SPECIALTY LABORATORIES INC Form 10-Q August 12, 2003

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

# QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003

#### OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-16217

# SPECIALTY LABORATORIES, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

#### California

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

95-2961036

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

2211 Michigan Avenue Santa Monica, California 90404

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant s Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (310) 828-6543

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

1

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  $\acute{y}$  No o

As of July 31, 2003, there were approximately 22,320,335 shares of Common Stock outstanding, no par value.

#### SPECIALTY LABORATORIES, INC. FORM 10-Q QUARTERLY REPORT

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>PART I.</u>  |                | FINANCIAL INFORMATIO     | <u>DN</u>  |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------------|--|
|                 | <u>ITEM 1.</u> |                          | FINANCIAL STATEMENTS   |
|                 | <u>ITEM 2.</u> |                          | MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL<br>CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS |
|                 | <u>ITEM 3.</u> |                          | <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT</u><br><u>MARKET RISK</u>              |
|                 | <u>ITEM 4.</u> |                          | CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES  |
| <u>PART II.</u> |                | <b>OTHER INFORMATION</b> |  |
|                 | <u>ITEM 1.</u> |                          | LEGAL PROCEEDINGS  |
|                 | <u>ITEM 2.</u> |                          | CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS  |
|                 | <u>ITEM 3.</u> |                          | DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES  |
|                 | <u>ITEM 4.</u> |                          | SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS                                      |
|                 | <u>ITEM 5.</u> |                          | OTHER INFORMATION  |
|                 | <u>ITEM 6.</u> |                          | EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K   |
|                 |                |                          | 2  |

#### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Specialty Laboratories, Inc.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

|  | December 31,<br>2002 | June 30,<br>2003<br>(Unaudited) |
|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Assets   |                      | · · · ·                         |
| Current assets:  |                      |                                 |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | \$<br>22,405         | \$<br>13,646                    |
| Short-term investments   | 9,247                | 12,184                          |
| Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,922 as of December 31, 2002 and \$2,805 as of June 30, 2003 | 22,597               | 22,752                          |
| Refundable income taxes  | 8,491                | 9,631                           |
| Deferred income taxes  | 1,870                | 1,728                           |
| Inventory  | 1,893                | 1,683                           |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets  | 2,410                | 1,328                           |
| Total current assets   | 68,913               | 62,952                          |
|  |                      |                                 |
| Property and equipment, net  | 55,152               | 61,757                          |
| Long-term investments  | 9,222                | 6,118                           |
| Deferred income taxes  | 168                  | 1,885                           |
| Goodwill, net  | 5,655                | 5,655                           |
| Other assets   | 4,197                | 4,240                           |
|  | \$<br>143,307        | \$<br>142,607                   |
|  |                      |                                 |
| Liabilities and shareholders equity  |                      |                                 |
| Current liabilities:   |                      |                                 |
| Accounts payable   | \$<br>8,052          | \$<br>10,313                    |
| Accrued liabilities  | 9,313                | 9,066                           |
| Total current liabilities  | 17,365               | 19,379                          |
| Long-term liabilities  | 2,208                | 1,692                           |
| Commitments and contingencies  |                      |                                 |
| Shareholders equity:<br>Preferred stock, no par value:<br>Authorized shares 10,000,000                                       |                      |                                 |
| Issued and outstanding shares none   | 99,790               | 100,939                         |

Common stock, no par value: Authorized shares 100,000,000 Issued and outstanding shares 22,023,392 as of December 31, 2002 and 22,245,144 as of

| June 30, 2003                          |                  |         |
|--|------------------|---------|
| Retained earnings                      | 23,797           | 20,465  |
| Deferred stock-based compensation      | (94)             | (43)    |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 241              | 175     |
| Total shareholders equity              | 123,734          | 121,536 |
|  | \$<br>143,307 \$ | 142,607 |

See accompanying notes.

#### Specialty Laboratories, Inc.

#### Consolidated Statements of Operations

#### (Unaudited)

#### (Dollar amounts in thousands except per share data)

|   | Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |          |    | nded       | Six Mont<br>June | ed |         |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|----|------------|------------------|----|---------|
|   |                                | 2002     |    | 2003       | 2002             |    | 2003    |
| Net revenue   | \$                             | 34,146   | \$ | 29,036 \$  | 77,760           | \$ | 59,336  |
| Costs and expenses:   |                                |          |    |            |                  |    |         |
| Costs of services   |                                | 28,487   |    | 21,370     | 55,316           |    | 43,155  |
| Selling, general and administrative (exclusive of stock-based compensation charges) |                                | 14,449   |    | 10,638     | 28,209           |    | 21,552  |
| Stock-based compensation charges  |                                | (199)    |    | 11         | (58)             |    | 35      |
| Restructuring charge  |                                | 3,598    |    |            | 3,598            |    |         |
| Charge related to regulatory matters  |                                | 612      |    |            | 1,853            |    |         |
| Total costs and expenses  |                                | 46,947   |    | 32,019     | 88,918           |    | 64,742  |
|   |                                |          |    |            |                  |    |         |
| Operating loss  |                                | (12,801) |    | (2,983)    | (11,158)         |    | (5,406) |
|   |                                |          |    |            |                  |    |         |
| Interest income   |                                | (505)    |    | (182)      | (999)            |    | (393)   |
| Interest expense  |                                | 106      |    | 1          | 139              |    | 35      |
|   |                                |          |    |            |                  |    |         |
| Loss before income taxes (benefits)   |                                | (12,402) |    | (2,802)    | (10,298)         |    | (5,048) |
|   |                                |          |    |            |                  |    |         |
| Provision for income taxes (benefits)   |                                | (5,002)  |    | (952)      | (4,152)          |    | (1,716) |
|   |                                |          |    |            |                  |    |         |
| Net loss  | \$                             | (7,400)  | \$ | (1,850) \$ | (6,146)          | \$ | (3,332) |
|   |                                |          |    |            |                  |    |         |
| Basic loss per common share   | \$                             | (.34)    | \$ | (.08) \$   | (.28)            | \$ | (.15)   |
|   |                                |          |    |            |                  |    |         |
| Diluted loss per common share   | \$                             | (.34)    | \$ | (.08) \$   | (.28)            | \$ | (.15)   |
| *   |                                |          |    |            |                  |    |         |

See accompanying notes.

Specialty Laboratories, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Six Months Ended June 30,

|   | 2002          | 2003          |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Operating activities  |               |               |
| Net loss  | \$<br>(6,146) | \$<br>(3,332) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: |               |               |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 3,426         | 3,377         |
| Tax benefits related to employee stock options                                  | 2,535         | 572           |
| Deferred income taxes   | (1,633)       | (1,524)       |
| Stock-based compensation charges  | (58)          | 35            |
| Changes in assets and liabilities:  |               |               |
| Accounts receivable, net  | 6,586         | (155)         |
| Inventory, prepaid expenses and other assets                                    | (3,428)       | 1,104         |
| Accounts payable  | 2,226         | 2,261         |
| Accrued liabilities   | 2,251         | (247)         |
| Income taxes refundable/payable   | (5,845)       | (1,140)       |
| Long-term liabilities   | 946           | (516)         |
| Net cash provided by operating activities                                       | 860           | 435           |
| Investing activities  |               |               |
| Purchases of property and equipment   | (5,334)       | (9,837)       |
| Sale of investments, net  | 23,151        | 50            |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities                             | 17,817        | (9,787)       |
| Financing activities  |               |               |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options   | 572           | 260           |
| Sale of common stock to employees   | 623           | 333           |
| Net cash provided by financing activities                                       | 1,195         | 593           |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents                            | 19,872        | (8,759)       |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period                                | 15,183        | 22,405        |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period                                      | \$<br>35,055  | \$<br>13,646  |

See accompanying notes.

#### SPECIALTY LABORATORIES, INC.

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2003

(Unaudited)

#### NOTE 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Financial Statement Preparation

The accompanying financial statements of Specialty Laboratories (the Company ) have been prepared, without audit, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial reporting and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, the financial statements do not include all of the information and notes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, such interim financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring items) considered necessary for a fair presentation of our financial position, results for operations and cash flows for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results that may be reported for the full year.

The accompanying financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements, and the notes thereto, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

#### NOTE 2. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

When we acquire a business, we allocate the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired to goodwill and identified intangible assets. Identifiable intangible assets include customer lists and license agreement fees. We amortize customer lists and license agreement fees evenly over periods of 10 and 4.5 years, respectively. Prior to 2002, we amortized goodwill and intangible assets evenly over periods ranging from 10 to 20 years. Under the guidance of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, we concluded that there was no impairment of goodwill for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003.

Intangible Assets (included in other assets)

Intangible assets are as follows:

|   |    | mber 31,<br>2002 |    | June 30,<br>2003 |
|---|----|------------------|----|------------------|
|   |    | nds)             |    |                  |
| Customer list related to the acquisition of BBICL | \$ | 1,932            | \$ | 1,932            |
| Other intangible assets                           |    | 425              |    | 425              |
| Less accumulated amortization                     |    | (461)            |    | (606)            |
| Total intangible assets, net                      | \$ | 1,896            | \$ | 1,751            |

Under the new rules, intangible assets will continue to be amortized over their useful lives. The estimated amortization expense for intangible assets will be \$72,000 per quarter or \$288,000 per year for the next three years and \$197,000 per year for the subsequent five years.

#### NOTE 3. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consists of the following:

|  | December 31,<br>2002 |       | June 30,<br>2003 |
|--|----------------------|-------|------------------|
|  | (dollar amounts      | ands) |                  |
| Information technology equipment and systems   | \$<br>29,435         | \$    | 31,009           |
| Professional equipment                         | 13,055               |       | 13,292           |
| Leasehold improvements                         | 8,843                |       | 8,843            |
| Land   | 8,657                |       | 8,701            |
| Office furniture and equipment                 | 4,223                |       | 4,223            |
|  | 64,213               |       | 66,068           |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (38,438)             |       | (41,670)         |
| Construction in progress                       | 29,377               |       | 37,359           |
| Total property and equipment, net              | \$<br>55,152         | \$    | 61,757           |

#### NOTE 4. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company accounts for stock options under the recognition and measurement principles (the intrinsic-value method) prescribed in Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related interpretations. Compensation cost for stock options is reflected in net income and is measured as the excess of the market price of the Company s stock at the date of grant over the amount an employee must pay to acquire the stock. SFAS, No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-based Compensation*, established accounting and disclosure requirements using a fair-value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation plans.

In December 2002, SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure was issued. SFAS No. 148 amends SFAS No. 123 to provide alternative methods of transition to SFAS No. 123 s fair-value method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. It also amends and expands the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123 and APB Opinion No. 28, Interim Financial Reporting, to require disclosure in the summary of significant accounting policies of the effects of an entity s accounting policy with respect to stock-based employee compensation on reported net income and earnings per share in annual and interim financial statements. While SFAS No. 148 does not require companies to account for employee stock options using the fair-value method, the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 148 are applicable to all companies with stock-based employee compensation, regardless of whether they account for that compensation using the fair-value method of SFAS No. 123 or the intrinsic-value method of APB Opinion No. 25. The Company adopted the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 148 in fourth quarter of 2002.

Pro forma net income determined as if the Company had accounted for its employee stock options under the fair-value method of that Statement, is as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |        |      |        | Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |       |       |                |      |    |         |
|--|--------------------------------|--------|------|--------|------------------------------|-------|-------|----------------|------|----|---------|
|  |                                | 2002   |      |        | 2003                         |       |       | 2002           |      |    | 2003    |
|  |                                |        | (dol | lar am | ounts in thou                | sands | excep | t per share da | ata) |    |         |
| Net loss, as reported  | \$<br>;                        | (7,400 | )    | \$     | (1,850                       | )     | \$    | (6,146         | )    | \$ | (3,332) |
| Stock-based employee compensation, net of related tax effects: |                                |        |      |        |                              |       |       |                |      |    |         |
| Determined under the intrinsic-value based method              |                                | (119   | )    |        | 7                            |       |       | (35)           | )    |    | 23      |
| Determined under the fair-value based method                   |                                | (889   | )    |        | (817)                        | )     |       | (1,427)        | )    |    | (1,762) |
|  |                                |        |      |        |                              |       |       |                |      |    |         |
| Net loss, as adjusted  | \$                             | (8,408 | )    | \$     | (2,660                       | )     | \$    | (7,608         | )    | \$ | (5,071) |
| Basic loss per common share:                                   |                                |        |      |        |                              |       |       |                |      |    |         |
| As reported  | \$<br>5                        | (.34   | )    | \$     | (.08                         | )     | \$    | (.28           | )    | \$ | (.15)   |
| Pro forma  | \$                             | (.39   | )    | \$     | (.12                         | )     | \$    | (.35           | )    | \$ | (.23)   |
| Diluted loss per common share:                                 |                                |        |      |        |                              |       |       |                |      |    |         |
| As reported  | \$                             | (.34   | )    | \$     | (.08                         | )     | \$    | (.28           | )    | \$ | (.15)   |
| Pro forma  | \$                             | (.39   | )    | \$     | (.12                         | )     | \$    | (.35           | )    | \$ | (.23)   |

These pro forma amounts may not be representative in future disclosures since the estimated fair value of stock options would be amortized to expense over the vesting period, and additional options may be granted in future years.

The fair value for these options was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

|   | Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |         |         | onths Ended<br>1ne 30, |
|---|--------------------------------|---------|---------|------------------------|
|   | 2002                           | 2003    | 2002    | 2003                   |
| Risk-free interest rates                                  | 4%                             | 3%      | 4%      | 3%                     |
| Expected dividend yields                                  | 0%                             | 0%      | 0%      | 0%                     |
| Weighted-average expected life of option                  | 5 years                        | 5 years | 5 years | 5 years                |
| Expected stock price volatility based upon peer companies | .71                            | .71     | .71     | .71                    |

For sales of the Company s common stock to employees at a price below such estimated fair value, the difference between the sales price and such estimated fair value was charged to expense as of the date of the sales.

#### NOTE 5. CHARGE RELATED TO REGULATORY MATTERS

By letter dated April 12, 2002, the federal Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) notified the Company of its conclusions regarding laboratory inspections in June and October 2001 conducted by the California Department of Health Services (CDHS). CMS concluded that the Company s February 2002 response to deficiencies detected in the inspections did not constitute a credible allegation of compliance. As a result, CMS imposed certain sanctions, including notice of revocation of the Company s Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act (CLIA) certificate, canceling the Company s approval to receive Medicare and Medicaid payments for services performed, imposing a civil money penalty of \$3,000 per day for each day during the sanction period, and imposing a directed plan of correction by which CMS could notify the Company s customers of the Company s non-compliance and the nature and effective date of any sanctions imposed. The Company filed an appeal to the CMS action on April 17, 2002, and the appeal stayed the revocation of the Company s CLIA certificate during the Company s administrative appeal. The cancellation of Medicare and Medicaid payments was effective for services performed by the Company on and after February 22, 2002.

We filed supplemental documentation supporting our compliance with the applicable requirements with CDHS and CMS on April 26, 2002. In May and June 2002, CDHS conducted additional unannounced inspections, and we provided additional documentation supporting our compliance with CDHS requirements. By letter dated June 28, 2002, and amended on July 18, 2002, CDHS indicated that we were in substantial compliance with California clinical laboratory law. CDHS also imposed sanctions of a civil money penalty of \$1,000 per day for 344 days (i.e., \$344,000), plus \$20,430 for the cost of conducting their investigations, and imposed onsite monitoring for three years, including unannounced inspections. We did not appeal these imposed sanctions.

On July 17, 2002, CMS notified the Company that it had deemed the Company in compliance with all condition level requirements of CLIA and, that the Company s ability to bill Medicare and Medicaid for its testing services had been reinstated, effective June 19, 2002, and that all actions against the Company s CLIA certificate had been rescinded. In order to facilitate an immediate resolution with CMS, the Company elected to withdraw the appeal of the sanctions the Company filed with CMS on April 17, 2002, and the Company will not seek reimbursement for services performed for beneficiaries of Medicare and Medicaid during the sanction period of February 22, 2002 through June 19, 2002. However, because CMS had imposed its sanctions retroactively to February 22, 2002, the Company had billed Medicare and Medicaid programs for some services before the notification of the actual imposition of the sanctions by CMS was received on April 12, 2002. The Company has sought guidance from CMS as to how the period of retroactive sanctions should be treated, and has set aside and reserved those Medicare or Medicaid payments from the period of February 22, 2002 through April 12, 2002 until additional guidance is received from CMS. The Company did not challenge CMS imposition of a monetary fine of \$351,000, representing \$3,000 per day during the sanction period.

The Company believes that the cancellation of the Company s approval to receive Medicare and Medicaid payments for services performed from February 22, 2002 through June 19, 2002 should not affect testing for Medicare and Medicaid patients for whom the Company bills the hospital and other clients, but instead applies only to testing for which the Company bills the Medicare and Medicaid programs directly. The Company recorded a charge in the first quarter of 2002 of approximately \$1,241,000 to reserve for Medicare and Medicaid services earned and billed and a civil money penalty, all pertaining to the period February 22, 2002 to March 31, 2002. During the second quarter of 2002, the Company did not recognize any net revenue related to Medicare and Medicaid services and recorded a charge of approximately \$612,000 for additional civil money penalties, costs for inspections, and incremental legal costs related to the CDHS and CMS regulatory actions. Beginning July 1, 2002, with the resolution of sanctions imposed by CMS, the Company resumed the recognition of net revenue related to Medicare and Medicaid services performed. In pursuing patient collections, subsequent information was provided by the patient or client that the services provided were covered by Medicare or Medicaid during the period of February 22 through June 19, 2002, resulting in the Company writing off these receivables. These write-offs along with additional reserves, totaled \$400,000, and were recorded as a charge during fourth quarter of 2002.

#### NOTE 6. RESTRUCTURING CHARGE

On June 18, 2002, the Company announced a reduction in workforce of approximately 10% as part of an overall restructuring plan. The plan involved all areas and levels of the company. In connection with the restructuring effort, a charge of approximately \$3,598,000 was recorded in the second quarter of 2002. The charge comprised severance payments and related obligations for employees whose positions were eliminated.

During September 2002, as a result of further business review and the refinement of our core strategic business the Company eliminated some employee positions primarily in the area of the clinical trials department. A charge of approximately \$468,000 was recorded in the third quarter of 2002. The charge comprised \$199,000 of severance payments for employees whose positions were eliminated and a \$269,000 write-off of certain assets related to the clinical trials business.

In November 2002, in the Company s continuing efforts to manage costs and align the business with current business levels, a reduction in workforce occurred focused primarily on the laboratory. A restructuring charge of approximately \$984,000 was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2002. Approximately \$508,000 of the charge related to reductions in force, primarily laboratory operations. In addition, approximately \$476,000 of the charge was recorded for the write-off of certain capitalized costs associated with the delayed move to the new Valencia facility, and the related termination of the synthetic lease financing arrangement with the banking group led by BNP Paribas.

Severance obligations for the six months ended June 30, 2003 are as follows:

|                                   | 2002 | 2 Expense | Ju | id Through<br>ne 30, 2003<br>nounts in thousands) | τ  | Unpaid Balance at<br>June 30, 2003 |
|-----------------------------------|------|-----------|----|---|----|------------------------------------|
| Severance and related obligations | \$   | 4,276     | \$ | 3,197   | \$ | 1,079 *                            |

\* Unpaid balance is expected to be paid through 2004.

#### NOTE 7. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In March 2002, the Company entered into a 6.5 year lease agreement to finance the construction of our new laboratory and headquarters facility in Valencia, California. BNP Paribas and a syndication of banks arranged our lease, which was initially structured as an off balance sheet financing arrangement, sometimes referred to as a synthetic lease . Construction of the new facility was to be completed in the second half of 2003, and the move from our existing Santa Monica facilities was scheduled shortly thereafter. In October 2002, the Company announced the postponement of our move to the new Valencia facility, and suspended construction of the facility after the completion of the core and shell of the building in early 2003. As a result of our decision to pause construction of the Valencia facility and our desire to have on balance sheet financing, we exercised our purchase option in the fourth quarter of 2002 under the lease finance agreement, paying off the debt in order to obtain title to the ground lease and facility improvements, thus ending the synthetic lease. Construction costs incurred through June 30, 2003 were \$33,531,000, which we financed with investments and cash generated from operations.

In March 2002, the Company also obtained a bank loan agreement that provided for a revolving line of credit up to \$40,000,000. The bank group led by BNP Paribas also provided this loan agreement. We had no borrowings under this bank loan agreement and terminated the loan agreement in the fourth quarter of 2002.

In January 2003, the Company established a \$680,000 irrevocable Letter of Credit for Federal Insurance Company, our workers compensation insurance provider for 2003. The Company elected to utilize a deductible program for 2003 for which Federal Insurance Company required a security deposit in the form of a Letter of Credit.

The Company expects to complete a new reduced financing arrangement within the next three months.

#### NOTE 8. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings (loss) per share are computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the respective periods. Diluted earnings (loss) per share, calculated using the treasury stock method, gives effect to the potential dilution that could occur upon the exercise of certain stock options that were outstanding during the respective periods presented. Since the Company reported a net loss for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2002 and 2003, these potentially dilutive common shares were excluded from the diluted loss per share calculation because they were anti-dilutive.

Basic and diluted loss per share for the respective periods are set forth in the table below:

|  |    | Three Months Ended<br>June 30,                      |      |        |      | Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |      |         |  |  |  |
|--|----|---|------|--------|------|------------------------------|------|---------|--|--|--|
|  |    | 2002  |      | 2003   |      | 2002                         |      | 2003    |  |  |  |
|  |    | (dollar amounts in thousands except per share data) |      |        |      |                              |      |         |  |  |  |
| Net loss                                     | \$ | (7,400  | ) \$ | (1,850 | ) \$ | (6,146                       | ) \$ | (3,332) |  |  |  |
| Basic loss per common share                  | \$ | (.34  | ) \$ | (.08   | ) \$ | (.28                         | ) \$ | (.15)   |  |  |  |
| Diluted loss per common share                | \$ | (.34  | ) \$ | (.08   | ) \$ | (.28                         | ) \$ | (.15)   |  |  |  |
| Basic weighted average shares                |    | 21,838  |      | 22,165 |      | 21,681                       |      | 22,116  |  |  |  |
| Dilutive effect of outstanding stock options |    |   |      |        |      |                              |      |         |  |  |  |
| Diluted weighted average shares              |    | 21,838  |      | 22,165 |      | 21,681                       |      | 22,116  |  |  |  |

# ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our selected consolidated financial data and the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report. This section includes forward-looking information that involves risks and uncertainties. See Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated by forward-looking statements due to factors discussed under Risk Factors, Business and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report.

For purposes of the following discussion, EBITDA is defined as income (loss) from operations before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. EBITDA should not be considered a measure of financial performance under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Items excluded from EBITDA are significant components in understanding and assessing financial performance. EBITDA, which is a non-GAAP measure, is generally recognized by our industry as a measure of liquidity and our investors and analysts believe it to be a useful tool for understanding operating cash flows. EBITDA should not be considered in isolation or as an alternative to net income, cash flows generated by operations, investing or financing activities, or other financial statement data presented in the consolidated financial statements as an indicator of financial performance or liquidity. Because EBITDA is not a measurement determined in accordance with GAAP and is thus susceptible to varying calculations, EBITDA as presented may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies.

#### Overview

Specialty Laboratories is a leading hospital-focused clinical laboratory, performing highly advanced, clinically useful testing services for hospitals, laboratories and physician specialist communities nationwide. We believe we offer the most comprehensive menu of esoteric assays in the industry, with a test menu of more than 2,500 assays. Many of our tests have been developed through our internal research and development efforts. Esoteric assays are complex, comprehensive or unique tests used to diagnose, evaluate and monitor patients. These assays are often performed on sophisticated instruments by highly skilled personnel and are therefore offered by a limited number of clinical laboratories.

Our primary customers are hospitals, independent clinical laboratories and physicians. We have aligned our interests with those of hospitals, our fastest growing client segment, by not competing in the routine test market that provides them with a valuable source of revenue. We educate physicians on the clinical value of our assays through our information-oriented marketing campaigns. Our technical, experienced sales force concentrates on the hospitals and independent laboratories that serve as distribution channels for physician assay orders. We use our advanced information technology solutions to accelerate and automate electronic ordering and results reporting with these customers.

Through the execution of our hospital-focused strategy, we grew rapidly in the three years 1999 through 2001, when our net revenue grew at a compounded annual growth rate of 16% from approximately \$130 million to approximately \$175 million. This growth was supplemented with the acquisition of BBI Clinical Laboratories, Inc., in the first quarter of 2001. BBI Clinical Laboratories, a private company founded in 1989, was a leading esoteric clinical reference laboratory specializing in infectious disease testing, such as Lyme disease and viral hepatitis. BBI Clinical Laboratories primary customers included hospitals, physician specialists, pharmaceutical and diagnostic companies, and other clinical and research laboratories.

While the core hospital-focus strategy remains the same, the last year was marked by two significant events the regulatory actions taken by the California Department of Health Services (CDHS) and the federal Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) in March and April 2002, and the announcement of the acquisition of Unilab Corporation, our largest customer, by Quest Diagnostics Inc., one of our competitors. As a result of these events, we experienced a significant reduction in revenues in 2002 and into the first half of 2003. These events are discussed below.

By letter dated March 28, 2002, CDHS notified us of its intent to impose sanctions of a directed plan of correction, random onsite monitoring, and a civil money penalty based upon deficiencies cited on November 28, 2001 following laboratory inspections conducted during June and October 2001. The sanctions were based on findings that we were permitting unlicensed personnel to perform and supervise clinical laboratory testing in violation of California law. We filed supplemental documentation supporting our compliance with the applicable requirements with CDHS and CMS on April 26, 2002. In addition, on April 26, 2002, we requested that CDHS rescind its proposed sanctions outlined in the March 28, 2002 letter based on our supplemental submission. In May and June 2002, CDHS conducted additional unannounced inspections, and we provided additional documentation supporting our compliance with CDHS requirements. By letter dated June 28, 2002, and amended on July 18, 2002, CDHS indicated that we were in substantial compliance with California clinical laboratory law. CDHS also imposed sanctions of a civil money penalty of \$1,000 per day for 344 days (i.e., \$344,000), plus \$20,430 for the cost of conducting their investigations, and imposed onsite monitoring for three years, including unannounced inspections. We did not appeal these imposed sanctions.

By letter dated April 12, 2002, CMS notified us of its conclusions regarding laboratory inspections in June and October 2001 conducted by CDHS. CMS concluded that our February 2002 response to deficiencies detected in the inspections did not constitute a credible allegation of compliance. As a result, CMS imposed certain sanctions, including notice of revocation of our Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act (CLIA) certificate, cancellation of our approval to receive Medicare and Medicaid payments for services performed, imposing a civil money penalty of \$3,000 per day for each day during the sanction period, and imposing a directed plan of correction by which CMS could notify our customers of our non-compliance and the nature and effective date of any sanctions imposed. We filed an appeal to the CMS action on April 17, 2002, and the appeal stayed the revocation of our CLIA certificate during our administrative appeal. The cancellation of Medicare and Medicaid payments was effective for services performed by us on and after February 22, 2002. On July 17, 2002, CMS notified us that it had deemed Specialty in compliance with all condition level requirements of CLIA as of June 19, 2002, that Specialty s ability to bill Medicare and Medicaid for its testing services had been reinstated as of June 19, 2002, and that all actions against our CLIA certificate were rescinded. In order to facilitate an immediate resolution with CMS, we elected to withdraw the appeal of the sanctions we filed with CMS on April 17, 2002, and we will not seek reimbursement for services performed for beneficiaries of Medicare and Medicaid during the sanction period of February 22, 2002 through June 19, 2002. However, because CMS had imposed its sanctions retroactively to February 22, 2002, we had billed Medicare and Medicaid programs for some services before we were notified of the actual imposition of the sanctions by CMS on April 12, 2002. We have sought guidance from CMS as to how the period of retroactive sanctions should be treated, and we have set aside and reserved those Medicare or Medicaid payments from the period of February 22, 2002 through April 12, 2002 until we receive additional guidance from CMS. We did not challenge CMS imposition of a monetary fine of \$351,000, representing \$3,000 per day during the sanction period. We believe that the cancellation of our approval to receive Medicare and Medicaid payments for services performed from February 22, 2002 through June 19, 2002 did not affect testing for Medicare and Medicaid patients for whom we bill our hospital and other clients, but instead applies only to testing for which we bill the Medicare and Medicaid programs directly.

On April 2, 2002, Quest Diagnostics, Inc. announced that they had entered into a definitive agreement to acquire Unilab Corporation. Unilab, our largest customer, comprised approximately 10% and 8% of our net revenue for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. As a result, Unilab did not renew the three-year agreement with us, which expired in October of 2002, and we experienced a significant decline in testing volumes sent to us from Unilab after expiration of the contract. In October 2002, we entered into a new agreement with Unilab which should allow for a more orderly reduction of the remaining test volumes. With the completion of Unilab s acquisition in February 2003, we believe that Quest will perform the majority of testing previously sent to us by Unilab. In March 2003, Unilab provided us notice that it would stop sending us certain higher priced tests covered under the new agreement, and these test volumes ended in early April. Test volumes from Unilab are currently at a relatively nominal level, and while we believe that these test volumes will remain at these levels, they may decline further by the end of 2003.

As a result of these significant events on our business, on June 18, 2002, we announced a reduction in workforce of approximately 10% as part of an overall restructuring plan. The plan involved all areas and levels of the company. In connection with the restructuring effort, we recorded a charge of approximately \$3.6 million in the second quarter of 2002. The charge was comprised of severance payments and related obligations for employees whose positions were eliminated. During September 2002, as a result of further business review and the refinement of our core strategic business, we eliminated some employee positions primarily in the area of our clinical trials department. We recorded a restructuring charge of approximately \$468,000 in the third quarter of 2002. The charge comprised severance payments for employees whose positions were eliminated and the write-off of certain assets related to our clinical trials business. In November 2002, in our continuing efforts to manage costs and align our staff with current business levels, we had a reduction in workforce focused primarily on the laboratory. We recorded a restructuring charge of approximately \$984,000 in the fourth quarter of 2002, which comprised severance payments for employees whose positions were eliminated and for the write-off of certain capitalized costs associated with the delayed move to our new Valencia facility and the related termination of the synthetic lease financing arrangement with the banking group led by BNP Paribas.

Other significant developments in the last year included:

As previously reported, in December 2001, we purchased a 13.8 acre site in Valencia, California. We are in the process of building a 195,000 square foot facility which would enable us to consolidate all of our laboratory and administrative functions in one location. Construction began during the second quarter of 2002 and was to be completed in the second half of 2003. In October 2002, we announced that we would postpone the move to our new facility in Valencia until the first half of 2004. Accordingly, the construction of the new facility has been suspended. This postponement will allow us to focus on rebuilding client confidence and stabilizing our business by minimizing any disruptions in service to our clients based on planning and executing a move to a new facility during this rebuilding period. We have halted construction at completion of the Core and Shell of the facility, a logical break point, and this phase was substantially completed in January 2003. Upon restart of the facility construction, we plan to fund completion with traditional construction and mortgage financing. We expect to decide whether and when to recommence the facility s construction sometime in the last half of 2003. However, we can provide no assurances that we will be able to obtain financing on favorable terms to fund construction of the new facility, that we will have the ability to complete a move to a new facility without incurring disruptions in service to our customers and loss of client confidence, or that we will need a larger facility for our operations in light of our reduced testing volume and employee headcount. Based on these and other factors, it is possible that we may never recommence construction of the new facility in Valencia, or decide to recommence construction after December 31, 2003. If we do not provide notice of our intent to resume construction prior to that date, the agreement for construction of the facility will be deemed

terminated, and we could be subject to substantial termination costs and penalties, which could be in excess of \$2.5 million. For more information, please see Risk Factors - Our planned move to Valencia, California may divert management attention and may lead to disruptions in our operations and service to our customers and Risk Factors - We may decide to further postpone or cancel our planned move to a new location in Valencia, which could create financial liabilities.

In March 2002, we completed a \$100 million financing transaction. This credit facility had two components: first, we entered into a 6.5 year lease to finance construction of our new laboratory and headquarters facility in Valencia, California, sometimes referred to as a synthetic lease, with a total cost, including financing costs, of up to \$60 million, and second, we entered into a \$40 million revolving line of credit with the same lenders that provided the lease financing, with proceeds available for general corporate purposes. Prior to this transaction, we had an existing line of credit of \$30 million, which was provided by Union Bank of California. The new credit facility, arranged by BNP Paribas, included Union Bank, US Bank, First Union National Bank, as co-syndication agents, and Allied Irish Banks, Manufacturers Bank, and Bank Leumi, USA, as participants. As a result of our decision to pause construction of the Valencia facility and our desire to have on balance sheet financing, we exercised our purchase option in the fourth quarter of 2002 under the lease finance agreement, paying off the debt in order to obtain title to the ground lease and facility improvements, thus ending the synthetic lease. Subsequently, we also terminated our line of credit with this bank group.

On April 22, 2002, James B. Peter, M.D., Ph.D., resigned from the positions of chairman and chief executive officer. On May 21, 2002, we announced that Douglas S. Harrington, M.D. was named chief executive officer. Dr. Harrington has more than 18 years of laboratory services and diagnostic devices industry experience. He served as chief executive officer of ChromaVision Medical Systems from 1996 to 2001, held various executive positions at Nichols Institute including president and laboratory director, is board certified in anatomic, clinical pathology and hematology, and is fully licensed as a Clinical Laboratory Director. Dr. Harrington has served on our board of directors since 1996. As announced on April 22, 2002, Thomas R. Testman was elected by our board of directors to serve as chairman. Mr. Testman, a retired managing partner with Ernst & Young since 1992, has served on our board of directors since 1996.

On May 1, 2002, we announced that Novation, a national purchasing group for hospitals, discontinued its service agreement with us. The termination of the agreement was without cause and was effective on July 29, 2002. The original agreement was initiated on May 1, 2001 and provided Novation members with access to discounted clinical laboratory services from us. While we have experienced some loss of Novation clients, the exact consequences of the agreement s termination are difficult to predict, particularly since the termination of the contractual relationship with Novation does not prevent its members from using our services, and it may take a significant period of time before any individual Novation member decides to stop utilizing our services.

On June 18, 2003, we announced that Consorta, Inc., a leading group purchasing and resource management company representing more than 400 acute care facilities, had signed a three-year agreement with us for clinical reference testing. The agreement, effective July 1, 2003, provides Consorta members access to our comprehensive menu of more than 2,500 assays, proprietary client connectivity applications and turnaround time schedules.

#### **Recent Developments**

On July 1, 2003, we announced the appointment of Cynthia K. French, Ph.D. to the position of Vice President and Chief Science Officer. Dr. French has more than 15 years experience as a researcher and business executive in the diagnostic and clinical laboratory industry, and more recently served as

Senior Scientist at Quest Diagnostics, Center for Applied Technologies until joining Specialty. Dr. French will oversee our research and development program.

In addition, on July 1, 2003, we announced the formation of a Scientific Advisory Board and the appointment of Michael G. Douglas, Ph.D. as its chairperson. Dr. Douglas currently serves as Vice President and Chief Science Officer of Novactyl Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. of St. Louis, Missouri, and Associate Vice Chancellor and Director of the Center of Technology Management, Washington University in St. Louis. Dr. Douglas will be responsible for assembling the Advisory Board and enlisting a panel of experts to advise Specialty on its research and assay development efforts.

On July 30, 2003, we announced we signed a three-year service agreement, as the primary reference laboratory, with the University of Maryland Medical System (UMMS) and will begin receiving patient specimens and testing orders from member hospitals on August 4, 2003. UMMS is a regional health network comprised of the University of Maryland Medical Center, community and specialty hospitals and outpatient sites for primary and secondary care in the Maryland area, with more than 1,600 licensed beds. We estimate that the agreement could result in annualized revenue of approximately \$1.5 million.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses for each period. The following represents a summary of our critical accounting policies, defined as those policies that we believe are: (a) the most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations, and (b) that require management s most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain.

#### Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized as services are rendered upon completion of the testing process for a specific customer order for which we have no future performance obligation to the customer, the customer is obligated to pay and the fees are non-refundable. Our revenue recognition policies are in compliance with Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101.

Services are provided to certain patients covered by various third-party payor programs including Medicare and Medicaid. Billings for services under third-party payor programs are included in net revenue net of allowances for differences between the amounts billed and estimated receipts under such programs. Adjustments to the estimated payment amounts based on final settlement with the third-party payor programs are recorded upon settlement.

#### Expense Recognition

Expenses are recognized as incurred and are generally classified between cost of services and selling, general and administrative expenses. Components of cost of services include salaries and employee benefits, research and development costs, supplies and reagents, courier costs, depreciation of laboratory equipment and leasehold improvements. Selling, general and administrative expenses include salaries and employee benefits, sales and marketing, information technology, insurance and bad debt expense.

Stock-Based Compensation Charges

Stock-based compensation charges represent the difference between the exercise price of options granted, or the price of stock sold to employees and directors, and the deemed fair value of our common stock on the date of grant or sale in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 and its related interpretations. In the case of options, we recognize this compensation charge over the vesting periods of the options using an accelerated amortization methodology in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 28. For purposes of the period-to-period comparisons included in Results of Operations, selling, general and administration expenses exclude these stock-based compensation charges, which are reflected as a separate line item.

We have recorded deferred stock-based compensation related to unvested stock options granted to employees and directors. Based on the number of outstanding options granted as of June 30, 2003, we expect to amortize approximately \$43,000 of deferred stock-based compensation in future periods. We expect to amortize this deferred stock-based compensation approximately as follows: \$30,000 during the remainder of 2003 and \$13,000 during 2004. We anticipate that the exercise price of the majority of stock options granted in the future will be at the market price of our common stock on the date of grant, and therefore no deferred stock-based compensation will result from these grants.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

We allocate the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired to goodwill and identifiable intangible assets. Identifiable intangible assets include customer lists and are amortized evenly over 10 years.

Under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, goodwill is no longer amortized but is subject to annual impairment tests in accordance with the statements. Other intangible assets will continue to be amortized over their useful lives. We concluded that there was no impairment of goodwill for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003 since our fair value exceeded the book equity value.

#### **Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth the percentage of net revenue represented by certain items in our consolidated statements of operations.

|                  | Three Months<br>June 30 |        | Six Month<br>June |        |
|------------------|-------------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
|                  | 2002                    | 2003   | 2002              | 2003   |
| Net revenue      | 100.0%                  | 100.0% | 100.00            | 100.0% |
| Cost of services | 83.4                    | 73.6   | 71.1              | 72.7   |