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## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES <br> CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS <br> (Dollars in Thousands, Except Par Value and Share Data) <br> (Unaudited)

|  | June 30, 2013 | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 106,237 | \$ | 89,011 |
| Interest-earning deposits in financial institutions | 112,590 |  | 75,393 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 218,827 |  | 164,404 |
| Securities available-for-sale, at fair value (\$40,917 and \$44,684 covered by FDIC loss sharing at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012) <br> Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost | $\begin{array}{r} 1,473,578 \\ 39,129 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,355,385 \\ 37,126 \end{array}$ |
| Total investment securities | 1,512,707 |  | 1,392,511 |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income (\$581,404 and \$543,327 covered by FDIC loss sharing at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012) | 4,419,686 |  | 3,590,297 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses (\$27,397 and \$26,069 for loans covered by FDIC loss sharing at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012) | $(90,643)$ |  | $(91,968)$ |
| Total loans and leases, net | 4,329,043 |  | 3,498,329 |
| Other real estate owned, net (\$19,114 and \$22,842 covered by FDIC loss sharing at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012) | 64,546 |  | 56,414 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 33,642 |  | 19,503 |
| FDIC loss sharing asset | 66,993 |  | 57,475 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance | 80,592 |  | 68,326 |
| Goodwill | 209,190 |  | 79,866 |
| Core deposit and customer relationship intangibles, net | 20,190 |  | 14,723 |
| Other assets | 173,372 |  | 112,107 |
| Total assets | \$ 6,709,102 | \$ | 5,463,658 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | \$ 2,291,246 | \$ | 1,939,212 |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 3,231,754 |  | 2,769,909 |
| Total deposits | 5,523,000 |  | 4,709,121 |
| Borrowings | 9,696 |  | 12,591 |
| Subordinated debentures | 132,358 |  | 108,250 |
| Discontinued operations | 173,439 |  |  |


| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 68,910 | 44,575 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total liabilities | 5,907,403 |  | 4,874,537 |
| Commitments and contingencies |  |  |  |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; authorized 5,000,000 shares; none issued and outstanding |  |  |  |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value; authorized 75,000,000 shares; 46,514,056 shares issued at June 30, 2013 and |  |  |  |
| 37,772,559 at December 31, 2012 (includes 1,788,562 and 1,698,281 shares of unvested restricted stock) | 465 |  | 377 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,291,002 |  | 1,062,184 |
| Accumulated deficit | $(481,694)$ |  | $(499,537)$ |
| Treasury stock, at cost; 433,325 and 351,650 shares at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 | $(9,070)$ |  | $(6,803)$ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 996 |  | 32,900 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 801,699 |  | 589,121 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 6,709,102 | \$ | 5,463,658 |

See "Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements."
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## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

## (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

## (Unaudited)

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | 2013 |  |  | 2013 | 2012 |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and leases | \$ 63,168 | \$ | 61,010 |  | \$ 63,312 | \$ 124,178 | \$ 128,064 |
| Investment securities | 8,414 |  | 8,216 | 9,558 | 16,630 | 19,138 |
| Deposits in financial institutions | 49 |  | 43 | 20 | 92 | 88 |
| Total interest income | 71,631 |  | 69,269 | 72,890 | 140,900 | 147,290 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 2,077 |  | 2,649 | 3,336 | 4,726 | 6,940 |
| Borrowings | 199 |  | 144 | 293 | 343 | 2,218 |
| Subordinated debentures | 882 |  | 783 | 848 | 1,665 | 2,039 |
| Total interest expense | 3,158 |  | 3,576 | 4,477 | 6,734 | 11,197 |
| Net interest income | 68,473 |  | 65,693 | 68,413 | 134,166 | 136,093 |
| Total provision (negative provision) for credit losses | $(1,842)$ |  | 3,137 | (271) | 1,295 | $(6,345)$ |
| Net interest income after provision for credit losses | 70,315 |  | 62,556 | 68,684 | 132,871 | 142,438 |
| Noninterest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 2,767 |  | 2,863 | 3,328 | 5,630 | 6,681 |
| Other commissions and fees | 2,154 |  | 1,933 | 2,095 | 4,087 | 3,978 |
| Gain on sale of leases | 279 |  | 225 | 403 | 504 | 1,393 |
| Gain on sale of securities |  |  | 409 |  | 409 |  |
| Other-than-temporary impairment loss on covered security |  |  |  | $(1,115)$ |  | $(1,115)$ |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance | 221 |  | 433 | 295 | 654 | 660 |
| FDIC loss sharing expense, net | $(5,410)$ |  | $(3,137)$ | (102) | $(8,547)$ | $(3,681)$ |
| Other income | 192 |  | 114 | (33) | 306 | 217 |
| Total noninterest income | 203 |  | 2,840 | 4,871 | 3,043 | 8,133 |
| Noninterest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compensation | 26,057 |  | 25,350 | 23,699 | 51,407 | 47,886 |
| Occupancy | 7,480 |  | 6,598 | 7,088 | 14,078 | 14,376 |
| Data processing | 2,455 |  | 2,233 | 2,258 | 4,688 | 4,538 |
| Other professional services | 2,240 |  | 2,097 | 2,378 | 4,337 | 4,148 |
| Business development | 798 |  | 736 | 581 | 1,534 | 1,219 |
| Communications | 622 |  | 613 | 626 | 1,235 | 1,234 |
| Insurance and assessments | 1,267 |  | 1,261 | 1,323 | 2,528 | 2,616 |
| Non-covered other real estate owned, net | 80 |  | 313 | 130 | 393 | 1,951 |
| Covered other real estate owned, net | (94) |  | (813) | 2,130 | (907) | 2,952 |
| Intangible asset amortization | 1,284 |  | 1,176 | 1,737 | 2,460 | 3,472 |
| Acquisition and integration | 17,997 |  | 692 | 871 | 18,689 | 896 |
| Debt termination |  |  |  |  |  | 22,598 |
| Other expense | 4,030 |  | 3,927 | 4,764 | 7,957 | 8,594 |
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| Total noninterest expense |  | 64,216 |  | 44,183 |  | 47,585 |  | 108,399 |  | 116,480 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes |  | 6,302 |  | 21,213 |  | 25,970 |  | 27,515 |  | 34,091 |
| Income tax expense |  | $(1,906)$ |  | $(7,719)$ |  | $(10,413)$ |  | $(9,625)$ |  | $(13,270)$ |
| Net earnings from continuing operations |  | 4,396 |  | 13,494 |  | 15,557 |  | 17,890 |  | 20,821 |
| Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes |  | (81) |  |  |  |  |  | (81) |  |  |
| Income tax benefit |  | 34 |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |  |  |
| Net loss from discontinued operations |  | (47) |  |  |  |  |  | (47) |  |  |
| Net earnings | \$ | 4,349 | \$ | 13,494 | \$ | 15,557 | \$ | 17,843 | \$ | 20,821 |
| Basic earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings from continuing operations | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.37 | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 0.57 |
| Net earnings | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.37 | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 0.57 |
| Diluted earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings from continuing operations | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.37 | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 0.57 |
| Net earnings | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.37 | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 0.57 |
| Dividends declared per share | \$ | 0.25 | \$ | 0.25 | \$ | 0.18 | \$ | 0.50 | \$ | 0.36 |

See "Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements."

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

## (In Thousands)

## (Unaudited)

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2013 | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings | \$ | 4,349 |  |  | \$ | 13,494 | \$ | 15,557 | \$ | 17,843 | \$ | 20,821 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income related to unrealized gains (losses) on securities available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized holding (losses) gains arising during the period |  | $(48,189)$ |  | $(6,410)$ |  |  |  | 8,185 |  | $(54,599)$ |  | 15,594 |
| Income tax benefit (expense) related to unrealized holding (losses) gains arising during the period |  | 20,240 |  | 2,692 |  | $(3,439)$ |  | 22,932 |  | $(6,550)$ |
| Reclassification adjustment for gain included in net earnings |  |  |  | (409) |  | 1,115 |  | (409) |  | 1,115 |
| Income tax expense related to reclassification adjustment |  |  |  | 172 |  | (468) |  | 172 |  | (468) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income |  | $(27,949)$ |  | $(3,955)$ |  | 5,393 |  | $(31,904)$ |  | 9,691 |
| Comprehensive (loss) income | \$ | $(23,600)$ | \$ | 9,539 | \$ | 20,950 | \$ | $(14,061)$ | \$ | 30,512 |

See "Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements."

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Data)

## (Unaudited)

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013

|  | Common Stock |  |  |  | AccumulatedDeficit |  | Accumulated |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares | $\begin{gathered} \text { Par } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | Additional <br> Paid-in <br> Capital |  |  | Treasury Stock |  | Other prehensive ncome |  | Total |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | 37,420,909 | \$ 377 |  | 1,062,184 | \$ | $(499,537)$ | \$ $(6,803)$ | \$ | 32,900 | \$ | 589,121 |
| Net earnings |  |  |  |  |  | 17,843 |  |  |  |  | 17,843 |
| Other comprehensive loss net unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale, net of tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(31,904)$ |  | $(31,904)$ |
| Issuance of common stock for acquisition of First California Financial Group | 8,403,119 | 84 |  | 242,184 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 242,268 |
| Restricted stock awarded and earned stock compensation, net of shares forfeited | 338,378 | 4 |  | 4,141 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,145 |
| Restricted stock surrendered | $(81,675)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(2,267)$ |  |  |  | $(2,267)$ |
| Tax effect from vesting of restricted stock |  |  |  | 778 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 778 |
| Cash dividends paid (\$0.50 per share) |  |  |  | $(18,285)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(18,285)$ |
| Balance, June 30, 2013 | 46,080,731 | \$ 465 | \$ | 1,291,002 | \$ | $(481,694)$ | \$ $(9,070)$ | \$ | 996 | \$ | 801,699 |

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In Thousands)
(Unaudited)

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings | \$ | 17,843 |  | 20,821 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 15,179 |  | 10,730 |
| Provision (negative provision) for credit losses |  | 1,295 |  | $(6,345)$ |
| Gain on sale of other real estate owned |  | $(2,602)$ |  | $(2,479)$ |
| Provision for losses on other real estate owned |  | 1,477 |  | 5,786 |
| Gain on sale of leases |  | (504) |  | $(1,393)$ |
| Gain on sale of premises and equipment |  | (11) |  | 160 |
| Gain on sale of securities |  | (409) |  |  |
| Other-than-temporary impairment loss on covered security |  |  |  | 1,115 |
| Earned stock compensation |  | 4,145 |  | 3,256 |
| Tax effect included in stockholders' equity of restricted stock vesting |  | (778) |  | (156) |
| Decrease in accrued and deferred income taxes, net |  | $(3,860)$ |  | 7,264 |
| Decrease in FDIC loss sharing asset |  | 9,463 |  | 18,786 |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets |  | 7,580 |  | 10,500 |
| Decrease in accrued interest payable and other liabilities |  | $(6,809)$ |  | $(17,932)$ |
| Net cash provided by operating activities |  | 42,009 |  | 50,113 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net cash and cash equivalents acquired (used) in acquisitions |  | 273,013 |  | $(42,306)$ |
| Net decrease in loans and leases |  | 200,023 |  | 204,368 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans and leases |  | 9,455 |  | 22,693 |
| Securities available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from maturities and paydowns |  | 193,561 |  | 169,630 |
| Proceeds from sales |  | 12,810 |  |  |
| Purchases |  | $(388,946)$ |  | $(186,387)$ |
| Net redemptions of Federal Home Loan Bank stock |  | 7,515 |  | 4,370 |
| Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned |  | 15,869 |  | 26,213 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment, net |  | $(1,301)$ |  | $(1,827)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment |  | 22 |  | 691 |
| Net cash provided by investing activities |  | 322,021 |  | 197,445 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits: |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest-bearing |  | $(9,132)$ |  | 186,660 |
| Interest-bearing |  | $(277,868)$ |  | $(172,784)$ |
| Net decrease in borrowings |  | $(2,833)$ |  | $(225,220)$ |
| Redemption of subordinated debentures |  |  |  | $(18,558)$ |
| Repayment of acquired debt |  |  |  | $(175,481)$ |
| Restricted stock surrendered |  | $(2,267)$ |  | $(1,374)$ |
| Tax effect included in stockholders' equity of restricted stock vesting |  | 778 |  | 156 |
| Cash dividends paid |  | $(18,285)$ |  | $(13,105)$ |
| Net cash used in financing activities |  | $(309,607)$ |  | $(419,706)$ |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents |  | 54,423 |  | $(172,148)$ |


| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 164,404 | 295,617 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | $\$ 218,827$ | $\$ 123,469$ |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: |  |  |
| Cash paid for interest | 7,569 | $\$ 12,778$ |
| Cash paid for income taxes | 13,573 | 6,229 |
| Loans transferred to other real estate owned | 9,090 | 19,721 |
| Common stock issued for First California Financial Group acquisition | 242,268 |  |

[^0]
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## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

## NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

PacWest Bancorp is a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. Our principal business is to serve as the holding company for our Los Angeles-based wholly-owned banking subsidiary, Pacific Western Bank, which we refer to as "Pacific Western" or the "Bank." When we say "we," "our," or the "Company," we mean the Company on a consolidated basis with the Bank. When we refer to "PacWest" or to the holding company, we are referring to the parent company on a stand-alone basis.

Pacific Western is a full-service commercial bank offering a broad range of banking products and services including: accepting demand, money market, and time deposits; originating loans and leases, including commercial, real estate construction, equipment finance leases, SBA guaranteed and consumer loans; and providing other business-oriented products. Our operations are primarily located in Southern California extending from San Diego County to California's Central Coast; we also operate three banking offices in the San Francisco Bay area, a leasing operation based in Utah, and asset-based lending operations based in Arizona as well as San Jose and Santa Monica, California. The Bank focuses on conducting business with small to medium sized businesses in our marketplace and the owners and employees of those businesses. The majority of our loans are secured by the real estate collateral of such businesses. Our asset-based lending function operates in Arizona, California, Texas, Colorado, Minnesota, and the Pacific Northwest. Our equipment leasing function has lease receivables in 45 states.

We generate our revenue primarily from interest received on loans and leases and, to a lesser extent, from interest received on investment securities, and fees received in connection with deposit services, extending credit and other services offered, including foreign exchange services. Our major operating expenses are the interest paid by the Bank on deposits and borrowings, compensation and general operating expenses. The Bank relies on a foundation of locally generated and relationship-based deposits, with 75 branches located across 10 California counties. The Bank has a relatively low cost of funds due to a high percentage of noninterest-bearing and low cost deposits.

We have completed 26 acquisitions from May 2000 through June 30, 2013, including the acquisition of First California Financial Group, Inc. ("FCAL") on May 31, 2013. Since 2000, our acquisitions have been accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting and accordingly, the operating results of the acquired entities have been included in the condensed consolidated financial statements from their respective acquisition dates. See Note 3, Acquisitions, for more information about the FCAL acquisition and the acquisitions that we made in 2012, and Note 18, Subsequent Events, for information regarding the announcement of the CapitalSource merger.

## Basis of Presentation

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company are in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, which we may refer to as GAAP. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Our financial statements reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary to present a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION (Continued)

Exchange Commission. The interim operating results are not necessarily indicative of operating results for the full year.

## Use of Estimates

Management of the Company has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period to prepare these condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates subject to change in the near term include, among other items, the allowance for credit losses, the carrying value of intangible assets, the carrying value of the FDIC loss sharing asset, and the realization of deferred tax assets.

As described in Note 3 below, we completed the acquisition of FCAL on May 31, 2013. The acquired assets and liabilities of FCAL were measured at their estimated fair values. Management made significant estimates and exercised significant judgment in estimating fair values and accounting for the acquired assets and assumed liabilities of FCAL.

## Reclassifications

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation format. The June 30, 2013 loan tables present non-purchased credit impaired ("Non-PCI") and purchased credit impaired ("PCI") loan categories in addition to covered and non-covered loan information. Previously the loan tables only presented covered and non-covered loan categories.

## NOTE 2 DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

In connection with the acquisition of FCAL, we acquired Electronic Payment Services ("EPS"), a division of the Bank that is being discontinued. The EPS operations are in the process of being exited and consequently the operating results have been reported as discontinued operations. Accordingly, all income and expense related to EPS have been removed from continuing operations and are included in the condensed consolidated statements of earnings under the caption "Loss from discontinued operations." For the three months ended June 30, 2013, revenues and pre-tax loss for the EPS division were $\$ 436,000$ and $\$ 81,000$, respectively. Liabilities of the EPS division, which consist primarily of noninterest-bearing deposits, are included in the condensed consolidated balance sheets under the caption "Discontinued operations." Included in the EPS noninterest-bearing deposits are $\$ 93.2$ million of brokered deposits. For segment reporting purposes, the EPS division is included in our Banking Segment.

## NOTE 3 ACQUISITIONS

We completed the following acquisitions during the time period of January 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013, using the acquisition method of accounting, and accordingly, the operating results of the acquired entities have been included in our condensed consolidated financial statements from their respective dates of acquisition.

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 3 ACQUISITIONS (Continued)

The following balance sheets of the acquired entities are presented at estimated fair value as of their respective acquisition dates:

|  | Acquisition and Date Acquired |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First <br> California Financial Group May 31, 2013 |  | American <br> Perspective Bank August 1, 2012 |  | CelticCapitalCorporationApril 3,2012 |  | Pacific Western Equipment Finance January 3, 2012 |  |
| Assets Acquired: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 6,124 | \$ | 3,370 | \$ | 3,435 | \$ | 7,092 |
| Interest-earning deposits in financial institutions |  | 266,889 |  | 10,081 |  |  |  |  |
| Investment securities available-for-sale |  | 4,444 |  | 48,887 |  |  |  |  |
| FHLB stock |  | 9,518 |  | 1,412 |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and leases |  | 1,049,613 |  | 197,279 |  | 54,963 |  | 140,959 |
| Other real estate owned |  | 13,772 |  | 1,561 |  |  |  |  |
| Premises and equipment |  | 15,437 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FDIC loss sharing asset |  | 18,981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance |  | 13,265 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goodwill |  | 129,517 |  | 15,047 |  | 6,645 |  | 19,033 |
| Core deposit and customer relationship intangibles |  | 7,927 |  | 1,924 |  | 1,300 |  | 1,700 |
| Other intangible assets |  |  |  |  |  | 670 |  | 1,420 |
| Leases in process |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19,162 |
| Other assets |  | 39,910 |  | 4,234 |  | 69 |  | 467 |
| Total assets acquired | \$ | 1,575,397 | \$ | 283,795 | \$ | 67,082 | \$ | 189,833 |
| Liabilities Assumed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | \$ | 361,166 | \$ | 40,673 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Interest-bearing deposits |  | 739,713 |  | 178,891 |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowings from parent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 128,677 |
| Other borrowings |  |  |  | 5,315 |  | 46,804 |  | 15,839 |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 24,061 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discontinued operations |  | 184,619 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities |  | 19,492 |  | 840 |  | 2,278 |  | 10,317 |
| Total liabilities assumed | \$ | 1,329,051 | \$ | 225,719 | \$ | 49,082 | \$ | 154,833 |
| Total consideration paid | \$ | 246,346 | \$ | 58,076 | \$ | 18,000 | \$ | 35,000 |
| Summary of consideration: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid | \$ |  | \$ | 58,076 | \$ | 18,000 | \$ | 35,000 |
| PacWest common stock issued |  | 242,268 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cancellation of FCAL common stock owned by PacWest |  | 4,078 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 246,346 | \$ | 58,076 | \$ | 18,000 | \$ | 35,000 |
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## NOTE 3 ACQUISITIONS (Continued)

## First California Financial Group Acquisition

On May 31, 2013, PacWest Bancorp ("PacWest") completed the acquisition of First California Financial Group, Inc. ("FCAL"). As part of the acquisition, First California Bank ("FCB"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of FCAL, merged with and into Pacific Western. The acquisition, which was first announced on November 6, 2012, was concluded following receipt of shareholder approval from both institutions and all required regulatory approvals.

In the FCAL acquisition, each share of FCAL common stock was converted into the right to receive 0.2966 of a share of PacWest common stock. The exchange ratio was calculated based on the volume-weighted average share price of PacWest common stock for the 20 consecutive trading days ending on the second full trading day prior to the receipt of the last of the regulatory approvals required under the merger agreement. PacWest issued an aggregate of approximately 8.4 million shares of PacWest common stock to FCAL stockholders (which included PacWest common shares issuable in exchange for FCAL's Series A Preferred Stock). In addition, approximately one million shares of FCAL common stock owned by PacWest were cancelled in the transaction. Based on the closing price of PacWest's common stock on May 31, 2013 of $\$ 28.83$ per share, the aggregate consideration paid to FCAL common stockholders, plus the cost of the FCAL shares of common stock cancelled in the merger, was $\$ 246.3$ million.

The integration of FCB systems and the conversion of FCB's branches to PWB's operating platform was completed in June 2013. FCB had 15 branches, eight of which overlapped with existing PWB branches. Six of the FCB branches and two PWB branches were closed as part of the integration and consolidation plan. As a result PWB added seven locations to its branch network.

FCB was a full-service commercial bank headquartered in Westlake Village, California. FCB provided a full range of banking services, including revolving lines of credit, term loans, commercial real estate loans, construction loans, consumer loans and home equity loans to individuals, professionals, and small to mid-sized businesses. FCB operated throughout Southern California in the Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, Ventura, and San Luis Obispo Counties. We made this acquisition to expand our presence in Southern California.

The operations of FCAL are included in our operating results since the May 31, 2013 acquisition date and added revenue of $\$ 4.7$ million, noninterest expense of $\$ 2.3$ million, and net earnings of $\$ 1.4$ million for the second quarter of 2013. Such operating results exclude acquisition and integration costs and are not necessarily indicative of future operating results. FCAL's results of operations prior to the acquisition are not included in our operating results.

The FCAL acquisition has been accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting. The assets and liabilities, both tangible and intangible, were recorded at their estimated fair values as of the May 31, 2013 acquisition date. Such fair values are preliminary estimates and are subject to adjustment for up to one year after the acquisition date or when additional information relative to the closing date fair values becomes available and such information is considered final, whichever is earlier. While the fair values are preliminary we believe there will not be material adjustments to the amounts recorded with the exception of the acquired tax assets, which will be finalized once the final tax returns have been filed. The application of the acquisition method of accounting resulted in goodwill of $\$ 129.5$ million. All of the recognized goodwill is expected to be non-deductible for tax purposes.
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## NOTE 3 ACQUISITIONS (Continued)

## American Perspective Bank Acquisition

On August 1, 2012, Pacific Western completed the acquisition of American Perspective Bank, or APB, previously headquartered in San Luis Obispo, California. Pacific Western acquired all of the outstanding common stock of APB for $\$ 58.1$ million in cash and APB was merged with and into Pacific Western; we refer to this transaction as the APB acquisition. APB operated two branches located in San Luis Obispo and Santa Maria, California, and a loan production office located in Paso Robles, California, which has since been converted to a full-service branch. The APB acquisition strengthens our presence in the Central Coast region.

## Celtic Capital Corporation Acquisition

On April 3, 2012, Pacific Western completed the acquisition of Celtic Capital Corporation, or Celtic, an asset-based lending company based in Santa Monica, California. Pacific Western acquired all of the capital stock of Celtic for $\$ 18$ million in cash and Celtic became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pacific Western; we refer to this transaction as the Celtic acquisition. Celtic focuses on providing asset-based loans to borrowers across the United States for amounts generally up to $\$ 5$ million. The Celtic acquisition diversified our loan portfolio, expanded our product lines, and deployed excess liquidity into higher yielding assets.

## Pacific Western Equipment Finance Acquisition

On January 3, 2012, Pacific Western completed the acquisition of Pacific Western Equipment Finance (formerly known as Marquette Equipment Finance, and which we refer to as EQF), an equipment leasing company based in Midvale, Utah. Pacific Western acquired all of the capital stock of EQF for $\$ 35$ million in cash and EQF became a division of Pacific Western; we refer to this transaction as the EQF acquisition. The EQF acquisition diversified our lending portfolio, expanded our product lines, and deployed excess liquidity into higher yielding assets.

## Unaudited Pro Forma Results of Operations

The following table presents our unaudited pro forma results of operations for the periods presented as if the FCAL acquisition had been completed on January 1, 2012. The unaudited pro forma results of operations include the historical accounts of the Company and FCAL and pro forma adjustments as may be required, including the amortization of intangibles with definite lives and the amortization or accretion of any premiums or discounts arising from fair value adjustments for assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The unaudited pro forma information is intended for informational purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of our future operating results or operating results that would have occurred had the FCAL acquisition been completed at the beginning of 2012. No
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## NOTE 3 ACQUISITIONS (Continued)

assumptions have been applied to the pro forma results of operations regarding possible revenue enhancements, expense efficiencies or asset dispositions.

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands, except per share data) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pro forma revenues (net interest income plus noninterest income) | \$ | 79,377 | \$ | 93,246 | \$ | 164,628 | \$ | 182,473 |
| Pro forma net earnings from continuing operations | \$ | 10,501 | \$ | 19,178 | \$ | 26,778 | \$ | 27,587 |
| Pro forma net earnings from continuing operations per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.58 | \$ | 0.61 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.58 | \$ | 0.61 |

## NOTE 4 GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill arises from the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations and represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets and other identifiable intangible assets acquired. Goodwill and other intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives generated from purchase business combinations are not subject to amortization and are instead tested for impairment no less than annually. Impairment exists when the carrying value of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. An impairment loss would be recognized in an amount equal to that excess and would be included in noninterest expense in the condensed consolidated statement of earnings.

The following table presents the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the period indicated:

|  | Goodwill <br> (In thousands) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | $\$$ | 79,866 |
| Adjustment to APB goodwill |  | $(193)$ |
| Non-tax deductible addition from the FCAL acquisition |  | 129,517 |
| Balance, June 30, 2013 | $\$$ | 209,190 |

Our intangible assets with definite lives are core deposit intangibles, or CDI, and customer relationship intangibles, or CRI. These intangible assets are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values and reviewed for impairment at least quarterly. The amortization expense represents the estimated decline in the value of the underlying deposits or loan customers acquired. The weighted average amortization period for the CDI addition from the FCAL acquisition is 3.9 years. The weighted average amortization period remaining for all of our CDI and CRI is 3.0 years. The aggregate amortization expense related to the intangible assets is expected to be $\$ 5.4$ million for 2013. The estimated aggregate amortization expense related to these intangible assets for each of the next five years is $\$ 5.3$ million for 2014, $\$ 4.8$ million for 2015, $\$ 3.0$ million for 2016, $\$ 1.6$ million for 2017, and $\$ 1.3$ million for 2018.
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## NOTE 4 GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS (Continued)

The following table presents the changes in CDI and CRI and the related accumulated amortization for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2013 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2012 \\ \text { (In thousands) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Gross Amount of CDI and CRI: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 45,412 | \$ | 45,412 | \$ | 60,972 | \$ | 45,412 | \$ | 67,100 |
| Additions |  | 7,927 |  |  |  | 1,300 |  | 7,927 |  | 3,000 |
| Fully amortized portion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(7,828)$ |
| Balance, end of period |  | 53,339 |  | 45,412 |  | 62,272 |  | 53,339 |  | 62,272 |
| Accumulated Amortization: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of period |  | $(31,865)$ |  | $(30,689)$ |  | $(43,592)$ |  | $(30,689)$ |  | $(49,685)$ |
| Amortization |  | $(1,284)$ |  | $(1,176)$ |  | $(1,737)$ |  | $(2,460)$ |  | $(3,472)$ |
| Fully amortized portion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,828 |
| Balance, end of period |  | $(33,149)$ |  | $(31,865)$ |  | $(45,329)$ |  | $(33,149)$ |  | $(45,329)$ |
| Net CDI and CRI, end of period | \$ | 20,190 | \$ | 13,547 | \$ | 16,943 | \$ | 20,190 | \$ | 16,943 |

## NOTE 5 INVESTMENT SECURITIES

## Securities Available-for-Sale

The following tables present amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and carrying value of securities available-for-sale as of the dates indicated:

| Security Type | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Gains |  | san | Gross ealized osses ) | Carrying <br> Value |  |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government agency and government-sponsored enterprise pass through securities | \$ | 726,501 | \$ | 17,608 | \$ | $(1,692)$ | \$ | 742,417 |
| Government agency and government-sponsored enterprise collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 147,580 |  | 722 |  | $(2,982)$ |  | 145,320 |
| Covered private label collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 32,959 |  | 8,094 |  | (136) |  | 40,917 |
| Municipal securities |  | 442,733 |  | 2,394 |  | $(20,953)$ |  | 424,174 |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 83,938 |  | 187 |  | (993) |  | 83,132 |
| Other securities |  | 38,151 |  | 1 |  | (534) |  | 37,618 |
| Total securities available-for-sale | \$ | 1,471,862 | \$ | 29,006 | \$ | $(27,290)$ | \$ | ,473,578 |

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 5 INVESTMENT SECURITIES (Continued)

| Security Type | Amortized Cost |  |  | Decembe Gross nrealized Gains <br> (In tho | 31, | 12 <br> ross <br> alized <br> sses | Carrying <br> Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government agency and government-sponsored enterprise pass through securities | \$ | 774,677 | \$ | 33,618 | \$ | (453) | \$ | 807,842 |
| Government agency and government-sponsored enterprise collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 99,956 |  | 1,870 |  | (132) |  | 101,694 |
| Covered private label collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 36,078 |  | 8,729 |  | (123) |  | 44,684 |
| Municipal securities |  | 339,547 |  | 10,445 |  | $(1,951)$ |  | 348,041 |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 42,014 |  | 432 |  | (81) |  | 42,365 |
| Other securities |  | 6,389 |  | 4,370 |  |  |  | 10,759 |
| Total securities available-for-sale | \$ | 1,298,661 | \$ | 59,464 | \$ | $(2,740)$ | \$ | 1,355,385 |

The covered private label collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMO's") were acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition of Affinity Bank in August 2009 and are covered by a FDIC loss sharing agreement. Other securities consist primarily of asset backed securities and collateralized loan obligations. See Note 11, Fair Value Measurements, for information on fair value measurements and methodology.

The following table presents the contractual maturity distribution of our available-for-sale securities portfolio based on amortized cost and carrying value as of the date indicated:

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { June 30, 2013 } \\ \text { Amortized } \\ \text { Cost }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Carrying } \\ \text { Value }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Maturity |  | (In thousands) |  |$]$

Mortgage-backed securities have contractual terms to maturity, but require periodic payments to reduce principal. In addition, expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because obligors and/or issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

At June 30, 2013, the estimated fair value of residential mortgage-backed debt securities issued by the Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae") and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") that were held in our portfolio was approximately $\$ 769.9$ million. We do not own any equity securities issued by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac.
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## NOTE 5 INVESTMENT SECURITIES (Continued)

As of June 30, 2013, securities available-for-sale with a carrying value of $\$ 208.2$ million were pledged as collateral for borrowings, public deposits and other purposes as required by various statutes and agreements.

There were no securities sold during the three months ended June 30, 2013. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we sold $\$ 12.4$ million in corporate debt securities for which we realized a $\$ 409,000$ gross gain. These securities were sold as part of our investment portfolio risk management activities to reduce price volatility and duration.

The following tables present, for those securities that were in a gross unrealized loss position, the carrying values and the gross unrealized losses on securities by length of time the securities were in an unrealized loss position as of the dates indicated:

| Security Type | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less Than <br> Carrying Value | 12 Months Gross Unrealized Losses | 12 month <br> Carrying Value (In tho | or Longer Gross Unrealized Losses usands) | Carrying Value | al <br> Gross <br> Unrealized Losses |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government agency and government-sponsored enterprise pass through securities | \$ 128,542 | \$ (1,691) | \$ 53 | \$ (1) | \$ 128,595 | \$ (1,692) |
| Government agency and government-sponsored enterprise collateralized mortgage obligations | 100,267 | $(2,979)$ | 1,436 | (3) | 101,703 | $(2,982)$ |
| Covered private label collateralized mortgage obligations | 602 | (31) | 665 | (105) | 1,267 | (136) |
| Municipal securities | 302,174 | $(20,953)$ |  |  | 302,174 | $(20,953)$ |
| Corporate debt securities | 72,146 | (993) |  |  | 72,146 | (993) |
| Other securities | 23,984 | (534) |  |  | 23,984 | (534) |
| Total | \$ 627,715 | \$ $(27,181)$ | \$ 2,154 | \$ (109) | \$ 629,869 | \$ (27,290) |


| Security Type | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less Than <br> arrying <br> Value | 12 | nths ross alized sses |  | nth | Or | ger <br> oss <br> lized <br> ses |  |  |  | ealized osses |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government agency and government-sponsored enterprise pass through securities | \$ | 67,299 | \$ | (452) | \$ | 60 | \$ | (1) | \$ | 67,359 | \$ | (453) |
| Government agency and government-sponsored enterprise collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 18,317 |  | (132) |  |  |  |  |  | 18,317 |  | (132) |
| Covered private label collateralized mortgage obligations |  |  |  |  |  | , 92 |  | (123) |  | 1,692 |  | (123) |
| Municipal securities |  | 90,303 |  | $(1,951)$ |  |  |  |  |  | 90,303 |  | $(1,951)$ |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 16,819 |  | (81) |  |  |  |  |  | 16,819 |  | (81) |
| Total |  | 192,738 |  | $(2,616)$ |  |  | \$ | (124) |  | 194,490 | \$ | $(2,740)$ |
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## NOTE 5 INVESTMENT SECURITIES (Continued)

We reviewed the securities that were in a continuous loss position less than 12 months and longer than 12 months at June 30, 2013, and concluded that their losses were a result of the level of market interest rates relative to the types of securities and not a result of the underlying issuers' ability to repay. Accordingly, we determined that the securities were temporarily impaired and we did not recognize such impairment in the condensed consolidated statements of earnings. Additionally, we have no plans to sell these securities and believe that it is more likely than not we would not be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost.

The following table presents the composition of our interest income on investment securities:

| Securities Interest by Type: | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable interest | \$ | 5,388 | \$ | 5,563 | \$ | 8,365 | \$ | 10,951 | \$ | 16,904 |
| Nontaxable interest |  | 2,716 |  | 2,425 |  | 1,134 |  | 5,141 |  | 2,114 |
| Dividend income |  | 310 |  | 228 |  | 59 |  | 538 |  | 120 |
| Total interest income on investment securities | \$ | 8,414 | \$ | 8,216 | \$ | 9,558 | \$ | 16,630 | \$ | 19,138 |

## FHLB Stock

At June 30, 2013, the Company had a $\$ 39.1$ million investment in Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco ("FHLB") stock carried at cost. During the second quarter of 2013, FHLB stock increased $\$ 5.7$ million due to $\$ 9.5$ million added in the FCAL acquisition offset by $\$ 3.8$ million of redemptions by the FHLB. We evaluated the carrying value of our FHLB stock investment at June 30, 2013, and determined that it was not impaired. Our evaluation considered the long-term nature of the investment, the current financial and liquidity position of the FHLB, repurchase activity of excess stock by the FHLB at its carrying value, the return on the investment, and our intent and ability to hold this investment for a period of time sufficient to recover our recorded investment.

## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES

The Company's loan portfolio consists of (1) purchased credit-impaired ("PCI") loans and (2) non-purchased credit-impaired ("Non-PCI") loans. PCI loans represent acquired loans for which there is, at the acquisition date, evidence of credit deterioration since their origination and it is probable that we would be unable to collect all contractually required payments. Such loans are accounted for in accordance with ASC Subtopic 310-30, "Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality." Non-PCI loans are comprised of originated loans and acquired non-impaired loans for which there is no evidence of credit deterioration at their acquisition date and it is probable that we would be able to collect all contractually required payments. Originated loans are carried at the principal amount outstanding, net of unearned income. Unearned income is recognized as an adjustment to interest income over the contractual life of the loans using the effective interest method or taken into income when the related loans are paid off or sold. The purchase discount on acquired non-impaired loans is recognized as an adjustment to interest income over the contractual life
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## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

of such loans using the effective interest method or taken into income when the related loans are paid off or sold.

We further present our loans by "covered" and "non-covered" loan categories. Covered loans represent loans covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC for which we will be reimbursed for a substantial portion of any future losses under the terms of the agreements. Covered loans also include those loans acquired in the FCAL acquisition as FCB had acquired two failed banks from the FDIC for which the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC remain in effect. Non-covered loans and leases represent loans and leases not covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements.

The following table summarizes the composition of our loan portfolio as of the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-PCI Loans and Leases |  | PCI <br> Loans |  | Total <br> (In thou | an | Non-PCI Loans nd Leases nds) |  | PCI <br> Loans |  | Total |
| Non-covered loans and leases | 3,819,576 | \$ | 19,639 | \$ | 3,839,215 | \$ | 3,049,505 | \$ |  | \$ | 3,049,505 |
| Covered loans | 106,654 |  | 474,750 |  | 581,404 |  | 25,442 |  | 517,885 |  | 543,327 |
| Total gross loans and leases | 3,926,230 |  | 494,389 |  | 4,420,619 |  | 3,074,947 |  | 517,885 |  | 3,592,832 |
| Unearned income | (933) |  |  |  | (933) |  | $(2,535)$ |  |  |  | $(2,535)$ |
| Total loans and leases, net of unearned income | 3,925,297 |  | 494,389 |  | 4,419,686 |  | 3,072,412 |  | 517,885 |  | 3,590,297 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-covered loans and leases | $(63,246)$ |  |  |  | $(63,246)$ |  | $(65,899)$ |  |  |  | $(65,899)$ |
| Covered loans |  |  | $(27,397)$ |  | $(27,397)$ |  |  |  | $(26,069)$ |  | $(26,069)$ |
| Total allowance for loan and lease losses | $(63,246)$ |  | $(27,397)$ |  | $(90,643)$ |  | $(65,899)$ |  | $(26,069)$ |  | $(91,968)$ |
| Total net loans and leases | \$ 3,862,051 | \$ | 466,992 | \$ | 4,329,043 | \$ | 3,006,513 | \$ | 491,816 |  | 3,498,329 |
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## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

The following table presents the composition of our gross loans by portfolio segment as of the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Non-PCI <br> Loans <br> and Leases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PCI } \\ & \text { Loans } \end{aligned}$ |  | Total <br> (In thou | san | Non-PCI <br> Loans and Leases nds) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PCI } \\ \text { Loans } \end{gathered}$ |  | Total |
| Non-Covered Loans and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ | 2,477,066 | \$ | 18,298 | \$ | 2,495,364 | \$ | 1,917,670 | \$ |  | \$ | 1,917,670 |
| Real estate construction |  | 185,888 |  | 1,305 |  | 187,193 |  | 129,959 |  |  |  | 129,959 |
| Commercial |  | 898,973 |  |  |  | 898,973 |  | 787,775 |  |  |  | 787,775 |
| Leases |  | 216,089 |  |  |  | 216,089 |  | 174,373 |  |  |  | 174,373 |
| Consumer |  | 25,487 |  | 36 |  | 25,523 |  | 22,487 |  |  |  | 22,487 |
| Foreign |  | 16,073 |  |  |  | 16,073 |  | 17,241 |  |  |  | 17,241 |
| Total gross non-covered loans and leases | \$ | 3,819,576 | \$ | 19,639 | \$ | 3,839,215 | \$ | 3,049,505 | \$ |  | \$ | 3,049,505 |
| Covered Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ | 81,124 | \$ | 456,114 | \$ | 537,238 | \$ | 20,843 | \$ | 484,057 | \$ | 504,900 |
| Real estate construction |  | 11,888 |  | 14,654 |  | 26,542 |  |  |  | 24,645 |  | 24,645 |
| Commercial |  | 10,536 |  | 3,560 |  | 14,096 |  | 4,113 |  | 9,071 |  | 13,184 |
| Consumer |  | 3,106 |  | 422 |  | 3,528 |  | 486 |  | 112 |  | 598 |
| Total gross covered loans | \$ | 106,654 | \$ | 474,750 | \$ | 581,404 | \$ | 25,442 | \$ | 517,885 | \$ | 543,327 |
| Total Loans and Leases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ | 2,558,190 | \$ | 474,412 | \$ | 3,032,602 | \$ | 1,938,513 | \$ | 484,057 | \$ | 2,422,570 |
| Real estate construction |  | 197,776 |  | 15,959 |  | 213,735 |  | 129,959 |  | 24,645 |  | 154,604 |
| Commercial |  | 909,509 |  | 3,560 |  | 913,069 |  | 791,888 |  | 9,071 |  | 800,959 |
| Leases |  | 216,089 |  |  |  | 216,089 |  | 174,373 |  |  |  | 174,373 |
| Consumer |  | 28,593 |  | 458 |  | 29,051 |  | 22,973 |  | 112 |  | 23,085 |
| Foreign |  | 16,073 |  |  |  | 16,073 |  | 17,241 |  |  |  | 17,241 |
| Total gross loans and leases | \$ | 3,926,230 | \$ | 494,389 | \$ | 4,420,619 | \$ | 3,074,947 | \$ | 517,885 | \$ | 3,592,832 |
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## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

The following tables present a summary of the activity in the allowance for loan and lease losses on Non-PCI loans and leases and PCI loans by portfolio segment for the periods indicated:

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013


| Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 37,265 | \$ | 3,300 | \$ | 21,053 | \$ 2,006 | \$ | 1,494 | \$ | 98 | \$ 65,216 | \$ | 29,303 | \$ 94,519 |
| Charge-offs |  | $(3,237)$ |  |  |  | $(1,370)$ |  |  | (27) |  |  | $(4,634)$ |  | (64) | $(4,698)$ |
| Recoveries |  | 1,336 |  | 12 |  | 1,297 |  |  | 19 |  |  | 2,664 |  |  | 2,664 |
| Provision (negative provision) |  | $(3,560)$ |  | 120 |  | 3,185 | 90 |  | 181 |  | (16) |  |  | $(1,842)$ | $(1,842)$ |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | 31,804 | \$ | 3,432 | \$ | 24,165 | \$ 2,096 | \$ | 1,667 | \$ | 82 | \$ 63,246 | \$ | 27,397 | \$ 90,643 |


|  | Real <br> Estate <br> Mortgage |  | RealEstateConstruction Commercial |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Leases | Consumer |  | Foreign |  | Total <br> Non-PCI |  | Total PCI |  | Total |  |
| Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 38,700 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 3,221 | \$ | 20,661 | \$ | 1,493 | \$ | 1,726 | \$ | 98 | \$ | 65,899 | \$ | 26,069 | \$ | 91,968 |
| Charge-offs |  | $(3,559)$ |  |  |  | $(2,078)$ |  | (114) |  | (36) |  |  |  | $(5,787)$ |  |  |  | $(5,787)$ |
| Recoveries |  | 1,513 |  | 335 |  | 1,704 |  |  |  | 42 |  |  |  | 3,594 |  | 33 |  | 3,627 |
| Provision (negative provision) |  | $(4,850)$ |  | (124) |  | 3,878 |  | 717 |  | (65) |  | (16) |  | (460) |  | 1,295 |  | 835 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | 31,804 |  | 3,432 | \$ | 24,165 | \$ | 2,096 | \$ | 1,667 | \$ | 82 | \$ | 63,246 | \$ | 27,397 | \$ | 90,643 |
| Amount of the allowance applicable to loans and leases: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 3,548 | \$ | 62 | \$ | 7,270 | \$ |  | \$ | 240 | \$ |  | \$ | 11,120 |  |  |  |  |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ | 28,256 | \$ | 3,370 | \$ | 16,895 | \$ | 2,096 | \$ | 1,427 | \$ | 82 | \$ | 52,126 |  |  |  |  |

Acquired with deteriorated credit quality 27,397

Loans and Leases:
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}\text { Ending balance } & \$ 2,558,190 & \$ & 197,776 & \$ & 909,509 & \$ 216,089 & \$ 28,593 & \$ 16,073 & \$ 3,926,230 & \$ 494,389 & \$ 4,420,619\end{array}$

The ending balance of the loan and lease portfolio is composed of loans and leases:
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}\text { Individually evaluated for impairment } & \$ & 96,293 & \$ & 14,966 & \$ & 23,031 & \$ & 244 & \$ & 698 & \$ & & \$ & 135,232\end{array}$
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Collectively evaluated for impairment $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\$ 2,461,897 & \$ 182,810 & \$ 886,478 & \$ 215,845 & \$ 27,895 & \$ 16,073 & \$ 3,790,998\end{array}$

Acquired with deteriorated credit quality
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## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

|  | Real <br> Estate <br> Mortgage |  | Three <br> Real <br> Estate <br> ConstructionCommercial |  |  |  | Leases |  | Consumer <br> thousands) |  | Foreign |  | Total <br> Non-PCI |  | Total PCI | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 42,210 | \$ | 6,475 | \$ | 23,556 | \$ | 458 | \$ | 1,908 | \$ | 160 | \$ | 74,767 | \$ 35,810 | \$ 110,577 |
| Charge-offs |  | $(2,583)$ |  |  |  | $(1,352)$ |  |  |  | (34) |  |  |  | $(3,969)$ | $(4,076)$ | $(8,045)$ |
| Recoveries |  | 43 |  | 14 |  | 190 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  | 263 |  | 263 |
| Provision (negative provision) |  | 2,566 |  | (993) |  | (415) |  | 40 |  | (155) |  | (43) |  | 1,000 | (271) | 729 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | 42,236 | \$ | 5,496 | \$ | 21,979 | \$ | 498 | \$ | 1,735 | \$ | 117 | \$ | 72,061 | \$ 31,463 | \$ 103,524 |
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## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

## Loans and Leases, Excluding PCI Loans

The following table presents the composition of loans and leases (excluding PCI loans), which we also refer to as Non-PCI loans and leases, by portfolio segment as of the dates indicated:


As of May 31, 2013, the fair value of the FCAL Non-PCI loans acquired was $\$ 1.0$ billion, the related gross contractual amount was $\$ 1.3$ billion, and the estimated contractual cash flows not expected to be collected was $\$ 34.4$ million.

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
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## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

The following table presents the credit risk rating categories for Non-PCI loans and leases by portfolio segment and class as of the dates indicated. Nonclassified loans and leases are those with a credit risk rating of either pass or special mention, while classified loans and leases are those with a credit risk rating of either substandard or doubtful.

|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | 186,728 | \$ | 5,685 | \$ | 192,413 | \$ | 168,489 | \$ | 12,655 | \$ | 181,144 |
| SBA 504 |  | 49,826 |  | 5,765 |  | 55,591 |  | 48,372 |  | 5,786 |  | 54,158 |
| Other |  | 2,244,304 |  | 65,882 |  | 2,310,186 |  | 1,653,446 |  | 49,765 |  | 1,703,211 |
| Total real estate mortgage |  | 2,480,858 |  | 77,332 |  | 2,558,190 |  | 1,870,307 |  | 68,206 |  | 1,938,513 |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 56,161 |  | 1,775 |  | 57,936 |  | 46,591 |  | 2,038 |  | 48,629 |
| Commercial |  | 131,417 |  | 8,423 |  | 139,840 |  | 77,503 |  | 3,827 |  | 81,330 |
| Total real estate construction |  | 187,578 |  | 10,198 |  | 197,776 |  | 124,094 |  | 5,865 |  | 129,959 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 499,198 |  | 25,842 |  | 525,040 |  | 442,293 |  | 14,802 |  | 457,095 |
| Unsecured |  | 98,856 |  | 2,890 |  | 101,746 |  | 67,133 |  | 2,905 |  | 70,038 |
| Asset-based |  | 249,834 |  | 4,247 |  | 254,081 |  | 235,075 |  | 4,355 |  | 239,430 |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 22,666 |  | 5,976 |  | 28,642 |  | 18,888 |  | 6,437 |  | 25,325 |
| Total commercial |  | 870,554 |  | 38,955 |  | 909,509 |  | 763,389 |  | 28,499 |  | 791,888 |
| Leases |  | 215,845 |  | 244 |  | 216,089 |  | 174,129 |  | 244 |  | 174,373 |
| Consumer |  | 27,141 |  | 1,452 |  | 28,593 |  | 21,733 |  | 1,240 |  | 22,973 |
| Foreign |  | 16,073 |  |  |  | 16,073 |  | 17,241 |  |  |  | 17,241 |
| Total Non-PCI loans and leases | \$ | 3,798,049 | \$ | 128,181 | \$ | 3,926,230 | \$ | 2,970,893 | \$ | 104,054 | \$ | 3,074,947 |

In addition to our internal risk rating process, our federal and state banking regulators, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's loan risk rating classifications. Our regulators may require the Company to recognize rating downgrades based on their judgments related to information available to them at the time of their examinations. Risk rating downgrades generally result in higher allowances for credit losses.
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## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

The following tables present an aging analysis of our Non-PCI loans and leases by portfolio segment and class as of the dates indicated:

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -59 \\ & \text { ays } \\ & \text { t Due } \end{aligned}$ |  | 60-89 <br> Days <br> Past Due |  | June <br> Greater Than 90 Days Past Due <br> (In th | ou | 3, 2013 <br> Total Past Due usands) |  | Current |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 192,413 | \$ | 192,413 |
| SBA 504 |  |  |  | 929 |  | 1,836 |  | 2,765 |  | 52,826 |  | 55,591 |
| Other |  | 1,793 |  | 2,717 |  | 12,296 |  | 16,806 |  | 2,293,380 |  | 2,310,186 |
| Total real estate mortgage |  | 1,793 |  | 3,646 |  | 14,132 |  | 19,571 |  | 2,538,619 |  | 2,558,190 |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57,936 |  | 57,936 |
| Commercial |  |  |  | 555 |  |  |  | 555 |  | 139,285 |  | 139,840 |
| Total real estate construction |  |  |  | 555 |  |  |  | 555 |  | 197,221 |  | 197,776 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 1,277 |  | 422 |  | 6,877 |  | 8,576 |  | 516,464 |  | 525,040 |
| Unsecured |  | 131 |  | 975 |  | 1,390 |  | 2,496 |  | 99,250 |  | 101,746 |
| Asset-based |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 254,081 |  | 254,081 |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 200 |  | 604 |  | 510 |  | 1,314 |  | 27,328 |  | 28,642 |
| Total commercial |  | 1,608 |  | 2,001 |  | 8,777 |  | 12,386 |  | 897,123 |  | 909,509 |
| Leases |  |  |  |  |  | 244 |  | 244 |  | 215,845 |  | 216,089 |
| Consumer |  | 104 |  |  |  |  |  | 104 |  | 28,489 |  | 28,593 |
| Foreign |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16,073 |  | 16,073 |
| Total Non-PCI loans and leases | \$ | 3,505 | \$ | 6,202 | \$ | 23,153 | \$ | \$ 32,860 | \$ | 3,893,370 | \$ | 3,926,230 |

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had no loans and leases (excluding PCI loans) that were greater than 90 days past due and still accruing interest. It is the Company's policy to discontinue accruing interest when principal or interest payments are past due 90 days or when, in the opinion of management, there is a reasonable doubt as to the collectability of a loan or lease in the normal course of business. At June 30, 2013, nonaccrual loans and leases totaled $\$ 51.7$ million. Nonaccrual loans and leases include $\$ 4.7$ million of loans 30 to 89 days past due and $\$ 23.9$ million of
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## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

current loans which have been placed on nonaccrual status based on management's judgment regarding their collectability.

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{0 - 5 9} \\ & \text { Days } \\ & \text { st Due } \end{aligned}$ |  | 60-89 Days Past Due |  | Decem Greater Than 0 Days ast Due <br> (In | Per | $\text { 31, } 2012$ <br> Total Past Due usands) |  | Current |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 181,144 | \$ | 181,144 |
| SBA 504 |  | 955 |  |  |  | 1,727 |  | 2,682 |  | 51,476 |  | 54,158 |
| Other |  | 4,098 |  | 54 |  | 3,271 |  | 7,423 |  | 1,695,788 |  | 1,703,211 |
| Total real estate mortgage |  | 5,053 |  | 54 |  | 4,998 |  | 10,105 |  | 1,928,408 |  | 1,938,513 |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48,629 |  | 48,629 |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  | 1,245 |  | 1,245 |  | 80,085 |  | 81,330 |
| Total real estate construction |  |  |  |  |  | 1,245 |  | 1,245 |  | 128,714 |  | 129,959 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 964 |  | 161 |  | 872 |  | 1,997 |  | 455,098 |  | 457,095 |
| Unsecured |  | 3 |  | 135 |  | 230 |  | 368 |  | 69,670 |  | 70,038 |
| Asset-based |  |  |  |  |  | 176 |  | 176 |  | 239,254 |  | 239,430 |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 281 |  | 547 |  | 1,271 |  | 2,099 |  | 23,226 |  | 25,325 |
| Total commercial |  | 1,248 |  | 843 |  | 2,549 |  | 4,640 |  | 787,248 |  | 791,888 |
| Leases |  | 225 |  | 132 |  | 244 |  | 601 |  | 173,772 |  | 174,373 |
| Consumer |  | 23 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 24 |  | 22,949 |  | 22,973 |
| Foreign |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17,241 |  | 17,241 |
| Total Non-PCI loans and leases | \$ | 6,549 | \$ | 1,030 | \$ | 9,036 | \$ | 16,615 | \$ | 3,058,332 | \$ | 3,074,947 |

Nonaccrual loans totaled $\$ 41.8$ million at December 31, 2012, including $\$ 4.2$ million of loans 30 to 89 days past due and $\$ 28.6$ million of current loans.
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## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

The following table presents our nonaccrual and performing Non-PCI loans and leases by portfolio segment and class as of the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | \$ 192,413 | \$ | 192,413 | \$ | 6,908 | \$ | 174,236 | \$ | 181,144 |
| SBA 504 | 3,007 | 52,584 |  | 55,591 |  | 2,982 |  | 51,176 |  | 54,158 |
| Other | 26,093 | 2,284,093 |  | 2,310,186 |  | 16,585 |  | 1,686,626 |  | 1,703,211 |
| Total real estate mortgage | 29,100 | 2,529,090 |  | 2,558,190 |  | 26,475 |  | 1,912,038 |  | 1,938,513 |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | 834 | 57,102 |  | 57,936 |  | 1,057 |  | 47,572 |  | 48,629 |
| Commercial | 2,938 | 136,902 |  | 139,840 |  | 2,715 |  | 78,615 |  | 81,330 |
| Total real estate construction | 3,772 | 194,004 |  | 197,776 |  | 3,772 |  | 126,187 |  | 129,959 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized | 13,441 | 511,599 |  | 525,040 |  | 4,462 |  | 452,633 |  | 457,095 |
| Unsecured | 1,583 | 100,163 |  | 101,746 |  | 2,027 |  | 68,011 |  | 70,038 |
| Asset-based |  | 254,081 |  | 254,081 |  | 176 |  | 239,254 |  | 239,430 |
| SBA 7(a) | 3,052 | 25,590 |  | 28,642 |  | 4,181 |  | 21,144 |  | 25,325 |
| Total commercial | 18,076 | 891,433 |  | 909,509 |  | 10,846 |  | 781,042 |  | 791,888 |
| Leases | 244 | 215,845 |  | 216,089 |  | 244 |  | 174,129 |  | 174,373 |
| Consumer | 497 | 28,096 |  | 28,593 |  | 425 |  | 22,548 |  | 22,973 |
| Foreign |  | 16,073 |  | 16,073 |  |  |  | 17,241 |  | 17,241 |
| Total Non-PCI loans and leases | \$ 51,689 | \$ 3,874,541 | \$ | 3,926,230 | \$ | 41,762 | \$ | 3,033,185 | \$ | 3,074,947 |
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## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

Nonaccrual loans and leases and performing restructured loans are considered impaired for reporting purposes. The following table presents the composition of our impaired loans and leases as of the dates indicated:

|  | Nonaccrual <br> Loans/Leases |  | June 30, 2013 <br> Performing <br> Restructured <br> Loans |  | Total Impaired Loans/Leases (In thou |  | $\begin{aligned} & \quad \begin{array}{l} \text { De } \\ \text { naccrual } \\ \text { ans/Leases } \\ \text { nds) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | ecember 31, 20 <br> Performing Restructured Loans |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \text { Total } \\ \text { Impaired } \\ \text { Loans/Leases } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ | 29,100 | \$ | 67,193 | \$ | 96,293 | \$ | 26,475 | \$ | 80,723 | \$ | 107,198 |
| Real estate construction |  | 3,772 |  | 11,194 |  | 14,966 |  | 3,772 |  | 21,678 |  | 25,450 |
| Commercial |  | 18,076 |  | 4,955 |  | 23,031 |  | 10,846 |  | 3,684 |  | 14,530 |
| Leases |  | 244 |  |  |  | 244 |  | 244 |  |  |  | 244 |
| Consumer |  | 497 |  | 201 |  | 698 |  | 425 |  | 203 |  | 628 |
| Total | \$ | 51,689 | \$ | 83,543 | \$ | 135,232 | \$ | 41,762 | \$ | 106,288 | \$ | 148,050 |
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## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

The following tables present information regarding our impaired loans and leases (excluding PCI loans) by portfolio segment and class for the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recorded <br> Investment |  | Unpaid <br> Principal <br> Balance |  | Related Allowance |  | Recorded | ecorded vestment <br> ds) | Unpaid <br> Principal <br> Balance |  | Related <br> Allowance |  |
| With An Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | 8,779 | \$ | 9,621 | \$ | 378 | \$ | 8,954 | \$ | 9,640 | \$ | 2,396 |
| SBA 504 |  | 1,659 |  | 1,659 |  | 234 |  | 1,676 |  | 1,676 |  | 324 |
| Other |  | 51,327 |  | 51,908 |  | 2,936 |  | 58,364 |  | 60,262 |  | 5,107 |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 393 |  | 393 |  | 54 |  | 1,303 |  | 1,330 |  | 165 |
| Commercial |  | 8,540 |  | 8,540 |  | 8 |  | 6,723 |  | 6,723 |  | 206 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 4,648 |  | 4,892 |  | 4,697 |  | 2,477 |  | 2,731 |  | 1,865 |
| Unsecured |  | 2,062 |  | 3,334 |  | 1,719 |  | 2,396 |  | 3,121 |  | 2,234 |
| Asset-based |  | 2,032 |  | 2,032 |  | 604 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 1,094 |  | 1,097 |  | 250 |  | 2,871 |  | 3,616 |  | 426 |
| Consumer |  | 436 |  | 476 |  | 240 |  | 466 |  | 506 |  | 265 |
| With No Related Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SBA 504 | \$ | 3,007 | \$ | 3,848 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,982 | \$ | 3,755 | \$ |  |
| Other |  | 31,521 |  | 46,887 |  |  |  | 35,222 |  | 39,503 |  |  |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 441 |  | 474 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | 5,592 |  | 9,948 |  |  |  | 17,424 |  | 21,085 |  |  |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 9,724 |  | 17,147 |  |  |  | 3,657 |  | 4,994 |  |  |
| Unsecured |  | 259 |  | 357 |  |  |  | 156 |  | 163 |  |  |
| Asset-based |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 176 |  | 176 |  |  |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 3,212 |  | 4,968 |  |  |  | 2,797 |  | 4,057 |  |  |
| Leases |  | 244 |  | 244 |  |  |  | 244 |  | 244 |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 262 |  | 372 |  |  |  | 162 |  | 233 |  |  |
| Total Non-PCI Loans and Leases With and Without An Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ | 96,293 | \$ | 113,923 | \$ | 3,548 | \$ | 107,198 | \$ | 114,836 | \$ | 7,827 |
| Real estate construction |  | 14,966 |  | 19,355 |  | 62 |  | 25,450 |  | 29,138 |  | 371 |
| Commercial |  | 23,031 |  | 33,827 |  | 7,270 |  | 14,530 |  | 18,858 |  | 4,525 |
| Leases |  | 244 |  | 244 |  |  |  | 244 |  | 244 |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 698 |  | 848 |  | 240 |  | 628 |  | 739 |  | 265 |
| Total | \$ | 135,232 | \$ | 168,197 | \$ | 11,120 | \$ | 148,050 | \$ | 163,815 | \$ | 12,988 |
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## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Recorded Investment ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Interest Income Recognized |  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Recorded <br> Investment ${ }^{(1)}$ <br> sands) |  | Interest Income Recognized |  |  |
| With An Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | 8,779 | \$ | 226 | \$ | 9,144 |  | \$ | 21 |
| SBA 504 |  | 1,659 |  | 23 |  | 563 |  |  | 7 |
| Other |  | 51,327 |  | 513 |  | 54,310 |  |  | 536 |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 393 |  |  |  | 1,289 |  |  | 3 |
| Commercial |  | 8,540 |  | 87 |  | 13,528 |  |  | 98 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 3,804 |  | 10 |  | 4,101 |  |  | 15 |
| Unsecured |  | 2,062 |  | 8 |  | 2,348 |  |  | 4 |
| Asset-based |  | 223 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 1,094 |  | 12 |  | 2,666 |  |  | 39 |
| Consumer |  | 436 |  | 3 |  | 276 |  |  |  |
| With No Related Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 6,200 |  | \$ |  |
| SBA 504 |  | 2,935 |  |  |  | 1,873 |  |  |  |
| Other |  | 25,041 |  | 133 |  | 30,358 |  |  | 32 |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 441 |  |  |  | 778 |  |  | 17 |
| Commercial |  | 4,568 |  | (59) |  | 16,187 |  |  | 139 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 4,266 |  |  |  | 7,199 |  |  | 7 |
| Unsecured |  | 179 |  |  |  | 640 |  |  |  |
| Asset-based |  |  |  |  |  | 174 |  |  |  |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 2,872 |  | 5 |  | 5,686 |  |  | 27 |
| Leases |  | 244 |  |  |  | 244 |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 173 |  |  |  | 219 |  |  |  |
| Total Non-PCI Loans and Leases With and Without An Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ | 89,741 | \$ | 895 | \$ | 102,448 |  | \$ | 596 |
| Real estate construction |  | 13,942 |  | 28 |  | 31,782 |  |  | 257 |
| Commercial |  | 14,500 |  | 40 |  | 22,814 |  |  | 92 |
| Leases |  | 244 |  |  |  | 244 |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 609 |  | 3 |  | 495 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 119,036 | \$ | 966 | \$ | 157,783 |  | \$ | 945 |

(1)

For the loans and leases (excluding PCI loans) reported as impaired at June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, amounts were calculated based on the period of time such loans and leases were impaired during the reporting period.
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## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weighted Average Recorded nvestment ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Interest <br> Income <br> Recognized <br> (In tho | H | eighted verage corded stment ${ }^{(1)}$ s) |  | rest me nized |
| With An Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | 8,779 | \$ | 247 | \$ | 9,144 | \$ | 36 |
| SBA 504 |  | 1,659 |  | 45 |  | 352 |  | 5 |
| Other |  | 50,276 |  | 1,010 |  | 52,640 |  | 1,062 |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 393 |  |  |  | 1,289 |  | 5 |
| Commercial |  | 8,540 |  | 179 |  | 13,528 |  | 205 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 2,795 |  | 18 |  | 3,804 |  | 28 |
| Unsecured |  | 2,062 |  | 17 |  | 2,341 |  | 10 |
| Asset-based |  | 112 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 1,094 |  | 23 |  | 2,652 |  | 60 |
| Consumer |  | 436 |  | 5 |  | 276 |  |  |
| With No Related Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | 6,200 | \$ |  |
| SBA 504 |  | 2,911 |  |  |  | 1,873 |  |  |
| Other |  | 20,777 |  | 190 |  | 29,861 |  | 646 |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 441 |  |  |  | 778 |  | 33 |
| Commercial |  | 4,317 |  | (29) |  | 15,878 |  | 257 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 2,773 |  |  |  | 7,097 |  | 13 |
| Unsecured |  | 160 |  |  |  | 640 |  |  |
| Asset-based |  |  |  |  |  | 87 |  |  |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 2,782 |  | 11 |  | 5,670 |  | 30 |
| Leases |  | 244 |  |  |  | 203 |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 163 |  |  |  | 219 |  |  |
| Total Non-PCI Loans and Leases With and Without An Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ | 84,402 | \$ | 1,492 | \$ | 100,070 | \$ | 1,749 |
| Real estate construction |  | 13,691 |  | 150 |  | 31,473 |  | 500 |
| Commercial |  | 11,778 |  | 74 |  | 22,291 |  | 141 |
| Leases |  | 244 |  |  |  | 203 |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 599 |  | 5 |  | 495 |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 110,714 | \$ | 1,721 | \$ | 154,532 | \$ | 2,390 |

[^1]For the loans and leases (excluding PCI loans) reported as impaired at June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, amounts were calculated based on the period of time such loans and leases were impaired during the reporting period.
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## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

The following tables present new troubled debt restructurings and defaulted troubled debt restructurings (excluding PCI loans) for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Loans } \end{gathered}$ | 2013 Pre- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post- <br> Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment (Dollars in | Number of Loans thousands) | 2012 PreModification Outstanding Recorded Investment |  | ostfication anding orded stment |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | \$ | \$ | 1 | \$ 1,446 | \$ | 1,446 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized | 3 | 3,518 | 3,518 | 3 | 568 |  | 568 |
| Unsecured | 2 | 398 | 398 | 2 | 23 |  | 23 |
| Asset-based | 1 | 2,032 | 2,032 |  |  |  |  |
| SBA 7(a) | 4 | 137 | 137 | 1 | 120 |  | 120 |
| Consumer | 1 | 14 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 11 | \$ 6,099 | \$ 6,099 | 7 | \$ 2,157 | \$ | 2,157 |


|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Loans } \end{gathered}$ | 2013 <br> Pre- <br> Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post- <br> Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment (Dollars in |  | 2012 PreModification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post- <br> Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SBA 504 |  | \$ | \$ | 1 | \$ 563 | 563 |
| Other | 5 | 13,223 | 13,223 |  |  |  |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  | 1 | 1,446 | 1,446 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized | 4 | 3,913 | 3,913 | 5 | 1,174 | 1,174 |
| Unsecured | 2 | 398 | 398 | 3 | 38 | 38 |
| Asset-based | 1 | 2,032 | 2,032 |  |  |  |
| SBA 7(a) | 4 | 137 | 137 | 2 | 229 | 229 |
| Consumer | 1 | 14 | 14 |  |  |  |

Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q
Total
$\begin{array}{lllllll}17 & \$ & 19,717 & \$ & 19,717 & 12 & \$\end{array}$
\$ 3,450

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)


(1)

Represents the balance at June 30, 2013 and is net of charge-offs of $\$ 1.1$ million.
(2)

Represents the balance at June 30, 2012 and is net of charge-offs of $\$ 531,000$.
(3)

The population of defaulted restructured loans for the period indicated includes only those loans restructured during the preceding 12-month period. The table excludes defaulted troubled debt restructurings in those classes for which the recorded investment was zero at the end of the period.

(1)

Represents the balance at June 30, 2013 and is net of charge-offs of $\$ 1.1$ million.
(2)

Represents the balance at June 30, 2012 and is net of charge-offs of \$855,000.

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

(3)

The population of defaulted restructured loans for the period indicated includes only those loans restructured during the preceding 12-month period. The table excludes defaulted troubled debt restructurings in those classes for which the recorded investment was zero at the end of the period.

## Purchased Credit Impaired (PCI) Loans

The following table reflects the PCI loans by portfolio segment as of the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  | December 31, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mount | $\%$ of <br> Total | Amount | $\%$ of Total |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ | 474,412 | 96\% \$ | \$ 484,057 | 93\% |
| Real estate construction |  | 15,959 | 3 | 24,645 | 5 |
| Commercial |  | 3,560 | 1 | 9,071 |  |
| Consumer |  | 458 |  | 112 |  |
| Total gross PCI loans |  | 494,389 | 100\% | 517,885 | 100\% |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | $(27,397)$ |  | $(26,069)$ |  |
| Total net PCI loans | \$ | 466,992 |  | \$ 491,816 |  |

The following table summarizes the accretable yield on the purchased credit impaired loans acquired in the FCAL acquisition and accounted for as acquired impaired loans in accordance with ASC Subtopic 310-30, "Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality" as of May 31, 2013:
$\left.\begin{array}{l|rrrr} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { May 31, 2013 } \\ \text { Accretable Yield } \\ \text { Non-Covered } \\ \text { PCI Loans }\end{array} & \text { Total } \\ \text { (In thousands) }\end{array}\right]$
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## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 6 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

The following table summarizes the changes in the carrying amount of PCI loans and accretable yield on those loans for the period indicated:

|  |  | Purchas <br> Impaire <br> Carrying Amount <br> (In tho | d | redit <br> ans <br> ccretable Yield <br> ds) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Covered PCI Loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | \$ | 491,816 | \$ | $(196,022)$ |
| Addition from the FCAL acquisition |  | 24,341 |  | $(2,424)$ |
| Accretion |  | 20,297 |  | 20,297 |
| Payments received |  | $(87,806)$ |  |  |
| Decrease in expected cash flows, net |  |  |  | 9,174 |
| Provision for credit losses |  | $(1,295)$ |  |  |
| Balance, June 30, 2013 | \$ | 447,353 | \$ | $(168,975)$ |
| Non-Covered PCI Loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Addition from the FCAL acquisition |  | 19,805 |  | $(5,672)$ |
| Accretion |  | 99 |  | 99 |
| Payments received |  | (265) |  |  |
| Balance, June 30, 2013 | \$ | 19,639 | \$ | $(5,573)$ |

The following table presents the credit risk rating categories for PCI loans by portfolio segment as of the dates indicated. Nonclassified loans are those with a credit risk rating of either pass or special mention, while classified loans are those with a credit risk rating of either substandard or doubtful.

|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Nonclassified | Classified | Total | Nonclassified <br> (In thousands) | Classified |  |  | Total

In addition to our internal risk rating process, our federal and state banking regulators, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's loan risk rating classifications. Our regulators may require the Company to recognize rating downgrades based on their judgments related to information available to them at the time of their examinations.

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 7 OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED (OREO)

The following tables summarize OREO by property type at the dates indicated:

| Property Type | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Covered OREO |  | Covered OREO |  | Total OREO |  | Non-Covered OREO |  | Covered OREO |  | Total OREO |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate | \$ | 9,743 | \$ | 8,679 | \$ | 18,422 | \$ | 1,684 | \$ | 11,635 | \$ | 13,319 |
| Construction and land |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| development |  | 33,050 |  | 6,306 |  | 39,356 |  | 31,888 |  | 6,708 |  | 38,596 |
| Multi-family |  |  |  | 3,807 |  | 3,807 |  |  |  | 4,239 |  | 4,239 |
| Single family residence |  | 2,639 |  | 322 |  | 2,961 |  |  |  | 260 |  | 260 |
| Total OREO, net | \$ | 45,432 | \$ | 19,114 | \$ | 64,546 | \$ | 33,572 |  | 22,842 | \$ | 56,414 |

The following table presents a rollforward of OREO, net of the valuation allowance, for the periods indicated:

|  | Non-Covered OREO |  | Covered OREO |  | Total OREO |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| OREO Activity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | \$ | 33,572 | \$ | 22,842 | \$ | 56,414 |
| Foreclosures |  | 3,500 |  | 1,480 |  | 4,980 |
| Provision for losses |  | (92) |  | $(1,093)$ |  | $(1,185)$ |
| Reductions related to sales |  | $(1,019)$ |  | $(5,918)$ |  | $(6,937)$ |
| Balance, March 31, 2013 |  | 35,961 |  | 17,311 |  | 53,272 |
| Addition from the FCAL acquisition |  | 10,092 |  | 3,680 |  | 13,772 |
| Foreclosures |  | 1,035 |  | 3,075 |  | 4,110 |
| Payments to third parties ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 14 |  |  |  | 14 |
| Provision for losses |  |  |  | (292) |  | (292) |
| Reductions related to sales |  | $(1,670)$ |  | $(4,660)$ |  | $(6,330)$ |
| Balance, June 30, 2013 | \$ | 45,432 | \$ | 19,114 | \$ | 64,546 |

## (1)

Represents amounts due to participants and for guarantees, property taxes or other prior lien positions.

## NOTE 8 FDIC LOSS SHARING ASSET

The FDIC loss sharing asset relates to assets covered by the loss sharing agreements between the Bank and the FDIC arising from the acquisitions of Los Padres Bank and Affinity Bank and, through the FCAL acquisition, the assumption of the loss sharing agreements between First California Bank and the FDIC arising from FCB's acquisition of Western Commercial Bank ("Western Commercial") and San Luis Trust Bank ("San Luis"). The FDIC loss sharing asset related to Western Commercial and San Luis was measured at its fair value as of May 31, 2013 in conjunction with the FCAL acquisition. The FDIC loss sharing asset related to Los Padres and Affinity was measured at its estimated fair value at the respective acquisition dates.

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 8 FDIC LOSS SHARING ASSET (Continued)

An increase in the expected amount of losses on the covered assets will increase the FDIC loss sharing asset; such increase is recognized through a credit to FDIC loss sharing income. Recoveries on previous losses paid to us by the FDIC reduce the FDIC loss sharing asset by a charge to FDIC loss sharing income. In addition, decreases in the expected amount of losses on covered assets will decrease the amount of funds expected to be collected from the FDIC and will therefore reduce the FDIC loss sharing asset through higher prospective amortization expense. The FDIC loss sharing asset is being amortized to its estimated value over the lesser of the term of the loss sharing agreements or the remaining contractual life of the assets covered by the loss sharing agreements.

The following table presents the changes in the FDIC loss sharing asset for the period indicated:
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lcc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { FDIC } \\
\text { Loss Sharing } \\
\text { Asset }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(In thousands)\end{array}\right]\)|  | $\$ 7,475$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | 18,981 |  |
| Addition from the FCAL acquisition | 5,392 |  |
| FDIC share of additional losses, net of recoveries | $(3,108)$ |  |
| Cash received from FDIC | $(11,747)$ |  |
| Net amortization |  |  |
|  | $\$$ | 66,993 |

## NOTE 9 BORROWINGS, SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES AND BROKERED DEPOSITS

## Borrowings

The following table summarizes our borrowings outstanding as of the dates indicated:


As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, our borrowings consisted of non-recourse debt relating to the payment stream of certain leases sold to third parties. The debt is secured by the equipment in the leases and all interest rates are fixed. As of June 30, 2013, the weighted average maturity of the debt was 2.2 years.

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were no outstanding FHLB advances. Our aggregate remaining borrowing capacity under the FHLB secured borrowing lines was $\$ 1.4$ billion at June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2013, our FHLB advances facility was secured by: (1) a blanket lien on certain qualifying loans in our loan portfolio, which were not pledged to the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco ("FRBSF"), and (2) available-for-sale securities with a carrying value of $\$ 12.8$ million. Additionally, the Bank had secured borrowing capacity from the FRBSF of $\$ 489.9$ million at June 30, 2013, secured by $\$ 612.2$ million of certain qualifying loans. As of June 30, 2013, the Bank also had unsecured lines of credit of $\$ 80.0$ million with correspondent banks for the purchase of overnight funds; these lines are subject to availability of funds.
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## NOTE 9 BORROWINGS, SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES AND BROKERED DEPOSITS (Continued)

## Subordinated Debentures

The following table summarizes the terms of each issuance of the subordinated debentures outstanding as of the dates indicated:

| Series | June 30, 2013 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | Date Issued | Maturity Date | Rate Index | Next Reset Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | Rate ${ }^{(1)}$ <br> ollars in tho | Amount <br> ousands) | Rate ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Trust V | \$ | 10,310 | 3.37\% \$ | \$ 10,310 | 3.41\% | 8/15/03 | 9/17/33 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { month } \\ & \text { LIBOR }+3.10 \end{aligned}$ | 9/13/13 |
| Trust VI |  | 10,310 | 3.32\% | 10,310 | 3.36\% | 9/3/03 | 9/15/33 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { month } \\ & \text { LIBOR + } 3.05 \end{aligned}$ | 9/12/13 |
| Trust CII |  | 5,155 | 3.22\% | 5,155 | 3.26\% | 9/17/03 | 9/17/33 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { month } \\ & \text { LIBOR + } 2.95 \end{aligned}$ | 9/13/13 |
| Trust VII |  | 61,856 | 3.02\% | 61,856 | 3.05\% | 2/5/04 | 4/23/34 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { month } \\ & \text { LIBOR + } 2.75 \end{aligned}$ | 10/28/13 |
| Trust CIII |  | 20,619 | 1.96\% | 20,619 | 2.00\% | 8/15/05 | 9/15/35 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { month } \\ & \text { LIBOR + } 1.69 \end{aligned}$ | 9/12/13 |
| Trust $\mathrm{FCCI}^{(3)}$ |  | 16,495 | 1.87\% |  |  | 1/25/07 | 3/15/37 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { month } \\ & \text { LIBOR + } 1.60 \end{aligned}$ | 9/12/13 |
| Trust FCBI ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | 10,310 | 1.82\% |  |  | 9/30/05 | 12/15/35 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { month } \\ & \text { LIBOR + } 1.55 \end{aligned}$ | 9/12/13 |
| Gross subordinated debentures |  | 135,055 |  | 108,250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unamortized discount ${ }^{(4)}$ |  | $(2,697)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net subordinated debentures |  | 132,358 |  | \$ 108,250 |  |  |  |  |  |

(1)

As of July 26, 2013.
(2)

As of January 28, 2013.
(3)

Acquired in the FCAL acquisition.
(4)

Amount represents the fair value adjustment on trusts acquired in the FCAL acquisition.

The Company had an aggregate amount of $\$ 132.4$ million in subordinated debentures outstanding at June 30, 2013. With the FCAL acquisition, we added $\$ 24.1$ million of subordinated debentures, net of a $\$ 2.7$ million discount. These subordinated debentures were issued in
separate series and each issuance had a maturity of thirty years from its date of issue. The subordinated debentures are variable-rate instruments and are each callable at par with no prepayment penalty. The subordinated debentures were issued to trusts established by us or entities we have acquired, which in turn issued trust preferred securities, which totaled $\$ 131.0$ million at June 30, 2013. The proceeds of the subordinated debentures were used primarily to fund several of our acquisitions and to augment regulatory capital.

Interest payments made by the Company on subordinated debentures are considered dividend payments under the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("FRB") regulations. Bank holding companies, such as PacWest Bancorp, are required to notify the FRB prior to declaring and paying a dividend to stockholders during any period in which quarterly and/or cumulative twelve-month net earnings are insufficient to fund the dividend amount, among other requirements. We are not required to make such notification to the FRB.
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## NOTE 9 BORROWINGS, SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES AND BROKERED DEPOSITS (Continued)

## Debt Termination Expense FHLB Advances and Subordinated Debentures

In March 2012, the Company incurred $\$ 22.6$ million in debt termination expense related to the repayment of $\$ 225.0$ million in fixed-rate term FHLB advances and the early redemption of $\$ 18.6$ million in fixed-rate subordinated debentures. The Company used a combination of excess cash and collateralized overnight FHLB advances to repay these debt instruments. The FHLB advances were composed of $\$ 200$ million maturing in December 2017 with a fixed rate of $3.16 \%$ and $\$ 25$ million due in January 2018 with a fixed rate of $2.61 \%$. The agreements for these FHLB advances had an early repayment fee for payoffs made before maturity. The subordinated debentures were composed of a $\$ 10.3$ million debenture, due in March 2030 and bearing a fixed rate of $11.00 \%$, which was referred to as "Trust CI," and an $\$ 8.3$ million debenture due in September 2030 and bearing a fixed rate of $10.6 \%$, which was referred to as "Trust I."

## Brokered Deposits

Brokered time deposits totaled $\$ 54.0$ million at June 30, 2013, and $\$ 37.7$ million at December 31, 2012, all of which were part of the CDARS program. The CDARS program represents deposits that are participated with other FDIC insured financial institutions as a means to provide FDIC deposit insurance coverage for the full amount of our customers' deposits. In addition, liabilities from discontinued operations at June 30, 2013 included $\$ 93.2$ million of noninterest-bearing brokered deposits.

## NOTE 10 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Lending Commitments

The Bank is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit, and commitments to purchase equipment being acquired for lease to others. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The contract or notional amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The following table presents a summary of the financial instruments described above as of the dates indicated:

|  | June 30,$2013$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| Loan commitments to extend credit | \$ | 1,031,099 | \$ | 849,607 |
| Standby letters of credit |  | 38,946 |  | 27,534 |
| Commitments to purchase equipment being acquired for lease to others |  | 3,319 |  | 4,399 |
|  | \$ | 1,073,364 | \$ | 881,540 |
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## NOTE 10 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support private borrowing arrangements. Most guarantees expire within one year from the date of issuance. The Company generally requires collateral or other security to support financial instruments with credit risk.

In addition, the Company has investments in low income housing project partnerships, which provide the Company income tax credits, and in a few small business investment companies. The investments call for capital contributions up to an amount specified in the partnership agreements. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2013, the Company had commitments to contribute capital to these entities totaling $\$ 12.7$ million and $\$ 10.8$ million, respectively.

## Legal Matters

In the ordinary course of our business, we are party to various legal actions, which we believe are incidental to the operation of our business. The outcome of such legal actions and the timing of ultimate resolution are inherently difficult to predict. In the opinion of management, based upon information currently available to us, any resulting liability, in addition to amounts already accrued, would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements or operations.

## FCAL Merger-Related Litigation

As set forth below, there are a number of litigations pending against FCB, an entity which was a formerly wholly owned subsidiary of FCAL. On May 31, 2013, pursuant to the FCAL acquisition, FCAL merged with and into PacWest, and FCB merged with and into Pacific Western. Pursuant to the merger agreement executed between FCAL and PacWest on November 6, 2012, PacWest has assumed the defense of the litigations and would assume liability for the results in the event of an adverse result in the cases.

Eleven lawsuits have been filed in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles against FCB, among others, by various former clients of political campaign and non-profit organization treasurer Kinde Durkee. The lawsuits are entitled (i) Wardlaw, et al.v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. SC 114232), filed September 23, 2011; (ii) Lou Correa for State Senate, et al. v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 479872), filed February 29, 2012; (iii) Committee to Re-elect Lorreta Sanchez, et al. v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 479873), filed February 29, 2012, (iv) Holden for Assembly v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 489604), filed August 3, 2012; (v) Latino Diabetes Ass'n v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 489605), filed August 3, 2012; (vi) Jose Solorio Assembly Officeholder Committee, et al. v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. 492855), filed September 27, 2012; (vii) Foster for Treasurer 2014, et al. v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 492878), filed September 27, 2012; (viii) Los Angeles County Democratic Central Committee, et al. v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 492854), filed September 27, 2012;
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## NOTE 10 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

(ix) National Popular Vote v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 501213), filed February 19, 2013; (x) Zine for City Council v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 504476), filed April 2, 2013; and (xi) Rothman v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 511180), filed June 5, 2013. Plaintiffs in each of the cases claim, among other things, that FCB aided and abetted a fraud and unlawful conversion by Ms. Durkee and/or her affiliated company of funds held in accounts at FCB. Based largely on the same alleged conduct, plaintiffs also assert claims for an alleged violation of California Business \& Professions Code Section 17200 and for declaratory relief. Plaintiffs seek compensatory and punitive damages, as well as various forms of equitable and declaratory relief.

Each of the cases is pending before the same judge, who is coordinating their progress. FCB has answered each of the complaints, and the parties are engaged in discovery. A trial date has been scheduled for August 13, 2014 and the parties are scheduled to participate in a mediation session on October 16, 2013. The plaintiffs have not yet made a settlement demand in the cases.

On September 23, 2011, FCB filed a Complaint-in-Interpleader in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles (Case No. BC 470182), pursuant to which FCB interpleaded the sum of $\$ 2,539,049$ as the amounts on deposit in accounts at FCB that were controlled by Ms. Durkee on behalf of the several hundred named defendants (the "Interpleader Action"). FCB seeks an order requiring the defendants to interplead and litigate their respective claims, discharging FCB from liability, and restraining proceedings or actions against FCB by the defendants with respect to those amounts. On December 6, 2011, the Interpleader Action was designated as complex and transferred to the Superior Court's complex litigation division. It has been related to the other pending actions that relate to the conduct of Ms. Durkee.

On June 18, 2012, FCB moved for summary judgment in the Interpleader Action. At hearings held in late 2012 and early 2013, the Superior Court entered summary judgment with respect to a majority of the accounts at issue. Those sums have been paid by the Superior Court to the former accountholders.

At this time, we believe we have made the appropriate accrual for any liabilities that may arise out of the Kinde Durkee litigation matters, but we are unable to determine with certainty whether there will be any material impact on the Company's financial statements or operations.

## CapitalSource Merger-Related Litigation

Between July 24, 2013 and August 6, 2013, six putative stockholder class action lawsuits (the "Merger Litigations") were filed against PacWest and certain other defendants in connection with PacWest entering into the Agreement and Plan of Merger ("CapitalSource Merger Agreement") in which PacWest agreed to acquire CapitalSource, Inc. ("CapitalSource"). The CapitalSource Merger Agreement was publicly announced on July 22, 2013. Three of the six actions were filed in Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County: (1) Engel v. CapitalSource, Inc. et al., Case No. BC516267, filed on July 24, 2013; (2) Miller v. Fremder et al., Case No. BC516590, filed on July 29, 2013; and (3) Holliday v. PacWest Bancorp et al., Case No. BC517209, filed on August 5, 2013. The other three actions were filed in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware: (1) Fosket v. Byrnes et al., Case No. 8765, filed on August 1, 2013; (2) Bennett v. CapitalSource, Inc. et al., Case No. 8770, filed on August 2, 2013; and (3) Chalfant v. CapitalSource et al., Case No. 8777, filed on August 6, 2013.

# PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES 

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 10 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

The Merger Litigations allege variously that the members of the CapitalSource board of directors breached its fiduciary duties to CapitalSource stockholders by approving the proposed merger for inadequate consideration; approving the transaction in order to obtain benefits not equally shared by other CapitalSource stockholders; entering into the CapitalSource Merger Agreement containing preclusive deal protection devices; and failing to take steps to maximize the value to be paid to the CapitalSource stockholders. Each of the Merger Litigations also alleges claims against CapitalSource and PacWest for aiding and abetting these alleged breaches of fiduciary duties. Plaintiffs generally seek, among other things, declaratory and injunctive relief concerning the alleged breaches of fiduciary duties, injunctive relief prohibiting consummation of the acquisition, rescission, an accounting by defendants, damages and attorneys' fees and costs, and other and further relief. At this stage, it is not possible to predict the outcome of the proceedings or their impact on CapitalSource or PacWest.

## NOTE 11 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurement," defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value including a three-level valuation hierarchy, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date reflecting assumptions that a market participant would use when pricing an asset or liability. The hierarchy uses three levels of inputs to measure the fair value of assets and liabilities as follows:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2: Observable inputs other than Level 1, including quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices in less active markets, or other observable inputs that can be corroborated by observable market data, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. This category generally includes government agency and government-sponsored enterprise securities.

Level 3: Inputs to a valuation methodology that are unobservable, supported by little or no market activity, and significant to the fair value measurement. These valuation methodologies generally include pricing models, discounted cash flow models, or a determination of fair value that requires significant management judgment or estimation. This category also includes unobservable inputs from a pricing service not corroborated by observable market data, and includes our covered private label CMOs.

We use fair value to measure certain assets on a recurring basis, primarily securities available-for-sale; we have no liabilities being measured at fair value. For assets measured at the lower of cost or fair value, the fair value measurement criteria may or may not be met during a reporting period and such measurements are therefore considered "nonrecurring" for purposes of disclosing our fair value measurements. Fair value is used on a nonrecurring basis to adjust carrying values for impaired loans and other real estate owned and also to record impairment on certain assets, such as goodwill, core deposit intangibles, and other long-lived assets.
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## NOTE 11 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

The following table presents information on the assets measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis as of the date indicated:

|  | Fair Value Measurement as of June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Level 1 |  | usa | Level 2 ds) | Level 3 |  |
| Measured on a Recurring Basis: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government agency and government-sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities | \$ | 887,737 | \$ |  | \$ | 887,737 | \$ |  |
| Covered private label CMOs |  | 40,917 |  |  |  |  |  | 40,917 |
| Municipal securities |  | 424,174 |  |  |  | 424,174 |  |  |
| Corporate securities |  | 83,132 |  |  |  | 83,132 |  |  |
| Other securities |  | 37,618 |  | 516 |  | 37,102 |  |  |
|  | \$ | 1,473,578 | \$ | S 516 | \$ | 1,432,145 | \$ | 40,917 |

There were no transfers of assets either between Level 1 and Level 2 nor in or out of Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy for assets measured on a recurring basis during the three months ended June 30, 2013.

The following table presents information about quantitative inputs and assumptions used to evaluate the fair values provided by our third party pricing service for our Level 3 covered private label CMOs measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2013:

|  | Range of Inputs | Weighted <br> Average <br> Input |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Unobservable Inputs | $0 \%-30.5 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |
| Voluntary annual prepayment speeds | $0 \%-29.5 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| Annual default rates | $0 \%-66.3 \%$ | $34.6 \%$ |
| Loss severity rates | $0 \%-11.9 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |

The following table summarizes activity for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis that are categorized as Level 3 for the period indicated:
$\left.\begin{array}{lcc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Covered } \\ \text { Private } \\ \text { Label CMOs } \\ \text { (Level 3) }\end{array} \\ \text { (In thousands) }\end{array}\right]$
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## NOTE 11 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

The following tables present assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of the date indicated and the gains and (losses) recognized on such assets for the period indicated:

|  | Fair Value Measurement as of June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Level 1 Level 2 (In thousands) |  |  | Level 3 |  |
| Measured on a Non-Recurring Basis: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-PCI impaired loans | \$ | 80,204 | \$ | \$ | 5,933 | , | 74,271 |
| Covered other real estate owned |  | 2,261 |  |  | 743 |  | 1,518 |
| SBA loan servicing asset |  | 903 |  |  |  |  | 903 |
|  | \$ | 83,368 | \$ | \$ | 6,676 | \$ | 76,692 |

$\left.\begin{array}{lccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Three Months } \\ \text { Ended }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Six Months } \\ \text { Ended } \\ \text { June 30, } \\ \text { June 30, } \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 3}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { 2013 }\end{array} \\ \text { (In thousands) }\end{array}\right]$

Total net loss
$\$ \quad(2,473) \quad \$ \quad(3,543)$

The following table presents the valuation methodology and unobservable inputs for Level 3 assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of June 30, 2013:

| Asset | Fair Value (in 000's) |  | Valuation <br> Methodology | Unobservable Inputs | Range | Weighted Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impaired loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70,401 \\ 2,353 \end{array}$ | Discounted cash flow Appraisals | Discount rates | 4.06\%-8.81\% | 6.60\% |
| OREO | \$ | 1,518 | Appraisals | Discount, including 8\% for selling costs | 11\%-21\% | 17\% |
| SBA loan servicing asset | \$ | 903 | Discounted cash flow | Prepayment speeds Discount rates | $\begin{aligned} & 3.40 \%-16.34 \% \\ & 9.66 \%-12.67 \% \end{aligned}$ | (2) (2) |

Excludes $\$ 1.6$ million of impaired loans with balances of $\$ 250,000$ or less.
(2)

Not readily available.
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## NOTE 11 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

ASC Topic 825, "Financial Instruments," requires disclosure of the estimated fair value of certain financial instruments and the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate such fair values. Additionally, certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments are excluded from the applicable disclosure requirements.

The following tables present a summary of the carrying values and estimated fair values of certain financial instruments as of the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying or Contract Amount |  | Total |  | Estimated Fair Value |  |  |  | Level 3 |
|  |  |  | (In thousands) | Level 2 |  |
| Financial Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 106,237 |  |  | \$ | 106,237 | \$ | 106,237 |  |  |  |
| Interest-earning deposits in financial institutions |  | 112,590 |  | 112,590 |  | 112,590 |  |  |  |
| Securities available-for-sale |  | 1,473,578 |  | 1,473,578 |  | 516 |  | 1,432,145 | 40,917 |
| Investment in FHLB stock |  | 39,129 |  | 39,129 |  |  |  | 39,129 |  |
| Loans and leases, net |  | 4,329,043 |  | 4,355,996 |  |  |  | 5,933 | 4,350,063 |
| SBA loan servicing asset |  | 903 |  | 903 |  |  |  |  | 903 |
| Financial Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand, money market, interest checking, and savings deposits |  | 4,700,887 |  | 4,700,887 |  |  |  | 4,700,887 |  |
| Time deposits |  | 822,113 |  | 824,353 |  |  |  | 824,353 |  |
| Borrowings |  | 9,696 |  | 9,697 |  |  |  | 9,697 |  |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 132,358 |  | 132,223 |  |  |  | 132,223 |  |
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## NOTE 11 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2012

|  | Carrying or Contract Amount | Estimated Fair Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 89,011 | \$ | 89,011 | \$ | 89,011 | \$ |  |  |
| Interest-earning deposits in financial institutions | 75,393 |  | 75,393 |  | 75,393 |  |  |  |
| Securities available-for-sale | 1,355,385 |  | 1,355,385 |  | 8,985 |  | 1,301,716 | 44,684 |
| Investment in FHLB stock | 37,126 |  | 37,126 |  |  |  | 37,126 |  |
| Loans and leases, net | 3,498,329 |  | 3,551,674 |  |  |  | 4,975 | 3,546,699 |
| SBA loan servicing asset | 1,000 |  | 1,000 |  |  |  |  | 1,000 |
| Financial Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand, money market, interest checking, and savings deposits | 3,888,794 |  | 3,888,794 |  |  |  | 3,888,794 |  |
| Time deposits | 820,327 |  | 823,912 |  |  |  | 823,912 |  |
| Borrowings | 12,591 |  | 12,611 |  |  |  | 12,611 |  |
| Subordinated debentures | 108,250 |  | 108,186 |  |  |  | 108,186 |  |

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used to measure our assets recorded at fair value (under ASC Topic 820) and for estimating fair value for financial instruments not recorded at fair value (under ASC Topic 825).

Cash and due from banks. The carrying amount is assumed to be the fair value because of the liquidity of these instruments.

Interest-earning deposits in financial institutions. The carrying amount is assumed to be the fair value given the short-term nature of these deposits.

Securities available-for-sale. Securities available-for-sale are measured and carried at fair value on a recurring basis. Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. See Note 5, Investment Securities, for further information on unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale.

Fair value for securities categorized as Level 1, which are primarily equity securities, are based on readily available quoted prices. In determining the fair value of the securities categorized as Level 2, we obtain a report from a nationally recognized broker-dealer detailing the fair value of each investment security we hold as of each reporting date. The broker-dealer uses observable market information to value our securities, with the primary source being a nationally recognized pricing service. We review the market prices provided by the broker-dealer for our securities for reasonableness based on our understanding of the marketplace and we consider any credit issues related to the securities. As we have not made any adjustments to the market quotes provided to us and they are based on observable market data, they have been categorized as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy.

# Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q 

# PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES 

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)


#### Abstract

(Unaudited)

NOTE 11 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

Our covered private label CMOs are categorized as Level 3 due in part to the inactive market for such securities. There is a wide range of prices quoted for private label CMOs among independent third party pricing services and this range reflects the significant judgment being exercised over the assumptions and variables that determine the pricing of such securities. We consider this subjectivity to be a significant unobservable input and have concluded that the covered private label CMOs should be categorized as a Level 3 measured asset. Our fair value estimate was based on prices provided to us by a nationally recognized pricing service which we also use to determine the fair value of the majority of our securities portfolio. We determined the reasonableness of the fair values by reviewing assumptions at the individual security level about prepayment, default expectations, estimated severity loss factors, and discount rates, all of which are not directly observable in the market. Significant changes in default expectations, severity loss factors, or discount rates, which occur all together or in isolation, would result in different fair value measurements.


FHLB stock. Investments in FHLB stock are recorded at cost and measured for impairment quarterly. Ownership of FHLB stock is restricted to member banks and the securities do not have a readily determinable market value. Purchases and sales of these securities are at par value with the issuer. The fair value of investments in FHLB stock is equal to the carrying amount.

Non-PCI loans and leases. As Non-PCI loans and leases are not measured at fair value, the following discussion relates to estimating the fair value disclosures under ASC Topic 825. Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans and leases with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type and further segmented into fixed and adjustable rate interest terms and by credit risk categories. The fair value estimates do not take into consideration the value of the loan portfolio in the event the loans are sold outside the parameters of normal operating activities. The fair value of performing fixed-rate loans is estimated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the estimated maturity using estimated market prepayment speeds. The fair value of equipment leases is estimated by discounting scheduled lease and expected lease residual cash flows over their remaining term. The estimated market discount rates used for performing fixed-rate loans and equipment leases are the Company's current offering rates for comparable instruments with similar terms. The fair value of performing adjustable-rate loans is estimated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the next repricing date. As these loans reprice frequently at market rates and the credit risk is not considered to be greater than normal, the market value is typically close to the carrying amount of these loans. These methods and assumptions are not based on the exit price concept of fair value.

Impaired loans (excluding PCI loans). Nonaccrual loans and performing restructured loans are considered impaired for reporting purposes and are measured and recorded at fair value on a non-recurring basis. Non-PCI nonaccrual loans with an unpaid principal balance over $\$ 250,000$ and all performing restructured loans are reviewed individually for the amount of impairment, if any. Non-PCI nonaccrual loans with an unpaid principal balance of $\$ 250,000$ or less are evaluated for impairment collectively.

To the extent a loan is collateral dependent, we measure such impaired loan based on the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral. The fair value of each loan's collateral is generally based on estimated market prices from an independently prepared appraisal, which is then adjusted for the cost related to liquidating such collateral; such valuation inputs result in a nonrecurring fair value
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## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 11 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

measurement that is categorized as a Level 2 measurement. The Level 2 measurement is based on appraisals obtained within the last 12 months and for which a charge-off was recognized or a change in the specific valuation allowance was made during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

When adjustments are made to an appraised value to reflect various factors such as the age of the appraisal or known changes in the market or the collateral, such valuation inputs are considered unobservable and the fair value measurement is categorized as a Level 3 measurement. The impaired loans categorized as Level 3 also include unsecured loans and other secured loans whose fair values are based significantly on unobservable inputs such as the strength of a guarantor, including an SBA government guarantee, cash flows discounted at the effective loan rate, and management's judgment.

The Non-PCI impaired loan balances shown above represent those nonaccrual and restructured loans for which impairment was recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2013. The amounts shown as net losses include the impairment recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2013, for the loan balances shown. Of the $\$ 51.7$ million of nonaccrual loans at June 30, 2013, $\$ 4.7$ million were written down to their collateral fair values through charge-offs during the quarter.

Other real estate owned. The fair value of foreclosed real estate, both non-covered and covered, is generally based on estimated market prices from independently prepared current appraisals or negotiated sales prices with potential buyers, less estimated costs to sell; such valuation inputs result in a fair value measurement that is categorized as a Level 2 measurement on a nonrecurring basis. As a matter of policy, appraisals are required annually and may be updated more frequently as circumstances require in the opinion of management. The Level 2 measurement for OREO is based on appraisals obtained within the last 12 months and for which a write-down was recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

When a current appraised value is not available or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value as a result of known changes in the market or the collateral and there is no observable market price, such valuation inputs result in a fair value measurement that is categorized as a Level 3 measurement. To the extent a negotiated sales price or reduced listing price represents a significant discount to an observable market price, such valuation input would result in a fair value measurement that is also considered a Level 3 measurement. The OREO losses disclosed are write-downs based on either a recent appraisal obtained after foreclosure or an accepted purchase offer by an independent third party received after foreclosure.

SBA servicing asset. In accordance with ASC Topic 860, "Transfers and Servicing," the SBA servicing asset, included in other assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets, is carried at its implied fair value. The fair value of the servicing asset is estimated by discounting future cash flows using market-based discount rates and prepayment speeds. The discount rate is based on the current U.S. Treasury yield curve, as published by the Department of the Treasury, plus a spread for the marketplace risk associated with these assets. We utilize estimated prepayment vectors using SBA prepayment information provided by Bloomberg for pools of similar assets to determine the timing of the cash flows. These nonrecurring valuation inputs are considered to be Level 3 inputs.

Deposits. Deposits are carried at historical cost. The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as noninterest bearing demand deposits, interest checking, money market, and savings accounts, is
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## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 11 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

equal to the amount payable on demand as of the balance sheet date and considered Level 2. The fair value of time deposits is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows and considered Level 2 . The discount rate is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. No value has been separately assigned to the Company's long-term relationships with its deposit customers, such as a core deposit intangible.

Borrowings. Borrowings are carried at amortized cost. The fair value of fixed-rate borrowings is calculated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the estimated maturity dates or call dates, if applicable, using estimated market discount rates that reflect current rates offered for borrowings with similar remaining maturities and characteristics.

Subordinated debentures. Subordinated debentures are carried at amortized cost. The fair value of subordinated debentures with variable rates is determined using a market discount rate on the expected cash flows.

Commitments to extend credit. The majority of our commitments to extend credit carry current market interest rates if converted to loans. Because these commitments are generally unassignable by either the borrower or us, they only have value to the borrower and us. The estimated fair value approximates the recorded deferred fee amounts and is excluded from the table above because it is not material.

## Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time and are based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect income taxes or any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on what management believes to be conservative judgments regarding expected future cash flows, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimated fair values are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates. Since the fair values have been estimated as of June 30, 2013, the amounts that will actually be realized or paid at settlement or maturity of the instruments could be significantly different.
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## NOTE 12 EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following is a summary of the calculation of basic and diluted net earnings per share for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | arch 31, <br> 2013 <br> (In thousan | ds, | June 30, <br> 2012 <br> except per | har | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2013 \\ & \text { e data) } \end{aligned}$ | 30, | $2012$ |
| Basic Earnings Per Share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings from continuing operations | \$ | 4,396 | \$ | 13,494 | \$ | 15,557 | \$ | 17,890 | \$ | 20,821 |
| Less: earnings allocated to unvested restricted stock ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | (212) |  | (326) |  | (538) |  | (351) |  | (602) |
| Net earnings from continuing operations allocated to common shares |  | 4,184 |  | 13,168 |  | 15,019 |  | 17,539 |  | 20,219 |
| Net earnings from discontinued operations |  | (47) |  |  |  |  |  | (47) |  |  |
| Net earnings allocated to common shares | \$ | 4,137 | \$ | 13,168 | \$ | 15,019 | \$ | 17,492 | \$ | 20,219 |
| Weighted-average basic shares and unvested restricted stock outstanding |  | 40,338.3 |  | 37,391.1 |  | 37,359.2 |  | 38,872.8 |  | 37,321.6 |
| Less: weighted-average unvested restricted stock outstanding |  | $(1,596.7)$ |  | $(1,594.1)$ |  | $(1,669.2)$ |  | $(1,595.4)$ |  | $(1,661.6)$ |
| Weighted-average basic shares outstanding |  | 38,741.6 |  | 35,797.0 |  | 35,690.0 |  | 37,277.4 |  | 35,660.0 |
| Basic earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings from continuing operations | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.37 | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 0.57 |
| Net earnings from discontinued operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.37 | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 0.57 |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings from continuing operations allocated to common shares | \$ | 4,184 | \$ | 13,168 | \$ | 15,019 | \$ | 17,539 | \$ | 20,219 |
| Net earnings from discontinued operations |  | (47) |  |  |  |  |  | (47) |  |  |
| Net earnings allocated to common shares | \$ | 4,137 | \$ | 13,168 | \$ | 15,019 | \$ | 17,492 | \$ | 20,219 |
| Weighted-average basic shares outstanding |  | 38,741.6 |  | 35,797.0 |  | 35,690.0 |  | 37,277.4 |  | 35,660.0 |
| Diluted earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings from continuing operations | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.37 | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 0.57 |
| Net earnings from discontinued operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.37 | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 0.57 |

(1)
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## NOTE 13 STOCK COMPENSATION PLANS

The Company's 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, or the 2003 Plan, permits stock based compensation awards to officers, directors, key employees and consultants. As of June 30, 2013, the 2003 Plan authorized grants of stock-based compensation instruments to purchase or issue up to $6,500,000$ shares of authorized but unissued Company common stock, subject to adjustments provided by the 2003 Plan. As of June 30, 2013, there were $1,445,715$ shares available for grant under the 2003 Plan.

## Restricted Stock

At June 30, 2013, there were outstanding 913,562 shares of unvested time-based restricted common stock and 875,000 shares of unvested performance-based restricted common stock. The awarded shares of time-based restricted common stock vest over a service period of three to four years from the date of the grant. The awarded shares of performance-based restricted common stock vest in full on the date the Compensation, Nominating and Governance, or CNG, Committee of the Board of Directors, as Administrator of the 2003 Plan, determines that the Company achieved certain financial goals established by the CNG Committee as set forth in the grant documents. Both time-based and performance-based restricted common stock vest immediately upon a change in control of the Company as defined in the 2003 Plan and upon death of the employee.

Compensation expense related to time-based restricted stock awards is based on the fair value of the underlying stock on the award date and is recognized over the vesting period using the straight-line method. Restricted stock amortization totaled $\$ 2.0$ million, $\$ 1.8$ million and $\$ 1.3$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, March 31, 2013, and June 30, 2012, respectively, and $\$ 3.8$ million and $\$ 2.9$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Such amounts are included in compensation expense on the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of earnings.

We are currently not recognizing any compensation expense for 575,000 of the 875,000 shares of performance-based restricted stock as management has concluded that it is improbable that the respective financial targets related to these outstanding stock awards will be met. If and when the attainment of such financial targets is deemed probable in future periods, a catch-up adjustment will be recorded and amortization of such performance-based restricted stock will begin again. The total amount of unrecognized compensation expense related to performance-based restricted stock for which amortization is not being recognized totaled $\$ 19.0$ million at June 30, 2013. The amount of unrecognized compensation expense related to all unvested restricted stock as of June 30, 2013 totals $\$ 43.5$ million.

As noted above, both time-based and performance-based restricted stock vests upon a change in control of the Company. The CapitalSource merger, expected to close in the first quarter of 2014, will trigger the change in control provisions within the 2003 Plan. The remaining unamortized expense for all the performance-based and time-based awards will be recognized at that time. See also Note 18,
Subsequent Events.
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## NOTE 14 ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following table provides information about reclassification adjustments from accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI") for the period indicated:

| AOCI Component: | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 <br> Amount Reclassified <br> from AOCI ${ }^{(1)}$ <br> (In thousands) | Affected Line Item in the Statement <br> Where Net Income is Presented |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on available- for-sale securities: | $\$$ | 409 | Gain on sale of securities |
| Total reclassification for the period | (172) | Income tax expense |  |

(1)

Amounts in parentheses indicate debits to income.

## NOTE 15 BUSINESS SEGMENTS

The Company's reportable segments consist of "Banking," "Asset Financing," and "Other." At June 30, 2013, the Other segment consisted of the PacWest Bancorp holding company and other elimination and reconciliation entries.

The Bank's Asset Financing segment includes the operations of the divisions and subsidiaries that provide asset-based commercial loans and equipment leases. The asset-based lending products are offered primarily through three business units: (1) First Community Financial ("FCF"), a division of the Bank, based in Phoenix, Arizona; (2) BFI Business Finance ("BFI"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank, based in San Jose, California; and (3) Celtic Capital Corporation ("Celtic"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank based in Santa Monica, California. The equipment leasing products are offered through Pacific Western Equipment Finance ("EQF"), a division of the Bank based in Midvale, Utah.

With the acquisitions of EQF in January 2012 and Celtic in April 2012, we expanded our asset-based lending operations, both in terms of size and product diversification by adding equipment leasing, and determined that our asset financing operations met the threshold to be a reportable segment beginning with the second quarter of 2012.

The accounting policies of the reported segments are the same as those of the Company described in Note 1, "Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. Transactions between segments consist primarily of borrowed funds. Intersegment interest expense is allocated to the Asset Financing segment based upon the Bank's total cost of interest-bearing liabilities. The provision for credit losses is allocated based on actual charge-offs for the period as well as assigning a minimum reserve requirement to the Asset Financing segment. Noninterest income and noninterest expense directly attributable to a segment are assigned to it.
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## NOTE 15 BUSINESS SEGMENTS (Continued)

The following tables present information regarding our business segments as of and for the periods indicated (the only segment income statements for which discontinued operations are applicable and reported are those for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013):

|  | Banking |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } 2013 \\ & \text { Asset } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 13 <br> Other <br> s) | Consolidated Company |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income | \$ | 3,947,322 | \$ | 472,364 | \$ |  | \$ | 4,419,686 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses |  | $(84,917)$ |  | $(5,726)$ |  |  |  | $(90,643)$ |
| Total loans and leases, net | \$ | 3,862,405 | \$ | 466,638 | \$ |  | \$ | 4,329,043 |
| Goodwill | \$ | 183,512 | \$ | 25,678 | \$ |  | \$ | 209,190 |
| Core deposit and customer relationship intangibles, net |  | 17,958 |  | 2,232 |  |  |  | 20,190 |
| Total assets |  | 6,189,202 |  | 510,630 |  | 9,270 |  | 6,709,102 |
| Total deposits ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 5,557,871 |  |  |  | $(34,871)$ |  | 5,523,000 |

(1)

The negative balance in the "Other" segment represents the elimination of holding company cash held in deposit accounts at the Bank.

|  | Banking |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } 2012 \\ \text { Asset } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Consolidated Company |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income | \$ | 3,102,215 | \$ | 382,488 | \$ |  | \$ | 3,484,703 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses |  | $(100,759)$ |  | $(2,765)$ |  |  |  | $(103,524)$ |
| Total loans and leases, net | \$ | 3,001,456 | \$ | 379,723 | \$ |  | \$ | 3,381,179 |
| Goodwill | \$ | 39,141 | \$ | 22,867 | \$ |  | \$ | 62,008 |
| Core deposit and customer relationship intangibles, net |  | 14,104 |  | 2,839 |  |  |  | 16,943 |
| Total assets |  | 4,876,271 |  | 428,899 |  | 16,452 |  | 5,321,622 |
| Total deposits ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 4,604,387 |  |  |  | $(13,058)$ |  | 4,591,329 |

(1)

The negative balance in the "Other" segment represents the elimination of holding company cash held in deposit accounts at the Bank.
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## NOTE 15 BUSINESS SEGMENTS (Continued)

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Banking |  | Asset Financing (In tho |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { usands) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Consolidated Company |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 59,321 | \$ | 12,310 | \$ |  | \$ | 71,631 |
| Intersegment interest income (expense) |  | 460 |  | (460) |  |  |  |  |
| Other interest expense |  | $(2,080)$ |  | (196) |  | (882) |  | $(3,158)$ |
| Net interest income |  | 57,701 |  | 11,654 |  | (882) |  | 68,473 |
| Total (provision) negative provision for credit losses |  | 2,607 |  | (765) |  |  |  | 1,842 |
| Noninterest income |  | (415) |  | 592 |  | 26 |  | 203 |
| Intangible asset amortization |  | $(1,127)$ |  | (157) |  |  |  | $(1,284)$ |
| Other noninterest expense |  | $(55,554)$ |  | $(5,980)$ |  | $(1,398)$ |  | $(62,932)$ |
| Total noninterest expense |  | $(56,681)$ |  | $(6,137)$ |  | $(1,398)$ |  | $(64,216)$ |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes |  | 3,212 |  | 5,344 |  | $(2,254)$ |  | 6,302 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit |  | (612) |  | $(2,237)$ |  | 943 |  | $(1,906)$ |
| Net earnings (loss) from continuing operations |  | 2,600 |  | 3,107 |  | $(1,311)$ |  | 4,396 |
| Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes |  | (81) |  |  |  |  |  | (81) |
| Income tax benefit |  | 34 |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |
| Net loss from discontinued operations |  | (47) |  |  |  |  |  | (47) |
| Net earnings (loss) | \$ | 2,553 | \$ | 3,107 | \$ | $(1,311)$ | \$ | 4,349 |
|  | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## NOTE 15 BUSINESS SEGMENTS (Continued)

\left.|  | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consolidated |  |  |  |  |
| Company |  |  |  |  |$\right)$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Banking |  | Asset <br> Financing |  | Other | Consolidated Company |  |
|  |  |  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 62,045 | \$ | 10,845 | \$ | \$ | 72,890 |
| Intersegment interest income (expense) |  | 630 |  | (630) |  |  |  |
| Other interest expense |  | $(3,404)$ |  | (225) | (848) |  | $(4,477)$ |
| Net interest income |  | 59,271 |  | 9,990 | (848) |  | 68,413 |
| Total (provision) negative provision for credit losses |  | 371 |  | (100) |  |  | 271 |
| Noninterest income |  | 4,133 |  | 713 | 25 |  | 4,871 |
| Intangible asset amortization |  | $(1,603)$ |  | (134) |  |  | $(1,737)$ |
| Other noninterest expense |  | $(38,088)$ |  | $(6,444)$ | $(1,316)$ |  | $(45,848)$ |
| Total noninterest expense |  | $(39,691)$ |  | $(6,578)$ | $(1,316)$ |  | $(47,585)$ |
| Earnings (loss) before income taxes |  | 24,084 |  | 4,025 | $(2,139)$ |  | 25,970 |
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| Income tax (expense) benefit |  | $(9,563)$ | $(1,750)$ |  | 900 |  | $(10,413)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net earnings (loss) | $\$$ | 14,521 | $\$$ | 2,275 | $\$$ | $(1,239)$ | $\$$ | 15,557 |
|  |  | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## NOTE 15 BUSINESS SEGMENTS (Continued)

|  | Banking |  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Asset |  |  |  | 13 <br> Consolidated Company |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income | S | 116,228 | \$ | 24,672 | \$ |  | \$ | 140,900 |
| Intersegment interest income (expense) |  | 931 |  | (931) |  |  |  |  |
| Other interest expense |  | $(4,730)$ |  | (339) |  | $(1,665)$ |  | $(6,734)$ |
| Net interest income |  | 112,429 |  | 23,402 |  | $(1,665)$ |  | 134,166 |
| Total (provision) negative provision for credit losses |  | 271 |  | $(1,566)$ |  |  |  | $(1,295)$ |
| Noninterest income |  | 1,858 |  | 1,136 |  | 49 |  | 3,043 |
| Intangible asset amortization |  | $(2,120)$ |  | (340) |  |  |  | $(2,460)$ |
| Other noninterest expense |  | $(91,092)$ |  | $(12,033)$ |  | $(2,814)$ |  | $(105,939)$ |
| Total noninterest expense |  | $(93,212)$ |  | $(12,373)$ |  | $(2,814)$ |  | $(108,399)$ |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes |  | 21,346 |  | 10,599 |  | $(4,430)$ |  | 27,515 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit |  | $(7,042)$ |  | $(4,436)$ |  | 1,853 |  | $(9,625)$ |
| Net earnings (loss) from continuing operations |  | 14,304 |  | 6,163 |  | $(2,577)$ |  | 17,890 |
| Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes |  | (81) |  |  |  |  |  | (81) |
| Income tax benefit |  | 34 |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |
| Net loss from discontinued operations |  | (47) |  |  |  |  |  | (47) |
| Net earnings (loss) | \$ | 14,257 | \$ | 6,163 | \$ | $(2,577)$ | \$ | 17,843 |
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## NOTE 15 BUSINESS SEGMENTS (Continued)

\left.|  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Consolidated |  |  |  |  |
| Company |  |  |  |  |$\right)$

## NOTE 16 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTION

In connection with the FCAL acquisition on May 31, 2013, the Bank paid an advisory fee of $\$ 1.3$ million to Castle Creek Financial LLC ("Castle Creek Financial"). Such fee has been included in acquisition and integration costs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 on the condensed consolidated statements of earnings. Castle Creek Financial is an affiliate of Castle Creek Capital LLC, which is controlled by the Company's chairman.

## NOTE 17 RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2013-11, "Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists." Under ASU 2013-11, an unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. However, to the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position, or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. ASU 2013-11 is effective for us on January 1, 2014 and is to be applied prospectively, although early adoption and retrospective adoption are permitted. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have any material effect on our financial statements.

## Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 18 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

## Dividend Approval

On August 7, 2013, the Company announced that the Board of Directors had declared a quarterly cash dividend of $\$ 0.25$ per common share payable on August 30, 2013, to stockholders of record at the close of business on August 19, 2013.

## PacWest and CapitalSource Merger Announcement

On July 22, 2013, PacWest announced the signing of a definitive agreement and plan of merger (the "Agreement") whereby PacWest and CapitalSource will merge in a transaction valued at approximately $\$ 2.3$ billion. The combined company will be called PacWest Bancorp and the combined subsidiary bank will be called Pacific Western Bank. The CapitalSource national lending operation will continue to do business under the name CapitalSource as a division of Pacific Western Bank.

Under the terms of the Agreement, CapitalSource shareholders will receive $\$ 2.47$ in cash and 0.2837 shares of PacWest common stock for each share of CapitalSource common stock. Based on the closing price of PacWest shares on August 1, 2013 of $\$ 36.17$, the total value of the CapitalSource per share merger consideration is $\$ 12.73$.

As of June 30, 2013, on a pro forma consolidated basis, the combined company would have had approximately $\$ 15.4$ billion in assets with 96 branches throughout California. The transaction, currently expected to close in the first quarter of 2014, is subject to customary conditions, including the approval of bank regulatory authorities and the stockholders of both companies.

## Other

We have evaluated events that have occurred subsequent to June 30, 2013 and have concluded there are no subsequent events that would require recognition or disclosure in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## Forward-Looking Information

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking information about the Company and its subsidiaries, which statements are intended to be covered by the safe harbor for "forward-looking statements" provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Such statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict and are generally beyond the control of the Company. We caution readers that a number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in, implied or projected by, such forward-looking statements. Risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:
lower than expected revenues;
credit quality deterioration or pronounced and sustained reduction in real estate market values could cause an increase in the allowance for credit losses and a reduction in earnings;
increased competitive pressure among depository institutions;
the Company's ability to complete future acquisitions, including the CapitalSource merger, and to successfully integrate such acquired entities or achieve expected benefits, synergies and/or operating efficiencies within expected time frames or at all;
the Company's ability to obtain regulatory approvals and meet other closing conditions to the CapitalSource merger, including approval by the Company and CapitalSource stockholders, on the expected terms and schedule;
delay in closing the CapitalSource merger;
difficulties and delays in integrating the Company and CapitalSource businesses or fully realizing cost savings and other benefits;
business disruption following the proposed CapitalSource merger;
changes in the Company's stock price before completion of the CapitalSource merger, including as a result of the financial performance of the Company or CapitalSource prior to closing;
the reaction to the CapitalSource merger of the companies' customers, employees and counterparties;
if the CapitalSource merger is completed, additional regulatory requirements associated with being a bank and bank holding company with assets in excess of $\$ 10$ billion;
the possibility that personnel changes will not proceed as planned;
the cost of additional capital is more than expected;
a change in the interest rate environment reduces interest margins;
asset/liability repricing risks and liquidity risks;
pending legal matters may take longer or cost more to resolve or may be resolved adversely to the Company;
general economic conditions, either nationally or in the market areas in which the Company does or anticipates doing business, are less favorable than expected;
environmental conditions, including natural disasters, may disrupt our business, impede our operations, negatively impact the values of collateral securing the Company's loans or impair the ability of our borrowers to support their debt obligations;
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the economic and regulatory effects of the continuing war on terrorism and other events of war, including the conflicts and uncertainties in the Middle East;
legislative or regulatory requirements or changes adversely affecting the Company's business;
changes in the securities markets; and
regulatory approvals for any capital activities cannot be obtained on the terms expected or on the anticipated schedule.

## Overview

We are a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. Our principal business is to serve as the holding company for our Los Angeles-based wholly-owned subsidiary bank, Pacific Western Bank, which we refer to as Pacific Western or the Bank.

Pacific Western is a full-service commercial bank offering a broad range of banking products and services including: accepting demand, money market, and time deposits; originating loans, including commercial, real estate construction, SBA guaranteed and consumer loans; originating equipment finance leases; and providing other business-oriented products. Our operations are primarily located in Southern California extending from San Diego County to California's Central Coast; we also operate three banking offices in the San Francisco Bay area, a leasing operation based in Utah, and asset-based lending operations based in Arizona as well as San Jose and Santa Monica, California. The Bank focuses on conducting business with small to medium-sized businesses in our marketplace and the owners and employees of those businesses. The majority of our loans are secured by the real estate collateral of such businesses. Our asset-based lending function operates in Arizona, California, Texas, Colorado, Minnesota, and the Pacific Northwest. Our equipment leasing function has lease receivables in 45 states.

Pacific Western competes actively for deposits, and emphasizes solicitation of noninterest-bearing deposits. In managing the top line of our business, we focus on loan growth, loan yield, deposit cost, and net interest margin, as net interest income, on a year-to-date basis, accounted for $97.8 \%$ of our net revenues (net interest income plus noninterest income).

Total assets increased $\$ 1.4$ billion during the second quarter of 2013 to $\$ 6.7$ billion due mainly to the acquisition of FCAL on May 31, 2013. At June 30, 2013 gross loans and leases totaled $\$ 4.4$ billion, an increase of $\$ 949.9$ million since March 31, 2013. The gross non-covered loan and lease portfolio totaled $\$ 3.8$ billion, an increase of $\$ 880.9$ million during the second quarter, including $\$ 903.1$ million acquired in the FCAL acquisition. Excluding the FCAL acquisition, gross non-covered loans and leases decreased $\$ 22.2$ million due to $\$ 192.4$ million in payoffs and pay-downs offset by $\$ 170.2$ million in originations. The covered loan portfolio totaled $\$ 581.4$ million, an increase of $\$ 69.0$ million during the second quarter due to $\$ 104$ million acquired in the FCAL acquisition offset by a $\$ 35.0$ million decrease due to repayments and resolution activities. Goodwill increased $\$ 129.5$ million during the second quarter due to the FCAL acquisition. Securities available-for-sale increased $\$ 110.8$ million to $\$ 1.5$ billion due to purchases. Interest-earning deposits in financial institutions increased $\$ 71.6$ million during the second quarter of 2013 to $\$ 112.6$ million at June 30, 2013.

Total liabilities increased $\$ 1.2$ billion during the second quarter of 2013 due to the FCAL acquisition. Total deposits increased $\$ 969.8$ million during the second quarter to $\$ 5.5$ billion at June 30, 2013, including an increase in core deposits of $\$ 904.4$ million. Excluding acquired FCAL balances, total deposits decreased $\$ 132.0$ million due entirely to a decrease in time deposits. At June 30, 2013, core deposits totaled $\$ 4.7$ billion, or $85 \%$ of total deposits, and noninterest-bearing demand deposits, which totaled $\$ 2.3$ billion, were $41 \%$ of total deposits at that date.

## PacWest and CapitalSource Merger Announcement

On July 22, 2013, PacWest announced the signing of a definitive agreement and plan of merger (the "Agreement") whereby PacWest and CapitalSource will merge in a transaction valued at approximately $\$ 2.3$ billion. The combined company will be called PacWest Bancorp and the combined subsidiary bank will be called Pacific Western Bank. The CapitalSource national lending operation will continue to do business under the name CapitalSource as a division of Pacific Western Bank.

Under the terms of the Agreement, CapitalSource shareholders will receive $\$ 2.47$ in cash and 0.2837 shares of PacWest common stock for each share of CapitalSource common stock. Based on the closing price of PacWest shares on August 1, 2013 of $\$ 36.17$, the total value of the CapitalSource per share merger consideration is $\$ 12.73$.

As of June 30, 2013, on a pro forma consolidated basis, the combined company would have had approximately $\$ 15.4$ billion in assets with 96 branches throughout California. The combined institution would be the $6^{\text {th }}$ largest publicly-owned bank headquartered in California, and the $8^{\text {th }}$ largest commercial bank headquartered in California (out of more than 232 financial institutions in the state).

The transaction, currently expected to close in the first quarter of 2014, is subject to customary conditions, including the approval of bank regulatory authorities and the stockholders of both companies.

## First California Financial Group Acquisition

On May 31, 2013, PacWest Bancorp ("PacWest") completed the acquisition of First California Financial Group, Inc. ("FCAL"). As part of the acquisition, First California Bank ("FCB"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of FCAL, merged with and into Pacific Western. The acquisition, which was first announced on November 6, 2012, was concluded following receipt of shareholder approval from both institutions and all required regulatory approvals.

In the FCAL acquisition, each share of FCAL common stock was converted into the right to receive 0.2966 of a share of PacWest common stock. The exchange ratio was calculated based on the volume-weighted average share price of PacWest common stock for the 20 consecutive trading days ending on the second full trading day prior to the receipt of the last of the regulatory approvals required under the merger agreement. PacWest issued an aggregate of approximately 8.4 million shares of PacWest common stock to FCAL stockholders (which included PacWest common shares issuable in exchange for FCAL's Series A Preferred Stock). In addition, approximately one million shares of FCAL common stock owned by PacWest were cancelled in the transaction. Based on the closing price of PacWest's common stock on May 31, 2013 of $\$ 28.83$ per share, the aggregate consideration paid to FCAL common stockholders, plus the cost of the FCAL shares of common stock cancelled in the merger, was $\$ 246.3$ million.

The integration of FCB systems and the conversion of FCB's branches to PWB's operating platform was completed in June 2013. FCB had 15 branches, eight of which overlapped with existing PWB branches. Six of the FCB branches and two PWB branches were closed as part of the integration and consolidation plan. PWB added seven locations to its branch network.

FCB was a full-service commercial bank headquartered in Westlake Village, California. FCB provided a full range of banking services, including revolving lines of credit, term loans, commercial real estate loans, construction loans, consumer loans and home equity loans to individuals, professionals, and small to mid-sized businesses. FCB operated throughout Southern California in the Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, Ventura, and San Luis Obispo Counties. We made this acquisition to expand our presence in Southern California.
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## 2012 Acquisitions

## American Perspective Bank Acquisition

On August 1, 2012, Pacific Western completed the acquisition of American Perspective Bank, or APB, previously headquartered in San Luis Obispo, California. Pacific Western acquired all of the outstanding common stock of APB for $\$ 58.1$ million in cash and APB was merged with and into Pacific Western; we refer to this transaction as the APB acquisition. APB operated two branches located in San Luis Obispo and Santa Maria, California, and a loan production office located in Paso Robles, California, which has since been converted to a full-service branch. The APB acquisition strengthened our presence in the Central Coast region.

Celtic Capital Corporation Acquisition
On April 3, 2012, Pacific Western completed the acquisition of Celtic Capital Corporation, or Celtic, an asset-based lending company based in Santa Monica, California. Pacific Western acquired all of the capital stock of Celtic for $\$ 18$ million in cash and Celtic became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pacific Western; we refer to this transaction as the Celtic acquisition. Celtic focuses on providing asset-based loans to borrowers across the United States for amounts generally up to $\$ 5$ million. The Celtic acquisition diversified our loan portfolio, expanded our product lines, and deployed excess liquidity into higher yielding assets.

## Pacific Western Equipment Finance Acquisition

On January 3, 2012, Pacific Western completed the acquisition of Pacific Western Equipment Finance (formerly known as Marquette Equipment Finance, and which we refer to as EQF), an equipment leasing company based in Midvale, Utah. Pacific Western acquired all of the capital stock of EQF for $\$ 35$ million in cash and EQF became a division of Pacific Western; we refer to this transaction as the EQF acquisition. The EQF acquisition diversified our lending portfolio, expanded our product lines, and deployed excess liquidity into higher yielding assets.

## Key Performance Indicators

Among other factors, our operating results depend generally on the following key performance indicators:

## The Level of Our Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the excess of interest earned on our interest-earning assets over the interest paid on our interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest margin is net interest income expressed as a percentage of average interest-earning assets. A sustained low interest rate environment combined with low loan growth and high levels of marketplace liquidity may lower both our net interest income and net interest margin going forward.

Our primary interest-earning assets are loans and investments. Our primary interest-bearing liabilities are deposits. We attribute our high net interest margin to our high level of noninterest-bearing deposits and low cost of deposits. While our deposit balances will fluctuate depending on deposit holders' perceptions of alternative yields available in the market, we attempt to minimize these variances by attracting a high percentage of noninterest-bearing deposits, which have no expectation of yield.
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## Loan and Lease Growth

We generally seek new lending opportunities in the $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 15$ million range; try to limit loan maturities to one year for commercial loans, up to 18 months for construction loans, and up to ten years for commercial real estate loans; and price lending products so as to preserve our interest spread and net interest margin. Achieving robust loan growth has been challenging and repayments have outpaced our new loan volume. Net loan growth over the last several quarters would have involved (a) under-pricing competitors in many cases at margins that are not significantly above our securities portfolio yield, and (b) incurring unacceptable interest rate risk. We continue to selectively make or renew quality loans to our good customers that contribute positively to our profitability and net interest margin and we are focused on building relationships rather than attracting customers at low prices. Our loan pipeline has built-up nicely due to slowly improving economic conditions in our markets, our focus on existing customers for new business referrals, and the service levels we provide that enable us to attract and retain business from the larger banks.

## The Magnitude of Credit Losses

We stress credit quality in originating and monitoring the loans we make and measure our success by the levels of our nonperforming assets, net charge-offs, and allowance for credit losses. We maintain an allowance for credit losses on non-purchased credit impaired ("Non-PCI") loans and leases, which is the sum of our allowance for loan and lease losses and our reserve for unfunded loan commitments. Provisions for credit losses are charged to operations as and when needed for both on and off-balance sheet credit exposure. Loans and leases which are deemed uncollectible are charged off and deducted from the allowance for loan and lease losses. Recoveries on loans and leases previously charged off are added to the allowance for loan and lease losses. The provision for credit losses on the Non-PCI loan and lease portfolio was based on our allowance methodology and reflected historical and current net charge-offs, the levels and trends of nonaccrual and classified loans and leases, the migration of loans and leases into various risk classifications, and the level of outstanding loans and leases. A provision for credit losses on the purchased credit impaired ("PCI") loan portfolio may be recorded to reflect decreases in expected cash flows on PCI loans compared to those previously estimated.

We regularly review our loans and leases to determine whether there has been any deterioration in credit quality stemming from economic conditions or other factors which may affect collectability of our loans and leases. Changes in economic conditions, such as inflation, unemployment, increases in the general level of interest rates, declines in real estate values and negative conditions in borrowers' businesses could negatively impact our customers and cause us to adversely classify loans and leases and increase portfolio loss factors. An increase in classified loans and leases generally results in increased provisions for credit losses. Any deterioration in the real estate market may lead to increased provisions for credit losses because of our concentration in real estate loans.

## The Level of Our Noninterest Expense

Our noninterest expense includes fixed and controllable overhead, the major components of which are compensation, occupancy, data processing, and other professional services. It also includes costs that tend to vary based on the volume of activity, such as OREO expense. We measure success in controlling both fixed and variable costs through monitoring of the efficiency ratio. We calculate the base efficiency ratio by dividing noninterest expense by net revenues (the sum of net interest income plus noninterest income). We also calculate a non-GAAP measure called the "adjusted efficiency ratio." The adjusted efficiency ratio is calculated in the same manner as the base efficiency ratio except that (a) noninterest income is reduced by FDIC loss sharing income and securities gains and losses, and (b) noninterest expense is reduced by OREO expenses, acquisition and integration costs, and debt termination expense.
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The consolidated base and adjusted efficiency ratios have been as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{lrr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Base } \\ \text { Efficiency } \\ \text { Ratio }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Adjusted } \\ \text { Efficiency }\end{array} \\ \text { Ratio }\end{array}\right\}$

We disclose the adjusted efficiency ratio as it shows the trend in recurring overhead-related noninterest expense relative to recurring net revenues. See "Results of Operations Non-GAAP Measurements" for the calculations of the base and adjusted efficiency ratios.

## Adjusted Net Earnings

Our reported net earnings for the second quarter of 2013 were $\$ 4.3$ million. Another measure of earnings used as an indicator of earnings generating capability and ability to absorb credit losses is adjusted net earnings. We calculate adjusted net earnings by excluding credit loss provisions, OREO expenses, FDIC loss sharing income or expense, securities gains and losses, and acquisition and integration costs. On a pre-tax basis, before loss from discontinued operations, this amounted to $\$ 27.9$ million. After applying our effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2013, our adjusted net earnings were $\$ 19.4$ million.

## Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's accounting policies are fundamental to understanding management's discussion and analysis of results of operations and financial condition. The Company has identified several policies as being critical because they require management to make particularly difficult, subjective and/or complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain and because of the likelihood that materially different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. These policies relate to the allowance for credit losses, the carrying values of intangible assets, and deferred income tax assets. For further information, refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

## Non-GAAP Measurements

Certain discussion in this Form 10-Q contains non-GAAP financial disclosures for tangible common equity, return on average tangible equity, adjusted earnings from continuing operations before income taxes, and adjusted efficiency ratios. The Company uses certain non-GAAP financial measures to provide meaningful supplemental information regarding the Company's operational performance and to enhance investors' overall understanding of such financial performance. Given the use of tangible common equity amounts and ratios and return on average tangible equity is prevalent among banking regulators, investors and analysts, we disclose our tangible common equity ratio in addition to the equity-to-assets ratio and our return on average tangible equity in addition to return on average equity. Also, as analysts and investors view adjusted earnings from continuing operations before income taxes as an indicator of the Company's ability to both generate earnings and absorb credit losses, we disclose this amount in addition to pre-tax earnings. We disclose the adjusted efficiency ratio as it shows the trend in recurring overhead-related noninterest expense relative to recurring net revenues. The methodology of determining tangible common equity, return on average tangible equity, adjusted earnings from continuing operations before income taxes, and the adjusted efficiency ratio may differ among companies.

These non-GAAP financial measures are presented for supplemental informational purposes only for understanding the Company's operating results and should not be considered a substitute for financial information presented in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP").

The following tables present performance amounts and ratios in accordance with GAAP and a reconciliation of the non-GAAP financial measurements to the GAAP financial measurements:

| Adjusted Earnings From Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | June 30, 2013 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30,$2012$ |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes | \$ | 6,302 | \$ | 21,213 | \$ | 25,970 | \$ | 27,515 | \$ | 34,091 |
| Plus: Provision (negative provision) for credit losses |  | $(1,842)$ |  | 3,137 |  | (271) |  | 1,295 |  | $(6,345)$ |
| Non-covered OREO expense, net |  | 80 |  | 313 |  | 130 |  | 393 |  | 1,951 |
| Covered OREO (income) expense, net |  | (94) |  | (813) |  | 2,130 |  | (907) |  | 2,952 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment loss on covered security |  |  |  |  |  | 1,115 |  |  |  | 1,115 |
| Acquisition and integration costs |  | 17,997 |  | 692 |  | 871 |  | 18,689 |  | 896 |
| Debt termination expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22,598 |
| Less: FDIC loss sharing expense, net |  | $(5,410)$ |  | $(3,137)$ |  | (102) |  | $(8,547)$ |  | $(3,681)$ |
| Gain on sale of securities |  |  |  | 409 |  |  |  | 409 |  |  |
| Adjusted earnings from continuing operations before income taxes | \$ | 27,853 | \$ | 27,270 | \$ | 30,047 | \$ | 55,123 | \$ | 60,939 |


| Adjusted Efficiency Ratio | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2013 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30,$2012$ |  | $2013$ |  |  |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest expense | \$ | 64,216 | \$ | 44,183 | \$ | 47,585 | \$ | 108,399 | \$ | 116,480 |
| Less: Non-covered OREO expense, net |  | 80 |  | 313 |  | 130 |  | 393 |  | 1,951 |
| Covered OREO (income) expense, net |  | (94) |  | (813) |  | 2,130 |  | (907) |  | 2,952 |
| Acquisition and integration costs |  | 17,997 |  | 692 |  | 871 |  | 18,689 |  | 896 |
| Debt termination expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22,598 |
| Adjusted noninterest expense | \$ | 46,233 | \$ | 43,991 | \$ | 44,454 | \$ | 90,224 | \$ | 88,083 |
| Net interest income | \$ | 68,473 | \$ | 65,693 | \$ | 68,413 | \$ | 134,166 | \$ | 136,093 |
| Noninterest income |  | 203 |  | 2,840 |  | 4,871 |  | 3,043 |  | 8,133 |
| Net revenues |  | 68,676 |  | 68,533 |  | 73,284 |  | 137,209 |  | 144,226 |
| Less: FDIC loss sharing expense, net |  | $(5,410)$ |  | $(3,137)$ |  | (102) |  | $(8,547)$ |  | $(3,681)$ |
| Gain on sale of securities |  |  |  | 409 |  |  |  | 409 |  |  |
| Other-than-temporary impairment loss on covered security |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,115)$ |  |  |  | $(1,115)$ |
| Adjusted net revenues | \$ | 74,086 | \$ | 71,261 | \$ | 74,501 | \$ | 145,347 | \$ | 149,022 |
| Base efficiency ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 93.5\% |  | 64.5\% |  | 64.9\% |  | 79.0\% |  | 80.8\% |
| Adjusted efficiency ratio ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 62.4\% |  | 61.7\% |  | 59.7\% |  | 62.1\% |  | 59.1\% |

[^2]Adjusted noninterest expense divided by adjusted net revenues.
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| Adjusted Allowance for Credit Losses to Loans and <br> Leases (Excludes PCI Loans) | June 30, 2013 <br> (Dollars in thousands) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Allowance for credit losses | $\$$ | 69,926 |
| Less: Allowance related to acquired loans | 1,824 |  |
| Adjusted allowance for credit losses | $\$$ | 68,102 |
| Gross loans and leases | $\$$ | $3,926,230$ |
| Less: Carrying value of Non-PCI loans and acquired leases | $\$$ | $1,251,243$ |
| Adjusted loans and leases |  | $2,674,987$ |
| Allowance for credit losses to loans and leases ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |
| Adjusted allowance for credit losses to loans and leases ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | $1.78 \%$ |

(1)

Allowance for credit losses divided by gross loans and leases.
(2)

Adjusted allowance for credit losses divided by adjusted loans and leases.

| Return on Average Tangible Equity | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | June$2013$ |  | $2012$ |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PacWest Bancorp Consolidated: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings | \$ | 4,349 | \$ | 13,494 | \$ | 15,557 | \$ | 17,843 | \$ | 20,821 |
| Average stockholders' equity | \$ | 666,425 | \$ | 589,207 | \$ | 557,180 | \$ | 628,029 | \$ | 554,983 |
| Less: Average intangible assets |  | 129,863 |  | 93,786 |  | 81,184 |  | 111,924 |  | 77,583 |
| Average tangible common equity | \$ | 536,562 | \$ | 495,421 | \$ | 475,996 | \$ | 516,105 | \$ | 477,400 |
| Annualized return on average equity ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 2.62\% |  | 9.29\% |  | 11.23\% |  | 5.73\% |  | 7.54\% |
| Annualized return on average tangible equity ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 3.25\% |  | 11.05\% |  | 13.15\% |  | 6.97\% |  | 8.77\% |

(1)

Calculated as annualized net earnings divided by average stockholders' equity.
(2)

Calculated as annualized net earnings divided by average tangible common equity.
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$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrrr}\text { Tangible Common Equity } & \begin{array}{c}\text { June 30, } \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 3}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { March 31, } \\ \text { 2013 }\end{array} \\ \text { (Dollars in thousands) }\end{array}\right)$
(1)

Calculated as tangible common equity divided by tangible assets.

## Results of Operations

## Acquisitions Impact Earnings Performance

The comparability of financial information is affected by our acquisitions. We completed the FCAL acquisition on May 31, 2013 ( $\$ 1.6$ billion in assets) and the following three acquisitions during 2012: EQF ( $\$ 189.8$ million in assets), which was acquired on January 3, 2012; Celtic ( $\$ 67.1$ million in assets), which was acquired on April 3, 2012; and APB ( $\$ 283.8$ million in assets), which was acquired on August 1, 2012. These acquisitions have been accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting and, accordingly, their operating results have been included in the consolidated financial statements from their respective acquisition dates.
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## Earnings Performance

Summarized financial information for the periods indicated are as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ <br> (Dollars in thou | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ <br> sands, except | Ended J <br> 2013 <br> per share data) | une 30, 2012 |
| Earnings Summary: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ 71,631 | \$ 69,269 | \$ 72,890 | \$ 140,900 | \$ 147,290 |
| Interest expense | $(3,158)$ | $(3,576)$ | $(4,477)$ | $(6,734)$ | $(11,197)$ |
| Net interest income | 68,473 | 65,693 | 68,413 | 134,166 | 136,093 |
| Negative provision (provision) for credit losses | 1,842 | $(3,137)$ | 271 | $(1,295)$ | 6,345 |
| FDIC loss sharing expense, net | $(5,410)$ | $(3,137)$ | (102) | $(8,547)$ | $(3,681)$ |
| Gain on asset sales | 279 | 634 | 403 | 913 | 1,393 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment loss on covered security |  |  | $(1,115)$ |  | $(1,115)$ |
| Other noninterest income | 5,334 | 5,343 | 5,685 | 10,677 | 11,536 |
| Total noninterest income | 203 | 2,840 | 4,871 | 3,043 | 8,133 |
| Non-covered OREO expense, net | (80) | (313) | (130) | (393) | $(1,951)$ |
| Covered OREO expense, net | 94 | 813 | $(2,130)$ | 907 | $(2,952)$ |
| Acquisition and integration costs | $(17,997)$ | (692) | (871) | $(18,689)$ | (896) |
| Debt termination expense |  |  |  |  | $(22,598)$ |
| Other noninterest expense | $(46,233)$ | $(43,991)$ | $(44,454)$ | $(90,224)$ | $(88,083)$ |
| Total noninterest expense | $(64,216)$ | $(44,183)$ | $(47,585)$ | $(108,399)$ | $(116,480)$ |
| Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes | 6,302 | 21,213 | 25,970 | 27,515 | 34,091 |
| Income tax expense | $(1,906)$ | $(7,719)$ | $(10,413)$ | $(9,625)$ | $(13,270)$ |
| Net earnings from continuing operations | 4,396 | 13,494 | 15,557 | 17,890 | 20,821 |


| Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes | (81) | 34 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Income tax benefit | 34 |  |


| Net loss from discontinued operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net earnings | $\$$ | 4,349 | $\$$ | 13,494 | $\$$ | 15,557 | $\$$ | 17,843 | $\$$ | 20,821 |

Profitability Measures:

| Earnings per share: | $\$$ | 0.11 | $\$$ | 0.37 | $\$$ | 0.42 | $\$$ | 0.47 | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic | $\$$ | 0.11 | $\$$ | 0.37 | $\$$ | 0.42 | $\$$ | 0.47 | $\$$ |
| Diluted |  |  |  |  |  | 0.57 |  |  |  |
| Annualized return on: | $0.30 \%$ | $1.02 \%$ | $1.16 \%$ | 0.57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average assets | $2.62 \%$ | $9.29 \%$ | $11.23 \%$ | $5.73 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average equity | $3.25 \%$ | $11.05 \%$ | $13.15 \%$ | $6.97 \%$ | $8.54 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average tangible equity ${ }^{(1)}$ | $5.22 \%$ | $5.40 \%$ | $5.60 \%$ | $5.30 \%$ | $5.50 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest margin | $93.5 \%$ | $64.5 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ | $79.0 \%$ | $80.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Base efficiency ratio | $62.4 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted efficiency ratio ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

> Calculation reduces average equity by average intangible assets.
(2)

Excludes FDIC loss sharing expense, securities gains and losses, OREO expense, acquisition and integration costs, and debt termination expense.

## Table of Contents

The following table presents net credit costs for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ne } 30, \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ch } 31, \\ & 013 \end{aligned}$ | n | $\text { ne } \mathbf{3 0} \text {, }$ <br> 012 <br> ousands) |  | Ended <br> 2013 | Jun | $\begin{aligned} & 30, \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |
| Provision (negative provision) for credit losses on non-covered loans and leases | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | $(10,000)$ |
| Non-covered OREO expense, net |  | 80 |  | 313 |  | 130 |  | 393 |  | 1,951 |
| Total non-covered net credit costs |  | 80 |  | 313 |  | 130 |  | 393 |  | $(8,049)$ |
| Provision (negative provision) for credit losses on covered loans |  | $(1,842)$ |  | 3,137 |  | (271) |  | 1,295 |  | 3,655 |
| Covered OREO (income) expense, net |  | (94) |  | (813) |  | 2,130 |  | (907) |  | 2,952 |
|  |  | $(1,936)$ |  | 2,324 |  | 1,859 |  | 388 |  | 6,607 |
| Less: FDIC loss sharing expense, net |  | $(5,410)$ |  | $(3,137)$ |  | (102) |  | $(8,547)$ |  | $(3,681)$ |
| Total covered net credit costs |  | 3,474 |  | 5,461 |  | 1,961 |  | 8,935 |  | 10,288 |
| Total net credit costs | \$ | 3,554 | \$ | 5,774 | \$ | 2,091 | \$ | 9,328 | \$ | 2,239 |

## Second Quarter of 2013 Compared to First Quarter of 2013

Net earnings were $\$ 4.3$ million, or $\$ 0.11$ per diluted share, for the second quarter of 2013 compared to net earnings for the first quarter of 2013 of $\$ 13.5$ million, or $\$ 0.37$ per diluted share. Net earnings from continuing operations were $\$ 4.4$ million for the second quarter compared to $\$ 13.5$ million for the first quarter. The operating results of Electronic Payment Services ("EPS"), a division acquired in the FCAL acquisition, have been reported as discontinued operations because we are exiting this business.

The quarter-over-quarter decline in net earnings of $\$ 9.1$ million was due mostly to: (a) the $\$ 17.3$ million ( $\$ 10.0$ million after tax) increase in acquisition and integration costs, (b) the $\$ 882,000(\$ 512,000$ after tax) increase in occupancy costs, (c) the $\$ 707,000$ ( $\$ 410,000$ after tax) increase in compensation expense, and (d) the $\$ 409,000$ ( $\$ 237,000$ after tax) decline in gain on sale of securities. These items were offset partially by: (a) the $\$ 2.2$ million ( $\$ 1.3$ million after tax) increase in interest income on loans and (b) the $\$ 2.2$ million ( $\$ 1.3$ million after tax) decrease in net credit costs (credit provisions, FDIC loss sharing expense, and OREO expense). The increases in acquisition and integration costs, occupancy costs, compensation expense, and interest income on loans were attributable to the FCAL acquisition on May 31, 2013.

## Second Quarter of 2013 Compared to Second Quarter of 2012

Net earnings for the second quarter of 2013 were $\$ 4.3$ million, or $\$ 0.11$ per diluted share, compared to net earnings for the second quarter of 2012 of $\$ 15.6$ million, or $\$ 0.42$ per diluted share. The $\$ 11.2$ million decrease in net earnings was due primarily to: (a) the $\$ 17.1$ million ( $\$ 9.9$ million after tax) increase in acquisition and integration costs, (b) the $\$ 2.4$ million ( $\$ 1.4$ million after tax) increase in compensation expense, and (c) the $\$ 1.5$ million ( $\$ 849,000$ after tax) increase in net credit costs. These items were offset partially by the $\$ 1.1$ million $(\$ 647,000)$ decrease in other-than-temporary impairment loss on a covered security.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
Net earnings from continuing operations for the six months ended June 30 , 2013 were $\$ 17.9$ million, a decrease of $\$ 2.9$ million compared to the same period last year. The decline in
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profitability was due mainly to: (a) the $\$ 17.8$ million ( $\$ 10.3$ million after tax) increase in acquisition and integration costs, (b) the $\$ 7.1$ million ( $\$ 4.1$ million after tax) increase in net credit costs, (c) the $\$ 3.5$ million ( $\$ 2.0$ million after tax) increase in compensation expense, and (d) the $\$ 1.9$ million ( $\$ 1.1$ million after tax) decrease in net interest income. These items were offset by (a) the $\$ 22.6$ million ( $\$ 13.1$ million after tax) decrease in debt termination expense and (b) the $\$ 1.1$ million ( $\$ 647,000$ after tax) decrease in other-than-temporary impairment loss on a covered security.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income, which is our principal source of revenue, represents the difference between interest earned on interest-earning assets and interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest margin is net interest income expressed as a percentage of average interest-earning assets. Net interest income is affected by changes in both interest rates and the volume of average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.
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The following tables present, for the periods indicated, the distribution of average assets, liabilities and stockholders' equity, as well as interest income and yields earned on average interest-earning assets and interest expense and rates paid on average interest-bearing liabilities:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jun <br> Average <br> Balance | 30, 2013 <br> Interest <br> Income/ <br> Expense | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yields } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Rates } \end{aligned}$ | Mar <br> Average Balance <br> (Dollars | h 31, 2013 <br> Interest <br> Income/ <br> Expense <br> in thousand | Yields <br> and <br> Rates <br> s) | June <br> Average <br> Balance | 30, 2012 <br> Interest <br> Income/ <br> Expense | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yields } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Rates } \end{aligned}$ |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ 3,765,715 | \$ 63,168 | 6.73\% \$ | \$ 3,500,895 | \$ 61,010 | 7.07\% \$ | \$ 3,499,056 | \$ 63,312 | 7.28\% |
| Investment securities ${ }^{(2)}$ | 1,424,804 | 8,414 | 2.37\% | 1,365,210 | 8,216 | 2.44\% | 1,390,080 | 9,558 | 2.77\% |
| Deposits in financial institutions | 75,739 | 49 | 0.26\% | 69,056 | 43 | 0.25\% | 28,478 | 20 | 0.28\% |
| Federal funds sold |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| Total interest-earning assets | 5,266,258 | \$ 71,631 | 5.46\% | 4,935,161 | \$ 69,269 | 5.69\% | 4,917,624 | \$ 72,890 | 5.96\% |
| Other assets | 511,633 |  |  | 440,990 |  |  | 463,962 |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ 5,777,891 |  |  | \$ 5,376,151 |  |  | \$ 5,381,586 |  |  |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest checking deposits | \$ 557,438 | 75 | 0.05\% \$ | \$ 523,503 | \$ 62 | 0.05\% \$ | \$ 514,969 | \$ 68 | 0.05\% |
| Money market deposits | 1,307,386 | 587 | 0.18\% | 1,207,332 | 484 | 0.16\% | 1,172,050 | 555 | 0.19\% |
| Savings deposits | 184,055 | 22 | 0.05\% | 155,687 | 12 | 0.03\% | 160,937 | 12 | 0.03\% |
| Time deposits | 756,008 | 1,393 | 0.74\% | 796,644 | 2,091 | 1.06\% | 889,705 | 2,701 | 1.22\% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 2,804,887 | 2,077 | 0.30\% | 2,683,166 | 2,649 | 0.40\% | 2,737,661 | 3,336 | 0.49\% |
| Borrowings | 20,554 | 199 | 3.88\% | 12,561 | 144 | 4.65\% | 113,233 | 293 | 1.04\% |
| Subordinated debentures | 116,998 | 882 | 3.02\% | 108,250 | 783 | 2.93\% | 108,250 | 848 | 3.15\% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 2,942,439 | \$ 3,158 | 0.43\% | 2,803,977 | \$ 3,576 | 0.52\% | 2,959,144 | \$ 4,477 | 0.61\% |
| Noninterest-bearing demand deposits | 2,072,923 |  |  | 1,940,435 |  |  | 1,824,278 |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 96,104 |  |  | 42,532 |  |  | 40,984 |  |  |
| Total liabilities | 5,111,466 |  |  | 4,786,944 |  |  | 4,824,406 |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity | 666,425 |  |  | 589,207 |  |  | 557,180 |  |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 5,777,891 |  |  | \$ 5,376,151 |  |  | \$ 5,381,586 |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | \$ 68,473 |  |  | \$ 65,693 |  |  | \$ 68,413 |  |
| Net interest rate spread |  |  | 5.03\% |  |  | 5.17\% |  |  | 5.35\% |
| Net interest margin |  |  | 5.22\% |  |  | 5.40\% |  |  | 5.60\% |
| Total deposits | \$ 4,877,810 |  |  | \$ 4,623,601 |  |  | \$ 4,561,939 |  |  |
| All-in deposit $\operatorname{cost}^{(3)}$ |  |  | 0.17\% |  |  | 0.23\% |  |  | 0.29\% |

[^3](2)
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The tax-equivalent yield on investment securities was $2.74 \%, 2.79 \%$, and $3.03 \%$ for the three months ended June 30, 2013, March 31, 2013, and June 30, 2012, respectively.
(3)

All-in deposit cost is calculated as annualized interest expense on deposits divided by average total deposits.

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Balance |  | 13 <br> Interest <br> Income/ <br> Expense | Yields and <br> Rates <br> ollars in t | hou | Average Balance usands) |  | 012 <br> Interest <br> Income/ <br> Expense | Yields and Rates |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ | \$ | 3,634,037 | \$ | 124,178 | 6.89\% | \$ | 3,530,911 |  | 128,064 | 7.29\% |
| Investment securities ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 1,395,172 |  | 16,630 | 2.40\% |  | 1,376,573 |  | 19,138 | 2.80\% |
| Deposits in financial institutions |  | 72,416 |  | 92 | 0.26\% |  | 66,017 |  | 88 | 0.27\% |
| Federal funds sold |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Total interest-earning assets |  | 5,101,625 | \$ | 140,900 | 5.57\% |  | 4,973,506 |  | 147,290 | 5.96\% |
| Other assets |  | 476,506 |  |  |  |  | 467,571 |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 5,578,131 |  |  |  |  | 5,441,077 |  |  |  |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest checking deposits | \$ | 540,564 | \$ | 137 | 0.05\% | \$ | 514,079 | \$ | 133 | 0.05\% |
| Money market deposits |  | 1,257,635 |  | 1,070 | 0.17\% |  | 1,185,638 |  | 1,122 | 0.19\% |
| Savings deposits |  | 169,950 |  | 34 | 0.04\% |  | 160,947 |  | 25 | 0.03\% |
| Time deposits |  | 776,214 |  | 3,485 | 0.91\% |  | 916,103 |  | 5,660 | 1.24\% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits |  | 2,744,363 |  | 4,726 | 0.35\% |  | 2,776,767 |  | 6,940 | 0.50\% |
| Borrowings |  | 16,580 |  | 343 | 4.17\% |  | 176,506 |  | 2,218 | 2.53\% |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 112,648 |  | 1,665 | 2.98\% |  | 115,821 |  | 2,039 | 3.54\% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities |  | 2,873,591 | \$ | 6,734 | 0.47\% |  | 3,069,094 | \$ | 11,197 | 0.73\% |
| Noninterest-bearing demand deposits |  | 2,007,045 |  |  |  |  | 1,771,641 |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities |  | 69,466 |  |  |  |  | 45,359 |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities |  | 4,950,102 |  |  |  |  | 4,886,094 |  |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity |  | 628,029 |  |  |  |  | 554,983 |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ | 5,578,131 |  |  |  | \$ | 5,441,077 |  |  |  |
| Net interest income |  |  | \$ | 134,166 |  |  |  | \$ | 136,093 |  |
| Net interest rate spread |  |  |  |  | 5.10\% |  |  |  |  | 5.23\% |
| Net interest margin |  |  |  |  | 5.30\% |  |  |  |  | 5.50\% |
| Total deposits | \$ | 4,751,408 |  |  |  | \$ | 4,548,408 |  |  |  |
| All-in deposit $\operatorname{cost}^{(3)}$ |  |  |  |  | 0.20\% |  |  |  |  | 0.31\% |

(1)
(2)
(3)

All-in deposit cost is calculated as annualized interest expense on deposits divided by average total deposits.
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The net interest margin ("NIM") is impacted by several items that cause volatility from period to period. The effects of such items on the net interest margin are shown in the following table for the periods indicated:

| Items Impacting NIM Volatility | Three Months Ended |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Six Months } \\ \text { Ended June 30, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | Increase (Decrease) in NIM |  |  |  |  |
| Accelerated accretion of acquisition discounts resulting from PCI loan payoffs | 0.01\% | 0.04\% | 0.19\% | 0.03\% | 0.19\% |
| Nonaccrual loan interest | 0.01\% | 0.01\% | (0.02)\% | 0.01\% | (0.01)\% |
| Unearned income on the early repayment of leases | 0.01\% | 0.08\% | 0.01\% | 0.04\% |  |
| Celtic loan portfolio premium amortization | (0.02)\% | (0.01)\% | (0.06)\% | (0.02)\% | (0.03)\% |
| Total | 0.01\% | 0.12\% | 0.12\% | 0.06\% | 0.15\% |

The following table presents the loan yields and related average balances for our Non-PCI loans and leases, PCI loans, and total loan and lease portfolio for the periods indicated:


The loan yield is impacted by the same items which cause volatility in the NIM. The following table presents the effects of these items on the total loan and lease yield for the periods indicated:

| Items Impacting Loan and Lease Yield Volatility | Three Months Ended |  |  | Six Months <br> Ended <br> June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | June 30, $2012$ |  |  |
|  | Increase (Decrease) in Loan Yield |  |  |  |  |
| Accelerated accretion of acquisition discounts resulting from PCI loan payoffs | 0.02\% | 0.08\% | 0.25\% | 0.05\% | 0.26\% |
| Nonaccrual loan interest | 0.02\% | 0.01\% | (0.02)\% | 0.01\% | (0.01)\% |
| Unearned income on the early repayment of leases | 0.01\% | 0.10\% | 0.01\% | 0.06\% | 0.01\% |
| Celtic loan portfolio premium amortization | (0.03)\% | (0.02)\% | (0.08)\% | (0.03)\% | (0.04)\% |
| Total | 0.02\% | 0.17\% | 0.16\% | 0.09\% | 0.22\% |

## Second Quarter of 2013 Compared to First Quarter of 2013

Net interest income increased by $\$ 2.8$ million to $\$ 68.5$ million for the second quarter compared to $\$ 65.7$ million for the first quarter due primarily to higher interest income on loans and leases. The $\$ 2.2$ million increase in interest income on loans and leases was due mainly to the additional interest
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income on loans acquired in the FCAL acquisition, offset by lower accelerated accretion of acquisition discounts resulting from purchased credit impaired ("PCI") loan payoffs, lower income on the early repayment of leases and lower average loan balances excluding acquired loans. Interest expense declined by $\$ 418,000$ due mostly to maturities of higher-cost time deposits.

Our net interest margin ("NIM") for the second quarter was $5.22 \%$ compared to $5.40 \%$ for the first quarter. The decrease in the NIM was due mostly to a lower loan and lease yield resulting from the addition of the FCAL loan portfolio and continued downward repricing of our loan portfolio due to the ongoing lower interest rate environment.

The yield on average loans and leases decreased 34 basis points to $6.73 \%$ for the second quarter from $7.07 \%$ for the first quarter. This was due mainly to the addition of the FCAL loan portfolio, lower loan and lease yields on new originations due to the ongoing low interest rate environment, lower accelerated accretion of acquisition discounts resulting from PCI loan payoffs, and lower income on the early repayment of leases. Accelerated accretion of acquisition discounts from PCI loan payoffs totaled approximately $\$ 177,000$ for the second quarter and $\$ 677,000$ for the first quarter, increasing the loan yields by 2 basis points and 8 basis points, respectively. Total income from early lease payoffs was $\$ 111,000$ for the second quarter and $\$ 857,000$ for the first quarter.

The cost of total interest-bearing liabilities declined nine basis points to $0.43 \%$ for the second quarter from $0.52 \%$ for the first quarter. All-in deposit cost declined six basis points to $0.17 \%$ during the second quarter from $0.23 \%$ during the first quarter. Such declines are due mainly to the maturities of higher-cost time deposits.

## Second Quarter of 2013 Compared to Second Quarter of 2012

Net interest income increased by $\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 68.5$ million for the second quarter of 2013 compared to $\$ 68.4$ million for the second quarter of 2012. This change was due mainly to a $\$ 1.3$ million decrease in interest expense on deposits, offset partially by a $\$ 1.1$ million decline in interest income on investment securities and a $\$ 144,000$ decrease in interest on loans and leases. Interest income on investment securities declined due mostly to lower interest income on our government agency and government-sponsored enterprise ("GSE") pass through securities portfolio ( $\$ 2.8$ million in interest income), offset by higher interest on our municipal securities portfolio attributable to purchases ( $\$ 1.6$ million in interest income). The lower interest on our pass through securities was due to: (a) a lower average balance, (b) accelerated premium amortization due to increased prepayment speeds, and (c) the lower yields on such securities purchased during the last six months of 2012 and first six months of 2013 attributable to the generally lower interest rate environment. Interest income on loans and leases decreased due to a lower portfolio yield ( $\$ 4.8$ million in interest), offset partially by a higher average portfolio balance ( $\$ 4.6$ million in interest). The yield on loans and leases decreased 55 basis points to $6.73 \%$ for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to $7.28 \%$ for the three months ended June 30 , 2012. The average balance of loans and leases increased $\$ 266.7$ million to $\$ 3.8$ billion in the second quarter of 2013 compared to the same period last year. The decline in interest expense on deposits for the second quarter of 2013 compared to the same period last year was due mainly to the lower rate and average balance of time deposits.

The NIM declined 38 basis points to $5.22 \%$ for the second quarter of 2013 compared to $5.60 \%$ for the same quarter last year due mostly to the lower loan and lease yield, offset by lower funding costs. The 55 basis point decrease in the loan and lease yield was driven by the ongoing lower interest rate environment as loans have been originated and acquired at these lower market rates combined with a lower level of accelerated accretion of acquisition discounts from PCI loan payoffs. Accelerated accretion of acquisition discounts from PCI loan payoffs totaled approximately $\$ 177,000$ for the second quarter of 2013 and $\$ 2.2$ million for the second quarter of 2012, increasing the loan yields by 8 basis points and 25 basis points, respectively. All-in deposit cost declined 12 basis points to $0.17 \%$ for the
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second quarter of 2013 compared to the same period last year. The cost of interest-bearing deposits declined 19 basis points to $0.30 \%$ due to a lower rate on time deposits and a shift in the deposit mix to lower cost interest-bearing checking, money market, and savings deposits from higher cost time deposits attributable to the decline in average time deposits. The cost of total interest-bearing liabilities declined 18 basis points to $0.43 \%$ due mainly to the reduction in the cost of interest-bearing deposits.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Net interest income decreased by $\$ 1.9$ million to $\$ 134.2$ million during the six months ended June 30 , 2013, representing a $\$ 6.4$ million decrease in interest income and a $\$ 4.5$ million decrease in interest expense. Interest income on loans and leases decreased $\$ 3.9$ million due to lower loan yields offset by increased average loan and lease balances. Interest income on investments securities decreased $\$ 2.5$ million due to lower market yields. Interest expense on deposits decreased $\$ 2.2$ million due to lower rates on all interest-bearing deposits and lower average time deposits. Interest expense on borrowings declined $\$ 1.9$ million due to lower average borrowings; we repaid fixed-rate term FHLB advances at the end of the first quarter of 2012 and replaced a portion of those advances with lower cost overnight FHLB advances that were repaid during the third quarter of 2012. Interest expense on subordinated debentures decreased $\$ 374,000$ due to the March 2012 redemption of $\$ 18.6$ million in fixed-rate trust preferred securities.

The NIM for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was $5.30 \%$, a decrease of 20 basis points from $5.50 \%$ for the same period last year. The decrease was due to a lower yield on loans and leases and securities, offset in part by lower funding costs.

The yield on average loans and leases decreased 40 basis points to $6.89 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to $7.29 \%$ for the same period last year, due mainly to lower accelerated accretion of acquisition discounts from PCI loan payoffs and lower yields on new loan and lease originations. Accelerated accretion of acquisition discounts from PCI loan payoffs totaled approximately $\$ 854,000$ for the first six months of 2013 and $\$ 4.7$ million for the same period last year, increasing the loan yields by 5 basis points and 26 basis points, respectively.

All-in deposit cost declined 11 basis points to $0.20 \%$ for the first six months of 2013 compared to last year. The cost of interest-bearing deposits declined 15 basis points to $0.35 \%$ due to lower rates on time deposits and a shift in the deposit mix to lower cost interest-bearing checking, money market and savings deposits from higher cost time deposits. The cost of total interest-bearing liabilities declined 26 basis points to $0.47 \%$ due to the reduction in the cost of time deposits and the first quarter of 2012 repayment of $\$ 225.0$ million in fixed-rate term FHLB advances and the redemption of $\$ 18.6$ million in fixed-rate trust preferred securities.
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## Provision for Credit Losses

The following table sets forth the details of the provision for credit losses and allowance for credit losses data for the periods indicated:


We recorded a negative provision for credit losses of $\$ 1.8$ million for the second quarter of 2013 compared to a positive provision for credit losses of $\$ 3.1$ million for the first quarter of 2013 and a negative provision of $\$ 271,000$ for the second quarter of 2012.

The provision related to Non-PCI loans and leases was zero for the second quarter of 2013, the first quarter of 2013 and the second quarter of 2012. Net charge-offs on Non-PCI loans and leases were $\$ 2.0$ million for the second quarter of 2013, $\$ 223,000$ in the first quarter of 2013, and $\$ 3.7$ million for the second quarter of 2012. Nonaccrual loans and leases totaled $\$ 51.7$ million, $\$ 43.1$ million, and
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$\$ 55.9$ million at June 30, 2013, March 31, 2013, and June 30, 2012, respectively. Classified loans and leases were $\$ 128.2$ million, $\$ 107.2$ million, and $\$ 144.1$ million at June 30, 2013, March 31, 2013, and June 30, 2012, respectively.

The allowance for credit losses on Non-PCI loans and leases was $\$ 69.9$ million as of June 30, 2013 and represented $1.78 \%$ of Non-PCI loans and leases at that date. This compares to an allowance for credit losses on Non-PCI loans and leases of $\$ 71.9$ million, or $2.41 \%$ of Non-PCI loans and leases, as of March 31, 2013, and an allowance for credit losses on Non-PCI loans of $\$ 78.0$ million, or $2.71 \%$ of Non-PCI loans, as of June 30, 2012. The decrease in the allowance coverage ratio is due to the level of Non-PCI loans and acquired leases which were initially recorded at their estimated fair values.

Our Non-PCI loans and leases at June 30, 2013, include $\$ 1.2$ billion in loans and leases acquired in acquisitions. These acquired loans and leases were initially recorded at their estimated fair values and such initial fair values included an estimate of credit losses. The allowance calculation for Non-PCI loans and leases takes into consideration those loans and leases whose credit quality has deteriorated since their acquisition dates. At June 30, 2013, $\$ 1.8$ million of our allowance for credit losses applies to these acquired loans and leases. When these acquired loans and leases are excluded from the total of Non-PCI loans and leases and their related allowance of $\$ 1.8$ million is excluded from the allowance for credit losses, the adjusted coverage ratio of our allowance for credit losses for Non-PCI loans and leases increases to $2.55 \%$ at June 30, 2013; the comparable ratio at March 31, 2013 was 2.63\%.

During the second quarter of 2013, we recorded a $\$ 1.8$ million negative provision for credit losses on the PCI portfolio due to increases in the expected cash flows on the underlying loans. During the first quarter of 2013, we made a $\$ 3.1$ million positive provision for credit losses on the PCI loan portfolio due largely to updated appraisals on two collateral-dependent covered loan relationships. During the second quarter of 2012, we recorded a $\$ 271,000$ negative provision for credit losses on PCI loans due to an increase in expected cash flows on PCI loans from previous estimates. The majority of the PCI loan portfolio is comprised of covered loans whereby the FDIC absorbs $80 \%$ of the losses on covered loans under the terms of our loss sharing agreements.

We made a positive provision for credit losses totaling $\$ 1.3$ million during the first six months of 2013 compared to a negative provision of $\$ 6.3$ million for the same period last year. We recorded a zero provision for Non-PCI loans for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to a negative provision for Non-PCI loans of $\$ 10.0$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Net charge-offs on Non-PCI loans were $\$ 2.2$ million and $\$ 5.8$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The provision for PCI loans was $\$ 1.3$ million for the first six months of 2013 compared to $\$ 3.7$ million for the same period last year.

Increased provisions for credit losses may be required in the future based on loan and unfunded commitment growth, the effect that changes in economic conditions, such as inflation, unemployment, market interest rate levels, and real estate values, may have on the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans, and other negative conditions specific to our borrowers' businesses. See further discussion in "Balance Sheet Analysis Allowance for Credit Losses on Non-PCI Loans" and "Balance Sheet Analysis Allowance for Credit Losses on PCI Loans" contained herein.
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## Noninterest Income

The following table summarizes noninterest income by category for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March 31, } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2013 | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest Income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ | 2,767 |  |  | \$ | 2,863 | \$ | 3,328 | \$ | 5,630 | \$ | 6,681 |
| Other commissions and fees |  | 2,154 |  | 1,933 |  |  |  | 2,095 |  | 4,087 |  | 3,978 |
| Gain on sale of leases |  | 279 |  | 225 |  | 403 |  | 504 |  | 1,393 |
| Gain on sale of securities |  |  |  | 409 |  |  |  | 409 |  |  |
| Other-than-temporary impairment loss on covered security |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,115)$ |  |  |  | $(1,115)$ |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance |  | 221 |  | 433 |  | 295 |  | 654 |  | 660 |
| FDIC loss sharing income (expense), net |  | $(5,410)$ |  | $(3,137)$ |  | (102) |  | $(8,547)$ |  | $(3,681)$ |
| Other income |  | 192 |  | 114 |  | (33) |  | 306 |  | 217 |
| Total noninterest income | \$ | 203 | \$ | 2,840 | \$ | 4,871 | \$ | 3,043 | \$ | 8,133 |

The following table presents the details of FDIC loss sharing income (expense), net for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | 2012 |  |
| FDIC Loss Sharing Income, Net: | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gain on FDIC loss sharing asset ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 494 | \$ | 4,057 | \$ | 575 | \$ | 4,551 | \$ | (292) |
| FDIC loss sharing asset amortization, net |  | $(5,756)$ |  | $(5,991)$ |  | $(1,917)$ |  | $(11,747)$ |  | $(4,430)$ |
| Loan recoveries shared with FDIC ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  |  | (591) |  | $(1,246)$ |  | (591) |  | $(2,085)$ |
| Net reimbursement (to) from FDIC for covered OREO activity ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | (149) |  | (614) |  | 1,589 |  | (763) |  | 2,223 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment losses on covered security |  |  |  |  |  | 892 |  |  |  | 892 |
| Other |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 5 |  | 3 |  | 11 |
| Total FDIC loss sharing income (expense), net | \$ | $(5,410)$ | \$ | $(3,137)$ | \$ | (102) | \$ | $(8,547)$ | \$ | $(3,681)$ |

[^4]
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Second Quarter of 2013 Compared to First Quarter of 2013
Noninterest income decreased by $\$ 2.6$ million to $\$ 203,000$ for the second quarter compared to $\$ 2.8$ million for the first quarter. The change was due to higher net FDIC loss sharing expense and lower gains on sales of securities. The second quarter included net FDIC loss sharing expense of $\$ 5.4$ million compared to the first quarter net FDIC loss sharing expense of $\$ 3.1$ million; the $\$ 2.3$ million increase was due mostly to the lower provision for credit losses on covered loans offset by lower covered loan recoveries and lower gain on sales of OREO. The amortization of the FDIC loss sharing asset decreased $\$ 235,000$ to $\$ 5.8$ million from $\$ 6.0$ million. Gain on sale of securities decreased by $\$ 409,000$, as there were no sales of securities in the second quarter. During the first quarter, we sold $\$ 12.4$ million in corporate debt securities at a $\$ 409,000$ gain in order to reduce overall portfolio price volatility and extension risk.

Second Quarter of 2013 Compared to Second Quarter of 2012

Noninterest income decreased by $\$ 4.7$ million to $\$ 203,000$ for the second quarter of 2013 compared to $\$ 4.9$ million for the second quarter of 2012. The change was due to higher net FDIC loss sharing expense of $\$ 5.3$ million and lower service charges on deposit accounts of $\$ 561,000$, offset by lower other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") loss on one covered security of $\$ 1.1$ million. The reduction in service charges on deposit accounts was attributable primarily to lower analysis charges and NSF fees. The second quarter of 2013 included net FDIC loss sharing expense of $\$ 5.4$ million compared to second quarter of 2012 net FDIC loss sharing expense of $\$ 102,000$; such change was due mostly to higher net amortization of the FDIC loss sharing asset, lower net covered OREO costs, and lower OTTI loss on covered security, offset partially by lower loan recoveries shared with the FDIC. We recorded an OTTI loss on one covered security of $\$ 1.1$ million during the second quarter of 2012 which was not repeated in 2013.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Noninterest income declined by $\$ 5.1$ million to $\$ 3.0$ million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to $\$ 8.1$ million for the same period last year. The change was due mainly to an increase in net FDIC loss sharing expense of $\$ 4.9$ million, a $\$ 1.1$ million decline in service charges on deposit accounts and lower gains on sales of leases and securities of $\$ 480,000$. These decreases were offset in part by a decline in OTTI charges of $\$ 1.1$ million. FDIC loss sharing income, net, decreased due mainly to lower provisions for credit losses on covered loans and higher amortization of the FDIC loss sharing asset, offset by higher net covered OREO costs.

## Noninterest Expense

The following table summarizes noninterest expense by category for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2013 | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest Expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compensation | \$ | 26,057 |  |  | \$ | 25,350 | \$ | 23,699 | \$ | 51,407 | \$ | 47,886 |
| Occupancy |  | 7,480 |  | 6,598 |  |  |  | 7,088 |  | 14,078 |  | 14,376 |
| Data processing |  | 2,455 |  | 2,233 |  | 2,258 |  | 4,688 |  | 4,538 |
| Other professional services |  | 2,240 |  | 2,097 |  | 2,378 |  | 4,337 |  | 4,148 |
| Business development |  | 798 |  | 736 |  | 581 |  | 1,534 |  | 1,219 |
| Communications |  | 622 |  | 613 |  | 626 |  | 1,235 |  | 1,234 |
| Insurance and assessments |  | 1,267 |  | 1,261 |  | 1,323 |  | 2,528 |  | 2,616 |
| Non-covered other real estate owned, net |  | 80 |  | 313 |  | 130 |  | 393 |  | 1,951 |
| Covered other real estate owned, net |  | (94) |  | (813) |  | 2,130 |  | (907) |  | 2,952 |
| Intangible asset amortization |  | 1,284 |  | 1,176 |  | 1,737 |  | 2,460 |  | 3,472 |
| Acquisition and integration |  | 17,997 |  | 692 |  | 871 |  | 18,689 |  | 896 |
| Debt termination |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22,598 |
| Other expense |  | 4,030 |  | 3,927 |  | 4,764 |  | 7,957 |  | 8,594 |
| Total noninterest expense | \$ | 64,216 | \$ | 44,183 | \$ | 47,585 | \$ | 108,399 | \$ | 116,480 |

The following tables present the components of OREO expense, net for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2013 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | 2012 |  |
| Non-Covered OREO Expense: | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for losses | \$ |  | \$ | 92 | \$ | 101 | \$ | 92 | \$ | 853 |
| Maintenance costs |  | 293 |  | 270 |  | 357 |  | 563 |  | 1,384 |
| Gain on sale |  | (213) |  | (49) |  | (328) |  | (262) |  | (286) |
| Total non-covered OREO expense, net | \$ | 80 | \$ | 313 | \$ | 130 | \$ | 393 | \$ | 1,951 |
| Covered OREO Expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for losses | \$ | 292 | \$ | 1,093 | \$ | 2,704 | \$ | 1,385 | \$ | 4,933 |
| Maintenance costs |  | 93 |  | (45) |  | 143 |  | 48 |  | 212 |
| Gain on sale |  | (479) |  | $(1,861)$ |  | (717) |  | $(2,340)$ |  | $(2,193)$ |
| Total covered OREO expense, net | \$ | (94) | \$ | (813) | \$ | 2,130 | \$ | (907) | \$ | 2,952 |

## Second Quarter of 2013 Compared to First Quarter of 2013

Noninterest expense increased by $\$ 20.0$ million to $\$ 64.2$ million during the second quarter compared to $\$ 44.2$ million during the first quarter. This was due mostly to the $\$ 17.3$ million increase in acquisition and integration costs. All overhead categories increased due to including the FCAL operations since the May 31, 2013 acquisition date. Total OREO expense increased $\$ 486,000$ due mainly to lower gains on sales of OREO. Excluding acquisition and integration costs and OREO expenses, noninterest expense increased $\$ 2.2$ million due almost entirely to the addition of the FCAL operations.

Noninterest expense includes: (a) amortization of time-based restricted stock, which is included in compensation, and (b) intangible asset amortization. Amortization of restricted stock totaled
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$\$ 2.0$ million for the second quarter of 2013, $\$ 1.8$ million for the first quarter of 2013, and $\$ 1.3$ million for the second quarter of 2012. Intangible asset amortization totaled $\$ 1.3$ million for the second quarter of 2013, $\$ 1.2$ million for the first quarter of 2013, and $\$ 1.7$ million for the second quarter of 2012.

## Second Quarter of 2013 Compared to Second Quarter of 2012

Noninterest expense increased by $\$ 16.6$ million to $\$ 64.2$ million for the second quarter of 2013 compared to $\$ 47.6$ million for the same period last year. This change was due mainly to the $\$ 17.1$ million increase in acquisition and integration costs and $\$ 2.4$ million increase in compensation expense, offset partially by a $\$ 2.2$ million decline in covered OREO expense and $\$ 734,000$ decrease in other expense. The increase in compensation expense was attributable mainly to the addition of the acquired FCAL operations and higher amortization of restricted stock. The decline in covered OREO expense was due mostly to lower write-downs of $\$ 2.4$ million. The decrease in other expense was attributable primarily to a lawsuit settlement charge of $\$ 595,000$ incurred in the second quarter of 2012; there was no similar expense in the second quarter of 2013.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Noninterest expense decreased by $\$ 8.1$ million to $\$ 108.4$ million during the six months ended June 30,2013 compared to $\$ 116.5$ million for the same period last year. This decrease was due mostly to the combination of: (a) lower debt termination expense of $\$ 22.6$ million as a result of the early repayments of FHLB advances and trust preferred securities in 2012 and no such debt termination expense in the current year; (b) lower OREO expense of $\$ 5.4$ million, and (c) higher acquisition and integration costs of $\$ 17.8$ million recognized in 2013 compared to 2012. Covered OREO expense decreased by $\$ 3.8$ million due mostly to lower write-downs of $\$ 3.5$ million. Non-covered OREO expense decreased $\$ 1.6$ million due to lower write-downs of $\$ 761,000$ and lower carrying costs of $\$ 821,000$. Excluding debt termination, acquisition and integration costs, and OREO costs, the remaining noninterest expense increased $\$ 2.1$ million in 2013 compared to 2012, due mostly to higher compensation costs and lower intangible asset amortization expense. Compensation costs increased $\$ 3.5$ million due an increase in the number of employees as a result of our acquisition activity. Intangible asset amortization declined $\$ 1.0$ million due to certain intangibles being fully amortized.

Amortization of restricted stock totaled $\$ 3.8$ million and $\$ 2.9$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Intangible asset amortization totaled $\$ 2.5$ million for the first six months of 2013 compared to $\$ 3.5$ million for the same period last year.

## Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2013 was $30.1 \%$ compared to $36.4 \%$ for the first quarter of 2013 and $40.1 \%$ in the second quarter of 2012. The effective tax rates for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were $35.0 \%$ and $38.9 \%$, respectively. The difference in the effective tax rates between periods relates mainly to the level of tax credits and tax deductions and the amount of tax exempt income recorded in each of the periods. The Company operates primarily in the Federal and California jurisdictions and the blended statutory tax rate for Federal and California is $42 \%$.

## Business Segments

The Company's reportable segments consist of "Banking," "Asset Financing," and "Other." At June 30, 2013, the Other segment consisted of the PacWest Bancorp holding company and other elimination and reconciliation entries.

The Bank's Asset Financing segment includes the operations of the divisions and subsidiaries that provide asset-based commercial loans and equipment leases. The asset-based lending products are offered primarily through three business units: (1) First Community Financial ("FCF"), a division of
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the Bank, based in Phoenix, Arizona; (2) BFI Business Finance ("BFI"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank, based in San Jose, California; and (3) Celtic Capital Corporation ("Celtic"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank based in Santa Monica, California. The equipment leasing products are offered through Pacific Western Equipment Finance ("EQF"), a division of the Bank based in Midvale, Utah.

With the acquisitions of EQF in January 2012 and Celtic in April 2012, we expanded our asset-based lending operations, both in terms of size and product diversification by adding equipment leasing, and determined that our asset financing operations met the threshold to be a reportable segment beginning with the second quarter of 2012.

The accounting policies of the reported segments are the same as those of the Company described in Note 1, "Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. Transactions between segments consist primarily of borrowed funds. Intersegment interest expense is allocated to the Asset Financing segment based upon the Bank's total cost of interest-bearing liabilities. The provision for credit losses is allocated based on actual charge-offs for the period as well as assigning a minimum reserve requirement to the Asset Financing segment. Noninterest income and noninterest expense directly attributable to a segment are assigned to it.

The following tables present information regarding our business segments as of and for the periods indicated (the only segment income statements for which discontinued operations are applicable and reported are those for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013):

|  | Banking |  |  | June 30 Asset nancing <br> (In thou | 20 | 13 <br> Other <br> ds) | Consolidated Company |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income | \$ | 3,947,322 | \$ | 472,364 | \$ |  | \$ | 4,419,686 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses |  | $(84,917)$ |  | $(5,726)$ |  |  |  | $(90,643)$ |
| Total loans and leases, net | \$ | 3,862,405 | \$ | 466,638 | \$ |  | \$ | 4,329,043 |
| Goodwill | \$ | 183,512 | \$ | 25,678 | \$ |  | \$ | 209,190 |
| Core deposit and customer relationship intangibles, net |  | 17,958 |  | 2,232 |  |  |  | 20,190 |
| Total assets |  | 6,189,202 |  | 510,630 |  | 9,270 |  | 6,709,102 |
| Total deposits ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 5,557,871 |  |  |  | $(34,871)$ |  | 5,523,000 |

(1)

The negative balance in the "Other" segment represents the elimination of holding company cash held in deposit accounts at the Bank.
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\left.|  | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consolidated |  |  |  |  |
| Company |  |  |  |  |$\right)$ Banking \(\left.\begin{array}{lcccc}Financing <br>

(In thousands)\end{array}\right)\)
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Net earnings (loss)
$\begin{array}{llllll}\$ & 11,704 & \$ 3,056 & \$(1,266) & \$ 13,494\end{array}$
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\left.|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consolidated |  |  |  |  |
| Company |  |  |  |  |$\right)$
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Net loss from discontinued operations
(47)
(47)

Net earnings (loss)
\$ $14,257 \quad \$ \quad 6,163 \quad \$(2,577) \quad \$ \quad 17,843$

|  | Banking |  | Six Months Ended June 30, 201 <br> Asset <br> Financing Other <br> (In thousands) |  |  |  | 2 Consolidated Company |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income | \$ | 127,528 | \$ | 19,762 | \$ |  | \$ | 147,290 |
| Intersegment interest income (expense) |  | 1,153 |  | $(1,153)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Other interest expense |  | $(8,715)$ |  | (443) |  | $(2,039)$ |  | $(11,197)$ |
| Net interest income |  | 119,966 |  | 18,166 |  | $(2,039)$ |  | 136,093 |
| Total (provision) negative provision for credit losses |  | 6,445 |  | (100) |  |  |  | 6,345 |
| Noninterest income |  | 6,191 |  | 1,879 |  | 63 |  | 8,133 |
| Intangible asset amortization |  | $(3,311)$ |  | (161) |  |  |  | $(3,472)$ |
| Debt termination expense |  | $(24,195)$ |  |  |  | 1,597 |  | $(22,598)$ |
| Other noninterest expense |  | $(76,440)$ |  | $(11,256)$ |  | $(2,714)$ |  | $(90,410)$ |
| Total noninterest expense |  | $(103,946)$ |  | $(11,417)$ |  | $(1,117)$ |  | $(116,480)$ |
| Earnings (loss) before income taxes |  | 28,656 |  | 8,528 |  | $(3,093)$ |  | 34,091 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit |  | $(10,921)$ |  | $(3,650)$ |  | 1,301 |  | $(13,270)$ |
| Net earnings (loss) | \$ | 17,735 | \$ | 4,878 | \$ | $(1,792)$ | \$ | 20,821 |

## Second Quarter 2013 Compared to Second Quarter of 2012

Net earnings for the Banking segment decreased $\$ 12.0$ million for the second quarter of 2013 to $\$ 2.6$ million, compared to $\$ 14.5$ million in 2012. The decrease in net earnings was due primarily to the $\$ 17.5$ million ( $\$ 10.1$ million after tax) increase in acquisition and integration costs, partially offset by a decline in provision for credit losses of $\$ 2.2$ million ( $\$ 1.3$ million after tax). Also contributing to the decrease in net earnings was lower noninterest income, primarily due to an increase in FDIC loss sharing expense of $\$ 5.3$ million ( $\$ 3.1$ million after tax).

The second quarter 2013 net interest income for the Banking segment decreased $\$ 1.6$ million ( $\$ 911,000$ after tax) due mainly to a decrease in the yield on interest earning assets, partially offset by a decrease in interest expense on deposits. Average interest-earning assets increased $\$ 247.6$ million due to acquisition activity. The yield on average interest-earning assets was $4.96 \%$ for the second quarter of 2013 compared to $5.49 \%$ for the same quarter last year. The net interest margin attributed to the Banking segment was $4.83 \%$ for the second quarter of 2013 compared to $5.24 \%$ for the same quarter last year. The increase in noninterest expense is due mostly to higher acquisition and overhead costs relating to the FCAL acquisition, partially offset by lower covered OREO costs for the segment.

For further information on the Banking segment regarding results of operations, loans, credit quality, deposits and liquidity, see the sections titled "Results of Operations" and "Balance Sheet Analysis" in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Net earnings for the Asset Financing segment increased $\$ 832,000$ for the second quarter of 2013 to $\$ 3.1$ million, compared to $\$ 2.3$ million for the second quarter of 2012. The increase in net earnings was due mainly to an increase in net interest income of $\$ 1.7$ million ( $\$ 965,000$ after tax), partially offset by an increase in provision for credit losses of $\$ 665,000(\$ 386,000$ after tax). Average earning assets increased $\$ 101.7$ million to $\$ 467.3$ million in the second quarter of 2013 from $\$ 365.6$ million for the second quarter of 2012 . The yield on average earning assets was $10.57 \%$ for the second quarter of 2013 compared to $11.93 \%$ for the same quarter last year. Noninterest income decreased $\$ 121,000$ due to lower gains from the sale of leases. Noninterest expense for the Asset Financing segment decreased $\$ 441,000$ due mainly to lower acquisition costs and intangible amortization expense in the current year.
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The net loss for the Other segment increased $\$ 72,000$ for the second quarter of 2013 as compared to second quarter 2012. The Other segment consists of holding company operations which result in expenses principally for compensation, facilities, professional services and interest on subordinated debentures.

## Six Months of 2013 Compared to Six Months of 2012

Net earnings for the Banking segment decreased $\$ 3.5$ million for the first six months of 2013 to $\$ 14.3$ million, compared to $\$ 17.7$ million in 2012. The decrease in net earnings was due mainly to: (a) the $\$ 18.2$ million ( $\$ 10.6$ million after tax) increase in acquisition and integration costs, (b) lower net interest income of $\$ 7.5$ million ( $\$ 4.4$ million after tax), and (c) higher net credit costs of $\$ 5.6$ million ( $\$ 3.3$ million after tax). These items were offset by a $\$ 24.2$ million ( $\$ 14.0$ million after tax) reduction in debt termination expense which was recognized in 2012 with no similar charge in 2013.

For further information on the Banking segment regarding results of operations, loans, credit quality, deposits and liquidity, see the sections titled "Results of Operations" and "Balance Sheet Analysis" in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Net earnings for the Asset Financing segment increased $\$ 1.3$ million for the first six months of 2013 compared to 2012. The increase was due primarily to the Celtic acquisition, which occurred in April 2012, and contributed an additional $\$ 940,000$ to net earnings in 2013. Net earnings was also positively impacted by an increase in net interest income for the other divisions, partially offset by an increase in provision expense and lower gains from the sale of leases.

The increase in net interest income for the Asset Financing segment was $\$ 5.2$ million ( $\$ 3.0$ million after tax), of which $\$ 3.0$ million related to Celtic. The additional growth was due to an increase in average earning assets for the other divisions of $\$ 83.7$ million, offset partially by lower yields. The Asset Financing segment yield declined to $11.09 \%$ for the first six months of 2013, compared to $12.10 \%$ for the same period last year. Provision for loan losses increased $\$ 1.5$ million ( $\$ 850,000$ after tax) due mainly to the growth in loans and leases.

The net loss for the Other segment increased $\$ 785,000$ for the first half of 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. This fluctuation was primarily the result of the gain on debt termination recognized in the prior year, which did not recur in 2013. This was partially offset by a reduction in interest expense in the current year as a result of the pay down of subordinated debentures in 2012.
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## Balance Sheet Analysis

## Investment Portfolio

The following table presents the components, yields, and duration of our securities available-for-sale as of the date indicated:

| Security Type | Amortized Cost |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } 2013 \\ & \text { Carrying } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ield }{ }^{(1)} \\ & \text { is) } \end{aligned}$ | Duration (in years) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government agency and government-sponsored enterprise pass through securities | \$ | 726,501 | \$ | 742,417 | 1.70\% | 4.0 |
| Government agency and government-sponsored enterprise collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 147,580 |  | 145,320 | 1.40\% | 4.2 |
| Covered private label collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 32,959 |  | 40,917 | 13.86\% | 4.0 |
| Municipal securities ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 442,733 |  | 424,174 | 3.01\% | 6.3 |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 83,938 |  | 83,132 | 2.66\% | 2.6 |
| Other securities |  | 38,151 |  | 37,618 | 1.03\% | 0.3 |
| Total securities available-for-sale ${ }^{(3)}$ | \$ | 1,471,862 | \$ | 1,473,578 | 2.60\% | 4.5 |

(1)

Represents the yield for the month of June 2013.
(2)

The tax equivalent yield was $4.47 \%$ and $3.04 \%$ for municipal securities and total securities available-for-sale, respectively.
The following table shows the geographic composition of the majority of our municipal securities portfolio as of the date indicated:
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { June 30, 2013 } \\ \text { Carrying } \\ \text { Value }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { \% of } \\ \text { Total }\end{array} \\ \text { (In thousands) }\end{array}\right]$
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## Loans and Leases

The following table presents the balance of our total gross loans and leases by portfolio segment and class as of the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  | March 31, 2013 |  | December 31, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | $\%$ of <br> Total | Amount <br> Dollars in tho | \% of Total <br> sands) | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | 193,612 | 4\% \$ | 173,679 | 5\% \$ | 183,788 | 5\% |
| SBA 504 |  | 55,591 | 1\% | 55,403 | 2\% | 54,158 | 2\% |
| Other |  | 2,783,399 | 63\% | 2,056,908 | 59\% | 2,184,624 | 60\% |
| Total real estate mortgage |  | 3,032,602 | 68\% | 2,285,990 | 66\% | 2,422,570 | 67\% |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 60,427 | 1\% | 45,940 | 1\% | 54,602 | 1\% |
| Commercial |  | 153,308 | 4\% | 93,395 | 3\% | 100,002 | 3\% |
| Total real estate construction |  | 213,735 | 5\% | 139,335 | 4\% | 154,604 | 4\% |
| Total real estate loans |  | 3,246,337 | 73\% | 2,425,325 | 70\% | 2,577,174 | 71\% |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 528,370 | 12\% | 441,927 | 13\% | 465,831 | 13\% |
| Unsecured |  | 101,826 | 2\% | 78,836 | 2\% | 70,373 | 2\% |
| Asset-based |  | 254,081 | 6\% | 258,264 | 7\% | 239,430 | 7\% |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 28,792 | 1\% | 25,075 | 1\% | 25,325 | 1\% |
| Total commercial |  | 913,069 | 21\% | 804,102 | 23\% | 800,959 | 23\% |
| Leases |  | 216,089 | 5\% | 204,766 | 6\% | 174,373 | 5\% |
| Consumer |  | 29,051 | 1\% | 19,226 | 1\% | 23,085 | 1\% |
| Foreign |  | 16,073 |  | 17,268 |  | 17,241 |  |
| Total gross loans and leases | \$ | 4,420,619 | 100\% \$ | 3,470,687 | 100\% \$ | 3,592,832 | 100\% |

The following table presents our loan portfolio activity for the first and second quarters of 2013:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | Originated |  | In th | Net aydowns <br> housands) |  |  | March 31, 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-covered loans, excluding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset Financing Segment | \$ | 2,635,702 | \$ | 51,367 | \$ | $(191,778)$ | \$ | \$ | 2,495,291 |
| Asset Financing Segment |  | 413,803 |  | 66,631 |  | $(17,404)$ |  |  | 463,030 |
| Total non-covered loans |  | 3,049,505 |  | 117,998 |  | $(209,182)$ |  |  | 2,958,321 |
| Covered loans |  | 543,327 |  |  |  | $(30,961)$ |  |  | 512,366 |
| Total | \$ | 3,592,832 | \$ | 117,998 | \$ | $(240,143)$ | \$ | \$ | 3,470,687 |


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | Originated |  | Net <br> Paydowns <br> (In thousands) |  |  | Net Acquired | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-covered loans, excluding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset Financing Segment | \$ | 2,495,291 | \$ | 124,707 | \$ | $(154,056)$ | \$ | 903,103 | \$ | 3,369,045 |
| Asset Financing Segment |  | 463,030 |  | 45,453 |  | $(38,313)$ |  |  |  | 470,170 |
| Total non-covered loans |  | 2,958,321 |  | 170,160 |  | $(192,369)$ |  | 903,103 |  | 3,839,215 |
| Covered loans |  | 512,366 |  |  |  | $(34,999)$ |  | 104,037 |  | 581,404 |
| Total | \$ | 3,470,687 | \$ | 170,160 |  | $(227,368)$ | \$ | 1,007,140 | \$ | 4,420,619 |

Our real estate loan portfolio is predominantly commercial-related loans and as such does not expose us to the risks generally associated with residential mortgage loans such as option ARM, interest-only, or subprime mortgage loans. Our portfolio does expose us to risk elements associated with mortgage loans on commercial property. Commercial real estate mortgage loan repayments typically do not rely on the sale of the underlying collateral, but instead rely on the income producing potential of the collateral as the source of repayment. Ultimately, though, due to the loan amortization period generally being greater than the contractual loan term, the borrower may be required to refinance the loan, either with us or another lender, or pay off the loan, by selling the underlying collateral.

At June 30, 2013, we had $\$ 234.1$ million of commercial real estate mortgage loans maturing over the next 12 months. For any of these loans, in the event that we provide a concession through a refinance or modification which we would not ordinarily consider in order to protect as much of our investment as possible, such loan may be considered a troubled debt restructuring even though it was performing throughout its term. The circumstances regarding any modification and a borrower's specific situation, such as their ability to obtain financing from another source at similar market terms, are evaluated on an individual basis to determine if a troubled debt restructuring has occurred. Higher levels of troubled debt restructurings may lead to increased classified assets and credit loss provisions.

## Table of Contents

The following table presents the composition of our total real estate mortgage loan portfolio as of the dates indicated:

| Loan Category | June 30, 2013 |  |  | March 31, 2013 |  | December 31, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | $\% \text { of }$ Total | Amount <br> (Dollars in tho | \% of Total sands) | Amount | $\%$ of <br> Total |
| Commercial real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial/warehouse | \$ | 409,448 | 13.5\% \$ | 312,280 | 13.7\% \$ | 340,499 | 14.0\% |
| Retail |  | 381,242 | 12.5\% | 317,831 | 13.9\% | 362,771 | 15.0\% |
| Office buildings |  | 435,428 | 14.4\% | 336,329 | 14.7\% | 357,347 | 14.7\% |
| Owner-occupied |  | 188,228 | 6.2\% | 194,397 | 8.5\% | 209,697 | 8.7\% |
| Hotel |  | 193,612 | 6.4\% | 173,679 | 7.6\% | 183,788 | 7.6\% |
| Healthcare |  | 163,615 | 5.4\% | 119,740 | 5.2\% | 113,854 | 4.7\% |
| Mixed use |  | 86,466 | 2.9\% | 52,966 | 2.3\% | 54,052 | 2.2\% |
| Gas station |  | 33,977 | 1.1\% | 34,738 | 1.5\% | 35,725 | 1.5\% |
| Self storage |  | 91,616 | 3.0\% | 70,837 | 3.1\% | 65,362 | 2.7\% |
| Restaurant |  | 26,352 | 0.9\% | 18,043 | 0.8\% | 18,325 | 0.8\% |
| Land acquisition/development |  | 13,625 | 0.4\% | 21,851 | 1.0\% | 21,922 | 0.9\% |
| Unimproved land |  | 14,386 | 0.5\% | 11,928 | 0.5\% | 13,341 | 0.6\% |
| Other |  | 226,079 | 7.5\% | 179,299 | 7.9\% | 182,377 | 7.5\% |
| Total commercial real estate mortgage |  | 2,264,074 | 74.7\% | 1,843,918 | 80.7\% | 1,959,060 | 80.9\% |
| Residential real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-family |  | 403,020 | 13.3\% | 251,409 | 11.0\% | 262,815 | 10.8\% |
| Single family owner-occupied |  | 264,634 | 8.7\% | 107,754 | 4.7\% | 115,958 | 4.8\% |
| Single family nonowner-occupied |  | 24,477 | 0.8\% | 27,905 | 1.2\% | 28,790 | 1.2\% |
| Mixed use |  | 10,335 | 0.3\% | 3,351 | 0.1\% | 3,372 | 0.1\% |
| HELOCs |  | 66,062 | 2.2\% | 51,653 | 2.3\% | 52,575 | 2.2\% |
| Total residential real estate mortgage |  | 768,528 | 25.3\% | 442,072 | 19.3\% | 463,510 | 19.1\% |
| Total gross real estate mortgage loans | \$ | 3,032,602 | 100.0\% \$ | 2,285,990 | 100.0\% \$ | 2,422,570 | 100.0\% |
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The following table presents the balance of our total gross loans and leases by portfolio segment and class, showing the non-covered and covered components, at the date indicated:

|  | Total Loans and Leases |  |  | June 30, 2013 Non-Covered Loans and Leases |  |  | Covered Loans |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | \% of <br> Total |  | Amount <br> ollars in thou | \% of Total ands) |  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | 193,612 | 4\% | \$ | 191,167 | 5\% | \$ | 2,445 |  |
| SBA 504 |  | 55,591 | 1\% |  | 55,591 | 1\% |  |  |  |
| Other |  | 2,783,399 | 63\% |  | 2,248,606 | 59\% |  | 534,793 | 92\% |
| Total real estate mortgage |  | 3,032,602 | 68\% |  | 2,495,364 | 65\% |  | 537,238 | 92\% |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 60,427 | 1\% |  | 57,936 | 2\% |  | 2,491 |  |
| Commercial |  | 153,308 | 4\% |  | 129,257 | 3\% |  | 24,051 | 4\% |
| Total real estate construction |  | 213,735 | 5\% |  | 187,193 | 5\% |  | 26,542 | 4\% |
| Total real estate loans |  | 3,246,337 | 73\% |  | 2,682,557 | 70\% |  | 563,780 | 96\% |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 528,370 | 12\% |  | 517,422 | 13\% |  | 10,948 | 2\% |
| Unsecured |  | 101,826 | 2\% |  | 98,703 | 3\% |  | 3,123 | 1\% |
| Asset-based |  | 254,081 | 6\% |  | 254,081 | 6\% |  |  |  |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 28,792 | 1\% |  | 28,767 | 1\% |  | 25 |  |
| Total commercial |  | 913,069 | 21\% |  | 898,973 | 23\% |  | 14,096 | $3 \%$ |
| Leases |  | 216,089 | 5\% |  | 216,089 | 6\% |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 29,051 | 1\% |  | 25,523 | 1\% |  | 3,528 | 1\% |
| Foreign |  | 16,073 |  |  | 16,073 |  |  |  |  |
| Total gross loans and leases | \$ | 4,420,619 | 100\% |  | 3,839,215 | 100\% |  | 581,404 | 100\% |
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## Non-Covered Loans and Leases

The following table presents the balance of our non-covered loans and leases by portfolio segment and class as of the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  | March 31, 2013 |  |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |  | Amount <br> Dollars in tho | $\%$ of Total usands) |  | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | 191,167 | 5\% | \$ | 172,472 | 6\% | \$ | 181,144 | 6\% |
| SBA 504 |  | 55,591 | 1\% |  | 55,403 | 2\% |  | 54,158 | 2\% |
| Other |  | 2,248,606 | 59\% |  | 1,568,609 | 53\% |  | 1,682,368 | 55\% |
| Total real estate mortgage |  | 2,495,364 | 65\% |  | 1,796,484 | 61\% |  | 1,917,670 | 63\% |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 57,936 | 2\% |  | 43,073 | 1\% |  | 48,629 | 1\% |
| Commercial |  | 129,257 | 3\% |  | 83,634 | 3\% |  | 81,330 | 3\% |
| Total real estate construction |  | 187,193 | 5\% |  | 126,707 | 4\% |  | 129,959 | 4\% |
| Total real estate loans |  | 2,682,557 | 70\% |  | 1,923,191 | 65\% |  | 2,047,629 | 67\% |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 517,422 | 13\% |  | 432,652 | 14\% |  | 453,176 | 14\% |
| Unsecured |  | 98,703 | 3\% |  | 78,428 | 3\% |  | 69,844 | 2\% |
| Asset-based |  | 254,081 | 6\% |  | 258,264 | 8\% |  | 239,430 | 8\% |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 28,767 | 1\% |  | 25,075 | 1\% |  | 25,325 | 1\% |
| Total commercial |  | 898,973 | 23\% |  | 794,419 | 26\% |  | 787,775 | 25\% |
| Leases |  | 216,089 | 6\% |  | 204,766 | 7\% |  | 174,373 | 6\% |
| Consumer |  | 25,523 | 1\% |  | 18,677 | 1\% |  | 22,487 | 1\% |
| Foreign |  | 16,073 |  |  | 17,268 | 1\% |  | 17,241 | 1\% |
| Total gross non-covered loans leases | \$ | 3,839,215 | 100\% | \$ | 2,958,321 | 100\% | \$ | 3,049,505 | 100\% |
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The following table presents the composition of our non-covered real estate mortgage loan portfolio as of the dates indicated:

| Loan Category | June 30, 2013 |  |  | March 31, 2013 |  |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | $\%$ of <br> Total |  | Amount <br> Dollars in tho | $\%$ of Total sands) |  | Amount | \% of Total |
| Commercial real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial/warehouse | \$ | 375,932 | 15.1\% | \$ | 286,911 | 16.0\% | \$ | 315,096 | 16.4\% |
| Retail |  | 291,259 | 11.7\% |  | 228,665 | 12.7\% |  | 271,412 | 14.2\% |
| Office buildings |  | 390,518 | 15.6\% |  | 290,399 | 16.2\% |  | 304,096 | 15.9\% |
| Owner-occupied |  | 173,855 | 7.0\% |  | 179,827 | 10.0\% |  | 195,170 | 10.2\% |
| Hotel |  | 191,167 | 7.7\% |  | 172,472 | 9.6\% |  | 181,144 | 9.4\% |
| Healthcare |  | 150,704 | 6.0\% |  | 108,693 | 6.1\% |  | 102,816 | 5.4\% |
| Mixed use |  | 77,609 | 3.1\% |  | 50,243 | 2.8\% |  | 51,294 | 2.7\% |
| Gas station |  | 27,861 | 1.1\% |  | 28,558 | 1.6\% |  | 29,632 | 1.5\% |
| Self storage |  | 47,441 | 1.9\% |  | 32,662 | 1.8\% |  | 29,688 | 1.5\% |
| Restaurant |  | 25,447 | 1.0\% |  | 16,480 | 0.9\% |  | 16,755 | 0.9\% |
| Land acquisition/development |  | 13,625 | 0.5\% |  | 21,851 | 1.2\% |  | 21,922 | 1.1\% |
| Unimproved land |  | 14,254 | 0.6\% |  | 11,778 | 0.7\% |  | 13,173 | 0.7\% |
| Other |  | 210,937 | 8.5\% |  | 165,809 | 9.2\% |  | 172,273 | 9.0\% |
| Total commercial real estate mortgage |  | 1,990,609 | 79.8\% |  | 1,594,348 | 88.8\% |  | 1,704,471 | 88.9\% |
| Residential real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-family |  | 254,165 | 10.2\% |  | 100,666 | 5.6\% |  | 103,742 | 5.4\% |
| Single family owner-occupied |  | 171,801 | 6.9\% |  | 38,710 | 2.1\% |  | 44,792 | 2.3\% |
| Single family nonowner-occupied |  | 8,588 | 0.3\% |  | 11,896 | 0.6\% |  | 12,789 | 0.7\% |
| Mixed used |  | 10,335 | 0.4\% |  | 1,304 | 0.1\% |  | 1,333 | 0.1\% |
| HELOCs |  | 59,866 | 2.4\% |  | 49,560 | 2.8\% |  | 50,543 | 2.6\% |
| Total residential real estate mortgage |  | 504,755 | 20.2\% |  | 202,136 | 11.2\% |  | 213,199 | 11.1\% |
| Total gross non-covered real estate mortgage loans | \$ | 2,495,364 | 100.0\% | \$ | 1,796,484 | 100.0\% |  | 1,917,670 | 100.0\% |

The largest subset of the "Other" commercial real estate mortgage category is for fixed base operators at airports with a balance of $\$ 45.2$ million, or $21.5 \%$ of the total in "Other".
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## Covered Loans

The following table presents the composition of our covered loans as of the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  | March 31, 2013 |  |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mount | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ |  | Amount <br> ollars in th | $\%$ of Total <br> usands) |  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | 2,445 |  | \$ | 1,207 |  | \$ | 2,644 | 1\% |
| Other |  | 534,793 | 92\% |  | 488,299 | 95\% |  | 502,256 | 92\% |
| Total real estate mortgage |  | 537,238 | 92\% |  | 489,506 | 95\% |  | 504,900 | 93\% |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 2,491 |  |  | 2,867 | 1\% |  | 5,973 | 1\% |
| Commercial |  | 24,051 | 4\% |  | 9,761 | 2\% |  | 18,672 | 4\% |
| Total real estate construction |  | 26,542 | 4\% |  | 12,628 | 3\% |  | 24,645 | 5\% |
| Total real estate loans |  | 563,780 | 96\% |  | 502,134 | 98\% |  | 529,545 | 98\% |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 10,948 | 2\% |  | 9,275 | 2\% |  | 12,655 | 2\% |
| Unsecured |  | 3,123 | 1\% |  | 408 |  |  | 529 |  |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total commercial |  | 14,096 | $3 \%$ |  | 9,683 | 2\% |  | 13,184 | 2\% |
| Consumer |  | 3,528 | 1\% |  | 549 |  |  | 598 |  |
| Total gross covered loans |  | 581,404 | 100\% |  | 512,366 | 100\% |  | 543,327 | 100\% |
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The following table presents our gross covered real estate mortgage loan portfolio as of the dates indicated:


Total gross covered real estate mortgage
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { loans } & \$ & 537,238 & 100.0 \% & \$ 489,506 & 100.0 \% & 504,900 & 100.0 \%\end{array}$

The loans acquired in the Los Padres and Affinity acquisitions are covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC and we will be reimbursed for a substantial portion of any future losses. We acquired $\$ 110.0$ million of covered assets in the FCAL acquisition. We assumed the loss sharing agreements between First California Bank and the FDIC related to FCB's acquisition of Western Commercial Bank ("Western Commercial") and San Luis Trust Bank ("San Luis").

Under the terms of the Los Padres loss sharing agreement, the FDIC will absorb $80 \%$ of losses and receive $80 \%$ of loss recoveries on the covered assets. The Los Padres loss sharing provisions expire in the third quarters of 2015 and 2020 for non-single family and single family covered assets, respectively, while the related loss recovery provisions expire in the third quarters of 2018 and 2020, respectively.

Under the terms of the Affinity loss sharing agreement, the FDIC will (a) absorb $80 \%$ of losses and receive $80 \%$ of loss recoveries on the first $\$ 234$ million of losses on covered assets and (b) absorb $95 \%$ of losses and receive $95 \%$ of loss recoveries on covered assets exceeding $\$ 234$ million. The Affinity loss sharing provisions expire in the third quarters of 2014 and 2019 for non-single family covered assets and single family covered assets, respectively, while the related loss recovery provisions expire in the third quarters of 2017 and 2019, respectively.

Under the terms of the Western Commercial loss sharing agreement, the FDIC will absorb $80 \%$ of losses and receive $80 \%$ of loss recoveries on the covered assets; all of which were deemed to be
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non-single family. The Western Commercial loss sharing provision expires in the fourth quarter of 2015, while the related loss recovery provision expires in the fourth quarter of 2018.

Under the terms of the San Luis loss sharing agreement, the FDIC will absorb $80 \%$ of losses and receive $80 \%$ of loss recoveries on the covered assets. The San Luis loss sharing provisions expire in the first quarters of 2016 and 2021 for non-single family and single family covered assets, respectively, while the related loss recovery provisions expire in the first quarters of 2019 and 2021, respectively.

Both the Western Commercial and San Luis loss sharing agreements contain True-Up provisions. As of June 30, 2013, the estimated True-Up liability of $\$ 6.3$ million is included in other liabilities in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

## Allowance for Credit Losses on Non-PCI Loans and Leases

The allowance for credit losses on Non-PCI loans and leases is the combination of the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments. The allowance for loan and lease losses is reported as a reduction of outstanding loan and lease balances and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments is included within other liabilities. Generally, as loans are funded, the amount of the commitment reserve applicable to such funded loans is transferred from the reserve for unfunded loan commitments to the allowance for loan and lease losses based on our allowance methodology. The following discussion is for Non-PCI loans and leases and the allowance for credit losses thereon. Refer to "Balance Sheet Analysis Allowance for Credit Losses on PCI Loans" for the policy on covered loans.

The allowance for loan and lease losses is maintained at a level deemed appropriate by management to adequately provide for known and inherent risks in the loan and lease portfolio and other extensions of credit at the balance sheet date. The allowance is based upon a continuing review of the portfolio, past loan and lease loss experience, current economic conditions which may affect the borrowers' ability to pay, and the underlying collateral value of the loans and leases. Loans and leases which are deemed to be uncollectible are charged off and deducted from the allowance. The provision for loan and lease losses and recoveries on loans and leases previously charged off are added to the allowance.

The methodology we use to estimate the amount of our allowance for credit losses is based on both objective and subjective criteria. While some criteria are formula driven, other criteria are subjective inputs included to capture environmental and general economic risk elements which may trigger losses in the loan and lease portfolios, and to account for the varying levels of credit quality in the loan and lease portfolios of the entities we have acquired that have not yet been captured in our objective loss factors.

Specifically, our allowance methodology contains three key elements: (i) amounts based on specific evaluations of impaired loans and leases; (ii) amounts of estimated losses on several pools of loans categorized by risk rating and loan and lease type; and (iii) amounts for environmental and general economic factors that indicate probable losses were incurred but were not captured through the other elements of our allowance process. In addition, for loans and leases measured at fair value on the acquisition date and deemed to be non-impaired, our allowance methodology captures deterioration in credit quality and other inherent risks of such acquired assets experienced after the purchase date.

Impaired loans and leases are identified at each reporting date based on certain criteria and the majority of which are individually reviewed for impairment. Non-PCI nonaccrual loans and leases with an unpaid principal balance over $\$ 250,000$ and all performing restructured loans are reviewed individually for the amount of impairment, if any. Non-PCI nonaccrual loans and leases with an unpaid principal balance of $\$ 250,000$ or less are evaluated for impairment collectively. A loan or lease is considered impaired when it is probable that a creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due
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according to the original contractual terms of the agreement. We measure impairment of a loan based upon the fair value of the loan's collateral if the loan is collateral-dependent or the present value of cash flows, discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, if the loan is not collateral-dependent. The impairment amount on a collateral-dependent loan is charged-off to the allowance, and the impairment amount on a loan that is not collateral-dependent is set up as a specific reserve. We measure impairment of a lease based upon the present value of the scheduled lease and residual cash flows, discounted at the lease's effective interest rate. Increased charge-offs or additions to specific reserves generally result in increased provisions for credit losses.

Our loan and lease portfolio, excluding impaired loans and leases which are evaluated individually, is categorized into several pools for purposes of determining allowance amounts by pool. The pools we currently evaluate are: commercial real estate construction, residential real estate construction, SBA real estate, hospitality real estate, real estate other, commercial collateralized, commercial unsecured, SBA commercial, consumer, foreign, asset- based and leasing. Within these pools, we then evaluate loans and leases not adversely classified, which we refer to as "pass" credits, separately from adversely classified loans and leases. The adversely classified loans and leases are further grouped into three credit risk rating categories: "special mention," "substandard," and "doubtful," which we define as follows:

Special Mention: Loans and leases classified as "special mention" have a potential weakness that requires management's attention. If not addressed, these potential weaknesses may result in further deterioration in the borrower's ability to repay the loan or lease.

Substandard: Loans and leases classified as "substandard" have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the collection of the debt. They are characterized by the possibility that we will sustain some loss if the weaknesses are not corrected.

Doubtful: Loans and leases classified as "doubtful" have all the weaknesses of those classified as "substandard," with the additional trait that the weaknesses make collection or repayment in full highly questionable and improbable.

In addition, we may refer to the loans and leases classified as "substandard" and "doubtful" together as "criticized" loans and leases. For additional information on classified loans and leases, see Note 6, Loans and Leases, in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) contained in "Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)."

The allowance amounts for "pass" rated loans and leases and those loans and leases adversely classified, which are not reviewed individually, are determined using historical loss rates developed through migration analysis. The migration analysis is updated quarterly based on historic losses and movement of loans between ratings. As a result of this migration analysis and its quarterly updating, decreases we experience in both charge-offs and adverse classifications generally result in lower loss factors.

Finally, in order to ensure our allowance methodology is incorporating recent trends and economic conditions, we apply environmental and general economic factors to our allowance methodology including: credit concentrations; delinquency trends; economic and business conditions; the quality of lending management and staff; lending policies and procedures; loss and recovery trends; nature and volume of the portfolio; nonaccrual and problem loan trends; usage trends of unfunded commitments; and other adjustments for items not covered by other factors.

Management believes that the allowance for loan and lease losses is adequate and appropriate for the known and inherent risks in our Non-PCI loan and lease portfolio. In making its evaluation, management considers certain quantitative and qualitative factors including the Company's historical loss experience; the volume and type of lending conducted by the Company; the results of our credit review process; the levels of classified and criticized loans and leases; the levels of impaired loans and

## Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

## Table of Contents

leases, including nonperforming loans and leases and performing restructured loans; regulatory policies; general economic conditions; underlying collateral values; and other factors regarding collectability and impairment. To the extent we experience, for example, increased levels of documentation deficiencies, adverse changes in collateral values, or negative changes in economic and business conditions which adversely affect our borrowers, our classified loans and leases may increase. Higher levels of classified loans and leases generally result in higher allowances for loan and lease losses.

We recognize that the determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses is sensitive to the assigned credit risk ratings and inherent loss rates at any given point in time. Therefore, we perform sensitivity analyses to provide insight regarding the impact that adverse changes in credit risk ratings may have on our allowance for loan and lease losses. The sensitivity analyses have inherent limitations and are based on various assumptions as of a point in time and, accordingly, it is not necessarily representative of the impact loan risk rating changes may have on the allowance for loan and lease losses.

At June 30, 2013, in the event that $1 \%$ of our Non-PCI loans and leases were downgraded one credit risk rating category for each category (e.g., $1 \%$ of the "pass" category moved to the "special mention" category, $1 \%$ of the "special mention" category moved to "substandard" category, and $1 \%$ of the "substandard" category moved to the "doubtful" category within our current allowance methodology), the allowance for credit losses would have increased by approximately $\$ 1.4$ million. In the event that $5 \%$ of our Non-PCI loans and leases were downgraded one credit risk category, the allowance for credit losses would increase by approximately $\$ 6.8$ million.

Given our current risk management processes, we believe that the credit risk ratings and inherent loss rates currently assigned are appropriate. It is possible that others, given the same information, may at any point in time reach different conclusions that could be significant to the Company's financial statements. In addition, current credit risk ratings are subject to change as we continue to review loans and leases within our portfolio and as our borrowers are impacted by economic trends within their market areas.

Although we have established an allowance for loan and lease losses that we consider appropriate, there can be no assurance that the established allowance for loan and lease losses will be sufficient to offset losses on loans and leases in the future. Management also believes that the reserve for unfunded loan commitments is appropriate. In making this determination, we use the same methodology for the reserve for unfunded loan commitments as we do for the allowance for loan and lease losses and consider the same quantitative and qualitative factors, as well as an estimate of the probability of advances of the commitments correlated to their credit risk rating.

The following table presents information regarding the allowance for credit losses on Non-PCI loans and leases as of the dates indicated:

| Non-PCI Allowance Data: | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31, \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | \$ | 63,246 | \$ | 65,216 | \$ | 65,899 | \$ | 72,061 |
| Reserve for unfunded loan commitments |  | 6,680 |  | 6,680 |  | 6,220 |  | 5,970 |
| Total allowance for credit losses | \$ | 69,926 | \$ | 71,896 | \$ | 72,119 | \$ | 78,031 |
| Allowance for credit losses to loans and leases |  | 1.78\% |  | 2.41\% |  | 2.35\% |  | 2.71\% |
| Allowance for credit losses to nonaccrual loans and leases |  | 135.3\% |  | 166.7\% |  | 172.7\% |  | 139.6\% |
| Allowance for credit losses to nonperforming assets |  | 60.2\% |  | 74.6\% |  | 73.5\% |  | 60.6\% |
|  |  | 97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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The following table presents the changes in our allowance for credit losses on Non-PCI loans and leases for the periods indicated:

| Non-PCI Allowance for Credit Losses: | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2013 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for credit losses, beginning of period | \$ | 71,896 | \$ | 72,119 | \$ | 81,737 | \$ | 72,119 | \$ | 93,783 |
| Provision (negative provision) for credit losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(10,000)$ |
| Net charge-offs |  | $(1,970)$ |  | (223) |  | $(3,706)$ |  | $(2,193)$ |  | $(5,752)$ |
| Allowance for credit losses, end of period | \$ | 69,926 | \$ | 71,896 | \$ | 78,031 | \$ | 69,926 | \$ | 78,031 |

The following table presents the changes in our allowance for loan and lease losses on Non-PCI loans and leases for the periods indicated:

| Non-PCI Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses: | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30,$2013 \quad 2012$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses, beginning of period | \$ | 65,216 | \$ | 65,899 | \$ | 74,767 | \$ | 65,899 | \$ | 85,313 |
| Loans and leases charged off: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage |  | $(3,237)$ |  | (322) |  | $(2,583)$ |  | $(3,559)$ |  | $(4,773)$ |
| Commercial |  | $(1,370)$ |  | (708) |  | $(1,352)$ |  | $(2,078)$ |  | $(2,223)$ |
| Leases |  |  |  | (114) |  |  |  | (114) |  |  |
| Consumer |  | (27) |  | (9) |  | (34) |  | (36) |  | (233) |
| Total loans and leases charged off |  | $(4,634)$ |  | $(1,153)$ |  | $(3,969)$ |  | $(5,787)$ |  | $(7,229)$ |
| Recoveries on loans charged off: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage |  | 1,336 |  | 177 |  | 43 |  | 1,513 |  | 372 |
| Real estate construction |  | 12 |  | 323 |  | 14 |  | 335 |  | 24 |
| Commercial |  | 1,297 |  | 407 |  | 190 |  | 1,704 |  | 1,014 |
| Consumer |  | 19 |  | 23 |  | 16 |  | 42 |  | 47 |
| Foreign |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| Total recoveries on loans charged off |  | 2,664 |  | 930 |  | 263 |  | 3,594 |  | 1,477 |
| Net charge-offs |  | $(1,970)$ |  | (223) |  | $(3,706)$ |  | $(2,193)$ |  | $(5,752)$ |
| Provision (negative provision) for loan and lease losses |  |  |  | (460) |  | 1,000 |  | (460) |  | $(7,500)$ |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses, end of period | \$ | 63,246 | \$ | 65,216 | \$ | 72,061 | \$ | 63,246 | \$ | 72,061 |
| Ratios: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to loans and leases (end of period) |  | 1.61\% |  | 2.19\% |  | 2.51\% |  | 1.61\% |  | 2.51\% |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to nonaccrual loans and leases (end of period) |  | 122.4\% |  | 151.2\% |  | 128.9\% |  | 122.4\% |  | 128.9\% |
| Annualized net charge-offs to average loans and leases |  | 0.24\% |  | 0.03\% |  | 0.52\% |  | 0.14\% |  | 0.40\% |
| 98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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The following table presents the changes in our reserve for unfunded loan commitments for the periods indicated:

| Non-PCI Reserve for Unfunded Loan Commitments: | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March 31, } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ |  | June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve for unfunded loan commitments, beginning of period | \$ | 6,680 | \$ | 6,220 | \$ | 6,970 | \$ | 6,220 | \$ | 8,470 |
| Provision (negative provision) |  |  |  | 460 |  | $(1,000)$ |  | 460 |  | $(2,500)$ |
| Reserve for unfunded loan commitments, end of period |  | 6,680 | \$ | 6,680 | \$ | 5,970 | \$ | 6,680 | \$ | 5,970 |

## Allowance for Credit Losses on PCI Loans

We have accounted for purchased credit impaired loans under Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Subtopic 310-30, "Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality" ("ASC 310-30"), which we refer to as acquired impaired loan accounting.

The PCI loans are subject to our internal and external credit review. If deterioration in the expected cash flows results in a reserve requirement, a provision for credit losses is charged to earnings. For PCI loans, the allowance for loan losses is measured at the end of each financial reporting period based on expected cash flows. Decreases or (increases) in the amount and changes in the timing of expected cash flows on the PCI loans as of the financial reporting date compared to those previously estimated are usually recognized by recording a provision or a (negative provision) for credit losses on such loans.

The following table presents the changes in our allowance for credit losses on PCI loans for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2013 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for credit losses on PCI loans, beginning of period | \$ | 29,303 |  |  | \$ | 26,069 | \$ | 35,810 | \$ | 26,069 | \$ | 31,275 |
| Provision (negative provision) |  | $(1,842)$ |  | 3,137 |  | (271) |  | 1,295 |  | 3,655 |
| Net (charge-offs) recoveries |  | (64) |  | 97 |  | $(4,076)$ |  | 33 |  | $(3,467)$ |
| Allowance for credit losses on PCI loans, end of period | \$ | 27,397 | \$ | 29,303 | \$ | 31,463 | \$ | 27,397 | \$ | 31,463 |
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## Nonperforming Assets and Performing Restructured Loans

The following table presents non-covered nonperforming assets and performing restructured loans information as of the dates indicated:

|  | June 30,$2013$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonaccrual loans and leases ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 51,689 | \$ | 43,127 | \$ | 41,762 | \$ | 55,894 |
| Other real estate owned |  | 64,546 |  | 53,272 |  | 56,414 |  | 72,832 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ | 116,235 | \$ | 96,399 | \$ | 98,176 | \$ | 128,726 |
| Performing restructured loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 83,543 | \$ | 80,501 | \$ | 106,288 | \$ | 103,815 |
| Nonaccrual loans and leases to loans and leases, net of unearned income ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 1.32\% |  | 1.45\% |  | 1.36\% |  | 1.94\% |
| Nonperforming assets ratio ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ |  | 2.91\% |  | 3.17\% |  | 3.14\% |  | 4.37\% |

(1)

Excludes PCI loans.
(2)

Nonperforming assets ratio is calculated as nonperforming assets divided by the sum of total non-PCI loans and leases and total OREO.

Non-covered nonperforming assets include Non-PCI nonaccrual loans and leases and OREO and totaled $\$ 116.2$ million at June 30, 2013 compared to $\$ 96.4$ million at March 31, 2013. The $\$ 19.8$ million increase in nonperforming assets was due to an $\$ 8.6$ million increase in nonaccrual loans and leases and an $\$ 11.2$ million increase in OREO. The nonperforming assets ratio decreased to $2.91 \%$ at June 30, 2013 from $3.17 \%$ at March 31, 2013.

## Nonaccrual Loans and Leases

The $\$ 8.6$ million increase in nonaccrual loans and leases (excluding PCI loans) during the second quarter of 2013 was attributable to (a) additions of $\$ 24.6$ million, $\$ 18.5$ million of which are from the FCAL acquisition, (b) charge-offs of $\$ 4.1$ million, (c) other reductions, payoffs and returns to accrual status of $\$ 10.9$ million, and (d) foreclosures of $\$ 1.0$ million.
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The following table presents our Non-PCI nonaccrual loans and leases and accruing loans and leases past due between 30 and 89 days by portfolio segment and class as of the dates indicated:

|  | Nonaccrual Loans and Leases |  |  |  | Accruing and 30-89 Days Past Due |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30 <br> Amount | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ \% \text { of } \\ \text { Loan } \\ \text { Category } \end{gathered}$ | March <br> Amount <br> (Dollar | $\begin{aligned} & 1,2013 \\ & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Loan } \\ & \text { Category } \\ & \text { in thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30-89 Day } \\ & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2013 \\ & \text { Amount } \end{aligned}$ | Past Due <br> March 31, 2013 <br> Amount |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ |  | \$ 6,823 | 4.0\% \$ |  | \$ |
| SBA 504 | 3,007 | 5.4\% | 2,936 | 5.3\% | 929 | 1,066 |
| Other ${ }^{(2)}$ | 26,093 | 1.1\% | 20,568 | 1.3\% | 2,060 | 26,077 |
| Total real estate mortgage | 29,100 | 1.1\% | 30,327 | 1.7\% | 2,989 | 27,143 |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | 834 | 1.4\% | 1,046 | 2.4\% |  |  |
| Commercial ${ }^{(2)}$ | 2,938 | 2.1\% | 1,447 | 1.7\% |  | 7,290 |
| Total real estate construction | 3,772 | 1.9\% | 2,493 | 2.0\% |  | 7,290 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized | 13,441 | 2.6\% | 4,015 | 0.9\% | 796 | 542 |
| Unsecured | 1,583 | 1.6\% | 1,473 | 1.9\% | 976 | 132 |
| Asset-based |  |  | 281 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| SBA 7(a) | 3,052 | 10.7\% | 3,867 | 15.4\% | 262 | 118 |
| Total commercial | 18,076 | 2.0\% | 9,636 | 1.2\% | 2,034 | 792 |
| Leases | 244 | 0.1\% | 244 | 0.1\% |  | 44 |
| Consumer | 497 | 1.7\% | 427 | 2.2\% | 24 | 26 |
| Total non-covered loans and leases | \$ 51,689 | 1.3\% \$ | \$ 43,127 | 1.4\% \$ | 5,047 | \$ 35,295 |
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The following table lists the ten largest lending relationships on nonaccrual status, excluding SBA-related loans, as of the date indicated:

```
        June 30,
            2013
```

        Nonaccrual
        Amount
    
## Description

## (In thousands)

\$ 21,917 Total
(1)

On nonaccrual status at March 31, 2013.
(2)
(3)

New nonaccrual in second quarter of 2013.

Acquired in the FCAL acquisition.

## Other Real Estate Owned (OREO)

The following table presents the components of total OREO by property type as of the dates indicated:

| Property Type | June 30,$2013$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate | \$ | 18,422 | \$ | 8,083 | \$ | 13,319 | \$ | 35,526 |
| Construction and land development |  | 39,356 |  | 38,145 |  | 38,596 |  | 34,123 |
| Multi-family |  | 3,807 |  | 3,301 |  | 4,239 |  |  |
| Single family residence |  | 2,961 |  | 3,743 |  | 260 |  | 3,183 |
| Total OREO | \$ | 64,546 | \$ | 53,272 | \$ | 56,414 |  | 72,832 |

OREO increased $\$ 11.3$ million during the second quarter of 2013 due mainly to additions from the FCAL acquisition of $\$ 13.8$ million and foreclosures of $\$ 4.1$ million, offset by sales of $\$ 6.3$ million.
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## Performing Restructured Loans

Non-PCI performing restructured loans increased by $\$ 3.0$ million during the second quarter of 2013 to $\$ 83.5$ million at June 30, 2013. The increase was attributable primarily to $\$ 6.8$ million in transfers from nonaccrual status and $\$ 2.0$ million in additions, offset partially by $\$ 5.4$ million in payoffs and charge-offs. At June 30, 2013, we had $\$ 67.2$ million in real estate mortgage loans, $\$ 11.2$ million in real estate construction loans, $\$ 4.9$ million in commercial loans, and $\$ 200,000$ in consumer loans that were accruing interest under the terms of troubled debt restructurings.

The majority of the performing restructured loans was on accrual status prior to the loan modifications and has remained on accrual status after the loan modifications due to the borrowers making payments before and after the restructurings. In these circumstances, generally, a borrower may have had a fixed-rate loan that they continued to repay, but may be having cash flow difficulties. In an effort to work with certain borrowers, we have agreed to interest rate reductions to reflect the lower market interest rate environment and/or interest-only payments for a period of time. In these cases, we do not forgive principal or extend the maturity date as part of the loan modification. As a result of the current economic environment in our market areas, we anticipate loan restructurings to continue.

## PCI Nonaccrual Loans and Performing Restructured Loans

Loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 are generally considered accruing and performing loans as the loans accrete interest income over the estimated life of the loan when cash flows are reasonably estimable. Accordingly, acquired impaired loans that are contractually past due are still considered to be accruing and performing loans. If the timing and amount of future cash flows is not reasonably estimable, the loans may be classified as nonaccrual loans and interest income is not recognized until the timing and amount of future cash flows can be reasonably estimated.

The following table presents a summary of PCI loans that would be considered nonaccrual except for the accounting requirements regarding acquired impaired loans and PCI performing restructured loans as of the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, 2013 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PCI nonaccrual loans | \$ | 128,553 | \$ | 107,596 | \$ | 112,304 | \$ | 134,011 |
| PCI performing restructured loans | \$ | 25,901 | \$ | 28,154 | \$ | 21,553 | \$ | 27,263 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 103 |  |  |  |

## Deposits

The following table presents the balance of each major category of deposits at the dates indicated:

| Deposit Category | June 30, 2013 |  |  | March 31, 2013 |  | December 31, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | $\%$ of <br> Total | Amount <br> Dollars in tho | \% of Total sands) | Amount | $\% \text { of }$ Total |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | \$ | 2,291,246 | $41 \%$ \$ | 1,941,234 | 43\% \$ | 1,939,212 | 41\% |
| Interest checking deposits |  | 610,328 | 11 | 512,645 | 11 | 513,389 | 11 |
| Money market deposits |  | 1,586,547 | 29 | 1,184,987 | 26 | 1,282,513 | 28 |
| Savings deposits |  | 212,766 | 4 | 157,572 | 3 | 153,680 | 3 |
| Total core deposits |  | 4,700,887 | 85 | 3,796,438 | 83 | 3,888,794 | 83 |
| Time deposits under \$ 100,000 |  | 269,481 | 5 | 252,605 | 6 | 274,622 | 6 |
| Time deposits \$100,000 and over |  | 552,632 | 10 | 504,187 | 11 | 545,705 | 11 |
| Total time deposits |  | 822,113 | 15 | 756,792 | 17 | 820,327 | 17 |
| Total deposits | \$ | 5,523,000 | 100\% \$ | 4,553,230 | 100\% \$ | 4,709,121 | 100\% |

Total deposits increased $\$ 969.8$ million during the second quarter to $\$ 5.5$ billion at June 30, 2013, including an increase in core deposits of $\$ 904.4$ million. Excluding acquired FCAL balances, total deposits decreased $\$ 132.0$ million due entirely to a decrease in time deposits. At June 30, 2013, core deposits totaled $\$ 4.7$ billion, or $85 \%$ of total deposits, and noninterest-bearing demand deposits, which totaled $\$ 2.3$ billion, were $41 \%$ of total deposits at that date.

The following table summarizes the maturities of time deposits as of the date indicated:

| Maturity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { Deposits } \\ & \text { Under } \\ & \mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0} \end{aligned}$ |  | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Time <br> Deposits 100,000 r More |  | Total <br> Time <br> Deposits |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due in three months or less | \$ | 77,851 | \$ | 176,932 | \$ | 254,783 | 0.61\% |
| Due in over three months through six months |  | 46,095 |  | 91,658 |  | 137,753 | 0.61\% |
| Due in over six months through twelve months |  | 78,618 |  | 146,131 |  | 224,749 | 0.71\% |
| Due in over 12 months through 24 months |  | 36,212 |  | 74,464 |  | 110,676 | 0.86\% |
| Due in over 24 months |  | 30,705 |  | 63,447 |  | 94,152 | 0.99\% |
| Total | \$ | 269,481 | \$ | 552,632 | \$ | 822,113 | 0.71\% |

## Regulatory Matters

## Capital

Bank regulatory agencies measure capital adequacy through standardized risk-based capital guidelines which compare different levels of capital (as defined by such guidelines) to risk-weighted assets and off-balance sheet obligations. Banks and bank holding companies considered to be "well capitalized" must maintain a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio of $5 \%$, a minimum Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of $6.0 \%$, and a minimum total risk-based capital ratio of $10 \%$. Regulatory capital requirements limit the amount of deferred tax assets that may be included when determining the amount of regulatory capital. Deferred tax asset amounts in excess of the calculated limit are deducted from regulatory capital. There was no limitation on our deferred tax assets at June 30, 2013. No assurance can be given that the regulatory capital deferred tax asset limitation will not increase in the future.
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The following table presents regulatory capital requirements and our regulatory capital ratios as of the date indicated:

|  | Well <br> Capitalized <br> Requirement | June 30, 2013 <br> Pacific <br> Western <br> Bank | PacWest <br> Bancorp <br> Consolidated |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Tier 1 leverage capital ratio | $5.00 \%$ | $12.05 \%$ | $12.75 \%$ |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio | $6.00 \%$ | $14.16 \%$ | $15.04 \%$ |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | $10.00 \%$ | $15.42 \%$ | $16.30 \%$ |
| Tangible common equity ratio | N/A | $10.22 \%$ | $8.83 \%$ |

## Subordinated Debentures

The Company issued subordinated debentures to trusts that were established by us or entities we have acquired, which, in turn, issued trust preferred securities, which totaled $\$ 131.0$ million at June 30, 2013. With the FCAL acquisition, we added $\$ 26.0$ million of trust preferred securities. The Company includes in Tier 1 capital an amount of trust preferred securities equal to no more than $25 \%$ of the sum of all core capital elements, which is generally defined as shareholders' equity less goodwill, net of any related deferred income tax liability. At June 30 , 2013, the amount of trust preferred securities included in Tier I capital was $\$ 131.0$ million. While our existing trust preferred securities are currently grandfathered as Tier 1 capital under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, recently approved regulatory capital rules would phase them out of Tier 1 capital assuming that the Company exceeds $\$ 15$ billion in consolidated total assets with the proposed CapitalSource merger. However, under such rules, trust preferred securities no longer included in Tier 1 capital may be included in Tier 2 capital on a permanent basis. See " New Capital Rules" below. If trust preferred securities are excluded from regulatory capital, we remain "well capitalized" at June 30, 2013.

## New Capital Rules

In July 2013, the Company's primary federal regulator, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, or FRB, and the Bank's primary federal regulator, the FDIC, approved final rules (the "New Capital Rules") establishing a new comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banking organizations. The New Capital Rules generally implement the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's (the "Basel Committee") December 2010 final capital framework referred to as "Basel III" for strengthening international capital standards. The New Capital Rules substantially revise the risk-based capital requirements applicable to bank holding companies and their depository institution subsidiaries, including the Company and the Bank, as compared to the current U.S. general risk-based capital rules. The New Capital Rules revise the definitions and the components of regulatory capital, as well as address other issues affecting the numerator in banking institutions' regulatory capital ratios. The New Capital Rules also address asset risk weights and other matters affecting the denominator in banking institutions' regulatory capital ratios and replace the existing general risk-weighting approach, which was derived from the Basel Committee's 1988 "Basel I" capital accords, with a more risk-sensitive approach based, in part, on the "standardized approach" in the Basel Committee's 2004 "Basel II" capital accords. In addition, the New Capital Rules implement certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act, including the requirements of Section 939A to remove references to credit ratings from the federal agencies' rules. The New Capital Rules are effective for the Company and the Bank on January 1, 2015, subject to phase-in periods for certain of their components and other provisions.

Among other matters, the New Capital Rules: (i) introduce a new capital measure called "Common Equity Tier 1" ("CET1") and related regulatory capital ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets; (ii) specify that Tier 1 capital consists of CET1 and "Additional Tier 1 capital" instruments
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meeting certain revised requirements; (iii) mandate that most deductions/adjustments to regulatory capital measures be made to CET1 and not to the other components of capital; and (iv) expand the scope of the deductions from and adjustments to capital as compared to existing regulations. Under the New Capital Rules, for most banking organizations the most common form of Additional Tier 1 capital is non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock and the most common form of Tier 2 capital is subordinated notes and a portion of the allocation for loan and lease losses, in each case, subject to the New Capital Rules' specific requirements.

Pursuant to the New Capital Rules, the minimum capital ratios as of January 1, 2015 will be as follows:
4.5\% CET1 to risk-weighted assets;
6.0\% Tier 1 capital (that is, CET1 plus Additional Tier 1 capital) to risk-weighted assets;
8.0\% Total capital (that is, Tier 1 capital plus Tier 2 capital) to risk-weighted assets; and

4\% Tier 1 capital to average consolidated assets as reported on consolidated financial statements (known as the "leverage ratio").

The New Capital Rules also introduce a new "capital conservation buffer," composed entirely of CET1, on top of these minimum risk-weighted asset ratios. The capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. Banking institutions with a ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum but below the capital conservation buffer will face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases and compensation based on the amount of the shortfall. Thus, when fully phased-in on January 1, 2019, the Company and the Bank will be required to maintain such additional capital conservation buffer of $2.5 \%$ of CET1, effectively resulting in minimum ratios of: (i) CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least $7 \%$, (ii) Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least $8.5 \%$, and (iii) Total capital to risk-weighted assets of at least $10.5 \%$.

The New Capital Rules provide for a number of deductions from and adjustments to CET1. These include, for example, the requirement that mortgage servicing rights, deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences that could not be realized through net operating loss carrybacks and significant investments in non-consolidated financial entities be deducted from CET1 to the extent that any one such category exceeds $10 \%$ of CET1 or all such items, in the aggregate, exceed $15 \%$ of CET1.

In addition, under the current general risk-based capital rules, the effects of accumulated other comprehensive income or loss ("AOCI") items included in shareholders' equity (for example, unrealized gains and losses of securities held in the available-for-sale portfolio) under U.S. GAAP are reversed for the purposes of determining regulatory capital ratios. Pursuant to the New Capital Rules, the effects of certain AOCI items are not excluded; however, non-advanced approaches banking organizations, including the Company and the Bank, may make a one-time permanent election to continue to exclude these items. This election must be made concurrently with the first filing of certain of the Company's and the Bank's periodic regulatory reports in the beginning of 2015. The Company and the Bank expect to make this election in order to avoid significant variations in the level of capital depending upon the impact of interest rate fluctuations on the fair value of their securities portfolio. The New Capital Rules also preclude certain hybrid securities, such as trust preferred securities, from inclusion in bank holding companies' Tier 1 capital, subject to phase-out in the case of bank holding companies that had $\$ 15$ billion or more in total consolidated assets as of December 31, 2009. Although the Company did not have $\$ 15$ billion or more in consolidated assets as of December 31, 2009, the Company anticipates being subject to this phase-out due to the proposed merger with CapitalSource. As a result, beginning in 2015, only $25 \%$ of the Company's $\$ 131.0$ million of trust preferred securities currently outstanding and expected to be outstanding on the effective date of the New Capital Rules (which is the date of publication in the Federal Register) will be included in Tier 1 capital and in 2016, none of the Company's trust preferred securities will be included in Tier 1 capital. Trust preferred securities no
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longer included in the Company's Tier 1 capital may nonetheless be included as a component of Tier 2 capital on a permanent basis without phase-out and irrespective of whether such securities otherwise meet the revised definition of Tier 2 capital set forth in the New Capital Rules.

Implementation of the deductions and other adjustments to CET1 will begin on January 1, 2015 and will be phased-in over a 4-year period (beginning at $40 \%$ on January 1, 2015 and an additional 20\% per year thereafter). The implementation of the capital conservation buffer will begin on January 1, 2016 at the $0.625 \%$ level and increase by $0.625 \%$ on each subsequent January 1, until it reaches $2.5 \%$ on January 1, 2019.

With respect to the Bank, the New Capital Rules revise the "prompt corrective action" ("PCA") regulations adopted pursuant to Section 38 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, by: (i) introducing a CET1 ratio requirement at each PCA category (other than critically undercapitalized), with the required CET1 ratio being $6.5 \%$ for well-capitalized status; (ii) increasing the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio requirement for each category, with the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio for well-capitalized status being $8 \%$ (as compared to the current $6 \%$ ); and (iii) eliminating the current provision that provides that a bank with a composite supervisory rating of 1 may have a $3 \%$ leverage ratio and still be adequately capitalized. The New Capital Rules do not change the total risk-based capital requirement for any PCA category.

The New Capital Rules prescribe a new standardized approach for risk weightings that expand the risk-weighting categories from the current four Basel I-derived categories ( $0 \%, 20 \%, 50 \%$ and $100 \%$ ) to a larger and more risk-sensitive number of categories, depending on the nature of the assets, generally ranging from $0 \%$ for U.S. government and agency securities, to $600 \%$ for certain equity exposures, and resulting in higher risk weights for a variety of asset classes.

We are currently evaluating the impact of the New Capital Rules, including the capital conservation buffer, on our capital ratios and related calculations.

## Dividends on Common Stock and Interest on Subordinated Debentures

Bank holding companies, such as PacWest Bancorp, are required to notify the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("FRB") prior to declaring and paying a dividend to stockholders during any period in which quarterly and/or cumulative twelve-month net earnings are insufficient to fund the dividend amount, among other requirements. Interest payments on subordinated debentures are considered dividend payments under FRB regulations. We are not required to make such notification to the FRB.

## Liquidity Management

## Liquidity

The goals of our liquidity management are to ensure the ability of the Company to meet its financial commitments when contractually due and to respond to other demands for funds such as the ability to meet the cash flow requirements of customers who may be either depositors wanting to withdraw funds or borrowers who may need assurance that sufficient funds will be available to meet their credit needs. We have an Executive Asset/Liability Management Committee, or Executive ALM Committee, which is comprised of members of senior management and is responsible for managing balance sheet and off-balance sheet commitments to meet the needs of customers while achieving our financial objectives. Our Executive ALM Committee meets regularly to review funding capacities, current and forecasted loan demand, and investment opportunities.

The Company manages its liquidity by maintaining pools of liquid assets on-balance sheet, consisting of cash and due from banks, interest-earning deposits in other financial institutions and unpledged investment securities available-for-sale, which we refer to as our primary liquidity. In
addition, we also maintain available borrowing capacity under secured borrowing lines with the FHLB and the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco ("FRBSF"), which we refer to as our secondary liquidity. In addition to its secured lines of credit, the Company also maintains unsecured lines of credit, subject to availability, of $\$ 80.0$ million with correspondent banks for purchase of overnight funds.

The following table provides a summary of the Bank's primary and secondary liquidity levels at the dates indicated:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March 31, } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary Liquidity On-Balance Sheet: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 106,237 | \$ | 90,659 | \$ | 89,011 |
| Interest-earning deposits at financial institutions |  | 112,590 |  | 41,019 |  | 75,393 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale |  | 1,473,578 |  | 1,362,777 |  | 1,355,385 |
| Less: pledged securities |  | $(208,192)$ |  | $(157,452)$ |  | $(157,279)$ |
| Total primary liquidity | \$ | 1,484,213 | \$ | 1,337,003 | \$ | 1,362,510 |
| Ratio of primary liquidity to total deposits |  | 26.9\% |  | 29.4\% |  | 28.9\% |
| Secondary Liquidity Off-Balance Sheet Available Secured Borrowing Capacity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total secured borrowing capacity with the FHLB | \$ | 1,384,705 | \$ | 995,145 | \$ | 1,024,261 |
| Less: secured letters of credit outstanding |  |  |  | $(1,244)$ |  | $(1,244)$ |
| Net secured borrowing capacity with the FHLB |  | 1,384,705 |  | 993,901 |  | 1,023,017 |
| Secured credit line with the FRBSF |  | 489,891 |  | 381,875 |  | 347,407 |
| Total secondary liquidity | \$ | 1,874,596 | \$ | 1,375,776 | \$ | 1,370,424 |

During the three months ended June 30, 2013, the Company's primary liquidity increased $\$ 147.2$ million due mostly to a $\$ 110.8$ million increase in investment securities available-for-sale and a $\$ 71.6$ million increase in interest-earning deposits at financial institutions, offset partially by a $\$ 50.7$ million increase in pledged securities. The Company's secondary liquidity increased $\$ 498.8$ million during the second quarter due to increases in borrowing capacity for the Bank's secured borrowing lines with the FHLB and FRBSF. The borrowing lines increased due to the additional collateral available for pledging from the FCAL acquisition. Our total liquidity and the ratio of primary liquidity to total deposits remain at historically high levels. We expect to continue to maintain higher levels of on-balance sheet liquidity during the remainder of 2013 compared to historical levels until we are able to effectively increase loan portfolio balances.

At June 30, 2013, $\$ 612.2$ million of certain qualifying loans were specifically pledged as collateral for the secured borrowing line maintained with the FRBSF. The FHLB borrowing lines are secured by: (1) a blanket lien on certain qualifying loans in our loan portfolio, which are not pledged to the FRBSF, and (2) a portion of our available-for-sale securities.

In addition to our primary liquidity, we generate liquidity from cash flow from our amortizing loan and securities portfolios and from our large base of core customer deposits, defined as noninterest-bearing demand, interest checking, savings and money market accounts. At June 30, 2013, such deposits totaled $\$ 4.7$ billion and represented $85 \%$ of the Company's total deposits. These core deposits are normally less volatile, often with customer relationships tied to other products offered by the Company promoting long-standing relationships and stable funding sources.

During the three months ended June 30, 2013, total core deposits increased $\$ 904.4$ million, due primarily to the deposits acquired in the FCAL acquisition. Deposits from our customers may decline if interest rates increase significantly or if corporate customers move funds from the Company generally. In order to address the Company's liquidity risk as deposit balances may fluctuate, the Company maintains adequate levels of available liquidity.
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The following table provides a summary of the Bank's core deposits at the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | March 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> (In thousands) | December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Core Deposits: |  | $2,291,246$ | $\$$ | $1,941,234$ | $\$$ |
| Noninterest-bearing demand | $\$$ | 610,328 | 512,645 | $1,939,212$ |  |
| Interest checking | $1,586,547$ | $1,184,987$ | $1,282,513$ |  |  |
| Money market deposits | 212,766 | 157,572 | 153,680 |  |  |
| Savings deposits | $\$$ | $4,700,887$ | $\$$ | $3,796,438$ | $\$$ |
| Total core deposits |  |  |  | $3,888,794$ |  |

Our asset/liability management policy establishes various liquidity guidelines for the Company. The policy includes guidelines for On-Balance Sheet Liquidity (a measurement of primary liquidity to total deposits), Coverage and Crisis Coverage Ratios (measurements of liquid assets to expected short-term liquidity required for the loan and deposit portfolios under normal and stressed conditions), Loan to Funding Ratio, Wholesale Funding Ratio, and other guidelines developed for measuring and maintaining liquidity. As of June 30, 2013, we were in compliance with all liquidity guidelines established in the asset/liability management policy.

We may use large denomination brokered time deposits, the availability of which is uncertain and subject to competitive market forces, for liquidity management purposes. At June 30, 2013, the Bank had none of these brokered deposits. However, we had $\$ 54.0$ million of time deposits which were part of the CDARS program. The CDARS program represents deposits that are participated with other FDIC insured financial institutions as a means to provide FDIC deposit insurance coverage for the full amount of our participating customers' deposits.

## Holding Company Liquidity

The primary sources of liquidity for the Company, on a stand-alone basis, include dividends from the Bank and our ability to raise capital, issue subordinated debt and secure outside borrowings. The ability of the Company to obtain funds for the payment of dividends to our stockholders and for other cash requirements is largely dependent upon the Bank's earnings. Pacific Western is subject to restrictions under certain federal and state laws and regulations which limit its ability to transfer funds to the Company through intercompany loans, advances or cash dividends.

Dividends paid by state banks, such as Pacific Western, are regulated by the California Department of Financial Institutions ("DFI") under its general supervisory authority as it relates to a bank's capital requirements. A state bank may declare a dividend without the approval of the DFI as long as the total dividends declared in a calendar year do not exceed either the retained earnings or the total of net profits for three previous fiscal years less any dividends paid during such period. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, PacWest received $\$ 24.0$ million in dividends from the Bank. For the foreseeable future, any dividends from the Bank to the Company require DFI approval.

At June 30, 2013, the Company had, on a stand-alone basis, $\$ 34.9$ million in cash on deposit at the Bank. Management believes that this amount of cash, along with anticipated dividends from the Bank, will be sufficient to fund the Company's 2013 cash flow needs.
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## Contractual Obligations

The following table presents the known contractual obligations of the Company as of the date indicated:

|  | DueWithin One Year |  | Due in One to Three Years |  | June 30, 2013 <br> Due in Three to Five Years <br> (In thousands) |  | Due <br> After <br> Five Years |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time deposits | \$ | 617,285 | \$ | 156,621 | \$ | 48,207 | \$ |  | \$ | 822,113 |
| Long-term debt obligations |  | 5,285 |  | 3,820 |  | 591 |  | 132,358 |  | 142,054 |
| Contractual interest ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 2,047 |  | 2,460 |  | 1,888 |  |  |  | 6,395 |
| Operating lease obligations |  | 17,307 |  | 26,742 |  | 16,298 |  | 14,149 |  | 74,496 |
| Other contractual obligations |  | 12,888 |  | 7,284 |  | 309 |  | 67 |  | 20,548 |
| Total | \$ | 654,812 | \$ | 196,927 | \$ | 67,293 |  | 146,574 | \$ | 1,065,606 |

(1)

Excludes interest on subordinated debentures as these instruments are floating rate.

Long-term debt obligations include $\$ 132.4$ million of subordinated debentures. Debt obligations are also discussed in Note 9, Borrowings, Subordinated Debentures and Brokered Deposits, in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) contained in "Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)." Operating lease obligations are discussed in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The other contractual obligations relate to our minimum liability associated with our data and item processing contract with a third-party provider and commitments to contribute capital to investments in low income housing project partnerships.

We believe that we will be able to meet our contractual obligations as they come due through the maintenance of adequate cash levels. We expect to maintain adequate cash levels through profitability, loan and securities repayment and maturity activity, and continued deposit gathering activities. We believe we have in place various borrowing mechanisms for both short-term and long-term liquidity needs.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Our obligations also include off-balance sheet arrangements consisting of loan and lease-related commitments, of which only a portion are expected to be funded. At June 30, 2013, our loan and lease-related commitments, including standby letters of credit, totaled $\$ 1.1$ billion. The commitments, which result in funded loans and leases, increase our profitability through net interest income. We manage our overall liquidity taking into consideration funded and unfunded commitments as a percentage of our liquidity sources. Our liquidity sources have been and are expected to be sufficient to meet the cash requirements of our lending activities.

## Asset/Liability Management and Interest Rate Sensitivity

## Interest Rate Risk

Our market risk arises primarily from credit risk and interest rate risk inherent in our lending and financing activities. To manage our credit risk, we rely on adherence to our underwriting standards and loan policies, internal loan monitoring and periodic credit review as well as our allowance for credit losses methodology, all of which are administered by the Bank's credit administration department and overseen by the Company's Credit Risk Committee. To manage our exposure to changes in interest rates, we perform asset and liability management activities which are governed by guidelines
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pre-established by our Executive ALM Committee, and approved by our Asset/Liability Management Committee of the Board of Directors, which we refer to as our Board ALCO. Our Executive ALM Committee monitors our compliance with our asset/liability policies. These policies focus on providing sufficient levels of net interest income while considering capital constraints and acceptable levels of interest rate exposure and liquidity.

Market risk sensitive instruments are generally defined as financial instruments and derivatives, including loans receivable, Federal funds sold, interest-earning deposits in financial institutions, Federal Home Loan Bank stock, investment securities, deposits, borrowings and subordinated debentures. At June 30, 2013, we had not used any derivatives to alter our interest rate risk profile or for any other reason. However, both the repricing characteristics of our fixed-rate loans and floating-rate loans and the significant percentage of noninterest-bearing deposits compared to interest-earning assets may influence our interest rate risk profile.

We measure our interest rate risk position on at least a quarterly basis using two methods: (i) net interest income simulation analysis, and (ii) market value of equity modeling. The results of these analyses are reviewed by the Executive ALM Committee and the Board ALCO quarterly. If hypothetical changes to interest rates cause changes to our simulated net present value of equity and/or net interest income outside our pre-established limits, we may adjust our asset and liability mix in an effort to bring our interest rate risk exposure within our established limits.

We evaluated the results of our net interest income simulation and market value of equity models prepared as of June 30,2013 , the results of which are presented below. Our net interest income simulation indicates that our balance sheet is liability sensitive as rising interest rates would result in a decline in our net interest margin. This profile is primarily a result of (a) the increased origination of fixed-rate loans and variable-rate loans with initial fixed-rate terms over the last several years and (b) declining floating-rate construction loans. Our market value of equity model indicates an asset sensitive profile in the up 100 basis points scenario, switching to liability sensitive in the up 200 and 300 basis point scenarios. An asset sensitive profile would suggest that a sudden sustained increase in rates would result in an increase in our estimated market value of equity, while a liability sensitive profile would suggest that our estimated market value of equity would decrease when rates increase. In general, we view the net interest income model results as more relevant to the Company's current operating profile and manage our balance sheet giving priority to this information.

## Net Interest Income Simulation

We used a simulation model to measure the estimated changes in net interest income that would result over the next 12 months from immediate and sustained changes in interest rates as of June 30, 2013. This model is an interest rate risk management tool and the results are not necessarily an indication of our future net interest income. This model has inherent limitations and these results are based on a given set of rate changes and assumptions at one point in time. We have assumed no growth in either our interest-sensitive assets or liabilities over the next 12 months; therefore, the results reflect an interest rate shock to a static balance sheet.

This analysis calculates the difference between net interest income forecasted using both increasing and decreasing interest rate scenarios and net interest income forecasted using a base market interest rate derived from the U.S. Treasury yield curve at June 30, 2013. In order to arrive at the base case, we extend our balance sheet at June 30, 2013 one year and reprice any assets and liabilities that would contractually reprice or mature during that period using the products' pricing as of June 30, 2013. Based on such repricings, we calculate an estimated net interest income and net interest margin.

The repricing relationship for each of our assets and liabilities includes many assumptions. For example, many of our assets are floating-rate loans, which are assumed to reprice to the same extent as the change in market rates according to their contracted index except for floating-rate loans tied to our
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base lending rate which are assumed to reprice upward only after the first 75 basis point increase in market rates. This assumption is due to the fact that our base lending rate is $4.00 \%$ while the major bank prime rate is $3.25 \%$. Some loans and investment vehicles include the opportunity of prepayment (imbedded options) and the simulation model uses a prepayment model to estimate these prepayments and reinvest these proceeds at current simulated yields. Our deposit products reprice at our discretion and are assumed to reprice more slowly in a rising or declining interest rate environment and usually reprice at a rate less than the change in market rates. The effects of certain balance sheet attributes, such as fixed-rate loans, floating-rate loans that have reached their floors, and the volume of noninterest-bearing deposits as a percentage of earning assets, impact our assumptions and consequently the results of our interest rate risk management model. Changes that could vary significantly from our assumptions include loan and deposit growth or contraction, changes in the mix of our earning assets or funding sources, and future asset/liability management decisions, all of which may have significant effects on our net interest income.

The simulation analysis does not account for all factors that impact this analysis, including changes by management to mitigate the impact of interest rate changes or the impact a change in interest rates may have on our credit risk profile, loan prepayment estimates and spread relationships which can change regularly. In addition, the simulation analysis does not make any assumptions regarding loan fee income, which is a component of our net interest income and tends to increase our net interest margin. Management reviews the model assumptions for reasonableness on a quarterly basis.

The following table presents as of June 30, 2013, forecasted net interest income and net interest margin for the next 12 months using a base market interest rate and the estimated change to the base scenario given immediate and sustained upward and downward movements in interest rates of 100,200 and 300 basis points:

|  | Estimated <br> Net Interest <br> Income | Percentage <br> Change <br> From Base <br> (Dollars in thousands) | Estimated <br> Net Interest <br> Margin | Estimated <br> Net Interest <br> Margin Change <br> From Base |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2013 Interest Rate Scenario | $\$$ | 309,260 | $(0.1) \%$ | $5.09 \%$ |
| Up 300 basis points | $\$$ | 304,661 | $(1.6) \%$ | $5.02 \%$ |
| Up 200 basis points | $\$$ | 301,646 | $(2.6) \%$ | $4.97 \%$ |
| Up 100 basis points | $\$$ | 309,682 |  | $5.10 \%$ |
| BASE CASE | $\$$ | 306,927 | $(0.9) \%$ | $5.06 \%$ |
| Down 100 basis points | $\$$ | 304,921 | $(0.5) \%$ | $(0.13) \%$ |
| Down 200 basis points | $\$$ | 302,740 | $(2.2) \%$ | $5.03 \%$ |
| Down 300 basis points |  |  | $4.99 \%$ | $(0.04) \%$ |

The net interest income simulation model prepared as of June 30, 2013 suggests our balance sheet is liability sensitive. Liability sensitivity indicates that in a rising interest rate environment, our net interest margin would decrease. Due to the historically low market interest rates as of June 30, 2013 the "down" scenarios are not considered meaningful and are excluded from the following discussion. The liability sensitive profile is due mostly to the mix of fixed-rate loans to total loans in the loan portfolio relative to our amount of interest-bearing deposits that would reprice as interest rates change. Although $\$ 2.3$ billion of the $\$ 4.4$ billion of total loans in the portfolio have variable interest rate terms, only $\$ 485$ million of those variable-rate loans would immediately reprice at June 30, 2013 under the modeled scenarios. Of the remaining variable-rate loans, $\$ 1.6$ billion would not immediately reprice

## Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

## Table of Contents

because the loans' fully indexed rates are below their floor rates. Of these $\$ 1.6$ billion of loans at their floors, the fully indexed rates would rise off of the floors and reprice as follows:

| Cumulative <br> Amount of <br> Loans <br> (Dollars in thousands) | Rate <br> Increase <br> Needed to <br> Reprice |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$$ | 733,000 |

An additional $\$ 278$ million of hybrid ARM loans would not immediately reprice because the loans contain an initial fixed-rate period before they become adjustable. The cumulative amounts of hybrid ARM loans that would switch from being fixed-rate to floating-rate because the initial fixed-rate term would expire is approximately $\$ 111$ million, $\$ 157$ million, and $\$ 200$ million in the next one, two, and three years, respectively.

In comparing the June 30, 2013 simulation results to December 31, 2012, our profile has remained relatively unchanged while our overall estimated net interest income has increased for all scenarios. The increase in the simulated net interest income is primarily a result of higher interest income due to the higher average balance of the loan portfolio resulting from the FCAL acquisition.

## Market Value of Equity

We measure the impact of market interest rate changes on the net present value of estimated cash flows from our assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items, defined as the market value of equity, using a simulation model. This simulation model assesses the changes in the market value of our interest sensitive financial instruments that would occur in response to an instantaneous and sustained increase or decrease in market interest rates of 100,200 , and 300 basis points. This analysis assigns significant value to our noninterest-bearing deposit balances. The projections are by their nature forward looking and therefore inherently uncertain, and include various assumptions regarding cash flows and interest rates.

This model is an interest rate risk management tool and the results are not necessarily an indication of our actual future results. Actual results may vary significantly from the results suggested by the market value of equity table. Loan prepayments and deposit attrition, changes in the mix of our earning assets or funding sources, and future asset/liability management decisions, among others, may vary significantly from our assumptions. The base case is determined by applying various current market discount rates to the estimated cash flows from the different types of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items existing at June 30, 2013.

The following table shows the projected change in the market value of equity for the set of rate shocks presented as of June 30, 2013:

| June 30, 2013 Interest Rate Scenario | Estimated Market Value |  | Dollar <br> Change From Base |  | Percentage <br> Change <br> From Base | Percentage of Total Assets | Ratio of Estimated Market Value to Book Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Up 300 basis points | \$ | 1,040,547 | \$ | $(48,887)$ | (4.5)\% | 15.5\% | 129.8\% |
| Up 200 basis points | \$ | 1,084,214 | \$ | $(5,220)$ | (0.5)\% | 16.2\% | 135.2\% |
| Up 100 basis points | \$ | 1,110,138 | \$ | 20,704 | 1.9\% | 16.5\% | 138.5\% |
| BASE CASE | \$ | 1,089,434 |  |  |  | 16.2\% | 135.9\% |
| Down 100 basis points | \$ | 1,037,260 | \$ | $(52,174)$ | (4.8)\% | 15.5\% | 129.4\% |
| Down 200 basis points | \$ | 1,020,917 |  | $(68,517)$ | (6.3)\% | 15.2\% | 127.3\% |
| Down 300 basis points | \$ | 1,022,734 |  | $(66,700)$ | (6.1)\% | 15.2\% | 127.6\% |

In comparing the June 30, 2013 simulation results to December 31, 2012, our base case estimated market value of equity has increased while the sensitivity of our overall profile has shifted to a more neutral profile. Base case market value of equity increased $\$ 318.7$ million compared to December 31, 2012. The increase was due to the $\$ 211.9$ million increase in book shareholders' equity (due primarily to the $\$ 242.3$ million increase from the FCAL acquisition, offset partially by the $\$ 31.9$ million decrease in accumulated other comprehensive income), and the $\$ 132.1$ million increase in the fair value of deposits less the $\$ 26.4$ million decrease in the fair value of loans resulting from the change in the market interest rates during the period.

Our market value of equity profile is affected by the assumed floors in the Company's base lending rate and the significant value placed on the Company's noninterest-bearing deposits for purposes of this analysis. Static balances of noninterest-bearing deposits do not impact the net interest income simulation, while at the same time the value of these deposits increases substantially in the market value of equity model when market rates are assumed to rise.

## ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

Please see the section above titled "Asset/Liability Management and Interest Rate Sensitivity" in "Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" which provides an update to our quantitative and qualitative disclosure about market risk. This analysis should be read in conjunction with text under the caption "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which text is incorporated herein by reference. Our analysis of market risk and market-sensitive financial information contains forward-looking statements and is subject to the disclosure at the beginning of Item 2 regarding such forward-looking information.

## ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was carried out by the Company's management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, these disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of our business, we are party to various legal actions, which we believe are incidental to the operation of our business. The outcome of such legal actions and the timing of ultimate resolution are inherently difficult to predict. In the opinion of management, based upon information currently available to us, any resulting liability, in addition to amounts already accrued, would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements or operations.

## FCAL Merger-Related Litigation

As set forth below, there are a number of litigations pending against FCB, an entity which was a formerly wholly owned subsidiary of FCAL. On May 31, 2013, pursuant to the FCAL acquisition, FCAL merged with and into PacWest, and FCB merged with and into Pacific Western. Pursuant to the merger agreement executed between FCAL and PacWest on November 6, 2012, PacWest has assumed the defense of the litigations and would assume liability for the results in the event of an adverse result in the cases.

Eleven lawsuits have been filed in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles against FCB, among others, by various former clients of political campaign and non-profit organization treasurer Kinde Durkee. The lawsuits are entitled (i) Wardlaw, et al. v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. SC 114232), filed September 23, 2011; (ii) Lou Correa for State Senate, et al. v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 479872), filed February 29, 2012; (iii) Committee to Re-elect Lorreta Sanchez, et al. v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 479873), filed February 29, 2012, (iv) Holden for Assembly v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 489604), filed August 3, 2012; (v) Latino Diabetes Ass'n v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 489605), filed August 3, 2012; (vi) Jose Solorio Assembly Officeholder Committee, et al. v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. 492855), filed September 27, 2012; (vii) Foster for Treasurer 2014, et al. v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 492878), filed September 27, 2012; (viii) Los Angeles County Democratic Central Committee, et al. v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 492854), filed September 27, 2012; (ix) National Popular Vote v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 501213), filed February 19, 2013; (x) Zine for City Council v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 504476), filed April 2, 2013; and (xi) Rothman v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC 511180), filed June 5, 2013. Plaintiffs in each of the cases claim, among other things, that FCB aided and abetted a fraud and unlawful conversion by Ms. Durkee and/or her affiliated company of funds held in accounts at FCB. Based largely on the same alleged conduct, plaintiffs also assert claims for an alleged violation of California Business \& Professions Code Section 17200 and for declaratory relief. Plaintiffs seek compensatory and punitive damages, as well as various forms of equitable and declaratory relief.

Each of the cases is pending before the same judge, who is coordinating their progress. FCB has answered each of the complaints, and the parties are engaged in discovery. A trial date has been scheduled for August 13, 2014 and the parties are scheduled to participate in a mediation session on October 16, 2013. The plaintiffs have not yet made a settlement demand in the cases.

On September 23, 2011, FCB filed a Complaint-in-Interpleader in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles (Case No. BC 470182), pursuant to which FCB interpleaded the sum of $\$ 2,539,049$ as the amounts on deposit in accounts at FCB that were controlled by Ms. Durkee on behalf of the several hundred named defendants (the "Interpleader Action"). FCB seeks an order requiring the defendants to interplead and litigate their respective claims, discharging FCB from liability, and restraining proceedings or actions against FCB by the defendants with respect to those amounts. On December 6, 2011, the Interpleader Action was designated as complex and transferred to the Superior Court's complex litigation division. It has been related to the other pending actions that relate to the conduct of Ms. Durkee.
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On June 18, 2012, FCB moved for summary judgment in the Interpleader Action. At hearings held in late 2012 and early 2013, the Superior Court entered summary judgment with respect to a majority of the accounts at issue. Those sums have been paid by the Superior Court to the former accountholders.

At this time, we believe we have made the appropriate accrual for any liabilities that may arise out of the Kinde Durkee litigation matters, but we are unable to determine with certainty whether there will be any material impact on the Company's financial statements or operations.

## CapitalSource Merger-Related Litigation

Between July 24, 2013 and August 6, 2013, six putative stockholder class action lawsuits (the "Merger Litigations") were filed against PacWest and certain other defendants in connection with PacWest entering into the CapitalSource Merger Agreement in which PacWest agreed to acquire CapitalSource. The CapitalSource Merger Agreement was publicly announced on July 22, 2013. Three of the six actions were filed in Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County: (1) Engel v. CapitalSource, Inc. et al., Case No. BC516267, filed on July 24, 2013; (2) Miller v. Fremder et al., Case No. BC516590, filed on July 29, 2013; and (3) Holliday v. PacWest Bancorp et al., Case No. BC517209, filed on August 5, 2013. The other three actions were filed in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware: (1) Fosket v. Byrnes et al., Case No. 8765, filed on August 1, 2013; (2) Bennett v. CapitalSource, Inc. et al., Case No. 8770, filed on August 2, 2013; and (3) Chalfant v. CapitalSource et al., Case No. 8777, filed on August 6, 2013.

The Merger Litigations allege variously that the members of the CapitalSource board of directors breached its fiduciary duties to CapitalSource stockholders by approving the proposed merger for inadequate consideration; approving the transaction in order to obtain benefits not equally shared by other CapitalSource stockholders; entering into the CapitalSource Merger Agreement containing preclusive deal protection devices; and failing to take steps to maximize the value to be paid to the CapitalSource stockholders. Each of the Merger Litigations also alleges claims against CapitalSource and PacWest for aiding and abetting these alleged breaches of fiduciary duties. Plaintiffs generally seek, among other things, declaratory and injunctive relief concerning the alleged breaches of fiduciary duties, injunctive relief prohibiting consummation of the acquisition, rescission, an accounting by defendants, damages and attorneys' fees and costs, and other and further relief. At this stage, it is not possible to predict the outcome of the proceedings or their impact on CapitalSource or PacWest.

## ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

For information regarding factors that could affect the Company's results of operations, financial condition and liquidity, see the risk factors discussed under Part I, Item 1A of the Company's most recent annual report on Form 10-K. See also Part I, Item 2 (Forward-Looking Statements) of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

On July 22, 2013, the Company announced it had entered into the CapitalSource merger agreement. In connection with the execution of that agreement, the Company has supplemented the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's most recent annual report on Form 10-K as follows:

## Combining the two companies may be more difficult, costly or time consuming than expected and the anticipated benefits and cost savings of the merger may not be realized.

The Company and CapitalSource have operated and, until the completion of the merger, will continue to operate, independently. The success of the merger, including anticipated benefits and cost savings, will depend, in part, on PacWest's ability to successfully combine the businesses of PacWest and CapitalSource. To realize these anticipated benefits and cost savings, after the completion of the merger, PacWest expects to integrate CapitalSource's business into its own. It is possible that the integration process could result in the loss of key employees, the disruption of each company's ongoing
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businesses or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that adversely affect the combined company's ability to maintain relationships with clients, customers, depositors and employees or to achieve the anticipated benefits and cost savings of the CapitalSource merger. The loss of key employees could have an adverse effect on PacWest's financial results and the value of its common stock. If PacWest experiences difficulties with the integration process, the anticipated benefits of the merger may not be realized fully or at all, or may take longer to realize than expected.

Failure to consummate the CapitalSource merger, or a delay in consummating the CapitalSource merger, could negatively impact the market price of PacWest common stock and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Consummation of the CapitalSource merger is subject to various customary conditions, including (i) approval by PacWest's stockholders and CapitalSource's stockholders, (ii) receipt of certain required regulatory approvals and such approvals not containing materially burdensome regulatory conditions, (iii) the absence of any governmental order or law prohibiting the consummation of the CapitalSource merger, (iv) effectiveness of the registration statement for the PacWest common stock to be issued as consideration in the CapitalSource merger and (v) that holders of no more than $10 \%$ of the outstanding shares of CapitalSource common stock have exercised their dissenters' rights with respect to the CapitalSource merger. The obligation of each party to consummate the CapitalSource merger is also conditioned upon (i) subject to certain exceptions, the accuracy of the representations and warranties of the other party, (ii) performance in all material respects by the other party of its obligations under the CapitalSource merger agreement, (iii) the adjusted stockholders' equity of the other party being in excess of a specified level, (iv) receipt by such party of a tax opinion to the effect that the CapitalSource merger will qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, (v) the consent of the FDIC to the transaction under the loss share agreements with Pacific Western Bank and (vi) the absence of a material adverse effect with respect to the other party since the date of the CapitalSource merger agreement. PacWest and CapitalSource have agreed to use their respective reasonable best efforts to obtain all necessary regulatory approvals for the CapitalSource merger. The parties will not be required to take any action, or agree to any condition or restriction, in connection with obtaining any regulatory permits, consents, approvals and authorizations of governmental authorities that would reasonably be likely, in each case following the effective time of the CapitalSource merger (but regardless when the action, condition or restriction is to be taken or implemented), to (i) have a material adverse effect with respect to the combined company and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole or (ii) require PacWest, the Bank, the combined company or the surviving bank in the bank merger to raise additional capital in an amount that would materially reduce the economic benefits of the CapitalSource merger to the holders of PacWest common stock (including the CapitalSource stockholders in respect of the shares of PacWest common stock received by them in the CapitalSource merger).

If the CapitalSource merger is not consummated, our ongoing business, financial condition and results of operations may be materially adversely affected and the market price of PacWest common stock may decline significantly, particularly to the extent that the current market price reflects a market assumption that the CapitalSource merger will be consummated. If the consummation of the CapitalSource merger is delayed, including by a delay in receipt of necessary governmental approvals or by the receipt of a competing acquisition proposal, our business, financial condition and results of operations may also be materially adversely affected. Additionally, if the CapitalSource merger agreement is terminated, under certain circumstances, CapitalSource may exercise its option to purchase up to $19.9 \%$ of PacWest's outstanding common stock, before giving effect to the exercise of the option. CapitalSource's total realizable value under the option it has been granted is subject to a cap of $\$ 72$ million. Under certain circumstances, PacWest may be required to repurchase for cash the applicable option. In addition, we have incurred and will incur substantial expenses in connection with the negotiation and completion of the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement. If the CapitalSource merger is not completed, we would have to recognize these expenses without realizing
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the expected benefits of the transaction. Any of the foregoing, or other risks arising in connection with the failure of or delay in consummating the CapitalSource merger, including the diversion of management attention from pursuing other opportunities and the constraints in the CapitalSource merger agreement on our ability to make significant changes to our ongoing business during the pendency of the CapitalSource merger, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to various uncertainties and contractual restrictions while the CapitalSource merger is pending that could disrupt the conduct of our business and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Uncertainty about the effect of the CapitalSource merger on employees, customers, suppliers, and vendors may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. These uncertainties may impair our ability or the ability of CapitalSource to attract, retain and motivate key personnel, depositors and borrowers pending the consummation of the CapitalSource merger, as such personnel, depositors and borrowers may experience uncertainty about their future roles following the consummation of the CapitalSource merger. Additionally, these uncertainties could cause customers (including depositors and borrowers), suppliers, vendors and others who deal with us to seek to change existing business relationships with us or fail to extend an existing relationship with us. In addition, competitors may target our existing customers by highlighting potential uncertainties and integration difficulties that may result from the CapitalSource merger.

We have a small number of key personnel. The pursuit of the CapitalSource merger and the preparation for the integration may place a burden on management and internal resources. Any significant diversion of management attention away from ongoing business concerns and any difficulties encountered in the transition and integration process could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, the CapitalSource merger agreement restricts us from taking certain actions without CapitalSource's consent while the CapitalSource merger is pending. These restrictions may, among other matters, prevent us from pursuing otherwise attractive business opportunities, selling assets, incurring indebtedness, engaging in significant capital expenditures in excess of certain limits set forth in the merger agreement, entering into other transactions or making other changes to our business prior to consummation of the CapitalSource merger or termination of the CapitalSource merger agreement. These restrictions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The consideration to be paid in the CapitalSource merger is fixed and will not be adjusted for changes in our business, assets, liabilities, prospects, outlook, financial condition or results of operations, or in the event of any change in our stock price.

The merger consideration is fixed in the CapitalSource merger agreement and will not be adjusted for changes in our business, assets, liabilities, prospects, outlook, financial condition or results of operations, or changes in the market price of, analyst estimates of, or projections relating to, PacWest common stock. For example, if we experienced an improvement in our business, assets, liabilities, prospects, outlook, financial condition or results of operations prior to the consummation of the CapitalSource merger, there would be no adjustment to the amount of the merger consideration.

## If the CapitalSource merger is consummated, we will be subject to substantial additional regulation.

If the CapitalSource merger is consummated, we will be subject to substantial additional regulation. Areas of additional regulation will include, but not be limited to, more sophisticated stress testing, additional capital requirements, including the phase out of our trust preferred securities as Tier 1 capital that otherwise would have been grandfathered, enhanced governance standards, including those relating to risk management, higher FDIC deposit insurance assessments and direct oversight and

## Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents
examination by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. These additional regulatory requirements could divert management's attention away from ongoing business concerns, place a burden on internal resources, impose additional costs or limitations on the Company and affect our profitability.

## ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(c) Issuer Repurchases of Common Stock

The following table presents stock purchases made during the second quarter of 2013:

|  | Total <br> Number of <br> Shares <br> Purchased ${ }^{(1)}$ | Average <br> Price Paid <br> Per Share |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchase Dates |  |  |  |
| April 1 - April 30, 2013 | 3,055 |  | 27.79 |
| May 1 - May 31, 2013 |  |  |  |
| June 1 - June 30, 2013 | 3,055 | $\$$ | 27.79 |
| Total |  |  |  |

(1)

Shares repurchased pursuant to net settlement by employees, in satisfaction of financial obligations incurred through the vesting of the Company's restricted stock.
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## ITEM 6. Exhibits

## Exhibit

Number

## Description

3.1 Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, of PacWest Bancorp, a Delaware corporation (Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2008 and incorporated herein by this reference).
3.2 Certificate of Amendment, dated May 14, 2010, to Certificate of Incorporation of PacWest Bancorp (Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2010 and incorporated herein by this reference).
3.3 Bylaws of PacWest Bancorp, a Delaware corporation, dated April 22, 2008 (Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2008 and incorporated herein by this reference).
31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
32.1 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
32.2 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer.

101 Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the three months ended June 30, 2013, March 31, 2013, and June 30, 2012 and the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended June 30, 2013, March 31, 2013, and June 30, 2012 and the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2013, (v) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, and (vi) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. (Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is deemed furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.)

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## PACWEST BANCORP

/s/ VICTOR R. SANTORO

Victor R. Santoro
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer


[^0]:    See "Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements."

[^1]:    (1)

[^2]:    (1)

    Noninterest expense divided by net revenues
    (2)

[^3]:    (1) Includes nonaccrual loans and leases and loan fees

[^4]:    (1)

    Includes increases related to covered loan loss provisions and decreases for write-offs for covered loans expected to be resolved at amounts higher than their carrying values.
    (2)

    Represents amounts to be reimbursed to the FDIC for covered loans resolved at amounts higher than their carrying values.
    (3)

    Represents amounts to be reimbursed to the FDIC for gains on covered OREO sales and due from the FDIC for covered OREO write-downs.

[^5]:    (1)

    The negative balance in the "Other" segment represents the elimination of holding company cash held in deposit accounts at the Bank.

