First Financial Northwest, Inc. Form 10-Q November 09, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-O

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE [X]**ACT OF 1934** For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012 or TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE []ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from _____ to ____ Commission File Number: 001-33652 FIRST FINANCIAL NORTHWEST, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) Washington 26-0610707 (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number) 98057 201 Wells Avenue South, Renton, Washington (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code) Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (425) 255-4400

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer [X] Non-accelerated filer [] Smaller reporting company []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [] No [X]

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: As of November 2, 2012, 18,805,168 shares of the issuer's common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, were outstanding.

FIRST FINANCIAL NORTHWEST, INC. FORM 10-Q TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART 1 - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1 - Financial Statements	3
Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	39
Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	57
Item 4 - Controls and Procedures	60
PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1 - Legal Proceedings	61
Item 1A - Risk Factors	61
Item 2 - Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	61
Item 3 - Defaults upon Senior Securities	61
Item 4 - Mine Safety Disclosures	61
Item 5 - Other Information	61
Item 6 - Exhibits	61
SIGNATURES	

Item 1. Financial Statements

FIRST FINANCIAL NORTHWEST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Balance Sheets (Dollars in thousands, except share data) (Unaudited)

Assets	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Cash on hand and in banks	\$5,265	\$4,620
Interest-bearing deposits	103,968	160,141
Investments available-for-sale, at fair value	158,959	129,002
Loans receivable, net of allowance of \$14,168 and \$16,559	650,348	703,288
Premises and equipment, net	18,259	18,922
Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost	7,347	7,413
Accrued interest receivable	3,730	3,856
Federal income tax receivable	60	1,060
Deferred tax assets	1,000	-
Other real estate owned ("OREO")	19,209	26,044
Prepaid expenses and other assets	4,908	5,044
Total assets	\$973,053	\$1,059,390
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Interest-bearing deposits	\$689,950	\$782,652
Noninterest-bearing deposits	6,147	6,013
Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank	83,066	83,066
Advance payments from borrowers for taxes and insurance	4,164	2,093
Accrued interest payable	187	184
Other liabilities	4,577	4,062
Total liabilities	788,091	878,070
	·	ŕ
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' Equity		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; authorized 10,000,000 shares,		
no shares issued or outstanding	-	-
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; authorized 90,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 18,805,168 shares at September 30, 2012		
and December 31, 2011	188	188
Additional paid-in capital	190,085	188,816
Retained earnings, substantially restricted	5,139	3,937
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax	835	511
Unearned Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP") shares) (12,132)
Total stockholders' equity	184,962	181,320
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$973,053	\$1,059,390
 	4270,000	+ 1,000,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

FIRST FINANCIAL NORTHWEST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Operations (Dollars in thousands, except share data) (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Septe	onths Ended ember 30,
*	2012	2011	2012	2011
Interest income	¢0.520	¢11.207	¢20.012	¢25.716
Loans, including fees	\$9,539	\$11,397	\$29,813	\$35,716
Investments available-for-sale	507	926	1,600	3,393
Interest-bearing deposits with banks	111	127	305	297
Total interest income	\$10,157	\$12,450	\$31,718	\$39,406
Interest expense	2.420	2.001	7.007	10.714
Deposits	2,429	3,981	7,997	12,714
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	517	589	1,539	1,748
Total interest expense	\$2,946	\$4,570	\$9,536	\$14,462
Net interest income	7,211	7,880	22,182	24,944
Provision for loan losses	700	1,300	3,050	4,100
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	\$6,511	\$6,580	\$19,132	\$20,844
Noninterest income				
Net gain on sale of investments	-	479	288	1,741
Other	107	77	430	237
Total noninterest income	\$107	\$556	\$718	\$1,978
Noninterest expense				
Compensation and employee benefits	3,680	3,544	10,558	10,047
Occupancy and equipment	391	370	1,191	1,167
Professional fees	460	449	1,401	1,431
Data processing	174	181	540	573
Gain on sale of OREO property, net	(78) (293) (427) (1,427)
OREO market value adjustments	1,157	515	1,702	1,432
OREO related expenses, net	486	540	1,421	2,376
Regulatory assessments	298	578	709	1,900
Insurance and bond premiums	100	248	300	743
Proxy contest and related litigation	264	-	868	-
Marketing	68	43	181	154
Other general and administrative	457	338	1,203	1,111
Total noninterest expense	\$7,457	\$6,513	\$19,647	\$19,507
Income (loss) before benefit for federal income taxes) 623	203	3,315
Federal income tax benefit	(48) -	(999) -
Net income (loss)	\$(791) \$623	\$1,202	\$3,315
Basic income (loss) per share	\$(0.04) \$0.04	\$0.07	\$0.19
Diluted income (loss) per share	\$(0.04) \$0.04	\$0.07	\$0.19
^				

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

FIRST FINANCIAL NORTHWEST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)
(In thousands)
(Unaudited)

		Months Ended tember 30, 2011		Months Ended tember 30, 2011	
Net income (loss)	\$(791) \$623	\$1,202	\$3,315	
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax					
Unrealized holding gains on available-for-sale securities	815	402	612	2,060	
Reclassification adjustment for net gains realized in income	-	(479) (288) (1,741))
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax	815	(77) 324	319	
Income tax benefit related to items of other comprehensive					
income (loss)	-	1,490	-	1,060	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	\$815	\$(1,567) \$324	\$(741))
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$24	\$(944) \$1,526	\$2,574	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

FIRST FINANCIAL NORTHWEST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 (Dollars in thousands, except share data) (Unaudited)

				(Accumulated Other Comprehensiv	e	Total
		Common	Additional Paid-in	Retained	Income,	Unearned ESOP	Stockholders'
	Shares	Stock	Capital	Earnings	Net of Tax	Shares	Equity
Balances at December 31,			·	Ī			
2011	18,805,168	\$188	\$188,816	\$3,937	\$ 511	\$(12,132) \$181,320
Total other comprehensive income, net of							
tax	-	-	-	1,202	324	-	1,526
Compensation related to s and restricted	tock options						
stock awards	-	-	1,472	-	-	-	1,472
Allocation of 84,640 ESOP shares	-	-	(203) -	-	847	644
Balances at September 30,							
2012	18,805,168	\$188	\$190,085	\$5,139	\$ 835	\$(11,285) \$184,962

FIRST FINANCIAL NORTHWEST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Nine	Months Ende 2012	ed Sep	otember 30, 2011
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income	\$	1,202	\$	3,315
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Provision for loan losses		3,050		4,100
OREO market value adjustments		1,702		1,432
Gain on sale of OREO property, net		(427)		(1,427)
Depreciation of premises and equipment		767		792
Net amortization of premiums and discounts on investments		1,260		1,835
ESOP expense		644		446
Compensation expense related to stock options and restricted stock awards		1,472		1,489
Net realized gain on investments available-for-sale		(288)		(1,741)
Loss from disposal of premises and equipment		9		_
Deferred federal income taxes		(1,000)		(1,061)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Prepaid expenses and other assets		136		1,222
Federal income taxes, net		1,000		5,916
Accrued interest receivable		126		714
Accrued interest payable		3		14
Other liabilities		515		513
Investment transactions payable		-		10,000
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	10,171	\$	27,559
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Proceeds from sales or calls of investments		23,200		74,633
Reimbursed (capitalized) improvements on OREO		16		(181)
Proceeds from sales of OREO properties		15,067		25,814
Principal repayments on investments		15,734		24,602
Purchases of investments		(69,539)		(74,679)
Net decrease in loans receivable		40,367		104,393
FHLB stock dividend redemption		66		_
Purchases of premises and equipment		(113)		(55)
Net cash provided by investing activities	\$	24,798	\$	154,527
Balance, carried forward	\$	34,969	\$	182,086

FIRST FINANCIAL NORTHWEST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (In thousands) (Unaudited)

	Nin	e Months End	led Sep	otember 30,
		2012		2011
Balance, brought forward	\$	34,969	\$	182,086
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Net decrease in deposits		(92,568)		(69,681)
Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank		110		-
Repayments of advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank		(110)		-
Net increase in advance payments from borrowers for taxes and insurance		2,071		1,367
Net cash used by financing activities	\$	(90,497)	\$	(68,314)
Net increase (decrease) in cash		(55,528)		113,772
Cash and cash equivalents:				
Beginning of period		164,761		98,427
End of period	\$	109,233	\$	212,199
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:				
Cash paid during the period for:				
Interest	\$	9,533	\$	14,448
Federal income taxes	\$	60	\$	-
Noncash transactions:				
Loans, net of deferred loan fees and allowance for loan losses, transferred to OREO	\$	9,523	\$	20,737

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Note 1 – Description of Business

First Financial Northwest, Inc. ("First Financial Northwest" or the "Company"), a Washington corporation, was formed on June 1, 2007 for the purpose of becoming the holding company for First Savings Bank Northwest ("First Savings Bank" or "the Bank") in connection with the conversion from a mutual holding company structure to a stock holding company structure. First Financial Northwest's business activities generally are limited to passive investment activities and oversight of its investment in First Savings Bank. Accordingly, the information presented in the consolidated financial statements and related data, relates primarily to First Savings Bank. First Financial Northwest is a savings and loan holding company and is subject to regulation by the Federal Reserve Board ("FRB"). First Savings Bank is regulated by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Washington State Department of Financial Institutions ("DFI").

First Savings Bank is a community-based savings bank primarily serving King, and to a lesser extent, Pierce, Snohomish and Kitsap counties through our full-service banking office located in Renton, Washington. First Savings Bank's business consists of attracting deposits from the public and utilizing these deposits to originate one-to-four family residential, multifamily, commercial real estate, business, consumer and construction/land development loans.

As used throughout this report, the terms "we", "our", "us", or the "Company" refer to First Financial Northwest, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiary First Savings Bank Northwest, unless the context otherwise requires.

Note 2 – Regulatory Items

On April 14, 2010, the Office of Thrift Supervision ("OTS") and members of the Board of Directors of First Financial Northwest entered into an informal supervisory agreement or Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU"), which is now enforced by the FRB, the successor to the OTS as the regulator of the holding company. Under the terms of the MOU, the Company agreed, among other things, to provide notice to and obtain a written non-objection from the FRB prior to the Company (a) declaring a dividend or redeeming any capital stock and (b) incurring, issuing, renewing or repurchasing any new debt.

On March 27, 2012, the Bank's regulators, the FDIC and the DFI, terminated the Consent Order ("Order") which became effective on September 24, 2010. In place of the Order, the Bank entered into an MOU which is an informal regulatory action, with the FDIC and DFI. The Order was terminated as a result of the steps the Bank took in complying with the Order, including reducing its level of classified assets, increasing earnings, augmenting management and improving the overall condition of the Bank.

The MOU with the Bank contains provisions concerning the management and directors of the Bank, interest rate risk, minimum capital levels, the allowance for loan and lease losses ("ALLL"), lending and collection policies, policies concerning the Bank and its affiliates, restrictions on paying dividends and a requirement to furnish progress reports to the FDIC and DFI. A copy of the MOU with the Bank is attached to the Form 8-K that we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on April 2, 2012.

Note 3 – Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S.

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") for complete financial statements. These unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, as filed with the SEC. In our opinion, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with GAAP have been included. All significant intercompany balances and transactions between the Company and its subsidiaries have been eliminated in consolidation. Operating results for the three and nine

months ended September 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012. In preparing the unaudited consolidated financial statements, we are required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the ALLL, the valuation of other real estate owned ("OREO") and the underlying collateral of loans in the process of foreclosure, deferred tax assets and the fair value of financial instruments.

Certain amounts in the unaudited consolidated financial statements for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current unaudited financial statement presentation.

Note 4 – Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2011-03, Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements. The ASU amends existing guidance to remove from the assessment of effective control, the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee and, as well, the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-03 were effective for the Company's reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The ASU was applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occurred on or after the effective date and early adoption was not permitted. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs (International Financial Reporting Standards). The ASU amends existing guidance regarding the highest and best use and valuation premise by clarifying these concepts are only applicable to measuring the fair value of nonfinancial assets. The ASU also clarifies that the fair value measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities which have offsetting market risks or counterparty credit risks that are managed on a portfolio basis, when several criteria are met, can be measured at the net risk position. Additional disclosures about Level 3 fair value measurements are required including a quantitative disclosure of the unobservable inputs and assumptions used in the measurement, a description of the valuation process in place, and discussion of the sensitivity of fair value changes in unobservable inputs and interrelationships about those inputs as well as disclosure of the level of the fair value of items that are not measured at fair value in the financial statements but disclosure of fair value is required. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-04 were effective for the Company's reporting period beginning after December 15, 2011 and were applied prospectively. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income. The ASU amends current guidance to allow a company the option of presenting the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The provisions do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. The amendments do not change the option for a company to present components of other comprehensive income either net of related tax effects or before related tax effects, with one amount shown for the aggregate income tax expense (benefit) related to the total of other comprehensive income items. The amendments do not affect how earnings per share is calculated or presented. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-05 were effective for the Company's reporting

periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and were applied retrospectively. Early adoption was permitted and there were no required transition disclosures. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. The ASU requires an entity to offset, and present as a single net amount, a recognized eligible asset and a recognized eligible liability when it has an unconditional and legally enforceable right of setoff and intends either

to settle the asset and liability on a net basis or to realize the asset and settle the liability simultaneously. The ASU requires an entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. This ASU is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the ASU but does not expect it will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-12, Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update 2011-05 ("ASU 2011-12"). This ASU defers only those changes in ASU 2011-05 that relate to the presentation of reclassification adjustments. ASU 2011-12 was issued in order to allow the FASB time to redeliberate whether to present on the face of the financial statements the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the components of net income and other comprehensive income for all periods presented. While the FASB is considering the operational concerns about the presentation requirements for reclassification adjustments and the needs of financial statement users for additional information about reclassification adjustments, the Company will continue to report reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income consistent with the presentation requirements in effect before the issuance of ASU 2011-05. ASU 2011-12 was effective for the Company's financial statements for annual and interim periods beginning after December 31, 2011, and was applied prospectively. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2012-2, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment ("ASU 2012-2"). This ASU states that an entity has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events and circumstances indicates that it is more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. If, after assessing the totality of events and circumstances, an entity concludes that it is not more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, then the entity is not required to take further action. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, it is required to determine the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset and perform the quantitative impairment test by comparing the fair value with the carrying amount, in accordance with Codification Subtopic 350-30, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other, General Intangibles Other than Goodwill. Under guidance in this ASU, an entity also has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment for any indefinite-lived intangible asset in any period and proceed directly to performing the quantitative impairment test. An entity will be able to resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period. This ASU is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted, including for annual and interim impairment tests performed as of a date before July 27, 2012, if a public entity's financial statements for the most recent annual or interim period have not yet been issued. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the ASU but does not expect it will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Note 5 – Investments

Investment securities available-for-sale are summarized as follows:

	September 30, 2012			
		Gross	Gross	
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealize	d
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value
		(In tho	usands)	
Mortgage-backed investments:				
Fannie Mae	\$38,253	\$1,341	\$(1) \$39,593
Freddie Mac	16,439	376	-	16,815
Ginnie Mae	33,199	90	(124) 33,165
Municipal bonds	2,049	11	(233) 1,827
U.S. Government sponsored entities and agencies	67,395	191	(27) 67,559
Total	\$157,335	\$2,009	\$(385) \$158,959
		December	31, 2011	
		Gross	Gross	
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealize	d
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value
		(In tho	usands)	
Mortgage-backed investments:				
Fannie Mae	\$50,981	\$1,182	\$-	\$52,163
Freddie Mac	19,285	560	-	19,845
Ginnie Mae	7,416	79	-	7,495
Municipal bonds	2,085	32	(270) 1,847
U.S. Government sponsored entities and agencies	47,934	2	(284) 47,652
Total	A 107 701	¢ 1 055	Φ (E E A	λ φ120.002
10001	\$127,701	\$1,855	\$(554) \$129,002

The following table summarizes the aggregate fair value and gross unrealized loss by length of time those investments have been continuously in an unrealized loss position:

Less Than 12 Months 12 Months or Longer Total Unrealized Unrealized Unrealized Unrealized Unrealized Unrealized Unrealized Unrealized Eair Value Loss Fair Value Loss Fair Value Loss Fair Value Loss Fair Value Loss (In thousands)	
(In thousands) Mortgage-backed investments: Fannie Mae \$4,892 \$(1) \$- \$- \$4,892 \$(1	ed
Mortgage-backed investments: Fannie Mae \$4,892 \$(1) \$- \$- \$4,892 \$(1	
Fannie Mae \$4,892 \$(1) \$- \$- \$4,892 \$(1	
Ginnia Maa 16.358 (124) 16.358 (124)
Offine Wac 10,336 (124) 10,336 (124)
Municipal bonds 1,173 (233) 1,173 (233)
U.S Government sponsored	
entities and agencies 12,883 (27) 12,883 (27)
Total \$34,133 \$(152) \$1,173 \$(233) \$35,306 \$(385)
December 31, 2011	
Less Than 12 Months 12 Months or Longer Total	
Unrealized Unrealized Unrealized	ed
Fair Value Loss Fair Value Loss Fair Value Loss	
(In thousands)	
Municipal bonds \$- \$- \$1,137 \$(270) \$1,137 \$(270)
U.S. Government sponsored	
entities and agencies 45,039 (284) 45,039 (284)
Total \$45,039 \$(284) \$1,137 \$(270) \$46,176 \$(554)

At September 30, 2012, we had one security with a gross unrealized loss of \$233,000 with a fair value of \$1.2 million that had an unrealized loss for greater than one year. At December 31, 2011, this same security had a gross unrealized loss of \$270,000 with a fair value totaling \$1.1 million that had an unrealized loss for greater than one year. We reviewed the financial condition of the entity underlying this security at both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and determined an other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") was not warranted.

On a quarterly basis, management makes an assessment to determine whether there have been any events or economic circumstances to indicate that a security on which there is an unrealized loss is impaired on an other-than-temporary basis. We consider many factors including the severity and duration of the impairment, recent events specific to the issuer or industry, and for debt securities, external credit ratings and recent downgrades. Securities on which there is an unrealized loss that is deemed to be an OTTI are written down to fair value. For equity securities, the write-down is recorded as a realized loss in noninterest income on our Consolidated Statements of Operations. For debt securities, if we intend to sell the security or it is likely that we will be required to sell the security before recovering its cost basis, the entire impairment loss would be recognized in earnings as an OTTI. If we do not intend to sell the security and it is not likely that we will be required to sell the security but we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security, only the portion of the impairment loss representing credit losses would be recognized in earnings. The credit loss on a security is measured as the difference between the amortized cost basis and the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected. Projected cash flows are discounted by the original or current effective interest rate depending on the nature of the security being measured for potential OTTI. The remaining impairment related to

all other factors, the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and fair value, is recognized as a charge to other comprehensive income ("OCI"). Impairment losses related to all other factors are presented as separate categories within OCI. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we did not have any OTTI losses on investments.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investments available-for-sale at September 30, 2012, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Investments not due at a single maturity date, primarily mortgage-backed investments, are shown separately.

	September 30, 2012 Amortized				
		Cost	F	air Value	
		(In tho	usand	s)	
Due after one year through five years	\$	50,053	\$	50,078	
Due after five years through ten years		4,722		4,760	
Due after ten years		14,669		14,548	
		69,444		69,386	
Mortgage-backed investments		87,891		89,573	
Total	\$	157,335	\$	158,959	

Under Washington state law, in order to participate in the public funds program we are required to pledge as collateral an amount equal to 100% of the public deposits we hold in the form of eligible securities. Investments with a market value of \$15.9 million and \$31.8 million were pledged as collateral for public deposits at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, both of which exceeded the collateral requirements established by the Washington Public Deposit Protection Commission.

We did not sell any investments during the three months ended September 30, 2012. We sold \$43.1 million of investments during the three months ended September 30, 2011, resulting in gross gains of \$487,000. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we sold \$22.9 million and \$72.9 million of investments, respectively, resulting in gross gains of \$294,000 and \$1.7 million, respectively.

There were no gross losses on the sales of investments for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and there were \$8,000 of gross losses for the same period in 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, there were gross losses on the sales of investments of \$6,000 and \$8,000, respectively.

Note 6 - Loans Receivable

Loans receivable are summarized as follows:

	Se	ptember 30, 2012	Dec	cember 31, 2011
		(In tho	usands)	
One-to-four family residential: (1)	\$	313,562	\$	335,412
Multifamily:				
Permanent		107,575		110,148
Construction		-		3,526
		107,575		113,674
Commercial real estate:				
Permanent		200,490		218,032
Construction		12,500		12,500
Land		1,947		1,811
		214,937		232,343
Construction/land development: (2)				
One-to-four family residential		1,625		6,194
Multifamily		806		855
Commercial		-		1,104
Land development		14,435		16,990
		16,866		25,143
Business		3,503		3,909
Consumer		10,778		12,499
Total loans		667,221		722,980
Less:				
Loans in process		931		1,372
Deferred loan fees, net		1,774		1,761
ALLL		14,168		16,559
Loans receivable, net	\$	650,348	\$	703,288

- (1) Includes \$144.5 million and \$147.4 million of non-owner occupied loans at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
- (2) Excludes construction loans that will convert to permanent loans. We consider these loans to be "rollovers" in that one loan is originated for both the construction loan and permanent financing. These loans are classified according to the underlying collateral. As a result, at September 30, 2012, we had \$12.5 million, or 5.8% of our total commercial real estate portfolio and no multifamily loans in these "rollover" type of

loans. At December 31, 2011, we had \$12.5 milllion, or 5.4% of our total commercial

real estate portfolio and \$3.5 million, or 3.1% of our total multifamily loan portfolio

in these "rollover" type of loans. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, \$1.9

million and \$1.8 million, respectively, of commercial real estate land loans were not

included in the construction/land development category because we classify our buildable lots where we do not intend to finance the construction as commercial real estate land loans.

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no loans classified as held for sale.

The following tables represent a summary of our ALLL and loan portfolio by loan type and impairment method:

	One-to-Four	At or F		Months Ended Construction/	September 30,	2012	
	Family	3.6.1.10. 21	Commercial		ъ.		m . 1
A T T T	Residential	Multifamily	Real Estate	Development	Business	Consumer	Total
ALLL:				(In thousands)			
Beginning							
balance	\$5,966	\$2,024	\$5,634	\$567	\$35	\$224	\$14,450
Charge-offs	(681)	-	(1,313) (149)	-	(198) (2,341)
Recoveries	-	-	3	1,355	-	1	1,359
Provision	863	(707)	1,623	(1,275)	-	196	700
Ending balance	\$6,148	\$1,317	\$5,947	\$498	\$35	\$223	\$14,168
General reserve	\$4,831	\$1,317	\$5,741	\$498	\$35	\$223	\$12,645
Specific reserve	\$1,317	\$-	\$206	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$1,523
Loans: (1)							
Total Loans	\$313,562	\$107,575	\$214,937	\$15,935	\$3,503	\$10,778	\$666,290
General reserve							
(2)	\$252,649	\$101,621	\$201,591	\$7,938	\$3,503	\$10,637	\$577,939
Specific reserve							
(3)	\$60,913	\$5,954	\$13,346	\$7,997	\$-	\$141	\$88,351

- (1) Net of undisbursed funds.
- (2) Loans collectively evaluated for impairment.
- (3) Loans individually evaluated for impairment.

	One-to-Four			s Ended Septer Construction/	mber 30, 2012		
	Family	M14:60:1	Commercial Part Fortage	Land	Dusinasa	Communi	T-4-1
ALLL:	Residential (In thousand	Multifamily ls)	Real Estate	Development	Business	Consumer	Total
Beginning							
balance	\$5,756	\$950	\$6,846	\$2,503	\$154	\$350	\$16,559
Charge-offs	(2,018) (153)	(4,409)	(318)	-	(491)	(7,389)
Recoveries	12	-	475	1,457	-	4	1,948
Provision	2,398	520	3,035	(3,144)	(119)	360	3,050
Ending balance	\$6,148	\$1,317	\$5,947	\$498	\$35	\$223	\$14,168
-							
General reserve	\$4,831	\$1,317	\$5,741	\$498	\$35	\$223	\$12,645
Specific reserve	\$1,317	\$-	\$206	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$1,523
Loans: (1)							
Total Loans	\$313,562	\$107,575	\$214,937	\$15,935	\$3,503	\$10,778	\$666,290
	\$252,649	\$101,621	\$201,591	\$7,938	\$3,503	\$10,637	\$577,939

General reserve

(2)

Specific reserve							
(3)	\$60,913	\$5,954	\$13,346	\$7,997	\$-	\$141	\$88,351

- (1) Net of undisbursed funds.
- (2) Loans collectively evaluated for impairment.
- (3) Loans individually evaluated for impairment.

	At or For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011								
	One-to-Four Construction/								
	Family		Commercial	Land					
	Residential	Multifamily	Real Estate	Development	Business	Consumer	Total		
ALLL:				(In thousands)					
Beginning									
balance	\$5,499	\$984	\$7,279	\$2,893	\$14	\$320	\$16,989		
Charge-offs	(371)	-	(590	(633)	-	(92	(1,686)		
Recoveries	12	-	-	18	-	1	31		
Provision	164	5	429	457	127	118	1,300		
Ending balance	\$5,304	\$989	\$7,118	\$2,735	\$141	\$347	\$16,634		
General reserve	\$4,739	\$989	\$7,074	\$2,735	\$141	\$347	\$16,025		
Specific reserve	\$565	\$-	\$44	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$609		
Loans: (1)									
Total Loans	\$346,222	\$116,461	\$236,775	\$28,821	\$3,531	\$13,898	\$745,708		
General reserve									
(2)	\$280,334	\$113,311	\$220,966	\$16,930	\$3,531	\$13,784	\$648,856		
Specific reserve									
(3)	\$65,888	\$3,150	\$15,809	\$11,891	\$-	\$114	\$96,852		

- (1) Net of undisbursed funds.
- (2) Loans collectively evaluated for impairment.

(3) Loans individually evaluated for impairment.

At or For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011								
•								
Residential	Multifamily	Real Estate	•		Consumer	Total		
			(In thousands)					
\$8,302	\$1,893	\$6,742	\$5,151	\$7	\$439	\$22,534		
(1,987)	(88)	(4,184)	(3,815)	-	(263)	(10,337)		
31	-	-	304	-	2	337		
(1,042)	(816)	4,560	1,095	134	169	4,100		
\$5,304	\$989	\$7,118	\$2,735	\$141	\$347	\$16,634		
\$4,739	\$989	\$7,074	\$2,735	\$141	\$347	\$16,025		
\$565	\$-	\$44	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$609		
\$346,222	\$116,461	\$236,775	\$28,821	\$3,531	\$13,898	\$745,708		
\$280,334	\$113,311	\$220,966	\$16,930	\$3,531	\$13,784	\$648,856		
\$65,888	\$3,150	\$15,809	\$11,891	\$-	\$114	\$96,852		
	(1,987) 31 (1,042) \$5,304 \$4,739 \$565 \$346,222 \$280,334	One-to-Four Family Residential Multifamily \$8,302 \$1,893 (1,987) (88) 31 - (1,042) (816) \$5,304 \$989 \$5,304 \$989 \$565 \$- \$346,222 \$116,461 \$280,334 \$113,311	One-to-Four Family Commercial Residential Multifamily Real Estate \$8,302 \$1,893 \$6,742 (1,987) (88) (4,184) 31 - - (1,042) (816) 4,560 \$5,304 \$989 \$7,118 \$4,739 \$989 \$7,074 \$565 \$- \$44 \$346,222 \$116,461 \$236,775 \$280,334 \$113,311 \$220,966	Cone-to-Four Family Commercial Commercial Commercial Residential Commercial Multifamily Commercial Real Estate Real Estate Development (In thousands) \$8,302 \$1,893 \$6,742 \$5,151 (1,987 (88 (4,184) (3,815) 31 - - 304 (1,042 (816) 4,560 1,095 \$5,304 \$989 \$7,118 \$2,735 \$4,739 \$989 \$7,074 \$2,735 \$565 \$- \$44 \$- \$346,222 \$116,461 \$236,775 \$28,821 \$280,334 \$113,311 \$220,966 \$16,930	Cone-to-Four Family Commercial Commercial Estate Land Development (In thousands) Business \$8,302 \$1,893 \$6,742 \$5,151 \$7 (1,987) (88) (4,184) (3,815) - 31 - - 304 - (1,042) (816) 4,560 1,095 134 \$5,304 \$989 \$7,118 \$2,735 \$141 \$4,739 \$989 \$7,074 \$2,735 \$141 \$565 \$- \$44 \$- \$- \$346,222 \$116,461 \$236,775 \$28,821 \$3,531 \$280,334 \$113,311 \$220,966 \$16,930 \$3,531	Cone-to-Four Family Commercial Residential Commercial Multifamily Commercial Real Estate Land Development (In thousands) Business Consumer Consumer \$8,302 \$1,893 \$6,742 \$5,151 \$7 \$439 (1,987 (88) (4,184) (3,815) - (263) 31 - - 304 - 2 (1,042) (816) 4,560 1,095 134 169 \$5,304 \$989 \$7,118 \$2,735 \$141 \$347 \$4,739 \$989 \$7,074 \$2,735 \$141 \$347 \$565 \$- \$44 \$- \$- \$- \$346,222 \$116,461 \$236,775 \$28,821 \$3,531 \$13,898 \$280,334 \$113,311 \$220,966 \$16,930 \$3,531 \$13,784		

Specific reserve

(3)

- (1) Net of undisbursed funds.
- (2) Loans collectively evaluated for impairment.
- (3) Loans individually evaluated for impairment.

Nonperforming loans, net of undisbursed funds, were \$23.6 million and \$23.7 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Foregone interest on nonaccrual loans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$338,000 and \$1.1 million, respectively. Foregone interest for the same periods in 2011 was \$527,000 and \$2.1 million, respectively.

There were no loans committed to be advanced in connection with impaired loans at September 30, 2012. At December 31, 2011, \$36,000 was committed to be advanced in connection with impaired loans.

Our loan portfolio is constantly being monitored for delinquent loans and changes in the financial condition of each borrower. When an issue is identified with one of our borrowers and it is determined that the loan needs to be classified as nonperforming and/or impaired, an evaluation of the collateral is performed prior to the end of the financial reporting period and, if necessary, an appraisal is ordered in accordance with our appraisal policy guidelines. Based on this evaluation, any additional provision for loan loss or charge-offs that may be needed is recorded prior to the end of the financial reporting period.

A loan is considered impaired when we have determined that we may be unable to collect payments of principal or interest when due under the terms of the loan. When identifying loans as impaired, management takes into consideration factors which include payment history and status, collateral value, financial condition of the borrower and the probability of collecting scheduled payments in the future. Minor payment delays and insignificant payment shortfalls typically do not result in a loan being classified as impaired. The significance of payment delays and shortfalls is considered by management on a case-by-case basis, after taking into consideration the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including payment history and the amounts of any payment shortfall, length and reason for delay and the likelihood of a return to stable performance. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis for all loans in the portfolio. We obtain annual updated appraisals for impaired collateral dependent loans that exceed \$1.0 million and loans that have been transferred to OREO. In addition, we may order appraisals on properties not included within these guidelines when there are extenuating circumstances where we are not otherwise able to determine the fair value of the property.

The following tables present a summary of loans individually evaluated for impairment by the type of loan:

	September 30, 2012					
				Unpaid		
		Recorded	Principal		R	Related
		vestment]	Balance		
	(1))		(2)	Al	lowance
			(In th	ousands)		
Loans with no related allowance:						
One-to-four family residential:						
Owner occupied	\$	6,437	\$	7,538	\$	-
Non-owner occupied		35,339		35,742		-
Multifamily		5,954		6,136		-
Commercial real estate		7,647		10,900		-
Construction/land development		7,997		12,671		-
Consumer		141		176		-
Total		63,515		73,163		-
Loans with an allowance:						
One-to-four family residential:						
Owner occupied		5,376		5,547		377
Non-owner occupied		13,761		13,965		940
Commercial real estate		5,699		5,699		206
Total		24,836		25,211		1,523
Total impaired loans:						
One-to-four family residential:						
Owner occupied		11,813		13,085		377
Non-owner occupied		49,100		49,707		940
Multifamily		5,954		6,136		_
Commercial real estate		13,346		16,599		206
Construction/land development		7,997		12,671		_
Consumer		141		176		_
Total	\$	88,351	\$	98,374	\$	1,523
10mi	Ψ	50,551	Ψ	,0,5,1	Ψ	1,020

⁽¹⁾ Represents the loan balance less charge-offs.

⁽²⁾ Contractual loan principal balance.

		December 31, 2011 Unpaid				
		Recorded evestment]	Principal	Related	
		(1)		alance (2) nousands)	All	owance
Loans with no related allowance:				ĺ		
One-to-four family residential:						
Owner occupied	\$	8,007	\$	8,931	\$	-
Non-owner occupied		40,406		42,794		_
Multifamily		3,453		3,578		-
Commercial real estate		12,802		15,957		-
Construction/land development		9,199		22,776		-
Consumer		70		70		-
Total		73,937		94,106		-
Loans with an allowance:						
One-to-four family residential:						
Owner occupied		4,588		4,724		180
Non-owner occupied		9,575		9,735		325
Commercial real estate		1,817		1,817		59
Total		15,980		16,276		564
Tatal impoint discours						
Total impaired loans:						
One-to-four family residential:		12 505		12 655		100
Owner occupied		12,595		13,655		180
Non-owner occupied		49,981		52,529		325
Multifamily		3,453		3,578		- 50
Commercial real estate		14,619		17,774		59
Construction/land development		9,199		22,776		_
Consumer	ф	70	¢	70	ф	- 5(1
Total	\$	89,917	\$	110,382	\$	564
(1) Represents the loan balance less cl	harge_offs					

⁽¹⁾ Represents the loan balance less charge-offs.

⁽²⁾ Contractual loan principal balance.

	ee Months Ended Average Recorded vestment (1)	l Septe	ember 30, 2012 Interest Income Recognized (In thou	Nine Months Ended Average Recorded Investment (1) sands)		Interest Income Recognized
Loans with no related allowance:			`	,		
One-to-four family residential:						
Owner occupied	\$ 7,284	\$	46	\$ 7,813	\$	119
Non-owner occupied	36,147		497	37,738		1,545
Multifamily	4,620		143	4,035		234
Commercial real estate	8,106		75	11,411		255
Construction/land development	8,364		-	8,729		-
Consumer	211		1	182		3
Total	64,732		762	69,908		2,156
Loans with an allowance:						
One-to-four family residential:						
Owner occupied	4,814		56	4,617		185
Non-owner occupied	11,615		298	10,489		519
Commercial real estate	5,709		73	3,885		212
Total	22,138		427	18,991		916
Total impaired loans:						
One-to-four family residential:						
Owner occupied	12,098		102	12,430		304
Non-owner occupied	47,762		795	48,227		2,064
Multifamily	4,620		143	4,035		234
Commercial real estate	13,815		148	15,296		467
Construction/land development	8,364		-	8,729		-
Consumer	211		1	182		3
Total	\$ 86,870	\$	1,189	\$	\$	3,072

(1) Represents the loan balance less charge-offs.

	Three Months Ende	ember 30, 2011	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011			
	Average		Interest	Average		Interest
	Recorded		Income	Recorded		Income
	Investment (1)		Recognized	Investment (1)		Recognized
			(In thou	isands)		
Loans with no related						
allowance:						
One-to-four family						
residential:						
Owner occupied	\$ 7,618	\$	40	\$ 7,7	47	\$ 126
Non-owner	44,759		552	44,8	58	1,683
occupied						
Multifamily	3,154		42	2,8	33	126
Commercial real estate	13,311		112	11,7	44	329
Construction/land	13,786		-	11,6	87	-
development						
Consumer	114		1	1	23	2
Total	82,742		747	78,9	92	2,266
Loans with an allowance:						
One-to-four family						
residential:						
Owner occupied	5,207		57	5,2	16	193
Non-owner	8,683		194	9,4	10	384
occupied						
Multifamily	-		-	1	75	-
Commercial real estate	3,742		47	6,5	94	151
Construction/land	-		-	9,3	32	-
development						
Consumer	-		-		20	-
Total	17,632		298	30,7	47	728
Total impaired loans:						
One-to-four family						
residential:						
Owner occupied	12,825		97	12,9	63	319
Non-owner	53,442		746	54,2	68	2,067
occupied						
Multifamily	3,154		42	3,0	08	126
Commercial real estate	17,053		159	18,3	38	480
Construction/land	13,786		-	21,0		-
development						
Consumer	114		1	1	43	2
Total	\$ 100,374	\$	1,045	\$ 109,7	39	\$ 2,994

(1) Represents the loan balance less charge-offs.

We account for certain loan modifications or restructurings as troubled debt restructured loans ("TDRs"). In general, the modification or restructuring of a debt is considered a TDR if we, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties, grant a concession to the borrower that we would not otherwise consider. A loan that is determined to be classified as a TDR is generally reported as a TDR until the loan is paid in full or otherwise settled, sold or charged-off. The following is a summary of information pertaining to nonperforming assets and TDRs:

	Sep	tember 30, 2012	Dec	December 31, 2011		
		(In	thousands)			
Nonperforming assets (1):						
Nonaccrual loans	\$	18,570	\$	18,613		
Nonaccrual TDRs		5,013		5,079		
Total nonaccrual loans		23,583		23,692		
OREO		19,209		26,044		
Total nonperforming assets	\$	42,792	\$	49,736		
Performing TDRs	\$	64,768	\$	66,225		
Nonaccrual TDRs		5,013		5,079		
Total TDRs	\$	69,781	\$	71,304		

⁽¹⁾ There were no loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

The accrual status of a loan may change after it has been classified as a TDR. Once the loan is restructured, a current, well-documented credit evaluation of the borrower's financial condition and prospects for repayment are performed to assess the likelihood that all principal and interest payments required under the terms of the modified agreement will be collected in full.

Nonaccrual and Past Due Loans. Loans are considered past due if the required principal and interest payments have not been received as of the date such payments were due. Loans are placed on nonaccrual when they are 90 days delinquent or when, in management's opinion, the borrower is unable to meet scheduled payment obligations.

In order to return a nonaccrual loan to accrual status, each loan is evaluated on a case-by-case basis. We evaluate the borrower's financial condition to ensure that future loan payments are reasonably assured. We also take into consideration the borrower's willingness and ability to make the loan payments and historical repayment performance. We require the borrower to make the loan payments consistently for a period of at least six months as agreed to under the terms of the modified loan agreement before we will consider reclassifying the loan to accrual status.

The following table is a summary of nonaccrual loans by loan type:

	September 30,		December 31,		
	20)12	2011		
		(In thous	sands)		
One-to-four family residential	\$	8,447	\$	9,808	
Multifamily		4,711		949	
Commercial real estate		2,287		3,736	

Edgar Filing: First Financial Northwest, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Construction/land development	7,997	9,199
Consumer	141	-
Total nonaccrual	\$ 23,583	\$ 23,692
loans		

The following tables represent a summary of the aging of loans by type:

Loans Past Due at September 30, 2012							
			90 Days			Total Loans	
	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	and Greater	Total	Current	(1)(2)	
			(In tho	usands)			
Real estate:							
One-to-four family residential:							
Owner occupied	\$2,376	\$672	\$4,008	\$7,056	\$161,995	\$169,051	
Non-owner occupied	-	-	885	885	143,626	144,511	
Multifamily	1,800	2,703	-	4,503	103,072	107,575	
Commercial real estate	4,803	129	1,934	6,866	208,071	214,937	
Construction/land development	166	-	4,015	4,181	11,754	15,935	
Total real estate	9,145	3,504	10,842	23,491	628,518	652,009	
Business	-	-	-	-	3,503	3,503	
Consumer	345	644	119	1,108	9,670	10,778	
Total	\$9,490	\$4,148	\$10,961	\$24,599	\$641,691	\$666,290	

- (1) There were no loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest at September 30, 2012.
- (2) Net of undisbursed funds.

	Loans Past Due at December 31, 2011					
			90 Days			Total Loans
	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	and Greater	Total	Current	(1)(2)
	j	J	(In thousands)			
Real estate:			·	ĺ		
One-to-four family residential:						
Owner occupied	\$2,594	\$1,318	\$4,076	\$7,988	\$180,009	\$187,997
Non-owner occupied	761	-	3,224	3,985	143,394	147,379
Multifamily	-	-	949	949	112,314	113,263
Commercial real estate	633	-	2,621	3,254	228,583	231,837
Construction/land development	_	-	9,199	9,199	15,525	24,724
Total real estate	3,988	1,318	20,069	25,375	679,825	705,200
Business	240	-	-	240	3,669	3,909
Consumer	1,133	-	-	1,133	11,366	12,499
Total	\$5,361	\$1,318	\$20,069	\$26,748	\$694,860	\$721,608
Non-owner occupied Multifamily Commercial real estate Construction/land development Total real estate Business Consumer	761 - 633 - 3,988 240 1,133	- - - 1,318	3,224 949 2,621 9,199 20,069	3,985 949 3,254 9,199 25,375 240 1,133	143,394 112,314 228,583 15,525 679,825 3,669 11,366	147,3° 113,20 231,8° 24,724 705,20 3,909 12,499

- (1) There were no loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest at December 31, 2011.
- (2) Net of undisbursed funds.

Credit Quality Indicators. We utilize a nine-point risk rating system and assign a risk rating for all credit exposures. The risk rating system is designed to define the basic characteristics and identify risk elements of each credit extension. Credits risk rated 1 through 5 are considered to be "pass" credits. Pass credits can be assets where there is virtually no credit risk, such as cash secured loans with funds on deposit with the Bank. Pass credits also include credits that are on our watch list, where the borrower exhibits potential weaknesses, which may, if not checked or

corrected, negatively affect the borrower's financial capacity and threaten their ability to fulfill debt obligations in the future. Credits classified as special mention are risk rated 6 and possess weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. Special mention assets do not expose the Bank to sufficient risk to warrant adverse classification in the substandard, doubtful or loss categories. Substandard credits are risk rated 7. An asset is considered substandard if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and payment capacity of the borrower or of any collateral pledged. Substandard assets include those characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as doubtful are risk rated 8 and have all the weaknesses inherent in those credits classified as substandard with the added characteristic that the

weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values. Assets classified as loss are risk rated 9 and are considered uncollectible and cannot be justified as a viable asset for the Bank.

The following tables represent a summary of loans by type and risk category:

						Septe	emb	per 30, 2012						
		(One-to-Four					Construction/						
		Family				Commercial	Land							
		I	Residential	Mι	ultifamily	Real Estate		Development	Βυ	isiness	Co	nsumer	T	otal (1)
						(Ir	n th	ousands)						
F	Risk Rating:													
	Pass	\$	289,037	\$	101,621	\$ 193,505	\$	7,772	\$	3,503	\$	9,778	\$	605,216
	Special mention		12,283		-	13,277		166		-		644		26,370
	Substandard	l	12,242		5,954	8,155		7,997		-		356		34,704
	Total	\$	313,562	\$	107,575	\$ 214,937	\$	15,935	\$	3,503	\$	10,778	\$	666,290

(1) Net of undisbursed funds.

December 31, 2011

	Fa	ne-to-Four amily esidential	Μι	ıltifamily	Commercial Real Estate (In	Construction/ Land Development ousands)	Bu	siness	Co	onsumer	Т	Cotal (1)
Risk Rating:												
Pass	\$	307,807	\$	106,900	\$ 203,997	\$ 15,101	\$	3,909	\$	11,822	\$	649,536
Special mention		13,193		5,414	14,256	424		-		488		33,775
Substandard	1	14,376		949	13,584	9,199		_		189		38,297
Total	\$	335,376	\$	113.263	\$ 231.837	\$ 24.724	\$	3.909	\$	12.499	\$	721,608

(1) Net of undisbursed funds.

The following tables summarize the loan portfolio by type and payment activity:

						Sep	otemb	er 30, 2012						
	On	e-to-Four					Cor	nstruction/						
	Family				C	Commercial Land								
	Re	sidential	Mι	ıltifamily	R	eal Estate	De	velopment	Βι	isiness	Co	nsumer	T	otal (3)
						((In the	ousands)						
Performing (1)	\$	305,115	\$	102,864	\$	212,650	\$	7,938	\$	3,503	\$	10,637	\$	642,707
Nonperforming		8,447		4,711		2,287		7,997		-		141		23,583
(2)														
Tota	1 \$	313,562	\$	107,575	\$	214,937	\$	15,935	\$	3,503	\$	10,778	\$	666,290

- (1) There were \$163.4 million of owner-occupied one-to-four family residential loans and \$141.7 million of non-owner occupied one-to-four family residential loans classified as performing.
- (2) There were \$5.7 million of owner-occupied one-to-four family residential loans and \$2.8 million of non-owner occupied one-to-four family residential loans classified as nonperforming.
- (3) Net of undisbursed funds.

						De	cemb	per 31, 2011						
	Or	e-to-Four			Construction/									
	Fa	Family				Commercial Land								
	Re	sidential	Μι	ıltifamily	R	eal Estate	D	evelopment	Βι	isiness	Co	nsumer	T	otal (3)
							(In th	nousands)						
Performing (1)	\$	325,568	\$	112,314	\$	228,101	\$	15,525	\$	3,909	\$	12,499	\$	697,916
Nonperforming		9,808		949		3,736		9,199		-		-		23,692
(2)														
Tota	1 \$	335,376	\$	113,263	\$	231,837	\$	24,724	\$	3,909	\$	12,499	\$	721,608

- (1) There were \$183.0 million of owner-occupied one-to-four family residential loans and \$142.6 million of non-owner occupied one-to-four family residential loans classified as performing.
- (2) There were \$5.0 million of owner-occupied one-to-four family residential loans and \$4.8 million of non-owner occupied one-to-four family residential loans classified as nonperforming.
- (3) Net of undisbursed funds.

Management considers the following in determining the accrual status of restructured loans: (1) if the loan was on accrual status prior to the restructuring, the borrower has demonstrated performance under the previous terms, and our credit evaluation shows the borrower's capacity to continue to perform under the restructured terms (both principal and interest payments), the loan will remain on accrual at the time of the restructuring; (2) if the loan was on nonaccrual status before the restructuring, and our credit evaluation shows the borrower's capacity to meet the restructured terms, the loan would remain as nonaccrual for a minimum of six months until the borrower has demonstrated a reasonable period of sustained repayment performance (thereby providing reasonable assurance as to the ultimate collection of principal and interest in full under the modified terms).

The following table presents TDRs and their recorded investment prior to the modification and after the modification:

	Three M	onths Ended Seconds	_		Nine Months Ended September 30 2012		
	Number of Loans		Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment (Dollars in	Number of Loans thousands)	Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	
TDRs that Occurred During the Period:							
One-to-four family residential:							
Principal and interest with interest rate concession	21	\$ 3,750	\$ 3,738	22	\$ 3,964	\$ 3,950	
Principal and interest reamortized no interest rate concession	1	71	71	1	71	71	
Commercial real estate:	1	71	71	1	, 1	71	
Principal and interest with interest rate				1	1 415	1 400	
concession	_	-	-	1	1,415	1,400	
Interest only payments with interest rate concession	_	_		2	2,508	2,504	
Total	22	\$ 3,821	\$ 3,809	26	\$ 7,958	\$ 7,925	
		nths Ended Se	·		nths Ended Se	·	
		2011	•		2011		
		Pre-	Post-		Pre-	Post-	
		Modification				Modification	
	Number	Outstanding	_	Number	-	Outstanding	
	of	Recorded	Recorded	of	Recorded	Recorded	
	Loans	Investment	Investment (Dellars in t	Loans	Investment	Investment	
TDRs that Occurred During the			(Dollars in t	mousanus)			
Period:							
One-to-four family residential: A/B note restructure		\$ -	\$ -	6	\$ 1,130	\$ 635	
Interest only payments with interest	-	φ-	φ -	U	\$ 1,130	ф 03 <i>3</i>	
rate							
concession	1	1,019	1,017	12	4,459	4,451	
Principal and interest with interest rate							
concession	14	2,471	2,464	23	6,190	6,069	
Interest only payments with no interest rate	-	-	-	2	527	510	

concession						
Total	15	\$ 3,490	\$ 3,481	43	\$ 12,306	\$ 11,665

At September 30, 2012, the Company had no commitments to extend additional credit to borrowers whose loan terms have been modified in TDRs. All TDRs are classified as impaired loans and are included in the loans individually evaluated for impairment in the calculation of the ALLL.

The TDRs that occurred during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 were primarily a result of granting the borrower interest rate concessions for a period of time ranging from one to three years. The impaired portion of the loan with an interest rate concession for a specific period of time is calculated based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. The effective interest rate is the rate of return implicit on the original loan. This impaired amount reduces the ALLL and a valuation allowance is established to reduce the loan balance. As loan payments are received in future periods, the ALLL entry is reversed and the valuation allowance is reduced utilizing the level yield method over the modification period. TDRs resulted in charge-offs to the ALLL of \$107,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and \$751,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

The following is a summary of loans that were modified as TDRs within the previous 12 months and for which there was a payment default during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012

Interest Only

Types of Modifications

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

			Payment	S				Interest Only		
	Num		with		Interest	Numb		Payments with	1	Interest
	of		nterest Ra		Rate	of		Interest Rate		Rate
	Loa	ns (Concessio	n	Concession		-	Concession	C	oncession
					(Dollars	in thousands))			
TDRs that Subsequent	ly									
Defaulted:										
One-to-four family										
residential	2	\$	377		\$ -	2	\$	377	\$	-
Commercial real estate			495		-	2		1,895		-
Total	3	\$	872		\$ -	4	\$	2,272	\$	-
					Types of M	Iodifications				
	Three Mo	onths Ende	ed Septen	nber 3	0, 2011	Nine Mo	onths En	ded Septemb	er 30	, 2011
		Interes	t Only				Inte	erest Only		
		Payn	nents				Pa	ayments		
•	Number	Wi	th		Interest			with		Interest
	of	Interes	st Rate		Rate	Number	Inte	erest Rate		Rate
	Loans	Conce	ession	C	oncession	of Loans	Co	ncession	C	oncession
					(Dollars in	thousands)				
TDRs that										
Subsequently										
Defaulted:										
One-to-four family	3	\$	-	\$	2,233	4	\$	391	\$	2,233

TDRs that default after they have been modified are typically evaluated individually on a collateral basis. Any additional impairment further reduces the ALLL.

Note 7 – Other Real Estate Owned

residential

The following table is a summary of OREO:

		Three Months Ended September 30,		onths Ended ember 30,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
		(In the	ousands)	
Beginning balance	\$22,206	\$25,979	\$26,044	\$30,102
Loans transferred to OREO	1,501	4,787	9,523	20,737
Capitalized (reimbursed) improvements	(16) 91	(16) 181

Dispositions of OREO	(3,325) (5,141) (14,640) (24,387)
Market value adjustments	(1,157) (515) (1,702) (1,432)
Ending balance	\$19,209	\$25,201	\$19,209	\$25,201	

OREO includes properties acquired by the Bank through foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure. OREO at September 30, 2012 consisted of \$5.1 million in one-to-four family residential homes, \$560,000 in multifamily properties, \$3.0 million in construction/land development projects and \$10.6 million in commercial real estate properties.

Note 8 – Fair Value

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

FIRST FINANCIAL NORTHWEST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES SELECTED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

We determined the fair values of our financial instruments based on the fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair values. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our estimates for market assumptions.

Valuation inputs refer to the assumptions market participants would use in pricing a given asset or liability using one of the three valuation techniques. Inputs can be observable or unobservable. Observable inputs are those assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the particular asset or liability. These inputs are based on market data and are obtained from an independent source. Unobservable inputs are assumptions based on our own information or estimate of assumptions used by market participants in pricing the asset or liability. Unobservable inputs are based on the best and most current information available on the measurement date.

All inputs, whether observable or unobservable, are ranked in accordance with a prescribed fair value hierarchy:

- Level 1 Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.
- · Level 2 Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable.
- Level 3 Instruments whose significant value drivers are unobservable.

The tables below present the balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis (there were no transfers between Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3 recurring measurements during the periods presented):

	Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2012 Quoted					
		Prices in Active	Significant			
		Markets	Other	Significant		
	Fair Value	for Identical	Observable	Unobservable		
		Assets	Inputs	Inputs (Level		
	Measurements	,	(Level 2)	3)		
A '111 C 1 '		(In tho	usands)			
Available-for-sale investments:						
Mortgage-backed investments:	Φ20.702	Ф	Φ20, 502	ф		
Fannie Mae	\$39,593	\$-	\$39,593	\$ -		
Freddie Mac	16,815	-	16,815	-		
Ginnie Mae	33,165	-	33,165	-		
Municipal bonds	1,827	-	1,827	-		
U.S. Government sponsored entities and agencies	67,559	-	67,559	-		
Total	\$158,959	\$-	\$158,959	\$ -		
	Foir Wolve	a Maasumaman	ts at Dagamba	21 2011		
	rair vaiu		ents at December 31, 2011			
		Quoted	Ciamificant			
		Prices in Active	Significant			
		Markets	Other	Cignificant		
	Fair Value	for Identical	Observable	Significant Unobservable		
	rair value	Assets				
	Measurements		Inputs (Level 2)	Inputs (Level		
	Measurements	,	usands)	3)		
Available-for-sale investments:		(III tilo	usanus)			
Mortgage-backed investments:						
Fannie Mae	\$52,163	\$-	\$52,163	\$ -		
Freddie Mac	19,845		19,845	•		
Ginnie Mae		-		-		
	7,495	-	7,495	-		
Municipal bonds	1,847	-	1,847	-		
U.S. Government sponsored entities and agencies	47,652	- \$-	47,652	- \$ -		
Total	\$129,002	D -	\$129,002	D -		

The estimated fair value of Level 2 investments is based on quoted prices for similar investments in active markets, identical or similar investments in markets that are not active and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable.

The tables below present the balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis:

		Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2012									
		Quoted									
		Prices in	Significant								
		Active									
		Markets	Other	Significant							
	Fair Value	for Identical	Observable	Unobservable	Total						
		Assets (Level	Inputs (Level								
	Measurements	1)	2)	Inputs (Level 3)	Losses						
			(In thousands))							
Impaired loans (included in											
loans											
receivable, net) (1) (2)	\$ 86,828	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 86,828	\$ 1,523						
OREO (3)	19,209	-	-	19,209	1,157						
Total	\$ 106,037	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 106,037	\$ 2,680						

⁽¹⁾ The loss represents the specific reserve against loans that were considered impaired at September 30, 2012.

⁽³⁾ The loss represents OREO market value adjustments for the quarter ended September 30, 2012.

		Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2011 Quoted Prices							
		in Active	Significant						
		Markets for	Other	Significant					
	Fair Value	Identical Assets	Observable Inputs (Level	Unobservable	Total				
	Measurements	(Level 1)	2) (In thousands)	Inputs (Level 3)	Losses				
Impaired loans including undisbursed									
but committed funds of \$36 (included									
in loans receivable, net) (1)	\$ 89,389	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 89,389	\$ 564				
OREO (2)	26,044	-	-	26,044	1,924				
Total	\$ 115,433	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 115,433	\$ 2,488				

⁽¹⁾ The loss represents the specific reserve against loans that were considered impaired at December 31, 2011.

The fair value of impaired loans is calculated using the collateral value method or on a discounted cash flow basis. Inputs used in the collateral value method include appraised values, estimates of certain completion costs and closing and selling costs. Some of these inputs may not be observable in the marketplace. Appraised values may be discounted based on management's historical knowledge, changes in market conditions from the time of valuation,

⁽²⁾ There were no undisbursed funds at September 30, 2012.

⁽²⁾ The loss represents OREO market value adjustments for the year ended December 31, 2011.

and/or management's expertise and knowledge of the borrower.

OREO properties are measured at the lower of their carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on third party appraisals of the property, resulting in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized.

31

The following table presents quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements for financial instruments measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

	,	September 3	0, 2012		_	
	Fair Value (Dollars in thou		Valuation Technique(s) ousands)	Unobservable Input(s)	Range (Weighted Average)	
Impaired loans	\$	86,828	Market approach	Adjusted for differences between comparable sales	0% - 100% (1.4%)	
OREO	\$	19,209	Market approach	Adjusted for differences between comparable sales	0% - 30% (13.0%)	

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments were as follows:

		Se	September 30, 2012						
	Carrying	Estimated	Fair Val	ue Measuremen	ts Using:				
	Value	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3				
			(In thousands)						
Financial Assets:									
Cash on hand and in banks	\$ 5,265	\$ 5,265	\$ 5,265	\$ -	\$ -				
Interest-bearing deposits	103,968	103,968	103,968	-	-				
Investments									
available-for-sale	158,959	158,959	-	158,959	-				
Loans receivable, net	650,348	681,776	-	-	681,776				
Federal Home Loan Bank									
stock	7,347	7,347	7,347	-	-				
Accrued interest receivable	3,730	3,730	-	3,730	-				
Financial Liabilities:									
Deposits	195,233	195,233	195,233	-	-				
Certificates of deposit	500,864	505,033	-	-	505,033				
Advances from the FHLB	83,066	84,165	-	84,165	-				
Accrued interest payable	187	187	-	187	-				

	Decem	December 31, 2011				
	Carrying Estimate					
	Value	Fair Value				
	(In t	(In thousands)				
Financial Assets:						
Cash on hand and in banks	\$ 4,620	\$	4,620			
Interest-bearing deposits	160,141		160,141			

Edgar Filing: First Financial Northwest, Inc. - Form 10-Q

129,002	129,002
703,288	738,266
7,413	7,413
3,856	3,856
218,621	218,621
570,044	577,570
83,066	84,926
184	184
	703,288 7,413 3,856 218,621 570,044 83,066

Fair value estimates, methods, and assumptions are set forth below for our financial instruments.

- Financial instruments with book value equal to fair value: The fair value of financial instruments that are short-term or reprice frequently and that have little or no risk are considered to have a fair value equal to book value. These instruments include cash on hand and in banks, interest-bearing deposits, Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle ("FHLB") stock, accrued interest receivable and accrued interest payable. FHLB stock is not publicly-traded, however, it may be redeemed on a dollar-for-dollar basis, for any amount the Bank is not required to hold, subject to the FHLB's discretion. The fair value is therefore equal to the book value.
- Investments available-for-sale: The fair value of all investments, excluding FHLB stock, was based upon quoted market prices for similar investments in active markets, identical or similar investments in markets that are not active and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable.
- Loans receivable: For variable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. The fair value of fixed-rate loans is estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, utilizing interest rates that would be offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. As a result of current market conditions, cash flow estimates have been further discounted to include a credit factor. The fair value of nonperforming loans is estimated using the fair value of the underlying collateral.
- Liabilities: The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as statement, NOW, and money market accounts, is equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows using current interest rates for certificates of deposit with similar remaining maturities. The fair value of FHLB advances is estimated based on discounting the future cash flows using current interest rates for debt with similar remaining maturities.
- Off balance sheet commitments: No fair value adjustment is necessary for commitments made to extend credit, which represents commitments for loan originations or for outstanding commitments to purchase loans. These commitments are at variable rates, are for loans with terms of less than one year and have interest rates which approximate prevailing market rates, or are set at the time of loan closing.

Fair value estimates are based on existing balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business. The fair value has not been estimated for assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments.

Note 9 – Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

At September 30, 2012, we held \$7.3 million of FHLB stock. FHLB stock is carried at par (\$100 per share) and does not have a readily determinable fair value. Ownership of FHLB stock is restricted to the FHLB and member institutions, and can only be purchased and redeemed at par.

Management evaluates FHLB stock for impairment. The determination of whether this investment is impaired is based on our assessment of the ultimate recoverability of cost rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. The determination of whether a decline affects the ultimate recoverability of cost is influenced by criteria such as: (1) the significance of any decline in net assets of the FHLB as compared to the capital stock amount for the FHLB and the length of time this situation has persisted, (2) commitments by the FHLB to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance of the FHLB, (3) the impact of

legislative and regulatory changes on institutions and, accordingly, the customer base of the FHLB and (4) the liquidity position of the FHLB.

On October 25, 2010, the FHLB agreed to the stipulation and issuance of a Consent Order by its primary regulator, the Federal Housing Finance Agency ("FHFA"). The Consent Order sets forth requirements for capital

33

management, asset composition, and other operational and risk management improvements. In addition, the FHLB may not repurchase member stock or pay dividends, until they achieve and maintain financial thresholds established by the FHFA as part of the agency's supervisory process, subject to FHFA approval. These restrictions are not expected to have a material effect on our financial position, liquidity or results of operation. We have determined there is no OTTI on the FHLB stock investment as of September 30, 2012.

During the third quarter of 2012, the FHLB announced that the FHFA had granted them the authority to repurchase up to \$25 million in excess capital stock per quarter, provided that their financial condition – measured primarily by the ratio of market value of equity-to-par value of capital stock – does not deteriorate. As a result, the FHLB repurchased shares on a pro-rata basis from its shareholders, including 660 shares from the Bank, at par value during the third quarter of 2012.

Note 10 - Stock-Based Compensation

In June 2008, our shareholders approved the First Financial Northwest, Inc. 2008 Equity Incentive Plan ("Plan"). The Plan provides for the grant of stock options, restricted stock and stock appreciation rights.

Total compensation expense for the Plan was \$539,000 and \$540,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and the related income tax benefit was \$189,000 for both quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

Total compensation expense for the Plan was \$1.5 million for both nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, and the related income tax benefit was \$515,000 and \$521,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Stock Options

The Plan authorizes the grant of stock options totaling 2,285,280 shares to our directors, advisory directors, officers and employees. Option awards are granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of our common stock at the grant date. These option awards have a vesting period of five years, with 20% vesting on the anniversary date of each grant date and a contractual life of ten years. Any unexercised stock options will expire ten years after the grant date or sooner in the event of the award recipient's death, disability or termination of service with the Company or the Bank. We have a policy of issuing new shares from authorized but unissued common stock upon the exercise of stock options. At September 30, 2012, remaining options for ——886,756 shares of common stock were available for grant under the Plan.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the grant date using a Black-Scholes model that uses the following assumptions. The dividend yield is based on the current quarterly dividend in effect at the time of the grant. Historical employment data is used to estimate the forfeiture rate. The historical volatility of our stock price over a specified period of time is used for the expected volatility assumption. We base the risk-free interest rate on the U.S. Treasury Constant Maturity Indices in effect on the date of the grant. We elected to use the "Share-Based Payments" method permitted by the SEC to calculate the expected term. This method uses the vesting term of an option along with the contractual term, setting the expected life at the midpoint. There were 50,000 shares of options granted during both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The fair value of options granted during the third quarter of 2012 was determined using the following assumptions as of the grant date:

Annual dividend yield	0.00%
Expected volatility	30.00%
Risk-free interest rate	1.06%
Expected term	6.5 years
Weighted-average grant date fair value per option granted	\$2.58
option granted	

The following is a summary of our stock option plan awards for the nine months ended September 30, 2012:

			Weighted-Average Remaining	Aggregate	Weighted-Average
		Weighted-Average	Contractual	Intrinsic	Grant-Date
	Shares	Exercise Price	Term in Years	Value	Fair Value
Outstanding at					
January 1, 2012	1,373,524	\$ 9.52	2 6.60	\$ 94	\$ 1.91
Granted	50,000	8.0	9.97	2	2.58
Exercised	-		-		-
Forfeited or expired	(25,000)	9.78	-		1.92
Outstanding at					
September 30, 2012	1,398,524	9.4	6.00	203	1.93
Expected to vest assuming a 3% forfeiture rate over the vesting					
term	339,211	8.9	6.56	119	
	•				
Exercisable at					
September 30, 2012	1,048,819	9.63	5.81	80	

As of September 30, 2012, there was \$537,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options granted under the Plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted-average vesting period of 1.6 years.

Restricted Stock Awards

The Plan authorizes the grant of restricted stock awards amounting to 914,112 shares to our directors, advisory directors, officers and employees. Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period of the awards based on the fair value of the stock at the grant date. The restricted stock awards' fair value is equal to the value on the grant date. Shares awarded as restricted stock vest ratably over a five-year period beginning at the grant date with 20% vesting on the anniversary date of each grant date. At September 30, 2012, remaining restricted awards for 121,078 shares were available to be granted. Shares that have been repurchased totaled 212,847 and are held in trust until they are issued in connection with the agreement.

The following is a summary of changes in nonvested restricted stock awards for the nine months ended September 30, 2012:

		Weighted-Average
		Grant-Date
Nonvested Shares	Shares	Fair Value
Nonvested at January 1, 2012	310,494	\$ 9.66
Granted	50,000	8.01
Vested	(143,647)	9.93

Edgar Filing: First Financial Northwest, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Forfeited	(4,000)	10.35
Nonvested at September 30, 2012	212,847	9.08
_		
Expected to vest assuming a 3% forfeiture		
rate over the vesting term	206,461	

As of September 30, 2012, there was \$1.7 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to nonvested shares granted as restricted stock awards. The cost is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted-average vesting period of 2.1 years. The total fair value of shares that vested during the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$1.3 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. The total fair value of shares that vested during both nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$1.4 million.

Note 11 – Federal Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. These calculations are based on many complex factors including estimates of the timing of reversals of temporary differences, the interpretation of federal income tax laws and a determination of the differences between the tax and the financial reporting basis of assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ significantly from the estimates and interpretations used in determining the current and deferred income tax assets and liabilities.

Our primary deferred tax assets relate to our ALLL, our contribution to the First Financial Northwest Foundation, our net operating loss carryforward and an impairment charge relating to a past investment in the AMF Ultra Short Mortgage Fund.

Under GAAP, a valuation allowance is required to be recognized if it is "more likely than not" that a portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. Our policy is to evaluate our deferred tax assets on a quarterly basis and record a valuation allowance for our deferred tax asset if we do not have sufficient positive evidence indicating that it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax asset will be realized. Each quarter, we considered positive and negative evidence, which includes cumulative losses in the most recent three year period and uncertainty regarding short-term future earnings. We further considered that GAAP places heavy emphasis on prior earnings in determining the realizable deferred tax asset. After reviewing and weighing these various factors, in 2010 we recorded a valuation allowance for the balance of the deferred tax asset in excess of the tax carryback refund potential.

As of September 30, 2012, the consolidated balance sheet includes gross deferred tax assets of \$26.4 million and a deferred tax asset valuation allowance of \$22.1 million. Deferred tax assets that will most likely not be recognized total \$5.3 million and relate to the contribution carryforward and the AMF capital loss carryforward. The remaining \$21.1 million of tax assets may be recognized in the future if the Company remains profitable. Deferred tax liabilities totaled \$3.3 million, resulting in a net deferred tax asset of \$1.0 million at September 30, 2012.

Note 12 – Earnings Per Share

Per the provisions of FASB ASC 260, Earnings Per Share, nonvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are participating securities and are included in the computation of EPS pursuant to the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for each class of common stock and participating security according to dividends declared (or accumulated) and participation rights in undistributed earnings. Certain of the Company's nonvested restricted stock awards qualify as participating securities. The inclusion of these awards in the computation of EPS was immaterial for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. ESOP shares are considered outstanding for basic and diluted earnings per share when the shares are committed to be released.

FIRST FINANCIAL NORTHWEST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES SELECTED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

The following table presents a reconciliation of the components used to compute basic and diluted earnings per share:

	Three Months Ended September 30,			ths Ended aber 30,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(Dol	lars in thousands	s, except share of	data)
Net income	\$(791	\$623	\$1,202	\$3,315
Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding	17,658,005	17,545,157	17,629,863	17,519,016
Plus common stock options considered outstanding for				
dilutive purposes (excludes antidilutive options)	-	-	18,137	-
Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding	17,658,005	17,545,157	17,648,000	17,519,016
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$(0.04	\$0.04	\$0.07	\$0.19
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$(0.04	\$0.04	\$0.07	\$0.19

Options to purchase an additional 1,348,524 and 1,323,524 shares of common stock at September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because their exercise price resulted in them being antidilutive.

Note 13 – Segment Information

Our activities are considered to be a single industry segment for financial reporting purposes. We are engaged in the business of attracting deposits from the general public and originating loans for our portfolio in our primary market area. Substantially all income is derived from a diverse base of commercial and residential real estate loans, consumer lending activities and investments.

Note 14 - Proxy Contest and Related Litigation

On March 29, 2012, Stilwell Value Partners II, L.P., one of a group of funds controlled by Joseph Stilwell (the "Stilwell Group"), submitted to First Financial Northwest a notice of the Stilwell Group's intent to nominate Joseph Stilwell and Spencer L. Schneider for election to the Company's Board of Directors at the Company's May 24, 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders ("Annual Meeting"). The Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco subsequently advised the Stilwell Group that the solicitation of proxies to elect two directors to the Company's Board of Directors, along with other actions the Stilwell Group had taken in connection with the proxy contest, could result in a controlling interest that would not be permitted under federal law. As a result, the Stilwell Group determined to nominate only Mr. Schneider for election to the Company's Board of Directors at the Annual Meeting.

Following the Annual Meeting, the independent inspector of election, Raymond Riley of Carl T. Hagberg and Associates ("Inspector of Election"), issued his Final Report which stated that (1) a quorum was present for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting; and (2) the opposition proxy holders did not submit an executed master ballot for the Stilwell Group's nominee prior to the closing of the polls. Thus, the Company's nominees, Victor Karpiak, M. Scott Gaspard and Daniel L. Stevens, were each duly elected to serve for a three year term; the Stilwell Group's nominee did not receive the votes required for election to the Company's Board of Directors.

On June 7, 2012, the Stilwell Group filed a lawsuit in the Superior Court of the State of Washington for King County against First Financial Northwest, Raymond Riley (the independent Inspector of Election) and Victor Karpiak, seeking to overturn the outcome of the election of directors at the Annual Meeting. The Stilwell Group's complaint alleges, among other things, that (i) proxy cards and proxy reporting service tabulations showed that the Stilwell Group's nominee, Spencer L. Schneider, received more shareholder support than Mr. Karpiak; (ii) the Inspector of Election should have determined the outcome of the election based on proxy cards and proxy reporting service tabulations alone and that submission of a master ballot by the opposition proxy holders was unnecessary; (iii) alternatively, the opposition group's master ballot should have been accepted late—after the polls had been declared closed; and (iv) if the absence of a timely master ballot means shareholders that returned proxies to the Stilwell Group did not vote, then those shareholders were not present for quorum purposes either, and the election was invalid for lack of a quorum. The Company denies all of the Stilwell Group's allegations.

On October 5, 2012, the King County Superior Court heard oral argument concerning a motion for summary judgment filed by the Stilwell Group and the cross-motions for summary judgment filed by (1) First Financial Northwest, Inc. and Victor Karpiak and (2) Raymond J. Riley, the Inspector of Election (collectively, the "Defendants").

On October 9, 2012, the Court issued an order in which it denied the Stilwell Group's motion for summary judgment and granted in part the Defendants' cross-motions. The Court agreed with the Defendants that the Inspector of Election correctly determined that the Stilwell Group did not cast a ballot before the time to vote had closed. The Court concluded, however, that certain material facts were in dispute that precluded it from ruling as a matter of law on whether the Inspector of Election correctly determined that he could not accept a master ballot that the Stilwell Group submitted after the polls had closed. The determination of this factual dispute will take place at an evidentiary hearing in January 2013.

Currently, the amount or range of reasonably possible losses related to the proxy contest litigation cannot be estimated.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-looking statements:

Certain matters discussed in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements relate to our financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance or business. Forward-looking statements are not statements of historical fact, are based on certain assumptions and are generally identified by use of the words "believe," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "forecasts," "intends," "plans," "targets," "potentially," "probably," "projects," "outlook" or similar or future or conditional verbs such as "may," "will," "should," "would," and "could." Forward-looking statements inclu statements with respect to our beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, assumptions and statements about, among other things, expectations of the business environment in which we operate, projections of future performance or financial items, perceived opportunities in the market, potential future credit experience, and statements regarding our mission and vision. These forward-looking statements are based upon current management expectations and may, therefore, involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results, performance, or achievements may differ materially from those suggested, expressed, or implied by forward-looking statements as a result of a wide variety or range of factors including, but not limited to: the credit risks of lending activities, including changes in the level and trend of loan delinquencies and write-offs that may be affected by deterioration in the housing and commercial real estate markets and may lead to increased losses and nonperforming assets in our loan portfolio, and may result in our ALLL not being adequate to cover actual losses, and require us to materially increase our reserves; changes in general economic conditions, either nationally or in our market areas; changes in the levels of general interest rates, and the relative differences between short and long term interest rates, deposit interest rates, our net interest margin and funding sources; fluctuations in the demand for loans, the number of unsold homes and other properties and fluctuations in real estate values in our market areas; results of examinations of us by the FRB and our bank subsidiary by the FDIC, the DFI or other regulatory authorities, including the possibility that any such regulatory authority may initiate additional enforcement actions against the Company or the Bank to take additional corrective action and refrain from unsafe and unsound practices which also may require us, among other things, to increase our reserve for loan losses, write-down assets, change our regulatory capital position or affect our ability to borrow funds or maintain or increase deposits, which could adversely affect our liquidity and earnings; our compliance with regulatory enforcement actions, including the requirements and restrictions that have been imposed upon the Company under the memorandum of understanding with the FRB and the memorandum of understanding the Bank entered into with the FDIC and the DFI and the possibility that the Company and the Bank will be unable to fully comply with these informal enforcement actions which could result in the imposition of additional requirements or restrictions; our ability to pay dividends on our common stock; our ability to attract and retain deposits; increases in premiums for deposit insurance; our ability to control operating costs and expenses, including, but not limited to, expenses in connection with the proxy contest and related litigation; the use of estimates in determining the fair value of certain of our assets, which estimates may prove to be incorrect and result in significant declines in valuation; difficulties in reducing risk associated with the loans on our balance sheet; staffing fluctuations in response to product demand or the implementation of corporate strategies that affect our work force and potential associated charges; computer systems on which we depend could fail or experience a security breach; our ability to retain key members of our senior management team; costs and effects of litigation, including settlements and judgments; our ability to implement our branch expansion strategy; our ability to successfully integrate any assets, liabilities, customers, systems, and management personnel we have acquired or may in the future acquire into our operations and our ability to realize related revenue synergies and cost savings within expected time frames and any goodwill charges related thereto; our ability to manage loan delinquency rates; increased competitive pressures among financial services companies; changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits; legislative or regulatory changes that adversely affect our business including changes in regulatory policies and principles, including the interpretation of regulatory capital or other rules or as a result of Basel III; the impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and the implementing regulations; the availability of resources to address changes in laws, rules, or regulations or to respond to regulatory actions; adverse

changes in the securities markets; inability of key third-party providers to perform their obligations to us; changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the financial institution regulatory agencies or the Financial Accounting Standards Board, including additional guidance and interpretation on accounting issues and details of the implementation of new accounting methods; the economic impact of war or any terrorist activities; other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory, and technological factors affecting our operations; pricing,

39

products and services; and other risks detailed in our reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 ("2011 Form 10-K"). Any of the forward-looking statements that we make in this Form 10-Q and in the other public reports and statements we make may turn out to be wrong because of the inaccurate assumptions we might make, because of the factors illustrated above or because of other factors that we cannot foresee. Because of these and other uncertainties, our actual future results may be materially different from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by or on our behalf. Therefore, these factors should be considered in evaluating the forward-looking statements, and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. We undertake no responsibility to update or revise any forward-looking statements.

40

Regulatory Items

On April 14, 2010, the OTS and members of the Board of Directors of First Financial Northwest entered into an informal supervisory agreement or Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU"), which is now enforced by the FRB, the successor to the OTS as the regulator of the holding company. Under the terms of the MOU, the Company agreed, among other things, to provide notice to and obtain a written non-objection from the FRB prior to the Company (a) declaring a dividend or redeeming any capital stock and (b) incurring, issuing, renewing or repurchasing any new debt.

On March 27, 2012, the Bank's regulators the FDIC and the DFI, terminated the Consent Order ("Order") which became effective on September 24, 2010. In place of the Order, the Bank entered into an MOU which is an informal regulatory action, with the FDIC and DFI. The Order was terminated as a result of the steps the Bank took in complying with the Order, including reducing its level of classified assets, increasing earnings, augmenting management and improving the overall condition of the Bank.

The MOU with the Bank contains provisions concerning the management and directors of the Bank, interest rate risk, minimum capital levels, the ALLL, lending and collection policies, policies concerning the Bank and its affiliates, restrictions on paying dividends and a requirement to furnish progress reports to the FDIC and DFI. A copy of the MOU with the Bank is attached to the Form 8-K that we filed with the SEC on April 2, 2012.

Overview

First Savings Bank is a community-based savings bank primarily serving King, and to a lesser extent, Pierce, Snohomish and Kitsap counties through our full-service banking office located in Renton, Washington. First Savings Bank's business consists of attracting deposits from the public and utilizing these funds to originate one-to-four family residential, multifamily, commercial real estate, business, consumer and construction/land development loans. Our current business strategy emphasizes one-to-four family residential, multifamily and commercial real estate lending.

Our primary source of revenue is net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income, which is the income that we earn on our loans and investments, and interest expense, which is the interest that we pay on our deposits and borrowings. Changes in levels of interest rates affect our net interest income.

An offset to net interest income is the provision for loan losses which represents the periodic charge to operations which is required to adequately provide for probable losses inherent in our loan portfolio.

Noninterest expense consists primarily of compensation and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment, data processing, marketing, postage and supplies, professional services, expenses associated with OREO, expenses related to the proxy contest and related litigation and deposit insurance premiums. Compensation and employee benefits consist primarily of the salaries paid to our employees, payroll taxes, expenses for retirement benefits, the equity incentive plan and other employee benefits. Occupancy and equipment expenses consist primarily of real estate taxes, depreciation charges, maintenance and costs of utilities. OREO expenses consist of market value adjustments related to real estate that we own as well as maintenance costs, taxes, insurance and gains/losses resulting from the sale of these properties.

The net loss for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was \$791,000, or \$0.04 per diluted share, as compared to net income of \$623,000, or \$0.04 per diluted share for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The change in operating results in the third quarter of 2012, as compared to the third quarter of 2011 was primarily the result of a \$669,000 decrease in net interest income, a \$600,000 decrease in the provision for loan losses to \$700,000, a \$449,000 decrease in noninterest income, primarily the result of a \$479,000 decrease in net gains on sales of investments, an

increase of \$944,000 in noninterest expense, primarily due to an increase of \$803,000 in net OREO related expenses and \$264,000 of expenses related to the 2012 proxy contest litigation, partially offset by a decrease of \$280,000 related to FDIC deposit insurance, and a \$48,000 decrease in the federal income tax benefit. For more information regarding our proxy contest litigation, please refer to Note 14 in the Selected Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this report on Form 10-Q.

41

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company had net income of \$1.2 million, or \$0.07 per diluted share, as compared to net income of \$3.3 million, or \$0.19 per diluted share for the comparable period in 2011. The change in operating results for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the same period last year was primarily the result of a decrease in net interest income of \$2.8 million, a \$1.1 million decrease in the provision for loan losses, a decrease in noninterest income of \$1.3 million, primarily due to the reduction in the net gain on sales of investments of \$1.5 million, a \$140,000 increase in noninterest expense primarily due to \$868,000 in proxy contest litigation expenses, and a \$1.2 million decrease in FDIC deposit insurance. In addition, the provision for federal income taxes decreased \$999,000 as the Company recorded an income tax benefit relating to a partial reversal of \$1.0 million related to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, our total loan portfolio decreased \$55.8 million, or 7.7% from December 31, 2011, primarily due to a \$21.9 million, or 6.5% decrease in one-to-four family residential loans and a \$17.4 million, or 7.5% decrease in commercial real estate loans. In addition, our construction/land development loans decreased \$8.3 million, or 32.9% and multifamily loans decreased \$6.1 million, or 5.4%.

The following table details our five largest borrowing relationships at September 30, 2012, by type of loans:

							Con	struction/	Ag	gregate
Borrower (1)	F	e-to-Four Family sidential	Mu	ltifamily	Re	mmercial al Estate ousands)		Land elopment	_	alance Loans (2)
Real estate builder	\$	20,765	\$	-	\$	99	\$	4,915	\$	25,779
Real estate investor		-		-		18,096		-		18,096
Real estate builder (3)		14,591		-		227		3,210		18,028
Real estate investor		10,196		3,688		934		-		14,818
Real estate builder (4)		13,318		-		812		-		14,130
Total	\$	58,870	\$	3,688	\$	20,168	\$	8,125	\$	90,851

⁽¹⁾ The composition of borrowers represented in the table may change between periods.

(4) Of this amount, \$13.3 million were considered impaired loans consisting of \$12.1 million in performing one-to-four family residential loans, \$428,000 in nonperforming one-to-four family residential loans and \$812,000 in

performing commercial real estate loans.

These relationships which represent 13.6% of our loans, net of undisbursed funds, decreased \$6.1 million from December 31, 2011. Of the three builders listed above, two are operating under restructured loan plans established by the Bank. As of September 30, 2012, both continue to perform in accordance with the terms of their respective plans. None of the restructured loans to these two borrowers have ever been delinquent, except for one commercial real estate loan which was restructured and brought current at the end of 2010. The remaining three borrowers were current on their loan payments at September 30, 2012. We monitor the performance of these borrowing relationships very closely due to the concentration risk they possess in relation to the entire loan portfolio.

Critical Accounting Policies

⁽²⁾ Net of undisbursed funds.

⁽³⁾ Of this amount, \$16.4 million were considered impaired loans consisting of \$13.2 million in performing one-to-four family residential loans and \$3.2 million in nonperforming construction/land development loans.

Critical accounting policies are those that involve significant judgments and assumptions by management and that have, or could have, a material impact on our income or the carrying value of our assets. The following are our critical accounting policies.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses. Management recognizes that loan losses may occur over the life of a loan and that the ALLL must be maintained at a level necessary to absorb specific losses on impaired loans and probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Our methodology for analyzing the ALLL consists of two components: general and specific allowances. The general allowance is determined by applying factors to our

various groups of loans. Management considers factors such as charge-off history, current economic conditions in our market area, borrowers' ability to repay, the regulatory environment, competition, geographic and loan type concentrations, policy and underwriting standards, nature and volume of the loan portfolio, management's experience level, our loan review and grading systems, the value of underlying collateral and the level of problem loans in assessing the ALLL. The specific allowance component is created when management believes that the collectability of a specific loan has been impaired and a loss is probable. The specific reserves are computed using current appraisals, listed sales prices and other available information less costs to complete (if any) and costs to sell the property. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available or as future events differ from predictions. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Bank's ALLL. Such agencies may require management to make adjustments to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination.

Our Board of Directors approves the provision for loan losses on a quarterly basis. The allowance is increased by the provision for loan losses, which is charged against current period earnings and decreased by the amount of actual loan charge-offs, net of recoveries.

We believe that the accounting estimate related to the ALLL is a critical accounting estimate because it is highly susceptible to change from period-to-period requiring management to make assumptions about probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The impact of an unexpected large loss could deplete the allowance and potentially require increased provisions to replenish the allowance, which would negatively affect earnings. For additional information, see the section titled "We may be required to make further increases in our provision for loan losses and to charge-off additional loans in the future, which could adversely affect our results of operations," within the section titled "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our 2011 Form 10-K.

Valuation of OREO and Foreclosed Assets. Real estate properties acquired through foreclosure or by deed-in-lieu of foreclosure are initially recorded at fair value less estimated costs to sell. Fair value is generally determined by management based on a number of factors, including third-party appraisals of fair value in an orderly sale. Accordingly the valuation of OREO is subject to significant external and internal judgment. If the carrying value of the loan at the date a property is transferred into OREO exceeds the value less estimated costs to sell, the excess is charged to the ALLL. Management periodically reviews OREO values to determine whether the property continues to be carried at the lower of its recorded book value or fair value, net of estimated costs to sell. Any further decreases in the value of OREO are considered valuation adjustments and are charged to noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Expenses from the maintenance and operations and any gains or losses from the sales of OREO are included in noninterest expense.

Deferred Taxes. Deferred taxes arise from a variety of sources, the most significant being: a) net operating loss carryforwards; b) expenses, such as our charitable contribution to the First Financial Northwest Foundation, that can be carried forward to be utilized against profits in future years; c) expenses recognized in our financial statements but disallowed in our tax return until the associated cash flow occurs; and d) write-downs in the value of assets for financial statement purposes that are not deductible for tax purposes until the asset is sold or deemed worthless.

We record a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amount which can be recognized in line with the relevant accounting standards. The level of deferred tax asset recognition is influenced by management's assessment of our historic and future profitability profile. At each balance sheet date, existing assessments are reviewed and, if necessary, revised to reflect changed circumstances. In a situation where income is less than projected or recent losses have been incurred, the relevant accounting standards require convincing evidence that there will be sufficient future tax capacity.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments in the Market Value of Investments. Declines in the fair value of any available-for-sale or held to maturity investment below their cost that is deemed to be other-than-temporary results in a reduction in the carrying amount of the investment to that of fair value. A charge to earnings and an establishment of a new cost basis for the investment is made. Unrealized investment losses are evaluated at least quarterly to determine whether such declines should be considered other-than-temporary and therefore be subject to immediate loss recognition. Although these evaluations involve significant judgment, an unrealized loss in the fair

value of a debt security is generally deemed to be temporary when the fair value of the investment security is below the carrying value primarily due to changes in interest rates and where there has not been significant deterioration in the financial condition of the issuer. An unrealized loss in the value of an equity security is generally considered temporary when the fair value of the security is below the carrying value primarily due to current market conditions and not deterioration in the financial condition of the issuer. Other factors that may be considered in determining whether a decline in the value of either a debt or an equity security is other-than-temporary include ratings by recognized rating agencies; the extent and duration of an unrealized loss position; actions of commercial banks or other lenders relative to the continued extension of credit facilities to the issuer of the security; the financial condition, capital strength and near-term prospects of the issuer and recommendations of investment advisors or market analysts. Therefore continued deterioration of market conditions could result in additional impairment losses recognized within the investment portfolio.

Comparison of Financial Condition at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011

General. Total assets were \$973.1 million at September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$86.3 million, or 8.1% from December 31, 2011. Decreases in interest-bearing deposits of \$56.2 million, net loans receivable of \$52.9 million and \$6.8 million in OREO were partially offset by increases in investment securities available-for-sale of \$30.0 million and deferred tax assets of \$1.0 million. Total liabilities were \$788.1 million at September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$90.0 million, or 10.2% from December 31, 2011. The decline in total liabilities was primarily the result of a decrease of \$92.7 million in interest-bearing deposits, partially offset by a \$2.1 million increase in advance payments from borrowers for taxes and insurance. Stockholders' equity increased \$3.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to net income of \$1.2 million and a \$1.5 million increase in additional paid in capital related to stock options and restricted stock awards.

Assets. Total assets were \$973.1 million at September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$86.3 million, 8.1% from \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2011. The following table details the changes in the composition of our assets.

				ncrease/ Decrease)	Percentage	•
	Balance at		`	from cember 31,	Increase/	
	September 30, 2012		2011		(Decrease))
	(1		(Dollars in thousands)			
Cash on hand and in banks	\$	5,265	\$	645	14.0	%
Interest-bearing deposits		103,968		(56,173)	(35.1)
Investments available-for-sale, at fair value		158,959		29,957	23.2	
Loans receivable, net		650,348		(52,940)	(7.5)
Premises and equipment, net		18,259		(663)	(3.5)
FHLB stock, at cost		7,347		(66)	(0.9)
Accrued interest receivable		3,730		(126)	(3.3)
Federal income tax receivable		60		(1,000)	(94.3)
Deferred tax assets		1,000		1,000	100.0	
OREO		19,209		(6,835)	(26.2)
Prepaid expenses and other assets		4,908		(136)	(2.7)
Total assets	\$	973,053	\$	(86,337)	(8.1)

Interest-bearing deposits decreased \$56.2 million to \$104.0 million at September 30, 2012, from \$160.1 million at December 31, 2011. Investments available-for-sale increased \$30.0 million, or 23.2% to \$159.0 million at September 30, 2012, from \$129.0 million at December 31, 2011. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we sold \$22.9 million of investments, primarily fixed-rate, mortgage-backed securities issued by Freddie Mac and Fannie

Mae, recognizing \$288,000 in net gains to support our profitability in this low interest rate environment and to improve our interest rate risk position. The \$23.2 million in proceeds received from the sale of securities, \$15.7 million in principal repayments on our investment portfolio, the \$102.5 million in loan repayments and \$15.1 million from OREO dispositions were utilized primarily to fund purchases of new investment securities and the outflow of deposits, described below. We purchased \$69.5 million of primarily variable rate U.S. Government-backed securities during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, to maintain our liquidity position and improve our interest rate risk profile by positioning our investment portfolio to be more responsive to rising interest rates. Net loans receivable decreased \$52.9 million, or 7.5% to \$650.3 million at September 30, 2012, from

\$703.3 million at December 31, 2011, principally due to lower loan demand from creditworthy borrowers and higher loan repayments. This decrease in net loans resulted from loan repayments of \$102.5 million, charge-offs of \$7.4 million and transfers of loans into OREO of \$9.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, partially offset by loan originations of \$64.6 million. All of our loan categories decreased during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. OREO decreased \$6.8 million, or 26.2% to \$19.2 million at September 30, 2012, from \$26.0 million at December 31, 2011 as we continue to sell our inventory of foreclosed real estate.

Loan originations for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 totaled \$64.6 million and included the following loan breakdown: \$16.7 million in one-to-four family residential; \$14.0 million in multifamily; \$25.7 million in commercial real estate; \$5.1 million in construction/land development; \$602,000 in business loans and \$2.5 million in consumer loans.

As of September 30, 2012, the consolidated balance sheet included gross deferred tax assets of \$26.4 million and a deferred tax asset valuation allowance of \$22.1 million. Deferred tax assets that will most likely not be recognized totaled \$5.3 million and relate to the contribution carryforward and the AMF capital loss carryforward. The remaining \$21.1 million of tax benefits may be recognized in the future if the Company remains profitable.

Deposits. During the first nine months of 2012, deposits decreased \$92.6 million to \$696.1 million at September 30, 2012. The following table details the changes in our deposits:

	Balance at		Increase/ (Decrease) from			Percenta Increase		
			Dec	cember 31,				
	September 30, 2012			2011		(Decrease)		
		(De	ollars in	thousands	s)			
Noninterest-bearing	\$	6,147	\$	134		2.2	%	
NOW		14,149		(44)	(0.3)	
Statement savings		17,894		110		0.6		
Money market		157,043		(23,588)	(13.1)	
Certificates of deposit		500,864		(69,180)	(12.1)	
Total deposits	\$	696,097	\$	(92,568)	(11.7)	

All of our deposit categories decreased except for noninterest-bearing and statement savings accounts, as compared to December 31, 2011. The decreases in certificates of deposit of \$69.2 million and money market accounts of \$23.6 million comprised the majority of the decrease in our deposits. The decrease in certificates of deposit was primarily the result of our strategic plan to continue to reduce our cost of funds through our pricing decisions designed to allow higher rate certificates of deposit to run-off. We also chose not to renew our public funds at maturity as they are more expensive to maintain due to additional Washington state collateralization requirements. The decline in public funds represented \$18.2 million, or 26.3% of the total decrease in certificates of deposit for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Public funds totaled \$3.8 million at September 30, 2012. In addition, customers that were more rate sensitive chose other investment options which contributed to the decline in our deposit balances. We did not have any brokered deposits at September 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

Stockholders' Equity. Total stockholders' equity increased \$3.7 million, or 2.0% to \$185.0 million at September 30, 2012, from \$181.3 million at December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily the result of our net income of \$1.2 million and \$1.5 million of additional paid in capital related to stock options and restricted stock awards for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Three and Nine months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

General. For the third quarter of 2012, we recorded a net loss of \$791,000, a decrease of \$1.4 million from net income of \$623,000 for the comparable quarter in the prior year, primarily due to decreases in net interest income of \$669,000 and \$449,000 of noninterest income and an increase in noninterest expense of \$944,000. These changes were partially offset by a \$600,000 decrease in the provision for loan losses and an increase of \$48,000 in the federal income tax benefit for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the same quarter last year.

Net Interest Income. Our net interest income for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, decreased \$669,000 to \$7.2 million, as compared to \$7.9 million for the same quarter in 2011. A decrease of \$1.6 million in interest expense was offset by a \$2.3 million decrease in interest income. Average interest-earning assets decreased \$148.1 million to \$935.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the same quarter in 2011. Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$164.3 million to \$786.7 million for the third quarter of 2012, compared to the third quarter of 2011. During the same period, our yield on interest-earning assets decreased 25 basis points while our cost of funds decreased 42 basis points. Our interest rate spread for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 increased 17 basis points to 2.84% from 2.67% during the same quarter in 2011. Our net interest margin for the third quarter of 2012 increased 17 basis points to 3.08% from 2.91% for the same quarter in 2011.

Our net interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, decreased \$2.7 million to \$22.2 million, as compared to \$24.9 million for the same period in 2011. A decrease of \$4.9 million in interest expense was offset by a \$7.7 million decrease in interest income. Average interest-earning assets decreased \$137.6 million to \$962.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, from \$1.1 billion for the same period in 2011. Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$156.7 million to \$818.4 million during the first nine months of 2012, compared to the same period in 2011. During the same period, our yield on interest-earning assets decreased 39 basis points, while our cost of funds decreased 43 basis points. Our interest rate spread for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased four basis points to 2.84% from 2.80% during the same period in 2011. Our net interest margin for the first nine months of 2012 increased five basis points to 3.07% from 3.02% for the same period in 2011.

The following table sets forth the effects of changes in rates and volumes on our net interest income:

	Three N	Months Ended S 2012	September 30,	Nine	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012					
	•	ared to Septem erease/(Decrease			Compared to September 30, 2011 Increase/(Decrease) Due to					
	Rate	Volume	•	Rate	•	•				
			(In t	housands)						
Interest-earning assets:										
Loans receivable, net	\$(455) \$(1,403) \$(1,858) \$(599) \$(5,304) \$(5,903)				
Investments available-for-sale	(497) 78	(419) (1,566) (227) (1,793)				
Interest-bearing deposits	38	(54) (16) 20	(12) 8				
Total net change in income on										
interest-earning assets	(914) (1,379) (2,293) (2,145) (5,543) (7,688)				
Interest-bearing liabilities:										
NOW	-	1	1	(3) 2	(1)				
Statement savings	(3) 1	(2) (23) 4	(19)				
Money market	(59) (19) (78) (355) (69) (424)				
Certificates of deposit	(668) (805) (1,473) (1,895) (2,378) (4,273)				
Advances from the FHLB	(8) (64) (72) (19) (190) (209)				
Total net change in expenses										
on interest-bearing liabilities	(738) (886) (1,624) (2,295) (2,631) (4,926)				
Change in net interest income	\$(176) \$(493) \$(669) \$150	\$(2,912) \$(2,762)				

Interest Income. Total interest income for the third quarter of 2012 decreased \$2.3 million, or 18.4% to \$10.2 million from \$12.5 million as compared to the third quarter of 2011, primarily due to the \$91.7 million, or 12.3% decrease in our average loan portfolio.

The following table compares detailed average interest-earning asset balances, associated yields and resulting changes in interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Thre	ee Months En		Increase/				
	2012			2011		(Decrease)		
	Average		A	Average		in Int	erest and	
	Balance	Yield]	Balance	Yield	Divide	nd Income	
			(Do	llars in thousa	nds)			
Loans receivable, net	\$ 651,744	5.85%	\$	743,439	6.13%	\$	(1,858)	
Investments				133,001				
available-for-sale	144,147	1.41			2.78		(419)	
Interest-bearing deposits	132,509	0.34		200,039	0.25		(16)	
FHLB stock	7,409	-		7,413	-		-	
Total interest-earning			\$	1,083,892				
assets	\$ 935,809	4.34%			4.59%	\$	(2,293)	

Interest income from loans decreased \$1.9 million during the third quarter of 2012, as compared to the same quarter in 2011. The primary reason for the decrease in interest income from loans was due to a \$91.7 million decrease in the average balance of loans between periods, resulting in a \$1.4 million decrease in interest income and a decrease in the average yield on loans receivable of 28 basis points resulting in \$455,000 of the decrease. Interest income on investments available-for-sale decreased \$419,000 to \$507,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to \$926,000 for the comparable period in 2011. The primary reason for the decrease in interest income from investments available-for-sale was a \$497,000 decrease in interest income due to declining investment yields as we strategically converted our investment portfolio to predominantly adjustable-rate securities to improve our interest rate risk profile.

The following table compares detailed average interest-earning asset balances, associated yields and resulting changes in interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

		Nine Months Ended September 30,												
	201	2012 2011										(Γ	crease/ Decrease) Interest	
	A	Average		Average								and Dividend		
	_	Balance ollars in thou	Yie usand		Balance Yield							Income		
Loans receivable, net	\$	667,955		5.95	%		\$	784,956		6.07	%	\$	(5,903)
Investments available-for-sale		139,613		1.53				149,596		3.02			(1,793)
Interest-bearing														
deposits		147,455		0.28				158,077		0.25			8	
FHLB stock		7,412		-				7,413		-			-	
Total interest-earning														
assets	\$	962,435		4.39	%		\$	1,100,042		4.78	%	\$	(7,688)

Interest income from loans decreased \$5.9 million during the first nine months of 2012, compared to the same period in 2011. This decrease in interest income was primarily due to a decrease in average loan balances of \$117.0 million, resulting in a decrease in interest income of \$5.3 million, and a decrease in the average yield on loans receivable of 12 basis points, resulting in \$599,000 of the decrease. Interest income on investments available-for-sale decreased \$1.8 million to \$1.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to \$3.4 million for the comparable period in 2011. The primary reason for this decrease was a decline in yield on investments of 149 basis points, accounting for \$1.6 million of the decrease in interest income and a \$10.0 million decrease in the average balance of investments, resulting in a \$227,000 decrease in interest income. These decreases resulted from our strategic plan to convert our investment portfolio to predominantly adjustable-rate securities to improve our interest rate risk profile.

Interest Expense. Total interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was \$2.9 million, a decrease of \$1.6 million compared to \$4.6 million for the third quarter of 2011, primarily due to the \$139.3 million decline in our average balance of certificates of deposit, which resulted in an \$805,000 decrease in interest expense and a 52 basis point reduction in our cost of funds, which accounted for \$668,000 of the decrease in interest expense.

The following table details average balances, cost of funds and the resulting decrease in interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Three Months Ended September 30,												
	20	12				201	1					Increase/	
		Average		Average				`			Decrease) in Interest		
		Balance	C	ost			Balance	(Cost			Expense	
	(D	ollars in thou	isands)									
NOW	\$	14,429		0.17		\$	12,690		0.16		\$	1	
Statement savings		18,018		0.20			16,827		0.26			(2)
Money market		157,952		0.28			175,814		0.43			(78)
Certificates of deposit		513,222		1.79			652,549		2.31			(1,473)
Advances from the													
FHLB		83,067		2.49			93,066		2.53			(72)
Total interest-bearing													
liabilities	\$	786,688		1.50		\$	950,946		1.92		\$	(1,624)

Our interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2012 decreased \$1.6 million to \$2.9 million from the same period in 2011. Interest expense on our money market accounts decreased \$78,000, primarily as a result of a decrease in the average cost of these funds of 15 basis points, or \$59,000, to 0.28% for the third quarter of 2012, compared to 0.43% for the same quarter in 2011. The decline in interest expense was also the result of a \$139.3 million decrease in the average balance of certificates of deposit, as a number of certificates of deposit were not renewed at maturity, resulting in an \$805,000 reduction in interest expense. In addition, the average cost of our certificates of deposit decreased 52 basis points, or \$668,000, as compared to the third quarter of 2011, due to maturing certificates repricing to lower rates. Interest expense related to our FHLB advances decreased \$72,000, primarily as a result of the decrease of \$10.0 million in the average balance of our advances to \$83.1 million, as compared to \$93.1 million at September 30, 2011, resulting in a \$64,000 reduction in interest expense. In addition, our cost for these advances decreased four basis points to 2.49% from 2.53% for the third quarter of 2012, compared to the same quarter in 2011, decreasing interest expense by \$8,000.

The following table details average balances, cost of funds and the resulting decrease in interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

Nine Months Ended September 30,														
		2012		2011			Increase/							
		Average			(Decrease) ir									
		Balance	Cost	Ba	Cost	Interest Expense								
			(Dollars in thousands)											
NOW	\$	14,344	0.15%	\$	12,818	0.18%	\$	(1)						
Statement savings		17,935	0.20		16,343	0.38		(19)						
Money market		166,984	0.29		182,800	0.57		(424)						
Certificates of deposit		536,111	1.89		670,116	2.36		(4,273)						
Advances from the FHLB		83,067	2.47		93,066	2.50		(209)						
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$	818,441	1.55	\$	975,143	1.98	\$	(4,926)						

Our interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 decreased \$4.9 million to \$9.5 million, from the same period in 2011. The average cost for the money market accounts decreased by 28 basis points or \$355,000, while the average balance of our money market accounts decreased \$15.8 million further reducing interest expense by \$69,000 for the first nine months of 2012, as compared to the same period last year. This decline in interest expense was also affected by a decrease in the average balance of certificates of deposit during the first nine months of 2012 of \$134.0 million, which resulted in a \$2.4 million decline in interest expense. The average cost of our certificates of deposit also declined during this nine month period, decreasing 47 basis points and reducing interest expense by \$1.9 million. Interest expense related to advances from the FHLB decreased \$209,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from the same period in 2011. The average balance of FHLB advances decreased \$10.0 million, resulting in a \$190,000 decline in interest expense and the average cost for these advances decreased three basis points, which resulted in a decline of \$19,000 in interest expense.

Provision for Loan Losses. We establish the ALLL at a level we believe is necessary to absorb known and inherent losses that are both probable and reasonably estimable at the date of the financial statements. Our methodology in assessing the ALLL emphasizes factors such as charge-off history, the economy, the regulatory environment, competition, geographic and loan type concentrations, policy and underwriting standards, nature and volume of the loan portfolio, management experience levels, our loan review and grading system and the value of underlying collateral. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available or as future events differ from predictions.

Based on management's evaluation of the adequacy of the ALLL, a provision of \$700,000 was required for the third quarter of 2012, a decrease of \$600,000 from the same quarter in 2011. The following contributed to the decline in the amount of the loan loss provision required during the quarter ended September 30, 2012:

- · Nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans decreased to 3.54% at September 30, 2012, from 4.13% at September 30, 2011;
- · Nonperforming loans decreased \$7.2 million to \$23.6 million at September 30, 2012, from \$30.8 million at September 30, 2011;
- The loan portfolio declined \$76.9 million, or 10.6% from \$727.2 million at September 30, 2011. In particular, our risk profile improved as construction/land development loans declined \$13.0 million from September 30, 2011 to \$16.9 million at September 30, 2012;
- · Management continues to be proactive in managing problem loans and assessing the risk inherent in the loan portfolio and when it is determined that a loan is impaired, the amount of the loss is quantified and charged-off. Net charge-offs declined to \$1.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, from \$1.7 million for this same period in 2011.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the provision for loan losses was \$3.0 million, compared to \$4.1 million for the same period in 2011. Similar to the quarterly decline, the decrease in the provision for loan losses during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was due to improvements in our asset quality and loan risk profile. The effect of the \$3.0 million provision for loan losses, combined with net charge-offs of \$5.4 million during this nine month period decreased the ALLL \$2.4 million to \$14.2 million at September 30, 2012 from \$16.6 million at December 31, 2011. The ALLL as a percent of nonperforming loans decreased to 60.1% at September 30, 2012, compared to 69.9% at December 31, 2011.

We believe that the ALLL as of September 30, 2012 was adequate to absorb the probable and inherent risks of loss in the loan portfolio at that date. While we believe the estimates and assumptions used in our determination of the adequacy of the allowance are reasonable, there can be no assurance that such estimates and assumptions will be proven incorrect in the future, or that the actual amount of future provisions will not exceed the amount of past provisions or that any increased provisions that may be required will not adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations. Future additions to the allowance may become necessary based upon changing economic conditions, the level of problem loans, business conditions, credit concentrations, increased loan balances, or changes in the underlying collateral of the loan portfolio. In addition, the determination of the amount of our ALLL is subject to review by bank regulators as part of the routine examination process, which may result in the establishment of additional loss reserves or the charge-off of specific loans against established loss reserves based upon their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

The following table presents a breakdown of our nonperforming assets and as a percent of total assets at the dates indicated:

				Nine Month	One Year
	September	December	s September		
	30,	31,	30,	Increase/	Increase/
	2012	2011	2011	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
		()	Dollars in thous	ands)	
One-to-four family residential	\$8,447	\$9,808	\$12,859	\$(1,361) \$(4,412)
Multifamily	4,711	949	638	3,762	4,073
Commercial real estate	2,287	3,736	5,400	(1,449) (3,113)
Construction/land development	7,997	9,199	11,891	(1,202) (3,894)
Consumer	141	-	44	141	97
Total nonperforming loans	23,583	23,692	30,832	(109) (7,249)
OREO	19,209	26,044	25,201	(6,835) (5,992)
Total nonperforming assets	\$42,792	\$49,736	\$56,033	\$(6,944) \$(13,241)
Nonperforming assets as a					
percent of total assets	4.4	% 4.7	% 4.9	%	

Nonperforming loans include loans to borrowers who are experiencing deteriorating financial conditions and there is doubt as to the ultimate recoverability of the full principal and interest due the Bank in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement. Nonperforming loans remained relatively unchanged, decreasing \$109,000 to \$23.6 million at September 30, 2012, from \$23.7 million at December 31, 2011.

The three largest nonperforming loans in the commercial real estate portfolio at September 30, 2012 consisted of a \$901,000 loan secured by a rooming/dormitory-style two-story building located in Pierce County, a \$697,000 loan secured by four individual rooming/dormitory-style homes located in Snohomish County, and a \$353,000 loan secured by a retail/convenience store located in Snohomish County.

The three largest nonperforming construction/land development loans at September 30, 2012 included a \$4.0 million loan secured by 34 acres for a 251 unit residential development located in Whatcom County. The project consists of 77 detached condominium lots (single-family residences) and vacant land for 174 attached units (multifamily). All of the ground work has been completed and the property is ready for construction, although no vertical construction has taken place. The second largest nonperforming construction/land development loan is a \$1.2 million loan secured by 55 finished lots for residential development, of which, 13 lots have been sold, located in Thurston County. The third largest nonperforming construction/land development loan totaled \$937,000 and is secured by 43 finished lots for residential development, of which, 20 lots have been sold, located in Kitsap County.

Nonperforming assets decreased for the tenth consecutive quarter. At their peak, nonperforming assets reached \$166.4 million at March 31, 2010 decreasing to \$42.8 million at September 30, 2012, representing a \$123.6 million, or 74.3% decrease.

The following table presents a breakdown of our TDRs:

				Nine			
				Month		One Yea	ır
	September	December	September				
	30,	31,	30,	Increase/		Increase	:/
	2012	2011	2011	(Decrease)	(Decreas	e)
			(In thousands))			
Nonperforming TDRs:							
One-to-four family residential	\$3,907	\$3,994	\$5,185	\$(87)	\$(1,278)
Multifamily	1,058	-	-	1,058		1,058	
Commercial real estate	-	902	1,531	(902)	(1,531)
Construction/land development	-	183	472	(183)	(472)
Consumer	48	-	-	48		48	
Total nonperforming TDRs	5,013	5,079	7,188	(66)	(2,175))
Performing TDRs:							
One-to-four family residential	52,467	52,768	53,029	(301)	(562)
Multifamily	1,243	2,504	2,512	(1,261)	(1,269)
Commercial real estate	11,058	10,883	10,409	175		649	
Consumer	-	70	70	(70)	(70)
Total performing TDRs	64,768	66,225	66,020	(1,457)	(1,252)
Total TDRs	\$69,781	\$71,304	\$73,208	\$(1,523)	\$(3,427)

Our TDRs decreased \$1.5 million, or 2.1% to \$69.8 million at September 30, 2012, as compared to December 31, 2011. During the first nine months of 2012, we added \$4.2 million of new performing TDRs and transferred \$1.9 million of nonperforming TDRs to OREO, while \$1.8 million of TDRs were paid-off, with the remaining activity relating to principal payments and charge-offs.

As we work with our borrowers to help them through this difficult economic cycle, we explore all options available to minimize our risk of loss. At times, the best option for our customers and us is to modify the loan for a period of time, usually one year or less. These modifications have included lowering the interest rate on the loan for a period of time and/or extending the maturity date of the loan or allowing interest only payments for a period of time. These modifications are granted only when there is a reasonable and attainable restructured loan plan that has been agreed to by the borrower and is in our best interest. Of the \$69.8 million in TDRs at September 30, 2012, \$64.8 million were performing and \$5.0 million were not performing according to their restructured terms.

The largest TDR relationship at September 30, 2012 was \$13.2 million, which included one-to-four family residential loans secured by rental properties located in King, Kitsap, Pierce and Thurston counties. At September 30, 2012, there were no undisbursed funds available to this borrower in connection with these TDRs. None of these TDR loans were ever delinquent subsequent to modification and were performing in accordance with their restructured loan terms at September 30, 2012.

OREO includes properties acquired by the Bank through foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure. The following table presents a breakdown of our OREO by county and number of properties at September 30, 2012:

			County						,	Γotal	Number of	Percent of
	k	King		Pierce	k	Kitsap	A	All Other	C	OREO	Properties	Total OREO
						(Dollars	in					
						usands)						
OREO:						Í						
One-to-four family residential	\$	3,101	\$	1,422	\$	-	\$	539	\$	5,062	23	26.3 %
Multifamily		560		_		-		-		560	1	2.9
Commercial real estate (1)		2,220		6,925		1,201		287		10,633	30	55.4
Construction/land development		-		1,962		601		391		2,954	8	15.4
Total OREO	\$	5,881	\$	10,309	\$	1,802	\$	1,217	\$	19,209	62	100.0 %

(1) Of the 30 properties classified as commercial real estate, nine are office/retail buildings, one is a mixed use building, 15 are

developed lots and five are undeveloped lots.

The following table presents a breakdown of our OREO activity:

	Three Months Ended September 30,					e Months End	ded (September	
	2012 2011					2012		2011	
		(In thousands)							
Beginning balance	\$	22,206	\$	25,979	\$	26,044	\$	30,102	
Loans transferred to OREO		1,501		4,787		9,523		20,737	
Capitalized (reimbursed) improvements		(16)		91		(16)		181	
Dispositions of OREO		(3,325)		(5,141)		(14,640)		(24,387)	
Market value adjustments		(1,157)		(515)		(1,702)		(1,432)	
Ending balance	\$	19,209	\$	25,201	\$	19,209	\$	25,201	

We sold \$3.3 million of OREO during the third quarter of 2012, which was comprised of 12 properties and generated a net gain of \$78,000. OREO at September 30, 2012 consisted of \$5.1 million in one-to-four family residential homes, \$560,000 in multifamily, \$10.6 million in commercial real estate properties and \$2.9 million in construction/land development projects. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we sold \$14.6 million of OREO, which was comprised of 66 properties and generated a net gain of \$427,000.

The number of properties that have been transferred into OREO has decreased considerably over the past few quarters and the number of properties that we have sold each quarter has also leveled out. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we transferred 25 properties into OREO, compared to 95 properties during 2011 and 185 properties during 2010. Sales of OREO during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 totaled 66 properties, as

compared to 121 properties in 2011 and 88 properties in 2010. The decline in both the transfer of properties into OREO, as well as the sale of OREO properties was a result of our efforts to identify the problem loans within our portfolio and take the appropriate actions to turn these nonperforming assets into performing assets.

The three largest OREO properties at September 30, 2012 were an office/retail building valued at \$3.4 million and an office/retail building valued at \$1.3 million, both located in Pierce County and a land development project approved for 105 one-to-four family residential lots valued at \$1.1 million located in Kitsap County.

During the remainder of 2012, we currently intend to continue our efforts to convert nonperforming loans to OREO through foreclosure or deeds in lieu of foreclosure and selling the properties. By taking ownership of these properties, we can convert nonearning assets into earning assets on a more timely basis.

The following table summarizes selected financial data related to our ALLL and loan portfolio. All loan balances and ratios are calculated using loan balances that are net of undisbursed funds.

	At or For the Nine Months							
	Ended September 30,							
		2011						
		(Dollars in t	housa	nds)				
Provision for loan losses	\$	3,050	\$	4,100				
Charge-offs		7,389		10,337				
Recoveries		1,948		337				
ALLL		14,168		16,634				
ALLL as a percent of total loans		2.13%		2.23%				
ALLL as a percent of nonperforming loans		60.08%		53.95%				
Total nonperforming loans	\$	23,583	\$	30,832				
Nonperforming loans as a percent of total loans		3.54%		4.13%				
Total loans receivable	\$	666,290	\$	745,708				
Total loans originated		64,607		22,203				

Noninterest Income. Noninterest income for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 decreased \$449,000 from the same quarter in 2011, to \$107,000. Noninterest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 decreased \$1.3 million to \$718,000 from the same nine month period in 2011.

The following table provides a detailed analysis of the changes in the components of noninterest income:

	H	Three Months Ended September 30,			e/(Decre from	ase)	Percentage Increase/		
	2012 September 30, 2011 (Dollars in thousands)						(Decrease)		
Service fees on deposit accounts	\$	18		\$	1	,	5.9	%	
Loan service fees		36			(23)	(39.0)	
Gain on sale of investments		_			(479)	(100.0)	
Servicing rights, net		(12)		12		(50.0)	
Other		65			40		160.0		
Total noninterest income	\$	107		\$	(449)	(80.8))	

There were no net gains on sales of investments during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 as compared to \$479,000 during the same period in 2011.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012	Increase/(Decrease) from September 30, 2011	Percentage Increase/ (Decrease)
		(Dollars in thousands)	
Service fees on deposit accounts	\$ 65	\$ -	0.0
Loan service fees	230	77	50.3
Gain on sale of investments	288	(1,453)	(83.5)

Edgar Filing: First Financial Northwest, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Servicing rights, net	(34)	26		(43.3)
Other	169		90		113.9	
Total noninterest income	\$ 718		\$ (1,260)	(63.7)

The decrease in noninterest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from the comparable period in 2011 was principally the result of net gains on sales of investments of \$288,000 as compared to \$1.7 million in gains on the sales of investments during the first nine months of 2011. The larger gain in 2011 was due to an additional \$50.0 million of securities being sold with higher coupon rates.

Noninterest Expense. Noninterest expense increased \$944,000 to \$7.5 million for the third quarter of 2012, from \$6.5 million for the same quarter in 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, noninterest expense increased \$140,000 to \$19.6 million from \$19.5 million for the same period in 2011.

The following table provides the detail of the changes in noninterest expense:

	Three Months Ended		Increase/(Decrease) from			Percentage Increase/	;
	Liided		September 30,		30,	merease	
	Septem	ber 30, 2012		2011		(Decrease)	
			(Dollars i	in thousan	ds)		
Compensation and benefits	\$	3,680	\$	136		3.8	%
Occupancy and equipment		391		21		5.7	
Professional fees		460		11		2.4	
Data processing		174		(7)	(3.9)
Loss (gain) on sale of OREO property, net	į	(78)		215		(73.4)
OREO market value adjustments		1,157		642		124.7	
OREO related expenses, net		486		(54)	(10.0)
Regulatory assessments		298		(280)	(48.4)
Insurance/bond premiums		100		(148)	(59.7)
Proxy contest and related litigation		264		264		100.0	
Marketing		68		25		58.1	
Other		457		119		35.2	
Total noninterest expense	\$	7,457	\$	944		14.5	

The increase in noninterest expense for the third quarter of 2012, compared to the same period in 2011, was primarily a result of net OREO related expenses increasing \$803,000, of which \$642,000 related to OREO market value adjustments. In addition, we incurred \$264,000 of expenses during the quarter associated with the proxy contest litigation. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in regulatory assessments, including FDIC deposit insurance premiums of \$280,000 and a \$148,000 decline in insurance/bond premiums reflecting the termination of the Consent Order and its replacement with a Memorandum of Understanding, as compared to the same period in 2011.

	Nine Months Ended			Increase/(Decrease) from September 30,			Percentage Increase/	;
	Septem	ber 30, 201	2		2011	٠,	(Decrease))
	•		(D	ollars i	n thousand	ds)	,	
Compensation and benefits	\$	10,558		\$	511		5.1	%
Occupancy and equipment		1,191			24		2.1	
Professional fees		1,401			(30)	(2.1)
Data processing		540			(33)	(5.8)
Loss (gain) on sale of OREO property,								
net		(427)		1,000		(70.1)
OREO market value adjustments		1,702			270		18.9	
OREO related expenses, net		1,421			(955)	(40.2)
Regulatory assessments		709			(1,191)	(62.7)
Insurance/bond premiums		300			(443)	(59.6)
Proxy contest and related litigation		868			868		100.0	

Edgar Filing: First Financial Northwest, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Marketing	181	27	17.5
Other	1,203	92	8.3
Total noninterest expense	\$ 19,647	\$ 140	0.7

The increase in noninterest expense for the nine month period ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to \$868,000 of proxy contest litigation expenses and a \$511,000 increase in compensation and benefits. The increase in compensation and employee benefits was primarily due to expenses related to the addition of eight employees and ESOP expenses. In addition, net OREO related expenses increased \$315,000, of which \$270,000 related to OREO market value adjustments. These increases were partially offset by a \$1.2 million decrease in

regulatory assessment costs and a \$443,000 decline in insurance/bond premiums due to the termination of the Consent Order and its replacement with a Memorandum of Understanding as compared to the same period in 2011.

Federal Income Tax Expense. We recorded a \$48,000 federal income tax benefit during the third quarter of 2012 to adjust our tax accounts to reflect the tax position of the Company through the nine months ended September 30, 2012. We recorded a \$999,000 federal income tax benefit for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. This benefit was primarily the result of a \$1.0 million reduction in our deferred tax asset valuation allowance. Our continued profitability and positive projected future operating results allowed us to record this benefit. There was no comparable benefit recorded in the same quarter or nine month period in 2011.

Liquidity

We are required to have enough cash flow in order to maintain sufficient liquidity to ensure a safe and sound operation. We maintain cash balances above the minimum level believed to be adequate to meet the requirements of normal operations, including potential deposit outflows. On a daily basis, we review and update cash flow projections to ensure that adequate liquidity is maintained.

Our primary sources of funds are from customer deposits, loan repayments, maturing investment securities and advances from the FHLB. These funds, together with equity, are used to make loans, acquire investment securities and other assets and fund continuing operations. While maturities and the scheduled amortization of loans are a predictable source of funds, deposit flows and loan prepayments are greatly influenced by the level of interest rates, economic conditions and competition. At September 30, 2012, certificates of deposit scheduled to mature in one year or less totaled \$266.0 million. Historically, we have been able to retain a significant amount of the deposits as they mature. We believe that our current liquidity position and our forecasted operating results are sufficient to fund all of our existing commitments.

Our primary source of funds is our deposits. When deposits are not adequate to fund our operations, we use alternative funding sources. These sources include, but are not limited to advances from the FHLB, wholesale funding, federal funds purchased, dealer repurchase agreements and other short-term alternatives as permissible by regulation and subject to certain collateral requirements. At September 30, 2012, the Bank maintained credit facilities with the FHLB totaling \$247.3 million with an outstanding balance of \$83.1 million. At September 30, 2012, we also had available a \$10.0 million credit facility with another financial institution, with no balance outstanding. For additional information see the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

Commitments and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of our customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and the unused portions of lines of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated financial statements. Commitments to extend credit and lines of credit are not recorded as an asset or liability until the instrument is exercised. At September 30, 2012, we had no commitments to originate loans for sale.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the loan agreement. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. We evaluate each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of the collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by us upon extension of credit, is based on our credit evaluation of the customer. The amount and type of collateral required varies, but may include real estate and income-producing commercial properties.

The following table summarizes our outstanding commitments to originate loans, to advance additional amounts pursuant to outstanding lines of credit and to disburse funds related to our construction loans at September 30, 2012.

		Amount of Commitment Expiration - Per Period					
			After One	After Three			
	Total						
	Amounts	Through	Through	Through	After		
			Three				
	Committed	One Year	Years	Five Years	Five Years		
			(In thousands)				
Commitments to originate loans	\$ 17,136	\$ 17,136	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Unused portion of lines of credit	8,331	262	2,908	1,010	4,151		
Undisbursed portion of construction loans	931	931	-	-	-		
Total commitments	\$ 26,398	\$ 18,329	\$ 2,908	\$ 1,010	\$ 4,151		

We are from time to time involved in various claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. There are currently no matters that in the opinion of management would have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Among our contingent liabilities are exposures to limited recourse arrangements with respect to sales of whole loans and participation interests.

We anticipate that we will continue to have sufficient funds and alternative funding sources to meet our current commitments.

Capital

At September 30, 2012, stockholders' equity totaled \$185.0 million, or 19.0% of total assets. Our book value per share of common stock was \$9.84 at September 30, 2012, compared to \$9.64 at December 31, 2011. Consistent with our goal to operate a sound and profitable financial organization, we actively seek to maintain a "well-capitalized" institution in accordance with regulatory standards. As of September 30, 2012, the Bank exceeded all regulatory capital requirements. Regulatory capital ratios for the Bank only were as follows at September 30, 2012: Tier 1 leverage capital 15.16%; Tier 1 risk-based capital 26.04%; and Total risk-based capital 27.31%. The regulatory capital requirements to be considered well capitalized are 10%, 6% and 5%, respectively. The Bank met the financial ratios for "well-capitalized" status at September 30, 2012. In addition, at September 30, 2012, First Financial Northwest, the parent company of the Bank, had \$19.7 million of available cash to potentially increase its investment in the Bank.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk is defined as the sensitivity of income and capital to changes in interest rates and other relevant market rates or prices. Our profitability is largely dependent on our net interest income. Consequently, our primary exposure to market risk arises from the interest rate risk inherent in our lending, investment, deposit and borrowing activities. Interest rate risk is the risk to earnings and capital resulting from adverse movements in interest rates. We actively monitor and manage our exposure to interest rate risk.

We utilize a number of measures to actively monitor and manage our exposure to interest rate risk, including net interest income and economic value of equity simulation models. We prepare these models on a quarterly basis for review by our Asset Liability Committee ("ALCO"), senior management and Board of Directors. The use of these models requires us to formulate and apply assumptions to various balance sheet items. Assumptions regarding interest rate risk are inherent in all financial institutions and may include, but are not limited to, prepayment speeds on loans and mortgage-backed securities, cash flows and maturities of financial instruments held for purposes other than trading, changes in market conditions, loan volumes and pricing, deposit sensitivities, consumer preferences and management's capital plans. We believe that the data and assumptions used for our models are reasonable representations of our portfolio and possible outcomes under the various interest rate scenarios. Nonetheless, these assumptions are inherently uncertain; therefore, the models cannot precisely estimate net interest income or predict the impact of higher or lower interest rates on net interest income. Actual results may differ significantly from simulated results due to timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes and changes in market conditions and specific strategies, among other factors.

Our primary objective in managing interest rate risk is to minimize the adverse impact of changes in interest rates on our net interest income and capital, while structuring the asset and liability components to maximize net interest margin, utilize capital effectively and provide adequate liquidity. We rely primarily on our asset/liability structure to control interest rate risk. We assume a high level of interest rate risk as a result of our business model which calls for us to originate and hold fixed-rate, single-family loans, which by their nature are longer-term than customer deposits and borrowed funds.

Net Interest Income

Our primary source of income is net interest income, which is the difference between interest earned on loans and investments and the interest paid on deposits and borrowings. Like other financial institutions, we are subject to interest rate risk and expect periodic imbalances in the interest rate sensitivities of our assets and liabilities. Over any defined period of time, our interest-earning assets may be more sensitive to changes in market interest rates than our interest-bearing liabilities or vice versa. We principally manage interest rate risk by managing the volume and mix of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

Our income simulation model examines changes in net interest income in which interest rates are assumed to remain at their base level, gradually increase by 100, 200 and 300 basis points over a 12-month period, or decline assuming a gradual 100 basis point reduction in rates. Reductions of rates by 200 and 300 basis points were not reported due to the very low current rate environment and the unlikely event of rates declining further. Based on information as of September 30, 2012, our model indicated that net interest income over the next 12 months would grow in the increasing rate scenarios of 100, 200 and 300 basis points as well as a declining 100 basis point scenario.

Our net interest income increases in all three rising rate scenarios due to the current level of interest-bearing deposits we hold in other banks, and our portfolio of variable rate investment securities. These investments reprice as interest rates rise, earning higher yields and higher interest income. Our loan portfolio is comprised predominately of fixed-rate products so changing interest rates have a modest affect on interest income earned from our loan portfolio. Interest expense rises in the increasing 300 rate scenario, but declines in the increasing 100 and 200 basis point

scenarios, primarily due to the immediate impact of rising rates on our money market deposits and the deferred maturity-driven impact on our existing, higher-cost certificates of deposit. If rates were to gradually increase 100 basis points over the next 12 months the weighted-average cost for renewed certificates of deposit would be approximately 1.24%. The current cost of these maturing certificates of deposit is 1.60%. We also receive

a benefit from the sensitivity of our interest-bearing deposit liabilities, as the cost of these products does not increase at the same rate that prevailing interest rates increase.

In a declining interest rate environment of 100 basis points, net interest income increases slightly as reductions in interest income are outweighed by declines in interest expense. In this rate environment, our interest-bearing deposits in other banks reprice to lower yields from the current yield of 25 basis points and interest earned on our investment portfolio decreases due to prepayments and declining yield on the portfolio's adjustable-rate component. Interest income from our loan portfolio declines significantly as a result of increases in simulated prepayments of principal. Offsetting the declines to interest income, interest expense on our core deposits and maturing certificates of deposit reprice downwards. The weighted-average cost for renewed certificates of deposit declines to approximately 0.38%, a decrease of 122 basis points compared to the current cost of 1.60%.

During the third quarter of 2012, we purchased \$27.9 million of variable rate investments. These purchases continued our efforts to increase the level of interest sensitive assets on our balance sheet and to protect against the interest rate risk from our predominately fixed-rate loan portfolio in anticipation of a rising interest rate environment. Model results reflecting these shifts in interest sensitive assets are included in the table below:

Net Interest Income Change at September 30, 2012

	Basis Point	
	Change in Rates	% Change
	+300	7.02 %
	+200	5.39
	+100	3.67
	Base	1.22
	(100)	1.09
(1)	(200)	N/A
(1)	(300)	N/A

(1) The current federal funds rate is 0.25%,

making a 200 or 300 basis point decrease in rates impossible.

The changes indicated by the simulation model represent anticipated changes in net interest income over a 12 month period if rates were to gradually increase or decrease by the specified amount. The simulation assumes that the size of the balance sheet remains stable with no growth or contraction regardless of interest rate movements. Additionally, the tendency for loan and investment prepayments to accelerate in falling interest rate scenarios and slow when interest rates rise are incorporated in the model assumptions.

The rising and falling interest rate scenarios indicate that, if customer loan and deposit preferences do not change in response to further movements of the yield curve, a parallel 300, 200 or 100 basis point increase and a 100 point decline in rates over a 12-month period will result in a positive change in our net interest income.

Economic Value of Equity ("EVE") Simulation Model Results

The following table illustrates the change in the net portfolio value at September 30, 2012 that would occur in the event of an immediate change in interest rates equally across all maturities. The simulation model results are reported quarterly and are predicated upon a stable balance sheet, with no growth in assets or liabilities. Although the net portfolio value measurement provides an indication of our interest rate risk exposure at a particular point in time, such measurement is not intended to and does not provide, a precise forecast.

The EVE analysis estimates the present value of all financial instruments in our portfolio and analyzes how the economic value of the portfolio would be affected by various interest rate scenarios. The portfolio's economic value is calculated by generating principal and interest cash flows for the entire life of all assets and liabilities and discounting these cash flows back to their present values. The assumed discount rate used for each projected cash flow is based on a current market rate, such as a FHLB or U.S. Treasury curve and from alternative instruments of comparable risk and duration.

Basis Point							Net Portfoli	o as % of]	Market
Change in	e		Ne	et Po	rtfolio Value	(2)	Portfolio Valu	e of Assets	V	Value of
Rates (1)		1	Amount	\$ (Change (3)	% Change	NPV Ratio (4)	% Change (5)	A	ssets (6)
. ,						(Dollars in tho	usands)			
+300		\$	172,370	\$	(48,491)				\$	938,832
						(21.96)%	18.36%	(4.78)%		
+200			191,563		(29,298)	(13.27)	19.83	(2.89)		966,049
+100			208,081		(12,780)	(5.79)	20.99	(1.26)		991,255
Base			220,861		-	-	21.79	-		1,013,801
(100)			244,779		23,918	10.83	23.45	2.36		1,043,845
(200)	(1)		N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A
(300)	(1)		N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A

- (1) The current federal funds rate is 0.25%, making a 200 and 300 basis point decrease impossible.
- (2) The net portfolio value is the difference between the present value of the discounted cash flows of assets and liabilities and represents the market value of the Company's equity for any given interest rate scenario. Net portfolio value is useful for determining, on a market value basis, how equity changes in response to various interest rate scenarios. Large changes in net portfolio value reflect increased interest rate sensitivity and generally more volatile earnings streams.
- (3) The increase or decrease in the estimated net portfolio value at the indicated interest rates compared to the net portfolio value, assuming no change in interest rates.
- (4) Net portfolio value divided by the market value of assets.
- (5) The increase or decrease in the net portfolio value divided by the market value of assets.
- (6) The market value of assets represents the value of assets under the various interest rate scenarios and reflects the sensitivity of those assets to interest rate changes.

In the simulated upward rate shifts of the yield curve, the discount rates used to calculate the present value of assets and liabilities will increase, causing the present values of fixed-rate assets to decline and fixed-rate liabilities to increase. Our EVE simulation model results as of September 30, 2012 indicated that if rates increased 100, 200 or 300 basis points the market value of our assets would decrease. This decrease is largely because of the fixed-rate nature of

our loan portfolio. The fair value of our equity would also decrease under all three rising rate shift scenarios. The opposite occurs if rates were to decline. The discount rates used to calculate the present value of assets and liabilities will decrease, causing the present value of fixed-rate assets to increase and fixed-rate liabilities to decrease. If rates were to decrease by 100 basis points, the market value of our assets would increase and the fair value of equity would increase.

If interest rates change in the designated amounts, there can be no assurance that our assets and liabilities would perform as set forth previously. Changes in U.S. Treasury rates in the designated amounts accompanied by changes in the shape of the U.S. Treasury yield curve could cause changes to the net portfolio value and net interest income other than those indicated previously.

At September 30, 2012, we had no derivative financial instruments or trading accounts for any class of financial instruments, nor have we engaged in hedging activities or purchased off-balance sheet derivative instruments. Interest rate risk continues to be one of our primary risks as other types of risks, such as foreign currency exchange risk and commodity pricing risk do not arise in the normal course of our business activities and operations.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The management of First Financial Northwest, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"). A control procedure, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that its objectives are met. Also, because of the inherent limitations in all control procedures, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. As a result of these inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Further, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

- (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures: An evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act) was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) and several other members of our senior management as of the end of the period covered by this report. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of September 30, 2012, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is (i) accumulated and communicated to our management (including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) in a timely manner and (ii) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.
- (b) Changes in Internal Controls: In the quarter ended September 30, 2012, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are engaged in legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business, none of which are currently considered to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

There were no repurchases of equity securities in the third quarter of 2012.

The stock repurchase plan approved by the Board of Directors on February 18, 2009 expired during February 2012. There were 294,400 shares remaining to be purchased under the plan. Any future repurchases of stock would require regulatory approval as required by the MOU.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

Not applicable.

Item 6. Exhibits

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation of First Financial Northwest (1)
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of First Financial Northwest (2)
- 4 Form of stock certificate of First Financial Northwest (1)
- Form of Employment Agreement for President and Chief Executive Officer (1)
- Form of Change in Control Severance Agreement for Executive Officers (1)
- Form of First Savings Bank Employee Severance Compensation Plan (1)
 - Form of Supplemental Executive Retirement Agreement entered into by First Savings Bank with Victor Karpiak, Harry A. Blencoe and Robert H. Gagnier (1)
- Form of Financial Institutions Retirement Fund (1)
- Form of 401(k) Retirement Plan (3)

- 10.7 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (4)
- Forms of incentive and non-qualified stock option award agreements (5)
- Form of restricted stock award agreement (5)
 - 14 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (6) Subsidiaries of the Registrant
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
 - Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
 - 101 The following materials from First Financial Northwest's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (1) Consolidated Balance Sheets; (2) Consolidated Statements of Operations; (3) Consolidated

Statements of Comprehensive Income; (4) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity; (5) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (6) Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.*

- (1) Filed as an exhibit to First Financial Northwest's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (333-143549).
- (2) Filed as an exhibit to First Financial Northwest's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 22, 2012.
- Filed as an exhibit to First Financial Northwest's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Filed as Appendix A to First Financial Northwest's definitive proxy statement dated April 15, 2008.
- (5) Filed as an exhibit to First Financial Northwest's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 1, 2008.
- (6) Registrant elects to satisfy Regulation S-K §229.406 (c) by posting its code of ethics on its website at www.fsbnw.com.

^{*}Pursuant to SEC rules, this exhibit will not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended or otherwise subject to the liability of that section.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

First Financial Northwest, Inc.

Date: November 8, 2012 /s/Victor Karpiak

Victor Karpiak

Chairman of the Board, President and

Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

Date: November 8, 2012 /s/Kari Stenslie

Kari Stenslie

Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- 32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- The following materials from First Financial Northwest's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language XBRL): (1) Consolidated Balance Sheets; (2) Consolidated Statements of Operations; (3) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income; (4) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity; (5) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (6) Selected Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.*

*Pursuant to SEC rules, this exhibit will not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended or otherwise subject to the liability of that section.