

NEW AMERICA ENERGY CORP.  
Form 10-K  
December 14, 2012

---

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended August 31, 2012

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

000-54243

Commission File Number

NEW AMERICA ENERGY CORP.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

N/A  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

3651 Lindell Rd., Ste D#138, Las Vegas, NV  
(Address of principal executive offices)

89103  
(Zip Code)

(800) 508-6149  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
n/a	n/a

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, \$0.001 par value  
Title of class

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes[ ] No[X]

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act.

Yes[ ] No[X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes[X] No[ ]

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$7,521,121 (based on 25,934,900 shares held by non-affiliates and a February 29, 2012 closing market price of \$0.29 per share) as of February 29, 2012 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter), assuming solely for the purpose of this calculation that all directors, officers and greater than 10% stockholders of the registrant are affiliates. The determination of affiliate status for this purpose is not necessarily conclusive for any other purpose.

**APPLICABLE ONLY TO REGISTRANTS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY  
PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PAST 5 YEARS:**

Indicate by check mark whether the issuer has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Section 12, 13, or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court.

Yes No

**APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE REGISTRANTS**

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

53,312,133 shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of December 11, 2012

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

List hereunder the following documents if incorporated by reference and the Part of the Form 10-K (e.g. Part I, Part II, etc.) into which the document is incorporated: (1) Any annual report to security holders; (2) Any proxy or information statement; and (3) Any prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b) or (c) of the Securities Act of 1933. The listed documents should be clearly described for identification purposes.

None

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>PART I</b>	
Item 1	Business 4
Item 1A	Risk Factors 10
Item 1B	Unresolved Staff Comments 17
Item 2	Properties 17
Item 3	Legal Proceedings 28
Item 4	Mine Safety Disclosures 28
<b>PART II</b>	
Item 5	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities 29
Item 6	Selected Financial Data 29
Item 7	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 30
Item 7A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 31
Item 8	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data 31
Item 9	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure 32
Item 9A	Controls and Procedures 32
Item 9B	Other Information 34
<b>PART III</b>	
Item 10	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance 35
Item 11	Executive Compensation 38
Item 12	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters 39
Item 13	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence 40
Item 14	Principal Accounting Fees and Services 41
<b>PART IV</b>	
Item 15	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules 42
	SIGNATURES 43

## ITEM 1. BUSINESS

### Forward Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K ("Annual Report") contains forward-looking statements. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "should," "expects," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "predicts," "potential," or "continue" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. These statements are only predictions and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, including the risks in the section entitled "Risk Factors" and the risks set out below, any of which may cause our or our industry's actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements.

These risks include, by way of example and not in limitation:

- the uncertainty that we will not be able to successfully identify commercially viable resources on our exploration properties;
- risks related to the large number of established and well-financed entities that are actively competing for limited resources within the mineral property exploration field;
- risks related to the failure to successfully manage or achieve growth of our business if we are successful in identify a viable mineral resource, and;
- other risks and uncertainties related to our business strategy.

This list is not an exhaustive list of the factors that may affect any of our forward-looking statements. These and other factors should be considered carefully and readers should not place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements.

Forward looking statements are made based on management's beliefs, estimates and opinions on the date the statements are made and we undertake no obligation to update forward-looking statements if these beliefs, estimates and opinions or other circumstances should change. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Except as required by applicable law, including the securities laws of the United States, we do not intend to update any of the forward-looking statements to conform these statements to actual results.

The safe harbors of forward-looking statements provided by Section 21E of the Exchange Act are unavailable to issuers of penny stock. As we issued securities at a price below \$5.00 per share, our shares are considered penny stock and such safe harbors set forth under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 are unavailable to us.

Our financial statements are stated in United States dollars and are prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles.

In this annual report, unless otherwise specified, all dollar amounts are expressed in United States dollars and all references to "common stock" refer to the common shares in our capital stock.

As used in this Annual Report, the terms "we," "us," "Company," "our" and "New America" mean New America Energy Corp., unless otherwise indicated.

## Measurement &amp; Currency

Conversion of metric units into imperial equivalents is as follows:

Metric Units	Multiply by	Imperial Units
hectares	2.471	= acres
meters	3.281	= feet
kilometers	0.621	= miles (5,280 feet)
grams	0.032	= ounces (troy)
tonnes	1.102	= tons (short) (2,000 lbs)
grams/tonne	0.029	= ounces (troy)/ton

## Cautionary Note to United States Investors

We caution U.S. investors that the Company may have materials in the public domain that may use terms that are recognized and permitted under Canadian regulations, however the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“S.E.C.”) may not recognize such terms. We have detailed below the differences in the SEC regulations as compared to the Canadian Regulations under National Instrument NI 43-101.

## S.E.C. Industry Code

## National Instrument 43-101 (“NI 43-101”)

**Reserve:** That part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time of the determination. The United States Securities and Exchange Commission requires a final or full Feasibility Study to be completed in order to support either Proven or Probably Reserves. The United States Securities and Exchange Commission does not recognize other classifications of mineralized deposits. Note that for industrial mineral properties, in addition to the Feasibility Study, “sales” contracts or actual sales may be required in order to prove the project’s commerciality and reserve status.

**Mineral Reserve:** The economically mineable part of a Measured or Indicated Mineral Resource demonstrated by at least a Preliminary Feasibility study. This study must include adequate information on mining, processing, metallurgical, economic and other relevant factors that demonstrate, at the time of reporting, that economic extraction can be justified.

**Proven Mineral Reserve:** The economically mineable part of a Measured Mineral Resource demonstrated by at least a Preliminary Feasibility study. This study must include adequate information on mining, processing, metallurgical, economic and other relevant factors that demonstrate, at the time of reporting, that economic extraction is justified.

**Probable Mineral Reserve:** The economically mineable part of an indicated, and in some circumstances, a Measured Mineral Resource, but the sites for inspection, sampling and measurement are farther apart or are otherwise less well spaced. The degree of assurance, although lower than that for proven reserves, is high enough to assume continuity between points of observation.

reporting, that economic extraction can be justified.





## Corporate Information

The address of our principal executive office is 3651 Lindell Road, Ste. D#138, Las Vegas, Nevada. Our telephone number is 800-508-6149.

Our common stock is quoted on the OTCBB (“Over-the-Counter- Bulletin-Board”) under the symbol “NECA”.

New America Energy Corp (formerly “Atheron, Inc.”) was incorporated in Nevada on May 8, 2006 as a development stage company, initially developing a technology for ethanol-methanol gasoline. The Company did not progress the development of this technology.

On November 5, 2010, we underwent a change of control and the Company’s newly appointed sole director and majority shareholder approved a name change to New America Energy Corp. and a twenty-five (25) new for one (1) old forward stock split of the Company’s issued and outstanding shares of common stock.

On November 16, 2010, the Nevada Secretary of State accepted for filing the Certificate of Amendment to the Company’s Articles of Incorporation to change our name from Atheron, Inc. to New America Energy Corp. The forward stock split and name change became effective with the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board at the opening of trading on December 1, 2010.

On November 14, 2012, the Nevada Secretary of State accepted for filing an amendment to our articles of incorporation whereby we increased our authorized common shares from 75,000,000 to 800,000,000, pursuant to the approval of our board of directors and majority shareholders as of June 26, 2012.

At the report date mineral claims, with unknown reserves, have been acquired. The Company has not established the existence of a commercially mineable ore deposit and therefore has not reached the development stage and is considered to be in the exploration stage.

We do not have any subsidiaries.

Other than as set out herein, we have not been involved in any bankruptcy, receivership or similar proceedings, nor have we been a party to any material reclassification, merger, consolidation or purchase or sale of a significant amount of assets not in the ordinary course of our business.

We are considered to be an exploration stage company, as we have not generated any revenues from operations.

Our common stock is traded over-the-counter on the OTCBB under the ticker symbol “NECA.”

## Our Current Business

We are an exploration stage company engaged in the exploration of mineral properties. The Company is focused exclusively on the acquisition and development of mineral resource properties.

On February 3, 2011 we entered into property acquisition agreements with First Liberty Power Corp. (“FLPC”) and GeoXplor Inc. (“GeoXplor”). Pursuant to the terms of the agreements, we acquired an option, as well as exploration rights, in certain unpatented mining claims located in Southern Utah known as the “Uravan Property”. On May 31, 2011, we amended the agreement to extend the payment date for an additional 120 days. The Company did not pay the required option payments under the agreements and the property was lost on September 30, 2011.

On May 31, 2011, we entered into a property acquisition agreement with GeoXplor Corp. to acquire an option, as well as exploration rights, in certain unpatented mining claims located in Clayton Valley, Nye County, Nevada. Subsequently on October 27, 2011, we entered into an amended property acquisition agreement whereby we acquired additional claims. Further on June 20, 2012, we entered into an amended property acquisition agreement which amended and replaced the May 31, 2011 agreement and the October 27, 2011 agreement. Under the amended agreement we amended and extended the terms for payments to GeoXplor Corp. in exchange for the issuance of additional shares.

Our exploration programs will be exploratory in nature and there is no assurance that a commercially viable mineral deposit, a reserve, exists until further exploration, particularly drilling, is undertaken and a comprehensive evaluation concludes economic and legal feasibility. We have not yet generated or realized any revenues from our business operations.

On March 28, 2012, we entered into an investment agreement (the "Investment Agreement") with Fairhills Capital Offshore Ltd., a Cayman Islands exempted company ("Fairhills"). Pursuant to the terms of the Investment Agreement, Fairhills has committed to purchase up to Three Million Dollars (\$3,000,000) of our common stock over a period of up to thirty-six (36) months.

On May 1, 2012, we entered into an amendment to the Investment Agreement (the "Amendment"). Pursuant to the Amendment, the purchase price of the shares shall be equal to a discount of Twenty-Five percent (25%) percent from the lowest volume weighted average price during the ten (10) trading days immediately prior to receipt by Fairhills of a put notice (as defined in the Investment Agreement.)

In connection with the Investment Agreement, we also entered into a registration rights agreement (the "Registration Rights Agreement") with Fairhills. Pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement, we are obligated to file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") covering Eighteen Million (18,000,000) shares of the common stock underlying the Investment Agreement within 21 days after the closing of the Investment Agreement. The Company was required to reduce the number of Shares registered under the registration statement to 9,500,000 because of Rule 415 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and will file an additional registration statement to register the remaining 8,500,000 in the future, if necessary. We were obligated to use all commercially reasonable efforts to have the registration statement declared effective by the SEC within 120 days after the closing of the Investment Agreement and maintain the effectiveness of such registration statement until termination in accordance with the Investment Agreement. The registration statement was declared effective by the SEC on August 30, 2012.

At an assumed purchase price under the Investment Agreement of \$0.04595 (equal to 75% of the closing price of our common stock of \$0.0612 on May 25, 2012), we will be able to receive up to \$436,525 in gross proceeds, assuming the sale of the entire 9,500,000 Shares being registered hereunder pursuant to the Investment Agreement. At an assumed purchase price of \$0.04595 under the Investment Agreement, we would be required to register 55,788,357 additional shares to obtain the balance of \$2,563,475 under the Investment Agreement. However, as the closing bid price of the Shares have dropped substantially since the date of the Investment Agreement, we will be required to register far more than 55,788,357 additional Shares to obtain the balance of \$2,563,475 under the Investment Agreement. We are currently authorized to issue 800,000,000 75,000,000 shares of our common stock; however our management intends to request that our shareholders approve an increase in our authorized capital stock. Fairhills has agreed to refrain from holding an amount of shares which would result in Fairhills from owning more than 4.99% of the then-outstanding shares of our common stock at any one time.

On November 6, 2012, Fairhills assigned all of its rights, duties, and obligations under the Investment Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement, and other associated documents (the "Assignment"), to Deer Valley Management, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Deer Valley"), and the Company consented to the Assignment. Fairhills and Deer Valley share the same ownership and management and there will not be any substantial change to our arrangement under the Investment Agreement as a result of the Assignment.

There are substantial risks to investors as a result of the issuance of shares of our common stock under the Investment Agreement. These risks include dilution of stockholders, significant decline in our stock price and our inability to draw sufficient funds when needed.

Deer Valley will periodically purchase our common stock under the Investment Agreement and will, in turn, sell such shares to investors in the market at the market price. This may cause our stock price to decline, which will require us to issue increasing numbers of common shares to Fairhills to raise the same amount of funds, as our stock price declines.

On March 28, 2012, we entered into a debt instrument with Fairhills whereby Fairhills provided us with a \$200,000 loan which was due by September 28, 2012, and carries a 2% annual rate of interest. As of the date hereof, we have not repaid the balance due on this loan, so we are in default. The

note was not convertible into our common stock and we have agreed that we will not use the funds raised in the Fairhills/Deer Valley financing to repay this note. The note is secured by 3,333,333 shares of our restricted common stock owned by our director and officer, Rick Walchuk, which are being held in escrow by Fairhills's counsel. As we are in default of this loan, Fairhills has the right to the shares held in escrow. However, as of the date hereof, Fairhills has not exercised its right to claim such shares held in escrow.

On October 1, 2012, the Company drew down \$15,000 in funds under the Investment Agreement and issued 620,000 Shares to Fairhills.

Midsouth Capital Inc. ("Midsouth") who brokered the agreement with Fairhills will receive certain commissions for the financings with Fairhills pursuant to an agreement whereby Midsouth is the Company's non-exclusive financial advisor, investment banker and placement agent for the purpose of assisting the Company to raise capital. The Company agreed to: (i) issue 80,000 Shares; (ii) a success fee of 10% of the amount for any capital raised; (iii) 150,000 restricted Shares, with piggy back registration rights, per \$1,000,000 of capital raised for a period of two years. Pursuant to our agreement with MidSouth we have issued Midsouth 80,000 Shares, and paid them a stock fee of 30,000 additional Shares and a cash fee of \$20,000 based on 10% of the initial \$200,000 funded by Fairhills and a further payment of \$1,500 in relation to the Financing Agreement as commission on the drawdown of \$15,000 from Fairhills under the Investment Agreement.

#### Market, Customers and Distribution Methods

Although there can be no assurance, large and well capitalized markets are readily available for all metals and precious metals throughout the world. A very sophisticated futures market for the pricing and delivery of future production also exists. The price for metals is affected by a number of global factors, including economic strength and resultant demand for metals for production, fluctuating supplies, mining activities and production by others in the industry, and new and or reduced uses for subject metals.

The mining industry is highly speculative and of a very high risk nature. As such, mining activities involve a high degree of risk, which even a combination of experience, knowledge and careful evaluation may not be able to overcome. Few mining projects actually become operating mines.

The mining industry is subject to a number of factors, including intense industry competition, high susceptibility to economic conditions (such as price of metal, foreign currency exchange rates, and capital and operating costs), and political conditions (which could affect such things as import and export regulations, foreign ownership restrictions). Furthermore, the mining activities are subject to all hazards incidental to mineral exploration, development and production, as well as risk of damage from earthquakes, any of which could result in work stoppages, damage to or loss of property and equipment and possible environmental damage. Hazards such as unusual or unexpected geological formations and other conditions are also involved in mineral exploration and development.

#### Competition

The mineral exploration industry is highly competitive. We are a new exploration stage company and have a weak competitive position in the industry. We compete with junior and senior mineral exploration companies, independent producers and institutional and individual investors who are actively seeking to acquire mineral exploration properties throughout the world together with the equipment, labor and materials required to operate on those properties. Competition for the acquisition of mineral exploration interests is intense with many mineral exploration leases or concessions available in a competitive bidding process in which we may lack the technological information or expertise available to other bidders.

Many of the mineral exploration companies with which we compete for financing and for the acquisition of mineral exploration properties have greater financial and technical resources than those available to us. Accordingly, these competitors may be able to spend greater amounts on acquiring mineral exploration interests of merit or on exploring or developing their mineral exploration properties. This advantage could enable our competitors to acquire mineral exploration properties of greater quality and interest to prospective investors who may choose to finance their additional exploration and development. Such competition could adversely impact our ability to attain the financing necessary for us to acquire further mineral exploration interests or explore and develop our current or future mineral exploration properties.

We also compete with other junior mineral exploration companies for financing from a limited number of investors that are prepared to invest in such companies. The presence of competing junior mineral exploration companies may impact our ability to raise additional capital in order to fund our acquisition or exploration programs if investors perceive that investments in our competitors are more attractive based on the merit of their mineral exploration properties or the price of the investment opportunity. In addition, we compete with both junior and senior mineral exploration companies for available resources, including, but not limited to, professional geologists, land specialists, engineers, camp staff, helicopters, float planes, mineral exploration supplies and drill rigs.

General competitive conditions may be substantially affected by various forms of energy legislation and/or regulation introduced from time to time by the governments of the United States and other countries, as well as factors beyond our control, including international political conditions, overall levels of supply and demand for mineral exploration. In the face of competition, we may not be successful in acquiring, exploring or developing profitable mineral properties or interests, and we cannot give any assurance that suitable oil and gas properties or interests will be available for our acquisition, exploration or development. Despite this, we hope to compete successfully in the mineral exploration industry by:

keeping our costs low;

relying on the strength of our management's contacts; and

using our size and experience to our advantage by adapting quickly to changing market conditions or responding swiftly to potential opportunities.

#### Intellectual Property

We have not filed for any protection of our trademark, and we do not have any other intellectual property.

#### Research and Development

We did not incur any research and development expenses during the period from May 8, 2006 (inception) to our fiscal year ended August 31, 2012.

#### Reports to Security Holders

We are subject to the reporting and other requirements of the Exchange Act and we intend to furnish our shareholders annual reports containing financial statements audited by our independent registered public accounting firm and to make available quarterly reports containing unaudited financial statements for each of the first three quarters of each year. After the effectiveness of this Registration Statement we will begin filing Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Annual Reports on Form 10-K and Current Reports on Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission in order to meet our timely and continuous disclosure requirements. We may also file additional documents with the Commission if they become necessary in the course of our company's operations.

The public may read and copy any materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The address of that site is [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

#### Government Regulations

Any operations at our mineral properties will be subject to various federal and state laws and regulations in the United States which govern prospecting, development, mining, production, exports, taxes, labor standards, occupational health, waste disposal, protection of the environment, mine safety, hazardous substances and other matters. We will be required to obtain those licenses, permits or other authorizations currently required to conduct exploration and other programs. There are no current orders or directions relating to us or our properties with respect to the foregoing laws and regulations.



Such compliance may include feasibility studies on the surface impact of our proposed operations, costs associated with minimizing surface impact, water treatment and protection, reclamation activities, including rehabilitation of various sites, on-going efforts at alleviating the mining impact on wildlife and permits or bonds as may be required to ensure our compliance with applicable regulations. It is possible that the costs and delays associated with such compliance could become so prohibitive that we may decide to not proceed with exploration, development, or mining operations on any of our mineral properties. We are not presently aware of any specific material environmental constraints affecting our properties that would preclude the economic development or operation of property in the United States.

The U.S. Forest Service requires that mining operations on lands subject to its regulation obtain an approved plan of operations subject to environmental impact evaluation under the National Environmental Policy Act. Any significant modifications to the plan of operations may require the completion of an environmental assessment or Environmental Impact Statement prior to approval. Mining companies must post a bond or other surety to guarantee the cost of post-mining reclamation. These requirements could add significant additional cost and delays to any mining project undertaken by us.

Under the U.S. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, mining companies may incur costs for generating, transporting, treating, storing, or disposing of hazardous waste, as well as for closure and post-closure maintenance once they have completed mining activities on a property. Any future mining operations at our mining properties may produce air emissions, including fugitive dust and other air pollutants, from stationary equipment, storage facilities, and the use of mobile sources such as trucks and heavy construction equipment which are subject to review, monitoring and/or control requirements under the Federal Clean Air Act and state air quality laws. Permitting rules may impose limitations on our production levels or create additional capital expenditures for pollution control in order to comply with the rules.

The U.S. Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), imposes strict joint and several liability on parties associated with releases or threats of releases of hazardous substances. Those liable groups include, among others, the current owners and operators of facilities which release hazardous substances into the environment and past owners and operators of properties who owned such properties at the time the disposal of the hazardous substances occurred. This liability could include the cost of removal or remediation of the release and damages for injury to the surrounding property. We cannot predict the potential for future CERCLA liability with respect to our mining properties or surrounding areas.

#### Environmental Regulations

We are not aware of any material violations of environmental permits, licenses or approvals that have been issued with respect to our operations. We expect to comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations relating to our business, and at this time, we do not anticipate incurring any material capital expenditures to comply with any environmental regulations or other requirements.

While our intended projects and business activities do not currently violate any laws, any regulatory changes that impose additional restrictions or requirements on us or on our potential customers could adversely affect us by increasing our operating costs or decreasing demand for our products or services, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

#### Employees

As of August 31, 2012 we did not have any employees. Rick Walchuk, our Director and Chief Executive Officer spends about 20 hours per week on our operations on a consulting basis and Alexander Tsingos, our Director and Secretary, spends time as required on a consulting basis.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in our securities should be considered highly speculative due to various factors, including the nature of our business and the present stage of our development. An investment in our securities should only be undertaken by persons who have sufficient financial resources to afford the total loss of their investment. In addition to the usual risks associated with investment in a business, the following is a general description of significant risk factors which should be considered. You should carefully consider the following material risk factors and all other information contained in this Annual Report before deciding to invest in our Common Shares. If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. Additional risks and uncertainties we do not presently know or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business, financial condition or operating results.

## RISKS RELATING TO OUR COMPANY

We currently have no source of operating cash flow and we have a history of operating losses.

We have no revenues from operations, our mineral property interests are in the exploration stage and we have a history of operating losses. We will not receive revenues from operations at any time in the near future, and we have no prior year's history of earnings or cash flow. We have incurred losses. There can be no assurance that our operations will ever generate sufficient revenues to fund our continuing operations or that we will ever generate positive cash flow from our operations. Further, we can give no assurance that we will attain or sustain profitability in any future period.

We are currently a mining exploration stage company. See "Item 2 Properties" of this Report for more information regarding our mining claims.

According to SEC definitions, our mining claims do not have any proven or probable reserves. A "reserve," as defined by the SEC, is that part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time of the reserve determination. A reserve requires a feasibility study demonstrating with reasonable certainty that the deposit can be economically extracted and produced. We have not carried out any feasibility study with regard to our mining claims. As a result, we currently have no reserves and there are no assurances that we will be able to prove that there are reserves on our mining concessions.

Mineral exploration is highly speculative in nature and there can be no certainty of our successful development of profitable commercial mining operations.

The exploration and development of mineral properties involve significant risks that even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate. While the discovery of an ore body may result in substantial rewards, few explored properties develop into producing mines. Substantial expenses may be incurred to locate and establish mineral reserves, develop metallurgical processes, and construct mining and processing facilities at a particular site. Whether a mineral deposit will be commercially viable depends on a number of factors, some of which are: the particular attributes of the deposit, such as size, grade, and proximity to infrastructure; metals prices which are highly cyclical; drilling and other related costs that appear to be rising; and government regulations, including those related to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, importing and exporting of minerals, and environmental protection. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in us not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.

There is no certainty that the expenditures made by us towards the exploration and evaluation of mineral deposits will result in discoveries of commercial quantities of ore.

The Company may be unable to obtain the funds necessary to finalize our property option agreement.

Under the terms of our amended option agreement executed June 20, 2012, the effective date, for our mining claims, we are required to expend a total of \$1,000,000 within four years on exploration and make property payments of \$375,000. Exploration payments of \$100,000 are required to be expended prior one year of the effective date, with a further \$200,000 to be expended during the second year, \$300,000 to be expended during the third year and the remaining \$400,000 to be expended during the fourth year. Property payments have been amended to pay \$100,000 by May 31, 2012, \$25,000 on March 4, 2013, \$150,000 on May 31, 2012 and \$100,000 on May 31, 2014. Further, we are required to make property payment of \$100,000 by May 31, 2012, which has been paid, \$25,000 on March 4, 2013, \$150,000 on May 31, 2013 and \$100,000 on May 31, 2014. While we are current in our requirements under this agreement, currently we do not have sufficient funds to expend the ongoing exploration funds and property payments

required under the option agreement. We have been successful in obtaining financing for operations by way of loans and financings and we currently have a financing agreement for up to \$3,800,000 but as an exploration company it is often difficult to obtain adequate financing when required, and it is not necessarily the case that the terms of such financings will be favorable. If we fail to obtain additional financing on a timely basis, we could forfeit our mineral property interests and/or reduce or terminate operations.

Because our business involves numerous operating hazards, we may be subject to claims of a significant size, which would cost a significant amount of funds and resources to rectify. This could force us to cease our operations.

Our operations are subject to the usual hazards inherent in exploring for minerals, such as general accidents, explosions, chemical exposure and cratering. The occurrence of these or similar events could result in the suspension of operations, damage to or destruction of the equipment involved and injury or death to personnel. Operations also may be suspended because of machinery breakdowns, abnormal climatic conditions, failure of subcontractors to perform or supply goods or services or personnel shortages. The occurrence of any such contingency would require us to incur additional costs, which would adversely affect our business.

Damage to the environment could also result from our operations. If our business is involved in one or more of these hazards, we may be subject to claims of a significant size that could force us to cease our operations.

Mineral resource exploration, production and related operations are subject to extensive rules and regulations of federal, provincial, state and local agencies. Failure to comply with these rules and regulations can result in substantial penalties. Our cost of doing business may be affected by the regulatory burden on the mineral industry. Although we intend to substantially comply with all applicable laws and regulations, because these rules and regulations frequently are amended or interpreted, we cannot predict the future cost or impact of complying with these laws.

Environmental enforcement efforts with respect to mineral operations have increased over the years, and it is possible that regulations could expand and have a greater impact on future mineral exploration operations. Although our management intends to comply with all legislation and/or actions of local, provincial, state and federal governments, non-compliance with applicable regulatory requirements could subject us to penalties, fines and regulatory actions, the costs of which could harm our results of operations. We cannot be sure that our proposed business operations will not violate environmental laws in the future.

Our operations and properties are subject to extensive laws and regulations relating to environmental protection, including the generation, storage, handling, emission, transportation and discharge of materials into the environment, and relating to health and safety. These laws and regulations may do any of the following: (i) require the acquisition of a permit or other authorization before exploration commences; (ii) restrict the types, quantities and concentration of various substances that can be released in the environment in connection with exploration activities; (iii) limit or prohibit mineral exploration on certain lands lying within wilderness, wetlands and other protected areas; (iv) require remedial measures to mitigate pollution from former operations; and (v) impose substantial liabilities for pollution resulting from our proposed operations.

The exploration of mineral reserves are subject to all of the usual hazards and risks associated with mineral exploration, which could result in damage to life or property, environmental damage, and possible legal liability for any or all damages. Difficulties, such as unusual or unexpected rock formations encountered by workers but not indicated on a map, or other conditions may be encountered in the gathering of samples and information, and could delay our exploration program. Even though we are at liberty to obtain insurance against certain risks in such amounts we deem adequate, the nature of those risks is such that liabilities could exceed policy limits or be excluded from coverage. We do not currently carry insurance to protect against these risks and there is no assurance that we will obtain such insurance in the future. There are also risks against that we cannot, or may not elect to insure. The costs, which could be associated with any liabilities, not covered by insurance or in excess of insurance coverage or compliance with applicable laws and regulations may cause substantial delays and require significant capital outlays, adversely affecting our financial position, future earnings, and/or competitive positions.

The prices of metals are highly volatile and a decrease in metal prices can have a material adverse effect on our business.

The profitability of natural resource operations are directly related to the market prices of the underlying commodities. The market prices of metals fluctuate significantly and are affected by a number of factors beyond our control, including, but not limited to, the rate of inflation, the exchange rate of the dollar to other currencies, interest rates, and global economic and political conditions. Price fluctuations in the metals market from the time exploration for a mine is undertaken and the time production can commence can significantly affect the profitability of a mine. Accordingly, we may begin to develop a minerals property at a time when the price of the underlying metals make such exploration economically feasible and, subsequently, incur losses because metal prices have decreased. Adverse fluctuations of metals market prices may force us to curtail or cease our business operations.

Mining operations generally involve a high degree of risk.

Mining operations are subject to all the hazards and risks normally encountered in the exploration, development and production of base or precious metals, including unusual and unexpected geological formations, seismic activity, rock bursts, cave-ins, flooding and other conditions involved in the drilling and removal of material, any of which could result in damage to, or destruction of, mines and other producing facilities, damage to life or property, environmental damage and possible legal liability. Mining operations could also experience periodic interruptions due to bad or hazardous weather conditions and other acts of God. Milling operations are subject to hazards such as equipment failure or failure of retaining dams around tailing disposal areas, which may result in environmental pollution and consequent liability.

If any of these risks and hazards adversely affect our mining operations or our exploration activities, they may: (i) increase the cost of exploration to a point where it is no longer economically feasible to continue operations; (ii) require us to write down the carrying value of one or more mines or a property; (iii) cause delays or a stoppage in the exploration of minerals; (iv) result in damage to or destruction of mineral properties or processing facilities; and (v) result in personal injury, death or legal liability. Any or all of these adverse consequences may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, and future cash flows.

We may not be able to compete with current and potential exploration companies, some of whom have greater resources and experience than we do in developing mineral reserves.

The natural resource market is intensely competitive, highly fragmented and subject to rapid change. We may be unable to compete successfully with our existing competitors or with any new competitors. We will be competing with many exploration companies that have significantly greater personnel, financial, managerial and technical resources than we do. This competition from other companies with greater resources and reputations may result in our failure to maintain or expand our business.

We may not have access to all of the supplies and materials we need to begin exploration, which could cause us to delay or suspend operations.

Competition and unforeseen limited sources of supplies in the industry could result in occasional spot shortages of supplies and certain equipment such as bulldozers and excavators that we might need to conduct exploration. We have not attempted to locate or negotiate with any suppliers of products, equipment or materials. We will attempt to locate products, equipment and materials prior to undertaking exploration programs. If we cannot find the products, equipment and materials we need, we will have to suspend our exploration plans until we do find the products, equipment and materials.

We are an exploration stage company, and there is no assurance that a commercially viable deposit or “reserve” exists in the property in which we have claim.

We are an exploration stage company and cannot assure you that a commercially viable deposit, or “reserve,” exists on our mineral properties. Therefore, determination of the existence of a reserve will depend on appropriate and sufficient exploration work and the evaluation of legal, economic and environmental factors. If we fail to find commercially viable deposits, our financial condition and results of operations will be materially adversely affected.

We depend on our officers and directors and the loss of these individuals could adversely affect our business.

Our Company is completely dependent on our two officers and directors, Rick Walchuk and Alexander Tsingos. We currently have no employees and the loss of either or both of these individuals could significantly and adversely affect

our business. We do not carry any life insurance on our directors and officers.



## RISKS RELATING TO AN INVESTMENT IN OUR SECURITIES

### Our Common Stock Price May be Volatile

The trading price of our common stock may fluctuate substantially. The price of our common stock, at any given time, may be higher or lower than the price you pay for your shares, depending on many factors, some of which are beyond our control and may not be directly related to our operating performance. These factors include the following: (i) price and volume fluctuations in the overall stock market from time to time; (ii) volatility resulting from trading in derivative securities related to our common stock including puts, calls, long-term equity anticipation securities, or leaps, or short trading positions; (iii) actual or anticipated changes in our earnings or fluctuations in our operating results or changes in the expectations of securities analysts; (iv) general economic conditions and trends; (v) loss of a major funding source; or (vi) departures of key personnel.

Because of the early stage of development and the nature of our business, our securities are considered highly speculative.

Our securities must be considered highly speculative, generally because of the nature of our business and the early stage of its development. We are engaged in the business of exploring and, if warranted and feasible, developing natural resource properties. Our mining claims are in the exploration stage only and are without proven reserves of natural resources. Accordingly, we have not generated any revenues nor have we realized a profit from our operations to date and there is little likelihood that we will generate any revenues or realize any profits in the short term. Any profitability in the future from our business will be dependent upon locating and developing economic reserves of natural resources, which itself is subject to numerous risk factors as set forth herein. Since we have not generated any revenues, we will have to raise additional monies through the sale of our equity securities or debt in order to continue our business operations.

We may, in the future, issue additional common shares that would reduce investors' percent of ownership and may dilute our share value.

The future issuance of common shares may result in substantial dilution in the percentage of our common shares held by our then existing stockholders. We may value any common shares issued in the future on an arbitrary basis. The issuance of common shares for future services or acquisitions or other corporate actions may have the effect of diluting the value of the common shares held by our investors, and might have an adverse effect on any trading market for our common shares.

Market for Penny Stock has suffered in recent years from patterns of fraud and abuse.

Stockholders should be aware that, according to SEC Release No. 34-29093, the market for penny stocks has suffered in recent years from patterns of fraud and abuse. Such patterns include: (i) control of the market for the security by one or a few broker-dealers that are often related to the promoter or issuer; (ii) manipulation of prices through prearranged matching of purchases and sales and false and misleading press releases; (iii) boiler room practices involving high-pressure sales tactics and unrealistic price projections by inexperienced salespersons; (iv) excessive and undisclosed bid-ask differential and markups by selling broker-dealers; and, (v) the wholesale dumping of the same securities by promoters and broker-dealers after prices have been manipulated to a desired level, along with the resulting inevitable collapse of those prices and with consequential investor losses.

Our common shares are subject to the "Penny Stock" Rules of the SEC, which makes transactions in our stock cumbersome and may reduce the value of an investment in our stock.

The SEC has adopted regulations that generally define a "penny stock" to be any equity security other than a security excluded from such definition by Rule 3a51-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. For the purposes relevant to our Company, it is any equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions.

Our common shares are currently regarded as a “penny stock”, since our shares are not listed on a national stock exchange or quoted on the NASDAQ Market within the United States, to the extent the market price for its shares is less than \$5.00 per share. The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document prepared by the SEC, to provide a customer with additional information including current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction, monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account, and to make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser, and receive the purchaser's written agreement to the transaction.

To the extent these requirements may be applicable; they will reduce the level of trading activity in the secondary market for the common shares and may severely and adversely affect the ability of broker-dealers to sell the common shares.

FINRA sales practice requirements may also limit a stockholders ability to buy and sell our stock.

In addition to the penny stock rules promulgated by the SEC, which are discussed in the immediately preceding risk factor, FINRA rules require that in recommending an investment to a customer, a broker-dealer must have reasonable grounds for believing that the investment is suitable for that customer. Prior to recommending speculative low priced securities to their non-institutional customers, broker-dealers must make reasonable efforts to obtain information about the customer’s financial status, tax status, investment objectives and other information. Under interpretations of these rules, FINRA believes that there is a high probability that speculative low priced securities will not be suitable for at least some customers. FINRA requirements make it more difficult for broker-dealers to recommend that their customers buy our common stock, which may limit the ability to buy and sell our stock and have an adverse effect on the market value for our shares.

Our common stock may experience extreme rises or declines in price, and you may not be able to sell your shares at or above the price paid.

Our common stock may be highly volatile and could be subject to extreme fluctuations in response to various factors, many of which are beyond our control, including (but not necessarily limited to): (i) the trading volume of our shares; (ii) the number of securities analysts, market-makers and brokers following our common stock; (iii) changes in, or failure to achieve, financial estimates by securities analysts; (iv) actual or anticipated variations in quarterly operating results; (v) conditions or trends in our business industries; (vi) announcements by us of significant contracts, acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments; (vii) additions or departures of key personnel; (viii) sales of our common stock; and (ix) general stock market price and volume fluctuations of publicly-trading and particularly , microcap companies.

Investors may have difficulty reselling shares of our common stock, either at or above the price they paid for our stock, or even at fair market value. The stock markets often experience significant price and volume changes that are not related to the operating performance of individual companies, and because our common stock is thinly traded it is particularly susceptible to such changes. These broad market changes may cause the market price of our common stock to decline regardless of how well we perform as a company. In addition, there is a history of securities class action litigation following periods of volatility in the market price of a company’s securities. Although there is no such shareholder litigation currently pending or threatened against the Company, such a suit against us could result in the incursion of substantial legal fees, potential liabilities and the diversion of management’s attention and resources from our business. Moreover, and as noted below, our shares are currently traded on the OTCBB and, further, are subject to the penny stock regulations. Price fluctuations in such shares are particularly volatile and subject to manipulation by market-makers, short-sellers and option traders.

We have not and do not intend to pay any cash dividends on our common shares and, consequently, our stockholders will not be able to receive a return on their shares unless they sell them.

We intend to retain any future earnings to finance the development and expansion of our business. We have not, and do not, anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common shares in the foreseeable future. Unless we pay dividends, our stockholders will not be able to receive a return on their shares unless they sell them.

A decline in the price of our common stock could affect our ability to raise further working capital, it may adversely impact our ability to continue operations and we may go out of business.

A prolonged decline in the price of our common stock could result in a reduction in the liquidity of our common stock and a reduction in our ability to raise capital. Because we may attempt to acquire a significant portion of the funds we need in order to conduct our planned operations through the sale of equity securities, or convertible debt instruments, a decline in the price of our common stock could be detrimental to our liquidity and our operations because the decline may cause investors to not choose to invest in our stock. If we are unable to raise the funds we require for all our planned operations, we may be forced to reallocate funds from other planned uses and may suffer a significant negative effect on our business plan and operations, including our ability to develop new products and continue our current operations. a result, our business may suffer, and not be successful and we may go out of business. We also might not be able to meet our financial obligations if we cannot raise enough funds through the sale of our common stock and we may be forced to go out of business.

Deer Valley will pay less than the then-prevailing market price for our common stock.

The common stock to be issued to Deer Valley pursuant to the Investment Agreement will be purchased at a 25% discount from the lowest volume weighted average price during the ten (10) trading days immediately prior to receipt by the Investor of the Put Notice. Deer Valley has a financial incentive to sell our common stock immediately upon receiving the shares to realize the profit equal to the difference between the discounted price and the market price. If Deer Valley sells the shares, the price of our common stock could decrease. If our stock price decreases, Deer Valley may have a further incentive to sell the shares of our common stock that it holds. These sales may have a further impact on our stock price.

Your ownership interest may be diluted and the value of our common stock may decline, if and when, we exercise our put rights pursuant to the Investment Agreement with Deer Valley.

Effective April 30 2012, and as amended May 1, 2012, we entered into a \$3,000,000 Investment Agreement with Fairhills, which was assigned to Deer Valley on November 6, 2012. Pursuant to the Investment Agreement, when we deem it necessary, we may raise capital through the private sale of our common stock to Deer Valley at a price equal to a 25% discount from the lowest volume weighted average price during the ten (10) trading days immediately prior to receipt by the Investor of the Put Notice. Because the put price is lower than the prevailing market price of our common stock, to the extent that the put right is exercised, your ownership interest may be diluted.

We are registering an aggregate of 18,000,000 shares of common stock to be issued under the Investment Agreement. The sale of such shares could depress the market price of our common stock.

We are registering an aggregate of 18,000,000 Shares of common stock under a registration statement for issuance pursuant to the Investment Agreement with Deer Valley. Notwithstanding Deer Valley's ownership limitation, the 18,000,000 Shares would represent approximately 25.7% of our shares of common stock outstanding immediately after our exercise of the put right under the Investment Agreement. The sale of these Shares into the public market by Deer Valley could depress the market price of our common stock.

At an assumed purchase price under the Investment Agreement of \$0.04595 (equal to 75% of the closing price of our common stock of \$0.0612 on May 25, 2012), we will be able to receive up to \$436,524 in gross proceeds, assuming the sale of the entire 9,500,000 Shares being registered hereunder pursuant to the Investment Agreement. At an assumed purchase price of \$0.04595 under the Investment Agreement, we would be required to register 55,788,357 additional shares to obtain the balance of \$2,563,475 under the Investment Agreement. . However, as the closing bid price of the Shares have dropped substantially since the date of the Investment Agreement, we will be required to

register far more than 55,788,357 additional Shares to obtain the balance of \$2,563,475 under the Investment Agreement. We are currently authorized to issue 800,000,000 shares of our common stock. Deer Valley has agreed to refrain from holding an amount of shares which would result in Deer Valley from owning more than 4.99% of the then-outstanding shares of our common stock at any one time. Due to the floating offering price, we are not able to determine the exact number of shares that we will issue under the Investment Agreement.

We may not have access to the full amount available under the Investment Agreement.

On October 1, 2012, the Company drew down \$15,000 in funds under the Investment Agreement and issued 620,000 Shares to Fairhills.

Our ability to continue to draw down funds and sell shares under the Investment Agreement requires that the registration statement continue to be effective. In addition, the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part registers 9,500,000 Shares issuable under the Investment Agreement, and our ability to access the Investment Agreement to sell any remaining shares issuable under the Investment Agreement is subject to our ability to prepare and file one or more additional registration statements registering the resale of these shares. These subsequent registration statements may be subject to review and comment by the staff of the SEC, and will require the consent of our independent registered public accounting firm. Therefore, the timing of effectiveness of these subsequent registration statements cannot be assured. The effectiveness of these subsequent registration statements is a condition precedent to our ability to sell the shares of common stock subject to these subsequent registration statements to Deer Valley under the Investment Agreement.

Certain Restrictions on the extent of puts and the delivery of advance notices may have little, if any, effect on the adverse impact of our issuance of shares in connection with the Investment Agreement, and as such, Deer Valley may sell a large number of shares, resulting in substantial dilution to the value of the shares held by existing shareholders.

Deer Valley has agreed, subject to certain exceptions listed in the Investment Agreement, to refrain from holding an amount of shares which would result in Deer Valley or its affiliates owning more than 4.99% of the then-outstanding shares of our common stock at any one time. These restrictions, however, do not prevent Deer Valley from selling shares of common stock received in connection with a put, and then receiving additional shares of common stock in connection with a subsequent put. In this way, Deer Valley could sell more than 4.99% of the outstanding common stock in a relatively short time frame while never holding more than 4.99% at one time.

#### ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our executive staff offices remotely from corporate offices in Las Vegas, Nevada, which are our principal offices. These offices provide mail, and the use of office facilities as required. The fees for these offices are approximately \$50 per month. Our sole director and officer, Rick Walchuk provides office space where he works in his country of residence, free of charge to the Company. Our office is located at 3651 Lindell Road, Ste. D#138, Las Vegas, Nevada. Our telephone number is 800-508-6149.

##### Clayton Valley Properties

##### Clayton Ridge and Ralston Basin (Mud Lake)

On May 31, 2011, we entered into a property acquisition agreement with GeoXplor Corp. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement; we acquired an option, as well as exploration rights, in certain unpatented mining claims located in Clayton Valley, Nye County, Nevada. Subsequently on October 27, 2011, we entered into an amended property acquisition agreement which amended and replaced the original agreement.

On June 20, 2012, we entered into an amended property acquisition agreement which amended and replaced the May 31, 2011 agreement and the October 27, 2011 agreement.



Under the amended agreement we amended and extended the terms for payments to GeoXplor Corp. in exchange for the issuance of additional shares

- \$75,000 on May 31, 2011 (paid);
  - \$25,000 on June 22, 2012 (paid);
  - \$25,000 on March 4, 2013;
  - \$150,000 on May 31, 2013;
  - \$100,000 on May 31, 2014;
  - 2,750,000 shares to be issued as follows:
    - (1) 500,000 shares of our common stock on execution of the Original Agreement (issued);
    - (2) 250,000 shares of our common stock on execution of the Amended Agreement (issued);
    - (3) 750,000 shares of our common stock on or before June 22, 2012; (issued)
    - (4) 500,000 shares of our common stock on or before the date two years from the date of the Original Agreement; and
    - (5) 500,000 shares of our common stock on or before the date three years from the date of the Original Agreement; and
- A 3.0% net value royalty on production of Lithium and other minerals from the Property measured by 3% of the gross proceeds less costs associated with production from the Property.
- The Company has the right to purchase up to 2% of the Net Value Royalty for \$1,000,000 for each 1%.

Should the Company or its assignee or a joint venture including the Company present a feasibility study recommending the mining of Lithium from the Property and authorize implementation of a mining plan or sell, option, assigns, disposes or alienates all or a portion of its interest in the Property, the Company shall pay GeoXplor an additional bonus of \$500,000 in cash or shares of the Company, at the sole election of GeoXplor.

Further, we have a required to expense not less than \$1,000,000 in exploration and development testing, from the Effective Date of the amended purchase agreement as follows:

\$100,000 during the first year;  
\$200,000 during the second year;  
\$300,000 during the third year; and  
\$400,000 during the fourth year.

If we are unable to make any of the share issuances or payments under the agreements with GeoXplor, the property rights will revert to GeoXplor.

During the fiscal year ended August 31, 2012, the Company made a cash payment in the amount of \$25,000 (2011-\$75,000) to GeoXplor.

During the period ended August 31, 2012, the Company issued 1,000,000 (2011-500,000) shares of common stock to GeoXplor.

During the twelve month period ended August 31, 2012, the Company paid \$122,848 (2011-\$104,823) to GeoXplor in respect to the gravity survey on the property and recorded this amount as an exploration expense.

Clayton Ridge Location and Access

The Clayton Ridge CR 1 – 15 claims are association placer claims in good standing on Bureau of Land Management land in Esmeralda County, Nevada. The Clayton Ridge mineral claims are located in the western part of Esmeralda County, Nevada, 38 miles southwest of Tonopah. The nearest town is Silver Peak, which has a population of approximately 200 and is accessible via State Highway 47.

Access to the property is via paved roads, US highway 95 from the town of Tonopah and Goldfield and direct access to the property is via secondary gravel and dirt roads. There are a few four-wheel-drive trails and tracks which turn off from that graded road and provide established paths to various parts of the claim block. Actual four wheel drive is rarely needed on those paths. The nearest rail and commercial airline service is to Las Vegas, NV approximately 190 miles to the southeast.

Water and grid-supplied electricity are available in close proximity.

## History of Operations

This property is currently without known reserves and the proposed property is exploratory in nature. There is insufficient information for a resource estimate of lithium brines on the property.

A reconnaissance gravity survey consisting of two lines was recently conducted over the Clayton Ridge claims by Hasbrouck Geophysics under the guidance of GeoXplor Corp. Jim Hasbrouck analyzed and interpreted the survey's data; the results indicated the presence of significant bedrock and elevation lows which clearly recommend further exploration of the property.





There is no history of lithium exploration on the property previous to GeoXplor Corp.

#### Present Condition and Plan of Exploration

On November 22, 2011, the Company determined to commence initial exploration on Clayton Ridge. The initial phase of the exploration program consisted of a complete gravity survey over the claim area to determine the depths of the basin and delineate troughs or traps that might contain economic values and concentrations of lithium brines. A total of about 125 gravity stations were used. The gravity data was acquired with a leased LaCoste and Romberg Model G gravity meter.

The Company is required to expend a total of \$100,000 in exploration on or before May 31, 2012 and this program fulfilled the Company's requirements for expenditures as the Company has expended a total of \$122,848 to GeoXplor in respect to the gravity survey on the property and recorded this amount as an exploration expense.

The Company has a requirement to expend a total of \$200,000 on exploration by June 20, 2013. It has not yet detailed any further exploration programs. A portion of the funds expended in 2012 which are in excess of the \$100,000 required expenditure may be credited towards this \$200,000 requirement.

#### Geology

Lithium is a locatable mineral according to the Code of Federal Regulations. Lithium should be located by lode claims where it occurs in bedrock and by placer claims where it occurs in alluvium. The claims cover a conceptual target for lithium brines. The concept is consistent with generally accepted data and theories about the formation of the extensive lithium brine resources in the area.

Lithium production by Foote Minerals (now Chemetall Foote) began in 1967 from brines pumped from the Clayton Valley region, the next major valley to the west beyond Clayton Ridge and about 10 miles west of the claim group.

A preliminary reconnaissance gravity geophysical survey conducted consisting of two lines was conducted over the CR Claims in August 2011 by GeoXplor Corp. so that initial modeling of bedrock depth and elevation could be conducted.

The modeled bedrock depth and elevation results show significant bedrock and elevation lows along the two lines. These lows, are probably connected, however the bedrock depth and elevation contour maps indicate a small saddle in between the two lines which is actually an artifact of the contouring algorithm and is not real (this occurs because the stations are nominally 600 meters apart while the lines are two kilometers apart). Although the modeling of the gravity data indicates that a substantial thickness of sediments exists in the middle of the valley between Clayton Ridge to the west and the Montezuma Range to the east, additional gravity surveys will need to be conducted in the area to determine if these bedrock and elevation lows become shallower to the south and/or north such that a closed basin exists.



The claims are located in the Basin and Range physiographic region which stretches from southern Oregon and Idaho to Mexico. It is characterized by extreme elevation changes between linear, north to northeasterly trending mountains and flat intermountain valleys or basins. Volcanism began as early as the Oligocene geologic epoch about 30 million years ago and with tectonic extension reaching full stride during the Miocene epoch about 17 million years ago.

Clayton Valley-Montezuma Range is underlain by a thick body of tuffaceous sediments, ranging from upper Miocene to Pliocene in age. The volcanic sequence has been named the Esmeralda formation and consists of approximately 15,000 feet of lacustrine volcanic sediments which include poorly sorted conglomerates and sandstones, limestone, mudstones and tuffaceous units. Fossils suggest a relatively fresh environment of deposition. Two major volcanic events were recorded in the Tertiary sediments. An early Pliocene volcanic episode is represented by a single welded tuff unit with an age of 22 million years and is exposed on the northern end of the Silver Peak Range. The tuff was ejected prior to the block faulting that disturbed the drainage of the Silver Peak region and created several closed basins into which the lower part of the Esmeralda formation was deposited.

A second period of volcanic eruptions with major faulting occurred during the middle Pliocene and resulted in the deformation of the lower Esmeralda sediments creating an angular unconformity. The oldest sediments above the unconformity were assigned to the upper Esmeralda unit and have been dated at 6.9 million years. The widespread ash fall tuff that crops out around Clayton Valley and the Montezuma peak area has the same age as the upper Esmeralda sediments (6.9 million years) and has been subjected to major faulting in the form of the Clayton Ridge as well as numerous other unnamed faults which created the present topography.

The uplift of the Sierra Nevada at the beginning of the Pleistocene caused the climate of the Basin and Range Province to become generally arid. Pluvial periods, correlative with the glacial stages in the highlands, resulted in increased runoff and formation of temporary lakes in the basins. The interpluvial periods were more arid and led to the concentration of the lake waters the remnants of which are the lithium- rich evaporites and brines.

#### Ralston Basin - Mud Lake Location and Access

The Mud Lake lithium project property is located nine miles southwest of Tonopah Nevada in the Ralston Valley. The Mud Lake property consists of twenty placer claims covering approximately 3200 acres in Nye County and is readily accessible year round.

## History of Operations

In 1979, the U.S. Department of Energy through the U.S. Geological Survey ("USGS") conducted a regional lithium project which included sampling, gravity and seismic surveys on the property.

A reconnaissance drill Hole (ML-17) was completed by the U.S. Department of Energy in September, 1979. The drill hole is located on the northern edge of the playa off of the Mudlake claims and penetrated mostly sand, layers of clay and tuffs that terminated in sandstone at 275 feet. Lithium values of the sediments ranged from 24 ppm to 75 ppm and averaged 33.5 ppm for the 28 samples analyzed.

## Present Condition and Plan of Exploration

The first phase of the exploration for the Mud Lake Basin would be the completion of a gravity survey to determine the basin sediments-basin interface and define the area with the thickest accumulation of the sediments. A reflective seismic survey would comprise the second phase of the Mud Lake exploration program. The seismic survey will define the brine layers in the basin sediments and provide definitive drill targets. This two phase program is anticipated to cost \$250,000. The Company does not intend to undertake exploration on Mud Lake until after they have completed the exploration on Clayton Ridge.

## Geology

The Ralston basin, which contains Mud Lake, appears to have similar geological features that provided both a source and trap for lithium brines found in Clayton Valley which is located 29 miles west from the property. Chemetall-Foote Corp's Silver Peak operation, located in Clayton Valley, is the only lithium brine producer in North America and has been in operation since 1966.

The Ralston basin drainage area covers 3189 square miles and contains centers of volcanic activity of the style that can form lithium sources for subsequent accumulation in a low point of the valley at Mud Lake. We intend to explore the property to determine if lithium evaporates and brine deposits have formed.

Mud Lake occupies a depression in the southern end of Ralston Valley. The basin is approximately 5 miles wide and 5 miles long and has a surface area of about 25 square miles. The playa is bounded by the Cactus Range and Monitor Hills to the east, Goldfields, Nevada to the south, Alkali Valley to the west, and Ralston Valley to the north.

Lithium is a locatable mineral according to the Code of Federal Regulations (Bays). Lithium should be located by lode claims where it occurs in bedrock and by placer claims where it occurs in alluvium. It should be noted that potash, which often occurs with lithium in the area, is only a leasable commodity

Lithium production by Foote Minerals (now Chemetall Foote) began in 1967 from brines pumped from Clayton Valley, the next major valley to the west beyond Clayton Ridge and about 10 miles west of the claim group.

Index of Geologic Terms

TERM	DEFINITION
Alluvium	sediment deposited by flowing water, especially soil formed in river valleys and deltas from material washed down by the river
Bedrock	the solid rock beneath a layer of soil, rock fragments, or gravel
Evaporate	Refers to any of a variety of individual minerals found in the sedimentary deposit of soluble salts that results from the evaporation of water
Interpluvial	comparatively dry and occurring between times of greater precipitation
Lacustrine	lakes that get filled up by the sediments brought down by the rivers and turn into plains in the course of time
magnetotellurics	is an electromagnetic geophysical method of imaging the earth's subsurface by measuring natural variations of electrical and magnetic fields at the Earth's surface.
Miocene	the epoch of geologic time, 24 million to 5 million years ago, during which the modern ocean currents were established and Antarctica became frozen
Mudstone	a grey sedimentary rock formed from mud, similar to shale but with less developed lamination
Pliocene	the epoch of geologic time, 5 million to 1.6 million years ago, during which a hominid species Homo erectus first appeared
Pluvial	a period of heavy rainfall
Sandstone	a sedimentary rock made up of particles of sand bound together with a mineral cement.
Tertiary	the period of geologic time, 65 million to 1.6 million years ago, during which mammals became dominant and modern plants evolved
Tuffaceous	Rock that contains greater than 50% tuff is considered tuffaceous
unconformity	a break in the continuity of sedimentary rocks resulting from erosion or cessation of deposition
Volcanism	the processes involved in the formation of volcanoes, and in the transfer of magma and volatile material from the interior of the Earth to its surface

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We know of no material, active or pending legal proceedings against our Company, nor of any proceedings that a governmental authority is contemplating against us.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not Applicable

## PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

## Market Price of and Dividends on the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

## Market Information

The Company's common stock is currently quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board (OTC/BB) under the trading symbol "NECA". Following is a report of high and low closing bid prices for each quarterly period for the fiscal years ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011.

Quarter	High (\$)	Low (\$)
4th Quarter ended 8/31/2012	0.07	0.02
3rd Quarter ended 5/31/2012	0.31	0.05
2nd Quarter ended 2/28/2012	0.44	0.20
1st Quarter ended 11/30/2011	0.48	0.25
4th Quarter ended 8/31/2011	0.35	0.35
3rd Quarter ended 5/31/2011	0.60	0.21
2nd Quarter ended 2/28/2011	0.30	0.05
1st Quarter ended 11/30/2010	0.75	0.06

The above information was provided by OTC Markets. The quotations provided may reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

## Holders

As of November 13, 2012, there were 22 record holders of the Company's common stock (which number does not include the number of stockholders whose shares are held by a brokerage house or clearing agency, but does include such brokerage houses or clearing agencies as one record holder).

## Dividends

We have never declared or paid dividends on our common stock. We intend to retain earnings, if any, to support the development of our business and therefore do not anticipate paying cash dividends for the foreseeable future. Payment of future dividends, if any, will be at the discretion of our board of directors after taking into account various factors, including current financial condition, operating results and current and anticipated cash needs.

## Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities; Use of Proceeds from Registered Securities

## Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities:

There were no unregistered securities to report which were sold or issued by the Company without the registration of these securities under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on exemptions from such registration requirements, within the period covered by this report, which have not been previously included in a Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or a Current Report on Form 8-K.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The Company is a smaller reporting company and is not required to provide this information.

## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### Forward Looking Statements

This current report contains forward-looking statements relating to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may", "should", "intends", "expects", "plans", "anticipates", "believes", "estimates", "predicts", "potential", or "continue" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. These statements are only predictions and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our or our industry's actual results, levels of activity or performance to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity or performance expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity or performance. You should not place undue reliance on these statements, which speak only as of the date that they were made. These cautionary statements should be considered with any written or oral forward-looking statements that we may issue in the future. Except as required by applicable law, including the securities laws of the United States, we do not intend to update any of the forward-looking statements to conform these statements to actual results, later events or circumstances or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

In this report unless otherwise specified, all dollar amounts are expressed in United States dollars and all references to "common shares" refer to the common shares of our capital stock.

The management's discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP").

### Summary

The Company continues to pursue its primary goals of providing internet marketing and business solutions for a variety of international customers.

### Liquidity & Capital Resources

We are an exploration stage company engaged in the exploration of mineral properties. To date, we have not generated any revenues.

Cash on hand at August 31, 2012 was \$78,416 as compared to \$19,992 as of August 31, 2011. Our total liabilities were \$210,921 as compared to \$12,209 at August 30, 2011. This significant change was as a result of all a short term loan in the amount of \$200,000 from Fairhills.

In order to meet all of the current commitments and fund operations for the next twelve months the Company estimates it will require a minimum of \$700,000. We have a payment due to Fairhills of \$200,000 pursuant to an outstanding loan. We intend to undertake an exploration program on Clayton Ridge of approximately \$200,000 and we have \$175,000 for property payments. We have allocated an additional \$125,000 for operations, which may include the acquisition of additional properties as well as general and administrative costs. The Company believes it can raise sufficient funding to meet its ongoing obligations for the next twelve months. On November 22, 2011, we entered into a financing agreement with one non-US investor pursuant to which, the investor will make available of up

to \$1,000,000 by way of advances until the completion date of November 22, 2012. The completion date may be extended for an additional term of up to twelve months at the option of the Company or the investor upon written notice on or before the completion date. The Company did not provide written notice as at the completion date. It intends to request that the investor extend the completion date for a further twelve months, however, it is unknown whether the investor will agree to extend.



We do not have sufficient funds to meet our next twelve month obligations. Our ability to meet our financial liabilities and commitments is primarily dependent upon the continued issuance of equity to new stockholders, the ability to borrow funds, and ultimately upon our ability to achieve and maintain profitable operations. There can be no assurance that additional financing will be available to us when needed or, if available, that it can be obtained on commercially reasonable terms. We do not currently have sufficient capital to meet our obligations as they come due and our assumption that we can raise the sufficient funding cannot be relied upon. Currently we are in default on our loan agreement with Fairhills, although we have not received notice of default at this time.

The issuance of additional equity securities by us could result in a significant dilution in the equity interests of our current stockholder. Obtaining commercial loans, assuming those loans would be available, will increase our liabilities and future cash commitments.

#### Results of Operations

We recorded a net loss of (\$474,997) for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2012 as compared to a net loss of (\$692,217) for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2011. The decrease in net loss results from an impairment of mineral properties in the amount of \$610,000 during fiscal 2011 as compared to an impairment on only \$130,000 during fiscal 2012, offset by an increase in exploration expenses from \$Nil (2011) to \$122,848 (2012), an increase in professional fees from \$39,858 (2011) to \$74,120 (2012), an increase in management fees from \$25,000 (2011) to \$45,000 (2012) and an increase in general and administration from \$13,893 (2011) to \$83,720 (2012).

From inception we have a net loss of \$1,266,041.

Our net loss per share remained constant at \$0.01 during fiscal 2011 and 2012.

#### Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material to stockholders.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of our financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates and judgments which are based on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. The results of their evaluation form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions and circumstances. Our significant accounting policies are more fully discussed in the Notes to our Financial Statements.

#### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company is a smaller reporting company and is not required to provide this information.

#### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

All financial information required by this Item is attached hereto below beginning on page F-1.



AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Balance Sheets as of August 31, 2012 and 2011	F-2
Statements of Operations for the fiscal years ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011, and the period from inception to August 31, 2012	F-3
Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for period from inception to August 31, 2012	F-4
Statements of Cash Flows for the fiscal years ended August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011, and the period from inception to August 31, 2012	F-5
Notes to Financial Statements	F-6 – F-13

Silberstein Ungar, PLLC CPAs and Business  
Advisors

Phone (248) 203-0080  
Fax (248) 281-0940  
30600 Telegraph Road, Suite 2175  
Bingham Farms, MI 48025-4586  
www.sucpas.com

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors  
New America Energy Corp.  
Las Vegas, Nevada

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of New America Energy Corp. (an exploration stage company) as of August 31, 2012 and 2011 and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for the years then ended and for the period from May 8, 2006 (inception) to August 31, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company has determined that it is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of New America Energy Corp. as of August 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its operations and cash flows for the years then ended and for the period from May 8, 2006 (inception) to August 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company has negative working capital, has not yet received revenue from sales of products or services, and has incurred losses from operations. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans with regard to these matters are described in Note 2. The accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Silberstein Ungar, PLLC

Silberstein Ungar, PLLC  
Bingham Farms, Michigan  
December 10, 2012

F-1

---

## NEW AMERICA ENERGY CORP.

(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)

## BALANCE SHEETS

AS OF AUGUST 31, 2012 AND 2011

	2012	2011
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current Assets		
Cash and equivalents	\$78,416	\$19,992
Deferred financing costs, net	2,391	-
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$80,807</b>	<b>\$19,992</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$9,221	\$11,209
Accrued interest	1,700	0
Accounts payable – related parties	-	1,000
Short term loan	200,000	-
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>210,921</b>	<b>12,209</b>
Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)		
Common Stock, \$.001 par value, 75,000,000 shares authorized		
52,692,133 and 51,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at August 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011, respectively	52,692	51,000
Additional paid-in capital	1,083,235	747,827
Deficit accumulated during the exploration stage	(1,266,041)	(791,044 )
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	(130,114 )	7,783
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>	<b>\$80,807</b>	<b>\$19,992</b>

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

## NEW AMERICA ENERGY CORP.

(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)

## STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED AUGUST 31, 2012 AND 2011

FOR THE PERIOD FROM MAY 8, 2006 (INCEPTION) TO AUGUST 31, 2012

	Year Ended		Period from
	August 31,		May 8,
	2012	2011	(Inception)
			to
			August 31,
			2012
REVENUES	\$-	\$-	\$-
OPERATING EXPENSES			
Impairment of mineral properties	130,000	610,000	740,000
Mineral license fees	-	3,466	3,466
Exploration expenses	122,848	-	122,848
Professional fees	74,120	39,858	212,805
Management Fees	45,000	25,000	70,000
General and administration	83,720	13,893	97,613
Total Operating Expenses	455,688	692,217	1,246,732
Loss from operation	(455,688 )	(692,217 )	(1,246,732)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)			
Financing costs	(17,609 )	-	(17,609 )
Interest expenses	(1,700 )	-	(1,700 )
Total Other Income (Expense)	(19,309 )	0	(19,309 )
LOSS BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	(474,997 )	(692,217 )	(1,266,041)
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	-	-	-
NET LOSS	\$(474,997 )	\$(692,217 )	\$(1,266,041)
NET LOSS PER SHARE: BASIC AND DILUTED	\$(0.01 )	\$(0.01 )	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING: BASIC AND DILUTED	51,798,635	51,316,027	

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

NEW AMERICA ENERGY CORP.  
 (AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
 STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)  
 FOR THE PERIOD FROM MAY 8, 2006 (INCEPTION) TO AUGUST 31, 2012

	Common Stock		Additional Paid in Capital	Deficit	
	Shares	Amount		Accumulated During the Exploration stage	Total
Issuance of common stock for cash @ \$0.001	53,750,000	\$2,150	\$40,850	\$ -	\$43,000
Net loss for the period ended August 31, 2006				(43,985 )	(43,985 )
Balance, August 31, 2006	53,750,000	2,150	40,850	(43,985 )	(985 )
Net loss for the period ended August 31, 2007				(25,000 )	(25,000 )
Balance, August 31, 2007	53,750,000	2,150	40,850	(68,985 )	(25,985 )
Net loss for the period ended August 31, 2008				(9,000 )	(9,000 )
Balance, August 31, 2008	53,750,000	2,150	40,850	(77,985 )	(34,985 )
Net loss for the period ended August 31, 2009				(10,000 )	(10,000 )
Balance, August 31, 2009	53,750,000	2,150	40,850	(87,985 )	(44,985 )
Net loss for the period ended August 31, 2010				(10,842 )	(10,842 )
Balance, August 31, 2010	53,750,000	2,150	40,850	(98,827 )	(55,827 )
Stock split		51,600	(51,600 )	-	-
Issuance of common stock for cash @ \$0.30	750,000	750	224,250	-	225,000
Issuance of common stock for option of mineral properties	1,500,000	1,500	473,500	-	475,000
Cancellation of common stock	(5,000,000 )	(5,000 )	5,000	-	-
Related party loan forgiven	-	-	54,985	-	54,985
Accrued expenses forgiven	-	-	842	-	842
Net loss for the period ended August 31, 2011				(692,217 )	(692,217 )
Balance, August 31, 2011	51,000,000	51,000	747,827	(791,044 )	7,783
Issuance of common stock for option of mineral properties	1,000,000	1,000	104,000	-	105,000
Issuance of common stock for cash	582,133	582	199,418	-	200,000
Issuance of common stock for consulting services	110,000	110	31,990	-	32,100
Net loss for the period ended August 31, 2012				(474,997 )	(474,997 )
Balance, August 31, 2012	52,692,133	\$52,692	\$1,083,235	\$ (1,266,041 )	\$(130,114 )

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.





NEW AMERICA ENERGY CORP.  
 (AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
 STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
 FOR THE YEARS ENDED AUGUST 31, 2012 AND 2011  
 FOR THE PERIOD FROM MAY 8, 2006 (INCEPTION) TO AUGUST 31, 2012

	Year Ended August 31,		Period from May 8, 2006 (Inception) to August 31, 2012
	2012	2011	2012
<b>Cash Flows From Operating Activities</b>			
Net loss	\$(474,997 )	\$(692,217 )	\$(1,266,041)
Impairment on mineral property	130,000	610,000	740,000
Shares issued for consulting services	32,100	-	32,100
Amortization of financing costs	17,609	-	17,609
Accrued interest	1,700	-	1,700
Accounts payable	(2,988 )	12,209	10,063
<b>Cash Flows Used by Operating Activities</b>	<b>(296,576 )</b>	<b>(70,008 )</b>	<b>(464,569 )</b>
<b>Cash Flows From Investing Activities</b>			
Purchase of mineral property claims	(25,000 )	(135,000 )	(160,000 )
<b>Net Cash Used by Investing Activities</b>	<b>(25,000 )</b>	<b>(135,000 )</b>	<b>(160,000 )</b>
<b>Cash Flows From Financing Activities</b>			
Proceeds from related parties	-	-	54,985
Short term loan	200,000	-	200,000
Financing costs for short term loan	(20,000 )	-	(20,000 )
Proceeds from sales of common stock	200,000	225,000	468,000
<b>Cash Flows Provided By Financing Activities</b>	<b>380,000</b>	<b>225,000</b>	<b>702,985</b>
<b>Net Increase In Cash</b>	<b>58,424</b>	<b>19,992</b>	<b>78,416</b>
Cash, beginning of period	19,992	-	-
Cash, end of period	\$78,416	\$19,992	\$78,416
<b>Supplemental Cash Flow Information</b>			
Interest paid	-	-	-
Income taxes paid	-	-	-
	\$-	\$-	\$-
<b>Supplemental non-cash financing activity:</b>			
Related party loan forgiven as additional paid in capital	\$-	\$(54,985 )	\$(54,985 )
Accrued expense forgiven as additional paid in capital	-	(842 )	(842 )
Shares issued to acquire option on mineral property	105,000	475,000	580,000
	\$105,000	\$419,173	\$524,173

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

F-5

---

NEW AMERICA ENERGY CORP.  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
AUGUST 31, 2012

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Business

New America Energy Corp (formerly “Atheron, Inc.”) was incorporated in Nevada on May 8, 2006 as a development stage company, initially developing a technology for ethanol-methanol gasoline. The Company did not progress the development of this technology.

On November 5, 2010, we underwent a change of control and the Company’s newly appointed sole director and majority shareholder approved a name change to New America Energy Corp. and a twenty-five (25) new for one (1) old forward stock split of the Company’s issued and outstanding shares of common stock, such that its issued and outstanding shares of common stock increased from 2,150,000 to 53,750,000.

On November 16, 2010, the Nevada Secretary of State accepted for filing of the Certificate of Amendment to the Company’s Articles of Incorporation to change our name from Atheron Inc. to New America Energy Corp.

The forward stock split and name change has become effective with the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board at the opening of trading on December 1, 2010 under the Company’s new symbol “NECA”. Our new CUSIP number is 641872 106.

The effect of the stock split has been recognized retroactively in the stockholders’ equity accounts as of May 8, 2006, the date of our inception, and in all shares and per share data in the financial statements.

On February 3, 2011 we entered into property acquisition agreements with First Liberty Power Corp. (“FLPC”), and GeoXplor Inc. (“GeoXplor”). Pursuant to the terms of the agreements, we acquired an option, as well as exploration rights, in certain unpatented mining claims located in Southern Utah which we refer to the “Uravan Property”. On May 31, 2011, we amended the agreement to extend the payment date for an additional 120 days. The Company did not pay the required option payments under the agreements and the property was lost on September 30, 2011.

On May 31, 2011, we entered into a property acquisition agreement with GeoXplor Corp. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, we acquired an option, as well as exploration rights, in certain unpatented mining claims located in Clayton Valley, Nye County, Nevada. Subsequently on October 27, 2011, we entered into an amended property acquisition agreement whereby we acquired additional claims. Further on June 20, 2012, we entered into an amended property acquisition agreement which amended and replaced the May 31, 2011 agreement and the October 27, 2011 agreement. Under the amended agreement we amended and extended the terms for payments to GeoXplor Corp. in exchange for the issuance of additional shares:

As a result of these agreements, the Company will be focused exclusively on the acquisition and development of mineral resource properties.

Exploration Stage Company

The Company is an Exploration Stage Company, as defined by Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 915, Development Stage Entities. The Company’s principal business is

the acquisition and exploration of mineral resources. The Company has not presently determined whether its properties contain mineral reserves that are economically recoverable.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

F-6

---

NEW AMERICA ENERGY CORP.

(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
AUGUST 31, 2012

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

New America Energy Corp's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, deferred financing costs, accounts payable and accrued expenses, accrued interest and loans payable. The carrying amount of these financial instruments approximates fair value due either to length of maturity or interest rates that approximate prevailing market rates unless otherwise disclosed in these financial statements.

Mineral Properties Costs

Mineral exploration and development costs are accounted for using the successful efforts method of accounting.

Property acquisition costs - Mineral property acquisition costs are capitalized as mineral exploration properties. Upon achievement of all conditions necessary for reserves to be classified as proved, the associated acquisition costs are reclassified to prove properties

Exploration costs - Geological and geophysical costs and the costs of carrying and retaining undeveloped properties are expensed as incurred.

Impairment of Mineral Properties

Unproved mineral properties are assessed at each reporting period for impairment of value, and a loss is recognized at the time of the impairment by providing an impairment allowance. An asset would be impaired if the undiscounted cash flows were less than its carrying value. Impairments are measured by the amount by which the carrying value exceeds its fair value. Because the Company uses the successful efforts method, the Company assesses its properties individually for impairment, instead of on an aggregate pool of costs. Impairment of unproved properties is based on the facts and circumstances surrounding each lease and is recognized based on management's evaluation. Management's evaluation follows a two-step process where (1) recoverability of the carrying value of the asset is reviewed to determine if there is sufficient value recoverable to support the capitalized value at the report date; and, (2) If assets fail the recoverability test, impairment testing is conducted, including the evaluation of various criteria such as: prior history of successful operations; production currently in place and/or future projected cash flows (if any); reserve reports or evaluations from which management can prepare future cash flow analyses; the Company's ability to monetize the asset(s) under evaluation; and, Management's intent regarding future development.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are computed using the asset and liability method. Under the asset and liability method, deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial reporting and tax bases

of assets and liabilities and are measured using the currently enacted tax rates and laws. A valuation allowance is provided for the amount of deferred tax assets that, based on available evidence, are not expected to be realized.

#### Basic Loss Per Share

Basic loss per share has been calculated based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period.

F-7

---

NEW AMERICA ENERGY CORP.

(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
AUGUST 31, 2012

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The Company does not expect the adoption of recently issued accounting pronouncements to have a significant impact on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flow.

NOTE 2 – LIQUIDITY AND GOING CONCERN

We have negative working capital, and have incurred losses since inception, and have not yet generated revenues. As we are in the exploration stage with our recently acquired mineral claims we do not expect to generate revenues for some period of time, if ever. These factors create substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustment that might be necessary if the Company is unable to continue as a going concern.

The ability of the Company to continue as a going concern is dependent on the Company generating cash from the sale of its common stock and/or obtaining debt financing and attaining future profitable operations. Management's plans include selling its equity securities and obtaining debt financing to fund its capital requirement and ongoing operations; however, there can be no assurance the Company will be successful in these efforts.

NOTE 3 – MINERAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

A) Uravan Agreement

On February 3, 2011 we entered into and closed property acquisition agreements with First Liberty Power Corp., and GeoXplor Inc. Pursuant to the terms of the agreements, we acquired an option, as well as exploration rights, in certain unpatented mining claims located in Southern Utah which we refer to the Uravan property. The Company failed to make the payments as required and as of September 30, 2011, the option agreement terminated and the Company lost all rights and interest in and to the Uravan property.

B) Clayton Valley Agreement

On May 31, 2011, we entered into a property acquisition agreement with GeoXplor Corp. (the "Original Agreement") Pursuant to the terms of the agreement; we acquired an option, as well as exploration rights, in certain unpatented mining claims located in Clayton Valley, Nye County, Nevada. Subsequently on October 27, 2011, we entered into an amended property acquisition agreement which amended and replaced the original agreement. Further on June 20, 2012, we entered into an amended property acquisition agreement which amended and replaced the May 31, 2011 agreement and the October 27, 2011 agreement. Under the amended agreement we amended and extended the terms for payments to GeoXplor Corp. in exchange for the issuance of additional shares:

\$75,000 on May 31, 2011; (paid)  
\$25,000 on May 31, 2012; (paid)  
\$25,000 on March 4, 2013;  
\$150,000 on May 31, 2013;



\$100,000 on May 31, 2014;  
500,000 shares of our common stock on execution of the agreement; (issued)  
250,000 shares of our common stock on execution of the amended agreement; (issued)  
750,000 shares of our common stock on or before June 22, 2012; (issued)  
500,000 shares of our common stock on or before the date two years from the date of  
the Original agreement;  
500,000 shares of our common stock on or before the date three years from the date of  
the Original agreement; and  
A 3.0% net smelter royalty on all net revenue derived from production from the Nye  
County Property.

NEW AMERICA ENERGY CORP.  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
AUGUST 31, 2012

NOTE 3 – MINERAL PROPERTY RIGHTS (continued)

We have also committed to a 4 year work program of no less than \$1,000,000 with \$100,000 to be spent in the first year, \$200,000 during the second year, \$300,000 during the third year and \$400,000 during the fourth year.

If we are unable to make any of the share issuances or payments under the agreements with GeoXplor, the property rights would revert to GeoXplor.

During the fiscal year ended August 31, 2012, the Company made cash payment in the amount of \$25,000 (2011-\$75,000) to GeoXplor, which amount was capitalized as option costs – mineral properties. At the close of the fiscal year ended August 31, 2012, the Company evaluated the recoverability of the amount paid for the option and determined to impair the amount in full, as the Company is currently in the prospecting phase, with no proven or probable reserves having yet been determined.

During the period ended August 31, 2012, the Company issued 1,000,000 (2011-500,000) shares of common stock to GeoXplor. The issuance of 1,000,000 shares of common stock was valued at the market value of the stock on the issuance date, or \$105,000 (2011-\$175,000).

At August 31, 2012, the Company recorded the amount of \$130,000 (2011-\$250,000) as an impairment of mineral properties as no proven or probable reserves have yet been determined and the Company is currently in the prospecting phase, with no proven or probable reserves having yet been determined.

During the twelve month period ended August 31, 2012, the Company paid \$122,848 (2011-\$104,823) to GeoXplor in respect to the gravity survey on the property and recorded this amount as an exploration expense.

On the transaction date the Company capitalized the entire amount as option costs – mineral properties. At the close of the fiscal year ended August 31, 2012, the Company evaluated the recoverability of the amounts paid for the option and determined to impair the \$130,000 in full, as the Company is currently in the prospecting phase, with no proven or probable reserves having yet been determined.

NOTE 4 – COMMITMENT

On January 18, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement with Midsouth Capital Inc. (“Midsouth”), whereby Midsouth was to seek financing for the Company. Midsouth introduced the Company to Fairhills Capital. Midsouth will receive certain commissions for the financings with Fairhills Capital pursuant to an agreement whereby Midsouth is the Company’s non-exclusive financial advisor, investment banker and placement agent for the purpose of assisting the Company to raise capital. Pursuant to the Midsouth Agreement, the Company agreed to: (i) issuance of 80,000 shares of the Company’s common stock; (ii) a success fee of 10% of the amount for any capital raised; (iii) 150,000 restricted shares, with piggy back registration rights, of the Company’s common stock per \$1,000,000 of capital raised for a period of two years.

Pursuant to our agreement with Midsouth we have issued Midsouth 80,000 shares of our common stock, and paid them a stock fee of 30,000 additional shares of our common stock and a cash fee of \$20,000 based on 10% of the initial \$200,000 funded by Fairhills.

The Company recorded the amount of \$32,100 as professional fees based on the market value of the common stock on the date of execution of the Midsouth Agreement. The amount of \$20,000 was recorded as deferred financing costs which were amortized for the period as financing expenses.

F-9

---

NEW AMERICA ENERGY CORP.

(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
AUGUST 31, 2012

NOTE 5 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

On November 1, 2010, the Company entered into a three-year consulting agreement with Rick Walchuk, a director and officer of the Company. Under the terms of the agreement, Mr. Walchuk was paid \$2,500 a month, which increased to \$4,000 a month commencing June 1, 2012, payable on the 1st of each month, pursuant to the services to be rendered by Mr. Walchuk. During the twelve month period ended August 31, 2012, Mr. Walchuk invoiced the Company \$51,506, which included \$34,500 as management fees and \$17,006 for expenses. The Company made cash payments to pay these amounts in full.

On December 23, 2010, the Company's then sole director, Rick Walchuk cancelled and returned to treasury 5,000,000 post-split common shares.

On January 30, 2012, Mr. Alexandros Tsingos, was appointed a director and Secretary of the Company. During the period ended August 31, 2012, Mr. Tsingos invoiced the Company \$12,500, which included \$10,500 as management fees and \$2,000 for expenses. The Company made cash payments to pay these amounts in full.

NOTE 6 – FINANCING AGREEMENT

1. On November 22, 2011, the Company entered into a financing agreement with one non-US investor pursuant to which, the investor will make available of up to \$1,000,000 by way of advances until November 22, 2012 (the "Completion Date") in accordance with the terms of the financing agreement. The Completion Date may be extended for an additional term of up to twelve months at the option of the Company or the investor upon written notice on or before the Completion Date in accordance with the notice provisions of the Financing Agreement. The Company will issue, within ten (10) Banking Days following the date of the receipt by the Company of any advance under the Financing Agreement, common shares of the Company (each a "Share") at the Share Price. Upon receipt of an advance from the investor under the terms of the Financing Agreement, the Company will issue to the investor that number of shares of the Company at a price equal 90% of the average of the closing price of the Company's common stock, for the five (5) Banking Days immediately preceding the date of the advance. As of the twelve month period ended August 31, 2012, the Company has drawn down a total of \$200,000 and issued a total of 582,133 shares of common stock to the investor.

2. On March 28, 2012 we entered into an investment agreement (the "Investment Agreement") with Fairhills Capital Offshore Ltd., a Cayman Islands exempted company ("Fairhills"). Pursuant to the terms of the Investment Agreement, Fairhills has committed to purchase up to Three Million Dollars (\$3,000,000) of our common stock over a period of up to thirty-six (36) months.

On May 1, 2012, we entered into an amendment to the Investment Agreement (the "Amendment"). Pursuant to the Amendment, the purchase price of the shares shall be equal to a discount of Twenty-Five percent (25%) percent from the lowest volume weighted average price during the ten (10) trading days immediately prior to receipt by Fairhills of a put notice (as defined in the Investment Agreement. In connection with the Investment Agreement, we also entered into a registration rights agreement (the "Registration Rights Agreement") with Fairhills. Pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement, we are obligated to file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") covering Eighteen Million (18,000,000) shares of the common stock underlying the Investment Agreement

within 21 days after the closing of the Investment Agreement. In addition, we are obligated to use all commercially reasonable efforts to have the registration statement declared effective by the SEC within 120 days after the closing of the Investment Agreement and maintain the effectiveness of such registration statement until termination in accordance with the Investment Agreement.

At an assumed purchase price under the Investment Agreement of \$0.04595 (equal to 75% of the closing price of our common stock of \$0.0612 on May 25, 2012), we will be able to receive up to \$436,525 in gross proceeds, assuming the sale of the entire 9,500,000 Shares being registered hereunder pursuant to the Investment Agreement. At an assumed purchase price of \$0.04595 under the Investment Agreement, we would be required to register 55,788,357 additional shares to obtain the balance of \$2,563,475 under the Investment Agreement. Fairhills has agreed to refrain from holding an amount of shares which would result in Fairhills from owning more than 4.99% of the then-outstanding shares of our common stock at any one time.

F-10

---

NEW AMERICA ENERGY CORP.  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
AUGUST 31, 2012

NOTE 6 – FINANCING AGREEMENT (continued)

At the time the transaction was negotiated between the parties. The price of the stock was substantially higher than the current trading price and based upon same we believed that we would be able to receive the full amount of the financing. However since such time the market value of our common stock has decreased and we do not believe that we will receive the full amount under the Investment Agreement unless there is an increase in the price of our common stock.

On July 5, 2012, we entered into a second amendment of the investment agreement with Fairhills Capital solely to change the wording of Section 7.7 of the Investment Agreement: to read “unless the SEC's concerns have been addressed and Investor is reasonably satisfied that the SEC no longer is considering or intends to take such action”.

On March 28, 2012, we entered into a debt instrument (the “Loan”) with Fairhills whereby Fairhills Capital provided us with a \$200,000 loan which is due by September 28, 2012 and carries a 2% annual rate of interest. The note is not convertible into our common stock and we have agreed that we will not use the funds raised in the Fairhills financing to repay this note. The note was secured by 3,333,333 shares of our restricted common stock owned by our director and officer, Rick Walchuk. These shares shall be held in escrow by Fairhills Capital’s counsel and will be forfeited to Fairhills if we default on the note.

The Company paid \$20,000 in financing fees in respect to the funds advanced by Fairhills (see Note 4 - Commitment).

NOTE 7 – CAPITAL STOCK

On November 9, 2011, the Company issued 250,000 shares of common stock pursuant to the mineral property assignment and acquisition agreement and the mineral property option agreement. (See Note 3(b) – Mineral property rights – Clayton Valley Agreement).

On December 1, 2011, the Company drew down \$100,000 pursuant to the financing agreement described above in Note 6, and on December 22, 2011 the Company issued a total of 281,294 shares of common stock of the Company at a price of \$0.3555 per share.

On December 15, 2011, the Company drew down \$50,000 pursuant to the financing agreement described above in Note 6, and on February 3, 2012 the Company issued a total of 147,362 shares of common stock of the Company at a price of \$0.377 per share.

On January 18, 2012, the Company issued 80,000 shares of common stock pursuant to the Midsouth Agreement. (See Note 4 – commitment).

On February 22, 2012, the Company drew down \$50,000 and issued a total of 153,477 shares of common stock of the Company at a price of \$0.377 per share.

On April 25, 2012, the Company issued 30,000 shares of common stock pursuant to the Midsouth Agreement. (See Note 4 – commitment).

On June 22, 2012 the Company issued 750,000 shares of common stock of the Company to GeoXplor pursuant to the amended purchase agreement. (See Note 3(b) – Mineral property rights – Clayton Valley Agreement).

F-11

---

## NEW AMERICA ENERGY CORP.

(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
AUGUST 31, 2012

## NOTE 8 – INCOME TAXES

The Company provides for income taxes under FASB ASC 740, Accounting for Income Taxes. FASB ASC 740 requires the use of an asset and liability approach in accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded based on the differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities and the tax rates in effect currently.

FASB ASC 740 requires the reduction of deferred tax assets by a valuation allowance, if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In the Company's opinion, it is uncertain whether they will generate sufficient taxable income in the future to fully utilize the net deferred tax asset. Accordingly, a valuation allowance equal to the deferred tax asset has been recorded. The total gross deferred tax asset at August 31, 2012 is \$430,454, which is calculated by multiplying a 34% estimated tax rate by the cumulative net operating loss (NOL). Changes in the gross deferred tax asset for the past two fiscal years is as follows:

For the period ended August 31,	2012	2011
Book loss for the year	\$(474,997 )	\$(692,217 )
Adjustments:	-	-
Tax loss for the year	(474,997 )	(692,217 )
Estimated effective tax rate	34 %	34 %
Deferred tax asset	\$161,500	\$235,353

The total valuation allowance at August 31, 2012 is \$430,454. Details of changes in the valuation allowance for the last two periods are as follows:

For the period ended August 31, 2012	2012	2011
Deferred tax asset	\$161,500	\$235,353
Valuation allowance	(161,500 )	(235,353 )
Current taxes payable	-	-
Income tax expense	\$-	\$-

Below is a chart showing the estimated corporate federal net operating loss (NOL) and the year in which it will expire. The total NOL carryforward as of August 31, 2012 was \$1,266,041 as itemized below:

Year	Amount \$	Expiration
2006	43,985	2026
2007	25,000	2027
2008	9,000	2028
2009	10,000	2029
2010	10,842	2030
2011	692,217	2031
2012	474,997	2032
	1,266,041	



NOTE 9- SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On October 1, 2012, the Company drew down \$15,000 in funds under the Investment Agreement and issued 620,000 Shares to Fairhills and paid a commission of \$1,500 to MidSouth.

On November 6, 2012, Fairhills assigned all of its rights, duties, and obligations under the Investment Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement, and other associated documents (the "Assignment"), to Deer Valley Management, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Deer Valley"), and the Company consented to the Assignment. Fairhills and Deer Valley share the same ownership and management and there will not be any substantial change to our arrangement under the Investment Agreement as a result of the Assignment.

F-12

---

NEW AMERICA ENERGY CORP.  
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
AUGUST 31, 2012

NOTE 9- SUBSEQUENT EVENTS (continued)

On September 28, 2012, the Fairhills note was due and payable. The Company does not currently have sufficient funds to pay the note due. The note is not convertible into our common stock and we have agreed that we will not use the funds raised in the Fairhills/Deer Valley financing to repay this note. The note is secured by 3,333,333 shares of the Company's restricted common stock owned by the Company's director and officer, Rick Walchuk, which are being held in escrow by Fairhills's counsel. As the Company is in default of this loan, Fairhills has the right to the shares held in escrow, however, at the current price of the shares, the sale of the escrowed shares will not be sufficient to retire the debt. As of the filing of this report, of which these financial statements form a part, Fairhills has not exercised its right to claim such shares held in escrow.

In accordance with ASC Topic 855-10, the Company has analyzed its operations subsequent to October 31, 2012 to the date these financial statements were issued, and has determined that it does not have any material subsequent events to disclose in these financial statements other than the events described above.

## ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

In the fiscal years ended August 31, 2012 and 2011, there have been no changes in the Company's accounting policies, nor have there been any disagreements with our accountants.

### ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, under supervision and with the participation of the Company's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined under Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Based upon this evaluation, the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that, as of August 31, 2012, because of the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting ("ICFR") described below, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective.

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that required information to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that required information to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

#### Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined under Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 14d-14(f). Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations and may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can only provide reasonable assurance with respect to financial reporting reliability and financial statement preparation and presentation. In addition, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to risk that controls become inadequate because of changes in conditions and that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of August 31, 2012. In making the assessment, management used the criteria issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on its assessment, management concluded that, as of August 31, 2012, our internal control over financial reporting was not effective and that material weaknesses in ICFR existed as more fully described below.

As defined by Auditing Standard No. 5, "An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting that is Integrated with an Audit of Financial Statements" established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB"), a material weakness is a deficiency or combination of deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. In connection with the assessment described above, management identified the following control deficiencies that represent material weaknesses as of August 31, 2012:

- 1) Lack of an independent audit committee or audit committee financial expert, and no independent directors. We do not have any members of the Board who are independent directors and we do not have an audit committee. These factors may be counter to corporate governance practices as defined by the various stock exchanges and may lead to less supervision over management;
- 2) Inadequate staffing and supervision within our bookkeeping operations. We have one consultant involved in bookkeeping functions, who provides two staff members. The relatively small number of people who are responsible for bookkeeping functions and the fact that they are from the same firm of consultants prevents us from segregating duties within our internal control system. The inadequate segregation of duties is a weakness because it could lead to the untimely identification and resolution of accounting and disclosure matters or could lead to a failure to perform timely and effective reviews. This may result in a failure to detect errors in spreadsheets, calculations or assumptions used to compile the financial statements and related disclosures as filed with the SEC;

- 3) Outsourcing of our accounting operations. Because there are no employees in our administration, we have outsourced all of our accounting functions to an independent firm. The employees of this firm are managed by supervisors within the firm and are not answerable to the Company's management. This is a material weakness because it could result in a disjunction between the accounting policies adopted by our Board of Directors and the accounting practices applied by the independent firm;
- 4) Insufficient written policies and procedures for accounting and financial reporting with respect to the requirements and application of US GAAP and SEC disclosure requirements;
- 5) Ineffective controls over period end financial disclosure and reporting processes.

#### Management's Remediation Initiatives

As of August 31, 2012, management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Based on that evaluation, it was concluded that during the period covered by this report, the internal controls and procedures were not effective due to deficiencies that existed in the design or operation of our internal controls over financial reporting. However, management believes these weaknesses did not have an effect on our financial results. During the course of their evaluation, we did not discover any fraud involving management or any other personnel who play a significant role in our disclosure controls and procedures or internal controls over financial reporting.

Due to a lack of financial and personnel resources, we are not able to, and do not intend to, immediately take any action to remediate these material weaknesses. We will not be able to do so until, if ever, we acquire sufficient financing and staff to do so. We will implement further controls as circumstances, cash flow, and working capital permits. Notwithstanding the assessment that our ICFR was not effective and that there were material weaknesses as identified in this report, we believe that our financial statements contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended August 31, 2011, fairly presents our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for the periods covered, as identified, in all material respects.

Management believes that the material weaknesses set forth above were the result of the scale of our operations and intrinsic to our small size. Management also believes that these weaknesses did not have an effect on our financial results.

We are committed to improving our financial organization. As part of this commitment, we will, as soon as funds are available to the Company (1) appoint outside directors to our board of directors sufficient to form an audit committee and who will undertake the oversight in the establishment and monitoring of required internal controls and procedures; (2) create a position to segregate duties consistent with control objectives and to increase our personnel resources. We will continue to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of our internal controls and procedures and our internal controls over financial reporting on an ongoing basis and are committed to taking further action and implementing additional enhancements or improvements, as necessary, and as funds allow.

This Annual Report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to the temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the period covered by this report, there were no changes (including corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies or material weaknesses) in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

34

---

## PART III

## ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

## Executive Officer and Directors

Our Officers and Directors and their ages and positions are as follows:

Name	Age	Position	Date of Appointment
Rick Walchuk	56	Director, Chief Executive Officer, President, , Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	November 5, 2010
Alexandros Tsingos	52	Director and Secretary	January 30, 2012

Rick Walchuk, President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Principal Accounting Officer Secretary, Treasurer and Director

Mr. Rick Walchuk, 56, attended the University of Saskatchewan, College of Commerce, Saskatoon Campus. From 1980 until March 2004 Mr. Walchuk was employed as a financial advisor in Alberta, Canada. In April 2004 Mr. Walchuk was appointed as the CEO of a startup biotech company in Athens, Greece, a position he held until July 2004. Mr. Walchuk then served as a consultant to various public companies until December 2006, when he joined Bruca Trading Ltd., a private consulting company in Athens, Greece. Since March 14, 2007, Mr. Walchuk has acted as the director, President and CEO of Viosolar Inc., a company engaged in the construction, management and operation of solar parks in Greece and throughout other South and South Eastern European Union countries. Mr. Walchuk was chosen to be our directors due to his extensive background in venture capital, investor relations and corporate governance.

There have been no transactions between the Company and Mr. Walchuk since the Company's last fiscal year which would be required to be reported herein.

Viosolar Inc., a company for which Mr. Walchuk acts as director, President and CEO and American Graphite Technologies Inc., a company of which Mr. Walchuk is the sole officer and director, have a class of securities registered under Section 12 of the Exchange Act.

#### Alexandros Tsingos, Secretary and Director

From August 2010 to present, Mr. Alexandros Tsingos, 52, has been the General Director and Co-Founder of Greek Minerals of Guinea Ltd., a company currently engaged in sourcing mineral properties in Africa, both exploration and exploitation. In addition, Mr. Tsingos has been a self-employed business consultant from January 2005 to present, providing consulting services to various companies. Mr. Tsingos has utilized his finance and accounting background to start various small businesses and to assist growth in established companies. His areas of work included retail, hospitality, health, media and banking. Mr. Tsingos has also held consulting positions with various private and public companies.

Mr. Tsingos was educated in the accounting and finance fields at the Technological Educational Foundation and the Aristotelean University of Thessaloniki completing his studies in 1985.

Mr. Tsingos is not a director or officer of any other reporting issuers.

Other Directorships

Other than as disclosed above, during the last 5 years, none of our directors held any other directorships in any company with a class of securities registered pursuant to section 12 of the Exchange Act or subject to the requirements of section 15(d) of such Act or any company registered as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940.



## Board of Directors and Director Nominees

Since our Board of Directors does not include a majority of independent directors, the decisions of the Board regarding director nominees are made by persons who have an interest in the outcome of the determination. The Board will consider candidates for directors proposed by security holders, although no formal procedures for submitting candidates have been adopted. Unless otherwise determined, at any time not less than 90 days prior to the next annual Board meeting at which a slate of director nominees is adopted, the Board will accept written submissions from proposed nominees that include the name, address and telephone number of the proposed nominee; a brief statement of the nominee's qualifications to serve as a director; and a statement as to why the security holder submitting the proposed nominee believes that the nomination would be in the best interests of our security holders. If the proposed nominee is not the same person as the security holder submitting the name of the nominee, a letter from the nominee agreeing to the submission of his or her name for consideration should be provided at the time of submission. The letter should be accompanied by a résumé supporting the nominee's qualifications to serve on the Board, as well as a list of references.

The Board identifies director nominees through a combination of referrals from different people, including management, existing Board members and security holders. Once a candidate has been identified, the Board reviews the individual's experience and background and may discuss the proposed nominee with the source of the recommendation. If the Board believes it to be appropriate, Board members may meet with the proposed nominee before making a final determination whether to include the proposed nominee as a member of the slate of director nominees submitted to security holders for election to the Board.

## Conflicts of Interest

Our directors are not obligated to commit their full time and attention to our business and, accordingly, they may encounter a conflict of interest in allocating their time between our operations and those of other businesses. In the course of their other business activities, they may become aware of investment and business opportunities which may be appropriate for presentation to us as well as other entities to which they owe a fiduciary duty. As a result, they may have conflicts of interest in determining to which entity a particular business opportunity should be presented. They may also in the future become affiliated with entities that are engaged in business activities similar to those we intend to conduct.

In general, officers and directors of a corporation are required to present business opportunities to the corporation if:

- the corporation could financially undertake the opportunity;
- the opportunity is within the corporation's line of business; and
- it would be unfair to the corporation and its stockholders not to bring the opportunity to the attention of the corporation.

We have adopted a code of ethics that obligates our directors, officers and employees to disclose potential conflicts of interest and prohibits those persons from engaging in such transactions without our consent.

## Significant Employees

Other than as described above, we do not expect any other individuals to make a significant contribution to our business.

## Legal Proceedings

To the best of our knowledge, none of our directors or executive officers has, during the past ten years:

- been convicted in a criminal proceeding or been subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offences);
- had any bankruptcy petition filed by or against the business or property of the person, or of any partnership, corporation or business association of which he was a general partner or executive officer, either at the time of the bankruptcy filing or within two years prior to that time;
- been subject to any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction or federal or state authority, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting, his involvement in any type of business, securities, futures, commodities, investment, banking, savings and loan, or insurance activities, or to be associated with persons engaged in any such activity;

- been found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the SEC or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended, or vacated;
- been the subject of, or a party to, any federal or state judicial or administrative order, judgment, decree, or finding, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated (not including any settlement of a civil proceeding among private litigants), relating to an alleged violation of any federal or state securities or commodities law or regulation, any law or regulation respecting financial institutions or insurance companies including, but not limited to, a temporary or permanent injunction, order of disgorgement or restitution, civil money penalty or temporary or permanent cease-and-desist order, or removal or prohibition order, or any law or regulation prohibiting mail or wire fraud or fraud in connection with any business entity; or
- been the subject of, or a party to, any sanction or order, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any self-regulatory organization (as defined in Section 3(a)(26) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(26)), any registered entity (as defined in Section 1(a)(29) of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 1(a)(29)), or any equivalent exchange, association, entity or organization that has disciplinary authority over its members or persons associated with a member.

Except as set forth in our discussion below in “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence – Transactions with Related Persons,” none of our directors, director nominees or executive officers has been involved in any transactions with us or any of our directors, executive officers, affiliates or associates which are required to be disclosed pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC.

#### Audit Committee and Charter

We do not currently have an audit committee.

#### Code of Ethics

We have not yet adopted a corporate code of ethics. When we do adopt a code of ethics, we will announce it via the filing of a current report on form 8-K.

#### Family Relationships

There are no family relationships among our officers, directors, or persons nominated for such positions.

#### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our Directors, executive officers, and stockholders holding more than 10% of our outstanding common stock, to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in beneficial ownership of our common stock. Executive officers, directors and greater-than-10% stockholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file.

Based on a review of Forms 3, 4, and 5 and amendments thereto furnished to the registrant during its most recent fiscal year ending August 31, 2012, the following represents each person who did not file on a timely basis reports required by Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act:

Name	Reporting Person	Form 3/# of transactions	Form 4/# of transactions	Form 5/# of transactions
Rick Walchuk		n/a	Late/1	n/a

President, CEO, CFO, Treasurer and  
Director

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The particulars of compensation paid to our executive officers during the fiscal period ended August 31, 2011 and 2010 are set out in the summary compensation table below:

## SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name	Fiscal Year Ended August 31,	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Rick Walchuk, CEO and CFO	2012	34,500	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	34,500
Rick Walchuk, CEO and CFO	2011	25,000	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	25,000
Alexandros Tsingos, Secretary	2012	10,500	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

## Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

None

## Option Grants and Exercises

None

## Employment Agreements

On November 1, 2010, the Company entered into a three-year consulting agreement with Rick Walchuk, a director and officer of the Company. Under the terms of the agreement, Mr. Walchuk was paid \$2,500 a month, which increased to \$4,000 a month commencing June 1, 2012, payable on the 1st of each month, pursuant to the services to be rendered by Mr. Walchuk.

The Company has a verbal consulting agreement with Alexandros Tsingos, a director and officer of the Company whereby Mr. Tsingos is paid \$1,500 a month for services rendered.

## Compensation of Directors

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Rick Walchuk (1)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Alexandros Tsingos	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

During the most recent fiscal year, no directors were provided any compensation for their role as directors.

The Company has made no arrangements for the cash remuneration of its directors, and to the extent that they will be entitled to receive reimbursement for actual, demonstrable out-of-pocket expenses, including travel expenses, if any, made on the Company's behalf. No further remuneration has been paid to the Company's directors for services to date,

other than the stock awards granted as disclosed in the table above.

## Compensation Committee

We do not currently have a compensation committee. The Company's Executive Compensation is currently approved by the Board of Directors of the Company in the case of the Company's Principal Executive Officer. For all other executive compensation contracts, the Principal Executive Officer negotiates and approves the contracts and compensation.

## ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

## Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

The following table sets forth information, as of November 13, 2012, with respect to the beneficial ownership of the Company's Common Stock by each person known by the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding common stock. Information is also provided regarding beneficial ownership of common stock if all outstanding options, warrants, rights and conversion privileges (to which the applicable 5% stockholders have the right to exercise in the next 60 days) are exercised and additional shares of common stock are issued.

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percentage of Class (1)
Common Stock	Rick Walchuk	17,208,333 shares held directly	32.3%

(1)Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with SEC rules and includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. All shares of common stock subject to options or warrants exercisable within 60 days of November 24, 2011 are deemed to be outstanding and beneficially owned by the persons holding those options or warrants for the purpose of computing the number of shares beneficially owned and the percentage ownership of that person. They are not, however, deemed to be outstanding beneficially owned for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. Subject to the paragraph above, the percentage ownership of outstanding shares is based on 53,312,133 shares of common stock outstanding as of November 13, 2012.

## Security Ownership of Management

The following table shows, as of November 13, 2012, the shares of the Company's common stock beneficially owned by each director (including each nominee), by each of the executive officers and by all directors and executive officers as a group. Information is also provided regarding beneficial ownership of common stock if all outstanding options, warrants, rights and conversion privileges (to which the applicable officers and directors have the right to exercise in the next 60 days) are exercised and additional shares of common stock are issued.

Title of Class	Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percentage of Class (1)
Common Stock	Rick Walchuk	17,208,333 shares held directly	32.3%
Common Stock	Alexandros Tsingos	-0-	
	All Officers and Directors as a Group	17,208,333	32.3%

(1)Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with SEC rules and includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. All shares of common stock subject to options or warrants exercisable within 60 days of November 24, 2011 are deemed to be outstanding and beneficially owned by the persons holding those options or warrants for the purpose of computing the number of shares beneficially owned and the percentage ownership of that person. They are not, however, deemed to be outstanding beneficially owned for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. Subject to the paragraph above, the percentage ownership of outstanding shares is based on 53,312,133 shares of common stock outstanding as of October 22, 2012.

#### Changes in Control

There are no existing arrangements that may result in a change in control of our Company.

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

None



## ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

### Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

On November 1, 2010, the Company entered into a three-year consulting agreement with Rick Walchuk, a director and officer of the Company. Under the terms of the agreement, Mr. Walchuk was paid \$2,500 a month, which increased to \$4,000 a month commencing June 1, 2012, payable on the 1st of each month, pursuant to the services to be rendered by Mr. Walchuk. During the twelve month period ended August 31, 2012, Mr. Walchuk invoiced the Company \$51,506, which included \$34,500 as management fees and \$17,006 for expenses. The Company made cash payments to pay these amounts in full.

On January 30, 2012, Mr. Alexandros Tsingos, was appointed a director and Secretary of the Company. During the period ended August 31, 2012, Mr. Tsingos invoiced the Company \$12,500, which included \$10,500 as management fees and \$2,000 for expenses. The Company made cash payments to pay these amounts in full.

Other than as disclosed herein, we have not entered into any transaction since the last fiscal year nor are there any proposed transactions that exceed one percent of the average of our total assets at year end for the last three completed fiscal years in which any of our Directors, executive officers, stockholders or any member of the immediate family of any of the foregoing had or is to have a direct or indirect material interest.

### Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons

The Company does not currently have any written policies and procedures for the review, approval or ratification of any transactions with related persons.

### Promoters and Certain Control Persons

None

### Parents

There are no parents of the Company.

### Director Independence

As of the date of this Annual Report, we have no independent directors.

The Company has developed the following categorical standards for determining the materiality of relationships that the Directors may have with the Company. A Director shall not be deemed to have a material relationship with the Company that impairs the Director's independence as a result of any of the following relationships:

1. the Director is an officer or other person holding a salaried position of an entity (other than a principal, equity partner or member of such entity) that provides professional services to the Company and the amount of all payments from the Company to such entity during the most recently completed fiscal year was less than two percent of such entity's consolidated gross revenues;
2. the Director is the beneficial owner of less than five (5%) per cent of the outstanding equity interests of an entity that does business with the Company;



3. the Director is an executive officer of a civic, charitable or cultural institution that received less than the greater of one million (\$1,000,000) dollars or two (2%) per cent of its consolidated gross revenues, as such term is construed by the New York Stock Exchange for purposes of Section 303A.02(b)(v) of the Corporate Governance Standards, from the Company or any of its subsidiaries for each of the last three (3) fiscal years;
4. the Director is an officer of an entity that is indebted to the Company, or to which the Company is indebted, and the total amount of either the Company's or the business entity's indebtedness is less than three (3%) per cent of the total consolidated assets of such entity as of the end of the previous fiscal year; and
5. the Director obtained products or services from the Company on terms generally available to customers of the Company for such products or services. The Board retains the sole right to interpret and apply the foregoing standards in determining the materiality of any relationship.

The Board shall undertake an annual review of the independence of all non-management Directors. To enable the Board to evaluate each non-management Director, in advance of the meeting at which the review occurs, each non-management Director shall provide the Board with full information regarding the Director's business and other relationships with the Company, its affiliates and senior management.

Directors must inform the Board whenever there are any material changes in their circumstances or relationships that could affect their independence, including all business relationships between a Director and the Company, its affiliates, or members of senior management, whether or not such business relationships would be deemed not to be material under any of the categorical standards set forth above. Following the receipt of such information, the Board shall re-evaluate the Director's independence.

#### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Silberstein Ungar, PLLC CPAs have been our principal accountants since inception. The following table sets forth the fees billed to the Company for professional services rendered by the Company's principal accountant, for the fiscal years ended August 31, 2012 and 2011:

Services	2012	2011
	\$	\$
Audit fees	7,000.00	6,500.00
Audit related fees	6,000.00	5,500.00
Tax fees		
Total fees	13,000.00	12,000.00

Audit fees consist of fees for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements or the financial statements of the Company's subsidiaries or services that are normally provided in connection with the statutory and regulatory filings of the annual financial statements.

Audit-related services include the review of the Company's financial statements and quarterly reports that are not reported as Audit fees.

Tax fees included tax planning and various taxation matters.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

We have implemented pre-approval policies and procedures related to the provision of audit and non-audit services. Under these procedures, our board of directors pre-approves all services to be provided by our auditors and the estimated fees related to these services.

## PART IV

## ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

## Exhibits:

Number	Description	
3.1	Articles of Incorporation, as amended	Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed on October 25, 2006.
3.1 (i)	Certificate of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation as filed with the State of Nevada on November 15, 2010	Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 16, 2010.
3.2	Bylaws, as amended	Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed on October 25, 2006.
10.1	Release entered into by Susanna Hilario	Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 8, 2010.
10.2	Release entered into by Rey V. Supera	Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 8, 2010.
10.3	Share Cancellation Agreement with Rick Walchuk dated December 23, 2010	Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 19, 2011.
10.4	Consulting Agreement with Rick Walchuk dated January 14, 2011	Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 19, 2011.
10.5	Property assignment and acquisition agreement, dated February 3, 2011	Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 4, 2011.
10.6	Property option agreement dated February 3, 2011	Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 4, 2011.
10.7	Property option agreement between GeoXplor and the Company dated effective May 31, 2011	Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 7, 2011.
10.8	Extension Agreement between First Liberty Power Corp., GeoXplor Corp. and the Company	Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 4, 2011.
10.9	Amended Property Purchase Agreement with GeoXplor Corp. and the Company dated October 27, 2011	Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 31, 2011.
10.10	Form of Financing Agreement	Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 25, 2011.
10.11	Investment Agreement with Fairhills Capital Offshore Ltd.	Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 2, 2012.
10.12	Registration Rights Agreement with Fairhills Capital Offshore Ltd.	Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 2, 2012.
10.13	First Amendment to the Investment Agreement with Fairhills Capital Offshore Ltd.	Incorporated by reference to our Form S-1 filed on July 25, 2012.
10.14	2% Secured Note dated March 28, 2012 with Fairhills Capital Offshore Ltd.	Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 2, 2012.
10.15	Amended Property Purchase Agreement with GeoXplor Corp. and the Company dated June 20, 2012	Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 20, 2012.
10.16	Second Amendment to the Investment Agreement with Fairhills Capital Offshore Ltd.	Incorporated by reference to our Form S-1 filed on July 25, 2012.
10.17	Assignment and Assumption Agreement between Fairhills Capital Offshore LLC, Deer Valley Management LLC and the Company.	Filed Herewith

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Filed Herewith  
 Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as  
 adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley  
 Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Filed Herewith  
 Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as  
 adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley  
 Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Filed Herewith  
 Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350,  
 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the  
 Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document\*\* Filed Herewith
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema\*\* Filed Herewith
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase\*\* Filed Herewith
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase\*\* Filed Herewith
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase\*\* Filed Herewith
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase\*\* Filed Herewith

\*\*Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NEW AMERICA ENERGY CORP.

Date: December 14, 2012  
By: /s/ Rick Walchuk  
Name: Rick Walchuk  
Title: President, Treasurer, Director (Principal Executive, Financial and Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: December 14, 2012  
By: /s/ Rick Walchuk  
Name: Rick Walchuk  
Title: President, Treasurer, Director (Principal Executive, Financial and Accounting Officer)

Date: December 14, 2012  
By: /s/ Alexandros Tsingos  
Name: Alexandros Tsingos  
Title: Secretary and Director

