

SURMODICS INC
Form 10-Q
May 08, 2009

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D. C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 0-23837

SurModics, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its Charter)

MINNESOTA

(State of incorporation)

41-1356149

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

9924 West 74th Street

Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (952) 829-2700

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock, \$.05 par value per share, outstanding as of April 30, 2009 was 17,469,597.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | 3 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 15 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 21 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 21 |

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 22 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 22 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 22 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 22 |
| <u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 22 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 22 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 23 |

SIGNATURES

EXHIBIT INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

| |
|----------------|
| <u>EX-31.1</u> |
| <u>EX-31.2</u> |
| <u>EX-32.1</u> |
| <u>EX-32.2</u> |

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Item 1. Financial Statements

SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | March 31, 2009 | September 30, 2008 |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>(In thousands, except share data)</i> | | <i>(unaudited)</i> |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 5,532 | \$ 15,376 |
| Short-term investments | 8,203 | 9,251 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$140 and \$135 as of March 31, 2009 and September 30, 2008, respectively | 12,868 | 14,589 |
| Inventories | 3,105 | 2,651 |
| Deferred tax asset | 483 | 1,058 |
| Prepays and other | 2,270 | 3,584 |
| Total current assets | 32,461 | 46,509 |
| Property and equipment, net | 53,640 | 41,897 |
| Long-term investments | 45,181 | 47,351 |
| Deferred tax asset | 2,211 | 11,099 |
| Intangible assets, net | 18,755 | 16,870 |
| Goodwill | 21,055 | 18,001 |
| Other assets, net | 8,737 | 9,301 |
| Total assets | \$ 182,040 | \$ 191,028 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 5,777 | \$ 3,466 |
| Accrued liabilities | 4,554 | 4,422 |
| Deferred revenue | 802 | 4,335 |
| Other current liabilities | 3,655 | 303 |
| Total current liabilities | 14,788 | 12,526 |
| Deferred revenue, less current portion | 658 | 33,243 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 4,495 | 3,453 |
| Total liabilities | 19,941 | 49,222 |
| Stockholders Equity | | |

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

Series A Preferred stock- \$.05 par value, 450,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding

Common stock- \$.05 par value, 45,000,000 shares authorized; 17,463,318 and 18,030,270 shares issued and outstanding

Additional paid-in capital

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)

Retained earnings

Total stockholders equity

| | |
|---------|---------|
| 873 | 901 |
| 63,181 | 74,573 |
| 305 | (107) |
| 97,740 | 66,439 |
| 162,099 | 141,806 |

Total liabilities and stockholders equity

| | |
|------------|------------|
| \$ 182,040 | \$ 191,028 |
|------------|------------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | March 31, | | March 31, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| <i>(In thousands, except per share data)</i> | | | | |
| | <i>(unaudited)</i> | | <i>(unaudited)</i> | |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Royalties and license fees | \$ 10,052 | \$ 13,809 | \$ 57,799 | \$ 26,987 |
| Product sales | 4,776 | 4,700 | 8,632 | 9,907 |
| Research and development | 6,097 | 7,198 | 17,710 | 12,642 |
| Total revenue | 20,925 | 25,707 | 84,141 | 49,536 |
| Operating costs and expenses | | | | |
| Product costs | 1,838 | 2,154 | 3,353 | 4,129 |
| Research and development | 8,484 | 10,370 | 17,837 | 19,904 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 4,403 | 6,002 | 9,086 | 10,751 |
| Purchased in-process research and development | | | 3,200 | |
| Restructuring charges | | | 1,798 | |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 14,725 | 18,526 | 35,274 | 34,784 |
| Income from operations | 6,200 | 7,181 | 48,867 | 14,752 |
| Other income | | | | |
| Investment income | 397 | 1,051 | 1,131 | 2,004 |
| Other income (loss), net | 20 | 133 | (129) | 900 |
| Other income | 417 | 1,184 | 1,002 | 2,904 |
| Income before income taxes | 6,617 | 8,365 | 49,869 | 17,656 |
| Income tax provision | (2,401) | (3,258) | (18,568) | (6,903) |
| Net income | \$ 4,216 | \$ 5,107 | \$ 31,301 | \$ 10,753 |
| Basic net income per share | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.28 | \$ 1.79 | \$ 0.60 |
| Diluted net income per share | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.28 | \$ 1.78 | \$ 0.58 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | | | |
| Basic | 17,320 | 18,102 | 17,509 | 18,055 |
| Dilutive effect of outstanding stock options | 29 | 326 | 45 | 366 |
| Diluted | 17,349 | 18,428 | 17,554 | 18,421 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| | Six Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | <i>(unaudited)</i> | |
| <i>(In thousands)</i> | | |
| Operating Activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 31,301 | \$ 10,753 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,999 | 2,993 |
| Loss (gain) on equity method investment and sales of investments | 221 | (857) |
| Amortization of premium on investments | 69 | 2 |
| Stock-based compensation | 3,632 | 5,351 |
| Purchased in-process research and development | 3,200 | |
| Restructuring charges | 1,798 | |
| Deferred taxes | 9,203 | (1,129) |
| Excess tax benefits from exercise of stock options | 273 | (765) |
| Loss on disposals of property and equipment | | 22 |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | 1,721 | (1,683) |
| Inventories | (454) | (94) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (2,529) | 31 |
| Income taxes | 1,427 | (7,603) |
| Deferred revenue | (36,118) | 1,988 |
| Prepays and other | 119 | (65) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 16,862 | 8,944 |
| Investing Activities: | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (11,269) | (2,030) |
| Purchases of available-for-sale investments | (12,280) | (7,810) |
| Sales/maturities of available-for-sale investments | 16,373 | 16,215 |
| Purchases of held-to-maturity investments | | (4,333) |
| Business acquisition | (4,040) | |
| Collection of notes receivable | | 5,870 |
| Cash restricted for land purchase | | (1,630) |
| Other investing activities | (202) | (632) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (11,418) | 5,650 |
| Financing Activities: | | |
| Excess tax benefits from exercise of stock options | (273) | 765 |
| Issuance of common stock | 655 | 1,848 |
| Repurchase of common stock | (14,998) | (2,601) |
| Purchase of common stock to fund employee taxes | (436) | (1,450) |
| Repayment of notes payable | (236) | (222) |

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Net cash used in financing activities | (15,288) | (1,660) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | (9,844) | 12,934 |
| Cash and cash equivalents Beginning of period | 15,376 | 13,812 |
| End of period | \$ 5,532 | \$ 26,746 |
| Supplemental Information | | |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ 7,869 | \$ 15,381 |
| Noncash transaction accrued contingent consideration or accrued earnout payments in connection with business acquisitions | \$ 4,530 | \$ 3,148 |
| Noncash transaction acquisition of property, plant, and equipment on account | \$ 3,977 | \$ 953 |
| Noncash transaction acquisition of intangible assets on account | \$ 631 | \$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
Period Ended March 31, 2009
(Unaudited)

(1) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and reflect all adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments, needed to fairly present the financial results for the periods presented. These financial statements include some amounts that are based on management 's best estimates and judgments. These estimates may be adjusted as more information becomes available, and any adjustment could be significant. The impact of any change in estimates is included in the determination of earnings in the period in which the change in estimate is identified. The results of operations for the three-month and six-month periods ended March 31, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire 2009 fiscal year.

The six-month period ended March 31, 2008 includes a reclassification of \$807,000 of research and development expenses reported as product costs in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. The expenses reclassified were out-of-pocket costs related to research and development activities.

In accordance with the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, the Company has omitted footnote disclosures that would substantially duplicate the disclosures contained in the audited financial statements of the Company. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read together with the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2008, and footnotes thereto included in the Company 's Form 10-K as filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission on December 15, 2008.

The Company 's revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables follow the guidance from Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 00-21, Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables, (EITF 00-21) with recognition of each separable deliverable as it is earned. The Company applies EITF 00-21 to a collaborative research and license agreement (Merck Agreement) entered into on June 27, 2007 with Merck & Co., Inc. (Merck).

In September 2008, following a strategic review of Merck 's business and product development portfolio, Merck gave notice to Surmodics of Merck 's intent to terminate the Merck Agreement as well as the supply agreement entered into in June 2007. The termination was effective December 16, 2008. The Company has recognized revenue of approximately \$45 million in the first six-months of fiscal 2009 principally from amounts that previously had been deferred and amortized under the accounting treatment required by EITF 00-21 and a milestone payment associated with the termination of the triamcinolone acetonide development program under the Merck Agreement.

(2) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework and gives guidance regarding the methods used for measuring fair value in accordance with GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies to other pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements; it does not require any new fair value measurements. The provisions of SFAS No. 157, as issued, were effective for SurModics on October 1, 2008. However, in February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 (FSP FAS 157-2), which delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 from fiscal 2009 to fiscal 2010 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). As a result of the deferral, the Company has delayed the implementation of SFAS No. 157 provisions on the fair value of goodwill, other intangible assets and nonfinancial long-lived assets. The Company adopted SFAS No. 157 on October 1, 2008, the first day of fiscal 2009, for all financial assets and financial liabilities and for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of the adoption of those provisions of SFAS No. 157 for which the effective date has been delayed until fiscal year 2010 by FSP FAS 157-2 on its consolidated financial condition and results of operations. See Note 3 for additional information relating to the

adoption of SFAS No. 157.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (SFAS No. 159). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected will be reported in earnings. SFAS No. 159 is effective for the Company in fiscal 2009. The adoption of this statement did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements as the Company has elected not to account for any additional financial assets or financial liabilities at fair value.

Table of Contents

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations* (SFAS No. 141(R)), which establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in an acquiree, including the recognition and measurement of goodwill acquired in a business combination. SFAS No. 141(R) is effective for the Company in fiscal 2010. Earlier adoption is prohibited and, once adopted, SFAS No. 141(R) will impact recognition and measurement of future business combinations.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an Amendment of ARB No. 51* (SFAS No. 160). SFAS No. 160 requires minority interests to be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and reported as a component of equity. In addition, SFAS No. 160 requires that purchases or sales of equity interests that do not result in a change in control be accounted for as equity transactions and, upon a loss of control, requires the interests sold, as well as any interests retained, to be recorded at fair value with any gain or loss recognized in earnings. SFAS No. 160 is effective for the Company in fiscal 2010, with early adoption prohibited. The Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 160 to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS No. 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets* (FSP FAS 142-3). FSP FAS 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of intangible assets under SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. FSP FAS 142-3 is intended to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142 and the period of the expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under SFAS No. 141R and other GAAP. FSP FAS 142-3 is effective for the Company in fiscal 2010, with early adoption prohibited. The Company does not expect the adoption of FSP FAS 142-3 to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

The FASB issued the following new accounting standards on April 9, 2009. The Company plans to adopt each standard in the third quarter of 2009, and does not expect that adoption of any of these standards will have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

FSP FAS No. 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments* (FSP FAS No. 115-2 and FAS No. 124-2) FSP FAS No. 115-2 and FAS No. 124-2 modifies the other-than-temporary impairment guidance for debt securities through increased consistency in the timing of impairment recognition and enhanced disclosures related to the credit and noncredit components of impaired debt securities that are not expected to be sold. In addition, increased disclosures are required for both debt and equity securities regarding expected cash flows, credit losses, and an aging of securities with unrealized losses. FSP FAS No. 115-2 and FAS No. 124-2 will be effective for interim and annual reporting periods that end after June 15, 2009, which, for the Company, would be the third quarter of fiscal 2009.

FSP FAS No. 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* (FSP FAS No. 107-1 and APB No. 28-1) FSP FAS No. 107-1 and APB No. 28-1 requires fair value disclosures for financial instruments that are not reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value. Prior to the issuance of FSP FAS No. 107-1 and APB No. 28-1, the fair values of those assets and liabilities were disclosed only once each year. With the issuance of FSP FAS No. 107-1 and APB No. 28-1, the Company is now be required to disclose this information on a quarterly basis, providing quantitative and qualitative information about fair value estimates for all financial instruments not measured in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value. FSP FAS No. 107-1 and APB No. 28-1 will be effective for interim reporting periods that end after June 15, 2009, which, for the Company, would be the third quarter of fiscal 2009.

FSP FAS No. 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly* (FSP FAS No. 157-4) FSP FAS No. 157-4 clarifies the methodology used to determine fair value when there is no active market or where the price inputs being used represent distressed sales. FSP FAS No. 157-4 also reaffirms the objective of fair value measurement, as stated in FAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, which is to reflect how much an asset would be sold for in an orderly transaction. It also reaffirms the need to use judgment to determine if a formerly active market has become inactive, as well as to determine fair values when markets have become inactive. FSP FAS No. 157-4 will

be applied prospectively and will be effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, which, for the Company, would be the third quarter of fiscal 2009.

No other new accounting pronouncement issued or effective has had, or is expected to have, a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

(3) Fair Value Measurements

Effective October 1, 2008, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157 for all financial assets and financial liabilities and for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required or permitted to be recorded at fair value, the Company

Table of Contents

considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact and also considers assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, such as inherent risk, transfer restrictions and risk of nonperformance.

Fair Value Hierarchy

SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. This hierarchy requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The fair value framework requires the categorization of assets and liabilities into three levels based upon the assumptions (inputs) used to price the assets or liabilities. SFAS No. 157 requires that assets and liabilities carried at fair value be classified and disclosed in one of the following categories:

Level 1 Quoted (unadjusted) prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

The Company's Level 1 asset consists of its investment in OctoPlus, N.V. (see note 6 for further information).

Level 2 Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

The Company's Level 2 assets consist of money market funds, U.S. Treasury securities, corporate bonds, municipal bonds, U.S. agency securities, agency and municipal securities, certain asset-backed securities and mortgage-backed securities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the measurement of the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include those whose fair value measurements are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar valuation techniques, as well as significant management judgment or estimation.

The Company's Level 3 asset includes an other U.S. government agency security.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

In instances where the inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the fair value measurement has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular item to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, including the consideration of inputs specific to the asset or liability. The following table presents information about the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2009 (*in thousands*):

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Instruments (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total Fair Value as of March 31, 2009 |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash equivalents | \$ | \$ 3,152 | \$ | \$ 3,152 |
| Short-term investments | | 7,196 | | 7,196 |
| Long-term investments | | 39,819 | 47 | 39,866 |
| Other assets | 1,763 | | | 1,763 |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ 1,763 | \$ 50,167 | \$ 47 | \$ 51,977 |

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

Short-term and Long-term investments disclosed in the condensed consolidated balance sheets include held-to-maturity investments totaling \$6.3 million as of March 31, 2009.

Changes in Level 3 Instruments Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table is a reconciliation of financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) (*in thousands*):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 | Six Months Ended March 31, 2009 |
|---|--|--|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 838 | \$ 264 |
| Total realized and unrealized gains: | | |
| Included in other comprehensive income | | 25 |
| Purchases, issuances and settlements, net | (13) | 536 |
| Transfer in (out) of Level 3 | (778) | (778) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 47 | \$ 47 |

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2009, marketable securities measured at fair value using Level 3 inputs was comprised of a \$47,307 U.S. government agency security within the Company's available-for-sale investment portfolio. This security was measured using observable market data and Level 3 inputs due to the lack of market activity and liquidity. The fair value of this security was based on the Company's assessment of the underlying collateral and the creditworthiness of the issuer of the security.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis

The Company's investments in non-marketable securities of private companies are accounted for using the cost or equity method. These investments as well as held-to-maturity securities are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis when they are deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired. In determining whether a decline in value of non-marketable equity investments in private companies has occurred and is other-than-temporary, an assessment is made by considering available evidence, including the general market conditions in the investee's industry, the investee's product development status and subsequent rounds of financing and the related valuation and/or the Company's participation in such financings. The Company also assesses the investee's ability to meet business milestones and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the individual investee, including the rate at which the investee is using its cash and the investee's need for possible additional funding at a lower valuation. The valuation methodology for determining the decline in value of non-marketable equity securities is based on inputs that require management judgment and are Level 3 inputs.

(4) Acquisition

PR Pharmaceuticals, Inc. On November 4, 2008, the Company's SurModics Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (formerly Brookwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) subsidiary entered into an asset purchase agreement with PR Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (PR Pharma) whereby it acquired certain contracts and assets of PR Pharma for \$3.4 million consisting of \$2.9 million in cash on the closing date, additional consideration of \$0.3 million upon successful achievement of specified milestones in the three months ended December 31, 2008 and \$0.2 million in transaction costs. In the three months ended March 31, 2009, certain milestones were achieved resulting in additional payments of \$0.6 million. PR Pharma is eligible to receive up to an additional \$5.2 million in cash upon the successful achievement of milestones for contract assignments and invoicing, successful technology transfer and patent issuances. Management believes this acquisition strengthens the Company's portfolio of drug delivery technologies for the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries. The purchase price was allocated to the fair value of the intangible assets acquired with a liability of \$2.2 million recorded for contingent consideration to be paid. The purchase price was allocated as follows as of November 4, 2008 (*in thousands*):

| | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|
| Core technology | \$ 1,400 |
| Customer relationships | 900 |
| In-process research and development | 3,200 |
| Trade names | 20 |
| Non-compete agreements | 50 |
| Total purchase price | \$ 5,570 |

The acquired developed technology is being amortized on a straight-line basis over 18 years, customer relationships are being amortized over 9 years, and non-compete agreements are being amortized over 2 years. The trade names have a life of less than one year and have been amortized in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. As part of the acquisition, the Company recognized fair value associated with in-process research and development (IPR&D) of \$3.2 million. The IPR&D was expensed on the date of acquisition and relates to polymer-based drug delivery systems. The value assigned to IPR&D is related to projects for which the related products have not achieved commercial feasibility and have no future alternative use. The amount of purchase price allocated to IPR&D was based on estimating the future cash flows of each project and discounting the net cash flows back to their present values. The discount rate used was determined at the time of acquisition in accordance with accepted valuation methods. These methodologies include consideration of the risk of the project not achieving commercial feasibility. The research

efforts ranged from 5% to 50% complete at the date of acquisition. The Company used the Relief from Royalty valuation method to assess the fair value of the projects with a risk adjusted discount rate of 25%. The Company determined the method was appropriate based on the nature of the projects and future cash flow streams. The research and development work performed is billed to customers, in most cases, using standard commercial billing rates which include a reasonable markup. Accordingly, the Company has no fixed cost obligations to carry projects forward. There have been no significant changes to the development plans for the acquired incomplete projects. Significant net cash inflows would commence with the launches of the products.

Table of Contents**(5) Inventories**

Inventories are principally stated at the lower of cost or market using the specific identification method and include direct labor, materials and overhead. Inventories consisted of the following components (*in thousands*):

| | March 31, 2009 | September 30, 2008 |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 1,436 | \$ 1,308 |
| Finished products | 1,669 | 1,343 |
| Total | \$ 3,105 | \$ 2,651 |

(6) Other Assets

Other assets consist principally of strategic investments. The Company accounts for its strategic investments under the cost method, except for SurModics Pharmaceuticals' investment in Aeon Bioscience, which is accounted for under the equity method. The Company accounts for its investment in OctoPlus N.V. common stock as an available-for-sale investment rather than a cost method investment following an initial public offering of OctoPlus N.V. common stock in October 2006. Available-for-sale investments are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity, except for other-than-temporary impairments, which are reported as a charge to current operations, recorded in the other income (loss) section of the condensed consolidated statements of income. The Company made an investment in Paragon Intellectual Properties, LLC (Paragon) and a Paragon subsidiary, Apollo Therapeutics, LLC (Apollo). In October 2008, Paragon, announced that it had restructured, along with its subsidiaries, including Apollo, moving from a limited liability company with seven subsidiaries to a single C-corporation named Nexeon MedSystems, Inc. The Company accounted for the investments in Paragon and Apollo under the equity method in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, as both entities reported results to us on a one-quarter lag. Commencing in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, the Company accounts for the investment in Nexeon under the cost method as the Company's ownership level is less than 20%.

Other assets consisted of the following components (*in thousands*):

| | March 31, 2009 | September 30, 2008 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Investment in OctoPlus N.V. | \$ 1,763 | \$ 1,714 |
| Investment in Nexeon MedSystems | 4,901 | 5,388 |
| Investment in ThermopeutiX | 1,185 | 1,185 |
| Investment in Novocell | 559 | 559 |
| Other | 329 | 455 |
| Other assets | \$ 8,737 | \$ 9,301 |

The Company recognized revenue of \$0.2 million and \$1.5 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and recognized revenue of \$0.5 million and \$2.4 million for the six-month period ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, from activity with companies in which it had a strategic investment.

(7) Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist principally of acquired patents and technology, customer relationships, licenses, and trademarks. The Company recorded amortization expense of \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company recorded amortization expense of \$1.2 million and \$1.5 million for the six-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Intangible assets consisted of the following (*in thousands*):

| | Useful life (in years) | March 31, 2009 | September 30, 2008 |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Customer list | 9 11 | \$ 8,657 | \$ 7,340 |
| Abbott license | 4 | | 7,037 |
| Core technology | 8 18 | 8,330 | 6,930 |
| Patents and other | 7 20 | 3,776 | 3,398 |
| Trademarks | | 600 | 580 |
| Less accumulated amortization of intangible assets | | (2,608) | (8,415) |
| Intangible assets, net | | \$ 18,755 | \$ 16,870 |

Table of Contents

The Abbott license was fully amortized as of March 31, 2009. The original cost and accumulated amortization have been removed from the March 31, 2009 amounts presented.

Based on the intangible assets in service as of March 31, 2009, estimated amortization expense for each of the next five fiscal years is as follows (*in thousands*):

| | |
|-------------------|--------|
| Remainder of 2009 | \$ 815 |
| 2010 | 1,656 |
| 2011 | 1,633 |
| 2012 | 1,631 |
| 2013 | 1,631 |
| 2014 | 1,631 |

Future amortization amounts presented above are estimates. Actual future amortization expense may be different, due to future acquisitions, impairments, changes in amortization periods, or other factors.

(8) Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of the acquired entities over the fair value assigned to the assets purchased and liabilities assumed in connection with the Company's acquisitions. The carrying amount of goodwill is evaluated annually, and between annual evaluations if events occur or circumstances change indicating that the carrying amount of goodwill may be impaired.

In the second quarter of fiscal 2009 a milestone was achieved associated with the July 2007 acquisition of Brookwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (now called SurModics Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) and \$3 million of additional purchase price was recorded as an increase to goodwill.

(9) Revolving Credit Facility

In February 2009, the Company entered into a two-year \$25.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. Borrowings under the credit facility, if any, will bear interest at a benchmark rate plus an applicable margin based upon the Company's funded debt to EBITDA ratio. In connection with the credit facility, the Company is required to maintain certain financial and nonfinancial covenants. As of March 31, 2009, the Company had no debt outstanding under this credit facility and was not in violation of any of the covenants.

(10) Stock-based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), Share Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)), which requires all share-based payments, including grants of stock options, to be recognized in the income statement as an operating expense, based on their fair values, over the requisite service period. The Company's stock-based compensation expenses were as follows (*in thousands*):

| | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| | March 31, | | March 31, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Product costs | \$ 22 | \$ 63 | \$ 46 | \$ 87 |
| Research and development | 910 | 1,039 | 1,819 | 1,876 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 789 | 2,296 | 1,767 | 3,388 |
| Total | \$ 1,721 | \$ 3,398 | \$ 3,632 | \$ 5,351 |

As of March 31, 2009, approximately \$17.3 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested awards is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 1.7 years. The unrecognized compensation costs include \$3.8 million associated with performance share awards that are currently not anticipated to be fully expensed.

Stock Option Plans

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the weighted average fair value of options. The weighted average fair value of options granted during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and

2008 was \$7.12 and \$22.21, respectively. The weighted average fair value of options granted during the six-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$8.41 and \$25.19, respectively. The assumptions used as inputs in the model were as follows:

Table of Contents

| | Three months ended March 31, | | Six months ended March 31, | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Risk-free interest rates | 1.7% | 3.0% | 2.2% | 3.6% |
| Expected life (years) | 4.9 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 6.5 |
| Expected volatility | 39.8% | 44.6% | 38.1% | 47.8% |
| Dividend yield | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |

The risk-free interest rate assumption was based on yields for U.S. Treasury bonds with maturities similar to those of the expected term of the award. The expected life of options granted is determined based on the Company's experience. Expected volatility is based on the Company's stock price movement over a period approximating the expected term. Based on management's judgment, dividend rates are expected to be zero for the expected life of the options. The Company also estimates forfeitures of options granted, which is based on historical experience.

The Company's Incentive Stock Options (ISO) are granted at a price of at least 100% of the fair market value of the common stock of the Company (Common Stock) on the date of the grant or 110% with respect to optionees who own more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock. ISOs expire in seven years or upon termination of employment and are exercisable at a rate of 20% per year commencing one year after the date of grant. Nonqualified stock options (NQSO) are granted at fair market value on the date of grant. NQSO's expire in 7 to 10 years or upon termination of employment or service as a Board member. Options granted prior to May 2008 generally become exercisable with respect to 20% of the shares on each of the first five anniversaries following the grant date such that the entire option is fully vested five years after date of grant, and options granted subsequent to May 2008 generally become exercisable with respect to 25% on each of the first four anniversaries following the grant date such that the entire option is fully vested four years after the grant date.

The total pre-tax intrinsic value of options exercised during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$70,000 and \$489,000, respectively. During the six-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the total pre-tax intrinsic value of options exercised was \$65,000 and \$909,000, respectively. This intrinsic value represents the difference between the exercise price and the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the last day of the respective fiscal quarter end.

Restricted Stock Awards

The Company has entered into restricted stock agreements with certain key employees, covering the issuance of Common Stock (Restricted Stock). Under SFAS 123(R), these shares are considered to be non-vested shares. The Restricted Stock will be released to the key employees if they are employed by the Company at the end of the vesting period. The stock-based compensation table above includes Restricted Stock expenses of \$473,000, and \$1,134,000 during three-month and six-month periods ended March 31, 2009, respectively, and \$749,000 and \$1,206,000 for the three-month and six-month periods ended March 31, 2008, respectively.

Performance Share Awards

Historically, the Company has entered into performance share agreements with certain key employees, covering the issuance of Common Stock (Performance Shares). The Performance Shares vest upon the achievement of certain performance objectives, which must be achieved during the performance period. Compensation is recognized in each period based on management's best estimate of the achievement level of the grants' specified performance objectives and the resulting vesting amounts. For the three-month and six-month periods ended March 31, 2009, the Company recognized expenses of \$10,000 and reduced expenses by \$29,000 associated with the Performance Shares granted. The Company recognized expenses of \$380,000 for the three-month and six-month periods ended March 31, 2008. The stock-based compensation table above includes the Performance Shares expenses.

1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Under the 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (Stock Purchase Plan), the Company is authorized to issue up to 200,000 shares of Common Stock. All full-time and part-time employees can choose to have up to 10% of their annual compensation withheld, with a limit of \$25,000, to purchase the Company's Common Stock at purchase prices defined within the provisions of the Stock Purchase Plan. As of March 31, 2009 and 2008, there were \$60,000 and \$69,000 of

employee contributions, respectively, included in accrued liabilities in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. Stock compensation expense recognized related to the Stock Purchase Plan for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 totaled \$63,000 and \$45,000, respectively. Stock compensation expense for the six-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 totaled \$121,000 and \$83,000, respectively. The stock-based compensation table above includes the Stock Purchase Plan expenses.

(11) Restructuring Charges

In November 2008, the Company announced a functional reorganization to better serve its customers and improve its operating performance. As a result of the reorganization, the Company eliminated 15 positions, or approximately 5% of the Company's workforce. These employee terminations occurred across various functions and the reorganization plan was completed by the end of

Table of Contents

the first quarter of fiscal 2009. The Company also vacated a leased facility in Eden Prairie, Minnesota, consolidating into its owned office and research facility also in Eden Prairie, as part of the reorganization plan.

The Company recorded total restructuring charges of approximately \$1.8 million in connection with the reorganization. These pre-tax charges consisted of \$0.5 million of severance pay and benefits expenses and \$1.3 million of facility-related costs which were recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. The restructuring is expected to result in approximately \$2.2 million in annualized cost savings.

The following table summarizes the restructuring accrual activity for the first six months of fiscal 2009 (*in thousands*):

| | Employee severance and Benefits | Facility- related costs | Total |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------|
| Balance at September 30, 2008 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Accruals during the period | 523 | 1,275 | 1,798 |
| Cash payments | (513) | (75) | (588) |
| Balance at March 31, 2009 | \$ 10 | \$ 1,200 | \$ 1,210 |

The charges above have been shown separately as restructuring charges on the condensed consolidated statements of income. The remaining accrual as of March 31, 2009 relates to outplacement services and facility-related costs that are expected to be paid within the next 21 months. As such, the current portion totaling \$0.6 million is recorded as a current liability within other accrued liabilities and the long-term portion totaling \$0.6 million is recorded as a long-term liability within other long-term liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

(12) Comprehensive Income

The components of comprehensive income are as follows (*in thousands*):

| | Three months ended March 31, | | Six months ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net income | \$ 4,216 | \$ 5,107 | \$ 31,30178 | \$ 10,753 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities arising during the period | 79 | (671) | 613 | (882) |
| Less reclassification adjustment for realized gains included in net income, net of tax | | (240) | (201) | (804) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 79 | (911) | 412 | (1,686) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 4,295 | \$ 4,196 | \$ 31,713 | \$ 9,067 |

(13) Income Taxes

The Company recorded income tax provisions of \$2.4 million and \$3.3 million for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, representing effective tax rates of 36.3% and 38.9%, respectively. The Company recorded income tax provisions of \$18.6 million and \$6.9 million for the six-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, representing effective tax rates of 37.2% and 39.1%, respectively. The difference between the U.S. federal statutory tax rate of 35% and the Company's effective tax rate is primarily due to state taxes.

The federal tax credit for research activities expired as of December 31, 2007. The credit for research activities for the three-months ended December 31, 2007 has been included in the fiscal 2008 tax provision. The October 2008 adoption of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, retroactively extended the term of the research credit through 2009. During the six-month period ended March 31, 2009, the Company recognized a discrete benefit of approximately \$120,000 related to the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008. The tax credit for research activities for the 2009 fiscal year is expected to approximate \$170,000.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits including interest and penalties that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate as of March 31, 2009 and September 30, 2008, respectively, are \$1.5 million and \$1.3 million. Currently, the Company does not expect the liability for unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly in the next twelve months. Interest and penalties related to the unrecognized tax benefits are recorded in income tax expense.

Table of Contents

The Company files income tax returns, including returns for its subsidiaries, in the United States federal jurisdiction and in various state jurisdictions. Uncertain tax positions are related to tax years that remain subject to examination. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to examination by state and local tax authorities for tax returns associated with tax years prior to fiscal year 2003.

(14) Operating Segments

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance.

The Company manages its business on the basis of the segments noted in the table below, which are comprised of the Company's four business units. The Company reorganized business units in November 2008, which resulted in new operating segments which are aggregated into one reportable segment. The Therapeutic segment contains: (1) the Cardiovascular business unit, which provides drug delivery and surface modification technologies to customers in the cardiovascular market; (2) the Ophthalmology business unit, which is dedicated to the advancement of treatments for eye diseases, such as age-related macular degeneration (AMD) and diabetic macular edema (DME), two of the leading causes of blindness; and (3) the SurModics Pharmaceuticals business unit, which provides proprietary polymer-based drug delivery technologies to companies developing improved pharmaceutical products in cardiovascular, ophthalmology and other clinical markets. Revenue results in the Therapeutic segment are presented below by the clinical market areas in which the Company's customers participate (Cardiovascular, Ophthalmology and Other Markets). The Diagnostic operating segment contains the In Vitro Technologies business unit, which includes the Company's microarray slide technologies, stabilization products, antigens and substrates for immunoassay diagnostics tests, its *in vitro* diagnostic format technology and its synthetic ECM cell culture products.

Each business unit has similar economic characteristics, technology, manufacturing processes, customers, regulatory environments, and shared infrastructures. The Company manages its expenses on a company-wide basis, as many costs and activities are shared among the business units. The focus of the business units is providing solutions to customers and maximizing financial performance over the long term. The accounting policies for segment reporting are the same as for the Company as a whole. The table below presents revenue from the segments, with Therapeutic broken out further by market-focused area, for the three-month and six-month periods in fiscal 2009 and 2008, (*in thousands*):

| | Three months ended March 31, | | Six months ended March 31, | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Therapeutic | | | | |
| Cardiovascular | \$ 9,570 | \$ 12,408 | \$ 19,973 | \$ 24,875 |
| Ophthalmology | 3,710 | 3,036 | 48,482 | 4,513 |
| Other Markets | 2,925 | 4,772 | 6,697 | 9,150 |
| Total Therapeutic | 16,205 | 20,216 | 75,152 | 38,538 |
| Diagnostic | 4,720 | 5,491 | 8,989 | 10,998 |
| Total revenue | \$ 20,925 | \$ 25,707 | \$ 84,141 | \$ 49,536 |

(15) Share Repurchases

In November 2007, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of \$35.0 million of the Company's common stock in open-market transactions, private transactions, tender offers, or other transactions. The repurchase authorization does not have a fixed expiration date. During the six months ended March 31, 2009, the Company repurchased 623,748 shares for \$15.0 million at an average price of \$24.05 per share in open-market transactions. As of March 31, 2009, \$7.3 million remains authorized and available for future purchases under the repurchase program.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**
Understanding Our Financial Information

References in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations to Company, we, our, or us, refer to SurModics, Inc. together with its consolidated subsidiaries. The following discussion and analysis provides information management believes to be relevant to understanding the financial condition and results of operations of SurModics, Inc. For a full understanding of financial condition and results of operations, you should read this discussion along with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008. In addition, you should read this discussion along with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related Notes thereto as of March 31, 2009.

Overview

SurModics is a leading provider of drug delivery and surface modification technologies to the healthcare industry. In November 2008, we announced a change in our organizational structure into four clinically and market focused business units: Cardiovascular, Ophthalmology, In Vitro Technologies, and SurModics Pharmaceuticals (formerly Brookwood Pharmaceuticals). We believe that this structure will improve the visibility, marketing and adoption of the Company's broad array of technologies within specific markets and help our customers in the medical device, pharmaceutical and life science industries solve unmet clinical needs. In addition, a new centralized research and development function has been formed to serve the needs of the Company's clinically and market focused business units. SurModics Pharmaceuticals' research and development operations will remain unchanged.

The reorganization change announced in November 2008 resulted in the Company being comprised of new operating segments. The Therapeutic segment contains: (1) the Cardiovascular business unit, which provides drug delivery and surface modification technologies to customers in the cardiovascular market; (2) the Ophthalmology business unit, which is dedicated to the advancement of treatments for eye diseases, such as age-related macular degeneration (AMD) and diabetic macular edema (DME), two of the leading causes of blindness; and (3) the SurModics Pharmaceuticals business unit, which provides proprietary polymer-based drug delivery technologies to companies developing improved pharmaceutical products. Revenue results in the Therapeutic segment are presented by the clinical market areas in which our customers participate (Cardiovascular, Ophthalmology and Other Markets). The Diagnostic operating segment contains the In Vitro Technologies business unit, which includes our microarray slide technologies, our stabilization products, antigens and substrates for immunoassay diagnostic tests, our *in vitro* diagnostic format technology and our synthetic ECM cell culture products.

Revenue is derived from three primary sources: (1) royalties and license fees from licensing our patented drug delivery and surface modification technologies and *in vitro* diagnostic formats to customers; the vast majority (typically in excess of 90%) of revenue in the royalties and license fees category is in the form of royalties; (2) the sale of polymers and reagent chemicals, stabilization products, antigens, substrates and microarray slides to the diagnostics and biomedical research industry; and (3) research and development fees generated on customer projects. Revenue should be expected to fluctuate from quarter to quarter depending on, among other factors: our customers' success in selling products incorporating our technologies; the timing of introductions of licensed products by customers; the timing of introductions of products that compete with our customers' products; the number and activity level associated with customer development projects; the number and terms of new license agreements that are finalized; the value of reagent chemicals and other products sold to customers; and the timing of future acquisitions we complete, if any.

For financial accounting and reporting purposes, we treat our operating segments as one reportable segment. We made this determination because each operating segment has similar economic characteristics; a significant percentage of our employees provide support services (including research and development) to each operating segment; technology and products from each operating segment are marketed to the same or similar customers; each operating segment uses the same sales and marketing resources; and each operating segment operates in the same regulatory environment.

In June 2007, we signed a collaborative research and license agreement with Merck & Co., Inc. (Merck) to pursue the joint development and commercialization of the I-vation™ sustained drug delivery system with triamcinolone

acetamide and other products that combine Merck proprietary drug compounds with the I-vation system for the treatment of serious retinal diseases. Under the terms of our agreement with Merck, we received an up-front license fee of \$20 million and had the potential to receive up to an additional \$288 million in fees and development milestones associated with the successful product development and attainment of appropriate U.S. and EU regulatory approvals for these new combination products.

In September 2008, following a strategic review of its business and product development portfolio, Merck gave notice that it was terminating the collaborative research and license agreement, as well as the supply agreement entered into in June 2007. This decision was not based on any concerns about the safety or efficacy of the I-vation system. The termination was effective December 16, 2008, and we have recognized revenue of approximately \$45 million in the first six-months of fiscal 2009, principally from amounts that previously had been deferred and amortized under the accounting treatment required by Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 00-21, Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables, (EITF 00-21) which totaled

Table of Contents

approximately \$35 million and a \$9 million milestone payment associated with the termination of the triamcinolone acetonide development program.

In November 2008, we acquired a portfolio of intellectual property and collaborative drug delivery projects from PR Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a drug delivery company specializing in injectable, biodegradable sustained release formulations. Total consideration paid through March 31, 2009 was \$4.0 million and PR Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is eligible to receive up to an additional \$5.2 million in cash upon successful achievement of specified milestones. The proprietary technologies we acquired complement and enhance the existing portfolio of drug delivery capabilities available from SurModics and SurModics Pharmaceuticals by providing a broader toolkit for protein delivery and the ability to use smaller gauge needles for microparticle injections. In addition, the multiple customer development programs we assumed complement the diversified portfolio of customer projects at SurModics Pharmaceuticals and we believe will further leverage the investment we are making in cGMP manufacturing facilities.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are those policies that require the application of management's most challenging, subjective or complex judgment, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in subsequent periods. Critical accounting policies involve judgments and uncertainties that are sufficiently sensitive to result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. For a detailed description of our critical accounting policies, see the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2008.

Results of Operations

| | Three Months Ended March | | \$ | % |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | | |
| | 31, | | Increase | Increase |
| | <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | (Decrease) | (Decrease) |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Therapeutic | | | | |
| Cardiovascular | \$ 9,570 | \$ 12,408 | \$ (2,838) | (23)% |
| Ophthalmology | 3,710 | 3,036 | 674 | 22% |
| Other Markets | 2,925 | 4,772 | (1,847) | (39)% |
| Total Therapeutic | 16,205 | 20,216 | (4,011) | (20)% |
| Diagnostic | 4,720 | 5,491 | (771) | (14)% |
| Total revenue | \$ 20,925 | \$ 25,707 | \$ (4,782) | (19)% |

Revenue. Revenue for the second quarter of fiscal 2009 was \$20.9 million, a decrease of \$4.8 million, or 19%, compared with the second quarter of fiscal 2008. The decreases in Therapeutic and Diagnostic segment revenue, as detailed in the table above, are further explained in the narrative below.

Therapeutic. Revenue in the Therapeutic segment was \$16.2 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, a 20% decrease compared with \$20.2 million in the prior-year period. The decrease in total revenue is driven by lower royalties and license fees as well as lower research and development revenue. Therapeutic revenue is further characterized by the market-focused areas detailed above.

Cardiovascular derives a substantial amount of revenue from royalties and license fees and product sales attributable to Cordis Corporation, a Johnson & Johnson company, on its CYPHER[®] Sirolimus-eluting Coronary Stent. The CYPHER[®] stent incorporates a proprietary SurModics polymer coating that delivers a therapeutic drug designed to reduce the occurrence of restenosis in coronary artery lesions. The CYPHER[®] stent faces continuing competition from Boston Scientific, Medtronic, and Abbott. These stents compete directly with the CYPHER[®] stent both domestically and internationally. We also receive a royalty on sales of the Medtronic Endeavor[®] drug-eluting

stent system incorporating our hydrophilic technology, which recently received regulatory approval in Japan. In addition to competition among the various players, the total size of the drug-eluting stent market has decreased significantly in the past two years as a result of concerns about product safety, mostly related to potential clotting associated with stents. Therefore, future royalty and reagent sales revenue could decrease due to lower CYPHER[®] stent sales as a result of the overall market contraction and the ongoing and expected future competition. We anticipate that quarterly royalty revenue from the CYPHER[®] stent may be volatile throughout fiscal 2009 and beyond as the various marketers of drug-eluting stents compete in the marketplace and as others enter the marketplace.

Cardiovascular revenue decreased \$2.8 million, or 23%, in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, compared with the second quarter of fiscal 2008 principally as a result of lower royalties and license fees and research and development revenue. Our broad portfolio of revenue streams helped dampen the decrease in royalty revenue from Cordis as a result of 37% lower CYPHER[®] sales.

Ophthalmology revenue increased \$0.7 million, or 22%, in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, compared with the second quarter of fiscal 2008. Increased research and development revenue, including final billings to Merck associated with services rendered and materials provided, was the key contributor, as our technical teams are working on multiple customer projects spread across various platforms for drug delivery in the eye.

Table of Contents

Other Markets revenue decreased \$1.8 million, or 39%, in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, compared with the second quarter of fiscal 2008. Lower research and development revenue and product sales were the main contributors to the decrease. Other Markets revenue is derived from more than 50 customers.

Diagnostic. Revenue in the Diagnostic segment was \$4.7 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, a decrease of 14% compared with \$5.5 million in the prior-year period. This decrease was attributable to lower royalties and license fees in the second quarter of fiscal 2009. Royalties and license fees will likely decrease in the remaining quarters of fiscal 2009. Diagnostic derives a significant percentage of revenue from Abbott Laboratories. Royalty revenue generated under our diagnostic format patent license agreement with Abbott Laboratories (the *Abbott Agreement*) decreased 28% compared with the three-months ended March 31, 2008 and is expected to cease following the expiration of the licensed patents, which occurred in December 2008. Product sales in the Diagnostic segment increased 37% compared with the first quarter of fiscal 2009 as customers increased their inventory investment.

Product costs. Product costs were \$1.8 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, compared with \$2.2 million in the prior-year period. The \$0.4 million decrease in product costs principally reflects lower product sales. Overall product margins averaged 62%, compared with 54% reported last year. The increase in product margins reflects the changing mix of products sold in the current period.

Research and development expenses. Research and development expenses were \$8.5 million for the second quarter, a decrease of 18% compared with the second quarter of fiscal 2008. The decrease principally reflects lower research and development outlays for materials, lower headcount, which has decreased by sixteen and eighteen employees compared with March 31 and September 30, 2008, respectively, and benefits of a more centralized research and development function which resulted from our November 2008 restructuring.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$4.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, a decrease of \$1.6 million, or 27%, compared with the three months ended March 31, 2008. The prior-year period included \$1.6 million of additional stock-based compensation expenses principally as a result of transitions on our Board of Directors.

Other income. Other income was \$0.4 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, compared with \$1.2 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2008. Income from investments was \$0.4 million, compared with \$1.1 million in the prior-year period. The decrease primarily reflects lower investment balances and lower yields generated from our investment portfolio as interest rates declined over the past twelve months. In fiscal 2008 there was an additional \$0.1 million in other income principally from realized gains in our investment portfolio.

Income tax expense. The income tax provision was \$2.4 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, compared with \$3.3 million in the prior-year period. The effective tax rate was 36.3%, compared with 38.9% in the prior-year period. The decrease in the effective tax rate is primarily attributable to state tax exposures and stock options which generated tax rate benefits of 0.8% and 0.5%, respectively.

| | Six Months Ended March 31, | | \$ | % |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Increase (Decrease) | Increase (Decrease) |
| | <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Therapeutic | | | | |
| Cardiovascular | \$ 19,973 | \$ 24,875 | \$ (4,902) | (20)% |
| Ophthalmology | 48,482 | 4,513 | 43,969 | 974% |
| Other Markets | 6,697 | 9,150 | (2,453) | (27)% |
| Total Therapeutic | 75,152 | 38,538 | 36,614 | 95% |
| Diagnostic | 8,989 | 10,998 | (2,009) | (18)% |
| Total revenue | \$ 84,141 | \$ 49,536 | \$ 34,605 | 70% |

Revenue. Total revenue for the first six months of fiscal 2009 was \$84.1 million, an increase of \$34.6 million or 70% compared with the same period of fiscal 2008. The increase in Therapeutic segment revenue more than offset the decrease in the Diagnostic segment, as detailed in the table above and further explained in the narrative below.

Therapeutic. Revenue in the Therapeutic segment was \$75.1 million in the first six months of fiscal 2009, a 95% increase compared with \$38.5 million in the prior-year period. The increase in total revenue reflects the recognition of revenue of approximately \$45 million associated with the terminated Merck collaborative research and license agreement. Excluding these significant event-specific items, revenue decreased \$8.4 million, or 22%. Therapeutic revenue is further characterized by the market-focused areas detailed above.

Table of Contents

Cardiovascular revenue decreased \$4.9 million, or 20%, in the first six months of fiscal 2009, compared with the first six months of fiscal 2008, with the decrease principally in royalties and license fees. Our royalty revenue from Cordis decreased approximately 36%, which is comparable to the decrease in Cordis CYPHER[®] sales.

Ophthalmology revenue increased \$44.0 million, in the first six months of fiscal 2009, compared with the first six months of fiscal 2008. The significant increase relates principally to the recognition of approximately \$35 million of previously deferred revenue associated with the terminated collaborative research and license agreement with Merck and \$9 million from a milestone payment associated with the termination of the triamcinolone acetonide development program.

Ophthalmology revenue, excluding the Merck event-specific items in the first six months of fiscal 2009 and amortization of revenue in the first six months of fiscal 2008, decreased \$0.1 million, or 3%, principally as a result of lower royalties and license fees.

Other Markets revenue decreased \$2.5 million, or 27%, in the first six months of fiscal 2009, compared with the first six months of fiscal 2008. Lower research and development revenue and product sales were the main contributors to the decrease. Selected customers have delayed or slowed down projects in fiscal 2009 based on various factors including current economic conditions.

Diagnostic. Revenue in the Diagnostic segment was \$9.0 million in the first six months of fiscal 2009, a decrease of 18% compared with \$11.0 million in the prior-year period. This decrease was attributable to lower royalties and license fees as well as lower product sales in the first six months of fiscal 2009. Royalty revenue generated under our diagnostic format patent license agreement with Abbott Laboratories declined approximately 20% compared with the six months ended March 31, 2008.

Product costs. Product costs were \$3.4 million in the first six months of fiscal 2009, compared with \$4.1 million in the prior-year period. The \$0.7 million decrease in product costs principally reflects lower product sales. Overall product margins averaged 61%, compared with 58% reported last year. The increase in product margins reflects the changing mix of products sold in the first six months of fiscal 2009.

Research and development expenses. Research and development expenses were \$17.8 million for the first six months of fiscal 2009, a decrease of 10% compared with the first six months of fiscal 2008. The decrease was primarily driven by reduced outlays for materials, lower headcount, additional monitoring of expenses as well as benefits from our November 2008 restructuring.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$9.1 million for the six months ended March 31, 2009, a decrease of 15% compared with the prior-year period. The prior-year period included \$1.6 million of stock-based compensation expenses principally as a result of transitions on our Board of Directors. Our headcount remained constant in both periods and lower outside service costs were offset by higher facilities expenses.

Purchased in-process research and development. In November 2008, we acquired certain assets comprised of intellectual property and collaborative programs from PR Pharmaceuticals, Inc. The fair value of \$3.2 million associated with the in-process research and development intangible asset was determined by management and recognized as an expense in the six months ended March 31, 2009.

Restructuring charges. In November 2008, we announced a functional reorganization to better serve our customers and improve our operating performance. As a result of the reorganization, we eliminated 15 positions, or approximately 5% of our workforce. These employee terminations occurred across various functions, and the reorganization plan was completed by the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2009. The reorganization also resulted in our vacating a leased office facility in Eden Prairie, Minnesota, consolidating into its owned office and research facility also in Eden Prairie.

We recorded total restructuring charges of approximately \$1.8 million in connection with the reorganization. These pre-tax charges consisted of \$0.5 million of severance pay and benefits expenses and \$1.3 million of facility-related costs. The restructuring is expected to result in \$2.2 million in annualized cost savings. We anticipate the majority of the costs to be paid in the next twenty-one months.

Other income. Other income was \$1.0 million in the first six months of fiscal 2009, compared with \$2.9 million in the first six months of fiscal 2008. Income from investments was \$1.1 million, compared with \$2.0 million in the

prior-year period. The decrease primarily reflects lower investment balances and lower yields generated from our investment portfolio as interest rates declined over the past twelve months. We also recognized our *pro rata* net loss on our equity method investments, partially offset by \$0.3 million of gains on our investment portfolio in fiscal 2009. In fiscal 2008, other income included a \$0.9 million gain on our investment in ForSight Newco II, which was acquired by QLT Inc. in October 2007. Partially offsetting this gain was our *pro rata* net loss on our equity method investments.

Income tax expense. The income tax provision was \$18.6 million in the first six months of fiscal 2009, compared with \$6.9 million in the prior-year period. The effective tax rate was 37.2%, compared with 39.1% in the prior-year period. The decrease in the effective tax rate is primarily attributable to differences related to state tax exposures and stock options which generated tax rate benefits of 0.8% and 0.5%, respectively.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

As of March 31, 2009, the Company had working capital of \$20.7 million. Working capital decreased \$13.3 million compared with September 30, 2008 driven principally by lower cash and cash equivalents balances, lower accounts receivable and lower prepaid balances partially offset by lower deferred revenue as the contract with Merck was terminated. Our cash, cash equivalents and short-term and long-term investments totaled \$58.9 million at March 31, 2009, a \$13.1 million decrease from \$72.0 million at September 30, 2008. The Company's investments principally consist of U.S. government and government agency obligations and investment grade, interest-bearing corporate debt securities with varying maturity dates, the majority of which are five years or less. The Company's policy requires that no more than 5% of investments be held in any one credit issue, excluding U.S. government and government agency obligations. The primary investment objective of the portfolio is to provide for the safety of principal and appropriate liquidity while meeting or exceeding a benchmark (Merrill Lynch 1-3 Year Government-Corporate Index) total rate of return. Management plans to continue to direct its investment advisors to manage the Company's investments primarily for the safety of principal for the foreseeable future as it assesses other investment opportunities and uses of its investments.

We had cash flows from operating activities of approximately \$16.9 million in the first six months of fiscal 2009, compared with \$8.9 million in the first six months of fiscal 2008. The increase compared with prior-year results primarily reflects receipt of a \$9 million milestone payment from Merck in October 2008.

In November 2007, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of \$35.0 million of the Company's common stock in open-market transactions, private transactions, tender offers, or other transactions. The repurchase authorization does not have a fixed expiration date. During the six months ended March 31, 2009, the Company repurchased 623,748 shares for \$15.0 million at an average price of \$24.05 per share, leaving \$7.3 million remaining available for future purchases under the repurchase program.

In February 2009, we entered into a two-year \$25.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with Wells Fargo, N.A. as sole lead arranger and administrative agent. Borrowings under the credit facility, if any, will bear interest at a benchmark rate plus an applicable margin based upon our funded debt to EBITDA ratio. In connection with the credit facility, we are required to maintain certain financial and nonfinancial covenants. As of March 31, 2009, we had no borrowings outstanding under this credit facility and were not in violation of any of the covenants.

As of March 31, 2009, we had no debt outstanding. We believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents and investments, together with cash flow from operations and availability under the revolving credit facility, will provide liquidity sufficient to meet our needs for the foreseeable future. Our remaining anticipated liquidity needs for fiscal 2009 include but are not limited to the following: capital expenditures related to our Alabama facilities in the range of \$14 million to \$17 million; general capital expenditures in the range of \$1 million to \$5 million; contingent consideration payments associated with our fiscal 2009 acquisition of certain assets from PR Pharmaceuticals, Inc. in the range of \$1 million to \$2 million; contingent consideration payments associated with our fiscal 2007 acquisition of Brookwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (now SurModics Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) of \$3 million; and any amounts associated with the repurchase of common stock under the authorization discussed above.

As of March 31, 2009, the Company did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements with any unconsolidated entities.

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in this report and other written and oral statements made from time to time by the Company do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. As such, they are considered forward-looking statements that provide current expectations or forecasts of future events. These forward-looking statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements can be identified by the use of terminology such as anticipate, believe, could, estimate, expect, forecast, intend, may, project, will and similar words or expressions. Any statement that is not a historical fact, including estimates, projections, future trends and the outcome of events that have not yet occurred, are forward-looking statements. The Company's forward-looking statements generally relate to our growth strategy, financial prospects, expense expectations, product development programs, sales efforts, sufficiency of capital resources, the impact of the Cordis agreement and other significant customer agreements. You should carefully consider forward-looking statements and

understand that such statements involve a variety of risks and uncertainties, known and unknown, and may be affected by inaccurate assumptions. Consequently, no forward-looking statement can be guaranteed and actual results may vary materially. The Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement.

Although it is not possible to create a comprehensive list of all factors that may cause actual results to differ from the Company's forward-looking statements, such factors include, among others:

Table of Contents

the Company's significant reliance on our relationship with Cordis, which causes our financial results and stock price to be subject to factors affecting Cordis and its CYPHER® stent program, including among others, the rate of market penetration by Cordis, the timing of market introduction of competing products, product safety or efficacy concerns and intellectual property litigation the outcome of which could adversely affect the royalty revenue we derive based on the sales of licensed products;

general economic conditions we are subject to which are beyond our control, including the impact of recession, business investment and changes in consumer confidence;

frequent intellectual property litigation in the medical device and pharmaceutical industries that may directly or indirectly adversely affect our customers' ability to market their products incorporating our technologies;

our ability to protect our own intellectual property;

healthcare reform efforts and reimbursement rates for medical device and pharmaceutical products that may adversely affect our customers' ability to cost-effectively market and sell devices and pharmaceuticals incorporating our technologies;

the Company's ability to attract new licensees and to enter into agreements for additional product applications with existing licensees, the willingness of potential licensees to sign license agreements under the terms offered by the Company, changes in the development and marketing priorities of our licensees and development partners and the Company's ability to maintain satisfactory relationships with our licensees;

the Company's ability to increase the number of market segments and applications that use our technologies through our sales and marketing and research and development efforts;

the Company's ability to facilitate the creation of new market segments and applications that incorporate our technologies through strategic investment and research and development support;

market acceptance of products sold by customers incorporating our technologies and the timing of new product introductions by licensees;

market acceptance of products sold by our customers' competitors and the timing and pricing of new product introductions by customers' competitors;

the difficulties and uncertainties associated with the lengthy and costly new product development and foreign and domestic regulatory approval processes, such as delays, difficulties or failures in achieving acceptable clinical results or obtaining foreign or FDA marketing clearances or approvals, which may result in lost market opportunities or postpone or preclude product commercialization by licensees;

efficacy or safety concerns with respect to products marketed by us and our licensees, whether scientifically justified or not, that may lead to product recalls, withdrawals or declining sales;

the ability to secure raw materials for reagents the Company sells;

the Company's ability to successfully manage clinical trials and related foreign and domestic regulatory processes for the I-vation™ intravitreal implant or other products under development by the Company, whether delays, difficulties or failures in achieving acceptable clinical results or obtaining foreign or FDA marketing clearances or approvals postpone or preclude product commercialization of the intravitreal implant

or other products, and whether the intravitreal implant and any other products remain viable commercial prospects;

product liability claims not covered by insurance;

the development of new products or technologies by competitors, technological obsolescence and other changes in competitive factors;

the trend of consolidation in the medical device and pharmaceutical industry, resulting in more significant, complex and long term contracts than in the past and potentially greater pricing pressures;

the Company's ability to identify suitable businesses to acquire or with whom to form strategic relationships to expand our technology development and commercialization, our ability to successfully integrate the operations of companies it may acquire from time to time and our ability to create synergies from acquisitions and other strategic relationships;

the Company's ability to successfully internally perform certain product development activities and governmental and regulatory compliance activities which the Company has not previously undertaken in any significant manner;

our ability to successfully complete construction of our manufacturing facility in Birmingham, Alabama and attract customers to utilize the facility's manufacturing capabilities;

acts of God or terrorism which impact the Company's personnel or facilities; and

other factors described in the Risk Factors and other sections of SurModics' Annual Report on Form 10-K, which you are encouraged to read carefully.

Table of Contents

Many of these factors are outside the control and knowledge of the Company, and could result in increased volatility in period-to-period results. Investors are advised not to place undue reliance upon the Company's forward-looking statements and to consult any further disclosures by the Company on this subject in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Except as noted in this Item 3, there have been no material changes in our exposure to market risk or to our quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk as disclosed in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008.

The Company's investment policy requires the Company to invest in high credit quality issuers and limits the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer. The Company's investments principally consist of U.S. government and government agency obligations and investment-grade, interest-bearing corporate debt securities with varying maturity dates, the majority of which are five years or less. Because of the credit criteria of the Company's investment policies, the primary market risk associated with these investments is interest rate risk. The Company does not use derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risk or to speculate on future changes in interest rates. A one percentage point increase in interest rates would result in an approximate \$854,000 decrease in the fair value of the Company's available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities as of March 31, 2009, but no material impact on the results of operations or cash flows. Management believes that a reasonable change in raw material prices would not have a material impact on future earnings or cash flows because the Company's inventory exposure is not material.

Although we conduct business in foreign countries, all sales transactions are denominated in U.S. dollars. Accordingly, we do not expect to be subject to material foreign currency risk with respect to future costs or cash flows from our foreign sales. To date, we have not entered into any foreign currency forward exchange contracts or other derivative financial instruments to hedge the effects of adverse fluctuations in foreign currency exchange.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer regarding the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective, as of March 31, 2009, to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms.

Changes in Internal Controls

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting. The Company has integrated the accounting system used by BioFX Laboratories, Inc. into SurModics' corporate accounting platform. Management does not currently believe that this implementation has adversely affected the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

There have been no material developments in the legal proceedings previously disclosed in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes from risk factors as previously disclosed in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 in response to Item 1A to Part I of Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.**(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

The following table presents information with respect to purchases of common stock of the Company made during the three months ended March 31, 2009, by the Company or on behalf of the Company or any affiliated purchaser of the Company, as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Exchange Act.

| Period | (a) Total Number of Shares Purchased(1) | (b) Average Price Paid Per Share | (c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | (d) Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(2) |
|-----------------|---|--|---|---|
| 1/01/09 1/31/09 | 125,183 | \$ 26.05 | 124,600 | \$ 7,333,728 |
| 2/01/09 2/28/09 | 0 | NA | 0 | \$ 7,333,728 |
| 3/01/09 3/31/09 | 2,592 | \$ 18.03 | 0 | \$ 7,333,728 |
| Total | 127,775 | \$ 25.89 | 124,600 | \$ 7,333,728 |

- (1) The purchases in this column included shares repurchased as part of our publicly announced program and in addition include 3,175 shares that were repurchased by the Company to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with so-called stock swap exercises related to the vesting of restricted stock awards.
- (2) On November 15, 2007, our Board of Directors announced the authorization of the repurchase of \$35 million of outstanding common stock. As of March 31, 2009, we have repurchased a cumulative 921,648 shares at an average price of \$30.02 per share. Under the current authorization the Company has \$7.3 million available for authorized share repurchases as of March 31, 2009, and such authorization has no expiration date.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

The information disclosed under Part II Item 4 of our Form 10-Q for the period ended December 31, 2008 is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 5. Other Information.

Not Applicable.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits.

| Exhibit | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 3.1 | Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 1999, SEC File No. 0-23837 |
| 3.2 | Restated Bylaws incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, SEC File No. 0-23837 |
| 10.1 | Credit Agreement dated as of February 27, 2009, by and between SurModics, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as Sole Lead Arranger and Administrative Agent incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's 8-K filed March 4, 2009, SEC File No. 0-23837 |
| 31.1** | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2** | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1** | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2** | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

** Filed herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

May 8, 2009

SurModics, Inc.

By: /s/ Philip D. Ankeny
Philip D. Ankeny
Senior Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer

Table of Contents

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
EXHIBIT INDEX TO FORM 10-Q
For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2008
SURMODICS, INC.**

| Exhibit | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 3.1 | Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 1999, SEC File No. 0-23837 |
| 3.2 | Restated Bylaws incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, SEC File No. 0-23837 |
| 10.1 | Credit Agreement dated as of February 27, 2009, by and between SurModics, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as Sole Lead Arranger and Administrative Agent incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's 8-K filed March 4, 2009, SEC File No. 0-23837 |
| 31.1** | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2** | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1** | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2** | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

** Filed herewith.