

FIRST INTERSTATE BANCSYSTEM INC

Form 10-K

February 28, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K**

(Mark One)

☐ **Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010,**

or

☐ **Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____.**

Commission File Number: 001-34653

FIRST INTERSTATE BANCSYSTEM, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Montana

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

81-0331430

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

401 North 31st Street

Billings, Montana

(Address of principal executive offices)

59116

(Zip Code)

(406) 255-5390

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Class A common stock

(Title of each class)

NASDAQ Stock Market

(Name of each exchange on which registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Class B common stock

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

☐ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. ☐ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. ☐ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§223.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that registrant was required to submit and post such files). ☐ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐

Edgar Filing: FIRST INTERSTATE BANCSYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

☐ Large accelerated filer ☒ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act.) ☐ Yes ☒ No

The aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates, computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, was \$296,741,762.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock as of January 31, 2011:

| | |
|----------------------|------------|
| Class A common stock | 15,610,989 |
| Class B common sock | 27,188,660 |

Documents Incorporated by Reference

The registrant intends to file a definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders scheduled to be held May 24, 2011. The information required by Part III of this Form 10-K is incorporated by reference from such Proxy Statement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1. Business

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Item 2. Properties

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Item 9B. Other Information

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

EX-14.1

EX-21.1

EX-23.1

EX-31.1

EX-31.2

EX-32

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

The disclosures set forth in this report are qualified by Item 1A. Risk Factors included herein and the section captioned Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements and Factors that Could Affect Future Results included in Part II, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. When we refer to we, our, us or the Company in this annual report, we mean First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and our consolidated subsidiaries, including our wholly-owned subsidiary, First Interstate Bank, unless the context indicates that we refer only to the parent company, First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. When we refer to the Bank in this annual report, we mean First Interstate Bank.

Our Company

We are a financial and bank holding company incorporated as a Montana corporation in 1971. We are headquartered in Billings, Montana. As of January 31, 2011, we had consolidated assets of \$7.5 billion, deposits of \$6.0 billion, loans of \$4.3 billion and total stockholders' equity of \$735 million. We currently operate 72 banking offices in 42 communities located in Montana, Wyoming and western South Dakota. Through our wholly-owned subsidiary, First Interstate Bank we deliver a comprehensive range of banking products and services to individuals, businesses, municipalities and other entities throughout our market areas. Our customers participate in a wide variety of industries, including energy, healthcare and professional services, education and governmental services, construction, mining, agriculture, retail and wholesale trade and tourism. Our principal markets range in size from 23,000 to 150,000 people, have diversified economic characteristics and favorable population growth prospects and usually serve as trade centers for larger rural areas.

We are the licensee under a perpetual trademark license agreement granting us an exclusive, nontransferable license to use the First Interstate name and logo in Montana, Wyoming and the six neighboring states of Idaho, Utah, Colorado, Nebraska, South Dakota and North Dakota.

We have grown our business by adhering to a set of guiding principles and a long-term disciplined perspective that emphasizes our commitment to providing high-quality financial products and services, delivering quality customer service, effecting business leadership through professional and dedicated managers and employees, assisting our communities through socially responsible leadership and cultivating a strong and positive corporate culture. In the future, we intend to remain a leader in our markets by continuing to adhere to the core principles and values that have contributed to our growth and success and by continuing to follow our community banking model. In addition, we plan to continue to expand our business in a disciplined and prudent manner, including organic growth in our existing market areas and expansion into new and complementary markets when appropriate opportunities arise.

Community Banking

Community banking encompasses commercial and consumer banking services provided through our Bank, primarily the acceptance of deposits; extensions of credit; mortgage loan origination and servicing; and trust, employee benefit, investment and insurance services. Our community banking philosophy emphasizes providing customers with commercial and consumer banking products and services locally using a personalized service approach while strengthening the communities in our market areas through community service activities. We grant our banking offices significant authority in delivering and pricing products in response to local market considerations and customer needs. This authority enables our banking offices to remain competitive by responding quickly to local market conditions and enhances their relationships with the customers they serve by tailoring our products and price points to each individual customer's needs. We also require accountability by having company-wide standards and established limits on the authority and discretion of each banking office. This combination of authority and accountability allows our banking offices to provide personalized customer service and be in close contact with our communities, while at the same time promoting strong performance at the branch level and remaining focused on our overall financial performance.

Table of Contents

Lending Activities

We offer short and long-term real estate, consumer, commercial, agricultural and other loans to individuals and businesses in our market areas. We have comprehensive credit policies establishing company-wide underwriting and documentation standards to assist management in the lending process and to limit our risk. These credit policies establish lending guidelines based on the experience and authority levels of the personnel located in each banking office and market. The policies also establish thresholds at which loan requests must be recommended by our credit committee and/or approved by the Bank's board of directors. While each loan must meet minimum underwriting standards established in our credit policies, lending officers are granted certain levels of authority in approving and pricing loans to assure that the banking offices are responsive to competitive issues and community needs in each market area.

Deposit Products

We offer traditional depository products including checking, savings and time deposits. Deposits at the Bank are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or FDIC, up to statutory limits. We also offer repurchase agreements primarily to commercial and municipal depositors. Under repurchase agreements, we sell investment securities held by the Bank to our customers under an agreement to repurchase the investment securities at a specified time or on demand. All outstanding repurchase agreements are due in one business day.

Wealth Management

We provide a wide range of trust, employee benefit, investment management, insurance, agency and custodial services to individuals, businesses and nonprofit organizations. These services include the administration of estates and personal trusts; management of investment accounts for individuals, employee benefit plans and charitable foundations; and insurance planning. As of January 31, 2011, the estimated fair value of trust assets held in a fiduciary or agent capacity was in excess of \$2.5 billion.

Centralized Services

We have centralized certain operational activities to provide consistent service levels to our customers company-wide, to gain efficiency in management of those activities and to ensure regulatory compliance. Centralized operational activities generally support our banking offices in the delivery of products and services to customers and include marketing; credit review; credit cards; mortgage loan sales and servicing; indirect consumer loan purchasing and processing; loan collections and, other operational activities. Additionally, policy and management direction and specialized staff support services have been centralized to enable our branches to serve their markets more effectively. These services include credit administration, finance, accounting, human resource management, internal audit and other support services.

Competition

Commercial banking is highly competitive. We compete with other financial institutions located in Montana, Wyoming, South Dakota and adjoining states for deposits, loans and trust, employee benefit, investment and insurance accounts. We also compete with savings and loan associations, savings banks and credit unions for deposits and loans. In addition, we compete with large banks in major financial centers and other financial intermediaries, such as consumer finance companies, brokerage firms, mortgage banking companies, insurance companies, securities firms, mutual funds and certain government agencies as well as major retailers, all actively engaged in providing various types of loans and other financial services. We generally compete on the basis of customer service and responsiveness to customer needs, available loan and deposit products, rates of interest charged on loans, rates of interest paid for deposits and the availability and pricing of trust, employee benefit, investment and insurance services.

Employees

At January 31, 2011, we employed 1,723 full-time equivalent employees, none of whom are represented by a collective bargaining agreement. We strive to be the employer of choice in the markets we serve and consider our employee relations to be good.

Table of Contents

Regulation and Supervision

Regulatory Authorities

We are subject to extensive regulation under federal and state laws. A description of the material laws and regulations applicable to us is summarized below. This description is not intended to include a summary of all laws and regulations applicable to us. In addition to laws and regulations, state and federal banking regulatory agencies may issue policy statements, interpretive letters and similar written guidance applicable to us. Those issuances may affect the conduct of our business or impose additional regulatory obligations.

As a financial and bank holding company, we are subject to regulation under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, or the Bank Holding Company Act, and to supervision, regulation and regular examination by the Federal Reserve. Because we are a public company, we are also subject to the disclosure and regulatory requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or Exchange Act, as administered by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC.

The Bank is subject to supervision and regular examination by its primary banking regulators, the Federal Reserve and the State of Montana, Department of Administration, Division of Banking and Financial Institutions, with respect to its activities in Wyoming the State of Wyoming, Department of Audit, and with respect to its activities in South Dakota, the State of South Dakota Department of Revenue & Regulation, Division of Banking.

The Bank's deposits are insured by the deposit insurance fund of the FDIC in the manner and to the extent provided by law. The Bank is subject to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, or FDIA, and FDIC regulations relating to deposit insurance and may also be subject to supervision and examination by the FDIC.

The extensive regulation of the Bank limits both the activities in which the Bank may engage and the conduct of its permitted activities. Further, the laws and regulations impose reporting and information collection obligations on the Bank. The Bank incurs significant costs relating to compliance with various laws and regulations and the collection and retention of information.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, or the Dodd-Frank Act, enacts significant changes in federal statutes governing banks and bank holding companies generally as well as other entities. Some of the significant changes are already in effect, additional significant changes will become effective in the near-term and other significant changes require action by federal banking agencies, including the Federal Reserve, the principal federal regulator of the Company. Except as otherwise noted, the following discussion assumes that provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act applicable to banks and bank holding companies to become effective in the near-term are currently in effect.

Financial and Bank Holding Company

The Company is a bank holding company and has registered as a financial holding company under regulations issued by the Federal Reserve. Under federal law, the Company is required to serve as a source of financial strength to the Bank, including providing financial assistance to the Bank if the Bank experiences financial distress. The federal banking agencies are required under the Dodd-Frank Act to issue joint rules to carry out the source of strength requirements. As of December 31, 2010, the federal banking agencies had not issued rules establishing such source of strength requirements. Under existing Federal Reserve source of strength policies, the Federal Reserve may require a bank holding company to make capital injections into a troubled subsidiary bank. The Federal Reserve may also determine that the bank holding company is engaging in unsafe and unsound practices if it fails to commit resources to such a subsidiary bank.

We are required by the Bank Holding Company Act to obtain Federal Reserve approval prior to acquiring, directly or indirectly, ownership or control of voting shares of any bank, if, after such acquisition, we would own or control more than 5% of its voting stock. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, when acting on an application for approval the Federal Reserve is required to consider whether the transaction would result in greater or more concentrated risks to the United States banking or financial system. Under federal law and regulations, including the Dodd-Frank Act, a bank holding company may acquire banks in states other than its home state if the bank holding company is both well-capitalized and well-managed both before and after the acquisition. The interstate acquisitions are subject to any state requirement that the bank has been organized and operating for a minimum period of time, not to exceed five years, and the requirement that the bank holding company not control, prior to or following the proposed acquisition,

more than 10% of the total amount of deposits of insured depository institutions nationwide or, unless the acquisition is the bank holding company's initial entry into the state, more than 30% of such deposits in the state, or such lesser or greater amount set by state law of such deposits in that state.

-3-

Table of Contents

With additional changes made to federal statutes under the Dodd-Frank Act, banks are also permitted to establish new branches in a state if a bank located in that state could establish a new branch at the proposed location without regard to state laws limiting interstate de novo branching. Banks may also merge across state lines. A state can prohibit interstate mergers entirely or prohibit them if the continuing bank would control insured bank deposits in excess of a specified percentage of total insured bank deposits in the state, provided such prohibition does not discriminate against out-of-state banks. Under Montana law, banks, bank holding companies and their respective subsidiaries cannot acquire control of a bank located in Montana if, after the acquisition, the acquiring institution and its affiliates would directly or indirectly control, in the aggregate, more than 22% of the total deposits of insured depository institutions located in Montana.

We have voluntarily registered with the Federal Reserve as a financial holding company. As a financial holding company, we may engage in certain business activities that are determined by the Federal Reserve to be financial in nature or incidental to financial activities as well as all activities authorized to bank holding companies generally. In most circumstances, we must notify the Federal Reserve of our financial activities within a specified time period following our initial engagement in each business or activity. If the type of proposed business or activity has not been previously determined by the Federal Reserve to be financially related or incidental to financial activities, we must receive the prior approval of the Federal Reserve before engaging in the activity.

We may engage in authorized financial activities, such as providing investment services, provided that we remain a financial holding company and meet certain regulatory standards of being well capitalized and well managed. If we fail to meet the well capitalized or well managed regulatory standards, we may be required to cease our financial holding company activities or, in certain circumstances, to divest of the Bank. We do not currently engage in significant financial holding company businesses or activities not otherwise permitted for bank holding companies generally. Should we engage in certain financial activities currently authorized to financial holding companies, we may become subject to additional laws, regulations, supervision and examination by regulatory agencies.

In order to assess the financial strength of the bank holding company, the Federal Reserve and the State of Montana also conducts throughout the year periodic onsite and offsite inspections and credit reviews of us. The federal banking agencies, including the Federal Reserve, may also require additional information and reports from us. In addition, the Federal Reserve may examine, and require reports and information regarding, any entity that we control, including entities other than banks or entities engaged in financial activities. In certain circumstances, the Federal Reserve may require us to divest of non-bank entities or limit the activities of those entities even if the activities are otherwise permitted to bank holding companies under governing law.

With limited exceptions, we are not permitted after July 21, 2011 to engage in proprietary trading, or invest in, or serve as an advisor to, hedge funds or private equity funds. We have not historically engaged in any of those activities.

Restrictions on Transfers of Funds to Us and the Bank

Dividends from the Bank are the primary source of funds for the payment of our expenses of operating and for the payment of dividends. Under both state and federal law, the amount of dividends that may be paid by the Bank from time to time is limited. In general, the Bank is limited to paying dividends that do not exceed the current year net profits together with retained earnings from the two preceding calendar years unless the prior consents of the Montana and federal banking regulators are obtained.

A state or federal banking regulator may impose, by regulatory order or agreement of the Bank, specific dividend limitations or prohibitions in certain circumstances. The Bank is not currently subject to a specific regulatory dividend limitation other than generally applicable limitations.

In general, banks are also prohibited from making capital distributions, including dividends and are prohibited from paying management fees to control persons if it would be undercapitalized under the regulatory framework for corrective action after making such payments. See Capital Standards and Prompt Corrective Action.

Certain restrictive covenants that may exist in future debt instruments may also limit the Bank's ability to make dividend payments to us. Also, under Montana corporate law, a dividend may not be paid if, after giving effect to the dividend: (1) the company would not be able to pay its debts as they become due in the usual course of business; or (2) the company's total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed, if the company were to be dissolved at the time of the dividend, to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of

shareholders whose preferential rights are superior to those receiving the dividend.

-4-

Table of Contents

Furthermore, because we are a legal entity separate and distinct from the Bank, our right to participate in the distribution of assets of the Bank upon its liquidation or reorganization will be subject to the prior claims of the Bank's creditors. In the event of such a liquidation or other resolution, the claims of depositors and other general or subordinated creditors of the Bank are entitled to a priority of payment of the claims of holders of any obligation of the Bank to its shareholders, including us, or our shareholders or creditors.

Restrictions on Transactions with Affiliates, Directors and Officers

Under the Federal Reserve Act, the Bank may not lend funds to, or otherwise extend credit to or for our benefit or the benefit of our affiliates, except on specified types and amounts of collateral and other terms required by state and federal law. The limitation on lending may limit our ability to obtain funds from the Bank for our cash needs, including funds for payment of dividends, interest and operational expenses.

The Federal Reserve also has authority to define and limit the transactions between banks and their affiliates. The Federal Reserve's Regulation W and relevant federal statutes, among other things, impose significant additional limitations on transactions in which the Bank may engage with us, with each other, or with other affiliates.

Federal Reserve Regulation O restricts loans to the Bank and Company insiders, which includes directors, officers and principal stockholders and their respective related interests. All extensions of credit to the insiders and their related interests must be on the same terms as, and subject to the same loan underwriting requirements as, loans to persons who are not insiders. In addition, Regulation O imposes lending limits on loans to insiders and their related interests and imposes, in certain circumstances, requirements for prior approval of the loans by the Bank board of directors.

Capital Standards and Prompt Corrective Action

Banks and bank holding companies are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by state and federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and, additionally for banks, prompt corrective action regulations, involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weighting and other factors.

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the federal banking agencies are required to establish, by regulation or order, minimum capital requirements for insured depository organizations and the Federal Reserve is permitted to establish capital requirements, by regulation or order, for bank holding companies. The minimum capital requirements to be established cannot be less than the minimum capital guidelines in effect when the Dodd-Frank Act was enacted. The Federal Reserve is required to seek to make capital requirements countercyclical by increasing the amount of required capital during times of economic expansion and decreasing the amount of required capital during times of economic contraction. The provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act, together with actions taken by the Basel Committee for the Basel III accords, may result in future regulatory minimum capital requirements that will exceed the regulatory minimum capital guidelines to which we are currently subject.

Currently, the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC have substantially similar risk-based capital ratio and leverage ratio guidelines for banks. The guidelines are intended to ensure that banks have adequate capital given the risk levels of assets and off-balance sheet financial instruments. Under the guidelines, banking organizations are required to maintain minimum ratios for tier 1 capital and total capital to risk-weighted assets (including certain off-balance sheet items, such as letters of credit). For purposes of calculating the ratios, a banking organization's assets and some of its specified off-balance sheet commitments and obligations are assigned to various risk categories. Generally, under the applicable guidelines, a financial institution's capital is divided into two tiers. These tiers are:

Core Capital (tier 1). Tier 1 capital includes common equity, noncumulative perpetual preferred stock (excluding auction rate issues) and minority interests in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, less both goodwill (adjusted for associated deferred tax liability) and, with certain limited exceptions, all other intangible assets. Bank holding companies, however, may include up to a limit of 25% of cumulative preferred stock in their tier 1 capital.

Supplementary Capital (tier 2). Tier 2 capital includes, among other things, cumulative and limited-life preferred stock, hybrid capital instruments, mandatory convertible securities, qualifying subordinated debt and

the allowance for loan and lease losses, subject to certain limitations.

Institutions that must incorporate market risk exposure into their risk-based capital requirements may also have a third tier of capital in the form of restricted short-term subordinated debt.

-5-

Table of Contents

The Dodd-Frank Act provisions relating to required minimum capital also limit, in certain circumstances, the use of hybrid capital instruments in meeting regulatory capital requirements, including instruments similar to those which we currently have issued and outstanding. However, because our total consolidated assets are substantially less than \$15 billion, the limitations on use of hybrid capital instruments are not expected to apply to us for the foreseeable future.

We, like other bank holding companies, are required under current guidelines to maintain tier 1 capital and total capital (the sum of tier 1 and tier 2 capital) equal to at least 4.0% and 8.0%, respectively, of our total risk-weighted assets. The Bank, like other depository institutions, is required to maintain similar capital levels under capital adequacy guidelines. For a depository institution to be considered well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action its tier 1 and total capital ratios must be at least 6.0% and 10.0% on a risk-adjusted basis, respectively.

Bank holding companies and banks are also required to comply with minimum leverage ratio requirements. The leverage ratio is the ratio of a banking organization's tier 1 capital to its total adjusted quarterly average assets (as defined for regulatory purposes). The current guidelines require a minimum leverage ratio of 3.0% for financial holding companies and banks that either have the highest supervisory rating or have implemented the appropriate federal regulatory authority's risk-adjusted capital measure for market risk. All other financial holding companies and banks are required to maintain a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%, unless a different minimum is specified by an appropriate regulatory authority. For a depository institution to be considered well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, its leverage ratio must be at least 5.0%.

The capital guidelines also provide that banking organizations experiencing significant internal growth or making acquisitions will be expected to maintain strong capital positions substantially above the minimum supervisory levels, without significant reliance on intangible assets. In addition, the regulations of the bank regulators provide that concentration of credit risks, as well as an institution's ability to manage these risks, are important factors to be taken into account by regulatory agencies in assessing an organization's overall capital adequacy. The Federal Reserve has not advised us of any specific minimum leverage ratio applicable to us or the Bank.

The FDIA requires, among other things, the federal banking agencies to take prompt corrective action in respect of depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. The FDIA sets forth the following five capital tiers: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. A depository institution's capital tier will depend upon how its capital levels compare with various relevant capital measures and certain other factors, as established by regulation. The relevant capital measures are the total capital ratio, the tier 1 capital ratio and the leverage ratio.

Under the regulations adopted by the federal regulatory authorities, a bank will be: (1) well capitalized if the institution has a total risk-based capital ratio of 10.0% or greater, a tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6.0% or greater and a leverage ratio of 5.0% or greater and is not subject to any order or written directive by any such regulatory authority to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure; (2) adequately capitalized if the institution has a total risk-based capital ratio of 8.0% or greater, a tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4.0% or greater and a leverage ratio of 4.0% or greater (3.0% in certain circumstances) and is not well capitalized; (3) undercapitalized if the institution has a total risk-based capital ratio that is less than 8.0%, a tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of less than 4.0% or a leverage ratio of less than 4.0% (3.0% in certain circumstances); (4) significantly undercapitalized if the institution has a total risk-based capital ratio of less than 6.0%, a tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of less than 3.0% or a leverage ratio of less than 3.0%; and (5) critically undercapitalized if the institution's tangible equity is equal to or less than 2.0% of average quarterly tangible assets. An institution may be downgraded to, or deemed to be in, a capital category that is lower than indicated by its capital ratios if it is determined to be in an unsafe or unsound condition or if it receives an unsatisfactory examination rating with respect to certain matters. Our regulatory capital ratios and those of the Bank are in excess of the levels established for well capitalized institutions. A bank's capital category is determined solely for the purpose of applying prompt corrective action regulations and the capital category may not constitute an accurate representation of the bank's overall financial condition or prospects for other purposes.

The FDIA generally prohibits a depository institution from making any capital distributions (including payment of a dividend) or paying any management fee to its parent holding company if the depository institution would thereafter

be undercapitalized. Undercapitalized institutions are subject to growth limitations and are required to submit a capital restoration plan. The agencies may not accept such a plan without determining, among other things, that the plan is based on realistic assumptions and is likely to succeed in restoring the depository institution's capital. In addition, for a capital restoration plan to be acceptable, the depository institution's parent holding company must guarantee that the institution will comply with such capital restoration plan. The aggregate liability of the parent holding company is limited to the lesser of (1) an amount equal to 5.0% of the depository institution's total assets at the time it became undercapitalized and (2) the amount which is necessary (or would have been necessary) to bring the institution into compliance with all capital standards applicable with respect to such institution as of the time it fails to comply with the plan. If a depository institution fails to submit an acceptable plan, it is treated as if it is significantly undercapitalized.

-6-

Table of Contents

Significantly undercapitalized depository institutions may be subject to a number of requirements and restrictions, including mandated capital raising activities such as orders to sell sufficient voting stock to become adequately capitalized, requirements to reduce total assets, restrictions for interest rates paid, removal of management and cessation of receipt of deposits from correspondent banks. Critically undercapitalized institutions are subject to the appointment of a receiver or conservator.

A bank that is not well-capitalized as defined by applicable regulations may, among other regulatory requirements or limitations, be prohibited under federal law and regulation from accepting or renewing brokered deposits.

The capital stock of banks organized under Montana law, such as the Bank, may be subject to assessment upon the direction of the Montana Department of Administration under the Montana Bank Act. Under the Montana Bank Act, if the Department of Administration determines an impairment of a bank's capital exists, it may notify the bank's board of directors of the impairment and require the impairment be made good by an assessment on the bank stock. If the bank fails to make good the impairment, the Department of Administration may, among other things, take charge of the bank and proceed to liquidate the bank.

Under FDIA, the appropriate federal banking agency may take certain actions with respect to significantly or critically undercapitalized institutions. The actions may include requiring the sale of additional shares of the institution's stock or other actions deemed appropriate by the federal banking agency, which could include assessment on the institution's stock.

Safety and Soundness Standards and Other Enforcement Mechanisms

The federal banking agencies have adopted guidelines establishing standards for safety and soundness, asset quality and earnings, internal controls and audit systems, among others, as required by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act, or FDICIA. These standards are designed to identify potential concerns and ensure that action is taken to address those concerns before they pose a risk to the deposit insurance fund, or DIF. If a federal banking agency determines that an institution fails to meet any of these standards, the agency may require the institution to submit an acceptable plan to achieve compliance with the standard. If the institution fails to submit an acceptable plan within the time allowed by the agency or fails in any material respect to implement an accepted plan, the agency must, by order, require the institution to correct the deficiency.

Federal banking agencies possess broad enforcement powers to take corrective and other supervisory action on an insured bank and its holding company. Moreover, federal laws require each federal banking agency to take prompt corrective action to resolve the problems of insured banks. Bank holding companies and insured banks are subject to a wide range of potential enforcement actions by federal regulators for violation of any law, rule, regulation, standard, condition imposed in writing by the regulator, or term of a written agreement with the regulator.

Deposit Insurance

The FDIC is an independent federal agency that insures deposits, up to prescribed statutory limits, of federally insured banks and savings institutions and safeguards the safety and soundness of the banking and savings industries. The FDIC insures our customer deposits through the DIF up to prescribed limits for each depositor. The maximum deposit insurance amount has been permanently increased from \$100,000 to \$250,000 per depositor. In addition, certain non-interest bearing deposit accounts are accorded unlimited insurance until December 31, 2013. The amount of FDIC assessments paid by each DIF member institution is based on its relative risk of default as measured by regulatory capital ratios and other supervisory factors.

All FDIC-insured institutions are required to pay assessments to the FDIC to fund interest payments on bonds issued by the Financing Corporation, or FICO, an agency of the Federal government established to recapitalize the predecessor to the DIF. The FICO assessment rates, which are determined quarterly, averaged 1.05% of insured deposits in fiscal 2010. These assessments will continue until the FICO bonds mature in 2017.

On November 17, 2009, the FDIC imposed a prepayment requirement on most insured depository organizations, requiring that the organizations prepay estimated quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for each calendar quarter for calendar years 2010, 2011 and 2012. The FDIC has stated that the prepayment requirement was imposed in response to a negative balance in the DIF.

Table of Contents

The FDIC is required to change its method of assessment of insurance premiums to an assessment based on the average total consolidated assets of the insured depository institution less the institutions average tangible equity for the assessment period. Currently, we do not anticipate that the future change in the assessment base will materially increase the deposit insurance premium from the amounts paid under the current assessment method based on total deposits.

The FDIC is also required to set its designated reserve ratio for each year at 1.35% of estimated insured deposits and take actions necessary to reach a reserve ratio of 1.35% of total estimated insured deposits by September 30, 2020. The FDIC may be required to increase deposit insurance premium assessments to meet the reserve ratio requirements. However, under the Dodd-Frank Act, the effects of any increases in deposit insurance premium assessments are to be offset for the benefit of depository institutions with total consolidated assets of less than \$10 billion. The Bank currently has total consolidated assets of less than \$10 billion.

Insolvency of an Insured Depository Institution

If the FDIC is appointed the conservator or receiver of an insured depository institution upon its insolvency or in certain other events, the FDIC has the power, among other things: (1) to transfer any of the depository institution's assets and liabilities to a new obligor without the approval of the depository institution's creditors; (2) to enforce the terms of the depository institution's contracts pursuant to their terms; or (3) to repudiate or disaffirm any contract or lease to which the depository institution is a party, the performance of which is determined by the FDIC to be burdensome and the disaffirmation or repudiation of which is determined by the FDIC to promote the orderly administration of the depository institution.

Depositor Preference

The FDIA provides that, in the event of the liquidation or other resolution of an insured depository institution, the claims of depositors of the institution, including the claims of the FDIC as subrogee of insured depositors and certain claims for administrative expenses of the FDIC as a receiver, will have priority over other general unsecured claims against the institution. If an insured depository institution fails, insured and uninsured depositors, along with the FDIC, will have priority in payment ahead of unsecured, non-deposit creditors, including the parent bank holding company, with respect to any extensions of credit they have made to such insured depository institution.

Customer Privacy and Other Consumer Protections

Federal law imposes customer privacy requirements on any company engaged in financial activities, including the Bank and us. Under these requirements, a financial company is required to protect the security and confidentiality of customer nonpublic personal information. In addition, for customers who obtain a financial product such as a loan for personal, family or household purposes, a financial holding company is required to disclose its privacy policy to the customer at the time the relationship is established and annually thereafter. The financial company must also disclose its policies concerning the sharing of the customer's nonpublic personal information with affiliates and third parties. Finally, a financial company is prohibited from disclosing an account number or similar item to a third party for use in telemarketing, direct mail marketing or marketing through electronic mail.

The Bank is subject to a variety of federal and state laws, regulations and reporting obligations aimed at protecting consumers and Bank customers. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may, among other things, impair the collection of loans made in violation of the laws and regulations, provide borrowers or other customers certain rights and remedies or result in the imposition of penalties on the Bank.

The Equal Credit Opportunity Act generally prohibits discrimination in credit transactions on, among other things, the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, marital status or age and, in certain circumstances, limits the Bank's ability to require co-obligors or guarantors as a condition to the extension of credit to an individual.

The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, or RESPA, requires certain disclosures be provided to borrowers in real estate loan closings or other real estate settlements. In addition, RESPA limits or prohibits certain settlement practices, fee sharing, kickbacks and similar practices that are considered to be abusive.

The Truth in Lending Act, or TILA, and Regulation Z require disclosures to borrowers and other parties in consumer loans including, among other things, disclosures relating to interest rates and other finance charges, payments and payment schedules and annual percentage rates. TILA provides remedies to borrowers upon certain failures in compliance by a lender.

Table of Contents

The Fair Housing Act regulates, among other things, lending practices in residential lending and prohibits discrimination in housing related lending activities on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, handicap, disability or familial status.

The Home Mortgage Disclosure Act requires certain lenders and other firms engaged in the home mortgage industry to collect and report information relating to applicants, borrowers and home mortgage lending activities in which they engage in their market areas or communities. The information is used for, among other purposes, evaluation of discrimination or other impermissible acts in home mortgage lending.

The Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act regulates terms and disclosures of certain closed end home mortgage loans that are not purchase money loans and includes loans classified as high cost loans.

The Fair Credit Reporting Act, as amended by the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act, generally limits lenders and other financial firms in their collection, use or dissemination of customer credit information, gives customers some access to, and control over, their credit information and requires financial firms to establish policies and procedures intended to deter identity theft and related frauds.

The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act regulates actions that may be taken in the collection of consumer debts and provides consumers with certain rights of access to information related to collection actions.

The Electronic Fund Transfer Act regulates fees and other terms on electronic funds transactions. On November 17, 2009, the Federal Reserve Board published a final rule amending Regulation E, which implements the Electronic Fund Transfer Act. Effective July 1, 2010 for new accounts and August 15, 2010 for existing accounts, this rule generally prohibits financial institutions from charging an overdraft fee for automated teller machine and one-time debit card transactions that overdraw a consumer deposit account, unless the customer opts in to having the overdrafts authorized and paid. The Federal Reserve recently issued proposed regulations relating to fees and charges in debit card transactions intended to implement provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act requiring such fees to be reasonable and proportional to costs incurred by card issuers.

Federal consumer protection laws have been expanded by the Dodd-Frank Act, pursuant to which a Bureau of Consumer Protection has been created with authority to regulate consumer financial products and services and to implement and enforce federal consumer financial laws. Although the Bureau is accorded examination and enforcement authority, the Bureau's authority does not generally extend to depository institutions with total assets of less than \$10 billion. The Bank currently has total assets of less than \$10 billion.

The Community Reinvestment Act, or CRA, generally requires the federal banking agencies to evaluate the record of a financial institution in meeting the credit needs of its local communities, including low and moderate income neighborhoods. In addition to substantial penalties and corrective measures that may be required for a violation of fair lending laws, the federal banking agencies may take compliance with such laws and the CRA into account when regulating and supervising our other activities or in authorizing new activities.

In connection with its assessment of CRA performance, the appropriate bank regulatory agency assigns a rating of outstanding, satisfactory, needs to improve or substantial noncompliance. The Bank received an outstanding rating in its most recent published examination. Although the Bank's policies and procedures are designed to achieve compliance with all fair lending and CRA requirements, instances of non-compliance are occasionally identified through normal operational activities. Management responds proactively to correct all instances of non-compliance and implement procedures to prevent further violations from occurring.

USA PATRIOT Act

The USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 amended the Bank Secrecy Act of 1970 and the Money Laundering Control Act of 1986 and adopted additional measures requiring insured depository institutions, broker-dealers and certain other financial institutions to have policies, procedures and controls to detect, prevent and report money laundering and terrorist financing. The USA PATRIOT Act includes the International Money Laundering Abatement and Financial Anti-Terrorism Act of 2001 and also amends laws relating to currency control and regulation. The laws and related regulations also provide for information sharing, subject to conditions, between federal law enforcement agencies and financial institutions, as well as among financial institutions, for counter-terrorism purposes. Federal banking regulators are required, when reviewing bank holding company acquisition or merger applications, to take into account the effectiveness of the anti-money laundering activities of the applicants. Failure of a financial institution to

maintain and implement adequate programs to combat money laundering and terrorist financing could have serious legal and reputational consequences for the institution. The USA PATRIOT Improvement and Reauthorization Act of 2005, among other things, made permanent or otherwise generally extended the effectiveness of provisions applicable to financial institutions.

-9-

Table of Contents

Office of Foreign Asset Control

The United States Treasury Office of Foreign Asset Control enforces economic and trade sanctions imposed by the United States on foreign persons and governments. Among other authorities, the Office of Foreign Asset Control may require United States financial institutions to block or freeze assets of identified foreign persons or governments which come within the control of the financial institution. Financial institutions are required to adopt procedures for identification of new and existing deposit accounts and other relationships with persons or governments identified by the Office of Foreign Asset Control and to timely report the accounts or relationships to the Office of Foreign Asset Control.

Basel III Reform

On December 16, 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, or Basel Committee, released the final text of its reforms to strengthen global capital and liquidity rules designed to create a more resilient banking industry. These reforms, known as Basel III, are intended to strengthen the regulatory capital framework by, among other things, (1) raising the quality, consistency and transparency of an institution's capital base, (2) reducing procyclicality and promoting counter cyclical buffers, (3) enhancing risk coverage, (4) supplementing the risk-based capital requirement with a leverage ratio, and (5) introducing a global liquidity standard.

On January 13, 2011, the Basel Committee issued additional criteria to Basel III. This criteria provides that for instruments issued by a bank to be included in Tier 1 or Tier 2 capital, they must meet or exceed minimum requirements designed to require such instruments to fully absorb losses before taxpayers are exposed to loss.

Basel III, as supplemented, has not yet been adopted by the U.S. federal banking authorities. Although the Secretary of the United States Department of the Treasury and federal banking regulators have expressed support for Basel III, the timing and scope of its implementation, as well as any potential modifications that may result during the implementation process, are not yet known. Therefore, the short-term and long-term impact of the Basel III capital standards and the forthcoming new capital rules to be proposed for U.S. banks is uncertain.

If Basel III is adopted by U.S. federal banking authorities as agreed upon by the Basel Committee, the minimum common equity requirements would increase from 2.0% to 4.5% of risk-weighted assets. In addition, banks would be required to hold a capital conservation buffer of 2.5% to withstand future periods of stress bringing the total common equity requirements to 7.0% of risk-weighted assets. In addition, Basel III would increase Tier 1 capital requirements from 4.0% to 6.0%. The new minimums would be phased in between January 1, 2013 and January 1, 2015, while the capital conservation buffer would be phased in between January 1, 2016 and December 31, 2018. Under Basel III, an additional capital buffer of up to 2.5% would also be imposed under certain circumstances. Basel III would also narrow the definition of capital, excluding instruments that no longer qualify as Tier 1 common equity as of January 1, 2013, and phasing out other instruments over several years. In addition, a new rule requiring banks to hold enough liquid capital to meet needs over a 30-day period would be introduced on January 1, 2015, and a longer-term liquidity rule, called the net stable funding ratio, would be applied starting January 1, 2018.

Effect of Economic Conditions, Government Policies and Legislation

Banking depends on interest rate differentials. In general, the difference between the interest rate paid by the Bank on deposits and borrowings and the interest rate received by the Bank on loans extended to customers and on investment securities comprises a major portion of the Bank's earnings. These rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond the control of the Bank. Accordingly, the earnings and potential growth of the Bank are subject to the influence of domestic and foreign economic conditions, including inflation, recession and unemployment.

The commercial banking business is not only affected by general economic conditions but is also influenced by the monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government and the policies of regulatory agencies, particularly the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve implements national monetary policies (with objectives such as curbing inflation and combating recession) by its open-market operations in United States government securities, by adjusting the required level of reserves for financial institutions subject to the Federal Reserve's reserve requirements and by varying the discount rates applicable to borrowings by depository institutions. The actions of the Federal Reserve in these areas influence the growth of bank loans, investments and deposits and also affect interest rates charged on loans and paid on deposits. The nature and impact of any future changes in monetary policies cannot be predicted.

Table of Contents

From time to time, legislative and regulatory initiatives are introduced in Congress and state legislatures, as well as regulatory agencies. Such initiatives may include proposals to expand or contract the powers of financial and bank holding companies and depository institutions, proposals to substantially change the financial institution regulatory system or proposals to increase the required capital levels of insured depository organizations such as the Bank. Such legislation could change banking statutes and our operating environment in substantial and unpredictable ways. If enacted, such legislations could increase or decrease the cost of doing business, limit or expand permissible activities or affect the competitive balance among banks and other financial services providers. We cannot predict whether such legislation will be enacted and, if enacted, the effect that it, or any implementing regulations, would have on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Website Access to SEC Filings

All of our reports and statements filed or furnished electronically with the SEC, including Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and Proxy Statements, as well as amendments to these reports and statements filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, are accessible at no cost through our website at www.FIBK.com as soon as reasonably practicable after they have been filed with the SEC. These reports are also accessible on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. The public may read and copy materials we file with the SEC at the public reference facilities maintained by the SEC at Room 1580, 100 F Street N.E., Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the public reference room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Our website and the information contained therein or connected thereto is not intended to be incorporated into this report and should not be considered a part of this report.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Like other financial and bank holding companies, we are subject to a number of risks, many of which are outside of our control. If any of the events or circumstances described in the following risk factors actually occurs, our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be harmed. These risks are not the only ones that we may face. Other risks of which we are not aware, including those which relate to the banking and financial services industry in general and us in particular, or those which we do not currently believe are material, may harm our future business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Readers should consider carefully the following important factors in evaluating us, our business and an investment in our securities.

Risks Relating to the Market and Our Business

We may incur significant credit losses, particularly in light of current market conditions.

We take on credit risk by virtue of making loans and extending loan commitments and letters of credit. Our credit standards, procedures and policies may not prevent us from incurring substantial credit losses, particularly in light of market developments in recent years. During 2009 and 2010, we experienced deterioration in credit quality, particularly in certain real estate development loans, due, in part, to the impact resulting from the downturn in the prevailing economic, real estate and credit markets. This deterioration resulted in higher levels of non-performing assets, including other real estate owned, or OREO, and internally risk classified loans, thereby increasing our provision for loan losses and decreasing our operating income in 2009 and 2010. As of December 31, 2010, we had total non-performing assets of approximately \$244 million, compared with approximately \$163 million as of December 31, 2009 and approximately \$97 million as of December 31, 2008. In the first two months of 2011, levels of non-performing assets and provisions for loan losses have remained elevated, which will continue to affect our earnings. Although current economic conditions are trending upwards, management believes we will continue to experience credit impairments and higher levels of non-performing loans in the near-term, which will likely have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our concentration of real estate loans subjects us to increased risks in the event real estate values continue to decline due to the economic recession, a further deterioration in the real estate markets or other causes.

At December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$2.9 billion of commercial, agricultural, construction, residential and other real estate loans, representing approximately 66% of our total loan portfolio. The recent economic recession, deterioration in the real estate markets and increasing delinquencies and foreclosures have had an adverse effect on the collateral value for many of our loans and on the repayment ability of many of our borrowers. The continuation or further deterioration of these factors, including increasing foreclosures and unemployment, will continue to have the

same or similar adverse effects. In addition, these factors could reduce the amount of loans we make to businesses in the construction and real estate industry, which could negatively impact our interest income and results of operations. A continued decline in real estate values could also lead to higher charge-offs in the event of defaults in our real estate loan portfolio. Similarly, the occurrence of a natural or manmade disaster in our market areas could impair the value of the collateral we hold for real estate secured loans. Any one or a combination of the factors identified above could negatively impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

-11-

Table of Contents

Economic and market developments, including the potential for inflation, may have an adverse effect on our business, possibly in ways that are not predictable or that we may fail to anticipate.

Recent economic and market developments and the potential for continued economic disruptions and inflation present considerable risks and challenges to us. Dramatic declines in the housing market, with decreasing home prices and increasing delinquencies and foreclosures throughout most of the nation, have negatively impacted the credit performance of mortgage and construction loans and resulted in significant writedowns of assets by many financial institutions. General downward economic trends, reduced availability of commercial credit and increasing unemployment have also negatively impacted the credit performance of commercial and consumer credit, resulting in additional writedowns. These risks and challenges have significantly diminished overall confidence in the national economy, the financial markets and many financial institutions. This reduced confidence could further compound the overall market disruptions and risks to banks and bank holding companies, including us.

In addition to economic conditions, our business is also affected by regulatory uncertainties, volatility, illiquidity, interest rates, inflation and other developments impacting the financial markets. Such factors have affected and may further adversely affect both credit and financial markets and future economic growth, resulting in adverse effects on us and other financial institutions in ways that are not predictable or that we may fail to anticipate.

Many of our loans are to commercial borrowers, which have a higher degree of risk than other types of loans.

Commercial loans, including commercial real estate loans, are often larger and involve greater risks than other types of lending. Because payments on such loans are often dependent on the successful operation or development of the property or business involved, repayment of such loans is more sensitive than other types of loans to adverse conditions in the real estate market or the general economy. Accordingly, the recent downturn in the real estate market and economy has heightened our risk related to commercial loans, particularly commercial real estate loans. Unlike residential mortgage loans, which generally are made on the basis of the borrowers' ability to make repayment from their employment and other income and which are secured by real property whose value tends to be more easily ascertainable, commercial loans typically are made on the basis of the borrowers' ability to make repayment from the cash flow of the commercial venture. If the cash flow from business operations is reduced, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired. Due to the larger average size of each commercial loan as compared with other loans such as residential loans, as well as the collateral which is generally less readily-marketable, losses incurred on a small number of commercial loans could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations. At December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$2.3 billion of commercial loans, including approximately \$1.6 billion of commercial real estate loans, representing approximately 53% of our total loan portfolio.

If we experience loan losses in excess of estimated amounts, our earnings will be adversely affected.

The risk of credit losses on loans varies with, among other things, general economic conditions, the type of loan being made, the creditworthiness of the borrower over the term of the loan and, in the case of a collateralized loan, the value and marketability of the collateral for the loan. We maintain an allowance for loan losses based upon, among other things, historical experience, an evaluation of economic conditions and regular reviews of loan portfolio quality. Based upon such factors, our management makes various assumptions and judgments about the ultimate collectability of our loan portfolio and provides an allowance for loan losses. These assumptions and judgments are even more complex and difficult to determine given recent market developments, the potential for continued market turmoil and the significant uncertainty of future conditions in the general economy and banking industry. If management's assumptions and judgments prove to be incorrect and the allowance for loan losses is inadequate, or if the banking authorities or regulations require us to increase the allowance for loan losses, our earnings, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be significantly and adversely affected.

As of December 31, 2010, our allowance for loan losses was approximately \$120 million, which represented 2.76% of total outstanding loans. Our allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to cover future loan losses. Future adjustments to the allowance for loan losses may be necessary if economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used or further adverse developments arise with respect to our non-performing or performing loans. Material additions to our allowance for loan losses could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Table of Contents

Our goodwill may become impaired, which may adversely impact our results of operations and financial condition and may limit our Bank's ability to pay dividends to us, thereby causing liquidity issues.

The excess purchase price over the fair value of net assets from acquisitions, or goodwill, is evaluated for impairment at least annually and on an interim basis if an event or circumstance indicates that it is likely impairment has occurred. In testing for impairment, the fair value of net assets will be estimated based on an analysis of our market value. Consequently, the determination of goodwill will be sensitive to market-based trading of our Class A common stock. As such, variability in market conditions could result in impairment of goodwill, which is recorded as a noncash adjustment to income. As of December 31, 2010, our Bank had goodwill of approximately \$184 million, which was 2.4% of our total assets. An impairment of goodwill could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Furthermore, an impairment of goodwill could cause our Bank to be unable to pay dividends to us, which would reduce our cash flow and cause liquidity issues. See below. Our Bank's ability to pay dividends to us is subject to regulatory limitations, which, to the extent we are not able to receive such dividends, may impair our ability to grow, pay dividends, cover operating expenses and meet debt service requirements.

Changes in interest rates could negatively impact our net interest income, may weaken demand for our products and services or harm our results of operations and cash flows.

Our earnings and cash flows are largely dependent upon net interest income, which is the difference between interest income earned on interest-earning assets such as loans and securities and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities such as deposits and borrowed funds. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond our control, including general economic conditions and policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies, particularly the Federal Reserve. Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, could influence not only the interest we receive on loans and securities and the amount of interest we pay on deposits and borrowings, but such changes could also adversely affect (1) our ability to originate loans and obtain deposits, (2) the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities, including mortgage servicing rights, (3) our ability to realize gains on the sale of assets and (4) the average duration of our mortgage-backed investment securities portfolio. An increase in interest rates may reduce customers' desire to borrow money from us as it increases their borrowing costs and may adversely affect the ability of borrowers to pay the principal or interest on loans which may lead to an increase in non-performing assets and a reduction of income recognized, which could harm our results of operations and cash flows. Further, because many of our variable rate loans contain interest rate floors, as market interest rates begin to rise, the interest rates on these loans may not increase correspondingly. In contrast, decreasing interest rates have the effect of causing customers to refinance mortgage loans faster than anticipated. This causes the value of assets related to the servicing rights on mortgage loans sold to be lower than originally recognized. If this happens, we may need to write down our mortgage servicing rights asset faster, which would accelerate expense and lower our earnings. Any substantial, unexpected or prolonged change in market interest rates could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. If the current low interest rate environment were to continue for a prolonged period, our interest income could decrease, adversely impacting our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We may not continue to have access to low-cost funding sources.

We depend on checking and savings, negotiable order of withdrawal, or NOW, and money market deposit account balances and other forms of customer deposits as our primary source of funding. Such account and deposit balances can decrease when customers perceive alternative investments, such as the stock market, as providing a better risk/return tradeoff. If customers move money out of bank deposits and into other investments, we could lose a relatively low cost source of funds, increasing our funding costs and reducing our net interest income and net income.

Our deposit insurance premiums could be substantially higher in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on our future earnings.

The FDIC insures deposits at FDIC insured depository institutions, including the Bank. Under current FDIC regulations, each insured depository institution is subject to a risk-based assessment system and, depending on its assigned risk category, is assessed insurance premiums based on the amount of deposits held. The FDIC charges insured financial institutions premiums to maintain the DIF at a certain level. Recent bank failures have substantially

reduced the DIF's reserves. The FDIC has published and amended a restoration plan designed to replenish the DIF and to increase the deposit insurance reserve ratio through 2015. To implement the restoration plan, the FDIC has adopted a series of initiatives that have changed its risk-based assessment system, increased its base assessment rates and imposed special assessments.

-13-

Table of Contents

A change in the risk category assigned to our Bank, further adjustments to base assessment rates and additional special assessments could have a material adverse effect on our earnings, financial condition and results of operation.

We may not be able to continue growing our business.

Our total assets have grown from \$5.2 billion as of December 31, 2007 to \$7.5 billion as of December 31, 2010. Our ability to grow depends, in part, upon our ability to successfully attract deposits, identify favorable loan and investment opportunities, open new branch banking offices and expand into new and complementary markets when appropriate opportunities arise. In the event we do not continue to grow, our results of operations could be adversely impacted.

Our ability to grow successfully depends on our capital resources and whether we can continue to fund growth while maintaining cost controls and asset quality, as well as on other factors beyond our control, such as national and regional economic conditions and interest rate trends. If we are not able to make loans, attract deposits and maintain asset quality due to constrained capital resources or other reasons, we may not be able to continue growing our business, which could adversely impact our earnings, financial condition, results of operations, and prospects.

Adverse economic conditions affecting Montana, Wyoming and western South Dakota could harm our business.

Our customers with loan and/or deposit balances are located predominantly in Montana, Wyoming and western South Dakota. Because of the concentration of loans and deposits in these states, existing or future adverse economic conditions in Montana, Wyoming or western South Dakota could cause us to experience higher rates of loss and delinquency on our loans than if the loans were more geographically diversified. The recent economic recession has adversely affected the real estate and business environment in certain areas in Montana and Wyoming, especially in markets dependent upon resort communities and second homes such as Bozeman, Montana, Kalispell, Montana, and Jackson, Wyoming. In the future, adverse economic conditions, including inflation, recession and unemployment and other factors, such as regulatory or business developments, natural disasters, wide-spread disease, terrorist activity, environmental contamination and other unfavorable conditions and events that affect these states, could reduce demand for credit or fee-based products and may delay or prevent borrowers from repaying their loans. Adverse conditions and other factors identified above could also negatively affect real estate and other collateral values, interest rate levels and the availability of credit to refinance loans at or prior to maturity. These results could adversely impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We are subject to significant governmental regulation and new or changes in existing regulatory, tax and accounting rules and interpretations could significantly harm our business.

The financial services industry is extensively regulated. Federal and state banking regulations are designed primarily to protect the deposit insurance funds and consumers, not to benefit a financial company's stockholders. These regulations may impose significant limitations on operations. The significant federal and state banking regulations that affect us are described in this report under the heading Regulation and Supervision. These regulations, along with the currently existing tax, accounting, securities, insurance, employment, monetary and other laws and regulations, rules, standards, policies and interpretations control the methods by which we conduct business, implement strategic initiatives and tax compliance and govern financial reporting and disclosures. These laws, regulations, rules, standards, policies and interpretations are undergoing significant review and changes, particularly given the recent market developments in the banking and financial services industries and the recent enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act.

Recent events have resulted in legislators, regulators and authoritative bodies, such as the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and various taxing authorities responding by adopting and/or proposing substantive revisions to laws, regulations, rules, standards, policies and interpretations. Further, federal monetary policy as implemented through the Federal Reserve can significantly affect credit conditions in our markets.

The nature, extent and timing of the adoption of significant new laws, regulations, rules, standards, policies and interpretations, or changes in or repeal of these items or specific actions of regulators, may increase our costs of compliance and harm our business. For example, potential increases in or other modifications affecting regulatory capital thresholds could impact our status as well capitalized. We may not be able to predict accurately the extent of any impact from changes in existing laws, regulations, rules, standards, policies and interpretations.

Table of Contents

The Dodd-Frank Act will result in sweeping changes in the regulation of financial institutions and could significantly harm our business.

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act will result in sweeping changes in the regulation of financial institutions. The Dodd-Frank Act contains numerous provisions that will affect all banks and bank holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act includes provisions that, among other things:

change the assessment base for federal deposit insurance from the amount of insured deposits to total consolidated assets less tangible capital, eliminate the ceiling on the size of the DIF, and increase the floor of the size of the DIF;

repeal the federal prohibitions on the payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby generally permitting the payment of interest on all deposit accounts;

centralize responsibility for promulgating regulations under and enforcing federal consumer financial protection laws in a new bureau of consumer financial protection that will have direct supervision and examination authority over banks with more than \$10 billion in assets;

require the FDIC to seek to make its capital requirements for banks countercyclical;

impose comprehensive regulation of the over-the-counter derivatives market, which would include certain provisions that would effectively prohibit insured depository institutions from conducting certain derivatives businesses in the institution itself;

implement corporate governance revisions, including with regard to executive compensation and proxy access by shareholders;

establish new rules and restrictions regarding the origination of mortgages; and

permit the Federal Reserve to prescribe regulations regarding interchange transaction fees, and limit them to an amount reasonable and proportional to the cost incurred by the issuer for the transaction in question.

Many of these and other provisions in the Dodd-Frank Act remain subject to regulatory rule-making and implementation, the effects of which are not yet known. Although we cannot predict the specific impact and long-term effects that the Dodd-Frank Act will have on us and the financial industry in general, we believe the Dodd-Frank Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder will result in additional administrative burdens that will obligate us to incur additional costs and expenses. Provisions of the Act that affect deposit insurance assessments, payment of interest on demand deposits and interchange fees could increase the costs associated with deposits as well as place limitations on certain revenues those deposits may generate. Although the treatment of our existing trust preferred securities as Tier 1 capital will be grandfathered under the Act, provisions of the Act that revoke Tier 1 capital treatments of trust preferred securities and otherwise require revisions to capital requirements may cause us to seek other sources of capital in the future. Furthermore, the Dodd-Frank Act could limit the types of financial services and products we may offer, increase the ability of non-banks to offer competing financial services and products, reduce interchange fees, require us to renegotiate payment network agreements or enter into multiple payment network agreements, require a significant amount of management's time and attention, and otherwise adversely impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Non-compliance with laws and regulations could result in fines, sanctions and other enforcement actions and the loss of our financial holding company status.

Federal and state regulators have broad enforcement powers. If we fail to comply with any laws, regulations, rules, standards, policies or interpretations applicable to us, we could face various sanctions and enforcement actions, which include:

the appointment of a conservator or receiver for us;

the issuance of a cease and desist order that can be judicially enforced;

the termination of our deposit insurance;

the imposition of civil monetary fines and penalties;

the issuance of directives to increase capital;

the issuance of formal and informal agreements;

the issuance of removal and prohibition orders against officers, directors and other institution-affiliated parties;
and

-15-

Table of Contents

the enforcement of such actions through injunctions or restraining orders

The imposition of any such sanctions or other enforcement actions could adversely impact our earnings, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Furthermore, as a financial holding company, we may engage in authorized financial activities provided we are in compliance with applicable regulatory standards and guidelines. If we fail to meet such standards and guidelines, we may be required to cease certain financial holding company activities and, in certain circumstances, to divest the Bank.

The effects of recent legislative and regulatory efforts are uncertain.

In response to market disruptions over the past two and a half years, legislators and financial regulators have implemented a number of initiatives designed to stabilize and improve the financial markets. These efforts have included:

- direct and indirect assistance to distressed financial institutions and the provision of assistance by the banking authorities in arranging acquisitions of weakened banks and broker-dealers;

- legislation that provided economic stimulus funding and liquidity to the financial markets, including the Troubled Asset Relief Program Capital Purchase Program;

- programs by the Federal Reserve to provide liquidity to the commercial paper markets, stimulus to increase commercial and consumer based lending, and successive rounds of quantitative easing;

- proposed guidance by the Federal Reserve on incentive compensation policies at banking organizations;

- proposals and recent judicial decisions limiting a lender's ability to foreclose on mortgages or make such foreclosures less economically viable, including by allowing Chapter 13 bankruptcy plans to cram down the value of certain mortgages on a consumer's principal residence to its market value and/or reset interest rates and monthly payments to permit defaulting debtors to remain in their home;

- acceleration of the effective date of various provisions of the Credit Card Accountability Responsibility and Disclosure Act of 2009, which restrict certain credit and charge card practices, require expanded disclosures to consumers and provide consumers with the right to opt out of interest rate increases (with limited exceptions);

- adoption of new rules by the Federal Reserve under Regulation E, effective July 1, 2010 for new accounts and August 15, 2010 for existing accounts, generally prohibiting financial institutions from charging overdraft fees for ATM and one-time debit card transactions that overdraw consumer deposit accounts, unless the consumer opts in to having such overdrafts authorized and paid; and

- enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act.

These initiatives may increase our expenses or decrease our income by, among other things, making it harder for us to foreclose on mortgages and impacting the amount of overdraft fees we will be able to charge. Further, the overall effects of these and other legislative and regulatory efforts on the financial markets remain uncertain and they may not have the intended results. These efforts may even have unintended harmful consequences on the U.S. financial system and our business. Should these or other legislative or regulatory initiatives have unintended effects, our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be materially and adversely affected.

In addition, we may need to modify our strategies and business operations in response to these changes. We may also incur increased capital requirements and constraints or additional costs in order to satisfy new regulatory requirements. Given the volatile nature of the current market and the uncertainties underlying efforts to mitigate or reverse disruptions, we may not timely anticipate or manage existing, new or additional risks, contingencies or developments in the current or future environment. Our failure to do so could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Table of Contents

We are dependent upon the services of our management team.

Our future success and profitability is substantially dependent upon the management skills of our executive officers and directors, many of whom have held officer and director positions with us for many years. The unanticipated loss or unavailability of key executives, including Lyle R. Knight, President and Chief Executive Officer, who has announced his plan to retire in March 2012, Terrill R. Moore, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Edward Garding, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, and Julie A. Castle, Executive Vice President and President First Interstate Bank Wealth Management, could harm our ability to operate our business or execute our business strategy. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in retaining these key employees or finding suitable successors in the event of their loss or unavailability.

We may not be able to attract and retain qualified employees to operate our business effectively.

There is substantial competition for qualified personnel in our markets. Although unemployment rates have been declining in Montana and Wyoming, and the surrounding region, it may still be difficult to attract and retain qualified employees at all management and staffing levels. Failure to attract and retain employees and maintain adequate staffing of qualified personnel could adversely impact our operations and our ability to execute our business strategy. Furthermore, relatively low unemployment rates in certain of our markets, compared with national unemployment rates, may lead to significant increases in salaries, wages and employee benefits expenses as we compete for qualified, skilled employees, which could negatively impact our results of operations and prospects.

A failure of the technology we use could harm our business and our information systems may experience a breach in security.

We rely heavily on communications and information systems to conduct our business and we depend heavily upon data processing, software, communication and information exchange from a number of vendors on a variety of computing platforms and networks and over the internet. We cannot be certain that all of our systems are entirely free from vulnerability to breaches of security or other technological difficulties or failures. A breach in the security of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in our customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, loan, investment, credit card and other information systems. A breach of the security of our information systems could damage our reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny and expose us to civil litigation and possible financial liability.

Furthermore, the computer systems and network infrastructure we use could be vulnerable to other unforeseen problems, such as damage from fire, privacy loss, telecommunications failure or other similar events which would also have an adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our operations rely on certain external vendors.

We are reliant upon certain external vendors to provide products and services necessary to maintain our day-to-day operations. In addition, we are subject to certain long-term vendor contracts that limit our flexibility and increase our dependence on third party vendors. Failure of certain external vendors to perform in accordance with contractual arrangements could be disruptive to our operations and limit our ability to provide certain products and services demanded by our customers, which could have material adverse impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

An extended disruption of vital infrastructure and other business interruptions could negatively impact our business.

Our operations depend upon vital infrastructure components including, among other things, transportation systems, power grids and telecommunication systems. A disruption in our operations resulting from failure of transportation and telecommunication systems, loss of power, interruption of other utilities, natural disaster, fire, global climate changes, computer hacking or viruses, failure of technology, terrorist activity or the domestic and foreign response to such activity or other events outside of our control could have an adverse impact on the financial services industry as a whole and/or on our business. Our business recovery plan may not be adequate and may not prevent significant interruptions of our operations or substantial losses.

Table of Contents

Recent market disruptions have caused increased liquidity risks.

The recent disruption and illiquidity in the credit markets are continuing challenges that have generally made potential funding sources more difficult to access, less reliable and more expensive. In addition, liquidity in the inter-bank market, as well as the markets for commercial paper and other short-term instruments, has contracted significantly. Reflecting concern about the stability of the financial markets generally and the strength of counterparties, many lenders and institutional investors have reduced and in some cases, ceased to provide funding to borrowers, including other financial institutions. These market conditions have made the management of our own and our customers' liquidity significantly more challenging. Existing or future deterioration in the credit markets or a prolonged period without improvement of market liquidity could adversely affect our liquidity and financial condition, including our regulatory capital ratios, and could adversely affect our business, results of operations and prospects.

We may not be able to meet the cash flow requirements of our depositors and borrowers unless we maintain sufficient liquidity.

Liquidity is the ability to meet current and future cash flow needs on a timely basis at a reasonable cost. Our liquidity is used to make loans and to repay deposit liabilities as they become due or are demanded by customers. Potential alternative sources of liquidity include federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements. We maintain a portfolio of investment securities that may be used as a secondary source of liquidity to the extent the securities are not pledged for collateral. Other potential sources of liquidity include the sale of loans, the utilization of available government and regulatory assistance programs, the ability to acquire national market, non-core deposits, the issuance of additional collateralized borrowings such as Federal Home Loan Bank, or FHLB, advances, the issuance of debt securities, issuance of equity securities and borrowings through the Federal Reserve's discount window. Without sufficient liquidity from these potential sources, we may not be able to meet the cash flow requirements of our depositors and borrowers.

We may not be able to find suitable acquisition candidates.

Although our growth strategy is to primarily focus and promote organic growth, we also have in the past and intend in the future to complement and expand our business by pursuing strategic acquisitions of banks and other financial institutions. We believe, however, there are a limited number of banks that will meet our acquisition criteria and, consequently, we cannot assure you that we will be able to identify suitable candidates for acquisitions. In addition, even if suitable candidates are identified, we expect to compete with other potential bidders for such businesses, many of which may have greater financial resources than we have. Our failure to find suitable acquisition candidates, or successfully bid against other competitors for acquisitions, could adversely affect our ability to successfully implement our business strategy.

We may be unable to manage our growth due to acquisitions, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Acquisitions of other banks and financial institutions involve risks of changes in results of operations or cash flows, unforeseen liabilities relating to the acquired institution or arising out of the acquisition, asset quality problems of the acquired entity and other conditions not within our control, such as adverse personnel relations, loss of customers because of change of identity, deterioration in local economic conditions and other risks affecting the acquired institution. In addition, the process of integrating acquired entities will divert significant management time and resources. We may not be able to integrate successfully or operate profitably any financial institutions we may acquire. We may experience disruption and incur unexpected expenses in integrating acquisitions. There can be no assurance that any such acquisitions will enhance our cash flows, business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects and such acquisitions may have an adverse effect on our results of operations, particularly during periods in which the acquisitions are being integrated into our operations.

Table of Contents

We face significant competition from other financial institutions and financial services providers.

We face substantial competition in all areas of our operations from a variety of different competitors, many of which are larger and may have more financial resources, higher lending limits and large branch networks. Such competitors primarily include national, regional and community banks within the various markets we serve. We also face competition from many other types of financial institutions, including, without limitation, savings and loans, credit unions, finance companies, brokerage firms, insurance companies, factoring companies and other financial intermediaries. The financial services industry could become even more competitive as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes and continued consolidation. Banks, securities firms and insurance companies can merge under the umbrella of a financial holding company, which can offer virtually any type of financial service, including banking, securities underwriting, insurance (both agency and underwriting) and merchant banking. Increased competition among financial services companies due to the recent consolidation of certain competing financial institutions and the conversion of certain investment banks to bank holding companies may adversely affect our ability to market our products and services. Also, technology has lowered barriers to entry and made it possible for nonbanks to offer products and services traditionally provided by banks, such as automatic funds transfer and automatic payment systems. Many of our competitors have fewer regulatory constraints and may have lower cost structures. Additionally, due to their size, many competitors may offer a broader range of products and services as well as better pricing for those products and services than we can.

Our ability to compete successfully depends on a number of factors, including, among other things:

the ability to develop, maintain and build upon long-term customer relationships based on quality service, high ethical standards and safe, sound assets;

the ability to expand our market position;

the scope, relevance and pricing of products and services offered to meet customer needs and demands;

the rate at which we introduce new products and services relative to our competitors;

customer satisfaction with our level of service; and

industry and general economic trends.

Failure to perform in any of these areas could significantly weaken our competitive position, which could adversely affect our growth and profitability, which, in turn, could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We may not be able to manage risks inherent in our business, particularly given the recent turbulent and dynamic market conditions.

A comprehensive and well-integrated risk management function is essential for our business. We have adopted various policies, procedures and systems to monitor and manage risk and are currently implementing a centralized risk oversight function. These policies, procedures and systems may be inadequate to identify and mitigate all risks inherent in our business. In addition, our business and the markets and industry in which we operate are continuously evolving. We may fail to understand fully the implications of changes in our business or the financial markets and fail to adequately or timely enhance our risk framework to address those changes, particularly given the recent turbulent and dynamic market conditions. If our risk framework is ineffective, either because it fails to keep pace with changes in the financial markets or in our business or for other reasons, we could incur losses and otherwise experience harm to our business.

Our systems of internal operating controls may not be effective.

We establish and maintain systems of internal operational controls that provide us with critical information used to manage our business. These systems are subject to various inherent limitations, including cost, judgments used in decision-making, assumptions about the likelihood of future events, the soundness of our systems, the possibility of human error and the risk of fraud. Moreover, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions and

the risk that the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate over time. Because of these limitations, any system of internal operating controls may not be successful in preventing all errors or fraud or in making all material information known in a timely manner to the appropriate levels of management. From time to time, control deficiencies and losses from operational malfunctions or fraud have occurred and may occur in the future. Any future deficiencies, weaknesses or losses related to internal operating control systems could have an adverse effect on our business and, in turn, on our financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

-19-

Table of Contents

We may become liable for environmental remediation and other costs on repossessed properties, which could adversely impact our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

A significant portion of our loan portfolio is secured by real property. During the ordinary course of business, we may foreclose on and take title to properties securing certain loans. If hazardous or toxic substances are found on these properties, we may be liable for remediation costs, as well as for personal injury and property damage. Environmental laws may require us to incur substantial expenses and may materially reduce the affected property's value or limit our ability to use or sell the affected property. In addition, future laws or more stringent interpretations or enforcement policies with respect to existing laws may increase our exposure to environmental liability. The remediation costs and any other financial liabilities associated with an environmental hazard could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

We may not effectively implement new technology-driven products and services or be successful in marketing these products and services to our customers.

The financial services industry is continually undergoing rapid technological change with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. The effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables financial institutions to better serve customers and to reduce costs. Our future success depends, in part, upon our ability to use technology to provide products and services that will satisfy customer demands, as well as to create additional efficiencies in our operations. Many of our competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements. We may not be able to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services or be successful in marketing these products and services to our customers. Failure to successfully keep pace with technological change affecting the financial services industry could have a material adverse impact on our business and, in turn, on our financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We are subject to claims and litigation pertaining to our fiduciary responsibilities.

Some of the services we provide, such as trust and investment services, require us to act as fiduciaries for our customers and others. From time to time, third parties make claims and take legal action against us pertaining to the performance of our fiduciary responsibilities. If these claims and legal actions are not resolved in a manner favorable to us, we may be exposed to significant financial liability and/or our reputation could be damaged. Either of these results may adversely impact demand for our products and services or otherwise have a harmful effect on our business and, in turn, on our financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

The Federal Reserve may require us to commit capital resources to support our bank subsidiary.

As a matter of policy, the Federal Reserve, which examines us and our subsidiaries, expects a bank holding company to act as a source of financial and managerial strength to a subsidiary bank and to commit resources to support such subsidiary bank. Under the source of strength doctrine, the Federal Reserve may require a bank holding company to make capital injections into a troubled subsidiary bank and may charge the bank holding company with engaging in unsafe and unsound practices for failure to commit resources to such a subsidiary bank. A capital injection may be required at times when the holding company may not have the resources to provide it and therefore may be required to borrow the funds. Any loans by a holding company to its subsidiary bank are subordinate in right of payment to deposits and to certain other indebtedness of such subsidiary bank. In the event of a bank holding company's bankruptcy, the bankruptcy trustee will assume any commitment by the holding company to a federal bank regulatory agency to maintain the capital of a subsidiary bank. Moreover, bankruptcy law provides that claims based on any such commitment will be entitled to a priority of payment over the claims of the institution's general unsecured creditors, including the holders of its note obligations. Thus, any borrowing that must be done by the holding company in order to make the required capital injection becomes more difficult and expensive and will adversely impact the holding company's cash flows, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We may be adversely affected by the soundness of other financial institutions.

The financial services industry as a whole, as well as the securities markets generally, have been materially and adversely affected by significant declines in the values of nearly all asset classes and a serious lack of liquidity. If other financial institutions in our markets dispose of real estate collateral at below-market prices to meet liquidity or regulatory requirements, such actions could negatively impact overall real estate values, including properties securing our loans. Our credit risk is exacerbated when the collateral we hold cannot be realized upon or is liquidated at prices

not sufficient to recover the full amount of the credit exposure due to us. Any such losses could harm our financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

-20-

Table of Contents

Financial institutions in particular have been subject to increased volatility and an overall loss of investor confidence. Our ability to engage in routine funding transactions could be adversely affected by the actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions. Financial services companies are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty or other relationships. We have exposure to many different industries and counterparties. For example, we execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks and other institutional clients. As a result, defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, one or more financial services companies or the financial services industry generally, have led to market-wide liquidity problems and could lead to losses or defaults by us or by other institutions. Many of these transactions expose us to increased credit risk in the event of default of a counterparty or client.

The short-term and long-term impact of the new Basel III capital standards and the forthcoming new capital rules to be proposed for U.S. banks is uncertain.

On December 16, 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, or Basel Committee, released the final text of its reforms to strengthen global capital and liquidity rules designed to create a more resilient banking industry. These reforms, known as Basel III, are intended to strengthen the regulatory capital framework by, among other things, (1) raising the quality, consistency and transparency of an institution's capital base, (2) reducing procyclicality and promoting counter cyclical buffers, (3) enhancing risk coverage, (4) supplementing the risk-based capital requirement with a leverage ratio, and (5) introducing a global liquidity standard.

On January 13, 2011, the Basel Committee issued additional criteria to Basel III. This criteria provides that for instruments issued by a bank to be included in Tier 1 or Tier 2 capital, they must meet or exceed minimum requirements designed to require such instruments to fully absorb losses before taxpayers are exposed to loss.

Basel III, as supplemented, has not yet been adopted by the U.S. federal banking authorities. Although the Secretary of the United States Department of the Treasury and federal banking regulators have expressed support for Basel III, the timing and scope of its implementation, as well as any potential modifications that may result during the implementation process, are not yet known. Therefore, the short-term and long-term impact of the Basel III capital standards and the forthcoming new capital rules to be proposed for U.S. banks is uncertain.

Our Bank's ability to pay dividends to us is subject to regulatory limitations, which, to the extent we are not able to receive such dividends, may impair our ability to grow, pay dividends, cover operating expenses and meet debt service requirements.

We are a legal entity separate and distinct from the Bank, our only bank subsidiary. Since we are a holding company with no significant assets other than the capital stock of our subsidiaries, we depend upon dividends from the Bank for a substantial part of our revenue. Accordingly, our ability to grow, pay dividends, cover operating expenses and meet debt service requirements depends primarily upon the receipt of dividends or other capital distributions from the Bank. The Bank's ability to pay dividends to us is subject to, among other things, its earnings, financial condition and need for funds, as well as federal and state governmental policies and regulations applicable to us and the Bank, which limit the amount that may be paid as dividends without prior approval. For example, in general, the Bank is limited to paying dividends that do not exceed the current year net profits together with retained earnings from the two preceding calendar years unless the prior consents of the Montana and federal banking regulators are obtained.

Furthermore, the terms of our Series A preferred stock, of which 5,000 shares were outstanding as of December 31, 2010, prohibit us from declaring or paying dividends or distributions on any class of our common stock, unless all accrued and unpaid dividends for the three prior consecutive dividend periods have been paid. Any reduction or elimination of our common stock dividend in the future could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Risks Relating to Our Class A Common Stock

Our dividend policy may change.

Although we have historically paid dividends to our stockholders, we have no obligation to continue doing so and may change our dividend policy at any time without notice to our stockholders. Holders of our common stock are only entitled to receive such cash dividends as our Board may declare out of funds legally available for such payments. Furthermore, consistent with our strategic plans, growth initiatives, capital availability, projected liquidity needs and other factors, we have made and adopted and will continue to make and adopt, capital management decisions and policies that could adversely impact the amount of dividends paid to our stockholders.

Table of Contents

The trading volume in our Class A Common Stock has been limited, and an active trading market may not develop.

Our Class A common stock is currently traded on The NASDAQ Global Select Market. Our Class A common stock is thinly-traded and has substantially less liquidity than the average trading market for many other publicly-traded financial institutions and other companies. Therefore, investors have limited opportunities to sell their shares of Class A common stock in the open market. Limited trading of our Class A common stock also contributes to more volatile price fluctuations. An active trading market for our Class A common stock may never develop or be sustained, which could affect your ability to sell your shares and could depress the market price of your shares. Approximately 70% of our outstanding common stock is owned by members of the Scott family, our executive officers and directors and current and former employees. This substantial amount of stock that is owned by these individuals may adversely affect the development of an active and liquid trading market.

Our Class A common stock share price could be volatile and could decline.

The market price of our Class A common stock is volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations in price in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control. These factors include:

- prevailing market conditions;
- our historical performance and capital structure;
- estimates of our business potential and earnings prospects;
- an overall assessment of our management; and

the consideration of these factors in relation to market valuation of companies in related businesses.

At times the stock markets, including the NASDAQ Stock Market, on which our Class A common stock is listed, may experience significant price and volume fluctuations. As a result, the market price of our Class A common stock is likely to be similarly volatile and investors in our Class A common stock may experience a decrease in the value of their shares, including decreases unrelated to our operating performance or prospects. In addition, in the past, following periods of volatility in the overall market and the market price of a company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against these companies. This litigation, if instituted against us, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our management's attention and resources.

Holders of the Class B common stock have voting control of our company and are able to determine virtually all matters submitted to stockholders, including potential change in control transactions.

Members of the Scott family control in excess of 65% of the voting power of our outstanding common stock. Due to their holdings of common stock, members of the Scott family are able to determine the outcome of virtually all matters submitted to stockholders for approval, including the election of directors, amendment of our articles of incorporation (except when a class vote is required by law), any merger or consolidation requiring common stockholder approval and the sale of all or substantially all of the company's assets. Accordingly, such holders have the ability to prevent change in control transactions as long as they maintain voting control of the company.

In addition, because these holders will have the ability to elect all of our directors they will be able to control our policies and operations, including the appointment of management, future issuances of our common stock or other securities, the payments of dividends on our common stock and entering into extraordinary transactions, and their interests may not in all cases be aligned with your interests. Further, because of our dual class structure, members of the Scott family will continue to be able to control all matters submitted to our stockholder for approval even if they come to own less than 50% of the total outstanding shares of our common stock. The Scott family members have entered into a stockholder agreement giving family members a right of first refusal to purchase shares of Class B common stock that are intended to be sold or transferred, subject to certain exceptions, by other family members. This agreement may have the effect of continuing ownership of the Class B common stock and control within the Scott family. This concentrated control will limit your ability to influence corporate matters. As a result, the market price of our Class A common stock could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Future equity issuances could result in dilution, which could cause our Class A common stock price to decline.

We are not restricted from issuing additional Class A common stock, including any securities that are convertible into or exchangeable for, or that represent the right to receive, Class A common stock. We may issue additional Class A common stock in the future pursuant to current or future employee stock option plans or in connection with future acquisitions or financings. Should we choose to raise capital by selling shares of Class A common stock for any reason, the issuance would have a dilutive effect on the holders of our Class A common stock and could have a material negative effect on the market price of our Class A common stock.

An investment in our Class A common stock is not an insured deposit.

Our Class A common stock is not a bank savings account or deposit and, therefore, is not insured against loss by the FDIC, any other deposit insurance fund or any other public or private entity. As a result, holders of our common stock could lose some or all of their investment.

Anti-takeover provisions and the regulations to which we are subject also may make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of us, even if the change in control would be beneficial to stockholders.

We are a financial and bank holding company incorporated in the State of Montana. Anti-takeover provisions in Montana law and our articles of incorporation and bylaws, as well as regulatory approvals that would be required under federal law, could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of us and may prevent stockholders from receiving a premium for their shares of our Class A common stock. These provisions could adversely affect the market price of our Class A common stock and could reduce the amount that stockholders might receive if we are sold.

Our articles of incorporation provide that our board of directors, or Board, may issue up to 95,000 additional shares of preferred stock, in one or more series, without stockholder approval and with such terms, conditions, rights, privileges and preferences as the Board may deem appropriate. In addition, our articles of incorporation provide for staggered terms for our Board and limitations on persons authorized to call a special meeting of stockholders. In addition, certain provisions of Montana law may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change of control under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of our Class A common stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price of such Class A common stock.

Further, the acquisition of specified amounts of our common stock (in some cases, the acquisition or control of more than 5% of our voting stock) may require certain regulatory approvals, including the approval of the Federal Reserve and one or more of our state banking regulatory agencies. The filing of applications with these agencies and the accompanying review process can take several months. Additionally, as discussed above, the holders of the Class B common stock will have voting control of our company. This and the other factors described above may hinder or even prevent a change in control of us, even if a change in control would be beneficial to our stockholders.

We qualify as a controlled company under the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules and may rely on exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements.

As a result of the combined voting power of the members of the Scott family described above, we qualify as a controlled company under the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules. As a controlled company, we may rely on exemptions from certain NASDAQ corporate governance standards that are available to controlled companies, including the requirements that:

a majority of the board of directors consist of independent directors;

the compensation of officers be determined, or recommended to the board of directors for determination, by a majority of the independent directors or a compensation committee comprised solely of independent directors; and

director nominees be selected, or recommended for the board of directors selection, by a majority of the independent directors or a nominating committee comprised solely of independent directors with a written charter or board resolution addressing the nomination process.

As a result, in the future, our compensation and governance & nominating committees may not consist entirely of independent directors. As long as we choose to rely on these exemptions from NASDAQ Marketplace Rules in the future, you will not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of the NASDAQ corporate governance requirements.

-23-

Table of Contents

The Class A common stock is equity and is subordinate to our existing and future indebtedness and to our existing Series A preferred stock.

Shares of our Class A common stock are equity interests and do not constitute indebtedness. As such, shares of our Class A common stock rank junior to all our indebtedness, including our subordinated term loans, the subordinated debentures held by trusts that have issued trust preferred securities and other non-equity claims on us with respect to assets available to satisfy claims on us. Additionally, holders of our Class A common stock are subject to the prior dividend and liquidation rights of any holders of our Series A preferred stock then outstanding.

In the future, we may attempt to increase our capital resources or, if our Bank's capital ratios fall below the required minimums, we or the Bank could be forced to raise additional capital by making additional offerings of debt or equity securities, including medium-term notes, trust preferred securities, senior or subordinated notes and preferred stock. Or, we may issue additional debt or equity securities as consideration for future mergers and acquisitions. Such additional debt and equity offerings may place restrictions on our ability to pay dividends on or repurchase our common stock, dilute the holdings of our existing stockholders or reduce the market price of our Class A common stock. Furthermore, acquisitions typically involve the payment of a premium over book and market values and therefore, some dilution of our tangible book value and net income per common stock may occur in connection with any future transaction. Holders of our Class A common stock are not entitled to preemptive rights or other protections against dilution.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

We are an accelerated filer, as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. We have not received any written comments from the SEC staff regarding our periodic or current reports filed under the Exchange Act.

Item 2. Properties

Our principal executive offices and one of our banking offices are anchor tenants in an eighteen story commercial building located in Billings, Montana. The building is owned by a joint venture partnership in which the Bank is one of two partners, owning a 50% interest in the partnership. We lease approximately 95,605 square feet of office space in the building. We also own a 65,226 square foot building that houses our operations center in Billings, Montana. We provide banking services at 71 additional locations in Montana, Wyoming and western South Dakota, of which 18 properties are leased from independent third parties and 53 properties are owned by us. We believe each of our facilities is suitable and adequate to meet our current operational needs.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In the normal course of business, we are named or threatened to be named as a defendant in various lawsuits. Management, following consultation with legal counsel, does not expect the ultimate disposition of one or a combination of these matters to have a material adverse effect on our business.

Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Description of Our Capital Stock

Our articles provide for two classes of common stock: Class A common stock, which has one vote per share, and Class B common stock, which has five votes per share. Class B common stock is convertible into Class A common stock as described below. Our common stock is uncertificated.

Our authorized capital stock consists of 200,100,000 shares, each with no par value per share, of which:

100,000,000 shares are designated as Class A common stock;

100,000,000 shares are designated as Class B common stock; and

100,000 shares are designated as preferred stock.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2010, we had issued and outstanding 15,598,632 shares of Class A common stock, 27,202,062 shares of Class B common stock and 5,000 shares of preferred stock that have been designated as Series A preferred stock. At December 31, 2010, we also had outstanding stock options to purchase an aggregate of 31,624 shares of our Class A common stock and 3,553,228 shares of our Class B common stock.

Members of the Scott family control in excess of 65% of the voting power of our outstanding common stock. The Scott family members have entered into a stockholder agreement giving family members a right of first refusal to purchase shares of Class B common stock that are intended to be sold or transferred, subject to certain exceptions, by other family members. This agreement may have the effect of continuing ownership of the Class B common stock and control of our Company within the Scott family.

Due to the ownership and control of our Company by members of the Scott family, we are a controlled company as that term is used under the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules. As a controlled company, we may rely on exemptions from certain NASDAQ corporate governance requirements, including those regarding independent director requirements for the Board and committees of the Board.

Preferred Stock

Our Board is authorized, without approval of the holders of Class A common stock or Class B common stock, to provide for the issuance of preferred stock from time to time in one or more series in such number and with such designations, preferences, powers and other special rights as may be stated in the resolution or resolutions providing for such preferred stock. Our Board may cause us to issue preferred stock with voting, conversion and other rights that could adversely affect the holders of Class A common stock or Class B common stock or make it more difficult to effect a change in control.

In connection with the First Western acquisition in January 2008, our Board authorized the issuance of 5,000 shares of 6.75% Series A noncumulative redeemable preferred stock, which ranks senior to our Class A common stock and Class B common stock with respect to dividend and liquidation rights. The Series A preferred stock has no voting rights. Holders of the Series A preferred stock are entitled to receive, when and if declared by the Board, noncumulative cash dividends at an annual rate of \$675 per share (based on a 360 day year). In the event full dividends are not paid for three consecutive quarters, the Series A preferred stock holders are entitled to elect two members to our Board. The Series A preferred stock is subject to indemnification obligations and set-off rights pursuant to the purchase agreement entered into at the time of the First Western acquisition. We may, at our option, redeem all or any part of the outstanding Series A preferred stock at any time after January 10, 2013, subject to certain conditions, at a price of \$10,000 per share plus accrued but unpaid dividends at the date fixed for redemption. The Series A preferred stock may be redeemed prior to January 10, 2013 only in the event we are entitled to exercise our set-off rights pursuant to the First Western purchase agreement. After January 10, 2018, the Series A preferred stock may be converted, at the option of the holder, into shares of our Class B common stock at a ratio of 320 shares of Class B common stock for every one share of Series A preferred stock.

Common Stock

The holders of our Class A common stock are entitled to one vote per share and the holders of our Class B common stock are entitled to five votes per share on any matter to be voted upon by the stockholders. Holders of Class A common stock and Class B common stock vote together as a single class on all matters (including the election of directors) submitted to a vote of stockholders, unless otherwise required by law.

The holders of common stock are not entitled to cumulative voting rights with respect to the election of directors, which means that the holders of a majority of the shares voted can elect all of the directors then standing for election. Directors are elected by a majority of the voting power present in person or represented by proxy at a shareholder meeting rather than by a plurality vote.

The holders of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock are entitled to share equally in any dividends that our Board may declare from time to time from legally available funds and assets, subject to limitations under Montana law and the preferential rights of holders of any outstanding shares of preferred stock. If a dividend is paid in the form of shares of common stock or rights to acquire shares of common stock, the holders of Class A common stock will be entitled to receive Class A common stock, or rights to acquire Class A common stock, as the case may be and the holders of Class B common stock will be entitled to receive Class B common stock, or rights to acquire Class B common stock, as the case may be.

Table of Contents

Upon any voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution, distribution of assets or winding up of our company, the holders of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock are entitled to share equally, on a per share basis, in all our assets available for distribution, after payment to creditors and subject to any prior distribution rights granted to holders of any outstanding shares of preferred stock.

Our Class A common stock is not convertible into any other shares of our capital stock. Any holder of Class B common stock may at any time convert his or her shares into shares of Class A common stock on a share-for-share basis. The shares of Class B common stock will automatically convert into shares of Class A common stock on a share-for-share basis:

when the aggregate number of shares of our Class B common stock is less than 20% of the aggregate number of shares of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock then outstanding; or upon any transfer, whether or not for value, except for transfers to the holder's spouse, certain of the holder's relatives, the trustees of certain trusts established for their benefit, corporations and partnerships wholly-owned by the holders and their relatives, the holder's estate and other holders of Class B common stock.

Once converted into Class A common stock, the Class B common stock cannot be reissued. No class of common stock may be subdivided or combined unless the other class of common stock concurrently is subdivided or combined in the same proportion and in the same manner.

Other than in connection with dividends and distributions, subdivisions or combinations, or certain other circumstances, we are not authorized to issue additional shares of Class B common stock.

Class A and Class B common stock do not have any preemptive rights.

The Class B common stock is not and will not be listed on the NASDAQ Stock Market or any other exchange. Therefore, no trading market is expected to develop in the Class B common stock. Class A common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbol FIBK.

The table below sets forth, for each calendar quarter end in 2009, the minority appraised value of our Class B common stock as determined by an independent valuation expert prior to the Company's initial public offering, or IPO, in March 2010.

| Valuation Based on | Valuation Effective | Appraised |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Financial Data as of | Date | Minority Value |
| March 31, 2009 | May 15, 2009 | \$ 15.250 |
| June 30, 2009 | August 17, 2009 | 15.000 |
| September 30, 2009 | November 16, 2009 | 15.375 |
| December 31, 2009 | February 5, 2010 | 15.000 |

The table below sets forth, for each quarter in 2010, the quarterly high and low closing sales prices per share of the Class A common stock, as reported by the NASDAQ Stock Market.

| Quarter Ended | High | Low |
|--------------------|----------|----------|
| March 31, 2010 | \$ 16.97 | \$ 15.40 |
| June 30, 2010 | 16.80 | 15.05 |
| September 30, 2010 | 15.83 | 11.07 |
| December 31, 2010 | 15.39 | 12.00 |

As of December 31, 2010, we had 495 record shareholders, including the Wealth Management division of First Interstate Bank as trustee for 1,786,288 shares of Class A common stock held on behalf of 1,144 individual participants in the Savings and Profit Sharing Plan for Employees of First Interstate BancSystem, Inc., or the Savings Plan. The Savings Plan Trustee votes the shares based on the instructions of each participant. In the event the participant does not provide the Savings Plan Trustee with instructions, the Savings Plan Trustee votes those shares in

accordance with voting instructions received from a majority of the participants in the plan.

-26-

Table of Contents*Dividends*

It is our policy to pay a dividend to all common shareholders quarterly. We currently intend to continue paying quarterly dividends; however, the Board may change or eliminate the payment of future dividends.

Recent quarterly dividends follow:

| Dividend Payment | Amount Per Share | Total Cash Dividends |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| First quarter 2009 | \$0.1625 | \$5,127,714 |
| Second quarter 2009 | \$0.1125 | 3,522,836 |
| Third quarter 2009 | \$0.1125 | 3,513,986 |
| Fourth quarter 2009 | \$0.1125 | 3,528,996 |
| First quarter 2010 | \$0.1125 | 3,519,163 |
| Second quarter 2010 | \$0.1125 | 4,792,655 |
| Third quarter 2010 | \$0.1125 | 4,796,025 |
| Fourth quarter 2010 | \$0.1125 | 4,796,835 |
| First quarter 2011 | \$0.1125 | 4,797,595 |

Dividend Restrictions

For a description of restrictions on the payment of dividends, see Part I, Item 1, Business Regulation and Supervision Restrictions on Transfers of Funds to Us and the Bank, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Capital Resources and Liquidity Management and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition Long-Term Debt included in Part II, Item 7 herein.

Sales of Unregistered Securities

There were no issuances of unregistered securities during the fourth quarter of 2010.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

The following table provides information with respect to purchases made by or on behalf of us or any affiliated purchasers (as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Exchange Act) of our common stock during the three months ended December 31, 2010.

Purchases of Equity Securities by Issuer

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| October 2010 | | \$ | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| November 2010 | | | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| December 2010 | | | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |

| Total | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
|-------|-------------------|-------------------|
|-------|-------------------|-------------------|

Table of Contents**Performance Graph**

The performance graph below compares the cumulative total shareholder return on our Class A common stock since our Class A common stock began trading on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on March 23, 2010 as compared with the cumulative total return on equity securities of companies included in the Nasdaq Composite Index and the Nasdaq Bank Index over the same period. The Nasdaq Bank Index is a comparative peer index comprised of financial companies, including banks, savings institutions and related holding companies that perform banking-related functions, listed on the Nasdaq Stock Market. The Nasdaq Composite Index is a comparative broad market index comprised of all domestic and international common stocks listed on the Nasdaq Stock Market. This graph assumes a \$100.00 investment in our common stock on the first day of trading, and reinvestment of dividends on the date of payment without commissions. The plot points on the graph were provided by SNL Financial LC, Charlottesville, VA. The performance graph represents past performance, which may not be indicative of the future performance of our common stock.

| <i>Index</i> | <i>Period Ending</i> | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 03/23/10 | 04/30/10 | 06/30/10 | 08/31/10 | 10/31/10 | 12/31/10 |
| First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. | 100.00 | 112.50 | 110.02 | 79.87 | 91.40 | 108.27 |
| NASDAQ Composite | 100.00 | 101.96 | 87.56 | 87.92 | 104.44 | 110.78 |
| NASDAQ Bank | 100.00 | 104.85 | 89.04 | 82.31 | 87.79 | 98.86 |
| | | | -28- | | | |

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data**

The following selected consolidated financial data with respect to our consolidated financial position as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of our operations for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15. This data should be read in conjunction with Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and such consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto. The selected consolidated financial data with respect to our consolidated financial position as of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, and the results of our operations for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements not included herein.

Five Year Summary

(Dollars in thousands except per share data)

| As of or for the year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| <i>Selected Balance Sheet Data:</i> | | | | | |
| Net loans | \$ 4,247,429 | \$ 4,424,974 | \$ 4,685,497 | \$ 3,506,625 | \$ 3,262,911 |
| Investment securities | 1,933,403 | 1,446,280 | 1,072,276 | 1,128,657 | 1,124,598 |
| Total assets | 7,500,970 | 7,137,653 | 6,628,347 | 5,216,797 | 4,974,134 |
| Deposits | 5,925,713 | 5,824,056 | 5,174,259 | 3,999,401 | 3,708,511 |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements | 620,154 | 474,141 | 525,501 | 604,762 | 731,548 |
| Long-term debt | 37,502 | 73,353 | 84,148 | 5,145 | 21,601 |
| Subordinated debentures held by subsidiary trusts | 123,715 | 123,715 | 123,715 | 103,095 | 41,238 |
| Preferred stockholders' equity | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | | |
| Common stockholders' equity | 686,802 | 524,434 | 489,062 | 444,443 | 410,375 |
| <i>Selected Income Statement Data:</i> | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 314,546 | \$ 328,034 | \$ 355,919 | \$ 325,557 | \$ 293,423 |
| Interest expense | 63,107 | 84,898 | 120,542 | 125,954 | 105,960 |
| Net interest income | 251,439 | 243,136 | 235,377 | 199,603 | 187,463 |
| Provision for loan losses | 66,900 | 45,300 | 33,356 | 7,750 | 7,761 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 184,539 | 197,836 | 202,021 | 191,853 | 179,702 |
| Non-interest income | 90,911 | 100,690 | 128,597 | 92,367 | 102,181 |
| Non-interest expense | 221,004 | 217,710 | 222,541 | 178,786 | 164,775 |
| Income before income taxes | 54,446 | 80,816 | 108,077 | 105,434 | 117,108 |
| Income tax expense | 17,090 | 26,953 | 37,429 | 36,793 | 41,499 |
| Net income | 37,356 | 53,863 | 70,648 | 68,641 | 75,609 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 3,422 | 3,422 | 3,347 | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 33,934 | \$ 50,441 | \$ 67,301 | \$ 68,641 | \$ 75,609 |

Common Share Data:

Edgar Filing: FIRST INTERSTATE BANCSYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

Earnings per share:

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----|------------|----|------------|----|------------|----|------------|----|------------|
| Basic | \$ | 0.85 | \$ | 1.61 | \$ | 2.14 | \$ | 2.11 | \$ | 2.33 |
| Diluted | | 0.85 | | 1.59 | | 2.10 | | 2.06 | | 2.28 |
| Dividends per share | | 0.45 | | 0.50 | | 0.65 | | 0.74 | | 0.57 |
| Book value per share (1) | | 16.05 | | 16.73 | | 15.50 | | 13.88 | | 12.60 |
| Tangible book value per share (2) | | 11.55 | | 10.53 | | 9.27 | | 12.70 | | 11.44 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | | 39,907,640 | | 31,335,668 | | 31,484,136 | | 32,507,216 | | 32,450,440 |
| Diluted | | 40,127,365 | | 31,678,500 | | 32,112,672 | | 33,289,920 | | 33,215,960 |

-29-

Table of Contents**Five Year Summary (continued)***(Dollars in thousands except per share data)*

| As of or for the year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| <i>Financial Ratios:</i> | | | | | |
| Return on average assets | 0.52% | 0.79% | 1.12% | 1.37% | 1.60% |
| Return on average common stockholders equity | 5.22 | 9.98 | 14.73 | 16.14 | 20.38 |
| Average stockholders equity to average assets | 9.67 | 8.16 | 7.98 | 8.52 | 7.85 |
| Yield on average earning assets | 4.85 | 5.44 | 6.37 | 7.21 | 6.94 |
| Cost of average interest bearing liabilities | 1.15 | 1.63 | 2.50 | 3.43 | 3.05 |
| Interest rate spread | 3.70 | 3.81 | 3.87 | 3.78 | 3.89 |
| Net interest margin (3) | 3.89 | 4.05 | 4.25 | 4.46 | 4.47 |
| Efficiency ratio (4) | 64.55 | 63.32 | 61.14 | 61.23 | 56.89 |
| Common stock dividend payout ratio (5) | 52.94 | 31.06 | 30.37 | 35.07 | 24.46 |
| Loan to deposit ratio | 73.71 | 77.75 | 92.24 | 88.99 | 89.26 |
| <i>Asset Quality Ratios</i> | | | | | |
| Non-performing loans to total loans (6) | 4.82% | 2.75% | 1.90% | 0.98% | 0.53% |
| Non-performing assets to total loans and other real estate owned (OREO) (7) | 5.55 | 3.57 | 2.03 | 1.00 | 0.55 |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 3.26 | 2.28 | 1.46 | 0.68 | 0.36 |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans | 2.76 | 2.28 | 1.83 | 1.47 | 1.43 |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans | 57.19 | 82.64 | 96.03 | 150.66 | 269.72 |
| Net charge-offs to average loans | 1.01 | 0.63 | 0.28 | 0.08 | 0.09 |
| <i>Capital Ratios:</i> | | | | | |
| Tangible common stockholders equity to tangible assets (8) | 6.76% | 4.76% | 4.55% | 7.85% | 7.55% |
| Net tangible common stockholders equity to tangible assets (9) | 7.59% | 5.63% | 5.49% | 7.95% | 7.65% |
| Tier 1 common capital to total risk weighted assets (10) | 10.12 | 6.43 | 5.35 | 9.95 | 9.68 |
| Leverage ratio | 9.27 | 7.30 | 7.13 | 9.92 | 8.61 |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | 13.53 | 9.74 | 8.57 | 12.39 | 10.71 |
| Total risk-based capital | 15.50 | 11.68 | 10.49 | 13.64 | 11.93 |

- (1) For purposes of computing book value per share, book value equals common stockholders equity.
- (2) Tangible book value per share is a non-GAAP financial measure that management uses to evaluate our capital adequacy. For purposes of computing tangible book value per share, tangible book value equals common stockholders equity less goodwill, core deposit intangibles and other intangible assets (except mortgage servicing rights). Tangible book value per share is calculated as tangible common stockholders equity divided by common shares outstanding, and its most directly comparable GAAP financial measure is book value per share. See below our reconciliation of non-GAAP financial measures to their most directly comparable GAAP financial measures under the caption Non-GAAP Financial Measures in this Part II, Item 6.

- (3) Net interest margin ratio is presented on a fully taxable equivalent, or FTE, basis.
- (4) Efficiency ratio represents non-interest expense, excluding loan loss provision, divided by the aggregate of net interest income and non-interest income.
- (5) Common stock dividend payout ratio represents dividends per common share divided by basic earnings per common share.
- (6) Non-performing loans include nonaccrual loans, loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest and restructured loans.
- (7) Non-performing assets include nonaccrual loans, loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest and restructured loans and OREO.
- (8) Tangible common equity to tangible assets is a non-GAAP financial measure that management uses to evaluate our capital adequacy. For purposes of computing tangible common equity to tangible assets, tangible common equity is calculated as common stockholders' equity less goodwill and other intangible assets (except mortgage servicing assets), and tangible assets is calculated as total assets less goodwill and other intangible assets (except mortgage servicing rights). See below our reconciliation of non-GAAP financial measures to their most directly comparable GAAP financial measures under the caption Non-GAAP Financial Measures in this Part II, Item 6.
- (9) Net tangible common equity to tangible assets is a non-GAAP financial measure that management uses to evaluate our capital adequacy. For purposes of computing net tangible common equity to tangible assets, net tangible common equity is calculated as common stockholders' equity less goodwill (adjusted for associated deferred tax liability) and other intangible assets (except mortgage servicing assets), and tangible assets is calculated as total assets less goodwill and other intangible assets (except mortgage servicing rights). See below our reconciliation of non-GAAP financial measures to their most directly comparable GAAP financial measures under the caption Non-GAAP Financial Measures in this Part II, Item 6.
- (10) For purposes of computing tier 1 common capital to total risk-weighted assets, tier 1 common capital excludes preferred stock and trust preferred securities.

-30-

Table of Contents**Non-GAAP Financial Measures**

In addition to results presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principals in the United States of America, or GAAP, this annual report contains the following non-GAAP financial measures that management uses to evaluate our capital adequacy: tangible book value per share, tangible common equity to tangible assets and net tangible common equity to tangible assets. Tangible book value per share is calculated as tangible common stockholders' equity divided by common shares outstanding. Tangible assets is calculated as total assets less goodwill and other intangible assets (excluding mortgage servicing assets). Tangible common equity to tangible assets is calculated as tangible common stockholders' equity divided by tangible assets. Net tangible common equity to tangible assets is calculated as net tangible common stockholders' equity divided by tangible assets. These non-GAAP financial measures may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies because other companies may not calculate these non-GAAP measures in the same manner. They also should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures prepared in accordance with GAAP.

The following table shows a reconciliation from ending stockholders' equity (GAAP) to ending tangible common stockholders' equity (non-GAAP) and ending net tangible common stockholders' equity (non-GAAP) and ending assets (GAAP) to ending tangible assets (non-GAAP), their most directly comparable GAAP financial measures, in each instance as of the periods presented.

Five Year Summary

(Dollars in thousands except per share data)

| As of December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Preferred stockholders equity | \$ 50,000 | \$ 50,000 | \$ 50,000 | \$ | \$ |
| Common stockholders equity | 686,802 | 524,434 | 489,062 | 444,443 | 410,375 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 736,802 | 574,434 | 539,062 | 444,443 | 410,375 |
| Less goodwill and other intangible assets | 192,518 | 194,273 | 196,667 | 37,637 | 37,812 |
| Less preferred stock | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | | |
| Tangible common stockholders' equity | \$ 494,284 | \$ 330,161 | \$ 292,395 | \$ 406,806 | \$ 372,563 |
| Add deferred tax liability for deductible goodwill | 60,499 | 60,499 | 60,499 | 4,907 | 4,907 |
| Net tangible common stockholders' equity | \$ 554,783 | \$ 390,660 | \$ 352,894 | \$ 411,713 | \$ 377,470 |
| Number of common shares outstanding | 42,800,694 | 31,349,588 | 31,550,076 | 32,024,164 | 32,579,152 |
| Book value per common share | \$ 16.05 | \$ 16.73 | \$ 15.50 | \$ 13.88 | \$ 12.60 |
| Tangible book value per common share | 11.55 | 10.53 | 9.27 | 12.70 | 11.44 |
| Total assets | \$ 7,500,970 | \$ 7,137,653 | \$ 6,628,347 | \$ 5,216,797 | \$ 4,974,134 |
| Less goodwill and other intangible assets | 192,518 | 194,273 | 196,667 | 37,637 | 37,812 |

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Tangible assets | \$ 7,308,452 | \$ 6,943,380 | \$ 6,431,680 | \$ 5,179,160 | \$ 4,936,322 |
| Tangible common stockholders' equity to tangible assets | 6.76% | 4.76% | 4.55% | 7.85% | 7.55% |
| Net tangible common stockholders' equity to tangible assets | 7.59% | 5.63% | 5.49% | 7.95% | 7.65% |

-31-

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements and Factors that Could Affect Future Results

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Rule 175 promulgated thereunder, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Rule 3b-6 promulgated thereunder, that involve inherent risks and uncertainties. Any statements about our plans, objectives, expectations, strategies, beliefs, or future performance or events constitute forward-looking statements. Such statements are identified as those that include words or phrases such as believes, expects, anticipates, plans, trend, objective, continue or similar expressions or future or conditional verbs such as will, would, should, could, might, may or similar expressions. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions, estimates and other important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from any results, performance or events expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The following factors, among others, may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations in the forward-looking statements, including those set forth in this report:

- credit losses;
- concentrations of real estate loans;
- economic and market developments, including inflation;
- commercial loan risk;
- adequacy of the allowance for loan losses;
- impairment of goodwill;
- changes in interest rates;
- access to low-cost funding sources;
- increases in deposit insurance premiums;
- inability to grow business;
- adverse economic conditions affecting Montana, Wyoming and western South Dakota;
- governmental regulation and changes in regulatory, tax and accounting rules and interpretations;
- sweeping changes in regulation of financial institutions due to passage of the Dodd-Frank Act;
- changes in or noncompliance with governmental regulations;
- effects of recent legislative and regulatory efforts to stabilize financial markets;
- dependence on the Company's management team;
- ability to attract and retain qualified employees;
- failure of technology;

reliance on external vendors;

disruption of vital infrastructure and other business interruptions;

illiquidity in the credit markets;

inability to meet liquidity requirements;

lack of acquisition candidates;

failure to manage growth;

competition;

inability to manage risks in turbulent and dynamic market conditions;

ineffective internal operational controls;

environmental remediation and other costs;

failure to effectively implement technology-driven products and services;

litigation pertaining to fiduciary responsibilities;

capital required to support the Company's bank subsidiary;

soundness of other financial institutions;

impact of Basel III capital standards and forthcoming new capital rules proposed for U.S. banks;

inability of our bank subsidiary to pay dividends;

change in dividend policy;

lack of public market for our Class A common stock;

volatility of Class A common stock;

voting control of Class B stockholders;

decline in market price of Class A common stock;

dilution as a result of future equity issuances;

uninsured nature of any investment in Class A common stock;

anti-takeover provisions;

controlled company status; and

subordination of common stock to Company debt.

Table of Contents

These factors are not necessarily all of the factors that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed in or implied by any of our forward-looking statements. Other unknown or unpredictable factors also could harm our results.

All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements set forth above. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made and we do not undertake or assume any obligation to update publicly any of these statements to reflect actual results, new information or future events, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors affecting forward-looking statements, except to the extent required by applicable laws. If we update one or more forward-looking statements, no inference should be drawn that we will make additional updates with respect to those or other forward-looking statements.

Executive Overview

We are a financial and bank holding company headquartered in Billings, Montana. As of December 31, 2010, we had consolidated assets of \$7.5 billion, deposits of \$5.9 billion, loans of \$4.4 billion and total stockholders' equity of \$737 million. We currently operate 72 banking offices in 42 communities located in Montana, Wyoming and western South Dakota. Through the Bank, we deliver a comprehensive range of banking products and services to individuals, businesses, municipalities and other entities throughout our market areas. Our customers participate in a wide variety of industries, including energy, tourism, agriculture, healthcare and professional services, education and governmental services, construction, mining and retail and wholesale trade.

Our Business

Our principal business activity is lending to and accepting deposits from individuals, businesses, municipalities and other entities. We derive our income principally from interest charged on loans and, to a lesser extent, from interest and dividends earned on investments. We also derive income from non-interest sources such as fees received in connection with various lending and deposit services; trust, employee benefit, investment and insurance services; mortgage loan originations, sales and servicing; merchant and electronic banking services; and from time to time, gains on sales of assets. Our principal expenses include interest expense on deposits and borrowings, operating expenses, provisions for loan losses and income tax expense.

Our loan portfolio consists of a mix of real estate, consumer, commercial, agricultural and other loans, including fixed and variable rate loans. Our real estate loans comprise commercial real estate, construction (including residential, commercial and land development loans), residential, agricultural and other real estate loans. Fluctuations in the loan portfolio are directly related to the economies of the communities we serve. While each loan originated generally must meet minimum underwriting standards established in our credit policies, lending officers are granted discretion within pre-approved limits in approving and pricing loans to assure that the banking offices are responsive to competitive issues and community needs in each market area. We fund our loan portfolio primarily with the core deposits from our customers, generally without utilizing brokered deposits and with minimal reliance on wholesale funding sources.

In furtherance of our strategy to maintain and enhance our long-term performance while we continue to grow and expand our business, we completed two strategic transactions in 2008. In January 2008 we completed the First Western acquisition, which comprised the purchase of two banks (First Western Bank in Wall, South Dakota and The First Western Bank Sturgis in Sturgis, South Dakota) and a data center located in western South Dakota with combined total assets as of the acquisition date of approximately \$913 million. Because the results of First Western Bank are not included in our results for the periods prior to the date of acquisition, our results and other financial data for such prior periods may not be comparable in all respects to our results for periods after the date of acquisition. On December 31, 2008, we completed the disposition of i_Tech, our technology services subsidiary, to a national technology services provider. The disposition eliminated our technology services operating segment, enabling us to focus on our core business and only remaining segment, community banking. Because the operating results attributable to our former technology services operating segment are not included in our operating results for periods subsequent to the date of disposition, our results for periods prior to the date of that transaction may not be comparable in all respects. See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Acquisitions and Dispositions included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

Recent Developments

Our success is highly dependent on economic conditions and market interest rates. Because we operate in Montana, Wyoming and western South Dakota, the local economic conditions in each of these areas are particularly important. Many of our local economies have not been impacted as severely by the national economic and real estate downturn, sub-prime mortgage crisis and related financial market conditions as many areas of the United States. Although the impacts of the national economic and financial market conditions are uncertain, these factors affect our business and could have a material negative effect on our cash flows, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

-33-

Table of Contents

In April 2010, the FDIC approved an interim rule that extends the Transaction Account Guarantee (TAG) component of the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program. The TAG program provided full FDIC insurance coverage for non-interest bearing transaction deposit accounts, certain Negotiable Order of Withdrawal (NOW) accounts and Interest on Lawyers Trust accounts through December 31, 2010. Participants in the TAG program had a one-time, irrevocable opportunity to opt out of the TAG extension by notifying the FDIC by April 30, 2010. We opted out of the TAG extension effective July 1, 2010. Management does not expect deposits will be adversely affected by discontinuation of the TAG program.

In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law and will result in significant changes in the regulation of financial institutions. Although we cannot predict the specific impact and long-term effects that the Dodd-Frank Act will have on us and the financial industry in general, we believe the Dodd-Frank Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder will result in additional administrative burdens that will obligate us to incur additional costs and expenses. Provisions of the Act that affect deposit insurance assessments, payment of interest on demand deposits and interchange fees could increase the costs associated with deposits as well as place limitations on certain revenues those deposits may generate. Although the treatment as Tier 1 capital of our existing trust preferred securities will be grandfathered under the Act, provisions of the Act that revoke Tier 1 capital treatments of trust preferred securities and otherwise require revisions to capital requirements may cause us to seek other sources of capital in the future. Furthermore, the Dodd-Frank Act could limit the types of financial services and products we may offer, increase the ability of non-banks to offer competing financial services and products, reduce interchange fees, require us to renegotiate payment network agreements or enter into multiple payment network agreements, require a significant amount of management's time and attention, and otherwise adversely impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

In September 2010, the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, or the Jobs Act, was signed into legislation. The Jobs Act, among other things, creates a \$30 billion fund, the Small Business Lending Fund, to provide capital for banks with assets under \$10 billion to increase their small business lending. Management believes our current capital and liquidity is sufficient to fund loan demand in our market areas. As such, we do not anticipate we will participate in the Small Business Lending Fund program.

In November 2010, the FDIC issued a final rule to implement provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act that provide for temporary unlimited coverage for non-interest bearing transaction accounts, which became effective on December 31, 2010 and terminates on December 31, 2012.

Capital Resources

On March 5, 2010, our shareholders approved proposals to recapitalize our existing common stock. The recapitalization included a redesignation of existing common stock as Class B common stock with five votes per share, convertible into Class A common stock on a share for share basis; a four-for-one stock split of the Class B common stock; an increase in the authorized number of Class B common shares from 20,000,000 to 100,000,000; and the creation of a new class of common stock designated as Class A common stock, with one vote per share, with 100,000,000 shares authorized.

On March 29, 2010, we concluded our IPO, of 10,000,000 shares of Class A common stock, and an additional 1,500,000 shares of Class A common stock pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase Class A common shares in the offering. We received net proceeds of \$153 million from the offering, after deducting the underwriting discount, commissions and other offering expenses.

Asset Quality

Challenging economic conditions continue to have a negative impact on businesses and consumers in some of our market areas. General declines in the real estate and housing markets resulted in continued deterioration in the credit quality of our loan portfolio, which is reflected by increases in non-performing and internally risk classified loans. Our non-performing assets increased to \$244 million, or 5.55% of total loans and OREO, as of December 31, 2010, from \$163 million, or 3.57% of total loans and OREO, as of December 31, 2009. Loan charge-offs, net of recoveries, totaled \$49 million during 2010, as compared to \$30 million during 2009, with all major loan categories reflecting increases. Based on our assessment of the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses, we recorded provisions for loan losses of \$66.9 million during 2010, compared to \$45.3 million during 2009. Increased provisions for loan losses

reflect our estimation of the effect of current economic conditions on our loan portfolio. Given the current economic conditions and trends, management believes we will continue to experience high levels of non-performing loans in the near-term, which will likely have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

-34-

Table of Contents

Goodwill

During third quarter 2010, we conducted our annual testing of goodwill for impairment and determined that goodwill was not impaired as of July 1, 2010. If goodwill were to become impaired in future periods, we would be required to record a noncash downward adjustment to income, which would result in a corresponding decrease to our stated book value that could under certain circumstances render our Bank unable to pay dividends to us, thereby reducing our cash flow, creating liquidity issues and negatively impacting our ability to pay dividends to our shareholders. Conversely, any such goodwill impairment charge could enable us to record an offsetting favorable tax deduction in the year of the impairment, which would result in a corresponding increase to our tangible book value and benefit to our regulatory capital ratios. Goodwill as of December 31, 2010 was \$184 million. Approximately \$159 million of our goodwill is deductible for tax purposes, of which \$52 million has been recognized for tax purposes through December 31, 2010, resulting in a deferred tax liability of \$20 million.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

Mortgage servicing rights are evaluated quarterly for impairment. Impairment adjustments, if any, are recorded through a valuation allowance. In an effort to reduce our exposure to earning charges or credits resulting from volatility in the fair value of our mortgage servicing rights, we sold mortgage servicing rights with a carrying value of \$5 million to a secondary market investor during fourth quarter 2010 at a loss of approximately \$1.5 million. Management will continue to evaluate opportunities for additional sales of mortgage servicing rights in the future.

Primary Factors Used in Evaluating Our Business

As a banking institution, we manage and evaluate various aspects of both our financial condition and our results of operations. We monitor our financial condition and performance on a monthly basis, at our holding company, at the Bank and at each banking office. We evaluate the levels and trends of the line items included in our balance sheet and statements of income, as well as various financial ratios that are commonly used in our industry. We analyze these ratios and financial trends against both our own historical levels and the financial condition and performance of comparable banking institutions in our region and nationally.

Results of Operations

Principal factors used in managing and evaluating our results of operations include return on average assets, net interest income, non-interest income, non-interest expense and net income. Net interest income is affected by the level of interest rates, changes in interest rates and changes in the composition of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities. The most significant impact on our net interest income between periods is derived from the interaction of changes in the rates earned or paid on interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, which we refer to as interest rate spread. The volume of loans, investment securities and other interest earning assets, compared to the volume of interest bearing deposits and indebtedness, combined with the interest rate spread, produces changes in our net interest income between periods. Non-interest bearing sources of funds, such as demand deposits and stockholders equity, also support earning assets. The impact of free funding sources is captured in the net interest margin, which is calculated as net interest income divided by average earning assets. Given the interest free nature of free funding sources, the net interest margin is generally higher than the interest rate spread. We seek to increase our net interest income over time, and we evaluate our net interest income on factors that include the yields on our loans and other earning assets, the costs of our deposits and other funding sources, the levels of our net interest spread and net interest margin and the provisions for loan losses required to maintain our allowance for loan losses at an adequate level.

We seek to increase our non-interest income over time, and we evaluate our non-interest income relative to the trends of the individual types of non-interest income in view of prevailing market conditions.

We seek to manage our non-interest expenses in consideration of the growth of our business and our community banking model that emphasizes customer service and responsiveness. We evaluate our non-interest expense on factors that include our non-interest expense relative to our average assets, our efficiency ratio and the trends of the individual categories of non-interest expense.

Finally, we seek to increase our net income and provide favorable shareholder returns over time, and we evaluate our net income relative to the performance of other banks and bank holding companies on factors that include return on average assets, return on average equity, and consistency and rates of growth in our earnings.

Table of Contents

Financial Condition

Principal areas of focus in managing and evaluating our financial condition include liquidity, the diversification and quality of our loans, the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses, the diversification and terms of our deposits and other funding sources, the re-pricing characteristics and maturities of our assets and liabilities, including potential interest rate exposure and the adequacy of our capital levels. We seek to maintain sufficient levels of cash and investment securities to meet potential payment and funding obligations, and we evaluate our liquidity on factors that include the levels of cash and highly liquid assets relative to our liabilities, the quality and maturities of our investment securities, our ratio of loans to deposits and our reliance on brokered certificates of deposit or other wholesale funding sources.

We seek to maintain a diverse and high quality loan portfolio, and we evaluate our asset quality on factors that include the allocation of our loans among loan types, credit exposure to any single borrower or industry type, non-performing assets as a percentage of total loans and OREO, and loan charge-offs as a percentage of average loans. We seek to maintain our allowance for loan losses at a level adequate to absorb probable losses inherent in our loan portfolio at each balance sheet date, and we evaluate the level of our allowance for loan losses relative to our overall loan portfolio and the level of non-performing loans and potential charge-offs.

We seek to fund our assets primarily using core customer deposits spread among various deposit categories, and we evaluate our deposit and funding mix on factors that include the allocation of our deposits among deposit types, the level of our non-interest bearing deposits, the ratio of our core deposits (i.e. excluding time deposits above \$100,000) to our total deposits and our reliance on brokered deposits or other wholesale funding sources, such as borrowings from other banks or agencies. We seek to manage the mix, maturities and re-pricing characteristics of our assets and liabilities to maintain relative stability of our net interest rate margin in a changing interest rate environment, and we evaluate our asset-liability management using complex models to evaluate the changes to our net interest income under different interest rate scenarios.

Finally, we seek to maintain adequate capital levels to absorb unforeseen operating losses and to help support the growth of our balance sheet. We evaluate our capital adequacy using the regulatory and financial capital ratios including leverage capital ratio, tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, total risk-based capital ratio, tangible common equity to tangible assets and tier 1 common capital to total risk-weighted assets.

Critical Accounting Estimates and Significant Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and follow general practices within the industries in which we operate. Application of these principles requires management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Our significant accounting policies are summarized in

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Summary of Significant Accounting Policies included in financial statements included Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

Our critical accounting estimates are summarized below. Management considers an accounting estimate to be critical if: (1) the accounting estimate requires management to make particularly difficult, subjective and/or complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain and (2) changes in the estimate that are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, or the use of different estimates that management could have reasonably used in the current period, would have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements, results of operations or liquidity.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses creates an allowance for loan losses known and inherent in the loan portfolio at each balance sheet date. The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio.

Table of Contents

We perform a quarterly assessment of the risks inherent in our loan portfolio, as well as a detailed review of each significant loan with identified weaknesses. Based on this analysis, we record a provision for loan losses in order to maintain the allowance for loan losses at appropriate levels. In determining the allowance for loan losses, we estimate losses on specific loans, or groups of loans, where the probable loss can be identified and reasonably determined. Determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses is considered a critical accounting estimate because it requires significant judgment and the use of subjective measurements, including management's assessment of the internal risk classifications of loans, historical loan loss rates, changes in the nature of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, industry concentrations, delinquency trends and the impact of current local, regional and national economic factors on the quality of the loan portfolio. Changes in these estimates and assumptions are possible and may have a material impact on our allowance, and therefore our consolidated financial statements, liquidity or results of operations. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at an amount we believe is sufficient to provide for estimated losses inherent in our loan portfolio at each balance sheet date, and fluctuations in the provision for loan losses result from management's assessment of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Management monitors qualitative and quantitative trends in the loan portfolio, including changes in the levels of past due, internally classified and non-performing loans. See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Summary of Significant Accounting Policies for a description of the methodology used to determine the allowance for loan losses. A discussion of the factors driving changes in the amount of the allowance for loan losses is included herein under the heading Financial Condition Allowance for Loan Losses. See also Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors Risks Relating to the Market and Our Business.

Goodwill

The excess purchase price over the fair value of net assets from acquisitions, or goodwill, is evaluated for impairment at least annually and on an interim basis if an event or circumstance indicates that it is likely an impairment has occurred. In testing for impairment, the fair value of net assets is estimated based on an analysis of our market value. Determining the fair value of goodwill is considered a critical accounting estimate because of its sensitivity to market-based trading of our Class A common stock. In addition, any allocation of the fair value of goodwill to assets and liabilities requires significant management judgment and the use of subjective measurements. Variability in the market and changes in assumptions or subjective measurements used to allocate fair value are reasonably possible and may have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements, liquidity or results of operations. For additional information regarding goodwill, see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report. See also Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors Risks Relating to the Market and Our Business.

Valuation of Mortgage Servicing Rights

We recognize as assets the rights to service mortgage loans for others, whether acquired or internally originated. Mortgage servicing rights are carried on the consolidated balance sheet at the lower of amortized cost or fair value. We utilize the expertise of a third-party consultant to estimate the fair value of our mortgage servicing rights quarterly. In evaluating the mortgage servicing rights, the consultant uses discounted cash flow modeling techniques, which require estimates regarding the amount and timing of expected future cash flows, including assumptions about loan repayment rates based on current industry expectations, costs to service, predominant risk characteristics of the underlying loans as well as interest rate assumptions that contemplate the risk involved. During a period of declining interest rates, the fair value of mortgage servicing rights is expected to decline due to anticipated prepayments within the portfolio. Alternatively, during a period of rising interest rates, the fair value of mortgage servicing rights is expected to increase because prepayments of the underlying loans would be anticipated to decline. Impairment adjustments are recorded through a valuation allowance. The valuation allowance is adjusted for changes in impairment through a charge to current period earnings. Management believes the valuation techniques and assumptions used by the consultant are reasonable.

Determining the fair value of mortgage servicing rights is considered a critical accounting estimate because of the assets' sensitivity to changes in estimates and assumptions used, particularly loan prepayment speeds and discount rates. Changes in these estimates and assumptions are reasonably possible and may have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements, liquidity or results of operations. For additional information regarding the

methodology we use to determine fair value of mortgage servicing rights, see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Mortgage Servicing Rights, included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report. See also Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors Risks Relating to the Market and Our Business.

-37-

Table of Contents

Other Real Estate Owned

Real estate acquired in satisfaction of loans is initially carried at current fair value less estimated selling costs. The value of the underlying loan is written down to the fair value of the real estate acquired by charge to the allowance for loan losses, if necessary. Subsequent declines in fair value less estimated selling costs are included in OREO expense. Subsequent increases in fair value less estimated selling costs are recorded as a reduction in OREO expense to the extent of recognized losses. Determining the fair value of OREO is considered a critical accounting estimate due to the assets' sensitivity to changes in estimates and assumptions used. Changes in these estimates and assumptions are reasonably possible and may have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements, liquidity or results of operations. For additional information regarding OREO, see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Other Real Estate Owned, included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

Results of Operations

The following discussion of our results of operations compares the years ended December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2009 and the years ended December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2008.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income, the largest source of our operating income, is derived from interest, dividends and fees received on interest earning assets, less interest expense incurred on interest bearing liabilities. Interest earning assets primarily include loans and investment securities. Interest bearing liabilities include deposits and various forms of indebtedness. Net interest income is affected by the level of interest rates, changes in interest rates and changes in the composition of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities.

The most significant impact on our net interest income between periods is derived from the interaction of changes in the volume of and rates earned or paid on interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities. The volume of loans, investment securities and other interest earning assets, compared to the volume of interest bearing deposits and indebtedness, combined with the interest rate spread, produces changes in the net interest income between periods.

-38-

Table of Contents

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, condensed average balance sheet information, together with interest income and yields earned on average interest earning assets and interest expense and rates paid on average interest bearing liabilities.

Average Balance Sheets, Yields and Rates

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| | 2010 | | | 2009 | | | 2008 | | |
| | Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate |
| <i>Interest earning assets:</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans (1) (2) | \$4,482,219 | \$268,279 | 5.99% | \$4,660,189 | \$281,799 | 6.05% | \$4,527,987 | \$306,976 | 6.78% |
| U.S. government agency and mortgage-backed securities | 1,529,628 | 41,824 | 2.73 | 1,016,632 | 41,887 | 4.12 | 923,912 | 43,336 | 4.69 |
| Federal funds sold | 6,238 | 22 | 0.35 | 105,423 | 253 | 0.24 | 55,205 | 1,080 | 1.96 |
| Other securities | 376 | | | 1,556 | 50 | 3.21 | 5,020 | 214 | 4.26 |
| Tax exempt securities (2) | 133,207 | 7,802 | 5.86 | 134,373 | 8,398 | 6.25 | 147,812 | 9,382 | 6.35 |
| Interest bearing deposits in banks | 429,657 | 1,093 | 0.25 | 199,316 | 520 | 0.26 | 5,946 | 191 | 3.21 |
| Total interest earnings assets | 6,581,325 | 319,020 | 4.85 | 6,117,489 | 332,907 | 5.44 | 5,665,882 | 361,179 | 6.37 |
| Non-earning assets | 665,012 | | | 687,110 | | | 667,206 | | |
| Total assets | \$7,246,337 | | | \$6,804,599 | | | \$6,333,088 | | |
| <i>Interest bearing liabilities:</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits | \$1,135,208 | \$ 3,430 | 0.30% | \$1,083,054 | \$ 4,068 | 0.38% | \$1,120,807 | \$ 12,966 | 1.16% |
| Savings deposits | 1,530,844 | 8,934 | 0.58 | 1,321,625 | 10,033 | 0.76 | 1,144,553 | 18,454 | 1.61 |
| Time deposits | 2,143,899 | 41,585 | 1.94 | 2,129,313 | 59,125 | 2.78 | 1,688,859 | 65,443 | 3.87 |
| Repurchase agreements | 480,276 | 879 | 0.18 | 422,713 | 776 | 0.18 | 537,267 | 7,694 | 1.43 |
| Borrowings (3) | 5,779 | 3 | 0.05 | 57,016 | 1,367 | 2.40 | 126,941 | 3,130 | 2.47 |
| Long-term debt | 46,024 | 2,433 | 5.29 | 79,812 | 3,249 | 4.07 | 86,909 | 4,578 | 5.27 |
| Subordinated debentures held by subsidiary | 123,715 | 5,843 | 4.72 | 123,715 | 6,280 | 5.08 | 123,327 | 8,277 | 6.71 |

trusts

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----------|-------|-------------|-----------|-------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| Total interest bearing liabilities | 5,465,745 | 63,107 | 1.15 | 5,217,248 | 84,898 | 1.63 | 4,828,663 | 120,542 | 2.50 |
| Non-interest bearing deposits | 1,021,409 | | | 965,226 | | | 940,968 | | |
| Other non-interest bearing liabilities | 58,778 | | | 66,862 | | | 57,922 | | |
| Stockholders equity | 700,405 | | | 555,263 | | | 505,535 | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$7,246,337 | | | \$6,804,599 | | | \$6,333,088 | | |
| Net FTE interest income | | \$255,913 | | | \$248,009 | | | \$240,637 | |
| Less FTE adjustments (2) | | (4,474) | | | (4,873) | | | (5,260) | |
| Net interest income from consolidated statements of income | | \$251,439 | | | \$243,136 | | | \$235,377 | |
| Interest rate spread | | | 3.70% | | | 3.81% | | | 3.87% |
| Net FTE interest margin (4) | | | 3.89% | | | 4.05% | | | 4.25% |

- (1) Average loan balances include nonaccrual loans. Interest income on loans includes amortization of deferred loan fees net of deferred loan costs, which is not material.
- (2) Interest income and average rates for tax exempt loans and securities are presented on a FTE basis.
- (3) Includes interest on federal funds purchased and other borrowed funds. Excludes long-term debt.
- (4) Net FTE interest margin during the period equals (i) the difference between interest income on interest earning assets and the interest expense on interest bearing liabilities, divided by (ii) average interest earning assets for the period.

Table of Contents

Deposit growth combined with corresponding increases in interest earning assets resulted in increases in net interest income, on a fully taxable equivalent, or FTE, basis. Our FTE net interest income increased \$7.9 million, or 3.2%, to \$255.9 million in 2010, compared to \$248.0 million in 2009. Despite growth in net FTE interest income, our net FTE interest margin decreased 16 basis points to 3.89% in 2010, compared to 4.05% in 2009. Deposit growth coupled with low demand for loans resulted in a shift in the mix of interest earning assets from higher-yielding loans to lower-yielding investment securities and interest bearing deposits in banks, which compressed our FTE net interest margin ratio. Average loans decreased \$178 million, or 3.8%, to \$4,482 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$4,660 million as of December 31, 2009. The remaining compression in net interest margin ratio was attributable to lower yields earned on our investment security and loan portfolios, and was partially offset by a 48 basis point reduction in funding costs during 2010. IPO proceeds of \$119 million, net of IPO costs and after the repayment of our variable rate term notes, received during the second quarter of 2010 were initially invested in interest bearing deposits in banks, which yielded 25 basis points during 2010.

Our net interest income, on a FTE basis, increased \$7.4 million, or 3.1%, to \$248.0 million in 2009, compared to \$240.6 million in 2008. Our net FTE interest margin decreased 20 basis points to 4.05% in 2009, compared to 4.25% in 2008. Our focus on balancing growth to improve liquidity combined with weak loan demand during 2009 resulted in higher federal funds sold balances, which produce lower yields than other interest earnings assets. In addition, interest-free and low cost funding sources, such as demand deposits, federal funds purchased and short-term borrowings comprised a smaller percentage of our total funding base, which further compressed our net FTE interest margin ratio.

The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, a summary of the changes in interest income and interest expense resulting from estimated changes in average asset and liability balances (volume) and estimated changes in average interest rates (rate). Changes which are not due solely to volume or rate have been allocated to these categories based on the respective percent changes in average volume and average rate as they compare to each other.

Analysis of Interest Changes Due To Volume and Rates*(Dollars in thousands)*

| | Year Ended December 31, 2010 compared with December 31, 2009 | | | Year Ended December 31, 2009 compared with December 31, 2008 | | | Year Ended December 31, 2008 compared with December 31, 2007 | | |
|---|--|------------|------------|--|------------|------------|--|------------|-----------|
| | Volume | Rate | Net | Volume | Rate | Net | Volume | Rate | Net |
| <i>Interest earning assets:</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans (1) | \$(10,762) | \$ (2,758) | \$(13,520) | \$ 8,963 | \$(34,140) | \$(25,177) | \$85,640 | \$(52,684) | \$ 32,956 |
| U.S. government agency and mortgage-backed securities | 21,136 | (21,199) | (63) | 4,349 | (5,798) | (1,449) | 1,484 | (798) | 686 |
| Federal funds sold | (238) | 7 | (231) | 982 | (1,809) | (827) | (1,631) | (1,711) | (3,342) |
| Other securities | (38) | (12) | (50) | (148) | (16) | (164) | 15 | 196 | 211 |
| Tax exempt securities (1) | (73) | (523) | (596) | (853) | (131) | (984) | 2,330 | (164) | 2,166 |
| Interest bearing deposits in banks | 601 | (28) | 573 | 6,212 | (5,883) | 329 | (1,010) | (106) | (1,116) |
| Total change | 10,626 | (24,513) | (13,887) | 19,505 | (47,777) | (28,272) | 86,828 | (55,267) | 31,561 |

Interest bearing liabilities:

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|--------|----------|----------|
| Demand deposits | 196 | (834) | (638) | (437) | (8,461) | (8,898) | 2,749 | (13,414) | (10,665) |
| Savings deposits | 1,588 | (2,687) | (1,099) | 2,855 | (11,276) | (8,421) | 5,229 | (10,878) | (5,649) |
| Time deposits | 405 | (17,945) | (17,540) | 17,068 | (23,386) | (6,318) | 27,309 | (13,681) | 13,628 |
| Repurchase agreements | 106 | (3) | 103 | (1,640) | (5,278) | (6,918) | (805) | (12,713) | (13,518) |
| Borrowings (2) | (1,228) | (136) | (1,364) | (1,724) | (39) | (1,763) | 5,953 | (3,251) | 2,702 |
| Long-term debt | (1,375) | 559 | (816) | (374) | (955) | (1,329) | 3,930 | 181 | 4,111 |
| Subordinated debentures held by subsidiary trusts | | (437) | (437) | 26 | (2,023) | (1,997) | 6,956 | (2,977) | 3,979 |
| Total change | (308) | (21,483) | (21,791) | 15,774 | (51,418) | (35,644) | 51,321 | (56,733) | (5,412) |

Increase
(decrease) in FTE
net interest

| | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| income (1) | \$ 10,934 | \$ (3,030) | \$ 7,904 | \$ 3,731 | \$ 3,641 | \$ 7,372 | \$35,507 | \$ 1,466 | \$ 36,973 |
|------------|-----------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|

(1) Interest income and average rates for tax exempt loans and securities are presented on a FTE basis.

(2) Includes interest on federal funds purchased and other borrowed funds.

Table of Contents*Provision for Loan Losses*

The provision for loan losses creates an allowance for loan losses known and inherent in the loan portfolio at each balance sheet date. We perform a quarterly assessment of the risks inherent in our loan portfolio, as well as a detailed review of each significant asset with identified weaknesses. Based on this analysis, we record a provision for loan losses in order to maintain the allowance for loan losses at appropriate levels. In determining the allowance for loan losses, we estimate losses on specific loans, or groups of loans, where the probable loss can be identified and reasonably determined. The balance of the allowance for loan losses is based on internally assigned risk classifications of loans, historical loan loss rates, changes in the nature of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, industry concentrations, delinquency trends, current economic factors and the estimated impact of current economic conditions on certain historical loan loss rates. Fluctuations in the provision for loan losses result from management's assessment of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Ultimate loan losses may vary from current estimates. For additional information concerning the provision for loan losses, see Critical Accounting Estimates and Significant Accounting Policies included herein.

Effects of the broad recession began to impact our market areas in 2008. Ongoing stress from weakening economic conditions through 2010 resulted in higher levels of non-performing loans, particularly real estate loans. Fluctuations in provisions for loan losses reflect our assessment of the estimated effects of current economic conditions on our loan portfolio. The provision for loan losses increased \$21.6 million, or 47.7%, to \$66.9 million in 2010, as compared to \$45.3 million in 2009, and increased \$11.9 million, or 35.8%, to \$45.3 million in 2009, as compared to \$33.4 million in 2008. For additional information concerning non-performing assets, see Financial Condition Non-Performing Assets herein.

Non-interest Income

Our principal sources of non-interest income include other service charges, commissions and fees; income from the origination and sale of loans; service charges on deposit accounts; and wealth management revenues. Non-interest income decreased \$9.8 million, or 9.7%, to \$90.9 million in 2010, from \$100.7 million in 2009. Non-interest income decreased \$27.9 million, or 21.7%, to \$100.7 million in 2009, from \$128.6 million in 2008. Significant components of these decreases are discussed below.

Other service charges, commissions and fees primarily include debit and credit card interchange income, mortgage servicing fees, insurance and other commissions and ATM service charge revenues. Other service charges, commissions and fees increased \$747,000, or 2.6%, to \$29.4 million in 2010, from \$28.7 million in 2009, and \$554,000, or 2.0%, to \$28.7 million in 2009, from \$28.2 million in 2008. These increases were primarily due to higher debit card interchange income resulting from increased volumes of debit card transactions. Increases in debit card interchange income in 2009, as compared to 2008, were partially offset by decreases in insurance and other commissions of \$709,000.

Income from the origination and sale of loans includes origination and processing fees on residential real estate loans held for sale and gains on residential real estate loans sold to third parties. Fluctuations in market interest rates have a significant impact on revenues generated from the origination and sale of loans. Higher interest rates can reduce the demand for home loans and loans to refinance existing mortgages. Conversely, lower interest rates generally stimulate refinancing and home loan origination. Income from the origination and sale of loans decreased \$8.1 million, or 26.1%, to \$22.9 million in 2010, from \$30.9 million in 2009, due to a substantial decline in refinancing activity from early 2009. Refinancing activity accounted for approximately 60% of the Company's residential real estate loan originations during 2010, as compared to 71% during 2009. Decreases in refinancing activity were partially offset by increases in originations of new loans to purchase homes, which increased 1.6% during 2010, as compared 2009.

Income from the origination and sale of loans increased \$18.6 million, or 151.7% to \$30.9 million in 2009, from \$12.3 million in 2008. Low market interest rates increased demand for residential mortgage loans in 2009, as compared to 2008.

Service charges on deposit accounts decreased \$2.1 million, or 10.5%, to \$18.2 million in 2010, from \$20.3 million in 2009 and 1.9%, to \$20.3 million in 2009, from \$20.7 million in 2008, primarily due to decreases in the number of overdraft fees assessed. Management attributes the decline in overdraft fees to changes in consumer behavior. The

Federal Reserve Board issued a final rule that became effective on July 1, 2010 prohibiting financial institutions from charging consumers fees for paying overdrafts on automated teller machine and debit card transactions, unless the consumer consents, or opts in, to the overdraft service for those types of transactions. Management does not expect this rule will have a significant impact because we generally did not assess overdraft fees on automated teller machine and one-time debit card transactions.

Wealth management revenues are principally comprised of fees earned for management of trust assets and investment services revenues. Wealth management revenues increased \$1.6 million, or 14.5% to \$12.4 million in 2010, from \$10.8 million in 2009, due to higher trust management fees resulting from the introduction of revised fee schedules in April 2009, the addition of new trust customers and increases in the market values of new and existing assets under trust management.

-41-

Table of Contents

Wealth management revenues decreased \$1.5 million, or 12.4%, to \$10.8 million in 2009, from \$12.4 million in 2008. Approximately 61% of the decrease occurred in investment services revenues, primarily the result of decreases in brokerage transaction volumes. In addition, fees earned for management of trust funds, which are generally based on the market value of trust assets managed, were lower in 2009 due to declines in the market values of assets under trust administration.

Other income primarily includes company-owned life insurance revenues, check printing income, agency stock dividends and gains on sales of miscellaneous assets. Other income decreased \$1.9 million, or 19.8%, to \$7.8 million in 2010, from \$9.7 million in 2009, primarily due to the recognition of a \$2.1 million one-time gain on the sale of Visa Class B common shares during third quarter 2009. Decreases in other income were partially offset by a \$249 thousand one-time gain on the sale of our student loan portfolio recognized during third quarter 2010.

Other income decreased \$420,000, or 4.1%, to \$9.7 million in 2009, from \$10.2 million in 2008. During third quarter 2009, we recorded a non-recurring gain of \$2.1 million on the sale of our Visa Inc. Class B shares. During first quarter 2008, we recorded non-recurring gains of \$1.6 million on the mandatory redemption of Visa Inc. Class B shares and \$1.1 million from the release of escrow funds related to the December 2006 sale of our interest in an internet bill payment company.

On December 31, 2008, we completed the sale of our technology services subsidiary, i_Tech, to a national technology services provider. We recorded a \$27.1 million net gain on the sale in 2008. i_Tech provided technology support services to us, our Bank and nonbank subsidiaries and to non-affiliated customers in our market areas and nine additional states. During 2008 i_Tech generated \$17.7 million in non-affiliate revenues. Subsequent to the sale, we no longer receive technology services revenues from non-affiliates.

Non-interest Expense

Non-interest expense increased \$3.3 million, or 1.5%, to \$221.0 million in 2010, from \$217.7 million in 2009. Non-interest expense decreased \$4.8 million, or 2.2%, to \$217.7 million in 2009, from \$222.5 million in 2008. Significant components of these fluctuations are discussed below.

Salaries, wages and employee benefits expense decreased \$902,000, or less than 1.0%, to \$112.7 million in 2010 compared to \$113.6 million for the same period in 2009. Normal inflationary wage increases and increases in group health insurance and stock-based compensation expenses were more than offset by reductions in sales commissions and bonus accruals in 2010. Salaries, wages and employee benefits expense decreased \$455,000, or less than 1.0%, to \$113.6 million in 2009 compared to \$114.0 million for the same period in 2008. Normal inflationary and other increases in salaries, wages and employee benefits were offset by a reduction of approximately 120 full-time equivalent employees due to the sale of i_Tech in December 2008.

Furniture and equipment expense increased \$1.0 million, or 8.3%, to \$13.4 million in 2010, from \$12.4 million in 2009. The increase is primarily due to higher depreciation and maintenance expenses resulting from the addition of a new operations building and branch banking office during fourth quarter 2009. Furniture and equipment expense decreased \$6.5 million, or 34.3%, to \$12.4 million in 2009, from \$18.9 million in 2008, primarily due to decreases in equipment maintenance and depreciation due to the sale i_Tech in December 2008.

FDIC insurance premiums decreased \$2.1 million, or 17.2%, to \$10.0 million in 2010, from \$12.1 million in 2009, primarily due to a special FDIC insurance assessment levied during second quarter 2009, which was applicable to all insured depository institutions, and resulted in additional FDIC insurance expense of \$3.1 million. In addition, effective July 1, 2010, we opted out of participation in the Transaction Account Guarantee component of the Temporary Liquidity Guaranty Program, which provided full FDIC insurance coverage for certain transaction deposit accounts, which reduced our FDIC insurance premiums during third quarter 2010.

FDIC insurance premiums increased \$9.2 million, or 316.6%, to \$12.1 million in 2009, from \$2.9 million in 2008. For the first quarter of 2009 only, the FDIC increased all FDIC deposit assessment rates by 7 basis points and on February 27, 2009, the FDIC issued a final rule setting base assessment rates for Risk Category I institutions at 12 to 16 basis points, beginning April 1, 2009. On May 22, 2009, the FDIC issued a final rule which levied a special assessment applicable to all insured depository institutions totaling 5 basis points of each institution's total assets less tier 1 capital as of June 30, 2009, not to exceed 10 basis points of domestic deposits. Increases in deposit insurance expense were due to increases in fee assessment rates during 2009 and the special assessment of \$3.1 million. The

increases were also partly related to the additional 10 basis point per annum assessment paid on covered transaction accounts exceeding \$250,000 under the deposit insurance coverage guarantee program and the full utilization of available credits to offset assessments during the first nine months of 2008.

-42-

Table of Contents

Outsourced technology services expense decreased \$1.1 million, or 10.3%, to \$9.5 million in 2010, from \$10.6 million in 2009 primarily due to costs associated with the transition from internal technology processing to outsourced technology processing expensed in 2009. Outsourced technology services expense increased \$6.6 million, or 163.1%, to \$10.6 million in 2009, from \$4.0 million in 2008. Concurrent with the December 31, 2008 sale of i_Tech, we entered into a service agreement with the purchaser to receive data processing, electronic funds transfer and other technology services previously provided by i_Tech. This increase in outsourced technology services expense was largely offset by decreases in salaries, wages and benefits; furniture and equipment; occupancy; and other expenses.

Mortgage servicing rights are amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income. Changes in estimated servicing period and growth in the serviced loan portfolio cause amortization expense to vary between periods. Mortgage servicing rights amortization decreased \$3.0 million, or 39.0%, to \$4.6 million in 2010, from \$7.6 million in 2009 and increased \$1.7 million, or 27.9%, to \$7.6 million in 2009, from \$5.9 million in 2008.

Mortgage servicing rights are evaluated quarterly for impairment based on the fair value of the mortgage servicing rights. The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is estimated by discounting the expected future cash flows, taking into consideration the estimated level of prepayments based on current industry expectations and the predominant risk characteristics of the underlying loans. Impairment adjustments are recorded through a valuation allowance. The valuation allowance is adjusted for changes in impairment through a charge to current period earnings. Fluctuations in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights are primarily due to changes in assumptions regarding prepayments of the underlying mortgage loans, which typically correspond with changes in market interest rates. During 2010 we reversed previously recorded impairment of \$787,000 as compared to a reversal of a previously recorded impairment of \$7.2 million during 2009 and additional impairment of \$10.9 million in 2008.

On December 1, 2010, we sold mortgage servicing rights with a book value of \$5 million. A loss of \$1.5 million on the sale was included in other expenses. In conjunction with the sale, we entered into an agreement with the purchaser whereby we continue to sub-service the loans underlying the sold mortgage servicing rights. The sub-servicing agreement may be terminated by the purchaser upon written notice, subject to termination fees during the first three years of the agreement. Subsequent to the third anniversary of the sub-servicing agreement, either party may terminate the agreement with written notice.

OREO expense is recorded net of OREO income. Variations in net OREO expense between periods are primarily due to write-downs of the estimated fair value of OREO properties, fluctuations in gains and losses recorded on sales of OREO properties, fluctuations in the number of OREO properties held and the carrying costs and/or operating expenses associated with those properties. OREO expense increased \$1.3 million, or 19.9%, to \$7.7 million in 2010, as compared to \$6.4 million in 2009, primarily due to write-downs of the estimated fair value of OREO properties. OREO expense increased to \$6.4 million in 2009, as compared to \$215,000 in 2008, primarily due to a \$4.3 million write-down of the carrying value of one real estate development property due to a decline in the estimated market value of the property.

Core deposit intangibles represent the intangible value of depositor relationships resulting from deposit liabilities assumed and are amortized based on the estimated useful lives of the related deposits. We recorded core deposit intangibles of \$14.9 million in conjunction with the acquisition of the First Western entities. These intangibles are being amortized using an accelerated method over their weighted average expected useful lives of 9.2 years. Core deposit intangible amortization expense was \$1.7 million in 2010, compared to \$2.1 million in 2009 and \$2.5 million in 2008. For additional information regarding core deposit intangibles, see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, included in Part IV, Item 15.

Other expenses primarily include professional fees; advertising and public relations costs; office supply, postage, freight, telephone and travel expenses; donations expense; debit and credit card expenses; board of director fees; and other losses. Other expenses increased \$1.6 million, or 3.7%, to \$45.9 million in 2010, from \$44.3 million in 2009, primarily due to a \$1.5 million loss on the sale of mortgage servicing assets recorded during fourth quarter 2010.

Other expenses decreased \$2.5 million, or 5.4%, to \$44.3 million in 2009, from \$46.8 million in 2008. This decrease was primarily the result of a \$1.3 million other-than-temporary impairment charge related to an available-for-sale corporate security and fraud losses of \$708,000 recorded during 2008. Also contributing to the

decrease in other expenses were reductions in expense due to the sale of i_Tech in December 2008 and a continuing focus on reducing targeted controllable expenses during 2009. These reductions were partially offset by higher debit card expense resulting from higher transaction volumes.

Income Tax Expense

Our effective federal tax rate was 26.8% for the year ended December 31, 2010, 29.1% for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 30.3% for the year ended December 31, 2008. State income tax applies primarily to pretax earnings generated within Montana and South Dakota. Our effective state tax rate was 4.6% for the year ended December 31, 2010, 4.2% for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 4.4% for the year ended December 31, 2008. Changes in effective federal and state income tax rates are primarily due to fluctuations in tax exempt interest income as a percentage of total income.

-43-

Table of Contents*Net Income Available to Common Shareholders*

Net income available to common shareholders was \$33.9 million, or \$0.85 per diluted share, in 2010, as compared to \$50.4 million, or \$1.59 per diluted share, in 2009 and \$67.3 million, or \$2.10 per diluted share in 2008.

Summary of Quarterly Results

The following table presents unaudited quarterly results of operations for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Quarterly Results

(Dollars in thousands except per share data)

| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Full Year |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| <i>Year Ended December 31, 2010:</i> | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$79,499 | \$79,867 | \$78,965 | \$76,215 | \$314,546 |
| Interest expense | 17,830 | 16,691 | 15,221 | 13,365 | 63,107 |
| Net interest income | 61,669 | 63,176 | 63,744 | 62,850 | 251,439 |
| Provision for loan losses | 11,900 | 19,500 | 18,000 | 17,500 | 66,900 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 49,769 | 43,676 | 45,744 | 45,350 | 184,539 |
| Non-interest income | 19,508 | 21,037 | 24,855 | 25,511 | 90,911 |
| Non-interest expense | 52,745 | 55,426 | 58,010 | 54,823 | 221,004 |
| Income before income taxes | 16,532 | 9,287 | 12,589 | 16,038 | 54,446 |
| Income tax expense | 5,402 | 2,628 | 3,860 | 5,200 | 17,090 |
| Net income | 11,130 | 6,659 | 8,729 | 10,838 | 37,356 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 844 | 853 | 862 | 863 | 3,422 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$10,286 | \$ 5,806 | \$ 7,867 | \$ 9,975 | \$ 33,934 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.33 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.85 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | 0.32 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.85 |
| Dividends per common share | 0.1125 | 0.1125 | 0.1125 | 0.1125 | 0.4500 |
| <i>Year Ended December 31, 2009:</i> | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$81,883 | \$81,148 | \$82,325 | \$82,678 | \$328,034 |
| Interest expense | 22,820 | 21,958 | 21,026 | 19,094 | 84,898 |
| Net interest income | 59,063 | 59,190 | 61,299 | 63,584 | 243,136 |
| Provision for loan losses | 9,600 | 11,700 | 10,500 | 13,500 | 45,300 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 49,463 | 47,490 | 50,799 | 50,084 | 197,836 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST INTERSTATE BANCSYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Non-interest income | 26,213 | 27,267 | 25,000 | 22,210 | 100,690 |
| Non-interest expense | 50,445 | 54,737 | 57,376 | 55,152 | 217,710 |
| Income before income taxes | 25,231 | 20,020 | 18,423 | 17,142 | 80,816 |
| Income tax expense | 8,543 | 6,684 | 6,105 | 5,621 | 26,953 |
| Net income | 16,688 | 13,336 | 12,318 | 11,521 | 53,863 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 844 | 853 | 862 | 863 | 3,422 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 15,844 | \$ 12,483 | \$ 11,456 | \$ 10,658 | \$ 50,441 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 1.61 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | 0.49 | 0.39 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 1.59 |
| Dividends per common share | 0.1625 | 0.1125 | 0.1125 | 0.1125 | 0.5000 |

-44-

Table of Contents**Financial Condition**

Total assets increased \$363 million, or 5.1%, to \$7,501 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$7,138 million as of December 31, 2009 and increased \$509 million, or 7.7%, to \$7,138 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$6,628 million as of December 31, 2008, primarily due to organic growth. In addition, we received proceeds from our IPO of \$119 million, net of IPO costs and after the repayment of our variable rate term notes.

Loans

Our loan portfolio consists of a mix of real estate, consumer, commercial, agricultural and other loans, including fixed and variable rate loans. Fluctuations in the loan portfolio are directly related to the economies of the communities we serve. While each loan originated generally must meet minimum underwriting standards established in our credit policies, lending officers are granted certain levels of authority in approving and pricing loans to assure that the banking offices are responsive to competitive issues and community needs in each market area.

Total loans decreased \$160 million, or 3.5%, to \$4,368 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$4,528 million as of December 31, 2009 and decreased \$245 million, or 5.1% to \$4,528 million as of December 31, 2009 from \$4,773 million as of December 31, 2008, primarily due to weak loan demand in our market areas.

The following table presents the composition of our loan portfolio as of the dates indicated:

Loans Outstanding

(Dollars in thousands)

| | 2010 | | 2009 | | As of December 31, 2008 | | 2007 | | 2006 | |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | | Percent | | Percent | | Percent | | Percent | | Percent |
| Loans | | | | | | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$1,565,665 | 35.8% | \$1,556,273 | 34.4% | \$1,483,967 | 31.1% | \$1,018,831 | 28.6% | \$ 937,695 | 28.3% |
| Construction | 527,458 | 12.1 | 636,892 | 14.1 | 790,177 | 16.5 | 664,272 | 18.7 | 579,603 | 17.5 |
| Residential | 549,604 | 12.6 | 539,098 | 11.9 | 587,464 | 12.3 | 419,001 | 11.8 | 402,468 | 12.2 |
| Agricultural | 182,794 | 4.2 | 195,045 | 4.3 | 191,831 | 4.0 | 142,256 | 4.0 | 137,659 | 4.1 |
| Other | 46,408 | 1.0 | 36,430 | 0.8 | 47,076 | 1.0 | 26,080 | 0.7 | 25,360 | 0.8 |
| Consumer | 646,580 | 14.8 | 677,548 | 14.9 | 669,731 | 14.0 | 608,002 | 17.1 | 605,858 | 18.3 |
| Commercial | 730,471 | 16.7 | 750,647 | 16.6 | 853,798 | 17.9 | 593,669 | 16.7 | 542,325 | 16.4 |
| Agricultural | 116,546 | 2.7 | 134,470 | 3.0 | 145,876 | 3.1 | 81,890 | 2.3 | 76,644 | 2.3 |
| Other loans | 2,383 | 0.1 | 1,601 | | 2,893 | 0.1 | 4,979 | 0.1 | 2,751 | 0.1 |
| Total loans | 4,367,909 | 100.0% | 4,528,004 | 100.0% | 4,772,813 | 100.0% | 3,558,980 | 100.0% | 3,310,363 | 100.0% |
| Less | | | | | | | | | | |
| allowance | | | | | | | | | | |
| for loan | | | | | | | | | | |
| losses | 120,480 | | 103,030 | | 87,316 | | 52,355 | | 47,452 | |
| Net loans | \$4,247,429 | | \$4,424,974 | | \$4,685,497 | | \$3,506,625 | | \$3,262,911 | |
| Ratio of | | | | | | | | | | |
| allowance to | | | | | | | | | | |
| total loans | 2.76% | | 2.28% | | 1.83% | | 1.47% | | 1.43% | |

Real Estate Loans. We provide interim construction and permanent financing for both single-family and multi-unit properties, medium-term loans for commercial, agricultural and industrial property and/or buildings and equity lines of credit secured by real estate. Residential real estate loans are typically sold in the secondary market. Those residential real estate loans not sold are typically secured by first liens on the financed property and generally mature

in less than fifteen years.

Commercial real estate loans. Commercial real estate loans increased \$9 million, or less than 1.0%, to \$1,566 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$1,556 million as of December 31, 2009. Commercial real estate loans increased \$72 million, or 4.9%, to \$1,556 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$1,484 million as of December 31, 2008, primarily due to permanent financing for loans on projects under construction as of December 31, 2008 combined with increased refinancing activity. Approximately 55% and 53% of our commercial real estate loans as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were owner occupied, which typically involves less risk than loans on investment property.

-45-

Table of Contents

Construction loans. Construction loans are primarily to commercial builders for residential lot development and the construction of single-family residences and commercial real estate properties. Construction loans are generally underwritten pursuant to the same guidelines used for originating permanent commercial and residential mortgage loans. Terms and rates typically match those of permanent commercial and residential mortgage loans, except that during the construction phase the borrower pays interest only. Construction loans decreased \$109 million, or 17.2%, to \$527 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$637 million as of December 31, 2009. Construction loans decreased \$153 million, or 19.4%, to \$637 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$790 million as of December 31, 2008. Management attributes these decreases to a slow-down in construction activity during 2009 and 2010 combined with the movement of lower quality loans out of our loan portfolio through charge-off, pay-off or foreclosure and replacement of construction loans with loans for permanent financing.

As of December 31, 2010, our construction loan portfolio was divided among the following categories: approximately \$99 million, or 18.8%, residential construction; approximately \$98 million, or 18.7%, commercial construction; and, approximately \$330 million, or 62.5%, land acquisition and development.

As of December 31, 2009, our construction loan portfolio was divided among the following categories: approximately \$135 million, or 21.2%, residential construction; approximately \$98 million, or 15.4%, commercial construction; and, approximately \$404 million, or 63.4%, land acquisition and development.

Residential real estate loans. Residential real estate loans increased \$11 million, or 1.9%, to \$550 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$539 million as of December 31, 2009. The increase occurred primarily in 1-4 family residential real estate loans.

Residential real estate loans decreased \$48 million, or 8.2%, to \$539 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$587 million as of December 31, 2008. The decrease occurred primarily in 1-4 family residential real estate loans, which decreased \$31 million as compared to 2008. In addition, home equity loans and lines of credit, which are typically secured by first or second liens on residential real estate and generally do not exceed a loan to value ratio of 80%, decreased \$17 million to \$364 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$381 million as of December 31, 2008.

Agricultural real estate loans. Agricultural real estate loans decreased \$12 million, or 6.3%, to \$183 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$195 million as of December 31, 2009. Agricultural real estate loans increased \$3 million, or 1.7%, to \$195 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$192 million as of December 31, 2008.

Consumer Loans. Our consumer loans include direct personal loans, credit card loans and lines of credit; and indirect loans created when we purchase consumer loan contracts advanced for the purchase of automobiles, boats and other consumer goods from the consumer product dealer network within the market areas we serve. Personal loans and indirect dealer loans are generally secured by automobiles, boats and other types of personal property and are made on an installment basis. Credit cards are offered to customers in our market areas. Lines of credit are generally floating rate loans that are unsecured or secured by personal property. Approximately 66% and 62% of our consumer loans as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were indirect dealer loans.

Consumer loans decreased \$31 million, or 4.6%, to \$647 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$678 million as of December 31, 2009, primarily due to the third quarter 2010 sale of student loans of \$25 million. Consumer loans increased \$8 million, or 1.2%, to \$678 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$670 million as of December 31, 2008.

Commercial Loans. We provide a mix of variable and fixed rate commercial loans. The loans are typically made to small and medium-sized manufacturing, wholesale, retail and service businesses for working capital needs and business expansions. Commercial loans generally include lines of credit, business credit cards and loans with maturities of five years or less. The loans are generally made with business operations as the primary source of repayment, but also include collateralization by inventory, accounts receivable, equipment and/or personal guarantees.

Commercial loans decreased \$20 million, or 2.7%, to \$730 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$751 million as of December 31, 2009. Commercial loans decreased \$103 million, or 12.1%, to \$751 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$854 million as of December 31, 2008. Management attributes these decreases to the continuing impact of the broad recession on borrowers in our market areas and, to a lesser extent, the movement of lower quality loans out of our loan portfolio through charge-off, pay-off or foreclosure.

Agricultural Loans. Our agricultural loans generally consist of short and medium-term loans and lines of credit that are primarily used for crops, livestock, equipment and general operations. Agricultural loans are ordinarily secured by

assets such as livestock or equipment and are repaid from the operations of the farm or ranch. Agricultural loans generally have maturities of five years or less, with operating lines for one production season.

-46-

Table of Contents

Agricultural loans decreased \$17 million, or 13.3%, to \$117 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$134 million as of December 31, 2009. Agricultural loans decreased \$11 million, or 7.8%, to \$134 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$146 million as of December 31, 2008.

The following table presents the maturity distribution of our loan portfolio and the sensitivity of the loans to changes in interest rates as of December 31, 2010:

Maturities and Interest Rate Sensitivities

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Within One Year | One Year to Five Years | After Five Years | Total |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Real estate | \$1,145,780 | \$1,140,504 | \$585,645 | \$2,871,929 |
| Consumer | 298,192 | 327,898 | 20,490 | 646,580 |
| Commercial | 438,881 | 220,303 | 71,287 | 730,471 |
| Agricultural | 94,216 | 20,345 | 1,985 | 116,546 |
| Other loans | | | 2,383 | 2,383 |
| Total loans | \$1,977,069 | \$1,709,050 | \$681,790 | \$4,367,909 |
| Loans at fixed interest rates | \$1,130,432 | \$1,122,284 | \$ 91,293 | \$2,344,009 |
| Loans at variable interest rates | 846,637 | 586,766 | 395,155 | 1,828,558 |
| Nonaccrual loans | | | 195,342 | 195,342 |
| Total loans | \$1,977,069 | \$1,709,050 | \$681,790 | \$4,367,909 |

Non-Performing Assets

Non-performing assets include loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest, nonaccrual loans, loans renegotiated in troubled debt restructurings and OREO. Restructured loans are loans on which we have granted a concession on the interest rate or original repayment terms due to financial difficulties of the borrower that we would not otherwise consider. OREO consists of real property acquired through foreclosure on the collateral underlying defaulted loans. We initially record OREO at fair value less estimated costs to sell by a charge against the allowance for loan losses, if necessary. Estimated losses that result from the ongoing periodic valuation of these properties are charged to earnings in the period in which they are identified.

We generally place loans on nonaccrual when they become 90 days past due, unless they are well secured and in the process of collection. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, any interest previously accrued but not collected is reversed from income. Approximately \$8.9 million, \$6.4 million and \$4.6 million of gross interest income would have been accrued if all loans on nonaccrual had been current in accordance with their original terms for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The following table sets forth information regarding non-performing assets as of the dates indicated:

Non-Performing Assets

(Dollars in thousands)

| As of December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Non-performing loans: | | | | | |
| Nonaccrual loans | \$195,342 | \$115,030 | \$85,632 | \$31,552 | \$14,764 |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days or more | 1,852 | 4,965 | 3,828 | 2,171 | 1,769 |
| Restructured loans | 13,490 | 4,683 | 1,462 | 1,027 | 1,060 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total non-performing loans | 210,684 | 124,678 | 90,922 | 34,750 | 17,593 |
| OREO | 33,632 | 38,400 | 6,025 | 928 | 529 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$244,316 | \$163,078 | \$96,947 | \$35,678 | \$18,122 |
| Non-performing loans to total loans | 4.82% | 2.75% | 1.90% | 0.98% | 0.53% |
| Non-performing assets to total loans and OREO | 5.55 | 3.57 | 2.03 | 1.00 | 0.55 |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 3.26 | 2.28 | 1.46 | 0.68 | 0.36 |

-47-

Table of Contents

Total non-performing assets increased \$81 million, or 49.8%, to \$244 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$163 million as of December 31, 2009 and increased \$66 million, or 68.2%, to \$163 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$97 million as of December 31, 2008. Difficult economic conditions continued to negatively impact businesses and consumers in our market areas, especially in three market areas with economies dependent upon resort and second home communities. These market areas include the Flathead area around Kalispell, Montana, the Gallatin Valley area around Bozeman, Montana and the Jackson, Wyoming market area. Residential and second home subdivisions in these market areas were overbuilt and these markets are now experiencing severely depressed real estate values and limited sales activity, which has negatively impacted commercial real estate values as well. The Flathead, Gallatin Valley and Jackson market areas accounted for approximately 54% of our non-performing assets as of December 31, 2010 versus only 21% of our total loans as of the same date.

Non-performing loans. The following table sets forth the allocation of our non-performing loans among our different types of loans as of the dates indicated.

Non-Performing Loans by Loan Type

(Dollars in thousands)

| As of December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Real estate | \$ 169,961 | \$ 101,751 | \$ 79,167 | \$ 27,513 | \$ 9,645 |
| Consumer | 2,720 | 2,265 | 2,944 | 1,202 | 1,359 |
| Commercial | 36,906 | 19,774 | 8,594 | 5,722 | 5,583 |
| Agricultural | 1,093 | 888 | 217 | 313 | 1,006 |
| Other | 4 | | | | |
| Total non-performing loans | \$ 210,684 | \$ 124,678 | \$ 90,922 | \$ 34,750 | \$ 17,593 |

As of December 31, 2010, our non-performing real estate loans were divided among the following categories: \$45 million, or 26.2%, land and land development; \$73 million, or 43.2%, commercial; \$17 million, or 9.8% residential construction; \$16 million, or 9.5%, residential; \$17 million, or 9.8% commercial construction; and, \$2 million, or 1.5%, agricultural.

As of December 31, 2009, our non-performing real estate loans were divided among the following categories: \$42 million, or 41.5%, land and land development; \$29 million, or 28.0%, commercial; \$15 million, or 15.2% residential construction; \$10 million, or 10.1%, residential; \$4 million, or 4.4% commercial construction; and, \$785,000, or less than 1.0%, agricultural.

Total non-performing loans increased \$86 million, or 69.0%, to \$211 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$125 million as of December 31, 2009, and \$34 million, or 37.1%, to \$125 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$91 million as of December 31, 2008. Increases in non-performing loans during 2010 and 2009 were primarily attributable to higher levels of nonaccrual loans. Nonaccrual loans increased \$80 million, or 69.8%, to \$195 million at December 31, 2010, from \$115 million at December 31, 2009, with the largest increases occurring in commercial, commercial real estate and commercial construction loans. Approximately 82% of the increase in nonaccrual loans were loans to borrowers in the Flathead, Gallatin Valley and Jackson market areas and were comprised primarily of commercial, commercial real estate and commercial construction loans.

Nonaccrual loans increased \$29 million, or 34.3%, to \$115 million at December 31, 2009, from \$86 million at December 31, 2008. Approximately 69% of the increase occurred in commercial and commercial real estate loans and is primarily attributable to the loans of six borrowers placed on nonaccrual status in 2009. The remaining increase was spread among the remaining major loan categories.

In addition to the non-performing loans included in the non-performing assets table above, as of December 31, 2010, we had potential problem loans of \$238 million. Potential problem loans consist of performing loans that have been internally risk classified as substandard due to uncertainties regarding the borrowers ability to continue to comply with the contractual repayment terms of the loans. Although these loans have been identified as substandard,

they may never become delinquent, non-performing or impaired. As of December 31, 2010, approximately 96% of these loans were less than 60 days past due. Additionally, these loans are generally secured by commercial real estate or other assets, thus reducing the potential for loss should they become non-performing.

-48-

Table of Contents

Restructured loans increased to \$13 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$5 million as of December 31, 2009, primarily due to the loans of one commercial and five commercial real estate borrowers. Approximately 34% of our restructured loans as of December 31, 2010 were located in the Flathead, Gallatin Valley and Jackson market areas. As of December 31, 2010, all of our restructured loans were performing in accordance with their modified terms. Non-performing restructured loans are included in nonaccrual loans in the preceding non-performing assets table.

OREO. OREO decreased \$5 million, or 12.4%, to \$34 million as of December 31, 2010 from \$38 million as of December 31, 2009. During 2010, the Company recorded additions to OREO of \$22 million, wrote down the fair value of OREO properties by \$7 million and sold OREO with a book value of \$20 million.

OREO increased \$32 million, or 537.3%, to \$38 million as of December 31, 2009 from \$6 million as of December 31, 2008. Approximately 73.4% of this increase relates to the foreclosure on properties collateralizing the loans of residential real estate developers. The majority of these loans were included in nonaccrual loans as of December 31, 2008. The remaining 2009 increase, as compared to 2008, occurred in commercial and residential real estate properties.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses based on our evaluation of known and inherent risk in our loan portfolio at each balance sheet date. In determining the allowance for loan losses, we estimate losses on specific loans, or groups of loans, where the probable loss can be identified and reasonably determined. The balance of the allowance for loan losses is based on internally assigned risk classifications of loans, historical loan loss rates, changes in the nature of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, industry concentrations, delinquency trends, current economic factors and the estimated impact of current economic conditions on certain historical loan loss rates. See the discussion under Critical Accounting Estimates and Significant Accounting Policies Allowance for Loan Losses above.

The allowance for loan losses is increased by provisions charged against earnings and reduced by net loan charge-offs. Loans, or portions thereof, are charged-off when management believes that the collectibility of the principal is unlikely or, with respect to consumer installment and credit card loans, according to established delinquency schedules.

The allowance for loan losses consists of three elements: (1) specific valuation allowances based on probable losses on impaired loans; (2) historical valuation allowances based on loan loss experience for similar loans with similar characteristics and trends; and (3) general valuation allowances determined based on general economic conditions and other qualitative risk factors both internal and external to us.

Specific allowances are established for loans where management has determined that probability of a loss exists by analyzing the borrower's ability to repay amounts owed, collateral deficiencies and any relevant qualitative or environmental factors impacting the loan. Historical valuation allowances are determined by applying percentage loss factors to the credit exposures from outstanding loans. For commercial, agricultural and real estate loans, loss factors are applied based on the internal risk classifications of these loans. For consumer loans, loss factors are applied on a portfolio basis. For commercial, agriculture and real estate loans, loss factor percentages are based on a migration analysis of our historical loss experience, designed to account for credit deterioration. For consumer loans, loss factor percentages are based on a one-year loss history. General valuation allowances are determined by evaluating, on a quarterly basis, changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, industry concentrations, current economic and regulatory factors and the estimated impact of current economic, environmental and regulatory conditions on historical loss rates.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information concerning our allowance for loan losses as of the dates and for the periods indicated.

Allowance for Loan Losses

(Dollars in thousands)

| As of and for the year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Balance at the beginning of period | \$ 103,030 | \$ 87,316 | \$ 52,355 | \$ 47,452 | \$ 42,450 |
| Allowance of acquired banking offices | | | 14,463 | | |
| Charge-offs: | | | | | |
| Real estate | | | | | |
| Commercial | 8,980 | 5,156 | 995 | 382 | 42 |
| Construction | 19,989 | 14,153 | 3,035 | | 9 |
| Residential | 3,511 | 1,086 | 325 | 134 | 86 |
| Agricultural | 2,238 | 11 | 642 | 155 | |
| Consumer | 7,577 | 8,134 | 5,527 | 3,778 | 4,030 |
| Commercial | 10,023 | 3,346 | 3,523 | 643 | 963 |
| Agricultural | 21 | 92 | 648 | 116 | 80 |
| Total charge-offs | 52,339 | 31,978 | 14,695 | 5,208 | 5,210 |
| Recoveries: | | | | | |
| Real estate | | | | | |
| Commercial | 34 | 108 | 88 | 52 | 329 |
| Construction | 213 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| Residential | 132 | 38 | 67 | 34 | 63 |
| Agricultural | | | | | |
| Consumer | 2,053 | 1,850 | 1,404 | 1,390 | 1,568 |
| Commercial | 436 | 328 | 211 | 854 | 360 |
| Agricultural | 21 | 61 | 66 | 30 | 121 |
| Total recoveries | 2,889 | 2,392 | 1,837 | 2,361 | 2,451 |
| Net charge-offs | 49,450 | 29,586 | 12,858 | 2,847 | 2,759 |
| Provision for loan losses | 66,900 | 45,300 | 33,356 | 7,750 | 7,761 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 120,480 | \$ 103,030 | \$ 87,316 | \$ 52,355 | \$ 47,452 |
| Period end loans | \$4,367,909 | \$4,528,004 | \$4,772,813 | \$3,558,980 | \$3,310,363 |
| Average loans | 4,882,219 | 4,660,189 | 4,527,987 | 3,449,809 | 3,208,102 |
| Net charge-offs to average loans | 1.01% | 0.63% | 0.28% | 0.08% | 0.09% |
| Allowance to period-end loans | 2.76% | 2.28% | 1.83% | 1.47% | 1.43% |

The allowance for loan losses was \$120 million, or 2.76% of period-end loans, at December 31, 2010, compared to \$103 million, or 2.28% of period-end loans, at December 31, 2009, and \$87 million, or 1.83% of period-end loans, at December 31, 2008. Increases in the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans were primarily attributable to additional reserves recorded based on the estimated effects of current economic conditions on our loan portfolio and increases in past due, non-performing and internally risk classified loans.

Net charge-offs in 2010 increased \$20 million to \$50 million, or 1.01% of average loans, from \$30 million, or 0.63% of average loans in 2009. Approximately 66.8% of loans charged-off during 2010 were located in the Flathead, Gallatin Valley and Jackson markets areas. Additionally, approximately 37.5% of loans charged-off during 2010 were related to nine borrowers, consisting of one commercial, one agricultural, four construction and three commercial real estate borrowers.

Net charge-offs in 2009 increased \$17 million to \$30 million, or 0.63% of average loans, from \$13 million, or 0.28% of average loans in 2008, primarily due the charge-off of six residential real estate development projects in our Montana and Wyoming market areas. In addition, we partially charged-off three land development loan participations acquired in the First Western acquisition.

-50-

Table of Contents

Although we believe that we have established our allowance for loan losses in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and that the allowance for loan losses was adequate to provide for known and inherent losses in the portfolio at all times during the five-year period ended December 31, 2010, future provisions will be subject to on-going evaluations of the risks in the loan portfolio. If the economy continues to decline or asset quality continues to deteriorate, material additional provisions could be required.

The allowance for loan losses is allocated to loan categories based on the relative risk characteristics, asset classifications and actual loss experience of the loan portfolio. The following table provides a summary of the allocation of the allowance for loan losses for specific loan categories as of the dates indicated. The allocations presented should not be interpreted as an indication that charges to the allowance for loan losses will be incurred in these amounts or proportions, or that the portion of the allowance allocated to each loan category represents the total amount available for future losses that may occur within these categories. The unallocated portion of the allowance for loan losses and the total allowance are applicable to the entire loan portfolio.

Allocation of the Allowance for Loan Losses

(Dollars in thousands)

| As of December 31, | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2007 | | 2006 | |
|--------------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | Allocated | % of | Allocated | % of | Allocated | % of | Allocated | % of | Allocated | % of |
| | Reserves | Loan | Reserves | Loan | Reserves | Loan | Reserves | Loan | Reserves | Loan |
| | | Category | | Category | | Category | | Category | | Category |
| | | to Total | | to Total | | to Total | | to Total | | to Total |
| | | Loans | | Loans | | Loans | | Loans | | Loans |
| Real estate | \$ 84,181 | 65.7% | \$ 76,357 | 65.5% | \$ 69,280 | 64.9% | \$ 39,420 | 63.8% | \$ 33,532 | 62.9% |
| Consumer | 9,332 | 14.8 | 6,220 | 14.9 | 5,092 | 14.0 | 4,838 | 17.1 | 5,794 | 18.3 |
| Commercial | 25,354 | 16.7 | 18,608 | 16.6 | 11,021 | 17.9 | 7,170 | 16.7 | 6,746 | 16.4 |
| Agricultural | 1,613 | 2.7 | 1,845 | 3.0 | 1,923 | 3.1 | 779 | 2.3 | 908 | 2.3 |
| Other loans | | 0.1 | | | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | 14 | 0.1 |
| Unallocated | | N/A | | N/A | | N/A | 148 | N/A | 458 | N/A |
| Totals | \$ 120,480 | 100.0% | \$ 103,030 | 100.0% | \$ 87,316 | 100.0% | \$ 52,355 | 100.0% | \$ 47,452 | 100.0% |

The allocated allowance for loan losses on real estate loans increased 10.2% to \$84 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$76 million as of December 31, 2009, and 10.2% to \$76 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$69 million as of December 31, 2008. Increases in allowance for loan losses allocated to real estate loans were primarily the result of weakening demand for residential lots, particularly in the Flathead, Gallatin Valley and Jackson market areas, a general slow down in housing across our market areas, the effect of increases in net charge-offs on our historical loss factors and the application of historical loss factors to higher levels of internally risk classified real estate loans, including land development loans and loans secured by commercial real estate.

The allocated allowance for loan losses on commercial loans increased 36.3% to \$25 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$19 million as of December 31, 2009, and 68.8% to \$19 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$11 million as of December 31, 2008. Increases in allowance for loan losses allocated to commercial loans were primarily due to the application of historical loss factors to higher levels of internally risk classified commercial loans and the effect of increases in net charge-offs on our historical loss factors.

Investment Securities

We manage our investment portfolio to obtain the highest yield possible, while meeting our risk tolerance and liquidity guidelines and satisfying the pledging requirements for deposits of state and political subdivisions and securities sold under repurchase agreements. As of December 31, 2010, our portfolio was principally comprised of mortgage-backed securities, U.S. government agency securities and tax exempt securities. Federal funds sold are additional investments that are classified as cash equivalents rather than as investment securities. Investment securities

classified as available-for-sale are recorded at fair value, while investment securities classified as held-to-maturity are recorded at amortized cost. Unrealized gains or losses, net of the deferred tax effect, on available-for-sale securities are reported as increases or decreases in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss, a component of stockholders' equity.

-51-

Table of Contents

Investment securities increased \$487 million, or 33.7%, to \$1,933 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$1,446 million as of December 31, 2009 and increased \$374 million, or 34.9%, to \$1,446 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$1,072 million as of December 31, 2008. Liquidity resulting from deposit growth combined with weak loan demand was primarily invested into securities. The estimated duration of the Company's investment securities portfolio was 2.5 years as of December 31, 2010 and 2.6 years as of December 31, 2009.

In conjunction with the merger of our three bank subsidiaries during third quarter 2009, we transferred available-for-sale state, county and municipal investment securities with amortized costs of \$28 million and fair market values of \$29 million into the held-to-maturity category. This transfer more closely aligns the investment portfolios of the merged banks with that of First Interstate Bank, the surviving institution. Unrealized net gains of \$1.1 million included in accumulated other comprehensive income at the time of transfer are being amortized to yield over the remaining lives of the transferred securities.

As of December 31, 2010, our investments in non-agency mortgage-backed securities totaled \$1 million. As of December 31, 2010, investment securities with amortized costs and fair values of \$1,607 million and \$1,625 million, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and securities sold under repurchase agreements, as compared to \$1,069 million and \$1,095 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2009. The weighted average yield on investment securities decreased 139 basis points to 2.98% in 2010, from 4.37% in 2009, and 55 basis points to 4.37% in 2009, from 4.92% in 2008. For additional information concerning securities sold under repurchase agreements, see "Federal Funds Purchased and Securities Sold Under Repurchase Agreements" included herein.

The following table sets forth the book value, percentage of total investment securities and weighted average yield on investment securities as of December 31, 2010:

Securities Maturities and Yield

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Book Value | % of Total Investment Securities | Weighted Average FTE Yield |
|---|---------------|--|----------------------------------|
| <i>U.S. Government agency securities</i> | | | |
| Maturing within one year | \$ 196,239 | 10.15% | 0.35% |
| Maturing in one to five years | 759,778 | 39.30 | 0.87 |
| Maturing in five to ten years | | | |
| Mark-to-market adjustments on securities available-for-sale | (2,597) | (0.13) | NA |
| Total | 953,420 | 49.32 | 0.77 |
| <i>Mortgage-backed securities</i> | | | |
| Maturing within one year | 252,164 | 13.04 | 3.94 |
| Maturing in one to five years | 341,640 | 17.67 | 3.67 |
| Maturing in five to ten years | 73,209 | 3.79 | 3.15 |
| Maturing after ten years | 146,416 | 7.57 | 3.08 |
| Mark-to-market adjustments on securities available-for-sale | 19,486 | 1.01 | NA |
| Total | 832,915 | 43.08 | 3.52 |
| <i>Tax exempt securities</i> | | | |
| Maturing within one year | 7,599 | 0.39 | 5.59 |
| Maturing in one to five years | 26,628 | 1.38 | 5.92 |
| Maturing in five to ten years | 51,766 | 2.68 | 5.86 |
| Maturing after ten years | 60,857 | 3.15 | 5.68 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST INTERSTATE BANCSYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|--------------|---------|-------|
| Mark-to-market adjustments on securities available-for-sale | NA | NA | NA |
| Total | 146,850 | 7.60 | 5.78 |
| <i>Other securities (1)</i> | | | |
| No stated maturity | 218 | 0.01 | NA |
| Mark-to-market adjustments on securities available-for-sale | NA | NA | NA |
| Total | 218 | | NA |
| Total | \$ 1,933,403 | 100.00% | 2.33% |

(1) Equity investments in community development entities. Investment income is in the form of credits that reduce income tax expense.

-52-

Table of Contents

Maturities of U.S. government agency securities noted above reflect \$391 million of investment securities at their final maturities although they have call provisions within the next year. Mortgage-backed securities, and to a limited extent other securities, have uncertain cash flow characteristics that present additional interest rate risk in the form of prepayment or extension risk primarily caused by changes in market interest rates. This additional risk is generally rewarded in the form of higher yields. Maturities of mortgage-backed securities presented above are based on prepayment assumptions at December 31, 2010.

There were no significant concentrations of investments at December 31, 2010 (greater than 10% of stockholders equity) in any individual security issuer, except for U.S. government or agency-backed securities.

As of December 31, 2009, we had U.S. government agency securities with carrying values of \$571 million and a weighted average yield of 2.59%; mortgage-backed securities with carrying values of \$745 million and a weighted average yield of 4.59%; tax exempt securities with carrying values of \$129 million and a weighted average yield of 6.10%; and other securities with carrying values of \$470,000 and a weighted average yield of 3.93%.

As of December 31, 2008, we had U.S. government agency securities with carrying values of \$270 million and a weighted average yield of 4.09%; mortgage-backed securities with carrying values of \$655 million and a weighted average yield of 4.85%; tax exempt securities with carrying values of \$143 million and a weighted average yield of 6.22%; other securities with carrying values of \$4 million and a weighted average yield of 4.35%; and, mutual funds with carrying values of \$4,000 and a weighted average yield of 1.15%.

We evaluate our investment portfolio quarterly for other-than-temporary declines in the market value of individual investment securities. This evaluation includes monitoring credit ratings; market, industry and corporate news; volatility in market prices; and, determining whether the market value of a security has been below its cost for an extended period of time. As of December 31, 2010, we had investment securities with fair values of \$3 million that had been in a continuous loss position more than twelve months. Gross unrealized losses on these securities totaled \$133,000 as of December 31, 2010, and were primarily attributable to changes in interest rates. No impairment losses were recorded during 2010 or 2009. We recorded impairment losses of \$1.3 million in 2008, all of which was related to one corporate bond. Subsequent to the impairment loss, the carrying value of this bond was zero.

For additional information concerning investment securities, see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Investment Securities included in Part IV, Item 15.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, amounts due from banks, federal funds sold for one day periods and interest bearing deposits in banks with original maturities of less than three months. Cash and cash equivalents increased \$62 million, or 10.0%, to \$686 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$623 million as of December 31, 2009, largely due to increasing liquidity from continued weak loan demand. IPO proceeds of \$119 million, net of IPO costs and after the repayment of our variable rate term notes, were included in interest bearing deposits in banks as of December 31, 2010. Increases in interest bearing deposits in banks due to IPO proceeds were offset by decreases in cash on hand and federal funds sold, as excess liquidity was invested in higher yielding investment securities.

Cash and cash equivalents increased \$309 million, or 98.5%, to \$623 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$314 million as of December 31, 2008, largely due to management's focus on increasing liquidity through balanced internal growth combined with weak loan demand.

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment decreased \$8 million, or 4.2%, to \$188 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$196 million as of December 31, 2009, primarily due to depreciation expense in the ordinary course of business. In addition, during 2010 we transferred a branch bank building and accompanying land aggregating \$2 million to other assets held for sale.

Premises and equipment increased \$19 million, or 10.4%, to \$196 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$178 million as of December 31, 2008. This increase is primarily due to capitalization of the costs associated with the construction of two new branch banking offices and an operations center, which were placed into service during fourth quarter 2009.

Table of Contents*Mortgage Servicing Rights*

Mortgage servicing rights decreased \$4 million, or 23.9%, to \$13 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$17 million as of December 31, 2009. On December 1, 2010, we sold mortgage servicing rights with a book value of \$5 million. A loss of \$1.5 million on the sale was included in other expenses. In conjunction with the sale, we entered into an agreement with the purchaser whereby we continue to sub-service the loans underlying the sold mortgage servicing rights. The sub-servicing agreement may be terminated by the purchaser upon written notice, subject to termination fees during the first three years of the agreement. Subsequent to the third anniversary of the sub-servicing agreement, either party may terminate the agreement with written notice.

Deferred Tax Asset/Liability

As of December 31, 2010, we had a net deferred tax asset of \$18 million, as compared to a deferred tax liability of \$2 million included in accounts payable and other accrued expenses as of December 31, 2009. Changes in net deferred tax asset/liability are primarily related to timing of tax deductions for charged-off loans for book versus tax purposes and, to a lesser extent, fluctuations in net unrealized gains on available-for-sale investment securities, tax amortization of goodwill and core deposit intangibles and the write-down of OREO to fair value.

Other Assets

Other assets decreased \$6 million, or 7.1% to \$82 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$88 million as of December 31, 2009, primarily due to reductions in prepaid FDIC insurance assessments and the January 2010 sale of a condominium unit located inside one of our branch banking offices.

Other assets increased \$38 million, or 77.2%, to \$88 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$50 million as of December 31, 2008. Approximately \$32 million of the increase is due to a required prepayment of estimated quarterly FDIC insurance assessments for 2010, 2011 and 2012. In addition, \$5 million of the increase relates to the capitalization of costs of two condominium units located inside one of the newly constructed branch banking offices.

Deposits

We emphasize developing total client relationships with our customers in order to increase our core deposit base, which is our primary funding source. Our deposits consist of non-interest bearing and interest bearing demand, savings, individual retirement and time deposit accounts.

The following table summarizes our deposits as of the dates indicated:

Deposits

(Dollars in thousands)

| As of December 31, | 2010 | Percent | 2009 | Percent | 2008 | Percent | 2007 | Percent | 2006 | Percent |
|------------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Non-interest bearing | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand | \$1,063,869 | 18.0% | \$1,026,584 | 17.6% | \$ 985,155 | 19.0% | \$ 836,753 | 20.9% | \$ 888,694 | 24.0% |
| Interest bearing: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand | 1,218,078 | 20.5 | 1,197,254 | 20.6 | 1,059,818 | 20.5 | 1,019,208 | 25.5 | 964,312 | 26.0 |
| Savings | 1,718,521 | 29.0 | 1,362,410 | 23.4 | 1,198,783 | 23.2 | 992,571 | 24.8 | 798,497 | 21.5 |
| Time, \$100 and over | 908,044 | 15.3 | 996,839 | 17.1 | 821,437 | 15.9 | 464,560 | 11.6 | 408,813 | 11.0 |
| Time, other | 1,017,201 | 17.2 | 1,240,969 | 21.3 | 1,109,066 | 21.4 | 686,309 | 17.2 | 648,195 | 17.5 |
| Total interest bearing | 4,861,844 | 82.0 | 4,797,472 | 82.4 | 4,189,104 | 81.0 | 3,162,648 | 79.1 | 2,819,817 | 76.0 |
| Total deposits | \$5,925,713 | 100.0% | \$5,824,056 | 100.0% | \$5,174,259 | 100.0% | \$3,999,401 | 100.0% | \$3,708,511 | 100.0% |

Total deposits increased \$102 million, or 1.7%, to \$5,925 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$5,824 million as of December 31, 2009. All categories of deposits, except time deposits, demonstrated growth during 2010 and there was a shift in the mix of deposits from higher costing time deposits to lower costing savings deposits. Total deposits increased \$650 million, or 12.6%, to \$5,824 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$5,174 million as of

December 31, 2008. Management attributes our organic deposit growth to ongoing business development in our market areas and increases in consumer savings.

-54-

Table of Contents

Time deposits of \$100,000 or more. Time deposits of \$100,000 or more decreased 8.9% to \$908 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$997 million as of December 31, 2009. This decrease occurred primarily in time deposits with maturities of less than 12 months. Time deposits of \$100,000 or more increased 21.4% to \$997 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$821 million as of December 31, 2008. Management attributes this growth to a focused effort to grow deposits combined with increases in deposit insurance coverage to \$250,000 per account. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, we had no certificates of deposit issued in brokered transactions.

Other time deposits. Other time deposits decreased 18.0% to \$1,017 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$1,241 million as of December 31, 2009. Approximately 51% of this decrease occurred in Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service, or CDARS, deposits. Other time deposits increased \$132 million, or 11.9% to \$1,241 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$1,109 million as of December 31, 2008. Increases in other time deposits in 2009 were primarily due to increases in CDARS deposits.

For additional information concerning customer deposits, including the use of repurchase agreements, see Business Community Banking Deposit Products, included in Part I, Item 1 and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Deposits, included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report

Federal Funds Purchased and Securities Sold Under Repurchase Agreements

In addition to deposits, we use federal funds purchased as a source of funds to meet the daily liquidity needs of our customers, maintain required reserves with the Federal Reserve Bank and fund growth in earning assets. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, we had no federal funds purchased balances outstanding.

Under repurchase agreements with commercial and municipal depositors, customer deposit balances are invested in short-term U.S. government agency securities overnight and are then repurchased the following day. All outstanding repurchase agreements are due in one day. Repurchase agreement balances increased \$146 million, or 30.8%, to \$620 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$474 million as of December 31, 2009. Management attributes the increase in repurchase agreement balances to fluctuations in the liquidity of our customers.

Repurchase agreement balances decreased \$51 million, or 9.8%, to \$474 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$526 million as of December 31, 2008, primarily due to fluctuations in the liquidity of our customers and the introduction of full FDIC deposit insurance coverage for certain non-interest bearing transaction deposits under the TLG Program.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements as of the dates indicated:

Federal Funds Purchased and Securities Sold Under Repurchase Agreements

(Dollars in thousands)

| As of and for the year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Federal funds purchased: | | | |
| Balance at period end | \$ | \$ | \$ 30,625 |
| Average balance | | 9,323 | 64,994 |
| Maximum amount outstanding at any month-end | | 57,230 | 121,390 |
| Average interest rate: | | | |
| During the year | 0.00% | 0.21% | 2.14% |
| At period end | | | 0.22 |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements: | | | |
| Balance at period end | \$620,154 | \$474,141 | \$525,501 |
| Average balance | 480,276 | 422,713 | 537,267 |
| Maximum amount outstanding at any month-end | 620,154 | 474,141 | 576,845 |
| Average interest rate: | | | |
| During the year | 0.18% | 0.18% | 1.43% |
| At period end | 0.14 | 0.38 | 0.34 |

Table of Contents*Other Borrowed Funds*

Other borrowed funds decreased \$432,000, or 8.0% to \$5 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$5 million as of December 31, 2009, and decreased \$74 million, or 93.2% to \$5 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$79 million as of December 31, 2008, primarily due to scheduled repayments and maturities of short-term borrowings from the FHLB. For additional information on other borrowed funds, see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Long-Term Debt and Other Borrowed Funds, included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt decreased \$36 million, or 48.9%, to \$38 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$73 million as of December 31, 2009 primarily due to the early repayment of the term notes under our syndicated credit agreement on March 29, 2010. A loss of \$306 on the early extinguishment of the debt, comprised of unamortized debt issuance costs, was included in other expenses in the Company's consolidated statement of income.

Long-term debt decreased \$11 million, or 12.8%, to \$73 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$84 million as of December 31, 2008 primarily due to scheduled repayments of term notes under our syndicated credit agreement and, to a lesser extent, scheduled repayments of long-term FHLB borrowings.

For additional information regarding long-term debt, see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Long-Term Debt and Other Borrowed Funds, included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

Subordinated Debentures Held by Subsidiary Trusts

Subordinated debentures held by subsidiary trusts were \$124 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009. For additional information regarding the Subordinated Debentures, see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Subordinated Debentures Held by Subsidiary Trusts, included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report. For additional information regarding the First Western acquisition see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Acquisitions and Dispositions, included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses

Accounts payable and accrued expenses decreased \$6 million, or 13.4% to \$39 million as of December 31, 2010, from \$45 million as of December 31, 2009. Accounts payable and accrued expenses decreased \$6 million, or 12.4% to \$45 million as of December 31, 2009, from \$51 million as of December 31, 2008. Decreases in accounts payable and accrued expenses are primarily due to the timing and amounts of corporate tax payments.

Contractual Obligations

Contractual obligations as of December 31, 2010 are summarized in the following table.

Contractual Obligations

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Within One Year | One Year to Three Years | Payments Due Three Years to Five Years | After Five Years | Total |
|---|--------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------|-------------|
| Deposits without a stated maturity | \$4,000,468 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$4,000,468 |
| Time deposits | 1,564,798 | 265,925 | 94,501 | 21 | 1,925,245 |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements | 620,154 | | | | 620,154 |
| Other borrowed funds (1) | 4,991 | | | | 4,991 |
| Long-term debt obligation (2) | 208 | 217 | 244 | 35,021 | 35,690 |
| Capital lease obligations | 37 | 84 | 109 | 1,582 | 1,812 |
| Operating lease obligations | 3,308 | 5,333 | 4,018 | 5,476 | 18,135 |
| Purchase obligations (3) | 1,389 | | | | 1,389 |
| | | | | 123,715 | 123,715 |

Subordinated debentures held
by subsidiary trusts (4)

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| Total contractual obligations | \$6,195,353 | \$271,559 | \$98,872 | \$165,815 | \$6,731,599 |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------|

-56-

Table of Contents

- (1) Included in other borrowed funds are tax deposits made by customers pending subsequent withdrawal by the federal government and borrowings with original maturities of less than one year. For additional information concerning other borrowed funds, see *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Long Term Debt and Other Borrowed Funds* included in Part IV, Item 15.
- (2) Long-term debt consists of various notes payable to FHLB at various rates with maturities through October 31, 2017; a fixed rate subordinated term loan bearing interest of 6.81% and maturing January 9, 2018; and a variable rate subordinated term loan maturing February 28, 2018. For additional information concerning long-term debt, see *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Long Term Debt and Other Borrowed Funds* included in Part IV, Item 15.
- (3) Purchase obligations relate to obligations under construction contracts to build or renovate banking offices and obligations to purchase investment securities.
- (4) The subordinated debentures are unsecured, with various interest rates and maturities from March 26, 2033 through April 1, 2038. Interest distributions are payable quarterly; however, we may defer interest payments at any time for a period not exceeding 20 consecutive quarters. For additional information concerning the subordinated debentures, see *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Subordinated Debentures Held by Subsidiary Trusts* included in Part IV, Item 15.

We also have obligations under a postretirement healthcare benefit plan. These obligations represent actuarially determined future benefit payments to eligible plan participants. See *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Employee Benefit Plans* included in Part IV, Item 15.

In addition, on December 31, 2008 we entered into a contractual obligation pursuant to a technology services agreement maturing December 31, 2015. Amounts payable under the service agreement are primarily based on the number of transactions or accounts processed. Payments made under the service agreement in 2010 were approximately \$7.8 million, net of deferred gain amortization of \$643,000.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have entered into various arrangements not reflected on the consolidated balance sheet that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. These include guarantees, commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit.

We guarantee the distributions and payments for redemption or liquidation of capital trust preferred securities issued by our wholly-owned subsidiary business trusts to the extent of funds held by the trusts. Although the guarantees are not separately recorded, the obligations underlying the guarantees are fully reflected on our consolidated balance sheets as subordinated debentures held by subsidiary trusts. The subordinated debentures currently qualify as tier 1 capital under the Federal Reserve capital adequacy guidelines. For additional information regarding the subordinated debentures, see *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Subordinated Debentures Held by Subsidiary Trusts* included in Part IV, Item 15.

We are a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of our customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. For additional information regarding our off-balance sheet arrangements, see *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk* included in Part IV, Item 15.

Capital Resources and Liquidity Management

Capital Resources

On March 5, 2010, our shareholders approved proposals to recapitalize our existing common stock. The recapitalization included a redesignation of existing common stock as Class B common stock with five votes per share, convertible into Class A common stock on a share for share basis; a four-for-one stock split of the Class B common stock; an increase in the authorized number of Class B common shares from 20,000,000 to 100,000,000; and, the creation of a new class of common stock designated as Class A common stock, with one vote per share, with 100,000,000 shares authorized.

On March 29, 2010, we concluded an IPO of 10,000,000 shares of Class A common stock, and an additional 1,500,000 shares of Class A common stock pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase Class A common shares in the offering. We received net proceeds of \$153 million from the sale of the shares, after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions and other offering expenses of \$14 million.

Stockholders' equity is influenced primarily by earnings, dividends, sales and redemptions of common stock and, to a lesser extent, changes in the unrealized holding gains or losses, net of taxes, on available-for-sale investment securities. Stockholders' equity increased \$162 million, or 28.3%, to \$737 million as of December 31, 2010 from \$574 million as of December 31, 2009, primarily due to the completion of our IPO of Class A common stock discussed above.

-57-

Table of Contents

Stockholders' equity increased \$35 million, or 6.6%, to \$574 million as of December 31, 2009 from \$539 million as of December 31, 2008, due to the retention of earnings and fluctuations in unrealized gains on available-for-sale investment securities. In addition, we raised capital through our annual stock offering to our employees and directors. The 2009 annual offering resulted in the issuance of 251,312 shares of common stock with an aggregate value of \$4 million. We paid aggregate cash dividends of \$15.7 million to common shareholders and \$3.4 million to preferred shareholders during 2009.

Pursuant to the FDICIA, the Federal Reserve and FDIC have adopted regulations setting forth a five-tier system for measuring the capital adequacy of the financial institutions they supervise. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, our Bank had capital levels that, in all cases, exceeded the well capitalized guidelines. For additional information concerning our capital levels, see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Regulatory Capital contained in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

Liquidity

Liquidity measures our ability to meet current and future cash flow needs on a timely basis and at a reasonable cost. We manage our liquidity position to meet the daily cash flow needs of customers, while maintaining an appropriate balance between assets and liabilities to meet the return on investment objectives of our shareholders. Our liquidity position is supported by management of liquid assets and liabilities and access to alternative sources of funds. Liquid assets include cash, interest bearing deposits in banks, federal funds sold, available-for-sale investment securities and maturing or prepaying balances in our held-to-maturity investment and loan portfolios. Liquid liabilities include core deposits, federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and borrowings. Other sources of liquidity include the sale of loans, the ability to acquire additional national market, non-core deposits, the issuance of additional collateralized borrowings such as FHLB advances, the issuance of debt securities, additional borrowings through the Federal Reserve's discount window and the issuance of preferred or common securities. We do not engage in derivatives or hedging activities to support our liquidity position.

Our short-term and long-term liquidity requirements are primarily to fund on-going operations, including payment of interest on deposits and debt, extensions of credit to borrowers, capital expenditures and shareholder dividends. These liquidity requirements are met primarily through cash flow from operations, redeployment of prepaying and maturing balances in our loan and investment portfolios, debt financing and increases in customer deposits. For additional information regarding our operating, investing and financing cash flows, see Consolidated Financial Statements Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

As a holding company, we are a corporation separate and apart from our subsidiary Bank and, therefore, we provide for our own liquidity. Our main sources of funding include management fees and dividends declared and paid by our subsidiaries and access to capital markets. There are statutory, regulatory and debt covenant limitations that affect the ability of our Bank to pay dividends to us. Management believes that such limitations will not impact our ability to meet our ongoing short-term cash obligations. For additional information regarding dividend restrictions, see

Financial Condition Long-Term Debt and Capital Resources and Liquidity Management above and Business Regulation and Supervision Restrictions on Transfers of Funds to Us and the Bank and Risk Factors Our Bank's ability to pay dividends to us is subject to regulatory limitations, which, to the extent we are not able to receive such dividends, may impair our ability to grow, pay dividends, cover operating expenses and meet debt service requirements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our primary market risk exposure is interest rate risk. Our business and the composition of our balance sheet consists of investments in interest earning assets (principally loans and investment securities) which are primarily funded by interest bearing liabilities (deposits and indebtedness). Such financial instruments have varying levels of sensitivity to changes in market interest rates. Interest rate risk results when, due to different maturity dates and repricing intervals, interest rate indices for interest earning assets decrease relative to interest bearing liabilities, thereby creating a risk of decreased net earnings and cash flow.

Although we characterize some of our interest-sensitive assets as securities available-for-sale, such securities are not purchased with a view to sell in the near term. Rather, such securities may be sold in response to or in anticipation of changes in interest rates and resulting prepayment risk. See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Summary of

Significant Accounting Policies included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

-58-

Table of Contents**Asset Liability Management**

The goal of asset liability management is the prudent control of market risk, liquidity and capital. Asset liability management is governed by policies, goals and objectives adopted and reviewed by the Bank's board of directors. The Board delegates its responsibility for development of asset liability management strategies to achieve these goals and objectives to the Asset Liability Committee, or ALCO, which is comprised of members of senior management.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk of loss of future earnings or long-term value due to changes in interest rates. Our primary source of earnings is the net interest margin, which is affected by changes in interest rates, the relationship between rates on interest bearing assets and liabilities, the impact of interest rate fluctuations on asset prepayments and the mix of interest bearing assets and liabilities.

The ability to optimize the net interest margin is largely dependent upon the achievement of an interest rate spread that can be managed during periods of fluctuating interest rates. Interest sensitivity is a measure of the extent to which net interest income will be affected by market interest rates over a period of time. Interest rate sensitivity is related to the difference between amounts of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities which either reprice or mature within a given period of time. The difference is known as interest rate sensitivity gap.

The following table shows interest rate sensitivity gaps and the earnings sensitivity ratio for different intervals as of December 31, 2010. The information presented in the table is based on our mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and historical experience regarding their interest rate sensitivity.

Interest Rate Sensitivity Gaps

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Projected Maturity or Repricing | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| | Three Months or Less | Three Months to One Year | One Year to Five Years | After Five Years | Total |
| <i>Interest earning assets:</i> | | | | | |
| Loans (1) | \$1,726,553 | \$ 870,190 | \$1,137,140 | \$ 438,684 | \$4,172,567 |
| Investment securities (2) | 216,210 | 501,627 | 1,199,815 | 15,751 | 1,933,403 |
| Interest bearing deposits in banks | 576,469 | | | | 576,469 |
| Federal funds sold | 2,114 | | | | 2,114 |
| Total interest earning assets | \$2,521,346 | \$1,371,817 | \$2,336,955 | \$ 454,435 | \$6,684,553 |
| <i>Interest bearing liabilities:</i> | | | | | |
| Interest bearing demand accounts (3) | \$ 91,357 | \$ 274,067 | \$ 852,654 | \$ | \$1,218,078 |
| Savings deposits (3) | 1,462,981 | 62,158 | 193,382 | | 1,718,521 |
| Time deposits, \$100 or more (4) | 260,606 | 510,051 | 102,089 | 35,298 | 908,044 |
| Other time deposits | 322,162 | 471,987 | 163,830 | 59,222 | 1,017,201 |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements | 620,154 | | | | 620,154 |
| Other borrowed funds | 4,991 | | | | 4,991 |
| Long-term debt | 15,011 | 234 | 655 | 21,602 | 37,502 |
| Subordinated debentures held by subsidiary trusts | 77,322 | | 46,393 | | 123,715 |

| | | | | | |
|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Total interest bearing liabilities | \$2,854,584 | \$1,318,497 | \$1,359,003 | \$ 116,122 | \$5,648,206 |
| Rate gap | \$ (333,238) | \$ 53,320 | \$ 977,952 | \$ 338,313 | \$1,036,347 |
| Cumulative rate gap | (333,238) | (279,918) | 698,034 | 1,036,347 | |
| Cumulative rate gap as a percentage of total interest earning assets | -4.99% | -4.19% | 10.44% | 15.50% | 15.50% |

(1) Does not include nonaccrual loans of \$195,342.

(2) Adjusted to reflect: (a) expected shorter maturities based upon our historical experience of early prepayments of principal, and (b) the redemption of callable securities on their next call date.

-59-

Table of Contents

(3) Includes savings deposits paying interest at market rates in the three month or less category. All other deposit categories, while technically subject to immediate withdrawal, actually display sensitivity characteristics that generally fall within one to five years. Their allocation is presented based on that historical analysis. If these deposits were included in the three month or less category, the above table would reflect a negative three month gap of \$1,715 million, a negative cumulative one year gap of \$1,326 million and a positive cumulative one to five year gap of \$698 million.

(4) Included in the three month to one year category are deposits of \$214 million maturing in three to six months.

Net Interest Income Sensitivity

The view presented in the preceding interest rate sensitivity gap table illustrates a static view of the effect on our net interest margin of changing interest rate scenarios. We believe net interest income sensitivity provides the best perspective of how day-to-day decisions affect our interest rate risk profile. We monitor net interest margin sensitivity by utilizing an income simulation model to subject twelve month net interest income to various rate movements. Simulations modeled quarterly include scenarios where market rates change suddenly up or down in a parallel manner and scenarios where market rates gradually change up or down at nonparallel rates resulting in a change in the slope of the yield curve. Estimates produced by our income simulation model are based on numerous assumptions including, but not limited to, the nature and timing of changes in interest rates, prepayments of loans and investment securities, volume of loans originated, level and composition of deposits, ability of borrowers to repay adjustable or variable rate loans and reinvestment opportunities for cash flows. Given these various assumptions, the actual effect of interest rate changes on our net interest margin may be materially different than estimated.

We target a mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities such that no more than 5% of the net interest margin will be at risk over a one-year period should short-term interest rates shift up or down 2%. As of December 31, 2010, our income simulation model predicted net interest income would decrease \$4.8 million, or 1.8%, assuming a 2% increase in short-term and long-term interest rates over a twelve-month period. This scenario predicts that our funding sources will reprice faster than our interest earning assets. During 2009, we began to implement interest rate floors on certain variable rate loans. Interest rate floors mitigate benefits obtained in a rising interest rate environment until such time as market interest rates exceed the interest rate floors established. We do not engage in derivatives or hedging activities to manage our interest rate risk.

We did not simulate a decrease in interest rates due to the extremely low rate environment as of December 31, 2010. Prime rate has historically been set at a rate of 300 basis points over the targeted federal funds rate, which is currently set between 0 and 25 basis points. Our income simulation model has an assumption that prime will continue to be set at a rate of 300 basis points over the targeted federal funds rate. Additionally, rates that are currently below 2% are modeled not to fall below 0% with an overall decrease of 2% in interest rates.

The preceding interest rate sensitivity analysis does not represent a forecast and should not be relied upon as being indicative of expected operating results. In addition, if the actual prime rate falls below a 300 basis point spread to targeted federal funds rates, we could experience a continued decrease in net interest income as a result of falling yields on earning assets tied to prime rate.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The expected impact of accounting standards recently issued but not yet adopted are discussed in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Authoritative Accounting Guidance included in Part IV, Item 15 of this report.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The following consolidated financial statements of First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and subsidiaries are contained in Part IV, Item 15 of this report and are incorporated herein by reference.

Report of McGladrey & Pullen LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2010 and 2009

Consolidated Statements of Income Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

There have been no disagreements with accountants on accounting and financial disclosure.

-60-

Table of Contents

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We have established and maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as defined under Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act. As of December 31, 2010, our management evaluated, under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures, as of December 31, 2010, were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods required by the SEC's rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our system of internal control over financial reporting within the meaning of Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act is designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of our published financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, assessed the effectiveness of our system of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on our assessment, we believe that, as of December 31, 2010, our system of internal control over financial reporting was effective to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

McGladrey & Pullen, LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. The report, which expresses an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, is included below.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc.

We have audited First Interstate BancSystem Inc. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Table of Contents

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (a) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (b) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (c) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 and our report dated February 28, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ MCGLADREY & PULLEN, LLP

Des Moines, IA

February 28, 2011

Item 9B. Other Information

There were no items required to be disclosed in a report on Form 8-K during the fourth quarter of 2010 that were not reported.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Information concerning Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance is set forth under the heading Directors and Executive Officers in our Proxy Statement relating to our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders and is herein incorporated by reference.

Information concerning Compliance With Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is set forth under the heading Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance in our Proxy Statement relating to our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders and is herein incorporated by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information concerning Executive Compensation is set forth under the headings Compensation of Executive Officers Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Compensation of Executive Officers and Directors in our Proxy Statement relating to our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders and is herein incorporated by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information concerning Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters is set forth under the heading Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans in our Proxy Statement relating to our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders and is herein incorporated by reference.

Table of Contents

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

Information concerning Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence is set forth under the headings Directors and Executive Officers and Certain Relationships and Related Transactions in our Proxy Statement relating to our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders and is herein incorporated by reference. In addition, see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Related Party Transactions included in Part IV, Item 15.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Information concerning Principal Accountant Fees and Services is set forth under the heading Directors and Executive Officers Principal Accounting Fees and Services in our Proxy Statement relating to our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders and is herein incorporated by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) 1. Our audited consolidated financial statements follow.

-63-

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated February 28, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of First Interstate BancSystem Inc. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ MCGLADREY & PULLEN, LLP

Des Moines, Iowa

February 28, 2011

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets***(In thousands, except share data)*

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| <i>Assets</i> | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 107,035 | \$ 213,029 |
| Federal funds sold | 2,114 | 11,474 |
| Interest bearing deposits in banks | 576,469 | 398,979 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 685,618 | 623,482 |
| Investment securities: | | |
| Available-for-sale | 1,786,335 | 1,316,429 |
| Held-to-maturity (estimated fair values of \$146,508 and \$130,855 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively) | 147,068 | 129,851 |
| Total investment securities | 1,933,403 | 1,446,280 |
| Loans | 4,367,909 | 4,528,004 |
| Less allowance for loan losses | 120,480 | 103,030 |
| Net loans | 4,247,429 | 4,424,974 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 188,138 | 196,307 |
| Goodwill | 183,673 | 183,673 |
| Company-owned life insurance | 73,056 | 71,374 |
| Other real estate owned (OREO), net of write-downs | 33,632 | 38,400 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 33,628 | 37,123 |
| Deferred tax asset | 18,472 | |
| Mortgage servicing rights, net of accumulated amortization and impairment reserve | 13,191 | 17,325 |
| Core deposit intangibles, net of accumulated amortization | 8,803 | 10,551 |
| Other assets | 81,927 | 88,164 |
| Total assets | \$7,500,970 | \$7,137,653 |
| <i>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</i> | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Non-interest bearing | \$1,063,869 | \$1,026,584 |
| Interest bearing | 4,861,844 | 4,797,472 |
| Total deposits | 5,925,713 | 5,824,056 |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements | 620,154 | 474,141 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 38,915 | 44,946 |
| Accrued interest payable | 13,178 | 17,585 |
| Other borrowed funds | 4,991 | 5,423 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST INTERSTATE BANCSYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Long-term debt | 37,502 | 73,353 |
| Subordinated debentures held by subsidiary trusts | 123,715 | 123,715 |
| Total liabilities | 6,764,168 | 6,563,219 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Nonvoting noncumulative preferred stock without par value; authorized 100,000 shares; issued and outstanding 5,000 shares as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Common stock | 264,174 | 112,135 |
| Retained earnings | 413,253 | 397,224 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net | 9,375 | 15,075 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 736,802 | 574,434 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$7,500,970 | \$7,137,653 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

-65-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Income***(In thousands, except per share data)*

| Year Ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Interest income: | | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 266,472 | \$ 279,985 | \$ 305,152 |
| Interest and dividends on investment securities: | | | |
| Taxable | 42,338 | 41,978 | 43,583 |
| Exempt from federal taxes | 4,621 | 5,298 | 5,913 |
| Interest on deposits in banks | 1,093 | 520 | 191 |
| Interest on federal funds sold | 22 | 253 | 1,080 |
| Total interest income | 314,546 | 328,034 | 355,919 |
| Interest expense: | | | |
| Interest on deposits | 53,949 | 73,226 | 96,863 |
| Interest on federal funds purchased | | 20 | 1,389 |
| Interest on securities sold under repurchase agreements | 879 | 776 | 7,694 |
| Interest on other borrowed funds | 3 | 1,347 | 1,741 |
| Interest on long-term debt | 2,433 | 3,249 | 4,578 |
| Interest on subordinated debentures held by subsidiary trusts | 5,843 | 6,280 | 8,277 |
| Total interest expense | 63,107 | 84,898 | 120,542 |
| Net interest income | 251,439 | 243,136 | 235,377 |
| Provision for loan losses | 66,900 | 45,300 | 33,356 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 184,539 | 197,836 | 202,021 |
| Non-interest income: | | | |
| Other service charges, commissions and fees | 29,494 | 28,747 | 28,193 |
| Income from the origination and sale of loans | 22,868 | 30,928 | 12,290 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 18,181 | 20,323 | 20,712 |
| Wealth management revenues | 12,387 | 10,821 | 12,352 |
| Investment securities gains, net | 170 | 137 | 101 |
| Gain on sale of nonbank subsidiary | | | 27,096 |
| Technology services revenues | | | 17,699 |
| Other income | 7,811 | 9,734 | 10,154 |
| Total non-interest income | 90,911 | 100,690 | 128,597 |
| Non-interest expense: | | | |
| Salaries, wages and employee benefits | 112,667 | 113,569 | 114,024 |
| Occupancy, net | 16,251 | 15,898 | 16,361 |
| Furniture and equipment | 13,434 | 12,405 | 18,880 |
| FDIC insurance premiums | 10,044 | 12,130 | 2,912 |
| Outsourced technology services | 9,477 | 10,567 | 4,016 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST INTERSTATE BANCSYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Mortgage servicing rights amortization | 4,615 | 7,568 | 5,918 |
| Mortgage servicing rights impairment (recovery) | (787) | (7,224) | 10,940 |
| OREO expense, net of income | 7,670 | 6,397 | 215 |
| Core deposit intangibles amortization | 1,748 | 2,131 | 2,503 |
| Other expenses | 45,885 | 44,269 | 46,772 |
| Total non-interest expense | 221,004 | 217,710 | 222,541 |
| Income before income tax expense | 54,446 | 80,816 | 108,077 |
| Income tax expense | 17,090 | 26,953 | 37,429 |
| Net income | 37,356 | 53,863 | 70,648 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 3,422 | 3,422 | 3,347 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 33,934 | \$ 50,441 | \$ 67,301 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.85 | \$ 1.61 | \$ 2.14 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | 0.85 | 1.59 | 2.10 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

-66-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity***(In thousands, except share and per share data)*

| | Preferred | Common | Retained | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total Stockholders' Equity |
|--|-----------|-----------|------------|---|----------------------------------|
| | Stock | Stock | Earnings | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2007 | \$ | \$ 29,773 | \$ 416,425 | \$ (1,755) | \$ 444,443 |
| Cumulative effect of adoption of new accounting principle related to deferred compensation and split-dollar life insurance policies | | | (633) | | (633) |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 70,648 | | 70,648 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | | | | 10,727 | 10,727 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | 81,375 |
| Preferred stock transactions: | | | | | |
| 5,000 preferred shares issued | 50,000 | | | | 50,000 |
| Preferred stock issuance costs | | | (38) | | (38) |
| Common stock transactions: | | | | | |
| 1,333,572 common shares purchased and retired | | (27,912) | | | (27,912) |
| 617,152 common shares issued | | 11,884 | | | 11,884 |
| 242,332 stock options exercised, net of 130,040 shares tendered in payment of option price and income tax withholding amounts | | 1,779 | | | 1,779 |
| Tax benefit of stock-based compensation | | 1,178 | | | 1,178 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | 911 | | | 911 |
| Transfer from retained earnings to common stock | | 100,000 | (100,000) | | |
| Cash dividends declared: | | | | | |
| Common (\$0.65 per share) | | | (20,578) | | (20,578) |
| Preferred (6.75% per share) | | | (3,347) | | (3,347) |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | 50,000 | 117,613 | 362,477 | 8,972 | 539,062 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 53,863 | | 53,863 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | | | | 6,103 | 6,103 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | 59,966 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Common stock transactions: | | | | | |
| 642,752 common shares purchased and retired | | (11,052) | | | (11,052) |
| 254,156 common shares issued | | 3,813 | | | 3,813 |
| 64,136 restricted common shares issued | | | | | |
| 299,436 stock options exercised, net of 175,464 shares tendered in payment of option price and income tax withholding amounts | | 144 | | | 144 |
| Tax benefit of stock-based compensation | | 742 | | | 742 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | 875 | | | 875 |
| Cash dividends declared: | | | | | |
| Common (\$0.50 per share) | | | (15,694) | | (15,694) |
| Preferred (6.75% per share) | | | (3,422) | | (3,422) |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 50,000 | \$ 112,135 | \$ 397,224 | \$ 15,075 | \$ 574,434 |

-67-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Continued)***(In thousands, except share and per share data)*

| | Preferred | Common | Retained | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total Stockholders' Equity |
|--|-----------|------------|------------|---|----------------------------------|
| | Stock | Stock | Earnings | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 50,000 | \$ 112,135 | \$ 397,224 | \$ 15,075 | \$ 574,434 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 37,356 | | 37,356 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax | | | | (5,700) | (5,700) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | 31,656 |
| Common stock transactions: | | | | | |
| 246,596 common shares purchased and retired | | (3,699) | | | (3,699) |
| 11,506,503 common shares issued | | 153,257 | | | 153,257 |
| 117,140 non-vested common shares issued | | | | | |
| 18,821 non-vested common shares forfeited | | | | | |
| Non-vested common shares vesting during period | | 59 | | | 59 |
| 92,880 stock options exercised, net of 111,792 shares tendered in payment of option price and income tax withholding amounts | | 649 | | | 649 |
| Tax benefit of stock-based compensation | | 239 | | | 239 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | 1,534 | | | 1,534 |
| Cash dividends declared: | | | | | |
| Common (\$0.45 per share) | | | (17,905) | | (17,905) |
| Preferred (6.75% per share) | | | (3,422) | | (3,422) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 50,000 | \$ 264,174 | \$ 413,253 | \$ 9,375 | \$ 736,802 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

-68-

Table of Contents
First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(In thousands)

| Year Ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 37,356 | \$ 53,863 | \$ 70,648 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income from operations to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Provisions for loan losses | 66,900 | 45,300 | 33,356 |
| Net loss on disposal of property and equipment | 672 | 306 | 111 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 20,136 | 22,286 | 23,622 |
| Net premium amortization on investment securities | 6,762 | 1,293 | 728 |
| Net gains on investment securities transactions | (170) | (137) | (101) |
| Net gains on sales of loans held for sale | (15,321) | (18,315) | (7,068) |
| Net gains on sales of loans | (374) | | |
| Net loss on sale of mortgage servicing assets | 1,525 | 48 | |
| Other than temporary impairment of investment securities | | | 1,286 |
| Gain on sale of nonbank subsidiary | | | (27,096) |
| Write-down of OREO and equipment pending disposal | 6,724 | 5,895 | 34 |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | 306 | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in valuation reserve for mortgage servicing rights | (787) | (7,224) | 10,940 |
| Deferred income tax expense (benefit) | (17,257) | 5,547 | (7,578) |
| Net increase in cash surrender value of company-owned life insurance policies | (1,682) | (1,859) | (2,439) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 1,661 | 1,024 | 911 |
| Tax benefits from stock-based compensation | 239 | 742 | 1,178 |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | (225) | (719) | (1,140) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Increase (decrease) in loans held for sale | 1,121 | 19,280 | (20,039) |
| Decrease in accrued interest receivable | 3,495 | 1,571 | 1,502 |
| Decrease (increase) in other assets | 7,450 | (36,120) | (8,953) |
| Decrease in accrued interest payable | (4,407) | (2,946) | (3,207) |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses | (4,969) | (8,043) | 10,784 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 109,155 | 81,792 | 77,479 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Purchases of investment securities: | | | |
| Held-to-maturity | (33,118) | (9,910) | (16,831) |
| Available-for-sale | (1,317,938) | (868,917) | (341,587) |
| Proceeds from maturities, paydowns and calls of investment securities: | | | |
| Held-to-maturity | 15,134 | 19,785 | 20,684 |
| Available-for-sale | 833,910 | 493,389 | 505,870 |
| | 2,480 | 2,051 | (34) |

Edgar Filing: FIRST INTERSTATE BANCSYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Proceeds from sales of mortgage servicing rights, net of acquisitions | | | |
| Extensions of credit to customers, net of repayments | 71,762 | 146,943 | (492,297) |
| Proceeds from sales of loans | 25,032 | | |
| Recoveries of loans charged-off | 2,889 | 2,392 | 1,837 |
| Proceeds from sales of OREO | 20,336 | 10,849 | 623 |
| Proceeds from sale of nonbank subsidiary, net of cash payments | | | 40,766 |
| Capital expenditures, net of sales | (7,998) | (26,393) | (32,852) |
| Capital contributions to unconsolidated subsidiaries and joint ventures | | | (620) |
| Acquisition of banks and data services company, net of cash and cash equivalents received | | | (135,706) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | \$ (387,511) | \$ (229,811) | \$ (450,147) |

-69-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Continued)***(In thousands)*

| Year Ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Net increase in deposits | \$ 101,657 | \$ 649,797 | \$ 362,931 |
| Net decrease in federal funds purchased | | (30,625) | 30,625 |
| Net increase (decrease) in repurchase agreements | 146,013 | (51,360) | (84,293) |
| Net increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings | (432) | (73,793) | 69,857 |
| Borrowings of long-term debt | | | 113,500 |
| Repayments of long-term debt | (35,851) | (10,795) | (38,107) |
| Debt issuance costs | | (261) | (609) |
| Proceeds from issuance of subordinated debentures held by subsidiary trusts | | | 20,620 |
| Preferred stock issuance costs | | | (38) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 167,503 | 3,957 | 13,663 |
| Common stock issuance costs | (13,597) | | |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | 225 | 719 | 1,140 |
| Purchase and retirement of common stock | (3,699) | (11,052) | (27,912) |
| Dividends paid to common stockholders | (17,905) | (15,694) | (20,578) |
| Dividends paid to preferred stockholders | (3,422) | (3,422) | (3,347) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 340,492 | 457,471 | 437,452 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 62,136 | 309,452 | 64,784 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 623,482 | 314,030 | 249,246 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 685,618 | \$ 623,482 | \$ 314,030 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid during the year for income taxes | \$ 37,325 | \$ 25,813 | \$ 35,376 |
| Cash paid during the year for interest expense | 67,514 | 87,844 | 121,115 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

-70-

Table of Contents

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

(1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Business. First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. (the Parent Company and collectively with its subsidiaries, the Company) is a financial and bank holding company that, through the branch offices of its bank subsidiary, provides a comprehensive range of banking products and services to individuals, businesses, municipalities and other entities throughout Montana, Wyoming and western South Dakota. In addition to its primary emphasis on commercial and consumer banking services, the Company also offers trust, employee benefit and investment and insurance services through its bank subsidiary. The Company is subject to competition from other financial institutions and nonbank financial companies, and is also subject to the regulations of various government agencies and undergoes periodic examinations by those regulatory authorities.

Basis of Presentation. The Company's consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Parent Company and its operating subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2010, the Company's subsidiaries were First Interstate Bank (FIB), First Western Data, Inc. (Data), First Interstate Insurance Agency, Inc., Commerce Financial, Inc., FIB, LLC and FIBCT, LLC. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain reclassifications have been made in the consolidated financial statements for 2009 and 2008 to conform to the 2010 presentation. No changes were made in the current year to previously reported net income or stockholders' equity.

On March 5, 2010, the Company's shareholders approved proposals to recapitalize the Company's existing common stock. The recapitalization included, among other things, a redesignation of existing common stock as Class B common stock; a four-for-one stock split of the Class B common stock; and, the creation of a new class of common stock designated as Class A common stock. All share and per share information included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, has been adjusted to give effect to the recapitalization of the common stock, including the four-for-one stock split of Class B common stock, as if the recapitalization had occurred on January 1, 2008, the earliest date presented. For additional information regarding the recapitalization, see Note 12 Capital Stock and Dividend Restrictions.

Merger of Bank Subsidiaries. On September 25, 2009, the Company merged First Western Bank (Wall) and The First Western Bank Sturgis (Sturgis) into FIB. Subsequent to the merger, FIB is the Company's only bank subsidiary.

Sale of Nonbank Subsidiary. On December 31, 2008, the Company sold its technology services subsidiary, i_Tech Corporation (i_Tech). Concurrent with the sale, the Company entered into a service agreement with the purchaser to receive certain technology services previously provided by i_Tech. The assets, liabilities and results of operations and cash flows of i_Tech are not presented as discontinued operations due to the continuation of cash flows between the Company and i_Tech under the terms of the service agreement. Subsequent to the sale, the Company no longer receives technology services revenues from non-affiliated customers of i_Tech.

Equity Method Investments. The Company has an investment in a real estate joint venture that is not consolidated because the Company does not own a majority voting interest, control the operations or receive a majority of the losses or earnings of the joint venture. This joint venture is accounted for using the equity method of accounting whereby the Company initially records its investment at cost and then subsequently adjusts the cost for the Company's proportionate share of distributions and earnings or losses of the joint venture.

Variable Interest Entities. The Company's wholly-owned business trusts, First Interstate Statutory Trust (FIST), FI Statutory Trust I (Trust I), FI Capital Trust II (Trust II), FI Statutory Trust III (Trust III), FI Capital Trust IV (Trust IV), FI Statutory Trust V (Trust V) and FI Statutory Trust VI (Trust VI) are variable interest entities for which the Company is not a primary beneficiary. Accordingly, the accounts of FIST, Trust I, Trust II, Trust III, Trust IV, Trust V and Trust VI are not included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, and are instead accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

-71-

Table of Contents

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

Assets Held in Fiduciary or Agency Capacity. The Company holds certain trust assets in a fiduciary or agency capacity. The Company also purchases and sells federal funds as an agent. These and other assets held in an agency or fiduciary capacity are not assets of the Company and, accordingly, are not included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Use of Estimates. The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and income and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to change relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of goodwill, other real estate owned, mortgage servicing rights and the fair values of other financial instruments.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, amounts due from banks, federal funds sold for one day periods and interest bearing deposits in banks with original maturities of less than three months. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had cash of \$576,207 and \$397,474, respectively, on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank. In addition, the Company maintained compensating balances with the Federal Reserve Bank of approximately \$5,000 as of December 31, 2010 and \$65,000 as of December 31, 2009 to reduce service charges for check clearing services.

Investment Securities. Investments in debt securities that the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held-to-maturity and carried at amortized cost. Investments in debt securities that may be sold in response to or in anticipation of changes in interest rates and resulting prepayment risk, or other factors, and marketable equity securities are classified as available-for-sale and carried at fair value. The unrealized gains and losses on these securities are reported, net of applicable income taxes, as a separate component of stockholders' equity and comprehensive income. Management determines the appropriate classification of securities at the time of purchase and at each reporting date management reassesses the appropriateness of the classification.

The amortized cost of debt securities classified as held-to-maturity or available-for-sale is adjusted for accretion of discounts to maturity and amortization of premiums over the estimated average life of the security, or in the case of callable securities, through the first call date, using the effective yield method. Such amortization and accretion is included in interest income. Realized gains and losses are included in investment securities gains (losses). Declines in the fair value of securities below their cost that are judged to be other-than-temporary are included in other expenses. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, the Company considers, among other things, the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer and the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method.

The Company invests in securities on behalf of certain officers and directors of the Company who have elected to participate in the Company's deferred compensation plans. These securities are included in other assets and are carried at their fair value based on quoted market prices. Net realized and unrealized holding gains and losses are included in other non-interest income.

Loans. Loans are reported at the principal amount outstanding. Interest income on loans is calculated using the simple interest method on the daily balance of the principal amount outstanding. Loan origination fees and certain direct origination costs are deferred, and the net amount is amortized as an adjustment of the related loan's yield using a level yield method over the expected lives of the related loans.

Table of Contents

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

The accrual of interest on loans is discontinued when, in management's opinion, the borrower may be unable to meet payment obligations as they become due or when a loan becomes contractually past due ninety days or more with respect to interest or principal, unless such past due loan is well secured and in the process of collection. When interest accrual is discontinued, all unpaid accrued interest is reversed against current period interest income. Interest income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received in excess of principal due. Loans are returned to accrual status when all principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and when, in the opinion of management, the loans are estimated to be fully collectible as to both principal and interest.

A loan is considered impaired when, based upon current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect, on a timely basis, all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan's original agreement. The amount of the impairment is measured using cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, except when it is determined that the primary source of repayment for the loan is the operation or liquidation of the underlying collateral. In such cases, the current fair value of the collateral, reduced by anticipated selling costs, is used to measure impairment. The Company considers impaired loans to be those non-consumer loans on which interest accrual has been discontinued or have been renegotiated in a troubled debt restructuring.

A loan is considered as a troubled debt restructuring when a borrower is experiencing financial difficulties that leads to a restructuring of the loan and the Company grants concessions to the borrower in the restructuring that it not would otherwise consider. These concessions may include rate reductions, principal forgiveness, extension of maturity date and other actions to minimize potential losses. A loan that is modified at a market rate of interest may no longer be classified as a troubled debt restructuring in the calendar year subsequent to the restructuring if it is in compliance with the modified terms.

Included in loans are certain residential mortgage loans originated for sale. These loans are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or estimated market value. Market value is estimated based on binding contracts or quotes or bids from third party investors. Residential mortgages held for sale were \$46,408 and \$36,430 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Gains and losses on sales of mortgage loans are determined using the specific identification method and are included in income from the origination and sale of loans.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses which is charged to expense. Loans, or portions thereof, are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes that the collectibility of the principal is unlikely or, with respect to consumer installment and credit card loans, according to established delinquency schedules. The allowance balance is an amount that management believes will be adequate to absorb known and inherent losses in the loan portfolio based upon quarterly analyses of the size and current risk characteristics of the loan portfolio, an assessment of individual problem loans and actual loss experience, industry concentrations, current economic and regulatory factors and the estimated impact of current economic, regulatory and environmental conditions on historical loss rates.

Goodwill. The excess purchase price over the fair value of net assets from acquisitions, or goodwill, is evaluated for impairment at least annually and on an interim basis if an event or circumstance indicates that it is likely impairment has occurred. In testing for impairment, the fair value of net assets is estimated based on an analysis of our market value. The determination of goodwill is sensitive to market-based trading values of our Class A common stock. In addition, any allocation of the fair value of goodwill to assets and liabilities requires significant management judgment and the use of subjective measurements. Variability in the market and changes in assumptions or subjective measurements used to allocate fair value are reasonably possible and may have a material impact

Core Deposit Intangibles. Core deposit intangibles represent the intangible value of depositor relationships resulting from deposit liabilities assumed and are amortized using an accelerated method based on the estimated weighted average useful lives of the related deposits of 9.5 years. Accumulated core deposit intangibles amortization was \$18,117 as of December 31, 2010 and \$16,369 as of December 31, 2009. Amortization expense related to core deposit intangibles recorded as of December 31, 2010 is expected to total \$1,446, \$1,421, \$1,417, \$1,417 and \$1,417 in 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015, respectively.

Table of Contents

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

Mortgage Servicing Rights. The Company recognizes the rights to service mortgage loans for others, whether acquired or internally originated. Mortgage servicing rights are initially recorded at fair value based on comparable market quotes and are amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income. Mortgage servicing rights are evaluated quarterly for impairment by discounting the expected future cash flows, taking into consideration the estimated level of prepayments based on current industry expectations and the predominant risk characteristics of the underlying loans including loan type, note rate and loan term. Impairment adjustments, if any, are recorded through a valuation allowance.

Premises and Equipment. Buildings, furniture and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation expense is computed using straight-line methods over estimated useful lives of 5 to 50 years for buildings and improvements and 5 to 15 years for furniture and equipment. Leasehold improvements and assets acquired under capital lease are amortized over the shorter of their estimated useful lives or the terms of the related leases. Land is recorded at cost.

Company-Owned Life Insurance. Key executive life insurance policies are recorded at their cash surrender value. Group life insurance policies are subject to a stable value contract that offsets the impact of interest rate fluctuations on the market value of the policies. Group life insurance policies are recorded at the stabilized investment value. Increases in the cash surrender or stabilized investment value of insurance policies, as well as insurance proceeds received, are recorded as other non-interest income, and are not subject to income taxes.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. Long-lived assets, including premises and equipment and certain identifiable intangibles, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. The amount of the impairment loss, if any, is based on the asset's fair value. Impairment losses of \$350 were recognized in other non-interest expense in 2009. No impairment losses were recognized during 2010 or 2008.

Other Real Estate Owned. Real estate acquired in satisfaction of loans is initially carried at current fair value less estimated selling costs. The value of the underlying loan is written down to the fair value of the real estate acquired by charge to the allowance for loan losses, if necessary. Subsequent declines in fair value less estimated selling costs are included in OREO expense. Subsequent increases in fair value less estimated selling costs are recorded as a reduction in OREO expense to the extent of recognized losses. Carrying costs, operating expenses, net of related income, and gains or losses on sales are included in OREO expense. Write-downs of \$6,724, \$5,545 and \$34 were recorded in 2010, 2009 and 2008 respectively. The valuation of OREO is subjective and may be adjusted in the future due to changes in economic conditions.

Restricted Equity Securities. The Company, as a member of the Federal Reserve Bank and the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB), is required to maintain investments in each of the organization's capital stock. As of December 31, 2010, restricted equity securities of the Federal Reserve Bank and the Federal Home Loan Bank of \$13,357 and \$6,819, respectively, were included in other assets at cost. As of December 31, 2009, restricted equity securities of the Federal Reserve Bank and the Federal Home Loan Bank were \$13,338 and \$6,886, respectively. No ready market exists for these restricted equity securities, and they have no quoted market values. Restricted equity securities are periodically reviewed for impairment based on ultimate recovery of par value. The determination of whether a decline affects the ultimate recovery of par value is influenced by the significance of the decline compared to the cost basis of the restricted equity securities, the length of time a decline has persisted, the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on the issuing organizations and the liquidity positions of the issuing organizations. Based on management's assessment, no impairment losses were recorded on restricted equity securities during 2010, 2009 or 2008.

Income from Fiduciary Activities. Consistent with industry practice, income for trust services is recognized on the basis of cash received. However, use of this method in lieu of accrual basis accounting does not materially affect reported earnings.

Table of Contents

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

Income Taxes. The Parent Company and its subsidiaries have elected to be included in a consolidated federal income tax return. For state income tax purposes, the combined taxable income of the Parent Company and its subsidiaries is apportioned among the states in which operations take place. Federal and state income taxes attributable to the subsidiaries, computed on a separate return basis, are paid to or received from the Parent Company.

The Company accounts for income taxes using the liability method. Under the liability method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on enacted income tax rates which will be in effect when the differences between the financial statement carrying values and tax bases of existing assets and liabilities are expected to be reported in taxable income.

Positions taken in the Company's tax returns may be subject to challenge by the taxing authorities upon examination. Uncertain tax positions are initially recognized in the financial statements when it is more likely than not the position will be sustained upon examination by the tax authorities. Such tax positions are both initially and subsequently measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon settlement with the tax authority, assuming full knowledge of the position and all relevant facts. The Company provides for interest and, in some cases, penalties on tax positions that may be challenged by the taxing authorities. Interest expense is recognized beginning in the first period that such interest would begin accruing. Penalties are recognized in the period that the Company claims the position in the tax return. Interest and penalties on income tax uncertainties are classified within income tax expense in the income statement. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal and state examinations by tax authorities for years before 2007.

Earnings Per Common Share. Basic and diluted earnings per common share are calculated using a two-class method. Under the two-class method, basic earnings per common share is calculated by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, excluding outstanding participating securities. Participating securities include non-vested restricted stock awards. Diluted earnings per common share is calculated by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding determined for the basic earnings per share calculation plus the dilutive effect of stock compensation using the treasury stock method.

Comprehensive Income. Comprehensive income includes net income, as well as other changes in stockholders equity that result from transactions and economic events other than those with shareholders. In addition to net income, the Company's comprehensive income includes the after tax effect of changes in unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale investment securities and changes in net actuarial gains and losses on defined benefit post-retirement benefits plans.

Segment Reporting. An operating segment is defined as a component of a business for which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and evaluate performance. Beginning January 1, 2009, the Company has one operating segment, community banking, which encompasses commercial and consumer banking services offered to individuals, businesses, municipalities and other entities. Prior to 2009, the Company reported two operating segments, community banking and technology services. Technology services encompassed services provided through i_Tech to affiliated and non-affiliated customers. On December 31, 2008, the Company sold i_Tech and moved certain operational functions previously provided by i_Tech to FIB.

Advertising Costs. Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising expense was \$3,200, \$3,422, and \$3,447 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Transfers of Financial Assets. Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when the assets have been isolated from the Company; the transferee obtains the right, free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right, to pledge or exchange the transferred assets; and, the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

Technology Services Revenue Recognition. Revenues from technology services are transaction-based and are recognized as transactions are processed or services are rendered.

Stock-Based Compensation. Compensation cost for all stock-based awards is measured at fair value on the date of grant and is recognized over the requisite service period for awards expected to vest. Stock-based compensation expense of \$1,660, \$1,024 and \$911 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, is included in salaries, wages and benefits expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income. Related income tax benefits recognized for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$635, \$392 and \$348, respectively. All compensation cost for stock-based awards is expensed at the Parent Company.

Fair Value Measurements. In general, fair value measurements are based upon quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair value measurements are estimated using relevant market information and other assumptions. Fair value estimates involve uncertainties and require some degree of judgment regarding interest rates, credit risk, prepayments and other factors. The use of different assumptions or estimation techniques may have a significant effect on the fair value amounts reported.

(2) INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The amortized cost and approximate fair values of investment securities are summarized as follows:

| <i>Available-for-Sale</i> December 31, 2010 | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Obligations of U.S. government agencies | \$ 956,017 | \$ 3,337 | \$ (5,934) | \$ 953,420 |
| U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities | 812,372 | 24,107 | (4,619) | 831,860 |
| Private mortgage-backed securities | 1,057 | 10 | (12) | 1,055 |
| Total | \$1,769,446 | \$27,454 | \$(10,565) | \$1,786,335 |

| <i>Held-to-Maturity</i> December 31, 2010 | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| State, county and municipal securities | \$146,850 | \$1,375 | \$(1,935) | \$146,290 |
| Other securities | 218 | | | 218 |
| Total | \$147,068 | \$1,375 | \$(1,935) | \$146,508 |

Gross gains of \$173 and gross losses of \$3 were realized on the disposition of available-for-sale securities in 2010.

| <i>Available-for-Sale</i> December 31, 2009 | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Obligations of U.S. government agencies | \$ 568,705 | \$ 4,207 | \$(1,466) | \$ 571,446 |
| U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities | 721,555 | 23,212 | (1,127) | 743,640 |
| Private mortgage-backed securities | 1,396 | | (53) | 1,343 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST INTERSTATE BANCSYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Total | \$1,291,656 | \$27,419 | \$(2,646) | \$1,316,429 |
| <i>Held-to-Maturity</i> | | Gross | Gross | Estimated |
| December 31, 2009 | Amortized | Unrealized | Unrealized | Fair |
| | Cost | Gains | Losses | Value |
| State, county and municipal securities | \$129,381 | \$1,439 | \$(435) | \$130,385 |
| Other securities | 470 | | | 470 |
| Total | \$129,851 | \$1,439 | \$(435) | \$130,855 |

-76-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

Gross gains of \$138 and gross losses of \$1 were realized on the disposition of available-for-sale securities in 2009.

Gross gains of \$102 and gross losses of \$1 were realized on the disposition of available-for-sale securities in 2008.

In conjunction with the merger of the Company's bank subsidiaries on September 25, 2009, the Company transferred available-for-sale state, county and municipal investment securities with amortized costs and fair values of \$28,288 and \$29,426, respectively, into the held-to-maturity category. Unrealized net gains of \$1,138 included in accumulated other comprehensive income at the time of the transfer are being amortized to yield over the remaining lives of the transferred securities of 3.4 years.

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair values of investment securities, aggregated by investment category, and the length of time individual investment securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009.

| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or More | | Total | |
|---|---------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| | Fair | Gross | Fair | Gross | Fair | Gross |
| December 31, 2010 | Value | Unrealized | Value | Unrealized | Value | Unrealized |
| | | Losses | | Losses | | Losses |
| <i>Available-for-Sale</i> | | | | | | |
| Obligations of U.S. government agencies | \$498,344 | \$ (5,934) | \$ | \$ | \$498,344 | \$ (5,934) |
| U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities | 160,161 | (4,619) | | | 160,161 | (4,619) |
| Private mortgage-backed securities | | | 249 | (12) | 249 | (12) |
| Total | \$658,505 | \$(10,553) | \$249 | \$(12) | \$658,754 | \$(10,565) |

| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or More | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|----------|------------|
| | Fair | Gross | Fair | Gross | Fair | Gross |
| December 31, 2010 | Value | Unrealized | Value | Unrealized | Value | Unrealized |
| | | Losses | | Losses | | Losses |
| <i>Held-to-Maturity</i> | | | | | | |
| State, county and municipal securities | \$42,178 | \$(1,814) | \$3,023 | \$(121) | \$45,201 | \$(1,935) |

| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or More | | Total | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| | Fair | Gross | Fair | Gross | Fair | Gross |
| December 31, 2009 | Value | Unrealized | Value | Unrealized | Value | Unrealized |
| | | Losses | | Losses | | Losses |
| <i>Available-for-Sale</i> | | | | | | |
| | \$185,376 | \$(1,466) | \$ | \$ | \$185,376 | \$(1,466) |

| | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Obligations of U.S. government agencies | | | | | | |
| U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities | 92,918 | (1,127) | 10 | | 92,928 | (1,127) |
| Private mortgage-backed securities | | | 1,337 | (53) | 1,337 | (53) |
| Total | \$278,294 | \$(2,593) | \$1,347 | \$(53) | \$279,641 | \$(2,646) |
| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or More | | Total | |
| | Fair | Gross | Fair | Gross | Fair | Gross |
| | Value | Unrealized | Value | Unrealized | Value | Unrealized |
| December 31, 2009 | | Losses | | Losses | | Losses |
| <i>Held-to-Maturity</i> | | | | | | |
| State, county and municipal securities | \$16,641 | \$(348) | \$1,409 | \$(87) | \$18,050 | \$(435) |

-77-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

The investment portfolio is evaluated quarterly for other-than-temporary declines in the market value of each individual investment security. Consideration is given to the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost; the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer; and, the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had 128 individual investment securities that were in an unrealized loss position. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had 75 individual investment securities that were in an unrealized loss position. Unrealized losses as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 related primarily to fluctuations in the current interest rates. The fair value of these investment securities is expected to recover as the securities approach their maturity or repricing date or if market yields for such investments decline. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had the intent and ability to hold these investment securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery. Furthermore, the Company does not have the intent to sell any of the available-for-sale securities in the above table and it is more likely than not that the Company will not have to sell any such securities before a recovery in cost. No impairment losses were recorded during 2010 or 2009. Impairment losses of \$1,286 were recorded in other expenses in 2008.

Maturities of investment securities at December 31, 2010 are shown below. Maturities of mortgage-backed securities have been adjusted to reflect shorter maturities based upon estimated prepayments of principal. All other investment securities maturities are shown at contractual maturity dates.

| | Available-for-Sale | | Held-to-Maturity | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | |
| Within one year | \$ 448,403 | \$ 455,050 | \$ 7,599 | \$ 7,328 |
| After one year but within five years | 1,101,418 | 1,106,398 | 26,628 | 26,992 |
| After five years but within ten years | 73,209 | 74,962 | 51,766 | 52,474 |
| After ten years | 146,416 | 149,925 | 60,857 | 59,496 |
| Total | 1,769,446 | 1,786,335 | 146,850 | 146,290 |
| Investments with no stated maturity | | | 218 | 218 |
| Total | \$1,769,446 | \$1,786,335 | \$147,068 | \$146,508 |

At December 31, 2010, the Company had investment securities callable within one year with amortized costs and estimated fair values of \$390,964 and \$387,575, respectively. These investment securities are primarily classified as available-for-sale and included in the after one year but within five years category in the table above.

Maturities of securities do not reflect rate repricing opportunities present in adjustable rate mortgage-backed securities. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had variable rate securities with amortized costs of \$15,946 and \$336, respectively, classified as available-for-sale in the table above.

There are no significant concentrations of investments at December 31, 2010, (greater than 10 percent of stockholders equity) in any individual security issuer, except for U.S. government or agency-backed securities. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, all mortgage-backed securities were residential in nature.

Investment securities with amortized cost of \$1,606,951 and \$1,069,191 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and securities sold under repurchase agreements. The approximate fair value of securities pledged at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$1,624,767 and \$1,095,068, respectively. All securities sold under repurchase agreements are with customers and mature on the next banking day. The Company retains possession of the underlying securities sold under repurchase agreements.

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)***(3) LOANS**

The following table presents loans by class as of the dates indicated:

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Real estate loans: | | |
| Commercial | \$ 1,565,665 | \$ 1,556,273 |
| Construction: | | |
| Land acquisition & development | 329,720 | 403,866 |
| Residential | 99,196 | 134,970 |
| Commercial | 98,542 | 98,056 |
| Total construction loans | 527,458 | 636,892 |
| Residential | 549,604 | 539,098 |
| Agricultural | 182,794 | 195,045 |
| Mortgage loans originated for sale | 46,408 | 36,430 |
| Total real estate loans | 2,871,929 | 2,963,738 |
| Consumer: | | |
| Indirect consumer loans | 423,552 | 423,104 |
| Other consumer loans | 162,137 | 195,331 |
| Credit card loans | 60,891 | 59,113 |
| Total consumer loans | 646,580 | 677,548 |
| Commercial | 730,471 | 750,647 |
| Agricultural | 116,546 | 134,470 |
| Other loans, including overdrafts | 2,383 | 1,601 |
| Total loans | \$4,367,909 | \$4,528,004 |

The Company has lending policies and procedures in place that are designed to maximize loan income within an acceptable level of risk. Management reviews and approves these policies and procedures on a regular basis. A reporting system supplements the review process by providing management with frequent reports related to loan production, loan quality, concentrations of credit, loan delinquencies and internally risk-classified loans. Real estate loans include interim construction and permanent financing for both single-family and multi-unit properties, medium-term loans for commercial, agricultural and industrial property and/or buildings and home equity loans and lines of credit secured by real estate. Longer-term residential real estate loans are generally sold in the secondary market. Those residential real estate loans not sold are typically secured by first liens on the financed property and generally mature in less than fifteen years. Home equity loans and lines of credit are typically secured by first or second liens on residential real estate and generally do not exceed a loan to value ratio of 80%. The Company had home equity loans and lines of credit of \$348,272 and \$364,053 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Commercial and agricultural real estate loans are generally secured by first liens on income-producing real estate and generally mature in less than five years. Commercial real estate includes loans of \$867,510 and \$843,863 as of

December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, that are owner occupied, which typically involves less risk than loans on investment property.

Construction loans are primarily to commercial builders for residential lot development and the construction of single-family residences and commercial real estate properties. Construction loans are generally underwritten pursuant to the same guidelines used for originating permanent commercial and residential mortgage loans. Terms and rates typically match those of permanent commercial and residential mortgage loans, except that during the construction phase the borrower pays interest only.

-79-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

Consumer loans include direct personal loans, credit card loans and lines of credit; and indirect dealer loans for the purchase of automobiles, boats and other consumer goods. Personal loans and indirect dealer loans are generally secured by automobiles, boats and other types of personal property and are made on an installment basis. Credit cards are offered to individuals in our market areas. Lines of credit are generally floating rate loans that are unsecured or secured by personal property.

Commercial loans include a mix of variable and fixed rate loans made to small and medium-sized manufacturing, wholesale, retail and service businesses for working capital needs and business expansions. Commercial loans generally include lines of credit, business credit cards and loans with maturities of five years or less. The loans are generally made with business operations as the primary source of repayment, but also include collateralization by inventory, accounts receivable, equipment and/or personal guarantees.

Agricultural loans generally consist of short and medium-term loans and lines of credit that are primarily used for crops, livestock, equipment and general operations. Agricultural loans are ordinarily secured by assets such as livestock or equipment and are repaid from the operations of the farm or ranch. Agricultural loans generally have maturities of five years or less, with operating lines for one production season.

Loans are considered past due if the required principal and interest payments have not been received as of the date such payments were due. The following table presents the contractual aging of the Company's recorded investment in past due loans by class as of December 31, 2010:

| | Accruing Loans 30 - 89 | | Nonaccruing Loans 30 - 89 | | Total Loans 30 or More | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| | Days Past Due | Past Due > 90 Days | Days Past Due | Past Due > 90 Days | Days Past Due | Current Loans | Total Loans |
| Year ended December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | |
| Real estate | | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$17,959 | \$ | \$ 7,582 | \$13,047 | \$ 38,588 | \$1,527,077 | \$1,565,665 |
| Construction: | | | | | | | |
| Land acquisition & development | 9,608 | | 1,559 | 7,462 | 18,629 | 311,091 | 329,720 |
| Residential | 3,022 | | 359 | 992 | 4,373 | 94,823 | 99,196 |
| Commercial | 2,794 | | 1,213 | 3,376 | 7,383 | 91,159 | 98,542 |
| Total construction loans | 15,424 | | 3,131 | 11,830 | 30,385 | 497,073 | 527,458 |
| Residential | 2,192 | | 160 | 359 | 2,711 | 546,893 | 549,604 |
| Agricultural | 4,856 | | 406 | 392 | 5,654 | 177,140 | 182,794 |
| Mortgage loans originated for sale | | | | | | 46,408 | 46,408 |
| Total real estate loans | 40,431 | | 11,279 | 25,628 | 77,338 | 2,794,591 | 2,871,929 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | |
| Indirect consumer loans | 3,717 | | 81 | 63 | 3,861 | 419,691 | 423,552 |
| Other consumer loans | 1,552 | 15 | 87 | 568 | 2,222 | 159,915 | 162,137 |
| Credit card loans | 1,005 | 759 | | | 1,764 | 59,127 | 60,891 |

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Total consumer loans | 6,274 | 774 | 168 | 631 | 7,847 | 638,733 | 646,580 |
| Commercial | 8,069 | 957 | 744 | 8,707 | 18,477 | 711,994 | 730,471 |
| Agricultural | 2,114 | 117 | | 25 | 2,256 | 114,290 | 116,546 |
| Other loans, including overdrafts | 123 | 4 | | | 127 | 2,256 | 2,383 |
| Total | \$57,011 | \$1,852 | \$12,191 | \$34,991 | \$106,045 | \$4,261,864 | \$4,367,909 |

Included in current loans in the table above are loans of aggregating \$148,160 that were on nonaccrual status as of December 31, 2010.

-80-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

The following table presents the Company's recorded investment in nonaccrual loans by class as of the dates indicated:

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Real estate | | |
| Commercial | \$ 68,948 | \$ 34,477 |
| Construction: | | |
| Land acquisition & development | 41,547 | 38,416 |
| Residential | 16,679 | 10,263 |
| Commercial | 16,589 | 4,460 |
| Total construction loans | 74,815 | 53,139 |
| Residential | 15,222 | 5,861 |
| Agricultural | 2,497 | 785 |
| Total real estate loans | 161,482 | 94,262 |
| Consumer: | | |
| Indirect consumer loans | 564 | 268 |
| Other consumer loans | 1,337 | 1,119 |
| Credit card loans | 30 | |
| Total consumer loans | 1,931 | 1,387 |
| Commercial | 30,953 | 18,818 |
| Agricultural | 976 | 563 |
| Total | \$ 195,342 | \$ 115,030 |

If interest on nonaccrual loans had been accrued, such income would have approximated \$8,912, \$6,448 and \$4,632 during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The Company considers impaired loans to include non-consumer loans placed on nonaccrual and loans renegotiated in troubled debt restructurings. The following table presents information on the Company's recorded investment in impaired loans as of dates indicated:

| | As of December 31, 2010 | | | | | Year Ended December 31, 2010 Average Recorded Investment |
|--------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | Unpaid Total Principal Balance | Recorded Investment With No Allowance | Recorded Investment With Allowance | Total Recorded Investment | Related Allowance | |
| Real estate: | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 79,193 | \$ 31,925 | \$ 41,703 | \$ 73,628 | \$ 10,315 | \$ 49,713 |

Construction:

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Land acquisition & development | 48,371 | 24,120 | 20,440 | 44,560 | 8,064 | 34,871 |
| Residential | 18,632 | 2,993 | 13,721 | 16,714 | 3,431 | 15,097 |
| Commercial | 17,458 | 2,976 | 13,578 | 16,554 | 3,877 | 21,086 |
| Total construction loans | 84,461 | 30,089 | 47,739 | 77,828 | 15,372 | 71,054 |
| Residential | 8,951 | 1,741 | 7,110 | 8,851 | 1,266 | 10,889 |
| Agricultural | 3,045 | 1,065 | 1,432 | 2,497 | 128 | 1,737 |
| Total real estate loans | 175,650 | 64,820 | 97,984 | 162,804 | 27,081 | 133,393 |
| Commercial | 36,251 | 11,354 | 24,168 | 35,522 | 14,892 | 22,017 |
| Agricultural | 976 | 498 | 478 | 976 | 253 | 974 |
| Total | \$ 212,877 | \$ 76,672 | \$ 122,630 | \$ 199,302 | \$ 42,226 | \$ 156,384 |

As of December 31, 2009, the Company's total recorded investment in impaired loans was \$113,975, including \$61,529 with no specific allowance for loan loss and \$52,446 with an allowance for loan losses of \$20,182. As

-81-

Table of Contents

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

of December 31, 2008, the Company's recorded investment in impaired loans was \$84,416, including \$66,667 with no specific allowance for loan loss and \$17,749 with an allowance for loan losses of \$8,015. For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company's average recorded investment in impaired loans was \$106,048 and \$60,728, respectively.

If interest on impaired loans had been accrued, interest income on impaired loans during 2010, 2009 and 2008 would have been approximately \$9,336, \$6,384 and \$4,069, respectively. At December 31, 2010, there were no material commitments to lend additional funds to borrowers whose existing loans have been renegotiated or are classified as nonaccrual.

The Company had loans renegotiated in troubled debt restructurings of \$53,700 as of December 31, 2010, of which \$40,210 were included in nonaccrual loans and \$13,490 were on accrual status. The Company had loans renegotiated in troubled debt restructurings of \$11,413 as of December 31, 2009, of which \$6,730 were included in nonaccrual loans and \$4,683 were on accrual status.

As part of the on-going and continuous monitoring of the credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio, management tracks internally assigned risk classifications of loans. The Company adheres to a Uniform Classification System developed jointly by the various bank regulatory agencies to internally risk rate loans. The Uniform Classification System defines three broad categories of criticized assets, which the Company uses as credit quality indicators:

Other Assets Especially Mentioned includes loans that exhibit weaknesses in financial condition, loan structure or documentation, which if not promptly corrected, may lead to the development of abnormal risk elements.

Substandard includes loans that are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower. Although the primary source of repayment for a Substandard is not currently sufficient; collateral or other sources of repayment are sufficient to satisfy the debt. Continuance of a Substandard loan is not warranted unless positive steps are taken to improve the worthiness of the credit.

Doubtful includes loans that exhibit pronounced weaknesses to a point where collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, is highly questionable and improbable. Doubtful loans are required to be placed on nonaccrual status and are assigned specific loss exposure.

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

The following table presents the Company's recorded investment in criticized loans by class and credit quality indicator based on the most recent analysis performed as of December 31, 2010:

| | Other Assets Especially Mentioned | Substandard | Doubtful | Total Criticized Loans |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------|------------|------------------------------|
| <i>As of December 31, 2010</i> | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 133,700 | \$ 149,604 | \$ 41,662 | \$ 324,966 |
| Construction: | | | | |
| Land acquisition & development | 73,151 | 36,552 | 21,795 | 131,498 |
| Residential | 9,083 | 9,842 | 13,721 | 32,646 |
| Commercial | 9,025 | 18,611 | 13,598 | 41,234 |
| Total construction loans | 91,259 | 65,005 | 49,114 | 205,378 |
| Residential | 13,889 | 18,725 | 11,474 | 44,088 |
| Agricultural | 12,683 | 20,885 | 1,432 | 35,000 |
| Total real estate loans | 251,531 | 254,219 | 103,682 | 609,432 |
| Consumer: | | | | |
| Indirect consumer loans | 768 | 1,964 | 315 | 3,047 |
| Other consumer loans | 903 | 1,499 | 1,131 | 3,533 |
| Credit card loans | | 571 | 3,467 | 4,038 |
| Total consumer loans | 1,671 | 4,034 | 4,913 | 10,618 |
| Commercial | 47,307 | 39,145 | 24,280 | 110,732 |
| Agricultural | 5,416 | 6,255 | 478 | 12,149 |
| Total | \$ 305,925 | \$ 303,653 | \$ 133,353 | \$ 742,931 |

The Company maintains an independent credit review function to assess assigned internal risk classifications and monitor compliance with internal lending policies and procedures. Written action plans with firm target dates for resolution of identified problems are maintained and reviewed on a quarterly basis for all categories of criticized loans.

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)***(4) ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES**

The following table presents a summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the year ended December 31, 2010:

| Year ended December 31, 2010 | Real Estate | Consumer | Commercial | Agriculture | Other | Total |
|---|-------------|-----------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 76,357 | \$ 6,220 | \$ 18,608 | \$ 1,845 | \$ | \$ 103,030 |
| Provision charged to operating expense | 42,163 | 8,636 | 16,333 | (232) | | 66,900 |
| Less loans charged-off | (34,718) | (7,577) | (10,023) | (21) | | (52,339) |
| Add back recoveries of loans previously charged-off | 379 | 2,053 | 436 | 21 | | 2,889 |
| Ending balance | \$ 84,181 | \$ 9,332 | \$ 25,354 | \$ 1,613 | \$ | \$ 120,480 |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 27,081 | \$ | \$ 14,892 | \$ 253 | \$ | \$ 42,226 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 57,100 | 9,314 | 10,480 | 1,360 | | 78,254 |
| Ending balance | \$ 84,181 | \$ 9,314 | \$ 25,372 | \$ 1,613 | \$ | \$ 120,480 |
| Total loans: | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 162,804 | \$ | \$ 35,522 | \$ 976 | \$ | \$ 199,302 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 2,709,125 | 646,580 | 694,949 | 115,570 | 2,383 | 4,168,607 |
| Total loans | \$2,871,929 | \$646,580 | \$730,471 | \$116,546 | \$2,383 | \$4,367,909 |

In determining the allowance for loan losses, the Company estimates losses on specific loans, or groups of loans, where the probable loss can be identified and reasonably determined. The balance of the allowance for loan losses is based on internally assigned risk classifications of loans, historical loan loss rates, changes in the nature of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, industry concentrations, delinquency trends, current economic factors and the estimated impact of current economic conditions on certain historical loan loss rates.

The allowance for loan losses consists of three elements: (1) specific valuation allowances based on probable losses on impaired loans; (2) historical valuation allowances based on loan loss experience for similar loans with similar characteristics and trends; and (3) general valuation allowances determined based on general economic conditions and other qualitative risk factors both internal and external to us.

Specific allowances are established for loans where management has determined that probability of a loss exists by analyzing the borrower's ability to repay amounts owed, collateral deficiencies and any relevant qualitative or environmental factors impacting the loan. Historical valuation allowances are determined by applying percentage

loss factors to the credit exposures from outstanding loans. For commercial, agricultural and real estate loans, loss factors are applied based on the internal risk classifications of these loans. For consumer loans, loss factors are applied on a portfolio basis. For commercial, agriculture and real estate loans, loss factor percentages are based on a migration analysis of our historical loss experience, designed to account for credit deterioration. For consumer loans, loss factor percentages are based on a one-year loss history. General valuation allowances are determined by evaluating, on a quarterly basis, changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, industry concentrations, current economic and regulatory factors and the estimated impact of current economic, environmental and regulatory conditions on historical loss rates.

-84-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

The following table presents a summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses for the years indicated:

| Year ended December 31, | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|------------|-----------|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 87,316 | \$ 52,355 |
| Allowance of acquired banking offices | | 14,463 |
| Provision charged to operating expense | 45,300 | 33,356 |
| Less loans charged-off | (31,978) | (14,695) |
| Add back recoveries of loans previously charged-off | 2,392 | 1,837 |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 103,030 | \$ 87,316 |

(5) PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Premises and equipment and related accumulated depreciation are as follows:

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Land | \$ 35,573 | \$ 36,388 |
| Buildings and improvements | 185,606 | 187,471 |
| Furniture and equipment | 61,689 | 65,985 |
| | 282,868 | 289,844 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (94,730) | (93,537) |
| Premises and equipment, net | \$ 188,138 | \$ 196,307 |

The Parent Company and a FIB branch office lease premises from an affiliated partnership. See Note 15 Commitments and Contingencies.

(6) COMPANY-OWNED LIFE INSURANCE

Company-owned life insurance consists of the following:

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Key executive, principal shareholder | \$ 4,680 | \$ 4,480 |
| Key executive split dollar | 4,330 | 4,212 |
| Group life | 64,046 | 62,682 |
| Total | \$ 73,056 | \$ 71,374 |

The Company maintains key executive life insurance policies on certain principal shareholders. Under these policies, the Company receives benefits payable upon the death of the insured. The net cash surrender value of key executive, principal shareholder insurance policies was \$4,680 and \$4,480 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Company also has life insurance policies covering selected other key officers. The net cash surrender value of these policies was \$4,330 and \$4,212 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Under these policies, the Company receives benefits payable upon death of the insured. An endorsement split dollar agreement has been executed with the selected key officers whereby a portion of the policy death benefit is payable to their designated beneficiaries. The endorsement split dollar agreement will provide postretirement coverage for those selected key officers meeting specified retirement qualifications. The Company expenses the earned portion of the post-employment benefit through the vesting period.

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

The Company has a group life insurance policy covering selected officers of FIB. The net cash surrender value of the policy was \$64,046 and \$62,682 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Under the policy, the Company receives benefits payable upon death of the insured. An endorsement split dollar agreement has been executed with the insured officers whereby a portion of the policy death benefit is payable to their designated beneficiaries if they are employed by the Company at the time of death. The marginal income produced by the policy is used to offset the cost of employee benefit plans of FIB.

(7) OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED

Information with respect to the Company's other real estate owned follows:

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 38,400 | \$ 6,025 | \$ 928 |
| Additions | 21,314 | 42,212 | 5,810 |
| Capitalized improvements | 240 | 6,515 | |
| Valuation adjustments | (6,724) | (5,545) | (34) |
| Dispositions | (19,598) | (10,807) | (679) |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 33,632 | \$ 38,400 | \$6,025 |

(8) MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS

Information with respect to the Company's mortgage servicing rights follows:

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 18,732 | \$ 27,788 | \$ 27,561 |
| Sales of mortgage servicing rights | (4,528) | (3,022) | |
| Purchases of mortgage servicing rights | | 8 | 34 |
| Originations of mortgage servicing rights | 4,222 | 9,681 | 6,111 |
| Amortization expense | (4,615) | (7,568) | (5,918) |
| Write-off of permanent impairment | | (8,155) | |
| Balance at end of year | 13,811 | 18,732 | 27,788 |
| Less valuation reserve | (620) | (1,407) | (16,786) |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 13,191 | \$ 17,325 | \$ 11,002 |
| Principal balances of loans serviced for others | \$1,594,697 | \$2,394,331 | \$2,077,131 |
| Mortgage servicing rights as a percentage of serviced loans | 0.83% | 0.72% | 0.53% |

At December 31, 2010, the estimated fair value and weighted average remaining life of the Company's mortgage servicing rights were \$13,694 and 4.7 years, respectively. The fair value of mortgage servicing rights was determined using discount rates ranging from 9.25% to 21.00% and monthly prepayment speeds ranging from 0.7% to 6.2% depending upon the risk characteristics of the underlying loans. The Company recorded impairment reversals of \$787 and \$7,224 in 2010 and 2009, respectively and recorded as other expense, impairment charges

of \$10,940 in 2008. Permanent impairment of \$8,155 was charged against the carrying value of mortgage servicing rights in 2009. No permanent impairment was recorded in 2010 or 2008.

The Company sold mortgage servicing rights with a carrying value of \$4,528 and \$3,022 in 2010 and 2009, respectively. Losses of \$1,525 and \$48 on the sales were recorded as other expense in 2010 and 2009, respectively. In conjunction with the sales, the Company entered into agreements with the purchaser whereby the Company continues to sub-service the loans underlying the sold mortgage servicing rights.

-86-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)***(9) DEPOSITS**

Deposits are summarized as follows:

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Non-interest bearing demand | \$ 1,063,869 | \$ 1,026,584 |
| Interest bearing: | | |
| Demand | 1,218,078 | 1,197,254 |
| Savings | 1,718,521 | 1,362,410 |
| Time, \$100 and over | 908,044 | 996,839 |
| Time, other | 1,017,201 | 1,240,969 |
| Total interest bearing | 4,861,844 | 4,797,472 |
| Total deposits | \$ 5,925,713 | \$ 5,824,056 |

The Company had no brokered time deposits as of December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Other time deposits include deposits obtained through the Company's participation in the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS). CDARS deposits totaled \$139,431 and \$253,344 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Maturities of time deposits at December 31, 2010 are as follows:

| | Time, \$100 and Over | Total Time |
|------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| 2011 | \$ 770,657 | \$ 1,564,798 |
| 2012 | 77,037 | 199,996 |
| 2013 | 25,052 | 65,929 |
| 2014 | 14,964 | 41,555 |
| 2015 | 20,334 | 52,946 |
| Thereafter | | 21 |
| Total | \$ 908,044 | \$ 1,925,245 |

Interest expense on time deposits of \$100 or more was \$18,595, \$25,212 and \$28,794 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)***(10) LONG-TERM DEBT AND OTHER BORROWED FUNDS**

A summary of long-term debt follows:

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Parent Company: | | |
| 6.81% subordinated term loan maturing January 9, 2018, principal due at maturity, interest payable quarterly | \$20,000 | \$20,000 |
| Variable rate term notes, principal and interest due quarterly | | 33,929 |
| Subsidiaries: | | |
| Variable rate subordinated term loan maturing February 28, 2018, principal due at maturity, interest payable quarterly (rate of 2.29% at December 31, 2010) | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| Various notes payable to FHLB, interest due monthly at various rates and maturities through October 31, 2017 (weighted average rate of 4.95% at December 31, 2010) | 690 | 2,577 |
| 8.00% capital lease obligation with term ending October 25, 2029 | 1,812 | 1,847 |
| Total long-term debt | \$37,502 | \$73,353 |

Maturities of long-term debt at December 31, 2010 are as follows:

| | |
|------------|----------|
| 2011 | \$ 245 |
| 2012 | 49 |
| 2013 | 252 |
| 2014 | 58 |
| 2015 | 295 |
| Thereafter | 36,603 |
| Total | \$37,502 |

Proceeds from the variable rate term notes and the 6.81% subordinated term loan were used to fund the First Western acquisition in 2008. See Note 23 Acquisitions and Dispositions.

On January 10, 2008, the Company entered into a credit agreement (Credit Agreement) with four syndicated banks. Under the original terms of the Credit Agreement, the Company borrowed \$50,000 on variable rate term notes maturing January 10, 2013. On March 29, 2010, the Company repaid all amounts due under the Credit Agreement. A loss of \$306 on the early extinguishment of debt, comprised of unamortized debt issuance costs, was included in other expenses in the Company's consolidated statement of income.

On January 10, 2008, the Company borrowed \$20,000 on a 6.81% unsecured subordinated term loan maturing January 9, 2018, with interest payable quarterly and principal due at maturity. The unsecured subordinated term loan qualifies as tier 2 capital under regulatory capital adequacy guidelines.

During February 2008, the Company borrowed \$15,000 on a variable rate unsecured subordinated term loan maturing February 28, 2018, with interest payable quarterly and principal due at maturity. The Company may elect at various dates either prime or LIBOR plus 2.00%. The interest rate on the subordinated term loan was 2.29% as of December 31, 2010. The unsecured subordinated term loan qualifies as tier 2 capital under regulatory capital adequacy guidelines.

The notes payable to FHLB are secured by a blanket assignment of the Company's qualifying residential and commercial real estate loans. The Company has available lines of credit with the FHLB of approximately \$141,064, subject to collateral availability. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, FHLB advances of \$690 and \$2,577, respectively, were included in long-term debt. As of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 there were no short-term advances outstanding with the FHLB.

-88-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

The Company has a capital lease obligation on a banking office. The balance of the obligation was \$1,812 and \$1,847 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Assets acquired under capital lease, consisting solely of a building and leasehold improvements, are included in premises and equipment and are subject to depreciation. The Company had other borrowed funds of \$4,991 and \$5,423 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, consisting of demand notes issued to the United States Treasury, secured by investment securities and bearing no interest.

The Company has federal funds lines of credit with third parties amounting to \$185,000, subject to funds availability. These lines are subject to cancellation without notice. The Company also has a line of credit with the Federal Reserve Bank for borrowings up to \$319,900 secured by a blanket pledge of indirect consumer loans.

(11) SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES HELD BY SUBSIDIARY TRUSTS

The Company sponsors seven wholly-owned business trusts, FIST, Trust I, Trust II, Trust III, Trust IV, Trust V and Trust VI (collectively, the Trusts). The Trusts were formed for the exclusive purpose of issuing an aggregate of \$120,000 of 30-year floating rate mandatorily redeemable capital trust preferred securities (Trust Preferred Securities) to third-party investors. The Trusts also issued, in aggregate, \$3,715 of common equity securities to the Parent Company. Proceeds from the issuance of the Trust Preferred Securities and common equity securities were invested in 30-year junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures (Subordinated Debentures) issued by the Parent Company.

A summary of Subordinated Debenture issuances follows:

| Issuance | Maturity Date | Principal Amount Outstanding as of December 31, | |
|---|-------------------|--|------------|
| | | 2010 | 2009 |
| March 2003 | March 26, 2033 | \$ 41,238 | \$ 41,238 |
| October 2007 | January 1, 2038 | 10,310 | 10,310 |
| November 2007 | December 15, 2037 | 15,464 | 15,464 |
| December 2007 | December 15, 2037 | 20,619 | 20,619 |
| December 2007 | April 1, 2038 | 15,464 | 15,464 |
| January 2008 | April 1, 2038 | 10,310 | 10,310 |
| January 2008 | April 1, 2038 | 10,310 | 10,310 |
| Total subordinated debentures held by subsidiary trusts | | \$ 123,715 | \$ 123,715 |

In March 2003, the Company issued \$41,238 of Subordinated Debentures to FIST. The Subordinated Debentures bear a cumulative floating interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 3.15% per annum. As of December 31, 2010 the interest rate on the Subordinated Debentures was 3.45%.

In October 2007, the Company issued \$10,310 of Subordinated Debentures to Trust II. The Subordinated Debentures bear a cumulative floating interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 2.25% per annum. As of December 31, 2010 the interest rate on the Subordinated Debentures was 2.54%.

In November 2007, the Company issued \$15,464 of Subordinated Debentures to Trust I. The Subordinated Debentures bear interest at a fixed rate of 7.50% for five years after issuance, and thereafter at a variable rate equal to LIBOR plus 2.75% per annum.

Table of Contents

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

In December 2007, the Company issued \$20,619 of Subordinated Debentures to Trust III. The Subordinated Debentures bear interest at a fixed rate of 6.88% for five years after issuance, and thereafter at a variable rate equal to LIBOR plus 2.40% per annum.

In December 2007, the Company issued \$15,464 of Subordinated Debentures to Trust IV. The Subordinated Debentures bear a cumulative floating interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 2.70% per annum. As of December 31, 2010 the interest rate on the Subordinated Debentures was 2.99%.

In January 2008, the Company issued \$10,310 of Subordinated Debentures to Trust V. The Subordinated Debentures bear interest at a fixed rate of 6.78% for five years after issuance, and thereafter at a variable rate equal to LIBOR plus 2.75% per annum.

In January 2008, the Company issued \$10,310 of Subordinated Debentures to Trust VI. The Subordinated Debentures bear a cumulative floating interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 2.75% per annum. As of December 31, 2010, the interest rate on the Subordinated Debentures was 3.04%.

The Subordinated Debentures are unsecured with interest distributions payable quarterly. The Company may defer the payment of interest at any time provided that the deferral period does not extend past the stated maturity. During any such deferral period, distributions on the Trust Preferred Securities will also be deferred and the Company's ability to pay dividends on its common and preferred shares is restricted. The Subordinated Debentures may be redeemed, subject to approval by the Federal Reserve Bank, at the Company's option on or after five years from the date of issue, or at any time in the event of unfavorable changes in laws or regulations. Debt issuance costs consisting primarily of underwriting discounts and professional fees were capitalized and are being amortized through maturity to interest expense using the straight-line method, which approximates level yield.

The terms of the Trust Preferred Securities are identical to those of the Subordinated Debentures. The Trust Preferred Securities are subject to mandatory redemption upon repayment of the Subordinated Debentures at their stated maturity dates or earlier redemption in an amount equal to their liquidation amount plus accumulated and unpaid distributions to the date of redemption. The Company guarantees the payment of distributions and payments for redemption or liquidation of the Trust Preferred Securities to the extent of funds held by the Trusts. The Trust Preferred Securities qualify as tier 1 capital of the Parent Company under the Federal Reserve Board's capital adequacy guidelines. Proceeds from the issuance of the Trust Preferred Securities were used to fund acquisitions. For additional information regarding acquisitions, see Note 23 Acquisitions and Dispositions.

(12) CAPITAL STOCK AND DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS

On January 10, 2008, the Company issued 5,000 shares of 6.75% Series A noncumulative redeemable preferred stock (Series A Preferred Stock) with an aggregate value of \$50,000 as partial consideration for the acquisition of the First Western entities, see Note 23 Acquisitions and Dispositions. The Series A Preferred Stock was issued to the former owner of the First Western entities, an accredited investor, in a private placement transaction made in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. The Series A Preferred Stock ranks senior to the Company's common stock with respect to dividend and liquidation rights and has no voting rights. Holders of the Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive, if and when declared, noncumulative dividends at an annual rate of \$675 per share, based on a 360 day year. The Company may redeem all or part of the Series A Preferred Stock at any time after the fifth anniversary of the date issued at a redemption price of \$10,000 per share plus all accrued and unpaid dividends. Following the tenth anniversary of the date issued, the Series A Preferred Stock may be converted, at the option of the holder, into shares of the Company's Class B common stock at a ratio of 320 shares of common stock for every one share of Series A Preferred Stock.

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

On March 5, 2010, the Company's shareholders approved proposals to recapitalize the Company's existing common stock. The recapitalization included a redesignation of existing common stock as Class B common stock with five votes per share, convertible into Class A common stock on a share for share basis; a four-for-one stock split of the Class B common stock; an increase in the authorized number of Class B common shares from 20,000,000 to 100,000,000; and, the creation of a new class of common stock designated as Class A common stock, with one vote per share, with 100,000,000 shares authorized.

On March 29, 2010, the Company concluded its initial public offering (IPO) of 10,000,000 shares of Class A common stock, and an additional 1,500,000 shares of Class A common stock pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase Class A common shares in the offering. The Company received net proceeds of \$153,153 from the sale of the shares, after deducting the underwriting discount, commissions and other offering expenses.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had 15,598,632 shares of Class A common stock outstanding, including 10,000,000 shares issued in the IPO, 1,500,000 issued pursuant to the underwriter's option, 6,503 issued under the Company's stock compensation plans and 4,092,129 shares converted from Class B common stock.

The Company had 27,202,062 and 31,349,588 shares of Class B common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

The payment of dividends by subsidiary banks is subject to various federal and state regulatory limitations. In general, a bank is limited, without the prior consent of its regulators, to paying dividends that do not exceed current year net profits together with retained earnings from the two preceding calendar years. The Company's debt instruments also include limitations on the payment of dividends. For additional information regarding dividend restrictions, see Note 10 Long-Term Debt and Other Borrowed Funds.

(13) EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share:

| For the year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| Net income | \$ 37,356 | \$ 53,863 | \$ 70,648 |
| Less preferred stock dividends | 3,422 | 3,422 | 3,347 |
| Net income available to common shareholders, basic and diluted | \$ 33,934 | \$ 50,441 | \$ 67,301 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 39,907,640 | 31,335,668 | 31,484,136 |
| Weighted average common shares issuable upon exercise of stock options and restricted stock awards | 219,725 | 342,832 | 628,536 |
| Weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding | 40,127,365 | 31,678,500 | 32,112,672 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.85 | \$ 1.61 | \$ 2.14 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.85 | \$ 1.59 | \$ 2.10 |

The Company had 2,301,413, 1,933,532 and 1,138,332 stock options outstanding that were antidilutive as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)***(14) REGULATORY CAPITAL**

The Company is subject to the regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking regulators and the Federal Reserve. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company's assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Parent Company, like all bank holding companies, is not subject to the prompt corrective action provisions. Capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of tier 1 capital to average assets, as defined in the regulations. As of December 31, 2010, the Company exceeded all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

The Company's actual capital amounts and ratios and selected minimum regulatory thresholds as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 are presented in the following table:

| | Actual Amount | Ratio | Adequately Capitalized Amount | Ratio | Well Capitalized Amount | Ratio |
|----------------------------|------------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| <i>As of December 31,</i> | | | | | | |
| <i>2010:</i> | | | | | | |
| Total risk-based capital: | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 772,337 | 15.5% | \$ 398,720 | 8.0% | NA | NA |
| FIB | 634,976 | 12.8 | 396,754 | 8.0 | \$ 495,943 | 10.0% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital: | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | 674,319 | 13.5 | 199,360 | 4.0 | NA | NA |
| FIB | 557,261 | 11.2 | 198,377 | 4.0 | \$ 297,566 | 6.0 |
| Leverage capital ratio: | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | 674,319 | 9.3 | 291,023 | 4.0 | NA | NA |
| FIB | 557,261 | 7.7 | 290,071 | 4.0 | \$ 362,589 | 5.0 |

| | Actual Amount | Ratio | Adequately Capitalized Amount | Ratio | Well Capitalized Amount | Ratio |
|----------------------------|------------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| <i>As of December 31,</i> | | | | | | |
| <i>2009:</i> | | | | | | |
| Total risk-based capital: | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 599,458 | 11.7% | \$ 410,635 | 8.0% | NA | NA |
| FIB | 597,873 | 11.7 | 408,991 | 8.0 | \$ 511,238 | 10.0% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital: | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | 499,816 | 9.7 | 205,317 | 4.0 | NA | NA |

Edgar Filing: FIRST INTERSTATE BANCSYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|------|---------|-----|-----------|-----|
| FIB | 518,485 | 10.1 | 204,495 | 4.0 | \$306,743 | 6.0 |
| Leverage capital ratio: | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | 499,816 | 7.3 | 274,059 | 4.0 | NA | NA |
| FIB | 518,485 | 7.6 | 273,258 | 4.0 | \$341,572 | 5.0 |

-92-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)***(15) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

In the normal course of business, the Company is involved in various claims and litigation. In the opinion of management, following consultation with legal counsel, the ultimate liability or disposition thereof will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity of the Company.

The Company had commitments under construction contracts of \$953 as of December 31, 2010.

The Company had commitments to purchase held-to-maturity municipal investment securities of \$436 as of December 31, 2010.

The Company leases certain premises and equipment from third parties under operating leases. Total rental expense to third parties was \$1,960 in 2010, \$2,425 in 2009 and \$3,474 in 2008.

The total future minimum rental commitments, exclusive of maintenance and operating costs, required under operating leases that have initial or remaining noncancelable lease terms in excess of one year at December 31, 2010, are as follows:

| | Third Parties | Related Partnership | Total |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------|
| For the year ending December 31: | | | |
| 2011 | \$1,225 | \$2,083 | \$ 3,308 |
| 2012 | 898 | 1,981 | 2,879 |
| 2013 | 638 | 1,816 | 2,454 |
| 2014 | 570 | 1,702 | 2,272 |
| 2015 | 547 | 1,199 | 1,746 |
| Thereafter | 5,476 | | 5,476 |
| Total | \$9,354 | \$8,781 | \$18,135 |

The Parent Company and the Billings office of FIB are the anchor tenants in a building owned by a partnership in which FIB is one of two partners, and has a 50% partnership interest.

The Company participates in credit and debit card transactions through Visa U.S.A., Inc. card association or its affiliates (collectively Visa). On October 3, 2008, Visa completed a restructuring and issued shares of Class B Visa, Inc. common stock to its financial members, including 60,108 shares to the Company. For purposes of converting Class B shares to Class A shares of Visa, Inc., a conversion factor is applied, which is subject to adjustment depending on the outcome of certain specifically defined litigation against Visa. The Class B shares are not transferable, except to another member bank until the later of March 31, 2011 or the date on which certain specifically defined Visa litigation is resolved. The Company recorded the Visa Class B shares in other assets at their cost basis of \$0.

In September 2009, the Company sold all of its Visa Class B shares for \$2,128. In conjunction with the sale, the Company entered into a derivative contract whereby the Company will make or receive payments based on subsequent changes in the conversion rate of Class B Visa common shares in Class A Visa common shares. The derivative contract terminates on the later of March 31, 2011 or the date on which certain specifically designated Visa litigation has been resolved. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, a liability of \$86 and \$245, respectively, related to the derivative contract is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses. The derivative contract is collateralized by \$1,205 of U.S. government agency investment securities.

Table of Contents

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

Residential mortgage loans sold to investors in the secondary market are sold with varying recourse provisions. Essentially all of the loan sales agreements require the repurchase of a mortgage loan by the seller in situations such as breach of representation, warranty or covenant; untimely document delivery; false or misleading statements; failure to obtain certain certificates or insurance; unmarketability; etc. Certain loan sales agreements contain repurchase requirements based on payment-related defects that are defined in terms of the number of days or months since the purchase, the sequence number of the payment, and/or the number of days of payment delinquency. Based on the specific terms stated in the agreements, the Company had \$5,987 and \$7,705 of sold residential mortgage loans with recourse provisions still in effect as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Company did not repurchase any significant amount of loans from secondary market investors under the terms of loan sales agreements during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. In the opinion of management, the risk of recourse and the subsequent requirement of loan repurchase to the Company is not significant, and accordingly no liabilities have been established related to such. In addition, the Company issues various representations and warranties associated with the sale of loans. The Company has not incurred significant losses resulting from these provisions.

(16) FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS WITH OFF-BALANCE SHEET RISK

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of amounts recorded in the consolidated balance sheet. The Company evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, premises and equipment, and income-producing commercial properties.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the commitment contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Generally, commitments to extend credit are subject to annual renewal. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Commitments to extend credit to borrowers approximated \$1,038,979 at December 31, 2010, which included \$270,142 on unused credit card lines and \$232,795 with commitment maturities beyond one year. Commitments to extend credit to borrowers approximated \$998,193 at December 31, 2009, which included \$253,794 on unused credit card lines and \$258,946 with commitment maturities beyond one year.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Most commitments extend for no more than two years and are generally subject to annual renewal. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had outstanding stand-by letters of credit of \$85,543 and \$82,980, respectively. The estimated fair value of the obligation undertaken by the Company in issuing standby letters of credit is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)***(17) INCOME TAXES**

Income tax expense consists of the following:

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 29,866 | \$ 18,691 | \$ 39,389 |
| State | 4,481 | 2,715 | 5,618 |
| Total current | 34,347 | 21,406 | 45,007 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | (15,268) | 4,846 | (6,691) |
| State | (1,989) | 701 | (887) |
| Total deferred | (17,257) | 5,547 | (7,578) |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 17,090 | \$ 26,953 | \$ 37,429 |

Total income tax expense differs from the amount computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate of 35 percent in 2010, 2009 and 2008 to income before income taxes as a result of the following:

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Tax expense at the statutory tax rate | \$ 19,056 | \$ 28,286 | \$ 37,827 |
| Increase (decrease) in tax resulting from: | | | |
| Tax-exempt income | (3,661) | (3,784) | (4,028) |
| State income tax, net of federal income tax benefit | 1,619 | 2,225 | 3,130 |
| Other, net | 76 | 226 | 500 |
| Tax expense at effective tax rate | \$ 17,090 | \$ 26,953 | \$ 37,429 |

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

The tax effects of temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities that give rise to significant portions of the net deferred tax asset (liability) relate to the following:

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|-----------|------------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Loans, principally due to allowance for loan losses | \$ 46,623 | \$ 28,657 |
| Employee benefits | 7,182 | 5,334 |
| Other real estate owned writedowns | 3,170 | 1,952 |
| Deferred gain on sale of subsidiary | 1,263 | 1,594 |
| Other | 400 | 403 |
| Deferred tax assets | 58,638 | 37,940 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Fixed assets, principally differences in bases and depreciation | (4,467) | (4,885) |
| Investment securities, unrealized gains | (6,652) | (9,758) |
| Investment in joint venture partnership, principally due to differences in depreciation of partnership assets | (735) | (865) |
| Prepaid amounts | (789) | (801) |
| Government agency stock dividends | (2,081) | (2,056) |
| Goodwill and core deposit intangibles | (19,044) | (15,158) |
| Mortgage servicing rights | (4,761) | (5,419) |
| Other | (1,637) | (888) |
| Deferred tax liabilities | (40,166) | (39,830) |
| Net deferred tax (liabilities) assets | \$ 18,472 | \$ (1,890) |

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had a net deferred tax asset of \$18,472. The Company had a current net income tax receivable of \$1,764 and a current net income tax payable of \$1,625 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which are included in accounts payable and accrued expenses.

(18) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has equity awards outstanding under two stock-based compensation plans; the 2006 Equity Compensation Plan (the 2006 Plan) and the 2001 Stock Option Plan. These plans were primarily established to enhance the Company's ability to attract, retain and motivate employees. The Company's Board of Directors or, upon delegation, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the Compensation Committee) has exclusive authority to select employees, advisors and others, including directors, to receive awards and to establish the terms and conditions of each award made pursuant to the Company's stock-based compensation plans.

The 2006 Plan, approved by the Company's shareholders in May 2006, was established to consolidate into one plan the benefits available under the 2001 Stock Option Plan and all other then existing share-based award plans (collectively, the Previous Plans). The Previous Plans continue with respect to awards made prior to May 2006. All shares of common stock available for future grant under the Previous Plans were transferred into the 2006 Plan. At December 31, 2010, there were 942,254 common shares available for future grant under the 2006 Plan. All awards granted subsequent to completion of the Company's IPO on March 29, 2010 will be for shares of

Class A common stock. All awards granted prior to the Company's IPO are for shares of Class B common stock.

-96-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

Stock Options. All options granted have an exercise price equal to fair market value, which is currently defined as the closing sales price for the stock as quoted on the NASDAQ Stock Market for the last market trading day proceeding the date that the Company's Board of Directors awards the benefit. Options may be subject to vesting as determined by the Company's Board of Directors or Compensation Committee; and, can be exercised for periods of up to ten years from the date of grant.

Compensation expense related to stock option awards of \$813, \$588 and \$896 was included in salaries, wages and benefits expense on the Company's consolidated income statements for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Related income tax benefits recognized for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$311, \$225 and \$342, respectively.

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted was \$4.58, \$1.01 and \$1.44 during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The following table presents the weighted-average assumptions used in the option pricing model for the periods indicated:

| Years ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| Expected volatility | 35.66% | 9.58% | 6.91% |
| Expected dividend yield | 2.98% | 3.28% | 3.11% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 3.08% | 2.64% | 3.72% |
| Expected life of options (in years) | 7.7 | 7.7 | 6.2 |

Expected dividend yield is based on the Company's annualized expected dividends per share divided by the average common stock price. Risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. treasury constant maturity yield for treasury securities with maturities approximating the expected life of the options granted on the date of grant. The 2010 expected life of options is based on the Company's historical exercise and post-vesting termination behaviors. Prior to 2009, the Company elected to use the simplified method to estimate expected life. The Company expected the historical volatility of its common stock would not be indicative of future volatility subsequent to the Company's IPO, which was concluded on March 29, 2010. As such, in 2010 the Company estimated expected volatility based on the share price volatility of a peer group of publicly-traded regional banks of similar size and performance as the Company over the expected life of options. Prior to 2010, expected volatility was based on the historical volatility of the Company's common stock calculated using the quarterly appraised value of a minority interest over the expected life of options.

The following table summarizes stock option activity under the Company's active stock option plans for the year ended December 31, 2010:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average Remaining Contract Life |
|--|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Outstanding options, beginning of year | 3,577,332 | \$ 15.99 | |
| Granted | 285,628 | 15.09 | |
| Exercised | (204,672) | 11.20 | |
| Forfeited | (44,588) | 16.60 | |
| Expired | (28,848) | 18.84 | |
| Outstanding options, end of year | 3,584,852 | \$ 16.15 | 5.14 years |

| | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|------------|
| Outstanding options exercisable, end of year | 2,959,498 | \$ 16.11 | 4.45 years |
|--|-----------|----------|------------|

-97-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

The total intrinsic value of fully-vested stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2010 was \$3,948. The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$757, \$2,035 and \$3,296 during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The actual tax benefit realized for the tax deduction from option exercises totaled \$250, \$733 and \$1,178 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company received cash of \$649, \$144 and \$1,741 from stock option exercises during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In addition, the Company redeemed common stock with aggregate values of \$1,643, \$3,183 and \$2,695 tendered in payment for stock option exercises during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Information with respect to the Company's nonvested stock options as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 follows:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|--|---------------------|--|
| Nonvested stock options, beginning of year | 811,428 | \$ 1.32 |
| Granted | 285,628 | 4.58 |
| Vested | (427,114) | 1.71 |
| Forfeited | (44,588) | 2.49 |
| Nonvested stock options, end of year | 625,354 | \$ 2.46 |

As of December 31, 2010, there was \$970 of unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options granted under the Company's active stock option plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.40 years. The total fair value of shares vested during 2010 was \$730.

Restricted Stock Awards. Common stock issued under the Company's restricted stock plan may not be sold or otherwise transferred until restrictions have lapsed or performance objectives have been obtained. During the vesting periods, participants have voting rights and receive dividends on the restricted shares. Upon termination of employment, common shares upon which restrictions have not lapsed must be returned to the Company.

Based on the substantive terms of each award, restricted shares are classified as equity or liability awards. The fair value of equity-classified restricted stock awards, based on peer group of region banks of comparable size with performance ratios similar to our own, is being amortized as compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the period restrictions lapse or performance goals are met. Compensation cost for liability-classified awards is expensed each period from the date of grant to the measurement date based on the fair value of the Company's common stock at the end of each period. Compensation expense related to restricted stock awards of \$847, \$436 and \$15 was included in salaries, wages and benefits expense on the Company's consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Related income tax benefits recognized for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$324, \$167 and \$6, respectively.

The following table presents information regarding the Company's restricted stock as of December 31, 2010:

-98-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

| | Number of Shares | Weighted-Average Measurement Date Fair Value |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Restricted stock, beginning of year | 68,136 | \$ 18.41 |
| Granted | 117,140 | 15.00 |
| Lapsed | (11,045) | 16.90 |
| Forfeited | (18,821) | 16.69 |
| Restricted stock, end of year | 155,410 | \$ 15.76 |

During 2010, the Company issued 117,140 restricted common shares as follows: 13,460 shares that vest in varying percentages upon achievement of defined return on asset performance goals and employment on December 31, 2012 and 103,680 shares that vest one-third on each annual anniversary of the grant date through February 5, 2013 contingent on continued employment

As of December 31, 2010, there was \$1,313 of unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock awards expected to be recognized over a period of 1.91 years.

(19) EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Profit Sharing Plan. The Company has a noncontributory profit sharing plan. All employees, other than temporary employees, working 20 hours or more per week are eligible to participate in the profit sharing plan. The Company's Board of Directors authorizes quarterly contributions to the profit sharing plan that are not to exceed, on an individual basis, the lesser of 100% of compensation or \$40 annually. Participants become 100% vested upon the completion of three years of vesting service. The Company accrued contribution expense for this plan of \$1,197, \$1,757 and \$2,739 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Savings Plan. In addition, the Company has a contributory employee savings plan. Eligibility requirements for this plan are the same as those for the profit sharing plan discussed in the preceding paragraph. Employee participation in the plan is at the option of the employee. The Company contributes \$1.25 for each \$1.00 of employee contributions up to 4% of the participating employee's compensation. The Company accrued contribution expense for this plan of \$3,896, \$3,857 and \$3,896 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Postretirement Healthcare Plan. The Company sponsors a contributory defined benefit healthcare plan (the Plan) for active employees and employees and directors retiring from the Company at the age of at least 55 years and with at least 15 years of continuous service. Retired Plan participants contribute the full cost of benefits based on the average per capita cost of benefit coverage for both active employees and retired Plan participants.

The Plan's unfunded benefit obligation of \$3,575 and \$2,305 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Net periodic benefit costs of \$502, \$194 and \$152 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, are included in salaries, wages and employee benefits expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

Weighted average actuarial assumptions used to determine the postretirement benefit obligation at December 31, 2010, and the net periodic benefit costs for the year then ended, included a discount rate of 5.3% and a 5.0% annual increase in the per capita cost of covered healthcare benefits. Weighted average actuarial assumptions

used to determine the postretirement benefit obligation at December 31, 2009, and the net periodic benefit costs for the year then ended, included a discount rate of 6.0% and a 6.0% annual increase in the per capita cost of covered healthcare benefits. The estimated effect of a one percent increase or a one percent decrease in the assumed healthcare cost trend rate did not significantly impact the service and interest cost components of the net periodic benefit cost or the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation. Future benefit payments are expected to be \$193, \$177, \$176, \$207 \$195 and \$1,381 for 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 through 2020, respectively.

At December 31, 2010, the Company had accumulated other comprehensive loss related to the Plan of \$2,535, or \$1,584 net of related income tax benefit, comprised of net actuarial losses of \$2,011 and unamortized transition asset of \$524. The Company estimates \$135 will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net period benefit costs in 2011.

-99-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)***(20) OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

Total comprehensive income is reported in the accompanying statements of changes in stockholders' equity. Information related to net other comprehensive income is as follows:

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | |
| Investment securities available-for-sale: | | | |
| Change in net unrealized (loss) gain during the period | \$(8,438) | \$10,322 | \$17,799 |
| Reclassification adjustment for losses (gains) included in income | (170) | (137) | (101) |
| Unamortized premium on available-for-sale securities transferred into held-to-maturity | 722 | 1,055 | |
| Change in the net actuarial loss on defined benefit post-retirement benefit plans | (940) | (1,179) | (13) |
| | (8,826) | 10,061 | 17,685 |
| Deferred tax expense | (3,126) | 3,958 | 6,958 |
| Net other comprehensive income | \$(5,700) | \$ 6,103 | \$10,727 |

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of income taxes, are as follows:

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Net unrealized gain on investment securities available-for-sale | \$10,959 | \$16,072 |
| Net actuarial loss on defined benefit post-retirement benefit plans | (1,584) | (997) |
| Net accumulated other comprehensive income | \$ 9,375 | \$15,075 |

(21) NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES

The Company transferred loans of \$21,314, \$42,212 and \$5,645 to other real estate owned in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The Company transferred internally originated mortgage servicing assets of \$4,222, \$9,681 and \$6,111 from loans to mortgage servicing assets in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The Company transferred real property pending disposal of \$1,513 to other assets in 2010. The Company transferred equipment pending disposal of \$1,519 to other assets in 2009.

The Company transferred accrued liabilities of \$59 to common stock in conjunction with the vesting of liability-classified non-vested stock awards during 2010.

In conjunction with the sale of mortgage servicing rights, the Company recorded receivables of \$1,204 and \$938 during 2010 and 2009, respectively.

During 2008, the Company transferred accrued liabilities of \$38 to common stock in conjunction with the exercise of stock options.

In conjunction with the sale of a nonbank subsidiary in December 2008, the Company divested assets and liabilities with book values of \$9,299 and \$128, respectively. For additional information regarding the sale, see Note 23 Acquisitions and Dispositions.

-100-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

On January 10, 2008, the Company issued 5,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock with an aggregate value of \$50,000. The Series A Preferred Stock was issued in partial consideration for the First Western acquisition. For additional information regarding the acquisition, see Note 23 Acquisitions and Dispositions.

On March 27, 2008, the Company transferred \$100,000 from retained earnings to common stock.

(22) CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION (PARENT COMPANY ONLY)

Following is condensed financial information of First Interstate BancSystem, Inc.

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------|
| <i>Condensed balance sheets:</i> | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 133,277 | \$ 30,749 | |
| Investment in subsidiaries, at equity: | | | |
| Bank subsidiaries | 740,006 | 712,776 | |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | 1,975 | 1,961 | |
| Total investment in subsidiaries | 741,981 | 714,737 | |
| Other assets | 27,010 | 26,213 | |
| Total assets | \$902,268 | \$771,699 | |
| Other liabilities | \$ 16,697 | \$ 19,569 | |
| Advances from subsidiaries, net | 5,054 | 52 | |
| Long-term debt | 20,000 | 53,929 | |
| Subordinated debentures held by subsidiary trusts | 123,715 | 123,715 | |
| Total liabilities | 165,466 | 197,265 | |
| Stockholders' equity | 736,802 | 574,434 | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$902,268 | \$771,699 | |
| Years Ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| <i>Condensed statements of income:</i> | | | |
| Dividends from subsidiaries | \$ 15,400 | \$ 41,900 | \$ 64,539 |
| Other interest income | 105 | 9 | 29 |
| Other income, primarily management fees from subsidiaries | 11,336 | 11,529 | 9,101 |
| Gain on sale of nonbank subsidiary | | | 27,096 |
| Total income | 26,841 | 53,438 | 100,765 |
| Salaries and benefits | 13,435 | 12,687 | 9,030 |
| Interest expense | 7,703 | 8,773 | 12,075 |
| Other operating expenses, net | 6,827 | 6,270 | 7,713 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST INTERSTATE BANCSYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|
| Total expenses | 27,965 | 27,730 | 28,818 |
| Earnings before income tax benefit | (1,124) | 25,708 | 71,947 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | (6,254) | (6,261) | 2,814 |
| Income before undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | 5,130 | 31,969 | 69,133 |
| Undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | 32,226 | 21,894 | 1,515 |
| Net income | \$37,356 | \$53,863 | \$ 70,648 |

-101-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

| Years Ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| <i>Condensed statements of cash flows:</i> | | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 37,356 | \$ 53,863 | \$ 70,648 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | (32,226) | (21,894) | (1,515) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 217 | 241 | 289 |
| Write-down of equipment pending sale | | 350 | |
| Deferred income tax benefit | (1,455) | (1,401) | (706) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 1,661 | 1,024 | 911 |
| Tax benefits from stock-based compensation | 239 | 742 | 1,178 |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | (225) | (719) | (1,140) |
| Gain on sale of nonbank subsidiary | | | (27,096) |
| Other, net | (3,087) | (8,664) | 10,130 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 2,480 | 23,542 | 52,699 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Maturities of available-for-sale investment securities | | | 100,000 |
| Capitalization of subsidiaries | (130) | (535) | (1,140) |
| Acquisition of banks and data service company, net of cash and cash equivalents received | | | (198,081) |
| Proceeds from disposition of nonbank subsidiary | | | 41,026 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (130) | (535) | (58,195) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in advances from nonbank subsidiaries | 5,002 | (4,718) | (1,634) |
| Borrowings of long-term debt | | | 98,500 |
| Repayments of long-term debt | (33,929) | (8,928) | (35,643) |
| Proceeds from issuance subordinated debentures | | | 20,620 |
| Debt issuance costs | | (261) | (576) |
| Preferred stock issuance costs | | | (38) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 167,503 | 3,957 | 13,662 |
| Common stock issuance costs | (13,597) | | |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | 225 | 719 | 1,140 |
| Purchase and retirement of common stock | (3,699) | (11,052) | (27,912) |
| Dividends paid to common stockholders | (17,905) | (15,694) | (20,578) |
| Dividends paid to preferred stockholders | (3,422) | (3,422) | (3,347) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 100,178 | (39,399) | 44,194 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST INTERSTATE BANCSYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | 102,528 | (16,392) | 38,698 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 30,749 | 47,141 | 8,443 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$133,277 | \$ 30,749 | \$ 47,141 |

Noncash Investing and Financing Activities The Company transferred accrued liabilities of \$59 to common stock in conjunction with the vesting of liability-classified non-vested stock awards during 2010.

During 2009, the Company settled an intercompany payable to a nonbank subsidiary through investment in subsidiary. The settlement resulted in a decrease in advances from subsidiary of \$581 and a corresponding decrease in investment in subsidiary.

-102-

Table of Contents

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

During 2009, the Company transferred equipment pending disposal of \$1,519 to other assets.

During 2008, the Company transferred \$38 from accrued liabilities to common stock in conjunction with the exercise of stock options.

In conjunction with the sale of a nonbank subsidiary in December 2008, the Parent Company settled intercompany balances through its investment in the i_Tech subsidiary. The settlement resulted in increases in other assets, accrued liabilities and long-term debt of \$320, \$1,188 and \$299, respectively, with corresponding decreases in investment in subsidiary.

On January 10, 2008, the Company issued 5,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock with an aggregate value of \$50,000. The Series A Preferred Stock was issued in partial consideration for the First Western acquisition. For additional information regarding the acquisition, see Note 23 Acquisitions and Dispositions.

On March 27, 2008, the Company transferred \$100,000 from retained earnings to common stock.

(23) ACQUISITIONS AND DISPOSITIONS

On January 10, 2008, the Company completed the purchase all of the outstanding stock of Sturgis, Wall and Data (collectively, First Western). At the acquisition date, First Western had total assets of approximately \$913,000, loans of approximately \$727,000 and deposits of approximately \$814,000. Consideration for the acquisition of \$248,081 consisted of cash of \$198,081 and 5,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock with an aggregate value of \$50,000. See Note 12 Capital Stock and Dividend Restrictions for further information regarding the Series A Preferred Stock. The cash portion of the purchase price was funded through debt financing. See Note 10 Long-Term Debt and Other Borrowed Funds and Note 11 Subordinated Debentures Held by Subsidiary Trusts for further information regarding debt financing. In conjunction with the acquisition, the Company recorded goodwill of \$146,293, of which approximately \$133,239 is expected to be deductible for income tax purposes, and core deposit intangibles of \$14,928 with a weighted average amortization period of approximately 9.2 years. The consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2008 includes the operating results of the acquired entities from the date of acquisition. If the acquisition had occurred as of the beginning of each prior period presented, pro forma interest income, non-interest income and net income would have been \$357,477, \$128,516 and \$71,055, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2008.

On December 31, 2008, the Company completed the sale of its technology services subsidiary, i_Tech. The aggregate sales price under the agreement was \$41,180. Concurrent with the sale, the Company entered into a service agreement with the purchaser to receive data processing, electronic funds transfer and other technology services for a period of seven years at current market rates for such services. A net gain of \$31,596 was recognized on the sale, of which \$4,500 was deferred and is being amortized to outsourced technology services expense using the straight-line method over the term of the service agreement. The Company paid i_Tech \$12,622 for technology services during 2008.

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)***(24) FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

Financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are as follows:

| | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| | Balance as of 12/31/2010 | | | |
| Investment securities available-for-sale: | | | | |
| Obligations of U.S. government agencies | \$953,420 | \$ | \$ 953,420 | \$ |
| U.S. agencies mortgage-backed securities | 831,860 | | 831,860 | |
| Private mortgage-backed securities | 1,055 | | 1,055 | |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 13,694 | | 13,694 | |
| Derivative liability contract | 86 | | | 86 |

| | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| | Balance as of 12/31/2009 | | | |
| Investment securities available-for-sale: | | | | |
| Obligations of U.S. government agencies | \$571,446 | \$ | \$ 571,446 | \$ |
| U.S. agencies mortgage-backed securities | 743,640 | | 743,640 | |
| Private mortgage-backed securities | 1,343 | | 1,343 | |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 17,746 | | 17,746 | |
| Derivative liability contract | 245 | | | 245 |

The following table reconciles the beginning and ending balances of the derivative liability contract measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs during the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| <i>For the Twelve Months Ended December 31,</i> | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|--------|-------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 245 | \$ |
| Accruals during the period | 155 | 245 |
| Cash payments during the period | (314) | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 86 | \$245 |

The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument above:

Investment Securities Available-for-Sale. The Company obtains fair value measurements for investment securities available-for-sale from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the investment's terms and conditions, among other things.

-104-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

Mortgage Servicing Rights. Mortgage servicing rights are initially recorded at fair value based on comparable market quotes and are amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income. Mortgage servicing rights are evaluated quarterly for impairment using an independent valuation service. The valuation service utilizes discounted cash flow modeling techniques, which consider observable data that includes market consensus prepayment speeds and the predominant risk characteristics of the underlying loans including loan type, note rate and loan term. Management believes the significant inputs utilized in the valuation model are observable in the market.

Derivative Liability Contract. In conjunction with the sale of all of its Class B shares of Visa, Inc. (Visa) common stock in 2009, the Company entered into a derivative liability contract with the purchaser whereby the Company will make or receive cash payments based on subsequent changes in the conversion rate of the Class B shares into Class A shares of Visa. The conversion rate is dependent upon the resolution of certain litigation involving Visa U.S.A. Inc. card association or its affiliates. The value of the derivative liability contract is estimated based on the Company's expectations regarding the ultimate resolution of that litigation, which involves a high degree of judgment and subjectivity. On May 28, 2010 and October 8, 2010, Visa disclosed it had provided additional funding to its litigation escrow account thereby reducing the conversion rate of the Class B shares into Class A shares. In conjunction with these changes in conversion rate, the Company made cash payments to the purchaser of \$314. In addition, during 2010 the Company revised its estimate of Visa's future litigation funding and increased its derivative contract liability by \$155.

Additionally, from time to time, certain assets are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis. Adjustments to fair value generally result from the application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets due to impairment.

The following table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

| | | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------|---|---|--|----------------------------|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total Gains (Losses) |
| <i>As of December 31, 2010</i> | Total | | | | |
| Impaired loans | \$97,574 | \$ | \$ | \$97,574 | \$(55,800) |
| Other real estate owned | 21,555 | | | 21,555 | (11,116) |

Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using
Quoted
Prices
in
Active
Markets
for
Identical
Assets
(Level
1)

Significant
Other
Observable
Inputs
(Level 2)

Significant
Unobservable
Inputs
(Level 3)

Total
Gains
(Losses)

| | | Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total Gains (Losses) |
|---|----------|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| <i>As of December 31, 2009</i> | Total | | | | |
| Impaired loans | \$41,343 | \$ | \$ | \$41,343 | \$(27,237) |
| Other real estate owned | 23,587 | | | 23,587 | (11,678) |
| Long-lived asset to be disposed of by sale | 1,169 | | | 1,169 | (350) |

-105-

Table of Contents

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

Impaired Loans. Certain impaired loans are reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral if repayment is expected solely from collateral. The impaired loans are reported at fair value through specific valuation allowance allocations. In addition, when it is determined that the fair value of an impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, the carrying value of the loan is adjusted to fair value through a charge to the allowance for loan losses. Collateral values are estimated using inputs based upon observable market data and customized discounting criteria. During 2010, certain impaired loans with a carrying value of \$153,375 were reduced by specific valuation allowance allocations of \$42,226 and partial loan charge-offs of \$17,170 resulting in a reported fair value of \$97,574. During 2009, certain impaired loans with a carrying value of \$68,580 were reduced by specific valuation allowance allocations of \$20,182 and partial loan charge-offs of \$7,055, resulting in a reported fair value of \$97,574.

OREO. The fair values of OREO are determined by independent appraisals or are estimated using observable market data in combination with customized discounting criteria. Upon initial recognition, write-downs based on the foreclosed asset's fair value at foreclosure are reported through charges to the allowance for loan losses. Periodically, the fair value of foreclosed assets is remeasured with any subsequent write-downs charged to OREO expense in the period in which they are identified. During 2010, OREO with a carrying value of \$32,671 was written down to its fair value of \$21,555, resulting in charges to the allowance for loan losses of \$4,392 and write-downs of \$6,724. During 2009, OREO with a carrying value of \$35,265 was written down to its fair value of \$23,587, resulting in charges to the allowance for loan losses of \$6,133 and write-downs of \$5,545.

Long-lived Assets to be Disposed of by Sale. Long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale are carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value less estimated costs to sell. The fair values of long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale are based upon observable market data and customized discounting criteria. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had a long-lived asset to be disposed of by sale of \$1,513 that was carried at cost. During 2009, a long-lived asset to be disposed of by sale with a carrying amount of \$1,519 was written down to its fair value of \$1,169 resulting in an impairment charge of \$350, which was included in other non-interest expense.

In addition, mortgage loans held for sale are required to be measured at the lower of cost or fair value. The fair value of mortgage loans held for sale is based upon binding contracts or quotes or bids from third party investors. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, all mortgage loans held for sale were recorded at cost.

The Company is required to disclose the fair value of financial instruments for which it is practical to estimate fair value. The methodologies for estimating the fair value of financial instruments that are measured at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis are discussed above. The methodologies for estimating the fair value of other financial instruments are discussed below. For financial instruments bearing a variable interest rate where no credit risk exists, it is presumed that recorded book values are reasonable estimates of fair value.

Financial Assets. Carrying values of cash, cash equivalents and accrued interest receivable approximate fair values due to the liquid and/or short-term nature of these instruments. Fair values for investment securities held-to-maturity are obtained from an independent pricing service, which considers observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the investment's terms and conditions, among other things. Fair values of fixed rate loans and variable rate loans that reprice on an infrequent basis are estimated by discounting future cash flows using current interest rates at which similar loans with similar terms would be made to borrowers of similar credit quality. Carrying values of variable rate loans that reprice frequently, and with no change in credit risk, approximate the fair values of these instruments.

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

Financial Liabilities. The fair values of demand deposits, savings accounts, securities sold under repurchase agreements and accrued interest payable are the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair values of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit are estimated using external market rates currently offered for deposits with similar remaining maturities. The carrying values of the interest bearing demand notes to the United States Treasury are deemed an approximation of fair values due to the frequent repayment and repricing at market rates. The fair value of the derivative contract was estimated by discounting cash flows using assumptions regarding the expected outcome of related litigation. The floating rate term notes, floating rate subordinated debentures, floating rate subordinated term loan and unsecured demand notes bear interest at floating market rates and, as such, carrying amounts are deemed to approximate fair values. The fair values of notes payable to the FHLB, fixed rate subordinated term debt and capital lease obligation are estimated by discounting future cash flows using current rates for advances with similar characteristics.

Commitments to Extend Credit and Standby Letters of Credit. The fair value of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, is not significant.

A summary of the estimated fair values of financial instruments follows:

| As of December 31, | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value |
| Financial assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 685,618 | \$ 685,618 | \$ 623,482 | \$ 623,482 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | 1,786,335 | 1,786,335 | 1,316,429 | 1,316,429 |
| Investment securities held-to-maturity | 147,068 | 146,508 | 129,851 | 130,855 |
| Net loans | 4,247,429 | 4,222,984 | 4,424,974 | 4,422,288 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 33,628 | 33,628 | 37,123 | 37,123 |
| Mortgage servicing rights, net | 13,191 | 13,694 | 17,325 | 17,746 |
| Total financial assets | \$6,913,269 | \$6,888,767 | \$6,549,184 | \$6,547,923 |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | |
| Total deposits, excluding time deposits | \$4,000,468 | \$4,000,468 | \$3,586,248 | \$3,586,248 |
| Time deposits | 1,925,245 | 1,936,011 | 2,237,808 | 2,246,223 |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements | 620,154 | 620,154 | 474,141 | 474,141 |
| Derivative contract | 86 | 86 | 245 | 245 |
| Accrued interest payable | 13,178 | 13,178 | 17,585 | 17,585 |
| Other borrowed funds | 4,991 | 4,991 | 5,423 | 5,423 |
| Long-term debt | 37,502 | 40,031 | 73,353 | 74,913 |
| Subordinated debentures held by subsidiary trusts | 123,715 | 128,954 | 123,715 | 128,802 |
| Total financial liabilities | \$6,725,339 | \$6,743,873 | \$6,518,518 | \$6,533,580 |

(25) RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company conducts banking transactions in the ordinary course of business with related parties, including directors, executive officers, shareholders and their associates, on the same terms as those prevailing at the same

time for comparable transactions with unrelated persons and that do not involve more than a normal risk of collectibility or present other unfavorable features.

-107-

Table of Contents

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

Certain executive officers, directors and greater than 5% shareholders of the Company and certain entities and individuals related to such persons, incurred indebtedness in the form of loans, as customers, of \$40,772 at December 31, 2010 and \$48,395 at December 31, 2009. During 2010, new loans and advances on existing loans of \$24,007 were funded and loan repayments totaled \$31,267. These loans were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable loans with persons not related to the Company and are allowable under the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002. Additionally, during 2010, net loans of \$363 were removed due to changes in related parties from the prior year.

The Company leases an aircraft from an entity wholly-owned by the chairman of the Company's Board of Directors. Under the terms of the lease, the Company pays a fee for each flight hour plus certain third party operating expenses related to the aircraft. During 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Company paid total fees and operating expenses of \$305, \$296 and \$458, respectively, for its use of the aircraft. In addition, the Company leases a portion of its hanger and provides pilot services to the related entity. During 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Company received payments from the related entity of \$63, \$129 and \$140, respectively, for hanger use, pilot fees and reimbursement of certain third party operating expenses related to the chairman's personal use of the aircraft.

The Company purchases property, casualty and other insurance through an agency in which a director of the Company has a controlling ownership interest. The Company paid insurance premiums to the agency of \$879, \$830, and \$649 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The Company purchases services from an entity in which two greater than 5% shareholders and seven directors of the Company, including the chairman and vice chairman of the Board of Directors, have an aggregate ownership interest of 22.0%, and in which the vice chairman is the chairman of such entity. Services provided for the Company's benefit include shareholder education and communication, strategic enterprise planning and corporate governance consultation. During 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Company paid \$337, \$342 and \$415, respectively, for these services. The Company also reimburses the related entity for certain costs incurred in the Company's behalf, primarily office costs for the vice chairman of the Company's Board of Directors and the Company's charitable foundation. These reimbursements totaled \$88, \$81 and \$97 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The related entity reimburses the Company for all salaries, wages and employee benefits expenses incurred by the Company in behalf of the related entity for its personnel.

During 2010, the Company entered into an agreement to sell real property to a director of the Company. Under the terms of the agreement, the sale is scheduled to close in 2011. The sales price of \$2,695 is subject to certain adjustments for property taxes, utilities and owners association fees. Prior to entering the agreement, the independent members of the Governance and Nominating Committee of the Company's Board of Directors approved the transaction after reviewing fully the relationship and proposed terms of the transaction.

In conjunction with the First Western acquisition in January 2008, the Company assumed certain existing deferred compensatory agreements entered into by First Western prior to the acquisition. Under the terms of one such agreement, the Company is required to make cash payments to a director of the Company for the promotion of growth and development of new business through December 31, 2011. The total amount due under the agreement was fixed prior to the acquisition date at \$577, with a portion to be paid over four years and the remaining balance of \$424 due in January 2012. As additional consideration under the agreement, the director provided, among other things, a covenant not to compete. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company made cash payments of \$38, \$38 and \$40 during 2010, 2009 and 2008. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had accrued a liability of \$375 related to remaining payments due to the director under the agreement.

During 2009, a director of the Company entered into an agreement with FIB to guarantee the payment of interest through December 31, 2011 on loans between FIB and an unrelated third party borrower. The interest guaranty agreement was subsequently extended through December 31, 2012. Under the terms of the interest guaranty agreement, the director made interest payments on behalf of the borrower to the Company of \$487 during 2010. No payments were made under the interest guarantee agreement in 2009. In addition, the director pledged to the

Company collateral aggregating \$8,496 on the loans of the unrelated third party borrower, which in the event of borrower default is subject to liquidation by the Company in partial satisfaction of the debt.

-108-

Table of Contents**First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)*

During 2008, the Company purchased real property owned by a director of the Company for \$1,250. Prior to the purchase, the Company's Board of Directors approved the transaction after reviewing fully the relationships and proposed terms regarding the transaction.

(26) SEGMENT REPORTING

Prior to 2009, the Company reported two operating segments, community banking and technology services. Technology services encompassed services provided through i_Tech, the Company's wholly-owned technology services subsidiary, to affiliated and non-affiliated customers. On December 31, 2008, the Company sold i_Tech and moved certain operational functions previously provided by i_Tech to the Company's bank subsidiary. The following table presents prior year segment information. The other category includes the net funding costs and other expenses of the Parent Company, the operational results of consolidated nonbank subsidiaries and intercompany eliminations.

| For the year ended December 31, 2008 | Community Banking | Technology Services | Other | Intersegment Eliminations | Total |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|----------|------------------------------|-------------|
| Net interest income | \$ 247,176 | \$ 80 | \$54,060 | (\$65,939) | \$ 235,377 |
| Provision for loan losses | 33,356 | | | | 33,356 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 213,820 | 80 | 54,060 | (65,939) | 202,021 |
| Non-interest income: | | | | | |
| External sources | 83,298 | 18,592 | 26,707 | | 128,597 |
| Intersegment | 30 | 12,622 | 11,249 | (23,901) | |
| Total non-interest income | 83,328 | 31,214 | 37,956 | (23,901) | 128,597 |
| Non-interest expense | 201,114 | 26,459 | 18,869 | (23,901) | 222,541 |
| Net income before income tax expense | 96,034 | 4,835 | 73,147 | (65,939) | 108,077 |
| Income tax expense | 32,670 | 1,924 | 2,835 | | 37,429 |
| Net income | \$ 63,364 | \$ 2,911 | \$70,312 | \$ (65,939) | \$ 70,648 |
| Depreciation and core deposit intangible amortization | \$ 17,346 | \$ | \$ 246 | \$ | \$ 17,592 |
| Total assets as of December 31, 2008 | \$6,618,374 | \$ | \$ 9,973 | \$ | \$6,628,347 |
| Investment in equity method investees as of December 31, 2008 | \$ 5,847 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 5,847 |

(27) AUTHORITATIVE ACCOUNTING GUIDANCE

FASB ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations. New authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations, requires an entity to disclose pro forma information for business combinations that occurred during the current year as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The disclosures should be accompanied by a narrative description about the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments. The guidance is applicable to the Company's accounting for business

combinations closing on or after December 15, 2010.

FASB ASC Topic 350, Intangibles Goodwill and Other. New authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 350, Intangibles Goodwill and Other, amends prior guidance. Under this amended guidance, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if the reporting unit has a zero or negative carrying amount and if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. This guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, that begin after December 15, 2010. Early application is not permitted. The adoption of this authoritative guidance is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements, results of operations or liquidity.

-109-

Table of Contents

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

FASB ASC Topic 310, Receivables. New authoritative accounting guidance under Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) Topic 310, Receivables, clarifies that modifications of loans that are accounted for within a pool under Subtopic 310-30 do not result in the removal of those loans from the pool even if the modification of those loans would otherwise be considered a troubled debt restructuring. An entity will continue to be required to consider whether the pool of assets in which the loan is included is impaired if expected cash flows for the pool change. This guidance became effective prospectively for modifications of loans accounted for within pools under Subtopic 310-30 occurring after June 30, 2010. The adoption of this new authoritative guidance under ASC Topic 310 did not impact the Company's consolidated financial statements, results of operations or liquidity. Additional new authoritative accounting guidance (Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2010-20) under ASC Topic 310, Receivables, requires significant new disclosures about the allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of financing receivables. The requirements are intended to enhance transparency regarding credit losses and the credit quality of loan and lease receivables. Under this statement, allowance for credit losses and fair value are to be disclosed by portfolio segment, while credit quality information, impaired financing receivables and non-accrual status are to be presented by class of financing receivable. Disclosure of the nature and extent, the financial impact and segment information of troubled debt restructurings will also be required. The disclosures are to be presented at the level of disaggregation that management uses when assessing and monitoring the portfolio's risk and performance. This ASU is effective for interim and annual reporting periods after December 15, 2010. In January 2011, the FASB announced that it was deferring the effective date of new disclosure requirements for troubled debt restructurings prescribed by the ASU. The effective date for those disclosures will be concurrent with the effective date for the proposed ASU, Receivables Clarifications to Accounting for Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors, which is anticipated to be effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2011. The Company will include the required disclosures in the notes to the financial statements beginning December 31, 2010.

FASB ASC Topic 855, Subsequent Events. New authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 855, Subsequent Events, amends prior guidance. Under this amended guidance, SEC filers are no longer required to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated in originally issued and revised financial statements. This guidance became effective immediately and the Company adopted these new requirements for the period ended March 31, 2010.

FASB ASC Topic 810, Consolidation. Authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 810, Consolidation, amends prior guidance to change how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The determination of whether a company is required to consolidate an entity is based on, among other things, an entity's purpose and design and a company's ability to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. The new authoritative accounting guidance requires additional disclosures about the reporting entity's involvement with variable-interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement as well as its affect on the entity's financial statements. The new authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 810 became effective for the Company's financial statements for periods ending after January 1, 2010. The adoption of this authoritative guidance did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements, results of operations or liquidity.

-110-

Table of Contents

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. New authoritative accounting guidance (ASU No. 2010-06) under ASC Topic 820 requires a reporting entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers; and, present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using Level 3 inputs. In addition, ASU No. 2010-06 clarifies that reporting entities must use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities for purposes of reporting fair value measurements and disclose valuation techniques and inputs used to measure both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. ASU No. 2010-06 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2010, except for disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using Level 3 inputs. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this new authoritative guidance under ASC Topic 820 did not and is expected not to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements, results of operations or liquidity.

FASB ASC Topic 860, Transfers and Servicing. New authoritative accounting guidance (ASU No. 2009-16) under ASC Topic 860, Transfers and Servicing, amends prior accounting guidance to enhance reporting about transfers of financial assets, including securitizations, and where companies have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. The new authoritative accounting guidance eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity and changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets. The new authoritative accounting guidance also requires additional disclosures about all continuing involvements with transferred financial assets including information about gains and losses resulting from transfers during the period. The new authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 860, which became effective for the Company on January 1, 2010, did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements, results of operations or liquidity.

(28) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent events have been evaluated for potential recognition and disclosure through the date financial statements were filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. No events requiring recognition or disclosure were identified.

Table of Contents

(a) 2. Financial statement schedules

All other schedules to the consolidated financial statements of the Registrant are omitted since the required information is either not applicable, deemed immaterial, or is shown in the respective financial statements or in notes thereto.

(a) 3. Exhibits

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|---------------------------|---|
| 2.1 | Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of September 18, 2007, by and between First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and First Western Bancorp, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 19, 2007) |
| 2.2 | First Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of January 10, 2008, between First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Christen Group, Inc. formerly known as First Western Bancorp, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 16, 2008) |
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation dated March 5, 2010 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on March 10, 2010) |
| 3.2 | Second Amended and Restated Bylaws dated January 27, 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.8 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 3, 2011) |
| 4.1 | Specimen of Series A preferred stock certificate of First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007) |
| 10.1 | Credit Agreement Re: Subordinated Term Note dated as of January 10, 2008, between First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and First Midwest Bank (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.24 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 16, 2008) |
| 10.2 | Lease Agreement between Billings 401 Joint Venture and First Interstate Bank Montana dated September 20, 1985 and addendum thereto (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Post-Effective Amendment No. 3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1, No. 033-84540, filed on September 29, 1994) |
| 10.3 | First Interstate BancSystem's Deferred Compensation Plan dated December 1, 2006 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Company's Pre-Effective Amendment No. 3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1, No. 333-164380, filed on March 23, 2010) |
| 10.4 | First Amendment to the First Interstate BancSystem's Deferred Compensation Plan dated October 24, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Company's Pre-Effective Amendment No. 3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1, No. 333-164380, filed on March 23, 2010) |
| 10.5 | 2001 Stock Option Plan, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.12 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, No. 333-106495, filed on June 25, 2003) |
| 10.6 | |

Edgar Filing: FIRST INTERSTATE BANCSYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

Second Amendment to 2001 Stock Option Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2010)

- 10.7 First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. 2006 Equity Compensation Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Appendix A of the Company's 2006 Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A)
- 10.8 Amendment to the First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. 2006 Equity Compensation Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 22, 2010)
- 10.9 Second Amendment to the First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. 2006 Equity Compensation Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2010)

-112-

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|---------------------------|---|
| 10.10 | Form of First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. 2006 Equity Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Agreement (Time) for Certain Executive Officers (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008) |
| 10.11 | Form of First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. 2006 Equity Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Agreement (Performance) for Certain Executive Officers (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008) |
| 10.12 | First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. 2006 Equity Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Agreement (Performance) for Lyle R. Knight (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008) |
| 10.13 | First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. 2006 Equity Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Agreement (Performance) for Lyle R. Knight (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008) |
| 10.14 | Trademark License Agreements between Wells Fargo & Company and First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of the Registration Statement on Form S-1, filed on April 22, 1997) |
| 14.1* | Code of Ethics for Chief Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers |
| 21.1* | Subsidiaries of First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. |
| 23.1* | Consent of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm |
| 31.1* | Certification of Annual Report on Form 10-K pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Executive Officer |
| 31.2* | Certification of Annual Report on Form 10-K pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Financial Officer |
| 32* | Certification of Annual Report on Form 10-K pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

* Filed herewith.

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

(b) Exhibits

See Item 15(a)3 above.

(c) Financial Statements Schedules

See Item 15(a)2 above.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc.

By: /s/ LYLE R. KNIGHT

February 28,
2011

Date

Lyle R. Knight

President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ THOMAS W. SCOTT February 28, 2011

Thomas W. Scott, Chairman of the Board Date

By: /s/ JAMES R. SCOTT February 28, 2011

James R. Scott, Vice Chairman of the Board Date

By: /s/ RANDALL I. SCOTT February 28, 2011

Randall I. Scott, Director Date

By: /s/ JULIE A. SCOTT February 28, 2011

Julie A. Scott, Director Date

By: /s/ SANDRA A. SCOTT SUZOR February 28, 2011

Sandra A. Scott Suzor, Director Date

By: /s/ STEVEN J. CORNING February 28, 2011

Steven J. Corning, Director Date

By: /s/ DAVID H. CRUM February 28, 2011

David H. Crum, Director Date

By: /s/ WILLIAM B. EBZERY February 28, 2011

William B. Ebzery, Director Date

By: /s/ CHARLES E. HART, M.D., M.S. February 28, 2011

Edgar Filing: FIRST INTERSTATE BANCSYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

Charles E. Hart, M.D., M.S., Director

Date

By: /s/ JAMES W. HAUGH

February 28, 2011

James W. Haugh, Director

Date

By: /s/ JOHN M. HEYNEMAN, JR.

February 28, 2011

John M. Heyneman, Jr., Director

Date

By: /s/ TERRY W. PAYNE

February 28, 2011

Terry W. Payne, Director

Date

By: /s/ MICHAEL J. SULLIVAN

February 28, 2011

Michael J. Sullivan, Director

Date

By: /s/ MARTIN A. WHITE

February 28, 2011

Martin A. White, Director

Date

-114-

Table of Contents

By: /s/ LYLE R. KNIGHT February 28, 2011

| | |
|--|------|
| Lyle R. Knight | Date |
| President, Chief Executive Officer and | |
| Director | |
| (Principal executive officer) | |

By: /s/ TERRILL R. MOORE February 28, 2011

| | |
|--|------|
| Terrill R. Moore | Date |
| Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | |
| (Principal financial and accounting officer) | |

-115-

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-----------------------|---|
| 2.1 | Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of September 18, 2007, by and between First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and First Western Bancorp, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 19, 2007) |
| 2.2 | First Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of January 10, 2008, between First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and Christen Group, Inc. formerly known as First Western Bancorp, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 16, 2008) |
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation dated March 5, 2010 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on March 10, 2010) |
| 3.2 | Second Amended and Restated Bylaws dated January 27, 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.8 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 3, 2011) |
| 4.1 | Specimen of Series A preferred stock certificate of First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007) |
| 10.1 | Credit Agreement Re: Subordinated Term Note dated as of January 10, 2008, between First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. and First Midwest Bank (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.24 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 16, 2008) |
| 10.2 | Lease Agreement between Billings 401 Joint Venture and First Interstate Bank Montana dated September 20, 1985 and addendum thereto (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Post-Effective Amendment No. 3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1, No. 033-84540, filed on September 29, 1994) |
| 10.3 | First Interstate BancSystem's Deferred Compensation Plan dated December 1, 2006 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Company's Pre-Effective Amendment No. 3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1, No. 333-164380, filed on March 23, 2010) |
| 10.4 | First Amendment to the First Interstate BancSystem's Deferred Compensation Plan dated October 24, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Company's Pre-Effective Amendment No. 3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1, No. 333-164380, filed on March 23, 2010) |
| 10.5 | 2001 Stock Option Plan, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.12 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, No. 333-106495, filed on June 25, 2003) |
| 10.6 | Second Amendment to 2001 Stock Option Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2010) |
| 10.7 | |

Edgar Filing: FIRST INTERSTATE BANCSYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. 2006 Equity Compensation Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Appendix A of the Company's 2006 Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A)

- 10.8 Amendment to the First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. 2006 Equity Compensation Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 22, 2010)
- 10.9 Second Amendment to the First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. 2006 Equity Compensation Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2010)
- 10.10 Form of First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. 2006 Equity Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Agreement (Time) for Certain Executive Officers (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008)

-116-

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|---------------------------|---|
| 10.11 | Form of First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. 2006 Equity Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Agreement (Performance) for Certain Executive Officers (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008) |
| 10.12 | First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. 2006 Equity Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Agreement (Performance) for Lyle R. Knight (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008) |
| 10.13 | First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. 2006 Equity Compensation Plan Restricted Stock Agreement (Performance) for Lyle R. Knight (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008) |
| 10.14 | Trademark License Agreements between Wells Fargo & Company and First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of the Registration Statement on Form S-1, filed on April 22, 1997) |
| 14.1* | Code of Ethics for Chief Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers |
| 21.1* | Subsidiaries of First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. |
| 23.1* | Consent of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm |
| 31.1* | Certification of Annual Report on Form 10-K pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Executive Officer |
| 31.2* | Certification of Annual Report on Form 10-K pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Financial Officer |
| 32* | Certification of Annual Report on Form 10-K pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| * Filed herewith. | |
| | Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement. |