

PEAPACK GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORP
Form 10-Q
November 09, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(MARK ONE)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2012

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File No. 001-16197

PEAPACK-GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New Jersey 22-3537895
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

500 Hills Drive, Suite 300

Bedminster, New Jersey 07921-1538

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(908) 234-0700

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulations S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Non-accelerated filer (do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No .

Number of shares of Common Stock outstanding as of November 1, 2012:

8,880,498

1

PEAPACK-GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION

PART 1 FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | | |
|---------------|--|---------|
| <u>Item 1</u> | <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited) Consolidated Statements of Condition at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u> | Page 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | Page 4 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | Page 5 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2012</u> | Page 6 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | Page 7 |
| | <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | Page 8 |
| <u>Item 2</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | Page 36 |
| <u>Item 3</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | Page 49 |
| <u>Item 4</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | Page 49 |

PART 2 OTHER INFORMATION

| | | |
|----------------|--|---------|
| <u>Item 1A</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | Page 50 |
| <u>Item 2</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | Page 50 |
| <u>Item 6</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> | Page 50 |

Table of Contents

Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

PEAPACK-GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION****(Dollars in thousands)**

| | (unaudited) September 30, 2012 | (audited) December 31, 2011 |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 5,466 | \$ 7,097 |
| Federal funds sold | 100 | 100 |
| Interest-earning deposits | 49,354 | 35,856 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 54,920 | 43,053 |
| Investment securities held to maturity (fair value \$82,426 in 2012 and \$99,427 in 2011) | 76,698 | 100,719 |
| Securities available for sale | 253,489 | 319,520 |
| FHLB and FRB stock, at cost | 4,639 | 4,569 |
| Loans held for sale, at fair value | 8,443 | 2,841 |
| Loans | 1,096,482 | 1,038,345 |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | 13,893 | 13,223 |
| Net loans | 1,082,589 | 1,025,122 |
| Premises and equipment | 30,472 | 31,941 |
| Other real estate owned | 3,392 | 7,137 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 4,040 | 4,078 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 30,887 | 27,296 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 25,861 | 26,731 |
| Other assets | 8,060 | 7,328 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 1,583,490 | \$ 1,600,335 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Noninterest-bearing demand deposits | \$ 306,711 | \$ 297,459 |
| Interest-bearing deposits: | | |
| Checking | 332,786 | 341,180 |
| Savings | 103,572 | 92,322 |
| Money market accounts | 504,863 | 516,920 |
| Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and over | 72,168 | 71,783 |
| Certificates of deposit less than \$100,000 | 112,586 | 124,228 |

Edgar Filing: PEAPACK GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| Total deposits | 1,432,686 | 1,443,892 |
| Federal home loan bank advances | 12,335 | 17,680 |
| Capital lease obligation | 9,024 | 9,178 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 11,967 | 6,614 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 1,466,012 | 1,477,364 |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Preferred stock (no par value; authorized 500,000 shares; issued 14,341 at December 31, 2011; liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share) | — | 13,979 |
| Common stock (no par value; \$0.83 per share; authorized 21,000,000 shares; issued shares, 9,288,676 at September 30, 2012 and 9,240,889 at December 31, 2011; outstanding shares, 8,880,498 at September 30, 2012 and 8,832,711 at December 31, 2011) | 7,724 | 7,685 |
| Surplus | 96,909 | 96,323 |
| Treasury stock at cost, 408,178 shares at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 | (8,988) | (8,988) |
| Retained earnings | 20,702 | 13,868 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of income tax | 1,131 | 104 |
| TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | 117,478 | 122,971 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$ 1,583,490 | \$ 1,600,335 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**PEAPACK-GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****(Dollars in thousands, except share data)****(Unaudited)**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| INTEREST INCOME | | | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 11,939 | \$ 11,563 | \$ 35,928 | \$ 34,948 |
| Interest on investment securities held to maturity: | | | | |
| Taxable | 564 | 418 | 1,375 | 1,635 |
| Tax-exempt | 39 | 90 | 151 | 274 |
| Interest on securities available for sale: | | | | |
| Taxable | 1,223 | 1,344 | 4,234 | 4,605 |
| Tax-exempt | 156 | 124 | 477 | 364 |
| Interest on loans held for sale | 34 | 12 | 75 | 33 |
| Interest-earning deposits | 27 | 43 | 58 | 91 |
| Total interest income | 13,982 | 13,594 | 42,298 | 41,950 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE | | | | |
| Interest on savings and interest-bearing deposit accounts | 362 | 758 | 1,168 | 2,662 |
| Interest on certificates of deposit over \$100,000 | 229 | 262 | 678 | 815 |
| Interest on other time deposits | 321 | 422 | 1,031 | 1,357 |
| Interest on borrowed funds | 113 | 177 | 453 | 578 |
| Interest on capital lease obligation | 107 | 80 | 324 | 239 |
| Total interest expense | 1,132 | 1,699 | 3,654 | 5,651 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES | | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 12,850 | 11,895 | 38,644 | 36,299 |
| | 750 | 1,500 | 3,750 | 5,500 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES | | | | |
| | 12,100 | 10,395 | 34,894 | 30,799 |
| OTHER INCOME | | | | |
| Trust department income | 2,918 | 2,555 | 9,353 | 8,102 |
| Service charges and fees | 694 | 747 | 2,073 | 2,205 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 266 | 257 | 794 | 769 |
| Securities gains, net | 235 | 248 | 732 | 721 |
| Other income | 446 | 166 | 1,001 | 669 |
| Total other income | 4,559 | 3,973 | 13,953 | 12,466 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 7,029 | 5,789 | 19,550 | 17,579 |
| Premises and equipment | 2,290 | 2,322 | 7,034 | 7,058 |
| Other operating expenses (See footnote 8) | 2,674 | 2,462 | 8,193 | 8,214 |
| Total operating expenses | 11,993 | 10,573 | 34,777 | 32,851 |

Edgar Filing: PEAPACK GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX EXPENSE | 4,666 | 3,795 | 14,070 | 10,414 |
| Income tax expense | 1,834 | (1,537) | 5,432 | 773 |
| NET INCOME | 2,832 | 5,332 | 8,638 | 9,641 |
| Dividends on preferred stock and accretion | — | 219 | 474 | 1,008 |
| NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS | \$2,832 | \$5,113 | \$8,164 | \$8,633 |
| EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.32 | \$0.58 | \$0.93 | \$0.98 |
| Diluted | \$0.32 | \$0.58 | \$0.93 | \$0.98 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING | | | | |
| Basic | 8,778,649 | 8,742,955 | 8,775,022 | 8,739,482 |
| Diluted | 8,819,431 | 8,743,065 | 8,805,859 | 8,741,102 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**PEAPACK-GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****(Dollars in thousands)**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income | \$ 2,832 | \$ 5,332 | \$ 8,638 | \$ 9,641 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Unrealized gains on available for sale securities: | | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains arising during the period | 1,093 | 726 | 2,212 | 3,417 |
| Less: Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income | 151 | 249 | 648 | 721 |
| | 942 | 477 | 1,564 | 2,696 |
| Tax effect | (385) | (198) | (639) | (1,141) |
| Net of tax | 557 | 279 | 925 | 1,555 |
| Unrealized losses on the noncredit, other-than temporarily impaired held to maturity securities and on securities transferred from available for sale to held to maturity | 60 | 71 | 173 | 90 |
| Tax effect | (25) | 243 | (71) | 235 |
| Net of tax | 35 | 314 | 102 | 325 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 3,424 | \$ 5,925 | \$ 9,665 | \$ 11,521 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**PEAPACK-GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY****(Dollars in thousands)****(Unaudited)**

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

| (In thousands, except per share data) | Preferred Stock | Common Stock | Surplus | Treasury Stock | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Total |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|----------|-------------------|----------------------|---|-----------|
| Balance at January 1, 2012 8,832,711 common shares outstanding | \$13,979 | \$ 7,685 | \$96,323 | \$(8,988) | \$13,868 | \$ 104 | \$122,971 |
| Net income | | | | | 8,638 | | 8,638 |
| Net change in accumulated other comprehensive income | | | | | | 1,027 | 1,027 |
| Issuance of restricted stock 36,263 shares | | 30 | (30) | | | | — |
| Amortization of restricted stock | | | 332 | | | | 332 |
| Redemption of preferred stock 14,341 shares | (14,341) | | | | | | (14,341) |
| Warrant repurchase 150,296 shares | | | (109) | | | | (109) |
| Accretion of discount on preferred stock | 362 | | | | (362) | | — |
| Cash dividends declared on common stock (\$0.05 per share) | | | | | (1,330) | | (1,330) |
| Cash dividends declared on preferred stock | | | | | (112) | | (112) |
| Common stock option expense | | | 253 | | | | 253 |
| Common stock options exercised and related tax benefits, 2,022 shares | | 1 | 20 | | | | 21 |
| Sales of shares (Dividend Reinvestment Program), 9,502 shares | | 8 | 120 | | | | 128 |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 8,880,498 common shares outstanding | \$— | \$ 7,724 | \$96,909 | \$(8,988) | \$20,702 | \$ 1,131 | \$117,478 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

6

Table of Contents**PEAPACK-GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(Dollars in thousands)****(Unaudited)**

| | Nine Months Ended September | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net income: | \$ 8,638 | \$ 9,641 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation | 2,214 | 2,149 |
| Amortization of premium and accretion of discount on securities, net | 1,708 | 2,332 |
| Amortization of restricted stock | 332 | 191 |
| Provision for loan losses | 3,750 | 5,500 |
| Provision for deferred taxes | — | 622 |
| Reversal of valuation allowance-deferred tax assets | — | (2,988) |
| Tax benefit on exercise of stock options | 2 | — |
| Stock-based compensation | 253 | 273 |
| Gains on security sales, available for sale | (648) | (721) |
| Gain on securities, held to maturity | (84) | — |
| Loans originated for sale | (67,503) | (22,539) |
| Proceeds from sales of loans | 62,726 | 22,180 |
| Gains on loans sold | (825) | (363) |
| Loss/(gains) on sale of other real estate owned | 62 | (47) |
| Gain on disposal of fixed assets | (7) | — |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance, net | (595) | (693) |
| Decrease in accrued interest receivable | 38 | 452 |
| (Increase)/decrease in other assets | (572) | 1,507 |
| Increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities | 1,801 | 1,842 |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES | 11,290 | 19,338 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Proceeds from maturities of investment securities held to maturity | 36,714 | 28,413 |
| Proceeds from maturities of securities available for sale | 61,794 | 48,996 |
| Proceeds from calls of investment securities held to maturity | 136 | 39,505 |
| Proceeds from calls of securities available for sale | 23,598 | 41,755 |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale | 29,358 | 37,277 |
| Purchase of investment securities held to maturity | (9,546) | (44,145) |
| Purchase of securities available for sale, including FHLB and FRB stock | (47,913) | (151,556) |
| Net increase in loans | (60,046) | (41,136) |
| Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned | 2,512 | 1,238 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (738) | (826) |

Edgar Filing: PEAPACK GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Purchase of life insurance | (2,996) | — |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY/(USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES | 32,873 | (40,479) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net (decrease)/increase in deposits | (11,206) | 45,464 |
| Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances | (5,345) | (3,333) |
| Redemption of preferred stock | (14,341) | (7,172) |
| Repurchase of warrants | (111) | — |
| Cash dividends paid on preferred stock | (112) | (644) |
| Cash dividends paid on common stock | (1,330) | (1,324) |
| Exercise of stock options | 21 | — |
| Sales of shares (DRIP Program) | 128 | 122 |
| NET CASH (USED IN)/PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | (32,296) | 33,113 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 11,867 | 11,972 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 43,053 | 62,687 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 54,920 | \$ 74,659 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PEAPACK-GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the audited consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. These unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Annual Report on form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2011 for Peapack-Gladstone Financial Corporation (the "Corporation"). In the opinion of the Management of the Corporation, the accompanying unaudited Consolidated Interim Financial Statements contain all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position as of September 30, 2012 and the results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

Principles of Consolidation: The Corporation considers that all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the statement of the financial position and results of operations in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for these periods have been made. Results for such interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

The consolidated financial statements of Peapack-Gladstone Financial Corporation are prepared on the accrual basis and include the accounts of the Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary, Peapack-Gladstone Bank. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated from the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Securities: Debt securities are classified as held to maturity and carried at amortized cost when Management has the positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity. Debt securities are classified as available for sale when they might be sold before maturity. Equity securities with readily determinable fair values are classified as available for sale. Securities available for sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses reported in other comprehensive income, net of tax.

Interest income includes amortization of purchase premium or discount. Premiums and discounts on securities are amortized on the level-yield method without anticipating prepayments, except for mortgage-backed securities where prepayments are anticipated. Gains and losses on sales are recorded on the trade date and determined using the specific identification method.

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment on at least a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. For securities in an unrealized loss position, Management considers the extent and duration of the unrealized loss and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. Management also assesses whether it intends to sell, or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell, a security in an unrealized loss position before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If either of the criteria regarding intent of requirement to sell is met, the entire difference between amortized cost and fair value is recognized as impairment through earnings. For debt securities that do not meet the aforementioned criteria, the amount of impairment is split into two components as follows: 1) other-than-temporary impairment related to credit loss, which must be recognized in the income statement and 2) other-than-temporary impairment related to other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income. The credit loss is defined as the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis. For equity securities, the entire amount of impairment is recognized through earnings.

Table of Contents

Loans: Loans are considered past due when they are not paid in accordance with contractual terms. The accrual of income on loans, including impaired loans, is discontinued if, in the opinion of Management, principal or interest is not likely to be paid in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement, or when principal or interest is past due 90 days or more and collateral, if any, is insufficient to cover principal and interest. All interest accrued but not received for loans placed on nonaccrual is reversed against interest income. Payments received on nonaccrual loans are recorded as principal payments. A nonaccrual loan is returned to accrual status only when interest and principal payments are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured, usually when the Bank receives contractual payments for six consecutive months. Commercial loans are generally charged off after an analysis is completed which indicates that collectability of the full principal balance is in doubt. Consumer loans are generally charged off after they become 120 days past due. Subsequent payments are credited to income only if collection of principal is not in doubt. If principal and interest payments are brought contractually current and future collectability is reasonably assured, loans are returned to accrual status. Mortgage loans are generally charged off when the value of the underlying collateral does not cover the outstanding principal balance. The majority of the Corporation's loans are secured by real estate in the State of New Jersey.

Allowance for Loan Losses: The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses. Loan losses are charges against the allowance when Management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. Management estimates the allowance balance required using past loan loss experience, the nature and volume of the portfolio, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions and other factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in Management's judgment, should be charged off.

The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component of the allowance relates to loans that are individually classified as impaired.

A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Corporation will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Loans for which the terms have been modified resulting in a concession, and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, are considered troubled debt restructurings and are evaluated for impairment. Factors considered by Management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed.

All loans are individually evaluated for impairment when loans are classified as substandard by Management. If a loan is considered impaired, a portion of the allowance is allocated so that the loan is reported, net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate or at the fair value of collateral less estimated disposition

costs if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as consumer and residential real estate loans, are collectively evaluated for impairment while they are performing assets. If and when a residential mortgage is placed on nonaccrual status and in the process of collections, such as through a foreclosure action, then they are evaluated for impairment on an individual basis and the loan is reported, net, at the fair value of the collateral less estimated disposition costs.

Table of Contents

A troubled debt restructuring is a renegotiated loan with concessions made by the lender to a borrower who is experiencing financial difficulty. Troubled debt restructurings are separately identified for impairment and are measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's effective rate at inception. If a troubled debt restructuring is considered to be a collateral dependent loan, the loan is reported, net, at the fair value of the collateral, less estimated disposition costs. For troubled debt restructurings that subsequently default, the Corporation determines the amount of reserve in accordance with the accounting policy for the allowance for loan losses.

The general component of the allowance covers loans collectively evaluated for impairment and is based primarily on the Bank's historical loss experience adjusted for current factors. The historical loss experience is determined by portfolio segment and is based on the actual loss history experienced by the Corporation on a weighted average basis over the previous several years. This actual loss experience is adjusted by other qualitative factors based on the risks present for each portfolio segment. These economic factors include consideration of the following: levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans; levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume and terms of loans; effects of any changes in risk selection and underwriting standards; other changes in lending policies, procedures, and practices; experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff; national and local economic trends and conditions; industry conditions; and effects of changes in credit concentrations.

In determining an appropriate amount for the allowance, the Bank segments and evaluates the loan portfolio based on Federal call report codes. The material portfolio segments are discussed below:

Primary Residential Mortgages. The Bank originates one to four family residential mortgage loans within or near its primary geographic market area. When reviewing residential mortgage loan applications, the Bank obtains detailed verifiable information regarding income, assets and indebtedness, a credit report, and an independent appraisal of the property to be mortgaged. The Bank makes residential mortgage loans up to 80% of the appraised value and up to 95% with private mortgage insurance. The Bank uses lower loan to value ratios for large loans and loans on either second (vacation) homes or investment property. The Bank's underwriting guidelines include (i) minimum credit report scores of 680 and (ii) a maximum debt to income ratio of 40% if the loan to value is 70% or higher and 45% if the loan to value is less than 70%. The Bank may consider an exception to any guideline if the remaining characteristics of the application are sufficiently strong to compensate. The Bank retains in its portfolio residential mortgage loans with maturities of up to 15 years while loans with longer maturities are sold to third party financial institutions. The Bank does not originate, purchase or carry any sub-prime mortgage loans.

Primary risk characteristics associated with residential mortgage loans typically involve major living or lifestyle changes to the borrower, including unemployment or other loss of income; unexpected significant expenses, such as for major medical issues or catastrophic events; divorce or death. In addition, residential mortgage loans that have adjustable rates could expose the borrower to higher debt service requirements in a rising interest rate environment. Further, real estate value could drop significantly and cause the value of the property to fall below the loan amount, creating additional potential exposure for the Bank.

Bank management believes that the underwriting guidelines previously described address the primary risk characteristics. Further, the Bank has dedicated staff and system resources to monitor and collect on any potentially problematic residential mortgage loans.

Home Equity Lines of Credit. The Bank provides revolving lines of credit against one to four family residences within or near its primary geographic market. When reviewing residential mortgage loan applications, the Bank obtains detailed verifiable information regarding income, assets and indebtedness, a credit report, and an independent appraisal of the property to be mortgaged. For home equity lines of credit, the Bank utilizes the same underwriting standards as for primary residential mortgages. Primary risk characteristics associated with home equity lines of credit typically involve major living or lifestyle changes to the borrower, including unemployment or other loss of income; unexpected significant expenses, such as for major medical issues or catastrophic events; divorce or death. In addition, home equity lines of credit typically are made with variable or floating interest rates, such as the Prime Rate, which could expose the borrower to higher debt service requirements in a rising interest rate environment. Further, real estate value could drop significantly and cause the value of the property to fall below the loan amount, creating additional potential exposure for the Bank.

Table of Contents

Bank management believes that the underwriting guidelines previously described address the primary risk characteristics. Further, the Bank has dedicated staff and system resources to monitor and collect on any potentially problematic home equity lines of credit.

Junior Lien Loan on Residence. The Bank provides junior lien loans (“JLL”) against one to four family properties within or near its primary geographic market area. Junior liens loans can be either in the form of an amortizing home equity loan or a revolving home equity line of credit. These loans are subordinate to a first mortgage which may be from another lending institution. The Bank will require that the mortgage securing the JLL be no more junior than a second lien position. The Bank will evaluate these applications in the same manner as it underwrites primary residential mortgages. The combined first mortgage and junior lien loan must be no more than 80% of the appraised value of the property when the combined debt is less than or equal to \$800,000. For JLL amounts where the combined debt exceeds \$800,000, the maximum LTV ratio is 65%. Primary risk characteristics associated with junior lien loans typically involve major living or lifestyle changes to the borrower, including unemployment or other loss of income; unexpected significant expenses, such as for major medical issues or catastrophic events; divorce or death. Further, real estate value could drop significantly and cause the value of the property to fall below the loan amount, creating additional potential exposure for the Bank.

Bank management believes that the underwriting guidelines previously described address the primary risk characteristics. Further, the Bank has dedicated staff and system resources to monitor and collect on any potentially problematic junior lien loans.

Multifamily and Commercial Real Estate Loans. The Bank provides mortgage loans for multifamily properties (i.e. buildings which have five or more residential units) and other commercial real estate that is either owner occupied or managed as an investment property. Commercial real estate properties primarily include office and medical buildings, retail space, and warehouse or flex space. Some properties are considered “mixed use” as they are a combination of building types, such as an apartment building that may have also have retail space. In these cases the Bank determines which component provides the majority of the rental income for the property and utilizes that component for the loan classification.

The terms and conditions of all commercial mortgage loans are tailored to the specific attributes of the borrower, the property or project, and any guarantors. In the case of multifamily and investment commercial real estate properties, the Bank reviews, among other things, the nature of and diversity of the underlying tenants and leases, the resources and experience of the sponsor, and the condition and location of the subject property. With an owner occupied property, a detailed credit assessment is also made of the operating business. While the Bank’s policy allows loan to value ratios of up to 80% of an appraised value, the majority of the loans are originated at loan to value ratios of 70% or lower. Commercial mortgage loans are generally made on a fixed rate basis with periodic rate resets every five or seven years over an underlying market index. The Bank requires an independent appraisal, a property conditions assessment from an engineering firm, and appropriate environmental due diligence.

Multifamily loans are expected to be repaid from the cash flow of the underlying property so the collective amount of rents must be sufficient to cover all operating expenses, property management and maintenance, taxes and debt service. Increases in vacancy rates, interest rates or other changes in general economic conditions can all have an impact on the borrower and their ability to repay the loan. Commercial real estate loans are generally considered to have a higher degree of credit risk than multifamily loans as they may be dependent on the ongoing success and operating viability of a fewer number of tenants who are occupying the property and who may have a greater degree of exposure to economic conditions. To mitigate this risk, the Bank will require a standby assignment of leases, greater direct recourse to the owners, and a risk appropriate interest rate and loan structure. In underwriting a commercial mortgage loan, the Bank evaluates the property's historical operating income as well as its projected sustainability and generally requires a minimum debt service coverage ratio that provides for an adequate cushion for unexpected or uncertain future events such as the potential impact of changes in interest rates, vacancy levels and lease rates.

Table of Contents

Commercial and Industrial Loans. The Bank provides lines of credit and term loans to operating companies for business purposes. The loans are generally secured by business assets such as accounts receivable, inventory and equipment. When underwriting business loans, among other things, the bank evaluates the historical profitability and debt servicing capacity of the borrowing entity and the financial resources and character of the principal owners and guarantors.

Commercial and industrial loans are typically repaid first by the cash flow generated by the borrower's business operation. The primary risk characteristics are specific to the underlying business and its ability to generate sustainable profitability and resulting positive cash flow. Factors that may influence a business's profitability include, but are not limited to, demand for its products or services, quality and depth of management, degree of competition, regulatory changes, and general economic conditions. Commercial and industrial loans are generally secured by business assets; however, the ability of the Bank to foreclose and realize sufficient value from the assets is often highly uncertain.

To mitigate the risk characteristics of commercial and industrial loans, the Bank will often require more frequent reporting requirements from the borrower in order to better monitor its business performance.

Commercial Construction. The Bank has substantially wound down its commercial construction lending activity given the current economic environment. New construction loans would be considered only to experienced and reputable local builders and developers that have the capital and liquidity to carry a project to completion and stabilization and for projects that are supported by either a permanent take-out or acceptable executed leases or sales contracts. When evaluating a construction loan request, the Bank will also review the construction plans and drawings, costs estimates from architects, and an independent appraisal. Construction loans typically have a 12-24 month period of interest only and at a maximum 70% LTV ratio. Construction loans are considered riskier than commercial financing on improved and established commercial real estate. The risk of potential loss increases if the original cost estimates or time to complete are significantly off.

Stock-Based Compensation: The Corporation has stock option plans that allow the granting of shares of the Corporation's common stock as incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, restricted stock awards and stock appreciation rights to directors, officers, employees and independent contractors of the Corporation and its subsidiaries. The options granted under these plans are exercisable at a price equal to the fair market value of common stock on the date of grant and expire not more than ten years after the date of grant. Stock options may vest during a period of up to five years after the date of grant.

For the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Corporation recorded total compensation cost for stock options of \$83 thousand and \$61 thousand respectively, with a recognized tax benefit of \$15 thousand for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 and \$11 thousand for the September 30, 2011 quarter. The Corporation recorded total compensation cost for stock options for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, of \$253 thousand and

\$273 thousand, respectively, with a recognized tax benefit of \$45 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and \$46 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

There was approximately \$580 thousand of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Corporation's stock incentive plans at September 30, 2012. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.7 years.

For the Corporation's stock option plans, changes in options outstanding during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were as follows:

| | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In Thousands) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|---|
| Balance, January 1, 2012 | 577,782 | \$ 23.45 | | |
| Granted during 2012 | 62,560 | 11.01 | | |
| Exercised during 2012 | (2,190) | 11.10 | | |
| Expired during 2012 | (12,338) | 25.51 | | |
| Forfeited during 2012 | (1,018) | 15.02 | | |
| Balance, September 30, 2012 | 624,796 | \$ 22.22 | 4.27 years | \$ 591 |
| Vested and expected to vest (1) | 594,387 | \$ 22.71 | 4.27 years | \$ 513 |
| Exercisable at September 30, 2012 | 455,858 | \$ 25.57 | 2.80 years | \$ 80 |

(1) Does not include shares which are not expected to vest as a result of anticipated forfeitures.

The aggregate intrinsic value represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the difference between the Corporation's closing stock price on the last trading day of the third quarter of 2012 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options). The Corporation's closing stock price on September 30, 2012 was \$16.34.

For the third quarter of 2012, the per share weighted-average fair value of stock options granted was \$5.29 as compared to \$3.68 for the same quarter of 2011. The per share weighted-average fair value of stock options granted during the first nine months of 2012 and 2011 was \$3.91 and \$3.88, respectively on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | September 30, 2011 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | September 30, 2011 | |
|----------------|---|---|-----------------------|---|--|---|-----------------------|---|
| Dividend Yield | 1.24 | % | 2.02 | % | 1.47 | % | 1.60 | % |

Edgar Filing: PEAPACK GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|---|---------|---|---------|---|---------|---|
| Expected volatility | 39 | % | 38 | % | 39 | % | 32 | % |
| Expected life | 7 years | | 7 years | | 7 years | | 7 years | |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.08 | % | 2.02 | % | 1.43 | % | 2.08 | % |

In January 2012 and 2011, the Corporation issued 36,263 and 28,732 restricted stock awards, respectively, at a fair value equal to the market price of the Corporation's common stock on the date of the grant. The awards vest 40 percent after two years and 20 percent each year thereafter until fully vesting on the fifth anniversary of the grant date. The Corporation recorded total compensation cost for restricted stock awards of \$111 thousand for the third quarter of 2012 and \$67 thousand for the same quarter of 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Corporation recorded total compensation cost for restricted stock awards of \$332 thousand and \$191 thousand, respectively.

As of September 30, 2012, there was approximately \$790 thousand of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock awards granted under the Corporation's stock incentive plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.6 years.

Table of Contents

Changes in non-vested, restricted common shares for 2012 were as follows:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Balance, January 1, 2012 | 84,725 | \$ 13.46 |
| Granted during 2012 | 36,263 | 10.72 |
| Vested during 2012 | (22,393) | 10.75 |
| Balance, September 30, 2012 | 98,595 | \$ 13.07 |

Earnings per Common share – Basic and Diluted: The following is a reconciliation of the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share. Basic net income per common share is calculated by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average common shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted net income per common share is computed similarly to that of basic net income per common share, except that the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if all common shares underlying potentially dilutive stock options were issued or restricted stock would vest during the reporting period utilizing the Treasury stock method.

| (In thousands, except per share data) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income to common shareholders | \$2,832 | \$5,113 | \$8,164 | \$8,633 |
| Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding | 8,778,649 | 8,742,955 | 8,775,022 | 8,739,482 |
| Plus: common stock equivalents | 40,782 | 110 | 30,837 | 1,620 |
| Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding | 8,819,431 | 8,743,065 | 8,805,859 | 8,741,102 |
| Net income per common share | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.32 | \$0.58 | \$0.93 | \$0.98 |
| Diluted | 0.32 | 0.58 | 0.93 | 0.98 |

Stock options and restricted stock totaling 569,642 and 600,047 shares were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share in the third quarters of 2012 and 2011, respectively, because they were considered antidilutive. Stock options and restricted stock totaling 590,867 and 571,993 shares were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, because they were considered antidilutive.

Income Taxes: The Corporation files a consolidated Federal income tax return and separate state income tax returns for each subsidiary based on current laws and regulations.

The Corporation is no longer subject to examination by the U.S. Federal tax authorities for years prior to 2008 or by New Jersey tax authorities for years prior to 2007.

The Corporation recognizes interest related to income tax matters as interest expense and penalties related to income tax matters as other expense. The Corporation did not have any amounts accrued for interest and penalties at September 30, 2012.

Reclassification: Certain reclassifications may have been made in the prior periods' financial statements in order to conform to the 2012 presentation.

Table of Contents**2. INVESTMENT SECURITIES HELD TO MATURITY**

A summary of amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities held to maturity included in the consolidated statements of condition as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 follows:

| (In thousands) | September 30, 2012 | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Gross | | Fair Value |
| | | Unrecognized Gains | Unrecognized Losses | |
| Mortgage-backed securities – residential | \$52,179 | \$ 2,034 | \$ — | \$54,213 |
| State and political subdivisions | 16,189 | 11 | — | 16,200 |
| Trust preferred pooled securities | 8,330 | 5,840 | (2,157) |) 12,013 |
| Total | \$76,698 | \$ 7,885 | \$ (2,157) |) \$82,426 |

| (In thousands) | December 31, 2011 | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Gross | | Fair Value |
| | | Unrecognized Gains | Unrecognized Losses | |
| Mortgage-backed securities – residential | \$67,394 | \$ 1,393 | \$ (1) |) \$68,786 |
| State and political subdivisions | 24,608 | 52 | — | 24,660 |
| Trust preferred pooled securities | 8,717 | 2,170 | (4,906) |) 5,981 |
| Total | \$100,719 | \$ 3,615 | \$ (4,907) |) \$99,427 |

The following tables present the Corporation's investment securities held to maturity with continuous unrealized losses and the estimated fair value of these investments as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

| (In thousands) | September 30, 2012 | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|
| | Duration of Unrealized Loss | | | | | |
| | Fair Value | Unrecognized Losses | Fair Value | Unrecognized Losses | Total | |
| | | | | | Fair Value | Unrecognized Losses |
| Trust preferred pooled securities | \$— | — | \$ 4,535 | \$ (2,157) |) \$4,535 | \$ (2,157) |
| Total | \$— | — | \$ 4,535 | \$ (2,157) |) \$4,535 | \$ (2,157) |

| December 31, 2011 | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------|--|
| Duration of Unrealized Loss | | | |
| Less than 12 Months | 12 Months or Longer | Total | |

Edgar Filing: PEAPACK GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| (In thousands) | Fair Value | Unrecognized Losses | Fair Value | Unrecognized Losses | Fair Value | Unrecognized Losses |
|--|------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|
| Mortgage-backed securities-residential | \$3,194 | \$ (1) | \$ — | \$ — | \$3,194 | \$ (1) |
| Trust preferred pooled securities | — | — | 2,729 | (4,906) | 2,729 | (4,906) |
| Total | \$3,194 | \$ (1) | \$ 2,729 | \$ (4,906) | \$5,923 | \$ (4,907) |

The trust preferred pooled securities within the Corporation's held to maturity investment portfolio are collateralized by trust preferred securities issued primarily by individual bank holding companies, but also by insurance companies and real estate investment trusts. There has been little or no active trading in these securities for several years; therefore the Corporation has determined these securities to be illiquid and fair value was calculated by utilizing non-binding broker quotes. As of December 31, 2008, to estimate fair value, and determine whether the securities were other-than-temporarily impaired, the Corporation retained and worked with a third party to review the issuers (the collateral) underlying each of the securities. Among the factors analyzed were the issuers' profitability, credit quality, asset mix, capital adequacy, leverage and liquidity position, as well as an overall assessment of credit, profitability and capital trends within the portfolio's issuer universe. These factors provided an assessment of the portion of the collateral of each security which was likely to default in future periods. The cash flows associated with the collateral likely to default, together with the cash flows associated with collateral which had already deferred or defaulted, were then eliminated. In addition, the Corporation assumed constant rates of default in excess of those based upon the historic performance of the underlying collateral. The resulting cash flows were then discounted to the current period to determine fair value for each security. The discount rate utilized was based on a risk-free rate (LIBOR) plus spreads appropriate for the product, which include consideration of liquidity and credit uncertainty.

Table of Contents

Each quarter since December 2008, to periodically assess the credit assumptions and related input data that could affect the cash flows of each security, Management compared actual deferrals and defaults to the assumed deferrals and defaults included in the valuation model.

As of each year end since December 2008, the Corporation again worked with a third party to model the securities and review its cash flows. The modeling process and related assumptions were similar to the process and related assumptions employed as of December 31, 2008. No additional impairment charges were recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Further significant downturns in the real estate markets and/or the economy could cause additional issuers to defer paying dividends on these securities and/or ultimately default. Such occurrences, if beyond those assumed in the current valuation, could cause an additional write-down of the portfolio, with a negative impact on earnings; however, the Corporation has already recorded a substantial write-down of its trust preferred pooled securities portfolio. We do not expect that an additional write-down would have a material effect on the cash flows from the securities or on our liquidity position.

3. INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

A summary of amortized cost and approximate fair value of securities available for sale included in the consolidated statements of condition as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 follows:

| (In thousands) | September 30, 2012 | | Gross Unrecognized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrecognized Gains | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities | \$29,645 | \$ 312 | \$ — | 29,957 |
| Mortgage-backed securities – residential | 181,538 | 5,906 | (1) | 187,443 |
| State and political subdivisions | 29,115 | 1,511 | (1) | 30,625 |
| Other securities | 5,998 | 98 | (845) | 5,251 |
| Marketable equity securities | 209 | 4 | — | 213 |
| Total | \$246,505 | \$ 7,831 | \$ (847) | \$253,489 |

| December 31, 2011 | | Gross Unrecognized | Fair |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------|
| Amortized | Gross Unrecognized | | |
| | | | |

Edgar Filing: PEAPACK GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| (In thousands) | Cost | Gains | Losses | Value |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|-------------|
| U.S. government-sponsored entities | \$46,729 | \$ 149 | \$ — | \$46,878 |
| Mortgage-backed securities – residential | 232,240 | 4,891 | (147) |) 236,984 |
| State and political subdivisions | 28,539 | 1,314 | (2) |) 29,851 |
| Other securities | 5,999 | 40 | (832) |) 5,207 |
| Marketable equity securities | 593 | 7 | — | 600 |
| Total | \$314,100 | \$ 6,401 | \$ (981) |) \$319,520 |

Table of Contents

The following tables present the Corporation's available for sale securities with continuous unrealized losses and the approximate fair value of these investments as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

| (In thousands) | September 30, 2012 | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|
| | Duration of Unrealized Loss | | | | | |
| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Unrecognized Losses | Fair Value | Unrecognized Losses | Fair Value | Unrecognized Losses |
| Mortgage-backed securities - residential | \$— | \$ — | \$ 95 | \$ (1) | \$95 | \$ (1) |
| State and political subdivisions | 36 | (1) | — | — | 36 | (1) |
| Other securities | — | — | 2,153 | (845) | 2,153 | (845) |
| Total | \$36 | \$ (1) | \$ 2,248 | \$ (846) | \$2,284 | \$ (847) |

| (In thousands) | December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|
| | Duration of Unrealized Loss | | | | | |
| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Unrecognized Losses | Fair Value | Unrecognized Losses | Fair Value | Unrecognized Losses |
| Mortgage-backed securities- residential | \$32,931 | \$ (120) | \$ 317 | \$ (27) | \$33,248 | \$ (147) |
| State and political subdivisions | 736 | (2) | — | — | 736 | (2) |
| Other securities | — | — | 2,167 | (832) | 2,167 | (832) |
| Total | \$33,667 | \$ (122) | \$ 2,484 | \$ (859) | \$36,151 | \$ (981) |

Management believes that the unrealized losses on investment securities available for sale are temporary and due to interest rate fluctuations and/or volatile market conditions rather than the creditworthiness of the issuers. The Corporation does not intend to sell these securities nor is it likely that it will be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery; however, Management also closely monitors market conditions and may sell the securities if it determines it would be beneficial to do so.

At September 30, 2012, the unrealized loss on other securities, \$845 thousand, is related to a debt security issued by a large bank holding company that has experienced declines in all its securities due to the turmoil in the financial markets and a merger. The security, a single-issuer trust preferred security, was downgraded to below investment grade by Moody's and is currently rated Ba1. Management monitors the performance of the issuer on a quarterly basis to determine if there are any credit events that could result in deferral or default of the security. Management believes the depressed valuation is a result of the nature of the security, a trust preferred bond, and the bond's very low yield. As Management does not intend to sell this security nor is it likely that it will be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery, the security is not considered other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2012.

4. LOANS

Loans outstanding, by general ledger classification, as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, consisted of the following:

| (In thousands) | September 30, 2012 | % of Total Loans | December 31, 2011 | % of Total Loans |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Residential mortgage | \$ 504,407 | 46.00 % | \$ 498,482 | 48.01 % |
| Commercial mortgage | 391,976 | 35.75 | 330,559 | 31.84 |
| Commercial loans | 115,602 | 10.54 | 123,845 | 11.93 |
| Construction loans | 9,639 | 0.88 | 13,713 | 1.32 |
| Home equity lines of credit | 51,440 | 4.69 | 50,291 | 4.84 |
| Consumer loans, including fixed rate home equity loans | 21,542 | 1.97 | 19,439 | 1.87 |
| Other loans | 1,876 | 0.17 | 2,016 | 0.19 |
| Total loans | \$ 1,096,482 | 100.00 % | \$ 1,038,345 | 100.00 % |

Table of Contents

In determining an appropriate amount for the allowance, the Bank segments and evaluates the loan portfolio based on federal call report codes. The following portfolio classes have been identified as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

| (In thousands) | September 30, 2012 | % of Total Loans | December 31, 2011 | % of Total Loans |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 517,520 | 47.34 % | \$ 511,418 | 49.40 % |
| Home equity lines of credit | 51,441 | 4.71 | 50,394 | 4.87 |
| Junior lien loan on residence | 12,587 | 1.15 | 13,053 | 1.26 |
| Multifamily property | 127,972 | 11.71 | 104,056 | 10.05 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 103,022 | 9.43 | 107,852 | 10.42 |
| Investment commercial real estate | 235,162 | 21.51 | 186,998 | 18.06 |
| Commercial and industrial | 20,277 | 1.85 | 29,825 | 2.88 |
| Secured by farmland | 209 | 0.02 | — | N/A |
| Agricultural production loans | 15 | N/A | 18 | N/A |
| Commercial construction loans | 9,633 | 0.88 | 19,208 | 1.85 |
| Consumer and other loans | 15,328 | 1.40 | 12,516 | 1.21 |
| Total loans | \$ 1,093,166 | 100.00% | \$ 1,035,338 | 100.00% |
| Net deferred fees | 3,316 | | 3,007 | |
| Total loans including net deferred costs | \$ 1,096,482 | | \$ 1,038,345 | |

Included in the totals above for September 30, 2012 are \$231 thousand of unamortized discount as compared to \$691 thousand of unamortized discount for December 31, 2011.

The following tables present the loan balances by portfolio class, based on impairment method, and the corresponding balances in the allowance for loan losses as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

September 30, 2012

| (In thousands) | Total Loans Individually Evaluated for Impairment | Ending ALLL Attributable to Loans Individually Evaluated for Impairment | Total Loans Collectively Evaluated for Impairment | Ending ALLL Attributable to Loans Collectively Evaluated for Impairment | Total Loans | Total Ending ALLL |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|----------------|-------------------------|
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 8,233 | \$ 326 | \$ 509,287 | \$ 2,593 | \$ 517,520 | \$ 2,919 |
| Home equity lines of credit | 98 | — | 51,343 | 253 | 51,441 | 253 |
| Junior lien loan on residence | 480 | — | 12,107 | 66 | 12,587 | 66 |

Edgar Filing: PEAPACK GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|--------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Multifamily property | — | — | 127,972 | 975 | 127,972 | 975 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 9,195 | — | 93,827 | 3,363 | 103,022 | 3,363 |
| Investment commercial real estate | 5,852 | 374 | 229,310 | 4,226 | 235,162 | 4,600 |
| Commercial and industrial | 726 | 44 | 19,551 | 772 | 20,277 | 816 |
| Secured by farmland | — | — | 209 | 3 | 209 | 3 |
| Agricultural production | — | — | 15 | 1 | 15 | 1 |
| Commercial construction | — | — | 9,633 | 291 | 9,633 | 291 |
| Consumer and other | — | — | 15,328 | 78 | 15,328 | 78 |
| Unallocated | — | — | — | 528 | — | 528 |
| Total ALLL | \$ 24,584 | \$ 744 | \$ 1,068,582 | \$ 13,149 | \$ 1,093,166 | \$ 13,893 |

Table of Contents

December 31, 2011

| (In thousands) | Total Loans Individually Evaluated for Impairment | Ending ALLL Attributable to Loans Individually Evaluated for Impairment | Total Loans Collectively Evaluated for Impairment | Ending ALLL Attributable to Loans Collectively Evaluated for Impairment | Total Loans | Total Ending ALLL |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|----------------|-------------------------|
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 8,878 | \$ 345 | \$ 502,540 | \$ 2,069 | \$ 511,418 | \$ 2,414 |
| Home equity lines of credit | 489 | — | 49,905 | 204 | 50,394 | 204 |
| Junior lien loan on residence | 680 | 9 | 12,373 | 55 | 13,053 | 64 |
| Multifamily property | 550 | 52 | 103,506 | 653 | 104,056 | 705 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 9,054 | 322 | 98,798 | 2,786 | 107,852 | 3,108 |
| Investment commercial real estate | 5,986 | 509 | 181,012 | 3,672 | 186,998 | 4,181 |
| Commercial and industrial | 576 | 51 | 29,249 | 1,240 | 29,825 | 1,291 |
| Agricultural production | — | — | 18 | 1 | 18 | 1 |
| Commercial construction | — | — | 19,208 | 669 | 19,208 | 669 |
| Consumer and other | — | — | 12,516 | 78 | 12,516 | 78 |
| Unallocated | — | — | — | 508 | — | 508 |
| Total ALLL | \$ 26,213 | \$ 1,288 | \$ 1,009,125 | \$ 11,935 | \$ 1,035,338 | \$ 13,223 |

Impaired loans include nonaccrual loans of \$17.0 million at September 30, 2012 and \$18.9 million at December 31, 2011. Impaired loans also include performing commercial mortgage and commercial troubled debt restructured loans of \$6.0 million at September 30, 2012 and \$7.3 million at December 31, 2011. There was no allowance allocated to troubled debt restructured loans which are nonaccrual at September 30, 2012 and the allowance allocated to troubled debt restructured loans which are nonaccrual was \$280 thousand at December 31, 2011. All accruing troubled debt restructured loans were paying in accordance with restructured terms as of September 30, 2012. The Corporation has not committed to lend additional amounts as of September 30, 2012 to customers with outstanding loans that are classified as loan restructurings.

Table of Contents

The following tables present loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

September 30, 2012

| (In thousands) | Unpaid Principal Balance | Recorded Investment | Specific Reserves | Average Impaired Loans | Interest Income Recognized |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 8,398 | \$ 6,145 | \$ — | \$ 7,347 | \$ 356 |
| Multifamily property | — | — | — | 247 | 21 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 11,094 | 9,195 | — | 9,774 | 593 |
| Investment commercial real estate | 1,177 | 674 | — | 842 | 53 |
| Commercial and industrial | 504 | 438 | — | 642 | 49 |
| Home equity lines of credit | 98 | 98 | — | 263 | 13 |
| Junior lien loan on residence | 671 | 480 | — | 677 | 42 |
| Consumer and other | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total loans with no related allowance | \$ 21,942 | \$ 17,030 | \$ — | \$ 19,792 | \$ 1,127 |
| With related allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 2,175 | \$ 2,088 | \$ 326 | \$ 1,877 | \$ 82 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | — | — | — | — | — |
| Investment commercial real estate | 5,185 | 5,178 | 374 | 4,976 | 249 |
| Commercial and industrial | 297 | 288 | 44 | 96 | 76 |
| Junior lien loan on residence | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total loans with related allowance | \$ 7,657 | \$ 7,554 | \$ 744 | \$ 6,949 | \$ 407 |
| Total loans individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 29,599 | \$ 24,584 | \$ 744 | \$ 26,741 | \$ 1,534 |

December 31, 2011

| (In thousands) | Unpaid Principal Balance | Recorded Investment | Specific Reserves | Average Impaired Loans | Interest Income Recognized |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 7,586 | \$ 5,844 | \$ — | \$ 4,721 | \$ 87 |
| Multifamily property | 312 | 286 | — | 243 | — |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 10,630 | 7,049 | — | 5,575 | 158 |
| Investment commercial real estate | 397 | 299 | — | 322 | 20 |
| Commercial and industrial | 475 | 475 | — | 433 | 24 |
| Home equity lines of credit | 595 | 489 | — | 66 | 18 |
| Junior lien loan on residence | 682 | 555 | — | 453 | 9 |
| Total loans with no related allowance | \$ 20,677 | \$ 14,997 | \$ — | \$ 11,813 | \$ 316 |
| With related allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 3,083 | \$ 3,034 | \$ 345 | \$ 1,496 | \$ 99 |
| Multifamily property | 264 | 264 | 52 | 71 | 13 |

Edgar Filing: PEAPACK GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|--------|
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 2,020 | 2,005 | 322 | 1,254 | 66 |
| Investment commercial real estate | 5,979 | 5,687 | 509 | 2,865 | 373 |
| Commercial and industrial | 101 | 101 | 51 | 495 | 9 |
| Junior lien loan on residence | 138 | 125 | 9 | 128 | — |
| Commercial construction | — | — | — | 995 | — |
| Total loans with related allowance | \$ 11,585 | \$ 11,216 | \$ 1,288 | \$ 7,304 | \$ 560 |
| Total loans individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 32,262 | \$ 26,213 | \$ 1,288 | \$ 19,117 | \$ 876 |

The Corporation did not recognize any income on nonaccruing impaired loans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the recorded investment in nonaccrual and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

| (In thousands) | September 30, 2012 | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| | Nonaccrual | Loans Past Due Over 90 Days And Still Accruing Interest |
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 6,642 | \$ — |
| Home equity lines of credit | 98 | — |
| Junior lien loan on residence | 239 | — |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 8,788 | — |
| Investment commercial real estate | 903 | — |
| Commercial and industrial | 288 | — |
| Total | \$ 16,958 | \$ — |

| (In thousands) | December 31, 2011 | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| | Nonaccrual | Loans Past Due Over 90 Days And Still Accruing Interest |
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 7,468 | \$ — |
| Home equity lines of credit | 489 | — |
| Junior lien loan on residence | 680 | — |
| Multifamily property | 550 | — |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 8,641 | — |
| Investment commercial real estate | 1,037 | — |
| Commercial and industrial | — | 345 |
| Total | \$ 18,865 | \$ 345 |

Nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days still on accrual include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 by class of loans, excluding nonaccrual loans:

September 30, 2012

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | Greater Than 90 Days Past Due | Total Past Due |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 1,678 | \$ 91 | \$ — | \$ 1,769 |
| Junior lien loan on residence | 168 | — | — | 168 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 276 | — | — | 276 |
| Commercial and industrial | 2 | 22 | — | 24 |
| Consumer and other | 7 | — | — | 7 |
| Total | \$ 2,131 | \$ 113 | \$ — | \$ 2,244 |

December 31, 2011

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | Greater Than 90 Days Past Due | Total Past Due |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 4,857 | \$ 898 | \$ — | \$ 5,755 |
| Home equity lines of credit | 565 | 19 | — | 584 |
| Junior lien loan on residence | 399 | — | — | 399 |
| Multifamily property | 395 | — | — | 395 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 3,381 | — | — | 3,381 |
| Investment commercial real estate | 242 | — | — | 242 |
| Commercial and industrial | 368 | — | 345 | 713 |
| Commercial construction | 500 | — | — | 500 |
| Consumer and other | 8 | — | — | 8 |
| Total | \$ 10,715 | \$ 917 | \$ 345 | \$ 11,977 |

Credit Quality Indicators:

The Corporation categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Corporation analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. The risk rating analysis of loans is performed (i) when the loan is initially underwritten, (ii) annually for loans in excess of \$500,000, (iii) on a random quarterly basis from either internal reviews with the Senior Credit Officer or externally through an independent loan review firm, or (iv) whenever Management otherwise identifies a potentially negative trend or issue relating to a borrower. In addition, for all loan types, the Corporation evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented.

Table of Contents

The Corporation uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Special Mention: Loans subject to special mention have a potential weakness that deserves Management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loans or of the institution's credit position at some future date.

Substandard: Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful: Loans classified as doubtful have all the weakness inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered to be pass-rated loans. As of September 30, 2012, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans is as follows:

| (In thousands) | Pass | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|
| Primary residential mortgage | \$507,514 | \$2,462 | \$ 7,544 | \$ — |
| Home equity lines of credit | 51,343 | — | 98 | — |
| Junior lien loan on residence | 12,067 | 40 | 480 | — |
| Multifamily property | 126,950 | 639 | 383 | — |
| Farmland | 209 | — | — | — |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 81,494 | 1,280 | 20,248 | — |
| Investment commercial real estate | 205,434 | 12,788 | 16,940 | — |
| Agricultural production loans | 15 | — | — | — |
| Commercial and industrial | 18,809 | 144 | 1,324 | — |
| Commercial construction | 8,029 | 1,604 | — | — |
| Consumer and other loans | 15,328 | — | — | — |
| Total | \$1,027,192 | \$18,957 | \$ 47,017 | \$ — |

As of December 31, 2011, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans is as follows:

| (In thousands) | Pass | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|----------|
| Primary residential mortgage | \$496,815 | \$5,437 | \$ 9,166 | \$ — |
| Home equity lines of credit | 49,905 | — | 489 | — |
| Junior lien loan on residence | 12,244 | 129 | 680 | — |
| Multifamily property | 102,948 | 163 | 945 | — |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 81,797 | 9,524 | 16,531 | — |
| Investment commercial real estate | 157,579 | 9,599 | 19,820 | — |
| Agricultural production loans | 18 | — | — | — |
| Commercial and industrial | 28,020 | 835 | 970 | — |

Edgar Filing: PEAPACK GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|------|
| Commercial construction | 18,474 | 234 | 500 | — |
| Consumer and other loans | 12,021 | 495 | — | — |
| Total | \$959,821 | \$26,416 | \$ 49,101 | \$ — |

At September 30, 2012, \$24.6 million of the \$47.0 million of the substandard loans were also considered impaired as compared to December 31, 2011, when \$26.2 million of the \$49.1 million of the substandard loans were also impaired.

Table of Contents

The activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended September 30, 2012 is summarized below:

| | July 1, 2012 Beginning | | | | Sept 30, 2012 Ending |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| (In thousands) | ALLL | Charge-Offs | Recoveries | Provision | ALLL |
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 2,602 | \$ (183) | \$ 1 | \$ 499 | \$2,919 |
| Home equity lines of credit | 208 | — | — | 45 | 253 |
| Junior lien loan on residence | 55 | — | 1 | 10 | 66 |
| Multifamily property | 839 | (18) | — | 154 | 975 |
| Farmland | 3 | — | — | — | 3 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 3,418 | (345) | 19 | 271 | 3,363 |
| Investment commercial real estate | 4,784 | (64) | 2 | (122) | 4,600 |
| Agricultural production loans | 1 | — | — | — | 1 |
| Commercial and industrial | 917 | — | 51 | (152) | 816 |
| Commercial construction | 234 | — | — | 57 | 291 |
| Consumer and other loans | 77 | (8) | 1 | 8 | 78 |
| Unallocated | 548 | — | — | (20) | 528 |
| Total ALLL | \$ 13,686 | \$ (618) | \$ 75 | \$ 750 | \$13,893 |

The activity in the allowance for loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 is summarized below:

| | January 1, 2012 Beginning | | | | Sept 30, 2012 Ending |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| (In thousands) | ALLL | Charge-Offs | Recoveries | Provision | ALLL |
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 2,414 | \$ (1,171) | \$ 2 | \$ 1,674 | \$2,919 |
| Home equity lines of credit | 204 | (91) | — | 140 | 253 |
| Junior lien loan on residence | 64 | (57) | 5 | 54 | 66 |
| Multifamily property | 705 | (393) | — | 663 | 975 |
| Farmland | — | — | — | 3 | 3 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 3,108 | (1,261) | 145 | 1,371 | 3,363 |
| Investment commercial real estate | 4,181 | (120) | 11 | 528 | 4,600 |
| Agricultural production loans | 1 | — | — | — | 1 |
| Commercial and industrial | 1,291 | (112) | 55 | (418) | 816 |
| Commercial construction | 669 | (72) | — | (306) | 291 |
| Consumer and other loans | 78 | (28) | 7 | 21 | 78 |
| Unallocated | 508 | — | — | 20 | 528 |
| Total ALLL | \$ 13,223 | \$ (3,305) | \$ 225 | \$ 3,750 | \$13,893 |

Table of Contents

The activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended September 30, 2011 is summarized below:

| | July 1, 2011 Beginning | | | | Sept 30, 2011 Ending |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| (In thousands) | ALLL | Charge-Offs | Recoveries | Provision | ALLL |
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 1,663 | \$ (240) | \$ — | \$ 191 | \$1,614 |
| Home equity lines of credit | 185 | — | — | 9 | 194 |
| Junior lien loan on residence | 208 | — | — | (5) | 203 |
| Multifamily property | 463 | — | — | 169 | 632 |
| Farmland | — | — | — | — | — |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 3,521 | (563) | 1 | 582 | 3,541 |
| Investment commercial real estate | 4,099 | (920) | — | 941 | 4,120 |
| Agricultural production loans | — | — | — | — | — |
| Commercial and industrial | 2,399 | (61) | 72 | (159) | 2,251 |
| Commercial construction | 794 | — | — | (243) | 551 |
| Consumer and other loans | 99 | (2) | — | 7 | 104 |
| Unallocated | 625 | — | — | 8 | 633 |
| Total ALLL | \$ 14,056 | \$ (1,786) | \$ 73 | \$ 1,500 | \$13,843 |

The activity in the allowance for loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 is summarized below:

| | January 1, 2011 Beginning | | | | Sept 30, 2011 Ending |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| (In thousands) | ALLL | Charge-Offs | Recoveries | Provision | ALLL |
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 1,502 | \$ (527) | \$ — | \$ 639 | \$1,614 |
| Home equity lines of credit | 160 | (60) | — | 94 | 194 |
| Junior lien loan on residence | 228 | (13) | 14 | (26) | 203 |
| Multifamily property | 303 | (26) | 8 | 347 | 632 |
| Farmland | — | — | — | — | — |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 2,777 | (2,118) | 40 | 2,842 | 3,541 |
| Investment commercial real estate | 4,759 | (2,611) | 1 | 1,971 | 4,120 |
| Agricultural production loans | — | — | — | — | — |
| Commercial and industrial | 2,719 | (157) | 98 | (409) | 2,251 |
| Commercial construction | 1,246 | (586) | 11 | (120) | 551 |
| Consumer and other loans | 66 | (13) | — | 51 | 104 |
| Unallocated | 522 | — | — | 111 | 633 |
| Total ALLL | \$ 14,282 | \$ (6,111) | \$ 172 | \$ 5,500 | \$13,843 |

Troubled Debt Restructurings:

The Corporation has allocated \$677 thousand and \$707 thousand of specific reserves, on accruing TDR's, to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings as of September 30, 2012 and December 31,

2011, respectively. There were no unfunded commitments to lend additional amounts to customers with outstanding loans that are classified as troubled debt restructurings.

During the nine month period ending September 30, 2012, the terms of certain loans were modified as troubled debt restructurings. The modification of the terms of such loans included one or a combination of the following: a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan; an extension of the maturity date at a stated rate of interest lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk; or a permanent reduction of the recorded investment in the loan.

Table of Contents

The following table presents loans by class modified as troubled debt restructurings that occurred during the nine month period ending September 30, 2012:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Number of Contracts | Pre- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| Primary residential mortgage | 4 | \$ 610 | \$ 610 |
| Junior lien on residence | 1 | 249 | 249 |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 1 | 2,197 | 2,197 |
| Total | 6 | \$ 3,056 | \$ 3,056 |

There were no loans that were modified as troubled debt restructurings during the third quarter of 2012.

The identification of the troubled debt restructured loans did not have a significant impact on the allowance for loan losses. In addition, there were no charge-offs as a result of the classification of these loans as troubled debt restructuring during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

The primary residential mortgages were modified by reducing the interest rates or extending the maturity date. The junior lien on residence loan was modified through the deferral of certain scheduled principal payments. The owner-occupied commercial real estate loans were modified with rate reductions and revised amortization schedules; one also had a partial forgiveness of principal which had been previously charged off. The investment commercial real estate loan was modified through rate reduction and deferral of principal amortization.

The following table presents loans by class modified as troubled debt restructurings on accrual as of September 30, 2012:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Number of Contracts | Pre- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Specific Reserves |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|----------------------|
| Primary residential mortgage | 4 | \$ 1,592 | \$ 1,592 | \$ 275 |
| Junior lien on residence | 1 | 240 | 240 | — |
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 1 | 406 | 406 | — |
| Investment commercial real estate | 1 | 4,949 | 4,949 | 358 |
| Commercial and industrial | 3 | 439 | 439 | 44 |
| Total | 10 | \$ 7,626 | \$ 7,626 | \$ 677 |

There are nine loans totaling \$5.7 million that have been categorized as troubled debt restructurings that are also included in loans that are on nonaccrual. Four of these loans consist of owner-occupied commercial real estate and total \$4.8 million. Four are residential first mortgages totaling \$591 thousand and one is a commercial mortgage totaling \$299 thousand on a mixed use investment property.

Table of Contents

The following table presents loans by class modified as troubled debt restructurings from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012 for which there was a payment default during the same period:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Number of Contracts | Recorded Investment |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Owner-occupied commercial real estate | 1 | \$ 406 |
| Total | 1 | \$ 406 |

The terms of certain other potential problem loans were modified during the three month period ending September 30, 2012 that did not meet the definition of a troubled debt restructuring. These loans have a total recorded investment at September 30, 2012 of \$2.2 million. The modification of these loans involved either a modification of the terms of a loan to borrowers who were not experiencing financial difficulties or a delay in a payment that was considered to be insignificant.

In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, an evaluation is performed of the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. This evaluation is performed under the Corporation's internal underwriting policy. At the time a loan is restructured, the Bank performs a full re-underwriting analysis, which includes, at a minimum, obtaining current financial statements and tax returns, copies of all leases, and an updated independent appraisal of the property. A loan will continue to accrue interest if it can be reasonably determined that the borrower should be able to perform under the modified terms, that the loan has not been chronically delinquent (both to debt service and real estate taxes) or in nonaccrual status since its inception, and that there have been no charge-offs on the loan. Restructured loans with previous charge-offs would not accrue interest at the time of the troubled debt restructuring. At a minimum, six months of contractual payments would need to be made on a restructured loan before returning a loan to accrual status. Once a loan is classified as a TDR, the loan is reported as a TDR until the loan is paid in full, sold or charged-off. In rare circumstances, a loan may be removed from TDR status, if it meets the requirements of ASC 310-40-50-2. During the three and nine months period ended September 30, 2012, there were no loans removed from TDR status.

5. FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK ADVANCES AND OTHER BORROWINGS

Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York (FHLB) totaled \$12.3 million and \$17.7 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, with a weighted average interest rate of 3.26 percent and 3.50 percent, respectively. At September 30, 2012 advances totaling \$335 thousand with a weighted average interest rate of 3.73 percent were amortizing advances with monthly payments of principal and interest. These advances are secured by blanket pledges of certain 1-4 family residential mortgages totaling \$54.5 million at September 30, 2012.

Also at September 30, 2012, the Corporation had \$12.0 million in variable rate advances, with a weighted average interest rate of 3.01 percent, that are noncallable for one, two or three years and then callable quarterly with final maturities of five, seven or ten years from the original date of the advance. All of these advances are beyond their initial noncallable periods. These advances are secured by pledges of investment securities totaling \$18.4 million at September 30, 2012.

There were no overnight borrowings at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Overnight borrowings from the FHLB averaged \$13.6 million with a weighted average interest rate of 0.38 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

The final maturity dates of the advances and other borrowings are scheduled as follows:

| | |
|----------------|-----------|
| (In thousands) | |
| 2012 | \$ 117 |
| 2013 | 218 |
| 2014 | — |
| 2015 | — |
| 2016 | — |
| Over 5 years | 12,000 |
| Total | \$ 12,335 |

6. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

The Corporation assesses its results among two operating segments, Banking and PGB Trust & Investments. Management uses certain methodologies to allocate income and expense to the business segments. A funds transfer pricing methodology is used to assign interest income and interest expense. Certain indirect expenses are allocated to segments. These include support unit expenses such as technology and operations and other support functions. Taxes are allocated to each segment based on the effective rate for the period shown.

Banking

The Banking segment includes commercial, commercial real estate, residential and consumer lending activities; deposit generation; operation of ATMs; telephone and internet banking services; merchant credit card services and customer support and sales.

PGB Trust & Investments

PGB Trust & Investments includes asset management services provided for individuals and institutions; personal trust services, including services as executor, trustee, administrator, custodian and guardian; corporate trust services including services as trustee for pension and profit sharing plans; and other financial planning and advisory services.

The following table presents the statements of income and total assets for the Corporation's reportable segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

| (In thousands) | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| | Banking | PGB Trust & Investments | Total |
| Net interest income | \$ 12,011 | \$ 839 | \$ 12,850 |
| Noninterest income | 1,564 | 2,995 | 4,559 |
| Total income | 13,575 | 3,834 | 17,409 |
| Provision for loan losses | 750 | — | 750 |
| Salaries and benefits | 5,696 | 1,333 | 7,029 |
| Premises and equipment expense | 2,149 | 141 | 2,290 |
| Other noninterest expense | 1,837 | 837 | 2,674 |
| Total noninterest expense | 10,432 | 2,311 | 12,743 |
| Income before income tax expense | 3,143 | 1,523 | 4,666 |
| Income tax expense | 1,235 | 599 | 1,834 |

| | | | |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|
| Net income | \$ 1,908 | \$ 924 | \$ 2,832 |
| 28 | | | |

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| | | PGB Trust | |
| (In thousands) | Banking | & Investments | Total |
| Net interest income | \$ 11,028 | \$ 867 | \$ 11,895 |
| Noninterest income | 1,354 | 2,619 | 3,973 |
| Total income | 12,382 | 3,486 | 15,868 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,500 | — | 1,500 |
| Salaries and benefits | 4,587 | 1,202 | 5,789 |
| Premises and equipment expense | 2,169 | 153 | 2,322 |
| Other noninterest expense | 1,587 | 875 | 2,462 |
| Total noninterest expense | 9,843 | 2,230 | 12,073 |
| Income before income tax expense | 2,539 | 1,256 | 3,795 |
| Income tax expense | (2,021) | 484 | (1,537) |
| Net income | \$ 4,560 | \$ 772 | \$ 5,332 |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | PGB Trust | |
| (In thousands) | Banking | & Investments | Total |
| Net interest income | \$ 36,116 | \$ 2,528 | \$ 38,644 |
| Noninterest income | 4,390 | 9,563 | 13,953 |
| Total income | 40,506 | 12,091 | 52,597 |
| Provision for loan losses | 3,750 | — | 3,750 |
| Salaries and benefits | 15,631 | 3,919 | 19,550 |
| Premises and equipment expense | 6,604 | 430 | 7,034 |
| Other noninterest expense | 5,395 | 2,798 | 8,193 |
| Total noninterest expense | 31,380 | 7,147 | 38,527 |
| Income before income tax expense | 9,126 | 4,944 | 14,070 |
| Income tax expense | 3,524 | 1,908 | 5,432 |
| Net income | \$ 5,602 | \$ 3,036 | \$ 8,638 |
| Total assets for period end | \$ 1,582,127 | \$ 1,363 | \$ 1,583,490 |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| | | PGB Trust | |
| (In thousands) | Banking | & Investments | Total |
| Net interest income | \$ 33,669 | \$ 2,630 | \$ 36,299 |
| Noninterest income | 4,166 | 8,300 | 12,466 |
| Total income | 37,835 | 10,930 | 48,765 |
| Provision for loan losses | 5,500 | — | 5,500 |
| Salaries and benefits | 13,968 | 3,611 | 17,579 |
| Premises and equipment expense | 6,561 | 497 | 7,058 |
| Other noninterest expense | 5,153 | 3,061 | 8,214 |
| Total noninterest expense | 31,182 | 7,169 | 38,351 |

Edgar Filing: PEAPACK GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|
| Income before income tax expense | 6,653 | 3,761 | 10,414 |
| Income tax expense | (585 |) 1,358 | 773 |
| Net income | \$ 7,238 | \$ 2,403 | \$ 9,641 |
| Total assets for period end | \$ 1,574,000 | \$ 1,288 | \$ 1,575,288 |

Table of Contents

7. FAIR VALUE

Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a company's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

The Corporation used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate the fair value:

Investment Securities: The fair values for investment securities are determined by quoted market prices, if available (Level 1). For securities where quoted prices are not available, fair values are calculated based on market prices of similar securities (Level 2). For securities where quoted prices or market prices of similar securities are not available, fair values are calculated using discounted cash flows or other market indicators (Level 3). The fair values of Level 3 investment securities (Trust Preferred Pooled Securities, held to maturity) are determined by Management based on non-binding broker quotes. Discussions are regularly held with third-party brokers and other third-party experts to validate the estimated fair value for these Level 3 securities.

Loans Held for Sale, at Fair Value: The fair value of loans held for sale is determined using quoted prices for similar assets, adjusted for specific attributes of that loan or other observable market data, such as outstanding commitments from third party investors (Level 2).

Impaired Loans: The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Other Real Estate Owned: Nonrecurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as other real estate owned (OREO) are measured at fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may use a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Appraisals for both collateral-dependent impaired loans and other real estate owned are performed by certified general appraisers (for commercial properties) or certified residential appraisers (for residential properties) whose qualifications and licenses have been reviewed and verified by Management. Once received, a member of the Credit Department reviews the assumptions and approaches utilized in the appraisal, as well as, the overall resulting fair value in comparison with independent data sources such as recent market data or industry-wide statistics. Appraisals on collateral dependent impaired loans and other real estate owned (consistent for all loan types) are obtained on an annual basis, unless a significant change in the market or other factors warrants a more frequent appraisal. On an annual basis, Management compares the actual selling price of any collateral that has been sold to the most recent appraised value to determine what additional adjustment should be made to the appraisal value to arrive at fair value for other properties. The most recent analysis performed indicated that a discount up to 15 percent should be applied to appraisals on properties. The discount is determined based on the nature of the underlying properties, aging of appraisal and other factors. For each collateral dependent impaired loans we consider other factors, such as certain indices or other market information, as well as property specific circumstances to determine if an adjustment to the appraised value is needed. In situations where there is evidence of change in value, the Bank will determine if there is need for an adjustment to the specific reserve on the collateral dependent impaired loans. When the Bank applies an interim adjustment, it generally shows the adjustment as an incremental specific reserve against the loan until it has received the full updated appraisal. As of September 30, 2012, all collateral dependent impaired loans and other real estate owned valuations were supported by an appraisal less than 12 months old.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes, for the periods indicated, assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis, including financial assets for which the Corporation has elected the fair value option:

Assets Measured on a Recurring Basis

| | September 30, 2012 | Fair Value Measurements Using | | |
|--|--------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets For Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Available for sale: | | | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities | \$ 29,957 | \$ — | \$ 29,957 | \$ — |
| Mortgage-backed securities- residential | 187,443 | — | 187,443 | — |
| State and political subdivisions | 30,625 | — | 30,625 | — |
| Other securities | 2,153 | — | 2,153 | — |
| CRA investment fund | 3,098 | — | 3,098 | — |
| Marketable equity securities | 213 | 213 | — | — |
| Total | \$ 253,489 | \$ 213 | \$ 253,276 | \$ — |

| | December 31, 2011 | | | |
|--|----------------------|--------|------------|-----|
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Available for sale: | | | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities | \$ 46,878 | \$— | \$46,878 | \$— |
| Mortgage-backed securities- residential | 236,984 | — | 236,984 | — |
| State and political subdivisions | 29,851 | — | 29,851 | — |
| Other securities | 2,167 | — | 2,167 | — |
| CRA investment fund | 3,040 | — | 3,040 | — |
| Marketable equity securities | 600 | 600 | — | — |
| Total | \$ 319,520 | \$ 600 | \$ 318,920 | \$— |

Table of Contents

Loans held for sale totaled \$8.4 million and \$2.8 million as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, and were determined to be Level 2.

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

The following table summarizes, for the periods indicated, assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis:

Assets Measured on a Non-Recurring Basis

| | Fair Value Measurements Using | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | September 30, 2012 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets For Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Impaired loans: | | | | |
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 171 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 171 |

| | December 31, 2011 | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| (In thousands) | | |
| Assets: | | |
| Impaired loans: | | |
| Primary residential mortgage | \$ 1,462 | \$—\$—\$1,462 |
| Owner-occupied commercial mortgage | 1,303 | — — 1,303 |
| Investment commercial real estate | 228 | — — 228 |
| Multifamily | 212 | — — 212 |
| Junior lien on residence | 117 | — — 117 |
| OREO | 2,135 | — — 2,135 |

Impaired loans that are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans, had a recorded investment of \$726 thousand, with a valuation allowance of \$744 thousand at September 30, 2012. Impaired loans that are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans, had a recorded investment of \$4.6 million, with a valuation allowance of \$1.3 million at December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments at September 30, 2012 are as follows:

| (In thousands) | Carrying Amount | Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2012 Using | | | |
|---|-----------------|---|------------|-----------|--------------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Financial assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$54,920 | \$ 51,728 | \$ 3,192 | \$ — | \$ 54,920 |
| Investment securities, held to maturity | 76,698 | — | 70,413 | 12,013 | 82,426 |
| Securities available for sale | 253,489 | 213 | 253,276 | — | 253,489 |
| FHLB and FRB stock | 4,639 | — | — | — | N/A |
| Loans held for sale | 8,443 | — | 8,443 | — | 8,443 |
| Loans, net of allowance for loan losses | 1,082,589 | — | — | 1,084,725 | 1,084,725 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 4,040 | — | 1,007 | 3,033 | 4,040 |
| Financial liabilities | | | | | |
| Deposits | \$ 1,432,686 | \$ 1,247,932 | \$ 187,051 | \$ — | \$ 1,434,983 |
| Federal home loan bank advances | 12,335 | — | 13,737 | — | 13,737 |
| Accrued interest payable | 331 | 43 | 288 | — | 331 |

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments at December 31, 2011 are as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 31, 2011 | |
|---|-------------------|--------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Financial assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$43,053 | \$43,053 |
| Investment securities, held to maturity | 100,719 | 99,427 |
| Securities available for sale | 319,520 | 319,520 |
| FHLB and FRB stock | 4,569 | N/A |
| Loans held for sale | 2,841 | 2,841 |
| Loans, net of allowance for loan losses | 1,025,122 | 1,034,541 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 4,078 | 4,078 |
| Financial liabilities | | |
| Deposits | \$ 1,443,892 | \$ 1,446,778 |
| Overnight borrowings | — | — |
| Federal home loan bank advances | 17,680 | 19,100 |
| Accrued interest payable | 460 | 460 |

The methods and assumptions, not previously presented, used to estimate fair values are described as follows:

Cash and cash equivalents: The carrying amounts of cash and short-term instruments approximate fair values and are classified as either Level 1 or Level 2.

FHLB and FRB stock: It is not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB or FRB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability.

Loans: For variable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values resulting in a Level 3 classification. Fair values for other loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality resulting in a Level 3 classification. Impaired loans are valued at the lower of cost or fair value as described previously. The methods utilized to estimate the fair value of loans do not necessarily represent an exit price.

Table of Contents

Deposits: The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest and noninterest checking, savings and money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date, (i.e., the carrying amount) resulting in a Level 1 classification. The carrying amounts of certificates of deposit approximate the fair values at the reporting date resulting in Level 2 classification. Fair values for fixed rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Overnight borrowings: The carrying amounts of overnight borrowings, generally maturing within ninety days, approximate their fair values resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Federal Home Loan Bank advances: The fair values of the Corporation's loan-term borrowings are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Accrued interest receivable/payable: The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate fair value resulting in a Level 2 or Level 3 classification.

Off-balance sheet instruments: Fair values for off-balance sheet, credit-related financial instruments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing. The fair value of commitments is not material.

8. OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES

The following table presents the major components of other operating expenses for the periods indicated:

| (In thousands) | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|
| | September 30, 2012 | 2011 | September 30, 2012 | 2011 |
| FDIC assessment | \$ 299 | \$ 253 | \$ 941 | \$ 1,254 |
| Trust department expense | 276 | 291 | 1,130 | 1,223 |
| Professional and legal fees | 369 | 248 | 855 | 821 |
| Loan expense | 194 | 384 | 699 | 676 |
| Other operating expenses | 1,536 | 1,286 | 4,568 | 4,240 |
| Total other operating expenses | \$ 2,674 | \$ 2,462 | \$ 8,193 | \$ 8,214 |

9. PREFERRED STOCK

On January 9, 2009, as part of the U.S. Department of the Treasury (the "Treasury") Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP") Capital Purchase Program, the Corporation sold 28,685 shares of the Corporation's Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, having a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share, and a ten-year warrant to purchase up to 150,296 shares of the Corporation's common stock, no par value at an exercise price of \$28.63 per share, after adjusting for the five percent stock dividend declared on June 18, 2009, for an aggregate purchase price of \$28.7 million in cash, allocated \$1.6 million to warrants and \$27.1 million to preferred stock.

On January 6, 2010 and March 2, 2011, the Corporation redeemed 25 percent of the preferred shares issued under the Treasury's CPP, each time repaying approximately \$7.2 million to the Treasury, including accrued and unpaid dividends of approximately \$51 thousand and \$17 thousand, respectively. As a result of the repurchase, the accretion related to the preferred stock was accelerated and approximately \$330 thousand and \$246 thousand was recorded as a reduction to retained earnings in the first quarters of 2010 and 2011, respectively. The Corporation's redemption of the

shares was not subject to additional conditions or stipulations from the Treasury.

34

Table of Contents

On January 11, 2012, the Corporation redeemed the remaining 50 percent of the preferred shares issued under the Treasury's CPP, repaying approximately \$14.5 million to the Treasury, including accrued and unpaid dividends of approximately \$112 thousand. The Corporation's redemption of the shares was not subject to additional conditions or stipulations from the Treasury. As a result of the repurchase, the accretion related to the preferred stock was accelerated and approximately \$362 thousand was recorded as a reduction to retained earnings in the first quarter of 2012.

The 150,296 common share warrant remained outstanding after the redemption; however, the Corporation paid \$110 thousand to the U.S. Treasury on April 5, 2012 to repurchase it.

10. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following is a summary of the accumulated other comprehensive income balances, net of tax, for the three months ended September 30, 2012:

| (In thousands) | Balance at June 30, 2012 | Current Quarter Change | Balance at September 30, 2012 |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Unrealized gains on securities available for sale | \$ 3,574 | \$ 557 | \$ 4,131 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment on securities held to maturity and securities transferred from available for sale to held to maturity | (3,035) | 35 | (3,000) |
| Total | \$ 539 | \$ 592 | \$ 1,131 |

The following is a summary of the accumulated other comprehensive income balances, net of tax, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012:

| (In thousands) | Balance at December 31, 2011 | Current Year Change | Balance at September 30, 2012 |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Unrealized gains on securities available for sale | \$ 3,206 | \$ 925 | \$ 4,131 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment on securities held to maturity and securities transferred from available for sale to held to maturity | (3,102) | 102 | (3,000) |
| Total | \$ 104 | \$ 1,027 | \$ 1,131 |

11. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On October 29, 2012, Hurricane Sandy struck New Jersey and the Northeast causing damage and business closures throughout our primary New Jersey market area. While the Corporation did experience some minor damage and service disruptions during the storm, it did return to normal operations within a matter of days. The Corporation is in process of fully evaluating the financial effects of this hurricane and has not fully determined the financial impact as of the date of filing of the Form 10Q.

Table of Contents

Item 2

**MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

GENERAL: The following discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements are not historical facts and include expressions about Management’s view of future interest income and net loans, Management’s confidence and strategies and Management’s expectations about new and existing programs and products, relationships, opportunities and market conditions. These statements may be identified by such forward-looking terminology as “expect”, “look”, “believe”, “anticipate”, “may”, “will”, or similar statements or variations of such terms. Actual results may differ materially from such forward-looking statements. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements include, among others, those risk factors identified in the Corporation’s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the following:

- a continued or unexpected decline in the economy, in particular in our New Jersey market area;
 - declines in value in our investment portfolio;
 - higher than expected increases in our allowance for loan losses;
- higher than expected increases in loan losses or in the level of nonperforming loans;
 - unexpected changes in interest rates;
 - inability to successfully grow our business;
 - inability to manage our growth;
- a continued or unexpected decline in real estate values within our market areas;
- legislative and regulatory actions (including the impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and related regulations) subject us to additional regulatory oversight which may result in increased compliance costs;
 - higher than expected FDIC insurance premiums;
 - lack of liquidity to fund our various cash obligations;
 - reduction in our lower-cost funding sources;
 - our inability to adapt to technological changes;
- claims and litigation pertaining to fiduciary responsibility, environmental laws and other matters;
- adverse effects of Hurricane Sandy on business conditions and property values in New Jersey; and
 - other unexpected material adverse changes in our operations or earnings.

The Corporation assumes no responsibility to update such forward-looking statements in the future even if experience shows that the indicated results or events will not be realized. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, the Corporation cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES: Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is based upon the Corporation’s consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Corporation to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Note 1 to the Corporation’s Audited Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2011, contains a summary of the Corporation’s significant accounting policies.

Management believes that the Corporation's policy with respect to the methodology for the determination of the allowance for loan losses involves a higher degree of complexity and requires management to make difficult and subjective judgments, which often requires assumptions or estimates about highly uncertain matters. Changes in these judgments, assumption or estimates could materially impact results of operations. This critical policy and its application are periodically reviewed with the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

The provision for loan losses is based upon Management's evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance, including an assessment of known and inherent risks in the portfolio, giving consideration to the size and composition of the loan portfolio, actual loan loss experience, level of delinquencies, detailed analysis of individual loans for which full collectability may not be assured, the existence and estimated fair value of any underlying collateral and guarantees securing the loans, and current economic and market conditions.

Although Management uses the best information available, the level of the allowance for loan losses remains an estimate, which is subject to significant judgment and short-term change. Various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Corporation's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Corporation to make additional provisions for loan losses based upon information available to them at the time of their examination. Furthermore, the majority of the Corporation's loans are secured by real estate in the State of New Jersey. Accordingly, the collectability of a substantial portion of the carrying value of the Corporation's loan portfolio is susceptible to changes in local market conditions and may be adversely affected should real estate values continue to decline or New Jersey experiences continuing adverse economic conditions. Future adjustments to the allowance for loan losses may be necessary due to economic, operating, regulatory and other conditions beyond the Corporation's control.

The Corporation accounts for its securities in accordance with "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities," which was codified into ASC 320. Debt securities are classified as held to maturity and carried at amortized cost when Management has the positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity. Debt securities are classified as available for sale when they might be sold before maturity due to changes in interest rates, prepayment, risk, liquidity or other factors. Equity securities with readily determinable fair values are classified as available for sale. Securities available for sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses reported in other comprehensive income, net of tax.

For declines in the fair value of securities below their cost that are other-than-temporary, the amount of impairment is split into two components – other-than-temporary impairment related to other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income and other-than-temporary impairment related to credit loss, which must be recognized in the income statement. The credit loss is defined as the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis. In estimating other-than-temporary losses on a quarterly basis, Management considers the length of time and extent that fair value has been less than cost; the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer; and whether the Corporation has the intent to sell these securities or it is likely that it will be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery.

Securities are evaluated on at least a quarterly basis to determine whether a decline in their values is other-than-temporary. To determine whether a loss in value is other-than-temporary, Management utilizes criteria such as the reasons underlying the decline, the magnitude and the duration of the decline and whether the Corporation intends to sell or is likely to be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery. "Other-than-temporary" is not intended to indicate that the decline is permanent, but indicates that the prospects for a near-term recovery of value is not necessarily favorable, or that there is a lack of evidence to support a realizable value equal to or greater than the carrying value of the investment. The Corporation recognized no other-than-temporary impairment charges in the three or nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

RECENT EVENTS: On October 29, 2012, Hurricane Sandy struck New Jersey and the Northeast, causing damage and business closures throughout our primary New Jersey market area. While the Corporation did experience some minor damage and service disruptions during the storm, it did return to normal operations within a matter of days.

The Corporation completed the first phase of its review of its loan portfolio following the hurricane. Amounts outstanding or committed on residential first and second mortgage loans and commercial mortgage loans secured by properties located in a designated flood zone within New Jersey coastal towns total \$15.9 million, or 1.5 percent of the outstanding loan portfolio as of September 30, 2012. The weighted average loan-to-value ratio at origination of such loans was 56 percent, implying property values at loan origination of approximately \$28 million. Further, all such properties were required to carry flood insurance, resulting in \$8.8 million of potential flood insurance coverage.

The Corporation continues to evaluate the effects of the storm in general, and on its loan portfolio, and, although there can be no assurances, does not believe the overall effect of the storm will materially affect financial performance. For more details regarding risk factors that may affect the Corporation, see Part I, Item 1A of the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: For the third quarter of 2012, the Corporation recorded net income of \$2.8 million as compared to \$5.3 million for same quarter 2011. Diluted earnings per common share, after giving effect for the preferred dividend, were \$0.32 and \$0.58 for the third quarters of 2012 and 2011, respectively. For the third quarter of 2012, annualized return on average assets was 0.72 percent and annualized return on average common equity was 9.77 percent. The 2012 quarter includes an increase in net interest income, trust fee income and gain on sale of loans, a decline in the provision for loan losses and a decline in the dividends and accretion on preferred stock as a result of the 2012 redemption of the remaining preferred shares issued under the Treasury's CPP, offset by an increase in operating expenses and tax expense.

The results for the third quarter of 2011 include the reversal of a previously recorded valuation allowance that was established against deferred state tax assets of \$3.0 million, or \$0.34 per diluted earnings per common share. The Corporation believes that comparing net income without considering the reversal of the state tax valuation allowance provides a better analysis of net income trends. Excluding the reversal, net income for the third quarter of 2011 was \$2.3 million, and when compared to this number, net income for the third quarter of 2012 increased \$488 thousand, or 20.8 percent.

Net interest income, on a fully tax-equivalent basis, was \$13.0 million for the third quarter of 2012 as compared to \$12.1 million for the same quarter of 2011, an increase of \$943 thousand or 7.8 percent. For the third quarters of 2012 and 2011, the net interest margin, on a fully tax-equivalent basis, was 3.50 percent and 3.37 percent, respectively.

For the third quarter of 2012, average loans totaled \$1.10 billion, increasing \$134.5 million, or 13.9 percent, from \$964.4 million for the same quarter of 2011. The yield on loans was 4.36 percent for the third quarter of 2012 as compared to 4.81 percent for the same quarter of 2011, a decline of 45 basis points from the 2011 quarter to the 2012 quarter.

Average deposits for the three months ended September 30, 2012, were \$1.44 billion as compared to \$1.38 billion for the third quarter of 2011, increasing \$56.8 million or 4.1 percent. The average cost of interest-bearing deposits for the third quarter of 2012 was 0.32 percent, as compared to 0.51 percent for the same quarter in 2011, a decline of 19 basis points.

The Corporation recorded net income of \$8.6 million and \$9.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of \$1.0 million or 10.4 percent over the 2011 period. Diluted earnings per common share, after giving effect for the preferred dividend, were \$0.93 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to \$0.98 for the same period of 2011. The annualized return on average assets was 0.73 percent and annualized return on average common equity was 9.63 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The nine-month 2012 period includes an increase in operating and tax expenses, offset by an increase in net interest income, trust fee income and gain on sale of loans, a decline in the provision for loan losses and a decline in the dividends and accretion on preferred stock as a result of the 2012 redemption of the remaining preferred shares issued under the Treasury's CPP, offset by an increase in operating expenses and tax expense.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, net interest income, on a fully tax-equivalent basis, was \$39.1 million and \$36.8 million, respectively, an increase of \$2.4 million or 6.4 percent. The net interest margin, on a fully tax-equivalent basis, was 3.52 percent and 3.46 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents

For the first nine months of 2012, average loans increased \$127.7 million or 13.3 percent to \$1.08 billion from \$956.7 million for the same 2011 period. The yield on loans was 4.43 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to 4.88 percent for the same 2011 period, a decrease of 45 basis points.

Average deposits totaled \$1.42 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, increasing \$62.5 million, or 4.6 percent from \$1.36 billion for the same months of 2011. The average cost of interest-bearing deposits for the first nine months of 2012 was 0.34 percent, as compared to 0.57 percent for the same period in 2011, a decline of 23 basis points.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS: For a discussion of our contractual obligations, see the information set forth in the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis – Contractual Obligations" which is incorporated herein by reference.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS: For a discussion of our off-balance sheet arrangements, see the information set forth in the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis – Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements" which is incorporated herein by reference.

EARNINGS ANALYSIS

NET INTEREST INCOME: For the third quarter of 2012, the Corporation recorded net interest income, on a tax-equivalent basis, of \$13.0 million as compared to \$12.1 million for the same quarter of 2011, an increase of \$943 thousand or 7.8 percent. For the third quarters of 2012 and 2011, the net interest margin was 3.50 percent and 3.37 percent, respectively. During the third quarter of 2012, rates were lower on new loans, compared to the rates on loans that are maturing or paying down; however, the growth in the loan portfolio contributed to the growth in net interest income. Additionally, the Corporation continued to lower average funding costs in core deposits, and, to a lesser extent, certificates of deposits repriced at lower market rates or transferred to other, lower-costing deposit products.

Average investments, federal funds sold and interest-earning deposits totaled \$382.6 million for the third quarter of 2012, declining \$83.0 million, or 17.8 percent, from the \$465.6 million recorded for the same quarter of 2011. The decline in these categories primarily funded loan growth.

Average loans totaled \$1.10 billion for the third quarter of 2012 as compared to \$964.4 million for the same period in 2011, increasing \$134.5 million, or 13.9 percent, when compared to the same quarter in 2011. Much of the growth was in mortgages as the residential mortgage portfolio increased to an average of nearly \$521.0 million for the third quarter of 2012, increasing \$86.6 million, or 19.9 percent, over the same quarter of 2011. The commercial mortgage portfolio increased \$65.8 million, or 20.8 percent, from the year ago period, averaging \$382.4 million for the third quarter of 2012. The Corporation has increased its emphasis on multifamily lending, as well as continuing to provide mortgage loans on a variety of commercial and residential properties to creditworthy borrowers. Home equity loans averaged \$50.6 million for the third quarter of 2012, rising \$778 thousand or 1.6 percent from the same period of 2011. For the third quarters of 2012 and 2011, the commercial construction portfolio averaged \$7.7 million and \$15.1 million, respectively, declining \$7.3 million, or 48.6 percent, from the same period in 2011, due to the conversion of some loans to permanent loans and also due to the resolution of some problem loans. For the third quarter of 2012, the commercial loan portfolio averaged nearly \$114.8 million as compared to \$127.7 million for the same quarter of 2011, a decline of \$12.9 million, or 10.1 percent, from the prior year, while the installment loans portfolio averaged \$21.8 million for the third quarter of 2012 as compared to \$20.1 million for the same three months in 2011, an increase of \$1.7 million, or 8.4 percent.

Table of Contents

For the third quarters of 2012 and 2011, average deposits totaled \$1.44 billion and \$1.38 billion, respectively, increasing \$56.8 million, or 4.1 percent. Interest-bearing checking accounts averaged \$335.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to \$321.4 million for the same period in 2011, an increase of \$13.6 million, or 4.2 percent. Noninterest-bearing demand deposits increased \$58.5 million, or 23.7 percent, over the third quarter of 2011 to an average of \$305.2 million for the third quarter of 2012. Checking growth is attributable to the Corporation's continued focus on business and personal core deposit growth. For the third quarter of 2012, savings accounts averaged \$104.3 million, increasing \$16.4 million or 18.7 percent from the same quarter of 2011. Money market accounts averaged \$503.2 million for the third quarter of 2012, as compared to \$519.9 million for the third quarter of 2011, decreasing \$16.7 million, or 3.2 percent from the prior year quarter. Overall, the Corporation has seen an increase in savings accounts as customers tend to increase savings in a lower or uncertain interest rate environment, to wait for a higher or a more certain rate environment. Average certificates of deposit totaled \$188.6 million and \$203.6 million, for the third quarters of 2012 and 2011 respectively, decreasing \$15.0 million, or 7.4 percent, over the 2011 quarter. The Corporation has opted not to pay higher rates on maturing certificates of deposit. The Corporation believes it has ample liquidity from core deposits, principal paydowns on loans and maturing or called investments.

Overnight borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York averaged \$1.7 million for the third quarter of 2012; there was no average balance for the 2011 quarter. For the third quarter of 2012, average other borrowings totaled \$13.6 million, declining \$7.2 million, or 34.6 percent when compared to the same quarter of 2011, as maturing borrowings were not replaced.

Average yields on interest-earning assets, on a tax-equivalent basis, declined four basis points to 3.81 percent for the third quarter of 2012 from 3.85 percent for the same quarter of 2011. Average yields earned on investments securities were 2.57 percent for the third quarter of 2012, as compared to 2.18 percent for the same period in the prior year, a decline of 39 basis points. For the third quarter of 2012, the average yield on the loan portfolio was 4.36 percent, declining 45 basis points from the 2011 quarter. Yields on loans continue to experience downward pressure as competition for quality loans is intense.

Including the effect of noninterest-earning demand deposits, the cost of funds was 31 basis points for the third quarter of 2012 as compared to 48 basis points for the same 2011 quarter, decreasing 17 basis points. The average cost of interest-bearing checking deposits was 11 basis points in the third quarter of 2012, declining 22 basis points from 33 basis points in the same period in 2011. For the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the cost of money market products averaged 21 basis points and 34 basis points, respectively, declining 13 basis points when compared to the same period in 2011. Certificates of deposit costs averaged 1.17 percent in the third quarter of 2012, as compared to 1.34 percent for the same quarter of 2011, declining 17 basis points, from the prior year period.

The Corporation's repricing of its interest-bearing assets and liabilities and the effect of the sustained low rate environment on market rates contributed to the decline in yields and costs of these assets and liabilities. The growth of the loan portfolio funded by the decline in lower-yielding investments and interest-earning deposits enabled the Corporation to increase the net interest margin to 3.50 percent in the third quarter of 2012 from 3.37 percent for the same period in 2011.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Corporation recorded net interest income, on a tax-equivalent basis, of \$39.1 million and \$36.8 million, respectively, an increase of \$2.4 million or 6.4 percent. The net interest margin was 3.52 percent for the first nine months of 2012 as compared to 3.46 percent for the same 2011 period. Rates on new loans were lower when compared to the rates on loans that were maturing or paying down in the first nine months of 2012; however, the growth in the loan portfolio offset much of this decline and contributed to a slightly increased margin. The Corporation also continued to lower average funding costs in certificates of deposits and core deposits.

Average investments, federal funds sold and interest-earning deposits totaled \$395.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, declining \$62.4 million, or 13.6 percent, from the average of \$457.4 million for the same 2011 period. The decline in these categories primarily funded loan growth.

Table of Contents

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, average loans totaled almost \$1.08 billion, increasing \$127.7 million or 13.3 percent over the \$956.7 million averaged for the same period of 2011. Much of the growth in loans year over year was in the residential mortgage and commercial mortgage portfolios, as the Corporation increased its emphasis on multifamily lending, as well as continued to provide mortgage loans on a variety of commercial and residential properties to creditworthy borrowers. The residential mortgage portfolio averaged \$521.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to \$434.2 million for the same 2011 period, an increase of \$86.9 million, or 20.0 percent. The commercial mortgage portfolio increased \$58.4 million, or 19.1 percent, to \$363.6 million for the first nine months of 2012 when compared to the year ago period. Home equity loans averaged \$49.5 million and \$48.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, an increase of \$1.5 million or 3.2 percent. The commercial loan portfolio declined \$12.2 million, or 9.3 percent, from the nine months ended September 30, 2011 to an average of \$118.1 million for the same period in 2012 and the commercial construction portfolio declined \$7.6 million, or 41.1 percent from the first nine months of 2011, averaging \$10.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. These declines in average balances were due to the resolution of some problem loans and the conversion of certain construction loans to permanent.

Total deposits averaged \$1.42 billion and \$1.36 billion for the nine month ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, increasing \$62.5 million, or 4.6 percent from the prior year period to 2012. For the first nine months of 2012, total interest-bearing checking deposits averaged \$332.8 million as compared to \$309.6 million for the same period in 2011, an increase of \$23.2 million, or 7.5 percent. Noninterest-bearing checking deposits averaged \$291.0 million for the first nine months of 2012, an increase of \$55.3 million, or 23.5 percent over the same year ago period. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, savings accounts averaged \$99.7 million as compared to \$85.4 million for the same period in 2011, an increase of \$14.3 million, or 16.7 percent. Average money market accounts declined \$11.4 million, or 2.2 percent, to \$508.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same year ago period. The Corporation recorded average certificate of deposit balances of \$191.6 million and \$210.5 million, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of \$18.9 million, or 9.0 percent from the 2011 period. The Corporation believes it has ample liquidity from core deposits, principal paydowns on loans and maturing or called investments. Overnight borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York averaged \$13.6 million for the first nine months of 2012 as compared to \$1.2 million for the same nine months of 2011, while other borrowings declined \$6.6 million from the year ago period to \$16.1 million for the first nine months of 2012.

Average yields on interest-earning assets, on a tax-equivalent basis, declined 15 basis points to 3.85 percent for the first nine months of 2012 from 4.00 percent for the same 2011 period. Investment securities earned average yields of 2.45 percent and 2.39 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, declining six basis points from the prior year period. Average yields on the loan portfolio were 4.43 percent for the first nine months of 2012 as compared to 4.88 percent for the same period of 2011, reflecting a 45 basis point decline.

The Corporation's cost of funds, including the effect of noninterest-bearing deposits, was 33 basis points for the first nine months of 2012, declining 21 basis points from 54 basis points in the same period of 2011. In the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the average cost of interest-bearing checking deposits was 12 basis points as compared to 37 basis points for the same period of 2011, declining 25 basis points, while the cost of money market products averaged 22 basis points for the same 2012 period as compared to 42 basis points for the same year ago period, declining 20 basis points. Certificates of deposit costs for the first nine months of 2012 and 2011 averaged 1.19 percent and 1.38 percent, respectively, declining 19 basis points, from the 2011 period.

Table of Contents

The following tables reflect the components of net interest income for the periods indicated:

Average Balance Sheet

Unaudited

Three Months Ended

(Tax-Equivalent Basis, Dollars in Thousands)

| | September 30, 2012 | | | September 30, 2011 | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|--------|
| | Average Balance | Income/ Expense | Yield | Average Balance | Income/ Expense | Yield |
| ASSETS: | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Investments: | | | | | | |
| Taxable (1) | \$284,440 | \$1,787 | 2.51 % | \$350,946 | \$1,762 | 2.01 % |
| Tax-exempt (1) (2) | 44,481 | 322 | 2.90 | 37,238 | 353 | 3.79 |
| Loans held for sale | 2,829 | 34 | 4.77 | 610 | 12 | 8.37 |
| Loans (2) (3) | 1,098,857 | 11,965 | 4.36 | 964,400 | 11,589 | 4.81 |
| Federal funds sold | 100 | — | 0.10 | 100 | — | 0.25 |
| Interest-earning deposits | 53,560 | 27 | 0.20 | 77,295 | 43 | 0.22 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 1,484,267 | 14,135 | 3.81 % | 1,430,589 | 13,759 | 3.85 % |
| Noninterest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | 5,611 | | | 8,458 | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (14,005) | | | (14,592) | | |
| Premises and equipment | 30,820 | | | 32,876 | | |
| Other assets | 77,232 | | | 72,428 | | |
| Total noninterest-earning assets | 99,658 | | | 99,170 | | |
| Total assets | \$1,583,925 | | | \$1,529,759 | | |
| LIABILITIES: | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits: | | | | | | |
| Checking | \$334,982 | \$89 | 0.11 % | \$321,368 | \$269 | 0.33 % |
| Money markets | 503,180 | 259 | 0.21 | 519,918 | 438 | 0.34 |
| Savings | 104,273 | 14 | 0.05 | 87,863 | 51 | 0.23 |
| Certificates of deposit | 188,568 | 550 | 1.17 | 203,612 | 684 | 1.34 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 1,131,003 | 912 | 0.32 | 1,132,761 | 1,442 | 0.51 |
| Borrowings | 15,281 | 113 | 2.96 | 20,831 | 177 | 3.40 |
| Capital lease obligation | 9,043 | 107 | 4.73 | 6,406 | 80 | 4.99 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,155,327 | 1,132 | 0.39 | 1,159,998 | 1,699 | 0.59 |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits | 305,192 | | | 246,665 | | |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 7,434 | | | 6,287 | | |
| Total noninterest-bearing | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: PEAPACK GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| liabilities | 312,626 | | 252,952 | |
| Shareholders' equity | 115,972 | | 116,809 | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 1,583,925 | | \$ 1,529,759 | |
| Net interest income (tax-equivalent basis) | | 13,003 | | 12,060 |
| Net interest spread | | | 3.42 % | 3.26 % |
| Net interest margin (4) | | | 3.50 % | 3.37 % |
| Tax equivalent adjustment | | (153) | | (165) |
| Net interest income | | \$ 12,850 | | \$ 11,895 |

- (1) Average balances for available for sale securities are based on amortized cost.
- (2) Interest income is presented on a tax-equivalent basis using a 35 percent federal tax rate.
- (3) Loans are stated net of unearned income and include nonaccrual loans.
- (4) Net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis as a percentage of total average interest-earning assets.

Table of Contents**Average Balance Sheet**

Unaudited

Nine Months Ended

(Tax-Equivalent Basis, Dollars in Thousands)

| | September 30, 2012 | | | September 30, 2011 | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|--------|
| | Average Balance | Income/ Expense | Yield | Average Balance | Income/ Expense | Yield |
| ASSETS: | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Investments: | | | | | | |
| Taxable (1) | \$315,589 | \$5,609 | 2.37 % | \$369,960 | \$6,240 | 2.25 % |
| Tax-exempt (1) (2) | 46,619 | 1,036 | 2.96 | 36,566 | 1,053 | 3.84 |
| Loans held for sale | 1,859 | 75 | 5.37 | 617 | 33 | 7.22 |
| Loans (2) (3) | 1,084,357 | 36,005 | 4.43 | 956,651 | 35,011 | 4.88 |
| Federal funds sold | 100 | — | 0.10 | 100 | — | 0.26 |
| Interest-earning deposits | 32,694 | 58 | 0.24 | 50,736 | 91 | 0.24 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 1,481,218 | 42,783 | 3.85 % | 1,414,630 | 42,428 | 4.00 % |
| Noninterest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | 6,378 | | | 8,191 | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (13,916) | | | (14,869) | | |
| Premises and equipment | 31,284 | | | 33,300 | | |
| Other assets | 77,323 | | | 71,970 | | |
| Total noninterest-earning assets | 101,069 | | | 98,592 | | |
| Total assets | \$1,582,287 | | | \$1,513,222 | | |
| LIABILITIES: | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits: | | | | | | |
| Checking | \$332,822 | \$292 | 0.12 % | \$309,646 | \$865 | 0.37 % |
| Money markets | 508,337 | 820 | 0.22 | 519,700 | 1,638 | 0.42 |
| Savings | 99,671 | 56 | 0.07 | 85,415 | 159 | 0.25 |
| Certificates of deposit | 191,596 | 1,709 | 1.19 | 210,498 | 2,172 | 1.38 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 1,132,426 | 2,877 | 0.34 | 1,125,259 | 4,834 | 0.57 |
| Borrowings | 29,649 | 453 | 2.04 | 23,890 | 578 | 3.23 |
| Capital lease obligation | 9,094 | 324 | 4.75 | 6,384 | 239 | 4.99 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,171,169 | 3,654 | 0.42 | 1,155,533 | 5,651 | 0.65 |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits | 290,988 | | | 235,666 | | |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 6,592 | | | 6,552 | | |
| Total noninterest-bearing liabilities | 297,580 | | | 242,218 | | |
| Shareholders' equity | 113,538 | | | 115,471 | | |
| Total liabilities and | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: PEAPACK GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|
| shareholders' equity | \$ 1,582,287 | | \$ 1,513,222 | |
| Net interest income | | | | |
| (tax-equivalent basis) | 39,129 | | 36,777 | |
| Net interest spread | | 3.43 % | | 3.35 % |
| Net interest margin (4) | | 3.52 % | | 3.46 % |
| Tax equivalent adjustment | (485) | | (478) | |
| Net interest income | \$38,644 | | \$36,299 | |

(1) Average balances for available for sale securities are based on amortized cost.

(2) Interest income is presented on a tax-equivalent basis using a 35 percent federal tax rate.

(3) Loans are stated net of unearned income and include nonaccrual loans.

(4) Net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis as a percentage of total average interest-earning assets.

Table of Contents

OTHER INCOME: For the third quarter of 2012 and 2011, other income, excluding fee income from PGB Trust & Investments, totaled \$1.6 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, an increase of \$223 thousand or 15.7 percent. Net securities gains of \$235 thousand were recorded in the third quarter of 2012 as compared to \$248 thousand for the same quarter of 2011. Included in net securities gains of \$235 thousand for the 2012 quarter was the recovery of \$84 thousand from the maturity of a trust preferred security that had previously recorded other-than-temporary impairment; the remaining \$151 thousand was from strategic sales of securities. For the third quarter of 2012, income earned on bank owned life insurance was \$266 thousand, as compared to \$257 thousand for the same quarter in 2011. Service charges and fees totaled \$694 thousand for the third quarter of 2012 as compared to \$747 thousand for the same quarter in 2011, declining \$53 thousand or 7.1 percent, as customers are more diligent in managing their depository accounts. For the third quarter of 2012, gain on sale of loans was \$358 thousand, as compared to \$115 thousand for the same quarter of 2011, an increase of \$242 thousand due to higher origination levels, as well as a decision to retain less of such loans in portfolio. The third quarter of 2012 also included a \$22 thousand net gain on disposition of other real estate owned that was not in the third quarter of 2011.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Corporation recorded other income, excluding fee income from PGB Trust & Investments, of \$4.6 million as compared to \$4.4 million for the same period in 2011, an increase of \$236 thousand or 5.4 percent. Net securities gains, from strategic sales of securities and excluding the recovery of \$84 thousand from the maturity of a trust preferred security noted above, of \$648 thousand and \$721 thousand were recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. For the first nine months of 2012, service charges and fees decreased \$132 thousand, or 6.0 percent, from the same period last year to \$2.1 million due principally to customers being more diligent in managing their depository accounts.. Income earned on bank owned life insurance for the first nine months of 2012 was \$794 thousand as compared to \$769 thousand for the same period of 2011, an increase of \$25 thousand or 3.3 percent. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, gains on sale of loans was \$825 thousand and \$362 thousand, respectively, an increase of \$463 thousand due to higher origination levels, as well as a decision to retain less of such loans in portfolio. The nine months ended September 30, 2012 also included a \$62 thousand net loss on disposition of other real estate owned as compared to a \$47 thousand gain on sale of other real estate owned for the same period of 2011.

OPERATING EXPENSES: The Corporation recorded operating expenses of \$12.0 million and \$10.6 million for the third quarters of 2012 and 2011, respectively, an increase of \$1.4 million or 13.4 percent. Salary and benefit expense was \$7.0 million for the third quarter of 2012, as compared to \$5.8 million in the same quarter of 2011, an increase of \$1.2 million or 21.4 percent, due to increases in outside directors' retirement plan expense, bonus and profit sharing accruals, costs associated with key additions to staff in PGB Trust & Investments to enhance their ability to grow and service their client base, increased commissions related to increased loan originations and normal salary increases. For the third quarters of 2012 and 2011, professional and legal fees totaled \$369 thousand and \$248 thousand, respectively, an increase of \$121 thousand, or 48.8 percent due in part to expenses related to the search for a new Chief Executive Officer. With the CEO search completed in the fourth quarter of 2012, the Corporation anticipates additional final costs to be recorded in that quarter. Loan expense, which includes costs associated with problem loan expense, decreased by approximately \$190 thousand, or 49.5 percent, from the third quarter of 2011 compared to the same quarter of 2012; while the Corporation recorded expense on other real estate owned of \$45 thousand and \$3 thousand for the third quarters of 2012 and 2011, respectively, as the Corporation continued to work out various problem assets. Other expense for the third quarter of 2012 increased \$253 thousand when compared to the same quarter of 2011. The current quarter included increases in outside director fee expense due to fee increases, which had not been increased since 2008; increased bill pay expense due to significant system enhancements; and increased costs associated with debit cards. Expenses for occupancy, advertising and delivery and postage declined, in part, due to cost-saving initiatives.

Table of Contents

For the first nine months of 2012 and 2011, the Corporation recorded operating expenses of \$34.8 million and \$32.9 million, respectively, an increase of \$1.9 million or 5.9 percent. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, salary and benefit expense was \$19.6 million, as compared to \$17.6 million for the same period of 2011, an increase of almost \$2.0 million or 11.2 percent, due to increases in outside directors' retirement plan expense, bonus and profit sharing accruals, costs associated with key additions to staff in PGB Trust & Investments to enhance their ability to grow and service their client base, increased commissions related to increased loan originations and normal salary increases. FDIC expense decreased \$313 thousand to \$941 thousand for the third quarter of 2012 as compared to \$1.3 million for the same period of 2011 due to a regulatory change in the calculation of the assessment in 2011. Professional and legal fees increased approximately \$34 thousand or 4.2 percent from \$821 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 to \$855 thousand in the same nine month period of 2012. Loan expense, which includes costs associated with problem loan expense, totaled \$699 thousand and \$676 thousand, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, increasing by approximately \$23 thousand or 3.4 percent. The Corporation also recorded expense on other real estate owned of \$272 thousand for the first nine months of 2012 as compared to \$77 thousand for the same period of 2011 as the Corporation continued to work out various problem assets. For the first nine months of 2012, other expense increased \$542 thousand when compared to the same nine months of 2011. The nine-month period included increases in outside director fee expense due to fee increases, which had not been increased since 2008; increased bill pay expense due to significant system enhancements; and increased costs associated with debit cards. Expenses for occupancy, advertising, stationery and supplies and postage declined, in part, due to cost-saving initiatives.

The following table presents the components of operating expenses for the periods indicated:

| (In thousands) | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | September 30, 2012 | 2011 | September 30, 2012 | 2011 |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 7,029 | \$ 5,789 | \$ 19,550 | \$ 17,579 |
| Premises and equipment | 2,290 | 2,322 | 7,034 | 7,058 |
| FDIC assessment | 299 | 253 | 941 | 1,254 |
| Trust department expense | 276 | 291 | 1,130 | 1,223 |
| Professional and legal fees | 369 | 248 | 855 | 821 |
| Loan expense | 194 | 384 | 699 | 676 |
| Telephone | 180 | 175 | 483 | 622 |
| Advertising | 109 | 163 | 356 | 575 |
| Stationery and supplies | 112 | 102 | 281 | 292 |
| Postage | 85 | 91 | 249 | 289 |
| Other real estate owned expense | 45 | 3 | 272 | 77 |
| Other expense | 1,005 | 752 | 2,927 | 2,385 |
| Total operating expenses | \$ 11,993 | \$ 10,573 | \$ 34,777 | \$ 32,851 |

PGB TRUST & INVESTMENTS: PGB Trust & Investments, a division of the Bank, has served in the roles of executor and trustee while providing investment management, custodial, tax, retirement and financial services to its growing client base. Officers from PGB Trust & Investments are available to provide trust and investment services at the Bank's corporate headquarters in Bedminster, New Jersey, and its Clinton, Morristown and Summit, New Jersey branches as well as at an office located in Bethlehem, Pennsylvania.

The market value of trust assets under administration for PGB Trust & Investments was approximately \$2.15 billion at September 30, 2012 as compared to \$1.86 billion at September 30, 2011.

PGB Trust & Investments generated fee income of \$2.9 million for the third quarter of 2012 as compared to \$2.6 million for the same quarter of 2011, an increase of \$363 thousand or 14.2 percent. The increase reflects increased relationships, a greater mix of higher margin business, improvement in the market value of assets under management and an increase in fees.

Table of Contents

While the “Operating Expenses” section above offers an overall discussion of the corporation’s expenses including PGB Trust & Investments, other expenses relative to PGB Trust & Investments totaled \$2.3 million and \$2.2 million for the third quarters of 2012 and 2011, respectively, an increase of \$81 thousand or 3.6 percent. For the third quarter of 2012, salaries and benefits expense increased \$131 thousand, or 10.9 percent when compared to the same period in 2011. However, during the same time periods, total operating expenses decreased \$50 thousand, or 4.9 percent, due to various operating efficiencies.

For the first nine months of 2012, PGB Trust & Investments generated fee income of \$9.4 million, an increase of nearly \$1.3 million, or 15.4 percent, when compared to \$8.1 million for the same 2011 period. The increase reflects increased relationships, a greater mix of higher margin business, improvement in the market value of assets under management and an increase in fees.

Other expenses relative to PGB Trust & Investments totaled \$7.1 million and \$7.2 million, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of \$22 thousand, or 0.3 percent. Salaries and benefits expense totaled \$3.9 million for the first nine months of 2012, increasing \$308 thousand, or 8.5 percent when compared to the same period in 2011. Operating expenses decreased \$330 thousand, or 9.3 percent, from \$3.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 to \$3.2 million for the same period in 2012.

PGB Trust & Investments currently generates adequate revenue to support the salaries, benefits and other expenses of the Division; however, Management believes that the Bank generates adequate liquidity to support the expenses of the Division should it be necessary.

NONPERFORMING ASSETS: Other real estate owned (OREO), loans past due in excess of 90 days and still accruing, and nonaccrual loans are considered nonperforming assets. These assets totaled \$20.4 million and \$26.3 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The decline from 2011 was due to the sale of the Corporation’s largest commercial OREO property, which was completed during the first quarter of 2012.

The following table sets forth asset quality data on the dates indicated (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2012 | June 30, 2012 | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 | September 30, 2011 |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Loans past due over 90 days and still accruing | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 345 | \$ 836 |
| Nonaccrual loans | 16,958 | 19,011 | 18,598 | 18,865 | 22,103 |
| Other real estate owned | 3,392 | 3,073 | 3,391 | 7,137 | 3,264 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 20,350 | \$ 22,084 | \$ 21,989 | \$ 26,347 | \$ 26,203 |
| Accruing TDR’s | \$ 7,625 | \$ 7,647 | \$ 7,842 | \$ 7,281 | \$ 5,519 |
| Loans past due 30 through 89 days and still accruing | \$ 2,536 | \$ 2,836 | \$ 7,619 | \$ 11,632 | \$ 9,706 |
| Classified loans (A) | \$ 47,017 | \$ 47,102 | \$ 48,546 | \$ 49,101 | \$ 52,031 |
| Impaired loans (A) | \$ 24,584 | \$ 26,658 | \$ 26,568 | \$ 26,212 | \$ 27,529 |
| Nonperforming loans as a % of total loans | 1.55 | % 1.72 | % 1.73 | % 1.85 | % 2.36 |
| Nonperforming assets as a % of | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: PEAPACK GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|
| total assets | 1.29 | % | 1.40 | % | 1.39 | % | 1.65 | % | 1.66 | % |
| Nonperforming assets as a % of | | | | | | | | | | |
| total loans plus other real | | | | | | | | | | |
| estate owned | 1.85 | % | 1.99 | % | 2.03 | % | 2.52 | % | 2.68 | % |

(A) Classified loans include all impaired loans. Impaired loans include all nonaccrual loans and all TDRs.

We do not hold, have not made nor invested in subprime loans or “Alt-A” type mortgages.

Table of Contents

PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES: The provision for loan losses was \$750 thousand and \$1.5 million for the third quarters of 2012 and 2011, respectively. The provision for loan losses was \$3.8 million for the first nine months of 2012 as compared to \$5.5 million for the same 2011 period. The amount of the loan loss provision and the level of the allowance for loan losses are based upon a number of factors including Management's evaluation of probable losses inherent in the portfolio, after consideration of appraised collateral values, financial condition and past credit history of the borrowers as well as prevailing economic conditions. Commercial credits carry a higher risk profile, which is reflected in Management's determination of the proper level of the allowance for loan losses.

The provision for loan losses was primarily related to the changes in the specific reserves on impaired loans, net charge-offs and for the impact of the net charge-off trends and environmental factors on the general reserve.

Provision for loan loss of \$750 thousand was primarily made to replenish the allowance for loan losses for charge-offs and to provision to loan growth experienced by the non-homogeneous loan categories.

The overall allowance for loan losses was \$13.9 million as of September 30, 2012 as compared to \$13.2 million at December 31, 2011. As a percentage of loans, the allowance for loan losses was 1.27 percent as of both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The specific reserves on impaired loans have decreased to \$744 thousand at September 30, 2012 as compared to \$1.3 million as of December 31, 2011. Total impaired loans were \$24.7 million and \$26.2 million as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The general component of the allowance increased from \$11.9 million at December 31, 2011 to \$13.1 million at September 30, 2012. As a percentage of non-impaired loans, the general reserve was 1.23 percent and 1.18 percent at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The increase in the general reserves was caused by an increase in certain loan balances coupled with the methodology employed in calculating its related loss experience. When the Corporation develops its estimated loss factors, it reviews the actual loss factors over a multiyear period which get updated as new data becomes available. In this situation, some of the actual loss factors for the first six months of 2012 were slightly higher than the loss factors from an earlier period which made the updated loss factor higher. Based on its analysis, Management believes that allowance for loan loss is a reasonable estimate for probable incurred losses in the portfolio as of September 30, 2012.

A summary of the allowance for loan losses for the quarterly periods indicated follows:

| (In thousands) | Sept 30, 2012 | June 30, 2012 | March 31, 2012 | Dec 31, 2011 | Sept 30, 2011 |
|--|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | |
| Beginning of period | \$ 13,686 | \$ 13,496 | \$ 13,223 | \$ 13,843 | \$ 14,056 |
| Provision for loan losses | 750 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,750 | 1,500 |
| Charge-offs, net | (543) | (1,310) | (1,227) | (2,370) | (1,713) |
| End of period | \$ 13,893 | \$ 13,686 | \$ 13,496 | \$ 13,223 | \$ 13,843 |
| Allowance for loan losses as a % of total loans | 1.27 % | 1.24 % | 1.25 % | 1.27 % | 1.42 % |
| Allowance for loan losses as a % of nonperforming loans | 81.93 % | 71.99 % | 72.57 % | 68.83 % | 60.35 % |

INCOME TAXES: For the third quarter of 2012 income tax expense as a percentage of pre-tax income was 39 percent. In the third quarter of 2011, the Corporation reversed a previously recorded valuation allowance that was established against the deferred state tax assets of \$3.0 million. Income tax expense, without considering the reversal, as a percentage of pre-tax income was 38 percent for the third quarter of 2011. Income tax expense as a percentage of

pre-tax income for the first nine months of 2012 was 39 percent. Income tax expense, without considering the reversal, as a percentage of pre-tax income was 36 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

CAPITAL RESOURCES: The Corporation's total shareholders' equity at September 30, 2012, was \$117.5 million as compared to \$123.0 million at December 31, 2011. The primary reason for the decrease is the Corporation's repayment of the remaining shares of preferred stock issued under the Treasury's Capital Purchase Program in the first quarter of 2012.

The Corporation, through the Bank, is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the Federal banking regulatory agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Corporation and the Bank's consolidated financial statements.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of Total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets and of Tier 1 Capital to average assets. For the third quarter of 2012, the Bank's capital ratios met or exceeded the minimum to be categorized as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. Tier 1 Capital consists of common stock, retained earnings, minority interests in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries non-cumulative preferred stock, and cumulative preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury in the Capital Purchase Program, less goodwill and certain other intangibles. The remainder of capital may consist of other preferred stock, certain other instruments and a portion of the allowance for loan loss. At September 30, 2012, the Bank's Tier 1 Capital and Total Capital ratios to risk-weighted assets were 11.40 percent and 12.65 percent, respectively, both in excess of the well-capitalized standards of 6.0 percent and 10.0 percent, respectively.

In addition, the Federal Reserve Board has established minimum leverage ratio guidelines. At September 30, 2012, the Bank's leverage ratio was 7.24 percent, in excess of the well-capitalized standard of 5.0 percent.

At September 30, 2012, the Corporation's Tier 1 Capital and Total Capital ratios to risk-weighted assets were 11.51 percent and 12.76 percent, respectively, while the Corporation's leverage ratio was 7.31 percent.

In addition, the Corporation's common equity ratio at September 30, 2012, was 7.42 percent compared to 6.81 percent at December 31, 2011 and 6.78 percent at September 30, 2011.

LIQUIDITY: Liquidity refers to an institution's ability to meet short-term requirements including loan fundings, deposit withdrawals and maturing obligations. Principal sources of liquidity include cash, temporary investments, securities available for sale, deposit inflows and loan repayments.

Management actively monitors and manages the Corporation's liquidity position and feels it is sufficient to meet future needs. Cash and cash equivalents, including federal funds sold and interest-earning deposits, totaled \$54.9 million at September 30, 2012. In addition, the Corporation has \$253.5 million in securities designated as available for sale at September 30, 2012. These securities can be sold in response to liquidity concerns. In addition, the Corporation generates significant liquidity from scheduled and unscheduled principal repayments of loans and mortgage-backed securities.

Another source of liquidity is borrowing capacity. At September 30, 2012, unused short-term or overnight borrowing commitments totaled \$462.5 million from the FHLB and \$28.2 million from correspondent banks.

Table of Contents

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS:

The FASB has issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income*. This ASU amends the *FASB Accounting Standards Codification*TM (Codification) to allow an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The amendments to the Codification in the ASU do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. ASU 2011-05 should be applied retrospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The provisions of this update did not have a material impact on the Corporation's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows; however, new disclosures were added.

The FASB has issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs*. This ASU represents the converged guidance of the FASB and IASB (the Boards) on fair value measurement. The collective efforts of the Boards and their staffs, reflected in ASU 2011-04, have resulted in common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements, including a consistent meaning of the term "fair value." The Boards have concluded the common requirements will result in greater comparability of fair value measurements presented and disclosed in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The amendments to the *FASB Accounting Standards Codification*TM (Codification) in this ASU are to be applied prospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The provisions of this update did not have a material impact on the Corporation's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows; however, new disclosures were added.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

There have been no material changes to information required regarding quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk from the end of the preceding fiscal year to the date of the most recent interim financial statements (September 30, 2012).

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

The Corporation's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, with the assistance of other members of the corporation's management, have evaluated the effectiveness of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. Based on such evaluation, the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

The Corporation's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have also concluded that there have not been any changes in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonable likely materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial

reporting.

49

Table of Contents

The Corporation's management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures of our internal controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, provides reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. The design of a control system reflects resource constraints; the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because there are inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Corporation have been or will be detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty that breakdowns occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by Management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events. There can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all future conditions; over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

There were no material changes in the Corporation's risk factors during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from the risk factors disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

There were no repurchases or unregistered sales of the Corporation's stock during the quarter.

ITEM 6. Exhibits

- 3 Articles of Incorporation and By-Laws:
 - A. Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant, as amended, incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 9, 2009.
 - B. By-Laws of the Registrant, incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 23, 2007 (File No. 001-16197).
- 31.1 Certification of Douglas L. Kennedy, Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation, pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of Jeffrey J. Carfora, Chief Financial Officer of the Corporation, pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).
- 32 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed by Douglas L. Kennedy, Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation, and Jeffrey J. Carfora, Chief Financial Officer of the Corporation.

101 Interactive Data File *

* As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and is deemed not filed for the purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PEAPACK-GLADSTONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
(Registrant)

DATE: November 9, 2012 By: /s/ Douglas L. Kennedy
Douglas L. Kennedy
Chief Executive Officer

DATE: November 9, 2012 By: /s/ Jeffrey J. Carfora
Jeffrey J. Carfora
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and
Chief Accounting Officer

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

NumberDescription

- 3 Articles of Incorporation and By-Laws:
A. Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant, as amended, incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 9, 2009.
B. By-Laws of the Registrant, incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 23, 2007 (File No. 001-16197).
- 31.1 Certification of Douglas L. Kennedy, Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation, pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of Jeffrey J. Carfora, Chief Financial Officer of the Corporation, pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).
- 32 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed by Douglas L. Kennedy, Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation, and Jeffrey J. Carfora, Chief Financial Officer of the Corporation.
- 101 Interactive Data File *

* As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and is deemed not filed for the purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.