

CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD
Form 10-Q
November 12, 2013

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549**

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 0-25248

CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

CAYMAN ISLANDS

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

98-0619652

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Regatta Office Park

Windward Three, 4th Floor, West Bay Road

P.O. Box 1114

Grand Cayman KY1-1102

Cayman Islands

(Address of principal executive offices)

N/A

(Zip Code)

(345) 945-4277

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of November 5, 2013, 14,646,948 shares of the registrant's common stock, with US\$0.60 par value, were outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Description	Page
PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	4
Item 1	Financial Statements	4
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2012	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012	5
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012	6
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012	7
Item 2	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	8
	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	18
Item 3	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	29
Item 4	Controls and Procedures	29
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	29
Item 1A	Risk Factors	29
Item 2	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	31
Item 6	Exhibits	32
SIGNATURES		33

NOTE REGARDING CURRENCY AND EXCHANGE RATES

Unless otherwise indicated, all references to “\$” or “US\$” are to United States dollars.

The exchange rate for conversion of Cayman Island dollars (CI\$) into US\$, as determined by the Cayman Islands Monetary Authority, has been fixed since April 1974 at US\$1.20 per CI\$1.00.

The exchange rate for conversion of Belize dollars (BZE\$) into US\$, as determined by the Central Bank of Belize, has been fixed since 1976 at US \$0.50 per BZE\$1.00.

The exchange rate for conversion of Bahamas dollars (B\$) into US\$, as determined by the Central Bank of The Bahamas, has been fixed since 1973 at US\$1.00 per B\$1.00.

The official currency of the British Virgin Islands is the United States dollar.

The exchange rate for conversion of Bermuda dollars (BMD\$) into US\$ as determined by the Bermuda Monetary Authority, has been fixed since 1970 at US\$1.00 per BMD\$1.00.

Consolidated Water Co. Ltd.’s Netherlands subsidiary conducts business in US\$ and euros, its Indonesian subsidiary conducts business in US\$ dollars and Indonesian rupiahs, and its Mexico subsidiary conducts business in US\$ and Mexican pesos. The exchange rates for conversion of euros, rupiahs and pesos into US\$ vary based upon market conditions.

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2012
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 30,823,185	\$ 33,892,655
Certificate of deposit	5,000,000	-
Marketable securities	8,586,090	8,570,338
Accounts receivable, net	15,873,484	12,516,466
Inventory	1,325,247	1,757,601
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,666,949	2,709,185
Current portion of loans receivable	1,737,285	1,812,532
Total current assets	66,012,240	61,258,777
Property, plant and equipment, net		
Construction in progress	59,619,236	58,993,406
Inventory, non-current	854,064	2,612,800
Loans receivable	4,048,745	3,970,241
Investment in OC-BVI	7,751,561	9,028,279
Intangible assets, net	6,930,384	6,925,346
Goodwill	1,185,827	1,455,015
Investment in land	3,499,037	3,499,037
Other assets	12,025,566	-
Total assets	\$ 164,754,678	\$ 150,449,086
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	\$ 6,378,188	\$ 5,883,666
Dividends payable	1,163,680	1,158,967
Current portion of long term debt	1,740,527	1,647,493
Land purchase obligation	10,050,000	-
Total current liabilities	19,332,395	8,690,126
Long term debt	3,887,895	5,205,167
Other liabilities	319,971	435,413
Total liabilities	23,540,261	14,330,706
Equity		
Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders' equity		
Redeemable preferred stock, \$0.60 par value. Authorized 200,000 shares; issued and outstanding 38,468 and 30,265 shares, respectively	23,081	18,159
Class A common stock, \$0.60 par value. Authorized 24,655,000 shares; issued and outstanding 14,646,132 and 14,593,011 shares, respectively	8,787,679	8,755,807

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

Class B common stock, \$0.60 par value. Authorized 145,000 shares; none issued or outstanding	-	-
Additional paid-in capital	83,132,069	82,467,421
Retained earnings	47,169,242	42,965,179
Cumulative translation adjustment	(373,032)	(15,400)
Total Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders' equity	138,739,039	134,191,166
Non-controlling interests	2,475,378	1,927,214
Total equity	141,214,417	136,118,380
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 164,754,678	\$ 150,449,086

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended 2013	September 30, 2012	Nine Months Ended 2013	September 30, 2012
Retail water revenues	\$ 5,023,591	\$ 5,703,233	\$ 17,598,200	\$ 18,138,615
Bulk water revenues	10,239,552	10,031,176	30,258,814	30,313,063
Services revenues	175,438	105,727	706,144	347,964
Total revenues	15,438,581	15,840,136	48,563,158	48,799,642
Cost of retail revenues	2,661,463	2,798,560	8,366,391	8,720,675
Cost of bulk revenues	7,280,151	7,777,247	21,514,909	23,301,186
Cost of services revenues	270,082	29,335	836,945	183,238
Total cost of revenues	10,211,696	10,605,142	30,718,245	32,205,099
Gross profit	5,226,885	5,234,994	17,844,913	16,594,543
General and administrative expenses	4,308,851	4,089,575	11,472,549	11,052,833
Income from operations	918,034	1,145,419	6,372,364	5,541,710
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	232,820	198,604	582,704	649,494
Interest expense	(117,242)	(146,880)	(374,512)	(737,330)
Profit sharing income from OC-BVI	20,250	-	335,361	-
Equity in earnings of OC-BVI	55,359	99,932	919,552	201,693
Other	(58,722)	69,999	93,955	225,166
Other income (expense), net	132,465	221,655	1,557,060	339,023
Net income	1,050,499	1,367,074	7,929,424	5,880,733
Income attributable to non-controlling interests	141,809	62,231	424,882	275,732
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders	\$ 908,690	\$ 1,304,843	\$ 7,504,542	\$ 5,605,001
Basic earnings per common share attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. common stockholders	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.38
Diluted earnings per common share attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. common stockholders	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.38
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.075	\$ 0.075	\$ 0.225	\$ 0.225
Weighted average number of common shares used in the determination of:				
Basic earnings per share	14,644,740	14,580,946	14,626,755	14,576,790

Diluted earnings per share	14,734,916	14,617,195	14,682,186	14,604,398
----------------------------	------------	------------	------------	------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
Net Income	\$ 1,050,499	\$ 1,367,074	\$ 7,929,424	\$ 5,880,733
Other comprehensive income (loss)				
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(303,195)	(6,259)	(357,632)	(6,259)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(303,195)	(6,259)	(357,632)	(6,259)
Comprehensive income (loss)	747,304	1,360,815	7,571,792	5,874,474
Comprehensive income attributable to the non-controlling interest	126,649	61,918	407,000	275,419
Comprehensive income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders	\$ 620,655	\$ 1,298,897	\$ 7,164,792	\$ 5,599,055

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 8,566,551	\$ 8,449,864
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchase of certificate of deposit	(5,000,000)	-
Additions to property, plant and equipment and construction in progress	(2,958,494)	(3,057,839)
Proceeds from sale of equipment	13,740	-
Distribution of earnings from OC-BVI	1,249,875	507,525
Collections on loans receivable	1,351,965	1,283,518
Payment for investment in land	(1,975,566)	-
Payment for NSC option agreement	-	(300,000)
Release of previously restricted cash balances	-	7,500,000
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(7,318,480)	5,933,204
Cash flows from financing activities		
Dividends paid	(3,295,765)	(3,283,164)
Issuance (repurchase) of redeemable preferred stock, net	9,313	1,154
Proceeds received from exercise of stock options	302,807	-
Principal repayments of long term debt	(1,283,427)	(9,709,821)
Capital contribution from non-controlling interest	142,105	135,000
Repayment of non-revolving credit facility	-	(7,500,000)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(4,124,967)	(20,356,831)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(192,574)	(6,258)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(3,069,470)	(5,980,021)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	33,892,655	37,624,179
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 30,823,185	\$ 31,644,158
Interest paid in cash	\$ 294,603	\$ 559,361
Non-cash investing and financing activities		
Issuance of 10,180 and 10,033, respectively, of redeemable preferred stock for services rendered	\$ 110,249	\$ 77,856
Issuance of 11,131 and 10,800, respectively, shares of common stock for services rendered	\$ 82,369	\$ 92,664
Conversion (on a one-to-one basis) of 3,660 and 2,629, respectively, shares of redeemable preferred stock to common stock	\$ 2,196	\$ 1,577
Dividends declared but not paid	\$ 1,101,345	\$ 1,095,929
Obligation incurred for investment in land	\$ 10,050,000	\$ -
Transfers from inventory to property, plant and equipment	\$ 181,875	\$ 139,507

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

1. Principal activity

Consolidated Water Co. Ltd., and its subsidiaries (collectively, the “Company”) use reverse osmosis technology to produce potable water from seawater. The Company processes and supplies water to customers in the Cayman Islands, Belize, The Bahamas and Indonesia. The Company sells water to a variety of customers, including public utilities, commercial and tourist properties, residential properties and government utilities. The base prices of water supplied by the Company and adjustments thereto are determined by the terms of the Company’s retail license and its bulk water supply contracts, which provide for adjustments based upon the movement in the government price indices specified in the license and contracts, as well as monthly adjustments for changes in the cost of energy. The Company also provides engineering, design and construction services for water plants, and manages and operates water plants owned by others.

2. Accounting policies

Basis of presentation:

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company’s (i) wholly-owned subsidiaries, Aquilex, Inc., Cayman Water Company Limited (“Cayman Water”), Consolidated Water (Belize) Limited (“CW-Belize”), Ocean Conversion (Cayman) Limited (“OC-Cayman”), DesalCo Limited (“DesalCo”), Consolidated Water Cooperatief, U.A. (“CW-Coop”); and (ii) majority-owned subsidiaries Consolidated Water (Bahamas) Ltd. (“CW-Bahamas”), N.S.C. Agua, S.A. de C.V. (“NSC”), Consolidated Water (Asia) Pte. Ltd. (“CW-Asia”) and PT Consolidated Water Bali (“CW-Bali”). The Company’s investment in its affiliate, Ocean Conversion (BVI) Ltd. (“OC-BVI”), is accounted for using the equity method of accounting. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying interim condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited. These condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (which are of a normal recurring nature) that, in the opinion of management, are necessary to fairly present the Company’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows as of and for the periods presented. The results of operations for these interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for future periods, including the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013.

These condensed consolidated financial statements and notes are presented in accordance with the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) relating to interim financial statements and in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“US GAAP”). Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with US GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to SEC rules and regulations, although the Company believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information not misleading. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Foreign currency:

The Company’s reporting currency is the United States dollar (“US\$”). The functional currency of the Company and its foreign subsidiaries (other than its majority-owned subsidiary, NSC) is the currency for each respective country. The functional currency for NSC is the US\$. The exchange rates between the Cayman Islands dollar, the Belize dollar, the Bahamian dollar, and the Bermuda dollar are fixed to the US\$. CW-Coop conducts business in US\$ and euros, CW-Bali conducts business in US\$ and Indonesian rupiahs, and NSC conducts business in US\$ and Mexican pesos. The exchange rates for conversion of euros, rupiahs and pesos into US\$ vary based upon market conditions. Net

foreign currency gains (losses) arising from transactions conducted in foreign currencies were (\$117,309) and \$24,590 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and were (\$84,614) and \$44,521 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and are included within Other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

Comprehensive income:

The Company accounts for comprehensive income (loss) in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 220, *Comprehensive Income*, which establishes standards for reporting and display of comprehensive income (loss) and its components in a full set of general-purpose financial statements. All items recognized under this statement of accounting standards as components of comprehensive income (loss) are required to be disclosed in the financial statements. Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in equity during a period from transactions and other events from non-owner sources. Comprehensive income (loss) is the total of net income and other comprehensive income (loss) which, for the Company, is comprised entirely of foreign currency translation adjustments related to CW-Bali.

Comparative amounts:

Certain amounts reported in the financial statements issued in prior periods have been reclassified herein to conform to the current period's presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on consolidated net income.

3. Fair value measurements

As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and other current liabilities, dividends payable and the land purchase obligation approximate their fair values due to the nature of these items and their short term maturities. Management considers that the carrying amounts for loans receivable and long term debt as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, approximate their fair values as the stated interest rates approximate market rates for similar instruments.

Under US GAAP, fair value is defined as the exit price, or the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. The US GAAP guidance for fair value also establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability and are developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions about the factors market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability. The guidance establishes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements. The Company reviews the fair value hierarchy classification on a quarterly basis. Changes in the observability of valuation inputs may result in a reclassification of levels for certain securities within the fair value hierarchy.

The following table presents the Company's fair value hierarchy for assets and liabilities measured at fair value as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	September 30, 2013			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Assets:				
Recurring				
Certificate of deposit	\$ -	\$ 5,000,000	\$ -	\$ 5,000,000
Marketable securities	8,586,090	-	-	8,586,090
Total Recurring	\$ 8,586,090	\$ 5,000,000	\$ -	\$ 13,586,090
Nonrecurring				
Investment in OC-BVI	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,930,384	\$ 6,930,384

December 31, 2012

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Recurring				
Marketable securities	\$ 8,570,338	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,570,338
Total Recurring	\$ 8,570,338	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,570,338
Nonrecurring				
Investment in OC-BVI	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,925,346	\$ 6,925,346

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for Level 3 investments for the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

Balance as of December 31, 2012	\$6,925,346
Profit sharing and equity from earnings of OC-BVI	1,254,913
Distribution of earnings from OC-BVI	(1,249,875)
Balance as of September 30, 2013	\$6,930,384

4. Segment information

The Company has three reportable segments: retail, bulk and services. The retail segment operates the water utility for the Seven Mile Beach and West Bay areas of Grand Cayman Island pursuant to an exclusive license granted by the Cayman Islands government. The bulk segment supplies potable water to government utilities in Grand Cayman, the Bahamas and Belize under long-term contracts. The services segment designs, constructs and sells desalination plants to third parties and provides desalination plant management and operating services to subsidiary and affiliated companies. Consistent with prior periods, the Company records all non-direct general and administrative expenses in its retail segment and does not allocate any of these non-direct expenses to its other two segments.

The Company evaluates each segment's performance based upon its income from operations. All intercompany transactions are eliminated for segment presentation purposes.

The Company's segments are strategic business units that are managed separately because, while all segments derive their revenues from desalination-related activities, each segment sells different products and/or services, serves customers with distinctly different needs and generates different gross profit margins.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013			Total
	Retail	Bulk	Services	
Revenues	\$ 5,023,591	\$ 10,239,552	\$ 175,438	\$ 15,438,581
Cost of revenues	2,661,463	7,280,151	270,082	10,211,696
Gross profit	2,362,128	2,959,401	(94,644)	5,226,885
General and administrative expenses	2,656,217	372,812	1,279,822	4,308,851
Income (loss) from operations	(294,089)	2,586,589	(1,374,466)	918,034
Other income, net				132,465
Consolidated net income				1,050,499
Income attributable to non-controlling interests				141,809
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders				\$ 908,690

Depreciation and amortization expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2013 for the retail, bulk and services segments were \$536,229, \$805,641 and \$73,181, respectively.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013			Total
	Retail	Bulk	Services	
Revenues	\$ 17,598,200	\$ 30,258,814	\$ 706,144	\$ 48,563,158
Cost of revenues	8,366,391	21,514,909	836,945	30,718,245
Gross profit	9,231,809	8,743,905	(130,801)	17,844,913
	7,966,975	1,136,021	2,369,553	11,472,549

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

General and administrative expenses				
Income (loss) from operations	1,264,834	7,607,884	(2,500,354)	6,372,364
Other income, net				1,557,060
Consolidated net income				7,929,424
Income attributable to non-controlling interests				424,882
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders				\$ 7,504,542

Depreciation and amortization expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 for the retail, bulk and services segments were \$1,555,128, \$2,337,766 and \$219,544, respectively.

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

	As of September 30, 2013			
	Retail	Bulk	Services	Total
Property plant and equipment, net	\$ 26,351,507	\$ 32,706,916	\$ 560,813	\$ 59,619,236
Construction in progress	\$ 675,413	\$ 26,635	\$ 152,016	\$ 854,064
Goodwill	\$ 1,170,511	\$ 2,328,526	\$ -	\$ 3,499,037
Investment in land	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,025,566	\$ 12,025,566
Total assets	\$ 59,148,079	\$ 90,394,680	\$ 15,211,919	\$ 164,754,678

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012			
	Retail	Bulk	Services	Total
Revenues	\$ 5,703,233	\$ 10,031,176	\$ 105,727	\$ 15,840,136
Cost of revenues	2,798,560	7,777,247	29,335	10,605,142
Gross profit	2,904,673	2,253,929	76,392	5,234,994
General and administrative expenses	3,241,323	316,240	532,012	4,089,575
Income (loss) from operations	(336,650)	1,937,689	(455,620)	1,145,419
Other income, net				221,655
Consolidated net income				1,367,074
Income attributable to non-controlling interests				62,231
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders				\$ 1,304,843

Depreciation and amortization expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2012 for the retail, bulk and services segments were \$524,401, \$1,291,550 and \$73,280, respectively.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012			
	Retail	Bulk	Services	Total
Revenues	\$ 18,138,615	\$ 30,313,063	\$ 347,964	\$ 48,799,642
Cost of revenues	8,720,675	23,301,186	183,238	32,205,099
Gross profit	9,417,940	7,011,877	164,726	16,594,543
General and administrative expenses	8,730,075	953,004	1,369,754	11,052,833
Income (loss) from operations	687,865	6,058,873	(1,205,028)	5,541,710
Other income, net				339,023
Consolidated net income				5,880,733
Income attributable to non-controlling interests				275,732
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders				\$ 5,605,001

Depreciation and amortization expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 for the retail, bulk and services segments were \$1,576,626, \$3,845,642 and \$207,338, respectively.

	As of December 31, 2012			
	Retail	Bulk	Services	Total
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 24,021,301	\$ 34,308,805	\$ 663,300	\$ 58,993,406

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

Construction in progress	\$ 2,342,248	\$ 270,552	\$ -	\$ 2,612,800
Goodwill	\$ 1,170,511	\$ 2,328,526	\$ -	\$ 3,499,037
Total assets	\$ 63,649,696	\$ 83,177,550	\$ 3,621,840	\$ 150,449,086

5. Earnings per share

Earnings per share (“EPS”) are computed on a basic and diluted basis. Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income (less preferred stock dividends) available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted EPS assumes the issuance of common shares for all potential common shares outstanding during the reporting period and, if dilutive, the effect of stock options as computed under the treasury stock method.

The following summarizes information related to the computation of basic and diluted EPS for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	2012	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	2012
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. Common stockholders	\$ 908,690	\$ 1,304,843	\$ 7,504,542	\$ 5,605,001
Less: preferred stock dividends	(2,885)	(2,270)	(8,655)	(6,810)
Net income available to common shares in the determination of basic earnings per common share	\$ 905,805	\$ 1,302,573	\$ 7,495,887	\$ 5,598,191
Weighted average number of common shares in the determination of basic earnings per common share attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. common stockholders	14,644,740	14,580,946	14,626,755	14,576,790
Plus: Weighted average number of preferred shares outstanding during the period	39,680	31,504	33,888	25,980
Potential dilutive effect of unexercised options	50,496	4,745	21,543	1,628
Weighted average number of shares used for determining diluted earnings per common share attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. common stockholders	14,734,916	14,617,195	14,682,186	14,604,398

6. Investment in OC-BVI

The Company owns 50% of the outstanding voting common shares and a 43.5% equity interest in the profits of Ocean Conversion (BVI) Ltd. (“OC-BVI”). The Company also owns certain profit sharing rights in OC-BVI that raise its effective interest in the profits of OC-BVI to approximately 45%. Pursuant to a management services agreement, OC-BVI pays the Company monthly fees for certain engineering and administrative services. OC-BVI’s sole customer is the Ministry of Communications and Works of the Government of the British Virgin Islands (the “Ministry”) to which it sells bulk water.

The Company’s equity investment in OC-BVI amounted to \$6,930,384 and \$6,925,346 as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Until 2009, substantially all of the water sold by OC-BVI to the Ministry was initially supplied under a Water Supply Agreement dated May 1990 (the “1990 Agreement”) and was produced by one desalination plant with a capacity of 1.7 million gallons per day located at Baughers Bay, Tortola (the “Baughers Bay plant”). As discussed later in this Note (see “*Baughers Bay dispute*”), the BVI government assumed the operating responsibilities for the Baughers Bay plant in March 2010. During 2007, OC-BVI completed the construction of a desalination plant with a capacity of 720,000 gallons per day located at Bar Bay, Tortola (the “Bar Bay plant”). OC-BVI and the BVI government executed a definitive seven-year contract (the “Bar Bay Agreement”) for this plant on March 4, 2010. Under the terms of the Bar Bay Agreement, OC-BVI is required to deliver up to 600,000 gallons of water per day to the BVI government from the Bar Bay plant. The Bar Bay Agreement includes a seven-year extension option exercisable by the BVI government and required OC-BVI to complete a storage reservoir on the BVI government site by no later than March 4, 2011. OC-BVI has not commenced construction of this storage reservoir due to the BVI government’s failure to pay the invoices for the water provided by the Bar Bay plant on a timely basis.

Summarized financial information of OC-BVI is presented as follows:

			September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Current assets			\$ 3,401,845	\$ 3,033,939
Non-current assets			6,099,598	6,730,121
Total assets			\$ 9,501,443	\$ 9,764,060
			September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Current liabilities			\$ 641,060	\$ 937,965
Non-current liabilities			1,745,550	1,743,077
Total liabilities			\$ 2,386,610	\$ 2,681,042
			September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
Revenues	Three Months Ended	September 30,	Nine Months Ended	September 30,
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	\$ 1,174,629	\$ 1,073,610	\$ 3,588,203	\$ 3,227,022
Gross Profit	\$ 403,815	\$ 434,365	\$ 1,403,346	\$ 1,095,653
Income from operations	\$ 175,386	\$ 231,820	\$ 679,085	\$ 368,196
Other income (expense), net ⁽¹⁾	\$ (40,500)	\$ 4,232	\$ 1,456,480	\$ 122,150
Net income attributable to controlling interests	\$ 127,175	\$ 229,570	\$ 2,112,455	\$ 463,343

- (1) Includes a payment received of \$2.0 million on a court judgment for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. See “*Baughers Bay dispute*.”

The Company recognized \$55,359 and \$99,932 in earnings from its equity investment in OC-BVI for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company recognized \$919,552 and \$201,693 in earnings from its equity investment in OC-BVI for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company recognized \$20,250 in profit sharing income from its profit sharing agreement with OC-BVI for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and no profit sharing income for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The Company recognized \$335,361 in profit sharing income from its profit sharing agreement with OC-BVI for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and no profit sharing income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized approximately \$175,438 and \$105,727, respectively, in revenues from its management services agreement with OC-BVI. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized approximately \$660,734 and \$347,964, respectively, in revenues from its management services agreement with OC-BVI. The Company’s recorded value of this management services agreement, which is reflected as an intangible asset on the Company’s condensed consolidated balance sheet, was approximately \$321,000 and \$428,000 as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Baughers Bay dispute

In 2006, the Government of the British Virgin Islands (the “BVI government”) asserted a purported right of ownership of the Baughers Bay plant operated by OC-BVI pursuant to the terms of the 1990 Agreement.

Under the terms of the 1990 Agreement, upon the expiration of the initial seven-year term in May 1999, the agreement would automatically be extended for another seven-year term unless the BVI government provided notice, at least eight months prior to such expiration, of its decision to purchase the plant from OC-BVI for approximately \$1.42 million. In correspondence between the parties from late 1998 through early 2000, the BVI government indicated that

it intended to purchase the plant but would be amenable to negotiating a new water supply agreement, and that it considered the 1990 Agreement to be in force on a monthly basis until negotiations between the BVI government and OC-BVI were concluded. Occasional discussions were held between the parties since 2000 without resolution of the matter. OC-BVI continued to supply water from the plant and expended approximately \$4.7 million between 1995 and 2003 to significantly expand the production capacity of the plant beyond that contemplated in the 1990 Agreement.

In 2007, the BVI government ceased honoring the terms of the 1990 Agreement by significantly reducing the amount and frequency of its payments to OC-BVI, and also filed a lawsuit with the Eastern Caribbean Supreme Court (the “Court”) seeking ownership of the Baughers Bay plant. OC-BVI counterclaimed to the Court that it was entitled to continued possession and operation of the Baughers Bay plant until the BVI government paid OC-BVI approximately \$4.7 million, which OC-BVI believed represented the value of the Baughers Bay plant at its expanded production capacity. OC-BVI subsequently filed claims with the Court seeking payment for water sold and delivered to the BVI government through May 31, 2009 at the contract prices in effect before the BVI government asserted its purported right of ownership of the plant.

The Court issued its rulings with respect to this litigation in September 2009. The Court determined that (i) the BVI government was entitled to immediate ownership and possession of the Baughers Bay plant and dismissed OC-BVI’s claim for compensation of approximately \$4.7 million for the expenditures made to expand the production capacity of the plant; (ii) OC-BVI was entitled to full payment of water invoices issued up to December 20, 2007, which had been calculated under the terms of the original 1990 Agreement; and (iii) OC-BVI was entitled to the amount of \$10.4 million for water produced by OC-BVI from the Baughers Bay plant subsequent to December 20, 2007. Under the Court order, the BVI government made a payment of \$2.0 million to OC-BVI during the fourth quarter of 2009, a second payment of \$2.0 million during 2010 and a third payment of \$1.0 million in 2011.

OC-BVI filed an appeal with the Eastern Caribbean Court of Appeals (the “Appellate Court”) in October 2009 asking the Appellate Court to review the Court’s ruling as it related to OC-BVI’s claim for compensation for expenditures made to expand the production capacity of the Baughers Bay plant. In October 2009, the BVI government also filed an appeal with the Appellate Court, requesting the Appellate Court to review the Court’s ruling and reduce the \$10.4 million awarded by the Court for water produced by OC-BVI for the period subsequent to December 20, 2007 to an amount equal to the actual production cost of the water.

In March 2010, OC-BVI vacated the Baughers Bay plant and the BVI government assumed direct responsibility for the plant’s operations.

On June 30, 2012, the Appellate Court issued its final amended and corrected ruling with respect to the Baughers Bay litigation. This ruling dismissed the BVI government’s appeal against the previous judgment of the Court awarding \$10.4 million for the water supplied, and also awarded OC-BVI compensation for improvements made to the plant in the amount by which the value of the Baughers Bay plant at the date OC-BVI transferred possession of the plant to the BVI government exceeded \$1.42 million (the purchase price for the Baughers Bay plant under the 1990 Agreement). OC-BVI was also awarded all of its court costs at the trial level and two-thirds of such costs incurred on appeal. Prior to the final ruling, the BVI government had paid only \$5.0 million of the original \$10.4 million, and the remaining \$5.4 million amount due had increased to approximately \$6.7 million by the fourth quarter of 2012 due to the court costs awarded by the Appellate Court and the accrued interest due on the aggregate unpaid balance. The BVI government paid OC-BVI \$4.7 million of this amount during the fourth quarter of 2012 and the remaining \$2.0 million in January 2013. These amounts paid by the BVI government were recognized in OC-BVI’s earnings in accordance with the cash basis of accounting.

The Appellate Court has yet to determine what amount, if any, must be paid by the BVI government to OC-BVI for the value of the Baughers Bay plant at the date OC-BVI transferred possession of the plant to the BVI government.

7. NSC Agua

In May 2010, the Company acquired, through its wholly-owned Netherlands subsidiary, Consolidated Water Cooperatief, U.A., a 50% interest in N.S.C. Agua, S.A. de C.V., (“NSC”) a Mexican company. The Company has since purchased, through the conversion of a previous loan to NSC, sufficient shares to raise its ownership interest in NSC to 99.9%. NSC was formed to pursue a project encompassing the construction, operation and minority ownership of a

100 million gallon per day seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant to be located in northern Baja California, Mexico and an accompanying pipeline to deliver water to the Mexican potable water infrastructure and the U.S. border. The Company believes such a project can be successful due to what the Company anticipates will be a growing need for a new potable water supply for the areas of northern Baja California, Mexico and Southern California, United States. To complete this project, the Company has engaged two engineering groups with extensive regional and/or technical experience and has partnered with Doosan Heavy Industries and Construction (“DHIC”), a global leader in the engineering, procurement and construction of large seawater desalination plants. Once completed, the Company expects to participate in the operation of the plant and pipeline and also expects to retain a minority ownership position in the project. NSC is in the development stage, and is presently seeking contracts for the electric power and feed water sources for the plant’s proposed operations, and is conducting (under a Memorandum of Understanding with DHIC) an equipment piloting plant and water quality data collection program at the proposed feed water source. In addition to completing these activities, NSC will be required to accomplish various other steps before it can commence construction of the plant and pipeline including, but not limited to, completing the purchases of land required for the project, obtaining approvals and permits from various governmental agencies in Mexico and the United States, securing contracts with its proposed customers to sell water in sufficient quantities and at prices that make the project financially viable, and obtaining equity and debt financing for the project. NSC’s potential customers will also be required to obtain various governmental permits and approvals in order to purchase water from NSC.

In February 2012, the Company acquired an option, exercisable through February 7, 2014, to purchase the shares of one of the other shareholders of NSC for \$1.0 million, along with an immediate power of attorney to vote those shares. If the Company does not exercise this option and purchase these shares, the other shareholder will receive sufficient additional shares in NSC to increase its ownership interest in NSC to 25%.

In 2011, NSC entered into a purchase contract for 8.1 hectares of land on which the proposed plant would be constructed. In July 2012, NSC obtained an extension of this purchase contract through May 15, 2014 in exchange for prepayments of (i) \$500,000 paid at signing of the extension and (ii) a further \$500,000 paid in May 2013. NSC is required to pay a balance of \$6.98 million on May 15, 2014 to complete the purchase of this land. In May 2013, NSC purchased an additional 12 hectares of land, which constitute most of the land required for the project, for \$12 million, of which \$2 million has been paid. The remaining \$10 million balance for this purchase is due on May 15, 2014.

In August 2012, NSC and DHIC extended their Memorandum of Understanding for 18 months from August 19, 2012, pursuant to which DHIC installed and operated an equipment piloting plant and collected water quality data from the proposed feed water source site in Rosarito Beach, Baja California, Mexico. This amended Memorandum of Understanding requires that NSC negotiate exclusively with DHIC for the construction of the 100 million gallon per day seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant and further requires payment by NSC to DHIC of up to \$500,000 as compensation for the operation and maintenance of the equipment piloting plant should NSC not award the engineering, procurement and construction contract for the project to DHIC. This first phase of the pilot plant testing program was completed in October 2013. NSC is currently developing additional sampling protocols to comply with regulatory requirements in the U.S. and Mexico, and is also coordinating with regulators to assess the need, if any, for further process piloting.

In November 2012, NSC signed a letter of intent with Otay Water District in Southern California to deliver no less than 20 million and up to 40 million gallons of water per day from the plant to the Otay Water District at the border between Mexico and the United States.

NSC has entered into a 20 year lease, effective November 2012, with the Comisión Federal de Electricidad for approximately 5,000 square meters of land on which it plans to construct the water intake and discharge works for the plant. The amounts due on this lease are payable in Mexican pesos at an amount that is currently equivalent to approximately \$20,000 per month. This lease is cancellable should NSC ultimately not proceed with the project.

In May 2013, NSC repaid a loan payable to the Company of approximately \$5.7 million by issuing additional shares of its stock. As a result of this share issuance, the Company now owns 99.9% of NSC.

The Company includes the accounts of NSC in its consolidated financial statements. Included in the Company's consolidated results of operations are general and administrative expenses from NSC, consisting of organizational, legal, accounting, engineering, consulting and other costs relating to NSC's project development activities. Such expenses amounted to \$1,231,419 and \$482,015 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$2,223,291 and \$1,221,689 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and totaled approximately \$6.4 million for the three year period ended December 31, 2012. The assets and liabilities of NSC included in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet amounted to approximately \$13,710,000 and \$10,343,000, respectively, as of September 30, 2013 and approximately \$1,452,000 and \$116,000, respectively, as of December 31, 2012.

The Company has determined that completing NSC's development activities will require significant additional funding and the Company may incur significant development expenses in the future for this project. The Company estimates that it will take at least through the third quarter of 2014 for NSC to complete all of the development activities (which include completing the site piloting plant activities, completing the purchase of the land for the plant, securing feed water and power supplies, completing the engineering and feasibility studies, negotiating customer contracts,

obtaining the required rights-of-way and regulatory permits and arranging the project financing) necessary to commence construction of the plant and pipeline. However, NSC may ultimately be unable to complete all of the activities necessary to begin construction of the project.

8. Contingencies

Renewal of Retail License

In the Cayman Islands, the Company provides water to retail customers under a license issued to Cayman Water in July 1990 by the Cayman Islands government that grants the Company the exclusive right to provide water to retail customers within its licensed service area. The Company's service area is comprised of an area on Grand Cayman that includes the Seven Mile Beach and West Bay areas, two of the three most populated areas in the Cayman Islands. For the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company generated approximately 33% and 36%, respectively, of its consolidated revenues and 45% and 55%, respectively, of its consolidated gross profits from the retail water operations conducted pursuant to its exclusive license. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company generated approximately 36% and 37% of its consolidated revenues and 52% and 57%, respectively, of its consolidated gross profits from the retail water operations conducted pursuant to its exclusive license. If Cayman Water is not in default of any terms of the license, the Company has a right of first refusal to renew the license on terms that are no less favorable than those that the government offers to any third party.

This license was set to expire on July 10, 2010; however, the Company and the Cayman Islands government have agreed to extend the license several times in order to provide sufficient time to negotiate the terms of a new license agreement. The most recent extension of the Company's license expires June 30, 2014.

In February 2011, the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011 (which replaces the Water (Production and Supply) Law (1996 Revision) under which the Company is licensed) and the Water Authority (Amendment) Law, 2011 (collectively, the "New Laws") were published and are now in full force and effect. Under the New Laws, the Water Authority-Cayman ("WAC") issues any new license, which could include the rate of return on invested capital model discussed below.

The Company has been advised in correspondence from the Cayman Islands government and the WAC that: (i) the WAC is now the principal negotiator, and not the Cayman Islands government, in these license negotiations, and (ii) the WAC has determined that a rate of return on invested capital model ("RCAM") is in the best interest of the public and the Company's customers. RCAM is the rate model currently utilized in the electricity transmission and distribution license granted by the Cayman Islands government to the Caribbean Utilities Company, Ltd.

In July 2012, in an effort to resolve several issues relating to the retail license renewal negotiations, the Company filed an Application for Leave to Apply for Judicial Review (the "Application") with the Grand Court of the Cayman Islands (the "Grand Court"), stating that: (i) certain provisions of the Water Authority (Amendment) Law, 2011 and the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011, appear to be incompatible, (ii) the WAC's roles as the principal license negotiator, statutory regulator and the Company's competitor put the WAC in a position of hopeless conflict, and (iii) the WAC's decision to replace the rate structure under the Company's current exclusive license with RCAM was predetermined and unreasonable.

Throughout the course of the retail license renewal negotiations, the Company has objected to the use of RCAM on the basis that it believes such a model would not promote the efficient operation of its water utility and could ultimately increase water rates to its customers.

In October 2012, the Company was notified that the Grand Court has agreed to consider the issues raised by the Company in the Application. As a result, the Company, the Cayman Islands government and the WAC will have the opportunity to present their positions to the Grand Court in a trial proceeding. The Company has been notified by the

Grand Court that the first hearing for this judicial review has been scheduled for April 1-2, 2014.

In August 2013, the Company met with representatives of the Cayman Islands Government and the WAC to discuss the status of its license negotiations. As a result of this meeting, all parties agreed to await the outcome of the pending judicial review before recommencing any meaningful negotiations on the license. Subsequently, the water utility license on Grand Cayman was extended until June 30, 2014 to allow additional time for the judicial review proceedings to conclude.

If the Company does not ultimately enter into a new license agreement and no other party is awarded a license, the Company expects to be permitted to continue to supply water to its service area.

It is possible that the Cayman Islands government could offer a third party a license to service some or all of the Company's present service area. In such event, the Company may assume the license offered to the third party by exercising its right of first refusal. However, the terms of any new license agreement may not be as favorable to the Company as the terms under which it is presently operating. The Company is presently unable to determine what impact the resolution of this matter will have on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

CW-Belize

By Statutory Instrument No. 81 of 2009, the Minister of Public Utilities of the government of Belize published an order, the Public Utility Provider Class Declaration Order, 2009 (the "Order"), which as of May 1, 2009 designated CW-Belize as a public utility provider under the laws of Belize. With this designation, the Public Utilities Commission of Belize (the "PUC") has the authority to set the rates charged by CW-Belize and to otherwise regulate its activities. On November 1, 2010, CW-Belize received a formal complaint from the PUC alleging that CW-Belize was operating without a license under the terms of the Water Industry Act. CW-Belize applied for this license in December 2010. On July 29, 2011, the PUC issued the San Pedro Public Water Supply Quality and Security Complaint Order (the "Second Order") which among other things requires that (i) CW-Belize and its customer jointly make a submission to the responsible Minister requesting that the area surrounding CW-Belize's seawater abstraction wells be designated a forest reserve or national park and be designated a Controlled Area under section 58 of the Water Industry Act, (ii) CW-Belize submit an operations manual for CW-Belize's desalination plant to the PUC for approval, (iii) CW-Belize and its customer modify the water supply agreement between the parties to (a) include new water quality parameters included in the Order and (b) cap the current exclusive water supply arrangement in the agreement at a maximum of 450,000 gallons per day, (iv) CW-Belize keep a minimum number of replacement seawater RO membranes in stock at all times and (v) CW-Belize take possession of and reimburse the PUC for certain equipment which the PUC purchased from a third-party in late 2010. CW-Belize has applied for declaratory judgment and has been granted a temporary injunction to stay the enforcement of the Second Order by the PUC until such time as the matter could be heard by the Belize courts. The initial hearing on this matter was conducted on October 30 and 31, 2012 with an additional hearing on November 29, 2012. The ruling on this case is pending. The Company is presently unable to determine what impact the outcome of this matter will have on its results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Windsor Plant Water Supply Agreement

CW-Bahamas provides bulk water to the Water and Sewerage Corporation of The Bahamas ("WSC"), which distributes the water through its own pipeline system to residential, commercial and tourist properties on the Island of New Providence. Pursuant to a water supply agreement, CW-Bahamas is required to provide the WSC with at least 16.8 million gallons per week of potable water from the Windsor plant, and the WSC has contracted to purchase at least that amount from CW-Bahamas on a take-or-pay basis. This water supply agreement expired when CW-Bahamas delivered the total amount of water required under the agreement in July 2013.

At the conclusion of the agreement, the WSC has the option to (i) extend the agreement for an additional five years at a rate to be negotiated; (ii) exercise a right of first refusal to purchase any materials, equipment and facilities that CW-Bahamas intends to remove from the site at a purchase price to be negotiated; or (iii) require CW-Bahamas to remove all materials, equipment and facilities from the site. At the request of the government of The Bahamas, CW-Bahamas continues to operate the Windsor plant to provide the government of The Bahamas with additional time to decide whether or not it will extend CW-Bahamas' water supply agreement for the Windsor plant on a long term basis. The Company is presently unable to determine if CW-Bahamas' water supply agreement for its Windsor plant will be extended or, if extended, on what terms. CW-Bahamas generated approximately \$1.9 million, \$5.5 million and \$7.5 million in revenues from the operation of this plant during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012, respectively.

The outcome of any of these three contingencies could materially reduce the operating income and cash flows that the Company has historically generated and could require the Company to record an impairment charge to reduce the \$3,499,035 carrying value of its goodwill. Such impairment charge could have a material adverse impact on the Company's results of operations.

9. Impact of recent accounting pronouncements

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2012-02, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) - Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment*, which aims to simplify the impairment test for indefinite-lived intangible assets by permitting an entity the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not (defined as having a likelihood of more than 50 percent) that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired as a basis for determining whether the quantitative impairment test included in Accounting Standards Codification Subtopic 350-30, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other General Intangibles Other than Goodwill* must be performed. The amendment is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. The Company currently has no indefinite-lived intangible assets other than goodwill reported on its consolidated balance sheet. The adoption of ASU 2012-02 did not have an impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In October 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-04, *Technical Corrections and Improvements*. The amendments in this update cover a wide range of Topics in the Accounting Standards Codification. These amendments include technical corrections and improvements to the Accounting Standards Codification and conforming amendments related to fair value measurements. The amendments in this update will be effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of ASU 2012-04 did not have an impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, *Other Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) - Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*, which requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (“AOCI”) by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the financial statements or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of AOCI by the respective line items of net income, but only if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified in its entirety in the same reporting period. For amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures that provide additional details about those amounts. ASU 2013-02 does not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in the financial statements. The adoption of ASU 2013-02 on January 1, 2013 did not have an impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

10. Subsequent events

The Company’s management evaluated subsequent events through the time of the filing of this report on Form 10-Q. The Company’s management is not aware of any significant events that occurred subsequent to the balance sheet date but prior to the filing of this report that would have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including but not limited to, statements regarding our future revenues, future plans, objectives, expectations and events, assumptions and estimates. Forward-looking statements can be identified by use of the words or phrases "will," "will likely result," "are expected to," "will continue," "estimate," "project," "potential," "believe," "anticipate," "expect," "intend," or similar expressions and variations of such words. Statements that are not historical facts are based on our current expectations, beliefs, assumptions, estimates, forecasts and projections for our business and the industry and markets related to our business.

The forward-looking statements contained in this report are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions which are difficult to predict. Actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed in such forward-looking statements. Important factors which may affect these actual outcomes and results include, without limitation:

- tourism and weather conditions in the areas we serve;
- the economies of the U.S., the areas, and the governments we serve;
- our relationships with the governments we serve;
- regulatory matters, including resolution of the negotiations for the renewal of our retail license on Grand Cayman;
- our ability to successfully enter new markets, including Mexico and Asia;

and other factors, including those "Risk Factors" set forth under Part II, Item 1A in this Quarterly Report and in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Each of the forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report speaks as of its date. We expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to update or revise any forward-looking statement contained in this Quarterly Report to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any forward-looking statement is based, except as may be required by law.

Unless otherwise indicated, references to "we," "our," "ours" and "us" refer to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. and its subsidiaries.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Our actual results could differ significantly from such estimates and assumptions.

Certain of our accounting estimates or assumptions constitute "critical accounting estimates" for us due to the fact that:

- the nature of these estimates or assumptions is material due to the levels of subjectivity and judgment necessary to account for highly uncertain matters or the susceptibility of such matters to change; and
- the impact of the estimates and assumptions on financial condition and results of operations is material.

Our critical accounting estimates relate to (i) the valuation of our equity investment in our affiliate, OC-BVI; (ii) goodwill and intangible assets; and (iii) plant construction revenues and costs.

Valuation of Investment in OC-BVI. We account for our investment in OC-BVI under the equity method of accounting for investments in common stock. This method requires recognition of a loss on an equity investment that is other than temporary, and indicates that a current fair value of an equity investment that is less than its carrying amount may indicate a loss in the value of the investment. OC-BVI's dispute and resulting litigation with the BVI government relating to the Baughers Bay plant have resulted in a fair value of our investment in OC-BVI that was at one time less than our carrying value for this investment, as discussed in the paragraphs that follow.

As a quoted market price for OC-BVI's stock is not available, to test for possible impairment of our investment in OC-BVI, we estimate its fair value through the use of the discounted cash flow method, which relies upon seven-year discrete projections of OC-BVI's operating results, working capital and capital expenditures. The use of this method requires us to estimate the cash flows from OC-BVI's Bar Bay plant. For the year ended December 31, 2011 and prior years, the use of this method also required us to estimate the cash flows OC-BVI expected to obtain from the resolution of its dispute and resulting litigation with the BVI government over the ownership and operation of the Baughers Bay plant by (i) identifying various possible outcomes of the Baughers Bay litigation and estimating the cash flows associated with each possible outcome; and (ii) assigning a probability to each Baughers Bay outcome and associated expected cash flows based upon discussions held by OC-BVI's management with the BVI government and OC-BVI's legal counsel. The resulting probability weighted sum represented the expected cash flows, and our best estimate of future cash flows, to be derived by OC-BVI from the Baughers Bay litigation. This sum was then added to OC-BVI's estimated cash flows from its Bar Bay plant and the total was present-valued to estimate OC-BVI's fair value.

The identification of the possible outcomes for the Baughers Bay dispute, the projections of cash flows for each outcome, and the assignment of relative probabilities to each outcome all represented significant estimates made by us. While we used our best judgment to identify the possible outcomes and expected cash flows for these outcomes and assign relative probabilities to each outcome, these estimates were by their nature highly subjective and were also subject to material change by our management over time based upon additional information from OC-BVI's management and legal counsel, and any change in the status of OC-BVI's litigation with the BVI government. After considering the September and October 2009 rulings of the Eastern Caribbean Supreme Court (the "Court") relating to the Baughers Bay litigation and an announcement by the BVI government in February 2010 that it had signed a contract with another company to construct and operate a plant to provide potable water to the greater Tortola area served by the Baughers Bay plant, we determined that the carrying value of our investment in OC-BVI exceeded the estimated fair value for our investment in OC-BVI and therefore recognized impairment losses aggregating approximately \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The remaining carrying value of our investment in OC-BVI of \$6.6 million as of December 31, 2011 assumed that the BVI government would ultimately pay OC-BVI the full amount awarded by the Court in its 2009 rulings and fulfill its obligations to purchase water under the Bar Bay plant agreement. In June 2012, the Eastern Caribbean Court of Appeals issued the final ruling with respect to the Baughers Bay litigation. This ruling dismissed the BVI government's appeal against the previous judgment of the Court awarding \$10.4 million for the water supplied, and also awarded OC-BVI compensation for improvements made to the plant in the amount equal to the difference between (i) the value of the Baughers Bay plant at the date OC-BVI transferred possession of the plant to the BVI government and (ii) \$1.42 million (the purchase price for the Baughers Bay plant under the 1990 Agreement). OC-BVI was also awarded all of its court costs at the trial level and two-thirds of such costs incurred on appeal. Prior to the final ruling, the BVI government had paid only \$5.0 of the original \$10.4 million, and the remaining \$5.4 million amount due had increased to approximately \$6.7 million by the fourth quarter of 2012 due to the court costs awarded by the Appellate Court and the accrued interest due on the aggregate unpaid balance. The BVI government paid OC-BVI \$4.7 million of this amount during the fourth quarter of 2012 and the remaining \$2.0 million in January 2013.

The remaining carrying value of our investment in OC-BVI of \$6.9 million as of September 30, 2013 assumes that the BVI government will honor its obligations under the Bar Bay plant water supply agreement and that the Bar Bay plant will continue to supply water beyond the initial term of this agreement. The BVI government is OC-BVI's sole customer and all of its revenues are generated from the Bar Bay plant. If the BVI government fails to honor the terms of this agreement, elects not to renew it, or for any other reason ceases purchasing water from the Bar Bay plant, our future actual cash flows from OC-BVI will be materially less than our current expectations for its cash flows and we will be required to record an additional loss to reduce the carrying value of our investment in OC-BVI. Such impairment loss would reduce our earnings and could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and

financial condition.

Goodwill and intangible assets. Goodwill represents the excess cost over the fair value of the assets of an acquired business. Goodwill and intangible assets with an indefinite useful life that are acquired in a business combination are not amortized, but are tested for impairment at least annually. Intangible assets with estimable useful lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values and reviewed periodically for impairment. We evaluate the possible impairment of goodwill annually as part of our reporting process for the fourth quarter of each fiscal year. Management identifies our reporting units and determines the carrying value of each reporting unit by assigning the assets and liabilities, including the existing goodwill and intangible assets, to those reporting units. We determine the fair value of each reporting unit and compare the fair value to the carrying amount of the reporting unit. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, we are required to perform the second step of the impairment test, as this is an indication that the reporting unit goodwill may be impaired. In this step, we compare the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill with the carrying amount of the reporting unit goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to all the assets (recognized and unrecognized) and liabilities of the reporting unit in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation. The residual fair value after this allocation is the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill. If the implied fair value is less than its carrying amount, an impairment loss is recorded to reduce the carrying amount of the reporting unit to such implied fair value.

For the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, we estimated the fair value of our reporting units by (i) applying the discounted cash flow method, (ii) the subject company stock price method, (iii) the guideline public company method, (iv) the mergers and acquisitions method and, on an exception basis and where necessary and appropriate, (v) the net asset value method.

The discounted cash flow method relied upon seven-year discrete projections of operating results, working capital and capital expenditures, along with a terminal value subsequent to the discrete period. These seven-year projections were based upon historical and anticipated future results, general economic and market conditions, and considered the impact of planned business and operational strategies. The discount rates for the calculations represented the estimated cost of capital for market participants at the time of each analysis. In preparing these seven-year projections for 2012 for our retail unit we (i) identified various possible outcomes of our on-going negotiations with the Cayman Islands government for the renewal of our retail license; (ii) estimated the cash flows associated with each possible outcome; and (iii) assigned a probability to each outcome and associated estimated cash flows. The weighted average estimated cash flows were then summed to determine the overall fair value of the retail unit under this method. The possible outcomes used for the discounted cash flow method for the retail unit included the implementation of a rate of return on invested capital model, the methodology proposed by Cayman Islands government representatives for the new retail license.

We also estimated the fair value of each of our reporting units for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012 through reference to the quoted market prices for our Company and guideline companies and the market multiples implied by guideline merger and acquisition transactions. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we also relied upon net asset values to estimate the fair value of our services unit.

We weighted the fair values estimated for each of our reporting units under each method and summed such weighted fair values to estimate the overall fair value for each reporting unit. In recognition of the fact that our common stock has traded at prices less than its book value per share during the past two years, we changed the relative weightings for 2012 from those used for 2011 to increase the weightings applied to those methods that resulted in more conservative estimates of fair value. The respective weightings we applied to each method for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

Method	2012				2011					
	Retail		Bulk	Services	Retail		Bulk	Services		
Discounted cash flow	20	%	30	%	20	%	10	%	20	%
Subject company stock price	60	%	50	%	10	%	40	%	10	%
Guideline public company	10	%	10	%	20	%	40	%		
Mergers and acquisitions	10	%	10	%	20	%	40	%		
Net asset value					90	%				
	100	%	100	%	100	%	100	%	100	%

The fair values we estimated for our retail, bulk and services units exceeded their carrying amounts for the year ended December 31, 2011. The fair values we estimated for our retail and bulk units exceeded their carrying amounts by 39% and 6%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2012. The fair value we estimated for our services unit for the year ended December 31, 2012 was 10% less than its carrying amount. As a result of this estimate and our subsequent step 2 analysis of the implied fair value of the goodwill recorded for our services unit, we recorded an impairment charge for the services unit goodwill of \$88,717 for the year ended December 31, 2012. Based upon the discounted cash flows and market approach methodologies employed in our analyses, no other impairment charges were required for our goodwill for any of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012.

We also performed an analysis reconciling our fair value conclusions for our reporting units to our market capitalization at October 1, 2012. This reconciliation resulted in an implied control premium for our Company of 32.6%.

Plant construction revenue and cost of plant construction revenue. We recognize revenue and related costs as work progresses on fixed price contracts for the construction of desalination plants to be sold to third parties using the percentage-of-completion method, which relies on contract revenue and estimates of total expected costs. We follow this method since we can make reasonably dependable estimates of the revenue and costs applicable to various stages of a contract. Under the percentage-of-completion method, we record revenue and recognize profit or loss as work on the contract progresses. Our engineering personnel estimate total project costs and profit to be earned on each long term, fixed price contract prior to commencement of work on the contract and update these estimates as work on the contract progresses. The cumulative amount of revenue recorded on a contract at a specified point in time is that percentage of total estimated revenue that incurred costs to date comprise of estimated total contract costs. As work progresses, if the actual contract costs exceed estimates, the profit recognized on revenue from that contract decreases. We recognize the full amount of any estimated loss on a contract at the time the estimates indicate such a loss. To date we have not experienced a material adverse variation from our cost estimates for plants constructed for sale to third parties.

We assume the risk that the costs associated with constructing the plant may be greater than we anticipated in preparing our bid. However, the terms of each of the sales contracts with our customers require us to guarantee the sales price for the plant at the bid amount. Because we base our contracted sales price in part on our estimation of future construction costs, the profitability of our plant sales is dependent on our ability to estimate these costs accurately. The cost estimates we prepare in connection with the construction of plants to be sold to third parties are subject to inherent uncertainties. The cost of materials and construction may increase significantly after we submit our bid for a plant due to factors beyond our control, which could cause the gross margin for a plant to be less than we anticipated when the bid was made. The gross profit we initially expect to generate from a plant sale could be further affected by other factors, such as hydro-geologic conditions at the plant site that differ materially from those we believe exist and relied upon when we submitted our bid.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included under Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report and our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for our fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 (“2012 Form 10-K”) and the information set forth under Item 7 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” of our 2012 Form 10-K.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2012

Consolidated Results

Net income attributable to our common stockholders for the three months ended September 30, 2013 was \$908,690 (\$0.06 per share on a fully-diluted basis), as compared to \$1,304,843 (\$0.09 per share on a fully-diluted basis) for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Total revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2013 decreased to \$15,438,581 from the \$15,840,136 in revenues generated for the three months ended September 30, 2012, due to a decrease in revenues for the retail segment. Gross profit for the three months ended September 30, 2013 was \$5,226,885 or 34% of total revenues, as compared to \$5,234,994, or 33% of total revenues, for the three months ended September 30, 2012, as gross profit for the bulk segment increased while the gross profit for the retail and services segments decreased. For further discussion of revenues and gross profit for the three months ended September 30, 2013, see the “Results by Segment” analysis that follows.

General and administrative (“G&A”) expenses on a consolidated basis were \$4,308,851 and \$4,089,575 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. For 2013 as compared to 2012, project development expenses incurred by our Mexico subsidiary, N.S.C. Agua, S.A. de C.V., (“NSC”) increased by approximately \$749,000, directors’ fees and expenses increased by approximately \$46,000, and employee costs were approximately \$74,000 higher due to base salary increases. Serving to partially offset these increases was a decrease for 2013 of approximately \$481,000 in the expenses incurred for our business development activities that were not related to NSC and a decrease of approximately \$205,000 in professional fees.

Interest income increased to \$232,820 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 from \$198,604 for the three months ended September 30, 2012, as a result of an increase in interest earning bank balances.

Interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$117,242 and \$146,880, respectively. The decrease in interest expense for 2013 reflects the declining principal balance on our bonds payable.

We recognized earnings and profit sharing on our investment in OC-BVI for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 of \$75,609 and \$99,932, respectively.

Results by Segment

Retail Segment:

The retail segment incurred a loss from operations of (\$294,089) and (\$336,650) for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Revenues generated by our retail water operations were \$5,023,591 and \$5,703,233 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in retail revenues from 2012 to 2013 resulted from a decrease in the total gallons of water sold by the retail segment of approximately 13%. This decrease in volume of gallons sold reflects lower purchases by some of our larger customers, possibly due to rainfall amounts for 2013 that exceeded 2012 amounts by approximately 10%.

Retail segment gross profit was \$2,362,128 (47% of revenues) and \$2,904,673 (51% of revenues) for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in retail gross profit was primarily due to the decrease in revenues from 2012 to 2013 as the majority of our retail segment's cost of revenues are relatively fixed in nature.

Consistent with prior periods, we record all non-direct G&A expenses in our retail business segment and do not allocate any of these non-direct costs to our other two business segments. Retail segment G&A expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were \$2,656,217 and \$3,241,323, respectively. G&A expenses decreased from 2012 to 2013 primarily due to a decrease of approximately \$481,000 in non-NSC business development expenses along with a decrease of approximately \$220,000 in professional fees, partially offset by an increase in directors' fees and expenses of approximately \$24,000 and higher employee costs of approximately \$39,000 due to base salary increases.

During the latter half of 2012, we commenced, through our subsidiary, PT Consolidated Water Bali, the construction of a seawater reverse osmosis ("SWRO") plant in Nusa Dua, one of the primary tourist areas of Bali, Indonesia. We believe the water demands of the tourist resorts in Nusa Dua will soon exceed the water supplies that can be provided to the area by the local public water utility, and that other areas of Bali will also eventually experience fresh water shortages. However, as SWRO has not been employed to any meaningful extent in Bali, we concluded that to obtain customers in Bali we must first demonstrate the viability of SWRO as well as our capabilities and expertise. Consequently, we elected to construct this plant before obtaining commitments for most of its production. We believe sufficient demand exists in Nusa Dua to enable us to sell all of the plant's capacity, although we cannot assure that we will be able to do so. The capital investment for this plant is estimated at approximately \$5.0 million, which will fund production capacity of approximately 750,000 gallons per day. As of September 30, 2013, capitalized costs for this plant amounted to approximately \$3.0 million. The revenues we generated from this plant amounted to approximately \$67,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Bulk Segment:

The bulk segment contributed \$2,586,589 and \$1,937,689 to our income from operations for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Bulk segment revenues were \$10,239,552 and \$10,031,176 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. In January 2013, our operating agreement with the Water Authority-Cayman for their Lower Valley plant on Grand Cayman Island expired. We generated approximately \$494,000 in revenues from the operation of the Lower Valley plant during the three months ended September 30, 2012. Revenue increases from the remainder of our bulk operations in the Cayman Islands, The Bahamas and Belize served to offset the lost Lower Valley plant revenues.

Bulk segment gross profit was \$2,959,401 (29% of revenues) and \$2,253,929 (22% of revenues) for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The improvement in gross profit dollars from 2012 to 2013 reflects improved margins for our bulk operations and a reduction of approximately \$484,000 in depreciation expense, as certain assets reached the end of their depreciable lives during the fourth quarter of 2012 and early 2013. Gross profit as percentage of sales improved from 2012 to 2013 due to the reduction in depreciation expense and the elimination of the relatively low gross profit margin earned on the operation of the Lower Valley plant.

Bulk segment G&A expenses were \$372,812 and \$316,240 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase for 2013 reflects a \$35,000 increase in employee costs and a \$22,000 increase in director's fees.

We supplied the aggregate amount of water contracted by the Water & Sewerage Corporation of The Bahamas under the water supply agreement for our Windsor plant in July 2013, at which time such agreement expired. See further discussion at "Material Commitments, Expenditures and Contingencies."

Services Segment:

The services segment incurred losses from operations of (\$1,374,466) and (\$455,620) for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We expect our services segment to continue to incur losses from operations while we continue to fund the project development activities of NSC and/or until such time as we obtain significant new management services or plant construction contracts with external customers.

Services segment revenues were \$175,438 and \$105,727 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Services revenues increased from 2012 to 2013 due to an increase in revenues earned under the management services agreement with OC-BVI.

Our services segment generated a negative gross profit of (\$94,644) for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to a gross profit of \$76,392 for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The reduction in gross profit for 2013 reflects higher engineering expenses and greater employee costs.

G&A expenses for the services segment were \$1,279,822 and \$532,012 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in G&A expenses for 2013 as compared to 2012 is attributable to an increase of approximately \$749,000 in the project development activities of NSC.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

Consolidated Results

Net income attributable to our common stockholders for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$7,504,542 (\$0.51 per share on a fully-diluted basis) as compared to \$5,605,001 (\$0.38 per share on a fully-diluted basis) for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 decreased to \$48,563,158 from the \$48,799,642 in revenues generated for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, due to a decrease in revenues for the retail and bulk segments. Gross profit for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$17,844,913 or 37% of total revenues, as compared to \$16,594,543, or 34% of total revenues, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as gross profit for the bulk segment increased while the gross profit for the services and retail segments decreased. For further discussion of revenues and gross profit for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, see the “Results by Segment” analysis that follows.

General and administrative (“G&A”) expenses on a consolidated basis were \$11,472,549 and \$11,052,833 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. For 2013 as compared to 2012, project development expenses incurred by NSC increased by approximately \$1.0 million, directors’ fees and expenses increased by approximately \$151,000, and employee costs were approximately \$212,000 higher due to base salary increases. Serving to partially offset these increases was a decrease for 2013 of approximately \$733,000 in the expenses incurred for business development activities not related to NSC and a \$213,000 decrease in professional fees.

Interest income decreased to \$582,704 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 from \$649,494 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as a result of a decrease in interest earning loan balances.

Interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$374,512 and \$737,330, respectively. The decrease in interest expense for 2013 reflects the repayment on March 31, 2012 of the remaining \$8.5 million balance of CW-Bahamas’ Series A bonds payable, and the declining principal balance on our remaining bonds payable outstanding.

We recognized earnings and profit sharing on our investment in OC-BVI for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 of \$1,254,913 and \$201,693, respectively. The higher earnings and profit sharing from OC-BVI for 2013 primarily reflects the receipt by OC-BVI in January 2013 of \$2.0 million of the judgment it received from the litigation related to the Baughers Bay plant. See discussion of this litigation at Item 1. “Notes to Condensed Financial Statements Note 6 *Baughers Bay dispute.*”

Results by Segment

Retail Segment:

The retail segment contributed \$1,264,834 and \$687,865 to our income from operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Revenues generated by our retail water operations were \$17,598,200 and \$18,138,615 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in retail revenues from 2012 to 2013 resulted from a decrease in the total gallons of water sold of approximately 4%.

Retail segment gross profit was \$9,231,809 (52% of revenues) and \$9,417,940 (52% of revenues) for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The slight decrease in gross profit in dollars was due to the

decrease in revenues as the cost of revenues did not vary significantly from 2012 to 2013.

Consistent with prior periods, we record all non-direct G&A expenses in our retail business segment and do not allocate any of these non-direct costs to our other two business segments. Retail segment G&A expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were \$7,966,975 and \$8,730,075, respectively. G&A expenses decreased from 2012 to 2013 primarily due to a decrease of approximately \$733,000 in non-NSC business development costs along with a decrease of approximately \$217,000 in professional fees, offset by an increase in directors' fees and expenses of approximately \$104,000 and higher employee costs of approximately \$126,000 due to base salary increases.

Bulk Segment:

The bulk segment contributed \$7,607,884 and \$6,058,873 to our income from operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Bulk segment revenues were \$30,258,814 and \$30,313,063 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The slight decrease in overall bulk revenues from 2012 to 2013 resulted from the January 2013 expiration of our operating agreement with the Water Authority-Cayman for their Lower Valley plant on Grand Cayman Island. We generated approximately \$1.5 million in revenues from the operation of the Lower Valley plant during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Higher revenues generated from the remainder of our bulk operations in the Cayman Islands, The Bahamas and Belize served to offset most of the lost Lower Valley plant revenues.

Bulk segment gross profit was \$8,743,905 (29% of revenues) and \$7,011,877 (23% of revenues) for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The improvement in gross profit dollars from 2012 to 2013 reflects improved margins for our bulk operations and a reduction of approximately \$1.5 million in depreciation expense, as certain assets reached the end of their depreciable lives during the fourth quarter of 2012 and early 2013. Gross profit as percentage of sales improved from 2012 to 2013 due to the reduction in depreciation expense and the elimination of the relatively low gross profit margin earned on the operation of the Lower Valley plant.

Bulk segment G&A expenses were \$1,136,021 and \$953,004 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase for 2013 reflects a \$59,000 increase in business license fees arising from CW-Bahamas' higher revenues, an increase of \$86,000 in employee costs and an increase of \$47,000 in director's fees.

We supplied the aggregate amount of water contracted by the Water & Sewerage Corporation of The Bahamas under the water supply agreement for our Windsor plant in July 2013, at which time such agreement expired. See further discussion at "Material Commitments, Expenditures and Contingencies."

Services Segment:

The services segment incurred losses from operations of (\$2,500,354) and (\$1,205,028) for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We expect our services segment to continue to incur losses from operations while we continue to fund the project development activities of NSC and/or until such time as we obtain significant new management services or plant construction contracts with external customers.

Services segment revenues were \$706,144 and \$347,964 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Services revenues increased from 2012 to 2013 primarily due to an increase in revenues earned under the management services agreement with OC-BVI.

Our services segment generated a negative gross profit of (\$130,801) for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to a gross profit of \$164,726 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The reduction in gross profit for 2013 reflects higher engineering expenses and greater employee costs.

G&A expenses for the services segment were \$2,369,553 and \$1,369,754 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in G&A expenses for 2013 as compared to 2012 is attributable to an increase of approximately \$1.0 million in expenses related to the project development activities of NSC.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our sources of cash are (i) revenues generated from our retail license, plant operating contracts and management agreements; (ii) borrowings under term loans, credit facilities and debt securities; and (iii) sales of equity securities.

Our cash flows from operations are affected by tourism, rainfall patterns, weather conditions (such as hurricanes), changes in our customer base, the timing and level of increases or decreases in the rates we charge for the water we supply, overall economic conditions, our ability to collect our receivables from our customers, and other factors.

Our ability to access the debt and equity capital markets is impacted by our current and anticipated financial results, financial condition, existing level of borrowings, credit rating, the terms of our debt agreements (including our compliance therewith), and by conditions in the debt and equity markets. We are presently in compliance with the terms of our debt agreements.

Our primary uses of cash other than for operations are construction costs and capital expenditures, including plant expansion and new plant construction. Other significant uses include the payment of dividends, repayment of debt and the pursuit of new business opportunities.

Net cash of approximately \$26.2 million was provided by our operating activities over our last three fiscal years and net cash of approximately \$8.6 million was provided by our operating activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. As of September 30, 2013, we had cash balances totaling approximately \$30.8 million and working capital of approximately \$46.7 million. We believe our cash on hand, cash to be generated from operations and sources for new financing (if required) will be sufficient to meet our known liquidity requirements, which include debt service on our bonds payable, approximately \$2 million in projected capital expenditures to complete our plant in Bali, Indonesia, budgeted capital expenditures for our other operations for the remainder of 2013 of approximately \$1.6 million, expected capital expenditures for our operations for 2014, and projected expenditures of approximately \$24 million for the project development activities of NSC, which consist of the remaining balances due for the land for the project of approximately \$17 million and development and other expenses of approximately \$7 million. Our liquidity requirements will also include quarterly dividends, if such dividends are declared by our Board. Our dividend payments amounted to approximately \$4.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and approximately \$3.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

We may be required to seek new financing for any major new projects and we believe that we have a sufficient credit standing and adequate funding sources to obtain such new financing.

While we are not presently aware of anything that would lead us to believe that we will not have sufficient liquidity to meet our needs for the remainder of 2013 and thereafter, our available cash and working capital could decrease during 2014 from our September 30, 2013 balances if we continue, as we presently anticipate, to fund the project development activities of NSC.

Material Commitments, Expenditures and Contingencies

Renewal of Retail License

In the Cayman Islands, we provide water to retail customers under a license issued to us in July 1990 by the Cayman Islands government that grants us the exclusive right to provide water to retail customers within our licensed service area. Our service area is comprised of an area on Grand Cayman that includes the Seven Mile Beach and West Bay areas, two of the three most populated areas in the Cayman Islands. For the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, we generated approximately 33% and 36%, respectively, of our consolidated revenues and 45% and 55%, respectively, of our consolidated gross profits from the retail water operations conducted pursuant to our exclusive license. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, we generated approximately 36% and 37% of our consolidated revenues and 52% and 57%, respectively, of our consolidated gross profits from the retail water operations conducted pursuant to our exclusive license. If we are not in default of any terms of the license, we have a right of first refusal to renew the license on terms that are no less favorable than those that the government offers to any third party.

This license was set to expire on July 10, 2010; however, we and the Cayman Islands government have agreed to extend the license several times in order to provide sufficient time to negotiate the terms of a new license agreement. The most recent extension of our license expires June 30, 2014.

In February 2011, the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011 (which replaces the Water (Production and Supply) Law (1996 Revision) under which the Company is licensed) and the Water Authority (Amendment) Law, 2011 (collectively, the “New Laws”) were published and are now in full force and effect. Under the New Laws, the Water Authority Cayman (“WAC”) would issue any new license which could include the rate of return on invested capital model discussed below.

We have been advised in correspondence from the Cayman Islands government and the WAC that: (i) the WAC is now the principal negotiator, and not the Cayman Islands government, in these license negotiations, and (ii) the WAC

has determined that a rate of return on invested capital model (“RCAM”) is in the best interest of the public and the Company’s customers. RCAM is the rate model currently utilized in the electricity transmission and distribution license granted by the Cayman Islands government to the Caribbean Utilities Company, Ltd.

In July 2012, in an effort to resolve several issues relating to our retail license renewal negotiations, we filed an Application for Leave to Apply for Judicial Review (the “Application”) with the Grand Court of the Cayman Islands (the “Court”), stating that: (i) certain provisions of the Water Authority (Amendment) Law, 2011 and the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011, appear to be incompatible, (ii) the WAC’s roles as the principal license negotiator, statutory regulator and our competitor put the WAC in a position of hopeless conflict, and (iii) the WAC’s decision to replace the rate structure under our current exclusive license with RCAM was predetermined and unreasonable.

Throughout the course of the retail license renewal negotiations, we have objected to the use of RCAM on the basis that we believe such a model would not promote the efficient operation of our water utility and could ultimately increase water rates to our customers.

In October 2012, we were notified that the Court agreed to consider the issues raised in the Application. As a result, our Company, the Cayman Islands government and the Water Authority-Cayman will have the opportunity to present their positions to the Court in a trial proceeding. We have been notified by the Grand Court that the first hearing for this judicial review has been scheduled for April 1-2, 2014.

In August 2013, we met with representatives of the Cayman Islands Government and the WAC to discuss the status of our license negotiations. As a result of this meeting, all parties agreed to await the outcome of the pending judicial review before recommencing any meaningful negotiations on the license. Subsequently our water utility license on Grand Cayman was extended until June 30, 2014 to allow additional time for the judicial review proceedings to conclude.

If we do not ultimately enter into a new license agreement and no other party is awarded a license, we expect to be permitted to continue to supply water to our service area.

It is possible that the Cayman Islands government could offer a third party a license to service some or all of our present service area. In such event, we may assume the license offered to the third party by exercising our right of first refusal. However, the terms of any new license agreement may not be as favorable to us as the terms under which we are presently operating and could materially reduce the operating income and cash flows that we have historically generated from our retail license and could require us to record an impairment loss to reduce the \$3,499,037 carrying value of our goodwill. Such impairment loss could be material to our results of operations.

Under the New Laws, the Governor in Cabinet sets the rates and rate adjustment mechanisms for water and sewerage services of the WAC. On October 30, 2012 by order of Cabinet, the regulations which govern the base water and sewerage rates charged by the WAC were amended to include an annual inflation adjustment mechanism which is functionally the same as the inflation adjustment mechanism included in our extended license agreement. In addition, the rates charged by the WAC for sewerage services now include a monthly energy adjustment charge which allows the WAC to increase or decrease their sewerage charges to reflect monthly changes in energy costs. This new energy adjustment charge is also functionally similar to the energy adjustment charge contained in our extended license agreement. Finally, WAC's base water and sewerage rates were immediately increased by an average of 9.2% in November 2012. We believe that as a result of these fundamental changes, the WAC's rate structure is now consistent with the rate structure of our extended license agreement. In our opinion, such changes are inconsistent with the WAC's previous assertion that a rate of return on invested capital model is in the best interests of the public.

NSC Agua

In May 2010, we acquired, through our wholly-owned Netherlands subsidiary, Consolidated Water Cooperatief, U.A., a 50% interest in N.S.C. Agua, S.A. de C.V., ("NSC") a Mexican company. We have since purchased, through the conversion of a previous loan we made to NSC, sufficient shares to raise our ownership interest in NSC to 99.9%. NSC was formed to pursue a project encompassing the construction, operation, and minority ownership of a 100 million gallon per day seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant to be located in northern Baja California, Mexico and an accompanying pipeline to deliver water to the Mexican potable water infrastructure and the U.S. border. We believe such a project can be successful due to what we anticipate will be a growing need for a new potable water supply for the areas of northern Baja California, Mexico and Southern California, United States. To complete this project, we have engaged two engineering groups with extensive regional and/or technical experience and have partnered with Doosan Heavy Industries and Construction ("DHIC"), a global leader in the engineering, procurement and construction of large seawater desalination plants. Once completed, we expect to participate in the operation of the plant and pipeline and to retain a minority ownership position in the project. NSC is in the development stage, and is presently seeking contracts for the electric power and feed water sources for the plant's proposed operations, and is conducting (under a Memorandum of Understanding with DHIC) an equipment piloting plant and water quality data collection program at the proposed feed water source. In addition to completing these activities, NSC will be required to accomplish various other steps before it can commence construction of the plant and pipeline including, but not limited to, completing the purchases of land required for the project, obtaining approvals and permits from various governmental agencies in Mexico and the United States, securing contracts with its proposed customers to sell water in sufficient quantities and at prices that make the project financially viable, and obtaining equity and debt financing for the project. NSC's potential customers will also be required to obtain various governmental permits and approvals in order to purchase water from NSC.

In February 2012, we acquired an option, exercisable through February 7, 2014, to purchase the shares of one of the other shareholders of NSC for \$1.0 million, along with an immediate power of attorney to vote those shares. If we do not exercise this option and purchase these shares, the other shareholder will receive sufficient additional shares in NSC to increase its ownership interest in NSC to 25%.

In 2011, NSC entered into a purchase contract for 8.1 hectares of land on which the proposed plant would be constructed. In July 2012, NSC obtained an extension of this purchase contract through May 15, 2014 in exchange for prepayments of (i) \$500,000 paid at signing of the extension and (ii) a further \$500,000 paid in May 2013. NSC is required to pay a balance of \$6.98 million on May 15, 2014 to complete the purchase of this land. In May 2013, NSC purchased an additional 12 hectares of land, which constitute most of the land required for the project, for \$12 million, of which \$2 million has been paid. The remaining \$10 million balance for this purchase is due on May 15, 2014.

In August 2012, NSC and DHIC extended their Memorandum of Understanding for 18 months from August 19, 2012, pursuant to which DHIC installed and is operating an equipment piloting plant and collecting water quality data from the proposed feed water source site in Rosarito Beach, Baja California, Mexico. This amended Memorandum of Understanding requires that NSC negotiate exclusively with DHIC for the construction of the 100 million gallon per day seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant and further requires payment by NSC to DHIC of up to \$500,000 as compensation for the operation and maintenance of the equipment piloting plant should NSC not award the engineering, procurement and construction contract for the project to DHIC. This first phase of the pilot plant testing program was completed in October 2013. NSC is currently developing additional sampling protocols to comply with regulatory requirements in the U.S. and Mexico, and is also coordinating with regulators to assess the need, if any, for further process piloting.

In November 2012, NSC signed a letter of intent with Otay Water District in Southern California to deliver no less than 20 million and up to 40 million gallons of water per day from the plant to the Otay Water District at the border between Mexico and the United States.

NSC has entered into a 20 year lease, effective November 2012, with the Comisión Federal de Electricidad for approximately 5,000 square meters of land on which it plans to construct the water intake and discharge works for the plant. The amounts due on this lease are payable in Mexican pesos at an amount that is currently equivalent to approximately \$20,000 per month. This lease is cancellable should NSC ultimately not proceed with the project.

In May 2013, NSC repaid a loan payable to us of approximately \$5.7 million by issuing additional shares of its stock. As a result of this share issuance, we now own 99.9% of NSC.

We include the accounts of NSC in our consolidated financial statements. Included in our consolidated results of operations are general and administrative expenses from NSC, consisting of organizational, legal, accounting, engineering, consulting and other costs relating to NSC's project development activities. Such expenses amounted to \$1,231,419 and \$482,015 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$2,223,291 and \$1,221,689 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and totaled approximately \$6.4 million for the three year period ended December 31, 2012. The assets and liabilities of NSC included in our condensed consolidated balance sheet amounted to approximately \$13,710,000 and \$10,343,000, respectively, as of September 30, 2013 and approximately \$1,452,000 and \$116,000, respectively, as of December 31, 2012.

We estimate that it will take at least through the third quarter of 2014 for NSC to complete all of the development activities (which include completing the site piloting plant activities, completing the purchase of the land for the plant, securing feed water and power supplies, completing the engineering and feasibility studies, negotiating customer contracts, obtaining the required rights-of-way and regulatory permits and arranging the project financing) necessary to commence construction of the plant and pipeline. During the remainder of 2013, we expect to incur an additional \$1.0 million in expenses for these project development activities. We expect to incur additional development expenses for this project in 2014. However, NSC may ultimately be unable to complete all of the activities necessary to begin construction of the project.

CW-Belize

By Statutory Instrument No. 81 of 2009, the Minister of Public Utilities of the government of Belize published an order, the Public Utility Provider Class Declaration Order, 2009 (the "Order"), which as of May 1, 2009 designated CW-Belize as a public utility provider under the laws of Belize. With this designation, the Public Utilities Commission of Belize (the "PUC") has the authority to set the rates charged by CW-Belize and to otherwise regulate its activities. On November 1, 2010, CW-Belize received a formal complaint from the PUC alleging that CW-Belize was operating without a license under the terms of the Water Industry Act. CW-Belize applied for this license in December 2010. On July 29, 2011, the PUC issued the San Pedro Public Water Supply Quality and Security Complaint Order (the "Second Order") which among other things requires that (i) CW-Belize and its customer jointly make a submission to the responsible Minister requesting that the area surrounding CW-Belize's seawater abstraction wells be designated a forest reserve or national park and be designated a Controlled Area under section 58 of the Water Industry Act, (ii) CW-Belize submit an operations manual for CW-Belize's desalination plant to the PUC for approval, (iii) CW-Belize and its customer modify the water supply agreement between the parties to (a) include new water quality parameters included in the Order and (b) cap the current exclusive water supply arrangement in the agreement at a maximum of 450,000 gallons per day, (iv) CW-Belize keep a minimum number of replacement seawater RO membranes in stock at all times and (v) CW-Belize take possession of and reimburse the PUC for certain equipment which the PUC purchased from a third-party in late 2010. CW-Belize has applied for declaratory judgment and has been granted a temporary injunction to stay the enforcement of the Second Order by the PUC until such time as the matter could be heard by the Belize courts. The initial hearing on this matter was conducted on October 30 and 31, 2012 with an

additional hearing on November 29, 2012. The ruling on this case is pending. We are presently unable to determine what impact the outcome of this matter will have on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Transfers of U.S. dollars from CW-Belize to our other subsidiaries require authorization in advance from the Central Bank of Belize.

Windsor Plant Water Supply Agreement

Our subsidiary CW-Bahamas provides bulk water to the Water and Sewerage Corporation of The Bahamas (“WSC”), which distributes the water through its own pipeline system to residential, commercial and tourist properties on the Island of New Providence. Pursuant to a water supply agreement, we are required to provide the WSC with at least 16.8 million gallons per week of potable water from our Windsor plant, and the WSC has contracted to purchase at least that amount from us on a take-or-pay basis. This water supply agreement expired when we delivered the total amount of water required under the agreement in July 2013.

At the conclusion of the agreement, the WSC has the option to (i) extend the agreement for an additional five years at a rate to be negotiated; (ii) exercise a right of first refusal to purchase any materials, equipment and facilities that CW-Bahamas intends to remove from the site at a purchase price to be negotiated; or (iii) require CW-Bahamas to remove all materials, equipment and facilities from the site. At the request of the government of The Bahamas, we continue to operate the Windsor plant to provide the government of The Bahamas with additional time to decide whether or not it will extend CW-Bahamas' water supply agreement for the Windsor plant on a long term basis. We are presently unable to determine if CW-Bahamas' water supply agreement for its Windsor plant will be extended or, if extended, on what terms. We generated approximately \$1.9 million, \$5.5 million and \$7.5 million in revenues from the operation of this plant during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012, respectively.

Dividends

- On January 31, 2013, we paid a dividend of \$0.075 to shareholders of record on January 1, 2013.
- On April 30, 2013, we paid a dividend of \$0.075 to shareholders of record on April 1, 2013.
- On July 31, 2013, we paid a dividend of \$0.075 to shareholders of record on July 1, 2013.
- On August 20, 2013, our Board declared a dividend of \$0.075 payable on October 31, 2013 to shareholders of record on October 1, 2013.

We have paid dividends to owners of our common shares and redeemable preference shares since we began declaring dividends in 1985. Our payment of any future cash dividends will depend upon our earnings, financial condition, cash flows, capital requirements and other factors our Board deems relevant in determining the amount and timing of such dividends.

Dividend Reinvestment and Common Stock Purchase Plan

This program is available to our shareholders, who may reinvest all or a portion of their common cash dividends into shares of common stock at prevailing market prices and may also invest optional cash payments to purchase additional shares at prevailing market prices as part of this program.

Impact of Inflation

Under the terms of our Cayman Islands license and our water sales agreements in Belize, Bahamas and the British Virgin Islands, our water rates are automatically adjusted for inflation on an annual basis, subject to temporary exceptions. We, therefore, believe that the impact of inflation on our gross profit, measured in consistent dollars, will not be material. However, significant increases in items such as fuel and energy costs could create additional credit risks for us, as our customers' ability to pay our invoices could be adversely affected by such increases.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There have been no material changes in our exposure to market risk from December 31, 2012 to the end of the period covered by this report.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management has evaluated, with the participation of its principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officer, the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Controls

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation of such internal control that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business faces significant risks. These risks include those disclosed in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 as supplemented by the additional risk factors included below. If any of the events or circumstances described in the referenced risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected and such events or circumstances could cause our actual results to differ materially from the results contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this report. These risks should be read in conjunction with the other information set forth in this Quarterly Report as well as in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and in our other periodic reports on Form 10-Q and Form 8-K.

Our exclusive license to provide water to retail customers in the Cayman Islands may not be renewed in the future.

In the Cayman Islands, we provide water to retail customers under a license issued to us in July 1990 by the Cayman Islands government that grants us the exclusive right to provide water to retail customers within our licensed service area. Our service area is comprised of an area on Grand Cayman that includes the Seven Mile Beach and West Bay areas, two of the three most populated areas in the Cayman Islands. For the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, we generated approximately 33% and 36%, respectively, of our consolidated revenues and 45% and 55%, respectively, of our consolidated gross profits from the retail water operations conducted pursuant to our exclusive license. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, we generated approximately 36% and 37% of our consolidated revenues and 52% and 57%, respectively, of our consolidated gross profits from the retail water operations conducted pursuant to our exclusive license. If we are not in default of any terms of the license, we have a right of first refusal to renew the license on terms that are no less favorable than those that the government offers to any third party.

This license was set to expire on July 10, 2010; however, we and the Cayman Islands government have extended the license several times in order to provide sufficient time to negotiate the terms of a new license agreement. The most recent extension of our license expires June 30, 2014.

In February 2011, the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011 (which replaces the Water (Production and Supply) Law (1996 Revision) under which the Company is licensed) and the Water Authority (Amendment) Law, 2011 (collectively, the “New Laws”) were published and are now in full force and effect. Under the New Laws, the Water Authority-Cayman (“WAC”) would issue any new license which could include the rate of return on invested capital model discussed below.

We have been advised in correspondence from the Cayman Islands government and the WAC that: (i) the WAC is now the principal negotiator, and not the Cayman Islands government, in these license negotiations, and (ii) the WAC has determined that a rate of return on invested capital model (“RCAM”) is in the best interest of the public and the Company’s customers. RCAM is the rate model currently utilized in the electricity transmission and distribution license granted by the Cayman Islands government to the Caribbean Utilities Company, Ltd.

In July 2012, in an effort to resolve several issues relating to our retail license renewal negotiations, we filed an Application for Leave to Apply for Judicial Review (the “Application”) with the Grand Court of the Cayman Islands (the “Court”), stating that: (i) certain provisions of the Water Authority (Amendment) Law, 2011 and the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011, appear to be incompatible, (ii) the WAC’s roles as the principal license negotiator, statutory regulator and our competitor put the WAC in a position of hopeless conflict, and (iii) the WAC’s decision to replace the rate structure under our current exclusive license with RCAM was predetermined and unreasonable.

Throughout the course of the retail license renewal negotiations, we have objected to the use of RCAM on the basis that we believe such a model would not promote the efficient operation of our water utility and could ultimately increase water rates to our customers.

In October 2012, we were notified that the Court agreed to consider the issues raised in the Application. As a result, our Company, the Cayman Islands government and the WAC will have the opportunity to present their positions to the Court in a trial proceeding. We have been notified by the Grand Court that the first hearing for this judicial review has been scheduled for April 1-2, 2014.

In August 2013, we met with representatives of the Cayman Islands Government and the WAC to discuss the status of our license negotiations. As a result of this meeting, all parties agreed to await the outcome of the pending judicial review before recommencing any meaningful negotiations on the license. Subsequently our water utility license on Grand Cayman was extended until June 30, 2014 to allow additional time for the judicial review proceedings to conclude.

If we do not ultimately enter into a new license agreement and no other party is awarded a license, we expect to be permitted to continue to supply water to our service area.

The Cayman Islands government could offer a third party a license to service some or all of our present service area. In such event, we may assume the license offered to the third party by exercising our right of first refusal. However, the terms of any new license agreement may not be as favorable to us as the terms under which we are presently operating and could materially reduce the operating income and cash flows that we have historically generated from our retail license and could require us to record an impairment loss to reduce the \$3,499,037 carrying value of our goodwill. Such impairment loss could be material to our results of operations.

We have spent approximately \$11.9 million to fund the development costs for a possible project in Mexico and expect to expend significant additional funds in the future to continue to pursue this project. However, we may not be successful in completing this project.

In May 2010, we acquired, through our wholly-owned Netherlands subsidiary, Consolidated Water Cooperatief, U.A., a 50% interest in N.S.C. Agua, S.A. de C.V., (“NSC”) a Mexican company. We have since purchased, through the conversion of a previous loan we made to NSC, sufficient shares to raise our ownership interest in NSC to 99.9%. NSC was formed to pursue a project encompassing the construction, operation and minority ownership of a 100 million gallon per day seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant to be located in northern Baja California, Mexico and an accompanying pipeline to deliver water to the Mexican potable water infrastructure and the U.S. border. We believe such a project can be successful due to what we anticipate will be a growing need for a new potable water supply for the areas of northern Baja California, Mexico and Southern California, United States. To complete this project, we have engaged two engineering groups with extensive regional and/or technical experience and have partnered with Doosan Heavy Industries and Construction (“DHIC”), a global leader in the engineering, procurement and construction of large seawater desalination plants. Once completed, we expect to participate in the operation of the plant and pipeline and to retain a minority ownership position in the project. NSC is in the development stage, and is presently involved in seeking contracts for the electric power and feed water sources for the plant’s proposed operations, and conducting (under a Memorandum of Understanding with DHIC) an equipment piloting plant and

water quality data collection program at the proposed feed water source. In addition to completing these activities, NSC will be required to accomplish various other steps before it can commence construction of the plant and pipeline including, but not limited to, completing the purchases of the land required for the project, obtaining approvals and permits from various governmental agencies in Mexico and the United States, securing contracts with its proposed customers to sell water in sufficient quantities and at prices that make the project financially viable, and obtaining equity and debt financing for the project. NSC's potential customers will also be required to obtain various governmental permits and approvals in order to purchase water from NSC.

In February 2012, we acquired an option, exercisable through February 7, 2014, to purchase the shares of one of the other shareholders of NSC for \$1.0 million, along with an immediate power of attorney to vote those shares. If we do not exercise this option and purchase these shares, the other shareholder will receive sufficient additional shares in NSC to raise its ownership interest in NSC to 25%.

In 2011, NSC entered into a purchase contract for 8.1 hectares of land on which the proposed plant would be constructed. In July 2012, NSC obtained an extension of this purchase contract through May 15, 2014 in exchange for prepayments of (i) \$500,000 paid at signing of the extension and (ii) a further \$500,000 paid in May 2013. NSC is required to pay a balance of \$6.98 million on May 15, 2014 to complete the purchase of this land. In May 2013, NSC purchased an additional 12 hectares of land, which constitute most of the land required for the project, for \$12 million, of which \$2 million has been paid. The remaining \$10 million balance for this purchase is due on May 15, 2014.

In August 2012, NSC and DHIC extended their Memorandum of Understanding for 18 months from August 19, 2012, pursuant to which DHIC installed and is operating an equipment piloting plant and collecting water quality data from the proposed feed water source site in Rosarito Beach, Baja California, Mexico. This amended Memorandum of Understanding requires that NSC negotiate exclusively with DHIC for the construction of the 100 million gallon per day seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant and further requires payment by NSC to DHIC of up to \$500,000 as compensation for the operation and maintenance of the equipment piloting plant should NSC not award the engineering, procurement and construction contract for the project to DHIC. This first phase of the pilot plant testing program was completed in October 2013. NSC is currently developing additional sampling protocols to comply with regulatory requirements in the U.S. and Mexico, and is also coordinating with regulators to assess the need, if any, for further process piloting.

We include the accounts of NSC in our consolidated financial statements. Included in our consolidated results of operations are general and administrative expenses from NSC, consisting of organizational, legal, accounting, engineering, consulting and other costs relating to NSC's project development activities. Such expenses amounted to \$1,231,419 and \$482,015 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$2,223,291 and \$1,221,689 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and totaled approximately \$6.4 million for the three year period ended December 31, 2012. The assets and liabilities of NSC included in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet amounted to approximately \$13,710,000 and \$10,343,000, respectively, as of September 30, 2013 and approximately \$1,452,000 and \$116,000, respectively, as of December 31, 2012.

We estimate that it will take at least through the third quarter of 2014 for NSC to complete all of the development activities (which include completing the site piloting plant activities, completing the purchase of the land for the plant, securing feed water and power supplies, completing the engineering and feasibility studies, negotiating customer contracts, obtaining the required rights-of-way and regulatory permits and arranging the project financing) necessary to commence construction of the plant and pipeline. During the remainder of 2013, we expect to incur an additional \$1.0 million in expenses for these project development activities. We expect to incur additional development expenses for this project in 2014. However, NSC may ultimately be unable to complete all of the activities necessary to begin construction of the project.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

In July 2013, we issued 2,204 shares of preferred stock to 14 employees for cash at a price of \$6.16 per share. The issuance of the preferred stock to 12 of the employees was exempt from registration under Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") because the shares were issued outside of the United States to non-US persons (as defined in Regulation S). Two of such employees are US persons and the issuance of the preferred shares to them was exempt under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act because there was no general solicitation of investors in connection with the offer and sale of the shares and there were a limited number of US persons involved in the transaction.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Schema
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.

By: /s/ Frederick W. McTaggart
Frederick W. McTaggart
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ David W. Sasnett
David W. Sasnett
Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Date: November 12, 2013