are required to be filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 20-F. See Exhibit 15.3 to this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

Item 19. Exhibits

- 1.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation. (Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. (File No. 333-129382)).
- 1.2 Bylaws. (Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. (File No. 333-129382)).
- 1.3 Articles of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Form 6-K filed on January 17, 2007).
- 2.1 Specimen Unit Certificate (Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. (File No. 333-129382)).
- 2.2 Specimen Common Stock Certificate. (Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. (File No. 333-129382)).
- 2.3 Specimen Warrant Certificate. (Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. (File No. 333-129382)).
- 2.4 Form of Warrant Agreement between Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company and International Shipping Enterprises, Inc., the legal predecessor of Navios Holdings (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of International Shipping Enterprises, Inc. (File No. 333-119719)).
- 2.5 Stockholders Rights Agreement, dated as of October 6, 2008, between Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. and Continental Stock Transfer and Trust Company (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Form 6-K filed on October 6, 2008).
- 2.6 Certificate of Designations of Rights, Preferences and Privileges of Preferred Stock of Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of the Form 6-K filed on October 6, 2008).
- 2.7 Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock of Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Form 6-K filed on July 7, 2009).
- 2.8 Form of \$20.0 million 6% Bond Due 2012 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 6-K filed on August 5, 2009).
- 2.9 Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock of Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Form 6-K filed on September 22, 2009).

- 2.10 Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of Series C Convertible Preferred Stock of Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Form 6-K filed on September 24, 2009).
- 2.11 Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock of Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Form 6-K filed on February 4, 2010).

Table of Contents

- 4.1 2006 Employee, Director and Consultant Stock Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 6-K filed on May 16, 2007).
- 4.2 Fourteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 4, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Form 6-K filed on June 13, 2008).
- 4.3 Fifteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 4, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of the Form 6-K filed on June 13, 2008).
- 4.4 Financial Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2008, between Nauticler S.A. and Marfin Egnatia Bank, S.A. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 of the Form 6-K filed on June 13, 2008).

Table of Contents

- 4.5 Facility Agreement, dated as of June 24, 2008, with Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. as a guarantor, for a loan amount up to \$133.0 million (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Form 6-K filed on July 14, 2008).
- 4.6 Sixteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 4, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Form 6-K filed on September 19, 2008).
- 4.7 Seventeenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 4, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of the Form 6-K filed on September 19, 2008).
- 4.8 Eighteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 28, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 of the Form 6-K filed on September 19, 2008).
- 4.9 Nineteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 18, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 of the Form 6-K filed on September 19, 2008).
- 4.10 Twentieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 18, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.5 of the Form 6-K filed on September 19, 2008).
- 4.11 Twenty-First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 10, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Form 6-K filed on December 10, 2008).
- 4.12 Facility Agreement, dated as of November 10, 2008, with Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. as a guarantor, for a loan amount up to \$90.0 million (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of the Form 6-K filed on December 10, 2008).
- 4.13 Twenty-Second Supplemental Indenture to the Indenture dated December 18, 2006, dated as of February 24, 2009 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Form 6-K filed on May 18, 2009).
- 4.14 Loan Agreement, dated March 26, 2009, among Surf Maritime Co., Pueblo Holdings Ltd., Ginger Services Co. and Marfin Egnatia Bank S.A. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of the Form 6-K filed on May 18, 2009).
- 4.15 Financial Agreement, dated March 20, 2009, between Nauticler S.A. and Marfin Popular Bank Public Co., Ltd. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 of the Form 6-K filed on May 18, 2009).
- 4.16 Third Supplemental Agreement in relation to the Facility Agreement dated February 1, 2007, dated March 23, 2009 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 of the Form 6-K filed on May 18, 2009).
- 4.17 Amendment to Share Purchase Agreement, dated June 29, 2009, between Anemos Maritime Holdings Inc. and Navios Maritime Partners L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 6-K filed on July 7, 2009).
- 4.18 Amendment to Omnibus Agreement, dated June 29, 2009, among Navios Maritime Holdings Inc., Navios GP L.L.C., Navios Maritime Operating L.L.C., and Navios Maritime Partners L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Form 6-K filed on July 7, 2009).
- 4.19 Facility Agreement for \$240.0 million, dated June 24, 2009, among the Borrowers listed therein and Commerzbank AG (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Form 6-K filed on July 7, 2009).

Edgar Filing: Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. - Form 20-F

- 4.20 Twenty-Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 2, 2009 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Form 6-K filed on August 5, 2009).
- 4.21 Twenty-Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 14, 2009 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of the Form 6-K filed on August 5, 2009).
- 4.22 Supplemental Agreement in relation to the Facility Agreement dated December 11, 2007, dated July 10, 2009, among Chilali Corp., Rumer Holdings Ltd. and Emporiki Bank of Greece S.A. with Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. as guarantor (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 of the Form 6-K filed on August 5, 2009).
- 4.23 Amended and Restated Loan Agreement in respect of a loan facility of up to \$120.0 million, dated May 25, 2009 with Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. as guarantor (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of the Form 6-K filed on October 8, 2009).
- 4.24 Supplemental Agreement in relation to the Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated May 25, 2009, dated July 16, 2009 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Form 6-K filed on October 8, 2009).
- 4.25 Second Supplemental Agreement in relation to the Facility Agreement dated December 11, 2007, dated August 28, 2009 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 of the Form 6-K filed on October 8, 2009).
- 4.26 Facility Agreement for \$66.5 million, dated August 28, 2009, with Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. as guarantor (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 of the Form 6-K filed on October 8, 2009).
- 4.27 Facility Agreement for \$75.0 million, dated August 28, 2009, with Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. as guarantor (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.5 of the Form 6-K filed on October 8, 2009).
- 4.28 Twenty-Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated September 8, 2009 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.6 of the Form 6-K filed on October 8, 2009).
- 4.29 Loan Agreement for up to \$110.0 million, dated October 23, 2009, with Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. as guarantor (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Form 6-K filed on November 10, 2009 (Film No. 091172561)).
- 4.30 Indenture relating to 8⁷/₈% First Priority Ship Mortgage Notes due 2017, dated November 2, 2009, among Navios Maritime Holdings Inc., Navios Maritime Finance (US) Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 of the Form 6-K filed on November 10, 2009 (Film No. 091172624)).
- 4.31 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of November 2, 2009 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 of the Form 6-K filed on November 10, 2009 (Film No. 091172624)).
- 4.32 First Supplemental Indenture to the indenture dated November 2, 2009, dated as of January 29, 2010 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.6 of the Form 6-K filed on February 17, 2010).
- 4.33 Twenty-Seventh Supplemental Indenture dated as of January 29, 2010 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.6 of the Form 6-K filed on February 17, 2010).
- 4.34 Twenty-Sixth Supplemental Indenture dated as of October 23, 2009 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.6 of the Form 6-K filed on February 17, 2010).
- 8.1 List of subsidiaries.

- 12.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
- 12.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
- 13.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
- 15.1 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers S.A.
- 15.2 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers S.A.
- 15.3 Financial Statements of Navios Maritime Partners L.P. for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing its Annual Report on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this Annual Report on its behalf.

Navios Maritime Holdings Inc.

By: /s/ Angeliki Frangou

Name: **Angeliki Frangou**

Title: **Chairman and Chief Executive
Officer**

Date: March 16, 2010

Table of Contents

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
NAVIOS MARITIME HOLDINGS INC.	
<u>REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	F-2
<u>CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AT DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008</u>	F-3
<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME FOR EACH OF THE YEARS ENDED</u> DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008 AND 2007	F-5
<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR EACH OF THE YEARS ENDED</u> DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008 AND 2007	F-6
<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY FOR EACH OF THE YEARS ENDED</u> DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008 AND 2007	F-8
<u>NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	F-9
F-1	

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

**To the Shareholders and the Board of Directors of
Navios Maritime Holdings Inc.:**

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of income, statement of equity and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Navios Maritime Holdings Inc and its subsidiaries (the Company) at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company’s management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management’s annual report on internal control over financial reporting, appearing in Item 15(b) of the Company’s 2009 Annual Report on Form 20-F. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audits of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As discussed in Note 2(ag) to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for business combinations and non-controlling interests in 2009.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers S.A.

Athens, Greece
March 16, 2010

Table of Contents

**NAVIOS MARITIME HOLDINGS INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(Expressed in thousands of U.S. Dollars except share data)

	Notes	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
ASSETS			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	4,13	\$ 173,933	\$ 133,624
Restricted cash	2, 12,13	107,158	17,858
Accounts receivable, net	6	78,504	109,780
Short term derivative asset	13	38,382	214,156
Short term backlog asset	9		44
Due from affiliate companies	17	1,973	1,677
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	7	27,730	28,270
Total current assets		427,680	505,409
Deposit for vessels acquisitions	8	344,515	404,096
Vessels, port terminal and other fixed assets, net	8,24	1,577,741	737,094
Long term derivative assets	13	8,181	36,697
Deferred financing costs, net		25,685	13,449
Deferred dry dock and special survey costs, net		5,953	4,873
Investments in leased assets		18,431	18,998
Investments in affiliates	10,17	13,042	5,605
Investments in available for sale securities	8,10, 24	46,314	22,358
Other long term assets		19,153	9,535
Customer relationships	9	31,941	33,716
Trade name	9	86,100	89,953
Port terminal operating rights	9	30,382	31,310
Favorable lease terms	9	152,148	192,899
Goodwill	3	147,916	147,632
Total non-current assets		2,507,502	1,748,215
Total assets		\$ 2,935,182	\$ 2,253,624
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY			
Current liabilities			
Accounts payable		\$ 61,990	\$ 72,520
Dividends payable	2	6,052	9,096
Accrued expenses	11	48,030	34,468
Deferred income and cash received in advance	8	9,529	11,319
Short term derivative liability	13	10,675	128,952
Current portion of long term debt	12	59,804	15,177
Total current liabilities		196,080	271,532

Edgar Filing: Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. - Form 20-F

Senior and ship mortgage notes, net of discount	12	693,049	298,344
Long term debt, net of current portion	12	869,853	574,194
Unfavorable lease terms	9	59,203	76,684
Long term liabilities and deferred income	8,14	33,470	47,827
Deferred tax liability	2,22	22,777	26,573
Long term derivative liability	13		23,691
Total non-current liabilities		1,678,352	1,047,313
Total liabilities		1,874,432	1,318,845
Commitments and contingencies	15		
Stockholders equity			
Preferred Stock \$0.0001 par value, authorized 1,000,000 shares, 8,201 and none issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively			

F - 3

Table of Contents

**NAVIOS MARITIME HOLDINGS INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(Expressed in thousands of U.S. Dollars except share data)

	Notes	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Common stock \$0.0001 par value, authorized 250,000,000 shares, issued and outstanding 100,874,199 and 100,488,784, as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively		10	10
Additional paid-in capital		533,729	494,719
Accumulated other comprehensive income/ (loss)		15,156	(22,578)
Retained earnings		376,585	333,669
Total Navios Holdings stockholders equity		925,480	805,820
Noncontrolling interest	23	135,270	128,959
Total equity		1,060,750	934,779
Total liabilities and equity		\$ 2,935,182	\$ 2,253,624

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F - 4

Table of Contents

NAVIOS MARITIME HOLDINGS INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Expressed in thousands of U.S. Dollars except share and per share data)

	Note	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Revenue	20	\$ 598,676	\$ 1,246,062	\$ 758,420
Time charter, voyage and logistic business expenses		(353,838)	(1,066,239)	(557,573)
Direct vessel expenses		(31,454)	(26,621)	(27,892)
General and administrative expenses		(43,897)	(37,047)	(23,058)
Depreciation and amortization	8,9	(73,885)	(57,062)	(31,900)
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	6	(2,237)	(2,668)	
Interest income from investments in finance lease		1,330	2,185	3,507
Interest income		1,699	7,753	10,819
Interest expense and finance cost, net		(63,618)	(49,128)	(51,089)
Gain on derivatives	13	375	8,092	25,100
Gain on sale of assets/partial sale of subsidiary	19	20,785	27,817	167,511
Other income		6,749	948	445
Other expense		(20,508)	(7,386)	(767)
Income before equity in net earnings of affiliated companies and joint venture		40,177	46,706	273,523
Equity in net earnings of affiliated companies and joint venture	10,17	29,222	17,431	1,929
Income before taxes		\$ 69,399	\$ 64,137	\$ 275,452
Income taxes	2,22	1,565	56,113	(4,451)
Net income		\$ 70,964	\$ 120,250	\$ 271,001
Less: Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest	23	(3,030)	(1,723)	
Net income attributable to Navios Holdings common stockholders		\$ 67,934	\$ 118,527	\$ 271,001
Less:				
Incremental fair value of securities offered to induce warrants exercise				(4,195)
Income available to Navios Holdings common stockholders		\$ 67,934	\$ 118,527	\$ 266,806
Basic net income per share attributable to Navios Holdings stockholders		\$ 0.68	\$ 1.14	\$ 2.87

Weighted average number of shares, basic	21	99,924,587	104,343,083	92,820,943
Diluted net income per share attributable to Navios Holdings stockholders		\$ 0.65	\$ 1.10	\$ 2.68
Weighted average number of shares, diluted	21	105,194,659	107,344,748	99,429,533

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F - 5

Table of Contents

NAVIOS MARITIME HOLDINGS INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Expressed in thousands of U.S. Dollars)

	Note	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Net income		\$ 70,964	\$ 120,250	\$ 271,001
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by/(used in) operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization	8,9	73,885	57,062	31,900
Amortization and write-off of deferred financing cost		6,682	2,077	1,856
Amortization of deferred dry dock costs		2,441	1,933	1,687
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	6	2,237	2,668	
Unrealized (gain)/loss on FFA derivatives	13	(1,674)	8,220	(12,232)
Unrealized (gain)/loss on warrants	13	(5,863)	5,282	
Unrealized loss on available for sale securities	24	13,778		
Unrealized (gain)/loss on interest rate swaps	13	(1,774)	1,874	1,279
Share based compensation	14	2,187	2,694	566
Gains on sale of assets/partial sale of subsidiary	19	(20,785)	(27,817)	(167,511)
Deferred taxes	2,22	(1,565)	(56,113)	4,451
Compensation income		(6,082)		
Earnings in affiliates and joint ventures, net of dividends received	10,17	(1,355)	(4,517)	(1,251)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Decrease /(increase) in restricted cash		11,078	65,839	(67,473)
Decrease /(increase) in accounts receivable		29,082	2,473	(76,016)
(Increase)/decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets		(9,465)	16,704	(29,811)
(Increase)/decrease in due from affiliates		(296)	2,781	(4,455)
(Decrease)/increase in accounts payable		(10,610)	(42,154)	59,946
Increase/(decrease) in accrued expenses		12,306	(10,584)	20,088
(Decrease)/increase in deferred voyage revenue		(5,172)	(19,737)	26,398
(Decrease)/increase in long term liability		(11,659)	13,627	(341)
Increase/(decrease) in derivative accounts		71,633	(167,297)	70,419
Payments for dry dock and special survey costs		(3,522)	(3,653)	(2,426)
Net cash provided by/(used in) operating activities		216,451	(28,388)	128,075
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Acquisition of subsidiary, net of cash acquired	3	(369)	(107,569)	(145,436)
	3		(2,500)	

Edgar Filing: Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. - Form 20-F

Deposits in escrow in connection with acquisition of subsidiary				
Proceeds from sale of assets	19	66,600	70,088	353,300
Restricted cash for investing activities	12	(90,878)		
Receipts from finance lease		567	4,843	9,049
Deposits for vessel acquisitions	8	(238,810)	(197,853)	(188,254)
Acquisition of vessels	8	(512,760)	(118,814)	(44,510)
Purchase of property and equipment	8	(26,888)	(100,832)	(600)
Net cash used in investing activities		(802,538)	(452,637)	(16,451)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Proceeds from long-term loan	12	621,270	314,827	141,914
Proceeds from senior notes, net of discount	12	394,412		
Repayment of long term debt and payment of principal	12	(333,952)	(52,563)	(135,945)
	F - 6			

Table of Contents

NAVIOS MARITIME HOLDINGS INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Expressed in thousands of U.S. Dollars)

	Note	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Debt issuance costs		(18,097)	(2,310)	(3,228)
Restricted cash		(9,500)		
Contributions from noncontrolling shareholders		563		
Issuance of common stock	18		6,749	