Kayne Anderson MLP Investment CO Form N-CSRS July 30, 2015 Table of Contents

# **UNITED STATES**

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# Washington, D.C. 20549

# FORM N-CSR

# **CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED**

# MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-21593

# **Kayne Anderson MLP Investment Company**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

811 Main Street, 14th Floor, Houston, Texas (Address of principal executive offices) 77002 (Zip code)

David Shladovsky, Esq.

KA Fund Advisors, LLC, 811 Main Street, 14th Floor, Houston, Texas 77002

(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (713) 493-2020

Date of fiscal year end: November 30, 2015

Date of reporting period: May 31, 2015

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington,

# Edgar Filing: Kayne Anderson MLP Investment CO - Form N-CSRS

DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. § 3507.

#### Item 1. Reports to Stockholders.

The report of Kayne Anderson MLP Investment Company (the Registrant ) to stockholders for the semi-annual period ended May 31, 2015 is attached below.

MLP Investment Company

**KYN Semi-Annual Report** 

May 31, 2015

#### CONTENTS

	Page
Management Discussion	1
Portfolio Summary	6
Schedule of Investments	7
Statement of Assets and Liabilities	10
Statement of Operations	11
Statement of Changes in Net Assets Applicable to Common Stockholders	12
Statement of Cash Flows	14
Financial Highlights	15
Notes to Financial Statements	19
Privacy Policy Notice	39
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	41
Proxy Voting and Portfolio Holdings Information	44
Repurchase Disclosure	44
Results of Annual Meeting of Stockholders	45
CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS: This report of Kayne Anderson MLP Investment	

Company ( the Company ) contains forward-looking statements as defined under the U.S. federal securities laws. Generally, the words believe, expect, intend, estimate, anticipate, project, will and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements, which generally are not his in nature. Forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to materially differ from the Company s historical experience and its present expectations or projections indicated in any forward-looking statements. These risks include, but are not limited to, changes in economic and political conditions; regulatory and legal changes; master limited partnership ( MLP ) industry risk; leverage risk; valuation risk; interest rate risk; tax risk; and other risks discussed in the Company s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ). You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date they are made. The Company undertakes no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements made herein. There is no assurance that the Company s investment objectives will be attained.

#### KAYNE ANDERSON MLP INVESTMENT COMPANY

#### MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION

#### (UNAUDITED)

#### **Company Overview**

Kayne Anderson MLP Investment Company is a non-diversified, closed-end fund that commenced operations in September 2004. Our investment objective is to obtain a high after-tax total return by investing at least 85% of our total assets in energy-related master limited partnerships and their affiliates (MLPs) and in other companies that operate assets used in the gathering, transporting, processing, storing, refining, distributing, mining or marketing of natural gas, natural gas liquids, crude oil, refined petroleum products or coal (collectively with MLPs, Midstream Energy Companies).

As of May 31, 2015, we had total assets of \$6.7 billion, net assets applicable to our common stock of \$3.6 billion (net asset value of \$32.19 per share), and 110.9 million shares of common stock outstanding.

Our investments are principally in equity securities issued by MLPs, but we also may invest in debt securities of MLPs and equity/debt securities of other Midstream Energy Companies. As of May 31, 2015, we held \$6.7 billion in equity investments and no debt investments.

#### **Recent Events**

On July 23, 2015, Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors, L.P. (the managing member of our investment adviser) and Ares Management, L.P. entered into a definitive merger agreement to create Ares Kayne Management, L.P. The transaction is expected to close on or around January 1, 2016, subject to customary regulatory approvals and other closing conditions. See Note 14 Subsequent Events.

#### **Results of Operations** For the Three Months Ended May 31, 2015

*Investment Income.* Investment income totaled \$18.6 million for the quarter and consisted of net dividends and distributions on our investments. We received \$98.1 million of dividends and distributions, of which \$77.5 million was treated as return of capital and \$1.8 million was treated as distributions in excess of cost basis. During the second quarter, the Arc Logistics Partners LP private investment in public equity (PIPE investment) closed, resulting in a reduction to other income of \$0.2 million and a corresponding unrealized gain related to the commitment fee earned on the transaction. We also received \$1.6 million of paid-in-kind dividends during the quarter, which are not included in investment income, but are reflected as an unrealized gain.

*Operating Expenses.* Operating expenses totaled \$41.9 million, including \$22.6 million of net investment management fees (net of a \$0.7 million fee waiver), \$12.3 million of interest expense (including non-cash amortization of new issuance premium and debt offering costs of \$0.7 million) and \$0.9 million of other operating expenses. Preferred stock distributions for the quarter were \$6.1 million (including non-cash amortization of offering costs of \$0.3 million).

Net Investment Loss. Our net investment loss totaled \$14.9 million and included a deferred tax benefit of \$8.4 million.

*Net Realized Gains.* We had net realized gains from our investments of \$14.0 million, consisting of realized gains of \$22.3 million and a deferred tax expense of \$8.3 million.

*Net Change in Unrealized Gains.* We had a net decrease in our unrealized gains of \$26.7 million. The net change consisted of a \$42.5 million decrease in our unrealized gains on investments and a deferred tax benefit of \$15.8 million.

*Net Decrease in Net Assets Resulting from Operations.* We had a decrease in net assets resulting from operations of \$27.6 million. This decrease was comprised of a net investment loss of \$14.9 million, net realized gains of \$14.0 million and a net decrease in unrealized gains of \$26.7 million, as noted above.

#### KAYNE ANDERSON MLP INVESTMENT COMPANY

#### MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION

#### (UNAUDITED)

#### **Distributions to Common Stockholders**

We pay quarterly distributions to our common stockholders, funded generally by net distributable income ( NDI ) generated from our portfolio investments. NDI is the amount of income received by us from our portfolio investments less operating expenses, subject to certain adjustments as described below. NDI is not a financial measure under the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ). Refer to the Reconciliation of NDI to GAAP section below for a reconciliation of this measure to our results reported under GAAP.

Income from portfolio investments includes (a) cash dividends and distributions, (b) paid-in-kind dividends received (*i.e.*, stock dividends), (c) interest income from debt securities and commitment fees from PIPE investments and (d) net premiums received from the sale of covered calls.

Operating expenses include (a) investment management fees paid to our investment adviser, (b) other expenses (mostly comprised of fees paid to other service providers), (c) interest expense and preferred stock distributions and (d) current and deferred income tax expense/benefit on net investment income/loss.

#### Net Distributable Income (NDI)

(amounts in millions, except for per share amounts)

	1	ee Months Ended y 31, 2015
Distributions and Other Income from Investments		
Dividends and Distributions <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	98.1
Paid-In-Kind Dividends <sup>(1)</sup>		1.6
Net Premiums Received from Call Options Written		0.1
Total Distributions and Other Income from Investments		99.8
Expenses		
Net Investment Management Fee		(22.6)
Other Expenses		(0.9)
Interest Expense		(11.8)
Preferred Stock Distributions		(5.8)
Income Tax Benefit		8.4
Net Distributable Income (NDI)	\$	67.1
Weighted Shares Outstanding		110.7
NDI per Weighted Share Outstanding	\$	0.607
Adjusted NDI per Weighted Share Outstanding <sup>(2)</sup>	\$	0.633
Distributions paid per Common Share <sup>(3)</sup>	\$	0.6575

# Edgar Filing: Kayne Anderson MLP Investment CO - Form N-CSRS

- (1) See Note 2 (Investment Income) to the Financial Statements for additional information regarding paid-in-kind and non-cash dividends and distributions.
- (2) Adjusted NDI includes \$2.9 million of consideration that we received in the Access Midstream Partners, L.P./Williams Partners L.P. and Energy Transfer Partners, L.P./Regency Energy Partners LP transactions, which represents the portion of the merger consideration that was intended to offset lower distributions (\$11.6 million of total consideration amortized over a four-quarter period). Please see below for a discussion of recent merger activity and its impact on our NDI and Adjusted NDI.
- (3) The distribution of \$0.6575 per share for the second quarter of fiscal 2015 was paid on July 10, 2015.

#### KAYNE ANDERSON MLP INVESTMENT COMPANY

#### MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION

#### (UNAUDITED)

Payment of future distributions is subject to Board of Directors approval, as well as meeting the covenants of our debt agreements and terms of our preferred stock. Because our quarterly distributions are funded primarily by NDI generated from our portfolio investments, the Board of Directors, in determining our quarterly distribution to common stockholders, gives a significant amount of consideration to the NDI and Adjusted NDI generated in the current quarter, as well as the NDI that our portfolio is expected to generate over the next twelve months. The Board of Directors also considers other factors, including but not limited to, realized and unrealized gains generated by the portfolio.

There has been an extraordinary amount of merger activity in the MLP sector over the last year, and these mergers have generally been accomplished by the acquisition of the higher-yielding entity by the lower-yielding entity. Recent examples of transactions of this kind include: Kinder Morgan, Inc. s acquisition of its subsidiary MLPs (Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. and El Paso Pipeline Partners, L.P.) in November 2014; Access Midstream Partners, L.P. s acquisition of Williams Partners L.P. in February 2015 (the combined entity was renamed Williams Partners L.P.); and Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. s acquisition of Regency Energy Partners LP in April 2015. In addition, there have been three other transactions that have been announced but have not closed: Crestwood Equity Partners LP s acquisition of Crestwood Midstream Partners LP; The Williams Companies, Inc. s acquisition of Williams Partners L.P.; and MPLX LP s acquisition of MarkWest Energy Partners, L.P.

These mergers have had an impact on us in two ways. First, in certain cases, the acquiring entity has deemed part of the merger consideration to be compensation to help offset the lower quarterly distribution that unitholders of the acquired entity would receive after closing. Given that this portion of the merger consideration was to help offset lower distributions, we believe it to be appropriate to include these amounts in Adjusted NDI, and this merger consideration was one of the factors that the Board of Directors considered in determining the quarterly distribution. Secondly, the resulting NDI, even after giving effect to the adjustments described above, is often lower as a result of these mergers. However, we believe that the resulting merged entities will generally have higher distribution growth rates, and more stable cash flows. While our NDI is lower as a result of these transactions, we expect NDI growth to offset this decline in the next several quarters.

Over the past few years, we have elected to distribute less than our Adjusted NDI, in part, to provide some flexibility to distribute more than our Adjusted NDI due to circumstances beyond our control that we believe to be temporary in nature. For example, while our distribution for the fiscal second quarter was below Adjusted NDI, the aggregate Adjusted NDI over the preceding 12 quarters was \$3.3 million or \$0.03 per share higher than the distributions over that time period.

#### **Reconciliation of NDI to GAAP**

The difference between distributions and other income from investments in the NDI calculation and total investment income as reported in our Statement of Operations is reconciled as follows:

GAAP recognizes that a significant portion of the cash distributions received from MLPs is characterized as a return of capital and therefore excluded from investment income, whereas the NDI calculation includes the return of capital portion of such distributions.

GAAP recognizes distributions received from MLPs that exceed the cost basis of our securities to be realized gains and are therefore excluded from investment income, whereas the NDI calculation includes these distributions.

NDI includes the value of paid-in-kind dividends and distributions, whereas such amounts are not included as investment income for GAAP purposes, but rather are recorded as unrealized gains upon receipt.

#### KAYNE ANDERSON MLP INVESTMENT COMPANY

#### MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION

#### (UNAUDITED)

NDI includes commitment fees from PIPE investments, whereas such amounts are generally not included in investment income for GAAP purposes, but rather are recorded as a reduction to the cost of the investment.

We may hold debt securities from time to time. Certain of our investments in debt securities may be purchased at a discount or premium to the par value of such security. When making such investments, we consider the security s yield to maturity, which factors in the impact of such discount (or premium). Interest income reported under GAAP includes the non-cash accretion of the discount (or amortization of the premium) based on the effective interest method. When we calculate interest income for purposes of determining NDI, in order to better reflect the yield to maturity, the accretion of the discount (or amortization of the premium) is calculated on a straight-line basis to the earlier of the expected call date or the maturity of the debt security.

We may sell covered call option contracts to generate income or to reduce our ownership of certain securities that we hold. In some cases, we are able to repurchase these call option contracts at a price less than the call premium that we received, thereby generating a profit. The premium we receive from selling call options, less (i) the premium that we pay to repurchase such call option contracts and (ii) the amount by which the market price of an underlying security is above the strike price at the time a new call option is written (if any), is included in NDI. For GAAP purposes, premiums received from call option contracts sold are not included in investment income. See Note 2 Significant Accounting Policies for a full discussion of the GAAP treatment of option contracts. The treatment of expenses included in NDI also differs from what is reported in the Statement of Operations as follows:

The non-cash amortization or write-offs of capitalized debt issuance costs, premiums on newly issued debt and preferred stock offering costs related to our financings is included in interest expense and distributions on mandatory redeemable preferred stock for GAAP purposes, but is excluded from our calculation of NDI.

NDI also includes recurring payments (or receipts) on interest rate swap contracts or the amortization of termination payments on interest rate swap contracts entered into in anticipation of an offering of unsecured notes ( Notes ) or mandatory redeemable preferred shares (MRP Shares). The termination payments on interest rate swap contracts are amortized over the term of the Notes or MRP Shares issued. For GAAP purposes, these amounts are included in the realized gains/losses section of the Statement of Operations.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

At May 31, 2015, we had total leverage outstanding of \$1,880 million, which represented 28% of total assets and was comprised of \$1,356 million of Notes and \$524 million of MRP Shares. At May 31, 2015, we did not have any borrowings outstanding under our unsecured revolving credit facility (the Credit Facility ) or under our unsecured term loan (the Term Loan ). As of July 27, 2015, we had no borrowings outstanding under either our Credit Facility or Term Loan, and we had \$98 million of cash.

Our Credit Facility has total commitments of \$250 million and matures on March 4, 2016. The interest rate varies between LIBOR plus 1.60% and LIBOR plus 2.25%, depending on our asset coverage ratios. Outstanding loan balances accrue interest daily at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 1.60% based on current asset coverage ratios. We pay a fee of 0.30% per annum on any unused amounts of the Credit Facility.

Our Term Loan has a total commitment of \$150 million and matures on February 18, 2019. Borrowings under the Term Loan bear interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 1.30%. Amounts borrowed under the Term Loan may

#### KAYNE ANDERSON MLP INVESTMENT COMPANY

#### MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION

#### (UNAUDITED)

be repaid and subsequently reborrowed. We pay a fee of 0.25% per annum on any unused amounts of the Term Loan.

We had \$1,356 million of Notes outstanding at May 31, 2015. We have \$15 million of Notes that mature in November 2015, and we expect to redeem or refinance these Notes during fiscal year 2015. The remaining Notes mature between 2016 and 2025.

As of May 31, 2015, we had \$524 million of MRP Shares outstanding. The MRP Shares outstanding are subject to mandatory redemption at various dates from 2017 through 2022.

At May 31, 2015, our asset coverage ratios under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act ), were 402% for debt and 290% for total leverage (debt plus preferred stock). Our long-term target asset coverage ratio with respect to our debt is 375%, but at times we may be above or below our target depending on market conditions.

As of May 31, 2015, our total leverage consisted of both fixed rate (84%) and floating rate (16%) obligations. At such date, the weighted average interest/dividend rate on our total leverage was 3.57%.

#### KAYNE ANDERSON MLP INVESTMENT COMPANY

#### PORTFOLIO SUMMARY

#### (UNAUDITED)

#### Portfolio of Long-Term Investments by Category

May 31, 2015

November 30, 2014

**Top 10 Holdings by Issuer** 

				Percent of Long-Term Investments as of
	Holding	Sector	May 31, 2015	November 30, 2014
1.	Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. <sup>(1)</sup>	Midstream MLP	11.5%	6.2%
2.	Enterprise Products Partners L.P.	Midstream MLP	11.2	9.3
3.	Kinder Morgan, Inc.	Midstream Company	8.9	13.2
4.	Williams Partners L.P. <sup>(2)</sup>	Midstream MLP	8.7	5.5
5.	Plains All American Pipeline, L.P.	Midstream MLP	6.0	4.7
6.	MarkWest Energy Partners, L.P. <sup>(3)</sup>	Midstream MLP	5.8	5.6
7.	DCP Midstream Partners, LP	Midstream MLP	3.8	4.1
8.	Western Gas Partners, LP	Midstream MLP	3.5	3.0
9.	Buckeye Partners, L.P.	Midstream MLP	3.5	2.9
10.	ONEOK Partners, L.P.	Midstream MLP	3.5	3.5

(1) On April 30, 2015, Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. (ETP) completed its merger with Regency Energy Partners LP (RGP). As of November 30, 2014, our investments in ETP and RGP represented 12.0% of long-term investments on a combined basis.

(2) On May 13, 2015, The Williams Companies, Inc. (WMB) announced the acquisition of Williams Partners L.P. (WPZ). Subsequent to this announcement, WMB received an unsolicited acquisition proposal that was conditioned upon the termination of the agreement between WPZ and WMB. On June 21, 2015, WMB announced that it is exploring a range of strategic alternatives. As a result, closing of WMB s acquisition of WPZ is not certain. At May 31, 2015, we owned \$24.1 million of WMB representing 0.4% of long-term investments.

(3) On July 13, 2015, MPLX LP (MPLX) and MarkWest Energy Partners, L.P. announced the signing of a definitive merger agreement. The transaction is expected to close in the fourth quarter of 2015. At May 31, 2015, we did not own any MPLX units.

#### KAYNE ANDERSON MLP INVESTMENT COMPANY

#### SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

#### MAY 31, 2015

(amounts in 000 s)

### (UNAUDITED)

Description	No. of Shares/Units	Value
Long-Term Investments 186.3%		
Equity Investments <sup>(1)</sup> 186.3%		
Midstream MLP <sup>(2)</sup> 150.7%		
Antero Midstream Partners LP	1,516	\$ 43,209
Arc Logistics Partners LP	1,006	18,341
Arc Logistics Partners LP Unregistered	1,339	23,103
Buckeye Partners, L.P.	3,002	232,111
Columbia Pipeline Partners LP	887	24,004
Crestwood Midstream Partners LP <sup>(4)</sup>	8,270	110,982
DCP Midstream Partners, LP	6,760	255,528
Enbridge Energy Management, L.L.C. <sup>(5)</sup>	1,926	69,598
Enbridge Energy Partners, L.P.	4,954	183,751
Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. <sup>(6)</sup>	13,578	763,470
EnLink Midstream Partners, LP	5,515	136,879
Enterprise Products Partners L.P. <sup>(6)</sup>	22,883	741,856
EQT Midstream Partners, LP	486	40,641
Global Partners LP	2,068	86,018
Holly Energy Partners, L.P.	763	25,745
Magellan Midstream Partners, L.P.	2,518	200,722
MarkWest Energy Partners, L.P. <sup>(7)(8)</sup>	5,989	387,037
Midcoast Energy Partners, L.P.	2,079	25,277
NuStar Energy L.P.	144	9,012
ONEOK Partners, L.P.	5,890	230,003
PBF Logistics LP	487	11,271
Phillips 66 Partners LP	203	14,743
Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. <sup>(7)</sup>	8,430	395,795
QEP Midstream Partners, LP <sup>(9)</sup>	1,433	25,231
Rose Rock Midstream, L.P.	230	11,664
Shell Midstream Partners, L.P.	1,211	54,351
Shell Midstream Partners, L.P. Unregistered	641	27,453
Sprague Resources LP	1,417	39,248
Summit Midstream Partners, LP	1,482	49,836
Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.	2,328	92,191
Tallgrass Energy Partners, LP	1,273	62,993
Targa Resources Partners LP	3,655	158,013
USD Partners LP	1,435	19,372
Western Gas Partners, LP	3,411	233,671
Williams Partners L.P. <sup>(10)</sup>	10,362	579,048

5,382,167

Midstream Company 19.2%

# Edgar Filing: Kayne Anderson MLP Investment CO - Form N-CSRS

Kinder Morgan, Inc.	14,327	594,441
ONEOK, Inc.	416	17,454
Tallgrass Energy GP, LP <sup>(11)</sup>	536	17,176
Targa Resources Corp.	356	32,774
The Williams Companies, Inc. <sup>(10)</sup>	472	24,119

685,964

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

#### KAYNE ANDERSON MLP INVESTMENT COMPANY

#### SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

#### MAY 31, 2015

(amounts in 000 s)

#### (UNAUDITED)

Description	No. of Shares/Units	Value
General Partner MLP 7.1%		
Income from continuing operations before non-controlling interest and income taxes	34.9	72.1
Income tax provision	(10.6)	(23.7)
Net income from continuing operations	24.3	48.4
Discontinued operations:		
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax		1.0
Discontinued operations, net of tax		1.0
Net income	24.3	49.4
Net income attributable to the non-controlling interest	8.0	7.1
Net income attributable to EnLink Midstream, LLC	\$ 16.3	\$42.3
Predecessor interest in net income (4)	\$ —	\$35.5
EnLink Midstream, LLC interest in net income	\$ 16.3	\$6.8
Net income attributable to EnLink Midstream, LLC per unit:		
Basic per common unit	\$ 0.10	\$0.04
Diluted per common unit	\$ 0.10	\$0.04
(1) Includes \$7.9 million and \$325.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 a	nd 2014, respectiv	ely, of

affiliate purchased gas, NGLs, condensate and crude oil.

(2) Includes \$5.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 of affiliate operating expenses.

(3) Includes \$8.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 of affiliate general and administrative expenses.

(4) Represents net income attributable to the Predecessor for the period prior to March 7, 2014.

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements. 4

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Members' Equity Three Months Ended March 31, 2015

	Common U	Un	its	Non-Contro Interest	ollin	g	Redeemable Non-controlling Interest (Temporary Equity)
	\$		Units	\$		Total	\$
	(Unaudited	1)					
	(In million	s)					
Balance, December 31, 2014	\$2,774.3		164.1	\$ 4,196.8		\$6,971.1	\$ —
Unit-based compensation	7.1			6.8		13.9	—
Issuance of units by the Partnership				362.2		362.2	—
Conversion of restricted units for common, net of units withheld for taxes	(2.7	)	0.1	_		(2.7)	_
Non-controlling partner's impact of conversion of restricted units	_			(2.4	)	(2.4)	_
Change in equity due to issuance of units by the partnership	8.5		_	(13.5	)	(5.0)	_
Non-controlling interest distributions				(85.8	)	(85.8)	_
Non-controlling interest contribution	_			2.8		2.8	—
Distributions to members	(38.8	)		—		(38.8)	—
Tax benefit from vesting of restricted units	1.1			—		1.1	—
Adjustment related to mandatory redemption of E2 non-controlling interest	_			(5.4	)	(5.4)	_
Redeemable non-controlling interest				(4.9	)	(4.9)	4.9
Contribution from Devon to the Partnership				2.2		2.2	_
Net income	16.3			8.0		24.3	
Balance, March 31, 2015	\$2,765.8		164.2	\$ 4,466.8		\$7,232.6	\$ 4.9

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

# Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Three Months 2015 (Unaudited) (In millions)	Ended March 2014	31,
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income from continuing operations	\$24.3	\$48.4	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	90.0	48.2	
Accretion expense	0.1	0.2	
Deferred tax expense	5.4	23.7	
Non-cash unit-based compensation	13.9	1.2	
(Gain) loss on derivatives recognized in net income	(0.2	) 1.3	
Cash settlements on derivatives	3.9	(0.6	)
Amortization of debt issue costs	0.7	0.1	,
Amortization of premium on notes	(0.8	) (0.4	)
Redeemable non-controlling interest expense	(2.6	) —	/
Distribution of earnings from equity investment	2.7	0.1	
Equity in income from equity investments	(3.7	) (4.2	)
Changes in assets and liabilities:	(21)	) (	/
Accounts receivable, accrued revenue and other	122.6	43.2	
Natural gas and NGLs inventory, prepaid expenses and other		) (7.7	)
Accounts payable, accrued gas and crude oil purchases and other accrued liabilities	-	) (35.0	ý
Net cash provided by operating activities	169.5	118.5	)
Cash flows from investing activities:	10910	110.0	
Additions to property and equipment	(154.2	) (97.8	)
Acquisition of business		) (50.3	ý
Distribution from equity investment company in excess of earnings	4.1	2.6	)
Net cash used in investing activities	(462.1	) (145.5	)
Cash flows from financing activities:	(102.1	) (115.5	)
Proceeds from borrowings	959.1	1,356.1	
Payments on borrowings	(487.1	) (1,076.9	)
Payments on capital lease obligations		) (0.8	
Decrease in drafts payable	(12.7	) (0.8	
Debt refinancing costs	(12.7	) (6.0	
Conversion of restricted units, net of units withheld for taxes	(2.7)	) (0.0	)
Conversion of Partnership's restricted units, net of units withheld for taxes	(2.7)	) —	
Proceeds from issuance of Partnership's common units	2.2	) —	
	(85.8	)	
Distribution to non-controlling partners Distribution to Members	(38.8	) —	
Contribution from Devon		) —	
	2.2	 0.5	
Contributions by non-controlling interest	2.8	0.5	``
Distributions to Predecessor		(22.1	)
Net cash provided by financing activities	334.0	248.2	
Cash flow from discontinued operations:		5.0	
Net cash provided by operating activities		5.0	`
Net cash used in investing activities		(0.6	)
Net cash used in financing activities – net distributions to	—	(4.4	)

# Edgar Filing: Kayne Anderson MLP Investment CO - Form N-CSRS

Devon and non-controlling interests		
Net cash provided by discontinued operations	—	
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	41.4	221.2
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	68.4	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$109.8	\$221.2
Cash paid for interest	\$2.3	\$4.6
Cash paid for income taxes	\$3.5	\$—
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period Cash paid for interest	\$109.8 \$2.3	\$4.6

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements. 6

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2015 (Unaudited)

(1) General

In this report, the terms "Company" or "Registrant" as well as the terms "ENLC," "our," "we," and "us," or like terms, are sometimes used as references to EnLink Midstream, LLC and its consolidated subsidiaries. References in this report to "EnLink Midstream Partners, LP," the "Partnership," "ENLK" or like terms refer to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP itself or EnLink Midstream Partners, LP together with its consolidated subsidiaries, including EnLink Midstream Operating, LP and Midstream Holdings, together with their consolidated subsidiaries. "Midstream Holdings" is sometimes used to refer to EnLink Midstream Holdings, LP itself or to EnLink Midstream Holdings, LP together with EnLink Midstream Holdings GP, LLC and their subsidiaries.

### (a)Organization of Business

EnLink Midstream, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company formed in October 2013. Effective as of March 7, 2014, EnLink Midstream, Inc. ("EMI") merged with and into a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company and Acacia Natural Gas Corp I, Inc. ("Acacia"), formerly a wholly-owned subsidiary of Devon Energy Corporation ("Devon"), merged with and into a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company (collectively, the "mergers"). Pursuant to the mergers, each of EMI and Acacia became wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company and the Company became publicly held. EMI owns common units representing an approximate 6.0% limited partner interest in the Partnership as of March 31, 2015 and also owns EnLink Midstream Partners GP, LLC (the "General Partner"). At the conclusion of the mergers, Acacia directly owned a 50% limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings, which was formerly a wholly-owned subsidiary of Devon. Upon closing of the business combination (as defined below), ENLC issued 115,495,669 common units to a wholly-owned subsidiary of Devon, which represents approximately 70% of the outstanding limited liability company interests in ENLC.

Concurrently with the consummation of the mergers, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership acquired the remaining 50% of the outstanding limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings and all of the outstanding equity interests in EnLink Midstream Holdings GP, LLC, the general partner of Midstream Holdings (together with the mergers, the "business combination"). On February 17, 2015, Acacia contributed a 25% interest in Midstream Holdings to the Partnership in exchange for 31,618,311 Class D Common Units in the Partnership, representing an approximate 10.8% limited partner interest in the Partnership as of March 31, 2015. See Note (3) - Acquisitions for further discussion. The Company's common units are traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "ENLC."

Our assets consist of equity interests in the Partnership and Midstream Holdings. The Partnership is a publicly traded limited partnership engaged in the gathering, transmission, processing and marketing of natural gas and natural gas liquids, or NGLs, condensate and crude oil, as well as providing crude oil, condensate and brine services to producers. Midstream Holdings is a partnership held by us and the Partnership and is engaged in the gathering, transmission and processing of natural gas. As of March 31, 2015, our interests in the Partnership and Midstream Holdings consist of the following:

•49,049,463 common units representing an aggregate 16.8% limited partner interest in the Partnership; 100.0% ownership interest in EnLink Midstream Partners GP, LLC, the general partner of the Partnership, which owns a 0.6% general partner interest and all of the incentive distribution rights in the Partnership; and 25% limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings.

# (b) Nature of Business

The Company primarily focuses on providing midstream energy services, including gathering, transmission, processing, fractionation and marketing, to producers of natural gas, natural gas liquids ("NGLs"), crude oil and condensate. The Company connects the wells of natural gas producers in its market areas to its gathering systems, processes natural gas for the removal of NGLs, fractionates NGLs into purity products and markets those products for a fee, transports natural gas and ultimately provides natural gas to a variety of markets. The Company purchases natural gas from natural gas producers and other supply sources and sells that natural gas to utilities, industrial consumers, other marketers and pipelines. The Company operates processing plants that process gas transported to the plants by major interstate pipelines or from its own gathering systems under a variety of fee-based arrangements. The Company provides a variety of crude oil and condensate services, which include

# Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

crude oil and condensate gathering and transmission via pipelines, barges, rail and trucks and brine disposal. The Company's gas gathering systems consist of networks of pipelines that collect natural gas from points near producing wells and transport it to larger pipelines for further transmission. The Company's transmission pipelines primarily receive natural gas from its gathering systems and from third party gathering and transmission systems and deliver natural gas to industrial end-users, utilities and other pipelines. The Company also has transmission lines that transport NGLs from east Texas and its south Louisiana processing plants to its fractionators in south Louisiana. The Company's crude oil and condensate gathering and transmission systems consist of trucking facilities, pipelines, rail and barge facilities that, in exchange for a fee, transport oil from producers end users and other pipelines. The Company's processing plants remove NGLs and CO2 from a natural gas stream and its fractionators separate the NGLs into separate NGL products, including ethane, propane, iso-butane, normal butane and natural gasoline. (2) Significant Accounting Policies

### (a) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q, are unaudited and do not include all the information and disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("GAAP") for complete financial statements. All adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations for the interim periods have been made and are of a recurring nature unless otherwise disclosed herein. The results of operations for such interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for a full year. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Further, the unaudited consolidated financial statements give effect to the business combination and related transactions discussed in Note 1(a) above under the acquisition method of accounting and are treated as a reverse acquisition. Under the acquisition method of accounting, Midstream Holdings was the accounting acquirer in the transactions because its parent company, Devon, obtained control of ENLC after the business combination. All financial results prior to March 7, 2014 reflect the historical operations of Midstream Holdings and are reflected as Predecessor income in the statement of operations. Additionally, EMI's assets acquired and liabilities assumed by the Company, as well as the Company's non-controlling interests in the Partnership, were recorded at their fair values measured as of the acquisition date, March 7, 2014. The excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair values of EMI's net assets acquired was recorded as goodwill. Financial results on and subsequent to March 7, 2014 reflect the combined operations of Midstream Holdings and EMI, which give effect to new contracts entered into with Devon and include the legacy Partnership assets. Certain assets were not contributed to Midstream Holdings from the Predecessor and the operations of such non-contributed assets have been presented as discontinued operations.

### (b) Redeemable Non-Controlling Interest

Non-controlling interests that contain an option for the non-controlling interest holder to require the Partnership to buy out such interests for cash are considered to be redeemable non-controlling interests because the redemption feature is not deemed to be a freestanding financial instrument and because the redemption is not solely within the control of the Partnership. Redeemable non-controlling interest is not considered to be a component of members' equity and is reported as temporary equity in the mezzanine section on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The amount recorded as redeemable non-controlling interest at each balance sheet date is the greater of the redemption value and the carrying value of the redeemable non-controlling interest (the initial carrying value increased or decreased for the non-controlling interest holders' share of net income or loss and distributions).

# (c) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers ("ASU 2014-09"). ASU 2014-09 will replace existing revenue recognition requirements in GAAP and will require entities to recognize revenue at an amount that reflects the consideration to which the Company expects to be entitled in exchange for transferring goods or services to a customer. The new standard will also require significantly expanded disclosures regarding the qualitative and quantitative information of the Company's nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. ASU 2014-09 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period and is to be applied retrospectively, with early application not permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact the pronouncement will have on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. Subject to

# Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

this evaluation, we have reviewed all recently issued accounting pronouncements that became effective during the three months ended March 31, 2015, and have determined that none would have a material impact on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## (3) Acquisitions

# **Chevron Acquisition**

Effective November 1, 2014, the Partnership acquired, from affiliates of Chevron Corporation, Gulf Coast natural gas pipeline assets predominantly located in southern Louisiana for approximately \$231.5 million in cash. The natural gas assets include natural gas pipelines spanning from Beaumont, Texas to the Mississippi River corridor and working natural gas storage capacity in southern Louisiana. The transaction was accounted for using the acquisition method, which requires, among other things, that assets acquired and liabilities assumed be recognized at their fair values as of the acquisition date.

The following table presents the fair value of the identified assets received and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date.

Purchase Price Allocation (in millions):	
Assets acquired:	
Property, plant and equipment	\$225.3
Intangibles	13.0
Liabilities assumed:	
Current liabilities	(6.8)
Total identifiable net assets	\$231.5

The Partnership recognized intangible assets related to customer relationships. The acquired intangible assets will be amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated customer contract life of approximately 20 years.

The purchase price allocation has been prepared on a preliminary basis pending receipt of a final valuation report and is subject to change. The Partnership incurred \$0.3 million of direct transaction costs for the three months ended March 31, 2015. These costs are included in general and administrative costs in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

For the period from January 1, 2015 to March 31, 2015, the Partnership recognized \$7.1 million of revenues and \$1.2 million of net income related to the assets acquired.

# LPC Acquisition

On January 31, 2015, the Partnership acquired LPC Crude Oil Marketing LLC ("LPC"), which has crude oil gathering, transportation and marketing operations in the Permian Basin, for approximately \$100.0 million. The transaction was accounted for using the acquisition method.

The following table presents the fair value of the identified assets received and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date.

# Table of Contents

Purchase Price Allocation (in millions):		
Assets acquired:		
Current assets (including \$21.1 million in cash)	\$106.1	
Property, plant and equipment	29.3	
Intangibles	49.2	
Goodwill	25.3	
Liabilities assumed:		
Current liabilities	(106.1	)
Deferred tax liability	(3.8	)
Total identifiable net assets	\$100.0	

# Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

The Partnership recognized intangible assets related to customer relationships and trade name. The acquired intangible assets related to customer relationships will be amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated customer contract life of approximately 10 years.

The purchase price allocation has been prepared on a preliminary basis pending receipt of a final valuation report and is subject to change. Goodwill recognized from the acquisition primarily relates to the value created from additional growth opportunities and greater operating leverage in the Permian Basin. The goodwill is allocated to our Crude and Condensate segment. All of the goodwill is non-deductible for tax purposes.

The Partnership incurred \$0.2 million of direct transaction costs for the three months ended March 31, 2015. These costs are included in general and administrative costs in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

For the period from January 31, 2015 to March 31, 2015, the Partnership recognized \$180.8 million of revenues and \$0.9 million of net income related to the assets acquired.

### Coronado Acquisition

On March 16, 2015, the Partnership acquired Coronado Midstream Holdings LLC ("Coronado"), which owns natural gas gathering and processing facilities in the Permian Basin, for approximately \$602.1 million, subject to certain adjustments. The purchase price consisted of \$242.1 million in cash, 6,704,285 common units and 6,704,285 Class C Common Units in the Partnership.

The following table presents the carrying value of the identified assets received and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date. The purchase price allocation has been prepared on a preliminary basis pending receipt of a final valuation report and is subject to change.

Purchase Price Allocation (in millions):		
Assets acquired:		
Current assets (including \$9.6 million in cash)	\$26.2	
Property, plant and equipment	306.0	
Intangibles	294.0	
Liabilities assumed:		
Current liabilities	(24.1	)
Total identifiable net assets	\$602.1	

The Partnership recognized intangible assets related to customer relationships. The acquired intangible assets will be amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated customer contract life of approximately 10 years.

The Partnership incurred \$3.0 million of direct transaction costs for the three months ended March 31, 2015. These costs are included in general and administrative costs in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

For the period from March 17, 2015 to March 31, 2015, the Partnership recognized \$8.9 million of revenues and \$0.6 million of net loss related to the assets acquired.

# Devon Merger

On March 7, 2014, EMI merged with and into a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, and Acacia, formerly a wholly-owned subsidiary of Devon, merged with and into another wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company (collectively, the "mergers"). Upon consummation of the mergers, EMI and Acacia became wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company and the Company became publicly held. As of March 31, 2015, the Company, through its ownership of EMI, owned approximately 6.0% of the outstanding limited partner interests in the Partnership and owned 100.0% of the General Partner. The Company, through its ownership of Acacia, indirectly owns a 50% limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings. Midstream Holdings owns midstream assets previously held by Devon in the Barnett Shale in North Texas, the Cana-Woodford Shale and Arkoma-

# Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

Woodford Shale in Oklahoma and a contractual right to the burdens and benefits associated with Devon's 38.75% interest in Gulf Coast Fractionators ("GCF") in Mt. Belvieu, Texas.

Also effective as of March 7, 2014, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership acquired the remaining 50% limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings and all of the outstanding equity interests in EnLink Midstream Holdings GP, LLC, the general partner of Midstream Holdings (together with the mergers, the "business combination").

Under the acquisition method of accounting, Midstream Holdings was the acquirer in the business combination because its parent company, Devon, obtained control of ENLC. Consequently, Midstream Holdings' assets and liabilities retained their carrying values. Additionally, EMI's assets acquired and liabilities assumed by ENLC, as well as ENLC's non-controlling interest in the Partnership, are recorded at their fair values measured as of the acquisition date. The excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair values of EMI's net assets acquired is recorded as goodwill.

Since equity consideration was issued for this business combination, the purchase of these assets and liabilities has been excluded from our statement of cash flows, except for transaction related costs totaling \$51.4 million assumed by ENLC at closing and subsequently paid by ENLC.

For the period from March 7, 2014 to March 31, 2014, the Company recognized \$199.4 million of revenues and \$6.9 million of net loss related to the assets acquired in the business combination.

# Pro Forma Information

The following unaudited pro forma condensed financial information for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 gives effect to the business combination, Chevron acquisition, Coronado acquisition and LPC acquisition as if they had occurred on January 1, 2014. The unaudited pro forma condensed financial information has been included for comparative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of the results that might have occurred had the transactions taken place on the dates indicated and is not intended to be a projection of future results. Pro forma financial information associated with the business combination and acquisitions is reflected below.

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(in millions,	, except for per
	unit data)	
Pro forma total revenues (1)	\$1,058.5	\$1,381.2
Pro forma net income	\$19.5	\$19.8
Pro forma net income attributable to EnLink Midstream, LLC	\$15.7	\$18.4
Pro forma net income per common unit:		
Basic	\$0.10	\$0.11
Diluted	\$0.10	\$0.11
Effective March 1 2014 Midstream Holdings entered into gathering and pr	ocessing agreements y	with Devon

(1) Effective March 1, 2014, Midstream Holdings entered into gathering and processing agreements with Devon, which are described in Note 5.

(4) Goodwill and Intangible Assets

# Table of Contents

# Goodwill

Goodwill is the cost of an acquisition less the fair value of the net identifiable assets of the acquired business. The Company evaluates goodwill for impairment annually as of October 31, and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. The Company first assesses qualitative factors to evaluate whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as the basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. The Company may elect to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test without completing a qualitative assessment. If a two-step goodwill impairment test is elected or required, the first step involves comparing the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step of the process involves

### Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

comparing the implied fair value to the goodwill for that reporting unit. If the carrying value of the goodwill of a reporting unit exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill, the excess of the carrying value over the implied fair value is recognized as an impairment loss. The Company performed its annual impairment test of goodwill as of the fourth quarter of 2014. Based on these assessments, no impairment of goodwill was required.

The table below provides a summary of the Company's goodwill, by assigned reporting unit.

	March 31,	December 31,
	2015	2014
	(in millions)	
Texas	\$1,168.2	\$1,168.2
Louisiana	786.8	786.8
Oklahoma	190.3	190.3
Crude and Condensate	137.8	112.5
Corporate	1,426.9	1,426.9
Total	\$3,710.0	\$3,684.7

The change in goodwill is related to a \$25.3 million increase in goodwill related to the LPC acquisition. See Note 3-Acquisitions for further discussion.

### Intangible Assets

Intangible assets associated with customer relationships are amortized on a straight-line basis over the expected period of benefits of the customer relationships, which range from ten to twenty years.

The following table represents the Partnership's total purchased intangible assets for the periods stated (in millions):

	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
March 31, 2015 Customer relationships December 31, 2014	\$925.8	\$(48.2	) \$877.6
Customer relationships	\$569.5	\$(36.5	) \$533.0

The weighted average amortization period for intangible assets is 11.1 years. Amortization expense for intangibles was approximately \$11.5 million and \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The following table summarizes the Partnership's estimated aggregate amortization expense for the next five years (in millions):

2015	\$51.7
2016	67.0
2017	67.0
2018	67.0
2019	66.1
Thereafter	558.8
Total	\$877.6

# (5) Affiliate Transactions

The Partnership engages in various transactions with Devon and other affiliated entities. Prior to March 7, 2014, these transactions relate to Predecessor transactions consisting of sales to and from affiliates, services provided by affiliates, cost allocations from affiliates and centralized cash management activities performed by affiliates. Management believes these transactions are executed on terms that are fair and reasonable and are consistent with terms for transactions with nonaffiliated third parties. The amounts related to affiliate transactions are specified in the accompanying financial statements.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

Midstream Holdings, in which the Partnership holds a 75% economic interest as of March 31, 2015, conducts business with Devon pursuant to the gathering and processing agreements described below. The Partnership also continues to maintain a relationship originally established with Devon as a customer prior to the business combination, as described in more detail below.

Gathering and Processing Agreements

As described in Note 1, Midstream Holdings was previously a wholly-owned subsidiary of Devon, and all of its assets were contributed to it by Devon. On January 1, 2014, in connection with the consummation of the business combination, EnLink Midstream Services, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Midstream Holdings ("EnLink Midstream Services"), entered into 10-year gathering and processing agreements with Devon pursuant to which EnLink Midstream Services provides gathering, treating, compression, dehydration, stabilization, processing and fractionation services, as applicable, for natural gas delivered by Devon Gas Services, L.P., a subsidiary of Devon ("Gas Services"), to Midstream Holdings' gathering and processing systems in the Barnett, Cana-Woodford and Arkoma-Woodford Shales. On January 1, 2014, SWG Pipeline, L.L.C. ("SWG Pipeline"), another wholly-owned subsidiary of Midstream Holdings, entered into a 10-year gathering agreement with Devon pursuant to which SWG Pipeline provides gathering, treating, compression, dehydration and redelivery services, as applicable, for natural gas delivered by Gas Services as applicable, for natural gas delivered by Gas Services on another of the Partnership's gathering systems in the Barnett Shale.

These agreements provide Midstream Holdings with dedication of all of the natural gas owned or controlled by Devon and produced from or attributable to existing and future wells located on certain oil, natural gas and mineral leases covering land within the acreage dedications, excluding properties previously dedicated to other natural gas gathering systems not owned and operated by Devon. Pursuant to the gathering and processing agreements entered into on January 1, 2014, Devon has committed to deliver specified average minimum daily volumes of natural gas to Midstream Holdings' gathering systems in the Barnett, Cana-Woodford and Arkoma-Woodford Shales during each calendar quarter for a five-year period following execution. Devon is entitled to firm service, meaning that if capacity on a system is curtailed or reduced, or capacity is otherwise insufficient, Midstream Holdings will take delivery of as much Devon natural gas as is permitted in accordance with applicable law.

The gathering and processing agreements are fee-based, and Midstream Holdings is paid a specified fee per MMBtu for natural gas gathered on Midstream Holdings' gathering systems and a specified fee per MMBtu for natural gas processed. The particular fees, all of which are subject to an automatic annual inflation escalator at the beginning of each year, differ from one system to another and do not contain a fee redetermination clause.

On August 29, 2014, Gas Services assigned its 10-year gathering and processing agreement to Linn Exchange Properties, LLC ("Linn Energy"), which is a subsidiary of Linn Energy, LLC, in connection with Gas Services' divestiture of certain of its southeastern Oklahoma assets. Such assignment was effective as of December 1, 2014. Accordingly, beginning on December 1, 2014, Linn Energy began performing Gas Services' obligations under the agreement, which remains in full force and effect. The assignment of this agreement relates to production dedicated to our Northridge assets in southeastern Oklahoma.

Historical Customer Relationship with Devon

# Edgar Filing: Kayne Anderson MLP Investment CO - Form N-CSRS

As noted above, the Partnership continues to maintain a customer relationship with Devon originally established prior to the business combination pursuant to which certain of the Partnership's subsidiaries provide gathering, transportation, processing and gas lift services to Devon subsidiaries in exchange for fee-based compensation under several agreements with such Devon subsidiaries. The terms of these agreements vary, but the agreements expire between March 2015 and July 2021 and they automatically renew for month-to-month or year-to-year periods unless canceled by Devon prior to expiration. In addition, one of the Partnership's subsidiaries has agreements with a subsidiary of Devon pursuant to which the Partnership's subsidiary purchases and sells NGLs and pays or receives, as applicable, a margin-based fee. These NGL purchase and sale agreements have month-to-month terms.

# Transition Services Agreement

In connection with the consummation of the business combination, the Partnership entered into a transition services agreement with Devon pursuant to which Devon provides certain services to the Partnership with respect to the business and operations of Midstream Holdings, including IT, accounting, pipeline integrity, compliance management and procurement

# Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

services, and the Partnership provides certain services to Devon and its subsidiaries, including IT, human resources and other commercial and operational services. Operating expenses related to the transition service agreement were \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. We received \$0.1 million from Devon under the transition services agreement for the three months ended March 31, 2015. Substantially all services under the transition services agreement were completed during 2014.

# GCF Agreement

In connection with the closing of the business combination, Midstream Holdings entered into an agreement with a wholly-owned subsidiary of Devon pursuant to which Devon agreed, from and after the closing of the business combination, to hold for the benefit of Midstream Holdings the economic benefits and burdens of Devon's 38.75% interest in GCF, which owns a fractionation facility in Mont Belvieu, Texas.

# Acacia Transportation Agreement

In connection with the closing of the business combination, Midstream Holdings entered into an agreement with a wholly-owned subsidiary of Devon pursuant to which Midstream Holdings provides transportation services to Devon on its Acacia pipeline.

# EMH Drop Down to Partnership

On February 17, 2015, Acacia contributed a 25% limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings (the "Transferred Interest") to the Partnership in a drop down transaction (the "EMH Drop Down"). As consideration for the Transferred Interest, the Partnership issued 31,618,311 Class D Common Units in the Partnership to Acacia with an implied value of \$925.0 million. The Class D Common Units are substantially similar in all respects to the Partnership's common units, except that they are only entitled to a pro rata distribution for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2015. The Class D Common Units on a one-for-one basis on May 4, 2015. After giving effect to the EMH Drop Down, Acacia owns a 25% limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings, with the Partnership indirectly owning the remaining 75% limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings.

### E2 Drop Down to Partnership

On October 22, 2014, EMI contributed its equity interests in E2 Appalachian Compression, LLC and E2 Energy Services, LLC (together "E2") to the Partnership in a drop down transaction (the "E2 Drop Down"). The total consideration for the transaction was approximately \$194.0 million, including a cash payment of \$163.0 million and the issuance of approximately 1.0 million Partnership units (valued at approximately \$31.2 million based on the October 22, 2014 closing price of the Partnership's units).

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

The following presents financial information for the Predecessor's affiliate transactions and other transactions with Devon, all of which are settled through an adjustment to equity prior to March 7, 2014 (in millions):

	Three Month Ended Marc	
Continuing Operations:	2014	
Revenues - affiliates	\$(436.4	)
Operating cost and expenses - affiliates	340.0	)
Net affiliate transactions	(96.4	)
Capital expenditures	21.3	)
Other third-party transactions, net	53.0	
	74.3	
Net third-party transactions		``
Net cash distributions to Devon - continuing operations	(22.1	)
Non-cash distribution of net assets to Devon	(26.2	)
Total net distributions per equity	\$(48.3	)
Discontinued operations:		
Revenues - affiliates	\$(10.4	)
Operating costs and expenses - affiliates	5.0	
Net affiliate transactions	(5.4	)
Capital expenditures	0.6	,
Other third-party transactions, net	0.4	
Net third-party transactions	1.0	
Net cash distributions to Devon and non-controlling interests - discontinued operations	(4.4	)
Non-cash distribution of net assets to Devon	(39.9	ý
Total net distributions per equity	\$(44.3	ý
Total distributions- continuing and discontinued operations	\$(92.6	ý

For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, Devon was a significant customer to the Partnership. Devon accounted for 17.4% and 68.0% of the Partnership's revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Partnership had an accounts receivable balance related to transactions with Devon of \$111.0 million as of March 31, 2015 and \$120.8 million as of December 31, 2014. Additionally, the Partnership had an accounts payable balance related to transactions with Devon of \$11.1 million as of March 31, 2015 and \$3.0 million as of December 31, 2014.

Share-based compensation costs included in the management services fee charged to Midstream Holdings by Devon were approximately \$2.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. Pension, postretirement and employee savings plan costs included in the management services fee charged to the Partnership by Devon were approximately \$1.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. These amounts are included in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying statements of operations.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

## (6) Long-Term Debt

As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, long-term debt consisted of the following	g (in millions):	
	March 31,	December 31,
	2015	2014
Partnership credit facility (due 2020), interest based on Prime and/or LIBOR plus an		
applicable margin, interest rate at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 was 1.6% and	\$709.0	\$237.0
1.9% respectively		
Company credit facility (due 2019)	_	_
The Partnership's senior unsecured notes (due 2019), net of discount of \$0.5 million,	399.5	399.5
which bear interest at the rate of 2.70%	577.0	577.0
The Partnership's senior unsecured notes (due 2022), including a premium of \$21.1		1011
million at March 31, 2015 and \$21.9 million at December 31, 2014, which bear interest	183.7	184.4
at the rate of $7.125\%$		
The Partnership's senior unsecured notes (due 2024), net of premium of \$3.1 million at	C C C O 1	552.0
March 31, 2015 and \$3.2 million at December 31, 2014, which bear interest at the rate of	553.1	553.2
4.40% The Derte eaching a consistence of a start (due 2044) and of discount of \$0.2 million		
The Partnership's senior unsecured notes (due 2044), net of discount of \$0.3 million, which bear interest at the rate of 5.60%	349.7	349.7
The Partnership's senior unsecured notes (due 2045), net of discount of \$1.6 million at		
March 31, 2015 and \$1.7 million at December 31, 2014, which bear interest at the rate of	F 208 1	298.3
5.05%	270.4	270.5
Other debt	0.3	0.4
Debt classified as long-term	\$2,493.7	\$2,022.5
	Ψ2, ¬73.7	$\psi 2,022.0$

### Company Credit Facility

On March 7, 2014, the Company entered into a \$250.0 million revolving credit facility, which includes a \$125.0 million letter of credit subfacility (the "credit facility"). Our obligations under the credit facility are guaranteed by two of our wholly-owned subsidiaries and secured by first priority liens on (i) 17,431,152 Partnership common units and the 100% membership interest in the General Partner indirectly held by us, (ii) the 100% equity interest in each of our wholly-owned subsidiaries held by us, (iii) the limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings held by us and (iv) any additional equity interests subsequently pledged as collateral under the credit facility.

The credit facility will mature on March 7, 2019. The credit facility contains certain financial, operational and legal covenants. The financial covenants are tested on a quarterly basis, based on the rolling four-quarter period that ends on the last day of each fiscal quarter, and include (i) maintaining a maximum consolidated leverage ratio (as defined in the credit facility, but generally computed as the ratio of consolidated funded indebtedness to consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and certain other non-cash charges) of 4.00 to 1.00, provided that the maximum consolidated leverage ratio is 4.50 to 1.00 during an acquisition period (as defined in the credit facility) and (ii) maintaining a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio (as defined in the credit facility, but generally computed as the ratio of consolidated interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and certain other non-cash charges) of 4.00 to 1.00, provided that the maximum consolidated leverage ratio is 4.50 to 1.00 during an acquisition period (as defined in the credit facility) and (ii) maintaining a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio (as defined in the credit facility, but generally computed as the ratio of consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and certain other non-cash charges to consolidated interest charges) of 2.50 to 1.00 at all times unless an investment grade event (as defined in the credit facility) occurs.

Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest, at our option, at either the Eurodollar Rate (the LIBOR Rate) plus an applicable margin or the Base Rate (the highest of the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.50%, the 30-day Eurodollar Rate plus 1.0%, or the administrative agent's prime rate) plus an applicable margin. The applicable margins vary depending on our leverage ratio. Upon breach by us of certain covenants governing the credit facility, amounts outstanding under the credit facility, if any, may become due and payable immediately and the liens securing the credit facility for at least the next twelve months.

As of March 31, 2015 there were no borrowings under the credit facility, leaving \$250.0 million available for future borrowing based on the borrowing capacity of \$250.0 million.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

### Partnership Credit Facility

On February 20, 2014, the Partnership entered into a new \$1.0 billion unsecured revolving credit facility, which includes a \$500.0 million letter of credit subfacility (the "Partnership credit facility"). On February 5, 2015, the Partnership exercised the accordion under the Partnership credit facility, increasing the size of the facility to \$1.5 billion and also exercised an option to extend the maturity date of the Partnership credit facility to March 6, 2020. The Partnership also entered into certain amendments to the Partnership credit facility pursuant to which the Partnership is permitted to, (1) subject to certain conditions and the receipt of additional commitments by one or more lenders, increase the aggregate commitments under the Partnership credit facility by an additional amount not to exceed \$500 million and, (2) subject to certain conditions and the consent of the requisite lenders, on two separate occasions extend the maturity date of the Partnership credit facility contains certain financial, operational and legal covenants. Among other things, these covenants include maintaining a ratio of consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Partnership credit facility, which definition includes projected EBITDA from certain capital expansion projects) of no more than 5.0 to 1.0. If the Partnership consummates one or more acquisitions in which the aggregate purchase price is \$50.0 million or more, the maximum allowed ratio of consolidated indebtedness to consolidated EBITDA may be increased to 5.5 to 1.0 for the quarter of the acquisition and the three following quarters.

Borrowings under the Partnership credit facility bear interest at the Partnership's option at the Eurodollar Rate (the LIBOR Rate) plus an applicable margin or the Base Rate (the highest of the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.50%, the 30-day Eurodollar Rate plus 1.0% or the administrative agent's prime rate) plus an applicable margin. The applicable margins vary depending on the Partnership's credit rating. Upon breach by the Partnership of certain covenants governing the Partnership credit facility, amounts outstanding under the Partnership credit facility, if any, may become due and payable immediately.

As of March 31, 2015, there were \$2.9 million in outstanding letters of credit and \$709.0 million in outstanding borrowings under the Partnership's credit facility, leaving approximately \$788.1 million available for future borrowing based on the borrowing capacity of \$1.5 billion.

All other material terms of the credit facility are described in Part II, "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Indebtedness" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. The Company expects to be in compliance with all credit facility covenants for at least the next twelve months.

(7) Income Taxes

Income taxes included in the condensed consolidated financial statements were as follows for the periods presented.

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2015	2014
	(in millions)	
Predecessor income tax expense	\$—	\$19.4
ENLC income tax expense	10.6	4.3
Total income tax expense	\$10.6	\$23.7

(8) Certain Provisions of the Partnership Agreement

### (a) Issuance of Common Units

In November 2014, the Partnership entered into an Equity Distribution Agreement (the "BMO EDA") with BMO Capital Markets Corp., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Jefferies LLC, Raymond James & Associates, Inc. and RBC Capital Markets, LLC (collectively, the "Sales Agents") to sell up to \$350.0 million in aggregate gross sales of the Partnership's common units from time to time through an "at the market" equity offering program. The Partnership may also sell common units to any Sales Agent as principal for the Sales Agent's own account at a price agreed upon at the time of sale. The Partnership has no obligation to sell any of the common units under the BMO EDA and may at any time suspend solicitation and offers under the BMO EDA. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Partnership sold an aggregate of 0.1 million common units under the BMO EDA, generating proceeds of approximately \$2.2 million (net of

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

less than \$0.1 million of commissions). The Partnership used the net proceeds for general partnership purposes. As of March 31, 2015, approximately \$339.7 million remains available to be issued under the agreement.

## (b) Class C Common Units

In March 2015, the Partnership issued 6,704,285 Class C Common Units representing a new class of limited partner interests as partial consideration for the acquisition of Coronado. For further discussion see Note 3- Acquisitions. The Class C Common Units are substantially similar in all respects to the Partnership's common units, except that distributions paid on the Class C Common Units may be paid in cash or in additional Class C Common Units issued in kind, as determined by the General Partner in its sole discretion. The Class C Common Units will automatically convert into common units on a one-for-one basis on the earlier to occur of (i) the date on which the General Partner, in its sole discretion, determines to convert all of the outstanding Class C Common Units into common units and (ii) the first business day following the date of the distribution for the quarter ended March 31, 2016.

## (c) Class D Common Units

In February 2015, the Partnership issued 31,618,311 Class D Common Units to Acacia as consideration for a 25% interest in Midstream Holdings. For further discussion see Note 3 - Acquisitions. The Partnership's Class D Common Units were substantially similar in all respects to the Partnership's common units, except that they were only entitled to a pro rata distribution from the date of issuance for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2015. The Partnership's Class D Common Units automatically converted into the Partnership's common units on a one-for-one basis on May 4, 2015 and are included with common units outstanding as of March 31, 2015.

### (d) Distributions

Unless restricted by the terms of the Partnership credit facility and/or the indentures governing the Partnership's senior unsecured notes, the Partnership must make distributions of 100% of available cash, as defined in the partnership agreement, within 45 days following the end of each quarter. Distributions are made to the General Partner in accordance with its current percentage interest with the remainder to the common unitholders, subject to the payment of incentive distributions as described below to the extent that certain target levels of cash distributions are achieved. The General Partner is not entitled to its general partner or incentive distributions with respect to the Class C Common Units issued in kind.

Under the quarterly incentive distribution provisions, generally the Partnership's General Partner is entitled to 13.0% of amounts the Partnership distributes in excess of \$0.25 per unit, 23% of the amounts the Partnership distributes in excess of \$0.3125 per unit and 48.0% of amounts the Partnership distributes in excess of \$0.375 per unit.

A summary of the Partnership's distribution activity relating to its common units for the three months ended March 31, 2015 is provided below:

Declaration period	Distribution/unit	Date paid/payable
Fourth Quarter of 2014	\$0.375	February 12, 2015
First Quarter of 2015 (1)	\$0.38	May 14, 2015

(1) The Partnership declared a partial first quarter 2015 distribution on its Class D Common Units of \$0.18 per unit to be paid on May 14, 2015. Distributions declared for the Class D Common Units represent a pro rata distribution for the number of days the Class D Common Units were issued and outstanding during the quarter. The Class D Common

Units automatically converted into common units on a one-for-one basis on May 4, 2015.

## (e) Allocation of Partnership Income

Net income is allocated to the General Partner in an amount equal to its incentive distributions as described in Note 8(d). The General Partner's share of net income consists of incentive distributions to the extent earned, a deduction for unit-based compensation attributable to ENLC's restricted units and the percentage interest of the Partnership's net income adjusted for ENLC's unit-based compensation specifically allocated to the General Partner. The net income allocated to the General Partner is as follows for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 (in millions):

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2015	2014*	
Income allocation for incentive distributions	\$8.8	\$1.4	
Unit-based compensation attributable to ENLC's restricted units	(7.0	) (0.6	)
General Partner interest in net income	0.1	0.1	
General Partner interest in drop down transactions	8.6	5.1	
General Partner share of net income	\$10.5	\$6.0	
* The three months ended March 31, 2014 amounts consist only of	the period from	March 7, 2014 through Ma	urch 31,
2014.			

(9) Earnings per Unit and Dilution Computations

As required under FASB ASC 260-10-45-61A, unvested unit-based payments that entitle employees to receive non-forfeitable distributions are considered participating securities, as defined in FASB ASC 260-10-20, for earnings per unit calculations. Net income earned by the Predecessor prior to March 7, 2014 is not included for purposes of calculating earnings per unit as the Predecessor did not have any unitholders.

The following table reflects the computation of basic and diluted earnings per unit for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 (in millions, except per unit amounts):

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2015	2014*	
Net income attributable to EnLink Midstream, LLC	\$16.3	\$6.8	
Distributed earnings allocated to:			
Common units (1) (2)	\$40.2	\$14.5	
Unvested restricted units (1)	0.2	0.1	
Total distributed earnings	\$40.4	\$14.6	
Undistributed loss allocated to:			
Common units	\$(24.0	) \$(7.8	)
Unvested restricted units	(0.1	) —	
Total undistributed loss	\$(24.1	) \$(7.8	)
Net income allocated to:			
Common units	\$16.2	\$6.7	
Unvested restricted units	0.1	0.1	
Total net income	\$16.3	\$6.8	
Total basic and diluted net income per unit:			
Basic	\$0.10	\$0.04	
Diluted	\$0.10	\$0.04	

\* The three months ended March 31, 2014 amounts consist only of the period from March 7, 2014 through March 31, 2014.

(1) Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 represents a declared distribution of \$0.245 per unit for common units payable on May 15, 2015 and declared distribution of \$0.18 per unit for common units paid on May 15, 2014, respectively.

(2) Includes declared distribution of \$0.05 per unit for Class B Common Units paid on May 15, 2014.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

The following are the unit amounts used to compute the basic and diluted earnings per unit for the periods presented (in millions):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014*
Basic and diluted earnings per unit:		
Weighted average Class B Units outstanding		115.5
Weighted average common units outstanding	164.2	48.5
Total weighted average common units outstanding	164.2	164.0
Diluted weighted average units outstanding:		
Weighted average basic common units outstanding	164.2	164.0
Dilutive effect of restricted units issued	0.3	0.7
Total weighted average diluted common units outstanding	164.5	164.7

\* The three months ended March 31, 2014 amounts consist only of the period from March 7, 2014 through March 31, 2014.

All outstanding units were included in the computation of diluted earnings per unit and weighted based on the number of days such units were outstanding during the periods presented.

(10) Asset Retirement Obligations

The schedule below summarizes the changes in the Company's asset retirement obligations:

	C	March 31, 2015 (in millions)	March 31, 2014
Beginning asset retirement obligations		\$19.1	\$7.7
Revisions to existing liabilities		(3.9	) —
Liabilities acquired			0.5
Accretion		0.1	0.2
Liabilities settled		(3.2	) —
Ending asset retirement obligations		\$12.1	\$8.4
Ending asset retirement obligations		\$12.1	\$8.4

Asset retirement obligations of \$1.1 million as of March 31, 2015 are included in Other Current Liabilities.

(11) Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliates

The Company's unconsolidated investments consisted of a contractual right to the economic benefits and burdens associated with Devon's 38.75% ownership interest in GCF at March 31, 2015 and 2014 and a 30.6% ownership interest in Howard Energy Partners ("HEP") at March 31, 2015 and 2014.

#### Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

The following table shows the activity related to the Partnership's investment in unconsolidated affiliates for the periods indicated (in millions):

	Gulf Coast Fractionators	Howard Energy Partners	Total
Three months ended			
March 31, 2015			
Distributions	\$2.7	\$4.1	\$6.8
Equity in income	\$3.3	\$0.4	\$3.7
March 31, 2014			
Distributions (1)	\$—	\$2.7	\$2.7
Equity in income	\$4.1	\$0.1	\$4.2
(1) Includes income and distributions for the period from March 7,	2014 through Ma	urch 31, 2014 for	HEP.

The following table shows the balances related to the Partnership's investment in unconsolidated affiliates for the periods indicated (in millions):

	March 31,	December 31,
	2015	2014
Gulf Coast Fractionators	\$54.7	\$54.1
Howard Energy Partners	213.1	216.7
Total investments in unconsolidated affiliates	\$267.8	\$270.8

(12) Employee Incentive Plans

### (a) Long-Term Incentive Plans

The Partnership accounts for unit-based compensation in accordance with FASB ASC 718, which requires that compensation related to all unit-based awards, including unit options, be recognized in the consolidated financial statements.

The Partnership and ENLC each have similar unit-based compensation payment plans for officers and employees, which are described below. Unit-based compensation associated with ENLC's unit-based compensation plan awarded to officers and employees of the Partnership are recorded by the Partnership since ENLC has no substantial or managed operating activities other than its interests in the Partnership and Midstream Holdings. Amounts recognized in the condensed consolidated financial statements with respect to these plans are as follows (in millions):

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2015	2014
Cost of unit-based compensation allocated to Predecessor general and	2013	
administrative expense (1)	\$—	\$2.8
Cost of unit-based compensation charged to general and administrative expense	12.0	1.0
Cost of unit-based compensation charged to operating expense	1.9	0.2

### Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: Kayne	Anderson MLP	Investment CO	- Form N-CSRS
- 3 3 7			

Total amount charged to income	\$13.9	\$4.0
Interest of non-controlling partners in unit-based compensation	\$5.4	\$0.5
Amount of related income tax benefit recognized in income	\$3.2	\$1.2
Unit based comparisation expanse was treated as a contribution by the Predecessor in	the Consolidate	d Stataman

(1) Unit-based compensation expense was treated as a contribution by the Predecessor in the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Members' Equity in 2014.

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

(b) EnLink Midstream Partners, LP Restricted Incentive Units

The Partnership's restricted incentive units are valued at their fair value at the date of grant which is equal to the market value of common units on such date. A summary of the restricted incentive unit activity for the three months ended March 31, 2015 is provided below:

		Three Months Ended		
		March 31, 2015		
			Weighted	
	Number of	Average		
	EnLink Midstream Partners, LP Restricted Incentive Units:	Units	Grant-Date	
			Fair Value	
	Non-vested, beginning of period	1,022,191	\$31.25	
	Granted	542,723	27.07	
	Vested*	(244,998)	28.62	
	Forfeited	(55,193)	31.48	
	Non-vested, end of period	1,264,723	\$29.96	
	Aggregate intrinsic value, end of period (in millions)	\$31.3		
	* Vested units include 94 960 units withheld for neurall taxes noid on hehelf of ampleuses			

\* Vested units include 84,860 units withheld for payroll taxes paid on behalf of employees.

The Partnership issued restricted incentive units in the first quarter of 2015 to officers and other employees. These restricted incentive units typically vest at the end of three years. In March 2015, the Partnership issued 128,675 restricted incentive units with a fair value of \$3.4 million to officers and certain employees as bonus payments for 2014, which vested immediately and are included in the restricted units granted and vested line items above.

A summary of the restricted incentive units' aggregate intrinsic value (market value at vesting date) and fair value of units vested during the three months ended March 31, 2015 are provided below (in millions):

	Three Months
	Ended March 31,
EnLink Midstream Partners, LP Restricted Incentive Units:	2015
Aggregate intrinsic value of units vested	\$6.8
Fair value of units vested	\$7.0

As of March 31, 2015, there was \$27.3 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted incentive units. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.2 years.

(c) EnLink Midstream Partners, LP Performance Units

In March 2015, the Partnership and ENLC granted performance awards under the amended and restated EnLink Midstream GP, LLC Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "GP Plan") and the 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "LLC Plan"), respectively. The performance award agreements provide that the vesting of restricted incentive units granted thereunder is dependent on the achievement of certain total shareholder return ("TSR") performance goals relative to the TSR achievement of a peer group of companies (the "Peer Companies") over the applicable performance period. The performance award agreements contemplate that the Peer Companies for an individual performance award (the "Subject Award") are the companies comprising the Alerian MLP Index for Master Limited Partnerships ("AMZ"), excluding the Partnership and the Company (collectively, "EnLink"), on the grant date for the Subject Award. The

performance units will vest based on the percentile ranking of the average of the Partnership's and ENLC's TSR achievement (the "EnLink TSR") for the applicable performance period relative to the TSR achievement of the Peer Companies.

At the end of the vesting period, recipients receive distribution equivalents with respect to the number of performance units vested. The vesting of units may be between zero and 200 percent of the units granted depending on EnLink's TSR as compared to the peer group on the vesting date. The fair value of each performance unit is estimated as of the date of grant using a Monte Carlo simulation with the following assumptions used for all performance unit grants made under the plan: (i) a risk-free interest rate based on United States Treasury rates as of the grant date; (ii) a volatility assumption based on the historical realized price volatility of the Partnership and the designated peer group; (iii) an estimated ranking of the Partnership among the designated peer group and (iv) the distribution yield. The fair value of the unit on the date of grant is expensed over

#### Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

a vesting period of three years. The following table presents a summary of the grant-date fair values of performance units granted and the related assumptions.

	Three Months Ended
	March 31,
	2015
Beginning TSR Price	\$27.68
Risk-free interest rate	0.99 %
Volatility factor	33.01 %
Distribution yield	5.66 %

The following table presents a summary of the Partnership's performance units.

	Three Month	Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2	March 31, 2015	
		Weighted	
EnLink Midstream Partners, LP Performance Units:	Number of	Average	
	Units	Grant-Date	
		Fair Value	
Non-Vested, beginning of period		\$—	
Granted	108,713	36.18	
Vested			
Non-vested, end of period	108,713	\$36.18	
Aggregate intrinsic value, end of period (in millions)	\$2.7		

As of March 31, 2015 there was \$3.7 million of unrecognized compensation expense that related to non-vested Partnership performance units. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.1 years.

### (d) EnLink Midstream, LLC's Restricted Incentive Units

ENLC's restricted incentive units are valued at their fair value at the date of grant which is equal to the market value of the common units on such date. A summary of the restricted incentive units activities for the three months ended March 31, 2015 is provided below:

	Three Months Ended		
	March 31, 2015		
		Weighted	
	Number of	Average	
EnLink Midstream, LLC Restricted Incentive Units:	Units	Grant-Date	
		Fair Value	
Non-vested, beginning of period	986,472	\$37.03	
Granted	462,875	31.74	
Vested*	(240,760)	35.71	
Forfeited	(47,473)	36.60	
Non-vested, end of period	1,161,114	\$35.21	
Aggregate intrinsic value, end of period (in millions)	\$37.8		
* Vested units include 77,519 units withheld for payroll taxes paid on behalf of employees.			

ENLC issued restricted incentive units in the first quarter of 2015 to officers and other employees. These restricted incentive units typically vest at the end of three years and are included in restricted incentive units outstanding. In March 2015, ENLC issued 102,543 restricted incentive units with a fair value of \$3.4 million to officers and certain employees as bonus payments for 2014, which vested immediately and are included in the restricted units granted and vested line items above.

#### Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

A summary of the restricted incentive units' aggregate intrinsic value (market value at vesting date) and fair value of units vested during the three months ended March 31, 2015 are provided below (in millions):

	Three Months
	Ended March 31,
EnLink Midstream, LLC Restricted Incentive Units:	2015
Aggregate intrinsic value of units vested	\$8.3
Fair value of units vested	\$8.6

As of March 31, 2015, there was \$27.4 million of unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested ENLC restricted incentive units. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.1 years.

(e) EnLink Midstream, LLC's Performance Units

In March 2015, ENLC granted performance awards under the LLC Plan discussed in Note (c) above. At the end of the vesting period, recipients receive distribution equivalents with respect to the number of performance units vested. The vesting of units may be between zero and 200 percent of the units granted depending on EnLink's TSR as compared to the peer group on the vesting date. The fair value of each performance unit is estimated as of the date of grant using a Monte Carlo simulation with the following assumptions used for all performance unit grants made under the plan: (i) a risk-free interest rate based on United States Treasury rates as of the grant date; (ii) a volatility assumption based on the historical realized price volatility of ENLC and the designated peer group; (iii) an estimated ranking of ENLC among the designated peer group and (iv) the distribution yield. The fair value of the unit on the date of grant is expensed over a vesting period of three years. The following table presents a summary of the grant-date fair values of performance units granted and the related assumptions.

	Three Months	
	Ended March 3	31,
EnLink Midstream, LLC Performance Units:	2015	
Beginning TSR Price	\$34.24	
Risk-free interest rate	0.99	%
Volatility factor	33.02	%
Distribution yield	2.98	%

The following table presents a summary of the Company's performance units.

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2015	
		Weighted
EnLink Midstream, LLC Performance Units:	Number of	Average
	Units	Grant-Date
		Fair Value
Non-Vested, beginning of period		\$—
Granted	96,963	41.31
Vested		
Non-vested, end of period	96,963	\$41.31
Aggregate intrinsic value, end of period (in millions)	\$3.2	

As of March 31, 2015 there was \$3.7 million of unrecognized compensation expense that related to non-vested ENLC performance units. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.1 years.

(13) Derivatives

Commodity Swaps

The Partnership manages its exposure to fluctuation in commodity prices by hedging the impact of market fluctuations. Swaps are used to manage and hedge price and location risk related to these market exposures. Swaps are also used to manage margins on offsetting fixed-price purchase or sale commitments for physical quantities of natural gas and NGLs. The Partnership does not designate transactions as cash flow or fair value hedges for hedge accounting treatment under FASB ASC 815. Therefore, changes in the fair value of the Partnership's derivatives are recorded in revenue in the period incurred. In

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

addition, the Partnership's risk management policy does not allow the Partnership to take speculative positions with its derivative contracts.

The Partnership commonly enters into index (float-for-float) or fixed-for-float swaps in order to mitigate its cash flow exposure to fluctuations in the future prices of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil. For natural gas, index swaps are used to protect against the price exposure of daily priced gas versus first-of-month priced gas. They are also used to hedge the basis location price risk resulting from supply and markets being priced on different indices. For natural gas, NGLs, condensate and crude, fixed-for-float swaps are used to protect cash flows against price fluctuations: (1) where the Partnership receives a percentage of liquids as a fee for processing third-party gas or where the Partnership receives a portion of the proceeds of the sales of natural gas and liquids as a fee, (2) in the natural gas processing and fractionation components of its business and (3) where the Partnership is mitigating the price risk for product held in inventory or storage.

The components of gain (loss) on derivative activity in the consolidated statements of operations relating to commodity swaps are as follows for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 (in millions):

	Three M	Three Months Ended		
	March 3	March 31,		
	2015	2014*		
Change in fair value of derivatives	\$(3.7	) \$(0.7	)	
Realized gain (loss) on derivatives	3.9	(0.6	)	
Gain (loss) on derivative activity	\$0.2	\$(1.3	)	
* The three months ended March 31, 2014 amounts consist only of the period from Marc	h 7, 2014 throu	igh March 3	31,	
2014.				

The fair value of derivative assets and liabilities relating to commodity swaps are as follows (in millions):

	March 31,	
	2015	2014
Fair value of derivative assets — current	\$14.3	\$16.7
Fair value of derivative assets — long term	7.6	10.0
Fair value of derivative liabilities — current	(3.0	) (3.0 )
Fair value of derivative liabilities — long term	(1.5	) (2.0 )
Net fair value of derivatives	\$17.4	\$21.7

Set forth below is the summarized notional volumes and fair value of all instruments held for price risk management purposes and related physical offsets at March 31, 2015. The remaining term of the contracts extend no later than December 2016.

		March 31, 2015		
Instruments	Unit	Volume	Fair Value	
		(In millions)		
Swaps	Gallons	(54.7	) \$21.7	
Swaps	Gallons	44.6	(4.1	)
Swaps	MMBtu	(0.6	) 0.1	
Swaps	MMBtu	0.4	(0.3	)
Swaps	MBbls	—	(0.1	)
Swaps	MBbls	—	0.1	
			\$17.4	
	Swaps Swaps Swaps Swaps Swaps	Swaps Gallons Swaps MMBtu Swaps MMBtu Swaps MBbls	InstrumentsUnitVolume (In millions)SwapsGallons(54.7SwapsGallons44.6SwapsMMBtu(0.6SwapsMMBtu0.4SwapsMBbls—	SwapsGallons(54.7)\$21.7SwapsGallons44.6(4.1SwapsMMBtu(0.6)0.1SwapsMMBtu0.4(0.3SwapsMBbls—(0.1SwapsMBbls—0.1

On all transactions where the Partnership is exposed to counterparty risk, the Partnership analyzes the counterparty's financial condition prior to entering into an agreement, establishes limits and monitors the appropriateness of these limits on an ongoing basis. The Partnership primarily deals with two types of counterparties, financial institutions and other energy companies, when entering into financial derivatives on commodities. The Partnership has entered into Master International Swaps and Derivatives Association Agreements ("ISDAs") that allow for netting of swap contract receivables and payables in the event of default by either party. If the Partnership's counterparties failed to perform under existing swap contracts, the

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

Partnership's maximum loss as of March 31, 2015 of \$21.9 million would be reduced to \$17.4 million due to the offsetting of gross fair value payables against gross fair value receivables as allowed by the ISDAs.

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

Assets and liabilities related to the Partnership's derivative contracts are included in the fair value of derivative assets and liabilities and the profit and loss on the mark to market value of these contracts are recorded net as a loss on derivatives in the consolidated statement of operations. The Partnership estimates the fair value of all of its derivative contracts using actively quoted prices. The estimated fair value of derivative contracts by maturity date was as follows (in millions):

	Maturity Periods			
	Less than one year	One to two years	More than two years	Total fair value
March 31, 2015	\$11.3	\$6.1	\$—	\$17.4

### (14) Fair Value Measurements

FASB ASC 820 sets forth a framework for measuring fair value and required disclosures about fair value measurements of assets and liabilities. Fair value under FASB ASC 820 is defined as the price at which an asset could be exchanged in a current transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties. A liability's fair value is defined as the amount that would be paid to transfer the liability to a new obligor, not the amount that would be paid to settle the liability with the creditor. Where available, fair value is based on observable market prices or parameters or derived from such prices or parameters. Where observable prices or inputs are not available, use of unobservable prices or inputs are used to estimate the current fair value, often using an internal valuation model. These valuation techniques involve some level of management estimation and judgment, the degree of which is dependent on the item being valued.

FASB ASC 820 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

The Partnership's derivative contracts primarily consist of commodity swap contracts which are not traded on a public exchange. The fair values of commodity swap contracts are determined using discounted cash flow techniques. The techniques incorporate Level 1 and Level 2 inputs for future commodity prices that are readily available in public markets or can be derived from information available in publicly quoted markets. These market inputs are utilized in the discounted cash flow calculation considering the instrument's term, notional amount, discount rate and credit risk and are classified as Level 2 in hierarchy.

Net liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below (in millions):

		March 31, 2015 Level 2	December 31, 2014 Level 2
Commodity Swaps*	:	\$17.4	\$21.7
Total	:	\$17.4	\$21.7

### Table of Contents

\* The fair value of derivative contracts included in assets or liabilities for risk management activities represents the amount at which the instruments could be exchanged in a current arms-length transaction adjusted for credit risk of the Partnership and/or the counterparty as required under FASB ASC 820.

#### Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The estimated fair value of the Partnership's financial instruments has been determined by the Partnership using available market information and valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is required to develop the estimates of fair value; thus, the estimates provided below are not necessarily indicative of the amount the Partnership could realize upon the sale or refinancing of such financial instruments (in millions):

	March 31, 2015		December 3	31, 2014	
	Carrying Fair		Carrying	Fair	
	Value	Value	Value	Value	
Long-term debt	\$2,493.7	\$2,571.2	\$2,022.5	\$2,026.1	
Obligations under capital leases	\$19.3	\$18.7	\$20.3	\$19.8	

The carrying amounts of the Partnership's cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value due to the short-term maturities of these assets and liabilities.

The Partnership had \$709.0 million and \$237.0 million in outstanding borrowings under its revolving credit facility as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. As borrowings under the credit facility accrue interest under floating interest rate structures, the carrying value of such indebtedness approximates fair value for the amounts outstanding under the credit facility. As of March 31, 2015, the Partnership had borrowings totaling \$399.5 million, \$553.1 million, \$349.7 million and \$298.4 million, net of discount, under the senior unsecured notes due in 2019, 2024, 2044 and 2045, respectively, with a fixed rate of 2.70%, 4.40%, 5.60% and 5.05%, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, the Partnership had borrowings totaling \$399.5 million, \$349.7 million, and \$298.3 million net of discount under the senior unsecured notes due in 2019, 2024, 2044 and 2045, respectively, with a fixed rate of 2.70%, 4.40%, 5.60% and 5.05%, respectively, with a fixed rate of 2.70%, 4.40%, 5.60% and 5.05%, respectively, with a fixed rate of 2.70%, 4.40%, 5.60% and 5.05%, respectively, with a fixed rate of 2.70%, 4.40%, 5.60% and 5.05%, respectively. Additionally, the Partnership had borrowings of \$183.7 million and \$184.4 million, including premium, under the senior unsecured notes due in 2022 with a fixed rate of 7.125% as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. The fair value of all senior unsecured notes as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 was based on Level 2 inputs from third-party market quotations. The fair value of obligations under capital leases was calculated using Level 2 inputs from third-party banks.

### (15) Commitments and Contingencies

#### (a) Severance and Change in Control Agreements

Certain members of management of the Partnership are parties to severance and change of control agreements with the General Partner. The severance and change in control agreements provide those individuals with severance payments in certain circumstances and prohibit such an individual from, among other things, competing with the General Partner or its affiliates during his employment, and disclosing confidential information about, or interfering with a client or customer of, the General Partner or its affiliates during his employment, semployment and for a certain period of time following the termination of such person's employment.

### (b) Environmental Issues

The operation of pipelines, plants and other facilities for the gathering, processing, transmitting or disposing of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, condensate, brine and other products is subject to stringent and complex laws and regulations pertaining to health, safety and the environment. As an owner or operator of these facilities, the Partnership must

### Table of Contents

comply with United States laws and regulations at the federal, state and local levels that relate to air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste management and disposal, and other environmental matters. The cost of planning, designing, constructing and operating pipelines, plants, and other facilities must incorporate compliance with environmental laws and regulations and safety standards. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may trigger a variety of administrative, civil and potentially criminal enforcement measures, including citizen suits, which can include the assessment of monetary penalties, the imposition of remedial requirements, and the issuance of injunctions or restrictions on operation. Management believes that, based on currently known information, compliance with these laws and regulations will not have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

#### (c) Litigation Contingencies

The Partnership is involved in various litigation and administrative proceedings arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, any liabilities that may result from these claims would not individually or in the aggregate have a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations.

At times, the Partnership's subsidiaries acquire pipeline easements and other property rights by exercising rights of eminent domain and common carrier. As a result, the Partnership (or its subsidiaries) is a party to a number of lawsuits under which a court will determine the value of pipeline easements or other property interests obtained by the Partnership's subsidiaries by condemnation. Damage awards in these suits should reflect the value of the property interest acquired and the diminution in the value of the remaining property owned by the landowner. However, some landowners have alleged unique damage theories to inflate their damage claims or assert valuation methodologies that could result in damage awards in excess of the amounts anticipated. Although it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcomes of these matters, the Partnership does not expect that awards in these matters will have a material adverse impact on its consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

The Partnership (or its subsidiaries) is defending lawsuits filed by owners of property located near processing facilities or compression facilities constructed by the Partnership as part of its systems. The suits generally allege that the facilities create a private nuisance and have damaged the value of surrounding property. Claims of this nature have arisen as a result of the industrial development of natural gas gathering, processing and treating facilities in urban and occupied rural areas.

In July 2013, the Board of Commissioners for the Southeast Louisiana Flood Protection Authority for New Orleans and surrounding areas filed a lawsuit against approximately 100 energy companies, seeking, among other relief, restoration of wetlands allegedly lost due to historic industry operations in those areas. The suit was filed in Louisiana state court in New Orleans, but was removed to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana. The amount of damages is unspecified. The Partnership's subsidiary, EnLink LIG, LLC, is one of the named defendants as the owner of pipelines in the area. On February 13, 2015, the court granted defendants' joint motion to dismiss and dismissed the plaintiff's claims with prejudice. Plaintiffs have appealed the matter to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. The Partnership intends to continue vigorously defending the case. The success of the plaintiffs' appeal as well as the Partnership's costs and legal exposure, if any, related to the lawsuit are not currently determinable.

The Partnership owns and operates a high-pressure pipeline and underground natural gas and NGL storage reservoirs and associated facilities near Bayou Corne, Louisiana. In August 2012, a large sinkhole formed in the vicinity of this pipeline and underground storage reservoirs. The Partnership is seeking to recover its losses from responsible parties. The Partnership has sued Texas Brine Company, LLC ("Texas Brine"), the operator of a failed cavern in the area, and its insurers seeking recovery for this damage. The Partnership also filed a claim with its insurers, which the Partnership's insurers denied. The Partnership disputes the denial and sued its insurers, but has agreed to stay the matter pending resolution of its claims against Texas Brine and its insurers. In August 2014, the Partnership received a partial settlement with respect the Texas Brine claims in the amount of \$6.1 million but additional claims remain outstanding. The Partnership cannot give assurance that the Partnership will be able to fully recover its losses through insurance recovery or claims against responsible parties.

In June 2014, a group of landowners in Assumption Parish, Louisiana added a subsidiary of the Partnership, EnLink Processing Services, LLC, as a defendant in a pending lawsuit they had filed against Texas Brine, Occidental Chemical Corporation, and Vulcan Materials Company relating to claims arising from the Bayou Corne sinkhole. The suit is pending in the 23<sup>rd</sup> Judicial Court, Assumption Parish, Louisiana. Although plaintiffs' claims against the other defendants have been pending since October 2012, plaintiffs are now alleging that EnLink Processing Services, LLC's negligence also contributed to the formation of the sinkhole. The amount of damages is unspecified. The validity of the causes of action, as well as the Partnership's costs and legal exposure, if any, related to the lawsuit are not currently determinable. The Partnership intends to vigorously defend the case. The Partnership has also filed a claim for defense and indemnity with its insurers.

In October 2014, Williams Olefins, L.L.C. filed a lawsuit against a subsidiary of the Partnership, EnLink NGL Marketing, LP, in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma. The plaintiff alleges breach of contract and negligent misrepresentation relating to an ethane output contract between the parties and the subsidiary's termination of ethane production from one of its fractionation plants. The amount of damages is unspecified. The validity of the causes of action, as well as the Partnership's costs and legal exposure, if any, related to the lawsuit are not currently determinable. The Partnership intends to vigorously defend the case.

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

## (16) Segment Information

Identification of the majority of the Company's operating segments is based principally upon geographic regions served. The Company's reportable segments consist of the following: natural gas gathering, processing, transmission and fractionation operations located in north Texas, south Texas and the Permian Basin in west Texas ("Texas"), the pipelines and processing plants located in Louisiana and NGL assets located in south Louisiana ("Louisiana"), natural gas gathering and processing operations located throughout Oklahoma ("Oklahoma") and crude rail, truck, pipeline, and barge facilities in the west Texas, Louisiana and ORV ("Crude and Condensate"). The Company's Crude and Condensate segment, which is identified based upon the nature of services provided to customers of the segment, has historically been referred to as the Company's ORV segment. Due to the growth in this segment, including the acquisition of LPC, the Company has renamed this segment to more accurately reflect the assets included therein. The Company has restated the prior period to include certain crude and condensate activity in the Crude and Condensate segment. Operating activity for intersegment eliminations is shown in the corporate segment. The Company's sales are derived from external domestic customers.

Corporate expenses include general partnership expenses associated with managing all reportable operating segments. Corporate assets consist primarily of cash, property and equipment, including software, for general corporate support, debt financing costs and investments in HEP and GCF. The Company evaluates the performance of its operating segments based on operating revenues and segment profits.

Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments is shown in the following tables:
Crude and

	Texas		Louisiana		Oklahoma	a	Crude and Condensate	•	Corporate		Totals	
	(In million	ns)	)									
Three Months Ended March 31, 2015												
Sales to external customers	\$70.7		\$429.7		\$9.0		\$263.7		\$—		\$773.1	
Sales to affiliates	140.6		11.9		34.9				(24.4	)	163.0	
Purchased gas, NGLs, condensate and crude oil	(66.5	)	(376.4	)	(3.4	)	(235.5	)	24.4		(657.4	)
Operating expenses	(48.5	)	(22.5	)	(7.9	)	(17.3	)			(96.2	)
Gain on derivative activity									0.2		0.2	
Segment profit	\$96.3		\$42.7		\$32.6		\$10.9		\$0.2		\$182.7	
Depreciation and amortization	\$(36.5	)	\$(27.4	)	\$(13.5	)	\$(11.1	)	\$(1.5	)	\$(90.0	)
Goodwill	\$1,168.2		\$786.8		\$190.3		\$137.8		\$1,426.9		\$3,710.0	
Capital expenditures	\$73.7		\$17.8		\$5.4		\$52.3		\$4.3		\$153.5	
Three Months Ended March 31, 2014												
Sales to external customers	\$48.3		\$152.5		\$11.5		\$20.1		\$—		\$232.4	
Sales to affiliates	335.9		0.5		162.9				(7.4	)	491.9	
Purchased gas, NGLs, condensate and crude oil	(257.7	)	(140.5	)	(133.8	)	(14.3	)	7.4		(538.9	)
Operating expenses	(31.7	)	(5.1	)	(6.7	)	(2.7	)			(46.2	)
Loss on derivative activity	—		—		—		—		(1.3	)	(1.3	)
Segment profit	\$94.8		\$7.4		\$33.9		\$3.1		\$(1.3	)	\$137.9	
Depreciation and amortization	\$(27.2	)	\$(5.2	)	\$(14.2	)		)	\$(0.1	)	\$(48.2	)
Goodwill	\$1,256.7		\$885.1		\$190.3		\$106.0		\$1,383.5		\$3,821.6	

Edgar Filing: Kayne Anderson MLP Investment CO - Form N-CSRS						
Capital expenditures	\$25.1	\$22.1	\$10.2	\$5.0	\$5.5	\$67.9
29						

### Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

The table below presents information about segment assets as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

	March 31,	December
	2015	31, 2014
Segment Identifiable Assets:	(In millions	s)
Texas	\$3,958.7	\$3,303.0
Louisiana	3,175.7	3,316.5
Oklahoma	883.4	892.8
Crude and Condensate	1,036.7	762.5
Corporate	1,838.0	1,822.5
Total identifiable assets	\$10,892.5	\$10,097.3

The following table reconciles the segment profits reported above to the operating income as reported in the condensed consolidated statements of operations (in millions):

)
)

#### (17) Discontinued Operations

The Predecessor's historical assets comprised all of Devon's U.S. midstream assets and operations. However, only its assets serving the Barnett, Cana-Woodford and Arkoma-Woodford Shales, as well as contractual rights to the economic benefits and burdens associated with Devon's 38.75% interest in GCF, were contributed to Midstream Holdings in connection with the business combination on March 7, 2014. All operations activity related to the non-contributed assets prior to March 7, 2014 are classified as discontinued operations.

The following schedule summarizes net income from discontinued operations (in millions):

	Three Months
	Ended
	March 31,
	2014
Revenues:	
Revenues	\$6.8
Revenues - affiliates	10.5
Total revenues	17.3
Operating costs and expenses:	
Operating expenses	15.7
Total operating costs and expenses	15.7
Income before income taxes	1.6
Income tax provision	0.6

Net income

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

(18) Supplemental Cash Flow Information

The following schedule summarizes the Partnership's non-cash financing activities for the period presented.

	Three Months Ended
	March 31,
	2015
	(Millions)
Non-cash financing activities:	
Non-cash issuance of common units (1)	\$180.0
Non-cash issuance of Class C Common Units (1)	\$180.0
Non-cash common units and Class C Common Units were issued as partial c	consideration for the Coronado
<sup>(1)</sup> acquisition. See Note 3 - Acquisitions for further discussion.	

Also, see Note 5-Affiliate Transactions for non-cash activities related to Predecessor operations with Devon prior to March 7, 2014.

(19) Subsequent Event

Dropdown of VEX pipeline. On April 1, 2015, the Partnership acquired the Victoria Express Pipeline and related truck

terminal and storage assets ("VEX") from Devon, which are located in Eagle Ford shale in south Texas. The aggregate consideration paid by the Partnership consisted of \$171.0 million in cash, 338,159 common units representing limited partner interests in the Partnership with an aggregate value of approximately \$9.0 million (the "Consideration Units") and the Partnership's assumption of up to \$40.0 million in certain construction costs related to VEX, subject to certain adjustments set forth in the contribution agreement. The VEX pipeline is a multi-grade crude oil pipeline. Other VEX assets at the destination of the pipeline include a truck unloading terminal, above-ground storage and rights to barge loading docks.

### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read the following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this report.

The historical financial statements included in this report reflect (1) for periods prior to March 7, 2014, the assets, liabilities and operations of EnLink Midstream Holdings, LP Predecessor (the "Predecessor"), the predecessor to EnLink Midstream Holdings, LP ("Midstream Holdings"), which is the historical predecessor of EnLink Midstream, LLC and (2) for periods on or after March 7, 2014, the results of operations of EnLink Midstream, LLC, after giving effect to the business combination discussed under "Devon Energy Transaction" below. The Predecessor was comprised of all of the U.S. midstream assets and operations of Devon Energy Corporation ("Devon") prior to the business combination, including its 38.75% economic interest in Gulf Coast Fractionators ("GCF"). However, in connection with the business combination, only the Predecessor's systems serving the Barnett, Cana-Woodford and Arkoma-Woodford Shales in Texas and Oklahoma, as well as the economic burdens and benefits of the 38.75% economic interest in GCF, were contributed to Midstream Holdings, effective as of March 7, 2014.

You should read this discussion in conjunction with the historical financial statements and accompanying notes included in this report. All references in this section to the "Company", as well as the terms "our," "we," "us" and "its" (1) for periods prior to March 7, 2014 refer to the Predecessor and (2) for periods on or after March 7, 2014 refer to EnLink Midstream, LLC, together with its consolidated subsidiaries including the Partnership and Midstream Holdings. All references in this section to the "Partnership" (1) for periods prior to March 7, 2014 refer to the Predecessor and (2) for periods on or after March 7, 2014 refer to the "Partnership" (1) for periods prior to March 7, 2014 refer to the Predecessor and (2) for periods on or after March 7, 2014 refer to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP, together with its consolidated subsidiaries including EnLink Midstream Operating, LP (the "Operating Partnership"), Midstream Holdings and their consolidated subsidiaries.

### Overview

We are a Delaware limited liability company formed in October 2013. Our assets consist of equity interests in EnLink Midstream Partners, LP, and EnLink Midstream Holdings, LP. EnLink Midstream Partners, LP is a publicly traded limited partnership engaged in the gathering, transmission, processing and marketing of natural gas and natural gas liquids, or NGLs, condensate and crude oil, as well as providing crude oil, condensate and brine services to producers. EnLink Midstream Holdings, LP, a partnership owned by the Partnership and us, is engaged in the gathering, transmission and processing of natural gas. Our interests in EnLink Midstream Partners, LP, and EnLink Midstream Holdings, LP consist of the following as of March 31, 2015:

•49,049,463 common units representing an aggregate 16.8% limited partner interest in the Partnership; •100.0% ownership interest in EnLink Midstream Partners GP, LLC, the general partner of the Partnership, which owns a 0.6% general partner interest and all of the incentive distribution rights in the Partnership; and 25.0% limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings.

Each of the Partnership and Midstream Holdings is required by its partnership agreement to distribute all its cash on hand at the end of each quarter, less reserves established by its general partner in its sole discretion to provide for the proper conduct of the Partnership's or Midstream Holdings' business, as applicable, or to provide for future distributions.

The incentive distribution rights in the Partnership entitle us to receive an increasing percentage of cash distributed by the Partnership as certain target distribution levels are reached. Specifically, they entitle us to receive 13.0% of all cash distributed in a quarter after each unit has received \$0.25 for that quarter, 23.0% of all cash distributed after each unit has received \$0.3125 for that quarter and 48.0% of all cash distributed after each unit has received \$0.375 for that quarter.

## Table of Contents

Since we control the general partner interest in the Partnership, we reflect our ownership interest in the Partnership on a consolidated basis, which means that our financial results are combined with the Partnership's financial results and the results of our other subsidiaries. Since the Partnership controls Midstream Holdings through the ownership of its general partner, the financial results of the Partnership consolidate all of Midstream Holdings' financial results. Our condensed consolidated results of operations are derived from the results of operations of the Partnership and also include our deferred taxes, interest of non-controlling partners in the Partnership's results of operations. Accordingly, the discussion of our financial position and results of operations in this "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" primarily reflects the operating activities and results of operations of the Partnership and Midstream Holdings.

The Partnership primarily focuses on providing midstream energy services, including gathering, processing, transmission, fractionation, condensate stabilization, brine services and marketing, to producers of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil and condensate. The Partnership's midstream energy asset network includes approximately 9,100 miles of pipelines, sixteen natural gas processing plants, seven fractionators, 3.1 million barrels of NGL cavern storage, 11.0 Bcf of natural gas storage, rail terminals, barge terminals, truck terminals and a fleet of approximately 140 trucks. The Partnership manages and reports its activities primarily according to nature of activity and geography. The Partnership has five reportable segments: (1) Texas, which includes the Partnership's natural gas gathering, processing and transmission activities in north Texas and the Permian Basin in west Texas; (2) Oklahoma, which includes the Partnership's natural gas gathering, processing and transmission activities in Cana-Woodford and Arkoma-Woodford Shale areas; (3) Louisiana, which includes the Partnership's natural gas pipelines, natural gas processing plants and NGL assets located in Louisiana; (4) Crude and Condensate, which includes the Partnership's ORV crude oil, condensate and brine disposal activities in the Utica and Marcellus Shales, its equity interests in E2 Energy Services, LLC, E2 Appalachian Compression, LLC and E2 Ohio Compression, LLC (collectively, "E2") and its crude oil operations in the Permian Basin; and (5) Corporate, which includes the Partnership's equity investments in Howard Energy Partners, in the Eagle Ford Shale, its contractual right to the economic burdens and benefits associated with Devon's ownership interest in GCF in south Texas and our general partnership property and expenses.

The Partnership manages its operations by focusing on gross operating margin because the Partnership's business is generally to purchase and resell natural gas, NGLs, crude oil and condensate for a margin or to gather, process, transport or market natural gas, NGLs, crude oil and condensate for a fee. In addition, the Partnership earns a volume based fee for brine disposal services and condensate stabilization. The Partnership defines gross operating margin as operating revenue minus cost of purchased gas, NGLs, condensate and crude oil. Gross operating margin is a non-generally accepted accounting principle ("non-GAAP") financial measure and is explained in greater detail under "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" below.

The Partnership's gross operating margins are determined primarily by the volumes of natural gas gathered, transported, purchased and sold through its pipeline systems, processed at its processing facilities, the volumes of NGLs handled at its fractionation facilities, the volumes of crude oil and condensate handled at its crude terminals, the volumes of crude oil and condensate gathered, transported, purchased and sold and the volume of brine disposed and the volume of condensate stabilized. The Partnership generates revenues from eight primary sources:

•purchasing and reselling or transporting natural gas and NGLs on the pipeline systems it owns;

•processing natural gas at its processing plants;

- •fractionating and marketing the recovered NGLs;
- •providing compression services;
- •purchasing and reselling crude oil and condensate;
- •providing crude oil and condensate transportation and terminal services;

•providing condensate stabilization services; and

•providing brine disposal services.

The Partnership generally gathers or transports gas owned by others through its facilities for a fee, or it buys natural gas from a producer, plant or shipper at either a fixed discount to a market index or a percentage of the market index,

## Table of Contents

then transports and resells the natural gas at the market index. The Partnership attempts to execute all purchases and sales substantially concurrently, or it enters into a future delivery obligation, thereby establishing the basis for the margin it will receive for each natural gas transaction. The Partnership's gathering and transportation margins related to a percentage of the index price can be adversely affected by declines in the price of natural gas. The Partnership is also party to certain long-term gas sales commitments that it satisfies through supplies purchased under long-term gas purchase agreements. When the Partnership enters into those arrangements, its sales obligations generally match its purchase obligations. However, over time the supplies that it has under contract may decline due to reduced drilling or other causes and the Partnership may be required to satisfy the sales obligations by buying additional gas at prices that may exceed the prices received under the sales commitments. In the Partnership's purchase/sale transactions, the resale price is generally based on the same index at which the gas was purchase price is based on a production-area index and the sales price is based on a market-area index, and it captures the

difference in the indices (also referred to as basis spread), less the transportation expenses from the two areas, as margin. Changes in the basis spread can increase or decrease margins.

The Partnership has made commitments to purchase natural gas in production areas based on production-area indices and to sell the natural gas into market areas based on market-area indices, pay the costs to transport the natural gas between the two points and capture the difference between the indices as margin. Changes in the index prices relative to each other (also referred to as basis spread) can significantly affect the Partnership's margins or potentially result in losses. For example, the Partnership is a party to one contract with a term to 2019 to supply approximately 150,000 MMBtu/d of gas. The Partnership buys gas for this contract on several different production-area indices on its North Texas Pipeline and sells the gas into a different market area index. The Partnership realizes a cash loss on the delivery of gas under this contract each month based on current prices. The fair value of this performance obligation was recorded as a result of the March 7, 2014 business combination and was based on forecasted discounted cash obligations in excess of market prices under this gas delivery contract. As of March 31, 2015, the balance sheet reflects a liability of \$76.2 million related to this performance obligation. Reduced supplies and narrower basis spreads in recent periods have increased the cash losses on this contract, and greater losses on this contract could occur in future periods if these conditions persist or become worse.

The majority of the Partnership's NGL fractionation business, which includes transportation, fractionation, and storage, is under fee-based arrangements. The Partnership is typically paid a fixed fee based on the volume of NGLs transported, fractionated or stored. On the Partnership's Cajun-Sibon pipeline, the Partnership buys the mixed NGL stream from its suppliers for an indexed-based price for the component NGLs with a deduction for its fractionation fee. After the NGLs are fractionated, the Partnership sells the fractionated NGL products based on the same index-based prices. The operating results of the Partnership's NGL fractionation business is dependent upon the volume of mixed NGLs fractionated and the level of fractionation fees charged. With the Partnership's fractionation business, it also has the opportunity for product upgrades for each of the discrete NGL products. The margins the Partnership realizes on the product upgrade from this fractionation business are higher during periods with higher liquids prices.

The Partnership generally gathers or transports crude oil and condensate owned by others by rail, truck, pipeline and barge facilities for a fee, or it buys crude oil and condensate from a producer at a fixed discount to a market index, then transports and resells the crude oil and condensate at the market index. The Partnership executes all purchases and sales substantially concurrently, thereby establishing the basis for the margin it will receive for each crude oil and condensate transaction. Additionally, it provides crude oil, condensate and brine services on a volume basis.

The Partnership also realizes gross operating margins from its processing services primarily through three different contract arrangements: processing margins ("margin"), percentage of proceeds ("POP") or fixed-fee based. Under margin contract arrangements the Partnership's gross operating margins are higher during periods of high liquid prices relative to natural gas prices. Gross operating margin results under POP contracts are impacted only by the value of the natural gas or liquids produced with margins higher during periods of higher natural gas and liquids prices. Under fixed-fee based contracts the Partnership's gross operating margins are driven by throughput volume. See "Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk — Commodity Price Risk."

Operating expenses are costs directly associated with the operations of a particular asset. Among the most significant of these costs are those associated with direct labor and supervision, property insurance, property taxes, repair and maintenance expenses, contract services and utilities. These costs are normally fairly stable across broad volume ranges and therefore do not normally decrease or increase significantly in the short term with decreases or increases in the volume of gas, liquids or crude oil or condensate moved through or by the asset.

Devon Energy Transaction

On March 7, 2014, ENLC consummated the transactions contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of October 21, 2013 (the "Merger Agreement"), among EnLink Midstream, Inc. ("EMI"), Devon, Acacia Natural Gas Corp I, Inc., formerly a wholly-owned subsidiary of Devon ("Acacia"), and certain other wholly-owned subsidiaries of Devon pursuant to which EMI and Acacia each became wholly-owned subsidiaries of ENLC (collectively, the "Mergers"). Upon completion of the merger with Acacia, ENLC indirectly owned a 50% limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings. On February 17, 2015, Acacia contributed a 25% interest in Midstream Holdings to the Partnership in exchange for 31,618,311 of its Class D Common Units. See "Recent Developments."

Also, on March 7, 2014, the Partnership consummated the transactions contemplated by the Contribution Agreement, dated as of October 21, 2013 (the "Contribution Agreement"), among the Partnership, EnLink Midstream Operating, Devon and certain of Devon's wholly-owned subsidiaries.

As of March 31, 2015, the Partnership units held by Devon represent approximately 34% of the outstanding limited partner interests in the Partnership, with approximately 49% of the outstanding limited partner interests held by the Partnership's public unitholders and approximately 16% of the outstanding limited partner interests, the approximate 1% general partner interest and the incentive distribution rights held indirectly by ENLC.

## **Recent Developments**

#### Acquisitions

Coronado Midstream. On March 16, 2015, the Partnership acquired all of the equity interests in Coronado Midstream Holdings LLC, the parent company of Coronado Midstream LLC ("Coronado"), which owns natural gas gathering and processing facilities in the Permian Basin, for approximately \$600.0 million in cash and equity, subject to certain adjustments. The purchase price consisted of \$242.1 million in cash, 6,704,285 common units and 6,704,285 Class C Common Units in the Partnership. Coronado operates three cryogenic gas processing plants and a gas gathering system in the North Midland Basin including approximately 270 miles of gathering pipelines, 175 MMcf/d of processing capacity and 35,000 horsepower of compression. The Coronado system is underpinned by long-term contracts, which include the dedication of production from over 190,000 acres. The Coronado assets are included in the Partnership's Texas segment.

LPC Crude Oil Marketing. On January 31, 2015, the Partnership acquired LPC Crude Oil Marketing LLC ("LPC"), which has crude oil gathering, transportation and marketing operations in the Permian Basin, for approximately \$100.0 million. LPC is an integrated crude oil logistics service provider with operations throughout the Permian Basin. LPC's integrated logistics services are supported by 41 tractor trailers, 13 pipeline injection stations and 67 miles of crude oil gathering pipeline.

#### Drop Downs

VEX Pipeline. On April 1, 2015, the Partnership acquired the Victoria Express Pipeline and related truck terminal and storage assets ("VEX") from Devon, which are located in the Eagle Ford shale in south Texas. The aggregate consideration paid by the Partnership consisted of \$171.0 million in cash, 338,159 common units representing limited partner interests in the Partnership with an aggregate value of approximately \$9 million (the "Consideration Units") and the Partnership's assumption of up to \$40 million in certain construction costs related to VEX, subject to certain adjustments set forth in the contribution agreement. The VEX pipeline is a 56-mile multi-grade crude oil pipeline with a current capacity of approximately 50,000 barrels per day (bpd) and, following completion of currently-underway expansion projects, will have capacity of approximately 90,000 bpd. Other VEX assets at the destination of the pipeline include an eight-bay truck unloading terminal, 200,000 barrels of above-ground storage, of which 50,000 barrels are under construction, and rights to barge loading docks.

Midstream Holdings Drop Down. On February 17, 2015, Acacia contributed a 25% limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings (the "Transferred Interest") to the Partnership in a drop down transaction (the "EMH Drop Down"). As consideration for the Transferred Interest, the Partnership issued 31,618,311 Class D Common Units in the Partnership to Acacia with an implied value of \$925.0 million. The Class D Common Units are substantially similar in all respects to the Partnership's common units, except that they are only entitled to a pro rata distribution for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2015. The Class D Common Units converted into common units on a one-for-one basis on May 4, 2015. After giving effect to the EMH Drop Down, Acacia owns a 25% limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings, with the Partnership indirectly owning the remaining 75% limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings.

#### Organic Growth

Ohio River Valley Condensate Pipeline and Condensate Stabilization Facilities. In August 2014, the Partnership announced plans to construct a new 45-mile, eight-inch condensate pipeline and six natural gas compression and condensate stabilization facilities that will service major producer customers in the Utica Shale, including Eclipse Resources. The new-build stabilized condensate pipeline would connect to the Partnership's existing 200-mile pipeline in the ORV, providing producer customers in the region access to premium market outlets through its barge facility on the Ohio River and rail terminal in Ohio. The Partnership is currently evaluating whether to proceed with current timetable or delay the construction of the pipeline to a more optimal time. Ultimately, the planned pipeline is expected to have an initial capacity of approximately 50,000 Bbls/d with potential to expand. Through an agreement with Eclipse Resources, the Partnership also expects to own and operate six natural gas compression and condensate stabilization facilities in Noble, Belmont, and Guernsey counties in Ohio. The Partnership took

ownership of and began operating the first two of these facilities in the fourth quarter of 2014. The third compression and condensate stabilization facility began partially operating in April of 2015. Partnership Credit Facility

In 2014, the Partnership entered into a \$1.0 billion unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Partnership credit facility"). On February 5, 2015, the Partnership exercised the accordion under the Partnership credit facility, increasing the size of the facility to \$1.5 billion and also exercised an option to extend the maturity date of the Partnership credit facility to March 6, 2020.

## Issuance of Partnership Common Units

In November 2014, the Partnership entered into an equity distribution agreement (the "BMO EDA") with BMO Capital Markets Corp. and certain other sales agents to sell up to \$350.0 million in aggregate gross sales of the Partnership's common units from time to time through an "at the market" equity offering program. The Partnership may also sell Common Units to any Sales Agent as principal for the Sales Agent's own account at a price agreed upon at the time of sale. The Partnership has no obligation to sell any of the Common Units under the BMO EDA and may at any time suspend solicitation and offers under the BMO EDA.

For the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Partnership sold an aggregate of 0.1 million common units under the BMO EDA, generating proceeds of approximately \$2.2 million (net of approximately \$0.1 million of commissions). The Partnership used the net proceeds for general partnership purposes. As of March 31, 2015, approximately \$339.7 million remains available to be issued under the agreement.

## Non-GAAP Financial Measures

#### Cash Available for Distribution

We define cash available for distribution as distributions due to us from the Partnership and our interest in Midstream Holdings' adjusted EBITDA (as defined herein), less maintenance capital, our specific general and administrative costs as a separate public reporting entity, the interest costs associated with our debt and current taxes attributable to our earnings. During 2014, we utilized federal net operating loss carryforwards to offset our taxable income generated during 2014. We have \$48.2 million of federal net operating loss carryforwards remaining as of December 31, 2014. We anticipate that taxable income during 2015 will be sufficient to utilize our remaining net operating loss carryforwards and that we will begin paying federal income taxes on our taxable income. Cash available for distribution is a significant performance metric used by us and by external users of our financial statements, such as investors, commercial banks, research analysts and others to compare basic cash flows generated by us to the cash distributions we expect to pay our unitholders. Using this metric, management and external users of our financial statements can quickly compute the coverage ratio of estimated cash flows to planned cash distributions. Cash available for distribution is also an important financial measure for our unitholders since it serves as an indicator of our success in providing a cash return on investment.

The GAAP measure most directly comparable to cash available for distribution is net income. Cash available for distribution should not be considered as an alternative to GAAP net income. Cash available for distribution is not a presentation made in accordance with GAAP and has important limitations as an analytical tool. Investors should not consider cash available for distribution in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Because cash available for distribution excludes some, but not all, items that affect net income and is defined differently by different companies in our industry, our definition of cash available for distribution may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies, thereby diminishing its utility.

	Three Months	Three Months	
	Ended March 31,	Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014	
	(unaudited)		
Distribution declared by ENLK associated with (1):			
General partner interest	\$0.6	\$0.4	
Incentive distribution rights	8.8	3.4	
ENLK common units owned	12.3	5.9	
Total share of ENLK distributions declared	\$21.7	\$9.7	
Adjusted EBITDA of Midstream Holdings (2)	25.1	7.6	
Transferred interest EBITDA (3)	13.2	7.4	
Total cash available	\$60.0	\$24.7	
Uses of cash:			
General and administrative expenses	(0.8	) (1.0 )	
Current income taxes (4)	(4.4	) (0.1 )	
Interest expense	(0.2	) (0.3 )	
Maintenance capital expenditures (5)	(2.5	) (1.3 )	
Total cash used	\$(7.9	) \$(2.7)	
ENLC cash available for distribution	\$52.1	\$22.0	

The following is a calculation of the Company's cash available for distribution (in millions):

(1)Represents distributions declared by ENLK and to be paid to ENLC on May 14, 2015.

Represents ENLC's interest in Midstream Holdings' adjusted EBITDA, which is disbursed on a monthly basis to ENLC by Midstream Holdings. Midstream Holdings' adjusted EBITDA is defined as earnings plus depreciation, provision for income taxes and distributions from equity investment less income from equity investment. ENLC's share of Midstream Holdings' adjusted EBITDA is comprised of its share in Midstream Holdings' net income of \$15.3 million plus its share in Midstream Holdings' depreciation of \$9.1 million, other non-cash items of \$0.7

(2) million, taxes of \$0.1 million and distributions from equity investment of \$0.7 million, less its share of income from equity investment of \$0.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015. ENLC's share of Midstream Holdings' adjusted EBITDA is comprised of its share in Midstream Holdings' net income of \$5.3 million plus its share in Midstream Holdings' depreciation of \$2.4 million, less its share of income from equity investment of \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

(3) Represents ENLC's 25% interest in Midstream Holdings' adjusted EBITDA contributed to the Partnership on February 17, 2015.

(4) Represents ENLC's stand-alone current tax expense.

(5) Represents ENLC's interest in Midstream Holdings' maintenance capital expenditures which is netted against the monthly disbursement of Midstream Holdings' adjusted EBITDA per (2) above.

The following table provides a reconciliation of ENLC net income to ENLC cash available for distribution (in millions):

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 (unaudited)	Three Months Ended March 31 2014	,
Net income of ENLC	\$24.3	\$48.4	
Less: Net income attributable to ENLK	(19.6)	(49.2	)
Net Income of ENLC excluding ENLK	\$4.7	\$(0.8	)
ENLC's share of distributions from ENLK (1)	21.7	9.7	
ENLC's interest in Midstream Holdings' depreciation (2)	9.1	2.4	
ENLC's interest in distributions from Midstream Holding's equity	0.7		
investment	(0.9	(0.2)	`
ENLC's interest in income from Midstream Holding's equity investment	(0.8)	) (0.3	)
ENLC's interest in Midstream Holdings' taxes	0.1		
ENLC deferred income tax expense (3)	5.4	4.2	
Depreciation attributable to E2		0.3	
Maintenance capital expenditures (4)		) (1.3	)
Transferred interest EBITDA (5)	13.2	7.4	
Other items (6)	0.5	0.4	
ENLC cash available for distribution	\$52.1	\$22.0	

(1)Represents distributions declared by ENLK and to be paid to ENLC on May 14, 2015.

Represents ENLC's interest in Midstream Holdings' depreciation, which is reflected as a non-cash deduction in the (2) not income of ENLC and it. ENLY net income of ENLC excluding ENLK.

(3) Represents ENLC's stand-alone deferred taxes.

(4) Represents ENLC's interest in Midstream Holdings' maintenance capital expenditures, which is netted against the monthly disbursement of Midstream Holdings' adjusted EBITDA.

(5) Represents ENLC's 25% interest in Midstream Holdings' adjusted EBITDA contributed to the Partnership on February 17, 2015.

(6) Represents E2's adjusted EBITDA and other non-cash items not included in cash available for distributions. Gross Operating Margin

We include gross operating margin as a non-GAAP financial measure. We define gross operating margin, generally, as revenues less cost of purchased gas, NGLs, condensate and crude oil. We present gross operating margin by segment in "Results of Operations". We disclose gross operating margin in addition to total revenue because it is the primary performance measure used by our management. We believe gross operating margin is an important measure because our business is generally to purchase and resell natural gas, NGLs, condensate and crude oil for a margin or to gather, process, transport or market natural gas, NGLs, condensate and crude oil for a fee. Operating expense is a separate measure used by management to evaluate operating performance of field operations. Direct labor and supervision, property insurance, property taxes, repair and maintenance, utilities and contract services comprise the most significant portion of our operating expenses. We do not deduct operating expenses from total revenue in calculating gross operating margin because these expenses are largely independent of the volumes we transport or process and fluctuate depending on the activities performed during a specific period. As an indicator of our operating performance, gross operating margin should not be considered an alternative to, or more meaningful than, net income as determined in accordance with GAAP. Our gross operating margin may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies because other entities may not calculate these amounts in the same manner.

The following table provides a reconciliation of gross operating margin to operating income:

	Three Months Ended		
	March 31,		
	2015 2014		
	(in millions)		
Total gross operating margin	\$278.9 \$184.1		
Add (deduct):			
Operating expenses	(96.2) (46.2)		
General and administrative expenses	(42.9) (15.7)		
Depreciation and amortization	(90.0) (48.2)		
Operating income	\$49.8 \$74.0		

**Results of Operations** 

The table below sets forth certain financial and operating data for the periods indicated. We manage our operations by focusing on gross operating margin which we define as operating revenue less cost of purchased gas, NGLs, condensate and crude oil as reflected in the table below.

Items Affecting Comparability of Our Financial Results

Our historical financial results discussed below may not be comparable to our future financial results, and our financial results for the three months ended March 31, 2015 may not be comparable to our financial results for the three months ended March 31, 2014 for the following reasons:

In connection with the business combination, Midstream Holdings entered into new agreements with Devon that were effective on March 1, 2014 pursuant to which Midstream Holdings provides services to Devon under fixed-fee arrangements in which Midstream Holdings does not take title to the natural gas gathered or processed or the NGLs it fractionates. Prior to the effectiveness of these agreements, the Predecessor provided services to Devon under a percent-of-proceeds arrangement in which it took title to the natural gas it gathered and processed and the NGLs it fractionated.

Prior to March 7, 2014, our financial results only included the assets, liabilities and operations of our Predecessor. Beginning on March 7, 2014, our financial results also consolidate the assets, liabilities and operations of the legacy business of the Partnership after giving effect to the business combination.

Subsequent to March 7, 2014, we own a 50% direct ownership interest in Midstream Holdings and indirectly own an additional interest of approximately 3% of Midstream Holdings (through our ownership in the Partnership which owns the remaining 50% interest in Midstream Holdings) rather than the 100% ownership reflected as part of our Predecessor's historical financial results. Our financial statements after March 7, 2014 consolidate all of Midstream Holdings' financial results with ours in accordance with GAAP and the Partnership's interest not owned by us in Midstream Holdings is reflected as a non-controlling interest.

Our financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 report financial results according to operating segments based principally upon geographic regions served. The Predecessor had no operations for certain of those reporting segments.

All historical affiliated transactions prior to March 7, 2014 related to our continuing operations were net settled within our combined financial statements because these transactions related to Devon and were funded by Devon's working capital. Beginning on March 7, 2014, all our transactions settle in cash and therefore impact our working capital. This will impact the comparability of our cash flow statements, working capital analysis and liquidity discussion.

Toyog Sagmant	Three Mo March 31 2015 (in million volumes)	2014	
Texas Segment Revenues	\$211.3	\$384.2	
Purchased gas and NGLs		) (257.7 )	)
Total gross operating margin	\$144.8	\$126.5	,
Louisiana Segment	+	+	
Revenues	\$441.6	\$153.0	
Purchased gas, NGLs and crude oil		) (140.5 )	)
Total gross operating margin	\$65.2	\$12.5	
Oklahoma Segment		·	
Revenues	\$43.9	\$174.4	
Purchased gas and NGLs	(3.4	) (133.8 )	)
Total gross operating margin	\$40.5	\$40.6	
Crude and Condensate Segment			
Revenues	\$263.7	\$20.1	
Purchased crude oil and condensate	(235.5	) (14.3	)
Total gross operating margin	\$28.2	\$5.8	
Corporate			
Revenues	\$(24.2	) \$(8.7 )	)
Purchased gas and NGLs	24.4	7.4	
Total gross operating margin	\$0.2	\$(1.3)	)
Total			
Revenues	\$936.3	\$723.0	
Purchased gas, NGLs, condensate and crude oil		) (538.9 )	)
Total gross operating margin	\$278.9	\$184.1	
Midstream Volumes:			
Texas (1)	2 751 000	2 052 200	
Gathering and Transportation (MMBtu/d)		2,952,200	
Processing (MMBtu/d)	1,130,300	1,128,300	
Louisiana (2) Cathering and Transportation (MMRtu(d))	1,355,400	417 000	
Gathering and Transportation (MMBtu/d)	434,400	417,000 642,700	
Processing (MMBtu/d) NGL Fractionation (Gals/d)	434,400 5,632,000	-	
Oklahoma (3)	3,032,000	5,291,900	
Gathering and Transportation (MMBtu/d)	431,800	411,800	
Processing (MMBtu/d)	356,500	425,400	
Crude and Condensate (2)	550,500	723,700	
Crude Oil Handling (Bbls/d)	66,300	11,900	
Brine Disposal (Bbls/d)	3,600	4,600	
	5,000	1,000	

(1) Volumes include volumes per day based on the 90-day period for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 90-day period for Midstream Holdings operations plus incremental volumes based on the 25-day period from March 7 to March 31, 2014 for the three months ended March 31, 2014 for Partnership's legacy operations in

Texas.

Volumes include volumes per day based on the 90-day period for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and (2)25-day period from March 7 to March 31, 2014 for the three months ended March 31, 2014 for the Partnership's legacy operations. Midstream Holdings does not have any operations in Louisiana or Ohio.

Volumes include volumes per day based on the 90-day period for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and the (3)90-day period for Midstream Holdings operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The Partnership did not have any legacy operations in Oklahoma during 2014.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2014

Gross Operating Margin. Gross operating margin was \$278.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$184.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014, an increase of \$94.8 million, or 51.5% Of this increase in gross operating margin, \$85.9 million is attributable to the legacy Partnership assets associated with the business combination effective on March 7, 2014 and \$17.2 million is attributable to the Chevron, LPC and Coronado acquisitions. This increase is partially offset by a \$14.3 million decrease in gross operating margin related to Midstream Holdings, which is the result of the new fixed-fee arrangements with Devon entered into in connection with the business combination.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses were \$96.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$46.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014, an increase of \$50.0 million, or 108.2%. Of this increase in operating expenses, \$38.4 million is attributable legacy Partnership assets, \$7.6 million is attributable to direct operating costs of the Chevron, LPC and Coronado acquisitions and \$3.2 million is attributable to increase Midstream Holdings' operating costs.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses were \$42.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$15.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014, an increase of \$27.2 million, or 173.2%. Of this increase in general and administrative expenses, \$18.8 million is attributable to the legacy Partnership assets, \$6.0 million is attributable to certain bonuses paid in March 2015 in the form of unit awards that immediately vested and \$4.3 million is attributable to transaction costs related to the Chevron, LPC and Coronado acquisitions. The increase in general and administrative expenses was partially offset by a \$2.4 million decrease attributable to Midstream Holdings. Prior to March 7, 2014, general and administrative expenses were allocated to Midstream Holdings by Devon.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expenses were \$90.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$48.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014, an increase of \$41.8 million, or 86.7%. Of this increase in depreciation and amortization expenses, \$17.4 million is attributable to the legacy Partnership assets acquired in March 2014, \$7.6 million is attributable to the Chevron, LPC and Coronado acquisitions and \$19.1 million attributable is to new assets placed in service. This increase was partially offset by a decrease of \$2.0 million in depreciation and amortization expenses related to Midstream Holdings due to the change in depreciation methodology from the units-of-production method to the straight-line method.

Interest Expense. Interest expense was \$19.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$5.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014, an increase of \$13.7 million, or 253.7%. Of the increase in interest expense, \$16.2 million is attributable to the number of days debt was outstanding in 2014 compared to 2015. Interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2015 includes interest expense for 90 days as compared to 25 days for the three months ended March 31, 2014. Further, average debt outstanding increased in 2015 as compared to 2014, which increased interest expense \$1.2 million which was partially offset by \$0.8 million due to a decrease in average interest rates. This increase was partially offset by an increase in non-cash interest income of \$2.6 million attributable to the valuation of our mandatorily redeemable non-controlling interest. Net interest expense consists of the following (in millions):

Three	Three Months Ended		
Mar	March 31,		
2015	5	2014	
Senior notes \$20.	.3	\$5.3	
Partnership credit facility 2.3		0.6	
Credit facility 0.1		0.6	
Capitalized interest (1.3	)	(1.1	)
Amortization of debt issue cost, discount and premium (0.1	)	(0.2	)
Mandatory redeemable non-controlling interest (2.6	)		
Other 0.4		0.2	
Total \$19.	.1	\$5.4	

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense was \$10.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to income tax expense of \$23.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014, a decrease of \$13.1 million. The decrease in income tax expense is attributable to a decrease in taxable income between periods.

# **Critical Accounting Policies**

Information regarding the Company's Critical Accounting Policies is included in Item 7 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, except as described below.

Impairment of Goodwill. We conduct our annual goodwill impairment test in the fourth quarter each year. As of the date of our last impairment test, the fair values of our Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma and Crude and Condensate reporting units exceeded their related carrying values. The fair value of our Texas, Oklahoma and Crude and Condensate reporting units substantially exceeded carrying value. However, the fair value of our Louisiana reporting unit is not substantially in excess of its carrying value. The fair value of our Louisiana reporting unit exceeded its carrying value by approximately 14 percent. As of March 31, 2015, we performed a qualitative analysis of goodwill noting no substantial decline in operations that would indicate an impairment. As of March 31, 2015, we had \$786.8 million of goodwill allocated to the Louisiana reporting unit.

Significant decreases to our unit price, decreases in commodity prices or negative deviations from projected Louisiana reporting unit earnings could result in a goodwill impairment charge. A goodwill impairment charge would have no effect on liquidity or capital resources. However, it would adversely affect our results of operations in that period.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flows from Operating Activities. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$169.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to \$118.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. Operating cash flows and changes in working capital for comparative periods were as follows (in millions):

Three Months Ended March 31,

	2015	2014
Operating cash flows before working capital	\$133.7	\$118.0
Changes in working capital	\$35.8	\$0.5

The primary reason for the increase in operating cash flows before working capital of \$15.7 million from 2014 to 2015 relates to an increase in gross operating margin from the acquired legacy Partnership assets and Midstream Holdings assets. The increase in working capital for 2015 related to fluctuations in trade receivable and payable balances is due to timing of

collection and payments and changes in inventory balances due to normal operating fluctuations. Further, prior to March 7, 2014, all cash receipts for the Predecessor were deposited into Devon's bank accounts, and all cash disbursements were made from these accounts. Cash transactions handled by Devon were reflected in intercompany advances between Devon and the Predecessor, all of which were settled through an adjustment to equity and reflected in cash flows from financing activities. Subsequent to March 7, 2014, Midstream Holdings handles all of its cash transactions and the changes in working capital are reflected in our cash flows from operating activities.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities. Net cash used in investing activities was \$462.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and \$145.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. Our primary investing cash flows were acquisition costs and capital expenditures, net of accrued amounts, as follows (in millions):

	Three Months Ended		
	March 31,		
	2015	2014	
Growth capital expenditures	\$142.5	\$94.0	
Maintenance capital expenditures	11.7	3.8	
Acquisition of businesses	312.0	50.3	
Distribution from equity investment company in excess of earnings	(4.1	) (2.6	)
Total	\$462.1	\$145.5	

Cash Flows from Financing Activities. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$334.0 million and \$248.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. All Predecessor financing activities from January 1, 2014 through March 6, 2014 totaling 22.1 million are reflected in distributions to Predecessor on the statement of cash flows. Our primary financing activities excluding the period prior to March 7, 2014 consist of the following (in millions):

	Three Months Ended		
	March 3	l,	
	2015	2014	
Net borrowings (repayments) on Partnership's credit facility	\$472.0	\$(377.0	)
Net borrowings on Company's credit facility		29.1	
Senior unsecured notes borrowings		1,190.0	
Redemption of 2018 Notes		(562.9	)
Net borrowing on E2 credit facility		0.8	
Net repayments under capital lease obligations	(1.0	) (0.8	)
Debt refinancing costs	(1.8	) (6.0	)
Proceeds from issuance of Partnership common units	2.2		

Distributions to unitholders and our general partner also represent a primary use of cash in financing activities. Total cash distributions made during the three months ended March 31, 2015 were as follows (in millions):

Ended	
March 31,	
2015	
Distributions to members \$38.8	
Non-controlling partner distributions 85.8	
Total \$124.6	

In order to reduce our interest costs, we do not borrow money to fund outstanding checks until they are presented to the bank. Fluctuations in drafts payable are caused by timing of disbursements, cash receipts and draws on our credit facility. We borrow money under our credit facility to fund checks as they are presented. Change in drafts payable for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 were as follows (in millions):

	Three Mor	Three Months Ended		
	March 31	,		
	2015	2014		
Decrease in drafts payable	\$(12.7	) \$(2.6	)	

Uncertainties. The Partnership owns and operates a high-pressure pipeline and underground natural gas and NGL storage reservoirs and associated facilities near Bayou Corne, Louisiana. In August 2012, a large sinkhole formed in the vicinity of this pipeline and underground storage reservoirs. The Partnership is seeking to recover its losses from responsible parties. The Partnership has sued Texas Brine Company, LLC ("Texas Brine"), the operator of a failed cavern in the area, and its insurers seeking recovery for this damage. The Partnership also filed a claim with its insurers, which the Partnership's insurers denied its claim. The Partnership disputes the denial and sued its insurers, but has agreed to stay the matter pending resolution of its claims against Texas Brine and its insurers. In August 2014, the Partnership received a partial settlement with respect the Texas Brine claims in the amount of \$6.1 million, but additional claims remain outstanding. The Partnership cannot give assurance that the Partnership will be able to fully recover its losses through insurance recovery or claims against responsible parties.

In June 2014, a group of landowners in Assumption Parish, Louisiana added a subsidiary of the Partnership, EnLink Processing Services, LLC, as a defendant in a pending lawsuit they had filed against Texas Brine, Occidental Chemical Corporation, and Vulcan Materials Company relating to claims arising from the Bayou Corne sinkhole. The suit is pending in the 23<sup>rd</sup> Judicial Court, Assumption Parish, Louisiana. Although plaintiffs' claims against the other defendants have been pending since October 2012, plaintiffs are now alleging that EnLink Processing Services, LLC's negligence also contributed to the formation of the sinkhole. The amount of damages is unspecified. The validity of the causes of action, as well as the Partnership's costs and legal exposure, if any, related to the lawsuit are not currently determinable. The Partnership intends to vigorously defend the case. The Partnership has also filed a claim for defense and indemnity with its insurers.

In October 2014, Williams Olefins, L.L.C. filed a lawsuit against a subsidiary of the Partnership, EnLink NGL Marketing, LP, in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma. The plaintiff alleges breach of contract and negligent misrepresentation relating to an ethane output contract between the parties and the subsidiary's termination of ethane production from one of its fractionation plants. The amount of damages is unspecified. The validity of the causes of action, as well as the Partnership's costs and legal exposure, if any, related to the lawsuit are not currently determinable. The Partnership intends to vigorously defend the case.

Capital Requirements. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, capital investments were \$141.8 million, which were funded by internally generated cash flow and borrowings under the Partnership's credit facility. The Partnership's remaining current growth capital spending projection for 2015 is approximately \$380.0 million related to identified growth projects. The Partnership expects to fund the growth capital expenditures from the proceeds of borrowing under its credit facility and from other debt and equity sources.

The Partnership expects to fund its 2015 maintenance capital expenditures of approximately \$38.4 million from operating cash flows. In 2015, it is possible that not all of the planned projects will be commenced or completed. The Partnership's ability to pay distributions to its unitholders, and to fund planned capital expenditures and to make acquisitions will depend upon its future operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions in the industry and financial, business and other factors, some of which are beyond its control.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. No off-balance sheet arrangements existed as of March 31, 2015.

Total Contractual Cash Obligations. A summary of contractual cash obligations as of March 31, 2015 is as follows (in millions):

	Payments 1	Due by Period	1				
	Total	Remainder 2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Thereafter
Long-term debt obligations	\$1,762.5	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$400.0	\$1,362.5
Partnership credit facility	709.0		_		_		709.0
Other debt	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1			
Interest payable on fixed long-term debt obligations	<sup>1</sup> 1,403.8	79.6	81.3	81.3	81.3	75.9	1,004.4
Capital lease obligations	21.8	3.6	4.8	6.8	2.9	1.6	2.1
Operating lease obligations	114.9	6.4	10.0	6.7	11.6	9.0	71.2
Purchase obligations	190.5	190.5	—	—			
Delivery contract obligation	76.2	13.5	17.9	17.9	17.9	9.0	
Inactive easement commitment*	8.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0
Uncertain tax position obligations	2.0	2.0	—				
Total contractual obligations	\$4,289.0	\$296.7	\$115.1	\$113.8	\$114.7	\$496.5	\$3,152.2

\* Amounts related to inactive easements paid as utilized by the Partnership with balance due at end of 10 years if not utilized.

The above table does not include any physical or financial contract purchase commitments for natural gas due to the nature of both the price and volume components of such purchases, which vary on a daily or monthly basis. Additionally, we do not have contractual commitments for fixed price and/or fixed quantities of any material amount.

The interest payable under the Partnership's credit facility, the Company's credit facility and other debt is not reflected in the above table because such amounts depend on the outstanding balances and interest rates, which vary from time to time. However, given the same borrowing amount and rates in effect at March 31, 2015, the cash obligation for interest expense on the Partnership's credit facility would be approximately \$11.3 million per year or approximately \$8.5 million for the remainder of 2015.

#### Indebtedness

As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, long-term debt consisted of the following (in millions):				
	March 31,	December 31,		
	2015	2014		
Partnership credit facility (due 2020), interest based on Prime and/or LIBOR plus an				
applicable margin, interest rate at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 was 1.6%	\$709.0	\$237.0		
and 1.9% respectively				
Company credit facility (due 2019)				
The Partnership's senior unsecured notes (due 2019), net of discount of \$0.5 million,	399.5	399.5		
which bear interest at the rate of $2.70\%$				
The Partnership's senior unsecured notes (due 2022), including a premium of \$21.1 million at March 21, 2015 and \$21.0 million at December 21, 2014, which been	1927	1011		
million at March 31, 2015 and \$21.9 million at December 31, 2014, which bear interest at the rate of 7.125%	183.7	184.4		
The Partnership's senior unsecured notes (due 2024), net of premium of \$3.1 million a	t -			
March 31, 2015 and \$3.2 million at December 31, 2014, which bear interest at the rate		553.2		
of 4.40%	555.1	555.2		
The Partnership's senior unsecured notes (due 2044), net of discount of \$0.3 million,		<b>. .</b>		
which bear interest at the rate of 5.60%	349.7	349.7		
The Partnership's senior unsecured notes (due 2045), net of discount of \$1.6 million at				
March 31, 2015 and \$1.7 million at December 31, 2014, which bear interest at the rate	298.4	298.3		
of 5.05%				
Other debt	0.3	0.4		
Debt classified as long-term	\$2,493.7	\$2,022.5		

Company Credit Facility. On March 7, 2014, the Company entered into a \$250.0 million revolving credit facility, which includes a \$125.0 million letter of credit subfacility (the "credit facility"). The Company used borrowings under the credit facility to repay outstanding borrowings under the margin loan facility of XTXI Capital, LLC (a former wholly-owned subsidiary of EnLink Midstream, Inc.), which was paid in full and terminated on March 7, 2014. Our obligations under the credit facility are guaranteed by two of our wholly-owned subsidiaries and secured by first priority liens on (i) 17,431,152 Partnership common units and the 100% membership interest in the General Partner indirectly held by us, (ii) the 100% equity interest in each of our wholly-owned subsidiaries held by us, (iii) the limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings held by us and (iv) any additional equity interests subsequently pledged as collateral under the credit facility.

As of March 31, 2015 there were no borrowings under the credit facility, leaving \$250.0 million available for future borrowing based on the borrowing capacity of \$250.0 million.

Partnership Credit Facility. As of March 31, 2015, there were \$2.9 million in outstanding letters of credit and \$709.0 million of outstanding borrowings under the Partnership's credit facility, leaving approximately \$788.1 million available for future borrowing based on the borrowing capacity of \$1.5 billion. The credit facility will mature on March 6, 2020, unless we request, and the requisite lenders agree, to extend it pursuant to its terms. See Note 6 to the condensed consolidated financial statements titled "Long-Term Debt" for further details.

# Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers ("ASU 2014-09"). ASU 2014-09 will replace existing revenue recognition requirements in GAAP and will require entities to recognize revenue at an amount that reflects the consideration to which the Partnership expects to be entitled in exchange for transferring goods or services to a customer. The new standard will also require significantly expanded disclosures

regarding the qualitative and quantitative information of the Company's nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. ASU 2014-09 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period and is to be applied retrospectively, with early application not permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact the pronouncement will have on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. Subject to this evaluation, we have reviewed all recently issued accounting pronouncements that became effective during the three months ended March 31, 2015, and have determined that none would have a material impact on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Table of Contents

#### Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of federal securities laws. Statements included in this report which are not historical facts are forward-looking statements. These statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology including "forecast," "may," "believe," "will," "expect "anticipate," "estimate," "continue" or other similar words. These statements discuss future expectations, contain projections of results of operations or of financial condition or state other "forward-looking" information. Such statements reflect our current views with respect to future events based on what we believe are reasonable assumptions; however, such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties. In addition to specific uncertainties discussed elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the risk factors set forth in Part II, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" of this report may affect our performance and results of operations. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. We disclaim any intention or obligation to update or review any forward-looking statements or information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices. Our primary market risk is the risk related to changes in the prices of natural gas, NGLs, condensate and crude oil. In addition, we are also exposed to the risk of changes in interest rates on floating rate debt.

Comprehensive financial reform legislation was signed into law by the President on July 21, 2010. The legislation calls for the Commodities Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") to regulate certain markets for derivative products, including over-the-counter ("OTC") derivatives. The CFTC has issued several new relevant regulations and other rulemakings are pending at the CFTC, the product of which would be rules that implement mandates in new legislation to cause significant portions of derivatives markets to clear through clearinghouses. The legislation and new regulations may also require counterparties to our derivative instruments to spin off some of their derivatives activities to separate entities, which may not be as creditworthy as the current counterparties. The new legislation and any future new regulations could significantly increase the cost of derivative contracts, materially alter the terms of derivative contracts, reduce the availability of derivatives to protect against risks we encounter, reduce our ability to monetize or restructure our existing derivative contracts, and increase our exposure to less creditworthy counterparties. If we reduce our use of derivatives as a result of the legislation and regulations, our results of operations may become more volatile and our cash flows may be less predictable, which could adversely affect our ability to plan for and fund capital expenditures and to generate sufficient cash flow to pay quarterly distributions at current levels or at all. Our revenues could be adversely affected if a consequence of the legislation and regulations is lower commodity prices. Any of these consequences could have a material, adverse effect on us, our financial condition and our results of operations.

#### Commodity Price Risk

We are subject to significant risks due to fluctuations in commodity prices. Our exposure to these risks is primarily in the gas processing component of our business. We currently process gas under three main types of contractual arrangements as summarized below. Approximately 90% of our processing margins are from fixed fee based contracts for the quarter ended March 31, 2015. During March 2015, the Partnership acquired processing plants from Coronado which generate gross operating margins based on percent of proceeds contracts.

1. Processing margin contracts: Under this type of contract, the Partnership pays the producer for the full amount of inlet gas to the plant, and makes a margin based on the difference between the value of liquids recovered from the processed natural gas as compared to the value of the natural gas volumes lost and the

cost of fuel used in processing. The shrink and fuel losses are referred to as plant thermal reduction or "PTR". The Partnership's margins from these contracts are high during periods of high liquids prices relative to natural gas prices, and can be negative during periods of high natural gas prices relative to liquids prices. However, the Partnership mitigates its risk of processing natural gas when margins are negative primarily through its ability to bypass processing when it is not profitable for the Partnership, or by contracts that revert to a minimum fee for processing if the natural gas must be processed to meet pipeline quality specifications.

2. Percent of liquids contracts: Under these contracts, the Partnership receives a fee in the form of a percentage of the liquids recovered, and the producer bears all the cost of the natural gas shrink. Therefore, the Partnership's margins from these contracts are greater during periods of high liquids prices. The Partnership's margins from processing cannot become negative under percent of liquids contracts, but do decline during periods of low NGL prices.

Percent of proceeds contracts: Under these contracts, the Partnership receives a fee as a portion of the proceeds of the sale of natural gas and liquids. Therefore, the Partnership's margins from these contracts are greater during periods of high natural gas and liquids prices. The Partnership's margins from processing cannot become negative under percent of proceeds contracts, but do decline during periods of low natural gas and NGL prices.

4. Fee based contracts: Under these contracts we have no direct commodity price exposure and are paid a fixed fee per unit of volume that is processed.

The Partnership's primary commodity risk management objective is to reduce volatility in its cash flows. The Partnership maintains a risk management committee, including members of senior management, which oversees all hedging activity. The Partnership enters into hedges for natural gas and NGLs using over-the-counter derivative financial instruments with only certain well-capitalized counterparties which have been approved by its risk management committee.

The Partnership has hedged its exposure to fluctuations in prices for natural gas and NGL volumes produced for its account. The Partnership hedges its exposure based on volumes it considers hedgeable (volumes committed under contracts that are long term in nature) versus total volumes that include volumes that may fluctuate due to contractual terms, such as contracts with month to month processing options. Further, the Partnership has tailored its hedges to generally match the NGL product composition and the NGL and natural gas delivery points to those of its physical equity volumes. The NGL hedges cover specific NGL products based upon the Partnership's expected equity NGL composition.

The following table sets forth certain information related to derivative instruments outstanding at March 31, 2015 mitigating the risks associated with the gas processing and fractionation components of the Partnership's business. The relevant payment index price for liquids is the monthly average of the daily closing price for deliveries of commodities into Mont Belvieu, Texas as reported by the OPIS. The relevant index price for Natural Gas is Henry Hub Gas Daily is as defined by the pricing dates in the swap contracts.

Period	Underlying	Notion	al Volume	We Pay	We Receive *	Fair Value Asset/(Liabil (in millions)	ity)
April 2015 - December 2016	Ethane	1,113	(MBbls)	\$0.2781/gal	Index	\$ (3.8	)
April 2015 - December 2016	Propane	1,170	(MBbls)	Index	\$0.948/gal	20.0	
April 2015 - March 2016	Normal Butane	117	(MBbls)	Index	\$0.775/gal	0.7	
April 2015 - March 2016	Natural Gasoline	101	(MBbls)	Index	\$1.3157/gal	0.8	
April 2015 - May 2015	Condensate	23	(MBbls)	Index	\$48.44/Bbl	(0.1	)
April 2015 - March 2016	Natural Gas	2,631	(MMBtu/d)	\$3.27/MMBtu*	Index	(0.2	)
						\$ 17.4	

\*weighted average

Another price risk the Partnership faces is the risk of mismatching volumes of gas bought or sold on a monthly price versus volumes bought or sold on a daily price. The Partnership enters each month with a balanced book of natural gas bought and sold on the same basis. However, it is normal to experience fluctuations in the volumes of natural gas bought or sold under either basis, which leaves the Partnership with short or long positions that must be covered. The Partnership uses financial swaps to mitigate the exposure at the time it is created to maintain a balanced position.

The use of financial instruments may expose the Partnership to the risk of financial loss in certain circumstances, including instances when (1) sales volumes are less than expected requiring market purchases to meet commitments or (2) counterparties fail to purchase the contracted quantities of natural gas or otherwise fail to perform. To the extent that the Partnership engages in hedging activities, it may be prevented from realizing the benefits of favorable price

changes in the physical market. However, the Partnership is similarly insulated against unfavorable changes in such prices.

As of March 31, 2015, outstanding natural gas swap agreements, NGL swap agreements, swing swap agreements, storage swap agreements and other derivative instruments were a net fair value liability of \$17.4 million. The aggregate effect of a

hypothetical 10% change, increase or decrease, in gas and NGL prices would result in a change of approximately 2.8 million in the net fair value of these contracts as of March 31, 2015.

# Interest Rate Risk

The Company had no outstanding borrowings on its variable rate credit facility as of March 31, 2015.

The Partnership is exposed to interest rate risk on its variable rate credit facility. At March 31, 2015, the Partnership's credit facility had \$709.0 million outstanding borrowings under this facility. A 1% increase or decrease in interest rates would change its annual interest expense by approximately \$7.1 million for the year. The Partnership is not exposed to changes in interest rates with respect to its senior unsecured notes due in 2019, 2022, 2024, 2044, or 2045 as these are fixed rate obligations. The estimated fair value of the Partnership's senior unsecured notes was approximately \$1,861.9 million as of March 31, 2015, based on market prices of similar debt at March 31, 2015. Market risk is estimated as the potential decrease in fair value of the Partnership's long-term debt resulting from a hypothetical increase of 1% in interest rates. Such an increase in interest rates would result in approximately a \$161.7 million decrease in fair value of the Partnership's at March 31, 2015.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

#### (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of EnLink Midstream Manager, LLC, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report (March 31, 2015), our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the applicable rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred in the three months ended March 31, 2015 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

# PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

# Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are involved in various litigation and administrative proceedings arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, any liabilities that may result from these claims would not individually or in the aggregate have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

For a discussion of certain litigation and similar proceedings, please refer to Note 15, "Commitments and Contingencies," of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which is incorporated by reference herein.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

Information about risk factors does not differ materially from that set forth in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

## Item 6. Exhibits

The exhibits filed as part of this report are as follows (exhibits incorporated by reference are set forth with the name of the registrant, the type of report and registration number or last date of the period for which it was filed, and the exhibit number in such filing):

Number		Description
		Contribution and Transfer Agreement, dated as of February 17, 2015, by and between EnLink
2.1**		Midstream Partners, LP and Acacia Natural Gas Corp I, Inc. (incorporated by reference to
2.1** —		Exhibit 10.1 to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 17, 2015, filed with the Commission on February 17, 2015).
2.2**		Contribution, Conveyance and Assumption Agreement, dated as of March 23, 2015, by and between EnLink Midstream Partners, LP and Devon Gas Services, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 23, 2015, filed with the Commission on March 23, 2015).
3.1	_	Certificate of Formation of EnLink Midstream, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Registration Statement on Form S-4, file No. 333-192419).
3.2		Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Formation of EnLink Midstream, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to our Registration Statement on Form S-4, file No. 333-192419).
3.3		First Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of EnLink Midstream, LLC, dated as of March 7, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 7, 2014, filed with the Commission on March 11, 2014, file No. 001-36336).
3.4		Certificate of Formation of EnLink Midstream Manager, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.12 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014).
3.5		Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Formation of EnLink Midstream Manager, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.13 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014).
3.6	_	First Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of EnLink Midstream Manager, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.14 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014).
3.7	_	Certificate of Limited Partnership of EnLink Midstream Partners, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Registration Statement on Form S-1, file No. 333-97779).
3.8		Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Limited Partnership of EnLink Midstream Partners, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012, file No. 000-50067).
3.9		Second Amendment to the Certificate of Limited Partnership of EnLink Midstream Partners, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 6, 2014, filed with the Commission on March 11, 2014).
3.10	_	Seventh Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of EnLink Midstream Partners, LP dated July 7, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 7, 2014, filed with the Commission on July 7, 2014).
3.11	_	Amendment No. 1 to Seventh Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of EnLink Midstream Partners, LP, dated as of February 17, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 17, 2015, filed with the Commission on February 17, 2015)
3.12		Commission on February 17, 2015). Amendment No. 2 to Seventh Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of EnLink Midstream Partners, LP, dated as of March 16, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 16, 2015, filed with the

		Commission on March 16, 2015).
3.13		Certificate of Formation of EnLink Midstream GP, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.7 to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Registration Statement on Form S-1, file No. 333-97779).
		Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Formation of EnLink Midstream GP, LLC
3.14	—	(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.12 to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Registration Statement
		on Form S-3, file No. 333-194465).
		Third Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of EnLink Midstream GP, LLC,
3.15		dated as of July 7, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's
		Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 7, 2014, filed with the Commission on July 7, 2014).
		Commitment Increase and Extension Agreement, dated as of February 5, 2015, by and among EnLink
		Midstream Partners, LP, the Lenders party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as an L/C Issuer, as
10.1		Swing Line Lender, and as Administrative Agent for the Lenders (incorporated by reference to
		Exhibit 10.1 to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 5,
		2015, filed with the Commission on February 11, 2015).
50		

10.2†	_	Form of Performance Unit Agreement made under the GP Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 30, 2015, filed
		with the Commission February 5, 2015).
10.24		Form of Performance Unit Agreement made under the 2014 LLC Plan (incorporated by reference to
10.3†		Exhibit 10.2 to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 30,
		2015, filed with the Commission February 5, 2015).
10.11		Form of Restricted Incentive Unit Agreement made under the GP Plan (incorporated by reference to
10.4†	—	Exhibit 10.3 to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 30,
		2015, filed with the Commission February 5, 2015).
		Form of Restricted Incentive Unit Agreement made under the 2014 LLC Plan (incorporated by
10.5†	—	reference to Exhibit 10.4 to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K dated
		January 30, 2015, filed with the Commission February 5, 2015).
31.1*	—	Certification of the Principal Executive Officer.
31.2*		Certification of the Principal Financial Officer.
32.1*		Certification of the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C.
32.1		Section 1350.
		The following financial information from EnLink Midstream, LLC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q
		for the quarter ended March 31, 2015, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting
		Language): (i) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2015 and December 31,
101*		2014, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31,
		2015 and 2014, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Members' Equity for the three months
		ended March 31, 2015, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended
		March 31, 2015 and 2014, and (v) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

\* Filed herewith.

\*\* Pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K, the Registrant agrees to furnish supplementally a copy of any omitted exhibit or schedule to the SEC upon request.

† This Exhibit is identified as a management contract or compensatory benefit plan or arrangement.

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

EnLink Midstream, LLC

- By: EnLink Midstream Manager, LLC, its managing member
- By: /s/ MICHAEL J. GARBERDING Michael J. Garberding Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

May 6, 2015