

DYNARESOURCE INC  
Form 10-K  
March 31, 2010

---

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

DYNARESOURCE, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State of Incorporation)

94-1589426  
(Employer  
Identification No.)

222 W. Las Colinas Blvd., Suite 744 East  
Tower; Irving, Texas  
(Address of principal offices)

75039  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number: Phone: (972) 868-9066; Fax: (972) 868-9067

Securities to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:  
None

Securities to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock; \$ .01 Par Value

Edgar Filing: DYNARESOURCE INC - Form 10-K

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Yes

No

Indicate by a check mark whether the Registrant is a large filer, an accredited filer, non-accredited filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accredited filer", "accredited filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer .

Accelerated Filer .

Non-Accelerated Filer .

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes

No

Aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter.

Number of preferred shares outstanding at March 25, 2010 1,000

Number of common shares outstanding at March 25, 2010 9,374,303

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>PART I</b>		
ITEM 1	Description of Business	3
ITEM 2.	Description of Property	14
ITEM 3.	Legal Proceedings	18
ITEM 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	18
<b>PART II</b>		
ITEM 5.	Market for Registrant’s Common Equity and Related Stockholders Matters	19
ITEM 6.	Selected Financial Data	19
ITEM 7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation	19
ITEM 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	30
ITEM 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	31
ITEM 9A.	Controls and Procedures	31
ITEM 9B.	Other Information	32
<b>PART III</b>		
ITEM 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Government	33
ITEM 11.	Executive Compensation	35
ITEM 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	36
ITEM 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	37
ITEM 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	37
<b>PART IV</b>		
ITEM 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	38
<b>EXHIBIT INDEX</b>		
Exhibit 31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as enacted by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
Exhibit 31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as enacted by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
Exhibit 32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 United States Code Section 1350, as enacted by Section 906 of the	

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

SIGNATURES

40

2

---

## PART I

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This annual report on Form 10-K includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, which we refer to in this annual report as the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which we refer to in this annual report as the Exchange Act. Forward-looking statements are not statements of historical fact but rather reflect our current expectations, estimates and predictions about future results and events. These statements may use words such as “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “predict,” “project” and similar expressions as they relate to us or our management. When we make forward-looking statements, we are basing them on our management’s beliefs and assumptions, using information currently available to us. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including but not limited to, risks, uncertainties and assumptions discussed in this annual report. Factors that can cause or contribute to these differences include those described under the headings “Risk Factors” and “Management Discussion and Analysis and Plan of Operation.”

If one or more of these or other risks or uncertainties materialize, or if our underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, actual results may vary materially from what we projected. Any forward-looking statement you read in this annual report reflects our current views with respect to future events and is subject to these and other risks, uncertainties and assumptions relating to our operations, results of operations, growth strategy and liquidity. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or individuals acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by this paragraph. You should specifically consider the factors identified in this annual report which would cause actual results to differ before making an investment decision. We are under no duty to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this annual report or to confirm these statements to actual results.

#### Item 1. Business

##### Company.

DynaResource, Inc., the Company described herein, is a Delaware corporation, with offices located at 222 W. Las Colinas Blvd., Suite 744 East Tower, Irving, Texas 75039. It can be reached by phone at (972) 868-9066 and by fax at (972) 868-9067.

##### History.

The Company was incorporated in the State of California on September 28, 1937, under the name West Coast Mines, Inc. In November 1998, the Company re-domiciled its corporate charter from California to Delaware and changed its name to DynaResource, Inc.

The Company is in the business of acquiring, investing in, and developing precious metal properties, and the production of precious metals.

In 2000, the Company formed a wholly owned subsidiary, DynaResource de Mexico S.A. de C.V. chartered in Mexico (“DynaMexico”). This Company was formed to acquire, invest in and develop resource properties in Mexico. In 2005, the Company formed DynaResource Operaciones de San Jose De Gracia S.A. de C.V. (“DynaOperaciones”) and acquired effective control of Mineras de DynaResource S.A. de C.V. (formerly Minera Finesterre, S.A. de C.V.) (“MinerasDyna”). The Company owns 25% of MinerasDyna and acquired effective control by acquiring the option under agreement to purchase the remaining 75% of the Shares outstanding for the Company, for seventy five pesos (approximately \$5.50 USD, as of December 31, 2009). The agreement with other shareholders

of MinerasDyna provided that they relinquish and forfeit any and all rights, interests and claims in and to the Corporation and in or to any of the rights or assets owned or controlled by the Corporation. The Company exercised the option to acquire the remaining 75 % of MinerasDyna in January 2010; and it now owns 100 % of Mineras Dyna.

DynaMexico holds title to all mining concessions and all assets relating to the San Jose de Gracia mining property (“SJG”). DynaMexico has entered into an operating agreement with MinerasDyna whereby MinerasDyna has been named the exclusive operator of the SJG; and MinerasDyna has subsequently entered into a personnel services agreement whereby DynaOperaciones registers and manages personnel in Mexico.

The San Jose de Gracia mining property surrounds the area of San Jose de Gracia, Sinaloa State, Mexico. San Jose de Gracia is located on the west side of the Sierra Madre Mountains, approximately 100 kilometers inland from Los Mochis, Sinaloa Mexico and approximately 200 Kilometers north of Mazatlan, Sinaloa. The SJG property (“SJG”) is described in more detail in this Form 10-K, under the Section titled “PROPERTY”.

SJG reports 1,000,000 Oz. gold historical production from a series of underground workings. 471,000 Oz. is reported produced at the La Purisima area of SJG, at an average grade of 66.7 g/t.; and 215,000 Oz. is reported produced from the La Prieta area, at an average grade of 27.6 g/t. Mineralization at SJG has been traced on surface and underground over a 15 square kilometer area.

A drill program was conducted at SJG in 1997 - 1998 by a prior majority owner. Approximately 6,172 meters drilling was completed in 63 core drill holes. Significant intercepts, including bonanza grades, outlined Down Dip potential of the Northeast section (150 Meter NE to SW extent of the Drilling) of the Los Hilos to Tres Amigos Trend of SJG. Surface and underground sampling in 1999 - 2000 confirmed high grades in historic workings and surface exposures throughout the project area. These high grades outline the presence of ore shoots developed within the veins. The ore shoots appear to be controlled by dilational jogs and/or vein intersections. A total of 544 samples were collected in 1999-2000, and assayed an average 6.51 grams/ton gold.

DynaMexico was formed in March, 2000, in order to acquire and consolidate the SJG district under the DynaMexico Company. DynaMexico focused on this acquisition and consolidation work through December, 2003, at which time DynaMexico reported a 100 % ownership of the SJG District.

DynaMexico, conducting activities through its operating sister companies MinerasDyna and DynaOperaciones, mined high-grade veins at the San Pablo area of SJG from mid 2003 to June 2006. 18,000 Oz. gold was produced and sold; from mined tonnage of 42,250 tons, at an average grade of approximately 20 g/t. Production costs were reported at approx. < \$ 175. / Oz. in this small scale, pilot production operation.

Mined Tonnage	42,250 tons
Production (Oz Au)	18,000 Oz
Average Grade	20 g/t
Recovery Efficiency (Plant)	85%
Recovery in Concentrate (Sales)	90%
Production Cost (Average, 4 Years)	\$175 / Oz

The small scale mining and production activities at SJG consisted of improvements to an existing mill, including the installation of a gravity / flotation processing circuit, and initial test runs with tailings were completed in 2002. Actual mining at the higher grade San Pablo area of the property commenced in March 2003. On an annual basis, The Company reported the following results of production activities from March 2003 through June, 2006:

- Mined tonnage reported of 7,500, 13,500, 17,500 and 3,750; for years 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 respectively;





- Average mined grades reported of 25 g/t, 25 g/t, 15 g/t and 12 g/t for years 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 respectively;
- Production in ounces gold reported of 4,750, 7,500, 5,000 and 750 for years 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 respectively;
- Expenses on an accrual basis of \$ 733,626, \$ 1,305,344, \$ 1,485,482, and \$ 494,422 for years 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 respectively;
- Revenues on an accrual basis of \$ 1,543,237, \$ 3,259,041, \$ 2,169,609 (less \$ 375,110. in concentrate losses) and \$ 1,647,665 for years 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 respectively;
- Costs per ton reported of \$ 97.81, \$ 93.92, \$ 87, and \$ 131. for years 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 respectively;
- Costs per ounce gold produced reported of \$ 125, \$ 125, \$ 240 and \$ 491 for years 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 respectfully;

The Company notes the reduced average mined grade in 2005 and 2006, resulting from geologic faulting at San Pablo, as the reason for higher production costs per oz., even while the production costs per ton were reduced during 2005. And, the increase in costs in 2006 included the costs of suspending the production activity and costs of termination of employees.

#### Suspension of Production Activities

The Company initiated the test production activity in 2003 at the time gold prices were depressed, and when exploration funding opportunities, while available, were deemed to be too dilutive by Company management. While the Test production was a success (see results above), a small scale production activity was not expected to provide the necessary capital in order to explore a project the size of SJG. However, The Company expects the results of the production activity to provide significant benefits to the exploration drilling to be conducted at San Pablo and other areas of SJG; while at the same time confirming production grades, efficiency of recoveries, and production costs. The Company believes this small scale mining works have laid the foundation for the scaling up of production at SJG in the future. The Company further believes that overall production costs can be significantly reduced in a larger scale operation.

As gold prices continued to appreciate into 2006, exploration financing opportunities increased and the Company negotiated and entered into the "Earn In / Option agreement with Goldgroup Resources Inc., Vancouver, BC, dated September 1, 2006. The Terms of the Earn In / Option agreement provides for \$ 18 M. USD financing, and exploration expenditures at SJG, by Goldgroup to DynaMexico, in exchange for Goldgroup's earning of 50 % of the Shares of DynaMexico, while also providing for the involvement of proven industry professionals in the SJG project. (See Earn In / Option Agreement.)

#### Material Agreements

##### Earn In / Option Agreement.

On September 1, 2006 the Company signed a "Stock Purchase and Earn In Agreement" ("Earn In") between DynaResource, Inc. ("DynaResource"), DynaResource de Mexico SA. de CV. ("DynaMexico"), ("Seller"), and Goldgroup Resources Inc., of Vancouver, British Columbia ("Goldgroup"), ("Buyer"), and Together, ("the Parties").



The Earn In provides for the sale of up to fifty per cent (50 %) of the total outstanding shares of DynaMexico, which at the time of the agreement was the wholly owned subsidiary of DynaResource, and the owner of the San Jose de Gracia District in northern Sinaloa, Mexico (“SJG”); In exchange for the total cash contributions to DynaMexico, and expenditures related to the exploration and development of the SJG, in the amount of Eighteen Million Dollars USD. (\$18,000,000.) by Goldgroup; contributed in four (4) Phases, as set forth below:

Phase	On or before	Amount of Funds to be deposited to DynaMexico (For SJG Expenditures)	Interest Earned (by Goldgroup in DynaMexico)	Cumulative Interest Earned (by Goldgroup in DynaMexico)
1.	June 15, 2007	\$1,000,000	0%	0%; Completed.
2.	March 15, 2008	\$2,000,000	15%	15%; Completed.
3.	September 15, 2009	\$3,000,000	10%	25%; Completed.
4.	March 15, 2011	\$12,000,000	25%	50%; In Process

Pursuant to the Earn-In agreement:

DynaResource attached the “SJG Title Opinion”, compiled by Urias Romero Y Asociados, Abraham Urias, Mazatlan, Sinaloa, with attachments and schedules; describing the status and position of DynaMexico and affiliated companies in Mexico, and confirming the 100 % ownership and status of the Mining Concessions comprising the SJG District in Sinaloa, Mexico;

DynaResource attached its Audited Consolidated Financial Statements at December 31, 2005;

The Parties agreed to a revised setting of the Board of Directors of DynaMexico, to:

Two (2) members of DynaResource; K.D. Diepholz, Chairman / CEO of DynaResource as President; and, Charles E. Smith; CFO of DynaResource;

One (1) member of Goldgroup; Keith Piggott, CEO of Goldgroup;

The SJG Management Committee is formed to approve budgets and expenditures pursuant to the Earn In. The setting of the Management Committee is:

- Two (2) members of Goldgroup; Keith Piggott, CEO of Goldgroup as Chairman; and, John Sutherland, CFO of Goldgroup;

- One (1) member of DynaResource; K.D. Diepholz, Chairman / CEO of DynaResource;

Members of the management committee may be changed as subsequently agreed.

The Parties agreed to cooperate to develop the SJG Property, in the best interests of the Project.

Memorandum of Understanding, (“MOU”):

In order to clarify and confirm the operating structure at SJG, DynaResource, Inc., DynaResource de Mexico, and Goldgroup Resources Inc. (the Parties to the Earn In / Option Agreement); and together, “the Parties”) entered into a “Memorandum of Understanding” (the “MOU”), dated July 29, 2008. The MOU provides for:

6

---

- Mineras de DynaResource (“MinerasDyna”) as the exclusive operating entity at SJG, pursuant to the operating agreement with DynaResource de Mexico (“DynaMexico”);
- DynaMexico owns the SJG 100%, and all records, data and information pursuant thereto. Any information disseminated regarding SJG must be disclosed as originating from DynaMexico;
- The SJG Management Committee is not a legal entity and has no authority or ability to sign contracts or incur obligations or liabilities to DynaMexico, MinerasDyna, or DynaOperaciones;
- The SJG Management Committee does not have the authority to act for or represent DynaMexico, MinerasDyna, DynaOperaciones, or the SJG Property;
- All personnel or consultants related to the SJG Project must be employed or contracted through MinerasDyna or DynaOperaciones and must be accountable to the employing / contracting entity;

Since the inception of the Earn In Agreement, and as of December 31, 2008, Goldgroup had deposited sufficient funds to complete Phases 1, 2 and 3 of the Agreement, and as a result, Goldgroup has received 25% of the outstanding common stock of DynaMexico. As of December 31, 2009, Goldgroup had deposited \$9,867,990 USD to DynaMexico, with approximately \$103,024,033, Mexican Pesos reported in qualified expenditures.

#### Phase 1, 2 and 3 of the Earn In / Option Agreement; Activity and Results

In Phase 1 of the Earn In Agreement, approximately 3,400 meters drilling was accomplished in 22 core drill holes (SJG 07-01 to SJG 07-22); as well as geochemical sampling and mapping, and data consolidation into Surpac Software.

In Phase 2 of the Earn In Agreement, approximately 5,500 meters was completed in 23 core drill holes (SJG 07-23 to 07-45).

In Phase 3 of the Earn In Agreement, approximately 15,150 meters was completed in 56 core drill holes (SJG 08-46 to SJG 08-101).

In Phase 4 of the Earn In Agreement, and at December 31, 2008, approximately 5,950 meters were completed in 25 core drill holes (through SJG 08-126).

Continuing with Phase 4 of the Earn In Agreement, in 2009 and at December 31, 2009, an additional 4,000 Meters was completed in 21 Drill Holes (through SJG 09-147.)

At December 31, 2009, a total of 34,000 meters drilling has been completed in 147 core drill holes, financed pursuant to the Earn In Agreement. (The “SJG Drill Intercepts Summary File”, describing the intercepts of all core drill holes, and including the previous drilling results of 1997-1998, is attached as Exhibit 99.1 to this Form 10 / K.) Drilling Highlights by target area are described in the Management’s Discussion and Analysis, Item 7.

These drilling Results obtained through December 31, 2009 confirm extensions of mineralization, down dip of historical workings at SJG, with confirmation of high grade gold (as measured in grams per ton) which are consistent with historical and recent production. Specifically, San Pablo, Tres Amigos, La Purisima, and La Union areas have reported significant results, which have begun to define an inferred resource in those areas. Continuing Phase 4 drilling will be targeted at San Pablo, Tres Amigos, La Prieta, La Union and La Purisima. In 2010, the Company

expects DynaMexico to commission a 43-101 compliant report of the current “resources” defined at SJG. (See Management’s Discussion and Analysis, Item 7).

In addition to the drilling and exploration activity conducted pursuant to the Earn In Agreement, three additional Mining Concessions have been claimed for the benefit of DynaMexico. Title to these Concessions has been received by DynaMexico, which extends the SJG District by approximately 95,000 Hectares (to a Total of + 99,500 Hectares).

The Company maintains approximately 28 employees. Three employees are corporate officers and work in the corporate office in the United States. Other employees are maintained through DynaOperaciones in Mexico. The Company also retains legal counsel in the US, legal counsel in Mexico, and it retains as consultants a senior geologist and junior geologist in Mexico.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Business Risk

The Company is involved in the business of exploration and development of resource properties, which carries the inherent risk of failure

The exploration and development of mineral deposits involve significant risks which a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate. There is no assurance that the Company's exploration programs will result in any discoveries of commercial ore bodies. The company has numerous competitors, many with greater financial, technical, capital, and other resources.

Nature of Mineral Exploration and Mining

The Company's future is dependent upon its exploration programs. The exploration and development of mineral deposits involve significant risks over significant periods of time. It is impossible to ensure that the current or proposed exploration programs on the Company's property will result in a profitable mining operation.

Whether a mineralized deposit will be commercially viable depends on many factors, such as size and grade of the deposit, proximity to infrastructure, financing costs, regulations, environmental protection, commodities prices, taxes, political risks. The impact of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of factors may result in the Company's failure to provide a return on investment.

Competitive Business Conditions

The company competes with many larger, well capitalized companies which places the Company at a competitive disadvantage

The Company competes with many companies in the mining business, including large, established mining companies with substantial capabilities, personnel, and financial resources. There is a limited supply of desirable mineral lands available for claim-staking, lease, or acquisition in Mexico where the Company's activities are focused. The Company may be at a competitive disadvantage in acquiring mineral properties, since it competes with companies which have greater financial resources and larger technical staffs. From time to time, specific properties or areas which would otherwise be attractive for acquisition or exploration are unavailable due to their previous acquisition by competitors or due to the Company's lack of financial resources.

Competition in the industry is not limited to the acquisition of mineral properties, but also extends to the technical expertise to find, advance, and operate such properties; the labor to operate the properties; and the capital for the purpose of funding such properties. Many competitors explore for and mine precious metals, and conduct refining and marketing operations on a world-wide basis. Such competition may result not only in the company being unable to acquire desired properties, but also to recruit or retain qualified employees, to obtain equipment and personnel to assist in our exploration activities, or to acquire the capital necessary to fund our operation and advance our properties. Our inability to compete with other companies for these resources would have a material adverse effect on our results of operation and business.





## Government Regulations

The Company conducts its resource exploration and development activities in Mexico, subject to rules and regulations for owning and maintaining mining concessions and surface rights, environmental, water rights, hazardous wastes, explosives, reclamation, and others. There can be no certainty that the company maintains full compliance with all government regulations

Mexico. Exploration and development of minerals in Mexico may be carried out through Mexican companies incorporated under Mexican law by means of obtaining exploration and development (exploitation) concessions. The Company's concessions are granted by the Mexican government, or acquired from previous owners, and are filed in the Public Registry of Mining, are scheduled to expire from 2028 through 2058. Holders of exploration concessions may, prior to the expiration of such concessions, apply for one or more development concessions covering all or part of the area covered by an exploration concession.

Environmental law in Mexico provides for general environmental policies, with specific requirements set forth under regulations of the Ministry of Environment, Natural Resources and Fishing, which regulate all environmental matters with the assistance of the National Institute of Ecology and the Procuraduria Federal de Proteccion al Ambiente.

The primary laws and regulations governing environmental protection for mining in Mexico are found in the General Law, the Ecological Technical Standards, and also in the air, water and hazardous waste regulations, among others. In order to comply with the environmental regulations, a concessionaire must obtain a series of permits during the exploration stage. Generally, these permits are issued on a timely basis after the completion of an application by a concession holder. The Company believes it is currently in full compliance with the General Law and its regulations in relation to its mineral property interests in Mexico.

## Commodities Prices

Any potential economic success of the Company's properties will depend to a large extent to the market price of commodities; the future price of which is impossible to predict.

The current value and potential value for properties obtained by the Company is directly related to the market price for gold. The market price of gold may also have a significant influence on the market price of the Company's common stock. If the Company obtains positive drill results and a property progresses to a point where a commercial production decision can be made, the decision to put a mine in production and to commit funds necessary for that purpose would be made long before any revenue from production would be received. A decrease in the market price of gold at any time during future exploration or development may prevent a property from being economically mined or result in the write-off of assets whose value is impaired as a result of lower gold prices.

The price of gold is affected by numerous factors beyond the Company's control, including inflation, fluctuation of the United States dollar and foreign currencies, global and regional demand, the purchase or sale of gold by central banks, and the political and economic conditions of major gold producing countries throughout the world. During the last five years, the market price of gold has fluctuated between approximately \$411 and \$1,212 per ounce. The volatility of gold prices represents a substantial risk which is impossible to fully eliminate. In the event gold prices decline and remain low for prolonged periods of time, the Company might be unable to explore, develop, or produce revenue from its properties.



#### No Revenue

The Company suspended its production activity in June 2006; and currently receives no significant revenue. There is a risk that the Company would expend available cash and funding in exploration and administration costs, and would not be able to obtain further funding to continue its work.

In June 2006, production activities at SJG were suspended, in order to focus on the exploration of the vast SJG district. Funds received by DynaMexico pursuant to the Earn In Agreement are segregated for exploration and related activities. In addition, the Company maintains overhead in the US. and other costs which are not reimbursed. The Company and its subsidiaries have \$1,640,548 in cash on hand, at December 31, 2009. The Company could incur exploration expenses and corporate expenses greater than the amount of available cash on hand. The Company may need to raise additional funds in order to support its activities. If the Company needs to raise additional capital, its common stock would be diluted. Further, if the Company is unable to raise funds to meet its obligations, the value of its common stock may decline.

#### Substantial Control of Chairman / Preferred Shares

The Company's Chairman and CEO owns 100% of the Preferred A shares which give him the right to elect the majority of the board of directors and therefore he will always have substantial control over our business and may make decisions that are not in the best interest of all stockholders.

K.W. ("K.D.") Diepholz, the Company's Chairman and CEO, owns 100% of the outstanding Class A Preferred Shares which gives him the authority to elect the majority of the Board of Directors. As a result, Mr. Diepholz will have the ability to control substantially all the matters submitted to our stockholders for approval, including the election and removal of directors and any merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets. Mr. Diepholz will also control the Company's management and affairs. Accordingly, this concentration of control may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of the Company, impeding a merger, consolidation, takeover or other business combination involving the Company or discouraging a potential acquirer from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to take control of the Company, even if the transaction would be beneficial to other stockholders. This, in turn, could cause the value of the Company's stock to decline.

#### Capital Needs

The Company may need to raise additional capital, which may not be available or may be too costly, which, if not obtained, could cause the Company to cease operations.

The Company's capital requirements could be greater than its operating income. The Company believes it has adequate cash on hand for the foreseeable future, but it does not have sufficient cash to indefinitely sustain operating losses. The Company's liquidity depends on its ability to raise capital through the sale of common stock or to restart production activities. The Company could seek additional financing through debt or equity offerings. Additional financing may not be available, or, if available, may be on terms unacceptable or unfavorable. If additional capital is required and not obtained, or if the Company is not able to produce revenue from operations, or otherwise operate at a profit, the value of investment in the Company could decline or be lost entirely.

#### Illiquid Market

The Company has a limited public market trading on the pink sheets, and an active trading market may never materialize, and an investor may not be able to sell stock.

Prior to the filing of this registration statement, there has been a limited public market for the Company's common stock. The Company plans to work with a market maker who would then apply to list the Company's securities on the OTC Bulletin Board. In order to be quoted on the OTCBB, the Company must be sponsored by a participating market maker who would make the application on its behalf. At this time, the Company is not aware of a market maker who intends to sponsor its securities and to make a market in our stock. An active trading market may not develop and if not the market value could decline to a value below the amount investors paid for stock. Additionally, if the market is not active or illiquid, investors may not be able to sell the securities of the Company.

### Penny Stock Classification

If a public trading market for the Company's common stock materializes, it will be classified as a 'penny stock' which would result in additional requirements for trading the stock. These additional requirements could affect the liquidity of the stock.

The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission treats stocks of certain companies as a 'penny stock'. The Company is not aware of a market maker who intends to make a market in our stock, but should the Company shares be listed for trade, the shares would be classified as a 'penny stock' which results in further requirements. These requirements include (i) broker-dealers requiring prospective buyers to complete a questionnaire, and (ii) broker-dealers may decide that prospective buyers are not suitable to purchase shares of the Company. These requirements may adversely affect the ability of both the selling broker-dealer and the buying broker-dealer to trade securities of the Company, as well as affect the ability of purchasers of Company securities to in turn sell in the secondary market. These requirements may restrict or eliminate potential buyers for the common stock and as a result the shares of the Company could be illiquid.

### Title Matters

No Guaranty of Title.

The Company has investigated title to all mineral claims, and, to the best of its knowledge, title to all properties is in good standing. There can be no assurance of complete title, nor guarantee of title. The properties may be affected by undetected defects in title, such as the reduction in size of the mineral claims and other third party claims affecting the Company's priority rights.

### General Conflicts of Officers / Management

The officers of the Company could become involved in other mining properties or companies in similar lines of business, creating a conflict of interest. In this event, officers could make decisions that are not in the best interest of the shareholders of the Company.

Directors of the Company are or may become directors of other mining or resource investment companies, or other reporting companies, or, have significant shareholdings in other mineral resource companies. To the extent that such other companies may participate in ventures in which the Company may participate, the directors of the Company may have a conflict of interest in negotiating and concluding terms respecting the extent of such participation. The Company and its directors attempt to minimize such conflicts. In the event that such a conflict of interest arises at a meeting of the directors of the Company, a director who has such a conflict may be requested to abstain from voting. In appropriate cases the Company may establish a special committee of independent directors to review a matter in which several directors, or management, may have a conflict. In determining whether or not the Company will participate in a particular program and the interest therein to be acquired, the directors will primarily consider the potential benefits to the Company, the degree of risk to which the Company may be exposed and its financial position at that time. Other than as indicated, the Company has no other procedures or mechanisms to deal with conflicts of interest. It is possible that they make decisions which could adversely affect the price of the Company's common stock and cause the price to be less than it might have been if the conflict were avoided.



#### Dependence upon Key Personnel

The Company is dependent upon the efforts and abilities of its management team.

The loss of any member of the management team could have a material adverse effect upon the business and prospects of the Company. In the event of such loss, the Company will seek suitable competent replacements, but there is no assurance that the Company will be able to retain such replacements. The company has obtained a Key Man Life Insurance program for its Chairman and CEO, which would pay net \$ 4,500,000 to the Company in the event of his death.

#### Uncertainty of Resource Estimates

There can be no certainty that any resource estimate by the Company or its consultants would ever be realized in production.

The current resource estimates in respect of the SJG Property are based on limited information, such as historical data, drilling programs, the production activity conducted by the company in 2003 – 2006, and various reports, manual calculations and opinions. No assurance can be given that the anticipated tonnages and grades will be achieved or that the estimated or indicated level of recovery will realized. The grade of mineralization actually recovered or produced could differ significantly from the resource estimates.

#### Variance in Future Production

Any future production realized from the SJG Property may differ significantly from historical or recent production.

Potential reasons are: mineralization or veins could be significantly different from those realized from historical production, recent production, drilling programs, and other valuations; increases in operating or mining costs could adversely affect resources; the grade of resources may vary significantly from time to time as there can be no assurance that any particular level of value or grade can be recovered; and declines in market prices of commodities.

#### Environmental and Regulatory Concerns.

The Company operates in an industry where there are significant environmental and regulatory requirements. The inability of the Company to satisfy these requirements could cause the value of its common stock to decline.

The current or future operations of the Company, including acquisition, leasing, and sales activities; involve mineral properties which require permits from various federal, state and local governmental authorities. Such future operations are and will be governed by laws and regulations governing prospecting, development, mining, production, exports, taxes, labor standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, land use, environmental protection, mine safety and other matters. Companies engaged in the development and operation of mines and related facilities generally experience increased costs, and delays in production and other schedules as a result of the need to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permits. Additional permits and studies, which may include environmental impact studies conducted before permits can be obtained, are necessary prior to operation of properties in which the Company has interests. Required permits could adversely affect the Company's ability to negotiate agreeable acquisition, lease, or sales terms and therefore adversely affect the price of the Company's common stock.

#### Competition.

Competitive conditions affecting the Company could negatively impact our business.

The potential value of the Company's mining property is directly related to the market price for gold. The price of gold may also have a significant influence on the market price of its common stock. If the Company obtains positive drill results and its property progresses to a point where a commercial production decision can be made, the decision to put a mine in production and to commit funds necessary for that purpose must be made long before any revenue is from production would be received. A decrease in the market price of gold at any time during future exploration and development may prevent the Company's property from being economically mined or could result in the write-off of assets whose value is impaired as a result of lower gold prices. The price of gold is affected by numerous factors beyond our control, including inflation, fluctuation of the United States dollar and foreign currencies, global and regional demand, the purchase or sale of gold by central banks, and the political and economic conditions of major gold producing countries throughout the world. During the last five years, the market price of gold has fluctuated between approximately \$411 and \$1,212 per ounce. The volatility of gold prices represents a substantial risk which no amount of planning or technical expertise can fully eliminate. In the event gold prices decline and remain low for prolonged periods of time, we might be unable to develop our property and produce revenue.



Potential Conflict with Shareholder (Goldgroup Resources Inc.)

The Management of the Exploration Activity at SJG is shared with Goldgroup, as described in the Earn In / Option Agreement. There exists potential for conflicts over the plan of exploration and execution.

The Earn In / Option Agreement states: (1) a committee (the "Management Committee") shall oversee the Expenditures and shall be comprised of 3 persons, one designated by DynaUSA ("DynaResource, Inc.") and two designated by Goldgroup; (2) The Board of DynaMexico shall oversee the keeping of DynaMexico in good standing and proper working order, and the Management Committee shall oversee the Expenditures and matters not related to keeping DynaMexico in good standing and proper working order; (3) All Expenditures shall be expended in accordance with a budget approved by the Management Committee prior to such expenditure; (4) The Management Committee shall be responsible for delivering quarterly reports to the Board of Directors of DynaMexico; and (5) as soon as practicable after execution of this Agreement, DynaUSA shall cause DynaMexico's board of directors to consist of three directors, two nominated by DynaUSA and one nominated by Goldgroup, unless and until Goldgroup timely completes Phase 4 of the Option, at which time the size of the board of directors shall be increased to five and DynaUSA and Goldgroup shall each appoint two directors and agree on a fifth member to be appointed.

This shared management has inherent risks as the parties may have different short or long-term objectives, goals, or financial position which could cause decisions which may not necessarily be in the best interest of our shareholders. This in turn, could cause the value of the Company's common stock to be adversely affected.

Financing from Goldgroup Resources, Inc.

Exploration activity at the SJG property is financed pursuant to the Earn-In / Option Agreement with Goldgroup Resources Inc. If Goldgroup fails to properly fund the Earn-In Agreement, or otherwise fails to complete the Earn-In Agreement, the Company would be required to obtain funding from other sources. If the Company raises funds from other sources, it would be dilutive to existing shareholders.

Funding for the exploration activities at the SJG property is provided primarily from Goldgroup to DynaMexico under the Earn In / Option Agreement. There is no certainty that this funding will continue or that the Earn In/Option Agreement will be completed. Should the funding by Goldgroup cease, the Company would have to fund further exploration work through its own cash reserves, or obtain alternative financing. Any alternative funding could result in additional dilution to our current shareholders.

Conflicts between Boards of Directors of the Company, with the Board of Directors of Goldgroup Resources Inc.

Potential for conflict exists between the Board of Directors of Goldgroup Resources Inc., and the Board of Directors of the Company; and between the Board of Directors of Goldgroup with the Boards of Directors of DynaResource de Mexico, Mineras de DynaResource, and DynaResource Operaciones.

While the Company believes it has negotiated and authorized proper agreements for the financing and exploration of SJG, potential conflicts exist between the Board of Directors of Goldgroup with the Board of Directors of DynaResource, Inc., both companies which are shareholders of DynaResource de Mexico. Potential conflicts also exist between the Board of Directors of Goldgroup, with the Board of Directors of the subsidiary companies in Mexico. Goldgroup carries the majority of seats on the SJG Management Committee, which is charged with responsibility of approving the budgets and supplying technical direction to the SJG Project. At the Same time, DynaResource, Inc. carries a majority of the seats on the Board of Directors of DynaResource de Mexico. DynaResource, Inc. also carries 100 % of the Seats on the Boards of Mineras de DynaResource, the contracted operating entity at SJG, and 100 % of the Seats of the Board of DynaResource Operaciones, the personnel

management entity at SJG. Mr. K.D. Diepholz, chairman and CEO of DynaResource, Inc. and Mr. Charles E. Smith, CFO of DynaResource, Inc. are the President and Secretary respectively for DynaResource de Mexico, Mineras de DynaResource, and DynaResource Operaciones. Company management believes the current structure of ownership and activity provides the motivation for financing and exploration pursuant to the Earn In option Agreement and provides protection for the 100 % ownership of the SJG Property through DynaResource de Mexico. However, there are risks inherent with the structure which cannot be eliminated. Any conflict could develop between the Boards of Goldgroup and DynaResource, Inc; or between the Boards of Goldgroup and DynaResource de Mexico, Mineras de DynaResource, or DynaResource Operaciones which could result in the cessation of financing or activity pursuant to the Earn In Agreement. Any such conflict would be detrimental to the SJG Property and to the Shareholders of the Company.

Historical production of Gold at the San Jose de Gracia Property May Not be Indicative of Future Production or Revenue.

The SJG Property is a high-grade mineralized system with reported historical production of over 1 M. Oz. Gold. The production occurred in the early 1900's, prior to the Mexican Revolution. Since the time, the property has seen small scale mining operations, from small scale local owners, to the Company's production in 2003 – 2006. Due to the uncertainties associated with exploration, including variations in geology and structure, there is no assurance that the Company's efforts will be successful in identifying mineralization in sufficient quantities to define resources or reserves, and further there is no assurance that any such resources or reserves could be developed into a commercial operation. Investors in the Company's securities should not rely on historical operations as an indication that the SJG property will be developed into a commercial production in the future. The Company expects to incur losses unless and until such time as one or more of its properties enters into commercial production and generates sufficient revenue to fund continuing operations.

50 % of the Company's Revenue from DynaResource de Mexico May be Owned by Goldgroup Resources Inc.

As of the Date of this Form 10-K, Goldgroup Resources Inc. has completed Phases I, II, and III of the Earn-In / Option Agreement, and as a result has been transferred 25 % of the Shares and ownership of DynaResource de Mexico. Should Goldgroup complete Phase IV of the Earn In / Option Agreement (through the contribution and expenditure of an additional \$8 M USD., as at December 31, 2009); Goldgroup would receive an additional 25 % of the shares and ownership of DynaResource de Mexico (Total of 50 %). In such case DynaResource, Inc. and its shareholders will only retain 50 % of the shares and ownership of DynaResource de Mexico. Investors in the Company's shares should be aware that any benefits to be derived from the ownership of DynaResource de Mexico would be shared 50 % with Goldgroup Resources.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

Not applicable to small reporting companies.

Item 2. Properties.

Executive Offices

The Company maintains its executive offices of approximately 2,100 sq. ft., at 222 W. Las Colinas Blvd., Suite 744 East Tower, Irving, Texas 75039, at rates ranging from \$3,700 to \$3,900 per month, plus utilized services. The Company entered into a 37 month lease commencing September, 2008.

San Jose de Gracia Mineral Property

DynaMexico owns 100 % of the mineral concessions at the San Jose de Gracia Property, located in Sinaloa State, Mexico, which is the only property in which DynaMexico retains an interest. DynaMexico, which is currently owned 75 % by the Company, is comprised of 34 concessions covering approximately 99,500 hectares; with no outstanding royalty or other interests.

The property is located in and around San Jose de Gracia, Sinaloa State, Mexico which is approximately 100 km northeast of Guamuchil, near the west coast of Mexico. A small airstrip is located near San Jose de Gracia, and can be

accessed by a small airplane or alternatively, by dirt mountain road. Several roads on the property are accessible throughout the year, with the possible exception of July - September when the rainy season sometimes causes flooding and runoff to make the roads difficult to navigate.

## SJG History

SJG reports 1,000,000 Oz. Gold historical production from a series of underground workings. 471,000 Oz. is reported produced at the La Purisima area of SJG, at an average grade of 66.7 g/t.; and 215,000 Oz. is reported produced from the La Prieta area, at an average grade of 27.6 g/t. Mineralization at SJG has been traced on surface and underground over a 15 square kilometer area.

Drilling programs at SJG were conducted by a prior partner in 1997 – 1998, primarily at the Tres Amigos area; which outlined some of the down dip potential in this area. Approximately 6,172 meters drilling was completed in 63 drill holes.

DynaMexico was formed in March 2000, for the purpose of acquiring the concessions comprising the SJG District; and to consolidate all ownership of SJG under DynaMexico. DynaMexico focused on acquisition and consolidation work through 2003, at which time it reports a clear title and ownership to the district.

DynaMexico mined high-grade veins at the San Pablo area of SJG from mid 2003 to June 2006, in a Pilot Production operation. 18,250 Oz Gold was produced and sold, from mined tonnage of 42,500 tons; at an average grade of 20 g/t. Average production costs during the production period were reported as \$ 175 / Oz.

## Earn In / Option Agreement

On September 1, 2006 the Company signed a “Stock Purchase and Earn In Agreement” (“Earn-In”) between: DynaResource, Inc. (“DynaResource”) and DynaResource de Mexico SA. de CV. (“DynaMexico”), (“Seller”); and Goldgroup Resources, Inc., of Vancouver, British Columbia (“Goldgroup”), (“Buyer”), and Together, (“the Parties”).

The Earn In provides for the sale of up to fifty per cent (50 %) of the total outstanding shares of DynaMexico, which at the time of the agreement was the wholly owned subsidiary of DynaResource, and the owner of the San Jose de Gracia District in northern Sinaloa, Mexico (“SJG”); In exchange for the total cash contributions to DynaMexico, and expenditures related to the exploration and development of the SJG, in the amount of Eighteen Million Dollars USD. (\$18,000,000.) by Goldgroup; contributed in four (4) Phases, as set forth below:

Phase	On or before	Amount of Funds to be deposited to DynaMexico (For SJG Expenditures)	Interest Earned (by Goldgroup in DynaMexico)	Cumulative Interest Earned (by Goldgroup in DynaMexico)
1.	June 15, 2007	\$1,000,000	0%	0%; Completed.
2.	March 15, 2008	\$2,000,000	15%	15%; Completed.
3.	September 15, 2009	\$3,000,000	10%	25%; Completed.
4.	March 15, 2011	\$12,000,000	25%	50%; In Process

Since the inception of the Earn In Agreement, and as at December 31, 2009, Goldgroup had deposited \$ 9,868,000. USD. to DynaMexico, with approximately \$ 103,024,000. Mexican Pesos in qualified expenditures.



Approximately 34,000 Meters drilling has been completed in 2007 – 2009. (Results of the drilling activity, including the results of previous drilling in 1997-'98, is attached in an “SJG Drill Intercepts Summary File”, as Exhibit 99.3 to our Form 10/A filed with the US Securities & Exchange Commission on February 26, 2009; and the file (updated to current) is also reported on the Company’s web site at [www.dynaresource.com](http://www.dynaresource.com).)

DynaMexico continues to conduct exploration programs at SJG for the purpose of increasing inferred resources, and defining a larger mineable resource. (See Management’s Discussion and Analysis, Item 7).

### SJG Geology Report

The geologic summary of SJG below is taken from a foundational report, written in 2000-01, subsequent to the drilling activity of 1997 – 1998; but prior to the production activity of 2003 - 2006; and prior to the drilling activity of 2007 - 2009. This report provides the basis for the exploration programs currently being conducted.

### Regional Geology & Mineral Deposits

San José de Gracia lies within the Sierra Madre Occidental Gold-Silver Belt, in a second-order graben directly east of the regional-scale Grete Graben. The basement to the Sierra Madre Occidental consists of deformed Paleozoic sedimentary strata, which are non-conformably overlain by Tertiary mafic to felsic volcanic and volcanoclastic strata known as the Lower Volcanic Series (“LVS”). Strata of the LVS are recognized as being spatially related to gold and silver mineralization in the region. Volcanic and sedimentary strata are capped by a thick sequence of non-deformed Late Tertiary ignimbrites, known as the Upper Volcanic Series (“UVS”).

The Sierra Madre Occidental Gold-Silver Belt is host to a number of major epithermal precious metal camps, including the San Dimas and Batopilas Districts. The San Dimas District, located some 220 kilometers southeast of San José de Gracia, has produced in excess of 9.65 million ounces of gold and 400 million ounces of silver from high-grade, structurally hosted veins, including those at the Tayoltita Mine. In contrast, the Batopilas district, located some 100 kilometers east of San José de Gracia, has historic production of more than 5.4 million ounces of silver from high-grade veins.

### Property Geology

The oldest rocks exposed at San José de Gracia are deformed Paleozoic shale, sandstone, conglomerate and minor limestone, which are non-conformably overlain by andesite and rhyodacite flows and tuffs of the LVS. Volcanic and sedimentary strata are cut by quartz-feldspar porphyry, porphyritic diorite bodies and fine-grained mafic dykes, which may be cotemporal with the LVS. Ignimbrites of the UVS are exposed at higher elevations on the property and are thought to act as a post mineralization cap rock, thereby indicating an Early to Mid Tertiary (Paleocene to Eocene) age for gold mineralization at San José de Gracia.

### Geologic Structure

Detailed mapping within the project area has defined several stages of deformation, beginning with compression during the Laramide Orogeny which affected the Paleozoic basement and formed flat-lying reverse faults, which have been reactivated as conduits for gold-bearing fluids in the La Prieta trend (Table 2). Extension in Tertiary time lead to the development of second order south, southwest and southeast trending structures, which formed the major structural orientations for mineralization at San José de Gracia. The latest phase of deformation is characterized by late-stage extension and southwest tilting.





Mineralization & Alteration

High grade gold mineralization at San José de Gracia is hosted within andesite and rhyodacite of the LVS and underlying Paleozoic sediments as fault breccia veins and crackle breccias that exhibit multiple stages of reactivation and fluid flow, as evidenced by crustiform/colloform textures and cross cutting veins. Locally, veins exhibit sharp, clay gouge hangingwall and footwall contacts with slickensides, indicating reactivation of structurally-hosted veins subsequent to mineralization. Gold grades can also be carried within the mineralized halo adjacent to the principal veins as quartz-chlorite stockwork. In addition to vein-hosted mineralization, broad zones of un-mineralized clay alteration, developed southwest of the main mineralized trends, may overlie lower-grade, disseminated gold mineralization at depth.

Alteration at San José de Gracia is laterally and vertically zoned from discrete zones of silicification to broad zones of illite to clay alteration with increasing elevation and/or distance from the main feeder structures. Faulting and tilting of the mineralization system has affected the surface distribution of alteration and in general has exposed deeper portions of the system in the northeast and exposed shallower, more distal portions of the hydrothermal system in the southwest part of the property.

Six principal mineralized trends have been identified at San José de Gracia, from south to north these consist of the:

1. La Purisima Ridge trend;
2. Palos Chinos trend;
3. La Parilla to Veta Tierra trend (La Union);
4. San Pablo trend;
5. La Prieta trend, and
6. Los Hilos to Tres Amigos trend.

Recent Activity

Additional information regarding the San Jose de Gracia property, and all results of recent drilling activity can be viewed at the company’s website at [www.dynaresource.com](http://www.dynaresource.com). Mineralized Intercepts of the core drilling is reported in an “SJG Drill Intercepts Summary File”, and attached to the Company’s Form 10/A filed with the US Securities & Exchange Commission on February 26, 2009 as Exhibit 99.3 Highlights of drilling activity by target area is described in Item 7, Management’s Discussion and Analysis.

Lab

A field laboratory is maintained within the camp facility. The Company utilized the lab for Assaying services during its production activities. Assays were performed by company personnel for mined ore, feed ore, gravity and flotation concentrates, and tailings. The current status of the lab and equipment is care and maintenance. The Company anticipates utilizing the lab facility in the future for providing quick check assays to support the exploration works.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company is not involved in any legal proceedings.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

On September 25, 2009, at the annual meeting of the shareholders, the following directors of the corporation were re-elected through 2011, in coordination with the provisions of the Earn In Agreement:

K.D. Diepholz - Chairman / CEO;  
Charles Smith - Chief Financial Officer;  
Melvin Tidwell - Director;

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Company is organized under the laws of Delaware, and its common stock is traded on the “pink sheets” under the symbol "DYNR". The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low bid quotations which set forth reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up or mark-down and without commissions; and may not reflect actual transactions. No cash dividends on the Company common stock have been declared or paid since the Company's inception and no dividends are anticipated in the future. The Company had approximately 580 shareholders at December 31, 2009. This does not include shareholders that hold their shares in street name or with a broker.

Calendar Quarter Ending	High	Low
March 31, 2008	3.75	3.00
June 30, 2008	3.80	3.00
September 30, 2008	3.50	2.75
December 31, 2008	3.00	2.30
March 31, 2009	2.80	2.00
June 30, 2009	2.65	1.80
September 30, 2009	2.99	2.00
December 31, 2009	3.05	2.60

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Not required for smaller reporting companies.

## Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This annual report on Form 10-K includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, which we refer to in this annual report as the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which we refer to in this annual report as the Exchange Act. Forward-looking statements are not statements of historical fact but rather reflect our current expectations, estimates and predictions about future results and events. These statements may use words such as “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “predict,” “project” and similar expressions as they relate to us or our management. When we make forward-looking statements, we are basing them on our management’s beliefs and assumptions, using information currently available to us. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including but not limited to, risks, uncertainties and assumptions discussed in this annual report. Factors that can cause or contribute to these differences include those described under the headings “Risk Factors” and “Management Discussion and Analysis and Plan of Operation.”



If one or more of these or other risks or uncertainties materialize, or if our underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, actual results may vary materially from what we projected. Any forward-looking statement you read in this annual report reflects our current views with respect to future events and is subject to these and other risks, uncertainties and assumptions relating to our operations, results of operations, growth strategy and liquidity. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or individuals acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by this paragraph. You should specifically consider the factors identified in this annual report which would cause actual results to differ before making an investment decision. We are under no duty to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this annual report or to confirm these statements to actual results.

During the next twelve months, the Company will continue to explore the San Jose de Gracia Property, through DynaMexico and operating subsidiaries in Mexico; primarily through continued drilling programs in selected areas of the property. Funds for exploration and drilling programs are expected to be contributed by Goldgroup to DynaMexico, pursuant to the Earn In Agreement; and then expended in accordance with approved plans and budget. Corporate Costs and overhead will be paid with funds on hand in Company accounts. The Company believes it has sufficient cash on hand to pay its overhead expenses for the next two years.

#### Phase 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the Earn In / Option Agreement; Activity and Results

In the following summary, activity is described as phases of the Earn In Agreement, Phases I, II, III and IV; and the phases do not correlate with the calendar quarters.

In Phase 1 of the Earn In Agreement, approximately 3,400 meters drilling were completed in 22 core drill holes (SJG 07-01 to SJG 07-22) ; as well as geochemical sampling and mapping, and data consolidation into Surpac Software.

In Phase 2 of the Earn In Agreement, approximately 5,500 meters were completed in 23 core drill holes (SJG 07-23 to 07-45).

In Phase 3 of the Earn In Agreement, approximately 15,150 meters were completed in 56 core drill holes (SJG 08-46 to SJG 08-101).

In Phase 4, at December 31, 2008, approximately 5,950. meters were completed in 25 core drill holes (through SJG 08-126).

In Phase 4 continuing, and at December 31, 2009, approximately 4,000 meters were completed in 21 core drill holes (through SJG 09-147).

At December 31, 2009, a total of 34,000 meters drilling were completed in 147 core drill holes, financed pursuant to the Earn In Agreement. The "SJG Drill Intercepts Summary File" describes the intercepts of all core drill holes, and including the previous drilling results of 1997-1998, attached hereto as Exhibit 99.1

#### Drilling Highlights by specific target area of SJG:

##### San Pablo:

- SJG 07-07; 5 M. of 10.07 g/t., Including 2 M. of 24.55 g/t.; With Credits;

- SJG 07-08; 7.2 M. of 2.64 g/t., Including 3.8 M. of 4.77 g/t., Including 2.3 M. of 7.258g/t.;

- SJG 07-09; 2.1 M. of 7.2 g/t.; And, Deeper Zone of 5.50 M. of 4.94 g/t., Including 3.15 M. of 8.33 g/t.; with New Zone in Sediments;
- SJG 07-12; 7.1 M. of 6.40 g/t., Including 4.2 M. of 10.39 g/t., And, Including 1.1 M. of 15.63 g/t.; And, Including 1.6 M. of 11.292 g/t.;
  - SJG 07-23 (60 Degree Hole); 7 M. of 2.11 g/t., Including 1.4 M. of 9.16 g/t., with Copper Credits;
- SJG 07-26; 3.7 M. of 2.45 g/t. (3.55 g/t. AU Equivalent), Including 1.9 M of 4.054 g/t.; And, 8.4 M. of 8.43 g/t., Including 4.1 M. of 16.82 g/t., with Copper Credits, And, Including 1.9 M. of 34.433 g/t.;
- SJG 07-27; 6.1 M. of 13.16 g/t. (15.40 g/t. AU Equivalent), Including 3.8 M. of 19.25 g/t., And Including 1.95 M. of 21.789 g/t., Including 1.8 M. of 17.646 g/t.;
- SJG 07-28; 5.3 M. of 2.05 g/t. (2.29 g/t. AU Equivalent), Including 2.3 M. of 3.902 g/t., with Zinc Credits, Including 1.1 M. of 5.4 g/t.;
- SJG 07-29; 1.2 M. of 6.331g/t., with Copper Credits; 1.7 M. of 26.235 g/t., with Copper Credits; And, 1.4 M. of 2.707 g/t.;
- SJG 07-30; 1.6 M. of 5.98 g/t. (6.50 g/t AU Equivalent), with Copper and Zinc Credits; .9 M. of 4.22 g/t. (6.35 g/t. AU Equivalent), with Copper Credits;
- SJG 07-31; 8.30 M. of 49.50 g/t., Or, 7.5 M. of 53.98 g/t.; Including 5.35 M. of 75.695 g/t., ; Including 3.8 M. of 106.77 g/t., ; Including 1.5 M. of 240.61 g/t., with Copper and Zinc Credits;
  - SJG 07-34; 2.2 M. of 1.028 g/t.;
- SJG 08-48; 13.60 M. of 3.09 g/t., Or, 11.04 M. of 3.840 g/t.; Including 3.68 M. of 6.62 g/t.; And Including 1.84 M. of 7.758 g/t.; with Copper Credits;
- SJG 08-51; 14.20 M. of 14.91 g/t., Including 10.78 M. of 19.44 g/t.; Or Including 9.05 M. of 23.12 g/t.; And, Including 3.50 M. of 42.32 g/t; with Copper Credits;
- SJG 08-60; 9.1 M. of 5.02 g/t., Including 7.1 M. of 6.27 g/t., And, Including 2.2 M. of 17.315 g/t and Including 1 M. of 26.8 g/t.; with Copper Credits;
  - SJG 08-89; 3.8 M. of 2.64 g/t., Including 1.3 M. of 4.520 g/t.;
- SJG 08-90; 6.7 M. of 3.564 g/t, Including 1.2 M. Of 11.69 g/t;, And, Including .6 M. of 16.18 g/t; Including .6 M of 7.210 g/t; With Credits;
  - SJG 08-91; 2 M. of 17.530 g/t.;





- SJG 08-92; 4.2 M. – 6.10 g/t; Including 1 M. of 23.314 g/t; And, 4.1 M. of 1.38 g/t; Including 2.3 M. of 2.1 g/t.; And, 4.9 M. of 3.02 g/t., Including .4 M. of 30.445 g/t;; And, .5 M. of 14.719 g/t.;
  - SJG 08-93; 5.8 M., Including .4 M of 28.53 g/t.;
  - SJG 08-96; 2.1 M. of 2.22 g/t., Including .55 M. of 4.750 g/t;
  - SJG 08-97; 4.3 M. of 8.68 g/t.; Including 2.1 M. of 17.05 g/t; with Copper and Zinc Credits;
    - SJG 08-98; .5 M. of 2.165 g/t.;
- SJG 09-131; 1.10 M. of 28.25 g/t (29.16 AU Equivalent); 1.75 M. of 7.18 g/t (9.27 AU Equivalent); 1.30 M. of 6.97 g/t (7.75 AU Equivalent);
- SJG 09-132; .50 M. of 7.1 g/t (11.20 AU Equivalent); 2.05 M of 4.08 g/t (4.97 AU Equivalent); .50 M. of 2.86 g/t (4.05 AU Equivalent);
- SJG 09-133; 6.25 M. of 6.49 g/t (6.83 Au Equivalent), including 1.55 M. of 24.23 g/t; 2.07 M. of 3.57 g/t (3.78 AU Equivalent);
- SJG 09-134; .55 M. of 2.105 g/t (3.04 Au Equivalent); 2.48 M. of 4.33 g/t (5.11 Au Equivalent); .80 M. of 3.37 g/t (3.74 Au Equivalent);
  - SJG 09-135; 4.42 M. of 3.63 g/t (5.35 AU Equivalent), including 1 M. of 8.96 g/t.;
  - SJG 09-136; 2 M. of .611 g/t (.71 AU Equivalent);
  - SJG 09-138; 5.46 M. of 4.97 g/t (5.35 AU Equivalent), including 2.97 M. of 8.80 g/t.;
- SJG 09-139; .71 M. of 8.79 g/t; 5.50 M. of 20.51 g/t, Including 3.12 M of 34.91 g/t; And, Including 1.80 M. of 58.05 g/t.; And, Including .92 M. of 104.10 g/t;
- SJG 09-140; 3.32 M. of .82 g/t, including 1.66 M. of 1.42 g/t; 5.24 M. of 2.15 g/t, including 1.14 M. of 6.4 g/t.

Company Management believes the recent drilling at San Pablo has defined a sizeable Inferred Resource, using a Cut Off grade of 2 g/t., with an average grade of + 8 g/t.; which is expected to be further defined into a mineable resource. Infill drilling and further extensions to San Pablo area are projected for 2010. A 43-101 compliant Technical Report is planned in 2010 and which is expected to confirm the Company's estimates.

Northwest Down Dip of Tres Amigos

- SJJ 3.40 M. of 1.72 g/t.; 1.40 M. of 1.829 g/t.; 2.80 M. of 2.34 g/t. (2.68 AU Equivalent); Including .70 M. of 08-110; 8.6 g/t.; And, 1.8 M. of 2.63 g/t. (2.95 g/t. AU Equivalent);
- SJJ 1.50 M. of 1.008 g/t. (1.10 g/t. AU Equivalent); And, 4.8 M. of 1.89 g/t. (2.56 g/t. AU Equivalent); 08-111; Including .90 M. of 5.86 g/t.;
- SJJ 2.80 M. of 1.61 g/t. (2.06 g/t. AU Equivalent); And, .70 M. of .752 g/t. (1.62 g/t. AU Equivalent).;
- SJJ 1.60 M. of 13.66 g/t. (14.17 g/t. AU Equivalent); And, 6.30 M. of 1.99 g/t. (2.19 g/t. AU Equivalent); 08-113; Including 3.10 M. of 3.45 g/t.;
- SJJ 5.3 M. of 2.91 g/t. (3.13 g/t. AU Equivalent); including 1.7 M. of 8.32 g/t.;
- SJJ 1.5 M. of 3.40 g/t (4.60 AU Equivalent); And, 9.40 M. of 5.15 g/t.; Including 5.7 M of 8.37 g/t.; And, 08-115; Including 2.1 M. of 9.48 g/t.; And, Including 1.7 M. of 12.96 g/t.;
- SJJ 2.1 M of 2.96 g/t. (3.79 g/t. AU Equivalent); And, 9.80 M. of 7.74 g/t. (8.02 g/t. AU 08-116; Equivalent); Including 3.3 M. of 21.28 g/t.; And, Including 1.6 M. of 33.859 g/t.;

Southwest Tres Amigos towards La Cecena; Extending Tres Amigos SW towards LA Cecena:

- SJJ 1.7 M. of 4.674 G/T. (5.23 g/t. AU Equivalent); And, 3.70 M. of 2.44g/t. (3.01 g/t. AU Equivalent); 08-117;
- SJJ 5.46 M. of 4.25 g/t. (7.85 g/t. AU Equivalent); Including 2. M of 7.20 g/t., And Including 1. M. of 9.6 08-118; g/t; And, .83 M. of 1.169 g/t. (1.87 g/t. AU Equivalent); And, 3.13 M. of 4.97 g/t. (6.33 g/t. AU Equivalent); Including 1.08 M. of 13.415 g/t.; And, 1.2 M. of 2.214 g/t.;

1997 – 1998 Drilling at Tres Amigos:

- '97-017 M. of 3.66 g/t., Including 2.5 M. of 7.15 g/t., and Including 1 M. of 11.09 g/t.;
- '97-0218.5 M. of 3.53 g/t., Including 10 M. of 3.86 g/t., and Including 3.5 M. of 6.85 g/t., and Including 1.5 M. of 12.23 g/t.;
- '97-034. M. of 4.13 g/t., Including 2 M. of 7.22 g/t.;

- '97-05: 1.9 M. of 3.62 g/t.;
- '97-06: 1.85 M. of 6.47 g/t.;
- '97-07: 10 M. of 3.42 g/t., Including 2.15 M. of 6.82 g/t.; And, 14.3 M. of 2.41 g/t; Including 2.34 M. of 8.67 g/t.;
- '97-09: 2.7 M. of 2.76 g/t; Including 1.5 M. of 4.15 g/t.; And, 2 M. of 13.53 g/t.;
- '97-10: 7.6 M. of 2.36 g/t., Including 4.6 M. of 3.81 g/t; and, Including 1.5 M. of 10.28 g/t.;
- '97-11: 9.5 M. of 2.83 g/t., Including 1.5 M. of 15 g/t.;
- '97-12: 3.5 M. of 4.75 g/t., Including 1.7 M. of 8.58 g/t.; And, 3.5 M. of 2.44 g/t.;
- '97-13: 27.5 M. of 9.94 g/t.; Including 4.5 M. of 54.26 g/t., and Including 2. M. of 85.72 g/t.;
- '97-14: 1.2 M. of 3.59 g/t.;
- '97-35: 16.5 M. of 2.11 g/t., Including 6. M. of 8.84 g/t., and Including 2 M. of 14.42 g/t.;
- '97-36: 2 M. of 3.28 g/t.; And, 3.6 M. of 4.04 g/t., Including 2 M. of 6.41 g/t.;
- '97-37: 1.3 M. of 11.97 g/t.; ;
- '97-39: 6.8 M. of 13.2 g/t., Including 3 M. of 29.5 g/t., Including 1.5 M. of 51.47 g/t.;
- '97-40: 2. M. of 14.88 g/t.; And, 6 M. of 4.16 g/t; Including 2 M. of 10.81 g/t.; And, 8 M. of 3.86 g/t; Including 6 M. of 5.02 g/t; And, Including 4 M. of 7.21 g/t.; And, Including 2 M. of 8.95 g/t.;
- '97-41: 10 M. of 2.56 g/t; Including 4 M. of 4.4 g/t., Including 2 M. of 5.15 g/t.;

- '97-424 M. of 3.04 g/t; Including 2. M. of 5.17 g/t.; And, 18. M. of 1.88 g/t; Including 2.3 M. of 3.43 g/t; and, Including 2 M. of 3.8 g/t; and Including 2 M. of 3.36 g/t; And, 12 M. of 2.46 g/t; Including 4.5 M. of 5.06 g/t.; and, Including 1.5 M of 6.69 g/t;
  - '97-431.3 M. of 4.23 g/t.;
  - '97-446 M. of 2.91 g/t; Including 2. M. of 7.78 g/t.; And, 12 M. of 1.71 g/t; Including 2 M. of 5.18 g/t.; And, 14.8 M. of 1.47 g/t; Including 1.3 M. of 7.06 g/t.;
  - '97-4514 M. of 5.35 g/t; Including 2 M. of 31.35 g/t.; And, 14 M. of 2.38 g/t; Including 2 M. of 12.32 g/t.;
  - '97-477.06 M. of 7.63 g/t., Including 1.55 M. of 23.10 g/t.;
  - '97-50 2 M. of 5.02g/t.; 5 M. of 3.92 g/t., Including 2. M. of 8.53 g/t.;
- (La Cecena):

Company Management believes the 1997 – 1998 Drilling at Tres Amigos, and the recent drilling in 2008 and 2009, has defined a sizeable Inferred Resource at Tres Amigos which is expected to be further defined into a mineable resource. Infill drilling and further extensions to Tres Amigos are projected for 2010. A 43-101 compliant Technical Report is planned in 2010.

La Cecena (Approximately 350 Meters Southwest of the NE Tres Amigos Area):

- SJG 08-102; 7.87 M. of 2.75 g/t. (3.02 g/t. AU equivalent); Including 2.54 M. of 6.49 g/t.; And, Including .58 M. of 11.527 g/t.; And, 3.25 M. of 3.91 g/t (4.16 AU Equivalent); Including 1.50 M of 7.927 g/t.;
- SJG 08-103; 1.2 M. of 4.911 g/t.; And, 1.1 M of 2.564 g/t.;
- SJG 08-104; 2.8 M of 13.32 g/t. (16.24 g/t. AU Equivalent); Including 1.4 M. of 26.20 g/t.;

Company Management believes the La Cecena will be further defined as the continuation of the NE Tres Amigos, thereby extending NE Tres Amigos Area + 350 Meters to the southwest.

La Purisima:

- SJG07-21; 8 M. of 20.67 g/t., Including 6 M. of 26.89 g/t., Including 2.1 M. of 76.33 g/t.;
- SJG 07-35; 4.4 M. of 1.54 g/t., Including 2.2 M. of 2.736 g/t.; And, 1.75 M. of 1.74 g/t.;

- DDH 07-36; 1.42 M. of 4.319 g/t.;
- SJG 07-37; 4.45 M. of 3.07 g/t., Including 2.2 M. of 5.004 g/t.;
- SJG 07-39; 2.05 M. of 2.479 g/t.; And, 4.2 M. of 8.55 g/t., Including 3.25 M. of 10.89 g/t.; And, Including 1.90 M. of 16.675 g/t.;
- SJG 07-40; .8 M. of 2.43 g/t.; And, 1.8 M. of 1.763 g/t.;
- SJG 07-42; 5.9 M. of 1.85 g/t., Including 2.2 M. of 3.316 g/t.; And, 1.1 M. of 3.475 g/t.;
- SJG 08-63; .4 M. of 11.694 g/t.;
- SJG 08-68; 1.6 M. of 18.26 g/t., Including 1 M. of 23.9t.;
- SJG 08-70; 11.1 M. of 1.83 g/t., Including 2.3 M. of 5.190 g/t., And, Including 1.10 M. of 9.434 g/t.; And, 1.50 M. of 4.15 g/t., Including .25 M. of 16.274 g/t.; And, .2 M. of 4.292 g/t., Including Zinc Credits;
- SJG 08-82; 1.70 M. of 18.218 g/t.;
- SJG 08-84; .36 M. of 39.5 g/t.; .17 M. of Zinc Credits;

Company Management believes the recent drilling at La Purisima in 2007-9, has defined a sizeable Inferred Resource at La Purisima, which is expected to be further defined into a mineable resource. Infill drilling and further extensions to La Purisima are projected for 2010. A 43-101 compliant Technical Report is planned in 2010.

La Union:

- '92-27; 1 M. of 9 g/t.;
- '97-29; 1 M. of 4.53 g/t.;

- '97-30; 5 M. of 12.92 g/t; And, 10.1 M. of 1.68 g/t, and Including 1.5 M. of 6.04 g/t;
- '97-31; 6.8 M. of 1.94 g/t; Including 4 M. of 2.85 g/t;
- '97-33; 4 M. of 1.9 g/t; And, 4.3 M. of 1.3 g/t;
- '97-34; 2 M. of 8.9 g/t;
- SJG 08-61; 4.5 M. of 1.66 g/t. (2.85 g/t AU Equivalent), Including 1.10 M. of 2.446 g/t.; And, Including 1.3 M. of 3.183 g/t.; And, .4 M. of 9.38 g/t; Including Copper Credits;
- SJG 08-72; 4.3 M. of 1.13 g/t. (1.68 g/t. AU Equivalent), Including 1.10 M. of 2.475 g/t. and Including 1 M. of 1.635 g/t.;
- SJG 08-76; 4.8 M. of 16.23 g/t. (17.44 g/t. AU Equivalent), Including 2 M. of 38.100 g/t., And Including 1.40 M. of 51.340 g/t., and Including .7 M. of 77. g/t; With Copper and Zinc Credits; And, 2.7 M. of 1.69 g/t. (2.08 g/t. AU Equivalent), Including .3 M. of 7.875 g/t.;
  - SJG 08-80; 3.10 M. of 4.801 g/t., Including 1.50 M. of 7.372 g/t.; And, 1.10 M. of 3.169 g/t.;
    - SJG 08-120; 1.65 M. of 2.179 g/t.; And, .42 M. of 1.93 g/t.;
  - SJG 08-122; .50 M. of 2.076 g/t.; And, .50 M. of 25.75 g/t; And, .95 M. of 1.94 g/t.;
    - SJG 09-143; 1.4 M. M. of 12.08 g/t;
  - SJG 09-144; 3.78 M. of 1.63 g/t, Including 1.35 M. of 2 g/t;

Company Management believes the recent drilling at La Union in 2007-9, has defined a sizeable Inferred Resource at La Union, which is expected to be further defined into a mineable resource. Infill drilling and further extensions to La Union are projected for 2010. A 43-101 compliant Technical Report is planned in 2010.

Palos Chinos:

- '97-63; 27.8 M of 2.3 g/t; Including 17.30 M. of 2.81 g/t; And, Including .7 M. of 9.25 g/t; And, Including 2.7 M. of 8.45 g/t;
  - SJG 07-02; .75 M. of 17.5 g/t.;
- SJG 07-16; 7.7 M. of 1.86 g/t., Including 4.35 M. of 3.09 g/t., Including 2.15 M. of 5.2 g/t.;

Other Target Areas at SJG remain virtually unexplored:

- La Prieta;
- Rudolpho;
- Rosario;
- Argillic Zone;

These drilling Results obtained through Phases 1, 2, 3, and continuing in Phase 4 confirm extensions of mineralization, down dip of historical workings at SJG, with confirmation of high grade gold (as measured in grams per ton) which are consistent with historical and recent production. Specifically, San Pablo, La Purisima, Tres Amigos, La Cecena, and La Union areas have reported significant results. (See description of drilling highlights by target area above). The Company believes that sizeable inferred resources have been defined at San Pablo, Tres Amigos, La Union and La Purisima. Further Phase 4 drilling will be targeted at Tres Amigos, La Prieta, La Union, La Purisima, and San Pablo. In 2010, the Company expects to commission a 43-101 compliant report of the Resources defined at SJG.

#### Current Activity and Future Plans

The Company expects to continue drilling activity as above, and as funded pursuant to the Earn In Agreement. Further drilling is expected to continue to increase and define inferred Gold resources.

#### Concessions Area of District

In addition to the drilling and exploration activity, three additional Mining Concessions have been acquired. Titles to these Concessions have been received, and the acquisitions extend the SJG District by approximately 95,000 hectares (to a total of + 99,500 hectares).

#### Employees and Consultants

The Company maintains approximately 28 employees. Three employees are corporate officers and work in the corporate office in the United States. Other employees are maintained through DynaOperaciones in Mexico. The company also retains legal counsel in the US, legal counsel in Mexico, and it retains as consultants a senior geologist and junior geologist in Mexico.

#### Fourth Quarter

In the fourth quarter 2009, drill holes # 127 – 147 were drilled for a total of 4,000 meters. In the year ended December 31, 2009, approximately \$ 282,976. was expended on core drilling expenses (Driller only) compared with approximately \$ 2,650,000 in the year ended December 31, 2008.

#### Revenues and Expenses:

The Company conducted a mining and milling operation from March 2003 through June, 2006. This activity was suspended in order to focus efforts on the exploration of the vast SJG District. The Company reported no revenue in 2009, 2008 and 2007. The Company does not expect any revenues from mining and production in 2010. The Company earned minimal revenue during 2009 for exploration services performed for a related party.

The Company's production and exploration costs were \$1,577,996 and \$4,490,898 for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 respectively. The decrease in expenses in 2009 was a result of the decreased drilling exploration work financed by the Earn-In / Option Agreement as a good portion of the year was spent performing IP and structural analysis and commissioning report related to those.



The Company's operating expenses included two categories, a) depreciation and amortization, and b) general and administrative expenses. The general and administrative expense was \$1,743,717 for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$1,685,998 for the year ended December 31, 2008. Included in these amounts was depreciation and amortization of \$141,571 and \$161,006 in 2009 and 2008 respectively and common stock issued for services of \$146,250 and \$430,101 in 2009 and 2008 respectively.

Other income and expenses included interest income for the year ended December 31, 2009 of \$7,892 compared with \$ 3,066 for the year ended December 31, 2008. Additionally, we had other income of \$0 in 2009 compared to \$2,104 in 2008.

#### Noncontrolling Interest

Under the terms of the Earn In Agreement, Goldgroup Resources, Inc. (Goldgroup), throughout 2009, had earned and owned a 25% interest in DynaResource de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (DynaMexico), the applicable portion of the earnings or loss attributable to Goldgroup is offset in this section. In the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 the portion of the loss attributable to Goldgroup was \$454,990 and \$934,734 respectively.

#### Currency Translation Income / Loss

The currency translation gain / (loss) was \$341,500 and \$(1,825,230) in the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 respectively. This charge is caused by the fluctuation of the exchange rate between the United States dollar and the Mexican peso. It was significant in the year 2008 since the Mexican peso lost a lot of value against the dollar in 2008 and regained some of that back in 2009.

The Company's comprehensive loss before noncontrolling interest for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 was (\$2,476,177) and (\$7,062,222) respectively. The decrease in the loss is attributed to the decrease in exploration activities and costs.

#### Plan of Operation

The Plan of operation for the next twelve months includes the continuation of the drilling and exploration programs at San Jose de Gracia. Under the Earn In agreement, Phase 4 exploration activities continue, with a Budget of approximately \$ 8 M. USD to be expended over the next 15 months. Financing from the Earn In Agreement provides for 100% of the current exploration and related costs at SJG. The Company is required to fund its general and administrative expenses in the US.

The Company does not currently generate revenue. Its only sources of capital are (1) exploration and related funds provided by Goldgroup to DynaMexico under the Earn In Agreement; (2) cash on hand; and (3) any funds available through financings. The Company believes that cash on hand is adequate to fund our ongoing general and administrative expenses through 2010. The funds contributed by Goldgroup to DynaMexico are expected to finance the exploration activities at SJG through 2010. The Company may seek additional capital funding during 2010 or beyond depending on market conditions, results of drilling activities, plans for production or continuing exploration activities, and other circumstances.

#### Capital Expenditures

The Company's primary activities relate to the exploration of SJG property. 100% of the exploration and related costs are provided by Goldgroup Resources, Inc. to DynaMexico pursuant to the Earn-In / Option Agreement. Exploration drilling continues at SJG in order to further define mineralization and ore bodies and resources. Drilling services are

contracted by MinerasDyna, the operating entity, to drilling contractors. The Company does not foresee significant capital purchases in 2010. Any capital purchases necessary for the exploration activity are the responsibility of DynaMexico, with costs accounted to the segregated exploration account and financed by Goldgroup. Miscellaneous costs could be paid from funds on hand of DynaMexico, MinerasDyna, DynaOperaciones, or DynaResource, Inc.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2009, the Company maintained working capital of \$1,944,711, comprised of current assets of \$2,028,614 and current liabilities of \$83,903. This represents a decrease of \$644,034 from the working capital maintained by the Company of \$2,588,745 at December 31, 2008.

Net cash used in operations for the year ended December 31, 2009 decreased to \$3,040,822 from \$5,610,756 for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease was due to the decrease in expenses for exploration, which includes drilling and all support services.

Cash used for purchase of fixed assets was \$69,192 and \$130,170 for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 respectively.

Cash provided financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$2,559,257 compared to \$7,845,052 for the year ended December 31, 2008. The main category changes include Goldgroup deposits under the Earn In / Option Agreement of \$1,699,981 and \$4,800,000 for 2009 and 2008 respectively and comprehensive income of \$(169,256) and \$1,533,082 for 2009 and 2008 respectively.

## Sampling Process

The geological data contained in this report was verified by an appropriate quality control person using industry standard quality controls and quality assurance protocols utilized in exploration activities. Standard reference samples and various duplicates are inserted in each batch of assays. Drill core samples are cut by saw on site and samples splits are prepared for shipment, sealed and then shipped for assaying. Samples are sent to a certified assayer (International Plasma Laboratory, Vancouver, BC.) and analyzed for gold by fire assay and for silver and 34 other trace and major elements in accordance with standard industry practices.

## Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Not required for smaller reporting companies.

## Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Incorporated into and forming an integral part of this Form 10-K are the audited financial statements for the Company for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. The financial statements as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, of the Company included in this Form 10-K have been audited by The Hall Group, CPAs, independent registered public accountants, as set forth in their report. The financial statements have been included in reliance upon the authority of them as experts in accounting and auditing.

### Financial Statements:

#### Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 and Cumulative Since Reentering the Exploration Stage (January 1, 2007) through December 31, 2009.

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 and Cumulative Since Reentering the Exploration Stage (January 1, 2007) through December 31, 2009.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure on Controls and Procedures

We carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of December 31, 2009. This evaluation was accomplished under the supervision and with the participation of our chief executive officer / principal executive officer, and chief financial officer / principal financial officer who concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that all material information required to be filed in the annual report on Form 10-K has been made known to them.

For purposes of this section, the term disclosure controls and procedures means controls and other procedures of an issuer that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Act (15 U.S.C. 78a et seq.) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure, controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act") is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Based upon an evaluation conducted for the period ended December 31, 2009, our Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officer as of December 31, 2009 and as of the date of this Report, has concluded that as of the end of the periods covered by this report, we have identified no material weakness in our internal control.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Our internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of inherent limitations, a system of internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate due to change in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.



Our management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control—Integrated Framework at December 31, 2009. Based on its evaluation, our management concluded that, as of December 31, 2009, our internal control over financial reporting was effective and contained no material weaknesses. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to the attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the SEC that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

#### Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

We have not yet made any changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report on Form 10-K that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### Item 9A(T). Controls and Procedures

Not required for smaller reporting companies.

#### Item 9B. Other Information

None.

## PART III

## Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The following table lists the names and ages of the executive officers, directors and key consultants of the Company. The directors will continue to serve until the next annual shareholders meeting, or until their successors are elected and qualified. Mr. Diepholz, Mr. Smith, and Mr. Tidwell have been elected to serve through 2011, in conjunction with the terms and schedule of the Earn In / Option Agreement. All officers serve at the discretion of the President, Chairman of the Board of Directors, and members of the Board of Directors.

Name	Age	Position	Held Since
K. W. (K.D.) Diepholz 1303 Regency Court Southlake, Texas 76092	52	Chairman of The Board, CEO, Treasurer	May 1995 May 1997
Charles Smith 709-B West Rusk #580 Rockwall, Texas 75087	52	Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Director	May 2005
Melvin E. Tidwell 4804 Piccadilly Place Tyler, Texas 75703	64	Director	May 1994
Bradford J. Saulter 7618 Straits Lane Rowlett, Texas 75088	48	Vice President – Investor Relations	May 1998

K.W. Diepholz - Graduated Lake Land College, Southern Illinois University; Communications and Business Emphasis; Regional Director - Fidelity Union Insurance and Investment, Dallas, Texas (1980 -1983); President - KWD Properties Corporation, Mattoon, Illinois (1983 - 1989); a privately-held Oil & Gas Exploration and Development Company involved in all phases of The Oil & Gas Business, and Various Types of Partnerships; Vice President - American Investment Retirement Corporation, Phoenix, Arizona (1990 - 1991), Involved in Program Structuring for Pension Accounts; Vice President - Ideal Securities, Inc., Dallas, Texas (1992); Program Structuring and Marketing Management; President - DP Phoenix, a Real Estate Investment Company, Phoenix, Arizona (1991 -1992); Investment Program Structuring, Real Estate Acquisitions, General Management; Director: Farm Partners, Inc., Dallas, Texas (1992 - Present); General Management of this General Partner to Precious Metals Limited Partnership; DP Group Ltd., Dallas, Texas (1993 - Present); President of this independent Marketing firm; Dynacap Group Ltd., Dallas, Texas (1992 - Present); President of this Consulting and Management firm, directing the management of certain Limited Liability Investment Companies; DynaResource, Inc. (f/k/a: West Coast Mines, Inc.), Dallas, Texas (1994 - Present); Chairman, President, Treasurer, and Director. Special skills in the areas of Business Development, Project Planning, Corporate Financing, Acquisition Analysis, Investment Program Interpretation and Structuring.

Charles Smith. Mr. Smith graduated from Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts in 1979 and since that time has been a Certified Public Accountant involved in all phases of business including audit and tax matters. He is a consultant to various companies. Mr. Smith's business affiliations the past five years follow: Chief Financial Officer of DynaResource, Inc. – May 2005 to present. Chairman of Dynacap Group, Ltd. - a consulting and management firm -



1992 to the present. Sole proprietor as a Certified Public Accountant - 1983 to the present. Sole officer and Director - MC Cambridge, Inc. - a financial consulting firm - 1997 to present.

Melvin E. Tidwell, P.E. - Professional Engineer, registered in California in 1977; Control Systems Engineer; Instrument Engineer on over 80 Projects Worldwide; Instrument Startup Engineer on over 50 Projects Worldwide; Affiliated / Associated with following Companies over the past 25 years: Weyerhaeuser Company, Howe-Baker Engineers, LaGloria Oil & Gas Co., IWATANI Electronics (Japan), EQM (Mexico), Kyodo Oxygen Co., Ltd. (Japan), Chin Yang Fine Chemical Co. (South Korea), Hankuk Glass Mfg. Co. (South Korea), Hunt Oil Co., Liquid Carbonics Co., Celanese Mexicana (Mexico), Grain Power Tucumcari Ltd., Jetco Chemical Inc., Claiborne Gasoline Co., Conoco, Chevron, Metano Gas (now Exxon), Union Oil, Texaco Angola, Petrofac, Alfurat (Syrian Oil Co.), Arco, Chevron / Placer Cego, Tidwell & Associates; with Engineering / Management Experience in the following Project Areas: Startup & Engineering - \$ 160 Million Linerboard Paper Mill; Chief Instrument Engineer - chemical division; DEA Gas Treating & Sulfur Recovery Plant; One Part / million H<sub>2</sub> Plant; Startup Hydrogen Plants; H<sub>2</sub> / CO Cosorb Plant; Startup H<sub>2</sub> / CO synthesis Gas Plant & Cold Box; Startup Ethanol Plant; Specialties Chemicals Expansion - Foxboro 200 instruments; Startup & Calibration 75,000 BPD Crude Distillation Facility; Instrument Engineer - 1st Oxygen Enrichment Cope Unit; Instrument Engineer, Startup & checkout - 30 TPD Selectox SRU; Instrument Engineer - Offshore Oil & Gas Production Facility; Lead Instrument Engineer - 60,000 BPD Oil Production Facility; Instrument Checkout, Calibration, and Inspection prior to startup - Selectox Sulfur Units (Honeywell TDC 3000 DCS) (Foxboro 760 Electronics Controllers); Startup Amine Plant and Sulfur Plant, and System Engineering (Foxboro and Westinghouse PLC); Instrument Engineer, Field Startup and Checkout - CCR, HDS, MTBE, Hydrogen and Cryogenic Plants. Founder, President - Tidwell & Associates, a private engineering consulting Firm (1993 to Present); Director – DynaResource, Inc. (f/k/a: West Coast Mines, Inc.), Dallas, (1994 to present).

Bradford J. Saulter - Attended University of Texas, Austin, Texas; Marketing Department of Metagram, Inc., a Dallas National Marketing Company; Regional Manager for Lugar, Lynch, & Associates, A Dallas Financial Services Company, Involved in Sales & Marketing of Various Investment Products; Independent Marketing Consultant; Series 22 & 63 Securities License; Vice President / Marketing - Dynacap Group Ltd. (1992 - Present); Director: Farm Partners, Inc. (1992 - Present), Vice President – Investor Relations - DynaResource, Inc., Dallas, Texas (1995 to present).

To the knowledge of the Company, no present or former director, executive officer, or person nominated to become a director or executive of the Company, or consultants to the Company has ever:

- 1.) Filed a bankruptcy petition by or against any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer whether at the time of the bankruptcy or with two years prior to that time;
- 2.) Had any conviction in a criminal proceeding or being subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses);
- 3.) Been subject to any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting his involvement in any type of business, securities or banking activities; and,
- 4.) Been found by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed suspended or vacated.



## Item 11. Executive Compensation

The following officers received the following compensation for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. These officers do not have employment contracts with the company.

Name and principal position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Nonequity incentive plan compensation	Nonqualified deferred compensation	All other compensation *
K.W. Diepholz CEO, President	2009	\$225,000	None	None	None	None	None	\$ 85,757.
	2008	\$180,000	None	None	None	None	None	\$167,500
Charles Smith CFO, Secretary	2009	\$60,000	None	None	None	None	None	\$ 2,500
	2008	\$48,000	None	None	None	None	None	None
Bradford J. Saulter VP – Investor Relations	2009	\$60,000	None	None	None	None	None	\$ 8,000.
	2008	\$60,000	None	None	None	None	None	\$ 2,500.

\* The Company paid consulting fees to Dynacap Group, Ltd. As disclosed in the financial statements. This remuneration was paid from the funds received by Dynacap.

Name and principal position	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised options (#) exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised options (#) unexercisable	Option Awards Equity incentive plan awards	Option exercise price	Option expiration date	Stock Awards Number of share awards that have not vested
K.W. Diepholz CEO, President	None	None	None	N/A	N/A	None
Charles Smith CFO, Secretary	None	None	None	N/A	N/A	None
Melvin Tidwell Director	None	None	None	N/A	N/A	None
Bradford J. Saulter						

VP – Investor Relations	None	None	None	N/A	N/A	None
-------------------------	------	------	------	-----	-----	------

35

---

## Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The following table sets forth the amount and nature of beneficial ownership of each of the executive officers and directors of the Company and each person known to be a beneficial owner of more than five percent of the issued and outstanding shares of the Company as of December 31, 2008. The following table sets forth the information based on 9,374,303 common shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2009.

COMMON STOCK	Beneficial Owner	Address	Common Shares	Percent Ownership
C o m m o n Stock	K.W. ("K.D.") Diepholz Chairman / CEO	203 Regency Court Southlake, Texas 76092	1,447,500	15.44 %
C o m m o n Stock	Charles Smith CFO; Secretary; Director	709-B West Rusk #580 Rockwall, Texas 75087	221,150	2.36 %
C o m m o n Stock	Children of Charles Smith, through Smith First Family LP.; Andrew Smith, GP CFO; Secretary; Director	4247 Clairmont Birmingham, AL 35222	231,250	2.47 %
C o m m o n Stock	Melvin E. Tidwell Director;	4804 Picadilly Place Tyler, Texas 75703	73,012	0.78 %
C o m m o n Stock	Bradford J. Saulter V P . , I n v e s t o r Relations	7618 Straits Lane Rowlett, Texas 75088	96,833	1.03 %
C o m m o n Stock	Dale Langenderfer Shareholder;	3407 Oak Alley Court #402 Toledo, Ohio 43606	479,030	5.11 %
	All Officers, Directors And Beneficial owners as a Group ( 5 Persons)		2,548,775	27.19 %

None of the Shares described above are subject to options which are either (a) vested, or, (b) will vest within 60 days.

The officers and directors and those 5 % beneficial owners held the following options as of December 31, 2009:

None

36

---

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The Company has paid cash remuneration for services to Dynacap Group Ltd., a private consulting firm. Mr. K.W. Diepholz - Chairman and CEO of the Company and Mr. Charles Smith, Chief Financial Officer, are the Managers of Dynacap Group, Ltd.

The Company has paid cash compensation as follows, to related parties or officers during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008:

In 2009, the Company paid \$ 123,758 to Dynacap Group, Ltd. for consulting and other fees;

In 2008, the Company paid \$ 170,000 to Dynacap Group, Ltd. for consulting and other fees.

20,000 shares were issued to officers and/or directors in 2009. No Shares were issued to officers or directors in 2008.

The Company is not aware of any other material relationships or related transactions between the Company and any officers, directors or holders of more than five percent of any class of outstanding securities of the issuer.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

(1) Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by our auditors, for the audit of the registrant's annual financial statements and review of the financial statements included in the registrant's Form 10-K or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for fiscal years 2009 and 2008 was \$48,662 and \$30,600, respectively.

(2) Audit Related Fees

None

(3) Tax Fees

None

(4) All Other Fees

None

(5) Audit Committee Policies and Procedures

The Company does not have an audit committee.

(6) If greater than 50 percent, disclose the percentage of hours expended on the principal accountant's engagement to audit the registrant's financial statements for the most recent fiscal year that were attributed to work performed by persons other than the principal accountant's full-time, permanent employees.

Not applicable.





PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

No.	Description
31.1	Certification of the Company's Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of the Company's Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of the Company's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the Registrant certifies that it has reasonable grounds to believe that it meets the requirements for filing on Form 10-K and authorized this Registration Statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, in the City of Irving, State of Texas, on March 30, 2010.

DynaResource, Inc.

By: /s/ K.W. ("K.D.") Diepholz  
K.W. Diepholz, President

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this Registration Statement has been signed below by the following persons, in the capacities and on the dates stated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ K.W. ("K.D.") Diepholz K.W. ("K.D.") Diepholz	President and Chairman of the Board	March 30, 2010
/s/ K.W. ("K.D.") Diepholz K.W. ("K.D.") Diepholz	Chief Executive Officer	March 30, 2010
/s/ Charles Smith Charles Smith	Chief Financial Officer	March 30, 2010
/s/ Charles Smith Charles Smith	Chief Accounting Officer	March 30, 2010

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Management of  
DynaResource, Inc.  
Irving, Texas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of DynaResource, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 and for the period since reentering the Exploration Stage (January 1, 2007) through December 31, 2009. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits of these financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We were not engaged to examine management's assertion about the effectiveness of DynaResource, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion thereon.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of DynaResource, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 and for the period since reentering the Exploration Stage (January 1, 2007) through December 31, 2009 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ The Hall Group, CPAs  
The Hall Group, CPAs  
Dallas, Texas

March 24, 2010



DYNARESOURCE, INC.  
(An Exploration Stage Company)  
Consolidated Balance Sheets  
December 31, 2009 and 2008

	2009	2008
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current Assets:</b>		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$1,640,508	\$2,339,561
Foreign Tax Receivable	120,210	163,289
Accounts Receivable – Related Party	50,760	50,225
Other Current Assets	217,136	138,773
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>2,028,614</b>	<b>2,691,848</b>
<b>Fixed Assets:</b>		
Mining Camp Equipment and Fixtures (Net of Accumulated Depreciation of \$524,424 and \$430,110)	350,828	386,075
Mining Properties (Net of Accumulated Amortization of \$390,953 and \$343,696)	4,312,414	4,359,671
<b>Total Fixed Assets</b>	<b>4,663,242</b>	<b>4,745,746</b>
<b>Other Assets:</b>		
Note Receivable from Affiliate	500,000	0
Deposits	6,666	5,788
<b>Total Other Assets</b>	<b>506,666</b>	<b>5,788</b>
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$7,198,522</b>	<b>\$7,443,382</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
<b>Current Liabilities:</b>		
Accounts Payable	\$40,586	\$96,970
Accrued Expenses	43,317	6,133
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>83,903</b>	<b>103,103</b>
<b>Long-Term Liabilities</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Equity:</b>		
Preferred Stock, \$1.00 par value, 10,000 shares authorized 1,000 and 1,000 shares issued and outstanding	1,000	1,000
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 12,500,000 shares authorized 9,374,303 and 9,073,913 shares issued and outstanding	93,743	90,739
Preferred Rights	40,000	40,000
Additional Paid In Capital	25,475,425	22,774,071
Treasury Stock	(2,385 )	(47,790 )
Common Stock Subscription Receivable	0	(125,000 )
Other Comprehensive Income	1,405,537	1,574,793
Accumulated Deficit	(6,002,516 )	(6,002,516 )
Accumulated Deficit Since Reentering the Development Stage	(12,851,441)	(10,375,264)

Edgar Filing: DYNARESOURCE INC - Form 10-K

Total DynaResource, Inc. Stockholders' Equity	8,159,363	7,930,033
Noncontrolling Interest	(1,044,744 )	(589,754 )
TOTAL EQUITY	7,114,619	7,340,279
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$7,198,522	\$7,443,382

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

DYNARESOURCE, INC.  
 (An Exploration Stage Company)  
 Consolidated Statements of Operations  
 For the Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008  
 And Cumulative Since Re-entering the Development Stage (January 1, 2007)  
 through December 31, 2009

	2009	2008	Cumulative Since Re-entering the Exploration Stage (Jan 1, 2007) through December 31, 2009
REVENUES	\$41,074	\$0	\$ 41,074
EXPLORATION COSTS (exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown separately below)	1,577,996	4,490,898	8,166,272
GROSS PROFIT (DEFICIT)	(1,536,922)	(4,490,898)	(8,125,198 )
OPERATING EXPENSES			
Depreciation and Amortization	141,571	161,006	448,968
Stock Issued for Services	146,250	430,101	685,938
General and Administrative	1,455,896	1,094,891	3,417,712
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	1,743,717	1,685,998	4,552,618
NET OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	(3,280,639)	(6,176,896)	(12,677,816 )
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)			
Portfolio Income	7,892	3,066	17,585
Other Income	0	2,104	2,104
TOTAL OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)	7,892	5,170	19,689
NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(3,272,747)	(6,171,726)	(12,658,127 )
Provision for Income Taxes (Expense) Benefit	0	0	38,259
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$(3,272,747)	\$(6,171,726)	\$ (12,619,868 )
Net Loss Attributable to Non-Controlling Interest	454,990	934,734	1,389,724
NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO DYNARESOURCE, INC. COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	(2,817,757)	(5,236,992)	(11,230,144 )
Currency Translation Gain (Loss)	341,580	(1,825,230)	(1,621,297 )



<b>COMPREHENSIVE LOSS BEFORE NONCONTROLLING INTEREST</b>	(2,476,177)	(7,062,222)	(12,851,441 )
Comprehensive Loss (Income) Attributable to Non-Controlling Interest	(74,857 )	418,617	343,760
<b>COMPREHENSIVE LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO DYNARESOURCE, INC. COMMON SHAREHOLDERS</b>	<b>\$(2,551,034)</b>	<b>\$(6,643,605)</b>	<b>\$ (12,507,681 )</b>
<b>EARNINGS PER SHARE, Basic and Diluted</b>			
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding Basic and Diluted	9,094,458	8,374,792	
Income (Loss) per Common Share, Basic and Diluted	\$(0.33 )	\$(0.79 )	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

DYNARESOURCE, INC.  
 (An Exploration Stage Company)  
 Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity  
 For the Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008

	Preferred		Common		Preferred	Additional	Treasury	Common	Other	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Rights	Paid In	Stock	Stock	Compre-	Accum
						Capital		Receivable	hensive	De
									Income	
Stockholders' Equity, January 1, 2008	1,000	\$1,000	8,276,824	\$82,768	\$40,000	\$15,874,681	\$(7,500 )	\$0	\$41,711	\$(6,000)
Sale of Common Shares			21,880	219		109,181				
Exercise of Common Stock Options			625,144	6,251		1,571,609				
Issuance of Common Shares for Services			150,065	1,501		428,600				
Repurchase of Common Stock Options						(10,000 )				
Treasury Stock Purchased							(40,290 )			
Receivable from Shareholder								(125,000)		
Other Comprehensive Income									1,533,082	
DynaMexico Earn In						4,800,000				
Net Income (Loss)										
Stockholders' Equity, December 31, 2008	1,000	1,000	9,073,913	90,739	40,000	22,774,071	(47,790 )	(125,000)	1,574,793	(6,000)

Sale of Common Shares			255,390	2,554		827,464				
Issuance of Common Shares for Services			45,000	450		145,800				
Treasury Stock Purchased								(183,000)		
Treasury Stock Sold						28,109		228,405		
Receivable from Shareholder									125,000	
Other Comprehensive Income										(169,256 )
DynaMexico Earn In Net Income (Loss)						1,699,981				
Stockholders' Equity, December 31, 2009	1,000	\$1,000	9,374,303	\$93,743	\$40,000	\$25,475,425	\$(2,385 )	\$0	\$1,405,537	\$(6,000)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

DYNARESOURCE, INC.  
 (An Exploration Stage Company)  
 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
 For the Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008  
 And Cumulative Since Re-entering the Development Stage (January 1, 2007)  
 through December 31, 2009

	2009	2008	Cumulative Since Reentering the Development Stage (January 1, 2007) through December 31, 2009
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Net Income (Loss)	\$(3,272,747)	\$(6,171,726)	\$(12,619,868)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash used by operating activities:			
Issuance of Common Stock for Services	146,250	430,101	685,938
Issuance of Preferred Stock for Services	0	0	1,000
Depreciation and Amortization	141,571	161,006	448,968
Loss on Disposition of Assets	0	28,006	28,006
Change in Operating Assets and Liabilities:			
Decrease in Accounts Receivable	0	13,079	199,143
(Increase) Decrease in Foreign Tax Receivable	43,080	(11,437 )	(71,005 )
(Increase) in Accounts Receivable – Related Party	(535 )	(50,225 )	(50,760 )
(Increase) in Other Current Assets	(78,363 )	(66,710 )	(140,045 )
(Increase) in Deposits	(878 )	(5,788 )	(6,666 )
Increase (Decrease) in Accounts Payable	(56,384 )	96,970	(818 )
Increase (Decrease) Increase in Accrued Expenses	37,184	(34,032 )	(60,819 )
(Decrease) in Deferred Tax Liability	0	0	(38,259 )
<b>CASH FLOWS (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(3,040,822)</b>	<b>(5,610,756)</b>	<b>(11,625,185)</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Purchase of Fixed Assets	(69,192 )	(130,170 )	(281,494 )
Retirement of Fixed Assets	10,124	0	10,124
Note Receivable to Affiliate	(500,000 )	0	(500,000 )
<b>CASH FLOWS (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(559,068 )</b>	<b>(130,170 )</b>	<b>(771,370 )</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Proceeds from DynaMexico Earn In	1,699,981	4,800,000	9,542,985
Proceeds from Sale of Common Stock	830,018	1,687,260	3,662,592
Repurchase of Common Stock Options	0	(10,000 )	(10,000 )
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	(169,256 )	1,533,082	1,486,397
Purchase of Treasury Stock	(183,000 )	(40,290 )	(230,790 )

Edgar Filing: DYNARESOURCE INC - Form 10-K

Sale of Treasury Stock	256,514	0	256,514
Common Stock Receivable	125,000	(125,000 )	0
<b>CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>2,559,257</b>	<b>7,845,052</b>	<b>14,707,698</b>
Effect of exchange rate on cash	341,580	(1,825,230)	(1,491,475 )
<b>NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH</b>	<b>(699,053 )</b>	<b>278,896</b>	<b>819,668</b>
<b>CASH AT BEGINNING OF YEAR</b>	<b>2,339,561</b>	<b>2,060,665</b>	<b>820,840</b>
<b>CASH AT END OF YEAR</b>	<b>\$ 1,640,508</b>	<b>\$ 2,339,561</b>	<b>\$ 1,640,508</b>

**SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES**

Non-Cash Issuance of Common Shares for Services	\$ 146,250	\$ 430,101	\$ 539,688
Non-Cash Issuance of Preferred Shares for Services	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,000
Cash Paid During the Year for Interest Expense	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Non-Cash Dividend of Property	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 129,822

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

DYNARESOURCE, INC.  
(An Exploration Stage Company)  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements  
December 31, 2009 and 2008

NOTE 1 – NATURE OF ACTIVITIES AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Activities, History and Organization:

DynaResource, Inc. (The “Company” or “DynaResource”) was organized September 28, 1937, as a California corporation under the name of West Coast Mines, Inc. In 1998, the Company re-domiciled to Delaware and changed its name to DynaResource, Inc. The Company is in the business of acquiring, investing in, and developing precious metal properties, and the production of precious metals.

In 2000, the Company formed a wholly owned subsidiary, DynaResource de Mexico S.A. de C.V. chartered in Mexico (“DynaMexico”). This Company was formed to acquire, invest in and develop resource properties in Mexico. In 2005, the Company formed DynaResource Operaciones de San Jose De Gracia S.A. de C.V. (“DynaOperaciones”) and acquired effective control of Mineras de DynaResource S.A. de C.V. (formerly Minera Finesterre, S.A. de C.V.) (“MinerasDyna”). The Company owns 25% of Mineras and acquired effective control of Mineras by acquiring the option to purchase the remaining 75% of the Shares of Mineras for seventy five pesos (approximately \$5.50 in United States dollars as of December 31, 2008). The Agreement also provides that the other shareholders relinquish and forfeit any and all rights, interests and claims in and to the Company and in or to any of the rights or assets owned or controlled by the Company. The Option was scheduled to expire on January 6, 2010. The results of MinerasDyna are consolidated with those of the Company. The Company approved the exercise of its option in March 2009, finalized the exercise and acquisition in January 2010, and now owns 100% of MinerasDyna.

In January 2008, the Company transferred 15% of the ownership of DynaMexico to Goldgroup Resources Inc. (“Goldgroup”), in exchange for \$3,000,000 cash contribution and exploration expenditures at the San Jose de Gracia property (“SJG”), and in August 2008, the Company transferred an additional 10% of the ownership of DynaMexico to Goldgroup in exchange for an additional \$3,000,000 cash and exploration expenditures (See Note 5 below). Through December 31, 2009, Goldgroup has contributed \$9,867,990 to DynaMexico, and it currently owns 25% of DynaMexico.

The Company produced approximately \$8,244,442 in revenues from production activities during the years ended December 31, 2003 through 2006, and suspended this activity voluntarily to concentrate its efforts on exploration and development. In accordance with that decision, as of January 1, 2007, the Company reentered the Exploration Stage and has presented its cumulative results since reentering the Exploration Stage, in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 915 “Development Stage Entities” (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (“SFAS”) No. 7, “Accounting and Reporting by Development Stage Enterprises” (“SFAS No. 7”)), and will continue this presentation until it again has revenues from operations.

Significant Accounting Policies:

The Company's management selects accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and adopts methods for their application. The application of accounting principles requires the estimating, matching and timing of revenue and expense.

The financial statements and notes are representations of the Company's management which is responsible for their integrity and objectivity. Management further acknowledges that it is solely responsible for adopting sound accounting practices, establishing and maintaining a system of internal accounting control and preventing and detecting fraud. The Company's system of internal accounting control is designed to assure, among other items, that 1) recorded transactions are valid; 2) valid transactions are recorded; and 3) transactions are recorded in the proper period in a timely manner to produce financial statements which present fairly the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company for the respective periods being presented.

FASB Accounting Standards Codification:

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued new guidance concerning the organization of authoritative guidance under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"). This new guidance created the FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("the Codification" or "ASC"). The Codification has become the source of authoritative U.S. GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative U.S. GAAP for SEC registrants. The Codification became effective for the Company in its quarter ended September 30, 2009. As the Codification is not intended to change or alter existing U.S. GAAP, it did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. On its effective date, the Codification superseded all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become non-authoritative.

Basis of Presentation:

The Company prepares its financials statements on the accrual basis of accounting in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Principles of Consolidation:

The financial statements include the accounts of DynaResource, Inc. as well as DynaResource de Mexico, S.A. de C.V., DynaResource Operaciones S.A. de C.V. and Mineras de DynaResource S.A. de C.V. All significant inter-company transactions have been eliminated. All amounts are presented in U.S. Dollars unless otherwise stated.

Reclassification

Certain prior year balances have been reclassified to conform with current year presentation.

Foreign Currency Translation:

The subsidiary's functional currency is the Mexican peso. As a result, the financial statements of the subsidiary have been re-measured from Mexican pesos into U.S. dollars using (i) current exchange rates for monetary asset and liability accounts, (ii) historical exchange rates for nonmonetary asset and liability accounts, (iii) historical exchange rates for revenues and expenses associated with nonmonetary assets and liabilities and (iv) the weighted average exchange rate of the reporting period for all other revenues and expenses. In addition, foreign currency transaction gains and losses resulting from U.S. dollar denominated transactions are eliminated. The resulting re-measured gain or loss is reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity (comprehensive income (loss)).

The financial statements of the subsidiary should not be construed as representations that Mexican pesos have been, could have been or may in the future be converted into U.S. dollars at such rates or any other rates.

Relevant exchange rates used in the preparation of the financial statements for the subsidiary are as follows for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 (Mexican pesos per one U.S. dollar):

		December 31, 2009	
Current exchange rate:	Pesos	13.04	
Weighted average exchange rate for the year ended:		Pesos	13.52
		December 31, 2008	
Current exchange rate:	Pesos	13.78	
Weighted average exchange rate for the year ended:	Pesos	11.17	



Cash and Cash Equivalents:

The Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments with a maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. At times, cash balances may be in excess of the FDIC insurance limits. The carrying amount approximates fair market value.

Foreign Tax Receivable:

Foreign Tax Receivable (IVA) is comprised of recoverable value-added taxes charged by the Mexican government on goods and services rendered. Under certain circumstances, these taxes are recoverable by filing a tax return. Amounts paid for IVA are tracked and held as receivables until the funds are remitted. The total amount of the IVA receivable as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are \$120,210 and \$163,289, respectively.

Inventory:

As the Company ceased mining production in 2006, there is no inventory as of December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Fixed Assets:

Fixed assets are carried at cost. Depreciation is provided over each asset's estimated useful life. Upon retirement and disposal, the asset cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is included in the determination of the net income. Expenditures for geological and engineering studies, maintenance and claim renewals are charged to expense when incurred. Additions and significant improvements are capitalized and depreciated.

Mining Properties:

The Company is an 'Exploration Stage' company as defined in "SEC Industry Guide 7". Mining properties consist of 34 concessions at the San Jose de Gracia property, the basis of which are deferred until the properties are brought into production, at which time they will be amortized on the unit of production method based on estimated recoverable reserves. The Company has elected to expense a minimal amount of amortization due to the effects of exploration activities on the recoverable reserves. If it is determined that the deferred costs related to a property are not recoverable over its productive life, those costs will be written down to fair value as a charge to operations in the period in which the determination is made. The amounts at which mineral properties and the related deferred costs are recorded do not necessarily reflect present or future values.

The recoverability of the book value of each property will be assessed annually for indicators of impairment such as adverse changes to any of the following:

- estimated recoverable ounces of gold, silver or other precious minerals;
- estimated future commodity prices;
- estimated expected future operating costs, capital expenditures and reclamation expenditures.

A write-down to fair value will be recorded when the expected future cash flow is less than the net book value of the property or when events or changes in the property indicate that carrying amounts are not recoverable. This analysis will be completed as needed, and at least annually. As of the date of this filing no events have occurred that would require write-down of any assets. As of December 31, 2009, no indications of impairment existed.

Exploration Costs:

Exploration costs not directly associated with proven reserves on the mining concessions are charged to operations as incurred.

Advertising Costs:

The Company incurred \$0 and \$0 advertising costs for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Income Taxes:

Income from the corporation is taxed at regular corporate rates per the Internal Revenue Code. Although the Company has tax loss carr-forwards (see Note 15), there is uncertainty as to utilization prior to their expiration. Accordingly, the future income tax asset amounts have been fully offset by a valuation allowance.

Comprehensive Income:

ASC 220 "Comprehensive Income", (formerly SFAS No. 130 "Reporting Comprehensive Income"), establishes standards for reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components in a full set of general purpose financial statements. The Company's comprehensive income consists of net income and other comprehensive income (loss), consisting of unrealized net gains and losses on the translation of the assets and liabilities of its foreign operations. For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company's only component of comprehensive income was foreign currency translation adjustments.

Revenue Recognition:

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with ASC 605-10, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements", (formerly Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104 ("SAB 104")). Revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery or service has occurred, the sale price is fixed or determinable and receipt of payment is probable.

Revenues earned from the sale of precious metal concentrates are recognized as the title to the material is passed to the buyer upon delivery.

## Earnings (Loss) per Common Share:

Earnings (loss) per share is calculated in accordance with ASC 260 “Earnings per Share” (formerly SFAS No. 128, “Earnings per Share”). The weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period is used to compute basic earnings (loss) per share. Diluted earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of shares and potentially dilutive common shares outstanding. Dilutive potential common shares are additional common shares assumed to be exercised. Potentially dilutive common shares consist of stock options and are excluded from the diluted earnings per share computation in periods where the Company has incurred a net loss, as their effect would be considered anti-dilutive.

There were no potentially dilutive common stock equivalents as of December 31, 2009, therefore basic earnings per share equals diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2009. As discussed in Note 8, the Company had 630,092 stock options outstanding that could potentially have a dilutive effect on basic earnings per share in the future.

As the Company incurred a net loss during the year ended December 31, 2008, the basic and diluted loss per common share is the same amount. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had 1,809,432 stock options outstanding that could potentially have a dilutive effect on basic earnings per share in the future, including 1,724,583 shares that would have been considered dilutive if the Company had not incurred a net loss.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements:

The Company does not expect the adoption of recently issued accounting pronouncements to have a significant impact on the Company’s results of operations, financial position or cash flow. See Note 11 for a discussion of new accounting pronouncements.

## Use of Estimates:

In order to prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, management must make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and determines whether contingent assets and liabilities, if any, are disclosed in the financial statements. The ultimate resolution of issues requiring these estimates and assumptions could differ significantly from resolution currently anticipated by management and on which the financial statements are based.

## NOTE 2 – FIXED ASSETS

Fixed assets consist of the following at December 31, 2009 and 2008:

	2009	2008
Mining camp equipment and fixtures	\$546,636	\$546,636
Transportation equipment	179,395	133,517
Lab equipment	14,306	14,306
Machinery and equipment	16,175	16,175
Office furniture and fixtures	76,895	69,853
Office equipment	9,595	3,448
Computer equipment	32,250	32,250

Sub-total	875,252	816,185
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(524,424 )	(430,110 )
Total	\$350,828	\$386,075

Depreciation has been provided over each asset's estimated useful life. Depreciation expense was \$94,314 and \$105,820 for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

## NOTE 3 – MINING PROPERTIES

Mining properties consist of the following as of December 31, 2009 and 2008:

	2009	2008
San Jose de Gracia (“SJG”):		
Mining Concessions	\$4,703,367	\$4,703,367
Less: Accumulated Amortization	(390,953 )	(343,696 )
Total Mining Properties	\$4,312,414	\$4,359,671

Amortization expense was \$47,257 and \$55,186 for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

## NOTE 4 – NOTE RECEIVABLE FROM AFFILIATE

During 2009, the Company invested \$ 500,000 in DynaResource Nevada, Inc., a Nevada Corporation, with one operating subsidiary in Mexico, DynaNevada de Mexico, SA de CV. The terms of the investment provide for a “Convertible Loan”, repayable at 5 % interest over a 3 year period, and convertible at the Company’s option into Common Stock of DynaResource Nevada, Inc. at \$ .50 / Share. DynaResource Nevada, Inc. is a related entity, and through its subsidiary in Mexico, has entered into an Option agreement with Grupo Mexico (IMMSA) in Mexico, for the exploration and development of approximately 3,000 hectares in the State of San Luis Potosi.

In March 2010, the Option agreement with Grupo Mexico was completed.

## NOTE 5 – INCOME TAXES

The Company has adopted ASC 740-10, “Income Taxes”, (formerly SFAS No. 109, “Accounting for Income Taxes”), which requires the use of the liability method in the computation of income tax expense and the current and deferred income taxes payable (deferred tax liability) or benefit (deferred tax asset). Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

The cumulative tax effect at the expected tax rate of 34% (blended for U.S. and Mexico) of significant items comprising the Company’s net deferred tax amounts as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

Deferred Tax Asset Related to:

	2009	2008
Prior Year	\$ 2,131,367	132,621
Tax Benefit for Current Year	1,112,734	1,998,746
Total Deferred Tax Asset	3,244,101	2,131,367
Less: Valuation Allowance	(3,244,101)	(2,131,367)
Net Deferred Tax Asset	\$ 0	\$ 0



The net deferred tax asset generated by the loss carryforward has been fully reserved. The net deferred tax asset and benefit for the current year is generated primarily from the cumulative net operating loss carry-forward which is approximately \$18,853,957 at December 31, 2009, and will expire in the years 2025 through 2028.

The realization of deferred tax benefits is contingent upon future earnings and is fully reserved at December 31, 2009.

#### NOTE 6 – MATERIAL AGREEMENTS

##### Concessions and Interest related to the San Jose de Gracia Property (“SJG”):

In March 2000, The Company entered into agreements to complete the acquisition and consolidation of 100% of the San Jose de Gracia Property and related mining interests. Pursuant to these agreements, the Mining Concessions and related interests comprising the San Jose de Gracia property were transferred to “DynaMexico”, at the time the wholly owned subsidiary of the Company.

In March 2005, the Company issued 115,000 common shares; received a cash payment of \$15,000; and accepted a mutual release from the vending parties to complete the acquisition agreements.

##### Financing/Sale of Stock:

On September 1, 2006 the Company signed a “Stock Purchase and Earn In Agreement” (“Earn In”) between: DynaResource and DynaMexico, (“Seller”) and Goldgroup Resources, Inc., of Vancouver, British Columbia (“Goldgroup”), (“Buyer”), and Together, (“the Parties”).

The Earn In provides for the sale of up to fifty per cent (50%) of the total outstanding shares of DynaMexico, the wholly owned subsidiary of DynaResource, and the owner of the San Jose de Gracia District in northern Sinaloa Mexico (“SJG”); in exchange for the total cash contributions to DynaMexico, and expenditures related to the development of the SJG, in the amount of \$18,000,000 by Goldgroup; contributed in four (4) phases, as set forth below:

Phase	On or before	Amount of Funds to be deposited to DynaMexico (For SJG Expenditures)	Interest Earned (by Goldgroup in DynaMexico)	Cumulative Interest Earned (by Goldgroup in DynaMexico)
1.	June 15, 2007	\$1,000,000	0%	0%
2.	March 15, 2008	\$2,000,000	15%	15%
3.	September 15, 2009	\$3,000,000	10%	25%
4.	March 15, 2011	\$12,000,000	25%	50%

Pursuant to the Earn In Agreement:

DynaResource attached the "SJG Title Opinion", compiled by its legal counsel in Mazatlan, Sinaloa Mexico, with attachments and schedules; describing the status and position of DynaMexico and affiliates in Mexico, and confirming the ownership and status of the Mining Concessions comprising the SJG District in Sinaloa, Mexico;

DynaResource attached its audited, consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2005;

The Parties agreed to a revised setting of the Board of Directors of DynaMexico, to:

- a. Two (2) members of DynaResource; K.D. Diepholz, Chairman/CEO of DynaResource as President; and, Charles E. Smith; CFO of DynaResource;
- b. One (1) member of Goldgroup; Keith Piggott, CEO of Goldgroup.

A Management Committee was formed to approve budgets and expenditures pursuant to the Earn In. The setting of the Management Committee is:

- a. Two (2) members of Goldgroup; Keith Piggott, CEO of Goldgroup as Chairman; and, John Sutherland, CFO of Goldgroup;  
One (1) member of DynaResource; K.D. Diepholz, Chairman/CEO of DynaResource;
- b.
- c. Members of the Management Committee may be changed as subsequently agreed.

The Parties agree to cooperate to develop the SJG Property, in the best interests of the Project.

Phase 1, 2 and 3 of Earn In Completed:

Activities related to the exploration and development of SJG are being conducted by DynaMexico, through contract to the operating subsidiary MinerasDyna; with the management of personnel being contracted by MinerasDyna through to the personnel management subsidiary, DynaOperaciones.

On December 28, 2007, Goldgroup completed Phase 2 of the Earn In Agreement, through the contributions of Capital of \$3,368,088 (USD) to DynaMexico and the expenditures related to the exploration of SJG of \$27,063,453 Mexican pesos, with the remainder held in cash in DynaMexico, In January 2008, 15% of the Shares of DynaMexico were transferred to Goldgroup.

On July 16, 2008, the Goldgroup completed Phase 3 of the Earn In Agreement through total contributions of capital under the Earn In Agreement of \$6,118,009 (USD) with total expenditures related to the exploration of SJG of \$57,252,898 Mexican pesos, with the remainder held in cash in DynaMexico. In August 2008, an additional 10% of the Shares of DynaMexico were transferred to Goldgroup, so that Goldgroup owns 25% of DynaMexico as of December 31, 2008.

Continuing with Phase 3 exploration activities, the Company had total deposits to DynaMexico by Goldgroup of \$ 9,867,990 USD, with total expenditures through DynaMexico of \$103,024,033 Mexican pesos through December 31, 2009.

The Company received \$1,699,981 from Goldgroup during 2009 pursuant to the Earn In Agreement.





Material Agreement (MOU):

In order to clarify and confirm the operating structure at SJG, DynaResource, DynaMexico, and Goldgroup (the Parties to the Earn In/Option Agreement; and “the Parties”) entered into a “Memorandum of Understanding”, (the “MOU”), dated July 29, 2008 . The MOU provides for:

- MinerasDyna is the exclusive operating entity at SJG, pursuant to the operating agreement with DynaMexico;
- DynaMexico owns the SJG 100%, and all data and information pursuant thereto; any information disseminated regarding SJG must be disclosed as from DynaMexico;
- The SJG Management Committee is not a legal entity and has no authority or ability to sign contracts or incur obligations or liabilities to DynaMexico, MinerasDyna, or DynaOperaciones;
- The SJG Management Committee does not have the authority to act for or represent DynaMexico, MinerasDyna, DynaOperaciones, or the SJG Property;
- All personnel must be employed or contracted through MinerasDyna or DynaOperaciones and be accountable to the employing/contracting entity.

NOTE 7 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company paid \$ 123,758 and \$170,000 to Dynacap Group, Ltd. (an entity controlled by officers of the Company) for consulting and other fees in 2009 and 2008, respectively.

In addition, the Company has issued its stock to the following directors and consultants for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively:

	2009	2008
Directors	\$65,000	\$0
Consultants	\$81,250	\$430,101
Totals	\$141,250	\$430,101

The above stock transactions were expensed as compensation in the financial statements.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company’s revenue was earned from exploration services performed for a related party.



## NOTE 8 – STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY

### Preferred Stock

The Company is authorized to issue 10,000 preferred shares at a par value of \$1.00 per share. These shares have full voting rights. In October 2007, the Company issued 1,000 shares of Preferred A shares to its CEO. These shares have the right to elect a majority of the Board of Directors. There were 1,000 shares outstanding at both December 31, 2009 and 2008.

### Common Stock

The Company is authorized to issue 12,500,000 common shares at a par value of \$0.01 per share. These shares have full voting rights. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, there were 9,374,303 and 9,073,913 shares outstanding, respectively. No dividends were paid in 2009 or 2008.

### Preferred Rights

The Company issued “Preferred Rights” and received \$158,500 in 2003 and \$626,250 in 2002, for the rights to percentages of revenues generated from the San Jose de Gracia Pilot Production Plant. This has been reflected as “Preferred Rights” in stockholders’ equity. As of December 31, 2004, \$558,312 was repaid and as of December 31, 2005, an additional \$186,188 has been repaid, leaving a current balance of \$40,000 and \$40,000 as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

### Stock Issuances

During 2009, The Company issued 255,390 common shares for cash for \$3.25 per share.

During 2009, the Company issued 20,000 common shares to directors for \$ 65,000 in services. The Company also issued 25,000 common shares to consultants for \$ 81,250 in services.

During 2008, the Company issued 21,880 common shares for cash for \$5.00 per share. The Company also issued 150,065 shares for \$430,101 of consulting services.

### Treasury Stock

Treasury stock is accounted for by the cost method. During 2009, the Company repurchased 75,000 of shares for \$183,000 and resold 86,191 shares for \$256,514.

### Common Stock Subscription Receivable

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had \$125,000 due from a shareholder for the exercise of \$2.50 options which expired at the end of 2008. The notice from the shareholder indicating their intent to exercise the options was received prior to the expiration of the option period, and the payment was received in January 2009.



## Options and Warrants

The Company has 630,092 options outstanding at December 31, 2009 which consists of the following:

240,917 options entitle the holder to purchase one share of the Company's common stock at a price of \$5.00 per share. On August 31, 2007, the expiration date was extended from July 1, 2008 to November 15, 2009 and on November 13, 2009, the expiration date was extended until November 15, 2010. No options have been exercised or cancelled since issuance.

365,295 options entitle the holder to purchase one share of the Company's common stock at a price of \$5.00 per share. On November 13, 2009, the expiration date was extended from November 15, 2009 until November 15, 2010. No options were exercised or cancelled since issuance.

23,880 options entitle the holder to purchase one share of the Company's common stock at a price of \$10.00 a share. On November 13, 2009, the Company repriced these options to an exercise price of \$5.00, and extended the expiration date from November 15, 2009 to November 15, 2010.

During 2009, 1,179,152 options expired and were not exercised or reissued.

The Company's stock price was \$2.85 at December 31, 2009, therefore none of the Company's options are considered dilutive and, therefore, are not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation.

During 2008, 625,144 options were exercised for \$1,577,860.

## NOTE 9 – EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, there were no qualified or non-qualified employee pension, profit sharing, stock option, or other plans authorized for any class of employees.

## NOTE 10 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is required to pay taxes in order to maintain their concessions. Additionally, the Company is required to incur a minimum amount of expenditures each year for all concessions held. The minimum expenditures are calculated based upon the land area, as well as the age of the concessions. Amounts spent in excess of the minimum may be carried forward indefinitely over the life of the concessions, and are adjusted annually for inflation. Based on Management's business plans, they do not anticipate any issues in meeting the minimum annual expenditures for the concessions, and the Company retains sufficient carry forward amounts to cover over 20 years of the minimum expenditure (as calculated at the 2009 minimum, adjusted for annual inflation of 4%).

In September 2008, the Company entered into a 37 month lease agreement for its corporate office. The Company paid rent expense of \$46,230 and \$15,133 related to this lease for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.



The following is a schedule of minimum lease payments required under the existing lease as of December 31, 2009:

Year Ended December 31	Amount
2010	\$ 46,634
2011	31,501
2012	0
	\$ 78,135

#### NOTE 11 – RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUCEMENTS

In 2009, the FASB issued the following guidance:

SFAS No. 166: "Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets—an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140", which was codified into ASC 860, which be effective for the Company as of January 1, 2010.

SFAS No. 167: "Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets", which was codified into ASC 810-10, which will be effective for the Company as of January 1, 2010.

FSP No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1: "Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments", which was codified into ASC 825.

FSP No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2: "Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments", which was codified into ASC 320-10-65-4.

FSP No. FAS 157-4: "Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly", which was codified into ASC 820-10-65-4.

Management has reviewed these new standards and believes they had or will have no material impact on the financial statements of the Company.

#### NOTE 12 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

In September 2006, the guidance for fair value measurements and disclosure was updated to define fair value, establish a framework for measuring fair value, and expand disclosures about fair value measurements. This guidance does not require any new fair value measurements but rather eliminates inconsistencies in guidance found in various prior accounting pronouncements. The provisions of the updated guidance were adopted January 1, 2008. In February 2008, the FASB staff issued an update to the guidance which delayed the effective date for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a nonrecurring basis. The Company adopted the updated guidance for the Company's nonfinancial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis on January 1, 2009. The adoption of updated guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.



In October 2008, the guidance was further updated to provide guidance on how the fair value of a financial asset is to be determined when the market for that financial asset is inactive. The guidance states that determining fair value in an inactive market depends on the facts and circumstances, requires the use of significant judgment and, in some cases, observable inputs may require significant adjustment based on unobservable data. Regardless of the valuation technique used, an entity must include appropriate risk adjustments that market participants would make for nonperformance and liquidity risks when determining fair value of an asset in an inactive market. The guidance was effective upon issuance. The Company has incorporated the principles of updated guidance in determining the fair value of financial assets when the market for those assets is not active.

In April 2009, the guidance was further updated to provide additional guidance on determining fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased and identifying circumstances that indicate when a transaction is not orderly. The provisions of this updated guidance were adopted April 1, 2009. The adoption of the guidance did not have an impact on the Company's fair value measurements.

The ASC guidance for fair value measurements and disclosure establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1 Inputs – Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2 Inputs – Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable.

Level 3 Inputs – Instruments with primarily unobservable value drivers.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company's financial assets and liabilities are measured at fair value using Level 3 inputs, with the exception of cash.

#### NOTE 13 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

During 2009, the FASB issued ASC 855-10 "Subsequent Events", (formerly SFAS No. 165, "Subsequent Events,") which establishes general standards for accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. The pronouncement requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date, whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. In conjunction with the preparation of these financial statements, an evaluation of subsequent events was performed through March 29, 2010, which is the date the financial statements were issued.

In January 2010, the Company finalized the acquisition of the remaining 75% of MinerasDyna and now owns 100 % of MinerasDyna. Results from MinerasDyna will continue to be consolidated with the Company.

Subsequent to December 31, 2009, Goldgroup deposited an additional \$900,000 pursuant to the Earn In Agreement, which is discussed in Note 6.

