

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC
 Form 10-K
 February 16, 2012

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)
[INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS](#)

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-K

(Mark
 One)

ý **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011**

OR

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission File Number	Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter, Principal Office Address and Telephone Number	State of Incorporation/Organization	I.R.S. Employer Identification No.
001-32427	Huntsman Corporation 500 Huntsman Way Salt Lake City, Utah 84108 (801) 584-5700	Delaware	42-1648585
333-85141	Huntsman International LLC 500 Huntsman Way Salt Lake City, Utah 84108 (801) 584-5700	Delaware	87-0630358

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Registrant	Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Huntsman Corporation	Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share	New York Stock Exchange
Huntsman International LLC	None	None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Registrant	Title of each class
Huntsman Corporation	None
Huntsman International LLC	None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Huntsman Corporation YES NO
 Huntsman International LLC YES NO
 Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Huntsman Corporation YES NO
 Huntsman International LLC YES NO
 Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Huntsman Corporation YES NO
 Huntsman International LLC YES NO
 Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Huntsman Corporation YES NO
 Huntsman International LLC YES NO
 Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrants' knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Huntsman Corporation	Large accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>
Huntsman International LLC	Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Huntsman Corporation YES NO
 Huntsman International LLC YES NO

On June 30, 2011, the last business day of the registrants' most recently completed second fiscal quarter, the aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates was as follows:

Registrant	Common Equity	Market Value Held by Nonaffiliates
Huntsman Corporation	Common Stock	\$2,443,542,295(1)
Huntsman International LLC	Units of Membership Interest	\$(2)

(1) Based on the closing price of \$18.85 per share of common stock as quoted on the New York Stock Exchange.

(2) All units of membership interest are held by Huntsman Corporation, an affiliate.

On February 3, 2012, the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common equity were as follows:

Registrant	Common Equity	Outstanding
Huntsman Corporation	Common Stock	237,872,407
Huntsman International LLC	Units of Membership Interest	2,728

This Annual Report on Form 10-K presents information for two registrants: Huntsman Corporation and Huntsman International LLC. Huntsman International LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of Huntsman Corporation and is the principal operating company of Huntsman Corporation. The information reflected in this Annual Report on Form 10-K is equally applicable to both Huntsman Corporation and Huntsman International LLC, except where otherwise indicated.

Huntsman International LLC meets the conditions set forth in General Instructions (I)(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-K and, to the extent applicable, is therefore filing this form with a reduced disclosure format.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Part III: Proxy Statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed within 120 days of
 Huntsman Corporation's fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
2011 ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
<u>PART I</u>		
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	<u>BUSINESS</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>ITEM 1A.</u>	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>ITEM 1B.</u>	<u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	<u>PROPERTIES</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	<u>MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u>	<u>49</u>
	<u>EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>PART II</u>		
<u>ITEM 5.</u>	<u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>ITEM 6.</u>	<u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>ITEM 7.</u>	<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>ITEM 7A.</u>	<u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	<u>92</u>
<u>ITEM 8.</u>	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>ITEM 9.</u>	<u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>ITEM 9A.</u>	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>ITEM 9B.</u>	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>102</u>
<u>PART III</u>		
<u>ITEM 10.</u>	<u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	<u>102</u>
<u>ITEM 11.</u>	<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	<u>102</u>
<u>ITEM 12.</u>	<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	<u>102</u>
<u>ITEM 13.</u>	<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	<u>102</u>
<u>ITEM 14.</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u>	<u>102</u>
<u>PART IV</u>		
<u>ITEM 15.</u>	<u>EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u>	<u>103</u>

Table of Contents

**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
2011 ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K**

With respect to Huntsman Corporation, certain information set forth in this report contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Huntsman International is a limited liability company and, pursuant to Section 21E(b)(2)(E) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Exchange Act"), the safe-harbor for certain forward-looking statements is not applicable to it.

Forward-looking statements include statements concerning our plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events, future revenues or performance, capital expenditures, financing needs, plans or intentions relating to acquisitions or dispositions and other information that is not historical information. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as "believes," "expects," "may," "will," "should," "anticipates" or "intends" or the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy. We may also make additional forward-looking statements from time to time. All such subsequent forward-looking statements, whether written or oral, by us or on our behalf, are also expressly qualified by these cautionary statements.

All forward-looking statements, including without limitation management's examination of historical operating trends, are based upon our current expectations and various assumptions. Our expectations, beliefs and projections are expressed in good faith and we believe there is a reasonable basis for them, but there can be no assurance that management's expectations, beliefs and projections will result or be achieved. All forward-looking statements apply only as of the date made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise forward-looking statements which may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

There are a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in or contemplated by this report. Any forward-looking statements should be considered in light of the risks set forth in "Part I. Item 1A. Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this report.

This report includes information with respect to market share, industry conditions and forecasts that we obtained from internal industry research, publicly available information (including industry publications and surveys), and surveys and market research provided by consultants. The publicly available information and the reports, forecasts and other research provided by consultants generally state that the information contained therein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable. We have not independently verified any of the data from third-party sources, nor have we ascertained the underlying economic assumptions relied upon therein. Similarly, our internal research and forecasts are based upon our management's understanding of industry conditions, and such information has not been verified by any independent sources.

For convenience in this report, the terms "Company," "our," "us," or "we" may be used to refer to Huntsman Corporation and, unless the context otherwise requires, its subsidiaries and predecessors. Any references to our "Company," "we," "us" or "our" as of a date prior to October 19, 2004 (the date of our formation) are to Huntsman Holdings, LLC and its subsidiaries (including their respective predecessors). In this report, "Huntsman International" refers to Huntsman International LLC (our 100% owned subsidiary) and, unless the context otherwise requires, its subsidiaries; "HPS" refers to Huntsman Polyurethanes Shanghai Ltd. (a consolidated splitting joint venture with Shanghai Chlor-Alkali Chemical Company, Ltd); and "SLIC" refers to Shanghai Liengheng Isocyanate Investment BV (an unconsolidated manufacturing joint venture with BASF AG and three Chinese chemical companies).

In this report, we may use, without definition, the common names of competitors or other industry participants. We may also use the common names or abbreviations for certain chemicals or products. Many of these terms are defined in the Glossary of Chemical Terms found at the conclusion of "Part I. Item 1. Business" below.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

We are a global manufacturer of differentiated organic chemical products and of inorganic chemical products. Our Company, a Delaware corporation, was formed in 2004 to hold the businesses of Huntsman Holdings, LLC, a company founded by Jon M. Huntsman. Mr. Huntsman founded the predecessor to our Company in 1970 as a small polystyrene plastics packaging company. Since then, we have grown through a series of significant acquisitions and now own a global portfolio of businesses.

We operate all of our businesses through Huntsman International, our 100% owned subsidiary. Huntsman International is a Delaware limited liability company and was formed in 1999.

Our principal executive offices are located at 500 Huntsman Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108, and our telephone number at that location is (801) 584-5700.

OVERVIEW

Our products comprise a broad range of chemicals and formulations which we market globally to a diversified group of consumer and industrial customers. Our products are used in a wide range of applications, including those in the adhesives, aerospace, automotive, construction products, durable and non-durable consumer products, electronics, medical, packaging, paints and coatings, power generation, refining, synthetic fiber, textile chemicals and dye industries. We are a leading global producer in many of our key product lines, including MDI, amines, surfactants, epoxy-based polymer formulations, textile chemicals, dyes, maleic anhydride and titanium dioxide. Our administrative, research and development and manufacturing operations are primarily conducted at the facilities listed in "Item 2. Properties" below, which are located in 30 countries. As of December 31, 2011, we employed approximately 12,000 associates worldwide. Our revenues for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were \$11,221 million, \$9,250 million and \$7,665 million, respectively.

We operate in five segments: Polyurethanes, Performance Products, Advanced Materials, Textile Effects and Pigments. In a series of transactions beginning in 2006, we sold our North American polymers and base chemicals operations and substantially shutdown all of our Australian styrenics operations. We report the results of these businesses as discontinued operations in our statements of operations. See "Note 25. Discontinued Operations" to our consolidated financial statements.

Our Products

We produce differentiated organic and inorganic chemical products. Our Polyurethanes, Performance Products, Advanced Materials and Textile Effects segments produce differentiated organic chemical products and our Pigments segment produces inorganic chemical products.

Growth in our differentiated products has been driven by the substitution of our products for other materials and by the level of global economic activity. Accordingly, the profitability of our differentiated products has been somewhat less influenced by the cyclicality that typically impacts the petrochemical

Table of Contents

industry. Our Pigments business, while cyclical, is influenced by seasonal demand patterns in the coatings industry.

2011 Segment Revenues(1)

2011 Segment EBITDA from Continuing Operations(1)

(1)

Percentage allocations in this chart do not give effect to Corporate and other unallocated items, eliminations and EBITDA from discontinued operations. For a detailed disclosure of our revenues, total assets and EBITDA by segment, see "Note 27. Operating Segment Information" to our consolidated financial statements. For a discussion of EBITDA by segment and a reconciliation of EBITDA to net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation and cash provided by operating activities, see "Part II. Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations."

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table identifies the key products, their principal end markets and applications and representative customers of each of our segments:

Segment	Products	End Markets and Applications	Representative Customers
Polyurethanes	MDI, PO, polyols, PG, TPU, aniline and MTBE	Refrigeration and appliance insulation, construction products, adhesives, automotive, footwear, furniture, cushioning, specialized engineering applications and fuel additives	BMW, CertainTeed, Electrolux, Firestone, GE, Haier, Louisiana Pacific, Recticel, Weyerhaeuser
Performance Products	Amines, surfactants, LAB, maleic anhydride, other performance chemicals, EG, olefins and technology licenses	Detergents, personal care products, agrochemicals, lubricant and fuel additives, adhesives, paints and coatings, construction, marine and automotive products, composites, and PET fibers and resins	Afton, Chevron, Dow, Henkel, L'Oreal, Lubrizol, Monsanto, Procter & Gamble, Reichhold, The Sun Products Corporation, Unilever
Advanced Materials	Basic liquid and solid epoxy resins; specialty resin compounds; cross-linking, matting and curing agents; epoxy, acrylic and polyurethane-based formulations	Adhesives, composites for aerospace, automotive, and wind power generation; construction and civil engineering; industrial coatings; electrical power transmission; consumer electronics	ABB, Akzo, Bodo Moller, Cytec, Freeman, Hexcel, ISOLA, Lianyungang, Omya, PPG, Ribelin, RPM, Sanarrow, Schneider, Sherwin Williams, Siemens, Sinomatech, Speed Fair, Syngenta, Viasystems
Textile Effects	Textile chemicals and dyes	Apparel, home and technical textiles	Alok Industries, Aunde, Nice Dyeing, Esquel Group, Fruit of the Loom, Hanesbrands, Y.R.C., Guilford Mills, Polartec, Tencate, Zaber & Zubair
Pigments	Titanium dioxide	Paints and coatings, plastics, paper, printing inks, fibers and ceramics	Akzo, Clariant, Jotun, PolyOne, PPG

Table of Contents

Polyurethanes

General

We are a leading global manufacturer and marketer of a broad range of polyurethane chemicals, including MDI products, PO, polyols, PG and TPU. Polyurethane chemicals are used to produce rigid and flexible foams, as well as coatings, adhesives, sealants and elastomers. We focus on the higher-margin, higher-growth markets for MDI and MDI-based polyurethane systems. Growth in our Polyurethanes segment has been driven primarily by the continued substitution of MDI-based products for other materials across a broad range of applications. We operate 5 primary Polyurethanes manufacturing facilities in the U.S., Europe and China. We also operate 15 Polyurethanes formulation facilities, which are located in close proximity to our customers worldwide.

Our customers produce polyurethane products through the combination of an isocyanate, such as MDI or TDI, with polyols, which are derived largely from PO and EO. While the range of TDI-based products is relatively limited, we are able to produce over 2,000 distinct MDI-based polyurethane products by modifying the MDI molecule through varying the proportion and type of polyol used and by introducing other chemical additives to our MDI formulations. As a result, polyurethane products, especially those derived from MDI, are continuing to replace traditional products in a wide range of end use markets, including insulation in construction and appliances, cushioning for automotive and furniture, adhesives, wood binders, footwear and other specialized engineering applications.

We are one of three North American producers of PO. We and some of our customers process PO into derivative products, such as polyols for polyurethane products, PG and various other chemical products. End uses for these derivative products include applications in the home furnishings, construction, appliances, packaging, automotive and transportation, food, paints and coatings and cleaning products industries. We also produce MTBE as a co-product of our PO manufacturing process. MTBE is an oxygenate that is blended with gasoline to reduce harmful vehicle emissions and to enhance the octane rating of gasoline. See " Environmental, Health and Safety Matters MTBE Developments" below.

In 1992, we were the first global supplier of polyurethane chemicals to open a technical service center in China. We have since expanded this facility to include an integrated polyurethanes formulation facility. In January 2003, we entered into two related joint ventures to build MDI production and finishing facilities near Shanghai, China. Production at our MDI finishing plant near Shanghai, China operated by HPS, a consolidated joint venture, was commissioned on June 30, 2006. Production at the MNB, aniline and crude MDI plants operated by SLIC, an unconsolidated joint venture, commenced on September 30, 2006. These world-scale facilities strengthen our ability to service our customers in the critical Chinese market and will support the significant demand growth that we believe this region will continue to experience.

Table of Contents

Products and Markets

MDI is used primarily in rigid foam applications and in a wide variety of customized, higher-value flexible foam and coatings, adhesives, sealants and elastomers. Polyols, including polyether and polyester polyols, are used in conjunction with MDI and TDI in rigid foam, flexible foam and other non-foam applications. PO is one of the principal raw materials for producing polyether polyols. The following chart illustrates the range of product types and end uses for polyurethane chemicals.

Polyurethane chemicals are sold to customers who combine the chemicals to produce polyurethane products. Depending on their needs, customers will use either commodity polyurethane chemicals produced for mass sales or polyurethane systems tailored for their specific requirements. By varying the blend, additives and specifications of the polyurethane chemicals, manufacturers are able to develop and produce a breadth and variety of polyurethane products.

MDI. MDI has a substantially larger market size and a higher growth rate than TDI. This is primarily because MDI can be used to make polyurethanes with a broader range of properties and can therefore be used in a wider range of applications than TDI. We believe that future growth of MDI is expected to be driven by the continued substitution of MDI-based polyurethane for fiberglass and other materials currently used in rigid insulation foam for construction. We expect that other markets, such as binders for reconstituted wood board products, specialty cushioning applications and coatings will further contribute to the continued growth of MDI.

With the recent rapid growth of the developing Asian economies, the Asian markets have now become the largest market for MDI.

TPU. TPU is a high-quality, fully formulated thermal plastic derived from the reaction of MDI or an aliphatic isocyanate with polyols to produce unique qualities such as durability, flexibility, strength, abrasion-resistance, shock absorbency and chemical resistance. We can tailor the performance characteristics of TPU to meet the specific requirements of our customers. TPU is used in injection molding and small components for the automotive and footwear industries. It is also extruded into films, wires and cables for use in a wide variety of applications in the coatings, adhesives, sealants and elastomers markets.

Table of Contents

Polyols. Polyols are combined with MDI, TDI and other isocyanates to create a broad spectrum of polyurethane products. Demand for specialty polyols has been growing at approximately the same rate at which MDI consumption has grown.

Aniline. Aniline is an intermediate chemical used primarily to manufacture MDI. Generally, aniline is either consumed internally by the producers of the aniline or is sold to third parties under long-term supply contracts. We believe that the lack of a significant spot market for aniline means that in order to remain competitive, MDI manufacturers must either be integrated with an aniline manufacturing facility or have a long-term, cost-competitive aniline supply contract.

PO. PO is an intermediate chemical used mainly to produce a wide range of polyols and PG. Demand for PO depends largely on overall economic demand, especially that of consumer durables. The following chart illustrates the primary end markets and applications for PO.

MTBE. MTBE is an oxygenate that is blended with gasoline to reduce harmful vehicle emissions and to enhance the octane rating of gasoline. While MTBE has been effectively eliminated in the United States, demand continues to grow in other regions of the world. In 2011 we announced the signing of a license agreement with Chinese chemicals manufacturer Yantai Wanhua Polyurethanes Co., Ltd, for the production of PO and MTBE. See " Environmental, Health and Safety Matters MTBE Developments" below and "Part I. Item 1A. Risk Factors." We continue to sell MTBE for use as a gasoline additive, substantially all of which is sold for use outside the U.S. See " Manufacturing and Operations" below and "Part II. Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

Sales and Marketing

Our global sales group markets our polyurethane chemicals to over 3,500 customers in more than 90 countries. Our sales and technical resources are organized to support major regional markets, as well as key end use markets which require a more global approach. These key end use markets include the appliance, automotive, footwear, furniture and coatings, construction products, adhesives, sealants and elastomers industries.

Table of Contents

We provide a wide variety of polyurethane solutions as components (i.e., the isocyanate or the polyol) or in the form of "systems" in which we provide the total isocyanate and polyol formulation to our customers in ready-to-use form. Our ability to deliver a range of polyurethane solutions and technical support tailored to meet our customer's needs is critical to our long term success. We have strategically located our polyurethane formulation facilities, commonly referred to in the chemicals industry as "systems houses," close to our customers, enabling us to focus on customer support and technical service. We believe this customer support and technical service system contributes to customer retention and also provides opportunities for identifying further product and service needs of customers. We manufacture polyols primarily to support our MDI customers' requirements.

We believe that the extensive market knowledge and industry experience of our sales teams and technical experts, in combination with our strong emphasis on customer relationships, have facilitated our ability to establish and maintain long-term customer supply positions. Our strategy is to continue to increase sales to existing customers and to attract new customers by providing innovative solutions, quality products, reliable supply, competitive prices and superior customer service.

Manufacturing and Operations

Our MDI production facilities are located in Geismar, Louisiana; Rozenburg, The Netherlands; and through our joint ventures in Caojing, China. These facilities receive aniline, which is a primary material used in the production of MDI, from our facilities located in Geismar, Louisiana; Wilton, U.K.; and Caojing, China. We believe that this relative scale and product integration of our large facilities provide a significant competitive advantage over other producers. In addition to reducing transportation costs for our raw materials, integration helps reduce our exposure to cyclical prices.

The following table sets forth the annual production capacity of polyurethane chemicals at each of our polyurethanes facilities:

	MDI	Polyols	TPU	Aniline	Nitrobenzene	PO	PG	MTBE (millions of gallons)
	(millions of pounds)							
Geismar, Louisiana	990	160		715(2)	953(2)			
Osnabrück, Germany		26	59					
Port Neches, Texas						525	145	260
Ringwood, Illinois			20					
Caojing, China	265(1)							
Rozenburg, The Netherlands	880	130						
Wilton, U.K.				715	953			
Total	2,135	316	79	1,430	1,906	525	145	260

(1) Represents our 50% share of capacity from SLIC, an unconsolidated Chinese joint venture.

(2) Represents our approximately 78% share of capacity under our consolidated Rubicon LLC manufacturing joint venture with Chemtura Corporation.

At both our Geismar and Rozenburg facilities we utilize sophisticated proprietary technology to produce our MDI. This technology, which is also used in our Chinese joint venture, contributes to our position as a low cost MDI producer. In addition to MDI, we use a proprietary manufacturing process to manufacture PO. We own or license all technology and know-how developed and utilized at our PO facility. Our process combines isobutane and oxygen in proprietary oxidation (peroxidation) reactors, thereby forming TBHP and TBA, which are further processed into PO and MTBE, respectively. Because our PO production process is less expensive relative to other technologies and allows all of our

Table of Contents

PO co-products to be processed into saleable or useable materials, we believe that our PO production technology possesses several distinct advantages over its alternatives.

We operate polyurethane systems houses in Buenos Aires, Argentina; Deer Park, Australia; Shanghai, China; Cartagena, Colombia; Deggendorf, Germany; Thane (Maharashtra), India; Ternate, Italy; Tlalnepantla, Mexico; Mississauga, Ontario; Kuan Yin, Taiwan; Samutprakarn, Thailand; Osnabrück, Germany; Dammam, Saudi Arabia; Taboã da Serra, Brazil and Istanbul, Turkey.

Joint Ventures

Rubicon Joint Venture. Chemtura Corporation is our joint venture partner in Rubicon LLC, which owns aniline, nitrobenzene and DPA manufacturing facilities in Geismar, Louisiana. We are entitled to approximately 78% of the nitrobenzene and aniline production capacity of Rubicon LLC, and Chemtura Corporation is entitled to 100% of the DPA production. In addition to operating the joint venture's aniline, nitrobenzene and DPA facilities, Rubicon LLC also operates our wholly owned MDI, polyol and Maleic Anhydride facilities at Geismar and is responsible for providing other auxiliary services to the entire Geismar complex. As a result of this joint venture, we are able to achieve greater scale and lower costs for our products than we would otherwise have been able to obtain. Rubicon LLC is consolidated in our financial statements.

Chinese MDI Joint Ventures. We are involved in two related joint ventures which operate MDI production facilities near Shanghai, China. SLIC, our manufacturing joint venture with BASF AG and three Chinese chemical companies, produces MNB, aniline and crude MDI. We effectively own 35% of SLIC and account for our investment under the equity method. HPS, our splitting joint venture with Shanghai Chlor-Alkali Chemical Company, Ltd, manufactures pure MDI, polymeric MDI and MDI variants. We own 70% of HPS and it is a consolidated affiliate. These projects have been funded by a combination of equity invested by the joint venture partners and borrowed funds. The total production capacity of the SLIC facilities is 530 million pounds per year of MDI and the splitting capacity of the HPS facility is 339 million pounds per year of MDI.

Russian MDI, Coatings and Systems Joint Venture In 2006, we purchased a 45% interest in International Polyurethane Investments B.V. This company's wholly-owned subsidiary, NMG is a leading polyurethanes producer headquartered in Obninsk, Russia. We account for this investment under the equity method. This joint venture, now Huntsman NMG ZAO, manufactures and markets a range of polyurethane systems in adhesives, coatings, elastomers and insulation using our MDI products.

Raw Materials

The primary raw materials for MDI-based polyurethane chemicals are benzene and PO. Benzene is a widely available commodity that is the primary feedstock for the production of MDI and aniline. Historically, benzene has been the largest component of our raw material costs. We purchase benzene from third parties to manufacture nitrobenzene and aniline, almost all of which we then use to produce MDI.

A major cost in the production of polyols is attributable to the costs of PO. The integration of our PO business with our polyurethane chemicals business gives us access to a competitively priced, strategic source of PO and the opportunity to develop polyols that enhance our range of MDI products. The primary raw materials used in our PO production process are butane/isobutane, propylene, methanol and oxygen, which accounted for 55%, 33%, 11% and 1%, respectively, of segment raw material costs in 2011. We purchase a large portion of our raw materials under long-term contracts.

Table of Contents

Competition

Our major competitors in the polyurethane chemicals market include BASF, Bayer, Dow, Yantai Wanhua and LyondellBasell. While these competitors and others produce various types and quantities of polyurethane chemicals, we focus on MDI and MDI-based polyurethane systems. Our polyurethane chemicals business competes in two basic ways: (1) where price is the dominant element of competition, our polyurethane chemicals business differentiates itself by its high level of customer support, including cooperation on technical and safety matters; and (2) elsewhere, we compete on the basis of product performance and our ability to react quickly to changing customer needs and by providing customers with innovative solutions to their needs.

Some of our competitors in the Polyurethanes segment are among the world's largest chemical companies and major integrated petroleum companies. These competitors may have their own raw material resources. Some of these companies may be able to produce products more economically than we can. In addition, some of our competitors in this market have greater financial resources, which may enable them to invest significant capital into their businesses, including expenditures for research and development. If any of our current or future competitors in this market develop proprietary technology that enables them to produce products at a significantly lower cost, our technology could be rendered uneconomical or obsolete.

Performance Products

General

Our Performance Products segment is organized around three market areas: performance specialties, performance intermediates and maleic anhydride and licensing, and serves a wide variety of consumer and industrial end markets. In performance specialties, we are a leading global producer of amines, carbonates and certain specialty surfactants. Growth in demand in our performance specialties market tends to be driven by the end-performance characteristics that our products deliver to our customers. These products are manufactured for use in a growing number of niche industrial end uses and have been characterized by growing demand, technology substitution and stable profitability. For example, we are one of two significant global producers of polyetheramines, for which our sales volumes have grown at a compound annual rate of over 8% in the last 10 years due to strong demand in a number of industrial applications, such as epoxy curing agents, oil drilling, agrochemicals, fuel additives and civil construction materials. In performance intermediates, we consume internally produced and third-party-sourced base petrochemicals in the manufacture of our surfactants, LAB and ethanolamines products, which are primarily used in detergency, consumer products and industrial applications. We also produce EG, which is primarily used in the production of polyester fibers and PET packaging. We believe we are North America's largest and lowest-cost producer of maleic anhydride. Maleic anhydride is the building block for UPRs, which are mainly used in the production of fiberglass reinforced resins for marine, automotive and construction products. We are the leading global licensor of maleic anhydride manufacturing technology and are also the largest supplier of butane fixed bed catalyst used in the manufacture of maleic anhydride. Our licensing group also licenses technology on behalf of our other businesses. We operate 19 Performance Products manufacturing facilities in North America, Europe, Middle East, India, Asia and Australia.

We have the annual capacity to produce approximately 1.4 billion pounds of more than 200 amines and other performance chemicals. We believe we are the largest global producer of polyetheramines, propylene carbonates, ethylene carbonates, and DGA® agent, the second largest producer of ethyleneamines and morpholine and the third-largest North American producer of ethanolamines. We also produce substituted propylamines. We use internally produced ethylene, EO, EG and PO in the manufacture of many of our amines and carbonates. Our products are manufactured at our Port Neches, Conroe and Freeport, Texas facilities and at our facilities in Llanelli, U.K.; Petfurdo, Hungary;

Table of Contents

Ankleshwar, India; Jurong Island, Singapore; and Jubail Saudi Arabia. Our amines are used in a wide variety of consumer and industrial applications, including personal care products, polyurethane foam, fuel and lubricant additives, paints and coatings, composites, solvents and catalysts. Our key amines customers include Akzo, Chevron, Dow, Hercules, Afton, Unilever, Monsanto and PPG.

We have the capacity to produce approximately 2.4 billion pounds of surfactant products annually at our nine facilities located in North America, Europe, India and Australia. We are a leading global manufacturer of nonionic, anionic, cationic and amphoteric surfactants products and are characterized by our breadth of product offering and market coverage. Our surfactant products are primarily used in consumer detergent and industrial cleaning applications. We are a leading European producer of components for powder and liquid laundry detergents and other cleaners. In addition, we manufacture and market a diversified range of mild surfactants and specialty formulations for use in personal care applications. We continue to strengthen and diversify our surfactant product offering into formulated specialty surfactant products for use in various industrial applications such as leather and textile treatment, foundry and construction, agrochemicals, fuels and lubricants, polymers and coatings. We are growing our global agrochemical surfactant technology and product offerings. Our key surfactants customers include Sun Products, L'Oreal, Monsanto, Nufarm, Clorox, Henkel, Colgate, Procter & Gamble and Unilever.

We are North America's largest producer of LAB, with alkylation capacity of 400 million pounds per year at our plant in Chocolate Bayou, Texas. LAB is a surfactant intermediate which is converted into LAS, a major anionic surfactant used worldwide for the production of consumer, industrial and institutional laundry detergents. We also manufacture a higher-molecular-weight alkylate which is used as an additive to lubricants. Our key customers for LAB and specialty alkylates include Colgate, Lubrizol, Henkel, Procter & Gamble, Unilever and Sun Products.

We believe we are the largest global producer of maleic anhydride, a highly versatile chemical intermediate that is used to produce UPRs, which are mainly used in the production of fiberglass reinforced resins for marine, automotive and construction products. Maleic anhydride is also used in the production of lubricants, food additives and artificial sweeteners. We have the capacity to produce approximately 583 million pounds annually at our facilities located in Pensacola, Florida; Geismar, Louisiana; and Moers, Germany. We also license our maleic anhydride technology and supply our catalysts to licensees and to worldwide merchant customers. As a result of our long-standing research and development efforts aided by our pilot and catalyst preparation plants, we have successfully introduced six generations of our maleic anhydride catalysts and now have a seventh generation catalyst commercially available. Revenue from licensing and catalyst comes from new plant commissioning, as well as current plant retrofits and catalyst change schedules. Our key maleic anhydride customers include AOC, Chevron Oronite, CCP Composites, Dixie, Lubrizol, Infineum, Reichhold, Tate & Lyle, Cranston Print, Gulf Bayport, and Bartek.

We also have the capacity to produce approximately 945 million pounds of EG annually at our facilities in Port Neches, Texas and Botany, Australia.

Table of Contents**Products and Markets**

Performance Specialties. The following table shows the end-market applications for our performance specialties products:

Product Group	Applications
Specialty Amines	liquid soaps, personal care, lubricant and fuel additives, polyurethane foams, fabric softeners, paints and coatings, refinery processing, water treating
Polyetheramines	polyurethane foams and insulation, construction and flooring, paints and coatings, lubricant and fuel additives, adhesives, epoxy composites, agrochemicals, oilfield chemicals, printing inks, pigment dispersion
Ethyleneamines	lubricant and fuel additives, epoxy hardeners, wet strength resins, chelating agents, fungicides
Morpholine/DGA® agent and Gas Treating	hydrocarbon processing, construction chemicals, synthetic rubber, water treating, electronics applications, gas treatment and agriculture
Carbonates	lubricant and fuel additives, agriculture, electronics applications, textile treatment, solar panels
Specialty Surfactants	agricultural herbicides, construction, paper de-inking, lubricants
Specialty Alkylates	lubricant additive

Our performance specialties products are organized around the following end markets: coatings, polymers and resins; process additives; resources, fuels and lubricants; and agrochemicals. As part of a business reorganization effective January 1, 2012, our performance specialties products will be organized around the following end markets: energy, materials, additives, processing chemicals and agrochemicals.

Amines. Amines broadly refers to the family of intermediate chemicals that are produced by reacting ammonia with various ethylene and propylene derivatives. Generally, amines are valued for their properties as a reactive, agent, emulsifier, dispersant, detergent, solvent or corrosion inhibiting agent. Growth in demand for amines is highly correlated with GDP growth due to its strong links to general industrial and consumer products markets. However, certain segments of the amines market, such as polyetheramines, have grown at rates well in excess of GDP growth due to new product development, technical innovation, and substitution and replacement of competing products. For example, polyetheramines are used by customers who demand increasingly sophisticated performance characteristics as an additive in the manufacture of highly customized epoxy formulations, enabling customers to penetrate new markets and substitute for traditional curing materials. As amines are generally sold based upon the performance characteristics that they provide to customer-specific end use application, pricing does not generally fluctuate directly with movements in underlying raw materials.

Morpholine/DGA® Agent. Morpholine and DGA® agent are produced as co-products by reacting ammonia with DEG. Morpholine is used in a number of niche industrial applications including rubber curing (as an accelerator) and flocculants for water treatment. DGA® agent is primarily used in gas treating, electronics, herbicides and metalworking end use applications.

Carbonates. Ethylene and propylene carbonates are manufactured by reacting EO and PO with carbon dioxide. Carbonates are used as solvents and as reactive diluents in polymer and coating

Table of Contents

applications. They are also increasingly being used as a photo-resist solvent in the manufacture of printed circuit boards, solar panels, LCD screens and the production of lithium batteries.

Performance Intermediates. The following table sets forth the end markets for our performance intermediates products:

Product Group	End Markets
<i>Surfactants</i>	
Alkoxylates	household detergents, industrial cleaners, anti-fog chemicals for glass, asphalt emulsions, shampoos, polymerization additives, de-emulsifiers for petroleum production
Sulfonates/Sulfates	powdered detergents, liquid detergents, shampoos, body washes, dishwashing liquids, industrial cleaners, emulsion polymerization, concrete superplasticizers, gypsum wallboard
Esters and Derivatives	shampoo, body wash, textile and leather treatment
Nitrogen Derivatives	bleach thickeners, baby shampoo, fabric conditioners, other personal care products
Formulated Blends	household detergents, textile and leather treatment, personal care products, pharmaceutical intermediates
EO/PO Block Co-Polymers	automatic dishwasher detergents
<i>Ethanolamines</i>	wood preservatives, herbicides, construction products, gas treatment, metalworking
<i>LAB</i>	consumer detergents, industrial and institutional detergents
<i>EG</i>	polyester fibers and PET bottle resins, antifreeze

Surfactants. Surfactants or "surface active agents" are substances that combine a water soluble component with a water insoluble component in the same molecule. While surfactants are most commonly used for their detergency in cleaning applications, they are also valued for their emulsification, foaming, dispersing, penetrating and wetting properties in a variety of industries.

Demand growth for surfactants is relatively stable and exhibits little cyclicity. The main consumer product applications for surfactants can demand new formulations with improved performance characteristics, which affords considerable opportunity for innovative surfactants manufacturers like us to provide surfactants and blends with differentiated specifications and properties. For basic surfactants, pricing tends to have a strong relationship to underlying raw material prices and usually lags raw material price movements.

Ethanolamines. Ethanolamines are a range of chemicals produced by the reaction of EO with ammonia. They are used as intermediates in the production of a variety of industrial, agricultural and consumer products. There are a limited number of competitors due to the technical and cost barriers to entry. Growth in this sector has typically been higher than GDP but saw a decline in late 2009 followed by a recovery in demand in 2011.

LAB. LAB is a surfactant intermediate which is produced through the reaction of benzene with either normal paraffins or linear alpha olefins. Nearly all the LAB produced globally is converted into LAS, a major anionic surfactant used worldwide for the production of consumer, industrial and institutional laundry detergents.

Table of Contents

Three major manufacturers lead the traditional detergency market for LAB in North America: Procter & Gamble, Henkel and The Sun Products Corp. We believe that two-thirds of the LAB global capacity lies in the hands of ten producers, with three or four major producers in each of the three regional markets. Although the North American market for LAB is mature, we expect Latin American and other developing countries to grow as detergent demand grows at a faster rate than GDP. Growth in demand for specialty alkylates for use in lubricants is expected to be higher than GDP. We have developed a unique manufacturing capability for a high molecular weight alkylate for this market. With a significant technical barrier to entry, our specialty alkylate capability has allowed us greater diversity in our portfolio and strengthened our competitive position versus LAB-only producers.

EG. We consume our internally produced EO to produce three types of EG: MEG, DEG and TEG. MEG is consumed primarily in the polyester (fiber and bottle resin) and antifreeze end markets and is also used in a wide variety of industrial applications including synthetic lubricants, plasticizers, solvents and emulsifiers. DEG is consumed internally for the production of Morpholine/DGA® agent and polyols. TEG is used internally for the production of polyols and is sold into the market for dehydration of natural gas. We continue to optimize our EO and EG operations depending on the fundamental market demand for EG.

Maleic Anhydride and Licensing. The following table sets forth the end markets for our maleic anhydride products:

Product Group	End Markets
Maleic anhydride	boat hulls, automotive, construction, lubricant and fuel additives, countertops, agrochemicals, paper, and food additives
Maleic anhydride catalyst and technology licensing	maleic anhydride, BDO and its derivatives, and PBT manufacturers

Maleic anhydride is a chemical intermediate that is produced by oxidizing either benzene or normal butane through the use of a catalyst. The largest use of maleic anhydride in the U.S. is in the production of UPRs, which we believe account for approximately 48% of North American maleic anhydride demand. UPR is the main ingredient in fiberglass reinforced resins, which are used for marine and automotive applications and commercial and residential construction products.

Our maleic anhydride technology is a proprietary fixed bed process with solvent recovery and is characterized by low butane consumption and an energy- efficient, high-percentage-recovery solvent recovery system. This process competes against two other processes, the fluid bed process and the fixed bed process with water recovery. We believe that our process is superior in the areas of feedstock and energy efficiency and solvent recovery. The maleic anhydride-based route to BDO manufacture is currently the preferred process technology and is favored over the other routes, which include PO, butadiene and acetylene as feedstocks. As a result, the growth in demand for BDO has resulted in increased demand for our maleic anhydride technology and catalyst.

Total North American demand for maleic anhydride in 2011 was approximately 608 million pounds. Over time, demand for maleic anhydride has generally grown at rates that slightly exceed GDP growth. However, given its dependence on the UPR market, which is heavily influenced by construction end markets, demand for this application can be cyclical. Generally, changes in price have resulted from changes in industry capacity utilization as opposed to changes in underlying raw material costs.

Sales and Marketing

We sell over 2,000 products to over 4,000 customers globally through our Performance Products marketing groups, which have extensive market knowledge, considerable chemical industry experience and well established customer relationships.

Table of Contents

Our performance specialties markets are organized around end use market applications, such as coatings, polymers and resins and agrochemical. In these end uses, our marketing efforts are focused on how our product offerings perform in certain customer applications. We believe that this approach enhances the value of our product offerings and creates opportunities for ongoing differentiation in our development activities with our customers. Our performance intermediates and maleic anhydride groups organize their marketing efforts around their products and geographic regions served. We also provide extensive pre- and post-sales technical service support to our customers where our technical service professionals work closely with our research and development functions to tailor our product offerings to meet our customers unique and changing requirements. Finally, these technical service professionals interact closely with our market managers and business leadership teams to help guide future offerings and market approach strategies.

In addition to our focused direct sales efforts, we maintain an extensive global network of distributors and agents that also sell our products. These distributors and agents typically promote our products to smaller end use customers who cannot be served cost effectively by our direct sales forces.

Manufacturing and Operations

Our Performance Products segment has the capacity to produce more than seven billion pounds annually of a wide variety of specialty, intermediate and commodity products and formulations at 19 manufacturing locations in North America, Europe, Africa, the Middle East ("EAME"), Asia and Australia. These production capacities are as follows:

Product Area	Current capacity			Total
	North America	EAME	APAC(1)	
(millions of pounds)				
<i>Performance Specialties</i>				
Amines	706	186(2)	57	949
Carbonates	77			77
Specialty surfactants	178	106	77	361
<i>Performance Intermediates</i>				
EG	890		55	945
EO	1,000		100	1,100
Ethanolamines	400			400
Ethylene	400			400
LAB	400			400
Propylene	300			300
Surfactants	470	1,528	72	2,070
<i>Maleic anhydride</i>	340	243(3)		583

- (1) Asia-Pacific region including India ("APAC")
- (2) Includes up to 30 million pounds of ethyleneamines that are made available from Dow's Terneuzen, The Netherlands facility by way of a long-term supply arrangement and 60 million pounds from our consolidated 50/50 joint venture Arabian Amines Company located in Jubail, Saudi Arabia.
- (3) Represents total capacity of a facility owned by Sasol-Huntsman GmbH and Co. KG ("Sasol-Huntsman"), of which we own a 50% equity interest and Sasol owns the remaining 50% interest. We have consolidated the financial results of this entity since April 2011.

Table of Contents

Our surfactants and amines facilities are located globally, with broad capabilities in amination, sulfonation and ethoxylation. These facilities have a competitive cost base and use modern manufacturing units that allow for flexibility in production capabilities and technical innovation. Through the major restructuring of our surfactant operations, we have significantly improved the competitiveness of our surfactants business.

Our primary ethylene, propylene, EO, EG and ethanolamines facilities are located in Port Neches, Texas alongside our Polyurethanes' PO/MTBE facility. The Port Neches, Texas facility benefits from extensive logistics infrastructure, which allows for efficient sourcing of other raw materials and distribution of finished products.

A number of our facilities are located within large integrated petrochemical manufacturing complexes. We believe this results in greater scale and lower costs for our products than we would be able to obtain if these facilities were stand-alone operations. These include our LAB facility in Chocolate Bayou, Texas, our maleic anhydride facilities in Pensacola, Florida and Moers, Germany and our Ethyleneamines facility in Freeport, Texas.

Joint Ventures

Ethyleneamines Joint Venture. In 2008, we formed Arabian Amines Company, a 50/50 joint venture with the Zamil Group, which has constructed an ethyleneamines manufacturing plant in Jubail, Saudi Arabia. Production commenced in 2010. The plant has an approximate annual capacity of 60 million pounds. We purchase and sell all of the production from this joint venture. Arabian Amines Company was accounted for under the equity method during its development stage. We began consolidating this joint venture beginning July 1, 2010.

Maleic Anhydride Joint Venture. Since the second quarter of 2011, we have consolidated the results of Sasol-Huntsman, our 50/50 maleic anhydride joint venture. This entity operates a manufacturing facility in Moers, Germany with the capacity to produce 243 million pounds of maleic anhydride. The output from the facility is sold in the European region.

Raw Materials

We have the capacity to use approximately 850 million pounds of ethylene each year produced in part at our Port Neches, Texas facility in the production of EO and ethyleneamines. We consume all of our EO in the manufacture of our EG, surfactants and amines products. We also use internally produced PO and DEG in the manufacture of these products. We have the capacity to produce 400 million pounds of ethylene and 300 million pounds of propylene, depending on feedstocks, at our Port Neches, Texas facility. All of the ethylene is used in the production of EO and substantially all of the propylene is consumed by the PO unit at Port Neches operated by our Polyurethanes business. We purchase or toll the remainder of our ethylene and propylene requirements from third parties.

In addition to internally produced raw materials, our performance specialties market purchases over 250 compounds in varying quantities, the largest of which includes ethylene dichloride, caustic soda, synthetic alcohols, paraffin, nonyl phenol, ammonia, hydrogen, methylamines and acrylonitrile. The majority of these raw materials are available from multiple sources in the merchant market at competitive prices.

In our performance intermediates market, our primary raw materials, in addition to internally produced and third-party sourced EO and ethylene, are synthetic and natural alcohols, paraffin, alpha olefins, benzene and nonyl phenol. All of these raw materials are widely available in the merchant market at competitive prices.

Maleic anhydride is produced by the reaction of n-butane with oxygen using our proprietary catalyst. The principal raw material is n-butane which is purchased pursuant to long-term contracts and

Table of Contents

delivered to our Pensacola, Florida site by barge, to our facility in Geismar, Louisiana via pipeline and to our Moers, Germany site by railcar. Our maleic anhydride catalyst is toll-manufactured by a third party under a long-term contract according to our proprietary methods. These raw materials are available from multiple sources at competitive prices.

Competition

In our performance specialties market, there are few competitors for many of our products due to the considerable customization of product formulations, the proprietary nature of many of our product applications and manufacturing processes and the relatively high research and development and technical costs involved. Some of our global competitors include BASF, Air Products, Dow, Tosoh and Akzo. We compete primarily on the basis of product performance, new product innovation and, to a lesser extent, on the basis of price.

There are numerous global producers of many of our performance intermediates products. Our main competitors include global companies such as Dow, Sasol, BASF, Petresa, Clariant, Shell, Stepan, Croda and Kao, as well as various smaller or more local competitors. We compete on the basis of price with respect to the majority of our product offerings and, to a lesser degree, on the basis of product availability, performance and service with respect to certain of our more value-added products.

In our maleic anhydride market, we compete primarily on the basis of price, customer service and plant location. Our competitors include Lanxess, Flint Hills Resources, Bartek, and Ashland. We are the leading global producer of maleic anhydride catalyst. Competitors in our maleic anhydride catalyst market include Scientific Design, Ineos, BASF, and Polynt. In our maleic anhydride technology licensing market, our primary competitor is Scientific Design. We compete primarily on the basis of technological performance and service.

The market in which our Performance Products segment operates is highly competitive. Among our competitors in this market are some of the world's largest chemical companies and major integrated petroleum companies that have their own raw material resources. Some of these companies may be able to produce products more economically than we can. In addition, some of our competitors in this market have greater financial resources, which may enable them to invest significant capital into their businesses, including expenditures for research and development. If any of our current or future competitors in this market develop proprietary technology that enables them to produce products at a significantly lower cost, our technology could be rendered uneconomical or obsolete.

Advanced Materials

General

Our Advanced Materials segment is a leading global manufacturer and marketer of technologically advanced epoxy, acrylic and polyurethane-based polymer products. We focus on formulations and systems that are used to address customer-specific needs in a wide variety of industrial and consumer applications. Our products are used either as replacements for traditional materials or in applications where traditional materials do not meet demanding engineering specifications. For example, structural adhesives are used to replace metal rivets and advanced composites are used to replace traditional aluminum panels in the manufacture of aerospace components. Our Advanced Materials segment is characterized by the breadth of our product offering, our expertise in complex chemistry, our long-standing relationships with our customers, our ability to develop and adapt our technology and our applications expertise for new markets and new applications.

Table of Contents

We operate synthesis, formulating and production facilities in North America, Europe, Asia, South America and Africa. We sell to more than 3,000 customers in the following end-markets: civil engineering, consumer appliances, food and beverage packaging, industrial appliances, consumer/do it yourself ("DIY"), aerospace, DVD, LNG transport, electrical power transmission and distribution, printed circuit boards, consumer and industrial electronics, wind power generation, automotive, recreational sports equipment, medical appliances.

During 2011, we implemented a restructuring program to reorganize our Advanced Materials global business structure and to relocate our divisional headquarters to The Woodlands, Texas. In connection with this plan, we recorded net charges of \$20 million primarily for workforce reduction. We expect to incur additional restructuring and plant closing charges of approximately \$1 million in 2012 related to this program.

On November 1, 2011, we completed the sale of our stereolithography resin and Digitalis® machine manufacturing businesses to 3D Systems Corporation for \$41 million in cash. The stereolithography business had revenues of \$7 million in 2010 and its products are used primarily in three-dimensional part building systems. The Digitalis® business is a stereolithography rapid manufacturing system previously under development by our Advanced Materials business.

Products and Markets

Our product range spans from basic liquid and solid resins, to specialty components like curing agents, matting agents, accelerators, cross-linkers, reactive diluents, thermoplastic polyamides and additives. In addition to these components, which we typically sell to formulators in various industries, we also produce and sell ready to use formulated polymer systems.

Base Resins and Specialty Component Markets. Our products are used for the protection of steel and concrete substrates, such as flooring, metal furniture and appliances, buildings, linings for storage tanks and food and beverage cans, and the primer coat of automobile bodies and ships. Epoxy-based surface coatings are among the most widely used industrial coatings due to their structural stability and broad application functionality combined with overall economic efficiency.

Base resins and specialty components are also used for composite applications. A structural composite is made by combining two or more different materials, such as fibers, resins and other specialty additives, to create a product with enhanced structural properties. Specifically, structural composites are lightweight, high-strength, rigid materials with high resistance to chemicals, moisture and high temperatures. Our product range comprises basic and advanced epoxy resins, curing agents and other advanced chemicals, additives and formulated polymer systems. The four key target markets for our structural composites are aerospace, windmill blades for wind power generation, general industrial and automotive applications, and recreational products (mainly sports equipment such as skis). Structural composites continue to substitute for traditional materials, such as metals and wood, in a wide variety of applications due to their light weight, strength and durability.

Formulated Systems. The structural adhesives market requires high-strength "engineering" adhesives for use in the manufacture and repair of items to bond various engineering substrates. Our business focus is on engineering adhesives based on epoxy, polyurethane, acrylic and other technologies which are used to bond materials, such as steel, aluminum, engineering plastics and composites in substitution of traditional joining techniques. Our Araldite® brand name has considerable value in the industrial and consumer adhesives markets. In many countries, Araldite® branded products are known for their high-performance adhesive capabilities, and we generally believe that this is the value-added segment of the market where recognition of our long-standing Araldite® brand is a key competitive advantage. Packaging is a key characteristic of our adhesives products. Our range of adhesives is sold in

Table of Contents

a variety of packs and sizes, targeted to three specific end-markets and sold through targeted routes to market:

General Industrial Bonding. We sell a broad range of advanced formulated adhesives to a broad base of small-to medium-sized customers, including specialist distributors.

Industry Specific. We sell our adhesive products on a global basis into diverse, industry-specific markets, which include the aerospace, wind turbine, LNG transport, filterbonding, solar cell and other industrial applications markets. Our target markets are chosen because we believe it is worthwhile to utilize our direct sales force and applications experts to tailor products and services to suit the needs and performance specifications of the specific market segments.

Consumer/DIY. We package and sell consumer adhesives through strategic distribution arrangements with a number of the major marketers of consumer/DIY adhesives, such as Vynex, Velcro and Selleys. These products are sold globally through a number of major retail outlets, often under the Araldite® brand name.

Our electrical materials are formulated polymer systems, which make up the insulation materials used in equipment for the generation, transmission and distribution of electrical power, such as transformers, switch gears, ignition coils, sensors, motors and magnets, and for the protection of electrical and electronic devices and components. The purpose of these products is to insulate, protect or shield either the environment from electrical current or electrical devices from the environment, such as temperature or humidity. Our electrical insulating materials target two key market segments: the heavy electrical equipment market and the light electrical equipment market.

Products for the heavy electrical equipment market segment are used in power plant components, devices for power grids and insulating parts and components. In addition, there are numerous devices, such as motors and magnetic coils used in trains and medical equipment, which are manufactured using epoxy and related technologies. Products for the light electrical equipment market segment are used in applications such as industrial automation and control, consumer electronics, car electronics and electrical components. The end customers in the electrical insulating materials market encompass the relevant original equipment manufacturer ("OEM") as well as numerous manufacturers of components used in the final products. We also develop, manufacture and market materials used in the production of printed circuit boards. Our products are ultimately used in industries ranging from telecommunications and personal computer mother board manufacture to automotive electronic systems manufacture. Soldermasks are our most important product line in printed circuit board technologies. Sales are made mainly under the Probimer®, Probimage® and Probelec® trademarks. Our Probimer® trademark is a widely recognized brand name for soldermasks.

Sales and Marketing

We maintain multiple routes to market to service our diverse customer base. These routes to market range from using our own direct sales force for targeted, technically-oriented distribution to mass general distribution. Our direct sales force focuses on engineering solutions for decision-makers at major customers who purchase significant amounts of product from us. We use technically-oriented specialist distributors to augment our sales effort in niche markets and applications where we do not believe it is appropriate to develop direct sales resources. We use mass general distribution channels to sell our products into a wide range of general applications where technical expertise is less important to the user of the products to reduce our overall selling expenses. We believe our use of multiple routes to market enables us to reach a broader customer base at an efficient cost.

We conduct sales activities through dedicated regional sales teams in the Americas, EAMEI, and Asia. Our global customers are covered by key account managers who are familiar with the specific requirements of these clients. The management of long-standing customer relationships, some of which

Table of Contents

are 20 to 30 years old, is at the heart of the sales and marketing process. We are also supported by a strong network of distributors. We serve a highly fragmented customer base.

For our consumer/DIY range, we have entered into exclusive branding and distribution arrangements with, for example, Selleys in Australia. Under these arrangements, our distribution partners fund advertising and sales promotions, negotiate and sell to major retail chains, own inventories and provide store deliveries (and sometimes shelf merchandising) in exchange for a reliable, high-quality supply of Araldite® branded, ready-to-sell packaged products.

Manufacturing and Operations

We are a global business serving customers in four principal geographic regions: Europe, India, Middle East & Africa, the Americas, and Asia. To service our customers efficiently, we maintain manufacturing plants around the world with a strategy of global, regional and local manufacturing employed to optimize the level of service and minimize the cost to our customers. The following table summarizes the plants that we operate:

Location	Description of Facility
Bad Saeckingen, Germany	Formulating Facility
Bergkamen, Germany	Synthesis Facility
Chennai, India(1)	Resins and Synthesis Facility
Duxford, U.K.	Formulating Facility
East Lansing, Michigan, U.S.	Formulating Facility
Istanbul, Turkey(2)	Formulating Facility
Los Angeles, California, U.S.	Formulating Facility
McIntosh, Alabama, U.S.	Resins and Synthesis Facility
Monthey, Switzerland	Resins and Synthesis Facility
Pamplona, Spain	Resins and Synthesis Facility
Panyu, China(2)(3)	Formulation and Synthesis Facility
Sadat City, Egypt	Formulating Facility
Taboão da Serra, Brazil	Formulating Facility

(1) 76%-owned and consolidated manufacturing joint venture with Tamilnadu Petroproducts Limited.

(2) Leased land and/or building.

(3) 95%-owned and consolidated manufacturing joint venture with Guangdong Panyu Shilou Town Economic Development Co. Ltd.

Our facilities in Asia and India are well-positioned to take advantage of the market growth that is expected in these regions.

Raw Materials

The principal raw materials we purchase for the manufacture of basic and advanced epoxy resins are epichlorohydrin, bisphenol A and BLR. We also purchase amines, polyols, isocyanates, acrylic materials, hardeners and fillers for the production of our formulated polymer systems and complex chemicals and additives. Raw material costs constitute a sizeable percentage of sales for certain applications. We have supply contracts with a number of suppliers. The terms of our supply contracts vary, but, in general, these contracts contain provisions that set forth the quantities of product to be supplied and purchased and formula-based pricing.

Table of Contents

Additionally, we produce some of our most important raw materials, such as BLR and its basic derivatives, which are the basic building blocks of many of our products. We are the six largest producer of BLR in the world. Approximately 50% of the BLR we produce is consumed in the production of our formulated polymer systems. The balance of our BLR is sold as liquid or solid resin in the merchant market, allowing us to increase the utilization of our production plants and lower our overall BLR production cost. We believe that manufacturing a substantial proportion of our principal raw material gives us a competitive advantage over other epoxy-based polymer systems formulators, most of whom must buy BLR from third-party suppliers. This position helps protect us from pricing pressure from BLR suppliers and aids in providing us a stable supply of BLR in difficult market conditions.

We consume certain amines produced by our Performance Products segment and isocyanates produced by our Polyurethanes segment, which we use to formulate Advanced Materials products.

Competition

The market in which our Advanced Materials segment operates is highly competitive, and is dependent on significant capital investment, the development of proprietary technology, and maintenance of product research and development. Among our competitors in this market are some of the world's largest chemical companies and major integrated companies that have their own raw material resources.

Competition in our basic liquid and solid epoxy resins group is primarily driven by price, and is increasingly more global with industry consolidation in the North American and European markets and the emergence of new competitors in Asia. Our major competitors include Dow, Momentive, BASF, Kukdo and NanYa.

Competition in our specialty components and structural composites product group is primarily driven by product performance, applications expertise and customer certification. Our competitive strengths include our strong technology base, broad range of value-added products, leading market positions, diverse customer base and reputation for customer service. Major competitors include Air Products, Arizona, Momentive, BASF, Cray Valley, Evonics, DIC, Dow, Mitsui, Sumitomo and NanYa.

Competition in our formulation product group is primarily based on technology, know-how, applications and formulations expertise, product reliability and performance, process expertise and technical support. This product group covers a wide range of industries and the key competition factors vary by industry. Our competitive strengths result from our focus on defined market needs, our long-standing customer relationships, product reliability and technical performance, provision of high level service and recognition as a quality supplier in our chosen sectors. We operate dedicated technology centers in Basel, Switzerland; The Woodlands, Texas; and Panyu, China in support of our product and technology development. Our major competitors can be summarized as follows:

Formulation Product Group	Competition
Adhesives applications	Henkel/Loctite, ITW, National Starch, Sika, 3M
Electrical insulating materials	Altana, Momentive, Schenectady, Wuxi, Dexter-Hysol, Hitachi Chemical, Nagase Chemtex, Toshiba Chemical
Printed circuit board materials	Coates, Goo, Peters, Taiyo Ink, Tamura
Tooling and modeling solution.	Axson, DSM, Sika

Table of Contents

Textile Effects

General

Our Textile Effects segment is the leading global solutions provider for textile chemicals and dyes in our chosen markets. Our textile solutions enhance the color of finished textiles and improve such performance characteristics as wrinkle resistance and the ability to repel water and stains. Our Textile Effects segment is characterized by the breadth of our product offering, our long-standing relationships with our customers, our ability to develop and adapt our technology and our applications expertise for new markets and new applications.

We operate synthesis, formulating and production facilities in North America, Europe, Asia and South America. We market multiple products to customers in multiple end-markets, including the following: consumer fashion apparel, sportswear, career and uniform apparel, military, automotive, home textiles and furnishings, carpet and other functional textiles.

On September 27, 2011, we announced plans to implement a significant restructuring of our Textile Effects business, including the closure of our production facilities and business support offices in Basel, Switzerland, as part of an ongoing strategic program aimed at improving the Textile Effects business' long-term global competitiveness. In connection with this plan during 2011, we recorded a charge of \$62 million for workforce reduction and \$53 million for the impairment of long-lived assets at our Basel, Switzerland manufacturing facility. We expect to incur additional restructuring and plant closing charges of approximately \$25 million through 2014.

Products and Markets

Textiles generally involve a complex matrix of fibers, effects and functionality, and the resulting products range from fashion apparel to bulletproof vests, home linens to carpet, and upholstery to automotive interiors. Our broad range of dyestuffs and chemicals enhance both the aesthetic appearance of these products and the functionality needed to ensure that they perform in their end-use markets. Since the requirements for these markets vary dramatically, our business strategy focuses on the two major markets apparel and technical textiles. We work to provide the right balance of products and service to meet the technical challenges in each of these markets.

The apparel market, which also includes our home interiors products, focuses on products that provide an aesthetic effect and/or improve the processing efficiency within the textile mill. We offer a complete range of colors for cotton, polyester and nylon that cover the range of shades needed for sportswear, intimate apparel, towels, sheeting and casual wear. Our dyes have been developed to ensure that they offer the highest levels of wash fastness currently available in the market. Optical brighteners and other pretreatment products provide "bright white" effects for apparel, towels and sheeting. Pretreatment and dyeing auxiliaries ensure that these fabrics are processed efficiently and effectively cleaning the fabrics with fewer chemicals, less energy and less water and thereby minimizing the environmental footprint and reducing the processing costs. Silicone softeners may be used to enhance the feel of products.

Technical textiles include automotive textiles, carpet, military fabrics, mattress ticking and nonwoven and other technical fabrics. Though the product groups may differ in their end-uses, the articles must provide a high-level of functionality and performance in their respective markets. High-lightfast dyes and UV absorbers are used in automotive interiors and outdoor furnishings to provide colors that don't fade when exposed to sunlight and heat. Powerful stain repellent and release technology imparts durable protection for upholstery, military and medical fabrics, without affecting the color, breathability or feel of the fabric. Specialized dyes and prints create unique camouflage patterns for military uniforms, backpacks and tarps that won't fade through wash and wear or during exposure to the elements.

Table of Contents**Sales and Marketing**

For our textile effects products, we focus on providing effect competence and process competence to our customers. Effect competence delivering value-added effects to our customer's products enables us to capitalize on new and innovative technologies and to assist our customers in their efforts to differentiate themselves from competitors. Process competence applying know-how and expertise to improve customers' processes allows us to utilize our technical service to reduce cost and enhance efficiency.

Manufacturing and Operations

We are a global business serving customers in three principal geographic regions: EAME, the Americas and Asia. To service our customers efficiently, we maintain manufacturing plants around the world with a strategy of global, regional and local manufacturing employed to optimize the level of service and minimize the cost to our customers. The following table summarizes the plants that we operate:

Location	Description of Facility
Atotonilquillo, Mexico	Textile Dyes and Chemicals Formulations Facility
Baroda, India	Textile Dyes Facility
Basel, Switzerland(1)	Textile Dyes Facility and Technology Center
Bogota, Colombia(1)	Chemicals Formulations Facility
Charlotte, North Carolina, U.S.(1)	Chemicals Formulations Facility
Fraijanes, Guatemala(1)	Chemicals Formulations Facility
Gandaria, Jakarta, Indonesia	Textile Dyes and Chemicals Formulations Facility
Hangzhou, China(1)	Chemicals Formulations Facility
Istanbul, Turkey(1)	Chemicals Formulations Facility
Karachi, Pakistan(1)	Chemicals Formulations Facility
Langweid am Leich, Germany(1)	Chemicals Synthesis Facility
Panyu, China(1)(2)	Chemicals Synthesis Facility and Technology Center
Qingdao, China	Textile Dyes Facility
Samutsakorn (Mahachai), Thailand(1)	Textile Dyes and Chemicals Formulations Facility
Taboão da Serra, Brazil (1)	Chemicals Formulations Facility

(1) Leased land and/or building.

(2) 95%-owned and consolidated manufacturing joint venture with Guangdong Panyu Shilou Town Economic Development Co. Ltd.

Raw Materials

The manufacture of textile effects products requires a wide selection of raw materials (approximately 1,100 different chemicals), including amines, fluorochemicals and sulfones. No one raw material represents greater than 3% of our textile effects raw material expenditures. Raw material costs constitute a sizeable percentage of sales for certain applications. We have supply contracts with a number of suppliers. The terms of our supply contracts vary, but, in general, these contracts contain provisions that set forth the quantities of product to be supplied and purchased and formula-based pricing.

Table of Contents

Competition

We are the leading global solutions provider for textile chemicals and dyes in our chosen markets. Competition within the textile chemicals and dyes markets is generally fragmented with few competitors who offer complete solutions for both markets. Our major competitors are Clariant, BASF, Kiri-Dystar and Longsheng. We believe that our competitive strengths include our product offering, which is characterized by its broad range; high quality; significant integration between products and service; reliable technical expertise; long-standing relationships with customers; and strong business infrastructure in Asia. We believe that we have more customer service capability and account management capability than any of our competitors worldwide.

Pigments

General

We are a global leader in the creation of titanium dioxide solutions. Titanium dioxide is a white inert pigment which provides whiteness, opacity and brightness to thousands of everyday items including paints, plastics, paper, inks, food and personal care products.

Expertise gained over 75 years combined with a pioneering spirit enable us to help our customers to succeed. We use our expertise in titanium dioxide to create solutions for our customers that can deliver much more than whiteness and opacity including freeing capacity, reducing energy use and enabling infrastructure to last longer. Our TIOXIDE® and DELTIO® brands are made in our seven manufacturing facilities around the globe and service over 1,200 customers in practically all industries and geographic regions. Our global manufacturing footprint allows us to service both the needs of local and global customers, including Ampacet, A. Schulman, Akzo Nobel, BASF, Cabot, Clariant, Jotun, PolyOne and PPG.

Our Pigments segment is focused on working with customers to create innovative solutions that will help them succeed and improving our competitive position. For example, our award winning range of free flow DELTIO® pigments, helps our customers improve their working environments and reduce energy consumption.

In addition, we are focused on manufacturing efficiencies. In 2011 we approved the investment of approximately \$40 million at our Calais site to build a new magnesium sulfate fertilizer manufacturing operation that will increase the efficiency, sustainability and cost effectiveness of the site. This will be operation in 2013 and we continue to pursue other projects to improve manufacturing costs at each of our facilities.

Products and Markets

Historically, global titanium dioxide demand growth rates tend to closely track global GDP growth rates. However, this varies by region. Developed markets such as the U.S. and Western Europe exhibit higher absolute consumption but lower demand growth rates, while emerging markets such as Asia exhibit much higher demand growth rates. The titanium dioxide industry experiences some seasonality in its sales reflecting the high exposure to seasonal coatings end use markets. Coating sales generally peak during the spring and summer months in the northern hemisphere, resulting in greater sales volumes during the second and third quarters of the year.

There are two manufacturing processes for the production of titanium dioxide, the sulfate process and the chloride process. We currently believe that the chloride process accounts for approximately 55% of global production capacity. Most end use applications can use pigments produced by either process, although there are markets that need pigment from a specific manufacturing route i.e. the inks market for sulfate and the automotive coatings market for chloride. Regional markets typically favor products that are available locally.

Table of Contents

Aside from the five major producers which include Huntsman, the titanium dioxide industry currently has a large number of small regional or local producers, especially in China. Titanium dioxide supply has historically kept pace with increases in demand as producers increased capacity through low cost incremental debottlenecks, efficiency improvements and, more recently, new capacity additions in China. During periods of low titanium dioxide demand, the industry experiences high stock levels and consequently reduces production to manage working capital. Pricing in the industry is driven primarily by supply/demand balance. Based upon current price levels and the long lead times for planning, governmental approvals and construction, we expect supply additions for the near term in line with historical demand growth.

Sales and Marketing

Approximately 85% of our titanium dioxide sales are made through our global sales and technical services network, enabling us to work closely with our customers. Our focused sales effort and local manufacturing presence have allowed us to achieve leading market shares in a number of the countries where we manufacture titanium dioxide.

In addition, we have focused on marketing products and services to higher growth and higher value applications. For example, we believe that our pigments business is well-positioned to benefit from growth sectors where customers needs are complex resulting in less companies having the capability to support them.

We focus a lot of research and development in the creation of solutions that can help to address the megatrends that we see emerging as evidenced by our DELTIO® pigments range which helps our customers to liberate capacity, reduce energy, improve working environments and reduce waste.

Manufacturing and Operations

Our pigments business has seven manufacturing sites operating in seven countries with a total capacity of approximately 565,000 tonnes per year. Approximately 72% of our titanium dioxide capacity is located in Western Europe.

Site	Annual Capacity (metric tons)			Process
	EAME	North America	APAC	
Greatham, U.K.	150,000			Chloride
Calais, France	95,000			Sulfate
Huelva, Spain	80,000			Sulfate
Scarlino, Italy	80,000			Sulfate
Umbogintwini, South Africa	25,000			Sulfate
Lake Charles, Louisiana(1)		75,000		Chloride
Teluk Kalung, Malaysia			60,000	Sulfate
Total	430,000	75,000	60,000	

(1)

This facility is owned and operated by Louisiana Pigment Company, L.P., a manufacturing joint venture that is owned 50% by us and 50% by Kronos Worldwide. The capacity shown reflects our 50% interest in Louisiana Pigment Company, L.P.

In 2011 we announced an investment of approximately €30 million (approximately \$40 million) to build a new magnesium sulfate fertilizer manufacturing operation at our plant in Calais, France. The new facility will enable the closure of part of our Calais effluent treatment plant, which is expected to increase the efficiency, sustainability and cost effectiveness of the entire Calais site.

Table of Contents

Joint Venture

Louisiana Pigment Company, L.P. is our 50/50 joint venture with Kronos Worldwide. We share production offtake and operating costs of the plant equally with Kronos Worldwide, though we market our share of the production independently. The operations of the joint venture are under the direction of a supervisory committee on which each partner has equal representation. Our investment in Louisiana Pigment Company, L.P. is accounted for using the equity method.

Raw Materials

The primary raw materials used to produce titanium dioxide are titanium bearing ores. Historically we have purchased the majority of our ore under long-term supply contracts with a number of ore suppliers. The majority of titanium bearing ores are sourced from Australia, Africa and Canada. Ore accounts for approximately 45% of pigment variable manufacturing costs, while utilities (electricity, gas and steam), sulfuric acid and chlorine collectively account for approximately 30% of our variable manufacturing costs.

The world market for titanium bearing ores has a small number of large suppliers (Rio Tinto, Iluka and Exxaro) which account for approximately 50% of global supply and from which we purchase approximately 60% of our needs. However, the choice of producers has increased in recent years with a number of emerging suppliers and we have broadened our supply base by purchasing increasing amounts of our ores from these suppliers. The titanium-bearing ores market is in the process of moving from long-term supply contracts with pricing formulas to short-term contracts with market based prices. As a result of this shift we expect to see a significant increase in our ore costs as our existing contracts expire. During 2012, approximately 50% of our ore will be purchased under existing long-term contracts and the remainder under new shorter-term contracts.

Titanium dioxide producers extract titanium from ores and process it into pigmentary titanium dioxide using either the chloride or sulfate process. Once an intermediate titanium dioxide pigment has been produced, it is "finished" into a product with specific performance characteristics for particular end use applications. The finishing process is common to both the sulfate and chloride processes and is a major determinant of the final product's performance characteristics.

Co-products from both processes require treatment prior to disposal in order to comply with environmental regulations. In order to reduce our disposal costs and to increase our cost competitiveness, we have developed and marketed the co-products of our pigments business. We sell over 50% of the co-products generated by our business.

Competition

The global markets in which our pigments business operates are highly competitive. Competition is based on the basis of price, product quality and service. The major global producers against whom we compete are DuPont, Tronox, Kronos and Cristal, each of which has a global presence and the ability to service all global markets. Some of our competitors may be able to produce products more economically than we can. In addition, some of our competitors in this market have greater financial resources, which may enable them to invest significant capital into their businesses, including expenditures for research and development. If any of our current or future competitors in this market develops proprietary technology that enables them to produce products at a significantly lower cost, our technology could be rendered uneconomical or obsolete. Moreover, the sulphate based titanium dioxide technology used by our Pigments business is widely available. Accordingly, barriers to entry, apart from capital availability, may be low and the entrance of new competitors into the industry may reduce our ability to capture improving profit margins in circumstances where capacity utilization in the industry is increasing.

Table of Contents

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, we spent \$166 million, \$151 million and \$145 million, respectively, on research and development.

We support our business with a major commitment to research and development, technical services and process engineering improvement. Our research and development centers are located in The Woodlands, Texas; Everberg, Belgium; and Shanghai, China. Other regional development/technical service centers are located in Billingham, England (pigments); Auburn Hills, Michigan (polyurethanes for the automotive industry); Derry, New Hampshire, Shanghai, China, Deggendorf, Germany and Ternate, Italy (polyurethanes); Melbourne, Australia (surfactants); Port Neches, Texas (process engineering support); Basel, Switzerland and Panyu, China (advanced materials and textile effects) and Mumbai, India (textile effects).

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

Proprietary protection of our processes, apparatuses, and other technology and inventions is important to our businesses. We own approximately 465 unexpired U.S. patents, approximately 155 patent applications (including provisionals) currently pending at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, and approximately 3,625 foreign counterparts, including both issued patents and pending patent applications. While a presumption of validity exists with respect to issued U.S. patents, we cannot assure that any of our patents will not be challenged, invalidated, circumvented or rendered unenforceable. Furthermore, we cannot assure the issuance of any pending patent application, or that if patents do issue, that these patents will provide meaningful protection against competitors or against competitive technologies. Additionally, our competitors or other third parties may obtain patents that restrict or preclude our ability to lawfully produce or sell our products in a competitive manner.

We also rely upon unpatented proprietary know-how and continuing technological innovation and other trade secrets to develop and maintain our competitive position. There can be no assurance, however, that confidentiality agreements into which we enter and have entered will not be breached, that they will provide meaningful protection for our trade secrets or proprietary know-how, or that adequate remedies will be available in the event of an unauthorized use or disclosure of such trade secrets and know-how. In addition, there can be no assurance that others will not obtain knowledge of these trade secrets through independent development or other access by legal means.

In addition to our own patents and patent applications and proprietary trade secrets and know-how, we are a party to certain licensing arrangements and other agreements authorizing us to use trade secrets, know-how and related technology and/or operate within the scope of certain patents owned by other entities. We also have licensed or sub-licensed intellectual property rights to third parties.

We have associated brand names with a number of our products, and we have approximately 165 U.S. trademark registrations (including applications for registration currently pending at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office), and approximately 4,725 foreign counterparts, including both registrations and applications for registration. Some of these registrations and applications include filings under the Madrid system for the international registration of marks and may confer rights in multiple countries. However, there can be no assurance that the trademark registrations will provide meaningful protection against the use of similar trademarks by competitors, or that the value of our trademarks will not be diluted.

Because of the breadth and nature of our intellectual property rights and our business, we do not believe that any single intellectual property right (other than certain trademarks for which we intend to maintain the applicable registrations) is material to our business. Moreover, we do not believe that the termination of intellectual property rights expected to occur over the next several years, either

Table of Contents

individually or in the aggregate, will materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2011, we employed approximately 12,000 people in our operations around the world. Approximately 2,000 of these employees are located in the U.S., while approximately 10,000 are located in other countries. We believe our relations with our employees are good.

ENVIRONMENTAL, HEALTH AND SAFETY MATTERS

General

We are subject to extensive federal, state, local and international laws, regulations, rules and ordinances relating to safety, pollution, protection of the environment, product management and distribution, and the generation, storage, handling, transportation, treatment, disposal and remediation of hazardous substances and waste materials. In the ordinary course of business, we are subject to frequent environmental inspections and monitoring and occasional investigations by governmental enforcement authorities. In addition, our production facilities require operating permits that are subject to renewal, modification and, in certain circumstances, revocation. Actual or alleged violations of safety laws, environmental laws or permit requirements could result in restrictions or prohibitions on plant operations or product distribution, substantial civil or criminal sanctions, as well as, under some environmental laws, the assessment of strict liability and/or joint and several liability. Moreover, changes in environmental regulations could inhibit or interrupt our operations, or require us to modify our facilities or operations. Accordingly, environmental or regulatory matters may cause us to incur significant unanticipated losses, costs or liabilities.

Environmental, Health and Safety Systems

We are committed to achieving and maintaining compliance with all applicable environmental, health and safety ("EHS") legal requirements, and we have developed policies and management systems that are intended to identify the multitude of EHS legal requirements applicable to our operations, enhance compliance with applicable legal requirements, ensure the safety of our employees, contractors, community neighbors and customers and minimize the production and emission of wastes and other pollutants. Although EHS legal requirements are constantly changing and are frequently difficult to comply with, these EHS management systems are designed to assist us in our compliance goals while also fostering efficiency and improvement and minimizing overall risk to us.

EHS Capital Expenditures

We may incur future costs for capital improvements and general compliance under EHS laws, including costs to acquire, maintain and repair pollution control equipment. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, our capital expenditures for EHS matters totaled \$92 million, \$85 million, and \$54 million, respectively. Because capital expenditures for these matters are subject to evolving regulatory requirements and depend, in part, on the timing, promulgation and enforcement of specific requirements, our capital expenditures for EHS matters have varied significantly from year to year and we cannot provide assurance that our recent expenditures will be indicative of future amounts required under EHS laws.

Remediation Liabilities

We have incurred, and we may in the future incur, liability to investigate and clean up waste or contamination at our current or former facilities or facilities operated by third parties at which we may have disposed of waste or other materials. Similarly, we may incur costs for the cleanup of waste that

Table of Contents

was disposed of prior to the purchase of our businesses. Under some circumstances, the scope of our liability may extend to damages to natural resources.

Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA") and similar state laws, a current or former owner or operator of real property may be liable for remediation costs regardless of whether the release or disposal of hazardous substances was in compliance with law at the time it occurred, and a current owner or operator may be liable regardless of whether it owned or operated the facility at the time of the release. Outside the U.S., analogous contaminated property laws, such as those in effect in France and Australia, can hold past owners and/or operators liable for remediation at former facilities. We have been notified by third parties of claims against us for cleanup liabilities at approximately 10 former facilities or third party sites, including, but not limited to, sites listed under CERCLA. Based on current information and past experiences at other CERCLA sites, we do not expect any of these third party claims to result in material liability to us.

One of these sites, the North Maybe Canyon Mine CERCLA site, includes an abandoned phosphorous mine near Soda Springs, Idaho believed to have been operated by one of our predecessor companies (El Paso Products Company). In 2004, the U.S. Forest Service notified us that we are a CERCLA Potentially Responsible Party (a "PRP") for the mine site involving selenium contaminated surface water. Under a 2004 administrative order, the current mine lessee, Nu-West Industries, Inc., began undertaking the investigation required for a CERCLA removal process. In 2008, the site was transitioned to the CERCLA remedial action process, which requires a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (an "RI/FS"). In 2009, the Forest Service notified the three PRPs (our Company, Nu-West and Wells Cargo) that it would undertake the RI/FS itself. On February 19, 2010, in conjunction with Wells Cargo, we agreed to jointly comply with a unilateral administrative order (a "UAO") to conduct an RI/FS of the entire West Ridge of the site, although we are alleged to have had only a limited historical presence in the investigation area. In March 2010, following the initiation of litigation by Nu-West, the Forest Service assumed Nu-West's original investigation obligations. On June 15, 2010, we received the UAO which had been executed by the Forest Service and we are presently carrying out the requirements of the order. We continue to coordinate with our insurers regarding policy coverage in this matter. At this time, we do not believe the costs to remediate this site will be material to our financial statements.

In addition, under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") and similar state laws, we may be required to remediate contamination originating from our properties as a condition to our hazardous waste permit. Some of our manufacturing sites have an extended history of industrial chemical manufacturing and use, including on-site waste disposal. We are aware of soil, groundwater or surface contamination from past operations at some of our sites, and we may find contamination at other sites in the future. For example, our Port Neches, Texas, and Geismar, Louisiana, facilities are the subject of ongoing remediation requirements under RCRA authority. Similar laws exist in a number of locations in which we currently operate, or previously operated, manufacturing facilities, such as Australia, Switzerland and Italy.

In June of 2006, an agreement was reached between the local regulatory authorities and our Advanced Materials site in Pamplona, Spain to relocate our manufacturing operations in order to facilitate new urban development desired by the city. Subsequently, as required by the authorities, soil and groundwater sampling was performed and followed by a quantitative risk assessment. In October 2010, the local authorities approved our proposed two-phase remedial approach. The first phase was installed in 2011 and involves groundwater extraction and treatment in one limited area of the site. The second phase, not yet defined, would proceed during site redevelopment. As the second phase remediation has not yet been defined, we are unable to assess our potential liability.

Table of Contents

By letter dated March 7, 2006, our Base Chemicals and Polymers facility in West Footscray, Australia, was issued a clean-up notice by the Environment Protection Authority, Victoria, Australia (the "EPA Victoria") due to concerns about soil and groundwater contamination emanating from the site. The agency revoked the original clean-up notice on September 4, 2007 and issued a revised clean-up notice due to "the complexity of contamination issues" at the site. In the third quarter of 2009, we recorded a \$30 million liability related to estimated environmental remediation costs at this site. On August 23, 2010, EPA Victoria revoked the second clean-up notice and issued a revised notice that included a requirement for financial assurance for the remediation. We have reached agreement with the agency that a mortgage on the land will be held by the agency as financial surety during the period covered by the current clean-up notice, which ends on July 30, 2014. We can provide no assurance that the agency will not seek to institute additional requirements for the site or that additional costs will not be associated with the clean up. This facility has been closed and demolished.

By letter dated March 15, 2010, the U.S. Department of Justice (the "DOJ") notified us that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") has requested that the DOJ bring an action in federal court against us and other PRPs for recovery of costs incurred by the U.S. in connection with releases of hazardous substances from the State Marine Superfund Site in Port Arthur, Texas. As of August 31, 2007, the EPA had incurred and paid approximately \$2.8 million in unreimbursed response costs related to the site. Prior to filing the complaint, the DOJ requested that PRPs sign and return a standard tolling agreement (from March 31, 2010 through September 30, 2010) and participate in settlement discussions. We originally responded to an information request regarding this site on March 7, 2005 and identified historical transactions associated with a predecessor of a company we acquired. The prior owners have contractually agreed to indemnify us in this matter. While the DOJ is aware of the indemnity, we may be required to participate in future settlement discussions; therefore, on March 29, 2010, we submitted the signed tolling agreement and offer to negotiate to the DOJ. The tolling agreement has since been extended three times, most recently through January 31, 2012. In a direct final rule published December 6, 2011, EPA Region 6 announced that it is delisting this Superfund site from the National Priorities List.

In many cases, our potential liability arising from historical contamination is based on operations and other events occurring prior to our ownership of a business or specific facility. In these situations, we frequently obtained an indemnity agreement from the prior owner addressing remediation liabilities arising from pre-closing conditions. We have successfully exercised our rights under these contractual covenants for a number of sites and, where applicable, mitigated our ultimate remediation liability. We cannot assure you, however, that the liabilities for all such matters subject to indemnity, will be honored by the prior owner or that our existing indemnities will be sufficient to cover our liabilities for such matters.

Based on available information and the indemnification rights we believe are likely to be available, we believe that the costs to investigate and remediate known contamination will not have a material effect on our financial statements. However, if such indemnities are not honored or do not fully cover the costs of investigation and remediation or we are required to contribute to such costs, then such expenditures may have a material effect on our financial statements. At the current time, we are unable to estimate the total cost, exclusive of indemnification benefits, to remediate any of the known contamination sites.

Environmental Reserves

We have accrued liabilities relating to anticipated environmental cleanup obligations, site reclamation and closure costs and known penalties. Liabilities are recorded when potential liabilities are either known or considered probable and can be reasonably estimated. Our liability estimates are calculated using present value techniques as appropriate and are based upon requirements placed upon us by regulators, available facts, existing technology and past experience. The environmental liabilities

Table of Contents

do not include amounts recorded as asset retirement obligations. We had accrued \$36 million and \$48 million for environmental liabilities as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Of these amounts, \$7 million and \$13 million were classified as accrued liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$29 million and \$35 million were classified as other noncurrent liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. In certain cases, our remediation liabilities may be payable over periods of up to 30 years. We may incur losses for environmental remediation in excess of the amounts accrued; however, we are not able to estimate the amount or range of such potential excess.

REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS

On June 1, 2007, the European Union's (EU) regulatory framework for chemicals called Registration, Evaluation and Authorization of Chemicals ("REACH") took effect, designed to be phased in over 11 years. As a REACH-regulated company that manufactures in or imports more than one metric ton per year of a chemical substance into the European Economic Area ("EEA"), we were required to pre-register with the European Chemicals Agency, ECHA, such chemical substances and isolated intermediates to take advantage of the 11 year phase-in period. To meet our compliance obligations, a cross-business REACH team was established, through which we were able to fulfill all required pre-registrations and our first phase registrations by the November 30, 2010 deadline. While we continue our registration efforts to meet the next registration deadline of June 2013, our REACH implementation team is now strategically focused on the authorization phase of the REACH process, directing its efforts to address "Substances of Very High Concern" and evaluating potential business implications. Where warranted, evaluation of substitute chemicals will be an important element of our ongoing manufacturing sustainability efforts. As a chemical manufacturer with global operations, we are also actively monitoring and addressing analogous regulatory regimes being considered or implemented outside of the EU.

Although the total long-term cost for REACH compliance is unknown at this time, we spent approximately \$5 million, \$9 million and \$3 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, to meet the initial REACH requirements. We cannot provide assurance that these recent expenditures are indicative of future amounts that we may be required to spend for REACH compliance.

GREENHOUSE GAS REGULATION

Although the existence of binding emissions limitations under international treaties such as the Kyoto Protocol is in doubt after 2012, we expect some or all of our operations to be subject to regulatory requirements to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases ("GHG"). Even in the absence of a new global agreement to limit GHGs, we may be subject to additional regulation under the European Union Emissions Trading System as well as new national and regional GHG trading programs. For example, our operations in Australia and selected U.S. states may be subject to future GHG regulations under emissions trading systems in those jurisdictions.

Because the United States has not adopted federal climate change legislation, domestic GHG efforts are likely to be guided by EPA regulations in the near future. While EPA's GHG programs are currently subject to judicial challenge, our domestic operations may become subject to EPA's regulatory requirements when implemented. In particular, expansions of our existing facilities or construction of new facilities may be subject to the Clean Air Act's Prevention of Significant Deterioration Requirements under EPA's GHG "Tailoring Rule." In addition, certain aspects of our operations may be subject to GHG emissions monitoring and reporting requirements. If we are subject to EPA GHG regulations, we may face increased monitoring, reporting, and compliance costs.

We are already managing and reporting GHG emissions, to varying degrees, as required by law for our sites in locations subject to Kyoto Protocol obligations and/or EU emissions trading scheme

Table of Contents

requirements. Although these sites are subject to existing GHG legislation, few have experienced or anticipate significant cost increases as a result of these programs, although it is possible that GHG emission restrictions may increase over time. Potential consequences of such restrictions include capital requirements to modify assets to meet GHG emission restrictions and/or increases in energy costs above the level of general inflation, as well as direct compliance costs. Currently, however, it is not possible to estimate the likely financial impact of potential future regulation on any of our sites.

Finally, it should be noted that some scientists have concluded that increasing concentrations of GHG in the Earth's atmosphere may produce climate changes that have significant physical effects, such as increased frequency and severity of storms, droughts, and floods and other climatic events. If any of those effects were to occur, they could have an adverse effect on our assets and operations.

MTBE DEVELOPMENTS

We produce MTBE, an oxygenate that is blended with gasoline to reduce vehicle air emissions and to enhance the octane rating of gasoline. Litigation or legislative initiatives restricting the use of MTBE in gasoline may subject us or our products to environmental liability or materially adversely affect our sales and costs. Because MTBE has contaminated some water supplies, its use has become controversial in the U.S. and elsewhere, and its use has been effectively eliminated in the U.S. market. We currently market MTBE, either directly or through third parties, to gasoline additive customers located outside the U.S., although there are additional costs associated with such outside-U.S. sales which may result in decreased profitability compared to historical sales in the U.S. We may also elect to use all or a portion of our precursor TBA to produce saleable products other than MTBE. If we opt to produce products other than MTBE, necessary modifications to our facilities will require significant capital expenditures and the sale of such other products may produce a lower level of cash flow than that historically produced from the sale of MTBE.

Numerous companies, including refiners, manufacturers and sellers of gasoline, as well as manufacturers of MTBE, have been named as defendants in numerous cases in U.S. courts that allege MTBE contamination in groundwater. The plaintiffs in the MTBE groundwater contamination cases generally seek compensatory damages, punitive damages, injunctive relief, such as monitoring and abatement, and attorney fees. Between 2007 and 2009, we were named as a defendant in 18 of these lawsuits in New York state and federal courts, which we settled in an amount immaterial to us.

It is possible that we could be named as a defendant in existing or future MTBE contamination cases. We cannot provide assurances that adverse results against us in existing or future MTBE contamination cases will not have a material effect on our financial statements.

INDIA INVESTIGATION

During the third quarter of 2010, we completed an internal investigation of the operations of Petro Araldite Pvt. Ltd. ("PAPL"), our majority owned joint venture in India. PAPL manufactures base liquid resins, base solid resins and formulated products in India. The investigation initially focused on allegations of illegal disposal of hazardous waste and waste water discharge and related reporting irregularities. Based upon preliminary findings, the investigation was expanded to include a review of the production and off-book sales of certain products and waste products. The investigation included the legality under Indian law and U.S. law, including the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, of certain payments made by employees of the joint venture to government officials in India. Records at the facility covering nine months in 2009 and early 2010 show that less than \$11,000 in payments were made to officials for that period; in addition, payments in unknown amounts may have been made by individuals from the facility in previous years.

In May and July 2010, PAPL fully disclosed the environmental noncompliance issues to the local Indian environmental agency, the Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board ("TNPCB"). All environmental compliance and reporting issues have been addressed to the agency's satisfaction other than the use of

Table of Contents

freshwater for the dilution of wastewater effluent discharges and including the remediation of several off-site solid waste disposal areas. Both remaining issues are being addressed. At TNPCB's direction, we submitted a plan for the remediation of the off-site waste disposal areas, which the TNPCB approved. The impacted off-site soil was excavated and relocated to the site. Final commercial disposal methods for the removed waste await approval from TNPCB, although we do not anticipate the costs to be material.

Also in May 2010, we voluntarily contacted the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") and the DOJ to advise them of our investigation and that we intend to cooperate fully with each of them. We met with the SEC and the DOJ in October 2010 to discuss this matter and we continue to cooperate with these agencies. Steps have been taken to halt all known illegal or improper activity, including the termination of employment of management employees as appropriate.

No conclusions can be drawn at this time as to whether any government agencies will open formal investigations of these matters or what remedies such agencies may seek. Governmental agencies could assess material civil and criminal penalties and fines against PAPL and potentially against us and could issue orders that adversely affect the operations of PAPL. We cannot, however, determine at this time the magnitude of the penalties and fines that could be assessed, the total costs to remediate the prior noncompliance or the effects of implementing any necessary corrective measures on PAPL's operations.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We maintain an internet website at <http://www.huntsman.com>. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports are available free of charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we file this material with the SEC. We also provide electronic or paper copies of our SEC filings free of charge upon request.

GLOSSARY OF CHEMICAL TERMS

DEG di-ethylene glycol
BDO butane diol
DGA® Agent DIGLYCOLAMINE® agent
EG ethylene glycol
EO ethylene oxide
LAB linear alkyl benzene
LAS linear alkylbenzene sulfonate
LER liquid epoxy resins
LNG liquefied natural gas
MEG mono-ethylene glycol
MDI methyl diphenyl diisocyanate
MTBE methyl tertiary-butyl ether
PG propylene glycol
PO propylene oxide
Polyols a substance containing several hydroxyl groups. A diol, triol and tetrol contain two, three and four hydroxyl groups respectively
TBA tertiary butyl alcohol
TBHP tert-butyl hydroperoxide
TDI toluene diisocyanate
TEG tri-ethylene glycol
TiO₂ titanium dioxide pigment
TPU thermoplastic polyurethane
UPR unsaturated polyester resin

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Any of the following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS

Our industry is affected by global economic factors including risks associated with volatile economic conditions.

Our financial results are substantially dependent on overall economic conditions in the U.S., Europe and Asia. Declining economic conditions in all or any of these locations or negative perceptions about economic conditions could result in a substantial decrease in demand for our products and could adversely affect our business. In particular, our operations in Europe continue to be impacted by the uncertain European economy. While we currently anticipate that, in the aggregate, our business in Europe will grow slowly, a currency or financial crisis in Europe could precipitate a significant decline in the European economy, which would likely result in a decrease in demand for our products in Europe.

Uncertain economic conditions and market instability make it particularly difficult for us to forecast demand trends. The timing and extent of any changes to currently prevailing market conditions is uncertain, and supply and demand may be unbalanced at any time. As a consequence, we may not be able to accurately predict future economic conditions or the effect of such conditions on our financial condition or results of operations. We can give no assurances as to the timing, extent or duration of the current or future economic cycles impacting the chemical industry.

Significant price volatility or interruptions in supply of our raw materials may result in increased costs that we may be unable to pass on to our customers, which could reduce our profitability.

The prices of the raw materials that we purchase from third parties are cyclical and volatile. We purchase a substantial portion of our raw materials from third-party suppliers. The cost of these raw materials represents a substantial portion of our operating expenses. The prices for a number of these raw materials generally follow price trends of, and vary with market conditions for, crude oil and natural gas feedstocks, which are highly volatile and cyclical.

In general, the feedstocks and other raw materials we consume are organic commodity products that are readily available at market prices. However, ore feedstocks for our Pigments segment are periodically in short supply. We frequently enter into supply agreements with particular suppliers, but disruptions of existing supply arrangements or expiration of favorable supply contracts could substantially impact our profitability. If certain of our suppliers are unable to meet their obligations under present supply agreements, we may be forced to pay higher prices to obtain the necessary raw materials from other sources and we may not be able to increase prices for our finished products to recoup the higher raw materials costs. In addition, if raw materials become unavailable within a geographic area from which they are now sourced, we may not be able to obtain suitable or cost effective substitutes. Any interruption in the supply of raw materials could increase our costs or decrease our revenues, which could reduce our cash flow.

Our supply agreements typically provide for market-based pricing and provide us only limited protection against price volatility. While we attempt to match cost increases with corresponding product price increases, we are not always able to raise product prices immediately or at all. Timing differences between raw material prices, which may change daily, and contract product prices, which in many cases are negotiated only monthly or less often, have had and may continue to have a negative effect on our cash flow. Any cost increase that we are not able to pass on to our customers could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

Table of Contents

The markets for many of our products are cyclical and volatile, and we may experience depressed market conditions for such products.

Historically, the markets for many of our products have experienced alternating periods of tight supply, causing prices and profit margins to increase, followed by periods of capacity additions, resulting in oversupply and declining prices and profit margins. The volatility these markets experience occurs as a result of changes in the supply and demand for products, changes in energy prices and changes in various other economic conditions around the world. This cyclical and volatility of our industry results in significant fluctuations in profits and cash flow from period to period and over the business cycle.

Our results of operations may be adversely affected by international business risks, including fluctuations in currency exchange rates, legal restrictions and taxes.

We conduct a majority of our business operations outside the U.S., and these operations are subject to risks normally associated with international operations. These risks include the need to convert currencies that may be received for our products into currencies in which we purchase raw materials or pay for services, which could result in a gain or loss depending on fluctuations in exchange rates. In addition, we translate our local currency financial results into U.S. dollars based on average exchange rates prevailing during the reporting period or the exchange rate at the end of that period. During times of a strengthening U.S. dollar, our reported international sales and earnings may be reduced because the local currency may translate into fewer U.S. dollars. Because we currently have significant operations located outside the U.S., we are exposed to fluctuations in global currency rates which may result in gains or losses on our financial statements.

Other risks of international operations include trade barriers, tariffs, exchange controls, national and regional labor strikes, social and political risks, general economic risks and required compliance with a variety of U.S. and foreign laws, including tax laws and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. In addition, although we maintain an anti-corruption compliance program throughout our Company, violations of our compliance program may result in criminal or civil sanctions, including material monetary fines, penalties and other costs against us or our employees, and may have a material adverse effect on our business. Furthermore, in foreign jurisdictions where process of law may vary from country to country, we may experience difficulty in enforcing agreements. In jurisdictions where bankruptcy laws and practices may vary, we may experience difficulty collecting foreign receivables through foreign legal systems. The occurrence of these risks, among others, could disrupt the businesses of our international subsidiaries, which could significantly affect their ability to make distributions to us.

We operate in a significant number of jurisdictions, which contributes to the volatility of our effective tax rate. Changes in tax laws or the interpretation of tax laws in the jurisdictions in which we operate may affect our effective tax rate. In addition, generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. ("GAAP" or "U.S. GAAP") has required us to place valuation allowances against our net operating losses and other deferred tax assets in a number of tax jurisdictions. These valuation allowances primarily result from analysis of positive and negative evidence supporting the realization of tax benefits. Negative evidence includes a cumulative history of pre-tax operating losses in those specific tax jurisdictions. Changes in valuation allowances have resulted in material fluctuations in our effective tax rate. Economic conditions may dictate the continued imposition of the current valuation allowances and potentially the establishment of new valuation allowances. While significant valuation allowances remain, our effective tax rate will likely continue to experience significant fluctuations.

Table of Contents

Our efforts to grow our businesses may require significant investments; if our growth strategies are unsuccessful, our business, results of operations and/or financial condition may be materially adversely affected.

We continuously evaluate growth opportunities. Our growth initiatives may involve making acquisitions, entering into partnerships and joint ventures, and building new facilities any of which could require a significant investment. We have incurred indebtedness to finance growth initiatives, and we may incur additional indebtedness to finance future growth initiatives. We could also issue additional shares of stock to finance such initiatives. If our growth strategies are not successful, we could face increased financial pressure, such as increased cash flow demands, reduced liquidity and diminished access to financial markets.

In addition, the implementation of growth strategies may create additional risks, including:

diversion of management focus away from existing operations;

impairment of the operation of our business due to capital or equity commitments;

inability to accurately predict the costs and benefits of acquisitions, partnerships, joint ventures or new facilities;

inability to efficiently operate new businesses or to integrate acquired businesses;

disruptions to important business relationships;

increased operating costs;

difficulties in realizing projected synergies;

exposure to unanticipated liabilities, including for illegal activities conducted by an acquired company or a joint venture partner; and

usage of limited investment and other baskets under our debt covenants.

All of these risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Financial difficulties and related problems at our customers, vendors, suppliers and other business partners could have a material adverse effect on our business.

During periods of economic disruption, more of our customers than normal may experience financial difficulties, including bankruptcies, restructurings and liquidations, which could affect our business by reducing sales, increasing our risk in extending trade credit to customers and reducing our profitability. A significant adverse change in a customer relationship or in a customer's financial position could cause us to limit or discontinue business with that customer, require us to assume more credit risk relating to that customer's receivables or limit our ability to collect accounts receivable from that customer. In addition, we rely on a number of vendors and suppliers and collaborations with other industry participants to provide us with chemicals, feedstocks and other raw materials, along with energy sources and, in certain cases, facilities, that we need to operate our business. During periods of economic disruption, some of these companies could be forced to reduce their output, shut down their operations or file for bankruptcy protection. If this were to occur, it could adversely affect their ability to provide us with the raw materials, energy sources or facilities that we need, which could materially disrupt our operations, including the production of certain of our products. Moreover, it could be difficult to find replacements for certain of our business partners without incurring significant delays or cost increases. All of these risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

Table of Contents

The industries in which we compete are highly competitive, and we may not be able to compete effectively with our competitors that have greater financial resources, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The industries in which we operate are highly competitive. Among our competitors are some of the world's largest chemical companies and major integrated petroleum companies that have their own raw material resources. Changes in the competitive landscape could make it difficult for us to retain our leadership position in various products and markets throughout the world. In addition, some of the companies with whom we compete may be able to produce products more economically than we can. Furthermore, some of our competitors have greater financial resources, which may enable them to invest significant capital into their businesses, including expenditures for research and development. Some of our competitors are owned or partially owned by foreign governments which may provide a competitive advantage to those competitors. While we are engaged in a range of research and development programs to develop new products and processes, to improve and refine existing products and processes, and to develop new applications for existing products, the failure to develop new products, processes or applications could make us less competitive. Moreover, if any of our current or future competitors develops proprietary technology that enables them to produce products at a significantly lower cost, our technology could be rendered uneconomical or obsolete.

In addition, certain of our businesses use technology that is widely available. Accordingly, barriers to entry, apart from capital availability, may be low in certain product segments of our business, and the entrance of new competitors into the industry may reduce our ability to capture improving profit margins in circumstances where capacity utilization in the industry is increasing. Further, petroleum-rich countries have become more significant participants in the petrochemical industry and may expand this role significantly in the future. Increased competition in any of our businesses could compel us to reduce the prices of our products, which could result in reduced profit margins and loss of market share and have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

Our significant debt level makes us vulnerable to downturns and may limit our ability to respond to market conditions or to obtain additional financing.

We have significant outstanding debt: as of December 31, 2011, our total consolidated outstanding debt was approximately \$3,942 million (including current portion of debt); our debt to total capitalization ratio was approximately 69%; our combined outstanding variable rate borrowings were approximately \$2.4 billion; and our current portion of debt totaled approximately \$212 million. Our debt level, and the fact that a significant percentage of our cash flow is required to make payments on our debt, could have important consequences for our business, including but not limited to the following:

we may be more vulnerable to business, industry or economic downturns, making it more difficult to respond to market conditions;

cash flow available for other purposes, including the growth of our business, may be reduced;

our ability to obtain additional financing may be constrained, particularly during periods when the capital markets are unsettled;

our competitors with lower debt levels may have a competitive advantage relative to us; and

part of our debt is subject to variable interest rates, which makes us more vulnerable to increases in interest rates (for example, a 1% increase in interest rates, without giving effect to interest rate hedges or other offsetting items, would increase our annual interest rate expense by approximately \$24 million).

Table of Contents

Our debt level also impacts our credit ratings. Any decision by credit rating agencies to downgrade our debt ratings could restrict our ability to obtain additional financing and could result in increased interest and other costs.

Agreements governing our debt may restrict our ability to engage in certain business activities or to obtain additional financing.

The agreements governing our debt arrangements contain certain restrictive covenants. These covenants may limit or prohibit our ability to incur more debt; make certain prepayments of debt; pay dividends, redeem stock or make other distributions; issue stock; make investments; create liens; enter into transactions with affiliates; enter into sale and leaseback transactions; merge or consolidate; and transfer or sell assets.

Our failure to comply with any of our debt covenants, or our failure to make payments of principal or interest on our debt, could result in a default, or trigger cross-default or acceleration provisions, under our debt agreements. An event of default could result in our debt obligations becoming immediately due and payable, cause our creditors to terminate their lending commitments, or force us or one or more of our subsidiaries into bankruptcy or liquidation. Any of the foregoing occurrences could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. For more information regarding our debt covenants, see "Part II. Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Compliance With Covenants."

Natural or other disasters could disrupt our business and result in loss of revenue or in higher expenses.

Any serious disruption at any of our facilities due to hurricane, fire, earthquake, flood or any other natural or man-made disaster could impair our ability to use our facilities and have a material impact on our revenues and increase our costs and expenses. If there is a natural disaster or other serious disruption at any of these facilities, it could impair our ability to adequately supply our customers and negatively impact our operating results. In addition, many of our current and potential customers are concentrated in specific geographic areas. A disaster in one of these regions could have a material impact on our operations, operating results and financial condition.

While we maintain business recovery plans that are intended to allow us to recover from natural disasters or other events that could disrupt our business, we cannot provide assurances that our plans would fully protect us from all such disasters or events that might result due to climate change. In addition, insurance may not adequately compensate us from any losses incurred as a result of natural or other disasters. Furthermore, in areas prone to frequent natural or other disasters, insurance may become increasingly expensive or not available at all.

Our operations involve risks that may increase our operating costs, which could reduce our profitability.

Although we take precautions to enhance the safety of our operations and minimize the risk of disruptions, our operations are subject to hazards inherent in the manufacturing and marketing of chemical products. These hazards include: chemical spills, pipeline leaks and ruptures, storage tank leaks, discharges or releases of toxic or hazardous substances or gases and other hazards incident to the manufacturing, processing, handling, transportation and storage of dangerous chemicals. We are also potentially subject to other hazards, including natural disasters and severe weather; explosions and fires; transportation problems, including interruptions, spills and leaks; mechanical failures; unscheduled downtimes; labor difficulties; remediation complications; and other risks. Many potential hazards can cause bodily injury and loss of life, severe damage to or destruction of property and equipment and environmental damage, and may result in suspension of operations and the imposition of civil or criminal penalties and liabilities. Furthermore, we are subject to present and future claims with respect

Table of Contents

to workplace exposure, exposure of contractors on our premises as well as other persons located nearby, workers' compensation and other matters.

We maintain property, business interruption, products liability and casualty insurance policies which we believe are in accordance with customary industry practices, as well as insurance policies covering other types of risks, such as pollution legal liability insurance, but we are not fully insured against all potential hazards and risks incident to our business. Each of these insurance policies is subject to customary exclusions, deductibles and coverage limits, in accordance with industry standards and practices. As a result of market conditions, premiums and deductibles for certain insurance policies can increase substantially and, in some instances, certain insurance may become unavailable or available only for reduced amounts of coverage. If we were to incur a significant liability for which we were not fully insured, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

In addition, we are subject to various claims and litigation in the ordinary course of business. We are a party to various pending lawsuits and proceedings. It is possible that judgments could be rendered against us in these cases or others in which we could be uninsured or not covered by indemnity and beyond the amounts that we currently have reserved or anticipate incurring for such matters.

Our operations, financial condition and liquidity could be adversely affected by legal claims against us, including antitrust claims.

We face risks arising from various legal actions, including matters relating to antitrust, product liability, intellectual property and environmental claims. Over the past few years, antitrust claims have been made against chemical companies, and we have been named as a defendant in the antitrust suits discussed in "Item 3. Legal Proceedings Antitrust Matters" below. In this type of litigation, the plaintiffs generally seek treble damages, which may be significant. An adverse outcome in any antitrust claim could be material and significantly impact our operations, financial condition and liquidity.

We are subject to many EHS regulations that may result in unanticipated costs or liabilities, which could reduce our profitability.

We are subject to extensive federal, state, local and foreign laws, regulations, rules and ordinances relating to pollution, protection of the environment and human health, and the generation, storage, handling, transportation, treatment, disposal and remediation of hazardous substances and waste materials. Actual or alleged violations of EHS laws or permit requirements could result in restrictions or prohibitions on plant operations and substantial civil or criminal sanctions, as well as, under some EHS laws, the assessment of strict liability and/or joint and several liability.

Increasing concerns regarding the safety of chemicals in commerce and their potential impact on the environment constitute a growing trend. Governmental, regulatory and societal demands for increasing levels of product safety and environmental protection could result in increased pressure for more stringent regulatory control with respect to the chemical industry. In addition, these concerns could influence public perceptions, the viability of certain products, our reputation, the cost to comply with regulations, and the ability to attract and retain employees. Moreover, changes in EHS regulations could inhibit or interrupt our operations, or require us to modify our facilities or operations. Accordingly, environmental or regulatory matters may cause us to incur significant unanticipated losses, costs or liabilities, which could reduce our profitability.

We could incur significant expenditures in order to comply with existing or future EHS laws. Capital expenditures and costs relating to EHS matters will be subject to evolving regulatory requirements and will depend on the timing of the promulgation and enforcement of specific standards which impose requirements on our operations. Capital expenditures and costs beyond those currently anticipated may therefore be required under existing or future EHS laws.

Table of Contents

Furthermore, we may be liable for the costs of investigating and cleaning up environmental contamination on or from our properties or at off-site locations where we disposed of or arranged for the disposal or treatment of hazardous materials or from disposal activities that pre-dated our purchase of our businesses. We may therefore incur additional costs and expenditures beyond those currently anticipated to address all such known and unknown situations under existing and future EHS laws.

We are subject to risks relating to our information technology systems, and any failure to adequately protect our critical information technology systems could materially affect our operations.

We rely on information technology systems across our operations, including for management, supply chain and financial information and various other processes and transactions. Our ability to effectively manage our business depends on the security, reliability and capacity of these systems. Information technology system failures, network disruptions or breaches of security could disrupt our operations, causing delays or cancellation of customer orders or impeding the manufacture or shipment of products, processing of transactions or reporting of financial results. An attack or other problem with our systems could also result in the disclosure of proprietary information about our business or confidential information concerning our customers or employees, which could result in significant damage to our business and our reputation.

We have put in place security measures designed to protect against the misappropriation or corruption of our systems, intentional or unintentional disclosure of confidential information, or disruption of our operations. Current employees have, and former employees may have, access to a significant amount of information regarding our operations which could be disclosed to our competitors or otherwise used to harm us. Moreover, our operations in certain locations, such as China, may be particularly vulnerable to security attacks or other problems. Any breach of our security measures could result in unauthorized access to and misappropriation of our information, corruption of data or disruption of operations or transactions, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

In addition, we could be required to expend significant additional amounts to respond to information technology issues or to protect against threatened or actual security breaches. We may not be able to implement measures that will protect against all of the significant risks to our information technology systems.

Our business is dependent on our intellectual property. If our intellectual property rights cannot be enforced or our trade secrets become known to our competitors, our ability to compete may be adversely affected.

Proprietary protection of our processes, apparatuses and other technology is important to our business. While a presumption of validity exists with respect to patents issued to us in the U.S., there can be no assurance that any of our patents will not be challenged, invalidated, circumvented or rendered unenforceable. Furthermore, if any pending patent application filed by us does not result in an issued patent, or if patents are issued to us, but such patents do not provide meaningful protection of our intellectual property, then our ability to compete may be adversely affected. Additionally, our competitors or other third parties may obtain patents that restrict or preclude our ability to lawfully produce or sell our products in a competitive manner, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

We also rely upon unpatented proprietary know-how and continuing technological innovation and other trade secrets to develop and maintain our competitive position. While it is our policy to enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees and third parties to protect our intellectual property, these confidentiality agreements may be breached, may not provide meaningful protection for our trade secrets or proprietary know-how, or adequate remedies may not be available in the event of an unauthorized access, use or disclosure of our trade secrets and know-how. In addition, others could

Table of Contents

obtain knowledge of our trade secrets through independent development or other access by legal means.

Consequently, we may have to rely on judicial enforcement of our patents and other proprietary rights. We may not be able to effectively protect our intellectual property rights from misappropriation or infringement in countries where effective patent, trademark, trade secret and other intellectual property laws and judicial systems may be unavailable, or may not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as U.S. law.

The failure of our patents or confidentiality agreements to protect our processes, apparatuses, technology, trade secrets or proprietary know-how or the failure of adequate legal remedies for related actions could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

Our production of MTBE may subject us to liability, and regulatory or market changes with respect to MTBE may materially reduce our sales and/or materially increase our costs.

We produce MTBE, an oxygenate that is blended with gasoline to reduce vehicle air emissions and to enhance the octane rating of gasoline. Because MTBE has contaminated some water supplies, its use has become controversial in the U.S. and elsewhere, and its use has been effectively eliminated in the U.S. market. Refiners, manufacturers and sellers of gasoline, as well as manufacturers of MTBE, have been named as defendants in numerous cases in U.S. courts that allege MTBE contamination in groundwater. Plaintiffs in the MTBE groundwater contamination cases generally seek compensatory damages, punitive damages, injunctive relief (such as monitoring and abatement) and attorney fees. Between 2007 and 2009, we were named as a defendant in 18 of these lawsuits, which we settled in an amount immaterial to us. It is possible that we could be named as a defendant in future MTBE litigation and, if so, that adverse results could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial position.

We currently market MTBE, either directly or through third parties, to gasoline additive customers located outside the U.S. Such sales are less profitable compared to historical U.S. sales because our shipping costs are higher. Legislative or regulatory initiatives further restricting MTBE could materially adversely affect our sales and costs.

While we could use all or a portion of our precursor TBA to produce saleable products other than MTBE, this would require significant capital expenditures to modify our facilities. Moreover, the sale of other products would produce a lower level of cash flow than that historically produced from the sale of MTBE.

Loss of key members of our management could disrupt our business.

We depend on the continued employment and performance of our senior executives and other key members of management. If any of these individuals resigns or becomes unable to continue in his or her present role and is not adequately replaced, our business operations and our ability to implement our growth strategies could be materially disrupted. We generally do not have employment agreements with, and we do not maintain any "key person" life insurance for, any of our executive officers.

Conflicts, military actions, terrorist attacks and general instability, in particular in certain energy-producing nations, along with increased security regulations related to our industry, could adversely affect our business.

Conflicts, military actions and terrorist attacks have precipitated economic instability and turmoil in financial markets. Instability and turmoil, particularly in energy-producing nations, may result in raw material cost increases. The uncertainty and economic disruption resulting from hostilities, military action or acts of terrorism may impact any or all of our facilities and operations or those of our

Table of Contents

suppliers or customers. Accordingly, any conflict, military action or terrorist attack that impacts us or any of our suppliers or customers, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

In addition, a number of governments have instituted regulations attempting to increase the security of chemical plants and the transportation of hazardous chemicals, which could result in higher operating costs and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and liquidity.

If our subsidiaries do not make sufficient distributions to us, then we will not be able to make payment on our debts.

Our debt is generally the exclusive obligation of Huntsman International and our guarantor subsidiaries. Because a significant portion of our operations are conducted by non-guarantor subsidiaries, our cash flow and our ability to service indebtedness, including our ability to pay the interest on our debt when due and principal of such debt at maturity, are dependent to a large extent upon cash dividends and distributions or other transfers from such non-guarantor subsidiaries. Any payment of dividends, distributions, loans or advances by our non-guarantor subsidiaries to us could be subject to restrictions on dividends or repatriation of earnings under applicable local law, monetary transfer restrictions and foreign currency exchange regulations in the jurisdictions in which our subsidiaries operate, and any restrictions imposed by the current and future debt instruments of our non-guarantor subsidiaries. In addition, payments to us by our subsidiaries are contingent upon our subsidiaries' earnings.

Our subsidiaries are separate legal entities and, except for our guarantor subsidiaries, have no obligation, contingent or otherwise, to pay any amounts due on our debt or to make any funds available for those amounts, whether by dividends, loans, distributions or other payments, and do not guarantee the payment of interest on, or principal of, our debt. Any right that we have to receive any assets of any of our subsidiaries that are not guarantors upon the liquidation or reorganization of any such subsidiary, and the consequent right of holders of notes to realize proceeds from the sale of their assets, will be structurally subordinated to the claims of that subsidiary's creditors, including trade creditors and holders of debt issued by that subsidiary.

Regulatory requirements to reduce GHG emissions could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Although the continued existence of binding emissions limitations under international treaties such as the Kyoto Protocol is in doubt after 2012, we expect some of our operations to be subject to regulatory requirements to reduce GHG emissions. Even in the absence of a new global agreement to limit GHGs, we may be subject to additional regulation under the European Union Emissions Trading System as well as new national and regional GHG trading programs. For example, our operations in Australia and selected U.S. states may be subject to future GHG regulations under emissions trading systems in those jurisdictions.

Because the U.S. has not adopted federal climate change legislation, domestic GHG efforts are likely to be guided by EPA and state agency regulations in the near future. While EPA's GHG programs are currently subject to judicial challenge, our domestic operations may become subject to EPA's regulatory requirements when implemented. In particular, expansions of our existing facilities or construction of new facilities may be subject to the Clean Air Act's Prevention of Significant Deterioration Requirements under EPA's GHG "Tailoring Rule." In addition, certain aspects of our operations may be subject to GHG emissions monitoring and reporting requirements. If we are subject to EPA GHG regulations, we may face increased monitoring, reporting, and compliance costs.

Table of Contents

We are already managing and reporting GHG emissions, to varying degrees, as required by law for our sites in locations subject to Kyoto Protocol obligations and/or EU emissions trading scheme requirements. Although these sites are subject to existing GHG legislation, few have experienced or anticipate significant cost increases as a result of these programs, although it is possible that GHG emission restrictions may increase over time. Potential consequences of such restrictions include capital costs to modify operations as necessary to meet GHG emission limits and/or additional in energy costs, as well as direct compliance costs. Currently, however, it is not possible to estimate the likely financial impact of potential future regulation on any of our sites.

Finally, it should be noted that some scientists have concluded that increasing concentrations of GHGs in the earth's atmosphere may produce climate changes that have significant physical effects, such as increased frequency and severity of storms, droughts, floods and other climatic events. If any of those effects were to occur, they could have an adverse effect on our facilities and operations.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR COMMON STOCK AND DEBT SECURITIES

Our stock price has been and may continue to be subject to large fluctuations.

We have experienced significant fluctuations in our stock price and share trading volume in the past and may continue to do so. The trading price of our common stock has been and may continue to be subject to wide fluctuations in response to a variety of issues, including broad market factors that may have a material adverse impact on our stock price, regardless of actual performance. The following factors could affect our stock price:

periodic variations in the actual or anticipated financial results of our business or that of our competitors;

downward revisions in securities analysts' estimates of our future operating results or of the future operating results of our competitors;

material announcements by us or our competitors;

public sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock; and

adverse changes in general market conditions or economic trends or in conditions or trends in the markets in which we operate.

Shares available for future sale may cause our common stock price to decline, which may negatively impact the trading price of our common stock.

Sales of substantial numbers of additional shares of our common stock, or the perception that such sales could occur, may cause prevailing market prices for shares of our common stock to decline.

We have the ability to issue additional equity securities, which would lead to further dilution of our issued and outstanding common stock.

The issuance of additional equity securities would result in dilution of then-existing stockholders' equity interests in our Company. Our certificate of incorporation authorizes our Board of Directors, without stockholder approval, to establish one or more series of preferred stock and to determine, with respect to any series of preferred stock, the number of shares in that series and the terms, rights and limitations of that series. If we issue convertible notes or convertible preferred stock, a subsequent conversion may dilute the current common stockholders' interest. Our Board of Directors has no present intention of issuing any such convertible instruments, but reserves the right to do so in the future. In addition, we may issue additional shares of common stock under our equity incentive plans.

Table of Contents

Certain provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could discourage a takeover attempt, which may reduce or eliminate the likelihood of a change of control transaction and, therefore, limit your ability to sell our common stock at a price higher than the current market value.

Certain provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, such as a classified board of directors, limitations on stockholder proposals at meetings of stockholders and the inability of stockholders to call special meetings and certain provisions of Delaware law, could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of our Company, even if some of our stockholders considered such a change of control to be beneficial. Our certificate of incorporation also authorizes our Board of Directors to issue preferred stock without stockholder approval. Therefore, our Board of Directors could elect to issue preferred stock that has special voting or other rights that could make it even more difficult for a third party to acquire us, which may reduce or eliminate your ability to sell our common stock at a price higher than the current market value.

The declaration of dividends by our Company is subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors and limitations under Delaware law, and there can be no assurance that we will continue to pay dividends.

Over the past four years we have paid quarterly dividends on our common stock. The declaration of dividends by our Company is subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors takes into account such matters as general business conditions, our financial results, expected liquidity and capital expenditure requirements, contractual, legal or regulatory restrictions on the payment of dividends, the effect on our debt ratings and such other factors as our Board of Directors may deem relevant, and we can provide no assurance that we will continue to pay dividends on our common stock. In addition, Delaware law contains certain restrictions on a company's ability to pay cash dividends and we can provide no assurance that those restrictions will not prevent us from paying a dividend in future periods.

Jon M. Huntsman, through direct and indirect ownership of our common stock, may be deemed to control approximately 17% of our outstanding common stock, and he may have the ability to substantially impact the outcome of matters voted on by our stockholders.

Jon M. Huntsman, through direct and indirect ownership of our common stock, may be deemed to control approximately 17% of our outstanding common stock. Through his interests, he may have the ability to substantially impact:

the election of the members of the Board of Directors of our Company;

the outcome of matters submitted to our stockholders for approval, including amendments to our certificate of incorporation, mergers, consolidations and the sale of all or substantially all of our assets; and

any potential change in control of our Company.

We may purchase a portion of our debt securities, which could impact the market for our debt securities and likely would negatively affect our liquidity.

During 2011, we have redeemed certain of our debt securities, including \$305 million of our senior subordinated notes. We may from time to time seek to repurchase or redeem more of our debt securities in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, tender offers, partial or full call for redemption or otherwise. Any such repurchases or redemptions and the timing and amount thereof would depend on prevailing market conditions, liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. Such transactions could negatively affect our liquidity.

Table of Contents**ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

As of the date of this filing, we did not have any unresolved comments from the staff of the SEC.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We own or lease chemical manufacturing and research facilities in the locations indicated in the list below which we believe are adequate for our short-term and anticipated long-term needs. We own or lease office space and storage facilities throughout the U.S. and in many foreign countries. Our principal executive offices are located at 500 Huntsman Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108. The following is a list of our material owned or leased properties where manufacturing, research and main office facilities are located.

Location	Business Segment	Description of Facility
Salt Lake City, Utah(1)	Corporate and other	Executive Offices
The Woodlands, Texas(1)	Various	Operating Headquarters, Global Technology Center
Geismar, Louisiana(2)	Polyurethanes and Performance Products	MDI, Nitrobenzene(4), Aniline(4), Polyols and Maleic Anhydride Manufacturing Facilities and Polyurethanes Systems House
Rozenburg, The Netherlands(1)	Polyurethanes	MDI Manufacturing Facility, Polyols Manufacturing Facilities and Polyurethanes Systems House
Caojing, China	Polyurethanes	MDI Finishing Facilities, Global Technology Center
Caojing, China(3)	Polyurethanes	Precursor MDI Manufacturing Facility
Deer Park, Australia	Polyurethanes	Polyurethane Systems House
Cartagena, Colombia	Polyurethanes	Polyurethane Systems House
Deggendorf, Germany	Polyurethanes	Polyurethane Systems House
Ternate, Italy	Polyurethanes	Polyurethane Systems House
Shanghai, China(1)	Polyurethanes	Polyurethane Systems House, Global Technology Center
Thane (Maharashtra), India(1)	Polyurethanes	Polyurethane Systems House
Buenos Aires, Argentina(1)	Polyurethanes	Polyurethane Systems House
Samutprakarn, Thailand(1)	Polyurethanes	Polyurethane Systems House
Istanbul, Turkey	Polyurethanes	Polyurethane Systems House
Kuan Yin, Taiwan(1)	Polyurethanes	Polyurethane Systems House
Tlalnepantla, Mexico	Polyurethanes	Polyurethane Systems House
Mississauga, Ontario(1)	Polyurethanes	Polyurethane Systems House
Obninsk, Russia(4)	Polyurethanes	Polyurethane Systems House
Dammam, Saudi Arabia(5)	Polyurethanes	Polyurethane Systems House
Auburn Hills, Michigan(1)	Polyurethanes	Polyurethane Research Facility
Everberg, Belgium	Polyurethanes and Performance Products	Polyurethane and Performance Products Regional Headquarters, Global Technology Center
Derry, New Hampshire(1)	Polyurethanes	TPU Research Facility
Ringwood, Illinois(1)	Polyurethanes	TPU Manufacturing Facility
Osnabrück, Germany	Polyurethanes	TPU Manufacturing Facility and Polyurethane Systems House
Wilton, U.K.	Polyurethanes	Aniline and Nitrobenzene Manufacturing Facilities
Port Neches, Texas	Polyurethanes and Performance Products	Olefins, EO, EG, Surfactants, Amines and PO Manufacturing Facilities
Conroe, Texas	Performance Products	Amines Manufacturing Facility
Petfurdo, Hungary(1)	Performance Products	Amines Manufacturing Facility
Llanelli, U.K.	Performance Products	Amines Manufacturing Facility
Freeport, Texas(1)	Performance Products	Amines Manufacturing Facility
Jurong Island, Singapore(1)	Performance Products	Amines Manufacturing Facility

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Location	Business Segment	Description of Facility
Jubail, Saudi Arabia(6)	Performance Products	Amines Manufacturing Facility
Chocolate Bayou, Texas(1)	Performance Products	LAB Manufacturing Facility
Pensacola, Florida(1)	Performance Products	Maleic Anhydride Manufacturing Facility
Moers, Germany(7)	Performance Products	Maleic Anhydride Manufacturing Facility
Dayton, Texas	Performance Products	Surfactant Manufacturing Facility
Botany, Australia	Performance Products	Surfactant/EG Manufacturing Facility
St. Mihiel, France	Performance Products	Surfactant Manufacturing Facility
Lavera, France(1)	Performance Products	Surfactant Manufacturing Facility
Castiglione, Italy	Performance Products	Surfactant Manufacturing Facility
Ankleshwar, India(1)	Performance Products	Surfactant /Amines Manufacturing Facility
Patrica/Frosinone, Italy	Performance Products	Surfactant Manufacturing Facility
Barcelona, Spain(1)	Performance Products	Surfactant Manufacturing Facility
Melbourne, Australia	Performance Products	Research Facility
Bergkamen, Germany	Advanced Materials	Synthesis Facility
Monthey, Switzerland	Advanced Materials	Resins and Synthesis Facility
Pamplona, Spain	Advanced Materials	Resins and Synthesis Facility
McIntosh, Alabama	Advanced Materials	Resins and Synthesis Facility
Chennai, India(8)	Advanced Materials	Resins and Synthesis Facility
Bad Saeckingen, Germany(1)	Advanced Materials	Formulating Facility
Duxford, U.K.	Advanced Materials	Formulating Facility
Sadat City, Egypt	Advanced Materials	Formulating Facility
Taboão da Serra, Brazil	Advanced Materials and Polyurethanes	Formulating Facility and Polyurethane Systems House
Panyu, China(1)(9)	Advanced Materials	Formulating and Synthesis Facility and Technology Center
East Lansing, Michigan	Advanced Materials	Formulating Facility
Istanbul, Turkey(1)	Advanced Materials	Formulating Facility
Los Angeles, California	Advanced Materials	Formulating Facility
Basel, Switzerland(1)	Advanced Materials and Textile Effects	Technology Center, Advanced Materials headquarters and Textile Effects Textile Dyes Facility
Panyu, China(1)(9)	Textile Effects	Chemicals Synthesis Facility and Technology Center
Langweid am Leich, Germany(1)	Textile Effects	Chemicals Synthesis Facility
Charlotte, North Carolina(1)	Textile Effects	Chemicals Formulations Facility
Samutsakorn (Mahachai), Thailand(1)	Textile Effects	Textiles Dyes and Chemicals Formulations Facility
Atotonilquillo, Mexico	Textile Effects	Textile Dyes and Chemicals Formulations Facility
High Point, North Carolina(1)	Textile Effects	Technology Center
Baroda, India	Textile Effects	Textile Dyes and Chemicals Synthesis Facility
Gandaria, Indonesia	Textile Effects	Textile Dyes and Chemicals Formulations Facility
Qingdao, China	Textile Effects	Textile Dyes Facility
Fraijanes, Guatemala(1)	Textile Effects	Chemicals Formulations Facility
Bogota, Colombia(1)	Textile Effects	Chemicals Formulations Facility
Hangzhou, China(1)	Textile Effects	Chemicals Formulations Facility
Istanbul, Turkey(1)	Textile Effects	Chemicals Formulations Facility
Karachi, Pakistan(1)	Textile Effects	Chemicals Formulations Facility
Gateway, Singapore(1)	Textile Effects and Performance Products	Textile Effects Headquarters and Performance Products Regional Headquarters
Greatham, U.K.	Pigments	Titanium Dioxide Manufacturing Facility
Calais, France	Pigments	Titanium Dioxide Manufacturing Facility
Huelva, Spain	Pigments	Titanium Dioxide Manufacturing Facility
Scarlino, Italy	Pigments	Titanium Dioxide Manufacturing Facility
Teluk Kalung, Malaysia	Pigments	Titanium Dioxide Manufacturing Facility
Umbogintwini, South Africa	Pigments	Titanium Dioxide Manufacturing Facility

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Location	Business Segment	Description of Facility
Lake Charles, Louisiana(10)	Pigments	Titanium Dioxide Manufacturing Facility
(1)	Leased land and/or building.	
(2)	The Geismar facility is owned as follows: we own 100% of the MDI, polyol and maleic anhydride facilities, and Rubicon LLC, a consolidated manufacturing joint venture with Chemtura Corporation in which we own a 50% interest, owns the aniline and nitrobenzene facilities. Rubicon LLC is a separate legal entity that operates both the assets that we own jointly with Chemtura Corporation and our wholly-owned assets at Geismar.	
(3)	35% interest in SLIC, our unconsolidated manufacturing joint venture with BASF AG and three Chinese chemical companies.	
(4)	45%-owned unconsolidated manufacturing joint venture with NMG. During 2011, we gave notice of exercise of our option to acquire the remaining 55% interest in this joint venture. However, the selling shareholder is disputing the continued validity of the option, and we are seeking to resolve the dispute.	
(5)	51%-owned consolidated manufacturing joint venture with Basic Chemicals Industries Ltd.	
(6)	50% interest in Arabian Amines Company, our consolidated manufacturing joint venture with Zamil Group.	
(7)	50% interest in Sasol-Huntsman, our consolidated manufacturing joint venture with Sasol.	
(8)	76%-owned consolidated manufacturing joint venture with Tamilnadu Petroproducts Limited.	
(9)	95%-owned consolidated manufacturing joint venture with Guangdong Panyu Shilou Town Economic Development Co. Ltd.	
(10)	Owned by Louisiana Pigment Company, L.P., our unconsolidated manufacturing joint venture which is owned 50% by us and 50% by Kronos Worldwide.	

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Antitrust Matters

We were named as a defendant in civil class action antitrust suits alleging that between 1999 and 2004 we conspired with Bayer, BASF, Dow and Lyondell to fix the prices of MDI, TDI, polyether polyols, and related systems ("polyether polyol products") sold in the U.S. in violation of the federal Sherman Act. These cases are consolidated as the "Polyether Polyols" cases in multidistrict litigation pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas.

In addition, we and the other Polyether Polyol defendants were named as defendants in three civil antitrust suits brought by certain direct purchasers of polyether polyol products that opted out of the class certified in the Kansas multidistrict litigation. The relevant time frame for these cases is 1994 to 2004 and they are referred to as the "direct action cases." The class action and the direct action cases were consolidated in the Kansas court for the purposes of discovery and other pretrial matters.

In 2011 we settled both the class action and the direct action cases, and we have been dismissed as a defendant in both of those cases. The class settlement obligates us to pay the class an additional \$11 million in each of 2012 and 2013.

Two similar civil antitrust class action cases were filed May 5 and 17, 2006 in the Superior Court of Justice, Ontario Canada and Superior Court, Province of Quebec, District of Quebec, on behalf of purported classes of Canadian direct and indirect purchasers of MDI, TDI and polyether polyols. The class certification hearing is scheduled for April 2, 2012.

A purported class action case filed February 15, 2002 by purchasers in California of products containing rubber and urethane chemicals and pending in Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco is stayed pending resolution of the Kansas multidistrict litigation. The

plaintiffs in this matter make similar claims against the defendants as the class plaintiffs in the Kansas multidistrict litigation.

Table of Contents

We have been named as a defendant in two purported class action civil antitrust suits alleging that we and our co-defendants and other co-conspirators conspired to fix prices of titanium dioxide sold in the U.S. between at least March 1, 2002 and the present. The cases were filed on February 9 and 12, 2010 in the U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland and a consolidated complaint was filed on April 12, 2010. The other defendants named in this matter are E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Kronos Worldwide Inc., Millennium Inorganic Chemicals, Inc. and the National Titanium Dioxide Company Limited (d/b/a Cristal). A class certification hearing is scheduled for August 16, 2012 and trial is set to begin September 9, 2013. Discovery is ongoing.

In all of the antitrust litigation currently pending against us, the plaintiffs generally are seeking injunctive relief, treble damages, costs of suit and attorneys fees. We are not aware of any illegal conduct by us or any of our employees. Nevertheless, we have incurred costs relating to these claims and could incur additional costs in amounts material to us.

Asbestos Litigation

We have been named as a "premises defendant" in a number of asbestos exposure cases, typically claims by nonemployees of exposure to asbestos while at a facility. In the past, these cases typically have involved multiple plaintiffs bringing actions against multiple defendants, and the complaints have not indicated which plaintiffs were making claims against which defendants, where or how the alleged injuries occurred or what injuries each plaintiff claimed. These facts, which would be central to any estimate of probable loss, generally have been learned only through discovery.

Where a claimant's alleged exposure occurred prior to our ownership of the relevant "premises," the prior owners generally have contractually agreed to retain liability for, and to indemnify us against, asbestos exposure claims. This indemnification is not subject to any time or dollar amount limitations. Upon service of a complaint in one of these cases, we tender it to the prior owner. Rarely do the complaints in these cases state the amount of damages being sought. The prior owner accepts responsibility for the conduct of the defense of the cases and payment of any amounts due to the claimants. In our eighteen-year experience with tendering these cases, we have not made any payment with respect to any tendered asbestos cases. We believe that the prior owners have the intention and ability to continue to honor their indemnity obligations, although we cannot assure you that they will continue to do so or that we will not be liable for these cases if they do not.

The following table presents for the periods indicated certain information about cases for which service has been received that we have tendered to the prior owner, all of which have been accepted.

	Year ended December 31, 2011	Year ended December 31, 2010	Year ended December 31, 2009
Unresolved at beginning of period	1,116	1,138	1,140
Tendered during period	10	24	18
Resolved during period(1)	46	46	20
Unresolved at end of period	1,080	1,116	1,138

(1) Although the indemnifying party informs us when tendered cases have been resolved, it generally does not inform us of the settlement amounts relating to such cases, if any. The indemnifying party has informed us that it typically manages our defense together with the defense of other entities in such cases and resolves claims involving multiple defendants simultaneously, and that it considers the allocation of settlement amounts, if any, among defendants to be confidential and proprietary. Consequently, we are not able to provide the number of cases resolved with payment by the indemnifying party or the amount of such payments.

Table of Contents

We have never made any payments with respect to these cases. As of December 31, 2011, we had an accrued liability of \$10 million relating to these cases and a corresponding receivable of \$10 million relating to our indemnity protection with respect to these cases. We cannot assure you that our liability will not exceed our accruals or that our liability associated with these cases would not be material to our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity; accordingly, we are not able to estimate the amount or range of loss in excess of our accruals. Additional asbestos exposure claims may be made against us in the future, and such claims could be material. However, because we are not able to estimate the amount or range of losses associated with such claims, we have made no accruals with respect to unasserted asbestos exposure claims as of December 31, 2011.

Certain cases in which we are a premises defendant are not subject to indemnification by prior owners or operators. The following table presents for the periods indicated certain information about these cases. Cases include all cases for which service has been received by us. Certain prior cases that were filed in error against us have been dismissed.

	Year ended December 31, 2011	Year ended December 31, 2010	Year ended December 31, 2009
Unresolved at beginning of period	37	39	43
Filed during period	11	5	3
Resolved during period	12	7	7
Unresolved at end of period	36	37	39

We paid gross settlement costs for asbestos exposure cases that are not subject to indemnification of \$584,000 and \$201,000 during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, we had an accrual of \$460,000 relating to these cases. We cannot assure you that our liability will not exceed our accruals or that our liability associated with these cases would not be material to our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity; accordingly, we are not able to estimate the amount or range of loss in excess of our accruals. Additional asbestos exposure claims may be made against us in the future, and such claims could be material. However, because we are not able to estimate the amount or range of losses associated with such claims, we have made no accruals with respect to unasserted asbestos exposure claims as of December 31, 2011.

Environmental Enforcement Proceedings

On occasion, we receive notices of violation, enforcement or other complaints from regulatory agencies alleging non-compliance with applicable EHS laws. Based on currently available information and our past experience, we do not believe that the resolution of any pending or threatened environmental enforcement proceedings will have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Other Proceedings

We are a party to various other proceedings instituted by private plaintiffs, governmental authorities and others arising under provisions of applicable laws, including various environmental, products liability and other laws. Except as otherwise disclosed in this report, we do not believe that the outcome of any of these matters will have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

Not applicable.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The following is information concerning our executive officers and significant employees as of the date of this report.

Jon M. Huntsman, age 74, is the Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors of our Company. Prior to appointment as Executive Chairman effective February 2009, Mr. Huntsman served as Chairman of the Board of Directors of our Company, a position he had held since our Company was formed. Mr. Huntsman also serves on our Litigation Committee. He has been Chairman of the Board of all Huntsman companies since he founded his first plastics company in 1970. Mr. Huntsman served as Chief Executive Officer of our Company and our affiliated companies from 1970 to 2000. Mr. Huntsman is a director or manager, as applicable, of Huntsman International and certain of our other subsidiaries. In addition, Mr. Huntsman serves or has served as Chairman or as a member of numerous corporate, philanthropic and industry boards, including the American Red Cross, The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, Primary Children's Medical Center Foundation, the Chemical Manufacturers Association and the American Plastics Council. Mr. Huntsman was selected in 1994 as the chemical industry's top CEO. Mr. Huntsman formerly served as Special Assistant to the President of the United States and as Vice Chairman of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce. He is the Chairman and Founder of the Huntsman Cancer Institute. Mr. Huntsman is the father of our Chief Executive Officer, Peter R. Huntsman, our Division President, Advanced Materials, James H. Huntsman, and our director, Jon M. Huntsman, Jr.

Peter R. Huntsman, age 48, is President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of our Company. Mr. Huntsman also serves on our Litigation Committee. Prior to his appointment in July 2000 as Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Huntsman had served as President and Chief Operating Officer since 1994. In 1987, Mr. Huntsman joined Huntsman Polypropylene Corporation as Vice President before serving as Senior Vice President and General Manager. Mr. Huntsman has also served as President of Olympus Oil, as Senior Vice President of Huntsman Chemical Corporation and as a Senior Vice President of Huntsman Packaging Corporation, a former subsidiary of our Company. Mr. Huntsman is a director or manager, as applicable, of Huntsman International and certain of our other subsidiaries. Mr. Huntsman is the son of our Executive Chairman, Jon M. Huntsman, and the brother of our Division President, Advanced Materials, James H. Huntsman, and our director, Jon M. Huntsman, Jr.

J. Kimo Esplin, age 49, is Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Esplin has served as Chief Financial Officer of all of the Huntsman companies since 1999. From 1994 to 1999, Mr. Esplin served as our Treasurer. Prior to joining Huntsman in 1994, Mr. Esplin was a Vice President in the Investment Banking Division of Bankers Trust Company, where he worked for seven years. Mr. Esplin also serves as a director of Nutraceutical International Corporation, a publicly traded nutrition supplements company.

James R. Moore, age 67, is Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. Prior to his appointment to this position in January 2010, Mr. Moore served as our Vice President and Deputy General Counsel since 2003. Prior to that, Mr. Moore served as Vice President and Chief Environmental Counsel from 2002 to 2003 and Senior Environmental Counsel from 1998 to 2002. From 1989 until joining our Company in 1998, Mr. Moore was a partner at the Seattle law firm of Perkins Coie. Mr. Moore also previously served as a trial attorney with the U.S. Department of Justice, an assistant U.S. Attorney and Regional Counsel, Region 10, of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Table of Contents

Anthony P. Hankins, age 54, is Division President, Polyurethanes and Chief Executive Officer, Asia-Pacific. Mr. Hankins was appointed to these positions in March 2004 and February 2011, respectively. From May 2003 to February 2004, Mr. Hankins served as President, Performance Products, from January 2002 to April 2003, he served as Global Vice President, Rigids Division for our Polyurethanes business, from October 2000 to December 2001, he served as Vice President Americas for our Polyurethanes business, and from March 1998 to September 2000, he served as Vice President Asia-Pacific for our Polyurethanes business. Mr. Hankins worked for ICI from 1980 to February 1998, when he joined our Company. At ICI, Mr. Hankins held numerous management positions in the plastics, fibers and polyurethanes businesses. He has extensive international experience, having held senior management positions in Europe, Asia and the U.S.

Paul G. Hulme, age 55, is Division President, Textile Effects. Mr. Hulme was appointed to this position in February 2009. From June 2003 to February 2009, Mr. Hulme served as Division President, Materials and Effects. From February 2000 to May 2003, Mr. Hulme served as Vice President, Performance Chemicals, and from December 1999 to February 2000 he served as Operations Director, Polyurethanes. Prior to joining Huntsman in 1999, Mr. Hulme held various positions with ICI in finance, accounting and information systems roles. Mr. Hulme is a Chartered Accountant.

James H. Huntsman, age 41, is Division President, Advanced Materials. Mr. Huntsman was appointed to this position in July 2011. Prior to that time, Mr. Huntsman served as Vice President of Huntsman Advanced Materials, Americas Region since February 2009. From March 2006 to February 2009, Mr. Huntsman owned and managed a film production company based in Los Angeles, California. Prior to March 2006, he served as the Company's Vice President, U.S. Base Chemicals and Polymers. Mr. Huntsman originally joined the Company in 1990 and has held numerous manufacturing and commercial roles of increasing responsibility within a number of divisions. Mr. Huntsman is the son of our Executive Chairman, Jon M. Huntsman, the brother of our Chief Executive Officer, Peter R. Huntsman, and the brother of our director, Jon M. Huntsman, Jr.

Stewart A. Monteith, age 55, is Division President, Performance Products. Mr. Monteith was appointed to this position in February 2011. Prior to that time, Mr. Monteith served as Vice President of the Performance Specialties Unit, a position he held since August 2003. He also served as Vice President for Global Markets and Business Development. Mr. Monteith joined Huntsman in 1994. Prior to joining Huntsman, Mr. Monteith held various positions with Texaco Chemical Company and Union Carbide.

Simon Turner, age 48, is Division President, Pigments. Prior to his appointment to this position in November 2008, Mr. Turner served as Senior Vice President, Pigments since April 2008. From September 2004 to April 2008, Mr. Turner served as Vice President of Global Sales and from July 1999 to September 2004, he held positions including General Manager Co-Products and Director Supply Chain and Shared Services. Prior to joining Huntsman in July 1999, Mr. Turner held various positions with ICI.

Ronald W. Gerrard, age 52, is Senior Vice President, Environmental, Health & Safety and Manufacturing Excellence. Mr. Gerrard was appointed to this position in June 2009. Prior to this appointment, Mr. Gerrard served as Vice President, Global Operations and Technology in our Polyurethanes business from May 2004 to June 2009. From 1999 to May 2004, Mr. Gerrard served as Vice President, Asia; Business Director, Flexible Foams; and Director, EHS and Engineering, also within our Polyurethanes business. Prior to joining Huntsman in 1999, Mr. Gerrard had worked for ICI and for EVC, a joint venture between ICI and Enichem. Mr. Gerrard is a Chartered Engineer.

Brian V. Ridd, age 54, is Senior Vice President, Purchasing. Mr. Ridd has held this position since July 2000. Mr. Ridd served as Vice President, Purchasing from December 1995 until he was appointed to his current position. Mr. Ridd joined Huntsman in 1984.

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

R. Wade Rogers, age 46, is Senior Vice President, Global Human Resources. Mr. Rogers has held this position since August 2009. From May 2004 to August 2009, Mr. Rogers served as Vice President, Global Human Resources, from October 2003 to May 2004, Mr. Rogers served as Director, Human Resources Americas and from August 2000 to October 2003, he served as Director, Human Resources for our Polymers and Base Chemicals businesses. From the time he joined Huntsman in 1994 to August 2000, Mr. Rogers served as Area Manager, Human Resources Jefferson County Operations. Prior to joining Huntsman, Mr. Rogers held a variety of positions with Texaco Chemical Company.

Russ R. Stolle, age 49, is Senior Vice President and Deputy General Counsel. Mr. Stolle was appointed to this position in January 2010. From October 2006 to January 2010, Mr. Stolle served as our Senior Vice President, Global Public Affairs and Communications, from November 2002 to October 2006, he served as Vice President and Deputy General Counsel, from October 2000 to November 2002 he served as Vice President and Chief Technology Counsel and from April 1994 to October 2000 he served as Chief Patent and Licensing Counsel. Prior to joining Huntsman in 1994, Mr. Stolle had been an attorney with Texaco Inc. and an associate with the law firm of Baker & Botts.

L. Russell Healy, age 56, is Vice President and Controller. Mr. Healy has served in this capacity since April 2004. From August 2001 to April 2004, Mr. Healy served as Vice President, Finance, from July 1999 to July 2001, he served as Vice President and Finance Director for Huntsman International, and from October 1995 to June 1999, he served as Vice President, Tax. Prior to joining Huntsman in 1995, Mr. Healy was a partner with the accounting firm of Deloitte & Touche LLP. Mr. Healy is a Certified Public Accountant and holds a master's degree in accounting. Mr. Healy has announced that he will retire following the filing of this report. For more information, see our report on Form 8-K filed on October 21, 2011.

Randy W. Wright, age 53, will be Vice President and Controller effective February 17, 2012. Mr. Wright currently serves as Assistant Controller and Director of Financial Reporting since July 2004. Prior to joining Huntsman in 2004, Mr. Wright held various positions with Georgia-Pacific Corporation, Riverwood International, Johns Manville and PricewaterhouseCoopers. Mr. Wright is a Certified Public Accountant.

Sean Douglas, age 47, is our Vice President, Corporate Development since December 2009. Mr. Douglas served as Vice President and Treasurer from 2002 to December 2009, Vice President, Finance from July 2001 to 2002 and Vice President, Administration from January 1997 to July 2001. Mr. Douglas is a Certified Public Accountant and, prior to joining Huntsman in 1990, worked for the accounting firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers.

Kevin C. Hardman, age 48, is Vice President, Tax. Mr. Hardman served as Chief Tax Officer from 1999 until he was appointed to his current position in 2002. Prior to joining Huntsman in 1999, Mr. Hardman was a tax Senior Manager with the accounting firm of Deloitte & Touche LLP, where he worked for 10 years. Mr. Hardman is a Certified Public Accountant and holds a master's degree in tax accounting.

John R. Heskett, age 43, is Vice President, Planning and Treasurer. Mr. Heskett has held this position since December 2009. From September 2008 until October 2009, Mr. Heskett served as a Vice President at Boart Longyear Limited, a publicly-listed exploration drilling services and products company. Mr. Heskett previously served as Vice President, Corporate Development and Investor Relations for our Company from August 2004 until September 2008 and was appointed Vice President, Corporate Development in 2002. Mr. Heskett also served as Assistant Treasurer for our Company and several of our subsidiaries. Prior to joining Huntsman in 1997, Mr. Heskett was Assistant Vice President and Relationship Manager for PNC Bank, N.A., where he worked for a number of years.

Steven C. Jorgensen, age 43, will be Vice President, Accounting Shared Services and Internal Controls effective February 17, 2012. Mr. Jorgensen currently serves as Vice President, Internal

Table of Contents

Controls and Internal Audit since May 2007. Mr. Jorgensen joined Huntsman in May 2004 as Director of Internal Controls and in May 2005 was appointed as Director of Internal Audit and Controls. Prior to joining Huntsman, Mr. Jorgensen was Vice President and Audit Manager with General Electric Consumer Finance, and prior to that he was an audit Senior Manager with the accounting firm of Deloitte & Touche LLP. Mr. Jorgensen is a Certified Public Accountant and holds a master's degree in accounting.

Kurt D. Ogden, age 43, is Vice President, Investor Relations. Prior to his appointment to this position in February 2009, Mr. Ogden served as Director, Corporate Finance since October 2004. Prior to joining Huntsman in 2004, Mr. Ogden held various positions with Hillenbrand Industries, Pliant Corporation and Huntsman Chemical Corporation. Mr. Ogden is a Certified Public Accountant and holds a master's degree in business administration.

Maria Csiba-Womersley, age 53, is Vice President and Chief Information Officer. Ms. Csiba-Womersley was appointed to this position effective September 2006. Ms. Csiba-Womersley served as Global eBusiness Director from 2004 to 2006 and also served as our Director of Global IT Planning and Security. Previously, Ms. Csiba-Womersley was a Regional Polymer Sales Manager, a Business Director for Polypropylene and Director of Polymer Logistics. Ms. Csiba-Womersley joined Huntsman in 1997.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

MARKET INFORMATION AND HOLDERS

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "HUN." As of February 3, 2012, there were approximately 199 stockholders of record and the closing price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange was \$13.85 per share.

The reported high and low sale prices of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange for each of the periods set forth below are as follows:

Period	High	Low
2011		
First Quarter	\$ 19.10	\$ 15.71
Second Quarter	21.52	16.53
Third Quarter	20.36	9.88
Fourth Quarter	13.07	8.14

Period	High	Low
2010		
First Quarter	\$ 14.24	\$ 10.92
Second Quarter	13.01	8.17
Third Quarter	11.74	8.20
Fourth Quarter	16.89	11.35

Table of Contents**DIVIDENDS**

The following tables represent dividends on common stock for our Company for the years ended December 31, (dollars in millions, except per share payment amounts):

Payment date	Record date	2011	
		Per share payment amount	Total amount paid
March 31, 2011	March 15, 2011	\$ 0.10	\$ 24
June 30, 2011	June 15, 2011	0.10	24
September 30, 2011	September 15, 2011	0.10	24
December 30, 2011	December 15, 2011	0.10	24
Total			\$ 96

Payment date	Record date	2010	
		Per share payment amount	Total amount paid
March 31, 2010	March 15, 2010	\$ 0.10	\$ 24
June 30, 2010	June 15, 2010	0.10	24
September 30, 2010	September 15, 2010	0.10	24
December 31, 2010	December 15, 2010	0.10	24
Total			\$ 96

Payment date	Record date	2009	
		Per share payment amount	Total amount paid
March 31, 2009	March 16, 2009	\$ 0.10	\$ 24
June 30, 2009	June 15, 2009	0.10	24
September 30, 2009	September 15, 2009	0.10	24
December 31, 2009	December 15, 2009	0.10	24
Total			\$ 96

SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

See "Part III, Item 11. Executive Compensation" for information relating to our equity compensation plans.

PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE COMPANY

The following table provides information with respect to shares of our common stock that we repurchased and shares of restricted stock granted under our stock incentive plan that we withheld

Table of Contents

upon vesting to satisfy our tax withholding obligations during the three months ended December 31, 2011.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October		\$		49,863,881
November				49,863,881
December	2,107	10.72		
Total	2,107	\$ 10.72		\$ 49,863,881

-
- (1) There were 2,107 shares of restricted stock granted under our stock incentive program that we withheld upon vesting to satisfy our tax withholding obligations during December 2011. There were no shares repurchased under our publicly announced stock repurchase program.
- (2) Effective August 5, 2011, our Board of Directors authorized our Company to repurchase up to \$100 million in shares of our common stock. During the fourth quarter of 2011, we did not repurchase any shares of our common stock under the repurchase program. For more information, see "Note 21. Huntsman Corporation Stockholders' Equity Share Repurchase Program" to our consolidated financial statements.

STOCK PERFORMANCE GRAPH

Information relating to our stock performance graph will be contained in the definitive proxy statement for the annual meeting of our stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected historical financial data set forth below presents our historical financial data as of and for the dates and periods indicated. You should read the selected financial data in conjunction with "Part II. Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**Huntsman Corporation**

(in millions except per share amounts)	Year ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Statements of Operations Data:					
Revenues	\$ 11,221	\$ 9,250	\$ 7,665	\$ 10,056	\$ 9,496
Gross profit	1,840	1,461	1,078	1,280	1,535
Restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs	167	29	88	31	29
Operating income	606	410	13	197	553
(Expenses) income associated with the Terminated Merger and related litigation(a)		(4)	835	780	(210)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	251	(9)	125	512	61
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax(b)	(1)	42	(19)	84	(235)
Extraordinary gain (loss) on the acquisition of a business, net of tax of nil(c)	4	(1)	6	14	(7)
Net income (loss)	254	32	112	610	(181)
Net income (loss) attributable to Huntsman Corporation	247	27	114	609	(172)
Basic income (loss) per common share:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders	\$ 1.03	\$ (0.06)	\$ 0.54	\$ 2.20	\$ 0.32
Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders, net of tax(b)		0.17	(0.08)	0.36	(1.07)
Extraordinary gain (loss) on the acquisition of a business attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders, net of tax(c)	0.01		0.03	0.06	(0.03)
Net income (loss) attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders	\$ 1.04	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.49	\$ 2.62	\$ (0.78)
Diluted income (loss) per common share:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders	\$ 1.01	\$ (0.06)	\$ 0.53	\$ 2.18	\$ 0.30
Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders, net of tax(b)		0.17	(0.08)	0.36	(1.01)
Extraordinary gain (loss) on the acquisition of a business attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders, net of tax(c)	0.01		0.03	0.06	(0.03)
Net income (loss) attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders	\$ 1.02	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.48	\$ 2.60	\$ (0.74)
Other Data:					
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 439	\$ 405	\$ 442	\$ 398	\$ 413
Capital expenditures	330	236	189	418	665
Dividends per share	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
Balance Sheet Data (at period end):					
Total assets	\$ 8,657	\$ 8,714	\$ 8,626	\$ 8,058	\$ 8,166
Total debt	3,946	4,150	4,217	3,888	3,574
Total liabilities	6,881	6,864	6,761	6,426	6,313

(a)

For information regarding (expenses) income associated with our terminated merger with a subsidiary of Hexion (now Momentive) (the "Terminated Merger" or the "Hexion Merger") and the related litigation, see "Note 24. (Expenses) Income Associated with the Terminated Merger and Related Litigation" to our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

- (b) Income (loss) from discontinued operations represents the operating results, fire insurance settlement gains and loss on disposal of our former Australian styrenics business, our former U.S. base chemicals business, our former North American polymers business, our former European base chemicals and polymers business and our former TDI business. The U.S. base chemicals business was sold on November 5, 2007, the North American polymers business was sold on August 1, 2007, the European base chemicals and polymers business was sold on December 29, 2006 and the TDI business was sold on July 6, 2005. See "Note 25. Discontinued Operations" to our consolidated financial statements.
- (c) The extraordinary gain (loss) on the acquisition of a business relates to the June 30, 2006 acquisition of our textile effects business. See "Note 3. Business Combinations and Dispositions Textile Effects Acquisition" to our consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

OVERVIEW

We are a global manufacturer of differentiated organic chemical products and of inorganic chemical products. Our products comprise a broad range of chemicals and formulations, which we market globally to a diversified group of consumer and industrial customers. Our products are used in a wide range of applications, including those in the adhesives, aerospace, automotive, construction products, durable and non-durable consumer products, electronics, medical, packaging, paints and coatings, power generation, refining, synthetic fiber, textile chemicals and dye industries. We are a leading global producer in many of our key product lines, including MDI, amines, surfactants, epoxy-based polymer formulations, textile chemicals, dyes, maleic anhydride and titanium dioxide. Our administrative, research and development and manufacturing operations are primarily conducted at the facilities listed in "Item 2. Properties" above, which are located in 30 countries. We employed approximately 12,000 associates worldwide at December 31, 2011.

We operate in five segments: Polyurethanes, Performance Products, Advanced Materials, Textile Effects and Pigments. Our Polyurethanes, Performance Products, Advanced Materials and Textile Effects segments produce differentiated organic chemical products and our Pigments segment produces inorganic chemical products. In a series of transactions beginning in 2006, we have sold or shutdown substantially all of our former Australian styrenics operations and our North American polymers and base chemicals operations. We report the results from these businesses as discontinued operations. See "Note 25. Discontinued Operations" to our consolidated financial statements.

Growth in our Polyurethanes and Advanced Materials segments has been driven by the continued substitution of our products for other materials across a broad range of applications, as well as by the level of global economic activity. Historically, demand for many of these products has grown at rates in excess of GDP growth. In Polyurethanes, this growth, driven largely by Asia, has in recent years resulted in improved demand and higher industry capacity utilization rates for many of our key products, including MDI.

In our Performance Products segment, demand for our performance specialties has generally continued to grow at rates in excess of GDP as overall demand is significantly influenced by new product and application development. Demand for most of our performance intermediates has grown in line with GDP growth. Over time, demand for maleic anhydride has generally grown at rates that slightly exceed GDP growth. However, given its dependence on the UPR market, which is influenced by construction end markets, maleic anhydride demand can be cyclical.

Demand in our Textile Effects segment is driven primarily by consumer activity. Consumer spending for goods incorporating our Textile Effects products is impacted significantly by a wide range of economic factors, including personal incomes, housing and energy prices and other highly volatile

Table of Contents

factors. Accordingly, demand for our Textile Effects products has been volatile and appears likely to remain volatile.

Historically, demand for titanium dioxide pigments has grown at rates approximately equal to global GDP growth. Pigment prices have historically reflected industry-wide operating rates but have typically lagged behind movements in these rates by up to twelve months due to the effects of product stocking and destocking by customers and producers, contract arrangements and seasonality. The industry experiences some seasonality in its sales because sales of paints, the largest end use for titanium dioxide, generally peak during the spring and summer months in the northern hemisphere. This results in greater sales volumes in the second and third quarters of the year. During 2012, we expect to benefit from certain ore supply contracts in our pigments segment that will effectively supply approximately 40% of our ore requirements at prices close to 2011 market levels, which are significantly below current market prices. A majority of these contracts will expire at the end of 2012, with the resulting benefits reflected through most of the first quarter of 2013. These contracts did not materially benefit our pigments segment during 2011.

For further information regarding sales price and demand trends, see "Results of Operations Segment Analysis Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010" and the tables captioned "Year ended December 31, 2011 vs. 2010, Period-Over-Period Increase (Decrease)" and "Fourth Quarter 2011 vs. Third Quarter 2011, Period-Over-Period Increase (Decrease)" below.

OUTLOOK

Demand for our products is generally sensitive to global economic growth. Our revenue is distributed approximately one-third in North America, one-third in Europe, slightly less than one-quarter in Asia-Pacific and the balance in the rest of the world. Our operations in Europe continue to be impacted by the uncertain European economy, and we expect slow growth across our businesses in Europe. Growth in other regions for most of our products is expected to improve subject to seasonal demand fluctuations.

We anticipate that we will see an improving global economy from this point forward. Most of our businesses have strong upside potential as we see a continued recovery in the world's economy. In 2012, we expect margin pressure on our Pigments segment to be offset by improved earnings in our other segments.

The following summarizes key considerations that could impact future performance of our operating segments:

Polyurethanes:

Improving MDI margins

Improving MDI demand

Continued strength in PO/MTBE margins

Improving global economic environment

Performance Products:

U.S. gulf coast raw material cost advantage

Near term amines margin pressure

Improving global economic environment

Table of Contents

Advanced Materials:

Reorganization and restructuring benefit

Improving global economic environment

Textile Effects:

Restructuring benefits beginning in the second half of 2012

Improving global economic environment

Pigments:

Improving sales portfolio

Higher raw material costs

Favorable ore contracts expiring at end of 2012

Improving global economic environment

We expect to spend approximately \$425 million in 2012 on capital expenditures, net of reimbursements, largely for growth initiatives and maintenance.

We expect our full year 2012 effective tax rate to be approximately 30% to 35%, consistent with what we believe to be our long-term effective income tax rate.

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

For each of our Company and Huntsman International, the following tables set forth the consolidated results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (dollars in millions):

Huntsman Corporation

	Year ended December 31,			Percent Change	
	2011	2010	2009	2011 vs 2010	2010 vs 2009
Revenues	\$ 11,221	\$ 9,250	\$ 7,665	21%	21%
Cost of goods sold	9,381	7,789	6,587	20%	18%
Gross profit	1,840	1,461	1,078	26%	36%
Operating expenses	1,067	1,022	977	4%	5%
Restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs	167	29	88	476%	(67)%
Operating income	606	410	13	48%	NM
Interest expense, net	(249)	(229)	(238)	9%	(4)%
Loss on accounts receivable securitization program			(23)		NM
Equity in income of investment in unconsolidated affiliates	8	24	3	(67)%	700%
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	(7)	(183)	(21)	(96)%	771%
(Expenses) income associated with the Terminated Merger and related litigation		(4)	835	NM	NM
Other income	2	2			NM
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	360	20	569	NM	(96)%
Income tax expense	(109)	(29)	(444)	276%	(93)%
Income (loss) from continuing operations	251	(9)	125	NM	NM
(Loss) income from discontinued operations (including gain on disposal of \$1 in 2009), net of tax	(1)	42	(19)	NM	NM
Extraordinary gain (loss) on the acquisition of a business, net of tax of nil	4	(1)	6	NM	NM
Net income	254	32	112	694%	(71)%
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(7)	(5)	2	40%	NM
Net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation	247	27	114	815%	(76)%
Interest expense, net	249	229	238	9%	(4)%
Income tax expense from continuing operations	109	29	444	276%	(93)%
Income tax (benefit) expense from discontinued operations	(5)	10	(80)	NM	NM
Depreciation and amortization	439	405	442	8%	(8)%
EBITDA(1)	\$ 1,039	\$ 700	\$ 1,158	48%	(40)%
Net income per share:					
Basic	\$ 1.04	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.49	845%	(78)%
Diluted	1.02	0.11	0.48	827%	(77)%
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	365	(58)	1,104	NM	NM
Net cash used in investing activities	(280)	(182)	(205)	54%	(11)%
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(490)	(543)	184	(10)%	NM
Other non-GAAP measures:					
Adjusted EBITDA(1)	\$ 1,214	\$ 875	\$ 545	39%	60%
Adjusted net income (loss)(2)	408	200	(298)	104%	NM

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Adjusted income (loss) per share(2):						
Basic	1.72	0.85	(1.27)	102%	NM	
Diluted	1.69	0.83	(1.27)	104%	NM	
Capital expenditures, net of reimbursements(3)	327	202	189	62%	7%	

59

Table of Contents**Huntsman International**

	Percent Change					
	Year ended December 31,			2011 vs 2010	2010 vs 2009	
	2011	2010	2009			
Revenues	\$ 11,221	\$ 9,250	\$ 7,665	21%	21%	
Cost of goods sold	9,363	7,772	6,570	20%	18%	
Gross profit	1,858	1,478	1,095	26%	35%	
Operating expenses	1,062	1,006	966	6%	4%	
Restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs	167	29	88	476%	(67)%	
Operating income	629	443	41	42%	980%	
Interest expense, net	(262)	(248)	(240)	6%	3%	
Loss on accounts receivable securitization program			(23)		NM	
Equity in income of investment in unconsolidated affiliates	8	24	3	(67)%	700%	
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	(7)	(37)	(21)	(81)%	76%	
Other income	2	2			NM	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	370	184	(240)	101%	NM	
Income tax expense	(113)	(40)	(159)	183%	(75)%	
Income (loss) from continuing operations	257	144	(399)	78%	NM	
(Loss) income from discontinued operations (including gain on disposal of \$1 in 2009), net of tax	(1)	42	(19)	NM	NM	
Extraordinary gain (loss) on the acquisition of a business, net of tax of nil	4	(1)	6	NM	NM	
Net income (loss)	260	185	(412)	41%	NM	
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(7)	(5)	2	40%	NM	
Net income (loss) attributable to Huntsman International LLC	253	180	(410)	41%	NM	
Interest expense, net	262	248	240	6%	3%	
Income tax expense (benefit) from continuing operations	113	40	159	183%	(75)%	
Income tax (benefit) expense from discontinued operations	(5)	10	(80)	NM	NM	
Depreciation and amortization	416	382	420	9%	(9)%	
EBITDA(1)	\$ 1,039	\$ 860	\$ 329	21%	161%	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 432	\$ (46)	\$ 420	NM	NM	
Net cash used in investing activities	(337)	(238)	(212)	42%	12%	
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(418)	(78)	619	436%	NM	
Other non-GAAP measures:						
Adjusted EBITDA(1)	\$ 1,214	\$ 885	\$ 551	37%	61%	
Adjusted net income(2)	414	212	(296)	95%	NM	
Capital expenditures, net of reimbursements(3)	327	202	189	62%	7%	

NM Not meaningful

(1)

EBITDA is defined as net income (loss) attributable to Huntsman Corporation or Huntsman International LLC, as appropriate, before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. We believe that EBITDA supplements an investor's understanding of our financial performance. However, EBITDA should not be considered in isolation or viewed as a substitute for net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation or Huntsman International LLC, as appropriate, or other measures of performance as defined by GAAP. Moreover, EBITDA as used herein is not necessarily comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies due to potential

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

inconsistencies in the method of calculation. Our management uses EBITDA to assess financial performance by reviewing EBITDA as a general indicator of economic performance compared with prior periods. Because EBITDA excludes interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, EBITDA provides an indicator of general economic performance that is not affected

Table of Contents

by debt restructurings, fluctuations in interest rates or effective tax rates, or levels of depreciation and amortization. Accordingly, our management believes this type of measurement is useful for comparing general operating performance from period to period and making certain related management decisions. EBITDA is also used by securities analysts, lenders and others in their evaluation of different companies because it excludes certain items that can vary widely across different industries or among companies within the same industry. For example, interest expense can be highly dependent on a company's capital structure, debt levels and credit ratings. Therefore, the impact of interest expense on earnings can vary significantly among companies. In addition, the tax positions of companies can vary because of their differing abilities to take advantage of tax benefits and because of the tax policies of the various jurisdictions in which they operate. As a result, effective tax rates and tax expense can vary considerably among companies. Finally, companies employ productive assets of different ages and utilize different methods of acquiring and depreciating such assets. This can result in considerable variability in the relative costs of productive assets and the depreciation and amortization expense among companies. Nevertheless, our management recognizes that there are material limitations associated with the use of EBITDA in the evaluation of our Company as compared to net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation or Huntsman International LLC, as appropriate, which reflects overall financial performance, including the effects of interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. EBITDA excludes interest expense. Because we have borrowed money in order to finance our operations, interest expense is a necessary element of our costs and ability to generate revenue. Therefore, any measure that excludes interest expense has material limitations. EBITDA also excludes taxes. Because the payment of taxes is a necessary element of our operations, any measure that excludes tax expense has material limitations. Finally, EBITDA excludes depreciation and amortization expense. Because we use capital assets, depreciation and amortization expense is a necessary element of our costs and ability to generate revenue. Therefore, any measure that excludes depreciation and amortization expense has material limitations. Our management compensates for the limitations of using EBITDA by using it to supplement GAAP results to provide a more complete understanding of the factors and trends affecting the business rather than GAAP results alone. Our management also uses other metrics to evaluate capital structure, tax planning and capital investment decisions. For example, our management uses credit ratings and net debt ratios to evaluate capital structure, effective tax rate by jurisdiction to evaluate tax planning, and payback period and internal rate of return to evaluate capital investments. Our management also uses trade working capital to evaluate its investment in accounts receivable and inventory, net of accounts payable.

Adjusted EBITDA is computed by eliminating the following from EBITDA: loss on early extinguishment of debt; legal settlements; loss on accounts receivable securitization program; EBITDA from discontinued operations; acquisition expenses; expenses (income) associated with the Terminated Merger and related litigation (Huntsman Corporation only); gains on the sales of businesses and assets; extraordinary gain (loss) on the acquisition of a business; gain on consolidation of a variable interest entity and restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs (credits).

In prior reports, we excluded unallocated foreign exchange gains or losses in our presentation of adjusted EBITDA. In our current and future presentations of adjusted EBITDA we do not exclude unallocated foreign exchange gains or losses. The amounts for prior periods have been recast to conform to the current presentation. We made this change to more accurately reflect the ongoing cost of operating a global business.

Adjusted EBITDA is presented solely as a supplemental disclosure to EBITDA and reported GAAP measures because we believe that it is indicative of our operating performance and is frequently used as a valuation measure of chemical companies. Our management also uses adjusted EBITDA to evaluate the core operating performance of our segments and business.

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

In addition to the limitations of EBITDA noted above, adjusted EBITDA excludes items that may be recurring in nature and should not be disregarded in the evaluation of performance. However, we believe it is useful to exclude such items to provide a supplemental analysis of current results and trends compared to other periods for the following reasons: certain excluded items can vary significantly depending on specific underlying transactions or events, and the variability of such items may not relate specifically to current operating results or trends; and certain excluded items, while potentially recurring in future periods, may not be indicative of future results.

Adjusted EBITDA should not be construed as an alternative to net income applicable to Huntsman Corporation or Huntsman International, as appropriate, as an indicator of performance, or as any other measure determined in accordance with GAAP.

We believe that net income (loss) attributable to Huntsman Corporation or Huntsman International, as appropriate, is the performance measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP that is most directly comparable to EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA.

For each of our Company and Huntsman International, the following tables set forth certain items of (income) expense included in EBITDA (in millions):

Huntsman Corporation

	Year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation	\$ 247	\$ 27	\$ 114
Interest expense, net	249	229	238
Income tax expense from continuing operations	109	29	444
Income tax (benefit) expense from discontinued operations	(5)	10	(80)
Depreciation and amortization	439	405	442
EBITDA	1,039	700	1,158
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	7	183	21
Legal settlements	46	8	
Loss on accounts receivable securitization program			23
EBITDA from discontinued operations	6	(53)	97
Acquisition expenses	5	3	
Expenses (income) associated with the Terminated Merger and related litigation		4	(835)
Gains on sale of businesses and assets	(40)		(1)
Extraordinary (gain) loss on the acquisition of a business	(4)	1	(6)
Gain on consolidation of a variable interest entity	(12)		
Restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs (credits):			
Polyurethanes			2
Performance Products		3	
Advanced Materials	20	(2)	13
Textile Effects	135	15	6
Pigments	10	8	53
Corporate and other	2	5	14
Total restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs	167	29	88
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 1,214	\$ 875	\$ 545

Table of Contents**Huntsman International**

	Year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net income (loss) attributable to Huntsman International	\$ 253	\$ 180	\$ (410)
Interest expense, net	262	248	240
Income tax expense from continuing operations	113	40	159
Income tax (benefit) expense from discontinued operations	(5)	10	(80)
Depreciation and amortization	416	382	420
EBITDA	1,039	860	329
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	7	37	21
Legal settlements	46	8	
Loss on accounts receivable securitization program			23
EBITDA from discontinued operations	6	(53)	97
Acquisition expenses	5	3	
Gains on sale of businesses and assets	(40)		(1)
Extraordinary (gain) loss on the acquisition of a business	(4)	1	(6)
Gain on consolidation of a variable interest entity	(12)		
Restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs (credits):			
Polyurethanes			2
Performance Products		3	
Advanced Materials	20	(2)	13
Textile Effects	135	15	6
Pigments	10	8	53
Corporate and other	2	5	14
Total restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs	167	29	88
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 1,214	\$ 885	\$ 551

(2)

Adjusted net income (loss) is computed by eliminating the after-tax amounts related to the following from net income applicable to Huntsman Corporation or Huntsman International, as appropriate: (a) loss on early extinguishment of debt; (b) legal settlements; (c) discount amortization of settlement financing; (d) loss (income) from discontinued operations; (e) acquisition expenses; (f) expenses (income) associated with the Terminated Merger and related litigation (Huntsman Corporation only); (g) gains on the sale of businesses and assets; (h) extraordinary (gain) loss on the acquisition of a business; (i) gain on consolidation of a variable interest entity; and (j) restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs. The income tax impacts of each adjusting item is calculated using the statutory rates in the applicable taxing jurisdiction and considering valuation allowances on deferred tax assets in each jurisdiction. We do not adjust for changes in tax valuation allowances because we do not believe it provides more meaningful information than is provided under GAAP. Basic adjusted income (loss) per share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing adjusted net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted income (loss) per share reflects all potential dilutive common shares outstanding during the period and is computed by dividing adjusted net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period increased by the number of additional shares that would have been outstanding as dilutive securities.

In prior reports, we excluded unallocated foreign exchange gains or losses in our presentation of adjusted net income (loss). In our current and future presentations of adjusted net income (loss), we do not exclude unallocated foreign exchange gains or losses. The amounts for prior periods have been recast to conform to the current presentation. We made this change to more accurately reflect the ongoing cost of operating a global business.

Adjusted net income (loss) and adjusted income (loss) per share amounts are presented solely as supplemental disclosures to net income applicable to Huntsman Corporation or Huntsman International, as appropriate, and income (loss) per share because we believe that these measures are indicative of our operating performance. Adjusted net income (loss) and adjusted income (loss) per share exclude items that may be recurring in nature and should not be disregarded in the evaluation of performance. However, we believe it is useful to exclude such items to provide a supplemental analysis of current results and trends compared to other periods for

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

the following reasons: certain excluded items can vary significantly depending on specific underlying transactions or events, and the variability of such items may not relate specifically to current operating results or trends; and certain excluded items, while potentially recurring in future periods, may not be indicative of future results.

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

For each of our Company and Huntsman International, the following tables set forth certain items of (income) expense included in adjusted net income.

Huntsman Corporation

	Year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation	\$ 247	\$ 27	\$ 114
Loss on early extinguishment of debt, net of tax of \$(3), \$(22) and \$(8) in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	4	161	13
Legal settlements, net of tax of \$(17), \$(3) and nil in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	29	5	
Discount amortization on settlement financing, net of tax of \$(10), \$(10) and \$(5) in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	18	16	9
Loss (income) from discontinued operations, net of tax of \$(5), \$10 and \$(80) in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	1	(42)	19
Acquisition expenses, net of tax of \$(1), \$(1) and \$1 in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	4	2	1
Expenses (income) associated with the Terminated Merger and related litigation, net of tax of nil, \$(1) and \$309 in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively		3	(526)
Gains on sale of businesses and assets, net of tax of \$3, nil and nil in 2011, 2010 and 2009, each	(37)		(1)
Extraordinary (gain) loss on the acquisition of a business, net of tax of nil for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	(4)	1	(6)
Gain on consolidation of a variable interest entity, net of tax of \$2	(10)		
Restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs, net of tax of \$(11), \$(2) and \$(9) in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	156	27	79
Adjusted net income (loss)	\$ 408	\$ 200	\$ (298)
Weighted average shares-diluted	241.7	241.0	233.9

Huntsman International

	Year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net income (loss) attributable to Huntsman International	\$ 253	\$ 180	\$ (410)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt, net of tax of \$(3), \$(14) and \$(8) in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	4	23	13
Legal settlements, net of tax of \$(17), \$(3) and nil in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	29	5	
Discount amortization on settlement financing, net of tax of \$(10), \$(10) and \$(5) in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	18	16	9
Loss (income) from discontinued operations, net of tax of \$(5), \$10 and \$(80) in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	1	(42)	19
Acquisition expenses, net of tax of \$(1), \$(1) and \$1 in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	4	2	1
Gains on sale of businesses and assets, net of tax of \$3, nil and nil in 2011, 2010 and 2009, each	(37)		(1)
Extraordinary (gain) loss on the acquisition of a business, net of tax of nil for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	(4)	1	(6)
Gain on consolidation of a variable interest entity, net of tax of \$2	(10)		
Restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs, net of tax of \$(11), \$(2) and \$(9) in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively	156	27	79
Adjusted net income (loss)	\$ 414	\$ 212	\$ (296)

(3)

Capital expenditures, net of reimbursements represent cash paid for capital expenditures less reimbursements of capital expenditures from insurance settlements, other legal settlements and contributions from noncontrolling shareholders in consolidated entities. During 2011, 2010 and 2009, capital expenditures of \$330 million, \$236 million and \$189 million, respectively, were reimbursed in part by \$3 million, \$34 million and nil, respectively, from insurance settlement proceeds or other legal settlements.

Table of Contents

Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2010

For year ended December 31, 2011, the net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation was \$247 million on revenues of \$11,221 million, compared with net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation of \$27 million on revenues of \$9,250 million for the same period of 2010. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the net income attributable to Huntsman International LLC was \$253 million on revenues of \$11,221 million, compared with net income attributable to Huntsman International LLC of \$180 million on revenues of \$9,250 million for the same period of 2010. The increase of \$220 million in net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation and the increase of \$73 million in net income attributable to Huntsman International LLC was the result of the following items:

Revenues for 2011 increased by \$1,971 million, or 21%, as compared with the 2010 period. The increase was due principally to higher average selling prices in all of our segments and higher sales volumes in all of our segments except Advanced Materials, Textile Effects and Pigments. See " Segment Analysis" below.

Our gross profit and the gross profit of Huntsman International for 2011 increased by \$379 million and \$380 million, respectively, or 26% each, as compared with the 2010 period. The increase resulted from higher gross margins in all of our segments except Advanced Materials and Textile Effects. See " Segment Analysis" below.

Our operating expenses and the operating expenses of Huntsman International for 2011 increased by \$45 million and \$56 million, or 4% and 6%, respectively, as compared with the 2010 period. Operating expenses increased by \$50 million in 2011 due to the impact of translating foreign currency amounts to the U.S. dollar and by \$46 million due to higher expenses related to legal settlements, partially offset by a \$12 million gain recorded upon consolidation of our Sasol-Huntsman joint venture and a \$34 million gain recorded on the sale of our stereolithography resin and Digitalis® machine manufacturing business. For more information on legal settlements, see "Note 19. Commitments and Contingencies Legal Matters" to our consolidated financial statements. For more information on the consolidation of our Sasol-Huntsman joint venture, see "Note 7. Variable Interest Entities" to our consolidated financial statements. For more information on the sale of our stereolithography resin and Digitalis® machine manufacturing businesses, see "Note 3. Business Combinations and Dispositions" to our consolidated financial statements.

Restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs for 2011 increased to \$167 million from \$29 million in the 2010 period. For more information, see "Note 11. Restructuring, Impairment and Plant Closing Costs" to our consolidated financial statements.

Our net interest expense and the net interest expense of Huntsman International for 2011 increased by \$20 million and \$14 million, respectively, or 9% and 6%, respectively, as compared with 2010. In 2010, we benefited from a \$12 million reduction in interest expense related to the ineffective portion of a cross currency swap, and interest expense in the 2011 period is also higher due to the consolidation of our Sasol-Huntsman and Arabian Amines Company joint ventures. For more information, see "Note 7. Variable Interest Entities" to our consolidated financial statements.

Equity in income of investment in unconsolidated affiliates for 2011 decreased to \$8 million from \$24 million in the 2010 period. During 2010, we recorded a nonrecurring \$18 million credit to equity income of investment in unconsolidated affiliates to appropriately reflect our investment in Sasol-Huntsman. For more information, see "Note 6. Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliates" to our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Our loss on early extinguishment of debt for 2011 decreased to \$7 million from \$183 million in the 2010 period as a result of higher net repayments of indebtedness in 2010 as compared to 2011. In 2010, we recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt from the repurchase of our 7% convertible notes due 2018 (Huntsman Corporation only) for \$146 million. For more information see "Note 14. Debt" to our consolidated financial statements.

Expenses associated with the Terminated Merger and related litigation for 2010 consisted primarily of \$3 million of bonuses paid to certain members of the Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of an independent committee of the Board of Directors, for their efforts in connection with the litigation with Hexion and Apollo following the Terminated Merger.

Our income tax expense increased by \$80 million to an expense of \$109 million for 2011 as compared with an expense of \$29 million for 2010. Huntsman International's income tax expense increased by \$73 million to an expense of \$113 million for 2011, as compared with an expense of \$40 million for 2010. Our and Huntsman International's tax obligations are affected by the mix of income and losses in the tax jurisdictions in which we operate. Other than pre-tax earnings, our and Huntsman International's income tax expense for 2011 as compared with 2010 was primarily impacted by the following: 2011 tax benefits associated with the net release of valuation allowances of \$22 million as compared to 2010 releases of valuation allowances of \$20 million; 2011 tax benefits of \$1 million compared to the 2010 tax benefits of \$4 million related to recognizing a tax benefit for operating losses in certain jurisdictions with valuation allowances and current other comprehensive income. For more information, see "Note 18. Income Taxes" to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax, for 2011 was \$1 million compared to income from discontinued operations of \$42 million in 2010. The decrease in income from discontinued operations resulted principally from a \$110 million pretax gain recognized in the second quarter of 2010 in connection with the final settlement of our insurance claims related to the 2006 fire at our former Port Arthur, Texas plant, offset in part by related income taxes, legal and other costs. For more information, see "Note 25. Discontinued Operations" to our consolidated financial statements.

During 2010, we recorded an extraordinary loss on the acquisition of a business, net of tax, of \$1 million resulting from the settlement of contingent purchase price consideration related to our 2006 acquisition of Ciba's textile effects business (the "Textile Effects Acquisition"), offset in part by the reimbursement by Ciba of certain costs pursuant to the acquisition agreements. The extraordinary gain in 2011 relates primarily to reimbursement by Ciba of certain costs pursuant to the acquisition agreements. For more information, see "Note 3. Business Combinations and Dispositions Textile Effects Acquisition" to our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Segment Analysis****Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010**

	Year ended December 31,		Percent Change
	2011	2010	
Revenues			
Polyurethanes	\$ 4,434	\$ 3,605	23%
Performance Products	3,301	2,659	24%
Advanced Materials	1,372	1,244	10%
Textile Effects	737	787	(6)%
Pigments	1,642	1,213	35%
Eliminations	(265)	(258)	3%
Total	\$ 11,221	\$ 9,250	21%

Huntsman Corporation**Segment EBITDA**

Polyurethanes	\$ 469	\$ 319	47%
Performance Products	385	363	6%
Advanced Materials	125	143	(13)%
Textile Effects	(199)	1	NM
Pigments	501	205	144%
Corporate and other	(236)	(384)	(39)%
Subtotal	1,045	647	62%
Discontinued Operations	(6)	53	NM
Total	\$ 1,039	\$ 700	48%

Huntsman International**Segment EBITDA**

Polyurethanes	\$ 469	\$ 319	47%
Performance Products	385	363	6%
Advanced Materials	125	143	(13)%
Textile Effects	(199)	1	NM
Pigments	501	205	144%
Corporate and other	(236)	(224)	5%
Subtotal	1,045	807	29%
Discontinued Operations	(6)	53	NM
Total	\$ 1,039	\$ 860	21%

Year ended December 31, 2011 vs. 2010
Average Selling
Price(1)

	Foreign Currency		Mix & Other	Sales Volumes(1)
	Local Currency	Translation Impact		
Period-Over-Period Increase (Decrease)				
Polyurethanes	16%	2%	(3)%	8%
Performance Products	20%	2%	(1)%	3%

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Advanced Materials	7%	3%		
Textile Effects		3%		(9)%
Pigments	34%	4%	(1)%	(2)%
Total Company	16%	3%	3%	5%

67

Table of Contents

Fourth Quarter 2011 vs. Third Quarter 2011				
Average Selling Price(1)				
	Local Currency	Foreign Currency Translation Impact	Mix & Other	Sales Volumes(1)
Period-Over-Period Increase (Decrease)				
Polyurethanes	(2)%	(2)%		(10)%
Performance Products	(1)%	(1)%	(4)%	(5)%
Advanced Materials	(2)%	(3)%	1%	(6)%
Textile Effects	(3)%	(3)%		7%
Pigments	7%	(3)%	1%	(17)%
Total Company	(2)%	(2)%	1%	(9)%

(1) Excludes revenues and sales volumes from tolling arrangements and byproducts.

NM Not Meaningful

Polyurethanes

The increase in revenues in our Polyurethanes segment for 2011 compared to 2010 was primarily due to higher average selling prices and higher sales volumes. MDI average selling prices increased primarily in response to higher raw material costs, improved demand and the strength of major European currencies against the U.S. dollar. PO/MTBE average selling prices increased primarily in response to higher raw material costs and industry supply constraints in the first half of 2011. MDI sales volumes increased primarily in response to improved demand in the insulation, automotive and composite wood panels sectors. PO/MTBE sales volumes increased compared to 2010 primarily due to a planned maintenance outage at our Port Neches, Texas facility during 2010. The increase in segment EBITDA was primarily due to higher sales volumes and margins, partially offset by higher manufacturing and selling, general and administrative costs. Segment EBITDA in 2010 was also negatively impacted by an estimated \$40 million as a result of the planned maintenance outage at our Port Neches, Texas facility.

Performance Products

The increase in revenues in our Performance Products segment for 2011 compared to 2010 was primarily due to higher average selling prices and higher sales volumes. Average selling prices increased across all product groups principally in response to higher raw material costs and the strength of major European currencies against the U.S. dollar. Sales volumes increased mainly due to higher demand for ethyleneamines and EG, offset by lower sales of other amines and European surfactants. In addition, sales volumes increased as a result of our consolidation of the Sasol-Huntsman joint venture and our acquisition of the chemical business of Laffans Petrochemicals Limited (the "Laffans Acquisition"), both in April 2011. The increase in segment EBITDA was primarily due to higher sales volumes and higher margins as selling prices increased faster than raw material prices, partially offset by increased fixed costs. In addition, in 2011, we recorded a gain of \$12 million in connection with the consolidation of the Sasol-Huntsman joint venture, and in 2010, we recorded a nonrecurring \$18 million credit to appropriately reflect our investment in the Sasol-Huntsman joint venture.

Table of Contents

Advanced Materials

The increase in revenues in our Advanced Materials segment for 2011 compared to 2010 was primarily due to higher average selling prices partially offset by lower sales volumes. Average selling prices increased in all regions and across the entire product portfolio in response to higher raw material costs and the strength of major European currencies against the U.S. dollar. Sales volumes decreased in the Asia-Pacific region, primarily as a result of lower demand in the wind energy market, as well as in Europe and the Americas, while sales volumes increased in India. The decrease in segment EBITDA was primarily due to lower margins, the impact of stronger major European currencies against the U.S. dollar, higher manufacturing and selling, general and administrative costs and higher restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs. During 2011 and 2010, our Advanced Materials segment recorded restructuring, impairment and plant closing charges (credits) of \$20 million and \$(2) million, respectively. For more information concerning restructuring activities, see "Note 11. Restructuring, Impairment and Plant Closing Costs" to our consolidated financial statements.

Textile Effects

The decrease in revenues in our Textile Effects segment for 2011 compared to 2010 was due to lower sales volumes, partially offset by higher average selling prices. Sales volumes decreased due to weak retail demand and customer manufacturing constraints. Average selling prices increased primarily from the strength of major international currencies against the U.S. dollar. The decrease in segment EBITDA was primarily due to higher restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs, lower sales volumes and the negative foreign currency impact of a stronger Swiss franc against the U.S. dollar on our manufacturing and selling, general and administrative costs. During 2011 and 2010, our Textile Effects segment recorded restructuring, impairment and plant closing charges of \$135 and \$15 million, respectively. For more information concerning restructuring activities, see "Note 11. Restructuring, Impairment and Plant Closing Costs" to our consolidated financial statements.

Pigments

The increase in revenues in our Pigments segment for 2011 compared to 2010 was due to higher average selling prices partially offset by lower sales volumes. Average selling prices increased in all regions of the world driven principally by higher raw materials costs and stronger overall market demand during the first half of 2011. Sales volumes decreased primarily due to decreased global demand in the last quarter of 2011, particularly in the Asia-Pacific, Africa, Middle East and Latin America regions. The increase in segment EBITDA was primarily due to higher margins, partially offset by higher manufacturing and selling, general and administrative costs.

Corporate and other Huntsman Corporation

Corporate and other includes unallocated corporate overhead, unallocated foreign exchange gains and losses, last-in first-out ("LIFO") inventory valuation reserve adjustments, loss on early extinguishment of debt, unallocated restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs and nonoperating income and expense. For 2011, EBITDA from Corporate and other increased by \$148 million to a loss of \$236 million from a loss of \$384 million for 2010. The increase in EBITDA from Corporate and other for 2011 resulted primarily from a decrease in loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$176 million (\$7 million of losses in 2011 compared to \$183 million of losses in 2010), an increase in treasury gains of \$7 million (\$11 million in gains in 2011 compared to \$4 million in gains in 2010), a decrease in restructuring costs of \$3 million (\$2 million in losses in 2011 compared to \$5 million in losses in 2010), a decrease in merger-related expenses of \$4 million and an increase in the extraordinary gain on the Textile Effects Acquisition of \$5 million (\$4 million gain in 2011 compared to \$1 million loss in 2010), and was partially offset by a \$32 million increase in Legal Settlements (\$40 million loss in 2011 compared to \$8 million loss in 2010), a \$4 million increase in LIFO inventory

Table of Contents

valuation expense (\$22 million of expense in 2011 compared to \$18 million of expense in 2010) and a \$5 million loss during 2011 in benzene purchases, raw material purchased to supply our Polyurethanes and Performance Products businesses. For more information regarding the loss on early extinguishment of debt, see "Note 14. Debt Redemption of Notes and Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt" to our consolidated financial statements. For more information regarding the extraordinary gain associated with the Textile Effects Acquisition, see "Note 3. Business Combinations and Dispositions Textile Effects Acquisition" to our consolidated financial statements.

Corporate and other Huntsman International

Corporate and other includes unallocated corporate overhead, unallocated foreign exchange gains and losses, LIFO inventory valuation reserve adjustments, loss on early extinguishment of debt, unallocated restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs, nonoperating income and expense and gains and losses on the disposition of corporate assets. For 2011, EBITDA from Corporate and other decreased by \$12 million to a loss of \$236 million from a loss of \$224 million for 2010. The decrease in EBITDA from Corporate and other for 2011 resulted primarily from a \$32 million increase in Legal Settlements (\$40 million loss in 2011 compared to \$8 million loss in 2010), a \$4 million increase in LIFO inventory valuation expense (\$22 million of expense in 2011 compared to \$18 million of expense in 2010), a \$5 million loss during 2011 in benzene purchases, raw material purchased to supply our Polyurethanes and Performance Products businesses, and a \$10 million decrease in operating income due to the sale of corporate assets to Huntsman Corporation in 2010, and was partially offset by a decrease in loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$30 million (\$7 million of losses in 2011 compared to \$37 million of losses in 2010), an increase in treasury gains of \$7 million (\$11 million in gains in 2011 compared to \$4 million in gains in 2010), a decrease in restructuring costs of \$3 million (\$2 million in losses in 2011 compared to \$5 million in losses in 2010) and an increase in the extraordinary gain on the Textile Effects Acquisition of \$5 million (\$4 million gain in 2011 compared to \$1 million loss in 2010). For more information regarding the loss on early extinguishment of debt, see "Note 14. Debt Redemption of Notes and Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt" to our consolidated financial statements. For more information regarding extraordinary gain associated with the Textile Effects Acquisition, see "Note 3. Business Combinations and Dispositions Textile Effects Acquisition" to our consolidated financial statements.

Discontinued Operations

The operating results of our former polymers, base chemicals and Australian styrenics businesses are classified as discontinued operations, and, accordingly, the revenues of these businesses are excluded from revenues for all periods presented. The EBITDA of these former businesses are included in discontinued operations for all periods presented. The income (loss) from discontinued operations represents the operating results, legal costs, partial fire insurance settlement gains and related litigation costs, and restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs and gain (loss) on disposal with respect to our former businesses. During 2010, we recognized a \$110 million pretax gain in connection with the final settlement of our insurance claims related to the 2006 fire at our former Port Arthur, Texas plant, offset in part by related income taxes, legal and other costs. For more information, see "Note 25. Discontinued Operations" to our consolidated financial statements.

Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2009

For the year ended December 31, 2010, net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation was \$27 million on revenues of \$9,250 million, compared with net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation of \$114 million on revenues of \$7,665 million for 2009. For the year ended December 31, 2010, net income attributable to Huntsman International LLC was \$180 million on revenues of \$9,250 million, compared with net loss attributable to Huntsman International LLC of \$410 million on

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

revenues of \$7,665 million for 2009. The decrease of \$87 million in net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation and the increase of \$590 million in net income attributable to Huntsman International LLC was the result of the following items:

Revenues for 2010 increased by \$1,585 million, or 21%, as compared with 2009 due principally to higher average selling prices and higher sales volumes in all our segments. See " Segment Analysis" below.

Our gross profit and the gross profit of Huntsman International for 2010 increased by \$383 million each, or 36% and 35%, respectively as compared with 2009, resulting from higher gross margins in all of our segments except Polyurethanes. See " Segment Analysis" below.

Our operating expenses and the operating expenses of Huntsman International for 2010 increased by \$45 million and \$40 million, or 5% and 4%, respectively, as compared with 2009 due primarily to a \$14 million increase in foreign currency transaction losses, \$8 million of expenses related to legal settlements, \$6 million of additional research and development expenditures, and higher selling, general and administrative expenses.

Restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs for 2010 decreased to \$29 million from \$88 million in 2009. For more information concerning restructuring activities, see "Note 11. Restructuring, Impairment and Plant Closing Costs" to our consolidated financial statements.

Our net interest expense for 2010 decreased by \$9 million, or 4%, as compared with 2009, resulting primarily from a \$12 million reduction in interest expense recognized in 2010 related to the ineffective portion of a cross currency swap and lower average outstanding debt balances, offset in part by higher interest expense associated with our accounts receivable securitization programs ("A/R Programs"). The net interest expense of Huntsman International for 2010 increased by \$8 million, or 3%, as compared with 2009, resulting primarily from higher interest expense associated with our A/R Programs, offset by a \$12 million reduction in interest expense recognized in 2010 related to the ineffective portion of a cross currency swap. For more information concerning the cross currency swap, see "Note 15. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities Foreign Exchange Rate Risk" to our consolidated financial statements. Upon the adoption of new accounting guidance in 2010, transfers of our accounts receivable under our A/R Programs no longer met the criteria for derecognition. Accordingly, the amounts outstanding under our A/R Programs are accounted for as secured borrowings beginning January 1, 2010. For more information, see "Note 14. Debt" to our consolidated financial statements.

Equity income of investment in unconsolidated affiliates for 2010 increased to \$24 million from \$3 million in 2009. During 2010, we recorded a non-recurring \$18 million credit to equity income of investment in unconsolidated affiliates to appropriately reflect our investment in the Sasol-Huntsman joint venture. For more information, see "Note 6. Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliates" to our consolidated financial statements.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, we recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$183 million, and Huntsman International recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$37 million. For the year ended December 31, 2009, we and Huntsman International each recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$21 million. For more information see "Note 14. Debt Redemption of Notes and Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt" to our consolidated financial statements.

Expenses associated with the Terminated Merger and related litigation in 2010 consisted primarily of \$3 million of bonuses paid to certain members of the Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of an independent committee of the Board of Directors, for their efforts in connection with the litigation with Hexion and Apollo following the Terminated Merger.
Income

Table of Contents

associated with the Terminated Merger and related litigation for 2009 consisted primarily of an \$868 million gain related to the settlement agreement reached in our litigation with the banks that had entered into a commitment letter to provide funding for the Hexion Merger (the "Texas Bank Litigation Settlement Agreement"), offset in part by litigation-related fees and employee retention bonuses. For more information, see "Note 24. (Expenses) Income Associated with the Terminated Merger and Related Litigation" to our consolidated financial statements.

Our income tax expense decreased by \$415 million to an expense of \$29 million for 2010 as compared with an expense of \$444 million for 2009. Huntsman International's income tax expense decreased by \$119 million to an expense of \$40 million for 2010 as compared with an expense of \$159 million for 2009. Our and Huntsman International's tax obligations are affected by the mix of income and losses in the tax jurisdictions in which we operate. Other than pre-tax earnings, our income tax expense for 2010 as compared with 2009 was primarily impacted by the following: 2010 tax benefits associated with the release of valuation allowances of \$20 million as compared to 2009 establishment of valuation allowances of \$149 million; 2010 tax benefits of \$4 million compared to the 2009 tax benefits of \$38 million related to recognizing a tax benefit for operating losses in certain jurisdictions with valuation allowances and current other comprehensive income; and 2010 tax expense of \$43 million related to non-tax deductible payments on the portion of the loss on early extinguishment of debt resulting from the redemption of convertible notes issued on December 23, 2008 in an aggregate amount of \$250 million (the "Convertible Notes") treated as equity for tax purposes. Other than pre-tax earnings, Huntsman International's income tax expense for 2010 as compared to 2009 was primarily impacted by the following: 2010 tax benefits associated with the release of valuation allowances of \$20 million as compared to the 2009 establishment of valuation allowances of \$159 million; and 2010 tax benefits of \$4 million compared to the 2009 tax benefit of \$39 million related to recognizing a tax benefit for operating losses in certain jurisdictions with valuation allowances and current other comprehensive income. For further information concerning taxes, see "Note 18. Income Taxes" to our consolidated financial statements.

Income from discontinued operations, net of tax, for 2010 was \$42 million as compared to a loss of \$19 million in 2009. This increase resulted principally from a \$110 million pretax gain from the final settlement of our insurance claims related to the 2006 fire at our former Port Arthur, Texas plant and a pretax gain of \$7 million from the settlement of insurance claims related to the 2005 gulf coast storms, offset in part by related income taxes, legal and other costs and by a \$19 million loss from the recognition of cumulative currency translation losses upon the substantial liquidation of our former Australian styrenics business. See "Note 25. Discontinued Operations" to our consolidated financial statements.

During 2010, we recorded an extraordinary loss on the acquisition of a business, net of tax, of \$1 million resulting from the settlement of contingent purchase price consideration related to the Textile Effects Acquisition offset in part by the reimbursement by Ciba of certain costs pursuant to the acquisition agreements. During 2009, we recorded an extraordinary gain on the acquisition of a business, net of tax, of \$6 million related principally to the reversal of accruals for certain employee termination costs recorded in connection with the Textile Effects Acquisition that were no longer deemed necessary and a reimbursement by Ciba of certain costs pursuant to the acquisition agreements. For more information, see "Note 3. Business Combinations and Dispositions Textile Effects Acquisition" to our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the revenues and EBITDA for each of our operating segments (dollars in millions):

Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

	Year ended December 31,		Percent Change
	2010	2009	
Revenues			
Polyurethanes	\$ 3,605	\$ 3,005	20%
Performance Products	2,659	2,090	27%
Advanced Materials	1,244	1,059	17%
Textile Effects	787	691	14%
Pigments	1,213	960	26%
Eliminations	(258)	(140)	84%
Total	\$ 9,250	\$ 7,665	21%

Huntsman Corporation

Segment EBITDA			
Polyurethanes	\$ 319	\$ 388	(18)%
Performance Products	363	246	48%
Advanced Materials	143	59	142%
Textile Effects	1	(64)	NM
Pigments	205	(25)	NM
Corporate and other	(384)	651	NM
Subtotal	647	1,255	(48)%
Discontinued Operations	53	(97)	NM
Total	\$ 700	\$ 1,158	(40)%

Huntsman International

Segment EBITDA			
Polyurethanes	\$ 319	\$ 388	(18)%
Performance Products	363	246	48%
Advanced Materials	143	59	142%
Textile Effects	1	(64)	NM
Pigments	205	(25)	NM
Corporate and other	(224)	(178)	26%
Subtotal	807	426	89%
Discontinued Operations	53	(97)	NM
Total	\$ 860	\$ 329	161%

Table of Contents

Year ended December 31, 2010 vs. 2009				
Average Selling Price(1)				
	Local	Foreign	Mix &	Sales
	Currency	Currency	Other	Volumes(1)
Period-Over-Period Increase (Decrease)	Translation	Impact		
Polyurethanes	6%	(1)%	11%	4%
Performance Products	10%		(4)%	21%
Advanced Materials	3%	(1)%	4%	11%
Textile Effects	7%	1%		6%
Pigments	12%	(2)%	(1)%	17%
Total Company	11%	(1)%		11%

(1) Excludes revenues and sales volumes from tolling arrangements and byproducts.

NM Not Meaningful

Polyurethanes

The increase in revenues in our Polyurethanes segment for 2010 as compared to 2009 was primarily due to higher average selling prices for MDI products and MTBE and higher MDI product sales volumes. Average selling prices for MDI products and MTBE increased primarily in response to higher raw material costs. MDI products sales volumes were higher as demand in all major markets continued to recover from the worldwide economic downturn. PO/MTBE sales volumes decreased due to the planned 60 day maintenance outage at our Port Neches, Texas PO/MTBE facility in the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in segment EBITDA was primarily due to the estimated \$40 million impact of the planned maintenance outage at our Port Neches, Texas facility and lower MTBE margins, offset in part by improvement in MDI sales volumes and margins.

Performance Products

For 2010, our Performance Products segment revenues increased due to higher sales volumes and higher average selling prices when compared to 2009. Sales volumes increased primarily due to higher demand across almost all product groups and as a result of additional sales of a portion of our ethylene glycol production previously produced under tolling arrangements. Average selling prices increased across almost all product groups principally in response to continued strong market demand and higher raw material costs, partially offset by the strength of the U.S. dollar against major European currencies. The increase in segment EBITDA was primarily due to higher sales volumes and higher margins, partially offset by higher plant expenses and the impact of shut downs during the first quarter of 2010 at our Port Neches, Texas ethylene and ethylene oxide units which resulted in higher costs of approximately \$11 million. In addition, during 2010, we recorded a non-recurring \$18 million credit to equity income of investment in unconsolidated affiliates to appropriately reflect our investment in the Sasol-Huntsman joint venture.

Advanced Materials

The increase in revenues in our Advanced Materials segment for 2010 compared to 2009 was due to higher sales volumes and higher average selling prices. Sales volumes increased in all markets primarily due to the worldwide economic recovery. Average selling prices increased in our base resins business primarily in response to higher raw material costs, offset in part by lower average selling prices in our specialty components and formulations markets, primarily as a result of changes in our product mix and competitive market pressures. The increase in segment EBITDA was primarily due to higher

Table of Contents

sales volumes and margins and lower restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs, partially offset by higher manufacturing costs. During 2010 and 2009, our Advanced Materials segment recorded restructuring, impairment and plant closing (credits) charges of \$(2) million and \$13 million, respectively. For more information concerning restructuring activities, see "Note 11. Restructuring, Impairment and Plant Closing Costs" to our consolidated financial statements.

Textile Effects

The increase in revenues in our Textile Effects segment for 2010 compared to 2009 was due to higher average selling prices and higher sales volumes. Average selling prices increased primarily due to favorable changes in product mix. Sales volumes increased across all business lines due to the economic recovery in all regions of the world. The increase in segment EBITDA was primarily due to higher sales volumes and higher contribution margins, partially offset by higher restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs. During 2010 and 2009, our Textile Effects segment recorded restructuring, impairment and plant closing charges of \$15 million and \$6 million, respectively. For more information concerning restructuring activities, see "Note 11. Restructuring, Impairment and Plant Closing Costs" to our consolidated financial statements.

Pigments

The increase in revenues in our Pigments segment for 2010 compared to 2009 was due to higher average selling prices and higher sales volumes. Average selling prices increased primarily as a result of higher selling prices in all regions of the world. Sales volumes increased primarily due to demand recovery in all regions of the world as a result of the worldwide economic recovery. The increase in segment EBITDA was primarily due to higher sales volumes, higher contribution margins and lower restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs. During 2010 and 2009, our Pigments segment recorded restructuring, impairment and plant closing charges of \$8 million and \$53 million, respectively. For more information concerning restructuring activities, see "Note 11. Restructuring, Impairment and Plant Closing Costs" to our consolidated financial statements.

Corporate and other Huntsman Corporation

Corporate and other includes unallocated corporate overhead, unallocated foreign exchange gains and losses, LIFO inventory valuation reserve adjustments, loss on early extinguishment of debt, (expenses) income associated with the Terminated Merger and related litigation, unallocated restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs, extraordinary gain (loss) on the acquisition of a business, loss on accounts receivable securitization program (for periods prior to 2010) and non-operating income and expense. For 2010, EBITDA from Corporate and other items decreased by \$1,035 million to a loss of \$384 million from earnings of \$651 million for 2009. The decrease in EBITDA from Corporate and other for 2010 resulted primarily from a gain of \$835 million in 2009 related to the Texas Bank Litigation Settlement Agreement. For more information, see "Note 24. (Expenses) Income Associated with the Terminated Merger and Related Litigation" to our consolidated financial statements. Additionally, the decrease in EBITDA from Corporate and other was also attributable to an increase in loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$162 million (\$183 million of losses in 2010 compared to \$21 million of losses in 2009), an increase of LIFO inventory valuation expense of \$32 million (\$18 million of expense in 2010 compared to \$14 million of income in 2009), a \$13 million decrease in unallocated foreign exchange gains (\$3 million in gains in 2010 compared to \$16 million in gains in 2009), a \$7 million decrease in the extraordinary gain on the Textile Effects Acquisition (\$1 million loss in 2010 compared to \$6 million gain in 2009), and an increase in legal settlements of \$8 million. For more information regarding the loss on early extinguishment of debt, see "Note 14. Debt Redemption of Notes and Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt" to our consolidated financial statements. For more information regarding extraordinary gain associated with

Table of Contents

the Textile Effects Acquisition, see "Note 3. Business Combinations and Dispositions Textile Effects Acquisition" to our consolidated financial statements. The decrease in EBITDA was partially offset by a \$23 million reduction in loss on accounts receivable securitization program. Upon the adoption of new accounting guidance in 2010, transfers of accounts receivable under our A/R Programs no longer met the criteria for derecognition. Accordingly, the amounts outstanding under our A/R Programs are accounted for as secured borrowings beginning January 1, 2010. For more information, see "Note 14. Debt A/R Programs" to our consolidated financial statements.

Corporate and other Huntsman International

Corporate and other includes unallocated corporate overhead, unallocated foreign exchange gains and losses, LIFO inventory valuation reserve adjustments, loss on early extinguishment of debt, unallocated restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs, extraordinary gain (loss) on the acquisition of a business, loss on accounts receivable securitization program (for periods prior to 2010) and non-operating income and expense. For 2010, EBITDA from Corporate and other items decreased by \$46 million to a loss of \$224 million from a loss of \$178 million for 2009. The decrease in EBITDA from Corporate and other for 2010 resulted primarily from an increase of LIFO inventory valuation expense of \$32 million (\$18 million of expense in 2010 compared to \$14 million of income in 2009), a \$13 million decrease in unallocated foreign exchange gains (\$3 million in gains in 2010 compared to \$16 million in gains in 2009), an increase in loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$16 million (\$37 million of losses in 2010 compared to \$21 million of losses in 2009), and an increase in legal settlements of \$8 million. For more information regarding the loss on early extinguishment of debt, see "Note 14. Debt Redemption of Notes and Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt" to our consolidated financial statements. The decrease to EBITDA was partially offset by a \$23 million reduction in loss on accounts receivable securitization program. Upon the adoption of new accounting guidance in 2010, transfers of accounts receivable under our A/R Programs no longer met the criteria for derecognition. Accordingly, the amounts outstanding under our A/R Programs are accounted for as secured borrowings beginning January 1, 2010. For more information, see "Note 14. Debt A/R Programs" to our consolidated financial statements.

Discontinued Operations

The operating results of our former polymers, base chemicals and Australian styrenics businesses are classified as discontinued operations, and, accordingly, the revenues of these businesses are excluded from revenues for all periods presented. The results of these former businesses are included in discontinued operations for all periods presented.

Income from discontinued operations, net of tax, for 2010 was \$42 million as compared to a loss of \$19 million in 2009. The increase in income from discontinued operations resulted principally from a \$110 million pretax gain recognized in the second quarter of 2010 in connection with the final settlement of our insurance claims related to the 2006 fire at our former Port Arthur, Texas plant and a pretax gain of \$7 million from the settlement of insurance claims related to the 2005 gulf coast storms, offset in part by related income taxes, legal and other costs and by a \$19 million loss from the recognition of cumulative currency translation losses upon the substantial liquidation of our former Australian styrenics business. See "Note 25. Discontinued Operations" to our consolidated financial statements.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following is a discussion of our liquidity and capital resources and generally does not include separate information with respect to Huntsman International in accordance with General Instruction I of Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows for Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2010

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities for 2011 and 2010 was \$365 million and \$(58) million, respectively. The increase in cash provided by operating activities during 2011 compared to 2010 was primarily attributable to an increase in operating income as described in " Results of Operations" above and to a \$420 million favorable variance in operating assets and liabilities for 2011 as compared with 2010. Upon the adoption of new accounting guidance on January 1, 2010, sales of accounts receivable under our A/R Programs no longer meet the criteria for derecognition and off-balance sheet treatment. Accordingly, the amounts outstanding under our A/R Programs are accounted for as secured borrowings and were included on our balance sheet. As a result of the adoption of this new guidance, accounts receivable increased by \$254 million and a corresponding increase in cash used in operating activities was reflected in the statement of cash flows for 2010.

Net cash used in investing activities for 2011 and 2010 was \$280 million and \$182 million, respectively. During 2011 and 2010, we paid \$327 million and \$202 million, respectively, for capital expenditures, net of reimbursements. During 2011, we paid \$34 million, net of cash acquired, for the Laffans Acquisition and the acquisition of an MDI-based polyurethanes systems house in Istanbul, Turkey. On April 1, 2011, we began consolidating the Sasol-Huntsman joint venture and assumed its cash balance of \$28 million. During 2011, we sold businesses and assets for \$48 million, including the sale of our former stereolithography resin and Digitalis® machine manufacturing businesses for \$41 million. During 2011, we received \$32 million of dividends from our unconsolidated joint ventures, Louisiana Pigment Company, L.P. and BASF Huntsman Shanghai Isocyanate Investment B.V. and made investments in Louisiana Pigment Company, L.P. of \$26 million. During 2010, we received proceeds of \$110 million from the settlement of our insurance claims related to the 2006 fire at our former Port Arthur, Texas facility, \$34 million of which was reflected in the statement of cash flows as investing activities.

Net cash used in financing activities for 2011 and 2010 was \$490 million and \$543 million, respectively. This decrease in net cash used in financing activities was primarily due to higher net repayments of debt in 2010 as compared to 2011 and a \$154 million reduction in call premiums paid related to early extinguishment of debt in 2010, offset in part by the repurchase of \$50 million of common stock in 2011 and by the on-balance sheet treatment of our A/R Programs in 2010. For more information regarding the call premiums paid, see "Note 14. Debt Redemption of Notes and Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt" to our consolidated financial statements. For more information regarding the repurchase of common stock, see "Note 21. Huntsman Corporation Stockholders' Equity Share Repurchase Program" to our consolidated financial statements.

Cash Flows for Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2009

Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities for 2010 and 2009 was \$(58) million and \$1,104 million, respectively. The increase in cash used in operating activities was primarily attributable to the 2009 settlement proceeds received in connection with the Texas Bank Litigation Settlement Agreement and by a \$1,014 million unfavorable variance in operating assets and liabilities for 2010 as compared with 2009, offset in part by an increase in operating income as described in " Results of Operations" above. Upon the adoption of new accounting guidance on January 1, 2010, transfers of accounts receivable under our A/R Programs no longer met the criteria for derecognition and off-balance sheet treatment. Accordingly, the amounts outstanding under our A/R Programs are accounted for as secured borrowings and are now on balance sheet. As a result of the adoption of this new guidance, accounts receivable increased by \$254 million and a corresponding increase in cash used in operating activities was reflected in the statement of cash flows for 2010.

Net cash used in investing activities for 2010 and 2009 was \$182 million and \$205 million, respectively. During 2010 and 2009, we paid \$202 million and \$189 million, respectively, for capital

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

expenditures, net of reimbursements. This increase in net capital expenditures was largely attributable to higher 2010 spending on maintenance and environmental projects, offset in part by \$34 million of reimbursed capital expenditures in 2010. During 2010, we received proceeds of \$110 million from the settlement of our insurance claims related to the 2006 fire at our former Port Arthur, Texas plant, \$34 million of which was reflected in the investing activities section of the statement of cash flows as a reimbursement of capital expenditures. In connection with the consolidation of Arabian Amines Company in the third quarter of 2010, we assumed \$14 million of cash. For more information, see "Note 6. Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliates" and "Note 7. Variable Interest Entities" to our consolidated financial statements. During 2009, we paid \$31 million for the acquisition of the Baroda Division of Metrochem Industries Limited.

Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities for 2010 was \$(543) million as compared with \$184 million in 2009. This increase in net cash used in financing activities was primarily due to higher net prepayment of debt in 2010 as compared to 2009 and the resulting call premiums paid in association with these prepayments, partially offset by the issuance of new senior subordinated notes and the on balance sheet treatment of our A/R Programs. In addition, in 2009 we issued \$600 million aggregate principal amount of 5.5% senior notes due 2016 (the "2016 Senior Notes") and obtained a \$500 million term loan ("Term Loan C") in connection with the Texas Bank Litigation Settlement Agreement. For more information, see "Note 14. Debt" to our consolidated financial statements.

Changes in Financial Condition

The following information summarizes our working capital (dollars in millions):

	Less: Laffans Acquisition and Consolidation of						
	December 31, 2011	Sasol-Huntsman(1)	Subtotal	December 31, 2010	(Decrease) Increase	Percent Change	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 554	\$ (28)	\$ 526	\$ 966	\$ (440)	(46)%	
Restricted cash	8		8	7	1	14%	
Accounts receivable, net	1,534	(37)	1,497	1,428	69	5%	
Inventories	1,539	(8)	1,531	1,396	135	10%	
Prepaid expenses	46		46	46			
Deferred income taxes	20		20	1	19	NM	
Other current assets	245	(2)	243	164	79	48%	
Total current assets	3,946	(75)	3,871	4,008	(137)	(3)%	
Accounts payable	912	(22)	890	887	3		
Accrued liabilities	695	(2)	693	628	65	10%	
Deferred income taxes	7		7	19	(12)	(63)%	
Current portion of debt	212	(3)	209	519	(310)	(60)%	
Total current liabilities	1,826	(27)	1,799	2,053	(254)	(12)%	
Working capital	\$ 2,120	\$ (48)	\$ 2,072	\$ 1,955	\$ 117	6%	

(1) Represents opening balance sheet amounts related to the Laffans Acquisition and the consolidation of the Sasol-Huntsman joint venture.

Excluding the effects of the Laffans Acquisition and the consolidation of the Sasol-Huntsman joint venture, our working capital increased by \$117 million as a result of the net impact of the following significant changes:

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

The decrease in cash and cash equivalents of \$440 million resulted from the matters identified in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Accounts receivable increased by \$69 million mainly due higher sales prices and volumes, offset in part by the impact of foreign currency translation.

Inventories increased by \$135 million mainly due to higher raw material costs and higher inventory volumes necessary to support increased sales.

Other current assets increased by \$79 million mainly due to higher bank accepted drafts with maturities greater than 90 days at receipt and higher taxes receivable.

The increase in accounts payable of \$3 million was primarily due to higher inventory costs, offset by the impact of foreign currency translation.

The increase in accrued liabilities of \$65 million resulted primarily from higher legal accruals, higher restructuring accruals, higher deferred revenue and higher income taxes payable.

Current portion of debt decreased by \$310 million due to the refinancing of our A/R Programs in April 2011 which resulted in the reclassification of \$223 million of debt classified as current portion of debt at December 31, 2010 to long-term debt in 2011. In addition, we prepaid \$100 million of our 7.375% senior subordinated notes due 2015 on January 18, 2011; this amount was classified as current portion of debt at December 31, 2010.

Direct and Subsidiary Debt

Huntsman Corporation's direct debt and guarantee obligations consist of the following: guarantees of certain debt of HPS (our Chinese MDI joint venture); a guarantee of certain debt of our Australian subsidiaries; and certain indebtedness incurred from time to time to finance certain insurance premiums.

Substantially all of our other debt, including the facilities described below, has been incurred by our subsidiaries (primarily Huntsman International); such subsidiary debt is nonrecourse to us and we have no contractual obligation to fund our subsidiaries' respective operations.

Senior Credit Facilities

As of December 31, 2011, our senior secured credit facilities ("Senior Credit Facilities") consisted of our revolving facility ("Revolving Facility"), our term loan B facility ("Term Loan B"), our Term Loan C and our extended term loan B facility ("Extended Term Loan B") as follows (dollars in millions):

Facility	Committed Amount	Principal Outstanding	Carrying Value	Interest Rate(2)	Maturity
Revolving Facility	\$ 300	\$	\$ (1)	USD LIBOR plus 3.00%	2014(3)
Term Loan B	NA	\$ 652	\$ 652	1.50%	2014(3)
Term Loan C	NA	\$ 427	\$ 394	USD LIBOR plus 2.25%	2016(3)
Extended Term Loan B	NA	\$ 650	\$ 650	USD LIBOR plus 2.50%	2017(3)

(1)

We had no borrowings outstanding under our Revolving Facility; we had approximately \$20 million (U.S. dollar equivalents) of letters of credit and bank guarantees issued and outstanding under our Revolving Facility.

(2)

The applicable interest rate of the Senior Credit Facilities is subject to certain secured leverage ratio thresholds. As of December 31, 2011, the weighted average interest rate on our outstanding balances under the Senior Credit Facilities was approximately 2%.

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

- (3) The maturity of the Extended Term Loan B will accelerate if we do not repay, refinance or have a minimum level of liquidity available to enable us to refinance or repay our outstanding 5.50% senior notes due 2016 at least three months prior to the maturity date of such notes.

Our obligations under the Senior Credit Facilities are guaranteed by our guarantor subsidiaries, which consist of substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries and certain of our foreign subsidiaries, and are secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of our domestic property, plant and equipment, the stock of all of our material domestic subsidiaries and certain foreign subsidiaries and pledges of intercompany notes between certain of our subsidiaries.

On March 7, 2011, Huntsman International entered into a sixth amendment to its credit agreement. The amendment, among other things, extended \$650 million of aggregate principal of Term Loan B to a stated maturity of April 2017. As noted in the table above, after the amendment, as of December 31, 2011, we have \$652 million outstanding on Term Loan B with maturity of April 2014 and \$650 million outstanding on Extended Term Loan B with a maturity of April 2017. The amendment increased the interest rate margin with respect to Extended Term Loan B by 1.0%. Extended Term Loan B will amortize in an amount equal to 1.0% of the principal amount, payable annually commencing on March 31, 2012. The amendment also grants Huntsman International the right to request an extension of the remaining principal balance of Term Loan B to the stated maturity date of Extended Term Loan B.

During 2010, we took the following actions with respect to our Senior Credit Facilities:

On March 9, Huntsman International entered into the Fifth Amendment to the Credit Agreement which replaced certain agent banks, extended the stated maturity of the Revolving Facility and amended certain other terms.

On April 26, we prepaid \$124 million on Term Loan B and \$40 million on Term Loan C with cash accumulated in prior periods. We incurred a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$5 million.

On June 22, we prepaid \$83 million on Term Loan B and \$27 million on Term Loan C with proceeds from the final settlement of insurance claims. We incurred a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$2 million.

We paid the annual scheduled repayment of \$16 million on Term Loan B and \$5 million on Term Loan C.

A/R Programs

Our A/R Programs are structured so that we grant a participating undivided interest in certain of our trade receivables to a U.S. special purpose entity ("U.S. SPE") and a European special purpose entity ("EU SPE"). We retain the servicing rights and a retained interest in the securitized receivables. Information regarding the A/R Programs was as follows (monetary amounts in millions):

Facility	Maturity	December 31, 2011		Interest Rate(2)(3)
		Maximum Funding Availability(1)	Amount Outstanding	
U.S. A/R Program	April 2014	\$250	\$90(4)	Applicable Rate plus 1.50% - 1.65%
EU A/R Program	April 2014	€225 (approximately \$291)	€114 (approximately \$147)	Applicable Rate plus 2.0%

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Facility	Maturity	December 31, 2010		Interest Rate(2)(3)
		Maximum Funding Availability(1)	Amount Outstanding	
U.S. A/R Program	October 2012	\$125	\$27.5	USD LIBOR rate plus 3.75%
U.S. A/R Program	October 2011	\$125	\$27.5	CP rate plus 3.50%
EU A/R Program	October 2011	€225 (approximately \$297)	€139 (approximately \$183)	GBP LIBOR rate, USD LIBOR rate or EURIBOR rate plus 3.75%

- (1) The amount of actual availability under the A/R Programs may be lower based on the level of eligible receivables sold, changes in the credit ratings of our customers, customer concentration levels, and certain characteristics of the accounts receivable being transferred, as defined in the applicable agreements.
- (2) Each interest rate is defined in the applicable agreements. In addition, the U.S. SPE and the EU SPE are obligated to pay unused commitment fees to the lenders based on the amount of each lender's commitment.
- (3) Applicable rate for the U.S. A/R Program is defined by the lender as either USD LIBOR or CP rate. Applicable rate for our European A/R Program ("EU A/R Program") is either GBP LIBOR, USD LIBOR or EURIBOR.
- (4) As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$4 million (U.S. dollar equivalents) of letters of credit issued and outstanding under our U.S. A/R Program ("U.S. A/R Program").

On April 15, 2011, Huntsman International entered into an amendment to the EU A/R Program. This amendment, among other things, extended the scheduled commitment termination date of the program to April 2014, added an additional lender to the program and reduced the applicable margin on borrowings to 2.0%.

On April 18, 2011, Huntsman International entered into an amendment to the U.S. A/R Program. This amendment, among other things, extended the scheduled commitment termination date of the program to April 2014, added an additional lender to the program and reduced the applicable margin on borrowings to a range of 1.50% to 1.65%.

Receivables transferred under the A/R Programs qualified as sales through December 31, 2009. Upon adoption of new accounting guidance in 2010, transfers of accounts receivable under our A/R Programs no longer met the criteria for derecognition. Accordingly, the amounts outstanding under our A/R Programs are accounted for as secured borrowings as of January 1, 2010. During 2009, we recorded a loss on the off-balance sheet accounts receivable securitization program of \$23 million.

As of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, \$633 million and \$552 million respectively, of accounts receivable were pledged as collateral under the A/R Programs.

Notes

As of December 31, 2011, we had outstanding the following notes (monetary amounts in millions):

Notes	Maturity	Interest Rate	Amount Outstanding
Senior Notes	June 2016	5.500%(1)	\$600 (\$472 carrying value)
Senior Subordinated Notes	March 2021	8.625%	\$530 (\$543 carrying value)
Senior Subordinated Notes	March 2020	8.625%	\$350
Senior Subordinated Notes	January 2015	7.500%	€ 64 (approximately \$83)

- (1) The effective interest rate at issuance was 11.73%.

Table of Contents

Our notes are governed by indentures which impose certain limitations on Huntsman International, including among other things limitations on the incurrence of debt, distributions, certain restricted payments, asset sales, and affiliate transactions. The notes are unsecured obligations and are guaranteed by certain subsidiaries named as guarantors.

Redemption of Notes and Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we redeemed or repurchased the following notes (monetary amounts in millions):

Date of Redemption	Notes	Principal Amount of Notes Redeemed	Amount Paid (Excluding Accrued Interest)	Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt
Three months ended December 31, 2011	6.875% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013	€70 (approximately \$94)	€71 (approximately \$96)	\$ 2
Three months ended September 30, 2011	6.875% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013	€14 (approximately \$19)	€14 (approximately \$19)	\$
Three months ended September 30, 2011	7.5% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015	€12 (approximately \$17)	€12 (approximately \$17)	\$
July 25, 2011	7.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015	\$75	\$77	\$ 2
January 18, 2011	7.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015	\$100	\$102	\$ 3
November 29, 2010	7.875% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014	\$88	\$92	\$ 3
November 26, 2010	7.875% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014	\$100	\$104	\$ 4
October 12, 2010	7.875% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014	\$159	\$165	\$ 7
September 27, 2010	6.875% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013	€132 (approximately \$177)	€137 (approximately \$183)	\$ 7
March 17, 2010	6.875% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013	€184 (approximately \$253)	€189 (approximately \$259)	\$ 7
March 17, 2010	7.50% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015	€59 (approximately \$81)	€59 (approximately \$81)	\$ 2
January 11, 2010(1)	7.00% Convertible Notes due 2018	\$250	\$382	\$ 146

(1)

The convertible notes due 2018 were issued to Apollo in December 2008 as part of a settlement agreement with Apollo. These convertible notes, which would have matured on December 23, 2018, bore interest at the rate of 7% per year and were convertible into approximately 31.8 million shares of our common stock at any time by the holders.

Table of Contents

For the year ended December 31, 2011, we and Huntsman International recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$7 million. For the year ended December 31, 2010, in connection with redemptions described in the table above, we recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$176 million, and Huntsman International recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$30 million. As noted in "Senior Credit Facilities" above, we and Huntsman International also recognized a \$7 million loss on early extinguishment of debt in 2010 on the prepayment of \$274 million of Term Loans. For the year ended December 31, 2009, we and Huntsman International recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$21 million each.

Variable Interest Entity Debt

On April 1, 2011 we began consolidating Sasol-Huntsman which was previously accounted for under the equity method. See "Note 7. Variable Interest Entities." Sasol-Huntsman has a facility agreement for a €77 million (approximately \$100 million) term loan facility and a €5 million (approximately \$6 million) revolving facility. As of December 31, 2011, Sasol-Huntsman had no borrowings under the revolving facility and had €73 million (approximately \$95 million) outstanding under the term loan facility. The facility will be repaid over semiannual installments, with the final repayment scheduled for December 2018. Obligations under the facility agreement are secured by, among other things, first priority right on the property, plant and equipment of Sasol-Huntsman.

As of December 31, 2011, Arabian Amines Company had \$186 million outstanding under its loan commitments and debt financing arrangements which consisted of the following:

A loan facility from Saudi Industrial Development Fund (the "SIDF Facility") with SAR 482 million (approximately \$129 million) outstanding. Repayment of the loan is to be made in semiannual installments that are scheduled to begin in 2012, with final maturity in 2018. The loan is secured by a mortgage over the fixed assets of the project and is 100% guaranteed by the Zamil Group, our 50% joint venture partner.

A multipurpose Islamic term facility with \$57 million outstanding. This facility is scheduled to be repaid in semiannual installments, with final maturity in 2022.

Other Debt

During the year ended December 31, 2011, HPS repaid \$4 million and RMB 151 million (approximately \$24 million) of term loans and working capital loans under its secured facilities. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2011, HPS refinanced RMB 38 million (approximately \$6 million) in working capital loans and borrowed an additional RMB 145 million (approximately \$23 million) in working capital loans with maturity in 2014. The interest rate on these facilities is LIBOR plus 0.48% for U.S. dollar borrowings and approximately 90% of the Peoples Bank of China rate for RMB borrowings. As of December 31, 2011, HPS had \$12 million and RMB 474 million (approximately \$75 million) term loan and working capital borrowings under these secured facilities. As of December 31, 2011, the interest rate was approximately 1% for U.S. dollar borrowings and 6% for RMB borrowings. We have guaranteed certain of these loans.

As of December 31, 2011, HPS also had RMB 499 million (approximately \$79 million) outstanding under a loan facility for working capital loans and discounting commercial drafts with recourse, which is classified as current portion of debt on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Interest is calculated using a Peoples Bank of China rate plus the applicable margin. The all-in rate as of December 31, 2011 was approximately 6%.

As of December 31, 2011, our Australian subsidiary has A\$26 million (approximately \$26 million) outstanding under its credit facility. The credit facility is comprised of a revolving facility with A\$14 million (approximately \$14 million) outstanding and a term facility with A\$12 million

Table of Contents

(approximately \$12 million) outstanding. On September 1, 2011, our Australian subsidiary entered into an amendment with the lender to modify certain terms of the credit facility.

During the third quarter of 2011, we incurred other debt related to the financing of our insurance premiums in connection with our annual renewal in July 2011. As of December 31, 2011, the outstanding amount of financed insurance premiums was \$15 million, all of which was classified as current portion of debt on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Note Payable from Huntsman International to Huntsman Corporation

As of December 31, 2011, we had a loan of \$535 million to our subsidiary, Huntsman International (the "Intercompany Note"). The Intercompany Note is unsecured and \$100 million of the outstanding amount is classified as current as of December 31, 2011 on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. As of December 31, 2011, under the terms of the Intercompany Note, Huntsman International promises to pay us interest on the unpaid principal amount at a rate per annum based on the previous monthly average borrowing rate obtained under our U.S. A/R Program, less 10 basis points (provided that the rate shall not exceed an amount that is 25 basis points less than the monthly average borrowing rate obtained for the U.S. LIBOR-based borrowings under our Revolving Facility).

Compliance with Covenants

We believe that we are in compliance with the covenants contained in the agreements governing our material debt instruments, including our Senior Credit Facilities, our A/R Programs and our notes.

Our material financing arrangements contain certain covenants with which we must comply. A failure to comply with a covenant could result in a default under a financing arrangement if not waived or amended. A default under these material financing arrangements generally allows debt holders the option to declare the underlying debt obligations immediately due and payable.

Furthermore, certain of our material financing arrangements contain cross default and cross acceleration provisions under which a failure to comply with the covenants in one financing arrangement may result in an event of default under another financing arrangement.

Our Senior Credit Facilities are subject to a single financial covenant (the "Leverage Covenant") which applies only to the Revolving Facility and is tested at the Huntsman International level. The Leverage Covenant is applicable only if borrowings, letters of credit or guarantees are outstanding under the Revolving Facility (cash collateralized letters of credit or guarantees are not deemed outstanding). The Leverage Covenant is a net senior secured leverage ratio covenant which requires that Huntsman International's ratio of senior secured debt to EBITDA (as defined in the applicable agreement) is not more than 3.75 to 1.

If in the future Huntsman International failed to comply with the Leverage Covenant, then we may not have access to liquidity under our Revolving Facility. If Huntsman International failed to comply with the Leverage Covenant at a time when we had uncollateralized loans or letters of credit outstanding under the Revolving Facility, Huntsman International would be in default under the Senior Credit Facilities, and, unless Huntsman International obtained a waiver or forbearance with respect to such default (as to which we can provide no assurance), Huntsman International could be required to pay off the balance of the Senior Credit Facilities in full, and we may not have further access to such facilities.

The agreements governing our A/R Programs also contain certain receivable performance metrics. Any material failure to meet the applicable A/R Programs' metrics in the future could lead to an early termination event under the A/R Programs, which could require us to cease our use of such facilities, prohibiting us from additional borrowings against our receivables or, at the discretion of the lenders, requiring that we repay the A/R Programs in full. An early termination event under the A/R Programs

Table of Contents

would also constitute an event of default under our Senior Credit Facilities, which could require us to pay off the balance of the Senior Credit Facilities in full and could result in the loss of our Senior Credit Facilities.

Short-Term and Long-Term Liquidity

We depend upon our cash, credit facilities, A/R Programs and other debt instruments to provide liquidity for our operations and working capital needs. As of December 31, 2011, we had \$1,043 million of combined cash and unused borrowing capacity, consisting of \$562 million in cash and restricted cash, \$280 million in availability under our Revolving Facility, and \$201 million in availability under our A/R Programs. As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$209 million of cash and cash equivalents, including restricted cash, held by our foreign subsidiaries, including our variable interest entities. Our intent is to use cash held in these foreign subsidiaries to fund our local operations, but should we need to repatriate this cash by way of dividends we could be subject to certain adverse tax consequences. For more information, see "Note 18. Income Taxes" to our consolidated financial statements. Cash held by certain foreign subsidiaries, including our variable interest entities ("VIEs"), may also be subject to legal restrictions, including those arising from the interests of our partners, which could limit the amounts available for repatriation. Our liquidity can be significantly impacted by various factors. The following matters had, or are expected to have, a significant impact on our liquidity:

Our accounts receivable and inventory, net of accounts payable, increased by approximately \$258 million during 2011, as reflected in our consolidated statement of cash flows. We expect volatility in our working capital components to continue.

During 2012, we expect to spend approximately \$425 million on capital expenditures. We expect to fund this spending with cash provided by operations.

On September 8, 2009, we announced the closure of our styrenics facility located at West Footscray, Australia. We ceased the Australian styrenics operations during the first quarter of 2010. As of December 31, 2011, we had restructuring accruals of \$6 million and environmental remediation accruals of \$32 million. We can provide no assurance that the eventual environmental remediation costs will not be materially different from our current estimate. The plant closure and environmental remediation costs are expected to be funded as they are incurred over the next several years.

During 2011, we made contributions to our pension and postretirement benefit plans of \$168 million. During 2012, we expect to contribute an additional amount of approximately \$154 million to these plans.

During 2011, Huntsman International redeemed \$175 million of its 7.375% senior subordinated notes due 2015, €84 million (approximately \$113 million) of its 6.875% senior subordinated notes due 2013 and €12 million (approximately \$17 million) of its 7.50% senior subordinated notes due 2015.

During 2011, our Advanced Materials segment commenced with the reorganization of our global structure and relocation of our divisional headquarters from Basel, Switzerland to The Woodlands, Texas. During 2011, our Advanced Materials segment recorded net charges of \$20 million primarily related to this activity. We expect to incur additional charges of \$1 million through December 31, 2012 related to the relocation of our divisional headquarters from Basel, Switzerland to The Woodlands, Texas. On September 27, 2011, we announced plans to implement a significant restructuring of our Textile Effects business, including a closure of our production facilities and business support offices in Basel, Switzerland. In connection with this plan during 2011, we recorded a charge of \$62 million for workforce reduction and a noncash \$53 million charge for the impairment of long-lived assets at our Basel, Switzerland

Table of Contents

manufacturing facility. In addition, we expect to accrue additional restructuring and plant closing costs of approximately \$25 million through 2014. We expect to spend approximately \$20 million for capital expenditures related to this restructuring program over the next several years.

On August 5, 2011, we announced that our Board of Directors has authorized our Company to repurchase up to \$100 million in shares of our common stock. During 2011, we acquired approximately four million shares of our outstanding common stock for approximately \$50 million under the repurchase program. As of December 31, 2011, there remained approximately \$50 million of the amount authorized under the program that could be used for stock repurchases. These repurchases may be commenced or suspended from time to time without prior notice.

As of December 31, 2011, we had \$212 million classified as current portion of debt which consists of certain scheduled term payments and various short-term facilities including an HPS borrowing facility in China with \$79 million outstanding, our Australian credit facilities with \$18 million classified as current, our scheduled Senior Credit Facilities amortization payments in 2012 totaling \$18 million, and certain other short term facilities and scheduled amortization payments totaling \$97 million. Although we cannot provide assurances, we intend to renew or extend the majority of these short-term facilities in the current period.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

Our obligations under long-term debt (including the current portion), lease agreements and other contractual commitments as of December 31, 2011 are summarized below (dollars in millions):

	2012	2013 - 2014	2015 - 2016	After 2016	Total
Long-term debt, including current portion	\$ 212	\$ 1,059	\$ 1,032	\$ 1,639	\$ 3,942
Interest(1)	228	428	346	309	1,311
Operating leases(5)	77	135	95	148	455
Purchase commitments(2)	685	325	163	94	1,267
Total(3)(4)	\$ 1,202	\$ 1,947	\$ 1,636	\$ 2,190	\$ 6,975

(1) Interest calculated using interest rates as of December 31, 2011 and contractual maturity dates assuming no refinancing or extension of debt instruments.

(2) We have various purchase commitments extending through 2023 for materials, supplies and services entered into in the ordinary course of business. Included in the purchase commitments table above are contracts which require minimum volume purchases that extend beyond one year or are renewable annually and have been renewed for 2010. Certain contracts allow for changes in minimum required purchase volumes in the event of a temporary or permanent shutdown of a facility. To the extent the contract requires a minimum notice period, such notice period has been included in the above table. The contractual purchase price for substantially all of these contracts is variable based upon market prices, subject to annual negotiations. We have estimated our contractual obligations by using the terms of our 2009 pricing for each contract. We also have a limited number of contracts which require a minimum payment even if no volume is purchased. We believe that all of our purchase obligations will be utilized in our normal operations.

Table of Contents

- (3) Totals do not include commitments pertaining to our pension and other postretirement obligations. Our estimated future contributions to our pension and postretirement plans are as follows (dollars in millions):

	2012	2013 - 2014	2015 - 2016	5-Year Average Annual
Pension plans	\$ 142	\$ 266	\$ 274	\$ 89
Other postretirement obligations	12	24	22	11

- (4) The above table does not reflect expected tax payments and unrecognized tax benefits due to the inability to make reasonably reliable estimates of the timing and amount of payments. For additional discussion on unrecognized tax benefits, see "Note 18. Income Taxes" to our consolidated financial statement.
- (5) Future minimum lease payments have not been reduced by minimum sublease rentals of \$28 million due in the future under noncancelable subleases.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements***Receivables Securitization***

For a discussion of our former off-balance sheet A/R Programs, see "Note 14. Debt A/R Programs" to our consolidated financial statements. Beginning in 2010, receivables transferred into the A/R Programs no longer met criteria for derecognition and amounts outstanding are accounted for as secured borrowings.

Restructuring, Impairment and Plant Closing Costs

For a discussion of restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs, see "Note 11. Restructuring, Impairment and Plant Closing Costs" to our consolidated financial statements.

Legal Proceedings

For a discussion of legal proceedings, see "Note 19. Commitments and Contingencies Legal Matters" to our consolidated financial statements.

Environmental, Health and Safety Matters

For a discussion of environmental, health and safety matters, see "Note 20. Environmental, Health and Safety Matters" to our consolidated financial statements.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

For a discussion of recently issued accounting pronouncements, see "Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements" to our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. requires management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements. Our significant accounting policies are summarized in "Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" to our consolidated financial statements. Summarized below are our critical accounting policies:

Employee Benefit Programs

We sponsor several contributory and non-contributory defined benefit plans, covering employees primarily in the U.S., the U.K., The Netherlands, Belgium and Switzerland, but also covering employees in a number of other countries. We fund the material plans through trust arrangements (or local equivalents) where the assets are held separately from us. We also sponsor unfunded postretirement plans which provide medical and life insurance benefits covering certain employees in the U.S. and Canada. Amounts recorded in the consolidated financial statements are recorded based upon actuarial valuations performed by various independent actuaries. Inherent in these valuations are numerous assumptions regarding expected return on assets, discount rates, compensation increases, mortality rates and health care costs trends. These assumptions are disclosed in "Note 17. Employee Benefit Plans" to our consolidated financial statements.

Management, with the advice of its actuaries, uses judgment to make assumptions on which our employee pension and postretirement benefit plan obligations and expenses are based. The effect of a 1% change in three key assumptions is summarized as follows (dollars in millions):

Assumptions	Statement of Operations(1)	Balance Sheet Impact(2)
Discount rate		
1% increase	\$ (28)	\$ (420)
1% decrease	34	486
Expected return on assets		
1% increase	(26)	
1% decrease	26	
Rate of compensation increase		
1% increase	18	103
1% decrease	(17)	(98)

(1) Estimated increase (decrease) on 2011 net periodic benefit cost

(2) Estimated increase (decrease) on December 31, 2011 pension and postretirement liabilities and accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income

Environmental Reserves

Environmental remediation costs for our facilities are accrued when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. Estimates of environmental reserves require evaluating government regulation, available technology, site-specific information and remediation alternatives. We accrue an amount equal to our best estimate of the costs to remediate based upon the available information. The extent of environmental damage may not be fully known and the processes and costs of remediation may change as new information is obtained or technology for remediation is improved. Our process for estimating the expected cost for remediation considers the information available, technology that can be utilized and estimates of the extent of environmental damage. Adjustments to our estimates are made periodically based upon additional information

Table of Contents

received as remediation progresses. For further information, see "Note 20. Environmental, Health and Safety Matters" to our consolidated financial statements.

Fair Value

Pursuant to the Texas Bank Litigation Settlement Agreement, on June 22, 2009, Huntsman International entered into an amendment of its Senior Credit Facilities that provided for Term Loan C with a \$500 million principal amount, and Huntsman International also issued \$600 million aggregate principal amount of 2016 Senior Notes. In accordance with accounting guidance regarding fair value measurements, we recorded the Term Loan C and the 2016 Senior Notes in our accounting records at fair values of \$439 million and \$425 million, respectively, upon initial recognition in June 2009.

We primarily used the income approach to determine the fair value of these instruments. Fair value represents the present value of estimated future cash flows calculated using interest rates that were available to us for issuance of debt with similar terms, adjusted for differences in remaining maturity using relevant debt yield curves.

Management used judgment with respect to assumptions used in estimating the fair values of the Term Loan C and the 2016 Senior Notes. The effect of the following changes in certain key assumptions is summarized as follows (dollars in millions):

Assumptions	Balance Sheet Impact(1)
Effective market yield	
1% increase	\$ (45)
1% decrease	47

(1) Estimated increase (decrease) to June 2009 fair values of Term Loan C and 2016 Senior Notes

We primarily used the income approach to determine the fair value of the Convertible Notes. Fair value is based on the present value of estimated future cash flows, calculated using management's best estimates of key assumptions including relevant interest rates, expected share volatility, dividend yields, and the probabilities associated with certain features of the Convertible Notes. We also used the market approach to assess comparables and corroborate the fair value determined using the income approach.

Goodwill

We test our goodwill for impairment at least annually (at the beginning of the third quarter) and when events and circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. Goodwill has been assigned to reporting units for purposes of impairment testing. Currently, substantially all of our goodwill balance relates to our Advanced Materials reporting unit.

Fair value is estimated using the market approach, as well as the income approach based on discounted cash flow projections. The estimated fair values of our reporting units are dependent on several significant assumptions including, among others, market information, operating results, earnings projections and anticipated future cash flows.

We tested goodwill for impairment at the beginning of the third quarter of 2011 as part of the annual impairment testing procedures and determined that no goodwill impairment existed. Our most recent fair value determination resulted in an amount that exceeded the carrying amount of our Advanced Materials reporting unit by a substantial margin. The goodwill associated with our maleic anhydride reporting unit within our Performance Products division was recorded at fair value during

Table of Contents

the second quarter of 2011 when Sasol-Huntsman was first consolidated, approximately three months before our annual impairment testing took place. As such, no detailed impairment analysis was performed for the goodwill in this reporting unit at the beginning of the third quarter of 2011. Given the profitability in our maleic anhydride business, we believe that if a detailed analysis had been performed it would not have resulted in an impairment of this goodwill.

Income Taxes

We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial and tax reporting purposes. We evaluate deferred tax assets to determine whether it is more likely than not that they will be realized. Valuation allowances are reviewed on a tax jurisdiction basis to analyze whether there is sufficient positive or negative evidence to support a change in judgment about the realizability of the related deferred tax assets for each jurisdiction. These conclusions require significant judgment. In evaluating the objective evidence that historical results provide, we consider the cyclical nature of businesses and cumulative income or losses during the applicable period. Cumulative losses incurred over the period limits our ability to consider other subjective evidence such as our projections for the future. Changes in expected future income in applicable jurisdictions could affect the realization of deferred tax assets in those jurisdictions. As of December 31, 2011, we had total valuation allowances of \$756 million. Please see "Note 18. Income Taxes" to our consolidated financial statements for more information regarding our valuation allowances.

For non-U.S. entities that were not treated as branches for U.S. tax purposes, the Company does not provide for income taxes on the undistributed earnings of these subsidiaries as earnings are reinvested and, in the opinion of management, will continue to be reinvested indefinitely. The undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries that are deemed to be permanently invested were approximately \$226 million at December 31, 2011. It is not practicable to determine the unrecognized deferred tax liability on those earnings. We have material inter-company debt obligations owed by our non-U.S. subsidiaries to the U.S. The Company does not intend to repatriate earnings to the U.S. via dividend based on estimates of future domestic cash generation and our ability to return cash to the U.S. through payments of inter-company debt owned by our non-U.S. subsidiaries to the U.S. To the extent that cash is required in the U.S., rather than repatriate earnings to the U.S. via dividend we will utilize our inter-company debt. If any earnings were repatriated via dividend, the Company would need to accrue and pay taxes on the distributions.

Accounting for uncertainty in income taxes prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The application of income tax law is inherently complex. We are required to determine if an income tax position meets the criteria of more-likely-than-not to be realized based on the merits of the position under tax law, in order to recognize an income tax benefit. This requires us to make many assumptions and judgments regarding the merits of income tax positions and the application of income tax law. Additionally, if a tax position meets the recognition criteria of more-likely-than-not we are required to make judgments and assumptions to measure the amount of the tax benefits to recognize based on the probability of the amount of tax benefits that would be realized if the tax position was challenged by the taxing authorities. Interpretations and guidance surrounding income tax laws and regulations change over time. As a consequence, changes in assumptions and judgments can materially affect amounts recognized in the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost determined using LIFO, first-in first-out, and average cost methods for different components of inventory. Market is determined based on net realizable value for finished goods inventories and replacement cost for raw materials and work-in-process inventories. In periods of declines in the selling prices of our finished products, inventory carrying values may exceed the net realizable value upon sale, resulting in a lower of cost or market charge. We evaluate the need for a lower of cost or market adjustment to inventories based on the period-end selling prices of our finished products.

Long-Lived Assets

The useful lives of our property, plant and equipment are estimated based upon our historical experience, engineering estimates and industry information and are reviewed when economic events indicate that we may not be able to recover the carrying value of the assets. The estimated lives of our property range from 3 to 33 years and depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method. Inherent in our estimates of useful lives is the assumption that periodic maintenance and an appropriate level of annual capital expenditures will be performed. Without on-going capital improvements and maintenance, the productivity and cost efficiency declines and the useful lives of our assets would be shorter.

Management uses judgment to estimate the useful lives of our long-lived assets. At December 31, 2011, if the estimated useful lives of our property, plant and equipment had either been one year greater or one year less than their recorded lives, then depreciation expense for 2011 would have been approximately \$29 million less or \$33 million greater, respectively.

We are required to evaluate the carrying value of our plant assets whenever events indicate that such carrying value may not be recoverable in the future or when management's plans change regarding those assets, such as idling or closing a plant. We evaluate impairment by comparing undiscounted cash flows of the related asset groups that are largely independent of the cash flows of other asset groups to their carrying values. Key assumptions in determining the future cash flows include the useful life, technology, competitive pressures, raw material pricing and regulations. In connection with our asset evaluation policy, we reviewed all of our long-lived assets for indicators that the carrying value may not be recoverable. With the exception of the long-lived assets at our Textile Effects Basel, Switzerland manufacturing facility, we determined that such indicators did not exist during the year ended December 31, 2011. In connection with the announced plans to significantly restructure the Textile Effects business, we recorded a charge of \$53 million for the impairment of long-lived assets at our Basel, Switzerland manufacturing facility in the third quarter of 2011. For further discussion of this impairment charge, see "Note 11. Restructuring, Impairment and Plant Closing Costs" to our consolidated financial statements.

Restructuring and Plant Closing Costs

We have recorded restructuring charges in recent periods in connection with closing certain plant locations, workforce reductions and other cost savings programs primarily in our Textile Effects, Advanced materials and Pigments segments. These charges are recorded when management has committed to a plan and incurred a liability related to the plan. Estimates for plant closing costs include the write-off of the carrying value of the plant, any necessary environmental and/or regulatory costs, contract termination and demolition costs. Estimates for workforce reductions and other costs savings are recorded based upon estimates of the number of positions to be terminated, termination benefits to be provided and other information, as necessary. Management evaluates the estimates on a quarterly basis and will adjust the reserve when information indicates that the estimate is above or

Table of Contents

below the currently recorded estimate. For further discussion of our restructuring activities, see "Note 11. Restructuring, Impairment and Plant Closing Costs" to our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

We generate substantially all of our revenues through sales in the open market and long-term supply agreements. We recognize revenue when it is realized or realizable and earned. Revenue for product sales is recognized when a sales arrangement exists, risk and title to the product transfer to the customer, collectability is reasonably assured and pricing is fixed or determinable. The transfer of risk and title to the product to the customer usually occurs at the time shipment is made.

Revenue arrangements that contain multiple deliverables, which relate primarily to the licensing of technology, are evaluated in accordance with ASC 605-25, *Revenue Recognition Multiple-Element Arrangements*, to determine whether the arrangements should be divided into separate units of accounting and how the arrangement consideration should be measured and allocated among the separate units of accounting.

Variable Interest Entities Primary Beneficiary

We evaluate each of our variable interest entities on an on-going basis to determine whether we are the primary beneficiary. Management assesses, on an on-going basis, the nature of our relationship to the variable interest entity, including the amount of control that we exercise over the entity as well as the amount of risk that we bear and rewards we receive in regards to the entity, to determine if we are the primary beneficiary of that variable interest entity. Management judgment is required to assess whether these attributes are significant. We consolidate all variable interest entities for which we have concluded that we are the primary beneficiary.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risks, such as changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and commodity pricing risks. From time to time, we enter into transactions, including transactions involving derivative instruments, to manage certain of these exposures. We also hedge our net investment in certain European operations. Changes in the fair value of the hedge in the net investment of certain European operations are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

INTEREST RATE RISKS

Through our borrowing activities, we are exposed to interest rate risk. Such risk arises due to the structure of our debt portfolio, including the duration of the portfolio and the mix of fixed and floating interest rates. Actions taken to reduce interest rate risk include managing the mix and rate characteristics of various interest bearing liabilities, as well as entering into interest rate derivative instruments.

From time to time, we may purchase interest rate swaps and/or interest rate collars to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates on our floating-rate long-term debt. Under interest rate swaps, we agree with other parties to exchange, at specified intervals, the difference between fixed-rate and floating-rate interest amounts calculated by reference to an agreed notional principal amount. The collars entitle us to receive from the counterparties (major banks) the amounts, if any, by which our interest payments on certain of our floating-rate borrowings exceed a certain rate, and require us to pay to the counterparties (major banks) the amount, if any, by which our interest payments on certain of our floating-rate borrowings are less than a certain rate.

On December 9, 2009, we entered into a five-year interest rate contract to hedge the variability caused by monthly changes in cash flow due to associated changes in LIBOR under our Senior Credit

Table of Contents

Facilities. The notional value of the contract is \$50 million, and it has been designated as a cash flow hedge. The effective portion of the changes in the fair value of the swap was recorded in other comprehensive loss. We will pay a fixed 2.6% on the hedge and receive the one-month LIBOR rate. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the fair value of the hedge was \$(3) million and \$(2) million respectively, and was recorded in other noncurrent liabilities.

On January 19, 2010, we entered into an additional five-year interest rate contract to hedge the variability caused by monthly changes in cash flow due to associated changes in LIBOR under our Senior Credit Facilities. The notional value of the contract is \$50 million, and it has been designated as a cash flow hedge. The effective portion of the changes in the fair value of the swap was recorded as other comprehensive loss. We will pay a fixed 2.8% on the hedge and receive the one-month LIBOR rate. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the fair value of the hedge was \$(3) million and \$(2) million respectively and was recorded in other noncurrent liabilities.

On September 1, 2011, we entered into a \$50 million forward interest rate contract that will begin in December 2014 with maturity in April 2017 and a \$50 million forward interest rate contract that will begin in January 2015 with maturity in April 2017. These two forward contracts are to hedge the variability caused by monthly changes in cash flow due to associated changes in LIBOR under our Senior Credit Facilities once our existing interest rate hedges mature. These swaps are designated as a cash flow hedges and the effective portion of the changes in the fair value of the swaps were recorded in other comprehensive income. Both interest rate contracts will pay a fixed 2.5% on the hedge and receive the one-month LIBOR rate once the contracts begin in 2014 and 2015, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, the combined fair value of these two hedges was \$(1) million and was recorded in other noncurrent liabilities on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

In 2009, Sasol-Huntsman entered into derivative transactions to hedge the variable interest rate associated with its local credit facility. These derivative rate hedges include a floating to fixed interest rate contract providing Sasol-Huntsman with EURIBOR interest payments for a fixed payment of 3.62% and a cap for future periods with a strike price of 3.62%. In connection with the consolidation of Sasol-Huntsman as of April 1, 2011, the interest rate contract is now included in our consolidated results. See "Note 7. Variable Interest Entities." The notional amount of the hedge as of December 31, 2011 was €51 million (approximately \$66 million) and the derivative transactions do not qualify for hedge accounting. As of December 31, 2011, the fair value of this hedge was €(3) million (approximately \$3 million) and was recorded in other noncurrent liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. For 2011, we recorded interest expense of €2 million (approximately \$2 million) due to changes in the fair value of the swap.

Beginning in 2009, Arabian Amines Company entered into a 12-year floating to fixed interest rate contract providing for a receipt of LIBOR interest payments for a fixed payment of 5.02%. In connection with the consolidation of Arabian Amines Company as of July 1, 2010, the interest rate contract is now included in our consolidated results. See "Note 7. Variable Interest Entities." The notional amount of the swap as of December 31, 2011 was \$38 million, and the interest rate contract is not designated as a cash flow hedge. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the fair value of the swap was \$(6) million and \$(5) million respectively and was recorded as other noncurrent liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. For 2011 and 2010, we recorded additional (reduction of) interest expense of \$1 million and \$(1) million respectively due to changes in fair value of the swap.

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the changes in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income associated with these cash flow hedging activities was approximately \$4 million and \$5 million, respectively.

During 2012, accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) of nil is expected to be reclassified to earnings. The actual amount that will be reclassified to earnings over the next twelve months may vary from this amount due to changing market conditions. We would be exposed to credit losses in the event of nonperformance by a counterparty to our derivative financial instruments. We anticipate, however, that the counterparties will be able to fully satisfy their obligations under the contracts. Market risk arises from changes in interest rates.

Table of Contents

FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATE RISK

Our cash flows and earnings are subject to fluctuations due to exchange rate variation. Our revenues and expenses are denominated in various currencies. We enter into foreign currency derivative instruments to minimize the short-term impact of movements in foreign currency rates. Where practicable, we generally net multicurrency cash balances among our subsidiaries to help reduce exposure to foreign currency exchange rates. Certain other exposures may be managed from time to time through financial market transactions, principally through the purchase of spot or forward foreign exchange contracts (generally with maturities of three months or less). We do not hedge our currency exposures in a manner that would eliminate the effect of changes in exchange rates on our cash flows and earnings. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had approximately \$263 million and \$183 million notional amount (in U.S. dollar equivalents) outstanding, respectively, in foreign currency contracts with a term of approximately one month.

In conjunction with the issuance of our 8.625% senior subordinated notes due 2020, we entered into cross-currency interest rate contracts with three counterparties. On March 17, 2010, we made payments of \$350 million to these counterparties and received €255 million from these counterparties, and on maturity (March 15, 2015) we are required to pay €255 million to these counterparties and will receive \$350 million from these counterparties. On March 15 and September 15 of each year, we will receive U.S. dollar interest payments of approximately \$15 million (equivalent to an annual rate of 8.625%) and make interest payments of approximately €11 million (equivalent to an annual rate of approximately 8.41%). This swap is designated as a hedge of net investment for financial reporting purposes. As of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the fair value of this swap was \$27 million and \$19 million respectively and was recorded as noncurrent assets in our consolidated balance sheet. For 2011 and 2010, the effective portion of the changes in the fair value of \$8 million and \$7 million respectively in other comprehensive income, and we recorded the ineffective portion of nil and \$12 million respectively as an (addition) reduction to interest expense. On July 15, 2010, we changed the method of assessing the effectiveness of this hedge from the spot method to the forward method, which we believe will reduce the ineffective portion and lower volatility in interest expense in future periods.

A portion of our debt is denominated in euros. We also finance certain of our non-U.S. subsidiaries with intercompany loans that are, in many cases, denominated in currencies other than the entities' functional currency. We manage the net foreign currency exposure created by this debt through various means, including cross-currency swaps, the designation of certain intercompany loans as permanent loans because they are not expected to be repaid in the foreseeable future ("permanent loans") and the designation of certain debt and swaps as net investment hedges.

Foreign currency transaction gains and losses on intercompany loans that are not designated as permanent loans are recorded in earnings. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses on intercompany loans that are designated as permanent loans are recorded in other comprehensive income. From time to time, we review such designation of intercompany loans.

We review our non-U.S. dollar denominated debt to determine the appropriate amounts designated as hedges. As of December 31, 2011, we have designated approximately €319 million (approximately \$412 million) of euro-denominated debt as a hedge of our net investment. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the amount of (loss) gain recognized on the hedge of our net investment was \$5 million, \$34 million and \$(5) million, respectively, and was recorded in other comprehensive income (loss). As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately €1,162 million (approximately \$1,503 million) in net euro assets.

Table of Contents

COMMODITY PRICES RISK

Our exposure to changing commodity prices is somewhat limited since the majority of our raw materials are acquired at posted or market related prices, and sales prices for many of our finished products are at market related prices which are largely set on a monthly or quarterly basis in line with industry practice. Consequently, we do not generally hedge our commodity exposures.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Our consolidated financial statements required by this item are included on the pages immediately following the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements appearing on page F-1.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

There have been no changes in our independent accountants, Deloitte & Touche LLP, or disagreements with them on matters of accounting or financial disclosure.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act), as of December 31, 2011. Based on this evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that, as of December 31, 2011, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective, in that they ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is (1) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (2) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

No changes to our internal control over financial reporting occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act).

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control framework and processes for our Company and Huntsman International are designed to provide reasonable assurance to management, Huntsman International's Board of Managers and our Board of Directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our internal control over financial reporting for our Company and Huntsman International includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of our Company and Huntsman International;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded properly to allow for the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles,

Table of Contents

and that receipts and expenditures of our Company and Huntsman International are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and Directors of our Company and Huntsman International;

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements; and

provide reasonable assurance as to the detection of fraud.

Because of its inherent limitations, a system of internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance and may not prevent or detect misstatements. Further, because of changing conditions, effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting may vary over time.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting for our Company and Huntsman International and concluded that, as of December 31, 2011, such internal control is effective. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* ("COSO").

Our independent registered public accountants, Deloitte & Touche LLP, with direct access to our Board of Directors through our Audit Committee, have audited the consolidated financial statements prepared by our Company and Huntsman International and have issued attestation reports on internal control over financial reporting for our Company and Huntsman International.

Table of Contents

**MANAGEMENT'S PROCESS TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVENESS OF
INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

To comply with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we completed a comprehensive compliance process to evaluate our internal control over financial reporting for our Company and Huntsman International. We involved employees at all levels of our Company during 2011 in training, performing and evaluating our internal controls.

Our management's conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting is based on a comprehensive evaluation and analysis of the five elements of COSO. Our management considered information from multiple sources as the basis its conclusion including self-assessments of the control activities within each work process, assessments of division-level and entity-level controls and internal control attestations from key external service providers, as well as from key management. In addition, our internal control processes contain self-monitoring mechanisms, and proactive steps are taken to correct deficiencies as they are identified. We also maintain an internal auditing program that independently assesses the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting within each of the five COSO elements.

/s/ PETER R. HUNTSMAN

/s/ J. KIMO ESPLIN

Peter R. Huntsman
President and Chief Executive Officer

J. Kimo Esplin
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

/s/ L. RUSSELL HEALY

L. Russell Healy
Vice President and Controller

February 16, 2012

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Huntsman Corporation and subsidiaries

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Huntsman Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011 of the Company and our report dated February 16, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedules and included an explanatory paragraph regarding the Company's application of new accounting guidance related to its method of accounting for transfers of accounts receivable under the Company's accounts receivable securitization programs, effective January 1, 2010.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas
February 16, 2012

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Managers and Members of
Huntsman International LLC and subsidiaries

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Huntsman International LLC and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Table of Contents

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011 of the Company and our report dated February 16, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedule and included an explanatory paragraph regarding the Company's application of new accounting guidance related to its method of accounting for transfers of accounts receivable under the Company's accounts receivable securitization programs, effective January 1, 2010.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas
February 16, 2012

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information relating to our Directors (including identification of our Audit Committee's financial expert(s)) and executive officers is contained in the definitive Proxy Statement for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference. See also the information regarding executive officers of the registrant set forth in Part I under the caption "Executive Officers of the Registrant" in reliance on General Instruction G to Form 10-K.

Code of Ethics

Our Company has adopted a code of ethics, as defined by Item 406(b) of Regulation S-K under the Exchange Act, that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer or controller. A copy of the code of ethics is posted on our website, at www.huntsman.com. We intend to disclose any amendments to, or waivers from, our code of ethics on our website.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information relating to executive compensation and our equity compensation plans is contained in the definitive Proxy Statement for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information with respect to beneficial ownership of our common stock by each Director and all Directors and officers of our Company as a group is contained in the definitive Proxy Statement for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Information relating to any person who beneficially owns in excess of 5 percent of the total outstanding shares of our common stock is contained in the definitive Proxy Statement for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Information with respect to compensation plans under which equity securities are authorized for issuance is contained in the definitive Proxy Statement for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information with respect to certain relationships and related transactions is contained in the definitive Proxy Statement for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information with respect to principal accountant fees and services, and the disclosure of the Audit Committee's pre-approval policies and procedures are contained in the definitive Proxy Statement for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders and are incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

- (a) Documents filed with this report.
1. Consolidated Financial Statements:
- See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements on page F-1
2. Financial Statement Schedules:
- Other than as stated on the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements on page F-1 with respect to Schedule I and Schedule II, financial statement schedules are omitted because they are not required or are not applicable or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.
3. Exhibits:
- The exhibits to this report are listed on the Exhibit Index below.
- (b) Description of exhibits.

EXHIBIT INDEX

Number	Description
3.1	Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our registration statement on Form S-1/A filed on February 9, 2005)
3.2	Third Amended and Restated Bylaws of Huntsman Corporation effective March 24, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1(i) to our current report on Form 8-K filed on March 26, 2010)
4.1	Indenture, dated as of December 17, 2004, among Huntsman International LLC, as Issuer, the Guarantors named therein and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee, relating to the 7 ³ / ₈ % Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015 and the 7 ¹ / ₂ % Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Huntsman International LLC's current report on Form 8-K filed on December 23, 2004 (File No. 333-85141))
4.2	Form of Restricted 7 ³ / ₈ % Senior Subordinated Note denominated in dollars due 2015 (included as Exhibit A-1 to Exhibit 4.1)
4.3	Form of Restricted 7 ¹ / ₂ % Senior Subordinated Note denominated in euros due 2015 (included as Exhibit A-2 to Exhibit 4.1)
4.4	Form of Unrestricted 7 ³ / ₈ % Senior Subordinated Note denominated in dollars due 2015 (included as Exhibit A-3 to Exhibit 4.1)
4.5	Form of Unrestricted 7 ¹ / ₂ % Senior Subordinated Note denominated in euros due 2015 (included as Exhibit A-4 to Exhibit 4.1)
4.6	Form of Guarantee (included as Exhibit E to Exhibit 4.1)
4.7	Registration Rights Agreement dated as of February 10, 2005, by and among the Company and the stockholders signatory thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on February 16, 2005 (File No. 001-32427))

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Number	Description
4.8	Form of stock certificate of Huntsman Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.68 to amendment No. 3 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on February 8, 2005)
4.9	Supplemental Indenture dated August 16, 2005 to Indenture dated as December 17, 2004 by and among Huntsman International LLC, the guarantors named therein, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (as successor by consolidation to Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, National Association), as trustee, relating to Huntsman International LLC's dollar denominated 7 ³ / ₈ % Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015 and euro denominated 7 ¹ / ₂ % Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Huntsman International LLC's current report on Form 8-K filed on August 22, 2005 (File No. 333-85141))
4.10	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Outside Directors, effective for grants prior to February 6, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to our registration statement on Form S-8 filed on February 10, 2006)
4.11	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Outside Directors, effective for grants prior to February 6, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 of our registration statement on Form S-8 filed on February 10, 2006)
4.12	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Outside Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.31 to our annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 22, 2008)
4.13	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Outside Directors, effective for grants from February 6, 2008 to September 21, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.32 to our annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 22, 2008)
4.14	Indenture, dated as of July 6, 2009, by and among Huntsman International LLC, the subsidiary guarantors named therein and Wilmington Trust FSB, a federal savings bank, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on July 8, 2009)
4.15	Form of 5 ¹ / ₂ % Senior Note due 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on July 8, 2009)
4.16	Form of Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on July 8, 2009)
4.17	Amended and Restated Indenture, dated as of September 10, 2009, by and among Huntsman International LLC, the subsidiary guarantors named therein and Wilmington Trust FSB, a federal savings bank, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on September 14, 2009)
4.18	Indenture, dated as of March 17, 2010, by and among Huntsman International LLC, the subsidiary guarantors therein and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on March 19, 2010)
4.19	Form of 8 ⁵ / ₈ % Senior Subordinated Note due 2020 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on March 19, 2010)
4.20	Form of Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on March 19, 2010)

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Number	Description
4.21	Indenture, dated as of September 24, 2010, by and among Huntsman International LLC, the subsidiary guarantors named therein and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on September 30, 2010)
4.22	Form of 8 ⁵ / ₈ % Senior Subordinated Note due 2021 (included as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.24) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on September 30, 2010)
4.23	Form of Guarantee (included as Exhibit E to Exhibit 4.24) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on September 30, 2010)
10.1	Employment Agreement with Anthony Hankins (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to amendment No. 2 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on January 28, 2005)
10.2	Huntsman Corporation Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to amendment No. 4 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on February 8, 2005)
10.3	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement, effective for grants prior to February 21, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to amendment No. 4 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on February 8, 2005)
10.4	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, effective for grants prior to February 6, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to amendment No. 4 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on February 8, 2005)
10.5	Form of Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to amendment No. 4 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on February 8, 2005)
10.6	Form of Phantom Share Agreement, effective for grants prior to February 6, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to amendment No. 4 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on February 8, 2005)
10.7	Form of Executive Severance Plan (as amended and restated) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to amendment No. 4 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on February 8, 2005)
10.8	Form of Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to amendment No. 4 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on February 8, 2005)
10.9	Credit Agreement dated August 16, 2005 among Huntsman International LLC, Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch as Administrative Agent and the other financial institutions named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Huntsman International LLC's current report on Form 8-K filed August 22, 2005 (File No. 333-85141))
10.10	Form of Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement for Outside Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed November 8, 2005 (File No. 001-32427))
10.11	Consent and First Amendment to Credit Agreement dated December 12, 2005 among the Company, Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch as Administrative Agent and the other financial institutions named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Huntsman International LLC's current report on Form 8-K filed December 27, 2005 (File No. 333-85141))

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Number	Description
10.12	Amended and Restated Huntsman Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed December 30, 2005 (File No. 001-32427))
10.13	Huntsman Supplemental Executive MPP Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our current report on Form 8-K filed December 30, 2005 (File No. 001-32427))
10.14	Amended and Restated Huntsman Supplemental Savings Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our current report on Form 8-K filed December 30, 2005 (File No. 001-32427))
10.15	Huntsman Outside Directors Elective Deferral Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to our current report on Form 8-K filed December 30, 2005 (File No. 001-32427))
10.16	Consent and Second Amendment to Credit Agreement and Amendment to Security Documents, dated June 30, 2006, by and among Huntsman International LLC, as Borrower, Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent, and the other financial institutions party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on July 7, 2006 (File No. 001-32427))
10.17	Third Amendment to Credit Agreement dated April 19, 2007 by and among Huntsman International LLC, as Borrower, Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent, and the other financial institutions party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on April 23, 2007)
10.18	First Amendment to Huntsman Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to our annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 22, 2008)
10.19	First Amendment to Huntsman Supplemental Executive MPP Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to our annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 22, 2008)
10.20	First Amendment to Huntsman Supplemental Savings Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to our annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 22, 2008)
10.21	Second Amendment to Huntsman Supplemental Savings Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to our annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 22, 2008)
10.22	First Amendment to Huntsman Outside Directors Elective Deferral Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to our annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 22, 2008)
10.23	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement effective for grants from February 6, 2008 to September 21, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to our annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 22, 2008)
10.24	Form of Phantom Share Agreement effective for grants from February 6, 2008 to February 23, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to our annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 22, 2008)
10.25	Letter Agreement, dated June 15, 2009, among Huntsman Polyurethanes (UK) Ltd. and Paul G. Hulme (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on June 17, 2009)
10.26	Fourth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of June 22, 2009, by and among Huntsman International LLC and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on June 23, 2009)

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Number	Description
10.27	Form of Registration Rights Agreement dated as of June 23, 2009, by and among Huntsman International LLC, the subsidiary guarantors party thereto and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on June 23, 2009)
10.28	Voting Agreement, dated as of June 22, 2009, by and among Huntsman International LLC, Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch and Credit Suisse, Cayman Islands Branch (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on June 23, 2009)
10.29	U.S. Receivables Loan Agreement dated as of October 16, 2009 among Huntsman Receivables Finance II LLC, Huntsman (Europe) BVBA, the several entities party thereto as lenders, the several financial institutions party thereto as funding agents, the several commercial paper conduits party thereto as conduit lenders, the several financial institutions party thereto as committed lenders, Wachovia Bank National Association, as administrative agent, and Wachovia Bank National Association, as collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on October 22, 2009)
10.30	U.S. Contribution Agreement dated as of October 16, 2009 between Huntsman International LLC and Huntsman Receivables Finance II LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on October 22, 2009)
10.31	European Receivables Loan Agreement dated as of October 16, 2009 between Huntsman Receivables Finance LLC, Huntsman (Europe) BVBA, the several entities party thereto as lenders, the several financial institutions party thereto as funding agents, Barclays Bank Plc, as administrative agent, and Barclays Bank Plc, as collateral agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on October 22, 2009)
10.32	European Contribution Agreement dated as of October 16, 2009 between Huntsman International LLC and Huntsman Receivables Finance LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on October 22, 2009)
10.33	Fifth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of March 9, 2010, by and among Huntsman International LLC, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and the other financial institutions party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 10-Q filed on May 7, 2010)
10.34	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of March 17, 2010, by and among Huntsman International LLC, the subsidiary guarantors named therein and Goldman, Sachs & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Barclays Capital Inc., Banc of America Securities LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on March 19, 2010)
10.35	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of September 24, 2010, by and among Huntsman International LLC, the subsidiary guarantors named therein and Goldman, Sachs & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Barclays Capital Inc., Banc of America Securities LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and HSBC Securities (USA) Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on September 30, 2010)
10.36	Certain exhibits and schedules to Exhibit A to the Fifth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of March 9, 2010, which was previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed May 7, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our current report on Form 10-Q filed on November 4, 2010)

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Number	Description
10.37	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of November 12, 2010, by and among Huntsman International LLC, the subsidiary guarantors named therein and Citigroup Global Markets Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on November 15, 2010)
10.38	Second Amendment to Huntsman Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to our annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 17, 2011)
10.39	Third Amendment to Huntsman Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 to our annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 17, 2011)
10.40	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to our annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 17, 2011)
10.41	Form of Phantom Share Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 to our annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 17, 2011)
10.42	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to our annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 17, 2011)
10.43	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Outside Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to our annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 17, 2011)
10.44	Sixth Amendment, dated as of March 7, 2011, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of August 16, 2005, among Huntsman International LLC, the lenders from time to time party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on March 9, 2011)
10.45	Master Amendment No. 2 to the U.S. Receivables Loan Agreement, U.S. Servicing Agreement and Transaction Documents dated as of April 18, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on April 20, 2011)
10.46	Master Amendment No. 2 to the European Receivables Loan Agreement, European Servicing Agreement and Transaction Documents dated as of April 15, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on April 20, 2011)
10.47	Huntsman Executive Severance Plan (as amended and restated) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to our current report on Form 10-Q filed on May 5, 2011)
10.48	Second Amendment to Huntsman Outside Directors Elective Deferral Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to our current report on Form 10-Q filed on May 5, 2011)
10.49	Third Amendment to Huntsman Outside Directors Elective Deferral Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to our current report on Form 10-Q filed on May 5, 2011)
10.50	Huntsman Corporation Stock Incentive Plan (amended and restated) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our registration statement on Form S-8 filed on May 10, 2011)
21.1*	Subsidiaries of the Company
23.1*	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Number	Description
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

*

Filed herewith.

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of Huntsman International in the capacities indicated on the 16th day of February 2012.

/s/ JON M. HUNTSMAN

Jon M. Huntsman
Chairman of the Board of Managers and Manager

/s/ PETER R. HUNTSMAN

Peter R. Huntsman
*President, Chief Executive Officer and Manager
(Principal Executive Officer)*

/s/ J. KIMO ESPLIN

J. Kimo Esplin
*Executive Vice President, Chief Financial
Officer and Manager
(Principal Financial Officer)*

/s/ L. RUSSELL HEALY

L. Russell Healy
*Vice President and Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)*

/s/ JAMES R. MOORE

James R. Moore
*Executive Vice President, General Counsel,
Secretary and Manager*

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Huntsman Corporation and Subsidiaries:	
Consolidated Financial Statements	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-2</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>F-3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive (Loss) Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009</u>	<u>F-6</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009</u>	<u>F-7</u>
Huntsman International LLC and Subsidiaries:	
Consolidated Financial Statements	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-9</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>F-10</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive (Loss) Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009</u>	<u>F-11</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009</u>	<u>F-12</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009</u>	<u>F-13</u>
Huntsman Corporation and Subsidiaries and Huntsman International LLC and Subsidiaries:	
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>F-15</u>
Schedules to Consolidated Financial Statements	
<u>Schedule to Consolidated Financial Statements, Schedule I - Condensed Financial Information of Registrant (Huntsman Corporation only)</u>	<u>F-116</u>
<u>Schedule to Consolidated Financial Statements, Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts (Huntsman Corporation and Subsidiaries and Huntsman International LLC and Subsidiaries)</u>	<u>F-120</u>

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Huntsman Corporation and subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Huntsman Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive (loss) income, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index on page F-1. These financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Huntsman Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Notes 2, 14 and 16 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted new accounting guidance which changed its method of accounting for transfers of accounts receivable under the Company's accounts receivable securitization programs, effective January 1, 2010.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 16, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas
February 16, 2012

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In Millions, Except Share and Per Share Amounts)**

	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents(a)	\$ 554	\$ 966
Restricted cash(a)	8	7
Accounts and notes receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$46 and \$52, respectively), (\$659 and \$589 pledged as collateral, respectively)(a)	1,529	1,413
Accounts receivable from affiliates	5	15
Inventories(a)	1,539	1,396
Prepaid expenses	46	46
Deferred income taxes	20	1
Other current assets(a)	245	164
Total current assets	3,946	4,008
Property, plant and equipment, net(a)	3,622	3,605
Investment in unconsolidated affiliates	202	234
Intangible assets, net(a)	91	105
Goodwill	114	94
Deferred income taxes	195	166
Notes receivable from affiliates	5	7
Other noncurrent assets(a)	482	495
Total assets	\$ 8,657	\$ 8,714
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable(a)	\$ 862	\$ 842
Accounts payable to affiliates	50	45
Accrued liabilities(a)	695	628
Deferred income taxes	7	19
Current portion of debt(a)	212	519
Total current liabilities	1,826	2,053
Long-term debt(a)	3,730	3,627
Notes payable to affiliates	4	4
Deferred income taxes	309	314
Other noncurrent liabilities(a)	1,012	866
Total liabilities	6,881	6,864
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 19 and 20)		
Equity		
Huntsman Corporation stockholders' equity:		
Common stock \$0.01 par value, 1,200,000,000 shares authorized, 241,836,001 and 239,549,365 issued and 235,746,087 and 236,799,455 outstanding in 2011 and 2010, respectively	2	2
Additional paid-in capital	3,228	3,186
Treasury stock, 4,043,526 shares at December 31, 2011	(50)	
Unearned stock-based compensation	(12)	(11)
Accumulated deficit	(947)	(1,090)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(559)	(297)
Total Huntsman Corporation stockholders' equity	1,662	1,790
Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries	114	60
Total equity	1,776	1,850

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Total liabilities and equity	\$	8,657	\$	8,714
-------------------------------------	----	-------	----	-------

(a)

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, \$44 and \$7 of cash and cash equivalents, \$2 and nil of restricted cash, \$29 and \$8 of accounts and notes receivable (net), \$47 and \$45 of inventories, \$1 and \$2 of other current assets, \$403 and \$275 of property, plant and equipment (net), \$23 and \$7 of intangible assets (net), \$21 and \$18 of other noncurrent assets, \$55 and \$56 of accounts payable, \$21 and \$16 of accrued liabilities, \$16 and \$15 of current portion of debt, \$264 and \$185 of long-term debt, and \$111 and \$109 of other noncurrent liabilities from consolidated variable interest entities are included in the respective Balance Sheet captions above. See "Note 7. Variable Interest Entities."

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND
COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME
(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)

	Year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Revenues:			
Trade sales, services and fees, net	\$ 11,041	\$ 9,049	\$ 7,569
Related party sales	180	201	96
Total revenues	11,221	9,250	7,665
Cost of goods sold	9,381	7,789	6,587
Gross profit	1,840	1,461	1,078
Operating expenses:			
Selling, general and administrative	921	861	850
Research and development	166	151	145
Other operating (income) expense	(20)	10	(18)
Restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs	167	29	88
Total expenses	1,234	1,051	1,065
Operating income	606	410	13
Interest expense, net	(249)	(229)	(238)
Loss on accounts receivable securitization program			(23)
Equity in income of investment in unconsolidated affiliates	8	24	3
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	(7)	(183)	(21)
(Expenses) income associated with the Terminated Merger and related litigation		(4)	835
Other income	2	2	
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	360	20	569
Income tax expense	(109)	(29)	(444)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	251	(9)	125
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, (including gain on disposal of \$1 in 2009), net of tax	(1)	42	(19)
Income before extraordinary gain (loss)	250	33	106
Extraordinary gain (loss) on the acquisition of a business, net of tax of nil	4	(1)	6
Net income	254	32	112
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(7)	(5)	2
Net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation	\$ 247	\$ 27	\$ 114
Net income	\$ 254	\$ 32	\$ 112
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(267)	(11)	203
Comprehensive (loss) income	(13)	21	315
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(2)	(4)	1

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Comprehensive (loss) income attributable to Huntsman Corporation	\$	(15)	\$	17	\$	316
---	----	------	----	----	----	-----

(continued)

F-4

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND (Continued)****COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME****(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)**

	Year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Basic income (loss) per share:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders	\$ 1.03	\$ (0.06)	\$ 0.54
Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders, net of tax		0.17	(0.08)
Extraordinary gain on the acquisition of a business attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders, net of tax	0.01		0.03
Net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders	\$ 1.04	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.49
Weighted average shares	237.6	236.0	233.9
Diluted income (loss) per share:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders	\$ 1.01	\$ (0.06)	\$ 0.53
Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders, net of tax		0.17	(0.08)
Extraordinary gain on the acquisition of a business attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders, net of tax	0.01		0.03
Net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders	\$ 1.02	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.48
Weighted average shares	241.7	236.0	238.3
Amounts attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 244	\$ (14)	\$ 127
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(1)	42	(19)
Extraordinary gain (loss) on the acquisition of a business, net of tax	4	(1)	6
Net income	\$ 247	\$ 27	\$ 114
Dividends per share	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.40

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY****(Dollars in Millions)****Huntsman Corporation Stockholders**

	Shares								
	Common Stock	Common stock	Additional paid-in capital	Treasury Stock	Unearned stock-based compensation	Accumulated deficit	Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries	Total equity
Balance, January 1, 2009	233,553,515	\$ 2	\$ 3,141	\$	\$ (13)	\$ (1,031)	\$ (489)	\$ 22	\$ 1,632
Net income						114		(2)	112
Other comprehensive income							202	1	203
Issuance of nonvested stock awards			8		(8)				
Vesting of stock awards	742,565								
Recognition of stock-based compensation			6		10				16
Repurchase and cancellation of stock awards	(214,590)					(2)			(2)
Dividends declared on common stock						(96)			(96)
Balance, December 31, 2009	234,081,490	2	3,155		(11)	(1,015)	(287)	21	1,865
Net income						27		5	32
Other comprehensive loss							(10)	(1)	(11)
Consolidation of a variable interest entity								35	35
Issuance of nonvested stock awards			12		(12)				
Vesting of stock awards	1,939,524		9						9
Recognition of stock-based compensation			3		12				15
Repurchase and cancellation of stock awards	(431,052)					(6)			(6)
Stock options exercised	1,209,493		3						3
Excess tax benefit related to stock- based			4						4

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

compensation									
Dividends declared on common stock					(96)				(96)
Balance, December 31, 2010	236,799,455	2	3,186	(11)	(1,090)	(297)	60	1,850	
Net income					247		7	254	
Dividend paid to noncontrolling interest							(9)	(9)	
Other comprehensive loss						(262)	(5)	(267)	
Consolidation of a variable interest entity							61	61	
Issuance of nonvested stock awards			11	(11)					
Vesting of stock awards	2,229,418		13					13	
Recognition of stock-based compensation			5	10				15	
Repurchase of common stock	(4,043,526)		(50)					(50)	
Repurchase and cancellation of stock awards	(507,624)					(8)		(8)	
Stock options exercised	1,268,364		3					3	
Excess tax benefit related to stock-based compensation			10					10	
Dividends declared on common stock					(96)			(96)	
Balance, December 31, 2011	235,746,087	\$ 2	\$ 3,228	\$ (50)	\$ (12)	\$ (947)	\$ (559)	114	\$ 1,776

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(Dollars in Millions)**

	Year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 254	\$ 32	\$ 112
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Extraordinary (gain) loss on the acquisition of a business, net of tax	(4)	1	(6)
Gain on the consolidation of a variable interest entity	(12)		
Equity in income of investment in unconsolidated affiliates	(8)	(24)	(3)
Dividends received from unconsolidated affiliates			11
Depreciation and amortization	439	405	442
Provision for (gains) losses on accounts receivable	(4)	6	9
(Gain) loss on disposal of businesses/assets, net	(38)	8	(2)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	7	183	21
Noncash interest expense	38	22	22
Deferred income taxes	(23)	45	231
Noncash impairment charge	60	2	13
Noncash (gain) loss on foreign currency transactions	(32)	22	(26)
Stock-based compensation	24	27	20
Portion of insurance settlement representing cash provided by investing activities		(34)	
Other, net	(1)	2	1
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts and notes receivable	(121)	(183)	(88)
Accounts receivable from A/R Programs		(254)	
Inventories	(161)	(207)	351
Prepaid expenses	(4)	(2)	5
Other current assets	(108)	(5)	(6)
Other noncurrent assets	2	(102)	(32)
Accounts payable	24	83	35
Accrued liabilities	112	(22)	(34)
Other noncurrent liabilities	(79)	(63)	28
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	365	(58)	1,104
Investing Activities:			
Capital expenditures	(330)	(236)	(189)
Proceeds from settlements treated as reimbursement of capital expenditures	3	34	
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired and post-closing adjustments	(34)		(31)
Cash assumed in connection with the initial consolidation of a variable interest entity	28	14	
Proceeds from sale of businesses/assets	48	2	5
Investment in unconsolidated affiliates	(26)	(27)	(15)
Cash received from unconsolidated affiliates	32	31	22
Other, net	(1)		3
Net cash used in investing activities	(280)	(182)	(205)

(continued)

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)**

(Dollars in Millions)

	Year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Financing Activities:			
Net repayments under revolving loan facilities	\$ (2)	(6)	\$ (14)
Revolving loan facility from A/R Programs		254	
Net borrowings (repayments) on overdraft facilities	9	(2)	(12)
Repayments of short-term debt	(187)	(175)	(13)
Borrowings on short-term debt	162	212	
Repayments of long-term debt	(408)	(1,456)	(542)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	98	923	880
Repayments of notes payable	(34)	(53)	(66)
Borrowings on notes payable	35	46	67
Debt issuance costs paid	(7)	(29)	(5)
Call premiums related to early extinguishment of debt	(6)	(160)	(14)
Dividends paid to common stockholders	(96)	(96)	(96)
Repurchase and cancellation of stock awards	(8)	(6)	(2)
Repurchase of common stock	(50)		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	3	3	
Dividends paid to noncontrolling interest	(9)		
Excess tax benefit related to stock-based compensation	10	4	
Other, net		(2)	1
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(490)	(543)	184
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(7)	4	5
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(412)	(779)	1,088
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	966	1,745	657
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 554	\$ 966	\$ 1,745
Supplemental cash flow information:			
Cash paid for interest	\$ 204	\$ 203	\$ 227
Cash paid for income taxes	119	6	155

During 2011, 2010 and 2009, the amount of capital expenditures in accounts payable increased (decreased) by \$16, \$14 and \$(13), respectively. The value of share awards that vested during 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$23, \$18 and \$12, respectively.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Managers and Members of
Huntsman International LLC and subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Huntsman International LLC and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive (loss) income, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years ended December 31, 2011. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index on page F-1. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Huntsman International LLC and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Notes 2, 14 and 16 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted new accounting guidance which changed its method of accounting for transfers of accounts receivable under the Company's accounts receivable securitization programs, effective January 1, 2010.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 16, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas
February 16, 2012

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(Dollars in Millions)**

	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents(a)	\$ 231	\$ 561
Restricted cash(a)	8	7
Accounts and notes receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$46 and \$52, respectively), (\$659 and \$589 pledged as collateral, respectively)(a)	1,529	1,413
Accounts receivable from affiliates	148	100
Inventories(a)	1,539	1,396
Prepaid expenses	46	45
Deferred income taxes	40	40
Other current assets(a)	220	160
Total current assets	3,761	3,722
Property, plant and equipment, net(a)	3,510	3,469
Investment in unconsolidated affiliates	202	234
Intangible assets, net(a)	93	107
Goodwill	114	94
Deferred income taxes	163	179
Notes receivable from affiliates	5	7
Other noncurrent assets(a)	482	495
Total assets	\$ 8,330	\$ 8,307
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable(a)	\$ 862	\$ 840
Accounts payable to affiliates	64	59
Accrued liabilities(a)	694	626
Deferred income taxes	29	63
Note payable to affiliate	100	100
Current portion of debt(a)	212	519
Total current liabilities	1,961	2,207
Long-term debt(a)	3,730	3,627
Notes payable to affiliates	439	439
Deferred income taxes	106	94
Other noncurrent liabilities(a)	1,003	852
Total liabilities	7,239	7,219
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 19 and 20)		
Equity		
Huntsman International LLC members' equity:		
Members' equity, 2,728 units issued and outstanding	3,081	3,049
Accumulated deficit	(1,493)	(1,667)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(611)	(354)
Total Huntsman International LLC members' equity	977	1,028
Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries	114	60

Total equity		1,091		1,088
Total liabilities and equity		\$ 8,330	\$	8,307

(a)

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, \$44 and \$7 of cash and cash equivalents, \$2 and nil of restricted cash, \$29 and \$8 of accounts and notes receivable (net), \$47 and \$45 of inventories, \$1 and \$2 of other current assets, \$403 and \$275 of property, plant and equipment (net), \$23 and \$7 of intangible assets (net), \$21 and \$18 of other noncurrent assets, \$55 and \$56 of accounts payable, \$21 and \$16 of accrued liabilities, \$16 and \$15 of current portion of debt, \$264 and \$185 of long-term debt, and \$111 and \$109 of other noncurrent liabilities from consolidated variable interest entities are included in the respective Balance Sheet captions above. See "Note 7. Variable Interest Entities."

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND
COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME

(Dollars in Millions)

	Year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Revenues:			
Trade sales, services and fees, net	\$ 11,041	\$ 9,049	\$ 7,569
Related party sales	180	201	96
Total revenues	11,221	9,250	7,665
Cost of goods sold	9,363	7,772	6,570
Gross profit	1,858	1,478	1,095
Operating expenses:			
Selling, general and administrative	916	855	839
Research and development	166	151	145
Other operating income	(20)		(18)
Restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs	167	29	88
Total expenses	1,229	1,035	1,054
Operating income	629	443	41
Interest expense, net	(262)	(248)	(240)
Loss on accounts receivable securitization program			(23)
Equity in income of investment in unconsolidated affiliates	8	24	3
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	(7)	(37)	(21)
Other income	2	2	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	370	184	(240)
Income tax expense	(113)	(40)	(159)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	257	144	(399)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, (including gain on disposal of \$1 in 2009), net of tax	(1)	42	(19)
Income (loss) before extraordinary gain (loss)	256	186	(418)
Extraordinary gain (loss) on the acquisition of a business, net of tax of nil	4	(1)	6
Net income (loss)	260	185	(412)
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(7)	(5)	2
Net income (loss) attributable to Huntsman International LLC	\$ 253	\$ 180	\$ (410)
Net income (loss)	\$ 260	\$ 185	\$ (412)
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(262)	(7)	207
Comprehensive (loss) income	(2)	178	(205)
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(2)	(4)	1
Comprehensive (loss) income attributable to Huntsman International LLC	\$ (4)	\$ 174	\$ (204)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-11

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY****(Dollars in Millions)****Huntsman International LLC Members**

	Members' equity		Accumulated deficit	Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries	Total equity
	Units	Amount				
Balance, January 1, 2009	2,728	\$ 2,865	\$ (1,414)	\$ (554)	\$ 22	\$ 919
Net loss			(410)		(2)	(412)
Other comprehensive income				206	1	207
Contribution from parent, net of distributions		156				156
Dividends paid to parent			(23)			(23)
Balance, December 31, 2009	2,728	3,021	(1,847)	(348)	21	847
Net income			180		5	185
Other comprehensive loss				(6)	(1)	(7)
Consolidation of a variable interest entity					35	35
Contribution from parent		24				24
Excess tax benefit related to stock-based compensation		4				4
Balance, December 31, 2010	2,728	3,049	(1,667)	(354)	60	1,088
Net income			253		7	260
Dividend paid to noncontrolling interest					(9)	(9)
Dividends paid to parent			(79)			(79)
Other comprehensive loss				(257)	(5)	(262)
Consolidation of a variable interest entity					61	61
Contribution from parent		22				22
Excess tax benefit related to stock-based compensation		10				10
Balance, December 31, 2011	2,728	\$ 3,081	\$ (1,493)	\$ (611)	\$ 114	\$ 1,091

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(Dollars in Millions)**

	Year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Operating Activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 260	\$ 185	\$ (412)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Extraordinary (gain) loss on the acquisition of a business, net of tax	(4)	1	(6)
Gain on the consolidation of a variable interest entity	(12)		
Equity in income of investment in unconsolidated affiliates	(8)	(24)	(3)
Dividends received from unconsolidated affiliates			11
Depreciation and amortization	416	382	420
Provision for (gains) losses on accounts receivable	(4)	6	9
(Gain) loss on disposal of businesses/assets, net	(38)	8	(2)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	7	37	21
Noncash interest expense	51	40	39
Deferred income taxes	40	45	68
Noncash impairment charge	60	2	13
Noncash (gain) loss on foreign currency transactions	(32)	22	(26)
Noncash compensation	22	24	16
Portion of insurance settlement representing cash provided by investing activities		(34)	
Other, net	(1)	1	1
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts and notes receivable	(121)	(183)	(88)
Accounts receivable from A/R Programs		(254)	
Inventories	(161)	(207)	351
Prepaid expenses	(4)	(2)	5
Other current assets	(87)	(1)	(6)
Other noncurrent assets	2	(102)	(32)
Accounts payable	13	97	4
Accrued liabilities	108	(32)	5
Other noncurrent liabilities	(75)	(57)	32
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	432	(46)	420
Investing Activities:			
Capital expenditures	(330)	(236)	(189)
Proceeds from settlements treated as reimbursement of capital expenditures	3	34	
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired and post-closing adjustments	(34)		(31)
Cash assumed in connection with the initial consolidation of a variable interest entity	28	14	
Proceeds from sale of businesses/assets	48	2	5
Decrease (increase) in receivable from affiliate	(57)	(57)	(7)
Investment in unconsolidated affiliates	(26)	(27)	(15)
Cash received from unconsolidated affiliates	32	31	22
Other, net	(1)	1	3
Net cash used in investing activities	(337)	(238)	(212)

(continued)

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)

(Dollars in Millions)

	Year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Financing Activities:			
Net repayments under revolving loan facilities	\$ (2)	\$ (6)	\$ (14)
Revolving loan facility from A/R Programs		254	
Net borrowings (repayments) on overdraft facilities	9	(2)	(12)
Repayments of short-term debt	(187)	(175)	(13)
Borrowings on short-term debt	162	212	
Repayments of long-term debt	(408)	(1,207)	(542)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	98	923	880
Repayments of notes payable to affiliate	(105)	(125)	(403)
Proceeds from notes payable to affiliate	105	110	529
Repayments of notes payable	(34)	(53)	(63)
Borrowings on notes payable	35	46	64
Debt issuance costs paid	(7)	(29)	(5)
Call premiums related to early extinguishment of debt	(6)	(28)	(14)
Contribution from parent			236
Dividends paid to parent	(79)		(23)
Dividends paid to noncontrolling interest	(9)		
Excess tax benefit related to stock-based compensation	10	4	
Other, net		(2)	(1)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(418)	(78)	619
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(7)	4	5
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(330)	(358)	832
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	561	919	87
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 231	\$ 561	\$ 919
Supplemental cash flow information:			
Cash paid for interest	\$ 205	\$ 194	\$ 221
Cash paid for income taxes	44	32	27

During 2011, 2010 and 2009, the amount of capital expenditures in accounts payable increased (decreased) by \$16, \$14 and \$(13), respectively. During the years ended 2011, 2010 and 2009, Huntsman Corporation contributed \$22, \$24 and \$16, respectively to stock based compensation.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. GENERAL

DEFINITIONS

For convenience in this report, the terms "Company," "our" or "we" may be used to refer to Huntsman Corporation and, unless the context otherwise requires, its subsidiaries and predecessors. Any references to our "Company" "we" "us" or "our" as of a date prior to October 19, 2004 (the date of our Company's formation) are to Huntsman Holdings, LLC and its subsidiaries (including their respective predecessors). In this report, "Huntsman International" refers to Huntsman International LLC (our 100% owned subsidiary) and, unless the context otherwise requires, its subsidiaries; "HPS" refers to Huntsman Polyurethanes Shanghai Ltd. (our consolidated splitting joint venture with Shanghai Chlor-Alkali Chemical Company, Ltd); and "SLIC" refers to Shanghai Liengheng Isocyanate Company (our unconsolidated manufacturing joint venture with BASF AG and three Chinese chemical companies).

In this report, we may use, without definition, the common names of competitors or other industry participants. We may also use the common names or abbreviations for certain chemicals or products.

DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

We are a global manufacturer of differentiated organic chemical products and of inorganic chemical products. Our products comprise a broad range of chemicals and formulations, which we market globally to a diversified group of consumer and industrial customers. Our products are used in a wide range of applications, including those in the adhesives, aerospace, automotive, construction products, durable and non-durable consumer products, electronics, medical, packaging, paints and coatings, power generation, refining, synthetic fiber, textile chemicals and dye industries. We are a leading global producer in many of our key product lines, including MDI, amines, surfactants, maleic anhydride, epoxy-based polymer formulations, textile chemicals, dyes and titanium dioxide.

We operate in five segments: Polyurethanes, Performance Products, Advanced Materials, Textile Effects and Pigments. Our Polyurethanes, Performance Products, Advanced Materials and Textile Effects segments produce differentiated organic chemical products and our Pigments segment produces inorganic chemical products. In a series of transactions beginning in 2006, we sold or shutdown substantially all of our Australian styrenics operations and our North American polymers and base chemicals operations. We report the results of these businesses as discontinued operations. See "Note 25. Discontinued Operations."

COMPANY

Our Company, a Delaware corporation, was formed in 2004 to hold the Huntsman businesses. Jon M. Huntsman founded the predecessor to our Company in 1970 as a small packaging company. Since then, we have grown through a series of acquisitions and now own a global portfolio of businesses.

Currently, we operate all of our businesses through Huntsman International, our 100% owned subsidiary. Huntsman International is a Delaware limited liability company and was formed in 1999.

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1. GENERAL (Continued)

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Except where otherwise indicated, these notes relate to the consolidated financial statements for both our Company and Huntsman International. The differences between our financial statements and Huntsman International's financial statements relate primarily to the following:

purchase accounting recorded at our Company for the 2003 step-acquisition of Huntsman International Holdings LLC, the former parent company of Huntsman International that was merged into Huntsman International in 2005;

the different capital structures;

a note payable from Huntsman International to us;

(expenses) income associated with the Terminated Merger and related litigation;

the Convertible Notes issued in connection with our settlement agreement with Apollo and Hexion (the "Apollo Settlement Agreement"), which we repurchased on January 11, 2010 (see "Note 14. Debt"); and

the results of the Texas Bank Litigation Settlement Agreement.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL TRADE RECEIVABLES

An allowance for doubtful trade receivables is estimated based on a combination of write-off history, aging analysis and any specific, known troubled accounts.

ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

We accrue for asset retirement obligations, which consist primarily of landfill closure costs and asbestos abatement costs, in the period in which the obligations are incurred. Asset retirement obligations are accrued at estimated fair value. When the liability is initially recorded, we capitalize the cost by increasing the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. Over time, the liability is accreted to its settlement value and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset. Upon settlement of the liability, we will recognize a gain or loss for any difference between the settlement amount and the liability recorded. See "Note 12. Asset Retirement Obligations."

CARRYING VALUE OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS

We review long-lived assets and all amortizable intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of these assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability is based upon current and anticipated undiscounted cash flows, and we recognize an impairment when such estimated cash flows are less than the carrying value of the asset. Measurement of the amount of impairment, if any, is based upon the difference between carrying value and fair value. Fair value is generally estimated by discounting

estimated future cash flows using a discount rate commensurate with the risks involved. See "Note 11. Restructuring, Impairment and Plant Closing Costs."

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

We consider cash in checking accounts and cash in short-term highly liquid investments with remaining maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase, to be cash and cash equivalents. Cash flows from discontinued operations are not presented separately in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows.

COST OF GOODS SOLD

We classify the costs of manufacturing and distributing our products as cost of goods sold. Manufacturing costs include variable costs, primarily raw materials and energy, and fixed expenses directly associated with production. Manufacturing costs also include, among other things, plant site operating costs and overhead (including depreciation), production planning and logistics costs, repair and maintenance costs, plant site purchasing costs, and engineering and technical support costs. Distribution, freight and warehousing costs are also included in cost of goods sold.

DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

All derivatives, whether designated in hedging relationships or not, are recorded on our balance sheet at fair value. If the derivative is designated as a fair value hedge, the changes in the fair value of the derivative and the hedged items are recognized in earnings. If the derivative is designated as a cash flow hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, to the extent effective, and will be recognized in the income statement when the hedged item affects earnings. Changes in the fair value of the hedge in the net investment of certain international operations are recorded in other comprehensive income, to the extent effective. The effectiveness of a cash flow hedging relationship is established at the inception of the hedge, and after inception we perform effectiveness assessments at least every three months. A derivative designated as a cash flow hedge is determined to be effective if the change in value of the hedge divided by the change in value of the hedged item is within a range of 80% to 125%. Hedge ineffectiveness in a cash flow hedge occurs only if the cumulative gain or loss on the derivative hedging instrument exceeds the cumulative change in the expected future cash flows on the hedged transaction. For a derivative that does not qualify or has not been designated as a hedge, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings.

ENVIRONMENTAL EXPENDITURES

Environmental related restoration and remediation costs are recorded as liabilities when site restoration and environmental remediation and clean-up obligations are either known or considered probable and the related costs can be reasonably estimated. Other environmental expenditures that are principally maintenance or preventative in nature are recorded when expended and incurred and are expensed or capitalized as appropriate. See "Note 20. Environmental, Health and Safety Matters."

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value because of the immediate or short-term

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

maturity of these financial instruments. The fair value of non-qualified employee benefit plan investments is estimated using prevailing market prices. The estimated fair values of our long-term debt are based on quoted market prices for the identical liability when traded as an asset in an active market.

FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSLATION

The accounts of our operating subsidiaries outside of the U.S., unless they are operating in highly inflationary economic environments, consider the functional currency to be the currency of the economic environment in which they operate. Accordingly, assets and liabilities are translated at rates prevailing at the balance sheet date. Revenues, expenses, gains and losses are translated at a weighted average rate for the period. Cumulative translation adjustments are recorded to equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income.

If a subsidiary operates in an economic environment that is considered to be highly inflationary (100% cumulative inflation over a three-year period), the U.S. dollar is considered to be the functional currency and gains and losses from remeasurement to the U.S. dollar from the local currency are included in the statement of operations. Where a subsidiary's operations are effectively run, managed, financed and contracted in U.S. dollars, such as certain finance subsidiaries outside of the U.S., the U.S. dollar is considered to be the functional currency.

Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are recorded in other operating (income) expense in the consolidated statements of operations and were net (losses) gains of \$(3) million, \$(2) million and \$13 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

INCOME TAXES

We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial and tax reporting purposes. We evaluate deferred tax assets to determine whether it is more likely than not that they will be realized. Valuation allowances are reviewed on a tax jurisdiction basis to analyze whether there is sufficient positive or negative evidence to support a change in judgment about the realizability of the related deferred tax assets for each jurisdiction. These conclusions require significant judgment. In evaluating the objective evidence that historical results provide, we consider the cyclical nature of businesses and cumulative income or losses during the applicable period. Cumulative losses incurred over the period limits our ability to consider other subjective evidence such as our projections for the future. Changes in expected future income in applicable jurisdictions could affect the realization of deferred tax assets in those jurisdictions.

We do not provide for income taxes or benefits on the undistributed earnings of our non-U.S. subsidiaries as earnings are reinvested and, in the opinion of management, will continue to be reinvested indefinitely.

Accounting for uncertainty in income taxes prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The application of income tax law is inherently complex. We are required to determine if an income tax position meets the criteria of more-likely-than-not to be realized based

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

on the merits of the position under tax law, in order to recognize an income tax benefit. This requires us to make many assumptions and judgments regarding the merits of income tax positions and the application of income tax law. Additionally, if a tax position meets the recognition criteria of more-likely-than-not we are required to make judgments and assumptions to measure the amount of the tax benefits to recognize based on the probability of the amount of tax benefits that would be realized if the tax position was challenged by the taxing authorities. Interpretations and guidance surrounding income tax laws and regulations change over time. As a consequence, changes in assumptions and judgments can materially affect amounts recognized in the consolidated financial statements.

INTANGIBLE ASSETS AND GOODWILL

Intangible assets are stated at cost (fair value at the time of acquisition) and are amortized using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives or the life of the related agreement as follows:

Patents and technology	5 - 30 years
Trademarks	15 - 30 years
Licenses and other agreements	5 - 15 years
Other intangibles	5 - 15 years

Goodwill represents costs in excess of fair values assigned to the underlying net assets of acquired businesses. Goodwill is not subject to any method of amortization, but is tested for impairment annually (at the beginning of the third quarter) and when events and circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. When the fair value is less than the carrying value of the related reporting unit, we are required to reduce the amount of goodwill through a charge to earnings. Fair value is estimated using the market approach, as well as the income approach based on discounted cash flow projections. Goodwill has been assigned to reporting units for purposes of impairment testing.

INVENTORIES

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost determined using LIFO, first-in first-out, and average costs methods for different components of inventory.

INVESTMENT IN UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES

Investments in companies in which we exercise significant influence, but do not control, are accounted for using the equity method. Investments in companies in which we do not exercise significant influence are accounted for using the cost method.

LEGAL COSTS

We expense legal costs, including those legal costs incurred in connection with a loss contingency, as incurred.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)****NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO HUNTSMAN CORPORATION**

Basic income (loss) per share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income (loss) attributable to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted income per share reflects all potential dilutive common shares outstanding during the period and is computed by dividing net income available to Huntsman Corporation common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period increased by the number of additional shares that would have been outstanding as dilutive securities.

On December 23, 2008, we issued the Convertible Notes in an aggregate principal amount of \$250 million. Prior to their repurchase, the Convertible Notes were convertible into common stock at a conversion price of \$7.857 per share, subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments. On January 11, 2010, we repurchased the entire \$250 million principal amount of the Convertible Notes for approximately \$382 million. See "Note 14. Debt."

Basic and diluted income (loss) per share is calculated as follows (in millions):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Numerator:			
Basic and diluted income from continuing operations:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Huntsman Corporation	\$ 244	\$ (14)	\$ 127
Convertible notes interest expense, net of tax			
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable Huntsman Corporation and assumed conversion	\$ 244	\$ (14)	\$ 127
Basic and diluted net income:			
Net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation	\$ 247	\$ 27	\$ 114
Convertible notes interest expense, net of tax			
Net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation and assumed conversion	\$ 247	\$ 27	\$ 114
Shares (denominator):			
Weighted average shares outstanding	237.6	236.0	233.9
Dilutive securities:			
Stock-based awards	4.1		4.4
Total outstanding and dilutive shares assuming conversion	241.7	236.0	238.3

Additional stock-based awards of 6.7 million, 11.5 million and 6.4 million weighted average equivalent shares of stock were outstanding during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In addition, the Convertible Notes would have had a weighted average effect of 0.9 million and 31.8 million shares of common stock and interest expense, net of tax, of \$1 million and \$19 million would have been included as an adjustment to the numerator of the diluted income per share calculation for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. However, these stock-based

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

awards and the assumed conversion of the Convertible Notes were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the respective periods mentioned because the effect would be anti-dilutive.

The impact of the share repurchase program did not increase earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2011. For more information on the share repurchase program, see "Note 21. Huntsman Corporation Stockholders' Equity Share Repurchase Program."

OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS

Other noncurrent assets consist primarily of spare parts, deferred debt issuance costs, the overfunded portion related to defined benefit plans for employees and capitalized turnaround costs. Debt issuance costs are amortized using the interest method over the term of the related debt.

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of our wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries and any variable interest entities for which we are the primary beneficiary. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated, except for intercompany sales between continuing and discontinued operations.

PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment is stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives or lease term as follows:

Buildings and equipment	10 - 33 years
Plant and equipment	3 - 25 years
Furniture, fixtures and leasehold improvements	5 - 20 years

Interest expense capitalized as part of plant and equipment was \$2 million, \$1 million and \$3 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Periodic maintenance and repairs applicable to major units of manufacturing facilities (a "turnaround") are accounted for on the deferral basis by capitalizing the costs of the turnaround and amortizing the costs over the estimated period until the next turnaround. Normal maintenance and repairs of plant and equipment are charged to expense as incurred. Renewals, betterments and major repairs that materially extend the useful life of the assets are capitalized, and the assets replaced, if any, are retired.

RECLASSIFICATIONS

Certain amounts in the consolidated financial statements for prior periods have been reclassified to conform with the current presentation. Beginning in 2011, we reclassified bank accepted drafts in China with maturities greater than 90 days from receipt from accounts receivable to other current assets. The amount of bank accepted drafts reclassified from accounts receivable to other current assets at December 31, 2010 was \$51 million.

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

REVENUE RECOGNITION

We generate substantially all of our revenues through sales in the open market and long-term supply agreements. We recognize revenue when it is realized or realizable and earned. Revenue for product sales is recognized when a sales arrangement exists, risk and title to the product transfer to the customer, collectability is reasonably assured and pricing is fixed or determinable. The transfer of risk and title to the product to the customer usually occurs at the time shipment is made.

Revenue arrangements that contain multiple deliverables, which relate primarily to licensing of technology, are evaluated to determine whether the arrangements should be divided into separate units of accounting and how the arrangement consideration should be measured and allocated among the separate units of accounting.

SECURITIZATION OF ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Under our A/R Programs, we grant an undivided interest in certain of our trade receivables to the U.S. SPE and the EU SPE. This undivided interest serves as security for the issuance of debt. The A/R Programs provide for financing through a conduit program (in both U.S. dollars and euros). Receivables transferred under the A/R Programs qualified as sales through December 31, 2009. Upon adoption of new accounting guidance on January 1, 2010, transfers of accounts receivable under our A/R Programs no longer met the criteria for derecognition. Accordingly, the amounts outstanding under our A/R Programs are accounted for as secured borrowings beginning in 2010. See "Note 14. Debt A/R Programs."

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

We measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. That cost will be recognized over the period during which the employee is required to provide services in exchange for the award. See "Note 22. Stock-Based Compensation Plan."

SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

We have evaluated material subsequent events through the date these financial statements were issued.

USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS ADOPTED DURING 2011

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2009-13, *Revenue Recognition (Topic 605): Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force*. This ASU provides amendments to the criteria for separating consideration in multiple-deliverable arrangements. The amendments in this ASU replace the term "fair value" in the revenue allocation guidance with "selling price" to clarify that the allocation of revenue is based on entity-specific assumptions rather than assumptions of a marketplace participant and establish a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable. The amendments in this ASU will eliminate the residual method of allocation and require that arrangement consideration be allocated at the inception of the arrangement to all deliverables using the relative selling price method, and significantly expand the required disclosures related to multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. The amendments in this ASU were effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2010. The initial adoption of this statement did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force issued ASU No. 2010-29, *Business Combinations (Topic 805) Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations*, which requires public entities that present comparative financial statements to disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred at the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The amendments in this ASU also expand the supplemental pro forma disclosures under Topic 805 to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The amendments in this ASU are effective for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. We complied with the disclosure requirements of this standard in connection with our April 2, 2011 Laffans Acquisition and in connection with our April 1, 2011 consolidation of the Sasol-Huntsman joint venture. See "Note 3. Business Combinations and Dispositions" and "Note 7. Variable Interest Entities."

ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS PENDING ADOPTION IN FUTURE PERIODS

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs*, providing a consistent definition of fair value between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRSs") as well as developing common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The amendments in this ASU are to be applied prospectively and will be effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. We do not expect the adoption of the amendments in this ASU to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income*, requiring entities to present net income and other comprehensive income in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate, but consecutive, statements of net income and other comprehensive income. The option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of equity is eliminated. The amendments do not change the option to present components of other comprehensive income either net of related tax effects or before related tax effects, with one amount shown for the aggregate income tax expense or benefit related to the total of other comprehensive income components. The amendments in this ASU should be applied retrospectively and will be effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. We do not expect the adoption of the amendments in this ASU to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment*. The guidance in this ASU is intended to reduce complexity and costs of the annual goodwill impairment test by providing entities with the option of performing a qualitative assessment to determine whether further impairment testing is necessary. The amendments in this ASU include examples of events and circumstances that might indicate that a reporting unit's fair value is less than its carrying value. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted, including for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed as of a date before September 15, 2011, provided that the entity has not yet issued its financial statements for the period that includes its annual test date. We did not early adopt the provisions of this ASU for our annual impairment test on July 1 and do not expect the adoption of the amendments in this ASU to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

3. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS AND DISPOSITIONS

EMA ACQUISITION

On December 30, 2011, we completed the acquisition of EMA Kimya Sistemleri Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (the "EMA Acquisition"), an MDI-based polyurethanes systems house in Istanbul, Turkey for approximately \$11 million, net of cash acquired and including the repayment of assumed debt. We have accounted for the EMA Acquisition using the acquisition method and transaction costs charged to expense associated with this acquisition were not significant. For purposes of a preliminary allocation of the acquisition cost to assets acquired and liabilities assumed, we have assigned the excess of the acquisition cost over historical carrying values of \$7 million to goodwill. This preliminary purchase price allocation is likely to change once we analyze the fair value of tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Net sales for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 related to the business acquired were approximately \$23 million and \$17 million, respectively, and net loss associated with this business was \$3 million and nil, respectively, for the same periods.

SALE OF STEREOLITHOGRAPHY RESIN AND DIGITALIS® MACHINE MANUFACTURING BUSINESSES

On November 1, 2011, our Advanced Materials division completed the sale of its stereolithography resin and Digitalis® machine manufacturing businesses to 3D Systems Corporation for \$41 million in cash. The stereolithography business had revenues of approximately \$7 million in 2010 and its products

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****3. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS AND DISPOSITIONS (Continued)**

are used primarily in three-dimensional part building systems. The Digitalis® business is a stereolithography rapid manufacturing system previously under development by Huntsman. In connection with this sale, we recognized a pre-tax gain in the fourth quarter of 2011 of \$34 million which was reflected in other operating income on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). We also derecognized \$2 million of goodwill that was allocated to these businesses.

LAFFANS ACQUISITION

On April 2, 2011, we completed the acquisition of the chemical business of Laffans Petrochemicals Limited, an amines and surfactants manufacturer located in Ankleshwar, India at an acquisition cost of approximately \$23 million. The acquired business has been integrated into our Performance Products segment. Transaction costs charged to expense related to this acquisition were not significant.

We have accounted for the Laffans Acquisition using the acquisition method. As such, we analyzed the fair value of tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The preliminary allocation of acquisition cost to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed is summarized as follows (dollars in millions):

Acquisition cost	\$ 23
Fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed:	
Accounts receivable	\$ 10
Inventories	2
Other current assets	2
Property, plant and equipment	14
Accounts payable	(3)
Accrued liabilities	(1)
Other noncurrent liabilities	(1)
Total fair value of net assets acquired	\$ 23

The acquisition cost allocation is preliminary pending final determination of the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed, including final valuation of property, plant and equipment, intangible assets and the determination of related deferred taxes. For purposes of this preliminary allocation of fair value, we have assigned any excess of the acquisition cost over historical carrying values to property, plant and equipment and no amounts have been allocated to goodwill. It is possible that changes to this allocation could occur.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****3. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS AND DISPOSITIONS (Continued)**

If this acquisition were to have occurred on January 1, 2010 the following estimated pro forma revenues and net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation and Huntsman International would have been reported (dollars in millions):

Huntsman Corporation

	Pro Forma Year ended (unaudited)	
	2011	2010
Revenues	\$ 11,235	\$ 9,301
Net income attributable to Huntsman Corporation	248	28

Huntsman International

	Pro Forma Year ended (unaudited)	
	2011	2010
Revenues	\$ 11,235	\$ 9,301
Net income attributable to Huntsman International	254	181

TEXTILE EFFECTS ACQUISITION

On June 30, 2006, we acquired Ciba's textile effects business and accounted for the Textile Effects Acquisition using the purchase method. As such, we analyzed the fair value of tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed and we determined the excess of fair value of net assets over cost. Because the fair value of the acquired assets and liabilities assumed exceeded the purchase price, the valuation of the long-lived assets acquired was reduced to zero. Accordingly, no basis was assigned to property, plant and equipment or any other non-current nonfinancial assets and the remaining excess was recorded as an extraordinary gain, net of taxes (which were not applicable because the gain was recorded in purchase accounting). During 2011, 2010 and 2009, we recorded an additional extraordinary gain (loss) on the acquisition of \$4 million, \$(1) million and \$6 million, respectively, related to settlement of contingent purchase price consideration, the reversal of accruals for certain restructuring and employee termination costs recorded in connection with the Textile Effects Acquisition and a reimbursement by Ciba of certain costs pursuant to the acquisition agreements.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****4. INVENTORIES**

Inventories consisted of the following (dollars in millions):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Raw materials and supplies	\$ 374	\$ 321
Work in progress	92	99
Finished goods	1,162	1,043
Total	1,628	1,463
LIFO reserves	(89)	(67)
Net	\$ 1,539	\$ 1,396

As of both December 31, 2011 and 2010, approximately 12% of inventories were recorded using the LIFO cost method.

In the normal course of operations we, at times, exchange raw materials and finished goods with other companies for the purpose of reducing transportation costs. The net non-monetary open exchange positions are valued at cost. The amounts included in inventory under non-monetary open exchange agreements receivable by us for both December 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$3 million. Other open exchanges are settled in cash and result in a net deferred profit margin. The amounts under these open exchange agreements for both December 31, 2011 and 2010 were nil.

5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

The cost and accumulated depreciation of property, plant and equipment were as follows (dollars in millions):

Huntsman Corporation

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Land	\$ 148	\$ 148
Buildings	629	624
Plant and equipment	5,951	5,674
Construction in progress	330	255
Total	7,058	6,701
Less accumulated depreciation	(3,436)	(3,096)
Net	\$ 3,622	\$ 3,605

Depreciation expense for 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$398 million, \$363 million and \$394 million, respectively, of which nil, \$1 million and \$2 million related to discontinued operations in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (Continued)****Huntsman International**

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Land	\$ 148	\$ 148
Buildings	629	624
Plant and equipment	6,058	5,781
Construction in progress	330	255
Total	7,165	6,808
Less accumulated depreciation	(3,655)	(3,339)
Net	\$ 3,510	\$ 3,469

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$374 million, \$340 million and \$371 million, respectively, of which nil, \$1 million and \$2 million related to discontinued operations in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Property, plant and equipment includes gross assets acquired under capital leases of \$2 million and \$15 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and related amounts included in accumulated depreciation were \$1 million and \$15 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

6. INVESTMENT IN UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES

Our ownership percentage and investment in unconsolidated affiliates were as follows (dollars in millions):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Equity Method:		
Sasol-Huntsman GmbH and Co. KG (50%)(1)	\$	\$ 44
Louisiana Pigment Company, L.P. (50%)	90	98
BASF Huntsman Shanghai Isocyanate Investment BV (50%)(2)	79	65
International Polyurethanes Investments B.V. (45%)	17	16
Jurong Ningwu New Materials Development Co., Ltd. (30%)	10	5
Others	1	1
Total equity method investments	197	229
Cost Method:		
International Diol Company (4.35%)	5	5
Total investments	\$ 202	\$ 234

(1)

We began consolidating Sasol-Huntsman as of April 1, 2011. See "Note 7. Variable Interest Entities."

(2)

We own 50% of BASF Huntsman Shanghai Isocyanate Investment BV. BASF Huntsman Shanghai Isocyanate Investment BV owns a 70% interest in SLIC, thus giving us an indirect 35% interest in SLIC.

F-28

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****6. INVESTMENT IN UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES (Continued)**

Summarized applicable financial information of Sasol-Huntsman as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 is presented below (dollars in millions):

	2011	2010	2009
Current assets	\$	\$	55
Noncurrent assets		123	
Current liabilities		22	
Noncurrent liabilities		83	
Revenues	40(1)	108	\$ 68
Gross profit	7(1)	14	9
Net income	(2)(1)	10	3

(1)

Represents activity for the period from January 1, 2011 to the date of consolidation on April 1, 2011.

Summarized applicable financial information of our other unconsolidated affiliates as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 is presented below (dollars in millions):

	2011	2010	2009
Assets	\$ 621	\$ 722	
Liabilities	285	306	
Revenues	954	936	\$ 593
Net income	22	10	3

In 2008, we and our joint venture partner, the Zamil Group, formed Arabian Amines Company, our ethylenamines manufacturing joint venture in Jubail, Saudi Arabia. Arabian Amines Company's funding requirements have been satisfied through a combination of debt and equity, with the equity already provided on a 50/50 basis by us and the Zamil Group. Trial production commenced in the second quarter of 2010, and from July 2010, Arabian Amines Company generated significant revenues from the sale of product. The plant has an approximate annual capacity of 60 million pounds. We purchase and sell all of the production from this joint venture. Arabian Amines Company was accounted for under the equity method during its development stage; we began consolidating this joint venture beginning July 1, 2010. For more information, see "Note 7. Variable Interest Entities."

During 2010, we recorded an immaterial non-recurring \$18 million credit to equity income of investment in unconsolidated affiliates to appropriately reflect our investment in the Sasol-Huntsman joint venture. This credit represented a cumulative correction of an error that was also individually immaterial in each year since our initial investment in the joint venture in 1997. In connection with the expansion of the maleic anhydride capacity at our Sasol-Huntsman joint venture, a VIE reconsideration event occurred in the second quarter of 2011 when the plant expansion began production. As a result of our assessment, we concluded that the joint venture is a VIE and that we are the primary beneficiary. Accordingly, we began consolidating this joint venture during the second quarter of 2011. For more information see "Note 7. Variable Interest Entities."

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

7. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

We evaluate our investments and transactions to identify VIEs for which we are the primary beneficiary. We hold a variable interest in the following four joint ventures for which we are the primary beneficiary:

Rubicon LLC manufactures products for our Polyurethanes segment. The structure of the joint venture is such that the total equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the joint venture to finance its activities without additional financial support. By virtue of the operating agreement with this joint venture, we purchase a majority of the output, absorb a majority of the operating costs and provide a majority of the additional funding.

Pacific Iron Products Sdn Bhd ("Pacific Iron Products") manufactures products for our Pigments segment. In this joint venture we supply all the raw materials through a fixed cost supply contract, operate the manufacturing facility and market the products of the joint venture to third party customers. Through a fixed price raw materials supply contract with the joint venture we are exposed to the risk related to the fluctuation of raw material pricing.

Arabian Amines Company manufactures products for our Performance Products segment. Prior to July 1, 2010, this joint venture was in the development stage and the total equity investment at risk was sufficient for the joint venture to finance its activities without additional support. Therefore, Arabian Amines Company was accounted for under the equity method. In July 2010, Arabian Amines Company exited the development stage, which triggered the reconsideration of Arabian Amines Company as a VIE. As required in the operating agreement governing this joint venture, we purchase all of Arabian Amines Company's production and sell it to our customers. Substantially all of the joint venture's activities are conducted on our behalf. Accordingly, we concluded that we were the primary beneficiary and began consolidating Arabian Amines Company beginning July 1, 2010.

Sasol-Huntsman is our 50/50 joint venture with Sasol that owns and operates a maleic anhydride facility in Moers, Germany. This joint venture manufactures products for our Performance Products segment. Prior to April 1, 2011, we accounted for Sasol-Huntsman using the equity method. In April 2011, an expansion at this facility began production, which triggered the reconsideration of this joint venture as a VIE. The joint venture uses our technology and expertise, and we bear a disproportionate amount of risk of loss due to a related-party loan to Sasol-Huntsman for which we bear the default risk. As a result, we concluded that we were the primary beneficiary and began consolidating Sasol-Huntsman beginning April 1, 2011.

Creditors of these entities have no recourse to our general credit, except in the event that we offer guarantees of specified indebtedness. As the primary beneficiary of these variable interest entities at December 31, 2011, the joint ventures' assets, liabilities and results of operations are included in our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****7. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES (Continued)**

The following table summarizes the carrying amount of Rubicon LLC, Pacific Iron Products and Arabian Amines Company's assets and liabilities included in our consolidated balance sheet, before intercompany eliminations, as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in millions):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Current assets	\$ 86	\$ 90
Property, plant and equipment, net	262	275
Other noncurrent assets	61	56
Deferred income taxes	45	40
Intangible assets	6	7
Total assets	\$ 460	\$ 468
Current liabilities	\$ 115	\$ 111
Long-term debt	182	188
Deferred income taxes	1	
Other noncurrent liabilities	108	109
Total liabilities	\$ 406	\$ 408

In April 2011, Arabian Amines Company settled a dispute with its third party contractors and received an amount totaling \$11 million. Of this \$11 million settlement, \$8 million was related to damages incurred due to the delayed initial acceptance of the plant. This amount was recorded as other operating (income) expense in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive (loss) income and included in the cash flows from operating activities in the consolidated statements of cash flows. The remaining \$3 million of the settlement was received for the reimbursement of capital expenditures for work left unfinished by the third party contractors. This amount was included in cash flows from investing activities in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

The following table summarizes the fair value of Sasol-Huntsman's assets and liabilities as of April 1, 2011 recorded upon initial consolidation in our consolidated balance sheet and the carrying

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****7. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES (Continued)**

amounts of such assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2011, before intercompany eliminations (dollars in millions):

	December 31, 2011	April 1, 2011
Current assets	\$ 54	\$ 61
Property, plant and equipment, net	141	155
Intangible assets	17	16
Goodwill	15	17
Total assets	\$ 227	\$ 249
Current liabilities	\$ 30	\$ 23
Long-term debt	87	93
Deferred income taxes	8	8
Other noncurrent liabilities	2	7
Total liabilities	\$ 127	\$ 131

Goodwill of \$17 million was recognized upon consolidation of Sasol-Huntsman, of which approximately \$12 million is deductible for income tax purposes. The total amount of goodwill changed approximately \$2 million from the date of consolidation to December 31, 2011, due to a change in the foreign currency exchange rate. All other intangible assets are being amortized over an average useful life of 18 years.

Sasol-Huntsman had revenues and earnings of \$116 million and \$7 million, respectively, for the period from the date of consolidation to December 31, 2011. If this consolidation had occurred on January 1, 2010, the approximate pro forma revenues attributable to both our Company and Huntsman International would have been \$11,259 million and \$9,337 million for 2011 and 2010, respectively. There would have been no impact to the combined earnings attributable to us or Huntsman International excluding a one-time noncash gain of approximately \$12 million recognized upon consolidation included in other operating income in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive (loss) income. Upon consolidation we also recognized a one-time noncash income tax expense of approximately \$2 million. The fair value of the noncontrolling interest was estimated to be \$61 million at April 1, 2011. The noncontrolling interest was valued at 50% of the fair value of the net assets as of April 1, 2011, as dictated by the ownership interest percentages, adjusted for certain tax consequences only applicable to one parent.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****8. INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

The gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization of intangible assets were as follows (dollars in millions):

Huntsman Corporation

	December 31, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Patents, trademarks and technology	\$ 363	\$ 307	\$ 56	\$ 363	\$ 286	\$ 77
Licenses and other agreements	39	14	25	25	12	13
Non-compete agreements	2	2		2	2	
Other intangibles	40	30	10	69	54	15
Total	\$ 444	\$ 353	\$ 91	\$ 459	\$ 354	\$ 105

Amortization expense was \$29 million, \$30 million and \$34 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Estimated future amortization expense for intangible assets over the next five years is as follows (dollars in millions):

Year ending December 31

2012	\$ 24
2013	20
2014	13
2015	5
2016	4

Huntsman International

	December 31, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Patents, trademarks and technology	\$ 363	\$ 307	\$ 56	\$ 363	\$ 286	\$ 77
Licenses and other agreements	39	14	25	25	12	13
Non-compete agreements	2	2		2	2	
Other intangibles	48	36	12	77	60	17
Total	\$ 452	\$ 359	\$ 93	\$ 467	\$ 360	\$ 107

Amortization expense for Huntsman International was \$30 million, \$30 million and \$35 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****8. INTANGIBLE ASSETS (Continued)**

Huntsman International's estimated future amortization expense for intangible assets over the next five years is as follows (dollars in millions):

Year ending December 31	
2012	\$ 24
2013	20
2014	13
2015	5
2016	4

9. OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS

Other noncurrent assets consisted of the following (dollars in millions):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Pension assets	\$ 100	\$ 75
Debt issuance costs	31	33
Capitalized turnaround costs	141	164
Spare parts inventory	89	81
Catalyst assets	23	21
Deposits	31	55
Other	67	66
Total	\$ 482	\$ 495

Amortization expense of catalyst assets for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$12 million, \$12 million and \$14 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****10. ACCRUED LIABILITIES**

Accrued liabilities consisted of the following (dollars in millions):

Huntsman Corporation

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Payroll and related costs	\$ 158	\$ 166
Interest	49	40
Volume and rebate accruals	91	86
Income taxes	46	33
Taxes other than income taxes	61	77
Restructuring and plant closing costs	91	47
Environmental accruals	7	13
Pension liabilities	12	11
Other postretirement benefits	12	12
Self-insured casualty loss reserves	13	17
Deferred revenue	28	5
Legal reserve	15	
Other miscellaneous accruals	112	121
Total	\$ 695	\$ 628

Huntsman International

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Payroll and related costs	\$ 158	\$ 166
Interest	49	40
Volume and rebate accruals	91	86
Income taxes	46	33
Taxes other than income taxes	61	77
Restructuring and plant closing costs	91	47
Environmental accruals	7	13
Pension liabilities	12	11
Other postretirement benefits	12	12
Self-insured casualty loss reserves	13	17
Deferred revenue	28	5
Legal reserve	15	
Other miscellaneous accruals	111	119
Total	\$ 694	\$ 626

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****11. RESTRUCTURING, IMPAIRMENT AND PLANT CLOSING COSTS**

As of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, accrued restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs by type of cost and initiative consisted of the following (dollars in millions):

	Workforce reductions(1)	Demolition and decommissioning	Non-cancelable lease costs	Other restructuring costs	Total(2)
Accrued liabilities as of January 1, 2009	\$ 68	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 3	\$ 75
Adjustment to Textile Effects opening balance sheet liabilities	(5)				(5)
2009 charges for 2006 initiatives		1			1
2009 charges for 2008 initiatives	5				5
2009 charges for 2009 initiatives	56	8		14	78
Reversal of reserves no longer required	(8)				(8)
2009 payments for 2005 and prior initiatives	(5)				(5)
2009 payments for 2006 initiatives	(28)	(1)			(29)
2009 payments for 2008 initiatives	(17)				(17)
2009 payments for 2009 initiatives	(32)	(8)		(12)	(52)
Net activity of discontinued operations	26			8	34
Foreign currency effect on reserve balance				(2)	(2)
Accrued liabilities as of December 31, 2009	60	2	2	11	75
2010 charges for 2005 and prior initiatives	1				1
2010 charges for 2008 initiatives	1				1
2010 charges for 2009 initiatives	4			5	9
2010 charges for 2010 initiatives	22			1	23
Reversal of reserves no longer required	(6)		(1)		(7)
2010 payments for 2005 and prior initiatives	(1)	(1)			(2)
2010 payments for 2006 initiatives	(3)				(3)
2010 payments for 2008 initiatives	(7)				(7)
2010 payments for 2009 initiatives	(11)			(5)	(16)
2010 payments for 2010 initiatives	(1)			(2)	(3)
Net activity of discontinued operations	(26)				(26)
Foreign currency effect on liability balance	3			1	4
Accrued liabilities as of December 31, 2010	36	1	1	11	49
2011 charges for 2006 and prior initiatives	1				1
2011 charges for 2009 initiatives	1			6	7
2011 charges for 2010 initiatives	2	2	10	1	15
2011 charges for 2011 initiatives	87		1	1	89
Reversal of reserves no longer required	(5)				(5)
2011 payments for 2006 and prior initiatives	(1)		(1)	(1)	(3)
2011 payments for 2008 initiatives	(2)				(2)
2011 payments for 2009 initiatives	(6)			(6)	(12)
2011 payments for 2010 initiatives	(17)	(3)		(1)	(21)
2011 payments for 2011 initiatives	(13)			(1)	(14)
Net activity of discontinued operations				(2)	(2)
Foreign currency effect on liability balance	(10)				(10)
Accrued liabilities as of December 31, 2011	\$ 73	\$	\$ 11	\$ 8	\$ 92

(1)

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

The total workforce reduction reserves of \$73 million relate to the termination of 699 positions, of which 650 positions had not been terminated as of December 31, 2011.

(2)

Accrued liabilities remaining at December 31, 2011 and 2010 by year of initiatives were as follows (dollars in millions):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
2006 initiatives and prior	\$ 2	\$ 4
2008 initiatives		1
2009 initiatives	11	20
2010 initiatives	16	24
2011 initiatives	63	
Total	\$ 92	\$ 49

F-36

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

11. RESTRUCTURING, IMPAIRMENT AND PLANT CLOSING COSTS (Continued)

Details with respect to our reserves for restructuring, impairment and plant closing costs are provided below by segment and initiative (dollars in millions):

	Performance		Advanced Textile		Discontinued		Corporate		
	Polyurethanes	Products	Materials	Effects	Pigments	Operations	Other	Total	
Accrued liabilities as of January 1, 2009	\$ 3	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 63	\$ 7	\$	\$	\$ 75	
Adjustment to Textile Effects opening balance sheet liabilities				(5)				(5)	
2009 charges for 2006 initiatives				1				1	
2009 charges for 2008 initiatives	1			2	2			5	
2009 charges for 2009 initiatives			12	10	45		11	78	
Reversal of reserves no longer required				(7)	(1)			(8)	
2009 payments for 2005 and prior initiatives	(2)	(1)			(2)			(5)	
2009 payments for 2006 initiatives				(29)				(29)	
2009 payments for 2008 initiatives				(13)	(4)			(17)	
2009 payments for 2009 initiatives			(6)	(4)	(35)		(7)	(52)	
Net activity of discontinued operations						34		34	
Foreign currency effect on liability balance				(1)	(1)			(2)	
Accrued liabilities as of December 31, 2009	2		7	17	11	34	4	75	
2010 charges for 2005 initiatives					1			1	
2010 charges for 2008 initiatives				1				1	
2010 charges for 2009 initiatives				1	8			9	
2010 charges for 2010 initiatives		2		15			6	23	
Reversal of reserves no longer required			(3)	(1)	(2)		(1)	(7)	
2010 payments for 2005 initiatives	(1)				(1)			(2)	
2010 payments for 2006 initiatives				(3)				(3)	
2010 payments for 2008 initiatives	(1)			(5)	(1)			(7)	
2010 payments for 2009 initiatives			(3)	(2)	(8)		(3)	(16)	
2010 payments for 2010 initiatives		(1)					(2)	(3)	
Net activity of discontinued operations						(26)		(26)	
Foreign currency effect on liability balance				3			1	4	
Accrued liabilities as of December 31, 2010		1	2	25	8	8	5	49	
2011 charges for 2006 and prior initiatives				1				1	
2011 charges for 2009 Initiatives					7			7	
2011 charges for 2010 Initiatives				13			2	15	
2011 charges for 2011 Initiatives			21	65	3			89	
Reversal of reserves no longer required			(1)	(4)				(5)	
2011 payments for 2006 and prior initiatives				(2)	(1)			(3)	
2011 payments for 2008 Initiatives				(1)	(1)			(2)	
2011 payments for 2009 Initiatives			(1)		(11)			(12)	
2011 payments for 2010 Initiatives				(15)			(6)	(21)	
2011 payments for 2011 Initiatives			(7)	(5)	(2)			(14)	
Net activity of discontinued operations						(2)		(2)	
Foreign currency effect on liability balance			(2)	(8)				(10)	
Accrued liabilities as of December 31, 2011	\$	\$ 1	\$ 12	\$ 69	\$ 3	\$ 6	\$ 1	\$ 92	
Current portion of restructuring reserves	\$	\$ 1	\$ 11	\$ 69	\$ 3	\$ 6	\$ 1	\$ 91	
Long-term portion of restructuring reserve			1					1	
Estimated additional future charges for current restructuring projects									

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Estimated additional charges within one year	\$	\$	\$	1	\$	10	\$	6	\$	\$	17
Estimated additional charges beyond one year						15					15

F-37

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****11. RESTRUCTURING, IMPAIRMENT AND PLANT CLOSING COSTS (Continued)**

Details with respect to cash and non-cash restructuring charges for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 by initiative are provided below (dollars in millions):

Cash charges:	
2011 charges for 2006 and prior initiatives	\$ 1
2011 charges for 2009 initiatives	7
2011 charges for 2010 initiatives	15
2011 charges for 2011 initiatives	89
Reversal of reserves no longer required	(5)
Non-cash charges	60

Total 2011 Restructuring, Impairment and Plant Closing Costs	\$ 167
--	--------

Cash charges:	
2010 charges for 2005 and prior initiatives	\$ 1
2010 charges for 2008 initiatives	1
2010 charges for 2009 initiatives	9
2010 charges for 2010 initiatives	23
Reversal of reserves no longer required	(7)
Non-cash charges	2

Total 2010 Restructuring, Impairment and Plant Closing Costs	\$ 29
--	-------

Cash charges:	
2009 charges for 2006 initiatives	\$ 1
2009 charges for 2008 initiatives	5
2009 charges for 2009 initiatives	78
Reversal of reserves no longer required	(8)
Non-cash charges	12

Total 2009 Restructuring, Impairment and Plant Closing Costs	\$ 88
--	-------

2011 RESTRUCTURING ACTIVITIES

As of December 31, 2011, our Advanced Materials segment restructuring reserve consisted of \$12 million related to workforce reductions in connection with a reorganization of its global structure and relocation of its divisional headquarters from Basel, Switzerland to The Woodlands, Texas. During 2011, our Advanced Materials segment recorded net charges of \$20 million primarily related this activity. We expect to incur additional charges of \$1 million through December 31, 2012 related to the relocation of our divisional headquarters from Basel, Switzerland to The Woodlands, Texas.

On September 27, 2011, we announced plans to implement a significant restructuring of our Textile Effects segment, including the closure of our production facilities and business support offices in Basel, Switzerland, as part of an ongoing strategic program aimed at improving the Textile Effects segment's long-term global competitiveness. In connection with this plan during 2011, we recorded a charge of \$62 million for workforce reduction, a pension curtailment gain of \$38 million and \$53 million for the impairment of long-lived assets at our Basel, Switzerland manufacturing facility. For purposes of

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

11. RESTRUCTURING, IMPAIRMENT AND PLANT CLOSING COSTS (Continued)

calculating the impairment charge, the fair value of the Basel, Switzerland manufacturing facility was based on the discounted cash flows of that facility. We expect to incur additional restructuring and plant closing charges of approximately \$25 million through 2014. As of December 31, 2011, our Textile Effects segment restructuring reserve consisted of \$69 million of which \$2 million related to opening balance sheet liabilities from the Textile Effects Acquisition, \$2 million related to workforce reductions at our production facility in Langweid, Germany, \$2 million related to the simplification of the commercial organization and optimization of our distribution network, \$15 million related to the consolidation of manufacturing activities and processes at our site in Basel, Switzerland, \$47 million related to the closure of our production facilities and business support offices in Basel, Switzerland and \$1 million related to the consolidation of our North Carolina sites.

In addition, during 2011, our Textile Effects segment recorded charges of \$22 million of which \$5 million related to simplification of the commercial organization and optimization of our distribution network, \$12 million related to non-workforce reductions incurred for the consolidation of our Switzerland manufacturing facilities, and \$4 million related to the consolidation of our North Carolina sites. We reversed charges of \$4 million which were no longer required for workforce reductions at our production facility in Langweid, Germany and the consolidation of manufacturing activities and processes at our site in Basel, Switzerland.

As of December 31, 2011, our Pigments segment restructuring reserve consisted of \$3 million primarily related to workforce reductions at our Huelva, Spain and Scarlino, Italy plants. During 2011, our Pigments segment recorded charges of \$10 million of which \$7 million related to the closure of our Grimsby, U.K. plant and \$3 million related to workforce reductions at our Umbogintwini, South Africa plant. We expect to incur additional charges of \$6 million through December 31, 2013, primarily related to the closure of our Grimsby, U.K. plant and workforce reductions at Scarlino, Italy.

The restructuring reserve related to discontinued operations as of December 31, 2011 of \$6 million was associated with the closure of our Australian styrenics business. For more information, see "Note 25. Discontinued Operations Australian Styrenics Business Shutdown."

As of December 31, 2011, our Corporate and other segment restructuring reserve consisted of \$1 million primarily related to a reorganization and regional consolidation of our transactional accounting activities. During 2011, we recorded charges of \$2 million in Corporate and other primarily related to workforce reductions in connection with this project.

2010 RESTRUCTURING ACTIVITIES

As of December 31, 2010, our Performance Products segment restructuring reserve consisted of \$1 million related to workforce reductions in connection with a new Performance Products organizational structure. During 2010, we recorded charges of \$2 million related to workforce reductions in connection with this project.

As of December 31, 2010, our Advanced Materials segment restructuring reserve consisted of \$2 million related to workforce reductions in connection with a reorganization designed to implement a regional management structure. During 2010, we recorded net reversals of \$2 million primarily related to workforce reductions in connection to this project.

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

11. RESTRUCTURING, IMPAIRMENT AND PLANT CLOSING COSTS (Continued)

As of December 31, 2010, our Textile Effects segment restructuring reserve consisted of \$25 million of which \$2 million related to opening balance sheet liabilities from the Textile Effects Acquisition, \$1 million related to the streamlining of the textile effects business into two global strategic business units as announced during the fourth quarter of 2008, \$3 million related to workforce reductions at our production facility in Langweid, Germany, and \$19 million related to the consolidation of manufacturing activities and processes at our site in Basel, Switzerland. During 2010, our Textile Effects segment recorded net charges of \$15 million primarily related to the consolidation of manufacturing activities and processes at our site in Basel, Switzerland.

As of December 31, 2010, our Pigments segment restructuring reserve consisted of \$8 million primarily related to workforce reductions at our Huelva, Spain and Scarlino, Italy plants. During 2010, our Pigments segment recorded net charges of \$7 million primarily related to the closure of our Grimsby, U.K. plant. We expect to incur additional charges of \$8 million through December 31, 2012, primarily related to the closure of our Grimsby, U.K. plant.

The restructuring reserve related to discontinued operations as of December 31, 2010 of \$8 million was associated with the closure of our Australian styrenics business. For more information, see "Note 25. Discontinued Operations Australian Styrenics Business Shutdown."

As of December 31, 2010, our Corporate and other segment restructuring reserve consisted of \$5 million primarily related to a reorganization and regional consolidation of our transactional accounting and purchasing activities. During 2010, we recorded net charges of \$5 million in Corporate and other primarily related to workforce reductions in connection with these projects.

2009 RESTRUCTURING ACTIVITIES

As of December 31, 2009, our Polyurethanes segment restructuring reserve consisted of \$2 million related to restructuring initiatives at our Rozenburg, The Netherlands site (as announced in 2003).

As of December 31, 2009, our Advanced Materials segment restructuring reserve consisted of \$7 million related to workforce reductions in connection with a reorganization designed to implement a regional management structure. During 2009, we recorded charges of \$12 million related to this reorganization project.

As of December 31, 2009, our Textile Effects segment restructuring reserve consisted of \$17 million, of which \$5 million related to opening balance sheet liabilities from the Textile Effects Acquisition, \$5 million related to the streamlining of the textile effects business into two global strategic business units as announced during the fourth quarter of 2008, and \$7 million related to workforce reductions at our production facility in Langweid, Germany. During 2009, we recorded charges of \$13 million primarily related to workforce reductions at our Germany production facility. We also reversed accruals of \$7 million primarily related to the streamlining of the textile effects business and \$5 million related to certain employee termination costs recorded in connection with the Textile Effects Acquisition.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****11. RESTRUCTURING, IMPAIRMENT AND PLANT CLOSING COSTS (Continued)**

As of December 31, 2009, our Pigments segment restructuring reserve consisted of \$11 million primarily related to workforce reductions at our Huelva, Spain plant. During 2009, we recorded charges of \$47 million, of which \$29 million primarily related to the closure of our Grimsby plant and \$18 million primarily related to workforce reductions at our Huelva, Spain plant. Of the \$29 million of charges at our Grimsby plant, \$14 million related to contract terminations, \$7 million related to workforce reductions and \$8 million related to decommissioning. We also recorded non-cash charges of \$4 million primarily related to a provision against engineering spare parts at our Grimsby plant.

As of December 31, 2009, the restructuring reserve associated with discontinued operations of \$34 million related to the closure of our former styrenics business in West Footscray, Australia. During 2009, we recorded charges of \$63 million in discontinued operations related to the closure of this business, of which \$25 million related to workforce reductions, \$30 million related to estimated environmental remediation costs and \$8 million related to contract termination costs.

As of December 31, 2009, our Corporate and other segment restructuring reserve consisted of \$4 million related to our 2009 fixed cost reduction project announced in the first quarter of 2009. During 2009, we recorded charges of \$11 million and non-cash charges of \$3 million in Corporate and other related to other aspects of our 2009 fixed cost reduction project.

12. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

Asset retirement obligations consist primarily of landfill capping, closure and post-closure costs and asbestos abatement costs. We are legally required to perform capping and closure and post-closure care on the landfills and asbestos abatement on certain of our premises. For each asset retirement obligation we recognized the estimated fair value of a liability and capitalized the cost as part of the cost basis of the related asset.

The following table describes changes to our asset retirement obligation liabilities, all of which were recorded in other noncurrent liabilities on the accompanying balance sheets (dollars in millions):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Asset retirement obligation at beginning of year	\$ 24	\$ 21
Accretion expense	2	1
Liabilities incurred		1
Liabilities assumed in connection with the consolidation of a variable interest entity	2	
Liabilities settled	(1)	
Foreign currency effect on reserve balance	(1)	1
Asset retirement obligation at end of year	\$ 26	\$ 24

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****13. OTHER NONCURRENT LIABILITIES**

Other noncurrent liabilities consisted of the following (dollars in millions):

Huntsman Corporation

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Pension liabilities	\$ 689	\$ 538
Other postretirement benefits	122	124
Environmental accruals	29	35
Restructuring and plant closing costs	1	2
Asset retirement obligations	26	24
Employee benefit accrual	22	23
Legal reserve	22	13
Other	101	107
Total	\$ 1,012	\$ 866

Huntsman International

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Pension liabilities	\$ 689	\$ 538
Other postretirement benefits	122	124
Environmental accruals	29	35
Restructuring and plant closing costs	1	2
Asset retirement obligations	26	24
Employee benefit accrual	22	23
Legal reserve	22	13
Other	92	93
Total	\$ 1,003	\$ 852

F-42

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****14. DEBT**

Outstanding debt of consolidated entities consisted of the following (dollars in millions):

Huntsman Corporation

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Senior Credit Facilities:		
Term loans	\$ 1,696	\$ 1,688
Amounts outstanding under A/R programs	237	238
Senior notes	472	452
Senior Subordinated notes	976	1,279
HPS (China) debt	167	188
Variable interest entities	281	200
Other	113	101
Total debt excluding debt to affiliates	\$ 3,942	\$ 4,146
Total current portion of debt	\$ 212	\$ 519
Long-term portion	3,730	3,627
Total debt excluding debt to affiliates	\$ 3,942	\$ 4,146
Total debt excluding debt to affiliates	\$ 3,942	\$ 4,146
Notes payable to affiliates-noncurrent	4	4
Total debt	\$ 3,946	\$ 4,150

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****14. DEBT (Continued)****Huntsman International**

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Senior Credit Facilities:		
Term loans	\$ 1,696	\$ 1,688
Amounts outstanding under A/R programs	237	238
Senior notes	472	452
Subordinated notes	976	1,279
HPS (China) debt	167	188
Variable interest entities	281	200
Other	113	101
Total debt excluding debt to affiliates	\$ 3,942	\$ 4,146
Total current portion of debt	\$ 212	\$ 519
Long-term portion	3,730	3,627
Total debt excluding debt to affiliates	\$ 3,942	\$ 4,146
Total debt excluding debt to affiliates	\$ 3,942	\$ 4,146
Notes payable to affiliates-current	100	100
Notes payable to affiliates-noncurrent	439	439
Total debt	\$ 4,481	\$ 4,685

DIRECT AND SUBSIDIARY DEBT

Huntsman Corporation's direct debt and guarantee obligations consist of the following: guarantees of certain debt of HPS (our Chinese MDI joint venture); a guarantee of certain debt and other obligations of certain of our Australian subsidiaries; and certain indebtedness incurred from time to time to finance certain insurance premiums.

Substantially all of our other debt, including the facilities described below, has been incurred by our subsidiaries (primarily Huntsman International); such subsidiary debt is nonrecourse to us and we have no contractual obligation to fund our subsidiaries' respective operations.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****14. DEBT (Continued)****Senior Credit Facilities**

As of December 31, 2011, our Senior Credit Facilities consisted of our Revolving Facility, our Term Loan B, our Term Loan C and our Extended Term Loan B as follows (dollars in millions):

Facility	Committed Amount	Principal Outstanding	Carrying Value	Interest Rate(2)	Maturity
Revolving Facility	\$ 300	\$	\$ (1)	USD LIBOR plus 3.00%	2014(3)
Term Loan B	NA	\$ 652	\$ 652	USD LIBOR plus 1.50%	2014(3)
Term Loan C	NA	\$ 427	\$ 394	USD LIBOR plus 2.25%	2016(3)
Extended Term Loan B	NA	\$ 650	\$ 650	USD LIBOR plus 2.50%	2017(3)

- (1) We had no borrowings outstanding under our Revolving Facility; we had approximately \$20 million (U.S. dollar equivalents) of letters of credit and bank guarantees issued and outstanding under our Revolving Facility.
- (2) The applicable interest rate of the Senior Credit Facilities is subject to certain secured leverage ratio thresholds. As of December 31, 2011, the weighted average interest rate on our outstanding balances under the Senior Credit Facilities was approximately 2%.
- (3) The maturity of the Extended Term Loan B will accelerate if we do not repay, refinance or have a minimum level of liquidity available to enable us to refinance or repay our outstanding 5.50% senior notes due 2016 at least three months prior to the maturity date of such notes.

Our obligations under the Senior Credit Facilities are guaranteed by our guarantor subsidiaries, which consist of substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries and certain of our foreign subsidiaries, and are secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of our domestic property, plant and equipment, the stock of all of our material domestic subsidiaries and certain foreign subsidiaries and pledges of intercompany notes between certain of our subsidiaries.

On March 7, 2011, Huntsman International entered into a sixth amendment to its credit agreement. The amendment, among other things, extended \$650 million of aggregate principal of Term Loan B to a stated maturity of April 2017. As noted in the table above, after the amendment, as of December 31, 2011, we have \$652 million outstanding on Term Loan B with maturity of April 2014 and \$650 million outstanding on Extended Term Loan B with a maturity of April 2017. The amendment increased the interest rate margin with respect to Extended Term Loan B by 1.0%. Extended Term Loan B will amortize in an amount equal to 1.0% of the principal amount, payable annually commencing on March 31, 2012. The amendment also grants Huntsman International the right to request an extension of the remaining principal balance of Term Loan B to the stated maturity date of Extended Term Loan B.

During 2010, we took the following actions with respect to our Senior Credit Facilities:

On March 9, Huntsman International entered into the Fifth Amendment to the Credit Agreement which replaced certain agent banks, extended the stated maturity of the Revolving Facility and amended certain other terms.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****14. DEBT (Continued)**

On April 26, we prepaid \$124 million on Term Loan B and \$40 million on Term Loan C with cash accumulated in prior periods. We incurred a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$5 million.

On June 22, we prepaid \$83 million on Term Loan B and \$27 million on Term Loan C with proceeds from the final settlement of insurance claims. We incurred a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$2 million.

We paid the annual scheduled repayment of \$16 million on Term Loan B and \$5 million on Term Loan C.

A/R Programs

Our A/R Programs are structured so that we grant a participating undivided interest in certain of our trade receivables to the U.S. SPE and the EU SPE. We retain the servicing rights and a retained interest in the securitized receivables. Information regarding the A/R Programs was as follows (monetary amounts in millions):

Facility	Maturity	December 31, 2011		Interest Rate(2)(3)
		Maximum Funding Availability(1)	Amount Outstanding	
U.S. A/R Program	April 2014	\$250	\$90(4)	Applicable Rate plus 1.50% - 1.65%
EU A/R Program	April 2014	€225 (approximately \$291)	€114 (approximately \$147)	Applicable Rate plus 2.0%
Facility	Maturity	December 31, 2010		Interest Rate(2)(3)
		Maximum Funding Availability(1)	Amount Outstanding	
U.S. A/R Program	October 2012	\$125	\$27.5	USD LIBOR rate plus 3.75%
U.S. A/R Program	October 2011	\$125	\$27.5	CP rate plus 3.50%
EU A/R Program	October 2011	€225 (approximately \$297)	€139 (approximately \$183)	GBP LIBOR rate, USD LIBOR rate or EURIBOR rate plus 3.75%

- (1) The amount of actual availability under the A/R Programs may be lower based on the level of eligible receivables sold, changes in the credit ratings of our customers, customer concentration levels, and certain characteristics of the accounts receivable being transferred, as defined in the applicable agreements.
- (2) Each interest rate is defined in the applicable agreements. In addition, the U.S. SPE and the EU SPE are obligated to pay unused commitment fees to the lenders based on the amount of each lender's commitment.
- (3) Applicable rate for the U.S. A/R Program is defined by the lender as either USD LIBOR or CP rate. Applicable rate for the EU A/R Program is either GBP LIBOR, USD LIBOR or EURIBOR.

(4)

As of December 31, 2011 we had approximately \$4 million (U.S. dollar equivalents) of letters of credit issued and outstanding under our U.S. A/R Program.

F-46

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****14. DEBT (Continued)**

On April 15, 2011, Huntsman International entered into an amendment to the EU A/R Program. This amendment, among other things, extended the scheduled commitment termination date of the program to April 2014, added an additional lender to the program and reduced the applicable margin on borrowings to 2.0%.

On April 18, 2011, Huntsman International entered into an amendment to the U.S. A/R Program. This amendment, among other things, extended the scheduled commitment termination date of the program to April 2014, added an additional lender to the program and reduced the applicable margin on borrowings to a range of 1.50% to 1.65%.

Receivables transferred under the A/R Programs qualified as sales through December 31, 2009. Upon adoption of new accounting guidance in 2010, transfers of accounts receivable under our A/R Programs no longer met the criteria for derecognition. Accordingly, the amounts outstanding under our A/R Programs are accounted for as secured borrowings as of January 1, 2010. During 2009, we recorded a loss on the off-balance sheet accounts receivable securitization program of \$23 million.

As of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, \$633 million and \$552 million respectively, of accounts receivable were pledged as collateral under the A/R Programs.

Notes

As of December 31, 2011, we had outstanding the following notes (monetary amounts in millions):

Notes	Maturity	Interest Rate	Amount Outstanding
Senior Notes	June 2016	5.500%(1)	\$600 (\$472 carrying value)
Senior Subordinated Notes	March 2021	8.625%	\$530 (\$543 carrying value)
Senior Subordinated Notes	March 2020	8.625%	\$350
Senior Subordinated Notes	January 2015	7.500%	€64 (approximately \$83)

(1)

The effective interest rate at issuance was 11.73%.

Our notes are governed by indentures which impose certain limitations on Huntsman International, including among other things limitations on the incurrence of debt, distributions, certain restricted payments, asset sales, and affiliate transactions. The notes are unsecured obligations and are guaranteed by certain subsidiaries named as guarantors.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****14. DEBT (Continued)****Redemption of Notes and Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt**

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we redeemed or repurchased the following notes (monetary amounts in millions):

Date of Redemption	Notes	Principal Amount of Notes Redeemed	Amount Paid (Excluding Accrued Interest)	Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt
Three months ended December 31, 2011	6.875% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013	€70 (approximately \$94)	€71 (approximately \$96)	\$ 2
Three months ended September 30, 2011	6.875% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013	€14 (approximately \$19)	€14 (approximately \$19)	\$
Three months ended September 30, 2011	7.5% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015	€12 (approximately \$17)	€12 (approximately \$17)	\$
July 25, 2011	7.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015	\$75	\$77	\$ 2
January 18, 2011	7.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015	\$100	\$102	\$ 3
November 29, 2010	7.875% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014	\$88	\$92	\$ 3
November 26, 2010	7.875% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014	\$100	\$104	\$ 4
October 12, 2010	7.875% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014	\$159	\$165	\$ 7
September 27, 2010	6.875% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013	€132 (approximately \$177)	€137 (approximately \$183)	\$ 7
March 17, 2010	6.875% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013	€184 (approximately \$253)	€189 (approximately \$259)	\$ 7
March 17, 2010	7.50% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015	€59 (approximately \$81)	€59 (approximately \$81)	\$ 2
January 11, 2010(1)	7.00% Convertible Notes due 2018	\$250	\$382	\$ 146

(1)

The convertible notes due 2018 were issued to Apollo in December 2008 as part of a settlement agreement with Apollo. These convertible notes, which would have matured on December 23, 2018, bore interest at the rate of 7% per year and were convertible into approximately 31.8 million shares of our common stock at any time by the holders.

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

14. DEBT (Continued)

For the year ended December 31, 2011, we and Huntsman International recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$7 million. For the year ended December 31, 2010, in connection with redemptions described in the table above, we recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$176 million, and Huntsman International recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$30 million. As noted in "Senior Credit Facilities" above, we and Huntsman International also recognized a \$7 million loss on early extinguishment of debt in 2010 on the prepayment of \$274 million of Term Loans. For the year ended December 31, 2009, we and Huntsman International recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$21 million each.

Variable Interest Entity Debt

On April 1, 2011, we began consolidating Sasol-Huntsman which was previously accounted for under the equity method. See "Note 7. Variable Interest Entities." Sasol-Huntsman has a facility agreement for a €77 million (approximately \$100 million) term loan facility and a €5 million (approximately \$6 million) revolving facility. As of December 31, 2011, Sasol-Huntsman had no borrowings under the revolving facility and had €73 million (approximately \$95 million) outstanding under the term loan facility. The facility will be repaid over semiannual installments, with the final repayment scheduled for December 2018. Obligations under the facility agreement are secured by, among other things, first priority right on the property, plant and equipment of Sasol-Huntsman.

As of December 31, 2011, Arabian Amines Company had \$186 million outstanding under its loan commitments and debt financing arrangements which consisted of the following:

An SIDF Facility with SAR 482 million (approximately \$129 million) outstanding. Repayment of the loan is to be made in semiannual installments that are scheduled to begin in 2012, with final maturity in 2018. The loan is secured by a mortgage over the fixed assets of the project and is 100% guaranteed by the Zamil Group, our 50% joint venture partner.

A multipurpose Islamic term facility with \$57 million outstanding. This facility is scheduled to be repaid in semiannual installments, with final maturity in 2022.

Other Debt

During the year ended December 31, 2011, HPS repaid \$4 million and RMB 151 million (approximately \$24 million) of term loans and working capital loans under its secured facilities. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2011, HPS refinanced RMB 38 million (approximately \$6 million) in working capital loans and borrowed an additional RMB 145 million (approximately \$23 million) in working capital loans with maturity in 2014. The interest rate on these facilities is LIBOR plus 0.48% for U.S. dollar borrowings and approximately 90% of the Peoples Bank of China rate for RMB borrowings. As of December 31, 2011, HPS had \$12 million and RMB 474 million (approximately \$75 million) term loan and working capital borrowings under these secured facilities. As of December 31, 2011, the interest rate was approximately 1% for U.S. dollar borrowings and 6% for RMB borrowings. We have guaranteed certain of these loans.

As of December 31, 2011, HPS also had RMB 499 million (approximately \$79 million) outstanding under a loan facility for working capital loans and discounting commercial drafts with recourse, which is classified as current portion of debt on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Interest is

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

14. DEBT (Continued)

calculated using a Peoples Bank of China rate plus the applicable margin. The all-in rate as of December 31, 2011 was approximately 6%.

As of December 31, 2011, our Australian subsidiary has A\$26 million (approximately \$26 million) outstanding under its credit facility. The credit facility is comprised of a revolving facility with A\$14 million (approximately \$14 million) outstanding and a term facility with A\$12 million (approximately \$12 million) outstanding. On September 1, 2011, our Australian subsidiary entered into an amendment with the lender to modify certain terms of the credit facility.

During the third quarter of 2011, we incurred other debt related to the financing of our insurance premiums in connection with our annual renewal in July 2011. As of December 31, 2011, the outstanding amount of financed insurance premiums was \$15 million, all of which was classified as current portion of debt on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Note Payable from Huntsman International to Huntsman Corporation

As of December 31, 2011, we had a loan of \$535 million to our subsidiary, Huntsman International. The Intercompany Note is unsecured and \$100 million of the outstanding amount is classified as current as of December 31, 2011 on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. As of December 31, 2011, under the terms of the Intercompany Note, Huntsman International promises to pay us interest on the unpaid principal amount at a rate per annum based on the previous monthly average borrowing rate obtained under our U.S. A/R Program, less 10 basis points (provided that the rate shall not exceed an amount that is 25 basis points less than the monthly average borrowing rate obtained for the U.S. LIBOR-based borrowings under our Revolving Facility).

COMPLIANCE WITH COVENANTS

We believe that we are in compliance with the covenants contained in the agreements governing our material debt instruments, including our Senior Credit Facilities, our A/R Programs and our notes.

Our material financing arrangements contain certain covenants with which we must comply. A failure to comply with a covenant could result in a default under a financing arrangement if not waived or amended. A default under these material financing arrangements generally allows debt holders the option to declare the underlying debt obligations immediately due and payable.

Furthermore, certain of our material financing arrangements contain cross default and cross acceleration provisions under which a failure to comply with the covenants in one financing arrangement may result in an event of default under another financing arrangement.

Our Senior Credit Facilities are subject to the Leverage Covenant which applies only to the Revolving Facility and is tested at the Huntsman International level. The Leverage Covenant is applicable only if borrowings, letters of credit or guarantees are outstanding under the Revolving Facility (cash collateralized letters of credit or guarantees are not deemed outstanding). The Leverage Covenant is a net senior secured leverage ratio covenant which requires that Huntsman International's ratio of senior secured debt to EBITDA (as defined in the applicable agreement) is not more than 3.75 to 1.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****14. DEBT (Continued)**

If in the future Huntsman International failed to comply with the Leverage Covenant, then we may not have access to liquidity under our Revolving Facility. If Huntsman International failed to comply with the Leverage Covenant at a time when we had uncollateralized loans or letters of credit outstanding under the Revolving Facility, Huntsman International would be in default under the Senior Credit Facilities, and, unless Huntsman International obtained a waiver or forbearance with respect to such default (as to which we can provide no assurance), Huntsman International could be required to pay off the balance of the Senior Credit Facilities in full, and we may not have further access to such facilities.

The agreements governing our A/R Programs also contain certain receivable performance metrics. Any material failure to meet the applicable A/R Programs' metrics in the future could lead to an early termination event under the A/R Programs, which could require us to cease our use of such facilities, prohibiting us from additional borrowings against our receivables or, at the discretion of the lenders, requiring that we repay the A/R Programs in full. An early termination event under the A/R Programs would also constitute an event of default under our Senior Credit Facilities, which could require us to pay off the balance of the Senior Credit Facilities in full and could result in the loss of our Senior Credit Facilities.

MATURITIES

The scheduled maturities of our debt (excluding debt to affiliates) by year as of December 31, 2011 are as follows (dollars in millions):

Year ending December 31	
2012	\$ 212
2013	86
2014	973
2015	135
2016	897
Thereafter	1,639
	\$ 3,942

15. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

We are exposed to market risks, such as changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and commodity pricing risks. From time to time, we enter into transactions, including transactions involving derivative instruments, to manage certain of these exposures. We also hedge our net investment in certain European operations. Changes in the fair value of the hedge in the net investment of certain European operations are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income.

INTEREST RATE RISKS

Through our borrowing activities, we are exposed to interest rate risk. Such risk arises due to the structure of our debt portfolio, including the duration of the portfolio and the mix of fixed and floating interest rates. Actions taken to reduce interest rate risk include managing the mix and rate

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

15. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES (Continued)

characteristics of various interest bearing liabilities, as well as entering into interest rate derivative instruments.

From time to time, we may purchase interest rate swaps and/or interest rate collars to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates on our floating-rate long-term debt. Under interest rate swaps, we agree with other parties to exchange, at specified intervals, the difference between fixed-rate and floating-rate interest amounts calculated by reference to an agreed notional principal amount. The collars entitle us to receive from the counterparties (major banks) the amounts, if any, by which our interest payments on certain of our floating-rate borrowings exceed a certain rate, and require us to pay to the counterparties (major banks) the amount, if any, by which our interest payments on certain of our floating-rate borrowings are less than a certain rate.

On December 9, 2009, we entered into a five-year interest rate contract to hedge the variability caused by monthly changes in cash flow due to associated changes in LIBOR under our Senior Credit Facilities. The notional value of the contract is \$50 million, and it has been designated as a cash flow hedge. The effective portion of the changes in the fair value of the swap was recorded in other comprehensive loss. We will pay a fixed 2.6% on the hedge and receive the one-month LIBOR rate. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the fair value of the hedge was \$(3) million and \$(2) million, respectively, and was recorded in other noncurrent liabilities.

On January 19, 2010, we entered into an additional five-year interest rate contract to hedge the variability caused by monthly changes in cash flow due to associated changes in LIBOR under our Senior Credit Facilities. The notional value of the contract is \$50 million, and it has been designated as a cash flow hedge. The effective portion of the changes in the fair value of the swap was recorded as other comprehensive loss. We will pay a fixed 2.8% on the hedge and receive the one-month LIBOR rate. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the fair value of the hedge was \$(3) million and \$(2) million, respectively, and was recorded in other noncurrent liabilities.

On September 1, 2011, we entered into a \$50 million forward interest rate contract that will begin in December 2014 with maturity in April 2017 and a \$50 million forward interest rate contract that will begin in January 2015 with maturity in April 2017. These two forward contracts are to hedge the variability caused by monthly changes in cash flow due to associated changes in LIBOR under our Senior Credit Facilities once our existing interest rate hedges mature. These swaps are designated as a cash flow hedges and the effective portion of the changes in the fair value of the swaps were recorded in other comprehensive income. Both interest rate contracts will pay a fixed 2.5% on the hedge and receive the one-month LIBOR rate once the contracts begin in 2014 and 2015, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, the combined fair value of these two hedges was \$(1) million and was recorded in other noncurrent liabilities on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

In 2009, Sasol-Huntsman entered into derivative transactions to hedge the variable interest rate associated with its local credit facility. These derivative rate hedges include a floating to fixed interest rate contract providing Sasol-Huntsman with EURIBOR interest payments for a fixed payment of 3.62% and a cap for future periods with a strike price of 3.62%. In connection with the consolidation of Sasol-Huntsman as of April 1, 2011, the interest rate contract is now included in our consolidated results. See "Note 7. Variable Interest Entities." The notional amount of the hedge as of December 31, 2011 was €51 million (approximately \$66 million) and the derivative transactions do not qualify for

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

15. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES (Continued)

hedge accounting. As of December 31, 2011, the fair value of this hedge was €(3) million (approximately \$3 million) and was recorded in other noncurrent liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. For 2011 we recorded interest expense of €2 million (approximately \$2 million) due to changes in the fair value of the swap.

Beginning in 2009, Arabian Amines Company entered into a 12-year floating to fixed interest rate contract providing for a receipt of LIBOR interest payments for a fixed payment of 5.02%. In connection with the consolidation of Arabian Amines Company as of July 1, 2010, the interest rate contract is now included in our consolidated results. See "Note 7. Variable Interest Entities." The notional amount of the swap as of December 31, 2011 was \$38 million, and the interest rate contract is not designated as a cash flow hedge. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the fair value of the swap was \$(6) million and \$(5) million, respectively, and was recorded as other noncurrent liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. For 2011 and 2010, we recorded additional (reduction of) interest expense of \$1 million and \$(1) million, respectively, due to changes in fair value of the swap.

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the changes in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income associated with these cash flow hedging activities was approximately \$4 million and \$5 million, respectively.

During 2012, accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income of nil is expected to be reclassified to earnings. The actual amount that will be reclassified to earnings over the next twelve months may vary from this amount due to changing market conditions. We would be exposed to credit losses in the event of nonperformance by a counterparty to our derivative financial instruments. We anticipate, however, that the counterparties will be able to fully satisfy their obligations under the contracts. Market risk arises from changes in interest rates.

FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATE RISK

Our cash flows and earnings are subject to fluctuations due to exchange rate variation. Our revenues and expenses are denominated in various currencies. We enter into foreign currency derivative instruments to minimize the short-term impact of movements in foreign currency rates. Where practicable, we generally net multicurrency cash balances among our subsidiaries to help reduce exposure to foreign currency exchange rates. Certain other exposures may be managed from time to time through financial market transactions, principally through the purchase of spot or forward foreign exchange contracts (generally with maturities of three months or less). We do not hedge our currency exposures in a manner that would eliminate the effect of changes in exchange rates on our cash flows and earnings. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had approximately \$263 million and \$183 million notional amount (in U.S. dollar equivalents) outstanding, respectively, in foreign currency contracts with a term of approximately one month.

In conjunction with the issuance of our 8.625% senior subordinated notes due 2020, we entered into cross-currency interest rate contracts with three counterparties. On March 17, 2010, we made payments of \$350 million to these counterparties and received €255 million from these counterparties, and on maturity (March 15, 2015) we are required to pay €255 million to these counterparties and will receive \$350 million from these counterparties. On March 15 and September 15 of each year, we will receive U.S. dollar interest payments of approximately \$15 million (equivalent to an annual rate of

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

15. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES (Continued)

8.625%) and make interest payments of approximately €11 million (equivalent to an annual rate of approximately 8.41%). This swap is designated as a hedge of net investment for financial reporting purposes. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the fair value of this swap was \$27 million and \$19 million, respectively, and was recorded as noncurrent assets in our consolidated balance sheet. For 2011 and 2010, we recorded the effective portion of the changes in the fair value of \$8 million and \$7 million, respectively, in other comprehensive income, and we recorded the ineffective portion of nil and \$12 million, respectively, as a reduction to interest expense. On July 15, 2010, we changed the method of assessing the effectiveness of this hedge from the spot method to the forward method, which we believe will reduce the ineffective portion and lower volatility in interest expense in future periods.

A portion of our debt is denominated in euros. We also finance certain of our non-U.S. subsidiaries with intercompany loans that are, in many cases, denominated in currencies other than the entities' functional currency. We manage the net foreign currency exposure created by this debt through various means, including cross-currency swaps, the designation of certain intercompany loans as permanent loans because they are not expected to be repaid in the foreseeable future ("permanent loans") and the designation of certain debt and swaps as net investment hedges.

Foreign currency transaction gains and losses on intercompany loans that are not designated as permanent loans are recorded in earnings. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses on intercompany loans that are designated as permanent loans are recorded in other comprehensive income. From time to time, we review such designation of intercompany loans.

We review our non-U.S. dollar denominated debt and derivative instruments to determine the appropriate amounts designated as hedges. As of December 31, 2011, we have designated approximately €319 million (approximately \$412 million) of euro-denominated debt and cross-currency interest rate contracts as a hedge of our net investment. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the amount of gain (loss) recognized on the hedge of our net investment was \$5 million, \$34 million and \$(5) million, respectively, and was recorded in other comprehensive (loss) income. As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately €1,162 million (approximately \$1,503 million) in net euro assets.

COMMODITY PRICES RISK

Our exposure to changing commodity prices is somewhat limited since the majority of our raw materials are acquired at posted or market related prices, and sales prices for many of our finished products are at market related prices which are largely set on a monthly or quarterly basis in line with industry practice. Consequently, we do not generally hedge our commodity exposures.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****16. FAIR VALUE**

The fair values of our financial instruments were as follows (dollars in millions):

	December 31,			
	2011		2010	
	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value
Non-qualified employee benefit plan investments	\$ 12	\$ 12	\$ 11	\$ 11
Cross-currency interest rate contacts	27	27	19	19
Interest rate contracts	(17)	(17)	(9)	(9)
Long-term debt (including current portion)	(3,942)	(4,061)	(4,146)	(4,371)

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value because of the immediate or short-term maturity of these financial instruments. The fair value of nonqualified employee benefit plan investments is estimated using prevailing market prices. The estimated fair values of our long-term debt are based on quoted market prices for the identical liability when traded as an asset in an active market.

The fair value estimates presented herein are based on pertinent information available to management as of December 31, 2011 and 2010. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these financial statements since December 31, 2011, and current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein.

The following assets are measured at fair value on a recurring basis (dollars in millions):

Description	December 31, 2011	Fair Value Amounts Using		
		Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Available-for sale equity securities:				
Equity mutual funds	\$ 12	\$ 12	\$	\$
Derivatives:				
Cross-currency interest rate contract(1)	27			27
Total assets	\$ 39	\$ 12	\$	\$ 27
Liabilities:				
Derivatives:				
Interest rate contracts(2)	\$ (17)		\$ (17)	\$

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

16. FAIR VALUE (Continued)

Description	December 31, 2010	Fair Value Amounts Using		
		Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Available-for sale equity securities:				
Equity mutual funds	\$ 11	\$ 11	\$	\$
Derivatives:				
Cross-currency interest rate contract(1)	19			19
Total assets	\$ 30	\$ 11	\$	\$ 19
Liabilities:				
Derivatives:				
Interest rate contracts(2)	\$ (9)	\$	\$ (9)	\$

(1) The income approach is used to calculate the fair value of these instruments. Fair value represents the present value of estimated future cash flows, calculated using relevant interest rates, exchange rates, and yield curves at stated intervals.

(2) The income approach is used to calculate the fair value of these instruments. Fair value represents the present value of estimated future cash flows, calculated using relevant interest rates and yield curves at stated intervals.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, no changes were made to the valuation techniques used to measure fair value.

The following table shows a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) (dollars in millions):

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Cross-currency interest rate contracts	Total
Beginning balance, January 1, 2011	\$ 19	\$ 19
Total gains (losses):		
Included in earnings		
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	8	8
Purchases, issuances and settlements		
Ending balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 27	\$ 27
The amount of total gains (losses) for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets still held at December 31, 2011	\$	\$

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****16. FAIR VALUE (Continued)**

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Realized interest in securitized receivables	Cross-currency interest rate contracts	Total
Beginning balance, January 1, 2010	\$ 262	\$	\$ 262
Total gains (losses):			
Included in earnings		12	12
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)		7	7
Purchases, issuances and settlements(1)	(262)		(262)
Ending balance, December 31, 2010	\$	\$ 19	\$ 19
The amount of total gains (losses) for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets still held at December 31, 2010	\$	\$ 12	\$ 12

(1)

Upon adoption of ASU 2009-16, transfers of our accounts receivable under our A/R Programs no longer met the criteria for derecognition. Accordingly, beginning January 1, 2010, the amounts outstanding under the A/R Programs were accounted for as secured borrowings and the retained interest in securitized receivables was no longer relevant.

Gains (realized and unrealized) included in earnings for 2011 and 2010 are reported in interest expense and other comprehensive income (loss) as follows (dollars in millions):

<u>2011</u>	Interest expense	Other comprehensive income (loss)
Total net gains included in earnings	\$	\$
Changes in unrealized gains relating to assets still held at December 31, 2011	\$	\$ 8

<u>2010</u>	Interest expense	Other comprehensive income (loss)
Total net gains included in earnings	\$ 12	\$
Changes in unrealized gains relating to assets still held at December 31, 2010	\$ 12	\$ 7

17. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS**DEFINED BENEFIT AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT PLANS**

Our employees participate in a trustee, non-contributory defined benefit pension plan (the "Plan") that covers substantially all of our full-time U.S. employees. Effective July 1, 2004, the Plan formula for employees not covered by a collective bargaining agreement was converted to a cash balance design. For represented employees, participation in the cash balance design is subject to the

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

17. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (Continued)

terms of negotiated contracts. For participating employees, benefits accrued under the prior formula were converted to opening cash balance accounts. The new cash balance benefit formula provides annual pay credits from 4% to 12% of eligible pay, depending on age and service, plus accrued interest. Participants in the plan on July 1, 2004 may be eligible for additional annual pay credits from 1% to 8%, depending on their age and service as of that date, for up to five years. The conversion to the cash balance plan did not have a significant impact on the accrued benefit liability, the funded status or ongoing pension expense.

We sponsor defined benefit plans in a number of countries outside of the U.S. The availability of these plans, and their specific design provisions, are consistent with local competitive practices and regulations.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, our Tioxide U.K. pension plan was closed to new entrants. For existing participants, benefits will only grow as a result of increases in pay. A defined contribution plan was established to replace the Tioxide U.K. pension plan for future benefit accruals.

We also sponsor unfunded postretirement benefit plans other than pensions, which provide medical and life insurance benefits.

Our postretirement benefit plans provide a fully insured Medicare Part D plan including prescription drug benefits affected by the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (the "Act"). We cannot determine whether the medical benefits provided by our postretirement benefit plans are actuarially equivalent to those provided by the Act. We do not collect a subsidy and our net periodic postretirement benefits cost, and related benefit obligation, do not reflect an amount associated with the subsidy.

On March 23, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. On March 30, 2010, President Obama signed into law a reconciliation measure, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010. The passage of this legislation has resulted in comprehensive reform of health care in the U.S. We do not believe that this will have a significant impact on our financial position.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****17. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (Continued)**

The following table sets forth the funded status of the plans for us and Huntsman International and the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in millions):

	Defined Benefit Plans				Other Postretirement Benefit Plans			
	2011		2010		2011		2010	
	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans
Change in benefit obligation								
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 761	\$ 2,255	\$ 705	\$ 2,152	\$ 129	\$ 7	\$ 127	\$ 8
Service cost	23	44	21	44	3		3	
Interest cost	44	110	40	102	7	1	7	
Participant contributions		14		13	5		4	
Plan amendments		(1)						
Foreign currency exchange rate changes		(13)		(23)		(1)		
Settlements/transfers		(20)		(10)				
Curtailments		(38)						
Special termination benefits		8						
Actuarial loss	47	83	34	64	1		5	
Benefits paid	(41)	(111)	(39)	(87)	(17)	(1)	(17)	(1)
Benefit obligation at end of year	\$ 834	\$ 2,331	\$ 761	\$ 2,255	\$ 128	\$ 6	\$ 129	\$ 7
Change in plan assets								
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$ 517	\$ 2,025	\$ 461	\$ 1,880	\$	\$	\$	\$
Actual return on plan assets	(7)	43	61	163				
Foreign currency exchange rate changes		(10)		(15)				
Participant contributions		14		13	5		4	
Other		(1)		2				
Company contributions	69	86	34	79	12	1	13	1
Settlements/transfers		(20)		(10)				
Benefits paid	(41)	(111)	(39)	(87)	(17)	(1)	(17)	(1)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	\$ 538	\$ 2,026	\$ 517	\$ 2,025	\$	\$	\$	\$
Funded status								
Fair value of plan assets	\$ 538	\$ 2,026	\$ 517	\$ 2,025	\$	\$	\$	\$
Benefit obligation	834	2,331	761	2,255	128	6	129	7
Accrued benefit cost	\$ (296)	\$ (305)	\$ (244)	\$ (230)	\$ (128)	\$ (6)	\$ (129)	\$ (7)
Amounts recognized in balance sheet								
Noncurrent asset	\$	\$ 100	\$	\$ 75	\$	\$	\$	\$

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Current liability	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(12)	(12)
Noncurrent liability	(290)	(399)	(239)	(299)	(116)	(6) (117) (7)
	\$ (296)	\$ (305)	\$ (244)	\$ (230)	\$ (128)	(6) \$ (129) \$ (7)

F-59

[Table of Contents](#)

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

17. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (Continued)

Huntsman Corporation

	Defined Benefit Plans				Other Postretirement Benefit Plans			
	2011		2010		2011		2010	
	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans
Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss (income)								
Net actuarial loss	\$ 366	\$ 562	\$ 281	\$ 434	\$ 25	\$ 1	\$ 26	\$ 1
Prior service cost	(22)	2	(27)	2	(10)		(13)	
Transition obligation	1		1					
	\$ 345	\$ 564	\$ 255	\$ 436	\$ 15	\$ 1	\$ 13	\$ 1

Huntsman International

	Defined Benefit Plans				Other Postretirement Benefit Plans			
	2011		2010		2011		2010	
	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans
Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss (income)								
Net actuarial loss	\$ 368	\$ 636	\$ 284	\$ 513	\$ 25	\$ 1	\$ 26	\$ 1
Prior service cost	(22)	2	(27)	2	(10)		(13)	
Transition obligation	1		1					
	\$ 347	\$ 638	\$ 258	\$ 515	\$ 15	\$ 1	\$ 13	\$ 1

The amounts in accumulated other comprehensive loss that are expected to be recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost during the next fiscal year are as follows (dollars in millions):

Huntsman Corporation

	Defined Benefit Plans		Other Postretirement Benefit Plans	
	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans
Actuarial loss	\$ 21	\$ 23	\$ 2	\$
Prior service cost	(5)	(2)	(3)	
Total	\$ 16	\$ 21	\$ (1)	\$

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****17. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (Continued)***Huntsman International*

	Defined Benefit Plans		Other Postretirement Benefit Plans	
	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans
Actuarial loss	\$ 21	\$ 28	\$ 2	\$
Prior service cost	(5)	(2)	(3)	
Total	\$ 16	\$ 26	\$ (1)	\$

Components of net periodic benefit costs for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were as follows (dollars in millions):

Huntsman Corporation

	Defined Benefit Plans					
	U.S. plans			Non-U.S. plans		
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Service cost	\$ 23	\$ 21	\$ 20	\$ 44	\$ 44	\$ 43
Interest cost	44	40	41	110	102	102
Expected return on plan assets	(47)	(42)	(41)	(140)	(121)	(104)
Amortization of transition obligation						1
Amortization of prior service cost	(4)	(5)	(5)	(2)	(1)	(1)
Amortization of actuarial loss	16	11	7	16	14	27
Settlement loss (gain)			2			
Special termination benefits				8		2
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 32	\$ 25	\$ 24	\$ 36	\$ 38	\$ 70

	Other Postretirement Benefit Plans					
	U.S. plans			Non-U.S. plans		
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Service cost	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$	\$	\$ 3
Interest cost	7	7	8	1		
Amortization of prior service cost	(3)	(3)	(4)			
Amortization of actuarial loss	2	1	1			
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 9	\$ 8	\$ 8	\$ 1	\$	\$ 3

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****17. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (Continued)***Huntsman International*

	Defined Benefit Plans					
	U.S. plans			Non-U.S. plans		
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Service cost	\$ 23	\$ 21	\$ 20	\$ 44	\$ 44	\$ 43
Interest cost	44	40	41	110	102	102
Expected return on plan assets	(47)	(42)	(41)	(140)	(121)	(104)
Amortization of transition obligation						1
Amortization of prior service cost	(4)	(5)	(5)	(2)	(1)	(1)
Amortization of actuarial loss	16	11	7	21	19	33
Settlement loss			2			
Special termination benefits				8		2
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 32	\$ 25	\$ 24	\$ 41	\$ 43	\$ 76

	Other Postretirement Benefit Plans					
	U.S. plans			Non-U.S. plans		
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Service cost	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$	\$	\$ 3
Interest cost	7	7	8	1		
Amortization of prior service cost	(3)	(3)	(4)			
Amortization of actuarial loss	2	1	1			
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 9	\$ 8	\$ 8	\$ 1	\$	\$ 3

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

17. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (Continued)

The amounts recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive loss (income) as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were as follows (dollars in millions):

Huntsman Corporation

	Defined Benefit Plans					
	U.S. plans			Non-U.S. plans		
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Current year actuarial loss (gain)	\$ 101	\$ 16	\$ (7)	\$ 182	\$ 20	\$ (124)
Amortization of actuarial gain	(16)	(11)	(7)	(16)	(14)	(27)
Current year prior service (credit) cost				(2)		1
Amortization of prior service cost	4	4	5	2	1	1
Amortization of transition asset						(1)
Curtailement effects				(38)		(12)
Settlements			(2)			
Total recognized in other comprehensive loss (income)	89	9	(11)	128	7	(162)
Net periodic benefit cost	32	25	24	36	38	70
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive loss (income)	\$ 121	\$ 34	\$ 13	\$ 164	\$ 45	\$ (92)

	Other Postretirement Benefit Plans					
	U.S. plans			Non-U.S. plans		
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Current year actuarial loss (gain)	\$ 1	\$ 5	\$ (14)	\$	\$	\$
Amortization of actuarial gain	(1)	(2)	(1)			
Current year prior service credit			(5)			
Amortization of prior service cost	2	3	4			
Total recognized in other comprehensive loss (income)	2	6	(16)			
Net periodic benefit cost	9	8	8	1		3
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive loss (income)	\$ 11	\$ 14	\$ (8)	\$ 1	\$	\$ 3

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****17. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (Continued)****Huntsman International**

	Defined Benefit Plans					
	U.S. plans			Non-U.S. plans		
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Current year actuarial loss (gain)	\$ 101	\$ 16	\$ (7)	\$ 182	\$ 20	\$ (124)
Amortization of actuarial gain	(16)	(11)	(7)	(21)	(19)	(33)
Current year prior service (credit) cost				(2)		1
Amortization of prior service cost	4	4	5	2	1	1
Amortization of transition asset						(1)
Curtailement effects				(38)		(12)
Settlements			(2)			
Total recognized in other comprehensive loss (income)	89	9	(11)	123	2	(168)
Net periodic benefit cost	32	25	24	41	43	76
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive loss (income)	\$ 121	\$ 34	\$ 13	\$ 164	\$ 45	\$ (92)

	Other Postretirement Benefit Plans					
	U.S. plans			Non-U.S. plans		
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Current year actuarial loss (gain)	\$ 1	\$ 5	\$ (14)	\$	\$	\$
Amortization of actuarial gain	(1)	(2)	(1)			
Current year prior service credit			(5)			
Amortization of prior service cost	2	3	4			
Total recognized in other comprehensive loss (income)	2	6	(16)			
Net periodic benefit cost	9	8	8	1		3
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive loss (income)	\$ 11	\$ 14	\$ (8)	\$ 1	\$	\$ 3

Table of Contents

HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

17. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (Continued)

The following weighted-average assumptions were used to determine the projected benefit obligation at the measurement date and the net periodic pension cost for the year:

	Defined Benefit Plans					
	U.S. plans			Non U.S. plans		
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Projected benefit obligation						
Discount rate	5.30%	5.70%	5.90%	4.39%	4.69%	4.94%
Rate of compensation increase	3.88%	3.88%	3.88%	3.44%	3.38%	3.23%
Net periodic pension cost						
Discount rate	5.70%	5.90%	6.47%	4.69%	4.94%	5.04%
Rate of compensation increase	3.88%	3.88%	3.77%	3.38%	3.23%	3.21%
Expected return on plan assets	8.19%	8.20%	8.25%	6.62%	6.65%	6.62%

	Other Postretirement Benefit Plans					
	U.S. plans			Non U.S. plans		
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Projected benefit obligation						
Discount rate	5.09%	5.46%	5.59%	6.09%	6.69%	7.47%
Net periodic pension cost						
Discount rate	5.46%	5.59%	6.39%	6.69%	7.47%	7.60%

In both 2011 and 2010, the health care trend rate used to measure the expected increase in the cost of benefits was assumed to be 7.5% decreasing to 5% after 2016. Assumed health care cost trend rates can have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the postretirement benefit plans. A one-percent point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects (dollars in millions):

	Increase	Decrease
Asset category		
Effect on total of service and interest cost	\$	\$
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	4	(4)

The projected benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets for the defined benefit plans with projected benefit obligations in excess of plan assets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (dollars in millions):

	U.S. plans		Non-U.S. plans	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Projected benefit obligation in excess of plan assets				
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 834	\$ 761	\$ 1,897	\$ 1,797
Fair value of plan assets	538	517	1,492	1,493

F-65

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****17. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (Continued)**

The projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets for the defined benefit plans with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (dollars in millions):

	U.S. plans		Non-U.S. plans	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets				
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 834	\$ 761	\$ 1,618	\$ 745
Accumulated benefit obligation	789	712	1,500	684
Fair value of plan assets	538	517	1,251	514

Expected future contributions and benefit payments are as follows (dollars in millions):

	U.S. Plans		Non-U.S. Plans	
	Defined Benefit Plans	Other Postretirement Benefit Plans	Defined Benefit Plans	Other Postretirement Benefit Plans
2012 expected employer contributions				
To plan trusts	\$ 72	\$ 11	\$ 70	\$ 1
Expected benefit payments				
2012	58	11	97	1
2013	59	11	91	1
2014	50	11	93	1
2015	50	11	99	1
2016	53	11	101	1
2017 - 2021	302	50	546	2

Our investment strategy with respect to pension assets is to pursue an investment plan that, over the long term, is expected to protect the funded status of the plan, enhance the real purchasing power of plan assets, and not threaten the plan's ability to meet currently committed obligations. Additionally, our investment strategy is to achieve returns on plan assets, subject to a prudent level of portfolio risk. Plan assets are invested in a broad range of investments. These investments are diversified in terms of domestic and international equities, both growth and value funds, including small, mid and large capitalization equities; short-term and long-term debt securities; real estate; and cash and cash equivalents. The investments are further diversified within each asset category. The portfolio diversification provides protection against a single investment or asset category having a disproportionate impact on the aggregate performance of the plan assets.

Our pension plan assets are managed by outside investment managers. The investment managers value our plan assets using quoted market prices, other observable inputs or unobservable inputs. For certain assets, the investment managers obtain third party appraisals at least annually, which use valuation techniques and inputs specific to the applicable property, market, or geographic location.

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****17. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (Continued)**

We have established target allocations for each asset category. Our pension plan assets are periodically rebalanced based upon our target allocations.

The fair value of plan assets for the pension plans was \$2.6 billion and \$2.5 billion at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The following plan assets are measured at fair value on a recurring basis (dollars in millions):

Asset category	December 31, 2011	Fair Value Amounts Using		
		Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
U.S. pension plans:				
Equities	\$ 294	\$ 166	\$ 128	\$
Fixed income	170	106	64	
Real estate/other	72	45		27
Cash	2	2		
Total U.S. pension plan assets	\$ 538	\$ 319	\$ 192	\$ 27
Non-U.S. pension plans:				
Equities	\$ 771	\$ 361	\$ 410	\$
Fixed income	923	304	619	
Real estate/other	316	1	281	34
Cash	16	16		
Total non-U.S. pension plan assets	\$ 2,026	\$ 682	\$ 1,310	\$ 34

Asset category	December 31, 2010	Fair Value Amounts Using		
		Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
U.S. pension plans:				
Equities	\$ 295	\$ 174	\$ 121	\$
Fixed income	155	97	57	1
Real estate/other	64	45		19
Cash	3	3		
Total U.S. pension plan assets	\$ 517	\$ 319	\$ 178	\$ 20
Non-U.S. pension plans:				
Equities	\$ 868	\$ 440	\$ 428	\$
Fixed income	891	244	647	
Real estate/other	248	2	213	33
Cash	18	18		

Edgar Filing: HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC - Form 10-K

Total non-U.S. pension plan assets	\$	2,025	\$	704	\$	1,288	\$	33
------------------------------------	----	-------	----	-----	----	-------	----	----

F-67

Table of Contents**HUNTSMAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****HUNTSMAN INTERNATIONAL LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****17. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (Continued)**

The following table reconciles the beginning and ending balances of plan assets measured at fair value using unobservable inputs (Level 3) (dollars in millions):

	Real Estate/Other	
	Year ended December 31, 2011	Year ended December 31, 2010
Fair Value Measurements of Plan Assets Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 52	\$ 33
Return on pension plan assets	(1)	3
Purchases, sales and settlements	10	16
Balance at end of period	\$ 61	\$ 52

	Fixed Income	
	Year ended December 31, 2011	
Fair Value Measurements of Plan Assets Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		