

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

Form 10-K

February 29, 2012

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

þ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

OR

· TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-14180

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

(Exact name of registrant specified in the charter)

Jurisdiction of incorporation: Delaware

IRS identification number: 87-0748324

600 Third Avenue

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

New York, New York 10016

(Address of principal executive offices)

Telephone: (212) 697-1105

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common stock, \$.01 par value	NASDAQ

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, and accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Ruler 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

At February 17, 2012, 21,092,278 shares of the registrant's voting common stock and 9,505,673 shares of the registrant's non-voting common stock were outstanding.

As of June 30, 2011, the aggregate market value of the common stock, the only common equity of the registrant currently issued and outstanding, held by non-affiliates of the registrant, was approximately \$866,457,211

Indicate by a check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Section 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes No

Documents incorporated by reference are as follows:

Document	Part and Item Number of
Loral Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held May 22, 2012	Form 10-K into which incorporated Part II, Item 5(d) Part III, Items 11 through 14

Table of Contents

LORAL SPACE AND COMMUNICATIONS INC.

INDEX TO ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

For the Year Ended December 31, 2011

PART I

<u>Item 1: Business</u>	1
<u>Item 1A: Risk Factors</u>	17
<u>Item 1B: Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	41
<u>Item 2: Properties</u>	41
<u>Item 3: Legal Proceedings</u>	42
<u>Item 4: Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	42

PART II

<u>Item 5: Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	43
<u>Item 6: Selected Financial Data</u>	45
<u>Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	46
<u>Item 7A: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	73
<u>Item 8: Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	74
<u>Item 9: Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	75
<u>Item 9A: Controls and Procedures</u>	75
<u>Item 9B: Other Information</u>	77

PART III

<u>Item 10: Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	77
<u>Item 11: Executive Compensation</u>	77
<u>Item 12: Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	77
<u>Item 13: Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	77
<u>Item 14: Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	77

PART IV

<u>Item 15: Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	78
<u>Signatures</u>	84

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

THE COMPANY

Overview

Loral Space & Communications Inc., together with its subsidiaries (Loral, the Company, we, our and us), is a leading satellite communication company engaged in satellite manufacturing with ownership interests in satellite-based communications services. The term Parent Company is a reference to Loral Space & Communications Inc., excluding its subsidiaries.

Loral has two segments:

Satellite Manufacturing:

Our subsidiary, Space Systems/Loral, Inc. (SS/L), designs and manufactures satellites, space systems and space system components for commercial and government customers whose applications include fixed satellite services (FSS), direct-to-home (DTH) broadcasting, mobile satellite services (MSS), broadband data distribution, wireless telephony, digital radio, digital mobile broadcasting, military communications, weather monitoring and air traffic management.

Satellite Services:

Loral participates in satellite services operations principally through its 64% economic interest in Telesat Holdings Inc. (Telesat Holdco), which owns Telesat Canada (Telesat), a leading global FSS provider, with industry leading backlog, and one of only three FSS providers operating on a global basis. Telesat owns and leases a satellite fleet that operates in geosynchronous earth orbit approximately 22,000 miles above the equator. In this orbit, satellites remain in a fixed position relative to points on the earth's surface and provide reliable, high-bandwidth services anywhere in their coverage areas, serving as the backbone for many forms of telecommunications.

Segment Overview

Satellite Manufacturing

SS/L is a designer, manufacturer and integrator of powerful satellites and satellite systems for commercial and government customers worldwide. SS/L's design, engineering and manufacturing capabilities have allowed it to develop a large portfolio of highly engineered, mission-critical satellites and secure a strong industry presence. This position provides SS/L with the ability to produce satellites that meet a broad range of customer requirements for broadband internet service to the home, mobile video and internet service, broadcast feeds for television and radio distribution, phone service, civil and defense communications, direct-to-home television broadcast, satellite radio, telecommunications backhaul and trunking, weather and environment monitoring and air traffic control. In addition, SS/L has applied its design and manufacturing expertise to produce spacecraft subsystems, such as batteries for the International Space Station, and to integrate government and other add-on missions on commercial satellites, which are referred to as hosted payloads.

As of December 31, 2011, SS/L had \$1.4 billion in backlog for 22 satellites for customers including, among others, Intelsat Global S.A., SES S.A., Telesat Holdings Inc., Hispasat, S.A., EchoStar Corporation, Sirius-XM Satellite Radio, TerreStar Networks, Inc., Asia Satellite Telecommunications Co. Ltd., Hughes Network Systems, LLC, Eutelsat/ictQatar, DIRECTV, SingTel Optus, Satélites Mexicanos, S.A. de C.V., Asia Broadcast Satellite and Telenor Satellite Broadcasting. From January 1, 2012 to February 15, 2012, SS/L was awarded contracts for three satellites, including two satellites for NBN Co. Limited.

Table of Contents

Since SS/L's inception, it has delivered more than 240 satellites, which have achieved more than 1,850 years of cumulative on-orbit service. SS/L's satellite platform accommodates some of the world's highest-power payloads for television, radio and multimedia broadcast. SS/L is the only manufacturer to have produced to date high-power commercial satellites greater than 18-kW at end-of-life, or EOL. In addition, SS/L is the first manufacturer to utilize a commercial ground-based beam forming, or GBBF, system, which allows ground system upgrades to adjust for changes in service usage.

Satellite demand is driven by fleet replacement cycles, increased video, internet and data bandwidth demand and new satellite applications. SS/L expects its future success to be derived from maintaining and expanding its share of satellite construction contract awards based on engineering, technical and manufacturing leadership; its value proposition and record of reliability; the increased demand for new applications requiring high power and capacity satellites such as HDTV, 3-D TV and broadband; and SS/L's expansion of governmental contracts based on its record of reliability and experience with fixed-price contract manufacturing. We also expect SS/L to benefit from the increased revenues from larger and more complex satellites.

SS/L products span the entire commercial market segment and SS/L's customers include satellite service operators across all satellite-based applications. SS/L's highly flexible satellite platform accommodates a broad range of applications such as regional and spot-beam technology and hybrid systems that maximize the value of orbital slot locations. As a result, SS/L is well-positioned for the next stage of growth, including (i) additional satellites for existing customers, (ii) satellites for new customers, both established and those developing new services and (iii) government satellites, both U.S. government, or USG, and non-USG, as well as government-hosted payloads and space subsystems.

Market and Competition

SS/L participates in the highly competitive commercial satellite manufacturing industry principally on the basis of its technical capabilities and engineering expertise, perceived product reliability, customer relationships, cost and the ability to meet delivery schedules. Its primary competitors for satellite manufacturing contracts are Boeing and Lockheed Martin in the U.S., Thales Alenia Space and EADS Astrium in Europe and Mitsubishi Electric Corporation in Japan. SS/L also sometimes competes with Orbital Sciences, another U.S. manufacturer, which provides satellites that are generally at the lower end of the power range SS/L offers. SS/L may also face competition in the future from emerging low-cost competitors in India, Russia and China. The number of satellite manufacturing contracts awarded varies annually and is difficult to predict. For example, based on readily available industry information, we believe that, while only two contracts for mid- and high-power (8 kW or higher) commercial satellites were awarded worldwide in 2002, there were 18 and 17 contracts awarded in 2011 and 2010, respectively. The current economic environment may adversely affect the satellite market in the near-term. While we expect the replacement market to be reliable over the next year, given the current credit markets, potential customers that are highly leveraged or in the development stage may not be able to obtain the financing necessary to purchase satellites.

Satellite Manufacturing Performance⁽¹⁾

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year ended December 31, 2010 (In millions)	September 30, 2009
Total segment revenues	\$ 1,108	\$ 1,165	\$ 1,008
Eliminations	(1)	(6)	(15)
Revenues from satellite manufacturing as reported	\$ 1,107	\$ 1,159	\$ 993
Segment Adjusted EBITDA before eliminations	\$ 138	\$ 143	\$ 91

⁽¹⁾ See Consolidated Operating Results in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for significant items that affect comparability between the periods presented (see Note 16 to the Loral consolidated financial statements for the definition of Adjusted EBITDA).

Table of Contents

Total SS/L assets, located primarily in California, were \$929 million, \$921 million and \$864 million as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase between 2009 and 2010 was primarily due to growth in gross orbital receivables of \$71 million. Backlog at December 31, 2011 was \$1.4 billion. This included \$69 million of backlog for the construction of Nimiq 6 and Anik G1 for Telesat. Backlog at December 31, 2010 was \$1.6 billion. This included \$219 million of backlog for the construction of Telstar 14R and Nimiq 6 for Telesat and the intercompany portion of ViaSat-1. It is expected that approximately 62% of the backlog as of December 31, 2011, will be recognized as revenues during 2012. During 2011, revenues from Telesat Holdings Inc., Intelsat Global S.A. and Hispasat, S.A. were each individually greater than 10% of our total revenues.

Satellite Services

As of December 31, 2011, Telesat had 12 in-orbit satellites and two satellites under construction, one of which is 100% leased to a customer for at least the design life of the satellite. In addition, Telesat owns the Canadian Ka-band payload on the ViaSat-1 satellite which was launched in October 2011. Telesat provides video distribution and DTH video, as well as end-to-end communications services using both satellite and hybrid satellite-ground networks.

Telesat Services

Telesat earns the majority of its revenues by providing satellite-based services to customers, who use these services for their own communications requirements or to provide services to customers further down the distribution chain for video and data services. Telesat also earns revenue by providing ground-based transmit and receive services, selling equipment, installing, managing and maintaining satellite networks, and providing consulting services in the field of satellite communications. Telesat categorizes its revenues into: Broadcast, Enterprise Services and Consulting & Other.

Broadcast

Telesat's broadcast services business provided approximately 54% of its revenue for the year ended December 31, 2011. These services included:

DTH. Both Canadian DTH service providers (Bell TV and Shaw Direct) use Telesat's satellites as a distribution platform for their services, delivering television programming, audio and information channels directly to customers' homes. In addition, Telesat's Anik F3 and Nimiq 5 satellites are used by EchoStar (Dish Network) for DTH services in the United States.

Video Distribution and Contribution. Major broadcasters, cable networks and DTH service providers use Telesat satellites for the full-time transmission of television programming. Additionally, Telesat provides certain broadcasters and DTH service providers bundled value-added services that include satellite capacity, digital encoding of video channels and uplinking and downlinking services to and from Telesat satellites and teleport facilities. Telstar 18 delivers video distribution and contribution in Asia and offers connectivity to the U.S. mainland via Hawaiian teleport facilities. Telstar 12 is also used to transmit television services. In both Brazil and Chile, Telesat provides video distribution services on Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2.

Occasional Use Services. Occasional use services consist of satellite transmission services for the timely broadcast of video news, sports and live event coverage on a short-term basis enabling broadcasters to conduct on-the-scene transmissions using small, portable antennas.

Enterprise Services

Telesat's enterprise services provided approximately 42% of its revenue for the year ended December 31, 2011. These services include:

Data networks in North America and the related ground segment and maintenance services supporting these networks. Telesat operates very small aperture terminal, or VSAT, networks in North America, managing thousands of VSAT terminals at customer sites. For some of these customers Telesat offers end-to-end services including installation and maintenance of the end user terminal, maintenance of the VSAT hub, and provision of satellite capacity. For other customers, Telesat may provide a subset of these services. Examples of North American data network services include point of sale services for customers in Canada and communications services to remote locations for the oil and gas industry.

Table of Contents

International Enterprise Networks. Telesat's global IP-based network service infrastructure allows it to provide worldwide IP-based terrestrial extension services that permit enterprises to reach all of their locations worldwide—many of which cannot be connected via terrestrial means. In addition, these managed service solutions enable multi-cast and broadcast functionality, as with traditional video broadcast distribution, which take full advantage of satellite's one-to-many attributes. These services are delivered to enterprises whose headquarters are typically in the United States or Europe both through terrestrial partners and directly.

Ka-band Internet Services. Telesat provides Ka-band satellite capacity to Xplornet Communications Inc. and other resellers in Canada who use it to provide two-way broadband Internet services in Canada. Telesat also provides Ka-band satellite capacity to WildBlue, which uses it to provide similar services in the United States.

Telecommunication Carrier Services. Telesat provides satellite capacity and end-to-end services for data and voice transmission to telecommunications carriers located throughout the world. These services include (i) connectivity and voice circuits to remote locations in Canada for customers such as Bell Canada and NorthwTel and (ii) space segment capacity and terrestrial facilities for GSM backhaul in developing countries that lack terrestrial infrastructure and for maritime and aeronautical sectors where the need to stay connected cannot be met by terrestrial networks.

Government Services. The U.S. government is the largest single consumer of fixed satellite services in the world and a significant user of Telesat's international satellites. Over the course of several years, Telesat has implemented a successful strategy to sell through government service integrators, rather than directly to U.S. government agencies. Telesat is also a significant provider of satellite services to the Canadian Government.

Consulting & Other

Telesat's consulting & other category provided approximately 4% of its revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011. Telesat's consulting operations allow it to realize operating efficiencies by leveraging Telesat's existing employees and the facility base dedicated to its core satellite communication business. With over 40 years of engineering and technical experience, Telesat is a leading consultant in establishing, operating and upgrading satellite systems worldwide, having provided services to businesses and governments in over 40 countries across six continents. In 2011, the international consulting business provided satellite-related services in approximately 21 countries.

Competitive Strengths

Telesat's business is characterized by the following key competitive strengths:

Leading Global FSS Operator

Telesat is the fourth largest FSS operator in the world and the largest in Canada, with a strong and growing business. It has a leading position as a provider of satellite services in the North American video distribution market. Telesat provides services to both of the major DTH providers in Canada, Bell TV and Shaw Direct, which together have approximately 2.9 million subscribers, as well as to EchoStar (Dish Network) in the United States, which has approximately 14 million subscribers. Its international satellites are well positioned in emerging, high growth markets and serve high value customers in those markets. Telstar 11N provides service to American, European and African regions and aeronautical and maritime markets of the Atlantic Ocean Region. Telstar 12 provides intercontinental connectivity from the Americas to the Middle East. Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2 offers high powered coverage of the Americas, the Gulf of Mexico, the Caribbean and the North Atlantic Ocean Region (NAOR). Telstar 18 delivers video distribution and contribution throughout Asia and offers connectivity to the US mainland via Hawaiian teleports. Telesat's current enterprise services customers include leading telecommunications service providers as well as a range of network service providers and integrators, which provide services to enterprises, governments and international agencies and multiple ISPs.

Table of Contents

Blue Chip Customer Base

Telesat offers its broad suite of satellite services to more than 400 customers worldwide, which include some of the world's leading television broadcasters, cable programmers, DTH service providers, ISPs, telecommunications carriers, corporations and government agencies. Over 40 years of operation, Telesat has established long-term, collaborative relationships with its customers and has developed a reputation for creating innovative solutions and providing services essential for its customers to reach their end users. Telesat's customers represent some of the strongest and most financially stable companies in their respective industries. These customers frequently commit to long-term contracts for Telesat's services, which enhances the predictability of its future revenues and cash flows and supports its future growth.

Large Contracted Backlog and Young Satellite Fleet Underpin Anticipated Growth and High Revenue Visibility

Historically, Telesat has been able to generate strong cash flows from its operating activities due to the high operating margins in the satellite industry and its disciplined control of expenses. The stability of Telesat's cash flows is underpinned by its large revenue backlog. Telesat has been able to generate significant backlog by entering into long-term contracts with its customers, in some cases for all or substantially all of a satellite's orbital maneuver life.

This revenue backlog supports Telesat's anticipated growth. A significant proportion of Telesat's expected revenue growth is based on currently contracted business with its DTH provider customers for satellites in orbit and satellites that will be launched in the coming years. In addition to this backlog, Telesat has historically experienced a high proportion of contract renewals with existing customers. Together, these two factors have produced ongoing, stable cash flows.

The high quality and young age of Telesat's satellite fleet also positively impact Telesat's cash flows as it manages capital expenditures. Two additional satellites, Nimiq 6 and Anik G1, are presently under construction.

Portfolio of Orbital Real Estate

Telesat's satellites occupy attractive orbital locations that provide it with a leading position in many of the markets in which it operates due to the scarcity of available satellite spectrum and the strong neighborhoods Telesat has developed at these locations. Telesat is licensed by Industry Canada to occupy a number of key orbital positions that are well-suited to serve the Americas and maintain its leading position in North America. Telesat's international satellites also occupy highly desirable orbital locations that enable broad pan-regional service with interconnectivity between regions, making them attractive for both intra- and inter-regional services. Telesat has rights to additional spectrum, including Ka-band and reverse DBS band at certain existing orbital locations, including existing DBS locations.

Global Operations Provides Revenue Diversification and Economies of Scale

The combination of Telesat's North American broadcast and enterprise services businesses and the company's international business offers diversity in terms of both the customers and regions served as well as the services provided. Telesat continues to benefit from growth in both the broadcast and enterprise services markets, including government services, due to its strong presence in each. Telesat's global satellite footprint allows it to meet the global requirements of broadcasters, carriers and government users around the world.

Moreover, as the operator of a fleet of 12 satellites plus multiple other satellites for third parties, Telesat has attained scale that allows it to effectively leverage its relatively fixed cost base to achieve substantial operating margins.

Table of Contents

Telesat's North American Broadcast and Enterprise Services customer service contracts are typically multi-year in duration and, in the past, Telesat has successfully contracted all or a significant portion of a satellite's capacity prior to commencing construction.

Market and Competition

Telesat is one of three global FSS operators. Telesat competes against other global, regional and national FSS operators and, for certain services and in certain regions, with providers of terrestrial-based communications services.

Fixed Satellite Operators

The other two global FSS operators are Intelsat Global S.A. (Intelsat) and SES S.A. (SES). Telesat also competes with a number of nationally or regionally focused FSS operators around the world, including Eutelsat S.A. (Eutelsat), the third largest FSS operator in the world.

Intelsat, SES and Eutelsat are each substantially larger than Telesat in terms of both the number of satellites they have in-orbit as well as their revenues. Telesat believes that Intelsat and its subsidiaries together have a global fleet of over 50 satellites, that SES and its subsidiaries have a fleet of approximately 50 satellites, and that Eutelsat and its subsidiaries have a fleet of over 20 satellites and additional capacity on another four satellites. Due to their larger sizes, these operators are able to take advantage of greater economies of scale, may be more attractive to customers, and may (depending on the specific satellite and orbital location in question) have greater flexibility to restore service to their customers in the event of a partial or total satellite failure. In addition, their larger sizes may enable them to devote more resources, both human and financial, to sales, operations, product development and strategic alliances and acquisitions.

Regional and domestic providers: Telesat also competes against regional FSS operators, including:

in North America: Ciel, ViaSat/WildBlue, HNS/EchoStar, Satmex and Hispamar;

in Europe, Middle East, Africa: Eutelsat, Arabsat, Nilesat, HellasSat, RSCC, Yahsat, Turksat and Spacecom;

in Asia: AsiaSat, Measat, Thaicom, APT, PT Telkom, Optus and Asia Broadcast Satellite; and

in Latin America: Satmex, Star One, Arsat, HispaSat and Hispamar.

A number of other countries have domestic satellite systems against which Telesat competes in those markets.

The Canadian government opened Canadian satellite markets to foreign satellite operators as part of its 1998 World Trade Organization commitments to liberalize trade in basic telecommunications services. As of January 2012, approximately 75 non-Canadian FSS satellites are listed as having been approved by Industry Canada for use in Canada. Three of these are Telesat satellites licensed by other administrations. The growth in satellite service providers using or planning to use Ka-band, including Avanti Communications, O3b, ViaSat/WildBlue, Eutelsat, HNS/EchoStar, Inmarsat, Yahsat and others, will result in increased competition.

Terrestrial Service Providers

Providers of terrestrial-based communications services compete with satellite operators. Increasingly, in developed and developing countries alike, governments are providing funding and other incentives to encourage the expansion of terrestrial networks resulting in increased competition for FSS operators.

Table of Contents

Consulting Services

The market for satellite consulting services is generally comprised of a few companies qualified to provide services in specific areas of expertise. Telesat's competitors are primarily United States- and European-based companies.

Ka-band Satellites

Today's high-throughput Ka-band satellites have the potential to provide competitive alternatives to certain satellite services.

Satellite Fleet & Ground Resources

As of December 31, 2011, Telesat had 12 in-orbit satellites and two satellites under construction, one of which is 100% leased to a customer for at least the design life of the satellite. In addition, Telesat owns the Canadian Ka-band payload on the ViaSat-1 satellite which was launched in October 2011.

Telesat also has ground facilities located around the world, providing both control services to its satellite fleet, as well as to the satellites of other operators as part of its consulting services offerings. Telesat's primary satellite control center (SCC) is located at its headquarters in Ottawa, Ontario, with a second SCC located in Allan Park, Ontario. A third SCC, in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil is used to operate Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2. In addition, Telesat leases other technical facilities that provide customers with a host of teleport and hub services.

Telesat's North American focused fleet is comprised of three FSS satellites (Anik F1R, Anik F2 and Anik F3), plus the Canadian beams on ViaSat-1 and four direct broadcast services (DBS) satellites (Nimiq 1, Nimiq 2, Nimiq 4 and Nimiq 5). Telesat's international fleet is comprised of five FSS satellites (Anik F1, Telstar 11N, Telstar 12, Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2 and Telstar 18).

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes selected data relating to Telesat's owned in-orbit satellites as of December 31, 2011:

	Sept 30,	Sept 30,	Sept 30,	Sept 30,	Sept 30,	Sept 30,	Sept 30,	Sept 30,	Sept 30,
	Orbital Location	Manufacturer	s	End-of-					
	Regions Covered	Launch Date	End-of-Service Life	Orbital Maneuver Life ⁽¹⁾	C-band ⁽²⁾	Ku-band ⁽²⁾	Ka-band	L-band ⁽³⁾	Model
Nimiq 1	91.1°WL Canada, Continental United States	May 1999	2011	2024	No	Yes	No	No	A2100 AX (Lockheed Martin)
Nimiq 2	91.1°WL Canada, Continental United States	December 2002	2015	2021	No	Yes	Yes	No	A2100 AX (Lockheed Martin)
Nimiq 4		September 2008	2023	2027	No	Yes	Yes	No	E3000 (EADS Astrium)
Nimiq 5	82° WL Canada 72.7° WL Canada, Continental United States	September 2009	2024	2035	No	Yes	No	No	SS/L 1300
Anik F1	107.3°WL South America	November 2000	2016	2022	Yes	Yes	No	No	BSS702 (Boeing)
Anik F2	111.1° WL Canada, Continental United States	July 2004	2019	2027	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	BSS702 (Boeing)
Anik F1R⁽³⁾	107.3° WL North America	September 2005	2020	2023	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	E3000 (EADS Astrium)
Anik F3	118.7°WL Canada, Continental United States	April 2007	2022	2026	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	E3000 (EADS Astrium)
Telstar 11N	37.55° WL North and Central America, Europe, Africa and the maritime Atlantic Ocean region	February 2009	2024	2026	No	Yes	No	No	SS/L 1300
Telstar 12⁽⁴⁾	15°WL Eastern United States, SE Canada, Europe, Russia, Middle East, South Africa, portions of South and Central America	October 1999	2012	2016	No	Yes	No	No	SS/L 1300
Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2⁽⁵⁾	63°WL Brazil And portions of Latin America, North America, Atlantic Ocean	May 2011	2026	2024	No	Yes	No	No	SS/L 1300
Telstar 18⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾	138° EL India, South East Asia, China, Australia And Hawaii	June 2004	2017	2018	Yes	Yes	No	No	SS/L 1300

(1)

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Telesat's current estimate of when each satellite will be decommissioned, taking account of anomalies and malfunctions the satellites have experienced to date and other factors such as remaining fuel levels, consumption rates and other available engineering data. These estimates are subject to change and it is possible that the actual orbital maneuver life of any of these satellites will be shorter than Telesat currently anticipates. Further, it is anticipated that the payload capacity of each satellite may be reduced prior to the estimated end of commercial service life. For example, Telesat currently anticipates that it will need to commence the turndown of transponders on Anik F1 prior to the end of commercial service life, as a result of further degradation in available power.

- (2) Includes the DBS Ku-Band, extended C-band and extended Ku-band in certain cases.
- (3) Telesat does not provide service in the L-band. The L-band payload is licensed to Telesat's customer by the FCC.
- (4) Four of Telstar 12's transponders are leased to Eutelsat to settle coordination issues, and Telesat leases back three of these transponders.
- (5) Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2 experienced a solar array anomaly upon deployment.
- (6) Includes 16.6 MHz of C-band capacity provided to the Government of Tonga in lieu of a cash payment for the use of the orbital location.
- (7) The satellite carries additional transponders (the APT transponders), as to which APT has a prepaid lease through the end of life of the satellite in consideration for APT's funding a portion of the satellite's cost. This transaction was accounted for as a sales-type lease, because substantially all of the benefits and risks incident to the ownership of the leased transponders were transferred to APT. Telesat has agreed with APT among other things that if Telesat is able to obtain the necessary approvals and licenses from the U.S. government under U.S. export laws, it would transfer title to the APT transponders on Telstar 18 to APT, as well as a corresponding interest in the elements on the satellite that are common to or shared by the APT transponders and the Telesat transponders. As required under its agreement with APT, Telesat acquired two transponders from APT for an additional payment in August 2009.

Table of Contents

In addition, Telesat has the rights to the following satellite capacity to end of service life of these satellites:

Satmex 5: Three 36MHz Ku-band transponders;

Satmex 6: Two 36MHz C-band transponders; Two-36MHz Ku-band transponders; and

ABS-3 (Formerly Agila 2): Two 36MHz C-band transponders and five and one half 36 MHz Ku-band transponders; and

ViaSat-1: Ka-band Canadian payload consisting of nine user beams of 500/1000 MHz bandwidth
As of December 31, 2011, Telesat had entered into contractual arrangements with SS/L for the construction of:

Nimiq 6: which Telesat anticipates will be launched in the first half of 2012. *Nimiq 6* will have 32 high powered Ku-band transponders, and Bell TV has contracted for the use of this new satellite for its lifetime to serve Bell TV subscribers across Canada. This satellite will be located at the 91.1° WL orbital location and provide coverage of Canada; and

Anik G1: which Telesat anticipates will be launched in the second half of 2012. *Anik G1*'s 16 extended Ku-band transponders have been contracted to Shaw Direct to support Shaw's DTH services in Canada, and its three X-band transponders have been contracted to Paradigm Services, in both cases for the life of the satellite. *Anik G1* will be co-located with Telesat's *Anik F1* satellite at the 107.3° WL orbital location, doubling both the Ku-band and C-band transponders serving South America from this location.

Satellite Services Performance⁽¹⁾

Until October 31, 2007, the operations of our satellite services segment were conducted through Loral Skynet Corporation (Loral Skynet), which leased transponder capacity to commercial and government customers for video distribution and broadcasting, high-speed data distribution, Internet access and communications, and provided managed network services to customers using a hybrid satellite and ground-based system. It also provided professional services such as fleet operating services to other satellite operators. At October 31, 2007, Loral Skynet had four in-orbit satellites and had one satellite under construction at SS/L.

On October 31, 2007, Loral and its Canadian partner, Public Sector Pension Investment Board (PSP), through Telesat Holdco, a then newly-formed joint venture, completed the acquisition of Telesat from BCE Inc. (BCE). In connection with this acquisition, Loral transferred on that same date substantially all of the assets and related liabilities of Loral Skynet to Telesat. We refer to this acquisition and transfer of assets and liabilities of Loral Skynet as the Telesat transaction. Loral holds a 64% economic interest and a 33¹/₃% voting interest in Telesat Holdco (see Note 7 to the Loral consolidated financial statements). We use the equity method of accounting for our investment in Telesat Holdco.

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year ended December 31, 2010 (In millions)	September 30, 2009
Revenue:			
Total segment revenues	\$ 817	\$ 797	\$ 692
Affiliate eliminations ⁽²⁾	(817)	(797)	(692)
Revenues from satellite services as reported	\$	\$	\$
Adjusted EBITDA:			

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Total segment Adjusted EBITDA	\$	629	\$	607	\$	488
Affiliate eliminations ⁽²⁾		(629)		(607)		(488)
Adjusted EBITDA from satellite services after eliminations	\$		\$		\$	

⁽¹⁾ See Consolidated Operating Results in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for significant items that affect comparability between the periods presented (see Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements for the definition of Adjusted EBITDA).

⁽²⁾ Affiliate eliminations represent the elimination of amounts attributable to Telesat.

Table of Contents

Total Telesat assets were \$5.3 billion, \$5.3 billion and \$5.0 billion as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Backlog was approximately \$5.3 billion and \$5.5 billion as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The decrease in backlog is due to revenues recognized and exchange rate changes, partially offset by new orders. It is expected that approximately 11% of the backlog at December 31, 2011 will be recognized as revenue in 2012.

We use the equity method of accounting for our investment in Telesat Holdco, and its results are not consolidated in our financial statements. Our share of the operating results from our investment in this company is included in equity in net income of affiliates in our consolidated statements of operations and our investment is included in investments in affiliates in our consolidated balance sheet.

Other

We also own 56% of XTAR, LLC (XTAR), a joint venture between Loral and Hisdesat Servicios Estrategicos, S.A. (Hisdesat). XTAR owns and operates an X-band satellite, XTAR-EUR located at 29° E.L., which entered service in March 2005. The satellite is designed to provide X-band communications services exclusively to United States, Spanish and allied government users throughout the satellite's coverage area, including Europe, the Middle East and Asia. The government of Spain granted XTAR rights to an X-band license, normally reserved for government and military use, to develop a commercial business model for supplying X-band capacity in support of military, diplomatic and security communications requirements. XTAR also leases 7.2 72 MHz X-band transponders on the Spainsat satellite located at 30° W.L. owned by Hisdesat, which entered commercial service in April 2006. These transponders, designated as XTAR-LANT, allow XTAR to provide its customers in the U.S. and abroad with additional X-band services and greater flexibility. XTAR currently has contracts to provide X-band services to the U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Department of State, various agencies of the Spanish Government, the Belgium Ministry of Defense, the Norwegian Ministry of Defense and the Danish armed forces. For more information on XTAR see Note 7 to the Loral consolidated financial statements.

REGULATION

Satellite Manufacturing

Export Regulation and Economic Sanctions Compliance

Commercial communication satellites and certain related items, technical data and services, are subject to United States export controls. These laws and regulations affect the export of products and services to foreign launch providers, subcontractors, insurers, customers, potential customers and business partners, as well as to foreign Loral employees, foreign regulatory bodies, foreign national telecommunications authorities and foreign persons generally. Commercial communications satellites and certain related items, technical data and services are on the United States Munitions List and are subject to the Arms Export Control Act and the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR). Export jurisdiction over these products and services resides in the U.S. Department of State. Other Loral exports are subject to the jurisdiction of the U.S. Department of Commerce, pursuant to the Export Administration Act and the Export Administration Regulations.

U.S. government licenses or other approvals generally must be obtained before satellites and related items, technical data and services are exported and may be required before they are re-exported or transferred from one foreign person to another foreign person. For example, U.S. government licenses or approvals generally will have to be obtained for the transfer of technical data and defense services between Loral and Telesat, and between Telesat and its U.S. subsidiaries. There can be no assurance that such licenses or approvals will be granted. Also, licenses or approvals may be granted with limitations, provisos or other requirements imposed by the U.S. government as a condition of approval, which may affect the scope of permissible activity under the license or approval.

In addition, if a satellite project involves countries, individuals or entities that are the subject of U.S. economic sanctions (Sanctions Targets) or, in certain situations, is intended to provide services to Sanctions Targets, SS/L's participation in the project may be prohibited altogether or licenses or other approvals from the U.S. Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) may also be required. See Item 1A Segment Risk Factors We are subject to export control and economic sanctions laws, which may result in delays, lost business and additional costs.

Table of Contents

Satellite Services

As an operator of a global satellite system, Telesat is subject to regulation by government authorities in Canada, the United States and other countries in which it operates and is subject to the frequency and orbital location coordination process of the International Telecommunication Union (ITU). Telesat's ability to provide satellite services in a particular country or region is subject also to the technical constraints of its satellites, international coordination, local regulation including as it applies to securing landing rights and licensing requirements.

Canadian Regulatory Environment

Telesat was established by the government of Canada in 1969 under the Telesat Act. As part of the Canadian government's divestiture of its shares in Telesat, pursuant to the Telesat Reorganization and Divestiture Act (1991), or the Telesat Divestiture Act, Telesat was continued on March 27, 1992 as a business corporation under the Canada Business Corporations Act, the Telesat Act was repealed and the Canadian government sold its shares in Telesat Canada. The Telesat Divestiture Act provides that no legislation relating to the solvency or winding-up of a corporation applies to Telesat Canada and that its affairs cannot be wound up unless authorized by an Act of Parliament. In addition, Telesat and its shareholders and directors cannot apply for Telesat's continuation in another jurisdiction or dissolution unless authorized by an Act of Parliament.

Telesat is a Canadian carrier under the Telecommunications Act (Canada), or the Telecommunications Act. The Telecommunications Act authorizes the Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) to regulate various aspects of the provision of telecommunications services by Telesat and other telecommunications service providers. Under the current regulatory regime, Telesat has pricing flexibility subject to a price ceiling on certain full period FSS services offered in Canada under minimum five-year arrangements, and otherwise Telesat is not required to file tariffs for approvals. Telesat's DBS services offered within Canada are also subject to CRTC regulation, but have been treated as distinct from its fixed satellite services and facilities. Telesat requires CRTC approval of customer agreements relating to the sale of all DBS capacity in Canada, including the rates, terms and conditions of service set out therein. Section 28(2) of the Telecommunications Act provides that the CRTC may allocate satellite capacity to particular broadcasting undertakings if it is satisfied that the allocation will further the implementation of the broadcasting policy for Canada. The exercise by the CRTC of its rights under section 28(2) of the Telecommunications Act could affect Telesat's relationship with existing customers, which could have a material adverse effect on Telesat's results of operations, business prospects and financial condition.

Telesat's operations are also subject to regulation and licensing by Industry Canada pursuant to the Radiocommunication Act (Canada). Industry Canada has the authority to issue licenses, establish standards, assign Canadian orbital locations and plan the allocation and use of the radio frequency spectrum, including the radio frequencies upon which Telesat's satellites and earth stations depend. The Minister responsible for Industry Canada has broad discretion in exercising this authority to issue licenses, fix and amend conditions of licenses and to suspend or even revoke licenses. Telesat's licenses to operate the Anik and Nimiq satellites require it to comply with research and development and other industrial and public benefit commitments, to pay annual radio authorization fees and to provide all-Canada satellite coverage.

Industry Canada traditionally licensed satellite radio spectrum and associated orbital locations on a first-come, first-served basis. Currently, however, a competitive licensing process is employed for certain spectrum resources where it is anticipated that demand will likely exceed supply, including the licensing of certain FSS and broadcasting satellite service (BSS) orbital locations and associated spectrum resources. Authorizations are granted for the life of a satellite, although radio licenses (e.g., FSS licenses) are renewed annually. As a result of policy concerns about the continuity of service and other factors, there is generally a strong presumption of renewal provided license conditions are met.

The Canadian government opened Canadian satellite markets to foreign satellite operators as part of its 1998 World Trade Organization (WTO) commitments to liberalize trade in basic telecommunications services, with the exception of DTH television services provided through FSS or DBS facilities. Satellite digital audio radio service markets were also closed to foreign entry until 2005. In September 2005, the Canadian government revised its satellite-use policy to permit the use of foreign-licensed satellites for digital audio radio services in Canada. Further liberalization of the policy may occur and could result in increased competition in Canadian satellite markets.

Table of Contents

Since November 2000, pursuant to the CRTC's Decision CRTC 2000-745, virtually all telecommunications service providers are required to pay contribution charges based on their Canadian telecommunications service revenues, minus certain deductions (e.g., retail Internet and paging revenues, terminal equipment sales and inter-carrier payments). The contribution rate varies from year to year. It was initially set at 4.5% of eligible revenues but was significantly reduced in subsequent years. The rate for 2011 was 0.66%.

United States Regulatory Environment

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulates the provision of satellite services to, from, or within the United States.

Telesat has chosen to operate its U.S.-authorized satellites on a non-common carrier basis. Consequently, it is not subject to rate regulation or other common carrier regulations enacted under the Communications Act of 1934. Telesat pays FCC filing fees in connection with its space station and earth station applications and annual fees to defray the FCC's regulatory expenses. Annual and quarterly status reports must be filed with the FCC for interstate/international telecommunications, and contribution charges to the FCC's Universal Service Fund (USF) based on eligible United States telecom revenues are paid on a quarterly and annual basis. The USF contribution rate is adjusted quarterly and is currently set at 17.9% for the first quarter of 2012. At the present time, the FCC does not assess USF contributions with respect to bare transponder capacity (i.e. agreements for space segment only). Telesat's United States telecom revenues that are USF eligible are currently *de minimis* and USF payments are not required.

The FCC currently grants satellite authorizations on a first-come, first-served basis to applicants who demonstrate that they are legally, technically and financially qualified, and that the public interest will be served by the grant. Under licensing rules, a bond must be posted for up to \$3 million when an FSS satellite authorization is granted. Some or the entire amount of the bond may be forfeited if there is a failure to meet any of the milestones for satellite contracting, design, construction, launch and commencement of operations. According to current licensing rules, the FCC will issue new satellite licenses for an initial 15-year term and will provide a licensee with an expectancy that a subsequent license will be granted for the replacement of an authorized satellite using the same frequencies. At the end of the 15-year term, a satellite that has not been replaced, or that has been relocated to another orbital location following its replacement, may be allowed to continue operations for a limited period of time subject to certain restrictions.

To facilitate the provision of FSS satellite services in C-, Ku- and Ka-band frequencies in the United States market, foreign licensed operators may apply to have their satellites placed on the FCC's Permitted Space Station List. Telesat's Anik F1, Anik FIR, Anik F2, Anik F3 and Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2 satellites are currently on this list. Telstar 14/Estrela do Sul 1 was on the FCC's Permitted Space Station List until November 7, 2011 when it was removed from regular operation prior to it being deorbited on November 17, 2011.

The United States made no WTO commitment to open its DTH, DBS or digital audio radio services to foreign competition, and instead indicated that provision of these services by foreign operators would be considered on a case-by-case basis, based on an evaluation of the effective competitive opportunities open to United States operators in the country in which the foreign satellite was licensed (i.e., an ECO-sat test) as well as other public interest criteria. While Canada currently does not satisfy the ECO-sat test in the case of DTH and DBS service, the FCC has found, in a number of cases, that provision of these services into the United States using Canadian-licensed satellites would provide significant public interest benefits and would therefore be allowed. In cases involving Telesat, United States service providers, Digital Broadband Applications Corp., DIRECTV and EchoStar, have all received FCC approval to access Canadian-authorized satellites under Telesat's direction and control in Canadian-licensed orbital locations to provide DTH-FSS or DBS service into the United States.

The approval of the FCC for the Telesat transaction was conditioned upon compliance by Telesat with commitments made to the Department of Justice, the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Department of Homeland Security relating to the availability of certain records and communications in the United States in response to lawful United States law enforcement requests for such access.

Table of Contents

The export of United States-manufactured satellites and technical information related to satellites, earth station equipment and provision of services to certain countries are subject to State Department, Commerce Department and Treasury Department regulations.

In 1999, the United States State Department published amendments to ITAR which included satellites on the list of items requiring export licenses. These provisions have limited Telesat's access to technical information and have had a negative impact on Telesat's international consulting revenues.

If Telesat does not maintain its existing authorizations or obtain necessary future authorizations under the export control laws and regulations of the United States, Telesat may be unable to export technical information or equipment to non-U.S. persons and companies, including to its own non-U.S. employees, as required to fulfill existing contracts. If Telesat does not maintain its existing authorizations or obtain necessary future authorizations under the trade sanctions laws and regulations of the United States, Telesat may not be able to provide satellite capacity and related administrative services to certain countries subject to U.S. sanctions. Telesat's ability to acquire new United States-manufactured satellites, procure launch services and launch new satellites, operate existing satellites, obtain insurance and pursue its rights under insurance policies or conduct its satellite-related operations and consulting activities could also be negatively affected if Telesat and its suppliers are not able to obtain and maintain required U.S. export authorizations.

Regulation Outside Canada and the United States

The Brazilian national telecommunications agency, ANATEL, has authorized Telesat, through its subsidiary, Telesat Brasil Capacidade de Satélites Ltda. (TBCS), to operate a Ku-band FSS satellite at the 63° WL orbital location. In December 2008, TBCS entered into a new 15-year Concession Agreement with ANATEL which requires TBCS to dedicate a minimum amount of bandwidth to serve only Brazil until May 2014. After May 2014, this requirement will be removed. The Concession Agreement obligates TBCS to operate the satellite in accordance with Brazilian telecommunications law and contains provisions to enable ANATEL to levy fines for failure to perform according to the Concession terms. Brazil also has a Universal Service Fund (FUST) to subsidize the cost of telecommunications service in Brazil. The sale of bare transponder capacity in Brazil, however, which is TBCS' primary business, is not considered a telecommunications service and revenues from such sales are not assessable for contributions to the fund.

Telesat, through its subsidiary Telesat Satellite LP, owns Telstar 18, which operates at the 138° EL orbital location under an agreement with APT, which has been granted the right to use the 138° EL orbital location by The Kingdom of Tonga. APT is the direct interface with the Tonga regulatory bodies. Because Telesat gained access to this orbital location through APT, there is greater uncertainty with respect to its ability to maintain access to this orbital location for replacement satellites.

Telesat owns and operates the portion of the ViaSat-1 satellite (115° WL) payload that is capable of providing service within Canada. ViaSat-1 operates in accordance with a license granted by the United Kingdom regulatory agency (OFCOM), to ManSat Limited. ManSat Limited has been granted exclusive rights by the Isle of Man government to manage all aspects of Isle of Man satellite orbital filings. The Isle of Man is a British Crown Dependency and Isle of Man satellite orbital filings are filed with the ITU-BR by OFCOM. Both Telesat and ViaSat have a commercial relationship with ManSat. ViaSat and Telesat have agreed to cooperate in their dealings with ManSat with respect to the ViaSat-1 satellite for OFCOM and ITU purposes.

Landing Rights and Other Regulatory Requirements

In addition to regulatory requirements governing the use of orbital locations, most countries regulate transmission signals to, and for uplink signals from, their territory. Telesat has landing rights in more than 140 countries worldwide. In many jurisdictions, landing rights are granted on a per satellite basis and applications must be made to secure landing rights on replacement satellites.

Table of Contents

International Regulatory Environment International Telecommunication Union

The ITU is responsible for allocating the use by different countries of a finite number of orbital locations and radio frequency spectrum available for use by commercial communications satellites. The ITU Radio Regulations set forth the processes that governments must follow to secure rights to use orbital locations and the obligations and restrictions that govern such use. The process includes, for example, a first in time, first in right system for allocating most orbital locations and time limits for bringing orbital locations into use.

The Canadian, United States and other governments have rights to use certain orbital locations and frequencies. Telesat has been authorized to use certain orbital locations and frequencies in addition to those used by its current satellites. Under the ITU Radio Regulations, the filing government (through the satellite operator the government in question has authorized) must begin using these orbital locations and frequencies within a fixed period of time, or lose their priority rights. As a result, the orbital location and frequencies likely would become available for use by another government and satellite operator.

The ITU Radio Regulations also govern the process used by satellite operators to coordinate their operations with other satellites, so as to avoid harmful interference. Each member state is required to give notice of, coordinate, and register its proposed use of radiofrequency assignments and associated orbital locations with the ITU Radiocommunications Bureau (the ITU-BR).

Once a member state has filed with the ITU-BR its proposed use of a given frequency at a given orbital location, other member states notify that state and the ITU-BR of any use or intended use that would conflict with the original proposal. These nations are then obligated to negotiate with each other in an effort to coordinate the proposed uses and resolve interference concerns. If all outstanding issues are resolved, the member state governments notify the ITU-BR that coordination has been successfully completed, which is a requirement for the frequency use to be entered into the ITU's Master Register (MIFR). Registered satellite networks are entitled under international law to interference protection from subsequent or nonconforming uses. A state is not entitled to invoke the protections in the ITU Radio Regulations against harmful interference if that state decided to operate a satellite at the relevant orbital location without completing the coordination process.

Under the ITU Radio Regulations, a country that places a satellite or any ground station into operation without completing coordination and notification would be vulnerable to interference from other systems and might have to alter the operating parameters of its satellite network if the ITU found that the satellite caused harmful interference to other users already entered in the MIFR or with a network that had been earlier-filed with the ITU-BR.

Some of Telesat's satellites have been coordinated and registered in the MIFR and therefore enjoy priority over all later-filed requests for coordination and any non-conforming uses. In other cases, entry into the MIFR is still pending. In some of the cases where entry into the MIFR is pending, there are operators that maintain that they have priority over Telesat's satellites and Telesat continues to discuss coordination issues with these and other operators and may need to make additional concessions in connection with future coordination efforts which, in turn, could have a material adverse impact on Telesat's financial condition, as well as on the value of Telesat's business. The failure to reach an appropriate arrangement with such satellite operators may render it impossible to secure entry into the MIFR and result in substantial restrictions on the use and operations of Telesat's existing satellites at their orbital locations. In the event disputes arise during the coordination process or thereafter, the ITU Radio Regulations set forth procedures for resolving disputes but do not contain a mandatory dispute resolution mechanism or an enforcement mechanism. Rather, the rules invite a consensual dispute resolution process for parties to reach a mutually acceptable agreement. Neither the rules nor international law provide a clear remedy for a party where this voluntary process fails.

Although non-governmental entities, including Telesat, participate at the ITU, only national administrations have full standing as ITU members. Consequently, Telesat must rely on the government administrations of Canada, the United States, Brazil, the United Kingdom and the Kingdom of Tonga to represent its interests in those jurisdictions, including filing and coordinating Telesat's orbital locations within the ITU process and with the national administrations of other countries, obtaining new orbital locations and resolving disputes through the consensual process provided for in the ITU's rules.

Table of Contents

PATENTS AND PROPRIETARY RIGHTS

Satellite Manufacturing

SS/L relies, in part, on patents, trade secrets and know-how to develop and maintain its competitive position. It holds 164 patents in the United States and has applications for 31 patents pending in the United States. SS/L patents include those relating to communications, station keeping, power control systems, antennae, filters and oscillators, phased arrays and thermal control as well as assembly and inspection technology. The SS/L patents that are currently in force expire between 2012 and 2029.

Satellite Services

As of December 31, 2011, Telesat had seven patents, all in the United States. These patents expire between 2018 and 2027. Telesat also has several pending domestic and international patent applications.

General

There can be no assurance that any of the foregoing pending patent applications will be issued. Moreover, there can be no assurance that infringement of existing third party patents has not occurred or will not occur. Additionally, because the patent application process is confidential, there can be no assurance that third parties, including competitors, do not have patents pending that could result in issued patents which we or Telesat may infringe. In such event, we may be restricted from continuing the infringing activities, which could adversely affect our business, or we may be required to obtain a license from a patent holder, and pay royalties, which would increase the cost of doing business. Moreover, in the case of SS/L, it may be required to refund money to customers for components that are not useable as a result of such infringement or redesign its products in a manner to avoid infringement. SS/L may also be required under the terms of its customer contracts to indemnify its customers for related damages. See Item 1A Segment Risk Factors SS/L relies on patents, and infringement by SS/L of third party patents would increase its costs, and third parties may challenge its patents.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Satellite Manufacturing

SS/L's research and development expenditures involve the design, experimentation and the development of space and satellite products. Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. SS/L's research and development costs were \$34 million for 2011, \$20 million for 2010 and \$23 million for 2009 and are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in our consolidated statements of operations.

Satellite Services

Telesat's research and development expenditures are incurred for the studies associated with advanced satellite system designs and experimentation and development of space, satellite and ground communications products. This also includes the development of innovative and cost effective satellite applications for sovereignty, defense, broadcast, broadband and enterprise services segments. Telesat has undertaken proof-of-concept interactive broadband technologies trials to support health, education, government and other applications to remote and under-served areas. Telesat continues to research advanced compression and transmission technology to support HDTV and other advanced television services.

FOREIGN OPERATIONS

Loral's revenues from foreign customers, primarily in Europe, Canada and Asia represented 64%, 44% and 46% of our consolidated revenues for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

Satellite Manufacturing

SS/L's revenues from foreign customers, primarily in Europe, Canada and Asia represented 64%, 44% and 46% of SS/L revenues for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, substantially all of SS/L's long-lived assets were located in the United States. See Item 1A Risk Factors below for a discussion of the risks related to operating internationally. See Note 16 to the Loral consolidated financial statements for detail on SS/L's domestic and foreign sales.

Satellite Services

Telesat's revenues from non-U.S. customers, primarily in Canada, Asia, Europe and Latin America represented 69% of its consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011 and 68% of its consolidated revenues for each of the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. At December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 substantially all of its long-lived assets were located outside of the United States, primarily in Canada, with the exception of in-orbit satellites.

EMPLOYEES

Satellite Manufacturing

As of December 31, 2011, Loral had approximately 2,900 full-time employees and approximately 280 contract employees, none of whom are subject to collective bargaining agreements. Almost all of the foregoing employees are employed in the satellite manufacturing segment. We consider our employee relations to be good.

Satellite Services

As of December 31, 2011, Telesat and its subsidiaries had approximately 470 full and part time employees, approximately 2.5% of whom are subject to collective bargaining agreements. Telesat's employee body is primarily comprised of professional engineering, sales and marketing staff, administrative staff and skilled technical workers. Telesat considers its employee relations to be good.

OTHER

Loral, a Delaware corporation, was formed on June 24, 2005, to succeed to the business conducted by its predecessor registrant, Loral Space & Communications Ltd. ("Old Loral"), which emerged from chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy laws on November 21, 2005 (the "Effective Date") pursuant to the terms of the fourth amended joint plan of reorganization, as modified (the "Plan of Reorganization").

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports are available without charge on our web site, www.loral.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Copies of these documents also are available in print, without charge, from Loral's Investor Relations Department, 600 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10016. Loral's web site is an inactive textual reference only, meaning that the information contained on the web site is not part of this report and is not incorporated in this report by reference.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

I. Financial and Telesat Investment Risk Factors

Our revenues and profitability may be adversely affected by swings in the global financial markets, which may have a material adverse effect on our customers and suppliers.

Swings in the global financial markets that include illiquidity, market volatility, changes in interest rates and currency exchange fluctuations can be difficult to predict and negatively affect the ability of certain customers to make payments when due. Such swings may materially and adversely affect us due to the potential insolvency of suppliers and customers, inability of customers to obtain financing for their satellites and transponder leases, decreased customer demand, delays in supplier performance and contract terminations. Our customers may not have access to capital or a willingness to spend capital on our satellites and transponder leases, or their levels of cash liquidity with which to pay for satellites they have ordered from us and transponder leases may be adversely affected. Our suppliers' access to capital and liquidity with which to maintain their inventories, production levels or product quality may be adversely affected, which could cause them to raise prices or cease operations. As a result, we may experience a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. These potential effects of swings in the global financial markets are difficult to forecast and mitigate.

The SS/L credit agreement is subject to financial and other covenants that must be met for SS/L to utilize the revolving facility.

On December 20, 2010, SS/L entered into an amended and restated credit agreement with several banks and other financial institutions. The SS/L credit agreement provides for a \$150 million senior secured revolving credit facility. The revolver matures on January 24, 2014. This credit agreement contains certain covenants, both financial and non-financial, which SS/L must be able to meet to draw on the revolver. The covenants include, among other things, a consolidated leverage ratio test, a consolidated interest coverage ratio test and restrictions on the incurrence of additional indebtedness, capital expenditures, investments, dividends or stock repurchases, asset sales, mergers and consolidations, liens, changes to the line of business and other matters customarily restricted in such agreements. On December 8, 2011, this agreement was amended to increase the Letter of Credit Commitment to \$100 million from \$50 million. While SS/L has been in compliance with all covenants to date, there can be no assurance that SS/L will be able to meet its covenant requirements in the future and maintain the availability to use the revolver. SS/L's liquidity would be materially and adversely affected if it is unable to do so.

Our potential indebtedness makes us vulnerable to adverse developments.

There are certain restrictions in SS/L's credit agreement on SS/L incurring indebtedness from sources other than the existing SS/L credit agreement. If new debt is added, such indebtedness could impose additional restrictive covenants. The incurrence of debt under the SS/L credit agreement and any additional significant debt that we may incur would make us vulnerable to, among other things, adverse changes in general economic, industry and competitive conditions.

Increases in interest rates could increase interest costs under SS/L's credit facility.

Borrowings under SS/L's credit facility are limited to Eurodollar Loans for periods ending in one, two, three or six months or daily loans for which the interest rate is adjusted daily based upon changes in the Prime Rate, Federal Funds Rate or one month Eurodollar Rate. Because of the nature of the borrowing under a revolving credit facility, the borrowing rate adjusts to changes in interest rates over time. For a \$150 million credit facility, if it were fully borrowed, a 1% change in interest rates would affect annual interest expense by \$1.5 million.

Table of Contents

Instability in financial markets could adversely affect our ability to access additional capital.

In recent years, the volatility and disruption in the capital and credit markets have reached unprecedented levels. If these conditions continue or worsen, there can be no assurance that we will not experience a material adverse effect on SS/L's ability to borrow money, including under SS/L's senior secured revolving credit facility, or have access to capital, if needed. Although our lenders have made commitments to make funds available to SS/L in a timely fashion, SS/L's lenders may be unable or unwilling to lend money. In addition, if we determine that it is appropriate or necessary to raise capital in the future, the future cost of raising funds through the debt or equity markets may be more expensive or those markets may be unavailable. If we were unable to raise funds through debt or equity markets, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Loral Space & Communications Inc., the parent company, is a holding company with no current operations; we are dependent on cash flow from our operating subsidiaries and affiliates to meet our financial obligations.

The parent company is a holding company with three primary assets, its equity interest in its wholly-owned subsidiary, SS/L, and its equity interests in its affiliates, Telesat and XTAR. The parent company has no independent operations or operating assets and has ongoing cash requirements. The ability of SS/L, Telesat and XTAR to make payments or distributions to the parent company, whether as dividends or as payments under applicable management agreements or otherwise, will depend on their operating results, including their ability to satisfy their own cash flow requirements and obligations including, without limitation, their debt service obligations. Moreover, covenants contained in the debt agreements of SS/L and Telesat impose limitations on their ability to dividend funds to the parent company. Even if the applicable debt covenants would permit Telesat to pay dividends, the parent company will not have the ability to cause Telesat to do so. See below. While we own 64% of Telesat on an economic basis, we own only 33¹/₃% of its voting stock and therefore do not have the right to elect or appoint a majority of its Board of Directors. Likewise, any dividend payments by XTAR would require the prior consent of our Spanish partner in the joint venture.

The parent company earns a management fee of \$5 million a year from Telesat. Telesat's loan documents permit this management fee from Telesat to be paid to the parent company only in the form of notes, with such fee becoming payable in cash only at such time that Telesat meets certain financial performance criteria set forth in the loan documents. Whether Telesat meets the financial performance criteria to enable payment is dependent upon foreign exchange rates which are constantly fluctuating. During 2011, Telesat made two quarterly cash payments, each in the amount of \$1.25 million plus interest, on June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2011. It is uncertain at this time whether Telesat will be permitted to pay the management fee in 2012.

SS/L made a \$50 million dividend payment to the parent company in January 2011 as permitted under SS/L's credit agreement which SS/L amended and restated in December 2010. SS/L pays the parent company a management fee of \$1.5 million in cash each year. The parent company also allocates a portion of its annual overhead expenses to SS/L. The parent company required SS/L to make overhead expense allocation payments to it in 2011. The SS/L credit agreement restricts these overhead expense allocation payments to an amount not to exceed \$15 million in any fiscal year and imposes a liquidity restriction that must be met for SS/L to make such payment. The SS/L credit agreement also limits loans by SS/L to the parent company. There can be no assurance that SS/L will be permitted to make expense allocation payments or loans to the parent company in the future.

In connection with our assignment in March 2011 to Telesat of our interest in the Canadian payload on the Viasat-1 satellite, Telesat agreed that, if it obtains certain supplemental capacity on the payload, Loral will be entitled to receive one-half of any net revenue actually earned by Telesat in connection with the leasing of such supplemental capacity to its customers during the first four years after the commencement of service using the supplemental capacity. There can be no assurance that Loral will receive any revenues under this agreement.

Table of Contents

While we own 64% of Telesat on an economic basis, we own only 33 $\frac{1}{3}$ % of its voting stock and therefore do not have the right to elect or appoint a majority of its Board of Directors.

While we own 64% of the economic interests in Telesat, we hold only 33 $\frac{1}{3}$ % of its voting interests. Although the restrictions on foreign ownership of Canadian satellites have been removed by the government of Canada, we are still subject to our shareholders agreement with PSP and the articles of incorporation of Telesat Holdco, which do not allow us to own more voting stock of Telesat Holdco than we currently own. Also, under our shareholders agreement, the governance and management of Telesat is vested in its 10-member Board of Directors, comprised of three Loral-appointed directors, three PSP appointed directors and four independent directors, two of whom also own Telesat shares with nominal economic value and 30% and 6 $\frac{2}{3}$ % of the voting interests for Telesat directors, respectively. While we own a greater voting interest in Telesat than any other single stockholder with respect to election of directors and we and PSP, which owns 30% of the voting interests for directors and 66 $\frac{2}{3}$ % of the voting interests for all other matters, together own a majority of Telesat's voting power, circumstances may occur where our interests and those of PSP diverge or are in conflict. In that case, PSP, with the agreement of at least three of the four independent directors may, subject to veto rights that we have under Telesat's shareholders agreement, cause Telesat to take actions contrary to our wishes. These veto rights are, however, limited to certain extraordinary actions—for example, the incurrence of more than \$100 million of indebtedness or the purchase of assets at a cost in excess of \$100 million. Moreover, our right to block these actions under the shareholders agreement falls away if, subject to certain exceptions, either (i) ownership or control, directly or indirectly by Dr. Mark H. Rachesky (President of MHR Fund Management LLC, or MHR, which, through its affiliated funds is our largest stockholder) of our voting stock falls below certain levels or (ii) there is a change in the composition of a majority of the members of Loral's board of directors over a consecutive two-year period.

Our equity investment in Telesat may be at risk because of Telesat's leverage.

At December 31, 2011, Telesat had outstanding indebtedness of CAD 2.9 billion and additional borrowing capacity of CAD 153 million under its revolving facility, based on a U.S. dollar/Canadian dollar exchange rate of \$1.00/CAD 1.0213. Approximately CAD 2.0 billion of this total borrowing capacity is debt that is secured by substantially all of the assets of Telesat. This indebtedness represents a significant amount of indebtedness for a company the size of Telesat. The agreements governing this indebtedness impose operating and financial restrictions on Telesat's activities. These restrictions on Telesat's ability to operate its business could seriously harm its business by, among other things, limiting its ability to take advantage of financing, merger and acquisition and other corporate opportunities, which could in time adversely affect the value of our investment in Telesat.

As of December 31, 2011, Telesat had indebtedness of CAD 2.0 billion which bears interest at variable rates. If market interest rates were to rise, this would result in higher debt service requirements. To alleviate a portion of this risk, in 2007, Telesat entered into interest rate swaps that converted \$600 million of its outstanding floating U.S. dollar debt and CAD 630 million of its outstanding Canadian dollar debt into fixed rate debt for periods extending into 2010 and 2011. In 2009, Telesat extended to October 2014 the maturity of the existing CAD 630 million floating to fixed interest rate swaps and entered into an additional delayed-start floating to fixed CAD 300 million interest rate swap maturing in October 2014. Telesat's use of hedges, however, may not be successful and does not fully protect it from foreign exchange risk with respect to all of its indebtedness. Also, Telesat is exposed to risk, including credit risk resulting from many of the transactions it executes in connection with its hedging activities, in the event that any of its lenders or counterparties, including its insurance providers, are unable to honor their commitments or otherwise default under their agreements with Telesat.

Telesat's indebtedness includes \$1.7 billion that is denominated in U.S. dollars and is unhedged with respect to foreign exchange rates. Changes in exchange rates impact the amount that Telesat pays in interest and may significantly increase the amount that Telesat is required to pay in Canadian dollar terms to redeem the indebtedness either at maturity, or earlier if redemption rights are exercised or other events occur which require Telesat to offer to purchase the indebtedness prior to maturity, and to repay funds drawn under its US-dollar denominated facility. Unfavorable exchange rate changes could affect Telesat's ability to repay or refinance this debt.

A breach of the covenants contained in any of Telesat's loan agreements, including without limitation, a failure to maintain the financial ratios required under such agreements, could result in an event of default. If an event of default were to occur, Telesat's lenders would be able to accelerate repayment of the related indebtedness, and it may also trigger a cross default under other Telesat indebtedness.

Table of Contents

If Telesat is unable to repay or refinance its secured indebtedness when due (whether at the maturity date or upon acceleration as a result of a default), the lenders will have the right to proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure such indebtedness, which consists of substantially all of the assets of Telesat and its subsidiaries. Telesat's ability to make payments on, or repay or refinance, its debt, will depend largely upon its future operating performance and market conditions. Disruptions in the financial markets similar to those that occurred in 2008 could make it more difficult to renew or extend Telesat's facilities at current commitment levels on similar terms or at all. In the event that Telesat is not able to service or refinance its indebtedness, there would be a material adverse effect on the value of our equity investment in Telesat.

Telesat also has CAD 141 million of 7% (8.5% following a performance failure) senior preferred stock that may be redeemed by the holders thereof commencing October 31, 2019. This preferred stock enjoys rights of priority over the Telesat equity securities held by us.

Certain asset sales by Telesat may trigger material adverse tax consequences for us.

Upon completion of the Telesat transaction, we deferred a tax gain of approximately \$308 million arising from the contribution by Loral Skynet to Telesat of substantially all of its assets and related liabilities. If Telesat were to sell or otherwise dispose of substantially all of such contributed assets in one or more taxable transactions prior to November 1, 2012, we would be required to recognize this deferred gain with retroactive effect to 2007, resulting in additional tax liability to us of approximately \$119 million plus interest. Telesat has agreed that, prior to November 1, 2012, without our prior consent, it will not dispose of assets having a value, whether individually or in the aggregate, in excess of \$50 million if such disposition would, in our reasonable determination, result in an adverse tax consequence to us. If we were to exercise this veto right and prevent Telesat from consummating such an asset sale, it may, however, adversely affect the value of our investment in Telesat.

The unaudited Telesat information in this report is based solely on information provided to us by Telesat.

Because we do not control Telesat, we do not have the same control and certification processes with respect to the information contained in this report on our satellite services segment that we have for the reporting on our satellite manufacturing segment. We are also not involved in managing Telesat's day-to-day operations. Accordingly, the unaudited Telesat information contained in this report is based solely on information provided to us by Telesat and has not been separately verified by us.

Telesat's financial results and our U.S. dollar reporting of Telesat's financial results will be affected by volatility in the Canadian/U.S. dollar exchange rate.

Portions of Telesat's revenue, expenses and debt are denominated in U.S. dollars and changes in the U.S. dollar/Canadian dollar exchange rate may have a negative impact on Telesat's financial results and affect the ability of Telesat to repay or refinance its borrowings. Telesat's main currency exposures as at December 31, 2011 lies in its U.S. dollar denominated cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and debt financing. As of December 31, 2011, a five percent increase (decrease) in the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar would have increased (decreased) Telesat's net income and increased (decreased) other comprehensive loss by approximately CAD 158 million and CAD 1 million, respectively. This analysis assumes that all other variables, in particular interest rates, remain constant.

Loral reports its investment in Telesat in U.S. dollars while Telesat reports its financial results in Canadian dollars. Loral reports its investment in Telesat using the equity method of accounting. As a result, Telesat's results of operations are subject to conversion from Canadian dollars to U.S. dollars. Changes in the U.S. dollar relationship to the Canadian dollar affect how our financial results as they relate to Telesat are reported in our consolidated financial statements. During 2011, the exchange rate moved from US\$1.00/CAD 0.9980 at December 31, 2010 to US\$1.00/CAD 1.0213 at December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

XTAR has not generated sufficient revenues to meet all of its contractual obligations, which are substantial.

XTAR's take-up rate in its service has been slower than anticipated. As a result, it has deferred certain payments owed to us, Hisdesat and Telesat, including payments due under an agreement with Hisdesat to lease certain transponders on the Spainsat satellite. These lease obligations were \$24 million in 2011 with increases thereafter to a maximum of \$28 million per year through the end of the useful life of the satellite, which is estimated to be in 2022. In addition, XTAR has entered into an agreement with Hisdesat whereby the past due balance on the Spainsat transponders of \$32.3 million as of December 31, 2008, together with a deferral of \$6.7 million in payments due in 2009, became payable to Hisdesat over 12 years through annual payments of \$5 million. XTAR's lease and other obligations to Hisdesat, which will aggregate in excess of \$376 million over the life of the satellite, are substantial, especially in light of XTAR's limited revenues to date. XTAR has agreed that most of its excess cash balance would be applied towards making limited payments on these obligations, as well as payments of other amounts owed to us, Hisdesat and Telesat in respect of services provided by them to XTAR. Unless XTAR is able to generate a substantial increase in its revenues, these obligations will continue to accrue and grow, which may have a material and adverse effect on our equity interest in XTAR. As of December 31, 2011, \$4 million was due to Loral from XTAR.

We have explored and are continuing to explore various strategic transactions; this process may have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations whether or not a transaction is ultimately consummated.

We have previously explored and are currently considering certain potential strategic transactions, including a recapitalization with respect to Telesat and a strategic transaction involving SS/L. In the future, we may pursue these or other strategic alternatives with the goal of maximizing shareholder value. The process of pursuing a strategic transaction will result in transaction costs and may result in the diversion of the attention of operating management of Telesat and/or SS/L from business operations, the disclosure of confidential information to competitors or potential customers as part of a due diligence process and an adverse perception of Telesat or SS/L in the marketplace which could, among other things, adversely affect their ability to win new business. Any of such results could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations whether or not a strategic transaction is consummated. In addition, consummation of a recapitalization could leave Telesat highly leveraged, with the risks attendant to operating a highly leveraged entity, and a strategic transaction involving SS/L may expose us to various liabilities, including indemnification claims and the risk of ongoing litigation. Potential claims arising out of a strategic transaction involving SS/L could impair the price at which a change of control transaction involving Loral could occur. There can be no assurance whether or when any transaction involving Loral, Telesat or SS/L will occur, and, even if a transaction is consummated, there can be no assurance as to whether or to what degree such a transaction will be successful in maximizing value to our shareholders.

As part of our business strategy, we may complete acquisitions or dispositions, undertake restructuring efforts or engage in other strategic transactions. These actions could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

As part of our business strategy, we may engage in discussions with third parties regarding, or enter into agreements relating to, acquisitions, dispositions, restructuring efforts or other strategic transactions in order to manage our product and technology portfolios or further our strategic objectives. In order to pursue this strategy successfully, we must identify suitable acquisition or alliance candidates and complete these transactions, some of which may be large and complex. Any of these activities may result in disruptions to our business and may not produce the full efficiency and cost reduction benefits anticipated.

II. Segment Risk Factors

Risk Factors Associated With Satellite Manufacturing

The satellite manufacturing market is highly competitive.

SS/L competes with companies such as Lockheed Martin, Boeing and Orbital Sciences in the United States, Thales, Alenia Space and EADS Astrium in Europe and Mitsubishi Electric Corp. in Japan. We also expect that in the future SS/L will compete with emerging low-cost competitors in India, Russia and China. Many of SS/L's competitors are larger and have substantially greater resources than we do. Furthermore, it is possible that other domestic or foreign companies or governments, some with greater experience in the space industry and many with greater financial resources than we possess, could seek to produce satellites that could render SS/L's satellites less competitively viable. Some of SS/L's foreign competitors currently benefit from, and others may in the future benefit from, subsidies from or other protective measures by their home countries or government-supported financing of customer purchases and the ability to avoid U.S. export controls. Moreover, as a result of our interest in Telesat, SS/L may experience difficulty in obtaining orders from certain customers engaged in the satellite services business who compete with Telesat.

Table of Contents

Our financial performance is dependent on SS/L's ability to generate a sustainable order rate and to continue to increase its backlog. This can be challenging and may fluctuate on an annual and quarterly basis as the number of satellite construction contracts varies and is difficult to predict. Furthermore, the satellite manufacturing industry has suffered from substantial overcapacity worldwide for a number of years, resulting in competitive pressure on pricing and other material contractual terms, such as those allocating risk between the manufacturer and its customers. Buyers, as a result, have had the advantage over suppliers in negotiating prices, terms and conditions, resulting in reduced margins and increased assumption of risk by manufacturers, including SS/L.

The cyclical nature of SS/L's end-user markets could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

Many of the end markets SS/L serves have historically been cyclical and have experienced periodic downturns. The factors leading to, and the severity and length of, a downturn are difficult to predict and it is possible that we will not appropriately anticipate changes in the underlying end markets SS/L serves. It is also difficult to predict whether any increased levels of business activity will continue as a trend into the future. If we fail to anticipate changes in the end markets SS/L serves, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

Many of SS/L's customer contracts include performance incentives that subject us to risk.

Most of SS/L's satellite construction contracts permit SS/L's customers to pay a portion of the purchase price (typically about 10%) for the satellite over the life of the satellite (typically 15 years), subject to the continued performance of the satellite, referred to as orbital receivables. Since these orbital receivables could be affected by future satellite performance, SS/L may not be able to collect all or a portion of these receivables. See SS/L's contracts are subject to adjustments, cost overruns and termination. SS/L generally does not insure for these orbital receivables and, in some cases, agrees with our customers not to insure them.

SS/L records the present value of orbital receivables as revenue during the construction of the satellite, which is typically two to three years. SS/L generally receives the present value of these orbital receivables if there is a launch failure or a failure caused by customer error. SS/L forfeits some or all of these payments, however, if the loss is caused by satellite failure or as a result of SS/L's own error. For example, in May 2011, following the launch of Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul2 (T14R), the satellite's north solar array failed to fully deploy resulting in a loss of power and reduced mission life. As a result of the failure, SS/L recorded a charge of approximately \$8.5 million for lost orbital incentives that would otherwise have been payable with respect to T14R.

In addition to performance of the satellite, there can be no assurance that a customer will not delay payment of an orbital receivable to, or seek financial relief from, SS/L if such customer has financial difficulties. Nonpayment of an orbital receivable by a customer for performance or other reasons could have an adverse effect on our cash flows. In addition, if SS/L's customers fall behind or default on payments to SS/L of orbital receivables, our liquidity will be adversely affected.

Some of SS/L's contracts provide for performance incentives to the customer in the form of warranty payback, which means that in the event satellite anomalies develop after launch, SS/L would owe the customer a specified penalty payment. SS/L does not insure these contingent liabilities. We have recorded reserves in our financial statements based on current estimates of SS/L's warranty liabilities. There is no assurance that our actual liabilities to SS/L's customers in respect of these warranty liabilities will not be greater than the amount reserved.

The satellite manufacturing industry is characterized by technological change, and if SS/L cannot continue to develop, manufacture and market innovative satellite applications that meet customer requirements our sales may suffer.

The satellite manufacturing industry is characterized by technological developments necessary to meet changing customer demand for complex and reliable services. SS/L needs to invest in technology to meet its customers' changing needs. Technological development is expensive and requires long lead time. It is possible that SS/L may not be successful in developing new technology or that the technology it is successful in developing may not meet the needs of its customers or potential new customers. SS/L's competitors may also develop technology that better meets the needs of SS/L's customers, which may cause those customers or potential new customers to buy satellites from SS/L's competitors rather than SS/L.

Table of Contents

It is possible that SS/L's satellites will not be successfully developed or manufactured.

The satellites SS/L develops and manufactures are technologically advanced and complex and sometimes include novel systems that must function in highly demanding and harsh environments. From time to time, SS/L experiences failures or cost overruns in developing and manufacturing its satellites, delays in delivery and other operational problems. Some of SS/L's satellite contracts impose monetary penalties on SS/L for delays and for performance difficulties, which penalties could be significant and have a material adverse effect on our financial condition. Failures with respect to any satellite may adversely affect our customers' perception of the quality of our satellites and may materially and adversely affect our ability to win new awards of satellite construction contracts.

Certain of SS/L's on-orbit satellites have known performance issues.

Component failure is not uncommon in complex satellites. Costs resulting from component failure may result in warranty expenses, loss of orbital receivables and/or additional loss of revenues due to the postponement or cancellation of subsequently scheduled operations or satellite deliveries and may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Negative publicity from satellite failures may also impair SS/L's ability to win new contracts from existing and new customers.

Some satellites SS/L has built have experienced minor losses of power from their solar arrays. Thirty-seven of SS/L's satellites currently on-orbit have experienced partial losses of power from their solar arrays. In the event of additional power loss, the extent of the performance degradation, if any, will depend on numerous factors, including the amount of the additional power loss, the level of redundancy built into the affected satellite's design, when in the life of the affected satellite the loss occurred, how many transponders are then in service and how such transponders are being used. A partial or complete loss of a satellite could result in an incurrence of warranty payments by, or a loss of orbital receivables to, SS/L.

SS/L's major customers account for a sizable portion of SS/L's revenues, and the loss of, or a reduction in, orders from these customers could result in a decline in revenues.

A sizable portion of SS/L's revenue is derived from a limited number of customers, and we expect that SS/L's results of operations in the foreseeable future will continue to depend on SS/L's ability to continue to service such customers. It is possible that any of SS/L's major customers could cease entering into satellite construction contracts with SS/L or could significantly reduce or delay the number of satellites that it orders and purchases from SS/L. The loss of, or a reduction in, orders from any major customer could cause a decline in our overall revenue and have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

SS/L's future operating results are dependent on the growth in the businesses of SS/L's customers and on SS/L's ability to sell to new customers.

SS/L's growth is dependent on the growth in the sales of the services of SS/L's customers as well as the development by SS/L's customers of new services. If we fail to anticipate changes in the businesses of SS/L's customers and their changing needs, or fail to successfully identify and enter new markets, our results of operations and financial position could be adversely affected. The markets SS/L serves may not grow in the future and we may not be able to maintain adequate gross margins or profits in these markets. A decline in demand in one or several end-user markets of SS/L's customers could have a material adverse effect on the demand for SS/L's satellites and have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

SS/L's contracts are subject to adjustments, cost overruns and termination.

SS/L's major contracts are firm fixed-price contracts under which work performed and products shipped are paid for at a fixed-price without adjustment for actual costs incurred. While cost savings under these fixed-price contracts result in gains to SS/L, cost increases result in reduction of profits or increase of losses, borne solely by SS/L. Under such contracts, SS/L may receive progress payments, or SS/L may receive partial payments upon the attainment of certain program milestones. If performance on these milestones is delayed, SS/L's receipt of the corresponding payments will also be delayed. As the prime contractor, SS/L is generally liable to its customers for schedule delays and other non-performance by its suppliers, which may be largely outside of SS/L's control.

Table of Contents

Non-performance may increase costs and subject SS/L to damage claims from customers and termination of the contract for default. SS/L's contracts contain detailed and complex technical specifications to which the satellite must be built. It is very common that satellites built by SS/L do not conform in every single aspect to, and contain a small number of minor deviations from, the technical specifications. In the case of more significant deviations, however, SS/L may incur increased costs to bring the satellite within or close to the contractual specifications or a customer may exercise its contractual right to terminate the contract for default. In some cases, such as when the actual weight of the satellite exceeds the specified weight, SS/L may incur a predetermined penalty with respect to the deviation. SS/L's failure to deliver a satellite to its customer by the specified delivery date, which may result from factors beyond SS/L's control, such as delayed performance or non-performance by the subcontractors or failure to obtain necessary governmental licenses for delivery, would also be harmful to us unless mitigated by applicable contract terms, such as excusable delay. As a general matter, SS/L's failure to deliver beyond any contractually provided grace period would result in incurrence of liquidated damages, which may be substantial, and if SS/L is still unable to deliver the satellite upon the end of the liquidated damages period, the customer will generally have the right to terminate the contract for default. If a contract is terminated for default, SS/L would be liable for a refund of customer payments made to date, and could also have additional liability for excess re-procurement costs and other damages incurred by SS/L's customer, although SS/L would own the satellite under construction and attempt to recoup any losses through resale to another customer. A contract termination for default could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

In addition, many of SS/L's contracts may be terminated for convenience by the customer. In the event of such a termination, SS/L is normally entitled to recover the purchase price for delivered items, reimbursement for allowable costs for work in process and an allowance for profit or an adjustment for loss, depending on whether completion of the project would have resulted in a profit or loss; however, there is no guarantee that any such recovery will be obtained.

A dispute could arise relating to a satellite in construction.

SS/L and one of its customers, EchoStar Corporation (EchoStar), have agreed to suspend final construction of a satellite pending, among other things, further analysis relating to efforts to meet the satellite performance criteria or confirmation that alternative performance criteria would be acceptable. In May 2010, SS/L provided EchoStar, at its request, with a proposal to complete construction and prepare the satellite for launch under the current specifications. In August 2010, SS/L provided EchoStar, at its request, additional proposal information. There can be no assurance that a dispute will not arise as to whether the satellite meets its technical performance specifications or, if such a dispute did arise that SS/L would prevail. Failure to resolve such dispute, or future disputes with this or other customers, in a timely and cost-efficient manner could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

Certain of SS/L's customers are highly leveraged and may not fulfill their contractual payment obligations with SS/L.

SS/L has certain commercial customers that are either highly leveraged or in the development stage that are not fully funded. There is a risk that these customers will be unable to meet their payment obligations to SS/L under their satellite construction contracts. This risk is increased due to current economic conditions. For example, certain of SS/L's customers, including most recently TerreStar Networks Inc. (TerreStar), have in the past filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. In the event that any of our customers encounter financial difficulties and fail to pay us, our cash flows and liquidity may be materially and adversely affected. We may not be able to mitigate these effects because we manufacture satellites to each customer's specifications and generally purchase material in response to a particular customer order.

Table of Contents

Moreover, most of SS/L's satellite contracts include orbital receivables, and certain of SS/L's satellite contracts may require SS/L to provide vendor financing to its customers, or a combination of these contractual terms. To the extent that SS/L's contracts contain orbital receivables provisions or SS/L provides vendor financing to its customers, our financial exposure is further increased. In some cases, these arrangements are provided to (i) customers that are new companies, (ii) companies in the early stages of building new businesses or (iii) highly leveraged companies, in some cases, with near-term debt maturities. These companies or their businesses may not be successful and, accordingly, they may not be able to fulfill their payment obligations under their contracts with SS/L.

There can be no assurance that SS/L will have sufficient funds to meet its cash requirements in the future.

There can be no assurance that SS/L will have sufficient funds to meet its cash requirements in future years beyond 2011. SS/L has high fixed costs relating primarily to labor and overhead. Based on SS/L's current cost structure, we estimate that SS/L covers its fixed costs, including depreciation and amortization, with an average of four to five satellite awards a year depending on the size, power, pricing and complexity of the satellite. If SS/L's satellite awards fall below four to five awards per year, SS/L would be required to phase in a reduction of costs to accommodate this lower level of activity. The timing of any reduced demand for satellites, if it were to occur, is difficult to predict. It is, therefore, difficult to anticipate the need to reduce costs to match any such slowdown in business, especially when SS/L has significant backlog business to perform. A delay in matching the timing of a reduction in business with a reduction in expenditures could adversely affect the liquidity of SS/L and us. If SS/L does not have sufficient funds, it will be required to borrow under its credit agreement or will have to obtain new financing, either in the form of debt or equity, to increase cash availability. In light of current market conditions, there can be no assurance that SS/L will be able to obtain such financing on favorable terms, if at all. Failure to obtain such financing could have a material adverse effect on the ability of SS/L and us to manage unforeseen cash requirements, to meet contingencies and to fund growth opportunities.

Many of SS/L's costs are fixed and SS/L may not be able to cut costs sufficiently to maintain profitability in the event of a downturn in its business.

SS/L is a large-scale systems integrator, requiring a large staff of highly skilled and specialized workers, as well as specialized manufacturing and test facilities in order to perform under its satellite construction contracts. In order to maintain its ability to compete as one of the prime contractors for technologically advanced space satellites, SS/L must continuously retain the services of a core group of specialists in a wide variety of disciplines for each phase of the design, development, manufacture and testing of its products. This reduces SS/L's flexibility to reduce workforce costs in the event of a slowdown or downturn in SS/L's business. In addition, the manufacturing and test facilities that SS/L owns or leases under long-term agreements are fixed costs that cannot be adjusted quickly to account for significant variance in production requirements or economic conditions.

The availability of facility space and qualified personnel may affect SS/L's ability to perform its contracts in a timely and efficient manner.

SS/L has won a number of satellite construction contracts over the last few years and, as a result, its backlog has expanded significantly. In order to complete construction of all the satellites in backlog and to enable future growth, SS/L has modified and expanded its manufacturing facilities to accommodate as many as nine to 13 satellite construction awards per year, depending on the complexity and timing of the specific satellites, and SS/L can accommodate the integration and testing of 13 to 14 satellites at any given time in its Palo Alto facility. Due to scheduling requirements, however, SS/L relies on outside suppliers for certain critical production and testing activities, such as thermal vacuum testing. It is possible that such outside suppliers will not be able to accommodate SS/L's scheduling requirements, which may cause SS/L to incur additional costs or fail to meet contractual delivery deadlines. Further, SS/L may not be able to hire or retain enough employees with the requisite skills and training and, accordingly, SS/L may not be able to perform its contracts as efficiently as planned or grow its business to the planned level.

SS/L's ability to obtain certain satellite construction contracts depends, in part, on its ability to provide the customer with financing.

In the past, SS/L has provided partial financing to customers to enable it to win certain satellite construction contracts. The financing has typically been in the form of orbital receivables, vendor financing and/or loans by SS/L and direct investments by Loral in the customer or the satellite. SS/L's credit agreement limits its ability to provide customers with financing. If SS/L is unable to provide financing to a customer, it could lose the satellite construction contract to a competitor that could provide financing. See above. The satellite manufacturing market is highly competitive.

Table of Contents

SS/L's ability to obtain certain satellite construction contracts depends, in part, on its ability to restrict certain of its cash or available credit to support at risk financial requirements that customers may require in their contracts.

In the past, SS/L has provided letters of credit, established escrow accounts or provided performance guarantees or surety bonds that required cash collateral to meet the contractual terms that certain customers have required in their satellite construction contracts. These requirements have restricted the amount of cash or credit available for use by SS/L in its operations. At December 31, 2011, SS/L had \$24 million in restricted cash in an escrow account established in connection with a contractual requirement of one of its satellite construction contracts. Under this contract, the amount to be placed in escrow is scheduled to increase by an additional \$12 million before the escrow is released upon delivery of the satellite in 2013. In addition, in 2012, SS/L entered into a satellite construction contract that required a financial guarantee in the form of a performance bond in immediately available funds. To fulfill this financial guarantee, SS/L placed \$50 million into a restricted cash account to support the performance guarantee. Although SS/L will seek to reduce or eliminate the cash collateral supporting this performance guarantee or find a replacement guarantee that has reduced or no collateral requirements, there is no assurance that SS/L will be able to achieve this goal. Also, although funds on deposit in these restricted cash accounts earn interest which accrues to SS/L, SS/L's liquidity needs to support its operations limit the amount of cash SS/L can set aside to support these types of contractual requirements. If SS/L does not meet its financial projections, it may not have sufficient liquidity to support future surety bonds or similar forms of assurance. Moreover, if SS/L is unable to provide escrow, performance guarantee or other similar arrangements in the future, it could lose future satellite construction contracts to a competitor or competitors that are able to meet these types of financial arrangements or for whom such types of arrangements are not required by the customer. See above The satellite manufacturing market is highly competitive.

SS/L relies on certain key suppliers whose failure or delayed performance could adversely affect us.

To build satellites, SS/L relies on suppliers, some of which are competitors, to provide SS/L with certain component parts. The number of suppliers capable of providing these components is limited, and, in some cases, the supplier is a sole source, based upon the unique nature of the product or the customer requirement to procure components with proven flight heritage. These suppliers are not all large, well-capitalized companies, and to the extent they experience financial difficulties, their ability to timely deliver components that satisfy a customer's contract requirements could be impaired. In the past, SS/L's performance under its construction contracts with its customers has been adversely affected because of a supplier's failure or delayed performance. As discussed above under SS/L's contracts are subject to adjustments, cost overruns and termination, a failure by SS/L to meet its contractual delivery requirements could give rise to liquidated damage payments by SS/L or could cause a customer to terminate its construction contract with SS/L for default.

SS/L faces risks in conducting business internationally and is subject to risks that may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, approximately 64% of SS/L's revenues were generated from customers outside of the United States. SS/L could be harmed financially and operationally by changes in foreign regulations and telecommunications standards, tariffs or taxes and other trade barriers that may be imposed on its services or by political and economic instability in the countries in which it conducts business. Almost all of SS/L's contracts with foreign customers require payment in U.S. dollars, and customers in developing countries could have difficulty obtaining U.S. dollars to pay SS/L due to currency exchange controls and other factors. Also, if SS/L needs to pursue legal remedies against its foreign business partners or customers, SS/L may have to sue them abroad where it could be difficult for SS/L to enforce its rights.

Table of Contents

SS/L sells certain of its communications satellites and other products to non-U.S. customers. SS/L also procures certain key product components from non-U.S. vendors. International contracts are subject to numerous risks that may have a material adverse effect on our operating results, including:

political and economic instability in foreign markets;

restrictive trade policies of the U.S. government and foreign governments;

inconsistent product regulation by foreign agencies or governments;

imposition of product tariffs and burdens;

the cost of complying with a variety of U.S. and international laws and regulations, including regulations relating to import-export control;

the complexity and necessity of using non-U.S. representatives and consultants;

inability to obtain required U.S. or foreign country export licenses; and

foreign currency exposure. See below SS/L is exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risks that could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

SS/L relies on patents, and infringement by SS/L of third-party patents would increase its costs, and third parties may challenge its patents.

SS/L relies, in part, on patents and industry expertise to develop and maintain its competitive position. At December 31, 2011, SS/L held 164 patents in the United States and had applications for 31 patents pending in the United States. SS/L's patents include those relating to communications, station keeping, power control systems, antennae, filters and oscillators, phased arrays and thermal control as well as assembly and inspection technology. SS/L's patents that are currently in force expire between 2012 and 2029. There is a risk that competitors could challenge or infringe SS/L's patents. It is also possible that SS/L will infringe current or future third-party patents or third-party trade secrets. In the event of infringement, SS/L could be required to pay royalties to obtain a license from the patent holder, refund money to customers for components that are not useable or redesign its products to avoid infringement, all of which would increase SS/L's costs. SS/L could also be subject to injunctions prohibiting it from using components or methods. SS/L may also be required under the terms of its customer contracts to indemnify its customers for damages relating to infringement.

For example, ViaSat, Inc. and ViaSat Communications, Inc. (formerly known as WildBlue Communications, Inc.) (collectively, ViaSat) have commenced a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California against SS/L and Loral alleging, among other things, that SS/L and Loral infringed certain ViaSat patents and that SS/L breached non-disclosure obligations in certain contracts with ViaSat in connection with the manufacture of satellites by SS/L for customers other than ViaSat. The complaint also seeks to hold Loral liable for SS/L's alleged infringement and breach of contract. The complaint seeks, among other things, damages (including treble damages with respect to the patent infringement claims) in amounts to be determined at trial and to enjoin SS/L and Loral from further infringement of the ViaSat patents and breach of contract. Although SS/L and Loral intend to engage in discussions with ViaSat to resolve the matter, there can be no assurance that the parties will resolve the matter. If the parties are not able to resolve the matter through discussions and the matter proceeds to trial, SS/L and Loral believe that they each have, and intend to vigorously pursue, meritorious defenses and counterclaims to ViaSat's claims. There can be no assurance, however, that SS/L's and Loral's defenses and counterclaims will be successful with respect to all or some of ViaSat's claims. If SS/L and Loral do not prevail and ViaSat's patents are valid, SS/L and Loral could be enjoined from using the technology underlying ViaSat's

patents and may be required to refrain from using such technology, to take a license from ViaSat under ViaSat's patents or to design around ViaSat's patents for existing or future customers. SS/L and Loral could also be subject to significant damages and indemnification obligations with respect to customers whose satellites employ such technology which could have a material adverse effect on our and SS/L's business, financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

SS/L is exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risks that could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

SS/L is exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risks that are inherent in its satellite sales contracts, anticipated satellite sales and vendor purchase commitments that are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. SS/L's exposure to foreign currency exchange rates relates primarily to the euro and the Japanese yen. In addition, we purchase certain supplies and materials from suppliers located outside of the U.S. Failure to sufficiently hedge or otherwise manage foreign currency risks properly could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, approximately 64% of SS/L's revenues were generated from customers outside of the United States. Almost all of SS/L's contracts with foreign customers require payment in U.S. dollars. Customers in developing countries could have difficulty obtaining U.S. dollars to pay SS/L due to currency exchange controls and other factors. Exchange rate fluctuations may adversely affect the ability of our customers to pay in U.S. dollars. Certain European customers, or potential customers, conduct their business in euros and may choose to contract with SS/L foreign exchange exposure. Also, devaluation of the euro versus the U.S. dollar may hurt SS/L's competitive position with respect to its European-based competitors.

SS/L is subject to U.S. and foreign laws and regulations, including U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws, which may result in delays, lost business and additional costs, and any changes in any of these laws and regulations may have a material and adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

The satellite manufacturing industry is highly regulated due to the sensitive nature of satellite technology. It is possible that the laws and regulations governing SS/L's business and operations will change in the future. There may be a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations if SS/L is required to alter its business operations to comply with such changes in law or if SS/L's ability to sell its products and services on a global basis is reduced or restricted due to increased U.S. or foreign government regulation.

SS/L is required by the International Traffic in Arms Regulations, or ITAR, administered by the U.S. State Department, to obtain licenses and enter into technical assistance agreements to export satellites and related equipment and to disclose technical data or provide defense services to foreign persons. In addition, if a satellite project involves countries, individuals or entities that are the subject of U.S. economic sanctions, which we refer to here as Sanctions Targets, or is intended to provide services to Sanctions Targets, SS/L's participation in the project may be prohibited altogether or licenses or other approvals from the U.S. Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control, or OFAC, may be required. The delayed receipt of or the failure to obtain the necessary U.S. government licenses, approvals and agreements may prohibit entry into or interrupt the completion of a satellite contract by SS/L and could lead to a customer's termination of a contract for default, monetary penalties and/or the loss of incentive payments. SS/L has in the past failed to obtain the export licenses necessary to deliver satellites to its Chinese customers.

Some of SS/L's customers and potential customers, along with insurance underwriters and brokers, have asserted that U.S. export control laws and regulations governing disclosures to foreign persons excessively restrict their access to information about the satellite during construction and on-orbit. OFAC sanctions and requirements may also limit certain business opportunities or delay or restrict SS/L's ability to contract with potential foreign customers or operators. To the extent that SS/L's non-U.S. competitors are not subject to these export control or economic sanctions laws and regulations, they may enjoy a competitive advantage with foreign customers, and it could become increasingly difficult for the U.S. satellite manufacturing industry, including SS/L, to recapture this lost market share. Customers concerned over the possibility that the U.S. government may deny the export license necessary for SS/L to deliver their purchased satellite to them, or the restrictions or delays imposed by the U.S. government licensing requirements, even where an export license is granted, may elect to choose a purportedly ITAR-free satellite offered by one of SS/L's European competitors over SS/L's satellite. SS/L is further disadvantaged by the fact that a purportedly ITAR-free satellite may be launched less expensively in China on the Chinese Long March rocket, a launch vehicle that, because of ITAR restrictions, is not available to SS/L or other suppliers subject to ITAR restrictions.

Table of Contents

SS/L uses estimates in accounting for many contracts. Changes in these estimates could have a material adverse effect on our future financial results.

Contract accounting requires significant judgments relative to assessing risks, estimating contract revenues and costs and making assumptions for scheduling and technical issues. Due to the nature of many of SS/L's contracts, the estimation of total revenues and costs at completion is complicated and subject to many variables. For example, significant assumptions have to be made regarding the length of time to complete the contract because costs also include expected increases in wages and prices for materials. Incentives, penalties and award fees related to performance on contracts are considered in estimating revenue and profit rates, and are recorded when there is sufficient information for SS/L to assess anticipated performance.

Because of the significance of the judgments and estimation processes described above, it is possible that materially different amounts could be obtained if different assumptions were used or if the underlying circumstances or estimates were to change or ultimately be different from SS/L's expectations. Changes or inaccuracies in underlying assumptions, circumstances or estimates may have a material adverse effect upon future period financial results.

Industry consolidation in the satellite services industry may adversely affect SS/L.

Industry consolidation has resulted in the formation of satellite operators with greater satellite resources and increased coverage. This consolidation and any additional consolidation in the future may reduce demand for new satellite construction as operators may need fewer satellites in orbit to provide back-up coverage or to rationalize the amount of capacity available in certain geographic regions. It may also result in concentrating additional bargaining power in the hands of large customers, which could increase pressure on pricing, risk allocation and other contractual terms.

We do not control satellite procurement decisions at Telesat.

Although we hold 64% of the economic interests in Telesat, we do not control satellite procurement decisions at Telesat, and it is possible that Telesat will not purchase additional satellites from SS/L. Moreover, any decision relating to the enforcement of existing or future satellite contracts between Telesat and SS/L will be made on arms-length terms and, in certain cases, subject to approval by the disinterested directors of Telesat. Moreover, as a result of our interest in Telesat, SS/L may experience difficulty in obtaining orders from certain customers engaged in the satellite services business who compete with Telesat. In addition, Telesat may, from time to time explore strategic alternatives, such as an initial public offering or sale. It is possible that, as a result, a transaction may occur such that SS/L ceases to be an affiliate of Telesat, which could adversely affect SS/L's ability to obtain future satellite construction orders from Telesat.

Risk Factors Associated With Satellite Services

Telesat derives a substantial amount of its revenues from only a few of its customers. A loss of one or more of these major customers, or a material adverse change in any such customer's business or financial condition, could materially reduce Telesat's future revenues and contracted backlog.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, Telesat's top five customers together accounted for approximately 51% of its revenues. At December 31, 2011, Telesat's top five backlog customers together accounted for approximately 87% of its backlog. If any of Telesat's major customers chose to not renew its contract or contracts at the expiration of the existing terms or sought to negotiate concessions, particularly on price, that could have a material adverse effect on Telesat's results of operations, business prospects and financial condition. Telesat's customers could experience a downturn in their business or find themselves in financial difficulties, which could result in their ceasing or reducing their use of Telesat's services (or becoming unable to pay for services they had contracted to buy). In addition, some of Telesat's customers' industries are undergoing significant consolidation, and Telesat's customers may be acquired by other companies, including by Telesat's competitors. Such acquisitions could adversely affect Telesat's ability to sell services to such customers and to any end-users whom they serve.

Table of Contents

The actual orbital maneuver lives of Telesat's satellites may be shorter than Telesat anticipates and Telesat may be required to reduce available capacity on its satellites prior to the end of their orbital maneuver lives.

Telesat anticipates that its satellites will have the end of orbital maneuver life described above in Item 1-Business. For all but one of Telesat's satellites, the expected end-of orbital maneuver life date goes beyond the manufacturer's end-of-service life date. A number of factors will affect the actual commercial service lives of Telesat's satellites, including:

the amount of propellant used in maintaining the satellite's orbital location or relocating the satellite to a new orbital location (and, for newly-launched satellites, the amount of propellant used during orbit raising following launch);

the durability and quality of their construction;

the performance of their components;

conditions in space such as solar flares and space debris;

operational considerations, including operational failures and other anomalies; and

changes in technology which may make all or a portion of Telesat's satellite fleet obsolete.

Telesat has been forced to prematurely remove satellites from service in the past due to an unexpected reduction in their previously anticipated end-of-orbital maneuver life. It is possible that the actual orbital maneuver lives of one or more of Telesat's existing satellites may also be shorter than originally anticipated. Further, on some of Telesat's satellites it is anticipated that the total payload capacity may need to be reduced prior to the satellite reaching its end-of-orbital maneuver life. Telesat periodically reviews expected orbital maneuver lives of each of its satellites using current engineering data. A reduction in the orbital maneuver life of any of Telesat's satellites could result in a reduction of the revenues generated by that satellite, the recognition of an impairment loss and an acceleration of capital expenditures. To the extent Telesat is required to reduce payload capacity prior to the end of a satellite's orbital maneuver life, its revenues from the satellite would be reduced.

Telesat's satellite launches may be delayed, it may suffer launch failures or its satellites may fail to reach their planned orbital locations. Any such issue could result in the loss of a satellite or cause significant delays in the deployment of the satellite which could have a material adverse effect on Telesat's results of operations, business prospects and financial condition.

Delays in launching satellites are not uncommon and result from construction delays, the unavailability of reliable launch opportunities with suppliers, delays in obtaining required regulatory approvals and launch failures. If satellite construction schedules are not met, a launch opportunity may not be available at the time the satellite is ready to be launched. Satellites are also subject to certain risks related to failed launches. Launch vehicles may fail. Launch failures result in significant delays in the deployment of satellites because of the need to construct replacement satellites, which typically takes up to 30 months or longer, and to obtain another launch vehicle. A delay or perceived delay in launching a satellite, or replacing a satellite, may cause Telesat's current customers to move to another satellite provider if they determine that the delay may cause an interruption in continuous service. In addition, Telesat's contracts with customers who purchase or reserve satellite capacity may allow the customers to terminate their contracts in the event of a delay. Any such termination would require Telesat to refund any prepayment it may have received, and would result in a reduction in Telesat's contracted backlog and would delay or prevent Telesat from securing the commercial benefits of the new satellite. The launch vehicle scheduled to be used by Telesat to launch Nimiq 6 and Anik G1 has experienced launch failures in the past when used to launch satellites of other operators. Launch vehicles may also underperform, in which case the satellite may be lost or, if it can be placed into service by using its onboard propulsion systems to reach the desired orbital location, will have a shorter useful life. Certain of Telesat's satellites are nearing their expected end-of-orbital maneuver lives. Any launch failure, underperformance, delay or perceived delay could have a material adverse effect on Telesat's results of operations, business prospects and financial condition, which in turn would have a material adverse effect on our results and condition.

Table of Contents

Telesat's in-orbit satellites may fail to operate as expected due to operational anomalies resulting in lost revenues, increased costs and/or termination of contracts.

Satellites utilize highly complex technology and operate in the harsh environment of space and therefore are subject to significant operational risks while in orbit. The risks include in-orbit equipment failures, malfunctions and other kinds of problems commonly referred to as anomalies. Satellite anomalies include, for example, circuit failures, transponder failures, solar array failures, telemetry transmitter failures, battery cell and other power system failures, satellite control system failures and propulsion system failures. Some of Telesat's satellites have had malfunctions and other anomalies in the past. Acts of war, terrorism, magnetic, electrostatic or solar storms, space debris, satellite conjunctions or micrometeoroids could also damage Telesat's satellites.

Despite working closely with satellite manufacturers to determine the causes of anomalies and mitigate them in new satellites and to provide for intrasatellite redundancies for certain critical components to minimize or eliminate service disruptions in the event of failure, anomalies are likely to be experienced in the future, whether due to the types of anomalies described above or arising from the failure of other systems or components, and intrasatellite redundancy may not be available upon the occurrence of such anomalies. Telesat cannot assure you that, in these cases, it will be possible to restore normal operations. Where service cannot be restored, the failure could cause the satellite to have less capacity available for sale, to suffer performance degradation, or to cease operating prematurely, either in whole or in part. For example, if the damaged solar array on Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2 were to unexpectedly deploy in the future, this could result in a loss of capability to provide service.

Any single anomaly or series of anomalies or other failure (whether full or partial) of any of Telesat's satellites could cause Telesat's revenues, cash flows and backlog to decline materially, could require Telesat to repay prepayments made by customers of the affected satellite and could have a material adverse effect on Telesat's relationships with current customers and its ability to attract new customers for satellite services. A failure could result in a customer terminating its contract for service on the affected satellite. In the event we are unable to provide alternate capacity to an affected customer, such customer may decide to procure all or a portion of its future satellite services from an alternate supplier or such customer's business may be so adversely affected by the satellite failure that it may not have the financial ability to procure future satellite services. In addition, an anomaly that has a material adverse effect on a satellite's overall performance or expected orbital maneuver life could require us to recognize an impairment loss, which in turn would adversely affect us. It may also require Telesat to expedite its planned replacement program, adversely affecting its profitability and increasing its financing needs and limiting the availability of funds for other business purposes. Finally, the occurrence of anomalies may adversely affect Telesat's ability to insure satellites at commercially reasonable premiums, if at all, and may cause insurers to demand additional exclusions in policies they issue.

Telesat's insurance will not protect it against all satellite-related losses. Further, Telesat may not be able to renew insurance on its existing satellites or obtain insurance on future satellites on acceptable terms or at all.

Telesat's current satellite insurance does not protect it against all satellite-related losses that it may experience, and it does not have in-orbit insurance coverage for all of the satellites in its fleet. As of December 31, 2011, the total net book value of our four in-orbit satellites for which we do not have insurance is approximately CAD 85 million. Telesat's insurance does not protect it against business interruption, loss of revenues or delay of revenues. In addition, Telesat does not insure the net book value of performance incentives that may be payable to a satellite's manufacturer as these are payable only to the extent that the satellite operates in accordance with contracted technical specifications. Telesat's existing launch and in-orbit insurance policies include, and any future policies Telesat obtains can be expected to include, specified exclusions, deductibles and material change limitations. Typically, these insurance policies exclude coverage for damage or losses arising from acts of war, anti-satellite devices, electromagnetic or radio frequency interference and other similar potential risks for which exclusions are customary in the industry at the time the policy is written. In addition, they typically exclude coverage for satellite health-related problems affecting Telesat's satellites that are known at the time the policy is written or renewed. Any claims under existing policies are subject to settlement with the insurers and may, in some instances, be payable to Telesat's customers.

Table of Contents

The price, terms and availability of satellite insurance has fluctuated significantly in recent years. These fluctuations may be affected by recent satellite launch or in-orbit failures and general conditions in the insurance industry. Launch and in-orbit policies on satellites may not continue to be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all. To the extent Telesat experiences a launch or in-orbit failure that is not fully insured, or for which insurance proceeds are delayed or disputed, it may not have sufficient resources to replace the affected satellite. In addition, higher premiums on insurance policies increase our costs, thereby reducing Telesat's profitability. In addition to higher premiums, insurance policies may provide for higher deductibles, shorter coverage periods, higher loss percentages required for constructive total loss claims and additional satellite health-related policy exclusions. There can be no assurance that, upon the expiration of an in-orbit insurance policy, which typically has a term of one year, Telesat will be able to renew the policy on terms acceptable to them.

Subject to the requirements contained in the indentures governing Telesat's notes and senior secured credit facilities, Telesat may elect to reduce or eliminate insurance coverage for certain of its existing satellites, or elect not to obtain insurance policies for its future satellites, especially if exclusions make such policies ineffective, the costs of coverage make such insurance impractical or if self-insurance is deemed more effective.

Telesat is subject to significant and intensifying competition. Telesat experiences competition both within the satellite industry and from other providers of communications capacity. Telesat's failure to compete effectively would result in a loss of revenues and a decline in profitability, which would adversely affect Telesat's business and results of operations.

Telesat provides point-to-point and point-to-multipoint services for voice, data and video communications and for high-speed Internet access. A trend toward consolidation of major FSS providers has resulted in the creation of global competitors who are substantially larger than Telesat in terms of both the number of satellites they have in orbit as well as in terms of their revenues. Due to their larger sizes, these operators are able to take advantage of greater economies of scale, may be more attractive to customers, may (depending on the specific satellite and orbital location in question) have greater flexibility to restore service to their customers in the event of a partial or total satellite failure and may be able to offer expansion capacity for future requirements. Telesat also competes against regional satellite operators who may enjoy competitive advantages in their local markets. As a condition of Telesat's licenses for certain satellites, Telesat is required by Industry Canada to invest in research and development related to satellite communication activities. Telesat's global competitors may not face this additional financial burden.

Telesat expects a substantial portion of its ongoing business will continue to be in the Canadian domestic market. This market is characterized by increasing competition among satellite providers and rapid technological development. Historically, the Canadian regulatory framework has required the use of Canadian-licensed satellites for the delivery of direct-to-home (DTH) programming in Canada. It is possible that this framework could change and allow non-Canadian satellite operators that have adequate service coverage in Canadian territory to compete for future business from Telesat's DTH customers. In 2007 Industry Canada awarded a spectrum which is suitable for providing services to Canadian customers, including DTH, to Ciel Satellite Group (Ciel), which was at the time Canadian controlled but has since become controlled by a foreign entity, SES S.A. (SES), the world's second largest FSS satellite operator and a non-Canadian. In addition, in 2009 Industry Canada authorized FreeHD Canada to use a foreign-based satellite for the provision for DTH services on an interim basis.

Telesat's business is also subject to competition from ground based forms of communications technology. For many point-to-point and other services, the offerings provided by terrestrial companies can be more competitive than the services offered via satellite. A number of companies are increasing their ability to transmit signals on existing terrestrial infrastructures, such as fiber optic cable, DSL (digital subscriber line) and terrestrial wireless transmitters often with funding and other incentives provided by government. The ability of any of these companies to significantly increase their capacity and/or the reach of their network likely would result in a decrease in the demand for Telesat's services. Increasing availability of capacity from other forms of communications technology can create an excess supply of telecommunications capacity, decreasing the prices Telesat would be able to charge for its services under new service contracts and thereby negatively affecting Telesat's profitability. New technology could render satellite-based services less competitive by satisfying consumer demand in other ways. Telesat also competes for local regulatory approval in places where more than one provider may want to operate and with other satellite operators for scarce frequency assignments and a limited supply of orbital locations. Telesat's failure to compete effectively could result in a loss of revenues and a decline in profitability, a decrease in the value of its business and a downgrade of its credit rating, which would restrict its access to the capital markets.

Table of Contents

Changes in technology, video distribution methods and demand could have a material adverse effect on Telesat's results of operations and business prospects.

The implementation of new technologies or the improvement of existing technologies may reduce the transponder capacity needed to transmit a given amount of information thereby reducing the total demand for capacity. For example, improvements in signal compression could allow Telesat's customers to transmit the same amount of data using a reduced amount of capacity. The introduction of Ka-band, high throughput satellites, such as ViaSat-1, which are able to transmit substantially more content per transponder than pre-existing Ka-band satellites, may decrease demand and/or prices for pre-existing Ka-band capacity as well as C-band and Ku-band capacity. While Telesat owns the Canadian Payload on ViaSat-1, if other operators introduce more Ka-band, high throughput satellites into the markets in which Telesat participates, it could have a material adverse effect on Telesat's results of operations, business prospects and financial condition.

Telesat's business may be negatively impacted by the growth of over-the-top (OTT) video distribution (e.g., Netflix). This type of distribution involves delivery of broadcasting services through an internet service provider that is not involved in the control or distribution of the content itself. The growth of OTT distribution may have a negative impact on the demand for the services of some of Telesat's large customers in the video distribution business and could result in lessened demand for Telesat's satellite capacity.

Developments that Telesat expects to support the growth in demand for satellite services, such as continued growth in data traffic, the continued proliferation of HDTV, and the adoption of 3D TV may fail to materialize or may not occur in the manner or to the extent Telesat anticipates.

Replacing a satellite upon the end of its service life will require Telesat to make significant expenditures and may require Telesat to obtain approval from its shareholders.

To ensure no disruption in Telesat's business and to prevent loss of its customers, Telesat will be required to commence construction of a replacement satellite approximately three to five years prior to the expected end of service life of the satellite then in orbit. Typically, it costs in the range of \$250 million to \$300 million to construct, launch and insure a satellite. There can be no assurance that Telesat will have sufficient cash, cash flow or be able to obtain third party or shareholder financing to fund such expenditures on favorable terms, if at all, or that Telesat will obtain shareholder approval, where required, to procure replacement satellites. Certain of Telesat's satellites are nearing their expected end-of-orbital maneuver lives. Should Telesat not have sufficient funds available to replace those satellites or should Telesat not receive approval from its shareholders, where required, to purchase replacement satellites, it could have a material adverse effect on Telesat's results of operations, business prospects and financial condition.

Telesat's business is capital intensive, and Telesat may not be able to raise adequate capital to finance its business strategies, or Telesat may be able to do so only on terms that significantly restrict its ability to operate its business.

Implementation of Telesat's business strategy requires a substantial outlay of capital. As Telesat pursues its business strategies and seeks to respond to developments in its business and opportunities and trends in its industry, its actual capital expenditures may differ from its expected capital expenditures. There can be no assurance that Telesat will be able to satisfy its capital requirements in the future. In addition, if one of Telesat's satellites failed unexpectedly, there can be no assurance of insurance recovery or the timing thereof and Telesat may need to exhaust or significantly draw upon its revolving credit facility or obtain additional financing to replace the satellite. If Telesat determines that it needs to obtain additional funds through external financing and is unable to do so, Telesat may be prevented from fully implementing its business strategy. The availability and cost to Telesat of external financing depends on a number of factors, including its credit rating and financial performance and general market conditions. Telesat's ability to obtain financing generally may be influenced by the supply and demand characteristics of the telecommunications sector in general and of the FSS sector in particular. Declines in Telesat's expected future revenues under contracts with customers and challenging business conditions faced by its customers are among the other factors that may adversely affect Telesat's credit. Other factors that could impact Telesat's credit rating include the amount of debt in its current or future capital structure, activities associated with strategic initiatives, the health of its satellites, the success or failure of its planned launches, its expected future cash flows and the capital expenditures required to execute its business strategy. The overall impact on Telesat's financial condition of any transaction that it pursues may be negative or may be negatively perceived by the financial markets and rating agencies and may result in adverse rating agency actions with respect to its credit rating. Long-term disruptions in the capital or credit markets as a result of uncertainty or recession, changing or increased regulation or failures of significant financial institutions could adversely affect Telesat's access to capital. A credit rating downgrade or deterioration in Telesat's financial performance or general market conditions could limit its ability to obtain financing or could result in any such financing being available only at greater cost or on more restrictive terms than might otherwise be available and, in either case, could result in Telesat deferring or reducing capital expenditures including on new or replacement satellites. In certain circumstances, Telesat is required to obtain the approval of its shareholders to incur additional indebtedness. There can be no assurances that Telesat will receive such approval, if required.

Table of Contents

Telesat operates in a highly regulated industry and government regulations may adversely affect its ability to sell its services, or increase the expense of such services or otherwise limit Telesat's ability to operate or grow its business.

As an operator of a global satellite system, Telesat is regulated by government authorities in Canada, the United States and other countries in which it operates.

In Canada, Telesat's operations are subject to regulation and licensing by Industry Canada pursuant to the Radiocommunication Act (Canada) and by the Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC), under the Telecommunications Act (Canada). Industry Canada has the authority to issue licenses, establish standards, assign Canadian orbital locations, and plan the allocation and use of the radio frequency spectrum, including the radio frequencies upon which Telesat's satellites and earth stations depend. The Minister responsible for Industry Canada has broad discretion in exercising this authority to issue licenses, fix and amend conditions of licenses, and to suspend or even revoke them. The CRTC has authority over the allocation (and reallocation) of satellite capacity to particular broadcasting undertakings. Telesat is required to pay different forms of universal service charges in Canada and have certain research and development obligations that do not apply to other satellite operators it competes with. These rates and obligations could change at any time.

In the United States, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulates the provision of satellite services to, from, or within the United States. Certain of Telesat's satellites are owned and operated through a US subsidiary and are regulated by the FCC. In addition, to facilitate the provision of FSS satellite services in C- and Ku-band frequencies in the United States market, foreign licensed operators can apply to have their satellites placed on the FCC's Permitted Space Station List. Telesat's Anik F1, Anik FIR, Anik F2, Anik F3 and Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2 satellites are currently on this list. The export from the United States of satellites and technical information related to satellites, earth station equipment and provision of services to certain countries are subject to State Department, Commerce Department and Treasury Department regulations, in particular the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) which currently include satellites on the list of items requiring export permits. These ITAR provisions have constrained Telesat's access to technical information and have had a negative impact on its international consulting revenues. In addition, Telesat and its satellite manufacturers may not be able to obtain and maintain necessary export authorizations which could adversely affect its ability to procure new United States-manufactured satellites; control its existing satellites; acquire launch services; obtain insurance and pursue its rights under insurance policies; or conduct its satellite-related operations and consulting activities.

Telesat also operates satellites through licenses granted by, and are subject to regulations in, countries other than Canada and the United States. For example, the Brazilian national telecommunications agency, ANATEL, has authorized Telesat, through its subsidiary, Telesat Brasil Capacidade de Satélites Ltda. (TBCS), to operate Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2, a Ku-band FSS satellite at 63° WL pursuant to a Concession Agreement. Telstar 18 operates at the 138° EL orbital location under an agreement with APT, which has been granted the right to use the 138° EL orbital location by The Kingdom of Tonga. Although Telesat's agreement with APT provides it with renewal rights with respect to a replacement satellite at this orbital location, Telesat is relying on third parties to secure those orbital location rights and there can be no assurance that they will be granted at all or on a timely basis. Should Telesat be unsuccessful in obtaining renewal rights for the orbital location, because of the control over the orbital location exercised by Tonga or for other reasons, or Telesat otherwise fail to enter into agreements with APT with respect to such replacement satellite, all revenues obtained from Telstar 18 would cease and could have a material adverse effect on Telesat's results of operations, business prospects and financial condition.

In addition to regulatory requirements governing the use of orbital locations, most countries regulate transmission of signals to and from their territory, and Telesat is required to obtain and maintain authorizations to carry on business in the countries in which Telesat operates.

Table of Contents

If Telesat fails to obtain or maintain particular authorizations on acceptable terms, such failure could delay or prevent Telesat from offering some or all of its services and adversely affect its results of operations, business prospects and financial condition. In particular, Telesat may not be able to obtain all of the required regulatory authorizations for the construction, launch and operation of any of its future satellites, for the orbital locations for these satellites and for its group infrastructure, on acceptable term or at all. Even if Telesat were able to obtain the necessary authorizations and orbital locations, the licenses Telesat obtains may impose significant operational restrictions, or not protect Telesat from interference that could affect the use of its satellites. Countries or their regulatory authorities may adopt new laws, policies or regulations, or change their interpretation of existing laws, policies or regulations, that could cause Telesat's existing authorizations to be changed or cancelled, require Telesat to incur additional costs, impose or change existing pricing, or otherwise adversely affect its operations or revenues. As a result, any currently held regulatory authorizations are subject to rescission and renewal and may not remain sufficient or additional authorizations may be necessary that Telesat may not be able to obtain on a timely basis or on terms that are not unduly costly or burdensome. Further, because the regulatory schemes vary by country, Telesat may be subject to regulations in foreign countries of which Telesat is not presently aware that it is not in compliance with, and as a result could be subject to sanctions by a foreign government.

Telesat's operations may be limited or precluded by ITU rules or processes, and Telesat is required to coordinate its operations with those of other satellite operators.

The International Telecommunication Union (ITU), a specialized United Nations agency, regulates the global allocation of radio frequency spectrum and the registration of radio frequency assignments and any associated orbital location in the geostationary satellite orbit. Telesat participates in the activities of the ITU. However, only national administrations have full standing as ITU members. Consequently, Telesat must rely on the relevant government administrations to represent its interests.

The ITU establishes the Radio Regulations, an international treaty which contains the rules concerning frequency allocations and the priority to, coordination of, and use of, radio frequency assignments. The ITU Radio Regulations define the allocation of radio frequencies to specific uses. The ITU Radio Regulations are periodically reviewed and revised at World Radiocommunication Conferences (WRC), which take place typically every three to four years. As a result, Telesat cannot guarantee that the ITU will not change its allocation decisions and rules in the future in a way that could limit or preclude Telesat's use of some or all of its existing or future orbital locations or spectrum.

The ITU Radio Regulations also establish operating procedures for satellite networks and prescribe detailed coordination, notification and recording procedures. With respect to the frequencies used by commercial geostationary satellites, the ITU Radio Regulations set forth a process for protecting earlier-registered satellite systems from interference from later-registered satellite systems. In order to comply with these rules, Telesat must coordinate the operation of its satellites, including any replacement satellite that has performance characteristics that are different from those of the satellite it replaces, with other satellites. This process requires potentially lengthy and costly negotiations with parties who operate or intend to operate satellites that could affect or be affected by Telesat's satellites. For example, as part of Telesat's coordination effort on Telstar 12, Telesat agreed to provide four 54 MHz transponders on Telstar 12 to Eutelsat S.A. (Eutelsat) for the life of the satellite and have retained risk of loss with respect to those transponders. Telesat also granted Eutelsat the right to acquire, at cost, four transponders on the replacement satellite for Telstar 12. Telesat has leased back from Eutelsat three of the four transponders to provide service to its customers. In addition, the Russian Satellite Communications Company (RSCC) has announced that it has commenced construction of a satellite which it intends to launch and operate at 14° WL, adjacent to the location of Telesat's Telstar 12 at 15° WL. RSCC's ITU rights over certain frequencies at 14° WL have priority over Telesat's use of these same frequencies on Telstar 12. Telesat has had discussions with RSCC to resolve this issue but, to date, those discussions have not been successful. Failure to reach an appropriate arrangement with RSCC may result in restrictions on the use and operation of Telstar 12 which could materially restrict Telesat's ability to earn revenue from Telstar 12 and any replacement satellite or may make a replacement satellite not economically viable.

In certain countries, a failure to resolve coordination issues is used by regulators as a justification to limit or condition market access by foreign satellite operators. In addition, while the ITU Radio Regulations require later-in-time systems to coordinate their operations with Telesat, Telesat cannot guarantee that other operators will conduct their operations so as to avoid transmitting any signals that would cause harmful interference to the signals that Telesat, or its customers, transmit. This interference could require Telesat to take steps, or pay or refund amounts to its customers, that could have a material adverse effect on Telesat's results of operations, business prospects and financial condition. The ITU's Radio Regulations do not contain mandatory dispute resolution or enforcement regulations and neither the ITU specifically, nor international law generally, provides clear remedies if the ITU coordination process fails. Failure to successfully coordinate Telesat's satellites' frequencies or to obtain or maintain other required regulatory approvals could have an adverse effect on Telesat's financial condition, as well as on the value of its business.

Table of Contents

The content of third-party transmissions over Telesat's satellites may affect Telesat since Telesat could be subject to sanctions by various governmental entities for the transmission of certain content.

Telesat provides satellite capacity for transmissions by third parties. Telesat does not decide what content is transmitted over its satellites, although its contracts generally provide it with rights to prohibit certain types of content or to cease transmission or permit Telesat to require its customers to cease their transmissions under certain circumstances. A governmental body or other entity may object to some of the content carried over Telesat's satellites, such as adult services, video channels or content deemed political in nature. Issues arising from the content of transmissions by these third parties over Telesat's satellites could affect its future revenues, operations or relationship with certain governments or customers.

Telesat may experience a failure of ground operations infrastructure or interference with its satellite signals that impairs the commercial performance of, or the services delivered over, its satellites or the satellites of other operators for whom it provides ground services, which could result in a material loss of revenues.

Telesat operates an extensive ground infrastructure including a satellite control center in Ottawa, its main earth station and back up satellite control facility at Allan Park, six teleports throughout Canada, one teleport located in the United States and one in Brazil and its telemetry, tracking and control (TT&C) facility in Perth, Australia. These ground facilities are used for controlling Telesat's satellites and for the provision of end-to-end services to Telesat's customers.

Telesat may experience a partial or total loss of one or more of these facilities due to natural disasters (tornado, flood, hurricane or other such acts of God), fire, acts of war or terrorism or other catastrophic events. A failure at any of these facilities would cause a significant loss of service for Telesat customers. Additionally, Telesat may experience a failure in the necessary equipment at the satellite control center, at the back-up facility, or in the communication links between these facilities and remote teleport facilities. A failure or operator error affecting TT&C operations might lead to a break-down in the ability to communicate with one or more satellites or cause the transmission of incorrect instructions to the affected satellite(s), which could lead to a temporary or permanent degradation in satellite performance or to the loss of one or more satellites. Intentional or non-intentional electromagnetic or radio frequency interference could result in a failure of Telesat's ability to deliver satellite services to its customers. A failure at any of Telesat's facilities or in the communications links between its facilities or interference with its satellite signal could cause its revenues and backlog to decline materially and could adversely affect its ability to market its services and generate future revenues and profit.

Telesat purchases equipment from third party suppliers and depends on those suppliers to deliver, maintain and support these products to the contracted specifications in order for Telesat to meet its service commitments to its customers. Telesat may experience difficulty if these suppliers do not meet their obligations to deliver and support this equipment. Telesat may also experience difficulty or failure when implementing, operating and maintaining this equipment or when providing services using this equipment. This difficulty or failure may lead to delays in implementing services, service interruptions or degradations in service, which could cause Telesat's revenues and backlog to decline materially and could adversely affect Telesat's ability to market its services and generate future revenues and profit.

Table of Contents

III. Other Risks

Third parties have significant rights with respect to our affiliates.

Third parties have significant rights with respect to, and we do not have control over management of, our affiliates. For example, Hisdesat enjoys substantial approval rights in regard to XTAR, our X-band joint venture. Also, while we own 64% of the participating shares of Telesat, we own only 33 $\frac{1}{3}$ % of the voting power. The rights of these third parties and fiduciary duties under applicable law could result in others acting or failing to act in ways that are not in our best interest. While these entities are or have been customers of SS/L, due to these third party rights and the fiduciary duties of the boards of these entities, there can be no assurance that these entities will continue to be customers of SS/L, and SS/L does not expect to do business with these entities on other than fair and competitive terms.

The loss of executive officers and our inability to retain other key personnel could materially adversely affect our operations.

Loral, SS/L and Telesat rely on a number of key employees, including members of management and certain other employees possessing unique experience in technical and commercial aspects of the satellite manufacturing and services businesses, including personnel with security clearances for classified work and highly skilled engineers and scientists. If Loral, SS/L or Telesat are unable to retain these employees, it could be difficult to replace them. In addition, the businesses of SS/L and Telesat, with their constant technological developments, must continue to attract highly qualified and technically skilled employees. In the future, the inability of SS/L or Telesat to retain or replace these employees, or their inability to attract new highly qualified employees, could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, business prospects and financial condition of Loral, SS/L or Telesat.

MHR may be viewed as our controlling stockholder and may have conflicts of interest with us in the future.

As of December 31, 2011, various funds affiliated with MHR and Dr. Rachesky held approximately 38.6% of the outstanding voting common stock of Loral as well as all issued and outstanding shares of Loral non-voting common stock, which, when taken together, represent approximately 57.7% of the outstanding common equity of Loral as of December 31, 2011. As of February 15, 2012, representatives of MHR occupy two of the seven seats on our board of directors, with one seat, previously occupied by a former managing principal of MHR, currently being vacant. In addition, one of our other directors was selected by the creditors' committee in our predecessor's chapter 11 cases, in which MHR served as the chairman. Conflicts of interests may arise in the future between us and MHR. For example, MHR and its affiliated funds are in the business of making investments in companies and may acquire and hold interests in businesses that compete directly or indirectly with us. Under our agreement with PSP, subject to certain exceptions, in the event that either (i) ownership or control, directly or indirectly, by Dr. Mark H. Rachesky, President of MHR, of our voting stock falls below certain levels or (ii) there is a change in the composition of a majority of the members of the Loral board of directors over a consecutive two-year period, we will lose our veto rights relating to certain actions by Telesat. In addition, after either of these events, PSP will have certain rights to enable it to exit from its investment in Telesat, including a right to cause Telesat to conduct an initial public offering in which PSP's shares would be the first shares offered or, if no such offering has occurred within one year due to a lack of cooperation from Loral or Telesat, to cause the sale of Telesat and to drag along the other shareholders in such sale, subject to our right to call PSP's shares at fair market value.

Table of Contents**Interruption or failure of, or cyber-attacks on, our information technology and communications systems could hurt our ability to operate our business effectively, which could harm our business and operating results.**

Our ability to operate our business depends, in part, on the continuing operation of our information technology and communications systems, which are an integral part of our business. We rely on our information and communication systems, as well as software applications developed internally to, among other things, effectively manage our accounting and financial functions, including maintaining our internal controls, manage our manufacturing processes, perform our research and development and assist with scheduling, sales order entry, purchasing, materials management and other production functions. Although we take steps to secure our information and communications systems, including our computer systems, intranet and internet sites, email and other telecommunications and data networks, the security measures we have implemented may not be effective and our systems may be vulnerable to theft, loss, damage and interruption from a number of potential sources and events, including unauthorized access or security breaches, inclement weather, natural or man-made disasters, earthquakes, explosions, terrorist attacks, floods, fires, cyber-attacks, computer viruses, power loss, telecommunications or equipment failures, transportation interruptions, accidents or other disruptive events or attempts to harm our systems. In addition, some of our facilities, particularly those at SS/L, are located in areas with a high risk of major earthquakes, and our facilities are also subject to break-ins, sabotage and intentional acts of vandalism. Moreover, some of our systems are not fully redundant, and our disaster recovery planning cannot account for all eventualities. Our business and operations could be adversely affected if, as a result of a significant cyber event or otherwise, our operations are disrupted or shut down, our confidential or proprietary information is stolen or disclosed, we incur costs or are required to pay fines in connection with confidential or export-controlled information that is disclosed, we must dedicate significant resources to system repairs or to increase cyber security protection or we otherwise incur significant litigation or other costs as a result of any such event. While our insurance coverage could offset losses relating to some of these types of events, to the extent any such losses are not covered by insurance, a serious disruption to our systems could significantly limit our ability to manage and operate our business efficiently, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Changes in tax rates or policies or changes to our tax liabilities could affect operating results.

We are subject to U.S. federal, state and local income taxation on our worldwide income and foreign taxes on certain income from sources outside the United States. Significant judgment is required to determine and estimate our tax liabilities, and our future annual and quarterly tax rates could be affected by numerous factors, including changes in the applicable tax laws, composition of earnings in countries or states with differing tax rates or our valuation and utilization of deferred tax assets and liabilities. In addition, we are subject to regular examination of our income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service and other taxing authorities. Although we believe our tax estimates are reasonable, we regularly evaluate the adequacy of our provision for income taxes, and there can be no assurance that any final determination by a taxing authority will not result in additional tax liability which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

The future use of tax attributes is limited.

As of December 31, 2011, we had federal net operating loss carryforwards, or NOLs, of approximately \$380 million and state NOLs, primarily California, of approximately \$244 million, that are available to offset future taxable income (see Notes 2 and 10 to the Loral consolidated financial statements for a description of the accounting treatment of such NOLs). As our reorganization on November 21, 2005 constituted an ownership change under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, our ability to use these NOLs, as well as certain other tax attributes existing at such effective date, is subject to an annual limitation of approximately \$32.6 million, subject to increase or decrease based on certain factors. If Loral experiences an additional ownership change during any three-year period after November 21, 2005, future use of these tax attributes may become further limited. An ownership change may be triggered by sales or acquisitions of Loral equity interests in excess of 50% by shareholders owning five percent or more of our total equity value, i.e., the total market value of our equity interests, as determined on any applicable testing date. We would be adversely affected by an additional ownership change if, at the time of such change, the total market value of our equity multiplied by the federal applicable long-term tax exempt rate, which at December 31, 2011 was 3.55%, was less than \$32.6 million. As of December 31, 2011, since the total market value of our equity (\$2.0 billion) multiplied by the federal applicable long-term tax exempt rate was approximately \$70 million an ownership change as of that date would not have had an adverse effect.

Table of Contents

There is a thin trading market for our voting common stock.

Trading activity in our voting common stock, which is listed on the NASDAQ National Market, has generally been light, averaging approximately 73,000 shares per day for the year ended December 31, 2011. Moreover, over 50% of our voting common stock is effectively held by MHR and several other stockholders. If any of our significant stockholders should sell some or all of their holdings, it will likely have an adverse effect on our share price. Although the funds affiliated with MHR have restrictions on their ability to sell our shares under U.S. securities laws, we have filed a shelf registration statement in respect of the voting common stock and non-voting common stock they hold in Loral that eliminates such restrictions. Such funds also have other demand and piggyback registration rights in respect of their Loral voting common stock and non-voting common stock that would also, if exercised, effectively eliminate such restrictions. In addition, our board of directors has authorized a stock repurchase program pursuant to which the Company is authorized to purchase up to 800,000 shares of our voting common stock. To the extent the Company does repurchase shares (in 2011, we purchased 136,494 shares of voting common stock), the number of shares available for trading in the market will be reduced thereby increasing further the illiquidity of our stock.

The market for our voting common stock could be adversely affected by future issuance of significant amounts of our voting common stock.

As of December 31, 2011, 21,093,079 shares of our voting common stock and 9,505,673 shares of our non-voting common stock were outstanding. On that date, there were outstanding options to purchase 339,000 shares of our voting common stock, of which 307,750 were vested and exercisable and of which 31,250 will become vested and exercisable over the next year. There were also 24,600 non-vested restricted stock units outstanding as of December 31, 2011. These restricted stock units, which may be settled either in cash or Loral voting common stock at the Company's option, vest over the next one and a half years. As of December 31, 2011, 1,158,879 shares of our voting common stock were available for future grants under our stock incentive plan. The number of shares available for grant would be reduced if SS/L phantom stock appreciation rights are settled in Loral voting common stock. Moreover, we may further amend our stock incentive plan in the future to provide for additional increases in the number of shares available for grant thereunder.

Sales of significant amounts of our voting common stock to the public, or the perception that those sales could happen, could adversely affect the market for, and the trading price of, our voting common stock.

A public offering of stock in Telesat could adversely affect the market for, and price of, our common stock and the value of our interest in Telesat.

Since the end of October 2011, each of Loral and the other principal shareholder in Telesat has had the right under the terms of the Telesat Shareholders Agreement to require Telesat to conduct an initial public offering of its equity securities. To date, neither party has exercised such right. In the event Telesat were to conduct a public offering of its equity securities, it is uncertain whether the offering would be a primary offering of shares by Telesat, a secondary offering of shares by either or both of the Telesat shareholders or a combination of both types of offerings. It is also uncertain what effect an offering (and any corporate restructuring required in connection with such offering under the terms of the Telesat Shareholders Agreement) would have on Loral's governance rights in Telesat. Changes in our Telesat governance rights could adversely affect the value of our interest in Telesat and the price at which our common stock trades. In addition, a public market for Telesat equity would create a situation where there would be two separate public-market proxies for the value of Telesat's stock and the Telesat stock which could create confusion in the market and could adversely affect the liquidity and/or trading values of either our or Telesat's common stock.

Table of Contents

We are subject to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act.

SS/L engages in marketing, procurement of supplies and services, launch activities and satellite sales to customers located outside of the United States. We are subject to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or the FCPA, which generally prohibits U.S. companies and their intermediaries from making corrupt payments to foreign officials for the purpose of obtaining or keeping business or otherwise obtaining favorable treatment, and requires companies to maintain adequate record-keeping and internal accounting practices to accurately reflect the transactions of the company. The FCPA applies to companies, individual directors, officers, employees and agents. Under the FCPA, U.S. companies may be held liable for actions taken by strategic or local partners or representatives. If we or our intermediaries fail to comply with the requirements of the FCPA, governmental authorities in the United States could seek to impose civil and/or criminal penalties, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial conditions and cash flows.

We may incur costs to comply with or address liabilities under environmental regulations.

We are subject to various federal, state and local environmental health and safety laws and regulations governing our properties and the operation of our business, including those relating to air emissions, wastewater discharges, the handling, storage and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, the management of asbestos-containing building materials and non-ionizing radiation equipment, releases of hazardous and toxic materials and the remediation of contamination at real property. In addition, electronic devices or components are subject to regulation in various jurisdictions requiring end-of-life management, including recycling, and/or restrictions on certain materials used in manufactured products. Compliance with such laws may result in significant liabilities and costs, including property damage or personal injury claims, investigation and remediation costs, penalties, capital expenditures to install or upgrade pollution control equipment, the temporary suspension of production, or a cessation of operations. Our failure to comply with such laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations in the future. In addition, new or stricter requirements relating to environmental health and safety laws, including restrictions on greenhouse gas emissions, or materials use could result in us incurring unanticipated capital costs or operating expenses, for example, for fuel or raw materials. In addition, some environmental laws, such as the U.S. federal Superfund law and similar state statutes, can impose liability for the entire cost of cleanup of contaminated sites upon any of the current or former site owners or operators or upon parties who sent, or arranged to send, wastes to these sites, regardless of fault or lawfulness of the original disposal activity.

Accounting standards periodically change and the application of our accounting policies and methods may require management to make estimates about matters that are uncertain.

The regulatory bodies that establish accounting standards, including, among others, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or the FASB, and the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, periodically revise or issue new financial accounting and reporting standards that govern the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. Given our reliance on estimates and on the cost-to-cost percentage of completion method of recognizing revenue, changes in accounting standards, especially revenue recognition, may have a greater effect on us than on many companies. The effect of such revised or new standards on our consolidated financial statements can be difficult to predict and can materially affect how we record and report our results of operations and financial condition. In addition, our management must exercise judgment in appropriately applying many of our accounting policies and methods so they comply with generally accepted accounting principles. In some cases, the accounting policy or method chosen might be reasonable under the circumstances and yet might result in our reporting materially different amounts than would have been reported if we had selected a different policy or method. Accounting policies are critical to fairly presenting our results of operations and financial condition and may require management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments about matters that are uncertain.

Litigation and Disputes

We are involved in a number of ongoing lawsuits.

We are involved in a number of lawsuits, details of which can be found in Note 15 to the Loral consolidated financial statements. Also, see SS/L relies on patents, and infringement by SS/L of third-party patents would increase its costs, and third parties may challenge its patents, above, for discussion of risks related to a lawsuit filed by ViaSat. In addition, we are involved in a number of disputes which might result in litigation. A decision against us in any of these lawsuits or disputes could have a material adverse effect on our, business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Corporate

We lease approximately 15,000 square feet of space for our corporate offices in New York.

Satellite Manufacturing

Headquartered in Palo Alto, California, with additional facilities located in nearby Menlo Park, Mountain View, and Sunnyvale, SS/L's campus as of December 31, 2011 encompasses 1.31 million square feet, approximately 564,000 square feet of which are owned and 749,000 square feet of which are leased, spanning 35 buildings on 77 acres. The obligations under the SS/L credit agreement are secured by a first mortgage on these owned properties.

The facilities were expanded in 2007 and 2008 to accommodate as many as nine to 13 satellite construction awards per year, depending on the complexity and timing of the specific satellites, and SS/L can accommodate the integration and testing of 13 to 14 satellites at any given time in its Palo Alto facility. At these facilities, SS/L is able to construct the entire satellite from design, to manufacturing, assembly, integration, testing, preparation for shipment to launch sites and orbit raising mission control at one location located in the heart of the Silicon Valley.

SS/L's Palo Alto facilities include four major high bays, dedicated to satellite assembly, system integration and testing of satellite platforms, communication panel assemblies and full satellite assemblies. Testing facilities include a 39-foot thermal vacuum chamber, a compact antenna test range, a near-field antenna test range, vibration test labs and a new multiplexer lab, allowing for timely scheduling of satellite testing and flexibility in accommodating backlog.

SS/L has upgraded and expanded its factory in support of increased manufacturing and production, including a new 21,000 square foot repeater products facility and investments in new equipment, tools and proprietary processes. SS/L employs modern manufacturing technologies, with a composites manufacturing facility to provide advanced materials development, and state of the art antenna reflectors and lightweight structural components. Avionics and power control units are manufactured and tested on site in a specialized facility. RF and electronics subassembly and subsystem manufacturing and integration facilities and a solar array manufacturing facility are also located at the Palo Alto campus. A nearly three-decades-long history of engineering, manufacturing and testing of solar arrays, solar array drive assemblies and batteries has also led to the development of specialized facilities on SS/L's campus.

SS/L's technologically advanced mission control center, with three separate control rooms, can support three launch campaigns simultaneously, from launch and orbit raising, through on-orbit testing. Emergency backup generators, as well as backup communication equipment, are kept at the ready during all campaigns to ensure the successful launch and on-orbit delivery of SS/L satellites.

SS/L also maintains secured spaces in our buildings in Palo Alto, meeting all security clearance requirements for its current classified government projects.

In addition to SS/L's facilities, SS/L has established good working relationships with corporations that have suitable additional facilities to meet its overflow requirements. SS/L has a close working relationship with the David Florida Laboratories in Ottawa, Canada for use of its thermal vacuum chamber and has a relationship with MacDonald, Dettwiler and Associates Ltd. to allow for use of its near field test facility for antenna subsystems.

Table of Contents

SS/L expects to spend approximately \$200 million over the three-year period ending December 31, 2013, including \$37 million of expenditures in 2011, related to an infrastructure campaign that includes the building of a second thermal vacuum chamber, completing certain building and systems modifications and purchasing additional test and satellite handling equipment to meet its contractual obligations more efficiently. Upon completion of this infrastructure campaign, we anticipate returning to a more customary level of annual capital expenditures of \$30 million to \$40 million, excluding major system upgrades caused by additional expansion or technology insertion.

SS/L believes that the facilities for satellite manufacturing are sufficient for current operations. Further, a single campus and small organization enables SS/L's leadership team to quickly communicate with employees throughout the organization, enables SS/L to engage in immediate cross-functional team problem solving when issues do arise, and enables employees to grow their careers in a variety of disciplines and functions.

Satellite Services

Telesat leases an area in its headquarters building of approximately 112,000 rentable square feet pursuant to a lease which commenced February 1, 2009 and provides for a fifteen year term (terminable by Telesat Canada at any time after ten years upon two years notice).

The Allan Park earth station, located northeast of Toronto, Ontario on 65 acres of land, houses a customer support center and a technical control center. This facility is the single point of contact for Telesat's international customers and is also the main earth station complex providing TT&C services for the satellites Telesat operates. The Allan Park earth station also houses Telesat's backup satellite control center for the Nimiq and Anik satellites.

In addition to these facilities, Telesat leases facilities for administrative and sales offices in various locations throughout Canada and the United States as well as in Brazil, England, the Netherlands and Singapore.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

We discuss certain legal proceedings pending against the Company in the notes to the Loral consolidated financial statements and refer you to that discussion for important information concerning those legal proceedings, including the basis for such actions and relief sought. See Note 15 to the Loral consolidated financial statements for this discussion.

Item 4. *Mine Safety Disclosures*

Not Applicable

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****(a) Market Price and Dividend Information**

Loral's amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that the total authorized capital stock of the Company is eighty million (80,000,000) shares consisting of two classes: (i) seventy million (70,000,000) shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, divided into two series, of which 50,000,000 shares are voting common stock and 20,000,000 shares are non-voting common stock and (ii) ten million (10,000,000) shares of preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share. Each share of voting common stock and each share of non-voting common stock are identical and are treated equally in all respects, except that the non-voting common stock does not have voting rights except as set forth in Article IV(a)(iv) of the amended and restated certificate of incorporation and as otherwise provided by law. Article IV(a)(iv) of Loral's amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that Article IV(a) of the amended and restated certificate of incorporation, which provides for, among other things, the equal treatment of the non-voting common stock with the voting common stock, may not be amended, altered or repealed without the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of the non-voting common stock, voting as a separate class. Except as otherwise provided in the amended and restated certificate of incorporation or bylaws of Loral, each holder of Loral voting common stock is entitled to one vote in respect of each share of Loral voting common stock held of record on all matters submitted to a vote of stockholders.

Holders of shares of Loral common stock are entitled to share equally, share for share in dividends when and as declared by the Board of Directors out of funds legally available for such dividends. Upon a liquidation, dissolution or winding up of Loral, the assets of Loral available to stockholders will be distributed equally per share to the holders of Loral common stock. The holders of Loral common stock do not have any cumulative voting rights. Loral common stock has no preemptive or conversion rights or other subscription rights. There are no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to Loral common stock. All outstanding shares of Loral common stock are fully paid and non-assessable.

Our voting common stock trades on the NASDAQ National Market under the ticker symbol LORL. The table below sets forth the high and low sales prices of Loral voting common stock as reported on the NASDAQ National Market from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2011.

	September 30, High	September 30, Low
Year ended December 31, 2011		
Quarter ended December 31, 2011	\$ 64.95	\$ 47.19
Quarter ended September 30, 2011	72.11	45.65
Quarter ended June 30, 2011	80.56	62.41
Quarter ended March 31, 2011	82.49	71.26
Year ended December 31, 2010		
Quarter ended December 31, 2010	\$ 85.16	\$ 51.30
Quarter ended September 30, 2010	56.85	41.53
Quarter ended June 30, 2010	45.45	33.30
Quarter ended March 31, 2010	36.55	26.35

There is no established trading market for the Company's non-voting common stock. All of the shares of non-voting common stock were issued pursuant to the exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act) provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

(b) Approximate Number of Holders of Common Stock

At February 17, 2012, there were 283 holders of record of our voting common stock and five holders of record of our non-voting common stock.

(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table provides information about share repurchases made by Loral of its voting common stock that are registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act during the fourth quarter of 2011. Repurchases are made from time to time at management's discretion in accordance with applicable federal securities laws. All share repurchases of Loral's voting common stock have been recorded as treasury shares.

	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	Total number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as publicly announced plans or programs	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs ⁽¹⁾
November 17-30, 2011	44,346	\$ 60.07	44,346	755,654
December 1-31, 2011	92,148	62.25	92,148	663,506
Total	136,494		136,494	

- (1) On November 14, 2011, Loral's Board of Directors approved a share purchase program that authorizes Loral to purchase up to 800,000 shares of its outstanding voting common stock.

Table of Contents

(d) Dividends

Loral's ability to pay dividends or distributions on its common stock will depend upon its earnings, financial condition and capital needs and other factors deemed pertinent by the Board of Directors. To date, Loral has not paid any dividends on its common stock.

(e) Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

See Note 11 to the Loral consolidated financial statements for information regarding the Company's stock compensation plan. Compensation information required by Item 11 will be presented in the Company's 2012 definitive proxy statement which is incorporated herein by reference.

(f) Comparison of Cumulative Total Returns

Set forth below is a graph comparing the cumulative performance of our common stock with the NASDAQ Composite Index and the NASDAQ Telecommunications Index from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2011. The graph assumes that \$100 was invested on December 31, 2006 in each of our voting common stock, the NASDAQ Composite Index and the NASDAQ Telecommunications Index and that all dividends were reinvested. The NASDAQ Telecommunications Index is a capitalization weighted index designed to measure the performance of all NASDAQ-traded stocks in the telecommunications sector, including satellite technology companies.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following table sets forth our selected historical financial and operating data for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2011.

Until October 31, 2007, the operations of our satellite services segment were conducted through Loral Skynet Corporation (Loral Skynet). On October 31, 2007, Loral and its Canadian partner, Public Sector Pension Investment Board (PSP), through Telesat Holdco, a then newly formed joint venture, completed the acquisition of Telesat from BCE Inc. (BCE). In connection with this acquisition, Loral transferred on that same date substantially all of the assets and related liabilities of Loral Skynet to Telesat. Loral Skynet has, therefore, been excluded from the selected financial data subsequent to October 31, 2007. We refer to this acquisition and transfer of assets and liabilities as the Telesat transaction.

The information set forth in the following table should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.**(In thousands, except per share data)**

	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2009	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2007
Statement of operations data:					
Revenues:					
Satellite Manufacturing	\$ 1,107,365	\$ 1,158,985	\$ 993,400	\$ 869,398	\$ 761,363
Satellite Services					121,091
Total Revenues	1,107,365	1,158,985	993,400	869,398	882,454
Operating income (loss) ⁽¹⁾	93,434	80,608	20,211	(193,977)	45,256
Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in net income (losses) of affiliates ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	109,990	93,094	26,975	(151,523)	157,786
Income tax (provision) benefit ⁽⁴⁾	(89,145)	308,622	(5,571)	(45,744)	(83,457)
Income (loss) before equity in net income (losses) of affiliates	20,845	401,716	21,404	(197,267)	74,329
Equity in net income (losses) of affiliates ⁽⁵⁾	106,329	85,625	210,298	(495,649)	(21,430)
Net income (loss)	127,174	487,341	231,702	(692,916)	52,899
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(497)	(495)			(23,240)
Net income (loss) attributable to Loral	126,677	486,846	231,702	(692,916)	29,659
Preferred dividends				(24,067)	(19,379)
Beneficial conversion feature related to the issuance of Loral Series A-1 Preferred Stock ⁽⁶⁾					(25,685)
Net income (loss) applicable to Loral's common shareholders	\$ 126,677	\$ 486,846	\$ 231,702	\$ (716,983)	\$ (15,405)
Basic and diluted income (loss) per share:					
Basic income (loss) per share	\$ 4.13	\$ 16.18	\$ 7.79	\$ (35.13)	\$ (0.77)
Diluted income (loss) per share	\$ 3.92	\$ 15.63	\$ 7.73	\$ (35.13)	\$ (0.77)

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Cash flow data:

Provided by (used in) operating activities	\$	57,994	\$	41,949	\$	154,562	\$	(202,210)	\$	27,123
(Used in) provided by investing activities		(4,037)		(54,057)		(48,750)		(47,308)		61,519
(Used in) provided by financing activities		(22,644)		9,704		(55,155)		52,372		39,510

	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010	September 30, December 31, 2009	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2007					
Balance sheet data:										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	197,114	\$	165,801	\$	168,205	\$	117,548	\$	314,694
Total assets		1,836,153		1,754,909		1,253,452		995,867		1,702,939
Debt, including current portion								55,000		
Non-current liabilities		485,598		414,013		380,143		381,836		289,602
Equity										
Loral shareholders equity	\$	946,459	\$	900,320	\$	431,991	\$	209,657	\$	973,558
Non-controlling interest		1,126		629						
Total equity	\$	947,585	\$	900,949	\$	431,991	\$	209,657	\$	973,558

(1) During 2008, we recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$187.9 million. In connection with the Telesat transaction, which closed on October 31, 2007, we recognized a gain of \$104.9 million in 2007 on the contribution of substantially all of the assets and related liabilities of Loral Skynet to Telesat. See Note 7 to the Loral consolidated financial statements.

(2) In connection with the Telesat transaction during 2007, we recognized a gain on foreign exchange contracts of \$89.4 million.

Table of Contents

- (3) During 2008, we recorded income of \$58.3 million related to a gain on litigation recovery from Rainbow DBS and a loss of \$19.5 million related to the award of attorneys' fees and expenses to the plaintiffs for shareholder litigation concluded during 2008.
- (4) During the fourth quarter of 2010, we determined, based on all available evidence, that a full valuation allowance was no longer required on our deferred tax assets and, therefore, \$335.3 million of the valuation allowance was reversed as an income tax benefit (see Note 10 to the Loral consolidated financial statements).
- (5) Beginning October 31, 2007, our principal affiliate is Telesat. Loral also has investments in XTAR and joint ventures providing Globalstar service, which are accounted for under the equity method. On December 21, 2007, Loral agreed to sell its interest in Globalstar do Brasil S.A. which resulted in Loral recording a charge of \$11.3 million in 2007.
- (6) As of December 23, 2008, in accordance with a court ordered restated certificate of incorporation, the previously issued Loral Series-1 Preferred stock was cancelled and converted to non-voting common stock. As the fair value of Loral's common stock from January 1 to December 23, 2008 was less than the conversion price (\$30.1504), we did not record any beneficial conversion feature during 2008.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements (the "financial statements") included in Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Loral Space & Communications Inc., a Delaware corporation, together with its subsidiaries is a leading satellite communications company engaged in satellite manufacturing with ownership interests in satellite-based communications services.

On October 31, 2007, Loral and its Canadian Partner, Public Sector Pension Investment Board ("PSP"), through Telesat Holdings, Inc. ("Telesat Holdco"), a then newly-formed joint venture, completed the acquisition of Telesat Canada ("Telesat") from BCE Inc. ("BCE"). In connection with this acquisition, Loral transferred on that same date substantially all of the assets and related liabilities of Loral Skynet Corporation ("Loral Skynet") to Telesat. Loral holds a 64% economic interest and 33 $\frac{1}{3}$ % voting interest in Telesat Holdco. Loral accounts for this investment using the equity method of accounting.

We refer to the acquisition of Telesat and the related transfer of Loral Skynet to Telesat as the Telesat transaction.

Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Except for the historical information contained in the following discussion and analysis, the matters discussed below are not historical facts, but are forward-looking statements as that term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. In addition, we or our representatives have made and may continue to make forward-looking statements, orally or in writing, in other contexts. These forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as believes, expects, plans, may, will, would, could, should, anticipates, estimates, project, intend, or outlook or other variations of these words. These statements, including without limitation those relating to Telesat, are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties that are difficult to predict or quantify. Actual events or results may differ materially as a result of a wide variety of factors and conditions, many of which are beyond our control. For a detailed discussion of these and other factors and conditions, please refer to the Commitments and Contingencies section below and to our other periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). We operate in an industry sector in which the value of securities may be volatile and may be influenced by economic and other factors beyond our control. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents

Overview

Businesses

Loral has two segments, satellite manufacturing and satellite services. Loral participates in satellite services operations principally through its ownership interest in Telesat.

Satellite Manufacturing

Space Systems/Loral, Inc. (SS/L) is a designer, manufacturer and integrator of powerful satellites and satellite systems for commercial and government customers worldwide. SS/L's design, engineering and manufacturing capabilities have allowed it to develop a large portfolio of highly engineered, mission-critical satellites and secure a strong industry presence. This position provides SS/L with the ability to produce satellites that meet a broad range of customer requirements for broadband internet service to the home, mobile video and internet service, broadcast feeds for television and radio distribution, phone service, civil and defense communications, direct-to-home television broadcast, satellite radio, telecommunications backhaul and trunking, weather and environment monitoring and air traffic control. In addition, SS/L has applied its design and manufacturing expertise to produce spacecraft subsystems, such as batteries for the International Space Station, and to integrate government and other add-on missions on commercial satellites, which are referred to as hosted payloads.

As of December 31, 2011, SS/L had \$1.4 billion in backlog for 22 satellites for customers including, among others, Intelsat Global S.A., SES S.A., Telesat Holdings Inc., Hispasat, S.A., EchoStar Corporation, Sirius-XM Satellite Radio, TerreStar Networks, Inc., Asia Satellite Telecommunications Co. Ltd., Hughes Network Systems, LLC, ViaSat, Inc., Eutelsat/ictQatar, DIRECTV, Sing Tel Optus, Satélites Mexicanos, S.A. de C.V., Asia Broadcast Satellite and Telenor Satellite Broadcasting. From January 1, 2012 to February 15, 2012, SS/L was awarded contracts for three satellites, including two satellites for NBN Co. Limited.

Satellite demand is driven by fleet replacement cycles, increased video, internet and data bandwidth demand and new satellite applications. SS/L expects its future success to derive from maintaining and expanding its share of the satellite construction contracts of its existing customers based on its engineering, technical and manufacturing leadership; its value proposition and record of reliability; the increased demand for new applications requiring high power and capacity satellites such as HDTV, 3-D TV and broadband; and SS/L's expansion of governmental contracts based on its record of reliability and experience with fixed-price contract manufacturing. We also expect SS/L to benefit from the increased revenues from larger and more complex satellites.

The costs of satellite manufacturing include costs for material, subcontracts, direct labor and manufacturing overhead. Due to the long lead times required for certain of our purchased parts, and the desire to obtain volume-related price concessions, SS/L has entered into various purchase commitments with suppliers in advance of receipt of a satellite order. SS/L's costs for material and subcontracts have been relatively stable and are generally provided by suppliers with which SS/L has a long-established history. The number of available suppliers and the cost of qualifying the component for use in a space environment to SS/L's unique requirements limit the flexibility and advantages inherent in multiple sourcing options.

Satellite manufacturers have high fixed costs relating primarily to labor and overhead. Based on its current cost structure, we estimate that SS/L covers its fixed costs, including depreciation and amortization, with an average of four to five satellite awards a year depending on the size, power, pricing and complexity of the satellite. Cash flow in the satellite manufacturing business tends to be uneven. It takes two to three years to complete a satellite project and numerous assumptions are built into the estimated costs. SS/L's cash receipts are tied to the achievement of contract milestones that depend in part on the ability of its subcontractors to deliver on time. In addition, the timing of satellite awards is difficult to predict, contributing to the unevenness of revenue and making it more challenging to align the workforce to the workflow.

Table of Contents

While its requirement for ongoing capital investment to maintain its current capacity is relatively low, SS/L expects to spend approximately \$200 million over the three-year period ending December 31, 2013, including \$37 million of expenditures in 2011, related to an infrastructure campaign that includes the building of a second thermal vacuum chamber, completing certain building and systems modifications and purchasing additional test and satellite handling equipment to meet its contractual obligations more efficiently. Upon completion of this infrastructure campaign, we anticipate returning to a more customary level of annual capital expenditures of \$30 million to \$40 million, excluding major system upgrades caused by additional expansion or technology insertion.

The satellite manufacturing industry is a knowledge-intensive business, the success of which relies heavily on its technological heritage and the skills of its workforce. The breadth and depth of talent and experience resident in SS/L's workforce of approximately 2,900 personnel is one of our key competitive resources.

Satellites are extraordinarily complex devices designed to operate in the very hostile environment of space. This complexity may lead to unanticipated costs during the design, manufacture and testing of a satellite. SS/L establishes provisions for costs based on historical experience and program complexity to cover anticipated costs. As most of SS/L's contracts are fixed price, cost increases in excess of these provisions reduce profitability and may result in losses to SS/L, which may be material. Because the satellite manufacturing industry is highly competitive, buyers have the advantage over suppliers in negotiating prices, and terms and conditions resulting in reduced margins and increased assumptions of risk by manufacturers such as SS/L.

Satellite Services

Loral holds a 64% economic interest and a 33 1/3% voting interest in Telesat, the world's fourth largest satellite operator with approximately \$5.3 billion of backlog as of December 31, 2011.

Telesat is a leading global fixed satellite services operator, with offices and facilities around the world. Telesat provides its satellite and communication services from a fleet of satellites that occupy Canadian and other orbital locations.

The satellite services business is capital intensive and the build-out of a satellite fleet requires substantial time and investment. Once the investment in a satellite is made, the incremental costs to maintain and operate the satellite is relatively low over the life of the satellite with the exception of in-orbit insurance. Telesat has been able to generate a large contracted revenue backlog by entering into long-term contracts with some of its customers for all or substantially all of a satellite's life. Historically, this has resulted in revenue from the satellite services business being fairly predictable.

At December 31, 2011, Telesat provided satellite services to customers from its fleet of 12 in-orbit satellites. In addition, Telesat owns the Canadian Ka-band payload on the ViaSat-1 satellite which was launched in October 2011. Telesat currently has two satellites under construction: Nimiq 6, which Telesat anticipates will be launched in the first half of 2012, and Anik G1, which Telesat anticipates will be launched in the second half of 2012.

Telesat's commitment to providing strong customer service and its focus on innovation and technical expertise has allowed it to successfully build its business to date. Building on its existing contractual revenue backlog, Telesat's focus is on taking disciplined steps to grow its core business and sell newly launched and existing in-orbit satellite services, and, in a disciplined manner, use the cash flow generated by existing business, contracted expansion satellites and cost savings to strengthen the business.

Telesat believes its satellites offer a strong combination of existing revenue backlog, revenue growth and a strong foundation upon which it will seek to continue to grow its revenue and cash flows. The growth is expected to come from the Canadian payload on the ViaSat-1 satellite, its Nimiq 6 satellite, its Anik G1 satellite, and the sale of available capacity on its existing in-orbit satellites.

Telesat believes that it is well-positioned to serve its customers and the markets in which it participates. Telesat actively pursues opportunities to develop new satellites, particularly in conjunction with current or prospective customers, who will commit to long term service agreements prior to the time the satellite construction contract is signed. Although Telesat regularly pursues opportunities to develop new satellites, it does not procure additional or replacement satellites until it believes there is a demonstrated need and a sound business plan for such satellite capacity.

Table of Contents

Telesat anticipates that it can increase revenue without a proportional increase in operating expenses, allowing for operating margin expansion. The satellite services business is capital intensive and the build-out of a satellite fleet requires substantial time and investment. Once the investment in a satellite is made, the incremental cost to maintain and operate the satellite is relatively low over the life of the satellite, with the exception of in-orbit insurance. The relatively fixed cost nature of the business, combined with contracted revenue growth and other growth opportunities, is expected to produce growth in income and operating cash flow.

In 2012, Telesat will remain focused on: increasing utilization on its existing satellites, continuing construction of the satellites it is currently procuring, securing additional customer requirements to support the procurement of additional satellites and maintaining cost and operating discipline.

On April 11, 2011, Telesat acquired from Loral the Canadian payload on the ViaSat-1 satellite and a 15-year revenue contract with Xplornet Communications Inc. to make use of the payload. The ViaSat-1 satellite was successfully launched in October 2011 and entered into commercial service in December 2011.

Telesat determined that following the launch in May 2011 of the Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2 satellite, the satellite's north solar array failed to fully deploy. The north solar array anomaly has diminished the amount of power available for the satellite's transponders and has reduced the life expectancy of the satellite. However, the satellite will support all of the existing services to customers formerly provided by Telstar 14/Estrela do Sul, the satellite it replaced at 63° West Longitude, as well as provide some additional capacity for expansion.

Telesat has insurance policies that provide coverage for a total, constructive total or partial loss of Telstar 14R /Estrela do Sul 2. During the third quarter of 2011, Telesat filed a claim under its policies with its insurers. In December 2011, Telesat received insurance proceeds in the amount of \$132.7 million. The proceeds will be reinvested in satellite procurements in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Credit Agreement.

Telesat's operating results are subject to fluctuations as a result of exchange rate variations. Approximately 47% of Telesat's revenues received in Canada for the year ended December 31, 2011, a large portion of its expenses and a substantial portion of its indebtedness and capital expenditures were denominated in U.S. dollars. The most significant impact of variations in the exchange rate is on the U.S. dollar denominated debt financing. A five percent change in the value of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar at December 31, 2011 would have increased or decreased Telesat's net income for the year ended December 31, 2011 by approximately \$155 million. During the period from October 31, 2007 to December 31, 2011, Telesat's U.S. term loan facility, senior notes and senior subordinated notes have increased by approximately \$192 million due to the stronger U.S. dollar. During that same time period, however, the liability created by the fair value of the currency basis swap, which synthetically converts \$1.054 billion of the U.S. term loan facility debt into CAD 1.224 billion of debt, decreased by approximately \$158 million.

Strategic Developments

Telesat's Board of Directors and shareholders have authorized management to explore a refinancing/recapitalization transaction, which, if consummated, could result in, among other things, the incurrence by Telesat of up to approximately CAD 530 million of additional debt and payments to Telesat's option holders and distributions to Telesat's shareholders of up to approximately CAD 705 million, of which up to approximately CAD 420 million would be paid to Loral. Among the factors that may affect the determination whether to proceed with this potential transaction are market conditions for refinancing and incurrence of additional indebtedness. If any transaction results in receipt of proceeds by Loral, Loral would evaluate all alternatives for the use of such proceeds, including stock repurchases and/or a dividend to Loral stockholders.

With regard to SS/L, Loral has been exploring various strategic initiatives relating to the separation of its satellite manufacturing subsidiary from Loral, including a potential spin-off as well as other strategic alternatives. In connection with a potential spin-off, the Loral Board of Directors previously formed a committee of independent directors to negotiate and approve the terms and conditions of the stock that would be distributed in respect of the Company's non-voting common stock pursuant to a spin-off of SS/L and to evaluate alternatives with respect thereto. The Company is considering alternatives to a spin-off for the separation of SS/L from Loral and, as a result, the Company has asked the committee to defer further work on its assignment.

There can be no assurance whether or when any transaction involving Loral, Telesat or SS/L will occur.

Table of Contents*General*

We regularly explore and evaluate possible other strategic transactions and alliances. We also periodically engage in discussions with satellite service providers, satellite manufacturers and others regarding such matters, which may include joint ventures and strategic relationships as well as business combinations or the acquisition or disposition of assets. In order to pursue certain of these opportunities, we will require additional funds. There can be no assurance that we will enter into additional strategic transactions or alliances, nor do we know if we will be able to obtain the necessary financing for these transactions on favorable terms, if at all.

In 2008, Loral agreed to purchase the Canadian coverage portion of the ViaSat-1 satellite, which was successfully launched in October 2011. The ViaSat-1 satellite is a high capacity Ka-band spot beam satellite for broadband services that was launched into the 115° West longitude orbital location. Loral also entered into an agreement with Xplornet, Canada's largest rural broadband provider, to deliver high throughput satellite Ka-band capacity for broadband services in Canada. Under the agreement, Xplornet agreed to contract with Loral for the Canadian capacity on the ViaSat-1 satellite and associated gateway services for the expected life of the satellite, now projected to commence in late 2011 or early 2012, and Loral agreed to construct and operate four gateways in Canada. Approximately \$50 million had been invested by Loral through April 11, 2011. A portion of these costs was funded by prepayments in 2010 from Xplornet of CAD 2.5 million as required under the agreement. On April 11, 2011, Loral assigned its investment in the Canadian broadband business, including the Canadian coverage portion of the ViaSat-1 satellite, to Telesat for \$13 million plus reimbursement of approximately \$48 million, representing Loral's net costs incurred through the closing date (see Note 17 to the financial statements). In addition, in connection with the assignment, Telesat agreed that if it obtains certain supplemental capacity on the payload, Loral will be entitled to receive, for four years, one-half of any net revenue actually earned by Telesat on such supplemental capacity.

In connection with the acquisition of our ownership interest in Telesat in 2007, Loral has agreed that, subject to certain exceptions described in Telesat's shareholders agreement, for so long as Loral has an interest in Telesat, it will not compete in the business of leasing, selling or otherwise furnishing fixed satellite service, broadcast satellite service or audio and video broadcast direct to home service using transponder capacity in the C-band, Ku-band and Ka-band (including in each case extended band) frequencies and the business of providing end-to-end data solutions on networks comprised of earth terminals, space segment, and, where appropriate, networking hubs.

Consolidated Operating Results

Please refer to Critical Accounting Matters set forth below in this section.

The following discussion of revenues and Adjusted EBITDA (see Note 16 to the financial statements) reflects the results of our business segments for 2011, 2010 and 2009. The balance of the discussion relates to our consolidated results unless otherwise noted.

The common definition of EBITDA is Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization. In evaluating financial performance, we use revenues and operating income before depreciation, amortization and stock-based compensation (excluding stock-based compensation from SS/L phantom stock appreciation rights expected to be settled in cash), gain on disposition of net assets and directors' indemnification expense (Adjusted EBITDA) as the measure of a segment's profit or loss. Adjusted EBITDA is equivalent to the common definition of EBITDA before: gain on disposition of net assets; directors' indemnification expense; gains or losses on litigation not related to our operations; other expense; and equity in net income of affiliates.

Adjusted EBITDA allows us and investors to compare our operating results with that of competitors exclusive of depreciation and amortization, interest and investment income, interest expense, gain on disposition of net assets, directors' indemnification expense, gains or losses on litigation not related to our operations, other expense and equity in net income of affiliates. Financial results of competitors in our industry have significant variations that can result from timing of capital expenditures, the amount of intangible assets recorded, the differences in assets lives, the timing and amount of investments, the effects of other expense, which are typically for non-recurring transactions not related to the on-going business, and effects of investments not directly managed. The use of Adjusted EBITDA allows us and investors to compare operating results exclusive of these items. Competitors in our industry have significantly different capital structures. The use of Adjusted EBITDA maintains comparability of performance by excluding interest expense.

Table of Contents

We believe the use of Adjusted EBITDA along with U.S. GAAP financial measures enhances the understanding of our operating results and is useful to us and investors in comparing performance with competitors, estimating enterprise value and making investment decisions. Adjusted EBITDA as used here may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by competitors. We also use Adjusted EBITDA to evaluate operating performance of our segments, to allocate resources and capital to such segments, to measure performance for incentive compensation programs and to evaluate future growth opportunities. Adjusted EBITDA should be used in conjunction with U.S. GAAP financial measures and is not presented as an alternative to cash flow from operations as a measure of our liquidity or as an alternative to net income as an indicator of our operating performance.

Loral has two segments: Satellite Manufacturing and Satellite Services. Our segment reporting data includes unconsolidated affiliates that meet the reportable segment criteria. The Satellite Services segment includes 100% of the results reported by Telesat for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009. Although we analyze Telesat's revenue and expenses under the Satellite Services segment, we eliminate its results in our consolidated financial statements, where we report our 64% share of Telesat's results under the equity method of accounting.

The following reconciles Revenues and Adjusted EBITDA on a segment basis to the information as reported in our financial statements (in millions):

Revenues:

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In millions)	September 30, 2009
Satellite Manufacturing	\$ 1,108.2	\$ 1,165.1	\$ 1,008.7
Satellite Services	817.3	797.3	691.6
Segment revenues	1,925.5	1,962.4	1,700.3
Eliminations ⁽¹⁾	(0.8)	(6.1)	(15.3)
Affiliate eliminations ⁽²⁾	(817.3)	(797.3)	(691.6)
Revenues as reported ⁽³⁾	\$ 1,107.4	\$ 1,159.0	\$ 993.4

See explanations below for Notes 1, 2 and 3.

Changes in revenues from period to period are influenced by the size, timing and number of satellite contracts awarded in the current and preceding years and the length of the construction period for satellite contracts awarded. Revenues are recognized on the cost-to-cost percentage of completion method over the construction period, which usually ranges between 24 and 36 months. Large satellites with significant new development can require up to 48 months for completion.

Revenues from Satellite Manufacturing before eliminations decreased \$57 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010, due to an \$81 million reduction in revenues generated by the percentage of completion effect of lower costs incurred in 2011 resulting from the timing of manufacturing activity and the average size and profitability of satellites under construction during the period and the Telstar 14R anomaly impact of \$13 million, partially offset by improved factory efficiency (which reduces the estimated cost to complete and increases the percentage of completion and the revenue recognized) of \$37 million. Eliminations for the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 consist primarily of revenue applicable to Loral's interest in a portion of the payload of the ViaSat-1 satellite which was being constructed by SS/L (see Note 17 to the financial statements). Eliminations decreased in 2011 due to the sale of Loral's portion of the ViaSat-1 payload on April 11, 2011.

Satellite Services segment revenue increased by \$20 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010 primarily due to the impact of the change in the U.S. dollar/Canadian dollar exchange rate on Canadian dollar denominated revenues. In addition, revenue growth in Telesat's international enterprise activities and in its Infosat subsidiary was partially offset by a scheduled rate reduction on a long-term contract. Satellite Services segment revenues excluding foreign exchange impact would have increased by approximately \$3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared with 2010.

Table of Contents

Revenues from Satellite Manufacturing before eliminations increased \$156 million for 2010 as compared to 2009, due to \$112 million of higher revenues generated by increased satellite contract awards, improved factory performance (which reduces the estimated cost to complete and increases the percentage of completion and the revenue recognized) of \$59 million and a \$5 million increase in performance incentives earned, net of penalties, partially offset by a revenue decrease of \$20 million from prior year contract scope additions, which generated higher revenues in 2009. Eliminations for 2010 and 2009 consist primarily of revenue applicable to Loral's interest in a portion of the payload of the ViaSat-1 satellite which is being constructed by SS/L (see Note 17 to the financial statements).

Satellite Services segment revenue increased by \$106 million for 2010 as compared to 2009 primarily due to the impact of the change in the U.S. dollar/Canadian dollar exchange rate on Canadian dollar denominated revenues, settlements from two terminated contracts, an increase in equipment sales due to the completion of a significant project, growth in Telstar 18 service, the full year effect of Nimiq 5 and increased revenue from Telstar 11N, partially offset by the termination of leasehold interests in Telstar 10, the removal of Nimiq 3 from service and decreased revenue from services provided to the automotive industry. Satellite Services segment revenues would have increased by approximately \$63 million for 2010 as compared with 2009 if the U.S. dollar/Canadian dollar exchange rate had been unchanged between the two periods.

Adjusted EBITDA:

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In millions)	September 30, 2009
Satellite Manufacturing	\$ 137.7	\$ 143.1	\$ 90.6
Satellite Services	629.2	606.7	488.1
Corporate expenses	(17.2)	(17.9)	(21.4)
Segment Adjusted EBITDA before eliminations	749.7	731.9	557.3
Eliminations ⁽¹⁾	(0.3)	(1.5)	(1.7)
Affiliate eliminations ⁽²⁾	(629.2)	(606.7)	(488.1)
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 120.2	\$ 123.7	\$ 67.5

See explanations below for Notes 1 and 2.

Satellite Manufacturing segment Adjusted EBITDA decreased \$5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared with the year ended December 31, 2010. The decrease was primarily due to a \$14 million increase in research and development expenses, a \$13 million increase in marketing expenses primarily as a result of increased proposal activity, a \$27 million reduction that resulted from the lower profitability on the mix of satellites under construction in 2011 and the Telstar 14R anomaly impact of \$13 million, partially offset by margin increases of \$35 million from improved factory efficiency and \$27 million as a result of a loss recorded in 2010 on a 2010 contract award. The Adjusted EBITDA margin remained the same at 12% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Satellite Services segment Adjusted EBITDA increased by \$23 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 primarily due to the revenue increase described above and cost reductions related to operating discipline, lower revenue related expenses and lower in-orbit insurance premiums, partially offset by the impact of U.S. dollar/Canadian dollar exchange rate on Canadian dollar denominated expenses and increased cost of equipment sales. Satellite Services segment Adjusted EBITDA excluding foreign exchange impact would have increased by \$10 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared with the year ended December 31, 2010.

Corporate expenses decreased by approximately \$1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 primarily due to reduced fringe expenses related to stock-based compensation.

Table of Contents

Satellite Manufacturing segment Adjusted EBITDA increased \$53 million for 2010 compared with 2009. The increase consists of \$55 million from improved factory performance, \$35 million from the increased sales volume, \$9 million from performance incentives earned, net of penalties and a \$4 million decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses (other than depreciation and amortization), partially offset by a decrease of \$20 million from prior year contract scope additions, a \$27 million loss resulting from a contract award in the third quarter of 2010 and a \$3 million increase in stock-based compensation from SS/L phantom stock appreciation rights that are expected to be paid in cash. As a result, the Adjusted EBITDA margin increased to 12% in 2010 from 9% in 2009.

Satellite Services segment Adjusted EBITDA increased by \$119 million for 2010 as compared to 2009 primarily due to the revenue increase described above, expense reductions as a result of efficiencies gained from restructuring, reductions in third party satellite capacity, elimination of expenses associated with decreased revenue from services provided to the automotive industry and restructuring charges of \$3 million in 2009, partially offset by the impact of the U.S. dollar/Canadian dollar exchange rate on Canadian dollar denominated expenses. Satellite Services segment Adjusted EBITDA would have increased by approximately \$87 million for 2010 as compared with 2009 if the U.S. dollar/Canadian dollar exchange rate had been unchanged between the two periods.

Corporate expenses decreased for 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to a \$4 million reduction in deferred compensation expense because the maximum award under the deferred compensation plan was reached in 2009, and a \$2 million decrease in legal fees, partially offset by a \$2 million increase in stock-based compensation from SS/L phantom stock appreciation rights that are expected to be paid in cash.

Reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to Net Income:

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In millions)	September 30, 2009
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 120.2	\$ 123.7	\$ 67.5
Depreciation, amortization and stock-based compensation ⁽⁴⁾	(33.7)	(36.3)	(47.3)
Gain on disposition of net assets	6.9		
Directors' indemnification expense ⁽⁵⁾		(6.8)	
Operating income	93.4	80.6	20.2
Interest and investment income	21.4	13.5	8.3
Interest expense	(2.7)	(3.1)	(1.4)
Gain on litigation ⁽⁶⁾	4.5	5.0	
Other expense	(6.6)	(2.9)	(0.1)
Income tax (provision) benefit ⁽⁷⁾	(89.1)	308.6	(5.6)
Equity in net income of affiliates	106.3	85.6	210.3
Net income	\$ 127.2	\$ 487.3	\$ 231.7

(1) Represents the elimination of intercompany sales and intercompany Adjusted EBITDA, primarily for satellites under construction by SS/L for Loral and its wholly owned subsidiaries.

(2) Represents the elimination of amounts attributed to Telesat whose results are reported in our consolidated statements of operations as equity in net income of affiliates.

(3) Includes revenues from affiliates of \$140.0 million, \$137.2 million and \$92.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(4)

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Includes non-cash stock-based compensation of \$1.2 million, \$2.5 million and \$7.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively (see Note 11 to the financial statements).

- (5) Represents the indemnification of legal expenses, net of insurance recovery, incurred by MHR-affiliated directors in defense of claims asserted against them in their capacity as directors of Loral.
- (6) Represents income from directors and officers insurance recoveries related to plaintiffs fees in shareholder litigation.
- (7) During the fourth quarter of 2010, we determined, based on all available evidence, that a full valuation allowance was no longer required on our deferred tax assets and, therefore, \$335.3 million of the valuation allowance was reversed as an income tax benefit (see Note 10 to the financial statements).

Table of Contents**2011 Compared with 2010 and 2010 Compared with 2009**

The following compares our consolidated results for 2011, 2010 and 2009 as presented in our financial statements:

Revenue from Satellite Manufacturing

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In millions)	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2011 vs. 2010	September 30, 2010 vs. 2009
				% Increase (Decrease)	
Revenue from Satellite Manufacturing	\$ 1,108	\$ 1,165	\$ 1,008	(5%)	16%
Eliminations	(1)	(6)	(15)	(83%)	(60%)
Revenue from Satellite Manufacturing as reported	\$ 1,107	\$ 1,159	\$ 993	(4%)	17%

Revenues from Satellite Manufacturing before eliminations decreased \$57 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010, due to an \$81 million reduction in revenues generated by the percentage of completion effect of lower costs incurred in 2011 resulting from the timing of manufacturing activity and the average size and profitability of satellites under construction during the period and the Telstar 14R anomaly impact of \$13 million, partially offset by improved factory efficiency (which reduces the estimated cost to complete and increases the percentage of completion and the revenue recognized) of \$37 million. Eliminations for the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 consist primarily of revenue applicable to Loral's interest in a portion of the payload of the ViaSat-1 satellite which was being constructed by SS/L (see Note 17 to the financial statements). Eliminations decreased in 2011 due to the sale of Loral's portion of the ViaSat-1 payload on April 11, 2011. As a result, revenues from Satellite Manufacturing as reported decreased \$52 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010.

Revenues from Satellite Manufacturing before eliminations increased for 2010 as compared to 2009 due to \$112 million of higher revenues generated by increased satellite contract awards, improved factory performance (which reduces the estimated cost to complete and increases the percentage of completion and the revenue recognized) of \$59 million and a \$5 million increase in performance incentives earned, net of penalties, partially offset by a revenue decrease of \$20 million from prior year contract scope additions, which generated higher revenues in 2009. Eliminations for 2010 and 2009 consist primarily of revenue applicable to Loral's interest in a portion of the payload of the ViaSat-1 satellite which is being constructed by SS/L (see Note 17 to the financial statements). As a result, revenues from Satellite Manufacturing as reported increased \$166 million for 2010 as compared to 2009.

Cost of Satellite Manufacturing

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In millions)	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2011 vs. 2010	September 30, 2010 vs. 2009
				% Increase (Decrease)	
Cost of Satellite Manufacturing	\$ 909	\$ 987	\$ 880	(8%)	12%
Cost of Satellite Manufacturing as a % of Satellite Manufacturing revenues as	82%	85%	89%		

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

reported

Cost of Satellite Manufacturing decreased by \$78 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 as a result of a \$48 million decrease from the timing of manufacturing activity, a \$24 million decrease from a loss recorded in 2010 on a 2010 contract award and a \$5 million reduction in depreciation and amortization.

Cost of Satellite Manufacturing increased by \$107 million for 2010 as compared to 2009 as a result of a \$92 million increase from the higher sales volume and the \$27 million loss from a contract award in the third quarter of 2010, partially offset by a \$7 million decrease in amortization and a \$2 million decrease in stock-based compensation.

Table of Contents**Selling, General and Administrative Expenses**

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In millions)	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2011 vs. 2010	September 30, 2010 vs. 2009
Selling, general and administrative expenses	112	85	93	32%	(4%)
% of revenues as reported	10%	7%	9%		

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$27 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, primarily due to a \$13 million increase in marketing expenses primarily as a result of increased proposal activity and a \$14 million increase in research and development expenses.

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by \$8 million for 2010 as compared to 2009, primarily due to a \$5 million reduction in deferred compensation expense because the maximum award under the deferred compensation plan was reached in 2009, a \$3 million decrease in research and development expenses, a \$3 million increase in the allowance for billed receivables in the third quarter of 2009 and a \$2 million decrease in legal fees, partially offset by a \$4 million increase in new business acquisition expenses and a \$3 million increase in stock-based compensation.

Gain on Disposition of Net Assets

Gain on disposition of net assets for the year ended December 31, 2011 represents the gain associated with the sale of Loral's portion of the ViaSat-1 payload and related net assets to Telesat, net of the elimination of Loral's 64% ownership interest in Telesat.

Directors' Indemnification Expense

Directors' indemnification expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 represents our indemnification of legal expenses incurred by MHR-affiliated directors in defense of claims asserted against them in their capacity as directors of Loral, net of directors and officers insurance recoveries (see Note 15 to the financial statements).

Interest and Investment Income

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In millions)	September 30, 2009
Interest and investment income	\$ 21	\$ 14	\$ 8

Interest and investment income increased by \$7 million for 2011 as compared to 2010, primarily due to \$5 million of increased interest income on long-term orbital receivables as a result of satellite launches and interest income on directors and officers liability insurance claims.

Interest and investment income increased by \$6 million for 2010 as compared to 2009, primarily due to increased interest income on long-term orbital receivables as a result of satellite launches.

Table of Contents**Interest Expense**

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In millions)	September 30, 2009
--	-----------------------	--	-----------------------

Interest expense	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 1
------------------	------	------	------

Interest expense for 2011, 2010 and 2009 consists primarily of fees and amortization of issuance costs related to the SS/L credit agreement and the interest related to the ChinaSat transponders. Interest expense for 2009 includes a \$1 million reversal of interest expense previously recorded due to the favorable resolution of a contingent liability.

Gain on Litigation

For each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we recorded income of \$5.0 million from directors and officers insurance recoveries related to plaintiffs fees for shareholders litigation arising from the issuance of our Series-1 Preferred Stock which was concluded during 2008 (see Note 15 to the financial statements).

Other Expense

Other expense for the year ended December 31, 2011, includes expenses related to the evaluation of strategic alternatives for SS/L and preparation for a potential spin-off of SS/L.

Other expense for the year ended December 31, 2010, includes expenses related to the evaluation of strategic alternatives for SS/L and preparation and filing of registration statements and amendments related to a potential initial public offering of SS/L, partially offset by the reversal of a liability related to the sale of certain assets in a prior year.

Income Tax Provision

Until the fourth quarter of 2010, we maintained a 100% valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets except with regard to the deferred tax assets related to AMT credit carryforwards. During the fourth quarter of 2010, we determined, based on all available evidence, that it was more likely than not that we would realize the benefit from a significant portion of our deferred tax assets in the future, and therefore, a full valuation allowance was no longer required. Accordingly, during the fourth quarter of 2010, we reversed \$335.3 million of our valuation allowance as a deferred income tax benefit. As of December 31, 2011, we maintained a valuation allowance of \$10.9 million against our deferred tax assets for certain tax credit and loss carryovers due to the limited carryforward periods and character of such attributes and will continue to maintain such valuation allowance until sufficient positive evidence exists to support its full or partial reversal.

For 2011, we recorded a current tax provision of \$19.9 million (which included a provision of \$17.1 million to increase our liability for uncertain tax positions (UTPs)) and a deferred tax provision of \$69.2 million (which included a benefit of \$17.9 million for UTPs), resulting in a total provision of \$89.1 million on pre-tax income of \$110.0 million. For 2010, we recorded a current tax provision of \$16.6 million (which included a provision of \$11.5 million to increase our liability for UTPs) and a deferred tax benefit of \$325.2 million (which included a benefit of \$14.1 million for UTPs), resulting in a total tax benefit of \$308.6 million on pre-tax income of \$93.1 million. For 2009, we recorded a current tax provision of \$5.8 million (which included a provision of \$2.3 million to increase our liability for UTPs) and a deferred tax benefit of \$0.2 million, resulting in a total provision of \$5.6 million on pre-tax income of \$27.0 million.

For 2011, the additional provision is primarily attributable to the impact of our equity in net income of Telesat on the deferred income tax provision after having reversed our valuation allowance in the fourth quarter of 2010.

See Critical Accounting Matters *Taxation* below for discussion of our accounting method for income taxes.

Table of Contents**Equity in Net Income of Affiliates**

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In millions)	September 30, 2009
Telesat	\$ 114.5	\$ 92.8	\$ 213.2
XTAR	(6.7)	(7.0)	(2.7)
Other	(1.5)	(0.2)	(0.2)
	\$ 106.3	\$ 85.6	\$ 210.3

Equity in net income of affiliates for the year ended December 31, 2011, includes a charge of \$1.5 million to reduce the carrying value of our investment in an affiliate to zero based on our determination that the investment has been impaired and the impairment is other than temporary.

Loral's equity in net income of Telesat is based on our proportionate share of Telesat's results in accordance with U.S. GAAP and in U.S. dollars. The amortization of Telesat fair value adjustments applicable to the Loral Skynet assets and liabilities acquired by Telesat in 2007 is proportionately eliminated in determining our share of the net income of Telesat. Our equity in net income of Telesat also reflects the elimination of our profit, to the extent of our beneficial interest, on satellites we are constructing for Telesat.

Summary financial information for Telesat in accordance with U.S. GAAP and in Canadian dollars (CAD) and U.S. dollars (\$) for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 and as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 follows (in millions):

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31 2010 (In Canadian dollars)	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31 2010 (In U.S. dollars)	September 30, 2009
Statement of Operations Data:						
Revenues	808.4	821.4	788.7	817.3	797.3	691.6
Operating expenses	(186.0)	(196.5)	(232.0)	(188.1)	(190.7)	(203.4)
Depreciation, amortization and stock-based compensation	(245.3)	(256.8)	(262.5)	(248.0)	(249.3)	(230.2)
Gain on insurance proceeds	135.0			136.5		
Impairment of intangible assets	(1.1)			(1.1)		
(Loss) gain on disposition of long-lived assets	(1.5)	3.9	33.4	(1.5)	3.7	29.3
Operating income	509.5	371.9	327.6	515.1	361.0	287.3
Interest expense	(218.2)	(241.6)	(260.0)	(220.6)	(234.5)	(228.0)
Foreign exchange (losses) gains	(80.1)	164.0	500.9	(81.0)	159.2	439.2
Gains (losses) on financial instruments	50.1	(79.2)	(169.9)	50.7	(76.9)	(149.0)
Other income (expense)	2.0	0.6	(0.9)	2.0	0.6	(0.7)
Income tax provision	(64.6)	(42.4)	(2.5)	(65.3)	(41.2)	(2.2)
Net income loss	198.7	173.3	395.2	200.9	168.2	346.6
Average exchange rate for translating Canadian dollars to U.S. dollars				.9891	1.0302	1.1405

September 30, As of December 31,	September 30, As of December 31,	September 30, As of December 31,	September 30, As of December 31,
-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

	2011 (In Canadian dollars)	2010	2011 (In U.S. dollars)	2010
Balance Sheet Data:				
Current assets	359.3	290.8	351.8	291.4
Total assets	5,461.1	5,298.8	5,347.2	5,309.4
Current liabilities	295.6	293.9	289.4	294.5
Long-term debt, including current portion	2,877.9	2,923.0	2,817.9	2,928.9
Total liabilities	4,131.8	4,137.1	4,045.6	4,145.3
Redeemable preferred stock	141.4	141.4	138.5	141.7
Shareholders' equity	1,187.9	1,020.4	1,163.1	1,022.4
Period end exchange rate for translating Canadian dollars to U.S. dollars			1.0213	0.9980

Following the May 2011 launch of Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2, an SS/L-built satellite, the satellite's north solar array failed to fully deploy. The north solar array anomaly has diminished the amount of power available for the satellite's transponders and has reduced the life expectancy of the satellite. As a result, during the third quarter of 2011, Telesat carried out an impairment test for the satellite. Based on Telesat management's best estimates and assumptions, there was no impairment in Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2 and as a result no adjustment to the carrying value of the asset was required. In December 2011, Telesat received insurance proceeds of \$132.7 million from its insurers with respect to the claim Telesat filed for the failed solar array deployment.

Table of Contents

Gain on disposition of long-lived assets in 2009 results from the transfer of Telesat's leasehold interests in the Telstar 10 satellite and related contracts to APT Satellite for a total consideration of approximately \$69 million.

Telesat's operating results are subject to fluctuations as a result of exchange rate variations to the extent that transactions are made in currencies other than Canadian dollars. Telesat's main currency exposures as of December 31, 2011, lie in its U.S. dollar denominated cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and debt financing. The most significant impact of variations in the exchange rate is on the U.S. dollar denominated debt financing. We estimated that, after considering the impact of hedges, a five percent change in the value of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar at December 31, 2011 would have increased or decreased Telesat's net income for the year 2011 by approximately \$155 million. During the period from October 31, 2007 to December 31, 2011, the carrying value of Telesat's U.S. Term Loan Facility, Senior Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes has increased by approximately \$192 million due to the stronger U.S. dollar. During that same time period, however, the liability created by the fair value of the currency basis swap, which synthetically converts \$1.054 billion of the U.S. Term Loan Facility debt into CAD 1.224 billion of debt, decreased by approximately \$158 million.

The equity losses in XTAR, LLC (XTAR), our 56% owned joint venture, represent our share of XTAR losses incurred in connection with its operations.

We regularly evaluate our investment in XTAR to determine whether there has been a decline in fair value that is other than temporary. During November 2011 and January 2012, XTAR reduced its revenue forecast for 2012 and subsequent years. We have performed an impairment test for our investment in XTAR as of December 31, 2011, using the January 2012 forecast, and concluded that our investment in XTAR was not impaired. Any further declines in XTAR's projected revenues may result in a future impairment charge.

Backlog

Backlog as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 was as follows (in millions):

	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
Satellite Manufacturing	\$ 1,426	\$ 1,625
Satellite Services	5,333	5,477
Total backlog before eliminations	6,759	7,102
Satellite Manufacturing eliminations		(4)
Satellite Services eliminations	(5,333)	(5,477)
Total backlog	\$ 1,426	\$ 1,621

It is expected that approximately 62% of satellite manufacturing backlog as of December 31, 2011 will be recognized as revenue during 2012.

It is expected that approximately 11% of satellite services backlog will be recognized as revenue during 2012.

As of December 31, 2011, Telesat had received approximately \$399 million of customer prepayments, none of which is related to satellites under construction.

Critical Accounting Matters

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the amounts of revenues and expenses reported for the period. Actual results could differ from estimates.

Revenue Recognition

Most of our Satellite Manufacturing revenue is associated with long-term fixed-price contracts. Revenue and profit from satellite sales under these long-term contracts are recognized using the cost-to-cost percentage of completion method, which requires significant estimates. We use

this method because reasonably dependable estimates can be made based on historical experience and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates include forecasts of costs and schedules, estimating contract revenue related to contract performance (including estimated amounts for penalties and performance incentives that will be received as the satellite performs on orbit) and the potential for component obsolescence in connection with long-term procurements. Estimated amounts for performance incentives and penalties are included in contract value when and to the extent that it is probable such amounts will be paid or received. Performance incentives and penalties relate primarily to on-orbit performance of the satellite and early or late delivery of the satellite, although a limited number of contracts include performance incentives and penalties related to mass, payload performance and other items.

Table of Contents

Satellite construction contracts often include provisions for performance incentives pursuant to which a portion of the contract value (typically about 10%) is at risk, over the life of the satellite (typically 15 years), contingent upon the in-orbit performance of the satellite in accordance with contractual specifications. These performance incentives are structured in two forms: (i) under warranty payback, the customer pays the entire amount of the performance incentives during the period of satellite construction and such performance incentive amounts are subject to warranty claims, or (ii) under orbital receivables, the customer makes payments of performance incentives at regular intervals (often monthly) over the in-orbit life of the satellite.

Performance incentives, whether warranty payback or orbital receivables, are included in revenues during the construction period of the satellite. The amount of performance incentives recorded as revenues is net of (i) a factor based on past experience to reflect the risk that a portion of the performance incentives will be lost due to non-performance and (ii) in the case of orbital receivables, a discount for the time value of money because the amounts will be collected over the operating life of the satellite.

Estimates for performance incentives and penalties are assessed continually during the term of the contract and revisions are reflected when the conditions become known. Changes in estimates are typically the result of schedule changes that affect performance incentives and penalties, changes in contract scope, changes in new business forecasts that can affect the level of overhead allocated to a given contract and changes in estimates on contracts as a result of the complex nature of the satellites we manufacture. Changes in estimates are included in sales and cost of sales using the cumulative catch-up method, which recognizes the cumulative effect of changes in estimates on current and prior periods in the current period based on a contract's completion percentage. Provisions for losses on contracts are recorded when estimates determine that a loss will be incurred on a contract at completion. Under firm fixed-price contracts, work performed and products shipped are paid for at a fixed price without adjustment for actual costs incurred in connection with the contract; accordingly, favorable changes in estimates in a period will result in additional revenue and profit, and unfavorable changes in estimates will result in a reduction of revenue and profit or the recording of a loss that will be borne solely by us. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, cumulative catch up adjustments related to prior year activity as a result of changes in contract estimates increased operating income by \$48 million, \$59 million and \$41 million, respectively, and diluted earnings per share by \$0.90, \$1.15 and \$0.62, respectively.

Billed Receivables and Long-Term Receivables

We are required to estimate the collectability of our long-term receivables and billed receivables which are included in contracts in process on our consolidated balance sheet. A considerable amount of judgment is required in assessing the collectability of these receivables, including the current creditworthiness of each customer and related aging of the past due balances. Charges for bad debts recorded to the statements of operations on billed receivables for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, were nil, nil and \$2.8 million, respectively. At December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, billed receivables were net of allowances for doubtful accounts of \$0.2 million, \$0.2 million and \$3.7 million, respectively. We evaluate specific accounts when we become aware of a situation where a customer may not be able to meet its financial obligations due to a deterioration of its financial condition, credit ratings or bankruptcy. The reserve requirements are based on the best facts available to us and are re-evaluated periodically. Performance incentives, whether warranty payback or orbital receivables, are recorded as receivables on our balance sheet as we record the revenues on the satellite during the construction period, which is typically two to three years. Performance incentives structured as warranty payback are included in contracts in process, and orbital receivables, which are collected over the in-orbit life of the satellite, are included in long-term receivables.

Inventories

Inventories are reviewed for estimated obsolescence or unusable items and, if appropriate, are written down to the net realizable value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. If actual future demand or market conditions are less favorable than those we project, additional inventory write-downs may be required. These are considered permanent adjustments to the cost basis of the inventory. Charges for inventory obsolescence included in the consolidated statements of operations were nil, \$4.3 million and \$1.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents*Fair Value Measurements*

U.S. GAAP defines fair value as the price that would be received for an asset or the exit price that would be paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market in an orderly transaction between market participants. U.S. GAAP also establishes a fair value hierarchy that gives the highest priority to observable inputs and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1: Inputs represent a fair value that is derived from unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities traded in active markets at the measurement date.

Level 2: Inputs represent a fair value that is derived from quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities, and pricing inputs, other than quoted prices in active markets included in Level 1, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date.

Level 3: Inputs are generally unobservable and typically reflect management's estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. The fair values are therefore determined using model-based techniques that include option pricing models, discounted cash flow models, and similar techniques.

These provisions are applicable to all of our assets and liabilities that are measured and recorded at fair value.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table presents our assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2011:

	September 30, Level 1	September 30, Level 2 (In thousands)	September 30, Level 3
<u>Assets</u>			
Cash equivalents: Money market funds	\$ 191,482	\$	\$
Available-for-sale securities: Communications industry	\$ 531	\$	\$
Derivatives: Foreign exchange contracts	\$	\$ 1	\$
Non-qualified pension plan assets	\$ 844	\$	\$
<u>Liabilities</u>			
Derivatives: Foreign exchange contracts	\$	\$ 4,622	\$

The Company does not have any non-financial assets or non-financial liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2011.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-recurring Basis

We review the carrying values of our equity method investments when events and circumstances warrant and consider all available evidence in evaluating when declines in fair value are other than temporary. The fair values of our investments are determined based on valuation techniques using the best information available, and may include quoted market prices, market comparables and discounted cash flow projections. An impairment charge would be recorded when the carrying amount of the investment exceeds its current fair value and is determined to be other than temporary.

Table of Contents

Taxation

Loral is subject to U.S. federal, state and local income taxation on its worldwide income and foreign taxes on certain income from sources outside the United States. Our foreign subsidiaries are subject to taxation in local jurisdictions. Telesat is subject to tax in Canada and other jurisdictions and Loral will provide in operating earnings any additional U.S. current and deferred tax required on distributions received or deemed to be received from Telesat.

We use the liability method in accounting for taxes whereby income taxes are recognized during the year in which transactions are recorded in the financial statements. Deferred taxes reflect the future tax effect of temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial and income tax reporting and are measured by applying anticipated statutory tax rates in effect for the year during which the differences are expected to reverse. We assess the recoverability of our deferred tax assets and, based upon this analysis, record a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets to the extent recoverability does not satisfy the more likely than not recognition criteria.

The tax effects of an uncertain tax position (UTP) taken or expected to be taken in income tax returns are recognized only if it is more likely-than-not to be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on its technical merits as of the reporting date. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position are measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. We recognize potential accrued interest and penalties related to UTPs in income tax expense on a quarterly basis.

We recognize the benefit of a UTP in the period when it is effectively settled. Previously recognized tax positions are derecognized in the first period in which it is no longer more likely than not that the tax position would be sustained upon examination. Evaluating the technical merits of a tax position and determining the benefit to be recognized involves a significant level of judgment in the assumptions underlying such evaluation.

Pension and Other Employee Benefits

We maintain qualified pension and supplemental retirement plans. These plans are defined benefit pension plans. In addition to providing pension benefits, we provide certain health care and life insurance benefits for retired employees and dependents. These pension and other employee benefit costs are developed from actuarial valuations. Inherent in these valuations are key assumptions, including the discount rate and expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. Material changes in these pension and other employee postretirement benefit costs may occur in the future due to changes in these assumptions, as well as our actual experience.

The discount rate is subject to change each year, based on a hypothetical yield curve developed from a portfolio of high quality, corporate, non-callable bonds with maturities that match our projected benefit payment stream. The resulting discount rate reflects the matching of the plan liability cash flows to the yield curve. Changes in applicable high-quality long-term corporate bond indices are also considered. The discount rate determined on this basis was 4.75% as of December 31, 2011, a decrease of 75 basis points from December 31, 2010.

The expected long-term rate of return on pension plan assets is selected by taking into account the expected duration of the plan's projected benefit obligation, asset mix and the fact that its assets are actively managed to mitigate risk. Allowable investment types include equity investments and fixed income investments. Both investment types may include alternative investments which are permitted to be up to 40% of total plan assets. Pension plan assets are primarily managed by Russell Investment Corp. (Russell), which allocates the assets into specified Russell-designed funds as we direct. Each specified Russell fund is then managed by investment managers chosen by Russell. We also engage non-Russell related investment managers through Russell, in its role as trustee, to invest pension plan assets. The targeted long-term allocation of our pension plan assets is 60% in equity investments and 40% in fixed income investments. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets determined on this basis was 8.0% for 2011, 2010 and 2009. For 2012, we are continuing to use an expected long-term rate of return of 8.0%.

These pension and other employee postretirement benefit costs are expected to increase to approximately \$26.8 million in 2012 from \$18.8 million in 2011, primarily due to the lower discount rate. Lowering the discount rate and the expected long-term rate of return each by 0.5% would have increased these pension and other employee postretirement benefits costs by approximately \$2.9 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, in 2011.

Table of Contents

The benefit obligations for pensions and other employee benefits exceeded the fair value of plan assets by \$315.7 million at December 31, 2011. We are required to recognize the funded status of a benefit plan on our balance sheet. Market conditions and interest rates significantly affect future assets and liabilities of Lorals pension and other employee benefits plans.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period. In addition, share-based payment transactions with nonemployees are measured at the fair value of the equity instrument issued. We use the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model and other models as applicable to estimate the fair value of these stock-based awards. These models require us to make significant judgments regarding the assumptions used within the models, the most significant of which are the stock price volatility assumption, the expected life of the option award, the risk-free rate of return and dividends during the expected term. Changes in these assumptions could have a material impact on the amount of stock-based compensation we recognize.

The Company estimates expected forfeitures of stock-based awards at the grant date and recognizes compensation cost only for those awards expected to vest. The forfeiture assumption is ultimately adjusted to the actual forfeiture rate. Therefore, changes in the forfeiture assumptions may impact the timing of the total amount of expense recognized over the vesting period. Estimated forfeitures are reassessed in each reporting period and may change based on new facts and circumstances. We emerged from bankruptcy on November 21, 2005, and as a result, we did not have sufficient stock price history upon which to base our volatility assumption for measuring our stock-based awards. In determining the volatility used in our models, we considered the volatility of the stock prices of selected companies in the satellite industry, the nature of those companies, our emergence from bankruptcy and other factors. We based our estimate of the average life of a stock-based award using the midpoint between the vesting and expiration dates. Our risk-free rate of return assumption for awards was based on term-matching, nominal, monthly U.S. Treasury constant maturity rates as of the date of grant. We assumed no dividends during the expected term.

The SS/L phantom stock appreciation rights program has been designed to incentivize and reward our employees based on the increase in a synthetically determined value of SS/Ls equity. As SS/Ls common stock has not historically been publicly traded and thus does not have a readily ascertainable market value, its equity value under the program is derived from a formula that calculates equity value based on a multiple of Adjusted EBITDA plus cash on hand less debt at the end of the relevant year. Each phantom stock appreciation right provides the recipient with the right to receive an amount equal to the increase in our notional stock price over the base price at the date of grant multiplied by the number of phantom stock appreciation rights vested on the applicable vesting date. The baseline price at each grant date is updated accordingly.

The phantom stock appreciation rights have fixed exercise dates. As such, the phantom stock appreciation rights are automatically exercised and the value (if any) is paid out on each vesting date. The phantom stock appreciation rights may be settled in Lorals stock or cash at our option. The number of shares of Lorals stock to be issued on the vesting date is determined by dividing the value of the phantom stock appreciation rights by the price per share of Lorals stock on the vesting date. Accordingly, the phantom stock appreciation rights are accounted for as liability awards and the value of the awards is adjusted quarterly for changes in the value of the award resulting from increases or decreases in actual or forecasted Adjusted EBITDA for the relevant year. Compensation expense is recognized ratably over the requisite vesting period.

Contingencies

Contingencies by their nature relate to uncertainties that require management to exercise judgment both in assessing the likelihood that a liability has been incurred as well as in estimating the amount of potential loss, if any. We accrue for costs relating to litigation, claims and other contingent matters when, in management s opinion, such liabilities become probable and reasonably estimable. Such estimates may be based on advice from third parties or on management s judgment, as appropriate. Actual amounts paid may differ from amounts estimated, and such differences will be charged to operations in the period in which the final determination of the liability is made. Management considers the assessment of loss contingencies as a critical accounting policy because of the significant uncertainty relating to the outcome of any potential legal actions and other claims and the difficulty of predicting the likelihood and range of the potential liability involved, coupled with the material impact on our results of operations that could result from legal actions or other claims and assessments.

Table of Contents

Accounting Standards Issued and Not Yet Implemented

For discussion of accounting standards issued and not yet implemented that could have an impact on us, see Note 2 to the financial statements.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Loral

As described above, the Company's principal assets are 100% of the capital stock of SS/L and a 64% economic interest in Telesat. In addition, the Company has a 56% economic interest in XTAR. SS/L's operations are consolidated in the Company's financial statements, while the operations of Telesat and XTAR are not consolidated but are presented using the equity method of accounting.

The Parent Company has no debt. SS/L amended and restated its revolving credit facility on December 20, 2010, increasing the facility amount to \$150 million, extending the maturity to January 24, 2014 and removing the Parent Company guarantee. At December 31, 2011, there were no outstanding borrowings under the SS/L Credit Agreement and \$5 million of letters of credit outstanding. Telesat has third party debt with financial institutions. The Parent Company has not provided a guarantee for the debt of Telesat. XTAR has no external debt other than to its LLC member, Hisdesat, for restructured lease payments on the Spainsat satellite. XTAR makes payments of \$5 million per year to pay down the outstanding restructured lease balance. A convertible note to Hisdesat was paid off on November 30, 2011 through capital contributions from the partners. Loral's capital contribution to XTAR was \$10 million.

Cash is maintained at the Parent Company, SS/L, Telesat and XTAR to support the operating needs of each respective entity. The ability of SS/L and Telesat to pay dividends and management fees in cash to the Parent Company is governed by applicable covenants relating to the debt at each of those entities and, in the case of Telesat and XTAR, by their respective shareholder agreements.

The Parent Company's cash flow is fairly predictable. SS/L's cash flow, however, is subject to substantial timing fluctuation of receipts and expenditures and is difficult to forecast on a quarter to quarter basis. A typical satellite production contract takes two to three years to complete. SS/L's cash receipts are tied to the achievement of contract milestones which are negotiated for each contract, and the timing of milestone receipts does not necessarily match the timing of cash expenditures. Revenues and profits under these long-term contracts are recognized using the cost-to-cost percentage of completion method, so the timing of revenue recognition and cash receipts do not match, creating fluctuations in certain balance sheet accounts including contracts-in-process, long-term receivables and customer advances. In addition, the timing of satellite awards is difficult to predict, contributing to the unevenness of revenues and cash flow.

Cash and Available Credit

At December 31, 2011, the Company had \$197 million of cash and cash equivalents, \$24 million of restricted cash and no debt. The Company's cash and cash equivalents increased by \$31 million from December 31, 2010, while restricted cash increased by \$18 million. SS/L entered into a satellite manufacturing contract during the first quarter of 2011 that requires certain customer payments to be placed into escrow until the satellite is delivered. The escrow amount of \$24 million at December 31, 2011 for this contract will grow by an additional \$12 million in 2012. The escrow funds with interest earned will be released to SS/L upon delivery of the satellite in 2013. During 2011, SS/L did not borrow any funds under its revolving credit agreement. The cash increase during 2011 consisted of \$58 million provided by operating activities, partially offset by \$23 million used in financing activities and \$4 million used in investing activities. A more detailed discussion of these cash changes by activity is set forth in the sections, *Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities*, *Net Cash Used in Investing Activities*, and *Net Cash (Used In) Provided by Financing Activities*. Changes in cash at the Parent Company and SS/L during 2011 are discussed below.

Table of Contents

As discussed above, the SS/L Credit Agreement was amended and restated on December 20, 2010 to increase the facility from \$100 million to \$150 million, extend the maturity to January 24, 2014 and eliminate the Parent Company guarantee. On December 8, 2011, the SS/L Credit Agreement was amended, increasing the \$50 million letter of credit sub-limit to \$100 million. As of December 31, 2011, SS/L had borrowing availability of approximately \$145 million under the facility after giving effect to approximately \$5 million of outstanding letters of credit. SS/L anticipates that over the next 12 months it will be in compliance with all the covenants of the SS/L Credit Agreement and have full availability of the facility. The amended and restated SS/L Credit Agreement allows for a spin-off of SS/L from Loral or an initial public offering of SS/L.

Cash Management

We have a cash management investment program that seeks a competitive return while maintaining a conservative risk profile. Our cash management investment policy establishes what we believe to be conservative guidelines relating to the investment of surplus cash. The policy allows us to invest in commercial paper, money market funds and other similar short term investments but does not permit us to engage in speculative or leveraged transactions, nor does it permit us to hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes. The cash management investment policy was designed to preserve capital and safeguard principal, to meet all of our liquidity requirements and to provide a competitive rate of return for similar risk categories of investment. The policy addresses dealer qualifications, lists approved securities, establishes minimum acceptable credit ratings, sets concentration limits, defines a maturity structure, requires all firms to safe keep securities on our behalf, requires certain mandatory reporting activity and discusses review of the portfolio. We operate the cash management investment program under the guidelines of our investment policy and continuously monitor the investments to avoid risks.

We currently invest our cash in several liquid Prime AAA money market funds. The dispersion across funds reduces the exposure of a default at one fund.

Orbital Receivables

As of December 31, 2011, SS/L had orbital receivables of approximately \$355 million, net of fresh-start fair value adjustments of \$16 million. Of the gross orbital receivables as of December 31, 2011, approximately \$230 million are related to satellites launched and \$141 million are related to satellites that are under construction. This represents an increase in gross orbital receivables of approximately \$41 million from December 31, 2010. During 2011, our orbital receivables decreased by approximately \$7 million related to the Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2 anomaly and increased by approximately \$4 million related to the sale of our Canadian broadband business to Telesat. The growth in the orbital receivable balance as a percentage of sales in 2011 was less than in 2010 because more contracts-in-process during 2011 included performance incentives structured as warranty payback rather than orbital receivables.

We anticipate that this orbital receivable asset will continue to grow, deferring the receipt of cash. We will generate positive cash flow from orbital receivables once principal and interest payments received for the in-orbit satellites become greater than the amount being deferred for satellites under construction. During 2011, SS/L received \$25 million of orbital receivable payments, representing principal and interest. The timing of when we will have positive cash flow from orbital receivables is dependent on a number of factors including the number of new satellite awards with the requirement for orbital incentive payments, the timing of the completion of contracts under construction, interest rates associated with orbital incentive payments, the performance of on-orbit satellites and the number of satellites in operation as compared to the number of satellites under construction.

Liquidity

The \$31 million increase in cash and cash equivalents for the Company from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2011 consisted of a \$74 million increase for the Parent Company and a \$43 million decrease for SS/L. The \$18 million increase in restricted cash was the result of a \$24 million increase at SS/L for two contract receipts required to go into escrow as discussed above, partially offset by a \$1 million reduction in restricted cash for the Parent Company and a \$5 million reduction in other restricted cash for SS/L.

Table of Contents

During 2011, the Parent Company's unrestricted cash position increased approximately \$74 million to \$101 million. In January 2011, as permitted by the SS/L revolving credit facility, the Parent Company received a \$50 million dividend from SS/L and paid SS/L \$1 million in settlement of net intercompany account balances. On March 1, 2011, Loral entered into agreements to sell its investment in the Canadian broadband business, including the Canadian coverage portion of the ViaSat-1 satellite, to Telesat for \$13 million plus reimbursement of approximately \$48 million, representing Loral's net costs incurred through the closing date. This transaction closed on April 11, 2011 with the Parent Company receiving the cash proceeds. In addition, in connection with this transaction, Telesat agreed that, if it obtains certain supplemental capacity on the payload, Loral will be entitled to receive, for four years, one-half of any net revenue actually earned by Telesat on such supplemental capacity. The Parent Company also received approximately \$16 million in cash from the 2010 settlement of directors' and officers' liability insurance claims and received two quarterly management fee payments from Telesat totaling \$3 million. Partially offsetting these cash receipts, the Parent Company used \$11 million to fund operating expenses and changes in working capital, paid \$17 million to fund withholding taxes on employee cashless stock option exercises, made a \$10 million capital contribution to XTAR and made approximately \$6 million in tax payments. In addition, on November 14, 2011, we announced a stock repurchase program under which the Company may repurchase up to 800,000 shares. As of December 31, 2011, the Company repurchased 136,494 shares for cash of \$8 million. At December 31, 2011, SS/L owed the Parent Company approximately \$3 million that was reimbursed by SS/L in January 2012.

At the Parent Company, we expect that our cash and cash equivalents will be sufficient to fund projected expenditures for the next 12 months, including the stock repurchase program. In addition to our cash on hand, we believe that, given the substantial value of our assets, which include our 64% economic interest in Telesat and our 56% equity interest in XTAR, we have the ability, if appropriate, to access the financial markets for debt or equity at the Parent Company. Given the continuously changing financial environment, however, there can be no assurance that the Parent Company would be able to obtain such financing on acceptable terms.

During 2011, SS/L generated cash of \$26 million before payment of a \$50 million dividend to the Parent Company and a \$19 million increase in restricted cash, resulting in an unrestricted cash position of \$96 million as of December 31, 2011. The primary source for this increase in cash was Adjusted EBITDA of \$137 million which was partially offset by an increase in net program assets (contracts-in-process, long-term receivables and customer advances) of \$46 million, \$37 million of capital expenditures, a \$17 million decrease in pension and postretirement liabilities and a \$6 million increase in inventories. In addition, other changes in balance sheet accounts used cash of approximately \$5 million. SS/L's restricted cash balance at December 31, 2011 was \$24 million.

SS/L's projected use of cash for the next 12 months includes capital expenditures and continued growth in its orbital receivables balance. With regard to capital expenditures, SS/L expects to spend approximately \$200 million over the three-year period ending December 31, 2013, including \$37 million of expenditures in 2011, related to an infrastructure campaign that includes the building of a second thermal vacuum chamber, completing certain building and systems modifications and purchasing additional test and satellite handling equipment to meet its contractual obligations more efficiently. Upon completion of this infrastructure campaign, SS/L anticipates returning to a more customary level of annual capital expenditures of \$30 million to \$40 million, excluding major system upgrades caused by additional expansion or technology insertion. The orbital receivable asset will continue to grow in 2012. We anticipate that an additional \$12 million of cash received in 2012 will be added to the restricted escrow account as required by the contract that was signed in the first quarter of 2011. In addition, in relation to a new contract award entered into in 2012 that required a \$60 million performance bond representing approximately 10% of the contract value, SS/L has deposited \$50 million in an escrow account with the surety supplying the bond. The uncertainty as to the timing and nature of new construction contract awards, milestone receipts and cash flow related to contract assets can change our cash requirements. SS/L believes that, absent unforeseen circumstances, with its cash on hand and cash flow from operations, it has sufficient liquidity to fulfill its obligations for the next 12 months. The borrowing capacity under the revolving credit facility also enhances SS/L's liquidity position.

Table of Contents

Risks to Cash Flow

Economic and credit market conditions could adversely affect the ability of customers to make payments to us, including orbital receivable payments under satellite construction contracts with SS/L. Though most of our customers are substantial corporations for which creditworthiness is generally high, there are certain customers which are either highly leveraged or are in the developmental stage and are not fully funded. There can be no assurance that these customers will not delay contract payments to, or seek financial relief from, us if such customers have financial difficulties. If customers fall behind or default on their payment obligations, our liquidity will be adversely affected.

There can be no assurance that SS/L's customers will not default on their obligations to SS/L in the future and that such defaults will not materially and adversely affect SS/L and Loral. In the event of an uncured payment default by a customer during the pre-launch construction phase of the satellite, SS/L's construction contracts generally provide SS/L with significant rights even if its customers (or their successors) have paid significant amounts under the contract. These rights typically include the right to stop work on the satellite and the right to terminate the contract for default. In the latter case, SS/L would generally have the right to retain, and sell to other customers, the satellite or satellite components that are under construction. The exercise of such rights, however, could be impeded by the assertion by customers of defenses and counterclaims, including claims of breach of performance obligations on the part of SS/L, and our recovery could be reduced by the lack of a ready resale market for the affected satellites or their components. In either case, our liquidity could be adversely affected pending resolution of such customer disputes.

In the event of an uncured payment default by a customer after satellite delivery and launch when title has passed to the customer, SS/L's remedies are more limited. Typically, amounts due post-launch and delivery are final milestone payments and, in certain cases, orbital incentive payments. To recover such amounts, SS/L generally would have to commence litigation to enforce its rights. We believe, however, that, as customers generally rely on SS/L to provide orbital anomaly and troubleshooting support for the life of the satellite, which support is generally perceived to be critical to maximize the life and performance of the satellite, it is likely that customers (or their successors) will cure any payment defaults and fulfill their payment obligations or make other satisfactory arrangements to obtain SS/L's support, and our liquidity would not be adversely affected.

SS/L's contracts contain detailed and complex technical specifications to which the satellite must be built. SS/L's contracts also impose a variety of other contractual obligations on SS/L, including the requirement to deliver the satellite by an agreed upon date, subject to negotiated allowances. If SS/L is unable to meet its contract obligations, including significant deviations from technical specifications or delivering the satellite beyond the agreed upon date in a contract, the customer would have the right to terminate the contract for contractor default. If a contract is terminated for contractor default, SS/L would be required to refund the payments made to SS/L to the date of termination, which could be significant. In such circumstances, SS/L would, however, keep the satellite under construction and be able to recoup some of its losses through the resale of the satellite or its components to another customer. It has been SS/L's experience that, because the satellite is generally critical to the execution of a customer's operations and business plan, customers will usually accept a satellite with minor deviations from specifications or renegotiate a revised delivery date with SS/L as opposed to terminating the contract for contractor default and losing the satellite. Nonetheless, the obligation to return all funds paid to SS/L in the later stages of a contract, due to termination for contractor default, would have a material adverse effect on our liquidity.

SS/L currently has a contract-in-process with an estimated delivery date later than the contractually specified date after which the customer may terminate the contract for default. The customer is an established operator which will utilize the satellite in the operation of its existing business. SS/L and the customer are continuing to perform their obligations under the contract, and the customer continues to make milestone payments to SS/L. Although there can be no assurance, the Company believes that the customer will take delivery of this satellite and will not seek to terminate the contract for default. If the customer should successfully terminate the contract for default, the customer would be entitled to a full refund of its payments, liquidated damages, and interest which through December 31, 2011 totaled approximately \$204 million, plus re-procurement costs. In the event of termination for default, SS/L would own the satellite and would attempt to recoup any losses through resale to another customer.

Table of Contents

Many of SS/L's customer contracts include performance incentives, structured as warranty payback or orbital receivables. If a satellite sold under a contract with performance incentives experiences an anomaly that leads to a degradation in performance as defined in each particular contract, then in the case of warranty payback, SS/L would be obligated to return to the customer a portion of the performance incentive payments received and, in the case of orbital receivables, SS/L would no longer be entitled to a portion of the future orbital receivable payments owed. The amount SS/L would either need to return to the customer in case of warranty payback, or would no longer be entitled to receive from the customer in the case of orbital receivables, would depend on various factors including, among others, the specific contractual specifications, the satellite performance and life remaining. Our liquidity could be adversely affected by failure to achieve contractual performance incentives. For example, in May 2011, following the launch of Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2, the satellite's north solar array failed to fully deploy resulting in a loss of power and reduced mission life. As a result of the failure, SS/L recorded a charge of approximately \$8.5 million for lost orbital incentives that would otherwise have been payable with respect to Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2.

On October 19, 2010, TerreStar Networks Inc. (TerreStar), an SS/L customer, filed for bankruptcy under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. As of December 31, 2011, SS/L had \$19 million of past due receivables from TerreStar related to an in-orbit SS/L built satellite and other related ground system deliverables and \$16 million of past due receivables from TerreStar related to a second satellite under construction. SS/L had previously exercised its contractual right to stop work on the satellite under construction as a result of TerreStar's payment default. The in-orbit satellite long-term orbital receivable balance, net of fair value adjustment, reflected on the balance sheet at December 31, 2011 is \$16 million. The long-term orbital receivable balance reflected on the balance sheet for the satellite under construction is \$13 million.

In July 2011, the TerreStar Bankruptcy Court approved an agreement between TerreStar and a subsidiary of DISH Network Corporation (DISH Subsidiary) pursuant to which DISH Subsidiary agreed to purchase substantially all of TerreStar's assets. In connection with the sale, pursuant to a Stipulation and Order entered into between TerreStar and SS/L and approved by the TerreStar Bankruptcy Court in July 2011, the parties agreed to amend the satellite construction contract for the in-orbit satellite, the contract for related ground system deliverables and the contract for the satellite under construction, and TerreStar agreed to assume and assign to DISH Subsidiary, and DISH Subsidiary will take assignment of, such contracts as amended. The contract amendments provide for restructuring of certain past due payments and payments to become due as a result of which SS/L will maintain the collective profit position of the contracts and will not realize any impairment to its receivables. In addition, SS/L will be entitled to an allowed unsecured claim against TerreStar in the amount of approximately \$5 million. The assumption will be effective as of the earlier of the closing of the asset sale to DISH Subsidiary or the effective date of confirmation of a plan of reorganization for TerreStar. The assignment will be effective as of the closing of the asset sale to DISH Subsidiary. On February 15, 2012, the TerreStar Bankruptcy Court entered an order confirming TerreStar's plan of reorganization. The effective date of the plan of reorganization and the closing of the asset sale are each subject to a number of conditions, including, among others, FCC and other regulatory approvals. Pending assumption and assignment of the contracts, TerreStar is required to make payments that fall due in the ordinary course of business under the contracts as amended. Assuming closing of the asset sale to DISH Subsidiary and assumption and assignment of the contracts as amended, SS/L believes that it will not incur a loss with respect to the receivables due from TerreStar.

As of December 31, 2011, SS/L had receivables included in contracts in process from DBSD Satellite Services G.P. (formerly known as ICO Satellite Services G.P. and referred to herein as ICO), a customer with an SS/L-built satellite in orbit, in the aggregate amount of approximately \$1 million. In addition, under its contract, ICO has future payment obligations to SS/L that total approximately \$23 million, of which approximately \$11 million (including \$9 million of orbital incentives) is included in long-term receivables. After receiving Bankruptcy Court approval, ICO, which sought to reorganize under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in May 2009, assumed its contract with SS/L, with certain modifications. The contract modifications do not have a material adverse effect on SS/L, and, although the timing of certain payments to be received from ICO has changed (for example, certain significant payments become due only on or after the effective date of a chapter 11 plan of reorganization for ICO), SS/L will receive substantially the same net present value from ICO as SS/L was entitled to receive under the original contract. In March 2011, the ICO Bankruptcy Court approved an investment agreement pursuant to which DISH Network Corporation (DISH) agreed to acquire ICO. In connection with this investment agreement, in April 2011, DISH purchased certain claims against ICO for cash, including SS/L claims aggregating approximately \$7.0 million plus approximately \$1.4 million of accrued interest. SS/L believes that, based upon completion of the tender offer and other payments by ICO to SS/L under the modified contract, it is not probable that SS/L will incur a material loss with respect to the receivables from ICO. Although, in July 2011, the ICO Bankruptcy Court confirmed a plan of reorganization for ICO, closing of DISH's acquisition of ICO and ICO's emergence from chapter 11 is still subject to certain other conditions, including, FCC regulatory approval.

Table of Contents

SS/L was awarded seven satellite contracts in each of 2008 and 2009 and was awarded six satellite contracts in each of 2010 and 2011. SS/L had backlog of \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2011. From January 1, 2012 to February 15, 2012, SS/L was awarded three satellite contracts. SS/L has high fixed costs relating primarily to labor and overhead. Based on SS/L's current cost structure which has been sized to accommodate six to eight satellite contract awards per year, SS/L estimates that it covers its fixed costs, including depreciation and amortization, with an average of four to five satellite awards a year depending on the size, power, pricing and complexity of the satellite. If SS/L's satellite awards fall below four to five awards per year, SS/L would be required to phase in a reduction of costs to accommodate this lower level of activity. The timing of any reduced demand for satellites, if it were to occur, is difficult to predict. It is, therefore, difficult to anticipate the need to reduce costs to match any such slowdown in business, especially when SS/L has significant backlog business to perform. A delay in matching the timing of a reduction in business with a reduction in expenditures could adversely affect our liquidity. We believe that SS/L's current backlog, existing liquidity and availability under SS/L's revolving credit facility are sufficient to finance SS/L, even if SS/L receives fewer than four awards over the next 12 months. If SS/L were to experience a shortage of orders below four awards per year for multiple years, SS/L could require additional financing, the amount and timing of which would depend on the magnitude of the order shortfall coupled with the timing of a reduction in costs. There can be no assurance that SS/L could obtain such financing on favorable terms, if at all.

Telesat

Cash and Available Credit

As of December 31, 2011, Telesat had CAD 278 million of cash and short-term investments as well as approximately CAD 153 million of borrowing availability under its Revolving Facility (as defined below). Included in cash and cash equivalents is CAD 125 million of restricted cash received from insurance proceeds in connection with the solar array failure on Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2. The restricted cash can be used for capital expenditures of satellite projects in accordance with the Credit Agreement. Telesat believes the unrestricted cash and short-term investments as of December 31, 2011, cash flow from operating activities, including amounts from customer prepayments, and drawings on the available lines of credit under the Credit Facility (as defined below) will be adequate to meet its expected cash requirements for the next 12 months for activities in the normal course of business, including interest and required principal payments on debt.

For fiscal 2012, Telesat expects its major cash requirements to include capital expenditures of approximately CAD 240 million, payment of CAD 315 million in principal and interest on long-term debt (including the swaps) and payment of CAD 7 million on operating leases. Telesat expects to meet its cash needs for fiscal 2012 through a combination of operating cash and short-term investments, restricted cash received from insurance proceeds, cash flow from operations, cash flow from customer prepayments or through borrowings on available lines of credit under the Credit Facility. To the extent market conditions are receptive, Telesat may refinance its existing credit facilities and use a portion of the proceeds to pay a dividend to its shareholders. See *Business Strategic Developments*.

Liquidity

A large portion of Telesat's annual cash receipts are reasonably predictable because they are primarily derived from an existing backlog of long-term customer contracts and high contract renewal rates. Telesat believes its cash flow from operations, in addition to cash on hand and available credit facilities will be sufficient to provide for its capital requirements and to fund its interest and debt payment obligations for the next 12 months.

The construction of Nimiq 6 and Anik G1, as well as any other satellite replacement or expansion program will require significant capital expenditures. Telesat may choose to invest in new satellites to further grow its business. Cash required for current and future satellite construction programs will be funded from some or all of the following: cash and short-term investments, restricted cash received from insurance proceeds, cash flow from operating activities, cash flow from customer prepayments or through borrowings on available lines of credit under the Credit Facility. In addition, Telesat may sell certain satellite assets, and in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Credit Facility, reinvest the proceeds in replacement satellites or pay down indebtedness under the Credit Facility. Subject to market conditions and subject to compliance with the terms and conditions of its Credit Facility and the financial leverage covenant tests therein, Telesat may also obtain additional secured or unsecured financing to fund current or future satellite construction or to distribute to its equity holders. However, Telesat's ability to access these sources of funding is not guaranteed and, therefore, Telesat may not be able to fully fund additional replacement and new satellite construction programs.

Table of Contents*Debt*

Telesat has entered into agreements with a syndicate of banks to provide Telesat with a series of term loan facilities denominated in Canadian dollars and U.S. dollars, and a revolving facility (collectively, the Senior Secured Credit Facilities) as outlined below. In addition, Telesat has issued two tranches of notes.

	September 30, Maturity	September 30, Currency	September 30, December 31, 2011 (In CAD millions)	September 30, December 31, 2010
Senior Secured Credit Facilities:				
Revolving facility	October 31, 2012	CAD or USD equivalent		
Canadian term loan facility	October 31, 2012	CAD	80	170
U.S. term loan facility	October 31, 2014	USD	1,721	1,699
U.S. term loan II facility	October 31, 2014	USD	148	146
Senior notes	November 1, 2015	USD	707	691
Senior subordinated notes	November 1, 2017	USD	222	217
		CAD	2,878	2,923
Less: deferred financing costs and repayment options			(43)	(54)
			2,835	2,869
Current portion		CAD	(87)	(97)
Long term portion		CAD	2,748	2,772

The Senior Secured Credit Facilities are secured by substantially all of Telesat's assets. Each tranche of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities is subject to mandatory principal repayment requirements. Borrowings under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities bear interest at a base interest rate plus margins of 275 - 300 basis points. The required repayments on the Canadian term loan facility will be CAD 80 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. For the U.S. term loan facilities, required repayments in 2012 are 1/4 of 1% of the initial aggregate principal amount which is approximately \$5 million per quarter. Telesat is required to comply with certain covenants which are usual and customary for highly leveraged transactions, including financial reporting, maintenance of certain financial covenant ratios for leverage and interest coverage, a requirement to maintain minimum levels of satellite insurance, restrictions on capital expenditures, a restriction on fundamental business changes or the creation of subsidiaries, restrictions on investments, restrictions on dividend payments, restrictions on the incurrence of additional debt, restrictions on asset dispositions and restrictions on transactions with affiliates.

The senior notes bear interest at an annual rate of 11.0% and are due November 1, 2015. The senior notes include covenants or terms that restrict Telesat's ability to, among other things, (i) incur additional indebtedness, (ii) incur liens, (iii) pay dividends or make certain other restricted payments, investments or acquisitions, (iv) enter into certain transactions with affiliates, (v) modify or cancel the Company's satellite insurance, (vi) effect mergers with another entity and (vii) redeem the Senior notes prior to May 1, 2012, in each case subject to exceptions provided in the Senior notes indenture.

The senior subordinated notes bear interest at a rate of 12.5% and are due November 1, 2017. The senior subordinated notes include covenants or terms that restrict Telesat's ability to, among other things, (i) incur additional indebtedness, (ii) incur liens, (iii) pay dividends or make certain other restricted payments, investments or acquisitions, (iv) enter into certain transactions with affiliates, (v) modify or cancel the Company's satellite insurance, (vi) effect mergers with another entity and (vii) redeem the senior subordinated notes prior to May 1, 2013, in each case subject to exceptions provided in the senior subordinated notes indenture.

Table of Contents

Interest Expense

An estimate of the interest expense on the Facilities is based upon assumptions of LIBOR and Bankers Acceptance rates and the applicable margin for the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. Telesat's estimated interest expense for 2012 is approximately CAD 212 million, assuming Telesat does not refinance its facilities. Depending on market conditions, Telesat may refinance a portion of its facilities and incur additional secured debt.

Derivatives

Telesat has used interest rate and currency derivatives to hedge its exposure to changes in interest rates and changes in foreign exchange rates.

As required, Telesat uses forward contracts to hedge foreign currency risk on anticipated transactions, mainly related to the construction of satellites and interest payments. At December 31, 2011, Telesat did not have any outstanding foreign exchange contracts. At December 31, 2010, the fair value of the outstanding foreign exchange contracts was a liability of CAD 2.6 million.

Telesat has entered into a cross currency basis swap to hedge the foreign currency risk on a portion of its U.S. dollar denominated debt. Telesat uses mostly natural hedges to manage the foreign exchange risk on operating cash flows. At December 31, 2011, the Company had a cross currency basis swap of CAD 1,175.3 million which requires the Company to pay Canadian dollars to receive \$1,011.8 million. At December 31, 2011, the fair value of this derivative contract was a liability of CAD 160.4 million. Most of this non-cash loss will remain unrealized until the contract is settled. This contract is due on October 31, 2014. At December 31, 2010, there was a liability of CAD 192.5 million.

Interest rate risk

Telesat is exposed to interest rate risk on its cash and cash equivalents and its long term debt which is primarily variable rate financing. Changes in the interest rates could impact the amount of interest Telesat is required to pay. Telesat uses interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk related to variable rate debt financing. At December 31, 2011, the fair value of these derivative contract liabilities was CAD 53.1 million, and at December 31, 2010, there was a liability of CAD 49.4 million. These contracts mature on October 31, 2014.

Capital Expenditures

Telesat has entered into contracts with SS/L for the construction of Nimiq 6, a direct broadcast satellite to be used by Telesat's customer, Bell TV, and Anik G1. These expenditures will be funded from some or all of the following: cash and short-term investments, restricted cash from insurance proceeds, cash flow from operations, proceeds from the sale of assets, cash flow from customer prepayments or through borrowings on available lines of credit under the Credit Facility.

XTAR

In January 2009, XTAR reached an agreement with Arianespace, S.A. to settle its revenue-based fee that was to be paid over time. To enable XTAR to be able to make these settlement payments, XTAR issued a capital call to its LLC members for \$8 million in 2009. The capital call required Loral to increase its investment in XTAR by approximately \$4.5 million, representing its 56% share of \$8 million. This settlement benefited XTAR by providing a significant reduction to amounts that it would have been required to pay in the future and satisfied XTAR's obligations to Arianespace.

In November 2011, Loral and Hisdesat made capital contributions to XTAR in proportion to their respective equity interests in XTAR, which used the proceeds to repay the convertible loan to Hisdesat of \$18.5 million which included the principal amount and accrued interest. Loral's capital contribution was \$10.4 million.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations and Other Commercial Commitments**

The following tables aggregate our contractual obligations and other commercial commitments as of December 31, 2011 (in thousands).

Contractual Obligations:

	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,		September 30,	September 30,
	Total	Less than 1 Year	Payments Due by Period		4-5 Years	More than 5 Years
			1-3 Years			
Lease payments ⁽¹⁾	\$ 41,527	\$ 11,356	\$ 15,860	\$ 9,417	\$ 4,894	
Unconditional purchase obligations ⁽²⁾	441,841	265,957	175,884			
Revolving credit agreement ⁽³⁾						
Total contractual cash obligations ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 483,368	\$ 277,313	\$ 191,744	\$ 9,417	\$ 4,894	

Other Commercial Commitments:

	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	Total Amounts Committed	Less than 1 Year	Amount of Commitment Expiration Per Period		More than 5 Years
			1-3 Years	4-5 Years	
Standby letters of credit	\$ 4,785	\$ 4,785	\$	\$	\$

⁽¹⁾ Represents future minimum payments under operating and capital leases with initial or remaining terms of one year or more.

⁽²⁾ SS/L has entered into various purchase commitments with suppliers due to the long lead times required to produce purchased parts.

⁽³⁾ On December 20, 2010, SS/L amended and restated its revolving credit agreement with several banks and other financial institutions. The credit agreement provides for a \$150 million senior secured revolving credit facility. The credit agreement matures on January 24, 2014 (see Note 9 to the financial statements). No amounts were outstanding under the credit agreement at December 31, 2011.

⁽⁴⁾ Does not include our liabilities for uncertain tax positions of \$139.9 million. Because the timing of future cash outflows associated with our liabilities for uncertain tax positions is highly uncertain, we are unable to make reasonably reliable estimates of the period of cash settlement with the respective taxing authorities (see Note 10 to the financial statements). Does not include obligations for pensions and other postretirement benefits, for which we expect to make employer contributions of \$45.7 million in 2012. We also expect to make significant employer contributions to our plans in future years.

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$58 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

The major driver of cash provided by operating activities was net income adjusted for non-cash items of \$125 million which was partially offset by cash used in net program related assets (contracts-in-process and customer advances) of \$44 million. Cash flow from operating activities was reduced by \$25 million in 2011 due to an increase in contracts-in-process caused by advance spending on programs that customers are obligated to pay us for in the future. Customer advances reduced cash flow from operating activities by \$19 million due to the timing of awards and

progress on new satellite programs.

Significant cash uses in 2011 also included a decrease in pension and other postretirement liabilities of \$19 million and an increase in inventories of \$6 million.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$42 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The major driver of cash provided by operating activities was net income adjusted for non-cash items of \$108 million which was partially offset by cash used in program related assets (contracts-in-process and customer advances) of \$87 million. Cash flow from operating activities was reduced by \$44 million in 2010 due to an increase in contracts-in-process caused by advance spending on programs that customers are obligated to pay us for in the future. Customer advances reduced cash flow from operating activities by \$43 million due to the timing of awards and progress on new satellite programs.

Other factors affecting cash from operating activities in 2010 were: increases in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities increased cash by \$20 million; a decrease in inventories increased cash by \$14 million; increases in other current assets and other assets decreased cash by \$9 million; and decreases in pension and other post retirement liabilities reduced cash by \$9 million.

Net cash provided by operating activities for 2009 was \$155 million. This was primarily due to net cash provided from program related assets (contracts-in-process and customer advances) of \$72 million and net income adjusted for non-cash items of \$67 million. Changes in program related assets resulted mainly from progress on new and existing satellite programs. In addition, a decrease in inventories increased cash by \$17 million.

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities for 2011 was \$4 million, which included capital expenditures of \$37 million for satellite manufacturing, an \$18 million increase in restricted cash and an additional investment of \$10 million in XTAR, representing our 56% share of an \$18 million capital call, partially offset by proceeds of \$61 million from the sale of our interest in the ViaSat-1 satellite and related net assets.

Net cash used in investing activities for 2010 was \$54 million, which included capital expenditures of \$35 million for satellite manufacturing and \$19 million for the Canadian broadband business.

Net cash used in investing activities for 2009 was \$49 million, primarily resulting from capital expenditures of \$44 million and an additional investment of \$4.5 million in XTAR, representing our 56% share of an \$8 million capital call.

Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities for 2011 was \$23 million, which included \$8 million for the repurchase of the Company's voting common stock and \$15 million for withholding taxes on cashless exercise of employee stock options, net of proceeds from and excess tax benefit associated with exercise of employee stock options.

Net cash provided by financing activities for 2010 was \$10 million, which included \$12 million from the exercise of stock options, net of withholding taxes, partially offset by \$2 million of issuance costs related to the amendment and extension of SS/L's revolving credit facility.

Net cash used in financing activities for 2009 was \$55 million, primarily resulting from the repayment of borrowings under the SS/L Credit Agreement.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined by the rules and regulations of the SEC, that have or are reasonably likely to have a material effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources. As a result, we are not materially exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in these arrangements.

Table of Contents**Other**

Operating cash flows for 2011 included contributions of approximately \$34 million to the qualified pension plan and approximately \$2 million for other employee post-retirement benefit plans. Operating cash flows for 2010 included contributions of approximately \$25 million to the qualified pension plan and approximately \$3 million for other employee post-retirement benefit plans. During 2009, we contributed approximately \$23 million to the qualified pension plan and funded approximately \$3 million for other employee post-retirement benefit plans. During 2012, based on current estimates, we expect to contribute approximately \$41 million to the qualified pension plan and expect to fund approximately \$3 million for other employee post-retirement benefit plans.

Affiliate Matters

Loral has made certain investments in joint ventures in the satellite services business that are accounted for under the equity method of accounting (see Note 7 to the financial statements for further information on affiliate matters).

Our consolidated statements of operations reflect the effects of the following amounts related to transactions with or investments in affiliates (in millions):

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In millions)	September 30, 2009
Revenues	\$ 140.0	\$ 137.2	\$ 92.1
Elimination of Loral's proportionate share of profits relating to affiliate transactions	(18.5)	(14.7)	(10.1)
Profits relating to affiliate transactions not eliminated	10.4	8.3	5.7

Commitments and Contingencies

Our business and operations are subject to a number of significant risks, the most significant of which are summarized in Item 1A Risk Factors and also in Note 15 to the financial statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**Foreign Currency****Loral**

In the normal course of business, we are subject to the risks associated with fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. To limit this foreign exchange rate exposure, the Company seeks to denominate its contracts in U.S. dollars. If we are unable to enter into a contract in U.S. dollars, we review our foreign exchange exposure and, where appropriate, derivatives are used to minimize the risk of foreign exchange rate fluctuations to operating results and cash flows. We do not use derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

As of December 31, 2011, SS/L had the following amounts denominated in Japanese Yen and euros (which have been translated into U.S. dollars based on the December 31, 2011 exchange rates) that were unhedged:

	September 30, Foreign Currency	September 30, U.S.\$ (In millions)
Future revenues Japanese yen	¥ 50.1	\$ 0.7
Future expenditures Japanese yen	¥ 2,275.3	\$ 29.6
Future revenues euros	17.6	\$ 22.9
Future expenditures euros	5.3	\$ 6.9

Derivatives

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

In June 2010 and July 2008, SS/L was awarded satellite contracts denominated in euros and entered into a series of foreign exchange forward contracts with maturities through 2013 and 2011, respectively, to hedge associated foreign currency exchange risk because our costs are denominated principally in U.S. dollars. These foreign exchange forward contracts have been designated as cash flow hedges of future euro-denominated receivables.

Table of Contents

The maturity of foreign currency exchange contracts held as of December 31, 2011 is consistent with the contractual or expected timing of the transactions being hedged, principally receipt of customer payments under long-term contracts. These foreign exchange contracts mature as follows:

Maturity	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	Euro Amount	To Sell At Contract Rate (In millions)	At Market Rate
2012	27.2	\$ 32.9	\$ 35.3
2013	27.0	33.0	35.2
	54.2	\$ 65.9	\$ 70.5

As a result of the use of derivative instruments, the Company is exposed to the risk that counterparties to derivative contracts will fail to meet their contractual obligations. To mitigate the counterparty credit risk, the Company has a policy of entering into contracts only with carefully selected major financial institutions based upon their credit ratings and other factors.

There were no derivative instruments in an asset position as of December 31, 2011. Therefore, there was no exposure to loss at such date as a result of the potential failure of the counterparties to perform as contracted.

Telesat

Telesat's operating results are subject to fluctuations as a result of exchange rate variations to the extent that transactions are made in currencies other than Canadian dollars. Approximately 47% of Telesat's revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011, a large portion of its expenses and a substantial portion of its indebtedness and capital expenditures were denominated in U.S. dollars. The most significant impact of variations in the exchange rate is on the U.S. dollar-denominated debt financing. A five percent change in the value of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar at December 31, 2011 would have increased or decreased Telesat's net income for the year ended December 31, 2011 by approximately \$155 million. During the period from October 31, 2007 to December 31, 2011, Telesat's U.S. Term Loan Facility, Senior Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes have increased by approximately \$192 million due to the stronger U.S. dollar. During that same time period, however, the liability created by the fair value of the currency basis swap, which synthetically converts \$1.054 billion of the U.S. Term Loan Facility debt into CAD 1.224 billion of debt, decreased by approximately \$158 million.

Interest

The Company had no borrowings outstanding under the SS/L Credit Agreement at December 31, 2011. Borrowings under this facility are limited to Eurodollar Loans for periods ending in one, two, three or six months or daily loans for which the interest rate is adjusted daily based upon changes in the Prime Rate, Federal Funds Rate or one month Eurodollar Rate. Because of the nature of the borrowing under a revolving credit facility, the borrowing rate adjusts to changes in interest rates over time. For a \$150 million credit facility, if it were fully borrowed, a one percent change in interest rates would effect the Company's interest expense by \$1.5 million for the year. The Company had no other long-term debt or other exposure to changes in interest rates with respect thereto.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company held 984,173 shares of Globalstar Inc. common stock and \$0.8 million of non-qualified pension plan assets that were mainly invested in equity and bond funds. During the year, our excess cash was invested in money market securities; we did not hold any other marketable securities.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

See Index to Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules on page F-1.

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act) as of December 31, 2011, have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and designed to ensure that information relating to Loral and its consolidated subsidiaries required to be disclosed in our filings under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities Exchange Commission rules and forms. The term disclosure controls and procedures means controls and other procedures of an issuer that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework set forth in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under such criteria, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011.

Our management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 has been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in its attestation report which is included below.

Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2011 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls may also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of controls effectiveness to future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Loral Space & Communications Inc.

New York, New York

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Loral Space & Communications Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011, of the Company and our report dated February 28, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New York, New York

February 28, 2012

Table of Contents**Item 9B. Other Information**

None.

PART III**Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant****Executive Officers of the Registrant**

The following table sets forth information concerning the executive officers of Loral as of February 15, 2012.

Name	Age	Position
Michael B. Targoff	67	Chief Executive Officer since March 1, 2006, President since January 2008 and Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors since November 2005. Prior to that, founder of Michael B. Targoff & Co.
Avi Katz	53	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since January 2008. Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary from November 2005 to January 2008.
Richard P. Mastoloni	47	Senior Vice President of Finance and Treasurer since January 2008. Vice President and Treasurer from November 2005 to January 2008.
Harvey B. Rein	58	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since January 2008. Vice President and Controller from November 2005 to January 2008.
John Capogrossi	58	Vice President and Controller since January 2008. Executive Director, Financial Planning and Analysis, from October 2006 to January 2008. Assistant Controller from November 2005 to October 2006.

Messrs. Katz, Mastoloni and Rein were executive officers of Old Loral and certain of its subsidiaries which filed voluntary petitions for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in July 2003.

The remaining information required under Item 10 will be presented in the Company's 2012 definitive proxy statement which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information required under Item 11 will be presented in the Company's 2012 definitive proxy statement which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information required under Item 12 will be presented in the Company's 2012 definitive proxy statement which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Information required under Item 13 will be presented in the Company's 2012 definitive proxy statement which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Information required under Item 14 will be presented in the Company's 2012 definitive proxy statement which is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) 1. Financial Statements

Index to Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedule

<i>Loral Space & Communications Inc. and Subsidiaries:</i>	F-2
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-3
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	
(a) 2. Financial Statement Schedule	
Schedule II	F-53

Separate Financial Statements of Subsidiaries not consolidated Pursuant to Rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X

<i>Telesat Holdings Inc. and Subsidiaries:</i>	
Report of Independent Registered Chartered Accountants	F-54
Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010	F-55
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010	F-56
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the year ended December 31, 2011 with comparative figures for the period ended December 31, 2010	F-57
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010	F-58
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010	F-59
Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements	F-60

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit

Number	Description
2.1	Debtors Fourth Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization Under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code dated June 3, 2005(1)
2.2	Modification to Debtors Fourth Amended Plan of Reorganization Under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code dated August 1, 2005(2)
2.3	Letter Agreement among Loral Space & Communications Inc., Loral Skynet Corporation, Public Sector Pension Investment Board, 4363205 Canada Inc. and 4363213 Canada Inc. dated December 14, 2006(5)
2.4	Share Purchase Agreement among 4363213 Canada Inc., BCE Inc. and Telesat dated December 16, 2006(5)
2.5	Letter Agreement among Loral Space & Communications Inc., Public Sector Pension Investment Board and BCE Inc. dated December 16, 2006(5)
2.6	Asset Transfer Agreement, dated as of August 7, 2007, by and among 4363205 Canada Inc., Loral Skynet Corporation and Loral Space & Communications Inc.(7)
2.7	Amendment No. 1 to Asset Transfer Agreement, dated as of September 24, 2007, by and among 4363205 Canada Inc., Loral Skynet Corporation and Loral Space & Communications Inc.(8)
2.8	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 7, 2007, by and among Loral Skynet Corporation, Skynet Satellite Corporation and Loral Space & Communications Inc.(7)
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Loral Space & Communications Inc. dated May 19, 2009(17)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Loral Space & Communications Inc. dated December 23, 2008(13)
3.3	Amendment No. 1 to Bylaws of Loral Space & Communications Inc. dated January 12, 2010(21)
10.1	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of December 20, 2010, by and among Space Systems/Loral, Inc., as borrower, the several banks and other financial institutions or entities from time to time party thereto, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, as documentation agent, ING Bank N.V., as syndication agent, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, as joint lead arrangers and joint bookrunners, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent(25)
10.2	First Amendment dated as of December 8, 2011 to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of December 20, 2010, by and among Space Systems/Loral, Inc., the several banks and other financial institutions or entities from time to time party thereto, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, as documentation agent, ING Bank N.V., as syndication agent, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent
10.3	Ancillary Agreement, dated as of August 7, 2007, by and among Loral Space & Communications Inc., Loral Skynet Corporation, Public Sector Pension Investment Board, 4363205 Canada Inc. and 4363230 Canada Inc.(7)
10.4	Adjustment Agreement, dated as of October 29, 2007, between Telesat Interco Inc. (formerly 4363213 Canada Inc.), BCE Inc. and Telesat(9)
10.5	Omnibus Agreement, dated as of October 30, 2007, by and among Loral Space & Communications Inc., Loral Skynet Corporation, Public Sector Pension Investment Board, Red Isle Private Investments Inc. and Telesat Holdings Inc. (formerly 4363205 Canada Inc.)(9)

Table of Contents

Exhibit

Number	Description
10.6	Shareholders Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2007, between Public Sector Pension Investment Board, Red Isle Private Investments Inc., Loral Space & Communications Inc., Loral Space & Communications Holdings Corporation, Loral Holdings Corporation, Loral Skynet Corporation, John P. Cashman, Colin D. Watson, Telesat Holdings Inc. (formerly 4363205 Canada Inc.), Telesat Interco Inc. (formerly 4363213 Canada Inc.), Telesat and MHR Fund Management LLC(9)
10.7	Consulting Services Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2007, by and between Loral Space & Communications Inc. and Telesat(9)
10.8	Indemnity Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2007, by and among Loral Space & Communications Inc., Telesat, Telesat Holdings Inc., Telesat Interco Inc. and Henry Gerard (Hank) Intven(9)
10.9	Acknowledgement and Indemnity Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2007, between Loral Space & Communications Inc., Telesat, Telesat Holdings Inc. (formerly 4363205 Canada Inc.), Telesat Interco Inc. (formerly 4363213 Canada Inc.) and McCarthy Tétrault LLP(9)
10.10	Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement dated December 23, 2008 by and among Loral Space & Communications Inc. and the Persons Listed on the Signature Pages Thereof(13)
10.11	Letter Agreement, dated as of June 30, 2009, by and among Loral Space & Communications Inc, MHR Capital Partners Master Account LP, MHR Capital Partners (100) LP, MHR Institutional Partners LP, MHRA LP, MHRM LP, MHR Institutional Partners II LP, MHR Institutional Partners IIA LP and MHR Institutional Partners III LP.(18)
10.12	Letter Agreement dated April 30, 2010 relating to indemnification among the Special Committee of the Board of Directors of Loral Space & Communications Inc. and Mark Rachesky, Hal Goldstein, Sai Devahaktuni, MHR Fund Management LLC and certain entities affiliated with MHR Fund Management LLC (23)
10.13	Settlement Agreement dated December 15, 2010 between XL Specialty Insurance Company, Arch Insurance Company, U.S. Specialty Insurance Company, Loral Space & Communications Inc., Mark H. Rachesky, Hal Goldstein and Sai S. Devabhaktuni, and (for purposes of paragraphs 6 and 7 and 9 through 20 only) MHR Fund Management LLC and certain of its affiliated entities(24)
10.14	Partnership Interest Purchase Agreement dated December 21, 2007 by and among GSSI, LLC, Globalstar, Inc., Loral/DASA Globalstar, LP, Globalstar do Brasil, SA., Loral/DASA do Brasil Holdings Ltda., Loral Holdings LLC, Global DASA LLC, LGP (Bermuda) Ltd., Mercedes-Benz do Brasil Ltda. (f/k/a DaimlerChrysler do Brasil Ltda.) and Loral Space & Communications Inc.(10)
10.15	Beam Sharing Agreement, dated as of January 11, 2008, by and between Loral Space & Communications Inc. and ViaSat Inc.(11)
10.16	Satellite Capacity and Gateway Service Agreement dated as of December 31, 2009 between Loral Space & Communications Inc. and Barrett Xplore Inc.(20)
10.17	Gateway Facilities Assignment and Assumption Agreement dated as of March 1, 2011 by and between Telesat Canada, Loral Space & Communications Inc. and Loral Canadian Gateway Corporation(26)
10.18	Space Segment Assignment and Assumption Agreement dated as of March 1, 2011 by and between Telesat IOM Limited and Loral Space & Communications Inc.(26)
10.19	Barrett Assignment Agreement dated as of March 1, 2011 by and between Telesat IOM Limited and Loral Space & Communications Inc.(26)

Table of Contents

Exhibit

Number	Description
10.20	Employment Agreement between Loral Space & Communications Inc. and Michael B. Targoff dated as of March 28, 2006 and amended and restated as of December 17, 2008(15)
10.21	First Amendment of Employment Agreement dated as of July 19, 2011 between Loral Space & Communication Inc. and Michael B. Targoff(29)
10.22	Second Amendment of Employment Agreement dated as of January 17, 2012 between Loral Space & Communications Inc. and Michael B. Targoff(31)
10.23	Form of Officers and Directors Indemnification Agreement between Loral Space & Communications Inc. and Loral Executives(3)
10.24	Loral Space Management Incentive Bonus Program (Adopted as of December 17, 2008)(13)
10.25	Loral Space & Communications Inc. 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (Amended and Restated as of April 3, 2009)(16)
10.26	Form of Amended and Restated Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under Loral Space & Communications Inc. 2005 Stock Incentive Plan for Senior Management dated as of December 21, 2005 and amended and restated as of November 10, 2008(15)
10.27	Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under Loral Space & Communications Inc. 2005 Stock Incentive Plan between Loral Space & Communications Inc. and Michael B. Targoff dated March 28, 2006(4)
10.28	Restricted Stock Unit Agreement dated March 5, 2009 between Loral Space & Communications Inc. and Michael B. Targoff(14)
10.29	Restricted Stock Unit Agreement dated March 5, 2010 between Loral Space & Communications Inc. and Michael B. Targoff(22)
10.30	Restricted Stock Unit Agreement dated March 5, 2011 between Loral Space & Communications Inc. and Michael B. Targoff(27)
10.31	Option Agreement dated October 27, 2009, between Loral Space & Communications Inc. and Michael B. Targoff(19)
10.32	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement dated October 27, 2009 between Loral Space & Communications Inc. and Loral executives(19)
10.33	Form of Phantom Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement relating to Space Systems/Loral, Inc. dated October 27, 2009 between Loral Space & Communications Inc. and Loral and SS/L executives(19)
10.34	Form of Director 2006 Restricted Stock Agreement(6)
10.35	Form of Director 2007 Restricted Stock Agreement(6)
10.36	Form of Director 2008 Restricted Stock Agreement(15)
10.37	Form of Director 2009 Restricted Stock Unit Agreement(22)
10.38	Form of Director 2010 Restricted Stock Unit Agreement(27)
10.39	Form of Director 2011 Restricted Stock Unit Agreement
10.40	Form of Employee Restricted Stock Agreement(6)
10.41	Amended and Restated Space Systems/Loral, Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (Amended and Restated as of December 17, 2008)(13)

Table of Contents

Exhibit

Number	Description
10.42	Loral Savings Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (Amended and Restated as of December 17, 2008)(13)
10.43	Loral Space & Communications Inc. Severance Policy for Corporate Officers (Amended and restated as of August 4, 2011)(30)
10.44	Grant Agreement, dated as of May 20, 2011, by and among Telesat Holdings Inc., Telesat Canada, Loral Space & Communications Inc., the Public Sector Pension Investment Board, 4440480 Canada Inc. and Daniel Goldberg(28)
10.45	Grant Agreement, dated as of May 31, 2011, by and among Telesat Holdings Inc., Telesat Canada, Loral Space & Communications Inc., the Public Sector Pension Investment Board, 4440480 Canada Inc. and Michael C. Schwartz(28)
10.46	Grant Agreement, dated as of May 31, 2011, by and among Telesat Holdings Inc., Telesat Canada, Loral Space & Communications Inc., the Public Sector Pension Investment Board, 4440480 Canada Inc. and Michel G. Cayouette(28)
14.1	Code of Conduct, Revised as of November 1, 2010(27)
21.1	List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23.1	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP
23.2	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
99.1	Credit Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2007, among Telesat Interco Inc. (formerly 4363213 Canada Inc.), Telesat Holdings Inc. (formerly 4363205 Canada Inc.), 4363230 Canada Inc., Telesat LLC, certain subsidiaries of Telesat Holdings Inc., as guarantors, the lenders party thereto from time to time, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as administrative agent, and Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, as collateral agent for the lenders, UBS Securities LLC, as syndication agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., The Bank of Nova Scotia, as issuing bank, and Citibank, N.A., Canadian Branch or any of its lending affiliates, as co-documentation agents, and Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, UBS Securities LLC and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., as joint lead arrangers and joint book running managers(9)
99.2	Articles of Incorporation of Telesat Holdings Inc. (formerly 4363205 Canada Inc.)(9)
99.3	By-Law No. 1 of Telesat Holdings Inc. (formerly 4363205 Canada Inc.)(9)
99.4	Letter Agreement dated March 28, 2008 among Loral Space & Communications Inc., Loral Skynet Corporation, Public Sector Pension Investment Board, Red Isle Private Investment Inc. and Telesat Holdings Inc.(12)

Table of Contents

- (1) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 8, 2005.
- (2) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 5, 2005.
- (3) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 23, 2005.
- (4) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 filed on March 28, 2006.
- (5) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2006.
- (6) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 29, 2007.
- (7) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 9, 2007.
- (8) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 27, 2007.
- (9) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 2, 2007.
- (10) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 21, 2007.
- (11) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 16, 2008.
- (12) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 31, 2008.
- (13) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 23, 2008.
- (14) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 10, 2009.
- (15) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 filed on March 16, 2009.
- (16) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 filed on May 11, 2009.

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

- (17) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 20, 2009.
- (18) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 30, 2009.
- (19) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009 filed on November 9, 2009.
- (20) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2010.
- (21) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 15, 2010.
- (22) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 filed on March 15, 2010.
- (23) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 filed on May 10, 2010.
- (24) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 17, 2010.
- (25) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 22, 2010.
- (26) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 3, 2011.
- (27) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 filed on March 15, 2011.
- (28) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 13, 2011.
- (29) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 20, 2011.
- (30) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 filed on August 9, 2011.
- (31) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 17, 2012.

Filed herewith.

Management compensation plan.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

By: /s/ MICHAEL B. TARGOFF
Michael B. Targoff

Vice Chairman of the Board,

Chief Executive Officer and President

Dated: February 28, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signatures	Title	Date
/s/ MICHAEL B. TARGOFF	Vice Chairman of the Board,	February 28, 2012
Michael B. Targoff	Chief Executive Officer and President	
/s/ MARK H. RACHESKY, M.D.	Director, Non-Executive	February 28, 2012
Mark H. Rachesky, M.D.	Chairman of the Board	
/s/ HAL GOLDSTEIN	Director	February 28, 2012
Hal Goldstein		
/s/ JOHN D. HARKEY, JR.	Director	February 28, 2012
John D. Harkey, Jr.		
/s/ ARTHUR L. SIMON	Director	February 28, 2012
Arthur L. Simon		
/s/ JOHN P. STENBIT	Director	February 28, 2012
John P. Stenbit		
/s/ HARVEY B. REIN	Senior Vice President and CFO	February 28, 2012
Harvey B. Rein	(Principal Financial Officer)	
/s/ JOHN CAPOGROSSI	Vice President and Controller	February 28, 2012

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

John Capogrossi

(Principal Accounting Officer)

84

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

	September 30,
<i>Loral Space & Communications Inc. and Subsidiaries</i>	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-7
<u>Schedule II</u>	F-53
Separate Financial Statements of Subsidiaries not consolidated Pursuant to Rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X	
<i>Telesat Holdings Inc. and Subsidiaries:</i>	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Chartered Accountants</u>	F-54
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	F-55
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	F-56
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the year ended December 31, 2011 with comparative figures for the period ended December 31, 2010</u>	F-57
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	F-58
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	F-59
<u>Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-60

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Loral Space & Communications Inc.

New York, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Loral Space & Communications Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a)2. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated February 28, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New York, New York

February 28, 2012

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(In thousands, except share data)

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, 2010
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 197,114	\$ 165,801
Contracts-in-process	159,261	186,896
Inventories	77,301	71,233
Deferred tax assets	67,070	66,220
Other current assets	15,038	28,927
Total current assets	515,784	519,077
Property, plant and equipment, net	203,722	235,905
Long-term receivables	362,688	319,426
Investments in affiliates	446,235	362,556
Intangible assets, net	8,179	11,110
Long-term deferred tax assets	263,363	294,019
Other assets	36,182	12,816
Total assets	\$ 1,836,153	\$ 1,754,909
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 90,323	\$ 95,952
Accrued employment costs	59,897	52,017
Customer advances and billings in excess of costs and profits	227,485	261,603
Other current liabilities	25,265	30,375
Total current liabilities	402,970	439,947
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	311,273	244,817
Long-term liabilities	174,325	169,196
Total liabilities	888,568	853,960
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
Loral shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding		
Common Stock:		
Voting common stock, \$0.01 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized, 21,229,573 and 20,924,874 shares issued	212	209
Non-voting common stock, \$0.01 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized, 9,505,673 issued and outstanding	95	95
Paid-in capital	1,014,724	1,028,263
Treasury stock (at cost), 136,494 shares of voting common stock at December 31, 2011	(8,400)	
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	94,303	(32,374)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(154,475)	(95,873)

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Total shareholders' equity attributable to Loral	946,459	900,320
Noncontrolling interest	1,126	629
Total equity	947,585	900,949
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 1,836,153	\$ 1,754,909

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(In thousands, except per share amounts)**

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010	September 30, 2009
Revenues	\$ 1,107,365	\$ 1,158,985	\$ 993,400
Cost of revenues	(908,715)	(986,697)	(880,486)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(112,129)	(84,823)	(92,703)
Gain on disposition of net assets	6,913		
Directors' indemnification expense		(6,857)	
Operating income	93,434	80,608	20,211
Interest and investment income	21,350	13,550	8,307
Interest expense	(2,688)	(3,143)	(1,422)
Gain on litigation	4,535	5,000	
Other expense	(6,641)	(2,921)	(121)
Income before income taxes and equity in net income of affiliates	109,990	93,094	26,975
Income tax (provision) benefit	(89,145)	308,622	(5,571)
Income before equity in net income of affiliates	20,845	401,716	21,404
Equity in net income of affiliates	106,329	85,625	210,298
Net income	127,174	487,341	231,702
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(497)	(495)	
Net income attributable to Loral	\$ 126,677	\$ 486,846	\$ 231,702
Net income per share attributable to Loral common shareholders:			
Basic	\$ 4.13	\$ 16.18	\$ 7.79
Diluted	\$ 3.92	\$ 15.63	\$ 7.73
Weighted average common shares outstanding:			
Basic	30,680	30,085	29,761
Diluted	31,166	30,887	29,981

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY**

(In thousands)

	Common Stock Voting		Non-Voting		Paid-In Capital	Treasury Stock Voting		Retained Earnings	Accumulated	Noncontrolling Interest	Total Equity
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount		(Accumulated Deficit)	Other Comprehensive Loss				
Balance, January 1, 2009	20,287	\$ 203	9,506	\$ 95	\$ 1,007,011			\$ (750,922)	\$ (46,730)		\$ 209,657
Net income								231,702			
Other comprehensive loss									(16,148)		
Comprehensive income											215,554
Exercise of stock options	74	1			1,403						1,404
Shares surrendered to fund withholding taxes	(43)				(1,559)						(1,559)
Stock based compensation	73	0			6,935						6,935
Balance, December 31, 2009	20,391	204	9,506	95	1,013,790			(519,220)	(62,878)		431,991
Net income								486,846		\$ 495	
Other comprehensive loss									(32,995)		
Comprehensive income											454,346
Exercise of stock options	547	5			13,990						13,995
Shares surrendered to fund withholding taxes	(13)				(2,477)						(2,477)
Tax benefit associated with exercise of stock options					412						412
Stock based compensation					2,548						2,548
Contribution by noncontrolling interest										134	134
Balance, December 31, 2010	20,925	\$ 209	9,506	\$ 95	\$ 1,028,263			\$ (32,374)	\$ (95,873)	\$ 629	\$ 900,949
Net income								126,677		\$ 497	
Other comprehensive loss									(58,602)		
Comprehensive income											68,572
Exercise of stock options	305	3			1,055						1,058
Shares surrendered to fund withholding taxes					(16,972)						(16,972)
Tax benefit associated with exercise of stock options					1,198						1,198
Stock based compensation					1,180						1,180
Voting common stock repurchased						136	(8,400)				(8,400)
Balance, December 31, 2011	21,230	\$ 212	9,506	\$ 95	\$ 1,014,724	136	\$ (8,400)	\$ 94,303	\$ (154,475)	\$ 1,126	\$ 947,585

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(In thousands)

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010	September 30, 2009
Operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 127,174	\$ 487,341	\$ 231,702
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Non-cash items	(2,226)	(379,507)	(164,785)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Contracts-in-process	(24,814)	(43,845)	(7,913)
Inventories	(6,068)	14,409	17,482
Long-term receivables	(3,145)	(5,964)	(5,565)
Other current assets and other assets	1,457	(8,527)	2,806
Accounts payable	(10,613)	9,453	(5,628)
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	7,682	10,976	(9,611)
Customer advances	(19,399)	(43,229)	80,350
Income taxes payable	(4,273)	4,076	21,426
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	(19,318)	(9,069)	(4,158)
Long-term liabilities	11,537	5,835	(1,544)
Net cash provided by operating activities	57,994	41,949	154,562
Investing activities:			
Capital expenditures	(36,965)	(54,057)	(43,557)
Proceeds from sale of net assets	61,482		
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash	(18,175)		10
Investments in and advances to affiliates	(10,379)		(5,480)
Other			277
Net cash used in investing activities	(4,037)	(54,057)	(48,750)
Financing activities:			
(Repayments) borrowings under SS/L revolving credit facility			(55,000)
Debt issuance costs		(2,226)	
Voting common stock repurchased	(7,928)		
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	1,058	13,995	1,404
Funding of withholding taxes on employees cashless stock option exercise	(16,972)	(2,477)	(1,559)
Excess tax benefit associated with exercise of stock options	1,198	412	
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(22,644)	9,704	(55,155)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	31,313	(2,404)	50,657
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of year	165,801	168,205	117,548
Cash and cash equivalents end of year	\$ 197,114	\$ 165,801	\$ 168,205

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Organization and Principal Business

Loral Space & Communications Inc., together with its subsidiaries (Loral, the Company, we, our and us), is a leading satellite communication company engaged in satellite manufacturing with ownership interests in satellite-based communications services.

Loral has two segments (see Note 16):

Satellite Manufacturing:

Our subsidiary, Space Systems/Loral, Inc. (SS/L), designs and manufactures satellites, space systems and space system components for commercial and government customers whose applications include fixed satellite services (FSS), direct-to-home (DTH) broadcasting, mobile satellite services (MSS), broadband data distribution, wireless telephony, digital radio, digital mobile broadcasting, military communications, weather monitoring and air traffic management.

Satellite Services:

Loral participates in satellite services operations principally through its ownership interest in Telesat Holdings Inc. (Telesat Holdco), which owns Telesat Canada (Telesat), a global FSS provider. Telesat owns and leases a satellite fleet that operates in geosynchronous earth orbit approximately 22,000 miles above the equator. In this orbit, satellites remain in a fixed position relative to points on the earth's surface and provide reliable, high-bandwidth services anywhere in their coverage areas, serving as the backbone for many forms of telecommunications.

Loral holds a 64% economic interest and a 33 $\frac{1}{3}$ % voting interest in Telesat Holdco (see Note 7). We use the equity method of accounting for our ownership interest in Telesat Holdco.

Loral, a Delaware corporation, was formed on June 24, 2005, to succeed to the business conducted by its predecessor registrant, Loral Space & Communications Ltd. (Old Loral), which emerged from chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy laws on November 21, 2005 (the Effective Date) pursuant to the terms of the fourth amended joint plan of reorganization, as modified (the Plan of Reorganization).

2. Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the results of Loral and its subsidiaries and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). All intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

As noted above, we emerged from bankruptcy on November 21, 2005, and we adopted fresh-start accounting as of October 1, 2005 and determined the fair value of our assets and liabilities. Upon emergence, our reorganization equity value was allocated to our assets and liabilities, which were stated at fair value in accordance with the purchase method of accounting for business combinations. In addition, our accumulated deficit was eliminated, and our new equity was recorded in accordance with distributions pursuant to the Plan of Reorganization.

Ownership interests in Telesat and XTAR, LLC (XTAR) are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Income and losses of affiliates are recorded based on our beneficial interest. Intercompany profit arising from transactions with affiliates is eliminated to the extent of our beneficial interest. Equity in losses of affiliates is not recognized after the carrying value of an investment, including advances and loans, has been reduced to zero, unless guarantees or other funding obligations exist. The Company monitors its equity method investments for factors indicating other-than-temporary impairment. An impairment loss would be recognized when there has been a loss in value of the affiliate that is other than temporary.

Table of Contents

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Use of Estimates in Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the amounts of revenues and expenses reported for the period. Actual results could differ from estimates.

Most of our satellite manufacturing revenue is associated with long-term contracts which require significant estimates. These estimates include forecasts of costs and schedules, estimating contract revenue related to contract performance (including performance incentives) and the potential for component obsolescence in connection with long-term procurements. Changes in estimates are typically the result of schedule changes that affect performance incentives and penalties, changes in contract scope, changes in new business forecasts that can affect the level of overhead allocated to a given contract and changes in estimates on contracts as a result of the complex nature of the satellites we manufacture. Changes in estimates are included in sales and cost of sales using the cumulative catch-up method, which recognizes the cumulative effect of changes in estimates on current and prior periods in the current period based on a contract's completion percentage. Provisions for losses on contracts are recorded when estimates determine that a loss will be incurred on a contract at completion. Under firm fixed-price contracts, work performed and products shipped are paid for at a fixed price without adjustment for actual costs incurred in connection with the contract; accordingly, favorable changes in estimates in a period will result in additional revenue and profit, and unfavorable changes in estimates will result in a reduction of revenue and profit or the recording of a loss that will be borne solely by us. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, cumulative catch up adjustments related to prior year activity as a result of changes in contract estimates increased operating income by \$48 million, \$59 million and \$41 million, respectively, and diluted earnings per share by \$0.90, \$1.15 and \$0.62, respectively.

Significant estimates also include the allowances for doubtful accounts and long-term receivables, estimated useful lives of our plant and equipment and finite lived intangible assets, the fair value of stock based compensation, the realization of deferred tax assets, uncertain tax positions, the fair value of and gains or losses on derivative instruments and our pension liabilities.

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Restricted Cash and Available for Sale Securities

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had \$197.1 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$23.8 million of restricted cash (included in other assets on our consolidated balance sheet). Cash and cash equivalents include liquid investments, primarily money market funds, with maturities of less than 90 days at the time of purchase and no redemption limitations. Management determines the appropriate classification of its investments at the time of purchase and at each balance sheet date. Investments in publicly traded common stock are classified as available for sale securities. Available for sale securities are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, if any, reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments which potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, contracts-in-process and long-term receivables. Our cash and cash equivalents are maintained with high-credit-quality financial institutions. Historically, our customers have been primarily large multinational corporations and U.S. and foreign governments for which the creditworthiness was generally substantial. In recent years, we have added commercial customers which are highly leveraged, as well as those in the development stage which are partially funded. Management believes that its credit evaluation, approval and monitoring processes combined with contractual billing arrangements and our title interest in satellites under construction provide for management of potential credit risks with regard to our current customer base. However, swings in the global financial markets that include illiquidity, market volatility, changes in interest rates and currency exchange fluctuations can be difficult to predict and negatively affect certain customers' ability to make payments when due.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)***Billed Receivables and Long-Term Receivables*

Financing receivables consist of billed and unbilled receivables which are included in contracts-in-process and unbilled orbital receivables and notes receivable from Telesat for consulting services which are included in long-term receivables.

We estimate the collectibility of our billed, unbilled and long-term receivables by assessing the current credit worthiness of each customer and related aging of past due balances. A billed receivable is considered past due when it remains unpaid beyond its stated billing terms which can range from 30-60 days. We evaluate specific accounts when we become aware of a situation where a customer may not be able to meet its financial obligations due to a deterioration of its financial condition, credit ratings or bankruptcy. An allowance for doubtful accounts is established on a case-by-case basis based on the information available to us and is re-evaluated periodically.

Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or fair value and consist principally of parts and subassemblies used in the manufacture of satellites which have not been specifically identified to contracts-in-process. Cost is determined using the first-in-first-out (FIFO) or average cost method.

Fair Value Measurements

U.S. GAAP defines fair value as the price that would be received for an asset or the exit price that would be paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market in an orderly transaction between market participants. U.S. GAAP also establishes a fair value hierarchy that gives the highest priority to observable inputs and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1: Inputs represent a fair value that is derived from unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities traded in active markets at the measurement date.

Level 2: Inputs represent a fair value that is derived from quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities, and pricing inputs, other than quoted prices in active markets included in Level 1, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date.

Level 3: Inputs are generally unobservable and typically reflect management's estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. The fair values are therefore determined using model-based techniques that include option pricing models, discounted cash flow models, and similar techniques.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table presents our assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

	September 30, Level 1	September 30, December 31, 2011 Level 2 (In thousands)	September 30, Level 3	September 30, Level 1	September 30, December 31, 2010 Level 2 (In thousands)	September 30, Level 3
Assets						
Cash equivalents						
Money market funds	\$ 191,482	\$	\$	\$ 162,487	\$	\$

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Available-for-sale securities

Communications industry	\$	531	\$		\$	1,427	\$	
-------------------------	----	-----	----	--	----	-------	----	--

Derivatives

Foreign exchange contracts	\$		\$	1	\$		\$	4,548
----------------------------	----	--	----	---	----	--	----	-------

Non-qualified pension plan assets	\$	844	\$		\$	2,039	\$	13
-----------------------------------	----	-----	----	--	----	-------	----	----

Liabilities

Derivatives

Foreign exchange contracts	\$		\$	4,622	\$		\$	15,007
----------------------------	----	--	----	-------	----	--	----	--------

The Company does not have any non-financial assets or non-financial liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2011.

F-9

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)***Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-recurring Basis*

We review the carrying values of our equity method investments when events and circumstances warrant and consider all available evidence in evaluating when declines in fair value are other than temporary. The fair values of our investments are determined based on valuation techniques using the best information available and may include quoted market prices, market comparables and discounted cash flow projections. An impairment charge is recorded when the carrying amount of the investment exceeds its current fair value and is determined to be other than temporary.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are generally stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. As of October 1, 2005, we adopted fresh-start accounting and our property, plant and equipment owned as of that date were recorded at their fair values. Depreciation is provided primarily on accelerated methods over the estimated useful life of the related assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the improvements. Below are the estimated useful lives of our property, plant and equipment as of December 31, 2011:

	September 30, Years
Land improvements	20
Buildings and building improvements	10 to 45
Leasehold improvements	2 to 17
Equipment, furniture and fixtures	5 to 10

Costs incurred in connection with the construction and deployment of Loral's portion of the ViaSat-1 satellite and related equipment were capitalized until these assets were sold in April 2011 (see Note 7). Such costs included direct contract costs, allocated indirect costs, launch costs, launch and in-orbit insurance costs and costs for gateway services equipment.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist primarily of internally developed software and technology and trade names all of which were recorded at fair value in connection with the adoption of fresh-start accounting. The fair values were calculated using several approaches that encompassed the use of excess earnings, relief from royalty and the build-up methods. The excess earnings, relief from royalty and build-up approaches are variations of the income approach. The income approach, more commonly known as the discounted cash flow approach, estimates fair value based on the cash flows that an asset can be expected to generate over its useful life. Identifiable intangible assets with finite useful lives are amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

Valuation of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets of the Company, including intangible assets, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the net carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. In connection with such review, the Company also re-evaluates the periods of depreciation and amortization for these assets. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to undiscounted future net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds their fair value.

Contingencies

Contingencies by their nature relate to uncertainties that require management to exercise judgment both in assessing the likelihood that a liability has been incurred as well as in estimating the amount of potential loss, if any. We accrue for costs relating to litigation, claims and other

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

contingent matters when such liabilities become probable and reasonably estimable. Such estimates may be based on advice from third parties or on management's judgment, as appropriate. Actual amounts paid may differ from amounts estimated, and such differences will be charged to operations in the period in which the final determination of the liability is made.

F-10

Table of Contents

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Treasury Stock

In November 2011, our Board of Directors authorized the purchase of up to 800,000 shares of our voting common stock. These purchases may be made from time to time in the open market or private transactions, as conditions may warrant. Under the repurchase program we purchased 136,494 shares of our voting common stock at a total cost of \$8.4 million (an average price of \$61.54 per share) during the year ended December 31, 2011.

We intend to hold repurchased shares of our voting common stock in treasury. We account for the treasury shares using the cost method.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue from satellite sales under long-term fixed-price contracts is recognized using the cost-to-cost percentage-of-completion method. Revenue includes the basic contract price and estimated amounts for penalties and performance incentives, including estimated orbital incentives discounted to their present value at launch date. Costs include the development effort required for the production of high-technology satellites, non-recurring engineering and design efforts in early periods of contract performance, as well as the cost of qualification testing requirements. Contracts are typically subject to termination for convenience or for default. If a contract is terminated for convenience by a customer or due to a customer's default, we are generally entitled to our costs incurred plus a reasonable profit.

Revenue under cost-reimbursable type contracts is recognized as costs are incurred; incentive fees are estimated and recognized over the contract term.

U.S. government contract risks include dependence on future appropriations and administrative allotment of funds and changes in government policies. Costs incurred under U.S. government contracts are subject to audit. Management believes the results of such audits will not have a material effect on Loral's financial position or its results of operations.

Losses on contracts are recognized when determined. Revisions in profit estimates are reflected in the period in which the conditions that require the revision become known and are estimable. In accordance with industry practice, contracts-in-process include unbilled amounts relating to contracts and programs with long production cycles, a portion of which may not be billable within one year.

Research and Development

Research and development costs, which are expensed as incurred, were \$34.2 million, \$19.9 million, and \$23.0 million for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in our consolidated statements of operations.

Derivative Instruments

Derivative instruments are recorded at fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivatives that have been designated as cash flow hedging instruments are included in the Unrealized gains on cash flow hedges as a component of other comprehensive loss in the accompanying consolidated statements of equity to the extent of the effectiveness of such hedging instruments and reclassified to income in the same period or periods in which the hedge transaction impacts income. Any ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the designated hedging instruments is included in the consolidated statements of operations. Changes in fair value of derivatives that are not designated as hedging instruments are included in the consolidated statements of operations (see Note 14).

Table of Contents

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation expense is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award, and the cost is recognized as expense ratably over the award's vesting period. We use the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model and other models as applicable to estimate the fair value of these awards. These models require us to make significant judgments regarding the assumptions used within the models, the most significant of which are the stock price volatility assumption, the expected life of the award, the risk-free rate of return and dividends during the expected term.

The Company estimates expected forfeitures of stock-based awards at the grant date and recognizes compensation cost only for those awards expected to vest. The forfeiture assumption is ultimately adjusted to the actual forfeiture rate. Therefore, changes in the forfeiture assumptions may affect the timing of the total amount of expense recognized over the vesting period. Estimated forfeitures are reassessed in each reporting period and may change based on new facts and circumstances. We emerged from bankruptcy on November 21, 2005, and as a result, we did not have sufficient stock price history upon which to base our volatility assumption for measuring our stock-based awards. In determining the volatility used in our models, we considered the volatility of the stock prices of selected companies in the satellite industry, the nature of those companies, our emergence from bankruptcy and other factors in determining our stock price volatility. We based our estimate of the average life of a stock-based award using the midpoint between the vesting and expiration dates. Our risk-free rate of return assumption for awards was based on term-matching, nominal, monthly U.S. Treasury constant maturity rates as of the date of grant. We assumed no dividends during the expected term.

SS/L phantom stock appreciation rights that are expected to be settled in cash or that contain an obligation to issue a variable number of shares based on the financial performance of SS/L are classified as liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

Deferred Compensation

Pursuant to the Plan of Reorganization we entered into deferred compensation arrangements for certain key employees that generally vest over four years and expire after seven years. The initial deferred compensation awards were calculated by multiplying \$9.44 by the number of shares of common stock underlying the stock options granted to these key employees (see Note 11). We accreted the liability through charges to expense over the vesting period. The value of the deferred compensation may increase or decrease depending on stock price performance within a defined range, until the occurrence of certain events, including the exercise of the related stock options, and vesting will accelerate if there is a change of control as defined. No deferred compensation was charged or credited to expense in 2011 and 2010 because the maximum award under the deferred compensation plan was reached in 2009 and maintained throughout 2010 and 2011. Deferred compensation charged to expense, net of estimated forfeitures, was \$6.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. As of December 31, 2011, other current liabilities in our consolidated balance sheet included deferred compensation liabilities of \$6.4 million.

Income Taxes

Loral Space & Communications Inc. and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal, state and local income taxation on their worldwide income and foreign taxation on certain income from sources outside the United States. Telesat is subject to tax in Canada and other jurisdictions, and Loral will provide in operating earnings any additional U.S. current and deferred tax required on distributions received or deemed to be received from Telesat. Deferred income taxes reflect the future tax effect of temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial and income tax reporting and are measured by applying anticipated statutory tax rates in effect for the year during which the differences are expected to reverse. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance to the extent it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

The tax effects of an uncertain tax position (UTP) taken or expected to be taken in income tax returns are recognized only if it is more likely-than-not to be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on its technical merits as of the reporting date. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position are measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. The Company recognizes potential accrued interest and penalties related to UTPs in income tax expense on a quarterly basis.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Company recognizes the benefit of a UTP in the period when it is effectively settled. Previously recognized tax positions are derecognized in the first period in which it is no longer more likely than not that the tax position would be sustained upon examination.

Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share are computed based upon the weighted average number of shares of voting and non-voting common stock outstanding during each period. Shares of non-voting common stock are in all respects identical to and treated equally with shares of voting common stock except for the absence of voting rights (other than as provided in Loral's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation which was ratified by Loral's stockholders on May 19, 2009). Diluted earnings per share are based on the weighted average number of shares of voting and non-voting common stock outstanding during each period, adjusted for the effect of outstanding stock options and unvested restricted stock units, restricted stock and SS/L phantom stock appreciation rights.

Additional Cash Flow Information

The following represents non-cash activities and supplemental information to the consolidated statements of cash flows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010	September 30, 2009
Non-cash operating items:			
Equity in net income of affiliates	\$ (106,329)	\$ (85,625)	\$ (210,298)
Deferred taxes	69,223	(325,223)	(192)
Depreciation and amortization	32,509	33,732	39,796
Amortization of fair value adjustments related to orbital incentives	(1,024)	(1,639)	(664)
Stock based compensation	1,180	2,548	7,514
Provisions for inventory obsolescence		4,297	1,042
Warranty expense accruals (reversals)	1,383	(1,437)	(65)
Provisions for bad debts on billed receivables			2,759
(Gain) loss on disposition of net assets	(6,453)	84	
Amortization of prior service credit and actuarial loss	876	(1,029)	412
Unrealized gain on nonqualified pension plan assets	(157)	(295)	(831)
Non-cash net interest expense (income)	354	(1,230)	(1,582)
Loss (gain) on foreign currency transactions and contracts	6,212	(3,690)	(2,676)
Net non-cash operating items	\$ (2,226)	\$ (379,507)	\$ (164,785)
Non-cash investing activities:			
Capital expenditures incurred not yet paid	\$ 7,766	\$ 2,782	\$ 3,091
Non-cash financing activities:			
Issuance of restricted stock	\$	\$	\$ 1,591
Contributions by noncontrolling interest	\$	\$ 134	\$
Repurchase of voting common stock not yet paid	\$ 472	\$	\$
Capitalized lease obligation	\$ 2,243	\$	\$

Supplemental information:						
Interest paid	\$	1,649	\$	1,991	\$	2,164
Tax payments (refunds), net	\$	5,937	\$	573	\$	(17,972)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income (ASC Topic 220) Presentation of Comprehensive Income*. ASU No. 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of equity and requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendments are effective retrospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The guidance, effective for the Company on January 1, 2012, requires changes in presentation only and will not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurement (ASC Topic 820) Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs*. ASU No. 2011-04 amends current fair value measurement and disclosure guidance to include increased transparency around valuation inputs and investment categorization. The changes to the ASC as a result of this update are effective prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. We do not expect that the adoption of this guidance, effective for the Company on January 1, 2012, will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

3. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax, are as follows (in thousands):

	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	Derivatives	Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Investments	Postretirement Benefits	Proportionate Share of Telesat Other Comprehensive Loss	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss
Balance at January 01, 2009	\$ 18,182	\$ 117	\$ (65,029)	\$ (5,139)	\$ (46,730)
Period Change	(11,900)	658	233	(5,139)	(16,148)
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 6,282	\$ 775	\$ (64,796)	\$ (5,139)	\$ (62,878)
Period Change	(13,035)	340	(17,251)	(3,049)	(32,995)
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ (6,753)	\$ 1,115	\$ (82,047)	\$ (8,188)	\$ (95,873)
Period Change	5,447	(535)	(50,648)	(12,866)	(58,602)
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ (1,306)	\$ 580	\$ (132,695)	\$ (21,054)	\$ (154,475)

The activity in other comprehensive income (loss) and related income tax effects were as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010	September 30, 2009
Derivatives:			
Unrealized loss on foreign currency hedges, net of tax benefit of \$3,549 and \$6,368 in 2011 and 2010, respectively	\$ (5,272)	\$ (9,422)	\$ (94)
Less: reclassification adjustment for loss (gain) included in net income, net of tax provision of \$7,216 in 2011 and tax benefit of \$2,441 in 2010	10,719	(3,613)	(11,806)
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives, net	5,447	(13,035)	(11,900)
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments:			
Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities, net of tax benefit of \$360 in 2011 and tax provision of \$230 in 2010	(535)	340	658

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Postretirement benefits:			
Net actuarial losses and prior service credits, net of tax benefit of \$34,424 and \$11,254 in 2011 and 2010, respectively	(51,172)	(16,637)	(179)
Amortization of actuarial gains and prior service credits, net of tax provision of \$352 in 2011 and tax benefit of \$415 in 2010	524	(614)	412
Postretirement benefits	(50,648)	(17,251)	233
Proportionate share of Telesat other comprehensive loss:			
Proportionate share of Telesat other comprehensive loss, net of tax benefit of \$8,651 and \$2,052 in 2011 and 2010, respectively	(12,866)	(3,049)	(5,139)
Other comprehensive loss	\$ (58,602)	\$ (32,995)	\$ (16,148)

F-14

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****4. Contracts-in-Process and Long-Term Receivables***Contracts-in-Process*

Contracts-in-Process consists of (in thousands):

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, 2010
U.S. government contracts:		
Amounts billed	\$ 34	\$ 265
Unbilled receivables	1,311	1,634
	1,345	1,899
Commercial contracts:		
Amounts billed	107,886	125,328
Unbilled receivables	50,030	59,669
	157,916	184,997
	\$ 159,261	\$ 186,896

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, billed receivables were reduced by an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.2 million.

Unbilled amounts include recoverable costs and accrued profit on progress completed, which have not been billed. Such amounts are billed in accordance with the contract terms, typically upon shipment of the product, achievement of contractual milestones, or completion of the contract and, at such time, are reclassified to billed receivables. Fresh-start fair value adjustments relating to contracts-in-process are amortized on a percentage of completion basis as performance under the related contract is completed.

Long-Term Receivables

Billed receivables relating to long-term contracts are expected to be collected within one year. We classify deferred billings and the orbital receivable component of unbilled receivables expected to be collected beyond one year as long-term. Fresh-start fair value adjustments relating to long-term receivables are amortized using the effective interest method over the life of the related orbital stream.

Receivable balances related to satellite orbital incentive payments, deferred billings and the Telesat consulting services fee (see Note 17) as of December 31, 2011 are scheduled to be received as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, Long-Term Receivables
2012	\$ 14,837

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

2013	16,145
2014	17,487
2015	19,046
2016	20,600
Thereafter	289,410
	377,525
Less, current portion included in contracts-in-process	(14,837)
Long-term receivables	\$ 362,688

F-15

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)***Financing Receivables*

The following summarizes the age of financing receivables that have a contractual maturity of over one year as of December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	September 30, Total	September 30, Unlaunched	September 30, Launched	September 30, Financing Receivables Subject To Aging	September 30, Current	September 30, 90 Days or Less	September 30, More Than 90 Days
Satellite							
Manufacturing:							
Orbital Receivables							
Long term orbitals	\$ 340,015	\$ 141,518	\$ 198,497	\$ 198,497	\$ 198,497	\$	\$
Short term unbilled	11,370		11,370	11,370	11,370		
Short term billed	3,467		3,467	3,467	1,084		2,383
	354,852	141,518	213,334	213,334	210,951		2,383
Deferred Receivables	1,973			1,973	1,973		
Consulting Services:							
Telesat receivables	20,700			20,700	20,700		
	377,525	141,518	213,334	236,007	233,624		2,383
Contracts-in-Process:							
Unbilled receivables	39,971	39,971					
Total	\$ 417,496	\$ 181,489	\$ 213,334	\$ 236,007	\$ 233,624	\$	\$ 2,383

The following summarizes the age of financing receivables that have a contractual maturity of over one year as of December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	September 30, Total	September 30, Unlaunched	September 30, Launched	September 30, Financing Receivables Subject To Aging	September 30, Current	September 30, 90 Days or Less	September 30, More Than 90 Days
Satellite							
Manufacturing:							
Orbital Receivables							
Long term orbitals	\$ 298,977	\$ 133,688	\$ 165,289	\$ 165,289	\$ 165,289	\$	\$
Short term unbilled	11,009		11,009	11,009	11,009		
Short term billed	2,426		2,426	2,426	659		1,767
	312,412	133,688	178,724	178,724	176,957		1,767

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Deferred Receivables	2,893			2,893		2,893		
Consulting Services:								
Telesat receivables	17,556			17,556		17,556		
	332,861	133,688	178,724	199,173	197,406			1,767
Contracts-in-Process:								
Unbilled receivables	50,294	50,294						
Total	\$ 383,155	\$ 183,982	\$ 178,724	\$ 199,173	\$ 197,406	\$	\$	1,767

Billed receivables of \$104.5 million and \$123.2 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively (not including billed orbital receivables of \$3.5 million and \$2.4 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively), have been excluded from the table above as they have contractual maturities of less than one year.

Long term unbilled receivables include satellite orbital incentives related to satellites under construction of \$141.5 million and \$133.7 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. These receivables are not included in financing receivables subject to aging in the table above since the timing of their collection is not determinable until the applicable satellite is launched. Contracts-in-process include \$40.0 million and \$50.3 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, of unbilled receivables that represent accumulated incurred costs and earned profits net of losses on contracts in process that have been recorded as sales but have not yet been billed to customers. These receivables are not included in financing receivables subject to aging in the table above since the timing of their collection is not determinable until the contractual obligation to bill the customer is fulfilled.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

We assign internal credit ratings for all our customers with financing receivables. The credit worthiness of each customer is based upon public information and/or information obtained directly from our customers. We utilize credit ratings where available from the major credit rating agencies in our analysis. We have therefore assigned our rating categories to be comparable to those used by the major credit rating agencies. Credit risk profile by internally assigned ratings, consisted of the following:

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010
Rating Categories		
A/BBB	\$ 41,607	\$ 37,303
BB/B	246,373	225,533
B/CCC	94,156	80,222
Customers in bankruptcy	39,307	39,376
Other	(3,947)	721
 Total financing receivables	 \$ 417,496	 \$ 383,155

5. Inventories

Inventories are comprised of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010
Inventories-gross	\$ 110,087	\$ 104,029
Impaired inventory	(31,360)	(31,370)
	78,727	72,659
Inventories included in other assets	(1,426)	(1,426)
	\$ 77,301	\$ 71,233

The Company recorded inventory impairment charges of nil, \$4.3 million and \$1.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The charge recorded in 2010 related primarily to long-term inventories.

6. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment consists of (in thousands):

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010
Land and land improvements	\$ 27,036	\$ 27,036

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Buildings	69,182	68,899
Leasehold improvements	16,696	14,007
Equipment	182,987	159,432
Furniture and fixtures	31,412	26,368
Satellite capacity under construction (see Note 17)		40,495
Other construction in progress	25,828	20,187
	353,141	356,425
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(149,419)	(120,520)
	\$ 203,722	\$ 235,905

Depreciation and amortization expense for property, plant and equipment was \$29.5 million, \$25.8 million and \$25.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

F-17

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****7. Investments in Affiliates**

Investments in affiliates consist of (in thousands):

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, 2010
Telesat Holdings Inc.	\$ 377,244	\$ 295,797
XTAR, LLC	68,991	65,293
Other		1,466
	\$ 446,235	\$ 362,556

Equity in net income of affiliates consists of (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010	September 30, 2009
Telesat Holdings Inc.	\$ 114,476	\$ 92,798	\$ 213,241
XTAR, LLC	(6,681)	(6,991)	(2,743)
Other	(1,466)	(182)	(200)
	\$ 106,329	\$ 85,625	\$ 210,298

Equity in net income of affiliates for the year ended December 31, 2011 includes a charge of \$1.5 million to reduce the carrying value of our investment in an affiliate to zero based on our determination that the investment has been impaired and the impairment is other than temporary.

The consolidated statements of operations reflect the effects of the following amounts related to transactions with or investments in affiliates (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010	September 30, 2009
Revenues	\$ 139,960	\$ 137,244	\$ 92,144
Elimination of Loral's proportionate share of profits relating to affiliate transactions	(18,498)	(14,734)	(10,071)
Profits relating to affiliate transactions not eliminated	10,411	8,294	5,671

The above amounts related to transactions with affiliates exclude the effect of Loral's sale to Telesat in April 2011 of its portion of the payload on the ViaSat-1 satellite and related net assets. As a result of this sale to Telesat, Loral received a \$13 million sale premium and reversed \$5 million of cumulative intercompany profit eliminations that were recorded when the satellite was being built for Loral. This combined benefit was reduced by the \$11 million elimination of the portion of the benefit applicable to Loral's 64% interest in Telesat, which has been reflected as a reduction of our investment in Telesat, and the remaining \$7 million has been reflected as a gain on our consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

We use the equity method of accounting for our majority economic interest in Telesat because we own 33 1/3% of the voting stock and do not exercise control by other means to satisfy the U.S. GAAP requirement for treatment as a consolidated subsidiary. Loral's equity in net income or loss of Telesat is based on our proportionate share of Telesat's results in accordance with U.S. GAAP and in U.S. dollars. Our proportionate share of Telesat's net income or loss is based on our 64% economic interest as our holdings consist of common stock and non-voting participating preferred shares that have all the rights of common stock with respect to dividends, return of capital and surplus distributions, but have no voting rights. The ability of Telesat to pay dividends and consulting fees in cash to Loral is governed by applicable covenants relating to Telesat's debt and shareholder agreements. Telesat is permitted to pay cash dividends of \$75 million plus 50% of cumulative consolidated net income to its shareholders and consulting fees to Loral only when Telesat's ratio of consolidated total debt to consolidated EBITDA is less than 5.0 to 1.0. For the year ended December 31, 2011, Loral has received cash payments from Telesat for consulting fees and interest thereon of \$3.2 million. Loral did not receive any cash payments from Telesat for consulting fees and interest for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. Through December 31, 2011, Loral has received no dividend payments from Telesat.

F-18

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The contribution of Loral Skynet, a wholly owned subsidiary of Loral prior to its contribution, to Telesat in 2007 was recorded by Loral at the historical book value of our retained interest combined with the gain recognized on the contribution. However, the contribution was recorded by Telesat at fair value. Accordingly, the amortization of Telesat fair value adjustments applicable to the Loral Skynet assets and liabilities is proportionately eliminated in determining our share of the income or losses of Telesat. Our equity in the net income of Telesat also reflects the elimination of our profit, to the extent of our economic interest, on satellites we are constructing for Telesat.

Telesat

We hold equity interests in Telesat Holdco representing 64% of the economic interests and 33 $\frac{1}{3}$ % of the voting interests. Our Canadian partner, Public Sector Pension Investment Board (PSP), holds 36% of the economic interests and 33 $\frac{1}{3}$ % of the voting interests in Telesat Holdco (except with respect to the election of directors as to which it holds a 30% voting interest).

The following table presents summary financial data for Telesat in accordance with U.S. GAAP, as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010	September 30, 2009
Statement of Operations Data:			
Revenues	\$ 817,269	\$ 797,283	\$ 691,566
Operating expenses	(188,119)	(190,632)	(203,417)
Depreciation, amortization and stock-based compensation	(248,012)	(249,318)	(230,176)
Gain on insurance proceeds	136,507		
Impairment of intangible assets	(1,112)		
(Loss) gain on disposition of long-lived assets	(1,499)	3,714	29,311
Operating income	515,034	361,047	287,284
Interest expense	(220,598)	(234,556)	(227,986)
Foreign exchange (losses) gains	(80,991)	159,191	439,160
Gains (losses) on financial instruments	50,731	(76,937)	(148,954)
Other income (expense)	1,964	619	(764)
Income tax expense	(65,271)	(41,177)	(2,185)
Net income	200,869	168,187	346,555

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010
Balance Sheet Data:		
Current assets	\$ 351,802	\$ 291,367
Total assets	5,347,174	5,309,441
Current liabilities	289,351	294,485
Long-term debt, including current portion	2,817,857	2,928,916
Total liabilities	4,045,619	4,145,336
Redeemable preferred stock	138,485	141,718
Shareholders' equity	1,163,070	1,022,387

Following the launch in May 2011 of Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2, an SS/L-built satellite, the satellite's north solar array failed to fully deploy. The north solar array anomaly has diminished the amount of power available for the satellite's transponders and has reduced the life expectancy of the satellite. As a result, during the third quarter of 2011, Telesat carried out an impairment test for the satellite. Based on Telesat management's best estimates and assumptions, there was no impairment in Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2 and as a result no adjustment to the

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

carrying value of the asset was required. In December 2011, Telesat received insurance proceeds of \$132.7 million from its insurers with respect to the claim Telesat filed for the failed solar array deployment.

Gain on disposition of long-lived assets in 2009 results from the transfer of Telesat's leasehold interests in the Telstar 10 satellite and related contracts to APT Satellite for a total consideration of approximately \$69 million.

F-19

Table of Contents

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

XTAR

We own 56% of XTAR, a joint venture between us and Hisdesat Servicios Estrategicos, S.A. (Hisdesat) of Spain. We account for our ownership interest in XTAR under the equity method of accounting because we do not control certain of its significant operating decisions.

XTAR owns and operates an X-band satellite, XTAR-EUR, located at 29° E.L., which is designed to provide X-band communications services exclusively to United States, Spanish and allied government users throughout the satellite's coverage area, including Europe, the Middle East and Asia. XTAR also leases 7.2 72MHz X-band transponders on the Spainsat satellite located 30° W.L., owned by Hisdesat. These transponders, designated as XTAR-LANT, provide capacity to XTAR for additional X-band services and greater coverage and flexibility.

We regularly evaluate our investment in XTAR to determine whether there has been a decline in fair value that is other than temporary. During November 2011 and January 2012, XTAR reduced its revenue forecast for 2012 and subsequent years. We have performed an impairment test for our investment in XTAR as of December 31, 2011, using the January 2012 forecast, and concluded that our investment in XTAR was not impaired. Any further declines in XTAR's projected revenues may result in a future impairment charge.

In January 2005, Hisdesat provided XTAR with a convertible loan in the principal amount of \$10.8 million due February 2011, for which Hisdesat received enhanced governance rights in XTAR. The loan was subsequently extended to December 31, 2011. In November 2011, Loral and Hisdesat made capital contributions to XTAR in proportion to their respective ownership interests, and the proceeds were used to repay the loan balance of \$18.5 million, which included the principal amount and accrued interest. Loral's capital contribution was \$10.4 million.

XTAR's lease obligation to Hisdesat for the XTAR-LANT transponders was \$24 million in 2011, with increases thereafter to a maximum of \$28 million per year through the end of the useful life of the satellite which is estimated to be in 2022. Under this lease agreement, Hisdesat may also be entitled under certain circumstances to a share of the revenues generated on the XTAR-LANT transponders. Interest on XTAR's outstanding lease obligations to Hisdesat is paid through the issuance of a class of non-voting membership interests in XTAR, which enjoy priority rights with respect to dividends and distributions over the ordinary membership interests currently held by us and Hisdesat. In March 2009, XTAR entered into an agreement with Hisdesat pursuant to which the past due balance on XTAR-LANT transponders of \$32.3 million as of December 31, 2008, together with a deferral of \$6.7 million in payments due in 2009, will be payable to Hisdesat over 12 years through annual payments of \$5 million (the Catch Up Payments). XTAR has a right to prepay, at any time, all unpaid Catch Up Payments discounted at 9%. Cumulative amounts paid to Hisdesat for Catch-Up Payments through December 31, 2011 were \$14.2 million. XTAR has also agreed that XTAR's excess cash balance (as defined) will be applied towards making limited payments on future lease obligations, as well as payments of other amounts owed to Hisdesat, Telesat and Loral for services provided by them to XTAR (see Note 17). The ability of XTAR to pay dividends and management fees in cash to Loral is governed by XTAR's shareholder agreements.

XTAR-EUR was launched on Arianespace, S.A.'s (Arianespace) Ariane ECA launch vehicle in 2005. The price for this launch had two components—the first, consisting of a \$15.8 million 10% interest paid-in-kind loan provided by Arianespace, was repaid in full by XTAR on July 6, 2007. The second component of the launch price consisted of a revenue-based fee to be paid to Arianespace over XTAR-EUR's 15 year in-orbit operations. This fee, also referred to as an incentive fee, equaled 3.5% of XTAR's annual operating revenues, subject to a maximum threshold. On February 29, 2008, XTAR paid Arianespace \$1.5 million representing the incentive fee through December 31, 2007. On January 27, 2009, Arianespace agreed to eliminate the remaining incentive fee in exchange for \$8.0 million payable in three installments. As of December 31, 2009, XTAR had paid all three installments and has no further obligations under the launch services agreement with Arianespace. As a result, XTAR's net loss for the year ended December 31, 2009 included a gain of \$11.7 million related to the extinguishment of this liability.

To enable XTAR to make these settlement payments to Arianespace, XTAR issued a capital call to its LLC members. The capital call required Loral to increase its investment in XTAR by approximately \$4.5 million in the first quarter of 2009, representing Loral's 56% share of the \$8 million capital call.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table presents summary financial data for XTAR as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

Statement of Operations Data:

	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Revenues	\$ 37,055	\$ 37,907	\$ 32,038
Operating expenses	(34,734)	(35,724)	(34,594)
Depreciation and amortization	(9,617)	(9,618)	(9,618)
Operating loss	(7,296)	(7,435)	(12,174)
Gain on settlement of Arianespace incentive cap			11,668
Net loss	(11,882)	(12,435)	(4,849)

	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
Balance Sheet Data:		
Current assets	\$ 10,558	\$ 9,290
Total assets	88,033	96,383
Current liabilities	45,704	61,839
Total liabilities	54,614	69,616
Members' equity	33,419	26,767
<i>Other</i>		

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company held various indirect ownership interests in two foreign companies that currently serve as exclusive service providers for Globalstar service in Mexico and Russia. The Company accounts for these ownership interests using the equity method of accounting. Loral has written-off its investments in these companies, and, because we have no future funding requirements relating to these investments, there is no requirement for us to provide for our allocated share of these companies' net losses.

8. Intangible Assets

Intangible Assets were established in connection with our adoption of fresh-start accounting and consist of (in thousands):

	September 30, Weighted Average	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	Remaining	December 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Amortization Period (Years)	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Internally developed software and technology	1	\$ 59,027	\$ (57,173)	\$ 59,027	\$ (54,702)

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Trade names	14	9,200	(2,875)	9,200	(2,415)
Total		\$ 68,227	\$ (60,048)	\$ 68,227	\$ (57,117)

Total amortization expense for intangible assets was \$2.9 million, \$9.2 million and \$11.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Annual amortization expense for intangible assets for the five years ended December 31, 2016 is estimated to be as follows (in thousands):

	September 30,
2012	\$ 2,314
2013	460
2014	460
2015	460
2016	460

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following summarizes fair value adjustments made in connection with our adoption of fresh start accounting related to contracts-in-process, long-term receivables, customer advances and billings in excess of costs and profits and long-term liabilities (in thousands):

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010
Gross fair value adjustments	\$ (36,896)	\$ (36,896)
Accumulated amortization	20,255	19,299
	\$ (16,641)	\$ (17,597)

Net amortization of these fair value adjustments was a credit to expense of \$1.0 million in 2011, a credit to expense of \$2.9 million in 2010 and a charge to expense of \$2.6 million in 2009.

9. Debt Obligations*SS/L Credit Agreement*

On December 20, 2010, SS/L entered into an amended and restated credit agreement (the *Credit Agreement*) with several banks and other financial institutions. The *Credit Agreement* provides for a \$150 million senior secured revolving credit facility (the *Revolving Facility*). On December 8, 2011, the *Credit Agreement* was amended to increase the letter of credit sublimit from \$50 million to \$100 million. The *Revolving Facility* includes a \$10 million swingline commitment. The *Credit Agreement* matures on January 24, 2014 (the *Maturity Date*). The prior \$100 million credit agreement was entered into on October 16, 2008 and had a maturity date of October 16, 2011.

The following summarizes information related to the *Credit Agreement* and prior credit agreement (in thousands):

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010
Letters of credit outstanding	\$ 4,785	\$ 4,911
Borrowings		

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010	September 30, 2009
Interest expense (including commitment and letter of credit fees)	\$ 1,302	\$ 818	\$ 1,168
Amortization of issuance costs	725	1,570	878

The *Credit Agreement* contains customary conditions precedent to each borrowing, including absence of defaults and accuracy of representations and warranties. The *Revolving Facility* is available to finance the working capital needs and general corporate purposes of SS/L.

The obligations under the *Credit Agreement* are secured by (i) a first mortgage on substantially all real property owned by SS/L and (ii) a first priority security interest in substantially all tangible and intangible assets of SS/L and certain of its subsidiaries. There is no Loral guarantee of the facility.

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

SS/L may elect to borrow under the Revolving Facility on either a daily basis or for periods ending in one, two, three or six months. Daily borrowings bear interest at an annual rate equal to 2.75% plus the greater of (1) the Prime Rate then in effect, (2) the Federal Funds Rate then in effect plus 0.5% and (3) the one month Eurodollar Rate then in effect plus 1.0%. Borrowings for periods ending in one, two, three or six months will bear interest at an annual rate equal to 3.75% plus the appropriate Eurodollar Rate. Interest on a daily loan is paid quarterly, and interest on a Eurodollar loan is paid either on the last day of the interest period or quarterly, whichever is shorter. In addition, the Credit Agreement requires the Company to pay certain customary fees, costs and expenses of the lenders.

F-22

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Credit Agreement contains certain covenants which, among other things, limit the incurrence of additional indebtedness, capital expenditures, investments, restricted payments including dividends, asset sales, mergers and consolidations, liens, changes to the line of business and other matters customarily restricted in such agreements. The material financial covenants, ratios or tests contained in the Credit Agreement are:

SS/L must not permit its consolidated leverage ratio as of (i) the last day of any period of four consecutive fiscal quarters or (ii) the date of incurrence of certain indebtedness to exceed 3.00 to 1.00.

SS/L must maintain a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio of at least 3.50 to 1.00 (or 3.00 to 1.00 if SS/L elects to provide a dividend to its shareholders of preferred stock which entitles holders thereof to receive cash distributions based on orbital incentives received by SS/L) as of the last day of any fiscal quarter for the period of four consecutive fiscal quarters ending on such day.

The Credit Agreement restricts the payments SS/L may make to Loral. SS/L is permitted to make payments to Loral to fund tax liabilities and to make annual payments to Loral of up to \$1.5 million as a management fee and up to \$15 million for corporate overhead, subject to restrictions. Additionally, SS/L is permitted to make dividend payments related to its cumulative consolidated net income beginning October 1, 2010, subject to restrictions. Notwithstanding the dividend related to the cumulative consolidated net income amount, though offsetting the amount available for such dividends, SS/L is permitted to pay dividends of up to \$20 million in the aggregate in any fiscal year and \$60 million during the term of the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement also provides that SS/L may make a one-time payment to Loral on or before January 14, 2011 of up to \$66 million. In January 2011, SS/L made a one-time dividend payment of \$50 million to Loral.

SS/L may prepay outstanding principal in whole or in part, together with accrued interest, without premium or penalty. The Credit Agreement requires SS/L to prepay outstanding principal and accrued interest upon certain events, including certain asset sales. If an event of default shall occur and be continuing, the commitments of all lenders under the Credit Agreement may be terminated and the principal amount outstanding, together with all accrued and unpaid interest, may be declared immediately due and payable. Under the Credit Agreement, events of default include, among other things, non-payment of amounts due under the Credit Agreement, default in payment of certain other indebtedness, breach of certain covenants, bankruptcy, violations under ERISA, violations under certain United States export control laws and regulations, a change of control of SS/L and if certain liens on the collateral securing the obligations under the Credit Agreement fail to be perfected. All outstanding principal is payable in full upon the Maturity Date.

Debt issuance costs for the Credit Agreement of approximately \$2.2 million are being amortized on a straight line basis over the life of the Revolving Facility.

10. Income Taxes

The (provision) benefit for income taxes on the income before income taxes and equity in net income of affiliates consists of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010	September 30, 2009
Current:			
U.S. Federal	\$ (12,243)	\$ (4,575)	\$ (2,597)
State and local	(7,679)	(12,026)	(3,166)

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Total current	(19,922)	(16,601)	(5,763)
Deferred:			
U.S. Federal	(61,248)	277,916	669
State and local	(7,975)	47,307	(477)
Total deferred	(69,223)	325,223	192
Total income tax (provision) benefit	\$ (89,145)	\$ 308,622	\$ (5,571)

F-23

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Our current tax (provision) benefit includes an increase to our liability for UTPs for (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010	September 30, 2009
(Increase) decrease to unrecognized tax benefits	\$ (10,593)	\$ (5,517)	\$ 2,817
Interest expense	(4,809)	(5,391)	(4,426)
Penalties	(1,659)	(633)	(701)
Total	\$ (17,061)	\$ (11,541)	\$ (2,310)

For 2011, the deferred income tax provision of \$69.2 million related primarily to our equity in net income of Telesat for the current year after having reversed our valuation allowance in the fourth quarter of 2010.

For 2010, the deferred income tax benefit of \$325.2 million related primarily to (i) a benefit of \$335.3 million from the reversal of a significant portion of our valuation allowance during the fourth quarter after having determined that based on all available evidence, it was more likely than not that we would realize the benefit from a significant portion of our deferred tax assets in the future offset by (ii) a provision of \$10.1 million for the decrease to our deferred tax asset for federal AMT credits.

For 2009, the deferred income benefit of \$0.2 million is detailed above.

In addition to the (provision) benefit for income taxes presented above, we recorded: (i) a deferred tax benefit of \$39.4 million and \$22.3 million for 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to tax adjustments in other comprehensive loss (see Note 3) and (ii) a current state tax benefit of \$1.2 million and \$0.4 million for 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to the excess tax benefits from stock option exercises recorded to paid-in-capital. The Company uses the with-and-without approach of determining when excess tax benefits from equity compensation have been realized. There were no additional items for 2009.

The (provision) benefit for income taxes differs from the amount computed by applying the statutory U.S. Federal income tax rate on income before income taxes and equity in net income of affiliates because of the effect of the following items (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010	September 30, 2009
Tax provision at U.S. Statutory Rate of 35%	\$ (38,497)	\$ (32,583)	\$ (9,441)
Permanent adjustments which change statutory amounts:			
State and local income taxes, net of federal income tax	(9,703)	(31,898)	(16,703)
Equity in net income of affiliates	(37,216)	(29,969)	(73,604)
Losses in litigation	1,542	(583)	(526)
Provision for unrecognized tax benefits	1,457	2,542	(1,356)
Nondeductible expenses	(2,500)	(987)	(2,076)
Change in valuation allowance	375	402,809	96,617
Other, net	(4,603)	(709)	1,518
Total income tax (provision) benefit	\$ (89,145)	\$ 308,622	\$ (5,571)

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

The following table summarizes the activity related to our unrecognized tax benefits (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010	September 30, 2009
Balance at January 1	\$ 132,211	\$ 120,124	\$ 108,592
Increases related to prior year tax positions	1,220	339	8,855
Decreases related to prior year tax positions	(24,745)	(1,933)	(1,969)
Decrease as a result of statute expirations	(1,629)	(1,886)	(3,178)
Decrease as a result of tax settlements	(7,606)	(5,207)	(4,887)
Increases related to current year tax positions	15,842	20,774	12,711
Balance at December 31	\$ 115,293	\$ 132,211	\$ 120,124

F-24

Table of Contents

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state or local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years prior to 2007. Earlier years related to certain foreign jurisdictions remain subject to examination. Various state and foreign income tax returns are currently under examination. However, to the extent allowed by law, the tax authorities may have the right to examine prior periods where net operating losses were generated and carried forward, and make adjustments up to the amount of the net operating loss carryforward. While we intend to contest any future tax assessments for uncertain tax positions, no assurance can be provided that we would ultimately prevail. During the next twelve months, the statute of limitations for assessment of additional tax will expire with regard to UTPs related to Old Loral, as well as several of our federal and state income tax returns filed for 2007 and 2008, potentially resulting in a \$61.0 million reduction to our unrecognized tax benefits.

Our liability for UTPs increased from \$122.8 million at December 31, 2010 to \$139.9 million at December 31, 2011 and is included in long-term liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. At December 31, 2011, we have accrued \$29.0 million and \$24.5 million for the potential payment of tax-related interest and penalties, respectively. If our positions are sustained by the taxing authorities, approximately \$108.1 million of the tax benefits will reduce the Company's income tax provision. Other than as described above, there were no significant changes to our unrecognized tax benefits during the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, and we do not anticipate any other significant increases or decreases to our unrecognized tax benefits during the next twelve months.

In connection with the Telesat transaction, Loral retained the benefit of tax recoveries related to the transferred assets and indemnified Telesat for Loral Skynet tax liabilities relating to periods preceding 2007. The unrecognized tax benefits related to the Loral Skynet subsidiaries were transferred to Telesat subject to the contractual tax indemnification provided by Loral. Loral's net receivable at December 31, 2011 for the probable outcome of these matters is not material. (see Note 17)

At December 31, 2011, we had federal NOL carryforwards of \$380.4 million, state NOL carryforwards, primarily California, of \$244.0 million, and federal research credits of \$5.8 million which expire from 2012 to 2031, as well as federal and state AMT credit carryforwards of approximately \$14.4 million that may be carried forward indefinitely.

The reorganization of the Company on the Effective Date constituted an ownership change under section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. Accordingly, use of our tax attributes, such as NOLs and tax credits generated prior to the ownership change, are subject to an annual limitation of approximately \$32.6 million, subject to increase or decrease based on certain factors. Our annual limitation was increased significantly each year through 2010, the last year allowed for the recognition of additional benefits from our net unrealized built-in gains (i.e., the excess of fair market value over tax basis for our assets) as of the Effective Date.

We assess the recoverability of our NOLs and other deferred tax assets and based upon this analysis, record a valuation allowance to the extent recoverability does not satisfy the more likely than not recognition criteria. We continue to maintain our valuation allowance until sufficient positive evidence exists to support full or partial reversal. As of December 31, 2011, we had a valuation allowance totaling \$10.9 million against our deferred tax assets for certain tax credit and loss carryovers due to the limited carryforward periods and character of such attributes. During 2011, the valuation allowance decreased by \$0.3 million, primarily recorded as a benefit in our statement of operations.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we determined, based on all available evidence, that it was more likely than not that we would realize the benefit from a significant portion of the deferred tax assets in the future and no longer required a full valuation allowance. We based this conclusion on cumulative profits generated in recent periods, as well as our current expectation that future operations would generate sufficient taxable income to realize the tax benefit from certain deferred tax assets. Accordingly, during 2010, our valuation allowance decreased from \$414.0 million to \$11.2 million. Of the \$402.8 million change, which was recorded as a benefit in our statement of operations, \$335.5 million was reversed as a deferred income tax benefit during the fourth quarter of 2010.

During 2009, our valuation allowance decreased by \$73.7 million. The net change consisted primarily of (i) a decrease of \$96.6 million recorded as a benefit in our statement of operations, (ii) an increase of \$7.0 million charged to accumulated other comprehensive loss and (iii) an increase of \$15.9 million offset by a corresponding increase to the deferred tax asset.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The significant components of the net deferred income tax assets are (in thousands):

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, 2010
Deferred tax assets:		
Postretirement benefits other than pensions	\$ 26,685	\$ 25,504
Inventoried costs	20,165	24,666
Net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards	139,070	151,497
Compensation and benefits	24,984	26,996
Deferred research & development costs	3,269	6,575
Income recognition on long-term contracts	22,402	24,686
Investments in and advances to affiliates	6,175	34,227
Other, net	5,850	5,468
Federal benefit of uncertain tax positions	29,576	29,249
Pension costs	93,948	70,268
Total deferred tax assets before valuation allowance	372,124	399,136
Less valuation allowance	(10,887)	(11,228)
Net deferred tax assets	361,237	387,908
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Property, plant and equipment	(27,515)	(23,189)
Intangible assets	(3,289)	(4,480)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(30,804)	(27,669)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 330,433	\$ 360,239
Classification on consolidated balance sheets:		
Current deferred tax assets	\$ 67,070	\$ 66,220
Long-term deferred tax assets	263,363	294,019
Total deferred tax assets	\$ 330,433	\$ 360,239

11. Stock-Based Compensation*Stock Plans*

The Loral amended and restated 2005 stock incentive plan (the "Stock Incentive Plan") allows for the grant of several forms of stock-based compensation awards including stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock bonuses and other stock-based awards (collectively, the "Awards"). The total number of shares of voting common stock reserved and available for issuance under the Stock Incentive Plan is 1,742,879 shares of which 1,158,879 were available for future grant at December 31, 2011. This number of shares of voting common stock available for issuance would be reduced if restricted stock units or SS/L phantom stock appreciation rights are settled in voting common stock. In addition, shares of common stock that are issuable under awards that expire, are forfeited or canceled, or withheld in

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

payment of the exercise price or taxes relating to an Award, will again be available for Awards under the Stock Incentive Plan. Options issued under the Stock Incentive Plan generally have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our stock, as defined, vest over a four year period and have a five to seven year life. The Awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control, as defined in the Stock Incentive Plan.

In June 2009, Michael B. Targoff, Chief Executive Officer of Loral, was awarded an option to purchase 125,000 shares of voting common stock with an exercise price of \$35 per share (the June 2009 CEO Grant). The option was vested with respect to 25% of the underlying shares upon grant, with the remainder of the option subject to vesting as to 25% of the underlying shares on each of the first three anniversaries of the grant date. The option expires on June 30, 2014.

F-26

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The fair value of the June 2009 CEO Grant was estimated using the Hull-White I barrier lattice model based on the assumptions below. There were no stock options granted in 2011 or 2010.

	September 30, Year Ended
	December 31, 2009
Risk free interest rate	2.72%
Expected life (years)	4.67
Estimated volatility	64.77%
Expected dividends	None
Weighted average grant date fair value	\$ 11.39

A summary of the Company's stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2011 is presented below:

	September 30, Shares	September 30, Weighted Average Exercise Price	September 30, Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	September 30, Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands)
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	1,134,915	\$ 28.46	1.3 years	\$ 54,524
Granted		\$		
Exercised	(795,915)	\$ 27.43		
Forfeited		\$		
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	339,000	\$ 30.86	1.5 years	\$ 11,533
Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2011	339,000	\$ 30.86	1.5 years	\$ 11,533
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	307,750	\$ 30.44	1.4 years	\$ 10,599

A summary of the Company's non-vested restricted stock activity for the year ended December 31, 2011 is presented below:

	September 30, Shares	September 30, Weighted Average Grant- Date Fair Value
Non-vested restricted stock at January 1, 2011	6,000	\$ 33.58
Granted		\$
Vested	(2,000)	\$ 33.58

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Forfeited

\$

Non-vested restricted stock at December 31, 2011	4,000	\$	33.58
--	-------	----	-------

On March 5, 2009, the Compensation Committee approved awards of restricted stock units (the RSUs) for certain executives of the Company. Each RSU has a value equal to one share of voting common stock and generally provides the recipient with the right to receive one share of voting common stock or cash equal to the value of one share of voting common stock, at the option of the Company, on the settlement date.

Mr. Targoff was awarded 85,000 RSUs (the Initial Grant) on March 5, 2009 (the Grant Date). In addition, the Company agreed to issue Mr. Targoff 50,000 RSUs on the first anniversary of the Grant Date and 40,000 RSUs on the second anniversary of the Grant Date (the Subsequent Grants). Vesting of the Initial Grant requires the satisfaction of two conditions: a time-based vesting condition and a stock price vesting condition. Vesting of the Subsequent Grants is subject only to the stock-price vesting condition. The time-based vesting condition for the Initial Grant was satisfied upon Mr. Targoff s continued employment through March 5, 2010, the first anniversary of the Grant Date. The stock price vesting condition, which applies to both the Initial Grant and the Subsequent Grants, has been satisfied. Both the Initial Grant and the Subsequent Grants will be settled on March 31, 2013 or earlier under certain circumstances.

F-27

Table of Contents

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The fair value of the RSUs awarded in 2009 that vest upon achievement of a market condition and a time-based vesting condition was estimated using Monte Carlo simulation. Ex-dividend prices were simulated and those prices were used to determine when the price hurdle target will be achieved, if ever. The following assumptions were used to derive the fair value of such RSUs and the period over which the price hurdle target would be achieved:

	September 30, Year Ended
	December 31, 2009
Risk free interest rate	1.581%
Estimated volatility	59.83%
Expected dividends	None
Weighted average grant date fair value	\$ 8.51

C. Patrick DeWitt, formerly Senior Vice President of Loral and Chief Executive Officer of SS/L and currently Chairman of the Board of SS/L, was awarded 25,000 RSUs on March 5, 2009, of which 66.67% vested on March 5, 2010, with the remainder vesting ratably on a quarterly basis over the subsequent two years. All of Mr. DeWitt's RSUs will be settled on March 12, 2012 or earlier under certain circumstances. The fair value of these RSUs is based upon the market price of Loral voting common stock as of the grant date. The weighted average grant date fair value of the award was \$12.41.

A summary of the Company's non-vested RSU activity for the year ended December 31, 2011 is presented below:

	September 30, Shares	September 30, Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Non-vested RSUs at January 1, 2011	70,811	\$ 18.25
Granted	15,000	\$ 64.11
Vested	(61,211)	\$ 15.88
Forfeited		\$
Non-vested RSUs at December 31, 2011	24,600	\$ 52.11

In April 2009, other SS/L employees were granted 66,259 shares of Loral voting common stock, which were fully vested as of the grant date. The grant date fair value of the award is based on Loral's average stock price of \$24.01 at the date of grant.

In June 2009, the Company introduced a performance based long-term incentive compensation program consisting of SS/L phantom stock appreciation rights (SS/L Phantom SARs). Because SS/L common stock is not freely tradable on the open market and thus does not have a readily ascertainable market value, SS/L equity value under the program is derived from an Adjusted EBITDA-based formula. Each SS/L Phantom SAR provides the recipient with the right to receive an amount equal to the increase in SS/L's notional stock price over the base price multiplied by the number of SS/L Phantom SARs vested on the applicable vesting date, subject to adjustment. SS/L Phantom SARs are settled and the SAR value (if any) is paid out on each vesting date. SS/L Phantom SARs may be settled in Loral voting common stock (based on the fair value of Loral voting common stock on the date of settlement) or cash at the option of the Company. SS/L Phantom SARs expire on June 30, 2016.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

A summary of SS/L Phantom SARs granted along with their vesting schedule is presented below. The fair value of the SS/L Phantom SARs in included as a liability in our consolidated balance sheet.

Grant Date	September 30, SARs granted	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2011	September 30, Vesting Date March 18, 2012	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2014
June-2009	225,000	50%	25%	25%		
Oct-2009	217,500	50%	25%	25%		
Oct-2009	65,000	25%	25%	25%	25%	
Dec-2009	32,500	50%	25%	25%		
May-2010	175,000		25%	25%	25%	25%

A summary of the Company's non-vested SS/L Phantom SAR activity for the year ended December 31, 2011 is presented below:

	September 30, Shares	September 30, Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Non-vested SS/L Phantom SARs at January 1, 2011	461,250	\$ 5.17
Granted		
Vested	(178,750)	5.60
Forfeited	(7,500)	9.08
Non-vested SS/L Phantom SARs at December 31, 2011	275,000	\$ 4.78

During fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009, the following activity occurred under the Stock Incentive Plan (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010	September 30, 2009
Total intrinsic value of options exercised	\$ 39,018	\$ 16,889	\$ 1,578
Total fair value of restricted stock vested	\$ 155	\$ 1,493	\$ 1,395
Total fair value of stock awards vested	\$	\$	\$ 1,591
Total fair value of restricted stock units vested	\$ 3,969	\$ 12,687	\$

We recorded total stock compensation expense of \$4.0 million (of which \$2.8 million was or is expected to be paid in cash), \$10.0 million (of which \$7.5 million was paid in cash) and \$9.6 million (of which \$2.1 million was paid in cash) for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested awards were \$2.2 million and are expected to be recognized over a weighted average remaining period of 1.2 years.

12. Earnings Per Share

Telesat has awarded employee stock options, which, if exercised, would result in dilution of Loral's ownership interest in Telesat. The following table presents the dilutive impact of Telesat stock options on Loral's reported net income for the purpose of computing diluted earnings per share

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

(in thousands):

	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010
Net income attributable to Loral common shareholders basic	\$ 126,677	\$ 486,846
Less: Adjustment for dilutive effect of Telesat stock options	(4,352)	(4,177)
Net income attributable to Loral common shareholders diluted	\$ 122,325	\$ 482,669

F-29

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Telesat stock options were excluded from the calculations of 2009 diluted earnings per share because they did not have a significant dilutive effect in 2009.

Basic earnings per share is computed based upon the weighted average number of shares of voting and non-voting common stock outstanding. The following is the computation of common shares outstanding for diluted earnings per share:

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In thousands)	September 30, 2009
Common shares outstanding for diluted earnings per share:			
Weighted average common shares outstanding	30,680	30,085	29,761
Stock options	257	495	48
Unvested restricted stock units	226	206	115
Unvested restricted stock	3	8	4
Unvested SS/L Phantom SARS		93	53
Common shares outstanding for diluted earnings per share	31,166	30,887	29,981

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the effect of certain stock options outstanding, which would be calculated using the treasury stock method and certain non-vested restricted stock and non-vested RSUs were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share, as the effect would have been antidilutive. The following summarizes stock options outstanding, non-vested restricted stock and non-vested restricted stock units excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share:

	September 30, Year Ended
	December 31, 2009 (In thousands)
Stock options outstanding	125
Unvested restricted stock units	8
Unvested restricted stock	30

13. Pensions and Other Employee Benefits*Pensions*

We maintain qualified pension and supplemental retirement plans. These plans are defined benefit pension plans, and members may contribute to the pension plan in order to receive enhanced benefits. Employees hired after June 30, 2006 do not participate in the defined benefit pension plans, but participate in our defined contribution savings plan with an additional Company contribution. Benefits are based primarily on members' compensation and/or years of service. Our funding policy is to fund the pension plan in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code and regulations thereon and to fund the supplemental retirement plans on a discretionary basis. Plan assets are generally invested in equity investments and fixed income investments. Pension plan assets are managed primarily by Russell Investment Corp. (Russell), which allocates

the assets into funds as we direct.

Other Benefits

In addition to providing pension benefits, we provide certain health care and life insurance benefits for retired employees and dependents. Participants are eligible for these benefits generally when they retire from active service and meet the eligibility requirements for our pension plans. These benefits are funded primarily on a pay-as-you-go basis, with the retiree generally paying a portion of the cost through contributions, deductibles and coinsurance provisions.

F-30

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)***Funded Status*

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the changes in the plans' benefit obligations and fair value of assets for 2011 and 2010, and a statement of the funded status as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We use a December 31 measurement date for the pension plans and other post retirement benefit plans.

	September 30, Pension Benefits Year Ended December 31, 2011 (In thousands)		September 30, Other Benefits Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In thousands)	
<i>Reconciliation of benefit obligation</i>				
Obligation at beginning of period	\$ 476,031	\$ 420,076	\$ 62,840	\$ 67,392
Service cost	12,265	10,677	522	672
Interest cost	25,504	24,673	3,198	3,411
Participant contributions	1,469	1,507	2,014	1,968
Plan amendment				(1,386)
Actuarial loss (gain)	57,824	41,826	1,755	(5,085)
Benefit payments	(24,080)	(22,728)	(4,280)	(4,132)
Obligation at December 31,	549,013	476,031	66,049	62,840
<i>Reconciliation of fair value of plan assets</i>				
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of period	289,036	256,166	269	507
Actual return on plan assets	(2,453)	28,133	(2)	2
Employer contributions	34,110	24,932	2,026	1,924
Participant contributions	1,469	1,507	2,014	1,968
Benefit payments	(22,870)	(21,702)	(4,280)	(4,132)
Fair value of plan assets at December 31,	299,292	289,036	27	269
Funded status at end of period	\$ (249,721)	\$ (186,995)	\$ (66,022)	\$ (62,571)

The benefit obligations for pensions and other employee benefits exceeded the fair value of plan assets by \$315.7 million at December 31, 2011 (the unfunded benefit obligations). The unfunded benefit obligations were measured using a discount rate of 4.75% and 5.5% at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Lowering the discount rate by 0.5% would have increased the unfunded benefit obligations by approximately \$36.5 million and \$31.6 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Market conditions and interest rates will significantly affect future assets and liabilities of Loral's pension and other employee benefits plans.

The pre-tax amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 consist of (in thousands):

	September 30, Pension Benefits December 31,	September 30, Other Benefits December 31,
--	---	---

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

	2011	2010	2011	2010
Actuarial (loss) gain	\$ (187,275)	\$ (108,826)	\$ 9,578	\$ 12,402
Amendments-prior service credit	19,954	22,673	2,416	3,144
	\$ (167,321)	\$ (86,153)	\$ 11,994	\$ 15,546

The amounts recognized in other comprehensive loss during the year ended December 31, 2011 consist of (in thousands):

	September 30, Pension Benefits	September 30, Other Benefits
Actuarial loss during the period	\$ (83,828)	\$ (1,768)
Amortization of actuarial loss (gain)	5,379	(1,056)
Amortization of prior service credit	(2,719)	(728)
Total recognized in other comprehensive loss	\$ (81,168)	\$ (3,552)

F-31

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Amounts recognized in the balance sheet consist of (in thousands):

	September 30, Pension Benefits December 31,		September 30, Other Benefits December 31,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Current Liabilities	\$ 971	\$ 1,223	\$ 3,499	\$ 3,526
Long-Term Liabilities	248,750	185,772	62,523	59,045
	\$ 249,721	\$ 186,995	\$ 66,022	\$ 62,571

The estimated actuarial loss and prior service credit for the pension benefits that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic cost over the next fiscal year is \$11.9 million and \$2.7 million, respectively. The estimated actuarial gain and prior service credit for other benefits that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic cost over the next fiscal year is \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

The accumulated pension benefit obligation was \$530.0 million and \$464.2 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

During 2011, we contributed \$34.1 million to the qualified pension plan and \$2.0 million for other employee post-retirement benefit plans. In addition, we made benefit payments relating to the supplemental retirement plan of \$1.2 million. During 2012, based on current estimates, we expect to contribute approximately \$41 million to the qualified pension plan and expect to fund approximately \$3 million for other employee post-retirement benefit plans.

The following table provides the components of net periodic cost for the plans for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	September 30, Pension Benefits For the Year Ended December 31,			September 30, Other Benefits For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Service cost	\$ 12,265	\$ 10,677	\$ 9,436	\$ 522	\$ 672	\$ 863
Interest cost	25,504	24,673	24,447	3,198	3,411	3,965
Expected return on plan assets	(23,552)	(20,641)	(17,176)	(12)	(31)	(50)
Amortization of prior service credit	(2,719)	(2,719)	(2,719)	(728)	(728)	(481)
Amortization of net actuarial loss (gain)	5,379	3,536	4,083	(1,056)	(1,118)	(471)
Net periodic cost	\$ 16,877	\$ 15,526	\$ 18,071	\$ 1,924	\$ 2,206	\$ 3,826

Assumptions

Assumptions used to determine net periodic cost:

	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Discount rate	5.50%	6.00%	6.50%
Expected return on plan assets	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%
Rate of compensation increase	4.25%	4.25%	4.25%

Assumptions used to determine the benefit obligation:

	September 30, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, 2009
Discount rate	4.75%	5.50%	6.00%
Rate of compensation increase	4.25%	4.25%	4.25%

The expected long-term rate of return on pension plan assets is selected by taking into account the expected duration of the projected benefit obligation for the plans, the asset mix of the plans and the fact that the plan assets are actively managed to mitigate risk. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets determined on this basis was 8.0% for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009. Our expected long-term rate of return on plan assets for 2012 is 8.0%.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Actuarial assumptions to determine the benefit obligation for other benefits as of December 31, 2011 used a health care cost trend rate of 9.0% decreasing gradually to 5% by 2019. Actuarial assumptions to determine the benefit obligation for other benefits as of December 31, 2010, used a health care cost trend rate of 9.0% decreasing gradually to 5% by 2018. Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. A 1% change in assumed health care cost trend rates for 2011 would have the following effects (in thousands):

	September 30, 1% Increase	September 30, 1% Decrease
Effect on total of service and interest cost components of net periodic postretirement health care benefit cost	\$ 276	\$ (224)
Effect on the health care component of the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation	\$ 5,310	\$ (4,490)

Plan Assets

The Company has established the pension plan as a retirement vehicle for participants and as a funding vehicle to secure promised benefits. The investment goal is to provide a total return that over time will earn a rate of return to satisfy the benefit obligations given investment risk levels, contribution amounts and expenses. The pension plan invests in compliance with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1974, as amended (ERISA), and any subsequent applicable regulations and laws.

The Company has adopted an investment policy for the management and oversight of the pension plan. It sets forth the objectives for the pension plans, the strategies to achieve these objectives, procedures for monitoring and control and the delegation of responsibilities for the oversight and management of pension plan assets.

The Company's Board of Directors has delegated primary fiduciary responsibility for pension assets to an investment committee. In carrying out its responsibilities, the investment committee establishes investment policy, makes asset allocation decisions, determines asset class strategies and retains investment managers to implement asset allocation and asset class strategy decisions. It is responsible for the investment policy and may amend such policy from time to time.

Pension plan assets are invested in various asset classes in what we believe is a prudent manner for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits to participants. U.S. equities are held for their long-term expected return premium over fixed income investments and inflation. Non-U.S. equities are held for their expected return premium (along with U.S. equities), as well as diversification relative to U.S. equities and other asset classes. Fixed income investments are held for diversification relative to equities. Alternative investments are held for both diversification and higher returns than those typically available in traditional asset classes. Asset allocation policy is reviewed regularly.

Asset allocation policy is the principal method for achieving the pension plans' investment objectives stated above. Asset allocation policy is reviewed regularly by the investment committee. The pension plans' actual and targeted asset allocations are as follows:

	September 30, December 31, Actual Allocation 2011	September 30, 2010	September 30, Target Target	September 30, Target Allocation Target Range
Equities	58%	61%	60%	50-65%
Fixed Income	42%	39%	40%	35-50%
	100%	100%	100%	100%

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The target and target range levels can be further defined as follows:

	September 30, Target Allocation Target	September 30, Target Allocation Target Range
U.S. Large Cap Equities	25%	15-40%
U.S. Small Cap Equities	5%	0-10%
Global Equities	10%	5-20%
Non-U.S. Equities	10%	5-20%
Alternative Equity Investments	10%	0-20%
Total Equities	60%	50-70%
Fixed Income	30%	20-40%
Alternative Fixed Income Investments	10%	0-20%
Total Fixed Income	40%	30-50%
Total Target Allocation	100%	100%

The pension plan's assets are actively managed using a multi-asset, multi-style, multi-manager investment approach. Portfolio risk is controlled through this diversification process and monitoring of money managers. Consideration of such factors as differing rates of return, volatility and correlation are utilized in the asset and manager selection process. Diversification reduces the impact of losses in single investments. Performance results and fund accounting are provided to the Company by Russell on a monthly basis. Periodic reviews of the portfolio are performed by the investment committee with Russell. These reviews typically consist of a market and economic review, a performance review, an allocation review and a strategy review. Performance is judged by investment type against market indexes. Allocation adjustments or fund changes may occur after these reviews. Performance is reported to the Company's Board of Directors at quarterly board meetings.

Fair Value Measurements

The values of the fund trusts are calculated using systems and procedures widely used across the investment industry. Generally, investments are valued based on information in financial publications of general circulation, statistical and valuation services, discounted cash flow methodology, records of security exchanges, appraisal by qualified persons, transactions and bona fide offers.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The tables below provides the fair values of the Company's pension plan assets at December 31, 2011 and 2010, by asset category. The table also identifies the level of inputs used to determine the fair value of assets in each category. The Company's pension plan assets are mainly held in commingled employee benefit fund trusts.

Asset Category	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,		September 30,	September 30,
	Total	Percentage	Fair Value Measurements		Significant	Significant
			Quoted Prices In Active Markets For Identical Assets Level 1 (In thousands)		Observable Inputs Level 2	Unobservable Inputs Level 3
At December 31, 2011:						
Equity securities:						
U.S. large-cap ⁽¹⁾	\$ 60,813	20%			\$ 60,813	
U.S. small-cap ⁽²⁾	18,010	6%	\$ 3,901		14,109	
Global ⁽³⁾	20,273	7%			20,273	
Non-U.S. ⁽⁴⁾	33,781	11%	1,037		32,744	
Alternative investments:						
Equity long/short fund ⁽⁵⁾	16,509	6%			5,952	\$ 10,557
Real Estate Securities fund ⁽⁶⁾	17,689	6%			5,854	11,835
Private equity fund ⁽⁷⁾	6,870	2%				6,870
	173,945	58%	4,938		139,745	29,262
Fixed income securities:						
Commingled funds ⁽⁸⁾	100,178	33%			100,178	
Alternative investments:						
Distressed opportunity limited partnership ⁽⁹⁾	5,217	2%				5,217
Multi-strategy limited partnerships ⁽¹⁰⁾	19,916	7%				19,916
Diversified alternatives fund ⁽¹¹⁾						
Other limited partnerships ⁽¹²⁾	36					36
	125,347	42%			100,178	25,169
	\$ 299,292	100%	\$ 4,938		\$ 239,923	\$ 54,431
At December 31, 2010:						
Equity securities:						
U.S. large-cap ⁽¹⁾	\$ 86,866	30%			\$ 86,866	
U.S. small-cap ⁽²⁾	16,002	6%	\$ 3,783		12,219	
Non-U.S. ⁽⁴⁾	53,101	18%	1,249		51,852	
Alternative investments:						

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Equity long/short fund ⁽⁵⁾	11,993	4%	6,111	\$	5,882
Private equity fund ⁽⁷⁾	6,934	2%			6,934
	174,896	61%	5,032	157,048	12,816
Fixed income securities:					
Commingled funds ⁽⁸⁾	110,152	38%		110,152	
Alternative investments:					
Distressed opportunity limited partnership ⁽⁹⁾	3,598	1%			3,598
Diversified alternatives fund ⁽¹¹⁾	353	0%			353
Other limited partnerships ⁽¹²⁾	37	0%			37
	114,140	39%		110,152	3,988
	\$ 289,036	100%	\$ 5,032	\$ 267,200	\$ 16,804

F-35

Table of Contents

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

- (1) Investments in common stocks that rank among the largest 1,000 companies in the U.S. stock market.
- (2) Investments in common stocks that rank among the small capitalization stocks in the U.S. stock market.
- (3) Investments in common stocks across the world without being limited by national borders or to specific regions.
- (4) Investments in common stocks of companies from developed and emerging countries outside the United States.
- (5) Investments primarily in long and short positions in equity securities of U.S. and non-U.S. companies. We are invested in two funds; one fund has semi-annual tender offer redemption periods on June 30 and December 31 and is reported on a one month lag. The other fund has no limitations on redemptions and is reported on a current basis.
- (6) Investments in real estate through both the private and public sector. The pension plan is invested in two funds of funds. One fund invests in global public real estate securities (REITs) while the second fund invests in private real estate investments. The private real estate fund is valued on a quarterly lag.
- (7) Fund invests in portfolios of secondary interest in established venture capital, buyout, mezzanine and special situation funds on a global basis. The pension plan committed to invest up to \$10 million in this fund. The remaining outstanding commitment at December 31, 2011 is \$1.55 million. The amount invested in the fund, net of distributions, is \$6.45 million and \$7.30 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Fund is valued on a quarterly lag with adjustment for subsequent cash activity.
- (8) Investments in bonds representing many sectors of the broad bond market with both short-term and intermediate-term maturities.
- (9) Investments mainly in discounted debt securities, bank loans, trade claims and other debt and equity securities of financially troubled companies. This partnership has a one year lock-up period with semi-annual withdrawal rights on June 30 and December 31 thereafter. As of December 31, 2011, \$2 million was subject to the lock-up period which will expire in June 2012. This fund is reported on a one month lag.
- (10) Investments mainly in partnerships that have multi-strategy investment programs and do not rely on a single investment model. In 2011, the pension plan invested in two limited partnerships that have multi-strategy investment programs. One partnership has quarterly liquidation rights with notice of 65 days while the second partnership has monthly liquidation rights with notice of 33 days. Both funds are reported on a one month lag.
- (11) Fund is a fund of hedge funds. Fund was closed and unwound its holdings. At December 31, 2010, the remaining assets were sold with the cash proceeds received in 2011.

- ⁽¹²⁾ The pension plan invested in other partnerships that have reached their end of life and have closed and are unwinding their holdings. Mainly partnerships that provided mezzanine financing.

F-36

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The significant amount of Level 2 investments in the table results from including in this category investments in commingled funds that contain investments with values based on quoted market prices, but for which the funds are not valued on a quoted market basis. These commingled funds are valued at their net asset values (NAVs) that are calculated by the investment manager or sponsor. Equity investments in both U.S and non-U.S. stocks as well as public real estate investment trusts are primarily valued using a market approach based on the quoted market prices of identical securities. Fixed income investments are primarily valued using a market approach with inputs that include broker quotes, benchmark yields, base spreads and reported trades.

Additional information pertaining to the changes in the fair value of the pension plan assets classified as Level 3 for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 is presented below:

	Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)							Real Estate Fund	Total
	Private Equity Fund	Equity Long/Short Fund	Distressed Opportunity Ltd. Partnership	Diversified Alternatives Fund	Other Limited Partnership	Multi Strategy Funds			
Balance at January 1, 2010	\$ 6,245	\$ 5,468	\$ 3,204	\$ 3,135	\$ 218	\$	\$	\$ 18,270	
Unrealized gain/(loss)	339	414	394	(884)	(66)			197	
Realized gain/(loss)				(697)	233			(464)	
Purchases	1,300				35			1,335	
Sales	(950)			(1,201)	(383)			(2,534)	
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 6,934	\$ 5,882	\$ 3,598	\$ 353	\$ 37	\$	\$	\$ 16,804	
Unrealized gain/(loss)	786	(325)	(381)	2,521	(1)	(84)	335	2,851	
Realized gain/(loss)				(2,527)				(2,527)	
Purchases	200	5,000	2,000			20,000	11,500	38,700	
Sales	(1,050)			(347)				(1,397)	
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 6,870	\$ 10,557	\$ 5,217	\$	\$ 36	\$ 19,916	\$ 11,835	\$ 54,431	

Both the Equity Long/Short Fund and the Distressed Opportunity Limited Partnership are valued at each month-end based upon quoted market prices by the investment managers. They are included in Level 3 due to their restrictions on redemption to semi-annual periods on June 30 and December 31.

The Multi-Strategy Funds invest in various underlying securities. Each fund's net asset value is calculated by the fund manager and is not publicly available. The fund managers accumulate all the underlying security values and use them in determining the funds' net asset values.

During 2011, the pension plan received the cash proceeds from its the investment balance in the Diversified Alternatives Fund that was closed and liquidating its remaining assets at December 31, 2010.

The private equity fund and limited partnership valuations are primarily based on cost/price of recent investments, earnings/performance multiples, net assets, discounted cash flows, comparable transactions and industry benchmarks.

The real estate fund is a fund of funds. The fund records its investments at acquisition cost and the value is adjusted quarterly to reflect the fund's share of income, appreciation or depreciation and additional contributions to or withdrawals from the underlying funds. The underlying funds' real estate investments are independently appraised at least once per year and debt is marked to market on a quarterly basis.

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

The annual audited financial statements of all funds are reviewed by the Company.

Assets designated to fund the obligations of our supplemental retirement plan are held in a trust. Such assets amounting to \$0.8 million and \$2.1 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, are available to general creditors in the event of bankruptcy and, therefore, do not qualify as plan assets. Accordingly, other current assets included \$0.8 million of these assets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and other assets included nil and \$1.3 million of these assets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Benefit Payments

The following benefit payments, which reflect future services, as appropriate, are expected to be paid (in thousands):

	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
		Other Benefits	
	Pension	Gross	Medicare
	Benefits	Benefit	Subsidy
		Payments	Receipts
2012	27,281	3,873	265
2013	27,952	4,131	278
2014	28,959	4,383	293
2015	29,671	4,551	310
2016	30,426	4,682	321
2017 to 2021	170,801	24,487	1,773

Employee Savings (401k) Plan

We have an employee savings (401k) plan, to which the Company provides contributions which match up to 6% of a participant's base salary at a rate of $66\frac{2}{3}\%$, and retirement contributions. Retirement contributions represent contributions made by the Company to provide added retirement benefits to employees hired on or after July 1, 2006, as they are not eligible to participate in our defined benefit pension plan. Retirement contributions are provided regardless of an employee's contribution to the savings (401k) plan. Matching contributions and retirement contributions are collectively known as Company contributions. Company contributions are made in cash and placed in each participant's age appropriate life cycle fund. For the years ended December 2011, 2010 and 2009, Company contributions were \$11.5 million, \$10.0 million and \$8.7 million, respectively. Participants of the savings (401k) plan are able to redirect Company contributions to any available fund within the plan. Participants are also able to direct their contributions to any available fund.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****14. Financial Instruments, Derivative Instruments and Hedging***Financial Instruments*

The carrying amount of cash equivalents and restricted cash approximates fair value because of the short maturity of those instruments. The fair value of short-term investments, investments in available-for-sale securities and supplemental retirement plan assets is based on market quotations. The fair value of derivatives is based on the income approach, using observable Level II market expectations at the measurement date and standard valuation techniques to discount future amounts to a single present value.

Foreign Currency

In the normal course of business, we are subject to the risks associated with fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. To limit this foreign exchange rate exposure, the Company seeks to denominate its contracts in U.S. dollars. If we are unable to enter into a contract in U.S. dollars, we review our foreign exchange exposure and, where appropriate, derivatives are used to minimize the risk of foreign exchange rate fluctuations to operating results and cash flows. We do not use derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

As of December 31, 2011, SS/L had the following amounts denominated in Japanese yen and euros (which have been translated into U.S. dollars based on the December 31, 2011 exchange rates) that were unhedged:

	September 30, Foreign Currency	September 30, U.S.\$
	(In thousands)	
Future revenues Japanese yen	¥ 50,062	\$ 650
Future expenditures Japanese yen	¥ 2,275,318	\$ 29,567
Future revenues euros	17,635	\$ 22,867
Future expenditures euros	5,317	\$ 6,894

Derivatives and Hedging Transactions

All derivative instruments are recorded at fair value as either assets or liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets. Each derivative instrument is generally designated and accounted for as either a hedge of a recognized asset or a liability (fair value hedge) or a hedge of a forecasted transaction (cash flow hedge). Certain of these derivatives are not designated as hedging instruments and are used as economic hedges to manage certain risks in our business.

As a result of the use of derivative instruments, the Company is exposed to the risk that counterparties to derivative contracts will fail to meet their contractual obligations. The Company does not hold collateral or other security from its counterparties supporting its derivative instruments. In addition, there are no netting arrangements in place with the counterparties. To mitigate the counterparty credit risk, the Company has a policy of entering into contracts only with carefully selected major financial institutions based upon their credit ratings and other factors.

There were no derivative instruments in an asset position as of December 31, 2011. Therefore, there was no exposure to loss at such date as a result of the potential failure of the counterparties to perform as contracted.

Cash Flow Hedges

The Company enters into long-term construction contracts with customers and vendors, some of which are denominated in foreign currencies. Hedges of expected foreign currency denominated contract revenues and related purchases are designated as cash flow hedges and evaluated for effectiveness at least quarterly. Effectiveness is tested using regression analysis. The effective portion of the gain or loss on a cash flow hedge is

recorded as a component of other comprehensive income (OCI) and reclassified to income in the same period or periods in which the hedged transaction affects income. The ineffective portion of a cash flow hedge gain or loss is included in income.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In June 2010 and July 2008, SS/L was awarded satellite contracts denominated in euros and entered into a series of foreign exchange forward contracts with maturities through 2013 and 2011, respectively, to hedge associated foreign currency exchange risk because our costs are denominated principally in U.S. dollars. These foreign exchange forward contracts have been designated as cash flow hedges of future euro denominated receivables.

The maturity of foreign currency exchange contracts held as of December 31, 2011 is consistent with the contractual or expected timing of the transactions being hedged, principally receipt of customer payments under long-term contracts. These foreign exchange contracts mature as follows:

Maturity	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	Euro Amount	To Sell At Contract Rate (In thousands)	At Market Rate
2012	27,244	\$ 32,894	\$ 35,275
2013	27,000	32,967	35,208
	54,244	\$ 65,861	\$ 70,483

Balance Sheet Classification

The following summarizes the fair values and location in our consolidated balance sheet of all derivatives held by the Company as of December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	September 30, Asset Derivatives		September 30, Liability Derivatives	
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments				
Foreign exchange contracts			Other current liabilities	\$ 2,381
			Other liabilities	2,185
				4,566
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments				
Foreign exchange contracts	Other current assets	\$ 1	Other liabilities	56
Total derivatives		\$ 1		\$ 4,622

The following summarizes the fair values and location in our consolidated balance sheet of all derivatives held by the Company as of December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	September 30, Asset Derivatives		September 30, Liability Derivatives	
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments				
Foreign exchange contracts	Other current assets	\$ 4,152	Other current liabilities	\$ 9,451
			Other liabilities	5,360
		\$ 4,152		14,811
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments				
Foreign exchange contracts	Other current assets	\$ 396	Other current liabilities	133
			Other liabilities	63
Total derivatives		\$ 4,548		\$ 15,007

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)***Cash Flow Hedge Gains (Losses) Recognition*

The following summarizes the gains (losses) recognized in the consolidated statements of operations and in accumulated other comprehensive loss for all derivatives for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	September 30, Loss Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)	September 30, Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) Location	September 30, Amount	September 30, Gain (Loss) on Derivative Ineffectiveness and Amounts Excluded from Effectiveness Testing Location	September 30, Amount
Derivatives in Cash Flow					
Hedging Relationships					
Year ended December 31, 2011					
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ (8,821)	Revenue	\$ (17,935)	Revenue	\$ (411)
				Interest income	\$ (1)
Year ended December 31, 2010					
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ (15,790)	Revenue	\$ 6,054	Revenue	\$ 636
				Interest income	\$ (13)

	September 30, Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives Location	September 30, Amount
Cash Flow Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments		
Year ended December 31, 2011		
Foreign exchange contracts	Revenue	\$ (254)

Year ended December 31, 2010		
Foreign exchange contracts	Revenue	\$ 33

We estimate that \$6.5 million of net losses from derivative instruments included in accumulated other comprehensive loss will be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months.

15. Commitments and Contingencies*Financial Matters*

Due to the long lead times required to produce purchased parts, we have entered into various purchase commitments with suppliers. These commitments aggregated approximately \$442 million as of December 31, 2011 and primarily relate to Satellite Manufacturing backlog.

SS/L has deferred revenue and accrued liabilities for warranty payback obligations relating to performance incentives for satellites sold to customers, which could be affected by future performance of the satellites. These reserves for expected costs for warranty reimbursement and support are based on historical failure rates. However, in the event of a catastrophic failure of a satellite, which cannot be predicted, these reserves likely will not be sufficient. SS/L periodically reviews and adjusts the deferred revenue and accrued liabilities for warranty reserves based on the actual performance of each satellite and remaining warranty period. A reconciliation of such deferred amounts for the year ended December 31, 2011 is as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011
Balance of deferred amounts at January 1	\$ 35,730
Warranty costs incurred including payments	(2,131)
Accruals relating to pre-existing contracts (including changes in estimates)	3,514
Balance of deferred amounts at December 31	\$ 37,113

F-40

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Many of SS/L's satellite contracts permit SS/L's customers to pay a portion of the purchase price for the satellite over time subject to the continued performance of the satellite (orbital incentives), and certain of SS/L's satellite contracts require SS/L to provide vendor financing to its customers, or a combination of these contractual terms. Some of these arrangements are provided to customers that are start-up companies, companies in the early stages of building their businesses or highly leveraged companies, including some with near-term debt maturities. There can be no assurance that these companies or their businesses will be successful and, accordingly, that these customers will be able to fulfill their payment obligations under their contracts with SS/L. We believe that these provisions will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or our results of operations, although no assurance can be provided. Moreover, SS/L's receipt of orbital incentive payments is subject to the continued performance of its satellites generally over the contractually stipulated life of the satellites. Because these orbital receivables could be affected by future satellite performance, there can be no assurance that SS/L will be able to collect all or a portion of these receivables. Orbital receivables included in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 were \$355 million, net of fair value adjustments of \$16 million. Approximately \$230 million of the gross orbital receivables are related to satellites launched as of December 31, 2011, and \$141 million are related to satellites under construction as of December 31, 2011.

On October 19, 2010, TerreStar Networks Inc. (TerreStar), an SS/L customer, filed for bankruptcy under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. As of December 31, 2011, SS/L had \$19 million of past due receivables from TerreStar related to an in-orbit SS/L built satellite and other related ground system deliverables and \$16 million of past due receivables from TerreStar related to a second satellite under construction. SS/L had previously exercised its contractual right to stop work on the satellite under construction as a result of TerreStar's payment default. The in-orbit satellite long-term orbital receivable balance, net of fair value adjustment, reflected on the balance sheet at December 31, 2011 is \$16 million. The long-term orbital receivable balance reflected on the balance sheet for the satellite under construction is \$13 million.

In July 2011, the TerreStar Bankruptcy Court approved an agreement between TerreStar and a subsidiary of DISH Network Corporation (DISH Subsidiary) pursuant to which DISH Subsidiary agreed to purchase substantially all of TerreStar's assets. In connection with the sale, pursuant to a Stipulation and Order entered into between TerreStar and SS/L and approved by the TerreStar Bankruptcy Court in July 2011, the parties agreed to amend the satellite construction contract for the in-orbit satellite, the contract for related ground system deliverables and the contract for the satellite under construction, and TerreStar agreed to assume and assign to DISH Subsidiary, and DISH Subsidiary will take assignment of, such contracts as amended. The contract amendments provide for restructuring of certain past due payments and payments to become due as a result of which SS/L will maintain the collective profit position of the contracts and will not realize any impairment to its receivables. In addition, SS/L will be entitled to an allowed unsecured claim against TerreStar in the amount of approximately \$5 million. The assumption will be effective as of the earlier of the closing of the asset sale to DISH Subsidiary or the effective date of confirmation of a plan of reorganization for TerreStar. The assignment will be effective as of the closing of the asset sale to DISH Subsidiary. On February 15, 2012, the TerreStar Bankruptcy Court entered an order confirming TerreStar's plan of reorganization. The effective date of the plan of reorganization and the closing of the asset sale are each subject to a number of conditions, including, among others, FCC and other regulatory approvals. Pending assumption and assignment of the contracts, TerreStar is required to make payments that fall due in the ordinary course of business under the contracts as amended. Assuming closing of the asset sale to DISH Subsidiary and assumption and assignment of the contracts as amended, SS/L believes that it will not incur a loss with respect to the receivables due from TerreStar.

As of December 31, 2011, SS/L had receivables included in contracts in process from DBSD Satellite Services G.P. (formerly known as ICO Satellite Services G.P. and referred to herein as ICO), a customer with an SS/L-built satellite in orbit, in the aggregate amount of approximately \$1 million. In addition, under its contract, ICO has future payment obligations to SS/L that total approximately \$23 million, of which approximately \$11 million (including \$9 million of orbital incentives) is included in long-term receivables. ICO, which sought to reorganize under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in May 2009, has agreed to, and the ICO Bankruptcy Court has approved, ICO's assumption of its contract with SS/L, with certain modifications. The contract modifications do not have a material adverse effect on SS/L, and, although the timing of payments to be received from ICO has changed (for example, certain significant payments become due only on or after the effective date of ICO's plan of reorganization), SS/L will receive substantially the same net present value from ICO as SS/L was entitled to receive under the original contract. In March 2011, the ICO Bankruptcy Court approved an investment agreement pursuant to which DISH Network Corporation (DISH) agreed to acquire ICO. In connection with this investment agreement, in April 2011, DISH purchased certain claims against ICO for cash, including SS/L claims aggregating approximately \$7.0 million plus approximately \$1.4 million of accrued interest. SS/L believes that, based upon completion of the tender offer and other payments by ICO to SS/L under the modified contract, it is not probable that SS/L will incur a material loss with respect to the receivables from ICO. Although, in July 2011, the ICO Bankruptcy Court confirmed a plan of reorganization for ICO, closing of DISH's acquisition of ICO and ICO's emergence from chapter 11 is still subject to certain other conditions, including, FCC regulatory approval.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

See Note 17 Related Party Transactions *Transactions with Affiliates Telesat* for commitments and contingencies relating to our agreement to indemnify Telesat for certain liabilities and our arrangements with ViaSat, Inc. and Telesat.

Satellite Matters

Satellites are built with redundant components or additional components to provide excess performance margins to permit their continued operation in case of component failure, an event that is not uncommon in complex satellites. Thirty-seven of the satellites built by SS/L, launched since 1997 and still on-orbit have experienced some loss of power from their solar arrays. There can be no assurance that one or more of the affected satellites will not experience additional power loss. In the event of additional power loss, the extent of the performance degradation, if any, will depend on numerous factors, including the amount of the additional power loss, the level of redundancy built into the affected satellite's design, when in the life of the affected satellite the loss occurred, how many transponders are then in service and how they are being used. It is also possible that one or more transponders on a satellite may need to be removed from service to accommodate the power loss and to preserve full performance capabilities on the remaining transponders. A complete or partial loss of a satellite's capacity could result in a loss of performance incentives by SS/L. SS/L has implemented remediation measures that SS/L believes will reduce this type of anomaly for satellites launched after June 2001. Based upon information currently available relating to the power losses, we believe that this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or our results of operations, although no assurance can be provided.

Non-performance can increase costs and subject SS/L to damage claims from customers and termination of the contract for SS/L's default. SS/L's contracts contain detailed and complex technical specifications to which the satellite must be built. It is very common that satellites built by SS/L do not conform in every single respect to, and contain a small number of minor deviations from, the technical specifications. Customers typically accept the satellite with such minor deviations. In the case of more significant deviations, however, SS/L may incur increased costs to bring the satellite within or close to the contractual specifications or a customer may exercise its contractual right to terminate the contract for default. In some cases, such as when the actual weight of the satellite exceeds the specified weight, SS/L may incur a predetermined penalty with respect to the deviation. A failure by SS/L to deliver a satellite to its customer by the specified delivery date, which may result from factors beyond SS/L's control, such as delayed performance or non-performance by its subcontractors or failure to obtain necessary governmental licenses for delivery, would also be harmful to SS/L unless mitigated by applicable contract terms, such as excusable delay. As a general matter, SS/L's failure to deliver beyond any contractually provided grace period would result in the incurrence of liquidated damages by SS/L, which may be substantial, and if SS/L is still unable to deliver the satellite upon the end of the liquidated damages period, the customer will generally have the right to terminate the contract for default. If a contract is terminated for default, SS/L would be liable for a refund of customer payments made to date, and could also have additional liability for excess re-procurement costs and other damages incurred by its customer, although SS/L would own the satellite under construction and attempt to recoup any losses through resale to another customer. A contract termination for default could have a material adverse effect on SS/L and us.

SS/L currently has a contract-in-process with an estimated delivery date later than the contractually specified date after which the customer may terminate the contract for default. The customer is an established operator which will utilize the satellite in the operation of its existing business. SS/L and the customer are continuing to perform their obligations under the contract, and the customer continues to make milestone payments to SS/L. Although there can be no assurance, the Company believes that the customer will take delivery of this satellite and will not seek to terminate the contract for default. If the customer should successfully terminate the contract for default, the customer would be entitled to a full refund of its payments, liquidated damages and interest, which through December 31, 2011 totaled approximately \$204 million, plus re-procurement costs. In the event of termination for default, SS/L would own the satellite and would attempt to recoup any losses through resale to another customer.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

SS/L is building a satellite known as CMBStar under a contract with EchoStar Corporation (EchoStar). Satellite construction is substantially complete. EchoStar and SS/L have agreed to suspend final construction of the satellite pending, among other things, further analysis relating to efforts to meet the satellite performance criteria and/or confirmation that alternative performance criteria would be acceptable. In May 2010, SS/L provided EchoStar, at its request, with a proposal to complete construction and prepare the satellite for launch under the current specifications. In August 2010, SS/L provided EchoStar, at its request, additional proposal information. There can be no assurance that a dispute will not arise as to whether the satellite meets its technical performance specifications or if such a dispute did arise that SS/L would prevail. SS/L believes that if a loss is incurred with respect to this program, such loss would not be material.

SS/L relies, in part, on patents, trade secrets and know-how to develop and maintain its competitive position. There can be no assurance that infringement of existing third party patents has not occurred or will not occur. In the event of infringement, we could be required to pay royalties to obtain a license from the patent holder, refund money to customers for components that are not useable or redesign our products to avoid infringement, all of which would increase our costs. We could also be subject to injunctions prohibiting us from using components or methods. We may also be required under the terms of our customer contracts to indemnify our customers for damages relating to infringement. For example, ViaSat, Inc. and ViaSat Communications, Inc. (formerly known as WildBlue Communications, Inc.) have commenced a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California against SS/L and Loral alleging, among other things, that SS/L and Loral infringed certain ViaSat patents and that SS/L breached non-disclosure obligations in certain contracts with ViaSat in connection with the manufacture of satellites by SS/L for customers other than ViaSat. The lawsuit also seeks to hold Loral liable for SS/L s alleged infringement and breach of contract. See *Legal Proceedings* below for details of this lawsuit.

See Note 17 Related Party Transactions *Transactions with Affiliates Telesat* for commitments and contingencies relating to SS/L s obligation to make payments to Telesat for transponders on Telstar 18.

Regulatory Matters

SS/L is required to obtain licenses and enter into technical assistance agreements, presently under the jurisdiction of the State Department, in connection with the export of satellites and related equipment, and with the disclosure of technical data or provision of defense services to foreign persons. Due to the relationship between launch technology and missile technology, the U.S. government has limited, and is likely in the future to limit, launches from China and other foreign countries. Delays in obtaining the necessary licenses and technical assistance agreements have in the past resulted in, and may in the future result in, the delay of SS/L s performance on its contracts, which could result in the cancellation of contracts by its customers, the incurrence of penalties or the loss of incentive payments under these contracts.

Lease Arrangements

We lease certain facilities and equipment under agreements expiring at various dates. Certain leases covering facilities contain renewal and/or purchase options which may be exercised by us. We have no sublease income in any of the periods presented. Rent expense, is as follows (in thousands):

	September 30,
	Rent
	Expense
Year ended December 31, 2011	\$ 16,234
Year ended December 31, 2010	\$ 18,911
Year ended December 31, 2009	\$ 16,337

Property, plant and equipment relating to capital leases was \$3.4 million at December 31, 2011 and nil at December 31, 2010 with accumulated amortization of \$0.7 million and nil, respectively. Depreciation and amortization of assets recorded under capital leases was \$0.7 million in 2011 and nil in 2010 and 2009.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following is a schedule of future minimum payments, by year and in the aggregate, under leases with initial or remaining terms of one year or more as of December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	September 30, Capital Leases	September 30, Operating Leases
2012	\$ 1,201	\$ 10,155
2013	1,201	7,783
2014		6,876
2015		5,747
2016		3,670
Thereafter		4,894
Total minimum lease payments	2,402	\$ 39,125
Less amount representing interest	(159)	
Present value of future minimum lease payments	\$ 2,243	

Legal Proceedings

In February 2012, ViaSat, Inc. and ViaSat Communications, Inc. (formerly known as WildBlue Communications, Inc.) (collectively, ViaSat) commenced a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California against SS/L and Loral, Case No. 3:12-cv-00260-H-WVG. The complaint alleges, among other things, that SS/L and Loral infringed certain ViaSat patents and that SS/L breached non-disclosure obligations in certain contracts with ViaSat in connection with the manufacture of satellites by SS/L for customers other than ViaSat. The complaint also seeks to hold Loral liable for SS/L's alleged infringement and breach of contract. The complaint seeks, among other things, damages (including treble damages with respect to the patent infringement claims) in amounts to be determined at trial and to enjoin SS/L and Loral from further infringement of the ViaSat patents and breach of contract. Although SS/L and Loral intend to engage in discussions with ViaSat to resolve the matter, there can be no assurance that the parties will resolve the matter. If the parties are not able to resolve the matter through discussions and the matter proceeds to trial, SS/L and Loral believe that they each have, and intend vigorously to pursue, meritorious defenses and counterclaims to ViaSat's claims. There can be no assurance, however, that SS/L's and Loral's defenses and counterclaims will be successful with respect to all or some of ViaSat's claims. We believe that SS/L's and Loral's conduct was consistent with, and in due regard for, any applicable and valid intellectual property rights of ViaSat. Although no assurance can be provided, we do not believe that this matter will have a material adverse effect on SS/L's or Loral's financial position or results of operations.

Other and Routine Litigation

We are subject to various other legal proceedings and claims, either asserted or unasserted, that arise in the ordinary course of business. Although the outcome of these legal proceedings and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not believe that any of these other existing legal matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or our results of operations.

16. Segments

Loral has two segments: satellite manufacturing and satellite services. Our segment reporting data includes unconsolidated affiliates that meet the reportable segment criteria. The satellite services segment includes 100% of the results reported by Telesat for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009. Although we analyze Telesat's revenue and expenses under the satellite services segment, we eliminate its results in our consolidated financial statements, where we report our 64% share of Telesat's results as equity in net income of affiliates. Our investment in

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

XTAR, for which we use the equity method of accounting, is included in Corporate.

The common definition of EBITDA is Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization . In evaluating financial performance, we use revenues and operating income before depreciation, amortization and stock-based compensation (excluding stock-based compensation from SS/L Phantom SARs expected to be settled in cash), gain on disposition of net assets and directors' indemnification expense (Adjusted EBITDA) as the measure of a segment's profit or loss. Adjusted EBITDA is equivalent to the common definition of EBITDA before: gains on disposition of net assets, directors' indemnification expense, gains or losses on litigation not related to our operations; other expense; and equity in net income of affiliates.

F-44

Table of Contents

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Adjusted EBITDA allows us and investors to compare our operating results with that of competitors exclusive of depreciation and amortization, interest and investment income, interest expense, gains on disposition of net assets, directors' indemnification expense, gains or losses on litigation not related to our operations, other expense and equity in net income of affiliates. Financial results of competitors in our industry have significant variations that can result from timing of capital expenditures, the amount of intangible assets recorded, the differences in assets' lives, the timing and amount of investments, the effects of other expense, which are typically for non-recurring transactions not related to the on-going business, and effects of investments not directly managed. The use of Adjusted EBITDA allows us and investors to compare operating results exclusive of these items. Competitors in our industry have significantly different capital structures. The use of Adjusted EBITDA maintains comparability of performance by excluding interest expense.

We believe the use of Adjusted EBITDA along with U.S. GAAP financial measures enhances the understanding of our operating results and is useful to us and investors in comparing performance with competitors, estimating enterprise value and making investment decisions. Adjusted EBITDA as used here may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by competitors. We also use Adjusted EBITDA to evaluate operating performance of our segments, to allocate resources and capital to such segments, to measure performance for incentive compensation programs and to evaluate future growth opportunities. Adjusted EBITDA should be used in conjunction with U.S. GAAP financial measures and is not presented as an alternative to cash flow from operations as a measure of our liquidity or as an alternative to net income as an indicator of our operating performance.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Intersegment revenues primarily consists of satellites under construction by satellite manufacturing for satellite services and the leasing of transponder capacity by satellite manufacturing from satellite services. Summarized financial information concerning the reportable segments is as follows:

Segment Information**(In thousands)**

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In thousands)	September 30, 2009
Revenues			
Satellite manufacturing:			
External revenues	\$ 967,432	\$ 1,021,768	\$ 901,283
Intersegment revenues ⁽¹⁾	140,763	143,318	107,401
Satellite manufacturing revenues	1,108,195	1,165,086	1,008,684
Satellite services revenues ⁽²⁾	817,269	797,283	691,566
Operating segment revenues before eliminations	1,925,464	1,962,369	1,700,250
Intercompany eliminations ⁽³⁾	(830)	(6,101)	(15,284)
Affiliate eliminations ⁽²⁾	(817,269)	(797,283)	(691,566)
Total revenues as reported	\$ 1,107,365	\$ 1,158,985	\$ 993,400
Segment Adjusted EBITDA⁽⁴⁾			
Satellite manufacturing	\$ 137,659	\$ 143,076	\$ 90,565
Satellite services ⁽²⁾	629,150	606,651	488,149
Corporate ⁽⁵⁾	(17,170)	(17,866)	(21,371)
Adjusted EBITDA before eliminations	749,639	731,861	557,343
Intercompany eliminations ⁽³⁾	(279)	(1,465)	(1,673)
Affiliate eliminations ⁽²⁾	(629,150)	(606,651)	(488,149)
Adjusted EBITDA	120,210	123,745	67,521
Reconciliation to Operating Income			
Depreciation, Amortization and Stock-Based Compensation ⁽⁴⁾			
Satellite manufacturing	(32,514)	(34,675)	(44,203)
Satellite services ⁽²⁾	(248,010)	(249,318)	(230,176)
Corporate	(1,175)	(1,605)	(3,107)
Segment depreciation before affiliate eliminations	(281,699)	(285,598)	(277,486)
Affiliate eliminations ⁽²⁾	248,010	249,318	230,176
Depreciation, amortization and stock-based compensation as reported	(33,689)	(36,280)	(47,310)

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Gain on disposition of net assets ⁽⁶⁾	6,913			
Directors' indemnification expense ⁽⁷⁾			(6,857)	
Operating income as reported	\$ 93,434	\$ 80,608	\$ 20,211	
Capital Expenditures				
Satellite manufacturing	\$ 36,615	\$ 35,378	\$ 26,426	
Satellite services ⁽²⁾	390,641	254,020	231,654	
Corporate	350	18,679	17,131	
Segment capital expenditures before affiliate eliminations ⁽⁸⁾	427,606	308,077	275,211	
Affiliate eliminations ⁽²⁾	(390,641)	(254,020)	(231,654)	
Capital expenditures as reported	\$ 36,965	\$ 54,057	\$ 43,557	

	September 30, As of December 31, 2011	September 30, 2010
	(In thousands)	
Total Assets⁽⁸⁾		
Satellite manufacturing	\$ 929,408	\$ 920,647
Satellite services ⁽⁹⁾	5,724,418	5,605,239
Corporate	529,501	538,464
Total assets before affiliate eliminations	7,183,327	7,064,350
Affiliate eliminations ⁽²⁾	(5,347,174)	(5,309,441)
Total assets as reported	\$ 1,836,153	\$ 1,754,909

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

- (1) Intersegment revenues include \$140 million, \$137 million and \$92 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, of revenue from affiliates.
- (2) Satellite services represents Telesat. Affiliate eliminations represent the elimination of amounts attributable to Telesat whose results are reported under the equity method of accounting in our consolidated statements of operations (see Note 7).
- (3) Represents the elimination of intercompany sales and intercompany Adjusted EBITDA for a satellite under construction by SS/L for Loral.
- (4) Compensation expense related to SS/L Phantom SARs and restricted stock units paid in cash or expected to be paid in cash is included in Adjusted EBITDA. Compensation expense related to SS/L Phantom SARs and restricted stock units paid in Loral common stock or expected to be paid in Loral common stock is included in depreciation, amortization and stock-based compensation.
- (5) Includes corporate expenses incurred in support of our operations and includes our equity investments in XTAR and Globalstar service providers.
- (6) Represents the gain on the sale of Loral's portion of the payload on the ViaSat-1 satellite and related net assets to Telesat adjusted for elimination of Loral's 64% ownership interest in Telesat (see Note 17).
- (7) Represents indemnification expense, net of insurance recovery, in connection with defense costs incurred by MHR affiliated directors in the Delaware shareholder derivative case (see Note 15).
- (8) Amounts are presented after the elimination of intercompany profit.
- (9) Includes \$2.4 billion of satellite services goodwill related to Telesat as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Revenue by Customer Location

The following table presents our revenues by country based on customer location for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	September 30, For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
United States	\$ 397,389	\$ 645,769	\$ 534,294
Canada	137,610	137,195	92,094
Spain	113,546	85,161	85,499
Bermuda	83,600		
Mexico	82,657	49,157	22
France	80,923	24,657	344

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

People's Republic of China (including Hong Kong)	47,967	44,135	54,677
United Kingdom	40,741	57,976	101,499
Australia	40,067		
Luxembourg	31,107	70,678	61,673
Norway	29,809		
The Netherlands	18,501	26,721	59,509
Other	3,448	17,536	3,789
	\$ 1,107,365	\$ 1,158,985	\$ 993,400

During 2011, three of our customers accounted for approximately 13%, 12% and 10% of our consolidated revenues. During 2010, five of our customers accounted for approximately 19%, 13%, 12%, 12% and 11% of our consolidated revenues. During 2009, three of our customers accounted for approximately 22%, 16% and 10% of our consolidated revenues.

F-47

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****17. Related Party Transactions***Transactions with Affiliates**Telesat*

As described in Note 7, we own 64% of Telesat and account for our ownership interest under the equity method of accounting.

In connection with the acquisition of our ownership interest in Telesat (which we refer to as the Telesat transaction), Loral and certain of its subsidiaries, our Canadian partner, PSP and one of its subsidiaries, Telesat Holdco and certain of its subsidiaries, including Telesat, and MHR entered into a Shareholders Agreement (the Shareholders Agreement). The Shareholders Agreement provides for, among other things, the manner in which the affairs of Telesat Holdco and its subsidiaries will be conducted and the relationships among the parties thereto and future shareholders of Telesat Holdco. The Shareholders Agreement also contains an agreement by Loral not to engage in a competing satellite communications business and agreements by the parties to the Shareholders Agreement not to solicit employees of Telesat Holdco or any of its subsidiaries. Additionally, the Shareholders Agreement details the matters requiring the approval of the shareholders of Telesat Holdco (including veto rights for Loral over certain extraordinary actions), provides for preemptive rights for certain shareholders upon the issuance of certain capital shares of Telesat Holdco and provides for either PSP or Loral to cause Telesat Holdco to conduct an initial public offering of its equity shares if an initial public offering has not been completed by October 31, 2011, the fourth anniversary of the Telesat transaction. The Shareholders Agreement also restricts the ability of holders of certain shares of Telesat Holdco to transfer such shares unless certain conditions are met or approval of the transfer is granted by the directors of Telesat Holdco, provides for a right of first offer to certain Telesat Holdco shareholders if a holder of equity shares of Telesat Holdco wishes to sell any such shares to a third party and provides for, in certain circumstances, tag-along rights in favor of shareholders that are not affiliated with Loral if Loral sells equity shares and drag-along rights in favor of Loral in case Loral or its affiliate enters into an agreement to sell all of its Telesat Holdco equity securities.

Under the Shareholders Agreement, in the event that, either (i) ownership or control, directly or indirectly, by Dr. Rachesky, President of MHR, of Loral's voting stock falls below certain levels or (ii) there is a change in the composition of a majority of the members of the Loral Board of Directors over a consecutive two-year period, Loral will lose its veto rights relating to certain extraordinary actions by Telesat Holdco and its subsidiaries. In addition, after either of these events, PSP will have certain rights to enable it to exit from its investment in Telesat Holdco, including a right to cause Telesat Holdco to conduct an initial public offering in which PSP's shares would be the first shares offered or, if no such offering has occurred within one year due to a lack of cooperation from Loral or Telesat Holdco, to cause the sale of Telesat Holdco and to drag along the other shareholders in such sale, subject to Loral's right to call PSP's shares at fair market value.

The Shareholders Agreement provides for a board of directors of each of Telesat Holdco and certain of its subsidiaries, including Telesat, consisting of 10 directors, three nominated by Loral, three nominated by PSP and four independent directors to be selected by a nominating committee comprised of one PSP nominee, one nominee of Loral and one of the independent directors then in office. Each party to the Shareholders Agreement is obligated to vote all of its Telesat Holdco shares for the election of the directors nominated by the nominating committee. Pursuant to action by the board of directors taken on October 31, 2007, Dr. Rachesky, who is non-executive Chairman of the Board of Directors of Loral, was appointed non-executive Chairman of the Board of Directors of Telesat Holdco and certain of its subsidiaries, including Telesat. In addition, Michael B. Targoff, Loral's Vice Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, serves on the board of directors of Telesat Holdco and certain of its subsidiaries, including Telesat.

As of December 31, 2011, SS/L had contracts with Telesat for the construction of the Nimiq 6 and Anik G1 satellites. Information related to satellite construction contracts with Telesat is as follows:

September 30, 2011	September 30, For Year Ended December 31, 2010	September 30, 2009
	(In thousands)	

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Revenues from Telesat satellite construction contracts	\$	139,911	\$	137,195	\$	92,095
Milestone payments received from Telesat		126,579		168,130		89,419

Amounts receivable by SS/L from Telesat related to satellite construction contracts as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$4.6 million and nil, respectively.

F-48

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

On October 31, 2007, Loral and Telesat entered into a consulting services agreement (the *Consulting Agreement*). Pursuant to the terms of the Consulting Agreement, Loral provides to Telesat certain non-exclusive consulting services in relation to the business of Loral Skynet which was transferred to Telesat as part of the Telesat transaction as well as with respect to certain aspects of the satellite communications business of Telesat. The Consulting Agreement has a term of seven years with an automatic renewal for an additional seven-year term if certain conditions are met. In exchange for Loral's services under the Consulting Agreement, Telesat will pay Loral an annual fee of US \$5.0 million payable quarterly in arrears on the last day of March, June, September and December of each year during the term of the Consulting Agreement. If the terms of Telesat's bank or bridge facilities or certain other debt obligations prevent Telesat from paying such fees in cash, Telesat may issue junior subordinated promissory notes to Loral in the amount of such payment, with interest on such promissory notes payable at the rate of 7% per annum, compounded quarterly, from the date of issue of such promissory note to the date of payment thereof. Our selling, general and administrative expenses for each of the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, included income of \$5.0 million related to the Consulting Agreement. We also had a long-term receivable related to the Consulting Agreement from Telesat of \$20.7 million and \$17.6 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We received payments from Telesat of \$3.2 million under this agreement for the year ended December 31, 2011. No payments were received from Telesat for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

In connection with the Telesat transaction, Loral has retained the benefit of tax recoveries related to the transferred assets and has indemnified Telesat for certain liabilities including Loral Skynet's tax liabilities arising prior to January 1, 2007. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had recognized a net receivable from Telesat of \$0.5 million, representing our estimate of the probable outcome of these tax matters, which is included as other assets of \$2.6 million and long-term liabilities of \$2.1 million in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011. There can be no assurance, however, that these tax matters will be ultimately settled for the net amount recorded.

In June 2011, Loral, along with Telesat Holdco, Telesat, PSP and 4440480 Canada Inc., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Loral (the *Special Purchaser*), entered into Grant Agreements (the *Grant Agreements*) with Daniel Goldberg, Michael C. Schwartz and Michel G. Cayouette (each, a *Participant* and collectively, the *Participants*). Each of the Participants is an executive of Telesat, which is owned by the Company together with its Canadian partner, PSP, through their ownership of Telesat Holdco. The Grant Agreements document grants previously approved and made in September 2008. Mr. Goldberg's agreement is effective as of May 20, 2011, and the agreements for each of Messrs. Schwartz and Cayouette are effective as of May 31, 2011.

The Grant Agreements confirm grants of Telesat Holdco stock options (including tandem SAR rights) to the Participants and provide for certain rights, obligations and restrictions related to such stock options, which include, among other things: (w) the right of each Participant to require the Special Purchaser to purchase a portion of the shares in Telesat Holdco owned by him in the event of exercise after termination of employment to cover taxes that are greater than the minimum withholding amount; (x) the possible obligation of the Special Purchaser to purchase the shares in the place of Telesat Holdco should Telesat Holdco be prohibited by applicable law or under the terms of any credit agreement applicable to Telesat Holdco from purchasing such shares, or otherwise default on such purchase obligation, pursuant to the terms of the Grant Agreements; (y) the obligation of the Special Purchaser to purchase shares upon exercise by Telesat Holdco of its call right under Telesat Holdco's Management Stock Incentive Plan in the event of a Participant's termination of employment; and (z) the right of each Participant to require Telesat Holdco to cause the Special Purchaser or Loral to purchase a portion of the shares in Telesat Holdco owned by him, or that are issuable to him under Telesat Holdco's Management Stock Incentive Plan at the relevant time, in the event that more than 90% of Loral's common stock is acquired by an unaffiliated third party that does not also purchase all of PSP's and its affiliates' interest in Telesat Holdco.

The Grant Agreements further provide that, in the event the Special Purchaser is required to purchase shares, such shares, together with the obligation to pay for such shares, shall be transferred to a subsidiary of the Special Purchaser, which subsidiary shall be wound up into Telesat Holdco, with Telesat Holdco agreeing to the acquisition of such subsidiary by Telesat Holdco from the Special Purchaser for nominal consideration and with the purchase price for the shares being paid by Telesat Holdco within ten (10) business days after completion of the winding-up of such subsidiary into Telesat Holdco.

ViaSat/Telesat

In connection with an agreement entered into between SS/L and ViaSat, Inc. (*ViaSat*) for the construction by SS/L for ViaSat of a high capacity broadband satellite called ViaSat-1, on January 11, 2008, we entered into certain agreements, described below, pursuant to which, we invested in the Canadian coverage portion of the ViaSat-1 satellite. Michael B. Targoff and another Loral director serve as members of the ViaSat Board of Directors.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

A Beam Sharing Agreement between us and ViaSat provided for, among other things, (i) the purchase by us of a portion of the ViaSat-1 satellite payload providing coverage into Canada (the Loral Payload) and (ii) payment by us of 15% of the actual costs of launch and associated services, launch insurance and telemetry, tracking and control services for the ViaSat-1 satellite. SS/L commenced construction of the Viasat-1 satellite in January 2008. We recorded sales to ViaSat under this contract of \$17.7 million, \$34.6 million and \$86.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

On April 11, 2011, Loral assigned to Telesat and Telesat assumed from Loral all of Loral's rights and obligations with respect to the Loral Payload and all related agreements. In consideration for the assignment, Loral received \$13 million from Telesat and was reimbursed by Telesat, for approximately \$48.2 million of net costs incurred through closing of the sale, including costs for the satellite, launch and insurance, and costs of the gateways and related equipment. Also, in connection with the assignment if Telesat agreed that if it obtains certain supplemental capacity on the payload, Loral will be entitled to receive one-half of any net revenue actually earned by Telesat in connection with the leasing of such supplemental capacity to its customers during the first four years after the commencement of service using the supplemental capacity. In connection with the sale, Loral also assigned to Telesat and Telesat assumed Loral's 15-year contract with Xplornet Communications, Inc. (Xplornet) (formerly known as Barrett Xplore Inc.) for delivery of high throughput satellite Ka-band capacity and gateway services for broadband services in Canada. Our consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 included a \$6.9 million gain on this transaction representing the \$13 million of proceeds in excess of costs adjusted for cumulative intercompany profit eliminations and our retained ownership interest in Telesat. During 2010, a subsidiary of Loral entered into contracts with ViaSat for procurement of equipment and services and with Telesat for consulting, management, engineering and integration services related to the gateways that enable commercial services using the Loral Payload. Prior to April 11, 2011, we had made cumulative payments of \$3.9 million to ViaSat and \$1.4 million to Telesat under these agreements.

Costs of satellite manufacturing for sales to related parties were \$124.5 million, \$140.5 million and \$153.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

In connection with an agreement reached in 1999 and an overall settlement reached in February 2005 with ChinaSat relating to the delayed delivery of ChinaSat 8, SS/L has provided ChinaSat with usage rights to two Ku-band transponders on Telesat's Telstar 10 for the life of such transponders (subject to certain restoration rights) and to one Ku-band transponder on Telesat's Telstar 18 for the life of the Telstar 10 satellite plus two years, or the life of such transponder (subject to certain restoration rights), whichever is shorter. Pursuant to an amendment to the agreement executed in June 2009, in lieu of rights to one of the Ku-band transponders on Telstar 10, ChinaSat has rights to an equivalent amount of Ku-band capacity on Telstar 18 (the Alternative Capacity). The Alternative Capacity may be utilized by ChinaSat until April 30, 2019 subject to certain conditions. Under the agreement, SS/L makes monthly payments to Telesat for the transponders allocated to ChinaSat. Effective with the termination of Telesat's leasehold interest in Telstar 10 in July 2009, SS/L makes monthly payments with respect to capacity used by ChinaSat on Telstar 10 directly to APT, the owner of the satellite. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, our consolidated balance sheets included a liability of \$3.7 million and \$6.0 million, respectively, for the future use of these transponders. Interest expense on this liability was \$0.5 million, \$0.7 million and \$0.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we made payments of \$2.7 million to Telesat pursuant to the agreement.

Table of Contents

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

XTAR

As described in Note 7, we own 56% of XTAR, a joint venture between Loral and Hisdesat and account for our investment in XTAR under the equity method of accounting. SS/L constructed XTAR's satellite, which was successfully launched in February 2005. XTAR and Loral have entered into a management agreement whereby Loral provides general and specific services of a technical, financial, and administrative nature to XTAR. For the services provided by Loral, XTAR is charged a quarterly management fee equal to 3.7% of XTAR's quarterly gross revenues. Amounts due to Loral primarily due to the management agreement as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$4.2 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. Beginning in 2008, Loral and XTAR agreed to defer amounts owed to Loral under this agreement, and XTAR has agreed that its excess cash balance (as defined), will be applied at least quarterly towards repayment of receivables owed to Loral, as well as to Hisdesat and Telesat. No cash was received under this agreement for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. Our selling, general and administrative expenses included offsetting income to the extent of cash received under this agreement of \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

MHR Fund Management LLC

Two of the managing principals of MHR, Mark H. Rachesky and Hal Goldstein are members of Loral's board of directors. A former managing principal of MHR, Sai S. Devabhaktuni, was a member of the Loral Board until his resignation in January 2012.

In June 2009, Loral filed a shelf registration statement covering shares of voting common stock and non-voting common stock held by the MHR Funds and Dr. Rachesky, which registration statement was declared effective in July 2009. Various funds affiliated with MHR and Dr. Rachesky held, as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, approximately 38.6% and 38.9%, respectively, of the outstanding voting common stock and as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 had a combined ownership of outstanding voting and non-voting common stock of Loral of 57.7% and 58.0%, respectively.

Funds affiliated with MHR were participants in a \$200 million credit facility of Protostar Ltd. (Protostar), dated March 19, 2008, with an aggregate participation of \$6.0 million. The MHR funds also owned certain equity interests in Protostar. During July 2009, Protostar filed for bankruptcy protection under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware entered an order confirming the plan of reorganization for Protostar and its affiliated debtors on October 6, 2010. The plan provided for the establishment of liquidating trusts for the Protostar debtors' remaining assets, and Protostar commenced distributions on October 21, 2010 to the agent under the above-referenced facility for the benefit of its lenders. The plan of reorganization provided for no recovery by holders of equity interests in Protostar, and all equity interests were deemed cancelled as of the effective date of the plan.

Pursuant to a contract with Protostar valued at \$26 million, SS/L has modified a satellite that Protostar acquired from China Telecommunications Broadcast Satellite Corporation, China National Postal and Telecommunication Broadcast Satellite Corporation and China National Postal and Telecommunications Appliances Corporation under an agreement reached in 2006. This satellite, renamed Protostar I, was launched on July 8, 2008. Pursuant to a bankruptcy auction, Protostar I was sold in November 2009. For the year ended December 31, 2009, as a result of Protostar's bankruptcy process and the sale of the satellite, SS/L recorded a charge of approximately \$3 million to increase its allowance for billed receivables from Protostar.

As of December 31, 2010, funds affiliated with MHR held \$83.7 million in principal amount of Telesat 11% Senior Notes and \$29.75 million in principal amount of Telesat 12.5% Senior Subordinated Notes. As of December 31, 2011, MHR did not own any Telesat Senior Notes or Senior Subordinated Notes.

Table of Contents**LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****18. Selected Quarterly Financial Information (unaudited, in thousands, except per share amounts)**

	September 30, March 31,	September 30, June 30,	September 30, Quarter Ended September 30,	September 30, December 31,
Year ended December 31, 2011				
Revenues	\$ 279,899	\$ 252,422	\$ 268,845	\$ 306,199
Operating income	27,452	23,484	14,371	28,127
Income before income taxes and equity in net income (losses) of affiliates	36,912	26,105	17,189	29,784
Equity in net income (losses) of affiliates	46,246	23,940	(77,262)	113,405
Net income (loss)	67,795	29,626	(77,298)	107,051
Net income (loss) attributable to Loral common shareholders	67,819	29,333	(77,368)	106,893
Basic and diluted income (loss) per share ⁽¹⁾ :				
Basic income (loss) per share	2.21	0.96	(2.52)	3.48
Diluted income (loss) per share	2.10	0.91	(2.52)	3.28

	September 30, March 31,	September 30, June 30,	September 30, Quarter Ended September 30,	September 30, December 31,
Year ended December 31, 2010				
Revenues	\$ 228,914	\$ 279,962	\$ 323,438	\$ 326,671
Operating income (loss)	(16,267)	23,098	39,621	34,156
Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in net income (losses) of affiliates	(13,704)	26,355	41,462	38,981
Equity in net income (losses) of affiliates	44,592	(44,374)	40,011	45,396
Net income (loss)	29,373	(19,665)	72,392	405,241
Net income (loss) attributable to Loral common shareholders	29,373	(19,665)	72,392	404,746
Basic and diluted income (loss) per share ⁽¹⁾ :				
Basic income (loss) per share	0.98	(0.66)	2.40	13.36
Diluted income (loss) per share	0.97	(0.66)	2.29	12.87

⁽¹⁾ The quarterly earnings per share information is computed separately for each period. Therefore, the sum of such quarterly per share amounts may differ from the total for the year.

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE II****LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.****VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS****For the Year Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009****(In thousands)**

Description	September 30, Balance at Beginning of Period	September 30, Charged to Costs and Expenses	September 30, Additions Charged to Other Accounts ⁽¹⁾	September 30, Deductions From Reserves ⁽²⁾	September 30, Balance at End of Period
Year ended 2009					
Allowance for billed receivables	\$ 923	\$ 2,759	\$	\$	\$ 3,682
Inventory allowance	\$ 27,200	\$ 1,042	\$ 55	\$	\$ 28,297
Deferred tax valuation allowance	\$ 487,762	\$ (96,617)	\$ 22,893	\$	\$ 414,038
Year ended 2010					
Allowance for billed receivables	\$ 3,682	\$	\$	\$ (3,459)	\$ 223
Inventory allowance	\$ 28,297	\$ 4,297	\$	\$ (1,224)	\$ 31,370
Deferred tax valuation allowance	\$ 414,038	\$ (402,809) ⁽³⁾	\$	\$	\$ 11,229
Year ended 2011					
Allowance for billed receivables	\$ 223	\$	\$	\$	\$ 223
Inventory allowance	\$ 31,370	\$ (10)	\$	\$	\$ 31,360
Deferred tax valuation allowance	\$ 11,229	\$ (375)	\$ 33	\$	\$ 10,887

⁽¹⁾ The allowance for long-term receivables is recorded as a reduction to revenues. Changes in the deferred tax valuation allowance which have been charged to other accounts have been recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss and other deferred tax assets.

⁽²⁾ Deductions from reserves reflect write-offs of uncollectible billed receivables and disposals of inventory.

⁽³⁾ During the fourth quarter of 2010, we determined, based on all available evidence, that a full valuation allowance was no longer required on our deferred tax assets and, therefore, \$335.3 million of the valuation allowance was reversed as an income tax benefit. In addition, the valuation allowance was reduced by \$67.5 million recorded as benefit to continuing operations.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Chartered Accountants

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Telesat Holdings Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Telesat Holdings Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company), which comprise the consolidated balance sheets as at December 31, 2011, December 31, 2010 and January 1, 2010 and the consolidated statements of income, statements of comprehensive income, statements of changes in shareholders' equity and statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Management's Responsibility for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards and the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained in our audits is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Telesat Holdings Inc. and subsidiaries as at December 31, 2011, December 31, 2010 and January 1, 2010 and their financial performance and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Independent Registered Chartered Accountants

Licensed Public Accountants

February 21, 2012

Toronto, Canada

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Income****For the year ended December 31**

(in thousands of Canadian dollars)	September 30, Notes	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010 (Note 5)
Revenue	6	808,361	821,361
Operating expenses	7	(187,765)	(206,464)
		620,596	614,897
Depreciation	14	(198,626)	(202,183)
Amortization		(41,021)	(45,468)
Other operating gains, net	8	114,068	83,018
Operating income		495,017	450,264
Interest expense	9	(227,051)	(256,582)
Interest and other income		1,554	5,752
Gain (loss) on changes in fair value of financial instruments		98,585	(11,168)
(Loss) gain on foreign exchange		(78,844)	163,966
Income before tax		289,261	352,232
Tax expense	10	(51,986)	(66,131)
Net income		237,275	286,101

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income****For the year ended December 31**

(in thousands of Canadian dollars)	September 30, Notes	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010 (Note 5)
Net income		237,275	286,101
Other comprehensive loss:			
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax		(3,541)	(1,692)
Actuarial losses on defined benefit plans, net of tax	25	(31,077)	(9,450)
Other comprehensive loss		(34,618)	(11,142)
Total comprehensive income		202,657	274,959

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

Telesat Holdings Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

Year ended December 31

(in thousands of Canadian dollars)	Notes	Common shares	Preferred shares	Total share capital	Accumulated earnings (deficit)	Equity-settled employee benefits reserve	Foreign currency translation reserve	Total reserves	Total shareholders equity
Balance at January 1, 2010	5	756,414	541,764	1,298,178	(112,817)	19,906		19,906	1,205,267
Net income for the year					286,101				286,101
Dividends declared on preferred shares					(30)				(30)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax of \$3,357					(9,450)		(1,692)	(1,692)	(11,142)
Share based payments	24					4,667		4,667	4,667
Balance at December 31, 2010	5	756,414	541,764	1,298,178	163,804	24,573	(1,692)	22,881	1,484,863
Balance at January 1, 2011	5	756,414	541,764	1,298,178	163,804	24,573	(1,692)	22,881	1,484,863
Net income for the year					237,275				237,275
Dividends declared on preferred shares					(10)				(10)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax of \$10,486					(31,077)		(3,541)	(3,541)	(34,618)
Share based payments	24					2,654		2,654	2,654
Balance at December 31, 2011		756,414	541,764	1,298,178	369,992	27,227	(5,233)	21,994	1,690,164

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(in thousands of Canadian dollars)	September 30, Notes	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010 (Note 5)	September 30, January 1, 2010 (Note 5)
Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	26	277,962	220,295	154,189
Trade and other receivables	11	46,789	44,083	70,200
Other current financial assets	23	7,010	6,944	7,317
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	12	22,126	20,937	23,001
Total current assets		353,887	292,259	254,707
Satellites, property and other equipment	6, 14	2,151,915	1,978,789	1,898,898
Other long-term financial assets	23	142,408	78,631	21,733
Other long-term assets	13	5,536	12,027	19,031
Intangible assets	6, 15	896,078	945,547	925,921
Goodwill	16	2,446,603	2,446,603	2,446,603
Total assets		5,996,427	5,753,856	5,566,893
Liabilities				
Trade and other payables		45,156	49,974	43,413
Other current financial liabilities		82,988	104,082	102,124
Other current liabilities	17	67,877	62,645	72,121
Current indebtedness	19	86,495	96,848	23,602
Total current liabilities		282,516	313,549	241,260
Long-term indebtedness	19	2,748,131	2,771,802	3,021,820
Deferred tax liabilities	10	451,896	414,717	353,637
Other long-term financial liabilities		259,783	265,629	239,825
Other long-term liabilities	18	422,502	361,861	363,649
Senior preferred shares	20	141,435	141,435	141,435
Total liabilities		4,306,263	4,268,993	4,361,626
Shareholders Equity				
Share capital	21	1,298,178	1,298,178	1,298,178
Accumulated earnings (deficit)		369,992	163,804	(112,817)
Reserves		21,994	22,881	19,906
Total shareholders equity		1,690,164	1,484,863	1,205,267
Total liabilities and shareholders equity		5,996,427	5,753,856	5,566,893

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****For the year ended December 31**

(in thousands of Canadian dollars)	September 30, Notes	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010 (Note 5)
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net income		237,275	286,101
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash flows from operating activities:			
Amortization and depreciation		239,647	247,651
Deferred tax expense	10	51,854	63,852
Unrealized foreign exchange loss (gain)		67,706	(170,016)
Unrealized loss (gain) on derivatives	23	(87,914)	13,955
Dividends on senior preferred shares	20	1,650	2,075
Share-based compensation	24	2,654	4,667
Loss (gain) on disposal of assets	8	1,483	(3,826)
Impairment loss on intangible assets	8	19,468	
Reversal of impairment loss on satellites, property and other equipment	8		(7,923)
Reversal of impairment loss on intangible assets	8		(71,269)
Insurance proceeds	8	(135,019)	
Other		(30,801)	(24,930)
Customer prepayments on future satellite services		57,768	30,982
Insurance proceeds		11,228	
Operating assets and liabilities	26	(13,113)	(29,815)
Net cash from operating activities		423,886	341,504
Cash flows used in investing activities			
Satellite programs		(356,199)	(257,725)
Purchase of other property and equipment		(17,566)	(3,966)
Purchase of intangible assets	29	(12,618)	
Insurance proceeds	8	135,019	
Proceeds from sale of assets		148	26,926
Net cash used in investing activities		(251,216)	(234,765)
Cash flows used in financing activities			
Repayment of indebtedness	19	(108,741)	(34,946)
Dividends paid on preferred shares		(10)	(30)
Satellite performance incentive payments		(5,928)	(5,099)
Net cash used in financing activities		(114,679)	(40,075)
Effect of changes in exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents		(324)	(558)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents		57,667	66,106
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year		220,295	154,189
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	26	277,962	220,295

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information

Interest received	2,121	2,404
Interest paid	242,905	279,053
Income taxes paid	2,329	3,391

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

F-59

Table of Contents

Telesat Holdings Inc.

Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

1. BACKGROUND OF THE COMPANY

Telesat Holdings Inc. (the Company or Telesat) is a Canadian corporation. Telesat is a global fixed satellite services operator providing secure satellite-delivered communications solutions worldwide to broadcast, telecom, corporate and government customers. The Company has a fleet of 12 satellites plus the Canadian Ka-band payload on ViaSat-1 with two more satellites under construction. Telesat also manages the operations of additional satellites for third parties. Telesat is headquartered at 1601 Telesat Court, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, K1B 5P4 with offices and facilities around the world.

On October 31, 2007, Canada's Public Sector Pension Investment Board (PSP Investments) and Loral Space & Communications Inc. (Loral), through a newly formed entity called Telesat Holdings Inc. completed the acquisition of Telesat Canada from BCE Inc. Loral and PSP Investments indirectly hold an economic interest in Telesat of 64% and 36%, respectively. Loral indirectly holds a voting interest of 33 1/3% on all matters including the election of directors. PSP Investments indirectly holds a voting interest of 66 2/3% on all matters except for the election of directors, and a 30% voting interest for the election of directors. The remaining voting interest of 36 2/3% for the election of directors is held by shareholders of the Company's director voting preferred shares.

2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Statement of Compliance

The consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). The Company, as a first-time adopter of IFRS, has followed the requirements of *IFRS 1, First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards* (IFRS 1). The first date on which IFRS was applied was January 1, 2010. The accounting policies described in note 3 were consistently applied to all the periods presented.

Approval of Financial Statements

These financial statements were approved by the Company's Board of Directors and authorized for issue on February 21, 2012.

Transition to International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS)

The Company's consolidated financial statements were previously prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles (Canadian GAAP). Canadian GAAP differs in some areas from IFRS. In preparing these consolidated financial statements, the Company has amended certain accounting and measurement methods previously applied in the Canadian GAAP financial statements to comply with IFRS. Note 5 of these consolidated financial statements contains reconciliations and descriptions of the impact of the transition from Canadian GAAP to IFRS on equity, income and comprehensive income as at December 31, 2010. Note 5 also has the January 1, 2010 reconciliation of shareholders' equity. In addition the note discloses the reconciliation for the consolidated statement of income and consolidated statement of comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2010 and a line by line reconciliation of the consolidated balance sheets as at January 1, 2010 and December 31, 2010.

Basis of Consolidation

These consolidated financial statements include the results of the Company and subsidiaries controlled by the Company. Control is achieved when the Company has the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities. The most significant wholly owned subsidiaries are listed in note 28.

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the historical cost basis except for financial instruments which are measured at fair values, as explained in the accounting policies below. Historical cost is based on the fair value of the consideration given in exchange for assets.

Table of Contents

Telesat Holdings Inc.

Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Segment Reporting

The Company's operating segments are organized around the group's service lines, which represent the group's business activities. The operating segments are reported in a manner consistent with the internal reporting provided to the Company's Chief Operating Decision Maker (the CODM), who is the Company's Chief Executive Officer. To be reported, a segment is usually based on quantitative thresholds but can also encompass qualitative factors management deems significant. The Company operates in a single industry segment, in which it provides satellite-based services to its broadcast, enterprise and consulting customers around the world.

Foreign Currency Translation

Unless otherwise specified, all figures reported in the consolidated financial statements and associated note disclosures are presented in Canadian dollars, which is the functional and presentation currency of the Company. Each of the subsidiaries of the Company determines its own functional currency and uses that currency to measure items on its separate financial statements.

Upon consolidation of the Company's foreign operations having a functional currency other than the Canadian dollar, assets and liabilities are translated at the period-end exchange rate, and revenue and expenses are translated at average exchange rates for the period. Gains or losses on translation of foreign subsidiaries are recognized in other comprehensive income (OCI).

On the financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries, foreign currency non-monetary assets and liabilities are translated at their historical exchange rates, foreign currency monetary assets and liabilities are translated at the period-end exchange rates, and foreign denominated revenue and expenses are translated at average exchange rates for the period. Gains or losses on translation of these items are recognized as a component of net income.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

All highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less are classified as cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents are comprised of cash on hand, demand deposits and short term investments. Restricted cash expected to be used within the next twelve months has been classified as cash and cash equivalents.

Revenue Recognition

Telesat recognizes revenue when earned, as services are rendered or as products are delivered to customers. Revenue is measured at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable. There must be clear evidence that an arrangement exists, the amount of revenue must be known or determinable and collectability must be reasonably assured. Revenue from a contract to sell services is recognized as follows:

Consulting revenue for cost plus contracts are recognized after the work has been completed and accepted by the customer.

The percentage of completion method is used for fixed price consulting revenue contracts. Percentage of completion is measured by comparing actual cost incurred to total cost expected.

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Equipment sales revenue is recognized when the equipment is delivered to and accepted by the customer. Only equipment sales are subject to warranty or return and there is no general right of return.

Historically Telesat has not incurred significant expense for warranties and consequently no provision for warranty is recorded. When a transaction involves more than one product or service, revenue is allocated to each deliverable based on its relative fair value; otherwise, revenue is recognized as products are delivered or as services are provided over the term of the customer contract. When it is questionable whether or not Telesat is the principal in a transaction, the transaction is evaluated to determine whether it should be recorded on a gross or net basis.

F-61

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements****(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)****3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)*****Deferred Revenue***

Deferred revenue represents the Company's liability for the provision of future services and is classified on the balance sheet in other current liabilities and other long-term liabilities. Deferred revenue consists of remuneration received in advance of the provision of service and is recognized in income on a straight-line basis over the term of the related customer contract.

Borrowing Costs

Borrowing costs are incurred on the Company's debt financing. Borrowing costs directly attributable to the acquisition, production or construction of a qualifying asset are added to the cost of that asset. The Company has defined a qualifying asset as an asset that takes longer than twelve months to get ready for its intended use or sale. Capitalization of borrowing costs continues until such time as the asset is substantially ready for its intended use or ready for sale. Borrowing costs are determined based on specific financing related to the asset or in the absence of specific financing, the borrowing costs are calculated on the basis of a capitalization rate which is equal to the Company's average cost of debt. All other borrowing costs are expensed in the period in which they are incurred.

Satellites, Property and Other Equipment

Satellites, property and other equipment, which are carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses, include the contractual cost of equipment, capitalized engineering costs, and with respect to satellites, the cost of launch services, launch insurance and capitalized borrowing costs during construction.

Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the respective estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimates of useful lives are reviewed at least annually and adjusted prospectively if necessary. Below are the estimated useful lives in years of satellites, property and other equipment as of December 31, 2011.

	September 30, Years
Satellites	12 15
Property and other equipment	3 30

Construction in progress is not depreciated as depreciation only starts when the asset is ready for its intended use. For satellites, depreciation commences on the day the satellite becomes available for service and continues until the accumulated depreciation equals the amount of the cost.

Liabilities related to decommissioning and restoration of retiring property and equipment are measured at fair value with a corresponding increase to the carrying amount of the related asset. The liability is accreted over the period of expected cash flows with a corresponding charge to interest expense. The liabilities recorded to date have not been significant and are reassessed at the end of each reporting period. There are no decommissioning or restoration obligations for satellites.

In the event of an unsuccessful launch or total in-orbit satellite failure, all unamortized costs that are not recoverable under launch or in-orbit insurance are recorded as an operating expense.

Table of Contents

Telesat Holdings Inc.

Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

The investment in each satellite will be removed from the property accounts when the satellite has been fully depreciated and is no longer in service. When other property is retired from operations at the end of its useful life, the amount of the asset and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts. Earnings are credited with the amount of any net salvage and charged with any net cost of removal. When an item is sold prior to the end of its useful life, the gain or loss is recognized in income immediately.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Tangible fixed assets and finite life intangible assets are assessed for impairment on a quarterly basis or more frequently when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of assets exceeds the recoverable amount.

An impairment test consists of assessing the recoverable amount of an asset, which is the higher of its fair value less cost to sell and its value in use. If it is not practicable to estimate the recoverable amount for a particular asset, the Company determines the recoverable amount of the cash generating unit (CGU) with which it is associated. A cash generating unit is the smallest identifiable group of assets that generates cash inflows which are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets.

The Company estimates value in use on the basis of the estimated future cash flows to be generated by an asset or CGU. These future cash flows are based on the Company's latest business plan information approved by senior management and are discounted using rates that best reflect the time value of money and the specific risks associated with the underlying asset or assets in the CGU.

The fair value less cost to sell is the amount obtainable from the sale of the asset or CGU in the course of an arm's length transaction between interested, knowledgeable and willing parties, less selling costs.

An impairment loss is the amount by which the carrying amount of an asset or CGU exceeds its recoverable amount. Impairment losses and reversals of impairment losses are recognized in Other operating gains (losses).

When an impairment loss subsequently reverses, the carrying amount of the asset (or CGU) is increased to the revised estimate of its recoverable amount, so that the increased carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognized for the asset (or CGU) in prior years. A reversal of an impairment loss is recognized immediately in Other operating gains (losses).

Deferred Satellite Performance Incentive Payments

Deferred satellite performance incentive payments are obligations payable to satellite manufacturers over the lives of certain satellites. The present value of the payments are capitalized as part of the cost of the satellite and recognized in income as part of the depreciation of the satellite.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The Company accounts for business combinations using the acquisition method of accounting, which establishes specific criteria for the recognition of intangible assets separately from goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess between the total of the consideration transferred over the fair value of net assets acquired. After initial recognition at cost, goodwill is measured at cost less any cumulative impairment charge. The Company distinguishes intangible assets between assets with finite and indefinite useful lives. Intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are comprised of the Company's trade name and orbital slots.

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Finite life intangible assets, which are carried at cost less accumulated amortization, consist of revenue backlog, customer relationships, customer contract, concession rights, transponder rights and patents. Intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method of amortization, except for revenue backlog which is based on the expected period of recognition of the related revenue.

	September 30, Years
Revenue backlog	4 to 17
Customer relationships	11 to 21
Customer contract	15
Concession rights	15
Transponder rights	6 to 14
Patents	18

The estimates of useful lives are reviewed every year and adjusted prospectively if necessary.

Impairment of Goodwill and Indefinite Life Intangible Assets

An assessment for impairment of goodwill and indefinite life intangible assets is performed annually, or more frequently whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of these assets are likely to exceed their recoverable amount, which is the higher of fair value less cost to sell and value in use. Goodwill is tested for impairment at the entity level as this represents the lowest level within the entity at which the goodwill is monitored for internal management purposes, and is not larger than an operating segment. Indefinite life intangibles have not been allocated to any CGU and are tested for impairment at the asset level.

An impairment test consists of assessing the recoverable amount of an asset, which is the higher of its fair value less cost to sell and its value in use.

Goodwill

In performing the goodwill impairment analysis, the Company uses the income approach as well as the market approach in the determination of the fair value of goodwill at the entity level. Under the income approach, the sum of the projected discounted cash flows for the next five years in addition to a terminal value are used to determine the fair value at the entity level. In this model, significant assumptions used include: revenue, expenses, capital expenditures, working capital, terminal growth rate and discount rate.

Under the market based approach, the fair value of the reporting unit is determined based on market multiples derived from comparable public companies. As part of that analysis, assumptions are made regarding comparability of selected companies including revenue, earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization multiples for valuation purposes, growth rates, size and overall profitability.

Under both approaches, all assumptions used in the model, with the exception of the discount rate, are based on management's best estimates. The discount rates are consistent with external sources of information.

Trade name

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

For the purposes of impairment testing, the fair value of the trade name was determined using an income approach, specifically the relief from royalties method. The relief from royalty method is comprised of two major steps: i) a determination of the hypothetical royalty rate, and ii) the subsequent application of the royalty rate to projected revenue. In determining the hypothetical royalty rate in the relief from royalty approach, the Company considered comparable license agreements, operating earnings benchmark rule of thumb, an excess earnings analysis to determine aggregate intangible asset earnings, and other qualitative factors. The key assumptions used included the tax rate and discount rate.

F-64

Table of Contents

Telesat Holdings Inc.

Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Orbital slots

In performing the orbital slots impairment analysis, the Company estimated fair value using the build up method to determine the cash flows for the income approach, with the resulting projected cash flows discounted at an appropriate weighted average cost of capital. In instances where the build up method did not generate positive value for an orbital slot, but the orbital slot was expected to generate revenue, a value was assigned based upon independent source data for recent transactions of similar orbital slots.

Under the build up approach, the amount an investor would be willing to pay for an orbital slot to operate a satellite business is calculated by first estimating the cash flows that typical market participants would assume could be available from the operation of satellites using the subject slot in a similar market. It was assumed that rather than acquiring such a business as a going concern, the buyer would hypothetically obtain a slot and build a new operation with similar attributes from scratch. Thus the buyer or builder is considered to incur the start-up costs and losses typically associated with the going concern value and pay for all other tangible and intangible assets.

The key assumptions used in estimating the recoverable amounts of the orbital slots included i) market penetration leading to revenue growth, ii) profit margin, iii) duration and profile of the build up period, iv) estimated start-up costs and losses incurred during the build up period and v) the weighted average cost of capital.

Financial Instruments

Telesat uses derivative financial instruments to manage its exposure to foreign exchange rate risk associated with anticipated purchases and with debt denominated in foreign currencies, as well as to reduce its exposure to interest rate risk associated with debt. Currently, Telesat does not designate any of its derivative financial instruments as hedging instruments for accounting purposes. All realized and unrealized gains and losses on these derivative financial instruments are recorded in the statement of income and included as part of gain (loss) on changes in fair value of financial instruments.

Financial assets and financial liabilities that are classified as held-for-trading (HFT) are measured at fair value. The unrealized gains and losses relating to the HFT assets and liabilities are recorded in the consolidated statement of income included in gain (loss) on changes in fair value of financial instruments. Loans and receivables and other liabilities are recorded at amortized cost in accordance with the effective interest rate method.

Derivatives, including embedded derivatives that must be separately accounted for, are recorded at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet at inception and marked to market at each reporting period thereafter. Derivatives embedded in other financial instruments are treated as separate derivatives when their risk and characteristics are not closely related to those of the host contract and the host contract is measured separately according to its characteristics.

The Company accounts for embedded foreign currency derivatives in a host contract as a single instrument where the contract requires payments denominated in the currency that is commonly used in contracts to procure non-financial items in the economic environment in which Telesat transacts.

Transaction costs for financial instruments classified as HFT are expensed as incurred. Transaction costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition of the financial assets and financial liabilities (other than HFT) are added or deducted from the fair value of the financial asset and financial liability on initial recognition.

Financing Costs

The debt issuance costs related to the revolving Canadian dollar denominated credit facility and the Canadian term loan facility are accounted for as short-term and long-term deferred charges and included in Prepaid expenses and other current assets and Other long-term assets. The deferred charges are amortized to interest expense on a straight-line basis. All other debt issuance costs are amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method.

F-65

Table of Contents

Telesat Holdings Inc.

Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Employee Benefit Plans

Telesat maintains one contributory and three non-contributory defined benefit pension plans which provide benefits based on length of service and rate of pay. Telesat is responsible for adequately funding these defined benefit pension plans. Contributions are made based on actuarial cost methods that are permitted by pension regulatory bodies and reflect assumptions about future investment returns, salary projections and future service benefits. Telesat also provides other post-employment and retirement benefits, including health care and life insurance benefits on retirement and various disability plans, workers compensation and medical benefits to former or inactive employees, their beneficiaries and covered dependents, after employment but before retirement, under certain circumstances. The Company accrues the present value of its obligations under employee benefit plans and the related costs, adjusted for any unrecognized past service cost and reduced by the fair value of plan assets. Any asset resulting from this calculation is limited to any unrecognized past service cost plus the present value of available refunds and reductions in future contributions to the plan. Pension costs and other retirement benefits are determined using the projected benefit method prorated on service and management's best estimate of expected investment performance, salary escalation, retirement ages of employees and expected health care costs.

Pension plan assets are valued at fair value which is also the basis used for calculating the expected rate of return on plan assets. The discount rate is based on the market interest rate of high quality bonds as determined in accordance with guidance described by the Canadian Institute of Actuaries in an Educational Note dated September 2011. Past service costs arising from plan amendments are recognized immediately to the extent that the benefits are already vested, and otherwise are amortized on a straight-line basis over the average remaining vesting period. All actuarial gains and losses are recognized immediately in other comprehensive income in the period in which they occur and recognized in accumulated earnings (deficit). A valuation is performed at least every three years to determine the present value of the accrued pension and other retirement benefits. The 2010 pension expense calculations are extrapolated from a valuation performed as of January 1, 2007 while the 2011 pension expense calculations are extrapolated from the calculation performed as of January 1, 2010. The accrued benefit obligation is extrapolated from an actuarial valuation as of January 1, 2010. The most recent valuation of the pension plans for funding purposes was as of January 1, 2011, and the next required valuation is as of January 1, 2012.

In addition, Telesat provides certain health care and life insurance benefits for retired employees. These benefits are funded primarily on a pay-as-go basis, with the retiree generally paying a portion of the cost through contributions, deductibles and co-insurance provisions. Payments to defined contribution retirement benefit plans are recognized as an expense when employees have rendered service entitling them to the contributions.

Share-Based Compensation Plan

The Company offers an equity-settled share-based incentive plan for certain key employees under which it receives services from employees in exchange for equity instruments of the Company. The expense is based on fair value of the awards granted using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The expense is recognized over the vesting period, which is the period over which all of the specified vesting conditions are satisfied, with a corresponding increase in equity. For awards with graded vesting, the fair value of each tranche is recognized over the respective vesting period.

Table of Contents

Telesat Holdings Inc.

Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Inventory

Inventories are valued at lower of cost and net realizable value and consist of finished goods and work in process. Cost for substantially all network equipment inventories is determined on a weighted average cost basis. Cost for work in process and certain one-of-a-kind finished goods is determined using the specific identification method.

Income Taxes

Current income tax is measured at the amount expected to be paid to the taxation authorities, net of recoveries, based on the tax rates and laws enacted or substantively enacted at the balance sheet date.

Income tax expense, comprised of current and deferred income tax, is recognized in income except to the extent it relates to items recognized in other comprehensive income or equity, in which case the income tax expense is recognized in other comprehensive income or equity, respectively.

Deferred taxes are the result of temporary differences arising between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their carrying amount. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured at the tax rates that are expected to apply in the period where the asset is realized or the liability is settled, based on tax rates and laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted at the balance sheet date.

Deferred tax assets are recognized for all deductible temporary differences to the extent that it is probable that taxable profit will be available against which the deductible temporary difference can be utilized.

The carrying amount of deferred tax assets is reviewed at each balance sheet date and reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that the deferred tax assets will be realized. Unrecognized deferred tax assets are reassessed at each balance sheet date and recognized to the extent that it has become probable that future taxable profit will allow the deferred tax assets to be recovered.

Deferred tax liabilities are recognized for all taxable temporary differences except when the deferred tax liability arises from the initial recognition of goodwill or the initial recognition of an asset or liability in a transaction which is not a business combination. For taxable temporary differences associated with investments in subsidiaries, a deferred tax liability is recognized unless the parent can control the timing of the reversal of the temporary difference and it is probable that the temporary difference will not reverse in the foreseeable future.

Future Changes in Accounting Policies

The IASB recently issued a number of new accounting standards. The new standards determined to be applicable to the Company are disclosed below. The remaining standards have been excluded as they are not applicable.

Financial instruments

IFRS 9, *Financial Instruments* (IFRS 9) was issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) on October 28, 2010, and will replace IAS 39, *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement* (IAS 39). IFRS 9 uses a single approach to determine whether a financial asset is measured at amortized cost or fair value, replacing multiple rules in IAS 39. The approach in IFRS 9 is based on how an entity manages its financial instruments in the context of its business model and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial assets. Two measurement categories continue to exist to account for financial liabilities in IFRS 9, fair value through profit or loss (FVTPL) and amortized

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

cost. Financial liabilities held for trading are measured at FVTPL, and all other financial liabilities are measured at amortized cost unless the fair value option is applied. The treatment of embedded derivatives under the new standard is consistent with IAS 39 and is applied to financial liabilities and non-derivative hosts not within the scope of this standard.

F-67

Table of Contents

Telesat Holdings Inc.

Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

IFRS 9 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of IFRS 9 on its consolidated financial statements.

Accounting for post employment benefits

On June 16, 2011, the IASB issued the amended version of IAS 19, *Employee Benefits* (IAS 19). The amendments make changes in eliminating the accounting option to defer the recognition of actuarial gains and losses, streamlining the presentation of changes in assets and liabilities arising from defined benefit plans as well as amendments to disclosure requirements. Changes in the defined benefit obligation and plan assets are disaggregated into three components: service costs, net interest on the net defined benefit obligation (asset) and remeasurements of the net defined benefit obligation (asset). The revised standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 with earlier application permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of revised IAS 19 on its consolidated financial statements.

Fair value measurement and disclosure requirements

IASB issued IFRS 13, *Fair value measurement* (IFRS 13) on May 12, 2011. IFRS 13 provides guidance on how fair value measurement should be applied whenever its use is already required or permitted by other standards within IFRS. IFRS 13 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 with earlier application permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of revised IFRS 13 on its consolidated financial statements.

4. CRITICAL ACCOUNTING JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES

Critical judgments in applying accounting policies

The following are the critical judgments made in applying the Company's accounting policies which have the most significant effect on the amounts reported in the financial statements:

Revenue recognition

The Company's accounting policy relating to revenue recognition is described in note 3. The percentage of completion method is used for fixed price consulting revenue contracts and requires judgment by management to determine the appropriateness of using the method for revenue recognition as this method requires the ability to accurately estimate costs incurred and accurately estimate costs required to complete contracts.

Uncertain income tax positions

The Company operates in numerous jurisdictions and is subject to country-specific tax laws. Management uses significant judgment when determining the worldwide provision for tax and estimates provisions for uncertain tax positions as the amounts expected to be paid based on a qualitative assessment of all relevant factors. In the assessment, management considers risk with respect to tax matters under active discussion, audit, dispute or appeal with tax authorities, or which are otherwise considered to involve uncertainty. Management reviews the provisions at each balance sheet date.

IFRIC 4 Determining whether an arrangement contains a lease

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

The Company assesses for each new arrangement whether it contains a lease based on IFRIC 4. The determination of whether an arrangement is, or contains a lease, is based on the substance of the arrangement at inception date or whether the fulfillment of the arrangement is dependent on the use of a specific asset or assets or the arrangement conveys a right to use the asset. If contracts contain a lease arrangement, the leases are classified as finance leases whenever the terms of the lease transfer substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership to the lessee. All other leases are classified as operating leases.

F-68

Table of Contents

Telesat Holdings Inc.

Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

4. CRITICAL ACCOUNTING JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES (continued)

Critical accounting estimates and assumptions

The Company makes accounting estimates and assumptions that affect the carrying value of assets and liabilities, reported net income and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Estimates and assumptions are based on historical experience, current events and other relevant factors, therefore, actual results will differ and could be material. The accounting estimates and assumptions critical to the determination of the amounts reported in the financial statements are as follows:

Derivative financial instruments measured at fair value

Derivative financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value were \$134.4 million and \$213.5 million at December 31, 2011 (December 31, 2010 \$72.4 million and \$244.5 million, January 1, 2010 \$15.9 million and \$185.3 million). Quoted market values are unavailable for the Company's financial instruments and in the absence of an active market, the Company determines fair value for financial instruments based on prevailing market rates (bid and ask prices, as appropriate) for instruments with similar characteristics and risk profiles or internal or external valuation models, such as option pricing models and discounted cash flow analysis, using observable market-based inputs. The determination of fair value is affected significantly by the assumptions used for the amount and timing of estimated future cash flows and discount rates. As a result, the fair value of financial assets and liabilities and the amount of gains or losses on changes in fair value recorded to net income could vary.

Impairment of goodwill

Goodwill represents approximately \$2.4 billion of total assets at December 31, 2011 and at each of the prior balance sheet dates. Determining whether goodwill is impaired requires an estimation of the Company's value. The Company's value requires management to estimate the future cash flows expected to arise from operations and to make assumptions regarding economic factors, tax rates, and annual growth rates. Actual operating results and the related cash flows of the Company could differ from the estimates used for the impairment analysis.

Impairment of intangible assets

Intangible assets represent approximately \$896 million of total assets at December 31, 2011 (December 31, 2010 \$946 million, January 1, 2010 \$926 million). Impairment of intangible assets is tested annually or more frequently if indicators of impairment exist. The impairment analysis requires the Company to estimate the future cash flows expected to arise from operations and to make assumptions regarding economic factors, discount rates, tax rates, and annual growth rates. Actual operating results and the related cash flows of the Company could differ from the estimates used for the impairment analysis.

Where an impairment loss subsequently reverses, the carrying amount of the CGU or individual asset is increased to the revised estimate of its recoverable amount, so long as the increased carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognised for the CGU or individual asset in prior years.

The reversal of an impairment requires management to re-assess several indicators that led to the impairment. It requires the valuation of the recoverable amount by estimating the future cash flows expected to arise from the CGU or individual asset and the determination of a suitable discount rate in order to calculate its present value. Significant judgment is made in establishing these assumptions.

Employee Benefit

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

The cost of defined benefit pension plans and other post employment medical benefits and the present value of the pension obligation are determined using actuarial valuations. An actuarial valuation involves making various assumptions which may differ from actual developments in the future. These include the determination of the discount rate, future salary increases, mortality rates, future pension increases and return on plan assets. Due to the complexity of the valuation, the underlying assumptions, and its long term nature, a defined benefit obligation is highly sensitive to changes in these assumptions. All assumptions are reviewed at each reporting date.

F-69

Table of Contents

Telesat Holdings Inc.

Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

4. CRITICAL ACCOUNTING JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES (continued)

Determination of useful life of satellites and finite life intangible assets

The estimated useful life and depreciation method for satellites and finite life intangible assets are reviewed at the end of each reporting period, with the effect of any changes in estimate being accounted for on a prospective basis. Any change in these estimates may have a significant impact on the amounts reported.

Income taxes

Management assesses the recoverability of deferred tax assets based upon an estimation of the Company's projected taxable income using existing tax laws, and its ability to utilize future tax deductions before they expire. Actual results could differ from expectations.

5. TRANSITION TO IFRS

The Company adopted IFRS on January 1, 2011 with a transition date of January 1, 2010 (the opening balance sheet). Prior to the adoption of IFRS the Company prepared its consolidated financial statements in accordance with previous Canadian GAAP and applied Part V of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants handbook. The Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011 are the first annual consolidated financial statements that comply with IFRS and these consolidated financial statements were prepared as described in note 2, including the application of IFRS 1. IFRS 1 provides for certain mandatory exceptions and provides for certain elective exemptions for first time adopters. These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with those IFRS standards and International Financial Reporting Interpretation Committee (IFRIC) interpretations issued and effective or issued and early adopted as at the timing of preparing these consolidated financial statements.

Initial elections upon adoption of IFRS 1

The basic principles of IFRS 1 assume that on the initial adoption of IFRS standards, these will be applied retrospectively as if the standards had been applied and effective from the date of inception. However, the IASB has determined that retrospective application in certain situations cannot be performed with sufficient reliability or significant cost. Therefore, IFRS 1 offers mandatory exceptions and elective exemptions to facilitate conversion from Canadian GAAP to IFRS. Below are the mandatory exceptions and elective exemptions applicable to the Company.

A. Mandatory exceptions

Estimates

The estimates made in the opening IFRS balance sheet reflect conditions that existed at the date of the underlying transaction or January 1, 2010, as required by each specific IFRS standard. Hindsight was not used to create or revise estimates. All estimates used in the preparation of the opening balance sheet reflect the facts and circumstances at the date of the underlying transaction or January 1, 2010, as may be the case.

B. Elective exemptions

Business combinations

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

IFRS 1 provides the Company with the option to apply IFRS 3R, *Business Combinations*, retrospectively or prospectively from the transition date of January 1, 2010. The retrospective application requires the restatement of business combinations that occurred prior to the transition date. The Company elected to apply IFRS 3R prospectively to business combinations that occurred on or after the date of transition of January 1, 2010.

F-70

Table of Contents

Telesat Holdings Inc.

Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

5. TRANSITION TO IFRS (continued)

Fair value or revaluation as deemed cost

IFRS 1 provides an exemption to measure property, plant and equipment, intangible assets, and investment property at its fair value and use that fair value as its deemed cost at that date and an exemption to use a previous Canadian GAAP revaluation as deemed cost if it is comparable to fair value or reflects the cost or depreciated cost under IFRS. If no election is made, retrospective application is required in accordance with IAS 16, *Property, Plant and Equipment*, IAS 38, *Intangible Assets*, and IAS 40, *Investment Property*. The Company has elected to use a previous Canadian GAAP revaluation as deemed cost. The previous revaluation was required as part of the October 31, 2007 acquisition of Telesat Canada and Loral Skynet. This election had no impact on the Company's opening balance sheet.

Employee benefits

IFRS 1 provides the option to retrospectively apply the corridor approach under IAS 19, *Employee Benefits*, for the recognition of actuarial gains and losses, or alternatively recognize all cumulative actuarial gains and losses deferred under Canadian GAAP in opening accumulated deficit at the transition date. The Company has elected to recognize all cumulative actuarial gains and losses in opening accumulated deficit for all of its employee benefit plans at the date of transition. This election resulted in a decrease to other long-term assets of \$15.4 million, a decrease to deferred tax liability of \$3.5 million, a decrease to other long-term liabilities of \$1.4 million and an increase to accumulated deficit of \$10.5 million.

Cumulative currency translation differences

IFRS 1 permits cumulative translation gains and losses to be reset to zero at the transition date. The Company has elected to reset all cumulative translation gains and losses to zero in opening accumulated deficit at January 1, 2010. The impact was a decrease in accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$7.4 million and an increase to accumulated deficit of \$7.4 million after adjusting for changes in functional currency as determined under IAS 21, *The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates*. There was no impact to the shareholders' equity.

Borrowing costs

IAS 23, *Borrowing Costs*, requires an entity to capitalize the borrowing costs related to all qualifying assets. IFRS 1 allows the Company the option to apply this standard retrospectively or prospectively from the date of transition. The Company has elected to apply IAS 23 prospectively.

Leases

IFRS 1 provides the option to apply the transitional provisions under IFRIC 4, *Determining whether an Arrangement contains a Lease*, to determine whether an arrangement contains a lease on the basis of facts and circumstances existing at the date of transition. The Company has made this election in its evaluation of contracts existing at the transition date. As a result of the application of IFRIC 4, management determined that certain agreements were incorrectly accounted for as leases under Canadian GAAP. These immaterial errors were corrected as part of the IFRS transition as permitted under IFRS 1 with prior periods adjusted in these financial statements and the agreements are now accounted for as service agreements which do not contain a lease under IFRIC 4. The impact to the opening balance sheet was a decrease in satellite, property and other equipment of \$19.5 million, a decrease to other current liabilities of \$3.5 million, a decrease to other long-term liabilities of \$17.8 million, a decrease to deferred tax liability of \$6.1 million and a decrease to opening accumulated deficit of \$7.9 million.

C. Reconciliation of Canadian GAAP to IFRS

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

The following represents the reconciliations from Canadian GAAP to IFRS for the respective periods noted for shareholders' equity, net income and total comprehensive income. The first-time adoption of IFRS did not have a material impact on total operating, investing or financing cash flows.

F-71

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

5. TRANSITION TO IFRS (continued)**Reconciliation of Shareholders' Equity**

As at	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
Shareholders' equity under Canadian GAAP	1,132,325	897,296
Differences increasing (decreasing) reported shareholders' equity ^(a) :		
1. Impairment - Tangible assets		(5,921)
2. Impairment - Intangible assets	365,183	312,725
3. Employee benefits	(20,100)	(10,489)
4. Foreign currency translation	1,634	3,745
5. Share based compensation		
6. Leases	5,821	7,911
Total shareholders' equity under IFRS	1,484,863	1,205,267

(a) Differences increasing (decreasing) reported shareholders' equity are disclosed net of tax.

Reconciliation of Net Income

	September 30, For the year ended December 31, 2010
Net income under Canadian GAAP	228,191
Differences increasing (decreasing) reported net income:	
1. Impairment - Tangible assets	7,924
2. Impairment - Intangible assets	71,269
3. Employee benefits	(192)
4. Foreign currency translation	(32)
5. Share based compensation	987
6. Leases	68
7. Income taxes	(22,114)
Total net income under IFRS	286,101

Reconciliation of Comprehensive Income

	September 30, For the year ended December 31, 2010
Comprehensive income under Canadian GAAP	229,406
Differences increasing (decreasing) reported comprehensive income:	
Differences in net income	57,910
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(2,907)
Actuarial loss on defined benefit plans	(9,450)
Comprehensive income under IFRS	274,959

D. Changes in accounting policies from Canadian GAAP to IFRS

In addition to the mandatory exceptions and elective exemptions for retrospective application of IFRS, the following narratives explain the significant differences, as identified in the tables above, between previously adopted Canadian GAAP accounting policies and the current IFRS accounting policies adopted by the Company.

(1) Impairment Tangible assets

A recoverability test, under Canadian GAAP, is a two step process whereby the first test is performed by comparing the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated from the asset to its carrying amount. If the asset does not recover its carrying value, an impairment loss is determined as the excess of the asset's carrying amount over its fair value. Fair value is calculated as the present value of expected cash flows derived from the asset.

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements****(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)****5. TRANSITION TO IFRS (continued)**

The impairment test under IAS 36, *Impairment of Assets*, is a one step process whereby impairment is calculated as the excess of the asset's carrying amount over its recoverable amount. The recoverable amount is the higher of the asset's fair value less cost to sell and its value in use. Value in use is defined as the present value of the future cash flows expected to be derived from the asset. As a result of the differences in measurement, the Company recognized an impairment under IFRS, on the transition date, as the carrying amount of a certain satellite was in excess of its value in use.

The impairment resulted in the following adjustments to the opening IFRS balance sheet: a reduction to satellites, property and other equipment of \$7.9 million, a reduction to deferred tax liability of \$2.0 million and an increase in opening accumulated deficit of \$5.9 million. The impairment recorded on the transition date was subsequently reversed in 2010 due to changes in revenue assumptions.

(2) Impairment Intangible assets

Impairment losses cannot be reversed under Canadian GAAP.

IFRS requires impairment losses other than those related to goodwill, to be reversed if certain criteria are met in accordance with IAS 36, *Impairment of Assets*. As a result, the Company reversed an impairment relating to its orbital slot intangible assets at the transition date. The reversal of the impairment was mainly the result of the variations in the discount rate applied. The reversal resulted in an increase to intangible assets of \$411.6 million, an increase to deferred tax liability of \$98.9 million and a decrease to opening accumulated deficit of \$312.7 million on the opening IFRS balance sheet.

At the end of 2010 the impairment reversal resulted in an increase to intangible assets of \$483.0 million, an increase to deferred tax liability of \$117.8 million and an increase in accumulated earnings of \$365.2 million. In the 2010 statement of income under IFRS compared to Canadian GAAP an additional \$71.3 million of other operating gains was recorded, reduced by additional tax expense of \$18.8 million.

(3) Employee benefits actuarial gains and losses

Under Canadian GAAP actuarial gains and losses arising from the calculation of the present value of the defined benefit obligation and the fair value of plan assets are recognized on a systematic and consistent basis, subject to a minimum required amortization based on a corridor approach. The corridor was 10% of the greater of the accrued benefit obligation and the fair value of plan assets at the beginning of the year. The excess of 10% is amortized as a component of pension expense on a straight-line basis over the expected average remaining service period of active participants. Actuarial gains and losses below the 10% corridor are deferred.

Under IFRS, the Company elected to recognize all actuarial gains and losses immediately in other comprehensive income without recycling to the income statement in subsequent periods. As a result, actuarial gains and losses are not amortized to the statement of income but instead recorded directly to other comprehensive income at the end of each period.

The recognition of actuarial gains and losses as per the opening IFRS balance sheet date resulted in a decrease to other long-term assets of \$15.4 million, a decrease of \$1.4 million to other long-term liabilities, a decrease to deferred tax liabilities of \$3.5 million and a corresponding increase to accumulated deficit of \$10.5 million. The change in accounting policy regarding the recognition of actuarial gains and losses had the following impact on the December 31, 2010 balance sheet: a decrease to other long-term assets of \$29.5 million, a decrease of \$2.6 million to other long-term liabilities, a decrease to deferred tax liabilities of \$6.8 million and a corresponding decrease to accumulated earnings of \$20.1 million. The operating expense increased by \$0.2 million in 2010 under IFRS compared to Canadian GAAP as a result of the different accounting policies. The impact on the 2010 other comprehensive income resulted in a decrease of \$9.5 million.

F-73

Table of Contents

Telesat Holdings Inc.

Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

5. TRANSITION TO IFRS (continued)

(4) Foreign currency translation

Under Canadian GAAP, foreign currency translation of subsidiaries depends on the criteria provided in determining self-sustaining foreign operations and integrated foreign operations.

IFRS requires each entity in a consolidated group to determine its functionally currency in isolation in accordance with primary and secondary indications. As a result of this difference, certain subsidiaries that were previously accounted for as integrated foreign operations under Canadian GAAP were revised to have their functional currency as a foreign currency. The impact on the transition date was an increase to satellites, property and other equipment of \$0.1 million, a \$3.6 million increase to intangible assets, a \$0.8 million reduction in accumulated other comprehensive loss and a \$2.9 million reduction of accumulated deficit. The resulting foreign currency translation adjustment was then cleared to accumulated deficit using the IFRS 1 exemption for IAS 21.

The impact on the December 31, 2010 balance sheet was an increase to satellites, property and other equipment of \$0.1 million, a \$1.5 million increase to intangible assets, a \$0.8 million reduction in accumulated other comprehensive loss and a \$0.8 million increase of accumulated earnings. The difference in foreign currency translation of subsidiaries between IFRS and Canadian GAAP resulted in a decrease of gain (loss) on foreign exchange of \$0.03 million in the 2010 statement of income. Other comprehensive income decreased by \$2.9 million as a result of the foreign currency translation difference.

(5) Share-based compensation

The Company has equity-settled share-based compensation transactions with certain key employees. The vesting conditions embedded in these compensation plans are time and performance based. Under Canadian GAAP, the total fair value of these awards is recognized on a straight line basis throughout the vesting period.

Under IFRS, each tranche of the option grant is considered a separate grant and fair value is determined for each tranche of the option grant. As a result of this difference, the Company recorded a transitional adjustment to its opening IFRS balance sheet which resulted in an increase to reserves of \$8.8 million and an increase to accumulated deficit of \$8.8 million, with no overall impact on net equity. The Company recorded an adjustment to its December 31, 2010 balance sheet which resulted in an increase to reserves of \$7.8 million and a decrease to accumulated earnings of \$7.8 million, with no overall impact on net equity. The operating expense decreased by \$1.0 million in the 2010 statement of income under IFRS compared to Canadian GAAP.

(6) Leases

As a result of the application of IFRIC 4, management determined that certain agreements were incorrectly accounted for as leases under Canadian GAAP. These immaterial errors were corrected as part of the IFRS transition as permitted under IFRS 1 with prior periods adjusted in these consolidated financial statements and the agreements are now accounted for as service agreements under IFRIC 4. The impact to the opening balance sheet was a decrease in satellites, property and other equipment of \$19.5 million, a decrease to other current liabilities of \$3.5 million, a decrease to other long-term liabilities of \$17.8 million, a decrease to deferred tax liability of \$6.1 million and a decrease to opening accumulated deficit of \$7.9 million. The impact to the December 31, 2010 balance sheet was a decrease in satellites, property and other equipment of \$15.4 million, a decrease to other current liabilities of \$3.6 million, a decrease to other long-term liabilities of \$13.2 million, a decrease to deferred tax liability of \$4.4 million and an increase to accumulated earnings of \$5.8 million. The difference between IFRS and Canadian GAAP resulted in an increase of \$5.2 million of operating expenses, decrease of \$3.4 million of depreciation, decrease of \$1.7 million of net interest expense and an increase of tax expense of \$1.2 million in the 2010 statement of income.

Table of Contents

Telesat Holdings Inc.

Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

5. TRANSITION TO IFRS (continued)

(7) Income taxes

Differences for income taxes represent the effect of recording, where applicable, the deferred tax impact of other differences between Canadian GAAP and IFRS.

E. Presentation and Reclassification Differences

Consolidated Balance Sheet

Aggregation / disaggregation of balance sheet line items

- i. Under Canadian GAAP, common shares, preferred shares, accumulated other comprehensive loss, and contributed surplus were presented separately. Under IFRS, common shares and preferred shares have been aggregated into the line item share capital while accumulated other comprehensive loss and contributed surplus have been aggregated into the line item reserves.
- ii. For Canadian GAAP presentation, various balance sheet accounts were aggregated. IFRS has certain minimum presentation requirements for the balance sheet and as a result additional balance sheet line items were presented.

Reclassification of pension asset / liability

Under Canadian GAAP there was an asset recorded related to the post employment benefit plans. Upon transition to IFRS, all cumulative actuarial gains or losses deferred under Canadian GAAP were recognized in opening accumulated deficit as of the date of transition to IFRS and subsequently recognized in other comprehensive income. As a result of this accounting difference, the net amount related to the post employment benefit plans represents a liability under IFRS.

Tax reclassification

Under Canadian GAAP, deferred taxes were classified as current and non-current on the basis of either the underlying asset or the liability or the expected reversal of items not related to an asset or liability. For IFRS purposes, all deferred tax assets and liabilities are classified as non-current. All deferred tax assets and liabilities are netted.

Reclassification of Derivatives

Under Canadian GAAP the derivatives were categorized between current and non-current based on maturity. For IFRS purposes derivatives are separated into a current and non-current portion based on an assessment of the facts and circumstances (i.e. the underlying contracted cash flows).

Consolidated Statement of Income

Aggregation / disaggregation of statement of income line items

- i. Under Canadian GAAP, revenue was presented for service revenue and equipment sales revenue. Under IFRS, the revenue streams are presented as a single line revenue.

- ii. Under Canadian GAAP, the consolidated statement of income presented amortization for the depreciation of satellites, property and other equipment and intangible assets as one line item. For IFRS the expenses were disaggregated to present 1) depreciation of satellites, property and other equipment (depreciation) and 2) amortization of intangible assets (amortization).

- iii. Under Canadian GAAP, cost of equipment sales and other income were presented separately from operations and administration expenses. Under IFRS, the consolidated statement of income combines those expenses and presents one line item operating expenses.

F-75

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

5. TRANSITION TO IFRS (continued)

iv. Under Canadian GAAP, interest income and expenses were presented as one line item interest expense. For IFRS presentation interest expense is disaggregated to present 1) interest expense and 2) interest and other income.

F. Adjusted Telesat Holdings Inc. financial statements

The following are reconciliations of the financial statements previously presented under Canadian GAAP to the consolidated financial statements prepared under IFRS.

Reconciliation of Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2010

Canadian GAAP accounts	Canadian GAAP balance	IFRS adjustments	IFRS reclassifications	IFRS balance	IFRS accounts
Current assets					Assets
Cash and cash equivalents	220,295			220,295	Cash and cash equivalents
Accounts receivable, net	44,109		(26)	44,083	Trade and other receivables
Current future tax asset	1,900		5,044	6,944	Other current financial assets
Other current assets	26,476		(5,539)	20,937	Prepaid expenses and other current assets
Total current assets	292,780		(521)	292,259	Total current assets
Satellites, property and other equipment, net	1,994,122	(15,333)		1,978,789	Satellites, property and other equipment
			78,631	78,631	Other long-term financial assets
Other long-term assets	112,816	(29,487)	(71,302)	12,027	Other long-term assets
Intangible assets, net	461,060	484,487		945,547	Intangible assets
Goodwill	2,446,603			2,446,603	Goodwill
Total assets	5,307,381	439,667	6,808	5,753,856	Total assets
Liabilities					Liabilities
Current liabilities					
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	49,906	68		49,974	Trade and other payables
			104,082	104,082	Other current financial liabilities
Other current liabilities	128,296	(3,657)	(61,994)	62,645	Other current liabilities
Debt due within one year	96,848			96,848	Current indebtedness
Total current liabilities	275,050	(3,589)	42,088	313,549	Total current liabilities
Debt financing	2,771,802			2,771,802	Long-term indebtedness
Future tax liability	310,552	106,565	(2,400)	414,717	Deferred tax liabilities
			265,629	265,629	Other long-term financial liabilities
Other long-term liabilities	676,217	(15,847)	(298,509)	361,861	Other long-term liabilities
Senior preferred shares	141,435			141,435	Senior preferred shares
Total liabilities	4,175,056	87,129	6,808	4,268,993	Total liabilities
Shareholders equity					Shareholders Equity

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Common shares	756,414		541,764	1,298,178	Share capital
Preferred shares	541,764		(541,764)		
	1,298,178			1,298,178	
Accumulated deficit	(176,396)	340,200		163,804	Accumulated earnings (deficit)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(6,207)	6,207			
	(182,603)	346,407		163,804	
Contributed surplus	16,750	6,131		22,881	Reserves
Total shareholders equity	1,132,325	352,538		1,484,863	Total shareholders equity
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	5,307,381	439,667	6,808	5,753,856	Total liabilities and shareholders equity

F-76

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

5. TRANSITION TO IFRS (continued)**Reconciliation of Consolidated Balance Sheet as of January 1, 2010**

Canadian GAAP accounts	Canadian GAAP balance	IFRS adjustments	IFRS reclassifications	IFRS balance	IFRS accounts
Current assets					Assets
Cash and cash equivalents	154,189			154,189	Cash and cash equivalents
Accounts receivable, net	70,203		(3)	70,200	Trade and other receivables
Current future tax asset	2,184		5,133	7,317	Other current financial assets
Other current assets	29,018		(6,017)	23,001	Prepaid expenses and other current assets
Total current assets	255,594		(887)	254,707	Total current assets
Satellites, property and other equipment, net	1,926,190	(27,292)		1,898,898	Satellites, property and other equipment
Other long-term assets	56,924	(15,560)	(22,333)	19,031	Other long-term assets
Intangible assets, net	510,675	415,246		925,921	Intangible assets
Goodwill	2,446,603			2,446,603	Goodwill
Total assets	5,195,986	372,394	(1,487)	5,566,893	Total assets
Liabilities					Liabilities
Current liabilities					
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	43,413			43,413	Trade and other payables
Other current liabilities	127,704	(3,527)	(52,056)	72,121	Other current financial liabilities
Debt due within one year	23,602			23,602	Current indebtedness
Total current liabilities	194,719	(3,527)	50,068	241,260	Total current liabilities
Debt financing	3,021,820			3,021,820	Long-term indebtedness
Future tax liability	269,193	87,162	(2,718)	353,637	Deferred tax liabilities
Other long-term liabilities	671,523	(19,212)	(288,662)	363,649	Other long-term financial liabilities
Senior preferred shares	141,435			141,435	Senior preferred shares
Total liabilities	4,298,690	64,423	(1,487)	4,361,626	Total liabilities
Shareholders equity					Shareholders Equity
Common shares	756,414		541,764	1,298,178	Share capital
Preferred shares	541,764		(541,764)		
	1,298,178			1,298,178	
Accumulated deficit	(404,557)	291,740		(112,817)	Accumulated earnings (deficit)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(7,422)	7,422			

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

	(411,979)	299,162	(112,817)	
Contributed surplus	11,097	8,809	19,906	Reserves
Total shareholders equity	897,296	307,971	1,205,267	Total shareholders equity
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	5,195,986	372,394	(1,487)	5,566,893
				Total liabilities and shareholders equity

F-77

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

5. TRANSITION TO IFRS (continued)**Reconciliation of Consolidated Statement of Income for the year ended December 31, 2010**

Canadian GAAP accounts	Canadian GAAP balance	IFRS adjustments	IFRS classifications	IFRS balance	IFRS accounts
Operating revenues					
Service revenues	801,144		20,217	821,361	Revenue
Equipment sales revenues	20,217		(20,217)		
Total operating revenues	821,361				
		(4,422)	(202,042)	(206,464)	Operating expenses
		3,543	(205,726)	(202,183)	Depreciation
Amortization	(251,194)		205,726	(45,468)	Amortization
Operations and administration	(186,467)		186,467		
Cost of equipment sales	(15,575)		15,575		
Total operating expenses	(453,236)				
		79,192	3,826	83,018	Other operating gains (losses), net
				450,264	Operating income
Earnings (loss) from operations	368,125				
Interest expense	(253,086)	1,743	(5,239)	(256,582)	Interest expense
			5,752	5,752	Interest and other income
Loss on changes in fair value of financial instruments	(11,168)			(11,168)	Loss on changes in fair value of financial instruments
Gain (loss) on foreign exchange	163,998	(32)		163,966	Gain (loss) on foreign exchange
Other income (expense)	4,339		(4,339)		
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	272,208			352,232	Income before tax
Income tax expense	(44,017)	(22,114)		(66,131)	Tax expense
Net earnings	228,191	57,910		286,101	Net income

Reconciliation of Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2010

Canadian GAAP accounts	September 30, Canadian GAAP	September 30, IFRS adjustments	September 30, IFRS balance	September 30, IFRS accounts
------------------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------------

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

	balance			
Net earnings	228,191	57,910	286,101	Net income
Other comprehensive income (loss):				Other comprehensive income (loss):
Unrealized foreign currency translation gains (losses) of self sustaining foreign operations net of related taxes	1,215	(2,907)	(1,692)	Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax
		(9,450)	(9,450)	Actuarial gains (losses) on defined benefit plans, net of tax
	1,215	(12,357)	(11,142)	Other comprehensive income (loss)
Comprehensive income (loss)	229,406	45,553	274,959	Total comprehensive income

G. Adjustments to previously reported unaudited comparative figures under IFRS

The Company has adjusted its comparative consolidated financial statements and the reconciliation of equity as at January 1, 2010 and December 31, 2010 and the reconciliation of comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2010 to reflect the correction of amounts recorded for the reversal of impairments on tangible and intangible assets.

F-78

Table of Contents

Telesat Holdings Inc.

Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

5. TRANSITION TO IFRS (continued)

Intangible assets

These adjustments impacted intangible assets, deferred tax liabilities and accumulated earnings (deficit) and were identified through the completion of the Company's transition to IFRS as a basis of accounting. The comparative amounts had been previously disclosed in the Company's unaudited 2011 interim condensed consolidated financial statements.

The impact on the Company's comparative consolidated balance sheet as at January 1, 2010 was a decrease of intangible assets of \$71.3 million, a decrease of deferred tax liabilities of \$18.8 million, and an increase of accumulated deficit of \$52.5 million.

The impact of the Company's comparative consolidated balance sheet as at December 31, 2010 was a decrease of intangible assets of \$0.1 million, a decrease of deferred tax liabilities of a nominal amount, and a decrease of accumulated earnings of \$0.1 million.

The impact on the Company's comparative consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2010 was an increase in operating income of \$71.3 million and an increase in net income of \$52.5 million.

Tangible assets

This adjustment impacted satellites, property and other equipment, deferred tax liabilities and accumulated earnings (deficit) and was identified through the completion of the Company's transition to IFRS as a basis of accounting. The comparative amounts had been previously disclosed in the Company's unaudited 2011 interim condensed consolidated financial statements.

The impact on the Company's comparative consolidated balance sheet as at December 31, 2010 was an increase of satellite, property and other equipment of \$6.9 million, an increase of deferred tax liabilities of \$1.8 million, and an increase of accumulated earnings of \$5.1 million.

The impact on the Company's comparative consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2010 was an increase in operating income of \$6.9 million and an increase in net income of \$5.1 million.

6. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Telesat operates in a single industry segment, in which it provides satellite-based services to its broadcast, enterprise and consulting customers around the world.

The Company derives revenue from the following services:

Broadcast distribution or collection of video and audio signals in the North American and International markets which include television transmit and receive services, occasional use, bundled Digital Video Compression and radio services.

Enterprise provision of satellite capacity and ground network services for voice, data, and image transmission and internet access around the world.

Consulting and other all consulting services related to space and earth segments, government studies, satellite control services and R&D.

F-79

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

6. SEGMENT INFORMATION (continued)

Revenue derived from the above service lines were as follows:

	September 30,	September 30,
Revenue		
Year ended December 31	2011	2010
Broadcast	436,676	454,216
Enterprise	341,884	334,983
Consulting and Other	29,801	32,162
Total revenue	808,361	821,361

Geographic Information

Revenue by geographic region was based on the point of origin of the revenue (destination of the billing invoice), allocated as follows:

	September 30,	September 30,
Revenue		
Year ended December 31	2011	2010
Canada	411,185	419,032
United States	247,924	261,136
Europe, Middle East & Africa	75,887	77,031
Asia & Australia	19,254	16,268
Latin America & Caribbean	54,111	47,894
Total revenue	808,361	821,361

Telesat's satellites are in geosynchronous orbit. For disclosure purposes, the satellites have been classified based on ownership. Satellites, property and other equipment and intangible assets by geographic region are allocated as follows:

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
Satellites, property and other equipment			
Canada	1,809,152	1,644,049	1,519,663
United States	276,211	327,608	370,664
All others	66,552	7,132	8,571

Total satellites, property and other equipment	2,151,915	1,978,789	1,898,898
---	-----------	-----------	-----------

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
Intangible assets			
Canada	848,898	909,744	886,965
United States	33,257	33,094	36,066
All others	13,923	2,709	2,890
Total intangible assets	896,078	945,547	925,921

Goodwill was not allocated to geographic regions in any of the periods.

Major Customers

For the year ended December 31, 2011, there were two significant customers each representing more than 10% of consolidated revenue (December 31, 2010 – two customers).

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

7. OPERATING EXPENSES

The Company's operating expenses are comprised of the following:

Year ended December 31	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
Compensation and employee benefits ^(a)	61,755	66,438
Other operating expenses ^(b)	48,110	52,341
Cost of sales ^(c)	77,900	87,685
Total	187,765	206,464

a) Compensation and employee benefits include salaries, commission, post-employment benefits and charges arising from share-based payments.

b) Other operating expenses include general and administrative expense, marketing expense, in-orbit insurance expense, professional fees and facility costs.

c) Cost of sales includes the rental of third-party capacity, the cost of equipment sales and costs directly attributable to the facilitation of customer contracts.

8. OTHER OPERATING GAINS

Year ended December 31	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
Insurance proceeds ^(a)	135,019	
Impairment (loss) reversal on intangible assets (note 15)	(19,468)	71,269
Impairment reversal on satellites, property and other equipment		7,923
(Loss) gain on disposal of assets	(1,483)	3,826
Total	114,068	83,018

(a) The Company has insurance policies that provide coverage for a total, constructive total, or partial loss of Telstar 14R /Estrela do Sul 2. Following the launch of the satellite in May 2011, the Company determined that the north solar array failed to fully deploy and promptly filed a notice of loss with its insurers. During the third quarter of 2011, the Company filed a claim under its policies to its insurers. In December 2011, the Company received insurance proceeds of U.S. \$132.7 million from its insurers with respect to the claim. Based on

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

management's best estimate and assumptions, there was no impairment in Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2.

9. INTEREST EXPENSE

The components of interest expense are as follows:

Year ended December 31	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
Interest expense on indebtedness	182,719	192,829
Interest expense on derivative instruments	62,124	60,818
Interest expense on performance incentive payments	4,361	5,016
Interest expense on senior preferred shares (note 20)	9,869	12,339
Other expenses		224
Capitalized interest	(32,022)	(14,644)
Interest expense	227,051	256,582

F-81

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

10. INCOME TAXES

Year ended December 31	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
Current tax expense	132	2,279
Deferred tax expense	51,854	63,852
Tax expense	51,986	66,131

A reconciliation of the statutory income tax rate, which is a composite of Canadian federal and provincial rates, to the effective income tax rate is as follows:

Year ended December 31	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
Income before tax	289,261	352,232
Multiplied by the statutory income tax rate of 28.11% (2010 30.49%)	81,311	107,396
Income tax recorded at rates different from the Canadian tax rate	(408)	179
Permanent differences	(9,316)	(17,811)
Origination and reversal of temporary differences	(10,145)	(24,880)
Previously unrecognized tax losses and credit	(8,977)	
Other	(479)	1,247
Total tax expense in the statement of income	51,986	66,131
Effective income tax rate	17.97%	18.77%

The tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for accounting purposes and the amounts used for tax purposes are presented below:

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
Deferred tax assets			
Investment tax credit	2,702	556	661
Foreign tax credit	11,289	26	3
Financing charges	5,439	5,495	5,465
Deferred revenue	4,065	2,063	2,455
Loss carry forwards	25,538	53,344	76,900
Employee benefit	15,250	5,860	4,441
Other	471	585	956

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Total deferred tax assets	64,754	67,929	90,881
Deferred tax liabilities			
Capital assets	(276,158)	(230,094)	(206,404)
Intangibles	(226,855)	(238,258)	(223,577)
Finance charges	(9,359)	(8,933)	(8,174)
Reserves	(4,278)	(5,361)	(6,363)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(516,650)	(482,646)	(444,518)
Deferred tax liabilities, net	(451,896)	(414,717)	(353,637)

Losses and tax credits

At December 31, 2011, the Company had Canadian tax losses carried forward of \$101.5 million and U.S. tax losses carried forward of \$26.7 million. The deferred tax asset not recognized in respect of the U.S. losses was \$9.1 million. The Canadian and U.S. losses will expire between 2027 and 2030.

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

10. INCOME TAXES (continued)

The Company has \$25.5 million of Canadian capital losses carried forward which may only be used against future capital gains. The deferred tax asset not recognized in respect of these losses was \$3.3 million. These losses may be carried forward indefinitely.

In addition, the Company has \$14.0 million of investment tax credits and foreign tax credits which may only be used to offset taxes payable. The deferred tax assets not recognized in respect of these credits is \$3.2 million. They will begin to expire in 2017.

Investments in subsidiaries

As at December 31, 2011 the Company had temporary differences of \$31.0 million associated with investments in subsidiaries for which no deferred tax liabilities have been recognized, as the Company is able to control the timing of the reversal of these temporary differences and it is not probable that these differences will reverse in the foreseeable future.

11. TRADE AND OTHER RECEIVABLES

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
Trade receivables	49,936	50,456	62,499
Trade receivables due from related parties	386	428	1,509
Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts	(3,740)	(7,128)	(8,708)
Net trade receivables	46,582	43,756	55,300
Other receivables ^(a)	207	327	14,900
Trade and other receivables	46,789	44,083	70,200

(a) The January 1, 2010 balance consists of the main following items: \$7.2 million receivable related to the sale of Telstar 10, \$3.7 million receivable relating to the Company's tenancy arrangement and a \$2.0 million receivable relating to a basis swap payment that was scheduled to settle on December 31, 2009 but was received in the first week of January 2010.

Allowance for doubtful accounts

The movement in the allowance for doubtful accounts was as follows:

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
Allowance for doubtful accounts, at the beginning of the year	7,128	8,708	5,410
Provisions (reversal) for impaired receivables	(136)	(1,134)	4,067
Receivables written off during the period	(3,050)	(256)	(769)
Foreign currency exchange differences	(202)	(190)	
Allowance for doubtful accounts, end of year	3,740	7,128	8,708

12. PREPAID EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT ASSETS

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
Prepaid expenses ^(a)	10,302	10,762	10,231
Current tax asset	5,902	4,988	5,448
Inventory ^(b)	4,259	2,985	5,214
Deferred charges ^(c)	1,663	1,996	2,108
Other		206	
	22,126	20,937	23,001

F-83

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

12. PREPAID EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT ASSETS (continued)

- a) Prepaid expenses are primarily comprised of prepaid satellite in-orbit insurance, prepaid interest on long-term indebtedness, and prepaid license fees.
- b) At December 31, 2011, inventory consists of \$4.1 million of finished goods (December 31, 2010 \$2.4 million, January 1, 2010 \$2.9 million) and \$0.2 million of work in process (December 31, 2010 \$0.6 million, January 1, 2010 \$2.3 million). During the period, \$18.3 million was recognized as cost of equipment sales and recorded as an operating expense (December 31, 2010 \$15.6 million).
- c) Deferred charges include deferred financing charges relating to the revolving facility and Canadian term loan facility (see note 19).

13. OTHER LONG-TERM ASSETS

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
Prepaid expenses ^(a)	4,921	9,785	13,233
Deferred charges	87	1,751	5,244
Other	528	491	554
	5,536	12,027	19,031

- a) Prepaid expenses consist of prepaid satellite in-orbit insurance.

14. SATELLITES, PROPERTY AND OTHER EQUIPMENT

	000000 Satellites	000000 Property and other equipment	000000 Assets under construction	000000 Total
Cost at January 1, 2010	2,018,872	199,923	72,366	2,291,161
Additions		6,184	282,376	288,560
Disposals/retirements		(21,501)		(21,501)
Impact of currency translation		(690)		(690)
Cost at December 31, 2010	2,018,872	183,916	354,742	2,557,530
Additions		1,368	371,997	373,365
Disposals/retirements	(26,502)	(16,336)		(42,838)

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Reclassifications and transfers from assets under construction	321,743	24,791	(346,534)	
Impact of currency translation		(276)		(276)
Cost at December 31, 2011	2,314,113	193,463	380,205	2,887,781
Accumulated depreciation and impairment at January 1, 2010	(331,659)	(60,604)		(392,263)
Reversal of impairment	7,923			7,923
Depreciation	(181,872)	(20,311)		(202,183)
Disposals/retirements		7,654		7,654
Impact of currency translation		128		128
Accumulated depreciation and impairment at December 31, 2010	(505,608)	(73,133)		(578,741)
Depreciation	(181,658)	(16,968)		(198,626)
Disposals/retirements	26,502	14,769		41,271
Impact of currency translation		230		230
Accumulated depreciation and impairment at December 31, 2011	(660,764)	(75,102)		(735,866)
Net carrying values				
At January 1, 2010	1,687,213	139,319	72,366	1,898,898
At December 31, 2010	1,513,264	110,783	354,742	1,978,789
At December 31, 2011	1,653,349	118,361	380,205	2,151,915

F-84

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

14. SATELLITES, PROPERTY AND OTHER EQUIPMENT (continued)

Substantially all of the Company's satellites, property and other equipment have been pledged as security as a requirement of our senior secured credit facilities (note 19).

Borrowing costs of \$32.0 million arising on financing were capitalized for the year ended December 31, 2011 (\$14.6 million December 31, 2010) and are included in Assets under construction. The average capitalization rate was 8.0%, representing the Company's weighted average cost of borrowing.

The Company assesses impairment of its satellites, property and other equipment on a quarterly basis, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying values may not be recoverable. The Company's CGUs hold a combination of certain satellites, property and other equipment and finite life intangible assets. Indefinite life intangible assets and goodwill have not been allocated to the CGUs. No impairment was recognized for the periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

In 2010, an impairment loss of \$7.9 million was reversed on the satellites. The reversal of impairment was mainly due to changes in revenue assumptions. The recoverable amount is calculated using the following assumptions:

	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
Discount rate	10.75%	10.0%

15. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

	000000 Indefinite life	000000 Trade name	000000 Revenue backlog	000000 Customer relationships	000000 Finite life Customer contract	000000 Transponder rights	000000 Other	000000 Total Intangibles
Cost at January 1, 2010	599,549	17,000	268,433	199,070		29,550	4,453	1,118,055
Disposals						(999)	(2,966)	(3,965)
Impact of currency translation	(1,711)		(166)	(1,044)		(54)	(29)	(3,004)
Cost at December 31, 2010	597,838	17,000	268,267	198,026		28,497	1,458	1,111,086
Additions					12,618			12,618
Impact of currency translation	615		70	51			(123)	613
Cost at December 31, 2011	598,453	17,000	268,337	198,077	12,618	28,497	1,335	1,124,317
Accumulated amortization and impairment at January 1, 2010	(71,370)		(77,309)	(34,087)		(7,493)	(1,875)	(192,134)
Amortization			(32,952)	(11,021)		(4,387)	(797)	(49,157)
Retirements						999	2,468	3,467

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Reversal of impairment	71,269						71,269	
Impact of currency translation		34	937		38	7	1,016	
Accumulated amortization and impairment at December 31, 2010	(101)	(110,227)	(44,171)		(10,843)	(197)	(165,539)	
Amortization		(27,930)	(11,005)	(39)	(4,109)	(86)	(43,169)	
Impairment	(19,468)						(19,468)	
Impact of currency translation	1	(55)	(22)			13	(63)	
Accumulated amortization and impairment at December 31, 2011	(19,568)	(138,212)	(55,198)	(39)	(14,952)	(270)	(228,239)	
Net carrying values								
At January 1, 2010	528,179	17,000	191,124	164,983		22,057	2,578	925,921
At December 31, 2010	597,737	17,000	158,040	153,855		17,654	1,261	945,547
At December 31, 2011	578,885	17,000	130,125	142,879	12,579	13,545	1,065	896,078

F-85

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

15. INTANGIBLE ASSETS (continued)

The orbital slots represent a right to operate satellites in a given longitudinal coordinate in space, where geostationary orbit may be achieved. They are limited in availability and represent a scarce resource. Usage of orbital slots is licensed through the International Telecommunications Union. Satellite operators can generally expect, with a relatively high level of certainty, continued occupancy of an assigned orbital slot either during the operational life of an existing orbiting satellite or upon replacement by a new satellite once the operational life of the existing orbiting satellite is over. As a result of the expectancy right to maintain the once awarded orbital slots, an indefinite life is typically associated with orbital slots.

The Company's trade name has a long and established history, a strong reputation and has been synonymous with quality and growth within the satellite industry. It has been assigned an indefinite life because of expected ongoing future use.

The following are the remaining useful lives of significant intangible assets:

Revenue backlog	1	13 years
Customer relationships	7	17 years
Transponder rights	1	10 years
Customer contract		15 years
Concession rights		12 years
Patent		14 years

Substantially all of the Company's intangible assets have been pledged as security as a requirement of our senior secured credit facilities.

Impairment

Finite life intangible assets are assessed annually and are included with the Company's CGUs (see note 3). Indefinite life intangible assets are tested for impairment at the individual asset level (see note 3). The annual impairment test was performed in the fourth quarter of 2011 and 2010, and at the IFRS transition date.

In 2010, an impairment loss of \$71.3 million was reversed on the orbital slots. The impairment was originally recorded in 2008 when discount rates were high due to liquidity issues in the credit markets. The subsequent decrease in discount rates, as well as changes in revenue projections and gross margin assumption positively impacted the valuation of the orbital slots in 2010. In 2011, an impairment loss of \$19.5 million was recognized on the orbital slots (2010 – no impairment loss) mainly due to an increase in discount rates.

The recoverable amount is calculated using the following assumptions

	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
Discount rate	10.75%	10.0%

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

16. GOODWILL

The Company carries goodwill at its cost of \$2,446.6 million with no accumulated impairment losses since acquisition.

Impairment

Goodwill is tested for impairment at the entity level because that represents the lowest level at which goodwill supports the Company's operations and is monitored internally. The annual impairment test on goodwill was performed in the fourth quarter of 2011 and 2010 in accordance with the policy described in note 3. In addition, goodwill was tested for impairment for purposes of the opening IFRS balance sheet. No impairment was recognized. The Company's recoverable amount exceeded the carrying value therefore, no impairment was recognized for the period. The most significant assumptions used in the impairment test were as follows:

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
Discount rate	10.75%	10.0%	9.5%
Terminal year growth rate	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%

Some of the more sensitive assumptions used including the forecasted cash flows and the discount rate could have yielded different estimates of recoverable amount. Actual operating results and the related cash flows of the Company could differ from the estimated operating results and related cash flows used in the impairment analysis. Had different estimates been used, it could have resulted in a lower fair value and there could have been a risk of failing the goodwill impairment test.

17. OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
Deferred revenue	66,588	61,732	70,109
Decommissioning liabilities	151	166	1,024
Other	1,138	747	988
	67,877	62,645	72,121

18. OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
Deferred revenue	342,281	315,583	322,384
Net defined benefit plan obligations (see note 25)	67,605	30,801	23,664
Uncertain tax positions	6,795	7,585	7,086

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Unfavorable backlog	1,785	3,922	7,145
Unfavorable leases	769	969	1,174
Decommissioning liabilities	1,461	1,367	14
Other	1,806	1,634	2,182
	422,502	361,861	363,649

F-87

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

19. INDEBTEDNESS

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
Senior secured credit facilities ^(a) :			
Revolving facility			
The Canadian term loan facility	80,000	170,000	185,000
The U.S. term loan facility (December 31, 2011 USD \$1,684,800, December 31, 2010 USD \$1,702,350, January 1, 2010 USD \$1,719,900)	1,720,686	1,698,945	1,811,399
The U.S. term loan II facility (December 31, 2011 USD \$144,725, December 31, 2010 USD \$146,225, January 1, 2010 USD \$147,725)	147,808	145,933	155,584
Senior Notes (USD \$692,825) ^(b)	707,582	691,439	729,683
Senior Subordinated Notes (USD \$217,175) ^(c)	221,801	216,741	228,729
	2,877,877	2,923,058	3,110,395
Less: deferred financing costs and prepayment options ^(d)	(43,251)	(54,408)	(64,973)
	2,834,626	2,868,650	3,045,422
Less: current portion (net of deferred financing costs)	(86,495)	(96,848)	(23,602)
Long-term portion	2,748,131	2,771,802	3,021,820

(a) The senior secured credit facilities are secured by substantially all of Telesat's assets. Under the terms of these facilities, Telesat is required to comply with certain covenants including financial reporting, maintenance of certain financial covenant ratios for leverage and interest coverage, a requirement to maintain minimum levels of satellite insurance, restrictions on capital expenditures, a restriction on fundamental business changes or the creation of subsidiaries, restrictions on investments, restrictions on dividend payments, restrictions on the incurrence of additional debt, restrictions on asset dispositions, and restrictions on transactions with affiliates. The financial covenant ratios include total debt to EBITDA for covenant purposes (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and other charges) and EBITDA for covenant purposes to interest expense. Both financial covenant ratios tighten over the term of the credit facility. At December 31, 2011, Telesat was in compliance with all of the required covenants.

Each tranche of the credit facility is subject to mandatory principal repayment requirements, which, in the initial years, are generally an annual amount representing 1% of the initial aggregate principal amount, payable quarterly. The senior secured credit facility has several tranches which are described below:

- (i) A revolving Canadian dollar denominated credit facility (the revolving facility) of up to \$153 million is available to Telesat. This revolving facility matures on October 31, 2012 and is available to be drawn at any time. The drawn loans bear interest at the prime rate or LIBOR or Bankers' Acceptance plus an applicable margin of 125 to 225 basis points per annum. Undrawn amounts under the facility are subject to a commitment fee. As of December 31, 2011, other than approximately \$0.2 million in drawings related to letters of credit, there were no borrowings under this facility.

F-88

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements****(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)****19. INDEBTEDNESS (continued)**

- (ii) The Canadian term loan facility was initially a \$200 million facility denominated in Canadian dollars, with a maturity date of October 31, 2012. Loans under this facility bear interest at a floating rate of the Bankers' Acceptance rate plus an applicable margin of 275 basis points per annum. The required repayments on the Canadian term loan facility are as follows:

	September 30, Principal Repayments
2012	80,000

The payments are generally made quarterly in varying amounts. The average interest rate was 4.13% for the year ended December 31, 2011 (December 31, 2010 - 3.63%). This facility had \$80 million outstanding at December 31, 2011.

- (iii) The U.S. term loan was initially a \$1,755 million facility denominated in U.S. dollars, bears interest at LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 300 basis points per annum, and has a maturity of October 31, 2014. The weighted average effective interest rate was 3.72% for the year ended December 31, 2011 (December 31, 2010 - 3.77%). The loan had U.S. \$1,685 million outstanding at December 31, 2011. Principal repayments of U.S. \$4.4 million are made on a quarterly basis, with a lump sum repayment of the remaining balance payable on the maturity date.
- (iv) The U.S. term loan II was initially a \$150 million delayed draw facility denominated in U.S. dollars, bears interest at LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 300 basis points per annum, and has a maturity of October 31, 2014. The weighted average effective interest rate was 3.73% for the year ended December 31, 2011 (December 31, 2010 - 3.77%). The facility had U.S. \$145 million outstanding at December 31, 2011. Principal repayments of U.S. \$0.4 million are made on a quarterly basis, with a lump sum repayment of the remaining balance payable on the maturity date.
- (b) The Senior Notes bear interest at an annual rate of 11.0% and are due November 1, 2015. The Senior Notes include covenants or terms that restrict Telesat's ability to, among other things, (i) incur additional indebtedness, (ii) incur liens, (iii) pay dividends or make certain other restricted payments, investments or acquisitions, (iv) enter into certain transactions with affiliates, (v) modify or cancel the Company's satellite insurance, (vi) effect mergers with another entity, and (vii) redeem the Senior Notes prior to May 1, 2012, in each case subject to exceptions provided in the Senior Notes indenture. The weighted average effective interest rate was 11.37% for the year ended December 31, 2011 (December 31, 2010 - 11.56%).
- (c) The Senior Subordinated Notes bear interest at a rate of 12.5% and are due November 1, 2017. The Senior Subordinated Notes include covenants or terms that restrict Telesat's ability to, among other things, (i) incur additional indebtedness, (ii) incur liens, (iii) pay dividends or make certain other restricted payments, investments or acquisitions, (iv) enter into certain transactions with affiliates, (v) modify or cancel the Company's satellite insurance, (vi) effect mergers with another entity, and (vii) redeem the Senior Subordinated Notes prior to May 1, 2013, in each case subject to exceptions provided in the Senior Subordinated Notes indenture. The weighted average effective interest rate was 12.66% for the year ended December 31, 2011 (December 31, 2010 - 12.88%).

(d)

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

The U.S. term loan facilities, Senior Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes are presented on the balance sheet net of related deferred financing costs of \$49.4 million (December 31, 2010 \$61.6 million, January 1, 2010 \$73.1 million). The indentures agreement for the Senior Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes contain provisions for certain prepayment options which were fair valued at the time of debt issuance (note 23). The initial fair value impact of the prepayment options on the Senior Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes was an increase to the liabilities of \$6.5 million and \$2.7 million, respectively. These liability amounts are subsequently amortized using the effective interest rate method with carrying amounts of \$4.1 million and \$2.1 million respectively, at December 31, 2011 (December 31, 2010 \$4.9 million and \$2.3 million, January 1, 2010 \$5.6 million and \$2.5 million).

F-89

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

19. INDEBTEDNESS (continued)

The short-term and long-term portions of deferred financing costs and prepayment options are as follows:

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
Short-term deferred financing costs	12,961	12,165	11,462
Long-term deferred financing costs	36,468	49,433	61,593
	49,429	61,598	73,055
Long-term prepayment option Senior Notes	(4,133)	(4,928)	(5,631)
Long-term prepayment option Senior Subordinated Notes	(2,045)	(2,262)	(2,451)
	(6,178)	(7,190)	(8,082)
Total deferred financing costs and prepayment options	43,251	54,408	64,973

The outstanding balance of indebtedness, excluding deferred financing costs and prepayment options, will be repaid as follows (in millions of Canadian dollars):

2012	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2015	September 30, Thereafter	September 30, Total
99.5	19.4	1,829.6	707.6	221.8	2,877.9

20. SENIOR PREFERRED SHARES

Telesat issued 141,435 senior preferred shares with an issue price of \$1,000 per Senior Preferred Share on October 31, 2007. The Senior Preferred Shares rank in priority, with respect to the payment of dividends and return of capital upon liquidation, dissolution or winding-up, ahead of the shares of all other classes of Telesat stock which have currently been created, as well as any other shares that may be created that by their terms rank junior to the senior preferred shares. Senior Preferred Shares are entitled to receive cumulative preferential dividends at a rate of 7% per annum on the Liquidation Value, being \$1,000 per Senior Preferred Share plus all accrued and unpaid dividends (8.5% per annum following a Performance Failure, being a failure to pay annual dividends in cash or in Holding PIK Preferred Stock in any year, while such failure is continuing, the failure to redeem the Holding PIK Preferred Stock when submitted for redemption on or after the twelfth anniversary of the date of issue, or the failure to redeem Holding PIK Preferred Stock for which an offer of redemption is accepted following a Change of Control). Such annual dividend may be paid in cash, subject to the requirements of the Canada Business Corporations Act (the "CBCA"), if such payment is permitted under the terms of (i) the senior secured credit facilities and (ii) the indentures governing the notes. If the cash payment is not permitted under the terms of the senior secured credit facilities, the dividends will be paid, subject to the requirements of the CBCA, in senior preferred shares based on an issue price of \$1,000 per Senior Preferred Share. Dividends of \$1.7 million have been accrued at December 31, 2011 (December 31, 2010 \$2.1 million, January 1, 2010 \$25.1 million).

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

The Senior Preferred Shares may be submitted by the holder for redemption on or after the twelfth anniversary of the date of issue, subject to compliance with law. Upon a change of control which occurs after the fifth anniversary of the issue of the Senior Preferred Shares, or on the fifth anniversary if a change of control occurs prior to the fifth anniversary of the issue, Telesat must make an offer of redemption to all holders of Senior Preferred Shares, and must redeem any Senior Preferred Shares for which the offer of redemption is accepted within 25 days of such offer. As a result, the Senior Preferred Shares have been classified as a liability on the consolidated balance sheet and dividends have been classified as interest expense on the statement of income (note 9).

The holders of the Senior Preferred Shares are not entitled to receive notice of or to vote at any meeting of shareholders of the Company except for meetings of the holders of the Senior Preferred Shares as a class, called to amend the terms of the Senior Preferred Shares, or otherwise as required by law.

F-90

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

21. SHARE CAPITAL

There was no change in share capital in the period of January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2011.

	September 30, Number of shares	September 30, Stated Value (\$)
At December 31, 2011		
Common Shares	74,252,460	756,414
Voting Participating Preferred Shares	7,034,444	117,388
Non-Voting Participating Preferred Shares	35,953,824	424,366
Director Voting Preferred Shares	1,000	10
Total share capital		1,298,178

The authorized share capital of the Company is comprised of: (i) an unlimited number of common shares, of voting participating preferred shares, of non-voting participating preferred shares, of redeemable common shares, and of redeemable non-voting participating preferred shares, (ii) 1,000 director voting preferred shares, and (iii) 325,000 senior preferred shares (note 20). None of the redeemable common shares or redeemable non-voting participating preferred shares have been issued as at December 31, 2011. The Company's share based compensation plan has authorized the grant of up to 8,824,646 options to purchase non-voting participating preferred shares (see note 24).

Common Shares

The holders of the common shares are entitled to receive notice of and to attend all annual and special meetings of the shareholders of the Company and to one vote in respect of each common share held on all matters at all such meetings, except in respect of a class vote applicable only to the shares of any other class, in respect of which the common shareholders shall have no right to vote. The holders of the common shares are entitled to receive dividends as may be declared by the Board of Directors of the Company, and are entitled to share in the distribution of the assets of the Company upon liquidation, winding-up or dissolution, subject to the rights, privileges and conditions attaching to any other class of shares ranking in order of priority. The common shares are convertible at the holders' option, at any time, into voting participating preferred shares or non-voting participating preferred shares, on a one-for-one basis. The common shares have no par value.

Voting Participating Preferred Shares

The rights, privileges and conditions of the voting participating preferred shares are identical in all respects to those of the common shares, except for the following:

The holders of voting participating preferred shares are not entitled to vote at meetings of the shareholders of the Company on resolutions electing directors.

For all other meetings of the shareholders of the Company, the holders of voting participating preferred shares are entitled to a variable number of votes per voting participating preferred share based on the number of voting participating preferred shares,

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

non-voting participating preferred shares and redeemable non-voting participating preferred shares outstanding on the record date of the given meeting of the shareholders of the Company.

The voting participating preferred shares are convertible, at any time, at the holders' option into common shares or non-voting participating preferred shares on a one-for-one basis as long as the result of such conversion does not cause the Company to cease to be a qualified corporation within the meaning of the Canadian Telecommunication Common Carrier Ownership and Control Regulations pursuant to the Telecommunications Act (Canada).

The voting participating preferred shares have no par value.

F-91

Table of Contents

Telesat Holdings Inc.

Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

21. SHARE CAPITAL (continued)

Non-Voting Participating Preferred Shares

The rights, privileges and conditions of the non-voting participating preferred shares are identical in all respects to those of the common shares, except for the following:

The holders of non-voting participating preferred shares are not entitled to vote on any matter at meetings of the shareholders of the Company, except in respect of a class vote applicable only to the non-voting participating preferred shares.

The non-voting participating preferred shares are convertible, at any time, at the holders' option into common shares or voting participating preferred shares on a one-for-one basis as long as the result of such conversion does not cause the Company to cease to be a qualified corporation within the meaning of the Canadian Telecommunication Common Carrier Ownership and Control Regulations pursuant to the Telecommunications Act (Canada).

The non-voting participating preferred shares have no par value.

Director Voting Preferred Shares

The rights, privileges and conditions of the director voting preferred shares are identical in all respects to those of the common shares, except for the following:

The holders of director voting preferred shares are entitled to receive notice of and to attend all meetings of the shareholders of the Company at which directors of the Company are to be elected. The holders of the director voting preferred shares are not entitled to attend meetings of the shareholders of the Company and have no right to vote on any matter other than the election of directors of the Company.

The holders of director voting preferred shares are entitled to receive annual non-cumulative dividends of \$10 per share if declared by the Board of Directors of the Company, in priority to the payment of dividends on the common shares, voting participating preferred shares, non-voting participating preferred shares, redeemable common shares, and redeemable non-voting participating preferred shares, but after payment of any accrued dividends on the senior preferred shares.

In the event of liquidation, wind-up or dissolution, the holders of director voting preferred shares are entitled to receive \$10 per share in priority to the payment of dividends on the common shares, voting participating preferred shares, non-voting participating preferred shares, redeemable common shares, and redeemable non-voting participating preferred shares, but after payment of any accrued dividends on the senior preferred shares.

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

The director voting preferred shares are redeemable at the option of the Company, at any time, at a redemption price of \$10 per share.

The director voting preferred shares have a nominal stated value.

22. CAPITAL DISCLOSURES

Telesat is a privately held company with registered debt in the United States. The Company's financial strategy is designed to maintain compliance with its financial covenants under its senior secured credit facility (see note 19), and to maximize returns to its shareholders and other stakeholders. Telesat meets these objectives through regular monitoring of its financial covenants and operating results on a quarterly basis. The Company's overall financial strategy remains unchanged from 2010.

F-92

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

22. CAPITAL DISCLOSURES (continued)

Telesat defines its capital as shareholders' equity (comprising issued share capital, accumulated earnings and excluding reserves) and debt financing (comprising indebtedness and excluding deferred financing costs and prepayment options as detailed in note 19).

The Company's capital at the end of the year was as follows:

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
Shareholders' equity (excluding reserves)	1,668,170	1,461,982	1,185,361
Debt financing (excluding deferred financing costs and prepayment options)	2,877,877	2,923,058	3,110,395

Telesat manages its capital by measuring the financial covenant ratios contained in its senior secured credit agreement (the "credit agreement"), dated October 31, 2007 and which terminates in October 2014. As of December 31, 2011, the Company was subject to three financial covenant compliance tests: a maximum Consolidated Total Debt to Consolidated Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization ("EBITDA") for covenant purposes ratio test, a minimum Consolidated EBITDA for covenant purposes to Consolidated Interest Expense ratio test and a maximum Permitted Capital Expenditure Amount test. Compliance with financial covenants is measured on a quarterly basis, except for the maximum Permitted Capital Expenditure Amount which is only measured at the end of every fiscal year.

As of December 31, 2011, Telesat's Consolidated Total Debt to Consolidated EBITDA for covenant purposes ratio, for credit agreement compliance purposes, was 4.39:1 (December 31, 2010 4.59:1), which was less than the maximum test ratio of 6.25:1. The Consolidated EBITDA for covenant purposes to Consolidated Interest Expense ratio, for credit agreement compliance purposes, was 2.74:1 (December 31, 2010 2.63:1), which was greater than the minimum test ratio of 1.70:1. The compliance test ratios become more restrictive over the term of the credit agreement.

The maximum Permitted Capital Expenditure Amount varies in each fiscal year with the opportunity to carry forward or carry back unused amounts based on conditions specified in the credit agreement. An additional amount of U.S. \$500 million is also available over the term of the credit agreement for the construction or acquisition of up to four new satellites. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, the Company's Capital Expenditure Amount, as defined in the credit agreement, was \$341.5 million and was in compliance with the credit agreement.

As part of the on-going monitoring of Telesat's compliance with its financial covenants, interest rate risk due to variable interest rate debt is managed through the use of interest rate swaps (note 23), and foreign exchange risk exposure arising from principal and interest payments on Telesat's debt is partially managed through a cross currency basis swap (note 23). In addition, the Company's operating results are tracked against budget on a monthly basis, and this analysis is reviewed by senior management.

23. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**Measurement of Risks**

The Company, through its financial assets and liabilities, is exposed to various risks. The following analysis provides a measurement of risks as at the balance sheet date of December 31, 2011.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that a counterparty to a financial asset will default, resulting in the Company incurring a financial loss. At December 31, 2011, the maximum exposure to credit risk is equal to the carrying value of the financial assets, \$474 million (December 31, 2010 \$350 million, January 1, 2010 \$253 million). Cash and cash equivalents are invested with high quality investment grade financial institutions and are governed

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

by the Company's corporate investment policy, which aims to reduce credit risk by restricting investments to high-grade U.S. dollar and Canadian dollar denominated investments.

F-93

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements****(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)****23. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (continued)**

It is expected that the counterparties to the Company's financial assets will be able to meet their obligations as they are institutions with strong credit ratings. Telesat regularly monitors the credit risk and credit exposure.

Telesat has a number of diverse customers, which limits the concentration of credit risk with respect to trade receivables. The Company has credit evaluation, approval and monitoring processes intended to mitigate potential credit risks. Telesat's standard payment terms are 30 days. Interest at a rate of 1.5% per month, compounded monthly, is typically charged on balances remaining unpaid at the end of the standard payment terms. Telesat's historical experience with customer defaults has been minimal. As a result, Telesat considers the credit quality of its North American customers to be high; however due to the additional complexities of collecting from its International customers the Company considers the credit quality of its International customers to be lower than the North American customers. At December 31, 2011, North American and International customers made up 36% and 64% of the outstanding trade receivable balance, respectively (December 31, 2010 38% and 62%, January 1, 2010 39% and 61%). Anticipated bad debt losses have been provided for in the allowance for doubtful accounts. The allowance for doubtful accounts at December 31, 2011 was \$3.7 million (December 31, 2010 \$7.1 million, January 1, 2010 \$8.7 million).

Foreign Exchange Risk

The Company's operating results are subject to fluctuations as a result of exchange rate variations to the extent that transactions are made in currencies other than Canadian dollars. The most significant impact of variations in the exchange rate is on the U.S. dollar denominated debt financing. At December 31, 2011, approximately \$2,798 million of the \$2,878 million total debt financing (before netting of deferred financing costs and prepayment options) is the Canadian dollar equivalent of the U.S. dollar denominated portion of the debt.

The Company has entered into a cross currency basis swap to economically hedge the foreign currency risk on a portion of its U.S. dollar denominated debt. At December 31, 2011, the Company had a cross currency basis swap of \$1,175 million (December 31, 2010 \$1,187 million, January 1, 2010 \$1,200 million) which required the Company to pay Canadian dollars to receive U.S. \$1,012 million (December 31, 2010 U.S. \$1,022 million, January 1, 2010 U.S. \$1,033 million). At December 31, 2011, the fair value of this derivative contract was a liability of \$160.4 million (December 31, 2010 liability of \$192.5 million, January 1, 2010 liability of \$137.1 million). The non-cash loss will remain unrealized until the contract is settled. This contract is due on October 31, 2014.

Telesat uses forward contracts to hedge foreign currency risk on anticipated transactions, mainly related to the construction of satellites. At December 31, 2011, the Company had no outstanding foreign exchange contracts. At December 31, 2010, the Company had nine outstanding foreign exchange contracts which required the Company to pay \$188.3 million Canadian dollars (January 1, 2010 \$21.5 million) to receive U.S. \$185.0 million (January 1, 2010 U.S. \$20.0 million) for future capital expenditures and interest payments. At December 31, 2010, the fair value of the derivative contracts was a liability of \$2.6 million (January 1, 2010 \$0.4 million).

The Company's main currency exposures as at December 31, 2011 lie in its U.S. dollar denominated cash and cash equivalents, trade and other receivables, trade and other payables and indebtedness.

As at December 31, 2011, a 5 percent increase (decrease) in the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar would have increased (decreased) the Company's net income by approximately \$158 million and increased (decreased) other comprehensive income by \$1 million. This analysis assumes that all other variables, in particular interest rates, remain constant.

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

23. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (continued)*Interest Rate Risk*

The Company is exposed to interest rate risk on its cash and cash equivalents and its long term debt which is primarily variable rate financing. Changes in the interest rates could impact the amount of interest Telesat is required to pay. Telesat uses interest rate swaps to economically hedge the interest rate risk related to variable rate debt financing. At December 31, 2011, the Company had a series of three interest rate swaps to fix interest on \$930 million of Canadian dollar denominated debt at average fixed rates ranging from 3.02% to 3.5%. As at December 31, 2011, the fair value of these derivative contracts was a liability of \$53.1 million (December 31, 2010 liability of \$49.4 million, January 1, 2010 liability of \$47.7). The contracts mature by October 31, 2014.

If the interest rates on the unhedged variable rate debt change by 0.25% this would result in a change in the net income of approximately \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Liquidity Risk

The Company maintains credit facilities to ensure it has sufficient available funds to meet current and foreseeable financial requirements. The following are the contractual maturities of financial liabilities as at December 31, 2011:

In millions of Canadian dollars	000000 Carrying amount	000000 Contractual cash flows (undiscounted)	000000 2012	000000 2013	000000 2014	000000 2015	000000 2016	000000 After 2016
Trade and other payables	45,156	45,156	45,156					
Customer and other deposits	4,822	4,822	2,882	907	999	34		
Deferred satellites performance incentive payments	69,898	98,594	15,661	8,416	8,414	8,435	8,481	49,187
Dividends payable on senior preferred shares (note 20)	1,650	1,650	1,650					
Promissory note payable to Loral (note 29)	21,141	21,141						21,141
Tax indemnification payable to Loral (note 29)	7,111	7,111		7,111				
Other financial liabilities	3,708	3,708	2,151	1,557				
Long-term indebtedness	2,905,023	3,552,922	289,933	186,635	1,985,962	813,141	27,725	249,526
Interest rate swaps	53,101	52,762	18,658	18,607	15,497			
Cross currency basis swap	160,373	76,604	27,247	26,907	22,450			
	3,271,983	3,864,470	403,338	250,140	2,033,322	821,610	36,206	319,854

The carrying value of the deferred satellites performance incentive payments includes \$2.5 million interest payable. The carrying value of the long-term indebtedness includes \$21.0 million of interest payable and excludes \$49.4 million of financing costs.

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

23. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (continued)**Financial assets and liabilities recorded in the balance sheet were as follows:**

	000000	000000	000000	000000	000000
	Loans and receivables	Held for Trading FVTPL	Other financial liabilities	Total	Fair value
December 31, 2011					
Cash and cash equivalents	277,962			277,962	277,962
Trade and other receivables	46,789			46,789	46,789
Other financial assets current	7,010			7,010	7,010
Other financial assets long-term	7,977	134,431		142,408	142,408
Trade and other payables			(45,156)	(45,156)	(45,156)
Other financial liabilities current		(42,204)	(40,784)	(82,988)	(85,549)
Other financial liabilities long-term		(171,270)	(88,513)	(259,783)	(255,225)
Indebtedness (excluding deferred financing costs) (note 19)			(2,884,056)	(2,884,056)	(2,943,132)
Senior preferred shares (note 20)			(141,435)	(141,435)	(143,265)
Total	339,738	(79,043)	(3,199,944)	(2,939,249)	(2,998,158)
December 31, 2010					
Cash and cash equivalents	220,295			220,295	220,295
Trade and other receivables	44,083			44,083	44,083
Other financial assets current	6,944			6,944	6,944
Other financial assets long-term	6,226	72,405		78,631	78,631
Trade and other payables			(49,974)	(49,974)	(49,974)
Other financial liabilities current		(63,199)	(40,883)	(104,082)	(104,012)
Other financial liabilities long-term		(181,255)	(84,374)	(265,629)	(263,456)
Indebtedness (excluding deferred financing costs) (note 19)			(2,930,248)	(2,930,248)	(3,067,412)
Senior preferred shares (note 20)			(141,435)	(141,435)	(153,978)
Total	277,548	(172,049)	(3,246,914)	(3,141,415)	(3,288,879)
January 1, 2010					
Cash and cash equivalents	154,189			154,189	154,189
Trade and other receivables	70,200			70,200	70,200
Other financial assets current	7,317			7,317	7,317
Other financial assets long-term	5,819	15,914		21,733	21,733

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Trade and other payables			(43,413)	(43,413)	(43,413)
Other financial liabilities	current	(57,129)	(44,995)	(102,124)	(102,470)
Other financial liabilities	long-term	(128,157)	(111,668)	(239,825)	(237,226)
Indebtedness (excluding deferred financing costs) (note 19)			(3,118,477)	(3,118,477)	(3,104,151)
Senior preferred shares (note 20)			(141,435)	(141,435)	(174,466)
Total		237,525	(169,372)	(3,459,988)	(3,391,835)
					(3,408,287)

F-96

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

23. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (continued)**Fair Value**

Fair value is the amount that willing parties would accept to exchange a financial instrument based on the current market for instruments with the same risk, principal and remaining maturity. Where possible, fair values are based on the quoted market values in an active market. In the absence of an active market, we determine fair values based on prevailing market rates (bid and ask prices, as appropriate) for instruments with similar characteristics and risk profiles or internal or external valuation models, such as option pricing models and discounted cash flow analysis, using observable market-based inputs. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

Level 1 based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 based on observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

Estimates of fair values are affected significantly by the assumptions for the amount and timing of estimated future cash flows and discount rates, which all reflect varying degrees of risk. Potential income taxes and other expense that would be incurred on disposition of these financial instruments are not reflected in the fair values. As a result, the fair values are not necessarily the net amounts that would be realized if these instruments were actually settled.

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, trade and other receivables, and trade and other payables approximate fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments. Included in cash and cash equivalents are \$66.5 million (December 31, 2010 \$91.1 million, January 1, 2010 \$64.5 million) of short-term investments classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of the indebtedness is based on transactions and quotations from third parties considering market interest rates and excluding deferred financing costs. The indebtedness and senior preferred shares are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Fair value of derivative financial instruments

The current and long term portions of the fair value of the Company's derivative assets and liabilities, at each of the balance sheet dates, and the fair value methodologies used to calculate those values were as follows:

	000000 Long-term assets	000000 Current liabilities	000000 Long-term liabilities	000000 Total	000000 Fair value hierarchy
December 31, 2011					
Cross currency basis swap		(23,637)	(136,736)	(160,373)	Level 2
Interest rate swaps		(18,567)	(34,534)	(53,101)	Level 2
Forward foreign exchange contracts					Level 2
Prepayment option embedded derivatives	134,431			134,431	Level 2

134,431	(42,204)	(171,270)	(79,043)
---------	----------	-----------	----------

F-97

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

23. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (continued)

	000000 Long-term assets	000000 Current liabilities	000000 Long-term liabilities	000000 Total	000000 Fair value hierarchy
December 31, 2010					
Cross currency basis swap		(29,349)	(163,107)	(192,456)	Level 2
Interest rate swaps		(31,279)	(18,148)	(49,427)	Level 2
Forward foreign exchange contracts		(2,571)		(2,571)	Level 2
Prepayment option embedded derivatives	72,405			72,405	Level 2
	72,405	(63,199)	(181,255)	(172,049)	

	Long-term assets	Current liabilities	Long-term liabilities	Total	Fair value hierarchy
January 1, 2010					
Cross currency basis swap		(16,763)	(120,343)	(137,106)	Level 2
Interest rate swaps		(39,930)	(7,814)	(47,744)	Level 2
Forward foreign exchange contracts		(436)		(436)	Level 2
Prepayment option embedded derivatives	15,914			15,914	Level 2
	15,914	(57,129)	(128,157)	(169,372)	

	September 30,
Reconciliation of fair value of derivative assets and liabilities	
Opening fair value, January 1, 2010	(169,372)
Unrealized losses on derivatives	(13,955)
Realized gains on derivatives:	
Cross currency basis swap	1,183
Interest rate swaps	
Forward foreign exchange contracts	1,604
Impact of foreign exchange	8,491
Fair value, December 31, 2010	(172,049)
Unrealized gains on derivatives	87,914
Realized gains on derivatives:	
Cross currency basis swap	1,895
Interest rate swaps	
Forward foreign exchange contracts	8,776
Impact of foreign exchange	(5,579)
Fair value, December 31, 2011	(79,043)

24. SHARE BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

Telesat Holdings Stock Option Incentive Plan

On September 19, 2008, Telesat adopted a stock option incentive plan (the stock option plan) for certain key employees of the Company and its subsidiaries. The stock option plan provides for the grant of up to 8,824,646 options to purchase non-voting participating preferred shares of Telesat Holdings Inc., convertible into common shares.

Two different types of stock options can be granted under the stock option plan: time-vesting options and performance-vesting options. The time-vesting options generally become vested and exercisable over a five year period by 20% increments on October 31st of each year, starting in 2008. The vesting amount is prorated for optionees whose employment with the Company or its subsidiaries commenced after October 31, 2007. The performance-vesting options become vested and exercisable over a five year period starting March 31, 2009, provided the Company has achieved or exceeded an annual or cumulative target consolidated EBITDA established and communicated on the grant date by the Board of Directors.

F-98

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

23. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (continued)

The Company expenses the fair value of stock options that are expected to vest over the vesting period using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The share-based compensation expense is included in operating expenses.

The exercise periods of the stock options expire ten years from the grant date. The exercise price of each share underlying the options will be the higher of a fixed price, established by the Board of Directors on the grant date, and the fair market value of a non-voting participating preferred share on the grant date.

The movement in the number of stock options outstanding and their related weighted-average exercise prices are as follows:

	000000	000000	000000	000000
	Time Vesting Option Plans		Performance Vesting Option Plan	
	Weighted-Average		Weighted-Average	
	Number of Options	Exercise Price (\$)	Number of Options	Exercise Price (\$)
Outstanding December 31, 2011	7,265,952	11.08	1,407,672	11.12
Options exercisable at December 31, 2011	5,666,287		687,698	
Weighted-average remaining life	6 years		6 years	
Outstanding December 31, 2010	7,265,952	11.08	1,407,672	11.12
Options exercisable at December 31, 2010	4,173,018		526,252	
Weighted-average remaining life	7 years		7 years	
Outstanding January 1, 2010	7,303,705	11.07	1,453,814	11.07
Options exercisable at January 1, 2010	2,740,969		162,091	
Weighted-average remaining life	8 years		8 years	

During 2011 no options were granted, forfeited, exercised or expired.

The compensation expense, number of stock options granted, weighted-average fair value per option granted and the assumptions used to determine the share-based compensation expense using the Black-Scholes option pricing model were as follows:

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010
Compensation expense (credited to equity-settled employee benefits reserve)	2,654	4,667
Number of stock options granted		22,372
Weighted-average fair value per option granted (\$)		16.50
Weighted average assumptions:		
Dividend yield		
Expected volatility		31.10%

Risk-free interest rate	3.85%
Expected life (years)	10

F-99

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

25. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

The Company's net defined benefit plan expense included in operating expense consisted of the following elements:

Year ended December 31,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	Defined benefit pension plans		Other post-employment benefit plans	
Current service cost	3,844	2,630	299	232
Interest cost	9,687	9,655	1,183	1,237
Expected return on plan assets	(10,708)	(10,231)		
Net defined benefit plan expense	2,823	2,054	1,482	1,469

The Company's funding policy is to make contributions to its pension funds based on actuarial cost methods as permitted by pension regulatory bodies. Contributions reflect actuarial assumptions concerning future investment returns, salary projections and future service benefits. Plan assets are represented primarily by Canadian and foreign equity securities, fixed income instruments and short-term investments.

The Company provides certain health care and life insurance benefits for some of its retired employees and their dependents. Participants are eligible for these benefits generally when they retire from active service and meet the eligibility requirements for the pension plan. These benefits are funded primarily on a pay-as-you-go basis, with the retiree generally paying a portion of the cost through contributions, deductibles and coinsurance provisions.

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
Balance sheet obligations for:			
Pension benefits	43,266	9,209	1,231
Other post-employment benefits	24,339	21,592	22,433
	67,605	30,801	23,664

The amounts recognized in the balance sheet are determined as follows:

	000000 December 31, 2011 Pension	000000 Other	000000 December 31, 2010 Pension	000000 Other	000000 January 1, 2010 Pension	000000 Other
Present value of funded obligations	211,872		174,662		151,063	
Fair value of plan assets	169,808		166,235		150,746	

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

	42,064		8,427		317	
Present value of unfunded obligations	1,202	24,339	782	21,592	914	22,433
Liability in the balance sheet	43,266	24,339	9,209	21,592	1,231	22,433

F-100

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

25. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (continued)

The changes in the defined benefit obligations and in the fair value of plan assets and the funded status of the defined benefit plans were as follows:

Pension and other benefits	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	Pension	December 31, 2011 Other	Total
Change in benefit obligations			
Defined benefit obligation, January 1, 2011	175,444	21,592	197,036
Current service cost	3,844	299	4,143
Interest cost	9,687	1,183	10,870
Actuarial (gains) losses	30,541	2,222	32,763
Benefits paid	(7,825)	(990)	(8,815)
Contributions by plan participants	1,383	33	1,416
Plan amendments			
Defined benefit obligation, December 31, 2011	213,074	24,339	237,413

Pension and other benefits	December 31, 2011		Total
	Pension	Other	
Change in fair value of plan assets			
Fair value of plan assets, January 1, 2011	166,235		166,235
Expected return on plan assets	10,708		10,708
Actuarial gains (losses)	(8,800)		(8,800)
Benefits paid	(7,825)	(990)	(8,815)
Contributions by plan participants	1,383	33	1,416
Contributions by employer	8,107	957	9,064
Fair value of plan assets, December 31, 2011	169,808		169,808

Funded status			
Plan surplus (deficit)	(43,266)	(24,339)	(67,605)
Accrued benefit asset (liability)	(43,266)	(24,339)	(67,605)

Pension and other benefits	December 31, 2010		Total
	Pension	Other	
Change in benefit obligations			
Defined benefit obligation, January 1, 2010	151,977	22,433	174,410
Current service cost	2,630	232	2,862
Interest cost	9,665	1,237	10,902

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Actuarial (gains) losses	19,165	(1,250)	17,915
Benefits paid	(9,379)	(856)	(10,235)
Contributions by plan participants	1,386	32	1,418
Plan amendments		(236)	(236)
Defined benefit obligation, December 31, 2010	175,444	21,592	197,036

F-101

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

25. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (continued)

	September 30, Pension	September 30, December 31, 2010 Other	September 30, Total
Pension and other benefits			
Change in fair value of plan assets			
Fair value of plan assets, January 1, 2010	150,746		150,746
Expected return on plan assets	10,231		10,231
Actuarial gains (losses)	5,108		5,108
Benefits paid	(9,379)	(856)	(10,235)
Contributions by plan participants	1,386	32	1,418
Contributions by employer	8,143	824	8,967
Fair value of plan assets, December 31, 2010	166,235		166,235
Funded status			
Plan surplus (deficit)	(9,209)	(21,592)	(30,801)
Accrued benefit asset (liability)	(9,209)	(21,592)	(30,801)

The major categories of plan assets as a percentage of total plans assets and the expected rate of return on assets at the end of the reporting period for each category are as follows:

	September 30, Expected return December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, Fair value of plan assets December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010
Equity securities	8.4%	7.9%	59%	61%
Fixed income instruments	4.4%	4.6%	39%	36%
Short-term investments	3.4%	2.7%	2%	3%
Weighted average of expected return	6.7%	6.6%	100%	100%

Plan assets are valued as at the measurement date of December 31 each year. The overall expected rate of return is a weighted average of the expected returns of the various investment categories held in the asset portfolio. The Management Level Pension Fund Investment Committee and Investment Managers' assessment of the expected returns is based on historical average return trends and market predictions.

The actual return on plan assets for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$1.9 million (December 31, 2010 \$15.4 million).

The experience adjustments on plan liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2011 was a loss of \$1.0 million (December 31, 2010 gain of \$1.7 million). The experience adjustments on plan assets for the year ended December 31, 2011 was a loss of \$8.8 million (December 31, 2010 gain of \$5.1 million).

The significant weighted-average assumptions adopted in measuring the Company's pension and other benefit obligations were as follows:

	September 30, Pension December 31, 2011	September 30, Other
Accrued benefit obligation		
Discount rate	4.5%	4.5%
Benefit costs for the periods ended		
Discount rate	5.5%	5.5%
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets	6.5%	
Future salary increase	3.0%	
Pre and post retirement pension increase	1.1%	

F-102

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

25. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (continued)

For measurement purposes, the medical trend rate for drugs was assumed to be 10.5% for 2011, decreasing by 1% per annum, to a rate of 4.5% per annum in 2016. The health care cost trend rate was assumed to be 9% grading down to 5% in 2019. Other medical trend rates were assumed to be 4.5%.

Actuarial gains and losses recognized in other comprehensive income:

	000000	000000	000000	000000	000000	000000
	Pension	Other	Total	Pension	Other	Total
Cumulative amount at January 1	(10,505)	1,055	(9,450)			
Recognized during the year, net of taxes (2011 \$10,486; 2010 \$3,357)	(29,614)	(1,463)	(31,077)	(10,505)	1,055	(9,450)
Cumulative amount at December 31	(40,119)	(408)	(40,527)	(10,505)	1,055	(9,450)

Sensitivity of assumptions

The impact of a hypothetical 1% change in the health care cost trend rate on the other post-retirement benefit obligation and the aggregate of service and interest cost would have been as follows:

	September 30, Benefit obligation	September 30, Aggregate of service and interest cost
As reported	24,339	1,482
Impact of increase of 1% point	2,011	146
Impact of decrease of 1% point	(1,706)	(121)

The above sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. Changes in amounts based on a 1% point variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in amounts may not be linear. The sensitivities have been calculated independently of changes in other key variables. Changes in one factor may result in changes in another, which could amplify or reduce certain sensitivities.

The Company expects to make contributions of \$8.9 million to the defined benefit plans during the next fiscal year.

26. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents is comprised of:			
Cash	86,500	129,217	89,679
Short term investments, original maturity three months or less	66,547	91,078	64,510
Restricted cash ^(a)	124,915		
	277,962	220,295	154,189

- (a) The insurance proceeds received for the settlement of the Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2 claim are restricted in use, and will be used to repay a portion of the Company's Credit Facility or reinvested in satellite procurements in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Credit Agreement. The restricted amount is expected to be used within the next twelve months.

F-103

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

26. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION (continued)

The net change in operating assets and liabilities shown in the consolidated statements of cash flows is comprised of the following:

At December 31	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
Trade and other receivables	(1,668)	21,884
Financial assets	(1,604)	(541)
Other assets	(4,335)	(1,295)
Trade and other payables	(196)	(22,484)
Financial liabilities	(2,061)	(20,249)
Other liabilities	(3,249)	(7,130)
	(13,113)	(29,815)

At December 31	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
Non-cash investing and financing activities are comprised of:		
Purchase of satellites, property and other equipment	24,441	24,775

27. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Off balance sheet commitments include operating leases, commitments for future capital expenditures and other future purchases.

Off balance sheet commitments	000000 2012	000000 2013	000000 2014	000000 2015	000000 2016	000000 Thereafter	000000 Total
Operating lease commitments	6,830	6,478	5,824	5,392	4,805	32,628	61,957
Other operating commitments	21,791	18,285	14,271	7,530	3,377	1,388	66,642
Capital commitments	156,096						156,096
Total off balance sheet commitments	184,717	24,763	20,095	12,922	8,182	34,016	284,695

Certain of the Company's offices, warehouses, earth stations, and office equipment are leased under various terms. The aggregate expense related to operating lease commitments for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$7 million (December 31, 2010 \$8.0 million). The expiry terms range from January 2012 to January 2043.

Telesat has entered into contracts for the construction and launch of Nimiq 6 (targeted for launch in 2012) and Anik G1 (targeted for launch in 2012). The total outstanding commitments at December 31, 2011 are in U.S. dollars.

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents includes \$124.9 million of restricted cash as at December 31, 2011 (December 31, 2010 zero, January 1, 2010 zero). The restricted cash can be used for capital expenditures of satellite projects. The restricted cash is as a result of insurance proceeds received for the claim filed in relation to Telstar 14R/Estrela do Sul 2. The insurance proceeds were given as the satellite's north solar array anomaly has diminished the amount of power available for the satellite's transponders and reduced the operational life expectancy of the satellite.

Telesat has agreements with various customers for prepaid revenue on several service agreements which take effect when the spacecraft is placed in service. Telesat is responsible for operating and controlling these satellites. Customer prepayments of \$408.0 million (December 31, 2010 \$377.1 million, January 1, 2010 \$358.4 million), refundable under certain circumstances, are reflected in other financial liabilities, both current and long-term.

F-104

Table of Contents

Telesat Holdings Inc.

Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

27. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (continued)

In the normal course of business, the Company has executed agreements that provide for indemnification and guarantees to counterparties in various transactions. These indemnification undertakings and guarantees may require the Company to compensate the counterparties for costs and losses incurred as a result of certain events including, without limitation, loss or damage to property, change in the interpretation of laws and regulations (including tax legislation), claims that may arise while providing services, or as a result of litigation that may be suffered by the counterparties. The nature of substantially all of the indemnification undertakings prevents the Company from making a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential amount the Company could be required to pay counterparties as the agreements do not specify a maximum amount and the amounts are dependent upon the outcome of future contingent events, the nature and likelihood of which cannot be determined at this time. Historically, the Company has not made any significant payments under such indemnifications.

Telesat and Loral have entered into an indemnification agreement whereby Loral will indemnify Telesat for any tax liabilities for taxation years prior to 2007 related to Loral Skynet operations. Likewise, Telesat will indemnify Loral for the settlement of any tax receivables for taxation years prior to 2007.

Legal Proceedings

The Company frequently participates in proceedings before national telecommunications regulatory authorities. In addition, the Company may also become involved from time to time in other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of its business.

Telesat Canada's Anik F1 satellite, built by Boeing and launched in November 2000, has defective solar arrays that have caused a drop in power output on the satellite and reduced its operational life. Telesat Canada filed a claim for Anik F1 as a constructive total loss under its insurance policies and received an amount from its insurers in settlement of that claim. In November 2006, Telesat Canada commenced arbitration proceedings against Boeing, alleging that Boeing was grossly negligent and/or engaged in willful misconduct in the design and manufacture of the Anik F1 satellite and in failing to warn Telesat Canada prior to the launch of a material deficiency in the power performance of a similar satellite previously launched. Telesat's claim seeks approximately \$331 million plus costs and post-award interest, a portion of which was in respect of the subrogated rights of its insurers. Boeing has responded by alleging that Telesat Canada failed to obtain what it asserts to be contractually required waivers of subrogation rights such that, if Telesat Canada is successful in obtaining an award which includes an amount in respect of the subrogated rights of the insurers, Boeing is entitled to off-setting damages in that amount, which is approximately \$176 million. Boeing also asserts that Telesat Canada owes Boeing performance incentive payments pursuant to the terms of the satellite construction contract in the amount of approximately U.S. \$5.5 million plus interest. The arbitration hearing is scheduled to commence in November 2012. While it is not possible to determine the ultimate outcome of the arbitration, Telesat Canada intends to vigorously prosecute its claims and defend its position that no liability is owed Boeing in connection with the dispute and that, in the circumstances of this case, it was not contractually required to obtain waivers of the subrogation rights at issue.

Other than the above, the Company is not aware of any proceedings outstanding or threatened as of the date hereof by or against us or relating to its business which may have, or have had in the recent past, significant effects on Telesat Canada's financial position or profitability.

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

28. SUBSIDIARIES

The list of significant companies included in the scope of consolidation is as follows:

Company	September 30, Country	September 30, Method of Consolidation	September 30, % voting rights December 31, 2011
Telesat Canada	Canada	Fully consolidated	100.00
Infosat Communications LP	Canada	Fully consolidated	100.00
Skynet Satellite Corporation	United States	Fully consolidated	100.00
Telesat Network Services, Inc.	United States	Fully consolidated	100.00
The SpaceConnection Inc.	United States	Fully consolidated	100.00
Telesat Satellite LP	United States	Fully consolidated	100.00
Infosat Able Holdings Inc.	United States	Fully consolidated	100.00
Able Infosat Communications, Inc.	United States	Fully consolidated	100.00
Telesat Brasil Capacidade de Satélites Ltda.	Brazil	Fully consolidated	100.00
Telesat (IOM) Limited	Isle of Man	Fully consolidated	100.00

The percentage of voting rights and interest were the same as at December 31, 2010 and January 1, 2010, respectively.

29. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company's immediate shareholders are Red Isle Private Investment Inc. (Red Isle), a company incorporated in Canada, Loral Holdings Corporation (Loral Holdings), a company incorporated in the United States, Mr. John P. Cashman and Mr. Colin D. Watson, two Canadian citizens. Red Isle is wholly owned by the Public Sector Pension Investment Board (PSP Investments), a Canadian Crown corporation. Loral Holdings is a wholly owned subsidiary of Loral Space & Communications Inc. (Loral), a United States publicly listed company.

Transactions with subsidiaries

The Company and its subsidiaries regularly engage in inter-group transactions. These transactions include the purchase and sale of satellite services and communication equipment, providing and receiving network and call centre services, access to orbital slots and management services. The transactions have been entered into over the normal course of operations. Balances and transactions between the Company and its subsidiaries have been eliminated on consolidation and therefore have not been disclosed.

Transactions with related parties

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries regularly engage in transactions with related parties. The Company's related parties include Loral, Red Isle, Space Systems/Loral (SSL), a satellite manufacturer and a wholly owned subsidiary of Loral, XTAR LLC (XTAR), a satellite operator and affiliate of Loral, and Loral Canadian Gateway Corporation (LCGC), a wholly owned subsidiary of Loral.

On April 11, 2011, Telesat acquired from Loral and LCGC all of its rights and obligations with respect to the Canadian payload on the ViaSat-1 satellite, which was manufactured by SSL, and all related agreements. On closing of the transaction, Telesat paid Loral U.S. \$13 million (\$12.6 million Canadian dollars) for the assumption of Loral's 15-year revenue contract with Xplornet Communications Inc. for ViaSat-1. In addition Telesat reimbursed Loral and LCGC approximately U.S. \$48.2 million of net costs incurred through completion of the sale.

During the year, the Company and its subsidiaries entered into the following transactions with related parties.

F-106

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

29. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (continued)

year ended ended	September 30, Sale of goods and services, interest income December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, Purchase of goods and services, interest expense December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010
Loral				
Revenue	1	166		
Operating expenses			4,990	5,245
Interest expense			1,291	1,004
-Intangible asset			12,618	
Red Isle				
Interest expense			9,869	12,339
SSL				
Revenue	1,942	2,373		
Satellite, property and other equipment			180,853	168,040
Operating expenses			1,423	373
XTAR				
Revenue	927	1,017		
LCGC				
Revenue	324	442		
Satellite, property and other equipment			4,586	

The following balances were outstanding at the end of the year:

At	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, December 31, 2010	September 30, January 1, 2010
Loral						
Trade receivables/payables					14	
Other long-term financial assets/liabilities	2,387	2,332	2,461	28,252	24,474	19,543
Red Isle						
Other current financial liabilities				1,650	2,075	
Other long-term financial liabilities						25,090
Senior preferred shares				141,435	141,435	141,435
SSL						
Trade receivable/payable	380	428	1,430	4,758	37	1,230

Edgar Filing: LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC. - Form 10-K

Other current financial liabilities	1,047	1,003	
Other long-term financial liabilities	15,018	15,469	8,068
XTAR			
Trade receivable/payable	79		

The amounts outstanding are unsecured and will be settled in cash. The related party transactions were made on terms equivalent to those that prevail in arm's length transactions.

F-107

Table of Contents**Telesat Holdings Inc.****Notes to the 2011 Consolidated Financial Statements**

(all amounts in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts and where otherwise noted)

29. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (continued)

The Company has entered into contracts for the construction of Nimiq 6 and Anik G1 with SSL. The total outstanding commitments at December 31, 2011 were \$50.9 million (December 31, 2010 \$187.4 million, January 1, 2010 \$225.1 million).

Other related party transactions

The Company funds certain defined benefit pension plans as described in note 25. Contributions made to the plans for the year ended December 31, 2011 were \$8.1 million (December 31, 2010 \$8.1 million).

Compensation of key management personnel

Key management personnel consists of Board level directors and senior management.

	September 30, Year ended 2011	September 30, Year ended 2010
Short-term benefits (including salary)	7,309	7,262
Post-employment benefits	720	557
Other-long term benefits		
Termination benefits		
Share-based payments	2,572	4,514
	10,601	12,333

There were no transactions with key management personnel in 2011 and 2010 other than compensation.

30. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The 11.0% Senior Notes and the 12.5% Senior Subordinated Notes were co-issued by Telesat LLC and Telesat Canada, (the Issuers) which are 100% owned subsidiaries of Telesat, and were guaranteed fully and unconditionally, on a joint and several basis, by Telesat and certain of its subsidiaries.

The condensed consolidating financial information below for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010 are presented pursuant to Article 3-10(d) of Regulation S-X. The information presented consists of the operations of Telesat Holdings Inc. Telesat Holdings Inc. primarily holds investments in subsidiaries and equity. Telesat LLC, a U.S. Delaware corporation, is a financing subsidiary that has no assets, liabilities or operations.

The condensed consolidating financial information reflects the investments of Telesat Holdings Inc. in the Issuers, of the Issuers in their respective Guarantor and Non-Guarantor subsidiaries and of the Guarantors in their Non-Guarantor subsidiaries using the equity method.

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income (Loss)****For the year ended December 31, 2011**

	Telesat Holdings	Telesat LLC	Telesat Canada	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-guarantor Subsidiaries	Adjustments	Consolidated
Revenue			742,728	110,203	20,286	(64,856)	808,361
Operating expenses			(134,137)	(95,827)	(22,657)	64,856	(187,765)
			608,591	14,376	(2,371)		620,596
Depreciation			(146,581)	(51,711)	(334)		(198,626)
Amortization			(42,480)	1,541	(82)		(41,021)
Other operating gains (losses), net			116,063	(1,989)	(6)		114,068
Operating income (loss)			535,593	(37,783)	(2,793)		495,017
Income (loss) from equity investments	247,144		(40,204)	(3,049)		(203,891)	
Interest (expense) income	(9,869)		(219,590)	2,421	(13)		(227,051)
Interest and other income			86	1,465	3		1,554
Gain on changes in fair value of financial instruments			98,585				98,585
(Loss) gain on foreign exchange			(75,155)	(6,084)	2,395		(78,844)
Income (loss) before tax	237,275		299,315	(43,030)	(408)	(203,891)	289,261
Tax (expense) benefit			(52,171)	106	79		(51,986)
Net income (loss)	237,275		247,144	(42,924)	(329)	(203,891)	237,275

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income (Loss)**For the year ended December 31, 2010**

	Telesat Holdings	Telesat LLC	Telesat Canada	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-guarantor Subsidiaries	Adjustments	Consolidated
Revenue			745,689	98,049	23,839	(46,216)	821,361
Operating expenses			(144,180)	(83,375)	(25,125)	46,216	(206,464)
			601,509	14,674	(1,286)		614,897
Depreciation			(147,892)	(53,948)	(343)		(202,183)
Amortization			(47,395)	2,126	(199)		(45,468)
Other operating losses, net			75,023	7,995			83,018
Operating income (loss)			481,245	(29,153)	(1,828)		450,264
Income (loss) from equity investments	298,439		(30,096)	(32,013)		(236,330)	
Interest (expense) income	(12,338)		(244,372)	125	3		(256,582)
Interest and other income (expense)			4,316	1,517	(81)		5,752
Loss on changes in fair value of financial instruments			(11,168)				(11,168)
Gain (loss) on foreign exchange			162,921	7,333	(6,288)		163,966
Income (loss) before tax	286,101		362,846	(52,191)	(8,194)	(236,330)	352,232
Tax expense			(64,407)	(1,169)	(555)		(66,131)
Net income (loss)	286,101		298,439	(53,360)	(8,749)	(236,330)	286,101

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet**

As at December 31, 2011

	Telesat Holdings	Telesat LLC	Telesat Canada	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-guarantor Subsidiaries	Adjustments	Consolidated
Assets							
Cash and cash equivalents			256,837	18,654	2,471		277,962
Trade and other receivables			27,010	18,670	1,109		46,789
Other current financial assets			26	255	6,729		7,010
Intercompany receivable			349,662	137,658	148,153	(635,473)	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets			14,052	8,019	55		22,126
Total current assets			647,587	183,256	158,517	(635,473)	353,887
Satellites, property and other equipment			1,808,997	340,992	1,926		2,151,915
Other long-term financial assets			141,084	896	428		142,408
Other long-term assets			3,010	2,526			5,536
Intangible assets			848,898	47,077	103		896,078
Investment in affiliates	1,878,938		1,184,893	1,495,142	260	(4,559,233)	
Goodwill			2,078,056	343,876	24,671		2,446,603
Total assets	1,878,938		6,712,525	2,413,765	185,905	(5,194,706)	5,996,427
Liabilities							
Trade and other payables			33,405	9,118	2,633		45,156
Other current financial liabilities	1,650		79,995	1,308	35		82,988
Intercompany payable	45,689		179,352	375,012	35,420	(635,473)	
Other current liabilities			64,393	3,111	373		67,877
Current indebtedness			86,494	1			86,495
Total current liabilities	47,339		443,639	388,550	38,461	(635,473)	282,516
Long-term indebtedness			2,748,131				2,748,131
Deferred tax liabilities			452,208	(312)			451,896
Other long-term financial liabilities			255,630	3,862	291		259,783
Other long-term liabilities			411,533	10,726	243		422,502
Senior preferred shares	141,435						141,435
Total liabilities	188,774		4,311,141	402,826	38,995	(635,473)	4,306,263
Shareholders Equity							
Share capital	1,298,178		2,320,730	1,898,682	104,434	(4,323,846)	1,298,178
Accumulated earnings (deficit)	369,992		35,415	176,382	42,071	(253,868)	369,992
Reserves	21,994		45,239	(64,125)	405	18,481	21,994
Total shareholders equity	1,690,164		2,401,384	2,010,939	146,910	(4,559,233)	1,690,164
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	1,878,938		6,712,525	2,413,765	185,905	(5,194,706)	5,996,427

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet**

As at December 31, 2010

	Telesat Telesat Holdings	Telesat LLC	Telesat Telesat Canada	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-guarantor Subsidiaries	Adjustments	Consolidated
Assets							
Cash and cash equivalents			196,682	21,135	2,478		220,295
Trade and other receivables			28,718	13,593	1,772		44,083
Other current financial assets			25	346	6,573		6,944
Intercompany receivable			219,035	202,459	112,436	(533,930)	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets			13,671	7,136	130		20,937
Total current assets			458,131	244,669	123,389	(533,930)	292,259
Satellites, property and other equipment			1,643,419	333,173	2,197		1,978,789
Other long-term financial assets			77,503	502	626		78,631
Other long-term assets			7,907	4,120			12,027
Intangible assets			909,744	35,617	186		945,547
Investment in affiliates	1,663,758		1,309,540	1,487,893	259	(4,461,450)	
Goodwill			2,078,056	343,876	24,671		2,446,603
Total assets	1,663,758		6,484,300	2,449,850	151,328	(4,995,380)	5,753,856
Liabilities							
Trade and other payables			31,667	15,164	3,143		49,974
Intercompany payable	35,385		124,484	374,061		(533,930)	
Other current financial liabilities	2,075		100,610	1,233	164		104,082
Other current liabilities			61,643	301	701		62,645
Current indebtedness			96,847	1			96,848
Total current liabilities	37,460		415,251	390,760	4,008	(533,930)	313,549
Long-term indebtedness			2,771,802				2,771,802
Deferred tax liabilities			416,069	(2,002)	650		414,717
Other long-term financial liabilities			265,346		283		265,629
Other long-term liabilities			348,873	12,750	238		361,861
Senior preferred shares	141,435						141,435
Total liabilities	178,895		4,217,341	401,508	5,179	(533,930)	4,268,993
Shareholders Equity							
Share capital	1,298,178		2,320,730	1,896,596	104,434	(4,321,760)	1,298,178
Accumulated earnings (deficit)	163,804		(128,079)	216,134	38,204	(126,259)	163,804
Reserves	22,881		74,308	(64,388)	3,511	(13,431)	22,881
Total shareholders equity	1,484,863		2,266,959	2,048,342	146,149	(4,461,450)	1,484,863
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	1,663,758		6,484,300	2,449,850	151,328	(4,995,380)	5,753,856

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet**

As at January 1, 2010

	Telesat Telesat Holdings LLC	Telesat Telesat Canada	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-guarantor Subsidiaries	Adjustments	Consolidated
Assets						
Cash and cash equivalents		137,623	14,232	2,334		154,189
Trade and other receivables		51,444	15,591	3,165		70,200
Other current financial assets		101	267	6,949		7,317
Intercompany receivable		249,103	150,490	120,038	(519,631)	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		14,957	7,967	77		23,001
Total current assets		453,228	188,547	132,563	(519,631)	254,707
Satellites, property and other equipment		1,446,613	449,801	2,484		1,898,898
Other long-term financial assets		20,545	529	659		21,733
Other long-term assets		13,311	5,720			19,031
Intangible assets		886,965	38,570	386		925,921
Investment in affiliates	1,371,792	1,346,054	1,477,459	261	(4,195,566)	
Goodwill		2,078,057	343,876	24,670		2,446,603
Total assets	1,371,792	6,244,773	2,504,502	161,023	(4,715,197)	5,566,893
Liabilities						
Trade and other payables		32,059	6,798	4,556		43,413
Intercompany payable		108,346	411,285		(519,631)	
Other current financial liabilities		100,685	1,304	135		102,124
Other current liabilities		70,523	1,093	505		72,121
Current indebtedness		23,601	1			23,602
Total current liabilities		335,214	420,481	5,196	(519,631)	241,260
Long-term indebtedness		3,021,820				3,021,820
Deferred tax liabilities		355,904	(2,266)	(1)		353,637
Other long-term financial liabilities	25,090	214,633	102			239,825
Other long-term liabilities		346,705	16,268	676		363,649
Senior preferred shares	141,435					141,435
Total liabilities	166,525	4,274,276	434,585	5,871	(519,631)	4,361,626
Shareholders Equity						
Share capital	1,298,178	2,320,730	1,896,596	104,434	(4,321,760)	1,298,178
Accumulated earnings (deficit)	(112,817)	(430,301)	237,247	46,953	146,101	(112,817)
Reserves	19,906	80,068	(63,926)	3,765	(19,907)	19,906
Total shareholders equity	1,205,267	1,970,497	2,069,917	155,152	(4,195,566)	1,205,267
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	1,371,792	6,244,773	2,504,502	161,023	(4,715,197)	5,566,893

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flow****For the year ended December 31, 2011**

	Telesat Holdings	Telesat LLC	Telesat Canada	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-guarantor Subsidiaries	Adjustments	Consolidated
Cash flows from (used in) operating activities							
Net income (loss)	237,275		247,144	(42,924)	(329)	(203,891)	237,275
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to cash flows from operating activities:							
Amortization and depreciation			189,061	50,170	416		239,647
Deferred tax expense (benefit)			52,099	(145)	(100)		51,854
Unrealized foreign exchange loss (gain)			66,375	4,045	(2,714)		67,706
Unrealized gain on derivatives			(87,914)				(87,914)
Dividends on senior preferred shares	1,650						1,650
Share-based compensation			2,073	383	198		2,654
Income (loss) from equity investments	(247,144)		40,204	3,049		203,891	
Loss on disposal of assets			588	879	16		1,483
Impairment loss on intangible assets			18,368	1,100			19,468
Insurance proceeds			(135,019)				(135,019)
Other			(28,167)	(2,876)	242		(30,801)
Customer prepayments on future satellite services			55,268	2,500			57,768
Insurance proceeds			11,228				11,228
Operating assets and liabilities	(2,075)		1,944	(15,262)	2,280		(13,113)
Net cash from (used in) operating activities	(10,294)		433,252	919	9		423,886
Cash flows from (used in) investing activities							
Satellite programs			(302,193)	(54,006)			(356,199)
Purchases of other property and equipment			(16,137)	(1,374)	(55)		(17,566)
Purchase of intangible assets				(12,618)			(12,618)
Insurance proceeds			135,019				135,019
Proceeds from sale of assets			148				148
Business acquisitions			(9,264)	9,264			
Dividends received			8,633			(8,633)	
Net cash used in investing activities			(183,794)	(58,734)	(55)	(8,633)	(251,216)
Cash flows from (used in) financing activities							
Repayment of indebtedness			(108,741)				(108,741)
Dividends paid on preferred shares	(10)						(10)
Satellite performance incentive payments			(5,928)				(5,928)
Intercompany loan	10,304		(74,634)	64,330			
Dividends paid				(8,633)		8,633	
Net cash from (used in) financing activities	10,294		(189,303)	55,697		8,633	(114,679)
Effect of changes in exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents							
				(363)	39		(324)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents			60,155	(2,481)	(7)		57,667
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year			196,682	21,135	2,478		220,295
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year			256,837	18,654	2,471		277,962

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flow****For the year ended December 31, 2010**

	Telesat Holdings	Telesat LLC	Telesat Canada	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-guarantor Subsidiaries	Adjustments	Consolidated
Cash flows from operating activities							
Net income (loss)	286,101		298,439	(53,360)	(8,749)	(236,330)	286,101
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to cash flows from operating activities:							
Amortization and depreciation			195,287	51,822	542		247,651
Deferred tax expense			63,277	146	429		63,852
Unrealized foreign exchange (gain) loss			(168,787)	(7,502)	6,273		(170,016)
Unrealized loss on derivatives			13,955				13,955
Dividends on senior preferred shares	2,075						2,075
Share-based compensation			3,691	635	341		4,667
(Income) loss from equity investments	(298,439)		30,096	32,013		236,330	
Gain on disposal of assets			(3,754)	(72)			(3,826)
Reversal of impairment loss on intangible assets			(71,269)				(71,269)
Reversal of impairment loss on satellites, property and other equipment				(7,923)			(7,923)
Other			(24,600)	(315)	(15)		(24,930)
Customer prepayments on future satellite services			30,982				30,982
Operating assets and liabilities	10,293		(44,971)	2,867	1,996		(29,815)
Net cash from operating activities	30		322,346	18,311	817		341,504
Cash flows used in investing activities							
Satellite programs			(257,725)				(257,725)
Purchase of other property and equipment			(2,299)	(1,556)	(111)		(3,966)
Proceeds from sale of assets			26,782	144			26,926
Other			10,000			(10,000)	
Net cash used in investing activities			(223,242)	(1,412)	(111)	(10,000)	(234,765)
Cash flows from (used in) financing activities							
Repayment of indebtedness			(34,946)				(34,946)
Dividends paid on preferred shares	(30)						(30)
Satellite performance incentive payments			(5,099)				(5,099)
Dividends paid				(10,000)		10,000	
Net cash from (used in) financing activities	(30)		(40,045)	(10,000)		10,000	(40,075)
Effect of changes in exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents							
				4	(562)		(558)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents			59,059	6,903	144		66,106
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year			137,623	14,232	2,334		154,189
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year			196,682	21,135	2,478		220,295