

CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC

Form 10-Q

October 27, 2010

Table of Contents

**United States**  
**Securities and Exchange Commission**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**Form 10-Q**

x **Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
For the quarterly period ended: September 30, 2010

Or

.. **Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 001-13221

**Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Texas  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

74-1751768  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

100 W. Houston Street, San Antonio, Texas  
(Address of principal executive offices)

78205  
(Zip code)

(210) 220-4011

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

## Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

As of October 21, 2010, there were 60,938,634 shares of the registrant's Common Stock, \$.01 par value, outstanding.

---

**Table of Contents**

Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.

Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q

September 30, 2010

Table of Contents

	Page
<b><u>Part I - Financial Information</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	27
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	52
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	53
<b><u>Part II - Other Information</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	54
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	54
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	54
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	54
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	54
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	54
<b><u>Signatures</u></b>	54

**Table of Contents****Part I. Financial Information****Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Income**

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30,</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Interest income:</b>				
Loans, including fees	\$ 102,874	\$ 107,578	\$ 307,898	\$ 325,382
Securities:				
Taxable	30,968	30,214	91,525	95,420
Tax-exempt	20,380	16,989	60,399	44,556
Interest-bearing deposits	1,438	692	3,282	1,215
Federal funds sold and resell agreements	28	17	59	198
Total interest income	155,688	155,490	463,163	466,771
<b>Interest expense:</b>				
Deposits	7,334	13,593	23,272	44,983
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	116	243	290	928
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	1,741	1,814	5,297	5,439
Other long-term borrowings	4,081	5,851	12,408	17,336
Total interest expense	13,272	21,501	41,267	68,686
<b>Net interest income</b>	<b>142,416</b>	<b>133,989</b>	<b>421,896</b>	<b>398,085</b>
Provision for possible loan losses	10,100	16,940	32,321	43,142
<b>Net interest income after provision for possible loan losses</b>	<b>132,316</b>	<b>117,049</b>	<b>389,575</b>	<b>354,943</b>
<b>Non-interest income:</b>				
Trust fees	17,029	16,755	51,029	49,599
Service charges on deposit accounts	24,980	26,395	74,714	76,457
Insurance commissions and fees	8,588	8,505	27,238	26,362
Other charges, commissions and fees	7,708	6,845	22,656	19,895
Net gain (loss) on securities transactions			6	49
Other	12,125	10,991	36,112	34,999
Total non-interest income	70,430	69,491	211,755	207,361
<b>Non-interest expense:</b>				
Salaries and wages	59,743	58,591	178,845	171,907
Employee benefits	12,698	13,445	39,894	42,468
Net occupancy	12,197	11,111	34,969	32,665
Furniture and equipment	12,165	11,133	35,316	32,158
Deposit insurance	4,661	4,643	15,533	20,686

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

Intangible amortization	1,276	1,564	3,908	5,064
Other	29,812	31,747	93,335	93,074
Total non-interest expense	132,552	132,234	401,800	398,022
<b>Income before income taxes</b>	<b>70,194</b>	<b>54,306</b>	<b>199,530</b>	<b>164,282</b>
Income taxes	15,199	9,607	43,817	36,742
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 54,995</b>	<b>\$ 44,699</b>	<b>\$ 155,713</b>	<b>\$ 127,540</b>
<b>Earnings per common share:</b>				
Basic	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.75	\$ 2.57	\$ 2.14
Diluted	0.90	0.75	2.57	2.14

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents****Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009	September 30, 2009
<b>Assets:</b>			
Cash and due from banks	\$ 580,005	\$ 558,490	\$ 425,047
Interest-bearing deposits	2,264,241	1,157,699	1,355,129
Federal funds sold and resell agreements	8,638	5,290	9,115
<b>Total cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>2,852,884</b>	<b>1,721,479</b>	<b>1,789,291</b>
Securities held to maturity, at amortized cost	256,724	6,115	6,351
Securities available for sale, at estimated fair value	5,255,006	4,884,257	4,531,687
Trading account securities	14,934	16,126	14,914
Loans, net of unearned discounts	8,052,948	8,367,780	8,518,608
Less: Allowance for possible loan losses	(126,157)	(125,309)	(123,122)
<b>Net loans</b>	<b>7,926,791</b>	<b>8,242,471</b>	<b>8,395,486</b>
Premises and equipment, net	315,259	324,098	313,271
Goodwill	527,684	527,684	527,684
Other intangible assets, net	15,552	19,460	20,933
Cash surrender value of life insurance policies	128,758	125,405	124,270
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	444,534	420,943	434,338
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 17,738,126</b>	<b>\$ 16,288,038</b>	<b>\$ 16,158,225</b>
<b>Liabilities:</b>			
Deposits:			
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 5,294,993	\$ 4,645,802	\$ 4,426,907
Interest-bearing deposits	9,235,094	8,667,508	8,494,831
<b>Total deposits</b>	<b>14,530,087</b>	<b>13,313,310</b>	<b>12,921,738</b>
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	430,737	482,048	452,921
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	123,712	136,084	136,084
Other long-term borrowings	250,049	256,562	486,566
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	287,404	205,610	255,030
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>15,621,989</b>	<b>14,393,614</b>	<b>14,252,339</b>
<b>Shareholders Equity:</b>			
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 10,000,000 shares authorized; none issued			
Junior participating preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 250,000 shares authorized; none issued			
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 210,000,000 shares authorized; 60,836,307 shares issued at September 30, 2010 and 60,236,862 shares issued at December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2009			
	608	602	602
Additional paid-in capital	640,398	600,355	599,984

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

Retained earnings	1,223,891	1,150,103	1,125,059
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	251,240	154,205	197,061
Treasury stock, 198,586 shares at December 31,2009 and 307,948 shares at September 30, 2009, at cost		(10,841)	(16,820)
Total shareholders equity	2,116,137	1,894,424	1,905,886
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 17,738,126	\$ 16,288,038	\$ 16,158,225

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents**

Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.

**Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity**

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Total shareholders' equity at beginning of period</b>	\$ 1,894,424	\$ 1,763,527
Comprehensive income:		
Net income	155,713	127,540
Other comprehensive income (loss)	97,035	59,767
<b>Total comprehensive income</b>	<b>252,748</b>	<b>187,307</b>
Stock option exercises/deferred stock unit conversions (611,253 shares in 2010 and 417,425 shares in 2009)	28,530	15,855
Stock compensation expense recognized in earnings	10,480	9,488
Tax benefits related to stock compensation	464	1,431
Purchase of treasury stock (3,406 shares in 2010)	(193)	
Treasury stock issued/sold to the 401(k) stock purchase plan (40,019 shares in 2010 and 95,056 shares in 2009)	2,069	4,600
Common stock issued/sold to the 401(k) stock purchase plan (150,165 shares in 2010)	8,183	
Cash dividends (\$1.33 per share in 2010 and \$1.28 per share in 2009)	(80,568)	(76,322)
<b>Total shareholders' equity at end of period</b>	<b>\$ 2,116,137</b>	<b>\$ 1,905,886</b>

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.



**Table of Contents****Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(Dollars in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
<b>Operating Activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 155,713	\$ 127,540
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:		
Provision for possible loan losses	32,321	43,142
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	(878)	(6,492)
Accretion of loan discounts	(7,889)	(11,130)
Securities premium amortization (discount accretion), net	5,974	1,829
Net (gain) loss on securities transactions	(6)	(49)
Depreciation and amortization	27,929	27,099
Net (gain) loss on sale of loans held for sale and other assets	4,495	1,695
Stock-based compensation expense	10,480	9,488
Net tax benefit (deficiency) from stock-based compensation	(149)	801
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(613)	(630)
Earnings on life insurance policies	(3,353)	(3,723)
Net change in:		
Trading account securities	1,192	(362)
Loans held for sale	24,029	4,660
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	(2,464)	78,099
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	35,925	(58,410)
Net cash from operating activities	282,706	213,557
<b>Investing Activities:</b>		
Securities held to maturity:		
Purchases	(250,981)	
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	541	597
Securities available for sale:		
Purchases	(11,004,691)	(11,616,333)
Sales	9,997,994	9,947
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	743,257	10,759,640
Net change in loans	268,944	277,925
Net cash paid in acquisitions		(1,205)
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment	905	70
Purchases of premises and equipment	(9,366)	(62,458)
Benefits received on life insurance policies		650
Proceeds from sales of repossessed properties	4,507	5,319
Net cash from investing activities	(248,890)	(625,848)
<b>Financing Activities:</b>		
Net change in deposits	1,216,777	1,412,801
Net change in short-term borrowings	(51,311)	(620,358)
Proceeds from long-term borrowings		230,000
Principal payments on long-term borrowings	(18,885)	(11)

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from stock option exercises	28,530	15,855
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	613	630
Purchase of treasury stock	(193)	
Common stock/treasury stock sold to the 401(k) stock purchase plan	2,626	1,656
Cash dividends paid	(80,568)	(76,322)
Net cash from financing activities	1,097,589	964,251
<b>Net change in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>1,131,405</b>	<b>551,960</b>
Cash and equivalents at beginning of period	1,721,479	1,237,331
<b>Cash and equivalents at end of period</b>	<b>\$ 2,852,884</b>	<b>\$ 1,789,291</b>

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents****Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

(Table amounts are stated in thousands, except for share and per share amounts)

**Note 1 - Significant Accounting Policies**

*Nature of Operations.* Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. (Cullen/Frost) is a financial holding company and a bank holding company headquartered in San Antonio, Texas that provides, through its subsidiaries, a broad array of products and services throughout numerous Texas markets. In addition to general commercial and consumer banking, other products and services offered include trust and investment management, investment banking, insurance, brokerage, leasing, asset-based lending, treasury management and item processing.

*Basis of Presentation.* The consolidated financial statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q include the accounts of Cullen/Frost and all other entities in which Cullen/Frost has a controlling financial interest (collectively referred to as the Corporation). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The accounting and financial reporting policies the Corporation follows conform, in all material respects, to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and to general practices within the financial services industry.

The consolidated financial statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q have not been audited by an independent registered public accounting firm, but in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the Corporation's financial position and results of operations. All such adjustments were of a normal and recurring nature. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Accordingly, the financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the Corporation's consolidated financial statements, and notes thereto, for the year ended December 31, 2009, included in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 2, 2010 (the 2009 Form 10-K). Operating results for the interim periods disclosed herein are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for a full year or any future period. The Corporation has evaluated subsequent events for potential recognition and/or disclosure through the date the consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q were issued.

*Use of Estimates.* The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The allowance for possible loan losses, the fair value of stock-based compensation awards, the fair values of financial instruments and the status of contingencies are particularly subject to change.

*Cash Flow Reporting.* Additional cash flow information was as follows:

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
Cash paid for interest	\$ 47,976	\$ 75,947
Cash paid for income tax	47,351	59,240
<b>Significant non-cash transactions:</b>		
Loans foreclosed and transferred to other real estate owned and foreclosed assets	12,707	25,725
Loans to facilitate the sale of other real estate owned	14,258	1,825
Common stock/treasury stock issued to the Corporation's 401(k) stock purchase plan	7,626	2,944

*Reclassifications.* Certain items in prior financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

**Table of Contents****Note 2 - Securities**

A summary of the amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities, excluding trading securities, is presented below.

	Amortized Cost	September 30, 2010			Amortized Cost	December 31, 2009		
		Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value		Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
<b>Securities Held to Maturity:</b>								
U.S. Treasury	\$ 247,327	\$ 26,111	\$	\$ 273,438	\$	\$	\$	\$
Residential mortgage-backed securities	4,572	159		4,731	5,115	141		5,256
States and political subdivisions	3,825	137		3,962				
Other	1,000		9	991	1,000			1,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 256,724</b>	<b>\$ 26,407</b>	<b>\$ 9</b>	<b>\$ 283,122</b>	<b>\$ 6,115</b>	<b>\$ 141</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 6,256</b>
<b>Securities Available for Sale:</b>								
U. S. Treasury	\$ 972,902	\$ 25,527	\$	\$ 998,429	\$ 399,444	\$ 811	\$	\$ 400,255
Residential mortgage-backed securities	2,137,004	122,096		2,259,100	2,491,579	89,740	4,010	2,577,309
States and political subdivisions	1,823,569	135,176	68	1,958,677	1,785,914	83,894	1,150	1,868,658
Other	38,800			38,800	38,035			38,035
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 4,972,275</b>	<b>\$ 282,799</b>	<b>\$ 68</b>	<b>\$ 5,255,006</b>	<b>\$ 4,714,972</b>	<b>\$ 174,445</b>	<b>\$ 5,160</b>	<b>\$ 4,884,257</b>

All mortgage-backed securities included in the above table were issued by U.S. government agencies and corporations. Securities with limited marketability, such as stock in the Federal Reserve Bank and the Federal Home Loan Bank, are carried at cost and are reported as other available for sale securities in the above table. The carrying value of pledged securities to secure public funds, trust deposits, repurchase agreements and for other purposes, as required or permitted by law was \$1.8 billion and \$1.9 billion at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

Sales of securities available for sale were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Proceeds from sales	\$	\$	\$ 9,997,994	\$ 9,947
Gross realized gains			8	49
Gross realized losses			2	

As of September 30, 2010, securities, with unrealized losses segregated by length of impairment, were as follows:

	Less than 12 Months		More than 12 Months		Total	
	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
<b>Held To Maturity</b>						
Other	\$ 991	\$ 9	\$	\$	\$ 991	\$ 9

**Available for Sale**

States and political subdivisions	\$ 4,472	\$ 46	\$ 1,480	\$ 22	\$ 5,952	\$ 68
-----------------------------------	----------	-------	----------	-------	----------	-------

Declines in the fair value of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities below their cost that are deemed to be other than temporary are reflected in earnings as realized losses to the extent the impairment is related to credit losses. The amount of the impairment related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers, among other things, (i) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (ii) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and (iii) the intent and ability of the Corporation to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in cost.

Management has the ability and intent to hold the securities classified as held to maturity in the table above until they mature, at which time the Corporation will receive full value for the securities. Furthermore, as of September 30, 2010, management does not have the intent to sell any of the securities classified as available for sale in the table above and

**Table of Contents**

believes that it is more likely than not that the Corporation will not have to sell any such securities before a recovery of cost. Any unrealized losses are largely due to increases in market interest rates over the yields available at the time the underlying securities were purchased. The fair value is expected to recover as the bonds approach their maturity date or repricing date or if market yields for such investments decline. Management does not believe any of the securities are impaired due to reasons of credit quality. Accordingly, as of September 30, 2010, management believes the impairments detailed in the table above are temporary and no impairment loss has been realized in the Corporation's consolidated income statement.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities, excluding trading securities, at September 30, 2010 are presented below by contractual maturity. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations. Mortgage-backed securities and equity securities are shown separately since they are not due at a single maturity date.

	Held to Maturity		Available for Sale	
	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$	\$	\$ 217,510	\$ 218,749
Due after one year through five years	1,000	991	842,124	870,373
Due after five years through ten years	247,327	273,438	157,893	169,428
Due after ten years	3,825	3,962	1,578,944	1,698,556
Mortgage-backed securities	4,572	4,731	2,137,004	2,259,100
Equity securities			38,800	38,800
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 256,724</b>	<b>\$ 283,122</b>	<b>\$ 4,972,275</b>	<b>\$ 5,255,006</b>

Trading account securities, at estimated fair value, were as follows:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
U.S. Treasury	\$ 14,833	\$ 16,126
States and political subdivisions	101	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 14,934</b>	<b>\$ 16,126</b>

Net gains and losses on trading account securities were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Net gain on sales transactions	\$ 509	\$ 533	\$ 1,389	\$ 1,442
Net mark-to-market gains	20	13	143	102
<b>Net gain on trading account securities</b>	<b>\$ 529</b>	<b>\$ 546</b>	<b>\$ 1,532</b>	<b>\$ 1,544</b>

**Table of Contents****Note 3 - Loans**

Loans were as follows:

	September 30, 2010	Percentage of Total	December 31, 2009	Percentage of Total	September 30, 2009	Percentage of Total
<b>Commercial and industrial:</b>						
Commercial	\$ 3,415,306	42.4%	\$ 3,577,758	42.7%	\$ 3,710,928	43.6%
Leases	189,810	2.4	197,605	2.4	198,813	2.3
Asset-based	123,658	1.5	117,213	1.4	121,091	1.4
<b>Total commercial and industrial</b>	<b>3,728,774</b>	<b>46.3</b>	<b>3,892,576</b>	<b>46.5</b>	<b>4,030,832</b>	<b>47.3</b>
<b>Real estate:</b>						
<b>Construction:</b>						
Commercial	586,073	7.3	659,459	7.9	661,289	7.8
Consumer	24,446	0.3	30,325	0.4	37,905	0.4
<b>Land:</b>						
Commercial	238,060	3.0	259,200	3.1	289,181	3.4
Consumer	1,618		1,677		1,751	
Commercial mortgages	2,359,169	29.3	2,327,471	27.8	2,289,835	26.9
1-4 family residential mortgages	60,177	0.7	66,351	0.8	67,036	0.8
Home equity and other consumer	724,584	9.0	730,079	8.7	740,833	8.7
<b>Total real estate</b>	<b>3,994,127</b>	<b>49.6</b>	<b>4,074,562</b>	<b>48.7</b>	<b>4,087,830</b>	<b>48.0</b>
<b>Consumer:</b>						
Student loans held for sale			24,201	0.3	24,358	0.3
Other	322,239	4.0	346,255	4.2	353,345	4.2
Other	28,891	0.4	52,406	0.6	46,284	0.5
Unearned discounts	(21,083)	(0.3)	(22,220)	(0.3)	(24,041)	(0.3)
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>\$ 8,052,948</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 8,367,780</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 8,518,608</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

*Concentrations of Credit.* Most of the Corporation's lending activity occurs within the State of Texas, including the four largest metropolitan areas of Austin, Dallas/Ft. Worth, Houston and San Antonio as well as other markets. The majority of the Corporation's loan portfolio consists of commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loans. As of September 30, 2010, other than energy, which totaled approximately 10% of total loans, there were no concentrations of loans related to any single industry in excess of 10% of total loans.

*Student Loans Held for Sale.* Student loans were primarily originated for resale on the secondary market. These loans, were generally sold on a non-recourse basis and were carried at the lower of cost or market on an aggregate basis. During the second quarter of 2008, the Corporation elected to discontinue the origination of student loans for resale, aside from previously outstanding commitments. All remaining student loans were sold during the second quarter of 2010.

*Foreign Loans.* The Corporation has U.S. dollar denominated loans and commitments to borrowers in Mexico. The outstanding balance of these loans and the unfunded amounts available under these commitments were not significant at September 30, 2010 or December 31, 2009.

*Non-Performing/Past Due Loans.* Loans are placed on non-accrual status when, in management's opinion, the borrower may be unable to meet payment obligations, which typically occurs when principal or interest payments are more than 90 days past due. Non-accrual loans and accruing loans past due more than 90 days were as follows:

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Non-accrual loans	\$ 144,900	\$ 146,867
Accruing loans more than 90 days past due	33,850	23,911



**Table of Contents**

*Impaired Loans.* Loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable the Corporation will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loan agreement, including scheduled principal and interest payments. If a loan is impaired, a specific valuation allowance is allocated, if necessary, so that the loan is reported net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Interest payments on impaired loans are typically applied to principal unless collectibility of the principal amount is reasonably assured, in which case interest is recognized on a cash basis. Impaired loans, or portions thereof, are charged off when deemed uncollectible.

Impaired loans were as follows:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009	September 30, 2009
Balance of impaired loans with no allocated allowance	\$ 74,581	\$ 97,847	\$ 90,165
Balance of impaired loans with an allocated allowance	58,838	37,657	92,638
<b>Total recorded investment in impaired loans</b>	<b>\$ 133,419</b>	<b>\$ 135,504</b>	<b>\$ 182,803</b>
Amount of the allowance allocated to impaired loans	\$ 20,532	\$ 12,444	\$ 28,251

The impaired loans included in the table above were primarily comprised of collateral dependent commercial and commercial real estate loans. The average recorded investment in impaired loans was \$129.1 million and \$131.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and \$171.1 million and \$126.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009. No interest income was recognized on these loans subsequent to their classification as impaired.

*Allowance for Possible Loan Losses.* The allowance for possible loan losses is a reserve established through a provision for possible loan losses charged to expense, which represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The level of the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations, specific credit risks, loan loss experience, current loan portfolio quality, present economic, political and regulatory conditions and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio, as well as trends in the foregoing. Portions of the allowance may be allocated for specific credits; however, the entire allowance is available for any credit that, in management's judgment, should be charged off. While management utilizes its best judgment and information available, the ultimate adequacy of the allowance is dependent upon a variety of factors beyond the Corporation's control, including the performance of the Corporation's loan portfolio, the economy, changes in interest rates and the view of the regulatory authorities toward loan classifications.

Activity in the allowance for possible loan losses was as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Balance at the beginning of the period	\$ 125,442	\$ 122,501	\$ 125,309	\$ 110,244
Provision for possible loan losses	10,100	16,940	32,321	43,142
<b>Net charge-offs:</b>				
Losses charged to the allowance	(12,478)	(18,987)	(39,705)	(37,077)
Recoveries of loans previously charged off	3,093	2,668	8,232	6,813
Net charge-offs	(9,385)	(16,319)	(31,473)	(30,264)
<b>Balance at the end of the period</b>	<b>\$ 126,157</b>	<b>\$ 123,122</b>	<b>\$ 126,157</b>	<b>\$ 123,122</b>



**Table of Contents****Note 4 - Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets**

Goodwill and other intangible assets were as follows:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Goodwill	\$ 527,684	\$ 527,684
Other intangible assets:		
Core deposits	\$ 12,836	\$ 16,066
Customer relationship	2,416	2,982
Non-compete agreements	300	412
	\$ 15,552	\$ 19,460

The estimated aggregate future amortization expense for intangible assets remaining as of September 30, 2010 is as follows:

Remainder of 2010	\$ 1,217
2011	4,299
2012	3,500
2013	2,729
2014	1,944
Thereafter	1,863
	\$ 15,552

**Note 5 - Deposits**

Deposits were as follows:

	September 30, 2010	Percentage of Total	December 31, 2009	Percentage of Total	September 30, 2009	Percentage of Total
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits:						
Commercial and individual	\$ 4,774,943	32.9%	\$ 4,147,516	31.1%	\$ 3,972,598	30.7%
Correspondent banks	312,372	2.1	343,622	2.6	316,215	2.5
Public funds	207,678	1.4	154,664	1.2	138,094	1.1
Total non-interest-bearing demand deposits	5,294,993	36.4	4,645,802	34.9	4,426,907	34.3
Interest-bearing deposits:						
Private accounts:						
Savings and interest checking	2,302,753	15.9	2,291,374	17.2	2,106,872	16.3
Money market accounts	5,357,511	36.9	4,546,365	34.1	4,411,682	34.1
Time accounts under \$100,000	586,158	4.0	669,397	5.0	808,931	6.3
Time accounts of \$100,000 or more	604,477	4.2	685,973	5.2	775,337	6.0
Total private accounts	8,850,899	61.0	8,193,109	61.5	8,102,822	62.7

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

<b>Public funds:</b>						
Savings and interest checking	184,429	1.2	255,717	1.9	194,258	1.5
Money market accounts	97,225	0.7	86,893	0.7	72,189	0.5
Time accounts under \$100,000	2,790		4,190		3,260	
Time accounts of \$100,000 or more	99,751	0.7	127,599	1.0	122,302	1.0
<b>Total public funds</b>	<b>384,195</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>474,399</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>392,009</b>	<b>3.0</b>
<b>Total interest-bearing deposits</b>	<b>9,235,094</b>	<b>63.6</b>	<b>8,667,508</b>	<b>65.1</b>	<b>8,494,831</b>	<b>65.7</b>
<b>Total deposits</b>	<b>\$ 14,530,087</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 13,313,310</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 12,921,738</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents additional information about the Corporation's deposits:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009	September 30, 2009
Money market deposits obtained through brokers	\$ 324,106	\$ 97,054	\$ 105,171
Deposits from the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS) deposits	61,819	115,573	200,064
Deposits from foreign sources (primarily Mexico)	776,448	747,719	738,748

**Note 6 - Borrowed Funds**

On July 7, 2010, the Corporation redeemed \$12.4 million of floating rate (three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 2.65%) junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures, due July 7, 2034, held of record by Summit Bancshares Statutory Trust I (Summit Trust). Concurrently, the \$12.0 million of floating rate (three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 2.65%) trust preferred securities issued by Summit Trust were also redeemed.

**Note 7 - Commitments and Contingencies**

*Financial Instruments with Off-Balance-Sheet Risk.* In the normal course of business, the Corporation enters into various transactions, which, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles are not included in its consolidated balance sheets. The Corporation enters into these transactions to meet the financing needs of its customers. These transactions include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, which involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The Corporation minimizes its exposure to loss under these commitments by subjecting them to credit approval and monitoring procedures.

The Corporation enters into contractual commitments to extend credit, normally with fixed expiration dates or termination clauses, at specified rates and for specific purposes. Substantially all of the Corporation's commitments to extend credit are contingent upon customers maintaining specific credit standards at the time of loan funding. Standby letters of credit are written conditional commitments issued by the Corporation to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. In the event the customer does not perform in accordance with the terms of the agreement with the third party, the Corporation would be required to fund the commitment. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Corporation could be required to make is represented by the contractual amount of the commitment. If the commitment were funded, the Corporation would be entitled to seek recovery from the customer. The Corporation's policies generally require that standby letter of credit arrangements contain security and debt covenants similar to those contained in loan agreements.

The Corporation considers the fees collected in connection with the issuance of standby letters of credit to be representative of the fair value of its obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. In accordance with applicable accounting standards related to guarantees, the Corporation defers fees collected in connection with the issuance of standby letters of credit. The fees are then recognized in income proportionately over the life of the standby letter of credit agreement. The deferred standby letter of credit fees represent the fair value of the Corporation's potential obligations under the standby letter of credit guarantees.

Financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk were as follows:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 4,316,621	\$ 4,441,622
Standby letter of credit	290,809	267,697
Deferred standby letters of credit fees	1,740	1,520

*Lease Commitments.* The Corporation leases certain office facilities and office equipment under operating leases. Rent expense for all operating leases totaled \$5.4 million and \$16.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and \$5.0 million and \$15.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009. There has been no significant change in the future minimum lease payments payable by the Corporation since December 31, 2009. See the 2009 Form 10-K for information regarding these commitments.

## Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

*Litigation.* The Corporation is subject to various claims and legal actions that have arisen in the course of conducting business. Management does not expect the ultimate disposition of these matters to have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

**Table of Contents****Note 8 - Regulatory Matters**

*Regulatory Capital Requirements.* Banks and bank holding companies are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by state and federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and, additionally for banks, prompt corrective action regulations, involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weighting and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulations to ensure capital adequacy require the maintenance of minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier 1 capital to adjusted quarterly average assets (as defined).

Cullen/Frost's and Frost Bank's Tier 1 capital consists of shareholders' equity excluding unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale, the accumulated gain or loss on effective cash flow hedging derivatives, the net actuarial gain/loss on the Corporation's defined benefit post-retirement benefit plans, goodwill and other intangible assets. Tier 1 capital for Cullen/Frost also includes \$120 million of trust preferred securities issued by an unconsolidated subsidiary trust. The Corporation's aggregate \$100 million of 5.75% fixed-to-floating rate subordinated notes are not included in Tier 1 capital but are included in total capital of Cullen/Frost.

The Tier 1 and total capital ratios are calculated by dividing the respective capital amounts by risk-weighted assets. Risk-weighted assets are calculated based on regulatory requirements and include total assets, excluding goodwill and other intangible assets, allocated by risk weight category and certain off-balance-sheet items (primarily loan commitments). The leverage ratio is calculated by dividing Tier 1 capital by adjusted quarterly average total assets, which exclude goodwill and other intangible assets.

Actual and required capital ratios for Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank were as follows:

	Actual		Minimum Required for Capital Adequacy Purposes		Required to be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Regulations	
	Capital Amount	Ratio	Capital Amount	Ratio	Capital Amount	Ratio
<b>September 30, 2010</b>						
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets						
Cullen/Frost	\$ 1,677,030	15.46%	\$ 867,723	8.00%	N/A	N/A
Frost Bank	1,530,589	14.12	866,980	8.00	\$ 1,083,725	10.00%
Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets						
Cullen/Frost	1,450,873	13.38	433,861	4.00	N/A	N/A
Frost Bank	1,404,432	12.96	433,490	4.00	650,235	6.00
Leverage Ratio						
Cullen/Frost	1,450,873	8.67	669,345	4.00	N/A	N/A
Frost Bank	1,404,432	8.40	668,676	4.00	835,845	5.00
<b>December 31, 2009</b>						
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets						
Cullen/Frost	\$ 1,590,391	14.19%	\$ 896,896	8.00%	N/A	N/A
Frost Bank	1,474,190	13.16	896,242	8.00	\$ 1,120,302	10.00%
Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets						
Cullen/Frost	1,335,082	11.91	448,448	4.00	N/A	N/A
Frost Bank	1,318,881	11.77	448,121	4.00	672,181	6.00
Leverage Ratio						
Cullen/Frost	1,335,082	8.50	628,007	4.00	N/A	N/A
Frost Bank	1,318,881	8.41	627,437	4.00	784,296	5.00

Cullen/Frost believes that, as of September 30, 2010, its bank subsidiary, Frost Bank, was well capitalized based on the ratios presented above.

Cullen/Frost is subject to the regulatory capital requirements administered by the Federal Reserve, while Frost Bank is subject to the regulatory capital requirements administered by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Regulatory authorities can initiate certain mandatory actions if Cullen/Frost or Frost Bank fail to meet the minimum capital requirements, which could have

a direct material effect on the Corporation's financial



---

**Table of Contents**

statements. Management believes, as of September 30, 2010, that Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

*Dividend Restrictions.* In the ordinary course of business, Cullen/Frost depends upon dividends from Frost Bank to provide funds for the payment of dividends to shareholders and to provide for other cash requirements. Banking regulations may limit the amount of dividends that may be paid. Approval by regulatory authorities is required if the effect of dividends declared would cause the regulatory capital of Frost Bank to fall below specified minimum levels. Approval is also required if dividends declared exceed the net profits for that year combined with the retained net profits for the preceding two years. At September 30, 2010, Frost Bank could pay aggregate dividends of up to \$281.8 million to Cullen/Frost without prior regulatory approval and still be well-capitalized.

*Trust Preferred Securities.* In accordance with the applicable accounting standard related to variable interest entities, the accounts of the Corporation's wholly owned subsidiary trust, Cullen/Frost Capital Trust II, have not been included in the Corporation's consolidated financial statements. However, the \$120.0 million in trust preferred securities issued by this subsidiary trust have been included in the Tier 1 capital of Cullen/Frost for regulatory capital purposes pursuant to guidance from the Federal Reserve Board. On July 21, 2010, sweeping financial regulatory reform legislation entitled the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) was signed into law. Certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act will require the Corporation to deduct, over three years beginning on January 1, 2013, all trust preferred securities from the Corporation's Tier 1 capital. Nonetheless, excluding trust preferred securities from Tier 1 capital at September 30, 2010 would not affect the Corporation's ability to meet all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

**Note 9 - Derivative Financial Instruments**

The fair value of derivative positions outstanding is included in accrued interest receivable and other assets and accrued interest payable and other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and in the net change in each of these financial statement line items in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows.

*Interest Rate Derivatives.* The Corporation utilizes interest rate swaps, caps and floors to mitigate exposure to interest rate risk and to facilitate the needs of its customers. The Corporation's objectives for utilizing these derivative instruments is described below:

The Corporation has entered into certain interest rate swap contracts that are matched to specific fixed-rate commercial loans or leases that the Corporation has entered into with its customers. These contracts have been designated as hedging instruments to hedge the risk of changes in the fair value of the underlying commercial loan/lease due to changes in interest rates. The related contracts are structured so that the notional amounts reduce over time to generally match the expected amortization of the underlying loan/lease.

During 2007, the Corporation entered into three interest rate swap contracts on variable-rate loans with a total notional amount of \$1.2 billion. The interest rate swap contracts were designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges with the objective of protecting the overall cash flows from the Corporation's monthly interest receipts on a rolling portfolio of \$1.2 billion of variable-rate loans outstanding throughout the 84-month period beginning in October 2007 and ending in October 2014 from the risk of variability of those cash flows such that the yield on the underlying loans would remain constant. As further discussed below, during November 2009, the Corporation settled portions of two of the interest rate swap contracts having a total notional amount of \$400.0 million and concurrently terminated the hedge related to the interest cash flows on a rolling portfolio of \$400.0 million of variable rate loans. Under the remaining hedge relationship, the desired constant yield is 7.559% in the case of the first contract (underlying loan pool totaling \$350.0 million carrying an interest rate equal to Prime), 8.059% in the case of the second contract (underlying loan pool totaling \$130.0 million carrying an interest rate equal to Prime plus a margin of 50 basis points) and 8.559% in the case of the third contract (underlying loan pool totaling \$320.0 million carrying an interest rate equal to Prime plus a margin of 100 basis points). Under the swaps, the Corporation will receive a fixed interest rate of 7.559% and pay a variable interest rate equal to the daily Federal Reserve Statistical Release H-15 Prime Rate (Prime), with monthly settlements.

As stated above, during November 2009, the Corporation settled portions of two of the interest rate swap contracts having a total notional amount of \$400.0 million and concurrently terminated the hedge related to the interest cash flows on a rolling portfolio of \$400.0 million of variable rate loans. The deferred accumulated after-tax gain applicable to the settled portion of the two interest rate contracts included in accumulated other comprehensive income totaled \$24.5 million at September 30, 2010. This amount will be reclassified into earnings during future periods when the formerly hedged transactions impact future earnings.

**Table of Contents**

During 2008, the Corporation entered into an interest rate swap contract on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures with a total notional amount of \$120.0 million. The interest rate swap contract was designated as a hedging instrument in a cash flow hedge with the objective of protecting the quarterly interest payments on the Corporation's \$120.0 million of junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures issued to Cullen/Frost Capital Trust II throughout the five-year period beginning in December 2008 and ending in December 2013 from the risk of variability of those payments resulting from changes in the three-month LIBOR interest rate. Under the swap, the Corporation will pay a fixed interest rate of 5.47% and receive a variable interest rate of three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 1.55% on a total notional amount of \$120.0 million, with quarterly settlements.

In January 2009, the Corporation entered into three interest rate swap contracts on FHLB advances with a total notional amount of \$230.0 million. The interest rate swap contracts were designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges with the objective of protecting the forty quarterly interest payments on each of the Corporation's variable-rate (three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 0.36%) FHLB advances which, in the aggregate, totaled \$230.0 million throughout the forty quarterly periods beginning in January 2009 and ending in January 2019 from the risk of variability of those payments resulting from changes in the three-month LIBOR interest rate. Under the swaps, the Corporation would pay a weighted-average fixed interest rate of 2.85% and would receive a variable interest rate of three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 0.36% on a total notional amount of \$230.0 million, with quarterly settlements. During October 2009, the Corporation elected to repay the \$230.0 million of FHLB advances associated with the interest rate swaps. Upon repayment of the advances, the Corporation also terminated the interest rate swaps and recognized a \$17.7 million gain representing the fair value of the interest rate swaps on the termination date.

The Corporation has entered into certain interest rate swap, cap and floor contracts that are not designated as hedging instruments. These derivative contracts relate to transactions in which the Corporation enters into an interest rate swap, cap and/or floor with a customer while at the same time entering into an offsetting interest rate swap, cap and/or floor with another financial institution. In connection with each swap transaction, the Corporation agrees to pay interest to the customer on a notional amount at a variable interest rate and receive interest from the customer on a similar notional amount at a fixed interest rate. At the same time, the Corporation agrees to pay another financial institution the same fixed interest rate on the same notional amount and receive the same variable interest rate on the same notional amount. The transaction allows the Corporation's customer to effectively convert a variable rate loan to a fixed rate. Because the Corporation acts as an intermediary for its customer, changes in the fair value of the underlying derivative contracts for the most part offset each other and do not significantly impact the Corporation's results of operations.

The notional amounts and estimated fair values of interest rate derivative contracts outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are presented in the following table. The Corporation obtains dealer quotations to value its interest rate derivative contracts designated as hedges of cash flows, while the fair values of other interest rate derivative contracts are estimated utilizing internal valuation models with observable market data inputs.

	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Notional Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Notional Amount	Estimated Fair Value
<b>Interest rate derivatives designated as hedges of fair value:</b>				
Commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps	\$ 109,937	\$ (10,969)	\$ 134,808	\$ (9,453)
<b>Interest rate derivatives designated as hedges of cash flows:</b>				
Interest rate swaps on variable-rate loans	800,000	119,651	800,000	74,214
Interest rate swap on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	120,000	(11,861)	120,000	(6,943)
<b>Non-hedging interest rate derivatives:</b>				
Commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps	564,884	60,502	555,334	32,608
Commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps	564,884	(60,791)	555,334	(32,786)
Commercial loan/lease interest rate caps	20,000	213	22,792	1,086
Commercial loan/lease interest rate caps	20,000	(213)	22,792	(1,086)
Commercial loan/lease interest rate floors			2,792	40
Commercial loan/lease interest rate floors			2,792	(40)

**Table of Contents**

The weighted-average rates paid and received for interest rate swaps outstanding at September 30, 2010 were as follows:

	<b>Weighted-Average Interest Rate Paid</b>	<b>Interest Rate Received</b>
<b>Interest rate swaps:</b>		
Fair value hedge commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps	4.53%	0.27%
Cash flow hedge interest rate swaps on variable-rate loans	3.25	7.56
Cash flow hedge interest rate swaps on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	5.47	2.09
Non-hedging interest rate swaps	1.88	5.26
Non-hedging interest rate swaps	5.26	1.88

The weighted-average strike rate for outstanding interest rate caps was 3.10% at September 30, 2010.

*Commodity Derivatives.* The Corporation enters into commodity swaps and option contracts that are not designated as hedging instruments primarily to accommodate the business needs of its customers. Upon the origination of a commodity swap or option contract with a customer, the Corporation simultaneously enters into an offsetting contract with a third party to mitigate the exposure to fluctuations in commodity prices.

The notional amounts and estimated fair values of commodity derivative positions outstanding are presented in the following table. The Corporation obtains dealer quotations to value its commodity derivative positions.

		<b>September 30, 2010</b>		<b>December 31, 2009</b>	
	<b>Notional Units</b>	<b>Notional Amount</b>	<b>Estimated Fair Value</b>	<b>Notional Amount</b>	<b>Estimated Fair Value</b>
<b>Non-hedging commodity swaps:</b>					
Oil	Barrels	226	\$ 511	71	\$ 1,482
Oil	Barrels	226	(462)	71	(1,468)
Natural gas	MMBTUs	240	231		
Natural gas	MMBTUs	240	(215)		
<b>Non-hedging commodity options:</b>					
Oil	Barrels	1,366	7,001	1,726	11,581
Oil	Barrels	1,366	(7,001)	1,726	(11,581)
Natural gas	MMBTUs	4,780	5,203	6,970	4,151
Natural gas	MMBTUs	4,780	(5,203)	6,970	(4,151)

*Foreign Currency Derivatives.* The Corporation enters into foreign currency forward contracts that are not designated as hedging instruments primarily to accommodate the business needs of its customers. Upon the origination of a foreign currency denominated transaction with a customer, the Corporation simultaneously enters into an offsetting contract with a third party to negate the exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. The notional amounts and fair values of open foreign currency forward contracts were not significant at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

*Gains, Losses and Derivative Cash Flows.* For fair value hedges, the changes in the fair value of both the derivative hedging instrument and the hedged item are included in other non-interest income or other non-interest expense. The extent that such changes in fair value do not offset represents hedge ineffectiveness. Net cash flows from interest rate swaps on commercial loans/leases designated as hedging instruments in effective hedges of fair value are included in interest income on loans. For cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss due to changes in the fair value of the derivative hedging instrument is included in other comprehensive income, while the ineffective portion (indicated by the excess of the cumulative change in the fair value of the derivative over that which is necessary to offset the cumulative change in expected future cash flows on the hedge transaction) is included in other non-interest income or other non-interest expense. Net cash flows from interest rate swaps on variable-rate loans designated as hedging instruments in effective hedges of cash flows are included in interest income on loans. Net cash flows from the interest rate swap on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures designated as a hedging

## Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

instrument in an effective hedge of cash flows are included in interest expense on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures. Net cash flows from interest rate swaps on FHLB advances designated as hedging instruments in effective hedges of cash flows were included in interest expense on other long-term borrowings. For non-hedging derivative instruments, gains and losses due to changes in fair value and all cash flows are included in other non-interest income and other non-interest expense.

**Table of Contents**

Amounts included in the consolidated statements of income related to interest rate derivatives designated as hedges of fair value were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
<b>Commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps:</b>				
Amount of gain (loss) included in interest income on loans	\$ (1,241)	\$ (1,484)	\$ (3,975)	\$ (4,441)
Amount of (gain) loss included in other non-interest expense	(21)	23	(112)	419

Amounts included in the consolidated statements of income and in other comprehensive income for the period related to interest rate derivatives designated as hedges of cash flows were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
<b>Interest rate swaps/caps/floors on variable-rate loans:</b>				
Amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest income on loans	\$ 11,129	\$ 13,214	\$ 33,099	\$ 39,237
Amount of gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income	20,944	27,460	71,675	(23,388)
<b>Interest rate swaps on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures:</b>				
Amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	1,061	1,028	3,256	2,503
Amount of gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income	(2,612)	(2,655)	(8,178)	387
<b>Interest rate swaps on FHLB advances:</b>				
Amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense on other long-term borrowings		1,114		2,552
Amount of gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income		(7,295)		13,767

No ineffectiveness related to interest rate derivatives designated as hedges of cash flows was recognized in the consolidated statements of income during the reported periods. The accumulated net after-tax gain related to effective cash flow hedges included in accumulated other comprehensive income totaled \$93.8 million at September 30, 2010 and \$72.0 million at December 31, 2009. The Corporation currently expects approximately \$6.4 million of the net after-tax gain related to effective cash flow hedges included in accumulated other comprehensive income at September 30, 2010 will be reclassified into earnings during the remainder of 2010. This amount represents management's best estimate given current expectations about market interest rates and volumes related to loan pools underlying cash flow hedges. Because actual market interest rates may differ from management's expectations, there can be no assurance as to the ultimate amount that will be reclassified into earnings during the remainder of 2010.

As stated above, the Corporation enters into non-hedge related derivative positions primarily to accommodate the business needs of its customers. Upon the origination of a derivative contract with a customer, the Corporation simultaneously enters into an offsetting derivative contract with a third party. The Corporation recognizes immediate income based upon the difference in the bid/ask spread of the underlying transactions with its customers and the third party. Because the Corporation acts only as an intermediary for its customer, subsequent changes in the fair value of the underlying derivative contracts for the most part offset each other and do not significantly impact the Corporation's results of operations.

**Table of Contents**

Amounts included in the consolidated statements of income related to non-hedging interest rate and commodity derivative instruments are presented in the table below. Amounts included in the consolidated statements of income related to foreign currency derivatives during the reported periods were not significant.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Non-hedging interest rate derivatives:				
Other non-interest income	\$ 410	\$ 249	\$ 2,004	\$ 879
Other non-interest expense	34	155	112	238
Non-hedging commodity derivatives:				
Other non-interest income	80	35	126	277

*Counterparty Credit Risk.* Derivative contracts involve the risk of dealing with both bank customers and institutional derivative counterparties and their ability to meet contractual terms. Institutional counterparties must have an investment grade credit rating and be approved by the Corporation's Asset/Liability Management Committee. The Corporation's credit exposure on interest rate swaps is limited to the net favorable value and interest payments of all swaps by each counterparty, while the Corporation's credit exposure on commodity swaps/options is limited to the net favorable value of all swaps/options by each counterparty. Credit exposure may be reduced by the amount of collateral pledged by the counterparty. There are no credit-risk-related contingent features associated with any of the Corporation's derivative contracts.

The Corporation's credit exposure relating to interest rate swaps and commodity swaps/options with bank customers was approximately \$58.0 million at September 30, 2010. This credit exposure is partly mitigated as transactions with customers are generally secured by the collateral, if any, securing the underlying transaction being hedged. The Corporation had no credit exposure, net of collateral pledged, relating to interest rate swaps and commodity swaps/options with upstream financial institution counterparties at September 30, 2010. Collateral levels for upstream financial institution counterparties are monitored and adjusted as necessary.

The aggregate fair value of securities posted as collateral by the Corporation related to derivative contracts totaled \$23.6 million at September 30, 2010. At such date, the Corporation also had \$11.2 million in cash collateral on deposit with other financial institution counterparties.

**Note 10 - Earnings Per Common Share**

Earnings per common share is computed using the two-class method. Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net earnings allocated to common stock by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the applicable period, excluding outstanding participating securities. Participating securities include non-vested stock awards and deferred stock units, though no actual shares of common stock related to deferred stock units have been issued. Non-vested stock awards and deferred stock units are considered participating securities because holders of these securities receive non-forfeitable dividends at the same rate as holders of the Corporation's common stock. Diluted earnings per common share is computed using the weighted-average number of shares determined for the basic earnings per common share computation plus the dilutive effect of stock compensation using the treasury stock method.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the number of shares used in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per common share.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Distributed earnings allocated to common stock	\$ 27,251	\$ 25,619	\$ 80,248	\$ 76,016
Undistributed earnings allocated to common stock	27,529	18,899	74,849	51,012
Net earnings allocated to common stock	\$ 54,780	\$ 44,518	\$ 155,097	\$ 127,028
Weighted-average shares outstanding for basic earnings per common share	60,523,658	59,537,287	60,289,006	59,353,464

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

Dilutive effect of stock compensation	141,045	91,162	178,699	68,985
Weighted-average shares outstanding for diluted earnings per common share	60,664,703	59,628,449	60,467,705	59,422,449

**Table of Contents**

**Note 11 - Stock-Based Compensation**

A combined summary of activity in the Corporation's active stock plans is presented in the following table.

	Shares Available for Grant	Deferred Stock Units Outstanding	Non-Vested Stock Awards Outstanding Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Stock Options Outstanding Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Balance, January 1, 2010	3,445,507	12,688	233,200	\$ 52.27	4,600,455	\$ 51.18
Granted	(10,030)	5,030			5,000	59.69
Stock options exercised					(610,050)	46.77
Stock awards vested			(12,750)	52.29		
Deferred stock unit conversions		(1,203)				
Forfeited	114,625				(114,625)	51.71
Cancelled/expired					(21,750)	56.97
Balance, September 30, 2010	3,550,102	16,515	220,450	52.27	3,859,030	51.84

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, proceeds from stock option exercises totaled \$28.5 million and \$15.9 million, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, 449,280 shares issued in connection with stock option exercises and deferred stock unit conversions were new shares issued from available authorized shares, while 161,973 shares were issued from available treasury stock.

Stock-based compensation expense is recognized ratably over the requisite service period for all awards. Stock-based compensation expense was as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Stock options	\$ 2,403	\$ 2,337	\$ 7,040	\$ 6,919
Non-vested stock awards	1,019	746	3,140	2,239
Deferred stock-units			300	330
Total	\$ 3,422	\$ 3,083	\$ 10,480	\$ 9,488

Unrecognized stock-based compensation expense at September 30, 2010 was as follows:

Stock options	\$ 16,132
Non-vested stock awards	3,885
Total	\$ 20,017

**Note 12 - Defined Benefit Plans**



## Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

The components of the combined net periodic cost (benefit) for the Corporation's qualified and non-qualified defined benefit pension plans were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Expected return on plan assets, net of expenses	\$ (2,752)	\$ (2,281)	\$ (8,256)	\$ (6,844)
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	1,931	1,993	5,793	5,979
Net amortization and deferral	729	1,057	2,186	3,173
Net periodic (benefit) cost	\$ (92)	\$ 769	\$ (277)	\$ 2,308

The Corporation's non-qualified defined benefit pension plan is not funded. No contributions to the qualified defined benefit pension plan were made during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The Corporation does not expect to make any contributions during the remainder of 2010.

**Table of Contents****Note 13 - Income Taxes**

Income tax expense was as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Current income tax expense	\$ 14,671	\$ 10,897	\$ 44,695	\$ 43,234
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	528	(1,290)	(878)	(6,492)
<b>Income tax expense as reported</b>	<b>\$ 15,199</b>	<b>\$ 9,607</b>	<b>\$ 43,817</b>	<b>\$ 36,742</b>
Effective tax rate	21.7%	17.7%	22.0%	22.4%

Net deferred tax liabilities totaled \$111.9 million at September 30, 2010 and \$60.5 million at December 31, 2009. No valuation allowance was recorded against deferred tax assets at September 30, 2010 as management believes that it is more likely than not that all of the deferred tax assets will be realized because they were supported by recoverable taxes paid in prior years.

The Corporation files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2007.

**Note 14 - Comprehensive Income**

Comprehensive income was as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net income	\$ 54,995	\$ 44,699	\$ 155,713	\$ 127,540
Other comprehensive income:				
Securities available for sale:				
Change in net unrealized gain/loss during the period	45,587	107,957	113,452	132,241
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses in net income			(6)	(49)
Change in the net actuarial gain/loss on defined benefit post- retirement benefit plans	729	1,058	2,186	3,173
Change in the accumulated gain/loss on effective cash flow hedging derivatives	8,263	6,438	33,653	(43,416)
	54,579	115,453	149,285	91,949
Deferred tax expense	19,103	40,409	52,250	32,182
Net other comprehensive income	35,476	75,044	97,035	59,767
<b>Comprehensive income</b>	<b>\$ 90,471</b>	<b>\$ 119,743</b>	<b>\$ 252,748</b>	<b>\$ 187,307</b>

**Note 15 - Operating Segments**

The Corporation is managed under a matrix organizational structure whereby significant lines of business, including Banking and the Financial Management Group (FMG), overlap a regional reporting structure. The regions are primarily based upon geographic location and include

## Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

Austin, Corpus Christi, Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, Rio Grande Valley, San Antonio and Statewide. The Corporation is primarily managed based on the line of business structure. In that regard, all regions have the same lines of business, which have the same product and service offerings, have similar types and classes of customers and utilize similar service delivery methods. Pricing guidelines for products and services are the same across all regions. The regional reporting structure is primarily a means to scale the lines of business to provide a local, community focus for customer relations and business development.

The Corporation has two primary operating segments, Banking and FMG, that are delineated by the products and services that each segment offers. The Banking operating segment includes both commercial and consumer banking services, Frost Insurance Agency and Frost Securities, Inc. Commercial banking services are provided to corporations and other business clients and include a wide array of lending and cash management products. Consumer banking services include direct lending and depository services. Frost Insurance Agency provides insurance brokerage services to individuals and businesses covering corporate and personal property and casualty products, as well as group health and life insurance

**Table of Contents**

products. Frost Securities, Inc. provides advisory and private equity services to middle market companies. The FMG operating segment includes fee-based services within private trust, retirement services, and financial management services, including personal wealth management and brokerage services. The third operating segment, Non-Banks, is for the most part the parent holding company, as well as certain other insignificant non-bank subsidiaries of the parent that, for the most part, have little or no activity. The parent company's principal activities include the direct and indirect ownership of the Corporation's banking and non-banking subsidiaries and the issuance of debt and equity. Its principal source of revenue is dividends from its subsidiaries.

The accounting policies of each reportable segment are the same as those of the Corporation except for the following items, which impact the Banking and FMG segments: (i) expenses for consolidated back-office operations and general overhead-type expenses such as executive administration, accounting and internal audit are allocated to operating segments based on estimated uses of those services, (ii) income tax expense for the individual segments is calculated essentially at the statutory rate, and (iii) the parent company records the tax expense or benefit necessary to reconcile to the consolidated total.

The Corporation uses a match-funded transfer pricing process to assess operating segment performance. The process helps the Corporation to (i) identify the cost or opportunity value of funds within each business segment, (ii) measure the profitability of a particular business segment by relating appropriate costs to revenues, (iii) evaluate each business segment in a manner consistent with its economic impact on consolidated earnings, and (iv) enhance asset and liability pricing decisions.

Summarized operating results by segment were as follows:

	<b>Banking</b>	<b>FMG</b>	<b>Non-Banks</b>	<b>Consolidated</b>
<b>Revenues from (expenses to) external customers:</b>				
<b>Three months ended:</b>				
September 30, 2010	\$ 192,483	\$ 23,096	\$ (2,733)	\$ 212,846
September 30, 2009	184,157	22,336	(3,013)	203,480
<b>Nine months ended:</b>				
September 30, 2010	\$ 573,233	\$ 68,716	\$ (8,298)	\$ 633,651
September 30, 2009	544,439	69,582	(8,575)	605,446
<b>Net income (loss):</b>				
<b>Three months ended:</b>				
September 30, 2010	\$ 54,772	\$ 2,031	\$ (1,808)	\$ 54,995
September 30, 2009	44,901	1,789	(1,991)	44,699
<b>Nine months ended:</b>				
September 30, 2010	\$ 156,624	\$ 5,588	\$ (6,499)	\$ 155,713
September 30, 2009	127,912	6,404	(6,776)	127,540

**Table of Contents****Note 16 - Fair Value Measurements**

The fair value of an asset or liability is the price that would be received to sell that asset or paid to transfer that liability in an orderly transaction occurring in the principal market (or most advantageous market in the absence of a principal market) for such asset or liability. In estimating fair value, the Corporation utilizes valuation techniques that are consistent with the market approach, the income approach and/or the cost approach. Such valuation techniques are consistently applied. Inputs to valuation techniques include the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. FASB ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy for valuation inputs that gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

*Level 1 Inputs* - Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

*Level 2 Inputs* - Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These might include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (such as interest rates, volatilities, prepayment speeds, credit risks, etc.) or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by market data by correlation or other means.

*Level 3 Inputs* - Unobservable inputs for determining the fair values of assets or liabilities that reflect an entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.

In general, fair value is based upon quoted market prices, where available. If such quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed models that primarily use, as inputs, observable market-based parameters. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. These adjustments may include amounts to reflect counterparty credit quality and the Corporation's creditworthiness, among other things, as well as unobservable parameters. Any such valuation adjustments are applied consistently over time. The Corporation's valuation methodologies may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. While management believes the Corporation's valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date. Furthermore, the reported fair value amounts have not been comprehensively revalued since the presentation dates, and therefore, estimates of fair value after the balance sheet date may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein. A more detailed description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities measured at fair value is set forth in the 2009 Form 10-K. Transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized on the actual date of the event or circumstances that caused the transfer, which generally coincides with the Corporation's monthly and/or quarterly valuation process.

*Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities:* The following table summarizes financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2010, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value:

	Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs	Total Fair Value
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 998,429	\$	\$	\$ 998,429
Residential mortgage-backed securities		2,259,100		2,259,100
States and political subdivisions		1,958,677		1,958,677
Other		38,800		38,800
Trading account securities:				
U.S. Treasury	14,833			14,833
States and political subdivisions		101		101

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

Derivative assets:				
Interest rate swaps, caps and floors		178,784	1,582	180,366
Commodity and foreign exchange derivatives		12,946		12,946
Derivative liabilities:				
Interest rate swaps, caps and floors		83,834		83,834
Commodity and foreign exchange derivatives	55	12,881		12,936

**Table of Contents**

The following table reconciles the beginning and ending balances of derivative assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 945	\$
Transfers into Level 3		1,354
Cash settlements	(348)	(54)
Realized gains included in other non-interest income	1,097	107
Realized losses included in other non-interest expense	(112)	(218)
Balance, end of period	\$ 1,582	\$ 1,189

Certain financial assets and financial liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment). Financial assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 include certain impaired loans reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Collateral values are estimated using Level 2 inputs based on observable market data or Level 3 inputs based on customized discounting criteria. The following table presents impaired loans that were remeasured and reported at fair value through a specific valuation allowance allocation of the allowance for possible loan losses based upon the fair value of the underlying collateral during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009	
	Level 2	Level 3	Level 2	Level 3
Carrying value of impaired loans	\$ 23,812	\$ 15,700	\$ 11,768	\$ 34,764
Specific valuation allowance allocations	(6,911)	(6,085)	(3,124)	(12,816)
Fair value	\$ 16,901	\$ 9,615	\$ 8,644	\$ 21,948

*Non-Financial Assets and Non-Financial Liabilities:* Certain non-financial assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis include foreclosed assets (upon initial recognition or subsequent impairment), non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities measured at fair value in the second step of a goodwill impairment test, and intangible assets and other non-financial long-lived assets measured at fair value for impairment assessment. Non-financial assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 include certain foreclosed assets which, upon initial recognition, were remeasured and reported at fair value through a charge-off to the allowance for possible loan losses and certain foreclosed assets which, subsequent to their initial recognition, were remeasured at fair value through a write-down included in other non-interest expense. The fair value of a foreclosed asset is estimated using Level 2 inputs based on observable market data or Level 3 inputs based on customized discounting criteria. During the reported periods, all fair value measurements for foreclosed assets utilized Level 2 inputs. The following table presents foreclosed assets that were remeasured and reported at fair value during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Foreclosed assets remeasured at initial recognition:		
Carrying value of foreclosed assets prior to remeasurement	\$ 15,714	\$ 29,808
Charge-offs recognized in the allowance for possible loan losses	(3,007)	(4,083)

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

Fair value	\$ 12,707	\$ 25,725
Foreclosed assets remeasured subsequent to initial recognition:		
Carrying value of foreclosed assets prior to remeasurement	\$ 5,314	\$ 3,976
Write-downs included in other non-interest expense	(1,473)	(1,116)
Fair value	\$ 3,841	\$ 2,860



**Table of Contents**

FASB ASC Topic 825 requires disclosure of the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, including those financial assets and financial liabilities that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis or non-recurring basis. A detailed description of the valuation methodologies used in estimating the fair value of financial instruments is set forth in the 2009 Form 10-K.

The estimated fair values of financial instruments were as follows:

	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
<b>Financial assets:</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,852,884	\$ 2,852,884	\$ 1,721,479	\$ 1,721,479
Securities	5,526,664	5,553,062	4,906,498	4,906,639
Loans, net	7,926,791	8,001,843	8,242,471	8,222,049
Cash surrender value of life insurance policies	128,758	128,758	125,405	125,405
Interest rate swaps on variable rate loans designated as hedges of cash flows	119,651	119,651	74,214	74,214
Non-hedging commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps, caps and floors	60,715	60,715	33,734	33,734
Commodity and foreign exchange derivatives	12,946	12,946	17,237	17,237
Accrued interest receivable	45,049	45,049	69,883	69,883
<b>Financial liabilities:</b>				
Deposits	14,530,087	14,531,645	13,313,310	13,315,611
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	430,737	430,737	482,048	482,048
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	123,712	123,712	136,084	136,084
Subordinated notes payable and other borrowings	250,049	258,077	256,562	255,588
Interest rate swap on junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures designated as a hedge of cash flows	11,861	11,861	6,943	6,943
Commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps designated as hedges of fair value	10,969	10,969	9,453	9,453
Non-hedging commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps, caps and floors	61,004	61,004	33,912	33,912
Commodity and foreign exchange derivatives	12,936	12,936	17,200	17,200
Accrued interest payable	6,326	6,326	13,035	13,035

**Note 17 - Accounting Standards Updates***Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-16, Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860) - Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets.*

ASU 2009-16 amends prior accounting guidance to enhance reporting about transfers of financial assets, including securitizations, and where companies have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. ASU 2009-16 eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity and changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets. ASU 2009-16 also requires additional disclosures about all continuing involvements with transferred financial assets including information about gains and losses resulting from transfers during the period. The provisions of ASU 2009-16 became effective on January 1, 2010 and did not have a significant impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

*ASU No. 2009-17, Consolidations (Topic 810) - Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities.*

ASU 2009-17 amends prior guidance to change how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The determination of whether a company is required to consolidate an entity is based on, among other things, an entity's purpose and design and a company's ability to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. ASU 2009-17 requires additional disclosures about the reporting entity's involvement with variable-interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement as well as its affect on the entity's financial statements. As further discussed below, ASU No. 2010-10, Consolidations (Topic 810) - Amendments for Certain Investment Funds, deferred the effective date of ASU 2009-17 for a reporting entity's interests in investment companies. The provisions of ASU 2009-17 became effective on January 1, 2010 and did not have a significant impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

*ASU No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) - Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements.*  
ASU 2010-06 requires expanded disclosures related to fair value measurements including (i) the amounts of significant transfers of assets or liabilities between Levels 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy and the reasons for the

**Table of Contents**

transfers, (ii) the reasons for transfers of assets or liabilities in or out of Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, with significant transfers disclosed separately, (iii) the policy for determining when transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized and (iv) for recurring fair value measurements of assets and liabilities in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, a gross presentation of information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements. ASU 2010-06 further clarifies that (i) fair value measurement disclosures should be provided for each class of assets and liabilities (rather than major category), which would generally be a subset of assets or liabilities within a line item in the statement of financial position and (ii) company s should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements for each class of assets and liabilities included in Levels 2 and 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The disclosures related to the gross presentation of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements of assets and liabilities included in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy will be required for the Corporation beginning January 1, 2011. The remaining disclosure requirements and clarifications made by ASU 2010-06 became effective for the Corporation on January 1, 2010. See Note 16 Fair Value Measurements.

*ASU No. 2010-10, Consolidations (Topic 810) - Amendments for Certain Investment Funds.* ASU 2010-10 defers the effective date of the amendments to the consolidation requirements made by ASU 2009-17 to a company s interest in an entity (i) that has all of the attributes of an investment company, as specified under ASC Topic 946, Financial Services - Investment Companies, or (ii) for which it is industry practice to apply measurement principles of financial reporting that are consistent with those in ASC Topic 946. As a result of the deferral, a company will not be required to apply the ASU 2009-17 amendments to the Subtopic 810-10 consolidation requirements to its interest in an entity that meets the criteria to qualify for the deferral. ASU 2010-10 also clarifies that any interest held by a related party should be treated as though it is an entity s own interest when evaluating the criteria for determining whether such interest represents a variable interest. In addition, ASU 2010-10 also clarifies that a quantitative calculation should not be the sole basis for evaluating whether a decision maker s or service provider s fee is a variable interest. The provisions of ASU 2010-10 became effective for the Corporation as of January 1, 2010 and did not have a significant impact on the Corporation s financial statements.

*ASU No. 2010-11, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815) - Scope Exception Related to Embedded Credit Derivatives.* ASU 2010-11 clarifies that the only form of an embedded credit derivative that is exempt from embedded derivative bifurcation requirements are those that relate to the subordination of one financial instrument to another. As a result, entities that have contracts containing an embedded credit derivative feature in a form other than such subordination may need to separately account for the embedded credit derivative feature. The provisions of ASU 2010-11 became effective for the Corporation on July 1, 2010 and did not have a significant impact on the Corporation s financial statements.

*ASU No. 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310) - Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses.* ASU 2010-20 requires entities to provide disclosures designed to facilitate financial statement users evaluation of (i) the nature of credit risk inherent in the entity s portfolio of financing receivables, (ii) how that risk is analyzed and assessed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses and (iii) the changes and reasons for those changes in the allowance for credit losses. Disclosures must be disaggregated by portfolio segment, the level at which an entity develops and documents a systematic method for determining its allowance for credit losses, and class of financing receivable, which is generally a disaggregation of portfolio segment. The required disclosures include, among other things, a rollforward of the allowance for credit losses as well as information about modified, impaired, non-accrual and past due loans and credit quality indicators. ASU 2010-20 will be effective for the Corporation s financial statements as of December 31, 2010, as it relates to disclosures required as of the end of a reporting period. Disclosures that relate to activity during a reporting period will be required for the Corporation s financial statements that include periods beginning on or after January 1, 2011.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

#### **Financial Review**

##### **Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Corporation's consolidated financial statements, and notes thereto, for the year ended December 31, 2009, included in the 2009 Form 10-K. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results for the year ending December 31, 2010 or any future period.

Dollar amounts in tables are stated in thousands, except for per share amounts.

#### **Forward-Looking Statements and Factors that Could Affect Future Results**

Certain statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are not statements of historical fact constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Act"), notwithstanding that such statements are not specifically identified as such. In addition, certain statements may be contained in the Corporation's future filings with the SEC, in press releases, and in oral and written statements made by or with the approval of the Corporation that are not statements of historical fact and constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Act. Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

(i) projections of revenues, expenses, income or loss, earnings or loss per share, the payment or nonpayment of dividends, capital structure and other financial items; (ii) statements of plans, objectives and expectations of Cullen/Frost or its management or Board of Directors, including those relating to products or services; (iii) statements of future economic performance; and (iv) statements of assumptions underlying such statements. Words such as "believes", "anticipates", "expects", "intends", "targeted", "continue", "remain", "will", "should", "may" and other similar words are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements.

Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from those in such statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from those discussed in the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

Local, regional, national and international economic conditions and the impact they may have on the Corporation and its customers and the Corporation's assessment of that impact.

Volatility and disruption in national and international financial markets.

Government intervention in the U.S. financial system.

Changes in the level of non-performing assets and charge-offs.

Changes in estimates of future reserve requirements based upon the periodic review thereof under relevant regulatory and accounting requirements.

The effects of and changes in trade and monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including the interest rate policies of the Federal Reserve Board.

Inflation, interest rate, securities market and monetary fluctuations.

## Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

The effect of changes in laws and regulations (including laws and regulations concerning taxes, banking, securities and insurance) with which the Corporation and its subsidiaries must comply.

Political instability.

Acts of God or of war or terrorism.

The timely development and acceptance of new products and services and perceived overall value of these products and services by users.

Changes in consumer spending, borrowings and savings habits.

Changes in the financial performance and/or condition of the Corporation's borrowers.

Technological changes.

Acquisitions and integration of acquired businesses.

The ability to increase market share and control expenses.

Changes in the competitive environment among financial holding companies and other financial service providers.

The effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies, as well as the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and other accounting standard setters.

Changes in the Corporation's organization, compensation and benefit plans.

The costs and effects of legal and regulatory developments including the resolution of legal proceedings or regulatory or other governmental inquiries and the results of regulatory examinations or reviews.

Greater than expected costs or difficulties related to the integration of new products and lines of business.

The Corporation's success at managing the risks involved in the foregoing items.

## **Table of Contents**

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which such statements are made. The Corporation undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

### **Recent Legislation Impacting the Financial Services Industry**

On July 21 2010, sweeping financial regulatory reform legislation entitled the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act ) was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act implements far-reaching changes across the financial regulatory landscape, including provisions that, among other things, will:

Centralize responsibility for consumer financial protection by creating a new agency, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, responsible for implementing, examining and enforcing compliance with federal consumer financial laws.

Restrict the preemption of state law by federal law and disallow subsidiaries and affiliates of national banks, such as Frost Bank, from availing themselves of such preemption.

Apply the same leverage and risk-based capital requirements that apply to insured depository institutions to most bank holding companies, which, among other things, will require the Corporation to deduct, over three years beginning January 1, 2013, all trust preferred securities from the Corporation's Tier 1 capital.

Require the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency to seek to make its capital requirements for national banks, such as Frost Bank, countercyclical so that capital requirements increase in times of economic expansion and decrease in times of economic contraction.

Require financial holding companies, such as Cullen/Frost, to be well-capitalized and well-managed as of July 21, 2011. Bank holding companies and banks must also be both well-capitalized and well-managed in order to acquire banks located outside their home state.

Change the assessment base for federal deposit insurance from the amount of insured deposits to consolidated assets less tangible capital, eliminate the ceiling on the size of the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) and increase the floor of the size of the DIF, which generally will require an increase in the level of assessments for institutions, such as Frost Bank, with assets in excess of \$10 billion.

Impose comprehensive regulation of the over-the-counter derivatives market, which would include certain provisions that would effectively prohibit insured depository institutions from conducting certain derivatives businesses in the institution itself.

Require large, publicly traded bank holding companies, such as Cullen/Frost, to create a risk committee responsible for the oversight of enterprise risk management.

Implement corporate governance revisions, including with regard to executive compensation and proxy access by shareholders, that apply to all public companies, not just financial institutions.

## Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

Make permanent the \$250 thousand limit for federal deposit insurance and increase the cash limit of Securities Investor Protection Corporation protection from \$100 thousand to \$250 thousand and provide unlimited federal deposit insurance until January 1, 2013 for non-interest bearing demand transaction accounts at all insured depository institutions.

Repeal the federal prohibitions on the payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby permitting depository institutions to pay interest on business transaction and other accounts.

Amend the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (EFTA) to, among other things, give the Federal Reserve the authority to establish rules regarding interchange fees charged for electronic debit transactions by payment card issuers, such as Frost Bank, having assets over \$10 billion and to enforce a new statutory requirement that such fees be reasonable and proportional to the actual cost of a transaction to the issuer.

Increase the authority of the Federal Reserve to examine Cullen/Frost and its non-bank subsidiaries.

Many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to rulemaking and will take effect over several years, making it difficult to anticipate the overall financial impact on the Corporation, its customers or the financial industry more generally. Provisions in the legislation that affect deposit insurance assessments, payment of interest on demand deposits and interchange fees could increase the costs associated with deposits as well as place limitations on certain revenues those deposits may generate. Provisions in the legislation that revoke the Tier 1 capital treatment of trust preferred securities and otherwise require revisions to the capital requirements of the Corporation and Frost Bank could require the Corporation and Frost Bank to seek other sources of capital in the future.

In addition, recent proposals published by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, if adopted, could lead to significantly higher capital requirements, higher capital charges and more restrictive leverage and liquidity ratios. In July and December 2009, the Basel Committee published proposals relating to, respectively, enhanced capital requirements for market risk and new capital and liquidity risk requirements for banks. In July 2010, the Basel Committee announced that it had reached broad agreement on the December 2009 proposals and published a set of amendments to these proposals. In September 2010, the Group of Governors and Heads of Supervisors of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, the oversight body of the Basel Committee, published its calibrated capital standards for major banking institutions

## **Table of Contents**

(Basel III). Under these standards when fully phased-in on January 1, 2019, banking institutions will be required to maintain heightened Tier 1 common equity, Tier 1 capital and total capital ratios, as well as maintaining a capital conservation buffer. The Tier 1 common equity and Tier 1 capital ratio requirements will be phased-in incrementally between January 1, 2013 and January 1, 2015; the deductions from common equity made in calculating Tier 1 common equity will be phased-in incrementally over a four-year period commencing on January 1, 2014; and the capital conservation buffer will be phased-in incrementally between January 1, 2016 and January 1, 2019. The Basel Committee also announced that a countercyclical buffer of 0% to 2.5% of common equity or other fully loss-absorbing capital will be implemented according to national circumstances as an extension of the conservation buffer. The September release did not address the Basel Committee's two liquidity measures initially proposed in December 2009 and amended in July 2010, the liquidity coverage ratio and the net stable funding ratio, other than to state that the liquidity coverage ratio will be introduced on January 1, 2015 and the net stable funding ratio will be significantly revised and moved to a minimum standard by January 1, 2018. The final package of Basel III reforms will be considered in November 2010 by the leaders of the Group of 20, and then will be subject to individual adoption by member nations, including the U.S. The ultimate impact of the new capital and liquidity standards on the Corporation cannot be determined at this time and will depend on a number of factors, including the treatment and implementation by the U.S. banking regulators.

### **Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Accounting Estimates**

The accounting and reporting policies followed by the Corporation conform, in all material respects, to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and to general practices within the financial services industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. While the Corporation bases estimates on historical experience, current information and other factors deemed to be relevant, actual results could differ from those estimates.

The Corporation considers accounting estimates to be critical to reported financial results if (i) the accounting estimate requires management to make assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain and (ii) different estimates that management reasonably could have used for the accounting estimate in the current period, or changes in the accounting estimate that are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, could have a material impact on the Corporation's financial statements. Accounting policies related to the allowance for possible loan losses are considered to be critical, as these policies involve considerable subjective judgment and estimation by management.

For additional information regarding critical accounting policies, refer to Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the notes to consolidated financial statements and the sections captioned Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Allowance for Possible Loan Losses in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in the 2009 Form 10-K. There have been no significant changes in the Corporation's application of critical accounting policies related to the allowance for possible loan losses since December 31, 2009.

### **Overview**

A discussion of the Corporation's results of operations is presented below. Certain reclassifications have been made to make prior periods comparable. Taxable-equivalent adjustments are the result of increasing income from tax-free loans and securities by an amount equal to the taxes that would be paid if the income were fully taxable based on a 35% federal income tax rate, thus making tax-exempt asset yields comparable to taxable asset yields. Acquisitions are accounted for as purchase transactions, and as such, their related results of operations are included from the date of acquisition.



**Table of Contents****Results of Operations**

Net income totaled \$55.0 million, or \$0.90 diluted per share, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$44.7 million, or \$0.75 diluted per share, for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and \$52.9 million, or \$0.87 diluted per share, for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Net income totaled \$155.7 million, or \$2.57 diluted per share, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$127.5 million, or \$2.14 diluted per share, for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Selected income statement data and other selected data for the comparable periods was as follows:

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2010	June 30, 2010	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Taxable-equivalent net interest income	\$ 155,702	\$ 155,054	\$ 144,915	\$ 461,098	\$ 426,972
Taxable-equivalent adjustment	13,286	13,158	10,926	39,202	28,887
Net interest income	142,416	141,896	133,989	421,896	398,085
Provision for possible loan losses	10,100	8,650	16,940	32,321	43,142
Net interest income after provision for possible loan losses	132,316	133,246	117,049	389,575	354,943
Non-interest income	70,430	69,932	69,491	211,755	207,361
Non-interest expense	132,552	134,654	132,234	401,800	398,022
Income before income taxes	70,194	68,524	54,306	199,530	164,282
Income taxes	15,199	15,624	9,607	43,817	36,742
Net income	\$ 54,995	\$ 52,900	\$ 44,699	\$ 155,713	\$ 127,540
Earnings per common share - basic	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.87	\$ 0.75	\$ 2.57	\$ 2.14
Earnings per common share - diluted	0.90	0.87	0.75	2.57	2.14
Dividends per common share	0.45	0.45	0.43	1.33	1.28
Return on average assets	1.25%	1.26%	1.11%	1.23%	1.10%
Return on average equity	10.49	10.67	9.70	10.42	9.45
Average shareholder's equity to average total assets	11.90	11.79	11.40	11.78	11.65

Net income increased \$10.3 million, or 23.0%, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and increased \$28.2 million, or 22.1%, for nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009. The increase during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily the result of an \$8.4 million increase in net interest income, a \$6.8 million decrease in the provision for possible loan losses and a \$939 thousand increase in non-interest income partly offset by a \$5.6 million increase in income tax expense and a \$318 thousand increase in non-interest expense. The increase during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily the result of a \$23.8 million increase in net interest income, a \$10.8 million decrease in the provision for possible loan losses and a \$4.4 million increase in non-interest income partly offset by a \$7.1 million increase in income tax expense and a \$3.8 million increase in non-interest expense.

Net income for the third quarter of 2010 increased \$2.1 million, or 4.0%, from the second quarter of 2010. The increase was primarily the result of a \$2.1 million decrease in non-interest expense, a \$520 thousand increase in net interest income, a \$498 thousand increase in non-interest income and a \$425 thousand decrease in income tax expense partly offset by a \$1.5 million increase in the provision for possible loan losses.

Details of the changes in the various components of net income are further discussed below.

**Net Interest Income**

Net interest income is the difference between interest income on earning assets, such as loans and securities, and interest expense on liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings, which are used to fund those assets. Net interest income is the Corporation's largest source of revenue, representing 66.6% of total revenue during the first nine months of 2010. Net interest margin is the ratio of taxable-equivalent net interest

## Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

income to average earning assets for the period. The level of interest rates and the volume and mix of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities impact net interest income and net interest margin.

The Federal Reserve Board influences the general market rates of interest, including the deposit and loan rates offered by many financial institutions. The Corporation's loan portfolio is significantly affected by changes in the prime interest rate. The prime interest rate, which is the rate offered on loans to borrowers with strong credit, remained at 3.25% for the entire

**Table of Contents**

year in 2009 and through the third quarter of 2010. The Corporation's loan portfolio is also impacted, to a lesser extent, by changes in the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR). At September 30, 2010, the one-month and three-month U.S. dollar LIBOR rates were 0.26% and 0.29%, respectively, while at September 30, 2009, the one-month and three-month U.S. dollar LIBOR rates were 0.25% and 0.29%, respectively. The intended federal funds rate, which is the cost of immediately available overnight funds, remained at zero to 0.25% for the entire year in 2009 and through the third quarter of 2010. The Corporation currently believes it is reasonably possible these interest rates will remain at the current, historically-low levels for the foreseeable future; however, there can be no assurance to that effect or as to the magnitude of any change in market interest rates should a change occur, as such changes are dependent upon a variety of factors that are beyond the Corporation's control.

The Corporation's balance sheet has historically been asset sensitive, meaning that earning assets generally reprice more quickly than interest-bearing liabilities. Therefore, the Corporation's net interest margin was likely to increase in sustained periods of rising interest rates and decrease in sustained periods of declining interest rates. In an effort to make the Corporation's balance sheet less sensitive to changes in interest rates, the Corporation entered into various interest rate swaps which effectively convert certain floating rate loans and borrowings into fixed rate instruments for a period of time. See Note 9 - Derivative Financial Instruments in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information related to these interest rate swaps. As a result, the Corporation's balance sheet is more interest-rate neutral and changes in interest rates are expected to have a less significant impact on the Corporation's net interest margin than would have otherwise been the case. The Corporation is primarily funded by core deposits, with non-interest-bearing demand deposits historically being a significant source of funds. This lower-cost funding base is expected to have a positive impact on the Corporation's net interest income and net interest margin in a rising interest rate environment. As stated previously in the section captioned "Recent Legislation Impacting the Financial Services Industry," the Dodd-Frank Act repealed the federal prohibitions on the payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby permitting depository institutions to pay interest on business transaction and other accounts beginning July 21, 2011. Although the ultimate impact of this legislation on the Corporation has not yet been determined, the Corporation expects interest costs associated with demand deposits to increase. Further analysis of the components of the Corporation's net interest margin is presented below.

The following table presents the changes in taxable-equivalent net interest income and identifies the changes due to differences in the average volume of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the changes due to changes in the average interest rate on those assets and liabilities. The changes in net interest income due to changes in both average volume and average interest rate have been allocated to the average volume change or average interest rate change in proportion to the absolute amounts of the change in each. The comparisons between the quarters include an additional change factor that shows the effect of the difference in the number of days in each period, as further discussed below.

	Third Quarter 2010 vs. Third Quarter 2009	Third Quarter 2010 vs. Second Quarter 2010	First Nine Months 2010 vs. First Nine Months 2009
Due to changes in average volumes	\$ 13,625	\$ 4,499	\$ 45,461
Due to changes in average interest rates	(2,838)	(5,543)	(11,335)
Due to difference in the number of days in each of the comparable periods		1,692	
Total change	\$ 10,787	\$ 648	\$ 34,126

Taxable-equivalent net interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$10.8 million, or 7.4%, while taxable-equivalent net interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$34.1 million, or 8.0%, compared to the same periods in 2009, respectively. The increases primarily resulted from increases in the average volume of interest-earning assets partly offset by decreases in the net interest margin. The average volume of interest-earning assets for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$1.5 billion and \$1.6 billion compared to the same periods in 2009. The net interest margin decreased 8 basis points from 4.12% during the three months ended September 30, 2009 to 4.04% during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and decreased 11 basis points from 4.24% during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 to 4.13% during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. These decreases in the net interest margin were partly due to an increase in the relative proportion of interest-earning assets invested in lower-yielding interest-bearing deposits during 2010 compared to 2009 while the relative proportion of average interest earning assets invested in higher-yielding loans decreased, as further discussed below.

Taxable-equivalent net interest income for the third quarter of 2010 increased \$648 thousand, or 0.4%, from the second quarter of 2010. The increase primarily resulted from an increase in the average volume of interest-earning assets and an increase in the number of days during the third quarter compared to the second quarter partly offset by a decrease in the net interest margin. The average volume of interest-earning assets

for the third quarter of 2010 increased \$519.0 million

**Table of Contents**

compared to the second quarter of 2010. Taxable-equivalent net interest income for the second quarter of 2010 included 91 days compared to 92 days for the third quarter of 2010. The additional day added approximately \$1.7 million to taxable-equivalent net interest income during the third quarter of 2010. Excluding the impact of the additional day during the third quarter of 2010 results in an effective decrease in taxable-equivalent net interest income of approximately \$1.0 million during the third quarter of 2010 which was primarily related to the aforementioned decrease in the net interest margin. The net interest margin decreased 14 basis points from 4.18% in the second quarter of 2010 to 4.04% in the third quarter of 2010. The decrease in the net interest margin was partly due to an increase in the relative proportion of average interest-earning assets invested in lower-yielding interest-bearing deposits during the third quarter while the relative proportion of average interest earning assets invested in higher-yielding loans decreased.

The average yield on interest-earning assets is primarily impacted by changes in market interest rates as well as changes in the volume and relative mix of interest-earning assets. The average yield on interest-earning assets decreased 42 basis points from 4.92% during the first nine months of 2009 to 4.50% during the first nine months of 2010. The average volume of loans decreased \$567.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. Loans made up approximately 53.9% of average interest-earning assets during the first nine months of 2010 compared to 64.3% during the first nine months of 2009. The average yield on loans was 5.11% during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to 5.04% during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The average volume of securities increased \$988.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. Securities made up approximately 33.9% of average interest-earning assets during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to 30.5% during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The average yield on securities was 5.08% during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to 5.48% during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease in the average yield on securities during 2010 compared to 2009 was partly related to the reinvestment of proceeds from maturities and principal repayments at lower yields as a result of decreases in market interest rates. The Corporation had a larger proportion of securities invested in higher-yielding tax-exempt securities during 2010 compared to 2009, the impact of which partly offset the effect of the decrease in yield on taxable securities on the average yield on total securities. Average federal funds sold, resell agreements and interest-bearing deposits during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$1.1 billion compared to the same period in 2009. Federal funds sold, resell agreements and interest-bearing deposits made up approximately 12.2% of average interest-earning assets during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to 5.2% during the same period in 2009. The combined average yield on federal funds sold, resell agreements and interest-bearing deposits was 0.24% during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to 0.27% during the same period in 2009. The increase in federal funds sold, resell agreements and interest-bearing deposits compared to 2009 was primarily due to significant deposit growth, as further discussed below.

The average cost of funds is primarily impacted by changes in market interest rates as well as changes in the volume and relative mix of interest-bearing liabilities. The average cost of funds decreased 43 basis points from 0.99% during the first nine months of 2009 to 0.56% during the first nine months of 2010. Average deposits increased \$1.7 billion during the first nine months of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. Average interest-bearing deposits for the first nine months of 2010 increased \$963.5 million compared to the same period in 2009. The ratio of average interest-bearing deposits to total average deposits was 64.6% during the first nine months of 2010 compared to 65.8% during the same period in 2009. The average cost of interest-bearing deposits and total deposits was 0.35% and 0.22% during the first nine months of 2010 compared to 0.75% and 0.49% during the first nine months of 2009. The decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing deposits was primarily the result of decreases in interest rates offered on certain deposit products and decreases in renewal interest rates on maturing certificates of deposit given the current low interest rate environment.

The Corporation's net interest spread, which represents the difference between the average rate earned on earning assets and the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities, was 3.94% during the first nine months of 2010 compared to 3.93% during the first nine months of 2009. The net interest spread, as well as the net interest margin, will be impacted by future changes in short-term and long-term interest rate levels, as well as the impact from the competitive environment. A discussion of the effects of changing interest rates on net interest income is set forth in Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk included elsewhere in this report.

The Corporation's hedging policies permit the use of various derivative financial instruments, including interest rate swaps, swaptions, caps and floors, to manage exposure to changes in interest rates. Details of the Corporation's derivatives and hedging activities are set forth in Note 9 - Derivative Financial Instruments in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. Information regarding the impact of fluctuations in interest rates on the Corporation's derivative financial instruments is set forth in Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk included elsewhere in this report.

**Table of Contents****Provision for Possible Loan Losses**

The provision for possible loan losses is determined by management as the amount to be added to the allowance for possible loan losses after net charge-offs have been deducted to bring the allowance to a level which, in management's best estimate, is necessary to absorb probable losses within the existing loan portfolio. The provision for possible loan losses totaled \$10.1 million and \$32.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$16.9 million and \$43.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009. See the section captioned "Allowance for Possible Loan Losses" elsewhere in this discussion for further analysis of the provision for possible loan losses.

**Non-Interest Income**

The components of non-interest income were as follows:

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2010	June 30, 2010	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Trust fees	\$ 17,029	\$ 17,037	\$ 16,755	\$ 51,029	\$ 49,599
Service charges on deposit accounts	24,980	24,925	26,395	74,714	76,457
Insurance commissions and fees	8,588	7,512	8,505	27,238	26,362
Other charges, commissions and fees	7,708	8,029	6,845	22,656	19,895
Net gain (loss) on securities transactions		1		6	49
Other	12,125	12,428	10,991	36,112	34,999
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 70,430</b>	<b>\$ 69,932</b>	<b>\$ 69,491</b>	<b>\$ 211,755</b>	<b>\$ 207,361</b>

Total non-interest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$939 thousand, or 1.4%, and \$4.4 million, or 2.1%, compared to the same periods in 2009. Total non-interest income for the third quarter of 2010 increased \$498 thousand, or 0.7%, compared to the second quarter of 2010. Changes in the components of non-interest income are discussed below.

*Trust Fees.* Trust fee income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$274 thousand, or 1.6%, and \$1.4 million, or 2.9%, compared to the same periods in 2009. Investment fees are the most significant component of trust fees, making up approximately 74% and 73% of total trust fees for the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Investment and other custodial account fees are generally based on the market value of assets within a trust account. Volatility in the equity and bond markets impacts the market value of trust assets and the related investment fees.

The increase in trust fee income during the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 was primarily the result of increases in oil and gas trust management fees (up \$175 thousand), securities lending income (up \$110 thousand) and real estate fees (up \$108 thousand). These increases were partly offset by a decrease in financial consulting fees (down \$147 thousand). The increase in trust fee income during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 was primarily the result of increases in investment fees (up \$1.9 million) and real estate fees (up \$383 thousand) partly offset by decreases in securities lending income (down \$660 thousand) and financial consulting fees (down \$375 thousand). The increase in investment fees was primarily due to the general appreciation in the market values of assets in trust accounts on which these fees are generally based. Equity valuations during the first nine months of 2010 have been higher on average compared to the first nine months of 2009, recovering somewhat from the market correction in equity valuations which began in the latter part of 2008 and reached its lowest levels during the first quarter of 2009. The decrease in securities lending income during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily related to a decrease in transaction spreads.

Trust fee income for the third quarter of 2010 did not significantly fluctuate compared to the second quarter of 2010 as an increase in investment fees (up \$995 thousand) was mostly offset by decreases in tax fees (down \$629 thousand), oil and gas fees (down \$154 thousand) and estate fees (down \$128 thousand).

At September 30, 2010, trust assets, including both managed assets and custody assets, were primarily composed of fixed income securities (45.7% of trust assets), equity securities (39.3% of trust assets) and cash equivalents (9.0% of trust assets). The estimated fair value of trust assets was \$23.9 billion (including managed assets of \$9.8 billion and custody assets of \$14.1 billion) at September 30, 2010, compared to

## Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

\$22.7 billion (including managed assets of \$10.4 billion and custody assets of \$12.3 billion) at December 31, 2009 and \$22.3 billion (including managed assets of \$10.2 billion and custody assets of \$12.1 billion) at September 30, 2009.

**Table of Contents**

*Service Charges on Deposit Accounts.* Service charges on deposit accounts for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 decreased \$1.4 million, or 5.4%, and \$1.7 million, or 2.3%, compared to the same periods in 2009. The decrease during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to decreases in overdraft/insufficient funds charges on consumer accounts (down \$988 thousand) and in service charges on commercial accounts (down \$957 thousand). These decreases were partly offset by an increase in point of sale income from PIN-based debit card transactions (up \$613 thousand). The decrease in service charges on deposit accounts during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was due to a decrease in service charges on commercial accounts (down \$2.2 million) and overdraft/insufficient funds charges on consumer accounts (down \$932 thousand). These decreases were partly offset by an increase in point of sale income from PIN-based debit card transactions (up \$1.3 million). The decreases in service charges on commercial accounts during 2010 were partly related to a decrease in service volumes for billable services. The decreases in overdraft/insufficient funds charges on consumer accounts during 2010 were partly related to a new rule issued by the Federal Reserve Board that became effective in the third quarter, as further discussed below. The increase in point of sale income from PIN-based debit card transactions during 2010 was partly related to an increase in the interchange pricing structure and, to a lesser extent, an increase in the volume of transactions.

Service charges on deposit accounts for the third quarter of 2010 did not significantly fluctuate compared to the second quarter of 2010 as increases in point of sale income from PIN-based debit card transactions (up \$206 thousand) and overdraft/insufficient funds charges on commercial accounts (up \$93 thousand) were mostly offset by decreases in overdraft/insufficient funds charges on consumer accounts (down \$131 thousand) and service charges on commercial accounts (down \$97 thousand).

Overdraft/insufficient funds charges totaled \$10.0 million (\$7.8 million consumer and \$2.2 million commercial) during the third quarter of 2010 compared to \$11.0 million (\$8.8 million consumer and \$2.2 million commercial) during the third quarter of 2009 and \$10.0 million (\$7.9 million consumer and \$2.1 million commercial) during the second quarter of 2010. Overdraft/insufficient funds charges totaled \$29.6 million (\$23.1 million consumer and \$6.5 million commercial) during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$30.3 million (\$24.0 million consumer and \$6.3 million commercial) during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Beginning in the third quarter of 2010, a new rule issued by the Federal Reserve Board prohibits financial institutions from charging consumers fees for paying overdrafts on automated teller machine and one-time debit card transactions, unless a consumer consents, or opts in, to the overdraft service for those types of transactions. Consumers must be provided a notice that explains the financial institution's overdraft services, including the fees associated with the service, and the consumer's choices. The Corporation cannot provide any assurance as to the ultimate impact of this rule on the amount of overdraft/insufficient funds charges reported in future periods.

The Corporation had point of sale income from PIN-based debit card transactions totaling \$4.4 million and \$3.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. As stated previously in the section captioned *Recent Legislation Impacting the Financial Services Industry*, the Dodd-Frank Act amended the EFTA to, among other things, give the Federal Reserve the authority to establish rules regarding interchange fees charged for electronic debit transactions by payment card issuers, such as Frost Bank. Because of the uncertainty as to any future rulemaking by the Federal Reserve, the Corporation cannot provide any assurance as to the ultimate impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on the amount of point of sale income from PIN-based debit card transactions reported in future periods. Also see the discussion regarding income from Visa check card usage below under *Other Non-Interest Income*.

*Insurance Commissions and Fees.* Insurance commissions and fees for the three months ended September 30, 2010 did not significantly fluctuate compared to the same period in 2009 as an increase in contingent commissions (up \$230 thousand) was mostly offset by decrease in commission income (down \$146 thousand). Insurance commissions and fees for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$876 thousand, or 3.3%, compared to the same period in 2009. The increase is related to an increase in commission income (up \$953 thousand) partly offset by a decrease in contingent commissions (down \$78 thousand). The increase in commission income was partly due to normal variation in the timing of renewals and the market demand for insurance products. The increase was also partly related to additional revenue from insurance agencies acquired during the first and third quarters of 2009.

Insurance commissions and fees include contingent commissions totaling \$344 thousand and \$3.6 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and \$114 thousand and \$3.7 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009. Contingent commissions primarily consist of amounts received from various property and casualty insurance carriers related to the loss performance of insurance policies previously placed. Such commissions are seasonal in nature and are generally received during the first quarter of each year. These commissions totaled \$2.5 million and \$2.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. Contingent commissions also include amounts received from various benefit plan insurance companies related to the volume of business generated and/or the subsequent retention of such business. These commissions totaled \$267 thousand and \$1.1 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and \$113 thousand and \$853 thousand during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009.



**Table of Contents**

Insurance commissions and fees for the third quarter of 2010 increased \$1.1 million, or 14.3%, compared to the second quarter of 2010. The increase was primarily related to higher commission income (up \$1.4 million) primarily due to normal variation in the timing of renewals and in the market demand for insurance products.

*Other Charges, Commissions and Fees.* Other charges, commissions and fees for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$863 thousand, or 12.6%, and \$2.8 million, or 13.9%, compared to the same periods in 2009. The increase during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to an increase in commission income related to the sale of annuities (up \$287 thousand), mutual fund management fees (up \$246 thousand) and investment banking fees related to corporate advisory services (up \$140 thousand). The increase in other charges, commissions and fees during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily related to increases in commission income related to the sale of mutual funds (up \$1.2 million), mutual fund management fees (up \$978 thousand), unused balance fees on loan commitments (up \$579 thousand), investment banking fees related to corporate advisory services (up \$504 thousand) and fees related to accounts receivable factoring (up \$379 thousand). These increases were partly offset by a decrease in commission income related to the sale of money market accounts (down \$960 thousand). The increase in commission income related to the sale of mutual funds and the concurrent decrease in commission income related to the sale of money market accounts reflects the apparent increased willingness of customers to invest in equities as market conditions have improved. The decrease in commission income related to the sale of money market accounts was also partly related to lower marketing fees paid by fund companies. Investment banking fees related to corporate advisory services are transaction based and can vary significantly from quarter to quarter.

Other charges, commissions and fees for the third quarter of 2010 decreased \$321 thousand, or 4.0%, compared to the second quarter of 2010. The decrease was primarily due to decreases in commission income related to the sale of mutual funds (down \$369 thousand) and investment banking fees related to corporate advisory services (down \$110 thousand) as well as decreases in various other categories of other charges, commissions and fees, partly offset by an increase in commission income from the sale of annuities (up \$177 thousand).

*Net Gain/Loss on Securities Transactions.* No securities were sold during the three months ended September 30, 2010 or 2009. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Corporation sold available-for-sale securities with an amortized cost totaling \$10.0 billion and realized a net gain of \$6 thousand on those sales. These securities were purchased during the first quarter and second quarters of 2010 and subsequently sold in connection with certain tax planning strategies. The Corporation sold available-for-sale securities with an amortized cost totaling \$9.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The Corporation realized a net gain of \$49 thousand on those sales.

*Other Non-Interest Income.* Other non-interest income increased \$1.1 million, or 10.3%, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. Contributing to the increase were increases in income from Visa check card usage (up \$671 thousand), mineral interest income (up \$231 thousand), income from municipal bond underwriting discounts/fees (up \$210 thousand) and income from securities trading and customer derivative activities, primarily interest rate swap transaction fees, (up \$189 thousand).

Other non-interest income increased \$1.1 million, or 3.2%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. Contributing to the increase were increases in income from Visa check card usage (up \$1.9 million), income from securities trading and customer derivative activities, primarily interest rate swap transaction fees, (up \$963 thousand) and mineral interest income (up \$232 thousand). These increases were partly offset by decreases in sundry income from various miscellaneous items (down \$596 thousand), gains on the sale of assets/foreclosed assets (down \$391 thousand), earnings on the cash surrender value of life insurance policies (down \$351 thousand) and lease rental income (down \$320 thousand) as well as decreases in various other categories of other non-interest income.

Other non-interest income for the third quarter of 2010 decreased \$303 thousand, or 2.4%, compared to the second quarter of 2010. Contributing to the decrease were decreases in income from securities trading and customer derivative activities, primarily interest rate swap transaction fees, (down \$367 thousand), sundry income from various miscellaneous items (down \$324 thousand) and mineral interest income (down \$118 thousand). These decreases were partly offset by increases in income from municipal bond underwriting discounts/fees (up \$188 thousand), lease rental income (up \$136 thousand) and earnings on the cash surrender value of life insurance policies (up \$100 thousand).

The Corporation had income from Visa check card usage totaling \$15.6 million and \$13.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. As stated previously in the section captioned *Recent Legislation Impacting the Financial Services Industry*, the Dodd-Frank Act amended the EFTA to, among other things, give the Federal Reserve the authority to establish rules regarding interchange fees charged for electronic debit transactions by payment card issuers, such as Frost Bank. Because of the uncertainty as to any future rulemaking by the Federal Reserve, the Corporation cannot provide any assurance as to the ultimate impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on the amount of income from Visa check card usage reported in future periods. Also see the discussion regarding point of sale income from PIN-based debit card transactions above

under Service Charges on Deposit Accounts.

**Table of Contents****Non-Interest Expense**

The components of non-interest expense were as follows:

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2010	June 30, 2010	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Salaries and wages	\$ 59,743	\$ 58,827	\$ 58,591	\$ 178,845	\$ 171,907
Employee benefits	12,698	12,675	13,445	39,894	42,468
Net occupancy	12,197	11,637	11,111	34,969	32,665
Furniture and equipment	12,165	11,662	11,133	35,316	32,158
Deposit insurance	4,661	5,429	4,643	15,533	20,686
Intangible amortization	1,276	1,299	1,564	3,908	5,064
Other	29,812	33,125	31,747	93,335	93,074
Total	\$ 132,552	\$ 134,654	\$ 132,234	\$ 401,800	\$ 398,022

Total non-interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$318 thousand, or 0.2%, and \$3.8 million, or 0.9%, compared to the same periods in 2009. Total non-interest expense for the third quarter of 2010 decreased \$2.1 million, or 1.6%, compared to the second quarter of 2010. Changes in the components of non-interest expense are discussed below.

*Salaries and Wages.* Salaries and wages for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$1.2 million, or 2.0%, and \$6.9 million, or 4.0%, compared to the same periods in 2009. The increase during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily related to an increase in incentive compensation expense and stock-based compensation expense partly offset by a decrease in headcount. The increase during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily related to increases in incentive compensation expense, normal annual merit increases and an increase in stock-based compensation expense partly offset partly offset by a decrease in headcount and a decrease in overtime expense. Salaries and wages expense for the third quarter of 2010 increased \$916 thousand, or 1.6%, compared to the second quarter of 2010. The increase from the second quarter of 2010 was primarily related to normal annual merit increases and an increase in stock-based compensation expense.

*Employee Benefits.* Employee benefits expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 decreased \$747 thousand, or 5.6%, and \$2.6 million, or 6.1%, compared to the same periods in 2009. The decrease during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily related to decreases in expenses related to the Corporation's defined benefit retirement plan (down \$862 thousand) partly offset by an increase in medical insurance expense (up \$98 thousand). The decrease during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily related to decreases in expenses related to the Corporation's defined benefit retirement plan (down \$2.6 million) and expenses related to the Corporation's 401(k) and profit sharing plans (down \$579 thousand). These decreases were partly offset by increases in medical insurance expense (up \$420 thousand) and payroll taxes (up \$226 thousand).

Employee benefits expense for the third quarter of 2010 did not significantly fluctuate compared to the second quarter of 2010 as an increase in expenses related to the Corporation's 401(k) and profit sharing plans (up \$526 thousand) was mostly offset by decreases in payroll taxes (down \$426 thousand).

The Corporation's defined benefit retirement and restoration plans were frozen effective as of December 31, 2001 and were replaced by a profit sharing plan. Management believes these actions helped to reduce the volatility in retirement plan expense. However, the Corporation still has funding obligations related to the defined benefit and restoration plans and could recognize retirement expense related to these plans in future years, which would be dependent on the return earned on plan assets, the level of interest rates and employee turnover. The decrease in expense related to the Corporation's defined benefit retirement plan during 2010 compared to 2009 was partly related to a higher than expected return on plan assets during 2009.

*Net Occupancy.* Net occupancy expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$1.1 million, or 9.8%, and \$2.3 million, or 7.1%, compared to the same periods in 2009. The increase during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily related to increases in building depreciation (up \$512 thousand), service contracts expense (up \$320 thousand) and building maintenance

## Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

(up \$289 thousand) partly offset by a decrease in depreciation on leasehold improvements (down \$175 thousand). The increase during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily related to increases in building depreciation (up \$1.5 million), property taxes (up \$792 thousand), service contracts expense (up \$605 thousand), building maintenance (up \$369 thousand) and repairs expense (up \$345 thousand). Offsetting the increases in the aforementioned items were decreases in depreciation on leasehold improvements (down \$783 thousand) and lease expense (down \$467 thousand). The increases in building depreciation and property taxes were partly related to a new technology operations center placed into service during the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in depreciation on

**Table of Contents**

leasehold improvements during 2010 resulted as certain leasehold improvements were fully depreciated in 2009. Net occupancy expense for the third quarter of 2010 increased \$560 thousand, or 4.8%, compared to the second quarter of 2010. The increase was primarily related to utilities expense (up \$196 thousand), building maintenance (up \$129 thousand) and service contracts expense (up \$128 thousand).

*Furniture and Equipment.* Furniture and equipment expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$1.0 million, or 9.3%, and \$3.2 million, or 9.8%, compared to the same periods in 2009. The increase during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily related to increases in software amortization (up \$610 thousand), equipment rental (up \$446 thousand) and software maintenance (up \$193 thousand) partly offset by a decrease in furniture and fixtures depreciation (down \$216 thousand). The increase during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily related to increases in software amortization (up \$1.6 million), equipment rental (up \$1.2 million) and software maintenance (up \$554 thousand) partly offset by a decrease in furniture and fixtures depreciation (down \$274 thousand). The increases in software amortization and maintenance were primarily related to new applications placed into service in 2009 and 2010. The increase in equipment rental during 2010 was related to new equipment leases associated with the aforementioned new technology operations center. Furniture and equipment expense for the third quarter of 2010 increased \$503 thousand, or 4.3%, compared to the second quarter of 2010 primarily due to increases in software amortization (up \$278 thousand) and software maintenance (up \$103 thousand).

*Deposit Insurance.* Deposit insurance expense for the three months ended September 30, 2010 did not significantly fluctuate compared to the same period in 2009 while deposit insurance expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 decreased \$5.2 million compared to the same period in 2009. Deposit insurance expense during the second quarter of 2009 included a non-recurring \$7.3 million charge related to a special assessment applied to all insured depository institutions as of June 30, 2009. The special assessment was part of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's (FDIC) efforts to rebuild the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF). Excluding the impact of the special assessment, deposit insurance expense increased \$2.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. This effective increase was primarily related to deposit growth. Average deposits during the first nine months of 2010 totaled \$13.9 billion compared to \$12.2 billion during the same period in 2009.

Deposit insurance expense during the third quarter of 2010 was partly reduced as a result of the Corporation opting out of the Transaction Account Guarantee (TAG) component of the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program effective July 1, 2010. The TAG program provided full insurance coverage for certain non-interest bearing transaction deposit accounts, certain Negotiable Order of Withdrawal (NOW) accounts and Interest on Lawyers Trust accounts. The standard insurance amount remains in effect for the Corporation's deposit accounts.

In November 2009, the FDIC issued a rule that required all insured depository institutions, with limited exceptions, to prepay their estimated quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for all of 2010, 2011 and 2012. The FDIC also adopted a uniform three-basis point increase in assessment rates effective on January 1, 2011; however, as further discussed below, the FDIC has elected to forgo this increase under a new Restoration Plan adopted in October 2010. As of September 30, 2010, \$48.2 million in pre-paid deposit insurance assessments is included in accrued interest receivable and other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

In October 2010, the FDIC adopted a new Restoration Plan for the DIF to ensure that the fund reserve ratio reaches 1.35% by September 30, 2020, as required by the Dodd-Frank Act. Under the Restoration Plan, the FDIC will forego the uniform three-basis point increase in initial assessment rates scheduled to take place on January 1, 2011 and maintain the current schedule of assessment rates for all depository institutions. The FDIC will pursue further rulemaking in 2011 regarding the method that will be used to reach 1.35% by September 30, 2020. At least semi-annually, the FDIC will update its loss and income projections for the fund and, if needed, will increase or decrease assessment rates, following notice-and-comment rulemaking if required.

Concurrent with the adoption of the Restoration Plan, the FDIC also issued a notice of proposed rulemaking that would (i) set the designated fund reserve ratio at 2% as a long-term, minimum goal, (ii) adopt a lower assessment rate schedule when the fund reserve ratio reaches 1.15% so that the average rate over time should be about 8.5 basis points and (iii) in lieu of dividends, adopt lower rate schedules when the fund reserve ratio reaches 2% and 2.5% so that average rates will decline about 25% and 50%, respectively. Currently, the initial base assessment rates for Risk Category 1 institutions range from 12 to 16 basis points, on an annualized basis (basis points representing cents per \$100 of assessable deposits). After the effect of potential base-rate adjustments, total base assessment rates range from 7 to 24 basis points. The potential adjustments to a Risk Category 1 institution's initial base assessment rate, include (i) a potential decrease of up to 5 basis points for long-term unsecured debt, including senior and subordinated debt (unsecured debt adjustment) and (ii) a potential increase of up to 8 basis points for secured liabilities in excess of 25% of domestic deposits (secured liability adjustment). Under the proposed rule, when the fund reserve ratio reaches 1.15%, the total base assessment rate would range from 4 to 18 basis points on an annualized basis as initial base assessment rates would range from 8 to 12 basis points, with a potential unsecured debt adjustment of up to -5 basis points (not to exceed 50% of the institution's initial base assessment rate) and a potential secured liability adjustment of up to 6 basis points. When the fund reserve ratio is at least



**Table of Contents**

2.0% but less than 2.5%, the total base assessment rate would range from 3 to 15 basis points on an annualized basis as initial base assessment rates would range from 6 to 10 basis points, with a potential unsecured debt adjustment of up to -5 basis points (not to exceed 50% of the institution's initial base assessment rate) and a potential secured liability adjustment of up to 5 basis points. When the fund reserve ratio is at 2.5% or more, the total base assessment rate would range from 2 to 12 basis points on an annualized basis as initial base assessment rates would range from 4 to 8 basis points, with a potential unsecured debt adjustment of up to -4 basis points (not to exceed 50% of the institution's initial base assessment rate) and a potential secured liability adjustment of up to 4 basis points. The FDIC may increase or decrease the total base assessment rate schedules described above up to 3 basis points at any time, as deemed necessary.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires the FDIC to revise the deposit insurance assessment system to base assessments on the average total consolidated assets of insured depository institutions during the assessment period, less the average tangible equity of the institution during the assessment period. Currently, only deposits payable in the United States are included in determining the premium paid by an institution. Before the expiration of the comment period on the aforementioned notice of proposed rulemaking, the FDIC plans to adopt and publish a notice of proposed rulemaking to define the assessment base. The FDIC anticipates that the notice will also include proposed changes to the risk-based pricing system necessitated by the change in assessment base. The net effect of this proposal will necessitate that the FDIC also adjust the proposed assessment rates to ensure that the revenue collected under the new assessment system will approximately equal that under the existing assessment system. The Corporation cannot provide any assurance as to the effect of any of the proposed changes in the deposit insurance system, should any such changes occur, as such changes are dependent upon a variety of factors, some of which are beyond the Corporation's control.

*Intangible Amortization.* Intangible amortization is primarily related to core deposit intangibles and, to a lesser extent, intangibles related to customer relationships and non-compete agreements. Intangible amortization for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 decreased \$288 thousand, or 18.4% and \$1.2 million, or 22.8% compared to the same periods in 2009. The decrease in amortization expense in 2010 is primarily the result of the completion of amortization of certain intangible assets, as well as a reduction in the annual amortization rate of certain intangible assets as the Corporation uses an accelerated amortization approach which results in higher amortization rates during the earlier years of the useful lives of intangible assets. Intangible amortization expense during the third quarter of 2010 did not significantly fluctuate compared to the second quarter of 2010.

*Other Non-Interest Expense.* Other non-interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2010 decreased \$1.9 million, or 6.1%, compared to the same period in 2009. Components of other non-interest expense with significant decreases included losses on the sale/write-down of foreclosed assets (down \$1.1 million), armored motor services expense (down \$599 thousand), supplies expense (down \$444 thousand), Federal Reserve service charges (down \$407 thousand), property taxes on foreclosed assets (down \$340 thousand) and depreciation expense related to leased properties (down \$320 thousand). Components of other non-interest expense with significant increases included losses on the sale/write-down of assets (up \$815 thousand), professional services expense (up \$470 thousand), Visa check card expense (up \$375 thousand) and messenger services expense (up \$283 thousand). The implementation of remote check image capture at the Corporation's branches has reduced the need for armored motor services. The Corporation now utilizes more cost effective messenger services. The increase in losses on the sale/write-down of assets during the third quarter of 2010 was primarily related to the write-down of certain bank-owned property.

Other non-interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 did not significantly fluctuate compared to the same period in 2009. Components of other non-interest expense with significant increases included losses on the sale/write-down of foreclosed assets (up \$2.1 million), sundry expense from miscellaneous items (up \$1.5 million), Visa check card expense (up \$925 thousand), messenger services expense (up \$865 thousand) and sub-advisor investment management fees related to Frost Investment Advisors, LLC (up \$767 thousand). Components of other non-interest expense with significant decreases included armored motor services expense (down \$1.8 million), amortization of net deferred costs related to loan commitments (down \$1.1 million), outside computer services expense (down \$1.1 million), Federal Reserve service charges (down \$1.0 million), supplies expense (down \$606 thousand) depreciation expense related to leased properties (down \$596 thousand) and advertising/promotions expense (down \$504 thousand).

Other non-interest expense for the third quarter of 2010 decreased \$3.3 million, or 10.0%, compared to the second quarter of 2010. Components of other non-interest expense with significant decreases included sundry expense from miscellaneous items (down \$1.8 million), losses on the sale/write-down of foreclosed assets (down \$776 thousand), stock-based compensation/fees expense for outside directors (down \$450 thousand) and supplies expense (down \$420 thousand). Sundry expense from miscellaneous items included several one-time charges for various write-offs, losses and refunds during the second quarter of 2010. Components of other non-interest expense with significant increases included loss on sale/write-down of assets (up \$815 thousand), professional services expense (up \$192 thousand) and advertising/promotions expense (up \$165 thousand).

**Table of Contents****Results of Segment Operations**

The Corporation's operations are managed along two operating segments: Banking and the Financial Management Group (FMG). A description of each business and the methodologies used to measure financial performance is described in Note 15 - Operating Segments in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. Net income (loss) by operating segment is presented below:

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2010	June 30, 2010	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Banking	\$ 54,772	\$ 53,089	\$ 44,901	\$ 156,624	\$ 127,912
Financial Management Group	2,031	2,254	1,789	5,588	6,404
Non-Banks	(1,808)	(2,443)	(1,991)	(6,499)	(6,776)
Consolidated net income	\$ 54,995	\$ 52,900	\$ 44,699	\$ 155,713	\$ 127,540

*Banking*

Net income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$9.9 million, or 22.0%, and \$28.7 million, or 22.4%, compared to the same periods in 2009. The increase during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily the result of an \$8.3 million increase in net interest income and a \$6.8 million decrease in the provision for possible loan losses partly offset by a \$5.4 million increase in income tax expense. The increase during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily the result of a \$26.7 million increase in net interest income, a \$10.8 million decrease in the provision for possible loan losses and a \$2.1 million increase in non-interest income partly offset by a \$7.6 million increase in income tax expense and a \$3.3 million increase in non-interest expense.

Net interest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$8.3 million, or 6.2%, and \$26.7 million, or 6.7%, compared to the same periods in 2009. The increases were for the most part the result of increases in the average volume of interest-earning assets partly offset by decreases in the net interest margin. See the analysis of net interest income included in the section captioned "Net Interest Income" included elsewhere in this discussion.

The provision for possible loan losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 totaled \$10.1 million and \$32.3 million compared to \$16.9 million and \$43.1 million for the same periods in 2009. See the analysis of the provision for possible loan losses included in the section captioned "Allowance for Possible Loan Losses" included elsewhere in this discussion.

Non-interest income did not significantly fluctuate for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and increased \$2.1 million, or 1.5%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to the same periods in 2009. During the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009, a decrease in service charges on deposit accounts was mostly offset by increases in other non-interest income and other charges, commissions and fees. The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to increases in other charges, commissions and fees, other non-interest income and insurance commissions partly offset by a decrease in service charges on deposits. The increase in other charges, commissions and fees was primarily related to increases in unused balance fees on loan commitments, investment banking fees related to corporate advisory services and fees related to accounts receivable factoring. The increase in other non-interest income was primarily related to increases in income from Visa check card usage and income from securities trading and customer derivative activities, primarily interest rate swap transaction fees. The decrease in service charges on deposit accounts were partly related to commercial accounts due to a decrease in service volumes for billable services. The decrease in service charges on deposit accounts was also partly related to a decrease in overdraft/insufficient funds charges on consumer accounts due in part to a new rule issued by the Federal Reserve Board which impacted such fees beginning in the third quarter of 2010. See further analysis of these categories of non-interest income included in the section captioned "Non-Interest Income" included elsewhere in this discussion.

Non-interest expense did not significantly fluctuate for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and increased \$3.3 million, or 1.0%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to the same periods in 2009. During the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009, decreases in other non-interest expense, employee benefits expense and intangible amortization were mostly offset by increases in salaries and wages, occupancy expense and furniture and equipment expense. The increase for the nine months ended September 30,



## Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

2010 was primarily related to increases in salaries and wages, furniture and equipment expense and occupancy expense partly offset by decreases in deposit insurance expense, employee benefits expense and intangible amortization. The increase in salaries and wages was mostly related to increases in incentive compensation, normal annual merit increases and stock-based compensation expense. The increase in furniture and equipment expense was primarily related to increases in software

---

**Table of Contents**

amortization and maintenance related to new applications and equipment rental expense related to new equipment leases associated with a new technology operations center. The increase in occupancy expense was primarily related to increases in building depreciation and property taxes, which were partly related to the aforementioned new technology operations center. Deposit insurance expense during the second quarter of 2009 included a non-recurring \$7.3 million charge related to a special assessment applied to all insured depository institutions as of June 30, 2009. The decreases in employee benefits expense were primarily due to decreases in expenses related to the Corporation's defined benefit retirement plan and profit sharing plans. See further analysis of these categories of non-interest expense included in the section captioned "Non-Interest Expense" included elsewhere in this discussion.

Frost Insurance Agency, which is included in the Banking operating segment, had gross commission revenues of \$8.6 million and \$27.4 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and \$8.5 million and \$26.5 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009. Insurance commission revenues increased \$83 thousand, or 1.0%, and \$876 thousand, or 3.3%, during the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2009. See the analysis of insurance commissions and fees included in the section captioned "Non-Interest Income" included elsewhere in this discussion.

*Financial Management Group (FMG)*

Net income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$242 thousand, or 13.5%, and decreased \$816 thousand, or 12.7%, compared to the same periods in 2009. The increase during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to a \$750 thousand increase in non-interest income partly offset by a \$387 thousand increase in non-interest expense. The decrease during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to a \$3.0 million decrease in net interest income and a \$394 thousand increase in non-interest expense partly offset by a \$2.2 million increase in non-interest income and a \$444 thousand decrease in income tax expense.

Net interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2010 did not significantly fluctuate compared to the same period in 2009, while net interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 decreased \$3.0 million, or 38.8%, compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease in net interest income during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to a decrease in the average volume of funds provided due to a decrease in the average volume of FMGs repurchase agreements combined with a decrease in the funds transfer price received for providing those funds.

Non-interest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$750 thousand, or 3.6%, and \$2.2 million, or 3.5%, compared to the same periods in 2009. The increase during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to increases in other charges, commissions and fees (up \$517 thousand) and trust fees (up \$310 thousand) partly offset by a decrease in other non-interest income (down \$88 thousand). The increase during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to increases in trust fees (up \$1.5 million) and other charges, commissions and fees (up \$1.1 million) partly offset by a decrease in other non-interest income (down \$536 thousand).

Trust fee income is the most significant income component for FMG. Investment fees are the most significant component of trust fees, making up approximately 74% and 73% of total trust fees for the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Investment and other custodial account fees are generally based on the market value of assets within a trust account. Volatility in the equity and bond markets impacts the market value of trust assets and the related investment fees. The increase in trust fee income during the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 was primarily the result of an increase in oil and gas trust management fees, securities lending income and real estate fees partly offset by decreases in financial consulting fees. The increase in trust fee income during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 was primarily the result of an increase in investment fees and real estate fees partly offset by a decrease in securities lending income and financial consulting fees. See the analysis of trust fees included in the section captioned "Non-Interest Income" included elsewhere in this discussion.

The increases in other charges, commissions and fees during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009 were primarily related to increases in commission income related to the sale of mutual funds and, during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, annuities and mutual fund management fees partly offset by decreases in commission income related to the sale of money market accounts.

Non-interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased \$387 thousand, or 2.0%, and \$394 thousand, or 0.7%, compared to the same periods in 2009. The increases during the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2010, were primarily due to increases in other non-interest expense (up \$553 thousand and \$665 thousand, respectively) partly offset by decreases in salaries and wages (down \$125 thousand and \$228 thousand, respectively). The increases in other non-interest expense were primarily due to increases in sub-advisor fees for Frost Investment Advisors, LLC and sundry expense from miscellaneous items, among other things, partly offset by decreases in



## **Table of Contents**

outside computer services expense. The decreases in salaries and wages were primarily related to decreases in incentive compensation and stock-based compensation expense resulting from the forfeiture of certain awards.

### *Non-Banks*

The net loss for the Non-Banks operating segment decreased \$183 thousand and \$277 thousand for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009. Fluctuations in various categories of income and expense were not significant.

### **Income Taxes**

The Corporation recognized income tax expense of \$15.2 million and \$43.8 million, for an effective tax rate of 21.7% and 22.0% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$9.6 million and \$36.7 million, for an effective tax rate of 17.7% and 22.4% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009. The effective income tax rates differed from the U.S. statutory rate of 35% during the comparable periods primarily due to the effect of tax-exempt income from loans, securities and life insurance policies.

### **Average Balance Sheet**

Average assets totaled \$17.0 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 representing an increase of \$1.5 billion, or 9.5%, compared to average assets for the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily reflected in earning assets, which increased \$1.6 billion, or 11.5%, during the first nine months of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. The increase in earning assets was primarily due to a \$1.1 billion increase in average interest-bearing deposits and federal funds sold and resale agreements and a \$988.4 million increase in average securities partly offset by a \$567.9 million decrease in average loans. The growth in average interest-earning assets was primarily funded by an increase in deposits. Total deposits averaged \$13.9 billion for the first nine months of 2010, increasing \$1.7 billion, or 14.1%, compared to the same period in 2009. Average interest-bearing accounts totaled 64.6% and 65.8% of average total deposits during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively.

**Table of Contents****Loans**

Loans were as follows as of the dates indicated:

	September 30, 2010	Percent of Total	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009	September 30, 2009
<b>Commercial and industrial:</b>					
Commercial	\$ 3,415,306	42.4%	\$ 3,368,349	\$ 3,577,758	\$ 3,710,928
Leases	189,810	2.4	193,107	197,605	198,813
Asset-based	123,658	1.5	128,616	117,213	121,091
<b>Total commercial and industrial</b>	<b>3,728,774</b>	<b>46.3</b>	<b>3,690,072</b>	<b>3,892,576</b>	<b>4,030,832</b>
<b>Real estate:</b>					
<b>Construction:</b>					
Commercial	586,073	7.3	585,668	659,459	661,289
Consumer	24,446	0.3	28,175	30,325	37,905
<b>Land:</b>					
Commercial	238,060	3.0	231,768	259,200	289,181
Consumer	1,618		1,991	1,677	1,751
Commercial mortgages	2,359,169	29.3	2,377,162	2,327,471	2,289,835
1-4 family residential mortgages	60,177	0.7	63,220	66,351	67,036
Home equity and other consumer	724,584	9.0	718,568	730,079	740,833
<b>Total real estate</b>	<b>3,994,127</b>	<b>49.6</b>	<b>4,006,552</b>	<b>4,074,562</b>	<b>4,087,830</b>
<b>Consumer:</b>					
Student loans held for sale				24,201	24,358
Other	322,239	4.0	340,719	346,255	353,345
Other	28,891	0.4	50,411	52,406	46,284
Unearned discount	(21,083)	(0.3)	(22,075)	(22,220)	(24,041)
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>\$ 8,052,948</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 8,065,679</b>	<b>\$ 8,367,780</b>	<b>\$ 8,518,608</b>

Loans decreased \$314.8 million, or 3.8%, compared to December 31, 2009. The majority of the Corporation's loan portfolio is comprised of commercial and industrial loans and real estate loans. Commercial and industrial loans made up 46.3% and 46.5% of total loans at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively while real estate loans made up 49.6% and 48.7% of total loans, respectively, at those dates. Real estate loans include both commercial and consumer balances.

Commercial and industrial loans decreased \$163.8 million, or 4.2%, during the first nine months of 2010. The Corporation's commercial and industrial loans are a diverse group of loans to small, medium and large businesses. The purpose of these loans varies from supporting seasonal working capital needs to term financing of equipment. While some short-term loans may be made on an unsecured basis, most are secured by the assets being financed with collateral margins that are consistent with the Corporation's loan policy guidelines. The commercial and industrial loan portfolio also includes the commercial lease and asset-based lending portfolios as well as purchased shared national credits (SNCs) which are discussed in more detail below.

Purchased shared national credits are participations purchased from upstream financial organizations and tend to be larger in size than the Corporation's originated portfolio. The Corporation's purchased SNC portfolio totaled \$441.3 million at September 30, 2010, decreasing \$22.1 million, or 4.8%, from \$463.4 million at December 31, 2009. At September 30, 2010, 65.5% of outstanding purchased SNCs was related to the energy industry. The remaining purchased SNCs were diversified throughout various other industries, with no other single industry exceeding 10% of the total purchased SNC portfolio. Additionally, almost all of the outstanding balance of purchased SNCs was included in the commercial and industrial portfolio, with the remainder included in the real estate categories. SNC participations are originated in the normal course of business to meet the needs of the Corporation's customers. As a matter of policy, the Corporation generally only participates in SNCs for companies headquartered in or which have significant operations within the Corporation's market areas. In addition, the Corporation must have direct access to the company's management, an existing banking relationship or the expectation of broadening the relationship with other banking products and services within the following 12 to 24 months. SNCs are reviewed at least quarterly for credit quality and business

development successes.

Real estate loans decreased \$80.4 million, or 2.0%, during the first nine months of 2010. Real estate loans include both commercial and consumer balances. Commercial real estate loans totaled \$3.2 billion at September 30, 2010 and represented 79.7% of total real estate loans. The majority of this portfolio consists of commercial real estate mortgages, which includes both permanent and intermediate term loans. The Corporation's primary focus for its commercial real estate

**Table of Contents**

portfolio has been growth in loans secured by owner-occupied properties. These loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans secured by real estate. Consequently, these loans must undergo the analysis and underwriting process of a commercial and industrial loan, as well as that of a real estate loan. At September 30, 2010, approximately 62.4% of the outstanding principal balance of the Corporation's commercial real estate loans were secured by owner-occupied properties.

The consumer loan portfolio, including all consumer real estate, decreased \$65.8 million, or 5.5%, from December 31, 2009. As the following table illustrates as of the dates indicated, the consumer loan portfolio has four distinct segments, including consumer real estate, consumer non-real estate, student loans held for sale and 1-4 family residential mortgages.

	September 30, 2010	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009	September 30, 2009
<b>Consumer real estate:</b>				
Construction	\$ 24,446	\$ 28,175	\$ 30,325	\$ 37,905
Land	1,618	1,991	1,677	1,751
Home equity loans	279,106	274,129	289,535	297,870
Home equity lines of credit	180,538	175,753	166,441	164,594
Other consumer real estate	264,940	268,686	274,103	278,369
<b>Total consumer real estate</b>	<b>750,648</b>	<b>748,734</b>	<b>762,081</b>	<b>780,489</b>
Consumer non-real estate	322,239	340,719	346,255	353,345
Student loans held for sale			24,201	24,358
1-4 family residential mortgages	60,177	63,220	66,351	67,036
<b>Total consumer loans</b>	<b>\$ 1,133,064</b>	<b>\$ 1,152,673</b>	<b>\$ 1,198,888</b>	<b>\$ 1,225,228</b>

The consumer non-real estate loan portfolio primarily consists of automobile loans, unsecured revolving credit products, personal loans secured by cash and cash equivalents and other similar types of credit facilities. In general, the Corporation no longer originates 1-4 family mortgage loans, however, from time to time, the Corporation may originate such loans to meet the needs of its customers. Additionally, during the second quarter of 2008, the Corporation elected to discontinue the origination of student loans for resale, aside from previously outstanding commitments. Student loans were primarily originated for resale on the secondary market and classified as held for sale. All remaining student loans were sold during the second quarter of 2010.

**Table of Contents****Non-Performing Assets**

Non-performing assets and accruing past due loans are presented in the table below. The Corporation did not have any restructured loans as of the dates presented.

	September 30, 2010	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009	September 30, 2009
<b>Non-accrual loans:</b>				
Commercial and industrial	\$ 62,887	\$ 53,926	\$ 78,638	\$ 109,388
Real estate	61,795	56,904	54,592	66,930
Consumer and other	20,218	23,694	13,637	15,436
<b>Total non-accrual loans</b>	<b>144,900</b>	<b>134,524</b>	<b>146,867</b>	<b>191,754</b>
<b>Foreclosed assets:</b>				
Real estate	23,328	24,288	33,305	29,062
Other	450	456	7	50
<b>Total foreclosed assets</b>	<b>23,778</b>	<b>24,744</b>	<b>33,312</b>	<b>29,112</b>
<b>Total non-performing assets</b>	<b>\$ 168,678</b>	<b>\$ 159,268</b>	<b>\$ 180,179</b>	<b>\$ 220,866</b>
<b>Non-performing assets as a percentage of:</b>				
Total loans and foreclosed assets	2.09%	1.97%	2.14%	2.58%
Total assets	0.95	0.93	1.11	1.37
<b>Accruing past due loans:</b>				
30 to 89 days past due	\$ 55,815	\$ 66,732	\$ 90,173	\$ 90,267
90 or more days past due	33,850	33,246	23,911	32,707
<b>Total accruing past due loans</b>	<b>\$ 89,665</b>	<b>\$ 99,978</b>	<b>\$ 114,084</b>	<b>\$ 122,974</b>
<b>Ratio of accruing past due loans to total loans:</b>				
30 to 89 days past due	0.69%	0.83%	1.08%	1.06%
90 or more days past due	0.42	0.41	0.28	0.38
<b>Total accruing past due loans</b>	<b>1.11%</b>	<b>1.24%</b>	<b>1.36%</b>	<b>1.44%</b>

Non-performing assets include non-accrual loans and foreclosed assets. Non-performing assets at September 30, 2010 decreased \$11.5 million from December 31, 2009. In general, the level of non-performing assets during the comparable periods is reflective of weaker economic conditions. Non-accrual commercial loans included three credit relationships in excess of \$5 million totaling \$25.7 million at September 30, 2010 and three credit relationships in excess of \$5 million totaling \$37.6 million at December 31, 2009. Non-accrual commercial loans also included \$12.6 million and \$16.3 million in loans to certain Mexican borrowers at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, primarily related to deterioration in the U.S. dollar exchange rate of the Mexican peso. Non-accrual real estate loans primarily consist of land development and 1-4 family residential construction credit relationships and loans secured by office buildings. Generally, loans are placed on non-accrual status if principal or interest payments become 90 days past due and/or management deems the collectibility of the principal and/or interest to be in question, as well as when required by regulatory requirements. Once interest accruals are discontinued, accrued but uncollected interest is charged to current year operations. Subsequent receipts on non-accrual loans are recorded as a reduction of principal, and interest income is recorded only after principal recovery is reasonably assured.

Foreclosed assets represent property acquired as the result of borrower defaults on loans. Foreclosed assets are recorded at estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs, at the time of foreclosure. Write-downs occurring at foreclosure are charged against the allowance for possible loan



## Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

losses. On an ongoing basis, properties are appraised as required by market indications and applicable regulations. Write-downs are provided for subsequent declines in value and are included in other non-interest expense along with other expenses related to maintaining the properties.

Potential problem loans consist of loans that are performing in accordance with contractual terms but for which management has concerns about the ability of an obligor to continue to comply with repayment terms because of the obligor's potential operating or financial difficulties. Management monitors these loans closely and reviews their performance on a regular basis. At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Corporation had \$30.3 million and \$17.4 million in loans of this type which are not included in either of the non-accrual or 90 days past due loan categories. At September 30, 2010, potential problem loans consisted of seven credit relationships. Of the total outstanding balance at September 30, 2010, 30.6% related to a customer in the credit collections industry and 26.5% related to three customers within the real estate lot development/single-family residential construction industry. Weakness in these companies' operating performance has caused the Corporation to heighten the attention given to these credits.

**Table of Contents**

The after-tax impact (assuming a 35% marginal tax rate) of lost interest from non-performing loans was approximately \$944 thousand and \$2.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$1.2 million and \$2.8 million for the same periods in 2009.

**Allowance for Possible Loan Losses**

Activity in the allowance for possible loan losses is presented in the following table.

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2010	June 30, 2010	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 125,442	\$ 125,369	\$ 122,501	\$ 125,309	\$ 110,244
Provision for possible loan losses	10,100	8,650	16,940	32,321	43,142
Charge-offs:					
Commercial and industrial	(6,742)	(6,332)	(14,548)	(22,254)	(21,068)
Real estate	(2,584)	(2,343)	(1,249)	(8,716)	(7,590)
Consumer and other	(3,152)	(2,746)	(3,190)	(8,735)	(8,419)
Total charge-offs	(12,478)	(11,421)	(18,987)	(39,705)	(37,077)
Recoveries:					
Commercial and industrial	952	728	980	2,220	2,025
Real estate	541	692	169	1,293	447
Consumer and other	1,600	1,424	1,519	4,719	4,341
Total recoveries	3,093	2,844	2,668	8,232	6,813
Net charge-offs	(9,385)	(8,577)	(16,319)	(31,473)	(30,264)
Balance at end of period	\$ 126,157	\$ 125,442	\$ 123,122	\$ 126,157	\$ 123,122
Ratio of allowance for possible loan losses to:					
Total loans	1.57%	1.56%	1.45%	1.57%	1.45%
Non-accrual loans	87.04	93.25	64.21	87.04	64.21
Ratio of annualized net charge-offs to average total loans	0.46	0.42	0.75	0.52	0.46

The allowance for possible loan losses is a reserve established through a provision for possible loan losses charged to expense, which represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The Corporation's allowance for possible loan loss methodology is based on guidance provided in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 102, "Selected Loan Loss Allowance Methodology and Documentation Issues" and includes allowance allocations calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 310, "Receivables" and allowance allocations calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 450, "Contingencies." Accordingly, the methodology is based on historical loss experience by type of credit and internal risk grade, specific homogeneous risk pools, and specific loss allocations, with adjustments for current events and conditions. The Corporation's process for determining the appropriate level of the allowance for possible loan losses is designed to account for credit deterioration as it occurs. The provision for possible loan losses reflects loan quality trends, including the levels of and trends related to non-accrual loans, past due loans, potential problem loans, criticized loans and net charge-offs or recoveries, among other factors. The provision for possible loan losses also reflects the totality of actions taken on all loans for a particular period. In other words, the amount of the provision reflects not only the necessary increases in the allowance for possible loan losses related to newly identified criticized loans, but it also reflects actions taken related to other loans including, among other things, any necessary increases or decreases in required allowances for specific loans or loan pools.

The provision for possible loan losses decreased by \$6.8 million, or 40.4% and \$10.8 million, or 25.1%, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009 and increased \$1.5 million, or 16.8% compared to the second quarter of 2010. The

## Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

higher provisions for possible loan losses during 2009 were partly related to the increasing trend of classified loans related to the prevailing weak economic conditions. The level of the provisions for possible loan losses during the each of the first three quarters of 2010 is reflective of the level of net charge-offs during each of those quarters. Net charge-offs decreased \$6.9 million and increased \$1.2 million during the three and nine months ended

## **Table of Contents**

September 30, 2010, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2009 and increased \$808 thousand during the third quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2010. Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans decreased 29 basis points and increased 6 basis points during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2009 and increased 4 basis points compared to the second quarter of 2010. The increase in net charge-offs during the first nine months of 2010 from the comparable period in 2009 is reflective of the increase in classified assets related to weaker economic conditions, while the decreased levels of charge-offs during the second and third quarters of 2010 compared to the third and fourth quarters of 2009 and first quarter of 2010 is reflective of a stabilization of the trend of increasing classified assets experienced through-out 2009 and early 2010 as well as the Corporation's ability to manage the overall credit quality of the loan portfolio. The ratio of the allowance for possible loan losses to total loans increased 7 basis points from 1.50% at December 31, 2009 to 1.57% at September 30, 2010, primarily due to a \$314.8 million decrease in loans. Management believes the level of the allowance for possible loan losses continues to remain adequate. Should any of the factors considered by management in evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for possible loan losses change, the Corporation's estimate of probable loan losses could also change, which could affect the level of future provisions for possible loan losses.

### **Capital and Liquidity**

*Capital.* Shareholders' equity totaled \$2.1 billion at September 30, 2010 and \$1.9 billion at both December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2009. In addition to net income of \$155.7 million and other comprehensive income, net of tax, of \$97.0 million, other changes in shareholders' equity during the first nine months of 2010 included \$80.6 million of dividends paid, \$28.5 million in proceeds from stock option exercises and the related tax benefits of \$464 thousand and \$10.5 million related to stock-based compensation. Additionally, the Corporation issued/sold \$10.3 million in newly issued common stock as well as common stock held in treasury to the Corporation's 401(k) plan. During the second quarter of 2009, the Corporation began to issue shares of the Corporation's common stock directly to the Corporation's 401(k) plan in connection with matching contributions. Additionally, the 401(k) plan began to purchase shares of the Corporation's common stock directly from the Corporation utilizing proceeds from dividends that plan participants elected to reinvest in the Corporation's common stock. Previously, the Corporation contributed the matching contributions in cash, which, along with the proceeds from dividends on the Corporation's common stock, were then utilized to purchase shares of the Corporation's common stock on the open market.

The accumulated other comprehensive income/loss component of shareholders' equity totaled a net, after-tax, unrealized gain of \$251.2 million at September 30, 2010, increasing \$97.0 million compared to a net, after-tax, unrealized gain of \$154.2 million at December 31, 2009. This fluctuation was primarily related to the after-tax effect of changes in the net unrealized gain/loss on securities available for sale and the accumulated net gain/loss on effective cash flow hedges. Under regulatory requirements, amounts reported as accumulated other comprehensive income/loss related to securities available for sale, effective cash flow hedges and defined benefit post-retirement benefit plans do not increase or reduce regulatory capital and are not included in the calculation of risk-based capital and leverage ratios. Regulatory agencies for banks and bank holding companies utilize capital guidelines designed to measure Tier 1 and total capital and take into consideration the risk inherent in both on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet items. See Note 8 - Regulatory Matters in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

The Corporation paid quarterly dividends of \$0.43, \$0.45 and \$0.45 per common share during the first, second and third quarters of 2010, respectively, and quarterly dividends of \$0.42, \$0.43 and \$0.43 per common share during the first, second and third quarters of 2009. This equates to a dividend payout ratio of 49.7% and 51.7% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 57.5% and 59.8% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

From time to time, the Corporation's board of directors has authorized stock repurchase plans. Stock repurchase plans allow the Corporation to proactively manage its capital position and return excess capital to shareholders. Shares purchased under such plans also provide the Corporation with shares of common stock necessary to satisfy obligations related to stock compensation awards. No shares were repurchased under stock repurchase plans during any of the reported periods. See Part II, Item 2 - Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds, included elsewhere in this report.

*Liquidity.* Liquidity measures the ability to meet current and future cash flow needs as they become due. The liquidity of a financial institution reflects its ability to meet loan requests, to accommodate possible outflows in deposits and to take advantage of interest rate market opportunities. The ability of a financial institution to meet its current financial obligations is a function of its balance sheet structure, its ability to liquidate assets, and its access to alternative sources of funds. The Corporation seeks to ensure its funding needs are met by maintaining a level of liquid funds through asset/liability management.

## **Table of Contents**

Asset liquidity is provided by liquid assets which are readily marketable or pledgeable or which will mature in the near future. Liquid assets include cash, interest-bearing deposits in banks, securities available for sale, maturities and cash flow from securities held to maturity, and federal funds sold and resell agreements.

Liability liquidity is provided by access to funding sources which include core deposits and correspondent banks in the Corporation's natural trade area that maintain accounts with and sell federal funds to Frost Bank, as well as federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements from upstream banks and deposits obtained through financial intermediaries.

Since Cullen/Frost is a holding company and does not conduct operations, its primary sources of liquidity are dividends upstreamed from Frost Bank and borrowings from outside sources. Banking regulations may limit the amount of dividends that may be paid by the Corporation's bank subsidiary. See Note 8 - Regulatory Matters in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report regarding such dividends. At September 30, 2010, Cullen/Frost had liquid assets, including unrestricted cash and securities purchased under resell agreements, totaling \$139.6 million, which included \$11.2 million in cash collateral on deposit with other financial institution counterparties to interest rate swap transactions.

The liquidity position of the Corporation is continuously monitored and adjustments are made to the balance between sources and uses of funds as deemed appropriate. Management is not aware of any events that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's liquidity, capital resources or operations. In addition, management is not aware of any regulatory recommendations regarding liquidity, which if implemented, would have a material adverse effect on the Corporation.

The Corporation's operating objectives include expansion, diversification within its markets, growth of its fee-based income, and growth internally and through acquisitions of financial institutions, branches and financial services businesses. The Corporation generally seeks merger or acquisition partners that are culturally similar and have experienced management and possess either significant market presence or have potential for improved profitability through financial management, economies of scale and expanded services. The Corporation regularly evaluates merger and acquisition opportunities and conducts due diligence activities related to possible transactions with other financial institutions and financial services companies. As a result, merger or acquisition discussions and, in some cases, negotiations may take place and future mergers or acquisitions involving cash, debt or equity securities may occur. Acquisitions typically involve the payment of a premium over book and market values, and, therefore, some dilution of the Corporation's tangible book value and net income per common share may occur in connection with any future transaction.

## **Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**

See Note 17 - Accounting Standards Updates in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for details of recently issued accounting pronouncements and their expected impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

**Table of Contents****Consolidated Average Balance Sheets and Interest Income Analysis - Year-to-Date**

(dollars in thousands - taxable-equivalent basis)

	September 30, 2010			September 30, 2009		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Cost
<b>Assets:</b>						
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 1,821,356	\$ 3,282	0.24%	\$ 632,797	\$ 1,215	0.26%
Federal funds sold and resell agreements	22,447	59	0.35	75,953	198	0.35
Securities:						
Taxable	3,220,428	91,525	3.94	2,800,222	95,420	4.67
Tax-exempt	1,904,327	95,775	7.05	1,336,132	69,776	7.21
Total securities	5,124,755	187,300	5.08	4,136,354	165,196	5.48
Loans, net of unearned discounts	8,156,107	311,724	5.11	8,724,049	329,049	5.04
<b>Total Earning Assets and Average Rate Earned</b>	<b>15,124,665</b>	<b>502,365</b>	<b>4.50</b>	<b>13,569,153</b>	<b>495,658</b>	<b>4.92</b>
Cash and due from banks	526,228			599,884		
Allowance for possible loan losses	(126,894)			(117,932)		
Premises and equipment, net	321,127			290,399		
Accrued interest and other assets	1,115,939			1,146,511		
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 16,961,065</b>			<b>\$ 15,488,015</b>		
<b>Liabilities:</b>						
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits:						
Commercial and individual	\$ 4,443,950			\$ 3,685,809		
Correspondent banks	303,681			370,048		
Public funds	159,218			96,266		
Total non-interest-bearing demand deposits	4,906,849			4,152,123		
Interest-bearing deposits:						
Private accounts						
Savings and interest checking	2,241,655	2,408	0.14	1,975,124	2,146	0.15
Money market deposit accounts	5,017,313	13,634	0.36	4,002,643	18,649	0.62
Time accounts	1,271,916	6,517	0.69	1,664,964	22,956	1.84
Public funds	431,202	713	0.22	355,829	1,232	0.46
Total interest-bearing deposits	8,962,086	23,272	0.35	7,998,560	44,983	0.75
Total deposits	13,868,935			12,150,683		
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	464,682	290	0.08	657,397	928	0.19
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	132,187	5,297	5.34	136,084	5,439	5.33
Subordinated notes payable and other notes	250,000	12,239	6.53	250,000	12,239	6.53
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	3,460	169	6.53	227,488	5,097	3.00
<b>Total Interest-Bearing Funds and Average Rate Paid</b>	<b>9,812,415</b>	<b>41,267</b>	<b>0.56</b>	<b>9,269,529</b>	<b>68,686</b>	<b>0.99</b>
Accrued interest and other liabilities	243,168			261,505		
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>14,962,432</b>			<b>13,683,157</b>		

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

<b>Shareholders Equity</b>	1,998,633	1,804,858
<b>Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity</b>	<b>\$ 16,961,065</b>	<b>\$ 15,488,015</b>
Net interest income	\$ 461,098	\$ 426,972
Net interest spread	3.94%	3.93%
Net interest income to total average earning assets	4.13%	4.24%

For these computations: (i) average balances are presented on a daily average basis, (ii) information is shown on a taxable-equivalent basis assuming a 35% tax rate, (iii) average loans include loans on non-accrual status, and (iv) average securities include unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale while yields are based on average amortized cost.

**Table of Contents****Consolidated Average Balance Sheets and Interest Income Analysis-By-Quarter**

(dollars in thousands - taxable-equivalent basis)

	September 30, 2010			June 30, 2010		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Cost	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Cost
<b>Assets:</b>						
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 2,127,686	\$ 1,438	0.27%	\$ 1,785,527	\$ 989	0.22%
Federal funds sold and resell agreements	33,805	28	0.33	23,046	21	0.36
Securities:						
Taxable	3,432,481	30,968	3.77	3,202,840	31,482	4.08
Tax-exempt	1,937,932	32,340	7.08	1,917,660	32,201	7.04
Total securities	5,370,413	63,308	4.95	5,120,500	63,683	5.18
Loans, net of unearned discounts	8,058,097	104,200	5.13	8,141,908	103,779	5.11
<b>Total Earning Assets and Average Rate Earned</b>	<b>15,590,001</b>	<b>168,974</b>	<b>4.39</b>	<b>15,070,981</b>	<b>168,472</b>	<b>4.54</b>
Cash and due from banks	562,861			500,930		
Allowance for possible loan losses	(127,308)			(126,526)		
Premises and equipment, net	317,833			321,652		
Accrued interest and other assets	1,127,085			1,104,977		
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 17,470,472</b>			<b>\$ 16,872,014</b>		
<b>Liabilities:</b>						
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits:						
Commercial and individual	\$ 4,660,006			\$ 4,445,554		
Correspondent banks	293,283			304,782		
Public funds	172,165			155,816		
Total non-interest-bearing demand deposits	5,125,454			4,906,152		
Interest-bearing deposits:						
Private accounts						
Savings and interest checking	2,270,935	787	0.14	2,253,942	811	0.14
Money market deposit accounts	5,269,509	4,426	0.33	4,956,757	4,303	0.35
Time accounts	1,229,182	1,904	0.61	1,278,948	2,030	0.64
Public funds	396,143	217	0.22	421,161	233	0.22
Total interest-bearing deposits	9,165,769	7,334	0.32	8,910,808	7,377	0.33
Total deposits	14,291,223			13,816,960		
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	449,284	116	0.10	450,234	116	0.10
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	124,519	1,741	5.59	136,084	1,783	5.24
Subordinated notes payable and other notes	250,000	4,080	6.53	250,000	4,079	6.53
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	51	1	7.84	3,841	63	6.59
<b>Total Interest-Bearing Funds and Average Rate Paid</b>	<b>9,989,623</b>	<b>13,272</b>	<b>0.53</b>	<b>9,750,967</b>	<b>13,418</b>	<b>0.55</b>
Accrued interest and other liabilities	275,759			226,379		



Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

<b>Total Liabilities</b>	15,390,836	14,883,498
<b>Shareholders Equity</b>	2,079,636	1,988,516
<b>Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity</b>	<b>\$ 17,470,472</b>	<b>\$ 16,872,014</b>
Net interest income	\$ 155,702	\$ 155,054
Net interest spread	3.86%	3.99%
Net interest income to total average earning assets	4.04%	4.18%

For these computations: (i) average balances are presented on a daily average basis, (ii) information is shown on a taxable-equivalent basis assuming a 35% tax rate, (iii) average loans include loans on non-accrual status, and (iv) average securities include unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale while yields are based on average amortized cost.

**Table of Contents****Consolidated Average Balance Sheets and Interest Income Analysis-By-Quarter**

(dollars in thousands - taxable-equivalent basis)

	March 31, 2010			December 31, 2009		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Cost
<b>Assets:</b>						
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 1,506,029	\$ 855	0.23%	\$ 1,411,916	\$ 946	0.27%
Federal funds sold and resell agreements	10,232	10	0.40	9,629	9	0.37
Securities:						
Taxable	3,021,446	29,075	3.98	2,854,094	29,664	4.33
Tax-exempt	1,856,494	31,234	7.04	1,784,485	29,769	7.02
Total securities	4,877,940	60,309	5.13	4,638,579	59,433	5.36
Loans, net of unearned discounts	8,270,653	103,746	5.09	8,440,439	108,026	5.08
<b>Total Earning Assets and Average Rate Earned</b>	<b>14,664,854</b>	<b>164,920</b>	<b>4.59</b>	<b>14,500,563</b>	<b>168,414</b>	<b>4.69</b>
Cash and due from banks	552,779			544,105		
Allowance for possible loan losses	(126,844)			(126,773)		
Premises and equipment, net	323,965			320,388		
Accrued interest and other assets	1,115,007			1,096,602		
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 16,529,761</b>			<b>\$ 16,334,885</b>		
<b>Liabilities:</b>						
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits:						
Commercial and individual	\$ 4,221,470			\$ 4,111,853		
Correspondent banks	313,198			331,128		
Public funds	149,424			131,120		
Total non-interest-bearing demand deposits	4,684,092			4,574,101		
Interest-bearing deposits:						
Private accounts						
Savings and interest checking	2,199,302	810	0.15	2,172,474	869	0.16
Money market deposit accounts	4,820,741	4,905	0.41	4,596,092	6,060	0.52
Time accounts	1,308,488	2,583	0.80	1,445,625	3,803	1.04
Public funds	477,192	263	0.22	429,399	300	0.28
Total interest-bearing deposits	8,805,723	8,561	0.39	8,643,590	11,032	0.51
Total deposits	13,489,815			13,217,691		
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	495,031	58	0.05	473,103	124	0.10
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	136,084	1,773	5.21	136,084	1,792	5.27
Subordinated notes payable and other notes	250,000	4,080	6.53	250,000	4,079	6.53
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	6,560	105	6.49	79,064	644	3.23
<b>Total Interest-Bearing Funds and Average Rate Paid</b>	<b>9,693,398</b>	<b>14,577</b>	<b>0.61</b>	<b>9,581,841</b>	<b>17,671</b>	<b>0.73</b>

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

Accrued interest and other liabilities	226,206	269,845
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>14,603,696</b>	<b>14,425,787</b>
<b>Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>1,926,065</b>	<b>1,909,098</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>\$ 16,529,761</b>	<b>\$ 16,334,885</b>
Net interest income	\$ 150,343	\$ 150,743
Net interest spread	3.98%	3.96%
Net interest income to total average earning assets	4.19%	4.20%

For these computations: (i) average balances are presented on a daily average basis, (ii) information is shown on a taxable-equivalent basis assuming a 35% tax rate, (iii) average loans include loans on non-accrual status, and (iv) average securities include unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale while yields are based on average amortized cost.

**Table of Contents****Consolidated Average Balance Sheets and Interest Income Analysis-By-Quarter**

(dollars in thousands - taxable-equivalent basis)

	September 30, 2009		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Cost
<b>Assets:</b>			
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 1,107,804	\$ 692	0.25%
Federal funds sold and resell agreements	19,213	17	0.35
Securities:			
Taxable	2,854,826	30,214	4.35
Tax-exempt	1,556,791	26,758	7.12
Total securities	4,411,617	56,972	5.33
Loans, net of unearned discounts	8,581,985	108,735	5.03
<b>Total Earning Assets and Average Rate Earned</b>	<b>14,120,619</b>	<b>166,416</b>	<b>4.73</b>
Cash and due from banks	636,244		
Allowance for possible loan losses	(124,085)		
Premises and equipment, net	305,855		
Accrued interest and other assets	1,107,962		
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 16,046,595</b>		
<b>Liabilities:</b>			
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits:			
Commercial and individual	\$ 3,886,259		
Correspondent banks	356,694		
Public funds	100,078		
Total non-interest-bearing demand deposits	4,343,031		
Interest-bearing deposits:			
Private accounts			
Savings and interest checking	2,067,271	834	0.16
Money market deposit accounts	4,379,798	6,147	0.56
Time accounts	1,652,043	6,292	1.51
Public funds	353,936	320	0.36
Total interest-bearing deposits	8,453,048	13,593	0.64
Total deposits	12,796,079		
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	561,344	243	0.17
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	136,084	1,814	5.33
Subordinated notes payable and other notes	250,000	4,080	6.53
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	236,568	1,771	2.97
<b>Total Interest-Bearing Funds and Average Rate Paid</b>	<b>9,637,044</b>	<b>21,501</b>	<b>0.89</b>

Edgar Filing: CULLEN FROST BANKERS INC - Form 10-Q

Accrued interest and other liabilities	237,578
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>14,217,653</b>
<b>Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>1,828,942</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>\$ 16,046,595</b>
Net interest income	\$ 144,915
Net interest spread	3.84%
Net interest income to total average earning assets	4.12%

For these computations: (i) average balances are presented on a daily average basis, (ii) information is shown on a taxable-equivalent basis assuming a 35% tax rate, (iii) average loans include loans on non-accrual status, and (iv) average securities include unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale while yields are based on average amortized cost.

---

**Table of Contents****Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

*The disclosures set forth in this item are qualified by the section captioned "Forward-Looking Statements and Factors that Could Affect Future Results" included in Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this report and other cautionary statements set forth elsewhere in this report.*

Refer to the discussion of market risks included in Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk in the 2009 Form 10-K. There has been no significant change in the types of market risks faced by the Corporation since December 31, 2009.

The Corporation utilizes an earnings simulation model as the primary quantitative tool in measuring the amount of interest rate risk associated with changing market rates. The model quantifies the effects of various interest rate scenarios on projected net interest income and net income over the next 12 months. The model measures the impact on net interest income relative to a base case scenario of hypothetical fluctuations in interest rates over the next 12 months. These simulations incorporate assumptions regarding balance sheet growth and mix, pricing and the repricing and maturity characteristics of the existing and projected balance sheet. The impact of interest rate derivatives, such as interest rate swaps, caps and floors, is also included in the model. Other interest rate-related risks such as prepayment, basis and option risk are also considered.

As of September 30, 2010, the model simulations projected that 100 and 200 basis point increases in interest rates would result in positive variances in net interest income of 1.7% and 3.8%, respectively, relative to the base case over the next 12 months, while a decrease in interest rates of 25 basis points would result in a negative variance in net interest income of 0.5% relative to the base case over the next 12 months. As of September 30, 2009, the model simulations projected that 100 and 200 basis point increases in interest rates would result in positive variance in net interest income of 1.1% and 2.2%, respectively, relative to the base case over the next 12 months, while a decrease in interest rates of 25 basis points would result in a negative variance in net interest income of 0.6% relative to the base case over the next 12 months. The likelihood of a decrease in interest rates beyond 25 basis points as of September 30, 2010 and 2009 was considered to be remote given prevailing interest rate levels.

The Corporation experienced significant growth in deposits in 2010 compared to 2009. The deposit growth funded a significant increase in fixed-rate securities and short-term interest-bearing deposits, which are generally immediately impacted by changes in interest rates. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Corporation also terminated portions of certain interest rate swap contracts that were designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges that effectively fixed the interest rates on \$400 million of variable-rate loans. See Note 9 - Derivative Financial Instruments in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information. The Corporation's sensitivity to increases in interest rates as of September 30, 2010 increased compared to September 30, 2009 primarily as a result of the increase in short-term interest-bearing deposits and the termination of portions of certain interest rate swap contracts on \$400 million of variable-rate loans. The effect of these items on the Corporation's interest rate sensitivity was partly offset by the decreased interest rate sensitivity resulting from the increase in fixed-rate securities.

On July 21, 2010, sweeping financial regulatory reform legislation entitled the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) was signed into law (see the section captioned "Recent Legislation Impacting the Financial Services Industry" included in Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this report). The Dodd-Frank Act repealed the federal prohibitions on the payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby permitting depository institutions to pay interest on business transaction and other accounts beginning July 21, 2011. Although the ultimate impact of this legislation on the Corporation has not yet been determined, the Corporation expects interest costs associated with demand deposits to increase. Furthermore, the Corporation's balance sheet is expected to become less asset sensitive.

As of September 30, 2010, the effect of a 200 basis point increase in interest rates on the Corporation's derivative holdings would result in a 1.6% negative variance in net interest income. The effect of a 25 basis point decrease in interest rates on the Corporation's derivative holdings would result in a 0.3% positive variance in net interest income.

The effects of hypothetical fluctuations in interest rates on the Corporation's securities classified as "trading" under ASC Topic 320, "Investments - Debt and Equity Securities," are not significant, and, as such, separate quantitative disclosure is not presented.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, an evaluation was carried out by the Corporation's management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. No change in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) occurred during the last fiscal quarter that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents****Part II. Other Information****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

The Corporation and its subsidiaries are subject to various claims and legal actions that have arisen in the normal course of conducting business. Management does not expect the ultimate disposition of these matters to have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

There has been no material change in the risk factors previously disclosed under Item 1A. of the Corporation's 2009 Form 10-K.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

The following table provides information with respect to purchases made by or on behalf of the Corporation or any affiliated purchaser (as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), of the Corporation's common stock during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

<b>Period</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Purchased</b>	<b>Average Price Paid Per Share</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan</b>	<b>Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan at the End of the Period</b>
July 1, 2010 to July 31, 2010		\$		
August 1, 2010 to August 31, 2010				
September 1, 2010 to September 30, 2010				

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None.

**Item 5. Other Information**

None.



**Item 6. Exhibits**

(a) Exhibits

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Corporation's Chief Financial Officer
32.1+	Section 1350 Certification of the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer
32.2+	Section 1350 Certification of the Corporation's Chief Financial Officer
101++	Interactive Data File

+ This exhibit shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

++ As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

**Table of Contents**

**Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.  
(Registrant)

Date: October 27, 2010

By: /s/ PHILLIP D. GREEN  
**Phillip D. Green**  
**Group Executive Vice President**  
**and Chief Financial Officer**  
**(Duly Authorized Officer, Principal Financial**  
**Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)**