

INTROGEN THERAPEUTICS INC

Form 10-Q

August 09, 2005

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005

or

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number 000-21291

Introgen Therapeutics, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

74-2704230

*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)*

**301 Congress Avenue, Suite 1850
Austin, Texas 78701**

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(512) 708-9310

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

As of August 8, 2005, the registrant had 33,322,798 shares of its common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, issued and outstanding.

**INTROGEN THERAPEUTICS, INC.
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Page no.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

1

Table of Contents

2

Edgar Filing: INTROGEN THERAPEUTICS INC - Form 10-Q

	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005 (unaudited).</u>	1
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months and Six Months Ended June 30, 2004 (unaudited) and June 30, 2005 (unaudited).</u>	2
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2004 (unaudited) and June 30, 2005 (unaudited).</u>	3
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).</u>	4
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</u>	8
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.</u>	38
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures.</u>	39

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings.</u>	40
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.</u>	40
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities.</u>	40
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.</u>	40
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Other Information.</u>	40
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits.</u>	40
<u>Signatures</u>		41
<u>Exhibits</u>		42
<u>Letter Agreement</u>		
<u>Certification of CEO and CFO</u>		
<u>Certification of CEO and CFO Pursuant to Section 906</u>		

Table of Contents

PART I
FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

INTROGEN THERAPEUTICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)

	December 31, 2004	June 30, 2005 (Unaudited)
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 30,187	\$ 6,671
Short term investments	7,993	20,056
Total cash, cash equivalents and short term investments	38,180	26,727
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	659	482
Total current assets	38,839	27,209
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$10,983 and \$11,786, respectively	7,277	6,671
Grant rights acquired	1,582	1,108
Other assets	359	344
Total assets	\$ 48,057	\$ 35,332
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,683	\$ 2,161
Accrued liabilities	3,572	3,346
Deferred revenue	30	105
Current portion of notes payable	573	601
Total current liabilities	6,858	6,213
Notes payable, net of current portion	7,901	7,949
Deferred revenue, long-term	1,132	1,268
Total liabilities	15,891	15,430
Commitments and Contingencies		
Stockholders Equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value per share; 5,000 shares authorized of which 4,900 shares are undesignated and 100 shares are designated as Series A non-voting convertible shares; 100 Series A and zero shares issued and outstanding in 2004 and 2005, respectively	1	
Common stock, \$.001 par value per share; 100,000 shares authorized; 30,622 and 33,323 shares issued and outstanding in 2004 and 2005, respectively	30	33

Edgar Filing: INTROGEN THERAPEUTICS INC - Form 10-Q

Additional paid-in capital	149,652	150,517
Deferred compensation	(161)	
Accumulated deficit	(117,356)	(130,648)
Total stockholders' equity	32,166	19,902
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 48,057	\$ 35,332

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

1

Table of Contents

INTROGEN THERAPEUTICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)
(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	30,		30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Contract services, grant and other revenue	\$ 273	\$ 336	\$ 382	\$ 845
Costs and expenses:				
Research and development	5,948	5,700	10,243	10,939
General and administrative	2,147	2,006	3,591	3,816
Total operating expenses	8,095	7,706	13,834	14,755
Loss from operations	(7,822)	(7,370)	(13,452)	(13,910)
Interest income	59	193	126	377
Interest expense	(94)	(158)	(228)	(308)
Other income	306	274	556	549
Net loss	\$ (7,551)	\$ (7,061)	\$ (12,998)	\$ (13,292)
Net loss per share, basic and diluted	\$ (0.28)	\$ (0.23)	\$ (0.49)	\$ (0.43)
Shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share	26,607	31,182	26,587	30,963

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

INTROGEN THERAPEUTICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Amounts in thousands)
(UNAUDITED)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2004	2005
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (12,998)	\$ (13,292)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation	647	803
Share-based payments	14	550
Amortization of grant rights acquired		474
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(236)	191
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	406	(522)
Increase (decrease) in accrued liabilities	1,234	(225)
Increase in deferred revenue	119	211
Net cash used in operating activities	(10,814)	(11,810)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(120)	(197)
Purchases of short-term investments	(27,428)	(24,970)
Maturities of short-term investments	16,451	12,907
Net cash used in investing activities	(11,097)	(12,260)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from sale of common stock	270	478
Proceeds from notes payable	668	438
Principal payments under notes payable and capital leases	(407)	(362)
Net cash provided by financing activities	531	554
Net decrease in cash	(21,380)	(23,516)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	36,397	30,187
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 15,017	\$ 6,671
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 228	\$ 276

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

INTROGEN THERAPEUTICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
UNAUDITED NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Formation and Business of the Company

See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations below for a discussion of our business.

We have not yet generated any significant revenues from unaffiliated third parties, nor is there any assurance of future product revenues. Presently, we earn minimal revenue from contract services activities, grants, interest income and rent from the lease of a portion of our facilities to The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center. We do not expect to generate revenues from the commercial sale of products in the near future. We may never generate revenues from the commercial sale of products.

Our research and development activities involve a high degree of risk and uncertainty. Our ability to successfully develop, manufacture and market our proprietary products is dependent upon many factors. These factors include, but are not limited to, the need for and the ability to obtain additional financing, the reliance on collaborative research and development arrangements with corporate and academic affiliates, and the ability to develop manufacturing, sales and marketing experience. Additional factors include uncertainties as to patents and proprietary technologies, competitive technologies, technological change and risk of obsolescence, development of products, competition, government regulations and regulatory approval, and product liability exposure. As a result of these factors and the related uncertainties, there can be no assurance of our future success.

2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). These financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required under United States generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In management's opinion, all accounting entries considered necessary for a fair presentation have been made in preparing these financial statements, and such entries are normal in nature. Operating results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire fiscal year. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and related footnotes as of December 31, 2004, and for the year then ended, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on March 15, 2005.

3. Net Loss Per Share

Net loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Due to losses incurred in all periods presented, the shares associated with stock options, warrants and non-voting convertible preferred stock are not included because they are anti-dilutive. The weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, includes the effects of the common stock issued in connection with the conversion of preferred stock to common stock discussed in Note 11.

4. Stock-Based Compensation

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, allows companies to adopt one of two methods for accounting for stock options. We have elected the method that requires disclosure only of stock-based compensation. Because of this election, we continue to account for our employee stock-based compensation plans using the intrinsic value method allowed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, as clarified by Interpretation No. 44, Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation. Accordingly, deferred compensation is recorded for stock-based compensation grants based on the excess of the fair market value of the common stock on the measurement date over the exercise price. The deferred compensation is amortized ratably over the vesting period of each unit of

Table of Contents

stock-based compensation grant, generally four years. If the exercise price of the stock-based compensation grants is equal to the fair value of our stock on the date of grant, no compensation expense is recorded.

In December 2004, SFAS 123R, Share-Based Payment, was issued. This statement establishes standards for the accounting for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity investments for goods and services. It also addresses transactions in which an entity incurs liabilities in exchange for goods or services that are based on the fair value of the entity's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of those equity instruments. The statement does not change the accounting guidance for share-based payments with parties other than employees. The statement requires measurement of the cost of employee service received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award, with limited exceptions. That cost is to be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award, which is usually the vesting period of the award. A public entity will initially measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of a liability instrument based on the instrument's current fair value. The fair value of that award will be remeasured subsequently at each reporting date through the settlement date. Changes in fair value during the requisite service period will be recognized as compensation over that period. The grant date fair value of employee share options and similar instruments will be estimated using option pricing models adjusted for the unique characteristics of these instruments. We will be required to comply with SFAS 123R beginning the quarter ending March 31, 2006. We have not yet determined which fair-value method and transitional provision we will follow. We expect the adoption of SFAS 123R could have a significant impact on our results of operations. We do not expect such adoption to significantly impact our financial position or liquidity. See the table in the following paragraph for the pro forma impact on net loss and net loss per share from calculating stock-based compensation costs under the fair value alternative of SFAS 123. However, the calculation of compensation cost for share-based payment transactions after the effective date of SFAS 123R may be different from the calculation of compensation cost under SFAS 123, but such differences have not yet been quantified.

The fair value of options granted for all periods presented was estimated on the applicable grant dates using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Significant weighted average assumptions used to estimate fair value for all years include: risk-free interest rates ranging from 3% to 6.25%; expected lives of ten years; no expected dividends; and volatility factors ranging from 62% to 107%. Had compensation expense been determined consistent with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, our net loss would have been increased to the following pro forma amounts (in thousands, except per share information):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Net loss, as reported	\$ (7,551)	\$ (7,061)	\$ (12,998)	\$ (13,292)
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net loss, net of related tax effects		415	46	415
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards	(714)	(1,228)	(1,528)	(1,943)
Pro forma net loss	\$ (8,265)	\$ (7,874)	\$ (14,480)	\$ (14,820)
Loss per share:				
Basic and Diluted as reported	\$ (0.28)	\$ (0.23)	\$ (0.49)	\$ (0.43)
Basic and Diluted pro forma	\$ (0.31)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.55)	\$ (0.48)

5. Acquisition of Magnum Therapeutics Corporation

In October 2004, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of Magnum Therapeutics Corporation (Magnum), a company owned prior to this acquisition by one of our executive officers. We paid approximately \$1.75 million for the Magnum stock by (1) issuing approximately 252,000 shares of our common stock valued at approximately \$1.48 million at the acquisition date and (2) assuming liabilities of approximately \$272,000. With respect to the common stock we issued for the acquisition, 50% of the shares are held by an independent escrow

Table of Contents

agent for a period of one year subsequent to the acquisition date to satisfy the indemnification obligations of the selling shareholder under terms of the purchase agreement.

Magnum's primary asset is the right to receive funding under a grant from the National Institutes of Health. The grant activities and related funding will supplement research and development programs we have in progress. During the year ended December 31, 2004, we earned \$1.1 million of revenue related to funding under this grant. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, we earned \$145,000 and \$410,000, respectively, of revenue related to funding under this grant. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2004, we earned no revenue under this grant. In the event certain of Magnum's technologies result in commercial products, we may be obligated to pay royalties related to the sales of those products to certain third parties.

The results of Magnum's operations have been included with those of the Company for the period subsequent to the acquisition date. Since Magnum is a development stage company, this acquisition was accounted for as an asset acquisition and not as a business combination. Substantially all of the purchase consideration was allocated to acquired grant rights described further in Note 6.

6. Intangible Assets

Our intangible assets with definite lives that are subject to amortization, all of which arose from our acquisition of Magnum in October 2004 described in Note 5, are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31, 2004			June 30, 2005		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Asset Acquisition: Acquired Grant Rights (22 month amortization period)	\$ 1,741	\$ (159)	\$ 1,582	\$ 1,741	\$ (633)	\$ 1,108
Ending Balance	\$ 1,741	\$ (159)	\$ 1,582	\$ 1,741	\$ (633)	\$ 1,108

Research and development expense includes amortization of intangibles of \$237,000 and \$474,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005. Since we had no intangibles on our balance sheet prior to October 2004, there was no amortization expense in the three or six month periods ended June 30, 2004. Estimated annual amortization expense for 2005 and 2006 is \$949,000 and \$633,000, respectively, and zero thereafter.

7. Investment in VirRx, Inc.

We have an agreement with VirRx, Inc. to purchase shares of VirRx's Series A Preferred Stock for \$150,000 on the first day of each fiscal quarter through January 1, 2006. We purchased \$150,000 and \$300,000 of this stock during the three and six month periods, respectively, ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004. We record these purchases as research and development expense. VirRx is required to use the proceeds from these stock sales in accordance with the terms of a collaboration and license agreement between VirRx and us for the development of VirRx's technologies. We may unilaterally terminate this collaboration and license agreement with 90 days prior notice, which would also terminate the requirement for us to make any additional stock purchases. In accordance with the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation 46(R), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, VirRx is not consolidated in our financial statements. For additional discussion of our agreements with VirRx, see Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, filed with the SEC on March 15, 2005.

8. Notes Payable

In May 2004, we amended the mortgage note payable to a bank related to our facilities. The original \$6.0 million principal balance of our mortgage note payable was increased to \$7.8 million. The proceeds from this increase were used to pay in full the principal and interest outstanding on a second mortgage note payable with an original principal balance of approximately \$3.3 million, which resulted in that second mortgage note being retired. In

Table of Contents

addition to this note retirement, the proceeds from this loan amendment were used to pay the costs related to this transaction of \$96,000 and to add \$668,000 to our cash and cash equivalents.

9. Common Stock Grant

Subsequent to December 31, 2004, options to purchase 95,200 shares of our common stock held by certain of our officers reached the end of their stated contractual ten year life, resulting in the expiration of the right to exercise those options. To provide those officers an economic equivalent to those expired options, we granted them 90,101 shares of our common stock in May 2005, of which 57,259 shares were issued to those officers and 32,842 shares were withheld by us in consideration for our payment on their behalf of approximately \$249,000 of federal income, FICA and Medicare taxes. During the quarter ended June 30, 2005, we recorded compensation expense of approximately \$664,000 in connection with this issuance of shares.

10. Common Stock Purchase Warrant

We have an agreement with a third party under which they will perform investor relations services on our behalf focusing on the sophisticated, global financial community. In consideration for these services, we will issue them a warrant to purchase up to 500,000 shares of our common stock. Under this warrant, if the average closing price of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ National Market System, calculated over a period of 20 consecutive trading days (Average Closing Price), equals or exceeds \$20.50 per share, 500,000 shares will vest. No shares will vest if the Average Closing Price does not reach \$8.00 per share. The number of shares that may vest if the Average Closing Price reaches between \$8.00 and \$20.50 per share during the agreement term remains to be negotiated. To the extent vested, the warrant may be exercised during the period beginning two years after the date the warrant is issued and ending five years after the date the warrant is issued. The exercise price for vested shares will be \$7.63 per share, which was the closing price of our common stock on the date of this agreement. This agreement continues until October 2005, after which time it is subject to continuing, automatic 30 day extensions unless terminated by either party.

This agreement and any portion of this warrant not vested will expire upon the earlier of (a) 90 days after the date of the agreement or (b) upon notice from us within that 90 day period of our announcement of (i) a favorable action or decision by the United States Food and Drug Administration with respect to one or more of our product candidates or (ii) a partnering or collaboration agreement involving one or more of the our product candidates that is not arranged by the third party pursuant to a written mandate within that 90 day period.

This agreement and warrant are not transferable without our consent. The agreement contains provisions prohibiting the warrant holder from engaging in short selling, hedging and risk shifting arrangements concerning our securities.

11. London Stock Exchange

In connection with our activities described in Note 10, we are evaluating the feasibility of listing our common stock on the London Stock Exchange (LSE), which would be in addition to the listing of our common stock on the NASDAQ National Market System in the United States. We believe an LSE listing would allow us to better leverage our assets on a global basis and, specifically, in Europe and Asia.

12. Conversion of Preferred Stock to Common Stock

In June 2005, the 100,000 issued and outstanding shares of our Series A, non-voting, convertible preferred stock were converted into 2,343,721 shares of our common stock. The preferred shares were cancelled and replaced by newly issued shares of our common stock. We received no cash or other consideration in connection with this conversion. After this conversion, we have 5,000,000 shares of authorized and unissued preferred shares, of which 4,900,000 shares are undesignated and 100,000 shares are designated as Series A, non-voting, convertible preferred shares.

13. Investment in SR Pharma plc

Table of Contents

In July 2005, we purchased approximately 8.3% of the issued share capital of SR Pharma plc for approximately \$3.0 million. SR Pharma plc is a European biotechnology company publicly traded on the Alternative Investment Market of the London Stock Exchange that is developing oncology and other products.

Item 2. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and entail various risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those set forth below under Risk Factors.

Product Development Overview

Introgen Therapeutics, Inc. was incorporated in Delaware in 1993. We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on the discovery, development and commercialization of targeted molecular therapies for the treatment of cancer and other diseases. We are developing product candidates to treat a wide range of cancers using non-integrating tumor suppressors, cytokines and molecular gene agents. These agents are designed to increase production of normal cancer-fighting proteins that act to overpower cancerous cells, stimulate immune activity and enhance conventional cancer therapies.

Our primary approach to the treatment of cancers is to deliver genes that increase production of normal cancer-fighting proteins. Rather than acting to repair or replace aberrant or missing genes and thereby creating a long-term or permanent change to the patient's genome, our products work in a different manner by acting as templates for the transient *in vivo* production of proteins that have pharmacological properties. The resultant proteins engage disease-related molecular targets or receptors to produce a specific therapeutic effect.

We believe the use of genes that do not integrate into the patient's genome and that are cleared from the body after administration in order to induce the production of biopharmaceutical proteins is an emerging field presenting a new approach for treating many cancers without the toxic side effects common to traditional therapies. We have developed significant expertise in identifying therapeutic genes, which are genes that may be used to treat disease, and in using what we believe are safe and effective delivery systems to transport these genes to the cancer cells. We believe we are able to treat a number of cancers in a way that kills cancer cells without harming normal cells.

ADVEXIN® Therapy (p53)

Our lead product candidate, ADVEXIN® therapy, combines the p53 tumor suppressor gene with a non-replicating, non-integrating adenoviral gene delivery system we have developed and extensively tested. The p53 gene is one of the most potent members of a group of naturally-occurring tumor suppressor genes, which act to kill cancer cells, arrest cancer cell growth and protect cells from becoming cancerous.

We have received Fast Track designation for ADVEXIN therapy from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) under its protocol assessment program as a result of the FDA's agreement with the design of our two ongoing Phase 3 clinical trials of ADVEXIN therapy. Under this Fast Track designation, the FDA will take actions to expedite the evaluation and review of a Biologic License Application (BLA) for ADVEXIN therapy. We plan to pursue with the FDA an Accelerated Approval of ADVEXIN therapy, which is one alternative provided under a Fast Track designation.

We have conducted a series of meetings with the FDA to develop and implement the filing strategy for the BLA for ADVEXIN therapy, which is the application for approval to market and sell ADVEXIN therapy in the United States. As a result of these meetings, we are developing and pursuing an initial rolling BLA filing strategy based primarily on data from our Phase 2 clinical trials of ADVEXIN therapy for treatment of recurrent squamous cell cancer of the head and neck. The FDA has concurred that preliminary evaluation of this data suggests a level of efficacy consistent with the standard for the initiation of a rolling BLA (a submission process also known as

Table of Contents

Submission Of a Partial Application or SOPA). The FDA has also concluded that ADVEXIN therapy continued to show promise with respect to an unmet medical need since there are no approved therapies in the United States for recurrent head and neck cancer. The FDA has also concluded that the clinical development program for ADVEXIN therapy for recurrent head and neck cancer continued to meet the criteria for Fast Track designation. In conjunction with the new data, the new analyses, and other newly employed biological techniques, Introgen is hopeful of more specifically targeting patients with recurrent head and neck cancer resulting in even better efficacy than has already been demonstrated.

Accordingly, we have submitted a SOPA request to the FDA Division of Cell and Gene Therapy proposing a rolling BLA for ADVEXIN therapy for the treatment of recurrent head and neck cancer, based primarily on data from our Phase 2 clinical trials. We have further proposed to the FDA that, since the basis of the proposed rolling BLA is Phase 2 clinical data utilizing surrogate endpoints, the rolling BLA could be evaluated under the provisions of Subpart H for Accelerated Approval. In order to fully explore all of the review and approval possibilities for ADVEXIN therapy, the FDA has requested we submit existing new data and analyses from the Phase 2 ADVEXIN therapy clinical trials for recurrent head and neck cancer. Given that we have two ongoing Phase 3 clinical trials in head and neck cancer as discussed further below, we and the FDA are evaluating the most effective use of the data from these Phase 2 and 3 clinical trials in the review and approval of ADVEXIN therapy. Regulatory approval approaches may allow Accelerated Approval on the basis of Phase 2 clinical data with subsequent confirmatory data being provided by the Phase 3 clinical studies or, alternatively, a full approval based on data from Phase 2 and certain Phase 3 clinical trials. We will also be exploring with the FDA whether its recently announced Critical Path Initiative, which permits new product evaluation on the basis of specifically targeted (i.e. by prognostic or biologic parameters) clinical trials and/or patient populations, can be used in the ADVEXIN therapy approval process.

ADVEXIN therapy for head and neck cancer has been designated an Orphan Drug under the Orphan Drug Act. This designation may give us up to seven years of marketing exclusivity for ADVEXIN therapy for this indication if approved by the FDA.

Our two ongoing Phase 3 clinical trials of ADVEXIN therapy in patients with recurrent squamous cell cancer of the head and neck are multi-national, multi-site trials. These trials involve administration of ADVEXIN therapy, both by itself and in combination with chemotherapy, in recurrent squamous cell cancer of the head and neck.

We have conducted multi-national, multi-site Phase 2 clinical trials of ADVEXIN therapy in 217 patients with recurrent squamous cell cancer of the head and neck treated previously with surgery, radiation or chemotherapy. In the combined analysis of these trials, the overall tumor growth control rate was 59%. Tumor growth control rate represents the percentage of treated tumors where there was disappearance of the tumor, shrinkage of the tumor or the absence of additional tumor growth beyond 25% of pre-treatment measurements. In approximately 10% of the treated lesions, there was either complete tumor regression or a reduction of tumor size greater than or equal to 50% of the pre-treatment size. We have been evaluating subpopulations of patients participating in these trials defined by various prognostic, medical and biological characteristics to provide refined targeting of ADVEXIN therapy. Analyses of the data from these patient subpopulations showed that the objective response rate (complete responses and partial responses) was 15% or greater. These findings, along with other data, are planned for presentation at future scientific meetings and for future publication in a peer-reviewed medical journal.

We performed a Phase 2 clinical trial of ADVEXIN therapy combined with systemic chemotherapy for the treatment of breast cancer prior to surgery and a Phase 1 clinical trial using ADVEXIN therapy in patients with locally recurrent breast cancer involving the chest wall. In the breast cancer study of 12 women with very large tumors, the combination of ADVEXIN and chemotherapy resulted in objective clinical responses with greater than 50% tumor reduction in all patients and the ability to remove all of the tumors surgically. These data are better than the expected results with chemotherapy alone and were presented at the 2004 San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium.

We completed a Phase 2 clinical trial of ADVEXIN therapy administered as a complement to radiation therapy in non-small cell lung cancer. In the 19 patients who participated in the trial, combined ADVEXIN and radiation treatment resulted in 63% biopsy-proven complete responses at three months, which is approximately four times the expected rate using radiotherapy alone. The results of this study were published in *Clinical Cancer Research*.

Table of Contents

We performed a Phase 1/early Phase 2 clinical trial of ADVEXIN therapy for the treatment of advanced, unresectable, squamous cell esophageal cancer. Results of this trial in patients with esophageal cancer refractory to chemotherapy and radiation indicate three of the ten patients treated, or 30%, had significant symptomatic improvement in swallowing after receiving ADVEXIN therapy. The median survival of the patients treated with ADVEXIN therapy was approximately twelve months, which compared favorably to historical controls in which a median survival of less than ten months was observed for patients who did not respond to standard treatments. Six patients, or 60%, were still alive one year after beginning therapy. This clinical trial was performed at Chiba University in Japan.

We are currently conducting additional Phase 1 and Phase 2 clinical trials of ADVEXIN therapy by itself and in combination with chemotherapy or radiation therapy in a variety of cancers. These additional clinical trials include:

A Phase 2 clinical trial of ADVEXIN therapy in squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity, or oropharynx, that can be removed surgically, to assess the feasibility, efficacy and safety of administering ADVEXIN therapy at the time of surgery for suppression of remaining tumor cells, followed by a combination of chemotherapy and radiation therapy.

A Phase 1/early Phase 2 clinical trial in which a mouthwash or oral rinse formulation of ADVEXIN therapy, which has been designated as INGN 234, is administered to prevent precancerous oral lesions from developing into cancerous lesions.

We have completed other clinical trials of Advexin, including Phase 1 studies in prostate cancer and bronchoalveolar carcinoma. To date, clinical investigators at sites in North America, Europe and Japan have treated over 500 patients with ADVEXIN therapy, establishing a large safety database. Findings from several of our clinical trials have been published in *Clinical Cancer Research*, *Proceedings of the American Society for Clinical Oncology* as well as presented at numerous conferences, including the San Antonio Breast Cancer Conference in December 2004 and various meetings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology, the American Association for Cancer Research and the American Society of Gene Therapy.

A growing body of data suggests ADVEXIN therapy demonstrates clinical activity in a variety of cancer indications. Safety data from our clinical trials suggest this activity may be achieved without the treatment-limiting side effects frequently associated with many other cancer therapies.

Our clinical trials indicate ADVEXIN therapy is well tolerated as a monotherapy. The addition of ADVEXIN therapy to standard chemotherapy, surgery or radiation does not appear to increase the frequency or severity of side effects normally associated with these treatment regimens.

Recent pre-clinical studies provide new insight into the molecular pathways by which the p53 gene, the active component of ADVEXIN therapy, kills tumor cells. These pre-clinical studies were undertaken to provide additional molecular data supporting the activity observed during the clinical development of ADVEXIN therapy and to provide additional information regarding the specific pathways that mediate the observed clinical effects of ADVEXIN therapy. The studies were conducted by our collaborators at Okayama University in Japan and at The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center and were published in a 2004 issue of *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics*. Other pre-clinical data suggest the enhanced therapeutic effects of a combination of ADVEXIN and Erbitux® therapies in an animal model of human non-small cell lung cancer. Other pre-clinical studies conducted by our collaborators at Wayne State University, the Karmanos Cancer Institute located in Detroit, Michigan and the University of California-Irvine, as published in a 2004 issue of *The Laryngoscope*, show that the combination of ADVEXIN therapy and docetaxel resulted in increased levels of programmed cell death in head and neck tumor cells. Two lung cancer patients, who were part of our ADVEXIN therapy studies program and who had recently celebrated their five-year survival anniversary, were featured in *Conquest* magazine, a publication of M. D. Anderson Cancer Center. In addition, a patient with recurrent head and neck cancer who achieved a complete tumor remission on ADVEXIN therapy continues to be disease-free for over six years while receiving repeated ADVEXIN treatments.

We hold the worldwide rights for pre-clinical and clinical development, manufacturing, marketing and commercialization of ADVEXIN therapy.

Table of Contents***INGN 241 (mda-7)***

INGN 241 uses the mda-7 gene, a promising tumor suppressor gene that we believe, like p53, has broad potential to induce apoptosis or cell death in many types of cancer. We have combined the mda-7 gene product with our adenoviral gene delivery system to form INGN 241. Our pre-clinical trials have shown that the protein produced by INGN 241 suppresses the growth of many cancer cells, including those of the breast, lung, ovaries, colon, prostate and the central nervous system, while not affecting the growth of normal cells. Because INGN 241 kills cancer cells even if other tumor suppressor genes, including p53, are not functioning properly, it appears that mda-7 functions via a novel mechanism of tumor suppression.

We have conducted pre-clinical work indicating that in addition to its known activity as a tumor suppressor gene, the protein produced by the mda-7 gene may also stimulate the body's immune system to kill metastatic tumor cells and to protect the body against cancer, thereby offering the potential of providing an added advantage in treating various cancers because it may attack cancer using two different mechanisms. Because the mda-7 gene product may act as a cytokine, or immune system modulator, it is also known as interleukin-24, or IL-24. The mda-7 gene and the protein it produces may also work as a radiation sensitizer to make several types of human cancer cells more susceptible to radiation therapy, and we have seen evidence of this effect in our pre-clinical work.

We have identified the molecular pathways by which mda-7, the active component of INGN 241, induces growth arrest and programmed cell death or apoptosis in cancer cells. Pre-clinical studies using lung cancer cells have demonstrated that the mda-7 protein binds to a critical cellular enzyme known as PKR. The binding of mda-7 to PKR is essential for the anti-cancer activity of INGN 241. The identification of this binding partner demonstrates a significant advancement in understanding how this therapeutic can be effective against cancer. Additional studies have identified bystander killing of pancreatic cancer cells by the mda-7 protein. Bystander killing involves the killing of neighboring pancreatic tumor cells by the mda-7 protein released from adjacent INGN 241 treated pancreatic cells.

In pre-clinical studies, we have observed that the expression of mda-7 in ovarian cancer cells potently activates a cell death or apoptotic pathway regulated by the Fas signaling system. This activation resulted in significant increases in apoptosis and inhibition of cancer cell proliferation that were specific to cancer cells. These effects were not observed in normal ovarian tissue, supporting previous data that showed a cancer-selective effect of INGN 241. These data are reported in a recent issue of the medical journal *Cancer Research*.

We have published the results of a pre-clinical study indicating INGN 241 may suppress the growth in vivo of non-small cell lung cancer through apoptosis in combination with anti-angiogenesis. The data demonstrate that INGN 241 can inhibit production of the VEGF protein, a potent inducer of angiogenesis, within lung cancer cells, which in turn inhibits tumor angiogenesis, a key requirement for tumor growth.

Pre-clinical work has demonstrated that administration of INGN 241 results in the development of systemic immune responses against tumor cells and suggests that INGN 241 could be used as a novel cancer vaccine. In pre-clinical studies, implantation of INGN 241-treated tumor cells into mice resulted in significant inhibition of tumor growth. Significantly, mice that were immunized with INGN 241-treated cells showed inhibition of tumor growth after a subsequent challenge with additional tumor cells.

We have completed enrollment of a Phase 1/early Phase 2 clinical trial using INGN 241 to evaluate safety, mechanism of action and efficacy in approximately 25 patients with solid tumors. This trial has indicated that in patients with solid tumors, INGN 241 was well tolerated, was biologically active and displayed minimal toxicity associated with its use. We have initiated a Phase 2 clinical trial using INGN 241 in patients with metastatic melanoma.

Data from our Phase 1 trial of INGN 241 in patients with solid tumors, which was recently published in *Molecular Therapy*, demonstrate that direct injection of INGN 241 induced programmed cell death in 100% of the tumors treated, even in patients who had failed prior therapy with other anti-cancer drugs. Clinical responses were observed in 44% of the treated lesions, including complete and partial responses (greater than or equal to 50% reduction in tumor size) in two patients with melanoma. Patients treated with INGN 241 had increases in a subset of

Table of Contents

T-cells that help to destroy cancer cells, which is consistent with the role of the mda-7 protein as a member of the interleukin family of immune stimulating proteins.

Pre-clinical studies with INGN 241 in breast cancer cell lines have shown that treatment with a combination of INGN 241 plus Herceptin induces cell death in Her-2/neu positive breast cancer cells at a rate greater than that seen with either agent alone. In these studies, it was also noted that while Herceptin exhibited no activity on Her-2/neu negative cells, INGN 241 did induce cell death in these cells.

Findings and results arising from our development of INGN 241 have been published in the *Journal of Leukocyte Biology*, *Molecular Therapy*, *Oncogene*, *Surgery*, and *International Immunopharmacology*.

We have an exclusive license to the mda-7 gene for our therapeutic applications from Corixa Corporation. Pre-clinical studies regarding the active component of INGN 241 have included research at The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center and Columbia University.

INGN 225 (p53 vaccine)

As a supplement to our gene-induced therapeutic protein programs, we are developing INGN 225 using the p53 gene to create a highly specific therapeutic cancer vaccine that stimulates a particular type of immune system cell known as a dendritic cell. Research published in *Current Opinion in Drug Discovery & Development* concluded that ADVEXIN therapy can be used with a patient's isolated dendritic cells as an antigen delivery and immune enhancing therapeutic strategy. Pre-clinical testing has shown that the immune system can recognize and kill tumors after treatment with dendritic cells stimulated by the p53 gene, which suggests a vaccine consisting of dendritic cells stimulated by the p53 gene could have broad utility as a treatment for progression of solid tumors.

We are conducting a Phase 1/Phase 2 trial in collaboration with the Moffitt Cancer Center at the University of South Florida in patients with small-cell lung cancer. We are conducting a Phase 1/Phase 2 trial in patients with breast cancer in collaboration with the University of Nebraska. In both trials, INGN 225 is administered after the patients have been treated with standard chemotherapy.

Interim results from the Phase 1/Phase 2 trial in patients with small-cell lung cancer who were previously treated with chemotherapy indicate that 67% of the evaluable patients in the study treated with INGN 225 had objective responses (greater than 50% tumor reduction) to subsequent chemotherapy. Historically the expected objective response rate in these patients to further chemotherapy is between 5% and 15%. We believe the data indicate INGN 225 may sensitize tumors to the effects of platinum and taxane chemotherapies. Of particular interest, patients with highly aggressive disease (termed platinum resistant) showed improved response rates and increased survival compared to historical controls. These findings are consistent with the results observed in lung and breast cancer patients treated with ADVEXIN therapy that increased the expected effects of cisplatin, taxane and doxorubicin chemotherapies. As platinum, taxanes and doxorubicin are among the most common types of cancer chemotherapies, these findings may have important implications for improving the efficacy of these widely utilized cancer treatments.

INGN 234 (p53 topical)

We are developing INGN 234 for the prevention of oral cancers and the treatment of oral leukoplakia. We are conducting a Phase 1/early Phase 2 clinical trial in which p53 is being administered in an oral mouthwash formulation to prevent precancerous oral lesions from developing into cancerous lesions. We are conducting pre-clinical work on other topical administrations of tumor suppressor genes to control or prevent oral or dermal cancers. We are investigating multiple delivery platforms, including both viral and non-viral approaches. We are also investigating combining gene delivery with rinses, patches, ointments and enhancing polymers. We believe the opportunity exists to develop non-toxic treatments for pre-malignant and malignant cells that can be easily exposed to natural biological tumor suppressor and DNA repairing genes.

Table of Contents

INGN 401 (FUS-1)

INGN 401 uses a nanoparticle vector system to deliver the tumor suppressor gene FUS-1, which we exclusively license from M. D. Anderson Cancer Center. Pre-clinical studies have shown that FUS-1, delivered using an adenoviral or a non-viral delivery system through either intravenous (systemic) administration or direct intratumoral injection, significantly inhibits the growth of tumors and greatly reduces the metastatic spread of lung cancer in animals.

Pre-clinical data suggest that INGN 401 may have utility as a monotherapy in lung cancer. We have observed significant inhibition of tumor growth in lung cancer animal models following INGN 401 monotherapy treatment when compared with untreated animals.

INGN 401 has demonstrated synergistic activity with Gefitinib, a novel class of anti-cancer agents that decrease tumor growth by inhibiting growth factor receptors that promote tumor proliferation. While Gefitinib can produce dramatic responses in a small subset of lung cancer patients, most lung cancers are refractory to its effects. The data indicate nanoparticle delivery of INGN 401 can synergize with Gefitinib in killing lung tumor cells resistant to Gefitinib alone. Furthermore, in Gefitinib-sensitive tumors, INGN 401 delivery significantly enhanced anti-cancer activity.

A Phase 1/early Phase 2 clinical trial is ongoing at M. D. Anderson Cancer Center testing INGN 401 in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer who have previously been treated with chemotherapy. Data and findings from our work to develop INGN 401 have been published in *Cancer Gene Therapy* and *Cancer Research*.

INGN 402 and INGN 403 (nanoparticle formulations of p53 and mda-7, respectively)

We are developing two nanoparticle formulations for systemic delivery. INGN 402 contains the p53 tumor suppressor gene and INGN 403 contains the mda-7 tumor suppressor gene, also known as interleukin 24 (IL-24). Early studies with these new nanoparticle drug candidates have demonstrated a good safety profile and promising anti-cancer activity in murine lung tumor models. Data from the mda-7 nanoparticle studies was published in *DNA and Cell Biology*.

INGN 007 (replication-competent viral therapy)

Through our strategic collaboration with VirRx, Inc. (VirRx), we are developing INGN 007, a replication-competent viral therapy in which viruses bind directly to cancer cells, replicate in those cells, and cause those cancer cells to die. Pre-clinical testing in animal models indicates INGN 007 over-expresses a gene that allows the vector to saturate the entire tumor. This testing has demonstrated that INGN 007 has a favorable safety profile and significantly inhibits tumor growth. Findings from this work to develop INGN 007 have been published in *Cancer Research*.

Other Research and Development Programs

We are conducting a number of pre-clinical and research programs involving a variety of therapeutic genes for the treatment of cancer. These programs involve genes that act through diverse mechanisms to inhibit the growth of or kill cancer cells.

We are evaluating additional genes, including BAK, which hold promise as therapeutic candidates. BAK is a pro-apoptotic gene that kills cancer cells. We are working with our collaborators at M. D. Anderson Cancer Center to identify and develop both viral and non-viral vectors containing this gene. We had exclusive rights to use the BAK gene under a license with LXR Biotechnology, Inc., the rights of which were subsequently sold to Tanox, Inc.

We license from M. D. Anderson Cancer Center a group of genes known as the 3p21.3 family of genes. Pre-clinical research performed on these genes by collaborators at The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center and M. D. Anderson Cancer Center suggests that the 3p21.3 genes play a critical role in the suppression of tumor growth in lung and other cancers. This family of genes includes the FUS-1 gene we are testing as INGN 401.

Table of Contents

We are working with M. D. Anderson Cancer Center to further evaluate other 3p21.3 genes as clinically relevant therapeutics.

As a supplement to our gene-induced protein therapy product programs, we are evaluating the development of mebendazole, our first small molecule candidate, which we refer to as INGN 601, for treatment of cancer and other hyperproliferative diseases. The use of the mebendazole compound is approved by the FDA for the oral treatment of parasitic diseases. Pre-clinical work suggests that mebendazole may also be an effective treatment for cancer. The results of pre-clinical investigations involving mebendazole and lung cancer were published in *Clinical Cancer Research* and *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics*. We are working with M. D. Anderson Cancer Center to further evaluate this molecule as a cancer treatment.

We believe our research and development expertise gained from our molecular therapies for cancer is also applicable to other diseases that, like cancer, result from cellular dysfunction and uncontrolled cell growth. As a result, we are conducting research in collaboration with medical institutions to understand the safety and effectiveness of our molecular therapy product candidates in the treatment of other diseases.

Introgen Enabling Technologies

We have a portfolio of technologies, referred to as enabling technologies, for administering gene-based products to patients and for enhancing the effects of these products, which we plan to exploit to develop additional gene-based products to treat cancer and other diseases which, like cancer, result from cellular dysfunction and uncontrolled cell growth.

Viral Delivery Systems

We have demonstrated that ADVEXIN therapy and INGN 241, which use our adenoviral vector system, enter tumor cells and express their proteins despite the body's natural immune response to the adenoviral vector. While the adenoviral vector system used appears to be appropriate for the treatment of cancer by local administration, we have developed a number of additional systems that utilize modified adenoviral vectors for gene delivery. These systems also may be applicable to indications where activity of the gene for disease treatment is required for longer periods of time or where systemic administration may be necessary.

Nanoparticle Systemic Delivery Platform

We have in-licensed and are developing a non-viral, nanoparticle delivery platform as a complementary delivery technology for certain types of cancers, or clinical indications, particularly those that require systemic administration. We are currently using this technology in INGN 401, INGN 402 and INGN 403.

Data published in *DNA and Cell Biology* highlight the potential utility of combining our nanoparticle delivery system with the mda-7 gene for the treatment of lung cancer. The data reported in this publication demonstrate that combining this innovative delivery system with the mda-7 gene results in potent anti-cancer effects and systemic tumor growth inhibition in an animal model of lung cancer. We believe combining potent anti-cancer genes, such as mda-7 or p53, with our nanoparticle delivery system could allow development of clinical strategies to attack metastatic cancers.

Replication-Competent Viral Delivery Systems

Through our strategic collaboration with VirRx, we are developing replication-competent viral therapies in which viruses bind directly to cancer cells, replicate in those cells, and cause those cancer cells to die. This technology forms the basis for our INGN 007 product development. We anticipate pursuing clinical confirmation as to whether this self-amplifying delivery system can complement our existing adenoviral gene delivery system, which is replication disabled, in selected therapeutic scenarios, in applications beyond INGN 007.

Additional Enabling Technologies

Table of Contents

Our research and licensing activities include a number of additional technologies that expand our capabilities. These activities include the following:

Multi-Gene Vector System. This technology is designed to combine multiple genes with a vector. This approach has the potential for use with both viral and non-viral delivery systems to allow the activity of more than one gene at a time for disease treatment.

Pro-Apoptotic Gene Delivery System. This technology is designed to allow the activity of pro-apoptotic, or apoptosis-inducing, genes during treatment only, while temporarily suppressing the ability of the apoptotic gene to kill producer cells during production. This system could facilitate higher volume production of pro-apoptotic agents.

Tissue-Specific Targeting Systems. This technology is designed to limit the activity of the gene for disease treatment to particular cell types. It is intended to be applied to both viral and non-viral vectors.

Manufacturing and Process Development

Commercialization of a molecular and gene-based product requires process methodologies, formulations and quality release assays in order to produce high quality materials at a large scale. We believe the expertise we have developed in the areas of manufacturing and process development represents a competitive advantage. We have developed scale-up methodologies for both upstream and downstream production processes, formulations that are safe and stable, and product release assays that support product quality control.

We own and operate state-of-the-art, manufacturing facilities, including a commercial scale, validated manufacturing facility we believe complies with the FDA's current Good Manufacturing Practices requirements, commonly known as CGMP requirements. We have produced numerous batches of ADVEXIN therapy clinical material for use in our Phase 1, 2 and 3 clinical trials. The design and processes of the facility used for ADVEXIN therapy production have been reviewed with the FDA. We plan to use our facilities for the market launch of ADVEXIN therapy. We also use our facilities to produce INGN 241 and other investigative materials for use in clinical trials of those product candidates.

Patents and Intellectual Property

Our Portfolio

Our success will depend in part on our ability to develop and maintain proprietary aspects of our technology. To this end, we have an intellectual property program directed at developing proprietary rights in technology that we believe may be important to our success. We also rely on a licensing program to ensure continued strong technology development and technology transfer from companies and research institutions with whom we work. We have entered into a number of exclusive license agreements or options with companies and institutions, including M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center, Corixa, Aventis Pharmaceutical Products, Inc. (Aventis), Columbia University, VirRx, Inc. and LXR Biotechnology, Inc. (LXR), with the LXR rights being subsequently sold to Tanox, Inc. In addition to patents, we rely on trade secrets and proprietary know-how, which we seek to protect, in part, through confidentiality and proprietary information agreements.

We currently own or have an exclusive license to a large number of issued and pending United States and foreign patents and patent applications. If we do not seek a patent term extension, the currently issued United States patents that we own or have exclusively licensed will expire between the years 2010 and 2021. The exclusive licenses that give us rights on the patents, and applications that such licenses cover, will expire no earlier than the life of any patent covered under the license.

Adenoviral p53 Compositions and Therapies

In developing our patent portfolio, we have focused our efforts in part on seeking protection for our potential products and how they will be used in the clinical trials. Arising out of our work with M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, we currently have an exclusive license to a number of United States and corresponding international patent

Table of Contents

applications directed to adenoviruses that contain the p53 gene, referred to as adenoviral p53, adenoviral p53 pharmaceutical compositions and the use of adenoviral p53 compositions in various cancer therapies and protocols. One of these applications, directed to the clinical use of adenoviral p53 to treat cancer, has issued as a United States patent. Additionally, various other United States patents have issued to which we have licensed exclusive rights, which are directed to adenoviral p53 compositions in general, adenoviral p53 pharmaceutical compositions, therapeutic applications of adenoviral p53, as well as a patent covering the DNA core of adenoviral p53. We have also exclusively licensed from Aventis a patent application directed to adenoviral p53 and its clinical applications. We also have an exclusive license to a United States patent application and corresponding international applications directed to the use of the p53 gene in the treatment of cancer patients whose tumors express a normal p53 protein.

Combination Therapy with the p53 Gene

Our portfolio development includes seeking protection for clinical therapeutic strategies that combine the use of the p53 gene with traditional cancer therapies. In this regard, also arising out of our work with M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, we have an exclusive license to two issued United States patents, with corresponding international applications, directed to cancer therapy using the p53 gene in combination with DNA-damaging agents such as conventional chemotherapy or radiotherapy. This patent and corresponding international applications concern the therapeutic application of the p53 gene before, during or after chemotherapy or radiotherapy. We have also exclusively licensed from Aventis a United States patent and corresponding international applications directed to therapy using the p53 gene together with taxanes such as Taxol® or Taxotere®. Furthermore, we have exclusively licensed a United States patent application, and corresponding international applications, directed to the use of the p53 gene in combination with surgical intervention in cancer therapy.

Adenovirus Production, Purification and Formulation

Another focus of our research has involved the development of procedures for the commercial scale production of our potential adenoviral-based products, including that of ADVEXIN therapy. In this regard, we own three issued United States patents as well as a number of pending United States applications, and corresponding international applications, directed to highly purified adenoviral compositions, commercial scale processes for producing adenoviral gene-based compositions having a high level of purity, as well as to storage-stable formulations. These applications include procedures for preparing commercial quantities of recombinant adenoviruses for gene-based products and include procedures applicable to the p53 gene, as well as any of the other of our potential gene-based products. We have also licensed from Aventis a United States application and corresponding international applications directed to processes for the production of purified adenoviruses, which are useful for gene-based applications. With respect to storage-stable formulations, we were recently issued a United States patent directed to compositions and methods concerning improved, storage-stable adenovirus formulations. This patent is not limited to our ADVEXIN product candidate and may eventually replace formulations currently in use.

Other Tumor Suppressor Genes

We either own or have exclusively licensed rights in a number of other patents and applications directed to the clinical application of various tumor suppressor genes other than the p53 gene, including the mda-7, BAK, the 3p21.3 gene family (FUS-1) and anti-sense K-ras genes. We have exclusively licensed or optioned rights in a number of issued United States patents covering the use of the mda-7, BAK and PTEN genes.

Other Therapeutic, Composition and Process Technologies

We own or have exclusively licensed a number of United States and international patent applications on a range of additional technologies. These include various applications and patents relating to the p53 gene, combination therapy with 2-methoxyestradiol, anti-proliferative factor technologies, retroviral delivery systems, stimulation of anti-p53, screening and product assurance technologies, as well as second-generation p53 gene molecules. We have exclusively licensed a number of United States and international applications directed to various improved vectors for use in gene-based protocols, gene-based applications employing more than one gene for disease treatment, as well as applications directed to the delivery of genes for disease treatment without the use of a vector, or non-viral therapy. For example, a United States patent, exclusively licensed to us, was recently issued that is directed to

Table of Contents

adenoviruses that exhibit tissue specific replication. We also have exclusive rights in an issued United States patent and corresponding international applications directed to a low toxicity analogue of IL-2, also called F42K.

Benzimidazole Small Molecule Cancer Therapy Program

We also have exclusively licensed a United States and a corresponding international patent application directed to the use of a family of known anti-helminthic benzimidazole molecules, most notably mebendazole, in the treatment of cancer. These applications are directed generally to the use of small molecules of the benzimidazole family to induce apoptosis in cancers, as well as to treat cancer patients, particularly those having p53-related cancers. Both of these therapeutic actions are based on the discovery by our scientists and their collaborators that members of the benzimidazole family will actively induce apoptosis in cancer cells, particularly in conjunction with the action of an endogenous or exogenously added p53 gene.

Financial Overview

Since our inception in 1993, we have used our resources primarily to conduct research and development activities for ADVEXIN therapy and, to a lesser extent, other product candidates. At June 30, 2005, we had an accumulated deficit of \$130.6 million. We anticipate we will incur losses in the future that may be greater than losses incurred in prior periods. At June 30, 2005, we had cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$26.7 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2005, we used \$11.8 million of cash and cash equivalents for operating activities. In addition, we used \$197,000 for purchases of property and equipment and \$362,000 for principal payments on notes payable to support those activities. These uses of cash were offset by the receipt of \$438,000 under notes payable to finance equipment acquisitions and by the receipt of \$478,000 from sales of common stock resulting from stock option exercises. We expect to incur substantial additional operating expenses and losses over the next several years as our research, development, pre-clinical testing and clinical trial activities continue and as we evolve our operations and systems to support commercialization of our product candidates. These losses, among other things, have caused and may cause our total assets, stockholders' equity and working capital to decrease. Currently, we earn revenue or income from federal research grants, contract services and process development activities, the lease of a portion of our facilities to M. D. Anderson Cancer Center and interest income on cash placed in short-term, investment grade securities. In order to fund our operating losses, we will need to raise additional funds through public or private equity offerings, debt financings or additional corporate collaboration and licensing arrangements. We do not know whether such additional financing will be available when needed, or on terms favorable to us or our stockholders.

Mortgage Note Payable

In May 2004, we amended the mortgage note payable related to our facilities. The original \$6.0 million principal balance of our note payable was increased to \$7.8 million. The proceeds from this increase were used to pay in full the principal and interest outstanding on another note payable with an original principal balance of approximately \$3.3 million, which resulted in that other note being retired. In addition to this note retirement, the proceeds from this loan amendment were used to pay the costs related to this transaction of \$96,000 and to add \$668,000 to our cash and cash equivalents. The amended mortgage note payable bears interest at 6.25%. The note is payable in monthly installments of \$56,400 until May 2006. At that time, we may extend the note to a November 2009 maturity date. Upon such extension, the interest rate is modified to the lesser of (a) 2.5% above the five-year U.S. Treasury Bond Note rate or (b) 8.5%, and principal and interest on the note become payable in equal monthly installments based on a 225-month amortization period. The principal balance outstanding on the note's extended maturity date is payable in full at that time.

Acquisition of Magnum Therapeutics Corporation

In October 2004, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of Magnum Therapeutics Corporation (Magnum), a company owned by one of our executive officers at the time of this acquisition. We paid approximately \$1.75 million for the Magnum stock by (1) issuing approximately 252,000 shares of our common stock valued at approximately \$1.48 million at the acquisition date and (2) assuming liabilities of approximately \$272,000. With respect to the common stock we issued for the acquisition, 50% of the shares are held by an

Table of Contents

independent escrow agent for a period of one year subsequent to the acquisition date to satisfy the indemnification obligations of the selling shareholder under terms of the purchase agreement.

Magnum's primary asset is the right to receive funding under a grant from the National Institutes of Health. The grant activities and related funding will supplement research and development programs we have in progress. During the year ended December 31, 2004, we earned \$1.1 million of revenue related to funding under this grant. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, we earned \$145,000 and \$410,000, respectively, of revenue related to funding under this grant. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2004, we earned no revenue under this grant. In the event certain of Magnum's technologies result in commercial products, we may be obligated to pay royalties related to the sales of those products to certain third parties.

The results of Magnum's operations are included with those of the Company for the period subsequent to the October 2004 acquisition date. Since Magnum is a development stage company, this acquisition is accounted for as an asset acquisition and not a business combination.

The total purchase consideration has been allocated to the assets acquired based on their respective fair values at the date of acquisition. The following presents the fair value of the net assets acquired (in thousands):

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9
Acquired grant rights	\$ 1,741

Sales of Common Stock

In December 2004, we sold approximately 3.5 million shares of our common stock in a direct equity offering pursuant to a shelf registration for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$24.3 million. Our net proceeds from this transaction, after related fees and expenses, were approximately \$22.9 million. The shares of common stock issued in this transaction were registered pursuant to a registration statement on Form S-3, effective August 25, 2003 (Commission File No. 333-107799) registering shares of our common stock with an aggregate offering price of \$100.0 million. We may sell additional shares of our common stock pursuant to this registration statement in the future. In connection with this transaction, we will issue warrants to the placement agents representing us in this stock sale allowing them to purchase up to 225,238 shares of our common stock at a price of \$6.65 per share and to purchase up to 88,707 shares of our common stock at a price of \$8.00 per share. These warrants are exercisable beginning in December 2005 and expire in December 2009.

London Stock Exchange

We are evaluating the feasibility of listing our common stock on the London Stock Exchange (LSE), which would be in addition to the listing of our common stock on the NASDAQ National Market System in the United States. We believe an LSE listing would allow us to better leverage our assets on a global basis and, specifically, in Europe and Asia.

Preferred Stock Conversion

In June 2005, the 100,000 issued and outstanding shares of our Series A, non-voting, convertible preferred stock were converted into 2,343,721 shares of our common stock. The shares of preferred stock were cancelled and replaced by newly issued shares of our common stock. We received no cash or other consideration in connection with this conversion. After this conversion, we have 5,000,000 shares of authorized and unissued preferred shares, of which 4,900,000 shares are undesignated and 100,000 shares are designated as Series A, non-voting, convertible preferred shares.

Investment in SR Pharma plc

In July 2005, we purchased approximately 8.3% of the issued share capital of SR Pharma plc for approximately \$3.0 million. SR Pharma plc is a European biotechnology company publicly traded on the Alternative Investment Market of the London Stock Exchange that is developing oncology and other products.

Table of Contents

Research Grants

We have a Small Business Technology Transfer grant from the National Cancer Institute to support our Phase 2 clinical trial of INGN 241 in patients with metastatic melanoma. Provided we perform the work and incur the costs contemplated by this grant, it will provide up to \$1.0 million of aggregate funding in future periods during the course of this clinical trial to evaluate the efficacy and biologic activity of INGN 241 in this indication.

Magnum, our wholly-owned subsidiary, has a Small Business Innovation Research grant from the National Institutes of Health for the development of complementary adenoviral vectors for the treatment of cancer. Provided we perform the work and incur the costs contemplated by this grant, it will provide up to \$768,000 of aggregate funding in future periods.

Critical Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Short-term Investments. Our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments include investments in short-term, investment grade securities, which currently consist primarily of United States federal government obligations. These investments are classified as held-to-maturity and are carried at amortized cost. At any point in time, amortized costs may be greater or less than fair value. If investments are sold prior to maturity, we could incur a realized gain or loss based on the fair market value of the investments at the date of sale. We could incur future losses on investments if the investment issuer becomes impaired or the investment is downgraded.

Intangible Assets. Grant rights acquired, which are presented as an intangible asset on our balance sheet, resulted from our asset acquisition related to the Magnum purchase in October 2004. We amortize that asset to expense on a straight-line basis over the estimated remaining life of that asset.

Research and Development Costs. In conducting our clinical trials of ADVEXIN therapy and other product candidates, we procure services from numerous third-party vendors. The cost of these services constitutes a significant portion of the cost of these trials and of our research and development expenses in general. These vendors do not necessarily provide us billings for their services on a regular basis and, accordingly, are often not a timely source of information to determine the costs we have incurred relative to their services for any given accounting period. As a result, we make significant accounting estimates as to the amount of costs we have incurred relative to these vendors in each accounting period. These estimates are based on numerous factors, including, among others, costs set forth in our contracts with these vendors, the period of time over which the vendor will render the services and the rate of enrollment of patients in our clinical trials. Using these estimates, we record expenses and accrued liabilities in each accounting period that we believe fairly represent our obligations to these vendors. Actual results could differ from these estimates, resulting in increases or decreases in the amount of expense recorded and the related accrual. We have consistently applied these estimation procedures in the past and plan to continue applying such procedures in the same manner during the foreseeable future. Our experience has been that our estimates have reasonably reflected the expenses we actually incur.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 4 in the Unaudited Notes to Condensed Financial Statements in the Financial Statements section above for a discussion of recently issued accounting pronouncements related to stock-based compensation.

Results of Operations

Comparison of Quarters Ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004

Table of Contents

In the following comparison of quarters ended June 30, 2005, and June 30, 2004, references to the 2005 period refer to the three months ended June 30, 2005, and references to the 2004 period refer to the three months ended June 30, 2004.

Revenues

Contract Services, Grant and Other Revenue. For the 2005 period, we earned revenues from (a) research grants from U.S. Government agencies and (b) third parties under agreements to provide manufacturing process development and product production services for them. In the 2004 period, we earned revenue from (a) research grants from U.S. Government agencies and (b) contract research services provided to Aventis, one of our stockholders, under an agreement through which Aventis provided funding for the conduct of a Phase 2 clinical trial of ADVEXIN therapy in breast cancer. Total contract services, grant and other revenue was \$336,000 for the 2005 period compared to \$273,000 for the 2004 period, an increase of 23%. This increase was primarily due to (1) revenue earned under the Small Business Innovation Research grant held by Magnum, our wholly-owned subsidiary, as a result of our acquisition of Magnum in October 2004, which is revenue we did not earn in the 2004 period and (2) increased contract services revenues from third parties under agreements to provide manufacturing process development and product production services for them.

Costs and Expenses

Research and Development. Research and development expenses were \$5.7 million for the 2005 period, compared to \$5.9 million for the 2004 period. These expenses included share-based payments expense of \$396,000 in the 2005 period and zero in the 2004 period. This 3% decrease in research and development expenses was a result of lower costs in the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period related to our ongoing work on the Biologics License Application (BLA) for ADVEXIN therapy for filing with the FDA as a result of the 2004 period, including certain activities related to the initial stages of the BLA preparation that were not repeated in the 2005 period. These lower costs were partially offset by (1) increased manufacturing and process development costs in the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period as we continued to develop our manufacturing operations in support of the BLA for ADVEXIN therapy and in support of the production of clinical materials for use in clinical trials for our ADVEXIN therapy and other product candidates and (2) higher share-based payments expense in the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period which increased for the reasons discussed below under Share-Based Payments Expense.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses were \$2.0 million for the 2005 period compared to \$2.1 million for the 2004 period. These expenses included share-based payments expense of \$315,000 in the 2005 period and \$8,000 in the 2004 period. This 5% decrease in general and administrative expenses was due to (1) an overall decrease in the amount of general and administrative support required to operate our business, which is consistent with the decrease in research and development expenses described above, and (2) decreased costs related to securities offerings not pursued to completion in the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period. These decreases were partially offset by higher share-based payments expense, which increased for the reasons discussed below under Share-Based Payments Expense.

Share-Based Payments Expense. Share-based payments expense was \$711,000 for the 2005 period compared to \$8,000 for the 2004 period. The share-based payments expense for the 2005 period includes \$415,000 of stock-based employee compensation expense, \$249,000 of federal taxes related to the common stock grants discussed in Note 9 of the Unaudited Notes to Condensed Financial Statements in the Financial Statements section above and \$47,000 related to non-employee stock compensation expense. The 87% increase in this expense was primarily due to (1) the grant of shares of our common stock to certain officers in the 2005 period (for which there was no similar transaction during the 2004 period) as a result of the expiration of certain of their stock options as discussed in Note 9 of the Unaudited Notes to Condensed Financial Statements in the Financial Statements section above and (2) increased expense related to certain stock options granted to non-employees in the 2004 period (for which there was no similar transaction during the 2005 period) as a result of the market price of our common stock being generally higher during the 2005 period than during the 2004 period.

See Note 4 of the Unaudited Notes to Condensed Financial Statements in the Financial Statements section above for a discussion of our application of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123,

Table of Contents

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation and the expected future effects of our adoption of SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment.

In addition to the future effects of our adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the amount of share-based payments expense we record in future periods may increase if, for example, (1) we issue additional options to purchase shares of our common stock at a price below the market price of common stock on the grant date of such options, (2) the market value of our common stock increases or (3) additional options are granted to individuals or entities other than employees or directors.

Interest Income, Interest Expense and Other Income

Interest income was \$193,000 for the 2005 period compared to \$59,000 for the 2004 period, an increase of 227%. This increase was primarily due to (1) an increase in our short-term investments versus cash and cash equivalents, (2) a higher average balance of cash and cash equivalents in the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period and (3) higher interest rates earned on our invested funds during the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period.

Interest expense was \$158,000 for the 2005 period compared to \$94,000 for the 2004 period, an increase of 68%. This increase was primarily due to additional borrowings subsequent to the 2004 period to finance equipment acquisitions.

Other income was \$274,000 for the 2005 period compared to \$306,000 for the 2004 period, a decrease of 10%. This income is earned primarily from our sublease of space to M. D. Anderson Cancer Center. This decrease was due to normal variations in the amount and timing of our billing to this lessee of the portion of the operating expenses of our facilities they are required to pay.

Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004

In the following comparison of the six months ended June 30, 2005, and June 30, 2004, references to the 2005 period refer to the six months ended June 30, 2005 and references to the 2004 period refer to the six months ended June 30, 2004.

Revenues

Contract Services, Grant and Other Revenue. For the 2005 period, we earned revenues from (a) research grants from U.S. Government agencies and (b) third parties under agreements to provide manufacturing process development and product production services for them. In the 2004 period, we earned revenue from (a) research grants from U.S. Government agencies and (b) contract research services provided to Aventis, one of our stockholders, under an agreement through which Aventis provided funding for the conduct of a Phase 2 clinical trial of ADVEXIN therapy in breast cancer. Total contract services, grant and other revenue was \$845,000 for the 2005 period compared to \$382,000 for the 2004 period, an increase of 121%. This increase was primarily due to (1) revenue earned under the Small Business Innovation Research grant held by Magnum, our wholly-owned subsidiary, as a result of our acquisition of Magnum in October 2004, which is revenue we did not earn in the 2004 period and (2) increased contract services revenues from third parties under agreements to provide manufacturing process development and product production services for them.

Costs and Expenses

Research and Development. Research and development expenses were \$10.9 million for the 2005 period, compared to \$10.2 million for the 2004 period. These expenses included share-based payments expense of \$396,000 in the 2005 period and \$44,000 in the 2004 period. This 7% increase in research and development expenses was a result of (1) increased manufacturing and process development costs in the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period as we continued to develop our manufacturing operations in support of the BLA for ADVEXIN therapy and in support of the production of clinical materials for use in clinical trials for our ADVEXIN therapy and other product candidates and (2) higher share-based payments expense in the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period, which increased for the reasons discussed below under Share-Based Payments Expense.

Table of Contents

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses were \$3.8 million for the 2005 period compared to \$3.6 million for the 2004 period. These expenses included share-based payments expense of \$403,000 in the 2005 period and \$47,000 in the 2004 period. This 6% increase in general and administrative expenses was due to higher share-based payments expense, which increased for the reasons discussed below under *Share-Based Payments Expense*, offset by decreased costs related to securities offerings not pursued to completion in the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period.

Share-Based Payments Expense. Share-based payments expense was \$799,000 for the 2005 period compared to \$91,000 for the 2004 period. The share-based payments expense for the 2005 period includes \$415,000 of stock-based employee compensation expense, \$249,000 of federal taxes related to the common stock grants discussed in Note 9 of the Unaudited Notes to Condensed Financial Statements in the *Financial Statements* section above and \$135,000 related to non-employee stock compensation expense. The 778% increase in this expense was primarily due to (1) the grant of shares of our common stock to certain officers in the 2005 period (for which there was no similar transaction during the 2004 period) as a result of the expiration of certain of their stock options as discussed in Note 9 of the Unaudited Notes to Condensed Financial Statements in the *Financial Statements* section above and (2) increased expense related to certain stock options granted to non-employees in the 2004 period (for which there was no similar transaction during the 2005 period) as a result of the market price of our common stock being generally higher during the 2005 period than during the 2004 period.

See Note 4 of the Unaudited Notes to Condensed Financial Statements in the *Financial Statements* section above for a discussion of our application of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* and the expected future effects of our adoption of SFAS No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment*.

In addition to the future effects of our adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the amount of share-based payments expense we record in future periods may increase if, for example, (1) we issue additional options to purchase shares of our common stock at a price below the market price of common stock on the grant date of such options., (2) the market value of our common stock increases or (3) additional options are granted to individuals or entities other than employees or directors.

Interest Income, Interest Expense and Other Income

Interest income was \$377,000 for the 2005 period compared to \$126,000 for the 2004 period, an increase of 199%. This increase was primarily due to (1) an increase in our short-term investments versus cash and cash equivalents, (2) a higher average balance of cash and cash equivalents in the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period and (3) higher interest rates earned on our invested funds during the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period.

Interest expense was \$308,000 for the 2005 period compared to \$228,000 for the 2004 period, an increase of 35%. This increase was primarily due to additional borrowings subsequent to the 2004 period to finance equipment acquisitions.

Other income was \$549,000 for the 2005 period compared to \$556,000 for the 2004 period, a decrease of 1%. This income is earned primarily from our sublease of space to M. D. Anderson Cancer Center. This decrease was due to normal variations in the amount of our billing to this lessee of the portion of the operating expenses of our facilities they are required to pay.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

In the following discussion of liquidity and capital resources, references to the 2005 period refer to the six months ended June 30, 2005 and references to the 2004 period refer to the six months ended June 30, 2004.

Table of Contents

We have incurred annual operating losses since our inception, and at June 30, 2005 we had an accumulated deficit of \$130.6 million. From inception through June 30, 2005 we have financed our operations primarily from the following sources:

- \$49.7 million of collaborative research and development payments from Aventis;
- \$41.4 million of equity sales in December 2003 and December 2004 through registered direct offerings under a shelf registration filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission;
- \$39.4 million of private equity sales to Aventis;
- \$32.2 million of net proceeds from our initial public offering in October 2000;
- \$26.6 million of private equity sales, net of offering costs, to others (including \$10.8 million from the private sale of our common stock in June 2003);
- \$17.2 million from contract services, grants, interest and other income;
- \$9.9 million in mortgage financing from banks for our facilities;
- \$7.5 million of sales of ADVEXIN therapy product to Aventis for use in later-stage clinical trials; and
- \$5.5 million in leases and notes payable from commercial lessors and lenders to acquire equipment pledged as collateral for those leases and notes.

At June 30, 2005, we had cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$26.7million, compared to \$38.2 million at December 31, 2004. Cash and cash equivalents constituted \$6.7 million and \$30.2 million of these amounts at June 30 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. This decrease in cash and cash equivalents at June 30, 2005 as compared to December 31, 2004 was due to activity during the six months ended June 30, 2005, that included (1) \$11.8 million used in operating activities, (2) \$12.3 million used by investing activities and (3) \$554,000 provided by financing activities. We expect to continue to focus our activities primarily on conducting Phase 3 and other clinical trials, conducting data analysis related to those trials, preparing regulatory documentation submissions to the FDA, producing ADVEXIN therapy and other clinical materials for use in our clinical trials and conducting pre-marketing activities for ADVEXIN therapy. We expect to continue our research and development of various other gene-based technologies. If ADVEXIN therapy or any of our other product candidates are approved for commercial sale by the FDA, we expect to conduct activities supporting the marketing, sales, production and distribution of those products, either ourselves or in collaboration with other parties. The majority of our expenditures for the foreseeable future will most likely be for these activities as they relate to ADVEXIN therapy. These activities may increase the rate at which we use cash in the future as compared to the cash we used for operating activities during the six months ended June 30, 2005. We believe our existing working capital can fund our operations for the next 15 to 18 months, although we may have to make adjustments to the scope of operations to achieve that objective, and unforeseen events could shorten that time period. Our existing resources may not be sufficient to support the commercial introduction of any of our product candidates. In order to fund our operating losses, we will need to raise additional funds through public or private equity offerings, debt financings or additional corporate collaboration and licensing arrangements. We do not know whether such additional financing will be available when needed or on terms favorable to us or our stockholders.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$11.8 million for the 2005 period compared to \$10.8 million for the 2004 period. This increase was due to:

- A larger net loss during the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period, plus

- An aggregate decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities during the 2005 period compared to an aggregate increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities during the 2004 period due to variations in the timing of payments to vendors that is a function of the nature of vendors to whom we have obligations and variations in the terms of payment to them;

Table of Contents

With the sum of the above items offset by:

Depreciation that increased in the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period due to acquisitions of property and equipment subsequent to the 2004 period;

Share-based payments expense that increased in the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period for the reasons discussed above under Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004 ;

Amortization of grant rights acquired during the 2005 period for which there was no similar expense during the 2004 period since the rights being amortized arose in connection with our acquisition of Magnum in October 2004;

A decrease in other assets during the 2005 period compared to an increase in other assets during the 2004 period due to (1) a prepayment of certain expenses in the 2004 period that did not occur in the 2005 period and (2) a decrease in federal grant funding receivable during the 2005 period compared to an increase in federal grant funding receivable during the 2004 period due to the receipt in the 2005 period of grant funding earned during that period whereas grant funding earned during the 2004 period was received in subsequent periods; and

An increase in deferred revenue during the 2005 period that was greater than the increase during the 2004 period due to (1) an increase in payments from third parties in advance of us performing work under agreements to provide manufacturing process development services for them and (2) an increase in funding received under research grants related to prepaid expenses for which the recognition of the expense and the related grant revenue is deferred to future periods.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$12.3 million for the 2005 period compared to \$11.1 million for the 2004 period. This increase was primarily due to (1) a higher level of equipment purchases in the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period, primarily in support of the continuing development of our manufacturing activities, and (2) a higher level of net activity in purchases and maturities of short-term investments in the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period due to a higher concentration of investing activity in government-backed securities with longer maturities in the 2005 period as a result of the availability for investing of the proceeds from our sale of common stock in December 2004.

We have no obligations at this time to purchase significant amounts of additional property or equipment, but our needs may change. It may be necessary for us to purchase larger amounts of property and equipment to support our clinical programs and other research, development and manufacturing activities. We may need to obtain debt or lease financing to facilitate such purchases. If that financing is not available, we may need to use our existing resources to fund those purchases, which could result in a reduction in the cash and cash equivalents available to fund operating activities.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$554,000 during the 2005 period compared to \$531,000 during the 2004 period. This change was due to:

Higher proceeds from sales of common stock due to a higher level of stock option exercises in the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period;

A decrease in proceeds from notes payable in the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period due to the amendment of a mortgage note in the 2004 period, as discussed in the Financial Overview section above, resulting in additional financing greater than that obtained during the 2005 period for recent equipment acquisitions; and

A decrease in principal payments under notes payable and capital leases in the 2005 period compared to the 2004 period due to several capital lease obligations outstanding at the beginning of the 2004 period becoming fully paid during that period.

Table of Contents

We have an agreement with VirRx, which began in 2002, to purchase shares of VirRx's Series A Preferred Stock. Key activity and provisions under this agreement include the following:

During the six months ended June 30, 2005, we purchased \$300,000 of VirRx's Series A Preferred Stock for cash. These purchases are recorded as research and development expense. We have agreed to purchase an additional \$150,000 of this stock for cash on the first day of each quarter through January 1, 2006.

VirRx is required to use the proceeds from these stock sales in accordance with the terms of a collaboration and license agreement between VirRx and us for the development of VirRx's technologies. We may unilaterally terminate this collaboration and license agreement with 90 days prior notice, which would also terminate the requirement for us to make any additional stock purchases.

Provided the collaboration and license agreement remains in place, we are required to make additional milestone stock purchases, either for cash or through the issuance of our common stock, upon the completion of Phase 1, Phase 2 and Phase 3 clinical trials involving technologies licensed under this agreement. We are required to make a \$5.0 million cash milestone payment to VirRx, for which we receive no VirRx stock, upon approval by the FDA of a BLA for the first collaboration product based on these technologies. To the extent we have already made cash milestone payments, we may receive a credit of 50% of the Phase 2 clinical trial milestone payments and 25% of the Phase 3 clinical trial milestone payments against this \$5.0 million cash milestone payment. The additional milestone stock purchases and cash payment are not anticipated to be required in the near future. We have an option to purchase all outstanding shares of VirRx at any time until March 2007.

We have fixed debt service obligations under notes payable for which the liability is reflected on our balance sheet. We used the proceeds from these notes payable to finance facilities and equipment. Aggregate payments due under these obligations are as follows (in thousands):

Total debt service payments for July 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005:	\$ 587
Total debt service due during the year ended December 31:	
2006	1,118
2007	1,018
2008	721
2009	675
Thereafter	10,155
Total debt service payments	14,274
Less portion representing interest	(5,724)
Total principal balance at June 30, 2005	\$ 8,550
Principal balance presented on the June 30, 2005 balance sheet as liabilities in these categories:	
Current portion of notes payable	\$ 601
Notes payable, net of current portion	7,949
Total principal balance at June 30, 2005	\$ 8,550

We have a fixed rent obligation under a ground lease for the land on which we built our facilities. Since this is an operating lease, there is no liability reflected on our balance sheet for this item, which is in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. We make total annual rent payments of at least \$144,000 under this lease which will continue until the expiration of the initial term of this lease in September 2026. Such payments are subject to adjustment in the future for inflation. Future minimum annual rental payments due under all operating leases, including a lease for office space currently being negotiated that we intend to enter into, are as follows (in thousands):

July 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005	\$ 205
Year ending December 31,	

2006	337
2007	260
2008	219

Table of Contents

2009	144
Thereafter	2,418
Total minimum lease payments under operating leases	\$ 3,583

In the normal course of business, we enter into various long-term agreements with vendors to provide services to us. Some of these agreements require up-front payment prior to services being rendered, some require periodic monthly payments and some provide for the vendor to bill us for their services as they are rendered. In substantially all cases, we may cancel these agreements at any time with minimal or no penalty and pay the vendor only for services actually rendered. Regardless of the timing of the payments under these agreements, we record the expenses incurred in the periods in which the services are rendered.

Pursuant to a consulting agreement, we pay consulting fees of approximately \$175,000 per annum to EJ Financial Enterprises, Inc., a company owned by the Chairman of our Board of Directors. EJ Financial Enterprises, Inc. provides us guidance on strategic product development, business development and marketing activities. We are obligated to continue paying this fee until we terminate the services of that company at our option.

We have a consulting agreement with Jack A. Roth, M.D., Chairman of the Department of Thoracic Surgery and Director of the Keck Center for Gene Therapy at The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center. Dr. Roth is the primary inventor of the technology upon which our ADVEXIN therapy is based and numerous other technologies we utilize. We licensed Dr. Roth's inventions from M. D. Anderson Cancer Center. Dr. Roth is our Chief Medical Advisor and chairman of our scientific advisory board. His duties involve the regular interaction and consultation with our scientists and others on our behalf. As compensation for his services and responsibilities, this consulting agreement provides for payments to Dr. Roth of \$200,000 per annum through the end of its term on September 30, 2009, with such future payments subject to adjustment for inflation. We may terminate this agreement at our option upon one year's advance notice. If we had terminated this agreement as of June 30, 2005, we would have been obligated to make final payments totaling \$200,000. Dr. Roth is one of our stockholders.

We have a consulting agreement with the placement agent and investment advisor who assisted us with the sale of our common stock in December 2004. We will pay them a fee of \$25,000 per month through November 2005 in consideration for their ongoing assistance with business development and financial matters.

We sublease a portion of our facilities to M.D. Anderson Cancer Center under a lease with a non-cancelable term that expires in 2009. M.D. Anderson Cancer Center is obligated to pay us rent and facilities operating expense reimbursements of approximately \$94,000 per month until February 2006 and \$29,000 per month thereafter.

Risk Factors

If we are unable to commercialize ADVEXIN® therapy in various markets for multiple indications, particularly for the treatment of head and neck cancer, our business will be harmed.

Our ability to achieve and sustain operating profitability depends on our ability to successfully commercialize ADVEXIN therapy in various markets for multiple indications, which depends in large part on our ability to commence, execute and complete clinical programs and obtain regulatory approvals for ADVEXIN therapy and other drug candidates. In particular, our ability to achieve and sustain profitability will depend in large part on our ability to commercialize ADVEXIN therapy for the treatment of head and neck cancer in the United States. We cannot assure you we will receive approval for ADVEXIN therapy for the treatment of head and neck cancer or other types of cancer or indications in the United States or in other countries or if approved that we will achieve significant level of sales. If we are unable to do so, our business will be harmed.

If we fail to comply with FDA requirements or encounter delays or difficulties in clinical trials for our product candidates, we may not obtain regulatory approval of some or all of our product candidates on a timely basis, if at all.

In order to commercialize our product candidates, we must obtain certain regulatory approvals. Satisfaction of regulatory requirements typically takes many years, and involves compliance with requirements covering research and development, testing, manufacturing, quality control, labeling and promotion of drugs for human use. To obtain

Table of Contents

regulatory approvals, we must, among other requirements, complete clinical trials demonstrating our product candidates are safe and effective for a particular cancer type or other disease. Regulatory approval of a new drug is never guaranteed. The FDA has substantial discretion in the approval process. Despite the time and experience exerted, failure can occur at any stage, and we could encounter problems causing us to abandon clinical trials.

We have completed three Phase 2 clinical trials and are conducting two Phase 3 clinical trials of our lead product candidate, ADVEXIN therapy, for the treatment of head and neck cancer. In addition, we have completed a Phase 2 clinical trial of ADVEXIN therapy for the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer and are conducting a Phase 2 clinical trial of ADVEXIN therapy for the treatment of breast cancer. We also are conducting or have conducted several Phase 1 and Phase 2 clinical trials of ADVEXIN therapy for other types of cancer. Current or future clinical trials may demonstrate ADVEXIN therapy is neither safe nor effective.

While we have completed enrollment of patients with metastatic melanoma in a Phase 1/early Phase 2 clinical trial of INGN 241, a product candidate based on the mda-7 gene, and have initiated a follow-on Phase 2 clinical trial of INGN 241 for the same indication, our most significant clinical trial activity and experience has been with ADVEXIN therapy. We will need to continue conducting significant research and animal testing, referred to as pre-clinical testing, to support performing clinical trials for our other product candidates. It will take us many years to complete pre-clinical testing and clinical trials, and failure could occur at any stage of testing. Current or future clinical trials may demonstrate INGN 241 or our other product candidates are neither safe nor effective.

Any delays or difficulties we encounter in our pre-clinical research and clinical trials, in particular the Phase 3 clinical trials of ADVEXIN therapy for the treatment of head and neck cancer, may delay or preclude regulatory approval. Our product development costs will increase if we experience delays in testing or regulatory approvals or if we need to perform more or larger clinical trials than planned. Any delay or preclusion could also delay or preclude the commercialization of ADVEXIN therapy or any other product candidates. In addition, we or the FDA might delay or halt any of our clinical trials of a product candidate at any time for various reasons, including:

- the product candidate is less effective and/or more toxic than current therapies;
- the presence of unforeseen adverse side effects of a product candidate, including its delivery system;
- a longer than expected time required to determine whether or not a product candidate is effective;
- the death of patients during a clinical trial, even if the product candidate did not cause those deaths;
- the failure to enroll a sufficient number of patients in our clinical trials;
- the inability to produce sufficient quantities of a product candidate to complete the trials; or
- the inability to commit the necessary resources to fund the clinical trials.

We cannot be certain the results we observed in our pre-clinical testing will be confirmed in clinical trials or the results of any of our clinical trials will support FDA approval. Pre-clinical and clinical data can be interpreted in many different ways, and FDA officials could interpret differently data we consider promising, which could halt or delay our clinical trials or prevent regulatory approval.

Despite the FDA's designation of ADVEXIN therapy as a Fast Track product, we may encounter delays in the regulatory approval process due to additional information requirements from the FDA, unintentional omissions in our BLA for ADVEXIN therapy, or other delays in the FDA's review process. We may encounter delays or rejections in the regulatory approval process because of additional government regulation from future legislation or administrative action or changes in FDA policy during the period of product development, clinical trials and FDA regulatory review.

Despite the initiation of the BLA process for ADVEXIN therapy under the FDA's accelerated approval regulations, the FDA could determine that accelerated approval is not warranted and that a traditional BLA filing must be made. Such a determination could delay regulatory approval. Additionally, accelerated approval of an

Table of Contents

application could be subject to Phase 4 or post-approval studies to validate the surrogate endpoint or confirm the effect on the clinical endpoint. Failure to validate a surrogate endpoint or confirm a clinical benefit during post-marketing studies could cause the product to be withdrawn from the market by the FDA on an expedited basis.

Even if our products are approved by regulatory authorities, if we fail to comply with ongoing regulatory requirements, or if we experience unanticipated problems with our products, these products could be subject to restrictions or withdrawal from the market.

Any product for which we obtain marketing approval, along with the manufacturing processes, post-approval clinical data and promotional activities for such product, will be subject to continual review and periodic inspections by the FDA and other regulatory bodies. Even if regulatory approval of a product is granted, the approval may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed or certain requirements for costly post-marketing testing and surveillance to monitor the safety or efficacy of the product. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with our products, including unanticipated adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, manufacturer or manufacturing processes or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may result in restrictions on such products or manufacturing processes, withdrawal of the products from the market, voluntary or mandatory recall, fines, suspension of regulatory approvals, product seizures or detention, injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

Failure to comply with foreign regulatory requirements governing human clinical trials and marketing approval for drugs could prevent us from selling our products in foreign markets, which may adversely affect our operating results and financial conditions.

For marketing drugs and biologics outside the United States, the requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary greatly from country to country and may require additional testing. The time required to obtain approvals outside the United States may differ from that required to obtain FDA approval. We may not obtain foreign regulatory approval on a timely basis, if at all. Approval by the FDA does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries, and approval by one foreign regulatory authority does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries or by the FDA. Failure to comply with these regulatory requirements or to obtain required approvals could impair our ability to develop these markets and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

We have a history of operating losses, expect to incur significant additional operating losses and may never become profitable.

We have generated operating losses since we began operations in June 1993. As of June 30, 2005, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$130.6 million. We expect to incur substantial additional operating expenses and losses over the next several years as our research, development, pre-clinical testing and clinical trial activities increase. As we expand our operations and develop systems to support commercialization of our product candidates, these losses, among other things, have had, and are expected to continue to have, an adverse impact on our total assets, stockholders' equity and working capital.

We have no products that have generated any commercial revenue. Presently, we earn minimal revenue from contract services activities, grants, interest income and rent from the lease of a portion of our facilities to M. D. Anderson Cancer Center. We do not expect to generate revenues from the commercial sale of products in the near future, and we may never generate revenues from the commercial sale of products.

If we continue to incur operating losses for a period longer than we anticipate and fail to obtain the capital necessary to fund our operations, we will be unable to advance our development program and complete our clinical trials.

Developing a new drug and conducting clinical trials is expensive. Our product development efforts may not lead to commercial products, either because our product candidates fail to be found safe or effective in clinical trials or because we lack the necessary financial or other resources or relationships to pursue our programs through commercialization. Our capital and future revenues may not be sufficient to support the expenses of our operations, the development of commercial infrastructure and the conduct of our clinical trials and pre-clinical research.

Table of Contents

We expect we will fund our operations over approximately the next 15 to 18 months with our current working capital, which we accumulated primarily from sale of equity securities, income from contract services and research grants, debt financing of equipment acquisitions, the lease of a portion of our facilities to M. D. Anderson Cancer Center and interest on invested funds. We may need to raise additional capital sooner, however, under various circumstances, including if we experience:

- an acceleration of the number, size or complexity of our clinical trials;
- slower than expected progress in developing ADVEXIN therapy, INGN 241 or other product candidates;
- higher than expected costs to obtain regulatory approvals;
- higher than expected costs to pursue our intellectual property strategy;
- higher than expected costs to further develop and scale up our manufacturing capability;
- higher than expected costs to develop our sales and marketing capability;
- faster than expected rate of progress and cost of our research and development and clinical trial activities;
- a decrease in the amount and timing of milestone payments we receive from collaborators;
- higher than expected costs of preparing an application for FDA approval of ADVEXIN therapy;
- higher than expected costs of developing the processes and systems to support FDA approval of ADVEXIN therapy;
- an increase in our timetable and costs for the development of marketing operations and other activities related to the commercialization of ADVEXIN therapy and our other product candidates;
- a change in the degree of success in our Phase 3 clinical trial of ADVEXIN therapy and in the clinical trials of our other products;
- the emergence of competing technologies and other adverse market developments; or
- changes in or terminations of our existing collaboration and licensing arrangements.

We do not know whether additional financing will be available when needed or on terms favorable to us or our stockholders. We may need to raise any necessary funds through public or private equity offerings, debt financings or additional corporate collaboration and licensing arrangements. To the extent we raise additional capital by issuing equity securities, our stockholders will experience dilution. If we raise funds through debt financings, we may become subject to restrictive covenants. To the extent we raise additional funds through collaboration and licensing arrangements, we may be required to relinquish some rights to our technologies or product candidates, or grant licenses on terms not favorable to us. If we are not able to raise additional funds, we may have to delay, reduce or eliminate our clinical trials and our development programs.

If we cannot maintain our existing corporate and academic arrangements and enter into new arrangements, we may be unable to develop products effectively, or at all.

Our strategy for the research, development and commercialization of our product candidates may result in our entering into contractual arrangements with corporate collaborators, academic institutions and others. We have entered into sponsored research, license and/or collaborative arrangements with several entities, including M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, the National Cancer Institute, Chiba University in Japan, VirRx and Corixa Corporation, as well as numerous other institutions that conduct clinical trials work or perform pre-clinical research for us. Our success depends upon our collaborative partners performing their responsibilities under these arrangements and

Table of Contents

complying with the regulations and requirements governing clinical trials. We cannot control the amount and timing of resources our collaborative partners devote to our research and testing programs or product candidates, or their compliance with regulatory requirements which can vary because of factors unrelated to such programs or product candidates. These relationships may in some cases be terminated at the discretion of our collaborative partners with only limited notice to us. We may not be able to maintain our existing arrangements, enter into new arrangements or negotiate current or new arrangements on acceptable terms, if at all. Some of our collaborative partners may also be researching competing technologies independently from us to treat the diseases targeted by our collaborative programs.

If we are not able to create effective collaborative marketing relationships, we may be unable to market ADVEXIN therapy successfully or in a cost-effective manner.

To effectively market our products, we will need to develop sales, marketing and distribution capabilities. In order to develop or otherwise obtain these capabilities, we may have to enter into marketing, distribution or other similar arrangements with third parties in order to sell, market and distribute our products successfully. To the extent we enter into any such arrangements with third parties, our product revenues are likely to be lower than if we directly marketed and sold our products, and any revenues we receive will depend upon the efforts of such third parties. We have no experience in marketing or selling pharmaceutical products and we currently have no sales, marketing or distribution capability. We may be unable to develop sufficient sales, marketing and distribution capabilities to commercialize our products successfully.

Serious and unexpected side effects attributable to gene therapy may result in governmental authorities imposing additional regulatory requirements or a negative public perception of our products.

ADVEXIN therapy and most of our other product candidates under development could be broadly described as gene therapies. A number of clinical trials are being conducted by other pharmaceutical companies involving gene therapy, including compounds similar to, or competitive with, our product candidates. The announcement of adverse results from these clinical trials, such as serious unwanted and unexpected side effects attributable to treatment, or any response by the FDA to such clinical trials, may impede the timing of our clinical trials, delay or prevent us from obtaining regulatory approval or negatively influence public perception of our product candidates, which could harm our business and results of operations and depress the value of our stock.

The United States Senate has held hearings concerning the adequacy of regulatory oversight of gene therapy clinical trials, as well as the adequacy of research subject education and protection in clinical research in general, and to determine whether additional legislation is required to protect volunteers and patients who participate in such clinical trials. The Recombinant DNA Advisory Committee, or RAC, which acts as an advisory body to the National Institutes of Health, has expanded its public role in evaluating important public and ethical issues in gene therapy clinical trials. Implementation of any additional review and reporting procedures or other additional regulatory measures could increase the costs of or prolong our product development efforts or clinical trials.

We report to the FDA and other regulatory agencies serious adverse events, including those we believe may be reasonably related to the treatments administered in our clinical trials. Such serious adverse events, whether treatment-related or not, could result in negative public perception of our treatments and require additional regulatory review or measures, which could increase the cost of or prolong our clinical trials.

The FDA has not approved any gene therapy product or gene-induced product for sale in the United States. The commercial success of our products will depend in part on public acceptance of the use of gene therapy products or gene-induced products, which are a new type of disease treatment for the prevention or treatment of human diseases. Public attitudes may be influenced by claims that gene therapy products or gene-induced products are unsafe, and these treatment methodologies may not gain the acceptance of the public or the medical community. Negative public reaction to gene therapy products or gene-induced products could also result in greater government regulation and stricter clinical trial oversight.

We cannot predict the safety profile of the use of ADVEXIN therapy when used in combination with other therapies.

Table of Contents

Many of our trials involve the use of ADVEXIN therapy in combination with other drugs or therapies. While the data we have evaluated to date suggest ADVEXIN therapy does not increase the adverse effects of other therapies, we cannot predict if this outcome will continue to be true or whether possible adverse side effects not directly attributable to the other drugs will compromise the safety profile of ADVEXIN therapy when used in certain combination therapies.

If we fail to adequately protect our intellectual property rights, our competitors may be able to take advantage of our research and development efforts to develop competing drugs.

Our commercial success will depend in part on obtaining patent protection for our products and other technologies and successfully defending these patents against third-party challenges. Our patent position, like that of other biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, is highly uncertain. One uncertainty is the United States Patent and Trademark Office, or PTO, or the courts, may deny or significantly narrow claims made under patents issued to us or patent applications we file. This is particularly true for patent applications or patents that concern biotechnology and pharmaceutical technologies, such as ours, since the PTO and the courts often consider these technologies to involve unpredictable sciences. Another uncertainty is any patents that may be issued or licensed to us may not provide any competitive advantage to us because they may not effectively preclude others from developing and marketing products like ours. Also, our patents may be successfully challenged, invalidated or circumvented in the future. In addition, our competitors, many of which have substantial resources and have made significant investments in competing technologies, may seek to apply for and obtain patents that will prevent, limit or interfere with our ability to make, use and sell our potential products either in the United States or in international markets.

Our ability to develop and protect a competitive position based on our biotechnological innovations, innovations involving genes, gene-induced therapeutic protein agents, viruses for delivering the genes to cells, formulations, gene therapy delivery systems not involving viruses, and the like, is particularly uncertain. Due to the unpredictability of the biotechnological sciences, the PTO, as well as patent offices in other jurisdictions, has often required patent applications concerning biotechnology-related inventions to be limited or narrowed substantially to cover only the specific innovations exemplified in the patent application, thereby limiting their scope of protection against competitive challenges. Similarly, courts have invalidated or significantly narrowed many key patents in the biotechnology industry. Thus, even if we are able to obtain patents covering commercially significant innovations, our patents may not be upheld or our patents may be substantially narrowed.

Through our exclusive license from The University of Texas System for technology developed at M. D Anderson Cancer Center, we have obtained and are currently seeking further patent protection for adenoviral p53, including ADVEXIN therapy, and its use in cancer therapy. Further, the PTO issued us a United States patent for our adenovirus production technology as well as a related patent for purified adenoviral compositions. We also control, through licensing arrangements, four issued United States patents for combination therapy involving the p53 gene and conventional chemotherapy or radiation, two issued United States patents covering the use of adenoviral p53 in cancer therapy, one issued United States patent covering adenoviral p53 as a product, one issued United States patent covering the core DNA of adenoviral p53, one issued patent covering pharmaceutical compositions of adenoviral p53 and clinical applications of such pharmaceutical compositions, as well as three patents covering our mda-7 technology. Our competitors may challenge the validity of one or more of our patents in the courts or through an administrative procedure known as an interference, in which the PTO determines the priority of invention where two or more parties are claiming the same invention. The courts or the PTO may not uphold the validity of our patents, we may not prevail in such interference proceedings regarding our patents and none of our patents may give us a competitive advantage. In this regard, we have been notified by the PTO that an unidentified third party is attempting to provoke an interference with one of our patents directed to adenoviral p53 therapy. We do not at present know the identity of this party and cannot assess the likelihood of an interference actually being declared. Should that party prevail in an interference proceeding, a patent may issue to that party that is infringed by, and therefore potentially preclude our commercialization of, products like ADVEXIN therapy that are used for adenoviral p53 therapy.

Schering-Plough has filed with the European Patent Office, or EPO, an opposition against our European patent directed to combination therapy with p53 and conventional chemotherapy and/or radiation. An opposition is an administrative proceeding instituted by a third party and conducted by the EPO to determine whether a patent should

Table of Contents

be maintained or revoked in part or in whole, based on evidence brought forth by the party opposing the patent. The EPO held an initial oral proceeding in October 2003 and determined our patent should be maintained as amended. Schering-Plough has appealed this decision. Resolution of this appeal will require we expend time, effort and money. If Schering-Plough ultimately prevails in having our European patent revoked on appeal, then the scope of our protection for our product in Europe will be reduced. We would not expect, however, such a result to have a significant detrimental impact on our commercialization efforts in Europe.

Third-party claims of infringement of intellectual property could require us to spend time and money to address the claims and could limit our intellectual property rights.

The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industry has been characterized by extensive litigation regarding patents and other intellectual property rights, and companies have employed intellectual property litigation to gain a competitive advantage. We are aware of a number of issued patents and patent applications related to gene therapy, the treatment of cancer and the use of the p53 and other tumor suppressor genes. Schering-Plough Corporation, including its subsidiary Canji, Inc., controls various United States applications and a European patent and applications, some of which are directed to therapy using the p53 gene, and others to adenoviruses containing the p53 gene, or adenoviral p53, and to methods for carrying out therapy using adenoviral p53. Adenoviral p53 technology underlies our ADVEXIN therapy product candidate. Furthermore, we are aware of a United States patent directed to replication-deficient recombinant adenoviral vectors apparently controlled by Transgene SA. While we believe the claims of the Transgene adenoviral vector patent are invalid or not infringed by our products, Transgene could assert a claim against us.

One of the foregoing patent applications directed to p53 therapy, which we understand is owned by The Johns Hopkins University and controlled by Schering-Plough, was involved in a PTO interference proceeding with a patent owned by Canji. This Johns Hopkins application was the United States counterpart to the European patent recently revoked in its entirety by the EPO (see below). Priority of invention in that interference was awarded by the PTO to the Johns Hopkins inventors, leading to the issuance of a United States patent, and the Canji patent has been found unpatentable. While it is our belief that the claims of the Johns Hopkins patent are invalid and not infringed by our ADVEXIN therapy, Schering-Plough or Johns Hopkins may assert that our ADVEXIN therapy, which uses p53 therapy, infringes the claims of such patent. While we believe we would have both an invalidity and non-infringement defense against such an assertion, in the United States an issued patent enjoys a presumption of validity, which can be overcome only through clear and convincing evidence. We cannot assure you such a defense would prevail.

We may also become subject to infringement claims or litigation arising out of other patents and pending applications of our competitors, if they issue, or additional interference proceedings declared by the PTO to determine the priority of inventions. The defense and prosecution of intellectual property suits, PTO interference proceedings and related legal and administrative proceedings are costly and time-consuming to pursue, and their outcome is uncertain. Litigation may be necessary to enforce our issued patents, to protect our trade secrets and know-how or to determine the enforceability, scope and validity of the proprietary rights of others. An adverse determination in litigation or interference proceedings to which we may become a party could subject us to significant liabilities, require us to obtain licenses from third parties, or restrict or prevent us from selling our products in certain markets. Although patent and intellectual property disputes are often settled through licensing or similar arrangements, costs associated with such arrangements may be substantial and could include ongoing royalties. Furthermore, the necessary licenses may not be available to us on satisfactory terms, if at all. In particular, if we were found to infringe a valid claim of the Transgene adenoviral vector United States patent, the Johns Hopkins patent or a patent that may issue from a currently pending application, our business could be materially harmed.

We have recently been involved in patent opposition proceedings before the EPO, in which we have sought to have the EPO revoke three different European patents owned or controlled by Canji/Schering-Plough. These European patents relate to the use of a p53 gene, or the use of tumor suppressor genes, in the preparation of therapeutic products. In one opposition involving a Canji European patent directed to the use of a tumor suppressor gene, the EPO revoked the European patent in its entirety in a final, non-appealable decision. In the second opposition, involving a patent that is directed to therapeutic and other applications of the p53 gene and that is owned by Johns Hopkins and, we understand, controlled by Schering-Plough, the EPO recently revoked the patent in its

Table of Contents

entirety. The patent owner has appealed this decision and a final hearing before the EPO Technical Board of Appeals was held in June 2005, at which time the Technical Board of Appeals confirmed the final revocation of all claims of this patent relevant to clinical therapeutic applications of the p53 gene. In a third case involving the use of a p53 gene, the European patent at issue was initially upheld, but finally revoked in a hearing held in late April 2004.

We may be subject to litigation and infringement claims that may be costly, divert management's attention, and materially harm our business.

Extensive litigation regarding patents and other intellectual property rights has been common in the biopharmaceutical industry. Litigation may be necessary to assert infringement claims, enforce patent rights, protect trade secrets or know-how and determine the enforceability, scope and validity of certain proprietary rights. The defense and prosecution of intellectual property lawsuits, PTO interference proceedings, and related legal and administrative proceedings in the United States and internationally involve complex legal and factual questions. As a result, such proceedings are costly and time-consuming to pursue and their outcome is uncertain.

Regardless of merit or outcome, our involvement in any litigation, interference or other administrative proceedings could cause us to incur substantial expense and could significantly divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel. An adverse determination may subject us to the loss of our proprietary position or to significant liabilities, or require us to seek licenses that may include substantial cost and ongoing royalties. Licenses may not be available from third parties, or may not be obtainable on satisfactory terms. An adverse determination or a failure to obtain necessary licenses may restrict or prevent us from manufacturing and selling our products, if any. These outcomes could materially harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we fail to meet our obligations under license agreements, we may lose our rights to key technologies on which our business depends.

Our business depends in part on patents licensed from third parties. Those third-party license agreements impose obligations on us, such as payment obligations and obligations to diligently pursue development of commercial products under the licensed patents. If a licensor believes we have failed to meet our obligations under a license agreement, the licensor could seek to limit or terminate our license rights, which could lead to costly and time-consuming litigation and, potentially, a loss of the licensed rights. During the period of any such litigation, our ability to carry out the development and commercialization of product candidates could be significantly and negatively affected. If our license rights were restricted or ultimately lost, our ability to continue our business based on the affected technology platform would be severely adversely affected.

Competition and technological change may make our product candidates and technologies less attractive or obsolete.

We compete with pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, including Canji, Inc. and Genvec, Inc., which are pursuing forms of treatment similar to ours for the diseases ADVEXIN therapy and our other product candidates target. We are aware Canji, with its parent Schering-Plough, has in the past been involved in research and/or development of adenoviral p53 products and has numerous patents and patent applications relating to adenoviral p53 therapy. We understand Schering-Plough has stopped its adenoviral p53 clinical trials, and it is unknown whether these parties are continuing their adenoviral p53 research and/or development efforts. We are also aware that a Chinese pharmaceutical company, SiBioNo GeneTech, Inc., has recently announced it has received regulatory approval from the Chinese drug regulatory agency to market an adenoviral p53 product only in China. We control an issued Chinese patent covering adenoviral p53, and a number of pending Chinese applications directed to p53 therapy and adenoviral production. We understand enforcement of patents in China is unpredictable and we do not know if monetary damages could be recovered from SiBioNo GeneTech if its product infringes our patent or patent applications. Patent enforcement and respect of international patent standards, rules and laws have not historically been a key characteristic of the Chinese government and patent system. Further, geopolitical developments, including trade and tariff disputes between the government of China and the United States Department of Commerce could add additional uncertainty to any effort to enforce patents, recover damages, if any, or engage in the sales and marketing of patented or non-patented products in China. We also may face competition from companies that may develop internally or acquire competing technology from universities and other research institutions. As these

Table of Contents

companies develop or acquire their technologies, they may develop competitive positions that may prevent or limit our product commercialization efforts.

Some of our competitors are established companies with greater financial and other resources than ours. Other companies may succeed in developing products earlier than we do, obtaining FDA approval for products before we do or developing products that are more effective than our product candidates. While we will seek to expand our technological capabilities to remain competitive, research and development by others may render our technology or product candidates obsolete or non-competitive or result in treatments or cures superior to any therapy developed by us.

Even if we receive regulatory approval to market our ADVEXIN therapy, INGN 241, INGN 225 or other product candidates, we may not be able to commercialize them profitably.

Our profitability will depend on the market's acceptance of ADVEXIN therapy, INGN 241, INGN 225, if approved, and our other product candidates. The commercial success of our product candidates will depend on whether:

- they are more effective than alternative treatments;
- their side effects are acceptable to patients and doctors;
- insurers and other third-party healthcare payers will provide adequate reimbursement for them;
- we produce and sell them at a profit; and
- we market ADVEXIN therapy, INGN 241, INGN 225 and other product candidates effectively.

Because the target patient populations for the primary indication of ADVEXIN therapy, our lead product candidate, are small, we must achieve significant market share and obtain high per-patient prices for our products to achieve profitability.

ADVEXIN therapy, our lead product candidate for the treatment of recurrent squamous cell cancer of the head and neck, targets diseases with small patient populations. As a result, our per-patient prices must be relatively high in order to recover our development costs and achieve profitability. We estimate the annual incidence for squamous cell cancer of the head and neck is 40,000 patients in the United States. We believe we will need to market worldwide to achieve significant market penetration. In addition, we are developing other drug candidates to treat cancers with small patient populations. Due to the expected costs of treatment for ADVEXIN therapy, we may be unable to obtain sufficient market share for our drug products at a price high enough to continue our product development efforts.

If we are unable to manufacture our products in sufficient quantities or obtain regulatory approvals for our manufacturing facilities, or if our manufacturing process is found to infringe a valid patented process or processes of another company, then we may be unable to meet demand for our products and lose potential revenues.

To complete our clinical trials and commercialize our product candidates, if approved, we will need access to, or development of, facilities to manufacture a sufficient supply of our product candidates. We have used manufacturing facilities we constructed in Houston, Texas to manufacture ADVEXIN therapy, INGN 241 and other product candidates for currently planned clinical trials. We anticipate our facilities are suitable for the initial commercial launch of ADVEXIN therapy. We have no experience manufacturing ADVEXIN therapy, INGN 241 or any other product candidates in the volumes necessary to support commercial sales. If we are unable to manufacture our product candidates in clinical or, when necessary, commercial quantities, then we will need to rely on third-party manufacturers to produce our products for clinical and commercial purposes. These third-party manufacturers must receive FDA approval before they can produce clinical material or commercial product. Our products may be in competition with other products for access to these facilities and may be subject to delays in manufacture if third parties give other products greater priority than ours. In addition, we may not be able to enter into any necessary

Table of Contents

third-party manufacturing arrangements on acceptable terms. There are a limited number of contract manufacturers who currently have the capability to produce ADVEXIN therapy, INGN 241 or our other product candidates, and the inability of any of these contract manufacturers to deliver our required quantities of product candidates timely and at commercially reasonable prices would negatively affect our operations.

Before we can begin commercially manufacturing ADVEXIN therapy, INGN 241 or any other product candidate, we must obtain regulatory approval of our manufacturing facilities and process. Manufacturing of our product candidates for clinical and commercial purposes must comply with the FDA's CGMP requirements, and foreign regulatory requirements. The CGMP requirements govern quality control and documentation policies and procedures. In complying with CGMP and foreign regulatory requirements, we will be obligated to expend time, money and effort in production, record keeping and quality control to assure the product meets applicable specifications and other requirements. We must also pass a FDA inspection prior to FDA approval.

Our current manufacturing facilities have not yet been subject to a Pre-Approval Inspection by the FDA or other global regulatory authorities. Failure to pass Pre-Approval Inspections may significantly delay approval of our products. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we would be subject to possible regulatory action and may be limited in the jurisdictions in which we are permitted to sell our products. Further, the FDA and foreign regulatory authorities have the authority to perform unannounced periodic inspections of our manufacturing facilities to ensure compliance with CGMP and foreign regulatory requirements. Our facilities in Houston, Texas are our only manufacturing facilities. If these facilities were to incur significant damage or destruction, then our ability to manufacture ADVEXIN therapy, INGN 241 or any other product candidates would be significantly hampered, and our pre-clinical testing, clinical trials and commercialization efforts would be delayed.

In order to produce our products in the quantities we believe will be required to meet anticipated market demand, if our products are approved, we will need to increase, or scale-up, our production process. If we are unable to do so, or if the cost of this scale-up is not economically viable to us, we may not be able to produce our products in a sufficient quantity to meet the requirements of future demand.

Canji controls a United States patent and the corresponding international applications, including a European counterpart, relating to the purification of viral or adenoviral compositions. While we believe our manufacturing process does not infringe this patent, Canji could still assert a claim against us. We may also become subject to infringement claims or litigation if our manufacturing process infringes upon other patents. The defense and prosecution of intellectual property suits and related legal and administrative proceedings are costly and time-consuming to pursue, and their outcome is uncertain.

We rely on a limited number of suppliers for some of our manufacturing materials. Any problems experienced by such suppliers could negatively affect our operations.

We rely on third-party suppliers for most of the equipment, materials and supplies used in the manufacturing of ADVEXIN therapy, INGN 241 and our other product candidates. Some items critical to the manufacture of these product candidates are available from only a limited number of suppliers or vendors. We do not have supply agreements with these key suppliers. To mitigate the related supply risk, we maintain inventories of these items. Any significant problem experienced by one or more of this limited number of suppliers could result in a delay or interruption in the supply of materials to us until the supplier cures the problem or until we locate an alternative source of supply. Such problems would likely lead to a delay or interruption in our manufacturing operations or could require a significant modification to our manufacturing process, which could impair our ability to manufacture our product candidates in a timely manner and negatively affect our operations.

If product liability lawsuits are successfully brought against us, we may incur substantial damages and demand for our product candidates may be reduced.

The testing and marketing of medical products is subject to an inherent risk of product liability claims. Regardless of their merit or eventual outcome, product liability claims may result in:

decreased demand for our product candidates;

Table of Contents

injury to our reputation and significant media attention;
 withdrawal of clinical trial volunteers;
 substantial delay in FDA approval;
 costs of litigation; and
 substantial monetary awards to plaintiffs.

We currently maintain product liability insurance with coverage of \$5.0 million per occurrence with a \$15.0 million annual aggregate limit. This coverage may not be sufficient to protect us fully against product liability claims. We intend to expand our product liability insurance coverage beyond clinical trials to include the sale of commercial products if we obtain marketing approval for any of our product candidates. Our inability to obtain sufficient product liability insurance at an acceptable cost to protect against product liability claims could prevent or limit the commercialization of our products.

We use hazardous materials in our business, and any claims relating to improper handling, storage or disposal of these materials could harm our business.

Our business involves the use of a broad range of hazardous chemicals and materials. Environmental laws impose stringent civil and criminal penalties for improper handling, disposal and storage of these materials. In addition, in the event of an improper or unauthorized release of, or exposure of individuals to, hazardous materials, we could be subject to civil damages due to personal injury or property damage caused by the release or exposure. A failure to comply with environmental laws could result in fines and the revocation of environmental permits, which could prevent us from conducting our business.

Our stock price may fluctuate substantially.

The market price for our common stock will be affected by a number of factors, including:

progress and results of our pre-clinical and clinical trials;
 announcement of technological innovations by us or our competitors;
 developments concerning proprietary rights, including patent and litigation matters;
 publicity regarding actual or potential results with respect to products under development by us or by our competitors;
 regulatory developments;
 the announcement of new products by us or our competitors;
 quarterly variations in our or our competitors' results of operations;
 failure to achieve operating results projected by securities analysts;
 changes in earnings estimates or recommendations by securities analysts;
 developments in our industry; and
 general market conditions and other factors.

In addition, stock prices for many companies in the technology and emerging growth sectors have experienced wide fluctuations that have often been unrelated to the operating performance of such companies.

Table of Contents

If we do not progress in our programs as anticipated, our stock price could decrease.

For planning purposes, we estimate the timing of a variety of clinical, regulatory and other milestones, such as when a certain product candidate will enter clinical development, when a clinical trial will be completed or when an application for regulatory approval will be filed. Some of our estimates are included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Our estimates are based on present facts and a variety of assumptions. Many of the underlying assumptions are outside of our control. If milestones are not achieved when we expect them to be, investors could be disappointed, and our stock price may decrease.

Any acquisition we might make may be costly and difficult to integrate, may divert management resources or dilute stockholder value.

As part of our business strategy, we may acquire assets or businesses principally relating to or complementary to our current operations, and we have in the past evaluated and discussed such opportunities with interested parties. Any acquisitions we undertake will be accompanied by the risks commonly encountered in business acquisitions. These risks include, among other things:

- potential exposure to unknown liabilities of acquired companies;
- the difficulty and expense of assimilating the operations and personnel of acquired businesses;
- diversion of management time and attention and other resources;
- loss of key employees and customers as a result of changes in management;
- the incurrence of amortization expenses; and
- possible dilution to our stockholders.

In addition, geographic distances may make the integration of businesses more difficult. We may not be successful in overcoming these risks or any other problems encountered in connection with any acquisitions.

If we lose key personnel or are unable to attract and retain additional, highly skilled personnel required to develop our products or obtain new collaborations, our business will suffer.

We depend, to a significant extent, on the efforts of our key employees, including senior management and senior scientific, clinical, regulatory, manufacturing and other personnel. The development of new therapeutic products requires expertise from a number of different disciplines, some of which is not widely available. We depend upon our scientific staff to discover new product candidates and to develop and conduct pre-clinical studies of those new potential products. Our clinical and regulatory staff is responsible for the design and execution of clinical trials in accordance with FDA requirements and for the advancement of our product candidates toward FDA approval. Our manufacturing staff is responsible for designing and conducting our manufacturing processes in accordance with the FDA's CGMP requirements. The quality and reputation of our scientific, clinical, regulatory and manufacturing staff, especially the senior staff, and their success in performing their responsibilities, are a basis on which we attract potential funding sources and collaborators. In addition, our Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers are involved in a broad range of critical activities, including providing strategic and operational guidance. The loss of these individuals, or our inability to retain or recruit other key management and scientific, clinical, regulatory, manufacturing and other personnel, may delay or prevent us from achieving our business objectives. We face intense competition for personnel from other companies, universities, public and private research institutions, government entities and other organizations.

Future changes in financial accounting standards or practices or existing taxation rules or practices may cause adverse unexpected financial reporting fluctuations and affect our reported results of operations.

Table of Contents

A change in accounting standards or practices or a change in existing taxation rules or practices can have a significant effect on our reported results and may even affect our reporting of transactions completed before the change is effective. New accounting pronouncements and taxation rules and varying interpretations of accounting pronouncements and taxation practice have occurred and may occur in the future. Changes to existing rules or the questioning of current practices may adversely affect our reported financial results or the way we conduct our business. For example, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, (SFAS No. 123R) is effective for us beginning the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. This statement requires that employee stock-based compensation be measured based on its fair-value on the grant date and treated as an expense that is reflected in the financial statements over the related service period. While we are currently evaluating the impact on our consolidated financial statements of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, we anticipate that our adoption of SFAS No. 123R will have a significant impact on our results of operations for 2005 and subsequent periods.

Some of our insiders are parties to transactions with us that may cause conflicting obligations.

Dr. John N. Kapoor, the Chairman of our Board of Directors, is also associated with EJ Financial Enterprises, Inc., a health care investment firm that is wholly owned by him, and therefore may have conflicts of interest in allocating his time among us and his other business activities, and he may have legal obligations to multiple entities. We have entered into a consulting agreement with EJ Financial. The consulting agreement provides we will pay EJ Financial \$175,000 per year for certain management consulting services, which is based on anticipated time spent by EJ Financial personnel on our affairs. EJ Financial is also involved in the management of health care companies in various fields, and Dr. Kapoor is involved in various capacities with the management and operation of these companies. In addition, EJ Financial is involved with other companies in the cancer field. Although these companies are pursuing different therapeutic approaches for the treatment of cancer, discoveries made by one or more of these companies could render our products less competitive or obsolete.

David Parker, Ph.D., J.D., our Vice President, Intellectual Property, is a partner with the law firm Fulbright & Jaworski LLP, which provides legal services to us as our primary outside counsel for intellectual property matters.

In October 2004, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of Magnum Therapeutics Corporation (Magnum), a company owned by one of our executive officers. We paid approximately \$1.75 million for the Magnum stock by (1) issuing approximately 252,000 shares of our common stock valued at approximately \$1.48 million at the acquisition date and (2) assuming liabilities of approximately \$272,000. With respect to the common stock we issued for the acquisition, 50% of the shares are held by an independent escrow agent for a period of one year subsequent to the acquisition date to satisfy the indemnification obligations of the selling shareholder under terms of the purchase agreement. Magnum's primary asset is the right to receive funding under a research grant from the National Institutes of Health. Such grant activities and related funding will supplement research and development programs we have in progress. During the year ended December 31, 2004, we earned \$1.1 million of revenue under this grant. In the event certain of Magnum's technologies result in commercial products, we may be obligated to pay royalties related to the sales of those products to certain third parties.

We have relationships with Jack A. Roth, M.D., and M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, both of whom are affiliated with The Board of Regents of the University of Texas System, one of our stockholders. For more information concerning these relationships, see the notes to our consolidated financial statements and the footnotes thereto as of December 31, 2004, and for the year then ended, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2005.

We believe the foregoing transactions with insiders were and are in our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders. However, the transactions may cause conflicts of interest with respect to those insiders.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our fixed rate long-term debt and short-term investments in investment grade securities, which consist primarily of federal government obligations. Investments are classified as held-to-maturity and are carried at amortized cost. We do not hedge interest rate exposure or invest in derivative securities. A hypothetical 100-basis point decrease in the interest rates of our

Table of Contents

investments at the investment balances as of June 30, 2005 would decrease our interest income by approximately \$267,000 per year and approximately \$67,000 per quarter.

At June 30, 2005, the fair value of our fixed-rate debt approximated its carrying value based upon discounted future cash flows using current market prices.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. Our management evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

**PART II
OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 1. *Legal Proceedings*

We are involved from time to time in legal proceedings relating to claims arising out of our operation in the ordinary course of business, including actions relating to intellectual property rights.

We do not believe that the outcome of any present, or all litigation in the aggregate, other than our opposition of a European patent controlled by Canji discussed under Risk Factors, will have a material effect on our business. You can read the discussion of our opposition of the patents under Risk Factors.

Item 2. *Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds*

None.

Item 3. *Defaults Upon Senior Securities*

None.

Item 4. *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders*

None.

Item 5. *Other Information*

Pursuant to Section 10A(i)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as added by Section 202 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we are responsible for disclosing the non-audit services approved by the Audit Committee to be performed by Ernst & Young LLP, our independent auditors. Non-audit services are defined as services other than those provided in connection with an audit or a review of our financial statements. Except as set forth below, the services approved by the Audit Committee are each considered by the Audit Committee to be audit-related services closely related to the financial audit process. Each of the services was pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee has also pre-approved additional engagements of Ernst & Young LLP for the non-audit services of preparation of state and federal tax returns.

Item 6. *Exhibits.*

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.50	Letter Agreement dated April 20, 2005 by and between Introgen Therapeutics, Inc. and Suiter Limited
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 the Registrant has duly caused this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Introgen Therapeutics, Inc.

By: /s/ James W. Albrecht, Jr.

James W. Albrecht, Jr.

*On behalf of the Registrant and as Chief Financial
Officer*

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

August 9, 2005

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.50	Letter Agreement dated April 20, 2005 by and between Introgen Therapeutics, Inc. and Suiter Limited
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002