

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE INC
Form 10-Q
July 31, 2007

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 000-29357

Chordiant Software, Inc.
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its Charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

93-1051328
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

20400 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Suite 400
Cupertino, CA 95014

Edgar Filing: CHORDIANT SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

(Address of Principal Executive Offices including Zip Code)

(408) 517-6100

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of July 20, 2007, there were 32,876,656 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2007
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION		Page No.
Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006</u>	3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006</u>	4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006</u>	5
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	24
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	41
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	42
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION		
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	44
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	46
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	56
Item 4.	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	57
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	58
	<u>Signatures</u>	58

Table of Contents

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except per share data)
(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2007	September 30, 2006
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 73,459	\$ 45,278
Marketable securities	11,587	—
Restricted cash	44	185
Accounts receivable, net, including nil and \$142 due from related parties at June 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006, respectively	30,187	19,025
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	6,235	5,210
Total current assets	121,512	69,698
Restricted cash—long-term	260	334
Property and equipment, net	2,710	2,630
Goodwill	32,044	32,044
Intangible assets, net	3,028	3,937
Other assets	3,184	2,860
Total assets	\$ 162,738	\$ 111,503
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable, including nil and \$132 due to related parties at June 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006, respectively	\$ 4,140	\$ 7,665
Accrued expenses	14,168	15,706
Deferred revenue, including related party balances of \$180 and \$112 at June 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006, respectively	50,166	23,909
Current portion of capital lease obligations	—	95
Total current liabilities	68,474	47,375
Deferred revenue—long-term	26,457	5,596
Restructuring costs, net of current portion	3,021	1,239
Other long-term liabilities	400	68
Total liabilities	98,352	54,278
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 8, 9 and 10)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 51,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding at June 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006	—	—
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 120,000 shares authorized; 32,858 and 32,030 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2007 and	33	32

Edgar Filing: CHORDIANT SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

September 30, 2006, respectively

Additional paid-in capital	292,501	286,440
Accumulated deficit	(232,265)	(232,943)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	4,117	3,696
Total stockholders' equity	64,386	57,225
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 162,738	\$ 111,503

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(In thousands, except per share data)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Revenues:				
License	\$ 14,094	\$ 10,257	\$ 40,137	\$ 32,588
Service, including related party items aggregating \$64 and \$296 for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$268 and \$527 for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively	22,667	16,769	52,328	43,268
Total revenues	36,761	27,026	92,465	75,856
Cost of revenues:				
License	419	398	1,456	1,360
Service, including related party items aggregating nil and \$468 for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively and \$177 and \$542 for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively	9,264	8,965	22,353	23,217
Amortization of intangible assets	303	303	908	908
Total cost of revenues	9,986	9,666	24,717	25,485
Gross profit	26,775	17,360	67,748	50,371
Operating expenses:				
Sales and marketing	9,065	7,976	24,643	24,876
Research and development	7,328	7,780	20,919	18,159
General and administrative	4,584	4,842	15,490	14,806
Restructuring expense	—	—	6,727	—
Total operating expenses	20,977	20,598	67,779	57,841
Income (loss) from operations	5,798	(3,238)	(31)	(7,470)
Interest income, net	682	329	1,478	809
Other income (expense), net	213	(623)	377	(536)
Income (loss) before income taxes	6,693	(3,532)	1,824	(7,197)
Provision for income taxes	240	150	1,146	441
Net income (loss)	\$ 6,453	\$ (3,682)	\$ 678	\$ (7,638)
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.20	\$ (0.12)	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.25)
Diluted	\$ 0.19	\$ (0.12)	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.25)
Weighted average shares used in computing net income (loss) per share:				
Basic	32,743	31,214	32,208	30,943
Diluted	34,384	31,214	33,431	30,943

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$ 678	\$ (7,638)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,065	892
Amortization of intangibles and capitalized software	1,584	1,583
Non-cash stock-based compensation expense	2,234	3,694
Provision for doubtful accounts and sales returns	234	51
Loss on disposal of assets	666	39
Accretion of discounts on investments	(51)	—
Other non-cash charges	445	105
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(13,960)	(3,122)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(930)	(628)
Other assets	1,771	(60)
Accounts payable	(3,625)	3,171
Accrued expenses	246	4,055
Deferred revenue	45,999	(1,489)
Net cash provided by operating activities	36,356	653
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property, equipment, and leasehold improvements	(1,751)	(1,043)
Capitalized product development costs	(202)	—
Cash proceeds from disposal of property and equipment	—	11
Purchase of marketable securities	(11,536)	—
Proceeds from release of restricted cash	217	1,875
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	(13,272)	843
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	3,798	1,682
Payment on capital leases	(96)	(158)
Net cash provided by financing activities	3,702	1,524
Effect of exchange rate changes	1,395	1,098
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	28,181	4,118
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	45,278	38,546
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 73,459	\$ 42,664

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1—THE COMPANY

Chordiant Software, Inc. (the “Company”, “Chordiant”, or “we”) is an enterprise software vendor that offers software solutions for global business-to-consumer companies that seek to improve the quality of their customer interactions and to reduce costs through increased employee productivity and process efficiencies. The Company concentrates on serving global customers in retail, financial services, insurance, healthcare, communications and other consumer direct industries.

NOTE 2—SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

On December 13, 2006, Chordiant’s Board of Directors approved a reverse two and a half to one stock split. On February 15, 2007 at a special meeting, stockholders approved the reverse stock split such that each outstanding two and one half (2.5) shares of common stock were combined into and became one (1) share of common stock. The reverse stock split was effective February 20, 2007. All shares and per share amounts in these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes have been retroactively adjusted to reflect the reverse stock split for all periods presented.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared by the Company, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The September 30, 2006 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. However, the Company believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2006 (“2006 Form 10-K”) filed with the SEC.

All adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring adjustments, which in the opinion of management, are necessary to state fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented have been made. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the full fiscal year or for any future period.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period balances to conform to the current period’s presentation.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include our accounts and those of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods.

On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates the estimates, including those related to the allowance for doubtful accounts, valuation of goodwill and intangible assets, valuation of deferred tax assets, certain variables associated with the valuation of stock-based compensation, restructuring costs, contingencies, vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) of fair value in multiple element arrangements and the estimates associated with the percentage-of-completion method of accounting for certain of our revenue contracts. The Company bases these estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

Revenue Recognition

The Company derives revenue from licensing our software and related services, which include assistance in implementation, customization and integration, post-contract customer support, training and consulting. All revenue amounts are presented net of sales taxes in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The amount and timing of revenue is difficult to predict and any shortfall in revenue or delay in recognizing revenue could cause operating results to vary significantly from period to period and could result in operating losses. The accounting rules related to revenue recognition are complex and are affected by interpretation of the rules and an understanding of industry practices, both of which are subject to change. Consequently, the revenue recognition accounting rules require management to make significant estimates based on judgment.

Software license revenue is recognized in accordance with Statement of Position No. 97-2 "Software Revenue Recognition," as amended by Statement of Position No. 98-9 "Software Revenue Recognition with Respect to Certain Arrangements" (collectively "SOP 97-2").

For arrangements with multiple elements, the Company recognizes revenue for services and post-contract customer support based upon VSOE of fair value of the respective elements. VSOE of fair value for the services element is based upon the standard hourly rates charged for the services when such services are sold separately. The VSOE of fair value for annual post-contract customer support is generally established with the contractual future renewal rates included in the contracts when the renewal rate is substantive and consistent with the fees when support services are sold separately. When contracts contain multiple elements and VSOE of fair value exists for all undelivered elements, the Company accounts for the delivered elements, principally the license portion, based upon the "residual method" as prescribed by SOP 97-2. In multiple element transactions where VSOE is not established for an undelivered element, revenue is recognized upon the establishment of VSOE for that element or when the element is delivered.

At the time a transaction is entered into, the Company assesses whether any services included within the arrangement relate to significant implementation or customization essential to the functionality of our products. For contracts for products that do not involve significant implementation or customization essential to the product functionality, the Company recognizes license revenue when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the fee is fixed or determinable, collection of the fee is probable and delivery has occurred as prescribed by SOP 97-2. For contracts that involve significant implementation or customization services essential to the functionality of our products, the license and professional consulting services revenue is recognized using either the percentage-of-completion method or the completed contract method as prescribed by Statement of Position No. 81-1, "Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Product-Type Contracts" ("SOP 81-1").

The percentage-of-completion method is applied when the Company has the ability to make reasonable dependable estimates of the total effort required for completion using labor hours incurred as the measure of progress towards completion. The progress toward completion is measured based on the "go-live" date. The "go-live" date is defined as the date the essential product functionality has been delivered or the application enters into a production environment or the point at which no significant additional Chordiant supplied professional service resources are required. Estimates are subject to revisions as the contract progresses to completion and these changes are accounted for as changes in accounting estimates when the information becomes known. Information impacting estimates obtained after the balance sheet date but before the issuance of the financial statements is used to update the estimates. Provisions for estimated contract losses, if any, are recognized in the period in which the loss becomes probable and can be reasonably estimated. When additional licenses are sold related to the original licensing agreement, revenue is

recognized upon delivery if the project has reached the go-live date, or if the project has not reached the go-live date, revenue is recognized under the percentage-of-completion method. Revenue from these arrangements are classified as license and service revenue based upon the estimated fair value of each element using the residual method.

The completed contract method is applied when the Company is unable to obtain reasonable dependable estimates of the total effort required for completion. Under the completed contract method, all revenue and related costs of revenue are deferred and recognized upon completion.

For product co-development arrangements relating to software products in development prior to the consummation of the individual arrangements, where the Company retains the intellectual property being developed, and intends to sell the resulting products to other customers, license revenue is deferred until the delivery of the final product, provided all other requirements of SOP 97-2 are met. Expenses associated with these co-development arrangements are accounted for under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 86, "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to Be Sold, Leased, or Otherwise Marketed" ("SFAS 86") and are normally expensed as incurred as they are considered to be research and development costs that do not qualify for capitalization or deferral.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

Revenue from subscription or term license agreements, which include software and rights to unspecified future products or maintenance, is recognized ratably over the term of the subscription period. Revenue from subscription or term license agreements, which include software, but exclude rights to unspecified future products or maintenance, is recognized upon delivery of the software if all conditions of recognizing revenue have been met including that the related agreement is non-cancelable, non-refundable and provided on an unsupported basis.

Revenue for post-contract customer support is recognized ratably over the support period which ranges from one to five years.

Training and consulting services revenue is recognized as such services are performed on an hourly or daily basis for time and material contracts. For consulting services arrangements with a fixed fee, revenue is recognized on a percentage-of-completion method.

For all sales, either a signed license agreement or a binding purchase order with an underlying master license agreement is used as evidence of an arrangement. Sales through third party systems integrators are evidenced by a master agreement governing the relationship together with binding purchase orders or order forms on a transaction-by-transaction basis. Revenues from reseller arrangements are recognized on the "sell-through" method, when the reseller reports to the Company the sale of our software products to end-users. The Company's agreements with customers and resellers do not contain product return rights.

Collectibility is assessed based on a number of factors, including past transaction history with the customer and the credit-worthiness of the customer. Collateral is generally not requested from our customers. If it is determined that the collection of a fee is not probable, the revenue is recognized at the time the collection becomes probable, which is generally upon the receipt of cash. If a transaction includes extended payment terms, the revenue is recognized as the payments become due and payable.

Restricted Cash

At June 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006, interest-bearing certificates of deposit and money market accounts were classified as restricted cash. These deposits serve as collateral for letters of credit securing certain facility and equipment lease obligations. The decrease of \$0.2 million in restricted cash during the nine months ended June 30, 2007, resulted from a decrease in letters of credit requirement amounts associated with two of the Company's office leases.

Marketable securities

The Company's marketable securities have been classified as available-for-sale. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities," available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included as a separate component of stockholder's equity, net of any tax effect. Realized gains and losses and declines in value determined by management to be other than temporary on these investments are included in interest income and expense when held. The Company periodically evaluates these investments for other-than-temporary impairment. For the purposes of computing realized gains and losses, cost is identified on a specific identification basis. As of June 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006, there was \$11.6 million and zero marketable securities held by the Company, respectively.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, marketable securities and accounts receivable. To date, the Company has invested excess funds in money market accounts, commercial paper, certificates-of-deposit, and marketable securities with maturities of less than one year. The Company has cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities with various high quality institutions domestically and internationally. The Company's marketable securities are composed of investment instruments that are highly rated.

Our accounts receivable is derived from sales to customers located in North America and Europe. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of our customers' financial condition and, generally, requires no collateral. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts when deemed necessary. To date, bad debt expense reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations have not been material and have been within management expectations.

The following table summarizes the revenues from customers and resellers that accounted for 10% or more of total revenues:

8

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Citicorp Credit Services, Inc.	44%	16%	21%	13%
International Business Machines ("IBM")	*	*	20%	*
Turkiye Is Bankasi, A.S.	10%	*	*	*
Capital One Services, Inc.	*	*	*	10%
Sky Subscribers Services Limited	*	13%	*	*

* Represents less than 10% of total revenues.

As previously announced, the Company has licensed certain of its software to IBM's customers. At June 30, 2007, WellPoint Inc. and CitiCorp Credit Services, Inc. accounted for 45% and 12%, of our accounts receivable, respectively. At September 30, 2006, IBM and Cash America International accounted for 26% and 14% of our accounts receivable, respectively.

Research and Development

Costs incurred in the research and development of new products and enhancements to existing products are accounted for under SFAS 86 and are charged to expense as incurred until the technological feasibility of the product or enhancement has been established. Technological feasibility of the product is determined after the completion of a detailed program design and a determination has been made that any uncertainties related to high-risk development issues have been resolved. If the process of developing the product does not include a detail program design, technological feasibility is determined only after completion of a working model. After establishing technological feasibility, additional development costs incurred through the date the product is available for general release to customers are capitalized and amortized over the estimated product life.

When technological feasibility is established through the completion of a working model, the period of time between achieving technological feasibility and the general release of new product is generally short and software development costs qualifying for capitalization have historically been insignificant.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2006, technological feasibility to port an existing product to a new platform was established through the completion of a detailed program design. Costs aggregating \$0.5 and \$0.3 million associated with this product have been capitalized and included in Other Assets as of June 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006, respectively. This product was completed in July 2007, accordingly, the capitalized costs will begin to be amortized over the estimated economic life of the product which is 36 months beginning in the September 30, 2007 quarter.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2005, the Company began amortizing capitalized software costs associated with a banking product that had been capitalized. The capitalized costs of \$2.7 million are included in Other Assets and are being amortized using the straight-line method over the estimated economic life of the product which is 36 months. Accumulated amortization for this product was \$1.7 million as of June 30, 2007 and \$1.0 million as of September 30, 2006. For the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, amortization expense, included in cost of revenue for licenses related to this product was \$0.2 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for using an asset and liability approach, which requires the recognition of taxes payable or refundable for the current period and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns. The measurement of current and deferred tax liabilities and assets is based on provisions of the enacted tax law; the effects of future changes in tax laws or rates are not anticipated. The measurement of deferred tax assets is reduced, if necessary, by the amount of any tax benefits that, based on available evidence, are not expected to be realized.

The Company provides a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that the net deferred tax assets will not be realized. Based on a number of factors, including the lack of a history of profits, uncertainty surrounding future taxable income and the fact that the market in which the Company competes is competitive and characterized by rapidly changing technology. It is believed that there is sufficient uncertainty regarding the realization of deferred tax assets such that a full valuation allowance has been provided. At June 30, 2007, the Company had approximately \$157.1 million and \$12.7 million of net operating loss carryforwards for federal and state purposes, respectively, and net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$38.3 million in the United Kingdom.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

Under U.S. tax rules, Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC), as amended, certain limitations are imposed on the use of net operating losses following certain defined changes in ownership. The Company performed an analysis of its historical ownership changes and concluded that four such changes have occurred since inception. As a result of the IRC Section 382 study, approximately \$2.7 million of the \$157.1 million of net operating loss carryforwards at June 30, 2007 will expire unutilized.

Subsequent ownership changes, as defined in Section 382, could further limit the amount of net operating loss carryforwards and research and development credits that can be utilized annually to offset future taxable income, if any.

The provision for income taxes for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 includes a \$0.5 million withholding tax payment related to a sales transaction that occurred in Turkey during the March 31, 2007 quarter.

Net Income (Loss) Per Share

We compute net income (loss) per share in accordance with SFAS 128, "Earnings per Share". Under the provisions of SFAS 128, basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average number of common and potentially dilutive shares outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive shares, which consist of incremental shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options and unvested restricted stock (using the treasury stock method), are included in the calculation of diluted net income per share, in periods in which net income is reported, to the extent such shares are dilutive. The calculation of diluted net loss per share excludes potential common shares as their effect is anti-dilutive for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share for the periods indicated (in thousands, except for per share data):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ 6,453	\$ (3,682)	\$ 678	\$ (7,638)
Denominator:				
Weighted average common stock outstanding	32,787	31,710	32,464	31,439
Common stock subject to repurchase	(44)	(496)	(256)	(496)
Denominator for basic calculation	32,743	31,214	32,208	30,943
Effect of dilutive potential common shares	1,641	— (1)	1,223	— (1)
Denominator for diluted calculation	34,384	31,214	33,431	30,943
Net income (loss) per share – basic	\$ 0.20	\$ (0.12)	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.25)
Net income (loss) per share – diluted	\$ 0.19	\$ (0.12)	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.25)

(1) – Dilutive potential common shares are excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share.

The following table sets forth the potential total common shares that are excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share as their effect is anti-dilutive as of the date indicated (in thousands):

	June 30, 2006
Warrants outstanding	665
Employee stock options	3,920
Restricted stock	496
	5,081

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 159, “The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities” (“SFAS 159”). SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company has evaluated the new pronouncement and has determined that it will not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

In December 2006, the FASB issued Staff Position (FSP) EITF 00-19-2, “Accounting for Registration Payment Arrangements.” This FSP specifies that the contingent obligation to make future payments or otherwise transfer consideration under a registration payment arrangement, whether issued as a separate agreement or included as a provision of a financial instrument or other agreement, should be separately recognized and measured in accordance with FASB Statement No. 5, “Accounting for Contingencies.” The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company has evaluated the new pronouncement and has determined that it will not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

In September 2006, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, “Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements When Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements” (SAB 108). SAB 108 provides guidance on how the effects of the carryover or reversal of prior year misstatements should be considered in quantifying a current year misstatement. The guidance is applicable for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. The Company has evaluated the new statement and has determined that it will not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, “Fair Value Measurement” (“SFAS 157”). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and also expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company has evaluated the new pronouncement and has determined that it will not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, “Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109” (FIN 48), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. This Interpretation requires that the Company recognize in its financial statements the impact of a tax position based on the technical merits of the position. This interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, with the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings; accordingly, the Company expects to adopt this standard in its fiscal year commencing October 1, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the effects of implementing this new standard.

NOTE 3—MARKETABLE SECURITIES

The Company has the following short-term investments (in thousands):

	As of June 30, 2007		
Amortized	Gross	Gross	Fair

	costs		Unrealized Gain		Unrealized Loss		Value
Marketable Securities:							
Commercial paper	\$ 6,445	\$	—	\$	—	\$	6,445
Corporate Bonds	5,144		—		(2)		5,142
Total	\$ 11,589	\$	—	\$	(2)	\$	11,587

The Company had no short-term investments as of September 30, 2006. As of June 30, 2007, all short-term investments have maturity dates less than one year. For the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007, no significant gains were realized on the sale of short-term investments.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 4—BALANCE SHEET COMPONENTS**Accounts Receivable**

Accounts receivable, net consists of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2007	September 30, 2006
Accounts receivable, net:		
Accounts receivable	\$ 30,504	\$ 19,108
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	(317)	(83)
	\$ 30,187	\$ 19,025

Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets

Prepaid expense and other current assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2007	September 30, 2006
Prepaid expense and other current assets:		
Prepaid commissions and royalties	\$ 4,261	\$ 3,265
Other prepaid expenses and current assets	1,974	1,945
	\$ 6,235	\$ 5,210

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment, net consists of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2007	September 30, 2006
Property and equipment, net:		
Computer hardware (useful lives of 3 years)	\$ 3,441	\$ 3,313
Purchased internal-use software (useful lives of 3 years)	2,411	2,254
Furniture and equipment (useful lives of 3 to 7 years)	1,385	1,043
Computer equipment and software under capital leases (useful lives of 3 years)	—	549
Leasehold improvements (shorter of 7 years or the term of the lease)	2,004	2,729
	9,241	9,888
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(6,531)	(7,258)
	\$ 2,710	\$ 2,630

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets, net consist of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2007			September 30, 2006		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Intangible assets:						
Developed technologies	\$ 6,904	\$ (4,645)	\$ 2,259	\$ 6,904	\$ (3,972)	\$ 2,932
Customer list and trade-names	2,731	(1,962)	769	2,731	(1,726)	1,005
	\$ 9,635	\$ (6,607)	\$ 3,028	\$ 9,635	\$ (5,698)	\$ 3,937

12

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

All of our acquired intangible assets are subject to amortization and are carried at cost less accumulated amortization. Amortization is computed on a straight line basis over the estimated useful lives of five years. Aggregate amortization expense for intangible assets totaled \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million for each of the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Company expects amortization expense on acquired intangible assets to be \$0.3 million for the remainder of fiscal year 2007, \$1.2 million in fiscal year 2008, \$1.2 million in fiscal year 2009 and \$0.3 million in fiscal year 2010.

Other Assets

Other assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2007	September 30, 2006
Other assets:		
Long-term accounts receivable	\$ 1,006	\$ —
Other assets	2,178	2,860
	\$ 3,184	\$ 2,860

The long-term accounts receivable balance represents a receivable from a single customer related to a sale transaction that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2006. This amount represents the third and final payment which is due in the quarter ending December 2008. All revenue associated with this receivable has been deferred and will not be recognized until the payment becomes due. As of June 30, 2007, an allowance has not been provided for this receivable based on the Company's assessment of the underlying customer's credit worthiness.

Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consist of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2007	September 30, 2006
Accrued expenses:		
Accrued payroll, payroll taxes and related expenses	\$ 7,660	\$ 7,627
Accrued restructuring expenses, current portion (Note 5)	1,579	655
Accrued third party consulting fees	1,098	1,491
Accrued income, sales and other taxes	2,068	2,545
Accrued professional fees	482	1,630
Other accrued liabilities	1,281	1,758
	\$ 14,168	\$ 15,706

NOTE 5—RESTRUCTURING**Restructuring Costs**

Through December 31, 2006, the Company approved certain restructuring plans to, among other things, reduce its workforce and consolidate facilities. Restructuring and asset impairment charges have been recorded to align the Company's cost structure with changing market conditions and to create a more efficient organization. The Company's restructuring charges have been comprised primarily of: (i) severance and termination benefit costs related to the reduction of our workforce; and (ii) lease termination costs and costs associated with permanently vacating certain facilities. The Company accounted for each of these costs in accordance with SFAS No. 146 ("SFAS 146"), "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities" or previous guidance under Emerging Issues Task Force 94-3 ("EITF 94-3").

Retroactive application of SFAS 146 to periods prior to January 1, 2003, was prohibited; accordingly, the accrual relating to facilities vacated prior to the effective date of SFAS 146 continues to be accounted for in accordance with the guidance of EITF 94-3. Accruals for facilities prior to 2003 do not reflect any adjustments relating to the estimated net present value of cash flows associated with the facilities.

For each of the periods presented herein, restructuring charges consist solely of:

13

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

Severance and Termination Benefits—These costs represent severance and payroll taxes related to restructuring plans.

Excess Facilities—These costs represent future minimum lease payments related to excess and abandoned office space under leases, the disposal of property and equipment including facility leasehold improvements, and net of estimated sublease income.

As of June 30, 2007, the total restructuring accrual of \$4.6 million consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Current	Non-Current	Total
Severance and termination benefits	\$ 85	\$ —	\$ 85
Excess facilities	1,494	3,021	4,515
Total	\$ 1,579	\$ 3,021	\$ 4,600

As of June 30, 2007, and September 30, 2006, \$1.6 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, of the restructuring reserve is included in the accrued expenses line item on the balance sheet. The allocation between current portion and long term portion is based on the current lease agreements.

The Company expects the remaining severance and termination benefit accrual will be substantially paid by September 30, 2007.

The Company expects to pay the excess facilities amounts related to the restructured or vacated leased office space as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Year Ended September 30,	Total Future Minimum Lease Payments
2007 (remaining three months)	\$ 559
2008	1,196
2009	1,012
2010	1,642
2011	106
Total	\$ 4,515

Included in the future minimum lease payments schedule above is an offset of \$1.0 million of contractually committed sublease rental income. The Company has not yet identified a sublease tenant for the recently vacated United Kingdom facility.

Fiscal Year 2007 Restructuring

In October 2006, the Company initiated a restructuring plan intended to align its resources and cost structure with expected future revenues. The restructuring plan included a balancing of service resources worldwide, elimination of duplicative functions internationally, and a shift in the U.S. field organization toward a focus on domain-based sales

and pre-sales teams. As a result of the restructuring plan, management undertook a reduction of 33 positions or approximately 10% of the Company's workforce and consolidation of the European headquarters in the United Kingdom and the closure of the France office. In connection with this action, the Company incurred a one-time restructuring charge of \$6.5 million in the three months ended December 31, 2006 for severance and termination benefits, and excess facilities charged to restructuring expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company accrued lease costs pertaining to the consolidation of excess facilities relating to lease terminations and non-cancelable lease costs. This expense was net of estimated sublease income based on current comparable rates for leases in the respective markets. If facilities rental rates decrease in these markets or if it takes longer than expected to sublease these facilities, the maximum amount by which the actual loss could exceed the original estimate is approximately \$0.6 million.

During the three months ended March 31, 2007, the Company incurred an additional charge of \$0.1 million for employee severance costs. In March 2007, the Company negotiated an early termination of the France office lease associated with its closure resulting in a \$0.2 million reduction in the restructure facility liability. This reduction was recorded as an offset to restructuring expense in the period.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

The following table summarizes the activity related to the fiscal year 2007 restructuring (in thousands):

	Severance and Benefits		Excess Facilities		Total
Reserve balance as of September 30, 2006	—	\$	—	\$	—
Total charges	1,752		4,587		6,339
Non-cash	4		(982)		(978)
Cash paid	(1,756)		(545)		(2,301)
Reserve balance as of June 30, 2007	—	\$	3,060	\$	3,060

Fiscal Year 2005 Restructuring

In May 2005, the Company appointed a task force to improve profitability and control expenses. The goal of the task force was to create a better alignment of functions within the Company, to make full utilization of the Company's India development center, to develop a closer relationship between the Company's field operations and customers, to review the sales and implementation models, as well adjust as the organization model to flatten management levels, to review the Company's product line, and to enhance the Company's business model for profitability and operating leverage. This work resulted in an approximate 10% reduction in the Company's workforce, and affected employees were notified in July 2005. In connection with this action, the Company incurred a one-time restructuring charge of \$1.1 million in the fourth quarter ended September 30, 2005 for severance and termination benefits.

During the three months ended March 31, 2007, the Company incurred an additional charge of less than \$0.1 million for additional severance expense for an employee located in France.

The following table summarizes the activity related to the fiscal year 2005 restructuring (in thousands):

	Severance and Benefits
Reserve balance as of September 30, 2006	\$ 32
Total charges	46
Non-cash	7
Cash paid	—
Reserve balance as of June 30, 2007	\$ 85

Prior Restructurings

During fiscal year 2002, based upon our continued evaluation of economic conditions in the information technology industry and our expectations regarding revenue levels, we restructured several areas of the Company to reduce expenses and improve our revenue per employee. This restructuring program included a worldwide workforce reduction, and consolidation of excess facilities and certain business functions. We believe that these reductions and realignments have resulted in a more responsive management structure. As part of these restructuring programs, we recorded a total workforce reduction expense relating to severance and termination benefits of approximately \$2.0 million and \$3.8 million for years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. In addition to these costs, we accrued lease costs related to excess facilities of \$0.2 million and \$2.8 million during the years ended December 31,

2003 and 2002, respectively, pertaining to the consolidation of excess facilities relating to lease terminations and non-cancelable lease costs. This expense is net of estimated sublease income based on current comparable rates for leases in the respective markets.

During the three months ended March 31, 2007, the Company entered into a new sublease for the last remaining facility lease associated with the 2002 restructuring. As a result of this sublease rental income being lower than previously estimated as part of the restructure facility reserve, the Company recorded an additional \$0.3 million of restructure expense during the three months ended March 31, 2007. The sublease term is through the entire remaining term of the Company's lease for the facility.

The following table summarizes the activity related to the restructuring for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 (in thousands):

15

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

	Excess Facilities
Reserve balance as of September 30, 2006	\$ 1,862
Total charges	342
Non-cash	—
Cash paid	(749)
Reserve balance as of June 30, 2007	\$ 1,455

NOTE 6—COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The components of comprehensive income (loss) are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Net income (loss)	\$ 6,453	\$ (3,682)	\$ 678	\$ (7,638)
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Foreign currency translation gain (loss)	(109)	971	422	904
Unrealized loss from investments	(2)	—	(2)	—
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 6,342	\$ (2,711)	\$ 1,098	\$ (6,734)

NOTE 7—RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In August 2005, the Company entered into a service provider agreement with Infogain Corporation (“Infogain”). Samuel T. Spadafora, one of our former directors and executive officers, is a director of Infogain. Mr. Spadafora terminated his relationship with the Company in November 2006. Pursuant to the service provider agreement, the following are transactions between Infogain and Chordiant (in thousands):

Revenue		Cost of Revenues		Payments			
For the three months ended June 30, 2007	For nine months ended June 30, 2007	For the three months ended June 30, 2007	For the nine months ended June 30, 2007	For the three months ended June 30, 2007	For the nine months ended June 30, 2007		
\$ —	\$ 81	\$ —	\$ 177	\$ —	\$ 117		
Revenue	Cost of Revenues	Payments		Accounts Receivable	Accounts Payable		
For the three months ended	For nine months ended	For the three months ended	For the nine months ended	For the three months ended	For the nine months ended	At	At
June 30, 2007	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2007	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2007	June 30, 2006	September 30, 2006	September 30, 2006

June 30, 2006		30, 2006	30, 2006	30, 2006	30, 2006				
\$ 234	\$	345	\$ 468	\$ 542	\$ 417	\$ 559	\$	2	\$ 132

Charles E. Hoffman, a director of the Company, is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Covad Communications Group, Inc. ("Covad"), a customer of ours. Pursuant to software license and services agreements, the following are transactions for Covad (in thousands):

	Revenue				Accounts Receivable		Deferred Revenue	
For the three months ended June 30, 2007	For the nine months ended June 30, 2007	For the three months ended June 30, 2006	For the nine months ended June 30, 2006	At June 30, 2007	At September 30, 2006	At June 30, 2007	At September 30, 2006	
\$ 64	\$ 187	\$ 62	\$ 182	\$ —	\$ 140	\$ 180	\$ 112	

Due to changes in director composition, certain other prior year related parties are now considered independent.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 8—BORROWINGS**Revolving Line of Credit**

The Company's revolving line of credit with Comerica Bank was amended and restated on March 8, 2006 and was extended to March 7, 2008. The terms of the agreement include a \$5.0 million line of credit and require the Company to maintain (i) at least a \$5.0 million cash balance in Comerica Bank accounts, (ii) a minimum quick ratio of 2.00 to 1.00, (iii) a liquidity ratio of at least 1.00 to 1.00 at all times, and (iv) subordinate any debt issuances subsequent to the effective date of the agreement, and certain other covenants. All assets of the Company have been pledged as collateral on the credit facility. Due to the Company failing to timely file its periodic reports on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2006 and on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, the line of credit agreement was amended in August 2006, November 2006, and December 2006 to extend the deadline related to the filing of its periodic reports to February 20, 2007. As of February 14, 2007, the Company was current with its SEC regulatory filings and has remained current for filings thereafter.

The revolving line of credit contains a provision for a sub-limit of up to \$5.0 million for issuances of standby commercial letters of credit. As of June 30, 2007, the Company had utilized \$0.3 million of the standby commercial letter of credit limit of which \$0.3 million serves as collateral for computer equipment leases for Ness (see Note 9). The revolving line of credit also contains a provision for a sub-limit of up to \$3.0 million for issuances of foreign exchange forward contracts. As of June 30, 2007, the Company had not entered into any foreign exchange forward contracts. Pursuant to the amendment in March 2006, the Company is required to secure our standby commercial letters of credit and foreign exchange forward contracts through March 7, 2008. If these have not been secured to Comerica Bank's satisfaction, our cash and cash equivalent balances held by Comerica Bank automatically secure such obligations to the extent of the then continuing or outstanding and undrawn letters of credit or foreign exchange contracts.

Borrowings under the revolving line of credit bear interest at the lending bank's prime rate. Except for the standby commercial letters of credit, as of June 30, 2007, there was no outstanding balance on our revolving line of credit. Advances are available on a non-formula basis up to \$5.0 million.

NOTE 9—COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company leases its facilities and certain equipment under non-cancelable operating leases that expire on various dates through 2013. Rent expense is recognized on a straight line basis over the lease term.

Future minimum lease payments as of June 30, 2007 are as follows (in thousands):

	Operating Leases	Operating Sublease Income	Net Operating Leases
Fiscal year ended September 30:			
2007 (remaining three months)	\$ 1,094	\$ (69)	\$ 1,025
2008	4,091	(277)	3,814
2009	3,348	(283)	3,065

Edgar Filing: CHORDIANT SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

2010	3,119	(294)	2,825
2011	1,540	(85)	1,455
Thereafter	1,261	—	1,261
Total minimum payments	\$ 14,453	\$ (1,008)	\$ 13,445

During the three months ended March 31, 2007, the Company paid the final payments on its capital lease obligations. Operating lease payments in the table above include approximately \$5.6 million for operating lease commitments for facilities that are included in restructuring charges. As of June 30, 2007, the Company has \$1.0 million in sublease income contractually committed for future periods relating to facilities under operating leases. See Note 5, Restructuring Charges, for further discussion.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

Ness Technologies

The Company entered into an agreement with Ness Technologies Inc., Ness Global Services, Inc. and Ness Technologies India, Ltd. (collectively, "Ness"), effective December 15, 2003, pursuant to which Ness provides our customers with technical product support through a worldwide help desk facility, a sustaining engineering function that serves as the interface between technical product support and our internal engineering organization, product testing services and product development services (collectively, the "Services"). The agreement had an initial term of three years and was extended for an additional one year term. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company pays for services rendered on a monthly fee basis, including the requirement to reimburse Ness for approved out-of-pocket expenses. The agreement may be terminated for convenience by the Company, subject to the payment of a termination fee. On June 16, 2004, March 15, 2005, January 30, 2006, and May 30, 2006, September 11, 2006, and December 22, 2006 the Company further expanded its agreement with Ness whereby Ness is providing certain additional technical and consulting services. The additional agreements can be cancelled at the option of the Company without the payment of a termination fee. The remaining minimum purchase commitment under these agreements, if Chordiant was to cancel the contracts, was approximately \$0.6 million at June 30, 2007. In addition to service agreements, the Company has also guaranteed certain equipment lease obligations of Ness (see Note 8). Ness may procure equipment to be used in performance of the Services, either through leasing arrangements or direct cash purchases, for which the Company is obligated under the agreement to reimburse them. In connection with the procurement of equipment, Ness has entered into a 36 month equipment lease agreement with IBM India and, in connection with the lease agreement the Company has an outstanding standby letter of credit in the amount of \$0.3 million in guarantee of Ness' financial commitments under the lease. Over the term of the lease, our obligation to reimburse Ness is approximately equal to the amount of the guarantee.

Indemnification

As permitted under Delaware law, the Company has agreements whereby the Company indemnify our officers, directors and certain employees for certain events or occurrences while the employee, officer or director is, or was serving, at our request in such capacity. The term of the indemnification period is for the officer's or director's lifetime. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited; however, we have a Director and Officer insurance policy that limits our exposure and may enable the Company to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. Future payments may be required to defend current and former directors in the derivative class action lawsuits described in Note 10. As a result of our insurance policy coverage, we believe the estimated fair value of these indemnification agreements is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of June 30, 2007.

The Company has entered into standard indemnification agreements in our ordinary course of business. Pursuant to these agreements, the Company agrees to indemnify, defend, hold harmless, and to reimburse the indemnified party for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party, generally our business partners or customers, in connection with any patent, copyright or other intellectual property infringement claim by any third party with respect to our products. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual after execution of the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. The Company has not incurred significant costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. The Company believes the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of June 30, 2007.

The Company enters into arrangements with our business partners, whereby the business partners agree to provide services as subcontractors for our implementations. The Company may, at our discretion and in the ordinary course of business, subcontract the performance of any of our services. Accordingly, the Company enters into standard indemnification agreements with our customers, whereby the Company indemnifies them for other acts, such as personal property damage by our subcontractors. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited; however, the Company has general and umbrella insurance policies that may enable the Company to recover a portion of any amounts paid. The Company has not incurred significant costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. As a result, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of June 30, 2007.

When, as part of an acquisition, the Company acquires all of the stock or all of the assets and liabilities of a company, the Company may assume the liability for certain events or occurrences that took place prior to the date of acquisition. The maximum potential amount of future payments, if any, the Company could be required to make for such obligations is undeterminable at this time. Accordingly, the Company has no amounts recorded for these contingent liabilities as of June 30, 2007.

The Company warrants that our software products will perform in all material respects in accordance with our standard published specifications and documentation in effect at the time of delivery of the licensed products to the customer for a specified period of time. Additionally, the Company warrants that our maintenance and consulting services will be performed consistent

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

with generally accepted industry standards. If necessary, the Company would provide for the estimated cost of product and service warranties based on specific warranty claims and claim history, however, the Company has not incurred significant expense under our product or services warranties to date. As a result, the Company believes the estimated fair value on these warranties is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has no amounts recorded for these contingent liabilities as of June 30, 2007.

NOTE 10—LITIGATION

Beginning in July 2001, the Company and certain of our officers and directors (“Individuals”) were named as defendants in a series of class action stockholder complaints filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, now consolidated under the caption, “In re Chordiant Software, Inc. Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation, Case No. 01-CV-6222”. In the amended complaint, filed in April 2002, the plaintiffs allege that the Company, the Individuals, and the underwriters of our initial public offering (“IPO”) violated section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 and section 10(b) of the Exchange Act of 1934 based on allegations that the our registration statement and prospectus failed to disclose material facts regarding the compensation to be received by, and the stock allocation practices of, our IPO underwriters. The complaint also contains claims against the Individuals for control person liability under Securities Act section 15 and Exchange Act section 20. The plaintiffs seek unspecified monetary damages and other relief. Similar complaints were filed in the same court against hundreds of other public companies (“Issuers”) that conducted IPO’s of their common stock in the late 1990’s or in the year 2000 (collectively, the “IPO Lawsuits”).

In August 2001, all of the IPO Lawsuits were consolidated for pretrial purposes before United States Judge Shira Scheindlin of the Southern District of New York. In July 2002, the Company joined in a global motion to dismiss the IPO Lawsuits filed by all of the Issuers (among others). In October 2002, the Court entered an order dismissing the Individuals from the IPO Lawsuits without prejudice, pursuant to an agreement tolling the statute of limitations with respect to the Individuals. In February 2003, the court issued a decision denying the motion to dismiss against Chordiant and many of the other Issuers.

In June 2003, Issuers and plaintiffs reached a tentative settlement agreement that would, among other things, result in the dismissal with prejudice of all claims against the Issuers and Individuals in the IPO Lawsuits, and the assignment to plaintiffs of certain potential claims that the Issuers may have against the underwriters. The tentative settlement also provides that, in the event that plaintiffs ultimately recover less than a guaranteed sum of \$1 billion from the IPO underwriters, plaintiffs would be entitled to payment by each participating Issuer’s insurer of a pro rata share of any shortfall in the plaintiffs’ guaranteed recovery. In September 2003, in connection with the possible settlement, those Individuals who had entered tolling agreements with plaintiffs (described above) agreed to extend those agreements so that they would not expire prior to any settlement being finalized. In June 2004, Chordiant and almost all of the other Issuers entered into a formal settlement agreement with the plaintiffs. On February 15, 2005, the Court issued a decision certifying a class action for settlement purposes, and granting preliminary approval of the settlement subject to modification of certain bar orders contemplated by the settlement. On August 31, 2005, the Court reaffirmed class certification and preliminary approval of the modified settlement in a comprehensive Order, and directed that Notice of the settlement be published and mailed to class members beginning November 15, 2005. On February 24, 2006, the Court dismissed litigation filed against certain underwriters in connection with the claims to be assigned to the plaintiffs under the settlement. On April 24, 2006, the Court held a Final Fairness Hearing to determine whether to grant final approval of the settlement. On December 5, 2006, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals vacated the lower Court's earlier decision certifying as class actions the six IPO Lawsuits designated as "focus cases." Thereafter, the

District Court ordered a stay of all proceedings in all of the IPO Lawsuits pending the outcome of plaintiffs' petition to the Second Circuit for rehearing en banc and resolution of the class certification issue. On April 6, 2007, the Second Circuit denied plaintiffs' rehearing petition, holding that the actions could not be maintained as pled but clarifying that the plaintiffs may seek to certify a more limited class in the District Court. Accordingly, the settlement as originally negotiated will not be finally approved. Plaintiffs have until July 31, 2007 in which to file amended complaints against all Issuers, including Chordiant. If an appropriate settlement cannot be finalized, then this action may divert the efforts and attention of our management and, if determined adversely to us, could have a material impact on our business, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

On August 1, 2006, a stockholder derivative complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California by Jesse Brown under the caption *Brown v. Kelly, et al.* Case No. C06-04671 JW (N.D. Cal.). On September 13, 2006, a second stockholder derivative complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California by Louis Suba under the caption *Suba v. Kelly et al.*, Case No. C06-05603 JW (N.D. Cal.). Both complaints were brought purportedly on behalf of the Company against certain current and former officers and directors. On November 27, 2006, the court entered an order consolidating these actions and requiring the plaintiffs to file a consolidated complaint. The consolidated complaint was filed on January 11, 2007. The consolidated complaint alleges, among other things, that the named officers and directors: (a) breached their fiduciary duties as they colluded with each other to backdate stock options, (b) violated section 10(b), 14(a) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder through their alleged actions, and (c) were unjustly enriched by their receipt and retention of such stock options. On May 21, 2007, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the entire action on the grounds that the plaintiffs failed to take the steps necessary to bring a

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

derivative action. The individual defendants filed motions to dismiss as well. The parties have agreed that the plaintiffs' opposition to the motions to dismiss will not be due until September 11, 2007, to permit the parties an opportunity to explore a resolution of this dispute. The hearing on the motion to dismiss is set for October 15, 2007.

In September 2006, the Company received a letter from Acacia Technologies Group, a patent holding company, suggesting that the Company may be infringing on two patents, designated by United States Patent Numbers 5,537,590 and 5,701,400, which are held by one of their patent licensing and enforcement subsidiaries. The Company is currently reviewing the validity of these patents and whether the Company's products may infringe upon them. The Company has not formed a view of whether the Company may have liability for infringement of these patents. Any related claims, whether or not they have merit, could be costly and time-consuming to defend, divert our management's attention or cause product delays. If any of our products were found to infringe such patents, the patent holder could seek an injunction to enjoin our use of the infringing product. If the Company was required to settle such a claim, it could have a material impact on our business, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

The Company is also subject to various other claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. The ultimate disposition of these various other claims and legal actions is not expected to have a material effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. However, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties.

NOTE 11—STOCK OPTION AND EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

2005 Equity Incentive Plan

As of June 30, 2007, there were approximately 2.7 million shares available for future grant and approximately 2.9 million options that were outstanding under the 2005 Plan. In January 2007, the Board amended the plan to increase the number of shares reserved for future issuance by 1.6 million shares. This amendment was approved by the stockholders at the 2007 annual meeting of stockholders' held on April 24, 2007.

2000 Nonstatutory Equity Incentive Plan

As of June 30, 2007, there were approximately 0.5 million shares outstanding under the 2000 Plan. In January 2007, the Board amended the 2000 Plan to reduce the number of shares available for future issuance to zero. No additional stock options will be granted under the 2000 Nonstatutory Equity Incentive Plan.

1999 Non-Employee Directors' Option Plan

As of June 30, 2007, approximately 0.3 million shares of common stock are available for future grant and 0.2 million are outstanding under the Non-Employee Directors' Option Plan ("Directors' Plan). In January 2007, the Board amended and restated the Directors' Plan to decrease the number of shares reserved for future issuance upon the exercise of new options to 0.3 million shares and to eliminate the automatic increase provision. This amendment was approved by the stockholders at the 2007 annual meeting of stockholders' held on April 24, 2007.

Stock Option Activity

The following table summarizes stock option and restricted stock activity under our stock option plans (in thousands, except per share data):

	Shares Available for Grant	Shares	Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance at September 30, 2006	2,621	3,688	\$	6.33
Authorized	1,766	—		—
Options granted	(1,298)	1,298		8.85
Options exercised	—	(965)		3.94
Cancellation of unvested restricted stock	133	—		—
Options cancelled	(222)	(408)		8.73
Balance at June 30, 2007	3,000	3,613	\$	7.71

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding and exercisable at June 30, 2007 (in thousands, except exercise prices and contractual life data):

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding and Exercisable				Options Vested			
	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value Closing Price at 06/30/2007 of \$15.66	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value Closing Price at 06/30/07 of \$15.66	
\$0.35 – 4.25	519	6.16	\$ 3.29	\$ 6,431	452	\$ 3.17	\$ 5,681	
4.50 – 7.05	517	7.15	5.96	5,014	371	5.84	3,640	
7.08 – 7.88	441	7.98	7.46	3,617	170	7.44	1,401	
7.98 – 8.15	440	8.45	7.98	3,376	155	7.99	1,188	
8.25 – 8.25	950	9.63	8.25	7,039	120	8.25	888	
8.28 – 10.43	400	8.10	9.26	2,557	189	9.67	1,135	
10.53 – 45.00	346	7.49	13.69	860	206	13.78	567	
\$0.35 – 45.00	3,613	8.06	\$ 7.71	\$ 28,894	1,663	\$ 7.07	\$ 14,500	

The aggregate intrinsic value in the preceding table represents the total intrinsic value, based on the Company's closing stock price of \$15.66 as of June 30, 2007, which would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options as of that date. The total number of in-the-money options exercisable as of June 30, 2007 was approximately 1.6 million. As of June 30, 2007, approximately 1.7 million outstanding options were exercisable, and the weighted average exercise price was \$7.07. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 was \$0.9 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, and \$0.5 million and \$1.2 million for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006, respectively. The fair value of options vested was \$2.0 million and \$2.9 million for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 and \$2.5 million and \$4.5 million for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006, respectively. As of June 30, 2007, total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested stock options was \$5.5 million, which is expected to be recognized as expense over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.5 years.

The Company had zero unvested restricted stock awards as of June 30, 2007. Approximately 0.1 million and 0.3 million shares of restricted stock vested during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. There were no shares of restricted stock awarded during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007.

The Company settles stock option exercises and restricted stock awards with newly issued common shares.

Valuation and Expense Information under SFAS 123(R)

On October 1, 2005, the Company adopted SFAS 123(R), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to the Company's employees and directors including employee stock options, restricted stock awards and employee stock purchases related to the ESPP based on estimated fair values. The following table summarizes stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options and

restricted stock awards for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, which was allocated as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Stock-based compensation expense:				
Cost of revenues, service	\$ 63	\$ 92	\$ 224	\$ 176
Sales and marketing	(1)	571	565	1,907
Research and development	134	124	396	252
General and administrative	169	669	1,049	1,359
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 365	\$ 1,456	\$ 2,234	\$ 3,694

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

The weighted-average estimated fair value of stock options granted during the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 was \$5.96 and \$5.43 per share, respectively, and for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 was \$4.29 and \$5.05, respectively, using the Black-Scholes model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended June		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	30, 2006	2007	2006
Expected lives in years	3.3	3.9	3.5	3.9
Risk free interest rates	4.7%	5.1%	4.7%	4.8%
Volatility	59%	86%	63%	89%
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%	0%

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with the weighted-average assumptions for volatility, expected term, and risk free interest rate. As of October 1, 2005, the Company adopted SFAS 123(R) and began using the trinomial lattice valuation technique to determine the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model. The trinomial lattice valuation technique was used to provide a better estimate of fair values and meet the fair value objectives of SFAS 123(R). The expected term of options granted is derived from historical data on employee exercises and post-vesting employment termination behavior. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury rates in effect during the corresponding period of grant. The expected volatility rate is based on the historical volatility of our stock price.

As stock-based compensation expense recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS 123(R) requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Our estimated forfeiture rate for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 was based on our historical forfeiture experience.

During the quarter, the Company completed its 409A tender offer which resulted in the modification of certain options. The Company increased the exercise price of options previously issued at a discount to limit the potential adverse personal tax consequences that may apply to those stock options under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code and state law equivalents. When combined with the related cash bonus to be paid to the option holders in connection with the exchange, the net charge to compensation expense during the quarter was \$0.1 million.

Accuracy of Fair Value Estimates

The Company uses third-party analyses to assist in developing the assumptions based on a trinomial lattice valuation technique used in the Black-Scholes model. The company is responsible for determining the assumptions used in estimating the fair value of share-based payment awards.

Our determination of fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model is affected by the Company's stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to the Company's expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, and actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors. Because the Company's employee stock options have certain characteristics that are significantly different from traded options, and because changes in the subjective assumptions can materially affect the estimated value, in management's opinion, the existing valuation

models may not provide an accurate measure of the fair value of the Company's employee stock options and restricted stock awards. Although the fair value of employee stock options and restricted stock awards is determined in accordance with SFAS 123(R) and SAB 107 using an option-pricing model, that value may not be indicative of the fair value observed in a willing buyer/willing seller market transaction.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 12—SEGMENT INFORMATION

Our chief operating decision maker reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis, accompanied by desegregated information about revenues by geographic regions for purposes of making operating decisions and assessing financial performance. Accordingly, the Company has concluded that the Company has one reportable segment.

The following table summarizes license revenue by product emphasis (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
License Revenue:				
Enterprise solutions	\$ 10,442	\$ 8,517	\$ 26,607	\$ 23,631
Marketing solutions	2,119	1,031	4,375	6,196
Decision management solutions	1,533	709	9,155	2,761
Total	\$ 14,094	\$ 10,257	\$ 40,137	\$ 32,588

The following table summarizes service revenue consisting of consulting assistance and implementation, customization and integration and post-contract customer support, training and certain reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses by product emphasis (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Service Revenue:				
Enterprise solutions	\$ 16,109	\$ 11,846	\$ 38,455	\$ 30,244
Marketing solutions	3,953	3,481	9,462	9,896
Decision management solutions	2,605	1,442	4,411	3,128
Total	\$ 22,667	\$ 16,769	\$ 52,328	\$ 43,268

Foreign revenues are based on the country in which the customer order is generated. The following is a summary of total revenues by geographic area (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
North America	\$ 23,101	\$ 16,070	\$ 45,796	\$ 48,173
Europe	13,660	10,956	46,669	27,683
Total	\$ 36,761	\$ 27,026	\$ 92,465	\$ 75,856

Included in foreign revenue results for Europe is revenue from the United Kingdom of \$6.3 million and \$22.1 million for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 and \$8.2 and \$18.3 million for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006, respectively.

Property and equipment information is based on the physical location of the assets. The following is a summary of property and equipment by geographic area (in thousands):

	June 30, 2007	September 30, 2006
North America	\$ 1,667	\$ 1,844
Europe	1,043	786
Total	\$ 2,710	\$ 2,630

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and accompanying notes included in this report and the 2006 audited financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2006 filed with the SEC. Operating results are not necessarily indicative of results that may occur in future periods.

The following discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements. These statements are based on our current expectations, assumptions, estimates and projections about our business and our industry, and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our or our industry's results, levels of activity, performance or achievement to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied in or contemplated by the forward-looking statements. Words such as "believe," "anticipate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "will," "may," "should," "estimate," "predict," "guidance," "potential," "continue" or the negative of such terms or other similar expressions, identify forward-looking statements. Our actual results and the timing of events may differ significantly from those discussed in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including but not limited to, those discussed under the subheading "Risk Factors" and those discussed elsewhere in this report, in our other SEC filings and under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our 2006 Form 10-K. Chordiant undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events after the date of this report.

Overview

As an enterprise software vendor, we generate substantially all of our revenues from the financial services, insurance, healthcare, telecommunications, and retail industries. Our customers typically fund purchases of our software and services out of their lines of business and information technology budgets. As a result, our revenues are heavily influenced by our customers' long-term business outlook and willingness to invest in new enterprise information systems and business applications.

Total revenue for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 increased 36% to \$36.8 million from \$27.0 million in the same quarter of the prior year. This increase was driven by a \$3.8 million increase in license revenue and a \$5.9 million increase in service revenue. This increase was primarily due to the recognition of license revenue in the current period related to transactions that were completed in prior periods. These transactions involved significant implementation services which require revenue recognition using the percentage-of-completion accounting methodology. The increase in service revenue was primarily driven by an increase in consulting revenue of \$3.1 million and an increase in support and maintenance revenue of \$2.7 million.

Total revenue for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 increased 22% to \$92.5 million from \$75.9 million in the same quarter of the prior year. This increase was driven by a \$7.5 million increase in license revenue and a \$9.1 million increase in service revenue. The license revenue growth was the result of a greater number of licenses sold for the period compared to the same period of the prior year. The increase in service revenue was primarily driven by an increase in consulting revenue of \$4.0 million and an increase in support and maintenance revenue of \$6.0 million offset by a decrease in training revenue.

It is typical to see an increase in consulting revenue when we have an increase in license revenue as our customers typically request us to assist with their implementation efforts. The increase in support and maintenance revenue is expected as we continue to add new licenses to our existing base of licenses at a rate greater than customers opting not to renew their annual support and maintenance contracts.

Software Industry Consolidation and Possible Increased Competition

The software industry in general is continuing to undergo a period of consolidation, and there has been recent consolidation in sectors of the software industry in which we operate. In May 2007, Oracle announced its plan to buy Agile Software. In April 2007, Oracle purchased Hyperion Solutions Corporation, a provider of performance management software. During 2006, Oracle completed the acquisition of i-flex Solutions Ltd., a banking software maker headquartered in Mumbai, India, acquired Siebel Systems, Inc., a maker of customer relationship management software products and acquired Portal Software, a provider of billing and revenue management solutions for the communications and media industry. Also, during 2006, IBM acquired Webify, a provider of middleware to companies primarily in the insurance industry.

In September 2005, IBM acquired DWL, a provider of middleware to companies in the banking, insurance, retail and telecommunications industries. In September 2005, SSA Global Technologies acquired Epiphany, Inc., a maker of customer relationship management software products. While we do not believe that these acquired companies are direct competitors of Chordiant, the acquisition activity of these large corporations of software providers to the industries we target may indicate that we will face increased competition from significantly larger and more established entities in the future.

Financial Trends

Backlog. An increasingly material portion of our revenues have been derived from large customer transactions. For some of

Table of Contents

these transactions, our contractual services commitment to the customer can require us to provide services to the customer over a period greater than one year. If the services delivery period is over a prolonged period of time it will cause the associated backlog to be recognized as revenue over a similar period of time. As of June 30, 2007 and 2006, we had approximately \$87.6 million and \$28.9 million in backlog, respectively, which we define as contractual commitments by our customers through purchase orders or contracts. This increase in backlog is partially reflected in the growth of deferred revenue recorded on our balance sheet. For the period ended June 30, 2006 to June 30, 2007 deferred revenue increased \$51.6 million due to an increase of \$29.1 million in short-term deferred revenue and a \$22.5 million increase in long-term deferred revenue. The increase in long-term deferred revenue was primarily driven by entering into multi-year support and maintenance contracts with our customers. Backlog is comprised of:

• software license orders which have not been accepted by customers or have not otherwise met all of the required criteria for revenue recognition. This component includes billed amounts classified as deferred revenue;

- deferred revenue from customer support contracts;

• consulting service orders representing the unbilled remaining balances of consulting contracts not yet completed or delivered, plus deferred consulting revenue; and

- education orders for services not yet completed or delivered.

Backlog is not necessarily indicative of revenues to be recognized in a specified future period. There are many factors that would impact Chordiant's conversion of backlog as recognizable revenue, such as Chordiant's progress in completing projects for its customers, Chordiant's customers' meeting anticipated schedules for customer-dependent deliverables and customers increasing the scope or duration of a contract causing license revenue to be deferred for a longer period of time.

Chordiant provides no assurances that any portion of its backlog will be recognized as revenue during any fiscal year or at all, or that its backlog will be recognized as revenues in any given period. In addition, it is possible that customers from whom we expect to derive revenue from backlog will default, and as a result, we may not be able to recognize expected revenue from backlog.

In the June 2007 quarter, as in the prior two quarters, we continue to enter into large customer orders resulting in a significant portion of our near term license revenues being recognized under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting such that our deferred revenue balance has increased. These orders will require consulting services that are essential to the functionality of the respective licenses.

Implementation by Third Parties. Over time as our products mature and system integrators become more familiar with our products, our involvement with implementations has diminished on some projects. If this trend continues, certain agreements with customers may transition from a contract accounting model (SOP 81-1) to a more traditional revenue model whereby revenues are recorded upon delivery.

Service Revenue. Service revenue as a percentage of total revenues was 62% for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 and 57% for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. We expect over time that service revenue will represent approximately between 50% and 60% of our total revenue in the near term.

Revenues from International Customers versus North America Revenues. For all periods presented, revenues were principally derived from customer accounts in North America and Europe. For the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, international revenues were \$13.7 million and \$11.0 million, or approximately 37% and 41% of our total revenues, respectively. For the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, international revenues were \$46.7 million

and \$27.7 million, or approximately 50% and 36% of our total revenues, respectively. The significant increase in international revenue for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 was due to an improved economy for the region as well as an improved sales production for the region resulting from the new management team that was put in place nine months ago. We believe international revenues will continue to represent a significant portion of our total revenues in future periods. International revenues were favorably impacted for the three months ended June 30, 2007, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2006, as both the British Pound and the Euro increased in average value by approximately 9% and 7%, respectively, as compared to the U.S. Dollar.

For the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, North America revenues were \$23.1 million and \$16.1 million, or approximately 63% and 59% of our total revenues, respectively. For the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, North America revenues were \$45.8 million and \$48.2 million, or approximately 50% and 64% of total revenues, respectively. We believe North America revenues will continue to represent a significant portion of our total revenues in the foreseeable future.

Gross Margins. Management focuses on license and service gross margin in evaluating our financial condition and operating performance. Gross margins on license revenues were 97% and 96% for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and 96% for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. The 1% increase for the June 30, 2007 quarter is

Table of Contents

primarily attributable to a decrease in royalty expense resulting from product design improvements reducing the inclusion of third party components in our products. In addition, the margin improved as a function of the fixed periodic amortization costs associated with capitalized software costs being compared to a larger license amount quarter-over-quarter. We expect license gross margin on current products to range from 95% to 97% in the foreseeable future. The margin will fluctuate with the mix of products sold. Historically, the enterprise solution products have higher associated third party royalty expense than the marketing solution products and decision management products.

Gross margins on service revenue were 59% and 47% for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and 57% and 46% for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The increase in gross margins for the three months period ending June 30, 2007 is primarily due to improved consulting services utilization rates and increased support and maintenance revenue. We expect that gross margins on service revenue to range between 55% and 60% in the foreseeable future. Margins can be negatively impacted during, and immediately following, periods in which professional service department headcounts increase, as resources are not immediately billable.

Costs related to compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Significant professional service costs are included in general and administrative expenses associated with efforts to comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. For the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, these costs were \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. For the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, these costs were \$0.4 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. While these costs are expected to decline on an annual basis as compared to the costs incurred for the year ended September 30, 2006, the level and timing of the decline is uncertain.

Costs Related to Stock Option Investigation. Significant professional service costs are included in general and administrative expenses associated with the Company's stock option investigation which began in July 2006 and was completed during the quarter ended March 31, 2007. This issue is more fully described in the Note 2 of the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2006 Form 10-K. For the nine months ended June 30, 2007, these costs were \$1.8 million. We have not incurred any additional costs since the quarter ended March 31, 2007 and do not expect to incur such costs in future periods.

Cost to Amend Eligible Options. In July 2006, our Board of Directors (the "Board") initiated a review of our historical stock option grant practices and appointed the Audit Committee to oversee the investigation. The Audit Committee determined that the correct measurement dates for a number of stock option grants made by us during the period 2000 to 2006 ("Review Period") differ from the measurement dates previously used to account for such option grants. The Audit Committee identified errors related to the determination of the measurement dates for grants of options where the price of our common stock on the selected grant date was lower than the price on the actual grant date which would permit recipients to exercise these options at a lower exercise price. As such, these affected stock options are deemed, for accounting purposes, to have been granted at a discount. Based on the determination made for accounting purposes, the discounted options (for accounting purposes) may now be deemed to have been granted at a discount for tax purposes, which may expose the holders of these impacted stock option grants to potentially adverse tax treatment under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code and state law equivalents. As more fully described on Form SC TO-I with the SEC on March 29, 2007, Chordiant offered certain optionees the opportunity to increase the exercise price of the discounted options to limit the potential adverse personal tax consequences that may apply to those stock options under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code and state law equivalents. On April 26, 2007, eligible optionees finalized their election of this offer and will receive a cash payment equal to the price differential of the Amended Options. These payments will be treated as bonus payments. These cash payments will be approximately \$0.3 million and will be paid out in January 2008. The cost of these bonus payments were fully accrued during the three months ended March 31, 2007.

Reduction in Workforce. In October 2006, the Company initiated a restructuring plan intended to align its resources and cost structure with expected future revenues. The restructuring plan included a balancing of services resources worldwide, an elimination of duplicative functions internationally, and a shift in the U.S. field organization toward a focus on domain-based sales and pre-sales teams.

The restructuring plan included an immediate reduction in positions of slightly more than ten percent of the Company's workforce, consolidation of our European facilities, and the closure of our France office. A majority of the positions eliminated were in Europe. The plan was committed to on October 24, 2006, and we began notifying employees on October 25, 2006.

Accordingly, during the quarter ended December 31, 2006, we recorded a pre-tax cash restructuring charge of \$6.5 million. The charge is composed of \$1.7 million for severance and benefits costs and \$4.8 million for exiting excess facilities. The facilities are subject to operating leases expiring through 2010. The Company anticipates that approximately \$5.4 million of the charge will result in cash expenditures. \$1.1 million of the charge is associated with non-cash charges for the write-off of leasehold improvements and the reversal of a favorable purchase price adjustment related to the France office lease. During the three months ended March 31, 2007, the Company incurred an additional charge of \$0.1 million for employee severance costs. Also during the three months ended March 31, 2007, the Company negotiated an early termination of the France office lease associated with its closure resulting in a \$0.2 million reduction in the restructure facility liability. This reduction was recorded as an offset to restructuring expense in the period. As of June 30, 2007, \$0.5 million of the facilities charges has been paid and the remaining \$3.1 million of facilities charge is expected to be paid over the remaining term of the lease. All obligations related to severance and benefits have been paid as of June 30, 2007.

Table of Contents

Prior Restructuring. During fiscal year 2002, we restructured several areas of the Company to reduce expenses and improve revenues. As part of this restructuring, we closed an office facility in Boston, Massachusetts and recorded a charge associated with the long-term lease which expires in January 2011. During the three months ended March 31, 2007, we completed a new sublease with a sub-lessee for the remaining term of our lease at a rate lower than that which was forecasted when the original restructure charge was recorded in 2002. This change in estimate resulted in a \$0.3 million charge to restructuring expense in the three months ended March 31, 2007.

Past Results may not be Indicative of Future Performance. We believe that period-to-period comparisons of our operating results should not be relied upon as indicative of future performance. Our prospects must be considered given the risks, expenses and difficulties frequently encountered by companies in early stages of development, particularly companies in new and rapidly evolving businesses. There can be no assurance we will be successful in addressing these risks and difficulties. Moreover, we may not achieve or maintain profitability in the future.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities.

On an on-going basis, we evaluate the estimates, including those related to our allowance for doubtful accounts, valuation of stock-based compensation, valuation of goodwill and intangible assets, valuation of deferred tax assets, restructuring costs, contingencies, vendor specific objective evidence (“VSOE”) of fair value in multiple element arrangements and the estimates associated with the percentage-of-completion method of accounting for certain of our revenue contracts. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the recognition of revenue and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting judgments and estimates are used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

• Revenue recognition, including estimating the total estimated time required to complete sales arrangements involving significant implementation or customization essential to the functionality of our products;

• Estimating valuation allowances and accrued liabilities, specifically the allowance for doubtful accounts, and assessment of the probability of the outcome of our current litigation;

• Stock-based compensation expense;

• Accounting for income taxes;

• Valuation of long-lived and intangible assets and goodwill;

• Restructuring costs; and

• Determining functional currencies for the purposes of consolidating our international operations.

Revenue Recognition. We derive revenues from licenses of our software and related services, which include assistance in implementation, customization and integration, post-contract customer support, training and consulting. The amount and timing of our revenue is difficult to predict and any shortfall in revenue or delay in recognizing revenue could cause our operating results to vary significantly from quarter to quarter and could result in operating losses. The accounting rules related to revenue recognition are complex and are affected by interpretation of the rules and an understanding of industry practices, both of which are subject to change. Consequently, the revenue recognition accounting rules require management to make significant estimates based on judgment.

Software license revenue is recognized in accordance with Statement of Position No. 97-2 “Software Revenue Recognition,” as amended by Statement of Position No. 98-9 “Software Revenue Recognition with Respect to Certain Arrangements” (collectively “SOP 97-2”).

Table of Contents

For arrangements with multiple elements, we recognize revenue for services and post-contract customer support based upon VSOE of fair value of the respective elements. VSOE of fair value for the services element is based upon the standard hourly rates we charge for the services when such services are sold separately. The VSOE of fair value for annual post-contract customer support is generally established with the contractual future renewal rates included in the contracts when the renewal rate is substantive and consistent with the fees when support services are sold separately. When contracts contain multiple elements and VSOE of fair value exists for all undelivered elements, we account for the delivered elements, principally the license portion, based upon the “residual method” as prescribed by SOP 97-2. In multiple element transactions where VSOE is not established for an undelivered element, we recognize revenue upon the establishment of VSOE for that element or when the element is delivered.

At the time we enter into a transaction, we assess whether any services included within the arrangement related to significant implementation or customization essential to the functionality of our products. For contracts for products that do not involve significant implementation or customization essential to the product functionality, we recognize license revenues when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the fee is fixed or determinable, collection of the fee is probable and delivery has occurred as prescribed by SOP 97-2. For contracts that involve significant implementation or customization essential to the functionality of our products, we recognize the license and professional consulting services revenue using either the percentage-of-completion method or the completed contract method as prescribed by Statement of Position No. 81-1, “Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Product-Type Contracts” (“SOP 81-1”).

The percentage-of-completion method is applied when we have the ability to make reasonable dependable estimates of the total effort required for completion using labor hours incurred as the measure of progress towards completion. The progress toward completion is measured based on the “go-live” date. We define the “go-live” date as the date the essential product functionality has been delivered or the application enters into a production environment or the point at which no significant additional Chordiant supplied professional service resources are required. Estimates are subject to revisions as the contract progresses to completion. We account for the changes as changes in accounting estimates when the information becomes known. Information impacting estimates obtained after the balance sheet date but before the issuance of the financial statements is used to update the estimates. Provisions for estimated contract losses, if any, are recognized in the period in which the loss becomes probable and can be reasonably estimated. When we sell additional licenses related to the original licensing agreement, revenue is recognized upon delivery if the project has reached the go-live date, or if the project has not reached the go-live date, revenue is recognized under the percentage-of-completion method. We classify revenues from these arrangements as license and service revenue based upon the estimated fair value of each element using the residual method.

The completed contract method is applied when we are unable to obtain reasonable dependable estimates of the total effort required for completion. Under the completed contract method, all revenue and related costs of revenue are deferred and recognized upon completion.

For product co-development arrangements relating to software products in development prior to the consummation of the individual arrangements where we retain the intellectual property being developed and intend to sell the resulting products to other customers, license revenue is deferred until the delivery of the final product, provided all other requirements of SOP 97-2 are met. Expenses associated with these co-development arrangements are accounted for under SFAS 86 and are normally expensed as incurred as they are considered to be research and development costs that do not qualify for capitalization or deferral.

Revenue from subscription or term license agreements, which include software and rights to unspecified future products or maintenance, is recognized ratably over the term of the subscription period. Revenue from subscription or term license agreements, which include software, but exclude rights to unspecified future products or maintenance, is

recognized upon delivery of the software if all conditions of recognizing revenue have been met including that the related agreement is non-cancelable, non-refundable and provided on an unsupported basis.

We recognize revenue for post-contract customer support ratably over the support period which ranges from one to three years.

Our training and consulting services revenues are recognized as such services are performed on an hourly or daily basis for time and material contracts. For consulting services arrangements with a fixed fee, we recognize revenue on a percentage-of-completion method.

For all sales we use either a signed license agreement or a binding purchase order where we have a master license agreement as evidence of an arrangement. Sales through our third party systems integrators are evidenced by a master agreement governing the relationship together with binding purchase orders or order forms on a transaction-by-transaction basis. Revenues from reseller arrangements are recognized on the “sell-through” method, when the reseller reports to us the sale of our software products to end-users. Our agreements with customers and resellers do not contain product return rights.

Table of Contents

We assess collectibility based on a number of factors, including past transaction history with the customer and the credit-worthiness of the customer. We generally do not request collateral from our customers. If we determine that the collection of a fee is not probable, we recognize revenue at the time collection becomes probable, which is generally upon the receipt of cash. If a transaction includes extended payment terms, we recognized revenue as the payments become due and payable.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. We must make estimates of the uncollectability of our accounts receivables. We specifically analyze accounts receivable and analyze historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit-worthiness and current economic trends when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. Generally, we require no collateral from our customers. Our gross accounts receivable balance was \$31.5 million (including long-term accounts receivable of \$1.0 million) with an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.3 million as of June 30, 2007. Our gross accounts receivable balance was \$19.1 million with an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.1 million as of September 30, 2006. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances would be required. To date, bad debt expense reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Statements Of Operations has not been material and has been within management's expectations.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense. Upon adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") 123(R) on October 1, 2005, we began estimating the value of employee stock options on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the value of each employee stock option was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model for the purpose of the pro forma financial disclosure in accordance with SFAS 123. Under SFAS 123(R), the determination of fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model is affected by our stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to the expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, and actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors. The expected term of options granted is derived from historical data on employee exercises and post-vesting employment termination behavior. The expected volatility is a blended rate based on both the historical volatility of our stock price and the volatility of certain peer company stock prices.

With the adoption of SFAS 123(R) on October 1, 2005, we used the trinomial lattice valuation technique to determine the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model. The trinomial lattice valuation technique was used to provide better estimates of fair values and meet the fair value objectives of SFAS 123(R).

In connection with the Company's restatement of its Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company has applied judgment related to revised measurement dates for prior options grants. Information regarding the restatement, including ranges of possible additional stock-based compensation expense if other measurement dates had been selected for certain grants, is set forth in the Explanatory paragraph and in Note 3 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2006 ("2006 Form 10-K") filed with the SEC.

Accounting for Income Taxes. As part of the process of preparing our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements we are required to estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. This process involves estimating our actual current tax exposure together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items, such as deferred revenue, for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. We must then assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and to the extent we believe that recovery is not likely, we must establish a valuation allowance. To the extent we establish a valuation allowance or increase this allowance in a period, we must include an expense within the tax provision in the Condensed Consolidated Statements Of Operations.

We have recorded a valuation allowance equal to 100% of the deferred tax assets as of June 30, 2007, due to uncertainties related to our ability to utilize our net deferred tax assets, primarily consisting of certain net operating losses carry forwards and foreign tax credits. Deferred tax assets have been fully reserved for in all periods presented. We were profitable for the quarters ended March 31, 2007 and June 30, 2007 and if we continue to be profitable in the near term, we will need to reevaluate the 100% valuation allowance.

Valuation of Long-lived and Intangible Assets and Goodwill. We assess the impairment of identifiable intangibles and long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Furthermore, we assess the impairment of goodwill annually. Factors we consider important which could trigger an impairment review include the following:

- Significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results;
- Significant changes in the manner of our use of the acquired assets or the strategy for our overall business;
 - Significant negative industry or economic trends;
 - Significant decline in our stock price for a sustained period;

Table of Contents

- Market capitalization declines relative to net book value; and

• A current expectation that, more likely than not, a long-lived asset will be sold or otherwise disposed of significantly before the end of its previously estimated useful life.

When one or more of the above indicators of impairment occurs we estimate the value of long-lived assets and intangible assets to determine whether there is impairment. We measure any impairment based on the projected discounted cash flow method, which requires us to make several estimates including the estimated cash flows associated with the asset, the period over which these cash flows will be generated and a discount rate commensurate with the risk inherent in our current business model. These estimates are subjective and if we made different estimates, it could materially impact the estimated fair value of these assets and the conclusions we reached regarding impairment. To date, we have not identified any triggering events noted above.

We are required to perform an impairment review of our goodwill balance on at least an annual basis. This impairment review involves a two-step process as follows:

Step 1—We compare the fair value of our reporting units to the carrying value, including goodwill, of each of those units. For each reporting unit where the carrying value, including goodwill, exceeds the unit's fair value, we proceed on to Step 2. If a unit's fair value exceeds the carrying value, no further work is performed and no impairment charge is necessary.

Step 2—We perform an allocation of the fair value of the reporting unit to our identifiable tangible and non-goodwill intangible assets and liabilities. This derives an implied fair value for the reporting unit's goodwill. We then compare the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill is greater than the implied fair value of its goodwill, an impairment charge would be recognized for the excess. We determined that we have one reporting unit. We completed a goodwill impairment review for the period ending September 30, 2006 and performed Step 1 of the goodwill impairment analysis required by SFAS 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," and concluded that goodwill was not impaired as of September 30, 2006 using the methodology described above. Accordingly, Step 2 was not performed. We will continue to test for impairment on an annual basis and on an interim basis if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of our reporting units below their carrying amount.

Restructuring Costs. During the quarter ending December 31, 2006, and in prior fiscal years 2005, 2003, and the nine month period ended September 30, 2004, we implemented cost-reduction plans as part of our continued effort to streamline our operations to reduce ongoing operating expenses. These plans resulted in restructuring charges related to, among others, the consolidation of excess facilities. These charges relate to facilities and portions of facilities we no longer utilize and either seek to terminate early or sublease. Lease termination costs and brokerage fees for the abandoned facilities were estimated for the remaining lease obligations and were offset by estimated sublease income. Estimates related to sublease costs and income are based on assumptions regarding the period required to locate and contract with suitable sub-lessees and sublease rates which can be achieved using market trend information analyses provided by a commercial real estate brokerage retained by us. Each reporting period we review these estimates and to the extent that these assumptions change due to new agreements with landlords, new subleases with tenants, or changes in the market, the ultimate restructuring expenses for these abandoned facilities could vary by material amounts.

Determining Functional Currencies for the Purpose of Consolidation. We have several foreign subsidiaries that together account for a significant portion of our revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities.

In preparing our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, we are required to translate the financial statements of the foreign subsidiaries from the currency in which they keep their accounting records, generally the local currency, into United States dollars. This process results in exchange gains and losses which, under the relevant accounting guidance are either included within the statements of operations or as a separate part of our net equity under the caption "accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)." Under the relevant accounting guidance, the treatment of these translation gains or losses is dependent upon our management's determination of the functional currency of each subsidiary. The functional currency is determined based on management's judgment and involves consideration of all relevant economic facts and circumstances affecting the subsidiary. Generally, the currency in which the subsidiary conducts a majority of its transactions, including billings, financing, payroll and other expenditures would be considered the functional currency but any dependency upon the parent and the nature of the subsidiary's operations must also be considered.

If any subsidiary's functional currency were deemed to be the local currency, then any gain or loss associated with the translation of that subsidiary's financial statements would be included in cumulative translation adjustments. However, if the functional currency were deemed to be the United States dollar then any gain or loss associated with the translation of these financial statements would be included within our statements of operations. If we dispose of any of our subsidiaries, any cumulative translation gains or losses would be recognized in our statements of operations. If we determine that there has been a change in the functional currency of a subsidiary to the United States dollar, any translation gains or losses arising after the date of change would be included within our statements of operations.

Table of Contents

Based on our assessment of the factors discussed above, we consider the relevant subsidiary's local currency to be the functional currency for each of our international subsidiaries. Accordingly, foreign currency translation gains and losses are included as part of accumulated other comprehensive income within our balance sheet for all periods presented.

The magnitude of these gains or losses is dependent upon movements in the exchange rates of the foreign currencies in which we transact business against the United States dollar. These currencies include the United Kingdom Pound Sterling, the Euro and the Canadian Dollar. Any future translation gains or losses could be significantly higher than those reported in previous periods. At June 30, 2007, approximately \$47.9 million of our cash and cash equivalents were held by our subsidiaries outside of the United States.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The SFAS 159 is effective for the fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company has evaluated the new statement and has determined that it will not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

In December 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Staff Position (FSP) EITF 00-19-2, "Accounting for Registration Payment Arrangements." This FSP specifies that the contingent obligation to make future payments or otherwise transfer consideration under a registration payment arrangement, whether issued as a separate agreement or included as a provision of a financial instrument or other agreement, should be separately recognized and measured in accordance with FASB Statement No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies." The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company has evaluated the new pronouncement and has determined that it will not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

In September 2006, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements When Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements" (SAB 108). SAB 108 provides guidance on how the effects of the carryover or reversal of prior year misstatements should be considered in quantifying a current year misstatement. The guidance is applicable for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. The Company has evaluated the new statement and has determined that it will not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, ("SFAS 157") "Fair Value Measurement." SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and also expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The SFAS 157 is effective for the fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company has evaluated the new statement and has determined that it will not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes-an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" (FIN 48), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. This Interpretation requires that the Company recognize in its financial statements the impact of a tax position based on the technical merits of the position. This interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, with the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings; accordingly, the Company expects to adopt this standard in its fiscal year commencing October 1, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the effects of implementing this new standard.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth, in dollars and as a percentage of total revenues, unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations data for the periods indicated. This information has been derived from the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		2006		Nine Months Ended June 30,		2006	
	2007		2006		2007		2006	
Statements of Operations								
Data:								
Revenues:								
License	\$ 14,094	38%	\$ 10,257	38%	\$ 40,137	43%	\$ 32,588	43%
Service	22,667	62	16,769	62	52,328	57	43,268	57
Total revenues	36,761	100	27,026	100	92,465	100	75,856	100
Cost of revenues:								
License	419	1	398	2	1,456	2	1,360	2
Service	9,264	25	8,965	33	22,353	24	23,217	31
Amortization of intangible assets	303	1	303	1	908	1	908	1
Total cost of revenues	9,986	27	9,666	36	24,717	27	25,485	34
Gross profit	26,775	73	17,360	64	67,748	73	50,371	66
Operating expenses:								
Sales and marketing	9,065	25	7,976	29	24,643	26	24,876	33
Research and development	7,328	20	7,780	29	20,919	23	18,159	24
General and administrative	4,584	12	4,842	18	15,490	17	14,806	19
Restructuring expense	—	—	—	—	6,727	7	—	—
Total operating expenses	20,977	57	20,598	76	67,779	73	57,841	76
Income (loss) from operations	5,798	16	(3,238)	(12)	(31)	—	(7,470)	(10)
Interest income, net	682	2	329	1	1,478	2	809	1
Other income (expense), net	213	1	(623)	(2)	377	—	(536)	(1)
Income (loss) before income taxes	6,693	19	(3,532)	(13)	1,824	2	(7,197)	(10)
Provision for income taxes	240	1	150	1	1,146	1	441	—
Net income (loss)	\$ 6,453	18%	\$ (3,682)	(14)%	\$ 678	1%	\$ (7,638)	(10)%

Comparison of the Three and Nine Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (Unaudited)**Revenues**

Total revenues increased \$9.7 million, or 36%, to \$36.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$27.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. This increase was due to a 37% increase in license revenue and a 35% increase in service revenue. Total revenues increased \$16.6 million, or 21.9%, to \$92.5 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$75.9 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2006. This increase was due to a 23% increase in license revenue and a 21% increase in service revenue.

The following summarizes the components of our total revenues:

License Revenue

The increase or decrease of license revenue occurring within the three different product emphases is dependent on the timing of when a sales transaction is completed and whether a license transaction was sold with essential consulting services. Products licensed with essential consulting services is generally recognized as revenue under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting. The timing and amount of revenue for those transactions being recognized under the percentage-of-completion is influenced by the progress of work performed relative to the project length of customer contracts and the dollar value of such contracts. Due to several large customer orders signed during the past three quarters ending June 30, 2007, a significant portion of our near term license revenue is expected to be recognized under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting. These orders will require consulting services that are essential to functionality of the respective licenses. The following table sets fourth our license revenue by product emphasis for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands, except percentages):

32

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2007	2006	Change	%	2007	2006	Change	%
License Revenue:								
Enterprise solutions	\$ 10,442	\$ 8,517	\$ 1,925	23%	\$ 26,607	\$ 23,631	\$ 2,976	13%
Marketing solutions	2,119	1,031	1,088	106	4,375	6,196	(1,821)	(29)
Decision management solutions	1,533	709	824	116	9,155	2,761	6,394	232
Total license revenue	\$ 14,094	\$ 10,257	\$ 3,837	37%	\$ 40,137	\$ 32,588	\$ 7,549	23%

Total license revenue increased by \$3.8 million and \$7.5 million for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007, respectively, as compared to the same comparable periods in the prior year. Included in the license revenue for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 was the third in a series of term licenses sold to the same customer in the amount of \$1.3 million. The first \$5.0 million term license was sold in the period ending March 31, 2006, following its expiration a second \$2.5 million term license was sold in the period ending June 30, 2006. After the expiration of the second term license, the third \$1.3 million term license was sold in October 2006. The three term licenses totaled \$8.8 million and were non-cancelable, non-refundable and provided on an unsupported basis; accordingly, revenue was recognized in full upon delivery of the software as there were no undelivered elements. These individual term licenses were negotiated with the customer as single, discrete arrangements for purposes of evaluating and testing the software.

After the conclusion of the third license term, the customer concluded its evaluation of the product and purchased a separate perpetual license for existing products and a product that had yet to be delivered. Accordingly, because one product had yet to be delivered, for the periods ending December 31, 2006 and March 31, 2007, we deferred all associated revenue with this order, including the related services revenue and services costs associated with the implementation of the software licenses. In the period ended June 30, 2007, the undelivered product was delivered and we began to recognize the deferred revenue and the deferred consulting costs. At June 30, 2007, the aggregate \$20.0 million value of this agreement has been allocated as follows: \$12.3 million to license fees, \$7.3 million to support and maintenance fees expected to be recognized over the next five year period, and \$0.4 million to consulting fees. The deferred license revenue is being recognized on a percentage-of-completion basis due to the essential services required for the functionality of the software. By the time the future product delivery occurred in the June 30, 2007 quarter a large percentage of the project had been completed for which no revenue had previously been recognized. This resulted in the Company recognizing \$8.0 million of license revenue in the period and was the primary reason for the increase in license revenue for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007.

In addition to the aforementioned item, the increase in license revenue for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 included the recognition of license revenue in the period associated with a large customer transaction that was entered into during the December 2006 quarter totaling \$14.0 million that included both license and support elements. This transaction involved essential implementation services which were not delivered as of the end of the December 31, 2006 quarter; therefore, all license revenue was deferred. At March 31, 2007, no additional Chordiant supplied professional service resources were required, consequently, we recognized 100% of the \$8.8 million allocated to license revenue associated with this transaction.

Service Revenue

Service revenue is primarily composed of consulting implementation and integration, consulting customization, training, post-contract customer support services, and certain reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses. The increase or decrease of service revenue within the three different product emphases is primarily due to the timing of when license transactions are completed, whether or not the license was sold with essential consulting services, the sophistication of the customer's application, and the expertise of the customer's internal development team. For other service transactions, service revenue will lag in timing compared to the period of when the license revenue is recognized. The following table sets forth our service revenue by product emphasis for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2007	2006	Change	%	2007	2006	Change	%
Service Revenue:								
Enterprise solutions	\$ 16,109	\$ 11,846	\$ 4,263	36%	\$ 38,455	\$ 30,244	\$ 8,211	27%
Marketing solutions	3,953	3,481	472	14	9,462	9,896	(434)	(4)
Decision management solutions	2,605	1,442	1,163	81	4,411	3,128	1,283	41
Total service revenue	\$ 22,667	\$ 16,769	\$ 5,898	35%	\$ 52,328	\$ 43,268	\$ 9,060	21%

Total service revenue increased 35% for the three months ended June 30, 2006 as compared to June 30, 2007. The \$5.9

Table of Contents

million increase is primarily related to increases of \$3.0 million in consulting revenue, \$2.7 million in support and maintenance revenue, \$0.3 million in reimbursement of out-of-pocket expense revenue offset by a decrease of \$0.1 million in training revenue. The increase in consulting revenue and support and maintenance revenue is a result of the increase in the level of license revenue activity during the period and improved utilization of the professional services staff.

Total service revenue increased 21% for the nine months ended June 30, 2006 as compared to June 30, 2007. The \$9.0 million increase is primarily related to increases of \$6.0 million in support and maintenance revenue, \$4.0 million in consulting revenue, \$0.1 million in reimbursement of out-of-pocket expense revenue offset by a decrease of \$1.1 million in training revenue. The increase in consulting revenue and support and maintenance revenue is a result of the increase in the level of license revenue activity during the period and improved utilization of the professional services staff.

Cost of RevenueLicense

Cost of license revenue includes third-party software royalties and amortization of capitalized software development costs. Royalty expenses can vary depending upon the mix of products sold within the period. In addition, not all license products have associated royalty expense. The capitalized software development costs pertain to a banking product that was completed and available for general release in August 2005. Quarterly amortization expense associated with this product is \$0.2 million. Amortization of these costs is expected through 2008. The following table sets forth our cost of license revenues for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2007	2006	Change	%	2007	2006	Change	%
Cost of license revenue	\$ 419	\$ 398	\$ 21	5%	\$ 1,456	\$ 1,360	\$ 96	7%
Percentage of total revenue	1%	2%			2%	2%		

The cost of license revenue increase from the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006 as compared to the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 is primarily due to an increase in royalty expense resulting from a 37% and 23% growth in license revenue for the periods, respectively.

Service

Cost of service revenue consists primarily of personnel and related costs (including stock-based compensation), third-party consulting costs, facility and travel costs incurred to provide consulting implementation and integration, consulting customization, training, post-contract customer support services. The following table sets forth our cost of service revenue for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2007	2006	Change	%	2007	2006	Change	%
Cost of service revenue	\$ 9,264	\$ 8,965	\$ 299	3%	\$ 22,353	\$ 23,217	\$ (864)	(4)%
	25%	33%			24%	31%		

Percentage of total
revenue

Cost of service revenue increased by 3% from the three months ended June 30, 2006 to the three months ended June 30, 2007. During the quarter ended December 31, 2006, we completed a sales transaction involving licenses and essential consulting services to the functionality of the software. The licenses included a product to be delivered to the customer in the future. Due to this undelivered license element and the consulting services being essential to the functionality of the licenses, all license revenue, consulting revenue and consulting costs were deferred until the delivery of the final license element occurred. During the quarter ended June 30, 2007, we delivered this undelivered element and began to recognize the associated license and service revenue as well as the costs that were incurred in the prior periods yet deferred. This resulted in an increase in service costs for the period.

Cost of service revenue decreased by 4% from the nine months ended June 30, 2006 to the nine months ended June 30, 2007. This change is primarily due to a decrease in personnel and related costs of \$1.7 million associated with a decrease in headcount which was offset by an increase in consulting costs of \$0.9 million.

Amortization of Intangible Assets

Amortization of intangible assets cost consists primarily of the amortization of amounts paid for developed technologies,

Table of Contents

customer lists and tradenames resulting from business acquisitions. The following table sets forth our costs associated with amortization of intangible assets for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2007	2006	Change	%	2007	2006	Change	%
Amortization of intangible assets	\$ 303	\$ 303	\$ —	—%	\$ 908	\$ 908	\$ —	—%
Percentage of total revenue	1%	1%			1%	1%		

We expect amortization expense for intangible assets to be \$0.3 million for the remaining quarter of fiscal year 2007 and \$1.2 million in fiscal year 2008, \$1.2 million in fiscal year 2009 and \$0.3 million in fiscal year 2010.

Operating ExpensesSales and Marketing

Sales and marketing expense is composed primarily of costs associated with promoting and advertising our products, product demonstrations and customer sales calls. These costs consist primarily of employee salaries, commissions and bonuses, stock-based compensation, benefits, facilities, travel expenses and promotional and advertising expenses. The following table sets forth our sales and marketing expenses for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2007	2006	Change	%	2007	2006	Change	%
Sales and marketing expense	\$ 9,065	\$ 7,976	\$ 1,089	14%	\$ 24,643	\$ 24,876	\$ (233)	(1)%
Percentage of total revenue	25%	29%			26%	33%		

Sales and marketing expense increased from the three months ended June 30, 2006 to the three months ended June 30, 2007, primarily due to an increase of \$0.8 million for sales and marketing programs and a \$0.3 million in personnel and related expenses.

Sales and marketing expense decreased from the nine months ended June 30, 2006 to the nine months ended June 30, 2007, primarily due to a decrease of \$1.4 million in personnel and related expenses due to a decrease in headcount offset by an increase of \$1.2 million for sales and marketing programs.

Research and Development

Research and development expense is composed primarily of costs associated with the development of new products, enhancements of existing products and quality assurance activities. These costs consist primarily of employee compensation (including stock-based compensation), benefits, facilities, the cost of software and development tools, equipment and consulting costs, including costs for offshore consultants. The following table sets forth our research and development expenses for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2007	2006	Change	%	2007	2006	Change	%
Research and development expense	\$ 7,328	\$ 7,780	\$ (452)	(6)%	\$ 20,919	\$ 18,159	\$ 2,760	15%
Percentage of total revenue	20%	29%			23%	24%		

Research and development expense decreased from the three months ended June 30, 2006 to the three months ended June 30, 2007, primarily due to a \$1.1 million decrease for outsourced research and development expenses, offset by an increase of \$0.6 million in personnel and related expenses. The increase in personnel costs was driven by an increase in average headcount for the comparative periods.

Research and development expense increased from the nine months ended June 30, 2006 to the nine months ended June 30, 2007, primarily due to a \$2.7 million increase in personnel and related expenses. The increase in personnel costs was driven by an increase in average headcount for the comparative periods.

General and Administrative

Table of Contents

General and administrative expense is composed primarily of costs associated with our executive and administrative personnel (e.g. the CEO, legal and finance personnel). These costs consist primarily of employee compensation, bonuses, stock compensation, benefits, facilities, consulting, legal and audit costs, including costs for Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX) compliance. The following table sets forth our general and administrative expenses for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2007	2006	Change	%	2007	2006	Change	%
General and administrative expense	\$ 4,584	\$ 4,842	\$ (258)	(5)%	\$ 15,490	\$ 14,806	\$ 684	5%
Percentage of total revenue	12%	18%			17%	19%		

General and administrative expense decreased from the three months ended June 30, 2006 to the three months ended June 30, 2007, primarily due to a decrease of \$0.2 million in personnel and related expenses as average headcount slightly decreased period to period.

General and administrative expense increased from the nine months ended June 30, 2006 to the nine months ended June 30, 2007, primarily due to an increase of \$0.7 million in professional fees associated with the stock option investigation and resulting financial statement restatement that was completed in the March 31, 2007 quarter.

Restructuring Expense

In October 2006, we initiated a restructuring plan that included an immediate reduction in positions of slightly more than ten percent of the Company's workforce, consolidation of our European facilities, and the closure of our French office. A majority of the positions eliminated were in Europe.

At December 31, 2006, we recorded a pre-tax cash restructuring charge of \$6.5 million as calculated using the net present value of the related costs as required by SFAS 146. The charge was composed of \$1.7 million for severance costs and \$4.8 million for exiting excess facilities. The facilities are subject to operating leases expiring through 2010. The Company anticipated that approximately \$5.4 million of the charge would result in cash expenditures.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2007, the Company incurred an additional charge of \$0.1 million for employee severance costs. Also during the March 31, 2007 quarter, we negotiated an early termination of the France office lease associated with its closure resulting in a \$0.2 million reduction in the restructure facility liability. This reduction was recorded as an offset to restructuring expense in the period.

Prior Restructuring. During fiscal year 2002, we restructured several areas of the Company to reduce expenses and improve revenues. As part of this restructuring, we closed an office facility in Boston, Massachusetts and recorded a charge associated with the long term lease which expires in January 2011. In the March 31, 2007 quarter, we completed a new sublease with a sub-lessee for the remaining term of our lease at a rate lower than that which was forecasted when the original restructure charge was recorded in 2002. This change in estimate resulted in a \$0.3 million charge to restructuring in the quarter ending March 31, 2007.

Stock-Based Compensation (Included in Individual Operating Expense and Cost of Revenue Categories)

Edgar Filing: CHORDIANT SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

The following table sets forth our stock-based compensation expense and functional breakdown for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Cost of revenues – service	\$ 63	\$ 92	\$ 224	\$ 176
Sales and marketing	(1)	571	565	1,907
Research and development	134	124	396	252
General and administrative	169	669	1,049	1,359
Total operating expense	302	1,364	2,010	3,518
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 365	\$ 1,456	\$ 2,234	\$ 3,694

Table of Contents

Stock-based compensation expense included in cost of revenues and operating expenses decreased from the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006 to the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007, primarily due to forfeitures in the current period associated with stock options and restricted stock awards granted to senior executives of the Company who were terminated in prior periods. These executives were in the sales and general administrative areas. We do not expect to receive such benefits from senior executive forfeitures in the near future.

Interest Income, Net

Interest income, net, consists primarily of interest income generated from our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities offset by interest expense incurred in connection with capital equipment leases. The following table sets forth our interest income, net for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2007	2006	Change	%	2007	2006	Change	%
Interest income, net	\$ 682	\$ 329	\$ 353	107%	\$ 1,478	\$ 809	\$ 669	83%
Percentage of total revenue	2%	1%			2%	1%		

Interest income, net increased from the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006 to the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007, primarily due to an increase in our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities balances residing in interest-bearing accounts for the comparative period. In addition, during the quarter ended June 30, 2007, the Company transferred a portion of its funds into marketable securities which earn a higher return of interest than other investments the Company utilized in the prior year. During the quarter end June 30, 2007, the capital equipment lease obligations were paid in full and associated interest expense was reduced.

Other Income (Expense), Net

These gains and losses are primarily associated with foreign currency transaction gains or losses and re-measurement of our short-term intercompany balances between the U.S. and our foreign denominated subsidiaries. The following table sets forth our other income (expense), net for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2007	2006	Change	%	2007	2006	Change	%
Other income (expense), net	\$ 213	\$ (623)	\$ 836	134%	\$ 377	\$ (536)	\$ 913	170%
Percentage of total revenue	1%	2%			—%	1%		

Other income increased from the three and nine months ended June 30, 2006 to the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007, primarily due to higher transaction gains as well as gains associated with the re-measurement of our short-term intercompany balances.

Provision for Income Taxes

These provisions are primarily attributable to taxes on earnings from our foreign subsidiaries, US alternative minimum taxes, and certain state taxes. The following table sets forth our provision for income taxes for the three and

Edgar Filing: CHORDIANT SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2007	2006	Change	%	2007	2006	Change	%
Provision for income taxes	\$ 240	\$ 150	\$ 90	60%	\$ 1,146	\$ 441	\$ 705	160%
Percentage of total revenue	1%	1%			1%	—%		

The provision for income taxes increased from the three months ended June 30, 2006 to the three months ended June 30, 2007, primarily due to the Company expecting to incur alternative minimum tax in the U.S. as a result of the Company generating taxable income in the current period versus a taxable loss in the prior year.

The provision for income taxes increased from the nine months ended June 30, 2006 to the nine months ended June 30, 2007, primarily due to an unrecoverable \$0.5 million withholding tax payment related to a sales transaction that occurred in Turkey during the March 31, 2007 quarter. The Company is expecting to incur alternative minimum tax in the U.S. as a result of the Company generating taxable income on a year to date basis versus a taxable loss in the prior year. Excluding any

Table of Contents

unrecoverable withholding tax obligations occurring in the final three months of the fiscal year, we expect our tax provision to be approximately 1% of revenues.

Our deferred tax assets primarily consist of net operating loss carryforwards, nondeductible allowances and research and development tax credits. We have recorded a valuation allowance for the full amount of our net deferred tax assets, as the future realization of the tax benefit is not considered by management to be more-likely-than-not.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, we have not been profitable and we have financed any shortfall from our operating activities through the issuance of our common stock. Currently, in addition to generating profits for the most recent two quarters, we have generated significant cash from operations for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 eliminating the immediate need to finance operations through the issuance of our common stock. It is anticipated that we will continue to generate cash from operations or financing activities in excess of the cash requirements of the Company for the remainder of our fiscal year.

Our cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash are principally held in operating accounts, money market accounts, commercial paper and certificates of deposit and our marketable securities are invested in corporate bonds. The balances of these accounts totaled \$85.4 million and \$45.8 million at June 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006, respectively, an increase of \$39.6 million, or 86%.

Operating Activities

Cash provided by operating activities was \$36.4 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2007, which consisted primarily of our net income of \$0.7 million adjusted for non-cash items (primarily depreciation, amortization, provision for doubtful accounts, loss on disposal of assets, other non-cash charges and non-cash stock-based compensation expense) aggregating approximately \$6.2 million and the net cash inflow effect from changes in assets and liabilities of approximately \$29.5 million. This net cash inflow was primarily related to changes in deferred revenue of \$46.0 million and other assets of \$1.8 million offset by changes in accounts receivable of \$14.0 million, accounts payable of \$3.6 million, and prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$1.0 million. The increase in deferred revenue is the result of sales transactions that were completed during the nine month period ended June 30, 2007 for which revenue will not be recognized until subsequent periods.

Cash provided by operating activities was \$0.7 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2006, which consisted primarily of our net loss of \$7.6 million adjusted for non-cash items (primarily depreciation, amortization, and non-cash stock-based compensation expense) aggregating approximately \$6.4 million and the net cash inflow effect from changes in assets and liabilities of approximately \$1.9 million. This net cash inflow was primarily related to the timing of payments for vendor invoices and other accrued liabilities. This inflow was offset by an increase in accounts receivable primarily related to sales transactions that closed at the end of the quarter not allowing sufficient enough time within the quarter to collect the cash.

Investing Activities

Cash used for investing activities was \$13.3 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2007. This use of cash was primarily for the purchase of \$11.5 million of marketable securities, the purchase of \$1.8 million of property and equipment, and \$0.2 million associated with capitalized software development costs paid to a third party. The majority of the property and equipment purchased was associated with the closure of the old European headquarters office and the opening of the new smaller European headquarters office during the period. The remainder of the property and equipment purchases was for day-to-day operations. The capitalization of development costs was to port one of our

existing products to a new architecture platform.

Cash provided by investing activities was \$0.8 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2006. This cash was primarily derived from proceeds from the release of restricted cash of \$1.9 million, offset by purchases of equipment and software of \$1.0 million.

Financing Activities

Cash provided by financing activities was \$3.7 million and \$1.5 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. This increase was primarily related to proceeds from stock option exercises of \$3.8 million and \$1.7 million for nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The increase in stock option proceeds for the period ended June 30, 2007 is a direct result of an increase in stock option exercise activity driven by an increase in the market value of our stock during the period.

Table of Contents

Revolving Line of Credit

The Company's revolving line of credit with Comerica Bank was amended and restated on March 8, 2006 and was extended to March 7, 2008. The terms of the agreement include a \$5.0 million line of credit and require us to maintain (i) at least a \$5.0 million cash balance in Comerica Bank accounts, (ii) a minimum quick ratio of 2.00 to 1.00, (iii) a liquidity ratio of at least 1.00 to 1.00 at all times, and (iv) subordinate any debt issuances subsequent to the effective date of the agreement, and certain other covenants. All assets of the Company have been pledged as collateral on the credit facility. Due to the Company failing to timely file its periodic reports on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2006 and on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, the line of credit agreement was amended in August 2006, November 2006, and December 2006 to extend the deadline related to the filing of its periodic reports to February 20, 2007. As of February 14, 2007, the Company was current with its SEC regulatory filings and has remained current for filings thereafter.

The revolving line of credit contains a provision for a sub-limit of up to \$5.0 million for issuances of standby commercial letters of credit. As of June 30, 2007, we had utilized \$0.3 million of the standby commercial letter of credit limit of which \$0.3 million serves as collateral for computer equipment leases for Ness (see Note 9 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements). The revolving line of credit also contains a provision for a sub-limit of up to \$3.0 million for issuances of foreign exchange forward contracts. As of June 30, 2007, we had not entered into any foreign exchange forward contracts. Pursuant to the amendment in March 2006, we are required to secure our standby commercial letters of credit and foreign exchange forward contracts through March 7, 2008. If these have not been secured to Comerica Bank's satisfaction, our cash and cash equivalent balances held by Comerica Bank automatically secure such obligations to the extent of the then continuing or outstanding and undrawn letters of credit or foreign exchange contracts.

Borrowings under the revolving line of credit bear interest at the lending bank's prime rate. Except for the standby commercial letters of credit, as of June 30, 2007, there was no outstanding balance on our revolving line of credit. Advances are available on a non-formula basis up to \$5.0 million.

Contractual Obligations and Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have entered into an agreement with Ness, effective December 15, 2003, wherein Ness will provide our customers with technical product support, a sustaining engineering function, product testing services, and product development services (collectively, the "Services"). The agreement had an initial term of three years and was extended for an additional one year term. Under the terms of the agreement, we pay for services rendered on a monthly fee basis, including the requirement to reimburse Ness for approved out-of-pocket expenses. In addition, upon our approval or at our direction, Ness may procure equipment to be used in performance of the Services, either through leasing arrangements or direct cash purchases, for which we are obligated under the agreement to reimburse them. In connection with the procurement of equipment, Ness Technologies India Ltd. has entered into a 36 month equipment lease agreement with IBM India and, in connection with the lease agreement we have an outstanding standby letter of credit in the amount of \$0.3 million in guarantee of Ness Technologies India, Ltd.'s financial commitments under the lease. Management believes that the likelihood of the performance of the guarantee being called is remote.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2007, the Company completed its final payments related to its capital lease obligations. We have no material commitments for capital expenditures and do not anticipate capital expenditures to fluctuate significantly from historic levels. Property and equipment purchases during the quarter ended December 31, 2006 relate to the relocation of our European headquarters.

Future minimum payments due under lease obligations as of June 30, 2007 are as follows (in thousands):

	Operating Leases	Operating Sublease Income	Net Operating Leases
Fiscal year ended September 30:			
2007 (remaining three months)	\$ 1,094	\$ (69)	\$ 1,025
2008	4,091	(277)	3,814
2009	3,348	(283)	3,065
2010	3,119	(294)	2,825
2011	1,540	(85)	1,455
Thereafter	1,261	—	1,261
Total minimum payments	\$ 14,453	\$ (1,008)	\$ 13,445

We believe that the effects of our strategic actions implemented to improve revenue as well as to control costs will be adequate to generate sufficient cash flows from operations, which, when combined with existing cash balances, we anticipate will be sufficient to meet our working capital and operating resource expenditure requirements for the near term. If the global economy weakens, a decline could occur.

39

Table of Contents

We anticipate that operating expenses will continue to be a material use of our cash resources. We may continue to utilize cash resources to fund acquisitions or investments in other businesses, technologies or product lines. In the long-term, we may require additional funds to support our working capital and operating expense requirements or for other purposes, and may seek to raise these additional funds through public or private debt or equity financings. There can be no assurance that this additional financing will be available, or if available, will be on reasonable terms. Failure to generate sufficient revenues or to control spending could adversely affect our ability to achieve our business objectives.

Indemnification

As permitted under Delaware law, we have agreements whereby we indemnify our officers, directors and certain employees for certain events or occurrences while the employee, officer or director is, or was serving, at our request in such capacity. The term of the indemnification period is for the officer's or director's lifetime. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited; however, we have a Director and Officer insurance policy that limits our exposure and may enable us to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. Future payments may be required to defend current and former directors in the derivative class action lawsuits described in Note 10. As a result of our insurance policy coverage, we believe the estimated fair value of these indemnification agreements is minimal. Accordingly, we have no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of June 30, 2007.

We have entered into standard indemnification agreements in our ordinary course of business. Pursuant to these agreements, we agree to indemnify, defend, hold harmless, and to reimburse the indemnified party for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party, generally our business partners or customers, in connection with any patent, copyright or other intellectual property infringement claim by any third party with respect to our products. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual after execution of the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. We have not incurred significant costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. We believe the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, we have no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of June 30, 2007.

We enter into arrangements with our business partners, whereby the business partners agree to provide services as subcontractors for our implementations. We may, at our discretion and in the ordinary course of business, subcontract the performance of any of our services. Accordingly, we enter into standard indemnification agreements with our customers, whereby we indemnify them for other acts, such as personal property damage by our subcontractors. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited; however, we have general and umbrella insurance policies that may enable us to recover a portion of any amounts paid. We have not incurred significant costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. As a result, we believe the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, we have no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of June 30, 2007.

When, as part of an acquisition, we acquire all of the stock or all of the assets and liabilities of a company, we may assume the liability for certain events or occurrences that took place prior to the date of acquisition. The maximum potential amount of future payments, if any, we could be required to make for such obligations is undeterminable at this time. Accordingly, we have no amounts recorded for these contingent liabilities as of June 30, 2007.

We warrant that our software products will perform in all material respects in accordance with our standard published specifications and documentation in effect at the time of delivery of the licensed products to the customer for a specified period of time. Additionally, we warrant that our maintenance and consulting services will be performed consistent with generally accepted industry standards. If necessary, we would provide for the estimated cost of product and service warranties based on specific warranty claims and claim history, however, we have not incurred

significant expense under our product or services warranties to date. As a result, we believe the estimated fair value on these warranties is minimal. Accordingly, we have no amounts recorded for these contingent liabilities as of June 30, 2007.

Table of Contents**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.**

We are exposed to the impact of interest rate changes and foreign currency fluctuations.

The following table presents the amounts of restricted cash and marketable securities that are subject to interest rate risk by year of expected maturity and average interest rates as of June 30, 2007 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2007	Fair Value	Average Interest Rates
Restricted cash in short-term investments	\$ 304	\$ 304	3.5%
Marketable securities	11,587	11,587	5.3%
Total restricted cash and marketable securities	\$ 11,891	\$ 11,891	5.3%

Interest Rate Risk. Our exposure to market rate risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to money market accounts, commercial paper, short-term certificates of deposit and marketable securities. We invest our excess cash in money market accounts, commercial paper, certificates-of-deposit, and marketable securities with less than one year of maturity.

To provide a meaningful assessment of the interest rate risk associated with the Company's total restricted cash and marketable securities, the Company performed a sensitivity analysis to determine the hypothetical impact of a decrease in interest rates of 100 basis points. Assuming consistent investment levels, interest income for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2007 would decline by \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

Foreign Currency Risk. A significant portion of our sales and operating expenses result from transactions outside of the United States, often in foreign currencies. These currencies include the United Kingdom Pound Sterling, the Euro and Canadian Dollars. International revenues from our foreign subsidiaries accounted for approximately 50% of total revenues for the nine months ended June 30, 2007. International sales are made mostly from our foreign sales subsidiaries in their respective countries and are typically denominated in the local currency of each country. These subsidiaries also incur most of their expenses in the local currency. Accordingly, all foreign subsidiaries use the local currency as their functional currency. Additionally, two of our foreign subsidiaries hold cash equivalent investments in currencies other than its respective local currency. Such holdings increase our exposure to foreign exchange rate fluctuations. As exchange rates vary, the holdings may magnify foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations or upon translation or adversely impact overall expected profitability through foreign currency losses incurred upon the sale or maturity of the investments. At June 30, 2007, approximately \$47.9 million of our cash and cash equivalents were held by our subsidiaries outside of the United States.

Our international business is subject to risks, including, but not limited to changing economic conditions, changes in political climate, differing tax structures, other regulations and restrictions, and foreign exchange rate volatility when compared to the United States. Accordingly, our future results could be materially adversely impacted by changes in these or other factors.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Management's Responsibility for Financial Statements

Our management is responsible for the integrity and objectivity of all information presented in this quarterly report. The consolidated financial statements were prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and include amounts based on management's best estimates and judgments. Management believes the consolidated financial statements fairly reflect the form and substance of transactions and that the financial statements fairly represent our financial position and results of operations.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, which is composed solely of independent directors, meets regularly with our independent registered public accounting firm, BDO Seidman, LLP and representatives of management to review accounting, financial reporting, internal control and audit matters, as well as the nature and extent of the audit effort. The Audit Committee is responsible for the engagement of the independent auditors, and the independent auditors have free access to the Audit Committee.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) or "disclosure controls." This controls evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer, or CEO, and Chief Financial Officer, or CFO. Based upon the controls evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that, as a result of the matters discussed below with respect to our internal control over financial reporting, our disclosure controls as of June 30, 2007 were not effective.

In light of this determination and as part of the work undertaken in connection with this report, we have applied compensating procedures and processes as necessary to ensure the reliability of our financial reporting. Accordingly, management believes, based on its knowledge, that (i) this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report and (ii) the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows as at, and for, the periods presented in this report.

Definitions of Significant Deficiency and Material Weakness

In this report, unless otherwise indicated, a "significant deficiency" is defined as a control deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, that adversely affects the company's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process or report external financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected. A "material weakness" is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected.

Material Weaknesses Reported for the Year ended September 30, 2006

As previously reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2006 filed with the SEC, the following material weaknesses existed as of September 30, 2006:

Control Activities Relating to Stock Option Grants:

In connection with the restatement discussed in Note 2 – “Restatement relating to stock-based compensation” in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, we recorded \$8.3 million of additional pre-tax non-cash stock based compensation expense and associated withholding tax exposure related to stock option grants that occurred in the fiscal periods 2000 thru 2006. The Company did not maintain effective control over the granting of stock options and its accounting for its non-cash stock-based compensation and related financial statement disclosures, since the method by which the Company originally valued certain common stock and amortized deferred stock-based compensation for such common stock were determined to be incorrect. Our current management has determined that a majority of the control deficiency relates to the finalization of granting stock options. This control deficiency resulted in adjustments to the Company’s fiscal year 2006 annual and interim financial statements. Further, this control deficiency could result in material misstatements to the Company’s annual or interim financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, management has determined that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness.

Table of Contents

Control Activities Relating to the Training of the Sales Force and the Communication of Issues Impacting Revenue Recognition to the Finance Department

In conjunction with a license transaction consummated during the year ended September 30, 2006, management became aware of a verbal agreement relating to the provision of professional services that was communicated to a customer via a member of the sales organization. The financial statement impact of this verbal arrangement was determined to be not material to the financial statements at September 30, 2006 and was deemed to be isolated in nature; however, it indicated a need to improve the level of training that the sales staff should receive to ensure that the sales staff fully understand that verbal arrangements intended to be binding contractual obligations are strictly prohibited by Company policy and that any contract addendums should be communicated to the finance department. As a result, the Company determined that it did not maintain effective control over the training of the sales force and the communication of issues impacting revenue recognition to the finance department. This control deficiency did not result in adjustments to the Company's fiscal year 2006 annual or interim financial statements. However, this control deficiency could result in material misstatements to the Company's annual or interim financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, management has determined that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting:

As of the date of this filing, in addition to the corrective actions disclosed in our Form 10-K filed on February 9, 2007, management has initiated the following corrective actions:

- Training has been completed for those involved in the stock-based compensation process on accounting issues.
 - Mandatory training covering the relevant issues has been completed for the sales department staff.

Other than the corrective actions noted above, there have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2007, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

As described, management has made significant efforts to remediate the material weaknesses in its internal control over financial reporting. Management will continue its efforts and the Audit Committee will continue to monitor the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, including those pertaining to stock option grants and the training of our sales team, on an ongoing basis and will take further action, as appropriate. We are currently in the process of testing our enhanced controls, however, as of June 30, 2007, the testing of the effectiveness of our remediation efforts have not been completed. While none of the material weaknesses has been fully remediated, management believes significant progress has been made to do so.

Table of Contents

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Beginning in July 2001, we and certain of our officers and directors (“Individuals”) were named as defendants in a series of class action stockholder complaints filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, now consolidated under the caption, “In re Chordiant Software, Inc. Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation, Case No. 01-CV-6222”. In the amended complaint, filed in April 2002, the plaintiffs allege that we, the Individuals, and the underwriters of our initial public offering (“IPO”) violated section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 and section 10(b) of the Exchange Act of 1934 based on allegations that the our registration statement and prospectus failed to disclose material facts regarding the compensation to be received by, and the stock allocation practices of, our IPO underwriters. The complaint also contains claims against the Individuals for control person liability under Securities Act section 15 and Exchange Act section 20. The plaintiffs seek unspecified monetary damages and other relief. Similar complaints were filed in the same court against hundreds of other public companies (“Issuers”) that conducted IPO’s of their common stock in the late 1990’s or in the year 2000 (collectively, the “IPO Lawsuits”).

In August 2001, all of the IPO Lawsuits were consolidated for pretrial purposes before United States Judge Shira Scheindlin of the Southern District of New York. In July 2002, we joined in a global motion to dismiss the IPO Lawsuits filed by all of the Issuers (among others). In October 2002, the Court entered an order dismissing the Individuals from the IPO Lawsuits without prejudice, pursuant to an agreement tolling the statute of limitations with respect to the Individuals. In February 2003, the Court issued a decision denying the motion to dismiss against Chordiant and many of the other Issuers.

In June 2003, Issuers and plaintiffs reached a tentative settlement agreement that would, among other things, result in the dismissal with prejudice of all claims against the Issuers and Individuals in the IPO Lawsuits, and the assignment to plaintiffs of certain potential claims that the Issuers may have against the underwriters. The tentative settlement also provides that, in the event that plaintiffs ultimately recover less than a guaranteed sum of \$1 billion from the IPO underwriters, plaintiffs would be entitled to payment by each participating Issuer’s insurer of a pro rata share of any shortfall in the plaintiffs’ guaranteed recovery. In September 2003, in connection with the possible settlement, those Individuals who had entered tolling agreements with plaintiffs (described above) agreed to extend those agreements so that they would not expire prior to any settlement being finalized. In June 2004, Chordiant and almost all of the other Issuers entered into a formal settlement agreement with the plaintiffs. On February 15, 2005, the Court issued a decision certifying a class action for settlement purposes, and granting preliminary approval of the settlement subject to modification of certain bar orders contemplated by the settlement. On August 31, 2005, the Court reaffirmed class certification and preliminary approval of the modified settlement in a comprehensive Order, and directed that Notice of the settlement be published and mailed to class members beginning November 15, 2005. On February 24, 2006, the Court dismissed litigation filed against certain underwriters in connection with the claims to be assigned to the plaintiffs under the settlement. On April 24, 2006, the Court held a Final Fairness Hearing to determine whether to grant final approval of the settlement. On December 5, 2006, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals vacated the lower Court's earlier decision certifying as class actions the six IPO Lawsuits designated as "focus cases." Thereafter, the District Court ordered a stay of all proceedings in all of the IPO Lawsuits pending the outcome of plaintiffs’ petition to the Second Circuit for rehearing en banc and resolution of the class certification issue. On April 6, 2007, the Second Circuit denied plaintiffs’ rehearing petition, holding that the actions could not be maintained as pled but clarifying that the plaintiffs may seek to certify a more limited class in the District Court. Accordingly, the settlement as originally negotiated will not be finally approved. Plaintiffs have until July 31, 2007 in which to file amended complaints against all Issuers, including Chordiant. If an appropriate settlement cannot be finalized, then this action may divert the efforts and attention of our management and, if determined adversely to us, could have a material impact on our business, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

On August 1, 2006, a stockholder derivative complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California by Jesse Brown under the caption Brown v. Kelly, et al. Case No. C06-04671 JW (N.D. Cal.). On September 13, 2006, a second stockholder derivative complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California by Louis Suba under the caption Suba v. Kelly et al., Case No. C06-05603 JW (N.D. Cal.). Both complaints were brought purportedly on behalf of the Company against certain current and former officers and directors. On November 27, 2006, the court entered an order consolidating these actions and requiring the plaintiffs to file a consolidated complaint. The consolidated complaint was filed on January 11, 2007. The consolidated complaint alleges, among other things, that the named officers and directors: (a) breached their fiduciary duties as they colluded with each other to backdate stock options, (b) violated section 10(b), 14(a) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder through their alleged actions, and (c) were unjustly enriched by their receipt and retention of such stock options. On May 21, 2007, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the entire action on the grounds that the plaintiffs failed to take the steps necessary to bring a derivative action. The individual defendants filed motions to dismiss as well. The parties have agreed that the plaintiffs' opposition to the motions to dismiss will not be due until September 11, 2007, to permit the parties an opportunity to explore a resolution of this dispute. The hearing on the motion to dismiss is set for October 15, 2007.

In September 2006, the Company received a letter from Acacia Technologies Group, a patent holding company, suggesting that the Company may be infringing on two patents, designated by United States Patent Numbers 5,537,590 and 5,701,400, which are held by one of their patent licensing and enforcement subsidiaries. The Company is currently reviewing the validity of these

Table of Contents

patents and whether the Company's products may infringe upon them. The Company has not formed a view of whether the Company may have liability for infringement of these patents. Any related claims, whether or not they have merit, could be costly and time-consuming to defend, divert our management's attention or cause product delays. If any of our products were found to infringe such patents, the patent holder could seek an injunction to enjoin our use of the infringing product. If we were required to settle such a claim, it could have a material impact on our business, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

We are also subject to various other claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. The ultimate disposition of these various other claims and legal actions is not expected to have a material effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. However, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties.

Table of Contents

Item 1A.

RISK FACTORS

The Company has marked with an asterisk (*) those risk factors that reflect substantive changes from the risk factors included in the Company's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006.

The matters relating to the Audit Committee of the Board's review of our historical stock option granting practices and the restatement of our consolidated financial statements have required us to incur substantial expenses, have resulted in litigation, and may result in additional litigation and future government enforcement actions.

On July 24, 2006, the Company announced that the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, with the assistance of independent legal counsel, was conducting a review of our stock option practices covering the time from the Company's initial public offering in 2000 through June 2006. As described in the Explanatory Note immediately preceding Part I, Item 1, and in Note 3 "Restatement of Previously Issued Consolidated Financial Statements" in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Form 10-K, the Audit Committee reached a conclusion that incorrect measurement dates were used for financial accounting purposes for stock option grants in certain prior periods. As a result, the Company has recorded additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense, and related tax effects, related to certain stock option grants, and the Company has restated certain previously filed financial statements included in the 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

This review of our historical stock option granting practices and the associated litigation has required us to incur substantial expenses for legal, accounting, tax and other professional services, has diverted our management's attention from our business, and could in the future adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our historical stock option granting practices and the restatement of our prior financial statements have exposed us to greater risks associated with litigation and regulatory proceedings. Several derivative complaints have been filed pertaining to allegations relating to stock option grants. We cannot assure you that these or future similar complaints or any future litigation or regulatory action will result in the same conclusions reached by the Audit Committee. The conduct and resolution of these matters will be time consuming, expensive and distracting from the conduct of our business.

We contacted the SEC regarding the Audit Committee's review and, in July 2006, the SEC commenced an investigation into our historical stock option grant practices. In November 2006, a representative of the Audit Committee and its advisors met with the enforcement staff of the SEC and provided them with a report of the Audit Committee's investigation and findings. In January 2007, the enforcement staff of the SEC notified the Company that its investigation had been terminated and no enforcement action had been recommended to the Commission.

The finding of the Audit Committee's review are more fully described in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and in Item 9A of the Annual Report on Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2006.

We may be subject to further investigation by the SEC or litigation by private parties in connection with the restatement of our interim financial statements for the fiscal quarters ended March 31, 2004, June 30, 2004, September 30, 2004, December 31, 2004, March 31, 2005, June 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, and March 31, 2006 and the fiscal years ended 2001, 2002, 2003, 2005 and nine months ended September 30, 2004.

In March 2005, we concluded that our interim financial statements for the fiscal quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30, 2004 should no longer be relied upon because of various errors in such financial statements. We restated those financial statements, which were reported in our 2004 Transition Report on Form 10-K/T filed with the SEC on March 29, 2005. Additionally, in the course of preparing our 2005 financial results for the year ended September 30, 2005, the Company and its independent registered public accounting firm, BDO Seidman, LLP, identified certain errors in the Company's 2005 interim financial statements for the quarters ended December 31, 2004, March 31, 2005, and June 30, 2005 and management concluded that as a result of these errors, the Company should restate the Company's interim financial statements for these quarters. These errors are more fully described in Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on December 9, 2005. On November 26, 2006, the Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Audit Committee and management concluded that Chordiant would restate its historical financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, the nine-month period ended September 30, 2004, the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005, and the quarters ended December 31, 2005 and March 31, 2006. These errors are more fully described in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Section 408 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX) requires that the SEC review a public company's filings no less frequently than once every three years. The SEC's staff in the Division of Corporation Finance in Washington D.C. has reviewed the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and has commented on the annual report to which the Company has provided written responses. The SEC may begin an investigation or we may be subject to private

Table of Contents

litigation, which could require significant management and financial resources which could otherwise be devoted to the operation of our business. If we are subject to an SEC investigation or civil litigation, we could be required to pay penalties or damages or have other remedies imposed upon us. In addition, we could become the target of expensive securities litigation related to other matters in the future. Any SEC investigation or litigation could adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

*** Historically, we have not been profitable and we may continue to incur losses, which may raise vendor viability concerns thereby making it more difficult to close license transactions with new and existing customers.**

While the Company was profitable for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and June 30, 2007, we incurred losses of \$10.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2006 and \$16.0 million for fiscal year-ended 2006. As of June 30, 2007, we had an accumulated deficit of \$232.3 million. We may continue to incur losses and cannot be certain that we can generate sufficient revenues to achieve profitability. Continued losses may leave many customers reluctant to enter into new large value license transactions without some assurance that we will operate profitably. If we fail to enter into new large value license transactions due to lack of vendor profitability and/or viability concerns, our revenues will decline, which could further adversely affect our operating results.

*** Because a small number of customers account for a substantial portion of our revenues, the loss of a significant customer could cause a substantial decline in our revenues.**

We derive a significant portion of our license and service revenue from a limited number of customers. The loss of a major customer could cause a decrease in revenues and net income. For the three months ended June 30, 2007, Citicorp Credit Services, Inc. and Turkieye Is Bankasi, A.S. accounted for 44% and 10% of our total revenue, respectively. For the nine months ended June 30, 2007, Citicorp Credit Services, Inc. and IBM accounted for 21% and 20% of our total revenue, respectively. While our customer concentration has fluctuated, we expect that a limited number of customers will continue to account for a substantial portion of our revenues. As a result, if we lose a major customer, or if a contract is delayed or cancelled or we do not contract with new major customers, our revenues and net loss would be adversely affected. In addition, customers that have accounted for significant revenues in the past may not generate revenues in any future period, causing our failure to obtain new significant customers or additional orders from existing customers to materially affect our operating results.

*** If we fail to adequately address the difficulties of managing our international operations, our revenues and operating expenses will be adversely affected.**

For the nine months ended June 30, 2007, international revenues were \$46.7 million or approximately 50% of our total revenues. For the nine months ended June 30, 2006, international revenues were \$27.7 million or approximately 36% of our total revenues. International revenues will continue to represent a significant portion of our total revenues in future periods. We have faced, and will continue to face, difficulties in managing international operations which include:

- Difficulties in hiring qualified local personnel;
- Seasonal fluctuations in customer orders;
- Longer accounts receivable collection cycles;
- Expenses associated with licensing products and servicing customers in foreign markets;

- Economic downturns and political uncertainty in international economies;
- Expectations of European economic growth that is lower than for the U.S.;

Income tax withholding issues in countries in which we do not have a physical presence, resulting in non-coverable tax payments;

Doing business and licensing our software to customers in countries with weaker intellectual property protection laws and enforcement capabilities.

Any of these factors could have a significant impact on our ability to license products on a competitive and timely basis and could adversely affect our operating expenses and net income. Additionally we closed our only French office in the first quarter of 2007. The absence of a business office in France may harm our ability to attract and retain customers in that country.

Table of Contents

***Our known backlog of business may not result in revenue.**

An increasingly material portion of our revenues has been derived from large orders, as major customers deployed our products. Additionally, we anticipate that on average, these orders will require more time for deployment than has historically been the case. We define backlog as contractual commitments by our customers through purchase orders or contracts. Backlog is comprised of software license orders which have not been accepted by customers or have not otherwise met all of the required criteria for revenue recognition, deferred revenue from customer support contracts, and deferred consulting and education orders for services not yet completed or delivered. Backlog is not necessarily indicative of revenues to be recognized in a specified future period. There are many factors that would impact the Company's filling of backlog, such as the Company's progress in completing projects for its customers and Chordiant's customers' meeting anticipated schedules for customer-dependent deliverables. The Company provides no assurances that any portion of its backlog will be filled during any fiscal year or at all or that its backlog will be recognized as revenues in any given period. In addition, it is possible that customers from whom we expect to derive revenue from backlog will default and as a result we may not be able to recognize expected revenue from backlog.

*** Fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies could make our products less competitive in international markets and could negatively affect our operating results and cash flows.**

A significant portion of our sales and operating expenses result from transactions outside of the U.S., often in foreign currencies. These currencies include the United Kingdom Pound Sterling, the Euro and the Canadian Dollar. Our international sales comprised 50% of our total sales for the nine months ended June 30, 2007. Our international sales comprised 36% of our total sales for the nine months ended June 30, 2006. Our future operating results will continue to be subject to fluctuations in foreign currency rates, especially if international sales increase as a percentage of our total sales, and we may be negatively impacted by fluctuations in foreign currency rates in the future. For the nine months ended June 30, 2007, we had an unrealized foreign currency translation gain of approximately \$0.4 million.

Geopolitical concerns could make the closing of license transactions with new and existing customers difficult.

Our revenues will decrease in fiscal year 2007 or beyond if we are unable to enter into new large-scale license transactions with new and existing customers. The current state of world affairs and geopolitical concerns have left many customers reluctant to enter into new large value license transactions without some assurance that the economy both in the customer's home country and worldwide will have some economic and political stability. Geopolitical instability will continue to make closing large license transactions difficult. In addition, we cannot predict what effect the U.S. military presence overseas or potential or actual political or military conflict have had or are continuing to have on our existing and prospective customers' decision-making process with respect to licensing or implementing enterprise-level products such as ours. Our ability to enter into new large license transactions also directly affects our ability to create additional consulting services and maintenance revenues, on which we also depend.

*** Competition in our markets is intense and could reduce our sales and prevent us from achieving profitability.**

Increased competition in our markets could result in price reductions for our products and services, reduced gross margins and loss of market share, any one of which could reduce our future revenues. The market for our products is intensely competitive, evolving and subject to rapid technological change. Historically, our primary competition has been from internal development, custom systems integration projects and application software competitors. In particular, we compete with:

- *Internal information technology departments:* in-house information technology departments of potential customers have developed or may develop systems that provide some or all of the functionality of our products. We expect that internally developed application integration and process automation efforts will

continue to be a significant source of competition.

Custom systems integration projects: we compete with large systems integrators who may develop custom solutions for specific companies which may reduce the likelihood that they would purchase our products and services.

Point application vendors: we compete with providers of stand-alone point solutions for web-based customer relationship management and traditional client/server-based, call-center service customer and sales-force automation solution providers.

In addition, recent continuing consolidation in the software industry during 2006 may indicate that we will face new competitors in the future. Within the year Oracle completed an acquisition of i-flex Solutions Ltd., a banking software maker headquartered in Mumbai, India. In 2005 Oracle had purchased a 43% stake in the company. Also in 2006, IBM acquired Webify, a provider of middleware to companies primarily in the insurance industry. In addition, in September 2005, IBM had acquired DWL, a provider of middleware to companies in the banking, insurance, retail and telecommunications industries. In January 2006, Oracle acquired Siebel Systems, Inc., a maker of customer relationship management software products and acquired Portal Software, a provider of billing and revenue management solutions for the communications and media industry. Siebel Systems,

Table of Contents

Inc. was a competitor of ours. In April 2007, Oracle purchased Hyperion Solutions Corporation, a provider of performance management software. In May 2007, Oracle announced its plan to buy Agile Software. While we do not believe that either i-flex Solutions, Portal Software, Hyperion, DWL or Webify have been significant competitors of Chordiant in the past, the acquisition of these companies by Oracle and IBM may indicate that we will face increased competition from significantly larger and more established entities in the future.

Many of our competitors have greater resources and broader customer relationships than we do. In addition, many of these competitors have extensive knowledge of our industry. Current and potential competitors have established, or may establish, cooperative relationships among themselves or with third parties to offer a single solution and to increase the ability of their products to address customer needs.

We may experience a shortfall in revenue, earnings, cash flow or otherwise fail to meet public market expectations, which could materially and adversely affect our business and the market price of our common stock.

Our revenues and operating results may fluctuate significantly because of a number of factors, many of which are outside of our control. Some of these factors include:

- Size and timing of individual license transactions;
- Delay or deferral of customer implementations of our products and subsequent impact on revenues;
 - Lengthening of our sales cycle;
- Potential additional deterioration and changes in domestic and foreign markets and economies;
- Success in expanding our global services organization, direct sales force and indirect distribution channels;
 - Timing of new product introductions and product enhancements;
 - Appropriate mix of products licensed and services sold;
 - Levels of international transactions;
 - Activities of and acquisitions by competitors;
 - Product and price competition; and
- Our ability to develop and market new products and control costs.

One or more of the foregoing factors may cause our operating expenses to be disproportionately high during any given period or may cause our revenues and operating results to fluctuate significantly. Based upon the preceding factors, we may experience a shortfall in revenues and earnings or otherwise fail to meet public market expectations, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and the market price of our common stock.

Our operating results and cash flows fluctuate significantly and delays in delivery or implementation of our products or changes in the payment terms with customers may cause unanticipated declines in revenues or cash flow, which could disappoint investors and result in a decline in our stock price.

Our quarterly revenues depend primarily upon product implementation by our customers. We have historically recognized a significant portion of our license and services revenue through the percentage-of-completion method, using labor hours incurred as the measure of progress towards completion of implementation of our products and we expect this practice to continue. The percentage of completion accounting method requires ongoing estimates of progress of complicated and frequently changing technology projects. Documenting the measure of progress towards completion of implementation is subject to potential errors and changes in estimates. As a result, even minor errors or minor changes in estimates may lead to significant changes in accounting results which may be revised in later quarters due to subsequent information and events. Thus, delays or changes in customer business goals or direction when implementing our software may negatively impact our quarterly revenue. Additionally, we may increasingly enter into term, subscription or transaction based licensing transactions that would cause us to recognize license revenue for such transactions over a longer period of time than we have historically experienced for our perpetual licenses. In addition, a significant portion of new customer orders have been booked in the third month of each calendar quarter, with many of these bookings occurring in the last two weeks of the third month. We expect this trend to continue and, therefore, any failure

Table of Contents

or delay in bookings would decrease our quarterly revenue and cash flows. The terms and conditions of individual license agreements with customers vary from transaction to transaction. Historically, the Company has been able to obtain prepayments for product in some cases. Other transactions link payment to the delivery or acceptance of products. If we are unable to negotiate prepayments of fees our cash flows and financial ratios with respect to accounts receivable would be negatively impacted. If our revenues, operating margins or cash flows are below the expectations of the investment community, our stock price is likely to decline.

***If we fail to maintain and expand our relationships with systems integrators and other business partners, our ability to develop, market, sell, and support our products may be adversely affected.**

Our development, marketing and distribution strategies rely on our ability to form and maintain long-term strategic relationships with systems integrators, in particular, our existing business alliance partners, IBM, and Accenture. These business relationships often consist of joint marketing programs, technology partnerships and resale and distribution arrangements. Increasingly, systems integrators are becoming a principal source of the Company's new customers. Although, some aspects of these relationships are contractual in nature, many important aspects of these relationships depend on the continued cooperation between the parties. Divergence in strategy, change in focus, competitive product offerings or potential contract defaults may interfere with our ability to develop, market, sell, or support our products, which in turn could harm our business. If either IBM or Accenture were to terminate their agreements with us or our relationship were to deteriorate, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In many cases, these parties have extensive relationships with our existing and potential customers and influence the decisions of these customers. A number of our competitors have stronger relationships with IBM and Accenture and, as a result, these systems integrators may be more likely to recommend competitors' products and services. In addition, in September 2005, IBM had acquired DWL, a provider of middleware to companies in the banking, insurance, retail and telecommunications industries. In 2006, IBM acquired Webify, a provider of middleware to companies primarily in the insurance industry. While we do not believe that either DWL or Webify had been a direct competitor of Chordiant in the past, IBM's acquisition of DWL and Webify may indicate that IBM will become a competitor of ours in the future. Over the last year, IBM has been an increasingly important partner for the Company and has facilitated several significant sales of our products to their customers. While the Company currently has good relationship with IBM, this relationship and the Company's strategic relationship agreement with IBM may be harmed if the Company increasingly finds itself competing with IBM. Our relationships with IBM and other systems integrators and their willingness to recommend our products to their customers could be harmed if the Company were to be subject to a take over attempt from a competitor of such systems integrators.

If systems integrators fail to properly implement our software, our business, reputation and financial results may be harmed.

We are increasingly relying on systems integrators to implement our products, and this trend may continue. As a result, we have less quality control over the implementation of our software with respect to these transactions and are more reliant on the ability of our systems integrators to correctly implement our software. If these systems integrators fail to properly implement our software, our business, reputation and financial results may be harmed.

Our primary products have a long sales and implementation cycle, which makes it difficult to predict our quarterly results and may cause our operating results to vary significantly.

The period between initial contact with a prospective customer and the implementation of our products is unpredictable and often lengthy, ranging from three to twenty-four months. Thus, revenue and cash receipts could vary significantly from quarter to quarter. Any delays in the implementation of our products could cause reductions in our revenues. The licensing of our products is often an enterprise-wide decision that generally requires us to provide a

significant level of education to prospective customers about the use and benefits of our products. The implementation of our products involves significant commitment of technical and financial resources and is commonly associated with substantial implementation efforts that may be performed by us, by the customer or by third-party systems integrators. If we underestimate the resources required to meet the expectations we have set with a customer when we set prices, then we may lose money on that customer engagement. If this happens with a large customer engagement, then this could have a material adverse effect on our financial results. Customers generally consider a wide range of issues before committing to purchase our products, including product benefits, ability to operate with existing and future computer systems, vendor financial stability and longevity, ability to accommodate increased transaction volume and product reliability.

If we do not improve our internal control over financial reporting, investors could lose confidence in our financial reporting and customers may delay purchasing decisions, which would harm our business and the market price of our common stock.

Effective internal controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports, our business could be harmed. We are a complex company with complex accounting issues and thus subject to related risks of errors in financial reporting which may cause problems in corporate governance, the costs of which may outweigh the

Table of Contents

costs of the underlying errors themselves. For example, the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, with the assistance of outside legal counsel, conducted a review of our stock option practices covering the time from the Company's initial public offering in 2000 through September 2006. The Audit Committee reached a conclusion that incorrect measurement dates were used for financial accounting purposes for stock option grants in certain prior periods. As a result, the Company has recorded additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense, and related tax effects, related to stock option grants and concluded that a material weakness surrounding the control activities relating to the stock option grants existed at September 30, 2006. To correct these accounting errors, we restated the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2006 and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended June 30, 2006. As a result of this need to restate financial statements, management and the Audit Committee determined that material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting existed. These material weaknesses have contributed to increased expenses and efforts required for our financial reporting.

If we are not successful in implementing effective internal controls over financial reporting, customers may delay purchasing decisions or we may lose customers, create investor uncertainty, face litigation and the market price of our common stock may decline. For more information, please refer to the discussion under the heading "Item 9A. Controls and Procedures" in the 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

***If we are not able to successfully manage our partner operations in India, our operations and financial results may be adversely affected.**

In 2003, we entered into an agreement with Ness Technologies Inc., Ness Global Services, Inc. and Ness Technologies India, Ltd. (collectively, "Ness"), an independent contracting company with global technical resources and an operations center in Bangalore, India and operations in other locations. The agreement provides for Ness, at our direction, to attract, train, assimilate and retain sufficient highly qualified personnel to perform staffing for consulting projects, technical support, product test and certain sustaining engineering functions. As of June 30, 2007, we use the services of approximately 143 consultants through Ness. In addition, as a result of the reduction in our workforce that took place in July 2005, and the reduction in our workforce that took place in October 2006, by approximately 10% in each instance, we are now more dependent on Ness. The expansion of this agreement is an important component of our strategy to address the business needs of our customers and manage our expenses. The success of this operation will depend on our ability and Ness's ability to attract, train, assimilate and retain highly qualified personnel in the required periods. A disruption or termination of our relationship with Ness could adversely affect our operations. Failure to effectively manage the organization and operations will harm our business and financial results.

*** We have incurred and may continue to incur, in future periods, significant stock-based compensation charges related to certain stock options and stock awards, which may adversely affect our reported financial results.**

On October 1, 2005, we adopted SFAS 123(R), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to the Company's employees and directors including employee stock options, restricted stock awards and employee stock purchases related to the ESPP based on estimated fair values. For the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, we recorded \$0.4 million and \$1.5 million of compensation expense associated with these awards. For the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, we recorded \$2.2 million and \$3.7 million of compensation expense associated with these awards. Although the effect from the adoption of SFAS 123(R) is expected to continue to have a material impact on the Company's results of operations, future changes to various assumptions used to determine the fair value of awards issued, or the amount and type of equity awards granted create uncertainty as to the amount of future stock-based compensation expense.

If our products do not operate effectively in a company-wide environment, we may lose sales and suffer decreased revenues.

If existing customers have difficulty deploying our products or choose not to fully deploy our products, it could damage our reputation and reduce revenues. Our success requires that our products be highly scalable, and able to accommodate substantial increases in the number of users. Our products are expected to be deployed on a variety of computer software and hardware platforms and to be used in connection with a number of third-party software applications by personnel who may not have previously used application software systems or our products. These deployments present very significant technical challenges, which are difficult or impossible to predict. If these deployments do not succeed, we may lose future sales opportunities and suffer decreased revenues. If we underestimate the resources required to meet the expectations we have set with a customer when we set prices, then we may lose money on that customer engagement. If this happens with a large customer engagement then this could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

Defects in our products could diminish demand for our products and result in decreased revenues, decreased market acceptance and injury to our reputation.

Errors may be found from time-to-time in our new, acquired or enhanced products. Any significant software errors in our products may result in decreased revenues, decreased sales, and injury to our reputation and/or increased warranty and repair costs. Although we conduct extensive product testing during product development, we have in the past discovered software errors

Table of Contents

in our products as well as in third-party products, and as a result have experienced delays in the shipment of our new products.

Because competition for qualified personnel is intense, we may not be able to retain or recruit personnel, which could impact the development and sales of our products.

If we are unable to hire or retain qualified personnel, or if newly hired personnel fail to develop the necessary skills or fail to reach expected levels of productivity, our ability to develop and market our products will be weakened. Our success depends largely on the continued contributions of our key management, finance, engineering, sales and marketing and professional services personnel. In particular, we have recently had significant turnover of our executives as well as in our sales, marketing and finance organizations and many key positions are held by people who are new to the Company or to their roles. If these people are unable to quickly become familiar with the issues they face in their roles or are not well suited to their new roles, then this could result in the Company having problems in executing its strategy or in reporting its financial results. Because of the dependency on a small number of large deals, we are uniquely dependent upon the talents and relationships of a few executives and have no guarantee of their retention. Changes in key sales management could affect our ability to maintain existing customer relationships or to close pending transactions. We have been targeted by recruitment agencies seeking to hire our key management, finance, engineering, sales and marketing and professional services personnel. In addition, in July 2005 and again in October of 2006, we reduced the size of our workforce by approximately 10% in each instance, which may have a negative effect on our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel.

***To date, our sales have been concentrated in the financial services, telecommunications and retail markets, and if we are unable to continue sales in these markets or successfully penetrate new markets, our revenues may decline.**

Aggregate sales of our products and services in the four large markets—financial services, insurance, telecommunications and retail markets accounted for approximately 99% and 96% of our total revenues for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. We expect that revenues from these three markets will continue to account for a substantial portion of our total revenues for the foreseeable future. If we are unable to successfully increase penetration of our existing markets or achieve sales in additional markets, or if the overall economic climate of our target markets deteriorates, our revenues may decline.

*** Low gross margin in services revenues could adversely impact our overall gross margin and income.**

Our services revenues have had lower gross margins than our license revenues. Service revenue comprised 57% of our total revenues for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. Gross margin on service revenue was 57% and 46% for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. License revenues comprised 43% of our total revenues for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. Gross margins on license revenues were 96% for the nine months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006.

As a result, an increase in the percentage of total revenues represented by services revenues, or an unexpected decrease in license revenues, could have a detrimental impact on our overall gross margins. To increase services revenues, we expect to expand our services organization, successfully recruit and train a sufficient number of qualified services personnel, enter into new implementation projects and obtain renewals of current maintenance contracts by our customers. This expansion could further reduce gross margins in our services revenues.

We may not have the workforce necessary to support our platform of products if demand for our products substantially increased, and, if we need to rebuild our workforce in the future, we may not be able to recruit

personnel in a timely manner, which could negatively impact the development and sales of our products.

In July 2005 and again in October of 2006, we reduced the size of our workforce by approximately 10% in each instance. In the event that demand for our products increases, we may need to rebuild our workforce or increase outsourced functions to companies based in foreign jurisdictions and we may be unable to hire, train or retain qualified personnel in a timely manner, which may weaken our ability to market our products in a timely manner, negatively impacting our operations. Our success depends largely on ensuring that we have adequate personnel to support our platform of products as well as the continued contributions of our key management, finance, engineering, sales and marketing and professional services personnel.

If we fail to introduce new versions and releases of functional and scalable products in a timely manner, customers may license competing products and our revenues may decline.

If we are unable to ship or implement enhancements to our products when planned, or fail to achieve timely market acceptance of these enhancements, we may suffer lost sales and could fail to achieve anticipated revenues. We have in the past, and expect in the future, to derive a significant portion of our total revenues from the license of our primary product suite. Our future operating results will depend on the demand for the product suite by future customers, including new and enhanced releases that are subsequently introduced. If our competitors release new products that are superior to our products in performance or price,

Table of Contents

or if we fail to enhance our products or introduce new features and functionality in a timely manner, demand for our products may decline. We have in the past experienced delays in the planned release dates of new versions of our software products and upgrades. New versions of our products may not be released on schedule or may contain defects when released.

We depend on technology licensed to us by third parties, and the loss or inability to maintain these licenses could prevent or delay sales of our products.

We license from several software providers technologies that are incorporated into our products. We anticipate that we will continue to license technology from third parties in the future. This software may not continue to be available on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. While currently we are not materially dependent on any single third party for such licenses, the loss of the technology licenses could result in delays in the license of our products until equivalent technology is developed or identified, licensed and integrated into our products. Even if substitute technologies are available, there can be no guarantee that we will be able to license these technologies on commercially reasonable terms, if at all.

Defects in third party products associated with our products could impair our products' functionality and injure our reputation.

The effective implementation of our products depends upon the successful operation of third-party products in conjunction with our products. Any undetected defects in these third-party products could prevent the implementation or impair the functionality of our products, delay new product introductions or injure our reputation. In the past, while our business has not been materially harmed, product releases have been delayed as a result of errors in third-party software and we have incurred significant expenses fixing and investigating the cause of these errors.

Our customers and systems integration partners may have the ability to alter our source code and resulting inappropriate alterations could adversely affect the performance of our products, cause injury to our reputation and increase operating expenses.

Customers and systems integration partners may have access to the computer source code for certain elements of our products and may alter the source code. Alteration of our source code may lead to implementation, operation, technical support and upgrade problems for our customers. This could adversely affect the market acceptance of our products, and any necessary investigative work and repairs could cause us to incur significant expenses and delays in implementation.

If our products do not operate with the hardware and software platforms used by our customers, our customers may license competing products and our revenues will decline.

If our products fail to satisfy advancing technological requirements of our customers and potential customers, the market acceptance of these products could be reduced. We currently serve a customer base with a wide variety of constantly changing hardware, software applications and networking platforms. Customer acceptance of our products depends on many factors such as:

- Our ability to integrate our products with multiple platforms and existing or legacy systems; and,
- Our ability to anticipate and support new standards, especially Internet and enterprise Java standards.

***If we are unsuccessful in our initial attempt to deploy our software through a Software as a Service (SaaS) model could cause injury to our reputation and impair our ability to develop, market and sell our products**

under a SaaS model.

We recently entered into a license with a third party that will allow that third party to develop and host in their data centers, applications based on our software to provide services to their customers, most of whom are in markets that we do not currently penetrate. As we have no previous experience in deploying our software in a SaaS model, a failure of this effort could have a detrimental effect to our ability to attract other third parties to use our software in their SaaS businesses.

Our failure to successfully integrate with future acquired or merged companies and technologies could prevent us from operating efficiently.

Our business strategy includes pursuing opportunities to grow our business, both through internal growth and through merger, acquisition and technology and other asset transactions. To implement this strategy, we may be involved in merger and acquisition activity and additional technology and asset purchase transactions. Merger and acquisition transactions are motivated by many factors, including, among others, our desire to grow our business, acquire skilled personnel, obtain new technologies and expand and enhance our product offerings. Growth through mergers and acquisitions has several identifiable risks, including difficulties associated with successfully integrating distinct businesses into new organizations, the substantial management time devoted to integrating personnel, technology and entire companies, the possibility that we might not be successful in retaining the

Table of Contents

employees, undisclosed liabilities, the failure to realize anticipated benefits (such as cost savings and synergies) and issues related to integrating acquired technology, merged/acquired companies or content into our products (such as unanticipated expenses). Realization of any of these risks in connection with any technology transaction or asset purchase we have entered into, or may enter into, could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

If we become subject to intellectual property infringement claims, including patent infringement claims, these claims could be costly and time-consuming to defend, divert management's attention, cause product delays and have an adverse effect on our revenues and net income.

We expect that software product developers and providers of software in markets similar to our target markets will increasingly be subject to infringement claims as the number of products and competitors in our industry grows and the functionality of products overlap. Any claims, with or without merit, could be costly and time-consuming to defend, divert our management's attention or cause product delays. If any of our products were found to infringe a third party's proprietary rights, we could be required to enter into royalty or licensing agreements to be able to sell our products. Royalty and licensing agreements, if required, may not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all.

In particular, if we were sued for patent infringement by a patent holding company, one which has acquired large numbers of patents solely for the purpose of bringing suit against alleged infringers rather than practicing the patents, it may be costly to defend such suit. We have received a letter from one such patent holding company alleging that our products may infringe their one or more of their patents. If any of our products were found to infringe such patent, the patent holder could seek an injunction to enjoin our use of the infringing product. If we were not able to remove or replace the infringing portions of software with non-infringing software, and were no longer able to license some or all of our software products, such an injunction would have an extremely detrimental effect on our business. If we were required to settle such claim, it could be extremely costly. A patent infringement claim could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

The application of percentage of completion and completed contract accounting to our business is complex and may result in delays in the reporting of our financial results and revenue not being recognized as we expect.

Although we attempt to use standardized license agreements designed to meet current revenue recognition criteria under generally accepted accounting principles, we must often negotiate and revise terms and conditions of these standardized agreements, particularly in multi-product transactions. At the time of entering into a transaction, we assess whether any services included within the arrangement require us to perform significant implementation or customization essential to the functionality of our products. For contracts involving significant implementation or customization essential to the functionality of our products, we recognize the license and professional consulting services revenues using the percentage-of-completion method using labor hours incurred as the measure of progress towards completion. The application of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting is complex and involves judgments and estimates, which may change significantly based on customer requirements. This complexity combined with changing customer requirements could result in delays in the proper determination of our percentage of completion estimates and revenue not being recognized as we expect.

We have also entered into co-development projects with our customers to jointly develop new vertical applications, often over the course of a year or longer. In such cases we may only be able to recognize revenue upon delivery of the new application. The accounting treatment for these co-development projects could result in delays in the recognition of revenue. The failure to successfully complete these projects to the satisfaction of the customer could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Changes in our revenue recognition model could result in short term declines to revenue.

Historically, a high percentage of license revenues have been accounted for on the percentage-of-completion method of accounting or recognized as revenue upon the delivery of product. If we were to modify future contracts with customers, or to enter into new types of transactions accounted for on a subscription or term basis, revenues might be recognized over a longer period of time. The impact of this change would make revenue recognition more predictable over the long term, but it might also result in a short term reduction of revenue as the new transactions took effect.

We may continue to encounter unexpected delays in implementing the requirements relating to internal control over financial reporting and we expect to incur additional expenses and diversion of management's time as a result of performing future system and process evaluation, testing and remediation required to comply with future management assessment and auditor attestation requirements.

In connection with the Company's compliance with Section 404 under SOX for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, we identified certain material weaknesses. In future periods, we will continue to document our internal controls to allow management to report on, and our independent registered public accounting firm to attest to, our internal control, over financial

Table of Contents

reporting as required by Section 404 of SOX, within the time frame required by Section 404. We may encounter unexpected delays in implementing those requirements; therefore, we cannot be certain about the timing of the completion of our evaluation, testing and remediation actions or the impact that these activities will have on our operations. We also expect to incur additional expenses and diversion of management's time as a result of performing the system and process evaluation, testing and remediation required to comply with management's assessment and auditor attestation requirements. If we are not able to timely comply with the requirements set forth in Section 404 in future periods, we might be subject to sanctions or investigation by the regulatory authorities. Any such action could adversely affect our business or financial results.

55

Table of Contents

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

On August 8, 2006, we received a comment letter from the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance of the SEC. Additional questions were received in comment letters received on October 27, 2006, January 25, 2007, and July 12, 2007. The comments from the staff were issued with respect to its review of our Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2005, our Forms 10-Q for the quarterly periods ended December 31, 2005 and March 31, 2006 and Forms 8-K filed on February 9, May 4, and August 8, 2006. The staff's letters included comments relating the application of, and disclosures relating to, the percentage of completion method of accounting; the accounting for post-contract customer support; the accounting for arrangements that include a subscription element; and the presentation of non-GAAP operating results appearing in press releases. In the most recent comments, the staff requested additional clarifications of the allocation of revenue between services and licenses and the accounting for arrangements that include a subscription element.

On August 17, 2006, November 13, 2006, February 20, 2007, and July 26, 2007, we responded to the staff's comments and included supplemental analyses and information requested by the staff. As of the date of the filing of this Form 10-Q, we are awaiting a response from the Division of Corporation Finance of the SEC.

Table of Contents**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

On April 24, 2007, our Annual Meeting of Stockholders was held in Cupertino, California. Of the 32,469,788 shares outstanding and entitled to vote as of the record date of March 1, 2007, 27,561,441 shares were present or represented by proxy at the meeting. At the meeting, stockholders were asked to vote with respect to (i) the election of two directors to hold office until the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until such time as their respective successors are elected and qualified (ii) the ratification of the selection of BDO Seidman, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for our fiscal year ending September 30, 2007 (iii) the ratification to amend Chordiant's 2005 Equity Incentive Plan ("the 2005 Plan") to increase the number of shares authorized and reserved for issuance under the 2005 Plan by an additional 1,600,000 shares of common stock. (iv) the ratification to amend Chordiant's 1999 Non-Employee Directors' Option Plan ("Directors' Plan) to decrease the number of share available for grant to 300,000 shares available for grant, establish that the fair market value of the exercise price of stock available for purchase under each option shall be 100% of the closing price of the common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market on the date of grant, and eliminate the automatic increase provision.

The following nominees were elected as directors, each to hold office until the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until such time as their respective successors are elected and qualified, by the vote set forth below:

Nominee	Votes For	Withheld	Broker Non-Votes
David R. Springett	25,370,767	2,190,673	0
Charles E. Hoffman	25,202,261	2,359,179	0

In addition to the directors elected above, Steven R. Springsteel, William J. Raduchel, David A. Weymouth, and Richard G. Stevens continued to serve as directors after the annual meeting.

The selection of BDO Seidman, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for our fiscal year ended September 30, 2007 was ratified by the vote set forth below:

Votes For	Votes Against	Abstentions	Broker Non-Votes
27,394,363	163,889	3,189	0

Stockholders' approval to increase the number of shares authorized and reserved for issuance under the 2005 Plan by an additional 1,600,000 shares of common stock was ratified by the vote set forth below:

Votes For	Votes Against	Abstentions	Broker Non-Votes
11,883,628	3,994,846	66,655	0

Stockholders' approval to decrease the number of share available for grant to 300,000 shares available for grant, establish that the fair market value of the exercise price of stock available for purchase under each option shall be 100% of the closing price of the common stock on the NASDAQ Stock Market on the date of grant, and eliminate the automatic increase provision for the Directors' Plan was ratified by the vote set forth below:

Votes For	Votes Against	Abstentions	Broker Non-Votes
------------------	----------------------	--------------------	-----------------------------

13,221,162

2,658,032

65,935

0

57

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

The exhibits listed on the accompanying index to exhibits are filed or incorporated by reference (as stated therein) as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Chordiant Software, Inc.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC

By: /s/ PETER S. NORMAN
Peter S. Norman
Chief Financial Officer and
Principal Accounting Officer

Dated: July 31, 2007

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Chordiant Software, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Chordiant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-92187) filed on December 6, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Chordiant Software, Inc. (filed as exhibit 3.2 to Chordiant's Form 8-K dated February 1, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.1*	Master Agreement dated June 28, 2007 by and between WellPoint, Inc. and Chordiant Software, Inc.
31.1	Certification required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a).
31.2	Certification required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a).
32.1	Certification required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350).

*Confidential treatment has been requested with respect to certain portions of this exhibit. Omitted portions have been filed separately with the SEC.