

BANNER CORP
Form 10-K
March 16, 2015

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

OR
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-26584

BANNER CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Washington

91-1691604

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer

Identification Number)

10 South First Avenue, Walla Walla, Washington 99362

(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (509) 527-3636

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share

The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC
(Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered)

(Title of Each Class)

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act:

None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes

No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files) Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulations S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-K

incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ____

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company ____

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act) Yes ____ No

The aggregate market value of the voting and nonvoting common equity held by nonaffiliates of the registrant based on the closing sales price

of the registrant's common stock quoted on The NASDAQ Stock Market on June 30, 2014, was:

Common Stock – \$755,828,076

(The exclusion from such amount of the market value of the shares owned by any person shall not be deemed an admission by the Registrant

that such person is an affiliate of the Registrant.)

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's classes of common stock as of February 28, 2015:

Common Stock, \$.01 par value – 19,579,326 shares

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of Proxy Statement for Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held April 21, 2015 are incorporated by reference into Part III.

BANNER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Table of Contents

PART I	Page	
Item 1.	Business	<u>4</u>
	General	<u>4</u>
	Recent Developments	<u>6</u>
	Lending Activities	<u>7</u>
	Asset Quality	<u>11</u>
	Investment Activities	<u>12</u>
	Deposit Activities and Other Sources of Funds	<u>14</u>
	Personnel	<u>15</u>
	Taxation	<u>15</u>
	Competition	<u>15</u>
	Regulation	<u>15</u>
	Management Personnel	<u>22</u>
	Corporate Information	<u>23</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>24</u>
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	<u>33</u>
Item 2.	Properties	<u>33</u>
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	<u>33</u>
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>33</u>
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	<u>34</u>
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	<u>37</u>
Item 7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>40</u>
	Executive Overview	<u>40</u>
	Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2014 and 2013	<u>47</u>
	Comparison of Results of Operations	
	Years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013	<u>64</u>
	Years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012	<u>70</u>
	Market Risk and Asset/Liability Management	<u>73</u>
	Liquidity and Capital Resources	<u>78</u>
	Capital Requirements	<u>79</u>
	Effect of Inflation and Changing Prices	<u>79</u>
	Contractual Obligations	<u>80</u>
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>80</u>
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	<u>80</u>
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	<u>80</u>
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	<u>80</u>
Item 9B.	Other Information	<u>81</u>
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	<u>82</u>
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	<u>82</u>

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-K

Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	<u>82</u>
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	<u>83</u>
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	<u>83</u>

PART IV

Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	<u>84</u>
	Signatures	<u>85</u>

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain matters in this Form 10-K constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements relate to our financial condition, liquidity, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance or business. Forward-looking statements are not statements of historical fact, are based on certain assumptions and are generally identified by use of the words “believes,” “expects,” “anticipates,” “estimates,” “forecasts,” “intends,” “plans,” “targets,” “potentially,” “probably,” “projects,” “outlook” or similar expressions or conditional verbs such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “would” and “could.” Forward-looking statements include statements with respect to our beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, assumptions and statements about future economic performance and projections of financial items. These forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results anticipated or implied by our forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to: expected revenues, cost savings, synergies and other benefits from the merger of Banner Bank and Siuslaw Bank and of the proposed merger of Banner Bank and AmericanWest Bank ("AmericanWest") might not be realized within the expected time frames or at all and costs or difficulties relating to integration matters, including but not limited to customers, systems and employee retention, might be greater than expected; the requisite shareholder and regulatory approvals for the AmericanWest transaction might not be obtained; the credit risks of lending activities, including changes in the level and trend of loan delinquencies and write-offs and changes in our allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses that may be impacted by deterioration in the housing and commercial real estate markets and may lead to increased losses and non-performing assets, and may result in our allowance for loan losses not being adequate to cover actual losses and require us to materially increase our reserves; changes in economic conditions in general and in Washington, Idaho, Oregon, Utah and California in particular; changes in the levels of general interest rates and the relative differences between short and long-term interest rates, loan and deposit interest rates, our net interest margin and funding sources; fluctuations in the demand for loans, the number of unsold homes, land and other properties and fluctuations in real estate values in our market areas; secondary market conditions for loans and our ability to sell loans in the secondary market; results of examinations of us by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve Board) and of our bank subsidiaries by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC), the Washington State Department of Financial Institutions, Division of Banks (the Washington DFI) or other regulatory authorities, including the possibility that any such regulatory authority may, among other things, institute an informal or formal enforcement action against us or any of our bank subsidiaries which could require us to increase our reserve for loan losses, write-down assets, change our regulatory capital position or affect our ability to borrow funds, or maintain or increase deposits, or impose additional requirements and restrictions on us, any of which could adversely affect our liquidity and earnings; legislative or regulatory changes that adversely affect our business including changes in regulatory policies and principles, or the interpretation of regulatory capital or other rules, including changes related to Basel III; the impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and the implementing regulations; our ability to attract and retain deposits; increases in premiums for deposit insurance; our ability to control operating costs and expenses; the use of estimates in determining fair value of certain of our assets and liabilities, which estimates may prove to be incorrect and result in significant changes in valuation; difficulties in reducing risk associated with the loans on our balance sheet; staffing fluctuations in response to product demand or the implementation of corporate strategies that affect our work force and potential associated charges; the failure or security breach of computer systems on which we depend; our ability to retain key members of our senior management team; costs and effects of litigation, including settlements and judgments; our ability to implement our business strategies; future goodwill impairment due to changes in our business, changes in market conditions, or other factors; our ability to manage loan delinquency rates; increased competitive pressures among financial services companies; changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits; the availability of resources to address changes in laws, rules, or regulations or to respond to regulatory actions; our ability to pay dividends on our common stock and interest or principal payments on our junior subordinated debentures; adverse changes in the securities markets; inability of key third-party providers to perform their obligations to us; changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the financial institution regulatory agencies or the Financial Accounting Standards

Board including additional guidance and interpretation on accounting issues and details of the implementation of new accounting methods; the economic impact of war or any terrorist activities; other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory, and technological factors affecting our operations, pricing, products and services; and other risks detailed from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including this report on Form 10-K. Any forward-looking statements are based upon management's beliefs and assumptions at the time they are made. We do not undertake and specifically disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking statements included in this report or the reasons why actual results could differ from those contained in such statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. These risks could cause our actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements by, or on behalf of, us. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking statements discussed in this report might not occur, and you should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements.

As used throughout this report, the terms "we," "our," "us," or the "Company" refer to Banner Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires. All references to "Banner" refer to Banner Corporation and those to "the Banks" refer to its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Banner Bank and Islanders Bank, collectively.

PART 1

Item 1 – Business

General

Banner Corporation (the Company) is a bank holding company incorporated in the State of Washington. We are primarily engaged in the business of planning, directing and coordinating the business activities of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Banner Bank and Islanders Bank. Banner Bank is a Washington-chartered commercial bank that conducts business from its main office in Walla Walla, Washington and, as of December 31, 2014, its 90 branch offices and ten loan production offices located in Washington, Oregon and Idaho. Islanders Bank is also a Washington-chartered commercial bank that conducts business from three locations in San Juan County, Washington. Banner Corporation is subject to regulation by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve Board). Banner Bank and Islanders Bank (the Banks) are subject to regulation by the Washington State Department of Financial Institutions, Division of Banks (the DFI) and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC). As of December 31, 2014, we had total consolidated assets of \$4.7 billion, net loans of \$3.8 billion, total deposits of \$3.9 billion and total stockholders' equity of \$584 million. Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the ticker symbol "BANR."

Banner Bank is a regional bank which offers a wide variety of commercial banking services and financial products to individuals, businesses and public sector entities in its primary market areas. Islanders Bank is a community bank which offers similar banking services to individuals, businesses and public entities located primarily in the San Juan Islands. The Banks' primary business is that of traditional banking institutions, accepting deposits and originating loans in locations surrounding our offices in portions of Washington, Oregon and Idaho. Banner Bank is also an active participant in the secondary market, engaging in mortgage banking operations largely through the origination and sale of one- to four-family residential loans. Lending activities include commercial business and commercial real estate loans, agriculture business loans, construction and land development loans, one- to four-family residential loans and consumer loans.

Since becoming a public company in 1995, we have invested significantly in expanding our branch and distribution systems with a primary emphasis on strengthening our market presence in our five primary markets in the Northwest. Those markets include the four largest metropolitan areas in the Northwest: the Puget Sound region of Washington and the greater Portland, Oregon, Boise, Idaho, and Spokane, Washington markets, as well as our historical base in the vibrant agricultural communities in the Columbia Basin region of Washington and Oregon. Our aggressive franchise expansion during this period included the acquisition and consolidation of eight commercial banks, as well as the opening of 28 new branches, the acquisition of seven branches and relocating 12 others. Since changing our name in 2001, we also have invested heavily in advertising campaigns designed to significantly increase the brand awareness for Banner Bank as well as expanded product offerings. These investments, which have been significant elements in our strategies to grow loans, deposits and customer relationships, have increased our presence within desirable marketplaces and allow us to better serve existing and future customers. This emphasis on growth and development resulted in an elevated level of operating expenses during much of this period; however, we believe the expanded branch network, broader product line and heightened brand awareness have created a franchise that is well positioned and is allowing us to successfully execute on our super community bank model. That strategy is focused on delivering customers, including middle market and small businesses, business owners, their families and employees, a compelling value proposition by providing the financial sophistication and breadth of products of a regional bank while retaining the appeal, responsiveness, and superior service level of a community bank.

At year end 2014, we had two previously announced and pending acquisitions that will significantly increase the size and geographic reach of the Company and Banner Bank. On August 7, 2014, we announced the execution of a definitive agreement to purchase Siuslaw Financial Group (Siuslaw), the holding company of Siuslaw Bank, an Oregon state chartered commercial bank headquartered in Florence, Oregon, with ten branches serving multiple

locations in Lane County including Eugene, Oregon. This acquisition closed on March 6, 2015 at which time Siuslaw merged into Banner and Siuslaw Bank merged into Banner Bank. On November 5, 2014, we announced the execution of a definitive agreement to purchase Starbuck Bancshares, Inc. (Starbuck), the bank holding company of AmericanWest Bank (AmericanWest), a Washington state chartered commercial bank headquartered in Spokane, Washington, with 94 branches serving markets in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, California and Utah. The merger agreement provides that Starbuck will merge with and into a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. Immediately following the merger, Starbuck's wholly owned bank subsidiary, AmericanWest will merge with Banner Bank. At September 30, 2014, AmericanWest had \$4.1 billion in assets, \$2.5 billion in net loans, \$3.3 billion in deposits and members' equity of \$561.3 million excluding AmericanWest's recent acquisition of Greater Sacramento Bancorp. At September 30, 2014, Greater Sacramento Bancorp had \$481 million in assets, \$410 million in deposits and stockholders' equity of \$39 million. The merged banks will operate under the Banner Bank brand. Under the terms of the agreement, the aggregate consideration to be received by AmericanWest equity holders will consist of a fixed amount of 13.23 million shares of Banner common stock and \$130.0 million in cash. Upon completion of the transaction, such shares will represent an approximately 38.8% pro forma ownership interest in Banner. The merger is subject to approval by Banner's shareholders and regulatory agencies as well as other customary closing conditions and is expected to close late in the second quarter or early in the third quarter of 2015. In addition, in June of 2014, Banner Bank acquired six branches in southwestern Oregon (the "Branch Acquisition") which have been fully integrated into Banner Bank. In the aggregate, Banner Bank acquired \$212 million in deposit accounts, \$88 million in loans, and \$3 million in branch properties. Banner Bank also received \$128 million in cash from the transaction. Upon completion of the AmericanWest merger, Banner Bank will have more than 190 locations in five western states, a significantly expanded customer base and meaningfully increased business opportunities. See Notes 2 and 4 of the Notes to our Audited Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8 of this report for additional information.

Banner Corporation's successful execution of its strategic turnaround plan and operating initiatives, which resulted in our return to profitability in 2011, continued and strengthened in 2012, 2013 and 2014 and delivered noteworthy results as evidenced by our solid profitability for each of these years. Over this period we achieved substantial success on our goals to achieve and maintain the Company's moderate risk profile as well as to develop and continue strong earnings momentum going forward. Highlights of this success have included continued substantial

improvement in our asset quality, outstanding client acquisition results and account growth, significantly increased non-interest-bearing deposit balances and strong revenue generation from core operations. As a result, for the year ended December 31, 2014, we had net income available to common shareholders of \$54.2 million, or \$2.79 per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2013, we had net income available to common shareholders of \$46.6 million, or \$2.40 per diluted share, and for the year ended December 31, 2012, we had net income available to common shareholders of \$64.9 million, or \$3.16 per diluted share.

Although there continue to be indications that economic conditions are improving, the pace of expansion has been modest and uneven and ongoing uncertainty in the economy and low interest rates will likely continue to present a challenging banking environment going forward. As a result, our future operating results and financial performance will be significantly affected by the course of economic activity. However, over the past four years we have significantly added to our client relationships and account base, as well as substantially improved our risk profile by aggressively managing and reducing our problem assets, which has resulted in stronger and sustainable revenues and low credit costs, and which we believe has positioned the Company well to meet this challenging environment with continued success.

Our operating results depend primarily on our net interest income, which is the difference between interest income on interest-earning assets, consisting of loans and investment securities, and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, composed primarily of customer deposits and borrowings. Net interest income is primarily a function of our interest rate spread, which is the difference between the yield earned on interest-earning assets and the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities, as well as a function of the average balances of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Our net interest income before provision for loan losses increased 8% to \$179.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$166.7 million for the year earlier. This increase in net interest income reflects the significant growth in earning assets and occurred despite a decrease in the net interest spread. Our interest rate spread decreased to 4.04% for the year ending December 31, 2014 from 4.08% for the year ending December 31, 2013, while our net interest margin decreased to 4.07% in the current year compared to 4.11% a year earlier. The decrease in interest rate spread reflects declining yields on performing loans, partially offset by increased yields on securities, the net of which was only partially offset by continuing reductions in deposit and other funding costs. Pressure on our net interest margin in the exceptionally low market interest rate environment that the Federal Reserve has maintained for an extended period following the recessionary period of 2008 and 2009 is a particularly challenging issue for banks, which appears likely to persist in the foreseeable future.

Our net income also is affected by the level of our other operating income, including deposit fees and service charges, loan origination and servicing fees, and gains and losses on the sale of loans and securities, as well as our non-interest operating expenses and income tax provisions. In addition, our net income is affected by the net change in the value of certain financial instruments carried at fair value, and in certain periods by other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charges or recoveries. Further, in the year ended December 31, 2014, our net income was significantly augmented by an acquisition bargain purchase gain related to the purchase of the six branches in southwestern Oregon (see Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements) and in the year ended December 31, 2013 by a termination fee related to the cancellation of the proposed acquisition of Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. (See Note 22 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.) For the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded a net gain of \$1.4 million for fair value adjustments, \$42,000 in net gains on the sale of securities, and the \$9.1 million acquisition bargain purchase gain. In comparison, for the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded a net charge of \$2.3 million for fair value adjustments, which was offset by \$1.0 million in net gains on the sale of securities, \$409,000 in OTTI recoveries and the \$3.0 million acquisition termination fee.

Our total other operating income, which includes the net gain on sale of securities, OTTI losses and recoveries, changes in the value of financial instruments carried at fair value, as well as the acquisition bargain purchase gain in the current year and termination fee in the prior year, was \$54.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014,

compared to \$43.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. As a result, our total revenues (net interest income before the provision for loan losses plus other operating income) for 2014 increased to \$234.1 million, compared to \$210.1 million for 2013. However, our total revenues, excluding the net gain on sale of securities, OTTI, fair value adjustments, the bargain purchase gain in the current year and termination fee in the prior year, which we believe is more indicative of our core operations, were \$223.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, an increase of 8% compared to \$208.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in revenues in the current year reflects significant increases in net interest income and deposit fees and service charges, which were only partially offset by a modest decrease in mortgage banking revenues. Importantly, this increase in revenues is primarily the result of increased loan balances, deposit accounts and customer relationships.

As a result of adequate reserves already in place as well as declining net charge-offs, we did not record a provision for loan losses in the years ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013. By contrast, we recorded a \$13.0 million provision for the year ended December 31, 2012 and substantially larger provisions in the three years immediately prior to 2012. The decrease in loan loss provisioning compared to the earlier years reflects our significant progress in reducing the levels of delinquencies, non-performing loans and net charge-offs, particularly for loans for the construction of one- to four-family homes and for acquisition and development of land for residential properties. As a result of our focused efforts, non-performing loans decreased by 32% to \$16.7 million at December 31, 2014, compared to \$24.8 million a year earlier. Our allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2014 was \$75.9 million, representing 1.98% of total loans outstanding and 454% of non-performing loans. (See Note 6, Loans Receivable and the Allowance for Loan Losses, as well as "Asset Quality" below in this Form 10-K.)

Our other operating expenses increased to \$153.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$141.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, largely as a result of increased costs related to acquisition activities, including the operation of six newly acquired branches as well as transaction, integration and conversion-related expense for those branches and for the merger with Siuslaw and the pending merger with AmericanWest, as well as increased compensation expense.

Other operating income, revenues and other earnings information excluding fair value adjustments, OTTI losses or recoveries, gains or losses on sale of securities and other one-time transactions and related expenses are financial measures not made in conformity with U.S. generally

acceptable accounting principles (GAAP). Management has presented these non-GAAP financial measures in this discussion and analysis because it believes that they provide useful and comparative information to assess trends in our core operations. However, these non-GAAP financial measures are supplemental and are not a substitute for any analysis based on GAAP. Where applicable, we have also presented comparable earnings information using GAAP financial measures. For a reconciliation of these non-GAAP financial measures, see the tables that set forth reconciliations of non-GAAP financial measures located in Item 7, "Management's Discussions and Analysis of Financial Condition—Executive Overview." Because not all companies use the same calculations, our presentation may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures as calculated by other companies. See Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for more detailed information about our financial performance, critical accounting policies and reconciliations of these non-GAAP financial measures.

Recent Developments and Significant Events

Proposed Acquisition of AmericanWest Bank

On November 5, 2014, the Company announced the execution of a definitive agreement to purchase Starbuck Bancshares, the holding company for AmericanWest, a Washington state chartered commercial bank. Pursuant to the agreement, AmericanWest's holding company will merge with and into Banner and AmericanWest will merge with and into Banner Bank. The merged banks will operate under the Banner Bank brand. Under the terms of the agreement, the aggregate consideration to be received by AmericanWest equityholders will consist of a fixed amount of 13.23 million shares of Banner common stock and \$130.0 million in cash. Upon completion of the transaction, such shares will represent an approximately 38.8% pro forma ownership interest in Banner. The definitive merger agreement was approved unanimously by the boards of directors of both companies. The merger is expected to close late in the second quarter or early in the third quarter of 2015 and is subject to approval by regulatory agencies and obtaining the requisite shareholder approval to issue the shares necessary to complete the transaction, as well as other customary closing conditions.

Acquisition of Siuslaw Financial Group, Inc.

On March 6, 2015, the Company completed its acquisition of Siuslaw, the holding company of Siuslaw Bank, an Oregon state chartered commercial bank. Siuslaw shareholders received consideration of \$1.41622 in cash plus 0.32231 of a share of Banner common stock in exchange for each share of Siuslaw common stock, which reflects a payment of approximately 90% stock and 10% cash. Upon closing of the transaction Siuslaw was merged into Banner and Siuslaw Bank was merged into Banner Bank.

Acquisition of Six Sterling Savings Bank Branches

Effective as of the close of business on June 20, 2014, Banner Bank completed the purchase of the six branches in Oregon from Umpqua Bank (the "Branch Acquisition"), successor to Sterling Savings Bank. In the aggregate, Banner acquired \$212 million in deposit accounts, \$88 million in loans, and \$3 million in branch properties. Banner Bank also received \$128 million in cash from the transaction.

Income Tax Reporting and Accounting

Amended Federal Income Tax Returns: The Company has years 2011 - 2013 open for tax examination under the statute of limitation provisions of the state and of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (Code). Tax years 2008 - 2010 are not open for assessment of additional tax, but remain open for adjustment to the amount of Net Operating Losses (NOLs), credit, and other carryforwards utilized in open years or to be utilized in the future. The Company filed amended federal income tax returns for tax years 2008 and 2009 to claim additional bad debt deductions, which

resulted in additional NOLs for tax years 2008 and 2009. The Company also filed amended federal income tax returns for tax years 2005 - 2006 and a tentative refund claim for tax year 2007 to carryback the NOLs and general business credits from 2008 and 2009 to those earlier years. Review of the amended returns for all years was completed by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) in 2013 and the Company signed a closing agreement with the IRS related to refund claims of \$9.8 million, primarily related to tax year 2006. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had recorded a tax receivable of \$9.8 million with an offsetting adjustment to its deferred tax assets. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had reduced its tax receivable by \$9.8 million due to the receipt of that amount in 2014.

Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance: The Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries file consolidated U.S. federal income tax returns, as well as state income tax returns in Oregon and Idaho. Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method a deferred tax asset or liability is determined based on the enacted tax rates which are expected to be in effect when the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax basis of existing assets and liabilities are expected to be reported in the Company's income tax returns. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Under GAAP, a valuation allowance is required to be recognized if it is "more likely than not" that all or a portion of Banner's deferred tax assets will not be realized. During 2010, the Company evaluated its net deferred tax asset and determined it was prudent to establish a full valuation allowance against the net asset. While the full valuation allowance remained in effect, the Company did not recognize any tax expense or benefit in its Consolidated Statements of Operations. During 2012, management analyzed the Company's performance and trends since December 31, 2010, focusing on trends in asset quality, loan loss provisioning, capital position, net interest margin, core operating income and net income and the likelihood of continued profitability. Based on this analysis, management determined that a full valuation allowance was no longer appropriate and reversed all of the valuation allowance during the year ending December 31, 2012. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the existence, or generation, of taxable income in the periods when those temporary differences and net operating loss and credit carryforwards are deductible. See Note 13 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

Stockholder Equity Transactions

Preferred Stock: On March 29, 2012, the Company's \$124 million of Series A Preferred Stock with a liquidation value of \$1,000 per share, originally issued to the U.S. Treasury (Treasury) as part of its Capital Purchase Program, was sold by the Treasury as part of its efforts to manage and recover its investments under the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP). While the sale of these preferred shares to new owners did not result in any proceeds to the Company and did not change the Company's capital position or accounting for these securities, it did eliminate restrictions put in place by the Treasury on TARP recipients. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company repurchased or redeemed all of its Series A Preferred Stock. The related warrants to purchase up to \$18.6 million in Banner common stock (243,998 shares) were sold by the Treasury at public auction in June 2013. That sale did not change the Company's capital position and did not have any impact on the financial accounting and reporting for these securities.

Lending Activities

General: All of our lending activities are conducted through Banner Bank, its subsidiary, Community Financial Corporation, a residential construction lender located in Portland, Oregon, and Islanders Bank. We offer a wide range of loan products to meet the demands of our customers and our loan portfolio is very diversified by product type, borrower and geographic location within our market area. We originate loans for our own loan portfolio and for sale in the secondary market. Management's strategy has been to maintain a well diversified portfolio with a significant percentage of assets in the loan portfolio having more frequent interest rate repricing terms or shorter maturities than traditional long-term fixed-rate mortgage loans. As part of this effort, we have developed a variety of floating or adjustable interest rate products that correlate more closely with our cost of interest bearing funds, particularly loans for commercial business and real estate, agricultural business, and construction and development purposes. However, in response to customer demand, we continue to originate fixed-rate loans, including fixed interest rate mortgage loans with terms of up to 30 years. The relative amount of fixed-rate loans and adjustable-rate loans that can be originated at any time is largely determined by the demand for each in a competitive environment.

We offer a wide range of loan products to meet the demands of our customers. Our lending activities are primarily directed toward the origination of real estate and commercial loans. Commercial real estate loans for both owner-occupied and investment properties, including construction and development loans for these types of properties, totaled \$1.432 billion, or approximately 37% of our loan portfolio at December 31, 2014. In addition, multifamily residential real estate loans, including construction and development loans for these types of properties, totaled \$228 million or approximately 6% of our loan portfolio. While our level of activity and investment in commercial and multifamily real estate loans has been relatively stable for many years, we have experienced an increase in new originations in recent periods resulting in growth in these loan balances. Commercial real estate loans increased by \$215 million and multifamily loans increased by \$38 million during the year ended December 31, 2014. We also originate residential construction, land and land development loans and, although our portfolio balances are well below the peak levels before the recent recession, since 2011 we have experienced increased demand for one- to four-family construction loans. Outstanding residential construction, land and land development balances increased \$46 million, or 17%, to \$322 million at December 31, 2014 compared to \$276 million at December 31, 2013. Still, residential construction, land and land development loans represented only approximately 8% of our total loan portfolio at December 31, 2014. Our commercial business lending is directed toward meeting the credit and related deposit needs of various small- to medium-sized business and agribusiness borrowers operating in our primary market areas. Reflecting the uncertain economy, demand for these types of commercial business loans has been modest although our production levels have increased in recent periods. In recent years, our commercial business lending has also included participation in certain national syndicated loans, including shared national credits, which totaled \$119 million at December 31, 2014. Commercial and agricultural business loans increased \$52 million, or 6%, to \$962 million at December 31, 2014, compared to \$910 million at December 31, 2013. Commercial and agricultural

business loans represented approximately 25% of our portfolio at December 31, 2014. At December 31, 2014, our net loan portfolio totaled \$3.758 billion compared to \$3.344 billion at December 31, 2013.

Our residential mortgage loan originations have been relatively strong in recent years, as exceptionally low interest rates have supported demand for loans to refinance existing debt as well as loans to finance home purchases. However, most of the one- to four-family loans that we originate are sold in the secondary markets with net gains on sales and loan servicing fees reflected in our revenues from mortgage banking. As a result growth in this portion of our portfolio has been modest. At December 31, 2014, our outstanding balances for residential mortgages increased \$10 million to \$540 million and represented nearly 14% of our loan portfolio.

Our consumer loan activity is primarily directed at meeting demand from our existing deposit customers. We have increased our emphasis on consumer lending in recent years, and while demand for consumer loans has been modest during most of this period as we believe many consumers have been focused on reducing their personal debt, we saw some meaningful growth in 2014. At December 31, 2014, consumer loans, including consumer loans secured by one- to four-family residences, increased \$54 million to \$349 million, or 9% of our portfolio with most of the increase arising from increased usage of home equity lines of credit.

For additional information concerning our loan portfolio, see Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition—Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2014 and 2013—Loans and Lending” including Tables 7 and 8, which sets forth the composition and geographic concentration of our loan portfolio, and Tables 9 and 10, which contain information regarding the loans maturing in our portfolio.

One- to Four-Family Residential Real Estate Lending: At both Banner Bank and Islanders Bank, we originate loans secured by first mortgages on one- to four-family residences in the Northwest communities where we have offices. Through our mortgage banking activities, we sell residential loans on either a servicing-retained or servicing-released basis. In recent years, we have generally sold a significant portion of our conventional residential mortgage originations and nearly all of our government insured loans in the secondary market. At December 31, 2014,

\$540 million, or 14% of our loan portfolio, consisted of permanent loans on one- to four-family residences compared with \$529 million, or 15% at December 31, 2013.

We offer fixed- and adjustable-rate mortgages (ARMs) at rates and terms competitive with market conditions, primarily with the intent of selling these loans into the secondary market. Fixed-rate loans generally are offered on a fully amortizing basis for terms ranging from 10 to 30 years at interest rates and fees that reflect current secondary market pricing. Most ARM products offered adjust annually after an initial period ranging from one to five years, subject to a limitation on the annual change of 1.0% to 2.0% and a lifetime limitation of 5.0% to 6.0%. For a small portion of the portfolio, where the initial period exceeds one year, the first rate change may exceed the annual limitation on subsequent rate changes. Our ARM products most frequently adjust based upon the average yield on Treasury securities adjusted to a constant maturity of one year or certain London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) indices plus a margin or spread above the index. ARM loans held in our portfolio may allow for interest-only payments for an initial period up to five years but do not provide for negative amortization of principal and carry no prepayment restrictions. The retention of ARM loans in our loan portfolio can help reduce our exposure to changes in interest rates. However, borrower demand for ARM loans versus fixed-rate mortgage loans is a function of the level of interest rates, the expectations of changes in the level of interest rates and the difference between the initial interest rates and fees charged for each type of loan. In recent years, borrower demand for ARM loans has been limited and we have chosen not to aggressively pursue ARM loans by offering minimally profitable, deeply discounted teaser rates or option-payment ARM products. As a result, ARM loans have represented only a small portion of our loans originated during this period and of our portfolio.

Our residential loans are generally underwritten and documented in accordance with the guidelines established by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac or FHLMC) and the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae or FNMA). Government insured loans are underwritten and documented in accordance with the guidelines established by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the Veterans Administration (VA). In the loan approval process, we assess the borrower's ability to repay the loan, the adequacy of the proposed security, the employment stability of the borrower and the creditworthiness of the borrower. For ARM loans, our standard practice provides for underwriting based upon fully indexed interest rates and payments. Generally, we will lend up to 95% of the lesser of the appraised value or purchase price of the property on conventional loans, although higher loan-to-value ratios are available on secondary market programs. We require private mortgage insurance on conventional residential loans with a loan-to-value ratio at origination exceeding 80%.

Construction and Land Lending: Historically, we have invested a significant portion of our loan portfolio in residential construction and land loans to professional home builders and developers. We regularly monitor our construction and land loan portfolios and the economic conditions and housing inventory in each of our markets and increase or decrease this type of lending as we observe market conditions change. As housing markets weakened, the amount of this investment was substantially reduced from 2009 through 2011. However, beginning in 2012, in response to improvement in certain sub-markets, our residential construction and land and land development lending has been increasing and has made a meaningful contribution to net income and profitability. To a lesser extent, we also originate construction loans for commercial and multifamily real estate. Although well diversified with respect to sub-markets, price ranges and borrowers, our construction, land and land development loans are significantly concentrated in the greater Puget Sound region of Washington State and the Portland, Oregon market area. At December 31, 2014, construction, land and land development loans totaled \$411 million, or 11% of total loans, consisting of \$220 million of one- to four-family construction loans, \$102 million of residential land or land development loans, \$78 million of commercial and multifamily real estate construction loans and \$11 million of commercial land or land development loans.

Construction and land lending affords us the opportunity to achieve higher interest rates and fees with shorter terms to maturity than are usually available on other types of lending. Construction and land lending, however, involves a

higher degree of risk than other lending opportunities because of the inherent difficulty in estimating both a property's value at completion of the project and the estimated cost of the project. If the estimate of construction cost proves to be inaccurate, we may be required to advance funds beyond the amount originally committed to permit completion of the project. If the estimate of value upon completion proves to be inaccurate, we may be confronted at, or prior to, the maturity of the loan with a project the value of which is insufficient to assure full repayment. Disagreements between borrowers and builders and the failure of builders to pay subcontractors may also jeopardize projects. Loans to builders to construct homes for which no purchaser has been identified carry additional risk because the payoff for the loan is dependent on the builder's ability to sell the property before the construction loan is due. We attempt to address these risks by adhering to strict underwriting policies, disbursement procedures and monitoring practices.

Construction loans made by us include those with a sales contract or permanent loan in place for the finished homes and those for which purchasers for the finished homes may be identified either during or following the construction period. We actively monitor the number of unsold homes in our construction loan portfolio and local housing markets to attempt to maintain an appropriate balance between home sales and new loan originations. The maximum number of speculative loans (loans that are not pre-sold) approved for each builder is based on a combination of factors, including the financial capacity of the builder, the market demand for the finished product and the ratio of sold to unsold inventory the builder maintains. We have attempted to diversify the risk associated with speculative construction lending by doing business with a large number of small and mid-sized builders spread over a relatively large geographic region with numerous sub-markets within our three-state service area.

Loans for the construction of one- to four-family residences are generally made for a term of twelve to eighteen months. Our loan policies include maximum loan-to-value ratios of up to 75% for speculative loans. Individual speculative loan requests are supported by an independent appraisal of the property, a set of plans, a cost breakdown and a completed specifications form. Underwriting is focused on the borrowers' financial strength, credit history and demonstrated ability to produce a quality product and effectively market and manage their operations. All speculative construction loans must be approved by senior loan officers.

Historically, we have also made land loans to developers, builders and individuals to finance the acquisition and/or development of improved lots or unimproved land, although in recent years we have only originated a very limited amount of this type of loan. In making land loans, we follow underwriting policies and disbursement and monitoring procedures similar to those for construction loans. The initial term on land loans is typically one to three years with interest only payments, payable monthly, and provisions for principal reduction as lots are sold and released from the lien of the mortgage.

Commercial and Multifamily Real Estate Lending: We originate loans secured by multifamily and commercial real estate including, as noted above, loans for construction of multifamily and commercial real estate projects. Commercial real estate loans are made for both owner-occupied and investor properties. At December 31, 2014, our loan portfolio included \$168 million in multifamily and \$1.404 billion in commercial real estate loans, including \$547 million in owner-occupied commercial real estate loans and \$857 million in non-owner-occupied commercial real estate loans, which in aggregate comprised 37% of our total loans. Multifamily and commercial real estate lending affords us an opportunity to receive interest at rates higher than those generally available from one- to four-family residential lending. However, loans secured by multifamily and commercial properties are generally greater in amount, more difficult to evaluate and monitor and, therefore, potentially riskier than one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. Because payments on loans secured by multifamily and commercial properties are often dependent on the successful operation and management of the properties, repayment of these loans may be affected by adverse conditions in the real estate market or the economy. In addition, many of our commercial and multifamily real estate loans often are not fully amortizing and contain large balloon payments upon maturity. Such balloon payments may require the borrower to either sell or refinance the underlying property in order to make the payment, which may increase the risk of default or non-payment. In originating multifamily and commercial real estate loans, we consider the location, marketability and overall attractiveness of the properties. Our underwriting guidelines for multifamily and commercial real estate loans require an appraisal from a qualified independent appraiser and an economic analysis of each property with regard to the annual revenue and expenses, debt service coverage and fair value to determine the maximum loan amount. In the approval process we assess the borrowers' willingness and ability to manage the property and repay the loan and the adequacy of the collateral in relation to the loan amount.

Multifamily and commercial real estate loans originated by us are both fixed- and adjustable-rate loans generally with intermediate terms of five to ten years. A significant portion of our multifamily and commercial real estate loans are linked to various Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) advance rates, certain prime rates or other market rate indices. Rates on these adjustable-rate loans generally adjust with a frequency of one to five years after an initial fixed-rate period ranging from one to ten years. Our commercial real estate portfolio consists of loans on a variety of property types with no large concentrations by property type, location or borrower. At December 31, 2014, the average size of our commercial real estate loans was \$588,601 and the largest commercial real estate loan in our portfolio was approximately \$16 million.

Commercial Business Lending: We are active in small- to medium-sized business lending and are engaged in agricultural lending primarily by providing crop production loans. Our commercial bankers are focused on local markets and devote a great deal of effort to developing customer relationships and providing these types of borrowers with a full array of products and services delivered in a thorough and responsive manner. While also strengthening our commitment to small business lending, in recent years we have added experienced officers and staff focused on corporate lending opportunities for borrowers with credit needs generally in a \$3 million to \$15 million range. In addition to providing earning assets, commercial business lending has helped us increase our deposit base. In recent years, our commercial business lending has included participation in certain national syndicated loans, including shared national credits. We also originate smaller balance business loans principally through our retail branch network, using our Quick Step business loan program, which is closely aligned with our consumer lending operations and relies on centralized underwriting procedures. Quick Step business loans and lines of credit are available from \$5,000 - \$500,000 and owner-occupied real estate loans are available up to \$1.0 million.

Commercial business loans may entail greater risk than other types of loans. Commercial business loans may be unsecured or secured by special purpose or rapidly depreciating assets, such as equipment, inventory and receivables, which may not provide an adequate source of repayment on defaulted loans. In addition, commercial business loans are dependent on the borrower's continuing financial strength and management ability, as well as market conditions for various products, services and commodities. For these reasons, commercial business loans generally provide higher yields or related revenue opportunities than many other types of loans but also require more administrative and management attention. Loan terms, including the fixed or adjustable interest rate, the loan maturity and the collateral considerations, vary significantly and are negotiated on an individual loan basis.

We underwrite our commercial business loans on the basis of the borrower's cash flow and ability to service the debt from earnings rather than on the basis of the underlying collateral value. We seek to structure these loans so that they have more than one source of repayment. The borrower is required to provide us with sufficient information to allow us to make a prudent lending determination. In most instances, this information consists of at least three years of financial statements, tax returns, a statement of projected cash flows, current financial information on any guarantor and information about the collateral. Loans to closely held businesses typically require personal guarantees by the principals. Our commercial business loan portfolio is geographically dispersed across the market areas serviced by our branch network and there are no significant concentrations by industry or products.

Our commercial business loans may be structured as term loans or as lines of credit. Commercial business term loans are generally made to finance the purchase of fixed assets and have maturities of five years or less. Commercial business lines of credit are typically made for the purpose of providing working capital and are usually approved with a term of one year. Adjustable- or floating-rate loans are primarily tied to various prime rate or LIBOR indices. At December 31, 2014, commercial business loans totaled \$724 million, or 19% of our total loans, including \$119 million of shared national credits.

Agricultural Lending: Agriculture is a major industry in many parts of our service areas. We make agricultural loans to borrowers with a strong capital base, sufficient management depth, proven ability to operate through agricultural cycles, reliable cash flows and adequate financial

reporting. Payments on agricultural loans depend, to a large degree, on the results of operations of the related farm entity. The repayment is also subject to other economic and weather conditions as well as market prices for agricultural products, which can be highly volatile. At December 31, 2014, agricultural business loans, including collateral secured loans to purchase farm land and equipment, totaled \$238 million, or 6% of our loan portfolio.

Agricultural operating loans generally are made as a percentage of the borrower's anticipated income to support budgeted operating expenses. These loans are secured by a blanket lien on all crops, livestock, equipment, accounts and products and proceeds thereof. In the case of crops, consideration is given to projected yields and prices from each commodity. The interest rate is normally floating based on the prime rate or a LIBOR index plus a negotiated margin. Because these loans are made to finance a farm or ranch's annual operations, they are usually written on a one-year review and renewable basis. The renewal is dependent upon the prior year's performance and the forthcoming year's projections as well as the overall financial strength of the borrower. We carefully monitor these loans and related variance reports on income and expenses compared to budget estimates. To meet the seasonal operating needs of a farm, borrowers may qualify for single payment notes, revolving lines of credit and/or non-revolving lines of credit.

In underwriting agricultural operating loans, we consider the cash flow of the borrower based upon the expected operating results as well as the value of collateral used to secure the loans. Collateral generally consists of cash crops produced by the farm, such as milk, grains, fruit, grass seed, peas, sugar beets, mint, onions, potatoes, corn and alfalfa or livestock. In addition to considering cash flow and obtaining a blanket security interest in the farm's cash crop, we may also collateralize an operating loan with the farm's operating equipment, breeding stock, real estate and federal agricultural program payments to the borrower.

We also originate loans to finance the purchase of farm equipment. Loans to purchase farm equipment are made for terms of up to seven years. On occasion, we also originate agricultural real estate loans secured primarily by first liens on farmland and improvements thereon located in our market areas, although generally only to service the needs of our existing customers. Loans are written in amounts ranging from 50% to 75% of the tax assessed or appraised value of the property for terms of five to 20 years. These loans generally have interest rates that adjust at least every five years based upon a Treasury index or FHLB advance rate plus a negotiated margin. Fixed-rate loans are granted on terms usually not to exceed five years. In originating agricultural real estate loans, we consider the debt service coverage of the borrower's cash flow, the appraised value of the underlying property, the experience and knowledge of the borrower, and the borrower's past performance with us and/or the market area. These loans normally are not made to start-up businesses and are reserved for existing customers with substantial equity and a proven history.

Among the more common risks to agricultural lending can be weather conditions and disease. These risks may be mitigated through multi-peril crop insurance. Commodity prices also present a risk, which may be reduced by the use of set price contracts. Normally, required beginning and projected operating margins provide for reasonable reserves to offset unexpected yield and price deficiencies. In addition to these risks, we also consider management succession, life insurance and business continuation plans when evaluating agricultural loans.

Consumer and Other Lending: We originate a variety of consumer loans, including home equity lines of credit, automobile, boat and recreational vehicle loans and loans secured by deposit accounts. While consumer lending has traditionally been a small part of our business, with loans made primarily to accommodate our existing customer base, it has received consistent emphasis in recent years. Part of this emphasis includes a Banner Bank-owned credit card program. Similar to other consumer loan programs, we focus this credit card program on our existing customer base to add to the depth of our customer relationships. In addition to earning balances, credit card accounts produce non-interest revenues through interchange fees and other activity-based revenues. Our underwriting of consumer loans is focused on the borrower's credit history and ability to repay the debt as evidenced by documented sources of income. At December 31, 2014, we had \$349 million, or 9% of our loan portfolio, in consumer related loans,

including \$222 million, or 6% of our loan portfolio, in consumer loans secured by one- to four-family residences and \$24 million in credit card balances.

Similar to commercial business loans, our consumer loans often entail greater risk than residential mortgage loans. Home equity lines of credit generally entail greater risk than do one- to four-family residential mortgage loans where we are in the first lien position. For those home equity lines secured by a second mortgage, it is less likely that we will be successful in recovering all of our loan proceeds in the event of default. Our foreclosure on these loans requires that the value of the property be sufficient to cover the repayment of the first mortgage loan, as well as the costs associated with foreclosure. In the case of consumer loans which are unsecured or secured by rapidly depreciating assets such as automobiles, any repossessed collateral for a defaulted consumer loan may not provide an adequate source of repayment of the outstanding loan balance as a result of the greater likelihood of damage, loss or depreciation. The remaining deficiency often does not warrant further substantial collection efforts against the borrower. In addition, consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability, and thus are more likely to be adversely affected by job loss, divorce, illness or personal bankruptcy. Furthermore, the application of various federal and state laws, including federal and state bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount which can be recovered on these consumer loans. Loans that we purchased, or indirectly originated, may also give rise to claims and defenses by a consumer loan borrower against an assignee of such loans such as us, and a borrower may be able to assert against the assignee claims and defenses that it has against the seller of the underlying collateral.

Loan Solicitation and Processing: We originate real estate loans in our market areas by direct solicitation of real estate brokers, builders, developers, depositors, walk-in customers and visitors to our Internet website. Loan applications are taken by our mortgage loan officers or through our Internet website and are processed in branch or regional locations. Most underwriting and loan administration functions for our real estate loans are performed by loan personnel at central locations.

Our commercial bankers solicit commercial and agricultural business loans through call programs focused on local businesses and farmers. While commercial bankers are delegated reasonable commitment authority based upon their qualifications, credit decisions on significant commercial and agricultural loans are made by senior loan officers or in certain instances by the Board of Directors of Banner Bank and Islanders Bank.

We originate consumer loans and Quick Step commercial business loans through various marketing efforts directed primarily toward our existing deposit and loan customers. Consumer loan and Quick Step commercial business loan applications are primarily underwritten and documented by centralized administrative personnel.

Loan Originations, Sales and Purchases

While we originate a variety of loans, our ability to originate each type of loan is dependent upon the relative customer demand and competition in each market we serve. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we originated loans, net of repayments, including our participation in syndicated loans, of \$506 million, \$595 million, and \$458 million, respectively. The decrease in net originations for 2014 compared with 2013 largely reflects a slowdown in residential mortgage refinance activity and certain large payoffs of multifamily loans. The increase for 2013 compared to 2012 reflected a significant increase in production of one- to four-family residential loans, as well as increased new commercial business and agricultural business loans and commercial real estate loans.

We sell many of our newly originated one- to four-family residential mortgage loans to secondary market purchasers as part of our interest rate risk management strategy. Originations of one- to four-family residential loans for sale decreased to \$368 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 from \$443 million during 2013, reflecting reduced refinancing activity. Proceeds from sales of loans for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, totaled \$379 million, \$463 million, and \$515 million, respectively. Sales of loans generally are beneficial to us because these sales may generate income at the time of sale, provide funds for additional lending and other investments, increase liquidity or reduce interest rate risk. We sell loans on both a servicing-retained and a servicing-released basis. All loans are sold without recourse. The decision to hold or sell loans is based on asset liability management goals, strategies and policies and on market conditions. See "Loan Servicing." At December 31, 2014, we had \$3 million in loans held for sale.

We periodically purchase whole loans and loan participation interests or participate in syndicates originating new loans, including shared national credits, primarily during periods of reduced loan demand in our primary market area and at times to support our Community Reinvestment Act lending activities. Any such purchases or loan participations are made generally consistent with our underwriting standards; however, the loans may be located outside of our normal lending area. During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we purchased \$194 million, \$49 million and \$18 million, respectively, of loans and loan participation interests.

Loan Servicing

We receive fees from a variety of institutional owners in return for performing the traditional services of collecting individual payments and managing portfolios of sold loans. At December 31, 2014, we were servicing \$1.391 billion of loans for others. Loan servicing includes processing payments, accounting for loan funds and collecting and paying real estate taxes, hazard insurance and other loan-related items such as private mortgage insurance. In addition to earning fee income, we retain certain amounts in escrow for the benefit of the lender for which we incur no interest expense but are able to invest the funds into earning assets. At December 31, 2014, we held \$6.7 million in escrow for our portfolio of loans serviced for others. The loan servicing portfolio at December 31, 2014 was composed of \$847 million of Freddie Mac residential mortgage loans, \$415 million of Fannie Mae residential mortgage loans and \$129 million of both residential and non-residential mortgage loans serviced for a variety of private investors. The portfolio included loans secured by property located primarily in the states of Washington, Oregon and Idaho. For the

year ended December 31, 2014, we recognized \$1.1 million in income from loan servicing in our results of operations, which was net of \$2.1 million of servicing rights amortization and included no valuation adjustments to mortgage servicing rights.

Mortgage Servicing Rights: We record mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) with respect to loans we originate and sell in the secondary market on a servicing-retained basis. The value of MSRs is capitalized and amortized in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated future net servicing income. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we capitalized \$3.0 million, \$2.9 million, and \$3.7 million, respectively, of MSRs relating to loans sold with servicing retained. No MSRs were purchased in those periods. Amortization of MSRs for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, was \$2.1 million, \$2.4 million, and \$2.6 million, respectively. Management periodically evaluates the estimates and assumptions used to determine the carrying values of MSRs and the amortization of MSRs. MSRs generally are adversely affected by higher levels of current or anticipated prepayments resulting from decreasing interest rates. These carrying values are adjusted when the valuation indicates the carrying value is impaired. During 2014, we recorded no valuation adjustments to MSRs. In 2013 we reversed \$1.3 million in valuation allowance that had previously been recognized against our MSRs, and during 2012 we recorded valuation allowances totaling \$400,000. At December 31, 2014, our MSRs were carried at a value of \$9.0 million, net of amortization.

Asset Quality

Classified Assets: State and federal regulations require that the Banks review and classify their problem assets on a regular basis. In addition, in connection with examinations of insured institutions, state and federal examiners have authority to identify problem assets and, if appropriate, require them to be classified. Historically, we have not had any meaningful differences of opinion with the examiners with respect to asset classification. Banner Bank's Credit Policy Division reviews detailed information with respect to the composition and performance of the loan portfolios, including information on risk concentrations, delinquencies and classified assets for both Banner Bank and Islanders Bank. The Credit Policy Division approves all recommendations for new classified loans or, in the case of smaller-balance homogeneous loans including residential real estate and consumer loans, it has approved policies governing such classifications, or changes in classifications, and develops

and monitors action plans to resolve the problems associated with the assets. The Credit Policy Division also approves recommendations for establishing the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses. Significant problem loans are transferred to Banner Bank's Special Assets Department for resolution or collection activities. The Banks' and Banner Corporation's Boards of Directors are given a detailed report on classified assets and asset quality at least quarterly. For additional information regarding asset quality and non-performing loans, see Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition—Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2014 and 2013—Asset Quality," and Tables 15, 16 and 17 contained therein.

Allowance for Loan Losses: In originating loans, we recognize that losses will be experienced and that the risk of loss will vary with, among other things, the type of loan being made, the creditworthiness of the borrower over the term of the loan, general economic conditions and, in the case of a secured loan, the quality of the security for the loan. As a result, we maintain an allowance for loan losses consistent with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) guidelines. We increase our allowance for loan losses by charging provisions for possible loan losses against our income. The allowance for losses on loans is maintained at a level which, in management's judgment, is sufficient to provide for probable losses based on evaluating known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio and upon continuing analysis of the factors underlying the quality of the loan portfolio. At December 31, 2014, we had an allowance for loan losses of \$76 million, which represented 1.98% of loans and 454% of non-performing loans compared to 2.17% and 300%, respectively, at December 31, 2013. For additional information concerning our allowance for loan losses, see Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition—Comparison of Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013—Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses," and Tables 21 and 22 contained therein.

Real Estate Owned: Real estate owned (REO) is property acquired by foreclosure or receiving a deed in lieu of foreclosure, and is recorded at the lower of the estimated fair value of the property, less expected selling costs, or the carrying amount of the defaulted loan. Development and improvement costs relating to the property are capitalized to the extent they add value to the property. The carrying value of the property is periodically evaluated by management and, if necessary, allowances are established to reduce the carrying value to net realizable value. Gains or losses at the time the property is sold are credited or charged to operations in the period in which they are realized. The amounts we will ultimately recover from REO may differ substantially from the carrying value of the assets because of market factors beyond our control or because of changes in our strategies for recovering the investment. If the book value of the REO is determined to be in excess of the fair market value, a valuation allowance is recognized against earnings. At December 31, 2014, we had REO of \$3 million, compared to \$4 million at December 31, 2013. Valuation allowances recognized during 2014 were \$36,000 and for 2013 and 2012 were \$785,000 and \$5.2 million, respectively. Net gains on the disposal of REO were \$973,000, \$2.5 million, and \$4.7 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012. For additional information on REO, see Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition—Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2014 and 2013—Asset Quality" and Table 18 contained therein and Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Investment Activities

Investment Securities

Under Washington state law, banks are permitted to invest in various types of marketable securities. Authorized securities include but are not limited to Treasury obligations, securities of various federal agencies (including government-sponsored enterprises), mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities, certain certificates of deposit of insured banks and savings institutions, bankers' acceptances, repurchase agreements, federal funds, commercial paper, corporate debt and equity securities and obligations of states and their political subdivisions. Our investment policies are designed to provide and maintain adequate liquidity and to generate favorable rates of return without incurring

undue interest rate or credit risk. Our policies generally limit investments to U.S. Government and agency (including government-sponsored entities) securities, municipal bonds, certificates of deposit, corporate debt obligations and mortgage-backed securities. Investment in mortgage-backed securities may include those issued or guaranteed by Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae, Government National Mortgage Association (Ginnie Mae or GNMA) and privately-issued mortgage-backed securities that have an AA credit rating or higher at the time of purchase, as well as collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs). A high credit rating indicates only that the rating agency believes there is a low risk of loss or default. To the best of our knowledge, we do not have any investments in mortgage-backed securities, collateralized debt obligations or structured investment vehicles that have a material exposure to sub-prime mortgages. However, we do have modest investments in single-issuer trust preferred securities and collateralized debt obligations secured by pooled trust preferred securities that have been materially adversely impacted by concerns related to the banking and insurance industries as well as payment deferrals and defaults by certain issuers. Further, all of our investment securities, including those that have high credit ratings, are subject to market risk in so far as a change in market rates of interest or other conditions may cause a change in an investment's earnings performance and/or market value.

At December 31, 2014, our consolidated investment portfolio totaled \$583 million and consisted principally of U.S. Government agency obligations, mortgage-backed securities, municipal bonds, corporate debt obligations, and asset-backed securities. From time to time, investment levels may be increased or decreased depending upon yields available on investment alternatives and management's projections as to the demand for funds to be used in loan originations, deposits and other activities. During the year ended December 31, 2014, holdings of mortgage-backed securities decreased \$24 million to \$326 million, while Treasury and agency obligations decreased \$28 million to \$33 million, corporate securities including equities decreased \$18 million to \$26 million, municipal bonds increased \$18 million to \$171 million, and investments in asset-backed securities remained the same at \$26 million.

For detailed information on our investment securities, see Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition—Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2014 and 2013—Investments," and Tables 1 to 6 contained therein.

Derivatives

Off-Balance-Sheet Derivatives: The Company, through its Banner Bank subsidiary, is party to various derivative instruments that are used for asset and liability management and customer financing needs. Derivative instruments are contracts between two or more parties that have a notional amount and an underlying variable, require no net investment and allow for the net settlement of positions. The notional amount serves as the basis for the payment provision of the contract and takes the form of units, such as shares or dollars. The underlying variable represents a specified interest rate, index, or other component. The interaction between the notional amount and the underlying variable determines the number of units to be exchanged between the parties and influences the market value of the derivative contract. We obtain dealer quotations to value our derivative contracts.

Our predominant derivative and hedging activities involve interest rate swaps related to certain term loans and forward sales contracts associated with mortgage banking activities. Generally, these instruments help us manage exposure to market risk and meet customer financing needs. Market risk represents the possibility that economic value or net interest income will be adversely affected by fluctuations in external factors such as market-driven interest rates and prices or other economic factors.

Derivatives Designated in Hedge Relationships

Our fixed rate loans result in exposure to losses in value or net interest income as interest rates change. The risk management objective for hedging fixed rate loans is to effectively convert the fixed rate received to a floating rate. We have hedged our exposure to changes in the fair value of certain fixed rate loans through the use of interest rate swaps. For a qualifying fair value hedge, changes in the value of the derivatives are recognized in current period earnings along with the corresponding changes in the fair value of the designated hedged item attributable to the risk being hedged.

Derivatives Not Designated in Hedge Relationships

Interest Rate Swaps: Banner Bank has been using an interest rate swap program for commercial loan customers, termed the Back-to-Back Program, since 2010. In the Back-to-Back Program, we provide the client with a variable rate loan and enter into an interest rate swap in which the client receives a variable rate payment in exchange for a fixed rate payment. We offset our risk exposure by entering into an offsetting interest rate swap with a dealer counterparty for the same notional amount and length of term as the client interest rate swap providing the dealer counterparty with a fixed rate payment in exchange for a variable rate payment. There are also a few interest rate swaps from prior to 2009 that were not designated in hedge relationships that are included in these totals. These swaps do not qualify as designated hedges; therefore, each swap is accounted for as a free standing derivative.

Mortgage Banking: In the normal course of business, we sell originated mortgage loans into the secondary mortgage loan markets. During the period of loan origination and prior to the sale of the loans in the secondary market, we have exposure to movements in interest rates associated with written rate lock commitments with potential borrowers to originate loans that are intended to be sold and for closed loans that are awaiting sale and delivery into the secondary market.

Written loan commitments that relate to the origination of mortgage loans that will be held for resale are considered free-standing derivatives and do not qualify for hedge accounting. Written loan commitments generally have a term of up to 60 days before the closing of the loan. The loan commitment does not bind the potential borrower to enter into the loan, nor does it guarantee that we will approve the potential borrower for the loan. Therefore, when determining fair value, we make estimates of expected "fallout" (loan commitments not expected to close), using models which consider cumulative historical fallout rates, current market interest rates and other factors.

Written loan commitments in which the borrower has locked in an interest rate results in market risk to us to the extent market interest rates change from the rate quoted to the borrower. We economically hedge the risk of changing interest rates associated with our interest rate lock commitments by entering into forward sales contracts.

Mortgage loans which are held for sale are subject to changes in fair value due to fluctuations in interest rates from the loan's closing date through the date of sale of the loans into the secondary market. Typically, the fair value of these loans declines when interest rates increase and rises when interest rates decrease. To mitigate this risk, we enter into forward sales contracts on a significant portion of these loans to provide an economic hedge against those changes in fair value. Mortgage loans held for sale and the forward sales contracts are recorded at fair value with ineffective

changes in value recorded in current earnings as loan sales income.

We are exposed to credit-related losses in the event of nonperformance by the counterparty to these agreements. Credit risk of the financial contract is controlled through the credit approval, limits, and monitoring procedures and we do not expect the counterparties to fail their obligations.

In connection with the interest rate swaps between Banner Bank and the dealer counterparties, the agreements contain a provision where if Banner Bank fails to maintain its status as a well/adequately capitalized institution, then the counterparty could terminate the derivative positions and we would be required to settle its obligations. Similarly, we could be required to settle our obligations under certain of these agreements if specific regulatory events occur, such as a publicly issued prompt corrective action directive, cease and desist order, or a capital maintenance agreement that required Banner Bank to maintain a specific capital level. If we had breached any of these provisions at December 31, 2014 or 2013, we could have been required to settle our obligations under the agreements at the termination value. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the termination value of derivatives in a net liability position related to these agreements was \$6.3 million and \$2.7 million, respectively. We generally post collateral against derivative liabilities in the form of government agency-issued bonds, mortgage-backed securities, or commercial

mortgage-backed securities. Collateral posted against derivative liabilities was \$11.1 million and \$8.9 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Derivative assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value on the balance sheet and do not take into account the effects of master netting agreements. Master netting agreements allow us to settle all derivative contracts held with a single counterparty on a net basis and to offset net derivative positions with related collateral where applicable.

Deposit Activities and Other Sources of Funds

General: Deposits, FHLB advances (or other borrowings) and loan repayments are our major sources of funds for lending and other investment purposes. Scheduled loan repayments are a relatively stable source of funds, while deposit inflows and outflows and loan prepayments are influenced by general economic, interest rate and money market conditions and may vary significantly. Borrowings may be used on a short-term basis to compensate for reductions in the availability of funds from other sources. Borrowings may also be used on a longer-term basis for general business purposes, including funding loans and investments.

We compete with other financial institutions and financial intermediaries in attracting deposits. There is strong competition for transaction balances and savings deposits from commercial banks, credit unions and non-bank corporations, such as securities brokerage companies, mutual funds and other diversified companies, some of which have nationwide networks of offices. Much of the focus of our branch expansion, relocations and renovation and advertising and marketing campaigns has been directed toward attracting additional deposit customer relationships and balances. In addition, our electronic banking activities including debit card and automated teller machine (ATM) programs, on-line Internet banking services and, most recently, customer remote deposit and mobile banking capabilities are all directed at providing products and services that enhance customer relationships and result in growing deposit balances, as well as fee income. Growing core deposits (transaction and savings accounts) is a fundamental element of our business strategy. Core deposits increased to 80% of total deposits at December 31, 2014 compared to 76% a year earlier and 71% two years ago.

Deposit Accounts: We generally attract deposits from within our primary market areas by offering a broad selection of deposit instruments, including demand checking accounts, interest-bearing checking accounts, money market deposit accounts, regular savings accounts, certificates of deposit, cash management services and retirement savings plans. Deposit account terms vary according to the minimum balance required, the time periods the funds must remain on deposit and the interest rate, among other factors. In determining the terms of deposit accounts, we consider current market interest rates, profitability to us, matching deposit and loan products and customer preferences and concerns. At December 31, 2014, we had \$3.899 billion of deposits, including \$3.128 billion of transaction and savings accounts and \$771 million in time deposits. For additional information concerning our deposit accounts, see Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition—Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2014 and 2013—Deposit Accounts." See also Table 11 contained therein, which sets forth the balances of deposits in the various types of accounts, and Table 12, which sets forth the amount of our certificates of deposit greater than \$100,000 by time remaining until maturity as of December 31, 2014. In addition, see Note 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Borrowings: While deposits are the primary source of funds for our lending and investment activities and for general business purposes, we also use borrowings to supplement our supply of lendable funds, to meet deposit withdrawal requirements and to more efficiently leverage our capital position. The FHLB-Seattle serves as our primary borrowing source, although in recent years we have significantly reduced our use of FHLB advances. The FHLB-Seattle provides credit for member financial institutions such as Banner Bank and Islanders Bank. As members, the Banks are required to own capital stock in the FHLB-Seattle and are authorized to apply for advances on the security of that stock and certain of their mortgage loans and securities provided certain credit worthiness standards have been met. Limitations on the amount of advances are based on the financial condition of the member

institution, the adequacy of collateral pledged to secure the credit, and FHLB stock ownership requirements. At December 31, 2014, we had \$32 million of borrowings from the FHLB-Seattle. At that date, Banner Bank had been authorized by the FHLB-Seattle to borrow up to \$901 million under a blanket floating lien security agreement, while Islanders Bank was approved to borrow up to \$23 million under a similar agreement. The Federal Reserve Bank also serves as an important source of borrowing capacity. The Federal Reserve Bank provides credit based upon acceptable loan collateral, which includes certain loan types not eligible for pledging to the FHLB-Seattle. At December 31, 2014, based upon our available unencumbered collateral, Banner Bank was eligible to borrow \$639 million from the Federal Reserve Bank, although at that date we had no funds borrowed under this arrangement. Although eligible to participate, Islanders Bank has not applied for approval to borrow from the Federal Reserve Bank. For additional information concerning our borrowings, see Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition—Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2014 and 2013—Borrowings," Table 14 contained therein, and Notes 10 and 11 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

We issue retail repurchase agreements, generally due within 90 days, as an additional source of funds, primarily in connection with cash management services provided to our larger deposit customers. At December 31, 2014, we had issued retail repurchase agreements totaling \$77 million, which were secured by a pledge of certain U.S. Government and agency notes and mortgage-backed securities with a market value of \$99 million. We also may borrow funds through the use of secured wholesale repurchase agreements with securities brokers; however, during the three years ended December 31, 2014, we did not have any wholesale repurchase borrowings.

We have also issued \$120 million of junior subordinated debentures in connection with the sale of trust preferred securities (TPS). The TPS were issued from 2002 through 2007 by special purpose business trusts formed by Banner Corporation and were sold in private offerings to pooled investment vehicles. The junior subordinated debentures associated with the TPS have been recorded as liabilities and are reported at fair value on our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. All of the debentures issued to the Trusts, measured at their fair value, less the common stock of the Trusts, qualified as Tier I capital as of December 31, 2014, under guidance issued by the Board of Governors of the

Federal Reserve System. We invested substantially all of the proceeds from the issuance of the TPS as additional paid in capital at Banner Bank. For additional information about deposits and other sources of funds, see Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources,” and Notes 9, 10, 11 and 12 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Personnel

As of December 31, 2014, we had 1,102 full-time and 91 part-time employees. Banner Corporation has no employees except for those who are also employees of Banner Bank, its subsidiaries, and Islanders Bank. The employees are not represented by a collective bargaining unit. We believe our relationship with our employees is good.

Taxation

Federal Taxation

General: For tax reporting purposes, we report our income on a calendar year basis using the accrual method of accounting on a consolidated basis. We are subject to federal income taxation in the same manner as other corporations with some exceptions, including particularly the reserve for bad debts. Reference is made to Note 13 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information concerning the income taxes payable by us.

State Taxation

Washington Taxation: We are subject to a Business and Occupation (B&O) tax which is imposed under Washington law at the current rate of 1.50% of gross receipts. On April 12, 2010, the Washington State Legislature temporarily increased the rate to 1.80% for the period May 1, 2010 through June 30, 2013. Interest received on loans secured by mortgages or deeds of trust on residential properties, residential mortgage-backed securities, and certain U.S. Government and agency securities is not subject to this tax. Our B&O tax expense was \$1.4 million, \$1.9 million, and \$2.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Oregon and Idaho Taxation: Corporations with nexus in the states of Oregon and Idaho are subject to a corporate level income tax. Our operations in those states resulted in corporate income taxes paid of approximately \$865,000, \$761,000, and \$540,000 for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As our operations in these states increase, the state income tax provision will have an increasing effect on our effective tax rate and results of operations.

Competition

We encounter significant competition both in attracting deposits and in originating loans. Our most direct competition for deposits comes from other commercial and savings banks, savings associations and credit unions with offices in our market areas. We also experience competition from securities firms, insurance companies, money market and mutual funds, and other investment vehicles. We expect continued strong competition from such financial institutions and investment vehicles in the foreseeable future, including competition from on-line Internet banking competitors. Our ability to attract and retain deposits depends on our ability to provide transaction services and investment opportunities that satisfy the requirements of depositors. We compete for deposits by offering a variety of accounts and financial services, including robust electronic banking capabilities, with competitive rates and terms, at convenient locations and business hours, and delivered with a high level of personal service and expertise.

Competition for loans comes principally from other commercial banks, loan brokers, mortgage banking companies, savings banks and credit unions and for agricultural loans from the Farm Credit Administration. The competition for

loans is intense as a result of the large number of institutions competing in our market areas. We compete for loans primarily by offering competitive rates and fees and providing timely decisions and excellent service to borrowers.

Regulation

Banner Bank and Islanders Bank

General: As state-chartered, federally insured commercial banks, Banner Bank and Islanders Bank (the Banks) are subject to extensive regulation and must comply with various statutory and regulatory requirements, including prescribed minimum capital standards. The Banks are regularly examined by the FDIC and the Washington DFI and file periodic reports concerning their activities and financial condition with these banking regulators. The Banks' relationship with depositors and borrowers also is regulated to a great extent by both federal and state law, especially in such matters as the ownership of deposit accounts and the form and content of mortgage and other loan documents.

Federal and state banking laws and regulations govern all areas of the operation of the Banks, including reserves, loans, investments, deposits, capital, issuance of securities, payment of dividends and establishment of branches. Federal and state bank regulatory agencies also have the general authority to limit the dividends paid by insured banks and bank holding companies if such payments should be deemed to constitute an unsafe and unsound practice. The Federal Reserve Board and FDIC as the respective primary federal regulators of Banner Corporation and each of Banner Bank and Islanders Bank have authority to impose penalties, initiate civil and administrative actions and take other steps intended to prevent banks from engaging in unsafe or unsound practices.

The laws and regulations affecting banks and bank holding companies have changed significantly particularly in connection with the enactment of The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act). Among other changes, the Dodd-Frank Act established the Consumer Protection Financial Bureau (CPFB) as an independent bureau of the Federal Reserve Board. The CPFB assumed responsibility for the implementation of the federal financial consumer protection and fair lending laws and regulations and has authority to impose new requirements. Any change in applicable laws, regulations, or regulatory policies may have a material effect on our business, operations, and prospects. We cannot predict the nature or the extent of the effects on our business and earnings that any fiscal or monetary policies or new federal or state legislation may have in the future. For additional information concerning the Dodd-Frank Act and the CPFB, see Item 1A., “Risk Factors—We operate in a highly regulated environment and may be adversely affected by changes in federal and state laws and regulations that are expected to increase our costs of operation” and “We may be subject to additional regulatory scrutiny if and when Banner or Banker Bank’s total assets exceed \$10.0 billion.”

The following is a summary discussion of certain laws and regulations applicable to Banner and the Banks which is qualified in its entirety by reference to the actual laws and regulations.

State Regulation and Supervision: As a Washington state-chartered commercial bank with branches in the States of Washington, Oregon and Idaho, Banner Bank is subject not only to the applicable provisions of Washington law and regulations, but is also subject to Oregon and Idaho law and regulations. These state laws and regulations govern Banner Bank's ability to take deposits and pay interest thereon, to make loans on or invest in residential and other real estate, to make consumer loans, to invest in securities, to offer various banking services to its customers and to establish branch offices. In a similar fashion, Washington state laws and regulations for state-chartered commercial banks also apply to Islanders Bank.

Deposit Insurance: The Deposit Insurance Fund of the FDIC insures deposit accounts of the Banks up to \$250,000 per separately insured depositor. As insurer, the FDIC imposes deposit insurance premiums and is authorized to conduct examinations of, and to require reporting by, FDIC-insured institutions. Banner Bank's and Islanders Bank's deposit insurance premiums expense for the year ended December 31, 2014, were \$2.3 million and \$159,000, respectively.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires the FDIC's deposit insurance assessments to be based on assets instead of deposits. The FDIC has issued rules which specify that the assessment base for a bank is equal to its total average consolidated assets less average tangible capital. The FDIC assessment rates range from approximately five basis points to 35 basis points, depending on applicable adjustments for unsecured debt issued by an institution and brokered deposits (and to further adjustment for institutions that hold unsecured debt of other FDIC-insured institutions), until such time as the FDIC's reserve ratio equals 1.15%. Once the FDIC's reserve ratio reaches 1.15% and the reserve ratio for the immediately prior assessment period is less than 2.0%, the applicable assessment rates may range from three basis points to 30 basis points (subject to adjustments as described above). If the reserve ratio for the prior assessment period is equal to, or greater than 2.0% and less than 2.5%, the assessment rates may range from two basis points to 28 basis points and if the reserve ratio for the prior assessment period is greater than 2.5%, the assessment rates may range from one basis point to 25 basis points (in each case subject to adjustments as described above). No institution may pay a dividend if it is in default on its federal deposit insurance assessment.

The FDIC conducts examinations of and requires reporting by state non-member banks, such as the Banks. The FDIC also may prohibit any insured institution from engaging in any activity determined by regulation or order to pose a serious risk to the deposit insurance fund.

The FDIC may terminate the deposit insurance of any insured depository institution if it determines after a hearing that the institution has engaged or is engaging in unsafe or unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations, or has violated any applicable law, regulation, order or any condition imposed by an agreement

with the FDIC. It also may suspend deposit insurance temporarily during the hearing process for the permanent termination of insurance if the institution has no tangible capital. If insurance of accounts is terminated, the accounts at the institution at the time of the termination, less subsequent withdrawals, shall continue to be insured for a period of six months to two years, as determined by the FDIC. Management is not aware of any existing circumstances which would result in termination of the deposit insurance of either Banner Bank or Islanders Bank.

Standards for Safety and Soundness: The federal banking regulatory agencies have prescribed, by regulation, guidelines for all insured depository institutions relating to internal controls, information systems and internal audit systems; loan documentation; credit underwriting; interest rate risk exposure; asset growth; asset quality; earnings; and compensation, fees and benefits. The guidelines set forth the safety and soundness standards that the federal banking agencies use to identify and address problems at insured depository institutions before capital becomes impaired. Each insured depository institution must implement a comprehensive written information security program that includes administrative, technical, and physical safeguards appropriate to the institution's size and complexity and the nature and scope of its activities. The information security program must be designed to ensure the security and confidentiality of customer information, protect against any unanticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of such information, protect against unauthorized access to or use of such information that could result in substantial harm or inconvenience to any customer, and ensure the proper disposal of customer and consumer information. Each insured depository institution must also develop and implement a risk-based response program to address incidents of unauthorized access to customer information in customer information systems. If the FDIC determines that an institution fails to meet any of these guidelines, it may require an institution to submit to the FDIC an acceptable plan to achieve compliance.

Capital Requirements: Federally insured financial institutions, such as Banner Bank and Islanders Bank, are required to maintain a minimum level of regulatory capital. Currently, FDIC regulations recognized two types, or tiers, of capital: core (Tier 1) capital and supplementary (Tier

2) capital. Tier 1 capital generally includes common stockholders' equity, qualifying restricted core capital elements (other than cumulative perpetual preferred stock), less deductions for disallowed intangibles and disallowed deferred tax assets. Tier 2 capital, which recognizes up to 100% of Tier 1 capital for risk-based capital purposes includes such items as qualifying general loan loss reserves (up to 1.25% of risk-weighted assets), qualified subordinated debt, redeemable preferred stock, other restricted core capital elements, cumulative perpetual preferred stock, and net unrealized holding gains on equity securities (subject to certain limitations); provided, however, the amount of term subordinated debt and intermediate term preferred stock that may be included in Tier 2 capital for risk-based capital purposes is limited to 50% of Tier 1 capital.

The FDIC currently measures an institution's capital using a leverage limit together with certain risk-based ratios. The FDIC's minimum leverage capital requirement specifies a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to average total assets. Most banks are required to maintain a minimum leverage ratio of at least 3% to 4% of total assets. At December 31, 2014, Banner Bank and Islanders Bank had Tier 1 leverage capital ratios of 12.40% and 13.68%, respectively. The FDIC retains the right to require an institution to maintain a higher capital level based on an institution's particular risk profile.

FDIC regulations also establish a measure of capital adequacy based on ratios of qualifying capital to risk-weighted assets. Assets are placed in one of four categories and given a percentage weight based on the relative risk of the category. In addition, certain off-balance-sheet items are converted to balance-sheet credit equivalent amounts, and each amount is then assigned to one of the four categories. Under the guidelines, the ratio of total capital (Tier 1 capital plus Tier 2 capital) to risk-weighted assets must be at least 8%, and the ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets must be at least 4%. In evaluating the adequacy of a bank's capital, the FDIC may also consider other factors that may affect the bank's financial condition. Such factors may include interest rate risk exposure, liquidity, funding and market risks, the quality and level of earnings, concentration of credit risk, risks arising from nontraditional activities, loan and investment quality, the effectiveness of loan and investment policies, and management's ability to monitor and control financial operating risks. At December 31, 2014, Banner Bank and Islanders Bank had Tier 1 risk-based capital ratios of 14.25% and 18.69%, respectively, and total risk-based capital ratios of 15.51% and 19.92%, respectively.

On July 2, 2013, the Federal Reserve approved a final rule (Final Rule) to establish a new comprehensive regulatory capital framework for all U.S. financial institutions and their holding companies. On July 9, 2013, the Final Rule was approved as an interim final rule by the FDIC. The Final Rule implements the "Basel III" regulatory capital reforms and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act. The final rule includes new risk-based capital and leverage ratios, which are effective January 1, 2015 and revise the definition of what constitutes "capital" for purposes of calculating those ratios.

Effective January 1, 2015 (with some changes transitioned into full effectiveness over two to four years), Banner and the Banks became subject to the new capital requirements adopted by the Federal Reserve and the FDIC. These new requirements create a new required ratio for common equity Tier 1 ("CET1") capital, increase the leverage and Tier 1 capital ratios, change the risk-weights of certain assets for purposes of the risk-based capital ratios, create an additional capital conservation buffer over the required capital ratios and change what qualifies as capital for purposes of meeting these various capital requirements. Beginning in 2016, failure to maintain the required capital conservation buffer will limit our ability and the ability of our bank subsidiary to pay dividends, repurchase shares or pay discretionary bonuses. Under the new capital regulations, the minimum capital ratios applicable to Banner and the Banks are: (i) a CET1 capital ratio of 4.5%; (ii) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 6% (increased from 4%); (iii) a total capital ratio of 8% (unchanged from prior rules); and (iv) a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4% for all institutions. CET1 generally consists of common stock; retained earnings; accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI"), explained below, unless we elect to exclude AOCI from regulatory capital, as discussed below; and certain minority interests; all subject to applicable regulatory adjustments and deductions. There are a number of changes in what constitutes regulatory capital, some of which are subject to transition periods. These changes include the phasing-out of certain

instruments as qualifying capital. Banner and the Banks do not have any of these instruments. Under the new requirements for total capital, Tier 2 capital is no longer limited to the amount of Tier 1 capital included in total capital. Mortgage servicing rights, certain deferred tax assets and investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries over designated percentages of CET1 will be deducted from capital. In addition, Tier 1 capital will include AOCI, which includes all unrealized gains and losses on available for sale debt and equity securities. Because of our asset size, we have the one-time option of deciding in the first quarter of 2015 whether to permanently opt-out of the inclusion of accumulated other comprehensive income in our capital calculations. We are planning to take advantage of this opt-out to reduce the impact of market volatility on our regulatory capital levels.

The new requirements also include changes in the risk-weights of assets to better reflect credit risk and other risk exposures. These include a 150% risk weight (up from 100%) for certain high volatility commercial real estate acquisition, development and construction loans and for non-residential mortgage loans that are 90 days past due or otherwise in non-accrual status; a 20% (up from 0%) credit conversion factor for the unused portion of a commitment with an original maturity of one year or less that is not unconditionally cancellable (currently set at 0%); a 250% risk weight (up from 100%) for mortgage servicing and deferred tax assets that are not deducted from capital; and increased risk-weights (0% to 600%) for equity exposures.

In addition to the minimum CET1, Tier 1 and total capital ratios, Banner and the Banks will have to maintain a capital conservation buffer consisting of additional CET1 capital greater than 2.5% of risk-weighted assets above the required minimum levels in order to avoid limitations on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases, and paying discretionary bonuses based on percentages of eligible retained income that could be utilized for such actions. This new capital conservation buffer requirement will be phased in beginning in January 2016 at 0.625% of risk-weighted assets and increasing each year until fully implemented in January 2019.

Prompt Corrective Action: Federal statutes establish a supervisory framework based on five capital categories: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. An institution's category depends upon where its capital levels are in relation to relevant capital measures, which include a risk-based capital measure, a leverage ratio capital measure and certain

other factors. The federal banking agencies have adopted regulations that implement this statutory framework. Under these regulations, an institution is treated as well capitalized if its ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets is 10% or more, its ratio of core capital to risk-weighted assets is 6% or more, its ratio of core capital to total assets (leverage ratio) is 5% or more, and it is not subject to any federal supervisory order or directive to meet a specific capital level. In order to be adequately capitalized, an institution must have a total risk-based capital ratio of not less than 8%, a core capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of not less than 4%, and a leverage ratio of not less than 4%. An institution that is not well capitalized is subject to certain restrictions on brokered deposits, including restrictions on the rates it can offer on its deposits generally. Any institution which is neither well capitalized nor adequately capitalized is considered undercapitalized. In connection with the approval of new capital rules by the federal banking agencies, the general structure of the prompt corrective action rules were maintained, but include a new common equity requirement for Tier 1 capital and incorporate an increased Tier 1 capital requirement into the prompt corrective action framework. See “Capital Requirements” below.

Undercapitalized institutions are subject to certain prompt corrective action requirements, regulatory controls and restrictions which become more extensive as an institution becomes more severely undercapitalized. Failure by either Banner Bank and Islanders Bank to comply with applicable capital requirements would, if unremedied, result in progressively more severe restrictions on its activities and lead to enforcement actions, including, but not limited to, the issuance of a capital directive to ensure the maintenance of required capital levels and, ultimately, the appointment of the FDIC as receiver or conservator. Banking regulators will take prompt corrective action with respect to depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. Additionally, approval of any regulatory application filed for their review may be dependent on compliance with capital requirements.

At December 31, 2014, both Banner Bank and Islanders Bank were categorized as “well capitalized” under the prompt corrective action regulations of the FDIC. For additional information, see Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The FDIC’s prompt corrective action standards changed effective January 1, 2015. Under the new standards, in order to be considered well-capitalized, each of our bank subsidiaries must have a CET1 ratio of 6.5% (new), a Tier 1 ratio of 8% (increased from 6%), a total risk-based capital ratio of 10% (unchanged) and a leverage ratio of 5% (unchanged).

For a complete description of Banner Bank's and Islander Bank’s required and actual capital levels as of December 31, 2014, see Note 18 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Commercial Real Estate Lending Concentrations: The federal banking agencies have issued guidance on sound risk management practices for concentrations in commercial real estate lending. The particular focus is on exposure to commercial real estate loans that are dependent on the cash flow from the real estate held as collateral and that are likely to be sensitive to conditions in the commercial real estate market (as opposed to real estate collateral held as a secondary source of repayment or as an abundance of caution). The purpose of the guidance is not to limit a bank's commercial real estate lending but to guide banks in developing risk management practices and capital levels commensurate with the level and nature of real estate concentrations. The guidance directs the FDIC and other bank regulatory agencies to focus their supervisory resources on institutions that may have significant commercial real estate loan concentration risk. A bank that has experienced rapid growth in commercial real estate lending, has notable exposure to a specific type of commercial real estate loan, or is approaching or exceeding the following supervisory criteria may be identified for further supervisory analysis with respect to real estate concentration risk:

Total reported loans for construction, land development and other land represent 100% or more of the bank's capital;
or

Total commercial real estate loans (as defined in the guidance) represent 300% or more of the bank's total capital or the outstanding balance of the bank's commercial real estate loan portfolio has increased 50% or more during the prior 36 months.

The guidance provides that the strength of an institution's lending and risk management practices with respect to such concentrations will be taken into account in supervisory guidance on evaluation of capital adequacy. As of December 31, 2014, Banner Bank's and Islanders Bank's aggregate loans for construction, land development and land loans were 116% and 27% of total capital, respectively. In addition, at December 31, 2014, Banner Bank's and Islanders Bank's loans on commercial real estate were 286% and 172% of total capital, respectively.

Activities and Investments of Insured State-Chartered Financial Institutions: Federal law generally limits the activities and equity investments of FDIC insured, state-chartered banks to those that are permissible for national banks. An insured state bank is not prohibited from, among other things, (1) acquiring or retaining a majority interest in a subsidiary, (2) investing as a limited partner in a partnership the sole purpose of which is direct or indirect investment in the acquisition, rehabilitation or new construction of a qualified housing project, provided that such limited partnership investments may not exceed 2% of the bank's total assets, (3) acquiring up to 10% of the voting stock of a company that solely provides or re-insures directors', trustees' and officers' liability insurance coverage or bankers' blanket bond group insurance coverage for insured depository institutions, and (4) acquiring or retaining the voting shares of a depository institution if certain requirements are met.

Washington State has enacted a law regarding financial institution parity. Primarily, the law affords Washington-chartered commercial banks the same powers as Washington-chartered savings banks. In order for a bank to exercise these powers, it must provide 30 days notice to the Director of the Washington Department of Financial Institutions and the Director must authorize the requested activity. In addition, the law provides that Washington-chartered commercial banks may exercise any of the powers that the Federal Reserve has determined to be closely related to the business of banking and the powers of national banks, subject to the approval of the Director in certain situations. The law also provides that Washington-chartered savings banks may exercise any of the powers of Washington-chartered commercial banks, national banks and federally-chartered savings banks, subject to the approval of the Director in certain situations. Finally, the law provides additional flexibility

for Washington-chartered commercial and savings banks with respect to interest rates on loans and other extensions of credit. Specifically, they may charge the maximum interest rate allowable for loans and other extensions of credit by federally-chartered financial institutions to Washington residents.

Environmental Issues Associated With Real Estate Lending: The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) is a federal statute that generally imposes strict liability on all prior and present “owners and operators” of sites containing hazardous waste. However, Congress acted to protect secured creditors by providing that the term “owner and operator” excludes a person whose ownership is limited to protecting its security interest in the site. Since the enactment of the CERCLA, this “secured creditor exemption” has been the subject of judicial interpretations which have left open the possibility that lenders could be liable for cleanup costs on contaminated property that they hold as collateral for a loan. To the extent that legal uncertainty exists in this area, all creditors, including Banner Bank and Islanders Bank, that have made loans secured by properties with potential hazardous waste contamination (such as petroleum contamination) could be subject to liability for cleanup costs, which costs often substantially exceed the value of the collateral property.

Federal Reserve System: The Federal Reserve Board requires that all depository institutions maintain reserves on transaction accounts or non-personal time deposits. These reserves may be in the form of cash or non-interest-bearing deposits with the regional Federal Reserve Bank. Interest-bearing checking accounts and other types of accounts that permit payments or transfers to third parties fall within the definition of transaction accounts and are subject to Regulation D reserve requirements, as are any non-personal time deposits at a bank. At December 31, 2014, the Banks' deposits with the Federal Reserve Bank and vault cash exceeded their reserve requirements.

Affiliate Transactions: Banner Corporation, Banner Bank and Islanders Bank are separate and distinct legal entities. Federal laws strictly limit the ability of banks to engage in certain transactions with their affiliates, including their bank holding companies. Transactions deemed to be a “covered transaction” under Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act and between a subsidiary bank and its parent company or any non-bank subsidiary of the bank holding company are limited to 10% of the subsidiary bank's capital and surplus and, with respect to the parent company and all such non-bank subsidiaries, to an aggregate of 20% of the subsidiary bank's capital and surplus. Further, covered transactions that are loans and extensions of credit generally are required to be secured by eligible collateral in specified amounts. Federal law also requires that covered transactions and certain other transactions listed in Section 23B of the Federal Reserve Act between a bank and its affiliates be on terms as favorable to the bank as transactions with non-affiliates.

Community Reinvestment Act: Banner Bank and Islanders Bank are subject to the provisions of the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (CRA), which requires the appropriate federal bank regulatory agency to assess a bank's performance under the CRA in meeting the credit needs of the community serviced by the bank, including low and moderate income neighborhoods. The regulatory agency's assessment of the bank's record is made available to the public. Further, a bank's CRA performance rating must be considered in connection with a bank's application to, among other things, to establish a new branch office that will accept deposits, relocate an existing office or merge or consolidate with, or acquire the assets or assume the liabilities of, a federally regulated financial institution. Both Banner Bank and Islanders Bank received a “satisfactory” rating during their most recent CRA examinations.

Dividends: The amount of dividends payable by the Banks to the Company depend upon their earnings and capital position, and is limited by federal and state laws, regulations and policies. Federal law further provides that no insured depository institution may make any capital distribution (which includes a cash dividend) if, after making the distribution, the institution would be “undercapitalized,” as defined in the prompt corrective action regulations. Moreover, the federal bank regulatory agencies also have the general authority to limit the dividends paid by insured banks if such payments should be deemed to constitute an unsafe and unsound practice.

Privacy Standards: The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Financial Services Modernization Act of 1999 (GLBA) modernized the financial services industry by establishing a comprehensive framework to permit affiliations among commercial banks, insurance companies, securities firms and other financial service providers. Banner Bank and Islanders Bank are subject to FDIC regulations implementing the privacy protection provisions of the GLBA. These regulations require the Banks to disclose their privacy policy, including informing consumers of their information sharing practices and informing consumers of their rights to opt out of certain practices.

Anti-Money Laundering and Customer Identification: The Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (USA Patriot Act) was signed into law on October 26, 2001. The USA PATRIOT and Bank Secrecy Acts require financial institutions to develop programs to prevent financial institutions from being used for money laundering and terrorist activities. If such activities are detected, financial institutions are obligated to file suspicious activity reports with the U.S. Treasury's Office of Financial Crimes Enforcement Network. These rules require financial institutions to establish procedures for identifying and verifying the identity of customers seeking to open new financial accounts. Bank regulators are directed to consider a holding company's effectiveness in combating money laundering when ruling on Bank Holding Company Act and Bank Merger Act applications. Banner Bank's and Islanders Bank's policies and procedures comply with the requirements of the USA Patriot Act.

Other Consumer Protection Laws and Regulations: The Dodd-Frank Act established the CFPB and empowered it to exercise broad regulatory, supervisory and enforcement authority with respect to both new and existing consumer financial protection laws. The Banks are subject to consumer protection regulations issued by the CFPB, but as financial institutions with assets of less than \$10 billion, the Banks are generally subject to supervision and enforcement by the FDIC and the DFI with respect to our compliance with consumer financial protection laws and CFPB regulations.

The Banks are subject to a broad array of federal and state consumer protection laws and regulations that govern almost every aspect of its business relationships with consumers. While the list set forth below is not exhaustive, these include the Truth-in-Lending Act, the Truth in Savings Act, the Electronic Fund Transfers Act, the Expedited Funds Availability Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Housing Act, the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Right to Financial Privacy Act, the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act, the Fair Credit Billing Act, the Homeowners Protection Act, the Check Clearing for the 21st Century Act, laws governing flood insurance, laws governing consumer protections in connection with the sale of insurance, federal and state laws prohibiting unfair and deceptive business practices, and various regulations that implement some or all of the foregoing. These laws and regulations mandate certain disclosure requirements and regulate the manner in which financial institutions must deal with customers when taking deposits, making loans, collecting loans, and providing other services. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations can subject the Banks to various penalties, including but not limited to, enforcement actions, injunctions, fines, civil liability, criminal penalties, punitive damages, and the loss of certain contractual rights.

Banner Corporation

General: Banner Corporation, as sole shareholder of Banner Bank and Islanders Bank, is a bank holding company registered with the Federal Reserve. Bank holding companies are subject to comprehensive regulation by the Federal Reserve under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, or the BHCA, and the regulations of the Federal Reserve. We are required to file quarterly reports with the Federal Reserve and provide additional information as the Federal Reserve may require. The Federal Reserve may examine us, and any of our subsidiaries, and charge us for the cost of the examination. The Federal Reserve also has extensive enforcement authority over bank holding companies, including, among other things, the ability to assess civil money penalties, to issue cease and desist or removal orders and to require that a holding company divest subsidiaries (including its bank subsidiaries). In general, enforcement actions may be initiated for violations of law and regulations and unsafe or unsound practices. Banner Corporation is also required to file certain reports with, and otherwise comply with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Bank Holding Company Act: Under the BHCA, we are supervised by the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve has a policy that a bank holding company is required to serve as a source of financial and managerial strength to its subsidiary banks and may not conduct its operations in an unsafe or unsound manner. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act and earlier Federal Reserve policy provide that a bank holding company should serve as a source of strength to its subsidiary banks by having the ability to provide financial assistance to its subsidiary banks during periods of financial distress to the banks. A bank holding company's failure to meet its obligation to serve as a source of strength to its subsidiary banks will generally be considered by the Federal Reserve to be an unsafe and unsound banking practice or a violation of the Federal Reserve's regulations or both. No regulations have yet been proposed by the Federal Reserve to implement the source of strength doctrine required by the Dodd-Frank Act. Banner Corporation and any subsidiaries that it may control are considered "affiliates" within the meaning of the Federal Reserve Act, and transactions between Banner Bank and affiliates are subject to numerous restrictions. With some exceptions, Banner Corporation, and its subsidiaries, are prohibited from tying the provision of various services, such as extensions of credit, to other services offered by Banner Corporation, or by its affiliates.

Acquisitions: The BHCA prohibits a bank holding company, with certain exceptions, from acquiring ownership or control of more than 5% of the voting shares of any company that is not a bank or bank holding company and from engaging in activities other than those of banking, managing or controlling banks, or providing services for its subsidiaries. Under the BHCA, the Federal Reserve may approve the ownership of shares by a bank holding company in any company, the activities of which the Federal Reserve has determined to be so closely related to the business of banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto. These activities include: operating a savings institution, mortgage company, finance company, credit card company or factoring company; performing

certain data processing operations; providing certain investment and financial advice; underwriting and acting as an insurance agent for certain types of credit-related insurance; leasing property on a full-payout, non-operating basis; selling money orders, travelers' checks and U.S. Savings Bonds; real estate and personal property appraising; providing tax planning and preparation services; and, subject to certain limitations, providing securities brokerage services for customers.

Federal Securities Laws: Banner Corporation's common stock is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under Section 12(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We are subject to information, proxy solicitation, insider trading restrictions and other requirements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act).

The Dodd-Frank Act: On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank-Act imposes new restrictions and an expanded framework of regulatory oversight for financial institutions, including depository institutions and implements new capital regulations that Banner Corporation and the Banks will become subject to and that are discussed above under the section entitled "Banner Bank and Islanders Bank-Capital Requirements-New Capital Rules."

In addition, among other changes, the Dodd-Frank Act requires public companies, like Banner Corporation, to (i) provide their shareholders with a non-binding vote (a) at least once every three years on the compensation paid to executive officers and (b) at least once every six years on whether they should have a "say on pay" vote every one, two or three years; (ii) have a separate, non-binding shareholder vote regarding golden parachutes for named executive officers when a shareholder vote takes place on mergers, acquisitions, dispositions or other transactions that would trigger the parachute payments; (iii) provide disclosure in annual proxy materials concerning the relationship between the executive compensation paid and the financial performance of the issuer; and (iv) amend Item 402 of Regulation S-K to require companies to disclose the ratio of the Chief Executive Officer's annual total compensation to the median annual total compensation of all other employees. For certain of these changes, the implementing regulations have not been promulgated, so the full impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on public companies cannot be determined at this time.

The federal banking agencies have issued final rules to implement the provisions of Section 619 of the Dodd Frank Act commonly referred to as the Volcker Rule. The regulations contain prohibitions and restrictions on the ability of financial institutions holding companies and their affiliates to engage in proprietary trading and to hold certain interests in, or to have certain relationships with, various types of investment funds, including hedge funds and private equity funds. The regulations became effective on April 1, 2014 with full compliance being phased in over a period ending on July 21, 2015. Banner Corporation is continuously reviewing its investment portfolio to determine if changes in its investment strategies may be required in order to comply with the various provisions of the Volcker Rule regulations.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002: As a public company that files periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Banner Corporation is subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Sarbanes-Oxley Act), which addresses, among other issues, corporate governance, auditing and accounting, executive compensation and enhanced and timely disclosure of corporate information. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act represents significant federal involvement in matters traditionally left to state regulatory systems, such as the regulation of the accounting profession, and to state corporate law, such as the relationship between a board of directors and management and between a board of directors and its committees. Our policies and procedures have been updated to comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

Interstate Banking and Branching: The Federal Reserve must approve an application of a bank holding company to acquire control of, or acquire all or substantially all of the assets of, a bank located in a state other than the holding company's home state, without regard to whether the transaction is prohibited by the laws of any state. The Federal Reserve may not approve the acquisition of a bank that has not been in existence for the minimum time period (not exceeding five years) specified by the statutory law of the host state. Nor may the Federal Reserve approve an application if the applicant (and its depository institution affiliates) controls or would control more than 10% of the insured deposits in the United States or 30% or more of the deposits in the target bank's home state or in any state in which the target bank maintains a branch. Federal law does not affect the authority of states to limit the percentage of total insured deposits in the state which may be held or controlled by a bank holding company to the extent such limitation does not discriminate against out-of-state banks or bank holding companies. Individual states may also waive the 30% state-wide concentration limit contained in the federal law.

The federal banking agencies are authorized to approve interstate merger transactions without regard to whether the transaction is prohibited by the law of any state, unless the home state of one of the banks adopted a law prior to June 1, 1997 which applies equally to all out-of-state banks and expressly prohibits merger transactions involving out-of-state banks. Interstate acquisitions of branches will be permitted only if the law of the state in which the branch is located permits such acquisitions. Interstate mergers and branch acquisitions will also be subject to the nationwide and statewide insured deposit concentration amounts described above. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the federal banking agencies may generally approve interstate de novo branching.

Dividends: The Federal Reserve has issued a policy statement on the payment of cash dividends by bank holding companies, which expresses its view that although there are no specific regulations restricting dividend payments by bank holding companies other than state corporate laws, a bank holding company must maintain an adequate capital position and generally should not pay cash dividends unless the company's net income for the past year is sufficient to fully fund the cash dividends and that the prospective rate of earnings appears consistent with the company's capital needs, asset quality, and overall financial condition. The Federal Reserve policy statement also indicates that it would be inappropriate for a company experiencing serious financial problems to borrow funds to pay dividends. As described above under "Capital Requirements," beginning January 1, 2016 the capital conversion buffer requirement can also restrict Banner Corporation's and the Banks' ability to pay dividends.

Capital Requirements: The Federal Reserve has established capital adequacy guidelines for bank holding companies that generally parallel the capital requirements of the FDIC for the Banks, although the Federal Reserve regulations provide for the inclusion of certain trust preferred securities for up to 25% of Tier 1 capital in determining compliance with the guidelines. The Federal Reserve regulations provide that capital standards will be applied on a consolidated basis in the case of a bank holding company with \$500 million or more in total consolidated assets. The guidelines require that a company's total risk-based capital must equal 8% of risk-weighted assets and one half of the 8% (4%) must consist of Tier 1 (core) capital. As of December 31, 2014, Banner Corporation's total risk-based capital was 16.80% of risk-weighted assets and its Tier 1 (core) capital was 15.54% of risk-weighted assets. In July 2013, the Federal Reserve and the FDIC approved a new rule that will substantially amend the regulatory risk-based capital rules to implement the Basel III regulatory capital reforms and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act. For a discussion of the new capital rules, see the section above entitled "Banner Bank and Islanders Bank-Capital Requirements-New Capital Rules."

Stock Repurchases: A bank holding company, except for certain "well-capitalized" and highly rated bank holding companies, is required to give the Federal Reserve prior written notice of any purchase or redemption of its outstanding equity securities if the gross consideration for the purchase or redemption, when combined with the net consideration paid for all such purchases or redemptions during the preceding twelve months, is equal to 10% or more of its consolidated net worth. The Federal Reserve may disapprove such a purchase or redemption if it determines that the proposal would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice or would violate any law, regulation, Federal Reserve order or any condition imposed by, or written agreement with, the Federal Reserve. During the year ended December 31, 2014, Banner repurchased 14,422 shares of its common stock that were surrendered by employees to satisfy tax withholding obligations upon the vesting of restricted stock grants and 13,550 shares that were redeemed upon the termination of the ESOP.

Management Personnel
Executive Officers

The following table sets forth information with respect to the executive officers of Banner Corporation and Banner Bank as of December 31, 2014:

Name	Age	Position with Banner Corporation	Position with Banner Bank
Mark J. Grescovich	50	President, Chief Executive Officer, Director	President, Chief Executive Officer, Director
Lloyd W. Baker	66	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
Cynthia D. Purcell	57		Executive Vice President, Retail Banking and Administration
Richard B. Barton	71		Executive Vice President, Chief Lending Officer
Steven W. Rust	67		Executive Vice President, Chief Information Officer
Douglas M. Bennett	62		Executive Vice President, Real Estate Lending Operations
Tyrone J. Bliss	57		Executive Vice President, Risk Management and Compliance Officer
Gary W. Wagers	54		Executive Vice President, Retail Products and Services
Anne L. Wuesthoff	54		Executive Vice President, Human Resources
James T. Reed, Jr.	52		Senior Vice President, Commercial Banking
M. Kirk Quillin	52		Senior Vice President, Commercial Banking

Biographical Information

Set forth below is certain information regarding the executive officers of Banner Corporation and Banner Bank. There are no family relationships among or between the directors or executive officers.

Mark J. Grescovich is President and Chief Executive Officer, and a director, of Banner Corporation and Banner Bank. Mr. Grescovich joined the Bank in April 2010 and became Chief Executive Officer in August 2010 following an extensive banking career specializing in finance, credit administration and risk management. Prior to joining the Bank, Mr. Grescovich was the Executive Vice President and Chief Corporate Banking Officer for Akron, Ohio-based FirstMerit Corporation and FirstMerit Bank N.A., a commercial bank with \$14.5 billion in assets and over 200 branch offices in three states. He assumed the role and responsibility for FirstMerit's commercial and regional line of business in 2007, having served since 1994 in various commercial and corporate banking positions, including that of Chief Credit Officer. Prior to joining FirstMerit, Mr. Grescovich was a Managing Partner in corporate finance with Sequoia Financial Group, Inc. of Akron, Ohio and a commercial and corporate lending officer and credit analyst with Society National Bank of Cleveland, Ohio.

Lloyd W. Baker joined First Savings Bank of Washington (now Banner Bank) in 1995 as Asset/Liability Manager, has been a member of the executive management committee since 1998 and has served as the Chief Financial Officer of Banner Corporation and Banner Bank since 2000. His banking career began in 1973.

Cynthia D. Purcell was formerly the Chief Financial Officer of Inland Empire Bank (now Banner Bank), which she joined in 1981. She has served as Executive Vice President since 2000. Ms. Purcell is responsible for managing Retail Banking including Mortgage Banking, Small Business Banking, and Digital Delivery Channels, as well as administrative support functions for the organization.

Richard B. Barton joined Banner Bank in 2002 as Chief Credit Officer. Mr. Barton's banking career began in 1972 with Seafirst Bank and Bank of America, where he served in a variety of commercial lending and credit risk management positions. In his last positions at Bank of America before joining Banner Bank, he served as the senior real estate risk management executive for the Pacific Northwest and as the credit risk management executive for the west coast home builder division. Mr. Barton was named Chief Lending Officer in 2008.

Steven W. Rust joined Banner Bank in October 2005. Mr. Rust has over 36 years of relevant industry experience prior to joining Banner Bank and was founder and President of InfoSoft Technology, through which he worked for nine years as a technology consultant and interim Chief Information Officer for banks and insurance companies. He worked 19 years with US Bank/West One Bancorp as Senior Vice President & Manager of Information Systems.

Douglas M. Bennett, who joined First Federal Savings and Loan (now Banner Bank) in 1974, has over 38 years of experience in real estate lending. He has served as a member of Banner Bank's executive management committee since 2004.

Tyrone J. Bliss joined Banner Bank in 2002 and has served in his current position since 2006. Mr. Bliss is a Certified Regulatory Compliance Manager with more than 35 years of commercial banking experience. Prior to joining Banner Bank, his career included senior risk management and compliance positions with Bank of America's Consumer Finance Group, Barnett Banks, Inc., and Florida-based community banks.

Gary W. Wagers joined Banner Bank as Senior Vice President, Consumer Lending Administration in 2002 and was named to his current position in Retail Products and Services in January 2008. Mr. Wagers began his banking career in 1982 at Idaho First National Bank. Prior to joining Banner Bank, his career included senior management positions in retail lending and branch banking operations with West One Bank and US Bank.

Anne L. Wuesthoff has more than 29 years of human resources and organizational development experience in multiple industries and joined Banner Bank in 2011 with significant experience in financial services. She was a senior vice president at Washington Mutual for eight years with responsibility for leading human resources for the retail, corporate and specialty finance business units. She also led the talent and organizational capability function, where she had responsibility for executive and corporate recruitment, executive development, enterprise-wide learning and training, organizational development, employee communications, and talent management and diversity programs. Ms. Wuesthoff began her career in human resources at the Quaker Oats Company at various manufacturing locations and at corporate headquarters. She has also served in various human resources positions at Allied Signal, now Honeywell, Inc., and at Casey Family Programs, a non-profit dedicated to improving the lives of vulnerable families and children.

James T. Reed, Jr. joined Towne Bank (now Banner Bank) as a Vice President and Commercial Branch Manager in July 1995 and was named to his current position as the West Region Commercial Banking Executive in July 2012. He is responsible for Commercial Banking in Western Washington and Western Oregon as well as Specialty Banking. Mr. Reed began his banking career with Rainier Bank which later became Security Pacific Bank and later still West One Bank. He earned a Bachelor of Arts in Interdisciplinary Arts and Sciences from the University of Washington, and earned certificates from Pacific Coast Banking School, Northwest Intermediate Banking School and Northwest Intermediate Commercial Lending School. Currently, Mr. Reed sits on the University of Washington Bothell Advisory Board, the University of Washington Foundation Board and the Association of Washington Business Board of Directors.

M. Kirk Quillin joined Banner Bank's commercial banking group in 2002 as a Senior Vice President and commercial loan manager and was named to his current position as the East Region Commercial Banking Executive in July 2012. He is responsible for commercial and specialty banking for all locations in Eastern Washington, Eastern Oregon and Idaho. Mr. Quillin began his career in the banking industry in 1984 with Idaho First National Bank, which is now U.S. Bank. His career also included management positions in commercial lending with Washington Mutual. He earned a B.S. in Finance and Economics from Boise State University and was certified by the Pacific Coast Banking School and Northwest Intermediate Commercial Lending School.

Our principal executive offices are located at 10 South First Avenue, Walla Walla, Washington 99362. Our telephone number is (509) 527-3636. We maintain a website with the address www.bannerbank.com. The information contained on our website is not included as a part of, or incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Other than an investor's own Internet access charges, we make available free of charge through our website our Annual Report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to these reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after we have electronically filed such material with, or furnished such material to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 1A – Risk Factors

An investment in our common stock is subject to risks inherent in our business. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below together with all of the other information included in this report. In addition to the risks and uncertainties described below, other risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, capital levels, cash flows, liquidity, results of operations and prospects. The market price of our common stock could decline significantly due to any of these identified or other risks, and you could lose some or all of your investment. The risks discussed below also include forward-looking statements, and our actual results may differ substantially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements. This report is qualified in its entirety by these risk factors.

Our business may be adversely affected by downturns in the national economy and the regional economies on which we depend.

Our operations are significantly affected by national and regional economic conditions. Weakness in the national economy or the economies of the markets in which we operate could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Most of our loans are to businesses and individuals in the states of Washington, Oregon and Idaho. All of our branches and most of our deposit customers are also located in these three states. Further, as a result of a high concentration of our customer base in the Puget Sound area of Washington State, the deterioration of businesses in the Puget Sound area, or one or more businesses with a large employee base in that area, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and prospects. In addition, weakness in the global economy has adversely affected many businesses operating in our markets that are dependent upon international trade.

A deterioration in economic conditions in the market areas we serve, in particular the Puget Sound area of Washington State, the Portland, Oregon metropolitan area, Spokane, Washington, Boise, Idaho, southwestern Oregon and the agricultural regions of the Columbia Basin, could result in the following consequences, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations:

- demand for our products and services may decline;
- loan delinquencies, problem assets and foreclosures may increase;
- collateral for loans, especially real estate, may decline in value, in turn reducing customers' borrowing power, reducing the value of assets and collateral associated with existing loans;
- the net worth and liquidity of loan guarantors may decline, impairing their ability to honor commitments to us; and
- the amount of our low-cost or non-interest-bearing deposits may decrease.

A return of recessionary conditions could result in increases in our level of non-performing loans and/or reduce demand for our products and services, which could have adverse effect on our results of operations.

Economic conditions have improved since the end of the economic recession that officially ended in June, 2009; however, economic growth has been slow and uneven, unemployment remains relatively high and concerns still exist over the federal deficit, government spending and global geopolitical risks which have all contributed to diminished expectations for the economy. A return of recessionary conditions and/or negative developments in the domestic and international credit markets may significantly affect the markets in which we do business, the value of our loans and investments, and our ongoing operations, costs and profitability. Declines in real estate values and sales volumes and high unemployment levels may result in higher than expected loan delinquencies and a decline in demand for our products and services. These negative events may cause us to incur losses and may adversely affect our capital, liquidity, and financial condition.

Furthermore, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, in an attempt to help the economy, has, among other things, kept interest rates low through its targeted federal funds rate and the purchase of U.S. Treasury and mortgage-backed securities. If the Federal Reserve Board increases the federal funds rate market interest rates would likely rise, which may negatively affect the housing markets and the U.S. economic recovery. In addition, deflationary pressures, while possibly lowering our operating costs, could have a significant negative effect on our borrowers, especially our business borrowers, and the values of underlying collateral securing loans, which could negatively affect our financial performance.

Our loan portfolio includes loans with a higher risk of loss.

We originate construction and land loans, commercial and multifamily mortgage loans, commercial business loans, agricultural mortgage loans and agricultural loans, and consumer loans, primarily within our market areas. We had \$3.29 billion outstanding in these types of higher risk loans at December 31, 2014 compared to \$2.89 billion at December 31, 2013. These loans typically present different risks to us for a number of reasons, including those discussed below:

Construction and Land Loans. At December 31, 2014, construction and land loans were \$411 million or 11% of our total loan portfolio. This type of lending contains the inherent difficulty in estimating both a property's value at completion of the project and the estimated cost (including interest) of the project. If the estimate of construction cost proves to be inaccurate, we may be required to advance funds beyond the amount originally committed to permit completion of the project. If the estimate of value upon completion proves to be inaccurate, we may be confronted at, or prior to, the maturity of the loan with a project the value of which is insufficient

to assure full repayment. In addition, speculative construction loans to a builder are often associated with homes that are not pre-sold, and thus pose a greater potential risk to us than construction loans to individuals on their personal residences. Loans on land under development or held for future construction also pose additional risk because of the lack of income being produced by the property and the potential illiquid nature of the collateral. These risks can be significantly impacted by supply and demand conditions. As a result, this type of lending often involves the disbursement of substantial funds with repayment dependent on the success of the ultimate project and the ability of the borrower to sell the property, rather than the ability of the borrower or guarantor to independently repay principal and interest. While our origination of these types of loans has decreased significantly from earlier periods, as a result of the recent improvement in real estate values in certain of our market areas, this category of lending has increased in recent years and our investment in construction and land loans increased by \$60 million or 17% in 2014. At December 31, 2014, construction and land loans that were non-performing were \$1 million, or 7% of our total non-performing loans.

Commercial and Multifamily Real Estate Loans. At December 31, 2014, commercial and multifamily real estate loans were \$1.571 billion, or 41% of our total loan portfolio. These loans typically involve higher principal amounts than other types of loans and some of our commercial borrowers have more than one loan outstanding with us. Consequently, an adverse development with respect to one loan or one credit relationship can expose us to a significantly greater risk of loss compared to an adverse development with respect to a one- to four-family residential mortgage loan. Repayment of these loans is dependent upon income being generated from the property securing the loan in amounts sufficient to cover operating expenses and debt service, which may be adversely affected by changes in the economy or local market conditions. In addition, many of our commercial and multifamily real estate loans are not fully amortizing and contain large balloon payments upon maturity. Such balloon payments may require the borrower to either sell or refinance the underlying property in order to make the payment, which may increase the risk of default or non-payment. This risk was exacerbated in the recent recession and could remain an elevated risk in the current slow recovery economic environment. At December 31, 2014, commercial and multifamily real estate loans that were non-performing were \$1 million, or 7% of our total non-performing loans.

Commercial Business Loans. At December 31, 2014, commercial business loans were \$724 million, or 19% of our total loan portfolio. Our commercial loans are primarily made based on the cash flow of the borrower and secondarily on the underlying collateral provided by the borrower. The borrowers' cash flow may prove to be unpredictable, and collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value. Most often, this collateral is accounts receivable, inventory, equipment or real estate. In the case of loans secured by accounts receivable, the availability of funds for the repayment of these loans may be substantially dependent on the ability of the borrower to collect amounts due from its customers. Other collateral securing loans may depreciate over time, may be difficult to appraise, may be illiquid and may fluctuate in value based on the success of the business. At December 31, 2014, commercial business loans that were non-performing were \$537,000, or 3% of our total non-performing loans.

Agricultural Loans. At December 31, 2014, agricultural loans were \$238 million, or 6% of our total loan portfolio. Repayment is dependent upon the successful operation of the business, which is greatly dependent on many things outside the control of either us or the borrowers. These factors include weather, commodity prices, and interest rates among others. Collateral securing these loans may be difficult to evaluate, manage or liquidate and may not provide an adequate source of repayment. At December 31, 2014, there were \$2 million of agricultural loans that were non-performing or 10% of total non-performing loans.

Consumer Loans. At December 31, 2014, consumer loans were \$349 million, or 9% of our total loan portfolio. Consumer loans (such as personal lines of credit) are collateralized, if at all, with assets that may not provide an adequate source of payment of the loan due to depreciation, damage, or loss. In addition, consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability, and thus are more likely to be adversely affected by job loss, divorce, illness or personal bankruptcy. Furthermore, the application of various federal and state

laws, including federal and state bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount that can be recovered on these loans. At December 31, 2014, consumer loans that were non-performing were \$1 million, or 7% of our total non-performing loans.

Our allowance for loan losses may prove to be insufficient to absorb losses in our loan portfolio which would cause our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition to be adversely affected.

Lending money is a substantial part of our business and each loan carries a certain risk that it will not be repaid in accordance with its terms or that any underlying collateral will not be sufficient to assure repayment. This risk is affected by, among other things:

- cash flow of the borrower and/or the project being financed;
- in the case of a collateralized loan, the changes and uncertainties as to the future value of the collateral;
- the duration of the loan;
- the character and creditworthiness of a particular borrower; and
- changes in economic and industry conditions.

We maintain an allowance for loan losses, which is a reserve established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense, which we believe is appropriate to provide for probable losses in our loan portfolio. The amount of this allowance is determined by our management through periodic reviews and consideration of several factors, including, but not limited to:

our general reserve, based on our historical default and loss experience, certain macroeconomic factors, and management's expectations of future events;

our specific reserve, based on our evaluation of non-performing loans and their underlying collateral; and

an unallocated reserve to provide for other credit losses inherent in our portfolio that may not have been contemplated in the other loss factors.

The determination of the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses inherently involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires us to make significant estimates of current credit risks and future trends, all of which may undergo material changes. Deterioration in economic conditions affecting borrowers, new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional problem loans and other factors, both within and outside of our control, may require an increase in the allowance for loan losses. In addition, bank regulatory agencies periodically review our allowance for loan losses and may require an increase in the provision for possible loan losses or the recognition of further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of management. In addition, if charge-offs in future periods exceed the allowance for loan losses, we may need additional provisions to replenish the allowance for loan losses. Any increases in the allowance for loan losses will result in a decrease in net income and, most likely, capital, and may have a material negative effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We pursue a strategy of supplementing internal growth by acquiring other financial companies or their assets and liabilities that we believe will help us fulfill our strategic objectives and enhance our earnings. There are risks associated with this strategy, including the following:

We may be exposed to potential asset quality issues or unknown or contingent liabilities of the banks, businesses, assets, and liabilities we acquire. If these issues or liabilities exceed our estimates, our results of operations and financial condition may be materially negatively affected;

Prices at which acquisitions can be made fluctuate with market conditions. We have experienced times during which acquisitions could not be made in specific markets at prices we considered acceptable and expect that we will experience this condition in the future;

The acquisition of other entities generally requires integration of systems, procedures and personnel of the acquired entity into our company to make the transaction economically successful. This integration process is complicated and time consuming and can also be disruptive to the customers of the acquired business. If the integration process is not conducted successfully and with minimal adverse effect on the acquired business and its customers, we may not realize the anticipated economic benefits of particular acquisitions within the expected time frame, and we may lose customers or employees of the acquired business. We may also experience greater than anticipated customer losses even if the integration process is successful;

To the extent our costs of an acquisition exceed the fair value of the net assets acquired, the acquisition will generate goodwill. As discussed below, we are required to assess our goodwill for impairment at least annually, and any goodwill impairment charge could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition; and

To finance an acquisition, we may borrow funds, thereby increasing our leverage and diminishing our liquidity, or raise additional capital, which could dilute the interests of our existing shareholders.

The success of our acquisition of Siuslaw and pending acquisition of AmericanWest are dependent on a number of factors beyond our control.

The success of our acquisition of Siuslaw and pending acquisition of AmericanWest is subject to a number of uncertain factors, including, but not limited to:

obtaining the requisite regulatory approvals in order to consummate the transactions. To complete the acquisition of AmericanWest, we must obtain approvals from the Federal Reserve Board, the FDIC and state banking regulators.

The U.S. Department of Justice may also review the impact of the merger on competition. Other approvals, waivers or consents from regulators may also be required. An adverse development in either Banner's or AmericanWest's regulatory standing or other factors could result in an inability to obtain approval or delay their receipt. These regulators may impose conditions on the completion of the transactions or require changes to the terms of the merger that could have the effect of delaying or preventing completion of the merger or impose additional costs on or limit the revenues of the combined company following the merger, any of which might have an adverse effect on the combined company following the merger;

• obtaining the requisite shareholder approval to issue the shares necessary to complete the AmericanWest transaction. our ability to realize expected revenues, cost savings, synergies and other benefits from the Siuslaw and AmericanWest acquisitions within the expected time frames or at all, and costs or difficulties relating to integration matters, including but not limited to customer and employee retention, might be greater than expected; and
• the credit quality of loans and other assets acquired from AmericanWest and Siuslaw.

Banner and AmericanWest have each operated and, until the completion of the acquisition, will continue to operate, independently. The success of the acquisitions, including anticipated benefits and cost savings, will depend, in part, on Banner's ability to successfully combine the businesses of Banner, AmericanWest and Siuslaw. To realize these anticipated benefits and cost savings, after the completion of the acquisitions, Banner expects to integrate AmericanWest's and Siuslaw's business into its own. It is possible that the integration process could result in the loss of key employees, the disruption of each company's ongoing businesses or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that adversely affect the combined company's ability to maintain relationships with clients, customers, depositors and employees or to achieve the anticipated benefits and cost savings of the mergers. The loss of key employees could adversely affect Banner's ability to successfully conduct its business

in the markets in which AmericanWest or Siuslaw have operated and could have an adverse effect on Banner's financial results and the value of its common stock. If Banner experiences difficulties with the integration process, the anticipated benefits of the acquisition may not be realized fully or at all, or may take longer to realize than expected. As with any merger of financial institutions, there also may be business disruptions that cause Banner and/or AmericanWest to lose customers or cause customers to close their accounts with Banner and/or AmericanWest and move their business to competing financial institutions. Integration efforts between the companies will also divert management attention and resources. Any such distraction on the part of management, if significant, could affect Banner's ability to service existing business and develop new business and adversely affect the business and earnings of the combined company following completion of the merger.

The value of Banner common stock after the merger may be affected by factors different from those currently affecting the values of Banner common stock. See also "We may be subject to additional regulatory scrutiny if and when Banner Bank's total assets exceed \$10.0 billion."

The required accounting treatment of troubled loans we acquire through acquisitions could result in higher net interest margins and interest income in current periods and lower net interest margins and interest income in future periods.

Under GAAP, we are required to record troubled loans acquired through acquisitions at fair value, which may underestimate the actual performance of such loans. As a result, if these loans outperform our original fair value estimates, the difference between our original estimate and the actual performance of the loan (the "discount") is accreted into net interest income. Thus, our net interest margins may initially appear higher. We expect the yields on our loans to decline as our acquired loan portfolio pays down or matures, and we expect downward pressure on our interest income to the extent that the runoff on our acquired loan portfolio is not replaced with comparable high-yielding loans. This could result in higher net interest margins and interest income in current periods and lower net interest rate margins and lower interest income in future periods.

Our expansion into new market areas in California and Utah may present increased risk.

AmericanWest Bank's lending operations are concentrated in the states of California, Utah, Oregon, Idaho, and Washington. The merger with AmericanWest will result in Banner's initial entry into the states of California and Utah where Banner has little or no operating experience. Although Banner will retain a number of AmericanWest Bank's lending and business development officers with experience in these markets, Banner is new to these market areas and has conducted only limited banking business in California and Utah. Our entry into these markets will present us with different competitive conditions, customer preferences and banking products than we have experienced in the Pacific Northwest markets we know. As a result, it is possible that our operations in these states may be less successful than our operations in the Pacific Northwest. In addition, the financial condition and results of operations of the combined company will be subject to general economic conditions and the conditions in the real estate markets prevailing in California and Utah as well as the Pacific Northwest markets we know. If economic conditions in any one of these states worsens or if the real estate market declines, the combined company may suffer decreased net income or losses associated with higher default rates and decreased collateral values on its existing portfolio, and may not be able to originate loans at acceptable risk levels and upon acceptable terms, to maintain Banner's risk profile and asset quality.

Our growth or future losses may require us to raise additional capital in the future, but that capital may not be available when it is needed or the cost of that capital may be very high.

We are required by federal regulatory authorities to maintain adequate levels of capital to support our operations. We may at some point, however, need to raise additional capital to support continued growth or be required by our regulators to increase our capital resources. Any capital we obtain may result in the dilution of the interests of existing holders of our common stock. Our ability to raise additional capital, if needed, will depend on conditions in the capital

markets at that time, which are outside our control, and on our financial condition and performance. Accordingly, we cannot make assurances that we will be able to raise additional capital if needed on terms that are acceptable to us, or at all. If we cannot raise additional capital when needed, our ability to further expand our operations could be materially impaired and our financial condition and liquidity could be materially and adversely affected. In addition, if we are unable to raise additional capital when required by our bank regulators, we may be subject to adverse regulatory action.

If our investments in real estate are not properly valued or sufficiently reserved to cover actual losses, or if we are required to increase our valuation reserves, our earnings could be reduced.

We obtain updated valuations in the form of appraisals and broker price opinions when a loan has been foreclosed and the property taken in as REO and at certain other times during the assets holding period. Our net book value (NBV) in the loan at the time of foreclosure and thereafter is compared to the updated market value of the foreclosed property less estimated selling costs (fair value). A charge-off is recorded for any excess in the asset's NBV over its fair value. If our valuation process is incorrect, or if property values decline, the fair value of the investments in real estate may not be sufficient to recover our carrying value in such assets, resulting in the need for additional write-downs. Significant write-downs to our investments in real estate could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

In addition, bank regulators periodically review our REO and may require us to recognize further write-downs. Any increase in our write-downs, as required by the bank regulators, may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

Our securities portfolio may be negatively impacted by fluctuations in market value and interest rates.

Our securities portfolio may be impacted by fluctuations in market value, potentially reducing accumulated other comprehensive income and/or earnings. Fluctuations in market value may be caused by changes in market interest rates, lower market prices for securities and limited

investor demand. Our securities portfolio is evaluated for other-than-temporary impairment. If this evaluation shows impairment to the actual or projected cash flows associated with one or more securities, a potential loss to earnings may occur. Changes in interest rates can also have an adverse effect on our financial condition, as our available-for-sale securities are reported at their estimated fair value, and therefore are impacted by fluctuations in interest rates. We increase or decrease our shareholders' equity by the amount of change in the estimated fair value of the available-for-sale securities, net of taxes. There can be no assurance that the declines in market value will not result in other-than-temporary impairments of these assets, which would lead to accounting charges that could have a material adverse effect on our net income and capital levels.

An increase in interest rates, change in the programs offered by secondary market purchasers or our ability to qualify for their programs may reduce our mortgage banking revenues, which would negatively impact our non-interest income.

Our mortgage banking operations provide a significant portion of our non-interest income. We generate mortgage revenues primarily from gains on the sale of single-family mortgage loans pursuant to programs currently offered by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Ginnie Mae and non-GSE investors. These entities account for a substantial portion of the secondary market in residential mortgage loans. Any future changes in these programs, our eligibility to participate in such programs, the criteria for loans to be accepted or laws that significantly affect the activity of such entities could, in turn, materially adversely affect our results of operations. Mortgage banking is generally considered a volatile source of income because it depends largely on the level of loan volume which, in turn, depends largely on prevailing market interest rates. In a rising or higher interest rate environment, our originations of mortgage loans may decrease, resulting in fewer loans that are available to be sold to investors. This would result in a decrease in mortgage banking revenues and a corresponding decrease in non-interest income. In addition, our results of operations are affected by the amount of non-interest expense associated with mortgage banking activities, such as salaries and employee benefits, occupancy, equipment and data processing expense and other operating costs. During periods of reduced loan demand, our results of operations may be adversely affected to the extent that we are unable to reduce expenses commensurate with the decline in loan originations. In addition, although we sell loans into the secondary market without recourse, we are required to give customary representations and warranties about the loans to the buyers. If we breach those representations and warranties, the buyers may require us to repurchase the loans and we may incur a loss on the repurchase.

Our results of operations, liquidity and cash flows are subject to interest rate risk.

Our earnings and cash flows are largely dependent upon our net interest income. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond our control, including general economic conditions and policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies and, in particular, the Federal Reserve. Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, could influence not only the interest we receive on loans and investments and the amount of interest we pay on deposits and borrowings, but these changes could also affect (i) our ability to originate loans and obtain deposits, (ii) the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities and (iii) the average duration of our mortgage-backed securities portfolio and other interest-earning assets. If the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings increase at a faster rate than the interest rates received on loans and other investments, our net interest income, and therefore earnings, could be adversely affected. As a result of the exceptionally low interest rate environment, an increasing percentage of our deposits have been comprised of deposits bearing no or a relatively low rate of interest. We would incur a higher cost of funds to retain these deposits in a rising interest rate environment. Earnings could also be adversely affected if the interest rates received on loans and other investments fall more quickly than the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings. In addition, a substantial amount of our loans have adjustable interest rates. As a result, these loans may experience a higher rate of default in a rising interest rate environment. Further, a significant portion of our adjustable rate loans have interest rate floors below which the loan's contractual interest rate may not adjust. Approximately 66% of our loan portfolio was comprised of adjustable or

floating-rate loans at December 31, 2014, and approximately \$1.6 billion, or 64%, of those loans contained interest rate floors, below which the loans' contractual interest rate may not adjust. At December 31, 2014, the weighted average floor interest rate of these loans was 4.79%. At that date, approximately \$1.4 billion, or 85%, of these loans were at their floor interest rate. The inability of our loans to adjust downward can contribute to increased income in periods of declining interest rates, although this result is subject to the risks that borrowers may refinance these loans during periods of declining interest rates. Also, when loans are at their floors, there is a further risk that our interest income may not increase as rapidly as our cost of funds during periods of increasing interest rates which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Any substantial, unexpected, prolonged change in market interest rates could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity and results of operations. Further, a prolonged period of exceptionally low market interest rates, such as we are currently experiencing, limits our ability to lower our interest expense, while the average yield on our interest-earning assets may continue to decrease as our loans reprice or are originated at these low market rates. Accordingly, our net interest income may continue to decrease, which may have an adverse effect on our profitability. Also, our interest rate risk modeling techniques and assumptions likely may not fully predict or capture the impact of actual interest rate changes on our balance sheet or projected operating results.

We operate in a highly regulated environment and may be adversely affected by changes in federal and state laws and regulations that are expected to increase our costs of operations.

As state-chartered, federally insured commercial banks, Banner Bank and Islanders Bank (the Banks) are currently subject to extensive examination, supervision and comprehensive regulation by the FDIC and the Washington DFI and as a bank holding company Banner is subject to examination, supervision and regulation by the FRB. These regulatory authorities have extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities, including the ability to impose restrictions on an institution's operations, reclassify assets, determine the adequacy of an institution's allowance for loan losses and determine the level of deposit insurance premiums assessed.

Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) has significantly changed the bank regulatory structure and will affect the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new implementing rules and regulations and to prepare numerous studies and reports for Congress. The federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting the implementing rules and regulations, and consequently many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act may not be known for many months or years.

Certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act are expected to have a near term impact on us. For example, a provision of the Dodd-Frank Act eliminates the federal prohibitions on paying interest on demand deposits, thus allowing businesses to have interest bearing checking accounts. Depending on competitive responses, this significant change to existing law could have an adverse impact on our interest expense.

The Dodd-Frank Act created a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the CFPB) with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The CFPB has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions, including the authority to prohibit “unfair, deceptive or abusive” acts and practices. The CFPB has examination and enforcement authority over all banks and savings institutions with more than \$10 billion in assets. Financial institutions such as Banner Bank with \$10 billion or less in assets will continue to be examined for compliance with the consumer laws by their primary bank regulators but are subject to the rules of the CFPB.

In January of 2013, the CFPB issued several final regulations and changes to certain consumer protections under existing laws. These final rules, most of the provisions of which (including the qualified mortgage rule) became effective January 10, 2014, generally prohibit creditors from extending mortgage loans without regard for the consumer’s ability-to-repay and add restrictions and requirements to mortgage origination and servicing practices. In addition, these rules limit prepayment penalties and require the creditor to retain evidence of compliance with the ability-to-repay requirement for three years. Compliance with these rules will likely increase our overall regulatory compliance costs and may require changes to our underwriting practices with respect to mortgage loans. Moreover, these rules may adversely affect the volume of mortgage loans that we underwrite and may subject us to increased potential liabilities related to such residential loan origination activities.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires minimum leverage (Tier 1) and risk-based capital requirements for bank holding companies and savings and loan holding companies that are no less stringent than those applicable to banks, which will limit our ability to borrow at the holding company level and invest the proceeds from such borrowings as capital in the Banks, and will exclude certain instruments that previously have been eligible for inclusion by bank holding companies as Tier 1 capital, such as trust preferred securities.

It is difficult to predict at this time what specific impact the Dodd-Frank Act and the yet to be written implementing rules and regulations will have on community banks. However, it is expected that at a minimum they will increase our operating and compliance costs, which could adversely affect key operating efficiency ratios, and could increase our interest expense. See “Business - Regulation” contained in Part I, Item I of this report.

New or changing tax, accounting, and regulatory rules and interpretations could significantly impact strategic initiatives, results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition.

The banking industry is extensively regulated. Federal and state banking regulations are designed primarily to protect the deposit insurance funds and consumers, not to benefit a company’s shareholders. These regulations may sometimes impose significant limitations on operations. The significant federal and state banking regulations that affect us are described in this report under the heading “Item 1. Business-Regulation.” These regulations, along with the currently

existing tax, accounting, securities, insurance, and monetary laws, regulations, rules, standards, policies, and interpretations control the methods by which financial institutions conduct business, implement strategic initiatives and tax compliance, and govern financial reporting and disclosures. These laws, regulations, rules, standards, policies, and interpretations are constantly evolving and may change significantly over time. Any new regulations or legislation, change in existing regulations or oversight, whether a change in regulatory policy or a change in a regulator's interpretation of a law or regulation, could have a material impact on our operations, increase our costs of regulatory compliance and of doing business and or otherwise adversely affect us and our profitability. Further, changes in accounting standards can be both difficult to predict and involve judgment and discretion in their interpretation by us and our independent accounting firms. These changes could materially impact, potentially even retroactively, how we report our financial condition and results of our operations as could our interpretation of those changes.

Non-compliance with the USA PATRIOT Act, Bank Secrecy Act, or other laws and regulations could result in fines or sanctions and limit our ability to get regulatory approval of acquisitions.

The USA PATRIOT and Bank Secrecy Acts require financial institutions to develop programs to prevent financial institutions from being used for money laundering and terrorist activities. If such activities are detected, financial institutions are obligated to file suspicious activity reports with the U.S. Treasury's Office of Financial Crimes Enforcement Network. These rules require financial institutions to establish procedures for identifying and verifying the identity of customers seeking to open new financial accounts. Failure to comply with these regulations could result in fines or sanctions and limit our ability to get regulatory approval of acquisitions. Recently several banking institutions have received large fines for non-compliance with these laws and regulations. While we have developed policies and procedures designed to assist in compliance with these laws and regulations, no assurance can be given that these policies and procedures will be effective in preventing violations of these laws and regulations.

We may be subject to additional regulatory scrutiny if and when Banner or Banner Bank's total assets exceed \$10.0 billion.

Banner Bank's total assets were \$4.724 billion at December 31, 2014 and AmericanWest Bank had \$4.095 billion in total assets at that date. Following the closing of the merger with AmericanWest Bank, Banner Bank's assets will be approaching \$10 billion. Following the fourth consecutive quarter where Banner or Banner Bank exceeds \$10 billion, Banner or Banner Bank, as applicable, will become subject to a number of additional requirements (such as annual stress testing requirements implemented pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act and general oversight by the CFPB) that will impose additional compliance costs on our business. As a result, there may also be additional higher expectations from regulators. The CFPB has near exclusive supervision authority, including examination authority, over "very large" institutions and their affiliates to assess compliance with federal consumer financial laws, to obtain information about the institutions' activities and compliance systems and procedures, and to detect and assess risks to consumers and markets.

Under Dodd Frank, the minimum ratio of net worth to insured deposits of the Deposit Insurance Fund was increased from 1.15% to 1.35% and the FDIC is required, in setting deposit insurance assessments, to offset the effect of the increase on institutions with assets of less than \$10 billion, which results in institutions with assets greater than \$10 billion paying higher assessments. In addition, if Banner Bank exceeds \$10 billion in assets, its assessment base for federal deposit insurance would change from the amount of insured deposits to consolidated average assets less tangible capital to a scorecard method. The scorecard method uses a performance score and a loss severity score, which are combined and converted into an initial base assessment rate. The performance score is based on measures of the bank's ability to withstand asset-related stress and funding-related stress and weighted CAMELS ratings. The loss severity score is a measure of potential losses to the FDIC in the event of the bank's failure. Under a formula, the performance score and loss severity score are combined and converted to a total score that determines the bank's initial base assessment rate. The FDIC has the discretion to alter the total score based on factors not captured by the scorecard. The resulting initial base assessment rate is also subject to adjustments downward based on long term unsecured debt issued by the bank, to adjustment upward based on long term unsecured debt held by the bank that is issued by other FDIC-insured institutions, and to further adjustment upward if the bank's brokered deposits exceed 10% of its domestic deposits.

Further, Banner Bank may be impacted by the Durbin Amendment to the Dodd-Frank Act regarding limits on debit card interchange fees. The Durbin Amendment gave the Federal Reserve Board the authority to establish rules regarding interchange fees charged for electronic debit transactions by a payment card issuer that, together with its affiliates, has assets of \$10 billion or more and to enforce a new statutory requirement that such fees be reasonable and proportional to the actual cost of a transaction to the issuer. The Federal Reserve Board has adopted rules under this provision that limit the swipe fees that a debit card issuer can charge a merchant for a transaction to the sum of 21 cents and five basis points times the value of the transaction, plus up to one cent for fraud prevention costs.

The Dodd-Frank Act also requires publicly-traded bank holding companies with assets of \$10 billion or more to establish a risk committee responsible for enterprise-wide risk management practices, comprised of independent directors, including one risk management expert.

As a result of the above, if and when Banner Bank's total assets exceed \$10 billion, deposit insurance assessments are likely to increase, as well as expenses related to regulatory compliance, which may be significant. In addition, compliance with the Durbin Amendment would reduce our non-interest income significantly. We currently believe the impact of the Durbin Amendment on combined debit card revenues for Banner Bank and AmericanWest Bank could be a reduction of approximately \$8.0 million annually.

We may experience future goodwill impairment.

In accordance with GAAP, we record assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their fair value, and, as such, acquisitions typically result in recording goodwill. We perform a goodwill evaluation at least annually to test for goodwill impairment. As part of its testing, the Company first assesses qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If the Company determines the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount using these qualitative factors, the Company then compares the fair value of goodwill with its carrying amount, and then measures impairment loss by comparing the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. Adverse conditions in our business climate, including a significant decline in future operating cash flows, a significant change in our stock price or market capitalization, or a deviation from our expected growth rate and performance may significantly affect the fair value of our goodwill and may trigger additional impairment losses, which could be materially adverse to our operating results and financial position.

We cannot provide assurance that we will not be required to take an impairment charge in the future. Any impairment charge has an adverse effect on our results of shareholders' equity and financial results and could cause a decline in our stock price. It is expected that the completion of our pending acquisitions will increase goodwill.

Liquidity risk could impair our ability to fund operations and jeopardize our financial condition.

Liquidity is essential to our business. We rely on a number of different sources in order to meet our potential liquidity demands. Our primary sources of liquidity are increases in deposit accounts, cash flows from loan payments and our securities portfolio. Borrowings also provide us with a source of funds to meet liquidity demands. An inability to raise funds through deposits, borrowings, the sale of loans or investment securities and other sources could have a substantial negative effect on our liquidity. Our access to funding sources in amounts adequate to finance our activities or on terms which are acceptable to us could be impaired by factors that affect us specifically, or the financial services industry or economy in general. Factors that could detrimentally impact our access to liquidity sources include a decrease in the level of our business activity as a result of a downturn in the markets in which our loans are concentrated, negative operating results, or adverse regulatory

action against us. Our ability to borrow could also be impaired by factors that are not specific to us, such as a disruption in the financial markets or negative views and expectations about the prospects for the financial services industry or deterioration in credit markets.

Additionally, collateralized public funds are bank deposits of state and local municipalities. These deposits are required to be secured by certain investment grade securities to ensure repayment, which on the one hand tends to reduce our contingent liquidity risk by making these funds somewhat less credit sensitive, but on the other hand reduces standby liquidity by restricting the potential liquidity of the pledged collateral. Although these funds historically have been a relatively stable source of funds for us, availability depends on the individual municipality's fiscal policies and cash flow needs.

We are dependent on key personnel and the loss of one or more of those key personnel may materially and adversely affect our prospects.

Competition for qualified employees and personnel in the banking industry is intense and there are a limited number of qualified persons with knowledge of, and experience in, the community banking industry where the Banks conduct their business. The process of recruiting personnel with the combination of skills and attributes required to carry out our strategies is often lengthy. Our success depends to a significant degree upon our ability to attract and retain qualified management, loan origination, finance, administrative, marketing and technical personnel and upon the continued contributions of our management and personnel. In particular, our success has been and continues to be highly dependent upon the abilities of key executives, including our President, and certain other employees. In addition, our success has been and continues to be highly dependent upon the services of our directors, many of whom are at or nearing retirement age, and we may not be able to identify and attract suitable candidates to replace such directors. The loss of these key persons could negatively impact the affected banking operations. The pending AmericanWest acquisition could impact the retention of key personnel. See "The success of our acquisition of Siuslaw and pending acquisition of AmericanWest are dependent on a number of factors beyond our control."

Our business may be adversely affected by an increasing prevalence of fraud and other financial crimes.

Our loans to businesses and individuals and our deposit relationships and related transactions are subject to exposure to the risk of loss due to fraud and other financial crimes. Nationally, reported incidents of fraud and other financial crimes have increased. We have also experienced losses due to apparent fraud and other financial crimes. While we have policies and procedures designed to prevent such losses, there can be no assurance that such losses will not occur.

Managing reputational risk is important to attracting and maintaining customers, investors and employees.

Threats to our reputation can come from many sources, including adverse sentiment about financial institutions generally, unethical practices, employee misconduct, failure to deliver minimum standards of service or quality, compliance deficiencies, and questionable or fraudulent activities of our customers. We have policies and procedures in place to protect our reputation and promote ethical conduct, but these policies and procedures may not be fully effective. Negative publicity regarding our business, employees, or customers, with or without merit, may result in the loss of customers, investors and employees, costly litigation, a decline in revenues and increased governmental regulation.

We are subject to certain risks in connection with our use of technology.

Our security measures may not be sufficient to mitigate the risk of a cyber attack. Communications and information systems are essential to the conduct of our business, as we use such systems to manage our customer relationships, our

general ledger and virtually all other aspects of our business. Our operations rely on the secure processing, storage, and transmission of confidential and other information in our computer systems and networks. Although we take protective measures and endeavor to modify them as circumstances warrant, the security of our computer systems, software, and networks may be vulnerable to breaches, unauthorized access, misuse, computer viruses, or other malicious code and cyber attacks that could have a security impact. If one or more of these events occur, this could jeopardize our or our customers' confidential and other information processed and stored in, and transmitted through, our computer systems and networks, or otherwise cause interruptions or malfunctions in our operations or the operations of our customers or counterparties. We may be required to expend significant additional resources to modify our protective measures or to investigate and remediate vulnerabilities or other exposures, and we may be subject to litigation and financial losses that are either not insured against or not fully covered through any insurance maintained by us. We could also suffer significant reputational damage.

Security breaches in our Internet banking activities could further expose us to possible liability and damage our reputation. Any compromise of our security also could deter customers from using our Internet banking services that involve the transmission of confidential information. We rely on standard Internet security systems to provide the security and authentication necessary to effect secure transmission of data. These precautions may not protect our systems from compromises or breaches of our security measures, which could result in significant legal liability and significant damage to our reputation and our business.

Our security measures may not protect us from systems failures or interruptions. While we have established policies and procedures to prevent or limit the impact of systems failures and interruptions, there can be no assurance that such events will not occur or that they will be adequately addressed if they do. In addition, we outsource certain aspects of our data processing and other operational functions to certain third-party providers. If our third-party providers encounter difficulties, or if we have difficulty in communicating with them, our ability to adequately process and account for transactions could be affected, and our business operations could be adversely impacted. Threats to information security also exist in the processing of customer information through various other vendors and their personnel.

The occurrence of any failures or interruptions may require us to identify alternative sources of such services, and we cannot assure you that we could negotiate terms that are as favorable to us, or could obtain services with similar functionality as found in our existing systems without the need to expend substantial resources, if at all. Further, the occurrence of any systems failure or interruption could damage our reputation and result in a loss of customers and business, could subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny, or could expose us to legal liability. Any of these occurrences could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Sales of substantial amounts of Banner's common stock in the open market by former Siuslaw and SKBHC shareholders could depress Banner's stock price.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public markets and the availability of those shares for sale could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. Shares of Banner common stock that were issued to shareholders of Siuslaw in the Siuslaw merger will be freely tradeable without restrictions or further registration under the Securities Act of 1933. Shares of Banner common stock that are issued to equity holders of SKBHC in the merger of Banner and AmericanWest who do not become affiliates of Banner will be freely tradeable without restrictions or further registration six months after completion of that merger. In addition, certain of such equity holders of SKBHC will benefit from registration rights that will permit them to cause Banner to register their shares of Banner common stock for resale. Based on the shares of Siuslaw common stock and SKBHC common units outstanding as of December 31, 2014, the maximum number of shares of common stock Banner will issue upon completion of the two mergers is approximately 14,549,995 shares. If the mergers are completed and if former shareholders of Siuslaw and SKBHC sell substantial amounts of Banner common stock in the public market following completion of the merger, the market price of Banner common stock may decrease. These sales might also make it more difficult for Banner to sell equity or equity-related securities at a time and price that it otherwise would deem appropriate.

We rely on dividends from the Bank for substantially all of our revenue at the holding company level.

We are an entity separate and distinct from our principal subsidiary, Banner Bank, and derive substantially all of our revenue at the holding company level in the form of dividends from that subsidiary. Accordingly, we are, and will be, dependent upon dividends from Banner Bank to pay the principal of and interest on our indebtedness, to satisfy our other cash needs and to pay dividends on our common stock. Banner Bank's ability to pay dividends is subject to its ability to earn net income and to meet certain regulatory requirements. In the event Banner Bank is unable to pay dividends to us, we may not be able to pay dividends on our common stock. Also, our right to participate in a distribution of assets upon a subsidiary's liquidation or reorganization is subject to the prior claims of the subsidiary's creditors.

Our articles of incorporation contains a provision which could limit the voting rights of a holder of our common stock.

Our charter provides that any person or group who acquires beneficial ownership of our common stock in excess of 10.0% of the outstanding shares may not vote the excess shares. Accordingly, if you acquire beneficial ownership of more than 10.0% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, your voting rights with respect to our common stock will not be commensurate with your economic interest in our company.

Anti-takeover provisions could negatively affect our shareholders.

Provisions in our articles of incorporation and bylaws, the corporate laws of the state of Washington and federal laws and regulations could delay or prevent a third party from acquiring us, despite the possible benefit to our stockholders, or otherwise negatively affect the market value of our stock. These provisions include: a prohibition on voting shares of our common stock beneficially owned in excess of 10.0% of total shares outstanding; advance notice requirements

for nominations for election to our board of directors and for proposing matters that shareholders may act on at shareholder meetings; and staggered three-year terms for directors. Our articles of incorporation also authorizes our board of directors to issue preferred or other stock, and preferred or other stock could be issued as a defensive measure in response to a takeover proposal. In addition, because we are a bank holding company, the ability of a third party to acquire us is limited by applicable banking laws and regulations. The Bank Holding Company Act requires any bank holding company to obtain the approval of the Federal Reserve Board before acquiring 5% or more of any class of our voting securities. Any entity that is a holder of 25% or more of any class of our voting securities, or a holder of a lesser percentage if such holder otherwise exercises a “controlling influence” over us, is subject to regulation as a bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act. Under the Change in Bank Control Act of 1978, as amended, any person (or persons acting in concert), other than a bank holding company, is required to notify the Federal Reserve Board before acquiring 10% or more of any class of our voting securities.

If our investment in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle becomes impaired, our earnings and shareholders' equity could decrease.

At December 31, 2014, the Company had recorded \$27.0 million in FHLB stock, compared to \$35.4 million at December 31, 2013. The Banks' investments in FHLB stock are generally viewed as a long-term investment and are carried at par value (\$100 per share), which reasonably approximates its fair value. It does not have a readily determinable fair value. Ownership of FHLB stock is restricted to the FHLB and member institutions and can only be purchased and redeemed at par. As members of the FHLB system, the Banks are required to maintain a minimum level of investment in FHLB stock. This requirement is based, in part, upon the outstanding principal balance of advances from the FHLB and is calculated in accordance with the Capital Plan of the FHLB. We monitor on a recurring basis the financial condition of the FHLB as it relates to, among other things, the recoverability of our investment.

On September 25, 2014, the FHLB Seattle and FHLB Des Moines announced a proposed merger. Under this proposal, each of our Banks would become a member of FHLB Des Moines and all shares of our FHLB Seattle stock would convert to equal shares of FHLB Des Moines stock. If the merger is terminated by either the FHLB Des Moines or the FHLB Seattle, the terminating FHLB must pay \$57 million in termination

fees. If the FHLB Seattle were to terminate the agreement, this could result in significant impairment to our investment in the FHLB Seattle, potentially decreasing our earnings and shareholders' equity.

Item 1B – Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2 – Properties

Banner Corporation maintains its administrative offices and main branch office, which is owned by us, in Walla Walla, Washington. In total, as of December 31, 2014, we have 93 branch offices located in Washington, Oregon and Idaho. Ninety branches are Banner Bank branches and three of those 93 are Islanders Bank branches. Sixty-three branches are located in Washington, twenty-one in Oregon and nine in Idaho. Of those offices, approximately half are owned and the other half are leased facilities. We also have ten leased locations for loan production offices spread throughout the same three-state area. The lease terms for our branch and loan production offices are not individually material. Lease expirations range from one to 25 years. Administrative support offices are primarily in Washington, where we have eight facilities, of which we own four and lease four. Additionally, we have one leased administrative support office in Idaho and own one located in Oregon. In the opinion of management, all properties are adequately covered by insurance, are in a good state of repair and are appropriately designed for their present and future use.

Item 3 – Legal Proceedings

In the normal course of business, we have various legal proceedings and other contingent matters outstanding. These proceedings and the associated legal claims are often contested and the outcome of individual matters is not always predictable. These claims and counter-claims typically arise during the course of collection efforts on problem loans or with respect to action to enforce liens on properties in which we hold a security interest. We are not a party to any pending legal proceedings that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or operations.

Item 4 – Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5 – Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Price Range of Common Stock and Dividend Information

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol “BANR.” Shareholders of record as of December 31, 2014 totaled 1,441 based upon securities position listings furnished to us by our transfer agent. This total does not reflect the number of persons or entities who hold stock in nominee or “street” name through various brokerage firms. The following tables show the reported high and low sale prices of our common stock for the periods presented as well as the cash dividends declared per share of common stock for each of those periods.

Year Ended December 31, 2014	High	Low	Cash Dividend Declared
First quarter	\$44.88	\$35.64	\$0.18
Second quarter	42.02	37.59	0.18
Third quarter	40.69	37.77	0.18
Fourth quarter	43.70	37.77	0.18
Year Ended December 31, 2013	High	Low	Cash Dividend Declared
First quarter	\$32.03	\$29.14	\$0.12
Second quarter	34.30	29.33	0.12
Third quarter	38.44	33.78	0.15
Fourth quarter	45.15	35.62	0.15

The timing and amount of cash dividends paid on our common stock depends on our earnings, capital requirements, financial condition and other relevant factors and is subject to the discretion of our board of directors. As a result of improved earnings, levels of capital, asset quality and financial condition, beginning in the first quarter of 2013 we increased the dividend, further increased it in the third quarter of 2013 and again increased it in the first quarter of 2014. There can be no assurance that we will pay dividends on our common stock in the future.

Our ability to pay dividends on our common stock depends primarily on dividends we receive from Banner Bank and Islanders Bank. Under federal regulations, the dollar amount of dividends the Banks may pay depends upon their capital position and recent net income. Generally, if a bank satisfies its regulatory capital requirements, it may make dividend payments up to the limits prescribed under state law and FDIC regulations. In addition, an institution that has converted to a stock form of ownership may not declare or pay a dividend on, or repurchase any of, its common stock if the effect thereof would cause the regulatory capital of the institution to be reduced below the amount required for the liquidation account which was established in connection with the conversion. Banner Bank, our primary subsidiary, converted to a stock form of ownership and is therefore subject to the limitation described in the preceding sentence. In addition, under Washington law, no bank may declare or pay any dividend in an amount greater than its retained earnings without the prior approval of the Washington DFI. The Washington DFI also has the power to require any bank to suspend the payment of any and all dividends.

Further, under Washington law, Banner Corporation is prohibited from paying a dividend if, after making such dividend payment, it would be unable to pay its debts as they become due in the usual course of business, or if its total liabilities, plus the amount that would be needed, in the event Banner Corporation were to be dissolved at the time of the dividend payment, to satisfy preferential rights on dissolution of holders of preferred stock ranking senior in right of payment to the capital stock on which the applicable distribution is to be made, exceed our total assets.

In addition to the foregoing regulatory considerations, there are numerous governmental requirements and regulations that affect our business activities. A change in applicable statutes, regulations or regulatory policy may have a material effect on our business and on our ability to pay dividends on our common stock.

Payments of the distributions on our trust preferred securities (TPS) from the special purpose subsidiary trusts we sponsored are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by us. The junior subordinated debentures that we have issued to our subsidiary trusts are ranked senior to our shares of common stock. We must make required payments on the junior subordinated debentures before any dividends can be paid on our TPS and our common stock and, in the event of our bankruptcy, dissolution or liquidation, the interest and principal obligations under the junior subordinated debentures must be satisfied before any distributions can be made on our common stock. We may defer the payment of interest on each of the junior subordinated debentures for a period not to exceed 20 consecutive quarters, provided that the deferral period does not extend beyond the stated maturity. During such deferral period, distributions on the corresponding TPSs will also be deferred and we may not pay cash dividends to the holders of shares of our common stock. At December 31, 2014, we were current on all interest payments.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table provides information about repurchases of common stock by the Company during the quarter ended December 31, 2014:

Period	Total Number of Common Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Common Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan	Maximum Number of Remaining Shares that May be Purchased at Period End under the Plan
October 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014	—	\$—	n/a	978,826
November 1, 2014 - November 30, 2014	—	—	n/a	978,826
December 1, 2014 - December 31, 2014	—	—	n/a	978,826
Total for quarter	—	—	n/a	978,826

No shares were surrendered by employees to satisfy tax withholding obligations upon the vesting of restricted stock grants in the fourth quarter.

On March 26, 2014, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to 978,826 shares of the Company's common stock, or 5% of the Company's outstanding shares. Under the plan, shares may be repurchased by the Company in open market purchases. The extent to which the Company repurchases its shares and the timing of such repurchases will depend upon market conditions and other corporate considerations.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The equity compensation plan information presented under Part III, Item 12 of this report is incorporated herein by reference.

Performance Graph. The following graph compares the cumulative total shareholder return on Banner Corporation common stock with the cumulative total return on the NASDAQ (U.S. Stock) Index, a peer group of the SNL \$1 Billion to \$5 Billion Asset Bank Index and a peer group of the SNL NASDAQ Bank Index. Total return assumes the reinvestment of all dividends.

Index	Period Ended					
	12/31/09	12/31/10	12/31/11	12/31/12	12/31/13	12/31/14
Banner Corporation	100.00	87.92	93.77	168.33	249.24	243.50
NASDAQ Composite	100.00	118.15	117.22	138.02	193.47	222.16
SNL Bank \$1B-\$5B	100.00	113.35	103.38	127.47	185.36	193.81
SNL Bank NASDAQ	100.00	117.98	104.68	124.77	179.33	185.73

*Assumes \$100 invested in Banner Corporation common stock and each index at the close of business on December 31, 2009 and that all dividends were reinvested. Information for the graph was provided by SNL Financial L.C. © 2015.

Item 6 – Selected Financial Data

The following condensed consolidated statements of financial condition and operations and selected performance ratios as of December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011, and 2010 and for the years then ended have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements.

The information below is qualified in its entirety by the detailed information included elsewhere herein and should be read along with “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and “Item 8, Financial Statement and Supplementary Data.”

FINANCIAL CONDITION DATA:

(In thousands)	December 31				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total assets	\$4,723,899	\$4,388,166	\$4,265,564	\$4,257,312	\$4,406,082
Cash and securities ⁽¹⁾	582,537	772,614	811,902	754,396	729,345
Loans receivable, net	3,757,913	3,343,455	3,158,223	3,213,426	3,305,716
Deposits	3,898,950	3,617,926	3,557,804	3,475,654	3,591,198
Borrowings	187,436	184,234	160,000	212,649	267,761
Common stockholders’ equity	584,106	538,972	506,919	411,748	392,472
Preferred stockholders’ equity	—	—	—	120,702	119,000
Total stockholders’ equity	584,106	538,972	506,919	532,450	511,472
Shares outstanding	19,572	19,544	19,455	17,553	16,165
Shares outstanding excluding unearned, restricted shares held in ESOP	19,572	19,509	19,421	17,519	16,130

OPERATING DATA:

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Interest income	\$190,661	\$179,712	\$187,162	\$197,563	\$218,082
Interest expense	10,789	12,996	19,514	32,992	60,312
Net interest income before provision for loan losses	179,872	166,716	167,648	164,571	157,770
Provision for loan losses	—	—	13,000	35,000	70,000
Net interest income	179,872	166,716	154,648	129,571	87,770
Deposit fees and other service charges	30,553	26,581	25,266	22,962	22,009
Mortgage banking operations revenue	10,249	11,170	13,812	6,146	6,370
Other-than-temporary impairment recoveries (losses)	—	409	(409)	3,000	(4,231)
Net change in valuation of financial instruments carried at fair value	1,374	(2,278)	(16,515)	(624)	1,747
All other operating income	12,078	7,460	4,748	2,506	3,253
Total other operating income	54,254	43,342	26,902	33,990	29,148
REO operations expense (recoveries), net	(446)	(689)	3,354	22,262	26,025
All other operating expenses	154,187	141,664	138,099	135,842	134,776
Total other operating expense	153,741	140,975	141,453	158,104	160,801
Income (loss) before provision for income tax expense (benefit)	80,385	69,083	40,097	5,457	(43,883)
Provision for income tax expense (benefit)	26,220	22,528	(24,785)	—	18,013
Net income (loss)	\$54,165	\$46,555	\$64,882	\$5,457	\$(61,896)

(footnotes follow)

PER COMMON SHARE DATA:

	At or For the Years Ended December 31				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Net income (loss):					
Basic	\$2.80	\$2.40	\$3.17	\$(0.15)	\$(7.21)
Diluted	2.79	2.40	3.16	(0.15)	(7.21)
Common stockholders' equity per share ⁽²⁾⁽⁹⁾	29.82	27.63	26.10	23.50	24.33
Common stockholders' tangible equity per share ⁽²⁾⁽⁹⁾	29.68	27.50	25.88	23.14	23.80
Cash dividends	0.72	0.54	0.04	0.10	0.28
Dividend payout ratio (basic)	25.53	% 22.50	% 1.26	% (66.67)	% (3.88)
Dividend payout ratio (diluted)	25.53	% 22.50	% 1.27	% (66.67)	% (3.88)

OTHER DATA:

	As of December 31				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Full time equivalent employees	1,150	1,084	1,074	1,078	1,060
Number of branches	93	88	88	89	89

(footnotes follow)

KEY FINANCIAL RATIOS:

	At or For the Years Ended December 31				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Performance Ratios:					
Return on average assets ⁽³⁾	1.17	% 1.09	% 1.54	% 0.13	% (1.36)%
Return on average common equity ⁽⁴⁾	9.60	8.85	14.03	1.37	(17.19)
Average common equity to average assets	12.20	12.36	10.96	9.31	7.90
Interest rate spread ⁽⁵⁾	4.04	4.08	4.13	3.99	3.61
Net interest margin ⁽⁶⁾	4.07	4.11	4.17	4.05	3.67
Non-interest income to average assets	1.17	1.02	0.64	0.79	0.64
Non-interest expense to average assets	3.32	3.31	3.35	3.69	3.53
Efficiency ratio ⁽⁷⁾	65.67	67.11	72.71	79.62	86.03
Average interest-earning assets to funding liabilities	108.78	108.28	109.11	106.90	104.32
Selected Financial Ratios:					
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of total loans at end of period	1.98	2.17	2.37	2.52	2.86
Net charge-offs (recoveries) as a percent of average outstanding loans during the period	(0.05)	0.08	0.57	1.50	1.88
Non-performing assets as a percent of total assets	0.43	0.66	1.18	2.79	5.77
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of non-performing loans ⁽⁸⁾	453.56	299.81	223.20	110.09	64.30
Common stockholders' tangible equity to tangible assets ⁽⁹⁾	12.30	12.23	11.80	9.54	8.73
Consolidated Capital Ratios:					
Total capital to risk-weighted assets	16.80	16.99	16.96	18.07	16.92
Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets	15.54	15.73	15.70	16.80	15.65
Tier 1 leverage capital to average assets	13.41	13.64	12.74	13.44	12.24

(1) Includes securities available-for-sale and held-to-maturity.

(2) Calculated using shares outstanding excluding unearned restricted shares held in ESOP and adjusted for 1-for-7 reverse stock split.

(3) Net income divided by average assets.

(4) Net income divided by average common equity.

(5) Difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.

(6) Net interest income before provision for loan losses as a percent of average interest-earning assets.

(7) Other operating expenses divided by the total of net interest income before loan losses and other operating income (non-interest income).

(8) Non-performing loans consist of nonaccrual and 90 days past due loans.

(9) Common stockholders' tangible equity per share and the ratio of tangible common stockholders' equity to tangible assets are non-GAAP financial measures. We calculate tangible common equity by excluding the balance of goodwill, other intangible assets and preferred equity from stockholders' equity. We calculate tangible assets by excluding the balance of goodwill and other intangible assets from total assets. We believe that this is consistent with the treatment by our bank regulatory agencies, which exclude goodwill and other intangible assets from the calculation of risk-based capital ratios. In addition, excluding preferred equity, the level of which may vary from company to company, allows investors to more easily compare our capital adequacy to other companies in the industry that also use this measure. Management believes that these non-GAAP financial measures provide information to investors that is useful in understanding the basis of our capital position. However, these

non-GAAP financial measures are supplemental and are not a substitute for any analysis based on GAAP. Because not all companies use the same calculation of tangible common equity and tangible assets, this presentation may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures as calculated by other companies. For a reconciliation of these non-GAAP measures, see Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition—Executive Overview."

Item 7 – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Management’s discussion and analysis of results of operations is intended to assist in understanding our financial condition and results of operations. The information contained in this section should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-K.

Executive Overview

We are a bank holding company incorporated in the State of Washington and own two subsidiary banks, Banner Bank and Islanders Bank. Banner Bank is a Washington-chartered commercial bank that conducts business from its main office in Walla Walla, Washington and, as of December 31, 2014, its 90 branch offices and ten loan production offices located in Washington, Oregon and Idaho. Islanders Bank is also a Washington-chartered commercial bank and conducts its business from three locations in San Juan County, Washington. As of December 31, 2014, we had total consolidated assets of \$4.7 billion, net loans of \$3.8 billion, total deposits of \$3.9 billion and total stockholders’ equity of \$584 million.

Banner Bank is a regional bank which offers a wide variety of commercial banking services and financial products to individuals, businesses and public sector entities in its primary market areas. Islanders Bank is a community bank which offers similar banking services to individuals, businesses and public entities located in the San Juan Islands. The Banks’ primary business is that of traditional banking institutions, accepting deposits and originating loans in locations surrounding their offices in portions of Washington, Oregon and Idaho. Banner Bank is also an active participant in the secondary market, engaging in mortgage banking operations largely through the origination and sale of one- to four-family residential loans. Lending activities include commercial business and commercial real estate loans, agriculture business loans, construction and land development loans, one- to four-family residential loans and consumer loans.

Banner Corporation's successful execution of its strategic plan and operating initiatives continued in 2014, as evidenced by our solid operating results and profitability. Highlights for the year included further improvement in our asset quality, significantly increased core deposits (transaction and savings accounts), solid revenues from core operations, strong loan growth and additional client acquisition. For the year ended December 31, 2014, Banner had a significant increase in net interest income, as well as a meaningful increase in deposit fees and service charges and solid revenue from mortgage banking. The year ended December 31, 2014 was also highlighted by the acquisition of six branches in southwestern Oregon (the Branch Acquisition). In connection with the Branch Acquisition, as of June 20, 2014, the Company acquired approximately \$212 million in deposits, \$88 million in loans and 10,500 new customer relationships. In addition, the Company recognized a \$9.1 million bargain purchase gain related to the Branch Acquisition, which net of related expenses added \$0.25 per diluted share to the 2014 earnings. Additionally, the quarterly cash dividend was increased to \$0.18 per share in 2014 from \$0.15 per share in the last two quarters of 2013, reflecting the strong performance and our expectation of continued success and sustained profitability.

In spite of persistently weak economic conditions and exceptionally low interest rates which have created an unusually challenging banking environment for an extended period, the Company experienced marked improvement and consistent profitability in 2012 and 2013 which continued in 2014. For the year ended December 31, 2014, our net income to common shareholders was \$54.2 million or \$2.79 per diluted share, compared to net income to common shareholders of \$46.6 million, or \$2.40 per diluted share for the year ended December 31, 2013 and \$59.1 million, or \$3.16 per diluted share for the year ended December 31, 2012. Although there continue to be indications that economic conditions are improving from the recessionary downturn, the pace of recovery has been modest and uneven and ongoing uncertainty in the economy and low interest rates will likely continue to be challenging going forward. However, over the past four years we have significantly added to our client relationships and account base,

as well as substantially improved our risk profile by aggressively managing and reducing our problem assets, which has resulted in lower credit costs and stronger and sustainable revenues, and which we believe has positioned the Company well to meet this challenging environment with continued success.

Our return to profitability was punctuated in the second quarter of 2012 by management's decision to reverse the valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. This decision resulted in a substantial tax benefit for the full year 2012, and resulted in a significant reduction in our tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decision to reverse the valuation allowance reflected our confidence in the sustainability of our future profitability. Further, as a result of our return to profitability, including the reversal of our deferred tax asset, our improved asset quality and operating trends, strong capital position and our expectation for sustainable profitability for the foreseeable future, we also significantly reduced the credit risk component associated with the estimated fair value of the junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company. Changes in these two significant accounting estimates, while substantial, represent non-cash valuation adjustments that had no effect on our liquidity or our ability to fund our operations.

Our operating results depend primarily on our net interest income, which is the difference between interest income on interest-earning assets, consisting of loans and investment securities, and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, composed primarily of customer deposits and borrowings. Net interest income is primarily a function of our interest rate spread, which is the difference between the yield earned on interest-earning assets and the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities, as well as a function of the average balances of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Our net interest income before provision for loan losses increased 8% to \$179.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$166.7 million for the year earlier. This increase in net interest income reflects the significant growth in earning assets and occurred despite the decrease in interest rate spread as a result of declining yields on earning assets, which was only partially offset by continuing reductions in deposit and other funding costs. During the year ended December 31, 2014, our interest spread decreased to 4.04% from 4.08% for the prior year while our net interest margin decreased to 4.07% compared to 4.11% for the prior year.

As a result of adequate reserves already in place as well as declining net charge-offs, we did not record a provision for loan losses in the years ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013. By contrast, we recorded a \$13.0 million provision for the year ended December 31, 2012 and substantially larger provisions in the three years immediately prior to 2012. The decrease in loan loss provisioning compared to the earlier years reflects our significant progress in reducing the levels of delinquencies, non-performing loans and net charge-offs. As a result of our continued focused efforts, non-performing loans decreased by 32% to \$16.7 million at December 31, 2014, compared to \$24.8 million a year earlier. Our allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2014 was \$75.9 million, representing 1.98% of total loans outstanding and 454% of non-performing loans. (See Note 6, Loans Receivable and the Allowance for Loan Losses, as well as “Asset Quality” below in this Form 10-K.)

Our net income also is affected by the level of our other operating income, including deposit fees and service charges, loan origination and servicing fees, and gains and losses on the sale of loans and securities, as well as our non-interest operating expenses and income tax provisions. In addition, our net income is affected by the net change in the value of certain financial instruments carried at fair value, and in certain periods by other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charges or recoveries. Further, in the year ended December 31, 2014, our net income was significantly augmented by an acquisition bargain purchase gain related to the Branch Acquisition and in the year ended December 31, 2013 by a termination fee related to the cancellation of the proposed acquisition of Home Federal Bancorp, Inc. (See Note 22 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.) For the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded a net gain of \$1.4 million for fair value adjustments, \$42,000 in net gains on the sale of securities, and the \$9.1 million acquisition bargain purchase gain. In comparison, for the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded a net charge of \$2.3 million for fair value adjustments, which was offset by \$1.0 million in net gains on the sale of securities, \$409,000 in OTTI recoveries and a \$3.0 million proposed acquisition termination fee.

Our total other operating income, which includes net gains on sale of securities, changes in the value of financial instruments carried at fair value and, for 2014, includes the acquisition bargain purchase gain, was \$54.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$43.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, including the proposed acquisition termination fee. Other operating income excluding the net gain on sale of securities, OTTI adjustments, changes in the value of financial instruments, the acquisition bargain purchase gain and proposed acquisition termination fee, which we believe is more indicative of our core operations, increased 6% to \$43.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$41.2 million for the same period a year earlier, as increased deposit fees and service charges more than offset decreased mortgage banking revenues.

Our total revenues (net interest income before the provision for loan losses plus total other operating income) for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased \$24.1 million, or 11%, to \$234.1 million, compared to \$210.1 million for the same period a year earlier, largely as a result of the net fair value gain in 2014 and the acquisition bargain purchase gain somewhat offset by a drop in net gains on the sale of securities. Our total revenues from core operations, which excludes net gains on sale of securities, OTTI and fair value adjustments, the bargain purchase gain and termination fee, increased by \$15.6 million, or 8%, to \$223.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$208.0 million for the same period a year earlier.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, other operating expenses increased 9% to \$153.7 million, compared to \$141.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, largely as a result of increased costs related to acquisition activities, including the operation of six newly acquired branches as well as transaction, integration and conversion-related expense for those branches and for the Siuslaw and AmericanWest transactions and increased compensation expense.

Other operating income, revenues and other earnings information excluding fair value adjustments, OTTI losses or recoveries, net gains or losses on sale of securities and, in certain periods, acquisition-related bargain purchase gains and costs, are non-GAAP financial measures. Management has presented these non-GAAP financial measures in this discussion and analysis because it believes that they provide useful and comparative information to assess trends in

our core operations and in understanding our capital position. However, these non-GAAP financial measures are supplemental and are not a substitute for any analysis based on GAAP. Where applicable, we have also presented comparable earnings information using GAAP financial measures. For a reconciliation of these non-GAAP financial measures, see the tables below. Because not all companies use the same calculations, our presentation may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures as calculated by other companies. See “Comparison of Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013” for more detailed information about our financial performance.

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-K

The following tables set forth reconciliations of non-GAAP financial measures discussed in this report (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

	For the Years Ended December 31		
	2014	2013	2012
Total other operating income (GAAP)	\$54,254	\$43,342	\$26,902
Exclude net gain on sale of securities	(42) (1,022) (51
Exclude other-than-temporary impairment (recovery) loss	—	(409) 409
Exclude change in valuation of financial instruments carried at fair value	(1,374) 2,278	16,515
Exclude one-time termination fee	—	(2,954) —
Exclude acquisition bargain purchase gain	(9,079) —	—
Total other operating income from core operations (non-GAAP)	\$43,759	\$41,235	\$43,775
Net interest income before provision for loan losses	\$179,872	\$166,716	\$167,648
Total other operating income	54,254	43,342	26,902
Total GAAP revenue	234,126	210,058	194,550
Exclude net gain on sale of securities	(42) (1,022) (51
Exclude other-than-temporary impairment (recovery) loss	—	(409) 409
Exclude change in valuation of financial instruments carried at fair value	(1,374) 2,278	16,515
Exclude one-time termination fee	—	(2,954) —
Exclude acquisition bargain purchase gain	(9,079) —	—
Revenue from core operations (non-GAAP)	\$223,631	\$207,951	\$211,423
Income before provision for taxes (GAAP)	\$80,385	\$69,083	\$40,097
Exclude net gain on sale of securities	(42) (1,022) (51
Exclude other-than-temporary impairment (recovery) loss	—	(409) 409
Exclude change in valuation of financial instruments carried at fair value	(1,374) 2,278	16,515
Exclude one-time termination fee	—	(2,954) —
Exclude acquisition bargain purchase gain	(9,079) —	—
Exclude acquisition related costs	4,325	550	—
Income from core operations before provision for taxes (non-GAAP)	\$74,215	\$67,526	\$56,970
Net income (GAAP)	\$54,165	\$46,555	\$64,882
Exclude net gain on sale of securities	(42) (1,022) (51
Exclude other-than-temporary impairment (recovery) loss	—	(409) 409
Exclude change in valuation of financial instruments carried at fair value	(1,374) 2,278	16,515
Exclude one-time termination fee	—	(2,954) —
Exclude acquisition bargain purchase gain	(9,079) —	—
Exclude acquisition related costs	4,325	550	—
Exclude related tax expense (benefit)	2,833	561	(6,074
Total earnings from core operations (non-GAAP)	\$50,828	\$45,559	\$75,681
Acquisition bargain purchase gain	\$9,079	\$—	\$—
Proposed acquisition termination fee	—	2,954	—
Acquisition related costs	(4,325) (550) —
Related tax expense	(2,323) (865) —
Total net effect of acquisitions (including proposed acquisitions) on earnings	\$2,431	\$1,539	\$—
Diluted weighted shares outstanding	19,402,656	19,397,360	18,722,859
Total net effect of acquisition on diluted earnings per share	\$0.13	\$0.08	\$—

Common stockholders' tangible equity per share and the ratio of tangible common stockholders' equity to tangible assets referred to in footnote(9) to Item 6 - Selected Financial Data above are also non-GAAP financial measures. We calculate tangible common equity by excluding other intangible assets from stockholders' equity. We calculate tangible assets by excluding the balance of other intangible assets from total assets. We believe that this is consistent with the treatment by our bank regulatory agencies, which exclude goodwill and other intangible assets from the calculation of risk-based capital ratios. Management believes that this non-GAAP financial measure provides information to investors that is useful in understanding the basis of our capital position (dollars in thousands).

	December 31		
	2014	2013	2012
Stockholders' equity (GAAP)	\$583,624	\$538,972	\$506,919
Exclude other intangible assets, net	2,831	2,449	4,230
Tangible common stockholders' equity (non-GAAP)	\$580,793	\$536,523	\$502,689
Total assets (GAAP)	\$4,723,899	\$4,388,898	\$4,265,564
Exclude other intangible assets, net	2,831	2,449	4,230
Total tangible assets (non-GAAP)	\$4,721,068	\$4,386,449	\$4,261,334
Tangible common stockholders' equity to tangible assets (non-GAAP)	12.30	% 12.23	% 11.80
Common stockholders' tangible equity per share (non-GAAP)	\$29.68	\$27.50	\$25.88

Loans are our most significant and generally highest yielding earning assets. We attempt to maintain a portfolio of loans in a range of 90% to 95% of total deposits to enhance our revenues, while adhering to sound underwriting practices and appropriate diversification guidelines in order to maintain a moderate risk profile. At December 31, 2014, our net loan portfolio totaled \$3.758 billion compared to \$3.344 billion at December 31, 2013.

We offer a wide range of loan products to meet the demands of our customers. Our lending activities are primarily directed toward the origination of real estate and commercial loans. Commercial real estate loans for both owner-occupied and investment properties, including construction and development loans for these types of properties, totaled \$1.432 billion, or approximately 37% of our loan portfolio at December 31, 2014. In addition, multifamily residential real estate loans, including construction and development loans for these types of properties, totaled \$228 million and comprise approximately 6% of our loan portfolio. While our level of activity and investment in commercial and multifamily real estate loans has been relatively stable for many years, we have experienced an increase in new originations in recent periods resulting in growth in these loan balances. Commercial real estate loans increased by \$215 million during the year ended December 31, 2014 and multifamily loans increased by \$38 million.

We also originate residential construction, land and land development loans and, although our portfolio balances are well below the peak levels before the financial crisis, beginning in 2011 and continuing since then we have experienced increased demand for one- to four-family construction loans. Outstanding residential construction, land and land development balances increased \$46 million, or 17%, to \$322 million at December 31, 2014 compared to \$276 million at December 31, 2013. Still, residential construction, land and land development loans represented only approximately 8% of our total loan portfolio at December 31, 2014.

Our commercial business lending is directed toward meeting the credit and related deposit needs of various small- to medium-sized business and agribusiness borrowers operating in our primary market areas. Reflecting the slowly recovering economy, demand for these types of commercial business loans has been modest although our production levels have increased in recent periods. Commercial and agricultural business loans increased \$52 million, or 6%, to \$962 million at December 31, 2014, compared to \$910 million at December 31, 2013. Commercial and agricultural business loans represented approximately 25% of our portfolio at December 31, 2014.

Our residential mortgage loan originations have been relatively strong in recent years, as exceptionally low interest rates have supported demand for loans to refinance existing debt as well as loans to finance home purchases. Refinancing activity was particularly significant in 2012 and in the first six months of 2013, leading to meaningful increases in residential mortgage originations during those periods; however, the rise in mortgage interest rates that began in the second quarter of 2013 slowed origination activity and has resulted in much lower refinancing activity in recent periods. At December 31, 2014, our outstanding balances for residential mortgages increased \$11 million to \$540 million, compared to \$529 million at December 31, 2013. One- to four-family residential real estate loans represented nearly 14% of our loan portfolio at December 31, 2014. Most of the one- to four-family loans that we originate are sold in the secondary markets with net gains on sales and loan servicing fees reflected in our revenues from mortgage banking.

Our consumer loan activity is primarily directed at meeting demand from our existing deposit customers. We have increased our emphasis on consumer lending in recent years, and while demand for consumer loans has been modest during this period of economic weakness as we believe

many consumers have been focused on reducing their personal debt, we began to see some meaningful growth in 2014. For the year 2014, consumer loans, including consumer loans secured by one- to four-family residences, increased \$54 million to \$349 million, or 9% of our portfolio at December 31, 2014, with most of the increase arising from increased usage of home equity lines of credit.

Deposits, customer retail repurchase agreements and loan repayments are the major sources of our funds for lending and other investment purposes. We compete with other financial institutions and financial intermediaries in attracting deposits and we generally attract deposits within our primary market areas. Much of the focus of our branch expansion over many years, including the Branch Acquisition, and our current marketing efforts has been directed toward attracting additional deposit customer relationships and balances. This effort has been particularly directed towards increasing transaction and savings accounts and for the past three years we have been very successful in increasing these core deposit balances. The long-term success of our deposit gathering activities is reflected not only in the growth of deposit balances, but also in increases in the level of deposit fees, service charges and other payment processing revenues compared to periods prior to that expansion.

Total deposits were \$3.899 billion at December 31, 2014 compared to \$3.618 billion a year earlier.

Non-interest-bearing account balances increased 16% to \$1.299 billion at December 31, 2014, compared to \$1.115 billion a year ago. Interest-bearing transaction and savings accounts totaled \$1.830 billion at December 31, 2014, compared to \$1.630 billion a year ago, while certificates of deposit further decreased to \$771 million compared to \$873 million a year earlier. Non-certificate core deposits represented 80% of total deposits at December 31, 2014, compared to 76% of total deposits a year ago and 71% two years earlier.

Critical Accounting Policies

In the opinion of management, the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition and related Consolidated Statements of Operations, Comprehensive Income, Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Cash Flows reflect all adjustments (which include reclassification and normal recurring adjustments) that are necessary for a fair presentation in conformity with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements.

Various elements of our accounting policies, by their nature, are inherently subject to estimation techniques, valuation assumptions and other subjective assessments. In particular, management has identified several accounting policies that, due to the judgments, estimates and assumptions inherent in those policies, are critical to an understanding of our financial statements. These policies relate to (i) the methodology for the recognition of interest income, (ii) determination of the provision and allowance for loan and lease losses, (iii) the valuation of financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value, including OTTI losses, (iv) the valuation of intangibles, such as core deposit intangibles and mortgage servicing rights, (v) the valuation of real estate held for sale and (vi) the valuation of or recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities. These policies and judgments, estimates and assumptions are described in greater detail below. Management believes the judgments, estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of the financial statements are appropriate based on the factual circumstances at the time. However, given the sensitivity of the financial statements to these critical accounting policies, the use of other judgments, estimates and assumptions could result in material differences in our results of operations or financial condition. Further, subsequent changes in economic or market conditions could have a material impact on these estimates and our financial condition and operating results in future periods. There have been no significant changes in our application of accounting policies since December 31, 2013. For additional information concerning critical accounting policies, see Notes 1, 6, 13, 21 and 22 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements and the following:

Interest Income: (Notes 1 and 6) Interest on loans and securities is accrued as earned unless management doubts the collectability of the asset or the unpaid interest. Interest accruals on loans are generally discontinued when loans become 90 days past due for payment of interest and the loans are then placed on nonaccrual status. All previously accrued but uncollected interest is deducted from interest income upon transfer to nonaccrual status. For any future payments collected, interest income is recognized only upon management's assessment that there is a strong likelihood that the full amount of a loan will be repaid or recovered. A loan may be put on nonaccrual status sooner than this policy would dictate if, in management's judgment, the amounts owed, principal or interest, may be uncollectable. While less common, similar interest reversal and nonaccrual treatment is applied to investment securities if their ultimate collectability becomes questionable.

Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses: (Notes 1 and 6) The provision for loan losses reflects the amount required to maintain the allowance for losses at an appropriate level based upon management's evaluation of the adequacy of general and specific loss reserves. We maintain an allowance for loan losses consistent in all material respects with the GAAP guidelines outlined in ASC 450, Contingencies. We have established systematic methodologies for the determination of the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses. The methodologies are set forth in a formal policy and take into consideration the need for an overall general valuation allowance as well as specific allowances that are tied to individual problem loans. We increase our allowance for loan losses by charging provisions for probable loan losses against our income and value impaired loans consistent with the accounting guidelines outlined in ASC 310, Receivables.

The allowance for losses on loans is maintained at a level sufficient to provide for probable losses based on evaluating known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio and upon our continuing analysis of the factors underlying the quality of the loan portfolio. These factors include, among others, changes in the size and composition of the loan portfolio, delinquency rates, actual loan loss experience, current and anticipated economic conditions, detailed analysis of individual loans for which full collectability may not be assured, and determination of the existence and realizable value of the collateral and guarantees securing the loans. Realized losses related to specific assets are applied as a reduction of the carrying value of the assets and charged immediately against the allowance for loan loss reserve. Recoveries on previously charged off loans are credited to the allowance. The reserve is based upon factors and trends identified by us at the time financial statements are prepared. Although we use the best information available, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary due to economic, operating, regulatory and other conditions beyond our control. The adequacy of general and specific reserves is based on our continuing evaluation of the pertinent factors underlying the

quality of the loan portfolio as well as individual review of certain large balance loans. Loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, we determine that it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors involved in determining impairment include, but are not limited to, the financial condition of the borrower, the value of the underlying collateral and the current status of the economy. Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or, as a practical expedient, at the loan's observable market price or the fair value of collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Subsequent changes in the value of impaired loans are included within the provision for loan losses in the same manner in which impairment initially was recognized or as a reduction in the provision that would otherwise be reported. Large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment include residential real estate and consumer loans and, as appropriate, smaller balance non-homogeneous loans. Larger balance non-homogeneous residential construction and land, commercial real estate, commercial business loans and unsecured loans are individually evaluated for impairment.

Our methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance consists of several key elements, which include specific allowances, an allocated formula allowance and an unallocated allowance. Losses on specific loans are provided for when the losses are probable and estimable. General loan loss reserves are established to provide for inherent loan portfolio risks not specifically provided for. The level of general reserves is based on analysis of potential exposures existing in our loan portfolio including evaluation of historical trends, current market conditions and other relevant factors identified by us at the time the financial statements are prepared. The formula allowance is calculated by applying loss factors to outstanding loans, excluding those loans that are subject to individual analysis for specific allowances. Loss factors are based on our historical loss experience adjusted for significant environmental considerations, including the experience of other banking organizations, which in our judgment affect the collectability of the portfolio as of the evaluation date. The unallocated allowance is based upon our evaluation of various factors that are not directly measured in the determination of the formula and specific allowances. This methodology may result in losses or recoveries differing significantly from those provided in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

While we believe the estimates and assumptions used in our determination of the adequacy of the allowance are reasonable, there can be no assurance that such estimates and assumptions will not be proven incorrect in the future, or that the actual amount of future provisions will not exceed the amount of past provisions or that any increased provisions that may be required will not adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, the determination of the amount of the Banks' allowance for loan losses is subject to review by bank regulators as part of the routine examination process, which may result in the adjustment of reserves based upon their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

Fair Value Accounting and Measurement: (Notes 1 and 22) We use fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain financial assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. We include in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements information about the extent to which fair value is used to measure financial assets and liabilities, the valuation methodologies used and the impact on our results of operations and financial condition. Additionally, for financial instruments not recorded at fair value we disclose, where appropriate, our estimate of their fair value. For more information regarding fair value accounting, please refer to Note 22 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other Intangible Assets: (Notes 1 and 21) Other intangible assets consists primarily of core deposit intangibles (CDI), which are amounts recorded in business combinations or deposit purchase transactions related to the value of transaction-related deposits and the value of the customer relationships associated with the deposits. Core deposit intangibles are being amortized on an accelerated basis over a weighted average estimated useful life of eight years. These assets are reviewed at least annually for events or circumstances that could impact their

recoverability. These events could include loss of the underlying core deposits, increased competition or adverse changes in the economy. To the extent other identifiable intangible assets are deemed unrecoverable, impairment losses are recorded in other non-interest expense to reduce the carrying amount of the assets.

Mortgage Servicing Rights: Servicing assets are recognized as separate assets when rights are acquired through purchase or through sale of loans. Generally, purchased servicing rights are capitalized at the cost to acquire the rights. For sales of mortgage loans, the value of the servicing right is estimated and capitalized. Fair value is based on market prices for comparable mortgage servicing contracts. Capitalized servicing rights are reported in other assets and are amortized into non-interest income in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated future net servicing income of the underlying financial assets.

Real Estate Held for Sale: (Notes 1 and 7) Property acquired by foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure is recorded at the lower of the estimated fair value of the property, less expected selling costs, or the carrying value of the defaulted loan. Development and improvement costs relating to the property may be capitalized, while other holding costs are expensed. The carrying value of the property is periodically evaluated by management and, if necessary, allowances are established to reduce the carrying value to net realizable value. Gains or losses at the time the property is sold are charged or credited to operations in the period in which they are realized. The amounts the Banks will ultimately recover from real estate held for sale may differ substantially from the carrying value of the assets because of market factors beyond the Banks' control or because of changes in the Banks' strategies for recovering the investment.

Income Taxes and Deferred Taxes: (Note 13) The Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries file consolidated U.S. federal income tax returns, as well as state income tax returns in Oregon and Idaho. Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method a deferred tax asset or liability is determined based on the enacted tax rates which are expected to be in effect when the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax basis of existing assets and liabilities are expected to be reported in the Company's income tax returns. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Under

GAAP (ASC 740), a valuation allowance is required to be recognized if it is “more likely than not” that all or a portion of our deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Accounting Standards Recently Adopted or Issued

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standard Update (ASU) No. 2013-11, Presentation of an Unrecognized Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. This ASU requires an unrecognized tax benefit to be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. An exception exists to the extent that a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such a purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. ASU No. 2013-11 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2013. The Company adopted the provisions of ASU 2013-11 effective January 1, 2014. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Investing in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects

In January 2014, FASB issued ASU No. 2014-01, Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects. The objective of this ASU is to provide guidance on accounting for investments by a reporting entity in flow-through limited liability entities that manage or invest in affordable housing projects that qualify for the low-income housing tax credit. The amendments in this ASU modify the conditions that a reporting entity must meet to be eligible to use a method other than the equity or cost methods to account for qualified affordable housing project investments. If the modified conditions are met, the amendments permit an entity to amortize the initial cost of the investment in proportion to the amount of tax credits and other tax benefits received and recognize the net investment performance in the income statement as a component of income tax expense (benefit). Additionally, the amendments introduce new recurring disclosures about all investments in qualified affordable housing projects irrespective of the method used to account for the investments. The amendments in this ASU should be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. ASU No. 2014-01 is effective beginning after December 15, 2014 and is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure

In January 2014, FASB issued ASU No. 2014-04, Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure. The amendments in this ASU clarify that an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs, and a creditor is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan, upon either (1) the creditor obtaining legal title to the residential real estate property upon completion of a foreclosure or (2) the borrower conveying all interest in the residential real estate property to the creditor to satisfy that loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or through a similar legal agreement. Additionally, the amendments require interim and annual disclosure of both (1) the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and (2) the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure according to local requirements of the applicable jurisdiction. ASU No. 2014-04 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2014 and is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Revenue from Contracts with Customers

In May 2014, FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which creates Topic 606 and supersedes Topic 605, Revenue Recognition. The core principle of Topic 606 is that an entity recognizes revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. In general, the new guidance requires companies to use more judgment and make more estimates than under current guidance, including identifying performance obligations in the contract, estimating the amount of variable consideration to include in the transaction price and allocating the transaction price to each separate performance obligation. The standard is effective for public entities for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016; early adoption is not permitted. For financial reporting purposes, the standard allows for either full retrospective adoption, meaning the standard is applied to all of the periods presented, or modified retrospective adoption, meaning the standard is applied only to the most current period presented in the financial statements with the cumulative effect of initially applying the standard recognized at the date of initial application. The Company is currently evaluating the provisions of ASU No. 2014-09 to determine the potential impact the standard will have on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures

In June 2014, FASB issued ASU No. 2014-11, Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures, which requires the Company to consider these transactions as secured borrowings. The ASU seeks to prevent activities used leading up to the financial crisis regarding repurchase agreements, whereby pledges to maturity were recorded as sales, which may have over-reported income and may have under-reported liabilities, making it difficult for stakeholders to understand how a company was performing. The accounting changes in this ASU are effective for public business entities for the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2014. Earlier application for

a public business entity is prohibited. The accounting changes in this ASU are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Classification of Certain Government-Guaranteed Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure

In August 2014, FASB issued ASU No. 2014-14, Classification of Certain Government-Guaranteed Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure. The amendments in this ASU affect creditors that hold government-guaranteed mortgage loans, including those guaranteed by the FHA and the VA. The ASU provides specific guidance on how to classify or measure foreclosed mortgage loans that are government guaranteed. The amendments in this ASU require that a mortgage loan be derecognized and that a separate other receivable be recognized upon foreclosure if the following conditions are met: 1) the loan has a government guarantee that is not separable from the loan before foreclosure, 2) at the time of foreclosure, the creditor has the intent to convey the real estate property to the guarantor and make a claim on the guarantee, and creditor has the ability to recover under the claim and, 3) at the time of foreclosure, any amount of the claim that is determined on the basis of the fair value of the real estate is fixed. ASU No. 2014-14 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2014 and is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Income Statement—Extraordinary and Unusual Items (Subtopic 225-20)

In January 2015, FASB issued ASU No. 2015-01, Income Statement—Extraordinary and Unusual Items (Subtopic 225-20). The ASU eliminates from GAAP the concept of extraordinary items. Under subtopic 225-20, entities were required to separately classify, present, and disclose extraordinary events and transactions that were both unusual in nature and infrequent in occurrence. This amendment will save time and reduce costs for preparers, as well as alleviate uncertainty for auditors and regulators in evaluating potentially extraordinary items. The amendment is effective for fiscal years and interim reporting periods after December 15, 2015. It may be applied prospectively, and retrospectively to all reporting periods presented in the financial statements. The adoption of ASU No. 2015-01 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2014 and 2013

General. Total assets increased \$335 million, or 8%, to \$4.724 billion at December 31, 2014, compared to \$4.389 billion at December 31, 2013. Net loans receivable (gross loans less deferred fees and discounts, and allowance for loan losses) increased \$414 million, or 12%, to \$3.758 billion at December 31, 2014, from \$3.344 billion at December 31, 2013. The increase in net loans included increases of \$209 million in commercial real estate loans, \$30 million in multifamily real estate loans, \$19 million in one- to four-family construction loans, \$13 million in multifamily and commercial construction loans and \$27 million in land and land development loans. Commercial business loans increased by \$42 million while agricultural business loans increased \$10 million. Consumer loans increased by \$54 million, including \$19 million as a result of the Branch Acquisition, and one- to four-family real estate loans increased by \$10 million.

The increase in commercial real estate loans included \$164 million for investment properties and \$44 million for owner-occupied properties. The increase in construction and development loans was particularly helpful to the net interest margin as interest rates, loan fees and the velocity of turnover in this lending activity are generally higher than for most other categories of loans. While demand for commercial and consumer loans remained modest and utilization of existing credit lines for consumer and commercial borrowers was low, our production of new commercial real estate and commercial loans was again encouraging.

Securities decreased to \$583 million at December 31, 2014, from \$635 million at December 31, 2013, and the aggregate total of securities and interest-bearing deposits decreased \$65 million, or 9%, to \$638 million at

December 31, 2014, compared to \$703 million a year earlier. Securities purchases during the current year have been modest and were primarily mortgage-backed securities and intermediate-term taxable and tax-exempt municipal securities. Securities sales have also been modest and were primarily sales of mortgage-backed securities. The average effective duration of Banner's securities portfolio was approximately 3.1 years at December 31, 2014. At December 31, 2014, the fair value of our trading securities was \$7 million less than their amortized cost. In addition, fair value adjustments for securities designated as available-for-sale reflected an increase of \$4.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, which was included net of the associated tax expense of \$1.5 million as a component of other comprehensive income and largely occurred as a result of modestly decreased market interest rates. Periodically, we also acquire securities (primarily municipal bonds) which are designated as held-to-maturity and this portfolio increased by \$29 million from the prior year-end balances. (See Notes 5 and 22 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.)

REO decreased another \$1 million, to \$3 million at December 31, 2014 compared to \$4 million at December 31, 2013 and \$16 million at December 31, 2012, continuing the improving trend with respect to these non-earning assets. The December 31, 2014 total included \$2 million in residential land or land development projects and \$2 million in single-family homes and related residential construction. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we transferred \$3 million of loans into REO, capitalized additional investments of \$30,000 in acquired properties, disposed of approximately \$5 million of properties and recognized \$1 million of gains in current earnings, net of valuation adjustments, for REO properties sold. (See "Asset Quality" discussion below.)

Deposits increased \$281 million, or 8%, to \$3.899 billion at December 31, 2014, from \$3.618 billion at December 31, 2013, largely as a result of the Branch Acquisition. Non-interest-bearing deposits increased by \$184 million, or 16%, to \$1.299 billion from \$1.115 billion, and interest-bearing transaction and savings accounts increased by \$200 million, or 12%, to \$1.830 billion at December 31, 2014 from \$1.630 billion at December 31, 2013. Offsetting these increases, certificates of deposit decreased \$102 million, or 12%, to \$771 million at December 31, 2014 from \$873 million at December 31, 2013. Core deposits increased to 80% of total deposits at December 31, 2014, compared to 76% of total

deposits one year earlier. The Branch Acquisition resulted in a \$207 million increase in deposits, including \$69 million in non-interest bearing deposits, \$105 million in interest-bearing transaction and savings accounts, and \$33 million in certificates of deposit as of December 31, 2014.

FHLB advances increased \$5 million, to \$32 million at December 31, 2014 from \$27 million at December 31, 2013. The new FHLB advances were all very short-term maturities with correspondingly low interest rates. Other borrowings, consisting of retail repurchase agreements primarily related to customer cash management accounts, decreased \$6 million to \$77 million at December 31, 2014, compared to \$83 million at December 31, 2013. No additional junior subordinated debentures were issued or matured during the year; however, the estimated fair value of these instruments increased \$4 million to \$78 million at December 31, 2014 from \$74 million a year ago, primarily as a result of the impact of the passage of time on the years to maturity in the net present value calculation used to estimate fair value of these financial instruments. For more information, see Notes 10, 11 and 12 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Total stockholders' equity increased \$45 million, or 8%, to \$584 million at December 31, 2014 compared to \$539 million at December 31, 2013, primarily due to retained earnings from operations, a \$3 million gain, net of income taxes, as a result of changes in other comprehensive income, and \$2 million related to share-based compensation, which was partially offset by the payment of \$14 million in cash dividends to common shareholders and \$2 million related to the redemption of shares in connection with the termination of the ESOP. Tangible common stockholders' equity, which excludes intangible assets, also increased \$44 million to \$581 million, or 12.30% of tangible assets at December 31, 2014, compared to \$537 million, or 12.23% at December 31, 2013, reflecting the net additions to equity and the reduction through amortization in core deposit intangibles. During the year ended December 31, 2014, the only Banner Corporation shares we repurchased were 12,185 shares surrendered by employees to satisfy tax withholding obligations upon the vesting of restricted stock grants and 47,890 shares redeemed related to the termination of the ESOP.

Investments. At December 31, 2014, our consolidated investment portfolio totaled \$583 million and consisted principally of U.S. Government and agency obligations, mortgage-backed and mortgage-related securities, municipal bonds, corporate debt obligations, and asset-backed securities. From time to time, our investment levels may be increased or decreased depending upon yields available on investment alternatives and management's projections as to the demand for funds to be used in our loan origination, deposit and other activities. During the year ended December 31, 2014, our aggregate investment in securities decreased \$53 million. Holdings of U.S. Government and agency obligations decreased \$28 million, mortgage-backed securities decreased \$24 million, corporate bonds decreased \$18 million and there was small net decrease in asset-backed securities. Partially offsetting these decreases was a net increase in municipal bonds of \$18 million.

U.S. Government and Agency Obligations: Our portfolio of U.S. Government and agency obligations had a carrying value of \$33 million (also with an amortized cost of \$33 million) at December 31, 2014, a weighted average contractual maturity of 4.1 years and a weighted average coupon rate of 1.42%. Most of the U.S. Government and agency obligations we own include call features which allow the issuing agency the right to call the securities at various dates prior to the final maturity. Certain agency obligations also include step-up provisions which provide for periodic increases in the coupon rate if the call options are not exercised.

Mortgage-Backed Obligations: At December 31, 2014, our mortgage-backed and mortgage-related securities had a carrying value of \$326 million (\$325 million at amortized cost, with a fair value adjustment of \$1 million). The weighted average coupon rate of these securities was 2.51% and the weighted average contractual maturity was 8.0 years, although we receive principal payments on these securities each period resulting in a much shorter expected average life. As of December 31, 2014, 98% of the mortgage-backed and mortgage-related securities pay interest at a fixed rate and 2% pay at an adjustable-interest rate.

Municipal Bonds: The carrying value of our tax-exempt bonds at December 31, 2014 was \$142 million (also with an amortized cost of \$142 million), and was comprised of general obligation bonds (i.e., backed by the general credit of the issuer) and revenue bonds (i.e., backed by revenues from the specific project being financed) issued by cities and counties and various housing authorities, and hospital, school, water and sanitation districts located in the states of Washington, Oregon and Idaho, our primary service area. We also had taxable bonds in our municipal bond portfolio, which at December 31, 2014 had a carrying value of \$30 million (also \$30 million at amortized cost). Many of our qualifying municipal bonds are not rated by a nationally recognized credit rating agency due to the smaller size of the total issuance and a portion of these bonds have been acquired through direct private placement by the issuers. We have not experienced any defaults or payment deferrals on our municipal bonds. At December 31, 2014, our municipal bond portfolio, including taxable and tax-exempt, had a weighted average maturity of approximately 10.8 years and a weighted average coupon rate of 4.31%.

Corporate Bonds: Our corporate bond portfolio, which had a carrying value of \$26 million (\$35 million at amortized cost, with a fair value adjustment of \$9 million) at December 31, 2014, was comprised principally of long-term adjustable-rate capital securities issued by financial institutions, including single issuers trust preferred securities and collateralized debt obligations secured by pools of trust preferred securities issued by bank holding companies and insurance companies. The market for these capital securities deteriorated significantly in 2008 and 2009 and in our opinion is still not currently functioning in a meaningful manner. As a result, the fair value estimates for many of these securities are more subjective than in periods before 2008 when they were acquired. Nonetheless, it is apparent that the values have declined appreciably since purchase, which is reflected in our financial statements and results of operations, although values have recently improved and we had a \$1.0 million recovery during the year ended December 31, 2013 on certain collateralized debt obligations that had previously been written off. In addition, during 2014 we had approximately \$5.1 million of recovery in our fair value adjustments as a result of the full payoff of two investments in similar collateralized debt obligations that had previously been valued substantially below their amortized cost. (See “Critical Accounting Policies” above and Note 22 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.) At December 31, 2014, the portfolio had a weighted average maturity of 18.7 years and a weighted average coupon rate of 2.08%.

Asset-Backed Securities: At December 31, 2014, our asset-backed securities portfolio had a carrying value of \$26 million (also with an amortized cost of \$26 million), and was comprised of securitized pools of student loans issued or guaranteed by the Student Loan Marketing Association (SLMA) and credit card receivables. The weighted average coupon rate of these securities was 1.86% and the weighted average contractual maturity was 8.1 years.

Approximately 62% of these securities have adjustable interest rates tied to three-month LIBOR while the remaining securities have fixed interest rates.

The following tables set forth certain information regarding carrying values and percentage of total carrying values of our portfolio of securities—trading and securities—available-for-sale, both carried at estimated fair market value, and securities—held-to-maturity, carried at amortized cost as of December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

Table 1: Securities—Trading

	December 31					
	2014		2013		2012	
	Carrying Value	Percent of Total	Carrying Value	Percent of Total	Carrying Value	Percent of Total
U.S. Government and agency obligations	\$1,505	3.7 %	\$1,481	2.4 %	\$1,637	2.3 %
Municipal bonds:						
Tax exempt	1,440	3.6	5,023	8.0	5,684	8.0
Corporate bonds	19,118	47.5	35,140	56.2	35,741	50.2
Mortgage-backed or related securities:						
1-4 residential agency guaranteed	8,726	21.7	11,230	18.0	17,911	25.1
Multifamily, agency guaranteed	9,410	23.4	9,530	15.3	10,196	14.3
Total mortgage-backed or related securities	18,136	45.1	20,760	33.3	28,107	39.4
Equity securities	59	0.1	68	0.1	63	0.1
Total securities—trading	\$40,258	100.0 %	\$62,472	100.0 %	\$71,232	100.0 %

Table 2: Securities—Available-for-Sale

	December 31					
	2014		2013		2012	
	Carrying Value	Percent of Total	Carrying Value	Percent of Total	Carrying Value	Percent of Total
U.S. Government and agency obligations	\$29,770	7.2 %	\$58,660	12.5 %	\$338,971	72.8 %
Municipal bonds:						
Taxable	16,578	4.0	23,664	5.0	10,581	2.3
Tax exempt	33,450	8.2	29,191	6.2	16,729	3.6
Total municipal bonds	50,028	12.2	52,855	11.2	27,310	5.9
Corporate bonds	5,018	1.2	6,964	1.5	6,260	1.3
Mortgage-backed or related securities:						
1-4 residential agency guaranteed	48,519	11.8	46,887	10.0	70,500	15.1
1-4 residential other	713	0.2	1,051	0.2	1,835	0.4
Multifamily agency guaranteed	241,081	58.7	268,438	57.1	20,919	4.5
Multifamily other	10,497	2.5	10,234	2.2	—	—
Total mortgage-backed or related securities	300,810	73.2	326,610	69.5	93,254	20.0
Asset-backed securities:						
SLMA	15,629	3.8	15,681	3.3	—	—
Other asset-backed securities	9,766	2.4	9,510	2.0	—	—

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-K

Total asset-backed securities	25,395	6.2	25,191	5.3	—	—
Total securities—available-for-sale	\$411,021	100.0 %	\$470,280	100.0 %	\$465,795	100.0 %

49

Table 3: Securities—Held-to-Maturity

	December 31					
	2014		2013		2012	
	Carrying Value	Percent of Total	Carrying Value	Percent of Total	Carrying Value	Percent of Total
U.S. Government and agency obligations	\$2,146	1.6 %	\$1,186	1.2 %	\$—	— %
Municipal bonds:						
Taxable	12,988	9.9	10,552	10.3	7,496	9.9
Tax exempt	106,963	81.5	85,374	83.3	66,692	88.4
Total municipal bonds	119,951	91.4	95,926	93.6	74,188	98.3
Corporate bonds	1,800	1.4	2,050	2.0	1,250	1.7
Mortgage-backed or related securities:						
Multifamily, agency guaranteed	5,781	4.4	3,351	3.2	—	—
Total securities—held-to-maturity	\$131,258	100.0 %	\$102,513	100.0 %	\$75,438	100.0 %
Estimated market value	\$137,608		\$103,610		\$80,107	

The following table shows the maturity or period to repricing of our consolidated portfolio of securities—trading at fair value as of December 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

Table 4: Securities—Trading Maturity/Repricing and Rates

	Securities—Trading at December 31, 2014											
	One Year or Less		After One to Five Years		After Five to Ten Years		After Ten to Twenty Years		After Twenty Years		Total	
	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield
U.S. Government and agency obligations:												
Fixed-rate	\$—	— %	\$—	— %	\$1,504	5.27 %	\$—	— %	\$—	— %	\$1,504	5.27 %
Municipal bonds:												
Fixed-rate taxable	1,094	3.64	345	3.98	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,439	3.72
Corporate bonds:												
Adjustable-rate	14,398	2.55	4,721	2.33	—	—	—	—	—	—	19,119	2.51
Mortgage-backed or related securities:												
Fixed-rate	—	—	6,752	4.87	6,223	4.71	2,671	5.00	—	—	15,646	4.83
Adjustable-rate	2,491	2.35	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,491	2.35
	2,491	2.35	6,752	4.87	6,223	4.71	2,671	5.00	—	—	18,137	4.48
Equity securities	59	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	59	—
Total securities—trading—carrying value	\$8,042	2.58	\$11,818	3.64	\$7,727	4.81	\$2,671	5.00	\$—	—	\$40,258	3.31
Total securities—trading—amortized cost	\$25,421		\$12,595		\$7,035		\$2,429		\$—		\$47,480	

The following table shows the maturity or period to repricing of our consolidated portfolio of securities—available-for-sale at fair value as of December 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

Table 5: Securities—Available-for-Sale Maturity/Repricing and Rates

	Securities—Available-for-Sale at December 31, 2014											
	One Year or Less		After One to Five Years		After Five to Ten Years		After Ten to Twenty Years		After Twenty Years		Total	
	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield
U.S. Government and agency obligations:												
Fixed-rate	\$—	— %	\$11,548	1.03 %	\$—	— %	\$534	1.47 %	\$—	— %	\$12,082	1.03 %
Adjustable-rate	16,658	0.91	1,030	1.19	—	—	—	—	—	—	17,688	0.91
	16,658	0.91	12,578	1.04	—	—	534	1.47	—	—	29,770	0.91
Municipal bonds:												
Fixed-rate taxable	4,088	0.88	12,029	1.44	461	2.20	—	—	—	—	16,578	1.44
Fixed-rate tax exempt ⁽¹⁾	5,276	1.21	15,740	1.19	—	—	8,414	1.86	4,020	2.02	33,450	1.21
	9,364	1.07	27,769	1.30	461	2.20	8,414	1.86	4,020	2.02	50,000	1.07
Corporate bonds:												
Adjustable-rate	5,018	1.03	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5,018	1.03
Mortgage-backed or related securities:												
Fixed-rate	—	—	220,434	1.29	14,736	2.80	4,931	1.51	57,416	2.31	297,517	1.29
Adjustable-rate	3,293	1.32	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3,293	1.32
	3,293	1.32	220,434	1.29	14,736	2.80	4,931	1.51	57,416	2.31	300,817	1.32
Asset-backed securities:												
Fixed-rate	—	—	—	—	9,766	1.65	—	—	—	—	9,766	1.65
Adjustable-rate	15,629	1.34	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	15,629	1.34
	15,629	1.34	—	—	9,766	1.65	—	—	—	—	25,395	1.34
Total securities—available-for-sale—carrying value	\$49,962	1.11	\$260,781	1.28	\$24,963	2.33	\$13,879	1.72	\$61,436	2.29	\$410,961	1.28
Total securities—available-for sale amortized cost	\$49,783		\$261,930		\$24,963		\$13,846		\$60,902		\$410,624	

⁽¹⁾ Yields on tax-exempt municipal bonds are not calculated as tax equivalent.

The following table shows the maturity or period to repricing of our consolidated portfolio of securities—held-to-maturity as of December 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

Table 6: Securities—Held-to-Maturity Maturity/Repricing and Rates

	Securities—Held-to-Maturity at December 31, 2014											
	One Year or Less		After One to Five Years		After Five to Ten Years		After Ten to Twenty Years		After Twenty Years		Total	
	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield
U.S. Government and agency obligations:												
Fixed-rate	\$—	— %	\$1,000	1.13 %	\$—	— %	\$1,146	1.20 %	\$—	— %	\$2,146	1.17 %
Municipal bonds:												
Fixed-rate taxable	100	4.15	4,963	3.54	634	2.50	7,290	3.98	—	—	12,987	3.74
Fixed-rate tax exempt ⁽¹⁾	417	3.22	8,499	2.30	16,768	2.78	67,593	3.75	13,687	2.89	106,964	3.37
	517	3.40	13,462	2.76	17,402	2.77	74,883	3.77	13,687	2.89	119,951	3.41
Corporate bonds:												
Fixed-rate	250	3.00	500	3.00	1,050	3.52	—	—	—	—	1,800	3.31
Mortgage-backed or related securities:												
Fixed-rate	—	—	—	—	5,781	2.73	—	—	1,580	2.26	7,361	2.63
Total securities held-to-maturity—carrying value	\$767	3.27	\$14,962	2.66	\$24,233	2.80	\$76,029	3.74	\$15,267	2.83	\$131,258	3.33
Total securities held-to-maturity—estimated market value	\$771		\$15,184		\$24,678		\$81,361		\$15,614		\$137,608	

⁽¹⁾ Yields on tax-exempt municipal bonds are not calculated as tax equivalent.

Loans and Lending. Our loan portfolio increased \$415 million, or 12%, during the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to an increase of \$183 million, or 6%, during the year ended December 31, 2013. While we originate a variety of loans, our ability to originate each type of loan is dependent upon the relative customer demand and competition in each market we serve. We have implemented strategies designed to capture more market share and achieve increases in targeted loans and our loan originations increased meaningfully in 2013 and 2014. Nonetheless, looking forward, new loan originations and portfolio balances will continue to be significantly affected by the course of economic activity. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we originated loans, net of repayments and charge-offs, of \$506 million, \$595 million and \$458 million, respectively. The level of net originations during all three years was significantly impacted by a substantial amount of loan repayments. We generally sell a significant portion of our newly originated one- to four-family residential mortgage loans to secondary market purchasers. Proceeds from sales of loans for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 totaled \$379 million, \$463 million and \$515 million, respectively. See “Loan Servicing Portfolio” below. Loans held for sale were unchanged at \$3 million at both December 31, 2014, and 2013.

At various times, we also purchase whole loans and participation interests in loans. During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we purchased \$194 million, \$49 million and \$18 million, respectively, of loans and loan participation interests. The significant increase in loan purchases in the current year primarily reflects participations in commercial real estate loans.

One- to Four-Family Residential Real Estate Lending: At December 31, 2014, \$540 million, or 14%, of our loan portfolio, consisted of permanent loans on one- to four-family residences. We are active originators of one- to four-family residential loans in most communities where we have established offices in Washington, Oregon and Idaho. Our originations of one- to four-family residential loans were particularly strong in 2012 and 2013; however, since most of these new loans were sold in the secondary market and principal repayments on existing loans were substantial, our balance of loans on one- to four-family residences decreased in those years. Our one- to four-family loan originations totaled \$410 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$511 million and \$538 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Despite somewhat lower origination volume and continued loan sales, our balance of loans for one- to four-family residences increased by \$10 million in 2014, largely as a result of loans acquired as a part of the Branch Acquisition.

Construction and Land Lending: Our construction loan originations have increased for each of the past three years as builders have adjusted to new price levels and certain sub-markets have become very active. As a result, one- to four-family construction loans have increased by \$17 million in 2012, \$40 million in 2013, and \$19 million in 2014, to total \$220 million at December 31, 2014. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2014, land development loans (both residential and commercial) increased by \$27 million to \$114 million at December 31, 2014. Our construction and land development loan originations totaled \$710 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$681 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, and \$492 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2014, construction and land loans totaled \$411 million (including \$220 million of one- to four-family construction loans, \$102 million of residential land or land development loans, \$78 million of commercial and multifamily real estate construction loans and \$11 million of commercial land or land development loans), or 11% of total loans, compared to \$351 million, or 10%, at December 31, 2013. The geographic distribution of our construction and land development loans is approximately 38% in the greater Puget Sound market and 38% in the greater Portland, Oregon market, with the remaining 24% in the various eastern Washington, eastern Oregon and western Idaho markets we serve. At December 31, 2014, only \$1 million of these loans were non-performing. For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, performing construction loans made a very important contribution to our net interest income and profitability.

Commercial and Multifamily Real Estate Lending: We also originate loans secured by multifamily and commercial real estate. Multifamily and commercial real estate loans originated by us are both fixed- and adjustable-rate loans

generally with intermediate terms of five to ten years. Our commercial real estate portfolio consists of loans on a variety of property types with no significant concentrations by property type, borrowers or locations. We experienced reasonably strong demand for both multifamily and commercial real estate loans in 2014, and total balances in these categories increased \$239 million or 18% from the prior year end. At December 31, 2014, our loan portfolio included \$1.404 billion of commercial real estate loans, or 37% of the total loan portfolio. Our portfolio of multifamily loans was much smaller, at \$168 million, or 4% of total loans.

Commercial Business Lending: We are active in small- to medium-sized business lending. In addition to providing earning assets, this type of lending has helped increase our deposit base. For 2014, our production levels for targeted business loans were good and resulted in a \$42 million, or 6%, increase in commercial business loans. Although line utilizations remain low, the increase in commercial business loans is an encouraging sign of improving economic activity as well as additional successful sales results for our lending offices. At December 31, 2014, commercial business loans totaled \$724 million, or 19% of total loans, compared to \$682 million, or 20%, at December 31, 2013.

Agricultural Lending: Agriculture is a major industry in many Washington, Oregon and Idaho locations in our service area. While agricultural loans are not a large part of our portfolio, we routinely make agricultural loans to borrowers with a strong capital base, sufficient management depth, proven ability to operate through agricultural cycles, reliable cash flows and adequate financial reporting. Payments on agricultural loans depend, to a large degree, on the results of operation of the related farm entity. The repayment is also subject to other economic and weather conditions as well as market prices for agricultural products, which can be highly volatile at times. Generally, in recent years, weather conditions, production levels and market prices have been good for most of our agricultural borrowers. Our 2014 production levels for agricultural loans were consistent with recent years and at December 31, 2014, agricultural loans totaled \$238 million, or 6% of the loan portfolio, compared to \$228 million, or 7%, at December 31, 2013.

Consumer and Other Lending: We originate a variety of consumer loans, including home equity lines of credit, automobile, recreational vehicle and boat loans, credit cards and loans secured by deposit accounts. Consumer lending has traditionally been a modest part of our business with loans made primarily to accommodate our existing customer base. In recent years, including 2014, demand for consumer loans has been

restrained; however, outstanding balances have increased modestly despite mortgage refinancing activity that has resulted in repayments on home equity lines of credit. The significant increase in consumer loan balances in 2014 largely reflects loans acquired as part of the Branch Acquisition, although we also experienced a slow down in the payoff of consumer loans related to mortgage refinance transactions. The modest increase in 2012 and 2013 was due principally to the purchase during the fourth quarter of 2012 of approximately \$13 million of consumer loans originated by another northwest financial institution that are secured by recreational boats, and in 2013 the purchase of an additional \$9 million of similar boat loans from that lender. To date the performance of these purchased loans has been in accordance with our expectations as the amount of non-performing boat loans is insignificant. At December 31, 2014, our consumer loans were \$54 million greater compared with the prior year. At December 31, 2014, we had \$349 million, or 9% of our loan portfolio, in consumer loans, compared to \$295 million, or 9%, at December 31, 2013. As of December 31, 2014, 64% of our consumer loans were secured by one- to four-family real estate, including home equity lines of credit. Credit card balances totaled \$24 million at December 31, 2014 compared to \$22 million a year earlier.

Loan Servicing Portfolio: At December 31, 2014, we were servicing \$1.391 billion of loans for others and held \$6.7 million in escrow for our portfolio of loans serviced for others. The loan servicing portfolio at December 31, 2014 was composed of \$847 million of Freddie Mac residential mortgage loans, \$415 million of Fannie Mae residential mortgage loans and \$129 million of both residential and non-residential mortgage loans serviced for a variety of private investors. The portfolio included loans secured by property located primarily in the states of Washington, Oregon and Idaho. For the year ended December 31, 2014, we recognized \$1.1 million of loan servicing fees in our results of operations, which was net of \$2.1 million of amortization for mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) and included no impairment charges or reversals for a valuation adjustment to MSRs.

Mortgage Servicing Rights: For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we capitalized \$3.0 million, \$2.9 million, and \$3.7 million, respectively, of MSRs relating to loans sold with servicing retained. No MSRs were purchased in those periods. Amortization of MSRs for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$2.1 million, \$2.4 million, and \$2.6 million, respectively. Management periodically evaluates the estimates and assumptions used to determine the carrying values of MSRs and the amortization of MSRs. At December 31, 2014, our MSRs were carried at a value of \$9.0 million, net of amortization, compared to \$8.1 million at December 31, 2013.

The following table sets forth the composition of the Company's loan portfolio, including loans held for sale, by type of loan as of the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

Table 7: Loan Portfolio Analysis

	December 31 2014		2013		2012		2011		2010	
	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent of Total
Commercial real estate:										
Owner-occupied	\$546,783	14.3 %	\$502,601	14.7 %	\$489,581	15.1 %	\$469,806	14.2 %	\$515,093	15.1 %
Investment properties	856,942	22.3	692,457	20.2	583,641	18.0	621,622	18.9	550,610	16.2
Multifamily real estate	167,524	4.4	137,153	4.0	137,504	4.3	139,710	4.2	134,634	4.0
Commercial construction	17,337	0.4	12,168	0.4	30,229	0.9	42,391	1.3	62,707	1.8
Multifamily construction	60,193	1.6	52,081	1.5	22,581	0.7	19,436	0.6	27,394	0.8
One- to four-family construction	219,889	5.7	200,864	5.9	160,815	5.0	144,177	4.4	153,383	4.5
Land and land development:										
Residential	102,435	2.7	75,695	2.2	77,010	2.4	97,491	3.0	167,764	4.9
Commercial	11,152	0.3	10,450	0.3	13,982	0.4	15,197	0.5	32,386	1.0
Commercial business	723,964	18.9	682,169	20.0	618,049	19.1	601,440	18.2	585,457	17.2
Agricultural business, including secured by farmland	238,499	6.2	228,291	6.7	230,031	7.1	218,171	6.6	204,968	6.0
One- to four-family real estate	539,894	14.1	529,494	15.5	581,670	18.0	642,501	19.5	682,924	20.1
Consumer secured by one- to four-family real estate	222,205	5.8	173,188	5.1	170,123	5.3	181,049	5.5	186,036	5.5
Consumer—other	27,003	3.3	121,834	3.5	120,498	3.7	103,347	3.1	99,761	2.9
Total loans outstanding	3,833,820	100.0%	3,418,445	100.0%	3,235,714	100.0%	3,296,338	100.0%	3,403,117	100.0%
Less allowance for loan losses	(75,907)		(74,258)		(77,491)		(82,912)		(97,401)	
Net loans	\$3,757,913		\$3,344,187		\$3,158,223		\$3,213,426		\$3,305,716	

The following table sets forth the Company's loans by geographic concentration at December 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

Table 8: Loans by Geographic Concentration

	Washington	Oregon	Idaho	Other	Total	
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	\$383,950	\$86,937	\$56,348	\$19,548	\$546,783	
Investment properties	523,806	124,604	60,053	148,479	856,942	
Multifamily real estate	116,793	35,527	14,759	445	167,524	
Commercial construction	15,599	—	1,738	—	17,337	
Multifamily construction	50,931	8,850	412	—	60,193	
One- to four-family construction	129,499	88,468	1,922	—	219,889	
Land and land development:						
Residential	56,675	44,707	1,053	—	102,435	
Commercial	5,781	2,529	2,842	—	11,152	
Commercial business	397,103	125,235	85,580	116,046	723,964	
Agricultural business, including secured by farmland	119,617	69,843	48,997	42	238,499	
One-to four-family real estate	341,944	172,974	24,223	753	539,894	
Consumer secured by one- to four-family real estate	136,888	69,172	14,984	1,161	222,205	
Consumer—other	79,520	40,803	6,243	437	127,003	
Total loans outstanding	\$2,358,106	\$869,649	\$319,154	\$286,911	\$3,833,820	
Percent of total loans	61.5	% 22.7	% 8.3	% 7.5	% 100.0	%

The following table sets forth certain information at December 31, 2014 regarding the dollar amount of loans maturing in our portfolio based on their contractual terms to maturity, but does not include scheduled payments or potential prepayments. Demand loans, loans having no stated schedule of repayments and no stated maturity, and overdrafts are reported as due in one year or less. Loan balances are net of unamortized premiums and discounts, include loans held for sale and exclude the allowance for loan losses (in thousands):

Table 9: Loans by Maturity

	Maturing in One Year or Less	Maturing After One to Three Years	Maturing After Three to Five Years	Maturing After Five to Ten Years	Maturing After Ten Years	Total
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	\$16,763	\$57,378	\$64,017	\$307,739	\$100,886	\$546,783
Investment properties	43,555	91,337	96,676	450,446	174,928	856,942
Multifamily real estate	30,452	14,255	5,716	69,872	47,229	167,524
Commercial construction	8,540	6,943	—	1,854	—	17,337
Multifamily construction	24,828	35,365	—	—	—	60,193
One- to four-family construction	202,524	14,102	164	697	2,402	219,889
Land and land development:						
Residential	58,716	40,303	3,260	—	156	102,435
Commercial	3,969	4,884	1,292	489	518	11,152
Commercial business	323,409	127,129	114,875	116,263	42,288	723,964
Agricultural business, including secured by farmland	114,949	24,512	29,300	58,766	10,972	238,499

Edgar Filing: BANNER CORP - Form 10-K

One- to four-family real estate	12,815	13,474	15,548	32,535	465,522	539,894
Consumer secured by one- to four-family real estate	1,730	3,261	3,039	14,979	199,196	222,205
Consumer—other	13,062	12,196	11,658	31,690	58,397	127,003
Total loans	\$855,312	\$445,139	\$345,545	\$1,085,330	\$1,102,494	\$3,833,820

Contractual maturities of loans do not necessarily reflect the actual life of such assets. The average life of loans typically is substantially less than their contractual maturities because of principal repayments and prepayments. In addition, due-on-sale clauses on certain mortgage loans generally give us the right to declare loans immediately due and payable in the event that the borrower sells the real property subject to the mortgage and the loan is not repaid. The average life of mortgage loans tends to increase; however, when current mortgage loan market rates are substantially higher than rates on existing mortgage loans and, conversely, decreases when rates on existing mortgage loans are substantially higher than current mortgage loan market rates.

The following table sets forth the dollar amount of all loans maturing after December 31, 2015 which have fixed interest rates and floating or adjustable interest rates (in thousands):

Table 10: Loans Maturing after One Year

	Fixed Rates	Floating or Adjustable Rates	Total
Commercial real estate:			
Owner-occupied	\$89,695	\$440,325	\$530,020
Investment properties	303,711	509,676	813,387
Multifamily real estate	57,447	79,624	137,071
Commercial construction	4,253	4,545	8,798
Multifamily construction	18,503	16,862	35,365
One- to four-family construction	2,435	14,930	17,365
Land and land development:			
Residential	1,316	42,403	43,719
Commercial	1,562	5,621	7,183
Commercial business	205,883	194,672	400,555
Agricultural business, including secured by farmland	54,612	68,938	123,550
One- to four-family real estate	352,313	174,766	527,079
Consumer secured by one- to four-family real estate	14,160	206,314	220,474
Consumer—other	96,810	17,132	113,942
Total loans maturing after one year	\$1,202,700	\$1,775,808	\$2,978,508

Deposits. We made further progress in 2014 implementing our strategies to strengthen our franchise by remixing our deposits away from higher cost certificates of deposit and emphasizing core deposit activity in non-interest-bearing and other transaction and savings accounts. Increasing core deposits (transaction and savings accounts) is a fundamental element of our business strategy. This strategy continues to improve our cost of funds and increase the opportunity for deposit fee revenues, while stabilizing our funding base. Total deposits increased \$281 million, or 8%, to \$3.899 billion at December 31, 2014 from \$3.618 billion at December 31, 2013, non-interest-bearing deposits increased by \$184 million, or 16%, to \$1.299 billion at year end from \$1.115 billion at December 31, 2013, and interest-bearing transaction and savings accounts increased by \$200 million, or 12%, to \$1.830 billion at December 31, 2014 compared to \$1.630 billion a year earlier. This core deposit growth augmented similarly strong results in 2013 and coupled with significantly better pricing was primarily responsible for the reduced deposit costs and helped us to achieve the strong net interest margin we experienced in 2014. Offsetting these increases, certificates of deposit decreased \$102 million, or 12%, to \$771 million at December 31, 2014 from \$873 million at December 31, 2013. The decrease in certificate balances in 2014 is net of a \$508,000 increase in brokered deposits to \$5 million at December 31, 2014. Most of the decrease in certificates of deposit reflects a reduction in retail certificates as a result of management's pricing decisions designed to allow maturing higher priced certificates to migrate off the balance sheet or into core deposit accounts. In addition to our organic growth in deposits, the Branch Acquisition resulted in a \$207 million increase in deposits, including \$69 million in non-interest bearing deposits, \$105 million in interest-bearing transaction and savings accounts, and \$33 million in certificates of deposit as of December 31, 2014.

The following table sets forth the balances of deposits in the various types of accounts offered by the Banks at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

Table 11: Deposits

	December 31 2014			2013			2012		
	Amount	Percent of Total	Increase (Decrease)	Amount	Percent of Total	Increase (Decrease)	Amount	Percent of Total	
Non-interest-bearing checking	\$1,298,866	33.3 %	\$183,520	\$1,115,346	30.8 %	\$134,106	\$981,240	27.6 %	
Interest-bearing checking	439,480	11.3	16,570	422,910	11.7	12,594	410,316	11.5	
Regular savings	901,142	23.1	102,378	798,764	22.1	70,807	727,957	20.5	
Money market	488,946	12.5	80,735	408,211	11.3	(787)	408,998	11.5	
Total transaction and savings accounts	3,128,434	80.2	383,203	2,745,231	75.9	216,720	2,528,511	71.1	
Certificates maturing:									
Within one year	564,501	14.5	(95,893)	660,394	18.2	(99,232)	759,626	21.3	
After one year, but within two years	117,724	3.1	(65)	117,789	3.3	(35,582)	153,371	4.3	
After two years, but within five years	83,732	2.1	(7,148)	90,880	2.5	(21,892)	112,772	3.2	
After five years	4,559	0.1	927	3,632					