

DIGITAL ALLY INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 10, 2012

---

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012.

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission File Number: 001-33899

Digital Ally, Inc.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

20-0064269  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

8000 W. 110th Street, Suite 200, Overland Park, KS 66210  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(913) 814-7774  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer" "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: DIGITAL ALLY INC - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Class	Outstanding at August 1, 2012
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value	16,284,073
<hr/>	
<hr/>	
<hr/>	

FORM 10-Q  
 DIGITAL ALLY, INC.  
 JUNE 30, 2012  
 (Unaudited)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
<b>PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1. Financial Statements.	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets – June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011(Unaudited)	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders’ Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)	6
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	7-21
Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	22
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	49
Item 4. Controls and Procedures.	49
<b>PART II – OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings.	50
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.	51
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	52
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	52
Item 5. Other Information.	52
Item 6. Exhibits.	52
<b>SIGNATURES</b>	53
<b>EXHIBITS</b>	54
<b>CERTIFICATIONS</b>	



## PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1 – FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

## DIGITAL ALLY, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

JUNE 30, 2012 AND DECEMBER 31, 2011

(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,183,060	\$ 2,270,393
Accounts receivable-trade, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$111,608 – 2012 and \$125,000 – 2011	2,934,441	2,853,049
Accounts receivable-other	59,597	104,318
Inventories	6,950,842	6,683,289
Prepaid expenses	280,961	302,318
Total current assets	11,408,901	12,213,367
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	4,265,353	4,073,713
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	3,503,361	3,212,827
	761,992	860,886
Intangible assets, net	206,509	226,802
Other assets	80,857	97,854
Total assets	\$ 12,458,259	\$ 13,398,909
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 900,142	\$ 847,036
Subordinated notes payable-current, net of discount of \$92,342 and \$0	2,407,658	—
Accrued expenses	726,227	833,260
Capital lease obligation-current	29,107	—
Income taxes payable	4,046	21,046
Customer deposits	1,878	31,899
Total current liabilities	4,069,058	1,733,241
Long-term liabilities:		
Subordinated notes payable-long-term, net of discount of \$0 and \$142,711	—	2,357,289
Litigation accrual –long term	530,000	—
Capital lease obligation –long term	63,315	—
Total long term liabilities	593,315	2,357,289
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 75,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued: 16,792,218 – 2012 and	16,792	16,662

Edgar Filing: DIGITAL ALLY INC - Form 10-Q

16,662,218 – 2011		
Additional paid in capital	22,966,822	22,725,515
Treasury stock, at cost (shares: 508,145 – 2012 and 508,145 - 2011)	(2,157,226 )	(2,157,226 )
Accumulated deficit	(13,030,502)	(11,276,572)
Total stockholders' equity	7,795,886	9,308,379
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 12,458,259	\$ 13,398,909

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

DIGITAL ALLY, INC.  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED  
JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011  
(Unaudited)

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Product revenue	\$ 4,392,133	\$ 4,626,242	\$ 7,980,686	\$ 9,196,816
Other revenue	208,664	117,011	402,567	276,130
Total revenue	4,600,797	4,743,253	8,383,253	9,472,946
Cost of revenue	2,125,134	2,778,696	3,910,973	5,531,616
Gross profit	2,475,663	1,964,557	4,472,280	3,941,330
Selling, general and administrative expenses:				
Research and development expense	574,883	710,735	1,177,786	1,419,504
Selling, advertising and promotional expense	691,481	538,637	1,273,142	1,009,317
Stock-based compensation expense	120,796	216,060	241,437	443,848
Litigation charge and related expenses	654,082	—	654,082	—
General and administrative expense	1,309,951	1,598,573	2,733,543	3,298,778
Total selling, general and administrative expenses	3,351,193	3,064,005	6,079,990	6,171,447
Operating loss	(875,530 )	(1,099,448 )	(1,607,710 )	(2,230,117 )
Interest income	2,143	2,756	4,779	6,761
Interest expense	(75,814 )	(38,211 )	(150,999 )	(58,836 )
Loss before income tax benefit	(949,201 )	(1,134,903 )	(1,753,930 )	(2,282,192 )
Income tax benefit	—	—	—	—
Net loss	\$ (949,201 )	\$ (1,134,903 )	\$ (1,753,930 )	\$ (2,282,192 )
Net loss per share information:				
Basic	\$ (0.06 )	\$ (0.07 )	\$ (0.11 )	\$ (0.14 )
Diluted	\$ (0.06 )	\$ (0.07 )	\$ (0.11 )	\$ (0.14 )
Weighted average shares outstanding:				
Basic	16,206,073	16,150,590	16,179,929	16,149,545
Diluted	16,206,073	16,150,590	16,179,929	16,149,545

See Notes to Condensed Financial Statements.





DIGITAL ALLY, INC.  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
 FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012  
 (Unaudited)

	Common Stock		Additional	Treasury	Accumulated	Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid In Capital	stock	deficit	
Balance, January 1, 2012	16,662,218	\$ 16,662	\$ 22,725,515	\$ (2,157,226)	\$ (11,276,572)	\$ 9,308,379
Stock-based compensation	—	—	241,437	—	—	241,437
Restricted common stock grant	130,000	130	(130 )	—	—	—
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(1,753,930 )	(1,753,930)
Balance, June 30, 2012	16,792,218	\$ 16,792	\$ 22,966,822	\$ (2,157,226)	\$ (13,030,502)	\$ 7,795,886

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

DIGITAL ALLY, INC.  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011  
(Unaudited)

	2012	2011
<b>Cash Flows From Operating Activities:</b>		
Net loss	\$(1,753,930)	\$(2,282,192)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash flows used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	372,151	412,178
Stock based compensation	241,437	443,848
Provision for inventory obsolescence	3,306	185,927
Provision for doubtful accounts receivable	(13,392 )	15,000
		—
Change in assets and liabilities:		
(Increase) decrease in:		
Accounts receivable - trade	(68,000 )	715,820
Accounts receivable - other	44,721	137,416
Inventories	(270,859 )	2,193,310
Prepaid expenses	21,357	71,337
Other assets	16,997	(22,711 )
Increase (decrease) in:		
Accounts payable	53,106	(1,797,619)
Accrued expenses	(107,033 )	186,855
Litigation accrual	530,000	—
Income taxes payable	(17,000 )	(9,750 )
Customer deposits	(30,021 )	(764 )
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(977,160 )	248,655
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>		
Purchases of furniture, fixtures and equipment	(96,880 )	(129,028 )
Additions to intangible assets	(10,955 )	(22,330 )
Net cash used in investing activities	(107,835 )	(151,358 )
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>		
Proceeds from issuance of subordinated note payable	—	1,500,000
Change in line of credit	—	(1,500,000)
Deferred issuance costs for subordinated note payable	—	(75,000 )
Payments on capital lease obligation	(2,338 )	—
Net cash used in financing activities	(2,338 )	(75,000 )
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(1,087,333)	22,297
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	2,270,393	623,475
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$1,183,060	\$645,772
<b>Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:</b>		
Cash payments for interest	\$100,631	\$40,109
Cash payments for income taxes	\$17,000	\$—

Supplemental disclosures of non-cash investing and financing activities:

Restricted common stock grant	\$ 130	\$ 10
Capital expenditures financed by capital lease obligations	\$94,760	\$—
Transfer of demonstration equipment from inventory to equipment	\$—	\$510,931

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

DIGITAL ALLY, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Unaudited)

NOTE 1. NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Business:

Digital Ally, Inc. (the “Digital Ally”) and subsidiary (collectively, the “Company”) produces digital video imaging, audio recording and related storage products for use in law enforcement and security applications. Its current products are an in-car digital video/audio recorder contained in a rear-view mirror for use in law enforcement and commercial fleets; a weather-resistant mobile digital video recording system for use on motorcycles, ATV’s and boats; a miniature digital video system designed to be worn on an individual’s body; a digital video/audio recorder contained in a flashlight sold to law enforcement agencies and other security organizations; and a hand-held laser speed detection device and hand-held thermal imaging camera that it is offering primarily to law enforcement agencies. The Company has active research and development programs to adapt its technologies to other applications. The Company has the ability to integrate electronic, radio, computer, mechanical, and multi-media technologies to create unique solutions to address needs in a variety of other industries and markets, including mass transit, school bus, taxi cab and the military.

The Company was originally incorporated in Nevada on December 13, 2000 as Vegas Petra, Inc. and had no operations until 2004. On November 30, 2004, Vegas Petra, Inc. entered into a Plan of Merger with Digital Ally, Inc., at which time the merged entity was renamed Digital Ally, Inc.

The following is a summary of the Company’s Significant Accounting Policies:

Basis of Consolidation:

The accompanying financial statements include the consolidated accounts of Digital Ally and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Digital Ally International, Inc. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated during consolidation.

Digital Ally formed Digital Ally International, Inc. during August 2009 to facilitate the export sales of its products.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments:

The fair value of cash and cash equivalents approximates carrying value.

The carrying amounts of financial instruments, including accounts receivable, accounts payable and subordinated note payable, approximate fair value because of the short-term nature of these items. If measured at fair value in the financial statements, these financial instruments would be classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

Revenue Recognition:

Revenues from the sale of products are recorded when the product is shipped, title and risk of loss have transferred to the purchaser, payment terms are fixed or determinable and payment is reasonably assured. Customers do not have a right to return the product other than for warranty reasons for which they would only receive repair services or replacement product.



The Company sells its products and services to law enforcement and commercial customers in the following manner:

Sales to domestic and international customers are made direct to the end customer (typically a law enforcement agency or a commercial customer) through commissioned third-party sales agents or employee sales personnel. Revenue is recorded when the product is shipped to the end customer.

Sales to domestic and international customers are made through independent distributors who purchase products from the Company at a wholesale price and sell to the end user (typically law enforcement agencies or a commercial customer) at a retail price. The distributor retains the margin as its compensation for its role in the transaction. The distributor generally maintains product inventory, customer receivables and all related risks and rewards of ownership. Revenue is recorded when the product is shipped to the distributor consistent with the terms of the distribution agreement.

Repair parts and services for domestic and international customers are generally handled by our inside customer service employees. Revenue is recognized upon shipment of the repair parts and acceptance of the service or materials by the end customer.

Sales taxes collected on products sold are excluded from revenues and are reported as an accrued expense in the accompanying balance sheets until payments are remitted.

Other revenue is comprised of revenues from repair services and the sale of scrap and excess raw material and component parts. Revenue is recognized upon shipment of the product and acceptance of the service or materials by the end customer.

Sales returns and allowances aggregated \$127,315 and \$241,543 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$178,616 and \$446,787 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Use of Estimates:

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and cash equivalents:

Cash and cash equivalents include funds on hand and in bank and short-term investments with original maturities of ninety (90) days or less.

Accounts Receivable:

Accounts receivable are carried at original invoice amount less an estimate made for doubtful receivables based on a review of all outstanding amounts on a weekly basis. The Company determines the allowance for doubtful accounts by regularly evaluating individual customer receivables and considering a customer's financial condition, credit history, and current economic conditions. Trade receivables are written off when deemed uncollectible. Recoveries of trade receivables previously written off are recorded when received.

A trade receivable is considered to be past due if any portion of the receivable balance is outstanding for more than thirty (30) days beyond terms. No interest is charged on overdue trade receivables.

Inventories:

Inventories consist of electronic parts, circuitry boards, camera parts and ancillary parts (collectively, “components”), work-in-process and finished goods, and are carried at the lower of cost (First-in, First-out Method) or market value. The Company determines the estimate for the reserve for slow moving or obsolete inventories by regularly evaluating individual inventory levels, projected sales and current economic conditions.

Furniture, fixtures and equipment:

Furniture, fixtures and equipment is stated at cost net of accumulated depreciation. Additions and improvements are capitalized while ordinary maintenance and repair expenditures are charged to expense as incurred. Depreciation is recorded by the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset, which ranges from 3 to 10 years.

Intangible assets:

Intangible assets include deferred patent costs and license agreements. Legal expenses incurred in preparation of patent application have been deferred and will be amortized over the useful life of granted patents. Costs incurred in preparation of applications that are not granted will be charged to expense at that time. The Company has entered into several sublicense agreements whereby it has been assigned the exclusive rights to certain licensed materials used in its products. These sublicense agreements generally require upfront payments to obtain the exclusive rights to such material. The Company capitalizes the upfront payments as intangible assets and amortizes such costs over their estimated useful life.

Long-Lived Assets:

Long-lived assets such as property, plant and equipment and purchased intangible assets subject to amortization are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. If circumstances require a long-lived asset or asset group be tested for possible impairment, the Company first compares undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by that asset or asset group to its carrying value. If the carrying value of the long-lived asset or asset group is not recoverable on an undiscounted cash flow basis, an impairment is recognized to the extent that the carrying value exceeds its fair value. Fair value is determined through various valuation techniques including discounted cash flow models, quoted market values and third-party appraisals, as considered necessary. These measurements would be Level 3 fair value measurements. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no impairment indicators that required the Company to test for impairment in the carrying value of long-lived assets.

Warranties:

The Company's products carry explicit product warranties that extend one or two years from the date of shipment depending on the specific product. The Company records a provision for estimated warranty costs based upon historical warranty loss experience and periodically adjusts these provisions to reflect actual experience. Accrued warranty costs are included in accrued expenses.

Customer Deposits:

The Company requires deposits in advance of shipment for certain customer sales orders, in particular when accepting orders from foreign customers for which the Company does not have a payment history. Customer deposits are reflected as a current liability in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Shipping and Handling Costs:

Shipping and handling costs for outbound sales orders totaled \$15,861 and \$19,797 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$29,961 and \$44,325 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Such costs are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the statements of operations.

Advertising Costs:

Advertising expense includes costs related to trade shows and conventions, promotional material and supplies, and media costs. Advertising costs are expensed in the period in which they are incurred. The Company incurred total advertising expense of approximately \$127,055 and \$63,213 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$234,947 and \$124,070 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Such costs are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the consolidated statements of operations.



Income Taxes:

Deferred taxes are provided for by the liability method wherein deferred tax assets are recognized for deductible temporary differences and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards and deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax basis. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

The Company applies the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) No. 740 - Income Taxes that provides a framework for accounting for uncertainty in income taxes and provided a comprehensive model to recognize, measure, present, and disclose in its financial statements uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return. It initially recognizes tax positions in the financial statements when it is more likely than not the position will be sustained upon examination by the tax authorities. Such tax positions are initially and subsequently measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the tax authority assuming full knowledge of the position and all relevant facts. Application requires numerous estimates based on available information. The Company considers many factors when evaluating and estimating its tax positions and tax benefits, and its recognized tax positions and tax benefits may not accurately anticipate actual outcomes. As it obtains additional information, the Company may need to periodically adjust its recognized tax positions and tax benefits. These periodic adjustments may have a material impact on its consolidated statements of operations.

The Company’s policy is to record estimated interest and penalties related to the underpayment of income taxes as income tax expense in the consolidated statements of operations. There was no interest expense related to the underpayment of estimated taxes during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. There have been no penalties in 2012 and 2011.

#### Research and Development Expenses:

The Company expenses all research and development costs as incurred.

#### Stock-Based Compensation:

The Company grants stock-based compensation to its employees, board of directors and certain third party contractors. Share-based compensation arrangements may include the issuance of options to purchase common stock in the future or the issuance of restricted stock, which generally are subject to vesting requirements. The Company records stock-based compensation expense for all stock-based compensation granted after January 1, 2006 based on the grant-date fair value. The Company recognizes these compensation costs on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award.

The Company estimates the grant-date fair value of stock-based compensation using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Assumptions used to estimate compensation expense are determined as follows:

Expected term is determined using the contractual term and vesting period of the award;

Expected volatility of award grants made in the Company’s plan is measured using the weighted average of historical daily changes in the market price of the Company’s common stock over the period equal to the expected term of the award;

Expected dividend rate is determined based on expected dividends to be declared;

Risk-free interest rate is equivalent to the implied yield on zero-coupon U.S. Treasury bonds with a maturity equal to the expected term of the awards; and

Forfeitures are based on the history of cancellations of awards granted and management’s analysis of potential forfeitures.

#### Segments of Business:

Management has determined that its operations are comprised of one reportable segment: the sale of digital audio and video recording and speed detection devices. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, sales by geographic area were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Sales by geographic area:				
United States of America	\$ 4,589,116	\$ 4,622,999	\$ 8,213,157	\$ 8,904,020
Foreign	11,681	120,254	170,096	568,926
	\$ 4,600,797	\$ 4,743,253	\$ 8,383,253	\$ 9,472,946

Sales to customers outside of the United States are denominated in U.S. dollars. All Company assets are physically located within the United States.

## Accounting Developments:

In May 2011, the FASB issued an update to the authoritative guidance, which establishes the common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with US GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The Company adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2012. The adoption of this guideline did not have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

## NOTE 2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012.

The balance sheet at December 31, 2011 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date, but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States for complete financial statements.

For further information, refer to the financial statements and footnotes included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## NOTE 3. CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK AND MAJOR CUSTOMERS

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist of accounts receivable. Sales to domestic customers are typically made on credit and the Company generally does not require collateral. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and maintains an allowance for estimated losses. Accounts are written off when deemed uncollectible and accounts receivable are presented net of an allowance for doubtful accounts. The allowance for doubtful accounts totaled \$111,608 and \$125,000 as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The Company sells through a network of unaffiliated distributors for international sales and both employee-based and independent sales agents for domestic sales. Two domestic distributor/agents individually exceeded 10% and represented \$2,551,012, or 30% of total revenues, for the six months ended June 30, 2012. One customer receivable balance exceeded 10% of total accounts receivable as of June 30, 2012, which totaled \$396,355, or 14% of total accounts receivable. Two domestic distributor/agents individually exceeded 10% and in the aggregate represented \$3,097,738, or 33% of total revenues, for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Three individual customer receivable balances totaled \$1,514,789 (37%) as of June 30, 2011, which represented the only customer balances that exceeded 10% of total accounts receivable as of June 30, 2011. The following is a summary of domestic distributor/agents identified which individually exceeded 10% of total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

Distributor/Agent	Six Months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Number 1	\$ 1,372,981	\$ 1,882,327
Number 2	\$ 1,178,031	\$ 571,527

The Company purchases finished circuit boards and other proprietary component parts from suppliers located in the United States and on a limited basis from Asia. Although the Company obtains certain of these components from single source suppliers, management has located or is in process of locating alternative suppliers to reduce the risk in most cases to supplier problems that could result in significant production delays. The Company has not historically experienced any significant supply disruptions from any of its principal vendors, and does not anticipate future supply disruptions. The Company acquires most of its components on a purchase order basis and does not have long-term contracts with its suppliers.

The Company has entered into agreements with two unaffiliated companies (“Manufacturers”) to develop, license and manufacture certain products that the Company offers for sale to its customers. Currently, these products represent less than 12% of the Company’s total revenue; however, revenue generated by these products is expected to increase in the future to the extent that they may represent a significant portion of the Company’s total revenue. These products can only be manufactured by the Manufacturers except in situations where the Manufacturers are unable for any reason to supply the products. Backup proprietary documentation for each product is required to be maintained offsite by each Manufacturer thereby allowing the Company to continue production in such cases where the Manufacturers are unable to supply the product. The Manufacturers are located in the United States and in Asia. Natural disasters, financial stress, bankruptcy and other factors may cause conditions that would disrupt either Manufacturer’s ability to supply such products in quantities needed by the Company. It would take time for management to locate and activate alternative suppliers to replace the Manufacturers should it become necessary, which could result in significant production delays. The Company has not experienced any significant supply disruptions from either of these Manufacturers.

#### NOTE 4. INVENTORIES

Inventories consisted of the following at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Raw material and component parts	\$ 2,361,820	\$ 2,168,761
Work-in-process	183,193	217,264
Finished goods	4,956,317	4,844,446
Subtotal	7,501,330	7,230,471
Reserve for excess and obsolete inventory	(550,488 )	(547,182 )
Total	\$ 6,950,842	\$ 6,683,289

Finished goods inventory includes units held by potential customers and sales agents for test and evaluation purposes. The cost of such units totaled \$335,469 and \$339,981 as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

#### NOTE 5. SUBORDINATED NOTES PAYABLE

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Subordinated notes payable, at par	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,500,000
Unamortized discount	(92,342 )	(142,711 )
Total notes payable	2,407,658	2,357,289
Less: Current maturities of long-term debt	2,407,658	-
Subordinated notes payable, long-term	\$ -	\$ 2,357,289



During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company, in two separate transactions, borrowed an aggregate of \$2.5 million under two unsecured notes payable to a private, third-party lender. The loans were funded in May and November 2011 and both are represented by promissory notes (the "Notes") that bear interest at the rate of 8% per annum and are payable interest only on a monthly basis. The maturity date of the original Note in the principal amount of \$1,500,000 was extended from May 30, 2012 to May 30, 2013 in conjunction with the issuance of the second Note during November 2011. Both Notes are due and payable in full on May 30, 2013 and may be prepaid without penalty at any time. The Notes are subordinated to all existing and future senior indebtedness, as such term is defined in the Notes.

The Company used a portion of the Notes proceeds to pay the outstanding borrowings under its line of credit with a bank and such bank line of credit has been retired as of June 30, 2011. The remaining proceeds were used for working capital purposes.

The Company granted the lender warrants (the "Warrants") exercisable to purchase a total of 450,000 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share (as modified) until November 30, 2013. The exercise price for the Warrants exercisable to purchase 300,000 shares issued with the first Note was modified from \$1.50 per share to \$1.00 per share in consideration for the modification of the first Note's maturity date. The Company paid fees totaling \$147,500 to an unaffiliated entity and issued warrants exercisable to purchase 110,000 shares of its Common Stock on the same terms and conditions as the Warrants for its services relating to the transactions, including the modification of the warrants issued pursuant to the first Note.

The Company allocated \$236,726 of the proceeds of the Notes to additional paid-in-capital, which represented the grant date fair value of the Warrant for 450,000 common shares issued to the lender and the warrant for 110,000 shares issued to the unaffiliated third party who arranged the transactions. In addition, the cash fees paid to the unaffiliated third party totaling \$147,500 is included in the discount on the Notes. The discount is amortized to interest expense ratably over the modified terms of the Notes and totaled \$50,369 for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

The modification of the original Note that occurred during November 2011 was treated as an early extinguishment of the debt. Accordingly, the remaining unamortized discount as of the date of modification \$131,093 was charged off and reflected as a loss on extinguishment of debt in the Consolidated Statement of Operations in 2011.

The maturity dates of the Notes and the expiration dates of the Warrants were extended and the exercise price of the Warrants was reduced in July 2012. See Note 12, "Subsequent Events."

#### NOTE 6. ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses consisted of the following at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Accrued warranty expense	\$ 176,601	\$ 211,421
Accrued sales commissions	41,000	64,782
Accrued payroll and related fringes	284,429	305,328
Accrued insurance	68,008	61,355
Employee separation agreement	—	3,366
Other	156,189	187,008



	\$ 726,227	\$ 833,260
--	------------	------------

Accrued warranty expense was comprised of the following for the six months ended June 30, 2012:

	2012
Beginning balance	\$ 211,421
Provision for warranty expense	64,589
Charges applied to warranty reserve	(99,409 )
Ending balance	\$ 176,601

## NOTE 7. INCOME TAXES

The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 varied from the expected statutory rate as a result of the Company's decision to provide a 100% valuation allowance on net deferred tax assets. The Company has further determined that it would be appropriate to continue providing a full valuation allowance on net deferred tax assets as of June 30, 2012 because of the operating losses incurred.

The valuation allowance on deferred tax assets totaled \$6,455,000 and \$5,830,000 as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. We record the benefit we will derive in future accounting periods from tax losses and credits and deductible temporary differences as "deferred tax assets," which are included in the caption "Deferred income taxes, net" on our consolidated balance sheets. In accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 740, "Income Taxes," we record a valuation allowance to reduce the carrying value of our deferred tax assets if, based on all available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

The economic recession and its effect on state and local governmental budgets in particular remained weak in 2011 and the Company incurred operating losses during this period. Law enforcement agencies are our primary customer and are typically funded through state and local tax roles. The economy showed improvement in the second half of 2011, but the impact on the state and local budgets is still uncertain at best. Despite the improvement in general economic conditions and our ongoing cost containment efforts, we incurred additional losses in 2012 that kept us in a three-year cumulative loss position at June 30, 2012. Accordingly, we determined there was not sufficient positive evidence regarding our potential for future profits to outweigh the negative evidence of our three year cumulative loss position under the guidance provided in ASC 740. Therefore, we determined that our valuation allowance should be increased by \$625,000 to continue to fully reserve our deferred tax assets at June 30, 2012. We expect to continue to maintain a full valuation allowance until we determine that we can sustain a level of profitability that demonstrates our ability to realize these assets. To the extent we determine that the realization of some or all of these benefits is more likely than not based upon expected future taxable income, a portion or all of the valuation allowance will be reversed. Such a reversal would be recorded as an income tax benefit and, for some portion related to deductions for stock option exercises, an increase in shareholders' equity.

At June 30, 2012, the Company had available approximately \$7,937,500 of net operating loss carryforwards available to offset future taxable income generated. Such tax net operating loss carryforwards expire between 2024 and 2032. In addition, the Company had research and development tax credit carryforwards approximating \$1,110,000 available as of June 30, 2012, which expire between 2023 and 2032.

The Internal Revenue Code contains provisions under Section 382 which limit a company's ability to utilize net operating loss carry-forwards in the event that it has experienced a more than 50% change in ownership over a three-year period. Current estimates prepared by the Company indicate that due to ownership changes which have occurred, approximately \$765,000 of its net operating loss and \$175,000 of its research and development tax credit carryforwards are currently subject to an annual limitation of approximately \$1,151,000, but may be further limited by additional ownership changes which may occur in the future. As stated above, the net operating loss and research and development credit carryforwards expire between 2024 and 2032, allowing the Company to potentially utilize all of the limited net operating loss carry-forwards during the carryforward period.

As discussed in Note 1, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," tax positions are evaluated in a two-step process. We first determine whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination. If a tax position meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, it is then measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. The tax position is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Management has identified no tax positions taken that would meet or exceed these thresholds and therefore there are no gross interest, penalties and unrecognized tax

expense/benefits that are not expected to ultimately result in payment or receipt of cash in the consolidated financial statements.

The Company's federal and state income tax returns are closed for examination purposes by relevant statute and by examination for 2008 and all prior tax years. The Company recently underwent an examination of its 2008 federal income tax return by the Internal Revenue Service. The examination process has been concluded with no proposed adjustments.

## NOTE 8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Lease commitments. The Company has several non-cancelable operating lease agreements for office space and warehouse space. The agreements expire at various dates through December 2012. The Company has also entered into month-to-month leases for equipment and facilities. Rent expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$177,150 and \$214,502, respectively, related to these leases. The future minimum amounts due under the leases are as follows:

Year ending December 31:	
2012 (period from July 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012)	\$ 134,441
2013	—
2014	—
2015	—
2016 and thereafter	—
	\$ 134,441

All of the Company's existing facility leases expire between October and December 2012. The Company is negotiating a lease on new facilities to replace the expiring leased space. The Company intends to combine all of its operations into one location to be leased on a long term basis.

License agreements. The Company has several license agreements under which it has been assigned the rights to certain materials used in its products. Certain of these agreements require the Company to pay ongoing royalties based on the number of products shipped containing the licensed material on a quarterly basis. Royalty expense related to these agreements aggregated \$19,264 and \$16,552 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Following is a summary of the Company's licenses as of June 30, 2012:

License Type	Effective Date	Expiration Date	Terms
Production software license agreement	April 2005	April 2013	Automatically renews for one year periods unless terminated by either party.
Software sublicense agreement	October 2007	October 2012	Automatically renews for one year periods unless terminated by either party.
Technology license agreement	July 2007	July 2012	Automatically renews for one year periods unless terminated by either party.
Development, license and manufacturing agreement	July 2011	July 2016	Company has option to renew for three successive options to renew for three years periods unless terminated by either party.
Limited license agreement	August 2008	Perpetual	May be terminated by either party.

During April 2009, the Company terminated two license agreements because of failure of the counterparty to deliver the required materials and refusal to honor warranty provisions. In addition, one of the agreements was never approved by the Company. Both of these terminations are in dispute and the Company is involved in a lawsuit to enforce its rights and protect its interests pursuant to these agreements. See "Litigation" below.



Supply and distribution agreement. The Company entered into a supply and distribution agreement on May 1, 2010 under which it was granted the exclusive worldwide right to sell and distribute a proprietary law enforcement speed measurement device and derivatives to its customers. The term of the agreement was 42 months after the date the supplier began full scale production of the product which commenced in August 2010 and final certification of the product was obtained. The agreement had minimum purchase requirements of 1,000 units per period over three commitment periods. On January 31, 2012, the supply and distribution agreement was amended to reduce the minimum purchase commitment during the second and third years by 52% compared to the original commitment. The Company agreed to release its world wide right to exclusively market the product to the law enforcement community in exchange for the reduction in the purchase commitment.

After the initial term has expired, the parties may continue on a month-to-month basis and is terminable by either party upon 30 days advance notice. The contract may be terminated earlier in case of material breach by either party that is not cured within thirty days of notice of the breach.

The agreement contains required minimum order quantities and fixed prices per unit according to the following schedule:

Commitment time period	Minimum order commitment amount (\$)		
	Commitment	Purchases	Remaining Commitment
March 2012 through February 2013	\$ 846,240	\$ 352,838	\$ 493,402
March 2013 through February 2014	846,240	—	846,240
	\$ 1,692,480	\$ 352,838	\$ 1,339,642

The above table reflects the modified terms of the amended supply and distribution agreement. The supplier is responsible for all warranty, damage or other claims, losses or liabilities related to the product and is obligated to defend and indemnify the Company against such risks. The Company held approximately \$1,345,000 of such products in finished goods inventory at June 30, 2012.

Litigation. The Company is subject to various legal proceedings arising from normal business operations. Although there can be no assurances, based on the information currently available, management believes that it is probable that the ultimate outcome of each of the actions will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial statements of the Company. However, an adverse outcome in certain of the actions could have a material adverse effect on the financial results of the Company in the period in which it is recorded.

On June 8, 2009, the Company filed suit against Z3 Technologies, LLC (“Z3”) in the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas claiming breach of a production software license agreement entered into during October 2008 and the rescission of a second limited license agreement entered into during January 2009. Among other claims, the Company asserted that Z3 failed to deliver the material required under the contracts; that the product that was delivered by Z3 was defective and/or unusable; and that the January 2009 contract should be rescinded and declared void, unenforceable and of no force or effect. The Company paid license fees and made other payments to Z3 totaling \$265,000 to-date under these contracts. Z3 denied the Company’s claims and filed counterclaims that allege the Company did not have the right to terminate the contracts and therefore that it was damaged for loss of profits and related damages. In those counterclaims, Z3 sought to recover approximately \$4.5 million from the Company exclusive of “prejudgment interest.” The Company’s insurance carrier settled a portion of the counterclaims under the director and officer liability insurance policy. The counterclaims that were not resolved by that settlement remained in controversy.

The trial of those claims began on June 25, 2012 and concluded with a jury verdict on July 3, 2012. The principal parts of the verdict were (i) an award of \$30,000 to the Company on grounds that Z3 had breached its 2008 contract with the Company; (ii) an award of \$15,000 in favor of Z3 by finding that the Company had breached the 2008 contract by failing to pay the balance of certain engineering fees; and (iii) an award of \$100,000 also in favor of Z3 based on the Court's finding that the Company breached the 2009 contract by failing to place an initial order for so-called "DM-365 modules" from Z3. As a result, the net judgment against the Company was \$85,000. Further, despite the Company's arguments at trial, the court also refused to reconsider the interlocutory summary judgment rulings rendered against the Company prior to trial in the amount of \$445,000, which became final upon conclusion of the trial. Accordingly, the total judgment entered against the Company was \$530,000 and no prejudgment interest on that sum was awarded

Management believes there are a number of errors in the court's rulings and the judgment entered on July 3, 2012 and is appealing them. The Company accrued the \$530,000 judgment entered against the Company as a long term liability as of June 30, 2012 due to the expected time required to conclude the appeal process. The Company has charged \$654,082 to operations during the three months ended June 30, 2012 as litigation charge and related expenses. Such charge includes the \$530,000 judgment and all related legal fees and expenses incurred and accrued during the three months ended June 30, 2012. The charge includes the accrual of legal fees expected to be incurred during the appeal process. In order to stay the execution of judgment during the appeal process, the Company was required to post a supersedeas bond in the amount of \$662,500 during July 2012 and the respective funds will be reflected as restricted cash in future balance sheets until such time as the bond is no longer required.

On October 23, 2009, the Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri awarded the Company an interlocutory judgment against a former contract manufacturer. The Company had filed for and received a temporary restraining order in June 2009 that forbids the supplier from engaging in certain actions involving the Company. The interlocutory judgment was entered in favor of the Company against the supplier that in effect cancelled all purchase orders and confirmed that the Company has no further obligations, whether monetary or otherwise, to the supplier. The Company received a notice of the filing of bankruptcy under Chapter 7 effective October 26, 2009 by this supplier. On May 28, 2010, the court granted a default judgment awarding the Company damages and legal fees totaling \$11,166,686. The Company is pursuing collection from the bankruptcy estate and applicable insurance policies. Management believes that the ultimate collection of any award of damages over and above the \$72,000 in unpaid invoices is uncertain at this time because of the current financial status of the supplier in the pending bankruptcy proceedings and the uncertainty of insurance coverage. The Company has filed a garnishment claim against all insurance proceeds from policies issued and in force covering the contract manufacturer when these actions occurred. Discovery and depositions by both parties have been concluded and both parties filed summary judgment motions. These summary judgment motions were denied by the court and the trial date has been set for September 2012.

The Company is also involved as a plaintiff and defendant in ordinary, routine litigation and administrative proceedings incidental to its business from time to time, including customer collections, vendor and employment-related matters. The Company believes the likely outcome of any other pending cases and proceedings will not be material to its business or its financial condition.

**401 (k) Plan.** In July 2008, the Company amended and restated its 401(k) retirement savings plan. The amended plan requires the Company to provide 100% matching contributions for employees who elect to contribute up to 3% of their compensation to the plan and 50% matching contributions for employee's elective deferrals on the next 2% of their contributions. The Company has made matching contributions totaling \$54,700 and \$68,157 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Each participant is 100% vested at all times in employee and employer matching contributions.

**Stock Repurchase Program.** During June 2008, the Board of Directors approved a program that authorized the repurchase of up to \$10 million of the Company's common stock in the open market, or in privately negotiated transactions, through July 1, 2010. The Board of Directors approved an extension of this program to July 1, 2012 and is currently considering a further extension. The Company made no purchases under this program during the three months ended June 30, 2012. The Company has repurchased 508,145 shares at a total cost of \$2,157,226 (average cost of \$4.25 per share) under this program from inception to June 30, 2012.

**Non-Compliance with Nasdaq listing requirements.** On September 13, 2011 the Company received a notice from The Nasdaq Stock Market stating that the closing bid price of its common stock for the prior 30 consecutive business days had been below \$1.00 per share and therefore the Company was not in compliance with Marketplace Rule 5550(a) (2). The Company had 180 calendar days, or until March 12, 2012, to regain compliance with the minimum bid requirement under the first notice, but was unable to do so. On March 13, 2012, The Nasdaq Stock Market advised



the Company that it had determined that it was eligible for an additional 180 day calendar period to regain compliance with the minimum bid requirement for continued listing. Accordingly, to regain compliance, the closing bid price of the Company's common stock must be at least \$1.00 per share for a minimum of ten consecutive business days prior to September 10, 2012 in accordance with Marketplace Rule 5810(c)(3)(A). If the Company fails to achieve compliance within such period its common stock could be de-listed and it would most likely be traded on the OTC Bulletin Board. Such event could have an adverse impact on the liquidity of the Company's trading market and price of its common stock, among other items.

#### NOTE 9. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company recorded pretax compensation expense related to the grant of stock options and restricted stock issued of \$120,796 and \$216,060 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$241,437 and \$443,848 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company has adopted five separate stock option and restricted stock plans: (i) the 2005 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Plan (the “2005 Plan”), (ii) the 2006 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Plan (the “2006 Plan”), (iii) the 2007 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Plan (the “2007 Plan”), (iv) the 2008 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Plan (the “2008 Plan”) and (v) the 2011 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Plan (the “2011 Plan”). These Plans permit the grant of stock options or restricted stock to its employees, non-employee directors and others for up to a total of 7,000,000 shares of common stock. The Company believes that such awards better align the interests of its employees with those of its shareholders. Option awards have been granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company’s stock at the date of grant with such option awards generally vesting based on the completion of continuous service and having ten-year contractual terms. These option awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the Plans). In July 2008, the Company registered 6,500,000 shares of common stock that are issuable under its 2005 Plan, 2006 Plan, 2007 Plan and 2008 Plan with the SEC and registered the 500,000 shares issuable under the 2011 Plan during March 2012. A total of 728,854 options remain available for grant under the various Plans as of June 30, 2012.

In addition to the Stock Option and Restricted Stock Plans described above, the Company has issued other options outside of these Plans to non-employees for services rendered that are subject to the same general terms as the Plans, of which 20,000 options are fully vested and remain outstanding as of June 30, 2012.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option valuation model. The assumptions used for determining the grant-date fair value of options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2012 are reflected in the following table:

	2012
Expected term of the options in years	2-3 years
	66%
Expected volatility of Company stock	-72 %
Expected dividends	None
	0.24% -
Risk-free interest rate	0.34 %
Forfeiture rate	5% -75 %

The following is a summary of stock options outstanding:

Options	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at January 1, 2012	4,045,305	\$2.59
Granted	796,000	0.51
Exercised	—	—
Exercised and surrendered/cancelled (cashless exercise)	—	—
Forfeited	(434,100 )	2.19
Outstanding at June 30, 2012	4,407,205	\$2.25
Exercisable at June 30, 2012	2,641,755	\$3.05

Weighted-average fair value for options granted during the period at fair value	796,000	\$0.15
---	---------	--------

The Company's 2005 Plan, 2006 Plan, 2007 Plan, 2008 Plan and 2011 Plan allow for the cashless exercise of stock options. This provision allows the option holder to surrender/cancel options with an intrinsic value equivalent to the purchase/exercise price of other options exercised. There were no cashless exercises during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

At June 30, 2012, the aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding was approximately \$-0-, the aggregate intrinsic value of options exercisable was approximately \$-0-, and there were no options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

As of June 30, 2012, the unamortized portion of stock compensation expense on all existing stock options was \$505,403, which will be recognized over the next forty-seven months.

The following table summarizes the range of exercise prices and weighted average remaining contractual life for outstanding and exercisable options under the Company's option plans as of June 30, 2012:

Exercise price range	Outstanding options		Exercisable options	
	Number of options	Weighted average remaining contractual life	Number of options	Weighted average remaining contractual life
0.01 to \$0.99	1,102,000	9.6 years	-	-
1.00 to \$1.99	2,255,205	5.4 years	1,646,355	4.4 years
2.00 to \$2.99	21,000	6.1 years	14,000	5.4 years
3.00 to \$3.99	84,000	6.0 years	36,400	4.4 years
4.00 to \$4.99	235,000	5.3 years	235,000	5.3 years
5.00 to \$5.99	-	-	-	-
6.00 to \$6.99	700,000	5.5 years	700,000	5.5 years
7.00 to \$7.99	-	-	-	-
8.00 to \$8.99	10,000	0.8 years	10,000	0.8 years
9.00 to \$9.99	-	-	-	-
	4,407,205	6.5 years	2,641,755	4.8 years

Restricted stock grants. The Board of Directors has granted restricted stock awards under the Plans. Restricted stock awards are valued on the date of grant and have no purchase price for the recipient. Restricted stock awards typically vest over one to four years corresponding to anniversaries of the grant date. Under the Plans, unvested shares of restricted stock awards may be forfeited upon the termination of service to or employment with the Company, depending upon the circumstances of termination. Except for restrictions placed on the transferability of restricted stock, holders of unvested restricted stock have full stockholder's rights, including voting rights and the right to receive cash dividends.

A summary of all restricted stock activity under the equity compensation plans for the six months ended June 30, 2012 is as follows:

	Restricted stock	Weighted average grant date fair value
Nonvested balance, January 1, 2012	22,500	\$ 2.09
Granted	130,000	.44
Vested	(12,500 )	1.89
Forfeited	—	—
Nonvested balance, June 30 2012	140,000	\$ 0.58

The Company estimated the fair market value of these restricted stock grants was \$57,200 based on the closing market price on the date of grant. As of June 30, 2012, there were \$55,988 of total unrecognized compensation costs related to all remaining non-vested restricted stock grants, which will be amortized over the next forty-seven months in accordance with the graduated vesting scale.

The nonvested balance of restricted stock vests as follows:

Year ended December 31,	Number of shares
2012 (July 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012)	52,500
2013	65,000
2014	5,000
2015	7,500
2016	10,000

#### NOTE 10. COMMON STOCK PURCHASE WARRANTS

During the year ended December 31, 2011 the Company issued common stock purchase warrants (the "Warrants") in conjunction with the issuance of the Notes (see Note 5). The Warrants are immediately exercisable and allow the holders to purchase up to 560,000 shares of common stock at \$1.00 per share after modification. The Warrants expire on November 30, 2013, allow for cashless exercise; however, the holder does not have registration rights. The expiration date of the Warrants was extended and their exercise price was reduced in July 2012. See Note 12, "Subsequent Events."

The fair value of the Warrants was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option valuation model. The assumptions used for determining the grant-date fair value of the Warrants granted are reflected in the following table:

Expected term of the Warrants	23-30 months
Expected volatility of Company stock	66% - 68 %
Expected dividends	None
Risk-free interest rate	0.25%
Forfeiture rate	- 0.62 %
	0 %

A summary of all Warrant activity for the six months ended June 30, 2012 is as follows:

	Warrants	Weighted average exercise price
Vested balance, January 1, 2012	560,000	\$ 1.00
Vested	-	-
Exercised	-	-
Forfeited	-	-
Vested balance, June 30, 2012	560,000	\$ 1.00

The weighted average grant date fair value of the Warrants to purchase 560,000 shares granted during the year ended December 31, 2011 aggregated \$207,836, which is amortized ratably to interest expense over the term of the Notes.

## NOTE 11. NET LOSS PER SHARE

The calculation of the weighted average number of shares outstanding and loss per share outstanding for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	30, 2011	2012	2011
Numerator for basic and diluted income per share – Net loss	\$(949,201 )	\$(1,134,903 )	\$(1,753,930 )	\$(2,282,192 )
Denominator for basic loss per share – weighted average shares outstanding	16,206,073	16,150,590	16,179,929	16,149,545
Dilutive effect of shares issuable under stock options and warrants outstanding	-	-	-	-
Denominator for diluted loss per share – adjusted weighted average shares outstanding	16,206,073	16,150,590	16,179,929	16,149,545
Net loss per share:				
Basic	\$(0.06 )	\$(0.07 )	\$(0.11 )	\$(0.14 )
Diluted	\$(0.06 )	\$(0.07 )	\$(0.11 )	\$(0.14 )

Basic loss per share is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, all outstanding stock options to purchase common stock were antidilutive, as a result of the net loss incurred for the respective periods and, therefore, not included in the computation of diluted income (loss) per share.

Basic loss per share is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. All outstanding stock options to purchase common stock were considered antidilutive, as a result of the net loss incurred for the respective periods and, therefore, not included in the computation of diluted loss per share. None of such outstanding options was in the money as of June 30, 2012.

## NOTE 12. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 24, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement with a third party lender that extended the maturity date of the Notes in the principal amount of \$2.5 million from May 30, 2013 to May 30, 2014. In connection with the extension, the Company reduced the exercise price for the 450,000 Warrants previously granted to the lender from \$1.00 to \$.50 and extended their expiration date from November 30, 2013 to November 30, 2015. The Company issued Source Capital Group, Inc. a warrant exercisable to purchase 50,000 shares of Common Stock at a price of \$0.50 per share through November 30, 2015 for its services in connection with the extension of the maturity date of the Notes. Additionally, the Company reduced the exercise price of warrants it had issued to such firm in May and November 2011 from \$1.00 per share to \$0.50 per share and extended their maturity dates to November 30, 2015. Such warrants are exercisable to purchase 110,000 shares of Common Stock.

In addition, the Company has entered into a letter of intent providing for a \$1.0 million revolving credit facility to be established by an affiliate of one of its non-employee directors. The revolving credit facility will have a term of 17 months and may be prepaid without penalty. It will bear interest at the rate of 8% per annum on amounts borrowed



and a facility fee of 4% per annum on unused balances, payable on a monthly basis. The Company will pay \$70,833 and issue the lender warrants exercisable to purchase 70,833 shares of its common stock at \$0.50 per share for a 40-month term as an origination fee. The new facility will be unsecured and subordinated to existing and future senior indebtedness of the Company. It will have the same priority in payment as the Company's existing \$2.5 million credit facility. In connection with the revolving credit facility, the Company will issue the lender warrants exercisable to purchase 283,333 shares of its common stock at \$0.50 per share for a 40-month term. The closing of the transaction is subject to completion of definitive documents and due diligence by the lender.

## ITEM MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION.

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The words "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate," "may," "should," "could," "will," "plan," "future," "continue," and other expressions that are predictions of or indicate future events and trends and that do not relate to historical matters identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based largely on our expectations or forecasts of future events, can be affected by inaccurate assumptions, and are subject to various business risks and known and unknown uncertainties, a number of which are beyond our control. Therefore, actual results could differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in this document, and readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. A wide variety of factors could cause or contribute to such differences and could adversely impact revenues, profitability, cash flows and capital needs. There can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements contained in this document will, in fact, transpire or prove to be accurate.

Factors that could cause or contribute to our actual results differing materially from those discussed herein or for our stock price to be adversely affected include, but are not limited to: (1) our losses from fiscal 2009 through the second quarter of 2012; (2) macro-economic risks from the economic downturn and decrease in budgets for the law-enforcement community; (3) our ability to increase revenues and return to profitability in the current economic environment; (4) our operation in a developing market and uncertainty as to market acceptance of our technology and new products; (5) the impact of the federal government's stimulus program on the budgets of law enforcement agencies, including the timing, amount and restrictions on funding; (6) our ability to deliver our new product offerings as scheduled, including the DVM-250, DVM-250 Plus and DVM-100, and have such new products perform as planned or advertised; (7) whether there will be commercial markets, domestically and internationally, for one or more of our new products, including our DVM-250 and DVM-250 Plus for the commercial fleet and mass transit markets, and the degree to which the interest shown in our new products will translate into sales during 2012; (8) our ability to maintain or expand our share of the market for our products in the domestic and international markets in which we compete, including increasing our international revenues to their historical levels; (9) our ability to produce our products in a cost-effective manner; (10) competition from larger, more established companies with far greater economic and human resources; (11) our ability to attract and retain quality employees; (12) risks related to dealing with governmental entities as customers; (13) our expenditure of significant resources in anticipation of a sale due to our lengthy sales cycle and the potential to receive no revenue in return; (14) characterization of our market by new products and rapid technological change; (15) our dependence on sales of our DVM-750 and DVM-500 Plus products; (16) potential that stockholders may lose all or part of their investment if we are unable to compete in our markets and return to profitability; (17) defects in our products that could impair our ability to sell our products or could result in litigation and other significant costs; (18) our dependence on key personnel; (19) our reliance on third party distributors and representatives for our marketing capability; (20) our dependence on a few manufacturers and suppliers for components of our products and our dependence on domestic and foreign manufacturers for certain of our products; (21) our ability to protect technology through patents; (22) our ability to protect our proprietary technology and information as trade secrets and through other similar means; (23) risks related to our license arrangements; (24) our revenues and operating results may fluctuate unexpectedly from quarter to quarter; (25) sufficient voting power by coalitions of a few of our larger stockholders to make corporate governance decisions that could have significant effect on us and the other stockholders; (26) sale of substantial amounts of our common stock that may have a depressive effect on the market price of the outstanding shares of our common stock; (27) possible issuance of common stock subject to options and warrants that may dilute the interest of stockholders; (28) our ability to comply with Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Section 404, as it may be required; (29) our nonpayment of dividends and lack of plans to pay dividends in the future; (30) future sale of a substantial number of shares of our common stock that could depress the trading price of our common stock, lower our value and make it more difficult for us to raise

capital; (31) our additional securities available for issuance, which, if issued, could adversely affect the rights of the holders of our common stock; (32) our ability to remain listed on the Nasdaq Capital Market; (33) our stock price is likely to be highly volatile due to a number of factors, including a relatively limited public float; and (34) indemnification of our officers and directors; (35) the ultimate result of our appeal of the judgment against us in the Z3 litigation and the cash contributed and now restricted for the supersedeas bond posted for the appeal; and (36) whether the Company will close its proposed \$1.0 million revolving credit facility and close it on the terms indicated in this report.

## Current Trends and Recent Developments for the Company

## Overview

We supply technology-based products utilizing our portable digital video and audio recording capabilities for the law enforcement and security industries and for the commercial fleet and mass transit markets. We have the ability to integrate electronic, radio, computer, mechanical, and multi-media technologies to create unique solutions for our customers' requests. We began shipping our flagship digital video mirror product in March 2006. We have developed additional products to complement our DVM-500 and DVM-750 in-car video products, including lower priced, in-car video mirrors (the DVM-100 and DVM-400), speed detection (Laser Ally), and body-worn camera (FirstVU) products designed for law enforcement usage. Furthermore, we have launched a new line of digital video mirrors (the DVM-250 and DVM-250 Plus) that serve as "event recorders" for the commercial fleet and mass transit markets and expand our customer base beyond the traditional law enforcement agencies. We have additional research and development projects that we anticipate will result in several new product launches during the balance of 2012. We believe that the launch of these new products will help to diversify and increase our product offerings and anticipate that such new products will result in increased revenues in the future.

We experienced a negative trend in our operating results in 2012 and 2011 and have reported operating losses during each of the previous ten fiscal quarters. The following is a summary of our recent operating results on a quarterly basis:

	June 30, 2012	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2011	June 30, 2011	March 31, 2011
Total revenue	\$ 4,600,797	\$ 3,782,456	\$ 4,286,314	\$ 5,817,893	\$ 4,743,253	\$ 4,729,693
Gross profit	2,475,663	1,996,617	1,841,104	2,989,496	1,964,557	1,976,773
Gross profit margin percentage	53.8 %	52.8 %	43.0 %	51.4 %	41.4 %	41.8 %
Total selling, general and administrative expenses	3,351,193	2,728,797	3,143,348	3,081,936	3,064,005	3,107,442
Operating loss	(875,530 )	(732,180 )	(1,302,244)	(92,440 )	(1,099,448)	(1,130,669)
Operating margin percentage	(19.0 )%	(19.4 )%	(30.4 )%	(1.6 )%	(23.2 )%	(23.9 )%
Net loss	\$ (949,201 )	\$ (804,729 )	\$ (1,517,136)	\$ (162,918 )	\$ (1,134,903)	\$ (1,147,289)

Our business is subject to substantial fluctuations on a quarterly basis as reflected in the significant variations in revenues and operating results in the above table. These variations result from the timing of large individual orders particularly from international customers and our new products, such as the DVM-100, DVM-400, DVM-250 and DVM-250 Plus. We incurred an operating loss during second quarter 2012 of \$875,530 on revenues of \$4,600,797 compared to an operating loss of \$732,180 on total revenues of \$3,782,456 during first quarter 2012. Our revenues in the second quarter 2012 were higher than the previous two quarters; however, our operating loss increased to \$875,530 for the second quarter 2012 compared to \$732,180 during the first quarter 2012. The increased operating loss during the second quarter 2012 is primarily attributable to the Z3 litigation charge and related expenses recorded in June 2012. (See Note 8 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements). Our gross margin percentage in second quarter 2012 improved to 53.8% compared to 52.8% in first quarter 2012, 43.0% in fourth quarter 2011,

51.4% in third quarter 2011, 41.4% in the second quarter 2011 and 41.8% in first quarter 2011 due to the lower component costs resulting from our supplier cost reduction initiative begun in 2011. Our selling, general and administrative (SG&A expenses) expenses increased in the second quarter 2012 compared to the five prior quarters due to the litigation charges and expenses related to the Z3 suit in second quarter 2012 noted above. Our international revenues during second quarter 2012 decreased to \$11,681 in the second quarter 2012 compared to \$120,254 during the second quarter 2011.

We expect to continue to experience significant fluctuations in revenues for the balance of 2012 and beyond due to the timing of larger orders, particularly from international customers. For the balance of 2012, we are focusing on increasing revenues and improving gross margins on sales in addition to continuing our SG&A cost reduction and containment measures. We plan, however, to continue to invest in research and development and our sales and marketing resources on a prudent basis. There have been a number of factors and trends affecting our recent performance, which include:

Revenues increased to \$4,600,797 during the second quarter and were the highest achieved since third quarter 2011 when revenues aggregated \$5,817,893. We attribute the revenue increase in the second quarter 2012 to the successful reorganization of our law enforcement sales force which was started in late 2011 and continued through the first and second quarters of 2012. We are moving to an employee-based sales force as opposed to our historical usage of independent sales agents. Management believes the sales force reorganization will continue to have a positive impact in the future, but recognizes that the economic climate will continue to depress certain state and local tax bases and continue to make 2012 a challenging business environment.

We have developed additional products to complement our DVM-500 and DVM-750 in-car video products. In that respect, we launched the Laser Ally speed detection system in third quarter 2010, the DVM-250 event recorder during first quarter 2011, the DVM-100 in-car video system in third quarter 2011 and the DVM-400 in-car video system in fourth quarter 2011. We are hopeful that our expanded product line will help generate incremental revenues to supplement our traditional DVM-500 Plus and DVM-750 revenues. In addition, the DVM-250 and DVM-250 Plus event recorders are designed for commercial fleet operators, which will allow us to seek new customers outside of law enforcement. Our recently released products, including the DVM 100, the DVM 400, the DVM 250, the DVM 250 Plus, and the Laser Ally, contributed 11% of the total sales for the second quarter 2012 compared to 8% for the first quarter 2012 and 6% for the comparable second quarter 2011.

Our total selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$622,396 during the second quarter 2012 compared to the first quarter 2012. This reversed a positive trend of lower SG&A expenses resulting from our SG&A cost containment initiative. The primary factor that contributed to the higher SG&A expenses during the second quarter 2012 was the litigation charge and related expenses which resulted from the Z3 litigation. We charged a total of \$654,082 to SG&A expenses during the second quarter 2012 related to the Z3 litigation. Therefore, SG&A expenses decreased during the second quarter 2012 compared to the first quarter 2012, excluding the litigation charge. We expect the favorable trend in SG&A expense will continue during the balance of 2012.

Our gross profit on sales increased to 53.8% during second quarter 2012 and built on the positive momentum from first quarter 2012 when gross profit increased to 52.8% from fourth quarter 2011, when gross profit had dropped to 43.0%. Our gross profit was 51.4% in third quarter 2011, 41.4% in second quarter 2011 and 41.8% in first quarter 2011. The second quarter 2012 gross margin improvement was attributable to the results of our supply chain improvement plan as we continued producing and shipping both DVM-500 Plus and DVM-750 units containing the lower cost components, which favorably impacted our gross margin during second quarter 2012. During 2011, we implemented our supply chain plan to improve gross margins through better outsourcing of our component parts in the future, including from foreign sources, which allowed us to reduce our production overhead costs through headcount and other cost reductions. In fourth quarter 2011, we implemented a printed circuit board revision that resulted in the replacement of all older version boards in our DVM-750 inventory. The cost of the labor, overhead and material to accomplish this replacement of boards was charged to cost of sales during fourth quarter 2011 and resulted in a significant decrease in our gross margin percentage. Our goal is to continue to improve margins during the balance of 2012 through our supply chain initiative, reduced manufacturing overhead, increases in sales volume and improved product mix. We continue to focus on reducing the costs of our products through changes to our supply chain, whereby we are emphasizing outsourcing of component part production and changing our supply chain vendors to lower cost alternatives suppliers throughout the world. However, we are experiencing increased price competition and pressure from certain of our competitors that has led to pricing discounts on larger contract opportunities. We believe this pricing pressure will continue as our competitors attempt to regain market share and revive sales and expect it to have a negative impact on our gross margins to some degree during the balance of 2012.

We believe that current and potential customers may be delaying or reducing the size of orders due to a number of factors, including budget reductions, in order to preserve their currently available funding and budgets. Many of the existing Federal funding programs require matching funds from the local agencies that continues to be difficult,

given the budget restrictions faced by many agencies. We cannot predict whether such funding on a matching basis will have a positive impact on our revenues in the future.

Our international revenues were substantially less than expected for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, with total international revenues of \$170,096 (2% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$568,926 (6% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2011. During the second quarter 2012, we replaced our international sales manager who was responsible for our international distributors and believe this will result in positive changes in the third quarter 2012 and beyond. We have numerous bids for international customers out presently; however, international sale cycles generally take longer than domestic business. We also believe that our new products may appeal to international customers, in particular the DVM-100, DVM-400, DVM-250 and DVM 250 Plus. We have built in the capability to install a variety of language packs into our DVM-750 system, which currently includes English, Spanish, Turkish and Arabic, with additional languages to become available during 2012. This language flexibility may be a positive factor in our efforts to improve future international sales.

We have reorganized our production and manufacturing operations by placing a greater emphasis on contract manufacturers. Uncertainties regarding the size and timing of large international orders make it difficult for us to maintain efficient production and staffing levels if all orders are processed through our manufacturing facility. By outsourcing more of our production requirements to contract manufacturers, we believe that we can benefit from greater volume purchasing and production efficiencies, while at the same time reducing our fixed and semi-fixed overhead costs. It is, of course, important that selected contract manufacturers be able to ramp up production quickly in order to meet the varying demands of our international customers.

Our recent operating losses caused deterioration in our cash and liquidity in 2012 and 2011. We borrowed \$2,500,000 under two unsecured subordinated notes (the "Notes") payable to a private, third party lender. The notes are due and payable in full on May 30, 2013 and may be prepaid without penalty at any time. We utilized the proceeds to retire our bank line of credit and provide cash for operations. We had no institutional credit lines available to provide additional working capital as of June 30, 2012. At June 30, 2012, we had available cash balances of \$1,183,060 and approximately \$7.3 million of working capital, primarily in the form of inventory and accounts receivable. Subsequent to June 30, 2012, we extended the maturity of the foregoing notes to May 30, 2014. In addition, the Company has entered into a letter of intent providing for a \$1.0 million revolving credit facility to be established by an affiliate of one of its non-employee directors. The revolving credit facility will have a term of 17 months and may be prepaid without penalty.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we borrowed an aggregate of \$2.5 million in two separate transactions under the Notes payable to a private, third-party lender. The loans are represented by two Notes in the principal amounts of \$1,500,000 and \$1,000,000, respectively, that bear interest at the rate of 8% per annum and are payable interest-only on a monthly basis. In July 2012, we extended the maturity date of both Notes from May 30, 2013 to May 30, 2014. They may be prepaid without penalty at any time and are subordinated to all existing and future senior indebtedness; as such term is defined in the Notes.

In addition, the Company has entered into a letter of intent providing for a \$1.0 million revolving credit facility to be established by an affiliate of one of its non-employee directors. The revolving credit facility will have a term of 17 months and may be prepaid without penalty. It will bear interest at the rate of 8% per annum on amounts borrowed and a facility fee of 4% per annum on unused balances, payable on a monthly basis. The Company will pay \$70,833 and issue the lender warrants exercisable to purchase 70,833 shares of its common stock at \$0.50 per share for a 40-month term as an origination fee. The new facility will be unsecured and subordinated to existing and future senior indebtedness of the Company. It will have the same priority in payment as the Company's existing \$2.5 million credit facility. In connection with the revolving credit facility, the Company will issue the lender warrants exercisable to purchase 283,333 shares of its common stock at \$0.50 per share for a 40-month term. The closing of the transaction is subject to completion of definitive documents and due diligence by the lender.

We may seek additional credit facilities to complement the Notes and proposed revolving credit facility to provide us with funding should the need arise to finance growth or other expenditures. Our existing Notes are, and the proposed revolving credit facility will be unsecured and do not or will not prevent us from obtaining senior secured financing should we find it necessary.

We do not consider raising capital through an equity offering to be a viable alternative to supplement working capital needs, given our current public equity valuation. However, we may find it necessary to raise additional capital if we do not regain profitability during 2012, are unable to improve liquidity through a reduction in our inventory levels in the near term and do not have other means to support our planned operating activities.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet debt nor did we have any transactions, arrangements, obligations (including contingent obligations) or other relationships with any unconsolidated entities or other persons that may have material current or future effect on financial conditions, changes in the financial conditions, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, capital resources, or significant components of revenue or expenses.

We are a party to operating leases and license agreements that represent commitments for future payments (described in Note 8 to our condensed consolidated financial statements) and we have issued purchase orders in the ordinary course of business that represent commitments to future payments for goods and services.



The Company entered into a supply and distribution agreement on May 1, 2010 under which it was granted the exclusive worldwide right to sell and distribute a proprietary law enforcement speed measurement device and derivatives to its customers. The term of the agreement was 42 months after the date the supplier began full scale production of the product which commenced in August 2010 and final certification of the product was obtained. The agreement had minimum purchase requirements of 1,000 units per period over three commitment periods. On January 31, 2012, the supply and distribution agreement was amended to reduce the minimum purchase commitment over the second and third years by 52% compared to the original commitment. The Company agreed to release its world wide right to exclusively market the product to the law enforcement community in exchange for the reduction in the purchase commitment. After the initial term has expired, the parties may continue on a month-to-month basis and is terminable by either party upon 30 days advance notice. The contract may be terminated earlier in case of material breach by either party that is not cured within thirty days of notice of the breach.

The agreement contains required minimum order quantities and fixed prices per unit according to the following schedule:

Commitment time period	Minimum order commitment amount (\$)		
	Commitment	Purchases	Remaining Commitment
March 2012 through February 2013	\$ 846,240	\$ 352,838	\$ 493,402
March 2013 through February 2014	846,240	—	846,240
	\$ 1,692,480	\$ 352,838	\$ 1,339,642

The above table reflects the modified terms of the amended supply and distribution agreement. The supplier is responsible for all warranty, damage or other claims, losses or liabilities related to the product and is obligated to defend and indemnify the Company against such risks. The Company held approximately \$1,345,000 of such products in finished goods inventory as of June 30, 2012.

For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

#### Results of Operations

Summarized immediately below and discussed in more detail in the subsequent sub-sections is an analysis of our operating results for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, represented as a percentage of total revenues for each respective year:

	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2012		2011	
Revenue	100	%	100	%
Cost of revenue	46	%	59	%
Gross profit	54	%	41	%
Selling, general and administrative expenses:				
Research and development expense	13	%	15	%
Selling, advertising and promotional expense	15	%	11	%
Stock-based compensation expense	3	%	5	%
Litigation charge and related expenses	14	%	—	%
General and administrative expense	28	%	34	%
Total selling, general and administrative expenses	73	%	65	%
Operating loss	(19)	%	(24)	%
Interest income (expense)	(2)	%	—	%
Loss before income tax benefit	(21)	%	(24)	%
Income tax (provision)	-	%	-	%
Net loss	(21)	%	(24)	%

Net loss per share information:

Basic	\$ (0.06 )	\$ (0.07 )
Diluted	\$ (0.06 )	\$ (0.07 )

## Revenues

Our current product offerings include the following:

Product	Description	Retail price
DVM-500 Plus	An in-car digital audio/video system that is integrated into a rear view mirror primarily designed for law enforcement customers.	\$ 4,295
DVM-500 Ultra	An all-weather mobile digital audio/video system that is designed for motorcycle, ATV and boat users mirror primarily for law enforcement customers.	\$ 4,495
DVM-750	An in-car digital audio/video system that is integrated into a rear view mirror primarily designed for law enforcement customers.	\$ 4,995
DVF-500	A digital audio/video system that is integrated into a law-enforcement style flashlight primarily designed for law enforcement customers.	\$ 695
DVM-100	An in-car digital audio/video system that is integrated into a rear view mirror primarily designed for law enforcement customers. This system uses an integrated fixed focus camera.	\$ 1,895
DVM-400	An in-car digital audio/video system that is integrated into a rear view mirror primarily designed for law enforcement customers. This system uses an external zoom camera.	\$ 2,795
DVM-250 Plus	An in-car digital audio/video system that is integrated into a rear view mirror primarily designed for commercial fleet customers. We also offer the DVM-250 Plus which has additional features and retails for \$1,295.	\$ 995
Thermal Ally	A hand-held thermal imaging camera that improves night vision or other low-light situations primarily designed for law enforcement customers.	\$ 3,995
Laser Ally	A hand-held mobile speed detection and measurement device that uses light beams rather than sound waves to measure the speed of vehicles.	\$ 2,495
FirstVU	A body-worn digital audio/video camera system primarily designed for law enforcement customers.	\$ 695

We sell our products and services to law enforcement and commercial customers in the following manner:

Sales to domestic and international customers are made direct to the end customer (typically a law enforcement agency or a commercial customer) through our direct sales force employees and commissioned third-party sales agents. Revenue is recorded when the product is shipped to the end customer.

Sales to domestic and international customers are made through independent distributors who purchase products from us at a wholesale price and sell to the end user (typically law enforcement agencies or a commercial customer) at a retail price. The distributor retains the margin as its compensation for its role in the transaction. The distributor generally maintains product inventory, customer receivables and all related risks and rewards of ownership. Revenue is recorded when the product is shipped to the distributor consistent with the terms of the distribution agreement.

Repair parts and services for domestic and international customers are generally handled by our inside customer service employees. Revenue is recognized upon shipment of the repair parts and acceptance of the service or materials by the end customer.

We may discount our prices on specific orders when considering the size of the order, the specific customer and the competitive landscape. We believe that our systems are cost competitive compared to our primary competition and generally are lower priced when considering comparable features and capabilities.

Revenues for the second quarter 2012 and second quarter 2011 were derived from the following sources:

27

---

	Three months ended June 30,			
	2012		2011	
DVM-550 Plus	48	%	67	%
DVM-750	20	%	8	%
DVM-250 & DVM- 250 Plus	5	%	1	%
DVM-100 & 400	4	%	—	%
First Vu	3	%	1	%
Laser Ally	2	%	5	%
Repair and service	2	%	2	%
DVM-500 Ultra	—	%	9	%
Accessories and other revenues	16	%	7	%
	100	%	100	%

We experienced a change in the sales mix of our products for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three-months ended June 30, 2011. Our recently released products, including the DVM 100, the DVM 400, the DVM 250, the DVM 250 Plus, and the Laser Ally, contributed 11% of our total sales for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to 6% for the comparable period ending June 30, 2011. We expect that the sales mix will continue to transition from the DVM 550 Plus and DVM 750 to the newer products we have recently introduced.

Revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$4,600,797 and \$4,743,253, respectively, a decrease of \$142,456 (3%), due to the following factors:

Our revenues decreased due to the challenging economy that negatively impacted state, county and municipal budgets which fund our law enforcement customers. We believe that current and potential customers may have delayed or reduced the size of their orders due to a number of factors, including their local budget reductions and anticipation of receiving the federal government's stimulus funds in order to preserve their currently available funding and budgets. Our average order size decreased from approximately \$3,500 in second quarter 2011 to \$2,700 during second quarter 2012. We shipped four individual orders in excess of \$100,000, for a total of \$882,000 in revenue, in second quarter 2012 compared to four orders individually in excess of \$100,000, for total revenue of approximately \$1,309,000, in second quarter 2011. We believe that this reflects reduced law enforcement budgets where the customers are covering only the minimum required needs rather than full fleet deployments. In addition, the new products we introduced in 2010 and 2011 (FirstVU, Laser Ally, DVM-250, DVM-250 Plus, DVM-100 and DVM-400) all have lower average selling prices than our digital video mirror lines. Repair orders at lower average invoice amounts have also increased significantly as our installed base continues to come off of warranty. These repair orders are at lower average price levels and are impacting our overall average invoice size. We are hopeful that, during the balance of 2012, we will see an easing of such budgetary constraints and that purchasing patterns will resume at their former, higher levels, although we can make no assurances in this regard. We maintained consistent retail pricing on our law enforcement mirror models during 2012 and do not plan any material changes in pricing during the balance of 2012, including the new products recently introduced. Our newer mirror-based products include the DVM-100, DVM-250 and DVM-250 Plus and the DVM-400, which will be sold at lower retail pricing levels compared to our legacy products during 2012 due to fewer features. We are experiencing some price competition and discounting from our competitors as they attempt to regain market share. For certain opportunities that involve multiple units and/or multi-year contracts we have occasionally discounted our products to gain or retain market share and revenues.

We have been reorganizing our domestic sales force and organization for our law enforcement channel since late 2011. Traditionally, we primarily used third party sales agents to market our law enforcement products domestically. We believed that type of sales force was effective and efficient for us when we initially entered the market with a new product. We have changed principally to an employee-based direct sales force that provides us with more control and monitoring of our sales force and their daily activities. In addition, we have reduced the size of certain sales territories and consequently increased the overall number of domestic sales territories and sales personnel from 15 at the beginning of 2012 to 20 in order to better penetrate the market. We performed extensive evaluations of all existing sales agents during late 2011 and 2012 which prompted us to undertake the complete reorganization of our domestic sales force. During 2012, we retained two of such sales agents as independent sales agents in their previous territories because of their past performance, converted two of them to employee-based direct sales personnel and replaced the remaining sales agents with new employee sales personnel. Our objective with this new employee-based model, including the replacement of many sales agents, is to encourage our sales personnel in lower performing territories to improve their efforts and consequently their sales results. We believe that a portion of the revenue decrease experienced in 2011 and 2012 revenues resulted from third party sales agents reducing their sales efforts because they did not have the financial resources to travel, meet and market directly to their customers as a result of the difficult economic conditions. We think that our reorganization has addressed these concerns. We believe that the transition to the employee-based direct sales force model resulting in a number of new territories and sales personnel during 2012 and the training of new sales personnel that replaced underperforming salesmen in certain existing territories have caused temporary disruptions and contributed to the lower revenues in second quarter 2012 compared to 2011. In conjunction with the sales force reorganization, we have identified, hired and trained nine new sales personnel in 2012 that principally replaced underperforming sales agents. We hope that this transition will result in the generation of increased revenues from these historically underperforming territories in the future.

Our international revenues decreased to \$11,681 (<1% of total revenues) during second quarter 2012, compared to \$120,254 (2.5% of total revenues) during second quarter 2011. During the second quarter 2012, we replaced the international sales manager responsible for our international distributors. We have numerous bids for international customers out presently; however, international sale cycles generally take longer than domestic business. We also believe that our new products may appeal to international customers, in particular the DVM-100, DVM-250 and DVM-250 Plus. We have built in the capability to install a variety of language packs into our DVM-750 system, which currently includes English, Spanish, Turkish and Arabic, with additional languages to become available during 2012. This language flexibility may be a positive factor in our efforts to improve future international sales.



## Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue on units sold for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$2,125,134 and \$2,778,696, respectively, a decrease of \$653,562 (24%). The decrease in cost of goods sold is primarily due to the 3% decrease in revenues and improvement in cost of goods sold as a percent of revenues during second quarter 2012. Cost of sales as a percentage of revenues decreased to 46% during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 59% for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Our goal is to continue to reduce cost of sales as a percentage of revenues during 2012 and beyond. Improving gross margins through reductions in conversion costs (engineering changes and rework) and manufacturing inefficiencies related to our base products, such as the DVM-750 and DVM-500 Plus, are main focuses of management and engineering at the current time. In addition, we continue to reorganize our production and manufacturing operations by placing a greater emphasis upon contract manufacturers, including those located offshore. Uncertainties regarding the size and timing of large international orders make it difficult for us to maintain efficient production and staffing levels if all orders are processed through our manufacturing facility. By outsourcing more of our production requirements to contract manufacturers, we believe that we can benefit from greater volume purchasing and production efficiencies, while at the same time reducing our fixed and semi-fixed overhead costs. We believe that the selected contract manufacturers will be able to ramp up production quickly in order to meet the varying demands of our international customers. We expect that our newer product offerings, in particular the DVM-100, DVM-400, DVM-250 and DVM-250 Plus, should improve our cost of goods sold as a percentage of sales. We do not expect to incur significant capital expenditures to ramp up production of the new products because our internal process is largely assembling subcomponents, testing and shipping of completed products or we use contract manufacturers. We rely on our subcontractors to produce finished circuit boards that represent the primary components of our products, thereby reducing our need to purchase capital equipment.

We had \$550,488 and \$547,182 in reserves for obsolete and excess inventories at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. We had no remaining units of the legacy DVM-500 units in finished goods at June 30, 2012 and have discontinued it. We are maintaining component parts specific to the legacy DVM-500 in inventory at levels reasonably expected to be consumed for service and repair demands. Total raw materials and component parts were \$2,361,820 and \$2,168,761 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, an increase of \$193,059 (9%). The increase in raw materials and component parts is primarily attributable to pending orders. We believe that introduction of new parties to our supply chain will continue to help reduce cost of sales as a percent of revenues during the balance of 2012. Finished goods balances were \$4,956,317 and \$4,844,446 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, an increase of \$111,871 (2%). The increase in finished goods was primarily in the Laser Ally products, which are subject to minimum purchase requirements. Finished goods at June 30, 2012 are primarily the Laser Ally products, the DVM-750, and the DVM-500 Plus products, which will be used to fulfill international and domestic orders during the balance of 2012. Finished goods also included supplies of our other new products, including the FirstVU, DVM-250, DVM-250 Plus, DVM-100 and DVM-400 at June 30, 2012. The reserve for excess and obsolete inventory as a percent of total inventory balances decreased to 7.3% as of June 30, 2012 compared to 7.6% at December 31, 2011. We believe that our obsolescence risk was less at June 30, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011 because of our improved sales pipeline, including pending bids on large domestic and international contracts. We believe these reserves are appropriate given our inventory levels at June 30, 2012.

## Gross Profit

Gross profit for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$2,475,663 and \$1,964,557, respectively, an increase of \$511,106 (26%). The increase is commensurate with the significant improvement in cost of sales as a percent of revenue during second quarter 2012 offset by the 3% decline in revenues. Cost of sales as a percentage of revenues decreased to 46% during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 59% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and our gross profit percentage increased to 54% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from 41% for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Our goal is to continue to improve our margins based upon the expected

margins of our new products, in particular the DVM-100, DVM-400, DVM-250 and DVM-250 Plus, if we gain traction in the marketplace and increase commercial production during the balance of 2012. In addition, as revenues increase from these products, we will seek to further improve our margins from these new products through economies of scale and more effectively utilizing fixed manufacturing overhead components. We plan to continue our initiative on more efficient management of our supply chain through outsourcing production, quantity purchases and more effective purchasing practices.

## Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$3,351,193 and \$3,064,005 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, an increase of \$287,188 (9%). Overall selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales increased to 73% in 2012 compared to 65% in 2011. The significant components of selling, general and administrative expenses are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Research and development expense	\$574,883	\$710,735
Selling, advertising and promotional expense	691,481	538,637
Stock-based compensation expense	120,796	216,060
Professional fees and expense	213,573	223,779
Executive, sales and administrative staff payroll	522,237	632,250
Litigation charge and related expenses	654,082	—
Other	574,141	742,544
Total	\$3,351,193	\$3,064,005

**Research and development expense.** We continue to focus on bringing new products to market, including updates and improvements to current products. Our research and development expenses totaled \$574,883 and \$710,735 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of \$135,852 (19%). We believe that our cost trends have improved substantially in second quarter 2012 because of our continued cost containment efforts and scrutiny of engineering resources. We employed a total of 20 engineers at June 30, 2012, most of whom are dedicated to research and development activities for new products. Research and development expenses as a percentage of total revenues were 13% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 15% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, illustrating our continuing commitment to bring new products to market and expanding our current product line. We have active research and development projects on several new products, as well as upgrades to our existing product lines. We purchase and resell the Thermal Ally and Laser Ally products under our name from third parties who developed them. We consider our research and development capabilities and new product focus to be a competitive advantage and will continue to invest in this area on a prudent basis.

**Selling, advertising and promotional expenses.** Selling, advertising and promotional expense totaled \$691,481 and \$538,637 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, an increase of \$152,844 (28%). The largest component of selling, promotional and advertising expense has traditionally been the commissions paid to our independent agents, who have historically represented our sales force in the domestic market. These agents generally received a commission on sales ranging from 5% to 12% of the gross sales price to the end customer. Sales commissions totaled \$155,367 and \$475,424 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of \$320,057 (67%). Sales commissions as a percentage of overall sales decreased to 3.4% during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 10.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in our overall sales commissions as a percentage of sales reflects the results of our sales force reorganization initiative that is intended to improve our revenues.

As part of such reorganization, we are now principally an employee-based direct sales force model where such sales personnel receive a base salary plus travel expenses and have the opportunity to earn commissions if their respective sales exceed their assigned quotas. During the first six months of 2012, we terminated seven sales agents, converted two sales agents from third party representatives to employee-based sales personnel and increased the number of domestic territories from 15 to 20 territories to improve the coverage of our potential customer base. We retained two

of our third party sales agents under the traditional agent agreements as they have historically been our best producers. Additionally, we are now reimbursing expenses relative to our employee-based direct sales force to travel, meet with, and market directly to our customers. We believe that the recent difficult economic conditions had reduced the efforts of our previous third party sales agents to provide the financial resources to travel and effectively promote our products to potential customers. The salary component for our law enforcement and commercial sales channel sales force and managers are included in selling, advertising and promotional expenses. Such salary expenses are becoming a larger component of our overall selling expenses due to the sales force reorganization effected in first quarter 2012. Sales commissions paid to the two existing third party sales agents and the salaries, commissions and reimbursed travel expenses paid to our employee-based direct sales force are all components of our selling, advertising and promotional expenses. We expect such selling expenses will continue to trend higher in 2012 in comparison to 2011 based on our commitment to reorganizing our sales force, which we anticipate will result in additional investment in sales and marketing resources.

Promotional and advertising expenses totaled \$127,055 during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$63,213 during the three months ended June 30, 2011, an increase of \$63,842 (101%). The increase is primarily attributable to media advertising in trade publications and participation in more trade shows. We have decided to invest in significantly more written media advertising for all of our products, as well as attending more trade shows focused on both our commercial and law enforcement sales channels. Furthermore, we expect increases in expenses for brochures and other marketing initiatives designed to help penetrate new commercial markets for our new DVM-250 and DVM-250 Plus event recorders and to introduce our DVM-400 and the DVM-100 to the law enforcement channel during the balance of 2012.

Stock-based compensation expense. Stock based compensation expense totaled \$120,796 and \$216,060 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of \$95,264 (44%). The decrease was primarily attributable to stock options issued in January 2008 to officers and directors becoming fully vested in January 2012 and the associated stock-based compensation expense ceasing in December 2011. We expect this trend to continue throughout 2012.

Professional fees and expense. Professional fees and expenses totaled \$213,573 and \$223,779 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of \$10,206 (5%). Professional fees during 2012 were related primarily to normal public company matters, intellectual property matters and litigation matters. The decrease in professional fees and expenses in the first quarter 2012 compared to 2011 is primarily attributable to the Company's cost containment measures coupled with the settlement of certain litigation. In addition, professional fees associated with the Z3 litigation have been classified separately as "Litigation charge and related expenses" in the Statement of Operations for the three months ended June 30, 2012. We expect increased legal research and consultation regarding several patents and trademarks that have been or may be filed on the Company's new products and litigation expense later in 2012.

Executive, sales and administrative staff payroll. Executive, sales and administrative staff payroll expenses totaled \$522,237 and \$632,250 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of \$110,013 (17%). In January 2012, the Vice President of Marketing retired and the Vice President of Corporate Development resigned and their responsibilities were assumed by the executive officers for a savings of approximately \$65,000 for second quarter 2012. In addition, we reduced the number of our sales support staff during the first and second quarters of 2012 in connection with the restructuring of our sales and marketing organization. We anticipate that the reduction in executive, sales and administrative payroll will continue throughout 2012 as the full benefits of the headcount reductions that occurred throughout 2011 and first quarter 2012 are realized. However, such reductions may be offset partially because we may find it necessary to hire additional technical support staff during the balance 2012 to handle field inquiries, wireless download and installation matters as our installed customer base continues to increase and additional technical support is required for our new products, such as the DVM-250, DVM-250 Plus, DVM-400 and DVM-100.

Litigation charge and related expenses. Litigation charges and expenses totaled \$654,082 and \$-0- for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, an increase of \$654,082 (100%). The Z3 trial began on June 25, 2012 and concluded with a jury verdict on July 3, 2012 that resulted in a net judgment against us in the amount of \$85,000. Further, despite our arguments at trial, the court also refused to reconsider the interlocutory summary judgment rulings rendered against us prior to trial in the amount of \$445,000 which became final upon conclusion of the trial. Accordingly, the total judgment entered against us was \$530,000. We believe there were a number of errors in the court's rulings and the judgment entered on July 3, 2012 and are appealing them. We included the legal fees incurred, including the accrual of legal fees expected during the appeal process related to the Z3 lawsuit as a litigation charge and related expenses during the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Other. Other selling, general and administrative expenses totaled \$574,141 and \$742,544 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of \$168,403 (23%). The decrease in 2012 was attributable to our cost containment measures that generally reduced the cost of information technology, telephone and internet services as we negotiated better contracts rates or moved to new service providers. We plan to continue our cost containment initiatives in 2012 and expect that other selling, general and administrative costs will continue to improve during the balance of 2012.

#### Operating Loss

For the reasons previously stated, our operating loss was \$875,530 and \$1,099,448 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, an improvement of \$223,918 (20%). Operating loss as a percentage of revenues decreased to 19% in 2012 compared to 24% in 2011.

### Interest Income

Interest income decreased to \$2,143 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from \$2,756 in 2011. The decrease in interest income was a result of our decreased average cash balances and significantly lower average interest rate earned on such balances during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 2011.

### Interest Expense

We incurred interest expense of \$75,814 and \$38,211 during the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We issued a Note in the principal amount of \$1.5 million during second quarter 2011, the proceeds of which were used to repay the outstanding line of credit. We issued another Note in the principal amount of \$1.0 million in fourth quarter 2011 and extended the maturity date of the first Note such that both Notes are due and payable in full on May 30, 2013. The Notes bear interest at 8% per annum and can be prepaid at any time without penalty. In conjunction with the issuance of these Notes during 2011, we issued a total of 560,000 warrants to purchase common stock with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share until November 30, 2013. The estimated value of these warrants is reflected as a discount on the related Notes payable and is amortized to interest expense ratably over the term of the Notes. The outstanding principal balance on our Notes was \$2.5 million as of June 30, 2012, less the unamortized discount of \$92,342. In July 2012 we extended the maturity dates of the Notes to May 30, 2014, extended the expiration date of the warrants to November 30, 2015 and reduced their exercise price to \$0.50 per share.

### Loss before Income Tax Benefit

As a result of the above, we reported a loss before income tax benefit of \$949,201 and \$1,134,903 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, an improvement of \$185,702 (16%).

### Income Tax Benefit

We recorded no income tax benefit related to our losses for the three months ended June 30, 2012 due to management's decision to continue providing a full valuation reserve on our net deferred tax assets as of June 30, 2012. During 2012, we increased our valuation reserve on deferred tax assets by \$625,000 whereby our deferred tax assets continue to be fully reserved due to our recent operating losses.

We had approximately \$7,937,500 of net operating loss carryforwards and \$1,110,000 of research and development tax credit carryforwards as of June 30, 2012 available to offset future net taxable income.

### Net Loss

As a result of the above, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we reported a net loss of \$949,201 and \$1,134,903, respectively, an improvement of \$185,702 (16%).

### Basic and Diluted Loss per Share

The basic and diluted loss per share was \$0.06 and \$0.07 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, for the reasons previously noted. All outstanding stock options were considered antidilutive and therefore excluded from the calculation of diluted loss per share for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 because of the net loss reported for each period. None of such outstanding options was in the money as of June 30, 2012.





For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

### Results of Operations

Summarized immediately below and discussed in more detail in the subsequent sub-sections is an analysis of our operating results for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, represented as a percentage of total revenues for each respective year:

	Six Months Ended			
	June 30,		2011	
	2012			
Revenue	100	%	100	%
Cost of revenue	47	%	58	%
Gross profit	53	%	42	%
Selling, general and administrative expenses:				
Research and development expense	14	%	15	%
Selling, advertising and promotional expense	15	%	11	%
Stock-based compensation expense	3	%	5	%
Litigation charge and related expenses	8	%	—	%
General and administrative expense	32	%	35	%
Total selling, general and administrative expenses	72	%	66	%
Operating loss	(19	%)	(24	%)
Interest income (expense)	(2	%)	—	%
Loss before income tax benefit	(21	%)	(24	%)
Income tax (provision)	—	%	—	%
Net loss	(21	%)	(24	%)
Net loss per share information:				
Basic	\$ (0.11	)	\$ (0.14	)
Diluted	\$ (0.11	)	\$ (0.14	)

## Revenues

Our current product offerings include the following:

Product	Description	Retail price
DVM-500 Plus	An in-car digital audio/video system that is integrated into a rear view mirror primarily designed for law enforcement customers.	\$ 4,295
DVM-500 Ultra	An all-weather mobile digital audio/video system that is designed for motorcycle, ATV and boat users mirror primarily for law enforcement customers.	\$ 4,495
DVM-750	An in-car digital audio/video system that is integrated into a rear view mirror primarily designed for law enforcement customers.	\$ 4,995
DVF-500	A digital audio/video system that is integrated into a law-enforcement style flashlight primarily designed for law enforcement customers.	\$ 695
DVM-100	An in-car digital audio/video system that is integrated into a rear view mirror primarily designed for law enforcement customers. This system uses an integrated fixed focus camera.	\$ 1,895
DVM-400	An in-car digital audio/video system that is integrated into a rear view mirror primarily designed for law enforcement customers. This system uses an external zoom camera.	\$ 2,795
DVM-250	An in-car digital audio/video system that is integrated into a rear view mirror primarily designed for commercial fleet customers. We also offer the DVM-250 Plus which has additional features and retails for \$1,295.	\$ 995
Thermal Ally	A hand-held thermal imaging camera that improves night vision or other low-light situations primarily designed for law enforcement customers.	\$ 3,995
Laser Ally	A hand-held mobile speed detection and measurement device that uses light beams rather than sound waves to measure the speed of vehicles.	\$ 2,495
FirstVU	A body-worn digital audio/video camera system primarily designed for law enforcement customers.	\$ 695

We sell our products and services to law enforcement and commercial customers in the following manner:

Sales to domestic and international customers are made direct to the end customer (typically a law enforcement agency or a commercial customer) through commissioned third-party sales agents or our direct sales force employees. Revenue is recorded when the product is shipped to the end customer.

Sales to domestic and international customers are made through independent distributors who purchase products from us at a wholesale price and sell to the end user (typically law enforcement agencies or a commercial customer) at a retail price. The distributor retains the margin as its compensation for its role in the transaction. The distributor generally maintains product inventory, customer receivables and all related risks and rewards of ownership. Revenue is recorded when the product is shipped to the distributor consistent with the terms of the distribution agreement.

Repair parts and services for domestic and international customers are generally handled by our inside customer service employees. Revenue is recognized upon shipment of the repair parts and acceptance of the service or materials by the end customer.

We may discount our prices on specific orders when considering the size of the order, the specific customer and the competitive landscape. We believe that our systems are cost competitive compared to our primary competition and

generally are lower priced when considering comparable features and capabilities.

Revenues for the six months ended 2012 and 2011, respectively, were derived from the following sources:

	Six months ended June 30,			
	2012		2011	
DVM-550 Plus	52	%	61	%
DVM-750	18	%	17	%
DVM-100 & DVM-400	4	%	1	%
DVM-250 & DVM-250 Plus	4	%	1	%
Laser Ally	2	%	3	%
First Vu	2	%	1	%
Repair and service	2	%	1	%
DVM-500 Ultra	-	%	5	%
Accessories and other revenues	16	%	10	%
	100	%	100	%

We experienced a change in the sales mix of our products for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six-months ended June 30, 2011. Our recently released products, including the DVM-100, the DVM-400, the DVM-250, the DVM-250 Plus, and the Laser Ally, contributed 10% of total sales for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to 5% for the comparable period ending June 30, 2011. Additionally, repair and service revenues doubled from 1% to 2% for the respective periods ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2012 as more units came off warranty, which resulted in increased charges for out-of-warranty repair work performed. We expect the sales mix will continue to transition from the DVM-550 Plus and DVM-750 to the newer products we have recently introduced.

Revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$8,383,253 and \$9,472,946 respectively, a decrease of \$1,089,693 (12%), due to the following factors:

Our revenues decreased due to the challenging economy that negatively impacted state, county and municipal budgets which fund our law enforcement customers. We believe that current and potential customers may have delayed or reduced the size of their orders due to a number of factors, including their local budget reductions and anticipation of receiving the federal government's stimulus funds in order to preserve their currently available funding and budgets. Our average order size decreased from approximately \$3,500 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 to \$2,500 for the six months ended June 30, 2012. We shipped eight individual orders in excess of \$100,000, for a total of \$1,450,000 in revenue in the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to six orders individually in excess of \$100,000, for total revenue of approximately \$1,774,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011. We believe that this reflects reduced law enforcement budgets where the customers are covering only the minimum required needs rather than full fleet deployments. In addition, the new products we introduced in 2010 and 2011 (FirstVU, Laser Ally, DVM-250, DVM-250 Plus, DVM-100 and DVM-400) all have lower average selling prices than our digital video mirror lines. Repair orders at lower average invoice amounts have also increased significantly as our installed base continues to come off of warranty. These repair orders are at lower average price levels and are impacting our overall average invoice size. We are hopeful that, during the balance of 2012, we will see an easing of such budgetary constraints and that purchasing patterns will resume at their former, higher levels, although we can make no assurances in this regard. We maintained consistent retail pricing on our law enforcement mirror models during 2012 and do not plan any material changes in pricing during the balance of 2012, including the new products recently introduced. Our newer mirror-based products include the DVM-100, DVM-250, DVM-250 Plus and the DVM-400, which will be sold at lower retail pricing levels compared to our legacy products during 2012 due to fewer features. We are experiencing some price competition and discounting from our competitors as they attempt to regain market share. For certain opportunities that involve multiple units and/or multi-year contracts we have occasionally discounted our products to gain or retain market share and revenues.

We have been reorganizing our domestic sales force and organization for our law enforcement channel since late 2011. Traditionally, we used third party sales agents to market our law enforcement products domestically. We believed that type of sales force was effective and efficient for us when we initially entered the market with a new product. We have changed principally to an employee-based direct sales force that provides us with more control and monitoring of our sales force and their daily activities. In addition, we have reduced the size of certain sales territories and consequently increased the overall number of domestic sales territories and sales personnel from 15 at the beginning of 2012 to 20 currently, in order to better penetrate the market. We performed extensive evaluations of all existing sales agents during late 2011 and 2012 which prompted us to undertake the complete reorganization of our domestic sales force. During 2012, we retained two of such sales agents as independent sales agents in their previous territories because of their good performance in the past, converted two of them to employee-based direct sales personnel and replaced the remaining sales agents with new employee sales personnel. Our objective with this new employee-based model, including the replacement of many sales agents, is to encourage our sales personnel in lower performing territories to improve their efforts and consequently their sales results. We believe that a portion of the revenue decrease experienced in 2011 and

2012 revenues resulted from third party sales agents reducing their sales efforts because they did not have the financial resources to travel, meet and market directly to their customers as a result of the difficult economic conditions. We think that our reorganization has addressed these concerns. We believe that the transition to the employee-based direct sales force model resulting in a number of new territories and sales personnel during 2012 and the training of new sales personnel that replaced underperforming salesmen in certain existing territories caused temporary disruptions and contributed to the lower revenues we experienced in first quarter 2012 compared to 2011. In conjunction with the sales force reorganization, we have identified, hired and trained nine new sales personnel in 2012 that have principally replaced underperforming sales agents. We hope that this transition will result in the generation of increased revenues from these historically underperforming territories in the future.

Our international revenues decreased to \$170,096 (2% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$568,926 (6% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2011. During the second quarter 2012, we replaced the international sales manager responsible for our international distributors. We have numerous bids for international customers out presently; however, international sale cycles generally take longer than domestic business. We also believe that our new products may appeal to international customers, in particular the DVM-100 and DVM-250. We have built in the capability to install a variety of language packs into our DVM-750 system, which currently includes English, Spanish, Turkish and Arabic, with additional languages to become available during 2012. This language flexibility may be a positive factor in our efforts to improve future international sales.

## Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue on units sold for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$3,910,973 and \$5,531,616, respectively, a decrease of \$1,620,643 (29%). The decrease in cost of goods sold is primarily due to the 12% decrease in revenues and improvement in cost of goods sold as a percent of revenues during the six months ended June 30, 2012. Cost of sales as a percentage of revenues decreased to 47% during the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 58% for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Our goal is to continue to reduce cost of sales as a percentage of revenues during 2012 and beyond. Improving gross margins through reductions in conversion costs (engineering changes and rework) and manufacturing inefficiencies related to our base products, such as the DVM-750 and DVM-500 Plus, are main focuses of management and engineering at the current time. In addition, we continue to reorganize our production and manufacturing operations by placing a greater emphasis upon contract manufacturers, including those located offshore. Uncertainties regarding the size and timing of large international orders make it difficult for us to maintain efficient production and staffing levels if all orders are processed through our manufacturing facility. By outsourcing more of our production requirements to contract manufacturers, we believe that we can benefit from greater volume purchasing and production efficiencies, while at the same time reducing our fixed and semi-fixed overhead costs. We believe that the selected contract manufacturers will be able to ramp up production quickly in order to meet the varying demands of our international customers. We expect that our newer product offerings, in particular the DVM-100, DVM-400, DVM-250 and DVM-250 Plus, should improve our cost of goods sold as a percentage of sales. We do not expect to incur significant capital expenditures to ramp up production of the new products because our internal process is largely assembling subcomponents, testing and shipping of completed products or we use contract manufacturers. We rely on our subcontractors to produce finished circuit boards that represent the primary components of our products, thereby reducing our need to purchase capital equipment.

We had \$550,488 and \$547,182 in reserves for obsolete and excess inventories at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. We had no remaining units of the legacy DVM-500 units in finished goods at June 30, 2012 and have discontinued it. We are maintaining component parts specific to the legacy DVM-500 in inventory at levels reasonably expected to be consumed for service and repair demands. Total raw materials and component parts were \$2,361,820 and \$2,168,761 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, an increase of \$193,059 (9%). The increase in raw materials and component parts is primarily attributable to plans for ramping up production of DVM-500 Plus and DVM-750 mirrors in the third quarter. We believe that introduction of new parties to our supply chain will continue to help reduce cost of sales as a percent of revenues during the balance of 2012. Finished goods balances were \$4,956,317 and \$4,844,446 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, an increase of \$111,871 (2%). The increase in finished goods was primarily in the Laser Ally products which are subject to minimum purchase requirements. Finished goods at June 30, 2012 are primarily the Laser Ally products, the DVM-750, and the DVM-500 Plus products, which will be used to fulfill international and domestic orders during the balance of 2012. Finished goods also included supplies of our other new products, including the FirstVU, DVM-250, DVM-250 Plus, DVM-100 and DVM-400 at June 30, 2012. The reserve for excess and obsolete inventory as a percent of total inventory balances decreased to 7.3% as of June 30, 2012 compared to 7.6% at December 31, 2011. We believe that our obsolescence risk was less at June 30, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011 because of our improved sales pipeline including pending bids on large domestic and international contracts. We believe these reserves are appropriate given our inventory levels at June 30, 2012.

## Gross Profit

Gross profit for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$4,472,280 and \$3,941,330, respectively, an increase of \$530,950 (13%). The increase is commensurate with the significant improvement in cost of sales as a percent of revenue during first quarter 2012 offset by the 12% decline in revenues. Cost of sales as a percentage of revenues decreased to 47% during the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 58% for the six months ended

June 30, 2011 and our gross profit percentage increased to 53% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from 42% for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Our goal is to continue to improve our margins based upon the expected margins of our new products, in particular the DVM-100, DVM-400, DVM-250 and DVM-250 Plus, if we gain traction in the marketplace and increase commercial production during the balance of 2012. In addition, as revenues increase from these products, we will seek to further improve our margins from these new products through economies of scale and more effectively utilizing fixed manufacturing overhead components. We plan to continue our initiative on more efficient management of our supply chain through outsourcing production, quantity purchases and more effective purchasing practices.

## Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$6,079,990 and \$6,171,447 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of \$91,457 (1%). Overall selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales increased to 72% in 2012 compared to 66% in 2011. The significant components of selling, general and administrative expenses are as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Research and development expense	\$1,177,786	\$1,419,504
Selling, advertising and promotional expense	1,273,142	1,009,317
Stock-based compensation expense	241,437	443,848
Professional fees and expense	371,425	413,942
Executive, sales and administrative staff payroll	1,125,795	1,502,451
Litigation charge and related expenses	654,082	—
Other	1,236,323	1,382,385
Total	\$6,079,990	\$6,171,447

**Research and development expense.** We continue to focus on bringing new products to market, including updates and improvements to current products. Our research and development expenses totaled \$1,177,786 and \$1,419,504 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of \$241,718 (17%). We believe that our cost trends have improved substantially in the first six months of 2012 because of our continued cost containment efforts and scrutiny of engineering resources. We employed a total of 20 engineers at June 30, 2012, most of whom are dedicated to research and development activities for new products. Research and development expenses as a percentage of total revenues were 14% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 15% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, illustrating our continuing commitment to bring new products to market and expanding our current product line. We have active research and development projects on several new products, as well as upgrades to our existing product lines. We purchase and resell the Thermal Ally and Laser Ally products under our name from third parties who developed them. We consider our research and development capabilities and new product focus to be a competitive advantage and will continue to invest in this area on a prudent basis.

**Selling, advertising and promotional expenses.** Selling, advertising and promotional expense totaled \$1,273,142 and \$1,009,317 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, an increase of \$263,825 (26%). The largest component of selling, promotional and advertising expense has traditionally been the commissions paid to our independent agents, who have historically represented our sales force in the domestic market. These agents have generally received a commission on sales ranging from 5% to 12% of the gross sales price to the end customer. Sales commissions totaled \$360,237 and \$885,247 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of \$525,010 (59%). Sales commissions as a percentage of overall sales decreased to 4.3% during the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 9.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in our overall sales commissions as a percentage of sales reflects the results of our sales force reorganization initiative that is intended to improve our revenues.



As part of such reorganization, we have changed principally to an employee-based direct sales force model where such sales personnel receive a base salary plus travel expenses and have the opportunity to earn commissions if their respective sales exceed their assigned quotas. During the first six months of 2012, we terminated seven sales agents, converted three sales agents from third party representatives to employee-based sales personnel and increased the number of domestic territories from 15 to 20 territories to improve the coverage of our potential customer base. We retained two of our third party sales agents under the traditional agent agreements as they have historically been our best producers. Additionally, we are now reimbursing expenses relative to our employee-based direct sales force to travel, meet with, and market directly to their customers. We believe that the recent difficult economic conditions reduced the efforts of our previous third party sales agents to provide the financial resources to travel and effectively promote our products to potential customers. The salary component for our law enforcement and commercial sales channel sales force and managers are included in selling, advertising and promotional expenses. Such salary expenses are becoming a larger component of our overall selling expenses due to the sales force reorganization effected in second quarter 2012. Sales commissions paid to the two existing third party sales agents and the salaries, commissions and reimbursed travel expenses paid to our employee-based direct sales force are all components of our selling, advertising and promotional expenses. We expect such selling expenses will continue to trend higher in 2012 in comparison to 2011 based on our commitment to reorganizing our sales force, which we anticipate will result in additional investment in sales and marketing resources.

Promotional and advertising expenses totaled \$234,947 during the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$124,070 during the six months ended June 30, 2011, an increase of \$110,877 (89%). The increase is primarily attributable to media advertising in trade publications and participation in more trade shows. We have decided to invest in significantly more written media advertising for all of our products, as well as attending more trade shows focused on both our commercial and law enforcement sales channels. Furthermore, we expect increases in expenses for brochures and other marketing initiatives designed to help penetrate new commercial markets for our new DVM-250 and DVM-250 Plus event recorders and to introduce our DVM-400 and the DVM-100 to the law enforcement channel during the balance of 2012.

Stock-based compensation expense. Stock based compensation expense totaled \$241,437 and \$443,848 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of \$202,411 (46%). The decrease was primarily attributable to stock options issued in January 2008 to officers and directors becoming fully vested in January 2012 and the associated stock-based compensation expense ceasing in December 2011. We expect this trend to continue throughout 2012.

Professional fees and expense. Professional fees and expenses totaled \$371,425 and \$413,942 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of \$42,517 (10%). Professional fees during 2012 were related primarily to normal public company matters, intellectual property matters and litigation matters. The decrease in professional fees and expenses in 2012 compared to 2011 is primarily attributable to our cost containment measures coupled with the settlement of certain litigation. In addition, legal fees associated with the Z3 litigation have been separately classified as "Litigation charge and related expenses" in the Statement of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2012. We expect increased legal research and consultation regarding several patents and trademarks that have been or may be filed on our new products and litigation expense later in 2012.

Executive, sales and administrative staff payroll. Executive, sales and administrative staff payroll expenses totaled \$1,125,795 and \$1,502,451 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of \$376,656 (25%). This decrease is attributable to approximately \$100,000 of severance costs we incurred in first quarter 2011 as part of the cost containment initiative that did not recur in the six months ended June 30, 2012. In January 2012, the Vice President of Marketing retired and the Vice President of Corporate Development resigned and their responsibilities were assumed by the executive officers for a savings of approximately \$130,000 for first six months of 2012. In addition, we reduced the number of our sales support staff during first quarter 2012 in connection with the

restructuring of our sales and marketing organization. We anticipate that the reduction in executive, sales and administrative payroll will continue throughout 2012 as the full benefits of the headcount reductions that occurred throughout 2011 and first quarter 2012 are realized. However, such reductions may be offset partially because we may find it necessary to hire additional technical support staff during the balance 2012 to handle field inquiries, wireless download and installation matters as our installed customer base continues to increase and additional technical support is required for our new products, such as the DVM-250, DVM-250 Plus, DVM-400 and DVM-100.

Litigation charge and related expenses. Litigation charges and expenses totaled \$654,082 and \$0 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, an increase of \$654,082 (100%). The Z3 trial began on June 25, 2012 and concluded with a jury verdict on July 3, 2012 that resulted in a net judgment against us in the amount of \$85,000. Further, despite our arguments at trial, the court also refused to reconsider the interlocutory summary judgment rulings rendered against us prior to trial in the amount of \$445,000 which became final upon conclusion of the trial. Accordingly, the total judgment entered against us was \$530,000. We believe there were a number of errors in the court's rulings and the judgment entered on July 3, 2012 and are appealing them. We included the legal fees incurred, including the accrual of legal fees expected during the appeal process, on the Z3 suit as a litigation charge and related expenses during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Other. Other selling, general and administrative expenses totaled \$1,236,323 and \$1,382,385 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of \$146,062 (11%). The decrease in 2012 was attributable to our cost containment measures that generally reduced the cost of information technology, telephone and internet services as we negotiated better contracts rates or moved to new service providers. We plan to continue our cost containment initiatives in 2012 and expect that other selling, general and administrative costs will continue to improve during the balance of 2012.

### Operating Loss

For the reasons previously stated, our operating loss was \$1,607,710 and \$2,230,117 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, an improvement of \$622,407 (28%). Operating loss as a percentage of revenues decreased to 19% in 2012 compared to 24% in 2011.

### Interest Income

Interest income decreased to \$4,779 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from \$6,761 in 2011. The decrease in interest income was a result of our decreased average cash balances and significantly lower average interest rate earned on such balances during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 2011.

### Interest Expense

We incurred interest expense of \$150,999 and \$58,836 during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We issued a Note in the principal amount of \$1.5 million during second quarter 2011, the proceeds of which were used to repay the outstanding line of credit. We issued another Note in the principal amount of \$1.0 million in fourth quarter 2011 and extended the maturity date of the first Note such that both Notes are due and payable in full on May 30, 2013. The Notes bear interest at 8% per annum and can be prepaid at any time without penalty. In conjunction with the issuance of these Notes during 2011, we issued a total of 560,000 warrants to purchase common stock with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share until November 30, 2013. The estimated value of these warrants is reflected as a discount on the related Notes payable and is amortized to interest expense ratably over the term of the Notes. The outstanding principal balance on our Notes was \$2.5 million as of June 30, 2012, less the unamortized discount of \$92,342. In July 2012 we extended the maturity dates of the Notes to May 30, 2014, extended the expiration date of the warrants to November 30, 2015 and reduced their exercise price to \$0.50 per share.

### Loss before Income Tax Benefit

As a result of the above, we reported a loss before income tax benefit of \$1,753,930 and \$2,282,192 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, an improvement of \$528,262 (23%).

### Income Tax Benefit

We recorded no income tax benefit related to our losses for the six months ended June 30, 2012 due to management's decision to continue providing a full valuation reserve on our net deferred tax assets as of June 30, 2012. During 2012, we increased our valuation reserve on deferred tax assets by \$625,000 whereby our deferred tax assets continue to be fully reserved due to our recent operating losses.

We had approximately \$7,937,500 of net operating loss carryforwards and \$1,100,000 of research and development tax credit carryforwards as of June 30, 2012 available to offset future net taxable income.

### Net Loss

As a result of the above, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we reported a net loss of \$1,753,930 and \$2,282,192, respectively, an improvement of \$528,262 (23%).

### Basic and Diluted Loss per Share

The basic and diluted loss per share was \$0.11 and \$0.14 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, for the reasons previously noted. All outstanding stock options were considered antidilutive and therefore excluded from the calculation of diluted loss per share for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 because of the net loss reported for each period. None of such outstanding options were in the money as of June 30, 2012.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overall: On May 31, 2011, we borrowed \$1.5 million under an unsecured credit facility with a private, third-party lender. On November 7, 2011, we borrowed an additional \$1.0 million under an unsecured credit facility with the same private, third party lender. The loans are represented by two Notes that bear interest at the rate of 8% per annum and are payable interest only on a monthly basis. The Notes are subordinated to all existing and future senior indebtedness, as such term is defined in the Notes. On July 24, 2012, we entered into an agreement with the lender that extended the maturity dates of both of the Notes from May 30, 2013 to May 30, 2014. In connection with such extension, we reduced the exercise price for the 450,000 common stock purchase warrants previously granted from \$1.00 to \$0.50 and extended their expiration dates from November 30, 2013 to November 30, 2015.

In addition, the Company has entered into a letter of intent providing for a \$1.0 million revolving credit facility to be established by an affiliate of one of its non-employee directors. The revolving credit facility will have a term of 17 months and may be prepaid without penalty. It will bear interest at the rate of 8% per annum on amounts borrowed and a facility fee of 4% per annum on unused balances, payable on a monthly basis. The Company will pay \$70,833 and issue the lender warrants exercisable to purchase 70,833 shares of its common stock at \$0.50 per share for a 40-month term as an origination fee. The new facility will be unsecured and subordinated to existing and future senior indebtedness of the Company. It will have the same priority in payment as the Company's existing \$2.5 million credit facility. In connection with the revolving credit facility, the Company will issue the lender warrants exercisable to purchase 283,333 shares of its common stock at \$0.50 per share for a 40-month term. The closing of the transaction is subject to completion of definitive documents and due diligence by the lender.

The existing Notes are, and the proposed revolving credit facility will be unsecured and do not or will not prevent us from obtaining new senior secured financings. We may seek additional credit facilities to complement the Notes and proposed revolving credit facility to provide us with funding should the need arise to finance growth or other expenditures.

We had over \$1,180,000 of available cash and equivalents and net working capital of approximately \$7,340,000 as of June 30, 2012. Net working capital as of June 30, 2012 includes approximately \$2.9 million of accounts receivable and \$7.0 million of inventory.

We do not consider raising capital through an equity offering to be a viable alternative to supplement working capital needs, given our current public equity valuation. However, we may find it necessary to raise additional capital if we do not regain profitability during 2012, are unable to improve liquidity through a reduction in our inventory and accounts receivable levels in the near term, add to our existing credit facilities, and do not have other means to support our planned operating activities. Our ability to obtain such capital, if required, would have a material adverse impact on our business, operations and financial condition, including our ability to continue operating as a going concern. Further such capital, if available, most likely would not be on terms favorable to us and our shareholders.



Cash and cash equivalents balances: As of June 30, 2012, we had cash and cash equivalents with an aggregate balance of \$1,183,060, a decrease from a balance of \$2,270,393 at December 31, 2011. Summarized immediately below and discussed in more detail in the subsequent subsections are the main elements of the \$1,087,333 net decrease in cash during the six months ended June 30, 2012:

Operating activities: \$977,160 of net cash used in operating activities, primarily to fund our net losses. Non-cash charges to income, such as the depreciation and amortization and stock-based compensation, were positive adjustments to reconcile net cash used in operating activities.

Investing activities: \$107,835 of net cash used in investing activities, primarily to acquire test and quality control equipment to supply our contract manufacturers and the acquisition of demonstration products for our sales personnel.

Financing activities: \$2,338 of net cash used in financing activities.

Operating activities: Net cash used in operating activities was \$977,160 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$248,655 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, a deterioration of \$1,225,815. The deterioration in cash flow from operations for 2012 was primarily the result of our net losses and increases in inventory offset by the Z3 litigation accrual recorded in June 2012. Our goal is to increase revenues, return to profitability and decrease our inventory levels during 2012, thereby providing positive cash flows from operations, although there can be no assurances that we will be successful in this regard.

Investing activities: Cash used in investing activities was \$107,835 and \$151,358 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In both 2012 and 2011, we purchased computer equipment, production and research and development equipment to support our activities. During 2012 and 2011, we also incurred costs for patent applications on our proprietary technology utilized in our new products and included in intangible assets.

Financing activities: Net cash used in financing activities was \$2,338 and \$75,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we acquired capital equipment financed through capital lease obligations and payments on such obligations represented the cash used in financing activities. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, we issued a subordinated note payable in the principal amount of \$1.5million and paid \$75,000 of debt issuance costs related to the subordinated note. There were no purchases of stock held in treasury, stock option exercises or similar transactions during the six months ended June 30, 2012, which is attributable to the depressed value of our common stock.

The net result of these activities was a decrease in cash of \$1,087,333 to \$1,183,060 for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

#### Commitments:

We had \$1,183,060 of cash and cash equivalent balances and net positive working capital approximating \$7.3 million as of June 30, 2012. Accounts receivable balances represented \$2,934,441 of our net working capital at June 30, 2012. We intend to collect our outstanding receivables on a timely basis and reduce the overall level substantially during 2012, which would help to provide positive cash flow to support our operations during the balance of 2012. Inventory represented \$6,950,842 of our net working capital and finished goods represented \$4,956,317 of total inventory at June 30, 2012. We are actively managing the overall level of inventory and our goal is to further reduce such levels during the balance of 2012 by our sales activities, which should provide additional cash flow to help support our operations during such period.

Capital Expenditures. We had no material commitments for capital expenditures at June 30, 2012. The leases on all of our facilities expire during October through December 2012. We do not plan to renew any of our leases, therefore we will be moving to new facilities in 2012. We have identified a single location to which we can relocate all of our operations to one facility. We believe that the relocation of all personnel to one location will improve our overall efficiency. The Company is negotiating a long-term lease that will provide a facility that meets our requirements, although we have not entered into such a lease at this time. In conjunction with the consolidation of our operations into one facility and entering into a lease, we may find it necessary to spend significant funds in the form of tenant improvements to the leased premises, moving expenses and new office furniture and equipment.

Lease commitments. We have several non-cancelable operating lease agreements for office space and warehouse space. The agreements expire at various dates through December 2012. We have also entered into month-to-month leases for equipment and facilities. Rent expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$177,150 and \$214,502, respectively, related to these leases. The future minimum amounts due under the leases are as follows:

Year ending December 31:

2012 (period from July 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012)	\$ 134,441
2013	—
2014	—
2015	—
2016 and thereafter	—
	\$ 134,441

License agreements. We have several license agreements whereby we have been assigned the rights to certain materials used in its products. Certain of these agreements require us to pay ongoing royalties based on the number of products shipped containing the licensed material on a quarterly basis. Royalty expense related to these agreements aggregated \$19,264 and \$16,552 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Following is a summary of our licenses as of June 30, 2012:

License Type	Effective Date	Expiration Date	Terms
Production software license agreement	April 2005	April 2013	Automatically renews for one year periods unless terminated by either party.
Software sublicense agreement	October 2007	October 2012	Automatically renews for one year periods unless terminated by either party.
Technology license agreement	July 2007	July 2012	Automatically renews for one year periods unless terminated by either party.
Development, license and manufacturing agreement	July 2011	July 2016	Company has option to renew for three successive options to renew for three years periods unless terminated by either party.
Limited license agreement	August 2008	Perpetual	May be terminated by either party.

During April 2009, we terminated two license agreements because of failure of the counterparty to deliver the required materials and refusal to honor warranty provisions. In addition, one of the agreements was never approved by the Company. Both of these terminations are in dispute and we have filed a lawsuit to enforce our rights and protect our interests pursuant to these agreements. See "Litigation" below.



## Supply and distribution agreement.

The Company entered into a supply and distribution agreement on May 1, 2010 under which it was granted the exclusive worldwide right to sell and distribute a proprietary law enforcement speed measurement device and derivatives to its customers. The term of the agreement was 42 months after the date the supplier began full scale production of the product which commenced in August 2010 and final certification of the product was obtained. The agreement had minimum purchase requirements of 1,000 units per period over three commitment periods. On January 31, 2012, the supply and distribution agreement was amended to reduce the minimum purchase commitment over the second and third years by 52% as compared to the original commitment. The Company agreed to release its world wide right to exclusively market the product to the law enforcement community in exchange for the reduction in the purchase commitment.

After the initial term has expired, the parties may continue on a month-to-month basis and is terminable by either party upon 30 days advance notice. The contract may be terminated earlier in case of material breach by either party that is not cured within thirty days of notice of the breach.

The agreement contains required minimum order quantities and fixed prices per unit according to the following schedule:

Commitment time period	Minimum order commitment amount (\$)		
	Commitment	Purchases	Remaining Commitment
March 2012 through February 2013	\$ 846,240	\$ 352,838	\$ 492,402
March 2013 through February 2014	846,240	—	846,240
	\$ 1,692,480	\$ 352,838	\$ 1,339,642

The above table reflects the modified terms of the amended supply and distribution agreement. The supplier is responsible for all warranty, damage or other claims, losses or liabilities related to the product and is obligated to defend and indemnify the Company against such risks. The Company held approximately \$1,345,000 of such products in finished goods inventory as of June 30, 2012.

**Litigation.** We are subject to various legal proceedings arising from normal business operations. Although there can be no assurances, based on the information currently available, management believes that it is probable that the ultimate outcome of each of the actions will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements. However, an adverse outcome in certain of the actions could have a material adverse effect on our financial results in the period in which it is recorded.

On June 8, 2009, the Company filed suit against Z3 in the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas claiming breach of a production software license agreement entered into during October 2008 and the rescission of a second limited license agreement entered into during January 2009. Among other claims, the Company asserted that Z3 failed to deliver the material required under the contracts; that the product that was delivered by Z3 was defective and/or unusable; and that the January 2009 contract should be rescinded and declared void, unenforceable and of no force or effect. We paid license fees and made other payments to Z3 totaling \$265,000 to-date under these contracts. Z3 denied our claims and filed counterclaims that allege we did not have the right to terminate the contracts and therefore that it was damaged for loss of profits and related damages. In those counterclaims, Z3 sought to recover approximately \$4.5 million from us exclusive of "prejudgment interest". Our insurance carrier settled a portion of the counterclaims under our director and officer liability insurance policy. The counterclaims that were not resolved by that settlement

remained in controversy.

44

---

The trial of those claims began on June 25, 2012 and concluded with a jury verdict on July 3, 2012. The principal parts of the verdict were (i) an award of \$30,000 to us on grounds that Z3 had breached its 2008 contract with us; (ii) an award of \$15,000 in favor of Z3 by finding that we had breached the 2008 contract by failing to pay the balance of certain engineering fees; and (iii) an award of \$100,000 also in favor of Z3 based on the Court's finding that we breached the 2009 contract by failing to place an initial order for so-called "DM-365 modules" from Z3. As a result, the net judgment against us was \$85,000. Further, despite our arguments at trial, the court also refused to reconsider the interlocutory summary judgment rulings rendered against us prior to trial in the amount of \$445,000, which became final upon conclusion of the trial. Accordingly, the total judgment entered against us was \$530,000 and no prejudgment interest on that sum was awarded.

We believe there are a number of errors in the court's rulings and the judgment entered on July 3, 2012 and are appealing them. We accrued the \$530,000 judgment entered against us as a long term liability as of June 30, 2012 due to the expected time required to conclude the appeal process. We have charged \$654,082 to operations during the three months ended June 30, 2012 as litigation charge and related expenses. Such charges include the \$530,000 judgment and all related legal fees and expenses incurred and accrued during the three months ended June 30, 2012. We have also accrued the legal fees expected to be incurred during the appeal process. In order to stay the execution of judgment during the appeal process, we were required to post a bond in the amount of \$662,500 in July 2012 and the respective funds will be reflected as restricted cash in future balance sheets until such time as the bond is no longer required.

On October 23, 2009, the Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri awarded the Company an interlocutory judgment against a former contract manufacturer. The Company had filed for and received a temporary restraining order in June 2009 that forbids the supplier from engaging in certain actions involving the Company. The interlocutory judgment was entered in favor of the Company against the supplier that in effect cancelled all purchase orders and confirmed that the Company has no further obligations, whether monetary or otherwise, to the supplier. The Company received a notice of the filing of bankruptcy under Chapter 7 effective October 26, 2009 by this supplier. On May 28, 2010, the court granted a default judgment awarding the Company damages and legal fees totaling \$11,166,686. The Company is pursuing collection from the bankruptcy estate and applicable insurance policies. Management believes that the ultimate collection of any award of damages over and above the \$72,000 in unpaid invoices is uncertain at this time because of the current financial status of the supplier in the pending bankruptcy proceedings and the uncertainty of insurance coverage. The Company has filed a garnishment claim against all insurance proceeds from policies issued and in force covering the contract manufacturer when these actions occurred. Discovery and depositions by both parties have been concluded and both parties filed summary judgment motions. These summary judgment motions were denied by the court and the trial date has been set for September 2012.

We are also involved as a plaintiff and defendant in ordinary, routine litigation and administrative proceedings incidental to its business from time to time, including customer collections, vendor and employment-related matters. We believe the likely outcome of any other pending cases and proceedings will not be material to our business or financial condition.

**401 (k) Plan.** We sponsor a 401(k) retirement savings plan for the benefit of our employees. The plan, as amended, requires us to provide 100% matching contributions for employees who elect to contribute up to 3% of their compensation to the plan and 50% matching contributions for employee's elective deferrals on the next 2% of their contributions. We made matching contributions totaling \$54,700 and \$68,157 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Each participant is 100% vested at all times in employee and employer matching contributions.

**Stock Repurchase Program.** During June 2008, our Board of Directors approved a program that authorized the repurchase of up to \$10 million of our common stock in the open market, or in privately negotiated transactions,

through July 1, 2010. Our Board of Directors approved an extension of this program to July 1, 2012 and is currently considering a further extension. We made no purchases under this program during the three months ended June 30, 2012. The Company has repurchased 508,145 shares at a total cost of \$2,157,226 (average cost of \$4.25 per share) under this program from inception to June 30, 2012.

Non-Compliance with Nasdaq listing requirements. On September 13, 2011, we received a notice from The Nasdaq Stock Market stating that the closing bid price of our common stock for the prior 30 consecutive business days had been below \$1.00 per share and therefore we were not in compliance with Marketplace Rule 5550(a)(2). We had 180 calendar days, or until March 12, 2012, to regain compliance with the minimum bid requirement under the first notice, but were unable to do so. On March 13, 2012, The Nasdaq Stock Market advised us that it had determined that we are eligible for an additional 180 day calendar period to regain compliance with the minimum bid requirement for continued listing on it. Accordingly, to regain compliance, the closing bid price of our common stock must be at least \$1.00 per share for a minimum of ten consecutive business days prior to September 10, 2012 in accordance with Marketplace Rule 5810(c)(3)(A). If we fail to achieve compliance within such period our common stock could be de-listed and we would most likely be traded on the OTC Bulletin Board. Such event could have an adverse impact on the liquidity of our trading market and price of our common stock, among other items.

### Critical Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies are summarized in note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 1, "Financial Statements", of this report. While the selection and application of any accounting policy may involve some level of subjective judgments and estimates, we believe the following accounting policies are the most critical to our financial statements, potentially involve the most subjective judgments in their selection and application, and are the most susceptible to uncertainties and changing conditions:

Revenue Recognition/ Allowance for Doubtful Accounts;

Allowance for Excess and Obsolete Inventory;

Warranty Reserves;

Stock-based Compensation Expense; and

Accounting for Income Taxes.

**Revenue Recognition / Allowances for Doubtful Accounts.** Revenue is recognized for the shipment of products or delivery of service when all four of the following conditions are met:

(i) Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists;

(ii) Delivery has occurred;

(iii) The price is fixed or determinable; and

(iv) Collectability is reasonably assured.

We review all significant, unusual or nonstandard shipments of product or delivery of services as a routine part of our accounting and financial reporting process to determine compliance with these requirements.

Our principal customers are state, local and federal law enforcement agencies, which historically have been low risks for uncollectible accounts. However, we do have commercial customers and international distributors that present a greater risk for uncollectible accounts than such law enforcement customers and we consider a specific reserve for bad debts based on their individual circumstances. Our historical bad debts have been negligible, with less than \$115,000 charged off as uncollectible on cumulative revenues of \$135.7 million since we commenced deliveries during 2006. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we recorded a reserve for doubtful accounts of \$111,608 and \$125,000, respectively.

We periodically perform a specific review of significant individual receivables outstanding for risk of loss due to uncollectibility. Based on our specific review, we consider our reserve for doubtful accounts to be adequate as of June 30, 2012. However, should the balance due from any significant customer ultimately become uncollectible then our allowance for bad debts will not be sufficient to cover the charge-off and we will be required to record additional bad debt expense in our statement of operations.



Allowance for Excess and Obsolete Inventory. We record valuation reserves on our inventory for estimated excess or obsolete inventory items. The amount of the reserve is equal to the difference between the cost of the inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. On a quarterly basis, management performs an analysis of the underlying inventory to identify reserves needed for excess and obsolescence. Management uses its best judgment to estimate appropriate reserves based on this analysis. In addition, we adjust the carrying value of inventory if the current market value of that inventory is below its cost.

Inventories consisted of the following at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Raw material and component parts	\$ 2,361,820	\$ 2,168,761
Work-in-process	183,193	217,264
Finished goods	4,956,317	4,844,446
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>7,501,330</b>	<b>7,230,471</b>
Reserve for excess and obsolete inventory	(550,488 )	(547,182 )
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 6,950,842</b>	<b>\$ 6,683,289</b>

We balance the need to maintain strategic inventory levels to ensure competitive delivery performance to our customers against the risk of inventory obsolescence due to changing technology and customer requirements. As reflected above, our inventory reserves represented 7.3% of the gross inventory balance at June 30, 2012, compared to 7.6% of the gross inventory balance at December 31, 2011. Our finished goods are composed primarily of our Laser Ally products, the new DVM-750 systems, the DVM-500 Plus, the FirstVU, the DVM-250 and DVM-250 Plus event recorders and the DVF-500 flashlight products, none of which is considered excess or obsolete. We have reduced the finished goods inventory related to the legacy DVM-500 system to zero as of June 30, 2012 and therefore it does not represent an obsolescence risk. Raw material and component part inventory balances were greater at June 30, 2012, compared to December 31, 2011 as inventory was received for pending orders. We plan to reduce overall inventory levels during 2012. We have inventory reserves for pending changes to the product line, engineering upgrades and design changes that alter the demand for component parts and a shift of production to outsourcing.

If actual future demand or market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management or significant engineering changes to our products that are not anticipated and appropriately managed, additional inventory write-downs may be required in excess of the inventory reserves already established.

Warranty Reserves. We generally provide a one or two-year parts and labor warranty to our customers depending on the specific product. Provisions for estimated expenses related to product warranties are made at the time products are sold. These estimates are established using historical information on the nature, frequency, and average cost of claims. We actively study trends of claims and take action to improve product quality and minimize claims. Our warranty reserves were decreased to \$176,601 as of June 30, 2012 compared to \$211,421 as of December 31, 2011, which reflects the decreased number of units under warranty and the resolution of the wireless transfer module failures experienced in early 2011. Our new DVM-750 product failure rate has improved significantly during 2010, 2011 and the six months ended June 30, 2012, which has contributed to the relatively stable level of warranty reserves. We have recently introduced several new products, including the FirstVU, Laser Ally, DVM-100, DVM-400, DVM-250 and DVM-250 Plus, for which we have limited exposure since the third party manufacturers of these products are responsible for all warranty claims. There is a risk that we will have higher warranty claim frequency rates and average cost of claims than our history has indicated on our legacy mirror products. Actual experience could differ

from the amounts estimated requiring adjustments to these liabilities in future periods.



Stock-based Compensation Expense. We grant stock options to our employees and directors and such benefits provided are share-based payment awards which require us to make significant estimates related to determining the value of our share-based compensation. Our expected stock-price volatility assumption is based on historical volatilities of the underlying stock which are obtained from public data sources. We granted 796,000 options during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

The assumptions used for the determining the grant-date fair value of options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2012 are reflected in the following table:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
Expected term of the options in years	2-3 years
Expected volatility of Company stock	66%-72%
Expected dividends	None
Risk-free interest rate	0.24% - 0.34%
Expected forfeiture rate	5% -75%

If factors change and we develop different assumptions in future periods, the compensation expense that we record in the future may differ significantly from what we have recorded in the current period. There is a high degree of subjectivity involved when using option pricing models to estimate share-based compensation. Changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect our estimates of fair values of our share-based compensation. Certain share-based payment awards, such as employee stock options, may expire worthless or otherwise result in zero intrinsic value compared to the fair values originally estimated on the grant date and reported in our financial statements. Alternatively, values may be realized from these instruments that are significantly in excess of the fair values originally estimated on the grant date and reported in our financial statements. Although the fair value of employee share-based awards is determined using an established option pricing model, that value may not be indicative of the fair value observed in a willing buyer/willing seller market transaction.

In addition, we are required to net estimated forfeitures against compensation expense. This requires us to estimate the number of awards that will be forfeited prior to vesting. If actual forfeitures in future periods are different than our initial estimate, the compensation expense that we ultimately record may differ significantly from what was originally estimated. The estimated forfeiture rate for unvested options outstanding as of June 30, 2012 range from 0% to 75%.

Accounting for Income Taxes. Accounting for income taxes requires significant estimates and judgments on the part of management. Such estimates and judgments include, but are not limited to, the effective tax rate anticipated to apply to tax differences that are expected to reverse in the future, the sufficiency of taxable income in future periods to realize the benefits of net deferred tax assets and net operating losses currently recorded and the likelihood that tax positions taken in tax returns will be sustained on audit.

As required by authoritative guidance, we record deferred tax assets or liabilities based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities using currently enacted rates that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. Authoritative guidance also requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a

valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. As of December 31, 2011, cumulative valuation allowances in the amount of \$5,830,000 were recorded in connection with the net deferred income tax assets. Based on a review of our deferred tax assets and recent operating performance, we determined that our valuation allowance should be increased to \$6,455,000 to fully reserve our deferred tax assets at June 30, 2012. We determined that it was appropriate to continue to provide a full valuation reserve on our net deferred tax assets as of June 30, 2012 because of the losses we incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2012. We expect to continue to maintain a full valuation allowance until we determine that we can sustain a level of profitability that demonstrates our ability to realize these assets. To the extent we determine that the realization of some or all of these benefits is more likely than not based upon expected future taxable income, a portion or all of the valuation allowance will be reversed. Such a reversal would be recorded as an income tax benefit and, for some portion related to deductions for stock option exercises, an increase in shareholders' equity.

As required by authoritative guidance, we have performed a comprehensive review of our portfolio of uncertain tax positions in accordance with recognition standards established by the FASB, an uncertain tax position represents our expected treatment of a tax position taken in a filed tax return, or planned to be taken in a future tax return, that has not been reflected in measuring income tax expense for financial reporting purposes. We have no recorded liability as of June 30, 2012 representing uncertain tax positions.

We have generated substantial deferred income tax assets related to our operations primarily from the charge to compensation expense taken for stock options, certain tax credit carryforwards and net operating loss carryforwards. For us to realize the income tax benefit of these assets, we must generate sufficient taxable income in future periods when such deductions are allowed for income tax purposes. In some cases where deferred taxes were the result of compensation expense recognized on stock options, our ability to realize the income tax benefit of these assets is also dependent on our share price increasing to a point where these options have intrinsic value at least equal to the grant date fair value and are exercised. In assessing whether a valuation allowance is needed in connection with our deferred income tax assets, we have evaluated our ability to generate sufficient taxable income in future periods to utilize the benefit of the deferred income tax assets. We continue to evaluate our ability to use recorded deferred income tax asset balances. If we fail to generate taxable income for financial reporting in future years, no additional tax benefit would be recognized for those losses, since we will not have accumulated enough positive evidence to support our ability to utilize net operating loss carryforwards in the future. Therefore we may be required to increase our valuation allowance in future periods should our assumptions regarding the generation of future taxable income not be realized.

#### Inflation and Seasonality

Inflation has not materially affected us during the past fiscal year. We do not believe that our business is seasonal in nature however; generally we generate higher revenues during the second half of the calendar year than in the first half.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Not Applicable.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

##### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures, as such terms are defined in Rules 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). The Company, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of such disclosure controls and procedures for this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2012 to provide reasonable assurance that material information required to be disclosed by the Company in this report was recorded, processed, summarized and communicated to the Company's management as appropriate and within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms.

##### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act, during its last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect its internal control over financial reporting.



## PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are subject to various legal proceedings arising from normal business operations. Although there can be no assurances, based on the information currently available, management believes that it is probable that the ultimate outcome of each of the actions will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial statements of the Company. However, an adverse outcome in certain of the actions could have a material adverse effect on our financial results in the period in which it is recorded.

On June 8, 2009, we filed suit against Z3 Technologies, LLC (“Z3”) in the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas claiming breach of a production software license agreement entered into during October 2008 and the rescission of a second limited license agreement entered into during January 2009. Among other claims, we asserted that Z3 failed to deliver the material required under the contracts; that the product that was delivered by Z3 was defective and/or unusable; and that the January 2009 contract should be rescinded and declared void, unenforceable and of no force or effect. We paid license fees and made other payments to Z3 totaling \$265,000 to-date under these contracts. Z3 denied our claims and filed counterclaims that allege we did not have the right to terminate the contracts and therefore that it was damaged for loss of profits and related damages. In those counterclaims, Z3 sought to recover approximately \$4.5 million from us exclusive of “prejudgment interest”. Our insurance carrier settled a portion of the counterclaims under our director and officer liability insurance policy. The counterclaims that were not resolved by that settlement remained in controversy.

The trial of those claims began on June 25, 2012 and concluded with a jury verdict on July 3, 2012. The principal parts of the verdict were (i) an award of \$30,000 to us on grounds that Z3 had breached its 2008 contract with us; (ii) an award of \$15,000 in favor of Z3 by finding that we had breached the 2008 contract by failing to pay the balance of certain engineering fees; and (iii) an award of \$100,000 in favor of Z3 based on the Court’s finding that we breached the 2009 contract by failing to place an initial order for so-called “DM-365 modules” from Z3. As a result, the net judgment against us was \$85,000. Further, despite our arguments at trial, the court also refused to reconsider the interlocutory summary judgment rulings rendered against us prior to trial in the amount of \$445,000, which became final upon conclusion of the trial. Accordingly, the total judgment entered against us was \$530,000 and no prejudgment interest on that sum was awarded.

We believe there are a number of errors in the court’s rulings and the judgment entered on July 3, 2012 and are appealing them. We accrued the \$530,000 judgment entered against us as a long term liability as of June 30, 2012 due to the expected time required to conclude the appeal process. We have charged \$654,082 to operations during the three months ended June 30, 2012 as a litigation charge and related expenses. Such charge includes the \$530,000 judgment and all related legal fees and expenses incurred and accrued during the three months ended June 30, 2012. The charge includes the accrual of legal fees expected to be incurred during the appeal process. In order to stay the execution of judgment during the appeal process, we were required to post a supersedeas bond in the amount of \$662,500 during July 2012 and the respective funds will be reflected as restricted cash in future balance sheets until such time as the bond is no longer required. [Jim Daniels update]

On October 23, 2009, the Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri awarded the Company an interlocutory judgment against a former contract manufacturer. The Company had filed for and received a temporary restraining order in June 2009 that forbids the supplier from engaging in certain actions involving the Company. The interlocutory judgment was entered in favor of the Company against the supplier that in effect cancelled all purchase orders and confirmed that the Company has no further obligations, whether monetary or otherwise, to the supplier. The Company received a notice of the filing of bankruptcy under Chapter 7 effective October 26, 2009 by this supplier. On May 28, 2010, the court granted a default judgment awarding the Company damages and legal fees totaling \$11,166,686. The

Company is pursuing collection from the bankruptcy estate and applicable insurance policies. Management believes that the ultimate collection of any award of damages over and above the \$72,000 in unpaid invoices is uncertain at this time because of the current financial status of the supplier in the pending bankruptcy proceedings and the uncertainty of insurance coverage. The Company has filed a garnishment claim against all insurance proceeds from policies issued and in force covering the contract manufacturer when these actions occurred. Discovery and depositions by both parties have been concluded and both parties filed summary judgment motions. These summary judgment motions were denied by the court and the trial date has been set for September 2012.

We are also involved as a plaintiff and defendant in ordinary, routine litigation and administrative proceedings incidental to its business from time to time, including customer collections, vendor and employment-related matters. Management believes the likely outcome of any other pending cases and proceedings will not be material to its business or its financial condition.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

## (c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Period	(a)			(b)	(c)	(d)
	Total	Number of				
	Number of	Shares		Price	Number of	Number (or
	Purchased	Purchased		Paid per	Shares	Approximate
	[1]	[2]	[3]	Share	as Part of	Dollar Value)
					Publicly	of Shares that
					Announced	May Yet Be
					Plans or	Purchased
					Programs	Under the
						Plans or
						Programs
January 1 to 31, 2011	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
February 1 to 28, 2011	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
March 1 to 31, 2011	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
April 1 to 30, 2011	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
May 1 to 31, 2011	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
June 1 to 30, 2011	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
July 1 to 31, 2011	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
August 1 to 31, 2011	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
September 1 to 30, 2011	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
October 1 to 31, 2011	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
November 1 to 30, 2011	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
December 1 to 31, 2011	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
January 1 to 31, 2012	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
February 1 to 28, 2012	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
March 1 to 31, 2012	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
April 1 to 30, 2012	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
May 1 to 31, 2012	—			—	—	\$7,842,774
June 1 to 30, 2012	—			—	—	\$7,842,774[2]

[1] During June 2008, the Board of Directors approved a program that authorized the repurchase of up to \$10 million of the Company's common stock in the open market, or in privately negotiated transactions, through July 1, 2010. The Board of Directors approved an extension of this program to July 1, 2012. The repurchases, if and when made, will be subject to market conditions, applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and other factors. The repurchase program will be funded using a portion of cash and cash equivalents, along with cash flow from operations. Purchases may be commenced, suspended or discontinued at any time. The Company made no purchases under this program during the three months ended June 30, 2012. The Company has repurchased 508,145 shares at a total cost of \$2,157,226 (average cost of \$4.25 per share) under this program as of June 30, 2012.

[2] The Stock Repurchase Program authorizes the repurchase of up to \$10 million of common stock. A total of 508,145 shares have been repurchased under this program as of June 30, 2012, at a total cost of \$2,157,226 (\$4.25 per share average). As a result, \$7,842,774 is the maximum remaining dollar amount of common shares that may

be purchased under the Program. The number of shares yet to be purchased is variable based upon the purchase price of the shares at the time.

[3] We purchased vested and unvested employee stock options to acquire 950,000 shares of our common stock in April 2009. The purchase was part of a Separation Agreement reached with our former Executive Vice President of Engineering who resigned to pursue other opportunities. This repurchase was not considered to be part of our Stock Repurchase Program and therefore is not included in the above table.



ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

Not Applicable.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

Not Applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

Not Applicable.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

(a) Exhibits.

31.1 Certificate of Stanton E. Ross pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

31.2 Certificate of Thomas J. Heckman pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

32.1 Certificate of Stanton E. Ross pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

32.2 Certificate of Thomas J. Heckman pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DIGITAL ALLY, INC.,  
a Nevada corporation

Date: August 10, 2012

/s/ Stanton E. Ross

Name: Stanton E. Ross  
Title: President and Chief Executive  
Officer

/s/ Thomas J. Heckman

Name: Thomas J. Heckman  
Title: Chief Financial Officer, Secretary,  
Treasurer and  
Principal Accounting Officer

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Description

31.1 Certificate of Stanton E. Ross pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

31.2 Certificate of Thomas J. Heckman pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

32.1 Certificate of Stanton E. Ross pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

32.2 Certificate of Thomas J. Heckman pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.