

ASSURED GUARANTY LTD
Form DEF 14A
March 26, 2007
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant x
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o
Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o **Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Assured Guaranty Ltd.
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
(5)	Total fee paid:
- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1)	Amount Previously Paid:
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(3)	Filing Party:
(4)	Date Filed:

Persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

March 26, 2007
Hamilton, Bermuda

Dear Shareholders:

It is with great pleasure that we invite you to our 2007 Annual General Meeting of shareholders. The meeting will be held on Thursday, May 3, 2007, at the Hamilton Princess Hotel, 76 Pitts Bay Road, Hamilton, Bermuda at 8:00 a.m. Atlantic time.

Our formal agenda for this year's meeting is to vote on the election of directors, to ratify the selection of independent auditors for 2007, and to vote on directors and independent auditors for one of our subsidiaries. In addition, we will report to you the highlights of 2006 and discuss the outlook for our business in 2007. We will also answer any questions you may have. Representatives of our independent accountants will be in attendance at the meeting and will be available to answer questions. We have provided additional information relevant to our annual general meeting, including compensation and other disclosures in the new format required by the Securities and Exchange Commission, in the attached proxy statement.

Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, your vote on these matters is important to us. Please complete, sign and return the enclosed proxy card in the envelope provided. Alternatively, you can vote your proxy by telephone or through the Internet by following the instructions on the enclosed proxy card.

We look forward to seeing you at the meeting.

Sincerely,
/s/ WALTER A. SCOTT
Walter A. Scott
Chairman of the Board

/s/ DOMINIC J. FREDERICO
Dominic J. Frederico
President and Chief Executive Officer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING AND VOTING</u>	1
<u>Why Did You Send Me this Proxy Statement?</u>	1
<u>What Proposals Will be Voted on at the Annual General Meeting?</u>	1
<u>Who Is Entitled to Vote?</u>	1
<u>How Many Votes Do I Have?</u>	1
<u>What is the Difference Between Holding Shares as a Shareholder of Record and as a Beneficial Owner?</u>	2
<u>How Do I Vote by Proxy?</u>	2
<u>May I Vote by Telephone or Via the Internet?</u>	3
<u>May I Revoke My Proxy?</u>	3
<u>How Do I Vote in Person at the Annual General Meeting?</u>	3
<u>What Votes Need to be Present to Hold the Annual General Meeting?</u>	4
<u>What Vote Is Required to Approve Each Proposal?</u>	4
<u>How are Votes Counted?</u>	4
<u>What Is the Effect of Broker Non-Votes and Abstentions?</u>	4
<u>What Are the Costs of Soliciting these Proxies and Who Will Pay Them?</u>	5
<u>Where Can I Find the Voting Results?</u>	5
<u>Do Directors Attend the Annual General Meeting?</u>	5
<u>Can a Shareholder Communicate Directly with our Board? If so, how?</u>	5
<u>Whom Should I Call If I Have Any Questions?</u>	5
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	6
<u>Overview</u>	6
<u>The Board of Directors</u>	7
<u>Director Independence</u>	7
<u>The Committees of the Board</u>	8
<u>How are Directors Compensated?</u>	9
<u>How are Directors Nominated?</u>	11
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocking and Insider Participation</u>	12
<u>What is our Related Party Transactions Approval Policy and What Procedures Do We Use To Implement It?</u>	12
<u>What Related Person Transactions Do We Have?</u>	13
<u>Did Our Insiders Comply with Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting in 2006?</u>	16
<u>PROPOSAL NO. 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	17
<u>General</u>	17
<u>Nominees for Election for Terms Expiring in 2010</u>	17
<u>Directors Whose Terms of Office Will Continue after this Meeting</u>	18
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT OUR COMMON SHARE OWNERSHIP</u>	20
<u>How Much Stock is Owned By Directors and Executive Officers?</u>	20
<u>Which Shareholders Own More Than 5% of our Common Shares?</u>	20

<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	21
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	21
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	33
<u>2006 Summary Compensation Table</u>	34
<u>Employment Agreements</u>	35
<u>Employee Stock Purchase Plan</u>	39
<u>Indemnification Agreements</u>	39
<u>2006 Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	40
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2006</u>	41
<u>2006 Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	42
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	42
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	43
<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	47
<u>PROPOSAL NO. 2: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT</u>	
<u>AUDITORS</u>	49
<u>Independent Auditor Fee Information</u>	49
<u>Pre-Approval Policy of Audit and Non-Audit Services</u>	49
<u>PROPOSAL NO. 3: PROPOSALS CONCERNING OUR SUBSIDIARY, ASSURED GUARANTY RE LTD.</u>	50
<u>SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2008 ANNUAL MEETING</u>	51
<u>How do I Submit a Proposal for Inclusion in Next Year's Proxy Material?</u>	51
<u>How do I Submit a Proposal or Make a Nomination at an Annual General Meeting?</u>	51
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	51
<u>CATEGORICAL STANDARDS FOR DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	A-1

NOTICE OF ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING

March 26, 2007
Hamilton, Bermuda

TO THE SHAREHOLDERS OF ASSURED GUARANTY LTD.:

The Annual General Meeting of Assured Guaranty Ltd., which we refer to as the Company, will be held on Thursday, May 3, 2007, at 8:00 a.m. Atlantic Time at the Hamilton Princess, 76 Pitts Bay Road, Hamilton, Bermuda, for the following purposes:

1. To elect three Class III directors to hold office until 2010;
2. To ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2007;
3. To direct the Company to act on various matters concerning our subsidiary, Assured Guaranty Re Ltd.; and
4. To transact such other business, if any, as lawfully may be brought before the meeting.

Only shareholders of record, as shown by the transfer books of the Company, at the close of business on March 8, 2007, are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual General Meeting.

PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY IN THE RETURN ENVELOPE FURNISHED FOR THAT PURPOSE, AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE, WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING. IF YOU LATER DESIRE TO REVOKE YOUR PROXY FOR ANY REASON, YOU MAY DO SO IN THE MANNER DESCRIBED IN THE ATTACHED PROXY STATEMENT. YOU MAY ALSO VOTE OVER THE INTERNET OR BY TELEPHONE BY FOLLOWING THE VOTING INSTRUCTIONS PRINTED ON THE ACCOMPANYING PROXY CARD. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONCERNING THE INDIVIDUALS NOMINATED AS DIRECTORS, THE PROPOSALS BEING VOTED UPON, USE OF THE PROXY AND OTHER RELATED MATTERS, YOU ARE URGED TO READ THE ENCLOSED PROXY STATEMENT.

By Order of the Board of Directors,
James M. Michener
Secretary

ASSURED GUARANTY LTD.

30 Woodbourne Avenue
Hamilton HM 08 Bermuda

March 26, 2007

PROXY STATEMENT

INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING AND VOTING

Why Did You Send Me this Proxy Statement?

We sent you this Proxy Statement and the enclosed proxy card because the Board of Directors of Assured Guaranty Ltd., which we refer to as Assured Guaranty, we, us, our, or the Company is soliciting your proxy to vote at the 2007 Annual General Meeting, which will be held on Thursday, May 3, 2007, at 8:00 a.m. Atlantic time at the Hamilton Princess, 76 Pitts Bay Road, Hamilton, Bermuda. A copy of our Annual Report to Shareholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 accompanies this Proxy Statement.

This Proxy Statement summarizes the information you need to vote at the Annual General Meeting. You do not need to attend the Annual General Meeting to vote your shares. You may simply complete, sign and return the enclosed proxy card or vote by telephone or over the Internet.

What Proposals Will be Voted on at the Annual General Meeting?

There are three proposals scheduled to be voted on at the Annual General Meeting:

- The election of three Class III directors.
- The ratification of the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as our independent auditors for 2007.
- Authorizing the Company to vote for the election directors and independent auditors for our subsidiary, Assured Guaranty Re Ltd., which we refer to as AG Re.

Assured Guaranty's Board recommends that you vote your shares **FOR** each of the nominees of the Board, **FOR** the appointment of the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent auditors for 2007 and **FOR** authorizing the Company to vote for the election of the directors and independent auditors of our subsidiary, Assured Guaranty Re Ltd.

Who Is Entitled to Vote?

March 8, 2007 is the record date for the Annual General Meeting. If you owned our common shares at the close of business on March 8, 2007, you are entitled to vote. On that date, we had 74,428,961 of our common shares outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual General Meeting. Our common shares are our only class of voting stock. We will begin mailing this Proxy Statement on or about March 30, 2007 to all shareholders entitled to vote.

How Many Votes Do I Have?

You have one vote for each of our common shares that you owned at the close of business on March 8, 2007, except that if, and so long as, the controlled shares, which our Bye-laws defined generally to include all of our common shares directly, indirectly or constructively owned or beneficially owned by any person or group of persons, of any United States person, as defined in the Internal Revenue Code, constitute 9.5% or more of our issued common shares, the voting rights with respect to the controlled shares owned by such person will be limited, in the aggregate, to a voting power of approximately 9.5%, pursuant to a formula specified in our Bye-Laws.

The proxy card indicates the number of common shares you are entitled to vote, without giving effect to the controlled share rule described above.

What is the Difference Between Holding Shares as a Shareholder of Record and as a Beneficial Owner?

Many of our shareholders hold their shares through a stockbroker, bank or other nominee rather than directly in their own name. As summarized below, there are some differences between shares held of record and those owned beneficially.

Shareholder of Record

If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, The Bank of New York, you are considered, with respect to those shares, the shareholder of record and these proxy materials are being sent to you directly. As the shareholder of record, you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to Assured Guaranty or to vote in person at the Annual General Meeting. Assured Guaranty has enclosed a proxy card for you to use. You may also vote on the Internet or by telephone as described below under the heading Information About the Annual General Meeting and Voting May I Vote by Telephone or Via the Internet? .

Beneficial Owner

If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker or nominee who is considered, with respect to those shares, the shareholder of record. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker or nominee on how to vote your shares and are also invited to attend the Annual General Meeting. However, since you are not the shareholder of record, you may only vote these shares in person at the Annual General Meeting if you follow the instructions described below under the heading Information About the Annual General Meeting and Voting How Do I Vote In Person at the Annual General Meeting? . Your broker or nominee has enclosed a voting instruction card for you to use in directing your broker or nominee as to how to vote your shares. You may also vote on the Internet or by telephone as described below under the heading Information About the Annual General Meeting and Voting May I Vote by Telephone or Via the Internet? .

How Do I Vote by Proxy?

If you properly fill in your proxy card and send it to us in time to vote, your proxy (one of the individuals named on your proxy card) will vote your shares as you have directed. If you sign the proxy card but do not make specific choices, your proxy will vote your shares as recommended by the Board:

- **FOR** the election of three Class III directors.
- **FOR** the ratification of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent auditors for 2007.
- **FOR** directing the Company to vote for the election of directors and the ratification of independent auditors for our subsidiary, AG Re.

If any other matter is presented, your proxy will vote in accordance with his or her best judgment. At the time we began printing this Proxy Statement, we knew of no matters that needed to be acted on at the Annual General Meeting, other than those discussed in this Proxy Statement.

May I Vote by Telephone or Via the Internet?

Yes. Instead of submitting your vote by mail on the enclosed proxy card, you may be able to vote via the Internet or by telephone. We encourage you to do so because your vote is then tabulated faster than if you mailed it. Please note that there are separate Internet and telephone arrangements depending on whether you are a shareholder of record (*that is, if you hold your stock in your own name*), or whether you are a beneficial owner and hold your shares in street name (*that is, if your stock is held in the name of your broker or bank*).

If you are a shareholder of record, you may vote by telephone, or electronically through the Internet, by following the instructions provided on your proxy card.

If you are a beneficial owner and held your shares in street name, you may need to contact your bank or broker to determine whether you will be able to vote by telephone or electronically.

The telephone and Internet voting procedures are designed to authenticate shareholders' identities, to allow shareholders to give their voting instructions and to confirm that shareholders' instructions have been recorded properly. If you vote via the Internet, you may incur costs, such as usage charges from Internet access providers and telephone companies. You will be responsible for those costs.

Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual General Meeting, we urge you to vote. Returning the proxy card or voting by telephone or over the Internet will not affect your right to attend the Annual General Meeting and vote.

May I Revoke My Proxy?

Yes. If you change your mind after you vote, you may revoke your proxy by following any of the procedures described below. To revoke your proxy:

- Send in another signed proxy with a later date or resubmit your vote by telephone or the Internet,
- Send a letter revoking your proxy to Assured Guaranty's Secretary at 30 Woodbourne Avenue, Hamilton HM 08, Bermuda, or
- Attend the Annual General Meeting and vote in person.

If you wish to revoke your proxy, you must do so in sufficient time to permit the necessary examination and tabulation of the subsequent proxy or revocation before the vote is taken

How Do I Vote in Person at the Annual General Meeting?

You may vote shares held directly in your name as the shareholder of record in person at the Annual General Meeting. If you choose to vote your shares in person at the Annual General Meeting, please bring the enclosed proxy card or proof of identification. Even if you plan to attend the Annual General Meeting, Assured Guaranty recommends that you vote your shares in advance as described above so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the Annual General Meeting.

Shares beneficially owned and held in street name may be voted in person by you only if you obtain a signed proxy from the shareholder of record giving you the right to vote the shares. If your shares are held in the name of your broker, bank or other nominee, you must bring to the Annual General Meeting an account statement or letter from the broker, bank or other nominee indicating that you are the owner of the shares and a signed proxy from the shareholder of record giving you the right to vote the shares. The account statement or letter must show that you were beneficial owner of the shares on March 8, 2007.

What Votes Need to be Present to Hold the Annual General Meeting?

To have a quorum for our Annual General Meeting, two or more persons must be present, in person or by proxy, representing more than 50% of the common shares that were outstanding on March 8, 2007. As of March 8, 2007, our subsidiary Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc. owns 5,361,016 of our common shares. While it does not intend to vote these shares at the upcoming Annual General Meeting, it does intend for these shares to be present at the meeting so they will be counted towards the quorum.

What Vote Is Required to Approve Each Proposal?

Election of Directors	The election of each nominee for Class III director requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on such proposal at the Annual General Meeting.
Ratification of Appointment of Independent Auditors	The ratification of the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as independent auditors for 2007 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on such proposal at the Annual General Meeting.
Election of Directors and Ratification of Independent Auditors for a Subsidiary	The election of directors and the ratification of independent auditors for our subsidiary, AG Re, requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on such proposal at the Annual General Meeting.

How are Votes Counted?

In the election of Assured Guaranty directors, your vote may be cast **FOR** all of the nominees or your vote may be **WITHHELD** with respect to one or more of the nominees. For the ratification of Assured Guaranty's independent auditors, your vote may be cast **FOR** or **AGAINST** or you may **ABSTAIN**. For authorizing the Company to vote for election of directors of our subsidiary, AG Re, your vote may be cast **FOR** all of the nominees or your vote may be **WITHHELD** with respect to one or more of the nominees and authorizing the Company to vote for the ratification of AG Re's independent auditors, your vote may be cast **FOR** or **AGAINST** or you may **ABSTAIN**. If you sign your proxy card or broker voting instruction card with no further instructions, your shares will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board. We will appoint one or more inspectors of election to count votes cast in person or by proxy.

What Is the Effect of Broker Non-Votes and Abstentions?

A broker non-vote occurs when a broker holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because the broker does not have discretionary voting power for that particular item and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner.

Common shares owned by shareholders electing to abstain from voting with respect to any proposal and broker non-votes will be counted towards the presence of a quorum but will not be considered present and voting with respect to elections of directors or other matters to be voted upon at the Annual General Meeting. Therefore, abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the proposals to elect directors, to approve the subsidiary matters or to ratify the appointment of the Company's independent accountants.

What Are the Costs of Soliciting these Proxies and Who Will Pay Them?

Assured Guaranty will pay all the costs of soliciting these proxies. Our directors and employees may also solicit proxies by telephone, by fax or other electronic means of communication, or in person. We will reimburse banks, brokers, nominees and other fiduciaries for the expenses they incur in forwarding the proxy materials to you. Georgeson Inc. is assisting us with the solicitation of proxies for a fee of \$6,500 plus out-of-pocket expenses.

Where Can I Find the Voting Results?

We will publish the voting results in our Form 10-Q for the second quarter of 2007, which we will file with the SEC in August 2007. You can find the Form 10-Q on our website at www.assuredguaranty.com.

Do Directors Attend the Annual General Meeting?

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that directors are expected to attend our annual meeting of shareholders and any special meeting of shareholders called by the Company to consider extraordinary business transactions, unless they are unable to do so as a result of special circumstances; directors are encouraged to attend all other special meetings of shareholders called by the Company. Last year, all of our directors attended the Annual General Meeting.

Can a Shareholder Communicate Directly with our Board? If so, how?

Our Board provides a process for shareholders, employees or other interested parties to send communications to our Board. Shareholders, employees or other interested parties wanting to contact the Board concerning accounting or auditing matters may send an e-mail to the Chairman of the Audit Committee at chmaudit@assuredguaranty.com. Shareholders, employees or other interested parties wanting to contact the Board, the independent directors, the Chairman of the Board, the chairman of any Board committee or any other director, as to other matters may send an e-mail to corpsecy@assuredguaranty.com. The Secretary has access to both of these e-mail addresses. Alternatively, shareholders, employees or other interested parties may send written communications to the Board c/o Secretary, 30 Woodbourne Avenue, Hamilton HM 08, Bermuda, although mail to Bermuda is not as prompt as e-mail. Communication with the Board may be anonymous. The Secretary will forward all communications to the Board to the Chairman of the Audit Committee or the Chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee, who will determine when it is appropriate to distribute such communications to other members of the Board or to management.

Whom Should I Call If I Have Any Questions?

If you have any questions about the Annual General Meeting or voting, please contact James Michener, our Secretary, at 441-278-6679 or at jmichener@assuredguaranty.com.

If you have any questions about your ownership of Assured Guaranty common shares, please contact Sabra Purtill, our Managing Director, Investor Relations and Strategic Planning at 441-278-6665 or at spurtill@assuredguaranty.com.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Overview

In General

Our Board of Directors has maintained corporate governance policies since becoming a public company following our initial public offering, which we refer to as our IPO in 2004. We have reviewed internally and with the Board the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the rules of the SEC and the NYSE's listing standards regarding corporate governance policies and processes and are in compliance with the rules and listing standards. We have adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines covering issues such as executive sessions of the Board of Directors, director qualification standards, including independence, director responsibilities and board self-evaluations. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines contains our Categorical Standards for Director Independence. We have also adopted Code of Conduct and charters for each of our Compensation Committee, Audit Committee, Nominating and Governance Committee, Finance Committee and Risk Oversight Committee. The full text of our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our Code of Conduct and each committee charter, is available on the Company's website located at www.assuredguaranty.com. You can view and print our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Conduct and committee charters by accessing our website, then clicking on Investor Information, followed by Corporate Governance. In addition, you may request copies of the Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Code of Conduct, Categorical Standards for Director Independence and the committee charters by contacting our Secretary:

Telephone	441-278-6679
Facsimile	441-296-1083
e-mail	jmichener@assuredguaranty.com

Non-Management Director Meetings

The independent directors meet at regularly scheduled executive sessions without participation of management or any director that is not independent. The Chairman of the Board is the presiding director for executive sessions of independent directors.

Other Corporate Governance Highlights

- Our Board has a substantial majority (90%) of non-management, independent directors.
- Only non-management, independent directors serve on our Audit, Compensation, Nominating and Governance, Finance and Risk Oversight Committees.
- Our Audit Committee hires, determines the compensation of, and decides the scope of services performed by, our independent auditors. It also has the authority to retain outside advisors.
- No member of our Audit Committee simultaneously serves on the audit committees of more than two public companies.

- Our Compensation Committee has the authority to retain independent consultants, and, in fiscal 2006, engaged Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. to assist it. Our Compensation Committee evaluates the performance of the Chief Executive Officer, who we refer to as our CEO, based on corporate goals and objectives and, with the other independent directors, sets his compensation level based on this evaluation.
- Our Board has adopted a Code of Conduct applicable to all directors, officers and employees that sets forth basic principles to guide their day-to-day activities. The Code of Conduct addresses, among other things, conflicts of interest, corporate opportunities, confidentiality, fair dealing, protection and proper use of company assets, compliance with laws and regulations, including insider trading laws, and reporting illegal or unethical behavior.
- In addition to quarterly Board meetings, our Board has an annual business review meeting to review specific areas of the Company's operations and to learn about general trends affecting the financial guaranty industry. We also provide our directors with the opportunity to attend continuing education programs.

The Board of Directors

Our Board oversees our business and monitors the performance of management. In accordance with our corporate governance principles, the Board does not involve itself in day-to-day operations. The directors keep themselves informed by discussing matters with the CEO, other key executives and our principal external advisors, such as legal counsel, outside auditors, investment bankers and other consultants, by reading the reports and other materials that we send them regularly and by participating in Board and committee meetings.

The Board usually meets four times per year in regularly scheduled meetings, but will meet more often if necessary. The Board met four times during 2006 plus attended a business review meeting. All directors in office during 2006 attended at least 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board of Directors and committees of the Board of which they were a member held during the year ended December 31, 2006, except Mr. Layton. Mr. Layton could not attend the August 2006 board meeting due to a commitment made prior to his election to the board in May 2006.

Director Independence

In February 2007 our Board determined that the following directors are independent under the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange: Neil Baron, G. Lawrence Buhl, Stephen A. Cozen, John G. Heimann, Patrick W. Kenny, Donald H. Layton, Robin Monro-Davies, Michael T. O'Kane and Walter A. Scott. These independent directors constitute substantially more than a majority of the Company's Board of Directors. In making its determination of independence, the Board applied its Categorical Standards for Director Independence and determined that no other material relationships existed between the Company and these directors. A copy of our Categorical Standards for Director Independence is attached as Exhibit A to this proxy statement and is also available by accessing our website at www.assuredguaranty.com, then clicking on Investor Information, followed by Corporate Governance. The Board also considered the other directorships held by the independent directors and determined that none of these directorships constituted a material relationship with the Company. In considering the independence of Mr. Cozen, the Board took into consideration the fact that in 2006, the Company paid approximately \$5,617 for legal services rendered in 2005 from Cozen O'Conner, the law firm of which

Mr. Cozen is a partner and determined that this did not constitute a material relationship with the Company.

The Committees of the Board

The Board of Directors has established an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee, Finance Committee, a Nominating and Governance Committee and Risk Oversight Committee, all of which consist exclusively of members who qualify as independent directors under the applicable requirements of the New York Stock Exchange.

The Audit Committee

The Audit Committee provides oversight of the integrity of the Company's financial statements and financial reporting process, the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the system of internal controls, the audit process, the performance of the Company's internal audit program and the performance, qualification and independence of the independent accountants.

The Audit Committee is composed entirely of directors who are independent of the Company and its management, as defined by New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) listing standards.

The Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is an audit committee financial expert, as that term is defined under 401(h) of Regulation S-K, and that each member satisfies the financial literacy requirements of the NYSE.

The Audit Committee is comprised of G. Lawrence Buhl (Chairman), Patrick W. Kenny, Donald H. Layton and Michael T. O'Kane.

The Audit Committee held five meetings during 2006.

The Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee has responsibility for evaluating the performance of the CEO and senior management and determining executive compensation. The Compensation Committee also works with the Nominating and Governance Committee and the CEO on succession planning.

The Compensation Committee is composed entirely of directors who are independent of the Company and its management, as defined by the NYSE listing standards.

The Compensation Committee is comprised of Patrick W. Kenny (Chairman), Neil Baron and Stephen A. Cozen.

The Compensation Committee held four meetings during 2006.

The Nominating and Governance Committee

The responsibilities of the Nominating and Governance Committee include identification of individuals qualified to become Board members, recommending director nominees to the Board and developing and recommending corporate governance guidelines. The Nominating and Governance Committee also has responsibility to review and make recommendations to the full Board regarding director compensation. In addition to general corporate governance matters, the Nominating and Governance Committee assists the Board and the Board committees in their self-evaluations.

The Nominating and Governance Committee is composed entirely of directors who are independent of the Company and its management, as defined by the NYSE listing standards.

The Nominating and Governance Committee is comprised of Stephen A. Cozen (Chairman), John G. Heimann and Robin Monro-Davies.

The Nominating and Governance Committee held four meetings during 2006.

The Finance Committee

The Finance Committee of the Board of Directors oversees management's investment of the Company's investment portfolio. The Finance Committee also oversees, and makes recommendations to the Board with respect to, the Company's capital structure, financing arrangements, investment guidelines and any corporate development activities.

The Finance Committee is composed entirely of directors who are independent of the Company and its management, as defined by NYSE listing standards.

The Finance Committee is comprised of Neil Baron (Chairman), G. Lawrence Buhl and Michael T. O. Kane.

The Finance Committee held four meetings during 2006.

The Risk Oversight Committee

The Risk Oversight Committee was formed in May 2005 to establish the Company's risk tolerance, and oversee management's establishment and implementation of standards, controls, limits, guidelines and policies relating to risk assessment and risk management. The Risk Oversight Committee focuses on both the underwriting and surveillance of credit risks and the assessment and management of other risks, including, but not limited to, financial, legal and operational risks and other risks concerning the Company's reputation and ethical standards.

The Risk Oversight Committee is composed entirely of directors who are independent of the Company and its management, as defined by NYSE listing standards.

The Risk Oversight Committee is comprised of John G. Heimann (Chairman), Donald H. Layton and Robin Monro-Davies.

The Risk Oversight Committee held four meetings during 2006.

How are Directors Compensated?

We pay our non-management directors an annual retainer of \$150,000 per year, \$60,000 of which we pay in cash and \$90,000 of which we pay in stock units or restricted stock. A director may elect to receive up to 100 percent his annual retainer in stock units or restricted stock. The Chairman of the Board receives an additional \$100,000 annual retainer, the Chairman of the Audit Committee receives an additional \$20,000 annual retainer and the Chairman of each of the Compensation Committee, the Nominating and Governance Committee, the Finance Committee and the Risk Oversight Committee receives an additional \$10,000 annual retainer. Members of the Audit Committee, other than the chairman, receive an additional \$10,000 annual retainer and members, other than the chairmen, of each of the Compensation Committee, the Nominating and Governance Committee, the Finance Committee and the Risk Oversight Committee receive an additional \$5,000 annual retainer. The Company will generally not pay a fee for attendance at board or committee meetings, though the CEO has the discretion to pay attendance fees of \$2,000 for extraordinary or special meetings.

We awarded an initial, one-time grant of restricted shares with a value of \$100,000 to each non-management director upon closing of our initial public offering, which we refer to as our IPO, or, if later, upon the director's initial election to the Board of Directors. These restricted shares will vest on the day immediately prior to the third annual shareholders meeting at which directors are elected following the grant of the shares.

The Board of Directors has recommended that each director own at least 10,000 Common Shares within three years after joining the board. Common Shares represented by stock units will count toward that guideline, though restricted shares awarded upon a director's initial election will not. We grant annual retainer awards in the form of stock units until the share ownership guidelines have been met. The first 10,000 stock units awarded to each director became, or will become, non-forfeitable on the day immediately prior to the first annual shareholders meeting at which directors are elected following the grant of the units. We mandatorily defer the issuance of Common Shares for these units until six months after termination of the director's service on the Board of Directors. After directors meet the share ownership guidelines, they may elect to receive their annual retainer equity award in the form of either restricted shares that vest on the day immediately prior to the first annual shareholders meeting at which directors are elected following the grant of the shares, or stock units that become non-forfeitable on the day immediately prior to the first annual shareholders meeting at which directors are elected following the grant of the units, with the issuance of Common Shares deferred to a later date chosen by the director. The directors that have been in office since immediately following the IPO have satisfied our stock ownership guidelines. Directors cannot sell or transfer stock units until we issue the Common Shares to them. We credit dividend equivalents to stock units as additional stock units.

The following table sets forth our 2006 non-management director compensation:

Director Compensation

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	All Other Compensation(1) (\$)	Total (\$)
Neil Baron	\$ 75,000	\$ 123,338		\$ 198,338
G. Lawrence Buhl	\$ 105,000 (2)	\$ 123,338	\$ 3,000	\$ 231,338
Stephen A. Cozen	\$ 75,000	\$ 123,338		\$ 198,338
John G. Heimann	\$ 75,000	\$ 123,338	\$ 5,000	\$ 203,338
Patrick W. Kenny	\$ 80,000 (3)	\$ 141,667	\$ 5,000	\$ 226,667
Donald H. Layton	\$ 75,000	\$ 82,221		\$ 157,221
Robin Monro-Davies	\$ 70,000	\$ 145,828	\$ 7,694	\$ 223,522
Michael T. O Kane	\$ 75,000	\$ 145,828	\$ 5,000	\$ 225,828
Walter A. Scott	\$ 160,000	\$ 123,338	\$ 5,000	\$ 288,338

(1) Other compensation includes matching gift donations of \$3,000 for Mr. Buhl and \$5,000 for Messrs. Heimann, Kenny, Scott and O Kane. Mr. Monro-Davies's other compensation represents business trip reimbursement of \$7,694 for his spouse in connection with an annual board dinner.

(2) Includes a fee of \$20,000 paid in February 2006 for work on a special audit project, as we disclosed in our 2006 proxy statement.

(3) Mr. Kenny elected to receive \$20,000 of these fees in the form of stock units. Accordingly he actually received 820 stock units and \$40,000 in cash with respect to his annual retainer fees plus committee fees of \$20,000.

Edgar Filing: ASSURED GUARANTY LTD - Form DEF 14A

The grant date fair value of the May 2006 stock awards for each director, based on the grant date of May 5, 2006, was \$90,000 for all directors except Mr. Kenny; and \$110,000 for Mr. Kenny. The following table shows information related to director awards outstanding on December 31, 2006:

	Unvested Restricted Stock	Forfeitable Restricted Stock Units(1)	Non-Forfeitable Restricted Stock Units
N. Baron	5,556 (1)	3,705	9,783
L. Buhl	5,556 (1)	3,705	9,783
S. Cozen	5,556 (1)	3,705	9,783
J. Heimann	5,556 (1)	3,705	9,783
P. Kenny	5,556 (1)	4,528	10,567
D. Layton	4,100 (2)	3,705	
R. Monro-Davies	4,182 (3)	3,705	3,794
M. O Kane	4,182 (3)	3,705	3,794
W. Scott	5,556 (1)	3,705	9,783

-
- (1) Vests or becomes non-forfeitable May 2, 2007.
 - (2) Vest one day prior to 2009 annual general meeting.
 - (3) Vests one day prior to 2008 annual general meeting.

How are Directors Nominated?

In accordance with its charter, the Nominating and Governance Committee identifies potential nominees for directors from various sources. The Nominating and Governance Committee reviews the qualifications of these persons to determine whether they might make good candidates for consideration for membership on the Board of Directors. The Nominating and Governance Committee includes a review of the person's judgment, experience, independence, understanding of the Company's business or other related industries and such other factors as the Nominating and Governance Committee determines are relevant in light of the needs of the Board of Directors and the Company. The Nominating and Governance Committee will select qualified candidates and review its recommendations with the Board of Directors, which will decide whether to nominate the person for election to the Board of Directors at an annual general meeting. Between annual general meetings, the Board, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee, can approve additions to the Board.

The Company's corporate governance guidelines require the Nominating and Governance Committee to review annually the skills and attributes of Board members within the context of the current make-up of the full Board. Board members should have individual backgrounds that when combined provide a portfolio of experience and knowledge that will serve the Company's governance and strategic needs. The Nominating and Governance Committee will consider Board candidates on the basis of a range of criteria including broad-based business knowledge and contacts, prominence and sound reputation in their fields as well as a global business perspective and commitment to good corporate citizenship. Directors should be able and prepared to provide wise and thoughtful counsel to top management on the full range of potential issues facing the Company. They should represent all shareholders and not any special interest group or constituency. Directors shall possess the highest personal and professional integrity and commitment to ethical and moral values. Directors must have the time necessary to fully meet their duty of due care to the shareholders and be willing to commit to service over the long term, if called upon.

The Nominating and Governance Committee will consider a shareholder's recommendation for director, but the Nominating and Governance Committee has no obligation to recommend such candidates for nomination by the Board of Directors. Assuming that appropriate biographical and background

material is provided for candidates recommended by shareholders, the Nominating and Governance Committee will evaluate those candidates by following substantially the same process and applying substantially the same criteria as for candidates recommended by other sources. If a shareholder has a suggestion for candidates for election, the shareholder should mail it to: Secretary, Assured Guaranty Ltd., 30 Woodbourne Avenue, Hamilton HM 08 Bermuda. No person recommended by a shareholder will become a nominee for director and be included in a proxy statement unless the Nominating and Governance Committee recommends, and the Board approves, such person.

If a shareholder desires to nominate a person for election as director at a shareholders meeting, that shareholder must comply with Article 14 of the Company's By-Laws, which requires notice no later than 90 days prior to the anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual general meeting. This time period has passed with respect to the 2007 Annual General Meeting. With respect to the 2008 Annual General Meeting, the Company must receive such written notice on or prior to February 3, 2008. Such notice must describe the nomination in sufficient detail to be summarized on the agenda for the meeting and must set forth:

- the shareholder's name as it appears in the Company's books;
- a representation that the shareholder is a record holder of the Company's shares and intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to present such proposal;
- the class and number of shares beneficially owned by the shareholder;
- the name and address of any person to be nominated;
- a description of all arrangements or understanding between the shareholder and each nominee and any other person or persons, naming such other person or persons, pursuant to which the nomination or nominations are to be made by the shareholder;
- such other information regarding such nominee proposed by such shareholders as would be required to be included in a proxy statement filed pursuant to the SEC's proxy regulations; and
- the consent of each nominee to serve as a director of the Company, if so elected.

Compensation Committee Interlocking and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors has responsibility for determining the compensation of the Company's executive officers. None of the members of the Compensation Committee is a current or former officer or employee of the Company. No executive officer of the Company serves on the compensation committee of any company that employs any member of the Compensation Committee.

What is our Related Party Transactions Approval Policy and What Procedures Do We Use To Implement It?

Through our committee charters, we have established review and approval policies for transactions involving the Company and related persons, with the Nominating and Governance Committee taking the primary approval responsibility for transactions with our executive officers and directors and the Audit Committee taking the primary approval responsibility for transactions with our 5% shareholders. No member of these committees that has an interest in a transaction being reviewed is allowed to participate in any decision regarding any such transaction.

Our Nominating and Governance Committee charter requires the Nominating and Governance Committee to review and approve or disapprove of all proposed transactions with executive officers and directors that, if entered into, would be required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 404 of Regulation S-K, the SEC provision which requires disclosure of any related person transaction with the Company that

exceeds \$120,000 per fiscal year. The Nominating Committee must also review reports, which our general counsel provides periodically, and not less often than annually, regarding transactions with executive officers and directors (other than compensation) that have resulted, or could result, in expenditures that are not required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 404 of Regulation S-K.

Our Audit Committee charter requires our Audit Committee to review and approve or disapprove of all proposed transactions with any person owning more than 5% of any class of our voting securities that, if entered into, would be required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 404 of Regulation S-K. In addition, our Audit Committee charter requires the Audit Committee to review reports, which our general counsel provides to the Audit Committee periodically, and not less often than annually, regarding all transactions with any persons owning more than 5% of any class of the voting securities of the Company that have resulted, or could result in expenditures that are not required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 404 of Regulation S-K. Our Audit Committee charter also requires the Audit Committee to review other reports and disclosures of insider and affiliated party transactions which our general counsel provides periodically, and not less often than annually.

Our general counsel identifies related party transactions requiring committee review pursuant to our committee charters from transactions that are

- disclosed in director and officer questionnaires (which are also be completed by nominees for director) or in certifications of code of conduct compliance,
- reported directly by the related person or by another employee of the Company, or
- reported by our chief accounting officer based on a list of directors, executive officers and known 5% shareholders that our general counsel has given to him.

If we have a related person transaction that requires committee approval in accordance with the policies set forth in our committee charters, we either seek that approval before we enter the transaction or, if that timing is not practical, we ask the appropriate committee to ratify the transaction.

Prior to the adoption of our formal related party transaction approval policy in August 2006, we entered into transactions with ACE Limited, which we refer to as ACE, related to our IPO that were approved by the Board of Directors of the Company that was in office prior to our IPO. Some of these transactions remained in place in 2006 and, to the extent these arrangements were renewed or modified prior to the adoption of our approval policy, our CEO approved such renewals and modifications.

In 2006 we purchased 5,692,599 of our common shares from a subsidiary of ACE. The transaction was approved by our full board of directors.

What Related Person Transactions Do We Have?

Relationships with ACE Limited. During the time the Company was a subsidiary of ACE, it was party to a number of service agreements with subsidiaries of ACE under which either the Company provided services to subsidiaries of ACE, or they provided services to the Company. Since the IPO, many of these service agreements have been terminated, though some still remain in place.

During 2006, 2005 and 2004, ACE provided certain general and administrative services to some of the Company's subsidiaries, including Assured Guaranty Corp., which we refer to as AGC, AG Re and Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd., which we refer to as AGRO. Those services primarily included information technology related services and tax consulting and preparation services. Expenses included in the Company's consolidated financial statements related to these services were \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. As of December 31, 2006 the only services performed under this agreement were technology support services for our Bermuda location.

Real Estate. Prior to the IPO, AG Re was party to an arrangement with ACE pursuant to which it subleased approximately 5,000 square feet of office space in Bermuda from ACE at an annual cost of \$0.4 million. This amount was a prorated portion of amounts payable by ACE under the master lease. The property owner is a company of which ACE owns 40% of the outstanding capital stock. In connection with the IPO, the Company terminated the sublease arrangement and leased directly from the property owner the space used prior to the IPO plus additional space for a total of 9,000 square feet at an annual rent of approximately \$0.8 million. This lease expired on April 30, 2005. In May 2005, AG Re and the landowner signed a five year renewal of this lease covering approximately 11,000 square feet at an annual rent of approximately \$0.9 million. In May 2005, the Company subleased approximately 2,700 square feet to a subsidiary of ACE at an annual rent of approximately \$0.2 million.

Prior to August 2006, the Company provided Mr. Frederico a housing allowance by leasing a house in Bermuda for him from the ACE Foundation. Since December 2004, the rent on this house is \$20,000 per month. In August 2006 the lease with the ACE Foundation was terminated and Mr. Frederico currently leases the property directly from the ACE Foundation pursuant to his housing allowance. In addition, beginning March 1, 2004, the Company leased an apartment from the ACE Foundation at \$5,500 per month. This lease expired in February 2006 and was not renewed.

Reinsurance Transactions. The Company cedes business to affiliates of ACE under certain reinsurance agreements.

- AGC and AGRO, effective April 1, 2004, entered into 100% quota share retrocession agreements with ACE American. ACE American reinsures both existing and trade credit reinsurance business written by these entities.

Amounts related to reinsurance ceded are reflected in the table below:

	2006 (\$ in millions)
<i>For the year ended December 31, 2006:</i>	
Written premiums	\$ 4.1
Earned premiums	6.6
Loss and loss adjustment expenses incurred	0.9
Profit commission expenses	0.2
Acquisition costs	0.7
<i>As of December 31, 2006:</i>	
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	\$ 0.6
Reinsurance recoverable on ceded losses	10.8
Funds held by Company under reinsurance contracts	21.4

The Company also writes business with affiliates of ACE under insurance and reinsurance agreements. Amounts related to business assumed from affiliates are reflected in the table below:

	2006 (\$ in millions)
<i>For the year ended December 31, 2006:</i>	
Written premiums	\$ 0.2
Earned premiums	
Loss and loss adjustment expenses incurred	(0.1)
Acquisition costs	
<i>As of December 31, 2006:</i>	
Unearned premium reserve	\$ 2.0
Reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses	

Keepwell Agreement. AGRO provided a keepwell to ACE Capital Title, which was its subsidiary until shortly prior to the IPO. Pursuant to the terms of this agreement, AGRO agreed to provide funds to ACE Capital Title sufficient for it to meet its obligations. In connection with the IPO, AGRO assigned this keepwell to ACE Bermuda, and ACE Bermuda has agreed to indemnify and hold harmless AGRO in respect of the keepwell. No payment was made in connection with the assignment of the keepwell agreement.

Formation Transactions and Capital Contributions. In connection with the IPO, ACE engaged in certain formation transactions that involved capital contributions to the Company and its subsidiaries. ACE, through a US subsidiary, formed Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc. as a Delaware holding company to hold the shares of AGC and AG Financial Products, Inc. ACE's US subsidiary transferred the shares of AGC and AG Financial Products, Inc. to Assured Guaranty US Holdings in exchange for stock of Assured Guaranty US Holdings and a \$200 million promissory note. AGRO has transferred 100% of the stock ownership in ACE Capital Title to ACE Bermuda in exchange for a \$39.3 million promissory note which was paid upon the completion of the IPO.

ACE transferred 100% of the stock ownership in Assured Guaranty US Holdings and Assured Guaranty Finance Overseas to the Company in exchange for 35,171,000 of the Company's Common Shares and two promissory notes of the Company in an aggregate amount of \$1 million and 100% of the stock of AG Re to the Company in exchange for 38,629,000 of the Company's Common Shares and a \$1 million promissory note of the Company. These notes matured in April 2006 and were paid by the Company. The Company repaid \$2.1 million and related interest during 2006.

Tax Allocation Agreement. In connection with the IPO and related share exchange, the Company and ACE Financial Services Inc., which we refer to as AFS entered into a tax allocation agreement. Pursuant to the tax allocation agreement, the Company and AFS has made an election under sections 338(g) and 338(h)(10) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, with the effect that the portion of the tax basis of the Company's assets covered by this election was increased to the deemed purchase price of the assets and an amount equal to such increase was included in income in the consolidated federal income tax return filed by US tax-paying subsidiaries of ACE. It is expected that this additional basis will result in increased income tax deductions and, accordingly, reduced income taxes payable by the Company. Pursuant to the tax allocation agreement, the Company will pay AFS any tax benefits realized by the Company, on a quarterly basis, generally calculated by comparing our actual taxes to the taxes that would have been owed by the Company had the increase in basis not occurred. During 2006, the Company paid AFS and correspondingly reduced its liability by \$5.1 million to \$15.0 million. In the event that any taxing authority successfully challenges any deductions reflected in a tax benefit payment to AFS, AFS will reimburse the Company for the loss of the tax benefit and any related interest or penalties imposed upon us. The tax benefit payments to AFS should have no material effect on the Company's earnings or cash flows, which should not be materially less than they would have been in the absence of the tax allocation agreement and additional tax basis.

The tax allocation agreement provides that the tax benefit calculation for any period ending after the consummation of the IPO will not be less than the tax benefit calculated without giving effect to any items of income, expense, loss, deduction, credit or related carryovers or carrybacks from businesses conducted by the Company or relating to the Company's assets and liabilities other than those businesses conducted by the Company and those assets and liabilities existing immediately prior to the consummation of the offering (taking into account any assets acquired from AFS or its subsidiaries after the offering and any liabilities incurred or assumed with respect to such assets). The tax allocation agreement further provides that the Company will not enter into any transaction a significant effect of which is to reduce the amount payable to AFS under the tax allocation agreement.

Registration Rights Agreement. The Company entered into a registration rights agreement with ACE in connection with the transactions associated with the IPO to provide it and its affiliates with registration rights relating to Common Shares of the Company which they hold.

The registration rights agreement provides ACE and its affiliates with registration rights relating to the Common Shares held by ACE and its affiliates immediately after the IPO and any Common Shares ACE or its affiliates acquires thereafter. ACE and its affiliates are able to require the Company to register under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, which we refer to as the Securities Act all or any portion of the Company's Common Shares covered by the registration rights agreement. In addition, the registration rights agreement provides for various piggyback registration rights for ACE and its affiliates. Whenever the Company proposes to register any of its securities under the Securities Act for itself or others, subject to customary exceptions, the Company must provide prompt notice to ACE and its affiliates and include in that registration all Common Shares which ACE or its affiliates owns and requests to be included.

The registration rights agreement sets forth customary registration procedures, including an agreement by us to make available the Company's senior management for roadshow presentations. All registration related expenses incurred in connection with any registration, other than underwriting commissions, will be paid by the Company. In addition, the Company is required to reimburse ACE for the fees and disbursements of its outside counsel retained in connection with any such registration. The registration rights agreement also imposes customary indemnification and contribution obligations on the Company for the benefit of ACE and any underwriters, although ACE must indemnify the company for any liabilities resulting from information provided by ACE. These payment and indemnification obligations may be subject to restrictions under Bermuda law.

ACE's rights under the registration rights agreement remain in effect with respect to the Common Shares covered by the agreement until:

- those shares have been sold under an effective registration statement under the Securities Act;
- those shares have been sold to the public under Rule 144 under the Securities Act; or
- those shares have been transferred in a transaction where a subsequent public distribution of those shares would not require registration under the Securities Act.

The Company filed a shelf registration statement under the Securities Act, which was declared effective in June 2005, pursuant to which ACE would be able to sell its Common Shares of the Company.

On December 18, 2006, the Company, ACE Bermuda Insurance Ltd. and Banc of America Securities LLC, as underwriter, entered into an underwriting agreement pursuant to which ACE Bermuda agreed to sell and the underwriter agreed to purchase, subject to and upon terms and conditions set forth in the agreement, 1,150,000 of the Company's common shares. The shares were offered pursuant to the above-referenced shelf registration statement. In conjunction with such sale we paid approximately \$75,000 in registration related expenses.

On December 20, 2006, Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc., a subsidiary of the Company, completed the issuance of \$150.0 million Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Debentures and used the proceeds to repurchase 5,692,599 of the Company's common shares from a subsidiary of ACE. As of December 31, 2006 ACE owns approximately 28% of the Company's outstanding common shares, excluding shares held by Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc.

Did Our Insiders Comply with Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting in 2006?

Our executive officers and directors are subject to the reporting requirements of Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We believe that all of our executive officers and directors complied with all filing requirements imposed by Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act on a timely basis during fiscal 2006.

PROPOSAL NO. 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

General

Our Bye-laws divide our Board of Directors into three classes with the terms of office of each class ending in successive years. Our Bye-Laws provide for a maximum of 21 directors and empower our Board of Directors to fix the exact number of directors and appoint persons to fill any vacancies on the Board until the next Annual General Meeting.

Following the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee, our Board of Directors has nominated Neil Baron, G. Lawrence Buhl and Dominic J. Frederico as Class III directors of the Company to serve three year terms to expire at the Annual General meeting in 2010 and, in each case, until their respective successors shall have been elected and shall have qualified. Each nominee is currently serving as a director of the Company.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF THESE NOMINEES AS DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY.

It is the intention of the persons named as proxies, subject to any direction to the contrary, to vote in favor of the candidates nominated by the Board of Directors. We know of no reason why any nominee may be unable to serve as a director. If any nominee is unable to serve, your proxy may vote for another nominee proposed by the Board, or the Board may reduce the number of directors to be elected. If any director resigns, dies or is otherwise unable to serve out his or her term, or the Board increases the number of directors, the Board may fill the vacancy until the annual general meeting.

We have set forth below information with respect to the nominees for election as directors and the other directors whose terms of office as directors will continue after the Annual General Meeting. There are no arrangements or understandings between any director and any other person pursuant to which any director was or is selected as a director or nominee.

Nominees for Election for Terms Expiring in 2010

Neil Baron, age 63, became a director of the Company upon completion of our IPO. Mr. Baron was Chairman of Criterion Research Group, LLC, an independent securities research firm from March 2002 through February 2006, at which time this firm was acquired. Mr. Baron was Vice Chairman and General Counsel of Fitch Inc., a nationally recognized statistical ratings organization, from April 1989 to August 1998. Prior to joining Fitch, Mr. Baron was in private practice for more than 20 years, including at the law firm of Booth & Baron, specializing in structured finance and rating agency matters.

G. Lawrence Buhl, age 60, CPA, became a director of the Company upon completion of the IPO. Mr. Buhl was a partner of Ernst & Young LLP and its predecessors through 2003. During his 35-year accounting career, Mr. Buhl served as the Regional Director for Insurance Services in Ernst & Young's Philadelphia, New York and Baltimore offices and as audit engagement partner for more than 40 insurance companies, including those in the financial guaranty industry. Mr. Buhl also serves as a director for Harleysville Group, Inc. and is chair of that company's audit committee.

Dominic J. Frederico, age 54, has been a director, President and Chief Executive Officer of Assured Guaranty since our IPO. Mr. Frederico served as Vice Chairman of ACE from 2003 until 2004 and served as President and Chief Operating Officer of ACE and Chairman of ACE INA Holdings, Inc., from 1999 to 2003. Mr. Frederico was a director of ACE from 2001 through May 2005. From 1995 to 1999 Mr. Frederico served in a number of executive positions with ACE. Prior to joining ACE, Mr. Frederico spent 13 years working for various subsidiaries of the American International Group. Mr. Frederico is a member of the Board of Trustees of Drexel University.

Directors Whose Terms of Office Will Continue after this Meeting

Directors Whose Terms Expire in 2008

Patrick W. Kenny, age 64, became a director of the Company upon completion of the IPO. Mr. Kenny has served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the International Insurance Society in New York, an organization dedicated to fostering the exchange of ideas through a program of international seminars and sponsored research, since June 2001. From 1998 to June 2001 Mr. Kenny served as executive vice president of Frontier Insurance Group, Inc. From 1995 to 1998, Mr. Kenny served as senior vice president of SS&C Technologies, where he was responsible for mergers and acquisitions, and relationships with banking and regulatory institutions. From 1988 to 1994, Mr. Kenny served as Group Executive, Finance & Administration and Chief Financial Officer of Aetna Life & Casualty. Mr. Kenny serves on the board of directors of several ING mutual funds. Mr. Kenny is a director and member of the Audit and the Compensation Committees of Odyssey Reinsurance Holdings. He is also a director of and chairman of the Compensation Committee of the Independent Order of Forresters, a fraternal benefit society.

Robin Monro-Davies, age 66, became a director of the Company in August 2005. From 1997 until his retirement in 2001, Mr. Monro-Davies was Chief Executive Officer of Fitch Ratings. Mr. Monro-Davies is a director of AXA UK plc, AXA Asia Pacific Holdings and HSBC Bank plc, North American Bank Fund and European Equity Tranche Income Fund. Mr. Monro-Davies is also an independent director of Assured Guaranty (UK) Ltd., one of our subsidiaries.

Michael T. O Kane, age 61, became a director of the Company in August 2005. Until his retirement in August 2004, Mr. O Kane was employed at TIAA-CREF (financial products) in a number of different capacities since 1986, most recently as Senior Managing Director, Securities Division. Since 2006, Mr. O Kane has been a director of Jefferies Group, Inc. Mr. O Kane is a member of the Board of Directors of Scholarship America.

Directors Whose Terms Expire in 2009

Stephen A. Cozen, age 67, became a director of the Company upon completion of the IPO. Mr. Cozen is the founder and Chairman of Cozen O'Connor, an internationally-recognized law firm, with its home office in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Mr. Cozen has practiced law for more than 40 years and until 2003 was Chairman and CEO of Cozen O'Connor; he remains Chairman. Mr. Cozen is also a director of United America Indemnity, Ltd.

John G. Heimann, age 77, became a director of the Company upon completion of the IPO. Mr. Heimann was the founding Chairman of the Financial Stability Institute, which was founded in 1999, and has served as Senior Advisor to this organization since 2002. The Financial Stability Institute is a joint initiative of the Switzerland-based Bank for International Settlements and the Basle Committee on Banking Supervision whose mission is to promote better and more independent supervision of the banking, capital markets and insurance industries by supervisory authorities around the globe. From 1984 to February 2003, Mr. Heimann was employed by Merrill Lynch & Co. in various capacities, most recently serving as Chairman of that firm's global financial institutions practice. From 1977 to 1981, Mr. Heimann served as Comptroller of the Currency. From 1975 to 1977, Mr. Heimann was Superintendent of Banks of the State of New York.

Donald H. Layton, age 56, became a director of the Company in 2006. Prior to his retirement in 2004 from J.P. Morgan Chase & Co., Mr. Layton was Vice Chairman and a member of its three person Office of the Chairman. Previously, Mr. Layton had been Co-Chief Executive Officer of J.P. Morgan, the investment bank of J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. Mr. Layton is a Senior Advisor to The Bond Market Association, a member of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York's International Capital Markets Advisory Committee and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Visiting Committee for Economics. Mr. Layton also serves as Vice Chairman of the board for the Partnership for the Homeless and director of the International Executive Service Corps.

Walter A. Scott, age 69, became a director of the Company upon completion of the IPO and its Chairman in May 2005. Mr. Scott was Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of ACE from 1991 until his retirement in 1994 and President and Chief Executive Officer of ACE from 1989 to 1991. Subsequent to his retirement he served as a consultant to ACE until 1996. Mr. Scott was a director of ACE from 1989 through May 2005. Prior to joining ACE, Mr. Scott was President and Chief Executive Officer of Primerica's financial services operations (which is now part of Citigroup). Mr. Scott is currently Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Beverage Acquisition Group LLC, a Vermont-based hard-cider company. Mr. Scott is an Emeritus Trustee of Lafayette College and a founding trustee of the Bermuda Foundation for Insurance Studies.

INFORMATION ABOUT OUR COMMON SHARE OWNERSHIP***How Much Stock is Owned By Directors and Executive Officers?***

The following table shows our common shares owned directly or indirectly by our directors and executive officers as of March 8, 2007. Unless otherwise indicated, the named individual has sole voting and investment power over the common shares under the column Common Shares Beneficially Owned. The common shares listed for each director and executive officer constitute less than one percent of our outstanding common shares, except for Mr. Frederico who owns approximately 1.69% of our outstanding common shares. The common shares beneficially owned by all directors and executive officers as a group constitute approximately 3.94% of our outstanding common shares if stock units are treated as outstanding and 3.80% of our outstanding common shares if stock units are not treated as outstanding. For the purposes of calculating the percentages of our outstanding common shares held by our directors and executive officers, we did not include as outstanding the common shares held by our subsidiary, Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Common Shares Beneficially Owned	Unvested Restricted Common Shares(1)	Common Shares Subject to Option(2)	Stock Units (3)
Neil Baron		5,556		13,487
G. Lawrence Buhl	5,500	5,556		13,487
Stephen A. Cozen	6,000	5,556		13,487
Dominic J. Frederico	205,575	312,497	666,667	
John G. Heimann		5,556		13,487
Patrick W. Kenny	1,500	5,556		15,095
Donald H. Layton	5,000	4,100		3,705
Robin Monro-Davies		4,182		7,499
Michael T. O Kane	1,000	4,182		7,499
Walter A. Scott	5,000	5,556		13,487
Robert B. Mills	69,429	150,000	320,000	
Michael J. Schozer	50,385	150,000	320,000	
James M. Michener	42,147	96,250	210,000	
Robert A. Baileson	11,907	21,250	35,667	
All directors and executive officers as a group (14 individuals)	403,443	775,797	1,552,334	101,233

- (1) The reporting person has the right to vote (but not dispose of) the common shares listed under Unvested Restricted Common Shares.
- (2) Represents common shares which the reporting person has the right to acquire within 60 days of March 8, 2007 pursuant to options.
- (3) Each non-management director holds stock units, including dividend accruals, which will be mandatorily deferred at least 6 months after the termination of such directors' service on the Board. As a result, these shares cannot be voted or disposed of within 60 days of March 8, 2007 so these shares are not considered beneficially owned under SEC rules. We include them in the table above, however, because we view them as an integral part of directors' share ownership.

Which Shareholders Own More Than 5% of our Common Shares?

The following table shows all persons we know to be direct or indirect owners of more than 5% of our common shares as of December 31, 2006. For the purpose of determining the percentage of class held by

each such shareholder, we did not include as outstanding the common shares held by our subsidiary Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc. Our information is based on reports filed with the SEC by each of the firms listed in the table below. You may obtain these reports from the SEC.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class
ACE Limited(1) ACE Global Headquarters 17 Woodbourne Ave. Hamilton, Bermuda	19,157,401	27.90 %
Ariel Capital Management, LLC(2) 200 East Randolph Drive Suite 2900 Chicago, IL 60601	7,533,940	10.97 %

(1) As of December 31, 2006, based on a Schedule 13G filed by ACE Limited, a Cayman Islands company.

(2) As of December 31, 2006, based on a Schedule 13G/A filed by Ariel Capital Management, LLC. According to such Schedule 13G/A, Ariel had sole voting power of 5,963,360 common shares and sole power to dispose of 7,527,460 common shares.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Objectives of the Compensation Program

We are committed to building shareholder value by achieving the following key strategic goals:

- Develop our financial guaranty direct business
- Obtain Triple-A ratings from the three major rating agencies for our subsidiary, AGC
- Maintain our financial guaranty reinsurance franchise
- Maintain underwriting discipline and the quality of our financial guaranty portfolio
- Manage capital efficiently

The Compensation Committee reviews the philosophy and objectives of our compensation program annually and designs them to recognize and support the achievement of our key strategic goals by:

- Attracting and retaining talented executives with established records of success in the financial guaranty or financial services industry to implement the Company's long-term business strategy. As a Bermuda-based company, we also need to manage the unusual circumstances related to attracting and retaining superior executives in Bermuda.
- Closely aligning the financial rewards of management with those of the Company's shareholders by linking executive incentives to achieving the Company's short-term and long-term business goals and reward them for such achievement, including growth in shareholder value.
- Creating accountability for individual performance measured by individual, unit and Company achievement of goals.

The compensation program is also designed to reward each executive officer for:

- Working together as a team to achieve the Company's strategic goals.

21

- Increased company-wide profitability through high quality new business, loss mitigation and expense control.
- Achieving identified objectives in their area of responsibility.
- Responding quickly and effectively to unanticipated opportunities or challenges.
- Demonstrating ethical behavior in compliance with current legal and regulatory standards.

Compensation Governance and Administration

The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors oversees all aspects of our executive compensation program. The Compensation Committee has responsibility for establishing executive compensation policies, determining the compensation of the CEO, reviewing the CEO's compensation recommendations regarding other senior officers and determining appropriate compensation levels for such officers. In 2004, our board adopted a Compensation Committee Charter to govern the Compensation Committee's activities, a copy of which may be found at www.assuredguaranty.com. The charter is reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee. Under its charter, the Compensation Committee is authorized to retain compensation, legal, accounting and other consultants to assist it.

The Compensation Committee has retained Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc., who we refer to as Cook, as its compensation consultant, to advise on executive compensation developments and to assist with peer comparisons for the purposes of both executive compensation and the aggregate size of equity-based compensation, such as stock options and restricted stock, to all employees. Cook prepares some of the materials for Compensation Committee meetings and meets with the Compensation Committee and the Chairman of the Board in January to review peer company information. With the exception of Cook's involvement with the Company shortly after our IPO, Cook's work has been solely with respect to matters under the committee's authority.

The CEO is the principal executive involved with the Compensation Committee in establishing compensation policy and setting the compensation for other executive officers. The CEO generally attends the Compensation Committee meetings, although the Compensation Committee also meets regularly in executive session. Both the General Counsel and the Director of Human Resources communicate with Cook on committee matters, attend portions of the Compensation Committee meetings and provide additional information and analysis to the Compensation Committee, as requested. Between meetings, the chairman of the Compensation Committee will often speak with the CEO or the General Counsel regarding committee and compensation matters.

The board of directors has delegated to the CEO the power to approve:

- Routine changes to benefit plans.
- New hire packages for non-executive officers with expected annual compensation below a specified amount.
- New hire equity grants for non-executive officers up to a specified amount of stock options and restricted stock for each new hire. All equity grants authorized by the CEO must be reported to the Compensation Committee at its next meeting.
- Routine salary and employment termination arrangements for employees below the top three levels of the Company.

The Compensation Committee meets in February of each year to make executive compensation decisions with respect to the previous year's performance. The Compensation Committee follows this schedule because the February meeting is the earliest practical opportunity to review the prior year's financial results and the performance of the executive officers. To date, other than equity granted in connection with

the IPO, all equity grants to executive officers have been approved during the February meeting. At the February meeting, the Compensation Committee discusses its compensation decisions with the independent, non-management directors who are not on the Compensation Committee. All independent, non-management directors approve executive officer salary increases, bonuses, equity awards and Performance Retention Plan awards. Stock options are priced at the New York Stock Exchange closing price of Assured Guaranty stock on the day the awards are approved by the Compensation Committee and the other independent non-management directors.

Elements of Compensation

The Company provides various elements of compensation to its executive officers. These elements can be grouped into base compensation and variable compensation. Base compensation is provided for an executive officer's acceptable performance and is only adjusted periodically. Base compensation includes base salary, retirement and other post termination benefits, employee benefits and perquisites. Variable compensation is adjusted annually to provide a strong incentive to achieve annual and long term goals and to tailor compensation levels to actual performance.

As a result, the Compensation Committee believes that a significant component of executive officer compensation should be variable. Variable compensation includes annual bonus and various types of long term compensation.

Base Compensation

- **Base Salary** The Compensation Committee establishes a base salary for each executive based on his or her position, taking into account market conditions, business or professional experience, prior salary history and contractual arrangements. No executive officer received a salary increase in 2006.
- **Retirement Benefits** We maintain tax-qualified and non-qualified defined contribution retirement plans for our eligible employees, including executive officers. We contribute 6% of each employee's salary and cash bonus compensation, which we call eligible compensation, to the defined contribution plans. We also have 401(k) type plans, with 100% Company matching up to 6% of eligible compensation. As a result, we will make higher contributions if an executive officer's base salary or bonus increases. We make contributions to these plans to be competitive with other companies and to retain talented employees. The investment return in each employee's retirement account depends on the performance of the investment elections made by each employee. No executive officer is guaranteed any level of retirement payout or preferential return on their accounts. To date, retirement plan contributions and balances have not affected other elements of executive compensation. The Company does not maintain any defined benefit pension plans.
- **Other Post-Termination Benefits** The Company provides executive officers other post-termination benefits such as accelerated vesting and severance payments in certain circumstances. See [Executive Compensation Potential Payments upon Termination or Change of Control](#).
- **Employee Benefits** Assured Guaranty provides comprehensive employee benefits to its employees, including its executive officers. These benefits include life, health and disability insurance. We believe the level of benefits provided under our programs is generally consistent with practices among our principal competitors for employees, including other financial guaranty companies. The Company also maintains an Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which we refer to as the ESPP, to increase stock ownership by employees. Under the ESPP, employees, including executive officers, may annually purchase up to \$25,000 of our stock at a 15% discount. In 2006 Mr. Frederico and Mr. Mills participated in the ESPP to the maximum extent allowable.

- **Perquisites** We also provide executive officers fringe benefits that are not available to employees generally. These include tax preparation, financial planning, a golf club membership, executive medical physical and excess health insurance. In Mr. Frederico's case, he is provided a golf club membership in Bermuda and the United States. These benefits are provided to retain and motivate highly valued executives. In addition, to attract Mr. Frederico and Mr. Michener to work and reside in Bermuda, we provide to each of them a housing allowance, car allowance and family travel benefit. These fringe benefits are customary for non-Bermudians who are senior executives working in Bermuda. These benefits are provided for under Mr. Frederico's and Mr. Michener's employment agreements. In 2006, changes in US tax law significantly increased the individual US income tax on the housing allowances provided to Mr. Frederico and Mr. Michener. To maintain the net value of their housing allowances, in August 2006, the Compensation Committee approved a tax gross up to Mr. Frederico and Mr. Michener for the cost of the increased taxes. The Company also reimburses Mr. Frederico and Mr. Michener for US Social Security and Medicare taxes incurred when they are working in the United States. This compensates Mr. Frederico and Mr. Michener for similar taxes they pay in Bermuda.
- **Employment agreements** Beginning in 2003, we recruited executives to implement our business plan and achieve our key strategic goals. Implementation of our business plan involved substantial risk, including the risks of completing a successful IPO, achieving rating improvements and accomplishing the strategic shift to write financial guaranty direct business along with financial guaranty reinsurance. To mitigate these risks, we recruited executives with established records of success in the financial guaranty or financial services industry. Prior to the IPO, Mr. Frederico, Mr. Mills, Mr. Schozer and Mr. Michener left senior positions at well established companies to join us and employment agreements were entered into with all four at that time. The Compensation Committee believes these employment agreements were essential to recruit Mr. Frederico, Mr. Mills, Mr. Schozer and Mr. Michener prior to the IPO. In October 2006, we entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Bailenson. We believe the employment agreements serve as a powerful retention tool by proscribing compensation ranges and benefits to executives if their employment is terminated without cause or after a change of control. Details of these agreements is shown under the headings Executive Compensation Employment Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.
- **Change of Control Benefits** The vesting of any unvested stock options and restricted stock held by an executive officer will be accelerated on a change of control. We provide for the use of single trigger change of control equity vesting because we believe that is appropriate to best motivate an executive to pursue increases in shareholder value when evaluating a transaction which could result in a change of control. In addition, the employment agreements provide that in the event of a change of control, severance benefits are provided if the executive officer, other than Mr. Bailenson, is terminated without cause or resigns during the first 12 months following a change of control. In Mr. Bailenson's case, he will receive severance benefits if he is terminated for any reason after a change in control or resigns during 12 month period beginning 3 months after a change in control. In each case, the definition of change in control excludes transactions, such as an internal reorganization and when the Company issues shares directly, for which it may not be appropriate to provide change of control benefits. Additional information on benefits provided upon a change of control is shown in Executive Compensation Employment Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.

Variable Compensation

- **Annual Cash Bonus** The Compensation Committee awards annual cash bonuses to provide short term incentive compensation to executives for achieving annual goals established for each executive officer. Annual bonuses are also intended to reward executives for overall success of the Company. In February 2006 we awarded bonus payments to the executive officers for 2005 performance. These amounts was reported in our 2006 proxy statement. The goals for 2006 and our results are discussed below under 2006 Performance and Compensation Decisions.
- **Long Term Incentive Program: 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan** In 2004, we adopted a long-term incentive plan, which uses equity awards to create incentives for employees to enhance the long-term value of the Company. A key goal of the long-term incentive plan is to increase officer ownership of Company shares, thereby aligning executives' interests with long-term shareholder interests. While the Company's long-term incentive plan provides for a variety of types of awards, the Compensation Committee has made awards to employees, including executive officers, only in the form of shares of restricted stock and stock options. Restricted stock and stock option awards were granted in conjunction with the IPO and as part of annual compensation grants. In February 2006 we awarded restricted stock and stock option grants to the executive officers for 2005 performance. These grants were reported in our 2006 proxy statement. Further detail on those awards is shown on Executive Compensation 2006 Grants of Plan-Based Awards. Dividends are paid currently on unvested restricted stock. The Compensation Committee believes that restricted share awards with delayed vesting are crucial in helping to recruit and retain high caliber executives. By providing an immediate equity stake in the Company, restricted stock enables the Company to provide incentives to achieve its long-term goals. The Company includes stock options as part of long-term compensation because the Company believes that options are a valuable incentive tool, providing compensation only if stock price increases. Restricted stock and stock option grants serve as a powerful retention incentive since executive officers forfeit unvested stock and stock options if they leave the Company. Additional information on our long-term incentive program is provided in Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control Equity and Incentive Plans .
- **Long Term Incentive Program: Performance Retention Plan** In February 2006, we adopted the Performance Retention Plan, which we refer to as the PRP. In February 2007 we amended and restated the PRP. PRP awards were made for the first time in February 2007. PRP awards are cash-based and vest after a four year performance period. Awards will increase in value at the rate that the Company's modified adjusted book value increases during the performance period. We believe the PRP will be a valuable tool in attracting and retaining talented employees because employees will be rewarded for staying with the Company and for profitable growth in our business. Because PRP awards are cash-based there will be no shareholder dilution from the awards. Additional information on the PRP is provided in Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control Equity and Incentive Plans .

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Since the IPO, the board of directors has recommended that each director hold at least 10,000 shares of Company stock within three years of joining the board. Each of our outside directors who has been on our Board since our IPO has attained the recommended ownership levels. Directors who have more recently joined our Board are in the process of meeting these guidelines.

Since the IPO, no executive officer has sold any Company stock or exercised any stock options. However, to further demonstrate the Company's commitment to build shareholder value, in

February 2007, the Company's board of directors adopted management stock ownership guidelines. Under the guidelines, the executive officers will have the following Company stock ownership goals:

Executive	Guideline	Current Ownership
Dominic J. Frederico	7 x Base Salary	7.7 x Base Salary
Robert B. Mills	5 x Base Salary	3.0 x Base Salary
Michael J. Schozer	5 x Base Salary	3.5 x Base Salary
James M. Michener	5 x Base Salary	2.6 x Base Salary
Robert A. Bailenson	2 x Base Salary	1.0 x Base Salary

Unvested restricted shares and unexercised option do not count towards the guidelines.

Our guidelines do not mandate a time frame by which this ownership must be attained, but Mr. Frederico, Mr. Mills, Mr. Michener and Mr. Schozer must retain 100% of their after-tax receipt of Company stock until they reach their ownership goal. Mr. Bailenson must retain at least 50% of his after-tax receipt of Company stock until he reaches his ownership goal.

In addition, in February 2007, the Company amended its stock trading policy to prohibit hedging with respect to Company stock so as to be consistent with its stock ownership philosophy.

Please see [Information About Our Common Share Ownership](#) [How Much Stock is Owned by Directors and Executive Officers](#) for detailed information on the executive officers' stock ownership.

Regulatory Requirements

Section 162(m) of the US Internal Revenue Code limits the deductibility of annual compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to the Company's CEO and any of the four other highest paid executive officers, which we refer to as the \$1 million limit. The Company is not subject to US income taxes and so, generally, the limit would not affect amounts payable by the Company. However, if an employee of a US subsidiary is among the five most highly compensated officers, that subsidiary's deduction for compensation paid to the officer would be subject to the \$1 million limit. Mr. Schozer is a full time employee of a US subsidiary. The other executive officers allocate their time between our Bermuda and US operations.

Compensation otherwise subject to the \$1 million limit will be exempt from the limit if it qualifies as performance-based compensation, as defined by the IRS. In May 2005, we received shareholder approval of our 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan, which is one of the conditions for treatment of a payment or distribution as performance-based compensation. A payment or distribution will be treated as performance-based compensation under the limit only if it is contingent on achievement of performance objectives. For example, stock options are generally treated as performance-based compensation, while guaranteed payments are not. For 2006, the highest paid five executive officers received stock option awards and participated in an annual cash and stock bonus program that were designed to satisfy the requirements for performance-based compensation.

The annual cash and stock bonus program provided for establishment of a bonus pool of cash and a bonus pool of shares of Company stock. The amount of cash and shares to be allocated to the respective bonus pools was based on the level of adjusted net income compared to pre-established objectives for such income or the adjusted net income goal. The cash bonus pool began to accrue if adjusted net income was 50% of the adjusted net income goal and increased at higher rates as adjusted net income increased. The bonus pool of shares was established if adjusted net income was 50% of the adjusted net income goal and increased by 50% if the adjusted net income goal was reached. The Compensation Committee viewed the threshold for cash and stock bonus amounts as substantially uncertain at the time established.

Adjusted net income means net income of the Company, excluding the after-tax earnings impact of each of the following items if they occur during the 2006 Performance Period:

- realized investment gains and losses, including those resulting from the sale of subsidiaries and affiliates, for the 2006 Performance Period;
- the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles for the 2006 Performance Period required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other governing body that sets accounting standards as set forth in the Consolidated Statement of Income or the Notes thereto as reported in the Annual Report;
- the cumulative effect of changes in the tax law occurring during the 2006 Performance Period as set forth in the Consolidated Statement of Income or the Notes thereto as reported in the Annual Report;
- extraordinary items, as defined under generally accepted accounting principles, during the 2006 Performance Period as set forth in the Consolidated Statement of Income as reported in the Annual Report;
- charges related to the acquisition and integration of a company or business acquired within twelve months of such acquisition; such charges would result primarily from anticipated costs of the acquisition and the application of the company's strategies, policies and practices to the acquired company's reserves;
- unrealized gains/losses on derivative financial instruments;
- gains or losses related to accounting for any reinsurance transactions between the Company and its subsidiaries and ACE and its subsidiaries that are treated as retroactive reinsurance; and
- losses in excess of \$50 million related to any single credit.

Under the cash portion of the program, the CEO is permitted to receive up to 40% of the bonus pool, and the other four executive officers were each permitted to receive up to 15% of the bonus pool. For 2006, the cash bonus pool was \$7.86 million.

Under the stock portion of the program, the CEO is permitted to receive up to 125,000 shares, the second and third highest paid executive officers are each permitted to receive up to 60,000 shares, and the fourth and fifth highest paid executive officers are each permitted to receive up to 30,000 shares.

The program permits the Compensation Committee to reduce the cash bonus amount or the stock bonus amount at its discretion based on such factors as the committee determines to be appropriate. The financial and non-financial measures and goals considered by the committee in determining the amount of the final cash and share awards under the program are described below under the heading 2006 Performance and Compensation Decisions.

The Compensation Committee does not use deductibility as the sole factor in determining appropriate levels or methods of compensation. Since Company objectives may not always be consistent with the requirements for full deductibility, the Company and subsidiaries may enter into compensation arrangements under which payments would not be deductible by reason of the \$1 million limit. In 2006 the Company incurred approximately \$570,000 in non-deductible compensation expense that would otherwise have been deductible in the absence of the \$1 million limit.

Section 409A of the US Internal Revenue Code imposes restrictions on nonqualified deferred compensation plans. The Company maintains defined contribution plans in the US and Bermuda that are subject to the requirements of section 409A. Those plans provide for employee and employer contributions in excess of the IRS limits, and the Company believes that they satisfy section 409A.

The Company's Compensation Committee and Board of Directors have reviewed the increased accounting costs of stock options and restricted stock under FAS 123R. The Compensation Committee has especially focused on the acceleration of costs related to employees such as Mr. Frederico, Mr. Mills, and Mr. Michener that either are or will shortly be retirement eligible. While the Company has considered various changes to its compensation practices as a result of the accelerated costs, no changes have been made to date.

Compensation Process

The Compensation Committee annually establishes executive officer performance goals, reviews prior compensation decisions, reviews the performance of the Company and each executive officer, benchmarks the performance of the Company and executive compensation against a peer group and makes annual compensation decisions.

Executive Officer Performance Goals The Compensation Committee annually establishes performance goals for the CEO, which we call CEO performance measures. Not all CEO performance measures are of equal weight and there is no quantitative method by which the Compensation Committee applies the CEO performance measures. The 2006 CEO performance measures included the following financial performance measures from the 2006 business plan, as approved by the board: net income, operating income, book value, adjusted book value, per share operating income, operating return on equity, expense ratio, total and direct present value of premiums, which we refer to as PVP, and par written. (See the explanation of non-GAAP financial measures at the end of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.) The CEO performance measures included the following non-financial performance measures focused on implementation of our key strategic goals: effective communication and implementation of our business strategy, ratings improvement, effective management of enterprise risk, management development, succession planning and employee relations.

The Compensation Committee also uses the CEO financial performance measures to evaluate the performance of the other executive officers. In addition, the Compensation Committee approves annual performance goals for each other executive officer based on the recommendations of the CEO. The performance goals for these officers are primarily related to the following position responsibilities:

Mr. Mills Financial management, financial reporting and corporate administration

Mr. Schozer Direct business

Mr. Michener Legal and human resources

Mr. Bailenson Accounting policy and financial reporting

The difficulty of achieving each component of the CEO performance measures and other individual performance goals varied. In the aggregate, the Compensation Committee viewed the CEO performance measures and other individual performance goals as significant challenges for the CEO and the other executive officers.

Prior Compensation Decisions The Compensation Committee reviews executive employment agreements, including tally sheets of current compensation, pension balances, prior equity grants, change of control benefits and perquisites. The Compensation Committee reviews the amount of vested and unvested stock and stock options held by each executive officer. As a relatively new company, a substantial portion of the Company stock and stock options held by the executive officers is unvested. The Compensation Committee views the unvested equity as a positive element in retaining the services of the executive officers. Other than the February 2007 PRP awards, accumulated stock ownership has not affected annual award decisions. To date, other compensation and retirement benefits have not affected

the Compensation Committee's award of variable compensation in order to provide the maximum incentive value from our variable compensation.

Annual Performance The Compensation Committee, with input from the other non-management directors, reviews the financial performance of the Company compared to its annual business plan and the progress the Company is making toward achieving its key strategic objectives.

The Compensation Committee, with input from the other non-management directors, uses its judgment to evaluate the degree to which each executive officer has met or exceeded his performance goals based on the following:

- Level of achievement of the CEO financial performance measures
- In the case of the CEO, the level of achievement of the CEO non-financial performance measures
- Other Company information, such as stock performance
- External information, such as competitor performance
- Success in dealing with unanticipated problems and opportunities
- In the case of the chief financial officer and the chief accounting officer, the Audit Committee Chairman's evaluation of their performance
- In the case of the other executive officers, the CEO's evaluation of their performance

Benchmarking The Compensation Committee designated a 2006 compensation peer group of Ambac Financial Group, Inc., Financial Security Assurance Holdings Ltd., MBIA Inc., Radian Group Inc. and Security Capital Assurance Ltd. The Compensation Committee selected this compensation peer group because these companies are the primary financial guaranty companies competing with us and for which comprehensive compensation data is publicly available. Assured Guaranty is smaller than the 25th percentile of the executive compensation peer group, as measured by revenues and market capitalization. The Compensation Committee considered whether the Company's size should affect its executive compensation decisions. The Compensation Committee concluded that the Company's size should not be a significant factor in executive compensation decisions in the financial guaranty business since the Company is competing for the same business and talent as its larger competitors. Cook has advised the Compensation Committee that this conclusion is consistent with its experience within the financial guaranty industry.

The Compensation Committee also designated a 2006 equity usage peer group of Ambac Financial Group, Inc., Financial Security Assurance Holdings Inc., MBIA Inc., Radian Group Inc., Security Capital Assurance Ltd, Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, Ltd, Endurance Specialty Holdings Ltd., MGIC, Montpelier Re Holdings Ltd., Odyssey Re Holdings Corp., Platinum Asset Management, PMI, RAM Re Holdings Ltd., and Renaissance Re Holdings Ltd. to compare the overall level of equity grants to all employees, including the executive officers. The Compensation Committee selected this larger peer group because, according to Cook, equity grant amounts tend to be similar among broader groups of companies that have similarities in size, Bermuda location, business and structure. The larger group is useful because it provides more data for comparison purposes. Cook performed a share dilution and market value transfer calculations of equity usage for the Compensation Committee. Assured Guaranty's market capitalization is smaller than the 25th percentile of the total equity usage peer group. Cook advised the Compensation Committee that as a generalization there tends to be an inverse relationship between market capitalization and aggregate equity needs because smaller companies require a greater percentage of outstanding equity to deliver competitive compensation.

At the time it considers annual executive compensation awards, the Compensation Committee compares the Company's current year performance to the performance of the compensation peer group. At the same time, the committee compares the Company's executive compensation to the prior year's (the most recent available data) executive compensation of the compensation peer group. For executive officers other than the CEO, the committee compares the Company's second through the fifth highest paid executive officers to the second through the fifth highest paid executive officers of the compensation peer group. These comparisons are often less useful than the CEO comparison because of different position responsibilities at different companies. The Compensation Committee does not set executive compensation by targeting any percentile of the compensation peer group. The Compensation Committee determines if its decisions are generally consistent with the compensation peer group taking into account factors such as age of the information, relative performance, age of the Company and strategic accomplishments. Later in each year, the Compensation Committee compares its most recent executive compensation decisions to the compensation peer group using more current year data from SEC filings.

Annual Compensation Decisions For the executive officers, other than Mr. Bailenson, the overall mix of compensation elements was largely established by their employment agreements entered in conjunction with the IPO. The agreements were the result of negotiation between each executive officer and the pre-IPO shareholder of the Company. The agreements reflect the Committee's view that effective executive compensation should be a blend of several elements of compensation, with no undue reliance on any one element. The Compensation Committee has noted favorable rating agency comments with respect to the mix of executive compensation at Assured Guaranty. The compensation mix for Mr. Bailenson has generally followed mix for the other executive officers.

The Compensation Committee uses its judgment to set variable compensation for each executive officer so that their total compensation (base salary, annual bonus and long term incentives) is appropriate taking into account the following:

- Company performance
- Executive officer performance
- Employment agreement terms
- Retention of high performing executives
- Benchmarking against the compensation peer group, especially for total compensation

Taking these factors into account, the Compensation Committee has primarily used its judgment to adjust the cash bonuses of the executive officers. The Compensation Committee believes this process is an effective method of tying compensation to performance. For more details about our approach in this regard, see the discussion under the headings "Regulatory Requirements" and "2006 Performance and Compensation Decisions."

2006 Performance and Compensation Decisions

In the judgment of the Compensation Committee, the Company's performance in 2006 was very strong. The Company achieved its most important annual goals and made good progress toward all its key strategic goals.

- Direct financial guaranty PVP increased from \$146 million in 2005 to \$302 million in 2006, a 108% increase. The Company significantly expanded its asset classes and developed a strong flow of international business. These results, which were achieved despite split ratings and challenging market conditions, show the Company is making progress in developing its direct business.
- In June 2006 Moody's Investor Services put AGC on Positive Outlook for an upgrade to Aaa. This is a very significant milestone toward our strategic goal of AGC having Triple-A ratings from Moody's, Standard & Poor's and Fitch Ratings.

- Reinsurance financial guaranty PVP increased from \$128 million in 2005 to \$152 million in 2006. This 19% increase was a strong result, especially in light of the growth in the direct business, which competes with our reinsurance customers.
- During 2006 the credit quality of the Company's financial guaranty portfolio remained AA-. During 2006 the Company also made substantial progress in developing its underwriting, credit, risk management and governance processes.
- In December 2006 the Company repurchased \$150 million of Company shares from ACE. The transaction was financed by the issuance of \$150 million in junior subordinated debentures, an innovative form of debt which receives high equity credit from the rating agencies. The transaction will be accretive to earnings per share and return on equity.

As a result, all of the 2006 non-financial CEO performance measures were achieved. In addition, after evaluating the performance of the other executive officers, Mr. Frederico concluded, and the Compensation Committee agreed, that each executive officer performed his position responsibilities at a high level in 2006. All of the financial CEO performance measures were achieved, except the book value and expense ratio measures. Book value was below budget because of the repurchase of Company shares from ACE. The Company's expense ratio exceeded the performance measure due to higher costs of outside services and acceleration of costs related to equity grants to retirement eligible executives under FAS 123R.

The Compensation Committee views the Company's 2006 performance compared to the compensation peer group and other competitors as excellent. During the first three quarters of 2006 the Company more than doubled its direct PVP market share to 6.8% from 3.2% in 2005 and its direct par market share to 7.8% from 3.4% in 2005. In addition, the Compensation Committee compared the Company's growth through the first three quarters of 2006 to that of Ambac Financial Group, Inc., FGIC, Financial Security Assurance Holdings Inc., MBIA and Security Capital Assured Ltd. Assured Guaranty had the highest percentage increase in the following areas: PVP, net written par, adjusted book value and the sum of after tax-earned premium reserves and present value of future installment premiums. The Company was ranked number two in percentage of growth of net par outstanding; number four in percentage of growth in book value per share and number five in percentage of growth in operating income. The Compensation Committee noted that for the first three quarters of 2006, the Company's net income growth and earnings per share growth was below the 25th percentile of the compensation peer group. Return on average equity through September 30, 2006 and 2006 total shareholder return were at the 25th percentile of the compensation peer group. The Compensation Committee noted that increased earnings and return on equity emerge relatively slowly in the financial guaranty industry. Accordingly, these results were expected given the Company's stage of development and its key strategic goals. The Compensation Committee also noted that from the IPO to the end of 2006, the Company's stock price increased by 48%.

Accordingly, in February 2007, the Compensation Committee, in conjunction with the other independent directors, awarded the executive officers the following cash bonuses, equity awards and PRP awards for their 2006 performance:

Executive Officer	Cash Bonus	Restricted Shares	Value	Stock Options	Value	PRP
Dominic J. Frederico	\$ 2,500,000	83,333	\$ 2,224,991	166,667	\$ 1,136,669	
Robert B. Mills	\$ 775,000	40,000	\$ 1,068,000	80,000	\$ 545,600	
Michael J. Schozer	\$ 825,000	40,000	\$ 1,068,000	80,000	\$ 545,600	
James M. Michener	\$ 650,000	25,000	\$ 667,500	50,000	\$ 341,000	
Robert A. Bailenson	\$ 325,000	8,000	\$ 213,600	16,000	\$ 109,120	\$ 30,000

Restricted shares are valued based on the per Common Share NYSE closing price of \$26.70 on February 8, 2007, the date of the grant. The stock options are ten-year options to purchase Company stock at an exercise price of \$26.70, per share. The stock options are valued at \$6.82 each, based on FAS 123R.

The cash bonus awards for Mr. Frederico, Mr. Mills, Mr. Schozer and Mr. Michener are above the bonus range or bonus target in their employment agreements. Mr. Frederico's, Mr. Mills' and Mr. Schozer's equity awards are the target awards under their employment agreements. Mr. Michener's equity award is consistent with prior awards and is 5,000 restricted shares and 10,000 stock options above the target award in his employment agreement. The executive officers' total compensation for 2006 matched the comparable positions' 2005 total compensation of the compensation peer group as follows: Mr. Frederico - between the median and 75th percentile; Mr. Mills and Mr. Schozer - above the 75th percentile; Mr. Michener - at the 75th percentile and Mr. Bailenson - below the 25th percentile. The Compensation Committee approved a \$30,000 PRP award to Mr. Bailenson. The committee decided that based upon previous equity grants to the other executive officers, PRP awards were not necessary for those executive officers.

The Compensation Committee also approved the following base salary increases for 2007:

Executive Officer	Base Salary Increase	2007 Base Salary
Dominic J. Frederico	\$ 50,000	\$ 750,000
Robert B. Mills	\$ 20,000	\$ 520,000
Michael J. Schozer	\$ 25,000	\$ 400,000
James M. Michener	\$ 15,000	\$ 390,000
Robert A. Bailenson	\$ 30,000	\$ 330,000

Conclusion

The Company believes that the total compensation and components of compensation awarded to the executive officers are appropriate and in the best interests of the Company and the shareholders. The Company's strategy requires experienced management in the senior financial guaranty executive, finance and legal positions. The Company believes that the various elements of total compensation have worked well together to attract and motivate management. This is demonstrated by the Company's progress in achieving its strategic objectives since the IPO.

Definitions of non-GAAP Terms

Operating income, which is a non-GAAP financial measure, is defined as net income excluding after-tax realized gains (losses) on investments and after-tax unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments. We believe operating income is a useful measure for management, equity analysts and investors because the presentation of operating income enhances the understanding of our results of operations by highlighting the underlying profitability of our insurance business. We exclude net realized gains (losses) on investments and net unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments because the amount of these gains (losses) is heavily influenced by, and fluctuates in part according to, market interest rates, credit spreads and other factors that management cannot control or predict. This measure should not be viewed as a substitute for net income determined in accordance with GAAP.

Adjusted book value, which is a non-GAAP financial measure, is defined as shareholders' equity (book value) plus the after-tax value of the financial guaranty and mortgage guaranty unearned premium reserve net of prepaid reinsurance premiums and deferred acquisition costs plus the net present value of estimated future installment premiums in force, less future ceding commissions, after-tax, discounted at 6%. We believe adjusted book value is a useful measure for management, equity analysts and investors because the calculation of adjusted book value permits an evaluation of the net present value of the Company's in-force premiums and capital base. The premiums described above will be earned in future

periods, but may differ materially from the estimated amounts used in determining current adjusted book value due to changes in market interest rates, refinancing or refunding activity, pre-payment speeds, policy changes or terminations, credit defaults, and other factors that management cannot control or predict. This measure should not be viewed as a substitute for book value determined in accordance with GAAP.

Net present value of estimated future installment premiums in force, which is a non-GAAP financial measure, is defined as the present value of estimated future financial guaranty and mortgage guaranty installment premiums from our in-force book of business, net of reinsurance and discounted at 6%. We believe net present value of estimated future installment premiums in force is a useful measure for management, equity analysts and investors because it permits an evaluation of the value of future estimated installment premiums. Estimated future premiums may change from period to period due to changes in par outstanding, maturity or other factors that management cannot control or predict that result from market interest rates, refinancing or refunding activity, pre-payment speeds, policy changes or terminations, credit defaults, or other factors. There is no comparable GAAP financial measure.

Present value of financial guaranty and mortgage guaranty gross written premiums, or PVP, which is a non-GAAP financial measure, is defined as gross upfront and installment premiums received and the present value of gross estimated future installment premiums, on contracts written in the current period, discounted at 6% per year. We believe PVP is a useful measure for management, equity analysts and investors because it permits the evaluation of the value of new business production for Assured Guaranty by taking into account the value of estimated future installment premiums on new contracts underwritten in a reporting period, which GAAP gross premiums written does not adequately measure. Actual future net earned or written premiums may differ from PVP due to factors such as prepayments, amortizations, refundings, contract terminations or defaults that may or may not be influenced by market interest rates, refinancing or refunding activity, pre-payment speeds, policy changes or terminations, credit defaults, or other factors that management cannot control or predict. This measure should not be viewed as a substitute for gross written premiums determined in accordance with GAAP.

For adjusted book value, net present value of estimated future installment premiums in force and present value of financial guaranty and mortgage guaranty gross written premiums or PVP we use 6% as the present value discount rate because it is the approximate taxable equivalent yield on our investment portfolio for the periods presented.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this proxy statement with management and based on such review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 and this proxy statement.

The foregoing report has been approved by all members of the Committee.

Patrick W. Kenny, Chairman
Neil Baron
Stephen A. Cozen

2006 Summary Compensation Table

The following table provides compensation information for 2006 for all of our executive officers.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus(1) (\$)	Stock Awards(2) (\$)	Option Awards(3) (\$)	All Other Compensation(4) (\$)	Total (\$)
Dominic J. Frederico, President and Chief Executive Officer, Assured Guaranty Ltd.	2006	\$ 700,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,563,119	\$ 1,124,207	\$ 784,373	\$ 7,671,699
Robert B. Mills, Chief Financial Officer, Assured Guaranty Ltd.	2006	\$ 500,000	\$ 775,000	\$ 1,740,300	\$ 807,991	\$ 236,870	\$ 4,060,161
Michael J. Schozer, President, Assured Guaranty Corp.	2006	\$ 375,000	\$ 825,000	\$ 954,050	\$ 435,252	\$ 230,530	\$ 2,819,832
James M. Michener, General Counsel, Assured Guaranty Ltd.	2006	\$ 375,000	\$ 650,000	\$ 791,438	\$ 343,826	\$ 392,463	\$ 2,552,727
Robert A. Bailenson, Chief Accounting Officer, Assured Guaranty Ltd.	2006	\$ 300,000	\$ 325,000	\$ 113,850	\$ 45,745	\$ 117,654	\$ 902,249

(1) Payment for bonuses for 2006 were made in 2007.

(2) This column discloses the dollar amount recognized for financial reporting purposes with respect to the fiscal year in accordance with FAS 123R. For all assumptions used in the valuation, see footnote 19 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. Additional detail regarding the 2006 awards is provided in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table elsewhere in this proxy statement. In 2006, Mr. Frederico's and Mr. Michener's stock award expense increased due to accelerated retirement costs over 2 years by \$1,062,496 and \$318,750, respectively. Mr. Mills equity expense increased by acceleration over 1 year which represents an additional \$1,020,000 in restricted stock expense.

(3) This column discloses the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to fiscal year in accordance with FAS 123R. For all assumptions used in the valuation, see footnote 19 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. Additional detail regarding the 2006 awards is provided in the 2006 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table elsewhere in this proxy statement. In 2006, Mr. Frederico's and Mr. Michener's stock option expense increased due to accelerated retirement costs over 2 years by \$559,109 and \$167,733, respectively. Mr. Mills equity expense increased by acceleration over 1 year which represents an additional \$536,744 in option value expense.

(4) All Other Compensation includes:

	D. Frederico	R. Mills	M. Schozer	J. Michener	R. Bailenson
Employer Contribution to Retirement plans	\$ 324,000	\$ 218,668	\$ 187,080	\$ 117,000	\$ 94,575
Bermuda Housing Allowance	\$ 240,000			\$ 144,000	
Bermuda Housing Tax Gross-Up	\$ 121,772			\$ 76,489	
FICA Paid by Employer	\$ 49,497			\$ 15,593	
Bermuda Car Allowance	\$ 20,000			\$ 15,000	
Tax Preparation/ Financial Planning	\$ 11,431		\$ 17,500	\$ 4,730	
Club Fees	\$ 8,000	\$ 9,000	\$ 16,118	\$ 6,000	\$ 22,029
Business Related Spousal Travel	\$ 4,282	\$ 1,847		\$ 1,709	\$ 987
Executive Health Benefit	\$ 4,050	\$ 6,732	\$ 9,832	\$ 2,215	\$ 63
Bermuda Family Travel	\$ 1,341	\$ 623		\$ 9,727	

Contributions to defined contribution retirement plans include contributions with respect to salary and bonus paid in the year reported.

Employment Agreements

Dominic J. Frederico. Dominic J. Frederico, age 54, serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company pursuant to his employment agreement, dated April 28, 2004. The initial term of Mr. Frederico's agreement is three years and the agreement will automatically renew for one year periods thereafter unless non-renewed by either party at least 30 days prior to the expiration date. Under this employment agreement, Mr. Frederico receives a minimum base salary of \$700,000 per year. During each year in the initial term of his employment agreement, Mr. Frederico is eligible to receive annual bonuses with a bonus of 0-200% of his base salary, with the actual amount to be determined by the Compensation Committee based upon the Company's profitability and Mr. Frederico's individual performance and thereafter the amount of his bonus will be determined by the Compensation Committee. If Mr. Frederico retires at age 55 or later with at least three years of service, his options and restricted Common Shares will continue to vest on their original schedule and his options shall be exercisable until the expiration of the original grant. These restricted Common Shares and options are subject to the terms and conditions of our Long-Term Incentive Plan. Mr. Frederico is eligible to participate in our long-term incentive program, including our Long-Term Incentive Plan. During each year in the initial term of his employment agreement, Mr. Frederico's target award will be 83,333 restricted Common Shares and 166,667 options to purchase Common Shares and thereafter the amounts of such awards will be determined by the Compensation Committee. Mr. Frederico is also eligible to participate in the Company's general benefit plans, in accordance with the terms of the applicable plans. Mr. Frederico is entitled to a housing allowance for a residence in Bermuda of up to \$18,000 per month. Beginning December 2004, Mr. Frederico's housing allowance was increased to \$20,000 per month. Mr. Frederico's employment agreement contains an agreement not to compete during the term of the agreement and for a period of 12 months following termination of Mr. Frederico's employment for any reason other than a termination without cause. Mr. Frederico's employment agreement also contains confidentiality and non-solicit provisions.

Robert B. Mills. Robert B. Mills, age 57, serves as the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to his employment agreement dated April 28, 2004. The initial term of Mr. Mills' agreement is three years and the agreement will automatically renew for one year periods thereafter unless non-renewed by either party at least 30 days prior to the expiration date. Under his employment agreement, Mr. Mills receives a minimum base salary of \$500,000 per year. During each year in the initial term of his employment agreement, Mr. Mills is eligible to receive annual bonuses with a target bonus of 140% of his minimum base salary, with the actual amount to be determined by the Compensation Committee based upon our profitability and Mr. Mills' individual performance, subject to a minimum annual bonus equal to 100% of his guaranteed minimum base salary and thereafter the amount of his bonus will be determined by the Compensation Committee. If Mr. Mills retires at age 55 or later with at least three years of service, his options and restricted Common Shares will continue to vest on their original schedule and his options shall be exercisable until the expiration of the original grant. These restricted Common Shares and options are subject to the terms and conditions of the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan. Mr. Mills is eligible to participate in the Company's long-term incentive program, including the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan. During each year in the initial term of his employment agreement, if the Company reports positive net income Mr. Mills is guaranteed that the value of any long-term incentive award made for that year will be no less than the amount of his annual base salary; during each year in the initial term of his employment agreement his target award will be 40,000 restricted Common Shares and 80,000 options to purchase Common Shares and thereafter the amounts of such awards will be determined by the Compensation Committee. Mr. Mills is also eligible to participate in the Company's general benefit plans, in accordance with the terms of the applicable plans. Mr. Mills' employment agreement contains an agreement not to compete during the term of the agreement and for a period of 12 months following termination of Mr. Mills' employment for any reason other than a termination without cause. Mr. Mills' employment agreement also contains confidentiality and non-solicit provisions.

Michael J. Schozer. Michael J. Schozer, age 49, serves as the President of Assured Guaranty Corp. pursuant to his employment agreement dated April 28, 2004. The initial term of Mr. Schozer's agreement is three years and the agreement will automatically renew for one year periods thereafter unless non-renewed by either party at least 30 days prior to the expiration date. Under his employment agreement, Mr. Schozer receives a minimum base salary of \$350,000 per year. During each year in the initial term of his employment agreement, Mr. Schozer is eligible to receive annual bonuses with a target bonus of 200% of his minimum base salary, with the actual amount to be determined by the Compensation Committee based upon the Company's profitability and Mr. Schozer's individual performance, subject to a minimum annual bonus equal to 100% of his minimum base salary and thereafter the amounts of such awards will be determined by the Compensation Committee. If Mr. Schozer retires at age 55 or later with at least three years of service, his options and restricted Common Shares will continue to vest on their original schedule and his options shall be exercisable until the expiration of the original grant. These restricted Common Shares and options are subject to the terms and conditions of the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan. Mr. Schozer is eligible to participate in our long-term incentive program, including the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan. During each year in the initial term, if we report positive net income we have guaranteed Mr. Schozer that the value of any long-term incentive award made for that year will be no less than the amount of his annual base salary; during each year in the initial term of his employment agreement his target award will be 40,000 restricted Common Shares and 80,000 options to purchase Common Shares and thereafter the amounts of such awards will be determined by the Compensation Committee. Mr. Schozer is also eligible to participate in the Company's general benefit plans, in accordance with the terms of the applicable plans. Mr. Schozer's employment agreement contains an agreement not to compete during the term of the agreement and for a period of 12 months following termination of Mr. Schozer's employment for any reason other than a termination without cause. Mr. Schozer's employment agreement also contains confidentiality and non-solicit provisions.

James M. Michener. James M. Michener, age 54, serves as General Counsel of the Company. pursuant to his employment agreement dated April 28, 2004. The initial term of Mr. Michener's agreement is three years and the agreement will automatically renew for one year periods thereafter unless non-renewed by either party at least 30 days prior to the expiration date. Under his employment agreement, Mr. Michener receives a minimum base salary of \$350,000 per year. During each year in the initial term of his employment agreement, Mr. Michener is eligible to receive annual bonuses with a target bonus of 150% of his minimum base salary, with the actual amount to be determined by the Compensation Committee based upon our profitability and Mr. Michener's individual performance, subject to a minimum annual bonus equal to 100% of his minimum base salary and thereafter the amounts of his bonus will be determined by the Compensation Committee. If Mr. Michener retires at age 55 or later with at least three years of service, his options and restricted Common Shares will continue to vest on their original schedule and his options shall be exercisable until the expiration of the original grant. These restricted Common Shares and options are subject to the terms and conditions of the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan. Mr. Michener is eligible to participate in the Company's long-term incentive program, including the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan. During each year in the initial term of his employment agreement, if we report positive net income, we have guaranteed Mr. Michener that the value of any long-term incentive award made for that year will be no less than the amount of his annual base salary; during each year in the initial term of his employment agreement, his target award will be 20,000 restricted Common Shares and 40,000 options to purchase Common Shares and thereafter the amounts of such awards will be determined by the Compensation Committee. Mr. Michener is also eligible to participate in the Company's general benefit plans, in accordance with the terms of the applicable plans. Mr. Michener is entitled to a housing allowance for residency in Bermuda of up to \$10,000 per month. Beginning September 2004, Mr. Michener's housing allowance has been increased to \$12,000 per month. Mr. Michener's employment agreement contains an agreement not to compete during the term of the agreement and for a period of 12 months following termination of Mr. Michener's employment for any reason other than a termination without cause. Mr. Michener's employment agreement also contains confidentiality and non-solicit provisions.

Robert A. Bailenson. Robert A. Bailenson, age 40, entered into an employment agreement dated October 5, 2006, providing for him to continue to serve as the chief accounting officer of AGL and AGC until the agreement is terminated. Under his employment agreement, Mr. Bailenson receives a minimum base annual salary of \$300,000. Mr. Bailenson is eligible to participate in the Company's annual incentive program. In addition, Mr. Bailenson is eligible to participate in the AGL Long Term Incentive Plan or similar or future plans, which we refer to as the LTIP, with awards based on profitability of the Company and his individual performance and subject to the discretion of the Compensation Committee. Mr. Bailenson is also eligible to participate in the Company's general employee benefit programs, in accordance with the terms of the applicable plans. Mr. Bailenson's perquisites include reimbursement for tax preparation and financial planning services and club dues and other perquisites generally made available to senior officers of AGC. If Mr. Bailenson retires after the age of 60 with at least 10 years of service, or if he retires after at least age 55 with at least five years of service and the Compensation Committee approves, any restricted shares of AGL and options to purchase shares of AGL held by him will continue to vest on the schedule provided at the time of the awards and options will be exercisable until the expiration date of their original term. In the event we terminate Mr. Bailenson's employment agreement for cause, he will forfeit all grants under the LTIP, the Performance Retention Plan or similar incentive plans. If we terminate Mr. Bailenson's employment without cause, he will receive his then current annual base salary for 24 months, subject to compliance with his non-competition and confidentiality obligations under the employment agreement and subject to reduction by any payments made under a Company severance plan. Mr. Bailenson will also get a prorated bonus for the year in which the termination occurred, as well as a bonus for each 12 month period during the period in which he continues to receive his salary. Each bonus is based on the average annual cash bonus he received for the three years

prior to his termination. During the period in which he continues to receive his salary, he may continue to participate in Company benefit plans unless he becomes employed on a full time basis. In the event of a termination without cause, Mr. Bailenson's restricted shares and options will continue to vest in accordance with the terms of the awards until the end of the period in which he continues to receive his salary. The present value of these termination benefits may be paid in a lump sum. Pursuant to the employment agreement, Mr. Bailenson is subject to a 12-month non-competition agreement and confidentiality obligations.

Under each employment agreement, in the event of termination with cause, the executive will be paid his salary through the date of termination. No other benefits will be paid. All restricted stock and stock options will be forfeited.

Each employment agreement provides that in the event of termination without cause, each executive officer will receive 24 month salary and benefit continuation, reduced by future employment. Any equity awards outstanding on termination will continue to vest, and be exercisable, for 24 months. In the case of Mr. Bailenson, he would also be entitled to receive a pro-rata bonus for the year of termination plus a cash bonus for each of the next two years. The bonus would be equal to the average of his annual cash bonus for the preceding three years.

In the event of a change in control, all outstanding equity agreements for an executive officer would vest and the stock options would be exercisable for their original term. Mr. Frederico, Mr. Mills, Mr. Schozer and Mr. Michener may resign for any reason in the 12 months following a change in control and would receive salary and benefit continuation for 24 months, reduced by future employment. These amounts are payable monthly unless the Compensation Committee decides to pay them in a lump sum. In the case of Mr. Bailenson, if he is terminated for any reason or resigns in the 4th-15th months following a change in control he will receive 36 months salary and benefit continuation. In addition, Mr. Bailenson will receive a pro rata change in control bonus for the year of termination and a change of control bonus for each of the next three years. The change in control bonus shall be 150% of his average cash bonus for the preceding three years. Following a change in control, the Company may not reduce Mr. Bailenson's eligibility for retiree health benefits for 15 months following a change in control or reduce the level of Company's contributions to its retirement plans on behalf of Mr. Bailenson below the rate in effect on December 31 of the year preceding the change in control. Mr. Bailenson will have continued coverage under employee benefit plans for three years following a change in control. The present value of his termination benefits may be paid in a lump sum.

Upon a change in control, the executive officers may be subject to certain excise taxes under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company has agreed to reimburse our executive officers for those excise taxes as well as any income and excise taxes payable by the executive officer as a result of any reimbursements for the 280G excise taxes.

A change in control applicable to the employment agreements means the occurrence of the events described in any of the following paragraphs:

- the acquisition, other than specifically identified categories of acquisitions, by any person or group of ownership of any voting securities of Assured Guaranty if, immediately after the acquisition, the person has ownership of more than 25% of either our outstanding common shares, or the combined voting power of our outstanding voting securities; provided that an acquisition of voting securities by ACE Limited or one of its affiliates will not constitute a change of control;
- individuals who constitute our incumbent board cease for any reason to represent greater than 50% of the voting power of members of our board; provided that for purposes of this paragraph, the Company's incumbent board means the members of our Board as of the date of the

completion of the IPO and any individual becoming a director after that date whose election, or nomination for election, was approved by a vote of at least a majority of the directors then comprising the incumbent board; provided, however, that a person will not be considered a member of our incumbent board if he was elected as a result of an actual or publicly threatened election contest or other actual or publicly threatened solicitation of proxies or consents by or on behalf of a person other than our board;

- consummation of a reorganization, amalgamation, merger, consolidation, or other business combination involving us or the sale or other disposition of more than 50% of the Company's operating assets, determined on a consolidated basis, other than any such transaction in which:
- the Company's shareholders before the transaction continue to own a majority of the shares of the ultimate parent resulting from the transaction in substantially the same relative proportions as their ownership immediately prior to such transaction;
- no person will own more than 25% of the resulting parent company; and
- individuals who were members of our incumbent board prior to the transaction will constitute at least a majority of the members of the board of the ultimate parent resulting from the transaction;
- approval by our shareholders of a plan of complete liquidation or dissolution.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

We maintain a broad based employee stock purchase plan that gives our eligible employees the right to purchase our common shares through payroll deductions at a purchase price that reflects a 15% discount to the market price of our common shares on the first or last day of the relevant subscription period, whichever is lower. No participant may purchase more than \$25,000 worth of common shares under this plan in any calendar year.

Indemnification Agreements

The employment agreements with our executive officers provide that they are entitled to indemnification in accordance with the Company's By-laws, Articles of Incorporation and other governing documents. In addition, we have entered into indemnification agreements with our directors and executive officers. These agreements are in furtherance of our By-laws which require us to indemnify our directors and officers for acts done, concurred in or omitted in or about the execution of their duties in their respective offices. The indemnification agreements provide for indemnification arising out of specified indemnifiable events, such as events relating to the fact that the indemnitee is or was one of our directors or officers or is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of another entity at our request or relating to anything done or not done by the indemnitee in such a capacity. The indemnification agreements provide for advancement of expenses. These agreements provide for mandatory indemnification to the extent an indemnitee is successful on the merits. To the extent that indemnification is unavailable, the agreements provide for contribution. The indemnification agreements set forth procedures relating to indemnification claims. The agreements also provide for maintenance of directors' and officer's liability insurance.

2006 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth information concerning grants of plan-based awards for all of our executive officers.

Name	Grant Date	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units(1) (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options(2) (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards(3)
Dominic J. Frederico	2/2/2006	83,333			\$ 2,124,992
	2/2/2006		166,667	\$ 25.50	\$ 1,118,336
Robert B. Mills	2/2/2006	40,000			\$ 1,020,000
	2/2/2006		80,000	\$ 25.50	\$ 536,800
Michael J. Schozer	2/2/2006	40,000			\$ 1,020,000
	2/2/2006		80,000	\$ 25.50	\$ 536,800
James M. Michener	2/2/2006	25,000			\$ 637,500
	2/2/2006		50,000	\$ 25.50	\$ 335,500
Robert A. Bailenson	2/2/2006	6,000			\$ 153,000
	2/2/2006		12,000	\$ 25.50	\$ 80,520

(1) The restrictions with respect to one-quarter of the restricted Common Shares lapse on each of the first, second, third and fourth anniversaries of the grant date of the award, subject to continued employment. During the restricted period, the executive officers are entitled to vote restricted Common Shares and receive dividends.

(2) One-third of these options become exercisable on the first, second and third anniversary of the grant date of the award, subject to continued employment.

(3) This column discloses the aggregate grant date fair market value computed in accordance with FAS 123R. For all assumptions used in the valuation, see footnote 19 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2006

The following table sets forth the outstanding equity awards held by all of our executive officers as of December 31, 2006.

Name	Option Awards			Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	
Dominic J. Frederico	333,333	166,667 (1)	\$ 18.00	4/27/2014	125,000 (2)	\$ 3,325,000	
	55,556	111,111 (3)	\$ 18.03	2/10/2015	62,500 (4)	\$ 1,662,500	
	0	166,667 (5)	\$ 25.50	2/2/2016	83,333 (6)	\$ 2,216,658	
Robert B. Mills	160,000	80,000 (1)	\$ 18.00	4/27/2014	60,000 (2)	\$ 1,596,000	
	26,667	53,333 (3)	\$ 18.03	2/10/2015	30,000 (4)	\$ 798,000	
	0	80,000 (5)	\$ 25.50	2/2/2016	40,000 (6)	\$ 1,064,000	
Michael J. Schozer	160,000	80,000 (1)	\$ 18.00	4/27/2014	60,000 (2)	\$ 1,596,000	
	26,667	53,333 (3)	\$ 18.03	2/10/2015	30,000 (4)	\$ 798,000	
	0	80,000 (5)	\$ 25.50	2/2/2016	40,000 (6)	\$ 1,064,000	
James M. Michener	106,667	53,333 (1)	\$ 18.00	4/27/2014	40,000 (2)	\$ 1,064,000	
	16,667	33,333 (3)	\$ 18.03	2/10/2015	18,750 (4)	\$ 498,750	
	0	50,000 (5)	\$ 25.50	2/2/2016	25,000 (6)	\$ 665,000	
Robert A. Bailenson	16,667	8,333 (1)	\$ 18.00	4/27/2014	6,250 (2)	\$ 166,250	
	3,334	6,666 (3)	\$ 18.03	2/10/2015	3,750 (4)	\$ 99,750	
	0	12,000 (5)	\$ 25.50	2/2/2016	6,000 (6)	\$ 159,600	

- (1) These options will vest on 4/27/2007, subject to agreement terms relating to continued employment or retirement.
- (2) Half of these shares or units vest on each of 4/27/2007 and 4/27/2008, subject to agreement terms relating to continued employment or retirement.
- (3) Half of these options vest on 2/10/2007 and 2/10/2008, subject to agreement terms relating to continued employment or retirement.
- (4) One-third of these shares or units vest on each of 2/10/2007, 2/10/2008 and 2/10/2009, subject to agreement terms relating to continued employment or retirement.
- (5) One-third of these options vest on each of 2/2/2007, 2/2/2008 and 2/2/2009, subject to agreement terms relating to continued employment or retirement.
- (6) One-quarter of these shares or units vest on each of 2/2/2007, 2/2/2008, 2/2/2009 and 2/2/2010, subject to agreement terms relating to continued employment or retirement.

2006 Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table provides information concerning option exercises by, and vesting of restricted stock awards of, all of our executive officers during 2006.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting(1) (\$)
Dominic J. Frederico			83,334	\$ 2,089,601
Robert B. Mills			40,000	\$ 1,003,000
Michael J. Schozer			40,000	\$ 1,003,000
James M. Michener			26,250	\$ 657,938
Robert A. Bailenson			4,375	\$ 109,844

(1) The value of a restricted share upon vesting is the fair market value of the stock on the vesting date.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The following table sets forth information concerning nonqualified deferred compensation of our executive officers. The amounts set forth in this table include only contributions made and earnings received during 2006 and do not include contribution and earnings with respect to the 2006 bonus paid in 2007.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY(1) (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY(2) (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE(3) (\$)
Dominic J. Frederico	147,257	297,600	180,640	\$ 2,899,534 (4)
Robert B. Mills	63,300	180,545	20,068	\$ 314,625
Michael J. Schozer	52,800	148,813	22,238	\$ 256,101
James M. Michener	43,757	90,600	37,454	\$ 372,443
Robert A. Bailenson	20,400	56,221	10,099	\$ 111,346

(1) The amounts in this column are also included in the Summary Compensation Table, in the salary column, as follows.

Name	Amount
D. Frederico	\$ 33,457
R. Mills	\$ 26,539
M. Schozer	\$ 19,904
J. Michener	\$ 16,615
R. Bailenson	\$ 15,923

(2) The amounts in this column are included in the Summary Compensation Table, in the all other compensation column as the employer contribution to the retirement plans.

(3) Of the totals in this column, the following totals have been previously reported in the Summary Compensation Table for previous years:

Name	Amount
D. Frederico	\$ 780,363
R. Mills	\$ 102,711
M. Schozer	\$ 74,226
J. Michener	\$ 262,368
R. Bailenson	\$ 26,460

(4) \$1,612,387 was assumed from the ACE Limited Supplemental Retirement Plan at the IPO.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

The following tables quantify the potential payments upon termination or change of control that our executive officers would receive assuming that the relevant termination event had occurred on December 31, 2006.

Termination Due to Retirement

	Unvested Restricted Stock	Unvested Stock Options
Dominic J. Frederico	\$ 7,204,158	\$ 2,568,891
Robert B. Mills	\$ 3,458,000	\$ 1,233,064
Michael J. Schozer	\$ 3,458,000	\$ 1,233,064
James M. Michener	\$ 2,227,750	\$ 799,336
Robert A. Bailenson	\$ 425,600	\$ 142,000

Termination Due to Death or Disability

	Unvested Restricted Stock	Unvested Stock Options
Dominic J. Frederico	\$ 7,204,158	\$ 2,568,891
Robert B. Mills	\$ 3,458,000	\$ 1,233,064
Michael J. Schozer	\$ 3,458,000	\$ 1,233,064
James M. Michener	\$ 2,227,750	\$ 799,336
Robert A. Bailenson	\$ 425,600	\$ 142,000

Termination Without Cause Payments

	Unvested Restricted Stock	Unvested Stock Options	Benefits	Employer Retirement Plan Contributions	Salary Continuation	Bonus
Dominic J. Frederico	\$ 5,541,738	\$ 2,507,762	\$ 71,852	\$ 168,000	\$ 1,400,000	
Robert B. Mills	\$ 2,660,000	\$ 1,203,714	\$ 33,672	\$ 120,000	\$ 1,000,000	
Michael J. Schozer	\$ 2,660,000	\$ 1,203,714	\$ 33,480	\$ 90,000	\$ 750,000	
James M. Michener	\$ 1,729,000	\$ 780,986	\$ 65,846	\$ 90,000	\$ 750,000	
Robert A. Bailenson	\$ 312,550	\$ 137,601	\$ 32,447	\$ 150,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 650,000

Change in Control Payments

	Unvested Restricted Stock	Unvested Stock Options	Benefits	Employer Retirement Plan Contributions	Salary Continuation	Bonus	Excise Tax Gross-Up
Dominic J. Frederico	\$ 7,204,158	\$ 2,568,891	\$ 71,852	\$ 168,000	\$ 1,400,000		
Robert B. Mills	\$ 3,458,000	\$ 1,233,064	\$ 33,672	\$ 120,000	\$ 1,000,000		
Michael J. Schozer	\$ 3,458,000	\$ 1,233,064	\$ 33,480	\$ 90,000	\$ 750,000		
James M. Michener	\$ 2,227,750	\$ 799,336	\$ 65,846	\$ 90,000	\$ 750,000		
Robert A. Bailenson	\$ 425,600	\$ 142,000	\$ 48,670	\$ 225,000	\$ 900,000	\$ 975,000	\$ 1,029,026

Each of these tables assumes that salary has accrued through December 31, 2006 and that benefit costs remain at current premium levels for three years from December 31, 2006. The Termination Due to Retirement table assumes that each executive officer was retirement eligible on December 31, 2006, although a retirement age is generally 55.

With respect to the termination due to retirement, vesting of restricted stock and stock options takes place over time. However, we have not discounted these amounts receivable in the future following retirement in the Termination Due to Retirement table.

The amounts shown in these tables not include payments and benefits to the extent they are provided on a non-discriminatory basis to salaried employees generally upon termination of employment. The aggregate qualified and non-qualified defined contribution retirement account balances as of December 31, 2006 for Messrs. Frederico, Mills, Schozer, Michener and Bailenson are as follows respectively: \$3,002,527; \$454,079; \$382,389, \$449,869; \$733,992. Retirement account balances will be paid upon termination in accordance with the terms of the plans, as described below.

The amounts in the change in control payments table are based on a 280G excise tax rate of 20 percent, a statutory 35 percent federal income tax rate, a 1.45 percent Medicare tax rate and a 7.35 percent state income tax rate.

If an executive officer had been terminated for cause on December 31, 2006, he would not have received any severance payments and would have forfeited all unvested equity payments, receiving only salary payments through the vesting date and vested retirement benefits under the Company's retirement plans.

For the purpose of these tables, the value of restricted stock has been determined by multiplying the number of shares of unvested restricted stock on December 31, 2006 by the closing price of our common stock on December 29, 2006, which was \$26.60. Similarly, we calculated the value of unexercised options by multiplying the number of unvested options by the difference between the closing price of our Common Shares on December 29, 2006 by the applicable exercise price.

Salary continuation, benefit and retirement plan contributions assume no subsequent employment after termination.

Assured Guaranty Non-Qualified Retirement Plans

All the executive officers participate in a non-qualified defined contribution retirement plan through an Assured Guaranty employer. These plans generally permit distributions only following a participant's termination of employment, and each of the plans impose some additional restrictions on distributions, such as attainment of retirement age, as described below. A change in control under the current provisions of these plans does not entitle a participant to payment. Below is an overview of each plan.

The Assured Guaranty Ltd. Supplemental Retirement Plan is a non-qualified retirement plan for higher-paid Bermuda-based employees. Internal Revenue Code provisions, such as the annual limit on employee deferrals, limit the amount of contributions that these employees may make or have made on their behalf to the qualified Assured Guaranty Ltd. Employee Retirement Plan. Contributions credited to this supplemental plan mirror the employee contributions, employer matching contributions, and 6% employer contributions that would have been made under the Assured Guaranty Ltd. Employee Retirement Plan had the Internal Revenue Code provisions not limited the contributions. A participant does not vest in the employer contributions under this supplemental plan until he or she has completed one year of service, but the participant will vest earlier if he or she dies or attains age 65 while employed by an Assured Guaranty employer. The plan generally does not permit distributions until the participant both has terminated employment and attained age 55. A participant may receive payment of his or her plan account balance in either a single lump sum or in a series of periodic payments, in accordance with

the participant's election made in advance of the participant's eligibility to receive a distribution, but if the participant has not made a valid election, then the participant will receive payment in a single lump sum.

The Assured Guaranty Corp. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan is a non-qualified retirement plan for a select group of US-based employees, who are generally higher-paid. Internal Revenue Code provisions, such as the annual limit on employee deferrals, limit the amount of contributions that these employees may make or have made on their behalf to the qualified Assured Guaranty Corp. Employee Retirement Plan. Contributions credited to this supplemental plan mirror the employee contributions, employer matching contributions, and 6% employer contributions that would have been made under the Assured Guaranty Corp. Employee Retirement Plan had the Internal Revenue Code provisions not limited the contributions. A participant does not vest in employer contributions until the participant has completed one year of service, but the participant will vest earlier if he or she attains age 65 while employed by an Assured Guaranty employer. The plan pays a participant his or her account balance under the plan in a lump sum following the participant's termination of employment. If a participant terminates employment on or after attaining age 55 and after having completed ten years of service, the plan pays the participant's account balance in either a lump sum or in annual equal installments over a period of five or fewer years, in accordance with the participant's election made at the time the participant began participating in the plan (subject to such changes which may be permitted under the plan and in compliance with the requirements of Code section 409A). Participants who are considered as key employees as defined in Code section 416 may not begin to receive payment of their plan benefit until six months after their termination of employment.

Equity and Incentive Plans

All the executive officers have received awards pursuant to the Company's long-term equity incentive plan and Mr. Bailenson participates in the performance retention plan. A change in control of the company does not trigger payment under either plan, but does accelerate vesting of some equity awards made under the equity incentive plans. Below is an overview of the plans.

Assured Guaranty Ltd. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan provides for the grant of non-qualified and incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, full value awards, which include awards such as restricted stock or restricted stock units, and cash incentive awards to employees selected by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee specifies the terms of the award, including the vesting period applicable to the award, at the time it grants the award to the employee, and includes the terms in an award agreement between the employee and the company. A participant generally vests in restricted stock awards over four years of continued employment and in option awards over three years of continued employment, but if a change in control occurs or if the participant terminates employment as a result of death or disability, then the participant immediately vests in any outstanding awards. In the event of retirement, prior equity grants to an executive officer will continue to vest and options will be exercisable for their original term.

Assured Guaranty Ltd. Performance Retention Plan permits the award of cash based awards to selected employees which vest after four years of continued employment (or earlier, if the employee's termination occurs as a result of death, disability, or retirement). Participants receive the designated award in a single lump sum when it vests, except that participants who vest as a result of retirement receive the bonus at the end of the four year period during which the award would have vested had the participant continued in employment. The value of the award paid is greater than the originally-designated amount only if actual company performance, as measured by an increase in the company's modified adjusted book value, improves during the four year performance period. For those participants who vest prior to the end of the four year period as a result of their termination of employment resulting from retirement, death or disability, the value of the award paid is greater than the originally-designated amount only if actual company performance, as measured by an increase in the company's modified adjusted book value,

improves during the period ending on the last day of the calendar quarter prior to the date of the participant's termination of employment.

The Compensation Committee determines modified adjusted book value as of any date by the following formula:

- the book value of the Company, derived by determining shareholders' equity, plus
- the after-tax value of the financial guaranty and mortgage guaranty net unearned premium reserves, less
- deferred acquisition costs, plus
- the present value of estimated net future installment premiums, as reported in the Company's quarterly Financial Supplement, excluding
- the effects of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, which we refer to as AOCI, and
- the effects of unrealized gains and losses on derivative financial instruments in accordance with FAS 133.
- In the event of a corporate transaction involving the Company, including, without limitation, any share dividend, share split, extraordinary cash dividend, recapitalization, reorganization, merger, amalgamation, consolidation, split-up, spin-off, sale of assets or subsidiaries, combination or exchange of shares, the Compensation Committee may adjust the calculation of the Company's modified adjusted book value as the Compensation Committee deems necessary or desirable in order to preserve the benefits or potential benefits of PRP awards.

Employment Agreements

As described above, each executive officer is a party to an employment agreement that provides post employment benefits. The Company provides these benefits to recruit and retain these executive officers. In this section we summarize the post termination provisions of those employment agreements. See the section of this proxy statement entitled "Executive Compensation Employment Agreements" for additional details concerning those employment agreements.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee consists of four members of the Board of Directors, each of whom is independent, within the meaning of the New York Stock Exchange listing standards, of the Company and its management and has been determined by the Board of Directors to be financially literate, as contemplated by the NYSE listing standards, and an audit committee financial expert, within the meaning of the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. In May 2006, Mr. Layton was appointed to the Audit Committee after his election as a director at the 2006 annual general meeting.

The Audit Committee operates under a written charter approved by the Board of Directors, a copy of which is available on the Company's website. As more fully described in the charter, the primary purpose of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board of Directors in its oversight of the integrity of the Company's financial statements and financial reporting process, the system of internal controls, the audit process, the performance of the Company's internal audit process and the performance, qualification and independence of the Company's independent auditors, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, which we refer to as PwC.

The Company's management prepares the Company's consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and is responsible for the financial reporting process that generates these statements. Management is also responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting and for performing an assessment of the effectiveness of these controls. PwC audits the Company's year-end financial statements and reviews interim financial statements. PwC also audits the Company's assessment, and performs its own independent assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting. The Audit Committee, on behalf of the Board of Directors, monitors and reviews these processes, acting in an oversight capacity relying on the information provided to it and on the representations made to it by the Company's management, PwC and other advisors. The Company has also retained Ernst & Young LLP, which we refer to as E&Y, to provide services to support its internal audit program and implementation of Sarbanes-Oxley Section 404. The Audit Committee has adopted an Internal Audit Charter.

The Audit Committee held five meetings in 2006. Four of the meetings were held in conjunction with the quarterly meetings of the board of directors. At all of its quarterly meetings, the Audit Committee met with management, PwC, E&Y, the chief financial officer, the chief accounting officer and the general counsel to review, among other matters, the overall scope and plans for the internal and independent audits, and the results of such audits; critical accounting estimates and policies; the status of the Company's loss reserves and compliance with the Company's conflict of interest, regulatory compliance and code of conduct policies. At each quarterly meeting the Audit Committee also reviewed underwriting and risk management with the chief credit officer and the chief surveillance officer. The Audit Committee coordinates the oversight of underwriting and risk management with the Risk Oversight Committee.

Also, at each quarterly Audit Committee meeting the Audit Committee met in executive session (i.e., without management present) with representatives of PwC to discuss the results of their examinations and their evaluations of the Company's internal controls and overall financial reporting and with a representative of E&Y to review the results of specific internal audits and the overall internal audit program. Specific portions of meetings were dedicated to further education of Audit Committee members.

The Audit Committee also met with management and PwC in late February 2006 to review and approve the Company's December 31, 2006 audited consolidated financial statements and Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Committee also reviewed the Company's program to ensure compliance with the requirements of Sarbanes-Oxley Section 404 and its internal controls over financial reporting, including controls to prevent and detect fraud. The Audit Committee also reviewed a draft 2006 proxy statement at this meeting.

The Audit Committee has also discussed with PwC all the matters required to be discussed by generally accepted auditing standards, including those described in Statement of Auditing Standards No. 61 (Communication with Audit Committees). These discussions included (a) the auditor's judgments about the quality, not just the acceptability, of the Company's accounting principles as applied in its financial reporting, (b) methods used to account for significant unusual transactions, (c) the effect of significant accounting policies in controversial or emerging areas for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus, (d) the process used by management in formulating particularly sensitive accounting estimates and the basis for the auditor's conclusions regarding the reasonableness of those estimates (e) disagreements with management over the application of accounting principles, of which there were none, the basis for management's accounting estimates, and disclosures in the financial statements, and (f) any significant audit adjustments, of which there were none, and any significant deficiencies in internal control, of which there were none. The Audit Committee also reviewed all other material written communications between PwC and management.

The Audit Committee has also discussed with PwC their independence from the Company and management, including a review of audit and non-audit fees, and has reviewed in that context the written disclosures and the communication required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 (Independence Discussions with Audit Committees).

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, and in reliance on the information, opinions, reports or statements presented to the Audit Committee by the Company's management and PwC, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the December 31, 2006 audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The foregoing report has been approved by all members of the Audit Committee.

G. Lawrence Buhl, Chairman

Patrick W. Kenny

Michael T. O Kane

Donald H. Layton

PROPOSAL NO. 2: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The appointment of independent auditors is approved annually by the Audit Committee and ratified by our shareholders. The Audit Committee reviews both the audit scope and estimated fees for professional services for the coming year. The Audit Committee has authorized the engagement of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, who we refer to as PwC, as our independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2007. Since 1999, the Company's subsidiaries, as subsidiaries of ACE prior to the IPO, had a working association with PwC, ACE's independent auditor. PwC had the responsibility for examining the consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries during the IPO.

Our audited financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2006 will be presented at the Annual General Meeting. Representatives of PwC will attend the Annual General Meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement if they wish. They will also be available to answer questions at the meeting.

Independent Auditor Fee Information

The following table presents fees for professional audit services rendered by PwC for the audit of our annual consolidated financial statements for 2006 and 2005 and fees for other services rendered by PwC for 2006 and 2005.

	2006	2005
Audit fees(1)	\$ 1,413,000	\$ 1,588,720
Audit-related fees(2)	\$ 57,000	\$ 47,500
Tax fees(3)	\$ 34,500	\$ 30,000
All other fees		

(1) We paid audit fees, including costs, for the years ended December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 for professional services rendered in connection with:

- the audits of our consolidated financial statements, of management's assessment of internal controls over financial reporting and of the effectiveness of these controls;
- the statutory and GAAP audits of various subsidiaries;
- comfort letters issued in connection with registration statements filed by the Company;
- consents issued in connection with financial guaranty transactions; and
- review of quarterly press releases and financial supplements and documentation of internal controls.

(2) Audit-related fees, including costs, for the years ended December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 were for professional services rendered in connection with audits of employee benefit plans. In addition, in 2007 the Company spent approximately \$15,000 on review procedures in connection with the compensation disclosures in this proxy statement.

(3) Tax fees for 2006 were for professional services rendered in connection with transfer pricing of a reinsurance contract and withholding tax advice in connection with the repurchase of Company shares from a subsidiary of ACE. Tax fees for 2005 were for professional services rendered in connection with transfer pricing of a reinsurance contract.

Pre-Approval Policy of Audit and Non-Audit Services

The Audit Committee pre-approved all of the fees described above. The Audit Committee has adopted policies and procedures for the pre-approval of all audit and permissible non-audit services

provided by our independent auditor, PwC. The Audit Committee provides a general pre-approval of certain audit and non-audit services on an annual basis. The types of services that may be covered by a general pre-approval include other audit services, audit-related services, tax services and permissible non-audit services. If a type of service is not covered by the Audit Committee's general pre-approval, the Audit Committee must review the service on a specific case by case basis and pre-approve it if such service is to be provided by the independent auditor. Annual audit services engagement terms and fees require specific pre-approval of the Audit Committee. Any proposed services exceeding pre-approved costs also require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. For both types of pre-approval, the Audit Committee will consider whether such services are consistent with the SEC's rules on auditor independence. The Audit Committee may delegate either type of pre-approval authority to one or more of its members.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND THE AUDIT COMMITTEE RECOMMEND RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE INDEPENDENT AUDITORS.

PROPOSAL NO. 3: PROPOSALS CONCERNING OUR SUBSIDIARY,

ASSURED GUARANTY RE LTD.

In accordance with the Company's Bye-Laws, if the Company is required or entitled to vote at a general meeting of any direct non-United States subsidiary of the Company, the Company's directors must refer the matter to the shareholders of the Company and seek authority from the Company's shareholders for the Company's representative or proxy to vote in favor of the resolution proposed by the subsidiary. The Company's directors must cause the Company's representative or proxy to vote the Company's shares in the subsidiary pro rata to the votes received at the general meeting of the Company. In addition, the Company's board of directors, in its discretion, may require that the organizational documents of each subsidiary of the Company organized under the laws of a jurisdiction outside the United States to contain provisions substantially similar to these provisions. As a consequence, we are proposing that our shareholders authorize the Company to vote in favor of the following matters to be presented at the next annual general meeting of its subsidiary, Assured Guaranty Re Ltd., a company organized under the laws of Bermuda, which we refer to as AG Re.

Proposal 3.1 Election of Directors. We propose that the Company be directed to elect each of Howard Albert, Robert A. Bailenson, Gary Burnet, Dominic J. Frederico, James M. Michener, Robert B. Mills, David Penchoff and Andrew Pickering as directors of AG Re, with such persons constituting the entire board of directors of AG Re, to serve for one year terms commencing at the annual general meeting of AG Re. Each of Messrs. Albert, Bailenson, Burnet, Frederico, Michener, Mills, Penchoff and Pickering are officers of the Company and have consented to serve as directors of AG Re without fee if elected. We do not expect that any of the nominees will become unavailable for election as a director of AG Re, but if any nominees should become unavailable prior to the meeting, proxy cards authorizing the proxies to vote for the nominees will instead be voted for substitute nominees recommended by AG Re's board of directors.

Proposal 3.2 Appointment of Auditors. We propose that the Company be directed to appoint PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, as the independent auditors of AG Re for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2007, subject to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP being appointed as the Company's independent auditors. We expect representatives of the firm to be present at the meeting with an opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Other Matters. The Board of Directors of the Company does not know of any matter to be brought before the annual general meeting of AG Re that we have not described in this proxy statement. If any

other matter properly comes before the annual general meeting of AG Re, the Company's representative or proxy will vote in accordance with his or her judgment on such matter.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU AUTHORIZE THE COMPANY TO VOTE FOR EACH OF THE PROPOSALS CONCERNING THE COMPANY'S SUBSIDIARY, ASSURED GUARANTY RE LTD.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2008 ANNUAL MEETING

How do I Submit a Proposal for Inclusion in Next Year's Proxy Material?

If you wish to submit a proposal to be considered for inclusion in the proxy material for the next annual meeting, please send it to the Secretary, Assured Guaranty Ltd., 30 Woodbourne Avenue, Hamilton HM 08 Bermuda. Under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, proposals must be received no later than December 1, 2007 and otherwise comply with the requirements of the US Securities and Exchange Commission to be eligible for inclusion in the Company's 2008 Annual General Meeting proxy statement and form of proxy.

How do I Submit a Proposal or Make a Nomination at an Annual General Meeting?

Our Bye-Laws provide that if a shareholder desires to submit a proposal for consideration at an annual general meeting, or to nominate persons for election as directors, the shareholder must provide written notice of an intent to make such a proposal or nomination which the Secretary of the Company must receive at our principal executive offices no later than 90 days prior to the anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual general meeting. With respect to the 2008 Annual General Meeting, such written notice must be received on or prior to February 3, 2008. The notice must meet the requirements set forth in our Bye-Laws. Under the circumstances described in, and upon compliance with, Rule 14a-4(c) under the Exchange Act, management proxies would be allowed to use their discretionary voting authority to vote on any proposal with respect to which the foregoing requirements have been met.

OTHER MATTERS

The Board of Directors of the Company does not know of any matters which may be presented at the Annual General Meeting other than those specifically set forth in the Notice of Annual General Meeting. If any other matters come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof, the persons named in the accompanying form of proxy and acting thereunder will vote in accordance with their best judgment with respect to such matters.

By Order of the Board of Directors,
James M. Michener
Secretary

EXHIBIT A

CATEGORICAL STANDARDS FOR DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

I. Introduction

To be considered independent, a director of the Company must meet all of the following Categorical Standards for Director Independence. In addition, a director who is a member of the Company's Audit Committee must meet the heightened criteria set forth below in Section IV to be considered independent for the purposes of membership on the Audit Committee. These categorical standards may be amended from time to time by the Company's Board of Directors.

Directors who do not meet these categorical standards for independence can also make valuable contributions to the Company and its Board of Directors by reason of their knowledge and experience.

In addition to meeting the standards set forth below, a director will not be considered independent unless the Board of Directors of the Company affirmatively determines that the director has no material relationship with the Company (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company). In making its determination, the Board of Directors shall broadly consider all relevant facts and circumstances. Material relationships can include commercial, industrial, banking, consulting, legal, accounting, charitable and familial relationships, among others. For this purpose, the Board does not need to reconsider relationships of the type described in Section III below if such relationships do not bar a determination of independence in accordance with Section III below.

II. Definitions

An immediate family member includes a person's spouse, parents, children, siblings, mothers and fathers-in-law, sons and daughters-in-law, brothers and sisters-in-law, and anyone (other than domestic employees) who shares such person's home. When considering the application of the three year period referred to in each of paragraphs III.1 through III.5 below, the Company need not consider individuals who are no longer immediate family members as a result of legal separation or divorce, or those who have died or become incapacitated.

The Company includes any subsidiary in its consolidated group.

III. Standards for Directors

The following standards have been established to determine whether a director of the Company is independent:

1. A director is not independent if the director is, or has been within the last three years, an employee of the Company, or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, an executive officer,⁽¹⁾ of the Company. Employment as an interim Chairman or CEO or other executive officer shall not disqualify a director from being considered independent following that employment.

(1) For purposes of this paragraph III, the term executive officer has the same meaning specified for the term officer in Rule 16(a)-1(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Rule 16a-1(f) defines officer as a company's president, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer (or if there is no such accounting officer, the controller), any vice-president of the company in charge of a principal business unit, division or function (such as sales, administration or finance), any other officer who performs a policy-making function, or any other person who performs similar policy-making functions for the company. Officers of the company's parent(s) or subsidiaries shall be deemed officers of the company if they perform such policy-making functions for the company.

2. A director is not independent if the director has received, or has an immediate family member who has received, during any twelve-month period within the last three years, more than \$100,000 in direct compensation from the Company, other than director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service). Compensation received by a director for former service as an interim Chairman or CEO or other executive officer need not be considered in determining independence under this test. Compensation received by an immediate family member for service as an employee of the Company (other than an executive officer) need not be considered in determining independence under this test.

3. A director is not independent if: (A) the director or an immediate family member is a current partner of a firm that is the company's internal or external auditor; (B) the director is a current employee of such a firm; (C) the director has an immediate family member who is a current employee of such a firm and who participates in the firm's audit, assurance or tax compliance (but not tax planning) practice; or (D) the director or an immediate family member was within the last three years (but is no longer) a partner or employee of such a firm and personally worked on the Company's audit within that time.

4. A director is not independent if the director or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, employed as an executive officer of another company where any of the Company's present executive officers at the same time serves or served on that company's compensation committee.

5. A director is not independent if the director is a current employee, or an immediate family member is a current executive officer, of a company that has made payments to, or received payments from, the Company for property or services in an amount which, in any of the last three fiscal years, exceeds the greater of \$1 million, or 2% of such other company's consolidated gross revenues.⁽²⁾

6. Being a director, executive officer or employee, or having an immediate family member who is a director, executive officer or employee, of a company that purchases insurance, reinsurance or other services or products from the Company, by itself, does not bar a determination that the director is independent if the payments made to the Company for such products or services do not exceed the threshold set forth in paragraph III.5 above.

(2) In applying this test, both the payments and the consolidated gross revenues to be measured shall be those reported in the last completed fiscal year. The look-back provision for this test applies solely to the financial relationship between the Company and the director or immediate family member's current employer; the Company need not consider former employment of the director or immediate family member. Contributions to tax exempt organizations shall not be considered payments for purposes of this test, provided, however, that the Company shall disclose in its annual proxy statement any such contributions made by the Company to any tax exempt organization in which any independent director serves as an executive officer if, within the preceding three years, contributions in any single fiscal year from the Company to the organization exceeded the greater of \$1 million, or 2% of such tax exempt organization's consolidated gross revenues.

IV. Standards for Audit Committee Members

In addition to satisfying the criteria set forth in Section III above, directors who are members of the Company's Audit Committee will not be considered independent for purposes of membership on the Audit Committee unless they satisfy the following criteria:

1. A director who is a member of the Audit Committee may not, other than in his or her capacity as a member of the Audit Committee, the Board of Directors, or any other Board committee, accept directly or indirectly any consulting, advisory, or other compensatory fee from the Company or any subsidiary thereof, provided that, unless the rules of the New York Stock Exchange provide otherwise, compensatory fees do not include the receipt of fixed amounts of compensation under a retirement plan (including deferred compensation) for prior service with the Company (provided that such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service).
2. A director who is a member of the Audit Committee may not, other than in his or her capacity as a member of the Audit Committee, the Board of Directors or any other Board committee, be an affiliated person of the Company or any subsidiary thereof.
3. If an Audit Committee member simultaneously serves on the audit committees of more than three public companies, the Board must determine that such simultaneous service would not impair the ability of such member to effectively serve on the Company's Audit Committee.

A-3

ASSURED GUARANTY LTD.

**YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT
VOTE BY INTERNET / TELEPHONE
24 HOURS A DAY, 7 DAYS A WEEK**

INTERNET

- <https://www.proxypush.com/ago>
- Go to the website address listed above.
 - **Have your proxy card ready.**
 - Follow the simple instructions that appear on your computer screen.

OR

TELEPHONE

- 1-866-307-0847
- Use any touch-tone telephone.
 - Have your proxy card ready.**
 - Follow the simple recorded instructions.

OR

MAIL

- Mark, sign and date your proxy card.
- Detach your proxy card.
- Return your proxy card in the .postage-paid envelope provided

Internet and telephone voting is available through 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on May 2, 2007.

Internet or telephone vote authorizes the named proxies to vote your shares in the same manner

as if you marked, signed and returned your proxy card.

If you vote your proxy by Internet or by telephone, you do NOT need to mail back your proxy card.

1-866-307-0847

CALL TOLL-FREE TO VOTE

DETACH PROXY CARD HERE IF YOU ARE NOT VOTING BY TELEPHONE OR INTERNET			
	Mark, Sign, Date and Return		

ASSURED GUARANTY LTD.

30 Woodbourne Avenue, Hamilton HM 08 Bermuda

PROXY

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The undersigned hereby appoints Dominic J. Frederico, Robert B. Mills and James M. Michener as Proxies, each with the power to appoint his substitute, and hereby authorizes each of them to represent and to vote, as designated below, all the Common Shares of Assured Guaranty Ltd. (the Company) which the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Annual General Meeting to be held on May 3, 2007 or any adjournment thereof.

This proxy when properly executed will be voted in the manner directed herein by the undersigned shareholder. If no direction is made, this proxy will be voted for (A) the election of Neil Baron, G. Lawrence Buhl and Dominic J. Frederico as Class III directors of Assured Guaranty to serve a three-year term to expire at the Annual General Meeting in 2010, (B) the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2007 and (C) the approvals of the proposals relating to the Company's subsidiary, Assured Guaranty Re Ltd. (AG Re).

(CONTINUED AND TO BE VOTED AND SIGNED ON REVERSE SIDE)

To change your address, please mark this box.

ASSURED GUARANTY LTD.
P.O. BOX 11035
NEW YORK, NY 10203-0035

To include any comments, please mark this box.

