GWG Holdings, Inc. Form 424B3 August 08, 2014

> Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3) File Nos. 333-174887 and 333-174887-01

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT NO. 2 to Prospectus dated April 17, 2014

Offering Amount \$250,000,000

GWG HOLDINGS, INC.

a Delaware corporation

Renewable Secured Debentures

The information contained in this prospectus supplement amends and updates the prospectus dated April 17, 2014 (relating to our post-effective amendment to registration statement on Form S-1/A, filed with the SEC on April 15, 2014) (SEC File Nos. 333-174887 and 333-174887-01) (and which we refer to herein simply as the "prospectus"), and should be read in conjunction therewith. GWG Life, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company formerly known as GWG Life Settlements, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of GWG Holdings, Inc., is also a registrant under the referenced registration statement as a guarantor of the Renewable Secured Debentures offered hereby (the "debentures"). Please keep this prospectus supplement with your prospectus for future reference.

Investing in our debentures may be considered speculative and involves a high degree of risk, including the risk of losing your entire investment. See the "Risk Factors" section of our prospectus for the risks you should consider before buying our debentures. An investment in our debentures is not suitable for all investors. Persons should not invest in the debentures unless they can afford to lose their entire investment. See the "Suitability Standards" section of this prospectus supplement, which sets forth the suitability standards that investors must meet in order to purchase the debentures.

The security provided for the debentures and entitling them to be referred to as "secured" includes an unconditional guarantee given by GWG Life, LLC, a subsidiary of the issuer. The value of this unconditional guarantee is based almost entirely on GWG Life's own investment in another subsidiary, the primary assets of which are pledged as collateral for the repayment of amounts borrowed from a senior lender.

Capitalized terms contained in this prospectus supplement have the same meanings as in the prospectus unless otherwise stated herein.

RECENT EVENTS

On August 8, 2014, we filed our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014. This prospectus supplement has been prepared primarily to set forth certain information contained in that report.

Neither the SEC nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus supplement is August 8, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
RISK RELATING TO FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	1
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONAND RESULTS OF OPERATION	2
OTHER RECENT DEVELOPMENTS	24
USE OF PROCEEDS – RENEWABLE SECURED DEBENTURES	25
FINANCIAL INFORMATION	F-1

GWG Holdings, Inc. 220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: (612) 746-1944 Fax: (612) 746-0445

RISK RELATING TO FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain matters discussed in this prospectus supplement are forward-looking statements. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. Nevertheless, these forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions about our operations and the investments we make, including, among other things, factors discussed in the "Risk Factors" section of our prospectus and the following:

changes in the secondary market for life insurance;

our limited operating history;

the valuation of assets reflected on our financial statements;

the reliability of our life expectancy estimates;

the reliability of assumptions underlying our actuarial models;

our reliance on continued access to debt financing;

risks relating to the validity and enforceability of the life insurance policies we purchase;

our reliance on information provided and obtained by third parties;

federal and state regulatory matters;

additional expenses, not reflected in our operating history, related to being a public reporting company;

competition in the secondary life insurance market;

the relative illiquidity of life insurance policies;

life insurance company credit exposure;

economic outlook;

the performance of our investments in life insurance policies;

financing requirements;

litigation risks; and

restrictive covenants contained in our borrowing agreements.

Some of the statements in this prospectus supplement that are not historical facts are "forward-looking" statements. Forward-looking statements can generally be identified by the use of words like "believes," "could," "possibly," "probably," "anticipates," "estimates," "projects," "expects," "may," "will," "should," "seek," "intend," "plan," "expect," "consider" or the expressions or other variations, or by discussions of strategy that involve risks and uncertainties. All forward-looking

statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual transactions, results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future transactions, results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The cautionary statements set forth in the "Risk Factors" section and elsewhere in the prospectus, and in this prospectus supplement, identify important factors with respect to such forward-looking statements due to the life insurance focus of our business.

We base these forward-looking statements on current expectations and projections about future events and the information currently available to us. Although we believe that the assumptions for these forward-looking statements are reasonable, any of the assumptions could prove to be inaccurate. Consequently, no representation or warranty can be given that the estimates, opinions, or assumptions made in or referenced by this prospectus supplement will prove to be accurate. We caution you that the forward-looking statements in the prospectus and this prospectus supplement are only estimates and predictions. Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements due to risks, uncertainties or actual events differing from the assumptions underlying these statements. These risks, uncertainties and assumptions include, but are not limited to, those discussed in the prospectus and this prospectus supplement.

Although federal securities laws provide a safe harbor for forward-looking statements made by a public company that files reports under the federal securities laws, this safe harbor is not available to certain issuers, including issuers that do not have their equity traded on a recognized national exchange or the Nasdaq Capital Market. Our common stock does not trade on any recognized national exchange or the Nasdaq Capital Market. As a result, we will not have the benefit of this safe harbor protection in the event of any legal action based upon a claim that the material provided by us contained a material misstatement of fact or was misleading in any material respect because of our failure to include any statements necessary to make the statements not misleading.

1

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Note: The following discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of the Company are derived from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014, filed with the SEC on August 8, 2014. We have not materially updated this discussion in any way, although it may be presented in a different order than in our Quarterly Report. As indicated in that report, this discussion and analysis is based on the beliefs of our management, as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, our management. The statements in this discussion and analysis concerning expectations regarding our future performance, liquidity and capital resources, as well as other non-historical statements in this discussion and analysis, are forward-looking statements. See "Risks Relating to Forward-Looking Statements" above. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those suggested or implied by any forward-looking statements.

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes beginning at page F-1 of this prospectus supplement, as well as our consolidated financial statements and related notes contained within the prospectus.

Overview

We are engaged in providing financial services in the emerging secondary market for life insurance policies. Our financial service offerings are targeted towards consumers owning life insurance who can benefit from the actuarial value of their life insurance policy. To date, our primary financial service has been to offer consumers the ability to sell their policy for cash. In addition, we have offered investors the opportunity to participate in our business through a series of debt offerings. We are in the process of expanding the services we offer consumers who own life insurance by offering them options such as to retain a portion of their policy benefits or to exchange their life insurance for a more desirable asset. All of the services we offer are based upon acquiring, or otherwise financing, life insurance policy benefits from consumers in the secondary market at a discount to the face value of the insurance benefit. Once we purchase or finance a policy benefit at a discount, we continue paying the policy premiums in order to ultimately collect the face value of the insurance benefit. We seek to hold the policies to maturity, in order to ultimately collect the policy's benefit upon the insured's mortality. Our strategy is to build a profitable (purchased at discounts sufficient to provide a positive return on investment) and large (greater than 300 lives) portfolio of policies that are well diversified in terms of insurance carriers and the mortality profiles of insureds. We believe that diversification among insurers, mortality profiles, and medical conditions will lower our overall risk exposure, and that a larger number of policies (diversification in overall number) will provide our portfolio with greater actuarial stability.

In the first six months of 2014, we recognized \$231,000 of revenue from the receipt of \$300,000 in policy benefits. In addition, we recognized revenue from the change in fair value of our life insurance policies, net of premiums and carrying costs, of \$10,770,000. Interest expense, including amortization of the deferred financing costs and preferred stock dividends, was \$12,935,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2014, and selling, general and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2014 were \$4,840,000. Income tax benefit for the six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$2,272,000. Our net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$4,488,000.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) requires us to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of

assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We base our judgments, estimates and assumptions on historical experience and on various other factors believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates. We evaluate our judgments, estimates and assumptions on a regular basis and make changes accordingly. We believe that the judgments, estimates and assumptions involved in the accounting for the valuation of investments in life insurance policies have the greatest potential impact on our consolidated financial statements and accordingly believe these to be our critical accounting estimates. Below we discuss the critical accounting policies associated with these estimates as well as certain other critical accounting policies.

Ownership of Life Insurance Policies—Fair Value Option

Our primary business involves the purchasing and financing of life insurance policies. As such, we account for the purchase of life insurance policies in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board's Accounting Standards Codification (FASB ASC) 325-30, Investments in Insurance Contracts, which requires us to use either the investment method or the fair value method. We have elected to account for these life insurance policies as investments using the fair value method.

We initially record our purchase of life insurance policies at the transaction price, which is the amount paid for the policy, inclusive of all fees and costs associated with the acquisition. The fair value of our investment in the portfolio of insurance policies is evaluated at the end of each reporting period. Changes in the fair value of the portfolio of life insurance policies are based on periodic evaluations and are recorded as changes in fair value of life insurance policies in our consolidated and combined statement of operations. The fair value is determined as the net present value of the life insurance portfolio's future expected cash flows that incorporates current life expectancy estimates and discount rate assumptions.

In addition to reporting our results of operations and financial condition based on the fair value of our life insurance policies as required by GAAP, management also makes calculations based on the weighted average expected internal rate of return of the policies. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" below.

Valuation of Insurance Policies

Unobservable inputs, as discussed below, are a critical component of our estimate for the fair value of our investments in life insurance policies. We currently use a probabilistic method of estimating and valuing the projected cash flows of our portfolio of life insurance policies, which we believe to be the preferred and most prevalent valuation method in the industry. In this regard, the most significant assumptions we make are the life expectancy estimates of the insureds and the discount rate applied to the projected cash flows to be derived from our portfolio.

In determining life expectancy estimates, we generally use actuarial medical reviews from independent medical underwriters. These medical underwriters summarize the health of the insured by reviewing historical and current medical records. The medical underwriters evaluate the health condition of the insured in order to produce an estimate of the insured's mortality—a life expectancy report. In the case of a small face policy (\$1 million face value or less), we may use one life expectancy report or estimate life expectancy based on a modified methodology which does not use actuarial medical reviews from independent medical underwriters. The life expectancy estimate represents a range of probabilities for the insured's mortality against a group of cohorts with the same age, sex and smoking status. These mortality probabilities represent a mathematical curve known as a mortality curve, which is then used to generate a series of expected cash flows from the life insurance policy over the expected lifespan of the insured. A discount rate is used to calculate the net present value of the expected cash flows. The discount rate represents the internal rate of return we expect to earn on investments in a policy or in the portfolio as a whole at the stated fair value. The discount rate used to calculate fair value of our portfolio incorporates the guidance provided by ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. Many of our current underwriting review processes, including our policy of obtaining actuarial medical reviews from independent medical underwriters as described above, are undertaken in satisfaction of obligations under our revolving credit facility. As a result, we may in the future modify our underwriting review processes if permitted under our borrowing arrangements.

The table below provides the discount rate used to estimate the fair value of the life insurance policies for the period ending:

June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
11.56%	11.69%

The change in the discount rate incorporates current information about discount rates applied by other reporting companies owning portfolios of life insurance policies, discount rates observed in the life insurance secondary market, market interest rates, the credit exposure to the issuing insurance companies and our estimate of the risk premium a purchaser would require to receive the future cash flows derived from our portfolio of life insurance policies. The discount rate to arrive at the fair value of our portfolio assumes an orderly and arms-length transaction (i.e., a non-distressed transaction in which neither seller nor buyer is compelled to engage in the transaction).

We engaged a third party, Model Actuarial Pricing Systems (MAPS), to prepare a third-party valuation of our life settlement portfolio. MAPS owns and maintains the portfolio pricing software we use. MAPS processed policy data, future premium data, life expectancy estimate data, and other actuarial information we supply to calculate a net present value for our portfolio using the specified discount rate of 11.56%. MAPS independently calculated the net present value of our portfolio of 289 policies to be \$267,895,692, which is the same fair value estimate we used on the balance sheet as of June 30, 2014, and furnished us with a letter documenting its calculation. A copy of such letter is filed as Exhibit 99.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014, filed with the SEC on

August 8, 2014.

JOBS Act

On April 5, 2012, the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012, or JOBS Act, was enacted. Section 107 of the JOBS Act provides that an "emerging growth company" can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act of 1933 for complying with new or revised accounting standards. This means that an "emerging growth company" can make an election to delay the adoption of certain accounting standards until those standards would apply to private companies. We have elected to delay such adoption of new or revised accounting standards and, as a result, we may not comply with new or revised accounting standards at the same time as other public reporting companies that are not "emerging growth companies." This exemption will apply for a period of five years following our first sale of common equity securities under an effective registration statement or until we no longer qualify as an "emerging growth company" as defined under the JOBS Act, whichever is earlier.

Deferred Income Taxes

FASB ASC 740, Income Taxes, requires us to recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. A valuation allowance is established for any portion of deferred tax assets that is not considered more likely than not to be realized.

We have provided a valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset related to a note receivable because we believe that, when realized for tax purposes, it will result in a capital loss that will not be utilized because we have no expectation of generating a capital gain within the applicable carryforward period. Therefore, we do not believe that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will be realized.

We have also provided a valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset related to a tax basis capital loss generated with respect to its settlement and subsequent disposal of our investment in Athena Structured Funds PLC (see "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" Note 10). As we have no expectation of generating capital gains within the applicable carry-forward period, we do not believe that it is more likely than not that the deferred asset will be realized.

A valuation allowance is required to be recognized to reduce deferred tax assets to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized. Realization of deferred tax assets depends upon having sufficient past or future taxable income in periods to which the deductible temporary differences are expected to be recovered or within any applicable carryback or carryforward periods. We believe that it is more likely than not that we will be able to realize all of our deferred tax assets other than that which is expected to result in a capital loss.

Deferred Financing and Issuance Costs

Financing costs incurred to obtain financing under the revolving credit facility have been capitalized and are amortized using the straight-line method over the term of the revolving credit facility. The Series I Secured note obligations are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The Renewable Secured Debentures are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The Renewable Secured Debentures are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The Series A preferred stock is reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions, including the fair value of warrants issued, and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method as interest expense over the three-year redemption period.

Principal Revenue and Expense Items

We earn revenues from three primary sources as described below.

Policy Benefits Realized. We recognize the difference between the death benefits and carrying values of the policy when an insured event has occurred and we determine that settlement and ultimate collection of the death benefits is realizable and reasonably assured. Revenue from a transaction must meet both criteria in order to be recognized. We generally collect the face value of the life insurance policy from the insurance company within 45 days of the insured's mortality.

Change in Fair Value of Life Insurance Policies. We have elected to carry our investments in life insurance policies at fair value in accordance with ASC 325-30, Investments in Life Insurance Contracts. Accordingly, we value our investments in our portfolio of life insurance policies each reporting period in accordance with the fair value principles discussed herein, which includes the expected payment of premiums for future periods.

Sale of a Life Insurance Policy or a Portfolio of Life Insurance Policies. In an event of a sale of a policy, we recognize gain or loss as the difference between the sale price and the carrying value of the policy on the date of the receipt of payment on such sale.

Our main components of expense are summarized below.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. We recognize and record expenses incurred in the operations of the purchasing and servicing of life insurance policies. These expenses include professional fees, salaries, and sales and marketing expenditures.

Interest Expense. We recognize and record interest expenses associated with the costs of financing our life insurance portfolio for the current period. These expenses include interest paid to our senior lender under our revolving credit facility, as well as all interest paid on our debentures and other outstanding indebtedness such as our subsidiary secured notes and dividends on convertible, redeemable preferred stock. When we issue long-term indebtedness, we amortize the issuance costs associated with such indebtedness over the outstanding term of the financing, and classify it as interest expense.

Results of Operations — Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 Compared to the Same Periods in 2013

The following is our analysis of the results of operations for the periods indicated below. This analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes.

Revenue. Revenue recognized from the receipt of policy benefits was \$231,000 during both three and six months ended June 30, 2014. Revenue recognized from the receipt of policy benefits was \$5,218,000 and \$7,728,000 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. Revenue recognized from the change in fair value of our life insurance policies, net of premiums and carrying costs, was \$5,253,000 and \$10,770,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively and \$2,515,000 and \$8,346,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively and \$2,515,000 and \$8,346,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, we purchased a higher volume of life insurance policies than we did during the same period in 2014. The change in fair value related to new policies acquired during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014 was \$1,595,000 and \$6,559,000 respectively, and \$2,471,000 and \$8,770,000 for those acquired during the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively. In each case, the increases in fair value were due to changes in the discount rates we use to calculate the net present value of cash flows expected from our portfolio of life insurance policies, change in fair value of policies acquired during

the period, and aging of the policies. Decreases in fair value were due to changes in life expectancy estimates. The discount rate incorporates current information about market interest rates, the credit exposure to the insurance companies that issued the life insurance policies in our portfolio and our estimate of the risk premium an investor would require to receive the future cash flows from our portfolio of life insurance policies. The discount rate used to estimate the fair value of the life insurance policies we own was 11.56% as of June 30, 2014, compared to 11.84% as of June 30, 2013. The decrease in discount rate was due to changes in a variety of factors in our fair value methodology. The carrying value of policies acquired during each quarterly reporting period are adjusted to their current fair value using the fair value discount rate applied to the portfolio as of that reporting date.

Expenses. Interest expense, including amortization of the deferred financing costs as well as preferred stock dividends, were \$6,608,000 and \$12,935,000 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to \$4,942,000 and \$9,409,000 during the same periods of 2013, increases of \$1,666,000 and \$3,526,000, respectively. The increase was due the increased debt outstanding that went up from \$210,157,000 at June 30, 2013 to \$268,603,000 at June 30, 2014. Selling, general, and administrative expenses were \$2,787,000 and \$4,840,000 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to \$2,507,000 and \$5,915,000 during the same periods of 2013, an increase of \$280,000 and a decrease of \$1,075,000, respectively. The decrease is mostly due to \$825,000 in discretionary bonuses equal to the tax effect of the conversion from an LLC to a corporation, paid to the original LLC members in the first quarter of 2013. The payments under our incentive compensation plan were also higher in the first quarter of 2013 compared to those in the same period of 2014 due to higher volume of life insurance contracts purchased.

Income Tax Expense. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, we had a loss of \$3,903,000 and \$6,759,000 before income taxes and recorded income tax benefit of \$1,317,000 and \$2,272,000, respectively, or 33.7% and 33.6%, respectively. In the same periods of 2013, we had \$3,559,000 and \$4,192,000 income before income taxes and recognized an income tax expense of \$1,802,000 and \$2,368,000, respectively, or 50.6% and 56.5%, respectively. The primary differences between our effective tax rate and the statutory federal rate are the accrual of preferred stock dividend expense, state taxes, and other non-deductible expenses. Excluding the impact of the dividends and other permanent differences, the effective tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 would have been 43.8% and 40.5%, respectively.

The following table provides a reconciliation of our income tax expense at the statutory federal tax rate to our actual income tax expense:

	Three Months	Ended	1	Six Months l	Ended		Three Month	s Ende	d	Six Months	Ended	
Six months												
ended:	June 30, 2	014		June 30, 2	014		June 30, 2	2013		June 30, 2	2013	
Statutory federal												
income tax	\$(1,327,000)	34.0	%	\$(2,298,000)	34.0	%	\$1,210,000	34.0	%	\$1,425,000	34.0	%
State income												
taxes, net of												
federal benefit	(209,000)	5.4	%	(352,000)	5.2	%	271,000	7.6	%	356,000	8.5	%
Series A												
preferred stock												
dividends	218,000	(5.6)%	434,000	(6.4)%	214,000	6.0	%	430,000	10.2	%
Other permanent												
differences	1,000	(0.1)%	(56,000)	0.8	%	107,000	3.0	%	157,000	3.8	%
Total income tax												
expense	\$(1,317,000)	33.7	%	\$(2,272,000)	33.6	%	\$1,802,000	50.6	%	\$2,368,000	56.5	%

The most significant temporary differences between GAAP net income and taxable net income are the treatment of interest costs with respect to the acquisition of the life insurance policies and revenue recognition with respect to the mark-to-market of life insurance portfolio.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We finance our business through a combination of policy benefit revenues, origination fees, equity offerings, debt offerings, and a credit facility. We have used our debt offerings and credit facility primarily for policy acquisition, policy servicing and portfolio related financing expenditures. We charge an intercompany origination fee in the amount of one to four percent of the face value of a life insurance policy's benefit when we acquire the related life

insurance policy. The origination fee we charge is included in the total purchase price we pay for a life insurance policy for purposes of our valuation and expected internal rate of return calculations, but is not netted against the purchase price we pay to a seller of an insurance policy. We generated cash flows of \$343,000 and \$1,309,000 from origination fees during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, and \$536,000 and \$1,814,000 during the same periods in 2013. Profit from intra-company origination fees for life insurance policies retained by the Company are eliminated from our consolidated statements of operations. As such, the origination fees collected under our life insurance policy financing arrangements are reflected in our consolidated statements of cash flows as cash flows from financing activities as they are received form of borrowings used to finance the acquisition of life insurance policies. Our revolving bank line allows DLP II to borrow the funds necessary to pay origination fees to GWG Life. Our borrowing agreements allow us to use net proceeds of the Renewable Secured Debentures for policy acquisition, which includes origination fees. If the policy acquisition is not financed, no fees are included in the consolidated cash flows. See "Cash Flows" below for further information. We determine the purchase price of life insurance policies in accordance with ASC 325-30, Investments in Insurance Contracts, using the fair value method. Under the fair value method, the initial investment is recorded at the transaction price. Because the origination fees are paid from a wholly owned subsidiary to the parent company, these fees are not included in the transaction price as reflected in our consolidated financial statements. For further discussion on our accounting policies for life settlements, please refer to note 1 to our consolidated financial statements.

As of June 30, 2014, we had approximately \$28.9 million in combined available cash and available borrowing base surplus capacity under our revolving credit facility for the purpose of purchasing additional life insurance policies, paying premiums on existing policies, paying portfolio servicing expenses, and paying principal and interest on our outstanding financing obligations.

In September 2012, we concluded a Series A preferred stock offering, receiving an aggregate \$24.6 million in subscriptions for our Series A preferred stock. These subscriptions consisted of \$14.0 million in conversions of outstanding Series I Secured notes and \$10.6 million of new investments. We have used the proceeds from the sale of our Series A preferred stock, together with the origination fees we received to purchase and finance life insurance policies to fund our operational expenditures.

In June 2011, we registered a \$250.0 million debt offering of our Renewable Secured Debentures with the SEC, which registration became effective on January 31, 2012. Through June 30, 2014, the total amount of Renewable Secured Debentures sold, including renewals, is \$198.0 million. As of June 30, 2014, we had approximately \$161.0 million in principal amount of Renewable Secured Debentures outstanding.

Additionally, our wholly owned subsidiary GWG Life issued Series I Secured notes beginning in November 2009 on a private placement basis to accredited investors only. As of June 30, 2014, we had approximately \$28.6 million in principal amount of Series I Secured notes outstanding. This offering was closed in November 2011.

The weighted-average interest rate of our outstanding Series I Secured notes as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was 8.36% and 8.35%, respectively, and the weighted-average maturity at those dates was 2.06 and 2.49 years, respectively. The Series I Secured notes have renewal features. Since we first issued our Series I Secured notes, we have experienced \$129,108,000 in maturities, of which as of June 30, 2014 \$100,064,000 has renewed for an additional term. This has provided us with an aggregate renewal rate of approximately 77% for investments in our subsidiary secured notes. Future contractual maturities of Series I Secured notes payable at June 30, 2014 are:

Years Ending December 31,	
Six months ending December 31, 2014	\$ 4,586,000
2015	10,718,000
2016	8,092,000
2017	4,428,000
2018	754,000
Thereafter	64,000
	\$ 28,642,000

The weighted-average interest rate of our outstanding Renewable Secured Debentures as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was 7.51% and 7.53%, respectively, and the weighted average maturity at those dates was 3.60 and 3.69 years, respectively. Our Renewable Secured Debentures have renewal features. Since we first issued our Renewable Secured Debentures, we have experienced \$37,069,000 in maturities, of which as of June 30, 2014 \$23,048,000 has renewed for an additional term. This has provided us with an aggregate renewal rate of approximately 62% for investments in our Renewable Secured Debentures. Future contractual maturities of Renewable Secured Debentures at June 30, 2014 are:

 Years Ending December 31,
 \$ 27,838,000

 Six months ending December 31, 2014
 \$ 27,838,000

 2015
 47,254,000

 2016
 37,660,000

 2017
 16,949,000

 2018
 8,314,000

 Thereafter
 22,946,000

 \$ 160,961,000
 \$ 160,961,000

The Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes are secured by all our assets, and are subordinate to our revolving credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank. The Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes are pari passu with respect to our assets pursuant to an inter-creditor agreement (see notes 7 and 8 to our consolidated financial statements).

We maintain a \$100 million revolving credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank through GWG Life's wholly owned subsidiary DLP II. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 we had \$79.0 million outstanding under the revolving credit facility and maintained an available borrowing base surplus of \$5.8 and \$3.9 million, respectively (see note 6 to our consolidated financial statements).

We expect to meet our ongoing operational capital needs through a combination of policy benefit revenues, origination fees, and proceeds from financing transactions. We expect to meet our policy acquisition, servicing, and financing capital needs principally from the receipt of policy benefit revenues from our portfolio of life insurance policies, net proceeds from our offering of Renewable Secured Debentures, and from our revolving credit facility. Because we only receive origination fees when we purchase a policy, our receipt of those fees is contingent upon our consummation of policy purchases, which is, in turn, contingent upon our receipt of external funding. Despite recent adverse capital market conditions, including a prolonged credit crisis, we have demonstrated continued access to credit and financing markets. Furthermore, we expect to begin receiving insurance benefit payments on our portfolio of life insurance policies as the average age of the insureds increase and mortality events occur over time which we expect to begin more significantly in 2015 and steadily increasing until 2018. As a result of the foregoing, we estimate that our liquidity and capital resources are sufficient for our current and projected financial needs. Nevertheless, if we are unable to continue our offering of Renewable Secured Debentures for any reason (or if we become unsuccessful in selling debentures), and we are unable to obtain capital from other sources, we expect that our business would be materially and adversely affected. In addition, our business would be materially and adversely affected if we did not receive the policy benefits we forecast and if holders of our Renewable Secured Debentures or Series I Secured notes failed to renew with the frequency we have historically experienced. In such a case, we could be forced to sell our investments in life insurance policies to service or satisfy our debt-related obligations and continue to pay policy premiums.

Capital expenditures have historically not been material and we do not anticipate making material capital expenditures in 2013 or beyond.

Debt Financings Summary

We had the following outstanding debt balances as of June 30, 2014:

		Weighted
	Principal	Average
	Amount	Interest
Issuer/Borrower	Outstanding	Rate
GWG Holdings, Inc Renewable Secured Debentures	\$160,961,000	7.51%
GWG Life Settlements, LLC -Series I Secured notes	28,642,000	8.36%
GWG DLP Funding II, LLC - Revolving credit facility	79,000,000	6.19%
Total	\$268,603,000	7.21%

Our total credit facility and other indebtedness balance as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was \$268,603,000 and \$243,635,000, respectively. At June 30, 2014, the total outstanding face amount under our Series I Secured notes outstanding was \$28,642,000, less unamortized selling costs of \$591,000, resulting in a carrying amount of \$28,051,000. At December 31, 2013, the total outstanding face amount under our Series I Secured notes outstanding was \$29,744,000, less unamortized selling costs of \$469,000, resulting in a carrying amount of \$29,275,000. At June 30, 2014, the total outstanding face amount of Renewable Secured Debentures was \$160,961,000 plus \$1,973,000 of subscriptions in process, less unamortized selling costs of \$5,421,000, resulting in a carrying amount of \$157,513,000. At December 31, 2013, the total outstanding face amount of Renewable Secured Debentures outstanding was \$134,891,000 plus \$1,902,000 of subscriptions in process, less unamortized selling costs, less unamortized selling costs of \$5,421,000, resulting costs of \$5,147,000, resulting in a carrying amount of \$131,646,000. At June 30, 2014, the fair value of our investments in life insurance policies of \$267,896,000 plus our cash balance of \$23,060,000 and our restricted cash balance of \$2,810,000, totaled \$293,766,000, representing an excess of portfolio assets over secured indebtedness of \$25,163,000. At December 31, 2013, the fair value of our investments in life insurance policies of \$234,673,000 plus our cash balance of \$33,450,000 and our restricted cash balance o

secured indebtedness of \$30,321,000. The Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes are secured by all our assets and are subordinate to our revolving credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank. The Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes are pari passu with respect to shared collateral pursuant to an inter-creditor agreement.

The following forward-looking table seeks to illustrate the impact of the sale of our portfolio of life insurance assets at various discount rates in order to satisfy our debt obligations as of June 30, 2014. In all cases, the sale of the life insurance assets owned by DLP II will be used first to satisfy all amounts owing under the revolving credit facility with Autobahn/ DZ Bank. The net sale proceeds remaining after satisfying all obligations under the revolving credit facility would be applied to Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes on a pari passu basis.

Portfolio Discount Rate	10%	12%	13%	14%
Value of portfolio	\$289,810,000	\$262,199,000	\$249,964,000	\$238,638,000
Cash and cash equivalents	25,870,000	25,870,000	25,870,000	25,870,000
Total assets	315,680,000	288,069,000	275,834,000	264,508,000
Revolving credit facility Autobahn/DZ Bank	79,000,000	79,000,000	79,000,000	79,000,000
Net after revolving credit facility	236,680,000	209,069,000	196,834,000	185,508,000
Series I Secured notes and Renewable Secured				
Debentures	189,603,000	189,603,000	189,603,000	189,603,000
Net after Series I Secured notes and Renewable				
Secured Debentures	47,077,000	19,466,000	7,231,000	(4,095,000)
Impairment to Series I Secured notes and Renewable	No	No	No	
Secured Debentures	impairment	impairment	impairment	Impairment

The table illustrates that our ability to fully satisfy amounts owing under the Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes would likely be impaired upon the sale of all our life insurance assets at a price equivalent to a discount rate of approximately 13.63% or higher. The discount rates used to calculate the fair value of our portfolio for mark-to-market accounting were 11.56% and 11.69% as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The table does not include any allowance for transactional fees and expenses associated with a portfolio sale (which expenses and fees could be substantial), and is provided to demonstrate how various discount rates used to value our portfolio could affect our ability to satisfy amounts owing under our debt obligations, in light of our senior secured lender's right to priority payments. You should read the above table in conjunction with the information contained in other sections of this prospectus supplement, including our discussion of discount rates included under the "—Critical Accounting Policies – Valuation of Insurance Policies" caption above. This discussion and analysis is based on the beliefs of our management, as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, our management. The forward-looking presentation above is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those suggested or implied by the above table. Please see the caption "Risk Relating to Forward-Looking Statements" above.

On May 29, 2014 Holdings, together with GWG Life and DLPII, entered into an Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement with Autobahn and DZ Bank (as committed lender and Agent). The amendment was entered into for the purpose of extending the maturity date for borrowings under the agreement to December 31, 2016.

Cash Flows

The payment of premiums and servicing costs to maintain life insurance policies represents our most significant requirement for cash disbursement. When a policy is purchased, we are able to calculate the minimum premium payments required to maintain the policy in-force. Over time as the insured ages, premium payments will increase; however, the probability of actually needing to pay the premiums decreases since mortality becomes more likely. These scheduled premiums and associated probabilities are factored into our expected internal rate of return and cash-flow modeling described herein. Beyond premiums, we incur policy servicing costs, including annual trustee and tracking costs, and debt servicing costs, including principal and interest payments. Until we receive a stable amount of proceeds from the policy benefits, we intend to pay these costs from our credit facility, when permitted, and

through the issuance of debt securities, including Renewable Secured Debentures.

For the quarter end dates set forth below, the following table illustrates the total amount of face value of policy benefits owned, and the trailing 12 months of life insurance policy benefits collected and premiums paid on our portfolio. The trailing 12-month benefits/premium coverage ratio indicates the ratio of policy benefits received to premiums paid over the trailing 12-month period from our portfolio of life insurance policies.

		12-Month	12-Month	12-Month
		Trailing	Trailing	Trailing
	Portfolio	Benefits	Premiums	Benefits/Premium
Quarter End Date	Face Amount	Collected	Paid	Coverage Ratio
March 31, 2012	\$482,455,000	\$ 4,203,000	\$14,977,000	28.06%
June 30, 2012	489,255,000	8,703,000	15,412,000	56.47%
September 30, 2012	515,661,000	7,833,000	15,837,000	49.46%
December 31, 2012	572,245,000	7,350,000	16,597,000	44.28%
March 31, 2013	639,755,000	11,350,000	18,044,000	62.90%
June 30, 2013	650,655,000	13,450,000	19,182,000	70.11%
September 30, 2013	705,069,000	18,450,000	20,279,000	90.98%
December 31, 2013	740,648,000	16,600,000	21,733,000	76.38%
March 31, 2014	771,940,000	12,600,000	21,930,000	57.46%
June 30, 2014	784,652,000	6,300,000	22,598,000	27.88%

We believe that the portfolio cash flow results set forth above represent our general investment thesis: that the life insurance policy benefits we receive will continue to increase over time in relation to the premiums we are required to pay on the remaining polices in the portfolio. Nevertheless, we expect that our portfolio cash flow results will remain inconsistent until such time we achieve our goal of acquiring a larger, more diversified portfolio of life insurance policies in order to obtain more normalized actuarial results. For example, we had expected to receive a greater amount of insurance benefits for the periods ended December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2014 than we actually experienced. As our receipt of life insurance policy benefits increase, we expect to begin servicing and paying down our outstanding indebtedness, or alternatively purchasing additional life insurance policies, from these cash flows. As indicated above under "Liquidity and Capital Resources," we presently expect that by 2015, the cash inflows from the receipt of policy benefits will exceed the premium obligations on the remaining life insurance policies held within the portfolio as of December 31, 2013. See "Business—Portfolio Management."

The amount of payments for anticipated premiums and servicing costs that we will be required to make over the next five years to maintain our current portfolio, assuming no mortalities, is set forth in the table below.

	Premiums
	and
Year	Servicing
Six months ending December 31, 2014	\$ 12,560,000
2015	27,040,000
2016	29,749,000
2017	33,194,000
2018	36,113,000
Total	\$138,656,000

The life insurance policies owned by DLP II are subject to a collateral arrangement with the agent to our revolving credit lender, as described in note 6 to the consolidated financial statements. Under this arrangement, collection and escrow accounts are used to fund purchases and premiums of the insurance policies and to pay interest and other charges under our revolving credit facility. The lender and its agent must authorize all disbursements from these

accounts, including any distributions to GWG Life or Holdings. Distributions are limited to an amount that would result in the borrowers (DLP II, GWG Life, and Holdings) realizing an annualized rate of return on the equity funded amount for such assets of not more than 18%, as determined by the agent. After such amount is reached, the credit agreement requires that excess funds be used to fund repayments or a reserve account in a certain amount before any additional distributions may be made. In the future, these arrangements may restrict the cash flows available for payment of principal and interest on our debt obligations.

Inflation

Changes in inflation do not necessarily correlate with changes in interest rates. We presently do not foresee any material impact of inflation on our results of operations in the periods presented in our consolidated financial statements.

10

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Operating Lease - We entered into an office lease with U.S. Bank National Association as the landlord. The lease was effective April 22, 2012 with a term through August 31, 2015. The lease is for 11,695 square feet of office space located at 220 South Sixth Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota. We are obligated to pay base rent plus common area maintenance and a share of the building operating costs. Minimum lease payments under the lease are as follows:

Nine months ending December 31, 2014	\$ 52,000
2015	\$ 70,000
Total	\$ 122,000

Credit Risk

We review the credit risk associated with our portfolio of life insurance policies when estimating its fair value. In evaluating the policies' credit risk we consider insurance company solvency, credit risk indicators, economic conditions, ongoing credit evaluations, and company positions. We attempt to manage our credit risk related to life insurance policies typically by purchasing policies issued only from companies with an investment grade credit rating by either Standard & Poor's, Moody's, or A.M. Best Company. As of June 30, 2014, 99.08% of our life insurance policies, by face value benefits, were issued by companies that maintained an investment grade rating (BBB or better) by Standard & Poor's.

Interest Rate Risk

Our credit facility is floating-rate financing. In addition, our ability to offer interest rates that attract capital (including in the offer and sale of Renewable Secured Debentures) is generally impacted by prevailing interest rates. Furthermore, while our other indebtedness provides us with fixed-rate financing, our debt coverage ratio is calculated in relation to our total cost of financing. Therefore, fluctuations in interest rates impact our business by increasing our borrowing costs, and reducing availability under our debt financing arrangements. Furthermore, we calculate our portfolio earnings based upon the spread generated between the return on our life insurance portfolio and the cost of our financing. As a result, increases in interest rates will reduce the earnings we expect to achieve from our investments in life insurance policies.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We use non-GAAP financial measures when evaluating our financial results, for planning and forecasting purposes, and for maintaining compliance with covenants contained in our borrowing agreements. Non-GAAP financial measures disclosed by management are provided as additional information to investors in order to provide them with an alternative method for assessing our financial condition and operating results. These non-GAAP financial measures are not in accordance with GAAP and may be different from non-GAAP measures used by other companies, including other companies within our industry. This presentation of non-GAAP financial information is not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for comparable amounts prepared in accordance with GAAP. See the notes to our consolidated financial statements and our audited financial statements contained herein.

We have elected to carry our investments in life insurance policies at fair value in accordance with ASC 325-30, Investments in Life Insurance Contracts. Accordingly, we value our investments in life insurance policies at the conclusion of each reporting period in accordance with GAAP fair value accounting principles. In addition to GAAP, we are required to report non-GAAP financial measures to Autobahn/DZ Bank under certain financial covenants made to that lender under our revolving credit facility. As indicated above, we also use non-GAAP financial reporting to manage and evaluate the financial performance of our business.

GAAP-based fair value requires us to mark-to-market our investments in life insurance policies, which by its nature, is based upon Level 3 measurements that are unobservable. As a result, this accounting treatment imports financial market volatility and subjective inputs into our financial reporting. We believe this type of accounting reporting is at odds with one of the key attractions for purchasing and owning a portfolio life insurance policies: the non-correlated nature of the returns to be derived from such policies. Therefore, in contrast to a GAAP-based fair valuation, we seek to measure the accrual of the actuarial gain occurring within the portfolio of life insurance policies at their expected internal rate of return based on statistical mortality probabilities for the insureds (using primarily the insured's age, sex and smoking status). The expected internal rate of return tracks actuarial gain occurring within the policies according to a mortality table as the insureds' age increases. By comparing the actuarial gain accruing within our portfolio of life insurance policies against our costs during the same period, we can estimate, manage and evaluate the overall financial profitability of our business without regard to mark-to-market volatility. We use this information to balance our life insurance policy purchasing and manage our capital structure, including the issuance of debt and utilization of our other sources of capital, and to monitor our compliance with borrowing covenants. We believe that these non-GAAP financial measures provide information that is useful for investors to understand period-over-period operating results separate and apart from fair value items that may, or could, have a disproportionately positive or negative impact on results in any particular period.

Our credit facility requires us to maintain a "positive net income" and "tangible net worth" each of which are calculated on an adjusted non-GAAP basis on the method described above, without regard to GAAP-based fair value measures. In addition, our revolving credit facility requires us to maintain an "excess spread," which is the difference between (i) the weighted average of our expected internal rate of return of our portfolio of life insurance policies and (ii) the weighted average of our credit facility's interest rate. These calculations are made using non-GAAP measures in the method described below, without regard to GAAP-based fair value measures.

In addition, our Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes require us to maintain a "debt coverage ratio" designed to ensure that the expected cash flows from our portfolio of life insurance policies is able to adequately service our total outstanding indebtedness. In addition, our Renewable Secured Debentures requires us to maintain a "subordination ratio" which limits the total amount of indebtedness that can be issued senior in rank to the Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes. These ratios are calculated using non-GAAP measures in the method described below, without regard to GAAP-based fair value measures.

Adjusted Non-GAAP Net Income. Our credit facility requires us to maintain a positive net income calculated on an adjusted non-GAAP basis. We calculate the adjusted net income by recognizing the actuarial gain accruing within our life insurance policies at the expected internal rate of return of the policies we own without regard to fair value. We net this actuarial gain against our costs during the same period to calculate our net income on a non-GAAP basis.

	Three Months Ended June				
	30	,	Six Months Ended June 3		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
GAAP net income	\$(2,587,000)	\$1,757,000	\$(4,488,000)	\$1,824,000	
Unrealized fair value gain (1)	(10,852,000)	(7,449,000)	(22,211,000)	(18,944,000)	
Adjusted cost basis increase (2)	10,777,000	8,641,000	22,174,000	18,897,000	
Accrual of unrealized actuarial gain (3)	7,822,000	5,165,000	15,127,000	10,198,000	
Total adjusted non-GAAP income (4)	\$5,160,000	\$8,114,000	\$10,602,000	\$11,975,000	

- (1) Reversal of unrealized fair value gain of life insurance policies for current period.
- (2) Adjusted cost basis is increased to include those acquisition and servicing expenses which are not capitalized by GAAP.
- (3) Accrual of actuarial gain at expected internal rate of return based on investment cost basis for the period.
- (4) We must maintain an annual positive consolidated net income, calculated on a non-GAAP basis, to maintain compliance with our revolving credit facility with DZ Bank/Autobahn.

Adjusted Non-GAAP Tangible Net Worth. Our revolving credit facility requires us to maintain a tangible net worth in excess of \$15 million calculated on an adjusted non-GAAP basis. We calculate the adjusted tangible net worth by recognizing the actuarial gain accruing within our life insurance policies at the expected internal rate of return of the policies we own without regard to fair value. We net this actuarial gain against our costs during the same period to calculate our tangible net worth on a non-GAAP basis.

	As of June 30, 2014	As of December 31, 2013
GAAP net worth (1)	\$ 15,176,000	\$ 19,231,000
Less intangible assets (2)	(7,481,000)	(6,068,000)
GAAP tangible net worth	7,695,000	13,163,000
Unrealized fair value gain (3)	(136,955,000)	(114,744,000)
Adjusted cost basis increase (4)	128,291,000	106,201,000

Accrual of unrealized actuarial gain (5)	64,794,000	49,666,000
Total adjusted non-GAAP tangible net worth (6)	\$ 63,825,000	\$ 54,286,000

- (1) Includes termination of redeemable member's interest prior to corporate conversion and preferred stock classified as temporary equity.
- (2) Unamortized portion of deferred financing costs and pre-paid insurance.
- (3) Reversal of cumulative unrealized fair value gain or loss of life insurance policies.
- (4) Adjusted cost basis is increased by acquisition and servicing expenses which are not capitalized under GAAP.
- (5) Accrual of cumulative actuarial gain at expected internal rate of return based on investment cost basis.
- (6) We must maintain a total adjusted non-GAAP tangible net worth of \$15 million to maintain compliance with our revolving credit facility with DZ Bank/Autobahn.

Excess Spread. Our revolving credit facility requires us to maintain a 2.00% "excess spread" between our weighted-average expected internal rate of return of our portfolio of life insurance policies and the credit facility's interest rate. A presentation of our excess spread and our total excess spread is set forth below. Management uses the "total excess spread" to gauge expected profitability of our investments, and uses the "excess spread" to monitor compliance with our borrowing.

		As of
	As of	December
	March 31,	31,
	2014	2013
Weighted-average expected IRR (1)	12.04%	12.21%
Weighted-average revolving credit facility interest rate (2)	6.19%	6.19%
Excess spread (3)	5.85%	6.02%
Total weighted-average interest rate on indebtedness for borrowed money (4)	7.21%	7.20%
Total excess spread	4.83%	5.01%

(1) This represents the weighted-average expected internal rate of return of the life insurance policies as of the measurement date based upon our investment cost basis of the insurance policies and the expected cash flows from the life insurance portfolio. Our investment cost basis is calculated as our cash investment in the life insurance policies, without regard to GAAP-based fair value measurements, and is set forth below:

	As of	As of
	June 30,	December 31,
Investment Cost Basis	2014	2013
GAAP fair value	\$ 267,896,000	\$ 234,673,000
Unrealized fair value gain (A)	(136,955,000)	(114,744,000)
Adjusted cost basis increase (B)	128,291,000	106,201,000
Investment cost basis (C)	\$ 259,232,000	\$ 226,130,000

(A)	This represents the reversal of cumulative unrealized GAAP fair value
	gain of life insurance policies.
(B)	Adjusted cost basis is increased to include those acquisition and servicing
	expenses that are not capitalized by GAAP.
(C)	This is the full cash investment cost basis in life insurance policies from
	which our expected internal rate of return is calculated.

- (2) This is the weighted-average revolving credit relating to our revolving credit facility interest rate as of the measurement date.
- (3) We must maintain an excess spread of 2.00% relating to our revolving credit facility to maintain compliance under such facility.
- (4) Represents the weighted-average interest rate paid on all outstanding indebtedness as of the measurement date, determined as follows:

	As of	As of
	June 30,	December 31,
Outstanding Indebtedness	2014	2013
Revolving credit facility	\$ 79,000,000	\$ 79,000,000
Series I Secured notes	28,642,000	29,744,000
Renewable Secured Debentures	160,961,000	134,891,000
Total	\$268,603,000	\$243,635,000

Interest Rates on Indebtedness		
Revolving credit facility	6.19%	6.19%
Series I Secured notes	8.36%	8.35%
Renewable Secured Debentures	7.51%	7.53 %
Weighted-average interest rates on indebtedness	7.21%	7.20%

Debt Coverage Ratio and Subordination Ratio. Our Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes require us to maintain a "debt coverage ratio" of less than 90%. The "debt coverage ratio" is calculated by dividing the sum of our total indebtedness by the sum of our cash and cash equivalents and the net present value of the life insurance portfolio. The "subordination ratio" for our Renewable Secured Debentures is calculated by dividing the total indebtedness that is senior to Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes by the sum of the company's cash and cash equivalents and the net present value of the life insurance portfolio. The "subordination ratio" must be less than 50%. For purposes of both ratio calculations, the net present value of the life insurance portfolio is calculated using a discount rate equal to the weighted average interest rate of all indebtedness.

	As of	As of
	June 30,	December 31,
	2014	2013
Life insurance portfolio policy benefits	\$784,652,000	\$740,648,000
Discount rate of future cash flows	7.21%	7.20%
Net present value of Life insurance portfolio policy benefits	\$336,987,000	\$302,761,000
Cash and cash equivalents	25,870,000	39,283,000
Total Coverage	362,857,000	332,044,000
Revolving credit facility	79,000,000	79,000,000
Series I Secured notes	28,642,000	29,744,000
Renewable Secured Debentures	160,961,000	134,891,000
Total Indebtedness	\$268,603,000	\$243,635,000
Debt Coverage Ratio	74.02%	71.23%
Subordination Ratio	21.77%	23.10%

As of June 30, 2014, we were in compliance with both the debt coverage ratio and the subordination ratio as required under our related financing agreements for Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes.

Our Portfolio

Our portfolio of life insurance policies, owned by our subsidiaries as of June 30, 2014, is summarized below:

Life Insurance Portfolio Summary

Total portfolio face value of policy benefits	\$	784,652,000
Average face value per policy	\$	2,715,000
Average face value per insured life	\$	3,006,000
Average age of insured (yrs.) *		82.5
Average life expectancy estimate (yrs.) *		6.85
Total number of policies		289
Number of unique lives		261
Demographics	67% Males; 33% Females	

Number of smokers	3 insureds are smokers	
Largest policy as % of total portfolio		1.27%
Average policy as % of total portfolio		0.35%
Average Annual Premium as % of face value		3.21%

* Averages presented in the table are weighted averages.

Our portfolio of life insurance policies, owned by our subsidiaries as of June 30, 2014, organized by the insured's current age and the associated policy benefits, is summarized below:

Distribution of Policy Benefits by Current Age of Insured

		I	Weighted Average Life Expectancy	
Min Age	Max Age	Policy Benefits	(yrs.)	Distribution
65	69	\$ 9,156,000	7.84	1.17%
70	74	48,617,000	9.16	6.20%
75	79	169,198,000	8.46	21.56%
80	84	300,764,000	7.28	38.33%
85	89	226,596,000	4.96	28.88%
90	95	30,321,000	3.70	3.86%
Total		\$ 784,652,000	6.85	100.00%

Our portfolio of life insurance policies, owned by our subsidiaries as of June 30, 2014, organized by the insured's current age and number of policies owned, is summarized below:

Distribution of Policies by Current Age of Insured

			L	Weighted Average ife Expectance	cy	
Min Age	Max Age	Policies		(yrs.)	Distribution	
65	69		8	7.84		2.77%
70	74		20	9.16		6.92%
75	79		56	8.46		19.38%
80	84		105	7.28		36.33%
85	89		87	4.96		30.10%
90	95		13	3.70		4.50%
Total			289	6.85		100.00%

Our portfolio of life insurance policies, owned by our subsidiaries as of June 30, 2014, organized by the insured's estimated life expectancy estimates and associated policy benefits, is summarized below:

Distribution of Policy Benefits by Current Life Expectancies of Insured

	Max LE		
Min LE (Mon	(Months)	Policy Benefits	Distribution
144	167	\$ 9,000,000	1.15%
120	143	99,656,000	12.70%
96	119	143,774,000	18.32%
72	95	210,583,000	26.84%
48	71	219,773,000	28.01%
16	47	101,866,000	12.98%
Total		\$ 784,652,000	100.00%

We track concentrations of pre-existing medical conditions among insured individuals within our portfolio based on information contained in life expectancy reports. We track these medical conditions with ten primary disease categories: (1) cardiovascular, (2) cerebrovascular, (3) dementia, (4) cancer, (5) diabetes, (6) respiratory disease, (7) neurological disorders, (8) other, no disease, or multiple. Our primary disease categories are summary generalizations based on the ICD-9 codes we track on each insured individuals within our portfolio. ICD-9 codes, published by the World Health Organization, are used worldwide for medical diagnoses and treatment systems, as well as morbidity and mortality statistics. Currently, cardiovascular is the only primary disease category within our portfolio that represents a concentration over 10%.

Our portfolio of life insurance policies, owned by our subsidiaries as of June 30, 2014, organized by the primary disease categories of the insured and associated policy benefits, is summarized below:

Distribution of Policy Benefits by Primary Disease Category

	Policy	
Primary Disease Category	Benefits	Distribution
Cancer	\$ 57,450,000	7.32%
Cardiovascular	141,876,000	18.08%
Cerebrovascular	21,960,000	2.80%
Dementia	62,699,000	7.99%
Diabetes	63,617,000	8.11%
Multiple	196,059,000	24.99%
Neurological Disorders	15,840,000	2.02%
No Disease	94,468,000	12.04%
Other	89,483,000	11.40%
Respiratory Diseases	41,200,000	5.25%
Total Policy Benefits	\$784,652,000	100.00%

The primary disease category represents a general category of impairment. Within the primary disease category, there are a multitude of sub-categorizations defined more specifically by ICD-9 codes. For example, a primary disease category of cardiovascular includes subcategorizations such as atrial fibrillation, heart valve replacement, coronary atherosclerosis, etc. In addition, individuals may have more than one ICD-9 code describing multiple medical conditions within one or more primary disease categories. Where an individual's ICD-9 codes indicate medical conditions in more than one primary disease categories, we categorize the individual as having multiple primary disease categories. We expect to continue to develop and refine our identification and tracking on the insured individuals medical conditions as we manage our portfolio of life insurance policies.

Portfolio Credit Risk Management

The life insurance policies that we acquire represent obligations of third-party life insurance companies to pay the benefits under the relevant policy. Because we finance life insurance policies, we rely on the payments from the face value of policy benefits from life insurance companies for revenue collections. We rely on the face value of the life insurance policy benefit at maturity as the exclusive form of payment.

The possible insolvency or loss by a life insurance company is a significant risk to our business. To manage this risk, we seek to purchase policies that are issued by insurance companies with investment-grade ratings from either A.M. Best, Moody's or Standard & Poor's. To further mitigate risk, we seek to limit the face value of policy benefits issued by any one life insurance company within the total portfolio to 20%. State guaranty funds generally guaranty policy benefits up to \$200,000. In addition, to assure diversity and stability in our portfolio, we regularly review the various metrics of our portfolio relating to credit risk. We track industry rating agency reports and industry journals and articles in order to gain insight into possible financial problems of life insurance companies. Recently, some of the credit ratings on insurance companies were downgraded and we will no longer consider purchasing policies issued by these insurance companies. Finally, we will only purchase those life insurance policies that meet the underwriting standards established in the indenture governing our Renewable Secured Debentures.

As of June 30, 2014, 99.08% of insurance companies in our portfolio hold an investment-grade rating by Standard & Poor's (BBB- or better), and the face value of policy benefits issued by one life insurance company with in the portfolio was 15.72%. Of the 44 insurance companies that insure the policies we own, ten companies insure approximately 72.66% of total face value of insurance benefits and the remaining 34 insurance companies insure the remaining approximately 27.34% of total face value of insurance benefits. The concentration risk of our ten largest insurance company holdings as of June 30, 2014 is set forth in the table below.

		Percentage of Policy		
	Policy	Benefit		
Rank	Benefits	Amt.	Insurance Company	Ins. Co. S&P Rating
1	\$123,380,000	15.72%	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
			John Hancock Life Insurance Company	
2	\$ 85,920,000	10.95%	(U.S.A)	AA-
3	\$ 73,920,000	9.42%	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
4	\$ 58,769,000	7.49%	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
5	\$ 56,215,000	7.16%	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
6	\$ 43,550,000	5.55%	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
			Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance	
7	\$ 42,735,000	5.45%	Company	AA+
8	\$ 30,500,000	3.89%	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
9	\$ 28,450,000	3.63%	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
10	\$ 26,661,000	3.40%	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-

Life Insurance Portfolio Detail

(as of June 30, 2014)

				Age	LE		S&P
	Fa	ice Amount	Gender	(ALB)(1)	(mo.)(2)	Insurance Company	Rating
1	\$	4,000,000	М	93	38.1	MetLife Investors USA Insurance Company	AA-
2	\$	1,100,000	Μ	93	32.4	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
3	\$	1,770,726	F	93	36.4	Aviva Life Insurance Company	A-
4	\$	3,200,000	М	93	63.8	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
5	\$	1,000,000	F	92	42.6	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
6	\$	250,000	Μ	91	25.5	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
7	\$	2,500,000	М	90	27.2	Columbus Life Insurance Company	AA
8	\$	3,000,000	М	90	56.4	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
						John Hancock Life Insurance Company	
9	\$	500,000	М	90	22.9	(U.S.A)	AA-
10	\$	5,000,000	F	90	60.9	American General Life Insurance Company	A +
11	\$	2,000,000	F	90	22.0	Pruco Life Insurance Company	AA-
						John Hancock Life Insurance Company	
12	\$	5,000,000	F	90	41.2	(U.S.A)	AA-
13	\$	1,000,000	F	90	38.4	Protective Life Insurance Company	AA-
						Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance	
14	\$	1,682,773	F	89	59.4	Company	BBB+
						John Hancock Life Insurance Company	
15	\$	5,000,000	М	89	39.6	(U.S.A)	AA-
16	\$	3,100,000	F	89	42.0	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	BBB+

17	7 \$	1,500,000	F	89	74.2	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
18	3 \$	1,000,000	Μ	89	34.9	State Farm Life Insurance Company	AA-
19) \$	3,000,000	F	89	41.6	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
20) \$	500,000	F	89	34.1	Genworth Life Insurance Company	A-
21	\$	1,000,000	F	89	34.1	Genworth Life Insurance Company	A-
22	2 \$	1,000,000	F	89	34.1	Genworth Life Insurance Company	A-
23	3 \$	500,000	F	89	34.1	Genworth Life Insurance Company	A-
24	↓ \$	1,000,000	Μ	89	13.9	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
25	5 \$	600,000	F	89	29.2	Columbus Life Insurance Company	AA
26	5 \$	1,000,000	F	88	59.4	United of Omaha Life Insurance Company	A+
						John Hancock Life Insurance Company	
27	7 \$	3,500,000	F	88	64.9	(U.S.A)	AA-
28	3 \$	1,750,000	Μ	88	29.6	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
29) \$	2,500,000	F	88	15.8	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+

	Face		Age	LE		S&P
	Amount	Gender	(ALB)	(mo.)	Insurance Company	Rating
30	\$ 2,500,000	F	88	15.8	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
31	\$ 5,000,000	F	88	48.5	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
32	\$ 5,000,000	F	88	25.8	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company	AA-
33	\$ 715,000	F	88	68.9	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
34	\$ 1,203,520	М	88	52.1	Columbus Life Insurance Company	AA
35	\$ 1,350,000	F	88	44.0	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
36	\$ 2,000,000	F	88	37.5	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
37	\$ 3,500,000	F	88	50.0	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company	AA-
38	\$ 5,000,000	F	87	56.0	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
39	\$ 2,500,000	F	87	56.6	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
40	\$ 2,500,000	М	87	47.6	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
41	\$ 4,000,000	F	87	81.8	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
42	\$ 5,000,000	М	87	62.3	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
43	\$ 1,500,000	М	87	48.9	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
44	\$ 1,500,000	М	87	48.9	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
45	\$ 1,000,000	F	87	75.1	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
46	\$ 250,000	F	87	75.1	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
47	\$ 1,000,000	М	87	63.8	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
48	\$ 500,000	М	87	70.5	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company	AA-
49	\$ 4,785,380	F	87	50.5	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
50	\$ 8,985,000	М	87	40.1	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
51	\$ 1,803,455	F	87	58.0	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
52	\$ 1,529,270	F	87	58.0	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
53	\$ 802,082	М	87	80.0	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company	AA-
54	\$ 5,000,000	М	87	60.9	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
55	\$ 2,225,000	F	87	94.1	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
56	\$ 3,000,000	F	87	91.3	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
57	\$ 1,500,000	М	87	54.7	Union Central Life Insurance Company	A+
58	\$ 3,000,000	М	87	52.9	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
59	\$ 2,000,000	М	87	48.1	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
60	\$ 3,000,000	М	87	41.7	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
61	\$ 500,000	F	86	77.7	Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.)	BBB
62	\$ 5,000,000	F	86	43.2	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
63	\$ 3,000,000	М	86	61.7	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
64	\$ 1,200,000	М	86	69.2	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
65	\$ 250,000	М	86	81.4	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
66	\$ 6,000,000	F	86	65.3	Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.)	BBB
67	\$ 3,000,000	M	86	93.5	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
68	\$ 5,570,000	F	86	56.5	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
69	\$ 5,570,000	F	86	56.5	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
70	\$ 1,000,000	F	86	44.1	New York Life Insurance Company	AA+
71	\$ 5,000,000	F	86	39.8	Penn Mutual Life Insurance Company	A+
72	\$10,000,000	F	86	81.5	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-

	Face		Age	LE		S&P
	Amount	Gender	(ALB)	(mo.)	Insurance Company	Rating
73	\$ 2,500,000	М	86	56.7	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
74	\$ 1,000,000	F	86	60.4	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
75	\$ 2,000,000	F	86	60.4	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
76	\$ 800,000	М	86	64.5	National Western Life Insurance Company	А
77	\$ 200,000	М	86	57.2	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	BBB+
78	\$ 4,445,467	М	86	67.6	Penn Mutual Life Insurance Company	A+
79	\$ 7,500,000	М	86	59.6	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
80	\$ 3,600,000	F	86	67.0	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
81	\$ 5,000,000	М	86	90.7	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company	AA-
82	\$ 1,000,000	F	86	35.6	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
83	\$ 4,513,823	F	86	31.2	Aviva Life Insurance Company	A-
84	\$ 2,000,000	F	86	84.5	U.S. Financial Life Insurance Company	A+
85	\$ 1,000,000	М	85	69.9	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
86	\$ 2,000,000	М	85	69.9	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
87	\$ 5,000,000	М	85	57.6	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
88	\$ 1,365,000	F	85	94.6	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
89	\$ 1,000,000	F	85	94.0	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
90	\$ 1,000,000	М	85	48.5	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
91	\$ 2,000,000	М	85	94.1	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
92	\$ 8,500,000	М	85	90.5	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
93	\$ 1,000,000	М	85	33.3	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
94	\$ 500,000	М	85	91.4	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
95	\$ 2,000,000	М	85	70.9	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
96	\$ 500,000	F	85	64.8	Beneficial Life Insurance Company	N/A
97	\$ 1,800,000	М	85	60.7	John Hancock Variable Life Insurance Company	AA-
98	\$ 2,000,000	М	85	108.5	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
99	\$ 2,000,000	М	85	108.5	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
100	\$ 2,000,000	М	85	108.5	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
101	\$ 1,500,000	М	84	58.0	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
102	\$ 3,750,000	М	84	85.1	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
103	\$ 1,000,000	М	84	69.0	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
104	\$ 2,000,000	F	84	94.7	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
105	\$ 3,000,000	F	84	93.3	Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.)	BBB
106	\$ 829,022	F	84	33.3	Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company	BBB+
107	\$ 1,500,000	М	84	88.9	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
108	\$ 2,328,547	М	84	53.1	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
109	\$ 2,000,000	М	84	53.1	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
110	\$ 5,000,000	М	84	98.8	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
111	\$ 1,500,000	М	84	58.0	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
112	\$ 1,500,000	М	84	58.0	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
113	\$ 3,000,000	F	84	80.6	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
114	\$ 5,000,000	М	84	82.5	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
115	\$ 1,000,000	М	84	56.2	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-

	Face		Age	LE		S&P
	Amount	Gender	(ALB)	(mo.)	Insurance Company	Rating
116	\$ 4,000,000	F	84	58.5	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
117	\$ 5,000,000	F	84	102.4	1 0	A+
118	\$ 2,000,000	М	84	73.7	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
119	\$ 1,750,000	М	84	73.7	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
120	\$ 2,000,000	М	84	43.2	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
121	\$ 1,425,000	Μ	84	92.1	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
122	\$ 5,000,000	F	83	106.8	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
123	\$ 1,000,000	F	83	93.3	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
124	\$ 6,000,000	F	83		American General Life Insurance Company	A+
125	\$ 1,500,000	F	83	118.9	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	BBB+
126	\$ 750,000	М	83	98.6	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
127	\$ 4,000,000	М	83	45.3	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
128	\$ 1,000,000	М	83	91.4	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
129	\$ 2,000,000	F	83	108.5	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	BBB+
130	\$ 1,000,000	М	83	63.2	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
131	\$ 5,000,000	М	83	84.2	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
132	\$ 2,700,000	М	83	71.0	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
133	\$ 7,600,000	F	83	107.5	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
134	\$ 2,500,000	F	83	72.5	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
135	\$ 2,500,000	М	83	68.7	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
136	\$ 3,000,000	М	83	68.7	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company	AA-
137	\$ 500,000	М	83	49.7	Genworth Life Insurance Company	A-
138	\$ 3,000,000	F	83	52.4	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
139	\$ 1,703,959	М	83	76.9	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
140	\$ 500,000	М	83	25.8	Great Southern Life Insurance Company	N/A
141	\$ 1,000,000	М	83	67.3	Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company	BBB+
142	\$ 3,500,000	F	83	117.7	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	BBB+
143	\$10,000,000	F	83	66.4	American National Insurance Company	А
144	\$ 500,000	М	83	32.7	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
145	\$ 5,000,000	М	82	75.1	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
146	\$ 500,000	М	82	110.5	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
147	\$ 2,000,000	М	82		National Life Insurance Company	А
148	\$ 3,000,000	М	82		U.S. Financial Life Insurance Company	A+
149	\$ 4,200,000	F	82	129.2	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
150	\$ 1,900,000	М	82	75.6	American National Insurance Company	А
151	\$ 500,000	М	82	54.5	New York Life Insurance Company	AA+
152	\$ 500,000	М	82	54.5	New York Life Insurance Company	AA+
153	\$ 5,000,000	М	82	83.0	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
154	\$ 250,000	М	82	40.6	Jackson National Life Insurance Company	AA
155	\$ 1,500,000	М	82	84.9	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
156	\$ 3,500,000	F	82	98.9	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
157	\$ 3,000,000	F	82	104.1	MetLife Investors USA Insurance Company	AA-
158	\$ 750,000	М	82	91.8	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-

	Face		Age	LE		S&P
	Amount	Gender	(ALB)	(mo.)	Insurance Company	Rating
159	\$ 4,500,000	М	82	83.8	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
160	\$ 2,275,000	М	82	101.1	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
161	\$10,000,000	М	82	89.0	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
162	\$ 2,000,000	М	82	95.6	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
163	\$ 3,500,000	М	82	82.0	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
164	\$ 6,217,200	F	82	116.5	Phoenix Life Insurance Company	BB-
165	\$ 3,000,000	М	82	70.5	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
166	\$ 1,500,000	М	82	33.0	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
167	\$ 2,000,000	F	82	108.4	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
168	\$ 3,000,000	М	81	77.9	Protective Life Insurance Company	AA-
169	\$ 1,500,000	М	81	77.9	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
170	\$ 2,000,000	F	81	127.5	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
171	\$ 3,500,000	F	81	102.7	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
172	\$ 1,000,000	М	81	78.4	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company	AA-
173	\$ 1,500,000	М	81	65.3	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
174	\$ 5,000,000	М	81	120.8	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
175	\$ 5,000,000	F	81	85.4	Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.)	BBB
176	\$ 1,995,000	F	81	90.7	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
177	\$ 4,000,000	М	81	66.0	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
178	\$ 1,250,000	F	81	70.7	Columbus Life Insurance Company	AA
179	\$10,000,000	М	81	91.2	New York Life Insurance Company	AA+
180	\$ 2,300,000	М	81	28.6	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
181	\$ 2,500,000	F	81	82.0	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
182	\$ 5,000,000	F	81	66.9	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
183	\$ 5,000,000	М	81	85.8	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
184	\$ 2,000,000	М	81	81.1	Ohio National Life Assurance Corporation	AA-
185	\$ 1,000,000	М	81	81.1	Ohio National Life Assurance Corporation	AA-
186	\$ 350,000	М	81	44.6	Reassure America Life Insurance Company	AA
187	\$ 5,000,000	М	81	93.8	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
188	\$ 5,000,000	М	80	103.6	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
189	\$ 8,000,000	М	80	94.6	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
190	\$ 550,000	М	80		Genworth Life Insurance Company	A-
191	\$ 1,680,000	F	80	79.0	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
192	\$ 1,000,000	F	80	109.1	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
193	\$ 1,250,000	М	80	112.7	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
194	\$ 1,000,000	М	80	77.1	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
195	\$ 1,250,000	F	80	86.5	Principal Life Insurance Company	A+
196	\$ 1,000,000	М	80	67.4	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
197	\$ 3,000,000	М	80	111.1	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
198	\$ 2,000,000	М	80	50.1	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
199	\$ 1,750,000	М	80	95.1	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
200	\$ 5,000,000	М	80	84.4	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
201	\$ 250,000	М	80	91.9	American General Life Insurance Company	A+

	Face		Age	LE		S&P
	Amount	Gender	(ALB)	(mo.)	Insurance Company	Rating
202	\$ 10,000,000	M	80	126.6	1 2 ()	AA-
203	\$ 1,210,000	M	80	75.5	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company	AA-
204	\$ 3,000,000	F	80		West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
205	\$ 7,000,000	М	80	99.2	Genworth Life Insurance Company	A-
206	\$ 2,000,000	M	79	36.8	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
207	\$ 6,000,000	M	79	137.3	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
208	\$ 130,000	M	79	60.8	Genworth Life Insurance Company	A-
209	\$ 1,000,000	М	79		Empire General Life Assurance Corporation	AA-
210	\$ 2,000,000	F	79		Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
211	\$ 2,000,000	F	79	100.9	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
212	\$ 3,000,000	М	79	123.7	Principal Life Insurance Company	A+
213	\$ 5,000,000	М	79		AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
214	\$ 5,000,000	М	79		AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
215	\$ 500,000	М	79	59.1	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
216	\$ 3,000,000	М	78	53.3	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
217	\$ 3,000,000	М	78	53.3	Minnesota Life Insurance Company	A+
218	\$ 3,000,000	М	78	53.3	Prudential Life Insurance Company	AA-
219	\$ 3,000,000	М	78	104.0	7 1 7	A-
220	\$ 5,000,000	М	78	91.7	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
221	\$ 5,000,000	М	78	91.7	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
222	\$ 4,000,000	М	78	93.1	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
223	\$ 3,601,500	М	78	108.0	1 2	AA-
224	\$ 1,000,000	М	78		Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.)	BBB
225	\$ 5,000,000	М	78	127.7	Principal Life Insurance Company	A+
226	\$ 5,000,000	М	78	104.2	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
227	\$ 7,000,000	М	78	99.9	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	BBB+
228	\$ 476,574	М	78	85.6	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
229	\$ 2,250,000	М	78	108.4	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
230	\$ 4,300,000	F	78		American National Insurance Company	А
231	\$ 6,000,000	М	78	121.6	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
232	\$ 5,000,000	F	78	131.6	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
233	\$ 750,000	М	78	83.0	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company	AA-
234	\$ 3,000,000	М	78	109.9	Principal Life Insurance Company	A+
235	\$ 5,000,000	М	77	133.4	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
236	\$ 5,000,000	М	77	91.4	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
237	\$ 500,000	М	77	79.9	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
238	\$ 5,000,000	М	77	102.9	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
239	\$ 1,009,467	М	77	60.6	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
240	\$ 4,000,000	М	77	61.7	MetLife Investors USA Insurance Company	AA-
241	\$ 2,500,000	М	77	101.8	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
242	\$ 2,500,000	М	77	101.8	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
243	\$ 5,000,000	М	77	69.0	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
244	\$ 500,000	F	77	131.5	Columbus Life Insurance Company	AA

		ace Amount	Gender	Age (ALB)	LE (mo.)	Insurance Company	S&P Rating
245	\$	3,750,000	М	77	70.7	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
246	\$	1,000,000	M	77	125.2	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
247	\$	2,000,000	F	77	69.7	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
248	\$	1,000,000	М	76	123.8	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
249	\$	2,840,000	М	76	113.5	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
250	\$	750,000	М	76	18.2	U.S. Financial Life Insurance Company	A+
251	\$	1,000,000	F	76	89.7	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
252	\$	1,750,000	М	76	75.8	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
253	\$	5,000,000	М	76	118.7	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
254	\$	600,000	М	76	99.1	Protective Life Insurance Company	AA-
255	\$	3,000,000	М	75	120.3	Protective Life Insurance Company	AA-
256	\$	2,000,000	F	75	136.4	Aviva Life Insurance Company	A-
257	\$	4,000,000	М	75	81.5	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
258	\$	7,000,000	F	75	139.7	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
259	\$	1,000,000	М	75	98.8	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
260	\$	490,620	Μ	75	102.1	Ameritas Life Insurance Corporation	A+
261	\$	5,000,000	М	75	73.7	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
262	\$	5,000,000	Μ	74	166.4	Prudential Life Insurance Company	AA-
263	\$	3,000,000	М	74	69.8	Aviva Life Insurance Company	A-
264	\$	200,000	Μ	74	85.2	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
265	\$	8,000,000	Μ	74	120.1	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
266	\$	5,000,000	М	74	44.4	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	BBB+
267	\$	850,000	М	74	81.2	New York Life Insurance Company	AA+
268	\$	4,000,000	F	73	162.3	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
269	\$	300,000	М	73	26.5	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company	AA-
270	\$	2,000,000	М	73	116.0	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
271	\$	3,000,000	F	73	133.6	1,5	AA-
272	\$	500,000	М	72	47.5	Midland National Life Insurance Company	A+
273	\$	3,000,000	М	72	91.4	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
274	\$	1,000,000	М	72	84.8	United of Omaha Life Insurance Company	A+
275	\$	2,500,000	М	71	115.6	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
276	\$	1,167,000	М	71		Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
277	\$	1,500,000	М	71		Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
278	\$	3,000,000	М	70	93.9	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
279	\$	2,000,000	М	70	121.4	1 2	AA+
280	\$	2,000,000	М	70	121.4	1 2	AA+
281	\$	600,000	М	70		AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
282	\$	500,000	М	69	112.1	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
202	<i>•</i>	5 00.000		60		North American Company for Life And Health	
283	\$	500,000	M	69	112.1	Insurance	A+
284	\$	2,000,000	M	67	134.3	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
285	\$	1,000,000	M	67	134.3	Genworth Life Insurance Company	A-
286	\$	2,000,000	M	67	65.9	MetLife Investors USA Insurance Company	AA-
287	\$	2,000,000	M	67	65.9	MetLife Investors USA Insurance Company	AA-
288	\$ ¢	156,538	F	66 65	126.2	1 7	AA+
289	\$	1,000,000	М	65	63.7	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company	AA-

\$784,651,923

- (1) The insured's age is current as of the measurement date.
- (2) The insured's life expectancy estimate, other than for a small face value insurance policy benefit, is the average of two life expectancy estimates provided by independent third-party medical actuarial underwriting firms actuarially adjusted through the measurement date. Numbers in this column represent months.

23

OTHER RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Extension of Credit Facility

On May 29, 2014, we entered into an Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement with Autobahn (as conduit lender) and DZ Bank (as committed lender and Agent). The amendment was entered into primarily for the purpose of extending the maturity date for borrowings under the agreement to December 31, 2016.

Management Changes

On May 30, 2014, Mr. Jon Gangelhoff, the Chief Financial Officer of the Company, resigned his position and was appointed as the Company's Chief Operating Officer. On the same date, Mr. Paul Siegert resigned his position as President and took the new title of Executive Chairman of the Board, and Mr. Steven F. Sabes resigned his position as Chief Operating Officer and was appointed as the Company's President. The respective employment agreements of Messrs. Gangelhoff, Siegert and Sabes remain unaffected by the change in their offices and duties.

Also on May 30, 2014, the Company appointed Mr. William Acheson as its new Chief Financial Officer. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Acheson served as Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President of Strategic Development for The Homeownership Preservation Foundation, a residential real estate foreclosure prevention organization seeded by GMAC, from 2009 through 2013. Prior to that, Mr. Acheson served as Managing Director of Global Structured Finance and Investments at Merrill Lynch in London, England, from 2007 through 2008. From 1991 to 2007, Mr. Acheson spent his career at GMAC-RESCAP, where he served as Managing Director for a number of business units, concluding his career as Chief Financial Officer of the United Kingdom division from 2005 through 2007. Mr. Acheson earned a Bachelor of Science degree in accounting from the College of St. Thomas in St. Paul, Minnesota, and earned his Certified Public Accountant certificate in 1991 while working for Ernst & Young in Minneapolis, Minnesota.

On May 30, 2014 and in connection with his appointment as Chief Financial Officer, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Acheson. Under the Company's employment agreement with Mr. Acheson, he receives an annualized base salary of \$200,000, subject to periodic review by the Board of Directors. In addition, Mr. Acheson is eligible to receive annual incentive compensation payable in the form of cash and options to purchase the Company's common stock, as determined by the Board of Directors. The employment agreement has a one-year term, which automatically renews unless earlier terminated by the Company.

The employment agreement provides Mr. Acheson with the right to reimbursement for his reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in furtherance of the business and affairs of the Company, four weeks of paid time off, participation in the Company's health insurance program, and participation in certain other benefits such as the Company's 401(k) and flexible spending account program.

The employment agreement provides that Mr. Acheson will receive his 12 months of his base salary, together with reimbursement for health insurance premiums in the event he elects to continue health insurance coverage under COBRA, if he is terminated by the Company without "good cause" or if he voluntarily resigns for "good reason."

The employment agreement contains a non-solicitation covenant that continues for a period of 18 months after any termination of Mr. Acheson's employment, and a non-competition covenant that continues for a 12-month period after any termination of Mr. Acheson's employment.

In connection with entering into the employment agreement, the Company granted Mr. Acheson a ten-year stock option providing Mr. Acheson with the right to purchase up to 65,000 shares of common stock at a purchase price of

\$3.73 per share, with the option immediately vested as to 5,000 shares of common stock. The remainder of the option will vest ratably over a three-year period from the date of grant.

New Director

On June 23, 2014, the Board of Directors of the Company appointed Shawn R. Gensch as a director pursuant to authority under the Company's bylaws. Mr. Gensch is a financial and marketing executive with over 20 years of professional experience. Previously, Mr. Gensch was the Senior Vice President, Marketing, at Target Corporation, a role he held from 2012 through 2013, and in which he led, among other things, that corporation's media strategy, public relations, events and lifestyle marketing efforts. Prior to serving as Senior Vice President, Marketing, Mr. Gensch served as the initial President of Target Bank (2003-2007), served as Vice President, Financial Product Design & Development, at Target Financial Services (2005-2008), and served as Director of New Business Development (2003-2005). Prior to joining Target Corporation in 2003, Mr. Gensch worked in various roles in the structured finance, insurance, banking and related consulting industries, including work as Vice President and Assistant Treasurer of Green Tree Financial Corporation (Conesco Finance), in which role he led that corporation's commercial paper program and asset-based funding conduits, syndicated banking lines and structured-finance securitization efforts across a variety of asset classes. Mr. Gensch began his career with KPMG as an Assurance Accountant in 1992.

Mr. Gensch presently serves as a director of Anser Innovation, a technology company developing Internet-based software and hardware to enhance remote interaction, and is currently also Vice-Chair of the Board of Directors of Avenues for Homeless Youth based in Minneapolis, Minnesota. He previously served on the Board of Directors of the Walker Art Center, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Mr. Gensch graduated from the University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire with a B.S. degree in accounting.

Upon his appointment to the Board of Directors, Mr. Gensch was appointed to serve on the Board's Audit Committee and Compensation Committee.

24

USE OF PROCEEDS - RENEWABLE SECURED DEBENTURES

Our Form S-1 registration statement relating to our public offer and sale of "Renewable Secured Debentures" (File Nos. 333-174887 and 333-174887-01) was declared effective by the SEC on January 31, 2012, and our offering of debentures commenced on such date. The debentures are secured in part by a guarantee from our subsidiary GWG Life and an associated grant of a security interest in substantially all of the assets of GWG Life, which guarantee was also registered as a security under the referenced registration statement. Arque Capital Ltd. serves as our managing broker-dealer and underwriter for the offering.

The registration statement covers up to \$250 million in principal amount of debentures. From January 31, 2012 through June 30, 2014, we sold a total of \$198,030,000 in principal amount of debentures, and incurred associated underwriting commissions, and expenses paid or payable to underwriters in the amount of \$9,463,000 of which \$4,042,000 was amortized. As of June 30, 2014 we had \$160,961,000 of debentures in force plus \$1,973,000 of subscriptions in process and pending, less unamortized selling costs of \$5,421,000, resulting in aggregate net proceeds of \$157,513,000. None of the payments for offering expenses were directly or indirectly made to our directors or officers (or their associates), our affiliates, or to persons owning 10% or more of any class of our equity securities.

Our goal is to use a majority of the net proceeds from the sale of Renewable Secured Debentures to purchase additional life insurance policies in the secondary market. The amount of proceeds we apply towards purchasing additional life insurance policies will depend, among other things, on how long the debentures are offered, the amount of net proceeds that we receive from the sale of debentures being offered, the existence and timing of opportunities to expand our portfolio of insurance policy assets, our cash needs for certain other expenditures we anticipate incurring in connection with this offering and in connection with our business, and the availability of other sources of cash (e.g., our revolving credit facility).

We currently expect to allocate net offering proceeds (assuming the maximum amount of commissions, fees and allowances of 8.00% of the aggregate principal amount of Renewable Secured Debentures sold) as follows, based upon various assumed amounts of gross proceeds that we receive from the proceeds of the offering:

	Gross Offering Proceeds							
	\$250,000,000		\$125,000,000	-	\$62,500,000		\$25,000,000	
Net Offering								%
Proceeds	229,000,000	100%	114,000,000	100%	56,500,000	100%	22,000,000	100
Purchase								%
Policies	178,620,000	78%	82,080,000	72%	38,420,000	68%	10,560,000	48
Payment of								%
Premiums	18,320,000	8%	11,400,000	10%	7,910,000	14%	4,180,000	19
Payment of								%
Principal and								
Interest	16,030,000	7%	11,400,000	10%	5,650,000	10%	4,180,000	19
Other								%
Expenditures	16,030,000	7%	9,120,000	8%	4,520,000	8%	3,080,000	14

Net offering proceeds not immediately applied to the uses summarized above will be invested short-term investments such as money market funds, commercial paper, U.S. Treasury Bills and similar securities investments pending their use.

The actual use of proceeds from the sale of Renewable Secured Debentures from January 31, 2012 to June 30, 2014 is as follows:

	¢ 172 050 000	
Gross Offering Proceeds	\$ 173,858,000	
Net Offering Proceeds	163,420,000	
Held in Short-Term Investments	20,552,000	
Net Offering Proceeds Used	\$ 142,868,000	100%
Purchase Policies	67,805,000	48%
Payment of Premiums	25,753,000	18%
Payment of Principal and Interest	39,091,000	27%
Other Expenditures	10,219,000	7%

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. Table of Contents

	Page
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2014 (unaudited) and	F-2
December 31, 2013	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended	F-3
June 30, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited)	
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the three and six months ended	F-4
June 30, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited)	
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity	F-6
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	June 30, 2014 (unaudited)	December 31, 2013
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 23,059,955	\$ 33,449,793
Restricted cash	2,810,432	5,832,970
Investment in life settlements, at fair value	267,895,692	234,672,794
Other assets	2,822,074	1,424,919
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 296,588,153	\$275,380,476
LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) LIABILITIES		
Revolving credit facility	\$ 79,000,000	\$ 79,000,000
Series I Secured notes payable	28,051,113	29,275,202
Renewable Secured Debentures	157,513,267	131,646,062
Interest payable	9,730,193	7,209,408
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	1,714,418	1,343,952
Deferred taxes, net	5,403,604	7,675,174
TOTAL LIABILITIES	281,412,595	256,149,798
CONVERTIBLE, REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK		
(par value \$0.001; shares authorized 40,000,000; shares issued and outstanding 3,419,767 and 3,368,109; liquidation preference of \$25,648,000 and \$25,261,000 on		
June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	25,309,946	24,722,693
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Common stock (par value \$0.001: shares authorized 210,000,000; shares issued and		
outstanding is 4,562,000 on both June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013)	4,562	4,562
Additional paid-in capital	2,787,494	2,942,000
Accumulated deficit	(12,926,444)	, ,
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)	(12,)20, 444) (10, 134, 388)	(5,492,015)
	(10,134,300)	(3,492,013)
TOTAL LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)	\$296,588,153	\$275,380,476

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (unaudited)

	Three Mon June 30, 2014	nths Ended June 30, 2013	Six Mont June 30, 2014	ths Ended June 30, 2013
REVENUE	2011	2012	2011	2012
Gain on life settlements, net	\$5,484,889	\$7,733,245	\$11,001,094	\$16,073,601
Interest and other income	6,380	3,274,323	13,747	3,441,994
TOTAL REVENUE	5,491,269	11,007,568	11,014,841	19,515,595
EXPENSES				
Employee compensation and benefits	1,178,819	1,063,923	2,147,564	3,001,343
Legal and professional fees	419,337	351,910	826,339	789,200
Interest expense	6,608,043	4,941,942	12,934,591	9,409,157
Other expenses	1,188,479	1,091,132	1,865,784	2,124,277
TOTAL EXPENSES	9,394,678	7,448,907	17,774,278	15,323,977
INCOME (LOSS) BEFOREINCOME TAXES	(3,903,409)	3,558,661	(6,759,437)	4,191,618
INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT)	(1,316,712)	1,801,971	(2,271,570)	2,367,794
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$(2,586,697)	\$1 756 690	\$(4,487,867)	\$1 823 824
	$\psi(2,300,077)$	φ1,750,070	$\varphi(1,107,007)$	φ1,023,024
Accretion of preferred stock to liquidation value	(101,271)	(208,877)	(226,984)	(466,640)
LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON				
SHAREHOLDERS	\$(2,687,968)	\$1,547,813	\$(4,714,851)	\$1,357,184
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE				
Basic	\$(0.59)	\$0.31	\$(1.03)	\$0.28
Diluted	\$(0.59)	\$0.21	\$(1.03)	\$0.19
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING	4.560.000	4 00 4 00 4	4.5(0.000	4 77 4 100
Basic	4,562,000	4,984,994	4,562,000	4,774,102
Diluted	4,562,000	7,511,099	4,562,000	7,290,253

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)

	Three Months June 30, 2014	Ended June 30, 2013	Six Months En June 30, 2014	ded June 30, 2013
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Net income (loss)	\$(2,586,697)	\$1,756,690	\$(4,487,867)	\$1,823,824
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cas	h			
flows from operating activities:				
Gain on life settlements	(10,852,425)			(18,943,905)
Amortization of deferred financing and issuance cost		837,133	1,707,664	1,930,880
Deferred income taxes	(1,316,712)	1,802,920	(2,271,570)	2,366,794
Convertible, redeemable preferred stock dividends				
payable	196,991	178,235	389,331	261,937
(Increase) decrease in operating assets:				
Other assets	(997,073)	(4,164,004)	(1,248,919)	(3,614,132)
Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	1,890,337	402,739	3,168,163	1,694,797
NET CASH FLOWS USED IN OPERATING				
ACTIVITIES	(12,311,572)	(6,635,467)	(24,954,536)	(14,479,805)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Investment in life settlements	(2,608,232)			(12,885,993)
Proceeds from settlement of life settlements	68,500	1,382,152	68,500	2,872,152
NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING				
ACTIVITIES	(2,539,732)	(1,590,792)	(10,810,935)	(10,013,841)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Net proceeds from revolving credit facility	-	-	-	8,000,000
Payments for redemption of Series I Secured notes				
payable	(670,621)	(2,423,052)	(1,538,924)	(3,930,876)
Proceeds from issuance of renewable secured				
debentures	14,868,830	18,588,867	33,234,487	42,439,661
Payments for redemption and issuance of renewable				
secured debentures	(4,393,523)			(5,336,571)
Proceeds from restricted cash	43,330	2,160,333	3,022,537	(2,370,775)
Repurchase of common stock	-	(3,252,400)	-	(3,252,400)
Issuance (redemptions) of convertible, redeemable		(1 - - - -		
preferred stock	(20,056)	(125,135)	(20,056)	(311,804)
NET CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY	0.00-0.00			
FINANCING ACTIVITIES	9,827,960	11,915,310	25,375,633	35,237,235
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH	(5.000.044)	0 (00 051	(10,000,000)	10 740 500
EQUIVALENTS	(5,023,344)	3,689,051	(10,389,838)	10,743,589

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS				
BEGINNING OF PERIOD	28,083,299	34,551,582	33,449,793	27,497,044
END OF PERIOD	\$23,059,955	\$38,240,633	\$23,059,955	\$38,240,633

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS – CONTINUED (unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Mon	ths Ended
	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,
	2014	2013	2014	2013
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW				
INFORMATION				
Interest paid	\$3,531,000	\$3,214,000	\$7,781,000	\$6,512,000
NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING				
ACTIVITIES				
Series I secured notes:				
Non-cash conversion of accrued interest and commissions				
payable to principal	\$40,000	\$86,000	\$105,000	\$150,000
Renewable secured debentures:				
Non-cash conversion of accrued interest and commission				
payable to principal	\$162,000	\$59,000	\$282,000	\$100,000
Convertible, redeemable preferred stock				
Non-cash conversion of dividends payable	\$193,000	\$178,000	\$380,000	\$262,000
Non-cash accretion of convertible, redeemable preferred				
stock to redemption value	\$101,000	\$209,000	\$227,000	\$467,000
Investment in life settlements included in accounts payable	\$75,000	\$262,000	\$75,000	\$262,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Common Shares	Common Stock (par)	Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total Equity
Balance, December 31, 2012	4,994,500	4,995	6,976,838	(8,243,622)	(1,261,789)
Net loss	-	-	-	(194,955)	(194,955)
Repurchase of common stock	(432,500)	(433)	(3,251,967)	-	(3,252,400)
Issuance of stock options	-	-	23,753	-	23,753
Accretion of preferred stock to liquidation value	-	-	(806,624)	-	(806,624)
Balance, December 31, 2013	4,562,000	\$ 4,562	\$ 2,942,000	\$ (8,438,577)	\$ (5,492,015)
Net loss	-	-	-	(4,487,867)	(4,487,867)
Issuance of stock options	-	-	72,478	-	72,478
Accretion of preferred stock to liquidation value	-	-	(226,984)	-	(226,984)
Balance, June 30, 2014	4,562,000	4,562	2,787,494	(12,926,444)	(10,134,388)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

(1) Nature of business and summary of significant accounting policies

Nature of business - GWG Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries, located in Minneapolis, Minnesota, facilitates the purchase of life insurance policies for its own investment portfolio through its wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Life, LLC (GWG Life), and its subsidiaries, GWG Trust (Trust), GWG DLP Funding II, LLC (DLP II) and its wholly owned subsidiary, GWG DLP Master Trust II (the Trust II). Our wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Broker Services, LLC (Broker Services), was formed to earn fees for brokering policy transactions between market participants. Our wholly owned subsidiary United Lending, LLC (United Lending) and its wholly owned subsidiary United Lending SPV, LLC (United Lending SPV) were formed to finance life settlement premiums and policy loans. All of these entities are legally organized in Delaware. Unless the context otherwise requires or we specifically so indicate, all references in this prospectus supplement to "we", "us", "our", "our Company", "GWG", or the "Company" refer to these entities collectively. GWG Member, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary formed November 2010 to facilitate the acquisition of policies, has not commenced operations as of June 30, 2014.

Basis of presentation - The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2014, the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and the related information presented in these notes, have been prepared by management in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X, without audit. To the extent that information and notes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements are contained in or are consistent with the consolidated audited financial statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, such information and notes have not been duplicated herein. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of results have been included. The condensed consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2013 was derived from the audited consolidated financial statements as of that date. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2014. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Use of estimates - The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The Company regularly evaluates estimates and assumptions. The Company bases its estimates and assumptions on current facts, historical experience, and various other factors that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the accrual of costs and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. The actual results experienced by the Company may differ materially and adversely from the Company's estimates. To the extent there are material differences between the estimates and the actual results, future results of operations will be affected. The most significant estimates with regard to these consolidated financial statements relates to (1) the determination of the assumptions used in estimating the fair value of the investment in life insurance policies, and (2) the value of deferred tax assets and liabilities.

Life settlements - ASC 325-30, Investments in Insurance Contracts, allows a reporting entity the election to account for its investments in life settlements using either the investment method or the fair value method. The election shall be made on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable. Under the investment method, an investor shall

recognize the initial investment at the purchase price plus all initial direct costs. Continuing costs (policy premiums and direct external costs, if any) to keep the policy in force shall be capitalized. Under the fair value method, an investor shall recognize the initial investment at the purchase price. In subsequent periods, the investor shall remeasure the investment at fair value in its entirety at each reporting period and shall recognize the change in fair value in current period income net of premiums paid. The Company uses the fair value method to account for all life settlements.

The Company recognizes realized gains (revenue) from life settlement contracts upon one of the two following events:

- 1) Receipt of death notice or verified obituary of insured
- 2) Sale of policy and filing of change of ownership forms and receipt of payment

The Company recognizes the difference between the death benefits and carrying values of the policy when an insured event has occurred and the Company determines that settlement and ultimate collection of the death benefits is realizable and reasonably assured. Revenue from a transaction must meet both criteria in order to be recognized. In an event of a sale of a policy the Company recognizes gain or loss as the difference between the sale price and the carrying value of the policy on the date of the receipt of payment on such sale.

Deposits and initial direct costs advanced on unsettled policy acquisitions are recorded as other assets until policy ownership has been transferred to the Company. Such deposits and direct cost advances were \$0 and \$201,000 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Deferred financing and issuance costs – Costs incurred to obtain financing under the revolving credit facility, as described in note 6, have been capitalized and are amortized using the straight-line method over the term of the revolving credit facility. Amortization of deferred financing costs was \$89,000 for both the three-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and \$179,000 and \$276,000 for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The future amortization is expected to be \$179,000 for the six months ending December 31, 2014. The Series I Secured notes payable, as described in note 7, are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The Renewable Secured Debentures, as described in note 8, are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The Series A preferred stock, as described in note 9, is reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions, including the fair value of warrants issued, and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The Series A preferred stock, as described in note 9, is reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions, including the fair value of warrants issued, and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the interest method as interest expense over the three-year redemption period.

Earnings (loss) per share - Basic per share earnings (loss) attributable to non-redeemable interests is calculated using the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated based on the potential dilutive impact, if any, of the Company's convertible, redeemable preferred stock, and outstanding warrants, and stock options.

Subsequent events - Subsequent events are events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but before consolidated financial statements are issued. The Company recognizes in the consolidated financial statements the effects of all subsequent events that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing the consolidated financial statements. The Company's consolidated financial statements do not recognize subsequent events that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose after the balance sheet date and before the consolidated financial statements are available to be issued. The Company evaluates subsequent events and transactions that occur after the balance sheet date up to the date that the consolidated financial statements are filed for potential recognition or disclosure.

Recently adopted pronouncements - Pronouncements issued by the FASB or other authoritative accounting standards groups with future effective dates are either not applicable or are not expected to be significant to the Company.

(2) Restrictions on cash

The Company is required by its lenders to maintain collection and escrow accounts. These accounts are used to fund the acquisition, pay annual premiums of insurance policies, pay interest and other charges under the revolving credit facility, and collect policy benefits. DZ Bank AG, as agent for Autobahn Funding Company, LLC, the lender for the revolving credit facility as described in note 6, authorizes the disbursements from these accounts. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 there was a balance of \$2,810,000, and \$5,833,000, respectively, maintained in these restricted cash accounts.

(3) Investment in life insurance policies

The life insurance policies (Level 3 fair value measurements) are valued based on unobservable inputs that are significant to the overall fair value measurement. Changes in the fair value of these instruments are recorded in gain or loss on life insurance policies in the consolidated statements of operations (net of the cash premiums paid on the policies). The fair value is determined on a discounted cash flow basis that incorporates life expectancy assumptions. Life expectancy reports have been obtained from widely accepted life expectancy providers. The discount rate incorporates current information about market interest rates, the credit exposure to the insurance company that issued the life insurance policy and our estimate of the risk premium an investor in the policy would require. As a result of management's analysis, discount rates of 11.56% and 11.69% were applied to the portfolio as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

A summary of the Company's life insurance policies accounted for under the fair value method and their estimated maturity dates, based on remaining life expectancy, is as follows:

		As of June 30, 2	014	As	As of December 31,		
	Number			Number			
Years Ending December	of	Estimated		of	Estimated		
31,	Contracts	Fair Value	Face Value	Contracts	Fair Value	Face Value	
2014	-	\$ -	\$-	-	\$ -	\$ -	
2015	3	4,781,000	6,000,000	4	5,065,000	6,750,000	
2016	11	11,035,000	16,450,000	8	8,174,000	13,750,000	
2017	26	33,191,000	59,716,000	25	33,345,000	63,916,000	
2018	29	35,358,000	70,017,000	33	37,243,000	80,318,000	
2019	44	44,279,000	109,795,000	34	32,844,000	89,295,000	
2020	38	35,833,000	91,224,000	34	27,741,000	75,644,000	
Thereafter	138	103,419,000	431,450,000	125	90,261,000	410,975,000	
Totals	289	267,896,000	784,652,000	263	\$234,673,000	\$740,648,000	

The Company recognized policy benefits of \$300,000 and \$6,600,000 during the three-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, related to policies with a carrying value of \$68,000 and \$1,382,000, respectively. During the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company recorded realized gains of \$232,000 and \$5,218,000 on such policies. The Company recognized policy benefits of \$300,000 and \$10,600,000, respectively, related to policies with carrying value of \$68,000 and \$2,872,000, respectively. The Company recorded realized gains of \$232,000 and \$7,728,000 on such policies.

Reconciliation of gain on life settlements:

	Three Months Ended June					
	30),	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2014	2013	2014	2013		
Change in fair value	\$10,852,000	\$7,449,000	\$22,211,000	\$18,944,000		
Premiums and other annual fees	(5,599,000)	(4,934,000)	(11,442,000)	(10,598,000)		
Policy maturities	232,000	5,218,000	232,000	7,728,000		
Gain on life settlements, net	\$5,485,000	\$7,733,000	\$11,001,000	\$16,074,000		

The estimated expected premium payments to maintain the above life insurance policies in force through 2018, assuming no mortalities, are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,	
Six months ending December 31,2014	\$ 12,213,000
2015	26,693,000
2016	29,402,000
2017	32,847,000
2018	35,767,000
	\$ 136,922,000

Management anticipates funding the estimated premium payments as noted above with proceeds from the DZ Bank revolving credit facility and through additional debt and equity financing as well as from cash proceeds from maturities of life insurance policies. The proceeds of these capital sources are also intended to be used for the purchase, financing, and maintenance of additional life insurance policies.

(4) Fair value definition and hierarchy

ASC 820 establishes a hierarchal disclosure framework which prioritizes and ranks the level of market price observability used in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. Market price observability is affected by a number of factors, including the type of investment, the characteristics specific to the investment and the state of the marketplace including the existence and transparency of transactions between market participants. Assets and liabilities with readily available active quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices in an orderly market generally will have a higher degree of market price observability and a lesser degree of judgment used in measuring fair value. ASC 820 establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (i.e., the "exit price") in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the observability of inputs as follows:

Level 1 - Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail a significant degree of judgment.

Level 2 - Valuations based on one or more quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 - Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement.

The availability of observable inputs can vary by types of assets and liabilities and is affected by a wide variety of factors, including, for example, whether instrument is established in the marketplace, the liquidity of markets and other characteristics particular to the transaction. To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by management in determining fair value is greatest for assets and liabilities categorized in Level 3.

Level 3 Valuation Process

The estimated fair value of the Company's life settlements are determined on a quarterly basis by the Company's portfolio management committee, taking into consideration changes in discount rate assumptions, estimated premium payments and life expectancy assumptions, as well as any changes in economic and other relevant conditions. These inputs are then used to estimate the discounted cash flows using the MAPS probabilistic portfolio pricing model, which estimates the cash flows using various different probabilities and scenarios. The valuation process includes a review by senior management as of each valuation date. Management also engages a third party expert to independently test the accuracy of the valuations using the inputs provided by management.

Life insurance policies represent financial instruments recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. The following table reconciles the beginning and ending fair value of the Company's Level 3 investments in life insurance policies for the three and six-month periods ending June 30, 2014 and 2013, as follows:

	Three months	ended June 30,	Six months ended June 30,		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Beginning balance	\$254,504,000	\$185,020,000	\$234,673,000	\$164,317,000	
Purchases	2,608,000	2,805,000	11,080,000	13,503,000	
Maturities (cash in excess of carrying value)	(68,000)	(1,382,000)	(68,000)	(2,872,000)	
Net change in fair value	10,852,000	7,449,000	22,211,000	18,944,000	
Ending balance (June 30)	\$267,896,000	\$193,892,000	\$267,896,000	\$193,892,000	

The fair value of a portfolio of life insurance policies is based on information available to the Company at the reporting date. Fair value is based upon a discounted cash flow model that incorporates life expectancy estimate assumptions. Life expectancy estimates are obtained from independent, third-party, widely accepted life expectancy estimate providers at policy acquisition. The life expectancy values of each insured, as determined at policy

acquisition, are rolled down monthly for the passage of time by the MAPS actuarial software the Company uses for ongoing valuation of its portfolio of life insurance policies. The discount rate incorporates current information about discount rates applied by other reporting companies owning portfolios of life insurance policies, discount rates observed in the life insurance secondary market, market interest rates, the credit exposure to the insurance company that issued the life insurance policy and management's estimate of the risk premium a purchaser would require to receive the future cash flows derived from our portfolio of life insurance policies.

On January 22, 2013, one of the independent medical actuarial underwriting firms we utilize, 21st Services, announced advancements in its underwriting methodology, resulting in revised estimated life expectancy mortality tables for life settlement transactions. We were advised by 21st Services that the changes are very granular and relate to both specific medical conditions and lifestyles of insureds. These changes were the result of the application of additional medical information gathered by 21st Services over a period of time, and which were applied to the inputs and methodologies used to develop the actuarial life expectancies. While we do not believe these revised methodologies indicate the previous estimated life expectancies were inaccurate, we believe the revised methodologies provide additional information that should be considered in updating our estimate of the life expectancies of the insureds within our portfolio. Based upon our evaluation and analysis of data made available by 21st Services, as well as information regarding the insureds within our portfolio, we have estimated the impact of the changes in 21st Services' methodologies for determining life expectancies on a policy-by-policy basis within our portfolio as of December 31, 2012 and applied such changes to the life expectancy inputs used to estimate fair value. We have adjusted the original life expectancies provided by 21st Services based on four factors, the impact of each analyzed individually for each insured in the GWG portfolio. The four factors are gender, anti-selection, age, and primary impairment. GWG applied this set of adjustments to all 21st Services life expectancy reports used in valuation of the portfolio as of December 31, 2012. At that time, the portfolio contained 211 policies on 194 insured lives. Of those 211 policies, 199 were valued using a 21st Services life expectancy report as part of the pricing life expectancy estimate calculation. While the analysis and adjustments were applied on an individual policy basis, the result was an average overall increase in the original life expectancy estimates of 8.67%. We have a standard practice of obtaining two third-party life expectancy estimates for each policy in our portfolio. As a result, the effective change in life expectancy on the portfolio as of December 31, 2012 was an average of approximately 4.33%, which resulted in an aggregate decrease in the fair value of our life settlements portfolio of \$12.4 million as of December 31, 2012. Life expectancy reports by their very nature are estimates.

During 2013, we sought to update our life expectancy estimates from all four of the major independent third-party medical-actuarial underwriting firms (including 21st Services) with updated medical records on all of the 211 policies we originally used a life expectancy report from 21st Services. As of December 31, 2013, we had successfully procured new life expectancy reports on 176 of the 211 policies owned as of December 31, 2012. We experienced ten mortalities in 2013 for which no updated life expectancy reports were necessary. We also had two small face policies in our portfolio for which we did not update life expectancy reports. Accordingly, as of June 30, 2014 we had updated our life expectancy estimates based on updated life expectancy reports on all but 12 policies (covering 10 people) in our portfolio that we are still seeking to update.

In order to assess the reasonableness of our adjustments, made effective December 31, 2012, we compared the life expectancy estimates including any adjustments used on December 31, 2012 to the updated life expectancy estimates used on December 31, 2013. Because an additional year has elapsed since the December 31, 2012 date, the older set of adjusted life expectancy estimates were "rolled down" to shorter numbers based on an actuarial calculation to make them comparable to the updated life expectancy estimates used on December 31, 2013. The average amount of roll down to account for the 12-month passage of time was eight and one-half months.

We concluded that the adjustments we made a year ago were reasonable when we the compared the rolled down life expectancy estimates from December 31, 2012 to the updated life expectancy estimates on December 31, 2013. The average rolled down life expectancy estimate from December 31, 2012 is 80.9 months. The average updated life expectancy estimate obtained from updated life expectancy reports as of December 31, 2013 is 79.4 months, shorter by one and one-half months. We see no need to make any further adjustments to our life expectancy estimates at this

time.

The fair value of life insurance policies is estimated using present value calculations of estimated cash flows based on the data specific to each individual life insurance policy. Estimated future policy premium payments are calculated based on the terms of the policy and the premium payment history. The following summarizes the unobservable inputs utilized in estimating the fair value of the portfolio of life insurance policies:

		As of
	As of	December
	June 30,	31,
	2014	2013
Weighted average age of insured	82.5	82.1
Weighted average life expectancy, months*	82.2	87.0
Average face amount per policy	\$ 2,715,000	\$ 2,816,000
Discount rate	11.56%	11.69%
* Standard life expectancy as adjusted for insured's specific circumstances.		

These assumptions are, by their nature, inherently uncertain and the effect of changes in estimates may be significant. The techniques used in estimating the present value of estimated cash flows are derived from valuation techniques generally used in the industry that include inputs for the asset that are not based on observable market data. The extent to which the fair value could reasonably vary in the near term has been quantified by evaluating the effect of changes in significant underlying assumptions used to estimate the fair value. If the life expectancy estimates were increased or decreased by four and eight months on each outstanding policy and the discount factors were increased or decreased by 1% and 2%, while all other variables are held constant, the fair value of the investment in life insurance policies would increase or (decrease) by the amounts summarized below:

	Changes in fair value of life insurance policies					
	plus 8	minus	plus	minus		
Change in life expectancy estimates	months	8 months	4 months	4 months		
June 30, 2014	\$ (38,249,000)	\$40,171,000	\$(19,368,000)	\$19,856,000		
December 31, 2013	\$ (34,382,000)	\$36,152,000	\$(17,417,000)	\$17,865,000		
Change in discount rate	plus 2%	minus 2%	plus 1%	minus 1%		
June 30, 2014	\$ (24,381,000)	\$28,624,000	\$(12,666,000)	\$13,723,000		
December 31, 2013	\$ (22,944,000)	\$27,063,000	\$(11,933,000)	\$12,959,000		

Other Fair Value Considerations

Carrying value of receivables, prepaid expenses, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value due to their short-term maturities and low credit risk. The estimated fair value of the Company's Series I Secured notes payable and Renewable Secured Debentures is approximately \$192,177,000 based on a weighted-average market interest rate of 7.12% based on an income approach, the combined face value of these notes is \$189,603,000 as of June 30, 2014. The carrying value of the revolving credit facility reflects interest charged at the commercial paper rate plus an applicable margin. The margin represents our credit risk, and the strength of the portfolio of life insurance policies collateralizing the debt. The overall rate reflects market, and the carrying value of the revolver approximates fair value. All of the financial instruments are level 3 fair value measurements.

The Company has issued warrants to purchase common stock in connection with the issuance of its convertible, redeemable preferred stock. Warrants were determined by the Company as permanent equity. The fair value measurements associated with the warrants, measured at issuance represent level 3 instruments.

As of June 30, 2014:

	Warrants	Fair v	value	Risk		
Month issued	issued	per s	hare	free rate	Volatility	Term
December 2011	68,937	\$	0.22	0.42%	25.25%	3 years
March 2012	38,130	\$	0.52	0.38%	36.20%	3 years
June 2012	161,841	\$	1.16	0.41%	47.36%	3 years

July 2012	144,547	\$ 1.16	0.41%	47.36%	3 years
September 2012	2,500	\$ 0.72	0.31%	40.49%	3 years
	415,954				

Volatility is based upon the weekly percentage change in the stock price of selected comparable insurance companies. In June 2012, we evaluated the comparable companies used, and made certain changes to those used. The percentage change is calculated on the average price of those selected stocks at the weekly close of business for the year preceding the balance sheet date. We compare annual volatility based on this weekly information.

(5) Notes receivable from related parties

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had receivables totaling \$5,000,000 due from an affiliate, Opportunity Finance, LLC, which were fully reserved. Opportunity Finance ceased operations in 2008.

(6) Credit facilities

Revolving credit facility – Autobahn Funding Company LLC

On July 15, 2008, DLP II and United Lending entered into a revolving credit facility pursuant to a Credit and Security Agreement (Agreement) with Autobahn Funding Company LLC (Autobahn), providing the Company with a maximum borrowing amount of \$100,000,000. Autobahn is a commercial paper conduit that issues commercial paper to investors in order to provide funding to DLP II and United Lending. DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank (DZ Bank) acts as the agent for Autobahn. The original Agreement was to expire on July 15, 2013. On January 29, 2013, Holdings, together with GWG Life and DLP II, entered into an Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement with Autobahn, extending the facility expiration date to December 31, 2014, and removing United Lending as a party to the amended and restated agreement. On May 29, 2014, Holdings, together with GWG Life and DLP II, entered into an Amended and Restated Credit and DLP II, entered into an Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement with a Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement with GWG Life and DLP II, entered into for the purpose of extending the maturity date for borrowings under the agreement to December 31, 2016. The amount outstanding under this facility as of both June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was \$79,000,000.

The Agreement requires DLP II to pay, on a monthly basis, interest at the commercial paper rate plus an applicable margin, as defined in the Agreement. The effective rate was 6.19% at both at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The weighted average effective interest rate was 6.20% and 6.26% (excluding the unused line fee) for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Agreement also requires payment of an unused line fee on the unfunded amount under the revolving credit facility. The note is secured by substantially all of DLP II assets which consist primarily of life insurance policies.

The Agreement has certain financial and nonfinancial covenants. The Company was in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The Agreement generally prohibits the Company from:

changing its corporate name, offices, and jurisdiction of incorporation

changing any deposit accounts or payment instructions to insurers;

changing any operating policies and practices such that it would be reasonably likely to adversely affect the collectability of any asset in any material respect;

merging or consolidating with, or selling all or substantially all of its assets to, any third party;

T selling any collateral or creating or permitting to exist any adverse claim upon any collateral;

engaging in any other business or activity than that contemplated by the Agreement;

incurring or guaranteeing any debt for borrowed money;

amending the Company's certificate of incorporation or bylaws, making any loans or advances to, investments in, or paying any dividends to, any person unless both before and after any such loan, advance, investment or dividend there exists no actual event of default, potential event of default or termination event;

removing an independent director on the board of directors except for cause or with the consent of the lender; or

making payment on or issuing any subsidiary secured notes or debentures, or amending any agreements respecting such notes or debentures, if an event of default, potential event of default or termination event exists or would arise from any such action.

In addition, the Company has agreed to maintain (i) a positive consolidated net income on a Non-GAAP basis (as defined and calculated under the Agreement) for each complete fiscal year and (ii) a tangible net worth on a Non-GAAP basis (again, as defined and calculated under the Agreement) of not less than \$15 million, and (iii) maintain a borrowing base surplus or cash cushion sufficient to pay 12 months of premiums and facility fees.

Consolidated net income and tangible net worth as of and for the four quarters ended June 30, 2014, as calculated under the agreement, was \$19,543,000 and \$63,825,000 respectively.

Advances under the Agreement are subject to a borrowing base formula, which limits the availability of advances on the borrowing base calculation based on attributes of policies pledged to the facility. Over-concentration of policies by insurance carrier, over-concentration of policies by insurance carriers with ratings below a AA- rating, and the premiums and facility fees reserve are the three primary factors with the potential of limiting availability of funds on the facility. Total funds available for additional borrowings under the borrowing base formula criteria at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, were \$5,824,000 and \$3,937,000 respectively.

On July 15, 2008, Holdings delivered a performance guaranty in favor of Autobahn pursuant to which it guaranteed the obligations of GWG Life, in its capacity as the seller and master servicer, under the Credit and Security Agreement and related documents. On January 29, 2013 and in connection with the Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement, Holdings delivered a reaffirmation of its performance guaranty. The obligations of Holdings under the performance guaranty and subsequent reaffirmation do not extend to the principal and interest owed by DLP II as the borrower under the credit facility.

(7) Series I Secured notes payable

Series I Secured notes payable have been issued in conjunction with the GWG Series I Secured notes private placement memorandum dated August 25, 2009 (last revised November 15, 2010). On June 14, 2011, the Company closed the offering to additional investors, however, existing investors may elect to continue advancing amounts outstanding upon maturity subject to the Company's option. Series I Secured notes have maturity dates ranging from six months to seven years with fixed interest rates varying from 5.65% to 9.55% depending on the term of the note. Interest is payable monthly, quarterly, annually or at maturity depending on the terms of the note. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the weighted-average interest rate of Series I Secured notes was 8.36% and 8.35%, respectively. The notes are secured by assets of GWG Life. The principal amount outstanding under these Series I Secured notes was \$28,642,000 and \$29,744,000 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The difference between the amount outstanding on the Series I Secured notes and the carrying amount on the consolidated balance sheet is due to netting of unamortized deferred issuance costs. Overall, interest expense includes amortization of deferred financing and issuance costs of \$134,000 and \$301,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, and \$161,000 and \$217,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. Future expected amortization of deferred financing costs is \$591,000 over the next six years.

On November 15, 2010, Jon Sabes and Steve Sabes pledged their ownership interests in the Company to the Series I Trust as security for advances under the Series I Trust arrangement.

The use of proceeds from the issuances of Series I Secured notes was limited to the following: (1) payment of commissions of Series I Secured note sales, (2) purchase life insurance policies, (3) pay premiums of life insurance policies, (4) pay principal and interest to Senior Liquidity Provider (DZ Bank), (5) pay portfolio or note operating fees or costs, (6) pay trustee (Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.), (7) pay servicer and collateral fees, (8) pay principal and interest on Series I Secured notes, (9) make distributions to equity holders for tax liability related to portfolio, (10) purchase interest rate caps, swaps, or hedging instruments, (11) pay GWG Series I Trustee fees, and (12) pay offering expenses.

On November 1, 2011, GWG entered into a Third Amended and Restated Note Issuance and Security Agreement with Lord Securities Corporation after receiving majority approval from the holders of Series I Secured notes. Among other things, the amended and restated agreement modified the use of proceeds and certain provisions relating to the distribution of collections and subordination of cash flow. Under the amended and restated agreement, GWG is no

longer restricted as to its use of proceeds or subject to restrictions on certain distributions of collections and subordination of cash flows. Under the amended and restated agreement, GWG may extend the maturity of Series I Secured notes of a six-month term for up to two additional six-month terms, and Series I Secured notes of a one year term for up to six months.

Future contractual maturities of Series I Secured notes payable at June 30, 2014 are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,	
Six months ending December 31,2014	\$ 4,586,000
2015	10,718,000
2016	8,092,000
2017	4,428,000
2018	754,000
Thereafter	64,000
	\$28,642,000

(8) Renewable Secured Debentures

The Company has registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission, effective January 2012, the offer and sale of \$250,000,000 of secured debentures. Renewable Secured Debentures have maturity dates ranging from six months to seven years with fixed interest rates varying from 4.75% to 9.50% depending on the term of the note. Interest is payable monthly, annually or at maturity depending on the terms of the debenture. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the weighted-average interest rate of Renewable Secured Debentures was 7.51% and 7.53%, respectively. The debentures are secured by assets of GWG Life and GWG Holdings. The amount outstanding under these Renewable Secured Debentures was \$160,961,000 and \$134,891,000 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The difference between the amount outstanding on the Renewable Secured Debentures and the carrying amount on the consolidated balance sheets is due to netting of unamortized deferred issuance costs and cash receipts for new issuances in process. Amortization of deferred issuance costs was \$908,000 and \$1,755,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, and \$344,000 and \$622,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company has issued approximately an additional \$5,379,000 in principal amount of these Renewable Secured Debentures.

The use of proceeds from the issuances of Renewable Secured Debentures is limited to the following: (1) payment of commissions on sales of Renewable Secured Debentures, (2) payment of offering expenses, (3) purchase of life insurance policies, (4) Payment of premiums on life insurance policies, (5) payment of principal and interest on Renewable Secured Debentures, (6) payment of portfolio operations expenses, and (7) for general working capital.

Future contractual maturities of Renewable Secured Debentures at June 30, 2014 are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,	
Six months ending December 31, 2014	\$ 27,838,000
2015	47,254,000
2016	37,660,000
2017	16,949,000
2018	8,314,000
Thereafter	22,946,000
	\$ 160,961,000

The Company entered into an indenture effective October 19, 2011 with Holdings as obligor, GWG Life as guarantor, and Bank of Utah as trustee for the benefit of the debenture holders. The Indenture has certain financial and nonfinancial covenants. The Company was in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

(9) Convertible, redeemable preferred stock

The Company began offering 3,333,333 shares of convertible redeemable preferred stock (Series A preferred stock) for sale to accredited investors in a private placement on July 31, 2011. The offering of Series A preferred stock concluded on September 2, 2012 and resulted in 3,278,000 shares being issued for gross consideration of \$24,582,000. As of June 30, 2014, 220,000 shares have been issued as a result of conversion of \$1,543,000 in dividends into shares of Series A preferred stock. The Series A preferred stock was sold at an offering price of \$7.50 per share. Series A preferred stock has a preferred yield of 10% per annum, and each share has the right to convert into 0.75 shares of the Company's common stock. The Company may elect to automatically convert the Series A preferred stock to common stock as described below. Series A preferred shareholders also received three-year warrants to purchase, at an exercise price per share of \$12.50, one share of common stock for every 40 shares of Series A preferred stock dated July 31, 2011, the Company has agreed to permit preferred shareholders to sell their shares back to the Company for the stated value of \$7.50 per share, plus accrued dividends, according to the following schedule:

Up to 33% of the holder's unredeemed shares one year after issuance: Up to 66% of the holder's unredeemed shares two years after issuance; and Up to 100% of the holder's unredeemed shares three years after issuance.

The Company's obligation to redeem Series A preferred shares will terminate upon the Company completing a registration of its common stock with the SEC. The Company may redeem the Series A preferred shares at a price equal to 110% of their liquidation preference (\$7.50 per share) at any time after December 15, 2012. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had redeemed an aggregate of 86,000 shares of Series A preferred stock.

At the election of the Company, the Series A preferred shares may be automatically converted into the common stock of the Company in the event of either (1) a registered offering of the Company's common stock with the SEC aggregating gross proceeds of at least \$5.0 million at a price equal to or greater than \$11.00 per share of common stock, or (2) the consent of shareholders holding at least a majority of the then-outstanding shares of Series A preferred stock. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had issued 3,420,000 preferred shares resulting in gross consideration of \$25,536,000 (including cash proceeds, conversion of Series I Secured notes and accrued interest on Series I notes, and conversion of preferred dividends payable). The Company incurred Series A preferred stock issuance costs of \$2,838,000, of which \$2,612,000 was amortized to additional paid in capital through June 30, 2014, resulting in a carrying amount of \$25,310,000.

The Company determined that the grant date fair value of the outstanding warrants attached to the Series A preferred stock was \$395,000 for warrants outstanding as of June 30, 2014. The Company may redeem outstanding warrants prior to their expiration, at a price of \$0.01 per share upon 30 days written notice to the investors at any time after (i) the Company has completed a registration of its common stock with the SEC and (ii) the volume of weighted-average sale price per share of common stock equals or exceeds \$14.00 per share for ten consecutive trading days ending on the third business day prior to proper notice of such redemption. Total warrants outstanding as of June 30, 2014, were 415,955 with a weighted-average remaining life of 0.85 years. Total warrants outstanding at December 31, 2013, were 415,955 with a weighted-average remaining life of 1.34 years. As of June 30, 2014, none of these warrants have been exercised.

Dividends on the Series A preferred stock may be paid in either cash or additional shares of Series A preferred stock at the election of the holder and approval of the Company. The dividends are reported as an expense and included in the caption interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations. The Company declared and accrued dividends of \$638,000 and \$628,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$1,276,000 and \$1,263,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, pursuant to a board resolution declaring the dividend. 28,000 and 25,000 shares of Series A preferred stock were issued in lieu of cash dividends in the three month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and 54,000 and 37,000 shares of Series A preferred stock were issued in lieu of cash dividends in the six month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The shares issued in lieu of cash dividends were issued at \$7.00 per share. As of June 30, 2014, Holdings has \$638,000 of accrued preferred dividends which were paid or converted to shares of Series A preferred stock on July 15, 2014.

(10) Income taxes

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company recorded income tax benefit of \$1,317,000 and \$2,272,000, or 33.7% and 33.6%, respectively, of income before taxes, compared to the recognition of an income tax expense of \$1,802,000 and \$2,368,000, or 50.6% and 56.5%, respectively, of income before taxes, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. The primary differences between the Company's June 30, 2014 effective tax rate and the statutory federal rate are the accrual of non-deductible preferred stock dividend expense of \$1,276,000, state taxes, and other non-deductible expenses.

The most significant temporary differences between GAAP net income and taxable net income are the treatment of interest costs with respect to the acquisition of the life insurance policies and revenue recognition with respect to the mark-to-market of life insurance portfolio.

(11) Common Stock

On July 11, 2011, we entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement with Athena Securities Group, Ltd. and Athena Structured Funds PLC. Under this agreement, we issued to Athena Securities Group, Ltd. (Athena) 494,500 shares of common stock, which was equal to 9.9% of our outstanding shares, in exchange for shares equal to 9.9% of the outstanding shares in Athena Structured Funds, PLC and cash of \$5,000. This 2011 agreement had contemplated cooperative efforts by the parties aimed at developing a security and related offering in Europe or Ireland, the proceeds of which would be used to finance the acquisition of life-insurance related assets in the United States. In 2013, we sought to terminate the 2011 agreement due to a changing regulatory environment in Europe that negatively affected the likelihood of consummating the contemplated offering of securities, and our dissatisfaction with Athena's performance under the 2011 agreement. As a result, in June 2013 we entered into a second Purchase and Sale Agreement with Athena Securities Ltd. and Athena. This agreement effected the termination of the 2011 agreement. The June 2013 agreement contained mutual general releases of claims and substantially unwound certain capital stock transactions that had been effected under the 2011 agreement. In particular, Athena returned to us for redemption 432,500 shares of our common stock, and retained 62,000 common shares in recognition of their earlier efforts under the 2011 agreement. For our part, we sold back to Athena all of our ownership in Athena Structured Funds, PLC that we had originally acquired under the 2011 agreement. Presently, we have no ongoing business relationship with Athena.

Stock split — On June 24, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors and majority stockholders approved a joint resolution to effect an amendment to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation to effect a reverse split of the issued and outstanding common stock on a 2-for-1 basis. The effective date of the amendment and reverse stock split was June 24, 2014. In lieu of fractional shares, stockholders received cash payments in an amount equal to the fraction to which the stockholder would otherwise be entitled multiplied by the price of the common stock, as determined by the Board of Directors of the Corporation, but adjusted so as to give effect to the reverse stock split. The par value of the common stock remained at \$0.001 per share.

(12) Stock Incentive Plan

The Company adopted the GWG Holdings, Inc. 2013 Stock Incentive Plan on March 27, 2013. The plan is administered by Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company. The Company's Chief Executive Officer may, on a discretionary basis and without committee review or approval, grant incentives to new employees of the Company who are not Officers of the Company. Incentives under the plan may be granted in one or a combination of the following forms: (a) incentive stock options and non-statutory stock options; (b) stock appreciation rights; (c) stock awards; (d) restricted stock; (e) restricted stock units; and (f) performance shares. Eligible participants include officers and employees of the company, members of the Board of Directors, and consultants or other independent contractors. 1,000,000 shares are issuable under the plan. No person shall receive grants of stock options and SARs under the plan that exceed, in the aggregate 200,000 shares of common stock in any one year. The term of each stock option shall be determined by the committee but shall not exceed ten years. Vested stock options may be exercised in whole or part by the holder giving notice to the Company. The holder of the option may provide payment for the exercise price or surrender shares equal to the exercise price.

The Company issued stock options for 551,185 shares of common stock to employees, officers, and directors of the Company through June 30, 2014. Options for 221,185 shares vested immediately, and the remaining options vested over three years. The shares were issued with an exercise price between \$8.20 and \$8.28 for those owning more than

10% of the Company's stock and between \$7.46 \$7.52 for others, which is equal to the estimated market price of the shares on the date of grant valued using Black-Scholes Binomial option pricing model. The expected volatility used in the Black-Scholes model valuation of options issued during the year was 19.73% annualized. The annual volatility rate is based on the standard deviation of the average continuously compounded rate of return of five selected comparable companies over the previous 52 weeks. Forfeiture rate of 15% is based on historical company information and expected future trend. As of June 30, 2014, stock options for 60,750 shares were forfeited.

Stock options granted through June 30, 2014:

	Exercise			Binomial	Forfeiture	Compensation
Grant Date	Price	Shares	Vesting	Value	Factor	Expense
9/5/2013 \$	7.52	143,750	Immediate	0.360	0.8700	45,023
9/5/2013 \$	8.28	25,000	Immediate	0.360	0.8700	7,830
9/5/2013 \$	7.52	45,839	1 year	0.360	0.8500	14,027
9/5/2013 \$	8.28	2,834	1 year	0.360	0.8500	867
9/5/2013 \$	7.52	45,833	2 years	0.600	0.7225	19,869
9/5/2013 \$	8.28	2,833	2 years	0.600	0.7225	1,228
9/5/2013 \$	7.52	45,828	3 years	0.820	0.6141	23,078
9/5/2013 \$	8.28	2,833	3 years	0.820	0.6141	1,427
9/30/2013 \$	7.52	4,000	Immediate	0.660	0.8700	2,297
10/28/2013 \$	7.52	6,000	Immediate	0.660	0.8700	3,445
10/28/2013 \$	7.52	24,000	1 year	0.660	0.8500	13,464
10/28/2013 \$	7.52	24,000	2 years	0.920	0.7225	15,953
10/28/2013 \$	7.52	18,000	3 years	1.140	0.6141	12,602
11/11/2013 \$	7.52	4,167	1 year	0.660	0.8500	2,338
11/11/2013 \$	7.52	4,167	2 years	0.920	0.7225	2,770
11/11/2013 \$	7.52	4,166	3 years	1.140	0.6141	2,917
12/12/2013 \$	7.52	30,000	Immediate	0.660	0.8700	17,226
4/1/2014 \$	7.46	1,935	Immediate	0.468	0.8700	788
4/1/2014 \$	7.46	11,008	1 year	1.028	0.8500	9,619
4/1/2014 \$	7.46	10,999	2 years	1.240	0.7225	9,854
4/1/2014 \$	7.46	10,993	3 years	1.292	0.6141	8,722
4/7/2014 \$	7.46	3,334	1 year	1.028	0.8500	2,913
4/7/2014 \$	8.20	3,334	1 year	1.028	0.8500	2,913
4/7/2014 \$	7.46	3,333	2 years	1.240	0.7225	2,986
4/7/2014 \$	8.20	3,333	2 years	1.240	0.7225	2,986
4/7/2014 \$	7.46	3,333	3 years	1.292	0.6141	2,645
4/7/2014 \$	8.20	3,333	3 years	1.292	0.6141	2,645
4/28/2014 \$	7.46	5,000	Immediate	0.468	0.8700	2,036
4/28/2014 \$	7.46	2,500	1 year	1.028	0.8500	2,185
4/28/2014 \$	7.46	2,500	2 years	1.240	0.7225	2,240
4/28/2014 \$	7.46	2,500	3 years	1.292	0.6141	1,984
5/27/2014 \$	7.46	2,500	Immediate	0.468	0.8700	1,018
5/27/2014 \$	7.46	10,000	1 year	1.028	0.8500	8,738
5/27/2014 \$	7.46	10,000	2 years	1.240	0.7225	8,959
5/27/2014 \$	7.46	10,000	3 years	1.292	0.6141	7,934
6/20/2014 \$	7.46	3,000	Immediate	0.468	0.8700	1,221
6/20/2014 \$	7.46	6,000	1 year	1.028	0.8500	5,243
6/20/2014 \$	7.46	6,000	2 years	1.240	0.7225	5,375
6/20/2014 \$	7.46	3,000	3 years	1.292	0.6141	2,380
		551,185				

Outstanding stock options:

	Vested	Un-vested	Total
Balance as of December 31, 2013	195,000	210,250	405,250
Granted during the year	12,435	105,500	117,935
Exercised during the year	-	-	-
Forfeited during the year	(10,000)	(22,750)	(32,750)
Expired during the year	-	-	-
Balance as of June 30, 2014	197,435	293,000	490,435

Compensation expense related to un-vested options not yet recognized is \$186,000. We expect to recognize this compensation expense over the next 3.50 years. Stock-based compensation cost for the three months ended June 30, 2014 was \$17,000.

(13) Net loss per common share

The Company began issuing Series A preferred stock September, 1, 2011, as described in note 9. The Series A preferred stock is anti-dilutive to the net loss per common share calculation at June 30, 2014 and dilutive at June 30, 2013. The Company has also issued warrants to purchase common stock in conjunction with the sale of convertible preferred stock, as discussed in note 9. The warrants are anti-dilutive at June 30, 2014 and 2013, and have not been included in the fully diluted net loss per common share calculation.

(14) Commitments

The Company entered into an office lease with U.S. Bank National Association as the landlord. The lease was effective April 22, 2012 with a term through August 31, 2015. The lease is for 11,695 square feet of office space located at 220 South Sixth Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota. The Company is obligated to pay base rent plus common area maintenance and a share of the building operating costs. Rent expenses under this agreement were \$101,000 and \$98,000 during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$49,000 and \$50,000 during the three-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Minimum lease payments under the lease agreement effective April 22, 2012 are as follows:

Six months ending December 31, 2014	52,000
2015	70,000
Total	\$ 122,000

(15) Contingencies

Litigation - In the normal course of business, the Company is involved in various legal proceedings. In the opinion of management, any liability resulting from such proceedings would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Opportunity Finance, LLC, owned by Jon Sabes and Steven Sabes, is subject to litigation clawback claims by the bankruptcy trustee for third-party matters for payments that may have been deemed preference payments. In addition, Jon Sabes and Steven Sabes are subject to litigation clawback claims by the bankruptcy trustee for third-party matters

for payments received from Opportunity Finance that may have been deemed preference payments. If the parties are unsuccessful in defending against these claims, their equity ownership in the Company may be sold or transferred to other parties to satisfy such claims. In addition, the Company loaned \$1,000,000 to Opportunity Finance, LLC, and was repaid in full plus interest of \$177,000. This investment amount may also be subject to clawback claims by the bankruptcy court.

(16) Guarantees of secured debentures

Holdings has registered with the SEC the offer and sale \$250,000,000 of secured debentures as described in note 8. The secured debentures are secured by the assets of Holdings as described in note 8 and a pledge of all the common stock by the largest shareholders. Obligations under the debentures are guaranteed by GWG Life. This guarantee involves the grant of a security interest in all the assets of GWG Life. The payment of principal and interest on the secured debentures is fully and unconditionally guaranteed by GWG Life. Substantially all of the Company's life insurance policies are held by DLP II and the Trust. The policies held by DLP II are not collateral for the debenture obligations as such policies are collateral for the credit facility.

The consolidating financial statements are presented in lieu of separate financial statements and other related disclosures of the subsidiary guarantors and issuer because management does not believe that separate financial statements and related disclosures would be material to investors. There are currently no significant restrictions on the ability of Holdings or GWG Life, the guarantor subsidiary, to obtain funds from its subsidiaries by dividend or loan, except as follows. DLP II is a borrower under a credit agreement with Autobahn, with DZ Bank AG as agent, as described in note 6. The significant majority of insurance policies owned by the Company are subject to a collateral arrangement with DZ Bank AG described in note 6. Under this arrangement, collection and escrow accounts are used to fund premiums of the insurance policies and to pay interest and other charges under the revolving credit facility. DZ Bank AG and Autobahn must authorize all disbursements from these accounts, including any distributions to GWG Life. Distributions are limited to an amount that would result in the borrowers (DLP II, GWG Life and Holdings) realizing an annualized rate of return on the equity funded amount for such assets of not more than 18%, as determined by DZ Bank AG. After such amount is reached, the credit agreement requires that excess funds be used for repayments of borrowings before any additional distributions may be made.

The following represents consolidating financial information as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, with respect to the financial position, and for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 with respect to results of operations and cash flows of Holdings and its subsidiaries. The parent column presents the financial information of Holdings, the primary obligor of the secured debentures. The guarantor subsidiary column presents the financial information of GWG Life, the guarantor subsidiary of the secured debentures, presenting its investment in DLP II and Trust under the equity method. The non-guarantor subsidiaries column presents the financial information of all non-guarantor subsidiaries including DLP II and Trust.

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets

June 30, 2014	Parent	Non-Guarantor Guarantor Sub Sub Eliminations A S S E T S			Consolidated
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 22,305,910	\$ 754,045	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,059,955
Restricted cash	φ 22,303,710	¢ 754,045 565,000	2,245,432	Ψ -	2,810,432
Investment in life	-	505,000	2,243,432	-	2,010,+52
settlements, at fair value	_	_	267,895,692	_	267,895,692
Other assets	457,677	1,385,447	978,950	-	207,075,072
Investment in subsidiaries	161,418,051	190,380,058	,70,750	(351,798,109)	2,822,074
mvestment in subsidiaries	101,410,031	190,580,058	-	(551,790,109)	2,022,074
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 184,181,638	\$ 193,084,550	\$ 271,120,074	\$ (351,798,109)	\$ 296,588,153
LIABI	LITIES&ST	OCKHOLDE	ERS'EQUIT	Y (DEFICIT)	
LIABILITIES				- ()	
Revolving credit facility	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 79,000,000	\$ -	\$ 79,000,000
Series I Secured notes					. , , ,
payable	-	28,051,113	-	-	28,051,113
Renewable Secured		, ,			, ,
Debentures	157,513,267	-	-	-	157,513,267
Interest payable	5,305,764	3,272,079	1,152,350	-	9,730,193
Accounts payable and other	-))	- , - ,	, - ,		- , ,
accrued expenses	783,445	343,308	587,665	-	1,714,418
Deferred taxes	5,403,604	-	-	-	5,403,604
TOTAL LIABILITIES	169,006,080	31,666,500	80,740,015	-	281,412,595
	, ,	, ,	, ,		, ,
CONVERTIBLE,					
REDEEMABLE					
PREFERRED STOCK	25,309,946	-	-	-	25,309,946
STOCKHOLDERS'					
EQUITY (DEFICIT)					
Member capital	-	161,418,050	190,380,059	(351,798,109)	-
Common stock	9,124	-	-	-	9,124
Additional paid-in capital	2,782,932	-	-	-	2,782,932
Accumulated deficit	(12,926,444)	-	-	-	(12,926,444)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS'					
EQUITY (DEFICIT)	(10,134,388)	161,418,050	190,380,059	(351,798,109)	(10,134,388)
		. ,			
TOTAL LIABILITIES					
AND STOCKHOLDERS'					
EQUITY (DEFICIT)	\$ 184,181,638	\$ 193,084,550	\$ 271,120,074	\$ (351,798,109)	\$ 296,588,153
- ` /		. /	. ,		. ,

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets (continued)

December 31, 2013	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiary	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
		ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 32,711,636	\$ 738,157	\$ -	\$-	\$ 33,449,793
Restricted cash	-	1,420,000	4,412,970	-	5,832,970
Investment in life settlements, at					
fair value	-	-	234,672,794	-	234,672,794
Other assets	381,883	484,510	558,526	-	1,424,919
Investment in subsidiaries	129,839,241	159,798,490	-	(289,637,731)	-
TOTAL ASSETS	\$162,932,760	\$162,441,157	\$ 239,644,290	\$ (289,637,731)	\$275,380,476
	ES & STOC	KHOLDER	S' EQUITY	(DEFICIT)	
LIABILITIES					
Revolving credit facility	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 79,000,000	\$ -	\$ 79,000,000
Series I Secured notes payable	-	29,275,202	-	-	29,275,202
Renewable Secured Debentures	131,646,062	-	-	-	131,646,062
Interest payable	3,806,820	3,065,465	337,123	-	7,209,408
Accounts payable and other					
accrued expenses	574,026	261,249	508,667	-	1,343,952
Deferred taxes	7,675,174	-	-	-	7,675,174
TOTAL LIABILITIES	143,702,082	32,601,916	79,845,800	-	256,149,798
CONVERTIBLE, REDEEMABLE	Ξ				
PREFERRED STOCK	24,722,693	-	-	-	24,722,693
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY					
(DEFICIT)					
Member capital	-	129,839,241	159,798,490	(289,637,731)	-
Common stock	9,124	-	-	-	9,124
Additional paid-in capital	2,937,438	-	-	-	2,937,438
Accumulated deficit	(8,438,577)	-	-	-	(8,438,577)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS'					
EQUITY (DEFICIT)	(5,492,015)	129,839,241	159,798,490	(289,637,731)	(5,492,015)
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND					
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY					
(DEFICIT)	\$162,932,760	\$162,441,157	\$ 239,644,290	\$(289,637,731)	\$275,380,476
	•	-			•

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations

For the six months ended June 30, 2014 REVENUE	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiary	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Contract servicing fees	\$-	\$1,308,906	\$ -	\$(1,308,906)	\$ -
Gain on life settlements, net	-	-	11,001,094	-	11,001,094
Interest and other income	12,929	169,976	63	(169,221)	13,747
TOTAL REVENUE	12,929	1,478,882	11,001,157	(1,478,127)	11,014,841
EXPENSES					
Origination and servicing fees	-	-	1,308,906	(1,308,906)	-
Employee compensation and benefits					