

ASTEC INDUSTRIES INC
Form 4
July 11, 2016

FORM 4

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0287
Expires: January 31, 2015
Estimated average burden hours per response... 0.5

Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue. See Instruction 1(b).

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person *
Schwarz Jeffrey M.

(Last) (First) (Middle)
1725 SHEPHERD RD
(Street)

CHATTANOOGA, TN 37421

(City) (State) (Zip)

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol
ASTEC INDUSTRIES INC [ASTE]

3. Date of Earliest Transaction
(Month/Day/Year)
07/08/2016

4. If Amendment, Date Original Filed(Month/Day/Year)

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer

(Check all applicable)

____ Director _____ 10% Owner
 Officer (give title below) _____ Other (specify below)
President-JCI

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Applicable Line)
 Form filed by One Reporting Person
____ Form filed by More than One Reporting Person

Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned

| 1. Title of Security (Instr. 3) | 2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year) | 2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year) | 3. Transaction Code (Instr. 8) | 4. Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5) | 5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4) | 6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4) | 7. Nature of Ownership (Instr. 4) |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Common Stock | | | | (A) or (D) Price | 2,318 (2) | D | |

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SEC 1474 (9-02)

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

Edgar Filing: ASTEC INDUSTRIES INC - Form 4

| 1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3) | 2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security | 3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year) | 3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year) | 4. Transaction Code (Instr. 8) | 5. Number of Derivative Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4, and 5) | 6. Date Exercisable and Expiration Date (Month/Day/Year) | 7. Title and Amount of Underlying Securities (Instr. 3 and 4) | 8. Amount or Number of Shares |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|
| Phantom Stock | (1) | 07/08/2016 | | A | 21.1322 | (1) (1) | Common Stock | 21.1322 |

Reporting Owners

| Reporting Owner Name / Address | Relationships | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------------|-------|
| | Director | 10% Owner | Officer | Other |
| Schwarz Jeffrey M. 1725 SHEPHERD RD CHATTANOOGA, TN 37421 | | | President-JCI | |

Signatures

Robert C Taylor, attorney in fact for Jeffrey M Schwarz
07/11/2016

**Signature of Reporting Person

Date

Explanation of Responses:

- * If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).
 - ** Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).
- Transaction represents Astec's quarterly contribution to the reporting persons SERP account that was used to purchase Astec stock on the
- (1) open market. Phantom shares are held in a SERP and are payable in cash following the reporting person's termination of employment from Astec.
 - (2) Holdings are restricted stock units (RSUs) that convert to common stock on a one-for-one basis at a later date.

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, see Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. ze:9pt;">2,259,898

\$
2,245,415

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents

LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | |
| Revenues | \$506,021 | \$537,183 |
| Expenses: | | |
| Operating expenses | 387,476 | 384,478 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 24,244 | 22,996 |
| Exit costs, impairments and other charges | — | 19,311 |
| Total expenses | 411,720 | 426,785 |
| Operating income | 94,301 | 110,398 |
| Other income (expense): | | |
| Interest income | 448 | 326 |
| Interest expense | (16,402) |) (14,156) |
| Other income, net | 85 | 14 |
| Total other income (expense) | (15,869) |) (13,816) |
| Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes | 78,432 | 96,582 |
| Provision for income taxes | 29,255 | 36,702 |
| Net earnings from continuing operations | 49,177 | 59,880 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | (2,056) |) (3,951) |
| Net earnings | \$47,121 | \$55,929 |
| Net earnings per share - basic from continuing operations | \$0.58 | \$0.68 |
| Net loss per share - basic from discontinued operations | (0.02) |) (0.04) |
| Net earnings per share - basic | \$0.56 | \$0.64 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - basic | 84,445 | 87,842 |
| Net earnings per share - diluted from continuing operations | \$0.58 | \$0.67 |
| Net loss per share - diluted from discontinued operations | (0.02) |) (0.04) |
| Net earnings per share - diluted | \$0.56 | \$0.63 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted | 84,567 | 88,134 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of ContentsLENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Net earnings | \$47,121 | \$55,929 |
| Other comprehensive loss: | | |
| Unrealized loss on investments, net of tax (1) | (124) | (133) |
| Unrealized (loss) gain on interest rate swaps, net of tax (2) | (133) | 115 |
| Other comprehensive loss | (257) | (18) |
| Comprehensive earnings | \$46,864 | \$55,911 |

(1) Net of income tax benefit of \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.

(2) Net of income tax (benefit) expense of \$(0.1) million and \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents

LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Equity

Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

(Unaudited)

| | Common Shares | Common Stock | Additional Paid-In Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Treasury Shares | Treasury Stock | Total Equity |
|---|------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Balances, December 31, 2011 | 97,427 | \$ 10 | \$ 250,533 | \$ 658,146 | \$ (1,783) | (13,021) | \$(418,918) | \$ 487,988 |
| Net earnings | — | — | — | 47,121 | — | — | — | 47,121 |
| Cash dividends declared(1)(2) | — | — | — | (8,548) | — | — | — | (8,548) |
| Exercise of stock options and restricted stock vesting | — | — | (2,690) | — | — | 83 | 2,035 | (655) |
| Income tax effect of equity compensation | — | — | (342) | — | — | — | — | (342) |
| Stock-based compensation cost | — | — | 5,257 | — | — | — | — | 5,257 |
| Unrealized loss on investments, net | — | — | — | — | (124) | — | — | (124) |
| Unrealized loss on interest rate swaps, net | — | — | — | — | (133) | — | — | (133) |
| Balances, March 31, 2012 | 97,427 | \$ 10 | \$ 252,758 | \$ 696,719 | \$ (2,040) | (12,938) | \$(416,883) | \$ 530,564 |

(1) Dividends of \$0.10 per common share were paid on March 15, 2012.

(2) Dividends declared includes dividends accrued on restricted stock that are not paid until a vesting occurs.

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents

LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net earnings | \$47,121 | \$55,929 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 24,902 | 24,868 |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs | 1,117 | 1,167 |
| Asset impairment charges | 2,842 | — |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operation | (8,064 |) — |
| Deferred income taxes, net | 11,036 | 8,428 |
| Stock-based compensation cost | 5,257 | 10,628 |
| Income tax effect of equity compensation | 342 | (112 |
| | |)) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions: | | |
| Trade receivables | 12,700 | 33,734 |
| Other receivables | (2,159 |) 1,087 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (8,853 |) (3,031 |
| | |)) |
| Deferred revenues | 8,965 | (1,900 |
| | |)) |
| Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and other liabilities | (5,155 |) (10,358 |
| | |)) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 90,051 | 120,440 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Additions to property and equipment | (6,595 |) (7,060 |
| | |)) |
| Additions to capitalized software | (17,124 |) (16,261 |
| | |)) |
| Purchases of investments, net of proceeds from sales | (5,763 |) (3,732 |
| | |)) |
| Acquisition of title plants and property records data | (11,821 |) (2,425 |
| | |)) |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | — | (9,802 |
| | |)) |
| Proceeds from sales of discontinued operations, net of cash distributed | 6,398 | — |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (34,905 |) (39,280 |
| | |)) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Debt service payments | (17,327 |) (36,288 |
| | |)) |
| Exercise of stock options and restricted stock vesting | (655 |) 239 |
| Income tax effect of equity compensation | (342 |) 112 |
| Dividends paid | (8,449 |) (8,784 |
| | |)) |
| Treasury stock repurchases | — | (83,882 |
| | |)) |
| Payment of contingent consideration related to acquisitions | (2,000 |) — |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (28,773 |) (128,603 |
| | |)) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 26,373 | (47,443 |
| | |)) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 77,355 | 52,287 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$103,728 | \$4,844 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$20,830 | \$20,897 |
| Cash paid for taxes | \$4,053 | \$4,713 |

Explanation of Responses:

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

7

Table of Contents

LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Except as otherwise indicated or unless the context otherwise requires, all references to “LPS,” “we,” the “Company,” or the “registrant” are to Lender Processing Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation that was incorporated in December 2007 as a wholly-owned subsidiary of FIS, and its subsidiaries; and all references to “FIS,” the “former parent,” or the “holding company” are to Fidelity National Information Services, Inc., a Georgia corporation, and its subsidiaries, that owned all of LPS's shares until they were distributed to the shareholders of FIS in a tax-free spin-off on July 2, 2008.

(1) Basis of Presentation

The unaudited financial information included in this report includes the accounts of Lender Processing Services, Inc. and its subsidiaries prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. All adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. This report should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K that was filed on February 29, 2012 and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Reporting Segments

We are a provider of integrated technology and outsourced services to the mortgage lending industry, with mortgage processing and default management services in the U.S. We conduct our operations through two reporting segments, Technology, Data and Analytics (“TD&A”) and Transaction Services.

Reclassifications and Segment Reorganization

In connection with organizational realignments implemented during the first quarter ended March 31, 2012, the Company has made the following changes to its reporting structure:

Allocation of Corporate Expenses. To improve visibility and analysis regarding the performance of each reporting segment, as of January 1, 2012, the Company began allocating corporate expenses for functions that directly support the operating segments. Costs being allocated include, among others, stock compensation, internal audit, legal, human resources, marketing and accounting shared services. These costs are allocated to each reporting segment based on a variety of factors including headcount, actual consumption, activity, or other relevant factors. After completing the allocation process, the net remaining costs included in the Corporate segment represent unallocated general and administrative expenses, which are discussed further in note 13 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Operating Segment Components. In order to provide improved comparability, LPS has reclassified segment operating results from 2011 to conform to certain 2012 organizational realignments. The realignment resulted in transfer of revenue and operating expenses among the TD&A segment and the Transaction Services segment.

Financial Statement Captions. In the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of earnings, we have eliminated the use of financial statement captions “Gross Margin”, “Cost of revenues” and “Selling, general and administrative expenses”. We now use the captions “Operating Expenses,” “Depreciation and amortization,” and “Exit costs, impairments and other charges.” “Operating expenses” includes all costs, excluding depreciation and amortization, incurred by the Company to produce revenues. “Exit costs, impairments and other charges” represents

Explanation of Responses:

lease exit charges, employee severance, stock compensation acceleration charges, impairments of long-lived assets, and other non-operating charges.

All prior period information has been reclassified to conform with the current year's presentation. The changes noted above did not have any impact on previously reported consolidated revenues, operating income, net earnings, earnings per share or stockholders' equity.

Table of Contents

(2) Fair Value

Fair Value of Financial Assets and Liabilities

The fair values of financial assets and liabilities are determined using the following fair value hierarchy:

Level 1 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the Company has the ability to access.

Level 2 Inputs to the valuation methodology include:

quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;

quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets;

inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and

inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

Assets are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. We believe our valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants. The use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value measurement at the reporting date.

The following tables set forth, by level within the fair value hierarchy, our assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The fair values of other financial instruments, which primarily include short-term financial assets and liabilities and long term debt, are estimated as of period-end and disclosed elsewhere in these notes.

As of March 31, 2012 (in millions):

| | Classification | Carrying Value | Fair Value | | | Total |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------|---------|---------|--------|
| | | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Investments (note 6) | Asset | \$61.1 | \$5.9 | \$55.2 | \$— | \$61.1 |
| Interest rate swaps (note 10) | Liability | \$5.6 | \$— | \$5.6 | \$— | \$5.6 |

As of December 31, 2011 (in millions):

| | Classification | Carrying Value | Fair Value | | | Total |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------|---------|---------|--------|
| | | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Investments (note 6) | Asset | \$55.6 | \$6.9 | \$48.7 | \$— | \$55.6 |
| Interest rate swaps (note 10) | Liability | \$5.4 | \$— | \$5.4 | \$— | \$5.4 |

Our Level 1 financial instruments include U.S. government and agency bonds, for which there are quoted prices in active markets. Our Level 2 financial instruments consist of corporate bonds, municipal bonds and derivatives, for which there are parallel markets or alternative means to estimate fair value using observable information inputs. The estimates used are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and significant judgment in the interpretation of current market data. Therefore, the values presented are not necessarily indicative of amounts we could realize or settle currently.

Explanation of Responses:

Fair Value of Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed

The fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations are estimated using various assumptions. The most significant assumptions, and those requiring the most judgment, involve the estimated fair values of contingent considerations, intangible assets and software, with the remaining value, if any, attributable to goodwill. The Company utilizes third-party experts to assist with determining the fair values of intangible assets and software purchased in business combinations.

Table of Contents

(3) Related Party Transactions

The Company did not have any related party transactions as of and during the three months ended March 31, 2012. Lee A. Kennedy has served as a director since our spin-off from FIS and as our Executive Chairman since September 15, 2009. He also served as our interim President and Chief Executive Officer from July 6, 2011 to October 6, 2011. Historically, Mr. Kennedy also served as Chairman of Ceridian Corporation (“Ceridian”) from January 25, 2010 until July 28, 2011, and as Chief Executive Officer of Ceridian from January 25, 2010 until August 19, 2010. Therefore, Ceridian was a related party of the Company for periods from January 25, 2010 until July 28, 2011. During those periods we were party to certain agreements with Ceridian under which we incurred expenses. A summary of the Ceridian related party agreements in effect as of March 31, 2011 is as follows:

Administrative Services. Ceridian provides certain administrative services to our human resources group, including Family and Medical Leave Act (“FMLA”) administrative services, military leave administrative services, flexible spending account services and tax processing services. Each of the administrative services agreements has an initial term of one year and is automatically renewable for successive one year terms unless either party gives 90 days prior written notice. Each agreement may be terminated upon 30 days written notice in the event of a breach.

COBRA Health Benefit Services. Ceridian also provides us with Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (“COBRA”) health benefit services. The COBRA agreement had an initial term of one year and is automatically renewable for successive one year terms unless either party gives 90 days prior written notice. This agreement may be terminated upon 30 days written notice in the event of a breach.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we incurred approximately \$0.1 million related to the Ceridian agreements listed above, which are included in operating expenses within the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of earnings. We believe the amounts charged by Ceridian under the above-described service arrangements are fair and reasonable.

(4) Net Earnings Per Share

The basic weighted average shares and common stock equivalents are computed using the treasury stock method. The following table summarizes the earnings per share for the three months ending March 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|---|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Earnings from continuing operations, net of tax | \$49,177 | \$59,880 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | (2,056) | (3,951) |
| Net earnings | \$47,121 | \$55,929 |
| Net earnings per share - basic from continuing operations | \$0.58 | \$0.68 |
| Net loss per share - basic from discontinued operations | (0.02) | (0.04) |
| Net earnings per share - basic | \$0.56 | \$0.64 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - basic | 84,445 | 87,842 |
| Net earnings per share - diluted from continuing operations | \$0.58 | \$0.67 |
| Net loss per share - diluted from discontinued operations | (0.02) | (0.04) |
| Net earnings per share - diluted | \$0.56 | \$0.63 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted | 84,567 | 88,134 |

Options to purchase approximately 7.4 million and 6.0 million shares of our common stock were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, because they were antidilutive. In addition, as of March 31, 2012, 1.2 million shares of restricted stock are not included in our weighted average shares outstanding due to vesting restrictions that contain forfeitable rights to dividends. We may, in the future, limit dilution caused by option exercises, including anticipated exercises, by repurchasing shares on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions.

Our ability to repurchase shares of common stock or senior notes is subject to restrictions contained in our senior secured credit agreement and in the indenture governing our senior unsecured notes. On June 16, 2011, our Board of Directors approved an authorization for us to repurchase up to \$100.0 million of our common stock and/or our senior notes, effective through

10

Table of Contents

December 31, 2012. As of March 31, 2012, we had \$95.1 million remaining available under our \$100.0 million repurchase authorization.

(5) Acquisitions

The results of operations of entities acquired during the three months ended March 31, 2011 are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements from and after the date of acquisition. We did not acquire any entities in the three months ended March 31, 2012. The purchase price of each acquisition was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair value with any excess cost over fair value being allocated to goodwill. The impact of the acquisitions made from January 1, 2011 through March 31, 2012 was not significant, individually or in the aggregate, to our historical consolidated financial results.

PCLender

On March 14, 2011, we acquired PCLender.com, Inc. ("PCLender") for \$9.8 million (net of cash acquired). As a result of the transaction, we recognized a liability for contingent consideration totaling \$3.0 million. The acquisition resulted in the recognition of \$8.2 million of goodwill and \$6.1 million of other intangible assets and software. The allocation of the purchase price to goodwill and intangible assets was based on the valuation performed to determine the value of such assets as of the acquisition date. The valuation was determined utilizing the income approach using a combination of Level 2 and Level 3-type inputs. PCLender is now a part of the Technology, Data and Analytics segment and further expands our loan origination offerings and market by complementing our Empower origination technology.

(6) Investments

Our title insurance underwriter subsidiary, National Title Insurance of New York Inc., is statutorily required to maintain investment assets backing its reserves for settling losses on the policies it issues. These investments, which consist of treasury bonds, municipal bonds, government agency bonds and corporate bonds, are classified as available for sale securities, and are included in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value within other non-current assets. Any gains or losses on these investments are recognized in other comprehensive earnings (loss) until the investment maturity date. Since the Company does not intend to sell and will more-likely-than-not maintain each debt security until its anticipated recovery, and no significant credit risk is deemed to exist, these investments are not considered other than temporarily impaired. The amortized cost and fair value of our available for sale securities at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| As of March 31, 2012 | \$58,744 | \$ 2,595 | \$ (281) | \$61,058 |
| As of December 31, 2011 | \$53,066 | \$ 2,781 | \$ (269) | \$55,578 |

There have been no significant changes to the stated maturities on our investment portfolio since December 31, 2011, as reflected in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(7) Discontinued Operations

During the year ended December 31, 2011, management made decisions to sell or dispose of certain non-core or underperforming business units including Verification Bureau, Inc., SoftPro, Rising Tide Auction, True Automation, Aptitude and certain operations previously included in our Real Estate Group, all of which were previously included as part of the Technology, Data and Analytics segment. Management also made the decision to sell the Tax Services business (other than our tax data services that provide lenders with information about the tax status of a property, which is now included in our Technology, Data and Analytics segment), previously included within the Transaction Services segment. All of these businesses were classified as discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2011.

On January 9, 2012, we completed the sale of our SoftPro business unit for \$15.5 million. The sale of SoftPro, which was previously included within the Technology, Data and Analytics segment, resulted in a pre-tax gain on disposal of \$8.1 million (\$3.1 million net of tax). On January 31, 2012, we completed the sale of our Tax Services group, previously included within the Transaction Services segment, in which we are required to pay a total of \$14.4 million (\$9.1 million was paid as of March 31,

Table of Contents

2012) to the buyer in exchange for their assumption of life-of-loan servicing obligations. As the net assets of the business were written down during 2011 in anticipation of the contemplated sale, no gain or loss was recognized during 2012 upon completion of the sale.

On May 2, 2012, we completed the sale of our True Automation and Aptitude businesses, which were previously included within the Technology, Data and Analytics segment, for approximately \$17.3 million.

Each of these asset groups qualifies as discontinued operations under ASC Topic 205-20 Presentation of Financial Statements- Discontinued Operations ("ASC 205-20"). Under that guidance, the results of operation of a component of an entity that either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale shall be reported as discontinued operations if the entity will not have significant continuing involvement in the operations of the component after the disposal transaction and the operations and cash flows of the component have been (or will be) eliminated from the ongoing operations of the entity as a result of the disposal. The results of discontinued operations are presented net of tax, as a separate component in the condensed consolidated statements of earnings.

The table below illustrates the components of the loss from discontinued operations, net of tax for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenues | \$6,787 | \$19,015 |
| Pretax loss from discontinued operations before impairment charges | \$(5,385) | \$(6,437) |
| Impairment charges: | | |
| Intangible assets (1) | (226) | — |
| Goodwill (1) | (2,281) | — |
| Other | (335) | — |
| Total impairment charges | (2,842) | — |
| Pretax loss from operations | (8,227) | (6,437) |
| Other income (includes gain on disposition of discontinued operation in 2012) | 8,065 | 64 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit on discontinued operations | (1,894) | 2,422 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | \$(2,056) | \$(3,951) |

(1) The Company recorded a \$2.3 million impairment to goodwill and a \$0.2 million impairment to intangible assets related to a revision of the fair value of the remaining net assets of the True Automation business unit which was sold on May 2, 2012.

The assets held for sale and related liabilities summarized below are included in the following captions of the accompanying March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 condensed consolidated balance sheets (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|----------------|-------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Current assets | \$7,909 | \$13,361 |
| Property and equipment, computer software and other intangible assets, net | 12,272 | 20,097 |
| Goodwill | 6,166 | 10,441 |
| Other non-current assets | — | 4,147 |
| Total assets held for sale | \$26,347 | \$48,046 |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable, accrued salaries and benefits and other current liabilities | \$9,627 | \$12,114 |
| Deferred revenues | 6,521 | 17,409 |

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: ASTEC INDUSTRIES INC - Form 4

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| Other long-term liabilities | 244 | 13,803 |
| Total liabilities related to assets held for sale | \$16,392 | \$43,326 |

12

Table of Contents

(8) Goodwill

Changes to goodwill during the three months ended March 31, 2012 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | Technology, Data and Analytics | Transaction Services | Total |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | \$755,757 | \$377,071 | \$1,132,828 |
| Goodwill disposal related to SoftPro sale (1) | (4,943) | — | (4,943) |
| Goodwill impairment related to True Automation (2) | (2,281) | — | (2,281) |
| Balance, March 31, 2012 | \$748,533 | \$377,071 | \$1,125,604 |

(1) The Company recorded a \$4.9 million disposal of goodwill related to the SoftPro business unit sale, which closed on January 31, 2012.

(2) The Company recorded a \$2.3 million impairment of goodwill related to a revision of the fair value of the remaining net assets of the True Automation business unit which was sold on May 2, 2012.

(9) Restructuring

During 2011, management committed to three separate restructuring plans, (the "First Quarter 2011 Restructuring Plan", the "Second Quarter 2011 Restructuring Plan", and the "Fourth Quarter 2011 Restructuring Plan") in order to remove duplicate headcount, reduce future operating expenses, and improve operational performance and profitability. For the quarter ended March 31, 2011, the total restructuring costs related to these efforts amounted to \$15.4 million of employee termination costs, of which approximately \$3.9 million relates to the acceleration of stock compensation expense, and \$11.5 million relates to severance related charges, both included within the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of earnings within exit costs, impairments and other charges. Of the \$15.4 million of employee termination costs recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2011, \$2.3 million, \$3.0 million and \$10.1 million applies to the Technology, Data and Analytics, Transaction Services and Corporate segments, respectively. All payouts related to the March 31, 2011 severance plan were made by June 30, 2011.

The following table sets forth the Company's second quarter 2011 restructuring plan, exclusive of stock-based compensation charges, as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2012 (in millions):

| | Other Accrued Liabilities December 31, 2011 | Cash Paid | Other Accrued Liabilities March 31, 2012 |
|--|---|-----------|--|
| 2nd Quarter 2011 Restructuring Plan | | | |
| Ongoing termination arrangement | \$0.9 | \$(0.5) | \$0.4 |
| Contract termination costs - severance | 0.9 | (0.3) | 0.6 |
| Total | \$1.8 | \$(0.8) | \$1.0 |

The following table sets forth the Company's fourth quarter 2011 restructuring plan, exclusive of stock-based compensation charges, as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2012 (in millions):

| | Other Accrued Liabilities December 31, 2011 | Cash Paid | Other Accrued Liabilities March 31, 2012 |
|--|---|-----------|--|
| 4th Quarter 2011 Restructuring Plan | | | |
| Ongoing termination arrangement | \$1.9 | \$— | \$1.9 |
| Contract termination costs - severance | 3.5 | (1.7) | 1.8 |

Explanation of Responses:

Total \$5.4 \$(1.7) \$3.7

13

Table of Contents

(10) Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consists of the following (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Term A Loan, secured, interest payable at LIBOR plus 2.50% (2.74% at March 31, 2012) quarterly principal amortization, maturing August 2016 | \$521,625 | \$ 528,313 |
| Term B Loan, secured, interest payable at LIBOR plus 4.50%, subject to 1% LIBOR Floor, (5.50% at March 31, 2012) quarterly principal amortization, maturing August 2018 | 248,125 | 248,750 |
| Revolving Loan, secured, interest payable at LIBOR plus 2.50% (Eurocurrency Borrowings) (2.74% at March 31, 2012), Fed-funds plus 2.50% (Swingline borrowings) (2.59% at March 31, 2012), or the highest of (a) Fed-funds plus 0.50%, (b) Prime or (c) LIBOR plus 1%, plus the Applicable Margin for Base Rate borrowings of 1.50% (Base Rate Borrowings) (2.09%, 4.75% or 2.74% respectively at March 31, 2012), maturing August 2016. Total of \$398.2 million unused (net of outstanding letters of credit and revolver) as of March 31, 2012. | — | 10,000 |
| Senior unsecured notes, issued at par, interest payable semiannually at 8.125%, due July 2016 | 362,000 | 362,000 |
| Other promissory notes with various interest rates and maturities | 83 | 97 |
| Total debt | 1,131,833 | 1,149,160 |
| Less current portion | (29,311) | (39,310) |
| Long-term debt, excluding current portion | \$ 1,102,522 | \$ 1,109,850 |

Refinancing of Credit Facilities

On August 18, 2011, the Company entered into an Amendment, Restatement and Joinder Agreement (the "Amendment Agreement") in respect of the Credit Agreement dated as of July 2, 2008 (the "2008 Credit Agreement") with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and Letters of Credit Issuer, and various other lenders who were parties to the 2008 Credit Agreement. In connection with entering into the Amendment Agreement, on August 18, 2011, the Company also entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "2011 Credit Agreement") with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and Letters of Credit Issuer, and various other lenders who are parties to the 2011 Credit Agreement which amends and restates the 2008 Credit Agreement.

The 2011 Credit Agreement consists of: (i) a 5-year revolving credit facility in an aggregate principal amount outstanding at any time not to exceed \$400 million (with a \$25 million sub-facility for Letters of Credit); (ii) a 5-year Term A Loan in an initial aggregate principal amount of \$535 million; and (iii) a Term B Loan with a maturity date of August 14, 2018 in an aggregate principal amount of \$250 million.

The loans under the 2011 Credit Agreement bear interest at a floating rate, which is an applicable margin plus, at the Company's option, either (a) the Eurodollar (LIBOR) rate or (b) the highest of (i) the prime rate, (ii) the federal funds rate plus 0.50% and (iii) the one Month LIBOR rate plus 1.00% (the highest of clauses (i), (ii) and (iii), the "Base rate"). The annual margin on the Term A Loan and the revolving credit facility until the first business day following delivery of the compliance certificate with respect to the first fiscal quarter ending following the closing and funding of the amended and restated facility was 2.25% in the case of LIBOR loans and 1.25% in the case of the Base rate loans, and after that time is a percentage determined in accordance with a leverage ratio-based pricing grid. The

annual margin on the Term B Loan is 4.50% in the case of LIBOR loans (with LIBOR subject to a floor of 1%) and 3.50% in the case of the Base rate loans.

The 2011 Credit Agreement provides that, beginning on December 31, 2011, the Company shall repay the outstanding principal amount of Term A Loans in quarterly installments of \$6.7 million. These quarterly installment payments increase to \$13.4 million beginning on December 31, 2013 and then to \$20.1 million beginning on December 31, 2014 through March 31, 2016. The Term B Loans are subject to quarterly installment payments of \$0.6 million beginning on September 30, 2011 until March 31, 2018. All remaining outstanding principal amounts of Term Loan A and B loans shall be repaid at the respective maturity dates.

In addition to scheduled principal payments, the Term Loans are (with certain exceptions) subject to mandatory prepayment upon issuances of debt, casualty and condemnation events, and sales of assets, as well as from up to 50% of excess cash flow (as defined in the Credit Agreement) in excess of an agreed threshold commencing with the cash flow for the year ended December 31, 2012. Voluntary prepayments of the loans are generally permitted at any time without fee upon proper notice and subject to a

Table of Contents

minimum dollar requirement, except that, under certain conditions, voluntary prepayments of the Term B Loan made on or prior to August 18, 2012 are subject to a 1% prepayment premium. Commitment reductions of the revolving credit facility are also permitted at any time without fee upon proper notice. The revolving credit facility has no scheduled principal payments, but it will be due and payable in full on August 18, 2016.

The Company is allowed to raise additional term loans and/or increase commitments under the Revolving Credit Facility in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$250.0 million (the "Incremental Facilities"). The Incremental Facilities are subject to restrictions on pricing and tenor of any new term loan, pro-forma compliance with financial covenants, a pro-forma leverage ratio not to exceed 2.00:1.00, and other usual and customary conditions.

The obligations under the 2011 Credit Agreement are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, by certain of our domestic subsidiaries. Additionally, the Company and such subsidiary guarantors pledged substantially all of our respective assets as collateral security for the obligations under the Credit Agreement and our respective guarantees.

The 2011 Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative, negative and financial covenants including, among other things, limits on the creation of liens, limits on the incurrence of indebtedness, restrictions on investments, dispositions and sale and leaseback transactions, limits on the payment of dividends and other restricted payments, a minimum interest coverage ratio and a maximum leverage ratio. Upon an event of default, the administrative agent can accelerate the maturity of the loan. Events of default include events customary for such an agreement, including failure to pay principal and interest in a timely manner, breach of covenants and a change of control of the Company. These events of default include a cross-default provision that permits the lenders to declare the 2011 Credit Agreement in default if (i) the Company fails to make any payment after the applicable grace period under any indebtedness with a principal amount in excess of \$70 million or (ii) the Company fails to perform any other term under any such indebtedness, as a result of which the holders thereof may cause it to become due and payable prior to its maturity.

Senior Notes

On July 2, 2008, we issued senior notes (the "Notes") in an initial aggregate principal amount of \$375.0 million under which \$362.0 million was outstanding at March 31, 2012. The Notes were issued pursuant to an Indenture dated July 2, 2008 (the "Indenture") among the Company, the guarantor parties thereto and U.S. Bank Corporate Trust Services, as Trustee.

The Notes bear interest at a rate of 8.125% per annum. Interest payments are due semi-annually each January 1 and July 1. The maturity date of the Notes is July 1, 2016. From time to time we may be in the market to repurchase portions of the Notes, subject to limitations set forth in the Credit Agreement.

The Indenture contains covenants that, among other things, limit LPS' ability and the ability of certain of LPS' subsidiaries (a) to incur or guarantee additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock, (b) to make certain restricted payments, including dividends or distributions on equity interests held by persons other than LPS or certain subsidiaries, in excess of an amount generally equal to 50% of consolidated net income generated since July 1, 2008, (c) to create or incur certain liens, (d) to engage in sale and leaseback transactions, (e) to create restrictions that would prevent or limit the ability of certain subsidiaries to (i) pay dividends or other distributions to LPS or certain other subsidiaries, (ii) repay any debt or make any loans or advances to LPS or certain other subsidiaries or (iii) transfer any property or assets to LPS or certain other subsidiaries, (f) to sell or dispose of assets of LPS or any restricted subsidiary or enter into merger or consolidation transactions and (g) to engage in certain transactions with affiliates. These covenants are subject to a number of exceptions, limitations and qualifications in the Indenture.

The Notes are our general unsecured obligations. Accordingly, they rank equally in right of payment with all of our existing and future unsecured senior debt; senior in right of payment to all of our future subordinated debt; effectively

subordinated to our existing and future secured debt to the extent of the assets securing such debt, including all borrowings under our credit facilities; and effectively subordinated to all of the liabilities of our non-guarantor subsidiaries, including trade payables and preferred stock.

The Notes are guaranteed by each existing and future domestic subsidiary that is a guarantor under our credit facilities. The guarantees are general unsecured obligations of the guarantors. Accordingly, they rank equally in right of payment with all existing and future unsecured senior debt of our guarantors; senior in right of payment with all existing and future subordinated debt of such guarantors; and effectively subordinated to such guarantors' existing and future secured debt to the extent of the assets securing such debt, including the guarantees by the guarantors of obligations under our credit facilities.

LPS has no independent assets or operations and our subsidiaries' guarantees are full and unconditional and joint and several. There are no significant restrictions on the ability of LPS or any of the subsidiary guarantors to obtain funds from any of our subsidiaries other than National Title Insurance of New York Inc. ("NTNY"), our title insurance underwriter subsidiary, by dividend

Table of Contents

or loan. NTNY is statutorily required to maintain investment assets backing its reserves for settling losses on the policies it issues, and its ability to pay dividends or make loans is limited by regulatory requirements.

We may redeem some or all of the Notes on or after July 1, 2011, at the redemption prices described in the Indenture, plus accrued and unpaid interest. Upon the occurrence of a change of control, unless we have exercised our right to redeem all of the Notes as described above, each holder may require us to repurchase such holder's Notes, in whole or in part, at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest to the purchase date.

The Indenture contains customary events of default, including failure of the Company (i) to pay principal and interest when due and payable and breach of certain other covenants and (ii) to make an offer to purchase and pay for Notes tendered as required by the Indenture. Events of default also include cross defaults, with respect to any other debt of the Company or debt of certain subsidiaries having an outstanding principal amount of \$80.0 million or more in the aggregate for all such debt, arising from (i) failure to make a principal payment when due and such defaulted payment is not made, waived or extended within the applicable grace period or (ii) the occurrence of an event which results in such debt being due and payable prior to its scheduled maturity. Upon the occurrence of an event of default (other than a bankruptcy default with respect to the Company or certain subsidiaries), the trustee or holders of at least 25% of the Notes then outstanding may accelerate the Notes by giving us appropriate notice. If, however, a bankruptcy default occurs with respect to the Company or certain subsidiaries, then the principal of and accrued interest on the Notes then outstanding will accelerate immediately without any declaration or other act on the part of the trustee or any holder.

Fair Value of Long-Term Debt

The fair value of the Company's long-term debt at March 31, 2012 is estimated to be approximately 100% of the carrying value. We have estimated the fair value of our debt using Level 2 Inputs, based on values of recent quoted market prices on our term loans and values of recent trades on our senior notes.

Interest Rate Swaps

On August 26, 2011, we entered into an interest rate swap to hedge forecasted monthly interest rate payments on \$250 million of our floating rate debt, in which the bank pays a variable rate equal to 1 Month LIBOR (equal to 0.24% as of March 31, 2012) and the Company pays a fixed rate of 1.265%. The effective date of the swap is August 31, 2011 and the maturity date is July 31, 2016.

On August 4, 2010, we entered into the following interest rate swap transactions, which have been designated as cash flow hedges:

| Period | Notional Amount (in millions) | Bank Pays Variable Rate of (1) | LPS Pays Fixed Rate of (2) | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012 | 150.0 | 1 Month LIBOR | 1.295 | % |
| December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 | 75.0 | 1 Month LIBOR | 2.080 | % |

(1) 0.24% as of March 31, 2012.

(2) In addition to the fixed rate paid under the swaps, we pay an applicable margin to our bank lenders on our Term A Loan and Revolving Loan equal to 2.50%.

We have entered into interest rate swap transactions in order to convert a portion of our interest rate exposure on our floating rate debt from variable to fixed. We have designated these interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges. A portion of the amount included in accumulated other comprehensive earnings (loss) will be reclassified into interest expense as a yield adjustment as interest payments are made on the Term Loans. The inputs used to determine the estimated fair value of our interest rate swaps are Level 2-type measurements. We have considered our own credit risk when determining the fair value of our interest rate swaps.

Table of Contents

Estimated fair values of interest rate swaps in the condensed consolidated balance sheets were as follows (in millions):

| Balance Sheet Account | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Other accrued liabilities | \$ 1.1 | \$ 1.3 |
| Other long-term liabilities | \$ 4.5 | \$ 4.1 |

A cumulative loss of \$3.4 million and \$3.3 million is reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. A summary of the effect of derivative instruments on amounts recognized in other comprehensive earnings (loss) ("OCE") and on the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 is as follows (in millions):

| | Amount of Loss Recognized in OCE on Derivatives | | Amount of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCE into Income (included within interest expense) | |
|---|--|--------|---|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest Rate Swap contract Three months ended March 31, | \$ (1.2 |) \$ — | \$ (1.0 |) \$ (0.2 |

Approximately \$2.6 million of the balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of March 31, 2012 is expected to be reclassified into interest expense over the next twelve months.

It is our policy to execute such instruments with credit-worthy banks and not to enter into derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes. As of March 31, 2012, we believe our interest rate swap counterparties will be able to fulfill their obligations under our agreements, and we believe we will have debt outstanding through the various expiration dates of the swaps such that the occurrence of future hedge cash flows remains probable.

(11) Income Taxes

Reserves for uncertain tax positions are computed by determining a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. The Company has performed an evaluation of its tax positions and has concluded that as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no significant uncertain tax positions requiring recognition in its financial statements. The Company's policy is to recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense.

(12) Commitments and Contingencies

We are involved in various pending and threatened litigation and regulatory matters related to our operations, some of which include claims for punitive or exemplary damages. We intend to vigorously defend all litigation and regulatory matters that are brought against us. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, we establish accruals for litigation and regulatory matters when those matters present loss contingencies that are both probable and reasonably estimable. Our accrued liability for legal and regulatory matters that are probable and estimable was \$77.7 million and \$78.5 million as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. This accrual includes estimated costs of settlement, damages and associated legal fees and assumes no third party recoveries. For the reasons described below, we are unable to estimate a range of loss in excess of the amount accrued or for any potential losses related to any other reasonably possible claims. We continually evaluate the accrual for legal and regulatory matters as those matters progress.

Set forth below are descriptions of our material legal and regulatory proceedings. As background to the disclosure below, please note the following:

Explanation of Responses:

These matters raise difficult and complicated factual and legal issues and are subject to many uncertainties and complexities.

In the litigation matters, plaintiffs seek a variety of remedies including equitable relief in the form of injunctive and other remedies and monetary relief in the form of compensatory damages. In some cases, the monetary damages sought include punitive or treble damages. None of the cases described below includes a specific statement as to the dollar amount of damages demanded. Instead, each of the cases includes a demand in an amount to be proved at trial. Regulatory authorities

Table of Contents

also may seek a variety of remedies and in general do not make specific demands during the course of an investigation or inquiry.

Litigation Matters

Securities Class Action Litigation

On December 1, 2010, the Company was served with a complaint entitled St. Clair Shores General Employees' Retirement System v. Lender Processing Services, Inc., et al., which was filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida. The putative class action seeks damages for alleged violations of federal securities laws in connection with our disclosures relating to our default operations. An amended complaint was filed on May 18, 2011. LPS filed a motion to dismiss the complaint on July 18, 2011 and the plaintiff filed a response to the Company's motion on September 12, 2011. The complaint was dismissed on March 30, 2012. The plaintiffs have until May 8, 2012 to file an amended complaint after which the Company may renew its motion to dismiss by June 1, 2012.

Shareholder Derivative Litigation

On December 22, 2010, a complaint entitled International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 164 Pension Fund, derivatively on behalf of Lender Processing Services, Inc. v. Lee A. Kennedy, et al. ("International Brotherhood"), was filed in the Court of Chancery in the State of Delaware. The complaint sought recovery on behalf of the Company of damages from certain directors for purported violations of fiduciary duties and breaches of good faith in connection with our default operations. On February 14, 2012 the parties filed a stipulation dismissing this complaint with prejudice.

On January 21, 2011, a second complaint entitled Michael Wheatley, derivatively on behalf of Lender Processing Services, Inc. v. Jeffrey S. Carbiener, et al., was filed in the Circuit Court of the 4th Judicial Circuit, in and for Duval County, Florida making nearly identical allegations. The parties agreed to a voluntary stay in this matter during the pendency of International Brotherhood.

Washington Mutual Receivership Proceedings

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), in its capacity as Receiver for Washington Mutual Bank ("WAMU"), filed a complaint against the Company and certain of its subsidiaries on May 9, 2011 in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California to recover alleged losses of approximately \$154.5 million. The FDIC contends these losses were a direct and proximate result of the defendants' alleged breach of contract with WAMU and alleged gross negligence with respect to the provision of certain services by the Company's subsidiary LSI Appraisal LLC, an appraisal management company. In particular, the FDIC claims that the services provided failed to conform to federal and state law, regulatory guidelines and other industry standards, including specifically the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice ("USPAP"). The Company believes that the services it provided satisfied the terms and conditions of its contract with WAMU and were not performed with gross negligence. LPS filed a motion to dismiss the complaint on July 22, 2011 and the FDIC filed a response opposing the motion on August 4, 2011. On November 2, 2011, the court issued an order granting the Company's motion to dismiss the FDIC's claims of gross negligence, alter ego, single business enterprise and joint venture. On November 28, 2011 the FDIC amended its complaint, and the Company filed another motion to dismiss on December 23, 2011, which the FDIC opposed on January 23, 2012. On February 6, 2012, the court denied in part and granted in part the Company's motion to dismiss, but granted the FDIC leave to amend its complaint. On February 17, 2012, the FDIC filed a second amended complaint. The only remaining claim in this matter is the FDIC's claim for breach of contract, which the Company intends to vigorously defend.

Regulatory Matters

Explanation of Responses:

Due to the heavily regulated nature of the mortgage industry, from time to time we receive inquiries and requests for information from various state and federal regulatory agencies, including state attorneys general, the U.S. Department of Justice and other agencies, about various matters relating to our business. These inquiries take various forms, including informal or formal requests, reviews, investigations and subpoenas. We attempt to cooperate with all such inquiries.

There continues to be increased scrutiny of all parties involved in the mortgage industry by governmental authorities, judges and the news media, among others. We have responded to or are currently responding to inquiries from multiple governmental agencies. These inquiries range from informal requests for information to grand jury subpoenas. In 2010, we learned that the U.S. Attorney's office for the Middle District of Florida and the Florida Attorney General had begun conducting separate inquiries concerning certain business processes in our default operations. Since then, other federal and state authorities, including various regulatory agencies, and other state attorneys general, have initiated inquiries about these matters, and additional agencies may do so in the future. The business processes that these authorities are considering include the former document preparation,

Table of Contents

verification, signing and notarization practices of certain of our default operations and our relationships with foreclosure attorneys. We have discovered, during our own internal reviews, potential issues related to some of these practices which may cause the validity of certain documents used in foreclosure proceedings to be challenged. However, we are not aware of any person who was wrongfully foreclosed upon as a result of a potential error in the processes used by our employees. We have been cooperating and we have expressed our willingness to continue to fully cooperate with all such inquiries.

Nevada Attorney General

On December 15, 2011, the Nevada Attorney General filed a civil complaint in the District Court for Clark County alleging various violations of the Nevada Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Act. On January 30, 2012, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. On February 17, 2012, the parties filed a stipulation to stay the proceeding while the parties engage in settlement negotiations relative to this complaint. The stay expired on April 21, 2012. The Nevada Attorney General has until May 10, 2012 to file a response to the motion to dismiss and LPS has until May 31, 2012 to file a further reply. A hearing on the motion to dismiss has been scheduled for June 19, 2012.

Missouri Attorney General

On February 6, 2012, the Missouri Attorney General issued a criminal indictment against our subsidiary, DocX, LLC, charging various counts of forgery and false certification. As we have previously disclosed, DocX was a small document solutions subsidiary that we shut down in May 2010, after discovering potential irregularities in certain of its document execution practices. The Company filed a motion to dismiss the indictment on April 6, 2012. A hearing on the motion to dismiss is scheduled for June 11, 2012.

Consent Order

Following a review by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Office of Thrift Supervision (collectively, the "banking agencies"), we have entered into a consent order (the "Order") dated April 13, 2011 with the banking agencies. The banking agencies' review of our services included the services provided by our default operations to mortgage servicers regulated by the banking agencies, including document execution services. The Order does not make any findings of fact or conclusions of wrongdoing, nor does LPS admit any fault or liability. Under the Order, we agreed to further study the issues identified in the review and to enhance our compliance, internal audit, risk management and board oversight plans with respect to those businesses. We also agreed to engage an independent third party to conduct a risk assessment and review of our default management businesses and the document execution services we provided to servicers from January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2010. To the extent such review requires additional remediation of mortgage documents or identifies any financial injury from the document execution services we provided, we have agreed to implement an appropriate plan to address the issues. The Order contains various deadlines by which we have agreed to accomplish the undertakings set forth therein, and we have agreed to make periodic reports to the banking agencies on our progress. The Order does not include any fine or other monetary penalty, although the banking agencies have not yet concluded their assessment of whether any civil monetary penalties may be imposed.

Based on our current knowledge, we believe that the outcome of all pending or threatened legal and regulatory matters, including those described above, will not have a material adverse impact on our business operations, consolidated financial condition or liquidity. However, it is difficult to predict the final outcome of these matters due, among other things, to the early stage of many of these matters and the fact that these matters raise difficult and complicated factual and legal issues and are subject to many uncertainties and complexities. As a result, there can be no assurance that we will not incur costs and expenses in the future in excess of our current accrual that would be

material, including but not limited to settlements, damages, fines or penalties and legal costs, or be subject to other remedies, as a result of the matters described above or other legal or regulatory matters. Therefore, it is reasonably possible that the current accrual for legal and regulatory matters will change and that the change could become material to the consolidated financial statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements other than operating leases and the escrow arrangements described below and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 29, 2012.

Escrow Arrangements

In conducting our title agency and closing services, we routinely hold customers' assets in escrow accounts, pending completion of real estate related transactions. Certain of these amounts are maintained in segregated accounts, and these amounts have not

Table of Contents

been included in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. As an incentive for holding deposits at certain banks, we periodically have programs for realizing economic benefits through favorable arrangements with these banks. As of March 31, 2012, the aggregate value of all amounts held in escrow in our title agency and closing services operations totaled \$288.4 million.

(13) Segment Information

As discussed in note 1, in connection with organizational realignments implemented during the first quarter ended March 31, 2012, the composition of our reporting segments has changed. Prior year information was reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation. Summarized unaudited financial information concerning our segments is shown in the following tables.

As of and for the three months ended March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | Technology, Data and Analytics | Transaction Services | Corporate and Other | Total |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Revenues | \$ 178,143 | \$ 329,612 | \$ (1,734) | \$ 506,021 |
| Operating expenses (1) | 105,593 | 273,466 | 8,417 | 387,476 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 18,584 | 4,692 | 968 | 24,244 |
| Operating income (loss) | 53,966 | 51,454 | (11,119) | 94,301 |
| Total other income (expense) | 395 | 651 | (16,915) | (15,869) |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | \$ 54,361 | \$ 52,105 | \$ (28,034) | \$ 78,432 |
| Balance sheet data: | | | | |
| Total assets (2) | \$ 1,236,029 | \$ 746,260 | \$ 277,609 | 2,259,898 |
| Goodwill (2) | \$ 748,533 | \$ 377,071 | \$ — | \$ 1,125,604 |

As of and for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (in thousands):

| | Technology, Data and Analytics | Transaction Services | Corporate and Other | Total |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Revenues | \$ 168,548 | \$ 370,105 | \$ (1,470) | \$ 537,183 |
| Operating expenses (1) | 94,691 | 281,386 | 8,401 | 384,478 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 17,233 | 4,608 | 1,155 | 22,996 |
| Exit, impairment and other charges | 2,302 | 2,978 | 14,031 | 19,311 |
| Operating income (loss) | 54,322 | 81,133 | (25,057) | 110,398 |
| Total other income (expense) | 352 | 398 | (14,566) | (13,816) |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | \$ 54,674 | \$ 81,531 | \$ (39,623) | \$ 96,582 |
| Balance sheet data: | | | | |
| Total assets (2) | \$ 1,252,717 | \$ 802,362 | \$ 135,235 | \$ 2,190,314 |
| Goodwill (2) | \$ 782,883 | \$ 385,478 | \$ — | \$ 1,168,361 |

(1) Operating expenses within the "Corporate and Other" segment are attributable to unallocated general and administrative expenses, which the Company believes are immaterial.

(2) Includes the impact of discontinued operations.

(14) Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

As explained in note 10, on August 18, 2011, LPS (the "Parent Company") entered into an Amendment, Restatement and Joinder Agreement (the "Amendment Agreement") in respect of the Credit Agreement dated as of July 2, 2008 (the "2008 Credit Agreement"). The 2011 Credit Agreement and the Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, by the majority of the subsidiaries of the Parent Company (the "Subsidiary Guarantors"). Certain other subsidiaries (the "Other Subsidiaries") are not guarantors of the 2011 Credit Agreement and the Notes. The guarantees of the Notes by the Subsidiary Guarantors are general unsecured obligations of the Subsidiary Guarantors, and accordingly are senior to any of their existing and

20

Table of Contents

future subordinated debt obligations, equal in right of payment with any of their existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness and effectively subordinated to any of their existing and future secured indebtedness to the extent of the assets securing such debt (including the Subsidiary Guarantors' obligations under the 2011 Credit Agreement).

The Parent Company conducts virtually all of its business operations through its Subsidiary Guarantors and Other Subsidiaries. Accordingly, the Parent Company's main sources of internally generated cash are dividends and distributions with respect to its ownership interests in the subsidiaries, which are derived from the cash flow generated by the subsidiaries.

As of March 31, 2012, the Parent Company has no independent assets or operations, and our subsidiaries' guarantees are full and unconditional and joint and several. There are no significant restrictions on the ability of LPS or any of the Subsidiary Guarantors to obtain funds from any of our subsidiaries other than National Title Insurance of New York Inc., our title insurance underwriter subsidiary, by dividend or loan. As discussed in note 6, NTNY is statutorily required to maintain investment assets backing its reserves for settling losses on the policies it issues, and its ability to pay dividends or make loans is limited by regulatory requirements. NTNY, which is not a subsidiary guarantor, was more than a minor subsidiary as of and during the three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.

The following tables set forth, on a condensed consolidating basis, the balance sheets, the statements of earnings and comprehensive earnings and the statements of cash flows for the Parent Company, the Subsidiary Guarantors and Other Subsidiaries as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, respectively.

The following table represents our condensed consolidating balance sheet as of March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | Parent Company (1) | Subsidiary Guarantors | Other Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Total Consolidated Amounts |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Current assets | \$2,143 | \$529,379 | \$11,690 | \$— | \$543,212 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 1,612,953 | — | — | (1,612,953) | — |
| Non-current assets | 21,642 | 1,627,787 | 67,257 | — | 1,716,686 |
| Total assets | \$1,636,738 | \$2,157,166 | \$78,947 | \$(1,612,953) | \$2,259,898 |
| Liabilities and equity: | | | | | |
| Current liabilities | \$33,244 | \$368,619 | \$34,280 | \$— | \$436,143 |
| Total liabilities | 1,106,174 | 589,309 | 33,851 | — | 1,729,334 |
| Total equity | 530,564 | 1,567,857 | 45,096 | (1,612,953) | 530,564 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$1,636,738 | \$2,157,166 | \$78,947 | \$(1,612,953) | \$2,259,898 |

Table of Contents

The following table represents our condensed consolidating statement of earnings and comprehensive earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | Parent Company (2) | Subsidiary Guarantors | Other Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Total Consolidated Amounts |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Revenues | \$— | \$430,000 | \$76,021 | \$— | \$506,021 |
| Total operating expenses | 5,257 | 333,244 | 73,219 | — | 411,720 |
| Operating income | (5,257 |) 96,756 | 2,802 | — | 94,301 |
| Total other income (expense) | (16,402 |) 25 | 508 | — | (15,869) |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in earnings of consolidated entities | (21,659 |) 96,781 | 3,310 | — | 78,432 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | (8,079 |) 36,100 | 1,234 | — | 29,255 |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before equity in earnings of consolidated entities | (13,580 |) 60,681 | 2,076 | — | 49,177 |
| Equity in earnings of consolidated entities, net of tax | 60,701 | — | — | (60,701 |) — |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations | 47,121 | 60,681 | 2,076 | (60,701 |) 49,177 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | — | (2,056 |) — | — | (2,056) |
| Net earnings | 47,121 | 58,625 | 2,076 | (60,701 |) 47,121 |
| Total other comprehensive loss | (133 |) — | (124 |) — | (257) |
| Comprehensive earnings | \$46,988 | \$58,625 | \$1,952 | \$(60,701 |) \$46,864 |

The following table represents our condensed consolidating statement of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | Parent Company | Subsidiary Guarantors | Other Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Total Consolidated Amounts |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | | | |
| Net earnings | \$47,121 | \$58,625 | \$2,076 | \$(60,701 |) \$47,121 |
| Adjustment to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | | | |
| Non-cash expenses and other items | (55,051 |) 31,712 | 70 | 60,701 | 37,432 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions | (12,249 |) 16,529 | 1,218 | — | 5,498 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | (20,179 |) 106,866 | 3,364 | — | 90,051 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 6,398 | (35,539 |) (5,764 |) — | (34,905) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (26,773 |) (2,000 |) — | — | (28,773) |

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: ASTEC INDUSTRIES INC - Form 4

| | | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------|------------|-----|-----------|
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$(40,554) | \$69,327 | \$(2,400) | \$— | 26,373 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | | | | | 77,355 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | | | | | \$103,728 |

22

Table of Contents

The following table represents our condensed consolidating balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

| | Parent Company(1) | Subsidiary Guarantors | Other Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Total Consolidated Amounts |
|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Current assets | \$2,065 | \$515,189 | \$13,582 | \$— | \$530,836 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 1,599,546 | — | — | (1,599,546) | — |
| Non-current assets | 22,761 | 1,629,971 | 61,847 | — | 1,714,579 |
| Total assets | \$1,624,372 | \$2,145,160 | \$75,429 | \$(1,599,546) | \$2,245,415 |
| Liabilities and equity: | | | | | |
| Current liabilities | \$55,856 | \$368,780 | \$33,350 | \$— | \$457,986 |
| Total liabilities | 1,136,384 | 588,408 | 32,635 | — | 1,757,427 |
| Total equity | 487,988 | 1,556,752 | 42,794 | (1,599,546) | 487,988 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$1,624,372 | \$2,145,160 | \$75,429 | \$(1,599,546) | \$2,245,415 |

The following table represents our condensed consolidating statement of earnings and comprehensive earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (in thousands):

| | Parent Company (2) | Subsidiary Guarantors | Other Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Total Consolidated Amounts |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Revenues | \$— | \$464,255 | \$72,928 | \$— | \$537,183 |
| Total operating expenses | 10,628 | 345,266 | 70,891 | — | 426,785 |
| Operating income | (10,628) | 118,989 | 2,037 | — | 110,398 |
| Total other income (expense) | (14,156) | 34 | 306 | — | (13,816) |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in earnings of consolidated entities | (24,784) | 119,023 | 2,343 | — | 96,582 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | (9,418) | 45,229 | 891 | — | 36,702 |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before equity in earnings of consolidated entities | (15,366) | 73,794 | 1,452 | — | 59,880 |
| Equity in earnings of consolidated entities, net of tax | 71,295 | — | — | (71,295) | — |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations | 55,929 | 73,794 | 1,452 | (71,295) | 59,880 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | — | (3,951) | — | — | (3,951) |
| Net earnings | 55,929 | 69,843 | 1,452 | (71,295) | 55,929 |
| Total other comprehensive earnings (loss) | 115 | — | (133) | — | (18) |
| Comprehensive earnings | \$56,044 | \$69,843 | \$1,319 | \$(71,295) | \$55,911 |

Table of Contents

The following table represents our condensed consolidating statement of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (in thousands):

| | Parent Company | Subsidiary Guarantors | Other Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Total Consolidated Amounts |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | | | |
| Net earnings | \$55,929 | \$69,843 | \$1,452 | \$ (71,295) | \$55,929 |
| Adjustment to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | | | |
| Non-cash expenses and other items | (63,337) | 36,909 | 112 | 71,295 | 44,979 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions | (13,169) | 33,219 | (518) | — | 19,532 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | (20,577) | 139,971 | 1,046 | — | 120,440 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | — | (35,539) | (3,741) | — | (39,280) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (128,603) | — | — | — | (128,603) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$(149,180) | \$104,432 | \$(2,695) | \$— | (47,443) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | | | | | 52,287 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | | | | | \$4,844 |

(1) The Parent Company does not allocate current or deferred income taxes to the Subsidiary Guarantors or Other Subsidiaries.

(2) The Parent Company does not allocate corporate overhead to the Subsidiary Guarantors or Other Subsidiaries.

(15) Subsequent Events

Subsequent events have been evaluated through the date on which the financial statements were filed.

Dividend Declared

On April 26, 2012, we declared a regular dividend of \$0.10 per common share. The dividend is payable on June 21, 2012, to shareholders of record as of the close of business on June 7, 2012.

Sold Businesses

On May 2, 2012, we completed the sale of our True Automation and Aptitude businesses, previously included within the Technology, Data and Analytics segment for approximately \$17.3 million.

Table of Contents

Except as otherwise indicated or unless the context otherwise requires, all references to “LPS,” “we,” the “Company,” or the “registrant” are to Lender Processing Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation that was incorporated in December 2007 as a wholly-owned subsidiary of FIS, and its subsidiaries; and all references to “FIS,” the “former parent,” or the “holding company” are to Fidelity National Information Services, Inc., a Georgia corporation, and its subsidiaries, that owned all of LPS's shares until they were distributed to the shareholders of FIS in a tax-free spin-off on July 2, 2008.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Item 1: Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this report. The discussion below contains forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Those forward-looking statements include all statements that are not historical facts, including statements about our beliefs and expectations. Forward-looking statements are based on management's beliefs, as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to management. Because such statements are based on expectations as to future economic performance and are not statements of historical fact, actual results may differ materially from those projected. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. The risks and uncertainties to which forward-looking statements are subject include, but are not limited to: our ability to adapt our services to changes in technology or the marketplace; the impact of adverse changes in the level of real estate activity (including, among others, loan originations and foreclosures) on demand for certain of our services; our ability to maintain and grow our relationships with our customers; the effects of our substantial leverage on our ability to make acquisitions and invest in our business; the level of scrutiny being placed on participants in the foreclosure process; risks associated with federal and state enforcement proceedings, inquiries and examinations currently underway or that may be commenced in the future with respect to our default management operations, and with civil litigation related to these matters; the impact of continued delays in the foreclosure process on the timing and collectability of our fees for certain of our services; changes to the laws, rules and regulations that regulate our businesses as a result of the current economic and financial environment; changes in general economic, business and political conditions, including changes in the financial markets; the impact of any potential defects, development delays, installation difficulties or system failures on our business and reputation; risks associated with protecting information security and privacy; and other risks and uncertainties detailed in the “Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information,” “Risk Factors” and other sections of the Company's Form 10-K, this Form 10-Q and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Overview

We are a provider of integrated technology and transaction services to the mortgage lending industry, with market leading positions in mortgage processing and default management services in the U.S. We conduct our operations through two reporting segments, Technology, Data and Analytics and Transaction Services, which produced approximately 35% and 65%, respectively, of our revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2012. A large number of financial institutions use our solutions. Our technology solutions include our mortgage processing system, which automates all areas of loan servicing, from loan setup and ongoing processing to customer service, accounting and reporting. Our technology solutions also include our Desktop system, which is a middleware enterprise workflow management application designed to streamline and automate business processes. Our transaction services include our default services, which are used by mortgage lenders, servicers and other real estate professionals to reduce the expense of managing defaulted loans, and our origination services, which support most aspects of the closing of mortgage loan transactions by lenders and loan servicers.

Our Technology, Data and Analytics segment principally includes:

-

Explanation of Responses:

• Servicing Technology. Our mortgage servicing technology, which we conduct using our mortgage servicing platform and our team of experienced support personnel;

• Default Technology. Our Desktop application, a workflow system that assists our customers in managing business processes, which is primarily used in connection with mortgage loan default management;

• Origination Technology. Our mortgage origination technology and our collaborative electronic vendor network, which provides connectivity among mortgage industry participants; and

• Data and Analytics. Our data and analytics businesses, in which we provide automated valuation products and aggregated property, loan and tax status data services.

Our Transaction Services segment offers a range of services used mainly in the production of a mortgage loan, which we refer to as origination services, and in the management of mortgage loans that go into default, which we refer to as default services.

25

Table of Contents

Our origination services include:

settlement and title agency services, in which we act as an agent for title insurers or as an underwriter, and closing services, in which we assist in the closing of real estate transactions;

appraisal services, which consist of traditional appraisals provided through our appraisal management company; and

other origination services, including flood zone information, which assists lenders in determining whether a property is in a federally designated flood zone.

Our default services include, among others:

foreclosure management services, including administrative services provided to independent attorneys and trustees, mandatory title searches, posting and publishing, and other services;

property inspection and preservation services, designed to preserve the value of properties securing defaulted loans;

asset management services, providing disposition services for our customers' real estate owned properties through independent real estate brokers, attorneys and other vendors to facilitate the transaction; and

alternative property valuation services, which provide a range of default related valuation services supporting the foreclosure process.

General and administrative expenses that are not included in our operating segments are included in Corporate and Other.

Recent Trends and Developments

Revenues in our origination businesses and certain of our data businesses are closely related to the level of residential real estate activity in the U.S., which includes sales, mortgage financing and mortgage refinancing. The level of real estate activity is primarily affected by real estate prices, the availability of funds for mortgage loans, mortgage interest rates and the overall state of the U.S. economy. The federal government has taken several steps over the last few years in an attempt to address the downturn in the housing market, including steps to reduce interest rates and legislation such as the Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan (the "HASAP") under which homeowners who would otherwise be unable to get a refinancing loan because of a loss in home value have been able to refinance.

The Mortgage Bankers Association ("MBA") estimates that the level of U.S. mortgage originations, by dollar volume, was \$1.3 trillion and \$1.6 trillion in 2011 and 2010, respectively, with refinancing transactions comprising approximately 68% and 70%, respectively, of the total markets. Despite significant refinancing activity during the first quarter of 2012, the MBA's Mortgage Finance Forecast currently estimates that the mortgage origination market for 2012 will be approximately \$1.1 trillion, with the decrease in activity being driven by substantially decreased refinancing activity. We believe the decrease in the MBA's projections for 2012 is due to, among other things, current real estate prices, the potential for rising interest rates, and tightened loan requirements, such as higher credit score and down payment requirements and additional fees. The revenues for our origination businesses are linked to the volume of origination transactions, and refinancing transactions in particular, and a decrease in the level of origination activity could adversely affect the results of operations of those businesses.

Our various businesses are impacted differently by the level of mortgage originations and refinancing transactions. For instance, while our origination services and some of our data businesses are directly affected by the volume of real estate transactions and mortgage originations, our mortgage processing business is generally less affected because it

Explanation of Responses:

earns revenues based on the total number of mortgage loans it processes, which tends to stay more constant. However, in the event that the difficult economy or other factors lead to a decline in levels of home ownership and a reduction in the number of mortgage loans outstanding and we are not able to counter the impact of those events with increased market share or higher fees, our mortgage processing revenues could be adversely affected.

In contrast, we believe that a weaker economy tends to increase the volume of consumer mortgage defaults, which can favorably affect our default management operations in which we service residential mortgage loans in default. These factors can also increase revenues from our Desktop solution, as the Desktop application, at present, is primarily used in connection with default management. However, in addition to providing refinancing opportunities for borrowers who are current on their mortgage payments but have been unable to refinance because their homes have decreased in value, the HASP also provides for the Home Affordable Modification Program (“HAMP”), a loan modification program targeted at borrowers who are at risk of foreclosure

Table of Contents

because their incomes are not sufficient to make their mortgage payments.

Through February 2012, the Treasury Department estimates that the banks had worked through most of the approximately 2.5 million loans currently eligible for the program, and had offered approximately 2.0 million trial modifications. Of those, approximately 1.8 million trial modifications were actually implemented and approximately 1.0 million became permanent. While we believe that HAMP has had an adverse effect on the processing of delinquent loans (and may continue to have a negative effect in the future as additional mortgages become eligible under the program's current criteria or if those criteria are broadened), the pace of modifications has slowed and we believe it will have a lessened impact going forward.

On February 1, 2012, the Obama Administration announced new initiatives intended to increase mortgage refinancings, reduce foreclosures and improve the housing market. These initiatives are intended to, among other things: (i) enable certain borrowers with loans insured by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac ("GSEs") and certain borrowers with non-GSE loans to refinance their mortgages and take advantage of low interest rates; (ii) extend the forbearance period for unemployed borrowers with loans held by the GSEs and major banks from three months to one year ; and (iii) extend HAMP through December 31, 2013, while also relaxing the eligibility requirements and increasing the financial incentives for banks to participate in it. Implementation of these initiatives has begun, except for the refinancing initiatives. The GSEs have not yet started the refinancing program, and Congress would need to pass legislation to implement the refinancing program for non-GSE loans.

Notwithstanding the effects of existing government programs, the inventory of delinquent mortgage loans and loans in foreclosure remains significant. We believe this is due in part to a prolonged period of elevated delinquency rates coupled with a slowdown in the processing of foreclosures as lenders focused their resources on trying to make modifications under the HAMP program and in trying to confirm the compliance of their foreclosure procedures with applicable laws. In addition, following reviews conducted by federal banking regulatory agencies during late 2010 and early 2011 of their default and foreclosure processes, in April 2011, the 14 largest banks and certain of their third party service providers, including us, entered into consent orders with federal banking agencies. The consent orders further slowed the pace of foreclosures in 2011 as the banks and their third party providers tried to work through their requirements, and we believe this trend will continue for some time. Although five of the largest banks were able to reach a \$25 billion settlement of federal and state investigations into their foreclosure practices that may result in more normalized foreclosure timelines in the future, we cannot predict whether any legislative or regulatory changes will be implemented as a result of the findings of the banking agencies following their default and foreclosure services reviews, or whether the government may take additional action to increase the success of HAMP or to otherwise address the current housing market and economic uncertainty. Any such actions could cause a continuation of or further slow the current level of foreclosure volumes and adversely affect our future results.

The slowdown in the processing of foreclosures has also adversely impacted a number of service providers in the default industry. For example, the foreclosure trustees that manage the foreclosure process for the servicers in many states are experiencing significant delays in the timing of receiving payments for their services. In many cases, the servicers direct these foreclosure trustees to use our services, particularly our default title and our posting and publishing services. The fees for our services are passed through to the servicers, and we do not receive payment for these services until after the trustees are paid by the servicers, which often does not occur until the foreclosure process has been completed. As foreclosure timelines have continued to extend for longer periods, we have become uncertain of the trustees' ultimate ability to pay these fees and have increased our bad debt reserve. Continued delays in the foreclosure process and the timing of payments for these services could result in us making additional increases to our allowance for doubtful accounts or in the accounts becoming uncollectable.

The current economic downturn has also led to an increased legislative and regulatory focus on consumer protection practices. As a result, federal and state governments have enacted various new laws, rules and regulations. One example of such legislation is the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), which was signed into law in July 2010. The Dodd-Frank Act contains broad changes for many sectors of the financial services and lending industries. Among other things, the Dodd-Frank Act includes new requirements for appraisals and appraisal management companies, including a requirement that appraisal fees be "customary and

reasonable.” As a result we have experienced compression in our margins on our appraisal services because our customer contracts are at fixed prices, but the amount our appraisal management company must pay to the independent appraisers with whom it contracts has increased. It is difficult to predict the final form that regulations or other rule-makings to implement other requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act may take, what additional legislative or regulatory changes may be approved in the future, or whether those changes may require us to change our business practices, incur increased costs of compliance or adversely affect our results of operations.

Critical Accounting Policies

There have been no significant changes to our critical accounting policies since our Annual Report on Form 10-K was filed on February 29, 2012.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") No. 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income, amended by ASU No. 2011-12, Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items out of Accumulated Comprehensive Income. The ASUs eliminate the option to present the components of other comprehensive income (OCI) as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity and requires a company to present items of net income and other comprehensive income either in one continuous statement or in two separate, but consecutive statements. The statement also requires a company to present a statement of comprehensive income as part of the statements of condensed consolidating financial information. The new standard was effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. We adopted the new guidance as of January 1, 2012. As we have historically presented two separate consecutive statements, the new guidance only requires the presentation of statements of condensed consolidating comprehensive earnings within note 14 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, included in Item 1 herein.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles — Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment, which contains changes to the testing of goodwill for impairment. These changes provide an entity the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances lead to a determination that it is more likely than not (more than 50%) that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If an entity elects to perform a qualitative assessment and determines that an impairment is more likely than not, the entity is then required to perform the existing two-step quantitative impairment test, otherwise no further analysis is required. An entity may also elect not to perform the qualitative assessment and, instead, go directly to the two step quantitative impairment test. ASU No. 2011-08 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. We adopted the new guidance in ASU No. 2011-08 as of January 1, 2012 and will elect to perform the qualitative assessment when we perform our annual impairment test using our September 30th measurement date to determine if further analysis is required for any of our reporting units.

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. ASU No. 2011-04 develops common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with US GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs) and improves the comparability of fair value measurements presented and disclosed in financial statements prepared in accordance with US GAAP and IFRSs. ASU No. 2011-04 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. We adopted the new guidance in ASU No. 2011-04 as of January 1, 2012, which only requires additional disclosure and does not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Related Party Transactions

We have historically conducted business with Ceridian Corporation, FIS and its subsidiaries, and other related parties. See note 3 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Part I for a detailed description of all related party transactions.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

As discussed in note 1 to our condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited) included in Part I, in connection with organizational realignments implemented during the first quarter ending March 31, 2012, the composition of our reporting segments has changed. Prior year information was reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation. The following tables reflect certain amounts included in operating income and net earnings in our condensed consolidated statements of earnings, the relative percentage of those amounts to total revenues, and the change in those amounts from the comparable prior year period.

Condensed Consolidated Results of Operations - Unaudited

| (in millions, except per share amounts) | Three Months ended March 31, | | | | As a % of Revenues | | Variance 2012 vs. 2011 (1)(2) | |
|---|------------------------------|---------|-------------|-------|--------------------|---------|-------------------------------|------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | (1) 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | \$ | % |
| Revenues | \$506.0 | \$537.2 | 100 | % 100 | % | | \$(31.2) | (6)% |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | 387.5 | 384.5 | 77 | % 72 | % | (3.0) | (1)% | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 24.2 | 23.0 | 5 | % 4 | % | (1.2) | (5)% | |
| Exit costs, impairments and other charges | — | 19.3 | — | % 4 | % | 19.3 | nm | |
| Total expenses | 411.7 | 426.8 | 81 | % 79 | % | 15.1 | 4% | |
| Operating income | 94.3 | 110.4 | 19 | % 21 | % | (16.1) | (15)% | |
| Operating margin | 19 | % 21 | % | | | | | |
| Other income (expense) | (15.9) | (13.8) | (3) | % (3) | % | (2.1) | (15)% | |
| Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes | 78.4 | 96.6 | 15 | % 18 | % | (18.2) | (19)% | |
| Provision for income taxes | 29.3 | 36.7 | 6 | % 7 | % | 7.4 | 20% | |
| Net earnings from continuing operations | 49.2 | 59.9 | 10 | % 11 | % | (10.7) | (18)% | |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | (2.1) | (4.0) | — | % (1) | % | 1.9 | 48% | |
| Net earnings | \$47.2 | \$55.9 | 9 | % 10 | % | \$(8.7) | (16)% | |
| Net earnings per share - diluted | \$0.56 | \$0.63 | | | | | | |

(1) Columns may not total due to rounding.

(2) Certain percentages are not meaningful, indicated by "nm."

Revenues

Consolidated revenues decreased \$31.2 million, or 6%, during the three months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. The decrease was primarily driven by a 24% decline in default services revenue resulting from continued delays in the foreclosure process causing reduced volumes. These ongoing delays were largely due to continued regulatory scrutiny and monitoring, including consent orders entered into by a number of large servicers, judicial actions and voluntary delays by servicers. The decrease in default services was partially offset by a 14% increase in origination services as a result of higher refinance origination volumes due to the continued low interest rate environment, the impact of the Home Affordable Refinance Program ("HARP"), which reduced loan-to-value requirements and thereby allowed certain "underwater" borrowers to qualify for refinancing, and from market share gains. We also benefited from a 6% increase in our Technology, Data and Analytics segment as a

Explanation of Responses:

result of growth in our technology operations which provide servicing, origination and default related technologies.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses increased \$3.0 million, or 1%, during the three months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. Operating expenses as a percentage of revenues increased to 77% during the first three months of 2012 as compared to 72% during the first three months of 2011. The factors contributing to this increase include lower operating

Table of Contents

income from origination technology in our Technology, Data and Analytics segment due to near-term investments in that business to convert from a software licensing to software as a service ("SaaS") model; as well as margin pressures in our Transaction Services segment due to reduced operating leverage in default services from the slowdown in the release of foreclosure volumes and from an elongation of foreclosure timelines which extends our servicing obligations; increases in our sales allowance and bad debt reserves based on management's ongoing assessment of the impact of continued delays in the foreclosure process on the collectability of our accounts receivable; adverse changes in revenue mix in default services from higher margin title and escrow services to lower margin property preservation and inspection services; a decrease in the closed order ratio in the title operations in our origination services due to strength in new order counts in the current year quarter, for which we defer recognizing revenue until the refinancing transaction closes but are required to recognize cost of sales as the orders are processed; and margin compression in our appraisal operations due to the impact of the "customary and reasonable" fee requirement under the Dodd-Frank Act which increased the fees we are required to pay to independent appraisers while the amounts we charge our customers for those appraisals are at fixed prices.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization increased \$1.2 million, or 5%, during the three months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. Depreciation and amortization as a percentage of revenues have increased to 5% during the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to 4% during the three months ended March 31, 2011 due to the decreases in revenues described above.

Exit Costs, Impairments and Other Charges

We recorded a \$19.3 million charge during the first quarter of 2011 predominately related to severance charges resulting from our various cost reduction programs.

Operating Income

Operating income decreased \$16.1 million, or 15%, during the three months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. Operating margin decreased to 19% during the three months ended March 31, 2012 from 21% during the three months ended March 31, 2011 as a result of the factors described above.

Other Income (Expense)

Other income (expense) increased \$2.1 million, or 15%, during the three months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011, predominately due to an increase in interest expense. The increase to interest expense for the three month period ending March 31, 2012 was primarily due to higher average interest rates under our new credit facilities as well as higher notional amounts on our outstanding interest rate swaps.

Income Taxes

Income taxes on continuing operations were \$29.3 million and \$36.7 million during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The effective tax rate was 37.3% and 38.0% during the three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Loss from Discontinued Operations, net

During the year ended December 31, 2011, management made decisions to sell or dispose of certain non-core or underperforming business units including Verification Bureau, SoftPro, Rising Tide Auction, True Automation,

Explanation of Responses:

Aptitude and certain operations previously included in our Real Estate Group, all of which were previously included as part of the Technology, Data and Analytics segment. Management also made the decision to sell our Tax Services business (other than our tax data services that provide lenders with information about the tax status of a property, which are now included in our Technology, Data and Analytics segment), which was previously included within the Transaction Services segment. All of these businesses were classified as discontinued operations during the year ended December 31, 2011. Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax, was \$2.1 million and \$4.0 million for the three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The decrease in the loss from discontinued operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011 was predominately the result of a gain of \$8.1 million (\$3.1 million net of tax) associated with the sale of the SoftPro business unit, offset by impairments of approximately \$2.8 million (\$1.8 million net of tax) on disposal of businesses. See note 7 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Part I for a detailed description of our discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

Net Earnings and Net Earnings Per Share- Diluted

Net earnings decreased \$8.7 million, or 16%, during the three months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. Net earnings per diluted share totaled \$0.56 and \$0.63 during the first three months of 2012 and 2011, respectively. The decreases were a result of the factors described above, partially offset by a decrease in the weighted average shares outstanding - diluted as a result of the Company's share repurchases during 2011 made in connection with our authorized share repurchase programs.

Segment Results of Operations - Technology, Data and Analytics - Unaudited

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | Variance 2012 vs. | |
|---|------------------------------|----------|------------------------|-------|-------------------|--------|
| | 2012 (1) | 2011 (1) | As a % of Revenues (1) | | 2011 (1)(2) | |
| | | | 2012 | 2011 | \$ | % |
| Revenues | | | | | | |
| Technology: | \$161.3 | \$151.2 | 91 | % 90 | % \$10.1 | 7 % |
| Servicing Technology | 108.4 | 103.3 | 61 | % 61 | % 5.1 | 5 % |
| Default Technology | 31.6 | 29.2 | 18 | % 17 | % 2.4 | 8 % |
| Origination Technology | 21.4 | 18.8 | 12 | % 11 | % 2.6 | 14 % |
| Data and Analytics | 16.9 | 17.3 | 9 | % 10 | % (0.4) | (2)% |
| Total Revenues | 178.1 | 168.5 | 100 | % 100 | % 9.6 | 6 % |
| Expenses: | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | 105.6 | 94.7 | 59 | % 56 | % (10.9) | (12)% |
| Depreciation and amortization | 18.6 | 17.2 | 10 | % 10 | % (1.4) | (8)% |
| Exit costs, impairments and other charges | — | 2.3 | — | % 1 | % 2.3 | nm |
| Total expenses | 124.2 | 114.2 | 70 | % 68 | % (10.0) | (9)% |
| Operating income | \$54.0 | \$54.3 | 30 | % 32 | % \$(0.3) | (1)% |
| Operating margin | 30 | % 32 | % | | | |

(1) Columns may not total due to rounding.

(2) Certain percentages are not meaningful, indicated by "nm."

Revenues

Revenues increased \$9.6 million, or 6%, during the three months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. The increase is primarily due to growth in servicing technology due to new client implementations onto our mortgage servicing platform in 2011 and higher transactional fees, growth in origination technology due to higher refinancing activity which drives incremental transactional fees, and from growth in default technology from the annualization of new client implementations onto our Desktop platform in 2011.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses increased \$10.9 million, or 12%, during the three months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. Operating expenses as a percentage of revenues increased to 59% during the first three months of 2012 as compared to 56% during the first three months of 2011 primarily as a result of lower operating income from origination technology due to near-term investments in that business to convert from a software licensing to software as a service ("SaaS") model.

Explanation of Responses:

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization increased \$1.4 million, or 8%, during the three months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. Depreciation and amortization as a percentage of revenues have remained constant at approximately 10% during the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011.

31

Table of Contents

Exit Costs, Impairments and Other Charges

We recorded a \$2.3 million charge during the first quarter of 2011 related to severance charges resulting from our various cost reduction programs.

Operating Income

Operating margin decreased to 30% from 32% during the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011 as a result of the factors described above.

Segment Results of Operations - Transaction Services - Unaudited

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31 | | | | As a % of | | Variance 2012 vs. | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------|--------------|-------|-------------|-----|-------------------|--|
| | 2012 (1) | 2011 (1) | Revenues (1) | | 2011 (1)(2) | | | |
| | | | 2012 | 2011 | \$ | % | | |
| Revenues | | | | | | | | |
| Origination Services | \$146.8 | \$129.0 | 45 | % 35 | % \$17.8 | 14 | % | |
| Default Services | 182.9 | 241.1 | 55 | % 65 | % (58.2) | (24 |)% | |
| Total Revenues | 329.6 | 370.1 | 100 | % 100 | % (40.5) | (11 |)% | |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | 273.5 | 281.4 | 83 | % 76 | % 7.9 | 3 | % | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4.7 | 4.6 | 1 | % 1 | % (0.1) | (2 |)% | |
| Exit costs, impairments and other charges | — | 3.0 | — | % 1 | % 3.0 | nm | | |
| Total expenses | 278.2 | 289.0 | 84 | % 78 | % 10.8 | 4 | % | |
| Operating income | \$51.5 | \$81.1 | 16 | % 22 | % \$(29.6) | (36 |)% | |
| Operating margin | 16 | % 22 | % | | | | | |

(1) Columns may not total due to rounding.

(2) Certain percentages are not meaningful, indicated by "nm."

Revenues

Revenues decreased \$40.5 million, or 11%, during the three months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. The decrease was primarily driven by a 24% decline in our default services revenue resulting from continued delays in the foreclosure process causing reduced volumes. These ongoing delays were largely the result of continuing regulatory scrutiny and monitoring, including consent orders entered into by a number of large servicers, judicial actions and voluntary delays by servicers. The decrease was also due to a \$5.2 million sales allowance reserve recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2012 based on management's ongoing assessment of the impact of continued delays in the foreclosure process on the collectability of our accounts receivable. These decreases were partially offset by a 14% increase in our origination services revenue as a result of higher refinance origination volumes due to the continued low interest rate environment, the impact of HARP, which reduced loan-to-value underwriting requirements and thereby allowed certain "underwater" borrowers to qualify for refinancing, and from market share gains.

Operating Expenses

Explanation of Responses:

Operating expenses decreased \$7.9 million, or 3%, during the three months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. Operating expenses as a percentage of revenues increased to 83% during the first three months of 2012 as compared to 76% during the first three months of 2011. The factors contributing to this increase include reduced operating leverage in default services from the slowdown in the release of foreclosure volumes and from an elongation of foreclosure timelines which extends our servicing obligations; increases in our sales allowance and allowance for doubtful accounts based on management's ongoing assessment of the impact of continued delays in the foreclosure process on the collectability of our accounts receivable; adverse changes in revenue mix in default services from higher margin title and escrow services to lower margin property preservation and inspection services; a decrease in the closed order ratio in the title operations in our origination services

Table of Contents

due to strength in new order counts in the current year quarter, for which we defer recognizing revenue until the refinancing transaction closes but are required to recognize cost of sales as the orders are processed; and margin compression in our appraisal operations due to the impact of the "customary and reasonable" fee requirement under the Dodd-Frank Act which increased the fees we are required to pay to independent appraisers while the amounts we charge our customers for those appraisals are at fixed prices.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization decreased \$0.1 million, or 2%, during the three months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. Depreciation and amortization expenses as a percentage of revenues have remained constant at 1% during the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Exit Costs, Impairments and Other Charges

We recorded a \$3.0 million charge during the first three months of 2011 related to severance charges resulting from our various cost reduction programs.

Operating Income

Operating margin decreased to 16% during the three months ended March 31, 2012 from 22% during the three months ended March 31, 2011 due to the factors described above.

Segment Results of Operations - Corporate and Other - Unaudited

The Corporate and Other segment consists of general and administrative expenses that are not included in the other segments as well as certain smaller operations. Net expenses for this segment decreased to \$11.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 from \$25.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 due to a \$14.0 million charge recognized in the first quarter of 2011 predominately related to severance and stock compensation acceleration charges associated with our various cost reduction programs recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Requirements

Our cash requirements include operating costs, income taxes, debt service payments, capital expenditures, systems development expenditures, stockholder dividends and business acquisitions. Our principal source of funds is cash generated by our operations.

At March 31, 2012, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$103.7 million and debt of \$1,131.8 million, including the current portion. We expect that cash flows from operations over the next twelve months will be sufficient to fund our operating cash requirements and pay principal and interest on our outstanding debt absent any unusual circumstances such as adverse changes in the business environment. As of March 31, 2012, we also have remaining availability under our revolving credit facility of approximately \$398.2 million.

We currently pay a dividend of \$0.10 per common share on a quarterly basis, and expect to continue to do so in the future. The declaration and payment of future dividends is at the discretion of the Board of Directors, and depends on, among other things, our investment policy and opportunities, results of operations, financial condition, cash

requirements, future prospects, and other factors that may be considered relevant by our Board of Directors, including legal and contractual restrictions. Additionally, the payment of cash dividends may be limited by covenants in certain debt agreements. A regular quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per common share is payable on June 21, 2012, to shareholders of record as of the close of business on June 7, 2012. We continually assess our capital allocation strategy, including decisions relating to the amount of our dividend, reduction of debt, repurchases of our stock and the making of select acquisitions.

On June 16, 2011 our Board of Directors approved an authorization for us to repurchase up to \$100.0 million of our common stock and/or our senior notes, effective through December 31, 2012. This authorization replaced the previous authorization and subsumed all amounts remaining available thereunder. Our ability to repurchase shares of common stock or senior notes is subject to restrictions contained in our senior secured credit agreement and in the indenture governing our senior unsecured notes. During

33

Table of Contents

the three months ended March 31, 2012, we did not repurchase any shares of our stock or senior notes. As of March 31, 2012, we had \$95.1 million remaining available under our \$100.0 million repurchase authorization.

Operating Activities

Cash provided by operating activities reflects net income adjusted for certain non-cash items and changes in certain assets and liabilities. Cash provided by operating activities was approximately \$90.1 million and \$120.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The decline in cash provided by operating activities during the first three months of 2012 when compared to the first three months of 2011 was primarily related to a decrease in working capital contributions mainly from lower accounts receivable collections from Default Services, which carries a higher "days sales outstanding" ratio due to the lag between revenue recognition and collection, as well as from lower net income.

Investing Activities

Investing cash flows consist primarily of capital expenditures and acquisitions and dispositions. Cash used in investing activities was approximately \$34.9 million and \$39.3 million during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The decrease in cash used in investing activities during the first three months of 2012 when compared to the first three months of 2011 was primarily due to the net impact of our business acquisitions and dispositions in which we acquired PCLender in the first quarter of 2011 for \$9.8 million and disposed of Tax Services and SoftPro, LLC during the first quarter of 2012 for net proceeds of \$6.4 million. This decrease was partially offset by a \$9.4 million increase in investments in title plants and property records data in the first three months of 2012.

Our principal capital expenditures are for computer software (purchased and internally developed) and additions to property and equipment. We spent approximately \$23.7 million and \$23.3 million on capital expenditures during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Financing Activities

Cash used in financing activities was approximately \$28.8 million and \$128.6 million during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The decrease in cash used in financing activities during the first three months of 2012 when compared to the first three months of 2011 was due to treasury stock repurchases of \$83.9 million made in the the first three months of 2011, and a decrease in debt principal payments of \$19.0 million as a result of the refinancing of our senior credit facilities during August 2011. The 2011 Credit Agreement provides the Company with lower principal payment requirements, increased capacity under our revolver, an attractive long-term cost of capital, and an extended maturity date, which further enhances the Company's future financial flexibility and liquidity.

Financing

On August 18, 2011, the Company entered into an Amendment, Restatement and Joinder Agreement (the "Amendment Agreement") in respect of the Credit Agreement dated as of July 2, 2008 (the "2008 Credit Agreement") with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and Letters of Credit Issuer, and various other lenders who were parties to the 2008 Credit Agreement. In connection with entering into the Amendment Agreement, on August 18, 2011, the Company also entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "2011 Credit Agreement") with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and Letters of Credit Issuer, and various other lenders who are parties to the 2011 Credit Agreement which amends and restates the 2008 Credit Agreement.

The 2011 Credit Agreement consists of: (i) a 5-year revolving credit facility in an aggregate principal amount outstanding at any time not to exceed \$400 million (with a \$25 million sub-facility for Letters of Credit); (ii) a 5-year Term A Loan in an initial aggregate principal amount of \$535 million; and (iii) a Term B Loan with a maturity date of August 14, 2018 in an aggregate principal amount of \$250 million.

The loans under the 2011 Credit Agreement bear interest at a floating rate, which is an applicable margin plus, at the Company's option, either (a) the Eurodollar (LIBOR) rate or (b) the highest of (i) the prime rate, (ii) the federal funds rate plus 0.50% and (iii) the one Month LIBOR rate plus 1.00% (the highest of clauses (i), (ii) and (iii), the "Base rate"). The annual margin on the Term A Loan and the revolving credit facility until the first business day following delivery of the compliance certificate with respect to the first fiscal quarter ending following the closing and funding of the amended and restated facility was 2.25% in the case of LIBOR loans and 1.25% in the case of the Base rate loans, and after that time is a percentage determined in accordance with a leverage ratio-based pricing grid. The annual margin on the Term B Loan is 4.50% in the case of LIBOR loans (with LIBOR subject to a floor of 1%) and 3.50% in the case of the Base rate loans.

Table of Contents

The 2011 Credit Agreement provides that, beginning on December 31, 2011, the Company shall repay the outstanding principal amount of Term A Loans in quarterly installments of \$6.7 million. These quarterly installment payments increase to \$13.4 million beginning on December 31, 2013 and then to \$20.1 million beginning on December 31, 2014 through March 31, 2016. The Term B Loans are subject to quarterly installment payments of \$0.6 million beginning on September 30, 2011 until March 31, 2018. All remaining outstanding principal amounts of Term Loan A and B loans shall be repaid at the respective maturity dates.

In addition to scheduled principal payments, the Term Loans are (with certain exceptions) subject to mandatory prepayment upon issuances of debt, casualty and condemnation events, and sales of assets, as well as from up to 50% of excess cash flow (as defined in the Credit Agreement) in excess of an agreed threshold commencing with the cash flow for the year ended December 31, 2012. Voluntary prepayments of the loans are generally permitted at any time without fee upon proper notice and subject to a minimum dollar requirement, except that, under certain conditions, voluntary prepayments of the Term B Loan made on or prior to August 18, 2012 are subject to a 1% prepayment premium. Commitment reductions of the revolving credit facility are also permitted at any time without fee upon proper notice. The revolving credit facility has no scheduled principal payments, but it will be due and payable in full on August 18, 2016.

The Company is allowed to raise additional term loans and/or increase commitments under the Revolving Credit Facility in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$250.0 million (the "Incremental Facilities"). The Incremental Facilities are subject to restrictions on pricing and tenor of any new term loan, pro-forma compliance with financial covenants, pro-forma leverage ratio not to exceed 2.00:1.00, and other usual and customary conditions.

The obligations under the 2011 Credit Agreement are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, by certain of our domestic subsidiaries. Additionally, the Company and such subsidiary guarantors pledged substantially all of our respective assets as collateral security for the obligations under the Credit Agreement and our respective guarantees.

The 2011 Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative, negative and financial covenants including, among other things, limits on the creation of liens, limits on the incurrence of indebtedness, restrictions on investments, dispositions and sale and leaseback transactions, limits on the payment of dividends and other restricted payments, a minimum interest coverage ratio and a maximum leverage ratio. Upon an event of default, the administrative agent can accelerate the maturity of the loan. Events of default include events customary for such an agreement, including failure to pay principal and interest in a timely manner, breach of covenants and a change of control of the Company. These events of default include a cross-default provision that permits the lenders to declare the 2011 Credit Agreement in default if (i) the Company fails to make any payment after the applicable grace period under any indebtedness with a principal amount in excess of \$70 million or (ii) the Company fails to perform any other term under any such indebtedness, as a result of which the holders thereof may cause it to become due and payable prior to its maturity.

Senior Notes

On July 2, 2008, we issued senior notes (the "Notes") in an initial aggregate principal amount of \$375.0 million under which \$362.0 million was outstanding at March 31, 2012. The Notes were issued pursuant to an Indenture dated July 2, 2008 (the "Indenture") among the Company, the guarantor parties thereto and U.S. Bank Corporate Trust Services, as Trustee.

The Notes bear interest at a rate of 8.125% per annum. Interest payments are due semi-annually each January 1 and July 1. The maturity date of the Notes is July 1, 2016. From time to time we may be in the market to repurchase portions of the Notes, subject to limitations set forth in the Credit Agreement.

The Indenture contains covenants that, among other things, limit LPS' ability and the ability of certain of LPS' subsidiaries (a) to incur or guarantee additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock, (b) to make certain restricted payments, including dividends or distributions on equity interests held by persons other than LPS or certain subsidiaries, in excess of an amount generally equal to 50% of consolidated net income generated since July 1, 2008, (c) to create or incur certain liens, (d) to engage in sale and leaseback transactions, (e) to create restrictions that would prevent or limit the ability of certain subsidiaries to (i) pay dividends or other distributions to LPS or certain other subsidiaries, (ii) repay any debt or make any loans or advances to LPS or certain other subsidiaries or (iii) transfer any property or assets to LPS or certain other subsidiaries, (f) to sell or dispose of assets of LPS or any restricted subsidiary or enter into merger or consolidation transactions and (g) to engage in certain transactions with affiliates. These covenants are subject to a number of exceptions, limitations and qualifications in the Indenture.

The Notes are our general unsecured obligations. Accordingly, they rank equally in right of payment with all of our existing and future unsecured senior debt; senior in right of payment to all of our future subordinated debt; effectively subordinated to our

Table of Contents

existing and future secured debt to the extent of the assets securing such debt, including all borrowings under our credit facilities; and effectively subordinated to all of the liabilities of our non-guarantor subsidiaries, including trade payables and preferred stock.

The Notes are guaranteed by each existing and future domestic subsidiary that is a guarantor under our credit facilities. The guarantees are general unsecured obligations of the guarantors. Accordingly, they rank equally in right of payment with all existing and future unsecured senior debt of our guarantors; senior in right of payment with all existing and future subordinated debt of such guarantors; and effectively subordinated to such guarantors' existing and future secured debt to the extent of the assets securing such debt, including the guarantees by the guarantors of obligations under our credit facilities.

LPS has no independent assets or operations and our subsidiaries' guarantees are full and unconditional and joint and several. There are no significant restrictions on the ability of LPS or any of the subsidiary guarantors to obtain funds from any of our subsidiaries other than National Title Insurance of New York Inc. ("NTNY"), our title insurance underwriter subsidiary, by dividend or loan. NTNY is statutorily required to maintain investment assets backing its reserves for settling losses on the policies it issues, and its ability to pay dividends or make loans is limited by regulatory requirements.

We may redeem some or all of the Notes on or after July 1, 2011, at the redemption prices described in the Indenture, plus accrued and unpaid interest. Upon the occurrence of a change of control, unless we have exercised our right to redeem all of the Notes as described above, each holder may require us to repurchase such holder's Notes, in whole or in part, at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest to the purchase date.

The Indenture contains customary events of default, including failure of the Company (i) to pay principal and interest when due and payable and breach of certain other covenants and (ii) to make an offer to purchase and pay for Notes tendered as required by the Indenture. Events of default also include cross defaults, with respect to any other debt of the Company or debt of certain subsidiaries having an outstanding principal amount of \$80.0 million or more in the aggregate for all such debt, arising from (i) failure to make a principal payment when due and such defaulted payment is not made, waived or extended within the applicable grace period or (ii) the occurrence of an event which results in such debt being due and payable prior to its scheduled maturity. Upon the occurrence of an event of default (other than a bankruptcy default with respect to the Company or certain subsidiaries), the trustee or holders of at least 25% of the Notes then outstanding may accelerate the Notes by giving us appropriate notice. If, however, a bankruptcy default occurs with respect to the Company or certain subsidiaries, then the principal of and accrued interest on the Notes then outstanding will accelerate immediately without any declaration or other act on the part of the trustee or any holder.

The fair value of the Company's long-term debt at March 31, 2012 is estimated to be approximately 100% of the carrying value. We have estimated the fair value of our debt using Level 2 Inputs, based on values of recent quoted market prices on our term loans and values of recent trades on our senior notes.

Interest Rate Swaps

See note 10 to the notes of the condensed consolidated financial statements for a detailed description of our interest rate swaps.

Contractual Obligations

There have been no significant changes to our contractual obligations, including our scheduled principal maturities on long-term debt, data processing and maintenance commitments, operating lease payments, and deferred compensation

Explanation of Responses:

obligations, since our Annual Report on Form 10-K was filed on February 29, 2012.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements other than operating leases and the escrow arrangements described below.

Escrow Arrangements

In conducting our title agency, closing and tax services, we routinely hold customers' assets in escrow accounts, pending completion of real estate related transactions. Certain of these amounts are maintained in segregated accounts, and these amounts have not been included in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. As an incentive for holding deposits at certain banks, we periodically have programs for realizing economic benefits through favorable arrangements with these banks. As of March 31, 2012, the aggregate value of all amounts held in escrow in our title agency, closing and tax services operations totaled \$288.4 million.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

In the normal course of business, we are routinely subject to a variety of risks, including those described in the “Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information,” “Risk Factors” and other sections of our Annual Report on Form 10-K that was filed on February 29, 2012 and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. For example, we are exposed to the risk that decreased lending and real estate activity, which depend in part on the level of interest rates, may reduce demand for certain of our services and adversely affect our results of operations. The risks related to our business also include certain market risks that may affect our debt and other financial instruments. In particular, we face the market risks associated with our cash equivalents and interest rate movements on our outstanding debt. We regularly assess market risks and have established policies and business practices to protect against the adverse effects of these exposures.

Our cash equivalents are predominantly invested with high credit quality financial institutions, and consist of short-term investments such as money market demand accounts, money market funds and demand deposit accounts.

We are a highly leveraged company, with approximately \$1,131.8 million in long-term debt outstanding as of March 31, 2012. We have entered into interest rate swap transactions which converted a portion of the interest rate exposure on our floating rate debt from variable to fixed. We performed a sensitivity analysis based on the principal amount of our floating rate debt as of March 31, 2012, less the principal amount of such debt that was then subject to an interest rate swap. This sensitivity analysis takes into account scheduled principal installments that will take place in the next 12 months as well as the related notional amount of interest rate swaps then outstanding. Further, in this sensitivity analysis, the change in interest rates is assumed to be applicable for the entire year. Of the remaining variable rate debt not covered by the swap arrangements, we estimate that a 100 basis point increase in the LIBOR rate would increase our annual interest expense by approximately \$1.9 million.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act"). Based on this evaluation, the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Act is: (a) recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms; and (b) accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II: OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

See Litigation and Regulatory Matters in Note 12 - Commitments and Contingencies to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated by reference in this Item 1, for litigation and regulatory disclosures which update the disclosures in the legal proceedings section of the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on February 29, 2012.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Participants in the mortgage industry are under increased scrutiny, and efforts by the government to reform the mortgage industry or address the troubled mortgage market and the current economic environment could affect us. The current economic downturn and troubled housing market have resulted in increased scrutiny of all parties involved in the mortgage industry by governmental authorities, judges and the news media, among others, with the most recent focus being on those involved in the foreclosure process. This scrutiny has included federal and state governmental review of all aspects of the mortgage lending business, including an increased legislative and regulatory focus on consumer protection practices. For example, in late 2010 and 2011, several federal banking agencies undertook reviews of the default and foreclosure processes at a number of large banks and the related services provided by their third party service providers, including LPS. Following these reviews, the banks and certain service providers, including us, entered into consent orders with the banking agencies. The consent orders further slowed the pace of foreclosure starts and processing during 2011 as the banks and their service providers began to work through complying with the requirements of their respective consent orders, and we believe this trend will continue for some time.

More recently, on February 9, 2012, five of the nation's largest banks agreed to a \$25 billion settlement, which we refer to as the Foreclosure Settlement, related to the federal and state investigations into the foreclosure practices of banks and other mortgage servicers from September 2008 to December 2011. The settlement amount will increase if other major servicers agree to the terms. Although we believe that the Foreclosure Settlement may provide the Company with future revenue opportunities as servicers seek to improve their procedures, we are uncertain to what degree the settlement may affect our results in the future.

In addition, several pieces of legislation have been enacted to address the struggling mortgage market and the current economic downturn. For example, under the Home Affordable Refinance Program (the "HARP"), many homeowners with an existing mortgage owned by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac who would otherwise be unable to get a refinancing loan because of a loss in home value have been able to get a refinancing loan. On October 24, 2011, the Federal Housing Finance Agency announced a series of changes to HARP that would make it easier for certain borrowers who owe more than their home is worth and who are current on their mortgage payments to refinance their mortgages at the current lower interest rates and obtain other refinancing benefits. We are uncertain as to what degree the modified HARP program may affect our results of operations in the future.

In addition, the Home Affordable Modification Program ("HAMP") provides mortgage loan servicers with a set of standardized qualification guidelines for loan modifications aimed at reducing borrower monthly payments to affordable levels. Through February 2012, the U.S. Treasury Department estimates that banks had worked through most of the approximately 2.5 million loans currently eligible for HAMP, and offered 2.0 million trial modifications. Of those, approximately 1.8 million trial modifications were actually implemented and approximately 1.0 million became permanent.

Table of Contents

On February 1, 2012, the Obama Administration announced new initiatives designed to increase mortgage refinancings, reduce foreclosures and improve the housing market. These initiatives are intended to, among other things: (i) enable certain borrowers with loans insured by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac (“GSEs”) and certain borrowers with non-GSE loans to refinance their mortgages and take advantage of historically low interest rates; (ii) extend the forbearance period for unemployed borrowers with loans held by the GSEs and major banks from three months to one year ; and (iii) extend HAMP through December 31, 2013, while also relaxing the eligibility requirements and increasing the financial incentives for banks to participate in it. Implementation of these initiatives has begun, except for the refinancing initiatives. The GSEs have not yet started the refinancing program, and Congress would need to pass legislation to implement the refinancing program for non-GSE loans.

While we believe that HAMP has had an adverse effect on the processing of delinquent loans and may continue to have a negative effect in the future as additional mortgages become eligible under the program's current criteria or if those criteria are broadened, the pace of modifications has slowed and we believe there will be a lessened impact going forward. However, we cannot predict the ultimate impact that the government's initiatives under HAMP or other foreclosure relief and loan modification initiatives may have, or whether the government may take additional action to address the current housing market.

Notwithstanding the effects of existing government programs, the inventory of delinquent mortgage loans and loans in foreclosure remains significant. We believe this is due in part to a slowdown in the processing of foreclosures as lenders focus their resources on complying with their consent orders and making modifications under the HAMP program in compliance with its requirements and new government directives intended to increase its success. We believe that the Foreclosure Settlement may result in more normalized foreclosure timelines in the future. However, we cannot predict whether any legislative or regulatory changes will be implemented as a result of the findings of the banking agencies following their default and foreclosure services reviews, or whether the government may take additional action to increase the success of HAMP or to otherwise address the current housing market and economic uncertainty. Any such actions could cause a continuation of or further slow the current level of foreclosure volumes and adversely affect our future results.

The slowdown in the processing of foreclosures has also adversely impacted a number of service providers in the default industry. For example, the foreclosure trustees that manage the foreclosure process for the servicers in many states are experiencing significant delays in the timing of receiving payments for their services. In many cases, the servicers direct these foreclosure trustees to use our services, particularly our default title and our posting and publishing services. The fees for our services are passed through to the servicers, and we do not receive payment for these services until after the trustees are paid by the servicers, which often does not occur until the foreclosure process has been completed. As foreclosure timelines have continued to extend for longer periods, we have become uncertain of the trustees' ultimate ability to pay these fees and have increased our bad debt reserve. Continued delays in the foreclosure process and the timing of payments for these services could result in us making additional increases to our allowance for doubtful accounts or in the accounts becoming uncollectable.

The current economic downturn has also led to an increased legislative and regulatory focus on consumer protection practices. As a result, federal and state governments have enacted various new laws, rules and regulations. One example of such legislation is the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”), which was signed into law in July 2010. The Dodd-Frank Act contains broad changes for many sectors of the financial services and lending industries. Among other things, the Dodd-Frank Act includes new requirements for appraisals and appraisal management companies, including a requirement that appraisal fees be “customary and reasonable.” As a result we have experienced compression in our margins on our appraisal services because our customer contracts are at fixed prices, but the amount our appraisal management company must pay to the independent appraisers with whom it contracts has increased. It is difficult to predict the final form that regulations or other rule-makings to implement other requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act may take, what additional legislative or regulatory changes may be approved in the future, or whether those changes may require us to change our business practices, incur increased costs of compliance or adversely affect our results of operations.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Our ability to repurchase shares of common stock or senior notes is subject to restrictions contained in our senior secured credit agreement and in the indenture governing our senior unsecured notes. On June 16, 2011, our Board of Directors approved an authorization for us to repurchase up to \$100.0 million of our common stock and/or our senior notes, effective through December 31, 2012. This authorization replaced the previous authorization and subsumed all amounts remaining available thereunder. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we did not repurchase any shares of our stock or senior notes. As of March 31, 2012, we had \$95.1 million remaining available under our \$100.0 million repurchase authorization.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits:

- 10.1 Employment Agreement between the Company and Joseph M. Nackashi dated December 31, 2009.
- 10.2 Addendum to Employment Agreement with Joseph M. Nackashi effective as of October 26, 2011.
- 10.3 Addendum No. 2 to Employment Agreement with Daniel T. Scheuble effective as of March 30, 2012.
- 10.4 Addendum No. 2 to Employment Agreement with Joseph M. Nackashi effective as of March 30, 2012.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer of Periodic Financial Reports pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 32.2 Certification by Chief Financial Officer of Periodic Financial Reports pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

The following materials from Lender Processing Services, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Earnings, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 4, 2012

Lender Processing Services, Inc.

By: /s/ THOMAS L. SCHILLING
Thomas L. Schilling
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer

Table of Contents

LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC.
FORM 10-Q
INDEX TO EXHIBITS

The following documents are being filed with this Report:

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|----------------|--|
| 10.1 | Employment Agreement between the Company and Joseph M. Nackashi dated December 31, 2009. |
| 10.2 | Addendum to Employment Agreement with Joseph M. Nackashi effective as of October 26, 2011. |
| 10.3 | Addendum No. 2 to Employment Agreement with Daniel T. Scheuble effective as of March 30, 2012. |
| 10.4 | Addendum No. 2 to Employment Agreement with Joseph M. Nackashi effective as of March 30, 2012. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Hugh R. Harris, President and Chief Executive Officer of Lender Processing Services, Inc., pursuant to rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Thomas L. Schilling, Chief Financial Officer of Lender Processing Services, Inc., pursuant to rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Hugh R. Harris, President and Chief Executive Officer of Lender Processing Services, Inc., pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Thomas L. Schilling, Chief Financial Officer of Lender Processing Services, Inc., pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101 | The following materials from Lender Processing Services, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Earnings, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. |

42