

CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC  
Form 10-K  
March 03, 2014

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
1934  
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM \_\_\_\_\_ TO \_\_\_\_\_

COMMISSION FILE NO. 1-12494 (CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC.)  
COMMISSION FILE NO. 333-182515-01 (CBL & ASSOCIATES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)

CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC.  
CBL & ASSOCIATES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware (CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.)

Delaware (CBL & Associates Limited Partnership)

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or  
organization)

2030 Hamilton Place Blvd., Suite 500

Chattanooga, TN

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 423.855.0001

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.:

Title of each Class

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value

7.375% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par  
value

6.625% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par  
value

62-1545718

62-1542285

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

37421

(Zip Code)

Name of each exchange on  
which registered

New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange

CBL & Associates Limited Partnership: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.: None

CBL & Associates Limited Partnership: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
CBL & Associates Limited Partnership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CBL & Associates Limited Partnership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

---

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
CBL & Associates Limited Partnership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
CBL & Associates Limited Partnership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.							
Large accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller Reporting Company	<input type="checkbox"/>
CBL & Associates Limited Partnership							
Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Smaller Reporting Company	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CBL & Associates Limited Partnership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

The aggregate market value of the 169,529,371 shares of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.'s common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2013 was \$3,567,059,127, based on the closing price of \$21.42 per share on the New York Stock Exchange on June 28, 2013. (For this computation, the registrant has excluded the market value of all shares of its common stock reported as beneficially owned by executive officers and directors of the registrant; such exclusion shall not be deemed to constitute an admission that any such person is an "affiliate" of the registrant.)

As of February 24, 2014, 170,266,519 shares of common stock were outstanding.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.'s Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference in Part III.

## EXPLANATORY NOTE

This report combines the annual reports on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and CBL & Associates Limited Partnership. Unless stated otherwise or the context otherwise requires, references to the "Company" mean CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and its subsidiaries. References to the "Operating Partnership" mean CBL & Associates Limited Partnership and its subsidiaries. The terms "we," "us" and "our" refer to the Company or the Company and the Operating Partnership collectively, as the context requires. The Company is a real estate investment trust ("REIT") whose stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange. The Company is the 100% owner of two qualified REIT subsidiaries, CBL Holdings I, Inc. and CBL Holdings II, Inc. At December 31, 2013, CBL Holdings I, Inc., the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, owned a 1.0% general partner interest in the Operating Partnership and CBL Holdings II, Inc. owned an 84.2% limited partner interest for a combined interest held by the Company of 85.2%.

As the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, the Company's subsidiary, CBL Holdings I, Inc., has exclusive control of the Operating Partnership's activities. Management operates the Company and the Operating Partnership as one business. The management of the Company consists of the same individuals that manage the Operating Partnership. The Company's only material asset is its indirect ownership of partnership interests of the Operating Partnership. As a result, the Company conducts substantially all its business through the Operating Partnership as described in the preceding paragraph. The Company also issues public equity from time to time and guarantees certain debt of the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership holds all of the assets and indebtedness of the Company and, through affiliates, retains the ownership interests in the Company's joint ventures. Except for the net proceeds of offerings of equity by the Company, which are contributed to the Operating Partnership in exchange for partnership units on a one-for-one basis, the Operating Partnership generates all remaining capital required by the Company's business through its operations and its incurrence of indebtedness.

We believe that combining the two annual reports on Form 10-K for the Company and the Operating Partnership provides the following benefits:

- enhances investors' understanding of the Company and the Operating Partnership by enabling investors to view the business as a whole in the same manner that management views and operates the business;
- eliminates duplicative disclosure and provides a more streamlined and readable presentation, since a substantial portion of the disclosure applies to both the Company and the Operating Partnership; and
- creates time and cost efficiencies through the preparation of one combined report instead of two separate reports.

To help investors understand the differences between the Company and the Operating Partnership, this report provides separate consolidated financial statements for the Company and the Operating Partnership. Noncontrolling interests, shareholders' equity and partners' capital are the main areas of difference between the consolidated financial statements of the Company and those of the Operating Partnership. A single set of notes to consolidated financial statements is presented that includes separate discussions for the Company and the Operating Partnership, when applicable. A combined Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section is also included that presents combined information and discrete information related to each entity, as applicable.

In order to highlight the differences between the Company and the Operating Partnership, this report includes the following sections that provide separate financial information for the Company and the Operating Partnership:

- consolidated financial statements;
- certain accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements, including Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Note 6 - Mortgage and Other Indebtedness, Note 7 - Shareholders' Equity and Partners' Capital and Note 8 - Redeemable Interests and Noncontrolling Interests;
- selected financial data in Item 6 of this report;
- controls and procedures in Item 9A of this report; and
- certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer included as Exhibits 31.1 through 32.4.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page Number
<u>Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	<u>1</u>
 <u>PART I</u>	
<u>1. Business</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>2. Properties</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>3. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>44</u>
 <u>PART II</u>	
<u>5. Market For Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>6. Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>80</u>
<u>8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>80</u>
<u>9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>80</u>
<u>9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>80</u>
<u>9B. Other Information</u>	<u>82</u>
 <u>PART III</u>	
<u>10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>11. Executive Compensation</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>83</u>
 <u>PART IV</u>	
<u>15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>84</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>85</u>
<u>Index to Financial Statements and Schedules</u>	<u>87</u>
<u>Index to Exhibits</u>	<u>159</u>

### Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements included or incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K may be deemed “forward looking statements” within the meaning of the federal securities laws. All statements other than statements of historical fact should be considered to be forward-looking statements. In many cases, these forward looking statements may be identified by the use of words such as “will,” “may,” “should,” “could,” “believes,” “expects,” “anticipates,” “estimates,” “intends,” “projects,” “goals,” “objectives,” “targets,” “predicts,” “plans,” “seeks,” or similar expressions. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the factors discussed throughout this report.

Although we believe the expectations reflected in any forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance or results and we can give no assurance that these expectations will be attained. It is possible that actual results may differ materially from those indicated by these forward-looking statements due to a variety of known and unknown risks and uncertainties. In addition to the risk factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A of this report, such known risks and uncertainties include, without limitation:

- general industry, economic and business conditions;
- interest rate fluctuations;
- costs and availability of capital and capital requirements;
- costs and availability of real estate;
- inability to consummate acquisition opportunities and other risks associated with acquisitions;
- competition from other companies and retail formats;
- changes in retail demand and rental rates in our markets;
- shifts in customer demands;
- tenant bankruptcies or store closings;
- changes in vacancy rates at our Properties;
- changes in operating expenses;
- changes in applicable laws, rules and regulations;
- sales of real property;
- changes in our credit ratings; and
- the ability to obtain suitable equity and/or debt financing and the continued availability of financing in the amounts and on the terms necessary to support our future refinancing requirements and business.

This list of risks and uncertainties is only a summary and is not intended to be exhaustive. We disclaim any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect actual results or changes in the factors affecting the forward-looking information.

## PART I

### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

#### Background

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. (“CBL”) was organized on July 13, 1993, as a Delaware corporation, to acquire substantially all of the real estate properties owned by CBL & Associates, Inc., which was formed by Charles B. Lebovitz in 1978, and by certain of its related parties. On November 3, 1993, CBL completed an initial public offering (the “Offering”). Simultaneous with the completion of the Offering, CBL & Associates, Inc., its shareholders and affiliates and certain senior officers of the Company (collectively, “CBL’s Predecessor”) transferred substantially all of their interests in its real estate properties to CBL & Associates Limited Partnership (the “Operating Partnership”) in

exchange for common units of limited partner interest in the Operating Partnership. The interests in the Operating Partnership contain certain conversion rights that are more fully described in Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements. The terms "we," "us" and "our" refer to the Company or the Company and the Operating Partnership collectively, as the context requires.

#### The Company's Business

We are a self-managed, self-administered, fully integrated REIT. We own, develop, acquire, lease, manage, and operate regional shopping malls, open-air centers, associated centers, community centers and office properties. Our Properties are located in 27 states, but are primarily in the southeastern and midwestern United States. We have elected to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.



We conduct substantially all of our business through the Operating Partnership. We are the 100% owner of two qualified REIT subsidiaries, CBL Holdings I, Inc. and CBL Holdings II, Inc. CBL Holdings I, Inc. is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. At December 31, 2013, CBL Holdings I, Inc. owned a 1.0% general partner interest and CBL Holdings II, Inc. owned a 84.2% limited partner interest in the Operating Partnership, for a combined interest held by us of 85.2%.

As of December 31, 2013, we owned:

controlling interests in 75 regional malls/open-air and outlet centers (including one mixed-use center) and noncontrolling interests in 9 regional malls/open-air centers (the "Malls"), controlling interests in 25 associated centers and noncontrolling interests in 4 associated centers (the "Associated Centers"), controlling interests in 7 community centers and noncontrolling interests in 4 community centers (the "Community Centers"), and controlling interests in 8 office buildings which include our corporate office building, and noncontrolling interests in 5 office buildings (the "Office Buildings");

controlling interests in two mall redevelopments and one outlet center, owned in a 65%/35% joint venture, and a noncontrolling interest in one community center development under construction at December 31, 2013 (the "Construction Properties"), as well as options to acquire certain shopping center development sites owned by third parties; and

mortgages on five Properties, each of which is collateralized by either a first mortgage, a second mortgage or by assignment of 100% of the ownership interests in the underlying real estate and related improvements (the "Mortgages").

The Malls, Associated Centers, Community Centers, Office Buildings, Construction Properties and Mortgages are collectively referred to as the "Properties" and individually as a "Property."

We conduct our property management and development activities through CBL & Associates Management, Inc. (the "Management Company") to comply with certain requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Internal Revenue Code"). The Operating Partnership owns 100% of the Management Company's outstanding preferred stock and common stock.

The Management Company manages all but seven of the Properties. Governor's Square and Governor's Plaza in Clarksville, TN and Kentucky Oaks Mall in Paducah, KY are all owned by unconsolidated joint ventures and are managed by a property manager that is affiliated with the third party managing general partner, which receives a fee for its services. The managing general partner of each of these Properties controls the cash flow distributions, although our approval is required for certain major decisions. The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City in Oklahoma City, OK, The Outlet Shoppes at Gettysburg in Gettysburg, PA, The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso in El Paso, TX and The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta in Woodstock, GA are owned by consolidated joint ventures and managed by a property manager that is affiliated with the third party partner, which receives a fee for its services.

Revenues are primarily derived from leases with retail tenants and generally include fixed minimum rents, percentage rents based on tenants' sales volumes and reimbursements from tenants for expenditures related to real estate taxes, insurance, common area maintenance and other recoverable operating expenses, as well as certain capital expenditures. We also generate revenues from management, leasing and development fees, advertising, sponsorships, sales of peripheral land at the Properties and from sales of operating real estate assets when it is determined that we can realize an appropriate value for the assets. Proceeds from such sales are generally used to retire related indebtedness or reduce outstanding balances on our credit facilities.

The following terms used in this Annual Report on Form 10-K will have the meanings described below:

GLA – refers to gross leasable area of retail space in square feet, including anchors and mall tenants.

Anchor – refers to a department store, other large retail store or theater greater than or equal to 50,000 square feet.

Junior Anchor - non-traditional department store, retail store or theater comprising more than 20,000 square feet and less than 50,000 square feet.

Freestanding – property locations that are not attached to the primary complex of buildings that comprise the mall shopping center.

Outparcel – land used for freestanding developments, such as retail stores, banks and restaurants, which are generally on the periphery of the Properties.

2

---

## Significant Markets and Tenants

## Top Five Markets

Our top five markets, based on percentage of total revenues, were as follows for the year ended December 31, 2013:

Market	Percentage of Total Revenues
St. Louis, MO	8.1%
Chattanooga, TN	3.8%
Madison, WI	3.4%
Lexington, KY	2.8%
Winston-Salem, NC	2.6%

## Top 25 Tenants

Our top 25 tenants based on percentage of total revenues were as follows for the year ended December 31, 2013:

Tenant	Number of Stores	Square Feet	Percentage of Total Revenues
Limited Brands, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	162	835,292	3.38%
Foot Locker, Inc.	148	609,465	2.43%
AE Outfitters Retail Company	85	509,051	2.19%
Ascena Retail Group, Inc. <sup>(2)</sup>	180	900,378	2.17%
The Gap, Inc.	73	809,662	1.76%
Signet Jewelers Limited <sup>(3)</sup>	107	202,115	1.66%
Genesco Inc. <sup>(4)</sup>	196	305,028	1.64%
Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc. <sup>(5)</sup>	25	1,394,109	1.52%
JC Penney Company, Inc. <sup>(6)</sup>	71	8,168,179	1.52%
Abercrombie & Fitch, Co.	63	425,775	1.40%
Aeropostale, Inc.	96	349,905	1.37%
Luxottica Group, S.P.A. <sup>(7)</sup>	126	275,475	1.34%
Zale Corporation	122	127,966	1.26%
Express Fashions	46	376,921	1.25%
Finish Line, Inc.	64	335,672	1.23%
Charlotte Russe Holding, Inc.	53	356,363	1.18%
Forever 21 Retail, Inc.	23	421,545	1.04%
New York & Company, Inc.	44	304,084	1.03%
Best Buy Co., Inc. <sup>(8)</sup>	63	519,556	1.01%
The Buckle, Inc.	50	254,020	1.01%
The Children's Place Retail Stores, Inc.	62	271,634	0.86%
Sun Capital Partners, Inc. <sup>(9)</sup>	44	620,726	0.86%
Claire's Stores, Inc.	115	140,552	0.85%
Barnes & Noble Inc.	19	579,099	0.79%
Shoe Show, Inc.	49	557,684	0.77%
	2,086	19,650,256	35.52%

(1) Limited Brands, LLC operates Victoria's Secret and Bath & Body Works.

(2) Ascena Retail Group, Inc. operates Justice, Dressbarn, Maurices, Lane Bryant, Catherines and Fashion Bug.

(3) Signet Jewelers Limited operates Kay Jewelers, Marks & Morgan, JB Robinson, Shaw's Jewelers, Osterman's Jewelers, LeRoy's Jewelers, Jared Jewelers, Belden Jewelers and Rogers Jewelers.

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

- (4) Genesco Inc. operates Journey's, Jarman, Underground Station, Hat World, Lids, Hat Zone, and Cap Factory stores.
- (5) Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc. operates Dick's Sporting Goods, Field & Stream and Golf Galaxy Stores.
- (6) JC Penney Company, Inc. owns 33 of these stores. In January 2014, JC Penney Company, Inc. announced plans to close three leased stores and one owned store in 2014.
- (7) Luxottica Group, S.P.A. operates Lenscrafters, Sunglass Hut, and Pearle Vision.
- (8) Best Buy Co., Inc. operates Best Buy and Best Buy Mobile.
- (9) Sun Capital Partners, Inc. operates Gordmans, Limited Stores, Fazoli's Restaurants, Smokey Bones, and Bar Louie Restaurants.

## Growth Strategy

Our objective is to achieve growth in funds from operations (see page 78 for a discussion of funds from operations) by maximizing cash flows through a variety of methods as further discussed below.

## Leasing, Management and Marketing

Our objective is to maximize cash flows from our existing Properties through: aggressive leasing that seeks to increase occupancy and facilitate an optimal merchandise mix, originating and renewing leases at higher gross rents per square foot compared to the previous lease, merchandising, marketing, sponsorship and promotional activities and actively controlling operating costs and resulting tenant occupancy costs.

## Redevelopments

Redevelopments represent situations where we capitalize on opportunities to add incremental square footage or increase the productivity of previously occupied space through aesthetic upgrades, retenanting and/or changing the retail use of the space. Many times, redevelopments result from acquiring possession of anchor space and subdividing it into multiple spaces. The following presents the redevelopments we completed during 2013 and those under construction at December 31, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

Property	Location	Total Project Square Feet	Total Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	Cost to Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Actual/Expected Opening Date	Initial Unleveraged Yield
Completed in 2013:						
Monroeville Mall - JC Penney/Cinemark <sup>(3)</sup>	Pittsburgh, PA	78,223	\$26,178	\$22,592	October-12/ November-13	7.6%
Northgate Mall - The Shoppes at Northgate	Chattanooga, TN	75,018	6,105	5,748	September-13	9.2%
Southpark Mall - Dick's Sporting Goods	Colonial Heights, VA	85,322	9,379	7,922	July-13	7.4%
		238,563	\$41,662	\$36,262		
Currently under construction:						
College Square - Longhorn Steakhouse & T.J. Maxx	Morristown, TN	30,271	\$3,229	\$2,134	Spring-14	10.0%
Northgate Mall - Burlington	Chattanooga, TN	78,021	7,826	374	Fall-14	7.2%
		108,292	\$11,055	\$2,508		

(1) Total cost is presented net of reimbursements to be received.

(2) Cost to date does not reflect reimbursements until they are received.

(3) JC Penney opened in October 2012 and Cinemark opened in JC Penney's former space in November 2013.

Our total cost of the redevelopment projects completed in 2013 was \$36.3 million. Our total investment upon completion of redevelopment projects that are under construction as of December 31, 2013 is projected to be \$11.1 million.

## Renovations

Renovations usually include remodeling and upgrading existing facades, uniform signage, new entrances and floor coverings, updating interior décor, resurfacing parking lots and improving the lighting of interiors and parking lots. Renovations can result in attracting new retailers, increased rental rates, sales and occupancy levels and maintaining the Property's market dominance. Our 2013 renovation program included upgrades at five of our malls including

Friendly Center in Greensboro, NC; Greenbrier Mall in Chesapeake, VA; Acadiana Mall in Lafayette, LA; Northgate Mall in Chattanooga, TN and Mid Rivers Mall in St. Peters, MO. Our 2014 renovation program includes upgrades at five of our malls. Renovations are scheduled to be completed in 2014 at Governor's Square in Clarksville, TN; Volusia Mall in Daytona Beach, FL; Richland Mall in Waco, TX; Janesville Mall in Janesville, WI and Old Hickory Mall in Jackson, TN. Renovation expenditures for 2013 and 2014 also include certain capital expenditures related to the parking decks at West County Center.

We invested \$36.6 million in renovations in 2013. The total investment in the renovations that are scheduled for 2014 is projected to be \$27.4 million.

## Development of New Retail Properties and Expansions

In general, we seek development opportunities in middle-market trade areas that we believe are under-served by existing retail operations. These middle-markets must also have sufficient demographics to provide the opportunity to effectively maintain a competitive position. The following presents the new developments we opened during 2013 and those under construction at December 31, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

Property	Location	Total Project Square Feet	Total Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	Cost to Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Actual/Expected Opening Date	Initial Unleveraged Yield
Completed in 2013:						
The Crossings at Marshalls Creek	Middle Smithfield, PA	104,525	\$18,983	\$21,807	June-13	9.8%
The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta <sup>(3)</sup>	Woodstock, GA	370,456	80,490	71,398	July-13	11.7%
		474,981	\$99,473	\$93,205		
Currently under construction:						
Fremaux Town Center - Phase I <sup>(4)</sup>	Slidell, LA	333,636	\$52,269	\$43,830	March-14	8.5%
The Outlet Shoppes at Louisville <sup>(4)</sup>	Simpsonville, KY	374,724	80,472	41,033	August-14	10.2%
		708,360	\$132,741	\$84,863		

(1) Total cost is presented net of reimbursements to be received.

(2) Cost to date does not reflect reimbursements until they are received.

(3) This Property is a 75/25 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

(4) These Properties are 65/35 joint ventures. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

We can also generate additional revenues by expanding a Property through the addition of department stores, mall stores and large retail formats. An expansion also protects the Property's competitive position within its market. The following presents the expansions that were completed during 2013 (dollars in thousands):

Property	Location	Total Project Square Feet	Total Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	Cost to Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Opening Date	Initial Unleveraged Yield
Completed in 2013:						
Cross Creek Mall - The District	Fayetteville, NC	45,620	\$15,831	\$10,851	November-13	9.8%
The Shoppes at Southaven Towne Center - Phase II	Southaven, MS	22,925	3,968	3,372	November-13	12.2%
South County Center - Dick's Sporting Goods	St. Louis, MO	50,000	8,051	6,365	November-13	9.5%
Volusia Mall - Restaurant District	Daytona Beach, FL	27,500	7,114	5,805	November-13	10.4%
West Towne Mall - ULTA & Lane Bryant	Madison, WI	22,500	5,454	4,002	September-13	11.8%
		168,545	\$40,418	\$30,395		

(1) Total cost is presented net of reimbursements to be received.

(2) Cost to date does not reflect reimbursements until they are received.

The total cost of the new Properties and expansions that opened in 2013 was \$139.9 million, our share of which is \$119.8 million. The cost of the new Properties under construction as of December 31, 2013 is projected to be \$132.7 million, our share of which is \$86.3 million.

5

---



## Shadow Development Pipeline

Our shadow pipeline consists of projects for Properties on which we have completed initial project analysis and design but which have not commenced construction as of December 31, 2013. The following presents our shadow development pipeline at December 31, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

Property	Location	Total Project Square Feet	Estimated Total Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	Expected Opening Date	Initial Unleveraged Yield
<b>Outlet Centers:</b>					
The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso - Phase II <sup>(2)</sup>	El Paso, TX	45,000	\$7,000 - \$8,000	2014	10% - 12%
The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City - Phase III <sup>(2)</sup>	Oklahoma City, OK	35,000	\$5,000 - \$5,800	2014	9% - 12%
		80,000	\$12,000 - \$13,800		
<b>Community Center:</b>					
Fremaux Town Center - Phase II <sup>(3)</sup>	Slidell, LA	265,000	\$30,000 - \$40,000	2015	9% - 10%
<b>Associated Center:</b>					
West Towne Crossing - Nordstrom Rack	Madison, WI	30,750	\$5,000 - \$6,000	Fall 2014	9% - 10%
<b>Mall Redevelopment:</b>					
CoolSprings Galleria - Sears Redevelopment	Nashville, TN	160,000	\$50,000 - \$60,000	2015/2016	7%
Fayette Mall - Sears Redevelopment	Lexington, KY	115,000	\$65,000 - \$75,000	2015	7%
Monroeville Mall - Dick's Sporting Goods	Pittsburgh, PA	85,000	\$9,000 - \$9,500	2014	8% - 9%
		360,000	\$124,000 - \$144,500		
		735,750	\$171,000 - \$204,300		

(1) Total cost is presented net of reimbursements to be received.

(2) These Properties are 75/25 joint ventures. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

(3) This Property is a 65/35 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

## Acquisitions

We believe there is opportunity for growth through acquisitions of regional malls and other associated properties that complement our portfolio. We selectively acquire properties we believe can appreciate in value through our development, leasing and management expertise.

## Environmental Matters

A discussion of the current effects and potential future impacts on our business and Properties of compliance with federal, state and local environmental regulations is presented in Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K under the subheading "Risks Related to Real Estate Investments."

## Competition

The Properties compete with various shopping facilities in attracting retailers to lease space. In addition, retailers at our Properties face competition from discount shopping centers, outlet centers, wholesale clubs, direct mail, television shopping networks, the internet and other retail shopping developments. The extent of the retail competition varies from market to market. We work aggressively to attract customers through marketing promotions and campaigns.

Many of our retailers have adopted an omni-channel approach which leverages sales through both on-line and in-store retailing channels.

Seasonality

The shopping center business is, to some extent, seasonal in nature with tenants typically achieving the highest levels of sales during the fourth quarter due to the holiday season, which generally results in higher percentage rent income in the fourth quarter. Additionally, the Malls earn most of their “temporary” rents (rents from short-term tenants) during the holiday period. Thus, occupancy levels and revenue production are generally the highest in the fourth quarter of each year. Results of operations realized in any one quarter may not be indicative of the results likely to be experienced over the course of our fiscal year.

## Recent Developments

### Impairment Losses

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded a loss on impairment totaling \$75.2 million. Of this total, \$5.2 million is attributable to a portfolio sale of six Properties which were sold in 2013 and included in discontinued operations, \$67.7 million is attributable to two existing Properties, \$1.8 million relates to the sale of an outparcel and \$0.5 million represents the write-down of the depreciated book value of the corporate airplane owned by the Management Company to its fair value at its trade-in date.

### Acquisition

In the second quarter of 2013, we acquired the remaining 51.0% interest in Kirkwood Mall in Bismarck, ND for \$61.1 million, including the assumption of \$20.6 million in debt.

### Dispositions

We sold three malls, three associated centers and five office buildings in 2013 for an aggregate gross sales price of \$220.4 million, less commissions and closing costs generating an aggregate \$215.5 million of net proceeds. Additionally, we sold a parcel of land, which a third party development company had been ground leasing, for \$22.4 million, which consisted of \$15.0 million in cash and a promissory note of \$7.4 million.

## Financing and Capital Markets Activity

2013 was a transformational year as we achieved many of our financing objectives and long-term goals ahead of schedule. Highlights of financing and capital markets activity for the year ended December 31, 2013 include the following:

- Received investment grade ratings from Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") and Fitch Ratings ("Fitch");
- Added the Operating Partnership as a public registrant and completed a \$450.0 million 5.250% senior unsecured notes offering due in 2023 (the "Notes");
- Initiated a \$300.0 million at-the-market ("ATM") equity program which, through the issuance of 8.4 million shares of common stock, generated \$209.6 million in net proceeds;
- Redeemed all outstanding perpetual preferred joint venture units ("PJV units") of our joint venture, CW Joint Venture, LLC ("CWJV") with Westfield Group ("Westfield"), which were originally issued in 2007 in conjunction with the acquisition of four malls, for \$413.0 million;
- Converted our third credit facility from secured to unsecured with a capacity of \$100.0 million;
- Closed on two unsecured term loans totaling \$450.0 million and retired a \$228.0 million unsecured term loan;
- Completed financing of \$416.6 million on new and extended loans on eight Properties owned in joint ventures and retired over \$290.0 million in wholly-owned property-specific loans; and
- Increased our quarterly dividend by 6.5% in the fourth quarter of 2013 to \$0.245 per share from \$0.23 per share.

### Equity

#### Common Stock

Our authorized common stock consists of 350,000,000 shares at \$0.01 par value per share. We had 170,048,144 and 161,309,652 shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### Preferred Stock

Our authorized preferred stock consists of 15,000,000 shares at \$0.01 par value per share. A description of our cumulative redeemable preferred stock is listed below.

In October 2012, we completed an underwritten public offering of 6,900,000 depositary shares, each representing 1/10<sup>th</sup> of a share of our newly designated 6.625% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series E Preferred Stock") at \$25.00 per depositary share. We received net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$166.6 million after deducting the underwriting discount and offering expenses. A portion of the net proceeds from this offering was used to redeem all our outstanding 7.75% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series

C Shares") with a liquidation preference of

7

---

\$115.0 million and \$0.9 million related to accrued and unpaid dividends for an aggregate redemption amount of \$115.9 million. The remaining net proceeds of \$50.7 million were used to reduce outstanding balances on our credit facilities. We will pay cumulative dividends on the Series E Preferred Stock from the date of original issuance in the amount of \$1.65625 per depositary share each year, which is equivalent to 6.625% of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per depositary share. We may not redeem the Series E Preferred Stock before October 12, 2017, except in limited circumstances to preserve our REIT status or in connection with a change of control. On or after October 12, 2017, we may, at our option, redeem the Series E Preferred Stock in whole at any time or in part from time to time by paying \$25.00 per depositary share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends up to, but not including, the date of redemption. The Series E Preferred Stock generally has no stated maturity and will not be subject to any sinking fund or mandatory redemption. The Series E Preferred Stock is not convertible into any of our securities, except under certain circumstances in connection with a change of control. Owners of the depositary shares representing Series E Preferred Stock generally have no voting rights except under dividend default.

We had 18,150,000 depositary shares outstanding, each representing 1/10<sup>th</sup> of a share of our 7.375% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series D Preferred Stock") with a par value of \$0.01 per share, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. The Series D Preferred Stock has a liquidation preference of \$250.00 per share (\$25.00 per depositary share). The dividends on the Series D Preferred Stock are cumulative, accrue from the date of issuance and are payable quarterly in arrears at a rate of \$18.4375 per share (\$1.84375 per depositary share) per annum. The Series D Preferred Stock has no stated maturity, is not subject to any sinking fund or mandatory redemption, and is not convertible into any other securities. We may redeem shares, in whole or in part, at any time for a cash redemption price of \$250.00 per share (\$25.00 per depositary share) plus accrued and unpaid dividends.

On November 5, 2012, we redeemed all 460,000 Series C Shares and all outstanding depositary shares, each representing 1/10<sup>th</sup> of a Series C Share for \$115.9 million. We recorded a charge to preferred dividends of \$3.8 million upon redemption to write off the unamortized portion of direct issuance costs related to the Series C Shares and underlying depositary shares.

#### Financial Information About Segments

See Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements for information about our reportable segments.

#### Employees

CBL does not have any employees other than its statutory officers. Our Management Company currently has 663 full-time and 198 part-time employees. None of our employees are represented by a union.

#### Corporate Offices

Our principal executive offices are located at CBL Center, 2030 Hamilton Place Boulevard, Suite 500, Chattanooga, Tennessee, 37421 and our telephone number is (423) 855-0001.

#### Available Information

There is additional information about us on our web site at [cblproperties.com](http://cblproperties.com). Electronic copies of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, as well as any amendments to those reports, are available free of charge by visiting the "investor relations" section of our web site. These reports are posted as soon as reasonably practical after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information on the web site is not, and should not be considered, a part of this Form 10-K.



## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Set forth below are certain factors that may adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Any one or more of the following factors may cause our actual results for various financial reporting periods to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by us, or on our behalf. See “Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements” contained herein on page 1.

### RISKS RELATED TO REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS

Real property investments are subject to various risks, many of which are beyond our control, that could cause declines in the operating revenues and/or the underlying value of one or more of our Properties.

A number of factors may decrease the income generated by a retail shopping center property, including:

- national, regional and local economic climates, which may be negatively impacted by loss of jobs, production slowdowns, adverse weather conditions, natural disasters, acts of violence, war or terrorism, declines in residential real estate activity and other factors which tend to reduce consumer spending on retail goods;
- adverse changes in levels of consumer spending, consumer confidence and seasonal spending (especially during the holiday season when many retailers generate a disproportionate amount of their annual profits);
- local real estate conditions, such as an oversupply of, or reduction in demand for, retail space or retail goods, and the availability and creditworthiness of current and prospective tenants;
- increased operating costs, such as increases in repairs and maintenance, real property taxes, utility rates and insurance premiums;
- delays or cost increases associated with the opening of new or renovated properties, due to higher than estimated construction costs, cost overruns, delays in receiving zoning, occupancy or other governmental approvals, lack of availability of materials and labor, weather conditions, and similar factors which may be outside our ability to control;
- perceptions by retailers or shoppers of the safety, convenience and attractiveness of the shopping center;
- the willingness and ability of the shopping center’s owner to provide capable management and maintenance services; and
- the convenience and quality of competing retail properties and other retailing options, such as the internet.

In addition, other factors may adversely affect the value of our Properties without affecting their current revenues, including:

- adverse changes in governmental regulations, such as local zoning and land use laws, environmental regulations or local tax structures that could inhibit our ability to proceed with development, expansion, or renovation activities that otherwise would be beneficial to our Properties;
- potential environmental or other legal liabilities that reduce the amount of funds available to us for investment in our Properties;
- any inability to obtain sufficient financing (including construction financing and permanent debt), or the inability to obtain such financing on commercially favorable terms, to fund repayment of maturing loans, new developments, acquisitions, and property expansions and renovations which otherwise would benefit our Properties; and
- an environment of rising interest rates, which could negatively impact both the value of commercial real estate such as retail shopping centers and the overall retail climate.

Illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly affect our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our Properties and harm our financial condition.

Substantially all of our total consolidated assets consist of investments in real properties. Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, our ability to quickly sell one or more Properties in our portfolio in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions is limited. The real estate market is affected by many factors, such as general economic conditions, availability of financing, interest rates and other factors, including supply and demand for space, that are beyond our control. We cannot predict whether we will be able to sell any Property for the price or on the terms we set, or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be

acceptable to us. We also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of a Property. In addition, current economic and capital market conditions might make it

9

---



more difficult for us to sell Properties or might adversely affect the price we receive for Properties that we do sell, as prospective buyers might experience increased costs of debt financing or other difficulties in obtaining debt financing. Moreover, there are some limitations under federal income tax laws applicable to REITs that limit our ability to sell assets. In addition, because our Properties are generally mortgaged to secure our debts, we may not be able to obtain a release of a lien on a mortgaged Property without the payment of the associated debt and/or a substantial prepayment penalty, which restricts our ability to dispose of a Property, even though the sale might otherwise be desirable. Furthermore, the number of prospective buyers interested in purchasing shopping centers is limited. Therefore, if we want to sell one or more of our Properties, we may not be able to dispose of it in the desired time period and may receive less consideration than we originally invested in the Property.

Before a Property can be sold, we may be required to make expenditures to correct defects or to make improvements. We cannot assure you that we will have funds available to correct those defects or to make those improvements, and if we cannot do so, we might not be able to sell the Property, or might be required to sell the Property on unfavorable terms. In acquiring a property, we might agree to provisions that materially restrict us from selling that property for a period of time or impose other restrictions, such as limitations on the amount of debt that can be placed or repaid on that property. These factors and any others that would impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our Properties could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We may elect not to proceed with certain development or expansion projects once they have been undertaken, resulting in charges that could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for the period in which the charge is taken.

We intend to pursue development and expansion activities as opportunities arise. In connection with any development or expansion, we will incur various risks, including the risk that development or expansion opportunities explored by us may be abandoned for various reasons including, but not limited to, credit disruptions that require the Company to conserve its cash until the capital markets stabilize or alternative credit or funding arrangements can be made.

Developments or expansions also include the risk that construction costs of a project may exceed original estimates, possibly making the project unprofitable. Other risks include the risk that we may not be able to refinance construction loans which are generally with full recourse to us, the risk that occupancy rates and rents at a completed project will not meet projections and will be insufficient to make the project profitable, and the risk that we will not be able to obtain anchor, mortgage lender and property partner approvals for certain expansion activities.

When we elect not to proceed with a development opportunity, the development costs ordinarily are charged against income for the then-current period. Any such charge could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for the period in which the charge is taken.

Certain of our Properties are subject to ownership interests held by third parties, whose interests may conflict with ours and thereby constrain us from taking actions concerning these Properties which otherwise would be in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders.

We own partial interests in 16 malls, 8 associated centers, 7 community centers and 8 office buildings. Governor's Square and Governor's Plaza in Clarksville, TN and Kentucky Oaks Mall in Paducah, KY are all owned by unconsolidated joint ventures and are managed by a property manager that is affiliated with the third party managing general partner, which receives a fee for its services. The managing general partner of each of these Properties controls the cash flow distributions, although our approval is required for certain major decisions. The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City in Oklahoma City, OK, The Outlet Shoppes at Gettysburg in Gettysburg, PA, The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso in El Paso, TX and The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta in Woodstock, GA are owned by consolidated joint ventures and managed by a property manager that is affiliated with the third party partner, which receives a fee for its services.

Where we serve as managing general partner (or equivalent) of the entities that own our Properties, we may have certain fiduciary responsibilities to the other owners of those entities. In certain cases, the approval or consent of the other owners is required before we may sell, finance, expand or make other significant changes in the operations of such Properties. To the extent such approvals or consents are required, we may experience difficulty in, or may be prevented from, implementing our plans with respect to expansion, development, financing or other similar transactions with respect to such Properties.

With respect to those Properties for which we do not serve as managing general partner (or equivalent), we do not have day-to-day operational control or control over certain major decisions, including leasing and the timing and amount of distributions, which could result in decisions by the managing entity that do not fully reflect our interests. This includes decisions relating to the requirements that we must satisfy in order to maintain our status as a REIT for tax purposes. However, decisions relating to sales, expansion and disposition of all or substantially all of the assets and financings are subject to approval by the Operating Partnership.

Bankruptcy of joint venture partners could impose delays and costs on us with respect to the jointly owned retail Properties.

In addition to the possible effects on our joint ventures of a bankruptcy filing by us, the bankruptcy of one of the other investors in any of our jointly owned shopping centers could materially and adversely affect the relevant Property or Properties. Under the bankruptcy laws, we would be precluded from taking some actions affecting the estate of the other investor without prior approval of the bankruptcy court, which would, in most cases, entail prior notice to other parties and a hearing in the bankruptcy court. At a minimum, the requirement to obtain court approval may delay the actions we would or might want to take. If the relevant joint venture through which we have invested in a Property has incurred recourse obligations, the discharge in bankruptcy of one of the other investors might result in our ultimate liability for a greater portion of those obligations than we would otherwise bear.

We may incur significant costs related to compliance with environmental laws, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows and the funds available to us to pay dividends.

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, a current or previous owner or operator of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of petroleum, certain hazardous or toxic substances on, under or in such real estate. Such laws typically impose such liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such substances. The costs of remediation or removal of such substances may be substantial. The presence of such substances, or the failure to promptly remove or remediate such substances, may adversely affect the owner's or operator's ability to lease or sell such real estate or to borrow using such real estate as collateral. Persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances may also be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of such substances at the disposal or treatment facility, regardless of whether such facility is owned or operated by such person. Certain laws also impose requirements on conditions and activities that may affect the environment or the impact of the environment on human health. Failure to comply with such requirements could result in the imposition of monetary penalties (in addition to the costs to achieve compliance) and potential liabilities to third parties. Among other things, certain laws require abatement or removal of friable and certain non-friable asbestos-containing materials in the event of demolition or certain renovations or remodeling. Certain laws regarding asbestos-containing materials require building owners and lessees, among other things, to notify and train certain employees working in areas known or presumed to contain asbestos-containing materials. Certain laws also impose liability for release of asbestos-containing materials into the air and third parties may seek recovery from owners or operators of real properties for personal injury or property damage associated with asbestos-containing materials. In connection with the ownership and operation of properties, we may be potentially liable for all or a portion of such costs or claims.

All of our Properties (but not properties for which we hold an option to purchase but do not yet own) have been subject to Phase I environmental assessments or updates of existing Phase I environmental assessments. Such assessments generally consisted of a visual inspection of the Properties, review of federal and state environmental databases and certain information regarding historic uses of the Property and adjacent areas and the preparation and issuance of written reports. Some of the Properties contain, or contained, underground storage tanks used for storing petroleum products or wastes typically associated with automobile service or other operations conducted at the Properties. Certain Properties contain, or contained, dry-cleaning establishments utilizing solvents. Where believed to be warranted, samplings of building materials or subsurface investigations were undertaken. At certain Properties, where warranted by the conditions, we have developed and implemented an operations and maintenance program that establishes operating procedures with respect to asbestos-containing materials. The cost associated with the development and implementation of such programs was not material. We have also obtained environmental insurance coverage at certain of our Properties.

We believe that our Properties are in compliance in all material respects with all federal, state and local ordinances and regulations regarding the handling, discharge and emission of hazardous or toxic substances. As of December 31, 2013, we have recorded in our consolidated financial statements a liability of \$2.9 million related to potential future asbestos abatement activities at our Properties which are not expected to have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations. We have not been notified by any governmental authority, and are not otherwise aware, of any material noncompliance, liability or claim relating to hazardous or toxic substances in connection with

any of our present or former Properties. Therefore, we have not recorded any liability related to hazardous or toxic substances. Nevertheless, it is possible that the environmental assessments available to us do not reveal all potential environmental liabilities. It is also possible that subsequent investigations will identify material contamination, that adverse environmental conditions have arisen subsequent to the performance of the environmental assessments, or that there are material environmental liabilities of which management is unaware. Moreover, no assurances can be given that (i) future laws, ordinances or regulations will not impose any material environmental liability or (ii) the current environmental condition of the Properties has not been or will not be affected by tenants and occupants of the Properties, by the condition of properties in the vicinity of the Properties or by third parties unrelated to us, the Operating Partnership or the relevant Property's partnership.

Possible terrorist activity or other acts of violence could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Future terrorist attacks in the United States, and other acts of violence, including terrorism or war, might result in declining consumer confidence and spending, which could harm the demand for goods and services offered by our tenants and the values of our Properties, and might adversely affect an investment in our securities. A decrease in retail demand could make it difficult for us to renew or re-lease our Properties at lease rates equal to or above historical rates and, to the extent our tenants are affected, could adversely affect their ability to continue to meet obligations under their existing leases. Terrorist activities also could directly affect the value of our Properties through damage, destruction or loss. Furthermore, terrorist acts might result in increased volatility in national and international financial markets, which could limit our access to capital or increase our cost of obtaining capital.

#### RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS AND THE MARKET FOR OUR STOCK

Declines in economic conditions, including increased volatility in the capital and credit markets, could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

An economic recession can result in extreme volatility and disruption of our capital and credit markets. The resulting economic environment may be affected by dramatic declines in the stock and housing markets, increases in foreclosures, unemployment and costs of living, as well as limited access to credit. This economic situation can, and most often will, impact consumer spending levels, which can result in decreased revenues for our tenants and related decreases in the values of our Properties. A sustained economic downward trend could impact our tenants' ability to meet their lease obligations due to poor operating results, lack of liquidity, bankruptcy or other reasons. Our ability to lease space and negotiate rents at advantageous rates could also be affected in this type of economic environment. Additionally, access to capital and credit markets could be disrupted over an extended period, which may make it difficult to obtain the financing we may need for future growth and/or to meet our debt service obligations as they mature. Any of these events could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The market price of our common stock or other securities may fluctuate significantly.

The market price of our common stock or other securities may fluctuate significantly in response to many factors, including:

- actual or anticipated variations in our operating results, funds from operations, cash flows or liquidity;
- changes in our earnings estimates or those of analysts;
- changes in our dividend policy;
- impairment charges affecting the carrying value of one or more of our Properties or other assets;
- publication of research reports about us, the retail industry or the real estate industry generally;
- increases in market interest rates that lead purchasers of our securities to seek higher dividend or interest rate yields;
- changes in market valuations of similar companies;
- adverse market reaction to the amount of our outstanding debt at any time, the amount of our maturing debt in the near and medium term and our ability to refinance such debt and the terms thereof or our plans to incur additional debt in the future;
- additions or departures of key management personnel;
- actions by institutional security holders;
- proposed or adopted regulatory or legislative changes or developments;
- speculation in the press or investment community;
- changes in our credit ratings;
- the occurrence of any of the other risk factors included in, or incorporated by reference in, this report; and
- general market and economic conditions.

Many of the factors listed above are beyond our control. Those factors may cause the market price of our common stock or other securities to decline significantly, regardless of our financial performance and condition and prospects. It is impossible to provide any assurance that the market price of our common stock or other securities will not fall in the future, and it may be difficult for holders to sell such securities at prices they find attractive, or at all.



Competition could adversely affect the revenues generated by our Properties, resulting in a reduction in funds available for distribution to our stockholders.

There are numerous shopping facilities that compete with our Properties in attracting retailers to lease space. In addition, retailers at our Properties face competition for customers from:

- discount shopping centers;
- outlet malls;
- wholesale clubs;
- direct mail;
- television shopping networks; and
- shopping via the internet.

Each of these competitive factors could adversely affect the amount of rents and tenant reimbursements that we are able to collect from our tenants, thereby reducing our revenues and the funds available for distribution to our stockholders.

We compete with many commercial developers, real estate companies and major retailers for prime development locations and for tenants. New regional malls or other retail shopping centers with more convenient locations or better rents may attract tenants or cause them to seek more favorable lease terms at, or prior to, renewal.

Increased operating expenses and decreased occupancy rates may not allow us to recover the majority of our common area maintenance (CAM) and other operating expenses from our tenants, which could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations and funds available for future distributions.

Energy costs, repairs, maintenance and capital improvements to common areas of our Properties, janitorial services, administrative, property and liability insurance costs and security costs are typically allocable to our Properties' tenants. Our lease agreements typically provide that the tenant is liable for a portion of the CAM and other operating expenses. While historically our lease agreements provided for variable CAM provisions, the majority of our current leases require an equal periodic tenant reimbursement amount for our cost recoveries which serves to fix our tenants' CAM contributions to us. In these cases, a tenant will pay a single specified rent amount, or a set expense reimbursement amount, subject to annual increases, regardless of the actual amount of operating expenses. The tenant's payment remains the same regardless of whether operating expenses increase or decrease, causing us to be responsible for any excess amounts or to benefit from any declines. As a result, the CAM and tenant reimbursements that we receive may or may not allow us to recover a substantial portion of these operating costs.

Additionally, in the event that our Properties are not fully occupied, we would be required to pay the portion of any operating, redevelopment or renovation expenses allocable to the vacant space(s) that would otherwise typically be paid by the residing tenant(s). Our cost recovery ratio was 97.9% for 2013.

The loss of one or more significant tenants, due to bankruptcies or as a result of consolidations in the retail industry, could adversely affect both the operating revenues and value of our Properties.

Regional malls are typically anchored by well-known department stores and other significant tenants who generate shopping traffic at the mall. A decision by an anchor tenant or other significant tenant to cease operations at one or more Properties could have a material adverse effect on those Properties and, by extension, on our financial condition and results of operations. The closing of an anchor or other significant tenant may allow other anchors and/or tenants at an affected Property to terminate their leases, to seek rent relief and/or cease operating their stores or otherwise adversely affect occupancy at the Property. In addition, key tenants at one or more Properties might terminate their leases as a result of mergers, acquisitions, consolidations, dispositions or bankruptcies in the retail industry. The bankruptcy and/or closure of one or more significant tenants, if we are not able to successfully re-tenant the affected space, could have a material adverse effect on both the operating revenues and underlying value of the Properties involved, reducing the likelihood that we would be able to sell the Properties if we decided to do so, or we may be required to incur redevelopment costs in order to successfully obtain new anchors or other significant tenants when such vacancies exist.

Our Properties may be subject to impairment charges which can adversely affect our financial results.

We periodically evaluate long-lived assets to determine if there has been any impairment in their carrying values and record impairment losses if the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than their carrying amounts or if there are other indicators of impairment. If it is determined that an impairment has occurred, the amount of the impairment charge is equal to the excess of the asset's carrying value over its estimated fair value, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial results in the accounting period in which the adjustment is made. Our estimates of undiscounted



cash flows expected to be generated by each Property are based on a number of assumptions such as leasing expectations, operating budgets, estimated useful lives, future maintenance expenditures, intent to hold for use and capitalization rates. These assumptions are subject to economic and market uncertainties including, but not limited to, demand for space, competition for tenants, changes in market rental rates and costs to operate each Property. As these factors are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may alter our assumptions, the future cash flows estimated in our impairment analyses may not be achieved. For the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded a loss on impairment of real estate totaling \$75.2 million. As described in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, we recognized a total of \$5.2 million in impairment of real estate, which is included in discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of operations, related to six Properties that were sold in 2013. Additionally for the year ended December 31, 2013, as described in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements, we recorded a loss on impairment of real estate of \$67.7 million for two of our Properties, \$1.8 million related to the sale of an outparcel and \$0.5 million represents the write-down of the depreciated book value of the corporate airplane owned by the Management Company to its fair value at its trade-in date.

Inflation or deflation may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Increased inflation could have a pronounced negative impact on our mortgage and debt interest and general and administrative expenses, as these costs could increase at a rate higher than our rents. Also, inflation may adversely affect tenant leases with stated rent increases, which could be lower than the increase in inflation at any given time. Inflation could also have an adverse effect on consumer spending which could impact our tenants' sales and, in turn, our percentage rents, where applicable.

Deflation can result in a decline in general price levels, often caused by a decrease in the supply of money or credit. The predominant effects of deflation are high unemployment, credit contraction and weakened consumer demand. Restricted lending practices could impact our ability to obtain financings or refinancings for our Properties and our tenants' ability to obtain credit. Decreases in consumer demand can have a direct impact on our tenants and the rents we receive.

Certain agreements with prior owners of Properties that we have acquired may inhibit our ability to enter into future sale or refinancing transactions affecting such Properties, which otherwise would be in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders.

Certain Properties that we originally acquired from third parties had unrealized gain attributable to the difference between the fair market value of such Properties and the third parties' adjusted tax basis in the Properties immediately prior to their contribution of such Properties to the Operating Partnership pursuant to our acquisition. For this reason, a taxable sale by us of any of such Properties, or a significant reduction in the debt encumbering such Properties, could result in adverse tax consequences to the third parties who contributed these Properties in exchange for interests in the Operating Partnership. Under the terms of these transactions, we have generally agreed that we either will not sell or refinance such an acquired Property for a number of years in any transaction that would trigger adverse tax consequences for the parties from whom we acquired such Property, or else we will reimburse such parties for all or a portion of the additional taxes they are required to pay as a result of the transaction. Accordingly, these agreements may cause us not to engage in future sale or refinancing transactions affecting such Properties which otherwise would be in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders, or may increase the costs to us of engaging in such transactions.

Uninsured losses could adversely affect our financial condition, and in the future our insurance may not include coverage for acts of terrorism.

We carry a comprehensive blanket policy for general liability, property casualty (including fire, earthquake and flood) and rental loss covering all of the Properties, with specifications and insured limits customarily carried for similar properties. However, even insured losses could result in a serious disruption to our business and delay our receipt of revenue. Furthermore, there are some types of losses, including lease and other contract claims, as well as some types of environmental losses, that generally are not insured or are not economically insurable. If an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occurs, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a Property, as well as the anticipated future revenues from the Property. If this happens, we, or the applicable Property's partnership, may still remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the Property.

The general liability and property casualty insurance policies on our Properties currently include coverage for losses resulting from acts of terrorism, whether foreign or domestic. While we believe that the Properties are adequately insured in accordance with industry standards, the cost of general liability and property casualty insurance policies that include coverage for acts of terrorism has risen significantly subsequent to September 11, 2001. The cost of coverage for acts of terrorism is currently mitigated by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act ("TRIA"). If TRIA is not extended beyond its current expiration date of December 31, 2014, we may incur higher insurance costs and greater difficulty in obtaining insurance that covers terrorist-related damages. Our tenants may also experience similar difficulties.

## RISKS RELATED TO DEBT AND FINANCIAL MARKETS

A deterioration of the capital and credit markets could adversely affect our ability to access funds and the capital needed to refinance debt or obtain new debt.

We are significantly dependent upon external financing to fund the growth of our business and ensure that we meet our debt servicing requirements. Our access to financing depends on the willingness of lending institutions to grant credit to us and conditions in the capital markets in general. An economic recession may cause extreme volatility and disruption in the capital and credit markets. We rely upon our largest credit facilities as sources of funding for numerous transactions. Our access to these funds is dependent upon the ability of each of the participants to the credit facilities to meet their funding commitments. When markets are volatile, access to capital and credit markets could be disrupted over an extended period of time and many financial institutions may not have the available capital to meet their previous commitments. The failure of one or more significant participants to our credit facilities to meet their funding commitments could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. This may make it difficult to obtain the financing we may need for future growth and/or to meet our debt service obligations as they mature. Although we have successfully obtained debt for refinancings of our maturing debt, acquisitions and the construction of new developments in the past, we cannot make any assurances as to whether we will be able to obtain debt in the future, or that the financing options available to us will be on favorable or acceptable terms.

Our indebtedness is substantial and could impair our ability to obtain additional financing.

At December 31, 2013, our total share of consolidated and unconsolidated debt outstanding was approximately \$5,507.0 million, which represented approximately 56.7% of our total market capitalization at that time. Our total share of consolidated and unconsolidated debt maturing in 2014, 2015 and 2016, giving effect to all maturity extensions that are available at our election, was approximately \$196.7 million, \$709.3 million, and \$855.2 million, respectively. Our leverage could have important consequences. For example, it could:

- result in the acceleration of a significant amount of debt for non-compliance with the terms of such debt or, if such debt contains cross-default or cross-acceleration provisions, other debt;
- result in the loss of assets due to foreclosure or sale on unfavorable terms, which could create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds, which could hinder the Company's ability to meet the REIT distribution requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Code;
- materially impair our ability to borrow unused amounts under existing financing arrangements or to obtain additional financing or refinancing on favorable terms or at all;
- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow to paying principal and interest on our indebtedness, reducing the cash flow available to fund our business, to pay dividends, including those necessary to maintain our REIT qualification, or to use for other purposes;
- increase our vulnerability to an economic downturn;
- limit our ability to withstand competitive pressures; or
- reduce our flexibility to respond to changing business and economic conditions.

If any of the foregoing occurs, our business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and prospects could be materially and adversely affected, and the trading price of our common stock or other securities could decline significantly.

Rising interest rates could both increase our borrowing costs, thereby adversely affecting our cash flows and the amounts available for distributions to our stockholders, and decrease our stock price, if investors seek higher yields through other investments.

An environment of rising interest rates could lead holders of our securities to seek higher yields through other investments, which could adversely affect the market price of our stock. One of the factors that may influence the price of our stock in public markets is the annual distribution rate we pay as compared with the yields on alternative investments. Numerous other factors, such as governmental regulatory action and tax laws, could have a significant impact on the future market price of our stock. In addition, increases in market interest rates could result in increased borrowing costs for us, which may adversely affect our cash flow and the amounts available for distributions to our stockholders.

As of December 31, 2013, our total share of consolidated and unconsolidated variable rate debt was \$950.2 million. Increases in interest rates will increase our cash interest payments on the variable rate debt we have outstanding from time to time. If we do not have sufficient cash flow from operations, we might not be able to make all required payments of principal and interest on our debt, which could result in a default or have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations, and which might adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to make distributions to shareholders. These significant debt

payment obligations might also require us to use a significant portion of our cash flow from operations to make interest and principal payments on our debt rather than for other purposes such as working capital, capital expenditures or distributions on our common equity.

Adverse changes in our credit ratings could negatively affect our borrowing costs and financing ability.

In May 2013, we received an investment grade rating of Baa3 with a stable outlook from Moody's. In July 2013, we also received an issuer default rating ("IDR") of BBB- with a stable outlook and a senior unsecured notes rating of BBB- from Fitch. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain these ratings. In conjunction with the receipt of our May 2013 rating from Moody's, we made a one-time irrevocable election to use our credit rating to determine the interest rate on our three unsecured credit facilities. With this election and so long as we maintain our current credit ratings, borrowings under our three unsecured credit facilities bear interest at LIBOR plus 140 basis points. We also have an unsecured term loan that bears interest at LIBOR plus 150 basis points based on our current credit ratings. If both of our credit ratings decline, the interest rate on our unsecured credit facilities and unsecured term loan would bear interest at LIBOR plus 175 basis points and LIBOR plus 200 basis points, respectively, which would increase our borrowing costs. Additionally, a downgrade in our credit ratings may adversely impact our ability to obtain financing and limit our access to capital.

Our hedging arrangements might not be successful in limiting our risk exposure, and we might be required to incur expenses in connection with these arrangements or their termination that could harm our results of operations or financial condition.

From time to time, we use interest rate hedging arrangements to manage our exposure to interest rate volatility, but these arrangements might expose us to additional risks, such as requiring that we fund our contractual payment obligations under such arrangements in relatively large amounts or on short notice. Developing an effective interest rate risk strategy is complex, and no strategy can completely insulate us from risks associated with interest rate fluctuations. We cannot assure you that our hedging activities will have a positive impact on our results of operations or financial condition. We might be subject to additional costs, such as transaction fees or breakage costs, if we terminate these arrangements. In addition, although our interest rate risk management policy establishes minimum credit ratings for counterparties, this does not eliminate the risk that a counterparty might fail to honor its obligations, particularly given current market conditions.

The covenants in our credit facilities might adversely affect us.

Our credit facilities require us to satisfy certain affirmative and negative covenants and to meet numerous financial tests, and also contain certain default and cross-default provisions as described in more detail in Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements. Our credit facilities also restrict our ability to enter into any transaction that could result in certain changes in our ownership or structure as described under the heading "Change of Control/Change in Management" in the agreements to the credit facilities. The financial covenants under the unsecured credit facilities require, among other things, that our debt to total asset value ratio, as defined in the agreements to our unsecured credit facilities, be less than 60%, that our ratio of unencumbered asset value to unsecured indebtedness, as defined, be greater than 1.60, that our ratio of unencumbered net operating income ("NOI") to unsecured interest expense, as defined, be greater than 1.75, and that our ratio of earnings before income taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") to fixed charges (debt service), as defined, be greater than 1.50. Compliance with each of these ratios is dependent upon our financial performance. The debt to total asset value ratio is based, in part, on applying a capitalization rate to EBITDA as defined in the agreements to our credit facilities. Based on this calculation method, decreases in EBITDA would result in an increased debt to total asset value ratio, assuming overall debt levels remain constant. If any future failure to comply with one or more of these covenants resulted in the loss of these credit facilities and we were unable to obtain suitable replacement financing, such loss could have a material, adverse impact on our financial position and results of operations.

#### RISKS RELATED TO THE OPERATING PARTNERSHIP'S NOTES

CBL has no significant operations and no material assets other than its indirect investment in the Operating Partnership; therefore, the limited guarantee of the Notes does not provide material additional credit support.

The limited guarantee provides that the Notes are guaranteed by CBL for any losses suffered by reason of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the Operating Partnership or its affiliates. However, CBL has no significant operations

and no material assets other than its indirect investment in the Operating Partnership. Furthermore, the limited guarantee of the Notes is effectively subordinated to all existing and future liabilities and preferred equity of the Company's subsidiaries (including the Operating Partnership (except as to the Notes) and any entity the Company accounts for under the equity method of accounting) and any of the Company's secured debt, to the extent of the value of the assets securing any such indebtedness. Due to the narrow scope of the limited guarantee, the lack of significant operations or assets at CBL other than its indirect investment in the Operating Partnership and the structural subordination of the limited guarantee to the liabilities and any preferred equity of the Company's subsidiaries, the limited guarantee does not provide material additional credit support.

Our substantial indebtedness could materially and adversely affect us and the ability of the Operating Partnership to meet its debt service obligations under the notes.

Our level of indebtedness and the limitations imposed on us by our debt agreements could have significant adverse consequences to holders of the Notes, including the following:

our cash flow may be insufficient to meet our debt service obligations with respect to the Notes and our other indebtedness, which would enable the lenders and other debtholders to accelerate the maturity of their indebtedness, or be insufficient to fund other important business uses after meeting such obligations;

we may be unable to borrow additional funds as needed or on favorable terms;

we may be unable to refinance our indebtedness at maturity or earlier acceleration, if applicable, or the refinancing terms may be less favorable than the terms of our original indebtedness or otherwise be generally unfavorable;

because a significant portion of our debt bears interest at variable rates, increases in interest rates could materially increase our interest expense;

we may be forced to dispose of one or more of our Properties, possibly on disadvantageous terms;

we may default on our other unsecured indebtedness;

we may default on our secured indebtedness and the lenders may foreclose on our Properties or our interests in the entities that own the Properties that secure such indebtedness and receive an assignment of rents and leases; and

we may violate restrictive covenants in our debt agreements, which would entitle the lenders and other debtholders to accelerate the maturity of their indebtedness.

If any one of these events were to occur, our business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and prospects, as well as the Operating Partnership's ability to satisfy its obligations with respect to the Notes, could be materially and adversely affected. Furthermore, foreclosures could create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds, a circumstance which could hinder the Company's ability to meet the REIT distribution requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Code.

The structural subordination of the Notes may limit the Operating Partnership's ability to meet its debt service obligations under the Notes.

The Notes are the Operating Partnership's unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness and rank equally with the Operating Partnership's existing and future unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness, and are effectively junior to all liabilities and any preferred equity of the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries and to all of the Operating Partnership's indebtedness that is secured by the Operating Partnership's assets, to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness. While the indenture governing the Notes limits our ability to incur additional secured indebtedness in the future, it will not prohibit us from incurring such indebtedness if we are in compliance with certain financial ratios and other requirements at the time of its incurrence. In the event of a bankruptcy, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or similar proceeding with respect to us, the holders of any secured indebtedness will be entitled to proceed directly against the collateral that secures the secured indebtedness. Therefore, such collateral will not be available for satisfaction of any amounts owed under our unsecured indebtedness, including the Notes, until such secured indebtedness is satisfied in full.

The Notes also are effectively subordinated to all liabilities, whether secured or unsecured, and any preferred equity of the subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership. In the event of a bankruptcy, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or similar proceeding with respect to any such subsidiary, the Operating Partnership, as an equity owner of such subsidiary, and therefore holders of our debt, including the Notes, will be subject to the prior claims of such subsidiary's creditors, including trade creditors, and preferred equity holders. Furthermore, while the indenture governing the Notes limits the ability of our subsidiaries to incur additional unsecured indebtedness in the future, it does not prohibit our subsidiaries from incurring such indebtedness if such subsidiaries are in compliance with certain financial ratios and other requirements at the time of its incurrence.

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow to meet our debt service obligations.

Our ability to meet our debt service obligations on and to refinance our indebtedness and to fund our operations, working capital, acquisitions, capital expenditures and other important business uses, depends on our ability to generate sufficient cash flow in the future. To a certain extent, our cash flow is subject to general economic, industry, financial, competitive, operating, legislative, regulatory and other factors, many of which are beyond our control.

We cannot be certain that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations or that future sources of cash will be available to us in an amount sufficient to enable us to meet our debt service obligations on our indebtedness, including the Notes, or to fund our other important business uses. Additionally, if we incur additional indebtedness in connection with future acquisitions or development projects or for any other purpose, our debt service obligations could increase significantly and our



ability to meet those obligations could depend, in large part, on the returns from such acquisitions or projects, as to which no assurance can be given.

We may need to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness at or prior to maturity. Our ability to refinance our indebtedness or obtain additional financing will depend on, among other things:

- our financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and prospects and market conditions at the time; and
- restrictions in the agreements governing our indebtedness.

As a result, we may not be able to refinance any of our indebtedness, on favorable terms, or at all.

If we do not generate sufficient cash flow from operations, and additional borrowings or refinancings are not available to us, we may be unable to meet all of our debt service obligations. As a result, we would be forced to take other actions to meet those obligations, such as selling Properties, raising equity or delaying capital expenditures, any of which could have a material adverse effect on us. Furthermore, we cannot be certain that we will be able to effect any of these actions on favorable terms, or at all.

Despite our substantial outstanding indebtedness, we may still incur significantly more indebtedness in the future, which would exacerbate any or all of the risks described above.

We may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. Although the agreements governing our revolving credit facilities, term loans and certain other indebtedness do, and the indenture governing the Notes does, limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to a number of qualifications and exceptions and, under certain circumstances, debt incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. To the extent that we incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future, the risks associated with our substantial leverage described above, including our inability to meet our debt service obligations, would be exacerbated.

Federal and state statutes allow courts, under specific circumstances, to void guarantees and require holders of indebtedness and lenders to return payments received from guarantors.

Under the federal bankruptcy law and comparable provisions of state fraudulent transfer laws, a guarantee, such as the limited guarantee provided by CBL or any future guarantee of the Notes issued by any subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, could be voided and required to be returned to the guarantor, or to a fund for the benefit of the creditors of the guarantor, if, among other things, the guarantor, at the time it incurred the indebtedness evidenced by its guarantee (i) received less than reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration for the incurrence of the guarantee and (ii) one of the following was true with respect to the guarantor:

- was insolvent or rendered insolvent by reason of the incurrence of the guarantee;
- was engaged in a business or transaction for which the guarantor's remaining assets constituted unreasonably small capital; or
- intended to incur, or believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay those debts as they mature.

In addition, any claims in respect of a guarantee could be subordinated to all other debts of that guarantor under principles of "equitable subordination," which generally require that the claimant must have engaged in some type of inequitable conduct, the misconduct must have resulted in injury to the creditors of the debtor or conferred an unfair advantage on the claimant, and equitable subordination must not be inconsistent with other provisions of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code.

The measures of insolvency for purposes of these fraudulent transfer laws will vary depending upon the law applied in any proceeding to determine whether a fraudulent transfer has occurred. Generally, however, a guarantor would be considered insolvent if:

- the sum of its debts, including contingent liabilities, was greater than the fair saleable value of all of its assets;
- the present fair saleable value of its assets was less than the amount that would be required to pay its probable liability on its existing debts, including contingent liabilities, as they became absolute and mature; or
- it could not pay its debts as they become due.

The court might also void such guarantee, without regard to the above factors, if it found that a guarantor entered into its guarantee with actual or deemed intent to hinder, delay, or defraud its creditors.

A court would likely find that a guarantor did not receive reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration for its guarantee unless it benefited directly or indirectly from the issuance or incurrence of such indebtedness. This risk may be increased if any subsidiary of the Operating Partnership guarantees the Notes in the future, as no additional

consideration would be received at the time such guarantee is issued. If a court voided such guarantee, holders of the indebtedness and lenders would no longer have a

18

---

claim against such guarantor or the benefit of the assets of such guarantor constituting collateral that purportedly secured such guarantee. In addition, the court might direct holders of the indebtedness and lenders to repay any amounts already received from a guarantor.

The indenture governing the Notes contains restrictive covenants that may restrict our ability to expand or fully pursue certain of our business strategies.

The indenture governing the Notes contains financial and operating covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability to take specific actions, even if we believe them to be in our best interest, including, subject to various exceptions, restrictions on our ability to:

- consummate a merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets; and
- incur secured and unsecured indebtedness.

In addition, our revolving credit facilities, term loans and certain other debt agreements require us to meet specified financial ratios and the indenture governing the Notes requires us to maintain at all times a specified ratio of unencumbered assets to unsecured debt. These covenants may restrict our ability to expand or fully pursue our business strategies. Our ability to comply with these and other provisions of the indenture governing the Notes, our revolving credit facility and certain other debt agreements may be affected by changes in our operating and financial performance, changes in general business and economic conditions, adverse regulatory developments or other events beyond our control. The breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under our indebtedness, which could result in the acceleration of the maturity of such indebtedness. If any of our indebtedness is accelerated prior to maturity, we may not be able to repay such indebtedness or refinance such indebtedness on favorable terms, or at all. There is no prior public market for the Notes, so if an active trading market does not develop or is not maintained for the Notes, holders of the Notes may not be able to resell them on favorable terms when desired, or at all.

Prior to the offering, there was no public market for the Notes and we cannot be certain that an active trading market will ever develop for the Notes or, if one develops, will be maintained. Furthermore, we do not intend to apply for listing of the Notes on any securities exchange or for quotation of the Notes on any automated dealer quotation system. The underwriters informed us that they intend to make a market in the Notes. However, the underwriters may cease their market making at any time without notice to or the consent of existing holders of the Notes. The lack of a trading market could adversely affect a holder's ability to sell the Notes when desired, or at all, and the price at which a holder may be able to sell the Notes. The liquidity of the trading market, if any, and future trading prices of the Notes will depend on many factors, including, among other things, prevailing interest rates, our financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and prospects, the market for similar securities and the overall securities market, and may be adversely affected by unfavorable changes in these factors. It is possible that the market for the Notes will be subject to disruptions which may have a negative effect on the holders of the Notes, regardless of our financial condition, liquidity, results of operations or prospects.

#### RISKS RELATED TO GEOGRAPHIC CONCENTRATIONS

Since our Properties are located principally in the Southeastern and Midwestern United States, our financial position, results of operations and funds available for distribution to shareholders are subject generally to economic conditions in these regions.

Our Properties are located principally in the southeastern and midwestern United States. Our Properties located in the southeastern United States accounted for approximately 45.9% of our total revenues from all Properties for the year ended December 31, 2013 and currently include 40 malls, 16 associated centers, 9 community centers and 12 office buildings. Our Properties located in the midwestern United States accounted for approximately 32.0% of our total revenues from all Properties for the year ended December 31, 2013 and currently include 27 malls and 4 associated centers. Our results of operations and funds available for distribution to shareholders therefore will be subject generally to economic conditions in the southeastern and midwestern United States. While we already have Properties located in eight states across the southwestern, northeastern and western regions, we will continue to look for opportunities to geographically diversify our portfolio in order to minimize dependency on any particular region; however, the expansion of the portfolio through both acquisitions and developments is contingent on many factors including consumer demand, competition and economic conditions.

Our financial position, results of operations and funds available for distribution to shareholders could be adversely affected by any economic downturn affecting the operating results at our Properties in the St. Louis, MO; Chattanooga, TN; Madison, WI; Lexington, KY; and Winston-Salem, NC metropolitan areas, which are our five largest markets.

Our Properties located in the St. Louis, MO; Chattanooga, TN; Madison, WI; Lexington, KY; and Winston-Salem, NC metropolitan areas accounted for approximately 8.1%, 3.8%, 3.4%, 2.8% and 2.6%, respectively, of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013. No other market accounted for more than 2.4% of our total revenues for the year ended

December 31, 2013. Our financial position and results of operations will therefore be affected by the results experienced at Properties located in these metropolitan areas.

#### RISKS RELATED TO INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENTS

Ownership interests in investments or joint ventures outside the United States present numerous risks that differ from those of our domestic investments.

International development and ownership activities yield additional risks that differ from those related to our domestic properties and operations. These additional risks include, but are not limited to:

- impact of adverse changes in exchange rates of foreign currencies;
- difficulties in the repatriation of cash and earnings;
- differences in managerial styles and customs;
- changes in applicable laws and regulations in the United States that affect foreign operations;
- changes in foreign political, legal and economic environments; and
- differences in lending practices.

Our international activities are currently limited in their scope. We have an investment in a mall operating and real estate development company in China that is immaterial to our consolidated financial position. However, should our investments in international joint ventures or investments grow, these additional risks could increase in significance and adversely affect our results of operations.

#### RISKS RELATED TO DIVIDENDS

We may change the dividend policy for our common stock in the future.

Depending upon our liquidity needs, we reserve the right to pay any or all of a dividend in a combination of cash and shares of common stock, to the extent permitted by any applicable revenue procedures of the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). In the event that we pay a portion of our dividends in shares of our common stock pursuant to such procedures, taxable U.S. stockholders would be required to pay tax on the entire amount of the dividend, including the portion paid in shares of common stock, in which case such stockholders may have to use cash from other sources to pay such tax. If a U.S. stockholder sells the common stock it receives as a dividend in order to pay its taxes, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of our common stock at the time of the sale. Furthermore, with respect to non-U.S. stockholders, we may be required to withhold federal tax with respect to our dividends, including dividends that are paid in common stock. In addition, if a significant number of our stockholders sell shares of our common stock in order to pay taxes owed on dividends, such sales would put downward pressure on the market price of our common stock.

The decision to declare and pay dividends on our common stock in the future, as well as the timing, amount and composition of any such future dividends, will be at the sole discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on our earnings, taxable income, funds from operations, liquidity, financial condition, capital requirements, contractual prohibitions or other limitations under our indebtedness and preferred stock, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, Delaware law and such other factors as our Board of Directors deems relevant. Any dividends payable will be determined by our Board of Directors based upon the circumstances at the time of declaration. Any change in our dividend policy could have a material adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

Since we conduct substantially all of our operations through our Operating Partnership, our ability to pay dividends on our common and preferred stock depends on the distributions we receive from our Operating Partnership.

Because we conduct substantially all of our operations through our Operating Partnership, our ability to pay dividends on our common and preferred stock will depend almost entirely on payments and distributions we receive on our interests in our Operating Partnership. Additionally, the terms of some of the debt to which our Operating Partnership is a party may limit its ability to make some types of payments and other distributions to us. This in turn may limit our ability to make some types of payments, including payment of dividends to our stockholders, unless we meet certain financial tests. As a result, if our Operating Partnership fails to pay distributions to us, we generally will not be able to pay dividends to our stockholders for one or more dividend periods.



## RISKS RELATED TO FEDERAL INCOME TAX LAWS

We conduct a portion of our business through taxable REIT subsidiaries, which are subject to certain tax risks. We have established several taxable REIT subsidiaries including our Management Company. Despite our qualification as a REIT, our taxable REIT subsidiaries must pay income tax on their taxable income. In addition, we must comply with various tests to continue to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, and our income from and investments in our taxable REIT subsidiaries generally do not constitute permissible income and investments for these tests. While we will attempt to ensure that our dealings with our taxable REIT subsidiaries will not adversely affect our REIT qualification, we cannot provide assurance that we will successfully achieve that result. Furthermore, we may be subject to a 100% penalty tax, or our taxable REIT subsidiaries may be denied deductions, to the extent our dealings with our taxable REIT subsidiaries are not deemed to be arm's length in nature.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, our funds available for distribution to stockholders will be reduced. We intend to continue to operate so as to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code. Although we believe that we are organized and operate in such a manner, no assurance can be given that we currently qualify and in the future will continue to qualify as a REIT. Such qualification involves the application of highly technical and complex Internal Revenue Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial or administrative interpretations. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control may affect our ability to qualify. In addition, no assurance can be given that legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions will not significantly change the tax laws with respect to qualification or its corresponding federal income tax consequences. Any such change could have a retroactive effect.

If in any taxable year we were to fail to qualify as a REIT, we would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to stockholders in computing our taxable income and we would be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates. Unless entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, we also would be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which qualification was lost. As a result, the funds available for distribution to our stockholders would be reduced for each of the years involved. This would likely have a significant adverse effect on the value of our securities and our ability to raise additional capital. In addition, we would no longer be required to make distributions to our stockholders. We currently intend to operate in a manner designed to qualify as a REIT. However, it is possible that future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause our Board of Directors, with the consent of a majority of our stockholders, to revoke the REIT election. Any issuance or transfer of our capital stock to any person in excess of the applicable limits on ownership necessary to maintain our status as a REIT would be deemed void ab initio, and those shares would automatically be transferred to a non-affiliated charitable trust.

To maintain our status as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, not more than 50% in value of our outstanding capital stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Internal Revenue Code to include certain entities) at any time during the last half of a taxable year. Our certificate of incorporation generally prohibits ownership of more than 6% of the outstanding shares of our capital stock by any single stockholder determined by vote, value or number of shares (other than Charles Lebovitz, Executive Chairman of our Board of Directors and our former Chief Executive Officer, David Jacobs, Richard Jacobs and their affiliates under the Internal Revenue Code's attribution rules). The affirmative vote of 66 2/3% of our outstanding voting stock is required to amend this provision.

Our Board of Directors may, subject to certain conditions, waive the applicable ownership limit upon receipt of a ruling from the IRS or an opinion of counsel to the effect that such ownership will not jeopardize our status as a REIT. Absent any such waiver, however, any issuance or transfer of our capital stock to any person in excess of the applicable ownership limit or any issuance or transfer of shares of such stock which would cause us to be beneficially owned by fewer than 100 persons, will be null and void and the intended transferee will acquire no rights to the stock. Instead, such issuance or transfer with respect to that number of shares that would be owned by the transferee in excess of the ownership limit provision would be deemed void ab initio and those shares would automatically be transferred to a trust for the exclusive benefit of a charitable beneficiary to be designated by us, with a trustee designated by us, but who would not be affiliated with us or with the prohibited owner. Any acquisition of our capital stock and continued holding or ownership of our capital stock constitutes, under our certificate of incorporation, a

continuous representation of compliance with the applicable ownership limit.

In order to maintain our status as a REIT and avoid the imposition of certain additional taxes under the Internal Revenue Code, we must satisfy minimum requirements for distributions to shareholders, which may limit the amount of cash we might otherwise have been able to retain for use in growing our business.

To maintain our status as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, we generally will be required each year to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our taxable income after certain adjustments. However, to the extent that we do not distribute all of our net capital gains or distribute at least 90% but less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, as adjusted, we will be



subject to tax on the undistributed amount at regular corporate tax rates, as the case may be. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which certain distributions paid by us during each calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of our ordinary income for such calendar year, 95% of our capital gain net income for the calendar year and any amount of such income that was not distributed in prior years. In the case of property acquisitions, including our initial formation, where individual Properties are contributed to our Operating Partnership for Operating Partnership units, we have assumed the tax basis and depreciation schedules of the entities contributing Properties. The relatively low tax basis of such contributed Properties may have the effect of increasing the cash amounts we are required to distribute as dividends, thereby potentially limiting the amount of cash we might otherwise have been able to retain for use in growing our business. This low tax basis may also have the effect of reducing or eliminating the portion of distributions made by us that are treated as a non-taxable return of capital. Complying with REIT requirements might cause us to forego otherwise attractive opportunities.

In order to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we must satisfy tests concerning, among other things, our sources of income, the nature of our assets, the amounts we distribute to our shareholders and the ownership of our stock. We may also be required to make distributions to our shareholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution. Thus, compliance with REIT requirements may cause us to forego opportunities we would otherwise pursue. In addition, the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code impose a 100% tax on income from “prohibited transactions.” “Prohibited transactions” generally include sales of assets that constitute inventory or other property held for sale in the ordinary course of business, other than foreclosure property. This 100% tax could impact our desire to sell assets and other investments at otherwise opportune times if we believe such sales could be considered “prohibited transactions.”

Our holding company structure makes us dependent on distributions from the Operating Partnership.

Because we conduct our operations through the Operating Partnership, our ability to service our debt obligations and pay dividends to our shareholders is strictly dependent upon the earnings and cash flows of the Operating Partnership and the ability of the Operating Partnership to make distributions to us. Under the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act, the Operating Partnership is prohibited from making any distribution to us to the extent that at the time of the distribution, after giving effect to the distribution, all liabilities of the Operating Partnership (other than some non-recourse liabilities and some liabilities to the partners) exceed the fair value of the assets of the Operating Partnership. Additionally, the terms of some of the debt to which our Operating Partnership is a party may limit its ability to make some types of payments and other distributions to us. This in turn may limit our ability to make some types of payments, including payment of dividends on our outstanding capital stock, unless we meet certain financial tests or such payments or dividends are required to maintain our qualification as a REIT or to avoid the imposition of any federal income or excise tax on undistributed income. Any inability to make cash distributions from the Operating Partnership could jeopardize our ability to pay dividends on our outstanding shares of capital stock and to maintain qualification as a REIT.

#### RISKS RELATED TO OUR ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

The ownership limit described above, as well as certain provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, amended and restated bylaws, and certain provisions of Delaware law, may hinder any attempt to acquire us.

There are certain provisions of Delaware law, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, our amended and restated bylaws, and other agreements to which we are a party that may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us. These provisions may also inhibit a change in control that some, or a majority, of our stockholders might believe to be in their best interest or that could give our stockholders the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market prices for their shares. These provisions and agreements are summarized as follows:

¶ **The Ownership Limit** – As described above, to maintain our status as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, not more than 50% in value of our outstanding capital stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Internal Revenue Code to include certain entities) during the last half of a taxable year. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation generally prohibits ownership of more than 6% of the outstanding shares of our capital stock by any single stockholder determined by value (other than Charles Lebovitz,

David Jacobs, Richard Jacobs and their affiliates under the Internal Revenue Code's attribution rules). In addition to preserving our status as a REIT, the ownership limit may have the effect of precluding an acquisition of control of us without the approval of our Board of Directors.

Removal for Cause – Our stockholders can only remove directors for cause and only by a vote of 75% of the outstanding voting stock. This provision makes it more difficult to change the composition of our Board of Directors and may have the effect of encouraging persons considering unsolicited tender offers or other unilateral takeover proposals to negotiate with our Board of Directors rather than pursue non-negotiated takeover attempts.

**Advance Notice Requirements for Stockholder Proposals** – Our amended and restated bylaws establish advance notice procedures with regard to stockholder proposals relating to the nomination of candidates for election as directors or new business to be brought before meetings of our stockholders. These procedures generally require advance written notice of any such proposals, containing prescribed information, to be given to our Secretary at our principal executive offices not less than 90 days or no more than 120 days prior to the meeting.

**Vote Required to Amend Bylaws** – A vote of 66<sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub>% of our outstanding voting stock (in addition to any separate approval that may be required by the holders of any particular class of stock) is necessary for stockholders to amend our bylaws.

**Delaware Anti-Takeover Statute** – We are a Delaware corporation and are subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. In general, Section 203 prevents an “interested stockholder” (defined generally as a person owning 15% or more of a company's outstanding voting stock) from engaging in a “business combination” (as defined in Section 203) with us for three years following the date that person becomes an interested stockholder unless:

- (a) before that person became an interested holder, our Board of Directors approved the transaction in which the interested holder became an interested stockholder or approved the business combination;
  - upon completion of the transaction that resulted in the interested stockholder becoming an interested stockholder, the interested stockholder owns 85% of our voting stock outstanding at the time the transaction commenced
- (b) (excluding stock held by directors who are also officers and by employee stock plans that do not provide employees with the right to determine confidentially whether shares held subject to the plan will be tendered in a tender or exchange offer); or
- (c) following the transaction in which that person became an interested stockholder, the business combination is approved by our Board of Directors and authorized at a meeting of stockholders by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least two-thirds of our outstanding voting stock not owned by the interested stockholder.

Under Section 203, these restrictions also do not apply to certain business combinations proposed by an interested stockholder following the announcement or notification of certain extraordinary transactions involving us and a person who was not an interested stockholder during the previous three years or who became an interested stockholder with the approval of a majority of our directors, if that extraordinary transaction is approved or not opposed by a majority of the directors who were directors before any person became an interested stockholder in the previous three years or who were recommended for election or elected to succeed such directors by a majority of directors then in office.

Certain ownership interests held by members of our senior management may tend to create conflicts of interest between such individuals and the interests of the Company and our Operating Partnership.

**Tax Consequences of the Sale or Refinancing of Certain Properties** – Since certain of our Properties had unrealized gain attributable to the difference between the fair market value and adjusted tax basis in such Properties immediately prior to their contribution to the Operating Partnership, a taxable sale of any such Properties, or a significant reduction in the debt encumbering such Properties, could cause adverse tax consequences to the members of our senior management who owned interests in our predecessor entities. As a result, members of our senior management might not favor a sale of a Property or a significant reduction in debt even though such a sale or reduction could be beneficial to us and the Operating Partnership. Our amended and restated bylaws provide that any decision relating to the potential sale of any Property that would result in a disproportionately higher taxable income for members of our senior management than for us and our stockholders, or that would result in a significant reduction in such Property's debt, must be made by a majority of the independent directors of the Board of Directors. The Operating Partnership is required, in the case of such a sale, to distribute to its partners, at a minimum, all of the net cash proceeds from such sale up to an amount reasonably believed necessary to enable members of our senior management to pay any income tax liability arising from such sale.

**Interests in Other Entities; Policies of the Board of Directors** – Certain entities owned in whole or in part by members of our senior management, including the construction company that built or renovated most of our Properties, may continue to perform services for, or transact business with, us and the Operating Partnership. Furthermore, certain Property tenants are affiliated with members of our senior management. Our amended and restated bylaws provide

that any contract or transaction between us or the Operating Partnership and one or more of our directors or officers, or between us or the Operating Partnership and any other entity in which one or more of our directors or officers are directors or officers or have a financial interest, must be approved by our disinterested directors or stockholders after the material facts of the relationship or interest of the contract or transaction are disclosed or are known to them. Our code of business conduct and ethics also contains provisions governing the approval of certain transactions involving the Company and employees (or immediate family members of employees, as defined therein) that are not subject to the provision of the amended and restated bylaws described above. Such transactions are also subject to the Company's related party transactions policy in the manner and to the extent detailed in the proxy statement filed with the SEC for the Company's 2012 annual meeting. Nevertheless, these affiliations could create conflicts between the interests of these members of senior management and

the interests of the Company, our shareholders and the Operating Partnership in relation to any transactions between us and any of these entities.

#### ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in [Item 7](#) for additional information pertaining to the Properties' performance.

##### Malls

We owned a controlling interest in 75 Malls and non-controlling interests in 9 Malls as of December 31, 2013. The Malls are primarily located in middle markets and generally have strong competitive positions because they are the only, or the dominant, regional mall in their respective trade areas. The Malls are generally anchored by two or more department stores and a wide variety of mall stores. Anchor tenants own or lease their stores and non-anchor stores lease their locations. Additional freestanding stores and restaurants that either own or lease their stores are typically located along the perimeter of the Malls' parking areas.

We classify our regional Malls into three categories:

(1) Stabilized Malls - Malls that have completed their initial lease-up and have been open for more than three complete calendar years.

Non-stabilized Malls - Malls that are in their initial lease-up phase. After three complete calendar years of operation, they are reclassified on January 1 of the fourth calendar year to the stabilized Mall category. The Outlet

(2) Shoppes at Atlanta, which opened in July 2013, and The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City, which opened in August 2011, were classified as non-stabilized Malls as of December 31, 2013. The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City was our only non-stabilized Mall as of December 31, 2012.

Non-core Malls - Malls where we have determined that the current format of the Property no longer represents the best use of the Property and we are in the process of evaluating alternative strategies for the Property, which may include major redevelopment or an alternative retail or non-retail format, or after evaluating alternative strategies for the Property, we have determined that the Property no longer meets our criteria for long-term investment.

Similar criteria apply to the classification of an Associated Center or Community Center as a non-core Property.

(3) Columbia Place, Citadel Mall, Chapel Hill Mall and Madison Square were classified as non-core Malls as of December 31, 2013. Additionally, Madison Plaza, an Associated Center adjacent to Madison Square, was classified as a non-core Property as of December 31, 2013. Columbia Place was our only non-core Mall as of December 31, 2012. The steps taken to reposition non-core Properties, such as signing tenants to short-term leases, which are not included in occupancy percentages, or leasing to regional or local tenants, which typically do not report sales, may lead to metrics which do not provide relevant information related to the condition of non-core Properties. Therefore, traditional performance measures, such as occupancy percentages and leasing metrics, exclude non-core Properties.

We own the land underlying each Mall in fee simple interest, except for Walnut Square, WestGate Mall, St. Clair Square, Brookfield Square, Bonita Lakes Mall, Meridian Mall, Stroud Mall, Wausau Center, Chapel Hill Mall and Eastgate Mall. We lease all or a portion of the land at each of these Malls subject to long-term ground leases.

The following table sets forth certain information for each of the Malls as of December 31, 2013:

Mall / Location	Year of Opening/ Acquisition	Year of Most Recent Expansion	Our Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Mall Store GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Mall Store Sales per Square Foot <sup>(3)</sup>	Percentage Mall Store GLA Leased <sup>(4)</sup>	Percentage Anchors & Junior Anchors
<b>TIER 1</b>								
Sales > \$375.00 per square foot								
Acadiana Mall Lafayette, LA	1979/2005	2004	100	% 992,598	300,335	\$443	100	% Dillard's, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
CoolSprings Galleria Nashville, TN	1991	1994	50	% 1,117,305	362,669	464	100	% Belk, Dillard's, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears <sup>(5)</sup>
Cross Creek Mall Fayetteville, NC	1975/2003	2013	100	% 1,024,477	288,584	515	97	% Belk, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
Dakota Square Mall Minot, ND	1980/2012	2008	100	% 815,288	161,477	486	99	% Barnes & Noble, Carmike Cinema, Herberger's, JC Penney, Scheels, Sears, Sleep Inn & Suites - Splashdown Dakota Super Slides, Target Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears <sup>(5)</sup>
Fayette Mall Lexington, KY	1971/2001	1993	100	% 1,183,900	355,849	565	100	% Barnes & Noble, Belk, The Grande Cinema, Harris Teeter, Macy's, REI, Sears, Whole Foods
Friendly Shopping Center and The Shops at Friendly Greensboro, NC	1957/ 2006/ 2007	2008	50	% 1,110,670	491,101	451	94	% Barnes & Noble, Belk, The Grande Cinema, Harris Teeter, Macy's, REI, Sears, Whole Foods
Hamilton Place Chattanooga, TN	1987	1998	90	% 1,162,041	334,662	405	99	% Barnes & Noble, Belk for Men, Kids & Home, Belk for Women, Dillard's for Men,

Imperial Valley Mall El Centro, CA	2005	N/A	100	%	825,806	212,689	387	97	%	Kids & Home, Dillard's for Women, Forever 21, JC Penney, Sears Cinemark, Dillard's, JC Penney, Kohl's, Macy's, Sears Herberger's, Keating Furniture, JC Penney, Scheels, Target Beall's <sup>(6)</sup> , Cinemark, Dillard's, Foot Locker, Forever 21, JC Penney, Joe Brand, Macy's, Macy's Home Store, Sears Academy Sports & Outdoors, Barnes & Noble, Dillard's for Women, Dillard's for Men, Children & Home, H&M, JC Penney, Macy's, Nordstrom, XXI Forever Dillard's for Men & Children, Dillard's for Women & Home, XXI Forever
Kirkwood Mall Bismarck, ND	1970/2012	2002	100	%	849,489	233,920	381	86	%	
Mall del Norte Laredo, TX	1977/2004	1993	100	%	1,168,289	406,311	563	96	%	
Oak Park Mall Overland Park, KS	1974/2005	1998	50	%	1,606,891	430,764	441	99	%	
Park Plaza Little Rock, AR	1988/2004	N/A	100	%	540,859	237,109	388	95	%	
St. Clair Square <sup>(7)</sup> Fairview Heights, IL	1974/1996	1993	100	%	1,077,325	300,070	388	100	%	Dillard's, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Mall / Location	Year of Opening/ Acquisition	Year of Most Recent Expansion	Our Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Mall Store GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Mall Store Sales per Square Foot <sup>(3)</sup>	Percentage Mall Store GLA Leased <sup>(4)</sup>	Anchors & Junior Anchors
Sunrise Mall Brownsville, TX	1979/2003	2000	100	% 755,618	240,861	427	92	% A'gaci, Beall's <sup>(6)</sup> , Cinemark, Dillard's, JC Penney, Sears
The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso El Paso, TX	2007/2012	N/A	75	% 378,955	378,955	391	99	% None
West County Center Des Peres, MO	1969/2007	2002	50	% 1,237,955	366,072	448	99	% Barnes & Noble, Forever 21, Dick's Sporting Goods, JC Penney, Macy's, Nordstrom Boston Store, Dick's Sporting Goods, JC Penney, Sears, XXI Forever
West Towne Mall Madison, WI	1970/2001	2013	100	% 828,750	271,278	522	96	% Goods, JC Penney, Sears, XXI Forever
Total Tier 1 Malls				16,676,216	5,372,706	\$454	98	%
TIER 2								
Sales of \$300.01 to \$375.00 per square foot								
Arbor Place Atlanta (Douglasville), GA	1999	N/A	100	% 1,163,310	308,880	\$350	97	% Bed Bath & Beyond, Belk, Dillard's, Forever 21, H & M, JC Penney, Macy's, Regal Cinemas, Sears
Asheville Mall Asheville, NC	1972/1998	2000	100	% 973,707	287,752	357	98	% Barnes & Noble, Belk, Dillard's for Men, Children & Home, Dillard's for Women, JC Penney, Sears
Brookfield Square <sup>(8)</sup> Brookfield, WI	1967/2001	2008	100	% 1,000,568	282,388	367	100	% Barnes & Noble, Boston Store, JC Penney, Sears
Burnsville Center Burnsville, MN	1977/1998	N/A	100	% 1,044,658	401,303	336	96	% Dick's Sporting Goods, Gordmans, JC



Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

CherryVale Mall Rockford, IL	1973/2001	2007	100	%	847,066	332,481	354	98	%	Penney, Macy's, Sears Barnes & Noble, Bergner's, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears Bed Bath & Beyond, Belk, Cinemark
Coastal Grand-Myrtle Beach Myrtle Beach, SC	2004	2007	50	%	1,038,524	342,549	355	98	%	Theater, Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, JC Penney, Sears Barnes & Noble, Boston Store, Dick's Sporting Goods, Gordman's, JC Penney, Sears, Steinhafels Dillard's, JC
East Towne Mall Madison, WI	1971/2001	2004	100	%	796,439	237,715	325	97	%	Penney, Kohl's, Sears Bergner's, JC Penney, Kohl's, Macy's, Sears Carmike Cinema, Dillard's for Women, Dillard's for Men, Kids & Home, JC Penney, Sears, Sports Authority Belk, Best Buy, Carmike Cinema, Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, JC Penney, Ross, Sears Dillard's, JC
EastGate Mall <sup>(9)</sup> Cincinnati, OH	1980/2003	1995	100	%	850,714	270,002	317	81	%	Penney, Kohl's, Sears
Eastland Mall Bloomington, IL	1967/2005	N/A	100	%	760,515	220,860	320	99	%	Penney, Kohl's, Macy's, Sears Carmike Cinema, Dillard's for Women, Dillard's for Men, Kids & Home, JC Penney, Sears, Sports Authority Belk, Best Buy, Carmike Cinema, Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, JC Penney, Ross, Sears
Frontier Mall Cheyenne, WY	1981	1997	100	%	526,036	181,166	313	94	%	Dillard's, JC Penney, Jillian's, Macy's, Sears
Governor's Square Clarksville, TN	1986	1999	47.5	%	738,147	250,623	374	90	%	Babies R Us, Bass Pro Shops, Belk, Best Buy, Dick's Sporting Goods, Glowgolf, Homegoods, JC Penney, Jo-Ann Fabrics & Crafts, LA Fitness,
Greenbrier Mall Chesapeake, VA	1981/2004	2004	100	%	896,582	267,563	324	94	%	
Gulf Coast Town Center Ft. Myers, FL	2005	2007	50	%	1,235,171	312,277	318	90	%	

Marshall's, Regal  
Cinema, Ross,  
Staples,  
SuperTarget

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Mall / Location	Year of Opening/ Acquisition	Year of Most Recent Expansion	Our Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Mall Store GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Mall Store Sales per Square Foot <sup>(3)</sup>	Percentage Mall Store GLA Leased <sup>(4)</sup>	Anchor & Junior Anchors
Hanes Mall Winston-Salem, NC	1975/2001	1990	100	% 1,504,704	503,578	344	99	% Belk, Dillard's, Encore, H&M, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
Harford Mall Bel Air, MD	1973/2003	2007	100	% 505,341	181,165	368	100	% Encore, Macy's, Sears
Honey Creek Mall Terre Haute, IN	1968/2004	1981	100	% 677,207	185,692	352	97	% Carson's, Encore, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
Jefferson Mall Louisville, KY	1978/2001	1999	100	% 903,093	250,199	368	98	% Dillard's, JC Penney, Macy's, Ross, Sears
Laurel Park Place Livonia, MI	1989/2005	1994	100	% 490,091	191,281	342	99	% Carson's, Von Maur
Layton Hills Mall Layton, UT	1980/2006	1998	100	% 636,917	209,212	370	99	% Dick's Sporting Goods, JC Penney, Macy's, former Mervyn's (one level vacant)
Northpark Mall Joplin, MO	1972/2004	1996	100	% 955,598	274,747	303	91	% Hollywood Theater, JC Penney, Jo-Ann Fabrics & Crafts, Joplin High School, Macy's Men & Home, Macy's Women & Children, Sears, Tilt, T.J. Maxx, V-Stock Belk,
Northwoods Mall Charleston, SC	1972/2001	1995	100	% 772,567	269,448	340	98	% Books-A-Million, Dillard's, JC Penney, Sears
Old Hickory Mall Jackson, TN	1967/2001	1994	100	% 538,990	161,895	335	99	% Belk, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
Parkdale Mall Beaumont, TX	1972/2001	1986	100	% 1,247,523	331,314	343	88	% Ashley Furniture, Beall's (9), Books-A-Million, Dillard's, JC Penney, Kaplan College, Hollywood Theater, Macy's, Marshall's, Sears,

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Parkway Place Huntsville, AL	1957/1998	2002	100	%	648,210	272,385	338	98	%	XXI Forever Belk, Dillard's
Post Oak Mall College Station, TX	1982	1985	100	%	774,921	287,396	370	83	%	Beall's (9), Dillard's Men & Home, Dillard's Women & Children, Encore, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears Beall's (6), Dillard's for Men, Kids & Home, Dillard's for Women, JC Penney, Sears, XXI Forever Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
Richland Mall Waco, TX	1980/2002	1996	100	%	685,317	204,092	348	91	%	Home, Dillard's for Women, JC Penney, Sears, XXI Forever Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
South County Center St. Louis, MO	1963/2007	2001	100	%	1,019,727	312,366	362	92	%	Bed Bath & Beyond, Dillard's, Gordman's, HH Gregg, JC Penney Dick's Sporting Goods, JC Penney, Macy's, Regal Cinema, Sears
Southaven Towne Center Southaven, MS	2005	2013	100	%	529,089	145,994	317	97	%	Saks Fifth Ave OFF FIFTH
Southpark Mall Colonial Heights, VA	1989/2003	2007	100	%	687,613	244,353	338	95	%	Saks Fifth Ave OFF FIFTH
The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta * Woodstock, GA	2013	N/A	75	%	371,098	346,291	N/A	(10)96	%	Barnes & Noble, Belk, Dillard's, Macy's, Sak's Fifth Avenue, Sears Belk, Dillard's, Garden Ridge, JC Penney, Sears, Stein Mart, United Artist Theater
The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City * Oklahoma City, OK	2011	2012	75	%	376,422	349,474	352	100	%	
Triangle Town Center Raleigh, NC	2002/2005	N/A	50	%	1,263,694	428,225	308	92	%	
Turtle Creek Mall Hattiesburg, MS	1994	1995	100	%	845,665	192,278	329	97	%	

## Edgar Filing: CBL &amp; ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Mall / Location	Year of Opening/ Acquisition	Year of Most Recent Expansion	Our Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Mall Store GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Mall Store Sales per Square Foot <sup>(3)</sup>	Percentage Mall Store GLA Leased <sup>(4)</sup>	Percentage	Anchors & Junior Anchors
Valley View Mall Roanoke, VA	1985/2003	2007	100	% 844,272	285,254	340	100	%	Barnes & Noble, Belk, JC Penney, Macy's, Macy's for Home & Children, Sears
Volusia Mall Daytona Beach, FL	1974/2004	2013	100	% 1,071,516	252,973	366	97	%	Dillard's for Men & Home, Dillard's for Women, Dillard's for Children, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
Westmoreland Mall Greensburg, PA	1977/2002	1994	100	% 999,640	303,801	318	99	%	BonTon, JC Penney, Macy's, Macy's Home Store, Old Navy, Sears
York Galleria York, PA	1989/1999	N/A	100	% 764,689	227,472	324	93	%	Bon Ton, Boscov's, JC Penney, Sears
Total Tier 2 Malls				30,985,341	10,106,444	\$342	95	%	
TIER 3									
Sales < \$300.01 per square foot									
Alamance Crossing Burlington, NC	2007	2011	100	% 874,750	204,810	\$231	79	%	Barnes & Noble, Belk, BJ's Wholesale Club, Carousel Cinemas, Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, Hobby Lobby, JC Penney, Kohl's
Bonita Lakes Mall <sup>(11)</sup> Meridian, MS	1997	N/A	100	% 631,958	154,673	289	97	%	Belk, Dillard's, JC Penney, Sears, United Artists Theatres
Cary Towne Center Cary, NC	1979/2001	1993	100	% 917,101	267,751	273	95	%	Belk, Dave & Buster's, Dillard's, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
	1976/2007	2006	100	% 1,286,475	491,357	273	96	%	

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Chesterfield Mall Chesterfield, MO									AMC Theater, Dillard's, H&M, Macy's, Sears, V-Stock Belk, Carmike Cinema, Goody's, JC Penney, Kohl's, former Sears (under redevelopment) <sup>(12)</sup> Carmike Cinema, Encore, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears Belk, Carmike Cinema, Goody's, JC Penney, Sears, T.J. Maxx Bergner's, Cohn Furniture, Encore, JC Penney, Kohl's, Sears, Von Maur Boston Store, JC Penney, Kohl's, Sears Best Buy, Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, Dillard's Home Store, Elder-Beerman, JC Penney, Sears Beall's <sup>(6)</sup> , Belk, Carmike, JC Penney, Kmart, Sears Bed Bath & Beyond, Dick's Sporting Goods, JC Penney, Macy's, Planet Fitness, Schuler Books & Music, Youngkers for Her, Youngkers Men, Kids & Home Best Buy, Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears, V-Stock, Wehrenberg
College Square Morristown, TN	1988	1999	100	% 485,417	119,121	267	81	%	
Fashion Square Saginaw, MI	1972/2001	1993	100	% 748,269	255,373	269	94	%	
Foothills Mall Maryville, TN	1983/1996	2012	95	% 464,183	122,028	261	88	%	
Hickory Point Mall Decatur, IL	1977/2005	N/A	100	% 812,853	190,844	250	84	%	
Janesville Mall Janesville, WI	1973/1998	1998	100	% 614,593	166,763	289	98	%	
Kentucky Oaks Mall Paducah, KY	1982/2001	1995	50	% 1,018,003	332,686	272	86	%	
Lakeshore Mall Sebring, FL	1992	1999	100	% 490,073	116,057	222	77	%	
Meridian Mall <sup>(13)</sup> Lansing, MI	1969/1998	2001	100	% 948,207	340,729	296	92	%	
Mid Rivers Mall St. Peters, MO	1987/2007	1999	100	% 1,089,025	305,706	296	93	%	



Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Mall / Location	Year of Opening/ Acquisition	Year of Most Recent Expansion	Our Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Mall Store GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Mall Store Sales per Square Foot <sup>(3)</sup>	Percentage Mall Store GLA Leased <sup>(4)</sup>	Percentage	Anchors & Junior Anchors
Midland Mall Midland, MI	1991/2001	N/A	100	% 468,314	131,364	288	96	%	Barnes & Noble, Dunham's Sports, JC Penney, Sears, Target, Younkers
Monroeville Mall Pittsburgh, PA	1969/2004	2003	100	% 1,028,398	422,174	266	96	%	Barnes & Noble, Best Buy, Cinemark, Dick's Sporting Goods <sup>(14)</sup> , H&M, JC Penney, Macy's
Northgate Mall Chattanooga, TN	1972/2011	2013	100	% 736,975	169,392	291	71	%	Belk, Carmike Cinemas, JC Penney, Michael's, Ross, Sears, T.J. Maxx
Pearland Town Center <sup>(15)</sup> Pearland, TX	2008	N/A	88	% 644,708	281,324	279	92	%	Barnes & Noble, Dillard's, Macy's, Sports Authority
Randolph Mall Asheboro, NC	1982/2001	1989	100	% 381,293	116,018	249	81	%	Belk, Cinemark, Dunham's Sports, JC Penney, Sears
Regency Mall Racine, WI	1981/2001	1999	100	% 789,449	212,042	233	89	%	Boston Store, Burlington Coat Factory, HH Gregg, JC Penney, Pay Half, Sears
River Ridge Mall Lynchburg, VA	1980/2003	2000	100	% 764,187	197,035	267	94	%	Belk, JC Penney, Liberty University, Macy's, Regal Cinema, T.J. Maxx
Stroud Mall <sup>(16)</sup> Stroudsburg, PA	1977/1998	2005	100	% 398,146	113,663	275	96	%	Bon-Ton, Cinemark, JC Penney, Sears
The Lakes Mall Muskegon, MI	2001	N/A	100	% 589,689	187,783	259	99	%	Bed Bath & Beyond, Dick's Sporting Goods, JC Penney, Sears, Younkers
The Outlet Shoppes at	2000/2012	N/A	50	% 249,937	249,937	259	99	%	None



Gettysburg Gettysburg, PA										Belk, Belk Home & Kids, Carmike
Walnut Square <sup>(17)</sup> Dalton, GA	1980	1992	100	%	495,331	169,896	239	99	%	Cinema, JC Penney, The Rush, Sears
Wausau Center <sup>(18)</sup> Wausau, WI	1983/2001	1999	100	%	423,556	150,356	262	99	%	JC Penney, Sears, Youngers
WestGate Mall <sup>(19)</sup> Spartanburg, SC	1975/1995	1996	100	%	953,801	247,643	288	90	%	Bed Bath & Beyond, Belk, Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, JC Penney, Regal Cinema, Sears
Total Tier 3 Malls					18,304,691	5,716,525	\$270	92	%	
Total Mall Portfolio					65,966,248	21,195,675	\$356	95	%	

Mall / Location	Year of Opening/ Acquisition	Year of Most Recent Expansion	Our Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Mall Store GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Mall Store Sales per Square Foot <sup>(3)</sup>	Percentage Mall Store GLA Leased <sup>(4)</sup>	anchors & Junior anchors	
Non-Core Malls <sup>(20)</sup>									
Chapel Hill Mall <sup>(21)</sup> Akron, OH	1966/2004	1995	100	%	862,062	276,728	N/A	N/A	Encore, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
Citadel Mall <sup>(22)</sup> Charleston, SC	1981/2001	2000	100	%	1,019,207	282,379	N/A	N/A	Belk, Dillard's, JC Penney, Sears, Target
Columbia Place <sup>(23)</sup> Columbia, SC	1977/2001	N/A	100	%	1,027,756	293,056	N/A	N/A	Burlington Coat Factory, Macy's, Sears, two vacancies
Madison Square Huntsville, AL	1984	1985	100	%	928,507	295,073	N/A	N/A	Belk, Dillard's, JC Penney, Sears, two vacancies
Total Non-Core Malls					3,837,532	1,147,236			

\* Non-stabilized Mall

- (1) Includes total square footage of the anchors (whether owned or leased by the anchor) and mall stores. Does not include future expansion areas.
- (2) Excludes tenants over 20,000 square feet, anchors and junior anchors.
- (3) Excludes sales for license agreement tenants. Totals represent weighted averages.
- (4) Includes tenants paying rent for executed leases as of December 31, 2013.

We acquired the Sears locations at CoolSprings Galleria and Fayette Mall in 2013 with plans to redevelop both
- (5) buildings into new specialty stores and restaurants. Sears at Fayette Mall closed in January 2014. Sears at CoolSprings Galleria is expected to close in 2014.
- (6) The Beall's operating at Lakeshore Mall is unrelated to the Beall's stores at Mall del Norte, Parkdale Mall, Post Oak Mall, Richland Mall and Sunrise Mall, which are owned by Stage Stores.

St. Clair Square - We are the lessee under a ground lease for 20 acres. Assuming the exercise of available renewal
- (7) options, at our election, the ground lease expires January 31, 2073. The rental amount is \$40,500 per year. In addition to base rent, the landlord receives 0.25% of Dillard's sales in excess of \$16,200,000.
- (8) Brookfield Square - The annual ground rent for 2013 was \$181,790.
- (9) EastGate Mall - Ground rent is \$24,000 per year.
- (10) The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta opened in July 2013. It is included in Tier 2 based on a projection of sales for a full calendar year.

Bonita Lakes Mall - We are the lessee under a ground lease for 82 acres, which extends through June 2035, plus
- (11) one 25-year renewal option. The annual ground rent for 2013 was \$37,811, increasing by an average of 3% each year.
- (12) College Square - T.J. Maxx and Longhorn Steakhouse are scheduled to open in 2014 in the former Sears space.
- (13) Meridian Mall - We are the lessee under several ground leases in effect through March 2067, with extension options. Fixed rent is \$18,700 per year plus 3% to 4% of all rents.
- (14) Monroeville Mall - Dick's Sporting Goods is under development and scheduled to open in 2014.

Pearland Town Center is a mixed-use center which combines retail, hotel, office and residential components. For
- (15) segment reporting purposes, the retail portion of the center is classified in Malls, the office portion is classified in Office Buildings, and the hotel and residential portions are classified as Other.

Stroud Mall - We are the lessee under a ground lease, which extends through July 2089. The current rental
- (16) amount is \$60,000 per year, increasing by \$10,000 every ten years through 2059. An additional \$100,000 is paid every 10 years.
- (17) Walnut Square - We are the lessee under several ground leases. Assuming the exercise of renewal options available, at our election, the ground lease expires March 14, 2078. The rental amount is \$149,450 per year. In addition to base rent, the landlord receives 20% of the percentage rents collected. The Company has a right of first refusal to purchase the fee.
- (18) Wausau Center - Ground rent is \$76,000 per year plus 10% of net taxable cash flow.

WestGate Mall - We are the lessee under several ground leases for approximately 53% of the underlying
- (19) land. Assuming the exercise of renewal options available, at our election, the ground lease expires October 31, 2084. The rental amount is \$130,025 per year. In addition to base rent, the landlord receives 20% of the percentage rents collected. The Company has a right of first refusal to purchase the fee.

Mall stores sales per square foot and occupancy percentage are not applicable as the steps taken to reposition
- (20) non-core Malls lead to metrics which do not provide relevant information related to the condition of the non-core Malls.
- (21) Chapel Hill Mall - Ground rent is the greater of \$10,000 or 30% of aggregate fixed minimum rent paid by tenants of certain store units. The annual ground rent for 2013 was \$11,333.
- (22) In January 2014, an entity formed by the holders of the non-recourse mortgage loan secured by Citadel Mall completed the foreclosure sale process and acquired title to the Property.
- (23) Columbia Place is in the process of foreclosure.



## Anchors

Anchors are an important factor in a Mall's successful performance. The public's identification with a mall property typically focuses on the anchor tenants. Mall anchors are generally a department store whose merchandise appeals to a broad range of shoppers and plays a significant role in generating customer traffic and creating a desirable location for the mall store tenants.

Anchors may own their stores and the land underneath, as well as the adjacent parking areas, or may enter into long-term leases with respect to their stores. Rental rates for anchor tenants are significantly lower than the rents charged to mall store tenants. Total rental revenues from anchors account for 12.6% of the total revenues from our Properties in 2013. Each anchor that owns its store has entered into an operating and reciprocal easement agreement with us covering items such as operating covenants, reciprocal easements, property operations, initial construction and future expansion.

During 2013, we added the following anchors and junior anchors to the following Malls:

Name	Property	Location
Academy Sports & Outdoors	Oak Park Mall	Overland Park, KS
Cinemark Theater	Monroeville Mall	Pittsburgh, PA
Dave & Buster's	Cary Towne Center	Cary, NC
Dick's Sporting Goods	South County Center	St. Louis, MO
Dick's Sporting Goods	Southpark Mall	Colonial Heights, VA
Dunham's Sports	Randolph Mall	Asheboro, NC
Encore	Harford Mall	Bel Air, MD
Garden Ridge	Turtle Creek Mall	Hattiesburg, MS
H&M	Oak Park Mall	Overland Park, KS
H&M	Monroeville Mall	Pittsburgh, PA
Homegoods	Gulf Coast Town Center	Fort Myers, FL
Liberty University	River Ridge Mall	Lynchburg, VA
Michael's	Northgate Mall	Chattanooga, TN
Pay Half	Regency Mall	Racine, WI
Planet Fitness	Meridian Mall	Lansing, MI
Ross Dress for Less	Governor's Square	Clarksville, TN
Ross Dress for Less	Northgate Mall	Chattanooga, TN
Saks Fifth Avenue OFF FIFTH	The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta	Woodstock, GA
T.J. Maxx	River Ridge Mall	Lynchburg, VA

As of December 31, 2013, the Malls had a total of 330 anchors. The mall anchors and the amount of GLA leased or owned by each as of December 31, 2013 is as follows:

Anchor	Number of Stores			Gross Leaseable Area		
	Mall Leased	Anchor Owned	Total	Mall Leased	Anchor Owned	Total
JC Penney <sup>(1)</sup>	37	32	69	3,831,735	4,105,215	7,936,950
Sears <sup>(2)</sup>	22	41	63	2,472,399	5,849,621	8,322,020
Dillard's <sup>(3)</sup>	4	42	46	660,713	5,993,025	6,653,738
Sak's	—	1	1	—	83,066	83,066
Macy's <sup>(4)</sup>	14	29	43	1,837,454	4,608,131	6,445,585
Belk <sup>(5)</sup>	7	22	29	688,937	2,794,007	3,482,944
Bon-Ton:						
Bon-Ton	2	1	3	186,824	131,915	318,739

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Bergner's <sup>(6)</sup>	1	2	3	128,330	257,071	385,401
Boston Store <sup>(7)</sup>	1	4	5	96,000	599,280	695,280
Carson's	2	—	2	219,190	—	219,190
Herberger's	2	—	2	144,968	—	144,968
Younkers <sup>(8)</sup>	2	2	4	168,496	206,695	375,191
Elder-Beerman	2	—	2	124,233	—	124,233
Subtotal	12	9	21	1,068,041	1,194,961	2,263,002

31

---

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Anchor	Number of Stores			Gross Leaseable Area		
	Mall Leased	Anchor Owned	Total	Mall Leased	Anchor Owned	Total
Academy Sports & Outdoors	1	—	1	74,407	—	74,407
AMC Theaters	1	—	1	59,491	—	59,491
Bass Pro Outdoor World	1	—	1	130,000	—	130,000
BJ's Wholesale Club	1	—	1	85,188	—	85,188
Boscov's	—	1	1	—	150,000	150,000
Burlington Coat Factory	1	—	1	80,000	—	80,000
Carousel Cinemas	1	—	1	52,000	—	52,000
Cinemark Theater	4	—	4	240,271	—	240,271
Dick's Sporting Goods <sup>(9)</sup>	10	1	11	636,259	70,000	706,259
Dunham Sports	1	—	1	60,200	—	60,200
Forever 21	—	1	1	—	57,500	57,500
Garden Ridge	—	1	1	—	124,700	124,700
Gordman's	1	—	1	59,360	—	59,360
Grande Cinemas	1	—	1	60,400	—	60,400
Harris Teeter	—	1	1	—	72,757	72,757
Hobby Lobby	1	—	1	52,500	—	52,500
I. Keating Furniture	1	—	1	103,994	—	103,994
Joplin Schools	—	1	1	—	90,000	90,000
Kmart	1	—	1	86,479	—	86,479
Kohl's	5	2	7	421,568	132,000	553,568
Liberty University	—	1	1	—	113,074	113,074
Nordstrom <sup>(10)</sup>	—	2	2	—	385,000	385,000
Regal Cinemas	4	—	4	273,900	—	273,900
Scheel's All Sports	2	—	2	200,536	—	200,536
Sleep Inn & Suites	1	—	1	123,506	—	123,506
Target	1	3	4	100,000	400,236	500,236
Von Maur	—	2	2	—	233,280	233,280
Wehrenberg Theaters	1	—	1	56,000	—	56,000
Current Developments: <sup>(11)</sup>						
Dick's Sporting Goods	1	—	1	54,379	—	54,379
T.J. Maxx/Longhorn Steakhouse	1	—	1	67,438	—	67,438
	138	192	330	13,637,155	26,456,573	40,093,728

- (1) Of the 32 stores owned by JC Penney, 5 are subject to ground lease payments to the Company.
- (2) Of the 41 stores owned by Sears, 3 are subject to ground lease payments to the Company.
- (3) Of the 42 stores owned by Dillard's, 4 are subject to ground lease payments to the Company.
- (4) Of the 29 stores owned by Macy's, 6 are subject to ground lease payments to the Company.
- (5) Of the 22 stores owned by Belk, 2 are subject to ground lease payments to the Company.
- (6) Of the two stores owned by Bergner's, one is subject to ground lease payments to the Company.
- (7) Of the four stores owned by Boston Store, one is subject to ground lease payments to the Company.
- (8) Of the two stores owned by Younker's, one is subject to ground lease payments to the Company.
- (9) The one store owned by Dick's Sporting Goods is subject to ground lease payments to the Company.

(10) Of the two stores owned by Nordstrom, one is subject to ground lease payments to the Company

(11) Stores are under development and will open in 2014.

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2013, the Malls had a total of 122 junior anchors including three vacant locations. The mall junior anchors and the amount of GLA leased or owned by each as of December 31, 2013 is as follows:

Junior Anchor	Number of Stores			Gross Leaseable Area		
	Mall Leased	Anchor Owned	Total	Mall Leased	Anchor Owned	Total
A'GACI	1	—	1	28,000	—	28,000
Ashley Furniture HomeStores	1	—	1	26,439	—	26,439
Babies "R" Us	1	—	1	30,700	—	30,700
Barnes & Noble	15	—	15	442,818	—	442,818
Beall Bros.	5	—	5	193,209	—	193,209
Beall's (Fla)	1	—	1	45,844	—	45,844
Bed, Bath & Beyond	6	—	6	179,915	—	179,915
Best Buy	3	—	3	98,481	—	98,481
Books A Million	2	—	2	44,180	—	44,180
Carmike Cinemas	7	—	7	261,332	—	261,332
Cinemark Theater	4	—	4	159,368	—	159,368
Cohn Furniture	1	—	1	20,030	—	20,030
Dave & Buster's	1	—	1	30,004	—	30,004
Dick's Sporting Goods	5	—	5	216,625	—	216,625
Dunham Sports	1	—	1	35,368	—	35,368
Encore	7	—	7	179,663	—	179,663
Foot Locker	1	—	1	22,847	—	22,847
Glowgolf	1	—	1	22,169	—	22,169
Goody's	2	—	2	61,358	—	61,358
Gordman's	2	—	2	96,979	—	96,979
H&M	5	—	5	103,485	—	103,485
HH Gregg	1	1	2	25,000	33,887	58,887
Homegoods	1	—	1	32,034	—	32,034
Jillian's	1	—	1	21,295	—	21,295
Jo-Ann Fabrics	2	—	2	57,989	—	57,989
Joe Brand	1	—	1	29,413	—	29,413
Kaplan College	1	—	1	30,294	—	30,294
Marshall's	1	—	1	32,996	—	32,996
Michael's	1	—	1	20,076	—	20,076
Old Navy	1	—	1	20,257	—	20,257
Pay Half	1	—	1	25,764	—	25,764
Planet Fitness	1	—	1	23,107	—	23,107
REI	1	—	1	24,427	—	24,427
Regal Cinemas	1	—	1	23,360	—	23,360
Ross Dress For Less	4	—	4	106,293	—	106,293
Saks Fifth Avenue OFF FIFTH	2	—	2	51,755	—	51,755
Schuler Books	1	—	1	24,116	—	24,116
Sports Authority <sup>(1)</sup>	1	1	2	24,750	42,085	66,835
Staples	1	—	1	20,388	—	20,388
Stein Mart	1	—	1	30,463	—	30,463



Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Steinhafels	1	—	1	28,828	—	28,828
The Rush Fitness Complex	1	—	1	30,566	—	30,566
Tilt	1	—	1	22,484	—	22,484
T.J. Maxx	4	—	4	113,201	—	113,201
United Artists Theatre	2	—	2	59,180	—	59,180
V-Stock	3	—	3	95,098	—	95,098
Whole Foods	—	1	1	—	34,320	34,320

33

---

	Number of Stores			Gross Leaseable Area		
	Mall Leased	Anchor Owned	Total	Mall Leased	Anchor Owned	Total
Junior Anchor						
XXI Forever / Forever 21	8	—	8	255,335	—	255,335
Vacant Junior Anchors:						
Steve & Barry's	3	—	3	96,812	—	96,812
	119	3	122	3,624,095	110,292	3,734,387

(1) The one store owned by Sports Authority is subject to ground lease payments to the Company.

#### Mall Stores

The Malls have approximately 7,752 mall stores. National and regional retail chains (excluding local franchises) lease approximately 66.9% of the occupied mall store GLA. Although mall stores occupy only 31.0% of the total mall GLA (the remaining 69.0% is occupied by anchors or is vacant), the Malls received 81.7% of their revenues from mall stores for the year ended December 31, 2013.

#### Mall Lease Expirations

The following table summarizes the scheduled lease expirations for mall stores as of December 31, 2013:

Year Ending December 31,	Number of Leases Expiring	Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(1)</sup>	GLA of Expiring Leases	Average Annualized Gross Rent Per Square Foot	Expiring Leases as % of Total Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(2)</sup>	Expiring Leases as a % of Total Leased GLA <sup>(3)</sup>
2014	1,456	\$101,059,000	3,579,000	\$28.24	14.0%	18.6%
2015	947	101,823,000	2,661,000	38.27	14.1%	13.8%
2016	865	97,118,000	2,479,000	39.18	13.5%	12.9%
2017	757	85,399,000	2,206,000	38.71	11.9%	11.4%
2018	682	84,493,000	2,133,000	39.61	11.7%	11.1%
2019	332	47,691,000	1,180,000	40.42	6.6%	6.1%
2020	277	41,378,000	950,000	43.55	5.7%	4.9%
2021	310	42,360,000	1,048,000	40.43	5.9%	5.4%
2022	329	46,288,000	1,108,000	41.76	6.4%	5.7%
2023	380	53,299,000	1,339,000	39.81	7.4%	6.9%

(1) Total annualized gross rent, including recoverable common area expenses and real estate taxes, in effect at December 31, 2013 for expiring leases that were executed as of December 31, 2013.

(2) Total annualized gross rent, including recoverable common area expenses and real estate taxes, of expiring leases as a percentage of the total annualized gross rent of all leases that were executed as of December 31, 2013.

(3) Total GLA of expiring leases as a percentage of the total GLA of all leases that were executed as of December 31, 2013.

See page 57 for a comparison between rents on leases that expired in the current reporting period compared to rents on new and renewal leases executed in 2013. Our goal is to continue to convert shorter term leases to longer terms. For leases expiring in 2014 that we are able to renew or replace with new tenants, we anticipate that we will be able to achieve higher rental rates than the existing rates of the expiring leases as retailers seek out space in our

market-dominant Properties and new supply remains constricted. Page 57 also includes new and renewal leasing activity as of December 31, 2013 with commencement dates in 2013 and 2014.

## Mall Tenant Occupancy Costs

Occupancy cost is a tenant's total cost of occupying its space, divided by sales. Mall store sales represents total sales amounts received from reporting tenants with space of less than 10,000 square feet. The following table summarizes tenant occupancy costs as a percentage of total mall store sales, excluding license agreements, for the three years ended December 31, 2013:

	Year Ended December 31, <sup>(1)</sup>				
	2013	2012	2011		
Mall store sales (in millions)	\$5,598.49	\$5,767.43	\$5,498.01		
Minimum rents	8.58	% 8.29	% 8.39	%	%
Percentage rents	0.59	% 0.62	% 0.59	%	%
Tenant reimbursements <sup>(2)</sup>	3.65	% 3.67	% 3.78	%	%
Mall tenant occupancy costs	12.82	% 12.58	% 12.76	%	%

(1) In certain cases, we own less than a 100% interest in the Malls. The information in this table is based on 100% of the applicable amounts and has not been adjusted for our ownership share.

(2) Represents reimbursements for real estate taxes, insurance, common area maintenance charges, marketing and certain capital expenditures.

## Debt on Malls

Please see the table entitled "Mortgage Loans Outstanding at December 31, 2013" included herein for information regarding any liens or encumbrances related to our Malls.

## Associated Centers

We owned a controlling interest in 25 Associated Centers and a non-controlling interest in 4 Associated Centers as of December 31, 2013.

Associated Centers are retail properties that are adjacent to a regional mall complex and include one or more anchors, or big box retailers, along with smaller tenants. Anchor tenants typically include tenants such as T.J. Maxx, Target, Kohl's and Bed Bath & Beyond. Associated Centers are managed by the staff at the Mall since it is adjacent to and usually benefits from the customers drawn to the Mall.

We own the land underlying the Associated Centers in fee simple interest, except for Bonita Lakes Crossing, which is subject to a long-term ground lease.

The following table sets forth certain information for each of the Associated Centers as of December 31, 2013:

Associated Center / Location	Year of Opening/ Most Recent Expansion	Company's Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Leasable GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Percentage GLA Occupied <sup>(3)</sup>	Anchors
Annex at Monroeville Pittsburgh, PA	1986	100	% 186,367	186,367	100	% Burlington Coat Factory, Dick's Sporting Goods
Bonita Lakes Crossing <sup>(4)</sup> Meridian, MS	1997/1999	100	% 147,518	147,518	78	% Ashley Home Store, T.J. Maxx

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Chapel Hill Suburban Akron, OH	1969	100	% 118,603	118,603	75	% Roses
Coastal Grand Crossing Myrtle Beach, SC	2005	50	% 35,013	35,013	97	% PetSmart
CoolSprings Crossing Nashville, TN	1992	100	% 167,470	63,010	81	% American Signature <sup>(5)</sup> , HH Gregg <sup>(6)</sup> , Target <sup>(5)</sup> , Toys R Us <sup>(5)</sup> , Whole Foods <sup>(6)</sup>
Courtyard at Hickory Hollow Nashville, TN	1979	100	% 71,038	71,038	79	% Carmike Cinema

35

---

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Associated Center / Location	Year of Opening/ Most Recent Expansion	Company's Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Leasable GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Percentage GLA Occupied <sup>(3)</sup>	Anchors
EastGate Crossing Cincinnati, OH	1991 / 2012	100	% 208,223	184,739	100	% Kroger, Marshall's, Office Max <sup>(5)</sup>
Foothills Plaza Maryville, TN	1983/1986	100	% 71,274	71,274	93	% Ollie's Bargain Outlet
Frontier Square Cheyenne, WY	1985	100	% 186,552	16,527	100	% PETCO <sup>(7)</sup> , Ross <sup>(7)</sup> , Target <sup>(5)</sup> , T.J. Maxx <sup>(7)</sup>
Governor's Square Plaza Clarksville, TN	1985/1988	50	% 215,281	71,702	100	% Bed Bath & Beyond, Premier Medical Group, Target <sup>(5)</sup>
Gunbarrel Pointe Chattanooga, TN	2000	100	% 273,913	147,913	100	% Earthfare, Kohl's, Target <sup>(5)</sup>
Hamilton Corner Chattanooga, TN	1990/2005	90	% 67,243	67,243	71	% None
Hamilton Crossing Chattanooga, TN	1987/2005	92	% 191,945	98,832	98	% HomeGoods <sup>(8)</sup> , Michaels <sup>(8)</sup> , T.J. Maxx, Toys R Us <sup>(5)</sup>
Harford Annex Bel Air, MD	1973/2003	100	% 107,656	107,656	100	% Best Buy, Office Depot, PetSmart
The Landing at Arbor Place Atlanta (Douglasville), GA	1999	100	% 162,954	85,267	85	% Michaels, Toys R Us <sup>(5)</sup>
Layton Hills Convenience Center Layton, UT	1980	100	% 91,379	91,379	98	% Big Lots
Layton Hills Plaza Layton, UT	1989	100	% 18,808	18,808	100	% None
Parkdale Crossing Beaumont, TX	2002	100	% 80,102	80,102	98	% Barnes & Noble
The Plaza at Fayette Lexington, KY	2006	100	% 190,207	190,207	99	% Cinemark, Gordman's
The Shoppes at Hamilton Place Chattanooga, TN	2003	92	% 131,274	131,274	97	% Bed Bath & Beyond, Marshall's, Ross
The Shoppes at St. Clair Square Fairview Heights, IL	2007	100	% 84,383	84,383	96	% Barnes & Noble
Sunrise Commons Brownsville, TX	2001	100	% 201,960	100,515	100	% K-Mart <sup>(5)</sup> , Marshall's, Ross
The Terrace Chattanooga, TN	1997	92	% 156,612	156,612	100	% Academy Sports
Triangle Town Place Raleigh, NC	2004	50	% 149,471	149,471	100	% Bed Bath & Beyond, Dick's Sporting

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

West Towne Crossing Madison, WI	1980	100	% 426,633	104,234	100	%	Goods, DSW Shoes Barnes & Noble, Best Buy, Cub Foods <sup>(5)</sup> , Gander Mountain <sup>(9)</sup> , Kohl's <sup>(5)</sup> , Office Max <sup>(5)</sup> , Savers, Shopko <sup>(5)</sup>
WestGate Crossing Spartanburg, SC	1985/1999	100	% 157,870	157,870	71	%	Hamricks, Jo-Ann Fabrics & Crafts Carmike Cinema, Dick's Sporting Goods,
Westmoreland Crossing Greensburg, PA	2002	100	% 280,570	280,570	100	%	Levin Furniture, Michaels <sup>(10)</sup> , T.J. Maxx <sup>(10)</sup> Bed Bath & Beyond, Best Buy, Christmas
York Town Center York, PA	2007	50	% 282,882	282,882	100	%	Tree Store, Dick's Sporting Goods, Ross, Staples
Total Associated Centers			4,463,201	3,301,009	95	%	
Non-Core Associated Center:							
Madison Plaza Huntsville, AL	1984	100	% 153,503	99,108	N/A		Haverty's, HH Gregg <sup>(11)</sup>

(1) Includes total square footage of the anchors (whether owned or leased by the anchor) and shops. Does not include future expansion areas.

(2) Includes leasable anchors.

(3) Includes tenants paying rent for executed leases as of December 31, 2013, including leased anchors.

- Bonita Lakes Crossing - We are the lessee under a ground lease for 34 acres, which extends through June 2035, (4)including one 25-year renewal option. The annual rent at December 31, 2013 was \$26,276, increasing by an average of 3% each year.
- (5)Owned by the tenant.
- (6)CoolSprings Crossing - Space is owned by SM Newco Franklin LLC, an affiliate of Developers Diversified, and subleased to HH Gregg and Whole Foods (vacant).
- (7)Frontier Square - Space is owned by 1639 11th Street Associates and subleased to PETCO, Ross, and T.J. Maxx.
- (8)Hamilton Crossing - Space is owned by Schottenstein Property Group and subleased to HomeGoods and Michaels.
- (9)West Towne Crossing - Under redevelopment for addition of Nordstrom Rack.
- (10)Westmoreland Crossing - Space is owned by Schottenstein Property Group and subleased to Michaels and T.J. Maxx.
- (11)Madison Plaza - Space is owned by SM Newco Huntsville LLC, an affiliate of Developers Diversified, and subleased to HH Gregg.

#### Associated Centers Lease Expirations

The following table summarizes the scheduled lease expirations for Associated Center tenants in occupancy as of December 31, 2013:

Year Ending December 31,	Number of Leases Expiring	Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(1)</sup>	GLA of Expiring Leases	Average Annualized Gross Rent Per Square Foot	Expiring Leases as % of Total Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(2)</sup>	Expiring Leases as % of Total Leased GLA <sup>(3)</sup>
2014	20	\$1,570,000	98,000	\$15.97	3.6 %	3.2 %
2015	43	4,645,000	293,000	15.86	10.5 %	9.5 %
2016	37	5,499,000	388,000	14.17	12.4 %	12.7 %
2017	53	6,807,000	415,000	16.39	15.4 %	13.5 %
2018	40	6,344,000	378,000	16.80	14.4 %	12.3 %
2019	20	3,981,000	338,000	11.79	9.0 %	11.0 %
2020	15	2,265,000	207,000	10.94	5.1 %	6.8 %
2021	13	4,374,000	322,000	13.58	9.9 %	10.5 %
2022	22	4,148,000	311,000	13.34	9.4 %	10.1 %
2023	9	1,744,000	87,000	20.16	3.9 %	2.8 %

- (1) Total annualized gross rent, including recoverable common area expenses and real estate taxes, in effect at December 31, 2013 for expiring leases that were executed as of December 31, 2013.
- (2) Total annualized gross rent, including recoverable common area expenses and real estate taxes, of expiring leases as a percentage of the total annualized gross rent of all leases that were executed as of December 31, 2013.
- (3) Total GLA of expiring leases as a percentage of the total GLA of all leases that were executed as of December 31, 2013.

#### Debt on Associated Centers

Please see the table entitled "Mortgage Loans Outstanding at December 31, 2013" included herein for information regarding any liens or encumbrances related to our Associated Centers.

#### Community Centers



We owned a controlling interest in seven Community Centers and a non-controlling interest in four Community Centers as of December 31, 2013. Community Centers typically have less development risk because of shorter development periods and lower costs. While Community Centers generally maintain higher occupancy levels and are more stable, they typically have slower rent growth because the anchor stores' rents are typically fixed and are for longer terms.

Community Centers are designed to attract local and regional area customers and are typically anchored by a combination of supermarkets, or value-priced stores that attract shoppers to each center's small shops. The tenants at our Community Centers typically offer necessities, value-oriented and convenience merchandise.

We own the land underlying the Community Centers in fee simple interest.

The following table sets forth certain information for each of our Community Centers at December 31, 2013:

Community Center / Location	Year of Opening/ Most Recent Expansion	Company's Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Leasable GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Percentage GLA Occupied <sup>(3)</sup>	Anchors
Cobblestone Village at Palm Coast Palm Coast, FL	2007	100	% 96,891	22,876	97	% Belk <sup>(4)</sup>
The Crossings at Marshalls Creek Middle Smithfield, PA	2013	100	% 84,943	84,943	95	% Price Chopper
The Forum at Grandview Madison, MS	2010/2012	75	% 189,719	189,719	100	% Best Buy, Dick's Sporting Goods, Homegoods, Michaels, Stein Mart
Hammock Landing West Melbourne, FL	2009	50	% 343,897	206,896	96	% HH Gregg, Kohl's <sup>(4)</sup> , Marshall's, Michaels, Ross, Target <sup>(4)</sup>
High Pointe Commons Harrisburg, PA	2006/2008	50	% 341,789	118,786	98	% Christmas Tree Shops, JC Penney <sup>(4)</sup> , Target <sup>(4)</sup>
The Pavilion at Port Orange Port Orange, FL	2010	50	% 313,838	252,039	95	% Belk, Hollywood Theaters, Marshall's, Michaels
Pemberton Plaza Vicksburg, MS	1986	100	% 77,894	26,948	91	% T.J. Maxx <sup>(5)</sup>
The Promenade D'Iberville, MS	2009	85	% 528,464	311,504	100	% Best Buy, Dick's Sporting Goods, Kohl's <sup>(4)</sup> , Marshall's, Michaels, Target <sup>(4)</sup>
Renaissance Center Durham, NC	2003/2007	50	% 314,691	314,691	96	% Best Buy, Nordstrom, REI, Toys R Us
Statesboro Crossing Statesboro, GA	2008	50	% 136,958	136,958	98	% Hobby Lobby, T.J. Maxx
Waynesville Commons Waynesville, NC	2012	100	% 126,901	41,967	100	% Belk <sup>(4)</sup>
Total Community Centers			2,555,985	1,707,327	97	%

(1) Includes total square footage of the Anchors (whether owned or leased by the Anchor) and shops. Does not include future expansion areas.

(2) Includes leasable Anchors.

(3) Includes tenants paying rent for executed leases as of December 31, 2013, including leased anchors.

(4) Owned by tenant.

(5) Pemberton Plaza - Space is owned by The Kroger Company and subleased to T.J. Maxx.

#### Community Centers Lease Expirations

The following table summarizes the scheduled lease expirations for tenants in occupancy at Community Centers as of December 31, 2013:

Year Ending December 31,	Number of Leases Expiring	Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(1)</sup>	GLA of Expiring Leases	Average Annualized Gross Rent Per Square Foot	Expiring Leases as % of Total Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(2)</sup>	Expiring Leases as a % of Total Leased GLA <sup>(3)</sup>	
2014	17	\$1,269,000	39,000	\$32.25	3.6	% 2.0	%
2015	29	2,314,000	89,000	25.94	6.6	% 4.5	%
2016	22	1,285,000	59,000	21.83	3.7	% 3.0	%
2017	31	2,636,000	121,000	21.71	7.6	% 6.2	%
2018	26	2,812,000	136,000	20.67	8.1	% 6.9	%
2019	25	4,070,000	212,000	19.24	11.7	% 10.7	%
2020	35	6,992,000	392,000	17.82	20.1	% 19.9	%
2021	15	2,563,000	139,000	18.4	7.4	% 7.1	%
2022	18	2,591,000	147,000	17.58	7.4	% 7.5	%
2023	26	3,555,000	209,000	17.00	10.2	% 10.6	%

(1) Total annualized gross rent, including recoverable common area expenses and real estate taxes, in effect at December 31, 2013 for expiring leases that were executed as of December 31, 2013.

(2) Total annualized gross rent, including recoverable common area expenses and real estate taxes, of expiring leases as a percentage of the total annualized gross rent of all leases that were executed as of December 31, 2013.

(3) Total GLA of expiring leases as a percentage of the total GLA of all leases that were executed as of December 31, 2013.

#### Debt on Community Centers

Please see the table entitled “Mortgage Loans Outstanding at December 31, 2013” included herein for information regarding any liens or encumbrances related to our Community Centers.

#### Office Buildings

We owned a controlling interest in eight Office Buildings and a non-controlling interest in five Office Buildings as of December 31, 2013.

We own a 92% interest in the 131,000 square foot office building where our corporate headquarters is located. As of December 31, 2013, we occupied 63.3% of the total square footage of the building.

The following tables set forth certain information for each of our Office Buildings at December 31, 2013:

Office Building / Location	Year of Opening/ Most Recent Expansion	Company's Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Leasable GLA	Percentage GLA Occupied	
840 Greenbrier Circle Chesapeake, VA	1983	100	% 50,820	50,820	82	%
850 Greenbrier Circle Chesapeake, VA	1984	100	% 81,318	81,318	100	%
Bank of America Building Greensboro, NC	1988	50	% 49,265	49,265	38	%
CBL Center Chattanooga, TN	2001	92	% 130,658	130,658	100	%
CBL Center II Chattanooga, TN	2008	92	% 76,437	76,437	95	%
First Citizens Bank Building Greensboro, NC	1985	50	% 43,357	43,357	95	%
Friendly Center Office Building Greensboro, NC	1972	50	% 29,086	29,086	86	%
Oak Branch Business Center Greensboro, NC	1990/1995	100	% 33,622	33,622	77	%
One Oyster Point Newport News, VA	1984	100	% 36,257	36,257	29	%
The Pavilion at Port Orange Port Orange, FL	2010	50	% 31,382	31,382	86	%
Pearland Office Pearland, TX	2009	88	% 30,100	30,100	100	%
Two Oyster Point Newport News, VA	1985	100	% 39,283	39,283	79	%
Wachovia Office Building Greensboro, NC	1992	50	% 12,000	12,000	100	%

Total Office Buildings	643,585	643,585	85	%
------------------------	---------	---------	----	---

(1) Includes total square footage of the offices. Does not include future expansion areas.

## Office Buildings Lease Expirations

The following table summarizes the scheduled lease expirations for tenants in occupancy at Office Buildings as of December 31, 2013:

Year Ending December 31,	Number of Leases Expiring	Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(1)</sup>	GLA of Expiring Leases	Average Annualized Gross Rent Per Square Foot	Expiring Leases as % of Total Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(2)</sup>	Expiring Leases as a % of Total Leased GLA <sup>(3)</sup>		
2014	8	\$278,000	16,000	\$17.18	2.5	% 3.2		%
2015	18	1,878,000	95,000	19.86	16.8	% 18.6		%
2016	19	1,068,000	56,000	19.15	9.6	% 11.0		%
2017	14	2,019,000	125,000	16.13	18.1	% 24.6		%
2018	16	1,705,000	61,000	27.83	15.3	% 12.0		%
2019	4	1,252,000	53,000	23.57	11.2	% 10.4		%
2020	—	—	—	—	—	% —		%
2021	—	—	—	—	—	% —		%
2022	2	464,000	15,000	—	4.2	% 2.9		%

(1) Total annualized contractual gross rent, including recoverable common area expenses and real estate taxes, in effect at December 31, 2013 for expiring leases that were executed as of December 31, 2013.

(2) Total annualized contractual gross rent, including recoverable common area expenses and real estate taxes, of expiring leases as a percentage of the total annualized gross rent of all leases that were executed as of December 31, 2013.

(3) Total GLA of expiring leases as a percentage of the total GLA of all leases that were executed as of December 31, 2013.

## Debt on Office Buildings

Please see the table entitled “Mortgage Loans Outstanding at December 31, 2013” included herein for information regarding any liens or encumbrances related to our Offices.

## Mortgages Notes Receivable

We own five mortgages, each of which is collateralized by either a first mortgage, a second mortgage or by assignment of 100% of the ownership interests in the underlying real estate and related improvements. The mortgages are more fully described on Schedule IV in Part IV of this report.

## Mortgage Loans Outstanding at December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

Property	Our Ownership Interest	Stated Interest Rate	Principal Balance as of 12/31/13 <sup>(1)</sup>	Annual Debt Service	Maturity Date	Optional Extended Maturity Date	Balloon Payment Due on Maturity	Open to Prepayment Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Footnote
Consolidated Debt Malls:									
Acadiana Mall	100	% 5.67	% \$134,933	\$10,435	Apr-17	—	\$124,998	Open	

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Alamance Crossing	100	% 5.83	% 49,350	3,589	Jul-21	—	43,046	Jul-14	
Arbor Place	100	% 5.10	% 119,319	7,948	May-22	—	100,861	Apr-14	
Asheville Mall	100	% 5.80	% 74,819	5,917	Sep-21	—	60,190	Sep-14	
Brookfield Square	100	% 5.08	% 90,117	6,822	Nov-15	—	85,807	Open	
Burnsville Center	100	% 6.00	% 77,565	6,417	Jul-20	—	63,589	Open	
Cary Towne Center	100	% 8.50	% 53,679	11,958	Mar-17	—	45,226	Open	
Chapel Hill Mall	100	% 6.10	% 68,681	5,599	Aug-16	—	64,747	Open	
CherryVale Mall	100	% 5.00	% 80,364	6,055	Oct-15	—	76,647	Open	
Chesterfield Mall	100	% 5.74	% 140,000	8,153	Sep-16	—	140,000	Open	
Citadel Mall	100	% 5.68	% 68,169	5,226	Apr-17	—	62,939	Open	(3)
Columbia Place	100	% 5.45	% 27,265	2,493	Sep-13	—	25,603	Open	(4)
Cross Creek Mall	100	% 4.54	% 133,964	9,376	Jan-22	—	102,260	Open	
Dakota Square Mall	100	% 6.23	% 57,642	4,562	Nov-16	—	54,843	Open	

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Property	Our Ownership Interest	Stated Interest Rate	Principal Balance as of 12/31/13 (1)	Annual Debt Service	Maturity Date	Optional Extended Maturity Date	Balloon Payment Due on Maturity	Open to Prepayment Date (2)	Footnote
East Towne Mall	100	% 5.00	% 68,539	5,153	Nov-15	—	65,231	Open	
EastGate Mall	100	% 5.83	% 41,102	3,613	Apr-21	—	30,155	Apr-14	
Eastland Mall	100	% 5.85	% 59,400	3,475	Dec-15	—	59,400	Open	
Fashion Square	100	% 4.95	% 40,675	2,932	Jun-22	—	31,112	May-14	
Fayette Mall	100	% 5.42	% 175,319	13,527	May-21	—	139,177	Open	
Greenbrier Mall	100	% 5.91	% 75,543	6,055	Aug-16	—	71,111	Open	
Hamilton Place	90	% 5.86	% 103,888	8,292	Aug-16	—	97,757	Open	
Hanes Mall	100	% 6.99	% 153,977	13,080	Oct-18	—	140,968	Open	
Hickory Point Mall	100	% 5.85	% 29,005	2,347	Dec-15	—	27,690	Open	
Honey Creek Mall	100	% 8.00	% 29,988	3,373	Jul-19	—	23,290	Open	(5)
Imperial Valley Mall	100	% 4.99	% 51,278	3,859	Sep-15	—	49,019	Open	
Janesville Mall	100	% 8.38	% 3,797	1,857	Apr-16	—	—	Open	
Jefferson Mall	100	% 4.75	% 69,599	4,456	Jun-22	—	58,176	May-14	
Kirkwood Mall	100	% 5.75	% 39,778	2,885	Apr-18	—	37,109	Open	
Layton Hills Mall	100	% 5.66	% 96,433	7,453	Apr-17	—	89,327	Open	
Mall del Norte	100	% 5.04	% 113,400	5,715	Dec-14	—	113,400	Open	
Midland Mall	100	% 6.10	% 33,894	2,763	Aug-16	—	31,953	Open	
Northwoods Mall	100	% 5.08	% 71,294	4,743	Apr-22	—	60,292	May-14	
The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta	75	% 4.90	% 79,902	5,095	Nov-23	—	65,036	Nov-14	
The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso	75	% 7.06	% 65,465	5,622	Dec-17	—	61,265	Open	
The Outlet Shoppes at Gettysburg	50	% 5.87	% 39,437	3,104	Feb-16	—	37,766	Open	
The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City	75	% 5.73	% 57,812	4,521	Jan-22	—	45,428	Open	
Park Plaza Mall	100	% 5.28	% 93,909	7,165	Apr-21	—	74,428	Apr-14	
Parkdale Mall & Crossing	100	% 5.85	% 89,991	7,241	Mar-21	—	72,447	Mar-14	
Parkway Place	100	% 6.50	% 39,433	3,403	Jul-20	—	32,661	Open	
Southaven Towne Center	100	% 5.50	% 40,929	3,134	Jan-17	—	38,056	Open	
Southpark Mall	100	% 4.85	% 65,531	4,240	Jun-22	—	54,924	Jul-14	
St. Clair Square	100	% 3.25	% 122,375	5,477	Dec-16	—	117,875	Open	(6)



Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Stroud Mall	100	% 4.59	% 33,243	2,119	Apr-16	—	30,276	Open	(7)
Valley View Mall	100	% 6.50	% 61,027	5,267	Jul-20	—	50,547	Open	
Volusia Mall	100	% 8.00	% 51,586	5,802	Jul-19	—	40,064	Open	(5)
Wausau Center	100	% 5.85	% 18,790	1,509	Apr-21	—	15,100	Apr-14	
West Towne Mall	100	% 5.00	% 96,811	7,279	Nov-15	—	92,139	Open	
WestGate Mall	100	% 4.99	% 38,818	2,803	Jul-22	—	29,670	Open	
York Galleria	100	% 4.55	% 53,093	3,245	Apr-16	—	48,337	Open	(8)
			3,480,928	267,154			3,081,942		
Associated Centers:									
CoolSprings Crossing	100	% 4.54	% 12,427	792	Apr-16	—	11,313	Open	(9)
EastGate Crossing	100	% 5.66	% 15,024	1,159	May-17	—	13,893	Open	
Gunbarrel Pointe	100	% 4.64	% 11,067	701	Apr-16	—	10,083	Open	(10)
Hamilton Corner	90	% 5.67	% 15,289	1,183	Apr-17	—	14,164	Open	
Hamilton Crossing & Expansion	92	% 5.99	% 10,075	819	Apr-21	—	8,122	Open	
The Plaza at Fayette	100	% 5.67	% 39,834	3,081	Apr-17	—	36,901	Open	
The Shoppes at St. Clair Square	100	% 5.67	% 20,188	1,562	Apr-17	—	18,702	Open	

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Property	Our Ownership Interest	Stated Interest Rate	Principal Balance as of 12/31/13 <sup>(1)</sup>	Annual Debt Service	Maturity Date	Optional Extended Maturity Date	Balloon Payment Due on Maturity	Open to Prepayment Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Footnote
The Terrace	92	% 7.25	% 13,963 137,867	1,284 10,581	Jun-20	—	11,755 124,933	Jul-15	
Community Centers:									
Statesboro Crossing	50	% 1.97	% 11,337	355	Jun-16	Jun-18	11,024	Open	(11)
The Promenade	85	% 1.87	% 51,300 62,637	3,599 3,954	Dec-14	Dec-18	51,300 62,324	Open	(11)
Office Building:									
CBL Center	92	% 5.00	% 21,095	1,651	Jun-22	—	14,949	Jul-14	
Unsecured Credit Facilities:									
\$600,000 capacity	100	% 1.57	% 99,371	1,560	Nov-15	Nov-16	99,371	Open	
\$600,000 capacity	100	% 1.57	% 124,383	1,953	Nov-16	Nov-17	124,383	Open	
\$100,000 capacity	100	% 1.57	% 5,000 228,754	79 3,592	Feb-16	—	5,000 228,754	Open	
Unsecured Term Loans:									
\$400,000 capacity	100	% 1.67	% 400,000	6,680	Jul-18	—	400,000	Open	
\$50,000 capacity	100	% 2.07	% 50,000 450,000	1,035 7,715	Feb-18	—	50,000 450,000	Open	
Senior Unsecured Notes:									
5.25% notes	100	% 5.25	% 450,000	23,625	Dec-23	—	450,000	Open	
5.25% notes - discount	100	% 5.25	% (4,626 ) 445,374	(243 ) 23,382	Dec-23	—	(4,626 ) 445,374	Open	
Construction Property:									
The Outlet Shoppes at Louisville	65	% 2.17	% 2,983	65	Aug-16	Aug-18	2,983	Open	(11)(12)
Other:									
Pearland Town Center	88	% 8.00	% 17,570 10,315	1,406 —	Oct-14	—	N/A —		(13) (14)

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Unamortized Premiums  
(Discounts)

Total Consolidated Debt                    \$4,857,523    \$319,500    \$4,411,259

Unconsolidated Debt:

Coastal										
Grand-Myrtle Beach	50	% 5.09	% 76,839	7,078	Oct-14	—	74,423	Open	(15)	
CoolSprings Galleria	50	% 6.98	% 107,526	10,683	Jun-18	—	87,037	Open		
Fremaux Town Center	65	% 2.29	% 25,800	591	Mar-16	Mar-18	25,800	Open	(11)	(12)
Friendly Shopping Center	50	% 3.48	% 100,000	3,480	Apr-23	—	82,392	Apr-14	(16)	
Governor's Square Mall	48	% 8.23	% 19,619	3,476	Sep-16	—	14,089	Open		
Gulf Coast Town Center (Phase I)	50	% 5.60	% 190,800	10,687	Jul-17	—	190,800	Open		
Gulf Coast Town Center (Phase III)	50	% 2.75	% 6,258	758	Jul-15	—	5,401	Open	(11)	(12)
Hammock Landing (Phase I)	50	% 2.17	% 41,011	1,658	Nov-15	Nov-17	39,596	Open	(11)	(17)
Hammock Landing (Phase II)	50	% 2.42	% 4,530	110	Nov-15	Nov-17	4,530	Open	(11)	(18)

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Property	Our Ownership Interest	Stated Interest Rate	Principal Balance as of 12/31/13 (1)	Annual Debt Service	Maturity Date	Optional Extended Maturity Date	Balloon Payment Due on Maturity	Open to Prepayment Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Footnote
High Pointe Commons (Phase I)	50	% 5.74	% 13,511	1,212	May-17	—	12,112	Open	
High Pointe Commons (Phase II)	50	% 6.10	% 5,406	481	Jul-17	—	4,816	Open	
Kentucky Oaks Mall	50	%							