

TOLL BROTHERS INC  
Form 10-Q  
June 07, 2011

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-Q**

**(Mark One)**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended April 30, 2011**

**or**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_**

**Commission file number 1-9186**

**TOLL BROTHERS, INC.**

**(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

**Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)**

**23-2416878  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)**

**250 Gibraltar Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania  
(Address of principal executive offices)**

**19044  
(Zip Code)**

**(215) 938-8000**

**(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)**

**Not applicable**

**(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer   
(Do not check if a smaller  
reporting company)

Smaller reporting  
company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

At June 1, 2011, there were approximately 168,307,000 shares of Common Stock, \$.01 par value, outstanding.



**TOLL BROTHERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|  | <b>Page No.</b> |
|--|-----------------|
| <u>Statement on Forward-Looking Information</u>  | 1               |
| <b><u>PART I. Financial Information</u></b>  |                 |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>  |                 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at April 30, 2011 (Unaudited) and October 31, 2010</u>                                      | 2               |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Six Months and Three Months Ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 (Unaudited)</u> | 3               |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 (Unaudited)</u>                  | 4               |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>  | 5               |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>                                 | 30              |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>  | 47              |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>   | 48              |
| <b><u>PART II. Other Information</u></b>   |                 |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>   | 48              |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>   | 49              |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>   | 49              |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>  | 50              |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u>  | 51              |
| <u>Exhibit 31.1</u>  |                 |
| <u>Exhibit 31.2</u>  |                 |
| <u>Exhibit 32.1</u>  |                 |
| <u>Exhibit 32.2</u>  |                 |
| <u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>  |                 |
| <u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>  |                 |
| <u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>  |                 |
| <u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>   |                 |
| <u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>   |                 |



**Table of Contents**

**STATEMENT ON FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION**

Certain information included in this report or in other materials we have filed or will file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) (as well as information included in oral statements or other written statements made or to be made by us) contains or may contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. You can identify these statements by the fact that they do not relate to matters of strictly historical or factual nature and generally discuss or relate to estimates or other expectations regarding future events. They contain words such as anticipate, estimate, expect, project, intend, plan, believe, may, can, could, might, should and words of similar meaning in connection with any discussion of future operating or financial performance. Such statements may include, but are not limited to, information related to: anticipated operating results; home deliveries; financial resources and condition; changes in revenues; changes in profitability; changes in margins; changes in accounting treatment; cost of revenues; selling, general and administrative expenses; interest expense; inventory write-downs; unrecognized tax benefits; anticipated tax refunds; sales paces and prices; effects of home buyer cancellations; growth and expansion; joint ventures in which we are involved; anticipated results from our investments in unconsolidated entities; the ability to acquire land and pursue real estate opportunities; the ability to gain approvals and to open new communities; the ability to sell homes and properties; the ability to deliver homes from backlog; the ability to secure materials and subcontractors; the ability to produce the liquidity and capital necessary to expand and take advantage of opportunities; and legal proceedings and claims.

Any or all of the forward-looking statements included in this report and in any other reports or public statements made by us are not guarantees of future performance and may turn out to be inaccurate. Consequently, actual results may differ materially from those that might be anticipated from our forward looking statements. Therefore, we caution you not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements. The factors that could cause actual results to differ from those expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements include, among others: local, regional, national and international economic conditions; demand for homes; changes in consumer confidence; changes in interest rates; unemployment rates; changes in sales conditions, including home prices, in the markets where we build homes; the competitive environment in which we operate; the availability and cost of land for future growth; conditions that could result in inventory write-downs or write-downs associated with investments in unconsolidated entities; the ability to recover our deferred tax assets; the availability of capital; uncertainties in the capital and securities markets; liquidity in the credit markets; changes in tax laws and their interpretation; effects of governmental legislation and regulation; the outcome of various legal proceedings; the availability of adequate insurance at reasonable cost; the impact of construction defect, product liability and home warranty claims, including the adequacy of self-insurance accruals, the applicability and sufficiency of our insurance coverage; the ability of customers to obtain financing for the purchase of homes; the ability of home buyers to sell their existing homes; the ability of the participants in various joint ventures to honor their commitments; the availability and cost of labor and building and construction materials; the cost of raw materials; construction delays; domestic and international political events; and weather conditions. This statement is provided as permitted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

When this report uses the words we, us, our, and the Company, they refer to Toll Brothers, Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires. Reference herein to fiscal 2011, and to fiscal 2010, fiscal 2009, and fiscal 2008 refer to our fiscal year ending October 31, 2011, and our fiscal years ended October 31, 2010, October 31, 2009, and October 31, 2008, respectively.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

**Table of Contents****PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**TOLL BROTHERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(Amounts in thousands)

|  | April 30,<br>2011<br>(unaudited) | October 31,<br>2010 |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>  |                                  |                     |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | \$ 949,896                       | \$ 1,039,060        |
| Marketable securities  | 297,846                          | 197,867             |
| Restricted cash  | 32,125                           | 60,906              |
| Inventory  | 3,384,720                        | 3,241,725           |
| Property, construction and office equipment, net   | 99,450                           | 79,916              |
| Receivables, prepaid expenses and other assets   | 87,745                           | 97,039              |
| Mortgage loans held for sale   | 28,996                           | 93,644              |
| Customer deposits held in escrow   | 17,859                           | 21,366              |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities and non-performing loan portfolio             | 185,259                          | 198,442             |
| Income tax refund recoverable  |                                  | 141,590             |
|  | \$ 5,083,896                     | \$ 5,171,555        |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>  |                                  |                     |
| <b>Liabilities</b>   |                                  |                     |
| Loans payable  | \$ 105,256                       | \$ 94,491           |
| Senior notes   | 1,545,067                        | 1,544,110           |
| Mortgage company warehouse loan  | 24,906                           | 72,367              |
| Customer deposits  | 91,203                           | 77,156              |
| Accounts payable   | 92,464                           | 91,738              |
| Accrued expenses   | 525,823                          | 570,321             |
| Income taxes payable   | 144,051                          | 162,359             |
| Total liabilities  | 2,528,770                        | 2,612,542           |
| <b>Equity</b>  |                                  |                     |
| <b>Stockholders' equity</b>  |                                  |                     |
| Preferred stock, none issued   |                                  |                     |
| Common stock, 166,903 and 166,413 shares issued at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, respectively | 1,669                            | 1,664               |
| Additional paid-in capital   | 370,361                          | 360,006             |
| Retained earnings  | 2,177,100                        | 2,194,456           |
| Treasury stock, at cost none and 5 shares at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, respectively       |                                  | (96)                |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss   | (225)                            | (577)               |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

|                            |              |              |
|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Total stockholders' equity | 2,548,905    | 2,555,453    |
| Noncontrolling interest    | 6,221        | 3,560        |
| Total equity               | 2,555,126    | 2,559,013    |
|                            | \$ 5,083,896 | \$ 5,171,555 |

See accompanying notes



**Table of Contents**

**TOLL BROTHERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

|  | Six months ended<br>April 30, |             | Three months ended<br>April 30, |             |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
|  | 2011                          | 2010        | 2011                            | 2010        |
| Revenues   | \$ 653,791                    | \$ 637,969  | \$ 319,675                      | \$ 311,271  |
| Cost of revenues   | 558,319                       | 623,070     | 276,354                         | 305,583     |
| Selling, general and administrative  | 128,301                       | 126,822     | 67,050                          | 59,549      |
| Interest expense   | 1,504                         | 13,464      | 392                             | 6,207       |
|  | 688,124                       | 763,356     | 343,796                         | 371,339     |
| Loss from operations   | (34,333)                      | (125,387)   | (24,121)                        | (60,068)    |
| Other:   |                               |             |                                 |             |
| (Loss) income from unconsolidated entities and non-performing loan portfolio | (21,872)                      | 1,646       | (10,870)                        | 1,280       |
| Interest and other   | 7,674                         | 15,232      | 3,507                           | 6,999       |
| Expenses related to early retirement of debt                                 |                               | (34)        |                                 |             |
| Loss before income tax benefit   | (48,531)                      | (108,543)   | (31,484)                        | (51,789)    |
| Income tax benefit   | (31,175)                      | (27,388)    | (10,711)                        | (11,388)    |
| Net loss   | \$ (17,356)                   | \$ (81,155) | \$ (20,773)                     | \$ (40,401) |
| Loss per share:  |                               |             |                                 |             |
| Basic  | \$ (0.10)                     | \$ (0.49)   | \$ (0.12)                       | \$ (0.24)   |
| Diluted  | \$ (0.10)                     | \$ (0.49)   | \$ (0.12)                       | \$ (0.24)   |
| Weighted average number of shares:   |                               |             |                                 |             |
| Basic  | 166,794                       | 165,322     | 166,910                         | 165,407     |
| Diluted  | 166,794                       | 165,322     | 166,910                         | 165,407     |
|  | See accompanying notes        |             |                                 |             |

**Table of Contents**

**TOLL BROTHERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(Amounts in thousands)  
(Unaudited)

|   | Six months ended April 30, |             |
|---|----------------------------|-------------|
|   | 2011                       | 2010        |
| Cash flow provided by (used in) operating activities:                                     |                            |             |
| Net loss  | \$ (17,356)                | \$ (81,155) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: |                            |             |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 10,337                     | 9,025       |
| Stock-based compensation  | 7,970                      | 7,350       |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation   |                            | (2,606)     |
| Impairments of investments in unconsolidated entities                                     | 39,600                     |             |
| Income from unconsolidated entities and non-performing loan portfolio                     | (17,728)                   | (1,646)     |
| Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated entities                                    | 6,789                      |             |
| Deferred tax benefit  | (6,515)                    | (10,963)    |
| Deferred tax valuation allowances   | 6,515                      | 10,963      |
| Inventory impairments   | 18,048                     | 75,712      |
| Change in fair value of mortgage loans receivable and derivative instruments              | 818                        | (266)       |
| Expenses related to early retirement of debt  |                            | 34          |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities   |                            |             |
| Increase in inventory   | (154,440)                  | (192,804)   |
| Origination of mortgage loans   | (301,778)                  | (241,631)   |
| Sale of mortgage loans  | 365,328                    | 238,071     |
| Decrease in restricted cash   | 28,781                     |             |
| Decrease in receivables, prepaid expenses and other assets                                | 7,172                      | 3,086       |
| Increase (decrease) in customer deposits  | 17,554                     | (6,407)     |
| Decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses   | (36,799)                   | (305)       |
| Decrease (increase) in income tax refund recoverable                                      | 141,590                    | (38,740)    |
| (Decrease) increase in income taxes payable   | (18,241)                   | 8,389       |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities                                       | 97,645                     | (223,893)   |
| Cash flow used in investing activities:   |                            |             |
| Purchase of property and equipment net  | (5,112)                    | (748)       |
| Purchase of marketable securities   | (329,105)                  | (85,450)    |
| Sale and redemption of marketable securities  | 227,080                    |             |
| Investment in and advances to unconsolidated entities and non-performing loan portfolio   | (42,141)                   | (25,931)    |
| Return of investments in unconsolidated entities  | 15,751                     | 4,446       |
| Net cash used in investing activities   | (133,527)                  | (107,683)   |
| Cash flow used in financing activities:   |                            |             |
| Proceeds from loans payable   | 438,713                    | 346,472     |
| Principal payments of loans payable   | (498,960)                  | (419,121)   |
| Redemption of senior subordinated notes   |                            | (47,872)    |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

|   |            |              |
|---|------------|--------------|
| Proceeds from stock-based benefit plans           | 4,676      | 4,124        |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation |            | 2,606        |
| Receipts related to noncontrolling interest       | 2,678      |              |
| Purchase of treasury stock                        | (389)      | (382)        |
| Net cash used in financing activities             | (53,282)   | (114,173)    |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents         | (89,164)   | (445,749)    |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period    | 1,039,060  | 1,807,718    |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period          | \$ 949,896 | \$ 1,361,939 |

See accompanying notes

**Table of Contents**

**TOLL BROTHERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Unaudited)**

**1. Significant Accounting Policies****Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Toll Brothers, Inc. (the Company), a Delaware corporation, and its majority-owned subsidiaries in which it has control. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Investments in 50% or less owned partnerships and affiliates are accounted for using the equity method unless it is determined that the Company has effective control of the entity, in which case the entity would be consolidated.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for interim financial information. The October 31, 2010 balance sheet amounts and disclosures included herein have been derived from the Company's October 31, 2010 audited financial statements. Since the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements do not include all the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for complete financial statements, the Company suggests that they be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments, which are of a normal recurring nature, necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position as of April 30, 2011, the results of its operations for the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, and its cash flows for the six-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010. The results of operations for such interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

**Inventory**

Inventory is stated at cost unless an impairment exists, in which case it is written down to fair value in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 360, Property, Plant and Equipment (ASC 360). In addition to direct land acquisition costs, land development costs and home construction costs, costs also include interest, real estate taxes and direct overhead related to development and construction, which are capitalized to inventory during the period beginning with the commencement of development and ending with the completion of construction. For those communities that have been temporarily closed, no additional capitalized interest is allocated to a community's inventory until it re-opens. While the community remains closed, carrying costs such as real estate taxes are expensed as incurred.

The Company capitalizes certain interest costs to qualified inventory during the development and construction period of its communities in accordance with ASC 835-20, Capitalization of Interest (ASC 835-20). Capitalized interest is charged to cost of revenues when the related inventory is delivered. Interest incurred on homebuilding indebtedness in excess of qualified inventory, as defined in ASC 835-20, is charged to the statement of operations in the period incurred.

Once a parcel of land has been approved for development and the Company opens one of its typical communities, it may take four to five years to fully develop, sell and deliver all the homes in such community. Longer or shorter time periods are possible depending on the number of home sites in a community and the sales and delivery pace of the homes in a community. The Company's master planned communities, consisting of several smaller communities, may take up to ten years or more to complete. Because the Company's inventory is considered a long-lived asset under GAAP, the Company is required, under ASC 360, to regularly review the carrying value of each community and write down the value of those communities for which it believes the values have been impaired.

*Current Communities:* When the profitability of a current community deteriorates, the sales pace declines significantly or some other factor indicates a possible impairment in the recoverability of the asset, the asset is reviewed for impairment by comparing the estimated future undiscounted cash flow for the community to its carrying value. If the estimated future undiscounted cash flow is less than the community's carrying value, the carrying value is written down to its estimated fair value. Estimated fair value is primarily determined by discounting the estimated future cash flow of each community. The impairment is charged to cost of revenues in the period in which the impairment is

determined. In estimating the future undiscounted cash flow of a community, the Company uses various estimates such as: (a) the expected sales pace in a community, based upon general economic conditions that will have a short-term or long-term impact on the market in which the community is located and on competition within the market, including the number of home sites available and pricing and incentives being offered in other communities owned by the Company or by other builders; (b) the expected sales prices and sales incentives to be offered in a community; (c) costs expended to date and expected to be incurred in the future, including, but not limited to, land and land development costs, home construction costs, interest costs and overhead costs; (d) alternative product offerings that may be offered in a community that will have an impact on sales pace, sales price, building cost or the number of homes that can be built on a particular site; and (e) alternative uses for the property such as the possibility of a sale of the entire community to another builder or the sale of individual home sites.

**Table of Contents**

*Future Communities:* The Company evaluates all land held for future communities or future sections of current communities, whether owned or under contract, to determine whether or not it expects to proceed with the development of the land as originally contemplated. This evaluation encompasses the same types of estimates used for current communities described above, as well as an evaluation of the regulatory environment applicable to the land and the estimated probability of obtaining the necessary approvals, the estimated time and cost it will take to obtain the approvals and the possible concessions that will be required to be given in order to obtain them. Concessions may include cash payments to fund improvements to public places such as parks and streets, dedication of a portion of the property for use by the public or as open space or a reduction in the density or size of the homes to be built. Based upon this review, the Company decides (a) as to land under contract to be purchased, whether the contract will likely be terminated or renegotiated, and (b) as to land owned, whether the land will likely be developed as contemplated or in an alternative manner, or should be sold. The Company then further determines whether costs that have been capitalized to the community are recoverable or should be written off. The write-off is charged to cost of revenues in the period in which the need for the write-off is determined.

The estimates used in the determination of the estimated cash flows and fair value of both current and future communities are based on factors known to the Company at the time such estimates are made and its expectations of future operations and economic conditions. Should the estimates or expectations used in determining estimated fair value deteriorate in the future, the Company may be required to recognize additional impairment charges and write-offs related to current and future communities.

*Variable Interest Entities:* The Company has a significant number of land purchase contracts and several investments in unconsolidated entities which it evaluates in accordance with ASC 810, Consolidation ( ASC 810 ). The Company analyzes its land purchase contracts and the unconsolidated entities in which it has an investment to determine whether the land sellers and unconsolidated entities are variable interest entities ( VIEs ) and, if so, whether the Company is the primary beneficiary. If the Company is determined to be the primary beneficiary of a VIE, it must consolidate the VIE. A VIE is an entity with insufficient equity investment or in which the equity investors lack some of the characteristics of a controlling financial interest. In determining whether it is the primary beneficiary, the Company considers, among other things, whether it has the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance, including, but not limited to, determining or limiting the scope or purpose of the VIE, selling or transferring property owned or controlled by the VIE, or arranging financing for the VIE. The Company also considers whether it has the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE.

**Fair Value Disclosures**

The Company uses ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures ( ASC 820 ), to measure the fair value of certain assets and liabilities. ASC 820 provides a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with GAAP, establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value and requires certain disclosures about fair value measurements. In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued ASU No. 2010-6, Improving Disclosure about Fair Value Measurements ( ASU 2010-6 ), which amended ASC 820 to increase disclosure requirements regarding recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements. The Company adopted ASU 2010-6 as of February 1, 2010, except for the disclosures about Level 3 fair value disclosures which will be effective for the Company on November 1, 2011. The adoption of ASU 2010-6 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**Table of Contents**

The fair value hierarchy is summarized below:

- Level 1: Fair value determined based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: Fair value determined using significant observable inputs, generally either quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities or quoted prices in markets that are not active.
- Level 3: Fair value determined using significant unobservable inputs, such as pricing models, discounted cash flows, or similar techniques.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In June 2009, the FASB revised its authoritative guidance in ASC 860, *Transfers and Servicing* (ASC 860). The amendment eliminated the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, created more stringent conditions for reporting a transfer of a portion of a financial asset as a sale, clarified other sale-accounting criteria, and changed the initial measurement of a transferor's interest in transferred financial assets. The amendment was adopted by the Company for its fiscal year beginning November 1, 2010. The adoption has not had a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB revised its authoritative guidance for determining the primary beneficiary of a VIE. In December 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-17, *Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities* (ASU 2009-17), which amended provisions of ASC 810 to reflect the revised guidance for consolidation purposes. The amendments to ASC 810 replace the quantitative-based risk and rewards calculation for determining which reporting entity, if any, has a controlling interest in a VIE with an approach focused on identifying which reporting entity has the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and has either the obligation to absorb losses of or the right to receive benefits from the entity. The Company adopted the amended provisions for its fiscal year beginning November 1, 2010. The adoption of the amended provisions of ASC 810 has not had a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

**Reclassification**

In order to provide attractive mortgage financing to its home buyers, the Company's homebuilding operations subsidize the Company's mortgage subsidiary. In the quarter ended January 31, 2011, the Company determined that the amount of subsidies in fiscal 2010 were in excess of the mortgage company's costs and reclassified the excess from interest and other income to cost of revenues. The table below provides information for each fiscal quarter of fiscal 2010 (\$ amounts in thousands).

|                     | Cost of revenues |              |                      | Interest and other income |              |                      |
|---------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
|                     | As reported      | Reclassified | \$ change (decrease) | As reported               | Reclassified | \$ change (decrease) |
| Three months ended: | \$               | \$           | (decrease)           | \$                        | \$           | (decrease)           |
| January 31          | 317,768          | 317,487      | (281)                | 8,514                     | 8,233        | (281)                |
| April 30            | 305,739          | 305,583      | (156)                | 7,155                     | 6,999        | (156)                |
| July 31             | 392,416          | 389,505      | (2,911)              | 8,813                     | 5,902        | (2,911)              |
| October 31          | 367,152          | 363,983      | (3,169)              | 10,348                    | 7,179        | (3,169)              |

The above reclassifications of cost of revenues resulted in a decrease in the Company's loss from operations. Certain other prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the fiscal 2011 presentation.

**Table of Contents****2. Inventory**

Inventory at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010 consisted of the following (amounts in thousands):

|  | April 30,<br>2011 | October 31,<br>2010 |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|
| Land controlled for future communities | \$ 40,359         | \$ 31,899           |
| Land owned for future communities      | 976,864           | 923,972             |
| Operating communities                  | 2,367,497         | 2,285,854           |
|  | \$ 3,384,720      | \$ 3,241,725        |

Operating communities include communities offering homes for sale, communities that have sold all available home sites but have not completed delivery of the homes, communities that were previously offering homes for sale but are temporarily closed due to business conditions or non-availability of improved home sites and that are expected to reopen within twelve months of the end of the fiscal period being reported on, and communities preparing to open for sale. Communities that were previously offering homes for sale but are temporarily closed due to business conditions that do not have any remaining backlog and are not expected to reopen within twelve months of the end of the fiscal period being reported on have been classified as land owned for future communities. The carrying value attributable to operating communities includes the cost of homes under construction, land and land development costs, the carrying cost of home sites in current and future phases of these communities and the carrying cost of model homes, less impairment charges recognized against the communities.

Information regarding the classification, number and carrying value of these temporarily closed communities at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010 is provided in the table below.

|                                    | April 30,<br>2011 | October 31,<br>2010 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Land owned for future communities: |                   |                     |
| Number of communities              | 34                | 36                  |
| Carrying value (in thousands)      | \$ 206,710        | \$ 212,882          |
| Operating communities:             |                   |                     |
| Number of communities              | 11                | 13                  |
| Carrying value (in thousands)      | \$ 61,875         | \$ 78,100           |

During the three-month period ended January 31, 2011, the Company reclassified \$20.0 million of inventory related to commercial retail space located in one of its high-rise projects to property, construction and office equipment. The \$20.0 million was reclassified due to the completion of construction of the facilities and the substantial completion of the high-rise project of which the facilities are a part.

The Company provided for inventory impairment charges and the expensing of costs that it believed not to be recoverable in the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 as shown in the table below (amounts in thousands).

|  | Six months ended April 30, |           | Three months ended April 30, |           |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
|  | 2011                       | 2010      | 2011                         | 2010      |
| Land controlled for future communities | \$ 1,848                   | \$ 2,192  | \$ 2,197                     | \$ 561    |
| Land owned for future communities      |                            | 35,750    |                              | 26,750    |
| Operating communities                  | 16,200                     | 37,770    | 10,725                       | 15,020    |
|  | \$ 18,048                  | \$ 75,712 | \$ 12,922                    | \$ 42,331 |



The Company reviews the profitability of each of its operating communities during each fiscal quarter. For those communities operating below certain profitability thresholds, or where other negative factors, such as a decline in market or economic conditions in the market in which the community is located, high cancellation rates or a significant increase in speculative inventory in the community or in the market in general, exist, and the undiscounted cash flow is less than the carrying value, the Company determines the estimated fair value of those communities and adjusts the carrying value of the communities to their estimated fair values in accordance with ASC 360.

**Table of Contents**

The table below provides, for the periods indicated, the number of operating communities that the Company tested for potential impairment, the number of operating communities for which the Company recognized impairment charges and the amount of impairment charges recognized, and, as of the end of the period indicated, the fair value of those communities, net of impairment charges (\$ amounts in millions).

|                     | Number of<br>operating<br>communities<br>tested | Number of<br>communities | Impaired operating communities                                   |                       |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|
|                     |   |                          | Fair value of<br>communities,<br>net of<br>impairment<br>charges | Impairment<br>charges |
| Three months ended: |   |                          |  |                       |
| Fiscal 2011:        |   |                          |  |                       |
| January 31          | 143   | 6                        | \$ 56.1  | \$ 5.5                |
| April 30            | 142   | 9                        | \$ 40.8  | 10.7                  |
|                     |   |                          |  | \$ 16.2               |
| Fiscal 2010:        |   |                          |  |                       |
| January 31          | 260   | 14                       | \$ 60.5  | \$ 22.8               |
| April 30            | 161   | 7                        | \$ 53.6  | 15.0                  |
| July 31             | 155   | 7                        | \$ 21.5  | 6.6                   |
| October 31          | 144   | 12                       | \$ 39.2  | 9.1                   |
|                     |   |                          |  | \$ 53.5               |

At April 30, 2011, the Company evaluated its land purchase contracts to determine if any of the selling entities were VIEs and, if they were, whether the Company was the primary beneficiary of any of them. Under these land purchase contracts, the Company does not possess legal title to the land and its risk is generally limited to deposits paid to the sellers and the creditors of the sellers generally have no recourse against the Company. At April 30, 2011, the Company determined that 36 land purchase contracts, with an aggregate purchase price of \$291.3 million, on which it had made aggregate deposits totaling \$12.9 million, were VIEs, and that it was not the primary beneficiary of any VIE related to its land purchase contracts.

The Company capitalizes certain interest costs to qualified inventory during the communities' development and construction periods in accordance with ASC 835-20. Capitalized interest is charged to cost of revenues when the related inventory is delivered. Interest incurred on homebuilding indebtedness in excess of qualified inventory, as defined in ASC 835-20, is charged directly to the statements of operations in the period incurred.

Interest incurred, capitalized and expensed for the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 was as follows (amounts in thousands):

|  | Six months ended April 30, |            | Three months ended April 30, |            |
|--|----------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
|  | 2011                       | 2010       | 2011                         | 2010       |
| Interest capitalized, beginning of period                            | \$ 267,278                 | \$ 259,818 | \$ 277,730                   | \$ 264,893 |
| Interest incurred  | 58,434                     | 58,861     | 28,718                       | 29,172     |
| Interest expensed to cost of revenues                                | (35,382)                   | (32,378)   | (17,300)                     | (15,125)   |
| Interest directly expensed to statement of operations                | (1,504)                    | (13,464)   | (392)                        | (6,207)    |
| Write-off against other income                                       | (318)                      | (809)      | (248)                        | (705)      |
| Interest reclassified to property, construction and office equipment |                            | (519)      |                              | (519)      |

|                                     |            |            |            |            |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Interest capitalized, end of period | \$ 288,508 | \$ 271,509 | \$ 288,508 | \$ 271,509 |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|

Inventory impairment charges are recognized against all inventory costs of a community, such as land, land improvements, cost of home construction and capitalized interest. The amounts included in the table directly above reflect the gross amount of capitalized interest without allocation of any impairment charges recognized. The Company estimates that, had inventory impairment charges been allocated on a pro rata basis to the individual components of inventory, capitalized interest at April 30, 2011 and 2010 would have been reduced by approximately \$54.5 million and \$61.3 million, respectively.

**Table of Contents****3. Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Entities and Non-Performing Loan Portfolio**

The Company has investments in and advances to various unconsolidated entities, including Toll Brothers Realty Trust and Toll Brothers Realty Trust II. In fiscal 2010, the Company formed Gibraltar Capital and Asset Management LLC ( Gibraltar ) to invest in distressed real estate opportunities. Through Gibraltar, the Company has invested in a structured asset joint venture and has made an investment in a non-performing loan portfolio.

***Development Joint Ventures***

The Company has investments in, and advances to, a number of joint ventures with unrelated parties to develop land ( Development Joint Ventures ). Some of these Development Joint Ventures develop land for the sole use of the venture participants, including the Company, and others develop land for sale to the joint venture participants and to unrelated builders. The Company recognizes its share of earnings from the sale of home sites by the Development Joint Ventures to other builders. With regard to home sites the Company purchases from the Development Joint Ventures, the Company reduces its cost basis in those home sites by its share of the earnings on the home sites. At April 30, 2011, the Company had approximately \$18.9 million, net of impairment charges, invested in or advanced to the Development Joint Ventures. In addition, the Company has a funding commitment of \$3.5 million to one Development Joint Venture should an additional investment in that venture be required.

As of April 30, 2011, the Company had recognized cumulative impairment charges in connection with its current Development Joint Ventures of \$163.8 million. These impairment charges are attributable to investments in certain Development Joint Ventures where the Company determined there was a loss in value in the investment that was other than temporary. The Company recognized an impairment charge in connection with one of its Development Joint Ventures of \$20.0 million in the three-month period ended January 31, 2011 and an additional impairment charge of \$9.6 million in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011. The Company did not recognize any impairment charges in connection with the Development Joint Ventures in the three-month periods ended April 30, 2010 and January 31, 2010.

At April 30, 2011, one of the Development Joint Ventures had a loan with a principal balance of \$327.9 million subject to litigation discussed below. This loan is non-recourse to the Company; however, the Company executed certain completion guarantees and conditional repayment guarantees against this loan. The obligations under such completion guarantees and conditional repayment guarantees are several and not joint and are limited to the Company's pro rata share of the loan obligation of this Development Joint Venture.

In December 2008, the lending syndicate for the Development Joint Venture filed separate lawsuits against the members of the Development Joint Venture and their parent companies, seeking to recover damages under the completion guarantees and damages allegedly caused by the venture's failure to repay the lenders. In December 2010, three of the lenders in this syndicate filed an involuntary bankruptcy petition against this joint venture entity. In February 2011, the bankruptcy court entered an order for relief and ordered the appointment of a bankruptcy trustee. The joint venture appealed the bankruptcy court's order, but this appeal was denied by the U.S. District Court. The joint venture has now appealed this ruling.

The Company believes that adequate provision for resolution of all claims and pending litigation has been made for probable losses and the disposition of the above matter is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and liquidity or on its financial condition.

***Planned Community Joint Venture***

The Company is a participant in a joint venture with an unrelated party to develop a single master planned community (the Planned Community Joint Venture ). At April 30, 2011, the Company had an investment of \$41.1 million in this Planned Community Joint Venture. At April 30, 2011, each participant had agreed to contribute additional funds up to \$8.3 million, if required. If a participant fails to make a required capital contribution, the other participant may make the additional contribution and diminish the non-contributing participant's ownership interest. At April 30, 2011, this joint venture did not have any indebtedness. The Company recognized an impairment charge in connection with the Planned Community Joint Venture of \$10.0 million in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011. The Company did not recognize any impairment charges in connection with the Planned Community Joint Venture in the three-month period ended January 31, 2011 or in the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2010.

***Condominium Joint Ventures***

At April 30, 2011, the Company had an aggregate of \$41.0 million of investments in four joint ventures with unrelated parties to develop luxury condominium projects, including for-sale residential units and commercial space ( Condominium Joint Ventures ). At April 30, 2011, the Condominium Joint Ventures had aggregate loan commitments of \$106.1 million, against which approximately \$100.6 million had been borrowed.

**Table of Contents**

As of April 30, 2011, the Company had recognized cumulative impairment charges against its investments in the Condominium Joint Ventures and its pro rata share of impairment charges recognized by these Condominium Joint Ventures in the amount of \$63.9 million. The Company did not recognize any impairment charges in connection with its Condominium Joint Ventures in the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010. At April 30, 2011, the Company did not have any commitments to make contributions to any Condominium Joint Venture.

***Structured Asset Joint Venture***

In July 2010, the Company, through Gibraltar, invested \$29.1 million in a joint venture in which it is a 20% participant with two unrelated parties to purchase a 40% interest in an entity that owns and controls a portfolio of loans and real estate ( Structured Asset Joint Venture ). At April 30, 2011, the Company had an investment of \$30.5 million in this Structured Asset Joint Venture. At April 30, 2011, the Company did not have any commitments to make additional contributions to the joint venture and has not guaranteed any of the joint venture's liabilities. If the joint venture needs additional capital and a participant fails to make a requested capital contribution, the other participant may make a contribution in consideration for a preferred return or may make the additional capital contribution and diminish the non-contributing participant's ownership interest.

***Loan Participation***

In March 2011, the Company, through Gibraltar, acquired a 60% participation in a portfolio of non-performing loans. The portfolio of 83 loans with an aggregate outstanding principal balance of approximately \$200 million consisted primarily of residential acquisition, development and construction loans secured by properties at various stages of completion. The Company purchased its participation for \$42.1 million and will contribute approximately \$2.4 million to an expense reserve fund to pay for the costs to be incurred in managing the portfolio and the underlying assets. At April 30, 2011, the Company's investment and pro rata share of the portfolio's earnings amounted to \$42.6 million. In the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, the Company recognized \$0.5 million of earnings from the portfolio.

***Toll Brothers Realty Trust and Trust II***

In fiscal 2005, the Company, together with the Pennsylvania State Employees Retirement System ( PASERS ), formed Toll Brothers Realty Trust II ( Trust II ) to be in a position to take advantage of commercial real estate opportunities. Trust II is owned 50% by the Company and 50% by an affiliate of PASERS. At April 30, 2011, the Company had an investment of \$10.8 million in Trust II. Prior to the formation of Trust II, the Company formed Toll Brothers Realty Trust (the Trust ) in 1998 to take advantage of commercial real estate opportunities. The Trust is effectively owned one-third by the Company; one-third by Robert I. Toll, Bruce E. Toll (and members of his family), Zvi Barzilay (and members of his family), Douglas C. Yearley, Jr. and former members of the Company's senior management; and one-third by an affiliate of PASERS (collectively, the Shareholders ). As of April 30, 2011, the Company had a net investment in the Trust of \$0.3 million. The Company provides development, finance and management services to the Trust and recognized fees under the terms of various agreements in the amounts of \$1.1 million in each of the six-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, and \$0.5 million in each of the three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010. The Company believes that the transactions between itself and the Trust were on terms no less favorable than it would have agreed to with unrelated parties.

***General***

At April 30, 2011, the Company had accrued \$70.1 million of aggregate exposure with respect to its estimated obligations to unconsolidated entities in which it has an investment. The Company's investments in these entities are accounted for using the equity method. The Company recognized \$39.6 million and \$19.6 million of impairment charges related to its investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities in the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011, respectively. The Company did not recognize any impairment charges related to its investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities in the comparable periods of fiscal 2010. Impairment charges related to these entities are included in (Loss) income from unconsolidated entities in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.



**Table of Contents****4. Accrued Expenses**

Accrued expenses at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010 consisted of the following (amounts in thousands):

|   | April 30,<br>2011 | October 31,<br>2010 |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|
| Land, land development and construction | \$ 105,684        | \$ 110,301          |
| Compensation and employee benefits      | 88,338            | 95,107              |
| Insurance and litigation                | 137,833           | 143,421             |
| Commitments to unconsolidated entities  | 70,051            | 88,121              |
| Warranty                                | 46,321            | 45,835              |
| Interest                                | 27,463            | 26,998              |
| Other                                   | 50,133            | 60,538              |
|   | \$ 525,823        | \$ 570,321          |

The Company accrues for expected warranty costs at the time each home is closed and title and possession are transferred to the home buyer. Costs are accrued based upon historical experience. Changes in the warranty accrual for six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (amounts in thousands):

|  | Six months ended April 30, |           | Three months ended April 30, |           |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
|  | 2011                       | 2010      | 2011                         | 2010      |
| Balance, beginning of period                           | \$ 45,835                  | \$ 53,937 | \$ 45,928                    | \$ 53,359 |
| Additions – homes closed during the period             | 3,899                      | 4,005     | 1,970                        | 1,929     |
| Increase in accruals for homes closed in prior periods | 647                        | 604       | 713                          | 151       |
| Charges incurred                                       | (4,060)                    | (5,777)   | (2,290)                      | (2,670)   |
| Balance, end of period                                 | \$ 46,321                  | \$ 52,769 | \$ 46,321                    | \$ 52,769 |

**5. Employee Retirement Plans**

The Company has two unfunded supplemental retirement plans for certain employees. For the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recognized costs and made payments related to its supplemental retirement plans as follows (amounts in thousands):

|  | Six months ended April 30, |          | Three months ended April 30, |        |
|--|----------------------------|----------|------------------------------|--------|
|  | 2011                       | 2010     | 2011                         | 2010   |
| Service cost                             | \$ 153                     | \$ 121   | \$ 76                        | \$ 60  |
| Interest cost                            | 645                        | 694      | 323                          | 347    |
| Amortization of prior service obligation | 347                        | 614      | 173                          | 307    |
| Total costs                              | \$ 1,145                   | \$ 1,429 | \$ 572                       | \$ 714 |
| Benefits paid                            | \$ 62                      | \$ 62    | \$ 29                        | \$ 28  |

**6. Income Taxes**

A reconciliation of the Company's effective tax rate from the federal statutory tax rate for the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 is set forth in the tables below (amounts in thousands).

| 2011 | 2010 |
|------|------|
|------|------|



Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

| Six-month period ended April 30:                        | \$       | %*     | \$       | %*     |
|---|----------|--------|----------|--------|
| Federal tax benefit at statutory rate                   | (16,986) | (35.0) | (37,991) | (35.0) |
| State taxes, net of federal benefit                     | (1,577)  | (3.3)  | (2,553)  | (2.4)  |
| Reversal of state tax provisions finalization of audits | (2,340)  | (4.8)  |          |        |
| Reversal of accrual for uncertain tax positions         | (17,954) | (37.0) |          |        |
| Valuation allowance recognized                          | 19,577   | 40.3   | 35,015   | 32.3   |
| Valuation allowance reversed                            | (13,062) | (26.9) | (24,051) | (22.2) |
| Accrued interest on anticipated tax assessments         | 1,625    | 3.4    | 2,763    | 2.6    |
| Other   | (458)    | (0.9)  | (571)    | (0.5)  |
| Tax benefit   | (31,175) | (64.2) | (27,388) | (25.2) |

\* Due to rounding, amounts may not add.

**Table of Contents**

|   | 2011            |               | 2010            |               |
|---|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Three-month period ended April 30:              | \$              | %*            | \$              | %*            |
| Federal tax benefit at statutory rate           | (11,019)        | (35.0)        | (18,126)        | (35.0)        |
| State taxes, net of federal benefit             | (1,023)         | (3.3)         | (1,358)         | (2.6)         |
| Valuation allowance recognized                  | 12,549          | 39.9          | 20,174          | 39.0          |
| Valuation allowance reversed                    | (11,802)        | (37.5)        | (12,644)        | (24.4)        |
| Accrued interest on anticipated tax assessments | 813             | 2.6           | 975             | 1.9           |
| Other   | (229)           | (0.7)         | (409)           | (0.9)         |
| <b>Tax benefit</b>                              | <b>(10,711)</b> | <b>(34.0)</b> | <b>(11,388)</b> | <b>(22.0)</b> |

\* Due to rounding, amounts may not add.

The Company currently operates in 19 states and is subject to taxation in various state jurisdictions. The Company estimates its state tax liabilities based upon the individual taxing authorities' regulations, estimates of income by taxing jurisdiction and the Company's ability to utilize certain tax-saving strategies. Based on the Company's estimate of the allocation of income or loss, as the case may be, among the various taxing jurisdictions and changes in tax regulations and their impact on the Company's tax strategies, the Company's estimated rate for state income taxes is 5.0% for each of fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2010.

A reconciliation of the change in the unrecognized tax benefits for the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 is set forth in the table below (amounts in thousands).

|  | Six months ended April 30, |                   | Three months ended April 30, |                   |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
|  | 2011                       | 2010              | 2011                         | 2010              |
| Balance, November 1,   | \$ 160,446                 | \$ 171,366        | \$ 140,142                   | \$ 175,116        |
| Increase in benefit as a result of tax positions taken in prior years    |                            | 4,250             |                              | 1,500             |
| Increase in benefit as a result of tax positions taken in current year   | 2,500                      | 1,500             | 1,250                        | 500               |
| Decrease in benefit as a result of resolution of uncertain tax positions | (17,954)                   |                   |                              |                   |
| Decrease in benefit as a result of completion of tax audits              | (3,600)                    |                   |                              |                   |
| <b>Balance, April 30,</b>  | <b>\$ 141,392</b>          | <b>\$ 177,116</b> | <b>\$ 141,392</b>            | <b>\$ 177,116</b> |

The Company's unrecognized tax benefits are included in "Income taxes payable" on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. If these unrecognized tax benefits reverse in the future, they would have a beneficial impact on the Company's effective tax rate at that time. During the next twelve months, it is reasonably possible that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will change. The anticipated changes will be principally due to expiration of tax statutes, settlements with taxing jurisdictions, increases due to new tax positions taken and the accrual of estimated interest and penalties.

The Company recognizes in its tax benefit, potential interest and penalties. Information as to the amounts recognized in its tax benefit, before reduction for applicable taxes and reversal of previously accrued interest and penalties, of potential interest and penalties in the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, and the amounts accrued for potential interest and penalties at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010 is set forth in the table below (amounts in thousands).

Recognized in statements of operations:

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| Six-month period ended April 30, 2011   | \$ 2,500 |
| Six-month period ended April 30, 2010   | \$ 4,250 |
| Three-month period ended April 30, 2011 | \$ 1,250 |
| Three-month period ended April 30, 2010 | \$ 1,500 |

Accrued at:

|                  |           |
|------------------|-----------|
| April 30, 2011   | \$ 27,000 |
| October 31, 2010 | \$ 39,209 |

The Company is allowed to carry forward tax losses for 20 years and apply such tax losses to future taxable income to realize federal deferred tax assets. As of April 30, 2011, the Company had approximately \$10.0 million of tax loss carryforwards, resulting from losses that it recognized on its fiscal 2009 tax return, in excess of the amount it could carry back against its fiscal 2007 federal taxable income. In addition, the Company will be able to reverse its previously recognized valuation allowances during any future period for which it reports book income before income taxes. The Company will continue to review its deferred tax assets in accordance with ASC 740 Income Taxes .

**Table of Contents**

On November 6, 2009, the Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009 (the Act) was enacted into law. The Act amended Section 172 of the Internal Revenue Code to allow net operating losses realized in a tax year ending after December 31, 2007 and beginning before January 1, 2010 to be carried back for up to five years (such losses were previously limited to a two-year carryback). This change allowed the Company to carry back its fiscal 2010 taxable losses to prior years and to file for a refund of previously paid federal income taxes. The Company received a tax refund in its second quarter of fiscal 2011 of \$154.3 million.

At April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, the Company had recorded cumulative valuation allowances against its entire net deferred federal tax asset of \$370.7 million and \$364.2 million, respectively.

For state tax purposes, due to past and projected losses in certain jurisdictions where the Company does not have carryback potential and/or cannot sufficiently forecast future taxable income, the Company has recognized net cumulative valuation allowances against its state deferred tax assets of \$45.0 million as of April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010. Future valuation allowances in these jurisdictions may continue to be recognized if the Company believes it will not generate sufficient future taxable income to utilize any future state deferred tax assets.

**7. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss and Total Comprehensive Loss**

Accumulated other comprehensive loss at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010 was primarily related to employee retirement plans.

The components of other comprehensive loss in the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (amounts in thousands):

|   | Six months ended April 30, |             | Three months ended April 30, |             |
|---|----------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|
|   | 2011                       | 2010        | 2011                         | 2010        |
| Net loss as reported                                  | \$ (17,356)                | \$ (81,155) | \$ (20,773)                  | \$ (40,401) |
| Changes in pension liability, net of tax provision    | 347                        | (283)       | 173                          | (184)       |
| Change in fair value of available-for-sale securities | (5)                        | 63          | 59                           | (78)        |
| Comprehensive loss                                    | \$ (17,014)                | \$ (81,375) | \$ (20,541)                  | \$ (40,663) |
| Tax benefit recognized in total comprehensive loss    |                            | \$ 146      |                              | \$ 175      |

**8. Stock-Based Benefit Plans***Stock Options:*

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using a lattice-based option valuation model that uses assumptions noted in the following table. The lattice-based option valuation model incorporates ranges of assumptions for inputs, which are disclosed in the table below. Expected volatilities were based on implied volatilities from traded options on the Company's stock, historical volatility of the Company's stock and other factors. The expected lives of options granted were derived from the historical exercise patterns and anticipated future patterns and represent the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding; the range given below results from certain groups of employees exhibiting different behaviors. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

**Table of Contents**

The weighted-average assumptions and the fair value used for stock option grants in fiscal 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

|   | 2011            | 2010            |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Expected volatility   | 45.38% - 49.46% | 46.74% - 51.41% |
| Weighted-average volatility   | 47.73%          | 49.51%          |
| Risk-free interest rate   | 1.64% - 3.09%   | 2.15% - 3.47%   |
| Expected life (years)   | 4.29 - 8.75     | 4.44 - 8.69     |
| Dividends   | none            | none            |
| Weighted-average grant date fair value per share of options granted | \$7.94          | \$7.63          |

Stock compensation expense and related income tax benefits related to stock options recognized for the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, for the twelve months ended October 31, 2010 and estimated amounts for the twelve months ended October 31, 2011 are as follows (amounts in thousands):

|   | 2011        | 2010         |
|---|-------------|--------------|
| <b>Six months ended April 30:</b>                 |             |              |
| Stock-compensation expense recognized             | \$ 5,905    | \$ 6,284     |
| Income tax benefit related to stock option grants |             | \$ 2,513     |
| <b>Three months ended April 30:</b>               |             |              |
| Stock-compensation expense recognized             | \$ 1,317    | \$ 1,375     |
| Income tax benefit related to stock option grants |             | \$ 561       |
| <b>Twelve months ended October 31:</b>            |             |              |
| Stock-compensation expense recognized             | \$ 8,560(a) | \$ 9,332     |
| Income tax benefit related to stock option grants |             | (a) \$ 3,266 |

(a) Estimated

**Performance Based Restricted Stock Units:**

In December 2010 and 2009, the Executive Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors approved awards of performance-based restricted stock units ( Performance-Based RSUs ) relating to shares of the Company's common stock. The Performance-Based RSUs will vest and the recipients will be entitled to receive the underlying shares if the average closing price of the Company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE ), measured over any 20 consecutive trading days ending on or prior to five years from date of issuance of the Performance-Based RSUs increases 30% or more over the closing price of the Company's common stock on the NYSE on the date of issuance ( Target Price ); provided the recipients continue to be employed by the Company or serve on the board of directors of the Company (as applicable) as stipulated in the award document. The Company determined the aggregate value of the Performance-Based RSUs using a lattice-based option pricing model.

Information regarding the issuance, valuation assumptions, amortization and unamortized balances of the Company's Performance-Based RSUs in and at the relevant periods and dates in fiscal 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

|  | 2011      | 2010      |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| <b>Performance-Based RSUs issued:</b>                                |           |           |
| Number issued  | 306,000   | 200,000   |
| Closing price of the Company's common stock on date of issuance      | \$ 19.32  | \$ 18.38  |
| Target price   | \$ 25.12  | \$ 23.89  |
| Volatility   | 48.22%    | 49.92%    |
| Risk-free interest rate  | 1.99%     | 2.43%     |
| Expected life  | 3.0 years | 3.0 years |
| Aggregate fair value of Performance-Based RSUs issued (in thousands) | \$ 4,994  | \$ 3,160  |

**Performance-Based RSU expense recognized (in thousands):**

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

|                              |    |       |    |     |
|------------------------------|----|-------|----|-----|
| Six months ended April 30,   | \$ | 1,735 | \$ | 987 |
| Three months ended April 30, | \$ | 983   | \$ | 567 |

**Table of Contents**

|   | 2011     | 2010     |
|---|----------|----------|
| <b>At April 30:</b>   |          |          |
| Aggregate outstanding Performance-Based RSUs                          | 706,000  | 400,000  |
| Cumulative unamortized value of Performance-Based RSUs (in thousands) | \$ 6,895 | \$ 4,769 |

*Non-Performance Based Restricted Stock Units:*

In December 2010 and 2009, the Company issued restricted stock units ( RSUs ) relating to shares of the Company s common stock to several employees. These RSUs generally vest in annual installments over a four-year period. The value of the RSUs was determined to be equal to the number of shares of the Company s common stock to be issued pursuant to the RSUs, multiplied by the closing price of the Company s common stock on the NYSE on the date the RSUs were awarded. Information regarding these RSUs in the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

|   | 2011     | 2010     |
|---|----------|----------|
| <b>RSUs issued:</b>   |          |          |
| Number issued   | 15,497   | 19,663   |
| Closing price of the Company s common stock on date of issuance | \$ 19.32 | \$ 18.38 |
| Aggregate fair value of RSUs issued (in thousands)              | \$ 299   | \$ 361   |

**RSU expense recognized** (in thousands):

|                              |       |       |
|------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Six months ended April 30,   | \$ 66 | \$ 33 |
| Three months ended April 30, | \$ 39 | \$ 23 |

**At April 30:**

|   |        |        |
|---|--------|--------|
| Aggregate outstanding RSUs                          | 35,160 | 19,663 |
| Cumulative unamortized value of RSUs (in thousands) | \$ 457 | \$ 329 |

**9. Loss per Share Information**

Information pertaining to the calculation of loss per share, common stock equivalents, weighted average number of anti-dilutive option and shares issued for the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 is as follows (amounts in thousands):

|  | Six months ended April 30, |         | Three months ended April 30, |         |
|--|----------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|
|  | 2011                       | 2010    | 2011                         | 2010    |
| Basic weighted-average shares  | 166,794                    | 165,322 | 166,910                      | 165,407 |
| Common stock equivalents (a)   |                            |         |                              |         |
| Diluted weighted-average shares  | 166,794                    | 165,322 | 166,910                      | 165,407 |
| Common stock equivalents excluded from diluted weighted-average shares due to anti-dilutive effect (a) | 1,537                      | 2,229   | 1,630                        | 2,297   |
| Weighted average number of anti-dilutive options (b)   | 7,446                      | 7,417   | 6,509                        | 6,316   |
| Shares issued under stock incentive and employee stock purchase plans                                  | 513                        | 720     | 100                          | 118     |

(a)

Common stock equivalents represent the dilutive effect of outstanding in-the-money stock options. For the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, there were no incremental shares attributed to outstanding options to purchase common stock because the Company had a net loss in the periods and any incremental shares would be anti-dilutive.

- (b) Based upon the average closing price of the Company's common stock on the NYSE for the period.



**Table of Contents****10. Stock Repurchase Program**

In March 2003, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 20 million shares of its common stock, par value \$.01, from time to time, in open market transactions or otherwise, for the purpose of providing shares for its various employee benefit plans. Information about the Company's share repurchase program for the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 is in the table below.

|   | Six months ended April 30, |          | Three months ended April 30, |          |
|---|----------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
|   | 2011                       | 2010     | 2011                         | 2010     |
| Number of shares purchased                          | 19,000                     | 19,000   | 11,000                       | 12,000   |
| Average price per share                             | \$ 20.13                   | \$ 19.67 | \$ 20.83                     | \$ 20.02 |
| Remaining authorization at April 30: (in thousands) | 11,811                     | 11,843   | 11,811                       | 11,843   |

**11. Fair Value Disclosures**

A summary of assets and (liabilities) at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010 related to the Company's financial instruments, measured at fair value on a recurring basis, is set forth below (amounts in thousands).

| Financial Instrument  | Fair value hierarchy | Fair value     |                  |
|---|----------------------|----------------|------------------|
|   |                      | April 30, 2011 | October 31, 2010 |
| U.S. Treasury Securities  | Level 1              | \$ 45,075      | \$ 175,370       |
| U.S. Agency Securities  | Level 1              | \$ 30,010      | \$ 22,497        |
| Corporate Securities  | Level 1              | \$ 195,911     |                  |
| Pre-refunded Municipal Securities                                 | Level 1              | \$ 26,850      |                  |
| Residential Mortgage Loans Held for Sale                          | Level 2              | \$ 28,996      | \$ 93,644        |
| Forward Loan Commitments Residential Mortgage Loans Held for Sale | Level 2              | \$ (176)       | \$ (459)         |
| Interest Rate Lock Commitments ( IRLCs )                          | Level 2              | \$ 103         | \$ 130           |
| Forward Loan Commitments IRLCs                                    | Level 2              | \$ (103)       | \$ (130)         |

At April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, the carrying value of cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash approximated fair value. Gibraltar's investment in the portfolio of non-performing loans was recorded at fair value at inception based on the acquisition price as determined by Level 3 inputs. Due to the relatively short period of time since the Company's acquisition of the investment, the Company believes the fair value of these financial instruments approximate their carrying values at April 30, 2011.

At the end of the reporting period, the Company determines the fair value of its mortgage loans held for sale and the forward loan commitments it has entered into as a hedge against the interest rate risk of its mortgage loans using the market approach to determine fair value. The evaluation is based on the current market pricing of mortgage loans with similar terms and values as of the reporting date and by applying such pricing to the mortgage loan portfolio. The Company recognizes the difference between the fair value and the unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans held for sale as a gain or loss. In addition, the Company recognizes the fair value of its forward loan commitments as a gain or loss. These gains and losses are included in interest and other income. Interest income on mortgage loans held for sale is calculated based upon the stated interest rate of each loan and is included in interest and other income.

The table below provides, for the periods indicated, the aggregate unpaid principal and fair value of mortgage loans held for sale as of the date indicated (amounts in thousands).

|                   | Aggregate unpaid principal balance | Fair value | Excess |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|------------|--------|
| At April 30, 2011 | \$ 28,533                          | \$ 28,996  | \$ 463 |

At April 30, 2010

|  |    |        |    |        |    |     |
|--|----|--------|----|--------|----|-----|
|  | \$ | 46,710 | \$ | 47,107 | \$ | 397 |
|--|----|--------|----|--------|----|-----|

IRLCs represent individual borrower agreements that commit the Company to lend at a specified price for a specified period as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the commitment contract. These commitments have varying degrees of interest rate risk. The Company utilizes best-efforts forward loan commitments ( Forward Commitments ) to hedge the interest rate risk of the IRLCs and residential mortgage loans held for sale. Forward Commitments represent contracts with third-party investors for the future delivery of loans whereby the Company agrees to make delivery at a specified future date at a specified price. The IRLCs and Forward Commitments are considered derivative financial instruments under ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging , which requires derivative financial instruments to be recorded at fair value. The Company estimates the fair value of such commitments based on the estimated fair value of the underlying mortgage loan and, in the case of IRLCs, the probability that the mortgage loan will fund within the terms of the IRLC. To manage the risk of nonperformance of investors regarding the Forward Commitments, the Company assesses the credit worthiness of the investors on a periodic basis.

**Table of Contents**

As of April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, the amortized cost, gross unrealized holding gains, gross unrealized holding losses, and fair value of marketable securities were as follows (in thousands):

|                                 | April 30,<br>2011 | October 31,<br>2010 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Amortized cost                  | \$ 297,740        | \$ 197,699          |
| Gross unrealized holding gains  | 184               | 180                 |
| Gross unrealized holding losses | (78)              | (12)                |
| Fair value                      | \$ 297,846        | \$ 197,867          |

The remaining contractual maturities of marketable securities as of April 30, 2011 ranged from 1 month to 12 months. The Company recognizes inventory impairment charges based on the difference in the carrying value of the inventory and its fair value at the time of the evaluation. The fair value of the aforementioned inventory was determined using Level 3 criteria. See Note 1, Significant Accounting Policies, Inventory for additional information regarding the Company's methodology on determining fair value. The table below provides, for the periods indicated, the fair value of inventory whose carrying value was adjusted and the amount of impairment charges recognized (amounts in millions).

| Three months ended: | Fair value of<br>communities,<br>net of<br>impairment<br>charges | Impairment<br>charges |
|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Fiscal 2011:        |  |                       |
| January 31          | \$ 56.1  | \$ 5.5                |
| April 30            | \$ 40.8  | 10.7                  |
|                     |  | \$ 16.2               |
| Fiscal 2010:        |  |                       |
| January 31          | \$ 82.5  | \$ 82.5               |
| April 30            | \$ 41.8  | 70.7                  |
|                     |  | \$ 153.2              |

The book value and estimated fair value of the Company's debt at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010 was as follows (amounts in thousands):

|                                     | April 30, 2011 |                         | October 31, 2010 |                         |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
|                                     | Book value     | Estimated<br>fair value | Book value       | Estimated<br>fair value |
| Loans payable (a)                   | \$ 105,256     | \$ 95,701               | \$ 94,491        | \$ 87,751               |
| Senior notes (b)                    | 1,554,460      | 1,671,716               | 1,554,460        | 1,679,052               |
| Mortgage company warehouse loan (c) | 24,906         | 24,906                  | 72,367           | 72,367                  |
|                                     | \$ 1,684,622   | \$ 1,792,323            | \$ 1,721,318     | \$ 1,839,170            |

(a)

The estimated fair value of loans payable was based upon their indicated market prices or the interest rates that the Company believed were available to it for loans with similar terms and remaining maturities as of the applicable valuation date.

- (b) The estimated fair value of the Company's senior notes is based upon their indicated market prices.
- (c) The Company believes that the carrying value of its mortgage company loan borrowings approximates their fair value.

**Table of Contents****12. Legal Proceedings**

The Company is involved in various claims and litigation arising principally in the ordinary course of business. In January 2006, the Company received a request for information pursuant to Section 308 of the Clean Water Act from Region 3 of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ( EPA ) concerning storm water discharge practices in connection with its homebuilding projects in the states that comprise EPA Region 3. The Company provided information to the EPA pursuant to the request. The U.S. Department of Justice ( DOJ ) has now assumed responsibility for the oversight of this matter and has alleged that the Company has violated regulatory requirements applicable to storm water discharges and that it may seek injunctive relief and/or civil penalties. The Company is now engaged in settlement discussions with representatives from the DOJ and the EPA.

On November 4, 2008, a shareholder derivative action was filed in the Chancery Court of Delaware by Milton Pfeiffer against Robert I. Toll, Zvi Barzilay, Joel H. Rassman, Bruce E. Toll, Paul E. Shapiro, Robert S. Blank, Carl B. Marbach, and Richard J. Braemer. The plaintiff purports to bring his claims on behalf of Toll Brothers, Inc. and alleges that the director and officer defendants breached their fiduciary duties to the Company and its stockholders with respect to their sales of shares of the Company's common stock during the period beginning on December 9, 2004 and ending on November 8, 2005, as alleged in a securities class action suit that was filed against the Company and certain of its directors and officers and, as disclosed in the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal period ended January 31, 2011, was settled by the parties in March 2011 ( Class Action ). The plaintiff alleges that such stock sales were made while in possession of non-public, material information about the Company. The plaintiff seeks contribution and indemnification from the individual director and officer defendants for any liability found against the Company in the Class Action. In addition, again purportedly on the Company's behalf, the plaintiff seeks disgorgement of the defendants' profits from their stock sales.

On March 4, 2009, a second shareholder derivative action was brought by Oliverio Martinez in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The case was brought against the eleven then-current members of the Company's board of directors and Chief Accounting Officer. This complaint alleges breaches of fiduciary duty, waste of corporate assets, and unjust enrichment during the period from February 2005 to November 2006. The complaint further alleges that certain of the defendants sold the Company's stock during this period while in possession of the allegedly non-public, material information about the role of speculative investors in the Company's sales and plaintiff seeks disgorgement of profits from these sales. The complaint also asserts a claim for equitable indemnity for costs and expenses incurred by the Company in connection with defending the Class Action.

On April 1, 2009, a third shareholder derivative action was filed by William Hall, also in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, against the eleven then-current members of the Company's board of directors and Chief Accounting Officer. This complaint is identical to the previous shareholder complaint filed in Philadelphia and, on July 14, 2009, the two cases were consolidated. On April 30, 2010, the plaintiffs filed an amended consolidated complaint. The Company's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws provide for indemnification of its directors and officers. The Company has also entered into individual indemnification agreements with each of its directors.

Due to the high degree of judgment required in determining the amount of potential loss related to the various claims and litigation in which the Company is involved, including those noted above, and the inherent variability in predicting future settlements and judicial decisions, the Company cannot estimate a range of reasonably possible losses in excess of its accruals for these matters. The Company believes that adequate provision for resolution of all claims and pending litigation has been made for probable losses and the disposition of these matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and liquidity or on its financial condition.

**13. Commitments and Contingencies**

Generally, the Company's option and purchase agreements to acquire land parcels do not require the Company to purchase those land parcels, although the Company may, in some cases, forfeit any deposit balance outstanding if and when it terminates an option and purchase agreement. If market conditions are weak, approvals needed to develop the land are uncertain or other factors exist that make the purchase undesirable, the Company may not expect to acquire the land. Whether an option and purchase agreement is legally terminated or not, the Company reviews the amount recorded for the land parcel subject to the option and purchase agreement to determine if the amount is recoverable. While the Company may not have formally terminated the option and purchase agreements for those land parcels that

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

it does not expect to acquire, it has written off any non-refundable deposits and costs previously capitalized to such land parcels in the periods that it determined such costs were not recoverable.

Information regarding the Company's purchase commitments at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010 is provided in the table below (amounts in millions).

|   | April 30,<br>2011 | October 31,<br>2010 |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|
| Aggregate purchase commitments  |                   |                     |
| Unrelated parties   | \$ 408.2          | \$ 419.2            |
| Unconsolidated entities that the Company has investments in                     | 136.0             | 131.2               |
| <b>Total</b>  | <b>\$ 544.2</b>   | <b>\$ 550.4</b>     |
| Deposits against aggregate purchase commitments                                 | \$ 43.5           | \$ 47.1             |
| Credits to be received from unconsolidated entities                             | 38.3              | 37.3                |
| Additional cash required to acquire land  | 462.4             | 466.0               |
| <b>Total</b>  | <b>\$ 544.2</b>   | <b>\$ 550.4</b>     |
| Amount of additional cash required to acquire land included in accrued expenses | \$ 62.5           | \$ 77.6             |

**Table of Contents**

The Company has additional land parcels under option that have been excluded from the aforementioned aggregate purchase amounts since it does not believe that it will complete the purchase of these land parcels and no additional funds will be required from the Company to terminate these contracts.

At April 30, 2011, the Company had investments in and advances to a number of unconsolidated entities, was committed to invest or advance additional funds and had guaranteed a portion of the indebtedness and/or loan commitments of these entities. In addition, the Company was subject to litigation related to one joint venture in which it had an investment. See Note 3, Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Entities, for more information regarding the Company's commitments to these entities.

At April 30, 2011, the Company had \$783.8 million available to it under its \$885 million revolving credit facility with 12 banks, which extends to October 2014. At April 30, 2011, the Company had no outstanding borrowings under the credit facility but had outstanding letters of credit of approximately \$101.2 million. At April 30, 2011, interest would have been payable on borrowings under our credit facility at 2.50% (subject to adjustment based upon our debt rating and leverage ratios) above the Eurodollar rate or at other specified variable rates as selected by us from time to time. The Company is obligated to pay an undrawn commitment fee of 0.50% (subject to adjustment based upon our debt rating and leverage ratios) based on the average daily unused amount of the credit facility. Under the terms of the credit facility, the Company is not permitted to allow its maximum leverage ratio (as defined in the underlying credit agreement) to exceed 1.75 to 1.00, and is required to maintain a minimum tangible net worth (as defined in the underlying credit agreement) of approximately \$1.88 billion at April 30, 2011. At April 30, 2011, the Company's leverage ratio was approximately 0.16 to 1.00, and its tangible net worth was approximately \$2.51 billion. In addition, at April 30, 2011, the Company had \$25.3 million of letters of credit outstanding with three banks which were not part of its new credit facility; these letters of credit were collateralized by \$26.0 million of cash deposits.

At April 30, 2011, the Company had outstanding surety bonds amounting to \$371.0 million, primarily related to its obligations to various governmental entities to construct improvements in the Company's various communities. The Company estimates that an aggregate of \$172.9 million of work remains on these improvements. The Company has an additional \$71.1 million of surety bonds outstanding that guarantee other obligations of the Company. The Company does not believe it is probable that any outstanding bonds will be drawn upon.

At April 30, 2011, the Company had agreements of sale outstanding to deliver 1,760 homes with an aggregate sales value of \$1.01 billion.

The Company's mortgage subsidiary provides mortgage financing for a portion of the Company's home closings. The Company's mortgage subsidiary funds its commitments through a combination of its own capital, capital provided from Toll Brothers, Inc, its \$75 million repurchase facility and from the sale of mortgage loans to various investors. For those home buyers to whom the Company's mortgage subsidiary provides mortgages, it determines whether the home buyer qualifies for the mortgage he or she is seeking based upon information provided by the home buyer and other sources. For those home buyers that qualify, the Company's mortgage subsidiary provides the home buyer with a mortgage commitment that specifies the terms and conditions of a proposed mortgage loan based upon then-current market conditions. Prior to the actual closing of the home and funding of the mortgage, the home buyer will lock in an interest rate based upon the terms of the commitment. At the time of rate lock, the Company's mortgage subsidiary agrees to sell the proposed mortgage loan to one of several outside recognized mortgage financing institutions, which is willing to honor the terms and conditions, including a locked interest rate, committed to the home buyer. The Company believes that these institutions have adequate financial resources to honor their commitments to its mortgage subsidiary. Information regarding the Company's mortgage commitments at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010 is provided in the table below (amounts in millions).

**Table of Contents**

|  | April 30,<br>2011 | October 31,<br>2010 |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|
| Aggregate mortgage loan commitments                              |                   |                     |
| IRLCs  | \$ 153.3          | \$ 169.5            |
| Non-IRLCs  | 319.0             | 263.5               |
| Total  | \$ 472.3          | \$ 433.0            |
| Investor commitments to purchase:                                |                   |                     |
| IRLCs  | \$ 148.2          | \$ 169.5            |
| Mortgage loans receivable  | 25.9              | 91.7                |
| Total  | \$ 174.1          | \$ 261.2            |
| Amount of commitments with unlocked interest rates by home buyer | \$ 319.0          | \$ 263.5            |

As of April 30, 2011, the Company has confirmed the presence of defective Chinese-made drywall in a small number of its West Florida homes, which were delivered between May 2006 and January 2008. The anticipated cost of the remediation of these homes is included in the amounts that the Company previously accrued. The Company believes that adequate provision for costs associated with the remediation of homes containing Chinese-made drywall has been made and that such costs are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and liquidity or on its financial condition.

**14. Interest and Other Income**

Interest and other income includes the activity of the Company's non-core ancillary businesses which include its mortgage, title, landscaping, security monitoring, structured loan and non-performing portfolio group and golf course and country club operations. Revenues and expenses for the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (amounts in thousands):

|         | Six months ended April 30, |           | Three months ended April 30, |           |
|---------|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
|         | 2011                       | 2010      | 2011                         | 2010      |
| Revenue | \$ 27,542                  | \$ 20,077 | \$ 13,770                    | \$ 10,186 |
| Expense | \$ 27,503                  | \$ 17,726 | \$ 14,288                    | \$ 9,327  |

**15. Geographic Segments**

Revenue and (loss) income before income taxes for each of the Company's geographic segments for the six-month and three month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (amounts in millions):

|              | Six months ended April 30, |          | Three months ended April 30, |          |
|--------------|----------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
|              | 2011                       | 2010     | 2011                         | 2010     |
| Revenue:     |                            |          |                              |          |
| North        | \$ 167.1                   | \$ 174.5 | \$ 84.6                      | \$ 83.1  |
| Mid-Atlantic | 203.4                      | 204.0    | 99.6                         | 103.0    |
| South        | 128.4                      | 119.0    | 66.5                         | 63.7     |
| West         | 154.9                      | 140.5    | 69.0                         | 61.5     |
| Total        | \$ 653.8                   | \$ 638.0 | \$ 319.7                     | \$ 311.3 |



Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

(Loss) income before income taxes:

|                     |           |            |           |           |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| North               | \$ 14.5   | \$ (4.3)   | \$ 7.4    | \$ (2.4)  |
| Mid-Atlantic        | 15.1      | 2.5        | 6.4       | 7.6       |
| South               | (13.6)    | (27.6)     | (12.3)    | (18.4)    |
| West                | (27.1)    | (26.8)     | (11.9)    | (15.5)    |
| Corporate and other | (37.4)    | (52.3)     | (21.1)    | (23.1)    |
| Total               | \$ (48.5) | \$ (108.5) | \$ (31.5) | \$ (51.8) |

Corporate and other is comprised principally of general corporate expenses such as the offices of the Executive Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, and President, and the corporate finance, accounting, audit, tax, human resources, risk management, marketing and legal groups, directly expensed interest, offset in part by interest income, income from the Company's ancillary businesses and income from Gibraltar.

**Table of Contents**

Total assets for each of the Company's geographic segments at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010 are shown in the table below (amounts in millions).

|                     | April 30,<br>2011 | October 31,<br>2010 |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| North               | \$ 1,007.1        | \$ 961.3            |
| Mid-Atlantic        | 1,228.6           | 1,161.5             |
| South               | 750.4             | 693.8               |
| West                | 670.7             | 712.4               |
| Corporate and other | 1,427.1           | 1,642.6             |
| <b>Total</b>        | <b>\$ 5,083.9</b> | <b>\$ 5,171.6</b>   |

Corporate and other is comprised principally of cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, restricted cash, income tax refund recoverable, the assets of the Company's manufacturing facilities and mortgage subsidiary, and its Gibraltar investments.

The Company provided for inventory impairment charges and the expensing of costs that it believed not to be recoverable and write-downs of investments in unconsolidated entities that it believes to be other than temporarily impaired for the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 as shown in the table below; the carrying value of inventory and investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities for each of the Company's geographic segments at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010 are also shown (amounts in millions).

|   | Net Carrying Value |                     | Impairment Charges Recognized |        |                                 |        |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
|   | April 30,<br>2011  | October 31,<br>2010 | Six months ended<br>April 30, |        | Three months ended<br>April 30, |        |
|   |                    |                     | 2011                          | 2010   | 2011                            | 2010   |
| <b>Inventory:</b>                       |                    |                     |                               |        |                                 |        |
| Land controlled for future communities: |                    |                     |                               |        |                                 |        |
| North                                   | \$ 11.4            | \$ 3.6              | \$ 0.4                        | \$ 1.8 | \$ 0.3                          | \$ 0.2 |
| Mid-Atlantic                            | 16.8               | 14.8                | 0.1                           | 0.2    |                                 | 0.2    |
| South                                   | 8.5                | 11.0                | 0.3                           | (0.2)  |                                 |        |
| West                                    | 3.7                | 2.5                 | 1.0                           | 0.4    | 1.9                             | 0.2    |
|   | 40.4               | 31.9                | 1.8                           | 2.2    | 2.2                             | 0.6    |
| Land owned for future communities:      |                    |                     |                               |        |                                 |        |
| North                                   | 230.1              | 208.5               |                               | 5.3    |                                 | 5.3    |
| Mid-Atlantic                            | 426.9              | 452.9               |                               | 9.0    |                                 |        |
| South                                   | 136.5              | 119.8               |                               | 8.1    |                                 | 8.1    |
| West                                    | 183.3              | 142.8               |                               | 13.3   |                                 | 13.3   |
|   | 976.8              | 924.0               |                               | 35.7   |                                 | 26.7   |
| Operating communities:                  |                    |                     |                               |        |                                 |        |
| North                                   | 692.2              | 685.3               | 2.7                           | 4.8    | 1.4                             | 0.1    |
| Mid-Atlantic                            | 720.9              | 662.4               | 3.7                           | 1.6    | 3.7                             |        |
| South                                   | 498.7              | 443.3               | 3.8                           | 17.3   | 3.8                             | 11.2   |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

|                 |            |            |         |         |         |         |
|-----------------|------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| West            | 455.7      | 494.8      | 6.0     | 14.1    | 1.8     | 3.7     |
|                 | 2,367.5    | 2,285.8    | 16.2    | 37.8    | 10.7    | 15.0    |
| Total inventory | \$ 3,384.7 | \$ 3,241.7 | \$ 18.0 | \$ 75.7 | \$ 12.9 | \$ 42.3 |

**Investments in  
unconsolidated entities:**

|           |          |          |         |  |         |  |
|-----------|----------|----------|---------|--|---------|--|
| North     | \$ 41.0  | \$ 47.6  |         |  |         |  |
| South     | 41.1     | 51.7     | \$ 10.0 |  | \$ 10.0 |  |
| West      | 18.9     | 58.5     | 29.6    |  | 9.6     |  |
| Corporate | 84.3     | 40.6     |         |  |         |  |
| Total     | \$ 185.3 | \$ 198.4 | \$ 39.6 |  | \$ 19.6 |  |

**Table of Contents****16. Supplemental Disclosure to Statements of Cash Flows**

The following are supplemental disclosures to the statements of cash flows for the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 (amounts in thousands):

|  | 2011       | 2010      |
|--|------------|-----------|
| <b>Cash flow information:</b>  |            |           |
| Interest paid, net of amount capitalized   | \$ 8,150   | \$ 16,030 |
| Income taxes paid  |            | \$ 2,964  |
| Income tax refunds   | \$ 154,524 |           |
| <b>Non-cash activity:</b>  |            |           |
| Cost of inventory acquired through seller financing municipal bonds or recorded due to VIE criteria              | \$ 24,583  | \$ 37,672 |
| Miscellaneous increases to inventory   | \$ 2,025   | \$ 1,395  |
| Reclassification of inventory to property, construction and office equipment                                     | \$ 20,005  | \$ 18,711 |
| Income tax benefit related to exercise of employee stock options   |            | \$ 3,189  |
| Reduction of investments in unconsolidated entities due to reduction in letters of credit or accrued liabilities | \$ 9,506   | \$ 6,865  |
| Defined benefit retirement plan amendment  |            | \$ 1,085  |
| Miscellaneous (decreases) increases to investments in unconsolidated entities                                    | \$ (1,433) | \$ 1,353  |
| Stock awards   | \$ 24      | \$ 22     |

**17. Supplemental Guarantor Information**

A 100% owned subsidiary of the Company, Toll Brothers Finance Corp. (the **Subsidiary Issuer**), issued \$300 million of 6.875% Senior Notes due 2012 on November 22, 2002; \$250 million of 5.95% Senior Notes due 2013 on September 3, 2003; \$300 million of 4.95% Senior Notes due 2014 on March 16, 2004; \$300 million of 5.15% Senior Notes due 2015 on June 2, 2005; \$400 million of 8.91% Senior Notes due 2017 on April 13, 2009; and \$250 million of 6.75% Senior Notes due 2019 on September 22, 2009. In fiscal 2009, the **Subsidiary Issuer** redeemed \$105.1 million of its 6.875% Senior Notes due 2012 and \$94.9 million of its 5.95% Senior Notes due 2013. The obligations of the **Subsidiary Issuer** to pay principal, premiums, if any, and interest is guaranteed jointly and severally on a senior basis by the Company and substantially all of the Company's 100% owned home building subsidiaries (the **Guarantor Subsidiaries**). The guarantees are full and unconditional. The Company's non-home building subsidiaries and several of its home building subsidiaries (the **Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries**) do not guarantee the debt. Separate financial statements and other disclosures concerning the **Guarantor Subsidiaries** are not presented because management has determined that such disclosures would not be material to investors. Prior to the above described senior debt issuances, the **Subsidiary Issuer** did not have any operations.

**Table of Contents**

Supplemental consolidating financial information of Toll Brothers, Inc., the Subsidiary Issuer, the Guarantor Subsidiaries, the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries and the eliminations to arrive at Toll Brothers, Inc. on a consolidated basis is presented below (\$ amounts in thousands).

**Consolidating Balance Sheet at April 30, 2011**

|  | Toll<br>Brothers,<br>Inc. | Subsidiary<br>Issuer | Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>  |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |
| Cash and cash equivalents  |                           |                      | 822,662                   | 127,234                           |              | 949,896      |
| Marketable securities  |                           |                      | 297,846                   |                                   |              | 297,846      |
| Restricted cash  |                           |                      | 32,125                    |                                   |              | 32,125       |
| Inventory  |                           |                      | 2,887,796                 | 496,924                           |              | 3,384,720    |
| Property, construction and<br>office equipment, net  |                           |                      | 76,355                    | 23,095                            |              | 99,450       |
| Receivables, prepaid<br>expenses and other assets  |                           | 7,449                | 61,598                    | 21,207                            | (2,509)      | 87,745       |
| Mortgage loans held for<br>sale  |                           |                      |                           | 28,996                            |              | 28,996       |
| Customer deposits held in<br>escrow  |                           |                      | 12,934                    | 4,925                             |              | 17,859       |
| Investments in and<br>advances to<br>unconsolidated entities<br>and non-performing loan<br>portfolio |                           |                      | 103,538                   | 81,721                            |              | 185,259      |
| Investments in and<br>advances to consolidated<br>entities   | 2,694,956                 | 1,563,721            | (876,369)                 | (455,362)                         | (2,926,946)  |              |
|  | 2,694,956                 | 1,571,170            | 3,418,485                 | 328,740                           | (2,929,455)  | 5,083,896    |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND<br/>EQUITY</b>  |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |
| <b>Liabilities:</b>  |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |
| Loans payable  |                           |                      | 62,146                    | 43,110                            |              | 105,256      |
| Senior notes   |                           | 1,545,067            |                           |                                   |              | 1,545,067    |
| Mortgage company<br>warehouse loan   |                           |                      |                           | 24,906                            |              | 24,906       |
| Customer deposits  |                           |                      | 81,430                    | 9,773                             |              | 91,203       |
| Accounts payable   |                           |                      | 92,267                    | 197                               |              | 92,464       |
| Accrued expenses   |                           | 26,103               | 216,016                   | 286,064                           | (2,360)      | 525,823      |
| Income taxes payable   | 146,051                   |                      |                           | (2,000)                           |              | 144,051      |
| Total liabilities  | 146,051                   | 1,571,170            | 451,859                   | 362,050                           | (2,360)      | 2,528,770    |
| <b>Equity:</b>   |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

|                                      |           |           |           |          |             |           |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| Stockholders' equity:                |           |           |           |          |             |           |
| Common stock                         | 1,669     |           |           | 2,003    | (2,003)     | 1,669     |
| Additional paid-in capital           | 370,361   |           | 4,420     | 2,734    | (7,154)     | 370,361   |
| Retained earnings                    | 2,177,100 |           | 2,962,431 | (44,268) | (2,918,163) | 2,177,100 |
| Treasury stock, at cost              |           |           |           |          |             |           |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (225)     |           | (225)     |          | 225         | (225)     |
| Total stockholders' equity           | 2,548,905 |           | 2,966,626 | (39,531) | (2,927,095) | 2,548,905 |
| Noncontrolling interest              |           |           |           | 6,221    |             | 6,221     |
| Total equity                         | 2,548,905 |           | 2,966,626 | (33,310) | (2,927,095) | 2,555,126 |
|                                      | 2,694,956 | 1,571,170 | 3,418,485 | 328,740  | (2,929,455) | 5,083,896 |

**Table of Contents****Consolidating Balance Sheet at October 31, 2010**

|   | Toll<br>Brothers,<br>Inc. | Subsidiary<br>Issuer | Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>   |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |
| Cash and cash equivalents   |                           |                      | 930,387                   | 108,673                           |              | 1,039,060    |
| Marketable securities   |                           |                      | 197,867                   |                                   |              | 197,867      |
| Restricted cash   |                           |                      | 60,906                    |                                   |              | 60,906       |
| Inventory   |                           |                      | 2,862,796                 | 378,929                           |              | 3,241,725    |
| Property, construction and office equipment, net  |                           |                      | 79,516                    | 400                               |              | 79,916       |
| Receivables, prepaid expenses and other assets  | 27                        | 8,104                | 66,280                    | 24,565                            | (1,937)      | 97,039       |
| Mortgage loans held for sale  |                           |                      |                           | 93,644                            |              | 93,644       |
| Customer deposits held in escrow  |                           |                      | 13,790                    | 7,576                             |              | 21,366       |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities and non- performing loan portfolio |                           |                      | 116,247                   | 82,195                            |              | 198,442      |
| Income tax refund recoverable   | 141,590                   |                      |                           |                                   |              | 141,590      |
| Investments in and advances to consolidated entities                                      | 2,578,195                 | 1,562,109            | (871,125)                 | (315,074)                         | (2,954,105)  |              |
|   | 2,719,812                 | 1,570,213            | 3,456,664                 | 380,908                           | (2,956,042)  | 5,171,555    |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>   |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |
| <b>Liabilities:</b>   |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |
| Loans payable   |                           |                      | 63,442                    | 31,049                            |              | 94,491       |
| Senior notes  |                           | 1,544,110            |                           |                                   |              | 1,544,110    |
| Mortgage company warehouse loan   |                           |                      |                           | 72,367                            |              | 72,367       |
| Customer deposits   |                           |                      | 72,819                    | 4,337                             |              | 77,156       |
| Accounts payable  |                           |                      | 91,498                    | 240                               |              | 91,738       |
| Accrued expenses  |                           | 26,103               | 242,793                   | 303,413                           | (1,988)      | 570,321      |
| Income taxes payable  | 164,359                   |                      |                           | (2,000)                           |              | 162,359      |
| Total liabilities   | 164,359                   | 1,570,213            | 470,552                   | 409,406                           | (1,988)      | 2,612,542    |
| <b>Equity:</b>  |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

|                                      |           |           |           |             |             |           |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Stockholders' equity:                |           |           |           |             |             |           |
| Common stock                         | 1,664     |           | 2,003     | (2,003)     |             | 1,664     |
| Additional paid-in capital           | 360,006   | 4,420     | 2,734     | (7,154)     |             | 360,006   |
| Retained earnings                    | 2,194,456 | 2,982,269 | (36,795)  | (2,945,474) |             | 2,194,456 |
| Treasury stock, at cost              | (96)      |           |           |             |             | (96)      |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (577)     | (577)     |           | 577         |             | (577)     |
| Total stockholders' equity           | 2,555,453 | 2,986,112 | (32,058)  | (2,954,054) |             | 2,555,453 |
| Noncontrolling interest              |           |           | 3,560     |             |             | 3,560     |
| Total equity                         | 2,555,453 | 2,986,112 | (28,498)  | (2,954,054) |             | 2,559,013 |
|                                      | 2,719,812 | 1,570,213 | 3,456,664 | 380,908     | (2,956,042) | 5,171,555 |



**Table of Contents****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations for the six months ended April 30, 2011 (\$ in thousands):**

|  | Toll<br>Brothers,<br>Inc. | Subsidiary<br>Issuer | Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Revenues   |                           |                      | 626,515                   | 27,276                            |              | 653,791      |
| Cost of revenues   |                           |                      | 530,929                   | 30,834                            | (3,444)      | 558,319      |
| Selling, general and<br>administrative   | 53                        | 670                  | 132,926                   | 18,739                            | (24,087)     | 128,301      |
| Interest expense   |                           | 52,483               | 1,504                     |                                   | (52,483)     | 1,504        |
|  | 53                        | 53,153               | 665,359                   | 49,573                            | (80,014)     | 688,124      |
| Loss from operations   | (53)                      | (53,153)             | (38,844)                  | (22,297)                          | 80,014       | (34,333)     |
| Other<br>(Loss) income from<br>unconsolidated entities and<br>non-performing loan<br>portfolio |                           |                      | 3,705                     | (25,577)                          |              | (21,872)     |
| Interest and other   |                           | 53,153               | (13,339)                  | 18,223                            | (50,363)     | 7,674        |
| Loss from subsidiaries   | (48,478)                  |                      |                           |                                   | 48,478       |              |
| Loss before income tax<br>benefit  | (48,531)                  |                      | (48,478)                  | (29,651)                          | 78,129       | (48,531)     |
| Income tax benefit   | (31,175)                  |                      | (31,784)                  | (19,034)                          | 50,818       | (31,175)     |
| Net loss   | (17,356)                  |                      | (16,694)                  | (10,617)                          | 27,311       | (17,356)     |

**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations for the three months ended April 30, 2011 (\$ in thousands):**

|  | Toll<br>Brothers,<br>Inc. | Subsidiary<br>Issuer | Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Revenues                               |                           |                      | 306,672                   | 13,003                            |              | 319,675      |
| Cost of revenues                       |                           |                      | 262,673                   | 14,887                            | (1,206)      | 276,354      |
| Selling, general and<br>administrative | 25                        | 329                  | 68,938                    | 10,211                            | (12,453)     | 67,050       |
| Interest expense                       |                           | 26,638               | 392                       |                                   | (26,638)     | 392          |
|  | 25                        | 26,967               | 332,003                   | 25,098                            | (40,297)     | 343,796      |
| Loss from operations                   | (25)                      | (26,967)             | (25,331)                  | (12,095)                          | 40,297       | (24,121)     |
| Other                                  |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

|   |          |          |          |          |          |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Loss from unconsolidated entities and non-performing loan portfolio |          | (2,816)  | (8,054)  |          | (10,870) |
| Interest and other  | 26,967   | (3,312)  | 8,884    | (29,032) | 3,507    |
| Loss from subsidiaries  | (31,459) |          |          | 31,459   |          |
| Loss before income tax benefit                                      | (31,484) | (31,459) | (11,265) | 42,724   | (31,484) |
| Income tax (benefit) provision                                      | (10,711) | (9,425)  | 3,024    | 6,401    | (10,711) |
| Net loss  | (20,773) | (22,034) | (14,289) | 36,323   | (20,773) |

**Table of Contents****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations for the six months ended April 30, 2010 (\$ in thousands):**

|  | Toll<br>Brothers,<br>Inc. | Subsidiary<br>Issuer | Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Revenues   |                           |                      | 614,819                   | 23,150                            |              | 637,969      |
| Cost of revenues   |                           | 484                  | 588,752                   | 34,295                            | (461)        | 623,070      |
| Selling, general and<br>administrative   | 49                        | 694                  | 126,332                   | 10,013                            | (10,266)     | 126,822      |
| Interest expense   |                           | 53,158               | 13,464                    |                                   | (53,158)     | 13,464       |
|  | 49                        | 54,336               | 728,548                   | 44,308                            | (63,885)     | 763,356      |
| Loss from operations   | (49)                      | (54,336)             | (113,729)                 | (21,158)                          | 63,885       | (125,387)    |
| Other  |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |
| Income from<br>unconsolidated entities and<br>non-performing loan<br>portfolio |                           |                      | 1,646                     |                                   |              | 1,646        |
| Interest and other   |                           | 54,336               | 3,623                     | 13,728                            | (56,455)     | 15,232       |
| Expenses related to<br>retirement of debt                                      |                           |                      | (34)                      |                                   |              | (34)         |
| Loss from subsidiaries   | (108,494)                 |                      |                           |                                   | 108,494      |              |
| Loss before income tax<br>benefit  | (108,543)                 |                      | (108,494)                 | (7,430)                           | 115,924      | (108,543)    |
| Income tax benefit   | (27,388)                  |                      | (21,663)                  | (1,837)                           | 23,500       | (27,388)     |
| Net loss   | (81,155)                  |                      | (86,831)                  | (5,593)                           | 92,424       | (81,155)     |

**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations for the three months ended April 30, 2010 (\$ in thousands):**

|  | Toll<br>Brothers,<br>Inc. | Subsidiary<br>Issuer | Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Revenues                               |                           |                      | 301,754                   | 9,517                             |              | 311,271      |
| Cost of revenues                       |                           | 484                  | 285,167                   | 20,402                            | (470)        | 305,583      |
| Selling, general and<br>administrative | 27                        | 346                  | 59,252                    | 4,883                             | (4,959)      | 59,549       |
| Interest expense                       |                           | 26,313               | 6,207                     |                                   | (26,313)     | 6,207        |
|  | 27                        | 27,143               | 350,626                   | 25,285                            | (31,742)     | 371,339      |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

|  |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Loss from operations   | (27)     | (27,143) | (48,872) | (15,768) | 31,742   | (60,068) |
| Other  |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Income from<br>unconsolidated entities and<br>non-performing loan<br>portfolio |          |          | 1,280    |          |          | 1,280    |
| Interest and other   |          | 27,143   | (4,170)  | 6,525    | (22,499) | 6,999    |
| Loss from subsidiaries   | (51,762) |          |          |          | 51,762   |          |
| Loss before income tax<br>benefit  | (51,789) |          | (51,762) | (9,243)  | 61,005   | (51,789) |
| Income tax benefit   | (11,388) |          | (9,376)  | (2,391)  | 11,767   | (11,388) |
| Net loss   | (40,401) |          | (42,386) | (6,852)  | 49,238   | (40,401) |

**Table of Contents****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows for the six months ended April 30, 2011 (\$ in thousands):**

|   | Toll<br>Brothers,<br>Inc. | Subsidiary<br>Issuer | Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Cash flow from operating activities:  |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |
| Net loss  | (17,356)                  |                      | (16,694)                  | (10,617)                          | 27,311       | (17,356)     |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 28                        | 1,614                | 8,202                     | 493                               |              | 10,337       |
| Stock-based compensation  | 7,970                     |                      |                           |                                   |              | 7,970        |
| Impairments of investments in unconsolidated entities                                     |                           |                      | 10,000                    | 29,600                            |              | 39,600       |
| Income from unconsolidated entities and non-performing loan portfolio                     |                           |                      | (12,027)                  | (5,701)                           |              | (17,728)     |
| Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated entities                                    |                           |                      | 6,789                     |                                   |              | 6,789        |
| Inventory impairments   |                           |                      | 18,048                    |                                   |              | 18,048       |
| Deferred tax benefit  | (6,515)                   |                      |                           |                                   |              | (6,515)      |
| Deferred tax valuation allowance  | 6,515                     |                      |                           |                                   |              | 6,515        |
| Change in fair value of mortgage loans receivable and derivative instruments              |                           |                      |                           | 818                               |              | 818          |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities   |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |
| Increase in inventory   |                           |                      | (35,860)                  | (118,580)                         |              | (154,440)    |
| Origination of mortgage loans   |                           |                      |                           | (301,778)                         |              | (301,778)    |
| Sale of mortgage loans  |                           |                      |                           | 365,328                           |              | 365,328      |
| Decrease in restricted cash   |                           |                      | 28,781                    |                                   |              | 28,781       |
| Decrease (increase) in receivables, prepaid expenses and other assets                     | (116,822)                 | (1,614)              | 5,654                     | 146,481                           | (26,527)     | 7,172        |
| Increase (decrease) in customer deposits  |                           |                      | 9,467                     | 8,087                             |              | 17,554       |
| Decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses   | (1,456)                   |                      | (26,473)                  | (8,086)                           | (784)        | (36,799)     |
| Decrease in income tax refund recoverable   | 141,590                   |                      |                           |                                   |              | 141,590      |
| Decrease in income taxes payable  | (18,241)                  |                      |                           |                                   |              | (18,241)     |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities                                       | (4,287)                   |                      | (4,113)                   | 106,045                           |              | 97,645       |
| Cash flow from investing activities:  |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

|  |       |           |           |           |
|--|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Purchase of property and equipment   |       | (1,929)   | (3,183)   | (5,112)   |
| Purchase of marketable securities  |       | (329,105) |           | (329,105) |
| Sale of marketable securities  |       | 227,080   |           | 227,080   |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities and non-performing loan portfolio |       |           | (42,141)  | (42,141)  |
| Return of investments from unconsolidated entities                                       |       | 8,051     | 7,700     | 15,751    |
| Net cash used in investing activities  |       | (95,903)  | (37,624)  | (133,527) |
| Cash flow from financing activities:   |       |           |           |           |
| Proceeds from loans payable  |       |           | 438,713   | 438,713   |
| Principal payments of loans payable  |       | (7,709)   | (491,251) | (498,960) |
| Proceeds from stock-based benefit plans  | 4,676 |           |           | 4,676     |
| Receipts related to noncontrolling interest  |       |           | 2,678     | 2,678     |
| Purchase of treasury stock   | (389) |           |           | (389)     |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities                                      | 4,287 | (7,709)   | (49,860)  | (53,282)  |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents                                     |       | (107,725) | 18,561    | (89,164)  |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period   |       | 930,387   | 108,673   | 1,039,060 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period   |       | 822,662   | 127,234   | 949,896   |

**Table of Contents****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows for the six months ended April 30, 2010 (\$ in thousands):**

|   | Toll<br>Brothers,<br>Inc. | Subsidiary<br>Issuer | Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Cash flow from operating activities:  |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |
| Net loss  | (81,155)                  |                      | (86,831)                  | (5,593)                           | 92,424       | (81,155)     |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |
| Depreciation and amortization   |                           | 1,641                | 6,981                     | 403                               |              | 9,025        |
| Stock-based compensation  | 7,350                     |                      |                           |                                   |              | 7,350        |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation   | (2,606)                   |                      |                           |                                   |              | (2,606)      |
| Income from unconsolidated entities and non-performing loan portfolio                     |                           |                      | (1,646)                   |                                   |              | (1,646)      |
| Inventory impairments   |                           |                      | 67,962                    | 7,750                             |              | 75,712       |
| Expenses related to early retirement of debt  |                           |                      | 34                        |                                   |              | 34           |
| Deferred tax benefit  | (10,963)                  |                      |                           |                                   |              | (10,963)     |
| Deferred tax valuation allowance  | 10,963                    |                      |                           |                                   |              | 10,963       |
| Change in fair value of mortgage loans receivable and derivative instruments              |                           |                      |                           | (266)                             |              | (266)        |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities   |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |
| (Increase) decrease in inventory  |                           |                      | (197,510)                 | 4,706                             |              | (192,804)    |
| Origination of mortgage loans   |                           |                      |                           | (241,631)                         |              | (241,631)    |
| Sale of mortgage loans  |                           |                      |                           | 238,071                           |              | 238,071      |
| Decrease (increase) in receivables, prepaid expenses and other assets                     | 101,906                   | (9,553)              | 32,503                    | (29,465)                          | (92,305)     | 3,086        |
| (Decrease) increase in customer deposits  |                           |                      | 2,077                     | (8,484)                           |              | (6,407)      |
| (Decrease) increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses                              | (1,492)                   | 7,912                | (101,741)                 | 95,135                            | (119)        | (305)        |
| Increase in income tax refund recoverable   | (38,740)                  |                      |                           |                                   |              | (38,740)     |
| Decrease in current income taxes payable  | 8,389                     |                      |                           |                                   |              | 8,389        |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities                                       | (6,348)                   |                      | (278,171)                 | 60,626                            |              | (223,893)    |
| Cash flow from investing activities:  |                           |                      |                           |                                   |              |              |
|   |                           |                      | (745)                     | (3)                               |              | (748)        |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

|  |       |           |           |
|--|-------|-----------|-----------|
| Purchase of property and equipment   |       |           |           |
| Purchase of marketable securities  |       | (85,450)  | (85,450)  |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities and non-performing loan portfolio |       | (25,931)  | (25,931)  |
| Return of investments in unconsolidated entities   |       | 4,446     | 4,446     |
| Net cash used in investing activities  |       | (107,680) | (3)       |
| Net cash used in investing activities  |       |           | (107,683) |
| Cash flow from financing activities:   |       |           |           |
| Redemption of senior subordinated notes  |       | (47,872)  | (47,872)  |
| Proceeds from loans payable  |       |           | 346,472   |
| Principal payments of loans payable  |       | (14,159)  | (404,962) |
| Proceeds from stock-based benefit plans  | 4,124 |           | 4,124     |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation  | 2,606 |           | 2,606     |
| Purchase of treasury stock   | (382) |           | (382)     |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities                                      | 6,348 | (62,031)  | (58,490)  |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities                                      |       |           | (114,173) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents                                     |       | (447,882) | 2,133     |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period   |       | 1,700,351 | 107,367   |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period   |       | 1,252,469 | 109,500   |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period   |       |           | 1,361,969 |



**Table of Contents****ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS ( MD&A )**

Unless otherwise stated, net contracts signed represents a number or value equal to the gross number or value of contracts signed during the relevant period, less the number or value of contracts cancelled during the relevant period, which includes contracts that were signed during the relevant period and in prior periods.

**OVERVIEW**

In the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, we recognized \$653.8 million of revenues and a net loss of \$17.4 million, as compared to \$638.0 million of revenues and a net loss of \$81.2 million in the six-month period ended April 30, 2010. In the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, we recognized inventory impairments and write-offs of \$18.0 million, as compared to inventory impairments and write-offs of \$75.7 million in the six-month period ended April 30, 2010. In addition, in the six months ended April 30, 2011, we recognized \$39.6 million of impairment charges related to our investments in unconsolidated entities. We did not recognize any impairment charges related to our investments in unconsolidated entities in the corresponding fiscal 2010 period.

In the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, we recognized \$319.7 million of revenues and a net loss of \$20.8 million, as compared to \$311.3 million of revenues and a net loss of \$40.4 million in the three-month period ended April 30, 2010. In the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, we recognized inventory impairments and write-offs of \$12.9 million, as compared to \$42.3 million of inventory impairments and write-offs in the three-month period ended April 30, 2010. In addition, in the three months ended April 30, 2011, we recognized \$19.6 million of impairment charges related to our investments in unconsolidated entities. We did not recognize any impairment charges related to our investments in unconsolidated entities in the corresponding fiscal 2010 period.

The current housing downturn has been the longest and most severe since the Great Depression. However, we believe that the medium and long-term futures for our company and industry are bright. A 2010 Harvard University study projects that under both low- and high- growth scenarios, housing demand in the 2010-2020 period should exceed that of the previous three decades.

With very low housing production over the past few years, we believe that pent-up demand exists and it will be released once the employment and economic picture improves and people regain confidence in a home as a dependable investment.

In many markets, the pipeline of approved and improved home sites has dwindled as builders and developers have lacked both the capital and the economic benefit for bringing sites through approvals. Therefore, when demand picks up, builders and developers with approved land in well-located markets will be poised to benefit. We believe that this will be particularly true for us. Our land portfolio is heavily weighted in the metro Washington, DC to metro Boston corridor where land is scarce, approvals are more difficult to obtain and overbuilding has been relatively less prevalent than in the Southeast and Western regions.

The U.S. housing market continues to struggle from a significant slowdown that began in the fourth quarter of our fiscal 2005. The value of our net contracts signed in fiscal 2010 was 79.4% lower than the value of our net contracts signed in fiscal 2005, 12.8% higher than the value of our net contracts signed in fiscal 2009 and 8.5% and 51.1% lower than the value of our net contracts signed in fiscal 2008 and 2007, respectively. The value of net contracts signed in the first half of our fiscal 2011 was 6.8% higher than the first half of fiscal 2010. The slowdown, which we believe started with a decline in consumer confidence, an overall softening of demand for new homes and an oversupply of homes available for sale, was exacerbated by, among other things, a decline in the overall economy, increased unemployment, the increased number of vacant homes, fear of job loss, a decline in home prices and the resulting reduction in home equity, the large number of homes that are vacant and homes that are or will be available due to foreclosures, the inability of some of our home buyers or some prospective buyers of their homes to sell their current home, and the direct and indirect impact of the turmoil in the mortgage loan market.

We believe many of our markets and housing in general have reached bottom; however, we expect that there may be more periods of volatility in the future. We believe that, once the economy improves, the unemployment rate declines and confidence improves, pent-up demand will be released and, gradually, more buyers will enter the market. We believe that the key to a full recovery in our business depends on these factors as well as a sustained stabilization of financial markets and home prices.



**Table of Contents**

Our target customers generally have remained employed during this downturn. However, many have deferred their home buying decisions because of concerns over the direction of the economy and media headlines suggesting that home prices continue to decline. We believe that some of our customers, after waiting so long, are starting to move off the fence and into the market, motivated by attractive pricing, low interest rates and, most importantly, the desire to take the next step in their lives. Many studies quoted in the media combine distressed sales data, including foreclosures and short sales, with new and/or non-distressed existing home sales data. We believe that averaging distressed and non-distressed sales data provides a misleading picture to the public regarding home price direction. In contrast to these reports, we are experiencing flat to slightly increasing pricing in most markets. As consumers better understand that prices are firming, we believe they will gain confidence, which will help release some of the pent-up demand that we believe is building in the market.

We continue to seek a balance between our short-term goal of selling homes in a tough market and our long-term goal of maximizing the value of our communities. We continue to believe that many of our communities are in desirable locations that are difficult to replace and in markets where approvals have been increasingly difficult to achieve. We believe that many of these communities have substantial embedded value that may be realized in the future and that this value should not necessarily be sacrificed in the current soft market.

We maintain relationships with a widely diversified group of mortgage financial institutions, many of which are among the largest and, we believe, most reliable in the industry. We believe that regional and community banks continue to recognize the long-term value in creating relationships with high-quality, affluent customers such as our home buyers, and these banks continue to provide such customers with financing.

We believe that our home buyers generally are, and should continue to be, better able to secure mortgages due to their typically lower loan-to-value ratios and attractive credit profiles as compared to the average home buyer.

Nevertheless, in recent years, tightened credit standards have shrunk the pool of potential home buyers and hindered accessibility of or eliminated certain loan products previously available to our home buyers. Our home buyers continue to face stricter mortgage underwriting guidelines, higher down payment requirements and narrower appraisal guidelines than in the past.

While the range of mortgage product available to a potential home buyer is not what it was in 2005-2007, it has improved in the past few quarters. Indications from industry participants, including commercial banks, mortgage banks, mortgage REITS and mortgage insurance companies are that availability, parameters and pricing of Jumbo loans are all improving. We believe that improvement should not only enhance financing alternatives for existing Jumbo buyers, but should help to offset any impending reduction in Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac eligible loan amounts in some markets. Based on the mortgages provided by our mortgage subsidiary during the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, we do not expect, the change in the Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac eligible loan amounts to have a significant impact on our business.

Some of our home buyers continue to find it more difficult to sell their existing homes as prospective buyers of their homes may face difficulties obtaining a mortgage. In addition, other potential buyers may have little or negative equity in their existing homes and are not able or willing to purchase a larger or more expensive home.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, which was signed into law on July 21, 2010, provides for a number of new requirements relating to residential mortgage lending practices, many of which are subject to further rule making. These include, among others, minimum standards for mortgages and related lender practices, the definitions and parameters of a Qualified Mortgage and a Qualified Residential Mortgage, future risk retention requirements, limitations on certain fees, prohibition of certain tying arrangements, and remedies for borrowers in foreclosure proceedings in the event that a lender violates fee limitations or minimum standards. The ultimate effect of such provisions on lending institutions, including our mortgage subsidiary, will depend on the rules that are ultimately promulgated.

There has been significant media attention given to mortgage put-backs, a practice by which a buyer of a mortgage loan tries to recoup losses from the loan originator. We do not believe this is a material issue for our mortgage subsidiary. Of the approximately 13,100 loans sold by our mortgage subsidiary since November 1, 2004, only 26 have been the subject of either actual indemnification payments or take-backs or contingent liability loss provisions related thereto. We believe that this is due to (i) our typical home buyer's financial position and sophistication, (ii) on average,

our home buyers who use mortgage financing to purchase a home pay approximately 30% of the purchase price in cash, (iii) our general practice of not originating certain loan types such as option adjustable rate mortgages and down payment assistance products, and our origination of very few sub-prime, high loan-to-value and no documentation loans and (iv) our elimination of early payment default provisions from each of our agreements with our mortgage investors several years ago. In order for us to incur a loss, a mortgage buyer must demonstrate either (i) a material error on our part in issuing the mortgage or (ii) consumer fraud. In addition, the amount of any such loss would be reduced by any proceeds received on the disposition of the collateral associated with the mortgage.

**Table of Contents**

Based on our experience during prior downturns in the housing industry, we believe that attractive land acquisition opportunities may arise in difficult times for those builders that have the financial strength to take advantage of them. In the current challenging environment, we believe our strong balance sheet, liquidity, access to capital, broad geographic presence, diversified product line, experienced personnel and national brand name all position us well for such opportunities now and in the future. In the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, we entered into contracts to acquire approximately 2,200 home sites (net of options terminated).

We continue to see reduced competition from the small and mid-sized private builders that had been our primary competitors in the luxury market. We believe that many of these builders are no longer in business and that access by the surviving private builders to capital is already severely constrained. We envision that there will be fewer and more selective lenders serving our industry when the market rebounds and that those lenders likely will gravitate to the homebuilding companies that offer them the greatest security, the strongest balance sheets and the broadest array of potential business opportunities. We believe that this reduced competition, combined with attractive long-term demographics, will reward those well-capitalized builders that can persevere through the current challenging environment.

As market conditions improve over time, we believe that geographic and product diversification, access to lower-cost capital and strong demographics will benefit those builders, such as Toll, that can control land and persevere through the increasingly difficult regulatory approval process. We believe that these factors favor the large publicly traded homebuilding companies with the capital and expertise to control home sites and gain market share. We believe that over the past five years, many builders and land developers reduced the number of home sites that were taken through the approval process. The process continues to be difficult and lengthy, and the political pressure from no-growth proponents continues to increase. We believe our expertise in taking land through the approval process and our already approved land positions will allow us to grow in the years to come, as market conditions improve.

Because of the length of time that it takes to obtain the necessary approvals on a property, complete the land improvements on it, and deliver a home after a home buyer signs an agreement of sale, we are subject to many risks. We attempt to reduce certain risks by controlling land for future development through options (also referred to herein as land purchase contracts or option and purchase agreements), thus allowing the necessary governmental approvals to be obtained before acquiring title to the land; generally commencing construction of a detached home only after executing an agreement of sale and receiving a substantial down payment from the buyer; and using subcontractors to perform home construction and land development work on a fixed-price basis. Our risk reduction strategy of generally not commencing the construction of a detached home until we have an agreement of sale with a buyer was effective prior to this current downturn in the housing market, but, due to the number of cancellations of agreements of sale that we had during fiscal 2007, 2008 and 2009, many of which were for homes on which we had commenced construction, the number of homes under construction in detached single-family communities for which we did not have an agreement of sale increased from our historical levels. With our contract cancellation rates returning to more normal levels in fiscal 2010 and 2011, and the sale of these units, we have reduced the number of unsold units to more historical levels. In addition, over the past several years, the number of our attached-home communities has grown, resulting in an increase in the number of unsold units under construction.

In response to the decline in market conditions over the past several years, we have re-evaluated and renegotiated or cancelled many of our land purchase contracts. In addition, we have sold, and may continue to sell, certain parcels of land that we have identified as non-strategic. As a result, we reduced our land position from a high of approximately 91,200 home sites at April 30, 2006 to approximately 35,900 home sites at April 30, 2011. We continue to position ourselves for this recovery through the opportunistic and, we believe, prudent purchase of land and the continued growth of our community count. We believe we have a strong balance sheet and the liquidity needed to support our growth. We believe we are well-positioned to continue to take advantage of attractive land and other opportunities arising from current market conditions. Based on our belief that the housing market has bottomed, the increased attractiveness of land available for purchase and the revival of demand in certain areas, we have begun to increase our land positions. During the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, we acquired control of approximately 2,200 home sites (net of options terminated) and, during fiscal 2010, we acquired control of approximately 5,600 home sites (net of options terminated). At April 30, 2011, we controlled approximately 35,900 home sites, as compared to

approximately 34,900 home sites at October 31, 2010 and 31,900 home sites at October 31, 2009. Of the 35,900 home sites controlled at April 30, 2011, we owned approximately 30,500. Of these 30,500 home sites, significant improvements were completed on approximately 10,900 of them. At April 30, 2011, we were selling from 203 communities, compared to 200 communities at January 31, 2011, 195 communities at October 31, 2010 and 200 communities at October 31, 2009. We expect to be selling from 215 to 225 communities at October 31, 2011. In addition, at April 30, 2011, we had 45 communities that were temporarily closed due to market conditions, of which we expect to reopen approximately 11 prior to April 30, 2012.

**Table of Contents**

We continue to look for other distressed real estate opportunities through Gibraltar Capital and Asset Management LLC ( Gibraltar ). In March 2011, Gibraltar acquired a 60% participation in a portfolio of 83 non-performing loans with outstanding principal balances aggregating approximately \$200 million. The portfolio consists primarily of residential acquisition, development and construction loans secured by properties at various stages of completion. In July 2010, Gibraltar invested in a joint venture in which it is a 20% participant with two unrelated parties to purchase a 40% interest in an entity that owned a \$1.7 billion face value FDIC portfolio of former Amtrust Bank assets. Gibraltar continues to selectively review a steady flow of new opportunities, including FDIC and bank portfolios and other distressed real estate investments. During the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011, the Company recognized \$1.1 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, from its Gibraltar operations.

**CONTRACTS AND BACKLOG**

The aggregate value of gross sales contracts signed increased 5.5% in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the six-month period ended April 30, 2010. The value of gross sales contracts signed was \$847.0 million (1,496 homes) and \$802.6 million (1,430 homes) in the six-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase in the aggregate value of gross contracts signed in the six-month period of fiscal 2011, as compared to the comparable period of fiscal 2010, was the result of a 4.6% increase in the number of gross contracts signed, and a 0.9% increase in the average value of each contract signed. The increase in the number of gross contracts signed was primarily due to the increase in the number of selling communities in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period.

The aggregate value of gross sales contracts signed increased 6.5% in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the three-month period ended April 30, 2010. The value of gross sales contracts signed was \$521.1 million (915 homes) and \$489.4 million (866 homes) in the three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase in the aggregate value of gross contracts signed in the three-month period of fiscal 2011, as compared to the comparable period of fiscal 2010, was the result of a 5.7% increase in the number of gross contracts signed, and a 0.8% increase in the average value of each contract signed. The increase in the number of gross contracts signed was primarily due to the increase in the number of selling communities in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period.

The aggregate value of net contracts signed increased 6.8% in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the six-month period ended April 30, 2010. The value of net contracts signed was \$808.1 million (1,427 homes) in the fiscal 2011 period and \$756.6 million (1,346 homes) in the fiscal 2010 period. The increase in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was the result of a 6.0% increase in the number of net contracts signed, and a 0.7% increase in the average value of each contract signed.

The aggregate value of net contracts signed increased 7.8% in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the three-month period ended April 30, 2010. The value of net contracts signed was \$500.9 million (879 homes) in the fiscal 2011 period and \$464.6 million (820 homes) in the fiscal 2010 period. The increase in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was the result of a 7.2% increase in the number of net contracts signed, and a 0.6% increase in the average value of each contract signed.

In the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, home buyers cancelled \$38.9 million (69 homes) of signed contracts, representing 4.6% of the gross value of contracts signed and 4.6% of the gross number of contracts signed. In the six-month period ended April 30, 2010, home buyers cancelled \$45.9 million (84 homes) of signed contracts, representing 5.7% of the gross value of contracts signed and 5.9% of the gross number of contracts signed. The average value of the contracts cancelled in the six-month period of fiscal 2011 increased approximately 3.2%, as compared to the six-month period of fiscal 2010.

**Table of Contents**

In the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, home buyers cancelled \$20.2 million (36 homes) of signed contracts, representing 3.9% of both the gross value of contracts signed and the gross number of contracts signed. In the three-month period ended April 30, 2010, home buyers cancelled \$24.8 million (46 homes) of signed contracts, representing 5.1% of the gross value of contracts signed and 5.3% of the gross number of contracts signed. The average value of the contracts cancelled in the three-month period of fiscal 2011 increased approximately 4.2%, as compared to the three-month period of fiscal 2010.

The value of our backlog at April 30, 2011 of \$1.01 billion (1,760 homes) increased 1.3%, as compared to our backlog at April 30, 2010 of \$993.5 million (1,738 homes). Backlog consists of homes under contract but not yet delivered to our home buyers. Our backlog at October 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$852.1 million (1,494 homes) and \$874.8 million (1,531 homes), respectively. The increase in the value of backlog at April 30, 2011, as compared to the backlog at April 30, 2010, was primarily attributable to the increase in the aggregate value of net contracts signed in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the six-month period ended April 30, 2010, offset, in part, by the decrease in the value of our backlog at October 31, 2010, as compared to our backlog at October 31, 2009, and the increased aggregate value of our deliveries in the six months of fiscal 2011, as compared to the aggregate value of deliveries in the six months of fiscal 2010.

For more information regarding revenues, gross contracts signed, contract cancellations and net contracts signed by geographic segment, see *Geographic Segments* in this MD&A.

**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

We believe the following critical accounting policies reflect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

**Inventory**

Inventory is stated at cost unless an impairment exists, in which case it is written down to fair value in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ). In addition to direct land acquisition, land development and home construction costs, costs also include interest, real estate taxes and direct overhead related to development and construction, which are capitalized to inventory during periods beginning with the commencement of development and ending with the completion of construction. For those communities that have been temporarily closed, no additional capitalized interest is allocated to the community's inventory until it re-opens, and other carrying costs are expensed as incurred. Once a parcel of land has been approved for development and we open the community, it can typically take four or more years to fully develop, sell and deliver all the homes. Longer or shorter time periods are possible depending on the number of home sites in a community and the sales and delivery pace of the homes in a community. Our master planned communities, consisting of several smaller communities, may take up to ten years or more to complete. Because our inventory is considered a long-lived asset under GAAP, we are required to regularly review the carrying value of each of our communities and write down the value of those communities for which we believe the values have been impaired.

*Current Communities:* When the profitability of a current community deteriorates, the sales pace declines significantly or some other factor indicates a possible impairment in the recoverability of the asset, the asset is reviewed for impairment by comparing the estimated future undiscounted cash flow for the community to its carrying value. If the estimated future undiscounted cash flow is less than the community's carrying value, the carrying value is written down to its estimated fair value. Estimated fair value is primarily determined by discounting the estimated future cash flow of each community. The impairment is charged to cost of revenues in the period in which the impairment is determined. In estimating the future undiscounted cash flow of a community, we use various estimates such as: (a) the expected sales pace in a community, based upon general economic conditions that will have a short-term or long-term impact on the market in which the community is located and on competition within the market, including the number of home sites available and pricing and incentives being offered in other communities owned by us or by other builders; (b) the expected sales prices and sales incentives to be offered in a community; (c) costs expended to date and expected to be incurred in the future, including, but not limited to, land and land development costs, home construction costs, interest costs and overhead costs; (d) alternative product offerings that may be offered in a community that will have an impact on sales pace, sales price, building cost or the number of homes that can be built in a particular community; and (e) alternative uses for the property, such as the possibility of a



sale of the entire community to another builder or the sale of individual home sites.

**Table of Contents**

*Future Communities:* We evaluate all land held for future communities or future sections of current communities, whether owned or optioned, to determine whether or not we expect to proceed with the development of the land as originally contemplated. This evaluation encompasses the same types of estimates used for current communities described above, as well as an evaluation of the regulatory environment in which the land is located and the estimated probability of obtaining the necessary approvals, the estimated time and cost it will take to obtain those approvals and the possible concessions that will be required to be given in order to obtain them. Concessions may include cash payments to fund improvements to public places such as parks and streets, dedication of a portion of the property for use by the public or as open space or a reduction in the density or size of the homes to be built. Based upon this review, we decide (a) as to land under contract to be purchased, whether the contract will likely be terminated or renegotiated, and (b) as to land we own, whether the land will likely be developed as contemplated or in an alternative manner, or should be sold. We then further determine whether costs that have been capitalized to the community are recoverable or should be written off. The write-off is charged to cost of revenues in the period in which the need for the write-off is determined.

The estimates used in the determination of the estimated cash flows and fair value of both current and future communities are based on factors known to us at the time such estimates are made and our expectations of future operations and economic conditions. Should the estimates or expectations used in determining estimated fair value deteriorate in the future, we may be required to recognize additional impairment charges and write-offs related to current and future communities.

The table below provides, for the periods indicated, the number of operating communities that we tested for potential impairment, the number of operating communities in which we recognized impairment charges, the amount of impairment charges recognized, and, as of the end of the period indicated, the fair value of those communities, net of impairment charges (\$ amounts in millions).

|                     | Number of<br>communities<br>tested | Number of<br>communities | Impaired communities<br>Fair value of<br>communities,<br>net of<br>impairment<br>charges | Impairment<br>charges |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Three months ended: |                                    |                          |  |                       |
| Fiscal 2011:        |                                    |                          |  |                       |
| January 31          | 143                                | 6                        | \$ 56.1  | \$ 5.5                |
| April 30            | 142                                | 9                        | \$ 40.8  | 10.7                  |
|                     |                                    |                          |  | \$ 16.2               |
| Fiscal 2010:        |                                    |                          |  |                       |
| January 31          | 260                                | 14                       | \$ 60.5  | \$ 22.8               |
| April 30            | 161                                | 7                        | \$ 53.6  | 15.0                  |
| July 31             | 155                                | 7                        | \$ 21.5  | 6.6                   |
| October 31          | 144                                | 12                       | \$ 39.2  | 9.1                   |
|                     |                                    |                          |  | \$ 53.5               |

*Variable Interest Entities:* We have a significant number of land purchase contracts and several investments in unconsolidated entities which we evaluate in accordance with ASC 810, Consolidation (ASC 810). We analyze our land purchase contracts and the unconsolidated entities in which we have an investment to determine whether the land sellers and unconsolidated entities are variable interest entities (VIEs) and, if so, whether we are the primary beneficiary. If we are determined to be the primary beneficiary of the VIE, we must consolidate it. A VIE is an entity

with insufficient equity investment or in which the equity investors lack some of the characteristics of a controlling financial interest. In determining whether it is the primary beneficiary, we consider, among other things, whether we have the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance, including, but not limited to, determining or limiting the scope or purpose of the VIE, selling or transferring property owned or controlled by the VIE, or arranging financing for the VIE. We also consider whether we have the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE. At April 30, 2011, the Company had determined that 36 land purchase contracts, with an aggregate purchase price of \$291.3 million, on which it had made aggregate deposits totaling \$12.9 million, were VIEs, and that it was not the primary beneficiary of any VIE related to its land purchase contracts.

**Table of Contents**

**OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

We have investments in and advances to various unconsolidated entities including Toll Brothers Realty Trust ( Trust ) and Toll Brothers Realty Trust II ( Trust II ) and an investment in a non-performing loan portfolio. At April 30, 2011, we had investments in and advances to these entities, net of impairment charges recognized, of \$185.3 million, and were committed to invest or advance \$14.2 million to these entities if they require additional funding.

The trends, uncertainties or other factors that have negatively impacted our business and the industry in general and which are discussed in the Overview section of this MD&A have also impacted the unconsolidated entities and non-performing loan portfolio in which we have investments. In accordance with ASC 323, on a quarterly basis, we evaluate each of our investments in unconsolidated entities for indicators of impairment. A series of operating losses of an investee, the inability to recover our invested capital, or other factors may indicate that a loss in value of the Company's investment in the unconsolidated entity has occurred. If a loss exists, we further review to determine if the loss is other than temporary, in which case, we write down the investment to its fair value. The evaluation of the Company's investment in unconsolidated entities entails a detailed cash flow analysis using many estimates including but not limited to expected sales pace, expected sales prices, expected incentives, costs incurred and anticipated, sufficiency of financing and capital, competition, market conditions and anticipated cash receipts, in order to determine projected future distributions. See Critical Accounting Policies Inventory in this MD&A for more detailed disclosure on our evaluation of inventory. During the fiscal 2011 periods, based upon our evaluation of the fair value of our investments in unconsolidated entities, we determined, due to the continued deterioration of the market in which some of our joint ventures operate, that there was an other than temporary impairment of our investments in these joint ventures. Based on this determination, we recognized \$19.6 million of impairment charges against the carrying value of our investments in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011 and \$20.0 million of impairment charges against the carrying value of our investments in the three-month periods ended January 31, 2011.

At April 30, 2011, one of our development joint ventures had a loan with a principal balance of \$327.9 million subject to litigation. This loan is non-recourse to us; however, we and our other venture partners executed certain completion guarantees and conditional repayment guarantees against this loan. The obligation under such completion guarantees and conditional repayment guarantees are several and not joint, and are limited to our pro rata share of the loan obligation, including interest, of the joint venture. In December 2008, the lending syndicate for the joint venture filed separate lawsuits against the members of the joint venture and their parent companies, seeking to recover damages under the completion guarantees and damages allegedly caused by the venture's failure to repay the lenders. In December 2010, three of the lenders in this syndicate filed an involuntary bankruptcy petition against this joint venture entity. In February 2011, the bankruptcy court entered an order for relief and ordered the appointment of a bankruptcy trustee. The joint venture appealed the bankruptcy court's order, but this appeal was denied by the U.S. District Court. The joint venture has now appealed this ruling. We believe that adequate provision for resolution of all claims and pending litigation has been made for probable losses and the disposition of this matter is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and liquidity or on our financial condition.

Our investments in these entities are accounted for using the equity method.

**Table of Contents****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following table sets forth, for the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, a comparison of certain statement of operations items (\$ in millions):

|  | Six months ended April 30, |       |         |       | Three months ended April 30, |       |        |       |
|--|----------------------------|-------|---------|-------|------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|
|  | 2011                       |       | 2010    |       | 2011                         |       | 2010   |       |
|  | \$                         | %*    | \$      | %*    | \$                           | %*    | \$     | %*    |
| Revenues                                   | 653.8                      |       | 638.0   |       | 319.7                        |       | 311.3  |       |
| Cost of revenues                           | 558.3                      | 85.4  | 623.1   | 97.7  | 276.4                        | 86.4  | 305.6  | 98.2  |
| Selling, general and administrative        | 128.3                      | 19.6  | 126.8   | 19.9  | 67.1                         | 21.0  | 59.5   | 19.1  |
| Interest expense                           | 1.5                        | 0.2   | 13.5    | 2.1   | 0.4                          | 0.1   | 6.2    | 2.0   |
|  | 688.1                      | 105.3 | 763.4   | 119.7 | 343.8                        | 107.5 | 371.3  | 119.3 |
| Loss from operations                       | (34.3)                     |       | (125.4) |       | (24.1)                       |       | (60.1) |       |
| Other                                      |                            |       |         |       |                              |       |        |       |
| (Loss) income from unconsolidated entities | (21.9)                     |       | 1.6     |       | (10.9)                       |       | 1.3    |       |
| Interest and other                         | 7.7                        |       | 15.2    |       | 3.5                          |       | 7.0    |       |
| Loss before income tax benefit             | (48.5)                     |       | (108.5) |       | (31.5)                       |       | (51.8) |       |
| Income tax benefit                         | (31.2)                     |       | (27.4)  |       | (10.7)                       |       | (11.4) |       |
| Net loss                                   | (17.4)                     |       | (81.2)  |       | (20.7)                       |       | (40.4) |       |

\* Percent of revenues

Note: Due to rounding, amounts may not add.

**REVENUES AND COST OF REVENUES**

Revenues for the six months ended April 30, 2011 were higher than those for the comparable period of fiscal 2010 by approximately \$15.8 million, or 2.5%. This increase was attributable to a 1.9% increase in the number of homes delivered and a 0.5% increase in the average price of the homes delivered. The increase in the number of homes delivered in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the comparable period of fiscal 2010, was primarily due to the increased number of communities that we were operating from in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. The increase in the average price of the homes delivered in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was due primarily to the delivery of fewer homes directly from inventory ( quick-delivery homes ) in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, as our supply of quick-delivery homes has dwindled, and to reduced sales incentives on these quick-delivery homes in fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010, offset, in part, by a shift in product mix to lower priced product or less expensive geographic areas in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period.

Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues was 85.4% in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to 97.7% in the six-month period ended April 30, 2010. In the six-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, we recognized inventory impairment charges and write-offs of \$18.0 million and \$75.7 million, respectively. Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues, excluding impairments, was 82.6% of revenues in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to 85.8% in the fiscal 2010 period. The decrease in cost of revenues, excluding inventory

impairment charges, as a percentage of revenue in the fiscal 2011 six month period, as compared to the comparable period of fiscal 2010, was due primarily to lower costs, as a percentage of revenues, on the homes delivered in the fiscal 2011 period than those delivered in the fiscal 2010 period. The lower percentage was due to the delivery of fewer quick-delivery homes in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, as our supply of quick-delivery homes has dwindled. Generally, the cost, as a percentage of revenues, of a quick-delivery home is higher than our standard contract and build homes ( to be built homes ). In addition, reduced costs were realized in the fiscal 2011 period because fewer homes were delivered from certain higher cost communities in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, as these communities delivered their final homes and from the benefits from cost savings of our new centralized purchasing initiatives. The reduction in costs were offset, in part, by higher interest costs in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. In the six-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, interest cost as a percentage of revenues was 5.4% and 5.1%, respectively.

**Table of Contents**

Revenues for the three months ended April 30, 2011 were higher than those for the comparable period of fiscal 2010 by approximately \$8.4 million, or 2.7%. This increase was attributable to an 8.8% increase in the number of homes delivered, offset, in part, by a 5.6% decrease in the average price of the homes delivered. The increase in the number of homes delivered in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was due primarily from the increased number of homes delivered per community and the increased number of communities that we were operating from in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. The 5.6% decrease in the average price of the homes delivered in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared the fiscal 2010 period, was due to a shift in product mix to lower priced product or less expensive geographic areas, offset, in part, by reduced sales incentives on these quick delivery homes in fiscal 2011.

Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues was 86.4% in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to 98.2% in the three-month period ended April 30, 2010. In the three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, we recognized inventory impairment charges and write-offs of \$12.9 million and \$42.3 million, respectively. Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues, excluding impairments, was 82.4% of revenues in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to 84.6% in the fiscal 2010 period. The decrease in cost of revenues, excluding inventory impairment charges, as a percentage of revenue in the fiscal 2011 three-month period, as compared to the comparable period of fiscal 2010, was due primarily to lower costs on the homes delivered in the fiscal 2011 period than those delivered in the fiscal 2010 period. The lower costs were due to fewer homes being delivered from certain higher cost communities in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, as these communities delivered their final homes and from the benefits from cost savings of our new centralized purchasing initiatives. The reduction in costs were offset, in part, by higher interest costs in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. In the three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, interest cost as a percentage of revenues was 5.4% and 4.9%, respectively.

**SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES ( SG&A )**

SG&A expense increased by \$1.5 million, or 1.2%, in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the six-month period ended April 30, 2010. As a percentage of revenues, SG&A was 19.6% in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to 19.9% in the fiscal 2010 period. The increase in SG&A expense in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was due primarily from increased compensation costs and increased sales and marketing costs, offset, in part, by an insurance claim recovery and the reversal of previously accrued costs due to a change in estimate. The increased compensation and sales and marketing costs were due primarily to the Company's increased number of communities it had open in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period.

SG&A expense increased by \$7.5 million, or 12.6%, in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the three-month period ended April 30, 2010. As a percentage of revenues, SG&A was 21.0% in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to 19.1% in the fiscal 2010 period. The increase in SG&A expense in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was due primarily to increased compensation costs and increased sales and marketing costs. The increased compensation costs and increased sales and marketing costs were due primarily to the increase in the number of deliveries and number of selling communities open in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period.

**INTEREST EXPENSE**

Interest incurred on average homebuilding indebtedness in excess of average qualified inventory is charged directly to the statement of operations in the period incurred. Interest expensed directly to the statement of operations in the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 was \$1.5 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. Interest expensed directly to the statement of operations in the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2010 was \$13.5 million and \$6.2 million, respectively. The decrease in the amount of interest expensed directly is due to a higher amount of qualified inventory and a lower amount of debt in the fiscal 2011 periods, as compared to the fiscal 2010 periods.

**(LOSS) INCOME FROM UNCONSOLIDATED ENTITIES AND NON-PERFORMING LOAN PORTFOLIO**

We are a participant in several joint ventures, a non-performing loan portfolio and in the Trust and Trust II. We recognize our proportionate share of the earnings and losses from these entities and the loan portfolio. The trends,

uncertainties or other factors that have negatively impacted our business and the industry in general and which are discussed in the Overview section of this MD&A have also impacted the unconsolidated entities in which we have investments. Most of our joint ventures are land development projects or high-rise/mid-rise construction projects and do not generate revenues and earnings for a number of years during the development of the property. Once development is complete, the joint ventures will generally, over a relatively short period of time, generate revenues and earnings until all the assets of the entity are sold. Because there is not a steady flow of revenues and earnings from these entities, the earnings recognized from these entities will vary significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year.



**Table of Contents**

In the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011, we recognized \$21.9 million and \$10.9 million of losses from unconsolidated entities, respectively, as compared to \$1.6 million and \$1.3 million of income, respectively, in the comparable periods of fiscal 2010. The losses in the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 included \$39.6 million and \$19.6 million of impairment charges, respectively, that we recognized on our investments in unconsolidated entities. No impairment charges were recognized in the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2010. See **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements** in this MD&A for information related to this impairment charge. The increase in income from unconsolidated entities in the fiscal 2011 periods, excluding the impairment charges recognized in the fiscal 2011 periods, as compared to the fiscal 2010 periods, was due principally to income generated in the fiscal 2011 periods from two of our high-rise construction ventures which commenced delivery of units in the second and third quarters of fiscal 2010, income generated from our structured asset joint venture and loan portfolio participation in the fiscal 2011 periods, and a distribution in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011 from the Trust in excess of our cost basis in the Trust.

**INTEREST AND OTHER INCOME**

For the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, interest and other income was \$7.7 million and \$15.2 million, respectively. The decrease in interest and other income in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was primarily due to a decline in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, of \$6.9 million of retained customer deposits, a decrease in income from ancillary businesses and management fee income, offset, in part, by a gain on the sale of a commercial parcel of land and an increase in interest income.

For the three months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, interest and other income was \$3.5 million and \$7.0 million, respectively. The decrease in interest and other income in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was primarily due to a decline in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, of \$2.7 million of retained customer deposits, a decrease in income from ancillary businesses and management fee income, offset, in part, by an increase in interest income.

**LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAX BENEFIT**

For the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, we reported a loss before income tax benefit of \$48.5 million, as compared to a loss before income tax benefit of \$108.5 million in the six-month period ended April 30, 2010.

For the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, we reported a loss before income tax benefit of \$31.5 million, as compared to a loss before income tax benefit of \$51.8 million in the three-month period ended April 30, 2010.

**INCOME TAX BENEFIT**

We recognized a \$31.2 million tax benefit in the first six months of fiscal 2011. Based upon the federal statutory rate of 35%, our tax benefit would have been \$17.0 million. The difference between the tax benefit recognized and the tax benefit based on the federal statutory rate was due primarily to the reversal of \$18.0 million of previously accrued taxes on uncertain tax positions that were resolved during the period, the reversal of \$2.3 million of state tax liabilities (net of federal tax provision) due to the settlement of a state income tax audit at a lower amount than provided, a tax benefit for state income taxes, net of federal benefit of \$1.6 million, offset, in part, by \$6.5 million of net new deferred tax valuation allowance and \$1.6 million of accrued interest and penalties.

We recognized a \$27.4 million tax benefit in the first six months of fiscal 2010. Based upon the federal statutory rate of 35%, our tax benefit would have been \$38.0 million. The difference between the tax benefit recognized and the tax benefit based on the federal statutory rate was due primarily to a net new deferred tax valuation allowance of \$11.0 million, \$2.8 million of accrued interest and penalties, offset, in part, by a tax benefit for state income taxes, net of federal benefit of \$2.6 million.

We recognized a \$10.7 million tax benefit in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011. Based upon the federal statutory rate of 35%, our tax benefit would have been \$11.1 million. The difference between the tax benefit recognized and the tax benefit based on the federal statutory rate was due primarily to a net new deferred tax valuation allowance of \$0.7 million, \$0.8 million of accrued interest and penalties, offset, in part, by a tax benefit for state income taxes, net of federal benefit of \$1.0 million.



**Table of Contents**

We recognized a \$11.4 million tax benefit in the three-month period ended April 30, 2010. Based upon the federal statutory rate of 35%, our tax benefit would have been \$18.1 million. The difference between the tax benefit recognized and the tax benefit based on the federal statutory rate was due primarily to a net new deferred tax valuation allowance of \$7.5 million, \$1.0 million of accrued interest and penalties, offset, in part, by a tax benefit for state income taxes, net of federal benefit of \$1.4 million.

**CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY**

Funding for our business has been provided principally by cash flow from operating activities before inventory additions, unsecured bank borrowings and the public debt and equity markets. At April 30, 2011, we had \$1.25 billion of cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities on hand, as compared to \$1.24 billion at October 31, 2010. Cash provided by operating activities during the six-month period ended April 30, 2011 was \$97.6 million. Cash provided by operating activities during the fiscal 2011 period was primarily from the receipt of a federal income tax refund for fiscal 2010, earnings before depreciation and amortization, inventory impairments and loss from unconsolidated entities, the sale of mortgage loans, net of mortgage originations and an increase in customer deposits, and a decrease in restricted cash, offset, in part, by the purchase of inventory and the reduction of accounts payable and accrued liabilities. We used \$133.5 million of cash in our investing activities in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, primarily for investments in marketable securities, net of sales of marketable securities and our investment in a participation in a non-performing loan portfolio. We also used \$53.3 million of cash in financing activities in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, primarily for the repayment of our mortgage warehouse loan, net of new borrowings under it, and the repayment of other loans payable.

At April 30, 2010, we had \$1.55 billion of cash and cash equivalents and marketable U.S. Treasury securities on hand, a decline of \$360.9 million from October 31, 2009. Cash used in operating activities during the six-month period ended April 30, 2010 was \$223.9 million. Cash used in operating activities during the fiscal 2010 period was primarily used to fund our loss from operations and to acquire inventory. We used \$107.7 million of cash in our investing activities in the six-month period ended April 30, 2010, primarily for investments in marketable U.S. Treasury securities and for investments in our unconsolidated entities. We also used \$114.2 million of cash in financing activities in the six-month period ended April 30, 2010, primarily for the repayment and redemption of debt (primarily our senior subordinated notes and other loans payable).

At April 30, 2011, the aggregate purchase price of land parcels under option and purchase agreements was approximately \$544.2 million (including \$136.0 million of land to be acquired from joint ventures in which we have invested). Of the \$544.2 million of land purchase commitments, we had paid or deposited \$43.5 million, we will receive a credit for prior investments in joint ventures of approximately \$38.3 million and, if we acquire all of these land parcels, we will be required to pay \$462.4 million. Of the \$462.4 million we would be required to pay, we recorded \$62.5 million of this amount in accrued expenses at April 30, 2011. The purchases of these land parcels are scheduled over the next several years. We have additional land parcels under option that have been excluded from the aforementioned aggregate purchase amounts since we do not believe that we will complete the purchase of these land parcels and no additional funds will be required from us to terminate these contracts.

In general, our cash flow from operating activities assumes that, as each home is delivered, we will purchase a home site to replace it. Because we own several years' supply of home sites, we do not need to buy home sites immediately to replace those which we deliver. In addition, we generally do not begin construction of our single-family detached homes until we have a signed contract with the home buyer, although in the past several years, due to the high cancellation rate of customer contracts and the increase in the number of attached-home communities from which we were operating (all of the units of which are generally not sold prior to the commencement of construction), the number of speculative homes in our inventory increased significantly. Should our business decline from present levels, we believe that our inventory levels would decrease as we complete and deliver the homes under construction but do not commence construction of as many new homes, not incur additional costs to improve land we already own and as we sell and deliver the speculative homes that are currently in inventory, all of which should result in additional cash flow from operations. In addition, we might curtail our acquisition of additional land which would further reduce our inventory levels and cash needs. We have begun to see land being offered at prices that we believe are attractive based on current market conditions, and have entered into several contracts to acquire land in the last several months.

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

During the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, we acquired control of approximately 2,250 lots (net of lot options terminated). At April 30, 2011, we owned or controlled through options approximately 35,900 home sites, as compared to approximately 33,600 at April 30, 2010, 34,900 at October 31, 2010, and approximately 91,200 at April 30, 2006, our peak in terms of home sites owned or controlled through options. Of the 35,900 home sites owned or controlled through options at April 30, 2011, we owned approximately 30,500; significant improvements were completed on approximately 10,900 of them.

**Table of Contents**

At April 30, 2011, we had \$783.8 million available to us under our \$885 million revolving credit facility with 12 banks, which extends to October 2014. At April 30, 2011, we had no outstanding borrowings under the credit facility but had outstanding letters of credit of approximately \$101.2 million. At April 30, 2011, interest would have been payable on borrowings under our credit facility at 2.50% (subject to adjustment based upon our debt rating and leverage ratios) above the Eurodollar rate or at other specified variable rates as selected by us from time to time. We are obligated to pay an undrawn commitment fee of 0.50% (subject to adjustment based upon our debt rating and leverage ratios) based on the average daily unused amount of the credit facility. Under the terms of the credit facility, we are not permitted to allow our maximum leverage ratio (as defined in the credit agreement) to exceed 1.75 to 1.00, and we are required to maintain a minimum tangible net worth (as defined in the credit agreement) of approximately \$1.88 billion at April 30, 2011. At April 30, 2011, our leverage ratio was approximately 0.16 to 1.00, and our tangible net worth was approximately \$2.51 billion. Based upon the minimum tangible net worth requirement, our ability to pay dividends was limited to an aggregate amount of approximately \$635.2 million at April 30, 2011. In addition, at April 30, 2011, we had \$25.3 million of letters of credit outstanding with three banks which were not part of our new credit facility; these letters of credit were collateralized by \$26.0 million of cash deposits.

We believe that we will be able to continue to fund our current operations and meet our contractual obligations through a combination of existing cash resources and other sources of credit. Due to the deterioration of the credit markets and the uncertainties that exist in the economy and for home builders in general, we cannot be certain that we will be able to replace existing financing or find sources of additional financing in the future; moreover, if we are able to replace all or some of such facilities, we may be subjected to more restrictive borrowing terms and conditions.

**GEOGRAPHIC SEGMENTS**

We operate in four geographic segments around the United States: the North, consisting of Connecticut, Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Jersey and New York; the Mid-Atlantic, consisting of Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia and West Virginia; the South, consisting of Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas; and the West, consisting of Arizona, California, Colorado and Nevada.

The tables below summarize information related to revenues, gross contracts signed, contract cancellations and net contracts signed provided on units delivered by geographic segment for the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, and information related to backlog by geographic segment at April 30, 2011 and 2010, and at October 31, 2010 and 2009.

**Revenues:**

|              | Six months ended April 30, |       |                          |                          | Three months ended April 30, |      |                          |                          |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|              | 2011                       | 2010  | 2011<br>(In<br>millions) | 2010<br>(In<br>millions) | 2011                         | 2010 | 2011<br>(In<br>millions) | 2010<br>(In<br>millions) |
| North        | 316                        | 327   | \$ 167.1                 | \$ 174.5                 | 167                          | 160  | \$ 84.6                  | \$ 83.1                  |
| Mid-Atlantic | 363                        | 376   | 203.4                    | 204.0                    | 184                          | 184  | 99.6                     | 103.0                    |
| South        | 239                        | 227   | 128.4                    | 119.0                    | 124                          | 114  | 66.5                     | 63.7                     |
| West         | 243                        | 209   | 154.9                    | 140.5                    | 116                          | 85   | 69.0                     | 61.5                     |
|              | 1,161                      | 1,139 | \$ 653.8                 | \$ 638.0                 | 591                          | 543  | \$ 319.7                 | \$ 311.3                 |

**Table of Contents****Gross Contracts Signed:**

|              | Six months ended April 30, |       |               |               | Three months ended April 30, |       |               |               |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|-------|---------------|---------------|
|              | 2011                       | 2010  | 2011          | 2010          | 2011                         | 2010  | 2011          | 2010          |
|              | Units                      | Units | (In millions) | (In millions) | Units                        | Units | (In millions) | (In millions) |
| North        | 387                        | 368   | \$ 216.6      | \$ 192.4      | 239                          | 220   | \$ 134.0      | \$ 114.7      |
| Mid-Atlantic | 483                        | 465   | 269.6         | 252.4         | 287                          | 295   | 157.6         | 156.0         |
| South        | 357                        | 313   | 204.7         | 165.4         | 224                          | 187   | 130.9         | 103.4         |
| West         | 269                        | 284   | 156.1         | 192.4         | 165                          | 164   | 98.6          | 115.3         |
|              | 1,496                      | 1,430 | \$ 847.0      | \$ 802.6      | 915                          | 866   | \$ 521.1      | \$ 489.4      |

**Contracts Cancelled:**

|              | Six months ended April 30, |       |               |               | Three months ended April 30, |       |               |               |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|-------|---------------|---------------|
|              | 2011                       | 2010  | 2011          | 2010          | 2011                         | 2010  | 2011          | 2010          |
|              | Units                      | Units | (In millions) | (In millions) | Units                        | Units | (In millions) | (In millions) |
| North        | 31                         | 26    | \$ 17.5       | \$ 14.2       | 15                           | 14    | \$ 8.7        | \$ 9.5        |
| Mid-Atlantic | 12                         | 26    | 6.3           | 12.5          | 6                            | 17    | 3.1           | 5.7           |
| South        | 12                         | 17    | 7.1           | 9.8           | 5                            | 8     | 2.4           | 4.3           |
| West         | 14                         | 15    | 8.0           | 9.4           | 10                           | 7     | 6.0           | 5.3           |
|              | 69                         | 84    | \$ 38.9       | \$ 45.9       | 36                           | 46    | \$ 20.2       | \$ 24.8       |

**Net Contracts Signed:**

|              | Six months ended April 30, |       |               |               | Three months ended April 30, |       |               |               |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|-------|---------------|---------------|
|              | 2011                       | 2010  | 2011          | 2010          | 2011                         | 2010  | 2011          | 2010          |
|              | Units                      | Units | (In millions) | (In millions) | Units                        | Units | (In millions) | (In millions) |
| North        | 356                        | 342   | \$ 199.1      | \$ 178.1      | 224                          | 206   | \$ 125.3      | \$ 105.2      |
| Mid-Atlantic | 471                        | 439   | 263.3         | 239.9         | 281                          | 278   | 154.6         | 150.3         |
| South        | 345                        | 296   | 197.6         | 155.7         | 219                          | 179   | 128.4         | 99.1          |
| West         | 255                        | 269   | 148.1         | 182.9         | 155                          | 157   | 92.6          | 110.0         |
|              | 1,427                      | 1,346 | \$ 808.1      | \$ 756.6      | 879                          | 820   | \$ 500.9      | \$ 464.6      |

**Contracts cancellations as a percentage of gross contracts:**

|              | Six months ended April 30, |       |       |       | Three months ended April 30, |       |       |       |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
|              | 2011                       | 2010  | 2011  | 2010  | 2011                         | 2010  | 2011  | 2010  |
|              | Units                      | Units | Value | Value | Units                        | Units | Value | Value |
| North        | 8.0%                       | 7.1%  | 8.1%  | 7.4%  | 6.3%                         | 6.4%  | 6.5%  | 8.3%  |
| Mid-Atlantic | 2.5%                       | 5.6%  | 2.3%  | 5.0%  | 2.1%                         | 5.8%  | 1.9%  | 3.7%  |
| South        | 3.4%                       | 5.4%  | 3.5%  | 5.9%  | 2.2%                         | 4.3%  | 1.8%  | 4.1%  |
| West         | 5.2%                       | 5.3%  | 5.2%  | 4.9%  | 6.1%                         | 4.3%  | 6.1%  | 4.6%  |
| Total        | 4.6%                       | 5.9%  | 4.6%  | 5.7%  | 3.9%                         | 5.3%  | 3.9%  | 5.1%  |

**Backlog at April 30 :**

|              | 2011  | At April 30, |                  | 2010             | 2010  | At October 31, |                  | 2009             |
|--------------|-------|--------------|------------------|------------------|-------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
|              |       | 2010         | 2011             |                  |       | 2009           | 2010             |                  |
|              | Units | Units        | (In<br>millions) | (In<br>millions) | Units | Units          | (In<br>millions) | (In<br>millions) |
| North        | 561   | 565          | \$ 291.3         | \$ 287.2         | 521   | 550            | \$ 259.3         | \$ 283.6         |
| Mid-Atlantic | 583   | 556          | 344.3            | 329.5            | 475   | 493            | 284.4            | 293.6            |
| South        | 402   | 351          | 228.9            | 184.7            | 296   | 282            | 159.7            | 148.0            |
| West         | 214   | 266          | 141.9            | 192.1            | 202   | 206            | 148.7            | 149.6            |
|              | 1,760 | 1,738        | \$ 1,006.4       | \$ 993.5         | 1,494 | 1,531          | \$ 852.1         | \$ 874.8         |

**Table of Contents****Revenues and Loss Before Income Taxes:**

The following table summarizes by geographic segments total revenues and loss before income taxes for the six-month and three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 (amounts in millions):

|              | Six months ended April 30, |          | Three months ended April 30, |          |
|--------------|----------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
|              | 2011                       | 2010     | 2011                         | 2010     |
| Revenue:     |                            |          |                              |          |
| North        | \$ 167.1                   | \$ 174.5 | \$ 84.6                      | \$ 83.1  |
| Mid-Atlantic | 203.4                      | 204.0    | 99.6                         | 103.0    |
| South        | 128.4                      | 119.0    | 66.5                         | 63.7     |
| West         | 154.9                      | 140.5    | 69.0                         | 61.5     |
| Total        | \$ 653.8                   | \$ 638.0 | \$ 319.7                     | \$ 311.3 |

|                                    | Six months ended April 30, |            | Three months ended April 30, |           |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------------------------|-----------|
|                                    | 2011                       | 2010       | 2011                         | 2010      |
| (Loss) income before income taxes: |                            |            |                              |           |
| North                              | \$ 14.5                    | \$ (4.3)   | \$ 7.4                       | \$ (2.4)  |
| Mid-Atlantic                       | 15.1                       | 2.5        | 6.4                          | 7.6       |
| South                              | (13.6)                     | (27.6)     | (12.3)                       | (18.4)    |
| West                               | (27.1)                     | (26.8)     | (11.9)                       | (15.5)    |
| Corporate and other (a)            | (37.4)                     | (52.3)     | (21.1)                       | (23.1)    |
| Total                              | \$ (48.5)                  | \$ (108.5) | \$ (31.5)                    | \$ (51.8) |

- (a) Corporate and other is comprised principally of general corporate expenses such as the offices of the Executive Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, and President, and the corporate finance, accounting, audit, tax, human resources, risk management, marketing and legal groups, directly expensed interest, offset in part by interest income and income from the Company's ancillary businesses.

**North**

Revenues in the six months ended April 30, 2011 were lower than those for the comparable period of fiscal 2010 by \$7.4 million, or 4.2%. The decrease in revenues was primarily attributable to a 3.4% decrease in the number of homes delivered. The decrease in the number of homes delivered in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was primarily due to a decrease in the number of settlements in the fiscal 2011 period at several of our high-rise communities as the result of lower backlog of these communities at October 31, 2010, as compared to October 31, 2009.

The value of net contracts signed in the six months ended April 30, 2011 was \$199.1 million, an 11.8% increase from the \$178.1 million of net contracts signed during the six months ended April 30, 2010. This increase was primarily due to a 7.4% increase in the average value of each net contract and a 4.1% increase in the number of net contracts signed. The increase in the average sales price of net contracts signed in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was primarily attributable to a shift in the number of contracts signed to more expensive areas and/or products in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the six-month period ended April 30, 2010. The increase in the number of net contracts signed in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was primarily due to an increase in the number of selling communities in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period.

For the six months ended April 30, 2011, we reported income before income taxes of \$14.5 million, as compared to a loss before income taxes of \$4.3 million for the six months ended April 30, 2010. The increase in income in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was primarily attributable to lower impairment charges and an



increase in income from unconsolidated entities of \$11.3 million, offset, in part, by higher SG&A expenses and a decline in retained customer deposits. In the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, we recognized inventory impairment charges of \$3.1 million and \$11.9 million, respectively. The increase in income from unconsolidated entities in the fiscal 2011 period was due principally to income generated from two of our high-rise construction joint ventures which commenced delivery of units in the second and third quarters of fiscal 2010.

Revenues in the three months ended April 30, 2011 were higher than those for the comparable period of fiscal 2010 by \$1.5 million, or 1.8%. The increase in revenues was attributable to a 4.4% increase (7 homes) in the number of homes delivered, offset, in part, by a 2.4% decrease in the average price of the homes delivered.

**Table of Contents**

The value of net contracts signed in the three months ended April 30, 2011 was \$125.3 million, a 19.1% increase from the \$105.2 million of net contracts signed during the three months ended April 30, 2010. This increase was primarily due to a 9.6% increase in the average value of each net contract and an 8.7% increase in the number of net contracts signed. The increase in the average sales price of net contracts signed in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was primarily attributable to a shift in the number of contracts signed to more expensive areas and/or products in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the three-month period ended April 30, 2010. The increase in the number of net contracts signed in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was primarily due to the increase in the number of selling communities in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period.

For the three months ended April 30, 2011, we reported income before income taxes of \$7.4 million, as compared to a loss before income taxes of \$2.4 million for the three months ended April 30, 2010. The increase in income in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was primarily attributable to lower impairment charges and an increase in income from unconsolidated entities of \$4.1 million, offset, in part, by a decline in retained customer deposits. In the three months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, we recognized inventory impairment charges of \$1.7 million and \$5.6 million, respectively. The increase in income from unconsolidated entities in the fiscal 2011 period was due principally to income generated from two of our high-rise construction joint ventures which commenced delivery of units in the second and third quarter of fiscal 2010.

**Mid-Atlantic**

For the six months ended April 30, 2011 revenues were approximately equal to revenues for the six months ended April 30, 2010. The average value of each home delivered in the fiscal 2011 period was 3.3% higher than the average price of each home delivered in the fiscal 2010 period. In the fiscal 2011 period, the number of homes delivered was 3.5% lower than the number of homes delivered in the fiscal 2010 period. The increase in the average price of the homes delivered in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was primarily related to a shift in the number of homes delivered to more expensive products and/or locations. The decrease in the number of homes delivered in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the six-month period ended April 30, 2010, was primarily due to the decrease in the number of settlements in the fiscal 2011 period at several of our mid-rise/high-rise communities located in Pennsylvania and Maryland as a result of a decrease in net contracts signed at these communities.

The value of net contracts signed during the six-month period ended April 30, 2011 increased by \$23.4 million, or 9.8%, from the six-month period ended April 30, 2010. The increase was due to a 7.3% increase in the number of net contracts signed and a 2.3% increase in the average value of each net contract signed. The increase in the number of net contracts signed was due primarily to an improvement in housing demand. The increase in the average value of each net contract signed was primarily due to a shift in the number of contracts signed to more expensive areas and/or products in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the six-month period ended April 30, 2010. We reported income before income taxes for six-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 of \$15.1 million and \$2.5 million, respectively. The increase in the income before income taxes was primarily due to lower cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. The decrease in the cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues was primarily due to lower impairment charges in the three months ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the comparable period of fiscal 2010, and lower costs on the homes delivered in the fiscal 2011 period than those delivered in the fiscal 2010 period. We recognized inventory impairment charges of \$3.8 million and \$10.8 million for the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The lower costs were due to the delivery of fewer quick-delivery homes in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, as our supply of such homes has dwindled, and to reduced sales incentives in general on the homes delivered in fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010. Generally, we give higher sales incentives on quick-delivery homes than on our to be built homes. In addition, reduced costs were realized in the fiscal 2011 period because fewer homes were delivered from certain higher cost communities in fiscal 2011, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, as these communities closed-out.

For the three months ended April 30, 2011, revenues were lower than those for the three months ended April 30, 2011 by \$3.4 million, or 3.3%, primarily due to a 3.3% decrease in the average sales price of the homes delivered. The

decrease in the average price of the homes delivered in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was primarily related to a shift in the number of homes delivered to less expensive products and/or locations.

**Table of Contents**

The value of net contracts signed during the three-month period ended April 30, 2011 increased by \$4.3 million, or 2.9%, from the three-month period ended April 30, 2010. The increase was due to a 1.1% increase in the number of net contracts signed and a 1.8% increase in the average value of each net contract signed. The increase in the number of net contracts signed was due primarily to an improvement in housing demand in this geographic segment. The increase in the average value of each net contract signed was primarily due to a shift in the number of contracts signed to more expensive areas and/or products in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period.

We reported income before income taxes for the three-month period ended April 30, 2011 of \$6.4 million, as compared to \$7.6 million of income before income taxes in the comparable period of fiscal 2010. The decrease in the income before income taxes was primarily due to higher impairment charges in the three months ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the comparable period of fiscal 2010, offset, in part, by lower selling, general and administrative costs. We recognized inventory impairment charges of \$3.7 million and \$0.1 million for the three months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

**South**

Revenues in the six months ended April 30, 2011 were higher than those in the comparable period of fiscal 2010 by \$9.4 million, or 7.9%. This increase was attributable to a 5.3% increase in the number of homes delivered and a 2.5% increase in the average price of the homes delivered. The increase in the number of homes delivered in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was primarily due to the increased number of communities that we were operating from in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. The increase in the average price of the homes delivered in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the three-month period ended April 30, 2010, was primarily attributable to a shift in the number of homes delivered, primarily in Florida and Texas, to more expensive areas and/or products in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period.

For the six months ended April 30, 2011, the value of net contracts signed increased by \$41.9 million, or 26.9%, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. The increase was attributable to increases of 16.6% and 8.9% in the number and average value of net contracts signed, respectively. The increase in the number of net contracts signed in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the six-month period ended April 30, 2010, was primarily due to an increase in the number of selling communities in the fiscal 2011 period as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. The increase in the average sales price of net contracts signed was primarily due to a shift in the number of contracts signed to more expensive areas and/or products in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. For the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, we reported losses before income taxes of \$13.6 million and \$27.6 million, respectively. The decline in the loss before income taxes was primarily due to lower cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues in the 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, partially offset by a \$10.0 million impairment charge that we recognized on one of our investments in unconsolidated entities. Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenue was 83.0% in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to 103.5% in the six-month period ended April 30, 2010. In the six-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, we recognized inventory impairment charges and write-offs of \$4.1 million and \$25.2 million, respectively. Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues, excluding impairments, was 79.8% of revenues in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to 82.3% in the fiscal 2010 period. The decrease in cost of revenues, excluding inventory impairment charges, as a percentage of revenue in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was due primarily to the delivery of fewer quick-delivery homes in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, as our supply of such homes has dwindled, and to reduced sales incentives in general on the homes delivered in fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010. Generally, we give higher sales incentives on quick-delivery homes than on our to be built homes.

Revenues in the three months ended April 30, 2011 were higher than those in the comparable period of fiscal 2010 by \$2.8 million, or 4.4%. This increase was attributable to an 8.8% increase in the number of homes delivered, offset, in part, by a 4.0% decrease in the average price of the homes delivered. The increase in the number of homes delivered in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was primarily due to the increased number of communities that we were operating from in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. The decrease in the average price of the homes delivered in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011,

as compared to the three-month period ended April 30, 2010, was primarily attributable to a shift in the number of homes delivered, primarily in Florida and North Carolina, to less expensive areas and/or products in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period.

**Table of Contents**

For the three months ended April 30, 2011, the value of net contracts signed increased by \$29.3 million, or 29.6%, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. The increase was attributable to increases of 22.3% and 5.9% in the number and average value of net contracts signed, respectively. The increase in the number of net contracts signed in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the three-month period ended April 30, 2010, was primarily due to an increase in the number of selling communities in the fiscal 2011 period as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. The increase in the average sales price of net contracts signed was primarily due to a shift in the number of contracts signed to more expensive areas and/or products in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period.

For the three months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, we reported losses before income taxes of \$12.3 million and \$18.4 million, respectively. The decline in the loss before income taxes was primarily due to lower cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues in the 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, partially offset by a \$10.0 million impairment charge that we recognized on one of our investments in unconsolidated entities and higher seller, general and administrative costs. Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues was 83.5% and 111.2% in the three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. In the three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, we recognized inventory impairment charges and write-offs of \$3.8 million and \$19.3 million, respectively. Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues, excluding impairments, was 77.8% of revenues in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to 80.9% in the fiscal 2010 period. The decrease in cost of revenues, excluding inventory impairment charges, as a percentage of revenue in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was due primarily to the delivery of fewer quick-delivery homes in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, as our supply of such homes has dwindled, and to reduced sales incentives in general on the homes delivered in fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010. Generally, we give higher sales incentives on quick-delivery homes than on our to be built homes.

**West**

Revenues in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011 were higher than those in the six-month period ended April 30, 2010 by \$14.4 million, or 10.3%. The increase in revenues was attributable to a 16.3% increase in the number of homes delivered, offset, in part, by a 5.1% decrease in the average sales price of the homes delivered. The increase in the number of homes delivered in the six months ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the six months ended April 30, 2010, was primarily attributable to California where the number homes delivered increased 31.2%, in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, primarily due to higher backlog at October 31, 2010, as compared to October 31, 2009, and the opening of several new communities in fiscal 2010. The decrease in the average price of the homes delivered was primarily due to a shift in the number of homes delivered to less expensive products and/or locations, primarily in California and Nevada, in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period.

The value of net contracts signed during the six months ended April 30, 2011 decreased \$34.8 million, or 19.1%, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. This decrease was due to a 5.2% decrease in the number of net contracts signed and a 14.6% decrease in the average value of each net contract signed. The decrease in the number of net contracts signed was due to a 14.9% decline in the number of selling communities in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. The decrease in the average sales price of net contracts signed was primarily due to a shift in the number of contracts signed to less expensive areas and/or products in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period.

We reported losses before income taxes for the six-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, of \$27.1 million and \$26.8 million, respectively. The increase in the loss before income taxes was primarily due to \$29.6 million of impairment charges that we recognized on one of our investments in unconsolidated entities offset, in part, by lower cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues. Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues was 80.1% in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to 100.4% in the six-month period ended April 30, 2010. In the six-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, we recognized inventory impairment charges and write-offs of \$7.0 million and \$27.9 million, respectively. Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues, excluding impairments, was 75.6% of revenues in the six-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to 80.6% in the fiscal 2010 period. The decrease in cost of revenues, excluding inventory impairment charges, as a percentage of revenue in the fiscal 2011

period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was due primarily to the delivery of fewer quick-delivery homes in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, as our supply of such homes has dwindled, and to reduced sales incentives in general on the homes delivered in fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010. Generally, we give higher sales incentives on quick-delivery homes than on our to be built homes.

**Table of Contents**

Revenues in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011 were higher than those in the three-month period ended April 30, 2010 by \$7.5 million, 12.1%. The increase in revenues was attributable to a 36.5% increase in the number of homes delivered, offset in part, by a 17.9% decrease in the average sales price of the homes delivered. The increase in the number of homes delivered in the three months ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the three months ended April 30, 2010, was primarily attributable to California where the number homes delivered increased 46.7%, in the fiscal 2011, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, primarily due to higher backlog at October 31, 2010, as compared to October 31, 2009, and the opening of several new communities in fiscal 2010. The decrease in the average price of the homes delivered was primarily due to a shift in the number of homes delivered to less expensive products and/or locations, primarily in California and Nevada, in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. The value of net contracts signed during the three months ended April 30, 2011 decreased \$17.4 million, or 15.9%, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. This decrease was due to a 14.8% decrease in the average value of each net contract signed and a 1.3% decrease in the number of net contracts signed. The decrease in the average sales price of net contracts signed was primarily due to a shift in the number of contracts signed to less expensive areas and/or products in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. The decrease in the number of net contracts signed was due to a decline in the number of selling communities in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period.

We reported losses before income taxes for the three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, of \$11.9 million and \$15.5 million, respectively. The decrease in the loss before income taxes was primarily due to lower cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, offset, in part, by a \$9.6 million impairment charge that we recognized on one of our investments in unconsolidated entities in the fiscal 2011 period. Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues was 82.2% in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to 106.7% in the three-month period ended April 30, 2010. In the three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, we recognized inventory impairment charges and write-offs of \$3.7 million and \$17.3 million, respectively. Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues, excluding impairments, was 76.8% of revenues in the three-month period ended April 30, 2011, as compared to 78.7% in the fiscal 2010 period. The decrease in cost of revenues, excluding inventory impairment charges, as a percentage of revenue in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, was due primarily to lower costs on the homes delivered in the fiscal 2011 period than those delivered in the fiscal 2010 period.

**Other**

For the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, other loss before income taxes was \$37.4 million and \$52.3 million, respectively. The decrease in the loss was primarily due to a decrease of \$12.0 million of interest directly expensed in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period, \$1.1 million of income recognized from our Gibraltar operations in the six months ended April 30, 2011, and an increase in interest income in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period.

For the three months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, other loss before income taxes was \$21.1 million and \$23.1 million, respectively. The decrease in the loss was primarily due to a decrease of \$5.8 million of interest directly expensed, offset, in part, by lower unallocated SG&A expenses, in the fiscal 2011 period, as compared to the fiscal 2010 period. The increase in unallocated SG&A expense in the three months ended April 30, 2011, as compared to the three months ended April 30, 2010, was primarily due from increased compensation costs and the reversal of previously accrued costs due to a change in accounting estimates in the three months ended April 30, 2010.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

We are exposed to market risk primarily due to fluctuations in interest rates. We utilize both fixed-rate and variable-rate debt. For fixed-rate debt, changes in interest rates generally affect the fair market value of the debt instrument, but not our earnings or cash flow. Conversely, for variable-rate debt, changes in interest rates generally do not impact the fair market value of the debt instrument, but do affect our earnings and cash flow. We do not have the obligation to prepay fixed-rate debt prior to maturity, and, as a result, interest rate risk and changes in fair market value should not have a significant impact on our fixed-rate debt until we are required or elect to refinance it.





**Table of Contents**

The table below sets forth, at April 30, 2011, our debt obligations, principal cash flows by scheduled maturity, weighted-average interest rates and estimated fair value (amounts in thousands):

| Fiscal year of maturity      | Fixed-rate debt     |                                | Variable-rate debt |                                |
|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
|                              | Amount              | Weighted-average interest rate | Amount             | Weighted-average interest rate |
| 2011                         | \$ 19,352           | 3.30%                          | \$ 24,906          | 4.50%                          |
| 2012                         | 17,332              | 3.53%                          | 150                | 0.46%                          |
| 2013                         | 348,673             | 6.39%                          | 150                | 0.46%                          |
| 2014                         | 270,335             | 4.94%                          | 150                | 0.46%                          |
| 2015                         | 301,121             | 5.15%                          | 150                | 0.46%                          |
| Thereafter                   | 690,058             | 7.94%                          | 12,245             | 0.38%                          |
| Discount                     | (9,393)             |                                |                    |                                |
| <b>Total</b>                 | <b>\$ 1,637,478</b> | <b>6.51%</b>                   | <b>\$ 37,751</b>   | <b>3.10%</b>                   |
| Fair value at April 30, 2011 | \$ 1,754,573        |                                | \$ 37,751          |                                |

Based upon the amount of variable-rate debt outstanding at April 30, 2011, and holding the variable-rate debt balance constant, each 1% increase in interest rates would increase the interest incurred by us by approximately \$0.4 million per year.

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

Any controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. However, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives. Our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, with the assistance of management, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period covered by this report (the Evaluation Date). Based on that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There has not been any change in internal control over financial reporting during our quarter ended April 30, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

We are involved in various claims and litigation arising principally in the ordinary course of business.

In January 2006, we received a request for information pursuant to Section 308 of the Clean Water Act from Region 3 of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) concerning storm water discharge practices in connection with our homebuilding projects in the states that comprise EPA Region 3. We provided information to the EPA pursuant to the request. The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) has now assumed responsibility for the oversight of this matter and has alleged that we have violated regulatory requirements applicable to storm water discharges and that it may seek

injunctive relief and/or civil penalties. We are now engaged in settlement discussions with representatives from the DOJ and the EPA.

**Table of Contents**

On November 4, 2008, a shareholder derivative action was filed in the Chancery Court of Delaware by Milton Pfeiffer against Robert I. Toll, Zvi Barzilay, Joel H. Rassman, Bruce E. Toll, Paul E. Shapiro, Robert S. Blank, Carl B. Marbach, and Richard J. Braemer. The plaintiff purports to bring his claims on behalf of Toll Brothers, Inc. and alleges that the director and officer defendants breached their fiduciary duties to us and our stockholders with respect to their sales of shares of our common stock during the period beginning on December 9, 2004 and ending on November 8, 2005, as alleged in a securities class action suit that was filed against us and certain of our directors and officers and, as we disclosed in our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for our fiscal period ended on January 31, 2011, was settled by the parties in March 2011 ( Class Action ). The plaintiff alleges that such stock sales were made while in possession of non-public, material information about us. The plaintiff seeks contribution and indemnification from the individual director and officer defendants for any liability found against us in the Class Action. In addition, again purportedly on our behalf, the plaintiff seeks disgorgement of the defendants' profits from their stock sales.

On March 4, 2009, a second shareholder derivative action was brought by Oliverio Martinez in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The case was brought against the eleven then-current members of our board of directors and Chief Accounting Officer. This complaint alleges breaches of fiduciary duty, waste of corporate assets, and unjust enrichment during the period from February 2005 to November 2006. The complaint further alleges that certain of the defendants sold our stock during this period while in possession of the allegedly non-public, material information about the role of speculative investors in our sales and plaintiff seeks disgorgement of profits from these sales. The complaint also asserts a claim for equitable indemnity for costs and expenses incurred by us in connection with defending the Class Action.

On April 1, 2009, a third shareholder derivative action was filed by William Hall, also in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, against the eleven then-current members of our board of directors and our Chief Accounting Officer. This complaint is identical to the previous shareholder complaint filed in Philadelphia and, on July 14, 2009, the two cases were consolidated. On April 30, 2010, the plaintiffs filed an amended consolidated complaint.

Our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws provide for indemnification of our directors and officers. We have also entered into individual indemnification agreements with each of our directors.

Other than as set forth above, there are no proceedings required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 103 of Regulation S-K.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

There have been no material changes in our risk factors as previously disclosed in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

During the three months ended April 30, 2011, we repurchased the following shares of our common stock:

| Period                                | Total<br>number of<br>shares<br>purchased<br>(a)(b)<br>(in<br>thousands) | Average<br>price<br>paid per<br>share | Total number<br>of shares<br>purchased as part<br>of<br>publicly<br>announced<br>plans or<br>programs (c)<br>(in thousands) | Maximum<br>number of shares<br>that may yet be<br>purchased under<br>the<br>plans or programs<br>(c)<br>(in thousands) |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| February 1, 2011 to February 28, 2011 | 7  | \$ 21.08                              | 7   | 11,815   |
| March 1, 2011 to March 31, 2011       | 1  | \$ 20.08                              | 1   | 11,814   |
| April 1, 2011 to April 30, 2011       | 3  | \$ 20.26                              | 3   | 11,811   |
|                                       | 11   | \$ 20.83                              | 11  |  |



**Table of Contents**

- (a) The terms of our Restricted Stock Unit awards ( RSUs ) permit us to withhold from the total number of shares of our common stock that an employee is entitled to receive upon distribution pursuant to an RSU that number of shares having a fair market value at the time of distribution equal to the applicable income tax withholdings, and remit the remaining shares to the employee. During the three months ended April 30, 2011, we withheld 449 shares subject to RSUs with a fair market value per share of \$20.37 to cover income taxes on distributions and distributed 8,426 shares to employees. The 449 shares withheld are not included in the total number of shares purchased in the table above.
- (b) Our stock incentive plans permit participants to exercise non-qualified stock options using a net exercise method at the discretion of the Executive Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors. In a net exercise, we generally withhold from the total number of shares that otherwise would be issued to the participant upon exercise of the stock option that number of shares having a fair market value at the time of exercise equal to the option exercise price and applicable income tax withholdings and remit the remaining shares to the participant. In addition, our stock incentive plans also permit participants to use the fair market value of Company common stock they own to pay for the exercise of stock options ( stock swap method ). During the three months ended April 30, 2011, neither the net exercise method nor the stock swap method was used to exercise options.
- (c) On March 20, 2003, we announced that our Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to 20 million shares of our common stock, par value \$.01, from time to time, in open market transactions or otherwise, for the purpose of providing shares for our various employee benefit plans. The Board of Directors did not fix an expiration date for the repurchase program.

Except as set forth above, we have not repurchased any of our equity securities.

We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock to date and expect that, for the foreseeable future, we will not do so. Rather, we will follow a policy of retaining earnings in order to finance future growth in our business and, from time to time, repurchase shares of our common stock.

The payment of dividends is within the discretion of our Board of Directors and any decision to pay dividends in the future will depend upon an evaluation of a number of factors, including our earnings, capital requirements, our operating and financial condition, and any contractual limitations then in effect. In addition, our credit facility requires us to maintain a minimum tangible net worth (as defined in the credit agreement), which restricts the amount of dividends we may pay. At April 30, 2011, under the most restrictive of these provisions, we could have paid up to approximately \$635.2 million of cash dividends.

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

|           |   |
|-----------|---|
| 31.1*     | Certification of Douglas C. Yearley, Jr. pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2*     | Certification of Martin P. Connor pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.        |
| 32.1*     | Certification of Douglas C. Yearley, Jr. pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2*     | Certification of Martin P. Connor pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.        |
| 101.INS** | XBRL Instance Document  |
| 101.SCH** | XBRL Schema Document  |
| 101.CAL** | XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document  |
| 101.LAB** | XBRL Labels Linkbase Document   |

101.PRE\*\* XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document

\* Filed electronically herewith.

\*\* Furnished electronically herewith.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TOLL BROTHERS, INC.  
(Registrant)

Date: June 7, 2011

By: /s/ Martin P. Connor  
Martin P. Connor  
Senior Vice President, Treasurer and  
Chief Financial Officer (Principal  
Financial Officer)

Date: June 7, 2011

By: /s/ Joseph R. Sicree  
Joseph R. Sicree  
Senior Vice President and Chief  
Accounting Officer  
(Principal Accounting Officer)