

FIRST UNITED CORP/MD/
Form 10-Q
November 04, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

☐ TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 0-14237

First United Corporation
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

52-1380770
(I. R. S. Employer Identification No.)

19 South Second Street, Oakland, Maryland
(Address of principal executive offices)

21550-0009
(Zip Code)

(800) 470-4356

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☐ No ☐ (Not Applicable)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐
Non-accelerated filer ☐ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer ☒
Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes

£ No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 6,161,410 shares of common stock, par value \$.01 per share, as of October 31, 2010.

INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT
FIRST UNITED CORPORATION

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition –September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009

Consolidated Statements of Operations - for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity - for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and year ended December 31, 2009

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Controls and Procedures

Item 4.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]

Item 5. Other Information

Item 6. Exhibits

SIGNATURES

EXHIBIT INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

FIRST UNITED CORPORATION
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition
(In thousands, except per share and percentage data)

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009 (Unaudited)
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$253,433	\$139,169
Interest bearing deposits in banks	108,818	50,502
Cash and cash equivalents	362,251	189,671
Investment securities – available-for-sale (at fair value)	239,257	273,784
Restricted investment in bank stock, at cost	12,931	13,861
Loans	1,044,024	1,121,884
Allowance for loan losses	(24,288)	(20,090)
Net loans	1,019,736	1,101,794
Premises and equipment, net	31,987	31,719
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	14,808	15,241
Bank owned life insurance	30,140	29,386
Deferred tax assets	24,917	29,189
Other real estate owned	15,612	7,591
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	43,589	51,560
Total Assets	\$1,795,228	\$1,743,796
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Liabilities:		
Non-interest bearing deposits	\$121,433	\$106,976
Interest bearing deposits	1,264,996	1,197,190
Total deposits	1,386,429	1,304,166
Short-term borrowings	43,922	47,563
Long-term borrowings	243,364	270,544
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	19,715	20,342
Dividends payable	64	615
Total Liabilities	1,693,494	1,643,230
Shareholders' Equity:		
Preferred stock – no par value; Authorized 2,000 shares of which 30 shares of Series A, \$1,000 per share liquidation preference, 5% cumulative increasing to 9% cumulative on February 15, 2014, were issued and outstanding on September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (discount of \$217 and \$261, respectively)	29,783	29,739
Common Stock – par value \$.01 per share;	62	61

Authorized 25,000 shares; issued and outstanding 6,161 shares at September 30, 2010 and 6,144 at December 31, 2009		
Surplus	21,403	21,305
Retained earnings	69,060	76,120
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(18,574)	(26,659)
Total Shareholders' Equity	101,734	100,566
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$1,795,228	\$1,743,796

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

FIRST UNITED CORPORATION
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(In thousands, except per share data)

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009 (Unaudited)	
Interest income		
Interest and fees on loans	\$46,595	\$51,571
Interest on investment securities		
Taxable	5,356	10,316
Exempt from federal income tax	2,689	2,932
Total investment income	8,045	13,248
Other	407	65
Total interest income	55,047	64,884
Interest expense		
Interest on deposits	13,904	15,385
Interest on short-term borrowings	207	237
Interest on long-term borrowings	8,205	8,768
Total interest expense	22,316	24,390
Net interest income	32,731	40,494
Provision for loan losses	10,653	10,837
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	22,078	29,657
Other operating income		
Changes in fair value on impaired securities	(10,401)	(18,334)
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income (before taxes)	2,126	7,492
Net securities impairment losses recognized in operations	(8,275)	(10,842)
Net losses – other	(3,396)	(272)
Total net losses	(11,671)	(11,114)
Service charges	3,449	4,163
Trust department	2,978	2,631
Insurance commissions	2,003	2,123
Debit card income	1,198	1,029
Bank owned life insurance	754	401
Other	840	1,392
Total other income	11,222	11,739
Total other operating (loss)/income	(449)	625
Other operating expenses		
Salaries and employee benefits	16,321	17,398
FDIC premiums	3,054	2,589
Equipment	2,384	2,568
Occupancy	2,208	2,097
Data processing	1,966	1,829
Other	7,634	8,555
Total other operating expenses	33,567	35,036
Loss before income taxes	(11,938)	(4,754)
Applicable income tax benefit	(6,233)	(2,696)

Edgar Filing: FIRST UNITED CORP/MD/ - Form 10-Q

Net Loss	(5,705)	(2,058)
Accumulated preferred stock dividends and discount accretion	\$(1,169)	\$(1,041)
Net Loss Attributable to Common Shareholders	\$(6,874)	\$(3,099)
Basic net loss per common share	\$(1.12)	\$(.51)
Diluted net loss per common share	\$(1.12)	\$(.51)
Dividends declared per common share	\$.03	\$.60
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	6,153	6,116
Weighted average number of diluted shares outstanding	6,153	6,116

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

FIRST UNITED CORPORATION
Consolidated Statements of Operations

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
	(Unaudited)	
Interest income		
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 15,234	\$ 17,061
Interest on investment securities		
Taxable	953	3,041
Exempt from federal income tax	883	975
Total investment income	1,836	4,016
Other	183	61
Total interest income	17,253	21,138
Interest expense		
Interest on deposits	4,682	4,835
Interest on short-term borrowings	68	82
Interest on long-term borrowings	2,602	2,916
Total interest expense	7,352	7,833
Net interest income	9,901	13,305
Provision for loan losses	3,467	6,917
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	6,434	6,388
Other operating income		
Changes in fair value on impaired securities	397	(12,538)
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income (before taxes)	(607)	3,877
Net securities impairment losses recognized in operations	(210)	(8,661)
Net losses – other	(687)	132
Total net losses	(897)	(8,529)
Service charges	1,119	1,460
Trust department	940	944
Insurance commissions	678	682
Debit card income	401	363
Bank owned life insurance	255	133
Other	387	417
Total other income	3,780	3,999
Total other operating income/(loss)	2,883	(4,530)
Other operating expenses		
Salaries and employee benefits	5,384	5,551
FDIC premiums	980	838
Equipment	738	862
Occupancy	767	709
Data processing	662	665
Other	2,631	2,875
Total other operating expenses	11,162	11,500
Loss before income taxes	(1,845)	(9,642)
Applicable income tax benefit	(2,167)	(4,056)
Net Income/(Loss)	322	(5,586)
Accumulated preferred stock dividends and discount accretion	\$(390)	\$(389)

Edgar Filing: FIRST UNITED CORP/MD/ - Form 10-Q

Net Loss Attributable to Common Shareholders	\$(68)	\$(5,975)
Basic net loss per common share	\$(.01)	\$(.97)
Diluted net loss per common share	\$(.01)	\$(.97)
Dividends declared per common share	\$.01	\$.20
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	6,160	6,132
Weighted average number of diluted shares outstanding	6,160	6,132

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

FIRST UNITED CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Surplus	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance at January 1, 2009	\$ -	\$ 61	\$ 20,520	\$ 93,092	\$ (40,983)	\$ 72,690
Comprehensive income:						
Net loss for the year				(11,324)		(11,324)
Unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale, net of reclassifications and income taxes of \$8,407					12,422	12,422
Change in accumulated unrealized losses for pension and SERP obligations, net of income taxes of \$1,311					1,938	1,938
Unrealized loss on derivatives, net of income taxes of \$24					(36)	(36)
Comprehensive income						3,000
Issuance of 43,680 shares of common stock under dividend reinvestment plan			488			488
Stock based compensation			(16)			(16)
Preferred stock issued pursuant to TARP-30,000 shares	29,687					29,687
Preferred stock discount accretion	52			(52)		--
Warrant issued pursuant to TARP			313			313
Preferred stock dividends				(1,186)		(1,186)
Common stock dividends declared - \$.70 per share				(4,410)		(4,410)
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 29,739	\$ 61	\$ 21,305	\$ 76,120	\$ (26,659)	\$ 100,566
Comprehensive income:						
Net loss year-to-date				(5,705)		(5,705)
Unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale, net of reclassifications and income taxes of \$5,938					8,775	8,775
Unrealized loss on derivatives, net of income taxes of \$467					(690)	(690)
Comprehensive income						2,380
Issuance of 5,297 shares of common stock under dividend reinvestment plan		1	28			29

Edgar Filing: FIRST UNITED CORP/MD/ - Form 10-Q

Stock based compensation				70				70
Preferred stock discount accretion	44			(44)				--
Preferred stock dividends				(1,125)				(1,125)
Common stock dividends declared								
- \$.03 per share				(186)				(186)
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$ 29,783	\$ 62	\$ 21,403	\$ 69,060	\$ (18,574)	\$ 101,734		

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

FIRST UNITED CORPORATION
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(In thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009 (Unaudited)	
Operating activities		
Net loss	\$ (5,705)	\$ (2,058)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	10,653	10,837
Depreciation	1,899	2,046
Stock compensation	70	(16)
Amortization of intangible assets	622	867
Loss on sales of foreclosed real estate	838	114
Loss on loan sales	156	--
(Gain)/loss on disposal of fixed assets	(11)	63
Net amortization of investment securities discounts and premiums	578	161
Other-than-temporary-impairment loss on securities	8,275	10,842
Proceeds from sales of investment securities trading	99,626	--
Proceeds from maturities/calls of investment securities trading	17,167	--
Loss on trading securities	251	226
Loss/(gain) on sales of investment securities – available-for-sale	2,162	(131)
Decrease/(increase) in accrued interest receivable and other assets	6,604	(1,425)
Deferred tax benefit	(1,178)	(437)
(Decrease)/increase in accrued interest payable and other liabilities	(627)	255
Earnings on bank owned life insurance	(754)	(401)
Net cash provided by operating activities	140,626	20,943
Investing activities		
Proceeds from maturities/calls of investment securities available- for-sale	95,795	73,738
Proceeds from sales of investment securities available-for-sale	12,297	37,878
Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale	(186,911)	(70,170)
Proceeds from sales of foreclosed real estate	2,007	1,148
Proceeds from loan sales	1,764	--
Proceeds from disposal of fixed assets	11	--
Net decrease/(increase) in loans	58,619	(14,974)
Net decrease in bank stock	930	72
Purchases of premises and equipment	(2,167)	(3,348)
Net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities	(17,655)	24,344
Financing activities		
Net increase in deposits	82,263	14,245
Net decrease in short-term borrowings	(3,641)	(4,266)
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	3,609	--
Payments on long-term borrowings	(30,789)	(788)
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock and warrants	--	30,000
Cash dividends paid on common stock	(737)	(3,665)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	29	366

Edgar Filing: FIRST UNITED CORP/MD/ - Form 10-Q

Preferred stock dividends paid	(1,125)	(813)
Net cash provided by financing activities	49,609	35,079
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	172,580	80,366
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year	189,671	19,305
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$362,251	\$99,671
Supplemental information		
Interest paid	\$22,731	\$25,679
Taxes paid	\$70	\$1,750
Non-cash investing activities:		
Transfers from loans to foreclosed real estate	\$10,865	\$2,899
Transfers from available-for-sale to trading	\$117,078	\$409

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

FIRST UNITED CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

Note A – Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of First United Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries, including First United Bank & Trust (the “Bank”), have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) for interim financial information, as required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 270, Interim Reporting, and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required for annual financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation, consisting of normal recurring items, have been included. Operating results for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year or for any future interim period. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in First United Corporation’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. For purposes of comparability, certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2010 presentation. Such reclassifications had no impact on net income/(loss) or equity.

First United Corporation has evaluated events and transactions occurring subsequent to the statement of financial condition date of September 30, 2010 for items that should potentially be recognized or disclosed in these financial statements as prescribed by ASC Topic 855, Subsequent Events.

As used in these notes to consolidated financial statements, First United Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries are sometimes collectively referred to as the “Corporation”.

Note B – Earnings/(Loss) Per Common Share

Basic loss per common share is derived by dividing net loss attributable to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period and does not include the effect of any potentially dilutive common stock equivalents. Diluted loss per share is derived by dividing net loss attributable to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding, adjusted for the dilutive effect of outstanding common stock equivalents. There is no dilutive effect on the earnings per share during loss periods.

The following table sets forth the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the nine- and three-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands, except for per share amounts):

	For the nine months ended September 30,					
	2010			2009		
	Income	Average Shares	Per Share Amount	Income	Average Shares	Per Share Amount
Basic Earnings Per Share:						
Net loss	\$(5,705)			\$(2,058)		
Accumulated preferred stock dividends	(1,125)			(1,004)		
Discount accretion on preferred stock	(44)			(37)		

Edgar Filing: FIRST UNITED CORP/MD/ - Form 10-Q

Net loss attributable to common							
shareholders	\$(6,874	)	6,153	\$(1.12	)	\$(3,099	) 6,116 \$(.51
							)
Diluted Earnings Per Share:							
Net loss attributable to common							
shareholders	\$(6,874	)	6,153	\$(1.12	)	\$(3,099	) 6,116 \$(.51
							)
Diluted net loss attributable to							
common							
shareholders	\$(6,874	)	6,153	\$(1.12	)	\$(3,099	) 6,116 \$(.51
							)

For the three months ended September 30,						
2010			2009			
	Income	Average Shares	Per Share Amount	Income	Average Shares	Per Share Amount
Basic Earnings Per Share:						
Net income/(loss)	\$322			\$(5,586)		
Accumulated preferred stock dividends	(375)			(375)		
Discount accretion on preferred stock	(15)			(14)		
Net loss attributable to common shareholders	\$(68)	6,160	\$(.01)	\$(5,975)	6,132	\$(.97)
Diluted Earnings Per Share:						
Net loss attributable to common shareholders	\$(68)	6,160	\$(.01)	\$(5,975)	6,132	\$(.97)
Diluted net loss attributable to common shareholders	\$(68)	6,160	\$(.01)	\$(5,975)	6,132	\$(.97)

Note C – Net Losses

The following table summarizes the gain/loss activity for the nine- and three-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

			Nine months ended September 30,	
			2010	2009
Available-for-sale securities:				
Other-than-temporary impairment charges			\$(8,275)	\$(10,842)
Realized gains			262	131
Realized losses			(170)	--
Transfers of available-for-sale securities to trading:				
Gains recognized in earnings			2,852	--
Losses recognized in earnings			(5,106)	--
Net (loss)/gain recognized on available-for-sale securities			(2,162)	131
Trading securities:				
Gross gains on sales			972	--
Gross losses on sales			(1,223)	--
Net loss recognized on sales			(251)	--
Unrealized loss recognized on trading securities still held			--	(226)
Net loss on trading securities			(251)	(226)
Loss on loan sales			(156)	--
Loss on foreclosed real estate			(838)	(114)
Gain/(loss) on disposal of fixed assets			11	(63)
Net losses			\$(11,671)	\$(11,114)

	Three months ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Available-for-sale securities:		
Other-than-temporary impairment charges	\$(210)	\$(8,661)
Realized gains	--	35
Realized losses	(170)	--
Transfers of available-for-sale securities to trading:		
Gains recognized in earnings	--	--
Losses recognized in earnings	--	--
Net (loss)/gain recognized on available-for-sale securities	(170)	35
Trading securities:		
Gross gains on sales	--	--
Gross losses on sales	--	--
Net loss recognized on sales	--	--
Unrealized gain recognized on trading securities still held	--	147
Net gain on trading securities	--	147
Loss on loan sales	--	--
(Loss)/gain on foreclosed real estate	(528)	13
Gain/(loss) on disposal of fixed assets	11	(63)
Net losses	\$(897)	\$(8,529)

Note D – Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and due from banks, which represents vault cash in the retail offices and invested cash balances at the Federal Reserve, is carried at fair value.

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Cash and due from banks, weighted average interest rate of 0.18% (at September 30, 2010)	\$253,433	\$139,169

Interest bearing deposits in banks, which represent funds invested at a correspondent bank, are carried at fair value and, as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, consisted of daily funds invested at the Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) of Atlanta, First Tennessee Bank (“FTN”) and Community Bankers Bank (“CBB”).

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
FHLB daily investments, interest rate of 0.10% (at September 30, 2010)	\$77,657	\$49,727
FTN daily investments, interest rate of 0.15% (at September 30, 2010)	1,150	700
FTN Fed Funds sold, interest rate of 0.25% (at September 30, 2010)	--	75
CBB Fed Funds sold, interest rate of 0.22% (at September 30, 2010)	30,011	--
	\$108,818	\$50,502

Note E – Investments

The investment portfolio is classified and accounted for based on the guidance of ASC Topic 320, Investments – Debt and Equity Securities.

The following table shows a comparison of amortized cost and fair values of investment securities available-for-sale at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	OTTI in AOCI
September 30, 2010					
U.S. government agencies	\$31,827	\$228	\$3	\$32,052	\$--
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	96,852	1,891	284	98,459	--
Collateralized mortgage obligations	838	--	101	737	--
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	93,465	5,116	171	98,410	--
Collateralized debt obligations	36,382	--	26,783	9,599	17,827
Totals	\$259,364	\$7,235	\$27,342	\$239,257	\$17,827
December 31, 2009					
U.S. government agencies	\$68,487	\$274	\$498	\$68,263	\$--
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	59,640	2,946	13	62,573	--
Collateralized mortgage obligations	40,809	--	7,612	33,197	1,574
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	95,190	2,501	388	97,303	--
Collateralized debt obligations	44,478	--	32,030	12,448	14,127
Totals	\$308,604	\$5,721	\$40,541	\$273,784	\$15,701

Proceeds from sales of securities and the realized gains and losses for the nine- and three-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Proceeds	\$ 12,297	\$ 37,878	\$ 10,029	\$ 8,510
Realized gains	262	131	--	35
Realized losses	170	--	170	--

The following table shows the Corporation's available-for-sale securities with gross unrealized losses and fair values, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	Less than 12 months		12 months or more	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
September 30, 2010				
U.S. government agencies	\$10,019	\$3	\$--	\$--
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	23,080	284	--	--
Collateralized mortgage obligations	--	--	737	101
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	6,152	171	--	--
Collateralized debt obligations	--	--	9,599	26,783
Totals	\$39,251	\$458	\$10,336	\$26,884
December 31, 2009				

Edgar Filing: FIRST UNITED CORP/MD/ - Form 10-Q

U.S. government agencies	\$36,090	\$371	\$14,873	\$127
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	589	13	--	--
Collateralized mortgage obligations	--	--	33,197	7,612
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	12,154	123	8,075	265
Collateralized debt obligations	--	--	12,448	32,030
Totals	\$48,833	\$507	\$68,593	\$40,034

Management systematically evaluates securities for impairment on a quarterly basis. Management assesses whether (a) it has the intent to sell a security being evaluated and (b) it is more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell the security prior to its anticipated recovery. If neither applies, then declines in the fair values of securities below their cost that are considered other-than-temporary declines are split into two components. The first is the loss attributable to declining credit quality. Credit losses are recognized in earnings as realized losses in the period in which the impairment determination is made. The second component consists of all other losses, which are recognized in other comprehensive loss. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) losses, management considers (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) adverse conditions specifically related to the security, an industry, or a geographic area, (3) the historic and implied volatility of the fair value of the security, (4) changes in the rating of the security by a rating agency, (5) recoveries or additional declines in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date, (6) failure of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest or principal payments, and (7) the payment structure of the debt security and the likelihood of the issuer being able to make payments that increase in the future. Management also monitors cash flow projections for securities that are considered beneficial interests under the guidance of ASC Subtopic 325-40, Investments – Other – Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets, (ASC Section 325-40-35). Further discussion about the evaluation of securities for impairment can be found in Item 2 of Part I of this report under the heading “Investment Securities”.

Management believes that the valuation of certain securities is a critical accounting policy that requires significant estimates in preparation of its consolidated financial statements. Beginning in the first quarter of 2010, management utilized an independent third party to prepare both the impairment valuations and fair value determinations for its collateralized debt obligation (“CDO”) portfolio consisting of pooled trust preferred securities. In previous periods, management performed internal impairment valuations and utilized a third party service for the portfolio pricing. Management will continue to review the assumptions and results and does not believe that there were any material differences in the valuations between December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2010.

Residential Mortgage-Backed Agencies - Three residential mortgage-backed agencies have been in a slight unrealized loss position for less than 12 months as of September 30, 2010. The securities are of the highest investment grade and the Corporation does not intend to sell them, and it is not more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell them before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be at maturity. Therefore, no OTTI exists at September 30, 2010.

Collateralized Mortgage Obligations – The collateralized mortgage obligation portfolio, consisting of one security at September 30, 2010, has been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. This security is a private label residential mortgage-backed security and is reviewed for factors such as loan to value ratio, credit support levels, borrower FICO scores, geographic concentration, prepayment speeds, delinquencies, coverage ratios and credit ratings. Management believes that this security continues to demonstrate collateral coverage ratios that are adequate to support the Corporation’s investment. At the time of purchase, this security was of the highest investment grade and was purchased at a discount relative to its face amount. As of September 30, 2010, this security remains at investment grade and continues to perform as expected at the time of purchase. During the third quarter 2010, two private label residential mortgage backed securities were sold in order to enhance the credit quality of the investment portfolio and to minimize the risks associated with further declines in the residential mortgage markets. The Corporation does not intend to sell the remaining security and it is not more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell the investment before recovery of its amortized cost basis, which may be at maturity. Accordingly, management does not consider this investment to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2010.

Obligations of State and Political Subdivisions – The unrealized losses on the Corporation’s investments in state and political subdivisions were \$171 thousand at September 30, 2010. Four securities have been in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months. All of these investments are of investment grade as determined by the major rating agencies and management reviews the ratings of the underlying issuers. Management believes that this portfolio is

well-diversified throughout the United States, and all bonds continue to perform according to their contractual terms. The Corporation does not intend to sell these investments and it is not more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be at maturity. Accordingly, management does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2010.

Collateralized Debt Obligations - The \$26.8 million in unrealized losses greater than 12 months at September 30, 2010 relates to 18 pooled trust preferred securities that comprise the CDO portfolio. See Note H for a discussion of the methodology used by management to determine the fair values of these securities. Based upon a review of credit quality and the cash flow tests performed by the independent third party, management determined that there were two securities that had additional credit-related OTTI charges during the third quarter of 2010 and 11 securities with previously recorded OTTI charges that had no further impairment. As a result of this assessment, the Corporation recorded \$8.3 million in credit-related OTTI losses on these securities for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The unrealized losses on the remaining five securities in the portfolio are primarily attributable to continued depression in market interest rates, marketability, liquidity and the current economic environment.

The following tables present a cumulative roll-forward of the amount of OTTI charges related to credit losses which have been recognized in earnings for debt securities held and not intended to be sold for the nine- and three-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009 (in thousands):

	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Balance of credit-related OTTI at January 1	\$10,765	\$2,724
Additions for credit-related OTTI not previously recognized	1,402	7,925
Additional increases for credit-related OTTI previously recognized when there is no intent to sell and no requirement to sell before recovery of amortized cost basis	6,873	2,917
Decreases for previously recognized credit-related OTTI because there is current intent to sell	(4,369)	(7,845)
Reduction for increases in cash flows expected to be collected	(33)	--
Balance of credit-related OTTI at September 30	\$14,638	\$5,721
Balance of credit-related OTTI at July 1	\$14,461	\$2,181
Additions for credit-related OTTI not previously recognized	--	5,744
Additional increases for credit-related OTTI previously recognized when there is no intent to sell and no requirement to sell before recovery of amortized cost basis	210	2,917
Decreases for previously recognized credit-related OTTI because there is current intent to sell	--	(5,121)
Reduction for increases in cash flows expected to be collected	(33)	--
Balance of credit-related OTTI at September 30	\$14,638	\$5,721

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of available-for-sale securities by contractual maturity at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are shown in the following table (in thousands). Actual maturities will differ from contractual maturities because the issuers of the securities may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Contractual Maturity				
Due in one year or less	\$--	\$--	\$--	\$--
Due after one year through five years	14,221	14,166	14,095	14,294
Due after five years through ten years	29,174	29,737	26,687	27,367
Due after ten years	118,279	96,158	167,373	136,353
	161,674	140,061	208,155	178,014
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	96,852	98,459	59,640	62,573
Collateralized mortgage obligations	838	737	40,809	33,197
	\$259,364	\$239,257	\$308,604	\$273,784

Note F - Restricted Investment in Bank Stock

Restricted stock, which represents required investments in the common stock of the FHLB of Atlanta, Atlantic Central Bankers Bank and CBB, is carried at cost and is considered a long-term investment.

The Company recognizes dividends on a cash basis. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, dividends of \$33,600 were recognized in earnings. For the comparable period of 2009, dividends of \$29,000 were recognized in earnings.

Management has evaluated the restricted stock for impairment and believes that no impairment charge is necessary as of September 30, 2010.

Note G – Loans and Related Allowance for Loan Losses

The following table summarizes the primary segments of the loan portfolio as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	Commercial Real Estate	Acquisition and Development	Commercial and Industrial	Residential Mortgage	Consumer	Total
September 30, 2010						
Total loans	\$ 351,594	\$ 172,330	\$ 71,446	\$ 362,473	\$ 86,181	\$ 1,044,024
Individually evaluated for impairment	18,646	44,196	6,341	12,296	154	81,633
Collectively evaluated for impairment	332,948	128,134	65,105	350,177	86,027	962,391
December 31, 2009						
Total loans	\$ 326,826	\$ 231,724	\$ 81,256	\$ 373,223	\$ 108,855	\$ 1,121,884
Individually evaluated for impairment	33,597	72,047	12,254	13,332	--	131,230
Collectively evaluated for impairment	293,229	159,677	69,002	359,891	108,855	990,654

The segments of the Bank's loan portfolio are disaggregated to a level that allows management to monitor risk and performance. The commercial real estate ("CRE") loan segment is further disaggregated into two classes. Non-owner occupied CRE loans, which include loans secured by non-owner occupied nonfarm nonresidential properties, generally have a greater risk profile than all other CRE loans, which include loans secured by farmland, multifamily structures and owner-occupied commercial structures. The acquisition and development ("A&D") loan segment is further disaggregated into two classes. One to four family residential construction loans are generally made to individuals for the acquisition of and/or construction on a lot or lots on which a residential dwelling is to be built. All other A&D loans are generally made to developers or investors for the purpose of acquiring, developing and constructing residential or commercial structures. These loans have a higher risk profile because the ultimate buyer, once development is completed, is generally not known at the time of the A&D loan. The commercial and industrial (C&I) loan segment consists of loans made for the purpose of financing the activities of commercial customers. The residential mortgage loan segment is further disaggregated into two classes: amortizing term loans, which are primarily first liens, and home equity lines of credit, which are generally second liens. The consumer loan segment consists primarily of installment loans (direct and indirect) and overdraft lines of credit connected with customer deposit accounts.

Management evaluates individual loans in all of the commercial segments for possible impairment if the loan is greater than \$500,000 or is part of a relationship that is greater than \$750,000, and if the loan either is in nonaccrual status, or is risk rated Substandard and is greater than 60 days past due. Loans are considered to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Corporation will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in evaluating impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Management determines the significance of payment

delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. The Corporation does not separately evaluate individual consumer and residential mortgage loans for impairment, unless such loans are part of larger relationship that is impaired, or are classified as a troubled debt restructuring agreement.

Once the determination has been made that a loan is impaired, the determination of whether a specific allocation of the allowance is necessary is measured by comparing the recorded investment in the loan to the fair value of the loan using one of three methods: (a) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate; (b) the loan's observable market price; or (c) the fair value of the collateral less selling costs. The method is selected on a loan-by loan basis, with management primarily utilizing the fair value of collateral method. The evaluation of the need and amount of a specific allocation of the allowance and whether a loan can be removed from impairment status is made on a quarterly basis. The Corporation's policy for recognizing interest income on impaired loans does not differ from its overall policy for interest recognition.

The following table presents impaired loans by class, segregated by those for which a specific allowance was required and those for which a specific allowance was not necessary as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	Impaired Loans with Specific Allowance		Impaired Loans with No Specific Allowance	Total Impaired Loans Unpaid Principal Balance	
	Recorded Investment	Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment	
September 30, 2010					
Commercial real estate					
Non owner-occupied	\$1,137	\$197	\$6,029	\$7,166	\$7,166
All other CRE	713	80	10,767	11,480	11,680
Acquisition and development					
1-4 family residential construction	2,902	245	442	3,344	3,390
All other A&D	20,197	6,688	20,655	40,852	41,063
Commercial and industrial	29	9	6,312	6,341	7,125
Residential mortgage					
Residential mortgage - term	798	41	9,637	10,435	11,212
Residential mortgage – home equity	--	--	1,861	1,861	1,861
Consumer	--	--	154	154	172
Total impaired loans	\$25,776	\$7,260	\$55,857	\$81,633	\$83,669
December 31, 2009					
Commercial real estate					
Non owner-occupied	\$1,424	\$356	\$9,218	\$10,642	\$10,642
All other CRE	1,570	362	21,385	22,955	23,257
Acquisition and development					
1-4 family residential construction	583	48	--	583	583
All other A&D	18,760	6,085	52,704	71,464	75,965
Commercial and industrial	821	335	11,433	12,254	13,038
Residential mortgage					
Residential mortgage - term	5,519	438	3,836	9,355	9,981
Residential mortgage – home equity	--	--	3,977	3,977	4,011
Consumer	--	--	--	--	--
Total impaired loans	\$28,677	\$7,624	\$102,553	\$131,230	\$137,477

The following table presents the average recorded investment in impaired loans and related interest income recognized for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Nine months ended September 30,		Three months ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Average investment in impaired loans	\$105,769	\$78,715	\$86,463	\$81,662
Interest income recognized on an accrual basis on impaired loans	\$1,889	\$3,443	\$533	\$1,229
Interest income recognized on a cash basis on impaired loans	\$-	\$704	\$-	\$251

The following table presents impaired loans that are troubled debt restructurings as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	Troubled Debt Restructurings at Period End		New Troubled Debt Restructurings in YTD Period		Troubled Debt Restructurings that Subsequently Defaulted during Prior 12 Months	
	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment
September 30, 2010						
Commercial real estate						
Non owner-occupied	3	\$3,804	1	\$2,175	3	\$3,502
All other CRE	--	--	--	--	--	--
Acquisition and development						
1-4 family residential						
construction	1	324	1	324	--	--
All other A&D	10	9,310	2	1,010	--	--
Commercial and industrial	3	2,855	--	--	--	--
Residential mortgage						
Residential mortgage - term	9	2,270	4	621	1	249
Residential mortgage - home equity	--	--	--	--	--	--
Consumer	--	--	--	--	--	--
Total	24	\$18,563	8	\$4,130	4	\$3,751
December 31, 2009						
Commercial real estate						
Non owner-occupied	4	\$1,927	4	\$1,927	--	--
All other CRE	5	5,433	5	5,433	--	--
Acquisition and development						
1-4 family residential						
construction	2	257	1	112	--	--
All other A&D	12	18,608	11	17,605	--	--
Commercial and industrial	4	4,542	4	4,542	--	--
Residential mortgage						
Residential mortgage - term	16	4,410	16	4,410	--	--

Residential mortgage – home equity	1	304	1	304	--	--
Consumer	--	--	--	--	--	--
Total	44	\$35,481	42	\$34,333	--	\$--

Management uses a ten point internal risk rating system to monitor the credit quality of the overall loan portfolio. The first six categories are considered not criticized, and are aggregated as “Pass” rated. The criticized rating categories utilized by management generally follow bank regulatory definitions. The Special Mention category includes assets that are currently protected but are potentially weak, resulting in an undue and unwarranted credit risk, but not to the point of justifying a Substandard classification. Loans in the Substandard category have well-defined weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt, and have a distinct possibility that some loss will be sustained if the weaknesses are not corrected. All loans greater than 90 days past due are considered Substandard. The portion of any loan that represents a specific allocation of the allowance for loan losses is placed in the Doubtful category. Any portion of a loan that has been charged off is placed in the Loss category.

To help ensure that risk ratings are accurate and reflect the present and future capacity of borrowers to repay a loan as agreed, the Bank has a structured loan rating process with several layers of internal and external oversight. Generally, consumer and residential mortgage loans are included in the Pass categories unless a specific action, such as bankruptcy, repossession, or death occurs to raise awareness of a possible credit event. The Bank's Commercial Loan Officers are responsible for the timely and accurate risk rating of the loans in their portfolios at origination and on an ongoing basis. The Credit Quality Department performs an annual review of all commercial relationships \$500,000 or greater. Confirmation of the appropriate risk grade is included in the review on an ongoing basis. The Bank has an experienced Loan Review Department that continually reviews and assesses loans within the portfolio. The Bank engages an external consultant to conduct loan reviews on at least an annual basis. Generally, the external consultant reviews commercial relationships greater than \$750,000 and/or criticized relationships greater than \$500,000. Detailed reviews, including plans for resolution, are performed on loans classified as Substandard on a quarterly basis. Loans in the Special Mention and Substandard categories that are collectively evaluated for impairment are given separate consideration in the determination of the allowance.

The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aggregate Pass and the criticized categories of Special Mention, Substandard and Doubtful within the internal risk rating system as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
September 30, 2010					
Commercial real estate					
Non owner-occupied	\$ 147,482	\$ 13,944	\$ 20,341	\$ 197	\$ 181,964
All other CRE	105,155	10,597	53,798	80	169,630
Acquisition and development					
1-4 family residential construction	67,980	2,045	7,525	245	77,795
All other A&D	27,777	5,258	54,812	6,688	94,535
Commercial and industrial	45,130	1,835	24,472	9	71,446
Residential mortgage					
Residential mortgage - term	262,970	3,046	17,749	41	283,806
Residential mortgage – home equity	75,400	--	3,267	--	78,667
Consumer	85,313	17	851	--	86,181
Total	\$817,207	\$36,742	\$ 182,815	\$7,260	\$ 1,044,024
December 31, 2009					
Commercial real estate					
Non owner-occupied	\$ 144,238	\$ 1,087	\$ 15,140	\$ 356	\$ 160,821
All other CRE	109,054	11,110	45,479	362	166,005
Acquisition and development					
1-4 family residential construction	72,438	--	2,456	48	74,942
All other A&D	64,301	13,190	73,206	6,085	156,782
Commercial and industrial	64,266	354	16,301	335	81,256
Residential mortgage					
Residential mortgage - term	273,722	548	17,786	438	292,494
Residential mortgage – home equity	74,195	1,426	5,108	--	80,729
Consumer	107,545	18	1,292	--	108,855
Total	\$909,759	\$27,733	\$ 176,768	\$7,624	\$ 1,121,884

Management further monitors the performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio by analyzing the age of the portfolio as determined by the length of time a recorded payment is past due. The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aging categories of performing loans and nonaccrual loans as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	Current	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	90 Days+ Past Due	Total Past Due	Non- Accrual	Total Loans
September 30, 2010							
Commercial real estate							
Non owner-occupied	\$ 176,191	\$ 1,592	\$ 148	\$ --	\$ 1,740	\$ 4,033	\$ 181,964
All other CRE	160,874	73	7,585	156	7,814	942	169,630
Acquisition and development							
1-4 family residential construction	76,863	--	491	--	491	441	77,795
All other A&D	67,317	283	--	--	283	26,935	94,535
Commercial and industrial	68,829	463	1,377	--	1,840	777	71,446
Residential mortgage							
Residential mortgage - term	273,112	709	4,321	822	5,852	4,842	283,806
Residential mortgage - home equity	77,042	754	43	--	797	828	78,667
Consumer	83,343	1,924	636	124	2,684	154	86,181
Total	\$ 983,571	\$ 5,798	\$ 14,601	\$ 1,102	\$ 21,501	\$ 38,952	\$ 1,044,024
December 31, 2009							
Commercial real estate							
Non owner-occupied	\$ 155,337	\$ 1,276	\$ 162	\$ --	\$ 1,438	\$ 4,046	\$ 160,821
All other CRE	164,555	1,450	--	--	1,450	--	166,005
Acquisition and development							
1-4 family residential construction	72,284	761	651	--	1,412	1,246	74,942
All other A&D	120,629	73	82	--	155	35,998	156,782
Commercial and industrial	80,810	288	158	--	446	--	81,256
Residential mortgage							
Residential mortgage - term	270,304	12,544	4,190	1,483	18,217	3,973	292,494
Residential mortgage - home equity	78,410	580	485	--	1,065	1,254	80,729
Consumer	104,676	2,656	1,169	287	4,112	67	108,855
Total	\$ 1,047,005	\$ 19,628	\$ 6,897	\$ 1,770	\$ 28,295	\$ 46,584	\$ 1,121,884

An allowance for loan losses ("ALL") is maintained to absorb losses from the loan portfolio. The ALL is based on management's continuing evaluation of the risk characteristics and credit quality of the loan portfolio, assessment of

current economic conditions, diversification and size of the portfolio, adequacy of collateral, past and anticipated loss experience, and the amount of non-performing loans.

The Bank's methodology for determining the ALL is based on the requirements of ASC Section 310-10-35 for loans individually evaluated for impairment (discussed above) and ASC Subtopic 450-20 for loans collectively evaluated for impairment, as well as the Interagency Policy Statements on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and other bank regulatory guidance. The total of the two components represents the Bank's ALL.

Loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment are analyzed with general allowances being made as appropriate. For general allowances, historical loss trends are used in the estimation of losses in the current portfolio. These historical loss amounts are modified by other qualitative factors.

The classes described above, which are based on the Federal call code assigned to each loan, provide the starting point for the ALL analysis. Management tracks the historical net charge-off activity at the call code level. A historical charge-off factor is calculated utilizing a defined number of consecutive historical quarters. Consumer pools currently utilize a rolling 12 quarters, while Commercial pools currently utilize a rolling 8 quarters.

“Pass” rated credits are segregated from “Criticized” credits for the application of qualitative factors. The un-criticized (“pass”) pools for commercial and residential real estate are further segmented based upon the geographic location of the underlying collateral. There are seven geographic regions utilized – six that represent the Bank’s lending footprint and a seventh for all out-of-market credits. Different economic environments and resultant credit risks exist in each region that are acknowledged in the assignment of qualitative factors. Loans in the criticized pools, which possess certain qualities or characteristics that may lead to collection and loss issues, are closely monitored by management and subject to additional qualitative factors.

Management has identified a number of additional qualitative factors which it uses to supplement the historical charge-off factor because these factors are likely to cause estimated credit losses associated with the existing loan pools to differ from historical loss experience. The additional factors that are evaluated quarterly and updated using information obtained from internal, regulatory, and governmental sources are: national and local economic trends and conditions; levels of and trends in delinquency rates and non-accrual loans; trends in volumes and terms of loans; effects of changes in lending policies; experience, ability, and depth of lending staff; value of underlying collateral; and concentrations of credit from a loan type, industry and/or geographic standpoint.

Management reviews the loan portfolio on a quarterly basis using a defined, consistently applied process in order to make appropriate and timely adjustments to the ALL. When information confirms all or part of specific loans to be uncollectible, these amounts are promptly charged off against the ALL.

Historically, management has utilized an internally developed spreadsheet to track and apply the various components of the allowance. In the second quarter of 2010, management began utilizing an externally developed software application to track and apply the allowance components. Prior to implementation, management had reviewed the results of both the internal and external application to ensure that there were no material differences in the determination of the allowance.

The following table summarizes the primary segments of the ALL, segregated into the amount required for loans individually evaluated for impairment and the amount required for loans collectively evaluated for impairment as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. Activity in the allowance is presented for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	Commercial Real Estate	Acquisition and Development	Commercial and Industrial	Residential Mortgage	Consumer	Total
ALL balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 5,351	\$ 7,922	\$ 1,945	\$ 3,061	\$ 1,811	\$ 20,090
Charge-offs	(513)	(3,601)	(1,402)	(1,701)	(1,489)	(8,706)
Recoveries	94	1,067	380	330	380	2,251
Provision	1,103	5,169	985	2,449	947	10,653
ALL balance at September 30, 2010	\$ 6,035	\$ 10,557	\$ 1,908	\$ 4,139	\$ 1,649	\$ 24,288
Individually evaluated for impairment	277	6,933	9	41	--	7,260
Collectively evaluated for impairment	5,758	3,624	1,899	4,098	1,649	17,028
ALL balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 5,351	\$ 7,992	\$ 1,945	\$ 3,061	\$ 1,811	\$ 20,090
	718	6,133	335	438	--	7,624

Individually evaluated for
impairment

Collectively evaluated for impairment	4,633	1,789	1,610	2,623	1,811	12,466
--	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	--------

The allowance for loan losses is based on estimates, and actual losses will vary from current estimates. Management believes that the granularity of the homogeneous pools and the related historical loss ratios and other qualitative factors, as well as the consistency in the application of assumptions, result in an ALL that is representative of the risk found in the components of the portfolio at any given date.

Note H – Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Corporation complies with the guidance of ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements required under other accounting pronouncements. The Corporation also follows the guidance on matters relating to all financial instruments found in ASC Subtopic 825-10, Financial Instruments – Overall.

Fair value is defined as the price to sell an asset or to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between willing market participants as of the measurement date. Fair value is best determined by values quoted through active trading markets. Active trading markets are characterized by numerous transactions of similar financial instruments between willing buyers and willing sellers. Because no active trading market exists for various types of financial instruments, many of the fair values disclosed were derived using present value discounted cash flows or other valuation techniques described below. As a result, the Corporation's ability to actually realize these derived values cannot be assumed.

The Corporation measures fair values based on the fair value hierarchy established in ASC Paragraph 820-10-35-37. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value under the hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets and liabilities. This level is the most reliable source of valuation.

Level 2: Quoted prices that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability. Level 2 inputs include inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (for example, interest rates and yield curves at commonly quoted intervals, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks, and default rates). It also includes inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means (market-corroborated inputs). Several sources are utilized for valuing these assets, including a contracted valuation service, Standard & Poor's ("S&P") evaluations and pricing services, and other valuation matrices.

Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the valuation assumptions and not readily observable in the market (i.e. supported with little or no market activity). Level 3 instruments are valued based on the best available data, some of which is internally developed, and consider risk premiums that a market participant would require.

The level established within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The Corporation believes that its valuation techniques are appropriate and consistent with the techniques used by other market participants. However, the use of different methodologies and assumptions could result in a different estimate of fair values at the reporting date. The following valuation techniques were used to measure the fair value of assets in the table below which are measured on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of September 30, 2010.

Investments available-for-sale – The fair value of investments available-for-sale is determined using a market approach. As of September 30, 2010, the U.S. Government agencies, residential mortgage-backed securities, private label residential mortgage-backed securities, and municipal bonds segments are classified as Level 2 within the valuation hierarchy. Their fair values were determined based upon market-corroborated inputs and valuation matrices, which were obtained through third party data service providers or securities brokers through which the Corporation has historically transacted both purchases and sales of investment securities.

The CDO segment, which consists of pooled trust preferred securities issued by banks, thrifts and insurance companies, is classified as Level 3 within the valuation hierarchy. At September 30, 2010, the Corporation owned 18 pooled trust preferred securities with an amortized cost of \$36.4 million and a fair value of \$9.6 million. The market for these securities at September 30, 2010 is not active and markets for similar securities are also not active. The

inactivity was evidenced first by a significant widening of the bid-ask spread in the brokered markets in which these securities trade and then by a significant decrease in the volume of trades relative to historical levels. The new issue market is also inactive, as few CDOs have been issued since 2007. There are currently very few market participants who are willing to transact for these securities. The market values for these securities or any securities other than those issued or guaranteed by the U.S. Department of the Treasury (the "Treasury"), are very depressed relative to historical levels. Therefore, in the current market, a low market price for a particular bond may only provide evidence of stress in the credit markets in general rather than being an indicator of credit problems with a particular issue. Given the conditions in the current debt markets and the absence of observable transactions in the secondary and new issue markets, management has determined that (a) the few observable transactions and market quotations that are available are not reliable for the purpose of obtaining fair value at September 30, 2010, (b) an income valuation approach technique (i.e. present value) that maximizes the use of relevant observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs will be equally or more representative of fair value than a market approach, and (c) the CDO segment is appropriately classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy because management determined that significant adjustments were required to determine fair value at the measurement date.

Beginning in the first quarter of 2010, management utilized an independent third party to prepare both the evaluations of other-than-temporary impairment as well as the fair value determinations for its CDO portfolio. In previous periods, management performed internal impairment valuations and utilized a third party service for the portfolio pricing. Management believes the change will provide a more consistent approach going forward and does not believe that there were any material differences in the impairment evaluations and pricing between December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2010.

The approach of the third party utilized beginning in the first quarter of 2010 to determine fair value involved several steps, including detailed credit and structural evaluation of each piece of collateral in each bond, default, recovery and prepayment/amortization probabilities for each piece of collateral in the bond, and discounted cash flow modeling. The discount rate methodology used by the third party combines a baseline current market yield for comparable corporate and structured credit products with adjustments based on evaluations of the differences found in structure and risks associated with actual and projected credit performance of each CDO being valued. Currently, the only active and liquid trading market that exists is for stand-alone trust preferred securities. Therefore, adjustments to the baseline discount rate are also made to reflect the additional leverage found in structured instruments.

Previously, the Corporation obtained fair values for these securities from Moody's Analytics and from S&P. Information such as performance of the underlying collateral, deferral/default rates, cash flow projections, related relevant trades, models, inquiries of trading firms who are prominent in the trust preferred securities market, actual market activity, clearing levels where bonds are likely to trade, current market sentiment and other analytical tools were utilized by the third-parties in determining individual security valuations in accordance with proper accounting guidance.

In determining the fair values of the CDOs with no intent to sell at December 31, 2009, Moody's Analytics utilized an income valuation approach (present value technique) which maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs. Management believes that this approach is more indicative of fair value than the market approach that has been used historically, and involves several steps. The credit quality of the collateral was estimated using the average probability of default values for each underlying issuer, adjusted for credit ratings. The default probabilities also considered the potential for correlation among issuers within the same industry, such as banks with other banks. The loss given default was assumed to be 95%, allowing for a 5% recovery of collateral. Management elected to utilize the option assuming that there were no defaults or deferrals for a two-year time period for those banks who have publicly announced participation in the Treasury's Capital Purchase Program (the "CPP"). The cash flows for the securities were forecast for the underlying collateral and applied to each tranche in the structure to determine the resulting distribution among the securities. These expected cash flows were then discounted to calculate the present value of the security. The effective discount rate utilized by Moody's Analytics for the various securities in the present value calculation was the three-month LIBOR plus 200 basis points (a risk free rate plus a premium for illiquidity). The resulting prices are highly dependent upon the credit quality of the collateral, the relative position of the tranche in the capital structure of the security and the prepayment assumptions. Moody's Analytics modeled the calculations in several thousand scenarios using a Monte Carlo engine and the average price was used for valuation purposes.

S&P is another independent third party whose pricing methodology is based upon inquiries of trading firms who are prominent in the trust preferred market. Information such as actual market activity, clearing levels where bonds are likely to trade and current market sentiment are considered in valuations. S&P structures their approach to pricing on the premise that the market now trades on dollar price versus yield or discount margin. This pricing methodology is more market driven, considering distressed sales, and is more indicative of the pricing likely to be achieved should the securities be sold in the short term. Management utilized this approach in determining the fair values of the CDOs for which the Corporation had intent to sell at December 31, 2009. These securities were sold in the first quarter of 2010.

Derivative Financial Instruments – The Corporation’s open derivative positions are interest rate swaps that are classified as Level 3 within the valuation hierarchy. Open derivative positions are valued using externally developed pricing models based on observable market inputs provided by a third party and validated by management. The Corporation has considered counterparty credit risk in the valuation of its interest rate swap assets.

Impaired loans – Loans included in the table below are those that are considered impaired under the guidance of the loan impairment subsection of the Receivables Topic, ASC Section 310-10-35, under which the Corporation has measured impairment generally based on the fair value of the loan's collateral. Fair value consists of the loan balance less its valuation allowance and is generally determined based on independent third-party appraisals of the collateral or discounted cash flows based upon the expected proceeds. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements.

Foreclosed real estate – Fair value of foreclosed assets was based on independent third-party appraisals of the properties. These values were determined based on the sales prices of similar properties in the approximate geographic area. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements.

For assets measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy used at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows:

Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2010 Using (In Thousands)				
Description	Assets Measured at Fair Value 9/30/2010	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Recurring:				
Investment securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. government agencies	\$32,052		\$32,052	
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	\$98,459		\$98,459	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$737		\$737	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$98,410		\$98,410	
Collateralized debt obligations	\$9,599			\$ 9,599
Financial Derivative	\$(1,217)			\$ (1,217)
Non-recurring:				
Impaired loans ¹	\$18,516			\$ 18,516
Foreclosed real estate	\$1,011			\$ 1,011

¹The impaired loans fair value consists of impaired loans net of the \$7,260 valuation allowance.

Fair Value Measurements at
December 31, 2009 Using
(In Thousands)

Description	Assets Measured at Fair Value 12/31/2009	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Recurring:				
Investment securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. government agencies	\$68,263		\$68,263	
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	\$62,573		\$62,573	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$33,197		\$33,197	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$97,303		\$97,303	
Collateralized debt obligations	\$12,448			\$ 12,448
Financial Derivative	\$(60)			\$ (60)
Non-recurring:				
Impaired loans ¹	\$21,053			\$ 21,053
Foreclosed real estate	\$40			\$ 40

¹The impaired loans fair value consists of impaired loans net of the \$7,624 valuation allowance.

There were no transfers of assets between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 or September 30, 2009.

The following tables show a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for fair valued assets measured using Level 3 significant unobservable inputs for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2010:

	Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) (In Thousands)		
	Investment Securities Available for Sale	Investment Securities – Trading	Cash Flow Hedge
Beginning balance January 1, 2010	\$12,448	\$--	\$(60)
Total gains/(losses) realized/unrealized:			
Included in earnings	(8,275)	1	--
Included in other comprehensive loss	5,628	--	(1,157)
Purchases, issuances, and settlements	--	--	--
Transfers from Available-for-Sale to Trading	--	--	--
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	--	--	--
Sales	(202)	(1)	--
Ending balance September 30, 2010	\$9,599	\$--	\$(1,217)

The amount of total gains or losses for the period
included in earnings attributable to the change in
realized/unrealized gains or losses related to assets
still held at the reporting date

\$(8,275) \$ -- \$ --

	Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) (In Thousands)		
	Investment Securities Available for Sale	Investment Securities – Trading	Cash Flow Hedge
Beginning balance July 1, 2010	\$9,318	\$--	\$(850)
Total gains/(losses) realized/unrealized:			
Included in earnings	(210)	--	--
Included in other comprehensive loss	491	--	(367)
Purchases, issuances, and settlements	--	--	--
Transfers from Available-for-Sale to Trading	--	--	--
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	--	--	--
Sales	--	--	--
Ending balance September 30, 2010	\$9,599	\$--	\$(1,217)
The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in realized/unrealized gains or losses related to assets still held at the reporting date	\$(210)	\$ --	\$ --

Gains and losses (realized and unrealized) included in earnings for the periods above are reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in Other Operating Income.

The fair values disclosed may vary significantly between institutions based on the estimates and assumptions used in the various valuation methodologies. The derived fair values are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and significant judgment. Therefore, they cannot be determined with precision. Changes in the assumptions could significantly impact the derived estimates of fair value. Disclosure of non financial assets such as buildings as well as certain financial instruments such as leases is not required. Accordingly, the aggregate fair values presented do not represent the underlying value of the Corporation.

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Corporation in estimating its fair value disclosures for financial instruments:

Cash and due from banks: The carrying amounts as reported in the statement of financial condition for cash and due from banks approximate their fair values.

Interest bearing deposits in banks: The carrying amount of interest bearing deposits approximates their fair values.

Restricted Bank stock: The carrying value of stock issued by the FHLB of Atlanta, Atlantic Central Bankers Bank and CBB approximates fair value based on the redemption provisions of the stock.

Loans (excluding impaired loans with specific loss allowances): For variable rate loans that reprice frequently or “in one year or less”, and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. Fair values for fixed rate loans that do not reprice frequently are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies current market interest rates being offered on the various loan products.

Deposits: The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest and non-interest checking, savings, and certain types of money market accounts, etc.) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair values for fixed rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on the various certificates of deposit to the cash flow stream.

Borrowed funds: The fair value of the Corporation's FHLB borrowings and junior subordinated debt is calculated based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows, using rates currently existing for borrowings with similar remaining maturities. The carrying amounts of federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase approximate their fair values.

Accrued Interest: The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable and payable approximates their fair values.

Off-Balance-Sheet Financial Instruments: In the normal course of business, the Bank makes commitments to extend credit and issues standby letters of credit. The Bank expects most of these commitments to expire without being drawn upon; therefore, the commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Due to the uncertainty of cash flows and difficulty in the predicting the timing of such cash flows, fair values were not estimated for these instruments.

The following table presents fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the statement of financial condition, for which it is practicable to estimate that value. The actual carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Corporation's financial instruments that are included in the statement of financial condition are as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Financial Assets:				
Cash and due from banks	\$253,433	\$253,433	\$139,169	\$139,169
Interest bearing deposits in banks	108,818	108,818	50,502	50,502
Investment securities-AFS	239,257	239,257	273,784	273,784
Restricted Bank stock	12,931	12,931	13,861	13,861
Loans, net	1,019,736	995,703	1,101,794	1,093,241
Accrued interest receivable	5,319	5,319	6,103	6,103
Financial Liabilities:				
Deposits	1,386,429	1,356,330	1,304,166	1,251,465
Borrowed funds	287,286	297,412	318,107	325,090
Accrued interest payable	2,466	2,466	2,881	2,881
Financial derivative	1,217	1,217	60	60
Off balance sheet financial instruments	--	--	--	--

Note I – Comprehensive Income/(Loss)

Other comprehensive income/(loss) ("OCI") consists of the changes in unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities available-for-sale, pension obligations and cash flow hedges. Total comprehensive income/(loss), which consists of net income/(loss) plus the changes in other comprehensive income/(loss), was \$2.4 million and \$7.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$2.1 million and \$6.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The following table presents the accumulated other comprehensive loss for the 12 months ended December 31, 2009 and the three months ended March 31, 2010, June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	Investment securities— with OTTI	Investment securities— all other	Cash Flow Hedge	Pension Plan	SERP	Total
Accumulated OCI, net:						
Balance-December 31, 2008	\$--	\$(33,190)	\$--	\$(7,386)	\$(407)	\$(40,983)
Net gain/(loss) during period	(9,364)	21,786	(36)	2,335	(397)	14,324
Balance-December 31, 2009	(9,364)	(11,404)	(36)	(5,051)	(804)	(26,659)
Net gain/(loss) during period	(2,208)	7,921	(163)	--	--	5,550
Balance-March 31, 2010	(11,572)	(3,483)	(199)	(5,051)	(804)	(21,109)
Net gain/(loss) during period	578	506	(309)	--	--	775
Balance-June 30, 2010	(10,994)	(2,977)	(508)	(5,051)	(804)	(20,334)
Net gain/(loss) during period	362	1,616	(218)	--	--	1,760
Balance-September 30, 2010	\$(10,632)	\$(1,361)	\$(726)	(5,051)	(804)	(18,574)

The following table presents the components of other comprehensive income/(loss) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009 (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30		Three Months Ended September 30	
Components of OCI	2010	2009	2010	2009
Available for sale (AFS) securities with OTTI:				
Securities with OTTI charges during the period	\$(10,401)	\$(18,334)	\$397	\$(12,538)
Less: OTTI charges recognized in income	(8,275)	(10,842)	(210)	(8,661)
Unrealized (losses)/gains on investments with OTTI	(2,126)	(7,492)	607	(3,877)
Taxes	858	3,023	(245)	1,564
Net unrealized (losses)/gains on investments with OTTI	(1,268)	(4,469)	362	(2,313)
Available for sale securities – all other:				
Unrealized holding gains during the period	4,447	5,178	3,108	11,264
Less: reclassification adjustment for losses recognized in income	(1,992)	--	--	--
Less: securities with OTTI charges during the period	(10,401)	(18,334)	397	(12,538)
Unrealized gains on all other AFS securities	16,840	23,512	2,711	23,802
Taxes	(6,797)	(9,489)	(1,095)	(9,606)
Net unrealized gains on all other AFS securities	10,043	14,023	1,616	14,196
Net unrealized gains on AFS securities	8,775	9,554	1,978	11,883
Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges	(1,157)	(284)	(367)	(284)
Taxes	467	115	149	115
Net unrealized losses on cash flow hedges	(690)	(169)	(218)	(169)
Total	\$8,085	\$9,385	\$1,760	\$11,714

Note J – Junior Subordinated Debentures

In March 2004, First United Corporation's two Connecticut statutory trusts, First United Statutory Trust I and First United Statutory Trust II, issued preferred securities with an aggregate liquidation amount of \$30.9 million to third-party investors and issued common equity with an aggregate liquidation amount of \$.9 million to First United Corporation. These trusts used the proceeds of these offerings to purchase an equal amount of junior subordinated debentures of First United Corporation, as follows:

\$20.6 million—floating rate payable quarterly based on floating rate based on three-month LIBOR plus 275 basis points (3.04% at September 30, 2010), maturing in 2034, redeemable five years after issuance at First United Corporation's option.

\$10.3 million--floating rate payable quarterly based on three-month LIBOR plus 275 basis points (3.04% at September 30, 2010) maturing in 2034, redeemable five years after issuance at First United Corporation's option.

In December 2004, First United Corporation issued \$5.0 million of junior subordinated debentures. The debentures had a fixed rate of 5.88% for the first five years, payable quarterly, and converted to a floating rate in March 2010 based on the three month LIBOR plus 185 basis points (2.14% at September 30, 2010). The debentures mature in 2014, but are redeemable five years after issuance at First United Corporation's option.

In December 2009, First United Corporation's Delaware statutory trust, First United Statutory Trust III ("Trust III"), issued 9.875% fixed-rate preferred securities with an aggregate liquidation amount of approximately \$7.2 million to private investors and issued common securities to First United Corporation with an aggregate liquidation amount of approximately \$.2 million. Trust III used the proceeds of the offering to purchase approximately \$7.2 million of 9.875% fixed-rate junior subordinated debentures of First United Corporation. In January 2010, Trust III issued an additional \$3.5 million of 9.875% fixed-rate preferred securities to private investors and issued common securities to First United Corporation with an aggregate liquidation amount of \$.1 million. Trust III used the proceeds of the offering to purchase \$3.6 million of 9.875% fixed-rate junior subordinated debentures of First United Corporation. Interest on the debentures is payable quarterly, and the debentures mature in 2040 but are redeemable five years after issuance at First United Corporation's option.

The debentures issued to the foregoing trusts represent the sole assets of those trusts, and payments of the debentures by First United Corporation are the only sources of cash flow for the trusts. First United Corporation has the right to defer interest on all of the foregoing debentures for up to 20 quarterly periods, in which case distributions on the preferred securities will also be deferred. Should this occur, First United Corporation may not pay dividends or distributions on, or repurchase, redeem or acquire any shares of its capital stock. As of September 30, 2010, First United Corporation has not deferred any payments.

Note K – Borrowed Funds

The following is a summary of short-term borrowings with original maturities of less than one year (in thousands):

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, with weighted average interest rate at end of period of 0.47% and 0.66%, respectively	43,922	47,563
	\$43,922	\$47,563

At September 30, 2010, the repurchase agreements were secured by \$45.0 million in available for sale investment securities.

The following is a summary of long-term borrowings with original maturities exceeding one year (in thousands):

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
FHLB advances, bearing interest at rates ranging from 2.46% to 4.93% at September 30, 2010	\$196,634	\$227,423
Junior subordinated debt, bearing interest at rates ranging from 2.14% to 9.88% at September 30, 2010	46,730	43,121
	\$243,364	\$270,544

At September 30, 2010, the long-term FHLB advances are secured by \$134.0 million in loans, \$76.0 million in cash, and \$7.6 million in securities.

The contractual maturities of all long-term borrowings are as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Due in 2010	\$ 250	\$ 31,000
Due in 2011	51,000	51,000
Due in 2012	44,250	44,250
Due in 2013	--	--
Due in 2014	5,000	--
Thereafter	142,864	144,294
Total long-term debt	\$ 243,364	\$ 270,544

Note L - Pension and SERP Plans

The following table presents the components of the net periodic pension plan cost for First United Corporation's Defined Benefit Pension Plan and the Bank's Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP"):

	For the nine months ended September 30,		For the three months ended September 30,	
Pension (In thousands)	2010	2009	2010	2009
Service cost	\$--	\$606	\$--	\$202
Interest cost	981	912	327	304
Expected return on assets	(1,523)	(1,275)	(508)	(425)
Amortization of transition asset	(30)	(30)	(10)	(10)
Recognized net actuarial loss	259	465	86	155
Amortization of prior service cost	5	9	2	3
Net pension expense included in employee benefits	\$(308)	\$687	\$(103)	\$229
SERP (In thousands)	For the nine months ended September 30,		For the three months ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009

Edgar Filing: FIRST UNITED CORP/MD/ - Form 10-Q

Service cost	\$130	\$100	\$43	\$33
Interest cost	203	172	68	58
Amortization of recognized loss	45	--	15	--
Amortization of prior service cost	94	95	31	32
Net pension expense included in employee benefits	\$472	\$367	\$157	\$123

In March 2010, the Corporation notified participants of the pension plan that it will not recognize Years of Service credited after April 30, 2010 and will not accept new entrants to the plan effective April 30, 2010.

The Corporation does not intend to contribute to the pension plan in 2010 based upon its fully funded status and an evaluation of the future benefits provided under the pension plan. The Corporation expects to fund the annual projected benefit payments for the SERP from operations.

Note M - Equity Compensation Plan Information

At the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, First United Corporation's shareholders approved the First United Corporation Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan (the "Omnibus Plan"), which authorizes the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock awards, stock units, performance units, dividend equivalents, and other stock-based awards to employees or directors totaling up to 185,000 shares.

On June 18, 2008, the Board of Directors of First United Corporation adopted a Long-Term Incentive Program (the "LTIP"). This program was adopted as a sub-plan of the Omnibus Plan to reward participants for increasing shareholder value, align executive interests with those of shareholders, and serve as a retention tool for key executives. Under the LTIP, participants are granted shares of restricted common stock of First United Corporation. The amount of an award is based on a specified percentage of the participant's salary as of the date of grant. These shares will vest if the Corporation meets or exceeds certain performance thresholds. There were no grants of restricted stock outstanding at September 30, 2010.

The Corporation complies with the provisions of ASC Topic 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation, in measuring and disclosing stock compensation cost. The measurement objective in ASC Compensation Paragraph 718-10-30-6 requires public companies to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant date fair value of the award. The cost is recognized in expense over the period in which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award (the vesting period). The performance-related shares granted in connection with the LTIP are expensed ratably from the date that the likelihood of meeting the performance measures is probable through the end of a three year vesting period.

The federal American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (the "Recovery Act") imposes restrictions on the type and timing of bonuses and incentive compensation that may be accrued for or paid to certain employees of institutions that participated in the CPP. The Recovery Act generally limits bonuses and incentive compensation to grants of long-term restricted stock that, among other requirements, cannot fully vest until the CPP assistance is repaid, but certain types of compensation are grandfathered.

Stock-based awards were made to non-employee directors in May 2010. Each award, which is part of the director's annual compensation package, totaled 12,166 shares having a fair market value of \$5.75 per share. Share-based compensation expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$70,000.

Note N – Letters of Credit and Off Balance Sheet Liabilities

The Corporation does not issue any guarantees that would require liability recognition or disclosure other than the standby letters of credit issued by the Bank. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Generally, the Bank's letters of credit are issued with expiration dates within one year. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Bank generally holds collateral and/or personal guarantees supporting these commitments. The Bank had \$5.2 million of outstanding standby letters of credit at September 30, 2010 and \$2.9 million at December 31, 2009. Management believes that the proceeds obtained through a liquidation of collateral and the enforcement of guarantees would be sufficient to cover the potential amount of future payment required by the letters of credit. Management does not believe that the amount of the liability associated with guarantees under standby letters of credit outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 is material.

Note O – Derivative Financial Instruments

As a part of managing interest rate risk, the Corporation entered into interest rate swap agreements to modify the re-pricing characteristics of certain interest-bearing liabilities. The Corporation has designated its interest rate swap agreements as cash flow hedges under the guidance of ASC Subtopic 815-30, Derivatives and Hedging – Cash Flow Hedges. Cash flow hedges have the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative, net of taxes, recorded in net accumulated other comprehensive income.

In July 2009, the Corporation entered into three interest rate swap contracts totaling \$20.0 million notional amount, hedging future cash flows associated with floating rate trust preferred debt. At September 30, 2010, the fair value of the interest rate swap contracts was (\$1.2) million and was reported in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. Cash in the amount of \$1.2 million was posted as collateral as of September 30, 2010.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Corporation recorded a decrease in the value of the derivatives of \$1.2 million and the related deferred tax benefit of \$467 thousand in net accumulated other comprehensive loss to reflect the effective portion of cash flow hedges. For the three months ended September 30, 2010, the Corporation recorded a decrease in the value of the derivatives of \$367 thousand and the related deferred tax benefit of \$149 thousand in net accumulated other comprehensive loss to reflect the effective portion of cash flow hedges. ASC Subtopic 815-30 requires this amount to be reclassified to earnings if the hedge becomes ineffective or is terminated. There was no hedge ineffectiveness recorded for the nine months ending September 30, 2010. The Corporation does not expect any losses relating to these hedges to be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months.

Interest rate swap agreements are entered into with counterparties that meet established credit standards and the Corporation believes that the credit risk inherent in these contracts is not significant as of September 30, 2010.

The table below discloses the impact of derivative financial instruments on the Corporation's Consolidated Financial Statements for the periods indicated.

Derivative in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount of gain or (loss) recognized in OCI on derivative (effective portion)	Amount of gain or (loss) reclassified from accumulated OCI into income (effective portion) (a)	Amount of gain or (loss) recognized in income on derivative (ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing) (b)
(In thousands)			
Interest rate contracts:			
Nine months ended September 30, 2010	\$(690)	\$ --	\$ --
Three months ended September 30, 2010	\$(218)	\$ --	\$ --

(a) Reported as interest expense

(b) Reported as other income

Note P – Variable Interest Entities

In November 2009, the Bank became a 99.99% limited partner in Liberty Mews Limited Partnership (the "Partnership"), a Maryland limited partnership formed for the purpose of acquiring, developing and operating low-income housing units in Garrett County, Maryland. The Partnership will be financed with a total of \$10.6 million of funding, including a \$6.1 million equity contribution from the Bank as the limited partner. The Partnership will use the proceeds from these sources to purchase the land and construct a 36-unit low income housing rental complex at a total projected cost of \$10.6 million. The total assets of the Partnership were approximately \$6.7 million at September 30, 2010 and \$ 2.0 million at December 31, 2009.

Through September 30, 2010, the Bank had made contributions totaling \$3.2 million. The remaining \$2.8 million in contributions are scheduled to be made over the balance of 2010 and into the first quarter of 2011. Once the project is complete, estimated to be in March 2011, and certain qualifying hurdles are met and maintained, the Bank will be entitled to \$8.4 million in federal investment tax credits over a 10-year period. The Bank will also receive the benefit

of tax operating losses from the Partnership to the extent of its capital contribution. The investment in the Partnership assists the Bank in achieving its community reinvestment initiatives.

Because the Partnership is considered to be a variable interest entity (“VIE”), management performed an analysis to determine whether its involvement with the Partnership would lead it to determine that it must consolidate the Partnership. In performing its analysis, management evaluated the risks creating the variability in the Partnership and identified which activities most significantly impact the VIE’s economic performance. Finally, it examined each of the variable interest holders to determine which, if any, of the holders was the primary beneficiary based on their power to direct the most significant activities and their obligation to absorb potentially significant losses of the Partnership.

The Bank, as a limited partner, generally has no voting rights. The Bank is not in any way involved in the daily management of the Partnership and has no other rights that provide it with the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the Partnership's economic performance, which are to develop and operate the housing project in such a manner that complies with specific tax credit guidelines. As a limited partner, there is no recourse to the Bank by the creditors of the Partnership. The tax credits that result from the Bank's investment in the Partnership are generally subject to recapture should the partnership fail to comply with the applicable government regulations. The Bank has not provided any financial or other support to the Partnership beyond its required capital contributions and does not anticipate providing such support in the future. Management currently believes that no material losses are probable as a result of the Bank's investment in the Partnership.

On the basis of management's analysis, the general partner is deemed to be the primary beneficiary of the Partnership. Because the Bank is not the primary beneficiary, the Partnership has not been included in the Corporation's consolidated financial statements.

At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Corporation included the unfunded commitment in "Other Liabilities" and its total investment in the Partnership in "Other Assets" in its Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. The following table presents details of the Bank's involvement with the Partnership at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Investment in LIHTC Partnership		
Carrying amount on Balance Sheet of:		
Investment (Other Assets)	\$6,050	\$6,050
Unfunded commitment (Other Liabilities)	2,823	5,498
Maximum exposure to loss	6,050	6,050

Note Q – Adoption of New Accounting Standards and Effects of New Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses ("ASU 2010-20"). ASU 2010-20 adds a number of disclosures that are intended to provide a greater level of disaggregated information about the credit risk exposures of an entity's financing receivables, how those risks are analyzed and assessed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses, and the changes and reasons for changes in the allowance. For public entities, end of period disclosures are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010, and disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance will not impact the Corporation's financial position or results of operations.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-18, Effect of a Loan Modification When the Loan is part of a Pool That is Accounted for as a Single Asset ("ASU 2010-18") as a clarification of ASC Subtopic 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality. ASU 2010-18 provides guidance that modifications of acquired loans are accounted for within a pool (versus accounted for individually) do not result in the removal of those loans from the pool even if the modification of those loans would otherwise be considered a troubled debt restructuring. An entity will continue to be required to consider whether the pool of assets in which the loan is included is impaired if expected cash flows for the pool change. The amendments in ASU 2010-18 are effective for modifications of loans accounted for within pools under Subtopic 310-30 occurring in the first interim or annual period ending on or after

July 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the Corporation's financial position or results of operations.

In January 2010, the FASB amended fair value measurement and disclosure guidance in ASU No. 2010-6 to require disclosure of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and the reasons for the transfers and to require separate presentation of information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. The amended guidance also clarifies existing requirements that (a) fair value measurement disclosures should be disaggregated for each class of asset and liability and (b) disclosures about valuation techniques and inputs for both recurring and nonrecurring Level 2 and Level 3 fair value measurements should be provided. The Corporation has implemented this guidance, which became effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, which are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim periods within those years. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the Corporation's financial position or results of operations.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 166, Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, which was codified in December 2009 as ASU No. 2009-16, Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets ("ASU 2009-16"). This statement prescribes the information that a reporting entity must provide in its financial reports about a transfer of financial assets; the effects of a transfer on its financial position, financial performance and cash flows; and a transferor's continuing involvement in transferred financial assets. Specifically, among other aspects, ASU 2009-16 amends the guidance found in ASC Topic 860, Transfers and Servicing, by removing the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity and by modifying the financial-components approach used in Topic 860. The Corporation adopted the amended guidance, which became effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of ASU 2009-16 did not have any impact on its financial position and results of operations.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) which was codified in December 2009 as ASU No. 2009-17, Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities ("ASU 2009-17"). This statement amends guidance found in ASC Topic 810, Consolidation, that required an enterprise to determine whether it's variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a VIE. Under ASU 2009-17, the primary beneficiary of a VIE is the enterprise that has both (a) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and (b) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. ASU 2009-17 also amends Topic 810 to require ongoing reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE. The amended guidance became effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009.

Management evaluated whether the accounting for the VIEs that existed at December 31, 2009 would change as a result of the transition to the new standard effective January 1, 2010. Management's review concluded that the existing VIEs, which were determined to not require consolidation under the old standard, would continue to not require consolidation under the new standard. Management determined that the accounting treatment for the subordinated debentures issued by the Trusts described in Note J continues to be appropriate because the Corporation's equity is not at risk. The treatment of the Corporation's investment in a limited partnership is considered a VIE and is evaluated in Note P.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

INTRODUCTION

The following discussion and analysis is intended as a review of material changes in and significant factors affecting the financial condition and results of operations of the Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries for the periods indicated. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto contained in Item 1 of Part I of this report. Unless the context clearly suggests otherwise, references in this report to "us", "we", "our", and "the Corporation" are to First United Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report may contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Readers of this report should be aware of the speculative nature of "forward-looking statements." Statements that are not historical in nature, including those that include the words "anticipate", "estimate", "should", "expect", "believe", "intend", and similar expressions, are based on current expectations, estimates and projections about, among other things, the industry and the markets in which we operate, and they are not guarantees of future performance. Whether actual results will conform to expectations and predictions is subject to known and unknown

risks and uncertainties, including risks and uncertainties discussed in this report; general economic, market, or business conditions; changes in interest rates, deposit flow, the cost of funds, and demand for loan products and financial services; changes in our competitive position or competitive actions by other companies; changes in the quality or composition of our loan and investment portfolios; our ability to manage growth; changes in laws or regulations

or policies of federal and state regulators and agencies; and other circumstances beyond our control. Consequently, all of the forward-looking statements made in this report are qualified by these cautionary statements, and there can be no assurance that the actual results anticipated will be realized, or if substantially realized, will have the expected consequences on our business or operations. These and other risks are discussed in detail in the periodic reports that First United Corporation files with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) (see Item 1A of Part II of this report for further information). Except as required by applicable laws, we do not intend to publish updates or revisions of any forward-looking statements we make to reflect new information, future events or otherwise.

THE CORPORATION

The Corporation is a Maryland corporation chartered in 1985 and a financial holding company registered under the federal Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. The Corporation’s primary business is serving as the parent company of First United Bank & Trust, a Maryland trust company (the “Bank”), First United Insurance Group, LLC, a full service insurance provider organized under Maryland law (the “Insurance Group”), First United Statutory Trust I and First United Statutory Trust II, both Connecticut statutory business trusts (“Trust I” and “Trust II”, respectively), and First United Statutory Trust III, a Delaware statutory business trust (“Trust III” and together with Trust I and Trust II, the “Trusts”). The Trusts were formed for the purpose of selling trust preferred securities. The Bank has two wholly-owned subsidiaries: OakFirst Loan Center, Inc., a West Virginia finance company; and OakFirst Loan Center, LLC, a Maryland finance company (collectively, the “OakFirst Loan Centers”); and owns 99.9% of the limited partnership interests in Liberty Mews Limited Partnership, a Maryland limited partnership formed for the purpose of acquiring, developing and operating low-income housing units in Garrett County, Maryland. First United Insurance Agency, Inc., a subsidiary of OakFirst Loan Center, Inc., was merged into the Insurance Group effective June 30, 2009. The Bank provides a complete range of retail and commercial banking services to a customer base serviced by a network of 28 offices and 33 automated teller machines.

The Corporation maintains an Internet site at www.mybank4.com on which it makes available, free of charge, its Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to the foregoing as soon as reasonably practicable after these reports are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the “SEC”.

ESTIMATES AND CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

This discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent liabilities. (See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of Part II of First United Corporation’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009). On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates, including those related to loan losses, intangible assets, other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) of investment securities and pension plan assumptions. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Management described its critical accounting policies in First United Corporation’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. The following discussion updates critical accounting policies that were contained in the Annual Report on Form 10-K to reflect recent changes.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment of Investment Securities

Management believes that the valuation of certain securities is a critical accounting policy that requires significant estimates in preparation of its consolidated financial statements. Management systematically evaluates securities for impairment on a quarterly basis. Beginning in the first quarter of 2010, management utilized an independent third party to prepare both the impairment valuations and fair value determinations for its collateralized debt obligation (“CDO”) portfolio consisting of pooled trust preferred securities. In previous periods, management performed internal impairment valuations and utilized a third party service for the portfolio pricing. Management will continue to review the assumptions and results and does not believe that there were any material differences in the valuations between December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2010.

Allowance for Loan Losses

Management believes that the determination of the allowance for loan losses is a critical accounting policy that requires significant estimates in preparation of its consolidated financial statements. Management reviews the components of the allowance on a quarterly basis. Historically, management has utilized an internally developed spreadsheet to track and apply the various components of the allowance. In the second quarter of 2010, management began utilizing an externally developed software application to track and apply the allowance components. Prior to implementation, management had reviewed the results of both the internal and external application to ensure that there were no material differences in the determination of the allowance.

Other than as discussed above, management does not believe that any material changes in our critical accounting policies have occurred since December 31, 2009.

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth certain selected financial data for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and is qualified in its entirety by the detailed information and unaudited financial statements, including the notes thereto, included elsewhere in this quarterly report.

	As of or For the nine months ended September 30,			
	2010		2009	
Per Share Data				
Basic net loss per common share	\$ (1.12	)	\$ (.51	)
Diluted net loss per common share	\$ (1.12	)	\$ (.51	)
Dividends Paid on common shares	\$.12		\$.60	
Book Value	\$ 11.67		\$ 12.35	
Significant Ratios				
Return on Average Assets (a)	(.43	%)	(.16	%)
Return on Average Equity (a)	(7.50	%)	(2.68	%)
Dividend Payout Ratio (b)	(12.94	%)	(178.16	%)
Average Equity to Average Assets	5.72	%	6.15	%

Note: (a) Annualized

(b) Cash dividends paid on common stock as a percent of net loss

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

Consolidated net loss attributable to common shareholders for the first nine months of 2010 was \$6.87 million, compared to net loss attributable to common shareholders of \$3.1 million for the same period of 2009. Basic and diluted net loss per common share for the first nine months of 2010 were \$1.12, compared to basic and diluted net loss per common share of \$0.51 for the same period of 2009. The net loss was a result primarily from a decline in net

interest income of \$7.8 million and \$3.4 million of net losses related to a restructuring of the investment portfolio, and losses on the sale of foreclosed real estate. These losses were partially offset by a net income tax benefit of \$6.2 million and a decrease of \$2.6 million in credit-related OTTI charges when comparing the first nine months of 2010 to the first nine months of 2009. The decline in net interest income resulted in a compressed net interest margin, on a fully tax-equivalent basis, of 2.78% when compared to 3.68% in the first nine months of 2009. Interest income on our interest earning assets declined \$10.0 million, on a fully tax-equivalent basis, due to the increase in non-accrual loans throughout 2009, the decline in loan balances, the decrease in the investment portfolio and the lower interest rate environment. Additionally, during 2009 and throughout the first nine months of 2010, we elected to maintain an increased liquidity position. Our cash position has increased significantly due to our election not to reinvest cash from called investments and continued growth in our deposit base. This increase in cash levels has had a negative impact on our net interest income in the first nine months of 2010 of approximately \$8.3 million, or 67 basis points on our net interest margin.

The provision for loan losses was \$10.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$10.8 million for the same period of 2009. Specific allocations were made for impaired loans where management has determined that the collateral supporting the loans is not adequate to cover the loan balance and management increased the qualitative factors affecting the allowance for loan losses as a result of the current recession and distressed economic environment.

Interest expense on our interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$2.1 million in spite of a \$131 million increase in average deposits partially offset by a \$20 million decrease in average debt outstanding. The decline in expense was due to the low interest rate environment, our decision to only increase special pricing for full relationship customers and retail and brokered certificates of deposit renewing at lower interest rates due to the short duration of our portfolio.

Other operating income decreased \$1.1 million during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the same period of 2009. This decrease is primarily attributable to \$3.4 million of net losses related to a restructuring of the investment portfolio to limit potential market and interest rate risk from a rising rate environment and credit risk, losses on sales of foreclosed real estate and sales of loans, partially offset by a decrease of \$2.6 million in credit-related OTTI charges. Management also noted a decline of \$.7 million in service charge income due to the decline in consumer spending and the resulting decrease in overdraft income. Operating expenses decreased \$1.5 million in the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the same period of 2009. This decrease is due primarily to a \$1.1 million decline in salaries and benefits resulting from reduced service costs in the pension plan and elimination of all performance based pay for employees and management in 2010.

Consolidated net loss attributable to common shareholders for the third quarter of 2010 totaled \$.1 million or \$.01 per share, compared to net loss attributable to common shareholders of \$6.0 million or \$.97 per share for the same period of 2009. The net interest margin, on a fully tax-equivalent basis, for the third quarter of 2010 was 2.51% compared to 3.53% for the same period of 2009. This decrease is primarily attributable to a shift in the balance sheet mix from loans and investments to cash at lower investment rates. The increased liquidity position, at lower rates, was the primary factor that negatively impacted third quarter results.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the largest source of operating revenue and is the difference between the interest earned on interest-earning assets and the interest expense incurred on interest-bearing liabilities. For analytical and discussion purposes, net interest income is adjusted to a fully taxable equivalent ("FTE") basis to facilitate performance comparisons between taxable and tax-exempt assets. Fully taxable equivalent income is determined by increasing tax-exempt income by an amount equal to the federal income taxes that would have been paid if this income were taxable at the statutorily applicable rate. The following table sets forth the average balances, net interest income and expense, and average yields and rates of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

For the nine months ended September 30,
20102009

	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate		Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	
Interest-Earning Assets:								
Loans	\$1,110,710	\$46,635	5.61	%	\$1,132,999	\$51,606	6.09	%
Investment securities	247,401	9,491	5.15		330,823	14,827	5.99	
Other interest earning assets	287,453	407	.19		65,614	65	.14	
Total earning assets	\$1,645,564	56,533	4.59	%	\$1,529,436	66,498	5.81	%
Interest-bearing liabilities								
Interest-bearing deposits	\$1,253,588	13,904	1.48	%	\$1,122,255	15,385	1.83	%
Short-term borrowings	45,507	207	.61		44,231	237	.72	
Long-term borrowings	256,098	8,205	4.28		277,033	8,768	4.23	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$1,555,193	22,316	1.92	%	\$1,443,519	24,390	2.26	%
Net interest income and spread		\$34,217	2.67	%		\$42,108	3.55	%
Net interest margin			2.78	%			3.68	%

Net interest income on an FTE basis decreased \$7.9 million during the first nine months of 2010 over the same period in 2009 due to a \$10.0 million (15.0%) decrease in interest income partially offset by a \$2.1 million (8.5%) decrease in interest expense. The decrease in net interest income resulted primarily from a shift in the mix of earning assets from loans and investment securities into cash and cash equivalents (other interest earning assets) for the periods compared, as the Corporation made the conscious decision to increase its liquidity position during this period of risk and economic uncertainty. The cost of the liquidity position represented by the foregone interest income from the \$221.8 million in incremental average liquidity was approximately \$8.3 million, or 67 basis points of the 90 basis point decrease in the net interest margin from 3.68% during the first nine months of 2009 to 2.78% for the same time period of 2010.

The overall \$116.1 million increase in average interest-earning assets at lower yields also impacted the 122 basis point decline in the average yield on our average earning assets, which dropped from 5.81% for the first nine months of 2009 to 4.59% for the first nine months of 2010 (on an FTE basis).

Interest expense decreased during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the same period of 2009 due to an overall reduction in interest rates on time deposits driven by our decision to only increase special rates for full relationship customers, and the shorter duration of the portfolio. This more than offset the impact of a \$111.7 million increase in average interest-bearing liabilities in the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the same time period for 2009, with interest-bearing deposits increasing by approximately \$131.3 million. The overall effect of these changes was a 34 basis point decrease in the average rate paid on our average interest-bearing liabilities from 2.26% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 to 1.92% for the same period of 2010.

The following table sets forth the average balances, net interest income and expense, and average yields and rates of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

For the three months ended September 30,
20102009

	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate		Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	
Interest-Earning Assets:								
Loans	\$1,063,538	\$15,246	5.75	%	\$1,140,369	\$17,076	5.94	%
Investment securities	233,055	2,310	3.98		326,413	4,541	5.52	
Other interest earning assets	365,817	183	.20		89,996	61	.29	
Total earning assets	\$1,662,410	17,739	4.28	%	\$1,556,778	21,678	5.52	%
Interest-bearing liabilities								
Interest-bearing deposits	\$1,283,068	4,682	1.46	%	\$1,103,462	4,835	1.74	%
Short-term borrowings	55,176	68	.49		45,523	82	.71	
Long-term borrowings	243,526	2,602	4.29		276,770	2,916	4.18	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$1,581,770	7,352	1.86	%	\$1,425,755	7,833	2.18	%
Net interest income and spread		\$10,387	2.42	%		\$13,845	3.34	%
Net interest margin			2.51	%			3.53	%

Net interest income on an FTE basis decreased \$3.5 million for the third quarter of 2010 in comparison to the third quarter of 2009. This decrease resulted from a decrease in interest income of \$3.9 million partially offset by a \$0.5 million decrease in interest expense during the period. Overall, the net interest margin decreased by 102 basis points from 3.53% to 2.51% when comparing quarter to quarter, due primarily to the change in earning asset mix discussed above. Average loans decreased by \$76.8 million and the average balance in investment securities declined by \$93.4 million. Other interest-earning assets increased \$275.8 million due to the increased liquidity position. Average interest-bearing liabilities increased by \$156.0 million (10.9%) during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009. This increase resulted primarily from increases in interest-bearing deposits partially offset by decreases in long-term borrowings. The effective rate on these liabilities decreased by 32 basis points as the Corporation continued to manage the rates on its certificates of deposit portfolio.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses was \$10.7 million for the first nine months of 2010, compared to \$10.8 million for the same period of 2009. The provision for loan losses is a result of increases in the rolling historical loss rates and qualitative factors utilized in the determination of the allowance for loans collectively evaluated discussed below in the section entitled "FINANCIAL CONDITION" under the heading "Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses". Management strives to ensure that the Allowance for Loan Losses reflects a level commensurate with the risk inherent in our loan portfolio.

Other Operating Income

Other operating income, exclusive of losses, decreased \$.5 million during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the same period of 2009. Service charge income decreased \$.7 million due primarily to a reduction in non-sufficient funds (NSF) fees and increased charge-off overdraft fees. Trust department income increased \$.4 million during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009 due to an increase in assets under management and the fees received on those accounts. Income on Bank Owned Life Insurance increased

\$.4 million during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the same period of 2009 due to the surrender of the separate account and purchase of general account contracts during the fourth quarter of 2009.

Net losses of \$11.7 million were reported through other income in the first nine months of 2010 compared to \$11.1 million during the same period of 2009. There were \$8.3 million in losses in 2010 that were attributable to other-than-temporary impairment losses on the investment portfolio, down from the \$10.8 million in 2009. Other losses of \$3.4 million in the first nine months of 2010 consisted primarily of \$2.4 million from sales of investments, \$.2 million from a loan sale in the second quarter and \$.8 million from sales of foreclosed real estate.

Other operating income, exclusive of losses, for the third quarter of 2010 decreased \$.2 million when compared to the third quarter of 2009. Service charge income decreased \$.3 million due primarily to a reduction in NSF fees and increased charge-off overdrafts. Bank Owned Life Insurance income increased \$.1 million during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009 due to the surrender of the separate account contracts and purchase of general account contracts during the fourth quarter of 2009.

Net losses of \$0.9 million were reported through other income in the third quarter of 2010 compared to \$8.5 million during the same period of 2009. There were \$.2 million in losses in the third quarter of 2010 attributable to other-than-temporary impairment losses on the investment portfolio, down from \$8.7 million in 2009. Other losses of \$.7 million in the third quarter of 2010 consisted primarily of \$.2 million from sales of investments and \$.5 million from sales of foreclosed real estate.

The following table shows the major components of other operating income for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, exclusive of net losses:

	Income as % of Total Other Operating Income							
	For the nine months ended				For the three months ended			
	September 30,				September 30,			
	2010		2009		2010		2009	
Service charges	31	%	36	%	30	%	37	%
Trust department	26	%	22	%	25	%	24	%
Insurance commissions	18	%	18	%	18	%	17	%
Debit card Income	11	%	9	%	10	%	9	%
Bank owned life insurance	7	%	3	%	7	%	3	%
Other income	7	%	12	%	10	%	10	%
	100	%	100	%	100	%	100	%

Other Operating Expenses

Other operating expenses decreased \$1.5 million (4%) for the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the first nine months of 2009. For the third quarter 2010, other operating expenses decreased \$.3 million, or 3%, when compared to the same time period of 2009. The decrease for the first nine months of 2010 is primarily due to a decline of \$1.1 million in salaries and benefits resulting from reduced service costs in the pension plan and elimination of all performance based pay for employees and management. FDIC premiums increased \$.5 million during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the same period of 2009 due to the increased rate structure. Other miscellaneous expenses decreased \$.9 million for the first nine months of 2010 compared to the same time period of 2009. This decrease is attributable to reductions in expenses such as marketing, postage, and office supplies.

The decrease in other operating expense for the third quarter of 2010 is primarily due to a decline of \$.2 million in salaries and benefits due to the aforementioned factors above. There have not been any special assessments by the FDIC as of September 30, 2010. Other miscellaneous expenses decreased \$.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared the same time period of 2009 due to the aforementioned factors above.

The composition of operating expense for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 is illustrated in the following table.

Expense as % of Total Other Operating Expenses

Edgar Filing: FIRST UNITED CORP/MD/ - Form 10-Q

	For the nine months ended September 30,				For the three months ended September 30,			
	2010		2009		2010		2009	
Salaries and employee benefits	49	%	50	%	48	%	48	%
FDIC premiums	9	%	7	%	9	%	7	%
Occupancy, equipment and data processing	19	%	18	%	19	%	20	%
Other	23	%	25	%	24	%	25	%
	100	%	100	%	100	%	100	%

Applicable Income Taxes

In reporting interim financial information, income tax provisions should be determined under the procedures set forth in ASC Topic, Income Taxes, in Section 740-270-30. This guidance provides that at the end of each interim period the company should make its best estimate of the effective tax rate expected to be applicable for the full fiscal year. The rate so determined should be used in providing for income taxes on a current year-to-date basis. The effective tax rate should reflect anticipated investment tax credits, capital gains rates, and other available tax planning alternatives. However, in arriving at this effective tax rate no effect should be included for the tax related to significant, unusual or extraordinary items that will be separately reported or reported net of their related tax effect in reports for the interim period or for the fiscal year.

Based on the guidance in ASC Topic 740, management has concluded that the OTTI charge meets the definition of a “significant, unusual or extraordinary item that will be separately reported” based on the following:

- The impairment charge related to credit loss is significant and is a highly unusual event for investments, which were investment grade at the time of purchase and have become impaired as a result of the severe decline in the economy and an illiquid credit market.
 - The OTTI is reported as a separate line in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The effective tax benefit rate for the first nine months of 2010 was 52%, compared to an effective tax benefit rate of 57% for the first nine months of 2009. The decrease thus far in 2010 when compared to the same time period in 2009 is primarily attributable to the OTTI charge of \$8.3 million recognized in the first nine months of the year. The year-to-date loss coupled with forecasted favorable, permanent book to tax adjustments resulted in an income tax benefit for the Corporation and the 52% effective tax benefit rate.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Balance Sheet Overview

Total assets were \$1.8 billion at September 30, 2010, an increase of \$51.4 million since December 31, 2009. During this time period, cash and interest-bearing deposits in banks increased \$172.6 million, our investment portfolio decreased \$34.5 million, gross loans decreased \$77.9 million, and deferred tax assets decreased \$4.3 million. During 2009 and throughout the first nine months of 2010, we elected to maintain an increased liquidity position to comply with recent guidance published by the FDIC in FIL 13-2010 and in recognition of the distressed economic environment. Our cash position has increased significantly due to our election not to reinvest cash from called investments and continued growth in our deposit base. Although the cash levels should remain high through the fourth quarter, approximately \$75 million was used to payback brokered funds. The decrease in loans is due to runoff in our indirect portfolio as well as refinancings in the mortgage portfolio. Management has made the decision to utilize the secondary mortgage markets and government agencies as opposed to booking long, fixed rate mortgages. The decrease in our investment portfolio is due in part to calls that were not reinvested and to approximately \$26 million in sales from the portfolio restructuring that have not been reinvested as of September 30, 2010. Total liabilities increased by approximately \$50.3 million during the first nine months of 2010, reflecting increases in total deposits of \$82.3 million offset by a \$3.6 million decrease in short-term borrowings as a result of a decrease in repurchase agreements, our treasury management product and a \$27.2 million decrease in long-term borrowings due to repayment of two maturing FHLB advances. The increase in deposits is due in part to a \$48 million increase in the accounts of a local municipality.

Loan Portfolio

The following table presents the composition of our loan portfolio at the dates indicated:

(In millions)	September 30, 2010			December 31, 2009		
Commercial real estate	\$351.6	34	%	\$326.8	29	%
Acquisition and development	172.3	16		231.7	21	
Commercial and industrial	71.4	7		81.3	7	
Residential mortgage	362.5	35		373.2	33	
Consumer	86.2	8		108.9	10	
Total Loans	\$1,044.0	100	%	\$1,121.9	100	%

Comparing loans at September 30, 2010 to loans at December 31, 2009, our loan portfolio has decreased by \$77.9 million (6.9%). Commercial real estate loans increased \$24.8 million as certain acquisition and development loans, which decreased \$59.4 million, were completed and transferred to permanent financing. Commercial and industrial loans declined \$9.9 million and residential mortgage declined \$10.7 million. The decrease in the residential mortgage portfolio is attributable to the increased amount of loan refinancings that are occurring as consumers seek long-term fixed rate loans. The Corporation is not retaining these long-term fixed rate loans. Therefore, we are using secondary market and Fannie Mae outlets to satisfy these loan requests. The consumer portfolio declined \$22.7 million as repayment activity in the indirect auto portfolio exceeded new production resulting from the continued slowdown in economic activity and the special financing offered by the automotive manufacturers. At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, approximately 71% of the commercial loan portfolio was collateralized by real estate.

Risk Elements of Loan Portfolio

The following table presents the risk elements of our loan portfolio at the dates indicated. Management is not aware of any potential problem loans other than those listed in this table or discussed below.

(In thousands)	September 30, 2010	% of Applicable Portfolio	December 31, 2009	% of Applicable Portfolio
Non-accrual loans:				