

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT LTD
Form 10QSB
November 14, 2006

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-QSB

Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended **September 30, 2006**

Transition Report pursuant to 13 or 15(d) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period _____ to _____

Commission File Number: **00030653**

Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd.

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

80-0068489

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

5205 East Lincoln Drive , Paradise Valley, Arizona 85253

(Address of principal executive offices)

949 851-1069

(Issuer's telephone number)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the issuer was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:
1,516,820 common shares as of September 30, 2006.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (check one): Yes No

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
<u>PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u> 3
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis</u> 4
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u> 12
<u>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u> 13
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> 14
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> 15
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> 15
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Other Information</u> 15
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u> 15

Table of Contents

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Our unaudited financial statements included in this Form 10-QSB are as follows:

<u>F-1</u>	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2006;</u>
<u>F-2</u>	<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005;</u>
<u>F-3</u>	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005;</u>
<u>F-4</u>	<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements;</u>

These unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and the SEC instructions to Form 10-QSB. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the interim period ended September 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results that can be expected for the full year.

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Consolidated Balance Sheet
September 30, 2006
(Unaudited)

ASSETS

Properties, net of accumulated depreciation of \$156,008	\$ 1,828,208
Equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$424	3,393
Cash and cash equivalents	152,613
Receivables	106,208
Restricted cash	72,145
Prepaid and other assets	24,075
Total Assets	\$ 2,186,642

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Mortgages Payable	\$ 1,146,126
Mortgages Payable, related parties	138,630
Notes Payable, related parties	55,000
Interest Payable	35,523
Accounts Payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	316,071
Total Liabilities	1,691,350

Minority Interest	97,549
-------------------	--------

Commitments & contingencies	-
-----------------------------	---

STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Series A Preferred Stock, 375,000 shares authorized, \$0.01 par value, 361,808 issued & outstanding	3,617
Series B Preferred Stock, 1,000,000 shares authorized, \$0.01 par value, 8,044 issued & outstanding	80
Series C Preferred Stock, 1,125,000 shares authorized, \$0.01 par value, none issued & outstanding	-
Common Stock, 5,000,000 shares authorized, \$0.001 par value, 1,516,820 issued and outstanding	1,517
Shares to be issued	5,830
Paid In Capital	8,785,136
Accumulated Deficit	(8,398,439)

Total Stockholders' Equity	397,742
Total Liabilities & Stockholders' Equity \$	2,186,642

see accompanying footnotes

F-1

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Consolidated Statements of Operations
September 30, 2006
(Unaudited)

	For the Three Month Periods Ended September 30, 2006		For the Nine Month Periods ended September 30, 2006	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
REVENUES				
Rental Income	\$ 82,932	\$ 146,593	\$ 249,387	\$ 430,244
Commission Income	20,756	-	20,756	-
Total Net Revenues	103,688	146,593	270,144	430,244
OPERATING EXPENSES				
General and Administrative Expenses	310,019	668,152	876,104	2,091,217
Operating Loss	(206,330)	(521,559)	(605,961)	(1,660,973)
Other Income and (Losses)				
Gain (Loss) on Equity Investment	-	5,839	-	42,043
Interest Expense	(34,101)	(48,706)	(109,639)	(156,119)
Interest Income	144	538	430	27,840
Minority Interest	5,280	10,095	17,574	32,977
Other net	10,045	(7,907)	294,051	653,885
Total Other Income and (Losses)	(18,632)	(40,141)	202,416	600,626
Net Loss from continuing operations	(224,962)	(561,700)	(403,545)	(1,060,348)
Discontinued Operations:				
Gain from discontinued operations (including gain or (loss) on disposal)	-	52,485	-	342,646
NET LOSS	\$ (224,962)	\$ (509,215)	\$ (403,545)	\$ (717,702)
Basic and diluted loss per common share				
	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.05)
	15,298,060	15,627,139	23,070,874	15,272,406

Basic and diluted weight
average shares

see accompanying footnotes

F-2

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
September 30, 2006
(Unaudited)

	For the Nine Month periods ended	
	September 30,	
	2006	2005
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net Loss	\$ (403,545)	\$ (717,702)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and Amortization	33,219	33,888
Consulting prepaid expense	-	140,000
Minority interest	(17,574)	(32,977)
Shares cancelled	(11,250)	-
Shares to be issued	5,830	-
Gain (Loss) on equity investment	-	(42,043)
Gain (Loss) on disposal of subsidiary	-	(342,646)
Issuance of shares for consulting services	-	167,033
Loss on sale of note receivable	-	7,500
Gain on settlement of debt and litigation	(302,409)	-
Increase (decrease) in assets and liabilities:		
Receivables	79,963	45,023
Note Receivable	32,277	-
Prepaid expenses	(258)	2,573
Other assets	2,918	-
Accrued interest	18,368	-
Payroll liabilities	3,876	-
Accounts payable, accrued expenses	(236,485)	(130,945)
Net cash used in operating activities	(795,069)	(870,296)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Collection of note receivable	-	642,500
Purchase equipment and tenant improvements	(42,440)	(1,464)
Investment in real estate	(200,000)	-
Increase in restricted cash	(428)	403,766
Proceeds from sale of real estate	-	76,500
	-	352,646

Proceeds from sale of subsidiary interest, net of investment		
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(242,868)	1,473,948
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Payment of line of credit	-	(396,920)
Payment of mortgage payable	-	(15,944)
Proceeds from notes payable - related party	-	50,000
Payments on notes payable - related party	(25,000)	(854)
Proceeds from notes payable	-	-
Payments on notes payable	(14,854)	(251,980)
Net cash used in financing activities	(39,854)	(615,698)
Net decrease in cash & cash equivalent	(1,077,791)	(12,045)
Cash & cash equivalent, beginning period	1,230,404	23,790
Cash & cash equivalent, end of period	\$ 152,613	\$ 11,745
Supplemental disclosures:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 88,906	\$ 156,119
Cash paid for income tax	\$ -	\$ -
Non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Conversion of note to stock	\$ -	\$ 10,976.00

see accompanying footnotes

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2006

NOTE 1 - Basis of presentation and Going Concern

Basis of presentation:

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the "Company," pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information furnished herein reflects all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals and adjustments) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to fairly present the operating results for the respective periods. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally present in annual consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and footnotes for the year ended December 31, 2005. The results of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year ending December 31, 2006.

Going concern:

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principle, which contemplate continuation of the Company as a going concern. However, the Company has accumulated deficit of \$8,398,439 as of September 30, 2006. The Company reported net loss of \$403,545 at September 30, 2006. The Company currently has limited liquidity, and has not completed its efforts to establish a stabilized source of revenues sufficient to cover operating costs over an extended period of time. Much of this is attributable to the capital and equity structure of the Company inherited from prior management. Additionally, the Company is involved in litigation with a prior employee of the Company. The outcome of this litigation may adversely affect the liquidity of the Company.

In view of the matters described in the preceding paragraph, recoverability of a major portion of the recorded asset amounts shown in the accompanying balance sheet is dependent upon continued operations of the Company, which in turn is dependent upon the Company's ability to continue efforts to restructure its operations and raise additional capital to succeed in future operations. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

Current management has restructured the Company's operations by selling many of its poorly performing properties and reducing the associated high cost of debt. The Company also significantly reduced overhead. The Company continues to search and evaluate different business opportunities in efforts to generate a stabilized cash flow and funds for future investments. Management anticipates that the Company will be dependent, for the near future, on additional investment capital to fund operating expenses and acquisitions of properties or businesses before achieving operating profitability. The Company intends to position itself so that it may be able to raise additional funds through the capital markets which to date it has not been able to do so. However, the continual restructuring of the Company's capital base may assist in these efforts. In light of management's efforts, there are no assurances that the Company will be successful in this or any of its endeavors or become financially viable and continue as a going concern.

NOTE 2 - Nature of Operations

The Company was incorporated under the laws of the state of Utah on November 22, 1978. On July 23,

F-4

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2006

2002, the shareholders approved a change in domicile from Utah to Nevada. In accordance with Nevada corporate law, a change of domicile is effected by merging the foreign corporation with and into a Nevada corporation. On August 9, 2002, a merger between the Company and Book Corporation of America was completed. Upon completion of the merger Book Corporation of America was dissolved. On September 18, 2002, the OTCBB symbol for the Company's common stock was changed from BCAM to SCDI. The shareholders also approved amendments to the Company's Articles of Incorporation to change the par value of the Company's Common Stock from \$.005 to \$.001 and to authorize 50,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock (Series A, B and C), par value \$0.01. On November 15, 2002, the Company changed its fiscal year end from October 31 to December 31.

During 2002, the Company began pursuing the acquisition of ownership interests in real estate properties that are geographically and functionally diverse in order to be more stable and less susceptible to devaluation resulting from regional economic downturns and market shifts. The Company was not successful in implementing this strategy. Currently, the Company owns a shopping center in Orange, California; a single story office building in Newport Beach, California through its majority owned subsidiary Diversified Commercial Brokers, LLC; a 25 percent Tenant-in-Common interest in a commercial property located in Paradise Valley, Arizona; and a 33.3 percent interest in a property, consisting of a 2,180 square foot structure on approximately 38,587 square feet of land, located in Phoenix, Arizona.

NOTE 3 - Significant Accounting Policies

Consolidation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its majority owned subsidiary, Diversified Commercial Brokers, LLC (53.8%) and Secured Lending, LLC (100%). All material inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures; for example, the estimated useful lives of assets and the fair value of real property. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Credit and concentration risk. The Company maintains deposit accounts in numerous financial institutions. From time to time, cash deposits may exceed Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation limits. The Company maintains no certificates of deposit in excess of federal deposit insurance limits; however, the Company's general operating account exceeds federal deposit insurance limits.

Revenue recognition. The Company's revenues are derived from rental income and commission income from mortgage brokerage operations. Revenues are recognized in the period services are provided.

As a lessor, the Company has retained substantially all of the risks and benefits of ownership of the office properties and accounts for its leases as operating leases. Income on leases, which includes scheduled increases in rental rates during the lease term and/or abated rent payments for various periods following the tenant's lease commencement date, is recognized on a straight-line basis. Property leases generally provide for the reimbursement of annual increases in operating expenses above base year operating expenses (excess operating expenses), payable to the Company in equal installments throughout the year based on estimated increases. Any differences between the estimated increase and actual amounts incurred are adjusted at year end.

Cash and cash equivalents. The Company considers all short term, highly liquid investments, that are readily convertible to known amounts within ninety days as cash equivalents. The Company currently has no such investments.

Restricted cash. The Company is required by a lender to maintain a \$70,000 deposit in a bank account at the lenders financial institution. The deposit and 1st trust deed on real property serve as collateral for the

F-5

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2006

loan. The deposit is returnable subject to the borrower meeting certain payment and financial reporting conditions.

Property and equipment. Property and equipment are depreciated over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of the lease term or the estimated life of the asset. Depreciation and amortization is computed on the straight-line method. Repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred.

Investments. The consolidated method of accounting is used for investments in associated companies in which the company's interest is 50% or more. Under the consolidated method, the Company recognizes its share in the net earnings or losses of these associated companies as they occur rather than as dividends are received. Dividends received are accounted for as a reduction of the investment rather than as dividend income.

Fair value. The carrying value for cash, prepaid, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate fair value because of the immediate or short-term maturity of these financial instruments. Based upon the borrowing rates currently available to the Company for loans with similar terms and average maturities, the fair value of long-term debt approximates its carrying value.

Long-lived assets. Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("SFAS 144"), which addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets and supersedes SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed Of," and the accounting and reporting provisions of APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations for a Disposal of a Segment of a Business." The Company periodically evaluates the carrying value of long-lived assets to be held and used in accordance with SFAS 144. SFAS 144 requires impairment losses to be recorded on long-lived assets used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amounts. In that event, a loss is recognized based on the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the fair market value of the long-lived assets. Loss on long-lived assets to be disposed of is determined in a similar manner, except that fair market values are reduced for the cost of disposal.

Issuance of shares for service. The Company accounts for the issuance of equity instruments to acquire goods and services. The stocks were valued at the average fair market value of the freely trading shares of the Company as quoted on OTCBB on the date of issuance.

Income (Loss) per share. Basic loss per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted loss per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. At September 30, 2006 and 2005, all potential common shares are excluded from the computation of diluted loss per share, as the effect of which was anti-dilutive.

Stock-based compensation.

The company adopted SFAS No. 123-R effective January 1, 2006 using the modified prospective method. Under this transition method, stock compensation expense includes compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted on or after January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123-R.

Prior to January 1, 2006, the company measured stock compensation expense using the intrinsic value method of accounting in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to

Employees,” and related interpretations (APB No. 25) and has opted for

F-6

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2006

the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123. Thus, expense was generally not recognized for the company's employee stock option and purchase plans.

There were no unvested stock options as of December 31, 2005. The Company approved a stock option plan at its recently held shareholder meeting. The Company granted 7,500 (adjusted for post split effect) in options to Luis Leon, its former Chief Executive Officer, as part of its settlement (See Note 14).

Gain recognition on sale of real estate assets. In accordance with SFAS No. 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate, the Company performs evaluations of each real estate sale to determine if full gain recognition is appropriate and of each sale or contribution of a property to a joint venture to determine if partial gain recognition is appropriate. The application of SFAS No. 66 can be complex and requires the Company to make assumptions including an assessment of whether the risks and rewards of ownership have been transferred, the extent of the purchaser's investment in the property being sold, whether its receivables, if any, related to the sale are collectible and are subject to subordination, and the degree of its continuing involvement with the real estate asset after the sale. If full gain recognition is not appropriate, the Company accounts for the sale under an appropriate deferral method.

Income Taxes. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are computed annually for differences between the consolidated financial statements and tax basis of assets and liabilities that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in the future based on enacted laws and rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income (loss). Valuation allowance is established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

Advertising. The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred.

Segment Reporting. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131 ("SFAS 131"), "Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" requires use of the "management approach" model for segment reporting. The management approach model is based on the way a company's management organizes segments within the company for making operating decisions and assessing performance. Reportable segments are based on products and services, geography, legal structure, management structure, or any other manner in which management disaggregates a company.

Following is a summary of segment information by geographic unit for the period ended September 30, 2005:

	CA	NV	ND	TOTAL
Sales & Rental	\$228,469	\$0	\$201,775	\$430,244
Income				
Net income (loss)	(653,111)	0	(64,591)	(717,702)
Total Assets	1,988,294	0	46,300	2,034,594
C a p i t a l	0	0	0	0
Expenditure				
Depreciation and amortization	33,888	0	0	33,888

During 2005, the Company sold two improved real properties and our unimproved parcel of land located Dickinson, North Dakota and Las Vegas, Nevada. By the end of 2005, our remaining portfolio consisted of a 100% ownership interest in the Katella Business Center in Orange, California, and a 53.8% ownership interest in the Campus Drive

Office Building in Newport Beach, California. During the first quarter of 2006, the Company acquired investment interest in two separate properties in Arizona.

F-7

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2006

On January 6, 2006, the Company acquired a 25 percent Tenant-in-Common interest in a commercial property located in Paradise Valley, Arizona for \$300,000. The tenant-in common partners include a director of the Company, 25 percent, and an unrelated third party, 50 percent and SDI 25%. The unrelated third party will be responsible for all costs of operation including, but not limited to, landscaping, maintenance, taxes, insurance, property management and debt payments.

On February 15, 2006, the Company acquired a 33.3 percent interest in a property located in Phoenix, Arizona for \$200,000. The property consists of a 2,180 square foot structure on approximately 38,587 square feet of land. The Company's interest was purchased from Ms Jan Wallace, an officer and director of the Company. The property will be used to house the Company's headquarters. The Company is not responsible for any of the expenses and does not share in the revenue stream associated with these properties.

Following is a summary of segment information by geographic unit for the period ended September 30, 2006:

	CA	AZ	TOTAL
Commission & Rental Income	\$249,387	\$20,765	\$270,144
Net income (loss)	(305,491)	(98,054)	(403,545)
Total Assets	2,128,606	58,036	2,186,642
Capital Expenditure	200,000	42,440	242,440
Depreciation and amortization	31,937	1,282	33,219

Recent accounting pronouncements.

In February 2006, FASB issued SFAS No. 155, "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments". SFAS No. 155 amends SFAS No 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", and SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities". SFAS No. 155, permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133, establishes a requirement to evaluate interest in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives, and amends SFAS No. 140 to eliminate the prohibition on the qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. This statement is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of the Company's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Management believes that this statement will not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In March 2006 FASB issued SFAS 156 'Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets' this Statement amends FASB Statement No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*, with respect to the accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. This Statement:

Requires an entity to recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability each time it undertakes an obligation to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract.

F-8

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2006

2. Requires all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities to be initially measured at fair value, if practicable.
3. Permits an entity to choose 'Amortization method' or Fair value measurement method' for each class of separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities:
4. At its initial adoption, permits a one-time reclassification of available-for-sale securities to trading securities by entities with recognized servicing rights, without calling into question the treatment of other available-for-sale securities under Statement 115, provided that the available-for-sale securities are identified in some manner as offsetting the entity's exposure to changes in fair value of servicing assets or servicing liabilities that a servicer elects to subsequently measure at fair value.
5. Requires separate presentation of servicing assets and servicing liabilities subsequently measured at fair value in the statement of financial position and additional disclosures for all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities.

This Statement is effective as of the beginning of the Company's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Management believes that this statement will not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, FASB issued SFAS 157 'Fair Value Measurements'. This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This Statement applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, the Board having previously concluded in those accounting pronouncements that fair value is the relevant measurement attribute. Accordingly, this Statement does not require any new fair value measurements. However, for some entities, the application of this Statement will change current practice. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The management is currently evaluating the effect of this pronouncement on financial statements.

In September 2006, FASB issued SFAS 158 'Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans—an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)' This Statement improves financial reporting by requiring an employer to recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan (other than a multiemployer plan) as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income of a business entity or changes in unrestricted net assets of a not-for-profit organization. This Statement also improves financial reporting by requiring an employer to measure the funded status of a plan as of the date of its year-end statement of financial position, with limited exceptions. An employer with publicly traded equity securities is required to initially recognize the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan and to provide the required disclosures as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006. An employer without publicly traded equity securities is required to recognize the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan and to provide the required disclosures as of the end of the fiscal year ending after June 15, 2007. However, an employer without publicly traded equity securities is required to disclose the following information in the notes to financial statements for a fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006, but before June 16, 2007, unless it has applied the recognition provisions of this Statement in preparing those financial statements:

F-9

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2006

- a. A brief description of the provisions of this Statement
- b. The date that adoption is required
- c. The date the employer plans to adopt the recognition provisions of this Statement, if earlier.

The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the employer's fiscal year-end statement of financial position is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. The management is currently evaluating the effect of this pronouncement on financial statements.

NOTE 4 - Property and Equipment

The Company acquires income-producing real estate assets in the normal course of business. During 2005, the Company sold a shopping center and vacant lot in Dickinson, North Dakota and a shopping center in Las Vegas, Nevada. Property & Equipment comprised of following at June 30, 2006:

		Estimated Life
Buildings and improvements	\$ 1,945,594	39 years
Leasehold improvements	\$ 38,623	15 years
Furniture, fixture and equipment	\$ 3,817	3 years
Less accumulated depreciation	(156,433)	
	\$ 1,831,601	

Depreciation expense at September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$33,219 and \$33,888, respectively. No interest was capitalized in either period.

NOTE 5 - Related Party Transactions

Seashore Diversified Investment Company (SDIC). Certain of the Company's former officers and directors were also officers and directors of SDIC and continue to be major shareholders of SDIC. During 2002 through 2004, SDIC advanced monies to the Company, \$55,000 of which bears an interest rate of 9% and is evidenced by a note dated October 1, 2002 with a maturity date of September 30, 2003. Additional monies were advanced during that period and, at March 31, 2006, the outstanding advances totaled \$162,143 plus \$41,741 in accrued interest. While the Company recorded the contingent liability and associated accrued interest, \$107,141 is not evidenced by any written instrument nor was there any expressed terms of repayment. In any event, it is the Company's position that the outstanding advances in favor of SDIC, while carried on the Company's books during these years, were forgiven in connection with the purchase of the Hospitality Inn. In 2003, the Company entered into an agreement with Seacrest Limited Partnership I (of which SDIC was the general partner) to purchase the Hospitality Inn free and clear. When it

was discovered that Seacrest could not deliver title to the Hospitality Inn as presented, the Company requested and obtained a verbal agreement from SDIC forgiving the entire aforementioned contingent liabilities then advanced and any future advances save \$35,000. Members of the Board, management, and large shareholders of the Company, at the time of forgiveness, also represented SDIC, Seacrest Limited Partnership I and had a vested interest in the purchase of the Hospitality Inn by the Company.

SDIC has made no effort to collect the entire amount of the debt and acknowledged the forgiveness of the debt during the time the SDIC's officers and directors remained officers and directors of the Company. Only when these former officers and directors resigned with the Company did SDIC object to the forgiveness of the debt. Several of these individuals currently are involved in litigation with the

F-10

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2006

Company (*See Note 13-Litigation*). While the Company firmly believes that the debt has been forgiven, the Company also believes that the statute of limitations to recover any such debt has since expired.

C. Wayne Sutterfield (Sutterfield). The Company owed Sutterfield, a former director and shareholder, two notes, \$67,000 and \$71,630 both secured by trust deeds on 5030 Campus Drive. The notes bear interest at 8% and mature on August 17, 2006, and December 31, 2006, respectively. The \$67,000 note matured August 17, 2006, is being extended for six-months to February 17, 2007. Sutterfield is a minority owner in DCB. In addition to the interest payment on the 3rd trust deed, the Company, pursuant to the terms of the operating agreement, pays Sutterfield a preferred return on his investment. Payments to Sutterfield for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 totaled \$9,996 and \$1,597, respectively. There is also \$35,523 in accrued interest payable. The Company retains the right to acquire all his interests in DCB. Pursuant to the operating agreement, the Company is responsible for any and all cash flow deficiencies.

Jan Wallace (Wallace). On July 1, 2006, Secured Lending, LLC has entered into a lease agreement with Jan Wallace, Chief Executive Officer and Director for the lease of office space at 12202 Scottsdale Road, Phoenix, Arizona, in order to conduct its mortgage banking operation. The lease is for approximately 1,464 square feet at \$2,560 per month with a term of three years and one three year option.

NOTE 6 - Note Payable - Related Parties

Note Payable comprised of following at September 30, 2006:

Unsecured note, bearing interest at	\$
9%, interest only, due on demand	55,000

Interest expense on the notes payable - related parties amounted to \$7,236 and \$12,307 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$ -0- and \$4,886 for the three month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The accrued interest has been reversed as discussed in Note 5 - Related Party Transactions.

On January 19, 2006, the Company paid off a note to Prime Time Auctions, Inc, a shareholder totaling \$25,000 bearing interest at 15 percent secured by the Katella Business Center. The note was repaid in full including all accrued interest and late fees.

NOTE 7 - Mortgages Payable

Mortgages payable comprised of following at September 30, 2006:

Mortgage note, bearing interest at	
11.5%, due on June 25, 2007,	
secured by 1 st	
trust deed on Katella Center	\$ 370,000

Mortgage note, bearing interest at	666,126
the "1 year constant maturity	

treasury rate” plus
 3.5%, adjusting annually, currently
 8.0%, principal and interest
 monthly,
 maturing February 2, 2013,
 secured by 1st trust deed on 5030
 Campus

Mortgage note, bearing interest at
 8%, due on February 4, 2008,
 secured by 2nd
 trust deed on 5030 Campus 110,000

Total mortgages payable \$ 1,146,126

Interest expense on the Mortgages payable amounted to \$78,356, and \$99,035 for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$26,207 and \$31,607 for the three month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2006

NOTE 8 - Mortgages Payable - Related Parties**Mortgages payable - related parties, comprised of following at September 30, 2006:**

Mortgage note, bearing interest at 8%, due on August 17, 2006, secured by 5030 Campus Drive	\$ 67,000
Mortgage note, bearing interest at 8%, due on December 31, 2006, secured by 3 rd trust deed on 5030 Campus	71,630
Total mortgages payable- related parties	\$ 138,630

Interest expense on the Mortgages payable - related parties amounted to \$23,453, and \$33,265 for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$7,871 and \$11,093 for the three month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

On August 17, 2006, the \$67,000 mortgage payable, secured by 5030 Campus Drive, payable to the Sutterfield Family Trust (Wayne Sutterfield) matured. The note will be extended for six months to February 17, 2007, on the same terms.

NOTE 9 - Stockholders' Equity

In February 2003, the Company created three series of preferred stock, all of which are convertible at the option of the holder: (1) Series A consisting of 7,500,000 shares with a par value of \$0.01, a liquidation preference of \$1.00 per share, convertible into an equal number of common shares 36 months after issuance, with the same voting rights as common stock; (2) Series B consisting of 20,000,000 shares with a par value of \$0.01, a liquidation preference of \$0.50 per share, and convertible into an equal number of common shares 24 months after issuance; and (3) Series C consisting of 22,500,000 shares with a par value of \$0.01, a liquidation preference of \$3.00 per share, and convertible into an equal number of common shares 24 months after issuance. In the event the price of common stock is less than the purchase price of the preferred stock on the conversion date, the holder is entitled to convert at a rate equal to the purchase price divided by the common stock price.

On August 19, 2004, the Company obtained a written consent from the holders of a majority of its outstanding shares of Common Stock and Series B Preferred Stock to amend the Certificate of Designation. Such consent amends the terms of the Series B Preferred Stock to permit the Board of Directors to permit conversion of the Series B Preferred Stock into Common Stock prior to the expiration of the two-year prohibition on conversion. All 250,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock also consented to the amendment. The amendment to the Certificate of Designation became effective October 28, 2004. After approval to amend the Certificate of Designation, 5,839,479 shares of Series B Preferred Stock were converted to Common Stock.

On August 1, 2006, our Board of Directors resolved to amend the Articles of Incorporation pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes 78.207 to decrease the number of authorized shares of our common stock, par value \$.001, from

100,000,000 to 5,000,000 shares. Correspondingly, our Board of Directors affirmed a reverse split of twenty to one in which each shareholder will be issued one common share in exchange for each twenty common share of their currently issued common stock. At the same time and under the same authority, our Board of Directors resolved to amend the Articles of Incorporation to decrease the number of authorized shares of our preferred stock, par value \$0.01, from 50,000,000 to 2,500,000 shares. Correspondingly, our Board of Directors affirmed a reverse split of twenty to one in which each shareholder will be issued one common share in exchange for each twenty common share of their

F-12

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2006

currently issued common stock. A record date of August 14, 2006 was established in order to provide the NASD ten days notice pursuant to Rule 10b-17 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 as amended. All shareholders of this record date will receive one share of our common stock for each twenty shares owned. These share certificates will be issued upon surrender. On August 1, 2006, we filed a Certificate of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation with the Nevada Secretary of State to reflect the decrease in authorized shares. Under Nevada Revised Statutes 78.207, shareholder approval was not required.

During the period ended September 30, 2006, the Company had the following equity transaction adjusted for the effect of reverse split of 20:1.

On December 22, 2005, the Chief Executive Officer and President returned 2,250 shares of common stock to the Company for cancellation and return to unissued and authorized shares. The shares were cancelled January 14, 2006.

On February 2, 2006, Iomega converted its 12,500 shares of Series C Preferred Stock for 750,000 shares of the Company's common stock.

On August 24, 2006, we entered into a Consulting Services Agreement (the "Consulting Agreement") with Mr. Donald Schwall, Jr. Pursuant to the Consulting Agreement, Mr. Schwall will provide consulting and advisory services to our company on matters relating to developing online promotional concepts, events and materials to increase website awareness, marketing efforts and other matters. As compensation for these services, we delivered 400,000 shares of our common stock to Mr. Schwall on October 12, 2006, after registering his shares under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, using Form S-8.

On August 24, 2006, the Company agreed to issue 5,830 shares of common stock to Wayne Sutterfield, a shareholder and former director, in exchange for deferring interest payments due pursuant to that certain Operating Agreement regarding the Company's subsidiary, DCB.

NOTE 10 - Stock Incentive Plans

In November 2003, the Board of Directors adopted and the Shareholders approved two stock incentive plans: the Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd. 2003 Employee Stock Incentive Plan (2003 Employee Plan) and the Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd. 2003 Non-employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan (2003 Directors Plan). The Plans authorized the grant of stock options, restricted stock awards, stock in lieu of cash compensation and stock purchase rights covering up to a total of 750,000 shares of common stock (adjusted for post split effect) to key employees, consultants, and members of Board of Directors and also provides for ongoing automatic grants of stock options to non-employee directors. Effective April 1, 2005, The 2003 Employee Plan had been eliminated. The officers rescinded their employment agreements thereby forgiving the entire amount of their accrued salaries, shares issued and their grant of options under the 2003 Employee Plan. The former officers of the Company were collectively granted stock options totaling 125,000 (adjusted for post split effect) shares of which 62,500 (adjusted for post split effect) were vested at December 31, 2004. The Company recorded the expense of the vested options See Footnote 13 Commitments and Contingencies *Officer Employment Agreements* and Footnote 14 Litigation. The grant of options and those vested have been cancelled during 2005 as a result of the former employees canceling and rescinding their employment agreements.

A majority of the non-employee directors who received grants have resigned and were required to exercise such options within six months of resignation or the options would expire and automatically cancel. The grant of all stock

options under the 2003 Director Plan have expired and been cancelled. The 2003 Director Plan ceases to exist.

F-13

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2006

At the annual shareholder meeting, on June 2, 2006, the shareholders approved the '2006 Stock Option Plan of Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd.' The Plan authorizes the grant of stock options to key employees, consultants, and members of Board of Directors. Under the Plan, the aggregate sales price, or amount of securities sold, during any 12 month period may not exceed the greater of: (1) \$1 million, (2) 15% of the total assets of the Company, or (3) 15% of the issued and outstanding common stock of the company, including shares previously issued under this Plan or other stock option plans created by the Company, whichever is greater. The maximum number of shares for which an Option may be granted to any Optionee during any calendar year will not exceed 5% of the issued and outstanding shares.

NOTE 11 - Stock Options

On April 7, 2006, the Company settled its litigation with Luis Leon. The settlement included a grant of 7,500 (adjusted for post split effect) stock options. The Company adopted 2006 stock option plan in June 2006 and on August 8, 2006 issued 7,500 (adjusted for post split effect) options at a strike price of \$0.01 to Luis Leon under the '2006 Stock Option Plan of Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd.

As of September 30, 2006, the following is a summary of the stock option activity:

	Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	-	-	-
Granted	7,500	\$0.01	-
Forfeited	-	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-
Outstanding at September 30, 2006	7,500	\$0.01	\$7,500

Following is a summary of the status of options outstanding at September 30, 2006 (adjusted for post split effect).

Exercise Price	<u>Outstanding Options</u>			<u>Exercisable Options</u>	
	Number	Weighted Ave. Remaining Life	Weighted Ave. Exercise price	Number	Weighted Ave. Exercise Price
\$0.01	7,500	2 months	\$0.01	7,500	\$0.01

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2006

NOTE 12 - Warrants

At September 30, 2006, the Company had the following subscriptions for warrants outstanding.

Date	Number of Warrants	Exercise Price	Expiration Date
April 4, 2005	400,000	Range from \$0.50 to \$2.00	April 4, 2010

Following is a summary of the warrant activity:

	Aggregate Intrinsic value	
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	400,000	\$ 0
Granted	-	
Forfeited	-	
Exercised	-	
Outstanding at September 30, 2006	400,000	\$ 0

Following is a summary of the status of warrants outstanding at September 30, 2006:

Outstanding Warrants			Exercisable Warrants		
Exercise Price	Number	Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Number	Exercise Price
\$ 0.50 - \$2.00	400,000	3.50 years	\$ 1.25	400,000	\$1.25

For the nine month period ended September 30, 2006, the Company issued no new warrants and recorded no further expense.

NOTE 13 - Commitment and Contingencies

Lease agreements. The Company is obligated under various ground leases (Katella Center and 5030 Campus). Future ground lease payments will be adjusted by a percentage of the fair market value of the land.

Future annual minimum lease payments and principal payments under existing agreements are as follows:

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2006

	3rd Party Lease Obligation	Related Party Debt	3rd Party Debt	Officer Salaries	Total
2006	\$ 19,821	\$ 138,630	\$ 5,100	\$ 66,000	\$ 229,551
2007	107,290	-	392,764	84,000	584,054
2008	127,290	-	132,764	-	260,054
2009	127,290	-	22,764	-	150,054
2010	127,290	-	22,764	-	150,054
	\$ 508,981	\$ 138,630	\$ 576,156	\$ 150,000	\$ 1,373,767

The lease expenses were \$59,467 and \$189,038 for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$19,882 and \$64,100 for the three month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

On November 1, 2005, the Company relocated its offices to 5030 Campus Drive, Newport Beach, California. 5030 Campus is owned by the Company's subsidiary, Diversified Commercial Brokers. Nationwide Commercial Brokers, a former subsidiary of the Company owned by Robert Leonard a major shareholder of the Company, assumed the Company's former offices at 4940 Campus Drive and indemnify and hold the Company harmless from any and all claims, demands, causes of action, losses, costs (including without limitation reasonable court costs and attorneys' fees), liabilities or damages of any kind or nature whatsoever that the Company may sustain by reason of Nationwide Commercial Brokers' breach or non-fulfillment (whether by action or inaction), at any time.

Officer employment agreements. During 2003, the Company executed employment agreements with its officers that extend through 2006. On May 11, 2005 and effective April 1, 2005, the officers have rescinded their employment agreements and forgiven the entire amount of their accrued salaries and their respective grant of options under the Company's 2003 Employee Stock Incentive Plan. The Company entered into new employment agreements with the officers. Shares and stock options issued under the previous agreements will be rescinded. The employment agreements will provide for a reduced issuance of common stock and options vesting over the term of the agreement. Since then three officers have agreed to resign, and the Company has decided to set aside \$177,000 in contingent liabilities as potential payout and settlement to these officers. The Company has settled with two of the officers. The Company is still in dispute with a former officer (See Note 14 - Litigation).

EQUITY FINANCING ARRANGEMENT

On August 14, 2006, the Company agreed to an arrangement whereby Stonebridge Capital Group, Ltd. ("Stonebridge") would commit to raising \$400,000 through fundraising efforts provided that the Company comply with the following:

1. We are required to execute a stock reversal of our Common and Series A Preferred Stock at a 20 to 1 ratio (the "Reverse Split");
- 2.

We are required to issue Stonebridge one million five hundred thousand (1,500,000) shares of our Common Stock post Reverse Split;

3. We are required to issue a Warrant to Stonebridge to purchase an additional two hundred and fifty thousand (250,000) shares of our Common Stock at a strike price of of fifty cents (\$0.50) per share for a period of three years from the date the Warrant is issued.
4. We agreed to register Stonebridge's 1,500,000 shares of our Common Stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days from the date proceeds are delivered to escrow; and
5. If registration is not completed in the 120 days period, Stonebridge shall be compensated with the issuance of an additional one hundred thousand (100,000) shares of our Common Stock every thirty (30) days that the registration rights are not issued.

As of the date of this report, there is an oral arrangement but no funds have been received.

NOTE 14 - Litigation

On January 11, 2005, the Company terminated the employment of Luis Leon, formerly the Chief Executive Officer of the Company. On April 6, 2005, Luis Leon filed a complain against the Company in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange, alleging causes of action for breach of contract, promissory estoppels, intentional misrepresentation, violations of the California Labor Code. On April 7, 2006, the matter has been settled for \$65,000 and a grant of 7,500 (adjusted for post split effect) stock options. Each party is responsible for its own respective costs and attorney's fees. The Company adopted 2006 stock option plan in June 2006 and subsequently in August 2006 the Company issued 7,500 (adjusted for post split effect) options to Luis Leon.

F-16

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2006

On January 13, 2006, Alliance Title Company, Inc. (“Alliance”) filed a complaint in the matter of Alliance Title Company, Inc. v. Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd. (case no. 06CC02129) in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange. The complaint alleges that Alliance, our escrow agent, was entrusted with \$267,000 pursuant to escrow instructions, and that a mutual written agreement among the parties to the escrow was required to properly disperse the funds. Alliance further alleges that no instructions were provided to disperse the funds, but instead, competing claims for the funds were made by Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd., Clifford L. Strand, William S. Biddle, Gernot Trolf, Nationwide Commercial Brokers, Inc., and Prime Time Auctions, Inc.

Alliance has deposited the funds with the court and has asked for a declaration of rights regarding the funds. The Company is contesting the case vigorously and is proceeding with discovery. At this time the Company cannot make any evaluation of the outcome of this litigation. Alliance has requested that its reasonable costs and attorney’s fees be paid from the deposited funds. If Alliance is granted its request it will be paid from the proceeds currently held in escrow. Each of the parties involved will pay its prorata share of these costs. These costs will not be the sole responsibility of the Company.

On January 20, 2006, Clifford L. Strand, William S. Biddle, Gernot Trolf, our former management, and Nationwide Commercial Brokers, Inc., our former subsidiary (collectively, “Plaintiffs”), filed a complaint in the matter of Clifford L. Strand v. Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd. (case no. 06CC02350) in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange. The complaint contains causes of action for fraud and misrepresentation, negligent misrepresentation, breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, conversion, common counts, money had and received, and declaratory relief. These allegations arise out of the hold over of funds at issue in Alliance Title Company, Inc. v. Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd. (case no. 06CC02129), described above. The Company has set aside \$177,000 in contingent liabilities as potential payout and settlement to these officers.

The Company filed a cross-complaint against all Plaintiffs, Alliance Title Company and Brenda Burnett, a former employee of Alliance. Our cross-complaint contains causes of action for breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty, negligent supervision, civil conspiracy, intentional interference with economic relations, negligent interference with economic relations, breach of oral agreement, breach of employment contract, breach of director/officers’ fiduciary duty, fraud/intentional misrepresentation, and declaratory relief.

On March 10, 2006, some of our shareholders, including Clifford L. Strand, Robert J. Leonard, William S. Biddle, and Gernot Trolf (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) filed a complaint in the matter of William S. Biddle v. Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd. (case no. 06CC03959) in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange. Plaintiff seek declaratory relief as to whether we are a foreign corporation under California Corporation Code Section 2115(a) and whether Plaintiff’s alleged demand for our shareholder list and for an inspection of the accounting books and records and minutes of shareholders , board of directors and committees of such board is governed under California Corporation Code Sections 1600 and 1601.

On September 20, 2006, the following parties have entered into settlements agreement partially settling Case Number 06CC02350 and requesting that the court disburse the funds held as follows:

1. \$45,000 to William S. Biddle,
2. \$42,000 to Gernot Trolf,
3. \$33,803 to Nationwide Commercial Brokers,

4. \$33,803 to Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd.

F-17

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2006

The above settlements are part of allegations out of the hold over of funds at issue in Alliance Title Company, Inc. v. Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd. (case no. 06CC02129), described above. As of September 30, 2006 the amounts have been settled.

NOTE 15 -Equity Investments in Real Estate

On January 6, 2006, the Company acquired a 25 percent Tenant-in-Common interest in a commercial property located in Paradise Valley, Arizona for \$300,000. The tenant-in common partners include a director of the Company, 25 percent, and an unrelated third party, 50 percent and SDI 25%. The Company does not share in the revenue stream and is not responsible for any costs of operation including, but not limited to, landscaping, maintenance, taxes, insurance, property management and debt payments.

The unrelated third party will be responsible for all costs of operation including, but not limited to, landscaping, maintenance, taxes, insurance, property management and debt payments.

On February 15, 2006, the Company acquired a 33.3 percent interest in a property located in Phoenix, Arizona for \$200,000. The property consists of a 2,180 square foot structure on approximately 38,587 square feet of land. The Company's interest was purchased from Ms Jan Wallace, an officer and director of the Company. The property will be used to house the Company's headquarters. The Company is not responsible for any of the expenses and does not share in the revenue stream associated with the property.

NOTE 16 - Subsidiaries

The Company has established a new wholly owned subsidiary, Secured Lending, LLC, to engage in mortgage banking activities in the state of Arizona. The new subsidiary was incorporated on June 15th, 2006 and it began funding loans in July. Secured Lending will aggressively seek developers with finished product that require permanent take-out financing for its customers.

Following is the summary of Secured Lending operations as of September 30, 2006.

Commission	\$20,756
Income	
Net loss	(98,054)
Total Assets	58,036
C a p i t a l	42,440
Expenditure	
Depreciation	1,282
a n d	
amortization	

NOTE 17 - Other Income

Other income includes a litigation settlement of \$134,318 due to settlement with the Company's former CEO (See note 14).

Other income also includes forgiveness of debt of \$152,522 related to Seashore Diversified Investment Company (SDIC) (See note 5) and the reversal of an accounts payable in the amount of \$15,875.

F-18

Table of Contents

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2006

NOTE 18 - Subsequent Events

On October 3, 2006 William S. Biddle, a former officer of SDI filed a request for dismissal of Case number 06CC03959 with the Superior Court of California, County of Orange. (See Footnote 14 for detail)

On October 23, 2006, the Company issued 400,000 shares of common stock to Ms Jan Wallace, our President, CEO and Director, and 200,000 shares of our common stock to Mr. Munjit Johal, our Chief Financial Officer, as performance bonuses in connection with their services to the Company.

On October 24, 2006, our Board of Directors unanimously approved an amendment of our Articles of Incorporation to increase our total authorized capital stock from 7,500,000 shares to 102,500,000 shares in connection with an increase in our authorized common stock from 5,000,000 shares to 100,000,000 shares. The amendment will not effect a change to our 2,500,000 shares of authorized preferred stock. On October 27, 2006, holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of voting capital stock executed a written stockholder consent approving the amendment.

On November 8, 2006, Clifford Strand, former officer and director of the Company, dismissed without prejudice from the Secured Amended Complaint (Case Number 06CC03959), first two causes of action against the Company and our CEO Jan Wallace for fraud and misrepresentation and negligent representation.

F-19

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements, other than purely historical information, including estimates, projections, statements relating to our business plans, objectives, and expected operating results, and the assumptions upon which those statements are based, are “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements generally are identified by the words “believes,” “project,” “expects,” “anticipates,” “estimates,” “intends,” “strategy,” “plan,” “may,” “will,” “would,” “will be,” “will continue,” “will likely result,” and similar expressions. V such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe-harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and are including this statement for purposes of complying with those safe-harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties which may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse affect on our operations and future prospects on a consolidated basis include, but are not limited to: changes in economic conditions, legislative/regulatory changes, availability of capital, interest rates, competition, and generally accepted accounting principles. These risks and uncertainties should also be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Further information concerning our business, including additional factors that could materially affect our financial results, is included herein and in our other filings with the SEC.

Mortgage Lending

During the current reporting period, we have continued our plan to extend our business model to include mortgage banking operations. We established a wholly-owned subsidiary, Secured Lending, LLC (“Secured Lending”), and are currently undergoing the application process to engage in mortgage lending in the State of Arizona.

During the current reporting period, Ms. Jan Wallace, our officer and director, signed an agreement with Americash (the “Branch Agreement”) to set up an Americash branch office in Arizona. Ms. Wallace also agreed to assign her compensation rights (the “Assignment Agreement”) to our subsidiary, Secured Lending, and obtain the necessary approvals for Secured Lending to share information with Americash.

On August 31, 2006, however, the Branch Agreement and the accompanying Assignment Agreement have been cancelled. The relationship among Americash, Jan Wallace, and Secured Lending could not be sustained under Arizona mortgage banking regulations. The arrangement therefore mutually discontinued. Despite the setback, Secured Lending will continue to pursue a

Table of Contents

mortgage banking license in Arizona within the organization. Until Secured Lending obtains the necessary regulatory approvals, however, which we cannot guarantee will occur, it may not engage in secured lending. We are hopeful, however, that Secured Lending will pass the regulatory hurdles by the end of 2006 and will become a mortgage banking institution.

On August 2, 2006, Secured Lending entered into an agreement with Dakota First, L.L.C., a North Dakota company ("Dakota"), to have Dakota generate and process loans that will be funded through Americash. We thereafter mutually terminated our agreement with Dakota in light of our discontinued relationship with Americash as a result of Arizona's mortgage banking regulations.

Property Investments

Our business model also includes investing in properties that will provide immediate appreciation with little debt service strategically located in Arizona, Nevada and Utah. Properties acquired are expected to demonstrate a sufficient cash flow, minimum debt, and the opportunity for appreciation. Because of limited cash resources we will seek properties that may be acquired with partners.

Lincoln Drive Property

In the first quarter of 2006, we acquired a 25% tenant-in-common interest in three buildings located at 5203 - 5205 East Lincoln Drive in Paradise Valley, Arizona 85253. The property is in very good condition. We occupy an office at the 5205 East Lincoln Drive location for our corporate headquarters. The property is 100% leased and situated between two new residential/hospitality developments. Although we will not receive any rental income from the leased units, we are not responsible for any costs of operating the buildings including landscaping, exterior maintenance, property management, and the payment of taxes, insurance and loan payments. Our interest in the property is solely to realize appreciable gain. We believe the property's adjacent developments and scheduled city improvements to the walkways in the front area are positive indicators that we will experience appreciable gain in any future sale of the property.

Cactus Road Property

Also in the first quarter of 2006, we acquired a 33 1/3% tenant-in-common interest in property located at 12202 North Scottsdale Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85054. The property consists of 2,180 square feet situated on approximately 38,587 square feet of land strategically located on a heavily trafficked corner. We invested in the property that was remodelled and retrofitted by Ms. Wallace, which included a complete repair and replacement of the roof, electrical retrofitting, plumbing repairs, HVAC repairs, renovation and remodelling of the kitchen area. In occupying the premises, Secured Lending undertook certain tenant improvements on the property in the amount of \$38,000. As of the current reporting period, the property has been completely repaired and remodelled and is now occupied by Secured Lending with all tenant improvements completed. Because of the property's heavily trafficked location, we believe that it will appreciate and provide us a profit in the event we elect to sell it at some future date.

Table of Contents

The Katella Center

We own a 100% interest in the Katella Center, a strip mall consisting of six retail rental units of various sizes totalling approximately 9,500 square feet, located at 632-650 E. Katella Avenue in Orange, California. The property is in fair condition.

The Katella Center is currently generating monthly net cash flow of approximately \$3,000. The property is located on approximately 35,800 square feet of leased ground owned by a non-affiliated third party. The lease has a 52-year term that expires in March 2017. The ground lease payment is currently \$3,000 per month. Commencing June 1, 2007, however, the annual ground lease payment shall revert to 7% of the fair market value of the land, which we estimate to be approximately \$1.2 million. Thus, if our estimations are correct, we will face a ground lease payment of approximately \$7,000 per month commencing June 1, 2007.

Because our monthly net flow will not be enough to cover a potential \$7,000 monthly payment on ground lease, we are forced to consider our options. In addition, the \$370,000 loan underlying the first deed of trust matures on June 25th, 2006. We have negotiated an extension of the first deed of trust at the same rate for one year. The new maturity date is June 25th, 2007. Management has thoroughly reviewed the issues concerning this property and as a result have listed the property for sale with Voit Commercial Brokerage for \$350,000. We have impaired this property by \$214,977 as of December 31, 2005.

During the current reporting period, the listing expired with Voit Commercial Brokerage and we have had no offers on the property. We plan to hold the property until we are able to find a buyer.

Campus Drive Office Building

We are the managing member and own a 53.8% membership interest in a limited liability company known as Diversified Commercial Brokers, LLC ("Diversified"). The primary asset of Diversified is an 8,685 square office building located at 5030 Campus Drive in Newport Beach, California 92660.

On July 27, 2006, we entered into a Standard Offer, Agreement and Escrow Instructions for Purchase of Real Estate (the "Purchase Agreement") with Harris Insurance, an unrelated third-party (the "Purchaser"), for the sale of the Campus Drive Office Building for \$1,483,000. On about September 10, 2006, the sale fell out of escrow. During the due diligence period, the Purchaser was concerned with the methodology of the ground lease adjustment to take place in 2009. We received no earnest money under the Purchase Agreement as the Purchaser backed out within the due diligence period without penalty.

The property was listed by Voit Commercial Brokerage, but as of October 18, 2006, the listing expired and we have had no subsequent offers on the property.

We lease the land on which the office building sits. The lease has a 55-year term that expires in

Table of Contents

June 30, 2034. The ground lease payment is currently \$3,607 per month. In June 2009, the ground lease payment will adjust to 8% of the fair market value of the land through June 2019 and in June 2019 the lease will again adjust to 8% of the fair market value of the land through maturity.

Results of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

The comparability of the financial information discussed below is limited by acquisitions and dispositions completed during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. During 2005, we sold our vacant parcel of land and T-Rex Plaza shopping center in Dickinson, North Dakota, and our shopping center in Las Vegas, Nevada. Additionally, we sold a 100% interest in our subsidiaries Nationwide Commercial Brokers, Inc. and Diversified Commercial Mortgage, Inc. We also completed the sale of our 63% interest in Spencer Springs, LLC.

Comparison of nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

Income. Income consists primarily of rental income from commercial properties pursuant to tenant leases. We reported income of \$270,144 including commission income of \$20,756 from mortgage brokerage operations for the nine month period ended September 30, 2006, compared with income of \$430,244 for the same period ended September 30, 2005. The decrease is attributable to the sale of our real estate properties except for the Campus Drive Office Building and the Katella Center. The commission income is attributable to our wholly owned mortgage subsidiary, Secured Lending, LLC.

General and Administrative Expenses. Operating and administrative expenses consist primarily of payroll expenses, legal and accounting fees and costs associated with the acquisition and ownership of real properties. These expenses decreased by \$1,215,113 to \$876,104 for the nine month period ended September 30, 2006, compared to \$2,091,217 for the same period ended September 30, 2005. The decrease is attributable to the reduction of overhead including payroll, payroll taxes, office rent, professional fees, and the sale of poorly performing properties resulting in the reduction of leasing commissions, land lease payments, property taxes and related carrying costs.

Depreciation. Depreciation for the nine month period ended September 30, 2006 was \$33,219 compared to \$33,888 in depreciation expense for the same period ended September 30, 2005. The depreciation was attributable primarily to the Campus Drive Office Building and the Katella Center.

Interest and Other Income and Expense. Interest expense consists of mortgage interest paid on our properties. Interest expense was \$109,639 for the nine month period ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$156,119 for the nine month period ended September 30, 2005. The decrease in interest expense is attributable to the sale of properties and the corresponding reduction in debt. Interest expense was attributable primarily to the Campus Drive Office Building and the Katella Center.

Table of Contents

Net Income (Loss). We reported a net loss of \$(403,545) or \$(0.02) per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to a net loss of \$(717,702) or \$(0.05) per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. We reported no income or loss for discontinued operations during the nine month period ended September 30, 2006. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2005, we reported a net loss from continuing operations of \$(1,060,348) or \$(0.07) per share and net income from disposal of discontinued operations of \$342,646, or \$0.02 per share.

Comparison of three month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

Income. Income consists primarily of rental income from commercial properties pursuant to tenant leases. We reported income of \$103,688 including commission income of \$20,756 from mortgage brokerage operations for the three month period ended September 30, 2006, compared with income of \$146,593 for the same period ended September 30, 2005. The decrease is attributable to the sale of our real estate properties except for the Campus Drive Office Building and the Katella Center.

General and Administrative Expenses. Operating and administrative expenses consist primarily of payroll expenses, legal and accounting fees and costs associated with the acquisition and ownership of real properties. These expenses decreased by \$358,133 to \$310,019 for the three month period ended September 30, 2006, compared to \$668,152 for the same period ended September 30, 2005. The decrease is attributable to the reduction of overhead including payroll, payroll taxes, office rent, professional fees, and the sale of poorly performing properties resulting in the reduction of leasing commissions, land lease payments, property taxes and related carrying costs.

Depreciation. Depreciation for the three month period ended September 30, 2006 was \$11,607 compared to \$12,079 in depreciation expense for the same period ended September 30, 2005. The depreciation was attributable primarily to the Campus Drive Office Building and the Katella Center.

Interest and Other Income and Expense. Interest expense consists of mortgage interest paid on our properties. Interest expense was \$34,101 for the three month period ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$48,706 for the three month period ended September 30, 2005. The decrease in interest expense is attributable to the sale of properties and the corresponding reduction in debt. Interest expense was attributable primarily to the Campus Drive Office Building and the Katella Center.

Net Income (Loss). We reported a net loss of \$(224,962) or \$(0.01) per share for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to a net loss of \$(509,215) or \$(0.03) per share for the three months ended September 30, 2005. We reported no income or loss for discontinued operations during the three month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Capital Resources

As stated in financial statement Note 1 - Going Concern, our financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, which contemplate continuation of our company as a going concern. However, we have an accumulated deficit of \$8,398,439 as of September 30, 2006. We reported a net loss of \$(403,545) at September 30, 2006. We currently have positive liquidity, and have not completed our efforts to establish a stabilized source of revenues sufficient to cover operating costs over an extended period of time. Much of this is attributable to the capital and equity structure we inherited from prior management. Additionally, we are involved in litigation with a prior employee of our company. The outcome of this litigation may adversely affect our liquidity.

In view of the matters described in the preceding paragraph, recoverability of a major portion of the recorded asset amounts shown in our accompanying balance sheet is dependent upon our continued operations, which in turn is dependent upon our ability to restructure our operations and raise additional capital to succeed in our future operations. Our financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

Our management is restructuring our operations by selling many of our poorly performing properties and reducing the associated high cost of debt. We have also significantly reduced overhead. We continue to search and evaluate different business opportunities in efforts to generate a stabilized cash flow and funds for future investments, including our efforts in establishing a secured lending business, as a means of bringing in additional revenues. In the long term, we are hopeful that this new business will help alleviate our dependence upon investment capital.

At September 30, 2006, we had \$152,613 of cash and cash equivalents as compared to \$11,745 of cash and cash equivalents at September 30, 2005 to meet our immediate short-term liquidity requirements. This increase in cash and cash equivalents is attributable to the sale of our Las Vegas, Nevada shopping center.

On August 14, 2006, we agreed to an arrangement that will secure additional funds of \$400,000 through our financing efforts with Stonebridge Capital Group, Ltd. ("Stonebridge"). The conditions to receiving these funds are as follows:

1. We are required to execute a stock reversal of our Common and Series A Preferred Stock at a 20 to 1 ratio (the "Reverse Split");
2. We are required to issue Stonebridge one million five hundred thousand (1,500,000) shares of our Common Stock post Reverse Split;
3. We are required to issue a Warrant to Stonebridge to purchase an additional two hundred and fifty thousand (250,000) shares of our Common Stock at a strike price of

Table of Contents

of fifty cents (\$0.50) per share for a period of three years from the date the Warrant is issued.

4. We agreed to register Stonebridge's 1,500,000 shares of our Common Stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days from the date proceeds are delivered to escrow; and
5. If registration is not completed in the 120 days period, Stonebridge shall be compensated with the issuance of an additional one hundred thousand (100,000) shares of our Common Stock every thirty (30) days that the registration rights are not issued.

Proceeds from the \$400,000 will be used for the development and commercialization of our existing business and for general working capital purposes including salaries, general overhead and administrative costs. The infusion of \$400,000, along with our existing cash reserves, should be enough to fund our operations for the next 12 months. Notwithstanding our financial security for the next 12 months, we intend to position our company to be able to raise additional funds through the capital markets, if needed. There are no assurances that we will be successful in this or any of our endeavours to become financially viable and continue as a going concern.

To date, we have paid no dividends and do not anticipate paying dividends into the foreseeable future.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities was \$(795,070) for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$(870,296) for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. This decrease in cash used by operating activities relative to the prior period was primarily due to the disposition of assets and reduction in overhead.

We are considering other potential opportunities not limiting ourselves to the acquisition of real estate. The decision to acquire properties or other types of investments will generally depend upon the opportunity to provide appreciation, an established source of revenues in excess of operating costs, and a stabilized cash flow stream sufficient to make future investments.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities amounted to \$(242,868) for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to net cash provided by investing activities of \$1,473,948 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The net cash used in investing activities during 2006 was primarily attributable to the Company's purchase of a 33 1/3 percent interest in the Cactus Street property. The cash provided by investing activities during the same period in 2005 was attributable to the disposition of the Company's subsidiary interest.

At September 30, 2006, we do not have any material planned capital expenditures resulting from any known demand based on existing trends. However, we may conclude that expenditures to

Table of Contents

improve properties are necessary and/or desirable.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Cash used in financing activities amounted to \$(39,854) compared to cash provided by financing activities in the amount of (\$615,698) for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, attributable to proceeds from notes payable.

We intend to invest in business opportunities and acquire properties and may seek to fund these acquisitions through proceeds received from a combination of subsequent equity offerings, debt financings or asset dispositions.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2006, there were no off balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies

The preparation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We believe that our critical accounting policies are those that require significant judgments and estimates such as those related to revenue recognition and allowance for uncollectible receivables and impairment of real estate assets and deferred assets. These estimates are made and evaluated on an on-going basis using information that is currently available as well as various other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could vary from those estimates and those estimates could be different under different assumptions or conditions.

Revenue Recognition and Allowance for Uncollectible Receivables

Base rental income is recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective lease agreements. Differences between rental income recognized and amounts contractually due under the lease agreements are credited or charged, as applicable, to rent receivable. The Company maintains, as necessary, an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of tenants to make required payments that will result in a reduction to income. Management determines the adequacy of this allowance by continually evaluating individual tenant receivables considering the tenant's financial condition, security deposits, letters of credit, lease guarantees and current economic conditions.

Impairment of Real Estate Assets

The Company assesses the impairment of a real estate asset when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the net book value may not be recoverable. Indicators management considers important that could trigger an impairment review include the following:

Table of Contents

1. a significant negative industry or economic trend;
2. a significant underperformance relative to historical or projected future operation results; and
3. a significant change in the manner in which the asset is used.

Item 3. Controls and Procedures

We carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of September 30, 2006. This evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Jan Wallace, and our Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Munjit Johal. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of September 30, 2006, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective. There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2006.

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act are recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Internal Controls

Our management does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will necessarily prevent all fraud and material error. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving our objectives and our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective at that reasonable assurance level. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the internal control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Table of Contents

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Other than as set forth below, there have been no material developments in the ongoing legal proceedings previously reported in which we are a party. A complete discussion of our ongoing legal proceedings is discussed in our annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Settlement Agreement

On September 20, 2006, we entered into a Settlement and General Release Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") with William S. Biddle, Gernot Trolf, Nationwide Commercial Brokers, Inc. ("Nationwide"), (the "Parties") to partially resolve the litigation *Clifford L. Strand v. Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd.* (case no. 06CC02350) in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange, and *William S. Biddle v. Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd.* (case no. 06CC03959) in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange (the "Lawsuit"), as well as other claims involving the Parties and our company as set forth in the Agreement.

With respect to the \$267,000 that Alliance Title Company deposited with the court in the matter of *Alliance Title Company, Inc. v. Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd.* (case no. 06CC02129) in the Superior Court of California, the Settlement Agreement provides that an order of disbursement will be filed as follows: \$45,000 to Mr. Biddle, \$42,000 to Mr. Trolf, \$33,803 to Nationwide, and \$33,803 to our company.

Under the Settlement Agreement, the Lawsuits will be dismissed as to the Parties and our company, with open claims remaining as to the remaining litigants. The Settlement Agreement further provides a customary mutual release of claims involving the Parties and our company, and forebears the Parties from pursuing complaints against our company and our officers and directors in any court or government agency. We received correspondence from Mr Trolf on October 10, 2006 that contains language that may represent a breach of the Settlement Agreement. Our legal counsel plans to prepare an opinion for management to review on the subject.

The foregoing description of the Settlement Agreement does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to such Settlement Agreement, a copy of which is attached to this Form 10-QSB as Exhibit 10.1.

Clifford L. Strand v. Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd.

As a condition of the above Settlement Agreement, William S. Biddle, Gernot Trolf and Nationwide dismissed their complaint against us in this matter. Only Plaintiff Clifford L. Strand remains. We are contesting the case vigorously and are proceeding with filing a response and preparing discovery. On November 8, 2006, Clifford L. Strand dismissed without prejudice from the Second Amended Complaint the first two clauses of action against us and our CEO Jan

Table of Contents

Wallace for Fraud and Misrepresentation and Negligent Misrepresentation. At this time we cannot make any evaluation of the outcome of this litigation.

Alliance Title Company vs. Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd.

The matter was filed on January 13, 2006 Case Number 06CC02129 in the Orange County Superior Court, California. This matter is an Interpleader Action filed by our escrow company. In dispute is \$267,000 which was held over by the escrow company because of competing claims for the monies. The monies are being claimed by us and other defendants, namely, our former employees, Clifford L. Strand, William S. Biddle and Gernot Trolf. Defendant Nationwide Commercial Brokers, Inc., a former subsidiary of our company is also claiming monies in this Interpleader action. Another Defendant Prime Time Auctions, Inc. has failed to answer the Complaint and Alliance Title Company ("Alliance") has taken a default against it. Alliance deposited the monies with the Court and has asked for a declaration of rights regarding the monies. On May 16, 2006, the Court entered an order discharging, dismissing Alliance and granting Alliance an award of fees and costs in the amount of \$22,395.13 from the monies held.

William S. Biddle v. Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd.

As a condition of the above Settlement Agreement, William S. Biddle, Robert J. Leonard and Gernot Trolf dismissed their complaint against us in this matter. Only Plaintiff Clifford L. Strand remains. We are contesting the case vigorously. At this time we cannot make any evaluation of the outcome of this litigation.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The information set forth below relates to our issuances of securities without registration under the Securities Act during the reporting period which were not previously included in a Current Report on Form 8-K.

On August 24, 2006, we agreed to issue 5,380 shares of common stock to Wayne Sutterfield, a shareholder and former director, in exchange for deferring interest payments due pursuant to that certain Operating Agreement regarding our subsidiary, Diversified Commercial Brokers.

These securities were issued pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The investors represented their intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution. The investors were given adequate information about us to make an informed investment decision. We did not engage in any general solicitation or advertising. We directed our transfer agent to issue the stock certificates with the appropriate restrictive legend affixed to the restricted stock.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters have been submitted to our security holders for a vote, through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise, during the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006.

Item 5. Other Information

On July 1, 2006, Secured Lending, LLC has entered into a lease agreement with Jan Wallace, Chief Executive Officer and Director for the lease of office space at 12202 Scottsdale Road, Phoenix, Arizona, in order to conduct its mortgage banking operations. The lease is for approximately 1,464 square feet at \$2,560 per month with a term of three years and one three year option.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
<u>31.1</u>	<u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u>
<u>31.2</u>	<u>Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u>
<u>32.1</u>	<u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u>
<u>10.1</u>	<u>Confidential Settlement and General Release Agreement, dated September 20, 2006</u>
<u>10.2</u>	<u>Secured Lending, LLC Lease Agreement, dated July 1, 2006</u>

15

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd.

Date: November 14, 2006

By: /s/ Jan Wallace

Jan Wallace

Title: **Chief Executive Officer and Director**