

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Equity Residential Yes No ERP Operating Limited Partnership Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Equity Residential Yes No ERP Operating Limited Partnership Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Equity Residential ERP Operating Limited Partnership

Table of Contents

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Equity Residential:

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

ERP Operating Limited Partnership:

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Equity Residential Yes No ERP Operating Limited Partnership Yes No

The aggregate market value of Common Shares held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was approximately \$17.4 billion based upon the closing price on June 30, 2011 of \$60.00 using beneficial ownership of shares rules adopted pursuant to Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to exclude voting shares owned by Trustees and Executive Officers, some of who may not be held to be affiliates upon judicial determination.

The number of Common Shares of Beneficial Interest, \$0.01 par value, outstanding on February 17, 2012 was 300,240,671.

Table of Contents

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III incorporates by reference certain information that will be contained in Equity Residential's Proxy Statement relating to its 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which Equity Residential intends to file no later than 120 days after the end of its fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, and thus these items have been omitted in accordance with General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K. Equity Residential is the general partner and 95.7% owner of ERP Operating Limited Partnership.

Table of Contents

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This report combines the annual reports on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 of Equity Residential and ERP Operating Limited Partnership. Unless stated otherwise or the context otherwise requires, references to “EQR” mean Equity Residential, a Maryland real estate investment trust (“REIT”), and references to “ERPOP” mean ERP Operating Limited Partnership, an Illinois limited partnership. References to the “Company,” “we,” “us” or “our” mean collectively EQR, ERPOP and those entities/subsidiaries owned or controlled by EQR and/or ERPOP. References to the “Operating Partnership” mean collectively ERPOP and those entities/subsidiaries owned or controlled by ERPOP. The following chart illustrates the Company's and the Operating Partnership's corporate structure: EQR is the general partner of, and as of December 31, 2011 owned an approximate 95.7% ownership interest in ERPOP. The remaining 4.3% interest is owned by limited partners. As the sole general partner of ERPOP, EQR has exclusive control of ERPOP's day-to-day management.

The Company is structured as an umbrella partnership REIT (“UPREIT”) and contributes all net proceeds from its various equity offerings to the Operating Partnership. In return for those contributions, the Company receives a number of OP Units (see definition below) in the Operating Partnership equal to the number of Common Shares it has issued in the equity offering. Contributions of properties to the Company can be structured as tax-deferred transactions through the issuance of OP Units in the Operating Partnership, which is one of the reasons why the Company is structured in the manner shown above. Based on the terms of ERPOP's partnership agreement, OP Units can be exchanged with Common Shares on a one-for-one basis. The Company maintains a one-for-one relationship between the OP Units of the Operating Partnership issued to EQR and the Common Shares issued to the public.

The Company believes that combining the reports on Form 10-K of EQR and ERPOP into this single report provides the following benefits:

- enhances investors' understanding of the Company and the Operating Partnership by enabling investors to view the business as a whole in the same manner as management views and operates the business;
- eliminates duplicative disclosure and provides a more streamlined and readable presentation since a substantial portion of the disclosure applies to both the Company and the Operating Partnership; and
- creates time and cost efficiencies through the preparation of one combined report instead of two separate reports.

Management operates the Company and the Operating Partnership as one business. The management of EQR consists of the same members as the management of ERPOP.

The Company believes it is important to understand the few differences between EQR and ERPOP in the context of how EQR and ERPOP operate as a consolidated company. All of the Company's property ownership, development and related business operations are conducted through the Operating Partnership and EQR has no material assets or liabilities other than its investment in ERPOP. EQR's primary function is acting as the general partner of ERPOP. EQR also issues public equity from time to time and guarantees certain debt of ERPOP, as disclosed in this report. EQR does not have any indebtedness as all debt is incurred by the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership holds substantially all of the assets of the Company, including the Company's ownership interests in its joint ventures. The Operating Partnership conducts the operations of the business and is structured as a

Table of Contents

partnership with no publicly traded equity. Except for the net proceeds from equity offerings by the Company, which are contributed to the capital of the Operating Partnership in exchange for additional limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership (“OP Units”) (on a one-for-one Common Share per OP Unit basis), the Operating Partnership generates all remaining capital required by the Company's business. These sources include the Operating Partnership's working capital, net cash provided by operating activities, borrowings under its revolving credit facility, the issuance of secured and unsecured debt and equity securities, including additional OP Units, and proceeds received from disposition of certain properties and joint ventures.

Shareholders' equity, partners' capital and noncontrolling interests are the main areas of difference between the consolidated financial statements of the Company and those of the Operating Partnership. The limited partners of the Operating Partnership are accounted for as partners' capital in the Operating Partnership's financial statements and as noncontrolling interests in the Company's financial statements. The noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership's financial statements include the interests of unaffiliated partners in various consolidated partnerships and development joint venture partners. The noncontrolling interests in the Company's financial statements include the same noncontrolling interests at the Operating Partnership level and limited partner OP Unit holders of the Operating Partnership. The differences between shareholders' equity and partners' capital result from differences in the equity issued at the Company and Operating Partnership levels.

To help investors understand the significant differences between the Company and the Operating Partnership, this report provides separate consolidated financial statements for the Company and the Operating Partnership; a single set of consolidated notes to such financial statements that includes separate discussions of each entity's debt, noncontrolling interests and shareholders' equity or partners' capital, as applicable; and a combined Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section that includes discrete information related to each entity.

This report also includes separate Part I, Item 4. Controls and Procedures sections and separate Exhibits 31 and 32 certifications for each of the Company and the Operating Partnership in order to establish that the requisite certifications have been made and that the Company and the Operating Partnership are compliant with Rule 13a-15 or Rule 15d-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. §1350.

In order to highlight the differences between the Company and the Operating Partnership, the separate sections in this report for the Company and the Operating Partnership specifically refer to the Company and the Operating Partnership. In the sections that combine disclosure of the Company and the Operating Partnership, this report refers to actions or holdings as being actions or holdings of the Company. Although the Operating Partnership is generally the entity that directly or indirectly enters into contracts and joint ventures and holds assets and debt, reference to the Company is appropriate because the Company is one business and the Company operates that business through the Operating Partnership.

As general partner with control of the Operating Partnership, the Company consolidates the Operating Partnership for financial reporting purposes, and EQR essentially has no assets or liabilities other than its investment in ERPOP. Therefore, the assets and liabilities of the Company and the Operating Partnership are the same on their respective financial statements. The separate discussions of the Company and the Operating Partnership in this report should be read in conjunction with each other to understand the results of the Company on a consolidated basis and how management operates the Company.

Table of ContentsEQUITY RESIDENTIAL
ERP OPERATING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
PART I.	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	<u>7</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>11</u>
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>26</u>
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	<u>26</u>
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>29</u>
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>29</u>
PART II.	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>30</u>
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>31</u>
Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>34</u>
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	<u>57</u>
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>58</u>
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>58</u>
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>58</u>
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	<u>59</u>
PART III.	
Item 10. <u>Trustees, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>60</u>
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>60</u>
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>60</u>
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Trustee Independence</u>	<u>60</u>
Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>60</u>
PART IV.	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>EX-10.31</u>	
<u>EX-12</u>	
<u>EX-21</u>	
<u>EX-23.1</u>	
<u>EX-23.2</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-31.3</u>	
<u>EX 31.4</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	
<u>EX-32.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.3</u>	
<u>EX-32.4</u>	
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

6

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

General

Equity Residential (“EQR”), a Maryland real estate investment trust (“REIT”) formed in March 1993, is an S&P 500 company focused on the acquisition, development and management of high quality apartment properties in top United States growth markets. ERP Operating Limited Partnership (“ERPOP”), an Illinois limited partnership, was formed in May 1993 to conduct the multifamily residential property business of Equity Residential. EQR has elected to be taxed as a REIT. References to the “Company,” “we,” “us” or “our” mean collectively EQR, ERPOP and those entities/subsidiaries owned or controlled by EQR and/or ERPOP. References to the “Operating Partnership” mean collectively ERPOP and those entities/subsidiaries owned or controlled by ERPOP.

EQR is the general partner of, and as of December 31, 2011 owned an approximate 95.7% ownership interest in ERPOP. All of the Company's property ownership, development and related business operations are conducted through the Operating Partnership and EQR has no material assets or liabilities other than its investment in ERPOP. EQR issues public equity from time to time but does not have any indebtedness as all debt is incurred by the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership holds substantially all of the assets of the Company, including the Company's ownership interests in its joint ventures. The Operating Partnership conducts the operations of the business and is structured as a partnership with no publicly traded equity.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company, directly or indirectly through investments in title holding entities, owned all or a portion of 427 properties located in 15 states and the District of Columbia consisting of 121,974 apartment units. The ownership breakdown includes (table does not include various uncompleted development properties):

	Properties	Apartment Units
Wholly Owned Properties	404	113,157
Partially Owned Properties – Consolidated	21	3,916
Military Housing	2	4,901
	427	121,974

The Company's corporate headquarters are located in Chicago, Illinois and the Company also operates property management offices in each of its markets. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had approximately 3,800 employees who provided real estate operations, leasing, legal, financial, accounting, acquisition, disposition, development and other support functions.

Certain capitalized terms used herein are defined in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. See also Note 17 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion regarding the Company's segment disclosures.

Available Information

You may access our Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, our Current Reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to any of those reports we file with the SEC free of charge at our website, www.equityresidential.com. These reports are made available at our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we file them with the SEC.

Business Objectives and Operating and Investing Strategies

The Company invests in apartment communities located in strategically targeted markets with the goal of maximizing our risk adjusted total return (operating income plus capital appreciation) on invested capital.

Our operating focus is on balancing occupancy and rental rates to maximize our revenue while exercising tight cost control to generate the highest possible return to our shareholders. Revenue is maximized by attracting qualified prospects to our properties, cost-effectively converting these prospects into new residents and keeping our residents satisfied so they will renew their leases upon expiration. While we believe that it is our high-quality, well-located assets that bring our customers to us, it is the customer service and superior value provided by our on-site personnel that keeps them renting with us and recommending us to their friends.

We use technology to engage our customers in the way that they want to be engaged. Many of our residents utilize our web-based resident portal which allows them to sign their lease, review their account and make payments, provide feedback and make service requests on-line.

7

Table of Contents

We seek to maximize capital appreciation of our properties by investing in markets that are characterized by conditions favorable to multifamily property appreciation. These markets generally feature one or more of the following:

High barriers to entry where, because of land scarcity or government regulation, it is difficult or costly to build new apartment properties, creating limits on new supply;

High single family home prices making our apartments a more economical housing choice;

Strong economic growth leading to household formation and job growth, which in turn leads to high demand for our apartments; and

An attractive quality of life leading to high demand and retention that allows us to increase rents.

Acquisitions and developments may be financed from various sources of capital, which may include retained cash flow, issuance of additional equity and debt, sales of properties and joint venture agreements. In addition, the Company may acquire properties in transactions that include the issuance of limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership ("OP Units") as consideration for the acquired properties. Such transactions may, in certain circumstances, enable the sellers to defer, in whole or in part, the recognition of taxable income or gain that might otherwise result from the sales. The Company may acquire land parcels to hold and/or sell based on market opportunities. The Company may also seek to acquire properties by purchasing defaulted or distressed debt that encumbers desirable properties in the hope of obtaining title to property through foreclosure or deed-in-lieu of foreclosure proceedings. The Company has also, in the past, converted some of its properties and sold them as condominiums but is not currently active in this line of business.

Over the past several years, the Company has done an extensive repositioning of its portfolio from low barrier to entry/non-core markets to high barrier to entry/core markets. Since 2005, the Company has sold over 124,000 apartment units primarily in its non-core markets for an aggregate sales price of approximately \$10.0 billion, acquired over 42,000 apartment units in its core markets for approximately \$9.4 billion and began approximately \$2.7 billion of development projects in its core markets. We are currently seeking to acquire and develop assets primarily in the following targeted metropolitan areas: Boston, New York, Washington DC, South Florida, Southern California, San Francisco and Seattle. We also have investments (in the aggregate about 19.2% of our NOI at December 31, 2011) in other markets including Denver, Atlanta, Phoenix, New England (excluding Boston), Orlando and Jacksonville but do not currently intend to acquire or develop new assets in these markets.

As part of its strategy, the Company purchases completed and fully occupied apartment properties, partially completed or partially occupied properties or land on which apartment properties can be constructed. We intend to hold a diversified portfolio of assets across our target markets. As of December 31, 2011, no single metropolitan area accounted for more than 15.3% of our NOI, though no guarantee can be made that NOI concentration may not increase in the future.

We endeavor to attract and retain the best employees by providing them with the education, resources and opportunities to succeed. We provide many classroom and on-line training courses to assist our employees in interacting with prospects and residents as well as extensively train our customer service specialists in maintaining the equipment and appliances on our property sites. We actively promote from within and many senior corporate and property leaders have risen from entry level or junior positions. We monitor our employees' engagement by surveying them annually and have consistently received high engagement scores.

We have a commitment to sustainability and consider the environmental impacts of our business activities. We have a dedicated in-house team that initiates and applies sustainable practices in all aspects of our business, including transactions, property operations and property management activities. With its high density, multifamily housing is, by its nature, an environmentally friendly property type. Our recent acquisition and development activities have been primarily concentrated in pedestrian-friendly urban locations near public transportation. When developing and renovating our properties, we strive to reduce energy and water usage by investing in energy saving technology while positively impacting the experience of our residents and the value of our assets. We continue to implement a combination of irrigation, lighting and HVAC improvements at our properties that will reduce energy and water consumption.

Competition

All of the Company's properties are located in developed areas that include other multifamily properties. The number of competitive multifamily properties in a particular area could have a material effect on the Company's ability to lease apartment units at the properties or at any newly acquired properties and on the rents charged. The Company may be competing with other entities that have greater resources than the Company and whose managers have more experience than the Company's managers. In addition, other forms of rental properties and single family housing provide housing alternatives to potential residents of multifamily properties. See Item 1A. Risk Factors for additional information with respect to competition.

Table of Contents

Debt and Equity Activity

EQR issues public equity from time to time and guarantees certain debt of ERPOP. EQR does not have any indebtedness as all debt is incurred by the Operating Partnership.

Please refer to Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for the Company's and the Operating Partnership's Capital Structure charts as of December 31, 2011.

Major Debt and Equity Activities for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

During 2011:

The Company redeemed \$482.5 million of its 3.85% unsecured notes with a final maturity of 2026 at par and no premium was paid and repaid \$93.1 million of 6.95% unsecured notes at maturity.

The Company issued \$1.0 billion of ten-year 4.625% fixed rate public notes in a public offering, receiving net proceeds of \$996.2 million before underwriting fees and other expenses. The notes have an all-in effective interest rate of approximately 6.2% after termination of various forward starting swaps in conjunction with the issuance (see Note 8 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion).

The Company issued 3,866,666 Common Shares at an average price of \$52.23 per share for total consideration of \$201.9 million pursuant to its At-The-Market ("ATM") share offering program. See Note 3 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

The Company issued 2,945,948 Common Shares pursuant to its Share Incentive Plans and received net proceeds of approximately \$95.3 million.

The Company issued 113,107 Common Shares pursuant to its Employee Share Purchase Plan and received net proceeds of approximately \$5.3 million.

During 2010:

The Company issued \$600.0 million of ten-year 4.75% fixed rate public notes in a public offering at an all-in effective interest rate of 5.09%, receiving net proceeds of \$595.4 million before underwriting fees and other expenses.

The Company issued 6,151,198 Common Shares at an average price of \$47.45 per share for total consideration of \$291.9 million pursuant to its ATM share offering program. See Note 3 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

The Company issued 2,506,645 Common Shares pursuant to its Share Incentive Plans and received net proceeds of approximately \$71.6 million.

The Company issued 157,363 Common Shares pursuant to its Employee Share Purchase Plan and received net proceeds of approximately \$5.1 million.

The Company repurchased and retired 58,130 of its Common Shares at an average price of \$32.46 per share for total consideration of \$1.9 million (all related to the vesting of employee restricted shares). See Note 3 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

During 2009:

The Company obtained \$500.0 million of mortgage loan proceeds through the issuance of an 11 year (stated maturity date of July 1, 2020) cross-collateralized loan with an all-in fixed interest rate for 10 years at approximately 5.6% secured by 13 properties.

The Company issued 3,497,300 Common Share at an average price of \$35.38 per share for total consideration of \$123.7 million pursuant to its ATM share offering program. See Note 3 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

The Company issued 422,713 Common Shares pursuant to its Share Incentive Plans and received net proceeds of approximately \$9.1 million.

The Company issued 324,394 Common Shares pursuant to its Employee Share Purchase Plan and received net proceeds of approximately \$5.3 million.

Table of Contents

The Company repurchased and retired 47,450 of its Common Shares at an average price of \$23.69 per share for total consideration of \$1.1 million (all related to the vesting of employee restricted shares). See Note 3 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

The Company repurchased \$75.8 million of its 5.20% fixed rate tax-exempt notes.

The Company repurchased at par \$105.2 million of its 4.75% fixed rate public notes due June 15, 2009. In addition, the Company repaid the remaining \$122.2 million of its 4.75% fixed rate public notes at maturity.

The Company repurchased \$185.2 million at par and \$21.7 million at a price of 106% of par of its 6.95% fixed rate public notes due March 2, 2011.

The Company repurchased \$146.1 million of its 6.625% fixed rate public notes due March 15, 2012 at a price of 108% of par.

The Company repurchased \$127.9 million of its 5.50% fixed rate public notes due October 1, 2012 at a price of 107% of par.

The Company repurchased \$17.5 million of its 3.85% convertible fixed rate public notes due August 15, 2026 (putable in 2011) at a price of 88.4% of par. In addition, the Company repurchased \$48.5 million of these notes at par. EQR contributed all of the net proceeds of the above equity offerings to ERPOP in exchange for OP Units or preference units.

During the first quarter of 2012 through February 17, 2012, the Company has issued approximately 2.1 million Common Shares at an average price of \$59.47 per share for total consideration of approximately \$123.6 million through the ATM share offering program.

An unlimited amount of equity and debt securities remains available for issuance by EQR and ERPOP under effective shelf registration statements filed with the SEC. Most recently, EQR and ERPOP filed a universal shelf registration statement for an unlimited amount of equity and debt securities that became automatically effective upon filing with the SEC in October 2010 and expires on October 15, 2013. However, as of February 17, 2012, issuances under the ATM share offering program are limited to 7.1 million additional shares. Per the terms of ERPOP's partnership agreement, EQR contributes the net proceeds of all equity offerings to the capital of ERPOP in exchange for additional OP Units (on a one-for-one Common Share per OP Unit basis) or preference units (on a one-for-one preferred share per preference unit basis).

On June 16, 2011, the shareholders of EQR approved the Company's 2011 Share Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan") and the Company has filed a Form S-8 registration statement to register 12,980,741 Common Shares under this plan. As of December 31, 2011, 12,473,580 shares were available for future issuance. See Note 12 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Credit Facilities

EQR does not have any indebtedness as all debt is incurred by the Operating Partnership. EQR guarantees the Operating Partnership's revolving credit facility up to the maximum amount and for the full term of the facility.

In July 2011, the Company replaced its then existing unsecured revolving credit facility with a new \$1.25 billion unsecured revolving credit facility maturing on July 13, 2014, subject to a one-year extension option exercisable by the Company. The Company has the ability to increase available borrowings by an additional \$500.0 million by adding additional banks to the facility or obtaining the agreement of existing banks to increase their commitments. The interest rate on advances under the new credit facility will generally be LIBOR plus a spread (currently 1.15%) and the Company pays an annual facility fee of 0.2%. Both the spread and the facility fee are dependent on the credit rating of the Company's long-term debt. This facility replaced the Company's existing \$1.425 billion facility which was scheduled to mature in February 2012.

As of December 31, 2011, the amount available on the new credit facility was \$1.22 billion (net of \$31.8 million which was restricted/dedicated to support letters of credit) and there was no amount outstanding. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the weighted average interest rate was 1.42%. As of December 31, 2010, the amount available on the old credit facility was \$1.28 billion (net of \$147.3 million which was restricted/dedicated to support

letters of credit and net of \$75.0 million which had been committed by a now bankrupt financial institution and was not available for borrowing) and there was no amount outstanding. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the weighted average interest rate was 0.66%.

See Note 18 for discussion on the increase of available borrowings on the new \$1.25 billion unsecured revolving credit facility and the new senior unsecured \$500.0 million delayed draw term loan facility.

Table of Contents

Environmental Considerations

See Item 1A. Risk Factors for information concerning the potential effects of environmental regulations on our operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

General

References to "EQR" mean Equity Residential, a Maryland real estate investment trust ("REIT"), and references to "ERPOP" mean ERP Operating Limited Partnership, an Illinois limited partnership. Unless otherwise indicated, when used in this section, the terms "Company," "we," "us" or "our" mean collectively EQR, ERPOP and those entities/subsidiaries owned or controlled by EQR and/or ERPOP and the term "Operating Partnership" means collectively ERPOP and those entities/subsidiaries owned or controlled by ERPOP. This Item 1A. includes forward-looking statements. You should refer to our discussion of the qualifications and limitations on forward-looking statements included in Item 7.

The occurrence of the events discussed in the following risk factors could adversely affect, possibly in a material manner, our business, financial condition or results of operations, which could adversely affect the value of our common shares of beneficial interest or preferred shares of beneficial interest (which we refer to collectively as "Shares"), preference units, limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership ("OP Units"), Long-Term Incentive Plan Units ("LTIP Units") and our public unsecured debt. In this section, we refer to the Shares, preference units, OP Units, LTIP Units and public unsecured debt together as our "securities" and the investors who own Shares/Units, OP/LTIP Units and public unsecured debt as our "security holders".

Our Performance and Securities Value are Subject to Risks Associated with the Real Estate Industry

General

Real property investments are subject to varying degrees of risk and are relatively illiquid. Numerous factors may adversely affect the economic performance and value of our properties and the ability to realize that value. These factors include changes in the global, national, regional and local economic climates, local conditions such as an oversupply of multifamily properties or a reduction in demand for our multifamily properties, the attractiveness of our properties to residents, competition from other multifamily properties and single family homes and changes in market rental rates. Our performance also depends on our ability to collect rent from residents and to pay for adequate maintenance, insurance and other operating costs, including real estate taxes, all of which could increase over time. Sources of labor and materials required for maintenance, repair, capital expenditure or development may be more expensive than anticipated. Also, the expenses of owning and operating a property are not necessarily reduced when circumstances such as market factors and competition cause a reduction in income from the property.

We May Not Have Sufficient Cash Flows From Operations After Capital Expenditures to Cover Our Distributions and Our Dividend Policy May Lead to Quicker Dividend Reductions

We generally consider our cash flows provided by operating activities after capital expenditures to be adequate to meet operating requirements and payment of distributions to our security holders. However, there may be times when we experience shortfalls in our coverage of distributions, which may cause us to consider reducing our distributions and/or using the proceeds from property dispositions or additional financing transactions to make up the difference. Should these shortfalls occur for lengthy periods of time or be material in nature, our financial condition may be adversely affected and we may not be able to maintain our current distribution levels. While our dividend policy makes it less likely we will over distribute, it will also lead to a dividend reduction more quickly than a fixed dividend policy should operating results deteriorate. See Item 7 for additional discussion regarding our dividend policy.

We May Be Unable to Renew Leases or Relet Apartment Units as Leases Expire

When our residents decide to leave our apartments, whether because they decide not to renew their leases or they leave prior to their lease expiration date, we may not be able to relet their apartment units. Even if the residents do renew or we can relet the apartment units, the terms of renewal or reletting may be less favorable than current lease terms. If we are unable to promptly renew the leases or relet the apartment units, or if the rental rates upon renewal or reletting are significantly lower than expected rates, then our results of operations and financial condition will be adversely affected. If residents do not experience increases in their income, we may be unable to increase rent and/or delinquencies may increase. Occupancy levels and market rents may be adversely affected by national and local

economic and market conditions including, without limitation, new construction and excess inventory of multifamily and single family housing, rental housing subsidized by the government, other

Table of Contents

government programs that favor single family rental housing or owner occupied housing over multifamily rental housing, slow or negative employment growth and household formation, the availability of low interest mortgages for single family home buyers, changes in social preferences and the potential for geopolitical instability, all of which are beyond the Company's control. In addition, various state and local municipalities are considering and may continue to consider rent control legislation which could limit our ability to raise rents. Finally, the federal government's policies, many of which may encourage home ownership, can increase competition and possibly limit our ability to raise rents. Consequently, our cash flow and ability to service debt and make distributions to security holders could be reduced.

New Acquisitions and/or Development Projects May Fail to Perform as Expected and Competition for Acquisitions May Result in Increased Prices for Properties

We intend to actively acquire and/or develop multifamily properties for rental operations as market conditions dictate. We may also acquire multifamily properties that are unoccupied or in the early stages of lease up. We may be unable to lease up these apartment properties on schedule, resulting in decreases in expected rental revenues and/or lower yields due to lower occupancy and rates as well as higher than expected concessions. We may underestimate the costs necessary to bring an acquired property up to standards established for its intended market position or to complete a development property. Additionally, we expect that other real estate investors with capital will compete with us for attractive investment opportunities or may also develop properties in markets where we focus our development and acquisition efforts. This competition (or lack thereof) may increase (or depress) prices for multifamily properties. We may not be in a position or have the opportunity in the future to make suitable property acquisitions on favorable terms. We have acquired in the past and intend to continue to pursue the acquisition of properties and portfolios of properties, including large portfolios, that could increase our size and result in alterations to our capital structure. The total number of apartment units under development, costs of development and estimated completion dates are subject to uncertainties arising from changing economic conditions (such as the cost of labor and construction materials), competition and local government regulation.

In connection with such government regulation, we may incur liability if our properties are not constructed and operated in compliance with the accessibility provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Fair Housing Act or other federal, state or local requirements. Noncompliance could result in fines, subject us to lawsuits and require us to remediate or repair the noncompliance.

Risks Involved in Real Estate Activity Through Joint Ventures

We have in the past and may in the future develop and acquire properties in joint ventures with other persons or entities when we believe circumstances warrant the use of such structures. Joint venture investments involve risks, including the possibility that our partners might refuse to make capital contributions when due; that we may be responsible to our partners for indemnifiable losses; that our partners might at any time have business or economic goals which are inconsistent with ours; and that our partners may be in a position to take action or withhold consent contrary to our instructions or requests. Frequently, we and our partners may each have the right to trigger a buy-sell arrangement, which could cause us to sell our interest, or acquire our partners' interest, at a time when we otherwise would not have initiated such a transaction. In some instances, joint venture partners may have competing interests in our markets that could create conflicts of interest. Further, the Company's joint venture partners may experience financial distress, including bankruptcy, and to the extent they do not meet their obligations to us or our joint ventures with them, we may be adversely affected.

Because Real Estate Investments Are Illiquid, We May Not Be Able to Sell Properties When Appropriate

Real estate investments generally cannot be sold quickly. We may not be able to reconfigure our portfolio promptly in response to economic or other conditions. This inability to reallocate our capital promptly could adversely affect our financial condition and ability to make distributions to our security holders.

The Value of Investment Securities Could Result In Losses to the Company

From time to time, the Company holds investment securities and/or cash investments that have a higher risk profile than the government obligations and bond funds, money market funds or bank deposits in which we generally invest. On occasion we may purchase securities of companies in our own industry as a means to invest funds. There may be times when we experience declines in the value of these investment securities, which may result in losses to the Company and our financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected. Sometimes the cash we

deposit at a bank exceeds the FDIC insurance limit resulting in risk to the Company of loss of funds if these banks fail.

12

Table of Contents

Changes in Market Conditions and Volatility of Share Prices Could Adversely Affect the Market Price of Our Common Shares

The stock markets, including the New York Stock Exchange, on which we list our Common Shares, have experienced significant price and volume fluctuations. As a result, the market price of our Common Shares could be similarly volatile, and investors in our Common Shares may experience a decrease in the value of their shares, including decreases unrelated to our operating performance or prospects. The market price of our Common Shares may decline or fluctuate significantly in response to many factors, including but not limited to the following:

- general market and economic conditions;
- actual or anticipated variations in our guidance, quarterly operating results or dividends;
- changes in our funds from operations, normalized funds from operations or earnings estimates;
- difficulties or inability to access capital or extend or refinance debt;
- decreasing (or uncertainty in) real estate valuations;
- a change in analyst ratings;
- adverse market reaction to any additional debt we incur in the future;
- governmental regulatory action, including changes or proposed changes to the mandates of Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac, and changes in tax laws; and
- the issuance of additional Common Shares, or the perception that such issuances might occur, including under EQR's ATM program.

Changes in Laws and Litigation Risk Could Affect Our Business

We are generally not able to pass through to our residents under existing leases any real estate or other federal, state or local taxes. Consequently, any such tax increases may adversely affect our financial condition and limit our ability to make distributions to our security holders.

We may become involved in legal proceedings, including but not limited to, proceedings related to consumer, employment, environmental, development, condominium conversion, tort and commercial legal issues that, if decided adversely to or settled by us, could result in liability material to our financial condition or results of operations.

Any Weaknesses Identified in Our Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Could Have an Adverse Effect on Our Share Price

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires us to evaluate and report on our internal control over financial reporting. If we identify one or more material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, we could lose investor confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, which in turn could have an adverse effect on our share price.

Environmental Problems Are Possible and Can Be Costly

Federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment may require a current or previous owner or operator of real estate to investigate and clean up hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum product releases at such property. The owner or operator may have to pay a governmental entity or third parties for property damage and for investigation and clean-up costs incurred by such parties in connection with the contamination. These laws typically impose clean-up responsibility and liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of or caused the presence of the contaminants. Even if more than one person may have been responsible for the contamination, each person covered by the environmental laws may be held responsible for all of the clean-up costs incurred. In addition, third parties may sue the owner or operator of a site for damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination emanating from that site.

Substantially all of our properties have been the subject of environmental assessments completed by qualified independent environmental consulting companies. While these environmental assessments have not revealed, nor are we aware of, any environmental liability that our management believes would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition or liquidity, there can be no assurance that we will not incur such liabilities in the future.

Table of Contents

There have been an increasing number of lawsuits against owners and managers of multifamily properties alleging personal injury and property damage caused by the presence of mold in residential real estate. As some of these lawsuits have resulted in substantial monetary judgments or settlements, insurance carriers have reacted by excluding mold-related claims from standard policies and pricing mold endorsements at prohibitively high rates. While we have adopted programs designed to minimize the existence of mold in any of our properties as well as guidelines for promptly addressing and resolving reports of mold to minimize any impact mold might have on our residents or the property, should mold become an issue in the future, our financial condition or results of operations may be adversely affected.

We cannot be assured that existing environmental assessments of our properties reveal all environmental liabilities, that any prior owner of any of our properties did not create a material environmental condition not known to us, or that a material environmental condition does not otherwise exist as to any of our properties.

Climate Change

To the extent that climate change does occur, we may experience extreme weather and changes in precipitation and temperature, all of which may result in physical damage or a decrease in demand for properties located in these areas or affected by these conditions. Should the impact of climate change be material in nature, including destruction of our properties, or occur for lengthy periods of time, our financial condition or results of operations may be adversely affected.

In addition, changes in federal and state legislation and regulation on climate change could result in increased capital expenditures to improve the energy efficiency of our existing properties and could also require us to spend more on our new development properties without a corresponding increase in revenue.

Insurance Policy Deductibles, Exclusions and Counterparties

As of December 31, 2011, the Company's property insurance policy provides for a per occurrence deductible of \$250,000 and self-insured retention of \$5.0 million per occurrence, subject to a maximum annual aggregate self-insured retention of \$7.5 million, with approximately 80% of any excess losses being covered by insurance. Any earthquake and named windstorm losses are subject to a deductible of 5% of the values of the buildings involved in the losses and are not subject to the aggregate self-insured retention. The Company's general liability and worker's compensation policies at December 31, 2011 provide for a \$2.0 million and \$1.0 million per occurrence deductible, respectively. These higher deductible and self-insured retention amounts do expose the Company to greater potential uninsured losses, but management has reviewed its claims history over the years and believes the savings in insurance premium expense justify this potential increased exposure over the long-term. However, the potential impact of climate change and increased severe weather could cause a significant increase in insurance premiums and deductibles, particularly for our coastal properties, or a decrease in the availability of coverage, either of which could expose the Company to even greater uninsured losses which may adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations.

As a result of the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, property insurance carriers created exclusions for losses from terrorism from our "all risk" property insurance policies. As of December 31, 2011, under a separate terrorism insurance policy, the Company was insured for \$500.0 million in terrorism insurance coverage, with a \$100,000 deductible. This coverage excludes losses from nuclear, biological and chemical attacks. In the event of a terrorist attack impacting one or more of our properties, we could lose the revenues from the property, our capital investment in the property and possibly face liability claims from residents or others suffering injuries or losses. The Company has become more susceptible to large losses as it has transformed its portfolio, becoming more concentrated in fewer, more valuable assets over a smaller geographical footprint.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company's cyber liability insurance policy provides for a per occurrence deductible of \$250,000 and a \$5.0 million general limit. Cyber liability insurance generally covers costs associated with the wrongful release, through inadvertent breach or network attack of personally identifiable information such as social security or credit card numbers. This cyber policy would cover the cost of victim notification, credit monitoring and other crisis response expenses.

In addition, the Company relies on third party insurance providers for its property, general liability and worker's compensation insurance. While there has yet to be any non-performance by these major insurance providers, should

any of them experience liquidity issues or other financial distress, it could negatively impact the Company.

Non-Performance by Our Operating Counterparties Could Adversely Affect Our Performance

We have relationships with and, from time to time, we execute transactions with or receive services from many counterparties. As a result, defaults by counterparties could result in services not being provided, or volatility in the financial

Table of Contents

markets could affect counterparties' ability to complete transactions with us as intended, both of which could result in disruptions to our operations that may adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Debt Financing and Preferred Shares/Preference Units Could Adversely Affect Our Performance

General

Please refer to Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for the Company's total debt and unsecured debt summaries as of December 31, 2011.

In addition to debt, we have \$200.0 million of combined liquidation value of outstanding preferred shares of beneficial interest/preference units with a weighted average dividend preference of 6.93% per annum as of December 31, 2011.

Our use of debt and preferred equity financing creates certain risks, including the following:

Disruptions in the Financial Markets Could Adversely Affect Our Ability to Obtain Debt Financing and Impact our Acquisitions and Dispositions

Dislocations and liquidity disruptions in capital and credit markets could impact liquidity in the debt markets, resulting in financing terms that are less attractive to us and/or the unavailability of certain types of debt financing. Should the capital and credit markets experience volatility and the availability of funds again become limited, or be available only on unattractive terms, we will incur increased costs associated with issuing debt instruments. In addition, it is possible that our ability to access the capital and credit markets may be limited or precluded by these or other factors at a time when we would like, or need, to do so, which would adversely impact our ability to refinance maturing debt and/or react to changing economic and business conditions. Uncertainty in the credit markets could negatively impact our ability to make acquisitions and make it more difficult or not possible for us to sell properties or may adversely affect the price we receive for properties that we do sell, as prospective buyers may experience increased costs of debt financing or difficulties in obtaining debt financing. Potential continued disruptions in the financial markets could also have other unknown adverse effects on us or the economy generally and may cause the price of our Common Shares to fluctuate significantly and/or to decline.

Potential Reforms to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Could Adversely Affect Our Performance

There is significant uncertainty surrounding the futures of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (the "Government Sponsored Enterprises" or "GSEs"). Should the GSEs have their mandates changed or reduced, lose key personnel, be disbanded or reorganized by the government or otherwise discontinue providing liquidity to our sector, it would significantly reduce our access to debt capital and/or increase borrowing costs and would significantly reduce our sales of assets and/or the values realized upon sale. Disruptions in the floating rate tax-exempt bond market (where interest rates reset weekly) and in the credit market's perception of the GSEs, which guarantee and provide liquidity for many of these bonds, have been experienced in the past and may be experienced in the future and could result in an increase in interest rates on these debt obligations. These bonds could also be put to our consolidated subsidiaries if the GSEs fail to satisfy their guaranty obligations. While this obligation is in almost all cases non-recourse to us, this could cause the Company to have to repay these obligations on short notice or risk foreclosure actions on the collateralized assets.

Non-Performance by Our Financial Counterparties Could Adversely Affect Our Performance

Although we have not experienced any material counterparty non-performance, disruptions in financial and credit markets could, among other things, impede the ability of our counterparties to perform on their contractual obligations. There are multiple financial institutions that are individually committed to lend us varying amounts as part of our revolving credit facility and delayed draw term loan facility. Should any of these institutions fail to fund their committed amounts when contractually required, our financial condition could be adversely affected. Should several of these institutions fail to fund, we could experience significant financial distress.

The Company also has developed assets with joint venture partners which were financed by financial institutions that have experienced varying degrees of distress in the past and could experience similar distress as economic conditions change. If one or more of these lenders fail to fund when contractually required, the Company or its joint venture partner may be unable to complete construction of its development properties.

Table of Contents

A Significant Downgrade in Our Credit Ratings Could Adversely Affect Our Performance

A significant downgrade in our credit ratings, while not affecting our ability to draw proceeds under the revolving credit facility and delayed draw term loan facility, would cause our borrowing costs to increase under the facility and impact our ability to borrow secured and unsecured debt, or otherwise limit our access to capital. In addition, a downgrade below investment grade would require us to post cash collateral and/or letters of credit in favor of some of our secured lenders to cover our self-insured property and liability insurance deductibles or to obtain lower deductible insurance compliant with the lenders' requirements at the lower ratings level.

Scheduled Debt Payments Could Adversely Affect Our Financial Condition

In the future, our cash flow could be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest or to pay distributions on our securities at expected levels.

We may not be able to refinance existing debt, including joint venture indebtedness (which in virtually all cases requires substantial principal payments at maturity) and, if we can, the terms of such refinancing might not be as favorable as the terms of existing indebtedness. If principal payments due at maturity cannot be refinanced, extended or paid with proceeds of other capital transactions, such as new equity capital, our operating cash flow will not be sufficient in all years to repay all maturing debt. As a result, certain of our other debt may cross default, we may be forced to postpone capital expenditures necessary for the maintenance of our properties, we may have to dispose of one or more properties on terms that would otherwise be unacceptable to us or we may be forced to allow the mortgage holder to foreclose on a property. Foreclosure on mortgaged properties or an inability to refinance existing indebtedness would likely have a negative impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Please refer to Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for the Company's debt maturity schedule as of December 31, 2011.

Financial Covenants Could Adversely Affect the Company's Financial Condition

The mortgages on our properties may contain customary negative covenants that, among other things, limit our ability, without the prior consent of the lender, to further mortgage the property and to reduce or change insurance coverage. In addition, our unsecured credit facilities contain certain restrictions, requirements and other limitations on our ability to incur debt. The indentures under which a substantial portion of our unsecured debt was issued also contain certain financial and operating covenants including, among other things, maintenance of certain financial ratios, as well as limitations on our ability to incur secured and unsecured debt (including acquisition financing), and to sell all or substantially all of our assets. Our credit facilities and indentures are cross-defaulted and also contain cross default provisions with other material debt. While the Company believes it was in compliance with its unsecured public debt covenants for both the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, should it fall out of compliance, it would likely have a negative impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Some of the properties were financed with tax-exempt bonds that contain certain restrictive covenants or deed restrictions. The Company, and from time to time its consultants, monitor compliance with the restrictive covenants and deed restrictions that affect these properties. If these bond compliance requirements restrict our ability to increase our rental rates to low or moderate-income residents, or eligible/qualified residents, then our income from these properties may be limited. While we generally believe that the interest rate benefit attendant to properties with tax-exempt bonds more than outweighs any loss of income due to restrictive covenants or deed restrictions, this may not always be the case. Some of these requirements are complex and our failure to comply with them may subject us to material fines or liabilities.

Our Degree of Leverage Could Limit Our Ability to Obtain Additional Financing

Our degree of leverage could have important consequences to security holders. For example, the degree of leverage could affect our ability to obtain additional financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, development or other general corporate purposes, making us more vulnerable to a downturn in business or the economy in general. Our consolidated debt-to-total market capitalization ratio was 35.1% as of December 31, 2011. In addition, our most restrictive unsecured public debt covenants are as follows:

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010		
Total Debt to Adjusted Total Assets (not to exceed 60%)	46.0	% 48.5	%	
Secured Debt to Adjusted Total Assets (not to exceed 40%)	19.4	% 23.2	%	
Consolidated Income Available for Debt Service to Maximum Annual Service Charges (must be at least 1.5 to 1)	2.59	2.46		
Total Unsecured Assets to Unsecured Debt (must be at least 150%)	259.9	% 256.0	%	

Rising Interest Rates Could Adversely Affect Cash Flow

Advances under our credit facilities bear interest at variable rates based upon LIBOR at various interest periods, plus a spread dependent upon the Operating Partnership's credit rating, or based upon bids received from the lending group. Certain public issuances of our senior unsecured debt instruments may also, from time to time, bear interest at floating rates. We may also borrow additional money with variable interest rates in the future. Increases in interest rates would increase our interest expense under these debt instruments and would increase the costs of refinancing existing debt and of issuing new debt. Accordingly, higher interest rates could adversely affect cash flow and our ability to service our debt and make distributions to security holders.

Derivatives and Hedging Activity Could Adversely Affect Cash Flow

In the normal course of business, we use derivatives to manage our exposure to interest rate volatility on debt instruments, including hedging for future debt issuances. At other times we may utilize derivatives to increase our exposure to floating interest rates. There can be no assurance that these hedging arrangements will have the desired beneficial impact. These arrangements, which can include a number of counterparties, may expose us to additional risks, including failure of any of our counterparties to perform under these contracts, and may involve extensive costs, such as transaction fees or breakage costs, if we terminate them. No strategy can completely insulate us from the risks associated with interest rate fluctuations.

We Depend on Our Key Personnel

We depend on the efforts of the Chairman of our Board of Trustees, Samuel Zell, and our executive officers, particularly David J. Neithercut, our President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"). If they resign or otherwise cease to be employed by us, our operations could be temporarily adversely affected. Mr. Zell has entered into retirement benefit and noncompetition agreements with the Company.

Control and Influence by Significant Security Holders Could Be Exercised in a Manner Adverse to Other Security Holders

The consent of certain affiliates of Mr. Zell is required for certain amendments to the Sixth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Operating Partnership (the "Partnership Agreement"). As a result of their security ownership and rights concerning amendments to the Partnership Agreement, the security holders referred to herein may have influence over the Company. Although to the Company's knowledge these security holders have not agreed to act together on any matter, they would be in a position to exercise even more influence over the Company's affairs if they were to act together in the future. This influence could conceivably be exercised in a manner that is inconsistent with the interests of other security holders. For additional information regarding the security ownership of our trustees, including Mr. Zell, and our executive officers, see Equity Residential's definitive proxy statement.

Shareholders' Ability to Effect Changes in Control of the Company is Limited**Provisions of Our Declaration of Trust and Bylaws Could Inhibit Changes in Control**

Certain provisions of our Declaration of Trust and Bylaws may delay or prevent a change in control of the Company or other transactions that could provide the security holders with a premium over the then-prevailing market price of their securities or which might otherwise be in the best interest of our security holders. This includes the 5% Ownership Limit described below. While our existing preferred shares/preference units do not have these provisions, any future series of preferred shares/preference units may have certain voting provisions that could delay or prevent a change in control or other transactions that might otherwise be in the interest of our security holders. Our Bylaws require certain information to be provided by any security holder, or persons

Table of Contents

acting in concert with such security holder, who proposes business or a nominee at an annual meeting of shareholders, including disclosure of information related to hedging activities and investment strategies with respect to our securities. These requirements could delay or prevent a change in control or other transactions that might otherwise be in the interest of our security holders.

We Have a Share Ownership Limit for REIT Tax Purposes

To remain qualified as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, not more than 50% in value of our outstanding Shares may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals at any time during the last half of any year. To facilitate maintenance of our REIT qualification, our Declaration of Trust, subject to certain exceptions, prohibits ownership by any single shareholder of more than 5% of the lesser of the number or value of the outstanding class of common or preferred shares. We refer to this restriction as the "Ownership Limit." Absent any exemption or waiver granted by our Board of Trustees, securities acquired or held in violation of the Ownership Limit will be transferred to a trust for the exclusive benefit of a designated charitable beneficiary, and the security holder's rights to distributions and to vote would terminate. A transfer of Shares may be void if it causes a person to violate the Ownership Limit. The Ownership Limit could delay or prevent a change in control and, therefore, could adversely affect our security holders' ability to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price for their Shares. To reduce the ability of the Board to use the Ownership Limit as an anti-takeover device, the Company's Ownership Limit requires, rather than permits, the Board to grant a waiver of the Ownership Limit if the individual seeking a waiver demonstrates that such ownership would not jeopardize the Company's status as a REIT.

Our Preferred Shares May Affect Changes in Control

Our Declaration of Trust authorizes the Board of Trustees to issue up to 100 million preferred shares, and to establish the preferences and rights (including the right to vote and the right to convert into common shares) of any preferred shares issued. The Board of Trustees may use its powers to issue preferred shares and to set the terms of such securities to delay or prevent a change in control of the Company, even if a change in control were in the interest of security holders.

Inapplicability of Maryland Law Limiting Certain Changes in Control

Certain provisions of Maryland law applicable to real estate investment trusts prohibit "business combinations" (including certain issuances of equity securities) with any person who beneficially owns ten percent or more of the voting power of outstanding securities, or with an affiliate who, at any time within the two-year period prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner of ten percent or more of the voting power of the Company's outstanding voting securities (an "Interested Shareholder"), or with an affiliate of an Interested Shareholder. These prohibitions last for five years after the most recent date on which the Interested Shareholder became an Interested Shareholder. After the five-year period, a business combination with an Interested Shareholder must be approved by two super-majority shareholder votes unless, among other conditions, holders of common shares receive a minimum price for their shares and the consideration is received in cash or in the same form as previously paid by the Interested Shareholder for its common shares. As permitted by Maryland law, however, the Board of Trustees of the Company has opted out of these restrictions with respect to any business combination involving Mr. Zell and certain of his affiliates and persons acting in concert with them. Consequently, the five-year prohibition and the super-majority vote requirements will not apply to a business combination involving us and/or any of them. Such business combinations may not be in the best interest of our security holders.

Our Success as a REIT Is Dependent on Compliance with Federal Income Tax Requirements

Our Failure to Qualify as a REIT Would Have Serious Adverse Consequences to Our Security Holders

We believe that we have qualified for taxation as a REIT for federal income tax purposes since our taxable year ended December 31, 1992 based, in part, upon opinions of tax counsel received whenever we have issued equity securities or engaged in significant merger transactions. We plan to continue to meet the requirements for taxation as a REIT. Many of these requirements, however, are highly technical and complex. We cannot, therefore, guarantee that we have qualified or will qualify in the future as a REIT. The determination that we are a REIT requires an analysis of various factual matters that may not be totally within our control. For example, to qualify as a REIT, our gross income must generally come from rental and other real estate or passive related sources that are itemized in the REIT tax laws. We are also required to distribute to security holders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income excluding capital gains. The

fact that we hold our assets through the Operating Partnership further complicates the application of the REIT requirements. Even a technical or inadvertent mistake could jeopardize our REIT status. Furthermore, Congress and the IRS might make changes to the tax laws and regulations, and the courts might issue new rulings that make it more difficult, or impossible, for us to remain qualified as a REIT. We do not believe, however, that any pending or proposed tax law changes would jeopardize our REIT status. In addition, Congress and the IRS have recently liberalized the REIT qualification rules to permit REITs in certain circumstances to pay a monetary penalty for inadvertent mistakes rather than lose REIT status.

Table of Contents

If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates. Also, unless the IRS granted us relief under certain statutory provisions, we would remain disqualified from taxation as a REIT for four years following the year in which we failed to qualify as a REIT. If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we would have to pay significant income taxes. We, therefore, would have less money available for investments or for distributions to security holders. This would likely have a significant adverse effect on the value of our securities. In addition, we would no longer be required to make any distributions to security holders. Even if we qualify as a REIT, we are and will continue to be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property. In addition, various business activities which generate income that is not qualifying income for a REIT are conducted through taxable REIT subsidiaries and will be subject to federal and state income tax at regular corporate rates to the extent they generate taxable income.

We Could Be Disqualified as a REIT or Have to Pay Taxes if Our Merger Partners Did Not Qualify as REITs

If any of our prior merger partners had failed to qualify as a REIT throughout the duration of their existence, then they might have had undistributed "Subchapter C corporation earnings and profits" at the time of their merger with us. If that was the case and we did not distribute those earnings and profits prior to the end of the year in which the merger took place, we might not qualify as a REIT. We believe based, in part, upon opinions of legal counsel received pursuant to the terms of our merger agreements as well as our own investigations, among other things, that each of our prior merger partners qualified as a REIT and that, in any event, none of them had any undistributed "Subchapter C corporation earnings and profits" at the time of their merger with us. If any of our prior merger partners failed to qualify as a REIT, an additional concern would be that they could have been required to recognize taxable gain at the time they merged with us. We would be liable for the tax on such gain. We also could have to pay corporate income tax on any gain existing at the time of the applicable merger on assets acquired in the merger if the assets are sold within ten years of the merger.

Compliance with REIT Distribution Requirements May Affect Our Financial Condition

Distribution Requirements May Increase the Indebtedness of the Company

We may be required from time to time, under certain circumstances, to accrue as income for tax purposes interest and rent earned but not yet received. In such event, or upon our repayment of principal on debt, we could have taxable income without sufficient cash to enable us to meet the distribution requirements of a REIT. Accordingly, we could be required to borrow funds or liquidate investments on adverse terms in order to meet these distribution requirements.

Tax Elections Regarding Distributions May Impact Future Liquidity of the Company

During 2008 and 2009, we did make, and under certain circumstances may consider making again in the future, a tax election to treat future distributions to shareholders as distributions in the current year. This election, which is provided for in the REIT tax code, may allow us to avoid increasing our dividends or paying additional income taxes in the current year. However, this could result in a constraint on our ability to decrease our dividends in future years without creating risk of either violating the REIT distribution requirements or generating additional income tax liability.

Federal Income Tax Considerations

General

The following discussion summarizes the federal income tax considerations material to a holder of common shares. It is not exhaustive of all possible tax considerations. For example, it does not give a detailed discussion of any state, local or foreign tax considerations. The following discussion also does not address all tax matters that may be relevant to prospective shareholders in light of their particular circumstances. Moreover, it does not address all tax matters that may be relevant to shareholders who are subject to special treatment under the tax laws, such as insurance companies, tax-exempt entities, financial institutions or broker-dealers, foreign corporations, persons who are not citizens or residents of the United States and persons who own shares through a partnership or other entity treated as a flow-through entity for federal income tax purposes.

The specific tax attributes of a particular shareholder could have a material impact on the tax considerations associated with the purchase, ownership and disposition of common shares. Therefore, it is essential that each prospective shareholder consult with his or her own tax advisors with regard to the application of the federal income tax laws to the shareholder's personal tax situation, as well as any tax consequences arising under the laws of any state, local or

foreign taxing jurisdiction.

The information in this section is based on the current Internal Revenue Code, current, temporary and proposed Treasury

19

Table of Contents

regulations, the legislative history of the Internal Revenue Code, current administrative interpretations and practices of the Internal Revenue Service, including its practices and policies as set forth in private letter rulings, which are not binding on the Internal Revenue Service, and existing court decisions. Future legislation, regulations, administrative interpretations and court decisions could change current law or adversely affect existing interpretations of current law. Any change could apply retroactively. Thus, it is possible that the Internal Revenue Service could challenge the statements in this discussion, which do not bind the Internal Revenue Service or the courts, and that a court could agree with the Internal Revenue Service.

Our Taxation

We elected REIT status beginning with the year that ended December 31, 1992. In any year in which we qualify as a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on the portion of our REIT taxable income or capital gain that we distribute to our shareholders. This treatment substantially eliminates the double taxation that applies to most corporations, which pay a tax on their income and then distribute dividends to shareholders who are in turn taxed on the amount they receive. We elected taxable REIT subsidiary status for certain of our corporate subsidiaries, primarily those engaged in condominium conversion and sale activities. As a result, we will be subject to federal income taxes for activities performed by our taxable REIT subsidiaries.

We will be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates upon our REIT taxable income or capital gains that we do not distribute to our shareholders. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% excise tax if we do not satisfy specific REIT distribution requirements. We could also be subject to the “alternative minimum tax” on our items of tax preference. In addition, any net income from “prohibited transactions” (i.e., dispositions of property, other than property held by a taxable REIT subsidiary, held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business) will be subject to a 100% tax. We could also be subject to a 100% penalty tax on certain payments received from or on certain expenses deducted by a taxable REIT subsidiary if any such transaction is not respected by the Internal Revenue Service. If we fail to satisfy the 75% gross income test or the 95% gross income test (described below) but have maintained our qualification as a REIT because we satisfied certain other requirements, we will still generally be subject to a 100% penalty tax on the taxable income attributable to the gross income that caused the income test failure. If we fail to satisfy any of the REIT asset tests (described below) by more than a de minimis amount, due to reasonable cause, and we nonetheless maintain our REIT qualification because of specified cure provisions, we will be required to pay a tax equal to the greater of \$50,000 or the highest marginal corporate tax rate multiplied by the net income generated by the non-qualifying assets. If we fail to satisfy any provision of the Internal Revenue Code that would result in our failure to qualify as a REIT (other than a violation of the REIT gross income or asset tests described below) and the violation is due to reasonable cause, we may retain our REIT qualification but we will be required to pay a penalty of \$50,000 for each such failure. Moreover, we may be subject to taxes in certain situations and on certain transactions that we do not presently contemplate.

We believe that we have qualified as a REIT for all of our taxable years beginning with 1992. We also believe that our current structure and method of operation is such that we will continue to qualify as a REIT. However, given the complexity of the REIT qualification requirements, we cannot provide any assurance that the actual results of our operations have satisfied or will satisfy the requirements under the Internal Revenue Code for a particular year. If we fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT in any taxable year and the relief provisions described herein do not apply, we will be subject to tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates. We also may be subject to the corporate “alternative minimum tax.” As a result, our failure to qualify as a REIT would significantly reduce the cash we have available to distribute to our shareholders. Unless entitled to statutory relief, we would not be able to re-elect to be taxed as a REIT until our fifth taxable year after the year of disqualification. It is not possible to state whether we would be entitled to statutory relief.

Our qualification and taxation as a REIT depend on our ability to satisfy various requirements under the Internal Revenue Code. We are required to satisfy these requirements on a continuing basis through actual annual operating and other results. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that we will be able to continue to operate in a manner so as to remain qualified as a REIT.

Ownership of Taxable REIT Subsidiaries by Us. The Internal Revenue Code provides that REITs may own greater than ten percent of the voting power and value of the securities of a “taxable REIT subsidiary” or “TRS”, provided that the

aggregate value of all of the TRS securities held by the REIT does not exceed 25% of the REIT's total asset value. TRSs are corporations subject to tax as a regular "C" corporation that have elected, jointly with a REIT, to be a TRS. Generally, a taxable REIT subsidiary may own assets that cannot otherwise be owned by a REIT and can perform impermissible tenant services (discussed below), which would otherwise taint our rental income under the REIT income tests. However, the REIT will be obligated to pay a 100% penalty tax on some payments that we receive or on certain expenses deducted by our TRSs if the economic arrangements between us, our tenants and the TRS are not comparable to similar arrangements among unrelated parties. A TRS may also receive income from prohibited transactions without incurring the 100% federal income tax liability imposed on REITs. Income from prohibited transactions may include the purchase and sale of land, the purchase and sale of completed development properties and the sale

Table of Contents

of condominium units.

TRSs pay federal and state income tax at the full applicable corporate rates. The amount of taxes paid on impermissible tenant services income and the sale of real estate held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business may be material in amount. The TRSs will attempt to reduce, if possible, the amount of these taxes, but we cannot guarantee whether, or the extent to which, measures taken to reduce these taxes will be successful. To the extent that these companies are required to pay taxes, less cash may be available for distributions to shareholders.

Share Ownership Test and Organizational Requirement. In order to qualify as a REIT, our shares of beneficial interest must be held by a minimum of 100 persons for at least 335 days of a taxable year that is 12 months, or during a proportionate part of a taxable year of less than 12 months. Also, not more than 50% in value of our shares of beneficial interest may be owned directly or indirectly by applying certain constructive ownership rules, by five or fewer individuals during the last half of each taxable year. In addition, we must meet certain other organizational requirements, including, but not limited to, that (i) the beneficial ownership in us is evidenced by transferable shares and (ii) we are managed by one or more trustees. We believe that we have satisfied all of these tests and all other organizational requirements and that we will continue to do so in the future. In order to ensure compliance with the 100 person test and the 50% share ownership test discussed above, we have placed certain restrictions on the transfer of our shares that are intended to prevent further concentration of share ownership. However, such restrictions may not prevent us from failing these requirements, and thereby failing to qualify as a REIT.

Gross Income Tests. To qualify as a REIT, we must satisfy two gross income tests:

At least 75% of our gross income for each taxable year must be derived directly or indirectly from rents from real (1) property, investments in real estate and/or real estate mortgages, dividends paid by another REIT and from some types of temporary investments (excluding certain hedging income).

At least 95% of our gross income for each taxable year must be derived from any combination of income (2) qualifying under the 75% test and dividends, non-real estate mortgage interest and gain from the sale or disposition of stock or securities (excluding certain hedging income).

To qualify as rents from real property for the purpose of satisfying the gross income tests, rental payments must generally be received from unrelated persons and not be based on the net income of the resident. Also, the rent attributable to personal property must not exceed 15% of the total rent. We may generally provide services to residents without “tainting” our rental income only if such services are “usually or customarily rendered” in connection with the rental of real property and not otherwise considered “impermissible services”. If such services are impermissible, then we may generally provide them only if they are considered de minimis in amount, or are provided through an independent contractor from whom we derive no revenue and that meets other requirements, or through a taxable REIT subsidiary. We believe that services provided to residents by us either are usually or customarily rendered in connection with the rental of real property and not otherwise considered impermissible, or, if considered impermissible services, will meet the de minimis test or will be provided by an independent contractor or taxable REIT subsidiary. However, we cannot provide any assurance that the Internal Revenue Service will agree with these positions.

If we fail to satisfy one or both of the gross income tests for any taxable year, we may nevertheless qualify as a REIT for the year if we are entitled to relief under certain provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. In this case, a penalty tax would still be applicable as discussed above. Generally, it is not possible to state whether in all circumstances we would be entitled to the benefit of these relief provisions and in the event these relief provisions do not apply, we will not qualify as a REIT.

Asset Tests. In general, at the close of each quarter of our taxable year, we must satisfy four tests relating to the nature of our assets:

- (1) At least 75% of the value of our total assets must be represented by real estate assets (which include for this purpose shares in other real estate investment trusts) and certain cash related items;
- (2)

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

Not more than 25% of the value of our total assets may be represented by securities other than those in the 75% asset class;

Except for securities included in item 1 above, equity investments in other REITs, qualified REIT subsidiaries (i.e., corporations owned 100% by a REIT that are not TRSs or REITs), or taxable REIT subsidiaries: (a) the value of

(3) any one issuer's securities owned by us may not exceed 5% of the value of our total assets and (b) we may not own securities representing more than 10% of the voting power or value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer; and

(4) Not more than 25% of the value of our total assets may be represented by securities of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

The 10% value test described in clause (3) (b) above does not apply to certain securities that fall within a safe harbor under the Code. Under the safe harbor, the following are not considered “securities” held by us for purposes of this 10% value test: (i) straight debt securities, (ii) any loan of an individual or an estate, (iii) certain rental agreements for the use of tangible property, (iv) any obligation to pay rents from real property, (v) any security issued by a state or any political subdivision thereof, foreign government or Puerto Rico only if the determination of any payment under such security is not based on the profits of another entity or payments on any obligation issued by such other entity, or (vi) any security issued by a REIT. The timing and payment of interest or principal on a security qualifying as straight debt may be subject to a contingency provided that (A) such contingency does not change the effective yield to maturity, not considering a de minimis change which does not exceed the greater of ¼ of 1% or 5% of the annual yield to maturity or we own \$1,000,000 or less of the aggregate issue price or value of the particular issuer's debt and not more than 12 months of unaccrued interest can be required to be prepaid or (B) the contingency is consistent with commercial practice and the contingency is effective upon a default or the exercise of a prepayment right by the issuer of the debt. If we hold indebtedness from any issuer, including a REIT, the indebtedness will be subject to, and may cause a violation of, the asset tests, unless it is a qualifying real estate asset or otherwise satisfies the above safe harbor. We currently own equity interests in certain entities that have elected to be taxed as REITs for federal income tax purposes and are not publicly traded. If any such entity were to fail to qualify as a REIT, we would not meet the 10% voting stock limitation and the 10% value limitation and we would, unless certain relief provisions applied, fail to qualify as a REIT. We believe that we and each of the REITs we own an interest in have and will comply with the foregoing asset tests for REIT qualification. However, we cannot provide any assurance that the Internal Revenue Service will agree with our determinations.

If we fail to satisfy the 5% or 10% asset tests described above after a 30-day cure period provided in the Internal Revenue Code, we will be deemed to have met such tests if the value of our non-qualifying assets is de minimis (i.e., does not exceed the lesser of 1% of the total value of our assets at the end of the applicable quarter or \$10,000,000) and we dispose of the non-qualifying assets within six months after the last day of the quarter in which the failure to satisfy the asset tests is discovered. For violations due to reasonable cause and not willful neglect that are in excess of the de minimis exception described above, we may avoid disqualification as a REIT under any of the asset tests, after the 30-day cure period, by disposing of sufficient assets to meet the asset test within such six month period, paying a tax equal to the greater of \$50,000 or the highest corporate tax rate multiplied by the net income generated by the non-qualifying assets and disclosing certain information to the Internal Revenue Service. If we cannot avail ourselves of these relief provisions, or if we fail to timely cure any noncompliance with the asset tests, we would cease to qualify as a REIT.

Annual Distribution Requirements. To qualify as a REIT, we are generally required to distribute dividends, other than capital gain dividends, to our shareholders each year in an amount at least equal to 90% of our REIT taxable income. These distributions must be paid either in the taxable year to which they relate, or in the following taxable year if declared before we timely file our tax return for the prior year and if paid with or before the first regular dividend payment date after the declaration is made. We intend to make timely distributions sufficient to satisfy our annual distribution requirements. To the extent that we do not distribute all of our net capital gain or distribute at least 90%, but less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, as adjusted, we are subject to tax on these amounts at regular corporate rates. We will be subject to a 4% excise tax on the excess of the required distribution over the sum of amounts actually distributed and amounts retained for which federal income tax was paid, if we fail to distribute during each calendar year at least the sum of: (1) 85% of our REIT ordinary income for the year; (2) 95% of our REIT capital gain net income for the year; and (3) any undistributed taxable income from prior taxable years. A REIT may elect to retain rather than distribute all or a portion of its net capital gains and pay the tax on the gains. In that case, a REIT may elect to have its shareholders include their proportionate share of the undistributed net capital gains in income as long-term capital gains and receive a credit for their share of the tax paid by the REIT. For purposes of the 4% excise tax described above, any retained amounts would be treated as having been distributed.

Ownership of Partnership Interests By Us. As a result of our ownership of the Operating Partnership, we will be considered to own and derive our proportionate share of the assets and items of income of the Operating Partnership, respectively, for purposes of the REIT asset and income tests, including its share of assets and items of income of any

subsidiaries that are partnerships or limited liability companies.

State and Local Taxes. We may be subject to state or local taxation in various jurisdictions, including those in which we transact business or reside. Generally REITs have seen increases in state and local taxes in recent years. Our state and local tax treatment may not conform to the federal income tax treatment discussed above. Consequently, prospective shareholders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the effect of state and local tax laws on an investment in common shares.

Taxation of Domestic Shareholders Subject to U.S. Tax

General. If we qualify as a REIT, distributions made to our taxable domestic shareholders with respect to their common

Table of Contents

shares, other than capital gain distributions and distributions attributable to taxable REIT subsidiaries, will be treated as ordinary income to the extent that the distributions come out of earnings and profits. These distributions will not be eligible for the dividends received deduction for shareholders that are corporations nor will they constitute “qualified dividend income” under the Internal Revenue Code, meaning that such dividends will be taxed at marginal rates applicable to ordinary income rather than the special capital gain rates currently applicable to qualified dividend income distributed to shareholders who satisfy applicable holding period requirements. In determining whether distributions are out of earnings and profits, we will allocate our earnings and profits first to preferred shares and second to the common shares. The portion of ordinary dividends which represent ordinary dividends we receive from a TRS, will be designated as “qualified dividend income” to REIT shareholders. For tax years ending on or before December 31, 2012, these qualified dividends are eligible for preferential tax rates if paid to our non-corporate shareholders.

To the extent we make distributions to our taxable domestic shareholders in excess of our earnings and profits, such distributions will be considered a return of capital. Such distributions will be treated as a tax-free distribution and will reduce the tax basis of a shareholder's common shares by the amount of the distribution so treated. To the extent such distributions cumulatively exceed a taxable domestic shareholder's tax basis, such distributions are taxable as gain from the sale of shares. Shareholders may not include in their individual income tax returns any of our net operating losses or capital losses.

Dividends declared by a REIT in October, November, or December are deemed to have been paid by the REIT and received by its shareholders on December 31 of that year, so long as the dividends are actually paid during January of the following year. However, this treatment only applies to the extent of the REIT's earnings and profits existing on December 31. To the extent the shareholder distribution paid in January exceeds available earnings and profits as of December 31, the excess will be treated as a distribution taxable to shareholders in the year paid. As such, for tax reporting purposes, January distributions paid to our shareholders may be split between two tax years.

Distributions made by us that we properly designate as capital gain dividends will be taxable to taxable domestic shareholders as gain from the sale or exchange of a capital asset held for more than one year. This treatment applies only to the extent that the designated distributions do not exceed our actual net capital gain for the taxable year. It applies regardless of the period for which a domestic shareholder has held his or her common shares. Despite this general rule, corporate shareholders may be required to treat up to 20% of certain capital gain dividends as ordinary income.

Generally, we will classify a portion of our designated capital gain dividends as a 15% rate gain distribution and the remaining portion as an unrecaptured Section 1250 gain distribution. A 15% rate gain distribution would be taxable to taxable domestic shareholders that are individuals, estates or trusts at a maximum rate of 15% (which 15% rate is currently scheduled to increase to 20% for taxable years beginning on and after January 1, 2013). An unrecaptured Section 1250 gain distribution would be taxable to taxable domestic shareholders that are individuals, estates or trusts at a maximum rate of 25%.

If, for any taxable year, we elect to designate as capital gain dividends any portion of the dividends paid or made available for the year to holders of all classes of shares of beneficial interest, then the portion of the capital gain dividends that will be allocable to the holders of common shares will be the total capital gain dividends multiplied by a fraction. The numerator of the fraction will be the total dividends paid or made available to the holders of the common shares for the year. The denominator of the fraction will be the total dividends paid or made available to holders of all classes of shares of beneficial interest.

We may elect to retain (rather than distribute as is generally required) net capital gain for a taxable year and pay the income tax on that gain. If we make this election, shareholders must include in income, as long-term capital gain, their proportionate share of the undistributed net capital gain. Shareholders will be treated as having paid their proportionate share of the tax paid by us on these gains. Accordingly, they will receive a tax credit or refund for the amount. Shareholders will increase the basis in their common shares by the difference between the amount of capital gain included in their income and the amount of the tax they are treated as having paid. Our earnings and profits will be adjusted appropriately.

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

In general, a shareholder will recognize gain or loss for federal income tax purposes on the sale or other disposition of common shares in an amount equal to the difference between:

- (a) the amount of cash and the fair market value of any property received in the sale or other disposition; and
- (b) the shareholder's adjusted tax basis in the common shares.

The gain or loss will be capital gain or loss if the common shares were held as a capital asset. Generally, the capital gain or loss will be long-term capital gain or loss if the common shares were held for more than one year.

In general, a loss recognized by a shareholder upon the sale of common shares that were held for six months or less,

Table of Contents

determined after applying certain holding period rules, will be treated as long-term capital loss to the extent that the shareholder received distributions that were treated as long-term capital gains. For shareholders who are individuals, trusts and estates, the long-term capital loss will be apportioned among the applicable long-term capital gain rates to the extent that distributions received by the shareholder were previously so treated.

Taxation of Domestic Tax-Exempt Shareholders

Most tax-exempt organizations are not subject to federal income tax except to the extent of their unrelated business taxable income, which is often referred to as UBTI. Unless a tax-exempt shareholder holds its common shares as debt financed property or uses the common shares in an unrelated trade or business, distributions to the shareholder should not constitute UBTI. Similarly, if a tax-exempt shareholder sells common shares, the income from the sale should not constitute UBTI unless the shareholder held the shares as debt financed property or used the shares in a trade or business.

However, for tax-exempt shareholders that are social clubs, voluntary employee benefit associations, supplemental unemployment benefit trusts, and qualified group legal services plans, income from owning or selling common shares will constitute UBTI unless the organization is able to properly deduct amounts set aside or placed in reserve so as to offset the income generated by its investment in common shares. These shareholders should consult their own tax advisors concerning these set aside and reserve requirements which are set forth in the Internal Revenue Code.

In addition, certain pension trusts that own more than 10% of a “pension-held REIT” must report a portion of the distributions that they receive from the REIT as UBTI. We have not been and do not expect to be treated as a pension-held REIT for purposes of this rule.

Taxation of Foreign Shareholders

The following is a discussion of certain anticipated United States federal income tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of common shares applicable to a foreign shareholder. For purposes of this discussion, a “foreign shareholder” is any person other than:

- (a) a citizen or resident of the United States;
- (b) a corporation or partnership created or organized in the United States or under the laws of the United States or of any state thereof; or
- (c) an estate or trust whose income is includable in gross income for United States federal income tax purposes regardless of its source.

Distributions by Us. Distributions by us to a foreign shareholder that are neither attributable to gain from sales or exchanges by us of United States real property interests nor designated by us as capital gains dividends will be treated as dividends of ordinary income to the extent that they are made out of our earnings and profits. These distributions ordinarily will be subject to withholding of United States federal income tax on a gross basis at a 30% rate, or a lower treaty rate, unless the dividends are treated as effectively connected with the conduct by the foreign shareholder of a United States trade or business. Please note that under certain treaties lower withholding rates generally applicable to dividends do not apply to dividends from REITs. Dividends that are effectively connected with a United States trade or business will be subject to tax on a net basis at graduated rates, and are generally not subject to withholding. Certification and disclosure requirements must be satisfied before a dividend is exempt from withholding under this exemption. A foreign shareholder that is a corporation also may be subject to an additional branch profits tax at a 30% rate or a lower treaty rate.

We expect to withhold United States income tax at the rate of 30% on any such distributions made to a foreign shareholder unless:

- (a) a lower treaty rate applies and any required form or certification evidencing eligibility for that reduced rate is filed with us; or
- (b) the foreign shareholder files an IRS Form W-8ECI with us claiming that the distribution is effectively connected income.

If such distribution is in excess of our current or accumulated earnings and profits, it will not be taxable to a foreign shareholder to the extent that the distribution does not exceed the adjusted basis of the shareholder's common shares.

Instead, the distribution will reduce the adjusted basis of the common shares. To the extent that the distribution exceeds the adjusted basis of the common shares, it will give rise to gain from the sale or exchange of the shareholder's common shares. The tax treatment of

Table of Contents

this gain is described below.

We intend to withhold at a rate of 30%, or a lower applicable treaty rate, on the entire amount of any distribution not designated as a capital gain distribution. In such event, a foreign shareholder may seek a refund of the withheld amount from the IRS if it is subsequently determined that the distribution was, in fact, in excess of our earnings and profits, and the amount withheld exceeded the foreign shareholder's United States tax liability with respect to the distribution.

Any capital gain dividend with respect to any class of our stock which is "regularly traded" on an established securities market, will be treated as an ordinary dividend described above, if the foreign shareholder did not own more than 5% of such class of stock at any time during the one year period ending on the date of the distribution. Foreign shareholders generally will not be required to report such distributions received from us on U.S. federal income tax returns and all distributions treated as dividends for U.S. federal income tax purposes, including any capital gain dividends, will be subject to a 30% U.S. withholding tax (unless reduced or eliminated under an applicable income tax treaty), as described above. In addition, the branch profits tax will no longer apply to such distributions.

Distributions to a foreign shareholder that we designate at the time of the distributions as capital gain dividends, other than those arising from the disposition of a United States real property interest, generally will not be subject to United States federal income taxation unless:

- (a) the investment in the common shares is effectively connected with the foreign shareholder's United States trade or business, in which case the foreign shareholder will be subject to the same treatment as domestic shareholders, except that a shareholder that is a foreign corporation may also be subject to the branch profits tax, as discussed above; or
- (b) the foreign shareholder is a nonresident alien individual who is present in the United States for 183 days or more during the taxable year and has a "tax home" in the United States, in which case the nonresident alien individual will be subject to a 30% tax on the individual's capital gains.

Under the Foreign Investment in Real Property Tax Act, which is known as FIRPTA, distributions to a foreign shareholder that are attributable to gain from sales or exchanges of United States real property interests will cause the foreign shareholder to be treated as recognizing the gain as income effectively connected with a United States trade or business. This rule applies whether or not a distribution is designated as a capital gain dividend. Accordingly, foreign shareholders generally would be taxed on these distributions at the same rates applicable to U.S. shareholders, subject to a special alternative minimum tax in the case of nonresident alien individuals. In addition, a foreign corporate shareholder might be subject to the branch profits tax discussed above, as well as U.S. federal income tax return filing requirements. We are required to withhold 35% of these distributions. The withheld amount can be credited against the foreign shareholder's United States federal income tax liability.

Although the law is not entirely clear on the matter, it appears that amounts we designate as undistributed capital gains in respect of the common shares held by U.S. shareholders would be treated with respect to foreign shareholders in the same manner as actual distributions of capital gain dividends. Under that approach, foreign shareholders would be able to offset as a credit against their United States federal income tax liability their proportionate share of the tax paid by us on these undistributed capital gains. In addition, if timely requested, foreign shareholders might be able to receive from the IRS a refund to the extent their proportionate share of the tax paid by us were to exceed their actual United States federal income tax liability.

Foreign Shareholders' Sales of Common Shares. Gain recognized by a foreign shareholder upon the sale or exchange of common shares generally will not be subject to United States taxation unless the shares constitute a "United States real property interest" within the meaning of FIRPTA. The common shares will not constitute a United States real property interest so long as we are a domestically controlled REIT. A domestically controlled REIT is a REIT in which at all times during a specified testing period less than 50% in value of its stock is held directly or indirectly by foreign shareholders. We believe that we are a domestically controlled REIT. Therefore, we believe that the sale of common shares will not be subject to taxation under FIRPTA. However, because common shares and preferred shares are publicly traded, we cannot guarantee that we will continue to be a domestically controlled REIT. In any event, gain from the sale or exchange of common shares not otherwise subject to FIRPTA will be subject to U.S. tax, if either:

- the investment in the common shares is effectively connected with the foreign shareholder's United States trade or
- (a) business, in which case the foreign shareholder will be subject to the same treatment as domestic shareholders with respect to the gain; or
 - (b) the foreign shareholder is a nonresident alien individual who is present in the United States for 183 days or more during the taxable year and has a tax home in the United States, in which case the nonresident alien individual will

Table of Contents

be subject to a 30% tax on the individual's capital gains.

Even if we do not qualify as or cease to be a domestically controlled REIT, gain arising from the sale or exchange by a foreign shareholder of common shares still would not be subject to United States taxation under FIRPTA as a sale of a United States real property interest if:

- (a) the class or series of shares being sold is “regularly traded,” as defined by applicable IRS regulations, on an established securities market such as the New York Stock Exchange; and
- (b) the selling foreign shareholder owned 5% or less of the value of the outstanding class or series of shares being sold throughout the five-year period ending on the date of the sale or exchange.

If gain on the sale or exchange of common shares were subject to taxation under FIRPTA, the foreign shareholder would be subject to regular United States income tax with respect to the gain in the same manner as a taxable U.S. shareholder, subject to any applicable alternative minimum tax, a special alternative minimum tax in the case of nonresident alien individuals and the possible application of the branch profits tax in the case of foreign corporations. The purchaser of the common shares would be required to withhold and remit to the IRS 10% of the purchase price.

Information Reporting Requirement and Backup Withholding

We will report to our domestic shareholders and the Internal Revenue Service the amount of distributions paid during each calendar year and the amount of tax withheld, if any. Under certain circumstances, domestic shareholders may be subject to backup withholding. Backup withholding will apply only if such domestic shareholder fails to furnish certain information to us or the Internal Revenue Service. Backup withholding will not apply with respect to payments made to certain exempt recipients, such as corporations and tax-exempt organizations. Domestic shareholders should consult their own tax advisors regarding their qualification for exemption from backup withholding and the procedure for obtaining such an exemption. Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Rather, the amount of any backup withholding with respect to a payment to a domestic shareholder will be allowed as a credit against such person's United States federal income tax liability and may entitle such person to a refund, provided that the required information is timely furnished to the Internal Revenue Service.

Medicare Tax on Unearned Income

The Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 requires certain U.S. shareholders that are taxed as individuals, estates or trusts to pay an additional 3.8% tax on, among other things, dividends on and capital gains from the sale or other disposition of shares for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2012.

Withholding on Foreign Financial Institutions and Non-U.S. Shareholders

The Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act of 2009 may impose withholding taxes on certain types of payments made to “foreign financial institutions” and certain other non-U.S. shareholders. Under this legislation, the failure to comply with additional certification, information reporting and other specified requirements could result in withholding tax being imposed on payments of dividends and sales proceeds to U.S. shareholders that own their shares through foreign accounts or foreign intermediaries and certain non-U.S. shareholders. The legislation imposes a 30% withholding tax on dividends on, and gross proceeds from the sale or other disposition of, our shares paid to a foreign financial institution or to a foreign non-financial entity, unless (i) the foreign financial institution undertakes certain diligence and reporting obligations or (ii) the foreign non-financial entity either certifies it does not have any substantial U.S. owners or furnishes identifying information regarding each substantial U.S. owner. In addition, if the payee is a foreign financial institution, it generally must enter into an agreement with the U.S. Treasury that requires, among other things, that it undertake to identify accounts held by certain U.S. persons or U.S.-owned foreign entities, annually report certain information about such accounts and withhold 30% on payments to certain other account holders. The legislation applies to payments made after December 31, 2012.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

As of December 31, 2011, the Company, directly or indirectly through investments in title holding entities, owned all or a portion of 427 properties located in 15 states and the District of Columbia consisting of 121,974 apartment units. The Company's

26

Table of Contents

properties are summarized by building type in the following table:

Type	Properties	Apartment Units	Average Apartment Units
Garden	309	88,428	286
Mid/High-Rise	116	28,645	247
Military Housing	2	4,901	2,451
Total	427	121,974	

The Company's properties are summarized by ownership type in the following table:

	Properties	Apartment Units
Wholly Owned Properties	404	113,157
Partially Owned Properties – Consolidated	21	3,916
Military Housing	2	4,901
	427	121,974

The following table sets forth certain information by market relating to the Company's properties at December 31, 2011:

PORTFOLIO SUMMARY

Markets	Properties	Apartment Units	% of Total Apartment Units	% of Stabilized NOI	Average Rental Rate (1)
1 New York Metro Area	30	8,514	7.0	% 13.3	% \$3,035
2 DC Northern Virginia	26	9,381	7.7	% 11.4	% 2,056
3 Los Angeles	46	9,613	7.9	% 9.5	% 1,787
4 South Florida	39	12,989	10.6	% 9.5	% 1,400
5 Boston	30	6,183	5.0	% 8.2	% 2,322
6 San Francisco Bay Area	37	8,628	7.1	% 7.3	% 1,688
7 Seattle/Tacoma	43	9,582	7.8	% 7.0	% 1,403
8 San Diego	14	4,963	4.1	% 5.1	% 1,825
9 Denver	23	7,970	6.5	% 5.0	% 1,134
10 Phoenix	31	8,880	7.3	% 4.2	% 930
11 Suburban Maryland	16	4,584	3.8	% 3.9	% 1,489
12 Orlando	24	7,265	6.0	% 3.8	% 1,009
13 Orange County, CA	11	3,490	2.9	% 3.2	% 1,578
14 Atlanta	16	4,800	3.9	% 2.5	% 1,040
15 Inland Empire, CA	10	3,081	2.5	% 2.4	% 1,434
16 All Other Markets (2)	29	7,150	5.9	% 3.7	% 1,077
Total	425	117,073	96.0	% 100.0	% 1,589
Military Housing	2	4,901	4.0	% —	—
Grand Total	427	121,974	100.0	% 100.0	% \$1,589

(1) Average rental rate is defined as total rental revenues divided by the weighted average occupied apartment units for the month of December 2011.

(2) All Other Markets – Each individual market is less than 2.0% of stabilized NOI.

Note: Projects under development are not included in the Portfolio Summary until construction has been completed, at which time they are included at their projected stabilized NOI.

The Company's properties had an average occupancy of approximately 94.2% (94.7% on a same store basis) at December 31, 2011. Certain of the Company's properties are encumbered by mortgages and additional detail can be

found on Schedule III – Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation. Resident leases are generally for twelve months in length and can require security deposits. The garden-style properties are generally defined as properties with two and/or three story buildings

Table of Contents

while the mid-rise/high-rise are defined as properties with greater than three story buildings. These two property types typically provide residents with amenities, which may include a clubhouse, swimming pool, laundry facilities and cable television access. Certain of these properties offer additional amenities such as saunas, whirlpools, spas, sports courts and exercise rooms or other amenities. In addition, many of our urban properties have parking garage and/or retail components. The military housing properties are defined as those properties located on military bases. The distribution of the properties throughout the United States reflects the Company's belief that geographic diversification helps insulate the portfolio from regional influences. At the same time, the Company has sought to create clusters of properties within each of its primary markets in order to achieve economies of scale in management and operation. The Company may nevertheless acquire additional multifamily properties located anywhere in the United States.

The properties currently in various stages of development and lease-up at December 31, 2011 are included in the following table:

Development and Lease-Up Projects as of December 31, 2011

(Amounts in thousands except for project and apartment unit amounts)

Projects	Location	No. of Apartment Units	Total Capital Cost (1)	Total Book Value to Date	Total Book Value Not Placed in Service	Total Debt	Percentage Completed	Percentage Occupied	Estimated Completion Date	Estimated Stabilization Date	
Consolidated Projects Under Development – Wholly Owned:											
Savoy III	Aurora CO	168	\$23,856	\$15,785	\$15,785	\$—	80 %	1 %	—	Q2 2012	Q2 2013
2201 Pershing Drive	Arlington, VA	188	64,242	30,927	30,927	—	43 %	—	—	Q3 2012	Q3 2013
Chinatown Gateway	Los Angeles, CA	280	92,920	35,011	35,011	—	11 %	—	—	Q3 2013	Q2 2015
Westgate Block 2	Pasadena, CA	252	125,293	35,086	35,086	—	1 %	—	—	Q1 2014	Q1 2015
The Madison	Alexandria, VA	360	115,072	27,376	27,376	—	1 %	—	—	Q1 2014	Q2 2015
Market Street Landing	Seattle, WA	287	90,024	16,005	16,005	—	1 %	—	—	Q1 2014	Q3 2015
Projects Under Development – Wholly Owned		1,535	511,407	160,190	160,190	—					
Projects Under Development Completed Not Stabilized – Wholly Owned (2):		1,535	511,407	160,190	160,190	—					
88 Hillside (3)	Daly City, CA	95	39,520	39,520	—	—	52 %	47 %	Completed	Q2 2012	

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

Ten23 (formerly 500 West 23rd Street) (4)	New York, NY	111	55,555	53,002	—	—	18 %	—	Completed	Q4 2012	
Projects Completed Not Stabilized – Wholly Owned		206	95,075	92,522	—	—					
Projects Completed Not Stabilized		206	95,075	92,522	—	—					
Completed and Stabilized During the Quarter – Wholly Owned:											
425 Mass (3)	Washington, D.C.	559	166,750	166,750	—	—	96 %	93 %	Completed	Stabilized	
Vantage Pointe (3)	San Diego, CA	679	200,000	200,000	—	—	93 %	91 %	Completed	Stabilized	
Projects Completed and Stabilized During the Quarter - Wholly Owned		1,238	366,750	366,750	—	—					
Projects Completed and Stabilized During the Quarter		1,238	366,750	366,750	—	—					
Total Consolidated Projects		2,979	\$973,232	\$619,462	\$160,190	\$—					
Land Held for Development (5)		N/A	N/A	\$325,200	\$325,200	\$—					
Unconsolidated Projects Under Development – Unconsolidated:											
Domain (6)	San Jose, CA	444	\$154,570	\$38,148	\$38,148	\$—2	%	—	—	Q1 2013	Q1 2015
Nexus Sawgrass (formerly Sunrise Village) (6)	Sunrise, FL	501	78,212	22,940	22,940	—	10 %	—	—	Q3 2013	Q3 2014
Projects Under Development – Unconsolidated		945	232,782	61,088	61,088	—					
Projects Under Development		945	232,782	61,088	61,088	—					
Total Unconsolidated Projects		945	\$232,782	\$61,088	\$61,088	\$—					

Total capital cost represents estimated cost for projects under development and/or developed and all capitalized (1) costs incurred to date plus any estimates of costs remaining to be funded for all projects, all in accordance with GAAP.

(2) Properties included here are substantially complete. However, they may still require additional exterior and interior work for all apartment units to be available for leasing.

(3)

The Company acquired these completed development projects prior to stabilization and has continued or is finishing lease-up activities.

(4) Ten23 - The land under this development is subject to a long term ground lease.

(5) Includes \$58.3 million funded by Toll Brothers (NYSE: TOL) for their allocated share of a vacant land parcel at 400 Park Avenue South in New York City.

These development projects are owned 20% by the Company and 80% by an institutional partner in two separate unconsolidated joint ventures. Total project costs are approximately \$232.8 million and construction will be

(6) predominately funded with two separate long-term, non-recourse secured loans from the partner. The Company is responsible for constructing the projects and has given certain construction cost overrun guarantees. The Company's remaining funding obligations are currently estimated at \$5.4 million.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company is party to a housing discrimination lawsuit brought by a non-profit civil rights organization in April 2006 in the U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland. The suit alleges that the Company designed and built approximately 300 of its properties in violation of the accessibility requirements of the Fair Housing Act and Americans With Disabilities Act. The suit seeks actual and punitive damages, injunctive relief (including modification of non-compliant properties), costs and attorneys' fees. The Company believes it has a number of viable defenses, including that a majority of the named properties were completed before the operative dates of the statutes in question and/or were not designed or built by the Company. Accordingly, the Company is defending the suit vigorously. Due to the pendency of the Company's defenses and the uncertainty of many other critical factual and legal issues, it is not possible to determine or predict the outcome of the suit or a possible loss or a range of loss, and no amounts have been accrued at December 31, 2011. While no assurances can be given, the Company does not believe that the suit, if adversely determined, would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

The Company does not believe there is any other litigation pending or threatened against it that, individually or in the aggregate, may reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Common Share Market Prices and Dividends (Equity Residential)

The following table sets forth, for the years indicated, the high, low and closing sales prices for and the distributions declared on the Company's Common Shares, which trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol EQR.

	Sales Price			Distributions
	High	Low	Closing	
2011				
Fourth Quarter Ended December 31, 2011	\$60.32	\$48.46	\$57.03	\$0.5675
Third Quarter Ended September 30, 2011	\$63.86	\$50.38	\$51.87	\$0.3375
Second Quarter Ended June 30, 2011	\$61.86	\$55.31	\$60.00	\$0.3375
First Quarter Ended March 31, 2011	\$56.43	\$49.60	\$56.41	\$0.3375
2010				
Fourth Quarter Ended December 31, 2010	\$52.64	\$47.01	\$51.95	\$0.4575
Third Quarter Ended September 30, 2010	\$50.80	\$39.69	\$47.57	\$0.3375
Second Quarter Ended June 30, 2010	\$48.46	\$38.84	\$41.64	\$0.3375
First Quarter Ended March 31, 2010	\$40.43	\$31.40	\$39.15	\$0.3375

The number of record holders of Common Shares at February 17, 2012 was approximately 2,800. The number of outstanding Common Shares as of February 17, 2012 was 300,240,671.

OP Unit Dividends (ERP Operating Limited Partnership)

There is no established public market for the OP Units

The following table sets forth, for the years indicated, the distributions on the Operating Partnership's OP Units.

	Distributions	
	2011	2010
Fourth Quarter Ended December 31,	\$0.5675	\$0.4575
Third Quarter Ended September 30,	\$0.3375	\$0.3375
Second Quarter Ended June 30,	\$0.3375	\$0.3375
First Quarter Ended March 31,	\$0.3375	\$0.3375

The number of record holders of OP Units in the Operating Partnership at February 17, 2012 was 525. The number of outstanding OP Units as of February 17, 2012 was 313,664,567.

Unregistered Common Shares Issued in the Quarter Ended December 31, 2011 (Equity Residential)

During the quarter ended December 31, 2011, EQR issued 16,945 Common Shares in exchange for 16,945 OP Units held by various limited partners of the Operating Partnership. OP Units are generally exchangeable into Common Shares on a one-for-one basis or, at the option of the Operating Partnership, the cash equivalent thereof, at any time one year after the date of issuance. These shares were either registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), or issued in reliance on an exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, as these were transactions by an issuer not involving a public offering. In light of the manner of the sale and information obtained by EQR from the limited partners in connection with these transactions, EQR believes it may rely on these exemptions.

Table of Contents

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2011 with respect to the Company's Common Shares that may be issued under its existing equity compensation plans.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities in column (a)) (c) (2)
	(a) (1)	(b) (1)	
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders	8,594,020	\$36.81	15,764,443
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders	N/A	N/A	N/A

The amounts shown in columns (a) and (b) of the above table do not include 697,510 outstanding Common Shares (all of which are restricted and subject to vesting requirements) that were granted under the Company's Amended (1) and Restated 1993 Share Option and Share Award Plan, as amended (the "1993 Plan"), the Company's 2002 Share Incentive Plan, as restated (the "2002 Plan") and the Company's 2011 Share Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan") and outstanding Common Shares that have been purchased by employees and trustees under the Company's ESPP.

Includes 12,473,580 Common Shares that may be issued under the 2011 Plan, of which only 33% may be in the (2) form of restricted shares, and 3,290,863 Common Shares that may be sold to employees and trustees under the ESPP.

On June 16, 2011, the shareholders of EQR approved the Company's 2011 Plan and the Company has filed a Form S-8 registration statement to register 12,980,741 Common Shares under this plan. As of December 31, 2011, 12,473,580 shares were available for future issuance. In conjunction with the approval of the 2011 Plan, no further awards may be granted under the 2002 Plan. The 2011 Plan expires on June 16, 2021.

Any Common Shares issued pursuant to EQR's incentive equity compensation and employee share purchase plans will result in ERPOP issuing OP Units to EQR on a one-for-one basis, with ERPOP receiving the net cash proceeds of such issuances.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following tables set forth selected financial and operating information on a historical basis for the Company and the Operating Partnership. The following information should be read in conjunction with all of the financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. The historical operating and balance sheet data have been derived from the historical financial statements of the Company and the Operating Partnership. All amounts have also been restated in accordance with the guidance on discontinued operations. Certain capitalized terms as used herein are defined in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Equity Residential

CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

(Financial information in thousands except for per share and property data)

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
OPERATING DATA:					
Total revenues from continuing operations	\$ 1,989,463	\$ 1,773,268	\$ 1,640,224	\$ 1,636,284	\$ 1,492,099
Interest and other income	\$ 7,977	\$ 5,166	\$ 16,578	\$ 33,245	\$ 19,360
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 83,998	\$ (83,426)	\$ (57,707)	\$ (105,505)	\$ (70,073)
Discontinued operations, net	\$ 851,199	\$ 379,409	\$ 439,736	\$ 541,918	\$ 1,117,429
Net income	\$ 935,197	\$ 295,983	\$ 382,029	\$ 436,413	\$ 1,047,356
Net income available to Common Shares	\$ 879,720	\$ 269,242	\$ 347,794	\$ 393,115	\$ 951,242
Earnings per share – basic:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations available to Common Shares	\$ 0.23	\$ (0.33)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.43)	\$ (0.34)
Net income available to Common Shares	\$ 2.98	\$ 0.95	\$ 1.27	\$ 1.46	\$ 3.40
Weighted average Common Shares outstanding	294,856	282,888	273,609	270,012	279,406
Earnings per share – diluted:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations available to Common Shares	\$ 0.22	\$ (0.33)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.43)	\$ (0.34)
Net income available to Common Shares	\$ 2.95	\$ 0.95	\$ 1.27	\$ 1.46	\$ 3.40
Weighted average Common Shares outstanding	312,065	282,888	273,609	270,012	279,406
Distributions declared per Common Share outstanding	\$ 1.58	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.64	\$ 1.93	\$ 1.87
BALANCE SHEET DATA (at end of period):					
Real estate, before accumulated depreciation	\$ 20,407,946	\$ 19,702,371	\$ 18,465,144	\$ 18,690,239	\$ 18,333,350
Real estate, after accumulated depreciation	\$ 15,868,363	\$ 15,365,014	\$ 14,587,580	\$ 15,128,939	\$ 15,163,225
Total assets	\$ 16,659,303	\$ 16,184,194	\$ 15,417,515	\$ 16,535,110	\$ 15,689,777
Total debt	\$ 9,721,061	\$ 9,948,076	\$ 9,392,570	\$ 10,483,942	\$ 9,478,157
Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests – Operating Partnership	\$ 416,404	\$ 383,540	\$ 258,280	\$ 264,394	\$ 345,165
Total shareholders' equity	\$ 5,669,015	\$ 5,090,186	\$ 5,047,339	\$ 4,905,356	\$ 4,917,370
Total Noncontrolling Interests	\$ 193,842	\$ 118,390	\$ 127,174	\$ 163,349	\$ 188,605
OTHER DATA:					

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

Total properties (at end of period)	427	451	495	548	579
Total apartment units (at end of period)	121,974	129,604	137,007	147,244	152,821
Funds from operations available to Common					
Shares and Units – basic (1) (3) (4)	\$752,153	\$622,786	\$615,505	\$618,372	\$713,412
Normalized funds from operations available to					
Common Shares and Units – basic (2) (3) (4)	\$759,665	\$682,422	\$661,542	\$735,062	\$699,029
Cash flow provided by (used for):					
Operating activities	\$798,334	\$726,037	\$670,812	\$755,027	\$793,128
Investing activities	\$(194,828)	\$(639,458)	\$105,229	\$(343,803)	\$(200,645)
Financing activities	\$(650,993)	\$151,541	\$(1,473,547)	\$428,739	\$(801,929)

Table of Contents

ERP Operating Limited Partnership

CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

(Financial information in thousands except for per Unit and property data)

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
OPERATING DATA:					
Total revenues from continuing operations	\$ 1,989,463	\$ 1,773,268	\$ 1,640,224	\$ 1,636,284	\$ 1,492,099
Interest and other income	\$ 7,977	\$ 5,166	\$ 16,578	\$ 33,245	\$ 19,360
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 83,998	\$(83,426)	\$(57,707)	\$(105,505)	\$(70,073)
Discontinued operations, net	\$ 851,199	\$ 379,409	\$ 439,736	\$ 541,918	\$ 1,117,429
Net income	\$ 935,197	\$ 295,983	\$ 382,029	\$ 436,413	\$ 1,047,356
Net income available to Units	\$ 920,500	\$ 282,341	\$ 368,099	\$ 419,241	\$ 1,015,769
Earnings per Unit – basic:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations available to Units	\$ 0.23	\$(0.33)	\$(0.25)	\$(0.43)	\$(0.34)
Net income available to Units	\$ 2.98	\$ 0.95	\$ 1.27	\$ 1.46	\$ 3.40
Weighted average Units outstanding	308,062	296,527	289,167	287,631	298,392
Earnings per Unit – diluted:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations available to Units	\$ 0.22	\$(0.33)	\$(0.25)	\$(0.43)	\$(0.34)
Net income available to Units	\$ 2.95	\$ 0.95	\$ 1.27	\$ 1.46	\$ 3.40
Weighted average Units outstanding	312,065	296,527	289,167	287,631	298,392
Distributions declared per Unit outstanding	\$ 1.58	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.64	\$ 1.93	\$ 1.87
BALANCE SHEET DATA (at end of period):					
Real estate, before accumulated depreciation	\$ 20,407,946	\$ 19,702,371	\$ 18,465,144	\$ 18,690,239	\$ 18,333,350
Real estate, after accumulated depreciation	\$ 15,868,363	\$ 15,365,014	\$ 14,587,580	\$ 15,128,939	\$ 15,163,225
Total assets	\$ 16,659,303	\$ 16,184,194	\$ 15,417,515	\$ 16,535,110	\$ 15,689,777
Total debt	\$ 9,721,061	\$ 9,948,076	\$ 9,392,570	\$ 10,483,942	\$ 9,478,157
Redeemable Limited Partners	\$ 416,404	\$ 383,540	\$ 258,280	\$ 264,394	\$ 345,165
Total partners' capital	\$ 5,788,551	\$ 5,200,585	\$ 5,163,459	\$ 5,043,185	\$ 5,079,739
Noncontrolling Interests – Partially Owned					
Properties	\$ 74,306	\$ 7,991	\$ 11,054	\$ 25,520	\$ 26,236
OTHER DATA:					
Total properties (at end of period)	427	451	495	548	579
Total apartment units (at end of period)	121,974	129,604	137,007	147,244	152,821

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

Funds from operations available to Units – basic (1) (3) (4)	\$752,153	\$622,786	\$615,505	\$618,372	\$713,412
Normalized funds from operations available to Units – basic (2) (3) (4)	\$759,665	\$682,422	\$661,542	\$735,062	\$699,029
Cash flow provided by (used for):					
Operating activities	\$798,334	\$726,037	\$670,812	\$755,027	\$793,128
Investing activities	\$(194,828)	\$(639,458)	\$105,229	\$(343,803)	\$(200,645)
Financing activities	\$(650,993)	\$151,541	\$(1,473,547)	\$428,739	\$(801,929)

The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (“NAREIT”) defines funds from operations (“FFO”) (April 2002 White Paper) as net income (computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”)), excluding gains (or losses) from sales and impairment write-downs of depreciable operating properties, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures will be calculated to reflect funds from operations on the same basis. The April 2002 White Paper states that gain or loss on sales of property is excluded from FFO for previously depreciated operating properties only. Once the Company commences the conversion of apartment units to condominiums, it simultaneously discontinues depreciation of such property.

Table of Contents

(2) Normalized funds from operations (“Normalized FFO”) begins with FFO and excludes: the impact of any expenses relating to non-operating asset impairment and valuation allowances; property acquisition and other transaction costs related to mergers and acquisitions and pursuit cost write-offs (other expenses); gains and losses from early debt extinguishment, including prepayment penalties, preferred share/preference unit redemptions and the cost related to the implied option value of non-cash convertible debt discounts; gains and losses on the sales of non-operating assets, including gains and losses from land parcel and condominium sales, net of the effect of income tax benefits or expenses; and other miscellaneous non-comparable items.

The Company believes that FFO and FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units are helpful to investors as supplemental measures of the operating performance of a real estate company, because they are recognized measures of performance by the real estate industry and by excluding gains or losses related to dispositions of depreciable property and excluding real estate depreciation (which can vary among owners of identical assets in similar condition based on historical cost accounting and useful life estimates). FFO and FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units can help compare the operating performance of a company’s real estate between periods or as compared to different companies. The company also believes that Normalized FFO and Normalized FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units are helpful to investors as supplemental measures of the operating performance of a real estate company because they allow investors to compare the company’s operating performance to its performance in prior reporting periods and to the operating performance of other real estate companies without the effect of items that by their nature are not comparable from period to period and tend to obscure the Company’s actual operating results. FFO, FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units, Normalized FFO and Normalized FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units do not represent net income, net income available to Common Shares / Units or net cash flows from operating activities in accordance with GAAP. Therefore, FFO, FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units, Normalized FFO and Normalized FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units should not be exclusively considered as alternatives to net income, net income available to Common Shares / Units or net cash flows from operating activities as determined by GAAP or as a measure of liquidity. The Company’s calculation of FFO, FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units, Normalized FFO and Normalized FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units may differ from other real estate companies due to, among other items, variations in cost capitalization policies for capital expenditures and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such other real estate companies.

FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units and Normalized FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units are calculated on a basis consistent with net income available to Common Shares / Units and reflects adjustments to net income for preferred distributions and premiums on redemption of preferred shares/preference units in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The equity positions of various individuals and entities that contributed their properties to the Operating Partnership in exchange for OP Units are collectively referred to as the “Noncontrolling Interests – Operating Partnership”. Subject to certain restrictions, the Noncontrolling Interests – Operating Partnership may exchange their OP Units for Common Shares on a one-for-one basis.

Note: See Item 7 for a reconciliation of net income to FFO, FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units, Normalized FFO and Normalized FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units.

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of the results of operations and financial condition of the Company and the Operating Partnership should be read in connection with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto. Due to the Company’s ability to control the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries, the Operating Partnership and each such subsidiary entity has been consolidated with the Company for financial reporting purposes, except for two unconsolidated developments and our military housing properties. Capitalized terms used herein and not defined are as defined elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Forward-Looking Statements

Forward-looking statements in this Item 7 as well as elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are intended to be made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are based on current expectations, estimates, projections and assumptions made by management. While the Company's management believes the assumptions underlying its forward-looking statements are reasonable, such information is inherently subject to uncertainties and may involve certain risks, which could cause actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to differ materially from anticipated future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Many of these uncertainties and risks are difficult to predict and beyond management's control. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance, results or events. The forward-looking statements contained herein are made as of the date hereof and the Company undertakes no obligation to update or supplement these forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such differences include, but are not limited to the following:

We intend to actively acquire and/or develop multifamily properties for rental operations as market conditions dictate.

Table of Contents

We may also acquire multifamily properties that are unoccupied or in the early stages of lease up. We may be unable to lease up these apartment properties on schedule, resulting in decreases in expected rental revenues and/or lower yields due to lower occupancy and rates as well as higher than expected concessions. We may underestimate the costs necessary to bring an acquired property up to standards established for its intended market position or to complete a development property. Additionally, we expect that other real estate investors with capital will compete with us for attractive investment opportunities or may also develop properties in markets where we focus our development and acquisition efforts. This competition (or lack thereof) may increase (or depress) prices for multifamily properties. We may not be in a position or have the opportunity in the future to make suitable property acquisitions on favorable terms. We have acquired in the past and intend to continue to pursue the acquisition of properties and portfolios of properties, including large portfolios, that could increase our size and result in alterations to our capital structure. The total number of apartment units under development, costs of development and estimated completion dates are subject to uncertainties arising from changing economic conditions (such as the cost of labor and construction materials), competition and local government regulation;

Debt financing and other capital required by the Company may not be available or may only be available on adverse terms;

Labor and materials required for maintenance, repair, capital expenditure or development may be more expensive than anticipated;

Occupancy levels and market rents may be adversely affected by national and local economic and market conditions including, without limitation, new construction and excess inventory of multifamily and single family housing, rental housing subsidized by the government, other government programs that favor single family rental housing or owner occupied housing over multifamily rental housing, slow or negative employment growth and household formation, the availability of low-interest mortgages for single family home buyers, changes in social preferences and the potential for geopolitical instability, all of which are beyond the Company's control; and

Additional factors as discussed in Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, particularly those under "Item 1A. Risk Factors".

Forward-looking statements and related uncertainties are also included in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

Overview

Equity Residential ("EQR"), a Maryland real estate investment trust ("REIT") formed in March 1993, is an S&P 500 company focused on the acquisition, development and management of high quality apartment properties in top United States growth markets. ERP Operating Limited Partnership ("ERPOP"), an Illinois limited partnership, was formed in May 1993 to conduct the multifamily residential property business of Equity Residential. EQR has elected to be taxed as a REIT. References to the "Company," "we," "us" or "our" mean collectively EQR, ERPOP and those entities/subsidiaries owned or controlled by EQR and/or ERPOP. References to the "Operating Partnership" mean collectively ERPOP and those entities/subsidiaries owned or controlled by ERPOP.

EQR is the general partner of, and as of December 31, 2011 owned an approximate 95.7% ownership interest in ERPOP. All of the Company's property ownership, development and related business operations are conducted through the Operating Partnership and EQR has no material assets or liabilities other than its investment in ERPOP. EQR issues public equity from time to time but does not have any indebtedness as all debt is incurred by the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership holds substantially all of the assets of the Company, including the Company's ownership interests in its joint ventures. The Operating Partnership conducts the operations of the business and is structured as a partnership with no publicly traded equity.

The Company's corporate headquarters are located in Chicago, Illinois and the Company also operates property management offices in each of its markets. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had approximately 3,800 employees who provided real estate operations, leasing, legal, financial, accounting, acquisition, disposition,

development and other support functions.

Business Objectives and Operating and Investing Strategies

The Company invests in apartment communities located in strategically targeted markets with the goal of maximizing our risk adjusted total return (operating income plus capital appreciation) on invested capital.

Our operating focus is on balancing occupancy and rental rates to maximize our revenue while exercising tight cost control to generate the highest possible return to our shareholders. Revenue is maximized by attracting qualified prospects to our properties, cost-effectively converting these prospects into new residents and keeping our residents satisfied so they will renew

35

Table of Contents

their leases upon expiration. While we believe that it is our high-quality, well-located assets that bring our customers to us, it is the customer service and superior value provided by our on-site personnel that keeps them renting with us and recommending us to their friends.

We use technology to engage our customers in the way that they want to be engaged. Many of our residents utilize our web-based resident portal which allows them to sign their lease, review their account and make payments, provide feedback and make service requests on-line.

We seek to maximize capital appreciation of our properties by investing in markets that are characterized by conditions favorable to multifamily property appreciation. These markets generally feature one or more of the following:

- High barriers to entry where, because of land scarcity or government regulation, it is difficult or costly to build new apartment properties, creating limits on new supply;

- High single family home prices making our apartments a more economical housing choice;

- Strong economic growth leading to household formation and job growth, which in turn leads to high demand for our apartments; and

- An attractive quality of life leading to high demand and retention that allows us to increase rents.

Acquisitions and developments may be financed from various sources of capital, which may include retained cash flow, issuance of additional equity and debt, sales of properties and joint venture agreements. In addition, the Company may acquire properties in transactions that include the issuance of limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership (“OP Units”) as consideration for the acquired properties. Such transactions may, in certain circumstances, enable the sellers to defer, in whole or in part, the recognition of taxable income or gain that might otherwise result from the sales. The Company may acquire land parcels to hold and/or sell based on market opportunities. The Company may also seek to acquire properties by purchasing defaulted or distressed debt that encumbers desirable properties in the hope of obtaining title to property through foreclosure or deed-in-lieu of foreclosure proceedings. The Company has also, in the past, converted some of its properties and sold them as condominiums but is not currently active in this line of business.

Over the past several years, the Company has done an extensive repositioning of its portfolio from low barrier to entry/non-core markets to high barrier to entry/core markets. Since 2005, the Company has sold over 124,000 apartment units primarily in its non-core markets for an aggregate sales price of approximately \$10.0 billion, acquired over 42,000 apartment units in its core markets for approximately \$9.4 billion and began approximately \$2.7 billion of development projects in its core markets. We are currently seeking to acquire and develop assets primarily in the following targeted metropolitan areas: Boston, New York, Washington DC, South Florida, Southern California, San Francisco and Seattle. We also have investments (in the aggregate about 19.2% of our NOI at December 31, 2011) in other markets including Denver, Atlanta, Phoenix, New England (excluding Boston), Orlando and Jacksonville but do not currently intend to acquire or develop new assets in these markets.

As part of its strategy, the Company purchases completed and fully occupied apartment properties, partially completed or partially occupied properties or land on which apartment properties can be constructed. We intend to hold a diversified portfolio of assets across our target markets. As of December 31, 2011, no single metropolitan area accounted for more than 15.3% of our NOI, though no guarantee can be made that NOI concentration may not increase in the future.

We endeavor to attract and retain the best employees by providing them with the education, resources and opportunities to succeed. We provide many classroom and on-line training courses to assist our employees in interacting with prospects and residents as well as extensively train our customer service specialists in maintaining the equipment and appliances on our property sites. We actively promote from within and many senior corporate and property leaders have risen from entry level or junior positions. We monitor our employees' engagement by surveying them annually and have consistently received high engagement scores.

We have a commitment to sustainability and consider the environmental impacts of our business activities. We have a dedicated in-house team that initiates and applies sustainable practices in all aspects of our business, including transactions, property operations and property management activities. With its high density, multifamily housing is,

by its nature, an environmentally friendly property type. Our recent acquisition and development activities have been primarily concentrated in pedestrian-friendly urban locations near public transportation. When developing and renovating our properties, we strive to reduce energy and water usage by investing in energy saving technology while positively impacting the experience of our residents and the value of our assets. We continue to implement a combination of irrigation, lighting and HVAC improvements at our properties that will reduce energy and water consumption.

Table of Contents

Current Environment

We expect strong growth in 2012 same store revenue (anticipated increase ranging from 5.0% to 6.0%) and 2012 NOI (anticipated increase ranging from 6.5% to 8.5%) and are optimistic that the strength in fundamentals realized in 2011 will be sustained for the foreseeable future. We believe the key drivers behind the anticipated increases in revenue are base rent pricing, renewal pricing, resident turnover and physical occupancy. Despite extremely slow growth in the overall economy, our business continues to perform well as evidenced by rising base and renewal rents. Our relatively stable turnover and solid occupancy, which we anticipate will continue throughout 2012, provide us with the ability to increase rental rates. The combined forces of demographics, household formations and the continued aversion to home ownership should ensure a continued strong demand for rental housing.

The Company anticipates that 2012 same store expenses will increase 1.5% to 2.5% primarily due to increases in real estate taxes, utilities and payroll. Real estate taxes are expected to increase 4.0% to 5.0% in 2012 most significantly due to the burn off of 421a tax abatements in New York City but also due to expected value and rate increases in some of our jurisdictions. Utilities are expected to grow 1.5% to 2.5% in 2012 as increases in water, sewer and trash are partially offset by decreases in natural gas rates. On-site payroll is expected to increase by approximately 1.0% in 2012 as normal annual merit increases in payroll should be mitigated by improvements in technology and automation. This follows several years of excellent expense control, with a compounded annual growth in same store expenses of approximately 1.0% over the last five years.

The Company continues to sell non-core assets and reduce its exposure to non-core markets as we believe these assets do not fit into our long term plans and we can sell them for prices that we believe are favorable. The Company sold 47 consolidated properties consisting of 14,345 apartment units for \$1.48 billion during the year ended December 31, 2011. The Company's decision to accelerate the timing and increase the volume of dispositions combined with reinvestment of the cash proceeds in assets with lower cap rates (see definition below) later in 2011 was dilutive to our 2011 per share results. The Company defines dilution from transactions as the lost NOI from sales proceeds that were not reinvested in other apartment properties or were reinvested in properties with a lower cap rate. The Company anticipates consolidated dispositions of approximately \$1.25 billion during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Competition for the properties we are interested in acquiring is significant due to the overall improvement in market fundamentals. We believe our access to capital, our ability to execute large, complex transactions and our ability to efficiently stabilize large scale lease up properties provide us with a competitive advantage. The Company acquired 21 consolidated properties consisting of 6,198 apartment units for \$1.38 billion and one commercial building for potential redevelopment for \$11.8 million. The Company anticipates consolidated acquisitions of approximately \$1.25 billion during the year ended December 31, 2012.

The Company also acquired six land parcels and entered into a long-term ground lease on another land parcel for \$202.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. We acquired these land parcels with the intent to develop them into approximately \$725.0 million of new apartment properties. The Company also started construction on six projects representing 2,124 apartment units totaling \$656.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. The Company expects to start construction on eight projects representing 2,014 apartment units totaling approximately \$750.0 million of development costs during the year ended December 31, 2012.

On December 2, 2011, the Company entered into a contract with affiliates of Bank of America and Barclays PLC to acquire, for \$1.325 billion, half of their interests - an approximately 26.5% interest overall - in Archstone, a privately-held owner, operator and developer of multifamily apartment properties. On January 20, 2012, Lehman Brothers, the other owner of Archstone, acquired this 26.5% interest pursuant to a right of first offer and as a result, the Company's contract with the sellers was terminated. The Company now has the exclusive right, exercisable on or before April 19, 2012, to contract to purchase the remaining 26.5% interest in Archstone owned by the same sellers

for a price, determined by the Company, equal to \$1.485 billion or higher. Any purchase of the remaining interest by the Company would also be subject to Lehman's right of first offer, and if Lehman were to exercise such right, the Company would be entitled to a break-up fee of \$80.0 million, subject to repayment in certain limited circumstances. In 2011, the Company incurred Archstone-related expenses of approximately \$4.4 million. Approximately \$2.6 million of this total was financing-related and \$1.8 million was pursuit costs.

We currently have access to multiple sources of capital including the equity markets as well as both the secured and unsecured debt markets. In December 2011, the Company completed a \$1.0 billion unsecured ten year note offering with a coupon of 4.625% and an all-in effective interest rate of approximately 6.2%. We also raised \$201.9 million in equity under our ATM Common Share offering program in 2011 and raised an additional \$123.6 million under this program thus far in 2012. In July 2011, the Company replaced its then existing unsecured revolving credit facility which was due to mature in February 2012 with a new \$1.25 billion unsecured revolving credit facility maturing on July 13, 2014, subject to a one-year extension option exercisable by the Company. The Company believes that the new facility contains a diversified and strong bank group which increases its

Table of Contents

balance sheet flexibility going forward. Subsequent to the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company amended this facility to increase available borrowings by \$500.0 million to \$1.75 billion and entered into a commitment for a new senior unsecured \$500.0 million delayed draw term loan facility. The Company arranged these facilities to replace a commitment for a \$1.0 billion senior unsecured bridge loan facility and represents access to certain but contingent capital should the Company be successful in its pursuit of Archstone. These facilities are also available for other funding obligations should the Company be unsuccessful in its pursuit of Archstone.

We believe that cash and cash equivalents, securities readily convertible to cash, current availability on our revolving credit facility and delayed draw term loan facility and disposition proceeds for 2012 will provide sufficient liquidity to meet our funding obligations relating to asset acquisitions, including an interest in Archstone, debt maturities and existing development projects through 2012. We expect that our remaining longer-term funding requirements will be met through some combination of new borrowings, equity issuances (including EQR's ATM Common Share offering program), property dispositions, joint ventures and cash generated from operations.

There is significant uncertainty surrounding the futures of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (the "Government Sponsored Enterprises" or "GSEs"). Through their lender originator networks, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are significant lenders both to the Company and to buyers of the Company's properties. The GSEs have a mandate to support multifamily housing through their financing activities. Any changes to their mandates, reductions in their size or the scale of their activities or loss of key personnel could have a significant impact on the Company and may, among other things, lead to lower values for our disposition assets and higher interest rates on our borrowings. Such changes may also provide an advantage to us by making the cost of financing single family home ownership more expensive and provide us a competitive advantage given the size of our balance sheet and the multiple sources of capital to which we have access.

We believe that the Company is well-positioned as of December 31, 2011 because our properties are geographically diverse, were approximately 94.2% occupied (94.7% on a same store basis) and the long-term demographic picture is positive. With the exception of the Washington, D.C. market area, little new multifamily rental supply will be added to our markets over the next several years. We believe our strong balance sheet and ample liquidity will allow us to fund our debt maturities and development costs in the near term, and should also allow us to take advantage of investment opportunities in the future. As economic conditions continue to improve, the short-term nature of our leases and the limited supply of new rental housing being constructed, along with the customer service and superior value provided by our on-site personnel, should allow us to realize even more revenue growth and improvement in our operating results.

The current environment information presented above is based on current expectations and is forward-looking.

Results of Operations

In conjunction with our business objectives and operating strategy, the Company continued to invest in apartment properties located in strategically targeted markets during the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. In summary, we:

Year Ended December 31, 2011:

Acquired \$1.3 billion of apartment properties consisting of 20 consolidated properties and 6,103 apartment units at a weighted average cap rate (see definition below) of 5.2% and acquired five land parcels and entered into a long-term ground lease on one land parcel located in New York City for a total of \$68.3 million, all of which we deem to be in our strategic targeted markets;

Acquired one vacant land parcel in New York City in a joint venture with Toll Brothers for \$134.0 million, consisting of contributions by the Company and Toll Brothers of approximately \$76.1 million and \$57.9 million, respectively, for future development;

Acquired one unoccupied property in the San Francisco Bay Area in the third quarter of 2011 for \$39.5 million consisting of 95 apartment units that is expected to stabilize at a 6.3% yield on cost;

Acquired a 97,000 square foot commercial building adjacent to our Harbor Steps apartment property in downtown Seattle for \$11.8 million for potential redevelopment; and

Sold \$1.5 billion of consolidated apartment properties consisting of 47 properties and 14,345 apartment units at a weighted average cap rate of 6.5% generating an unlevered internal rate of return (IRR), inclusive of management costs, of 11.1% and one land parcel for \$22.8 million, the majority of which were in exit or less desirable markets.

Table of Contents

Year Ended December 31, 2010:

Acquired \$1.1 billion of apartment properties consisting of 14 consolidated properties and 3,207 apartment units at a weighted average cap rate of 5.4% and six land parcels for \$68.9 million, all of which we deem to be in our strategic targeted markets;

Acquired one unoccupied property in the second quarter of 2010 (425 Mass in Washington, D.C.) for \$166.8 million consisting of 559 apartment units that is expected to stabilize at an 8.5% yield on cost and one property in the third quarter of 2010 (Vantage Pointe in San Diego, CA) for \$200.0 million consisting of 679 apartment units that was in the early stages of lease up and is expected to stabilize at a 7.0% yield on cost;

Acquired the 75% equity interest it did not own in seven previously unconsolidated properties consisting of 1,811 apartment units at an implied cap rate of 8.4% in exchange for an approximate \$30.0 million payment to its joint venture partner;

Sold \$718.4 million of consolidated apartment properties consisting of 35 properties and 7,171 apartment units at a weighted average cap rate of 6.7%, 2 condominium units for \$0.4 million and one land parcel for \$4.0 million, the majority of which was in exit or less desirable markets; and

Sold the last of its 25% equity interests in an institutional joint venture consisting of 27 unconsolidated properties containing 6,275 apartment units. These properties were valued in their entirety at \$417.8 million which results in an implied weighted average cap rate of 7.5% (generating cash to the Company, net of debt repayments, of \$26.9 million).

The Company's primary financial measure for evaluating each of its apartment communities is net operating income ("NOI"). NOI represents rental income less property and maintenance expense, real estate tax and insurance expense and property management expense. The Company believes that NOI is helpful to investors as a supplemental measure of its operating performance because it is a direct measure of the actual operating results of the Company's apartment communities. The cap rate is generally the first year NOI yield (net of replacements) on the Company's investment. Properties that the Company owned for all of both 2011 and 2010 (the "2011 Same Store Properties"), which represented 101,312 apartment units, impacted the Company's results of operations. Properties that the Company owned for all of both 2010 and 2009 (the "2010 Same Store Properties"), which represented 112,042 apartment units, also impacted the Company's results of operations. Both the 2011 Same Store Properties and 2010 Same Store Properties are discussed in the following paragraphs.

The Company's acquisition, disposition and completed development activities also impacted overall results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. The impacts of these activities are discussed in greater detail in the following paragraphs.

Comparison of the year ended December 31, 2011 to the year ended December 31, 2010

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company reported diluted earnings per share of \$2.95 compared to \$0.95 per share for the year ended December 31, 2010. The difference is primarily due to higher gains from property sales in 2011 vs. 2010, higher total property net operating income driven by the positive impact of the Company's same store and lease-up activity and \$45.4 million in impairment losses in 2010 that did not reoccur in 2011, partially offset by dilution as a result of the net impact of the Company's 2010 and 2011 acquisition and disposition activities.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, income from continuing operations increased approximately \$167.4 million when compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase in continuing operations is discussed below.

Revenues from the 2011 Same Store Properties increased \$81.9 million primarily as a result of an increase in average rental rates charged to residents and an increase in occupancy. Expenses from the 2011 Same Store Properties increased \$3.5 million primarily due to increases in property management costs, real estate taxes and utilities, partially offset by decreases in leasing and advertising costs and insurance. The following tables provide comparative same store results and statistics for the 2011 Same Store Properties:

Table of Contents

2011 vs. 2010

Same Store Results/Statistics

\$ in thousands (except for Average Rental Rate) – 101,312 Same Store Apartment Units

Description	Results			Statistics			Turnover
	Revenues	Expenses	NOI	Average Rental Rate (1)	Occupancy		
2011	\$1,712,428	\$617,712	\$1,094,716	\$1,481	95.2	% 57.8	%
2010	\$1,630,482	\$614,210	\$1,016,272	\$1,417	94.8	% 56.9	%
Change	\$81,946	\$3,502	\$78,444	\$64	0.4	% 0.9	%
Change	5.0	% 0.6	% 7.7	% 4.5	%		

(1) Average rental rate is defined as total rental revenues divided by the weighted average occupied apartment units for the period.

The following table provides comparative same store operating expenses for the 2011 Same Store Properties:

2011 vs. 2010

Same Store Operating Expenses

\$ in thousands – 101,312 Same Store Apartment Units

	Actual 2011	Actual 2010	\$ Change	% Change	% of Actual 2011 Operating Expenses	
Real estate taxes	\$169,432	\$166,675	\$2,757	1.7	% 27.4	%
On-site payroll (1)	144,346	144,878	(532)	(0.4))% 23.4	%
Utilities (2)	96,702	95,083	1,619	1.7	% 15.7	%
Repairs and maintenance (3)	89,549	89,128	421	0.5	% 14.5	%
Property management costs (4)	68,497	65,219	3,278	5.0	% 11.1	%
Insurance	19,394	20,605	(1,211)	(5.9))% 3.1	%
Leasing and advertising	11,515	14,266	(2,751)	(19.3))% 1.9	%
Other on-site operating expenses (5)	18,277	18,356	(79)	(0.4))% 2.9	%
Same store operating expenses	\$617,712	\$614,210	\$3,502	0.6	% 100.0	%

(1) On-site payroll – Includes payroll and related expenses for on-site personnel including property managers, leasing consultants and maintenance staff.

(2) Utilities – Represents gross expenses prior to any recoveries under the Resident Utility Billing System (“RUBS”). Recoveries are reflected in rental income.

(3) Repairs and maintenance – Includes general maintenance costs, apartment unit turnover costs including interior painting, routine landscaping, security, exterminating, fire protection, snow removal, elevator, roof and parking lot repairs and other miscellaneous building repair costs.

(4) Property management costs – Includes payroll and related expenses for departments, or portions of departments, that directly support on-site management. These include such departments as regional and corporate property management, property accounting, human resources, training, marketing and revenue management, procurement, real estate tax, property legal services and information technology.

(5) Other on-site operating expenses – Includes administrative costs such as office supplies, telephone and data charges and association and business licensing fees.

The following table presents a reconciliation of operating income per the consolidated statements of operations to NOI for the 2011 Same Store Properties.

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
	(Amounts in thousands)	
Operating income	\$573,332	\$376,077
Adjustments:		
Non-same store operating results	(164,438) (53,734
Fee and asset management revenue	(9,026) (9,476
Fee and asset management expense	4,279	4,998
Depreciation	646,963	613,146
General and administrative	43,606	39,881
Impairment	—	45,380
Same store NOI	\$1,094,716	\$1,016,272

For properties that the Company acquired prior to January 1, 2011 and expects to continue to own through December 31, 2012, the Company anticipates the following same store results for the full year ending December 31, 2012:

2012 Same Store Assumptions

Physical occupancy	95.2%
Revenue change	5.0% to 6.0%
Expense change	1.5% to 2.5%
NOI change	6.5% to 8.5%

The Company anticipates consolidated rental acquisitions of \$1.25 billion and consolidated rental dispositions of \$1.25 billion and expects that acquisitions will have a 1.25% lower cap rate than dispositions for the full year ending December 31, 2012.

These 2012 assumptions are based on current expectations and are forward-looking.

Non-same store operating results increased approximately \$110.7 million and consist primarily of properties acquired in calendar years 2010 and 2011, as well as operations from the Company's completed development properties. Although the operations of both the non-same store assets and the same store assets have been positively impacted during the year ended December 31, 2011, the non-same store assets have contributed a greater percentage of total NOI to the Company's overall operating results primarily due to 2010 and 2011 acquisitions, increasing occupancy for properties in lease-up and a longer ownership period in 2011 than 2010. This increase primarily resulted from: Development and other miscellaneous properties in lease-up of \$39.1 million; Properties acquired in 2010 and 2011 of \$53.1 million; and Newly stabilized development and other miscellaneous properties of \$3.0 million.

See also Note 17 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion regarding the Company's segment disclosures.

Fee and asset management revenues, net of fee and asset management expenses, increased approximately \$0.3 million or 6.0% primarily due to revenues earned on management of the Company's unconsolidated development joint ventures, an increase in revenue earned on management of the Company's military housing ventures at Fort Lewis and McChord Air Force Base and lower expenses, partially offset by the unwinding of four institutional joint ventures during 2010.

Property management expenses from continuing operations include off-site expenses associated with the self-management of the Company's properties as well as management fees paid to any third party management companies. These expenses increased approximately \$2.0 million or 2.6%. This increase is primarily attributable to an increase in payroll-related costs, which is largely a result of the creation of the Company's central business group, which moved certain administrative functions off-site, and increases in legal and professional fees and education/conference expenses.

Depreciation expense from continuing operations, which includes depreciation on non-real estate assets, increased approximately \$33.8 million or 5.5% primarily as a result of additional depreciation expense on properties acquired in

2011, development properties placed in service and capital expenditures for all properties owned, partially offset by a decrease in the amortization of furniture, fixtures and equipment that were fully depreciated.

41

Table of Contents

General and administrative expenses from continuing operations, which include corporate operating expenses, increased approximately \$3.7 million or 9.3% primarily due to an increase in payroll-related costs, which is largely a result of the acceleration of long-term compensation expense for retirement eligible employees. The Company anticipates that general and administrative expenses will approximate \$45.0 million to \$46.0 million for the year ending December 31, 2012. The above assumption is based on current expectations and is forward-looking.

Impairment from continuing operations decreased approximately \$45.4 million due to an impairment charge taken during the fourth quarter of 2010 on land held for development related to two potential development projects that did not reoccur in 2011. See Note 18 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Interest and other income from continuing operations increased approximately \$2.8 million or 54.4% primarily as a result of interest earned on cash and cash equivalents due to larger overall cash balances during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010, forfeited deposits for terminated disposition transactions and proceeds received from the Company's final royalty participation in LRO/Rainmaker (a revenue management system), partially offset by insurance/litigation settlement proceeds that occurred during the year ended December 31, 2010 and did not reoccur during the year ended December 31, 2011. The Company anticipates that interest and other income will approximate \$0.5 million to \$1.0 million for the year ending December 31, 2012. The above assumption is based on current expectations and is forward-looking.

Other expenses from continuing operations increased approximately \$2.6 million or 22.0% primarily due to an increase in property acquisition costs incurred in conjunction with the Company's 2011 acquisitions as well as transaction costs related to the pursuit of Archstone.

Interest expense from continuing operations, including amortization of deferred financing costs, increased approximately \$7.8 million or 1.6% primarily as a result of a full year of interest expense on the \$600.0 million of unsecured notes that closed in July 2010 and interest expense on forward starting swaps terminated in conjunction with the issuance of \$1.0 billion of unsecured notes, partially offset by lower interest expense on mortgage notes payable due to lower balances during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company capitalized interest costs of approximately \$9.1 million as compared to \$13.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. This capitalization of interest primarily relates to consolidated projects under development. The effective interest cost on all indebtedness for the year ended December 31, 2011 was 5.30% as compared to 5.14% for the year ended December 31, 2010. The Company anticipates that interest expense from continuing operations will approximate \$458.0 million to \$468.0 million for the year ending December 31, 2012. The above assumption is based on current expectations and is forward-looking.

Income and other tax expense from continuing operations increased approximately \$0.4 million primarily due to Tennessee and Texas franchise tax refunds received during the year ended December 31, 2010 that did not reoccur during the year ended December 31, 2011, partially offset by decreases in all other taxes. The Company anticipates that income and other tax expense will approximate \$0.5 million to \$1.5 million for the year ending December 31, 2012. The above assumption is based on current expectations and is forward-looking.

Loss from investments in unconsolidated entities decreased approximately \$0.7 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 primarily due to the unwinding of four institutional joint ventures during 2010.

Net gain on sales of unconsolidated entities decreased approximately \$28.1 million primarily due to the gain on sale and revaluation of seven previously unconsolidated properties that were acquired from the Company's joint venture partner and the gain on sale for 27 unconsolidated properties that occurred during the year ended December 31, 2010 that did not reoccur during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Net gain on sales of land parcels increased approximately \$5.6 million primarily due to the gain on sale of a land parcel located in suburban Washington, D.C. during the year ended December 31, 2011 and a loss on sale of a land parcel during the same period in 2010.

Discontinued operations, net increased approximately \$471.8 million between the periods under comparison. This increase is primarily due to higher gains from property sales during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010, partially offset by properties sold in 2011 which reflect operations for none of or a partial period in 2011 in contrast to a full or partial period in 2010. See Note 11 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

Comparison of the year ended December 31, 2010 to the year ended December 31, 2009

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company reported diluted earnings per share of \$0.95 compared to \$1.27 per share for the year ended December 31, 2009. The difference is primarily due to \$37.3 million in lower gains from property sales in 2010 vs. 2009 and \$34.3 million in higher impairment losses in 2010 vs. 2009.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, loss from continuing operations increased approximately \$25.7 million when

Table of Contents

compared to the year ended December 31, 2009. The decrease in continuing operations is discussed below. Revenues from the 2010 Same Store Properties decreased \$2.1 million primarily as a result of a decrease in average rental rates charged to residents, partially offset by an increase in occupancy. Expenses from the 2010 Same Store Properties increased \$6.2 million primarily due to increases in repairs and maintenance expenses (mostly due to greater storm-related costs such as snow removal and roof repairs incurred during the first quarter of 2010), higher property management costs and increases in utility costs, partially offset by lower real estate taxes and leasing and advertising expenses. The following tables provide comparative same store results and statistics for the 2010 Same Store Properties:

2010 vs. 2009

Same Store Results/Statistics

\$ in thousands (except for Average Rental Rate) – 112,042 Same Store Apartment Unit

Description	Results			Statistics			
	Revenues	Expenses	NOI	Average Rental Rate (1)	Occupancy	Turnover	
2010	\$ 1,728,268	\$ 654,663	\$ 1,073,605	\$ 1,358	94.8	% 56.7	%
2009	\$ 1,730,335	\$ 648,508	\$ 1,081,827	\$ 1,375	93.7	% 61.5	%
Change	\$ (2,067)	\$ 6,155	\$ (8,222)	\$ (17)	1.1	% (4.8)	%
Change	(0.1)%	0.9 %	(0.8)%	(1.2)%			

(1) Average rental rate is defined as total rental revenues divided by the weighted average occupied apartment units for the period.

The following table provides comparative same store operating expenses for the 2010 Same Store Properties:

2010 vs. 2009

Same Store Operating Expenses

\$ in thousands – 112,042 Same Store Apartment Units

	Actual 2010	Actual 2009	\$ Change	% Change	% of Actual 2010 Operating Expenses	
Real estate taxes	\$ 174,131	\$ 177,180	\$ (3,049)	(1.7 %)	26.6 %	%
On-site payroll (1)	156,668	156,446	222	0.1 %	23.9 %	%
Utilities (2)	102,553	100,441	2,112	2.1 %	15.7 %	%
Repairs and maintenance (3)	97,166	94,223	2,943	3.1 %	14.8 %	%
Property management costs (4)	69,995	64,022	5,973	9.3 %	10.7 %	%
Insurance	21,545	21,525	20	0.1 %	3.3 %	%
Leasing and advertising	14,892	16,029	(1,137)	(7.1 %)	2.3 %	%
Other on-site operating expenses (5)	17,713	18,642	(929)	(5.0 %)	2.7 %	%
Same store operating expenses	\$ 654,663	\$ 648,508	\$ 6,155	0.9 %	100.0 %	%

(1) On-site payroll – Includes payroll and related expenses for on-site personnel including property managers, leasing consultants and maintenance staff.

(2) Utilities – Represents gross expenses prior to any recoveries under the Resident Utility Billing System (“RUBS”).

(2) Recoveries are reflected in rental income.

Repairs and maintenance – Includes general maintenance costs, unit turnover costs including interior painting, routine landscaping, security, exterminating, fire protection, snow removal, elevator, roof and parking lot repairs and other miscellaneous building repair costs.

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

- Property management costs – Includes payroll and related expenses for departments, or portions of departments, that directly support on-site management. These include such departments as regional and corporate property
- (4) management, property accounting, human resources, training, marketing and revenue management, procurement, real estate tax, property legal services and information technology.
 - (5) Other on-site operating expenses – Includes administrative costs such as office supplies, telephone and data charges and association and business licensing fees.

Non-same store operating results increased approximately \$84.6 million and consist primarily of properties acquired in calendar years 2009 and 2010, as well as operations from the Company's completed development properties and corporate housing

Table of Contents

business. While the operations of the non-same store assets have been negatively impacted during the year ended December 31, 2010 similar to the same store assets, the non-same store assets have contributed a greater percentage of total NOI to the Company's overall operating results primarily due to increasing occupancy for properties in lease-up and a longer ownership period in 2010 than 2009. This increase primarily resulted from:

Development and other miscellaneous properties in lease-up of \$32.4 million;

Newly stabilized development and other miscellaneous properties of \$0.2 million;

Properties acquired in 2009 and 2010 of \$56.2 million; and

Partially offset by an allocation of property management costs not included in same store results and operating activities from other miscellaneous operations, such as the Company's corporate housing business.

See also Note 17 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion regarding the Company's segment disclosures.

Fee and asset management revenues, net of fee and asset management expenses, increased approximately \$1.5 million or 49.2% primarily due to an increase in revenue earned on management of the Company's military housing ventures at Fort Lewis and McChord Air Force Base (primarily due to increased housing redevelopment on the base which earned the Company additional fees) as well as a decrease in asset management expenses, partially offset by the unwinding of the Company's institutional joint ventures during 2010.

Property management expenses from continuing operations include off-site expenses associated with the self-management of the Company's properties as well as management fees paid to any third party management companies. These expenses increased approximately \$8.8 million or 12.3%. This increase is primarily attributable to an increase in payroll-related costs (due primarily to higher health insurance and bonus costs, acceleration of long-term compensation expense for retirement eligible employees and the creation of the Company's central business group, which moved administrative functions off-site), legal and professional fees, education/conference expenses, real estate tax consulting fees and travel expenses.

Depreciation expense from continuing operations, which includes depreciation on non-real estate assets, increased approximately \$94.4 million or 18.2% primarily as a result of additional depreciation expense on properties acquired in 2009 and 2010, development properties placed in service and capital expenditures for all properties owned.

General and administrative expenses from continuing operations, which include corporate operating expenses, increased approximately \$0.9 million or 2.3% primarily due to higher overall payroll-related costs (due primarily to higher bonus costs), partially offset by lower tax compliance fees and office rents.

Impairment from continuing operations increased approximately \$34.3 million due to a \$45.4 million impairment charge taken during the fourth quarter of 2010 on land held for development related to two potential development projects compared to an \$11.1 million impairment charge taken during 2009 on land held for development. See Note 18 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Interest and other income from continuing operations decreased approximately \$11.4 million or 68.8% primarily as a result of a decrease in interest earned on cash and cash equivalents and investment securities due to lower interest rates during the year ended December 31, 2010 and lower overall balances as well as gains on debt extinguishment and the sale of investment securities recognized during the year ended December 31, 2009 that did not reoccur in 2010, partially offset by an increase in insurance/litigation settlement proceeds.

Other expenses from continuing operations increased approximately \$5.5 million or 84.2% primarily due to an increase in the expensing of overhead (pursuit cost write-offs) as a result of the Company's decision to reduce its development activities in prior periods as well as an increase in property acquisition costs incurred in conjunction with

the Company's significantly higher acquisition volume in 2010.

Interest expense from continuing operations, including amortization of deferred financing costs, decreased approximately \$27.2 million or 5.4% primarily as a result of lower overall debt balances and higher debt extinguishment costs due to the significant debt repurchases in 2009 and lower rates in 2010, partially offset by interest expense on the \$500.0 million mortgage pool that closed in 2009, the \$600.0 million of unsecured notes that closed in July 2010 and lower capitalized interest. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company capitalized interest costs of approximately \$13.0 million as compared to \$34.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. This capitalization of interest primarily relates to consolidated projects under development. The effective interest cost on all indebtedness for the year ended December 31, 2010 was 5.14% as compared to 5.62% for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

Income and other tax expense from continuing operations decreased approximately \$2.4 million or 89.2% primarily due to a decrease in franchise taxes for Texas and a decrease in business taxes for Washington, D.C.

Loss from investments in unconsolidated entities decreased approximately \$2.1 million or 73.9% as compared to the year ended December 31, 2009 primarily due to the Company's \$1.8 million share of defeasance costs incurred in conjunction with the extinguishment of cross-collateralized mortgage debt on one of the Company's partially owned unconsolidated joint ventures taken during the year ended December 31, 2009 that did not reoccur in 2010.

Net gain on sales of unconsolidated entities increased approximately \$17.4 million primarily due to larger gains on sale and revaluation of seven previously unconsolidated properties that were acquired from the Company's joint venture partner and the gain on sale for 27 properties sold during the year ended December 31, 2010 compared with unconsolidated properties sold in the same period in 2009.

Net loss on sales of land parcels increased approximately \$1.4 million primarily due to the loss on sale of one land parcel during the year ended December 31, 2010.

Discontinued operations, net decreased approximately \$60.3 million or 13.7% between the periods under comparison. This decrease is primarily due to lower gains from property sales during the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 and the operations of those properties. In addition, properties sold in 2010 reflect operations for none of or a partial period in 2010 in contrast to a full or partial period in 2009. See Note 11 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

For the Year Ended December 31, 2011

EQR issues public equity from time to time and guarantees certain debt of ERPOP. EQR does not have any indebtedness as all debt is incurred by the Operating Partnership.

As of January 1, 2011, the Company had approximately \$431.4 million of cash and cash equivalents, its restricted 1031 exchange proceeds totaled \$103.9 million and it had \$1.28 billion available under its then existing revolving credit facility (net of \$147.3 million which was restricted/dedicated to support letters of credit and \$75.0 million which had been committed by a now bankrupt financial institution and was not available for borrowing). After taking into effect the various transactions discussed in the following paragraphs and the net cash provided by operating activities, the Company's cash and cash equivalents balance at December 31, 2011 was approximately \$383.9 million, its restricted 1031 exchange proceeds totaled \$53.7 million and the amount available on its new revolving credit facility was \$1.22 billion (net of \$31.8 million which was restricted/dedicated to support letters of credit).

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company generated proceeds from various transactions, which included the following:

Disposed of 47 consolidated properties and one land parcel, receiving net proceeds of approximately \$1.5 billion;

Obtained \$190.9 million in new mortgage financing;

Issued \$1.0 billion of unsecured notes, receiving net proceeds of \$996.2 million before underwriting fees and other expenses; and

Issued approximately 6.9 million Common Shares (including Common Shares issued under the ATM program – see further discussion below) and received net proceeds of \$274.1 million, which were contributed to the capital of the Operating Partnership in exchange for additional OP Units (on a one-for-one Common Share per OP Unit basis).

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the above proceeds were primarily utilized to:

Acquire 21 rental properties, a 97,000 square foot commercial building, six land parcels and entered into one long-term ground lease for approximately \$1.4 billion;

Invest \$120.7 million primarily in development projects;

Repay \$991.7 million of mortgage loans and \$575.6 million of unsecured notes; and

Settle various forward starting swaps, utilizing cash of \$147.3 million.

In September 2009, the EQR announced the establishment of an At-The-Market (“ATM”) share offering program which

45

Table of Contents

would allow EQR to sell up to 17.0 million Common Shares (later increased by 5.7 million Common Shares) from time to time over the next three years into the existing trading market at current market prices as well as through negotiated transactions. Per the terms of ERPOP's partnership agreement, EQR contributes the net proceeds from all equity offerings to the capital of ERPOP in exchange for additional OP Units (on a one-for-one Common Share per OP Unit basis). EQR may, but shall have no obligation to, sell Common Shares through the ATM share offering program in amounts and at times to be determined by EQR. Actual sales will depend on a variety of factors to be determined by EQR from time to time, including (among others) market conditions, the trading price of EQR's Common Shares and determinations of the appropriate sources of funding for EQR. During the year ended December 31, 2011, EQR issued approximately 3.9 million Common Shares at an average price of \$52.23 per share for total consideration of approximately \$201.9 million through the ATM program. During the year ended December 31, 2010, EQR issued approximately 6.2 million Common Shares at an average price of \$47.45 per share for total consideration of approximately \$291.9 million through the ATM program. During the year ended December 31, 2009, EQR issued approximately 3.5 million Common Shares at an average price of \$35.38 per share for total consideration of approximately \$123.7 million through the ATM program. In addition, during the first quarter of 2012 through February 17, 2012, the Company issued approximately 2.1 million Common Shares at an average price of \$59.47 per share for total consideration of approximately \$123.6 million. Through February 17, 2012, EQR has cumulatively issued approximately 15.6 million Common Shares at an average price of \$47.53 per share for total consideration of approximately \$741.2 million. EQR has 7.1 million Common Shares remaining available for issuance under the ATM program as of February 17, 2012.

On June 16, 2011, the shareholders of EQR approved the Company's 2011 Share Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan"). The 2011 Plan reserved 12,980,741 Common Shares for issuance. In conjunction with the approval of the 2011 Plan, no further awards may be granted under the 2002 Share Incentive Plan. The 2011 Plan expires on June 16, 2021. See Note 12 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Depending on its analysis of market prices, economic conditions and other opportunities for the investment of available capital, EQR may repurchase its Common Shares pursuant to its existing share repurchase program authorized by the Board of Trustees. As of February 17, 2012, EQR had authorization to repurchase an additional \$464.6 million of its shares. No shares were repurchased during 2011. See Note 3 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Depending on its analysis of prevailing market conditions, liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors, the Company may from time to time seek to repurchase and retire its outstanding debt in open market or privately negotiated transactions.

The Company's total debt summary and debt maturity schedules as of December 31, 2011 are as follows:

Debt Summary as of December 31, 2011

(Amounts in thousands)

	Amounts (1)	% of Total	Weighted Average Rates (1)	Weighted Average Maturities (years)
Secured	\$4,111,487	42.3	% 4.84	% 8.3
Unsecured	5,609,574	57.7	% 5.15	% 5.2
Total	\$9,721,061	100.0	% 5.01	% 6.5
Fixed Rate Debt:				
Secured – Conventional	\$3,581,203	36.8	% 5.56	% 6.9
Unsecured – Public/Private	4,803,191	49.4	% 5.84	% 5.9
Fixed Rate Debt	8,384,394	86.2	% 5.71	% 6.3
Floating Rate Debt:				
Secured – Conventional	64,428	0.7	% 3.16	% 1.5
Secured – Tax Exempt	465,856	4.8	% 0.23	% 20.9

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

Unsecured – Public/Private	806,383	8.3	% 1.67	% 0.9
Unsecured – Revolving Credit Facility (2)	—	—	% 1.42	% 2.5
Floating Rate Debt	1,336,667	13.8	% 1.36	% 7.6
Total	\$9,721,061	100.0	% 5.01	% 6.5

(1) Net of the effect of any derivative instruments. Weighted average rates are for the year ended December 31, 2011.

(2) On July 13, 2011, the Company replaced its then existing unsecured revolving credit facility with a new \$1.25 billion unsecured revolving

Table of Contents

credit facility maturing on July 13, 2014, subject to a one-year extension option exercisable by the Company. The interest rate on advances under the new credit facility will generally be LIBOR plus a spread (currently 1.15%) and the Company pays an annual facility fee of 0.2%. Both the spread and the facility fee are dependent on the credit rating of the Company's long-term debt. Subsequent to year-end, the Company amended this facility to increase available borrowings by \$500.0 million to \$1.75 billion. The terms did not change, including the July 13, 2014 maturity date.

Note: The Company capitalized interest of approximately \$9.1 million and \$13.0 million during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Debt Maturity Schedule as of December 31, 2011

(Amounts in thousands)

Year	Fixed Rate (1)	Floating Rate (1)	Total	% of Total	Weighted Average Rates on Fixed Rate Debt (1)	Weighted Average Rates on Total Debt (1)	
2012	\$625,227	\$536,355	(2) \$1,161,582	11.9	% 6.04	% 3.72	%
2013	272,925	306,750	579,675	6.0	% 6.71	% 4.88	%
2014	566,479	21,861	588,340	6.1	% 5.32	% 5.24	%
2015	419,049	(149)	(3) 418,900	4.3	% 6.31	% 6.31	%
2016	1,190,187	(149)	(3) 1,190,038	12.2	% 5.34	% 5.34	%
2017	1,355,457	306	1,355,763	13.9	% 5.87	% 5.87	%
2018	80,395	16,267	96,662	1.0	% 5.72	% 4.91	%
2019	801,387	20,617	822,004	8.5	% 5.49	% 5.36	%
2020	1,671,455	659	1,672,114	17.2	% 5.50	% 5.50	%
2021	1,165,332	706	1,166,038	12.0	% 4.64	% 4.64	%
2022+	236,501	433,444	669,945	6.9	% 6.75	% 2.84	%
Total	\$8,384,394	\$1,336,667	\$9,721,061	100.0	% 5.56	% 5.00	%

(1) Net of the effect of any derivative instruments. Weighted average rates are as of December 31, 2011.

(2) Effective April 5, 2011, the Company exercised the second of its two one-year extension options for its \$500.0 million term loan facility and as a result, the maturity date is now October 5, 2012.

(3) There is no floating rate debt maturing in 2015 and 2016. The amounts above represent amortization of discounts on floating rate debt.

The following table provides a summary of the Company's unsecured debt as of December 31, 2011:

Table of ContentsUnsecured Debt Summary as of December 31, 2011
(Amounts in thousands)

	Coupon Rate	Due Date		Face Amount	Unamortized Premium/ (Discount)	Net Balance
Fixed Rate Notes:						
	6.625%	03/15/12		\$253,858	\$(46) \$253,812
	5.500%	10/01/12		222,133	(164) 221,969
	5.200%	04/01/13	(1)	400,000	(148) 399,852
Fair Value Derivative Adjustments			(1)	(300,000) —	(300,000
	5.250%	09/15/14		500,000	(167) 499,833
	6.584%	04/13/15		300,000	(359) 299,641
	5.125%	03/15/16		500,000	(224) 499,776
	5.375%	08/01/16		400,000	(850) 399,150
	5.750%	06/15/17		650,000	(2,797) 647,203
	7.125%	10/15/17		150,000	(376) 149,624
	4.750%	07/15/20		600,000	(3,891) 596,109
	4.625%	12/15/21		1,000,000	(3,778) 996,222
	7.570%	08/15/26		140,000	—	140,000
				4,815,991	(12,800) 4,803,191
Floating Rate Notes:						
		04/01/13	(1)	300,000	—	300,000
Fair Value Derivative Adjustments			(1)	6,383	—	6,383
Term Loan Facility	LIBOR+0.50%	10/05/12	(2)(3)	500,000	—	500,000
				806,383	—	806,383
Revolving Credit Facility:	LIBOR+1.15%	07/13/14	(2)(4)	—	—	—
Total Unsecured Debt				\$5,622,374	\$(12,800) \$5,609,574

(1) Fair value interest rate swaps convert \$300.0 million of the 5.200% notes due April 1, 2013 to a floating interest rate.

(2) Facilities are private. All other unsecured debt is public.

Effective April 5, 2011, the Company exercised the second of its two one-year extension options for its \$500.0 million term loan facility and as a result, the maturity date is now October 5, 2012. Subsequent to year-end, the Company entered into a new senior unsecured \$500.0 million delayed draw term loan facility that may be drawn anytime on or before July 4, 2012 and is currently undrawn. If the Company elects to draw on this facility, the full amount of the principal will be funded in a single borrowing and the maturity date will be January 4, 2013, subject to two one-year extension options exercisable by the Company. The interest rate on advances under the new term loan facility will generally be LIBOR plus a spread (currently 1.25%), which is dependent on the credit rating of the Company's long term debt.

(4) On July 13, 2011, the Company replaced its then existing unsecured revolving credit facility with a new \$1.25 billion unsecured revolving credit facility maturing on July 13, 2014, subject to a one-year extension option exercisable by the Company. The interest rate on advances under the new credit facility will generally be LIBOR plus a spread (currently 1.15%) and the Company pays an annual facility fee of 0.2%. Both the spread and the facility fee are dependent on the credit rating of the Company's long-term debt. Subsequent to year-end, the

Company amended this facility to increase available borrowings by \$500.0 million to \$1.75 billion. The terms did not change, including the July 13, 2014 maturity date. As of February 17, 2012, there was approximately \$1.72 billion available on the Company's unsecured revolving credit facility.

An unlimited amount of equity and debt securities remains available for issuance by EQR and ERPOP under effective shelf registration statements filed with the SEC. Most recently, EQR and ERPOP filed a universal shelf registration statement for an unlimited amount of equity and debt securities that automatically became effective upon filing with the SEC in October 2010 and expires on October 15, 2013. However, as of February 17, 2012, issuances under the ATM share offering program are limited to 7.1 million additional shares. Per the terms of ERPOP's partnership agreement, EQR contributes the net proceeds of all equity offerings to the capital of ERPOP in exchange for additional OP Units (on a one-for-one Common Share per OP Unit basis) or preference units (on a one-for-one preferred share per preference unit basis).

The Company's "Consolidated Debt-to-Total Market Capitalization Ratio" as of December 31, 2011 is presented in the following table. The Company calculates the equity component of its market capitalization as the sum of (i) the total outstanding Common Shares and assumed conversion of all Units at the equivalent market value of the closing price of the Company's Common

Table of Contents

Shares on the New York Stock Exchange and (ii) the liquidation value of all perpetual preferred shares outstanding.

Equity Residential

Capital Structure as of December 31, 2011

(Amounts in thousands except for share/unit and per share amounts)

Secured Debt				\$4,111,487	42.3	%		
Unsecured Debt				5,609,574	57.7	%		
Total Debt				9,721,061	100.0	%	35.1	%
Common Shares (includes Restricted Shares)	297,508,185	95.7	%					
Units (includes OP Units and LTIP Units)	13,492,543	4.3	%					
Total Shares and Units	311,000,728	100.0	%					
Common Share Price at December 31, 2011	\$57.03							
				17,736,372	98.9	%		
Perpetual Preferred Equity (see below)				200,000	1.1	%		
Total Equity				17,936,372	100.0	%	64.9	%
Total Market Capitalization				\$27,657,433			100.0	%

Equity Residential

Perpetual Preferred Equity as of December 31, 2011

(Amounts in thousands except for share and per share amounts)

Series	Redemption Date	Outstanding Shares	Liquidation Value	Annual Dividend Per Share	Annual Dividend Amount	Weighted Average Rate	
Preferred Shares:							
8.29% Series K	12/10/26	1,000,000	\$50,000	\$4.145	\$4,145		
6.48% Series N	06/19/08	600,000	150,000	16.20	9,720		
Total Perpetual Preferred Equity		1,600,000	\$200,000		\$13,865	6.93	%

The Operating Partnership's "Consolidated Debt-to-Total Market Capitalization Ratio" as of December 31, 2011 is presented in the following table. The Operating Partnership calculates the equity component of its market capitalization as the sum of (i) the total outstanding Units at the equivalent market value of the closing price of the Company's Common Shares on the New York Stock Exchange and (ii) the liquidation value of all perpetual preference units outstanding.

ERP Operating Limited Partnership

Capital Structure as of December 31, 2011

(Amounts in thousands except for unit and per unit amounts)

Secured Debt				\$4,111,487	42.3	%		
Unsecured Debt				5,609,574	57.7	%		
Total Debt				9,721,061	100.0	%	35.1	%
Total outstanding Units	311,000,728							
Common Share Price at December 31, 2011	\$57.03							
				17,736,372	98.9	%		

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

Perpetual Preference Units (see below)	200,000	1.1	%		
Total Equity	17,936,372	100.0	%	64.9	%
Total Market Capitalization	\$27,657,433			100.0	%

Table of Contents

ERP Operating Limited Partnership

Perpetual Preference Units as of December 31, 2011

(Amounts in thousands except for unit and per unit amounts)

Series	Redemption Date	Outstanding Units	Liquidation Value	Annual Dividend Per Unit	Annual Dividend Amount	Weighted Average Rate
Preference Units:						
8.29% Series K	12/10/26	1,000,000	\$50,000	\$4.145	\$4,145	
6.48% Series N	06/19/08	600,000	150,000	16.20	9,720	
Total Perpetual Preference Units		1,600,000	\$200,000		\$13,865	6.93 %

The Company generally expects to meet its short-term liquidity requirements, including capital expenditures related to maintaining its existing properties and certain scheduled unsecured note and mortgage note repayments, through its working capital, net cash provided by operating activities and borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility. Under normal operating conditions, the Company considers its cash provided by operating activities to be adequate to meet operating requirements and payments of distributions. However, there may be times when the Company experiences shortfalls in its coverage of distributions, which may cause the Company to consider reducing its distributions and/or using the proceeds from property dispositions or additional financing transactions to make up the difference. Should these shortfalls occur for lengthy periods of time or be material in nature, the Company's financial condition may be adversely affected and it may not be able to maintain its current distribution levels.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company announced a new dividend policy which it believes will generate payouts more closely aligned with the actual annual operating results of the Company's core business and provide transparency to investors. The Company intends to pay an annual cash dividend equal to approximately 65% of Normalized FFO for the year. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company paid \$0.3375 per share for each of the first three quarters and \$0.5675 per share for the fourth quarter to bring the total payment for the year (an annual rate of \$1.58 per share) to approximately 65% of Normalized FFO. The Company anticipates the expected dividend payout will range from \$1.74 to \$1.81 per share (\$0.3375 per share for each of the first three quarters with the balance for the fourth quarter) for the year ending December 31, 2012. All future dividends remain subject to the discretion of the Board of Trustees. The above assumption is based on current expectations and is forward-looking. While our dividend policy makes it less likely we will over distribute, it will also lead to a dividend reduction more quickly than a fixed dividend policy should operating results deteriorate. The Company believes that its expected 2012 operating cash flow will be sufficient to cover capital expenditures and distributions.

The Company also expects to meet its long-term liquidity requirements, such as scheduled unsecured note and mortgage debt maturities, property acquisitions, financing of construction and development activities and capital improvements through the issuance of secured and unsecured debt and equity securities, including additional OP Units, and proceeds received from the disposition of certain properties and joint ventures. In addition, the Company has significant unencumbered properties available to secure additional mortgage borrowings in the event that the public capital markets are unavailable or the cost of alternative sources of capital is too high. The fair value of and cash flow from these unencumbered properties are in excess of the requirements the Company must maintain in order to comply with covenants under its unsecured notes and line of credit. Of the \$20.4 billion in investment in real estate on the Company's balance sheet at December 31, 2011, \$13.9 billion or 68.3% was unencumbered. However, there can be no assurances that these sources of capital will be available to the Company in the future on acceptable terms or otherwise.

ERPOP's credit ratings from Standard & Poor's ("S&P"), Moody's and Fitch for its outstanding senior debt are BBB+, Baal and BBB+, respectively. EQR's equity ratings from S&P, Moody's and Fitch for its outstanding preferred equity are BBB+, Baa2 and BBB-, respectively. During the fourth quarter of 2010, Fitch downgraded ERPOP's credit rating from A- to BBB+ and EQR's equity rating from BBB+ to BBB-, which did not have an effect on EQR's cost of funds. During the first quarter of 2011, Moody's raised its outlook for both EQR and ERPOP from negative outlook to stable

outlook and in the fourth quarter of 2011 revised its outlook from stable outlook to developing outlook. The Company's \$1.425 billion (net of \$75.0 million which had been committed by a now bankrupt financial institution and was not available for borrowing) long-term revolving credit facility was replaced with a new \$1.25 billion unsecured revolving credit facility maturing on July 13, 2014, subject to a one-year extension option exercisable by the Company. The interest rate on advances under the new credit facility will generally be LIBOR plus a spread (currently 1.15%) and the Company pays an annual facility fee of 0.2%. Both the spread and the facility fee are dependent on the credit rating of the Company's long term debt. Effective January 6, 2012, the Company amended this facility to increase available borrowings by \$500.0 million to \$1.75 billion. The terms did not change, including the July 13, 2014 maturity date. As of February 17, 2012, there was available borrowings of \$1.72 billion (net of \$30.8 million which was restricted/dedicated to support letters of credit) on the new revolving credit facility. This facility may, among other potential uses, be used to fund property acquisitions, costs for certain properties

Table of Contents

under development and short-term liquidity requirements.

In 2010, a portion of the parking garage collapsed at one of the Company's rental properties (Prospect Towers in Hackensack, New Jersey). Through December 31, 2011, the Company has cumulatively incurred \$13.3 million in capitalized costs to rebuild the garage, incurred \$7.2 million in expenses for items such as accommodating displaced residents and legal costs and estimates that its lost revenues approximate \$2.3 million, and the Company estimates that its total costs will approximate \$23.0 million. Through December 31, 2011, the Company has cumulatively received approximately \$10.1 million in insurance proceeds and estimates its total insurance reimbursements will approximate \$12.0 million. The garage has been rebuilt with costs capitalized as incurred. All other costs, including lost revenue due to a portion of the property being temporarily unavailable for occupancy, reduce earnings as they are incurred. Generally, insurance proceeds are recorded as increases to earnings as they are received. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company received approximately \$6.1 million in insurance proceeds which offset expenses of \$1.7 million that were recorded relating to this loss and are included in real estate taxes and insurance on the consolidated statements of operations. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company received approximately \$4.0 million in insurance proceeds which fully offset the impairment charge recognized to write-off the net book value of the collapsed garage and partially offset expenses of \$5.5 million that were recorded relating to this loss and are included in real estate taxes and insurance on the consolidated statements of operations. In addition, the Company estimates that its lost revenues approximated \$0.7 million and \$1.6 million during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, as a result of lost occupancy in the high-rise tower following the collapse. None of the amounts referenced above impact same store results.

See Note 18 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of the events which occurred subsequent to December 31, 2011.

Capitalization of Fixed Assets and Improvements to Real Estate

Our policy with respect to capital expenditures is generally to capitalize expenditures that improve the value of the property or extend the useful life of the component asset of the property. We track improvements to real estate in two major categories and several subcategories:

Replacements (inside the apartment unit). These include:

- flooring such as carpets, hardwood, vinyl, linoleum or tile;
- appliances;
- mechanical equipment such as individual furnace/air units, hot water heaters, etc;
- furniture and fixtures such as kitchen/bath cabinets, light fixtures, ceiling fans, sinks, tubs, toilets, mirrors, countertops, etc; and
- blinds/shades.

All replacements are depreciated over a five to ten-year estimated useful life. We expense as incurred all make-ready maintenance and turnover costs such as cleaning, interior painting of individual apartment units and the repair of any replacement item noted above.

Building improvements (outside the apartment unit). These include:

- roof replacement and major repairs;
- paving or major resurfacing of parking lots, curbs and sidewalks;
- amenities and common areas such as pools, exterior sports and playground equipment, lobbies, clubhouses, laundry rooms, alarm and security systems and offices;
- major building mechanical equipment systems;
- interior and exterior structural repair and exterior painting and siding;
- major landscaping and grounds improvement; and
- vehicles and office and maintenance equipment.

All building improvements are depreciated over a five to fifteen-year estimated useful life. We capitalize building improvements and upgrades only if the item: (i) exceeds \$2,500 (selected projects must exceed \$10,000); (ii) extends the useful life of the asset; and (iii) improves the value of the asset.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, our actual improvements to real estate totaled approximately \$144.5 million. This includes the following (amounts in thousands except for apartment unit and per apartment unit amounts):

Table of ContentsCapital Expenditures to Real Estate
For the Year Ended December 31, 2011

	Total Apartment Units (1)	Replacements (2)	Avg. Per Apartment Unit	Building Improvements	Avg. Per Apartment Unit	Total	Avg. Per Apartment Unit
Same Store Properties (3)	101,312	\$70,937	\$700	\$49,674	\$490	\$120,611	\$1,190
Non-Same Store Properties (4)	15,761	7,505	658	13,827	1,211	21,332	1,869
Other (5)	—	2,147		362		2,509	
Total	117,073	\$80,589		\$63,863		\$144,452	

(1) Total Apartment Units – Excludes 4,901 military housing apartment units for which repairs and maintenance expenses and capital expenditures to real estate are self-funded and do not consolidate into the Company's results. Replacements – Includes new expenditures inside the apartment units such as appliances, mechanical equipment, fixtures and flooring, including carpeting. Replacements for same store properties also include \$38.1 million spent (2) in 2011 on apartment unit renovations/rehabs (primarily kitchens and baths) on 5,416 apartment units (equating to about \$7,000 per apartment unit rehabbed) designed to reposition these assets for higher rental levels in their respective markets.

(3) Same Store Properties – Primarily includes all properties acquired or completed and stabilized prior to January 1, 2010, less properties subsequently sold.

(4) Non-Same Store Properties – Primarily includes all properties acquired during 2010 and 2011, plus any properties in lease-up and not stabilized as of January 1, 2010. Per apartment unit amounts are based on a weighted average of 11,414 apartment units.

(5) Other – Primarily includes expenditures for properties sold during the period.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, our actual improvements to real estate totaled approximately \$138.2 million. This includes the following (amounts in thousands except for apartment unit and per apartment unit amounts):

Capital Expenditures to Real Estate

For the Year Ended December 31, 2010

	Total Apartment Units (1)	Replacements (2)	Avg. Per Apartment Unit	Building Improvements	Avg. Per Apartment Unit	Total	Avg. Per Apartment Unit
Same Store Properties (3)	112,042	\$70,620	\$630	\$54,118	\$483	\$124,738	\$1,113
Non-Same Store Properties (4)	12,824	4,180	457	5,547	607	9,727	1,064
Other (5)	—	1,509		2,234		3,743	
Total	124,866	\$76,309		\$61,899		\$138,208	

(1) Total Apartment Units – Excludes 4,738 military housing apartment units for which repairs and maintenance expenses and capital expenditures to real estate are self-funded and do not consolidate into the Company's results. Replacements – Includes new expenditures inside the apartment units such as appliances, mechanical equipment, fixtures and flooring, including carpeting. Replacements for same store properties also include \$31.7 million spent (2) in 2010 on apartment unit renovations/rehabs (primarily kitchens and baths) on 4,331 apartment units (equating to about \$7,300 per apartment unit rehabbed) designed to reposition these assets for higher rental levels in their respective markets.

(3) Same Store Properties – Primarily includes all properties acquired or completed and stabilized prior to January 1, 2009, less properties subsequently sold.

Non-Same Store Properties – Primarily includes all properties acquired during 2009 and 2010, plus any properties in (4) lease-up and not stabilized as of January 1, 2009. Per apartment unit amounts are based on a weighted average of 9,141 apartment units.

(5) Other – Primarily includes expenditures for properties sold during the period.

For 2012, the Company estimates that it will spend approximately \$1,225 per apartment unit of capital expenditures for its same store properties inclusive of apartment unit renovation/rehab costs, or \$850 per apartment unit excluding apartment unit renovation/rehab costs. For 2012, the Company estimates that it will spend \$39.2 million rehabbing 4,700 apartment units (equating to about \$8,300 per apartment unit rehabbed). The above assumptions are based on current expectations and are forward-looking.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company's total non-real estate capital additions, such as computer software, computer equipment, and furniture and fixtures and leasehold improvements to the Company's property management offices and its corporate offices, were approximately \$7.1 million. The Company expects to fund approximately \$6.7 million in total additions to non-real estate property in 2012. The above assumption is based on current expectations and is forward-looking.

Improvements to real estate and additions to non-real estate property are generally funded from net cash provided by operating activities and from investment cash flow.

Table of Contents

Derivative Instruments

In the normal course of business, the Company is exposed to the effect of interest rate changes. The Company seeks to manage these risks by following established risk management policies and procedures including the use of derivatives to hedge interest rate risk on debt instruments.

The Company has a policy of only entering into contracts with major financial institutions based upon their credit ratings and other factors. When viewed in conjunction with the underlying and offsetting exposure that the derivatives are designed to hedge, the Company has not sustained a material loss from these instruments nor does it anticipate any material adverse effect on its net income or financial position in the future from the use of derivatives it currently has in place.

See Note 9 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion of derivative instruments at December 31, 2011.

Other

Total distributions paid in January 2012 amounted to \$179.5 million (excluding distributions on Partially Owned Properties), which included certain distributions declared during the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2011.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

The Company admitted an 80% institutional partner to two separate entities/transactions (one in December 2010 and the other in August 2011), each owning a developable land parcel, in exchange for \$40.1 million in cash and retained a 20% equity interest in both of these entities. These land parcels are now unconsolidated. Total project costs are approximately \$232.8 million and construction will be predominantly funded with two separate long-term, non-recourse secured loans from the partner. While the Company is the managing member of both of the joint ventures, is responsible for constructing both of the projects and has given certain construction cost overrun guarantees, all major decisions are made jointly, the large majority of funding is provided by the partner and the partner has significant involvement in and oversight of the ongoing projects. The Company's remaining funding obligations are currently estimated at \$5.4 million. The Company's strategy with respect to these ventures was to reduce its financial risk related to the development of the properties. However, management does not believe that these investments have a materially different impact upon the Company's liquidity, cash flows, capital resources, credit or market risk than its other consolidated development activities.

In December 2011, the Company acquired a vacant land parcel at 400 Park Avenue South in New York City in a joint venture with Toll Brothers (NYSE: TOL). Until the core and shell of the building is complete, the building and land will be owned jointly and are required to be consolidated on the Company's balance sheet. Thereafter, the Company will solely own and control the rental portion of the building (floors 2-22) and Toll Brothers will solely own and control the for sale portion of the building (floors 23-40). Once the core and shell are complete, the Toll Brothers' portion of the property will be deconsolidated from the Company's balance sheet. The acquisition was financed through contributions by the Company and Toll Brothers of approximately \$102.5 million and \$75.7 million, respectively, which included a land purchase price of \$76.1 million and \$57.9 million, respectively, and taxes and fees of \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. Deposits were made to the venture of \$26.0 million and \$17.5 million, respectively, to collateralize construction guarantees. Management does not believe that this investment has a materially different impact upon the Company's liquidity, cash flows, capital resources, credit or market risk than its other consolidated development activities.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company has six consolidated projects totaling 1,535 apartment units and two unconsolidated projects totaling 945 apartment units in various stages of development with estimated completion dates ranging through March 31, 2014, as well as other completed development projects that are in various stages of lease up or are stabilized. The development agreements currently in place are discussed in detail in Note 16 of the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

See also Notes 2 and 6 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion regarding the Company's investments in partially owned entities.

The following table summarizes the Company's contractual obligations for the next five years and thereafter as of December 31, 2011:

53

Table of Contents

Payments Due by Year (in thousands)

Contractual Obligations	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Thereafter	Total
Debt:							
Principal (a)	\$ 1,161,582	\$ 579,675	\$ 588,340	\$ 418,900	\$ 1,190,038	\$ 5,782,526	\$ 9,721,061
Interest (b)	464,758	423,376	400,244	362,446	313,695	999,636	2,964,155
Operating Leases:							
Minimum Rent Payments (c)	6,445	7,159	8,550	9,241	9,196	699,959	740,550
Other Long-Term Liabilities:							
Deferred Compensation (d)	1,767	1,480	1,672	1,671	1,671	7,472	15,733
Total	\$ 1,634,552	\$ 1,011,690	\$ 998,806	\$ 792,258	\$ 1,514,600	\$ 7,489,593	\$ 13,441,499

(a) Amounts include aggregate principal payments only.

Amounts include interest expected to be incurred on the Company's secured and unsecured debt based on obligations outstanding at December 31, 2011 and inclusive of capitalized interest. For floating rate debt, the current rate in effect for the most recent payment through December 31, 2011 is assumed to be in effect through the respective maturity date of each instrument.

(b) Minimum basic rent due for various office space the Company leases and fixed base rent due on ground leases for five properties/parcels.

(c) Estimated payments to the Company's Chairman, Vice Chairman and two former CEO's based on actual and planned retirement dates.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to use judgment in the application of accounting policies, including making estimates and assumptions. If our judgment or interpretation of the facts and circumstances relating to various transactions had been different or different assumptions were made, it is possible that different accounting policies would have been applied, resulting in different financial results or different presentation of our financial statements.

The Company's significant accounting policies are described in Note 2 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. These policies were followed in preparing the consolidated financial statements at and for the year ended December 31, 2011 and are consistent with the year ended December 31, 2010.

The Company has identified five significant accounting policies as critical accounting policies. These critical accounting policies are those that have the most impact on the reporting of our financial condition and those requiring significant judgments and estimates. With respect to these critical accounting policies, management believes that the application of judgments and estimates is consistently applied and produces financial information that fairly presents the results of operations for all periods presented. The five critical accounting policies are:

Acquisition of Investment Properties

The Company allocates the purchase price of properties to net tangible and identified intangible assets acquired based on their fair values. In making estimates of fair values for purposes of allocating purchase price, the Company utilizes a number of sources, including independent appraisals that may be obtained in connection with the acquisition or financing of the respective property, our own analysis of recently acquired and existing comparable properties in our portfolio and other market data. The Company also considers information obtained about each property as a result of its pre-acquisition due diligence, marketing and leasing activities in estimating the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets acquired.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company periodically evaluates its long-lived assets, including its investments in real estate, for indicators of impairment. The judgments regarding the existence of impairment indicators are based on factors such as operational

performance, market conditions and legal and environmental concerns, as well as the Company's ability to hold and its intent with regard to each asset. Future events could occur which would cause the Company to conclude that impairment indicators exist and an impairment loss is warranted.

Depreciation of Investment in Real Estate

The Company depreciates the building component of its investment in real estate over a 30-year estimated useful life, building improvements over a 5-year to 15-year estimated useful life and both the furniture, fixtures and equipment and replacements components over a 5-year to 10-year estimated useful life, all of which are judgmental determinations.

Table of Contents

Cost Capitalization

See the Capitalization of Fixed Assets and Improvements to Real Estate section for a discussion of the Company's policy with respect to capitalization vs. expensing of fixed asset/repair and maintenance costs. In addition, the Company capitalizes an allocation of the payroll and associated costs of employees directly responsible for and who spend their time on the supervision of major capital and/or renovation projects. These costs are reflected on the balance sheet as an increase to depreciable property.

For all development projects, the Company uses its professional judgment in determining whether such costs meet the criteria for capitalization or must be expensed as incurred. The Company capitalizes interest, real estate taxes and insurance and payroll and associated costs for those individuals directly responsible for and who spend their time on development activities, with capitalization ceasing no later than 90 days following issuance of the certificate of occupancy. These costs are reflected on the balance sheet as construction-in-progress for each specific property. The Company expenses as incurred all payroll costs of on-site employees working directly at our properties, except as noted above on our development properties prior to certificate of occupancy issuance and on specific major renovations at selected properties when additional incremental employees are hired.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments, Including Derivative Instruments

The valuation of financial instruments requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the fair value of the instruments. The Company, where possible, bases the fair values of its financial instruments, including its derivative instruments, on listed market prices and third party quotes. Where these are not available, the Company bases its estimates on current instruments with similar terms and maturities or on other factors relevant to the financial instruments.

Funds From Operations and Normalized Funds From Operations

For the year ended December 31, 2011, Funds From Operations ("FFO") available to Common Shares and Units / Units and Normalized FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units increased \$129.4 million, or 20.8%, and \$77.2 million, or 11.3%, respectively, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. For the year ended December 31, 2010, FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units and Normalized FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units increased \$7.3 million, or 1.2%, and \$20.9 million, or 3.2%, respectively, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2009.

The following is the Company's and the Operating Partnership's reconciliation of net income to FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units and Normalized FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units for each of the five years ended December 31, 2011:

Table of Contents

Funds From Operations and Normalized Funds From Operations

(Amounts in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Net income	\$935,197	\$295,983	\$382,029	\$436,413	\$1,047,356
Adjustments:					
Net (income) loss attributable to Noncontrolling Interests:					
Preference Interests and Units	—	—	(9) (15) (441
Partially Owned Properties	(832) 726	558	(2,650) (2,200
Depreciation	646,963	613,146	518,726	495,612	470,125
Depreciation – Non-real estate additions	(5,519) (6,566) (7,122) (8,034) (8,062
Depreciation – Partially Owned and Unconsolidated Properties	(3,062) (1,619) 759	4,157	4,379
Net (gain) on sales of unconsolidated entities	—	(28,101) (10,689) (2,876) (2,629
Discontinued operations:					
Depreciation	16,565	60,035	81,416	107,061	146,072
Net (gain) on sales of discontinued operations	(826,489) (297,956) (335,299) (392,857) (933,013
Net incremental gain (loss) on sales of condominium units	1,993	1,506	(385) (3,932) 20,771
Gain on sale of Equity Corporate Housing (ECH)	1,202	—	—	—	—
FFO (1) (3)	766,018	637,154	629,984	632,879	742,358
Adjustments:					
Asset impairment and valuation allowances	—	45,380	11,124	116,418	—
Property acquisition costs and write-off of pursuit costs (other expenses)	14,557	11,928	6,488	5,760	1,830
Debt extinguishment (gains) losses, including prepayment penalties, preferred share/preference unit redemptions and non-cash convertible debt discounts	12,300	8,594	34,333	(2,784) 24,004
(Gains) losses on sales of non-operating assets, net of income and other tax expense (benefit)	(6,976) (80) (5,737) (979) (34,450
Other miscellaneous non-comparable items	(12,369) (6,186) (171) (1,725) (5,767
Normalized FFO (2) (3)	\$773,530	\$696,790	\$676,021	\$749,569	\$727,975
FFO (1) (3)	\$766,018	\$637,154	\$629,984	\$632,879	\$742,358
Preferred/preference distributions	(13,865) (14,368) (14,479) (14,507) (22,792
Premium on redemption of Preferred Shares/Preference Units	—	—	—	—	(6,154
FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units (1) (3) (4)	\$752,153	\$622,786	\$615,505	\$618,372	\$713,412
Normalized FFO (2) (3)	\$773,530	\$696,790	\$676,021	\$749,569	\$727,975
Preferred/preference distributions	(13,865) (14,368) (14,479) (14,507) (22,792
Premium on redemption of Preferred Shares/Preference Units	—	—	—	—	(6,154
Normalized FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units (2) (3) (4)	\$759,665	\$682,422	\$661,542	\$735,062	\$699,029

The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (“NAREIT”) defines funds from operations (“FFO”) (April 2002 White Paper) as net income (computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”)), excluding gains (or losses) from sales and impairment write-downs of depreciable operating properties, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures will be calculated to reflect funds from operations on the same basis. The April 2002 White Paper states that gain or loss on sales of property is excluded from FFO for previously depreciated operating properties only. Once the Company commences the conversion of apartment units to condominiums, it simultaneously discontinues depreciation of such property.

(1) Normalized funds from operations (“Normalized FFO”) begins with FFO and excludes:
the impact of any expenses relating to non-operating asset impairment and valuation allowances;
property acquisition and other transaction costs related to mergers and acquisitions and pursuit cost write-offs (other expenses);
gains and losses from early debt extinguishment, including prepayment penalties, preferred share/preference unit redemptions and the cost related to the implied option value of non-cash convertible debt discounts;
gains and losses on the sales of non-operating assets, including gains and losses from land parcel and condominium sales, net of the effect of income tax benefits or expenses; and
other miscellaneous non-comparable items.

The Company believes that FFO and FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units are helpful to investors as supplemental measures of the operating performance of a real estate company, because they are recognized (3) measures of performance by the real estate industry and by excluding gains or losses related to dispositions of depreciable property and excluding real estate depreciation (which can vary among owners of identical assets in similar condition based on historical cost accounting and useful life estimates), FFO and FFO available

Table of Contents

to Common Shares and Units / Units can help compare the operating performance of a company's real estate between periods or as compared to different companies. The company also believes that Normalized FFO and Normalized FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units are helpful to investors as supplemental measures of the operating performance of a real estate company because they allow investors to compare the company's operating performance to its performance in prior reporting periods and to the operating performance of other real estate companies without the effect of items that by their nature are not comparable from period to period and tend to obscure the Company's actual operating results. FFO, FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units, Normalized FFO and Normalized FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units do not represent net income, net income available to Common Shares / Units or net cash flows from operating activities in accordance with GAAP. Therefore, FFO, FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units, Normalized FFO and Normalized FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units should not be exclusively considered as alternatives to net income, net income available to Common Shares / Units or net cash flows from operating activities as determined by GAAP or as a measure of liquidity. The Company's calculation of FFO, FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units, Normalized FFO and Normalized FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units may differ from other real estate companies due to, among other items, variations in cost capitalization policies for capital expenditures and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such other real estate companies.

FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units and Normalized FFO available to Common Shares and Units / Units are calculated on a basis consistent with net income available to Common Shares / Units and reflects adjustments to net income for preferred distributions and premiums on redemption of preferred shares/preference units in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The equity positions of (4) various individuals and entities that contributed their properties to the Operating Partnership in exchange for OP Units are collectively referred to as the "Noncontrolling Interests – Operating Partnership". Subject to certain restrictions, the Noncontrolling Interests – Operating Partnership may exchange their OP Units for Common Shares on a one-for-one basis.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risks relating to the Company's financial instruments result primarily from changes in short-term LIBOR interest rates and changes in the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association ("SIFMA") index for tax-exempt debt. The Company does not have any direct foreign exchange or other significant market risk. The Company's exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to the unsecured revolving and term loan facilities as well as floating rate tax-exempt debt. The Company typically incurs fixed rate debt obligations to finance acquisitions while it typically incurs floating rate debt obligations to finance working capital needs and as a temporary measure in advance of securing long-term fixed rate financing. The Company continuously evaluates its level of floating rate debt with respect to total debt and other factors, including its assessment of the current and future economic environment. To the extent the Company carries substantial cash balances, this will tend to partially counterbalance any increase or decrease in interest rates.

The Company also utilizes certain derivative financial instruments to manage market risk. Interest rate protection agreements are used to convert floating rate debt to a fixed rate basis or vice versa as well as to partially lock in rates on future debt issuances. Derivatives are used for hedging purposes rather than speculation. The Company does not enter into financial instruments for trading purposes. See also Note 9 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion of derivative instruments.

The fair values of the Company's financial instruments (including such items in the financial statement captions as cash and cash equivalents, other assets, lines of credit, accounts payable and accrued expenses and other liabilities) approximate their carrying or contract values based on their nature, terms and interest rates that approximate current market rates. The fair value of the Company's mortgage notes payable and unsecured notes were approximately \$4.3 billion and \$6.0 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2011.

At December 31, 2011, the Company had total outstanding floating rate debt of approximately \$1.3 billion, or 13.8% of total debt, net of the effects of any derivative instruments. If market rates of interest on all of the floating rate debt

permanently increased by 14 basis points (a 10% increase from the Company's existing weighted average interest rates), the increase in interest expense on the floating rate debt would decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$1.8 million. If market rates of interest on all of the floating rate debt permanently decreased by 14 basis points (a 10% decrease from the Company's existing weighted average interest rates), the decrease in interest expense on the floating rate debt would increase future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$1.8 million.

At December 31, 2011, the Company had total outstanding fixed rate debt of approximately \$8.4 billion, or 86.2% of total debt, net of the effects of any derivative instruments. If market rates of interest permanently increased by 57 basis points (a 10% increase from the Company's existing weighted average interest rates), the estimated fair value of the Company's fixed rate debt would be approximately \$7.6 billion. If market rates of interest permanently decreased by 57 basis points (a 10% decrease from the Company's existing weighted average interest rates), the estimated fair value of the Company's fixed rate debt would be approximately \$9.3 billion.

At December 31, 2011, the Company's derivative instruments had a net liability fair value of approximately \$23.3 million. If market rates of interest permanently increased by 8 basis points (a 10% increase from the Company's existing weighted average interest rates), the net liability fair value of the Company's derivative instruments would be approximately \$20.8 million. If market

Table of Contents

rates of interest permanently decreased by 8 basis points (a 10% decrease from the Company's existing weighted average interest rates), the net liability fair value of the Company's derivative instruments would be approximately \$25.9 million.

At December 31, 2010, the Company had total outstanding floating rate debt of approximately \$1.7 billion, or 17.5% of total debt, net of the effects of any derivative instruments. If market rates of interest on all of the floating rate debt permanently increased by 14 basis points (a 10% increase from the Company's existing weighted average interest rates), the increase in interest expense on the floating rate debt would decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$2.4 million. If market rates of interest on all of the floating rate debt permanently decreased by 14 basis points (a 10% decrease from the Company's existing weighted average interest rates), the decrease in interest expense on the floating rate debt would increase future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$2.4 million.

At December 31, 2010, the Company had total outstanding fixed rate debt of approximately \$8.2 billion, or 82.5% of total debt, net of the effects of any derivative instruments. If market rates of interest permanently increased by 57 basis points (a 10% increase from the Company's existing weighted average interest rates), the estimated fair value of the Company's fixed rate debt would be approximately \$7.5 billion. If market rates of interest permanently decreased by 57 basis points (a 10% decrease from the Company's existing weighted average interest rates), the estimated fair value of the Company's fixed rate debt would be approximately \$9.1 billion.

At December 31, 2010, the Company's derivative instruments had a net liability fair value of approximately \$23.3 million. If market rates of interest permanently increased by 12 basis points (a 10% increase from the Company's existing weighted average interest rates), the net liability fair value of the Company's derivative instruments would be approximately \$9.8 million. If market rates of interest permanently decreased by 12 basis points (a 10% decrease from the Company's existing weighted average interest rates), the net liability fair value of the Company's derivative instruments would be approximately \$37.0 million.

These amounts were determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on the Company's financial instruments. The foregoing assumptions apply to the entire amount of the Company's debt and derivative instruments and do not differentiate among maturities. These analyses do not consider the effects of the changes in overall economic activity that could exist in such an environment. Further, in the event of changes of such magnitude, management would likely take actions to further mitigate its exposure to the changes. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, this analysis assumes no changes in the Company's financial structure or results.

The Company cannot predict the effect of adverse changes in interest rates on its debt and derivative instruments and, therefore, its exposure to market risk, nor can there be any assurance that long-term debt will be available at advantageous pricing. Consequently, future results may differ materially from the estimated adverse changes discussed above.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedule on page F-1 of this Form 10-K.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Equity Residential

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures:

Effective as of December 31, 2011, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the

effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in its Exchange Act filings is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

(b) Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting:

Equity Residential's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, management conducted an evaluation

Table of Contents

of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can only provide reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Based on the Company's evaluation under the framework in Internal Control – Integrated Framework, management concluded that its internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011. Our internal control over financial reporting has been audited as of December 31, 2011 by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein.

(c) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting:

There were no changes to the internal control over financial reporting of the Company identified in connection with the Company's evaluation referred to above that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ERP Operating Limited Partnership

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures:

Effective as of December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Operating Partnership's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of EQR, of the effectiveness of the Operating Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Operating Partnership in its Exchange Act filings is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

(b) Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting:

ERP Operating Limited Partnership's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Operating Partnership's general partner, management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can only provide reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Based on the Operating Partnership's evaluation under the framework in Internal Control – Integrated Framework, management concluded that its internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011. Our internal control over financial reporting has been audited as of December 31, 2011 by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein.

(c) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting:

There were no changes to the internal control over financial reporting of the Operating Partnership identified in connection with the Operating Partnership's evaluation referred to above that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Operating Partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14.

Trustees, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance; Executive Compensation; Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters; Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Trustee Independence; and Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

The information required by Item 10, Item 11, Item 12, Item 13 and Item 14 is incorporated by reference to, and will be contained in, Equity Residential's Proxy Statement, which the Company intends to file no later than 120 days after the end of its fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, and thus these items have been omitted in accordance with General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K. Equity Residential is the general partner and 95.7% owner of ERP Operating Limited Partnership.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Report:

(1) Financial Statements: See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedule on page F-1 of this Form 10-K.

(2) Exhibits: See the Exhibit Index.

(3) Financial Statement Schedules: See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedule on page F-1 of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

EQUITY RESIDENTIAL

By: /s/ David J. Neithercut
David J. Neithercut,
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: February 24, 2012

ERP OPERATING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

BY: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL

ITS GENERAL PARTNER

By: /s/ David J. Neithercut
David J. Neithercut,
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: February 24, 2012

Table of ContentsEQUITY RESIDENTIAL
ERP OPERATING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN/WOMEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below, hereby constitutes and appoints David J. Neithercut, Mark J. Parrell and Ian S. Kaufman, or any of them, his or her attorneys-in-fact and agents, with full power of substitution and resubstitution for him or her in any and all capacities, to do all acts and things which said attorneys and agents, or any of them, deem advisable to enable the company to comply with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and any requirements or regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission in respect thereof, in connection with the company's filing of an annual report on Form 10-K for the company's fiscal year 2011, including specifically, but without limitation of the general authority hereby granted, the power and authority to sign his or her name as a trustee or officer, or both, of the company, as indicated below opposite his or her signature, to the Form 10-K, and any amendment thereto; and each of the undersigned does hereby fully ratify and confirm all that said attorneys and agents, or any of them, or the substitute of any of them, shall do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of each registrant and in the capacities set forth below and on the dates indicated:

Name	Title	Date
/s/ David J. Neithercut David J. Neithercut	President, Chief Executive Officer and Trustee (Principal Executive Officer)	February 24, 2012
/s/ Mark J. Parrell Mark J. Parrell	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	February 24, 2012
/s/ Ian S. Kaufman Ian S. Kaufman	Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)	February 24, 2012
/s/ John W. Alexander John W. Alexander	Trustee	February 24, 2012
/s/ Charles L. Atwood Charles L. Atwood	Trustee	February 24, 2012
/s/ Linda Walker Bynoe Linda Walker Bynoe	Trustee	February 24, 2012
/s/ Mary Kay Haben Mary Kay Haben	Trustee	February 24, 2012
/s/ Bradley A. Keywell Bradley A. Keywell	Trustee	February 24, 2012
/s/ John E. Neal John E. Neal	Trustee	February 24, 2012
/s/ Mark S. Shapiro	Trustee	February 24, 2012

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

Mark S. Shapiro

/s/ B. Joseph White Trustee February 24, 2012
B. Joseph White

/s/ Gerald A. Spector Vice Chairman of the Board of Trustees February 24, 2012
Gerald A. Spector

/s/ Samuel Zell Chairman of the Board of Trustees February 24, 2012
Samuel Zell

Table of Contents

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULE

EQUITY RESIDENTIAL
ERP OPERATING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

	PAGE
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FILED AS PART OF THIS REPORT	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Equity Residential)	F-2
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (ERP Operating Limited Partnership)	F-3
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Equity Residential)	F-4
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (ERP Operating Limited Partnership)	F-5
Financial Statements of Equity Residential:	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	F-7 to F-8
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	F-9 to F-11
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	F-12 to F-13
Financial Statements of ERP Operating Limited Partnership:	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010	F-14
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	F-15 to F-16
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	F-17 to F-19
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Capital for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	F-20 to F-21
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of Equity Residential and ERP Operating Limited Partnership	F-22 to F-63

SCHEDULE FILED AS PART OF THIS REPORT

Schedule III – Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation of Equity Residential and ERP Operating Limited Partnership S-1 to S-14

All other schedules have been omitted because they are inapplicable, not required or the information is included elsewhere in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Trustees and Shareholders

Equity Residential

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Equity Residential (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index to the consolidated financial statements and schedule. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Equity Residential at December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Equity Residential’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 24, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP
ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Chicago, Illinois
February 24, 2012

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Partners

ERP Operating Limited Partnership

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of ERP Operating Limited Partnership (the “Operating Partnership”) as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in capital and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index to the consolidated financial statements and schedule. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Operating Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of ERP Operating Limited Partnership at December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), ERP Operating Limited Partnership's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 24, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP
ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Chicago, Illinois
February 24, 2012

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM
ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

To the Board of Trustees and Shareholders

Equity Residential

We have audited Equity Residential's (the "Company") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the "COSO Criteria"). Equity Residential's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Equity Residential maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on the COSO Criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Equity Residential as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 of Equity Residential and our report dated February 24, 2012, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP
ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Chicago, Illinois
February 24, 2012

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM
ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

To the Partners

ERP Operating Limited Partnership

We have audited ERP Operating Limited Partnership's (the "Operating Partnership") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the "COSO Criteria"). ERP Operating Limited Partnership's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Operating Partnership's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, ERP Operating Limited Partnership maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on the COSO Criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of ERP Operating Limited Partnership as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in capital and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 of ERP Operating Limited Partnership and our report dated February 24, 2012, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP
ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Chicago, Illinois
February 24, 2012

Table of ContentsEQUITY RESIDENTIAL
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Amounts in thousands except for share amounts)

	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Investment in real estate		
Land	\$4,367,816	\$4,110,275
Depreciable property	15,554,740	15,226,512
Projects under development	160,190	130,337
Land held for development	325,200	235,247
Investment in real estate	20,407,946	19,702,371
Accumulated depreciation	(4,539,583)	(4,337,357)
Investment in real estate, net	15,868,363	15,365,014
Cash and cash equivalents	383,921	431,408
Investments in unconsolidated entities	12,327	3,167
Deposits – restricted	152,237	180,987
Escrow deposits – mortgage	10,692	12,593
Deferred financing costs, net	44,608	42,033
Other assets	187,155	148,992
Total assets	\$16,659,303	\$16,184,194
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Liabilities:		
Mortgage notes payable	\$4,111,487	\$4,762,896
Notes, net	5,609,574	5,185,180
Lines of credit	—	—
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	35,206	39,452
Accrued interest payable	88,121	98,631
Other liabilities	291,289	304,202
Security deposits	65,286	60,812
Distributions payable	179,079	140,905
Total liabilities	10,380,042	10,592,078
Commitments and contingencies		
Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests – Operating Partnership	416,404	383,540
Equity:		
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred Shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 1,600,000 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	200,000	200,000
Common Shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par value; 1,000,000,000 shares authorized; 297,508,185 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2011 and 290,197,242 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2010	2,975	2,902
Paid in capital	5,047,186	4,741,521
Retained earnings	615,572	203,581

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)	(196,718) (57,818)
Total shareholders' equity	5,669,015	5,090,186	
Noncontrolling Interests:			
Operating Partnership	119,536	110,399	
Partially Owned Properties	74,306	7,991	
Total Noncontrolling Interests	193,842	118,390	
Total equity	5,862,857	5,208,576	
Total liabilities and equity	\$16,659,303	\$16,184,194	

See accompanying notes

F-6

Table of ContentsEQUITY RESIDENTIAL
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Amounts in thousands except per share data)

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2011	2010	2009	
REVENUES				
Rental income	\$1,980,437	\$1,763,792	\$1,629,878	
Fee and asset management	9,026	9,476	10,346	
Total revenues	1,989,463	1,773,268	1,640,224	
EXPENSES				
Property and maintenance	416,723	402,078	369,731	
Real estate taxes and insurance	222,427	211,621	190,374	
Property management	82,133	80,087	71,300	
Fee and asset management	4,279	4,998	7,345	
Depreciation	646,963	613,146	518,726	
General and administrative	43,606	39,881	38,985	
Impairment	—	45,380	11,124	
Total expenses	1,416,131	1,397,191	1,207,585	
Operating income	573,332	376,077	432,639	
Interest and other income	7,977	5,166	16,578	
Other expenses	(14,557)) (11,928) (6,477)
Interest:				
Expense incurred, net	(469,237) (468,306) (493,278)
Amortization of deferred financing costs	(17,006) (10,114) (12,327)
Income (loss) before income and other taxes, (loss) from investments in				
unconsolidated entities, net gain (loss) on sales of unconsolidated entities and	80,509	(109,105) (62,865)
land parcels and discontinued operations				
Income and other tax (expense) benefit	(728) (292) (2,716)
(Loss) from investments in unconsolidated entities	—	(735) (2,815)
Net gain on sales of unconsolidated entities	—	28,101	10,689	
Net gain (loss) on sales of land parcels	4,217	(1,395) —	
Income (loss) from continuing operations	83,998	(83,426) (57,707)
Discontinued operations, net	851,199	379,409	439,736	
Net income	935,197	295,983	382,029	
Net (income) loss attributable to Noncontrolling Interests:				
Operating Partnership	(40,780) (13,099) (20,305)
Preference Interests and Units	—	—	(9)
Partially Owned Properties	(832) 726	558	
Net income attributable to controlling interests	893,585	283,610	362,273	
Preferred distributions	(13,865) (14,368) (14,479)
Net income available to Common Shares	\$879,720	\$269,242	\$347,794	
Earnings per share – basic:				
	\$0.23	\$(0.33) \$(0.25)

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

Income (loss) from continuing operations available to Common Shares

Net income available to Common Shares	\$2.98	\$0.95	\$1.27
Weighted average Common Shares outstanding	294,856	282,888	273,609

Earnings per share – diluted:

Income (loss) from continuing operations available to Common Shares	\$0.22	\$(0.33) \$(0.25)
Net income available to Common Shares	\$2.95	\$0.95	\$1.27	
Weighted average Common Shares outstanding	312,065	282,888	273,609	

See accompanying notes

F-7

Table of Contents

EQUITY RESIDENTIAL
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)
 (Amounts in thousands except per share data)

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2011	2010	2009	
Comprehensive income:				
Net income	\$935,197	\$295,983	\$382,029	
Other comprehensive (loss) income:				
Other comprehensive (loss) income – derivative instruments:				
Unrealized holding (losses) gains arising during the year	(143,598) (65,894) 37,676	
Losses reclassified into earnings from other comprehensive income	4,343	3,338	3,724	
Other	—	—	449	
Other comprehensive income (loss) – other instruments:				
Unrealized holding gains arising during the year	355	57	3,574	
(Gains) realized during the year	—	—	(4,943)
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(138,900) (62,499) 40,480	
Comprehensive income	796,297	233,484	422,509	
Comprehensive (income) attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	(41,612) (12,373) (19,756)
Comprehensive income attributable to controlling interests	\$754,685	\$221,111	\$402,753	

See accompanying notes
F-8

Table of Contents

EQUITY RESIDENTIAL
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Amounts in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$935,197	\$295,983	\$382,029
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation	663,616	673,403	600,375
Amortization of deferred financing costs	17,846	10,406	13,127
Amortization of discounts on investment securities	—	—	(1,661)
Amortization of discounts and premiums on debt	(1,478)	(471)	5,857)
Amortization of deferred settlements on derivative instruments	3,808	2,804	2,228
Impairment	—	45,380	11,124
Write-off of pursuit costs	5,075	5,272	4,838
Income from technology investments	(4,537)	—	—
Loss from investments in unconsolidated entities	—	735	2,815
Distributions from unconsolidated entities – return on capital	319	61	153
Net (gain) on sales of investment securities	—	—	(4,943)
Net (gain) on sales of unconsolidated entities	—	(28,101)	(10,689)
Net (gain) loss on sales of land parcels	(4,217)	1,395	—
Net (gain) on sales of discontinued operations	(826,489)	(297,956)	(335,299)
Loss on debt extinguishments	—	2,457	17,525
Unrealized loss (gain) on derivative instruments	186	1	(3)
Compensation paid with Company Common Shares	21,177	18,875	17,843
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Decrease in deposits – restricted	4,523	3,316	3,117
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(2,743)	(9,048)	11,768)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses	332	(5,454)	(34,524)
(Decrease) in accrued interest payable	(10,510)	(4,000)	(11,997)
(Decrease) increase in other liabilities	(8,245)	9,972	2,220
Increase (decrease) in security deposits	4,474	1,007	(5,091)
Net cash provided by operating activities	798,334	726,037	670,812
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Investment in real estate – acquisitions	(1,441,599)	(1,189,210)	(175,531)
Investment in real estate – development/other	(120,741)	(131,301)	(330,623)
Improvements to real estate	(144,452)	(138,208)	(123,937)
Additions to non-real estate property	(7,110)	(2,991)	(2,028)
Interest capitalized for real estate and unconsolidated entities under development	(9,108)	(13,008)	(34,859)
Proceeds from disposition of real estate, net	1,500,583	672,700	887,055
Investments in unconsolidated entities	(2,021)	—	—
Distributions from unconsolidated entities – return of capital	—	26,924	6,521
Purchase of investment securities	—	—	(77,822)
Proceeds from sale of investment securities	—	25,000	215,753
Proceeds from technology investments	4,537	—	—

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

Decrease (increase) in deposits on real estate acquisitions and investments, net	7,631	137,106	(250,257)
Decrease in mortgage deposits	1,901	4,699	2,437	
Consolidation of previously unconsolidated properties	—	(26,854)	—
Deconsolidation of previously consolidated properties	28,360	11,708	—	
Acquisition of Noncontrolling Interests – Partially Owned Properties	(12,809)	(16,023) (11,480
Net cash (used for) provided by investing activities	(194,828)	(639,458) 105,229

See accompanying notes

F-9

Table of Contents

EQUITY RESIDENTIAL
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)
(Amounts in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Loan and bond acquisition costs	\$ (20,421) \$ (8,811) \$ (9,291
Mortgage notes payable:			
Proceeds	190,905	173,561	738,798
Restricted cash	16,596	73,232	46,664
Lump sum payoffs	(974,956) (635,285) (939,022
Scheduled principal repayments	(16,726) (16,769) (17,763
(Loss) gain on debt extinguishments	—	(2,457) 2,400
Notes, net:			
Proceeds	996,190	595,422	—
Lump sum payoffs	(575,641) —	(850,115
(Loss) on debt extinguishments	—	—	(19,925
Lines of credit:			
Proceeds	1,455,000	5,513,125	—
Repayments	(1,455,000) (5,513,125) —
(Payments on) proceeds from settlement of derivative instruments	(147,306) (10,040) 11,253
Proceeds from sale of Common Shares	173,484	329,452	86,184
Proceeds from Employee Share Purchase Plan (ESPP)	5,262	5,112	5,292
Proceeds from exercise of options	95,322	71,596	9,136
Common Shares repurchased and retired	—	(1,887) (1,124
Redemption of Preferred Shares	—	(877) —
Payment of offering costs	(3,596) (4,657) (2,536
Other financing activities, net	(48) (48) (16
Contributions – Noncontrolling Interests – Partially Owned Properties	75,911	222	893
Contributions – Noncontrolling Interests – Operating Partnership	—	—	78
Distributions:			
Common Shares	(432,023) (379,969) (488,604
Preferred Shares	(12,829) (14,471) (14,479
Preference Interests and Units	—	—	(12
Noncontrolling Interests – Operating Partnership	(20,002) (18,867) (28,935
Noncontrolling Interests – Partially Owned Properties	(1,115) (2,918) (2,423
Net cash (used for) provided by financing activities	(650,993) 151,541	(1,473,547
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(47,487) 238,120	(697,506
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	431,408	193,288	890,794
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 383,921	\$ 431,408	\$ 193,288

See accompanying notes
F-10

Table of Contents

EQUITY RESIDENTIAL
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)
(Amounts in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:			
Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$477,434	\$475,374	\$508,847
Net cash paid (received) for income and other taxes	\$645	\$(2,740)) \$3,968
Real estate acquisitions/dispositions/other:			
Mortgage loans assumed	\$158,240	\$359,082	\$—
Valuation of OP Units issued	\$—	\$8,245	\$1,034
Mortgage loans (assumed) by purchaser	\$—	\$(39,999)) \$(17,313)
Amortization of deferred financing costs:			
Investment in real estate, net	\$—	\$(2,768)) \$(3,585)
Deferred financing costs, net	\$17,846	\$13,174	\$16,712
Amortization of discounts and premiums on debt:			
Investment in real estate, net	\$—	\$—	\$(3)
Mortgage notes payable	\$(8,260)) \$(9,208)) \$(6,097)
Notes, net	\$6,782	\$8,737	\$11,957
Amortization of deferred settlements on derivative instruments:			
Other liabilities	\$(535)) \$(534)) \$(1,496)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$4,343	\$3,338	\$3,724
Unrealized loss (gain) on derivative instruments:			
Other assets	\$6,826	\$13,019	\$(33,261)
Mortgage notes payable	\$(612)) \$(163)) \$(1,887)
Notes, net	\$(2,937)) \$7,497	\$719
Other liabilities	\$140,507	\$45,542	\$(3,250)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	\$(143,598)) \$(65,894)) \$37,676
Interest capitalized for real estate and unconsolidated entities under development:			
Investment in real estate, net	\$(8,785)) \$(13,008)) \$(34,859)
Investments in unconsolidated entities	\$(323)) \$—	\$—
Consolidation of previously unconsolidated properties:			
Investment in real estate, net	\$—	\$(105,065)) \$—
Investments in unconsolidated entities	\$—	\$7,376	\$—
Deposits – restricted	\$—	\$(42,633)) \$—
Mortgage notes payable	\$—	\$112,631	\$—
Net other assets recorded	\$—	\$837	\$—
Deconsolidation of previously consolidated properties:			
Investment in real estate, net	\$35,495	\$14,875	\$—
Investments in unconsolidated entities	\$(7,135)) \$(3,167)) \$—
(Payments on) proceeds from settlement of derivative instruments:			
Other assets	\$—	\$—	\$11,253
Other liabilities	\$(147,306)) \$(10,040)) \$—
Other:			
Receivable on sale of Common Shares	\$—	\$37,550	\$—
Transfer from notes, net to mortgage notes payable	\$—	\$35,600	\$—

See accompanying notes

F-11

Table of Contents

EQUITY RESIDENTIAL
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY
(Amounts in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	2011	2010	2009
PREFERRED SHARES			
Balance, beginning of year	\$200,000	\$208,773	\$208,786
Redemption of 7.00% Series E Cumulative Convertible	—	(834) —
Conversion of 7.00% Series E Cumulative Convertible	—	(7,378) (13
Conversion of 7.00% Series H Cumulative Convertible	—	(561) —
Balance, end of year	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$208,773
COMMON SHARES, \$0.01 PAR VALUE			
Balance, beginning of year	\$2,902	\$2,800	\$2,728
Conversion of Preferred Shares into Common Shares	—	3	—
Conversion of OP Units into Common Shares	3	9	27
Issuance of Common Shares	39	61	35
Exercise of share options	29	25	4
Employee Share Purchase Plan (ESPP)	1	2	3
Conversion of restricted shares to LTIP Units	(1) —	—
Share-based employee compensation expense:			
Restricted/performance shares	2	2	3
Balance, end of year	\$2,975	\$2,902	\$2,800
PAID IN CAPITAL			
Balance, beginning of year	\$4,741,521	\$4,477,426	\$4,273,489
Common Share Issuance:			
Conversion of Preferred Shares into Common Shares	—	7,936	13
Conversion of OP Units into Common Shares	8,577	19,713	48,776
Issuance of Common Shares	201,903	291,841	123,699
Exercise of share options	95,293	71,571	9,132
Employee Share Purchase Plan (ESPP)	5,261	5,110	5,289
Conversion of restricted shares to LTIP Units	(3,933) —	—
Share-based employee compensation expense:			
Performance shares	—	—	179
Restricted shares	9,100	9,779	11,129
Share options	9,545	7,421	5,996
ESPP discount	1,194	1,290	1,303
Common Shares repurchased and retired	—	(1,887) (1,124
Offering costs	(3,596) (4,657) (2,536
Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP)	10,765	8,559	27,809
Acquisition of Noncontrolling Interests – Partially Owned Properties	(4,784) (16,888) (1,496
Change in market value of Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests –			
Operating	(22,714) (129,918) (14,544
Partnership			
Adjustment for Noncontrolling Interests ownership in Operating	(946) (5,775) (9,688
Partnership			

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

Balance, end of year	\$5,047,186	\$4,741,521	\$4,477,426
----------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

See accompanying notes
F-12

Table of Contents

EQUITY RESIDENTIAL
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (Continued)
(Amounts in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (continued)	2011	2010	2009
RETAINED EARNINGS			
Balance, beginning of year	\$203,581	\$353,659	\$456,152
Net income attributable to controlling interests	893,585	283,610	362,273
Common Share distributions	(467,729) (419,320) (450,287
Preferred Share distributions	(13,865) (14,368) (14,479
Balance, end of year	\$615,572	\$203,581	\$353,659
ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME			
Balance, beginning of year	\$(57,818) \$4,681	\$(35,799
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income – derivative instruments:			
Unrealized holding (losses) gains arising during the year	(143,598) (65,894) 37,676
Losses reclassified into earnings from other comprehensive income	4,343	3,338	3,724
Other	—	—	449
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) – other instruments:			
Unrealized holding gains arising during the year	355	57	3,574
(Gains) realized during the year	—	—	(4,943
Balance, end of year	\$(196,718) \$(57,818) \$4,681
NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS			
OPERATING PARTNERSHIP			
Balance, beginning of year	\$110,399	\$116,120	\$137,645
Issuance of OP Units to Noncontrolling Interests	—	8,245	1,034
Issuance of LTIP Units to Noncontrolling Interests	—	—	78
Conversion of OP Units held by Noncontrolling Interests into OP Units held by General Partner	(8,580) (19,722) (48,803
Conversion of restricted shares to LTIP Units	3,934	—	—
Equity compensation associated with Noncontrolling Interests	3,641	2,524	1,194
Net income attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	40,780	13,099	20,305
Distributions to Noncontrolling Interests	(21,434) (20,300) (25,679
Change in carrying value of Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests – Operating Partnership	(10,150) 4,658	20,658
Adjustment for Noncontrolling Interests ownership in Operating Partnership	946	5,775	9,688
Balance, end of year	\$119,536	\$110,399	\$116,120
PREFERENCE INTERESTS AND UNITS			
Balance, beginning of year	\$—	\$—	\$184

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

Conversion of Series B Junior Preference Units	—	—	(184)
Balance, end of year	\$—	\$—	\$—	
PARTIALLY OWNED PROPERTIES				
Balance, beginning of year	\$7,991	\$11,054	\$25,520	
Net income (loss) attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	832	(726) (558)
Contributions by Noncontrolling Interests	75,911	222	893	
Distributions to Noncontrolling Interests	(1,163) (2,952) (2,439)
Acquisition of Noncontrolling Interests – Partially Owned Properties	(8,025) 175	(11,705)
Other	(1,240) 218	(657)
Balance, end of year	\$74,306	\$7,991	\$11,054	

See accompanying notes

F-13

Table of Contents

ERP OPERATING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Amounts in thousands)

	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Investment in real estate		
Land	\$4,367,816	\$4,110,275
Depreciable property	15,554,740	15,226,512
Projects under development	160,190	130,337
Land held for development	325,200	235,247
Investment in real estate	20,407,946	19,702,371
Accumulated depreciation	(4,539,583)	(4,337,357)
Investment in real estate, net	15,868,363	15,365,014
Cash and cash equivalents	383,921	431,408
Investments in unconsolidated entities	12,327	3,167
Deposits – restricted	152,237	180,987
Escrow deposits – mortgage	10,692	12,593
Deferred financing costs, net	44,608	42,033
Other assets	187,155	148,992
Total assets	\$16,659,303	\$16,184,194
LIABILITIES AND CAPITAL		
Liabilities:		
Mortgage notes payable	\$4,111,487	\$4,762,896
Notes, net	5,609,574	5,185,180
Lines of credit	—	—
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	35,206	39,452
Accrued interest payable	88,121	98,631
Other liabilities	291,289	304,202
Security deposits	65,286	60,812
Distributions payable	179,079	140,905
Total liabilities	10,380,042	10,592,078
Commitments and contingencies		
Redeemable Limited Partners	416,404	383,540
Capital:		
Partners' Capital:		
Preference Units	200,000	200,000
General Partner	5,665,733	4,948,004
Limited Partners	119,536	110,399
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)	(196,718)	(57,818)
Total partners' capital	5,788,551	5,200,585
Noncontrolling Interests – Partially Owned Properties	74,306	7,991
Total capital	5,862,857	5,208,576
Total liabilities and capital	\$16,659,303	\$16,184,194

See accompanying notes
F-14

Table of Contents

ERP OPERATING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Amounts in thousands except per Unit data)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
REVENUES			
Rental income	\$1,980,437	\$1,763,792	\$1,629,878
Fee and asset management	9,026	9,476	10,346
Total revenues	1,989,463	1,773,268	1,640,224
EXPENSES			
Property and maintenance	416,723	402,078	369,731
Real estate taxes and insurance	222,427	211,621	190,374
Property management	82,133	80,087	71,300
Fee and asset management	4,279	4,998	7,345
Depreciation	646,963	613,146	518,726
General and administrative	43,606	39,881	38,985
Impairment	—	45,380	11,124
Total expenses	1,416,131	1,397,191	1,207,585
Operating income	573,332	376,077	432,639
Interest and other income	7,977	5,166	16,578
Other expenses	(14,557)) (11,928)) (6,477)
Interest:			
Expense incurred, net	(469,237)) (468,306)) (493,278)
Amortization of deferred financing costs	(17,006)) (10,114)) (12,327)
Income (loss) before income and other taxes, (loss) from investments in			
unconsolidated entities, net gain (loss) on sales of unconsolidated entities and	80,509	(109,105)) (62,865)
land parcels and discontinued operations			
Income and other tax (expense) benefit	(728)) (292)) (2,716)
(Loss) from investments in unconsolidated entities	—	(735)) (2,815)
Net gain on sales of unconsolidated entities	—	28,101	10,689
Net gain (loss) on sales of land parcels	4,217	(1,395)) —
Income (loss) from continuing operations	83,998	(83,426)) (57,707)
Discontinued operations, net	851,199	379,409	439,736
Net income	935,197	295,983	382,029
Net (income) loss attributable to Noncontrolling Interests – Partially Owned Properties	(832)) 726	558
Net income attributable to controlling interests	\$934,365	\$296,709	\$382,587
ALLOCATION OF NET INCOME:			
Preference Units	\$13,865	\$14,368	\$14,479
Preference Interests and Junior Preference Units	\$—	\$—	\$9

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

General Partner	\$879,720	\$269,242	\$347,794
Limited Partners	40,780	13,099	20,305
Net income available to Units	\$920,500	\$282,341	\$368,099
Earnings per Unit – basic:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations available to Units	\$0.23	\$(0.33)	\$(0.25)
Net income available to Units	\$2.98	\$0.95	\$1.27
Weighted average Units outstanding	308,062	296,527	289,167
Earnings per Unit – diluted:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations available to Units	\$0.22	\$(0.33)	\$(0.25)
Net income available to Units	\$2.95	\$0.95	\$1.27
Weighted average Units outstanding	312,065	296,527	289,167

See accompanying notes

F-15

Table of Contents

ERP OPERATING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)
(Amounts in thousands except per Unit data)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Comprehensive income:			
Net income	\$935,197	\$295,983	\$382,029
Other comprehensive (loss) income:			
Other comprehensive (loss) income – derivative instruments:			
Unrealized holding (losses) gains arising during the year	(143,598) (65,894) 37,676
Losses reclassified into earnings from other comprehensive income	4,343	3,338	3,724
Other	—	—	449
Other comprehensive income (loss) – other instruments:			
Unrealized holding gains arising during the year	355	57	3,574
(Gains) realized during the year	—	—	(4,943
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(138,900) (62,499) 40,480
Comprehensive income	796,297	233,484	422,509
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to Noncontrolling Interests –	(832) 726	558
Partially Owned Properties			
Comprehensive income attributable to controlling interests	\$795,465	\$234,210	\$423,067

See accompanying notes
F-16

Table of Contents

ERP OPERATING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Amounts in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$935,197	\$295,983	\$382,029
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation	663,616	673,403	600,375
Amortization of deferred financing costs	17,846	10,406	13,127
Amortization of discounts on investment securities	—	—	(1,661)
Amortization of discounts and premiums on debt	(1,478)	(471)	5,857)
Amortization of deferred settlements on derivative instruments	3,808	2,804	2,228
Impairment	—	45,380	11,124
Write-off of pursuit costs	5,075	5,272	4,838
Income from technology investments	(4,537)	—	—
Loss from investments in unconsolidated entities	—	735	2,815
Distributions from unconsolidated entities – return on capital	319	61	153
Net (gain) on sales of investment securities	—	—	(4,943)
Net (gain) on sales of unconsolidated entities	—	(28,101)	(10,689)
Net (gain) loss on sales of land parcels	(4,217)	1,395	—
Net (gain) on sales of discontinued operations	(826,489)	(297,956)	(335,299)
Loss on debt extinguishments	—	2,457	17,525
Unrealized loss (gain) on derivative instruments	186	1	(3)
Compensation paid with Company Common Shares	21,177	18,875	17,843
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Decrease in deposits – restricted	4,523	3,316	3,117
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(2,743)	(9,048)	11,768)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses	332	(5,454)	(34,524)
(Decrease) in accrued interest payable	(10,510)	(4,000)	(11,997)
(Decrease) increase in other liabilities	(8,245)	9,972	2,220
Increase (decrease) in security deposits	4,474	1,007	(5,091)
Net cash provided by operating activities	798,334	726,037	670,812
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Investment in real estate – acquisitions	(1,441,599)	(1,189,210)	(175,531)
Investment in real estate – development/other	(120,741)	(131,301)	(330,623)
Improvements to real estate	(144,452)	(138,208)	(123,937)
Additions to non-real estate property	(7,110)	(2,991)	(2,028)
Interest capitalized for real estate and unconsolidated entities under development	(9,108)	(13,008)	(34,859)
Proceeds from disposition of real estate, net	1,500,583	672,700	887,055
Investments in unconsolidated entities	(2,021)	—	—
Distributions from unconsolidated entities – return of capital	—	26,924	6,521
Purchase of investment securities	—	—	(77,822)
Proceeds from sale of investment securities	—	25,000	215,753
Proceeds from technology investments	4,537	—	—

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

Decrease (increase) in deposits on real estate acquisitions and investments, net	7,631	137,106	(250,257)
Decrease in mortgage deposits	1,901	4,699	2,437	
Consolidation of previously unconsolidated properties	—	(26,854)	—
Deconsolidation of previously consolidated properties	28,360	11,708	—	
Acquisition of Noncontrolling Interests – Partially Owned Properties	(12,809)	(16,023) (11,480
Net cash (used for) provided by investing activities	(194,828)	(639,458) 105,229

See accompanying notes

F-17

Table of Contents

ERP OPERATING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)
(Amounts in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Loan and bond acquisition costs	\$ (20,421) \$ (8,811) \$ (9,291
Mortgage notes payable:			
Proceeds	190,905	173,561	738,798
Restricted cash	16,596	73,232	46,664
Lump sum payoffs	(974,956) (635,285) (939,022
Scheduled principal repayments	(16,726) (16,769) (17,763
(Loss) gain on debt extinguishments	—	(2,457) 2,400
Notes, net:			
Proceeds	996,190	595,422	—
Lump sum payoffs	(575,641) —	(850,115
(Loss) on debt extinguishments	—	—	(19,925
Lines of credit:			
Proceeds	1,455,000	5,513,125	—
Repayments	(1,455,000) (5,513,125) —
(Payments on) proceeds from settlement of derivative instruments	(147,306) (10,040) 11,253
Proceeds from sale of OP Units	173,484	329,452	86,184
Proceeds from EQR's Employee Share Purchase Plan (ESPP)	5,262	5,112	5,292
Proceeds from exercise of EQR options	95,322	71,596	9,136
OP Units repurchased and retired	—	(1,887) (1,124
Redemption of Preference Units	—	(877) —
Payment of offering costs	(3,596) (4,657) (2,536
Other financing activities, net	(48) (48) (16
Contributions – Noncontrolling Interests – Partially Owned Properties	75,911	222	893
Contributions – Limited Partners	—	—	78
Distributions:			
OP Units – General Partner	(432,023) (379,969) (488,604
Preference Units	(12,829) (14,471) (14,479
Preference Interests and Junior Preference Units	—	—	(12
OP Units – Limited Partners	(20,002) (18,867) (28,935
Noncontrolling Interests – Partially Owned Properties	(1,115) (2,918) (2,423
Net cash (used for) provided by financing activities	(650,993) 151,541	(1,473,547
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(47,487) 238,120	(697,506
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	431,408	193,288	890,794
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 383,921	\$ 431,408	\$ 193,288

See accompanying notes

F-18

Table of Contents

ERP OPERATING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)
(Amounts in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:			
Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$477,434	\$475,374	\$508,847
Net cash paid (received) for income and other taxes	\$645	\$(2,740)) \$3,968
Real estate acquisitions/dispositions/other:			
Mortgage loans assumed	\$158,240	\$359,082	\$—
Valuation of OP Units issued	\$—	\$8,245	\$1,034
Mortgage loans (assumed) by purchaser	\$—	\$(39,999)) \$(17,313)
Amortization of deferred financing costs:			
Investment in real estate, net	\$—	\$(2,768)) \$(3,585)
Deferred financing costs, net	\$17,846	\$13,174	\$16,712
Amortization of discounts and premiums on debt:			
Investment in real estate, net	\$—	\$—	\$(3)
Mortgage notes payable	\$(8,260)) \$(9,208)) \$(6,097)
Notes, net	\$6,782	\$8,737	\$11,957
Amortization of deferred settlements on derivative instruments:			
Other liabilities	\$(535)) \$(534)) \$(1,496)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$4,343	\$3,338	\$3,724
Unrealized loss (gain) on derivative instruments:			
Other assets	\$6,826	\$13,019	\$(33,261)
Mortgage notes payable	\$(612)) \$(163)) \$(1,887)
Notes, net	\$(2,937)) \$7,497	\$719
Other liabilities	\$140,507	\$45,542	\$(3,250)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	\$(143,598)) \$(65,894)) \$37,676
Interest capitalized for real estate and unconsolidated entities under development:			
Investment in real estate, net	\$(8,785)) \$(13,008)) \$(34,859)
Investments in unconsolidated entities	\$(323)) \$—	\$—
Consolidation of previously unconsolidated properties:			
Investment in real estate, net	\$—	\$(105,065)) \$—
Investments in unconsolidated entities	\$—	\$7,376	\$—
Deposits – restricted	\$—	\$(42,633)) \$—
Mortgage notes payable	\$—	\$112,631	\$—
Net other assets recorded	\$—	\$837	\$—
Deconsolidation of previously consolidated properties:			
Investment in real estate, net	\$35,495	\$14,875	\$—
Investments in unconsolidated entities	\$(7,135)) \$(3,167)) \$—
(Payments on) proceeds from settlement of derivative instruments:			
Other assets	\$—	\$—	\$11,253
Other liabilities	\$(147,306)) \$(10,040)) \$—
Other:			
Receivable on sale of OP Units	\$—	\$37,550	\$—
Transfer from notes, net to mortgage notes payable	\$—	\$35,600	\$—

See accompanying notes
F-19

Table of Contents

ERP OPERATING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN CAPITAL
(Amounts in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
PARTNERS' CAPITAL			
PREFERENCE UNITS			
Balance, beginning of year	\$200,000	\$208,773	\$208,786
Redemption of 7.00% Series E Cumulative Convertible	—	(834) —
Conversion of 7.00% Series E Cumulative Convertible	—	(7,378) (13
Conversion of 7.00% Series H Cumulative Convertible	—	(561) —
Balance, end of year	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$208,773
PREFERENCE INTERESTS AND JUNIOR PREFERENCE UNITS			
Balance, beginning of year	\$—	\$—	\$184
Conversion of Series B Junior Preference Units	—	—	(184
Balance, end of year	\$—	\$—	\$—
GENERAL PARTNER			
Balance, beginning of year	\$4,948,004	\$4,833,885	\$4,732,369
OP Unit Issuance:			
Conversion of Preference Units into OP Units held by General Partner	—	7,939	13
Conversion of OP Units held by Limited Partners into OP Units held by			
General Partner	8,580	19,722	48,803
Issuance of OP Units	201,942	291,902	123,734
Exercise of EQR share options	95,322	71,596	9,136
EQR's Employee Share Purchase Plan (ESPP)	5,262	5,112	5,292
Conversion of EQR restricted shares to LTIP Units	(3,934) —	—
Share-based employee compensation expense:			
EQR performance shares	—	—	179
EQR restricted shares	9,102	9,781	11,132
EQR share options	9,545	7,421	5,996
EQR ESPP discount	1,194	1,290	1,303
OP Units repurchased and retired	—	(1,887) (1,124
Offering costs	(3,596) (4,657) (2,536
Net income available to Units – General Partner	879,720	269,242	347,794
OP Units – General Partner distributions	(467,729) (419,320) (450,287
Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP)	10,765	8,559	27,809
Acquisition of Noncontrolling Interests – Partially Owned Properties	(4,784) (16,888) (1,496
Change in market value of Redeemable Limited Partners	(22,714) (129,918) (14,544
Adjustment for Limited Partners ownership in Operating Partnership	(946) (5,775) (9,688
Balance, end of year	\$5,665,733	\$4,948,004	\$4,833,885
LIMITED PARTNERS			
Balance, beginning of year	\$110,399	\$116,120	\$137,645

Edgar Filing: EQUITY RESIDENTIAL - Form 10-K

Issuance of OP Units	—	8,245	1,034
Issuance of LTIP Units	—	—	78
Conversion of OP Units held by Limited Partners into OP Units held by General Partner	(8,580) (19,722) (48,803
Conversion of EQR restricted shares to LTIP Units	3,934	—	—
Equity compensation associated with Units – Limited Partners	3,641	2,524	1,194
Net income available to Units – Limited Partners	40,780	13,099	20,305
Units – Limited Partners distributions	(21,434) (20,300) (25,679
Change in carrying value of Redeemable Limited Partners	(10,150) 4,658	20,658
Adjustment for Limited Partners ownership in Operating Partnership	946	5,775	9,688
Balance, end of year	\$119,536	\$110,399	\$116,120

See accompanying notes

F-20

Table of Contents

ERP OPERATING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN CAPITAL (Continued)
(Amounts in thousands)

PARTNERS' CAPITAL (continued)	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME			
Balance, beginning of year	\$ (57,818)	\$ 4,681	\$ (35,799)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income – derivative instruments:			
Unrealized holding (losses) gains arising during the year	(143,598)	(65,894)	37,676
Losses reclassified into earnings from other comprehensive income	4,343	3,338	3,724
Other	—	—	449
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) – other instruments:			
Unrealized holding gains arising during the year	355	57	3,574
(Gains) realized during the year	—	—	(4,943)
Balance, end of year	\$ (196,718)	\$ (57,818)	\$ 4,681
NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS			
NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS – PARTIALLY OWNED PROPERTIES			
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 7,991	\$ 11,054	\$ 25,520
Net income (loss) attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	832	(726))