XL GROUP PLC
Form 10-K
February 25, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

S ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010
OR

£ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _ to _
Commission file number 1-10804

XL GROUP
Public Limited Company
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Ireland (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	98-0665416 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
No. 1 Hatch Street Upper, 4th Floor, Dublin 2, Ireland (Address of principal executive offices and zip code)	+353 (1) 405-2033 (Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Ordinary Shares, Par Value $0.01 per Share	New York Stock Exchange
10.75% Equity Security Units	New York Stock Exchange

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT:
None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes S No £

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes £ No S

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes S No £

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes S No £

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. £

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer S Accelerated filer £ Non-accelerated filer £ Smaller reporting company £

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes £ No S

The aggregate market value of the voting common equity of the registrant held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2010 was approximately $5.5 billion computed upon the basis of the closing sales price of the Ordinary Shares on June 30, 2010. For purposes of this computation, ordinary shares held by directors and officers of the registrant have been excluded. Such exclusion is not intended, nor shall it be deemed, to be an admission that such persons are affiliates of the registrant.

As of February 22, 2011, there were outstanding 311,008,238 Ordinary Shares, $0.01 par value per share, of the registrant.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of the Registrant s Definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report relating to the annual meeting of ordinary shareholders to be held on May 6, 2011 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

XL GROUP PLC
TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	PART I	
Item 1.	Business	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	32
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	53
Item 2.	Properties	53
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	53
Item 4.	Reserved	56
	PART II	
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	58
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	60
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	62
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	124
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	133
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	234
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	234
Item 9B.	Other Information	234
	PART III	
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	235
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	235
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	235
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	235
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	235
	PART IV	
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	236

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains Forward-Looking Statements as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. A non-exclusive list of the important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in such Forward-Looking Statements is set forth herein under Item 1A Risk Factors, and Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, under the caption Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

History

XL Group plc, through its subsidiaries is a global insurance and reinsurance company providing property, casualty and specialty products to industrial, commercial and, professional firms, insurance companies and other enterprises on a worldwide basis. The company was incorporated with limited liability under the Cayman Islands Companies Act on March 16, 1998, as EXEL Merger Company. XL Capital Ltd was formed as a result of the merger of EXEL Limited and Mid Ocean Limited on August 7, 1998, and the company was named EXEL Limited on that date. At a special general meeting held on February 1, 1999, the shareholders of EXEL Limited approved a resolution changing the name of the company to XL Capital Ltd.

EXEL Limited and Mid Ocean Limited were incorporated in the Cayman Islands with principal operations in Bermuda in 1986 and 1992, respectively. EXEL Limited and its subsidiaries were formed in response to a shortage of high excess liability underwriting capacity in the insurance industry at that time and included a subsidiary organized in Ireland to serve the European Community. Mid Ocean Limited and its subsidiaries were formed to capitalize on the supply/demand imbalance in the global property catastrophe reinsurance market at that time and included dedicated Lloyd s syndicate capacity. On June 18, 1999, XL Capital Ltd merged with NAC Re Corp. (NAC), a Delaware corporation organized in 1985, in a stock merger. This merger was accounted for as a pooling of interests under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Following the merger, the company changed its fiscal year end from November 30 to December 31 as a conforming pooling adjustment.

On July 25, 2001, the company acquired certain Winterthur International insurance operations (Winterthur International) to extend its predominantly North American-based large corporate insurance business globally.

Effective January 1, 2002, the company increased its shareholding in Le Mans Ré from 49% to 67% in order to expand its international reinsurance operations. On September 3, 2003, the company exercised its option to buy the remaining 33% from MMA and changed the name of Le Mans Ré to XL Re Europe S.A. On October 18, 2006, the company received approval to form a new European company, XL Re Europe Ltd, based in Dublin, Ireland, which is licensed to write all classes of reinsurance business. XL Re Europe Ltd is the headquarters of the company s European reinsurance platform with branch offices in France and the U.K.

On August 4, 2006, the company completed the sale of approximately 37% of its then financial guarantee reinsurance and insurance businesses through an initial public offering of 23.4 million common shares of Syncora Holdings Ltd. (Syncora) (formerly Security Capital Assurance Ltd. or SCA). On June 6, 2007, the company completed the sale of a portion of Syncora s common shares still owned by the company through a secondary offering and thereby reduced its ownership of Syncora s outstanding common shares further from approximately 63% to approximately 46%. On August 5, 2008, the company closed an agreement (the Master Agreement) with Syncora and its subsidiaries, as well as certain counterparties to credit default swap agreements, in connection with the termination of certain reinsurance and other agreements. As part of the Master Agreement, the company transferred all of the shares it owned in Syncora to a trust and, as a result, has no further ownership interest in the company. For further details relating to the Master Agreement, see Item 8, Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Syncora Holdings Ltd.

On July 1, 2010, XL Group plc, a newly formed Irish public limited company (XL-Ireland) and XL Capital Ltd (now known as XL Group Ltd.), an exempted company organized under the laws of the Cayman Islands (XL-Cayman), completed a redomestication transaction in which all of the ordinary shares of XL- Cayman were exchanged for all of

the ordinary shares of XL-Ireland (the Redomestication). As a result, XL-Cayman became a wholly owned subsidiary of XL-Ireland. On July 23, 2010, the Irish High Court approved XL-Ireland s creation of distributable reserves, subject to the completion of certain formalities under Irish Company law. These formalities were completed in early August 2010. For further detailed information on this transaction and its impacts on shareholder rights, shareholders equity, debt and

notes outstanding and employee stock plan awards, see the company s Report on Form 8-K filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on July 1, 2010. In addition, on July 1, 2010, XL Capital Ltd changed its name to XL Group Ltd.

For periods prior to July 1, 2010, unless the context otherwise indicates, references herein to the Company are to, and the Consolidated Financial Statements herein include the accounts of XL-Cayman and its consolidated subsidiaries. For periods, on and subsequent to July 1, 2010, unless the context otherwise indicates, references herein to the Company are to, and the Consolidated Financial Statements herein include the accounts of XL-Ireland and its consolidated subsidiaries.

See further information under Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Segments

Following the streamlining of the Company s operating segments in the first quarter of 2010, the Company is organized into three operating segments: Insurance, Reinsurance and Life Operations. The general investment and financing operations of the Company are reflected in Corporate.

The Company evaluates the performance of both the Insurance and Reinsurance segments based on underwriting profit and the performance of the Life Operations segment based on its contribution to net income. Other items of revenue and expenditure of the Company are not evaluated at the segment level for reporting purposes. In addition, the Company does not allocate investment assets of its property and casualty (P&C) operations to the other segments. Investment assets related to the Company s Life Operations and certain structured products included in the Insurance and Reinsurance segments are held in separately identified portfolios. As such, net investment income from these assets is included in the contribution from each of these operations.

The following table sets forth an analysis of gross premiums written by segment for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. Additional financial information about the Company s segments, including financial information about geographic areas, is included in Item 8, Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Segment Information.

Year ended December 31 *(U.S. dollars in thousands)*	**2010 Gross Premiums Written**	**Percentage Change**	**2009 Gross Premiums Written**	**Percentage Change**	**2008 Gross Premiums Written**
Insurance	$ 4,418,380	3.9 %	$ 4,251,888	(19.9)%	$ 5,308,914
Reinsurance	1,842,951	(0.9)%	1,859,423	(17.7)%	2,260,477
Life Operations	411,938	(28.5)%	576,162	(16.6)%	690,915
	$ 6,673,269	(0.2)%	$ 6,687,473	(19.0)%	$ 8,260,306

Insurance Segment

General

The Company s Insurance segment provides commercial property, casualty and specialty insurance products on a global basis. Products generally provide tailored coverages for complex corporate risks and include the following lines of business: property, casualty, professional liability, environmental liability, aviation and satellite, marine and

offshore energy, equine, fine art and specie, excess and surplus lines, surety and other insurance coverages including program business. The Company focuses on those lines of business within its insurance operations that are believed to provide the best return on capital over time.

Property and casualty products are typically written as global insurance programs for large multinational companies and institutions and include property and liability coverages, umbrella liability, product recall, U.S. workers compensation and auto liability as well as property catastrophe. Property and casualty products generally provide large capacity on a primary, quota share or excess of loss basis. Global insurance programs are targeted to large worldwide companies in major industry groups including aerospace, automotive, consumer products, pharmaceutical, pulp and paper, high technology, telecommunications, transportation and basic metals. The primary casualty programs (including workers

compensation and auto liability) generally require customers to take large deductibles or self-insured retentions. For the umbrella and excess business written, the Company s liability attaches after large deductibles, including self insurance or insurance from other companies. Policies are written on an occurrence, claims-made and occurrence reported basis. The Company s property business written, which also includes construction projects, is short-tail by nature and written on both a primary and excess of loss basis. Property business includes exposures to man-made and natural disasters, and generally, loss experience is characterized as low frequency and high severity. This may result in volatility in the Company s results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. In addition to the property and casualty products noted above, in 2008 the Company launched underwriting capabilities for the Upper Middle Markets (UMM) in the U.S., U.K. and Continental Europe.

Professional liability insurance includes directors and officers liability, errors and omissions liability and employment practices liability coverages. Policies are written on both a primary and excess of loss basis. Directors and officers coverage includes primary and excess directors and officers liability, employment practices liability and company securities and private company directors and officers liability. Products are targeted at a variety of different sized companies, with a heavy concentration on small to medium-sized firms when written on a primary basis. Employment practices liability is written primarily for very large corporations on an excess of loss basis and covers those firms for legal liability in regard to the treatment of employees. Errors and omissions coverage is written on a primary and excess basis. Errors and omissions insurance written on a primary basis is targeted to small and medium-sized firms and coverage is provided for various professional exposures, including, but not limited to, insurance brokers, consultants, architects and engineers, lawyers, public entities and real estate agents.

Environmental liability products include pollution and remediation legal liability, general and project-specific pollution and professional liability, and commercial general property redevelopment and contractor s pollution liability. Business is written for both single and multiple years on a primary or excess of loss, claims- made or, less frequently, occurrence basis. Targeted industries include environmental service firms, contractors, healthcare facilities, manufacturing facilities, real estate redevelopment, transportation and construction.

Aviation and satellite products include comprehensive airline hull and liability, airport liability, aviation manufacturers product liability, aviation ground handler liability, large aircraft hull and liability, corporate non-owned aircraft liability, space third party liability and satellite risk including damage or malfunction during ascent to orbit and continual operation, and aviation war. Aviation liability and physical damage coverage is offered for large aviation risks on a proportional basis, while smaller general aviation risks are offered on a primary basis. Satellite risks are generally written on a proportional basis. The target markets for aviation and satellite products include airlines, aviation product manufacturers, aircraft service firms, general aviation operators and telecommunications firms.

Marine and offshore energy, equine and fine art and specie insurance are also provided by the Company. Marine and energy coverage includes marine hull and machinery, marine war, marine excess liability, cargo and offshore energy insurance. Equine products specialize in providing bloodstock and livestock insurance. Fine art and specie coverages include fine art, jewelers block, cash in transit and related coverages for financial institutions.

Excess and surplus lines products include general liability coverages where most Insurance Services Office, Inc. (ISO) products are written. The Company ceased offering excess and surplus property coverages in 2009.

The Company s program business specializes in insurance coverages for distinct market segments in North America, including program administrators and managing general agents who operate in a specialized market niche and have unique industry backgrounds or specialized underwriting capabilities. Products encompass mostly property and casualty coverages. The Company terminated an automobile extended warranty program in 2009.

Certain structured indemnity products, previously structured by XL Financial Solutions (XLFS), are included within the results of the Insurance segment covering a range of insurance risks including property and casualty insurance,

certain types of residual exposures and other market risk management products. In August 2008, the Company ceased certain operations that included the closure of the XLFS business unit

and reassignment of responsibility for existing structured indemnity business to either the Insurance or Reinsurance segment depending on the underlying nature of the transactions.

Also included as part of the Insurance segment is XL Global Asset Protection Services (XL GAPS), a fee for service loss prevention consulting service which offers individually tailored risk management solutions to risk managers, insurance brokers and insurance company clients operating on a global basis.

The excess nature of many of the Company s insurance products, coupled with historically large policy limits, results in a book of business that can have losses characterized as low frequency and high severity. As a result, large losses, though infrequent, can have a significant impact on the Company s results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. The Company attempts to mitigate this risk by, among other things, using strict underwriting guidelines, effective risk management practices (e.g., monitoring of aggregate exposures) and various reinsurance arrangements, discussed below.

U.S. Terrorism

The U.S. Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 (TRIA), as amended, established the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program (TRIP) which became effective on November 26, 2002 and was a three-year federal program effective through 2005. On December 22, 2005, President George W. Bush signed a bill extending TRIA (TRIAE) for two more years, continuing TRIP through 2007. On December 26, 2007, President George W. Bush signed the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007 (TRIPRA) which further extended TRIP for seven years until December 31, 2014 and also eliminated the distinction between foreign and domestic acts of terrorism.

TRIA voided in force terrorism exclusions as of November 26, 2002 for certified terrorism on all TRIA specified property and casualty business. TRIA required covered insurers to make coverage available for certified acts of terrorism (other than nuclear, biological, radiological and chemical, or NBRC) on all new and renewal policies issued after TRIA was enacted. Legislation approved under TRIP, as noted above, allows the Company to assess a premium charge for terrorism coverage and, if the policyholder declines the coverage or fails to pay the buy-back premium, certified acts of terrorism will then be excluded from the policy, subject, however, to state specific requirements. Terrorism coverage cannot be excluded from workers compensation policies. Subject to a premium-based deductible and provided that the Company has otherwise complied with all the requirements as specified under TRIPRA, the Company is eligible for reimbursement by the Federal Government for up to 85% of its covered terrorism-related losses arising from a certified terrorist attack. Such payment by the government will, in effect, provide reinsurance protection on a quota share basis. The maximum liability during a program year, including both the Federal Government s and insurers shares, is capped on an aggregated basis at $100 billion.

The Company had, prior to the passage of TRIP and the related legislation, underwritten exposures under certain insurance policies that included coverage for terrorism. The passage of TRIP and the related legislation, has required the Company to make a mandatory offer of Certified terrorism coverage with respect to relevant covered insurance policies as specified under the related legislation.

Non-U.S. Terrorism

The Company provides coverage for terrorism outside of the United States under casualty policies on a case-by-case basis. The Company generally does not provide significant limits of coverage for terrorism under first party property policies outside of the U.S. unless required to do so by local law, or as required to comply with any national terrorism risk pool which may be available. Various countries have enacted legislation to provide insurance coverage for terrorism occurring within their borders, to protect registered property, and to protect citizens traveling abroad. The legislation typically requires registered direct insurers to provide terrorism coverage for specified coverage lines and then permits them to cede the risk to a national risk pool. The Company has subsidiaries that participate in terrorism risk pools in various jurisdictions, including Australia, France, Spain, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom.

Underwriting

The Company underwrites and prices most risks individually following a review of the exposure and in accordance with the Company s underwriting guidelines. Most of the Company s insurance operations have underwriting guidelines that are industry-specific. The Company seeks to serve its clients while controlling

its exposure on individual insurance contracts through terms and conditions, policy limits and sublimits, attachment points, and facultative and treaty reinsurance arrangements on certain types of risks.

The Company s underwriters generally evaluate each industry category and subgroups within each category. Premiums are set and adjusted for an insured based, in large part, on the industry group in which the insured is placed and the insured s perceived risk relative to the other risks in that group. Rates may vary significantly according to the industry group of the insured as well as the insured s risk relative to the group. The Company s rating methodology for individual insureds seeks to set premiums in accordance with claims potential as measured by past experience and future expectations, the attachment point and amount of underlying insurance, the nature and scope of the insured s operations, including the industry group in which the insured operates, exposures to loss, natural hazard exposures, risk management quality and other specific risk factors relevant in the judgment of the Company s underwriters to the type of business being written.

Underwriting and loss experience is reviewed regularly for, among other things, loss trends, emerging exposures, changes in the regulatory or legal environment as well as the efficacy of policy terms and conditions.

As the Company s insurance products are primarily specialized coverages, underwriting guidelines and policy forms differ by product offering as well as by legal jurisdiction. Liability insurance is written on both a primary and excess of loss basis, on occurrence, occurrence reported and claims-made policy forms. Occurrence reported policies typically cover occurrences causing unexpected and unintended personal injury or property damage to third parties arising from events or conditions that commence at or subsequent to an inception date, or retroactive date, if applicable, and prior to the expiration of the policy provided that proper notice is given during the term of the policy or the discovery period. Claims made policies typically cover only claims made during the policy period or extended reporting period and are generally associated with professional liability and environmental coverages. Traditional occurrence coverage is also available for restricted classes of risk and is generally written on a follow-form basis where the policy adopts the terms, conditions and exclusions of the underlying policy. Property insurance risks are written on a lead or follow-form basis that usually provides coverage for all risks of physical damage and business interruption. Maximum limits are generally subject to sublimits for coverage in critical earthquake and flood zones, where the Company seeks to limit its liability in these areas.

Reinsurance Ceded

In certain cases, the risks assumed by the Company in the Insurance segment are partially reinsured with third party reinsurers. Reinsurance ceded varies by location and line of business based on a number of factors, including market conditions. The benefits of ceding risks to third party reinsurers include reducing exposure on individual risks, protecting against catastrophic risks, maintaining acceptable capital ratios and enabling the writing of additional business. Reinsurance ceded does not legally discharge the Company from its liabilities to the original policyholder in respect of the risk being reinsured.

The Company uses reinsurance to support the underwriting and retention guidelines of each of its subsidiaries as well as to control the aggregate exposure of the Company to a particular risk or class of risks. Reinsurance is purchased at several levels ranging from reinsurance of risks assumed on individual contracts to reinsurance covering the aggregate exposure on a portfolio of policies issued by groups of companies. See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for further information.

Premiums

Premium rates and underwriting terms and conditions for all lines of business written vary by jurisdiction principally due to local market conditions, competitor product offerings and legal requirements.

The following table is an analysis of the Insurance segment s gross premiums written, net premiums written and net premiums earned, by line of business for the year ended December 31, 2010:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**Gross Premiums Written**	**Net Premiums Written**	**Net Premiums Earned**
Casualty professional lines	$ 1,412,131	$ 1,306,441	$ 1,316,173
Casualty other lines	1,030,877	650,717	632,737
Other property	699,442	414,251	416,917
Marine, energy, aviation and satellite	668,878	570,957	540,319
Other specialty lines (1)	602,787	519,557	606,682
Other (2)	(2,545)	(7,582)	1,554
Structured indemnity	6,810	6,809	14,756
Total	$ 4,418,380	$ 3,461,150	$ 3,529,138

(1) Other specialty lines within the Insurance segment includes: environmental, programs, equine, warranty, specie, middle markets and excess and surplus lines.

(2) Other includes credit and surety and other lines.

Competition

The Company competes globally in the property and casualty insurance markets. Its competitors include the following companies and their affiliates: The ACE Group of Companies (ACE); Allianz Aktiengesellschaft (Allianz); American International Group, Inc., primarily their Chartis subsidiary (AIG); Factory Mutual Global (FMG) for property only; Hartford Financial Services (Hartford); Lloyd s of London Syndicates (Lloyd s); The Chubb Corporation (Chubb); T Travelers Companies (Travelers); and Zurich Financial Services Group (Zurich).

The Company s major geographical markets for its property and casualty insurance operations are North America, Europe and Bermuda. The Company s main competitors in each of these markets include the following:

North America AIG, ACE, Chubb, FMG, Zurich, Travelers, CNA Financial Corporation, Hartford, Liberty Mutual Group and Lloyd s.

Europe Allianz, AIG, FMG, Zurich, AXA Insurance Ltd. (AXA), ACE, Lloyd s, Assicurazioni Generali (Generali) and HDI-Gerling Industrie Versicherung AG (HDI-Gerling).

Bermuda ACE, Allied World Assurance Company (AWAC), Axis Capital Group (Axis), Alterra Capital (Alterra), Endurance Specialty Insurance Ltd (Endurance) and Arch Capital Group Ltd (Arch).

See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Executive Overview, for further discussion.

Marketing and Distribution

The majority of Insurance segment business originates via a large number of international, national and regional producers, acting as the brokers and representatives of current and prospective policyholders. A portion of Insurance segment business is marketed and underwritten by general agents and a portion by independent agents acting on behalf of the Company. Typically, all such producers, general agents and independent agents receive commission payments from the Company for their services, which payments are calculated as a percentage of the gross premium paid by the policyholder on an account-by-account basis. A certain portion of business originating from producers is submitted on a fee basis under which the producer is compensated by a fee paid to it by its policyholder client. From time to time, the Company also considers requests for commissions from a producer, with disclosure by the producer to the policyholder-client, where the producer receives a fee from the policyholder-client. The Company evaluates such requests on a case-by-case basis.

The Company considers requests for contingent commission arrangements where such additional commissions are based upon the volume of bound business originated from a specific producer during a prior calendar year where legal and appropriate. Such arrangements are distinct from program business where additional commissions are generally based on profitability of business submitted to and bound by the Company.

With regard to excess and surplus lines business, the Company receives submissions from licensed wholesale surplus lines brokers.

The Company has no implied or explicit commitments to accept business from any particular broker, and neither producers nor any other third party have the authority to bind the Company, except in the case where underwriting authority may be delegated contractually to selected general agents. Such general agents are subject to a financial and operational due diligence review prior to any such delegation of authority and ongoing reviews and audits are carried out as deemed necessary by the Company with the goal of assuring the continuing integrity of underwriting and related business operations. See Item 8, Note 19(a) to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information on the Company s major producers, Commitments and Contingencies Concentrations of Credit Risk.

Apart from compensation arrangements established with producers in connection with insurance transactions, the Company also has engaged, and may in the future engage, certain producers or their affiliates in consulting roles pursuant to which such producers provide access to certain systems and information that may assist the Company with its marketing and distribution strategy. In instances where the Company engages producers in such consulting roles, the Company may compensate the relevant producers on a fixed fee basis, a variable fee basis based upon Company usage of the systems and information proffered, or through a combination of fixed and variable fee.

Structure of Insurance Operations

In October 2009, the Company s insurance operations were reorganized into four business units: Global Professional Lines, Global Specialty Lines, North America Property and Casualty and International Property and Casualty. This new simplified structure has had no impact on the Company s client facing activities but provides increased authority and accountability to each business unit leader. The Company operated under its product and geography based matrix business structure up to October 2009.

The segment s most significant operating legal entities in terms of revenues during 2010 were as follows: XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd, XL Insurance Company Limited, XL Specialty Insurance Company, Indian Harbor Insurance Company, Greenwich Insurance Company and XL Insurance Switzerland Ltd, as well as certain Lloyd s syndicates.

Claims Administration

Claims management for the insurance operations includes the review of initial loss reports, administration of claims databases, generation of appropriate responses to claims reports, identification and handling of coverage issues, determination of whether further investigation is required and, where appropriate, retention of claims counsel, establishment of case reserves, payment of claims and notification to reinsurers. With respect to the establishment of case reserves, when the Company is notified of insured losses, claims personnel record a case reserve as appropriate for the estimated amount of the exposure at that time. The estimate reflects the judgment of claims personnel based on general reserving practices, the experience and knowledge of such personnel regarding the nature of the specific claim and, where appropriate, advice of counsel. Reserves are also established to provide for the estimated expense of settling claims, including legal and other fees and the general expenses of administering the claims adjustment process.

Claims in respect of business written by the Company s Lloyd s syndicates are primarily notified by various central market bureaus. Where a syndicate is a leading syndicate on a Lloyd s policy, its underwriters and claims adjusters will deal with the broker or insured on behalf of itself and the following market for any particular claim. This may involve appointing attorneys or loss adjusters. The claims bureaus and the leading syndicate advise movement in loss reserves to all syndicates participating on the risk. The Company s claims department may adjust the case reserves it records from those advised by the bureaus as deemed necessary.

Certain of the Company s product lines have arrangements with third party administrators to provide claims handling services to the Company in respect of such product lines. These agreements set forth the duties of the third party administrators, limits of authority, protective indemnification language and various

---

procedures that are required to meet statutory compliance. These arrangements are also subject to audit review by the Company s relevant claim department.

In February 2010, the insurance operations started deploying a new claims IT platform called XL GlobalClaim (GCS). The system was successfully deployed throughout the U.S. and Bermuda operations during 2010 and is scheduled to be deployed throughout Europe and Asia during the first half of 2011. GCS converts the claim operation to a paperless environment and connects the legacy systems to allow for operations consistent data aggregation for all global claims operations.

Reinsurance Segment

General

The Company s Reinsurance segment provides casualty, property risk (including energy and engineering), property catastrophe, marine, aviation, and other specialty reinsurance on a global basis with business being written on both a proportional and non-proportional basis and in certain limited instances on a direct basis. Given challenging market conditions and the changing economic environment that has been experienced throughout 2008 and early 2009, the Company s lines of business within its reinsurance operations changed to those that provide the best return on capital. For the Company s Reinsurance segment, this resulted, in certain instances, in a greater emphasis being placed on short-tail lines of business.

Business written on a non-proportional basis generally provides for an indemnification by the Company to the ceding company for a portion of losses, both individually and in the aggregate, on policies with limits in excess of a specified individual or aggregate loss deductible. For business written on a proportional bases including quota share or surplus basis, the Company receives an agreed percentage of the premium and is liable for the same percentage of each and all incurred loss. For proportional business, the ceding company normally receives a ceding commission for the premiums ceded and may also, under certain circumstances, receive a profit commission based on performance of the contract. Occasionally this commission could be on a sliding scale depending on the loss ratio performance of the contract. The Company s casualty reinsurance includes general liability, professional liability, automobile and workers compensation. Professional liability includes directors and officers , employment practices, medical malpractice and environmental liability. Casualty lines are written as treaties or programs and on both a proportional and a non-proportional basis. The treaty business includes clash programs which cover a number of underlying policies involved in one occurrence or a judgment above an underlying policy s limit, before suffering a loss.

The Company s property business, primarily short-tail in nature, is written on both a portfolio/treaty and individual/facultative basis and includes property catastrophe, property risk excess of loss and property proportional. A significant portion of the property business underwritten consists of large aggregate exposures to man-made and natural disasters and, generally, loss experience is characterized as low frequency and high severity. This may result in volatility in the Company s results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The Company seeks to manage its reinsurance exposures to catastrophic events by limiting the amount of exposure written in each geographic or peril zone worldwide, underwriting in excess of varying attachment points and requiring that contracts exposed to catastrophe loss include aggregate limits. The Company also seeks to protect its total aggregate exposures by peril and zone through the purchase of reinsurance programs.

The Company s property catastrophe reinsurance account is generally all risk in nature. As a result, the Company is exposed to losses from sources as diverse as hurricanes and other windstorms, earthquakes, freezing, riots, floods, industrial explosions, fires, and many other potential natural or man-made disasters. In accordance with market practice, the Company s policies generally exclude certain risks such as war, nuclear contamination or radiation. Following the terrorist attacks at the World Trade Center in New York City, Washington, D.C. and Pennsylvania on

September 11, 2001 (collectively, the September 11 event), terrorism cover, including NBRC, has been restricted or excluded in many territories and classes. Some U.S. states make it mandatory to provide some cover for Fire Following terrorism and some countries

make terrorism coverage mandatory. The Company s predominant exposure under such coverage is to property damage.

The Company had, prior to the passing of TRIA, underwritten reinsurance exposures in the U.S. that included terrorism coverage. Since the passage of TRIA in the U.S., together with the TRIAE and TRIPRA extensions noted above, the Company has underwritten a very limited number of stand-alone terrorism coverage policies in addition to coverage included within non-stand-alone policies. In the U.S., in addition to NBRC acts, the Company generally excludes coverage included under TRIA from the main catastrophe exposed policies. In other cases, both within and outside the U.S., the Company generally relies on either a terrorism exclusion clause, which does not include personal lines, excluding NBRC, or a similar clause that excludes terrorism completely. There are a limited number of classes underwritten where no terrorism exclusion exists.

Property catastrophe reinsurance provides coverage on an excess of loss basis when aggregate losses and loss adjustment expenses from a single occurrence of a covered event exceed the attachment point specified in the policy. Some of the Company s property catastrophe contracts limit coverage to one occurrence in any single policy year, but most contracts generally enable at least one reinstatement to be purchased by the reinsured.

The Company also writes property risk excess of loss reinsurance. Property risk excess of loss reinsurance covers a loss to the reinsured on a single risk of the type reinsured rather than to aggregate losses for all covered risks on a specific peril, as is the case with catastrophe reinsurance. The Company s property proportional account includes reinsurance of direct property insurance. The Company seeks to limit the catastrophe exposure from its proportional and per risk excess business through extensive use of occurrence and cession limits.

Other specialty reinsurance products include energy, marine, aviation, space, engineering, fidelity, trade credit and political risk. The Company underwrites a small portfolio of contracts covering political risk and trade credit. Exposure is assumed from a limited number of trade credit contracts.

Underwriting

Underwriting risks for the reinsurance property and casualty business are evaluated using a number of factors including, but not limited to, the type and layer of risk to be assumed, the actuarial evaluation of premium adequacy, the cedant s underwriting and claims experience, the cedant s financial condition and claims paying rating, the exposure and/or experience with the cedant, and the line of business to be reinsured.

Other factors assessed by the Company include the reputation of the proposed cedant, the geographic area in which the cedant does business and its market share, a detailed evaluation of catastrophe and risk exposures, and historical loss data for the cedant where available and for the industry as a whole in the relevant regions, in order to compare the cedant s historical loss experience to industry averages. On-site underwriting and claim reviews are performed where it is deemed necessary to determine the quality of a current or prospective cedant s underwriting operations, with particular emphasis on casualty proportional and working excess of loss placements.

For property catastrophe reinsurance business, the Company s underwriting guidelines generally limit the amount of exposure it will directly underwrite for any one reinsured and the amount of the aggregate exposure to catastrophic losses in any one geographic zone. The Company believes that it has defined geographic and peril zones such that a single occurrence, for example an earthquake or hurricane, should not affect more than one peril zone. While the exposure to multiple zones is considered remote for events such as a hurricane, the Company does manage its aggregate exposures for such a scenario where the Company considers it appropriate to do so. The definition of the Company s peril zones is subject to periodic review. The Company also generally seeks an attachment point for its property catastrophe reinsurance at a level that is high enough to produce a low frequency of loss. The Company seeks to limit its aggregate exposure in the proportional business through extensive use of occurrence and cession limits.

Reinsurance Retroceded

The Company uses third party reinsurance to support the underwriting and retention guidelines of each reinsurance subsidiary as well as to seek to limit the aggregate exposure of the Company to a particular risk or class of risks. Reinsurance is purchased at several levels ranging from reinsurance of risks assumed on individual contracts to reinsurance covering the aggregate exposures. The benefits of ceding risks to other reinsurers include reducing exposure on individual risks, protecting against catastrophic risks, maintaining acceptable capital ratios and enabling the writing of additional business. Reinsurance ceded does not legally discharge the Company from its liabilities in respect of the risk being reinsured. Reinsurance ceded varies by location and line of business based on factors including, among others, market conditions and the credit worthiness of the counterparty.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company entered into a quota share reinsurance treaty with a newly-formed Bermuda reinsurance company, Cyrus Re II. Pursuant to the terms of the quota share reinsurance treaty, Cyrus Re II assumed a 10% cession of certain lines of property catastrophe reinsurance and retrocession business underwritten by certain operating subsidiaries of the Company for business that incepted between January 1, 2008 and July 1, 2008. In connection with such cessions, the Company paid Cyrus Re II a reinsurance premium less a ceding commission, which included a reimbursement of direct acquisition expenses incurred by the Company as well as a commission to the Company for generating the business. The quota share reinsurance treaty also provided for a profit commission payable to the Company. The quota share with Cyrus Re II was canceled after its original term and not renewed.

The Company s traditional catastrophe retrocession program was renewed in June 2010 to cover certain of the Company s exposures. These protections, in various layers and in excess of varying attachment points according to the territory exposed, assist in managing the Company s net retention to an acceptable level. The Company has co-reinsurance retentions within this program. The Company renewed additional structures with a restricted territorial scope for 12 months in July 2010. The Company continues to buy additional protection for the Company s marine and offshore energy exposures. These covers provide protection in various layers and excess of varying attachment points according to the scope of cover provided. The Company has co-reinsurance participations within this program.

The Company continues to buy specific reinsurance on its motor third party liability, property and aviation portfolios to manage its net exposures in these classes.

See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Item 8, Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Reinsurance, for further information.

Premiums

The following table is an analysis of the Reinsurance segment s gross premiums written, net premiums written and net premiums earned, by line of business for the year ended December 31, 2010:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**Gross Premiums Written**	**Net Premiums Written**	**Net Premiums Earned**
Casualty professional lines	$ 218,301	$ 222,133	$ 222,720
Casualty other lines	229,535	222,351	219,154
Property catastrophe	370,266	326,758	323,588
Other property	802,494	562,416	534,422
Marine, energy, aviation and satellite	117,438	103,926	88,855
Other (1)	103,959	99,897	112,305

Structured indemnity	958	957	955
Total	$ 1,842,951	$ 1,538,438	$ 1,501,999

(1) Other includes credit and surety, whole account contracts and other lines.

Additional discussion and financial information about the Reinsurance segment is set forth in Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8, Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Segment Information.

Competition

The Company competes globally in the property and casualty markets.

The Company s major geographical markets for its property and casualty reinsurance operations are North America, Europe, Bermuda and Emerging Markets (covering Asia/Pacific and South America). The main competitors in each of these markets include the following:

North America Berkshire Hathaway, Munich Re Corporation, Swiss Re America Corporation, Transatlantic Re, Everest Re Group Ltd, Hannover Re and PartnerRe Ltd.

Europe Munich Re, Swiss Re, Lloyd s, SCOR Reinsurance Company and PartnerRe Ltd.

Bermuda ACE Tempest Reinsurance Ltd, AXIS Specialty Limited, Arch Reinsurance Limited, Renaissance Reinsurance Limited, Montpelier Reinsurance Ltd, Platinum Underwriters Bermuda Ltd and PartnerRe Ltd.

See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Executive Overview, for further discussion.

Marketing and Distribution

See Insurance Segment Marketing and Distribution and Item 8, Note 19(a) to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Commitments and Contingencies Concentrations of Credit Risk, for information in the Company s marketing and distribution procedures and information on the Company s major brokers.

Structure of Reinsurance Operations

The Company s reinsurance operations are structured geographically into Bermuda operations, North American operations, European/Asia Pacific operations and Latin American operations.

The segment s most significant operating legal entities in terms of revenues during 2010 were as follows: XL Reinsurance America Inc., XL Re Ltd, XL Re Europe Limited and XL Re Latin America Ltd.

Claims Administration

Claims management for the reinsurance operations includes the receipt of loss notifications, review and approval of claims through a claims approval process, establishment of loss reserves and approval of loss payments. Case reserves for reported claims are generally established based on reports received from ceding companies with additional case reserves being established when deemed appropriate. Additionally, claims audits are conducted for specific claims and claims procedures at the offices of selected ceding companies, particularly in the U.S. and the U.K.

Life Operations Segment

During 2009, the Company completed a strategic review of its life reinsurance business. In relation to this initiative, the Company sold the renewal rights to its Continental European short-term life, accident and health business in December 2008. The Company also announced in March 2009 that it would run-off its existing book of U.K. and Irish traditional life and annuity business, and not accept new business. In addition, during July 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to sell its U.S. life reinsurance business. The transaction closed during the fourth quarter of 2009. In December 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to novate and recapture a number of U.K. and Irish term assurance and critical illness treaties. The transaction closed during the fourth quarter of 2009. During the first quarter of 2010, the Company entered into an agreement to recapture U.K. and Irish term assurance treaties and this

transaction closed during March 2010. Further recaptures of U.K. term assurance treaties and U.S. mortality retrocession pools took place during the first and fourth quarters of 2010, respectively.

The Life Operations segment provided life reinsurance on business written by life insurance companies, principally to help them manage mortality, morbidity, survivorship, investment and lapse risks.

Prior to the decision to run-off the U.K. and Irish business, products offered included a broad range of underlying lines of life insurance business, including term assurances, group life, critical illness cover, immediate annuities and disability income. In addition, prior to selling the renewal rights, the products

offered included short-term life, accident and health business. Notwithstanding these sales, the segment still covers a range of geographic markets, with an emphasis on the U.K., U.S., Ireland and Continental Europe.

The portfolio has three particularly significant components:

1) The portfolio includes a small number of large contracts relating to closed blocks of U.K. and Irish fixed annuities in payment. In relation to certain of these contracts, the Company received cash and investment assets at the inception of the reinsurance contract, relating to the future policy benefit reserves assumed. These contracts are long-term in nature, and the expected claims payout period can span up to 30 or 40 years with average duration of around 10 years. The Company is exposed to investment and survivorship risk over the life of these arrangements.

2) The second component of the portfolio relates to life risks (in the U.S., U.K. and Ireland) and critical illness risks (in the U.K. and Ireland) where the Company is exposed to the mortality, morbidity and lapse experience from the underlying business, over the medium to long-term.

3) The third component relates to the annually renewable business covering life, accident and health risks written in Continental Europe. These contracts are short-term in nature and include both proportional and non-proportional reinsurance structures. While the renewal rights for this business have been sold, the existing business remains with the Company.

Underwriting & Claims Administration

While the Life Operations segment was closed to new business in March 2009, the pricing information below reflects how new business was acquired prior to that date and hence is relevant to the in-force portfolio of business.

Life reinsurance transactions fall into two distinct forms. The first relates to the reinsurance of an existing and closed block of risks (in-force deal), where the nature of the underlying exposure is known at the date of execution. The second relates to the reinsurance of liabilities which are yet to be written by the ceding company (new business treaty) where, provided the subsequent risks are within the agreed treaty parameters, these risks may be added to the portfolio.

The underwriting of an in-force deal is highly actuarial in nature, requiring detailed analytical appraisal of the key parameters which drive the ultimate profitability of the deal. This includes analysis of historic experience (claims, lapses, etc.) as well as the projection of these assumptions into the future.

When new business was written, in addition to the actuarial analysis required to set the terms, there was also a requirement to establish medical underwriting criteria that will apply to the new risks which may be added to the treaty. Once a treaty is accepted, there is then an ongoing need to monitor the risk selection by the medical underwriters at the ceding company and to ensure that the criteria are being met.

The team includes many members with specialized actuarial knowledge. Claims administration also relies on experienced team members and specific medical expertise, supported where required by third party medical underwriters and claims managers.

The Company maintained comprehensive terms of trade guidelines for all core product lines. These guidelines describe the approach to be taken in assessing and underwriting opportunities, including the approach to be taken to the setting of core parameters and to the determination of appropriate pricing levels. The terms of trade were overseen by a separate team from the new business underwriters.

In addition, the Company maintained a medical underwriting manual which sets out the approach to be taken to underwriting specific medical impairments when setting terms for a new business treaty.

Reinsurance Retroceded

The Company purchases limited retrocession capacity on a per-life basis in the U.S. in order to cap the maximum claim arising from the death of a single individual. Cover is purchased from professional retrocessionaires which meet the Company s criteria for counterparty exposures. Limited retrocession of fixed annuity business has been arranged to manage aggregate longevity capacity on specific deals. Limited retrocession of life, accident and health business on specific treaties written in Continental Europe has also been arranged to manage mortality and morbidity risks.

Premiums

The following table is an analysis of the Life Operations gross premiums written, net premiums written and net premiums earned for the year ended December 31, 2010:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**Gross Premiums Written**	**Net Premiums Written**	**Net Premiums Earned**
Other Life	$ 256,703	$ 255,056	$ 255,905
Annuity	155,235	127,019	127,019
Total	$ 411,938	$ 382,075	$ 382,924

Additional discussion and financial information about the Life Operations is set forth in Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Item 8, Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Segment Information.

Competition

In regards to the Life Operations segment, the core activity is in the U.S., U.K., Ireland and Continental Europe. While the Company no longer competes for new business, it retains an in-force portfolio and hence views companies with similar portfolios as competitors.

For the fixed annuity business, competition has historically come from less traditional reinsurance entities, such as Canada Life and Prudential (U.K.) or recently established entities such as Paternoster, Synesis and Pension Insurance Corporation. However, in recent years, more traditional reinsurance players, including Swiss Re, Partner Re and Pacific Life Re, also have entered or re-entered this market.

Marketing and Distribution

The Company predominantly marketed its long-term products directly to clients, with a smaller element sourced through reinsurance intermediaries. The Company primarily marketed the short-term life, accident and health business through reinsurance intermediaries. Following the closure to new business and the sale of the renewal rights, the Company has ceased to market these product lines.

The Company s distribution strategy was to avoid any undue concentration on any single client or market. Efforts were made to target ceding companies that were themselves strong and growing in their target segments.

Other Financial Lines Business

Following the streamlining of the Company s operating segments in the first quarter of 2009, the Other Financial Lines business is now included in Corporate. This business previously included contracts associated with the funding agreement (FA) business and the guaranteed investment contract (GIC) business. GICs and FAs provide users guaranteed rates of interest on amounts previously invested with the Company. FAs were very similar to GICs in that they have known cash flows. FAs were sold to institutional investors, typically through medium term note programs. During August 2010, the remaining balance of FAs of $450 million was settled and the business is no longer active.

Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses

Loss reserves are established due to the significant periods of time that may lapse between the occurrence, reporting and payment of a loss. To recognize liabilities for unpaid losses and loss expenses, the Company estimates future amounts needed to pay claims and related expenses with respect to insured events. The Company s reserving practices and the establishment of any particular reserve reflects management s judgment concerning sound financial practice and do not represent any admission of liability with respect to any claim. Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves are established for reported claims (case reserves) and incurred but not reported (IBNR) claims.

The nature of the Company s high excess of loss liability and catastrophe business can result in loss payments that are both irregular and significant. Similarly, adjustments to reserves for individual years can

be irregular and significant. Such adjustments are part of the normal course of business for the Company. Certain aspects of the Company s business have loss experience characterized as low frequency and high severity. This may result in volatility in the Company s results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

The tables below present the development of the Company s unpaid losses and loss expense reserves on both a net and gross basis. The cumulative redundancy (deficiency) calculated on a net basis differs from that calculated on a gross basis. As different reinsurance programs cover different underwriting years, net and gross loss experience will not develop proportionately. The top lines of the tables show the estimated liability, net of reinsurance recoveries, as at the year end balance sheet date for each of the indicated years. This represents the estimated amounts of losses and loss expenses, including IBNR, arising in the current and all prior years that are unpaid at the year end balance sheet date of the indicated year. The tables show the re-estimated amount of the previously recorded reserve liability based on experience as of the year end balance sheet date of each succeeding year. The estimate changes as more information becomes known about the frequency and severity of claims for individual years. The cumulative redundancy (deficiency) represents the aggregate change with respect to that liability originally estimated. The lower portion of the first table also reflects the cumulative paid losses relating to these reserves. Conditions and trends that have affected development of liabilities in the past may not necessarily occur in the future. Accordingly, it may not be appropriate to extrapolate redundancies or deficiencies into the future, based on the tables below. See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

Analysis of Losses and Loss Expense Reserve Development
Net of Reinsurance Recoveries

(U.S. dollars in millions)	**2000**	**2001**	**2002**	**2003**	**2004**	**2**
ESTIMATED LIABILITY FOR UNPAID LOSSES AND LOSS EXPENSES, NET OF REINSURANCE RECOVERABLES	$ 4,207	$ 7,004	$ 8,313	$ 10,532	$ 12,671	$
LIABILITY RE-ESTIMATED AS OF:						
One year later	4,382	7,404	9,250	10,800	13,785	
Two years later	4,345	8,423	9,717	11,842	13,675	
Three years later	5,118	8,653	10,723	11,849	13,607	
Four years later	5,294	9,727	10,738	11,860	13,258	
Five years later	5,435	9,674	10,710	11,680	13,236	
Six years later	5,419	9,718	10,642	11,794	13,068	
Seven years later	5,508	9,680	10,824	11,669		
Eight years later	5,496	9,921	10,775			
Nine years later	5,571	9,863				
Ten years later	5,541					

CUMULATIVE REDUNDANCY (DEFICIENCY) (1)	(1,334)	(2,859)	(2,462)	(1,137)	(397)	
CUMULATIVE PAID LOSSES, NET OF REINSURANCE RECOVERIES, AS OF:						
One year later	$ 1,184	$ 2,011	$ 2,521	$ 1,985	$ 2,008	$
Two years later	1,920	3,984	3,800	2,867	3,884	
Three years later	2,683	4,703	4,163	4,380	5,181	
Four years later	3,038	4,641	5,365	5,286	6,392	
Five years later	3,290	5,526	6,018	6,225	7,386	
Six years later	3,774	5,969	6,764	7,002	8,098	
Seven years later	3,985	6,514	7,381	7,591		
Eight years later	4,351	6,965	7,797			
Nine years later	4,589	7,291				
Ten years later	4,751					

Analysis of Property and Casualty Losses and Loss Expense Reserve Development Gross of Reinsurance Recoverables

(U.S. dollars in millions)	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
ESTIMATED GROSS LIABILITY FOR UNPAID LOSSES AND LOSS EXPENSES	$ 5,668	$ 11,807	$ 13,333	$ 16,553	$ 19,616	$ 23
LIABILITY RE-ESTIMATED AS OF:						
One year later	$ 6,118	$ 12,352	$ 15,204	$ 18,189	$ 19,987	$ 23
Two years later	6,105	14,003	16,994	18,520	19,533	22
Three years later	6,909	15,377	17,210	18,324	19,525	22
Four years later	7,086	15,441	17,048	18,362	19,153	21
Five years later	7,240	15,267	17,106	18,236	19,099	21
Six years later	7,223	15,401	17,051	18,328	19,050	
Seven years later	7,317	15,381	17,189	18,321		
Eight years later	7,370	15,602	17,253			
Nine years later	7,460	15,639				
Ten years later	7,450					
CUMULATIVE REDUNDANCY (DEFICIENCY)	(1,782)	(3,832)	(3,920)	(1,768)	566	1

The following table presents an analysis of the Company s paid, unpaid and incurred losses and loss expenses and a reconciliation of beginning and ending unpaid losses and loss expenses for the years indicated:

Reconciliation of Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	2010	2009	2008
Unpaid losses and loss expenses at beginning of year	$ 20,823,524	$ 21,650,315	$ 23,207,694
Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable	3,557,391	3,964,836	4,665,615
Financial guarantee reserves related to previous reinsurance agreements with Syncora that were recorded within Net loss from operating affiliates			(350,988)
Net unpaid losses and loss expenses at beginning of year	17,266,133	17,685,479	18,191,091

Increase (decrease) in net losses and loss expenses incurred in respect of losses occurring in:			
Current year	3,584,662	3,453,577	4,573,562
Prior years	(372,862)	(284,740)	(610,664)
Total net incurred losses and loss expenses	3,211,800	3,168,837	3,962,898
Exchange rate effects	(125,107)	287,752	(677,664)
Less net losses and loss expenses paid in respect of losses occurring in:			
Current year	442,262	439,638	584,120
Prior years	3,028,247	3,436,297	3,206,726
Total net paid losses	3,470,509	3,875,935	3,790,846
Net unpaid losses and loss expenses at end of year	16,882,317	17,266,133	17,685,479
Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable	3,649,290	3,557,391	3,964,836
Unpaid losses and loss expenses at end of year	$ 20,531,607	$ 20,823,524	$ 21,650,315

The Company s net unpaid losses and losses expenses relating to the Company s operating segments at December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

(U.S. dollars in millions)	**2010**	**2009**
Insurance	$ 11,240	$ 11,128
Reinsurance	5,642	6,138
Net unpaid loss and loss expense reserves	$ 16,882	$ 17,266

Current year net losses incurred

Net losses incurred were flat at $3.2 billion in both 2010 and 2009. Net losses incurred decreased by $794.1 million in 2009 as compared to 2008, mainly as a result of the current year loss ratio decreasing by 9.4 loss percentage points during the same period. This decrease is due primarily to lower levels of large property risk and catastrophe losses occurring in 2009 combined with the impact of anticipated sub prime and credit related losses in 2008. The lower level of property losses in 2009 as well as business mix changes more than offset the impacts of a softening rate environment. The decrease in net losses incurred is also due to a reduction in business volume as net premiums earned decreased 14.0% in 2009 relative to 2008.

See the Income Statement Analysis at Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for further information regarding the current year loss ratios for each of the years indicated within each of the Company s operating segments.

Prior year net losses incurred

The following tables present the development of the Company s gross and net losses and loss expense reserves. The tables also show the estimated reserves at the beginning of each fiscal year and the favorable or adverse development (prior year development) of those reserves during such fiscal year.

Gross *(U.S. dollars in millions)*	**2010**	**2009**	**2008**
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves at the beginning of the year	$ 20,824	$ 21,650	$ 22,857
Net (favorable) adverse development of those reserves during the year	(315)	(302)	(1,054)
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves re-estimated one year later	$ 20,509	$ 21,348	$ 21,803

Net *(U.S. dollars in millions)*	**2010**	**2009**	**2008**
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves at the beginning of the year	$ 17,266	$ 17,686	$ 18,191
Net (favorable) adverse development of those reserves during the year	(373)	(285)	(611)
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves re-estimated one year later	$ 16,893	$ 17,401	$ 17,580

As different reinsurance programs cover different underwriting years, contracts and lines of business, net and gross loss experience do not develop proportionately. In 2010, net prior year favorable development exceeded gross prior year favorable development due primarily to the Insurance segment from a single large claim in excess casualty that was heavily ceded.

In 2009, gross prior year favorable development was in line with net favorable development in both the Insurance and Reinsurance segments. In 2008, gross prior year favorable development exceeded net prior year favorable development in both the Reinsurance and Insurance segments. Within the Reinsurance segment, the gross impact of favorable loss experience related to a large crop program was mostly offset by the impact of retrocessional protection related to this program. In the Insurance segment, the impact of reductions in gross reported losses on older years in certain casualty lines was mostly offset by the impact of the reinsurance recoverable component on such losses, while the impact of gross reserve releases in professional and specialty lines was mostly offset by the impact of a reduction in estimated ceded IBNR following a reserve review in these lines.

The following table presents the net (favorable) adverse prior year loss development of the Company s loss and loss expense reserves by operating segment for each of the years indicated:

(U.S. dollars in millions)	**2010**	**2009**	**2008**
Insurance segment	$ (127.4)	$ (62.9)	$ (305.5)
Reinsurance segment	(245.5)	(221.8)	(305.2)
Total	$ (372.9)	$ (284.7)	$ (610.7)

The Company had net favorable prior year reserve development in property and casualty operations of $372.9 million, $284.7 million and $610.7 million for the years ending December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. See the Income Statement Analysis at Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of

Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8, Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Losses and Loss Expenses, for further information regarding the developments in prior year loss reserve estimates for each of the years indicated within each of the Company s operating segments.

Net loss reserves (disposed) acquired

The Company did not dispose of or acquire net loss reserves in 2010, 2009 or 2008.

Exchange rate effects

Exchange rate effects on net loss reserves in each of the three years ended December 31 related to the global operations of the Company primarily where reporting units have a functional currency that is not the U.S. dollar. In 2010, the U.S. dollar was stronger against the Euro, while weaker against the Swiss franc, Canadian dollar and Brazilian real. In 2009, the U.S. dollar weakened against all of the Company s major currency exposures, particularly the Canadian dollar and U.K. sterling. In 2008, the U.S. dollar was stronger against the Euro, the Swiss franc, and U.K. sterling. These movements in the U.S. dollar gave rise to translation and revaluation exchange movements related to carried loss reserve balances of ($125.1) million, $287.8 million and ($677.7) million in the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Net paid losses

Total net paid losses were $3.5 billion, $3.9 billion and $3.8 billion in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for further information.

Other loss related information

The Company s net incurred losses and loss expenses include actual and estimates of potential non-recoveries from reinsurers. As at December 31, 2010 and 2009, the reserve for potential non-recoveries from reinsurers was $121.9 million and $189.8 million, respectively. For further information, see Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Reinsurance.

Except for certain financial guarantee and workers compensation liabilities, the Company does not discount its unpaid losses and loss expenses.

With respect to financial guarantee exposures, the amount of case basis reserve is based on the net present value of the expected ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense payments that the Company expects to make, net of expected recoveries under salvage and subrogation rights. Case basis reserves are determined using cash flow or similar models to estimate the net present value of the anticipated shortfall between (i) scheduled payments on the insured obligation plus anticipated loss adjustment expenses and (ii) anticipated cash flow from the proceeds to be received on sales of any collateral supporting the obligation and other anticipated recoveries.

The Company utilizes tabular reserving for workers compensation (including long-term disability) unpaid losses that are considered fixed and determinable, and discounts such losses using an interest rate of 5% in 2010 and 2009. The interest rate approximates the average yield to maturity on specific fixed income investments that support these liabilities. The tabular reserving methodology results in applying uniform and consistent criteria for establishing expected future indemnity and medical payments (including an explicit factor for inflation) and the use of mortality tables to determine expected payment periods. Tabular unpaid losses and loss expenses, net of reinsurance, at December 31, 2010 and 2009 on an undiscounted basis were $660.3 million and $734.1 million, respectively. The related discounted unpaid losses and loss expenses were $311.9 million and $343.7 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

17

Investments

Investment structure and strategy

The Company s investment operations are managed centrally by the Company s Investment Group. The Risk and Finance Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company approves overall investment policy and guidelines, and reviews the implementation of the investment strategies on a regular basis.

Strategic Asset Allocation

During 2008, management initiated a Strategic Asset Allocation (SAA) process that resulted in the development of an asset allocation benchmark for its property insurance, casualty insurance and reinsurance operations (P&C). This project was a stochastic dynamic financial analysis (DFA) model of investment assets and liabilities by major line of business to changes in underlying economic variables (including interest rates, inflation and GDP). The resulting SAA benchmark selected by management maximizes the Company s enterprise value, over the strategic planning period, subject to accounting, regulatory, capital, risk tolerance and other business constraints. In 2009 the Company expanded its SAA process to include its run-off Life Operations which was completed in 2010. The Company continues to focus on optimizing the composition of the two investment portfolios (P&C and Life) relative to the SAA benchmarks. See Investment Portfolio Repositioning Investment Portfolio Structure for more details.

Investment Portfolio Repositioning

For a discussion on the portfolio repositioning and risk reduction actions, see Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Other Key Focuses of Management.

Investment Portfolio Structure

The Company s investment portfolio consists of fixed income securities, equities, alternative investments, private investments, derivatives and other investments and cash. These securities and investments are denominated in both U.S. dollar and foreign currencies. The Company s direct use of investment derivatives includes futures, forwards, swaps and option contracts that derive their value from underlying assets, indices, reference rates or a combination of these factors. When investment guidelines allow for the use of derivatives, these can generally only be used for the purpose of managing foreign exchange rate risk, interest rate risk, and credit risk, and replicating permitted investments, provided the use of such instruments is incorporated in the overall portfolio evaluation. The direct use of derivatives to economically leverage the portfolio outside of the stated guidelines is generally not permitted. Derivatives may also be used to add value to the investment portfolio where market inefficiencies are perceived to exist, to equitize cash holdings through the purchase of equity-indexed derivatives and to adjust the duration of a portfolio of fixed income securities as part of duration management activities for the P&C investment portfolio.

The Company s investment portfolio is structured to take into account a number of variables including local regulatory requirements, business needs, collateral management and risk tolerance. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, total investments, cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income, and net receivable (payable) for investments sold (purchased), were $35.8 billion and $35.9 billion, respectively.

Functionally, the Company s investment portfolio is divided into two principal components:

1) *P&C investment portfolio*: The largest component is the P&C investment portfolio and its principal objective is to support the Company s insurance and reinsurance operations, the liabilities of which have some uncertainty as to the timing and/or amount. In addition, a smaller portion of the P&C investment portfolio supports corporate operations as well as run-off financial lines business, in which the liabilities have a greater level of certainty and much longer durations than typical P&C business.

18

The principal asset classes in the P&C investment portfolio are summarized in the following table:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	Carrying Value 2010 (1)	Percent of Total	Carrying Value 2009 (1)	Percent of Total
Cash and cash equivalents.	$ 2,728,696	9.2 %	$ 3,388,806	11.5 %
Net receivable (payable) for investments sold (purchased).	(7,798)	0.0 %	54,167	0.2 %
Accrued investment income	223,094	0.8 %	214,023	0.7 %
Short-term investments	1,957,386	6.7 %	1,682,277	5.7 %
Fixed maturities, available for sale:				
U.S. Government and Government-Related/Supported (2)	1,961,005	6.7 %	2,500,342	8.5 %
Corporate	8,069,856	27.5 %	6,337,182	21.5 %
Residential mortgage-backed securities Agency	5,152,712	17.6 %	6,213,192	21.1 %
Residential mortgage-backed securities Non-Agency	994,806	3.4 %	1,291,764	4.4 %
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	1,108,698	3.8 %	1,153,821	3.9 %
Collateralized debt obligations	733,660	2.5 %	698,561	2.4 %
Other asset-backed securities	853,315	2.9 %	756,901	2.6 %
U.S. states and political subdivisions of the states	1,349,852	4.6 %	911,672	3.1 %
Non-U.S. Sovereign Government, Supranational and Government-Related (2)	2,106,946	7.2 %	2,225,946	7.6 %
Total fixed maturities	$ 22,330,850	76.2 %	$ 22,089,381	75.1 %
Equity securities	84,767	0.3 %	17,779	0.1 %
Investments in affiliates (3)	1,069,028	3.6 %	1,185,604	4.0 %
Other investments (4)	951,723	3.2 %	783,189	2.7 %
Total investments and cash and cash equivalents	$ 29,337,746	100.0 %	$ 29,415,226	100.0 %

(1) Carrying value represents the fair value for available for sale fixed maturities and amortized cost for held to maturity

securities.

(2) U.S. Government and Government-Related/Supported and Non-U.S. Sovereign Government, Supranational and Government-Related include government-related securities with an amortized cost of $1,781.2 million and fair value of $1,802.2 million and U.S. Agencies with an amortized cost of $889.3 million and fair value of $932.5 million.

(3) There are two main categories within Investments in affiliates:
1) investment funds and
2) operating affiliates.
Investment funds include $0.5 billion of alternative investment funds, and $0.2 billion of private investment funds at December 31, 2010, as compared to $0.5 billion and $0.3 billion respectively at December 31, 2009. Alternative investment funds are classified by the Company into four general style categories :
(i) event driven, which includes strategies that pursue merger arbitrage, distressed and special situations opportunities;
(ii) directional/tactical, which includes strategies that pursue long/short equity, managed futures and macro opportunities;
(iii) arbitrage, which includes strategies that pursue equity market neutral, fixed income arbitrage and convertible arbitrage opportunities; and
(iv) multi- strategy, which includes strategies incorporating several aspects of the above.

Operating affiliates were valued at $0.3 billion at December 31, 2010 and $0.4 billion at December 31, 2009

respectively. Operating affiliates include investment and (re)insurance affiliates. At December 31, 2010, the Company s allocation to investment and (re)insurance affiliates and investments in investment management companies securities was approximately 0.9% of the total investment portfolio (including cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income and net receivable (payable) for investments sold (purchased)) as compared to approximately 1.1% at December 31, 2009.

At December 31, 2010, the Company owned minority stakes in seven independent investment management companies. The Company seeks to achieve strong returns on capital while accessing the investment expertise of professionals to help manage portions of the Company s investment assets. The Company s active interactions with the managers of these investment firms provides it with an exchange of expertise that the Company believes enhances its overall financial performance. Of the seven current investments held by the Company, four are companies actively managing client capital and seeking growth opportunities. One of the remaining companies successfully sold its core business in an asset sale during the year and is now winding up the legal entity. The other two inactive firms are unwinding their operations as a result of lack of traction with clients and are carried on the Company s balance sheet as of

December 31, 2010 at a value less than $0.5 million.

Where the Company maintains significant influence over the decisions of an operating affiliate, through board representation or through certain voting and/or consent rights, the Company s proportionate share of the income or loss from these companies is reported as net income from operating affiliates. The Company s existing managers manage or sponsor a broad range of investment products, providing institutional and high net worth investor s access to a wide array of asset classes and investment strategies. See Item 8, Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Investments.

(4) Other investments includes equity interests in investment funds, limited partnerships and unrated tranches of collateralized debt obligations for which the Company does not have sufficient rights or ownership interests to follow the equity method of accounting. The Company accounts for equity securities that do not have readily determinable market values at estimated fair value as it has no significant influence over these entities. Also included within other investments are structured transactions which are carried at amortized cost.

The investment strategy for the P&C investment portfolio is based on the SAA process, which establishes a portfolio asset allocation target (Benchmark) that is constructed to maximize enterprise value subject to business constraints and the risk tolerance of management and approved by the Risk and Finance Committee of the Board of Directors. The SAA process involves stochastic DFA models of XL s P&C Operations that includes financial conditions, reserve volatility and payout patterns, premium expense and loss ratio projections, liability correlations and sensitivities to economic variables.

The primary performance objective is capital preservation through managing the risk profile of the P&C investment portfolio to be within management s risk tolerance envelope as reflected in the SAA Benchmark. The second performance objective is for the constrained total return of the P&C investment portfolio to meet or exceed the total return of the SAA Benchmark. The third performance objective is achieving the budget for Net Investment Income.

As part of the overall SAA process and framework the Company has developed and implemented a comprehensive authorities framework that establishes decision authorities that have been approved by management and the Risk and Finance Committee of the Board of Directors. The objective of the authorities is to control the range of exposures and the risk profile within which the P&C investment portfolio will be managed to ensure consistency with SAA and to tie the P&C investment portfolio to the SAA Benchmark. The authorities permit active or tactical deviations from the SAA Benchmark therefore allowing the Company to be underweight or overweight certain components of the SAA Benchmark. The authorities framework has been designed such that as the magnitude of these deviations increases or the resulting impact on the risk profile of the P&C investment portfolio reaches certain predetermined thresholds then additional levels of authority and approval are required, up to and including the Risk and Finance Committee. The authorities are monitored by the Risk & Compliance Team on a monthly basis with any new approvals being documented and reviewed in accordance with the authorities set out in the authorities framework. On at least a quarterly basis an authorities report and update, detailing the authorities for which the Risk and Finance Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company is the approval party, is provided to the Risk and Finance Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company for their consideration, review and approval.

2) The second component of the investment portfolio is the Life investment portfolio, which was approximately $6.4 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009. As at December 31, 2010, the Company s allocation to securities in the Life investment portfolio was approximately 19% of the total investment portfolio (including cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income and net receivable (payable) for investments sold (purchased)) as compared to approximately 18% as at December 31, 2009.

The principal objective of the Life investment portfolio is to support the Company s Life Operations, which are now in run-off. The largest portion of the Life investment portfolio supports the policy benefit reserves associated with asset annuity transactions, with limited uncertainty as to the timing or amount of the liability cash flows. A smaller portion of the Life investment portfolio supports life annuity liabilities that were assumed without portfolio asset transfer.

The principal asset classes in the Life investment portfolio are summarized in the following table:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)		**Carrying Value 2010 (1)**	**Percent of Total**		**Carrying Value 2009 (1)**	**Percent of Total**
Cash and cash equivalents.	$	294,172	4.5 %	$	254,891	4.0 %
Net receivable (payable) for investments sold (purchased).		(4,801)	0.0 %		(6,529)	(0.1)%
Accrued investment income		126,997	2.0 %		136,032	2.1 %
Short-term investments		91,221	1.4 %		95,083	1.5 %
Fixed maturities, available for sale:						
U.S. Government and Government-Related/Supported (2)		166,486	2.6 %		164,283	2.5 %
Corporate		2,291,027	35.5 %		3,461,818	53.7 %
Residential mortgage-backed securities Agency		12,034	0.2 %		15,309	0.2 %
Residential mortgage-backed securities Non-Agency		26,282	0.4 %		129,551	2.0 %
Commercial mortgage-backed securities		63,809	1.0 %		62,978	1.0 %
Collateralized debt obligations		3	0.0 %			%
Other asset-backed securities		95,516	1.5 %		411,084	6.4 %
U.S. states and political subdivisions of the states		1,825	0.0 %		1,801	%
Non-U.S. Sovereign Government, Supranational and Government-Related (2)		556,347	8.6 %		1,175,827	18.2 %
Total fixed maturities, available for sale	$	3,213,329	49.8 %	$	5,422,651	84.0 %
Fixed maturities, held to maturity:						
U.S. Government and Government-Related/Supported (2)		10,541	0.2 %			%
Corporate		1,337,797	20.6 %			%
Residential mortgage-backed securities Non-Agency		82,763	1.3 %			%
Other asset-backed securities		287,109	4.5 %			%
Non-U.S. Sovereign Government, Supranational and Government-Related (2)		1,010,125	15.7 %		546,067	8.5 %
Total fixed maturities, held to maturity		2,728,335	42.3 %		546,067	8.5 %

Total investments and cash and cash equivalents	$	6,449,253	100.0 %	$	6,448,195	100.0 %

(1) Carrying value represents the fair value for available for sale fixed maturities and amortized cost for held to maturity securities.

(2) U.S. Government and Government-Related/Supported and Non U.S. Sovereign Government, Supranational and Government-Related include government-related securities with an amortized cost of $319.8 million and fair value of $329.0 million and U.S. Agencies with an amortized cost of $130.2 million and fair value of $140.1 million.

The investment strategy for the Life investment portfolio is based on a SAA process similar to that which was described for the P&C investment portfolio. The SAA process for the Life portfolio incorporates a more extensive focus on Asset-Liability Management (ALM), which is possible owing to the lower volatility of life liabilities relative to P&C liabilities. In addition, there are multiple SAA benchmarks within the Life portfolio that represent the liability characteristics of the individual asset annuity transactions.

The primary performance objective for the asset annuity transactions is to achieve a steady credit-adjusted book yield of the Life investment portfolio in order to maximize Life embedded value and minimize statutory capital needs (owing to unique technical requirements of the statutory capital model). For the investments supporting the other portions of the Life investment portfolio, which do not have this unique capital model, the performance objective is the constrained total return relative to the Benchmark. A comprehensive authorities framework has also been developed for the Life portfolio, which is similar to that described for the P&C investment portfolio.

Implementation of investment strategy

Although the Company s management within the Investment Group is responsible for implementation of the investment strategy, the day-to-day management of the Company s investment portfolio is outsourced

to investment management service providers in accordance with detailed investment guidelines provided and monitored by the Company. This allows the Company an active management of its investment portfolio with flexible access to top talents specializing in various investment products and markets. Investment management service providers are selected directly by the Company on the basis of various criteria including investment style, track record, performance, risk management capabilities, internal controls, operational risk, and diversification implications. Well-established, large institutional investment management service providers manage the vast majority of the Company s investment portfolio. Each investment management service provider may manage one or more portfolios, each of which is generally governed by a detailed set of investment guidelines, including overall objectives, risk limits (where appropriate), and diversification requirements that fall within the Company s overall investment policies and guidelines, including but not limited to exposures to eligible securities, prohibited investments/transactions, credit quality and general concentrations limits.

Investment performance

See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for discussion of the Company s investment performance.

Investment portfolio credit ratings, duration and maturity profile

It is the Company s policy to operate the combined P&C and Life (aggregate) fixed income portfolio with a minimum weighted average credit rating of Aa3/AA . The aggregate credit rating is determined based on the weighted average rating of securities, where the average credit rating, where available, from Standard & Poor s (S&P), Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) and Fitch Ratings (Fitch) is allocated to each security. The weighted average credit rating of the aggregate fixed income portfolio was AA at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

The Company did not have an aggregate direct investment in a single corporate issuer in excess of 5% of shareholders equity at December 31, 2010 or December 31, 2009, excluding government-backed and government-sponsored enterprises, government-guaranteed paper, cash and cash equivalents, and asset and mortgage backed securities that were issued, sponsored or serviced by the parent.

The overall duration and currency denomination of the aggregate fixed income portfolio is managed relative to the respective SAA Benchmarks for P&C and Life operations, both of which incorporate matching currency and duration within a range relative to liabilities. Duration measures bond price volatility and is an indicator of the sensitivity of the price of a bond (or a portfolio of bonds) to changes in interest rates, assuming a parallel change in all global yield curves reflecting the percentage change in price for a 100 basis point change in yield. Management believes that the duration of the aggregate fixed income portfolio is the best single measure of interest rate risk for the aggregate fixed income portfolio.

The table below summarizes the weighted average duration in years and currency of the main components of the aggregate fixed income portfolio at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

Aggregate Fixed Income Portfolio Weighted Average Duration in Years	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Fixed income portfolio by Liability Type:		
Total Property and Casualty and Structured Products	2.9	2.9
Life Operations	8.3	8.7
Total fixed income portfolio	4.0	4.0

Aggregate fixed income portfolio by Liability Currency:		
U.S. Dollar	3.2	3.0
U.K. Sterling	7.3	7.6
Euro	5.3	5.6
Other	2.2	2.1
Aggregate fixed income portfolio	4.0	4.0

The maturity profile of the aggregate fixed income portfolio is a function of the maturity profile of liabilities, the expected operating cash flows of the Company and, to a lesser extent, the maturity profile of

common fixed income benchmarks. For further information on the maturity profile of the fixed income portfolio see Item 8, Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Investments.

Enterprise Risk Management (ERM)

Risk Management Framework

The Company faces strategic and operational risks related to, among others: underwriting activities, financial reporting, changing macro economic conditions, investment risks, reserving estimates, changes in laws or regulations, information systems, business interruption and fraud. The Company s global P&C business, its Life Operations (which is in run-off) and its investment portfolios each have their own set of risks (see Item 1A, Risk Factors, for a discussion of such risks). From time to time, these risks may exhibit greater levels of correlation than might be expected over the longer term due to the presence of, to a greater or lesser degree, some common risk drivers (internal or external to the Company) embedded in the Company s businesses that may manifest themselves simultaneously. An enterprise view of risk is required to identify and manage the consequences of these common risks and risk drivers on the Company s profitability, capital strength and liquidity.

The Company s ERM initiatives are led by the Chief Enterprise Risk Officer (CERO), who is a member of the Company s senior management team, and who reports to the Company s Chief Executive Officer. The CERO also acts as a liaison between the Company s Enterprise Risk Committee (see below) and the Board (or other of its committees) with respect to risk matters. All of the Company s employees are expected to assist in the appropriate and timely identification and management of risks and to enhance the quality and effectiveness of ERM.

The Company s ERM framework is designed to allow management to identify and understand material risk concentrations, including concentrations that have unattractive risk/reward dynamics so that prompt, appropriate, corrective or mitigating actions can be taken. To do this, the Company has risk management committees and processes to serve as points of managerial dialogue and convergence across its businesses and functional areas, creates risk aggregation methodologies and develops specific risk appetites to coordinate the identification, vetting and discussion of risk topics and metrics. As part of its ERM activities, the Company applies a suite of stress tests, tools, risk indicators, metrics and reporting processes that examine the consequences of low probability/high severity events (including those related to emerging risks) in order to drive mitigating actions where required.

Risk Governance

Risk Governance relates to the processes by which oversight and decision-making authorities with respect to risks are granted to individuals within the enterprise. The Company s governance framework establishes accountabilities for tasks and outcomes as well as escalation criteria. Governance processes are designed to ensure that transactions and activities, individually and in the aggregate, are carried out in accordance with the Company s risk policies, philosophies, appetites, limits and risk concentrations, and in a manner consistent with expectations of excellence of integrity, accountability and client service.

In order to enhance governance over its ERM activities, the Company established in 2009 the Special Committee on Enterprise Risk Management (the Special Committee) as a new Board Committee to oversee the Board s responsibilities relating to enterprise-wide management of the Company s key risks. The Special Committee reviewed, among other things, the methodology for establishing the Company s risk capacity, the overall risk appetite for the Company, and policies for the establishment of risk limit frameworks and adherence to such limits and recommended risk limits to the full Board, based on management s recommendations and in consultation with the Finance Committee. The Special Committee also assessed the integrity and adequacy of the risk management function of the Company and evaluated the risk impact of any strategies under consideration to determine whether they are consistent with the Company s risk profile.

In July 2010, after completing a comprehensive review of the Company s ERM processes and controls, the Board determined that there was no longer a need for a Special Committee. As a result, the Finance Committee of the Board now oversees enterprise risk management matters, changed its name to the Risk

and Finance Committee (RFC) and revised its charter to reflect these responsibilities. With respect to the responsibilities relating to enterprise risk management, the RFC:

Oversees enterprise risk management activities, including the risk management framework employed by management. In light of the overall risk management framework, the RFC (i) reviews the methodology for establishing the Company s overall risk capacity; (ii) reviews the policies for the establishment of risk limit frameworks, and adherence to such limits; and (iii) reviews and approves enterprise risk limits.

Oversees the Company s compliance with any significant enterprise risk limits, authorities and policies. The RFC evaluates

what actions to take with respect to such enterprise limits, authorities and policies, and approves any exceptions thereto from time to time as necessary.

Reviews the Company s overall risk profile and monitor key risks across the Company s organization as a whole, which may involve coordination with other committees of the Board from time to time as appropriate.

Reviews the Company s process controls over model use and development with respect to model effectiveness, accuracy, propriety and model risk.

Monitors the Company s risk management

performance and obtains reasonable assurance from management that the Company s risk management policies are effective and are being adhered to.

The review of the Company s overall risk appetites and the evaluation of the risk impact of any material strategic decision being contemplated, including consideration of whether such strategic decision is within the risk profile established by the Company, is conducted by the full Board. Risk appetites, as referred to above, are broad statements used to guide the Company s risk and reward preferences over time, all consistent with, among other factors, business prudence, market opportunities, the underwriting pricing cycle and investment climate. Risk appetites are regularly monitored and can change over time in light of the above. See Risk Appetite Management below.

Management oversight of ERM is performed, in part, via a centralized Enterprise Risk Committee (ERC) which is chaired by the CERO. The ERC is comprised of the Company s most senior management from its businesses and functions and is charged with developing and monitoring enterprise risk policies, risk appetites, risk limits and compliance with such limits, and risk aggregations, and identifying key emerging risks and ways to mitigate such risks.

In addition to the ERC, the Company has established a framework of separate yet complementary ERM subcommittees, each focusing on particular aspects of ERM. These subcommittees include:

Economic Capital Model Subcommittee: This subcommittee oversees the development of economic capital models that support ERM activities, and helps set priorities and manage resources related to such models. It reviews assumptions and related

methodologies used within the Company s economic capital model, including assessments of model validation, model control and model risk.

Liability Subcommittee: This subcommittee supports and assists the ERC s identification, measurement, management, monitoring and reporting of key underwriting liability and emerging risks.

Asset Subcommittee: This subcommittee assists the ERC in its responsibilities in relation to governance and oversight of asset-related risks across the Company, including its Investment Portfolio. Among the activities under the responsibility of this subcommittee

are (a) involvement in policy decisions on modeling and quantification of risk measurements; and (b) providing an interpretation and assessment of asset-related risks, with a particular focus on market-related risks. Further, the subcommittee is responsible for coordinating on a regular basis with the Credit Subcommittee of the ERC on asset-related credit risks.

Credit Subcommittee: This subcommittee develops and implements the metrics and supporting framework for allocation of credit risk capacity across major business units, including the amount and types of credit exposure.

Operational Risk Subcommittee:

This subcommittee supports the ERC s identification, measurement, management and oversight of key operational risks through its oversight over key operational risk

management processes and through its review of related operational risk indicators, trends and metrics.

In addition to the above, risk management subcommittees within each of the Company s businesses function to ensure that risk is managed in accordance with the risk limits, guidelines and tolerances that have been allocated to them by the Company.

Risk Appetite Management

The Company believes that the management of risk appetite is fundamental to strong governance and necessary in order to produce, among other things, a high-quality earnings process. The Company s risk appetite framework establishes the risk preferences and risk agenda of the organization, which helps set a context for where risk/capital should be deployed in pursuit of value, and hence, from which returns should arise. The Company s risk appetite standards are integrated into its overall business and strategic planning process.

The Company s risk appetites, tolerances and related limits are designed to take account of and balance the expectations of the Company s stakeholders by helping to reinforce the Company s risk and return culture and by helping to set a context in which its risk preferences can be associated with decision-making across the organization.

The Company s risk appetite framework guides its strategies relating to, among other things, capital preservation, earnings volatility, net worth at risk, operational loss, liquidity standards, capital rating and capital structure. This framework also addresses the Company s tolerance to risks from material individual events (e.g., natural or man-made catastrophes such as terrorism), the Company s investment portfolio, realistic disaster scenarios that cross multiple lines of business and risks related to some or all of the above that may actualize concurrently, with the objective of preserving the Company s capital base.

In relation to event risk management, the Company establishes net underwriting limits for individual large events as follows:

1. The Company imposes limits for each peril region/event type at a 1% exceedance probability. If the Company was to deploy the full limit, for

any given peril region/event type, there would be a 1% probability that an event would occur during the next year that would result in a net underwriting loss in excess of the limit.

2. The Company also imposes limits for each natural catastrophe peril region at a 1% tail value at risk (TVaR) probability. This statistic indicates the average amount of net loss expected to be incurred given that a loss above the 1% exceedance probability level has occurred.

3. The Company also imposes limits for certain other event types at a 0.4% exceedance

probability as described in further detail below. If the Company were to deploy the full limit, for any given event type, there would be a 0.4% probability that an event that would occur during the next year would result in a net underwriting loss in excess of the limit.

For planning purposes and to calibrate risk tolerances for business to be written from September 30, 2010 through September 30, 2011, the Company set its underwriting limits as a percent of September 30, 2010 Tangible Shareholders Equity (hereafter, Tangible Shareholders Equity). Tangible Shareholders Equity is defined as Total Shareholders Equity less Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. These limits may be recalibrated, from time to time, to reflect material changes in Total Shareholders Equity that may occur after September 30, 2010, at the discretion of management and as overseen by the Board.

Per event 1% exceedance probability underwriting limits for Tier 1 event types, which include natural catastrophes, terrorism and other realistic disaster scenarios, are set at a level not to exceed approximately 15% of Tangible Shareholders Equity.

Per event 1% TVaR underwriting limits for certain peak natural catastrophe peril regions approximate 20% of Tangible Shareholders Equity. 1% TVaR underwriting limits for non-peak natural catastrophe peril regions are set below the per event 1% TVaR limits described above.

Per event 1% exceedance probability underwriting limits for Tier 2 event types, which include country risk, longevity risk and pandemic risk, are set at a level not to exceed 7.5% of Tangible Shareholders Equity.

Per event 0.4% exceedance probability underwriting limits for Tier 2 event types are set at a level not to exceed 15% of Tangible Shareholders Equity. The 0.4% exceedance probability limit is used for Tier 2 event types rather than a TVaR measure due to the difficulty in estimating the full distribution of outcomes in the extreme tail of the distribution for these risk types as required for the TVaR measure.

In all instances, the above-referenced underwriting limits reflect pre-tax losses net of reinsurance and net of inwards and outwards reinstatement premiums related to the specific events being measured. The limits are not net of underwriting profits expected to be generated in the absence of catastrophic loss activity.

In setting underwriting limits, the Company also considers such factors as:

- Correlation of underwriting risk with other risks (e.g., asset/investment risk, operational risk, etc.);
- Model risk and robustness of data;
- Geographical concentrations;
- Exposures at lower return periods;
- Expected payback period associated with losses;
- Projected share of industry loss; and
- Annual aggregate losses at a 1% exceedance probability and at a 1% TVaR level on both a peril region/risk type basis as well as at the portfolio level.

Loss exposure estimates for all event risks are derived from a combination of commercially available and internally developed models together with the judgment of management, as overseen by the Board. Actual incurred losses may vary materially from the Company s estimates. Factors that can cause a deviation between estimated and actualized loss potential include:

- Inaccurate assumption of event frequency and severity;
- Inaccurate or incomplete data;
- Changing climate conditions, which may add to the unpredictability of frequency and severity of natural catastrophes in certain parts of the world and create additional uncertainty as to future trends and exposures;
- Future possible increases in property values and the effects of inflation, which may increase the severity of catastrophic events to levels above the modeled levels;
- Natural catastrophe models, which incorporate and are critically dependent on meteorological,

seismological and other earth science assumptions and related statistical relationships that may not be representative of prevailing conditions and risks, and may, therefore, misstate how particular events actually materialize, causing a material deviation between forecasted and actual damages associated with such events; and

A change in the judicial climate.

For the above and other reasons, the incidence and severity of catastrophes and other event types are inherently unpredictable and it is difficult to predict the timing of such events with statistical certainty or estimate the amount of loss any given occurrence will generate. As a consequence, there is material uncertainty around the Company s ability to measure exposures associated with individual events and combinations of events. This uncertainty could cause actual exposures and losses to deviate from those amounts estimated below, which in turn can create a material adverse effect on the Company s financial condition and results of operations and may result in substantial liquidation of investments, possibly at a loss, and outflows of cash as losses are paid.

For a further discussion on risk appetite management see Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Other Key Focuses of Management.

Impact of ERM Processes

The Company believes that its ERM processes improve the quality and timeliness of strategic decisions, enhance the integration of strategic initiatives with the risks related to such initiatives and act as

catalysts to improve risk awareness and informed action by and across the Company. The Company believes that the integration of ERM with existing business processes and controls improves the quality of strategic decisions, optimizes the risk/reward characteristics of business strategies, and enhances the Company s overall risk management culture.

In addition, the Company s ERM processes complement the Company s overall internal control framework by helping to manage the complexity that is inherent within an organization of the Company s size, the variety of its businesses and investment activities and geographical reach. However, internal controls and ERM can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that control objectives will be met. As a result, the possibility of material financial loss remains in spite of the Company s ERM activities. An investor should carefully consider the risks and all information set forth in this report including the discussion included in Item 1A Risk Factors, Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk, and Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Ratings

The Company s ability to underwrite business is dependent upon the quality of its claims paying and financial strength ratings as evaluated by independent rating agencies. As a result, in the event that the Company is downgraded, its ability to write business as well as its financial condition and/or results of operations, could be materially adversely effected.

The Company believes that the primary users of ratings include commercial and investment banks, policyholders, brokers, ceding companies and investors.

Tax Matters

See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Item 8, Note 24 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Taxation.

Regulation

The Company s operations are subject to regulation and supervision in each of the jurisdictions where they are domiciled and licensed to conduct business. Generally, regulatory authorities can have broad supervisory and administrative powers over such matters as licenses, fitness of management, standards of solvency, material transactions between affiliates, premium rates, policy forms, investments, security deposits, methods of accounting, form and content of financial statements, reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, reinsurance, minimum capital and surplus requirements and/or risk based capital standards, dividends and other distributions to shareholders, periodic examinations and annual and other report filings. In general, such regulation is for the protection of policyholders rather than shareholders.

Bermuda Operations

The Insurance Act 1978 of Bermuda and related regulations, as amended (the Act), regulates the Company s (re)insurance operating subsidiaries in Bermuda, and it provides that no person may carry on any insurance business in or from within Bermuda unless registered as an insurer by the Bermuda Monetary Authority (the BMA) under the Act. Insurance as well as reinsurance is regulated under the Act.

The Act imposes on Bermuda insurance companies, solvency and liquidity standards, certain restrictions on the declaration and payment of dividends and distributions, certain restrictions on the reduction of statutory capital, auditing and reporting requirements, and grants the BMA powers to supervise, investigate and intervene in the affairs of insurance companies. Significant requirements include the appointment of an independent auditor, the appointment of a loss reserve specialist and the filing of the Annual Statutory Financial Return with the BMA. The Supervisor of Insurance is the chief administrative officer under the Act.

In early July 2008, the Insurance Amendment Act of 2008 was passed, which introduced a number of changes to the Act, such as allowing the BMA to prescribe standards for an enhanced capital requirement and a capital and solvency return with which insurers and reinsurers must comply. The Bermuda Solvency Capital Requirement (BSCR) employs a standard mathematical model that can relate more accurately the risks taken on by (re)insurers to the capital that is dedicated to their business. (Re)insurers may adopt the BSCR model or, where an insurer or reinsurer believes that its own internal model better reflects the inherent risk of its business, an in-house model approved by the BMA. Class 4 (re)insurers, such as the Company, were required to implement the new capital requirements under the BSCR model beginning with fiscal years ending on or after December 31, 2008.

Under the Bermuda Companies Act 1981, as amended, a Bermuda company may not declare or pay a dividend or make a distribution out of contributed surplus if there are reasonable grounds for believing that: (a) the company is, or would after the payment be, unable to pay its liabilities as they become due; or (b) the realizable value of the company s assets would thereby be less than the aggregate of its liabilities and its issued share capital and share premium accounts. For further information see Item 8, Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Statutory Financial Data.

United States

Within the United States, the Company s insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are subject to regulation and supervision by their respective states of incorporation and by other jurisdictions in which they do business. The methods of regulation vary, but in general have their source in statutes that delegate regulatory and supervisory powers to an insurance official. The regulation and supervision relate primarily to approval of policy forms and rates, the standards of solvency that must be met and maintained, including risk-based capital standards, material transactions between an insurer and its affiliates, the licensing of insurers, agents and brokers, restrictions on insurance policy terminations, the nature of and limitations on the amount of certain investments, limitations on the net amount of insurance of a single risk compared to the insurer s surplus, deposits of securities for the benefit of policyholders, methods of accounting, periodic examinations of the financial condition and market conduct of insurance companies, the form and content of reports of financial condition required to be filed, and reserves for unearned premiums, losses, expenses and other obligations. All transactions between or among the insurance and reinsurance company subsidiaries must be fair and equitable. In general, such regulation is for the protection of policyholders rather than shareholders.

Regulations generally require insurance and reinsurance companies to furnish information to their domestic state insurance department concerning activities that may materially affect the operations, management or financial condition and solvency of the company. Regulations vary from state to state but generally require that each primary insurance company obtain a license from the department of insurance of a state to conduct business in that state. A reinsurance company is not generally required to have an insurance license to reinsure a U.S. ceding company from

outside the U.S. However, for a U.S. ceding company to obtain financial statement credit for reinsurance ceded, the reinsurer must obtain an insurance license or accredited status from the cedant s state of domicile or another U.S. state with equivalent insurance regulation or must post collateral to support the liabilities ceded. In addition, regulations for

reinsurers vary somewhat from primary insurers in that the form and rate of reinsurance contracts and the market conduct of reinsurers are not subject to regulator approval.

The Company s U.S. insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are required to file detailed annual and, in most states, quarterly reports with state insurance regulators in each of the states in which they are licensed. Such annual and quarterly reports are required to be prepared on a calendar year basis. In addition, the U.S. insurance subsidiaries operations and accounts are subject to financial condition and market conduct examination at regular intervals by state regulators. Effective January 1, 2010, the Company s U.S. insurance subsidiaries are required to comply with expanded state model audit laws which require the filing of a Management s Report of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. The report will provide management s assertion that it has responsibility for establishing and maintaining a system of adequate internal controls over statutory financial reporting, and will provide management s assessment that the system is effective. In addition, these new laws also expanded the governance requirements of the insurance subsidiaries.

Statutory surplus is an important measure utilized by the regulators and rating agencies to assess the Company s U.S. insurance subsidiaries ability to support business operations and provide dividend capacity. The Company s U.S. insurance subsidiaries are subject to various state statutory and regulatory restrictions that limit the amount of dividends that may be paid, within any twelve-month period, from earned surplus without prior approval from regulatory authorities. These restrictions differ by state, but are generally based on a calculation of the lesser of 10% of statutory surplus or 100% of adjusted net investment income to the extent that it has not previously been distributed.

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners (the NAIC) promulgated, and all states have adopted, Risk-Based Capital (RBC) standards for property and casualty companies and life insurance companies as a means of monitoring certain aspects affecting the overall financial condition of insurance companies. RBC is designed to measure the adequacy of an insurer s statutory surplus in relation to the risks inherent in its business. The NAIC s RBC Model Law provides for four incremental levels of regulatory attention for insurers whose surplus is below the calculated RBC target. These levels of attention range in severity from requiring the insurer to submit a plan for corrective action to actually placing the insurer under regulatory control. The Company s current RBC ratios for its U.S. subsidiaries are satisfactory and such ratios are not expected to result in any adverse regulatory action. The Company is not aware of any such actions relative to it.

While the federal government currently does not directly regulate the insurance business in the U.S. (other than for flood, nuclear and reinsurance of losses from terrorism), federal legislation and administrative policies can affect the insurance industry.

In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank) was passed into law. Dodd-Frank requires the creation of a Federal Insurance Office within the Treasury Department that will be focused on national coordination of the insurance sector, systemic risk mitigation and international regulatory cooperation. Although the Federal Insurance Office currently does not directly regulate the insurance industry, under Dodd-Frank it has the power to preempt state insurance regulations that are inconsistent with international agreements regarding insurance regulation, subject to certain exceptions. In addition, Dodd-Frank provides that, within 18 months of its enactment, the Federal Insurance Office must submit a report to Congress on improving U.S. insurance regulation, which must cover the feasibility of future federal regulation of the U.S. insurance industry.

The federal government has also undertaken initiatives in several areas that may impact the insurance industry including tort reform, corporate governance and the taxation of insurance companies. In addition, legislation has been introduced from time to time in recent years that, if enacted, could result in the federal government assuming a more direct role in the regulation of the insurance industry, primarily as respects federal licensing in lieu of state licensing.

Other International Operations

A substantial portion of the Company s property and casualty insurance business and a majority of its life reinsurance business are carried on in countries other than Bermuda and the U.S. The degree of regulation in foreign jurisdictions can vary. Generally, the Company s subsidiaries must satisfy local

regulatory requirements. Licenses issued by foreign authorities to subsidiaries of the Company are subject to modification or revocation for cause by such authorities. The Company s subsidiaries could be prevented, for cause, from conducting business in certain of the jurisdictions where they currently operate. While each country imposes licensing, solvency, auditing and financial reporting requirements, the type and extent of the requirements differ substantially. Key areas where country regulations may differ include: (i) the type of financial reports to be filed; (ii) a requirement to use local intermediaries; (iii) the amount of reinsurance permissible; (iv) the scope of any regulation of policy forms and rates; and (v) the type and frequency of regulatory examinations.

In addition to these requirements, the Company s foreign operations are also regulated in various jurisdictions with respect to currency, amount and type of security deposits, amount and type of reserves, amount and type of local investment and limitations on the share of profits to be returned to policyholders on participating policies. For further information see Item 8, Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Statutory Financial Data.

European Union

Financial services including insurance, reinsurance and trading in the United Kingdom are regulated by the Financial Services Authority (FSA). The FSA s Handbook of Rules and Guidance (the FSA Rules) covers all aspects of regulation including capital adequacy, financial and non-financial reporting and certain activities of U.K.-regulated firms. The Company s subsidiaries carrying out regulated activities in the U.K. comply with the FSA Rules. The Company s Lloyd s managing agency, its managed syndicates and its associated corporate capital vehicles are subject to additional Lloyd s requirements.

FSA regulations also impact the Company as controller (an FSA defined term) of its U.K.-regulated subsidiaries. Through the FSA s Approved Persons regime, certain employees and Directors are subject to regulation by the FSA of their fitness and certain employees are individually registered at Lloyd s.

The Company s network of offices in the European Union consists mainly of branches of U.K. as well as Irish (regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland) companies that are principally regulated under European Directives from their home states, the U.K. and Ireland, rather than by each individual jurisdiction. Company law in the U.K. and Ireland prohibits XL UK and Irish entities from declaring a dividend to their respective shareholders unless the applicable entity has profits available for distribution. The determination of whether a company has profits available for distribution is based on its accumulated realized profits less its accumulated realized losses. While the U.K. and Irish insurance regulatory laws impose no statutory restrictions on a general insurer s ability to declare a dividend, the regulatory rules require maintenance of each insurance company s solvency margin within its jurisdiction and, in addition, regulatory approval must be sought in advance of paying a distribution by an Irish or U.K. regulated company. In addition, as an Irish public limited company, XL Group plc is also subject to additional reporting requirements under Irish company law.

An E.U. directive covering the capital adequacy and risk management of, and regulatory reporting for, insurers, known as Solvency II was adopted by the European Parliament in April 2009. Insurers and reinsurers within the European Economic Area (EEA) will need to be compliant with Solvency II by January 1, 2013. Solvency II presents a number of risks to XL s European operations. Insurers across Europe are liaising closely with the regulators and undertaking a significant amount of work to develop their internal capital models and to ensure that they will meet the new requirements. This may divert resources from other business- related tasks. Final Solvency II guidance has yet to be published; consequently the Company s implementation plans are based on its current understanding of the Solvency II requirements and Solvency II equivalence for the BMA s regime, which may change. Increases in capital requirements as a result of Solvency II may be required and may impact the Company s results of operations.

Swiss Operations

In Switzerland, the Company s operations are regulated under the Insurance Supervision Act of December 17, 2004. Both Insurance and Reinsurance operations are supervised under this Act. Reinsurance branches of foreign legal entities are not regulated. Insurance branches of foreign entities are subject to

limited regulations, (Insurance branches have to comply with the tied assets requirements but do not need to fulfill the Swiss Solvency Test). Supervision in Switzerland is exercised by the Federal Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA). The supervisory regime currently comprises both Solvency I requirements and Solvency II type requirements (Swiss Solvency Test), the latter of which impose higher capital requirements, with which the entities operating in Switzerland comply.

FINMA may call for supervision of an Insurance group, based on certain qualitative and quantitative standards. XL s operations in Switzerland are currently not subject to this Group supervision. XL Company Switzerland GmbH, the intermediary holding, is consequently not subject to FINMA regulations.

In its opinion dated July 2010, the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA) made a proposal to the European Commission that the Swiss supervisory regime (together with the regime in Bermuda) should be considered in the first wave of the third country equivalence assessment with regard to all three articles on equivalence (Art. 172 Equivalence for reinsurance supervision, Art. 227 Calculating group solvability, Art. 260 Equivalence for third country group supervision).

Employees

At December 31, 2010, the Company had 3,576 employees. At that date, 240 of the Company s employees were represented by workers councils and 403 of the Company s employees were subject to industry-wide collective bargaining agreements in several countries outside the United States.

Available Information

The public can read and copy any materials the Company files with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. The public can obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800- SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers, including the Company, that file electronically with the SEC. The address of the SEC s website is *http://www.sec.gov.*

The Company s Internet website address is *http://www.xlgroup.com.* The information contained on the Company s website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any other of the Company s documents filed with or furnished to the SEC.

The Company makes available free of charge, including through the Company s Internet website, the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

The Company adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, as well as written charters for each of the Audit Committee, the Management Development and Compensation Committee, the Nominating, Governance and External Affairs Committee and the Risk and Finance Committee, as well as a Code of Conduct and a related Compliance Program. Each of these documents is posted on the Company s web-site at *http://www.xlgroup.com*, and each is available in print to any shareholder who requests it by writing to the Company at Investor Relations Department, XL Group plc, XL House, One Bermudiana Road, Hamilton HM 08, Bermuda.

The Company intends to post on its website at *http://www.xlgroup.com* any amendment to, or waiver of, a provision of its Code of Conduct that applies to its Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Controller or persons performing similar functions and that relates to any element of the code of ethics definition set forth in Item 406 of Regulation S-K of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

The Company intends to use its website as a means of disclosing material non-public information and for complying with its disclosure obligations under Regulation FD. Such disclosures will be included on the website in the Investor Relations section. Accordingly, investors should monitor such portions of the Company s website, in addition to following its press releases, SEC filings and public conference calls and webcasts.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Any of the following risk factors could have a significant or material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and/or liquidity, in addition to the other information contained in this report. Additional risks not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The occurrence of disasters could adversely affect our financial condition.

We have substantial exposure to losses resulting from natural and man-made disasters and other catastrophic events. Catastrophes can be caused by various events, including hurricanes, earthquakes, floods, hailstorms, explosions, severe weather, fires, war and acts of terrorism. Changing climate conditions may add to the unpredictability and frequency of natural disasters in certain parts of the world and create additional uncertainty as to future trends and exposures. The incidence and severity of catastrophes are inherently unpredictable, and it is difficult to predict the timing of such events with statistical certainty or estimate the amount of loss any given occurrence will generate.

The occurrence of claims from catastrophic events is likely to result in substantial volatility in our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the fiscal quarter or year in which a catastrophic event occurs, as well as subsequent fiscal periods, and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations and our ability to write new business. This risk is exacerbated due to accounting principles and rules that do not permit (re)insurers to reserve for such catastrophic events until they occur. We expect that future possible increases in the values and concentrations of insured property, the effects of inflation and changes in cyclical weather patterns may increase the severity of catastrophic events in the future. Although we attempt to manage our exposure to catastrophic events, a single catastrophic event could affect multiple geographic zones and lines of business and the frequency or severity of catastrophic events could exceed our estimates, in each case potentially having a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, while we may, depending on market conditions, purchase catastrophe reinsurance and retrocessional protection, the occurrence of one or more major catastrophes in any given period could result in losses that exceed such reinsurance and retrocessional protection. This could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations and may result in substantial liquidation of investments, possibly at a loss, and outflows of cash as losses are paid.

The failure of any of the underwriting risk management strategies that we employ could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and/or liquidity.

We seek to limit our loss exposure by, among other things, writing a number of our reinsurance or retrocession contracts on an excess of loss basis, adhering to maximum limitations on reinsurance written in defined geographical zones, limiting program size for each client and prudently underwriting each program written. In addition, in the case of proportional treaties, we generally seek to use per occurrence limitations or loss ratio caps to limit the impact of losses from any one event. We cannot be sure that all of these loss limitation methods will have the precise risk management impact intended. For instance, although we also seek to limit our loss exposure by geographic diversification, geographic zone limitations involve significant underwriting judgments, including the determination of the area of the zones and the inclusion of a particular policy within a particular zone s limits. Underwriting involves the exercise of considerable judgment and the making of important assumptions about matters that are inherently unpredictable and beyond our control, and for which historical experience and probability analysis may not provide sufficient guidance. The failure of any of the underwriting risk management strategies that we employ could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Also, we cannot provide assurance that various provisions of our policies, such as limitations or exclusions from coverage or choice of forum, will be enforceable in the manner that we intend and disputes relating to coverage and choice of legal forum may

arise, which could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The insurance and reinsurance industries are historically cyclical and we may experience periods with excess underwriting capacity and unfavorable premium rates.

The insurance and reinsurance industries have historically been cyclical, characterized by periods of intense price competition due to excess underwriting capacity as well as periods when shortages of capacity permitted favorable premium levels. An increase in premium levels is often followed by an increasing supply of insurance and reinsurance capacity, either by capital provided by new entrants or by the commitment of additional capital by existing insurers or reinsurers, which may cause prices to decrease. Either of these factors could lead to a significant reduction in premium rates, less favorable policy terms and conditions and fewer submissions for our underwriting services. In addition to these considerations, changes in the frequency and severity of losses suffered by insureds and insurers may affect the cycles of the insurance and reinsurance industries significantly.

A downgrade or potential downgrade in our financial strength and credit ratings by one or more rating agencies could materially and negatively impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and/or cash flows.

As our ability to underwrite business is dependent upon the quality of our claims paying and financial strength ratings as evaluated by independent rating agencies, a downgrade by any of these institutions could cause our competitive position in the insurance and reinsurance industry to suffer and make it more difficult for us to market our products.

A downgrade below A of our principal insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries by either Standard & Poor s (S&P) or A.M. Best Company (A.M. Best), which is two notches below the current S&P and A.M. Best financial strength ratings of A (Stable) for our principal insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries, may trigger termination provisions in a significant amount of our assumed reinsurance agreements and may potentially require us to return unearned premium to cedants. Whether a client would exercise its termination rights after such a downgrade would likely depend on, among other things, the reasons for the downgrade, the extent of the downgrade, prevailing market conditions, the degree of unexpired coverage, and the pricing and availability of replacement reinsurance coverage. Based on premium value, approximately 67% of our reinsurance contracts that incepted at January 1, 2011 contained provisions allowing clients to terminate those contracts upon a decline in our ratings to below A . In the event of such a downgrade, we cannot predict whether or how many of our clients would actually exercise such termination rights or the extent to which any such terminations would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or future prospects or the market price for our securities. A downgrade could also result in a substantial loss of business for us as ceding companies and brokers that place such business may move to other insurers and reinsurers with higher ratings and the loss of key employees. In addition, due to collateral posting requirements under our letter of credit and revolving credit facility agreements, such a downgrade may require the posting of cash collateral in support of certain in use portions of these facilities (see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources under Part II, Item 7 of this report). Specifically, a downgrade below A by A.M. Best would trigger such collateral requirements for the Company s largest credit facility. In certain limited instances, such downgrades may require us to return cash or assets to counterparties or to settle derivative and/or other transactions with the respective counterparties.

In addition to the financial strength ratings of our principal insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries, various rating agencies also publish credit ratings for XL-Cayman. Credit ratings are indicators of a debt issuer s ability to meet the terms of debt obligations in a timely manner are part of our overall funding profile and affect our ability to access certain types of liquidity. Downgrades in our credit ratings could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations and cash flows in a number of ways, including adversely limiting our access to capital markets, potentially increasing the cost of debt or requiring us to post collateral.

Our efforts to develop new products or expand in targeted markets may not be successful and may create enhanced risks.

A number of our recent and planned business initiatives involve developing new products or expanding existing products in targeted markets. This includes the following efforts, from time to time, to protect or grow market share:

- We may develop products that insure risks we have not previously insured or contain new coverage or coverage terms.
- We may refine our underwriting processes.
- We may seek to expand distribution channels.
- We may focus on geographic markets within or outside of the United States where we have had relatively little or no market share.

We may not be successful in introducing new products or expanding in targeted markets and, even if we are successful, these efforts may create enhanced risks. Among other risks:

- Demand for new products or in new markets may not meet our expectations.

To the extent we are able to market new products or expand in new markets, our risk exposures may change, and the data and models we use to manage such exposures may not be as sophisticated as those we use in existing markets or with existing products. This, in turn, could lead to losses in excess of our expectations.

Efforts to develop new products or markets have the potential to create or increase distribution channel conflict.

In connection with the conversion of existing policyholders to a new product, some policyholders pricing may increase, while the pricing for

other policyholders may decrease, the net impact of which could negatively impact retention and margins.

To develop new products or markets, we may need to make substantial capital and operating expenditures, which may also negatively impact results in the near term.

If our efforts to develop new products or expand in targeted markets are not successful, our results could be materially and adversely affected.

We are exposed to significant capital markets risk related to changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices and foreign exchange rates as well as other investment risks, which may adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

Our operating results are affected by the performance of our investment portfolio. Our assets are invested by a number of investment management service providers under the direction of the Company s management within the Investment Group in accordance, in general, with detailed investment guidelines set by us under the oversight of our Risk and Finance Committee of the Board of Directors, and established in accordance with Strategic Asset Allocation (SAA) framework for our P&C operations and Life operations. Although our investment policies stress diversification of risks and conservation of principal and liquidity, our investments are subject to market-wide risks, as noted below, and fluctuations, as well as to risks inherent in particular securities. We are exposed to significant capital markets risks related to changes in interest rates, credit spreads and defaults, market liquidity, equity prices and foreign currency exchange rates. If significant continued market volatility, changes in interest rates, changes in credit spreads and defaults, a lack of pricing transparency, a reduction in market liquidity, declines in equity prices, and the strengthening or weakening of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar occur, individually or in tandem, this could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations, financial condition or cash flows through realized losses, impairments, and changes in unrealized positions. Levels of write-down or impairment are impacted by our assessment of the intent to sell securities which have declined in value as well as actual losses as a result of defaults or deterioration in estimates of cash flows. We periodically review our investment portfolio structure and strategy. If, as a result of such review, we determine to reposition or realign portions of the investment portfolio and sell securities in an unrealized loss position, we will incur an other than temporary impairment charge. Any such charge may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and business.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, as a result of the prolonged and continued volatility and disruptions in the public debt and equity markets, we incurred realized and unrealized investment losses, as described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under Part II, Item 7 of this report. We continue to closely monitor current market conditions and evaluate the long term impact of this recent market volatility on all of our investment holdings. Depending on market conditions, we could incur additional realized and unrealized losses in future periods, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations, financial condition and business.

Our exposure to interest rate risk relates primarily to the market price and cash flow variability associated with changes in interest rates. Our investment portfolio contains interest rate sensitive instruments, such as fixed income securities, which have been and may continue to be adversely affected by changes in interest rates from central bank monetary policies, domestic and international economic and political conditions and other factors beyond our control. A rise in interest rates would increase the net unrealized loss position of our investment portfolio, offset by our ability to earn higher rates of return on funds reinvested. Conversely, a decline in interest rates would decrease the net unrealized loss position of our investment portfolio, offset by lower rates of return on funds reinvested. We maintain a P&C investment portfolio with diversified maturities that has a weighted average duration that is determined in accordance with its SAA Benchmark based on a dynamic financial analysis of investment assets and liabilities, and that is intended to maximize the Company s enterprise value subject to accounting, regulatory, capital and risk tolerances. The SAA Benchmarks and portfolios supporting our Life operations are rebalanced regularly to reflect an explicit asset-liability management process. However, for both the P&C and Life investment portfolios our estimates of the time and size of the liability cash flow profile may be inaccurate and we may be forced to liquidate investments prior to maturity at a loss in order to cover liabilities. We are exposed to interest rate risk relative to our liabilities.

Our exposure to credit spread risk relates primarily to the market price associated with changes in prevailing market credit spreads and the impact on our holdings of spread products such as corporate and structured credit. A portion of our aggregate fixed income portfolio consists of below investment-grade high yield fixed income securities. These securities have a higher degree of credit or default risk. Certain sectors within the investment and below investment grade fixed income market, such as structured and corporate credit, may be less liquid in times of economic weakness or market disruptions. While we have put in place procedures to monitor the credit risk and liquidity of our invested assets in general and those impacted by recent credit market issues specifically, it is possible that, in periods of economic weakness or periods of turmoil in capital markets, we may experience default losses in both our investment grade and below investment grade corporate and structured credit holdings. This may result in a material reduction of net income, capital and cash flows.

We invest a portion of our investment portfolio in common stock or equity-related securities, including alternative funds and private equity funds. The value of these assets fluctuates, along with other factors, due to changes in the equity and credit markets. In times of economic weakness, the market value and liquidity of these assets may decline, and may impact net income, capital and cash flows. In addition, certain of the products offered by our Life Operations segment offer fixed guaranteed returns while debt and equity yields may continue to decline. In addition, the amount of earnings from alternative funds and private investment funds are not earned evenly across the year, or even from year to year. As a result, the amount of earnings that we record from these investments may vary substantially from quarter to quarter. The timing of distributions from such private investment funds depends on particular events relating to the underlying investments. The ability of an alternative fund to satisfy any redemption request from its investors depends on the underlying liquidity of the alternative fund s investments. As a result, earnings, distributions and redemptions from these two asset classes may be more difficult to predict.

The functional currencies of our principal insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries include the U.S. dollar, U.K. sterling, the Euro, the Swiss Franc and the Canadian dollar. Exchange rate fluctuations relative to the functional currencies may materially impact our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Many of our non-U.S. subsidiaries maintain both assets and liabilities in currencies different than their functional currency, which exposes us to changes in currency exchange rates.

In addition, locally-required capital levels are invested in local currencies in order to satisfy regulatory requirements and to support local insurance operations regardless of currency fluctuations. Foreign exchange

rate risk is reviewed as part of our risk management process. While we utilize derivative instruments such as futures, options and foreign currency forward contracts to, among other things, manage our foreign currency exposure, it is possible that these instruments will not effectively mitigate all or a substantial portion of our foreign exchange rate risk.

Certain of our investments may be illiquid and are in asset classes that have been experiencing significant market valuation fluctuations.

We hold certain investments that may lack liquidity or for which the observability of prices or inputs may be reduced in periods of market dislocation, such as non-agency residential mortgage-backed and collateralized debt obligations securities. Even some of our high quality assets have been more illiquid as a result of the recent challenging market conditions. Generally, securities classified as Level 3 pursuant to the fair value hierarchy set forth in authoritative accounting guidance over fair value measurements may be less liquid, may be more difficult to value, requiring significant judgment, and may be more likely to result in sales at materially different amounts than the fair values determined by management.

If we require significant amounts of cash on short notice in excess of normal cash requirements or are required to post or return collateral in connection with certain of our reinsurance contracts, credit agreements, derivative transactions or our invested portfolio, we may have difficulty selling these investments in a timely manner, be forced to sell them for less than we otherwise would have been able to realize, or both.

The reported value of our relatively illiquid types of investments and, in certain circumstances, our high quality, generally liquid asset classes, does not necessarily reflect the lowest current market bid price for the asset. If we were forced to sell certain of our assets in the current market, there can be no assurance that we will be able to sell them for the prices at which we have recorded them and we may be forced to sell them at significantly lower prices, particularly at times of extreme market illiquidity.

If actual claims exceed our loss reserves, or if changes in the estimated levels of loss reserves are necessary, our financial results and cash flows could be adversely affected.

Our results of operations and financial condition depend upon our ability to assess accurately the potential losses associated with the risks that we insure and reinsure. We establish reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expense (LAE) liabilities, which are estimates of future payments of reported and unreported claims for losses and related expenses with respect to insured events that have occurred. The process of establishing reserves for property and casualty claims can be complex and is subject to considerable variability as it requires the use of informed estimates and judgments. Actuarial estimates of unpaid loss and LAE liabilities are subject to potential errors of estimation, which could be significant, due to the fact that the ultimate disposition of claims incurred prior to the date of such estimation, whether reported or not, is subject to the outcome of events that have not yet occurred. Examples of these events include the accuracy of the factual information on which the estimates were based, especially as this develops, jury decisions, court interpretations, legislative changes, changes in the medical condition of claimants, public attitudes, and economic conditions such as inflation.

Recent deficit spending by governments in the Company s major markets exposes the Company to heightened risk of inflation. Inflation in relation to medical costs, construction costs and tort issues in particular impact the property and casualty industry; however, broader market inflation also poses a risk of increasing overall loss costs. The impact of inflation on loss costs could be more pronounced for those lines of business that are considered long tail such as general liability, as they require a relatively long period of time to finalize and settle claims for a given accident year. Changes in the level of inflation also result in an increased level of uncertainty in our estimation of loss reserves, particularly for long tail lines of business. The estimation of loss reserves may also be more difficult during times of adverse economic conditions due to unexpected changes in behavior of claimants and policyholders, including an increase in fraudulent reporting of exposures and/or losses, reduced maintenance of insured properties or increased

frequency of small claims.

Similarly, the actual emergence of claims for life business may vary from the assumptions underlying the policy benefit reserves, in particular, the future assumed mortality improvements on the blocks of in-payment annuities.

In relation to previously written financial guarantee business and related exposures, we establish reserves for losses and LAE on such business based on management s best estimate of the ultimate expected incurred losses. Establishment of such reserves requires the use and exercise of significant judgment by management, including with respect to estimates regarding the occurrence and amount of a loss on an insured or reinsured obligation. Estimates of losses may differ from actual results and such difference may be material, due to the fact that the ultimate dispositions of claims are subject to the outcome of events that have not yet occurred. Examples of these events include changes in the level of interest rates, credit deterioration of insured and reinsured obligations, and changes in the value of specific assets supporting insured and reinsured obligations. In general, guarantees on previously written credit default swaps are exposed to the same risks as noted above, except in events of default by the guarantor. Credit default swaps, however, do not qualify for the financial guarantee scope exception under authoritative accounting guidance over derivative instruments and hedging activities, and, therefore are reported at fair value with changes in the fair value included in earnings. Fair values for such swaps are determined based on methodologies further described in Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates. Any estimate of future costs is subject to the inherent limitation on our ability to predict the aggregate course of future events. It should therefore be expected that the actual emergence of losses and LAE will vary, perhaps materially, from any estimate.

We have an actuarial staff in each of our operating segments and a Chief Actuary who regularly evaluates the levels of loss reserves, taking into consideration factors that may impact the ultimate losses incurred. Any such evaluation could result in future changes in estimates of losses or reinsurance recoverable and would be reflected in our results of operations in the period in which the estimates are changed. Losses and LAE, to the extent that they exceed the applicable reserves, are charged to income as incurred. The reserve for unpaid losses and LAE represents the estimated ultimate losses and LAE less paid losses and LAE, and comprises case reserves and incurred but not reported loss reserves (IBNR). During the loss settlement period, which can span many years in duration for casualty business, additional facts regarding individual claims and trends often will become known and case reserves may be adjusted by allocation from IBNR without any change in the overall reserve. In addition, application of statistical and actuarial methods may require the adjustment of the overall reserves upward or downward from time to time. Accordingly, the ultimate settlement of losses may be significantly greater than or less than reported loss and loss expense reserves.

The effects of emerging claim and coverage issues on our business are uncertain.

As industry practices and legal, judicial, social and other environmental conditions change, unexpected issues related to claims and coverage may emerge. These issues may adversely affect our business by either extending coverage beyond our underwriting intent or by increasing the number or size of claims, such as the effects that recent disruptions in the credit markets could have on the number and size of reported claims under directors and officers liability insurance (D&O) and professional liability insurance lines of business. In some instances, these changes may not become apparent until some time after we have issued the insurance or reinsurance contracts that are affected by the changes. Historically such claims and coverage issues have occurred at heightened levels during periods of very soft market conditions which often reflect an inflection point in the typical cycle of insurance industry market conditions. In addition, our actual losses may vary materially from our current estimate of the loss based on a number of factors, including receipt of additional information from insureds or brokers, the attribution of losses to coverages that had not previously been considered as exposed and inflation in repair costs due to additional demand for labor and materials. As a result, the full extent of liability under an insurance or reinsurance contract may not be known for many years after such contract is issued and a loss occurs.

There can be no assurance as to the effect that governmental and regulatory actions will have on financial markets generally or on us in particular.

In response to the financial crises affecting the banking system and financial markets and going concern threats to financial institutions, there have been numerous regulatory and governmental actions in the United States, the United

Kingdom and the Euro-zone among other countries. The purpose of these legislative and regulatory actions is to stabilize the U.S. and international banking systems, improve the flow of credit and foster an economic recovery. However, there can be no assurance as to the success of

such actions or the effect that any such governmental actions or future regulatory initiatives may have on certain investment instruments in our investment portfolio, or on our competitive position, business and financial position. If global economic and market conditions remain uncertain, persist, or deteriorate further, we may experience material adverse impacts on our business operations results and financial condition.

For example, we own a number of Tier 1 and Upper Tier 2 hybrid securities issued by financial institutions including those based in the U.S., Europe and U.K. There is a risk that if the capital positions of financial institutions deteriorate further government intervention, particularly nationalization of such institutions, could occur. There is also a risk of regulatory imposed deferral of coupons or decisions by bank management not to call the capital or defer the coupon payments. This may result in losses on the hybrid securities we hold. There is also the risk of further downgrades of these securities as rating agencies re-evaluate their rating methodologies, which would negatively impact the regulatory capital of the Life operations.

In particular, the current sovereign debt crisis concerning European countries, including Ireland, Greece, Italy, Portugal and Spain, and related European financial restructuring efforts, may cause the value of the European currencies, including the Euro, to further deteriorate, which in turn could adversely impact Euro- denominated assets held in our investment portfolio or our European book of business. In addition, the European crisis is contributing to instability in global credit markets, as well as the widening of bond yield spreads. Recent rating agency downgrades on European sovereign debt and growing concern of the potential default of government issuers or of a possible break-up of the European Union has further contributed to this uncertainty. Should governments default on their obligations, there will be a negative impact on both our direct holdings, as well as on non-government issues and financials held within the country of default.

We may be unable to purchase reinsurance and, even if we are able to successfully purchase reinsurance, we are subject to the possibility of uncollectability. The impairment of other financial institutions also could adversely affect us.

We purchase reinsurance for our own account in order to mitigate the volatility that losses impose on our financial condition. Our clients purchase reinsurance from us to cover part of the risk originally written by them. Retrocessional reinsurance involves a reinsurer ceding to another reinsurer, the retrocessionaire, all or part of the reinsurance that the first reinsurer has assumed. Reinsurance, including retrocessional reinsurance, does not legally discharge the ceding company from its liability with respect to its obligations to its insureds or reinsureds. A reinsurer s or retrocessionaire s insolvency, inability or refusal to make timely payments under the terms of its agreements with us, therefore, could have a material adverse effect on us because we remain liable to our insureds and reinsureds. At December 31, 2010, we had approximately $3.8 billion of reinsurance recoverables and reinsurance balances receivable, net of reserves for uncollectible recoverables. For further information regarding our reinsurance exposure, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under Part II, Item 7 of this report.

From time to time, market conditions may limit or prevent us from obtaining the types and amounts of reinsurance that we consider adequate for our business needs such that we may not be able to obtain reinsurance or retrocessional reinsurance from entities with satisfactory creditworthiness in amounts that we deem desirable or on terms that we deem appropriate or acceptable.

We also have exposure to counterparties in various industries, including banks, hedge funds and other investment vehicles, and in transactions in addition to reinsurance agreements, including derivative transactions. Many of these transactions expose us to credit risk in the event our counterparty fails to perform its obligations. Even if we are entitled to collateral when a counterparty defaults, such collateral may be illiquid or proceeds from such collateral when liquidated may not be sufficient to recover the full amount of the obligation. We also have exposure to financial institutions in the form of secured and unsecured debt instruments and equity securities.

Since we depend on a few brokers for a large portion of our revenues, loss of business provided by any one of them could adversely affect us.

We market our insurance and reinsurance products worldwide primarily through insurance and reinsurance brokers. Marsh & McLennan Companies, AON Corporation and the Willis Group and their respective subsidiaries each provided approximately 21%, 21% and 11% respectively, of our gross written

premiums for property and casualty operations for the year ended December 31, 2010. Loss of all or a substantial portion of the business provided by one or more of these brokers could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our reliance on brokers subjects us to credit risk.

In certain jurisdictions, when an insured or ceding insurer pays premiums for policies of insurance or contracts of reinsurance to brokers for further payment to us, such premiums might be considered to have been paid and the insured or ceding insurer will no longer be liable to us for such amounts, whether or not we have actually received the premiums from the broker. In addition, in accordance with industry practice, we generally pay amounts owed on claims under our reinsurance contracts to brokers, and these brokers, in turn, pay these amounts over to the clients that have purchased reinsurance from us. Although the law is unsettled and depends upon the facts and circumstances of the particular case, in some jurisdictions, if a broker fails to make such a claims payment to the insured or ceding insurer, we might remain liable to the insured or ceding insurer for that non-payment. Consequently, we assume a degree of credit risk associated with the brokers with whom we transact business. Due to the unsettled and fact-specific nature of the law governing these types of scenarios and our lack of historical experience with such risks, we are unable to quantify our exposure to this risk.

We are subject to a number of risks associated with our business outside the United States.

We conduct business outside the United States primarily in the United Kingdom, Bermuda, continental Europe and Ireland. We have also started to pursue opportunities in other countries, including in emerging markets such as Asia and Brazil. While our business in emerging and other markets currently constitutes a relatively small portion of our revenues, in conducting such business we are subject to a number of significant risks. These risks include restrictions such as price controls, capital controls, exchange controls, ownership limits and other restrictive governmental actions, which could have an adverse effect on our business and our reputation. In addition, some countries, particularly emerging economies, have laws and regulations that lack clarity and, even with local expertise and effective controls, it can be difficult to determine the exact requirements of the local laws. Failure to comply with local laws in a particular market could have a significant and negative effect not only on our business in that market but also on our reputation generally.

Our holding company structure and certain regulatory and other constraints affect our ability to pay dividends, make payments on our debt securities and make other payments.

As a holding company with no direct operations or significant assets other than the capital stock of our subsidiaries, we rely on investment income, cash dividends, loans and other permitted payments from our subsidiaries to make principal and interest payments on our debt, to pay operating expenses and common and preferred shareholder dividends, to make capital investments in our subsidiaries and to pay certain of our other obligations that may arise from time to time. We expect future investment income, dividends and other permitted payments from these subsidiaries to be our principal source of funds to pay such expenses, preferred and common stock dividends and obligations. The payment of dividends to us by our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries is regulated under the laws of various jurisdictions including Bermuda, the U.K., Ireland and Switzerland and certain insurance statutes of various states in the United States in which our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are licensed to transact business and the other jurisdictions where we have regulated subsidiaries. For further information regarding regulatory restrictions governing the payment of dividends by the Company s significant property and casualty subsidiaries in Ireland, Bermuda and the U.S., see Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Statutory Financial Data.

XL-Ireland is subject to certain legal constraints that affect its ability to pay dividends on or redeem or buyback our ordinary shares. While XL-Ireland s articles of association authorize its board of directors to declare and pay dividends as justified from the profits, under Irish law, XL-Ireland may only pay dividends or buyback or redeem shares using distributable reserves. As of December 31, 2010 XL-Ireland had $4.8 billion in distributable reserves. In addition, no dividend or distribution may be made unless the net assets of XL-Ireland are not less than the aggregate of its share

capital plus undistributable reserves and the distribution does not reduce XL-Ireland s net assets below such aggregate.

In addition, XL-Cayman is subject to certain constraints that affect its ability to pay dividends on its preferred shares. Under Cayman Islands law, XL-Cayman may not declare or pay a dividend if there are reasonable grounds for believing that XL-Cayman is, or would after the payment be, unable to pay its liabilities as they become due in the ordinary course of business. Also, the terms of XL-Cayman s preferred shares prohibit declaring or paying dividends on the ordinary shares unless full dividends have been declared and paid on the outstanding preferred shares. In addition, the ability to declare and pay dividends may be restricted by covenants in our letters of credit and revolving credit facilities.

We may require additional capital in the future, which may not be available to us on satisfactory terms, on a timely basis or at all.

Our future capital requirements depend on many factors, including our ability to write new business successfully and to establish premium rates and reserves at levels sufficient to cover our losses. To the extent that the funds generated by our ongoing operations are insufficient to fund future operating requirements and cover claim payments, or that our capital position is adversely impacted by mark-to-market changes on the investment portfolio, catastrophe events or otherwise, we may need to raise additional funds through financings or curtail our growth and reduce our assets. As a result of the current severe economic conditions that persist in the capital markets, any future financing may not be available on terms that are favorable to us, if at all. In addition, any future equity financings could be dilutive to our existing shareholders or could result in the issuance of securities that have rights, preferences and privileges that are senior to those of our other securities. Our inability to obtain adequate capital could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Competition in the insurance and reinsurance industries could reduce our operating margins.

The insurance and reinsurance industries are highly competitive. We compete on an international and regional basis with major U.S., Bermudian, European and other international insurers and reinsurers and with underwriting syndicates, some of which have greater financial and management resources and higher ratings than we do. We also compete with new companies that continue to be formed to enter the insurance and reinsurance markets. In addition, capital market participants have created alternative products that are intended to compete with reinsurance products. Increased competition could result in fewer submissions, lower premium rates and less favorable policy terms and conditions, which could reduce our margins.

Operational risks, including human or systems failures, are inherent in our business.

Operational risk and losses can result from, among other things, fraud, errors, failure to document transactions properly or to obtain proper internal authorization, failure to comply with regulatory requirements, information technology failures, or external events. Areas of operational risk can be heightened in discontinued or exited business as a result of reduced overall resource allocation and the loss of relevant knowledge and expertise by departing management. The Company has exited a number of businesses in recent years, potentially increasing operational risk in such businesses.

We believe that our modeling, underwriting and information technology and application systems are critical to our business. Moreover, our information technology and application systems have been an important part of our underwriting process and our ability to compete successfully. We have also licensed certain systems and data from third parties. We cannot be certain that we will have access to these, or comparable, service providers, or that our information technology or application systems will continue to operate as intended. A major defect or failure in our internal controls or information technology and application systems could result in management distraction, harm to our reputation or increased expense.

In particular, we have outsourced the day-to-day management, custody and record-keeping of our investment portfolio to third-party managers and custodians that we believe to be reputable. A major defect in those investment managers

investment management strategy, information and technology systems, internal controls or decision-making could result in management distraction and/or significant financial loss. A major defect in custodian internal controls or information and technology systems could result in management distraction or significant financial loss.

We believe appropriate controls and mitigation procedures are in place to prevent significant risk of defect in our internal controls, information technology, application systems, investment management and custody and record-keeping, but internal controls provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance as to the

absence of errors or irregularities and any ineffectiveness of such controls and procedures could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Unanticipated losses from terrorism and uncertainty surrounding the future of the TRIPRA could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In response to the tightening of supply in certain insurance and reinsurance markets resulting from, among other things, the September 11 event, the TRIP was created upon the enactment of the TRIA of 2002 to ensure the availability of commercial insurance coverage for certain terrorist acts in the U.S. This law established a federal assistance program through the end of 2005 to help the commercial property and casualty insurance industry cover claims related to future terrorism-related losses and required that coverage for terrorist acts be offered by insurers. TRIA was originally scheduled to expire at the end of 2005, but was extended in December 2005 for an additional two years. On December 26, 2007, President George W. Bush approved the TRIPRA, extending TRIP through December 31, 2014 and also eliminating the distinction between foreign and domestic acts of terrorism.

TRIA voided in force terrorism exclusions as of November 26, 2002 for certified terrorism on all TRIA specified property and casualty business. TRIA required covered insurers to make coverage available for certified acts of terrorism on all new and renewal policies issued after TRIA was enacted. TRIA along with further extensions to TRIP, as noted above, allows us to assess a premium charge for terrorism coverage and, if the policyholder declines the coverage or fails to pay the buy-back premium, certified acts of terrorism may then be excluded from the policy, subject, however, to state specific requirements. Terrorism coverage cannot be excluded from workers compensation policies. Subject to a premium-based deductible and provided that we have otherwise complied with all the requirements as specified under TRIPRA, we are eligible for reimbursement by the Federal Government for up to 85% of our covered terrorism-related losses arising from a certified terrorist attack. Such payment by the government will, in effect, provide reinsurance protection on a quota share basis. The maximum liability during a program year, including both the Federal Government s and insurers shares, is capped on an aggregated basis at $100 billion. While regulations have been promulgated by the Department of the Treasury (Treasury) requiring that Treasury advise participating insurers, such as the Company, in advance of reaching the $100 billion aggregate limit that such aggregate limit could be reached during the program year, there is a risk that the Company will not be given adequate notice of the potential exhaustion of that aggregate limit. Accordingly, the Company could overpay with regard to such losses, and it is unlikely Treasury would reimburse the Company for such losses; moreover, it is unclear whether the Company, in the event of an overpayment, would be able to recover the amount of any such overpayment.

We believe that TRIP and the related legislation have been an effective mechanism to assist policyholders and industry participants with the extreme contingent losses that might be caused by acts of terrorism. Nevertheless, we cannot assure you that TRIPRA will be extended beyond 2014, and its expiration or a significant change in terms could have an adverse effect on us, our clients or the insurance industry.

The regulatory regimes under which we operate, and potential changes thereto, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries operate in 24 countries around the world as well as in all 50 U.S. states. Our operations in each of these jurisdictions are subject to varying degrees of regulation and supervision. The laws and regulations of the jurisdictions in which our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are domiciled require, among other things, that these subsidiaries maintain minimum levels of statutory capital, surplus and liquidity, meet solvency standards, submit to periodic examinations of their financial condition and restrict payments of dividends and reductions of capital. Statutes, regulations and policies that our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are subject to may also restrict the ability of these subsidiaries to write insurance and reinsurance policies, make certain investments and distribute funds.

In recent years, the U.S. insurance regulatory framework has come under increased federal scrutiny. In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act requires many federal agencies to adopt new rules and regulations that will apply to the financial services industry and also calls for many studies regarding various industry practices. In particular, the Dodd-Frank Act created a Federal Insurance Office within the Treasury

Department that will be focused on national coordination of the insurance sector, systemic risk mitigation and international regulatory cooperation. Although the Federal Insurance Office currently does not directly regulate the insurance industry, under the Dodd-Frank Act it has the power to preempt state insurance regulations that are inconsistent with international agreements regarding insurance regulation, subject to certain exceptions. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act provides that, within 18 months of its enactment, the Federal Insurance Office must submit a report to Congress on improving U.S. insurance regulation, which must cover the feasibility of future federal regulation of the U.S. insurance industry. This study or another among the various studies required by the legislation could result in additional rulemaking or legislative action, which could negatively impact our business and financial results. While we have not yet been required to make material changes to our business or operations as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act, due to the complexity and broad scope of the Dodd-Frank Act and the time required for regulatory implementation, it is not certain what the scope of future rulemaking or interpretive guidance from the SEC, CFTC or other regulatory agencies may be, and what impact this will have on our compliance costs, business, operations and profitability.

In addition, some state legislatures have considered or enacted laws that may alter or increase state regulation of insurance and reinsurance companies and holding companies. Moreover, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, which is the organization of insurance regulators from the 50 U.S. states, the District of Columbia and the four U.S. territories, as well as state insurance regulators regularly reexamine existing laws and regulations.

In addition to these proposals and initiatives in the United States, regulators and law makers around the world are actively reviewing the causes of the financial crisis and exploring steps to avoid similar problems in the future. New capital adequacy and risk management regulations called Solvency II are in the process of being implemented throughout the EU introducing changes to the prudential regulation of European insurers effective January 1, 2013. Similar legislation is also in the process of being developed or implemented in other jurisdictions, including Canada and Switzerland. In addition, regulations in countries in which we have operations are working with the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (and in the U.S., with the NAIC) to consider changes to insurance company supervision, including solvency requirements and group supervision. There remains significant uncertainty as to the impact of these efforts, however, such impacts could constrain our ability to move capital between subsidiaries or require that additional capital or security to be provided in certain jurisdictions, which may impact profitability.

We may not be able to comply fully with, or obtain desired exemptions from, revised statutes, regulations and policies that govern the conduct of our business. Failure to comply with, or to obtain desired authorizations and/or exemptions under, any applicable laws could result in restrictions on our ability to do business or undertake activities that are regulated in one or more of the jurisdictions in which we operate and could subject us to fines and other sanctions. In addition, changes in the laws or regulations to which we are subject, or in the interpretations thereof by enforcement or regulatory agencies, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Potential government intervention in our industry as a result of recent events and instability in the marketplace for insurance products could hinder our flexibility and negatively affect the business opportunities that may be available to us in the market.

Government intervention and the possibility of future government intervention have created uncertainty in the insurance and reinsurance markets. Government regulators are generally concerned with the protection of policyholders to the exclusion of other constituencies, including shareholders of insurers and reinsurers. While we cannot predict the exact nature, timing or scope of possible governmental initiatives, such proposals could adversely affect our business by, among other things:

providing insurance and reinsurance

capacity in markets and to consumers that we target, *e.g.*, the creation or expansion of a state or federal catastrophe funds such as those in Florida;

requiring our participation in industry pools and guarantee associations

expanding the scope of coverage under existing policies;

regulating the terms of insurance and reinsurance policies; or

disproportionately benefiting the companies of one country over those of another.

Government intervention has recently taken the form of financial support of certain companies in our industry. Governmental support of individual competitors can lead to increased pricing pressure, a distortion of market dynamics, and ultimately prolong the current period of soft market conditions. The insurance industry is also affected by political, judicial and legal developments that may create new and expanded theories of liability, which may result in unexpected claims frequency and severity and delays or cancellations of products and services by insureds, insurers and reinsurers which could adversely affect our business.

For further information regarding government regulation and/or intervention in response to the financial and credit crises, see risk factor entitled There can be no assurance as to the effect that governmental actions will have on such markets generally or on us in particular above.

Consolidation in the insurance industry could adversely impact us.

Insurance industry participants may seek to consolidate through mergers and acquisitions. Continued consolidation within the insurance industry will further enhance the already competitive underwriting environment as we would likely experience more robust competition from larger, better capitalized competitors. These consolidated entities may use their enhanced market power and broader capital base to negotiate price reductions for our products and services, and reduce their use of reinsurance, and as such, we may experience rate declines and possibly write less business.

If our Bermuda operating subsidiaries become subject to insurance statutes and regulations in jurisdictions other than Bermuda or if there is a change in Bermuda law or regulations or the application of Bermuda law or regulations, there could be a significant and negative impact on our business.

XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd, two of our wholly-owned operating subsidiaries, are registered as Bermuda Class 4 insurers. In addition, XL Re Ltd is registered as a long-term (life) reinsurer. As such, they are subject to regulation and supervision in Bermuda. Bermuda insurance statutes and the regulation, policies and procedures of the Bermuda Monetary Authority require XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd to, among other things:

- maintain a minimum level of capital and surplus;
- maintain solvency margins and liquidity ratios;
- restrict dividends and distributions in certain circumstances;
- obtain prior approval regarding the ownership and transfer of

shares;

maintain a principal office and appoint and maintain a principal representative in Bermuda;

file an annual statutory financial return;

file annual audited financial statements in accordance with applicable GAAP or International Financial Reporting Standards and quarterly unaudited management accounts;

file annual Bermuda Solvency Capital Requirement (BSCR), a risk-based capital adequacy model, and capital and solvency return;

File a qualitative description of its underwriting strategy and

- description of policies surrounding the use of derivatives and certain market value and exposure information relating to derivative; and

- allow for the performance of certain periodic examinations of XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd.

These statutes and regulations may restrict our ability to write insurance and reinsurance policies, distribute funds and pursue our investment strategy.

In 2008, the BMA introduced new risk-based capital standards for insurance companies as a tool to assist the BMA both in measuring risk and in determining appropriate levels of capitalization. The required statutory capital and surplus of our Bermuda-based operating subsidiaries increased under the BSCR. While our Bermuda-based operating subsidiaries currently have excess capital and surplus under these new requirements, there can be no assurance that such requirement or similar regulations, in their current form or as may be amended in the future, will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The process of obtaining licenses is very time consuming and costly and XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd may not be able to become licensed in jurisdictions other than Bermuda should we choose to do so. The modification of the conduct of our business that would result if we were required or chose to become licensed in certain jurisdictions could significantly and negatively affect our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, our inability to comply with insurance statutes and regulations could significantly and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations by limiting our ability to conduct business as well as subjecting us to penalties and fines.

Because XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd are Bermuda companies, they are subject to changes in Bermuda law and regulation that may have an adverse impact on our operations, including through the imposition of tax liability or increased regulatory supervision. In addition, XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd will be exposed to any changes in the political environment in Bermuda, including, without limitation, changes as a result of the independence issues which have been discussed in Bermuda in recent years. While we cannot predict the future impact on our operations of changes in the laws and regulation to which we are or may become subject, any such changes could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The loss of one or more key executives or the inability to attract, motivate and retain qualified personnel could adversely affect our ability to conduct business.

Our success depends on our ability to attract new, highly skilled individuals and to motivate and retain our existing key executives and qualified personnel. The loss of the services of any of our key executives or the inability to attract, motivate and retain other highly skilled individuals in the future could adversely affect our ability to conduct our business. In addition, we do not maintain key man life insurance policies with respect to our employees.

Although the market price of our ordinary shares gained 19% during fiscal 2010 as compared to the market price as at December 31, 2009, it has not fully recovered from the very significant decline in market price during fiscal 2008. A substantial portion of our annual compensation paid to our senior employees has, in recent years, been paid in the form of equity-based awards. In addition, we reduced the number of employees across nearly all of our locations in August 2008 as well as in the period between February and July 2009. The combination of these events could adversely affect our ability to hire, motivate and retain qualified employees.

In addition, certain of our senior executives who work in our Bermuda operations are not Bermudian and our success in such operations may depend in part on the continued services of key employees working in Bermuda. Under Bermuda law, non-Bermudians (other than spouses of Bermudians and holders of permanent resident certificates) may not engage in any gainful occupation in Bermuda without an appropriate governmental work permit. A work permit may be granted or renewed by the Bermuda government for a specific period of time, upon showing that, after proper public advertisement, no Bermudian (or spouse of a Bermudian or holder of a permanent resident certificate) is available who meets the minimum standards reasonably required by an employer with respect to a certain position. The government of Bermuda places term limits on individuals with work permits, subject to certain exemptions for key employees. No assurances can be given that any work permit will be issued or, if issued, renewed upon the expiration of the relevant term or that key employee status will be granted or revoked.

A decrease in the fair values of our reporting units may result in future goodwill impairments.

Our consolidated financial statements include goodwill at December 31, 2010 in the amount of $822.2 million. When we acquire an entity, the excess of the purchase price over the net identifiable assets acquired is allocated to goodwill. We conduct impairment tests on our reported goodwill at least annually or more frequently if impairment indicators exist. In performing a goodwill impairment test, we use various methods and make various assumptions to determine the fair value of our reporting units, including the determination of expected future cash flows and/or profitability of such reporting units, and we take into account market value multiples and/or cash flows of entities that we deem to be comparable in nature, scope or size to our reporting units. However, expected future cash flows and/or profitability

may be materially and negatively impacted as a result of, among other things, a decrease in renewal activity and new business opportunities, a decrease in retention or our underwriting teams, lower-than-expected yields and/or cash flows from our investment portfolio, higher-than-expected claims activity and magnitude of

incurred losses and general economic factors that impact the reporting unit. In addition, previously determined market value multiples and/or cash flows may no longer be relevant as a result of these potential factors. As a result of these potential changes, the estimated fair value of one or more of our reporting units may decrease, causing the carrying value of the net assets assigned to the reporting unit to exceed the fair value of such net assets. If we determine an impairment exists, we adjust the carrying value of goodwill to its implied fair value. The impairment charge is recorded in our income statement in the period in which the impairment is determined. If we are required in the future to write down additional goodwill, our financial condition and results of operations would be negatively affected. In connection with fair value measurements and the accounting for goodwill, the use of generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions. Significant judgment is required in making these estimates and assumptions, and actual results may ultimately be materially different from such estimates and assumptions.

Provisions in our Articles of Association may reduce the voting rights of our ordinary shares.

Our Articles of Association generally provide that shareholders have one vote for each ordinary share held by them and are entitled to vote, on a non-cumulative basis, at all meetings of shareholders. However, the voting power that may be exercised by certain persons or groups may not equal or exceed 10% or more of the voting power conferred by our shares.

In particular, our Articles of Association provide that if, and for so long as, the votes conferred by the Controlled Shares (as defined below) of any person constitute 10% or more of the votes conferred by all our issued shares, the voting rights with respect to the Controlled Shares of such person shall be limited, in the aggregate, to a voting power equal to approximately (but slightly less than) 10%, pursuant to a formula set forth in the our Articles of Association. Controlled Shares of a person (as defined in our Articles of Association) include (1) all of our shares owned directly, indirectly or constructively by that person (within the meaning of Section 958 of the Code, and (2) all of our shares owned directly, indirectly or constructively by that person or any group of which that person is a part, within the meaning of Section 13(d)(3) of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act).

Provisions in our Articles of Association may restrict the ownership and transfer of our ordinary shares.

Our Articles of Association provide that our Board of Directors shall decline to register a transfer of shares if it appears to our Board of Directors, whether before or after such transfer, that the effect of such transfer would be to increase the number of Controlled Shares of any person to 10% or more of any class of our voting shares, of our total issued shares, or of the total voting power of our total issued shares.

Certain provisions in our charter documents could, among other things, impede an attempt to replace our directors or to effect a change of control, which could diminish the value of our ordinary shares.

Our Articles of Association contain provisions that may make it more difficult for shareholders to replace directors and could delay or prevent a change of control that a shareholder may consider favorable. These provisions include a staggered board of directors, limitations on the ability of shareholders to remove directors, limitations on voting rights and certain transfer restrictions on our ordinary shares. See Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities, under Part II, Item 5 of this report.

As an Irish company, we are subject to the Irish Takeover Rules, under which our Board of Directors is not permitted to take any action which might frustrate an offer for our shares once the Board of Directors has received an offer or has reason to believe an offer is or may be imminent without the approval of more than 50% of shareholders entitled to vote at a general meeting of shareholders and/or the consent of the Irish Takeover Panel. This could limit the ability of the Board of Directors to take defensive actions even if the Board of Directors believes that such defensive actions would be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

The Irish Takeover Rules also could discourage an investor from acquiring 30% or more of our outstanding ordinary shares unless such investor was prepared to make a bid to acquire all outstanding ordinary shares. Further, it could be more difficult for us to obtain shareholder approval for a merger or negotiated transaction because of heightened shareholder approval requirements for certain types of transactions under Irish law.

In addition, insurance regulations in certain jurisdictions may also delay or prevent a change of control or limit the ability of a shareholder to acquire in excess of specified amounts of our ordinary shares.

Irish shareholder voting requirements may limit flexibility with respect to certain aspects of capital management.

Irish law allows shareholders to authorize a board of directors to subsequently issue shares without shareholder approval, but this authorization must be renewed after five years. Additionally, subject to specified exceptions, Irish law grants statutory pre-emption rights to existing ordinary shareholders to subscribe for new issuances of shares for cash, but allows such shareholders to authorize the waiver of such statutory pre-emption rights for five years. Our Articles of Association currently provide authority to the Board of Directors to issue shares without further shareholder approval and to waive ordinary shareholders statutory pre-emption rights. However, these authorizations expire after five years, unless renewed by XL-Ireland s shareholders, and we can provide no assurance that these authorizations and waivers will always be renewed, which could limit our ability to issue equity in the future.

It may be difficult to enforce judgments against XL-Ireland, XL-Cayman or their directors and executive officers.

XL-Ireland is incorporated pursuant to the laws of Ireland and our principal executive offices are in Bermuda. In addition, certain of our directors and officers reside outside the United States and a substantial portion of our assets and the assets of such directors and officers are located outside the United States. As such, it may be difficult or impossible to effect service of process within the United States upon those persons or to recover on judgments of U.S. courts against us or our directors and officers, including judgments predicated upon civil liability provisions of U.S. federal securities laws. We have been advised that there is no treaty between Ireland and the United States providing for the reciprocal enforcement of foreign judgments. The following requirements must be met before the foreign judgment will be deemed to be enforceable in Ireland:

- The judgment must be for a definite sum;
- The judgment must be final and conclusive; and
- The judgment must be provided by a court of competent jurisdiction.

An Irish court will also exercise its right to refuse judgment if the foreign judgment was obtained by fraud, if the judgment violated Irish public policy, if the judgment is in breach of natural justice or if it is irreconcilable with an earlier foreign judgment.

In addition, XL-Cayman is incorporated pursuant to the laws of the Cayman Islands and its principal executive offices are in Bermuda. We have been advised that there is doubt as to whether the courts of the Cayman Islands would enforce:

- judgments of U.S. courts based upon the civil liability provisions of U.S. federal securities laws obtained in actions against XL-Cayman or its directors and officers who reside outside the United States; or

- original actions brought in the Cayman Islands against these persons or XL-Cayman predicated solely upon U.S. federal securities laws.

We have also been advised that there is no treaty in effect between the United States and the Cayman Islands providing for such enforcement and there are grounds upon which Cayman Islands courts may not enforce judgments of United States courts. Some remedies available under the laws of U.S. jurisdictions, including some remedies available under U.S. federal securities laws, may not be allowed in Cayman Islands courts as contrary to public policy.

The ultimate outcome of lawsuits, including putative class action lawsuits, that have been filed against us by policyholders and security holders could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, future operating results and/or liquidity.

We are subject to lawsuits and arbitrations in the regular course of our business. See Item 3, Legal Proceedings. In addition, lawsuits have been filed against us as detailed in Item 8, Note 19(g) to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Commitments and Contingencies Claims and Other Litigation. We

believe that we have substantial defenses to all outstanding litigation and intend to pursue our defenses vigorously, although an adverse resolution of one or more of these items could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in a particular fiscal quarter or year.

Changes in current accounting practices and future pronouncements may materially impact our reported financial results.

Unanticipated developments in accounting practices may require us to incur considerable additional expenses to comply with such developments, particularly if we are required to prepare information relating to prior periods for comparative purposes or to apply the new requirements retroactively. The impact of changes in current accounting practices and future pronouncements cannot be predicted but may affect the calculation of net income, net equity and other relevant financial statement line items and the timing of when impairments and other charges are tested or taken. In particular, recent guidance and ongoing projects put in place by standard setters globally have indicated a possible move away from the current insurance accounting models toward more fair value based models which could introduce significant volatility in the earnings of insurance industry participants.

There is a possibility that the Master Agreement entered into at the time of the sale of Syncora and the related commutations and releases could be challenged or that we could be subject to litigation as a result of the Master Agreement. Any such challenge could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity or the market price of our securities.

We provided certain reinsurance protections (the Reinsurance Agreements) with respect to adverse development on certain transactions as well as indemnification under specific facultative and excess of loss coverages for subsidiaries of Syncora: Syncora Guarantee Re and Syncora Guarantee. At June 30, 2008, our total net exposure under facultative agreements with Syncora subsidiaries was approximately $6.4 billion of net par value outstanding. Pursuant to the closing of the Master Agreement, all of these Reinsurance Agreements were commuted.

In addition, through one or more of our subsidiaries, we entered into certain agreements with subsidiaries of Syncora pursuant to which we guaranteed certain obligations of Syncora Guarantee Re and Syncora Guarantee under specific agreements (the Guarantee Agreements). At June 30, 2008, the total net par value outstanding of business written by subsidiaries of Syncora which fell under the Guarantee Agreements was approximately $60 billion. Pursuant to the terms of, and required conditions under, the Master Agreement, Syncora Guarantee Re s facultative quota share reinsurance agreement with Syncora Guarantee, and all individual risk cessions thereunder, and the Financial Security Master Facultative Agreement, and all individual risk cessions thereunder, were commuted, thereby rendering the Syncora Guarantee Re guarantee and Financial Security guarantee of no further force and effect.

Following the closing of the Master Agreement, Syncora and its applicable subsidiaries were required to use commercially reasonable efforts to commute the underlying financial guarantees that are the subject of the EIB Guarantees, which was completed in June 2010.

As further described in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Syncora Holdings Ltd. (Syncora), while the New York Insurance Department (NYID) and the BMA approved the Master Agreement and related agreements and transactions, including the commutation of the agreements described above, and the Delaware Insurance Department (DID) approved the Master Agreement and the commutation of the Syncora Guarantee Re/Syncora Guarantee Quota Share, and although we believe the effect of the Master Agreement and subsequent commutation of the EIB Guarantee relieved us of all of our obligations under the Reinsurance Agreements and the Guarantee Agreements no assurance can be given that the enforceability of the Master Agreement, the agreements relating thereto and the transactions contemplated thereunder will not be challenged, including under applicable fraudulent transfer laws (described in the following paragraph) and/or by asserting any number of other theories for recovery, including third-party beneficiary rights, or that other litigation will not be commenced against us as a result of the Master Agreement and such related agreements and transactions. We believe that we would have significant defenses to any such challenges and would

vigorously defend against any such claims. However, we cannot assure you that any such claims would not be made or, that any such claims would not ultimately be successful.

Under federal bankruptcy law and comparable provisions of state fraudulent transfer laws (including those applicable in any state insurance insolvency proceeding) Syncora s commutation and release of our obligations pursuant to the Master Agreement and related agreements would constitute a voidable fraudulent transfer if it was determined that Syncora or any applicable subsidiary thereto, at the time it entered into the Master Agreement or such related agreement:

- intended to hinder, delay or defraud its creditors; or
- received less than reasonably equivalent value or fair value consideration for such release; and either:
  - was insolvent or rendered insolvent by reason of such occurrence; or
  - was engaged in a business or transaction for which its remaining assets constituted unreasonably small capital; or
  - intended to incur, or believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay such debts as they mature.

Among other regulatory approvals obtained in connection with the Master Agreement, the NYID issued an approval letter to Syncora Guarantee under Section 1505 of the New York Insurance Law and the DID issued an approval letter to Syncora Guarantee Re under Section 5005(a) of the Delaware Insurance Code (effective upon Syncora Guarantee Re s redomestication to Delaware) (both of which require that the terms of a transaction between an issuer and one or more of its affiliates be fair and equitable) stating, in the case of NYID, that the terms of the Master Agreement and each of the commutations are fair and equitable to Syncora Guarantee and do not adversely affect policyholders of Syncora Guarantee and, in the case of the DID, stating that the terms of the Master Agreement and the commutation of the Syncora Guarantee Re/Syncora Guarantee Quota Share were fair and equitable to Syncora Guarantee. The BMA (the domiciliary regulator of Syncora Guarantee Re) also issued an approval letter approving the Master Agreement and each commutation to which Syncora Guarantee Re is a party, including the Syncora Guarantee Re/Syncora Guarantee Quota Share. There can be no assurance that a court would agree with our, the NYID s, the DID s, the BMA s or Syncora s conclusions, or as to what law or standard a court would ultimately apply in making any such determination or as to how such court would ultimately rule. Additionally, in the event of any liquidation or rehabilitation or similar proceeding of any insurance subsidiary of Syncora, there can be no assurance that any insurance regulator or regulators responsible for such proceedings, in their capacity as liquidator or rehabilitator, would respect the insurance regulatory approvals obtained in connection with the Master Agreement.

If any such challenge were successful, we could be required to honor our original obligations under the Reinsurance Agreements and Guarantee Agreements or be subject to other remedies. Any challenge could have a material adverse effect on the market price for our securities and on our business and, if successful, could also have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

We and our non-U.S. insurance subsidiaries may become subject to U.S. tax, which may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and your investment.

We take the position that neither we nor any of our non-U.S. insurance subsidiaries are engaged in a U.S. trade or business through a U.S. permanent establishment. Accordingly, we take the position that none of our non-U.S. insurance subsidiaries should be subject to U.S. tax (other than U.S. excise tax on insurance and reinsurance premium income attributable to insuring or reinsuring U.S. risks and U.S. withholding tax on some types of U.S. source investment income). However, because there is considerable uncertainty as to the activities that constitute being engaged in a trade or business within the United States, we cannot be certain that the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) will not contend successfully that we or any of our non-U.S. insurance subsidiaries are engaged in a trade or business in the United States. If we or any of our non- U.S. insurance subsidiaries were considered to be engaged in a trade or business in the United States, any such entity could be subject to U.S. corporate income and additional branch profits taxes on the portion of its earnings effectively connected to such U.S. business, in which case our financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Changes in U.S. tax law might adversely affect an investment in our shares.

The tax treatment of non-U.S. companies and their U.S. and non-U.S. insurance subsidiaries has been the subject of Congressional discussion and legislative proposals. For example, one legislative proposal would impose additional limits on the deductibility of interest by foreign-owned U.S. corporations. Another legislative proposal would modify the standards which indicate when a non-U.S. corporation might be treated as a U.S. corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes if it were considered to be primarily managed and controlled in the U.S. In addition, in 2010, legislation was proposed in the U.S. that would severely restrict the ability of a company to utilize affiliate reinsurance to manage its U.S. risks and capital position. In general, the proposed legislation would permanently disallow the deduction for premiums ceded to affiliates, to the extent the reinsurance exceeded industry aggregate levels of unrelated party reinsurance, calculated on a statutory line of business basis. The U.S. 2012 Fiscal Year Budget, issued on February 14, 2011, includes a similar provision that would disallow tax deductions for all affiliate reinsurance premiums paid to companies not taxed in the U.S., but would not tax ceding commissions or recoveries of paid losses received by the U.S. companies. If any of these proposals, or a similar proposal using the same underlying principles, is enacted, the resulting impact to the Company could have an adverse impact on us or our shareholders. It is possible that other legislative proposals could emerge in the future that could also have an adverse impact on us or our shareholders. We cannot assure you that future legislative action will not increase the amount of U.S. tax payable by us. If this happens, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Additionally, the U.S. federal income tax laws and interpretations, including those regarding whether a company is engaged in a trade or business (or has a permanent establishment) within the United States or is a Passive Foreign Investment Company (PFIC), or whether U.S. holders would be required to include in their gross income subpart F income or the related person insurance income, which we refer to as RPII of a Controlled Foreign Corporation (CFC), are subject to change, possibly on a retroactive basis. There are currently no regulations regarding the application of the PFIC rules to insurance companies and the regulations regarding RPII are still in proposed form. New regulations or pronouncements interpreting or clarifying such rules may be forthcoming. We cannot be certain if, when or in what form such regulations or pronouncements may be provided and whether such guidance will have a retroactive effect.

There is U.S. income tax risk associated with reinsurance between U.S. insurance companies and their Bermuda affiliates.

As discussed above, Congress has periodically considered legislation intended to eliminate certain perceived tax advantages of non-U.S. insurance companies and U.S. insurance companies with non-U.S. affiliates, including perceived tax benefits resulting principally from reinsurance between or among U.S. insurance companies and their non-U.S. affiliates. In this regard, section 845 of the Code was amended in 2004 to permit the IRS to reallocate, recharacterize or adjust items of income, deduction or certain other items related to a reinsurance agreement between related parties to reflect the proper amount, source or character for each item (in contrast to prior law, which only covered source and character). If the IRS were to successfully challenge our reinsurance arrangements under section 845, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected and the price of our ordinary shares could be adversely affected.

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development is considering measures that might change the manner in which we are taxed.

On July 17, 2008, the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (the OECD) issued the final version of its Report on the Attribution of Profits to Permanent Establishments (the Report). The Report is the final report on the OECD s project to establish a broad consensus regarding the interpretation and practical application of Article 7 of the OECD Model Tax Convention on Income and on Capital (Article 7). Article 7 sets forth international tax principles for attributing profits to a permanent establishment and forms the basis of an extensive network of bilateral income tax treaties between OECD member countries and between many OECD member and non-member countries. Part IV of the Report addresses the attribution of profits to a permanent establishment of an enterprise that conducts

insurance activities.

The OECD implemented the conclusions of the Report in two phases. First, to provide improved certainty for the interpretation of existing treaties based on the current text of Article 7, the OECD revised the commentary to the current version of Article 7 to take into account the conclusions of the Report that did not conflict with the existing interpretation of Article 7 reflected in the previous commentary. Second, to reflect the full conclusions of the Report, the OECD issued, on July 22, 2010, a new version of Article 7 and related commentary to be used in the negotiation of new treaties and amendments to existing treaties. The provisions of the final version of new Article 7 and related commentary are not expected to change materially the manner in which we are taxed.

If an investor acquires 10% or more of our ordinary shares, it may be subject to taxation under the controlled foreign corporation rules.

Under certain circumstances, a U.S. person who owns 10% or more of the voting power of a foreign corporation that is a CFC (a foreign corporation in which 10% U.S. shareholders own more than 50% of the voting power of the foreign corporation or more than 25% of a foreign insurance company) for an uninterrupted period of 30 days or more during a taxable year must include in gross income for U.S. federal income tax purposes such 10% U.S. Shareholder s pro rata share of the CFC s subpart F income, even if the subpart F income is not distributed to such 10% U.S. Shareholder, if such 10% U.S. Shareholder owns (directly or indirectly through foreign entities) any shares of the foreign corporation on the last day of the corporation s taxable year. Subpart F income of a foreign insurance corporation typically includes foreign personal holding company income (such as interest, dividends and other types of passive income), as well as insurance and reinsurance income (including underwriting and investment income) attributable to the insurance of risks situated outside the CFC s country of incorporation. Ownership of our equity security units by a U.S. person may cause such person to be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as the owner of our ordinary shares prior to the purchase contract settlement date. For purposes of interpreting the voting restrictions in our Articles of Association, we intend to treat the ordinary shares issuable upon settlement of a purchase contract underlying a unit as currently owned by the holder of that unit.

We believe that because of the dispersion of our share ownership, provisions in our organizational documents that limit voting power and other factors, no U.S. person or U.S. partnership that acquires our shares directly or indirectly through one or more foreign entities should be required to include its subpart F income in income under the CFC rules of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). It is possible, however, that the IRS could challenge the effectiveness of these provisions and that a court could sustain such a challenge, in which case an investor s investment could be materially adversely affected, if the investor is considered to own 10% or more of our shares.

U.S. Persons who hold shares will be subject to adverse tax consequences if we are considered to be a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

If we are considered a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes, a U.S. person who owns any of our shares will be subject to adverse tax consequences, including a greater tax liability than might otherwise apply and tax on amounts in advance of when tax would otherwise be imposed, in which case an investor s investment could be materially adversely affected. In addition, if we were considered a PFIC, upon the death of any U.S. individual owning shares, such individual s heirs or estate would not be entitled to a step-up in the basis of the shares which might otherwise be available under U.S. federal income tax laws. We believe that we are not, have not been, and currently do not expect to become, a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes. We cannot provide absolute assurance, however, that we will not be deemed a PFIC by the IRS. If we were considered a PFIC, it could have material adverse tax consequences for an investor that is subject to U.S. federal income taxation. There are currently no regulations regarding the application of the PFIC provisions to an insurance company. New regulations or pronouncements interpreting or clarifying these rules may be forthcoming. We cannot predict what impact, if any, such guidance would have on an investor that is subject to U.S. federal income taxation.

There are U.S. income tax risks associated with the related person insurance income of our non-U.S. insurance subsidiaries.

If (i) the related person insurance income, which we refer to as RPII, of any one of our non-U.S. insurance subsidiaries were to equal or exceed 20% of that subsidiary s gross insurance income in any

taxable year and (ii) U.S. persons were treated as owning 25% or more of the subsidiary s stock (by vote or value), a U.S. person who owns any ordinary shares, directly or indirectly, on the last day of such taxable year on which the 25% threshold is met would be required to include in its income for U.S. federal income tax purposes that person s ratable share of that subsidiary s RPII for the taxable year, determined as if that RPII were distributed proportionately only to U.S. holders at that date, regardless of whether that income is distributed. The amount of RPII earned by a subsidiary (generally premium and related investment income from the direct or indirect insurance or reinsurance of any direct or indirect U.S. holder of shares of that subsidiary or any person related to that holder) would depend on a number of factors, including the identity of persons directly or indirectly insured or reinsured by that subsidiary. Although we do not believe that the 20% threshold will be met in respect of any of our non-U.S. insurance subsidiaries, some of the factors that may affect the result in any period may be beyond our control. Consequently, we cannot provide absolute assurance that we will not exceed the RPII threshold in any taxable year.

The RPII rules provide that if a holder who is a U.S. person disposes of shares in a non-U.S. insurance corporation that had RPII (even if the 20% gross income threshold was not met) and met the 25% ownership threshold at any time during the five-year period ending on the date of disposition, and the holder owned any stock at such time, any gain from the disposition will generally be treated as a dividend to the extent of the holder s share (taking into account certain rules for determining a U.S. holder s share of RPII) of the corporation s undistributed earnings and profits that were accumulated during the period that the holder owned the shares (possibly whether or not those earnings and profits are attributable to RPII). In addition, such a shareholder will be required to comply with specified reporting requirements, regardless of the amount of shares owned. We believe that these rules should not apply to dispositions of our ordinary shares because XL- Ireland is not itself directly engaged in the insurance business. We cannot provide absolute assurance, however, that the IRS will not successfully assert that these rules apply to dispositions of our ordinary shares.

We and our Bermuda insurance subsidiaries may become subject to taxes in Bermuda in the future, which may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and your investment.

Our Bermuda insurance subsidiaries previously received from the Ministry of Finance in Bermuda exemptions from any Bermuda taxes that might be imposed on profits, income or any capital asset, gain or appreciation until March 28, 2016. In his November 2010 Speech from the Throne, His Excellency Sir Richard Gozney KCMG, CVO, Governor of Bermuda announced the intention of the Bermuda Government to extend to 2035 the tax exemption given by the Ministry of Finance to international businesses, including the Company. This proposed extension would provide long term certainty of the tax exemption currently enjoyed by us and our Bermuda subsidiaries. As the proposed extension has not yet been enacted, such tax certainty cannot be assured. Thus, we continue to have an exposure to Bermuda taxation that could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and the price of our ordinary shares.

The exemptions are subject to the proviso that they are not construed so as to prevent the application of any tax or duty to such persons as are ordinarily resident in Bermuda (the Company and our Bermuda insurance subsidiaries are not so currently designated) and to prevent the application of any tax payable in accordance with the provisions of The Land Tax Act 1967 or otherwise payable in relation to the land leased to us and our Bermuda insurance subsidiaries. XL Group plc and other Bermuda-based subsidiaries not incorporated in Bermuda, as permit companies under The Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda, have also received similar exemptions, which are also expected to be extended to 2035. Our Bermuda insurance subsidiaries are required to pay certain annual Bermuda government fees and certain business fees as an insurer under The Insurance Act 1978 of Bermuda. Currently there is no Bermuda withholding tax on dividends paid by our Bermuda insurance subsidiaries to us.

XL-Cayman may become subject to taxes in the Cayman Islands after June 2, 2018, which may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and your investment.

Under current Cayman Islands law, we are not obligated to pay any taxes in the Cayman Islands on our income or gains. We have received an undertaking from the Governor-in-Council of the Cayman Islands pursuant to the provisions of the Tax Concessions Law, as amended, that until June 2, 2018, (i) no subsequently enacted law imposing any tax on profits, income, gains or appreciation shall apply to us and

(ii) no such tax and no tax in the nature of an estate duty or an inheritance tax shall be payable on any of our ordinary shares, debentures or other obligations. Under current law, no tax will be payable on the transfer or other disposition of our ordinary shares. The Cayman Islands currently impose stamp duties on certain categories of documents; however, our current operations do not involve the payment of stamp duties in any material amount. The Cayman Islands also currently impose an annual corporate fee upon all exempted companies incorporated in the Cayman Islands. Given the limited duration of the undertaking from the Governor- in-Council of the Cayman Islands, we cannot be certain that we will not be subject to any Cayman Islands tax after June 2, 2018. Such taxation could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and your investment.

Our tax position could be adversely impacted by changes in tax laws, tax treaties or tax regulations or the interpretation or enforcement thereof.

Our tax position could be adversely impacted by changes in tax laws, tax treaties or tax regulations or the interpretation or enforcement thereof by the tax authorities in Ireland, the United States and other jurisdictions, and such changes may be more likely or become more likely in view of recent economic trends in such jurisdictions, particularly if such trends continue. For example, Ireland has suffered from the consequences of worldwide adverse economic conditions and the credit ratings on its debt have been downgraded. Such changes could cause a material and adverse change in our worldwide effective tax rate and we may have to take further action, at potentially significant expense, to seek to mitigate the effect of such changes. Any future amendments to the current income tax treaties between Ireland and other jurisdictions, including the United States, could subject us to increased taxation and/or potentially significant expense.

Dividends you receive may be subject to Irish dividend withholding tax and Irish income tax.

Dividend withholding tax (currently at a rate of 20%) may arise in respect of dividends paid on the Company s ordinary shares. However, a number of exemptions from dividend withholding tax exist such that ordinary shareholders resident in the United States and ordinary shareholders resident in other specified countries (listed in Annex F attached to the Redomestication Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on March 10, 2010) may be entitled to exemptions from dividend withholding tax if they complete and file certain dividend withholding tax forms. Ordinary shareholders resident in the U.S. that hold their ordinary shares through DTC will not be subject to dividend withholding tax provided the addresses of the beneficial owners of such ordinary shares in the records of the brokers holding such ordinary shares are in the United States (so that such brokers can further transmit the relevant information to a qualifying intermediary appointed by the Company). Similarly, ordinary shareholders resident in the U.S. that hold their ordinary shares outside of DTC are not subject to dividend withholding tax if such ordinary shareholders held ordinary shares in the Company on January 12, 2010 and they provided a valid Form W-9 showing a U.S. address to the Company s transfer agent. However, other ordinary shareholders may be subject to dividend withholding tax, which could adversely affect the price of our ordinary shares.

In addition, ordinary shareholders entitled to an exemption from Irish dividend withholding tax on dividends received from the Company should not be subject to Irish income tax in respect of those dividends, unless they have some connection with Ireland other than their ordinary shareholdings in the Company. Ordinary shareholders who receive dividends subject to Irish dividend withholding tax will generally have no further liability to Irish income tax on those dividends unless they have some connection with Ireland other than their ordinary shareholding in the Company.

A future transfer of your ordinary shares, other than one effected by means of the transfer of book entry interests in DTC, may be subject to Irish stamp duty.

Transfers of our ordinary shares effected by means of the transfer of book entry interests in DTC will not be subject to Irish stamp duty. The majority of our ordinary shares will be traded through DTC, either directly or through brokers who hold such ordinary shares on behalf of customers through DTC. However, if you hold your ordinary shares directly rather than beneficially through DTC (or through a broker that holds your ordinary shares through DTC), any

transfer of your ordinary shares could be subject to Irish stamp duty (currently at the rate of 1% of the higher of the price paid or the market value of the ordinary shares acquired). Payment of Irish stamp duty is generally a legal obligation of the transferee. The potential for stamp duty could adversely affect the price of our ordinary shares.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Company operates in Bermuda, the United States, Europe and various other locations around the world. In 1997, the Company acquired commercial real estate in Hamilton, Bermuda for the purpose of securing long-term office space for its worldwide headquarters. The development was completed in April 2001. The total cost of this development, including land, was approximately $126.6 million. The Company has subsequently sub-leased portions of this property as a part of its broader expense reduction initiatives.

In July 2003, the Company acquired new offices at 70 Gracechurch Street, London, which have become the Company s new London headquarters. The acquisition was made through a purchase, sale and leaseback transaction. The move to the new offices was completed in 2004 and consolidated the Company s London businesses in one location. The capital lease asset and liability associated with this transaction totaled $107.4 million at December 31, 2010.

The majority of all other office facilities throughout the world that are occupied by the Company and its subsidiaries are leased.

Total rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was $31.8 million, $34.4 million and $35.4 million, respectively. See Item 8, Note 19(d) to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Commitments and Contingencies Properties, for discussion of the Company s lease commitments for real property.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In November 2006, a subsidiary of XL-Cayman received a grand jury subpoena from the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) and a subpoena from the SEC, both of which sought documents in connection with an investigation into the municipal Guaranteed Investment Contracts (GIC) market and related products. In June 2008, subsidiaries of XL-Cayman also received a subpoena from the Connecticut Attorney General and an Antitrust Civil Investigative Demand from the Office of the Florida Attorney General in connection with a coordinated multi-state Attorneys General investigation into the matters referenced in the DOJ and SEC subpoenas. At various times during the period from late 2006 through 2008, the subsidiaries produced documents and other information in response to the aforementioned subpoenas and demands. XL Group plc plans to cooperate fully with any further information requests that it may receive in connection with these investigations.

Commencing in March 2008, XL-Cayman and two of its subsidiaries were named, along with approximately 20 other providers and insurers of municipal GICs and similar derivative products in the U.S. (collectively Municipal Derivatives) as well as fourteen brokers of such products, in several purported federal antitrust class actions. The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ordered that these be consolidated for pretrial purposes and assigned them to the Southern District of New York. The consolidated amended complaint filed in August 2008 alleges that there was a

conspiracy among the defendants during the period from January 1, 1992 to the present to rig bids and otherwise unlawfully decrease the yield for Municipal Derivative products. The purported class of plaintiffs consists of purchasers of Municipal Derivatives. On October 21, 2008 most of the defendants filed motions to dismiss the consolidated amended complaint. The District Judge granted the motions by order dated April 29, 2009, but allowed plaintiffs leave to file a second amended complaint. Plaintiffs filed a Second Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint on June 18, 2009, but did not include XL-Cayman or any of its subsidiaries as a defendant. The remaining defendants in that action again moved to dismiss, which motion was denied by the Court on March 25, 2010.

In addition, XL-Cayman and three of its subsidiaries (along with numerous other parties) were named as defendants in eleven individual (i.e., non-class) actions filed by various municipalities or other local government bodies in California state and federal courts. The allegations are similar to the allegations in the Second Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint described above. The defendants removed the state court cases to federal court, and all eleven cases were then transferred to the Southern District of New York by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation. On April 26, 2010, the District Judge dismissed all

eleven cases against XL-Cayman and its subsidiaries without prejudice, but denied motions to dismiss as respects most of the other defendants. Since then several additional similar actions have been filed, but none of these includes XL-Cayman or any of its subsidiaries as a defendant.

In August 2005, plaintiffs in a proposed class action (the Class Action) that was consolidated into a multidistrict litigation in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, captioned In re Brokerage Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1663, Civil Action No. 04-5184 (the MDL), filed a consolidated amended complaint (the Amended Complaint), which named as new defendants approximately 30 entities, including Greenwich Insurance Company, Indian Harbor Insurance Company and XL-Cayman. In the MDL, the Class Action plaintiffs asserted various claims purportedly on behalf of a class of commercial insureds against approximately 113 insurance companies and insurance brokers through which the named plaintiffs allegedly purchased insurance. The Amended Complaint alleged that the defendant insurance companies and insurance brokers conspired to manipulate bidding practices for insurance policies in certain insurance lines and failed to disclose certain commission arrangements and asserted statutory claims under the Sherman Act, various state antitrust laws and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), as well as common law claims alleging breach of fiduciary duty, aiding and abetting a breach of fiduciary duty and unjust enrichment. By Opinion and Order dated August 31, 2007, the Court dismissed the Sherman Act claims with prejudice and, by Opinion and Order dated September 28, 2007, the Court dismissed the RICO claims with prejudice. The plaintiffs then appealed both Orders to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. On August 16, 2010, the Third Circuit affirmed in large part the District Court s dismissal. The Third Circuit reversed the dismissal of certain Sherman Act and RICO claims alleged against several defendants including XL-Cayman and two of its subsidiaries but remanded those claims to the District Court for further consideration of their adequacy. In light of its reversal and remand of certain of the federal claims, the Third Circuit also reversed the District Court s dismissal (based on the District Court s declining to exercise supplemental jurisdiction) of the state-law claims against all defendants. On October 1, 2010 the remaining defendants including XL-Cayman and two of its subsidiaries filed motions to dismiss the remanded federal claims and the state-law claims. The motions have been fully briefed and await the District Court s decision.

Various XL entities have been named as defendants in three of the many tag-along actions that have been consolidated into the MDL for pretrial purposes. The complaints in these tag-along actions make allegations similar to those made in the Amended Complaint but do not purport to be class actions. On April 4, 2006, a tag-along complaint was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia on behalf of New Cingular Wireless Headquarters LLC and several other corporations against approximately 100 defendants, including Greenwich Insurance Company, XL Specialty Insurance Company, XL Insurance America, Inc., XL Insurance Company Limited, Lloyd s syndicates 861, 588 and 1209 and XL-Cayman. On or about May 21, 2007, a tag-along complaint was filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey on behalf of Henley Management Company, Big Bear Properties, Inc., Northbrook Properties, Inc., RCK Properties, Inc., Kitchens, Inc., Aberfeldy LP and Payroll and Insurance Group, Inc. against multiple defendants, including XL Winterthur International. On October 12, 2007, a complaint in a third tag-along action was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia by Sears, Roebuck & Co., Sears Holdings Corporation, Kmart Corporation and Lands End Inc. against many named defendants including X.L. America, Inc., XL Insurance America, Inc., XL Specialty Insurance Company and XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd. By order entered on or about October 5, 2010, the District Court ruled that the tag-along actions, including the three in which the XL entities are named defendants, will remain stayed pending the District Court s decision on defendants October 1, 2010 motions to dismiss the remaining claims in the Class Action.

XL-Cayman and one of its subsidiaries (collectively, the XL Entities), Syncora, four Syncora officers, and various underwriters of Syncora securities were named in a Consolidated Amended Complaint (CAC) filed in August 2008 on behalf of shareholders of Syncora in the Southern District of New York. By Order dated March 31, 2010, Judge Deborah Batts granted motions to dismiss all claims asserted in the CAC as against all defendants principally on the basis of absence of loss causation and, granted the Plaintiffs leave to amend the CAC. The Plaintiffs filed a further amended complaint in June 2010. This complaint alleges violations of the Securities Act of 1933 arising out of the secondary public offering of Syncora common shares held by the XL Entities on June 6, 2007 as well as under the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934 arising out of trading in Syncora securities during the asserted class period of July 24, 2007 to

December 20, 2007. The principal allegations are that Syncora failed to appropriately and timely disclose its processes with respect to underwriting certain derivative contracts and insurance of tranches of structured securities. A subsidiary of XL-Cayman is named as a party that sold stock in the secondary public offering and XL-Cayman is named as a party that controlled Syncora during the relevant time period. On September 10, 2010, all of the defendants including the XL Entities filed motions to dismiss the June 2010 amended complaint. The principal bases for the XL Entities motion are (a) plaintiffs have not adequately alleged falsity of any of the challenged disclosures, and (b) plaintiffs have not adequately alleged loss causation arising out of corrections of the assertedly misrepresented or omitted facts in the relevant offering materials. The motions have been fully briefed and await the District Court s decision.

In connection with the secondary offering of Syncora shares, a subsidiary of XL-Cayman and Syncora each agreed to indemnify the several underwriters of that offering against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933 for payment of legal fees and expenses, settlements and judgments incurred with respect to litigation such as this. The XL-Cayman subsidiary and Syncora have agreed to each bear 50% of this indemnity obligation.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to litigation and arbitration in the normal course of its business. These lawsuits and arbitrations principally involve claims on policies of insurance and contracts of reinsurance and are typical for the company and for the property and casualty insurance and reinsurance industry in general. Such legal proceedings are considered in connection with the company s loss and loss expense reserves. Reserves in varying amounts may or may not be established in respect of particular claims proceedings based on many factors, including the legal merits thereof. In addition to litigation relating to insurance and reinsurance claims, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to lawsuits and regulatory actions in the normal course of business that do not arise from or directly relate to claims on insurance or reinsurance policies. This category of business litigation typically involves, amongst other things, allegations of underwriting errors or misconduct, employment claims, regulatory activity, shareholder disputes or disputes arising from business ventures. The status of these legal actions is actively monitored by management.

Legal actions are subject to inherent uncertainties, and future events could change management s assessment of the probability or estimated amount of potential losses from pending or threatened legal actions. For further information in relation to legal proceedings, see Item 8, Note 19(g) to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Commitments and Contingencies Claims and Other Litigation.

ITEM 4. RESERVED

Executive Officers of the Company

The table below sets forth the names, ages and titles of the persons who were the executive officers of the Company at February 25, 2011:

Name	Age	Position
Michael S. McGavick	53	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Susan L. Cross	50	Executive Vice President and Global Chief Actuary
David B. Duclos	53	Executive Vice President and Chief Executive of Insurance Operations
Irene M. Esteves	52	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Kirstin Romann Gould	44	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Gregory S. Hendrick	45	Executive Vice President, Strategic Growth
W. Myron Hendry	62	Executive Vice President and Chief Platform Officer
Elizabeth L. Reeves	57	Executive Vice President, Chief Human Resources Officer
Jacob D. Rosengarten	55	Executive Vice President and Chief Enterprise Risk Officer
Sarah E. Street	48	Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer
James H. Veghte	54	Executive Vice President and Chief Executive of Reinsurance Operations

Michael S. McGavick, was appointed as Director of the Company in April 2008 and shortly prior to his commencement as the Company s Chief Executive Officer on May 1, 2008. Previously, Mr. McGavick was President & CEO of the Seattle-based Safeco Corporation from January 2001 to December 2005. Prior to joining Safeco, Mr. McGavick spent six years with the Chicago-based CNA Financial Corporation, where he held various senior executive positions before becoming President and Chief Operating Officer of the company s largest commercial insurance operating unit. Mr. McGavick s insurance industry experience also includes two years as Director of the American Insurance Association s Superfund Improvement Project in Washington D.C. where he became the Association s lead strategist in working to transform U.S. Superfund environmental laws.

Susan L. Cross was appointed to the Company s senior leadership team in August 2008, serving as Executive Vice President and Global Chief Actuary. Ms. Cross has served as Global Chief Actuary since 2006 and previously was Chief Actuary of the Company s reinsurance operations from 2004 to 2006 and Chief Actuary of XL Re Bermuda from 2002 to 2004. She also held various actuarial positions in the insurance and reinsurance operations of the Company from 1999 to 2002. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. Cross was Principal and Consulting Actuary at Tillinghast Towers Perrin.

David B. Duclos was appointed Executive Vice President, Chief Executive of Insurance Operations in April 2008. From 2006 to his appointment in April 2008, Mr. Duclos was the Chief Operating Officer of the Company s Insurance Operations and was responsible for product line underwriting, regional management and sales, ceded reinsurance and risk management. From 2004 to 2006, Mr. Duclos served as Executive Vice President of global specialty lines within the Company s Insurance Operations and in 2003, upon joining the Company, he served as Senior Vice President responsible for U.S. program operations. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Duclos worked for more than three years at Kemper Insurance Company in various senior level positions. Mr. Duclos began his career with CIGNA Corporation where he spent 21 years in various regional and national management roles in the field and home office.

Irene M. Esteves was appointed Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer in May 2010. From 2008 to 2010 Ms. Esteves was the Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Regions Financial Corporation. Prior to joining Regions, from 2004 to 2008 Ms. Esteves served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Wachovia Corporation s Capital Management Group. From 1997 to 2004, Ms. Esteves served as Senior Managing Director and Chief Financial Officer of Putnam Investments.

Kirstin Romann Gould was appointed to the position of Executive Vice President, General Counsel in September 2007, which position includes her prior responsibilities as General Counsel, Corporate Affairs and Corporate Secretary. Ms. Gould was previously Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Corporate Affairs from July 2006 to September 2007 and has also served as Chief Corporate Legal Officer from November 2004 to July 2006, and Associate General Counsel from July 2001 to November 2004. Prior to

joining the Company in 2000, Ms. Gould was associated with the law firms of Clifford Chance and Dewey Ballantine in New York and London.

Gregory S. Hendrick was appointed Executive Vice President, Strategic Growth in October 2010. From 2004 to October 2010, Mr. Hendrick served as President and Chief Underwriting Officer of XL Re Ltd. Previously, he served as Lead for U.S. Property Treaty underwriting at XL Re Ltd and Vice President responsible for U.S. Property Underwriting for XL Mid Ocean Reinsurance Ltd. Prior to joining XL, Mr. Hendrick, was Assistant Vice President of Treaty Underwriting for the Winterthur Reinsurance Corporation of America.

W. Myron Hendry joined the Company s senior leadership team upon his appointment as Executive Vice President, Chief Platform Officer in December 2009. Prior to joining the Company, from 2006 to December 2009 Mr. Hendry served as Business Operations Executive of Bank of America s Insurance Group, joining there from a merger with Countrywide Insurance Services Group. Prior to the merger, Mr. Hendry served as Managing Director and Chief Operating Officer for Countrywide and prior to this, from 2004 to 2006, Mr. Hendry served as Senior Vice President, Property and Casualty Services at Safeco. From 1971 to 2004, Mr. Hendry held various leadership roles with CNA Insurance, with his last assignment being the Senior Vice President of Worldwide Operations.

Elizabeth L. Reeves was appointed to the Company s senior leadership team in June 2009, serving as Executive Vice President, Chief Human Resources Officer, where she is responsible for global compensation, employee benefits, employee relations, recruiting, learning, organizational development, performance management, talent development, succession planning, HR information systems and Payroll. Prior to joining the Company, from August 2008 to May 2009, Ms. Reeves served as Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer at Liz Claiborne, Incorporated. Prior to that, from January 2005 to May 2008, Ms. Reeves served as Senior Vice President of Human Resources at Lincoln Financial Group.

Jacob D. Rosengarten joined the Company s senior leadership team and was appointed Executive Vice President, Chief Enterprise Risk Officer in September 2008. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Rosengarten served as Managing Director of Risk Management and Analytics for Goldman Sachs Asset Management from 1998 to 2008. From 1993 to 1997, Mr. Rosengarten served as Director of Risk and Quantitative Analysis at Commodities Corporation and prior to this, from 1983 to 1992 held progressively senior finance positions at Commodities Corporation.

Sarah E. Street was appointed to the position of Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer in October 2006. Ms. Street has also served as the Chief Executive Officer of XL Capital Investment Partners Inc. since April 2001. Prior to joining XL in 2001, Ms. Street held numerous leadership positions at JPMorganChase and its predecessor organizations, working in a number of corporate finance units as well as in the capital markets business of the bank.

James H. Veghte was appointed Executive Vice President, Chief Executive of Reinsurance Operations in January 2006. Mr. Veghte had served as the Chief Executive Officer of XL Reinsurance America Inc. (XLRA) since 2004, having previously served as Chief Operating Officer of the Company s reinsurance operations and President, Chief Operating Officer & Chief Underwriting Officer of XL Re Ltd. Additional previously held roles with the Company include President of XL Re Latin America Ltd., Chief Operating Officer of Le Mans Re (now the French branch of XL Re Europe Ltd.), General Manager of XL Re Ltd s London branch and Executive Vice President and Underwriter of XL Mid Ocean Reinsurance Ltd in Bermuda. Prior to joining XL, Mr. Veghte was Senior Vice President and Chief Underwriting Officer of Winterthur Reinsurance Corporation of America.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Company s ordinary shares, $0.01 par value per share, are listed on the NYSE under the symbol XL.

The following table sets forth the high, low and closing sales prices per share of the Company s ordinary shares per fiscal quarter, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Tape:

	High	Low	Close
2010:			
1st Quarter	$ 19.54	15.91	18.90
2nd Quarter	20.39	15.63	16.01
3rd Quarter	22.14	15.59	21.66
4th Quarter	22.52	19.36	21.82
2009:			
1st Quarter	$ 6.44	2.56	5.46
2nd Quarter	12.45	5.20	11.46
3rd Quarter	18.19	10.83	17.46
4th Quarter	19.03	15.78	18.33

Each ordinary share has one vote, except if, and so long as, the Controlled Shares (defined below) of any person constitute ten percent (10%) or more of the issued ordinary shares, the voting rights with respect to the Controlled Shares owned by such person are limited, in the aggregate, to a voting power of approximately 10%, pursuant to a formula specified in the Company s Articles of Association. Controlled Shares includes, among other things, all ordinary shares which such person is deemed to beneficially own directly, indirectly or constructively (within the meaning of Section 13(d)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or Section 958 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended).

The number of record holders of ordinary shares as of December 31, 2010 was 175. This figure does not represent the actual number of beneficial owners of the Company s ordinary shares because such shares are frequently held in street name by securities dealers and others for the benefit of individual owners who may vote the shares.

In 2010, four quarterly dividends were paid at $0.10 per share to all ordinary shareholders of record as of March 15, June 15, September 15 and December 15. In 2009, four quarterly dividends were paid at $0.10 per share to all ordinary shareholders of record as of March 13, June 15, September 15 and December 15.

The declaration and payment of future dividends by the Company will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend upon many factors, including the Company s earnings, financial condition, business needs, capital and surplus requirements of the Company s operating subsidiaries and regulatory and contractual restrictions.

As a holding company, the Company s principal source of income is dividends or other statutorily permissible payments from its subsidiaries. The ability to pay such dividends is limited by the applicable laws and regulations of the various countries in which the Company s subsidiaries operate, including Bermuda, the U.S. and the U.K.,

applicable requirements of the Society of Lloyd s, and certain contractual provisions. See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Item 8, Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Statutory Financial Data, for further discussion.

Information concerning securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans appears in Part III, Item 12, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliate Purchases

The following table provides information about purchases by the Company during the quarter ended December 31, 2010 of equity securities that are registered by the Company pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1) (2)
October	4,925,535	$ 21.69	4,925,535	$
November (1)	2,313	$ 20.92		$ 1,000 million
December	6,858,761	$ 21.01	6,857,280	$ 856.0 million
Total	11,786,609	$ 21.29	11,782,815	$ 856.0 million

(1) All shares were purchased in connection with the vesting of restricted shares granted under the Company s restricted stock plan. All of these purchases were made in connection with satisfying tax withholding obligations of those employees. These shares were not

purchased as part of the Company s share buyback program noted below.

(2) During the third quarter of 2007, the Company s Board of Directors approved a share buyback program, authorizing the Company to purchase up to $500.0 million of its ordinary shares. As of January 1, 2010, $375.4 million ordinary shares remained available for purchase under this program. During the third quarter of 2010, the Company purchased and cancelled 13.9 million ordinary shares under this program for $268.6 million. During October 2010, the Company

purchased and cancelled 4.9 million ordinary shares for $106.8 million. In combination, these purchases totaled $375.4 million, the full amount remaining under this buyback program.

On November 2, 2010, the Company announced that its Board of Directors approved a new share buyback program, authorizing the Company to purchase up to $1.0 billion of its ordinary shares. During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company purchased and cancelled 6.9 million ordinary shares for $144.0 million under the 2010 buyback program.

Between January 1 and February 22, 2011, the Company purchased and cancelled an additional 5.3 million shares for $121.3 million under the 2010 buyback program. As of February 22, 2011, $734.7 million remains available for purchase under this buyback program.

Ordinary Share Performance Graph

Set forth below is a line graph comparing the yearly dollar change in the cumulative total shareholder return, with all dividends reinvested, over a five-year period on the Company s ordinary shares from December 31, 2005 through December 31, 2010 as compared to the cumulative total return of the Standard & Poor s 500 Stock Index and the cumulative total return of the Standard & Poor s Property & Casualty Insurance Index.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected consolidated financial data below is based upon the Company s fiscal year end of December 31. The selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto presented under Item 8.

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
		(U.S. dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)			
Income Statement Data:					
Net premiums earned	$ 5,414,061	$ 5,706,840	$ 6,640,102	$ 7,205,356	$ 7,569,51
Net investment income	1,198,038	1,319,823	1,768,977	2,248,807	1,978,18
Net realized (losses) gains on investments	(270,803)	(921,437)	(962,054)	(603,268)	(116,43
Net realized and unrealized (losses) gains on derivative instruments	(33,843)	(33,647)	(73,368)	(55,451)	101,18
Net income (loss) income from investment fund affiliates (1)	51,102	78,867	(277,696)	326,007	269,03
Fee income and other	40,027	43,201	52,158	14,271	31,73
Net losses and loss expenses incurred (2)	3,211,800	3,168,837	3,962,898	3,841,003	4,201,19
Claims and policy benefits life operations	513,833	677,562	769,004	888,658	807,25
Acquisition costs, operating expenses and foreign exchange gains and losses	1,749,202	1,994,194	1,921,940	2,188,889	2,374,35
Interest expense	213,643	216,504	351,800	621,905	552,27

Loss on settlement of guarantee	23,500				
Extinguishment of debt			22,527		
Impairment of goodwill			989,971		
Amortization of intangible assets	1,858	1,836	2,968	1,680	2,33
Income (loss) before non-controlling interests, net income from operating affiliates and income tax expense	684,746	134,714	(872,989)	1,593,587	1,895,73
Income (loss) from operating affiliates (1)(2)	121,372	60,480	(1,458,246)	(1,059,848)	111,67
Preference share dividends	74,521	80,200	78,645	69,514	40,32
Net income (loss) attributable to ordinary shareholders	585,472	206,607	(2,632,458)	206,375	1,722,44

	2010	2009	2008	2007
		(U.S. dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)		
Per Share Data:				
Net income (loss) per ordinary share basic (3)(7)	$ 1.74	$ 0.61	$ (10.94)	$ 1.14
Net income (loss) per ordinary share diluted (3)(7)	$ 1.73	$ 0.61	$ (10.94)	$ 1.14
Weighted average ordinary shares outstanding diluted (3)	337,709	340,966	240,657	181,209
Cash dividends per ordinary share	$ 0.40	$ 0.40	$ 1.14	$ 1.52
Balance Sheet Data:				
Total investments available for sale	$ 27,677,553	$ 29,307,171	$ 27,464,510	$ 36,265,803
Total investments held to maturity	2,728,335	546,067		
Cash and cash equivalents	3,022,868	3,643,697	4,353,826	3,880,030
Investments in affiliates.	1,069,028	1,185,604	1,552,789	2,611,149
Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable	3,671,887	3,584,028	3,997,722	4,697,471
Premiums receivable.	2,414,912	2,597,602	3,135,985	3,637,452
Total assets	45,023,351	45,663,894	45,702,786	57,794,000
Unpaid losses and loss expenses	20,531,607	20,823,524	21,650,315	23,207,694
Future policy benefit reserves	5,075,127	5,490,119	5,452,865	6,772,042
Unearned premiums	3,484,830	3,651,310	4,217,931	4,681,989
Notes payable and debt	2,464,410	2,451,417	3,189,734	2,868,731
Shareholders equity	10,613,049	9,432,417	6,116,831	9,950,561
Fully diluted book value per ordinary share	$ 29.78	$ 24.60	$ 15.46	$ 50.29
Operating Ratios:				
	63.8 %	61.5 %	66.2 %	59.8 %

Loss and loss expense ratio (4)				
Underwriting expense ratio (5)	31.0 %	32.1 %	28.7 %	29.0 %
Combined ratio (6)	94.8 %	93.6 %	94.9 %	88.8 %

(1) The Company records the income related to alternative fund affiliates on a one month lag and the private investment fund affiliates on a three month lag in order for the Company to meet the accelerated filing deadlines as this is the most current information available at the date of filing. The Company records the income related to operating affiliates on a three month lag.

(2) In 2008, net loss from operating affiliates includes losses totaling approximately $1.4 billion related to the closing of the Master Agreement as well as losses recorded throughout 2008 and up until the closing of the

Master Agreement that were associated with previous reinsurance and guarantee agreements with Syncora. In 2007, $351.0 million of financial guarantee reserves related to reinsurance agreements with Syncora were recorded within net loss from operating affiliates. In 2010, net income from operating affiliates included $50.2 million relating to sale of a majority of the Company s shareholding in Primus Guaranty Ltd.

(3) Effective for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2009 and for all interim periods within 2009, the Company adopted final authoritative guidance that addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and,

therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing basic earnings per share (EPS) pursuant to the two-class method described in EPS guidance. A share-based payment award that contains a non-forfeitable right to receive cash when dividends are paid to ordinary shareholders irrespective of whether that award ultimately vests is considered a participating security as these rights to dividends provide a non-contingent transfer of value to the holder of the share-based payment award. Accordingly, these awards are included in the computation of basic EPS pursuant to the two-class method. Under the terms of the Company s restricted stock awards, grantees are entitled to receive dividends on the unvested portions of their awards. There is

no requirement to return these dividends in the event the unvested awards are forfeited in the future. Accordingly, this guidance had an impact on the Company s EPS calculations. All prior period EPS data presented has been adjusted retrospectively to conform to the provisions of this guidance. The adoption of this guidance reduced basic loss per ordinary share for fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2005 by $0.08 and $0.08, respectively, and reduced basic earnings per ordinary share by $0.02, and $0.08 for fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2006, respectively, and reduced diluted loss per ordinary share for fiscal 2008 $0.08 and fiscal 2005 by $0.08, respectively, and reduced diluted earnings per ordinary share by $0.01 and $0.07, for fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2006, respectively.

(4) The loss and loss expense ratio

related to the property and casualty operations is calculated by dividing the losses and loss expenses incurred by the net premiums earned for the Insurance and Reinsurance segments.

(5) The underwriting expense ratio related to the property and casualty operations is the sum of acquisition expenses and operating expenses for the Insurance and Reinsurance segments divided by net premiums earned for the Insurance and Reinsurance segments. See Item 8, Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Segment Information, for further information.

(6) The combined ratio related to the property and casualty operations is the sum of the loss and loss expense ratio and the underwriting

expense ratio. A combined ratio under 100% represents an underwriting profit and over 100% represents an underwriting loss.

(7) Effective April 1, 2009 the Company adopted final authoritative guidance that addressed the treatment of credit losses on investments. This guidance was not applied retroactively. For additional information see Item 8, Note 2(r) to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Recent Accounting Pronouncements.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements which involve inherent risks and uncertainties. Statements that are not historical facts, including statements about the Company s beliefs and expectations, are forward-looking statements. These statements are based upon current plans, estimates and expectations. Actual results may differ materially from those projected in such forward-looking statements, and therefore undue reliance should not be placed on them. See Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements, for a list of additional factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement.

This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto presented under Item 8.

Certain aspects of the Company s business have loss experience characterized as low frequency and high severity. This may result in volatility in both the Company s results of operations and financial condition.

Index

Executive Overview	63
Results of Operations and Key Financial Measures	66
Significant Items Affecting the Results of Operations	69
Other Key Focuses of Management	71
Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates	74
Segments	88
Income Statement Analysis	88
Insurance	88
Reinsurance	91
Life Operations	93
Syncora	95
Investment Activities	96
Investment Performance	97
Net realized gains and losses on investments and other than temporary declines in the value of investments	97
Net realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments	98
Other Revenues and Expenses	98
Balances Sheet Analysis	100
Investments	100
Gross and net unrealized gains and losses on investments	102
Fair Value Measurements of Assets and Liabilities	108
Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses	110

Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses Recoverable and Reinsurance Balances Receivable	110
Liquidity and Capital Resources	111
Cross-Default and Other Provisions in Debt Instruments	120
Long-Term Contractual Obligations	121
Variable Interest Entities and Other Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements	121
Recent Accounting Pronouncements	122
Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements	122

Executive Overview

Background

The Company, through its subsidiaries, is a global insurance and reinsurance company providing property, casualty and specialty products to industrial, commercial and professional firms, insurance companies and other enterprises on a worldwide basis. The Company operates in markets where it believes its underwriting expertise and financial strength represent a relative advantage.

The Company has grown through acquisitions and development of new business opportunities. Acquisitions included Global Capital Re in 1997, Mid Ocean Limited in 1998, ECS, Inc. and NAC Re Corp. in 1999, Winterthur International in 2001 and Le Mans Re in 2002. All acquisitions were entered into in order to further support the Company s strategic plan to develop a global platform in insurance and reinsurance. The Company competes as an integrated global business and at December 31, 2010 employed 3,576 employees in 25 countries. For further information in relation to the Company s Operations, see Item 1, Business.

Underwriting Environment

The Company earns its revenue primarily from net premiums written and earned. The property and casualty insurance and reinsurance markets have historically been cyclical, meaning that based on market conditions, there have been periods where premium rates are high and policy terms and conditions are more favorable to the Company (a hard market) and there have been periods where premium rates decline and policy terms and conditions are less favorable to the Company (a soft market). Market conditions are driven primarily by competition in the marketplace, the supply of capital in the industry, investment yields and the frequency and severity of loss events. Management s goal is to build long-term shareholder value by capitalizing on current opportunities and managing through any cyclical downturns by reducing its property and casualty book of business and exposures if and when rates deteriorate during soft market periods.

Insurance

The trading environment for the core lines of insurance business written by the Company remains difficult as competitive pressures continue. The Company continues its disciplined underwriting approach to grow on a very selective basis and exit lines where margins are unacceptable. Overall, retention ratios have continued to improve and premium rates were broadly flat for the year, with rate declines in the Insurance segment s U.S.-based professional lines and global property books offset by some increases in its international excess casualty book as well as an international professional solicitors program. The Company continues to develop new business opportunities in several areas, including its North American construction and surety businesses as recently announced. For further information on recent rate and renewal activity, see 2011 Underwriting Outlook below.

The following table provides an analysis of gross premiums written, net premiums written and net premiums earned for the Insurance segment for the last three years ended December 31:

	2010			2009 (1)		
(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**Gross Premiums Written**	**Net Premiums Written**	**Net Premiums Earned**	**Gross Premiums Written**	**Net Premiums Written**	**I**
Casualty professional lines	$ 1,412,131	$ 1,306,441	$ 1,316,173	$ 1,423,756	$ 1,336,541	$

Casualty other lines	1,030,877	650,717	632,737	947,121	570,887	
Other property	699,442	414,251	416,917	649,592	361,841	
Marine, energy, aviation, and satellite	668,878	570,957	540,319	644,898	516,408	
Other specialty lines (2)	602,787	519,557	606,682	577,804	483,166	
Other (3)	(2,545)	(7,582)	1,554	5,257	1,077	
Structured indemnity	6,810	6,809	14,756	3,460	3,460	
Total	$ 4,418,380	$ 3,461,150	$ 3,529,138	$ 4,251,888	$ 3,273,380	$

(1) Certain reclassifications have been made to conform to current year presentation.

(2) Other specialty lines within the Insurance segment includes: environmental, programs, equine, warranty, specie, middle markets and excess and surplus lines.

(3) Other includes credit and surety and other lines.

Reinsurance

Challenging market conditions persist for the Reinsurance segment but, in general, January 1, 2011 renewals were competitive, but orderly. In long tail lines, January 1, 2011 renewals saw terms and conditions remain firm. However, this market is heavily dependent on the pricing conditions of the primary market which continues to be weak. For short tail lines, there was deterioration in U.S. catastrophe rates on a risk adjusted basis between 7-10% and international rates decreased by 5%. For the year ended December 31, 2010, gross premiums written marginally decreased by 0.9% while net premiums written increased by 4.6%, compared with the same period of 2009. The gross premiums written decrease was primarily from reduced new and renewal business in the U.S. and Bermuda, some timing differences of inceptions in Bermuda along with reduced premium estimates on proportional treaties and lower premiums from a U.S. agricultural program. The net premium increase resulted from the reduced reinsurance cessions on the U.S. agricultural program.

The following table provides an analysis of gross premiums written, net premiums written and net premiums earned for the Reinsurance segment for the last three years ended December 31:

		2010			2009 (2)	
(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**Gross Premiums Written**	**Net Premiums Written**	**Net Premiums Earned**	**Gross Premiums Written**	**Net Premiums Written**	**Ne Prem Ear**
Casualty professional lines	$ 218,301	$ 222,133	$ 222,720	$ 170,928	$ 166,903	$ 1
Casualty other lines	229,535	222,351	219,154	218,778	217,889	2
Property catastrophe	370,266	326,758	323,588	357,267	312,321	3
Other property	802,494	562,416	534,422	862,310	553,556	5
Marine, energy, aviation, and satellite	117,438	103,926	88,855	89,100	82,393	
Other (1)	103,959	99,897	112,305	156,092	132,322	1
Structured indemnity	958	957	955	4,948	4,948	
Total	$ 1,842,951	$ 1,538,438	$ 1,501,999	$ 1,859,423	$ 1,470,332	$ 1,5

(1) Other includes credit and surety, whole account

contracts and other lines.

(2) Certain reclassifications have been made to conform to current year presentation.

The following sets forth potential trends relevant to the Company s property and casualty operations:

2011 Underwriting Outlook

Throughout 2009 and 2010 the Company was focused on selective growth initiatives, emphasizing short-tail lines, where applicable, in the Company s reinsurance operations, exiting other businesses, such as Casualty facultative business and non-renewing certain insurance programs, as well as continuing to reduce long- term agreements within the insurance operations in order to take advantage of improvements in market conditions when and where they occur.

In 2011, these initiatives will continue. In addition, in recent quarters the Company s focus shifted to concentrate on strategic growth and investment in areas where the Company believes it can achieve appropriate returns. Recent strategic growth initiatives include the expansion of the U.S. construction and surety lines, new innovative products, including a product recall policy for the food and beverage industry and a creative multi-year product warranty technology program, and the further move into emerging markets. In December 2010 the Company announced that it had been granted a license to operate as a P&C company in Shanghai, China. This represents a significant step in the implementation of the Company s strategy to strengthen its presence in emerging markets. There will also be significant investment in 2011 to install systems to both achieve greater efficiency and to create a platform from which the Company can grow as markets allow.

Despite some early positive signs in 2009, credit spreads narrowed sharply throughout 2009 and this continued into 2010. The credit markets brought capital back into the market, strengthening balance sheets and putting increased pressure on market pricing which continues today. However, in the U.S. there have been some recent indications of economic improvement which is reflected in some of the Company s larger, more complex institutional clients exposure growth predictions. The market outlook for Europe is mixed, with Germany continuing to perform strongly and further improve while the U.K. and other markets

remain weak. As already mentioned, the Company is seeing growth opportunities in the emerging markets books through the granting of the Chinese license and further opportunities in Latin America.

The following is a summary of the January 2011 rate indications and recent renewal activity for each of the Insurance and Reinsurance segments of the Company:

Insurance

With regard to market conditions, within the Insurance segment s core lines of business, fourth quarter 2010 renewals reflected broadly flat premium rates in aggregate. While this reflects an improvement over the previous three quarters, the improvement is the result of some stronger pricing in excess casualty and a specific international professional program, offset by what continues to be a very competitive marketplace for most lines of business. This is particularly the case in both property and U.S. based professional lines where, rate decreases are in the low to mid single digits.

The Company continues to focus on those lines of business that it believes provide the best return on capital, including the writing of selective new business and remaining committed to the underwriting actions initially taken in 2009. Looking forward in 2011, initial indications are consistent with current market conditions described above. In the International P&C business unit, where nearly 40% of the book renews on January 1st, the Company experienced strong retentions across all product lines, including 86% based on accounts and 90% based on premium, and initial pricing indications are broadly stable.

Reinsurance

As mentioned above, January 1, 2011 renewals saw rate deterioration across most lines of business and the market expectation is that these competitive trading conditions will continue for some time to come in most sectors. Despite this, the segment continues to develop new business opportunities in several areas, including the U.K. motor and marine markets, Bermuda catastrophe business and some select opportunities in the U.S. Looking ahead for the remainder of 2011, the segment will continue its philosophy of disciplined underwriting while seeking opportunities for strategic growth.

Investment Environment

The Company seeks to generate income and book value growth from investment activities through returns on its investment portfolio. The Company s current investment strategy seeks to support the liabilities arising from the operations of the Company, generate investment income and build book value over the longer term. During the year ended December 31, 2010, interest rates declined in the Company s major markets and corporate credit spreads tightened. The Company s results of operations and investment portfolio during the year ended December 31, 2010 were impacted by these trends. Net investment income yields were negatively impacted as a result of lower short-term interest rates, particularly U.S. LIBOR, on floating rate assets, higher allocations to lower yielding government, agency and cash securities, and reduced prevailing yields on reinvestment income. Net realized losses resulted from sales transactions in relation to the Company s portfolio optimization efforts, and other-than-temporary impairment charges relating to the deterioration of future cash flow estimates, particularly in below investment grade non-agency RMBS. The impact of decreasing government rates during the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 was the primary reason for the improvement in the net unrealized position on fixed maturities and short-term investments combined with tightening credit spreads and net realized losses over the course of the year. For further information, see Item 7, Investment Activities below.

Claims Environment

The Company s profitability in any given period is based upon its premium and investment revenues as noted above, less net losses incurred and expenses. Net losses incurred are based upon claims paid and changes to unpaid loss

reserves. Unpaid loss reserves are estimated by the Company and include both reported loss reserves and reserves for losses incurred but not reported, or IBNR. The Company s lower underwriting results for 2010 as compared to 2009 were due to higher net losses incurred from natural catastrophes, which were $294.3 million in 2010 as compared to $52.3 million in 2009. In addition, other large loss events, as well as property losses relating to the Deepwater Horizon oil rig, contributed to the

higher losses in 2010 than during 2009. In contrast, the Company s improved underwriting results for 2009 as compared to 2008 were largely due to minimal large loss activity in 2009 compared to the catastrophe and property risk losses experienced in 2008. For further analysis, see Results of Operations below.

Results of Operations and Key Financial Measures

Results of Operations

The following table presents an analysis of the Company s net income (loss) attributable to ordinary shareholders and other financial measures (described below) for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

(U.S. dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)		**2010**		**2009**		**2008**
Net income (loss) attributable to ordinary shareholders	$	585,472	$	206,607	$	(2,632,458)
Earnings (loss) per ordinary share basic	$	1.74	$	0.61	$	(10.94)
Earnings (loss) per ordinary share diluted	$	1.73	$	0.61	$	(10.94)
Weighted average number of ordinary shares and ordinary share equivalents basic		336,283		340,612		240,657
Weighted average number of ordinary shares and ordinary share equivalents diluted		337,709		340,966		240,657

Key Financial Measures

The following are some of the financial measures management considers important in evaluating the Company s operating performance in the Company s P&C operations:

(U.S. dollars in thousands, except ratios and per share amounts)		**2010**		**2009**		**2008**
Underwriting profit P&C operations	$	262,494	$	327,306	$	303,017
Combined ratio P&C operations		94.8 %		93.6 %		94.9 %
Net investment income P&C operations (1)	$	884,866	$	987,398	$	1,385,982
Book value per ordinary share (2)	$	30.37	$	24.64	$	15.46
Fully diluted book value per ordinary share (3)	$	29.78	$	24.60	$	15.46
Return on average ordinary shareholders equity		6.5 %		3.1 %		NM*

(1) Net investment income relating to P&C operations includes the net investment

income related to the net results from structured products.

(2) Book value per share is a non-GAAP financial measure and is calculated by dividing ordinary shareholders equity by the number of outstanding ordinary shares at any period end. Book value per ordinary share is affected primarily by the Company s net income (loss), by any changes in the net unrealized gains and losses on its investment portfolio, currency translation adjustments and also the impact of any share buyback or issuance activity.

(3) Fully diluted book value per ordinary share, a non-GAAP measure,

represents book value per ordinary share combined with the impact from dilution of share based compensation including in-the-money stock options at any period end. The Company believes that fully diluted book value per ordinary share is a financial measure important to investors and other interested parties who benefit from having a consistent basis for comparison with other companies within the industry. However, this measure may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by companies either outside or inside of the insurance industry.

* NM Not Meaningful

Underwriting profit property and casualty operations

One way that the Company evaluates the performance of its insurance and reinsurance operations is the underwriting profit or loss. The Company does not measure performance based on the amount of gross premiums written. Underwriting profit or loss is calculated from premiums earned less net losses incurred and expenses related to underwriting activities. The Company s underwriting profit in the year ended December 31, 2010 was primarily reflective of the combined ratio discussed below.

Combined ratio property and casualty operations

The combined ratio for P&C operations is used by the Company and many other insurance and reinsurance companies as another measure of underwriting profitability. The combined ratio is calculated from the net losses incurred and underwriting expenses as a ratio of the net premiums earned for the Company s insurance and reinsurance operations. A combined ratio of less than 100% indicates an

underwriting profit and greater than 100% reflects an underwriting loss. The Company s combined ratio for the year ended December 31, 2010 is higher than for the same period in the previous year, primarily as a result of an increase in the loss and loss expense ratio, partially offset by a decrease in the underwriting expense ratio. The loss and loss expense ratio has increased as a result of higher levels of catastrophe losses and other large loss events in both the insurance and reinsurance segments. The decreased underwriting expense ratio reflects the Company s restructuring activities over the last several years.

The Company s combined ratio for the year ended December 31, 2009, is slightly lower than the same period in the previous year, primarily as a result of a decrease in the loss and loss expense ratio, partially offset by an increase in the underwriting expense ratio. The loss and loss expense ratio has declined as a result of lower levels of catastrophe losses in both the insurance and reinsurance segments and lower current year professional lines losses in the insurance segment partially offset by larger prior year reserve releases reported in 2008. The increased underwriting expense ratio has been driven largely by increases in operating expenses against lower net premiums earned. Operating expenses increased mainly as a result of the Company s two restructuring activities already mentioned.

Net investment income property and casualty (P&C) operations

Net investment income related to P&C operations is an important measure that affects the Company s overall profitability. The largest liability of the Company relates to its unpaid loss reserves, and the Company s investment portfolio provides liquidity for claims settlements of these reserves as they become due. As a result, a significant part of the investment portfolio is invested in fixed income securities. Net investment income is influenced by a number of factors, including the amounts and timing of inward and outward cash flows, the level of interest rates and credit spreads and changes in overall asset allocation. Net investment income related to P&C investment portfolio decreased by $102.5 million during 2010 as compared to the same period in the prior year. Overall, portfolio yields have decreased as a result of the impact of declines in U.S. interest rates, and particularly the impact of decreased U.S. LIBOR on the Company s floating rate securities. Should investments yields remain low, overall profitability and cash flow will continue to be negatively impacted.

Net investment income related to property and casualty and corporate operations decreased by $398.6 million during 2009 as compared to same period in the prior year. Overall, portfolio yields have decreased as a result of the impact of declines in U.S. interest rates, and particularly the impact of decreased U.S. LIBOR on the Company s floating rate securities previously supporting the GIC and funding agreement business. In addition, the Company increased its holdings in lower-yielding cash, government and agency RMBS securities in connection with its investment portfolio de-risking efforts as the Company re-aligns its portfolio to one more typical of a P&C investment portfolio and to increase liquidity.

Book value per ordinary share

Management also views the change in the Company s book value per ordinary share as an additional measure of the Company s performance. Book value per share is a non-GAAP financial measure and is calculated by dividing ordinary shareholders equity by the number of outstanding ordinary shares at any period end. Book value per ordinary share is affected primarily by the Company s net income (loss), by any changes in the net unrealized gains and losses on its investment portfolio, currency translation adjustments and also the impact of any share buyback or issuance activity.

Book value per ordinary share increased by $5.73 in the year to December 31, 2010 as compared to an increase of $9.18 during 2009. The increase in 2010 was a result of improved investment portfolio fair values, higher net income and the impact of share buyback activity. During 2010, there was a decrease in net unrealized losses on available for sale investments of $1.1 billion, net of tax, as compared to December 31, 2009. The improved investment portfolio fair values were due in large part to declining interest rates during 2010 but also tightening spreads.

Net income attributable to ordinary shareholders increased by $378.9 million during 2010 compared to the same period in the previous year. This increase was comprised of an increase in net income attributable to XL-Ireland of $568.4 million offset by a decrease of $195.2 million in the gains associated with the two

separate purchases of portions of the Company s Redeemable Series C Preference Ordinary Shares that were completed during the first nine months of each of 2010 and 2009.

During the third quarter of 2007, the Company s Board of Directors approved a share buyback program, authorizing the Company to purchase up to $500.0 million of its ordinary shares. As of January 1, 2010, $375.4 million ordinary shares remained available for purchase under this program. During the third quarter of 2010, the Company purchased and cancelled 13.9 million ordinary shares under this program for $268.6 million. During October 2010, the Company purchased and cancelled 4.9 million ordinary shares for $106.8 million. In combination, these purchases totaled $375.4 million, the full amount remaining under this buyback program.

On November 2, 2010, the Company announced that its Board of Directors approved a new share buyback program, authorizing the Company to purchase up to $1.0 billion of its ordinary shares. During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company purchased and cancelled 6.9 million ordinary shares for $144.0 million under the 2010 buyback program. Between January 1 and February 22, 2011, the Company purchased and cancelled an additional 5.3 million shares for $121.3 million under the 2010 buyback program. As of February 22, 2011, $734.7 million remains available for purchase under this buyback program.

Book value per ordinary share increased by $9.18 in the year ended December 31, 2009. During 2009, there was a decrease in net unrealized losses of $2.2 billion, net of tax. Although there was significant quarter-to-quarter volatility, in aggregate the impact of tightening credit spreads combined with the benefit from declining interest rates resulted in overall increased book value. Book value was further increased by the issuance of 11,461,080 shares issued at $65.00 per share upon the maturity of the purchase contracts associated with the 7.0% Equity Security Units, as such issuance was accretive to book value. In addition, book value per ordinary share increased as a result of net income attributable to ordinary shareholders of $206.6 million which included a $211.8 million gain associated with the purchase of a portion of the Company s Redeemable Series C Preference Ordinary Shares.

Fully diluted book value per ordinary share is a non-GAAP financial measure and represents book value per ordinary share combined with the impact from dilution of share based compensation including in-the-money stock options at any period end. Fully diluted book value per ordinary share increased by $5.18 and increased by $9.14 during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, as a result of the factors noted above.

Return on average ordinary shareholders equity

Return on average ordinary shareholder s equity (ROE) is another non-GAAP financial measure that management considers important in evaluating the Company s operating performance. ROE is calculated by dividing the net income for any period by the average of the opening and closing ordinary shareholders equity. The opening and closing ordinary shareholders equity amounts were determined by subtracting from opening and closing shareholders equity attributable to XL-Ireland, the non-controlling interest in equity of consolidated subsidiaries and the preference ordinary shareholders equity balances. The Company establishes minimum target ROEs for its total operations, segments and lines of business. If the Company s minimum ROE targets over the longer term are not met with respect to any line of business, the Company seeks to modify and/or exit these lines. In addition, among other factors, the Company s compensation of its senior officers is dependent on the achievement of the Company s performance goals to enhance shareholder value as measured by ROE (adjusted for certain items considered to be non-operating in nature).

In 2010, ROE was 6.5%, 3.4 percentage points higher than for the same period in the prior year when it was 3.1%. This was the result of increased net income which was largely offset by significantly higher equity levels during 2010 following the increase in the fair value of the Company s investment portfolio.

In 2009, ROE was 3.1%, significantly higher than for the same period in the prior year when it was negative, mainly as a result of the closing of the Master Agreement in August 2008, see Item 8, Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Syncora Holdings Ltd. Shareholders equity increased over the past twelve months mainly as a result of the

decreases in unrealized losses on investments and favorable foreign exchange translation adjustments during this period.

Significant Items Affecting the Results of Operations

The Company s net income and other financial measures as shown above for the year ended December 31, 2010 have been affected by the following significant items, among other things:

1) impact of different levels of catastrophe and large loss events;

2) continuing competitive factors impacting the underwriting environment;

3) net favorable prior year loss development; and

4) impact of credit market movements in 2010, 2009 and 2008 on the Company s investment portfolio and investment fund affiliates;

1. Impact of different levels of catastrophe and large loss events

Net losses incurred from natural catastrophes were higher in 2010 at $294.3 million as compared to $52.3 million in 2009. Notable natural catastrophes during 2010 included the Chilean Earthquake, European Windstorm Xynthia, U.S. tornadoes and hailstorm activity, the New Zealand Earthquake and floods in Central Europe, China, Poland and Queensland, Australia. In addition, other large loss events as well as property losses relating to the Deepwater Horizon oil rig contributed to the higher risk losses in 2010 than during 2009.

Management s preliminary loss estimate of the total loss exposure in 2010 to the Queensland floods, net of reinsurance and reinstatement premium, was approximately $23.3 million, of which $18.3 million is attributable to the Insurance segment and $5.0 million to the Reinsurance segment. Loss estimates are based on industry loss data and reports from policy holders. The Australian flooding events continued in 2011 so additional losses in the range of $75-95 million are expected in the first quarter of 2011.

Management s preliminary loss estimate of the total property loss exposure to the Deepwater Horizon oil rig, net of reinsurance and reinstatement premium, was approximately $27.4 million, of which $12.5 million is attributable to the Insurance segment and $14.9 million to the Reinsurance segment.

The Company is a major writer of large, complex energy-related (re)insurance coverages and manages its exposure through, among other items, the purchase of reinsurance. The Company continues to assess its potential third party liability exposures arising out of the Deepwater Horizon oil rig explosion in the Gulf. However, given that the facts are still developing, as well as the complexities of the nature of the event, including indemnities from BP p.l.c., other defenses to liability based on contract and common law and coverage issues, it is too early to estimate losses at this time.

Net losses in 2009 decreased by $794.1 million over 2008, primarily due to lower levels of large property risk and catastrophe losses occurring in 2009 as compared to 2008. On September 1, 2008, Hurricane Gustav hit the Louisiana coast of the U.S. as a Category 2 hurricane, causing considerable damage to insured property and loss of life. On September 13, 2008, Hurricane Ike made landfall near Galveston, Texas as a strong Category 2 hurricane, causing significantly more damage and loss of life than Hurricane Gustav. Hurricane Ike was the third costliest hurricane to ever make landfall in the U.S. In 2008, the Company incurred losses, net of reinsurance recoveries and reinstatement premiums, of $22.5 million and $210.0 million related to Hurricanes Gustav and Ike, respectively. In addition to natural peril catastrophes, there was also an increase in large property risk losses globally during 2008 that contributed to higher loss ratios in both the Insurance and Reinsurance segments.

Overall, 2009 experienced a lower number of natural catastrophes as compared to 2008. In 2009, natural catastrophes included Hailstorm Wolfgang, Japanese earthquake, Windstorm Klaus, Typhoon Ketsana, Asian earthquake and tsunami and Australian wildfires.

For further details see the segment results in the Income Statement Analysis below.

2. Continuing competitive factors impacting the underwriting environment

Soft market conditions were experienced across most lines of business throughout 2008, 2009 and 2010, resulting in an overall decrease in gross and net premiums written. For further information in relation to the underwriting environment, including details relating to rates and retention, see Executive Overview Underwriting Environment, above.

3. Net favorable prior year loss development

Net favorable prior year loss development occurs when there is a decrease to loss reserves recorded at the beginning of the year, resulting from actual or reported loss development for prior years that is less than expected loss development. Net prior year adverse loss development occurs when there is an increase to loss reserves recorded at the beginning of the year, resulting from actual or reported loss development for prior years exceeding expected loss development.

The following table presents the net (favorable) adverse prior year loss development of the Company s loss and loss expense reserves for its property and casualty operations which include the Insurance and Reinsurance segments for each of the years indicated:

(U.S. dollars in millions)		**2010**		**2009**		**2008**
Insurance segment	$	(127.4)	$	(62.9)	$	(305.5)
Reinsurance segment		(245.5)		(221.8)		(305.2)
Total	$	(372.9)	$	(284.7)	$	(610.7)

During 2010, net favorable prior year development totaled $372.9 million in the Company s property and casualty operations and included net favorable development in the Insurance and Reinsurance segments of $127.4 million and $245.5 million, respectively. See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Item 8, Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Losses and Loss Expenses, for further information regarding the developments in prior year loss reserve estimates for each of the years indicated within each of the Company s operating segments.

4. Impact of credit market movements in 2010, 2009 and 2008 on the Company s investment portfolio and investment fund affiliates

During the year ended December 31, 2010, interest rates declined in the Company s major markets combined with tightening of corporate and structured credit spreads. The net impact of the market conditions on the Company s investment portfolio for the year resulted in an improvement of $1.1 billion in the net unrealized loss position on available for sale investments as compared to December 31, 2009. This represents approximately a 2.5% appreciation on average assets for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The following table provides further detail regarding the movements in the global credit markets, as well as in government interest rates using some sample market indices:

	Interest Rate Movement for the year ended December 31, 2010 (1) (+ / - represents increases / decreases in interest rates)	**Credit Spread Movement for the year ended December 31, 2010 (2) (- represents tightening of credit spreads)**
United States	-67 basis points (5 year Treasury)	-28 basis points (US Corporate A rated)
	+5 basis points (3 month LIBOR)	+24 basis points (US Agency RMBS, AAA rated)
		-137 basis points (US CMBS, AAA rated)

United Kingdom	-62 basis points (10 year Gilt)	-5 basis points (UK Corporate, AA rated)
Euro-zone	-59 basis points (5 year Bund)	+23 basis points (Europe Corporate, A rated)

(1) Source: Bloomberg Finance L.P.

(2) Source: Merrill Lynch Global Indices.

Net realized losses on investments in the year ended December 31, 2010 totaled $270.8 million, including net realized losses of approximately $205.1 million related to the impairment of certain of the Company s fixed income, equity and other investments, where the Company determined that there was an other-than- temporary decline in the value of those investments. For further analysis of this, see Results of Operations below.

Other Key Focuses of Management

Throughout 2010 and into 2011, the Company remains focused on, among other things, tailoring the Company s business model to focus on core P&C business, optimizing the P&C investment portfolio, and enhancing its enterprise risk management capabilities. The Company continues to focus on those lines of business within its Insurance and Reinsurance segments that provide the best return on capital. The

Company s derisking efforts within the P&C investment portfolio are substantially complete and, as a result of this progress, the Company is focused on optimizing the P&C investment portfolio. Details relating to the enterprise risk management and other initiatives are outlined below.

Capital Management

Fundamental to supporting the Company s business model is its ability to underwrite business, which is largely dependent upon the quality of its claims paying and financial strength ratings as evaluated by independent rating agencies. As a result, in the event that the Company is downgraded, its ability to write business, as well as its financial condition and/or results of operations, could be adversely affected.

During the third quarter of 2007, the Company s Board of Directors approved a share buyback program, authorizing the Company to purchase up to $500.0 million of its ordinary shares. As of January 1, 2010, $375.4 million ordinary shares remained available for purchase under this program. During the third quarter of 2010, the Company purchased and cancelled 13.9 million ordinary shares under this program for $268.6 million. During October 2010, the Company purchased and cancelled 4.9 million ordinary shares for $106.8 million. In combination, these purchases totaled $375.4 million, the full amount remaining under this buyback program.

On November 2, 2010, the Company announced that its Board of Directors approved a new share buyback program, authorizing the Company to purchase up to $1.0 billion of its ordinary shares. During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company purchased and cancelled 6.9 million ordinary shares for $144.0 million under the 2010 buyback program. Between January 1 and February 22, 2011, the Company purchased and cancelled an additional 5.3 million shares for $121.3 million under the 2010 buyback program. As of February 22, 2011, $734.7 million remains available for purchase under this buyback program.

In addition, on February 12, 2010, the Company redeemed approximately 4.4 million Series C Preference Ordinary Shares with a liquidation value of $110.8 million for approximately $94.2 million. As a result, a book value gain to ordinary shareholders of approximately $16.6 million was recorded in the first quarter of 2010.

Risk Management

The Company s risk appetite framework guides its strategies relating to, among other things, capital preservation, earnings volatility, net worth at risk, operational loss, liquidity standards, capital rating and capital structure, with the objective of preserving the Company s capital base. This framework also addresses the Company s tolerance to risks from material individual events (e.g., natural or man-made catastrophes such as terrorism), the Company s investment portfolio, realistic disaster scenarios that cross multiple lines of business and risks related to some or all of the above that may actualize concurrently.

In relation to event risk management, the Company establishes net underwriting limits for individual large events as follows:

1. The Company imposes limits for each peril region/event type at a 1% exceedance probability.

If the Company was to deploy the full limit, for any given peril region/event type, there would be a 1% probability that an event would occur during the next year which would result in a net underwriting loss in excess of the limit.

2. The Company also imposes limits for each natural catastrophe peril region at a 1% tail value at risk (TVaR) probability. This statistic indicates the average amount of net loss expected to be incurred given that a loss above the 1% exceedance probability level has occurred.

3. The Company also imposes

limits for certain other event types at a 0.4% exceedance probability as described in further detail below. If the Company were to deploy the full limit, for any given event type, there would be a 0.4% probability that an event would occur during the next year which would result in a net underwriting loss in excess of the limit.

For planning purposes and to calibrate risk tolerances for business to be written from September 30, 2010 through September 30, 2011, the Company set its underwriting limits as a percent of September 30, 2010 Tangible Shareholders Equity (hereafter, Tangible Shareholders Equity). Tangible Shareholders Equity is defined as Total Shareholders Equity less Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. These limits

may be recalibrated, from time to time, to reflect material changes in Total Shareholders Equity that may occur after September 30, 2010, at the discretion of management and as overseen by the Board.

Per event 1% exceedance probability underwriting limits for Tier 1 event types, which include natural catastrophes, terrorism and other realistic disaster scenarios, are set at a level not to exceed approximately 15% of Tangible Shareholders Equity.

Per event 1% TVaR underwriting limits for certain peak natural catastrophe peril regions approximate 20% of Tangible Shareholders Equity. 1% TVaR underwriting limits for non-peak natural catastrophe peril regions are set below the per event 1% TVaR limits described above.

Per event 1% exceedance probability underwriting limits for Tier 2 event types, which include country risk, longevity risk and pandemic risk, are set at a level not to exceed 7.5% of Tangible Shareholders Equity.

Per event 0.4% exceedance probability underwriting limits for Tier 2 event types are set at a level not to exceed 15% of Tangible Shareholders Equity. The 0.4% exceedance probability limit is used for Tier 2 event types rather than a TVaR measure due to the difficulty in estimating the full distribution of outcomes in the extreme tail of the distribution for these risk types as required for the TVaR measure.

In all instances, the above referenced underwriting limits reflect pre-tax losses net of reinsurance and net of inwards and outwards reinstatement premiums related to the specific events being measured. The limits are not net of underwriting profits expected to be generated in the absence of catastrophic loss activity.

In setting underwriting limits, the Company also considers such factors as:

- Correlation of underwriting risk with other risks (e.g. asset/investment risk, operational risk, etc.);
- Model risk and robustness of data;
- Geographical concentrations;
- Exposures at lower return periods;
- Expected payback period associated with losses;

- Projected share of industry loss; and
- Annual aggregate losses at a 1% exceedance probability and at a 1% TVaR level on both a peril region/risk type basis as well as at the portfolio level.

Loss exposure estimates for all event risks are derived from a combination of commercially available and internally developed models together with the judgment of management, as overseen by the Board. Actual incurred losses may vary materially from the Company s estimates. Factors that can cause a deviation between estimated and actualized loss potential include:

- Inaccurate assumption of event frequency and severity;
- Inaccurate or incomplete data;
- Changing climate conditions may add to the unpredictability of frequency and severity of natural catastrophes in certain parts of the world and create additional uncertainty as to future trends and exposures;
- Future possible increases in property values and the effects of inflation may increase the

severity of catastrophic events to levels above the modeled levels;

Natural catastrophe models incorporate and are critically dependent on meteorological, seismological and other earth science assumptions and related statistical relationships that may not be representative of prevailing conditions and risks, and may therefore misstate how particular events actually materialize, causing a material deviation between forecasted and actual damages associated with such events; and

A change in the judicial climate.

For the above and other reasons, the incidence and severity of catastrophes and other event types are inherently unpredictable and it is difficult to predict the timing of such events with statistical certainty or estimate the amount of loss any given occurrence will generate. As a consequence, there is material

uncertainty around the Company s ability to measure exposures associated with individual events and combinations of events. This uncertainty could cause actual exposures and losses to deviate from those amounts estimated below, which in turn can create a material adverse effect on the Company s financial condition and results of operations and may result in substantial liquidation of investments, possibly at a loss, and outflows of cash as losses are paid.

The table below shows the Company s estimated per event net 1% and 0.4% exceedance probability exposures for certain peak natural catastrophe perils regions. These estimates assume that amounts due from reinsurance and retrocession purchases are 100% collectible. There may be credit or other disputes associated with these potential receivables.

			1-in-100 Event		1-in-250 Event	
Geographical Zone *(U.S. dollars in millions)*	**Peril**	**Measurement Date of In-Force Exposures (1)**	**Probable Maximum Loss (1)**	**Percentage of Tangible Shareholders Equity at December 31, 2010**	**Probable Maximum Loss (2)**	**Percentage of Tangible Shareholders Equity at December 31, 2010**
California	Earthquake	July 1, 2010	$ 549	5.6 %	$ 853	8.7 %
U.S.	Windstorm	July 1, 2010	890	9.1 %	1,210	12.4 %
Europe	Windstorm	July 1, 2010	390	4.0 %	552	5.6 %
Japan	Earthquake	July 1, 2010	219	2.2 %	309	3.2 %
Japan	Windstorm	July 1, 2010	134	1.4 %	226	2.3 %

(1) Detailed analyses of aggregated In-force exposures and maximum loss levels are done periodically. The measurement dates represent the date of the last

completed detailed analysis by geographical zone.

(2) Probable maximum losses include secondary uncertainty which incorporates variability around the expected probable maximum loss for each event, does not represent the Company s maximum potential exposures and are pre-tax.

Regulatory Change

Management continues to actively monitor the various regulatory bodies and initiatives that impact the Company globally and assess the potential for significant impact on results or operations. The European Commission is in the process of implementing changes to the prudential regulation of European insurers, known as Solvency II, with a timeline to achieve full compliance by 2013. Solvency II is designed to impose economic risk-based solvency requirements across all EU Member States. Advice and implementation consists of three pillars: (1) Pillar I quantitative capital requirements, based on a valuation of the entire balance sheet; (2) Pillar II qualitative regulatory review, which includes governance, internal controls, enterprise risk management and supervisory review process, and (3) Pillar III market discipline, which is accomplished through reporting of the insurer s financial condition to regulators and the public. Other jurisdictions such as Bermuda and Canada are in the process of implementing consistent changes to strengthen their capital and risk management requirements in order to be considered equivalent for purposes of group regulatory considerations. The Company has significant resources supporting the regulatory process, such as the Solvency II Quantitative Impact Studies and Bermuda Monetary Authority regulatory data calls, and these resources are also actively engaged in the implementation of Solvency II related measures across the Company.

Strategy Implementation

As a continuing part of the Company s focus on strategy, management has created an Office of Strategic Growth, headed by Greg Hendrick, Executive Vice President, Strategic Growth, that oversees the implementation of the strategic plan, coordinates the implementation work plans and creates planning milestones and metrics for success. Specifically, along with the Leadership Team, this office is focusing on, among other matters, the acquisition of talent

and teams as well as areas in which XL can innovate and capitalize on its underwriting expertise.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The following are considered to be the Company s critical accounting policies and estimates due to the judgments and uncertainties affecting the application of these policies and/or the likelihood that materially

different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. If actual events differ significantly from the underlying assumptions or estimates applied for any or all of the accounting policies (either individually or in the aggregate), there could be a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. These critical accounting policies have been discussed by management with the Audit Committee of the Company s Board of Directors.

Other significant accounting policies are nevertheless important to an understanding of the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements. Policies such as those related to revenue recognition, financial instruments and consolidation require difficult judgments on complex matters that are often subject to multiple sources of authoritative guidance. See Item 8, Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Significant Accounting Policies.

1) Unpaid Loss and Loss Expenses and Unpaid Loss and Loss Expenses Recoverable

As the Company earns premiums for the underwriting risks it assumes, it also establishes an estimate of the expected ultimate losses related to the premium. Loss reserves for unpaid loss and loss expenses are established due to the significant periods of time that may elapse between the occurrence, reporting and settlement of a loss. The process of establishing reserves for unpaid property and casualty claims can be complex and is subject to considerable variability, as it requires the use of informed estimates and judgments. These estimates and judgments are based on numerous factors, and may be revised as additional experience and other data become available and are reviewed, as new or improved methodologies are developed or as current laws change. Loss reserves include:

a) Case reserves reserves for reported losses and loss expenses that have not yet been settled; and

b) IBNR losses reserves for incurred but not reported losses or for reported losses over and above the amount of case

reserves.

Case reserves for the Company s property and casualty operations are established by management based on amounts reported from insureds or ceding companies and consultation with legal counsel, and represent the estimated ultimate cost of events or conditions that have been reported to or specifically identified by the Company. The method of establishing case reserves for reported claims differs among the Company s operations.

With respect to the Company s insurance operations, the Company is notified of insured losses and records a case reserve for the estimated amount of the settlement, if any. The estimate reflects the judgment of claims personnel based on general reserving practices, the experience and knowledge of such personnel regarding the nature of the specific claim and, where appropriate, advice of legal counsel. Reserves are also established to provide for the estimated expense of settling claims, including legal and other fees and the general expenses of administering the claims adjustment process. With respect to the Company s reinsurance operations, case reserves for reported claims are generally established based on reports received from ceding companies. Additional case reserves may be established by the Company to reflect the estimated ultimate cost of a loss. The uncertainty in the reserving process for reinsurers is due, in part, to the time lags inherent in reporting from the original claimant to the primary insurer to the reinsurer. As a predominantly broker market reinsurer for both excess-of-loss and proportional contracts, the Company is subject to a potential additional time lag in the receipt of information as the primary insurer reports to the broker who in turn reports to the Company. As of December 31, 2010, the Company did not have any significant backlog related to its processing of assumed reinsurance information.

Since the Company relies on information regarding paid losses, case reserves and IBNR provided by ceding companies in order to assist it in estimating its liability for unpaid losses and LAE, the Company maintains certain procedures in order to help determine the completeness and accuracy of such information. Periodically, management assesses the reporting activities of its ceding companies on the basis of qualitative and quantitative criteria. In addition to conferring with ceding companies or brokers on claims matters, the Company s claims personnel conduct periodic audits of specific claims and the overall claims procedures of its ceding companies at their offices. The Company relies on its ability to effectively monitor the claims handling and claims reserving practices of ceding companies in order to help establish the proper reinsurance premium for reinsurance agreements and to establish proper loss reserves. Disputes with ceding companies have been rare and generally have been resolved through negotiation.

As noted above, case reserves for the Company s reinsurance operations are generally established based on reports received from ceding companies. Additional case reserves may be established by the Company to reflect the Company s estimated ultimate cost of a loss. In addition to information received from ceding companies on reported claims, the Company also utilizes information on the pattern of ceding company loss reporting and loss settlements from previous catastrophic events in order to estimate the Company s ultimate liability related to catastrophic events such as hurricanes. Commercial catastrophe model analyses and zonal aggregate exposures are utilized to assess potential client loss before and after an event. Initial cedant loss reports are generally obtained shortly after a catastrophic event, with subsequent updates received as new information becomes available. The Company actively requests loss updates from cedants periodically for the first year following an event. The Company s claim settlement processes also incorporate an update to the total loss reserve at the time a claim payment is made to a ceding company.

While the reliance on loss reports from ceding companies may increase the level of uncertainty associated with the estimation of total loss reserves for property reinsurance relative to direct property insurance, there are several factors which serve to reduce the uncertainty in loss reserve estimates for property reinsurance. First, for large natural catastrophe events, aggregate limits in property catastrophe reinsurance contracts are generally fully exhausted by the loss reserve estimates. Second, as a reinsurer, the Company has access to information from a broad cross section of the insurance industry. The Company utilizes such information in order to perform consistency checks on the data provided by ceding companies and is able to identify trends in loss reporting and settlement activity and incorporate such information in its estimate of IBNR reserves. Finally, the Company also supplements the loss information received from cedants with loss estimates developed by market share techniques and/or from third party catastrophe models applied to exposure data supplied by cedants.

IBNR reserves represent management s best estimate, at a given point in time, of the amount in excess of case reserves that is needed for the future settlement and loss adjustment costs associated with claims incurred. It is possible that the ultimate liability may differ materially from these estimates. Because the ultimate amount of unpaid losses and LAE is uncertain, the Company believes that quantitative techniques to estimate these amounts are enhanced by professional and managerial judgment. Management reviews the IBNR estimates produced by the Company s actuaries who determine the best estimate of the liabilities to record in the Company s financial statements. The Company considers this single point estimate to be the mean expected outcome.

IBNR reserves are estimated by the Company s actuaries using several standard actuarial methodologies including the loss ratio method, the loss development or chain ladder method, the Bornhuetter-Ferguson (BF) method and frequency and severity approaches. IBNR related to a specific event may be based on the Company s estimated exposure to an industry loss and may include the use of catastrophe modeling software. On a quarterly basis, IBNR reserves are reviewed by the Company s actuaries, and are adjusted as new information becomes available. Any such adjustments are accounted for as changes in estimates and are reflected in the results of operations in the period in which they are made.

The Company s actuaries utilize one set of assumptions in determining the single point estimate, which includes actual loss data, loss development factors, loss ratios, reported claim frequency and severity. The actuarial reviews and documentation are completed in accordance with professional actuarial standards with reserves established on a basis consistent with U.S. GAAP. The selected assumptions reflect the actuary s judgment based on historical data and experience combined with information concerning current underwriting, economic, judicial, regulatory and other influences on ultimate claim settlements.

When estimating IBNR reserves, each of the Company s insurance and reinsurance business units segregate business into exposure classes (over 150 classes are reviewed in total). Within each class, the business is further segregated by either the year in which the contract incepted (underwriting year), the year in which the claim occurred (accident year), or the year in which the claim is reported (report year). The majority of the Insurance segment is reviewed on an accident year basis. Professional lines insurance business is reviewed on a report year basis due to the claims made

nature of the underlying policies. The majority of the Reinsurance segment is reviewed on an underwriting year basis.

Generally, initial actuarial estimates of IBNR reserves not related to a specific event are based on the loss ratio method applied to each class of business. Actual paid losses and case reserves (reported losses) are subtracted from expected ultimate losses to determine IBNR reserves. The initial expected ultimate

losses involve management judgment and are based on historical information for that class of business; which includes loss ratios, market conditions, changes in pricing and conditions, underwriting changes, changes in claims emergence, and other factors that may influence expected ultimate losses.

Over time, as a greater number of claims are reported, actuarial estimates of IBNR are based on the BF and loss development techniques. The BF method utilizes actual loss data and the expected patterns of loss emergence, combined with an initial expectation of ultimate losses to determine an estimate of ultimate losses. This method may be appropriate when there is limited actual loss data and a relatively less stable pattern of loss emergence. The chain ladder method utilizes actual loss and expected patterns of loss emergence to determine an estimate of ultimate losses that is independent of the initial expectation of ultimate losses. This method may be appropriate when there is a relatively stable pattern of loss emergence and a relatively larger number of reported claims. Multiple estimates of ultimate losses using a variety of actuarial methods are calculated for each of the Company s (150+) classes of business for each year of loss experience. The Company s actuaries look at each class and determine the most appropriate point estimate based on the characteristics of the particular class and other relevant factors, such as historical ultimate loss ratios, the presence of individual large losses, and known occurrences that have not yet resulted in reported losses. Once the Company s actuaries make their determination of the most appropriate point estimate for each class, this information is aggregated and presented to management for review and approval.

The pattern of loss emergence is determined using actuarial analysis, including judgment, and is based on the historical patterns of the recording of paid and reported losses by the Company, as well as industry information. Information that may cause historical patterns to differ from future patterns is considered and reflected in expected patterns as appropriate. For property, marine and aviation insurance, losses are generally reported within 2 to 3 years from the beginning of the accident year. For casualty insurance, loss emergence patterns can vary from 3 years to over 20 years depending on the type of business. For other insurance, loss emergence patterns fall between the property and casualty insurance. For reinsurance business, loss reporting lags the corresponding insurance classes by at least one quarter due to the need for loss information to flow from the ceding companies to the Company generally via reinsurance intermediaries. Such lags in loss reporting are reflected in the actuary s selections of loss reporting patterns used in establishing the Company s reserves.

Such estimates are not precise because, among other things, they are based on predictions of future developments and estimates of future trends in claim severity, claim frequency, and other issues. In the process of estimating IBNR reserves, provisions for economic inflation and changes in the social and legal environment are considered, but involve considerable judgment. When estimating IBNR reserves, more judgment is typically required for lines of business with longer loss emergence patterns.

Due to the low frequency and high severity nature of some of the business underwritten by the Company, the Company s reserve estimates are highly dependent on actuarial and management judgment and are therefore uncertain. In property classes, there can be additional uncertainty in loss estimation related to large catastrophe events. With wind events, such as hurricanes, the damage assessment process may take more than a year. The cost of claims is subject to volatility due to supply shortages for construction materials and labor. In the case of earthquakes, the damage assessment process may take several years as buildings are discovered to have structural weaknesses not initially detected. The uncertainty inherent in IBNR reserve estimates is particularly pronounced for casualty coverages, such as excess liability, professional liability coverages, and workers compensation, where information emerges relatively slowly over time.

The Company s three types of property and casualty reserve exposure with the longest tails are:

(1) high layer excess casualty

insurance;

(2) casualty reinsurance; and

(3) discontinued asbestos and long-tail environmental business.

Certain aspects of the Company s casualty operations complicate the actuarial process for establishing reserves. Certain casualty business written by the Company s insurance operations is high layer excess casualty business, meaning that the Company s liability attaches after large deductibles including self insurance or insurance from sources other than the Company. The Company commenced writing this type of business in 1986 and issued policies in forms that were different from traditional policies used by the

industry at that time. Initially, there was a lack of industry data available for this type of business. Consequently, the basis for establishing loss reserves by the Company for this type of business was largely judgmental and based upon the Company s own reported loss experience which was used as a basis for determining ultimate losses, and therefore IBNR reserves. Over time, the amount of available historical loss experience data has increased. As a result, the Company has obtained a larger statistical base to assist in establishing reserves for these excess casualty insurance claims.

High layer excess casualty insurance claims typically involve claims relating to (i) a shock loss such as an explosion or transportation accident causing severe damage to persons and/or property over a short period of time, (ii) a non-shock loss where a large number of claimants are exposed to injurious conditions over a longer period of time, such as exposure to chemicals or pharmaceuticals or (iii) a professional liability loss such as a medical malpractice claim. In each case, these claims are ultimately settled following extensive negotiations and legal proceedings. This process typically takes 5 to 15 years following the date of loss.

Reinsurance operations by their nature add further complications to the reserving process, particularly for casualty business written, in that there is an inherent lag in the timing and reporting of a loss event from an insured or ceding company to the reinsurer. This reporting lag creates an even longer period of time between the policy inception and when a claim is finally settled. As a result, more judgment is required to establish reserves for ultimate claims in the Company s reinsurance operations.

In the Company s reinsurance operations, case reserves for reported claims are generally established based on reports received from ceding companies. Additional case reserves may be established by the Company to reflect the Company s estimated ultimate cost of a loss.

Casualty reinsurance business involves reserving methods that generally include historical aggregated claim information as reported by ceding companies, combined with the results of claims and underwriting reviews of a sample of the ceding company s claims and underwriting files. Therefore, the Company does not always receive detailed claim information for this line of business.

Discontinued asbestos and long-tail environmental business were contained within certain policies previously written by NAC Re Corp. (now known as XL Reinsurance America Inc.), prior to its acquisition by the Company. As at December 31, 2010, total gross unpaid losses and loss expenses in respect of this business represented less than 1% of unpaid losses and loss expenses.

Except for certain workers compensation liabilities (including long-term disability), the Company does not discount its unpaid losses and loss expenses. The Company utilizes tabular reserving for workers compensation unpaid losses that are considered fixed and determinable. For further discussion see the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Loss and loss expenses are charged to income as they are incurred. These charges include loss and loss expense payments and any changes in case and IBNR reserves. During the loss settlement period, additional facts regarding claims are reported. As these additional facts are reported, it may be necessary to increase or decrease the unpaid losses and loss expense reserves. The actual final liability may be significantly different than prior estimates.

The amount of the Company s net unpaid losses and loss expenses relating to the Company s operating segments at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

(U.S. dollars in millions)	**2010**	**2009**
Insurance	$ 11,240	$ 11,128
Reinsurance	5,642	6,138

Net unpaid loss and loss expense reserves	$	16,882	$	17,266

(U.S. dollars in millions)	Net Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses as at December 31, 2010		
	Case Reserves	IBNR Reserves	Total Reserves
Insurance			
Casualty professional lines	$ 1,280	$ 3,093	$ 4,373
Casualty other lines	1,392	2,454	3,846
Property	414	96	510
Marine, energy, aviation, and satellite	514	447	961
Other specialty lines (1)	375	630	1,005
Other (2)	341	144	485
Structured indemnity		60	60
Total	**$ 4,316**	**$ 6,924**	**$ 11,240**
Reinsurance			
Casualty (3)	$ 1,606	$ 2,122	$ 3,728
Property catastrophe (4)	146	192	338
Other property	322	397	719
Marine, energy, aviation, and satellite	367	30	397
Other (2)	201	202	403
Structured indemnity		57	57
Total	**2,642**	**3,000**	**5,642**
TOTAL	**$ 6,958**	**$ 9,924**	**$ 16,882**

(U.S. dollars in millions)	Net Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses as at December 31, 2009		
	Case Reserves	IBNR Reserves	Total Reserves
Insurance			
Casualty professional lines.	$ 1,443	$ 2,962	$ 4,405
Casualty other lines	1,529	2,329	3,858
Property	340	76	416
Marine, energy, aviation, and satellite	578	492	1,070
Other specialty lines (1)	351	582	933
Other (2)	251	138	389
Structured indemnity		57	57

Total	**$ 4,492**	**$ 6,636**	**$ 11,128**
Reinsurance			
Casualty (3)	$ 1,723	$ 2,321	$ 4,044
Property catastrophe (4)	111	140	251
Other property	396	417	813
Marine, energy, aviation, and satellite	439	35	474
Other (2)	233	262	495
Structured indemnity		61	61
Total	**$ 2,902**	**3,236**	**6,138**
TOTAL	**$ 7,394**	**9,872**	**17,266**

(1) Other specialty lines within the Insurance segment includes: environmental, programs, equine, warranty, specie, middle markets and excess and surplus lines.

(2) Other includes credit and surety, whole account contracts and other lines.

(3) Within the Reinsurance segment, casualty-other and casualty-professional lines of business are shown in the aggregate.

(4) Property catastrophe IBNR includes event specific reserves for losses that the Company s insureds and cedants have

informed the
Company they expect
to incur but have not
yet had reported
known claims.

As noted above, management reviews the IBNR estimates produced by the Company s actuaries who determine the best estimate of the liabilities to record in the Company s financial statements. The Company considers this single point estimate to be the mean expected outcome. Management believes that the actuarial methods utilized adequately provide for loss development.

Management does not build in a provision for uncertainty outside of the estimates prepared by the Company s actuaries.

While the proportion of unpaid losses and loss expenses represented by IBNR is sensitive to a number of factors, the most significant ones have historically been accelerated business growth and changes in business mix. Other factors that have affected the ratio in the past include additions to prior period reserves, catastrophic occurrences, settlement of large claims and changes in claims settlement patterns.

The ratio of IBNR to total reserves has increased in recent years, with the increase attributable to casualty and professional lines of business. This is due to the current size of these businesses relative to the reserves of the mature years now running off. Additionally these reserves have a higher proportion of IBNR to total reserves in recent years due to the increased uncertainty around credit crisis claims and excess casualty claims. Typically, the ratio of IBNR to total reserves is greater for casualty (including professional) lines (which are longer-tail in nature) than for property lines due to the policy forms utilized and timing of loss reporting and settlement.

IBNR reserves are calculated by the Company s actuaries using standard actuarial methodologies as discussed above. Since the year ended December 31, 2003, the Company adopted a methodology that provides a single point reserve estimate separately for each line of business and also a range of possible outcomes across each single point reserve estimate. This is discussed further below.

The following table shows the recorded estimate and the high and low ends of the range of the Company s net unpaid losses and loss expenses for each of the lines of business noted above at December 31, 2010:

(U.S. dollars in millions)	**Net Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses Recorded as at December 31, 2010**	**Range of Net Unpaid Losses & Loss Expenses Estimated as at December 31, 2010 High**	**Range of Net Unpaid Losses & Loss Expenses Estimated as at December 31, 2010 Low**
Insurance			
Casualty professional lines	$ 4,373	$ 4,916	$ 3,858
Casualty other lines.	3,846	4,409	3,319
Property	510	562	459
Marine, energy, aviation, and satellite.	961	1,066	860
Other specialty lines (1)	1,005	1,111	903
Other (2)	485	543	433
Total (3)	$ 11,180	$ 12,294	$ 10,112
Reinsurance			
Casualty (4)	$ 3,728	$ 4,098	$ 3,371
Property catastrophe (5)	338	422	262
Other property	719	818	625

Marine, energy, aviation, and satellite	397	462	335
Other (2)	403	463	347
Total (3)	$ 5,585	$ 6,064	$ 5,122
Structured Indemnity (3)	$ 117		
Total	$ 16,882		

(1) Other specialty lines within the Insurance segment includes: environmental, programs, equine, warranty, and excess and surplus lines.

(2) Other includes credit and surety, whole account contracts and other lines.

(3) The range for the total Insurance and Reinsurance segment reserves is narrower than the sum of the ranges for the lines of business shown in the table due to diversification benefits across the lines of business. In addition, the total for each of the Insurance and Reinsurance segments does not include reserves relating to structured indemnity business as the Company does not develop reserve ranges for this line of business.

(4) Within the Reinsurance segment, casualty-other and casualty-professional lines of business are shown in the aggregate.

(5) Property catastrophe IBNR includes event specific reserves for losses that the Company s insureds and cedants have informed it they expect to incur but have not yet had reported known claims. During 2010, actual development of recorded reserves as of December 31, 2009 was within the estimated reserve range.

There are factors that would cause reserves to increase or decrease within the context of the range provided. The magnitude of any change in ultimate losses would be determined by the magnitude of any changes to the Company s assumptions or combined impact of changes in assumptions. Factors that would

increase reserves include, but are not limited to, increases in claim severity, increases in expected level of reported claims, changes to the regulatory environment which expand the exposure insured by the Company, changes in the litigation environment that increase claim awards, filings or verdicts, unexpected increases in loss inflation, and/or new types of claims being pursued against the Company. Factors that would decrease reserves include, but are not limited to, decreases in claim severity, reductions in the expected level of reported claims, changes to the regulatory environment which contract the exposure insured by the Company, changes in the litigation environment that decrease claim awards, filings or verdicts, and/or unexpected decreases in loss inflation.

The Company s methodology in 2010 for calculating reserve ranges around its single point reserve estimate is consistent with that used in 2009. The Company modeled a statistical distribution of potential reserve outcomes over a one year run-off period for each of the approximately 35 lines of business. In doing so the Company evaluated a number of alternative models, and for each line of business the Company s actuaries selected the distribution parameters deemed to be most appropriate. Factors affecting this decision included an assessment of the model fit, availability and relevance of data and the impact of changes in business mix. The Company used the modeled statistical distribution to calculate an 80% confidence interval for the potential reserve outcomes over this one year run-off period. The high and low end points of the ranges set forth in the above table are such that there is a 10% modeled probability that the reserve will develop higher than the high point and a 10% modeled probability that the reserve will develop lower than the low point.

The development of a reserve range models the uncertainty of the claim environment as well as the limited predictive power of past loss data. These uncertainties and limitations are not specific to the Company. The ranges represent an estimate of the range of possible outcomes over a one year development period. A range of possible outcomes should not be confused with a range of best estimates. The range of best estimates will generally be much narrower than the range of possible outcomes as it will reflect reasonable actuarial best estimates of the expected reserve.

Reserve volatility was analyzed for each line of business (excluding structured indemnity) within both the Reinsurance and Insurance segments using the Company s historical data, supplemented by industry data. These ranges were then aggregated to the lines of business shown above taking into account correlation between lines of business. The practical result of the correlation approach to aggregation is that the ranges by line of business disclosed above are narrower than the sum of the ranges of the individual lines of business. Similarly, the range for the Company s total reserves in the aggregate, is narrower than the sum of the ranges for the lines of business disclosed above.

On an annual basis, the Company reviews the correlation assumptions between its various lines of business. Since 2006, the Company has utilized a simplified approach of assigning ratings of low, medium or high to its correlation assumptions for each line of business pairing based on the judgment of the reserving actuaries. This simplified approach has been utilized due to the limited amount of historical experience within the Company s portfolio as well as limited applicable industry data. However, the Company s actual historical experience and industry data were used to judgmentally select a range of values for the low, medium and high correlations, respectively, of 15%, 30% and 50%. It should be noted that both the Company s own experience and the industry data exhibit negative correlations in reserve developments between certain lines of business. However, as a measure of prudence in evaluating the reserve ranges, the Company has used a minimum of 15% correlation between any two lines of business. The analysis of correlations and the reflection of potential diversification benefits across lines of business represent another area of uncertainty in the development of estimated reserve ranges.

The Company is not aware of any generally accepted model to perform the reserve range analysis described above. However, other models may be employed to develop these ranges.

See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Segments, below for further discussion on prior year development of loss reserves.

Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable

The recognition of unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable requires two key judgments. The first judgment involves the Company s estimation of the amount of gross IBNR to be ceded to reinsurers. Ceded

IBNR is generally developed as part of the Company s loss reserving process and, consequently, its estimation is subject to similar risks and uncertainties as the estimation of gross IBNR (see Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Unpaid losses and loss expenses and unpaid loss and loss expense recoverable). The second judgment involves the Company s estimate of the amount of the reinsurance recoverable balance that the Company will ultimately be unable to recover from related reinsurers due to insolvency, contractual dispute, or for other reasons. Amounts estimated to be uncollectible are reflected in a bad debt provision that reduces the reinsurance recoverable balance. Changes in the bad debt provision are reflected in net income. See Item 8, Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Reinsurance, for further information.

The Company uses a default analysis to estimate uncollectible reinsurance. The primary components of the default analysis are reinsurance recoverable balances by reinsurer, net of collateral, estimated recovery rates and default factors used to determine the portion of a reinsurer s balance deemed uncollectible. The definition of collateral for this purpose requires some judgment and is generally limited to assets held in trust, letters of credit, and liabilities held by the Company with the same legal entity for which the Company believes there is a right of offset. Default factors require considerable judgment and are determined using the current rating, or rating equivalent, of each reinsurer as well as other key considerations and assumptions.

2) Future Policy Benefit Reserves

Future policy benefit reserves relate to the Company s life operations and are estimated using assumptions for investment yields, mortality, expenses and provisions for adverse loss deviation. Uncertainties related to interest rate volatility and mortality experience make it difficult to project and value the ultimate benefit payments.

Most of the Company s future policy benefit reserves relate to annuity portfolio reinsurance contracts under which the Company makes annuity payments throughout the term of the contract for a specified portfolio of policies.

For certain of these contracts, a single premium is paid at inception of the contract by way of a transfer of cash and investments to the Company.

The reserving methodology for these annuity portfolio reinsurance contracts is described in the authoritative guidance issued by the FASB for accounting and reporting by insurance for certain long-duration contracts as well as authoritative guidance over realized gains and losses from the sale of investments. These contracts subject the Company to risks arising from policyholder mortality over a period that extends beyond the periods in which premiums are collected. Liabilities for future policy benefit reserves are established in accordance with the provisions of this guidance.

Claims and expenses for individual policies within these annuity reinsurance contracts are projected over the lifetime of the contract to calculate a net present value of future cash flows. Assumptions for each element of the basis (mortality, expenses and interest) are determined at the issue of the contract and these assumptions are locked-in throughout the term of the contract unless a premium deficiency exists. The assumptions are best estimate assumptions plus provisions for adverse deviations on the key risk elements (i.e., mortality and interest). Provisions for adverse deviation are designed to cover reasonable deviations from the best estimate outcome of the contract. As the experience on the contracts emerges, the assumptions are reviewed. This occurs at least annually and includes both an analysis of experience and review of likely future experience. If such review would produce reserves in excess of those currently held then lock-in assumptions will be revised and a loss recognized. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, there were no adjustments to the locked-in assumptions for these annuity reinsurance contracts.

The future policy benefit reserves for these annuity portfolio reinsurance contracts amounted to $4.3 billion and $4.6 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Company holds the investment assets backing these liabilities. These investments are primarily fixed income securities with maturities that closely match the expected

claims settlement profile. A 0.1% decrease in the investment yield assumption would result in approximately a $34 million increase in the value of future claims related to annuity portfolio reinsurance.

As stated above, the future policy benefit reserves include provisions for adverse deviation in excess of best estimate assumptions consistent with the underlying pricing that amounted to approximately $193 million and $232 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The future policy benefit reserves would only be increased if these provisions for adverse deviation became insufficient in the light of emerging claims experience. The present value of future claims would increase by approximately $19 million if mortality rates were to decrease by 1% in all future years, relative to the reserving assumptions. The Company also provides reinsurance of disability income protection, for an in-force block of business. The future policy benefit reserves for these contracts amounted to approximately $96 million and $94 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Future policy benefit reserves include the lock-in of assumptions at inception with periodic review against experience. The liabilities relate to in-force blocks of business, comprising underlying insurance policies that provide an income if the policyholder becomes sick or disabled. The liabilities are therefore driven mainly by the rates at which policyholders become sick (where sickness is defined by the policy conditions) and by the rates at which these policyholders recover or die. A 1% increase in the incidence rate would increase the value of future claims by approximately $2.0 million, while a 1% decrease in the termination rate would increase the value of future claims by approximately $3.6 million. While no changes to the locked-in assumptions were made in 2009 or in 2008, a review of lapse experience in 2010 led to an increase in the reserve of $2.2 million; further, following a review of claim termination experience in 2007, the reserving assumptions were revised, resulting in a reduction in income of $10.0 million during the year.

The Company also provides reinsurance of term assurance and critical illness policies written in the U.K., Ireland and the U.S. The future policy benefit reserves for these contracts amounted to approximately $220 million and $229 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. $45 million of this reduction resulted from the novation and recapture of a number of mortality and critical illness treaties, which was accentuated by movements of the U.K. sterling and the Euro against the U.S. dollar over 2010 and offset by ageing of the portfolio. Future policy benefit reserves include the lock-in of assumptions at inception with periodic review against experience. The provisions for adverse deviation in these reserves amounted to approximately $20 million at each of December 31, 2010 and 2009.

The liabilities relate to in-force blocks of business and to treaties that were accepting new business until the end of 2009, comprising underlying insurance policies that provide mainly lump sum benefits if the policyholder dies or becomes sick. For term assurance, the liabilities are therefore driven by the rates of mortality and for critical illness coverage, the liabilities are driven predominantly by the rates at which policyholders become sick, where sickness is defined by the treaty conditions (i.e., the morbidity rates). A 1% increase in the mortality rate relative to the reserving assumption would increase the value of future claims by approximately $2.2 million, and a 1% increase in the morbidity rate would increase the value of future claims by approximately $0.9 million.

The term assurance and critical illness treaties have been written using a variety of structures, some of which incur acquisition costs during an initial period. For such treaties, a deferred acquisition cost (DAC) asset has been established and an increase in future lapse rates could impact the recoverability of such costs from future premiums. The recoverability will also be influenced by the impact of lapses on future claims. An increase in the annual lapse rates by 1% could lead to a 5%-10% reduction in future margins available for amortizing the DAC asset.

The Company also provided reinsurance of a block of U.S. based term assurance, which was novated to the Company from an insurance affiliate in December 2002. The future policy benefit reserves for these contracts amounted to approximately $261 million and $259 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Future policy benefit reserves are established in accordance with the provisions of general authoritative guidance on accounting for insurance enterprises, including the lock-in of assumptions at inception with periodic review against experience.

The liabilities relate to in-force blocks of business, which are comprised of underlying insurance policies that provide mainly lump sum benefits if the policyholder dies. The liabilities are therefore driven by the rates of mortality, and a 1% increase in the mortality rate relative to the reserving assumption would increase the value of future claims by approximately $9 million. The liabilities are also affected by lapse experience, and a 1% decrease in lapse rates relative to the reserving assumption would increase the reserve by approximately $1.5 million. No changes to the

locked-in assumptions were made in 2010, 2009 or in

2008. For further information see Item 8, Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Future Policy Benefit Reserves.

3) Other Than Temporary Declines in Investments (OTTI)

The Company s process for identifying declines in the fair value of investments that are other than temporary involves consideration of several factors. These primary factors include (i) an analysis of the liquidity, business prospects and financial condition of the issuer including consideration of credit ratings, (ii) the significance of the decline, (iii) an analysis of the collateral structure and other credit support, as applicable, of the securities in question, and (iv) for debt securities, whether the Company intends to sell such securities. In addition, the authoritative guidance requires that OTTI for certain asset backed and mortgage backed securities are recognized if the fair value of the security is less than its discounted cash flow value and there has been a decrease in the present value of the expected cash flows since the last reporting period. Where the Company s analysis of the above factors results in the Company s conclusion that declines in fair values are other than temporary, the cost of the security is written down to discounted cash flow and a portion of the previously unrealized loss is therefore realized in the period such determination is made.

If the Company intends to sell an impaired debt security, or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the impairment is other-than-temporary and is recognized in earnings in an amount equal to the entire difference between fair value and amortized cost.

There are risks and uncertainties associated with determining whether declines in the fair value of investments are other than temporary. These include subsequent significant changes in general economic conditions as well as specific business conditions affecting particular issuers, the Company s liability profile, subjective assessment of issue-specific factors (seniority of claims, collateral value, etc.), future financial market effects, stability of foreign governments and economies, future rating agency actions and significant disclosure of accounting, fraud or corporate governance issues that may adversely affect certain investments. In addition, significant assumptions and management judgment are involved in determining if the decline is other than temporary. If management determines that a decline in fair value is temporary, then a security s value is not written down at that time. However, there are potential effects upon the Company s future earnings and financial position should management later conclude that some of the current declines in the fair value of the investments are other than temporary declines. See Investment Activities herein for further information on other than temporary declines in the value of investments and unrealized loss on investments.

Key Assumptions used in determination of credit losses related to fixed maturities

The Company reviews, on a quarterly basis, the entirety of its investment portfolio in a gross unrealized loss position to assess whether it believes a credit loss, relative to the current amortized cost of the security, exists. The Company utilizes specific screening criteria to identify securities at risk for a credit loss, and if any of these conditions exists, subject the individual security to a detailed review to determine if a credit loss exists. The screening criteria used by the Company include the absolute degree of impairment of the security as a percentage of amortized cost, the credit rating of the security and the market yield-to-maturity of the security. Any securities that have previously been identified as impaired due to credit losses are at elevated risk of further impairments. In addition, on a quarterly basis, the Company reviews any current market developments and identifies any new issues that may adversely impact the Company s investment portfolio, and reviews any impacted holdings.

Credit loss methodology structured credit

Credit loss on structured credit securities is determined through a comparison of the security s discounted cash flow to the amortized cost of the security. To the extent that the discounted cash flow is estimated to be lower than the amortized cost of the security, the security is impaired to the discounted cash flow value of all security cash flows, including both coupon and principal repayment, discounted at the current accretion rate of the securities.

The Company, in conjunction with its third-party investment management service providers, makes significant assumptions in its impairment analysis with regards to the following specific asset classes. These assumptions are as at December 31, 2010 and are subject to changes in both economic fundamentals and management s estimates in future periods.

(1) Non-Agency RMBS

The Company utilizes assumptions specific to its individual holdings and, accordingly, individual assumptions will differ on a security by security basis depending on the quality of the collateral and the performance of the underlying pools. In general, the Company projects that future defaults will develop based on the performance of the underlying collateral, measured by the number of loans currently in arrears.

Loans > 30 days in arrears	50% will ultimately default
Loans 30-60 days in arrears	60% will ultimately default
Loans 60-90 days in arrears	75% will ultimately default
Bank held	75% default rate
Loans in foreclosure	100% default rate

The Company estimates that the cumulative losses on the mortgage structures it owns will vary depending on the vintage and collateral of the underlying loans in the holdings. Cumulative deal loss expectations are projected based on the number of loans expected to take a loss and the severity of loss upon default. Loan loss severities depend on the borrower, geographic location and loan to value characteristics of the underlying collateral. The Company estimates that loss severities will range from 35-75% for sub prime and Alt-A loans and 20-40% for Prime loans. These cumulative losses results are then compared to the level of subordination within the Company s holdings to measure if impairment exists.

	Vintage			
	2007	**2006**	**2005**	**2004**
Alt-A non option ARM	38 %	25 %	11 %	4 %
Alt-A Option ARM	34 %	33 %	13 %	5 %
Prime	15 %	14 %	8 %	2 %
Subprime	41 %	32 %	16 %	7 %

(2) Core CDOs

The Company utilizes a scenario based approach to reviewing the majority of its CDO portfolio, which consists primarily of collateralized loan obligations. The five significant scenarios utilized in the model consist of:

2 base cases assuming asset defaults are equivalent to either the expected corporate default probabilities, or the cumulative default rates for similar time frames from the

period of 1983 to 2008

Optimistic/pessimistic cases assuming assets have a default rate equivalent to 1 rating notch higher/ lower than their current rating and if on positive/negative watch then 2 notches higher/lower than their current rating

A market implied scenario based on the current asset market price, assuming that lower priced loans have a higher default rate

The weighted scenario of the five scenarios above is used for the determination of a potential impairment. If losses are forecast to be below the subordination level for the tranche held by the Company, the security is determined not to be impaired. The weighting between these scenarios varies over time depending on market conditions, but the weighting used for the year-end 2010 evaluation consisted of 40% to the base cases noted above, 10% to the optimistic case, 15% to the pessimistic case, and 35% to the market implied case. For the non-CLO portion of the core CDO portfolio, the Company utilizes specific default scenarios related to the particular underlying assets.

(3) Other structured credit assets classes

The remainder of the gross unrealized losses related to the Company s structured credit portfolio are concentrated in the following significant asset classes:

Agency RMBS, which represent AAA rated holdings backed by either the explicit or implicit guarantee of the U.S. government. The Company considers the risk of loss in these asset classes remote and linked to the overall credit-worthiness of the U.S. government.

CMBS, which are dominated by AAA rated holdings which generally have high levels of credit subordination, are highly diversified and priced reasonably close to par. The Company reviews these holdings on an individual security basis to the extent they meet the screens noted above, but generally does not believe these securities to have a high risk of credit loss given their high subordination levels.

Other ABS, which is a mix of mostly

investment grade credit card, auto and non-U.S. ABS structures that have risk and performance characteristics unrelated to the U.S. housing market. In cases where these sectors have met Company screens, the individual securities are evaluated based on fundamental credit analysis of the underlying structure.

Credit loss analysis corporates

Credit losses on corporate securities are determined on an individual security basis. The Company reviews the circumstances and conditions associated with its credit issuers, including considering credit rating and forecast operating and financing activities of the issuer, and will make a determination as to whether it believes the issuer is likely to fully meet its contractual principal and interest obligations. To the extent the Company does not believe the issuers will meet these obligations, it recognizes a credit loss as the difference between amortized cost and the estimated present value of cash flows expected to be received. The Company reviews the ability to pay at the lowest tier (i.e., most subordinated) of the capital structure at which it holds securities, and to the extent it is satisfied in the performance of the lower tier, concludes that any more senior tiers are also likely to meet obligations.

The Company evaluates the credit losses associated with its medium term notes, which generally represent notes backed primarily by investment grade European credit. The Company evaluates the cash flows expected from the notes over their remaining expected life, including an evaluation of the likelihood of current holdings to meet their principal and interest obligations, and incorporates current reinvestment assumptions on any security maturities or reinvestment of cash flows. These cash flows are discounted at the original yield, adjusted for changes in interest rates for floating rate securities expected from these securities, and to the extent the discounted cash flow value is below the amortized cost, recognizes an impairment charge.

4) Income Taxes

The Company utilizes the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effect of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The Company had capitalized net operating tax losses of $282.2 million and $314.0 million against which a valuation allowance of $240.0 million and $220.7 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, was established. The Company had capitalized realized losses of approximately $261.0 million and $262.4 million against which a valuation allowance of approximately $261.0 million and $262.4 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, was established. Included within the capitalized realized losses are $142.3 million and $168.7 million of losses arising from the sale of investments to a group company, against which a valuation allowance of $142.3 million and $168.7 million, was established. The deferral of

benefits from tax losses is evaluated based upon management s estimates of the future profitability of the Company s taxable entities based on current forecasts, the character of income and the period for which losses may be carried forward. A valuation allowance may have to be established for any portion of a deferred tax asset that management believes will not be realized. Should the future income of these entities fall below expectations, a further valuation allowance would have to be established, which could be significant. In addition, if any further losses are generated by these entities, these losses may not be tax affected.

For further information see Other Revenues and Expenses and Item 8, Note 24 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Taxation.

5) Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The Company has recorded goodwill in connection with various acquisitions in prior years. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired. In accordance with FASB issued final authoritative guidance on goodwill and other intangible assets, the Company tests goodwill for potential impairment annually as of June 30 and between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that may indicate that potential exists for the fair value of a reporting unit to be reduced to a level below its carrying amount. The Company tests for impairment at the reporting unit level in accordance with the authoritative guidance on intangibles and goodwill. For the reinsurance segment, a reporting unit is one level below the business segment, while for insurance, the segment is also the reporting unit. The first step is to identify potential impairment by comparing the estimated fair value of a reporting unit to the estimated book value, including goodwill. The fair value of each reporting unit is derived based upon valuation techniques and assumptions the Company believes market participants would use to value the business and this is then compared to the book value of the business. The Company derives the net book value of its reporting units by estimating the amount of shareholders equity required to support the activities of each reporting unit. The estimated fair values of the reporting units are generally determined utilizing methodologies that incorporate price-to-net-tangible-book and price-to-earnings multiples of certain comparable companies, from an operational and economic standpoint. If such estimated fair value, combined with an estimate of an appropriate control premium, indicates a close call or potential impairment, further analysis using discounted cash flows is performed. A control premium represents the value an investor would pay above minority interest transaction prices in order to obtain a controlling interest in the respective company. If the estimated fair value exceeds the book value, goodwill at the reporting unit level is not deemed to be impaired. If the book value exceeds the estimated fair value, the second step of the process is performed to measure the amount of impairment.

For further detailed information, see Item 8, Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.

6) Reinsurance Premium Estimates

The Company writes business on both an excess of loss and proportional basis. In the case of excess of loss contracts, the subject written premium is generally outlined within the treaty and the Company receives a minimum and/or deposit premium on a quarterly basis which is normally followed by an adjustment premium based on the ultimate subject premium for the contract. The Company estimates the premium written on the basis of the expected subject premium and regularly reviews this against actual quarterly statements to revise the estimate based on the information provided by the cedant. An estimate of premium is recorded at the inception of the contract.

On proportional contracts, written premiums are estimated to expected ultimate premiums based on information provided by the ceding companies. The ceding company s premium estimate may be adjusted based on its history of providing accurate premium estimates. When the actual premium is reported by the ceding company, normally on a quarterly basis, it may be materially higher or lower than the estimate. Adjustments arising from the reporting of actual premium by the ceding companies are recorded at the earliest point in time that the supporting information indicates an adjustment is appropriate.

Written premiums on excess of loss contracts are earned in accordance with the loss occurring period defined within the treaty, normally 12 months following inception of the contract. Written premiums on proportional contracts are earned over the risk periods of the underlying policies issued and renewed, normally 24 months. For both excess of loss and proportional contracts, the earned premium is recognized ratably over the earning period, namely 12-24 months. The portion of the premium related to the unexpired portion of the policy at the end of any reporting period is reflected in unearned premiums.

Reinstatement premiums are recognized at the time a loss event occurs where coverage limits for the remaining life of the contract are reinstated under pre-defined contract terms and are fully earned when recognized. Recognition of

reinstatement premiums is based on the Company s estimate of loss and loss adjustment expense reserves, which involves management judgment.

Reinsurance business by its nature can add further complications since, generally, the ultimate premium due under a specific contract will not be known at the time the contract is entered into. As a result, more judgment and ongoing monitoring is required to establish premiums written and earned in the Company s reinsurance operations.

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the amount of premiums receivable related to the Company s reinsurance operations amounted to $1.2 billion and $1.8 billion, respectively.

A significant portion of amounts included as premiums receivable, which represent estimated premiums written, net of commissions, are not currently due based on the terms of the underlying contracts. Management reviews the premiums receivable balance at least quarterly and provides a provision for amounts deemed to be uncollectible. The Company recorded a provision for uncollectible premiums receivable related to its reinsurance operations at December 31, 2010 and 2009 of $4.4 million and $4.8 million, respectively.

The amount of proportional and excess of loss reinsurance gross premiums written and gross acquisition expenses recognized by the Company s reinsurance operations for each line of business for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was as follows:

	December 31, 2010		**December 31, 2009**		**December 31, 2008**	
(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**Gross Premiums Written**	**Gross Acquisition Expenses**	**Gross Premiums Written**	**Gross Acquisition Expenses**	**Gross Premiums Written**	**Gross Acquisition Expenses**
Proportional Contracts:						
Casualty other lines	$ 38,671	$ 9,945	$ 44,924	$ 12,075	$ 47,791	$ 16,777
Casualty professional lines	51,922	14,604	41,195	12,652	62,268	20,772
Other property	651,733	168,981	703,219	164,094	745,244	148,066
Marine, energy, aviation and satellite	49,105	14,106	34,636	8,116	32,935	14,101
Other (1)	86,303	22,745	144,116	40,628	197,418	25,339
Total Proportional contracts	$ 877,734	$ 230,381	$ 968,090	$ 237,565	$ 1,085,656	$ 225,055

	December 31, 2010		**December 31, 2009**		**December 31, 2008**	
(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**Gross Premiums Written**	**Gross Acquisition Expenses**	**Gross Premiums Written**	**Gross Acquisition Expenses**	**Gross Premiums Written**	**Gross Acquisition Expenses**
Excess of loss Contracts:						

Property catastrophe	$	370,266	$	37,720	$	357,267	$	33,074	$	401,740	$	30,688
Casualty other lines		190,864		30,764		173,853		34,383		308,932		50,565
Casualty professional lines		166,379		30,969		129,733		26,241		151,251		29,696
Other property		150,762		16,363		159,091		12,892		202,655		18,096
Marine, energy, aviation and satellite		68,333		6,066		54,463		5,429		86,658		9,191
Other (1)		17,655		6,361		11,978		2,642		22,914		8,792
Structured Indemnity		957		2,380		4,948		2,566		671		2,699
Total Excess of loss contracts	$	965,216	$	130,623	$	891,333	$	117,227	$	1,174,821	$	149,727

(1) Other includes credit and surety, whole account contracts and other lines.

Segments

Following a streamlining of the Company s operating segments in the first quarter of 2009, the Company is organized into three operating segments: Insurance, Reinsurance and Life operations. The Company s general investment and financing operations are reflected in Corporate.

The Company evaluates the performance of both the Insurance and Reinsurance segments based on underwriting profit and the performance of the Life Operations segment based on its contribution to net income. Other items of revenue and expenditure of the Company are not evaluated at the segment level for reporting purposes. In addition, the Company does not allocate investment assets by segment for its P&C operations. Investment assets related to the Company s Life Operations and certain structured products included in the Insurance and Reinsurance segments and in Corporate are held in separately identified portfolios. As such, net investment income from these assets is included in the contribution from each of these segments. See Item 8, Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Segment Information, for a reconciliation of segment data to the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Income Statement Analysis

Insurance

The following table summarizes the underwriting profit (loss) for the Insurance segment:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)		**2010**	**% Change 2010 vs 2009**		**2009**	**% Change 2009 vs 2008**		**2008**
Gross premiums written	$	4,418,380	3.9 %	$	4,251,888	(19.9)%	$	5,308,914
Net premiums written		3,461,150	5.7 %		3,273,380	(17.9)%		3,984,826
Net premiums earned		3,529,138	(0.9)%		3,559,793	(10.9)%		3,997,045
Net losses and loss expenses		(2,505,502)	4.4 %		(2,399,747)	(12.2)%		(2,733,344)
Acquisition costs		(418,146)	(2.6)%		(429,170)	(7.7)%		(465,044)
Operating expenses		(642,103)	(6.8)%		(689,131)	0.3 %		(687,129)
Underwriting profit (loss)	$	(36,613)	NM *	$	41,745	(62.6)%	$	111,528
Net results structured products	$	14,696	(11.8)%	$	16,660	NM *	$	(14,713)
		(15,564)	9.3 %		(14,241)	NM *		(5,072)

Net fee income and other

* NM Not Meaningful

Gross and net premiums written increased by 3.9% and 5.7%, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period of 2009. Gross premiums written increased by 4.2 % when evaluated in the local currency. A large proportion of the gross and net premiums written increase related to a multi-year agreement with gross written premium of $126.5 million written in primary casualty during the fourth quarter of 2010. Excluding this multi-year program, across most lines of business, premium levels were flat, having been maintained despite continued challenging market conditions and strong competition, which continue to negatively impact new business and pricing. In addition, there have been improved retention rates across most lines of business as a result of the Company s stronger financial condition and market position since the end of 2009. New business growth in upper middle market, marine and offshore energy, active programs, U.S. general aviation, and select professional businesses has been offset by decreases in North America environmental and excess and surplus lines, the run-off of a large U.S. automobile warranty program, the termination of a specialty lines aviation program in 2009 and decreases in U.S.-based professional lines due to continued market pressures and pricing. The increase in net premiums written was due to a reduction in ceded written premiums partially offset by the increase in gross premiums written noted above. The decrease in ceded written premiums is largely related to specialty lines due to cost savings from a restructuring of the marine and specie global, and property excess of loss reinsurance treaties, as well as certain adjustments to premium estimates in aviation and property which gave rise to a positive variance over 2009.

Gross and net premiums written decreased by 19.9% and 17.9%, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. The decrease in gross premiums was seen across virtually all lines of business due to a combination of factors including, strategic decisions to exit specific lines of business, poor market and economic conditions, impacts of the S&P downgrade in December 2008 which directly affected retention early in the year and new business opportunities, decreases

in insured values, fewer LTAs which are being renewed on a single year basis and unfavorable foreign exchange rate impacts. Offsetting the overall decrease was growth in professional lines and new business from the Company s middle market strategy. The renewal pricing steadily improved throughout 2009 over most lines of business, with an overall modest increase of 1% across the entire book. Retention rates largely returned to historic levels. The decrease in net premiums written was due to the decrease in gross premiums written noted above partially offset by a reduction in ceded premiums as compared to the same period in 2008. The relative decrease in ceded premiums was driven by a shift from proportional to excess of loss treaties partially offset with increased rates in property and environmental lines.

Net premiums earned decreased by 0.9% in 2010 as compared to 2009 and by 10.9% in 2009 as compared to 2008. These decreases were primarily a reflection of the overall reduction of net premiums written over the last 12 to 24 months.

The following table presents the ratios for the Insurance segment for the last three years ended December 31:

	2010	**2009**	**2008**
Loss and loss expense ratio	71.0 %	67.4 %	68.4 %
Underwriting expense ratio	30.0 %	31.4 %	28.8 %
Combined ratio	101.0 %	98.8 %	97.2 %

The loss and loss expense ratio noted above includes net losses incurred for both the reported year and any favorable or adverse prior year development of loss and loss expense reserves held at the beginning of the year.

The following table summarizes the net (favorable) adverse prior year development by line of business relating to the Insurance segment for the last three years ended December 31:

(U.S. dollars in millions)	**2010**	**2009**	**2008**
Property	$ (23.5)	$ (50.7)	$ (106.0)
Casualty and Professional	(105.2)	(41.0)	(214.1)
Specialty and Other	2.1	28.8	9.6
Structured Indemnity	(0.8)		5.0
Total	$ (127.4)	$ (62.9)	$ (305.5)
Loss and loss expense ratio excluding prior year development	74.6 %	69.2 %	76.0 %

In addition, the following tables present the prior year (favorable) adverse development of the Company s gross and net loss and loss expense reserves within the Insurance segment for the last three years ended December 31:

Gross:

(U.S. dollars in millions)	**2010**	**2009**	**2008**
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves at the beginning of the year	$ 14,157	$ 14,373	$ 14,856
Net (favorable) adverse development of those reserves during the year	(31)	(45)	(610)
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves re-estimated one year later	$ 14,126	$ 14,328	$ 14,246

Net:

(U.S. dollars in millions)	**2010**	**2009**	**2008**
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves at the beginning of the year	$ 11,129	$ 11,126	$ 11,138
Net (favorable) adverse development of those reserves during the year	(127)	(63)	(305)
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves re-estimated one year later	$ 11,002	$ 11,063	$ 10,833

Excluding prior year development, the loss ratio for the year ended December 31, 2010 increased by 5.4 loss percentage points as compared to the same period in 2009 due primarily to higher levels of natural catastrophe losses occurring in 2010. Excluding favorable prior year development, natural catastrophe losses and reinstatement premiums in both periods, the loss ratio increased by 1.9 points year over year largely

due to several individual large loss events in property and excess casualty, adverse experience in exited lines of business and the impact of flat to slightly negative rate changes partially offset by changes in business mix and improved loss experience in aerospace.

Excluding prior year development, the loss ratio for the year ended December 31, 2009 decreased by 6.8 loss percentage points as compared to the same period in 2008 due primarily to lower levels of large property risk and catastrophe losses occurring in 2009 combined with the impact of anticipated subprime and credit related losses in 2008. These decreases were partially offset by increased current year loss ratios in certain casualty lines including U.S. risk management. The remainder of the benefit was attributable to better loss experience on the excess and surplus lines of business as compared to 2008.

For further information on the net favorable prior year reserve development of $127.4 million and $62.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 see Item 8, Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Losses and Loss Expenses.

The decrease in the underwriting expense ratio in the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 was due to a decrease in the operating expense ratio of 1.1 points (18.2% as compared to 19.3%), and a decrease in the acquisition expense ratio of 0.3 points (11.8% as compared to 12.1%). The decrease in the operating expense ratio was as a result of costs savings associated with the Company s expense reduction initiatives announced in the third quarter of 2008 and first quarter of 2009, including changes to the Company s previously communicated operational transformation program. The decrease in the acquisition expense ratio is attributable to changes in business mix partially offset by the impact of higher commission rates in certain professional, casualty and middle market lines.

The increase in the underwriting expense ratio in the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the same period in 2008, was due to an increase in the acquisition expense ratio of 0.5 points (12.1% as compared to 11.6%) combined with an increase in the operating expense ratio of 2.1 points (19.3% as compared to 17.2%). The increase in the acquisition expense ratio was primarily as a result of changes in the mix of business given the decreases in property and casualty lines which carry the lowest levels of acquisition cost. The increase in the operating expense ratio is attributable to the lower level of earned premium combined with the costs associated with the Company s expense reduction initiatives announced in the third quarter of 2008 and first quarter of 2009 including changes to the Company s previously communicated operational transformation program and the previously announced internal business realignment within the Insurance segment.

Net results from structured insurance products include certain structured indemnity contracts that are accounted for as deposit contracts. Net results from these contracts the year ended December 31, 2010 have decreased compared to the same period in 2009. The modest decrease reflects the fact that this line of business is in run off.

Net results from structured insurance products for the year ended December 31, 2009 increased compared to the same period in 2008 mainly due to higher interest expense associated with an accretion rate adjustment based on changes in expected cash flows on one of the larger deposit accounted transactions recorded in 2008, lower operating expenses of this run-off line of business in 2009 and favorable development in the liability interest rate hedges in place, partially offset by lower net investment income as a result of lower yields combined with a lower average investment asset base.

Fee income and other decreased in the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 mainly as a result of lower engineering fee income associated with the Company s loss prevention consulting services business coupled with other expenses in professional lines related to the cost of an endorsement facility with National Indemnity Company, under which National Indemnity Company issued endorsements to certain Side A directors and officers liability insurance policies underwritten by XL Specialty Insurance Company. For further information, see Item 8, Note 10, to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Other Investments. During the first quarter of 2010,

management concluded that it did not require the $100 million extension to this endorsement facility and did not purchase the related payment obligation.

Fee income and other decreased in 2009 as compared to 2008 mainly as a result of lower engineering fee income associated with the Company s loss prevention consulting services business coupled with other expenses in professional lines due to the cost of the National Indemnity facility.

Reinsurance

The following table summarizes the underwriting profit (loss) for this segment:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**2010**	**% Change 2010 vs 2009**	**2009**	**% Change 2009 vs 2008**	**2008**
Gross premiums written	$ 1,842,951	(0.9)%	$ 1,859,423	(17.7)%	$ 2,260,477
Net premiums written	1,538,438	4.6 %	1,470,332	(16.1)%	1,753,467
Net premiums earned	1,501,999	(5.7)%	1,591,946	(20.1)%	1,993,206
Net losses and loss expenses	(706,298)	(8.2)%	(769,090)	(37.4)%	(1,229,554)
Acquisition costs	(321,008)	(7.4)%	(346,699)	(9.5)%	(383,136)
Operating expenses	(175,586)	(7.9)%	(190,596)	0.8 %	(189,027)
Underwriting profit	$ 299,107	4.7 %	$ 285,561	49.1 %	$ 191,489
Net results structured products	3,075	NM *	26,374	2.6 %	25,694
Fee income and other	2,488	NM *	6,209	(32.9)%	9,260

* NM Not meaningful

Gross premiums written decreased by 0.9% while net premiums written increased by 4.6% during the year ended December 31, 2010 compared with the same period of 2009. Gross premiums written decreased by 2.2% when evaluated in the local currency. The gross premium written decrease was mainly from the North America property business where there have been lower premiums on a U.S. agricultural program due to a fall in commodity prices. In addition, the exit of certain casualty facultative markets, non renewed business, cancellations and certain reductions in price and capacity also contributed to the marginal reduction in gross premiums written. Offsetting the reduction was premium growth from the recapture of business lost during 2009 following ratings actions as well as new business in Europe, Bermuda and Asia and loss related premium adjustments in Europe. The increase in net premiums written was mainly due to the reduction in ceded written premiums as a result of a reduction in volume associated with the U.S. agricultural program already mentioned above, of which a significant portion was retroceded.

Gross and net premiums written decreased by 17.7% and 16.1%, respectively, in the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. The decrease in gross premiums written was mainly a result of the Company s

focus on short-tail lines, certain lost renewals and reduced business as a result of the S&P ratings downgrade in December 2008, strategic decisions to exit certain lines of business and unfavorable foreign exchange rate movements. Partially offsetting these decreases were rate increases in certain lines of business including property catastrophe, marine and aviation lines. The decrease in net premiums written was due to the decrease in gross premiums written noted above partially offset by a reduction in ceded premiums as compared to the same period in 2008. This decrease was mainly as a result of the cancellation and non-renewal of Cyrus Re II at December 31, 2008 and a reduction in the ceded premium on the U.S. agricultural program. Cyrus Re II previously assumed a 10% cession of certain lines of property catastrophe reinsurance and retrocession business underwritten by certain operating subsidiaries of the Company.

Net premiums earned decreased by 5.7% in 2010 as compared to 2009 and by 20.1% in 2009 as compared to 2008. These decreases were primarily a reflection of the overall reduction of net premiums written over the last three years.

The following table presents the ratios for the Reinsurance segment for the last three years ended December 31:

	2010	**2009**	**2008**
Loss and loss expense ratio	47.0 %	48.3 %	61.7 %
Underwriting expense ratio	33.1 %	33.8 %	28.7 %
Combined ratio	80.1 %	82.1 %	90.4 %

The loss and loss expense ratio includes net losses incurred in the reported year and any favorable or adverse prior year development of loss reserves held at the beginning of the year.

The following table summarizes the net (favorable) adverse prior year development by line of business relating to the Reinsurance segment for the last three years ended December 31:

(U.S. dollars in millions)		**2010**		**2009**		**2008**
Property and other short-tail lines	$	(145.8)	$	(142.5)	$	(138.4)
Casualty and other		(99.7)		(80.3)		(166.8)
Structured Indemnity				1.0		
Total	$	(245.5)	$	(221.8)	$	(305.2)
Loss and loss expense ratio excluding prior year development		63.4 %		62.2 %		77.0 %

In addition, the following tables present the prior year (favorable) adverse development of the Company s gross and net loss and loss expense reserves within the Reinsurance segment for the last three years ended December 31:

Gross:

(U.S. dollars in millions)		**2010**		**2009**		**2008**
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves at the beginning of the year	$	6,667	$	7,278	$	8,001
Net (favorable) adverse development of those reserves during the year		(284)		(257)		(444)
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves re-estimated one year later	$	6,383	$	7,021	$	7,557

Net:

(U.S. dollars in millions)		**2010**		**2009**		**2008**
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves at the beginning of the year	$	6,138	$	6,559	$	7,053
Net (favorable) adverse development of those reserves during the year		(245)		(222)		(305)
Unpaid losses and loss expense reserves re-estimated one year later	$	5,893	$	6,337	$	6,748

Excluding prior year development, the loss ratio for the year ended December 31, 2010 increased by 1.2 loss percentage points as compared with the same period of 2009 attributable primarily to the impact of natural catastrophe losses and large loss events in 2010 compared to 2009. Excluding favorable prior year development, natural catastrophe losses and associated reinstatement premiums in both years ending December 31, the loss ratio decreased

by 6.7 percentage points from 2009 to 2010. This improvement relates to changes in business mix as well as a lower level of loss activity in 2010 relative to 2009 in several lines including property, discontinued financial lines, and the professional and trade credit business related to the credit crisis.

Excluding prior year development, the loss ratio for the year ended December 31, 2009 decreased by 14.8 loss percentage points as compared to an increase in the same period in 2008 with 11.3 loss ratio points attributable primarily to the impact of catastrophe losses occurring in 2008 compared to 2009. Losses related to 2009 catastrophes included Hailstorm Wolfgang, Japan Earthquake, Windstorm Klaus, Typhoon Ketsana, Asian Earthquake/Tsunami and Australian Wildfires. 2008 catastrophes included losses related to Hurricanes Ike & Gustav, Midwest Floods, Windstorm Emma, China Snowstorm, Australian Floods, Greece Earthquake, China Earthquakes and Hailstorm Detmold.

For further information on the net favorable prior year reserve development of $245.5 million and $221.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 see Item 8, Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Losses and Loss Expenses.

The decrease in the underwriting expense ratio for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 was due to a decrease in the acquisition expense ratio of 0.4 points (21.4% as compared to 21.8% in 2009), and by a decrease in the operating expense ratio of 0.3 points (11.7% as compared to 12.0% in 2009). The decrease in the acquisition expense ratio was a result of reduced net earned premiums in relation to the credit and bond book of business in Europe following a decision to exit these lines in 2010, which carries very high acquisition costs combined with reinstatement premium adjustments. The decrease in the operating expense ratio was as a result of costs savings associated with the Company s expense reduction initiatives announced in the third quarter of 2008 and first quarter of 2009, including changes to the Company s previously communicated operational transformation program.

The increase in the underwriting expense ratio in the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to 2008, was due to an increase in both operating expense and acquisition expense ratios to 12.0% and 21.8%, respectively, as compared with 9.5% and 19.2%, in 2008. Despite the marginal increase in operating expenses, there was a disproportionately larger increase in the operating expense ratio due to a greater percentage decrease in net premiums earned. The increase in the acquisition expense ratio relates to changes in the mix of business, increased commissions associated with the U.S. agricultural program as well as increased profit related commissions associated with certain Bermuda-based property catastrophe business.

Net results from structured reinsurance products include certain structured indemnity contracts that are accounted for as deposit contracts. Net results from these products for the year ended December 31, 2010 decreased compared to the same period in 2009. This decrease was mainly due to higher interest expense associated with an accretion rate adjustment based on changes in expected cash flows on some of the larger deposit accounted transactions combined with lower net investment income as a result of lower yields and a smaller investment base which is reflective of the run off nature of this line of business.

Net results from structured reinsurance products for the year ended December 31, 2009 increased slightly compared to the same period in 2008 mainly due to lower operating expenses of this runoff line of business and continued favorable results from the liability interest rate hedges in place offset by lower net investment income as a result of lower yields and a smaller investment base.

Fee income and other decreased by $3.7 million in 2010 as compared 2009, which included the sale of underwriting year 2009 renewal rights for the European life, accident and health business.

Fee income and other decreased by $3.1 million during 2009 as compared to 2008 mainly as a result of fees associated with capacity utilization with certain Lloyd s syndicates in 2008 that were not repeated in 2009.

Life Operations

During 2009, the Company completed a strategic review of its life reinsurance business. In relation to this initiative, the Company sold the renewal rights to its Continental European short-term life, accident and health business in December 2008. The Company also announced in March 2009 that it would run-off its existing book of U.K. and Irish traditional life and annuity business, and not accept new business. In addition, during July 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to sell its U.S. life reinsurance business. The transaction closed during the fourth quarter of 2009. In December 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to novate and recapture a number of U.K. and Irish term assurance and critical illness treaties. The transaction closed during the fourth quarter of 2009. During the first quarter of 2010, the Company entered into an agreement to recapture three U.K. and Irish term assurance treaties, and this transaction closed during March 2010. An agreement to recapture future premiums and liabilities on two retro pool treaties was consummated in November 2010.

Prior to the decision being made to run-off the business, products offered included a broad range of underlying lines of life reinsurance business, including term assurances, group life, critical illness cover, immediate annuities and disability income. In addition, prior to selling the renewal rights, the products offered included short-term life, accident and health business. The segment also covers a range of geographic markets, with an emphasis on the U.K., U.S., Ireland and Continental Europe.

The following table summarizes the contribution from the Life Operations segment:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**2010**	**% Change 2010 vs 2009**	**2009**	**% Change 2009 vs 2008**	**2008**
Gross premiums written	$ 411,938	(28.5)%	$ 576,162	(16.6)%	$ 690,915
Net premiums written	382,075	(28.3)%	532,852	(18.0)%	649,844
Net premiums earned	382,924	(31.0)%	555,101	(14.6)%	649,851
Claims and policy benefits	(513,833)	(24.2)%	(677,562)	(11.9)%	(769,004)
Acquisition costs	(49,104)	(36.8)%	(77,689)	(19.3)%	(96,280)
Operating expenses	(10,470)	(34.6)%	(16,009)	(51.7)%	(33,178)
Net investment income	313,172	(5.8)%	332,425	(13.2)%	382,995
Net fee income and other	249	(14.1)%	290	(17.1)%	350
Realized and unrealized (losses) on investments	(54,444)	(76.6)%	(232,375)	NM *	(40,128)
Contribution from Life Operations	$ 68,494	NM *	$ (115,819)	NM *	$ 94,606

* NM Not Meaningful

The following table is an analysis of the Life Operations gross premiums written, net premiums written and net premiums earned for the last three years ended December 31:

	2010			**2009**		
(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**Gross Premiums Written**	**Net Premiums Written**	**Net Premiums Earned**	**Gross Premiums Written**	**Net Premiums Written**	**Net Premiums Earned**
Other Life	$ 256,703	$ 255,056	$ 255,905	$ 413,831	$ 400,345	$ 422,594
Annuity	155,235	127,019	127,019	162,331	132,507	132,507

Total	$	411,938	$	382,075	$	382,924	$	576,162	$	532,852	$	555,101

Gross premiums written relating to total life business decreased by $164.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009 mainly due to a $122.1 million decrease as a result of the novation of a long-term care treaty and the novation/recapture of a number of term assurance treaties during the second half of 2009 and during the first and fourth quarters, 2010, including unfavorable foreign exchange movement of $16.7 million; $35.8 million from the sale of the U.S. business during the fourth quarter of 2009; and a $5.7 million decrease relating to short-term life, accident and health business in line with run-off expectations. Ceded premiums written decreased by $13.4 million due to run-off of the short-term life, accident and health business, and following the closure of the U.S. business in 2009.

Gross premiums written relating to other life business decreased by $157.1 million in year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. For the core underlying book of term assurance and critical illness cover, a decrease of $110.0 million in gross premiums written is due to novations and recaptures, and $12.1 million due to unfavorable foreign exchange rate movements; offset by premium growth in UK/Irish business of $7.1 million. Further, there were $35.8 million lower gross written premiums as a result of the sale of the U.S. business in 2009 and gross premiums written related to short-term life, accident and health business decreased by $5.7 million in line with run-off expectations.

Ceded premiums written decreased by $11.8 million in 2010 due to run-off from the short-term life, accident and health business and closure of the U.S. business in 2009.

Gross premiums written relating to annuity business decreased by $7.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009 mainly due to unfavorable foreign exchange rate movements of $6.5 million and $0.6 million lower premiums from expected premium movements as defined by the treaties. Ceded premiums written decreased by $1.6 million.

Gross premiums written relating to other life business decreased by $84.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. For the core underlying book of term assurance and critical illness cover, unfavorable foreign exchange rate movements of $37.1 million were partially offset by premium growth in U.K./Irish business of $38.7 million and premium growth in U.S. business of $3.2 million. In addition, gross premiums written related to short-term life, accident and health business decreased by $79.7 million primarily as the renewal rights for this business were sold in late 2008.

Gross premiums written relating to annuity business decreased by $30.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 mainly due to unfavorable foreign exchange rate movements of $26.5 million and $3.7 million lower premiums from annuity business which decrease through time as defined by the treaties. Ceded premiums written were roughly consistent with the prior year.

Net premiums earned in the year ended December 31, 2010 decreased 31.0% as compared to the same period in 2009 and in the year ended December 31, 2009 decreased by 14.6% as compared to the same period in 2008. The decreases in 2009 and 2008 were consistent with the movements in total gross and net premiums written as described above.

Changes in claims and policy benefit reserves were generally consistent with movements in gross and net premiums written. Claims and policy benefit reserves decreased by $163.7 million or 24.2% in the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009, primarily as a result of the factors noted above affecting gross and net premiums written. Claims and policy benefit reserves decreased by $91.4 million or 11.9% in the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008, primarily as a result of the factors noted above affecting gross and net premiums written.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, acquisition costs decreased by 36.8% as compared to the same period in 2009, largely as a result of the absence of novated/recaptured treaties from the core long-term portfolio and the absence of the U.S. business this year; as well as decreases in line with run-off expectations. Operating expenses decreased by 34.6% in the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in the prior year mainly due to lower compensation expenses resulting from lower headcount and lower costs related to acquisition of new business.

Acquisition costs in 2009 decreased by 19.3% as compared to the same period in 2008, largely as a result of the lower renewal premiums associated with the short-term life, accident and health business and favorable foreign exchange rate impacts. Operating expenses decreased by 51.7% in the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in the prior year mainly due to lower compensation expenses resulting from lower headcount and lower costs relating to acquisition of new business.

Net investment income is included in the calculation of contribution from Life Operations, as it relates to income earned on portfolios of separately identified and managed life investment assets and other allocated assets. Net investment income decreased by $19.3 million or 5.8% in the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009, primarily as a result of negative exchange impact, as well as the absence of U.S. business this year.

Net investment income decreased by $50.6 million or 13.2% in 2009 as compared to 2008, primarily as a result of negative foreign exchange rate impacts and partially offset by higher net investment income associated with the growth in the average size of the investment assets balances due to premiums associated with regular premium business.

Syncora

For further information on Syncora, see Results of Operations and Other Revenues and Expenses within Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. In addition, see Item 8, Notes 4 and 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Syncora Holdings Ltd (Syncora) and Investments in Affiliates, for further information.

Investment Activities

The following table illustrates the change in net investment income from property and casualty operations, net income (loss) from investment fund affiliates, net realized (losses) on investments, and net realized and unrealized (losses) on investment and other derivative instruments for each of the three years ended December 31:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**2010**	**% Change 2010 vs 2009**	**2009 (5)**	**% Change 2009 vs 2008**	**2008 (5)**
Net investment income P&C operations (1)	$ 884,866	(10.4)%	$ 987,398	(28.8)%	$ 1,385,982
Net income (loss) from investment fund affiliates (2)	51,102	(35.2)%	78,867	NM *	(277,696)
Net realized (losses) on investments (3)	(270,803)	NM *	(921,437)	4.2 %	(962,054)
Net realized and unrealized (losses) on investment and other derivative instruments (4)	(33,843)	0.6 %	(33,647)	54.1 %	(73,368)

(1) Net investment income relating to P&C operations includes the net investment income related to the net results from structured products.

(2) The Company records the income related to alternative fund affiliates on a one-month lag and the

private investment fund affiliates on a three-month lag in order for the Company to meet the accelerated filing deadlines.

(3) Results up to and including March 31, 2009 include charges for OTTI related to the non-credit impairment of unrealized losses. From April 1, 2009, the non-credit impairment is excluded from realized losses.

(4) For a summary of realized and unrealized gains and losses on all derivative instruments, see Item 8, Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Derivative Instruments.

(5) Certain reclassifications have been made to conform to current year presentation.

* NM Not meaningful

Net investment income related to P&C operations decreased in the year ended December 31, 2010 by $102.5 million as compared to the same period in 2009 due primarily to declining portfolio yields. Overall, portfolio yields have decreased as a result of the impact of declines in U.S. interest rates. Net investment income related to P&C operations

decreased in the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 by $398.6 million due primarily to the declining portfolio yields as outlined above.

Net income from investment fund affiliates includes earnings from the Company s investments in closed-end investment funds and partnerships and similar vehicles that are accounted for under the equity method.

Net income from investment fund affiliates decreased in the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the same period of 2009. These results reflect solid results from the Company s private investment portfolio for 2010, as compared to a loss during 2009, offset by earnings from alternative funds, which were lower than the results during 2009. Performance in alternative funds in 2009 was particularly strong.

Net income from investment fund affiliates in the year ended December 31, 2009 resulted from the significant improvement in market sentiment and rallies in risk assets. Credit sensitive and relative value managers particularly benefited from tightening credit spreads and normalization of previously dislocated relative value relationships. Volatility remained high relative to historical levels, allowing alternative managers to be more opportunistic and take advantage of pricing dislocations, but offset by the negative mark-to-market in the Company s private investment portfolio reflecting fair value adjustments, particularly during the fourth quarter of 2008.

Net loss from investment fund affiliates in the year ended December 31, 2008 reflected negative returns in the company s alternative portfolio as a result of broad-based market declines, extreme volatility, a sharp pull-back in the availability of credit and short sale restrictions resulting from the market credit crisis.

Investment Performance

The Company manages its fixed income securities in accordance with investment authorities approved by the Risk and Finance Committee of the Board of Directors. The following is a summary of the investment portfolio returns for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 of the fixed income portfolio and non- fixed income portfolios:

	2010 (1)	2009 (1)
Fixed income Portfolio		
USD fixed income portfolio	6.6%	7.9%
GBP fixed income portfolio	5.7%	11.2%
EUR fixed income portfolio	5.1%	7.9%
Other Portfolios		
Alternative portfolio (2)	6.2%	16.0%
Equity portfolio (3)	NM *	(8.2)%
High-Yield fixed income portfolio	8.4%	47.1%

(1) Portfolio returns are calculated by dividing the sum of the net investment income or net income from investment fund affiliates, realized gains (losses) and unrealized gains (losses) by the average market value of each portfolio. Performance is measured in either the underlying asset

currency or the functional currency.

(2) Performance on the alternative portfolio reflects the twelve months ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(3) Equity portfolio is negligible in 2010 and, accordingly, performance returns are not presented.

* NM Not meaningful

Net Realized Gains and Losses on Investments and Other than Temporary Declines in the Value of Investments

For the year ended December 31, 2010, net realized losses of $270.8 million included net realized losses of $65.7 million from sales of investments and $205.1 million related to other-than-temporary impairments of certain of the Company s fixed income, equity and other investments.

The significant components of the net impairment charges of $205.1 million consist of:

For structured credit securities, the Company recorded net impairments of $118.5 million principally on non-agency

RMBS securities for the year ended December 31, 2010. The Company determined that the likely recovery on these securities was below the carrying value, and, accordingly, impaired the securities to the discounted value of the cash flows of these securities.

For corporate securities, excluding medium term notes backed primarily by investment grade European credit, the Company recorded net impairments totaling $3.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

In addition the Company recorded impairments totaling $11.6 million for

the year ended December 31, 2010 in relation to medium term notes backed primarily by investment grade European credit. Management has concluded that, following recent credit spread movements since 2009, future yields within the supporting collateral were not sufficient to support the previously reported amortized cost.

For the non-equity accounted alternative and private investment security portfolios, the Company recorded impairments of $7.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 because the

holdings were impaired by more than 50% of amortized cost.

For equities, the Company recorded impairments of $0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The Company recorded impairments of $10.8 million related to currency losses for the year ended December 31, 2010.

As a result of its intent to sell these securities, the Company recorded impairments totaling $25.3 million in relation to medium term notes backed primarily by investment grade European credit and impairments totaling $27.2

million in
relation to
subordinated
Irish bank
debt.

Net realized losses in the year ended December 31, 2009 included net realized losses of $812.5 million related to the write-down of certain of the Company s fixed income, equity and other investments with respect to which the Company determined that there was an other-than-temporary decline in the value of

those investments as well as net realized losses of $98.1 million from sales of investments and net realized losses of $10.9 million from the sale of the U.S. life reinsurance business.

Net realized losses on investments in the twelve months ended December 31, 2008 included net realized losses of approximately $1,023.6 million related to the other-than-temporary impairments of certain of the Company s fixed income, equity and other investments, including those relating to Lehman, where the Company determined that there was an other than temporary decline in the value of those investments, including a charge of $400.0 million related to assets for which the Company could no longer assert its intent to hold until recovery. Although management believed that these securities were likely to recover to their current amortized cost, it determined that these securities were at-risk for further mark-to-market declines, and potentially real economic losses, to the extent that economic conditions were to deteriorate further than present estimates and the Company s allocation to these asset classes was overweight relative to a traditional P&C investment portfolio.

Net Realized and Unrealized Gains and Losses on Derivatives

Net realized and unrealized losses on investment derivatives for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 resulted from the Company s investment strategy to manage interest rate risk, foreign exchange risk and credit risk, and to replicate permitted investments. Derivative losses in 2010 and 2009 relate primarily to the impact of tightening credit spreads on certain credit derivatives purchased within the investment portfolio. In 2008, derivative losses were driven by foreign currency exchange losses recognized on a sterling forward currency contract hedging U.S. dollar assets supporting U.K. sterling liabilities in the life operations during the year.

For a further discussion see Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk and Liquidity and Capital Resources.

Other Revenues and Expenses

The following table sets forth other revenues and expenses of the Company for each of the three years ended December 31:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	2010	% Change 2010 vs 2009	2009	% Change 2009 vs 2008	2008
Net income (loss) from operating affiliates (1)	$ 121,372	NM *	$ 60,480	NM *	$ (1,458,246)
Exchange (gains) losses	(10,161)	NM *	84,813	NM *	(184,454)
Corporate operating expenses	90,686	(15.9)%	107,877	(35.9)%	168,324
Extinguishment of debt		NM *		NM *	22,527
Interest expense (2)	159,118	(7.9)%	172,764	(16.3)%	206,455
Impairment of goodwill		NM *		NM *	989,971
Loss on settlement of	23,500	NM *		NM *	

guarantee					
Amortization of intangible assets	1,858	1.2 %	1,836	(38.1)%	2,968
Income tax expense	162,737	35.3 %	120,307	(45.9)%	222,578

(1) The Company records the income related to certain operating affiliates on a three-month lag in order for the Company to meet accelerated filing deadlines.

(2) Interest expense does not include interest expense related structured products as reported within the Insurance and Reinsurance segments.

* NM Not meaningful

The following table sets forth the net income (loss) from operating affiliates for each of the three years ended December 31:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**2010**	**% Change 2010 vs 2009**	**2009**	**% Change 2009 vs 2008**	**2008**
Net income (loss) from financial operating affiliates	$ 53,031	NM *	$ 3,629	100.2 %	$ (1,503,474)
Net income from investment manager affiliates	40,180	127.0 %	17,698	63.0 %	10,860
Net income from other strategic operating affiliates	28,161	(28.1)%	39,153	13.9 %	34,368
Total	$ 121,372	100.7 %	$ 60,480	104.1 %	$ (1,458,246)

* NM Not meaningful

Financial operating affiliate income increased during the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period of 2009 due to the sale of a significant portion of the Company s shareholding in Primus Guaranty Ltd. For further information on the sale of Primus shares, see Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Investments in Affiliates.

Financial operating affiliate income increased during the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period of 2008 as a result of the Company s disposition of its interest in Syncora. During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company recorded $1.4 billion in losses related to reinsurance and guarantee arrangements with Syncora. For further details relating to Syncora and the closing of the Master Agreement, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Syncora Holdings Ltd. (Syncora).

Investment manager affiliate income increased during the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period of 2009 primarily as a result of positive capital market conditions since the fourth quarter of 2009 compared to the challenging conditions for alternative asset managers reported in the fourth quarter of 2008 and first two quarters of 2009. Managers have generally benefited in the past year from higher asset levels and, where they charge incentive fees, from a return above their high-water-marks, where new incentive fees are earned. Finally, the Company also benefited from a $4.4 million gain associated with the sale of its stake in one of the investment manager affiliates in the first quarter of 2010.

Investment manager affiliate income increased by 63.0% during the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 primarily as a result of the improved conditions for alternative asset managers in the second half of 2009 resulting in higher accrued fees. The Company also benefited from a modest gain associated with its withdrawal at the end of 2009 from one of its investment manager affiliates.

Income from other strategic operating affiliates decreased during the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period of 2009 mainly due to lower earnings in 2010 relating to an insurance affiliate which largely writes direct U.S. homeowners insurance and lower earnings due to the sale of the Company s Brazilian joint venture ITAU XL Seguros Corporativos S.A. during the second quarter of 2010.

As a result of improving market conditions, there were small increases across the strategic operating affiliates portfolio, which increased income by 13.9% in the year ended December 31, 2009 over the same period of 2008.

Foreign exchange gains in the year ended December 31, 2010 were marginal as a result of a limited overall movement in the value of the U.S. dollar during the period. The U.S. dollar was stronger against the Euro, while weakening against the Swiss franc, Canadian dollar and Brazilian real. In the year ended December 31, 2009, the U.S. dollar weakened against all of the Company s major currency exposures, particularly the Canadian dollar and U.K. sterling, generating a loss for that period.

Corporate operating expenses decreased by 15.9% during the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to 2009 primarily as a result of the restructuring costs incurred during 2009.

Corporate operating expenses decreased by 35.9% during the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to 2008 primarily as a result of the cost savings achieved from the restructuring activities implemented in 2008 and early 2009 as well as higher professional fees associated with the capital raise and associated costs related to the Master Agreement that was executed in July 2008. For further

information, see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Restructuring and Asset Impairment Charges.

Interest expense includes costs related to the Company s debt and collateral facilities as well as certain deposit liability accretion which is not included in Net investment results structured products. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009 decreased as a result of the Company s debt hedging activities where the effective portion of the hedging relationship is amortizing through interest expense over the remaining term of the debt.

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 was lower mainly as a result of lower interest associated with the retirement of the 2011 Senior Notes in February 2009 partially offset by interest associated with 8.25% senior notes which are part of the 10.75% Equity Security Units issued in August 2008. For more information on the Company s financial structure, see Liquidity and Capital Resources.

Due to the financial market and economic events that occurred in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, the Company performed an interim impairment test for goodwill subsequent to its annual testing date of June 30. The interim impairment test resulted in a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of approximately $990.0 million. For further information, see Item 8, Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, and see further discussion under Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates.

As part of the continued management of certain legacy financial businesses, which were put into run-off in 2008, in the second quarter of 2010, management was successful in commuting the Company s exposure to EIB. For further information on the loss on settlement of this guarantee, see Item 8, Note 19(h) to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Financial and Other Guarantee Exposures.

Amortization of intangible assets was flat for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009 but increased in 2008 as a result of the increase in intangible assets related to the XL GAPS acquisition in 2007.

The increase in the Company s income taxes in 2010 as compared to 2009 arose principally from the increase in the profitability of the Company s U.S. and European operations in 2010. The decrease in the Company s income taxes in 2009 as compared to 2008 arose principally from the decrease in the profitability of the Company s U.S. and European operations. See Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates and Item 8, Note 24 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Taxation.

Balance Sheet Analysis

Investments

The primary objectives of the investment strategy are to support the liabilities arising from the operations of the Company, generate stable investment income and build book value for the Company over the longer term. The strategy strives to balance investment returns against market and credit risks taken. The Company s investment portfolio is structured to take into account a number of variables including local regulatory requirements, business needs, collateral management and risk tolerance.

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, total investments, cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income and net receivable for investments sold were $35.8 billion and $35.9 billion, respectively. The following table summarizes the composition of the Company s invested assets at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**Carrying value 2010 (1)**	**Percent of Total**	**Carrying Value 2009 (1)**	**Percent of Total**
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 3,022,868	8.4 %	$ 3,643,697	10.2 %
Net receivable (payable) for investments sold	(12,599)	0.0 %	47,638	0.1 %
Accrued investment income	350,091	1.0 %	350,055	1.0 %
Short-term investments	2,048,607	5.7 %	1,777,360	5.0 %
Fixed maturities, available for sale:				
U.S. Government and Government-Related/Supported	2,127,491	5.9 %	2,664,625	7.4 %
Corporate	10,360,883	29.0 %	9,799,000	27.3 %
Residential mortgage-backed securities Agency	5,164,746	14.4 %	6,228,501	17.4 %
Residential mortgage-backed securities Non-Agency	1,021,088	2.9 %	1,421,315	4.0 %
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	1,172,507	3.3 %	1,216,799	3.4 %
Collateralized debt obligations	733,663	2.1 %	698,561	1.9 %
Other asset-backed securities	948,831	2.7 %	1,167,985	3.3 %
U.S. States and political subdivisions of the States	1,351,677	3.8 %	913,473	2.5 %
Non-U.S. Sovereign Government, Supranational and Government-Related	2,663,293	7.3 %	3,401,773	9.5 %
Total fixed maturities, available for sale	$ 25,544,179	71.4 %	$ 27,512,032	76.7 %
Fixed maturities, held to maturity:				
U.S. Government and Government-Related/Supported (2)	10,541	0.0 %		%
Corporate	1,337,797	3.8 %		%
Residential mortgage-backed securities Non-Agency	82,763	0.2 %		%
Other asset-backed securities	287,109	0.8 %		%
Non-U.S. Sovereign Government, Supranational and Government-Related (2)	1,010,125	2.8 %	546,067	1.5 %

Total fixed maturities, held to maturity		2,728,335	7.6 %		546,067	1.5 %
Equity securities		84,767	0.2 %		17,779	0.0 %
Investments in affiliates		1,069,028	3.0 %		1,185,604	3.3 %
Other investments		951,723	2.7 %		783,189	2.2 %
Total investments and cash and cash equivalents	**$**	**35,786,999**	**100 %**	**$**	**35,863,421**	**100.0 %**

(1) Carrying value represents the fair value for available for sale fixed maturities and amortized cost for held to maturity securities.

(2) U.S. Government and Government-Related/Supported and Non U.S. Sovereign Government, Supranational and Government-Related include government-related securities with an amortized cost of $319.8 million and fair value of $329.0 million and U.S. Agencies with an amortized cost of $130.2 million and fair value of $140.1 million.

The Company reviews on a regular basis its issuer concentration, credit quality and compliance with established guidelines. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the average credit quality of the Company s aggregate fixed income portfolio (including fixed maturities, short-term investments, cash and cash equivalents and net payable for investments purchased) was AA. At December 31, 2010, approximately 51.9% of the aggregate fixed income portfolio (including fixed maturities, short-term investments, cash and cash equivalents and net receivable for investments sold) was rated AAA by one or more of the principal ratings agencies. Approximately 3.5% of the aggregate fixed income portfolio was below investment grade or not rated.

Refer to Results of Operations for further discussion surrounding the impact of credit market movements on the Company s investment portfolio and exposure to sub-prime related assets.

Gross and Net Unrealized Gains and Losses on Investments

At December 31, 2010, the Company had net unrealized losses on available for sale fixed maturities and short-term investments of $180.5 million, net unrealized gains on equity securities of $28.0 million and $14.3 million on its held-to-maturity portfolio. Of these amounts, gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities and short-term investments and equities were $994.8 million and $0.1 million, respectively. At December 31, 2009, the Company had net unrealized losses on fixed maturities and short-term investments of $1,276.3 million and net unrealized gains on equity securities of $5.4 million. Of these amounts, gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities and short-term investments and equities were $1,847.9 million and $0.4 million, respectively. The impact of decreasing government rates during the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 was the primary reason for the improvement in the net unrealized position on fixed maturities and short-term investments combined with tightening credit spreads and net realized losses over the course of the year. The decrease in gross unrealized losses during the year ended December 31, 2010 is driven by a combination of tightening credit spreads and realized losses. The information shown below about the unrealized losses on the Company s investments at December 31, 2010 and 2009 may affect future earnings and financial position should management later conclude that some of the current declines in the fair value of these investments are other than temporary.

The following is an analysis of how long each of those investment securities with a gross unrealized loss at December 31, 2010 and 2009 had been in a continual unrealized loss position:

Type of Securities *(U.S. dollars in thousands)*	**Length of time in a continual unrealized loss position**	**Amount of unrealized loss at December 31, 2010**	**Amount of unrealized loss at December 31, 2009**
Fixed-Maturities and Short-Term Investments	Less than six months	$ 122,444	$ 160,313
	At least 6 months but less than 12 months	31,915	93,474
	At least 12 months but less than 2 years	71,866	466,656
	2 years or more	768,539	1,127,407
	Total	$ 994,764	$ 1,847,850
Equities	Less than six months	$ 53	$ 17
	At least 6 months but less than 12 months		341
	Total	$ 53	$ 358

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the following table sets forth the maturity profile of the fixed income securities that were in a gross unrealized loss position:

Maturity profile in years of fixed income securities in a gross unrealized loss position *(U.S. dollars in thousands)*	**Amount of unrealized loss at December 31, 2010**	**Amount of unrealized loss at December 31, 2009**
Less than 1 year remaining	$ 29,446	$ 6,736
At least 1 year but less than 5 years remaining (1)	125,169	175,142
At least 5 years but less than 10 years remaining (1)	57,457	93,427
More than 10 years but less than 20 years remaining (1)	68,429	92,321
At least 20 years or more remaining (1)	196,447	331,807
Residential mortgage-backed securities Agency	8,628	37,921
Residential mortgage-backed securities Non-Agency	262,009	608,236
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	18,420	67,910
Collateralized debt obligations	197,377	341,467
Other asset-backed securities	31,382	92,883
Total	$ 994,764	$ 1,847,850

(1) Hybrids are allocated on the call date and medium term notes are allocated on contractual maturity.

The following table details the Company s corporate credit exposures by certain asset classes as well as ratings levels within the Company s aggregate fixed income portfolio and the current net unrealized (loss) position as at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

(U.S. dollars in millions) **As at December 31, 2010:**	**AAA**	**AA**	**A**	**BBB**	**BB & Below**	**Total**
Financials						
Fair value	$ 579.2	$ 1,434.1	$ 1,904.6	$ 480.2	$ 38.2	$ 4,43
Net unrealized gain (loss)	$ 7.9	$ 6.3	$ (80.7)	$ (73.0)	$ (1.6)	$ (14
Non-Financials						
Fair value	$ 197.0	$ 1,751.7	$ 4,666.7	$ 1,170.7	$ 345.5	$ 8,13
Net unrealized gain (loss)	$ 2.2	$ 39.0	$ 107.6	$ (7.2)	$ 8.2	$ 14
Total						
Fair value	$ 776.2	$ 3,185.8	$ 6,571.3	$ 1,650.9	$ 383.7	$ 12,56
Net unrealized gain (loss)	$ 10.1	$ 45.3	$ 26.9	$ (80.2)	$ 6.6	$
As at December 31, 2009:						
Financials						
Fair value	$ 382.4	$ 925.3	$ 2,093.2	$ 515.4	$ 33.1	$ 3,94
Net unrealized (loss)	$ (2.0)	$ 7.6	$ (186.7)	$ (112.3)	$ (4.8)	$ (29
Non-Financials						
Fair value	$ 96.1	$ 1,412.6	$ 3,363.4	$ 1,254.4	$ 397.2	$ 6,52
Net unrealized (loss)	$ 2.3	$ 6.0	$ 28.0	$ (53.4)	$ 1.3	$ (1
Total						
Fair value	$ 478.5	$ 2,337.9	$ 5,456.6	$ 1,769.8	$ 430.3	$ 10,47
Net unrealized (loss)	$ 0.3	$ 13.6	$ (158.7)	$ (165.7)	$ (3.5)	$ (31

At December 31, 2010, approximately $1.6 billion of the Company s $4.4 billion in corporate financial sector securities was held in the portfolios supporting the Company s life reinsurance business. Management completed a strategic review of the Company s life reinsurance business, which is now in run-off. The assets associated with that business are more heavily weighted towards longer term securities from financial institutions, including Tier One and Upper Tier Two securities, with a fair value of $0.6 billion representing committed term debt and hybrid instruments senior to the common and preferred equity of the financial institutions, and accounted for $112.8 million of the Company s net unrealized loss as at December 31, 2010. As at December 31, 2010, subordinated debt securities (including Lower Tier Two) had a fair value of $0.5 million and a net unrealized loss of $30.4 million. At December 31, 2010 approximately 40% of the overall sensitivity to interest rate risk (based on an immediate hypothetical +100

basis point adverse parallel shift in global bond curves) and approximately 32% to credit risk (based on an immediate hypothetical +100 basis point increase in all global corporate and structured credit spreads) was related to the Life operations portfolio. However, excluding the Life operations portfolio s held to maturity fixed maturities, the sensitivity to interest rate risk decreases to approximately 22% of the overall sensitivity and decreases to approximately 19% of the overall sensitivity to credit spread risk. This portfolio only accounts for 19.1% of the aggregate fixed income portfolio.

The following table details the Company s structured credit exposures by certain asset classes as well as ratings levels within the Company s aggregate fixed income portfolio and the current net unrealized gain (loss) position as at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

(U.S. dollars in millions)

As at December 31, 2010:						**Current Rating**						
		AAA		**AA**		**A**		**BBB**		**BB & Below**		**Total**
CMBS												
Fair value	$	972.8	$	154.7	$	17.6	$	8.5	$	23.8	$	1,177
Net unrealized gain (loss)	$	38.2	$	4.7	$	(3.8)	$	(0.4)	$	(1.0)	$	37
Non-Agency RMBS												
Fair value	$	255.6	$	137.8	$	107.8	$	103.3	$	502.9	$	1,107
Net unrealized (loss)	$	(15.6)	$	(13.1)	$	(23.8)	$	(32.4)	$	(150.5)	$	(235
Core CDOs (1)												
Fair value	$	30.9	$	115.0	$	285.4	$	104.2	$	198.0	$	733
Net unrealized gain (loss)	$	(2.6)	$	(17.1)	$	(57.1)	$	(32.8)	$	(77.0)	$	(186
Other Asset & Mortgage Backed Securities												
Fair value	$	793.2	$	145.7	$	177.2	$	100.7	$	31.3	$	1,248
Net unrealized gain (loss)	$	8.8	$	(1.4)	$	(4.6)	$	(7.1)	$	(14.7)	$	(19
Agency RMBS												
Fair value	$	5,237.6	$		$		$		$		$	5,237
Net unrealized gain (loss)	$	146.0	$		$		$		$		$	146
Total												
Fair value	$	7,290.1	$	553.2	$	588.0	$	316.7	$	756.0	$	9,504
Net unrealized gain (loss)	$	174.8	$	(26.9)	$	(89.3)	$	(72.7)	$	(243.2)	$	(257

As at December 31, 2009:						
CMBS						
Fair value	$ 1,033.0	$ 144.2	$ 21.0	$ 9.3	$ 15.5	$ 1,223
Net unrealized gain (loss)	$ (23.3)	$ (15.0)	$ (7.0)	$ (0.9)	$ (11.8)	$ (58
Non-Agency RMBS						
Fair value	$ 247.8	$ 182.4	$ 128.6	$ 120.7	$ 542.1	$ 1,221
Net unrealized gain (loss)	$ (34.0)	$ (67.2)	$ (80.8)	$ (60.3)	$ (328.3)	$ (570
Core CDOs (1)						
Fair value	$ 70.5	$ 146.2	$ 252.1	$ 77.2	$ 154.9	$ 700
Net unrealized gain (loss)	$ (15.0)	$ (34.2)	$ (100.1)	$ (39.7)	$ (144.3)	$ (333
Other Asset & Mortgage Backed Securities						
Fair value	$ 811.4	$ 182.3	$ 256.2	$ 127.1	$ 33.2	$ 1,410
Net unrealized gain (loss)	$ (15.9)	$ (16.0)	$ (15.4)	$ (26.9)	$ (25.9)	$ (100
Agency RMBS						
Fair value	$ 6,256.6	$	$	$	$	$ 6,256
Net unrealized gain (loss)	$ 64.4	$	$	$	$	$ 64
Total						
Fair value	$ 8,419.3	$ 655.1	$ 657.9	$ 334.3	$ 745.7	$ 10,812
Net unrealized gain (loss)	$ (23.8)	$ (132.4)	$ (203.3)	$ (127.8)	$ (510.3)	$ (997

(1) The Company defines Core CDOs as

investments in non-mortgage collateralized debt obligations, primarily consisting of collateralized loan obligations.

The following table details the current exposures to non-Agency RMBS and Core CDOs within the Company s aggregate fixed income portfolio as well as the current net unrealized gain (loss) positions as at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	As at December 31, 2010			**As at December 31, 2009**		
	Holding at Fair Value	**Percent of Fixed Income Portfolio**	**Net Unrealized Gain (Loss)**	**Holding at Fair Value**	**Percent of Fixed Income Portfolio**	**Net Unrealized Gain (Loss)**
Non-Agency RMBS:						
Sub-prime first lien mortgages	$ 395,100	1.2 %	$ (142,395)	$ 377,609	1.1 %	$ (252,745)
Alt-A mortgages	198,005	0.6 %	(53,667)	316,795	0.9 %	(209,731)
Second lien mortgages (including sub-prime second lien mortgages)	33,069	0.1 %	(7,830)	37,776	0.1 %	(19,920)
ABS CDOs with sub-prime collateral	3,193	%	541	5,429	%	32
Prime RMBS	311,569	0.9 %	(28,235)	484,004	1.4 %	(88,153)
Other assets	166,489	0.5 %	(3,838)	199,702	0.6 %	(22,040)
Total exposure to Non-Agency RMBS	$ 1,107,425	3.3 %	$ (235,424)	$ 1,421,315	4.1 %	$ (592,557)
Core CDOs	$ 733,488	2.2 %	$ (186,636)	$ 698,561	2.1 %	$ (333,257)

At December 31, 2010, the Company s Non-Agency RMBS exposures had adequate underlying loan characteristics and the Company believed at such date that the current amortized cost levels were at or below the discounted cash flow value of the holdings, based on an analysis of subordination levels relative to current expectations of house price declines, loss severities and default levels. During the year, net unrealized losses have decreased by $146.6 million due to the improvement in market value of these asset holdings in addition to sales. The Company had Non-Agency RMBS, with a fair value of approximately $681.0 million downgraded during the year ended December 31, 2010. However, 54.6% of the Company s holdings remain rated investment grade at December 31, 2010.

For a discussion on the significant drivers of the gross unrealized losses at December 31, 2010, see Item 8, Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Investments, for further information.

The following table summarizes the fair value, gross unrealized losses, credit rating and asset class of securities in a gross unrealized loss position within the Company s structured credit and corporate portfolios, which comprised 87.7% of the Company s total gross unrealized loss position of $1.0 billion at December 31, 2010. The remaining gross unrealized loss is related to government and government-related/supported securities and is driven by foreign exchange and spread widening on agencies.

(U.S. dollars in millions)

Corporate	**AAA**	**AA**	**A**	**BBB**	**BB & Below**	
Financials (1)						
Fair value	$ 173.4	$ 444.4	$ 920.9	$ 409.7	$ 28.2	$
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$ (3.3)	$ (18.7)	$ (121.8)	$ (76.4)	$ (3.5)	$
Non-Financials (2)						
Fair value	$ 72.7	$ 292.8	$ 1,039.2	$ 425.8	$ 153.4	$
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$ (2.9)	$ (14.4)	$ (45.9)	$ (59.3)	$ (7.0)	$
Total						
Fair value	$ 246.1	$ 737.2	$ 1,960.1	$ 835.5	$ 181.6	$
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$ (6.2)	$ (33.1)	$ (167.7)	$ (135.7)	$ (10.5)	$
% Impaired (of amortized cost) (3)	(2.5)%	(4.4)%	(8.0)%	(14.2)%	(5.6)%	

Structured Credit	**AAA**	**AA**	**A**	**BBB**	**BB & Below**	
CMBS						
Fair value	$ 67.7	$ 15.5	$ 13.7	$ 6.1	$ 13.4	$
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$ (4.8)	$ (1.6)	$ (4.0)	$ (0.6)	$ (7.3)	$
Non-Agency RMBS						
Fair value	$ 215.4	$ 78.3	$ 87.9	$ 88.5	$ 414.4	$
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$ (16.5)	$ (14.4)	$ (25.1)	$ (34.1)	$ (170.7)	$
Core CDOs (4)						

Fair value	$ 30.9	$ 114.5	$ 284.9	$ 104.2	$ 183.7	$
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$ (2.6)	$ (17.2)	$ (57.1)	$ (32.8)	$ (86.6)	$
Other Asset & Mortgage Backed Securities						
Fair value	$ 157.7	$ 52.3	$ 30.0	$ 83.2	$ 29.7	$
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$ (5.0)	$ (1.9)	$ (4.9)	$ (7.9)	$ (15.4)	$
Agency RMBS						
Fair value	$ 315.5	$	$	$	$	$
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$ (8.6)					$
Total						
Fair Value	$ 787.2	$ 260.6	$ 416.5	$ 282.0	$ 641.2	$
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$ (37.5)	$ (35.1)	$ (91.1)	$ (75.4)	$ (280.0)	$
% Impaired (of amortized cost) (3)	(4.6)%	(11.9)%	(18.0)%	(21.2)%	(30.5)%	

(1) Included in the gross unrealized losses on corporate financials are gross unrealized losses of $143.9 million on Tier One and Upper Tier Two securities of financial institutions (Hybrids), as

well as $50.5 million of unrealized losses on subordinated debt with a fair value of $1.0 billion.

(2) Included within Corporate are certain medium term notes supported primarily by pools of European credit with varying degrees of leverage. The notes have a fair value of $454.8 million and an amortized cost of $504.6 million. These securities have been allocated ratings of the underlying pool of collateral. These notes allow the investor to participate in cash flows of the underlying bonds including certain residual values, which could serve to either decrease or increase the

ultimate values of these notes.

(3) Management considers these impairments to be temporary.

(4) The Company defines Core CDOs as investments in non-mortgage collateralized debt obligations, primarily consisting of collateralized loan obligations.

The following table summarizes the fair value, gross unrealized losses, credit rating and asset class of securities in a gross unrealized loss position within the Company s structured credit and corporate portfolios, which comprised $1.7 billion of the Company s total gross unrealized loss position of $1.8 billion at December 31, 2009:

(U.S. dollars in millions) Corporate	AAA	AA	A	BBB	BB & Below
Financials (1)					
Fair value	$ 160.7	$ 280.5	$ 1,389.4	$ 474.7	$ 21.2
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$ (8.4)	$ (19.8)	$ (215.5)	$ (114.7)	$ (9.2)
Non-Financials (2)					
Fair value	$ 24.3	$ 536.8	$ 1,267.7	$ 532.7	$ 174.8
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$ (0.4)	$ (30.5)	$ (70.0)	$ (94.5)	$ (20.5)
Total					
Fair value	$ 185.0	$ 817.3	$ 2,657.1	$ 1,007.4	$ 196.0
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$ (8.8)	$ (50.3)	$ (285.5)	$ (209.2)	$ (29.7)
% Impaired (of amortized cost) (3)	(4.6)%	(5.9)%	(9.9)%	(17.5)%	(13.4)%

Structured Credit	AAA	AA	A	BBB	BB & Below	
CMBS						
Fair value	$ 623.2	$ 142.2	$ 14.7	$ 4.3	$ 9.1	$
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$ (29.6)	$ (15.4)	$ (8.0)	$ (1.3)	$ (13.6)	$
Non-Agency RMBS						
Fair value	$ 235.4	$ 172.1	$ 123.3	$ 114.0	$ 523.2	$
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$ (35.2)	$ (67.9)	$ (80.9)	$ (60.6)	$ (333.1)	$
Core CDOs (4)						
Fair value	$ 70.3	$ 146.2	$ 251.6	$ 77.0	$ 145.7	$
	$ (15.0)	$ (34.2)	$ (100.1)	$ (39.8)	$ (153.1)	$

Gross unrealized loss (3)											
Other Asset & Mortgage Backed Securities											
Fair value	$	514.9	$	137.9	$	199.2	$	110.5	$	33.2	$
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$	(26.4)	$	(17.0)	$	(16.7)	$	(27.5)	$	(36.2)	$
Agency RMBS											
Fair value	$	2,963.4	$		$		$		$		$
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$	(37.9)									$
Total											
Fair Value	$	4,407.2	$	598.4	$	588.8	$	305.8	$	711.2	$
Gross unrealized loss (3)	$	(144.1)	$	(134.5)	$	(205.7)	$	(129.2)	$	(536.0)	$
% Impaired (of amortized cost) (3)		(3.2)%		(18.4)%		(26.0)%		(29.8)%		(42.4)%	

(1) Included in the gross unrealized losses on corporate financials are gross unrealized losses of $225.2 million on Tier One and Upper Tier Two securities of financial institutions (Hybrids), as well as $84.3

million on subordinated debt with a fair value of $1.1 billion.

(2) Included within Corporate are certain medium term notes supported primarily by pools of European credit with varying degrees of leverage. The notes have a fair value of $587.7 million and an amortized cost of $707.9 million. These notes allow the investor to participate in cash flows of the underlying bonds including certain residual values, which could serve to either decrease or increase the ultimate values of these notes.

(3) Management considers these impairments to be temporary.

(4) The Company defines Core CDOs as investments in non-mortgage collateralized debt obligations, primarily consisting of collateralized loan obligations.

As of December 31, 2010, structured credit securities with gross unrealized losses representing greater than 50% of amortized cost accounts for $83.5 million of gross unrealized losses, with a fair value of $43.0 million. Of these gross unrealized losses, $10.2 million are rated investment grade. The Company has evaluated each of these holdings on a security-by-security basis in conjunction with its investment manager service providers and utilizing additional corroborative modeling techniques, and believes these securities will provide adequate principal and interest payments to satisfy the current amortized cost. These securities include gross unrealized losses of $51.6 million on non-Agency RMBS, $27.6 million of Core CDOs and $3.9 million of CMBS holdings.

As noted in Item 8, Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Significant Accounting Policies, the determination of the amount of OTTI varies by investment type and is based upon management s periodic evaluation and assessment of known and inherent risks associated with the respective asset class. Such evaluations and assessments are revised as conditions change and new information becomes available. Management considers a wide range of factors about the security issuer and uses its best judgment in evaluating the cause of the decline in the estimated fair value of the security and in assessing the prospects for near-term recovery. Inherent in management s evaluation of the security are assumptions and estimates about the operations of the issuer and its future earnings potential. Management updates its evaluations regularly and reflects additional impairments in net income as determinations are made. Management s determination of the amount of the impairment taken on investments is highly subjective and could adversely impact the Company s results of operations. There can be no assurance that management has accurately assessed the level of OTTI taken and reflected in the Company s financial statements. Furthermore, additional impairments may need to be taken in the future. Historical trends may not be indicative of future impairments.

Levels of write down or OTTI are also impacted by the Company s assessment of the intent to sell securities which have declined in value. If, due to changes in circumstances, the Company determines to reposition or realign portions of the portfolio where the Company decides to sell certain securities in an unrealized loss position, then the Company will incur OTTI charges, which charges could be significant.

Fair Value Measurements of Assets and Liabilities

As described in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Fair Value Measurements, effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted the authoritative guidance on fair value measurements and accordingly has provided required disclosures by level within the fair value hierarchy of the Company s assets and liabilities that are carried at fair value. As defined in the hierarchy, those assets and liabilities categorized as Level 3 have valuations determined using unobservable inputs. Unobservable inputs may include the entity s own assumptions about market participant assumptions, applied to a modeled valuation, however, this is not the case with respect to the Company s Level 3 assets and liabilities. The vast majority of the assets and liabilities classified as Level 3 are made up of those securities for which the values were obtained from brokers where either significant inputs were utilized in determining the value that were difficult to corroborate with observable market data, or sufficient information regarding the specific inputs utilized by the broker were not obtained to support a Level 2 classification or the Company utilized internal valuation models.

At December 31, 2009, certain assets that were previously classified as Level 3 assets due to a lack of observable market data were classified as Level 2 assets due to sufficient market data becoming available to determine a price and to allow the use of broker quotes. As a result of numerous recent market factors, including increased volumes of trading, the Company believed that transactions in this market were no longer distressed and, accordingly, reverted to third-party vendor pricing sources where transactions available as of December 31, 2009, and, where not available, broker quotes. Accordingly, as at December 31, 2009, for those CDOs which were previously valued using internal models, the Company recognized these assets at a fair value of $538.5 million and a par value of $789.1 million. Of these holdings, $457.6 million were valued by third party vendors and, accordingly, were now classified as Level 2, and $ 80.9 million were valued using broker quotations and, accordingly, remained classified as Level 3.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, certain CDOs with a fair value of $471.2 million that were previously classified as Level 2 due to sufficient market data being available to allow a price to be determined and provided by third party pricing vendors, were transferred to Level 3 because third party vendor prices were no longer believed to be the most appropriate pricing source, therefore, broker quotes are the primary source of the valuations for these CDOs.

Controls over Valuation of Financial Instruments

The Company performs quarterly reviews of the prices received from its third party valuation sources to assess if the prices represent a reasonable estimate of the fair value. This process is completed by investment and accounting personnel who are independent of those responsible for obtaining the valuations. The approaches taken to gain comfort include, but are not limited to, comparing valuations between external sources and completing recurring reviews of third party pricing services methodologies. As a result

of this analysis, if the Company determines there is a more appropriate fair value based upon available market data, the price received from one third party may be substituted for another, or, in limited circumstances, management may determine that an adjustment is required to a third party value. In addition, similar valuation controls are followed by external parties responsible for sourcing appropriate valuations from third parties on the Company s behalf, which provides additional comfort over the reasonableness of the fair values recorded in the Company s financial statements.

Valuation Methodology of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

Refer to Notes 2 and 3 of the Consolidated Financial Statements, Significant Accounting Policies and Fair Value Measurements for a description of the valuation methodology utilized to value Level 3 assets and liabilities, how the valuation methodology is validated as well as further details associated with various assets classified as Level 3. As at December 31, 2010, the Company did not have any liabilities that were carried at fair value based on Level 3 inputs other than derivative instruments in a liability position at December 31, 2010.

Fair Value of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

At December 31, 2010, the fair value of Level 3 assets and liabilities as a percentage of the Company s total assets and liabilities that are carried at fair value was as follows:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**Total Assets and Liabilities Carried at Fair Value at December 31, 2010**	**Fair Value of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities**	**Level 3 Assets and Liabilities as a Percentage of Total Assets and Liabilities Carried at Fair Value, by class**
Assets			
Fixed maturities, at fair value			
U.S. Government and Government agency-Related/Supported	$ 2,127,491	$	%
Corporate	10,360,883	35,158	0.3 %
Residential mortgage-backed securities Agency	5,164,746	30,255	0.6 %
Residential mortgage-backed securities Non-Agency	1,021,088	4,964	0.5 %
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	1,172,507	1,623	0.1 %
Collateralized debt obligations	733,663	721,097	98.3 %
Other asset-backed securities	948,831	24,650	2.6 %
U.S. States and political subdivisions of the States	1,351,677		%
Non-U.S. Sovereign Government, Supranational and Government-Related	2,663,293	3,667	0.1 %
Total Fixed maturities, at fair value	25,544,179	821,414	3.2 %
Equity securities, at fair value	84,767		%
Short-term investments, at fair value	2,048,607	2,183	0.1 %

Total investments available for sale	$	27,677,553	$	823,597	3.0 %
Cash equivalents (1)		1,899,265			%
Other investments (2)		624,037		133,717	21.4 %
Other assets (3)		95,786		7,882	8.2 %
Total assets carried at fair value	$	30,296,641	$	965,196	3.2 %
Liabilities					
Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased (4)	$	21,526	$		%
Other liabilities (5)		63,511		47,077	74.1 %
Total liabilities carried at fair value	$	85,037	$	47,077	55.4 %

(1) Cash equivalents balances subject to fair value measurements include certificates of deposit and money market funds.

(2) The Other investments balance excludes certain structured transactions including certain investments in project finance transactions and a payment obligation (as described in Note 10, Other Investments), that has provided

liquidity financing to a structured credit vehicle as a part of a third party medium term note facility. These Other investments are carried at amortized cost that totaled $327.7 million at December 31, 2010 and $365.6 million at December 31, 2009.

(3) Other assets include derivative instruments, reported on a gross basis.

(4) Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased are included within Net payable for investments purchased on the balance sheet.

(5) Other liabilities include derivative instruments, reported on a gross basis.

At December 31, 2010, Level 3 assets represented approximately 3.2% of the Company s assets that are measured at fair value and less than 3% of total assets. Level 3 liabilities represented approximately 55.4% of the Company s liabilities that are measured at fair value and less than 1% of total liabilities at December 31, 2010. As defined in the hierarchy, those assets and liabilities categorized as Level 3 have valuations determined using unobservable inputs. Unobservable inputs may include the entity s own assumptions about market participant assumptions, applied to a modeled valuation; however, this is not the case with respect to the Company s Level 3 assets and liabilities. The vast majority of the assets and liabilities classified as Level 3 are made up of those securities for which the values were obtained from brokers where either significant inputs were utilized in determining the value that were difficult to corroborate with observable market data, or sufficient information regarding the specific inputs utilized by the broker were not able to be obtained to support a Level 2 classification.

Changes in the Fair Value of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Fair Value Measurements, for an analysis of the change in fair value of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities.

Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses

Unpaid losses and loss expenses reserves relate primarily to the casualty insurance and reinsurance business written by the Company. The balance was $20.5 billion at December 31, 2010, which is a decrease of $0.3 billion from December 31, 2009. The decrease was due primarily to favorable prior year reserve development reducing losses and loss expenses incurred during 2010.

The table below presents a reconciliation of the Company s unpaid losses and loss expenses for the year ended December 31, 2010:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**Gross unpaid losses and loss expenses**	**Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable**	**Net unpaid losses and loss expenses**
Balance at December 31, 2009	$ 20,823,524	$ (3,557,391)	$ 17,266,133
Losses and loss expenses incurred	4,167,934	(956,134)	3,211,800
Losses and loss expenses paid/recovered	(4,309,523)	839,014	(3,470,509)
Foreign exchange and other	(150,328)	25,221	(125,107)
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ 20,531,607	$ (3,649,290)	$ 16,882,317

While the Company reviews the adequacy of established reserves for unpaid losses and loss expenses regularly, no assurance can be given that actual claims made and payments related thereto will not be in excess of the amounts reserved. In the future, if such reserves develop adversely, such deficiency would have a negative impact on future results of operations. See Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses and Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates above and Item 8, Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Losses and Loss Expenses, for further discussion.

Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses Recoverable and Reinsurance Balances Receivable

In the normal course of business, the Company seeks to reduce the potential amount of loss arising from claims events by reinsuring certain levels of risk assumed in various areas of exposure with other insurers or reinsurers. While reinsurance agreements are designed to limit the Company s losses from large exposures and permit recovery of a portion of direct unpaid losses, reinsurance does not relieve the Company of its ultimate liability to the Company s insureds. Accordingly, the losses and loss expense reserves on the balance sheet represent the Company s total unpaid gross losses. Unpaid losses and loss expense recoverable relates to estimated reinsurance recoveries on the unpaid loss and loss expense reserves.

Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses and loss expenses were $3.7 billion at December 31, 2010, and 2009, respectively. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, reinsurance balances receivable were $0.2 billion

and $0.5 billion, respectively. The table below presents the Company s net reinsurance recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**December 31, 2010**	**December 31, 2009**
Reinsurance balances receivable	$ 225,129	$ 454,660
Reinsurance recoverable on future policy benefits	22,597	26,637
Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses and loss expenses	3,717,405	3,667,344
Reserve for potential non-recoveries for reinsurers	(121,917)	(189,769)
Net paid and unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable	$ 3,843,214	$ 3,958,872

The Company has credit risk should any of its reinsurers be unable or unwilling to settle amounts due. Of the $3.8 billion total unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable at December 31, 2010, one individual reinsurer accounted for 15% or more of the total. The Company is the beneficiary of letters of credit, trust accounts and funds withheld in the aggregate amount of $1.5 billion at December 31, 2010, collateralizing reinsurance recoverables with respect to certain reinsurers. The provision for uncollectible reinsurance is required principally due to the failure of reinsurers to indemnify the Company primarily because of disputes under reinsurance contracts and insolvencies. As at December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had a reserve for potential non-recoveries from reinsurers of $121.9 million and $189.8 million, respectively.

Approximately 90% of the total net unpaid loss and loss expense recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable (excluding collateral held) outstanding at December 31, 2010, were due from reinsurers with a financial strength rating of A or better. The following is an analysis of the total recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable at December 31, 2010, by reinsurers owing more than 3% of such total:

Name of reinsurer	**Reinsurer Financial Strength Rating**	**% of total**
Munich Reinsurance Company	AA /Stable	21.5%
Swiss Reinsurance Company	A+/Positive	13.8%
Lloyd s Syndicates	A+/Stable	7.0%
Swiss Re Europe S.A.	A+/Positive	4.8%
Transatlantic Reinsurance Company	A+/Stable	3.8%

The following table sets forth the ratings profile of the reinsurers that support the unpaid loss and loss expense recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable:

Reinsurer Financial Strength Rating	**% of total**
AAA	3.6 %
AA	36.0 %
A	49.8 %
BBB	1.2 %

BB and below	0.1 %
Captives	6.5 %
Not Rated	0.4 %
Other	2.4 %
Total	100.0 %

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is a measure of the Company s ability to generate sufficient cash flows to meet the short- and long-term cash requirements of the Company s business operations. As a global insurance and reinsurance company, one of the Company s principal responsibilities to its clients is to ensure that the Company has ready access to funds with which to settle large unforeseen claims. The Company would generally expect that positive cash flow from operations (underwriting activities and investment income) will be sufficient to cover cash outflows under most future loss scenarios. However, there is a possibility that unforeseen demands could be placed on the Company due to extraordinary events and as such the

Company s liquidity needs may change. Such events include, among other things, several significant catastrophes occurring in a relatively short period of time resulting in material incurred losses; rating agency downgrades of the Company s core insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries that would require posting of collateral in connection with the Company s letter of credit and revolving credit facilities, return of unearned premium and/or the settlement of derivative transactions and large scale uncollectible reinsurance recoverables on paid losses (as a result of coverage disputes, reinsurers credit problems or decreases in the value of collateral supporting reinsurance recoverables), etc. Any one or a combination of such events may cause a liquidity strain for the Company. In addition, a liquidity strain could also occur in an illiquid market, such as that which was experienced in 2008. Investments that may be used to meet liquidity needs in the event of a liquidity strain may not be liquid, given inactive markets, or may have to be sold at a significant loss as a result of depressed prices. Because each subsidiary focuses on a more limited number of specific product lines than is collectively available from the consolidated group of companies, the mix of business tends to be less diverse at the subsidiary level. As a result, the probability of a liquidity strain, as described above, may be greater for individual subsidiaries than when liquidity is assessed on a consolidated basis. If such a liquidity strain were to occur in a subsidiary, XL-Ireland may be required to contribute capital to the particular subsidiary and/or curtail dividends from the subsidiary to support holding company operations which may be difficult given that XL-Ireland is a holding company and has limited liquidity.

A downgrade below A of the Company s principal insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries by either S&P or A.M. Best, which is two notches below the current S&P financial strength rating of A (Stable) and the A.M. Best financial strength rating of A (Stable) of these subsidiaries, may trigger cancellation provisions in a significant amount of the Company s assumed reinsurance agreements and may potentially require the Company to return unearned premiums to cedants. In addition, due to collateral posting requirements under the Company s letter of credit and revolving credit facilities, such a downgrade may require the posting of cash collateral in support of certain in use portions of these facilities. Specifically, a downgrade below A by A.M. Best would trigger such collateral requirements for the Company s largest credit facility. In certain limited instances, such downgrades may require the Company to return cash or assets to counterparties or to settle derivative and/or other transactions with the respective counterparties. See Item 1A, Risk Factors A downgrade or potential downgrade in our financial strength and credit ratings by one or more rating agencies could materially and negatively impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and/or liquidity.

Holding Company Liquidity

As a holding company, XL-Ireland has no operations of its own and its assets consist primarily of its investments in its subsidiaries. Accordingly, XL-Ireland s future cash flows largely depend on the availability of dividends or other statutorily permissible payments from its subsidiaries. The ability to pay such dividends is limited by the applicable laws and regulations of the various countries and states in which XL-Ireland s subsidiaries operate, including, among others, Bermuda, the U.S., New York, Ireland, Switzerland and the United Kingdom. See Item 8, Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Statutory Financial Data, for further discussion and details regarding dividend capacity of the Company s major operating subsidiaries. See Risk Factors Our holding company structure and certain regulatory and other constraints affect our ability to pay dividends, make payments on our debt securities and make other payments. The ability to pay such dividends is also limited by the regulations of the Society of Lloyd s and certain contractual provisions. No assurance can be given that the Company s subsidiaries will pay dividends in the future to XL-Ireland.

Under Irish law, share premium was required to be converted to distributable reserves for the Company to have the ability to pay cash dividends and redeem and buyback shares following the Redomestication. On July 23, 2010, the Irish High Court approved XL-Ireland s conversion of share premium to $5.0 billion of distributable reserves, subject to the completion of certain formalities under Irish Company law. These formalities were completed in early August 2010. As of December 31, 2010 XL-Ireland had $4.6 billion in distributable reserves.

During 2009, management changed the internal ownership structure of certain of the Company s operating subsidiaries in Bermuda and Ireland in order to more efficiently utilize capital and to improve overall liquidity. In connection with these changes, certain dividends were paid to XL-Ireland by operating

subsidiaries. At December 31, 2010, XL-Ireland and XL-Cayman held cash and investments, net of liabilities associated with cash sweeping arrangements, of $2.8 million and $1.7 billion, respectively, compared to nil and $1.5 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2009.

The Company s principal uses of liquidity are for dividend payments to holders of its ordinary shares and preferred shares, interest and principal payments on debt, capital investments in its subsidiaries and corporate operating expenses.

XL Capital Finance (Europe) plc (XLCFE) is a wholly owned finance subsidiary of the XL-Ireland. In January 2002, XLCFE, issued $600 million par value 6.5% Guaranteed Senior Notes due January 2012. These notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by XL Company Switzerland GmbH.

XL-Ireland and its subsidiaries provide no guarantees or other commitments (express or implied) of financial support to the Company s subsidiaries or affiliates, except for such guarantees or commitments that are in writing.

See Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows in Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Sources of Liquidity for the Company

At December 31, 2010, the consolidated Company had cash and cash equivalents of approximately $3.0 billion as compared to approximately $3.6 billion at December 31, 2009. There are three main sources of cash flows for the Company those provided by operations, investing activities and financing activities.

Operating Cash Flows

Historically, cash receipts from operations, consisting of premiums and investment income, generally have provided sufficient funds to pay losses as well as operating expenses of the Company s subsidiaries and to fund dividends. Cash receipts from operations is generally derived from the receipt of investment income on the Company s investment portfolio as well as the net receipt of premiums less claims and expenses related to the Company s underwriting activities in its property and casualty operations as well as its Life Operations segment. The Company s operating subsidiaries provide liquidity in that premiums are generally received months or even years before losses are paid under the policies related to such premiums. Premiums and acquisition expenses are settled based on terms of trade as stipulated by an underwriting contract, and generally are received within the first year of inception of a policy when the premium is written, but can be up to three years on certain reinsurance business assumed. Operating expenses are generally paid within a year of being incurred. Claims, especially for casualty business, may take a much longer time before they are reported and ultimately settled, requiring the establishment of reserves for unpaid losses and loss expenses. Therefore, the amount of claims paid in any one year is not necessarily related to the amount of net losses incurred, as reported in the consolidated statement of income.

During the year ended 2010, net cash flows provided by operating activities were $594.8 million compared to net cash flows used of $42.8 million for the same period in 2009. The cash flows provided in 2010 resulted primarily from lower levels of cash payments for claims from previous underwriting years being offset by cash received as premium. In 2009 the cash flows used in operating activities were primarily as a result of cash payments for claims associated with Hurricanes Ike and Gustav losses.

During the year ended 2008, net cash flows used in operating activities were $427.3 million primarily as a result of the cash payment of approximately $1.8 billion to Syncora under the Master Agreement. The Company funded the payment to Syncora by using proceeds from the offering of both ordinary shares and ESUs, as well as from the proceeds received following the exercise by the Company of the put option under its Mangrove Bay contingent capital facility as described below. Excluding the payment to Syncora, net cash flows from operations was approximately $1.3 billion in 2008.

Total net paid losses were $3.5 billion, $3.9 billion and $3.8 billion in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Net losses incurred were $3.2 billion, $3.2 billion and $4.0 billion in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Investing Cash Flows

Generally, positive cash flow from operations and financing activities is invested in the Company s investment portfolio, including affiliates or acquisition of subsidiaries.

Net cash provided by investing activities was $261.5 million in 2010 compared to net cash provided of $214.6 million for 2009. The 2010 cash inflow was mainly associated with normal purchase and sale of portfolio investments.

Net cash provided by investing activities of $214.6 million in 2009 was mainly associated with normal purchase and sale of portfolio investments. During the year, the Company redeemed $441.5 million of alternative fund investments.

Net cash provided by investing activities of $3.8 billion in 2008 was mainly associated with the sale and redemption of the Company s investments in fixed maturities, short-term investments and equity securities. The sales of such securities exceeded related purchases, due mainly to the sale of assets used to settle the GIC liabilities and as a result of the Company s intention to reposition its investment portfolio and increase its holdings of cash as well as government agency holdings.

Certain of the Company s invested assets are held in trust and pledged in support of insurance and reinsurance liabilities. Such pledges are largely required by the Company s operating subsidiaries that are non-admitted under U.S. state insurance regulations, in order for the U.S. cedant to receive statutory credit for reinsurance. In addition certain deposit liabilities and annuity contracts require the use of pledged assets. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had $16.1 billion and $16.7 billion in pledged assets, respectively.

Financing Cash Flows

Cash flows related to financing activities include ordinary and preferred share related transactions, the payment of dividends, the issue or repayment of debt and deposit liability transactions.

During the third quarter of 2007, the Company s Board of Directors approved a share buyback program, authorizing the Company to purchase up to $500.0 million of its ordinary shares. As of January 1, 2010, $375.4 million ordinary shares remained available for purchase under this program. During the third quarter of 2010, the Company purchased and cancelled 13.9 million ordinary shares under this program for $268.6 million. During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company purchased and cancelled 4.9 million ordinary shares for $106.8 million under this program. In combination, these purchases totaled $375.4 million, the full amount remaining under this buyback program.

On November 2, 2010, the Company announced that its Board of Directors approved a new share buyback program, authorizing the Company to purchase up to $1.0 billion of its ordinary shares. The Company expects the purchases to be made from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, and that such purchases are expected to be funded from cash on hand. The timing, form and amount of the share buybacks under the program depend on a variety of factors, including market conditions, legal requirements and other factors. The buyback program may be modified, extended or terminated by the Board of Directors at any time.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company purchased and cancelled 6.9 million ordinary shares for $144.0 million under the 2010 buyback program. Between January 1 and February 22, 2011, the Company purchased and cancelled an additional 5.3 million shares for $121.3 million under the 2010 buyback program. As of February 22, 2011, $734.7 million remains available for purchase under this buyback program.

Net cash used in financing activities was $1.5 billion in 2010 compared to net cash used of $1.0 billion in 2009. The 2010 net cash outflows related primarily to the redemption of Series C Preference Ordinary Shares, purchase of the Company s ordinary shares as outlined above, settlement of $450 million of outstanding funding agreement liabilities, repayment of other deposit liabilities and the payment of ordinary and preferred dividends. For more information on the repurchase of debt, see Item 1, Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Share Capital.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, net cash outflows related primarily to the repayment of debt and deposit liabilities and the payment of common and preferred dividends.

Following the settlement of the purchase contracts associated with the 7.0% ESUs in February 2009, the Company issued 11,461,080 ordinary shares for net proceeds of approximately $743.1 million, which was used to retire the senior notes previously due February 2011, which had a fixed coupon of 5.25%.

On March 26, 2009, the Company completed a cash tender offer for its outstanding Series C Preference Ordinary Shares that resulted in approximately 12.7 million Series C Preference Ordinary Shares with a liquidation value of $317.3 million being purchased by the Company for approximately $104.7 million plus accrued and unpaid dividends, combined with professional fees totaling $0.8 million. As a result, a book value gain of approximately $211.8 million was recorded in the first quarter of 2009 to ordinary shareholders.

In the year ended December 31, 2008, net cash flows used in financing activities was $2.8 billion. The main drivers for the cash outflow in 2008 are summarized below.

In order to fund the payment of approximately $1.8 billion to Syncora under the Master Agreement in 2008 and the payment of approximately $283 million to consummate the redemption of X.L. America, Inc. s 6.58% Guaranteed Senior Notes due 2011, the Company raised approximately $2.8 billion in August 2008 through an issuance of both ordinary shares and ESUs. Concurrent with the execution of the Master Agreement, the Company exercised the put option under its Mangrove Bay contingent capital facility entered into in July 2003, resulting in net proceeds to the Company of approximately $500 million in exchange for the issuance by XL-Cayman of 20,000,000 Series C Preference Ordinary Shares, par value U.S. $0.01 per share (the Series C Preference Shares). For further details on these transactions, see Capital Resources, below.

In July 2008, in conjunction with the issuance of ordinary shares and ESUs described above, the Board of Directors approved a reduction in the quarterly dividend payable on the Company s ordinary shares to $0.19 per ordinary share beginning with the quarterly dividend paid in September 2008. In addition, in February 2009, the Board of Directors approved a further reduction in the quarterly dividend payable on the Company s ordinary shares to $0.10 per ordinary share beginning with the quarterly dividend payable in March 2009.

As noted above, during 2008 the Company settled approximately $4.0 billion of GIC liabilities through the sale of certain assets from its fixed income portfolio. In addition, $1.2 billion of funding agreements were settled during 2008. At December 31, 2008, a significant component of the investments held in the Company s Other Financial Lines segment portfolios was comprised of Topical Assets and CDOs. Liquidations necessary to fund the repayment of the GIC liabilities following the downgrade of Syncora Guarantee and the maturity of certain funding agreements were funded through sales of assets in these portfolios as well as the general investment portfolios. Management s approach was to avoid the sale of assets where current market prices did not reflect intrinsic values or where transaction costs for liquidation were excessive. As a result, the Company continues to hold a number of the Topical Assets and CDOs, which were transferred to the general investment portfolio in exchange for those assets that were liquidated. At December 31, 2009, the remaining balance of funding agreements, excluding accrued interest of $6.5 million, was $450 million, with the full balance scheduled for settlement in August 2010. The Company continues to manage its liquidity needs through changes in the mix of its investment portfolio as well as through other available capital resources and lines of credit as noted below.

The Company is a Well Known Seasoned Issuer (WKSI) as defined by the rules and regulations of the SEC. The Company maintains a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 and is eligible to file automatically effective registration statements in the future for the potential offering and sale of an unlimited amount of debt and equity securities. The registration statement allows for various types of securities to be offered, including the following (i) debt securities, preferred stock, common stock, depositary shares, warrants, stock purchase contracts, stock purchase units and junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures of the Company, (ii) preferred securities of any of one or more capital trusts organized by the Company and guarantees of such securities by the Company and (iii) debt securities of XL Capital Finance (Europe) plc and guarantees of such securities by the Company.

In addition the Company maintains letter of credit facilities which provide liquidity. Details of these facilities are described below in Capital Resources.

Capital Resources

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had total shareholders equity of $10.6 billion and $9.4 billion, respectively. In addition to ordinary share capital, the Company depends on external sources of financing to support its underwriting activities in the form of:

a. debt;

b. preference shares;

c. contingent capital; and

d. letter of credit facilities and other sources of collateral.

In particular, the Company requires, among other things:

sufficient capital to maintain its financial strength and credit ratings, as issued by several ratings agencies, at levels considered necessary by management to enable the Company s key operating subsidiaries to compete;

sufficient capital to enable its regulated subsidiaries to meet the regulatory capital levels required in the U.S., the U.K., Bermuda,

Ireland, Switzerland and other key markets;

letters of credit and other forms of collateral that are required to be posted or deposited, as the case may be, by the Company s operating subsidiaries that are non-admitted under U.S. state insurance regulations in order for the U.S. cedant to receive statutory credit for reinsurance. The Company also uses letters of credit to support its operations at Lloyd s; and

revolving credit to meet short-term liquidity needs.

The following risks are associated with the Company s requirement to renew its credit facilities:

the credit available from banks may be

reduced, resulting in the Company s need to pledge its investment portfolio to customers. This could result in a lower investment yield;

the Company may be downgraded by one or more rating agencies, which could materially and negatively impact the Company s business, financial condition, results of operations and/or liquidity; and

the volume of business that the Company s subsidiaries that are not admitted in the U.S. are able to transact could be reduced if the Company is

unable to
renew its
letter of
credit
facilities at
an
appropriate
amount.

Continued consolidation within the banking industry may result in the aggregate amount of credit provided to the Company being reduced. The Company attempts to mitigate this risk by identifying and/or selecting additional banks that can participate in the credit facilities upon renewal. See Item 1A, Risk Factors.

The following table summarizes the components of the Company s current capital resources at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**December 31, 2010**	**December 31, 2009**
Preferred share capital	$ 1,071,900	$ 1,182,673
Ordinary share capital	9,613,049	8,432,417
Total Ordinary and Preferred capital	$ 10,684,949	$ 9,615,090
Notes payable and debt	2,446,735	2,445,733
Total capital	$ 13,131,684	$ 12,060,823

Ordinary Share Capital

The following table reconciles the opening and closing common equity positions at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**December 31, 2010**	**December 31, 2009**
Ordinary share equity beginning of period	$ 8,432,417	$ 5,116,831
Net income (loss) attributable to XL Group plc.	643,377	74,991
Share buybacks	(521,920)	(626)
Share issues	1,109	741,291
Common share dividends	(134,238)	(136,804)
Preferred share dividends	(74,521)	(80,200)
Gain on redemption of Series C preference ordinary shares	16,616	211,816
Change in accumulated other comprehensive income	1,243,262	2,222,460
Impact of adoption of new authoritative OTTI guidance, net of tax		229,670
Impact of adoption of new authoritative embedded derivative guidance, net of tax	(31,917)	
Share based compensation and other	38,864	52,988
Ordinary equity end of period	$ 9,613,049	$ 8,432,417

Debt

The following tables present the Company s debt under outstanding securities and lenders commitments as at December 31, 2010:

Notes Payable and Debt *(U.S. dollars in thousands)*	**Commitment/ Debt**	**In Use/ Outstanding**	**Year of Expiry**	**Payments Due by Period** **Less than 1 Year**	**1 to 3 Years**	**3 to 5 Years**	**After Year**
5-year revolver	$ 1,000,000	$	2012	$	$	$	$
6.50% Guaranteed Senior Notes	600,000	599,668	2012		600,000		
5.25% Senior Notes	600,000	597,585	2014			600,000	
8.25% Senior Notes	575,000	575,000	2021				57

6.375% Senior Notes	350,000	350,000	2024				35
6.25% Senior Notes	325,000	324,482	2027				32
	$ 3,450,000	$ 2,446,735		$	$ 600,000	$ 600,000	$ 1,25
Adjustment to carrying value impact of fair value hedges		17,675					
Carrying value		2,464,410					

In Use and Outstanding data represent December 31, 2010 accreted values. Payments Due by Period data represent ultimate redemption values.

In addition, see Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Notes Payable and Debt Financing Arrangements, for further information.

At December 31, 2010, banks and investors provided the Company and its subsidiaries with $3.5 billion of debt capacity, of which $2.5 billion was utilized by the Company. These facilities consist of:

- revolving credit facility of $1.0 billion in aggregate.

- senior Unsecured Notes of approximately $2.5 billion. These notes require the Company to pay a fixed rate of interest during their terms. At December 31, 2010, there were five

outstanding issues of senior unsecured notes:

$600 million senior notes due January 2012, with a fixed coupon of 6.5%. The security is publicly traded. The notes were issued at $99.469 and gross proceeds were $596.8 million. Related expenses of the offering amounted to $7.9 million.

$600 million senior notes due September 2014, with a fixed coupon of 5.25%. The security is publicly traded. The notes were issued in two tranches of

$300
million
aggregate
principal

amount each one tranche at 99.432% and the other at 98.419%. Aggregate gross proceeds were $593.6 million. Related expenses of the offering amounted to $4 million.

$575 million of senior notes due August 2021, with a fixed coupon of 8.25%. These securities are a component of the 10.75% Units that are publicly traded. In addition to the coupon paid on the senior notes, quarterly contract adjustment payments at an annual rate of 2.50% per annum are paid on forward purchase contracts for the

Company s common shares for a total distribution of 10.75% per annum. The purchase contracts mature in 2011, and the senior notes mature in 2021. In August 2011, the senior notes will be remarketed whereby the interest rate will be reset in order to generate sufficient remarketing proceeds to satisfy the 10.75% Unit holders obligations under the purchase contract.

$350 million senior notes due November 2024, with a fixed coupon of 6.375%. The security is publicly traded. The notes were issued at 100.0% and gross

proceeds were $350 million. Related expenses of the offering amounted to $2 million.

$325 million of senior notes due 2027, with a fixed coupon of 6.25%. The security is publicly traded. The notes were issued at 99.805% and gross proceeds were $324.4 million. Related expenses of the offering amounted to $2.5 million.

Preferred shares

As at December 31, 2010, the Company s preferred share capital is made up of $1.0 billion Series E Preference ordinary shares and $ 71.9 million Series C Preference ordinary shares. As at December 31, 2009, the company s preferred share capital is made up of $1.0 billion Series E Preference ordinary shares and $182.7 million Series C Preference ordinary shares.

On February 12, 2010, the Company repurchased a portion of its outstanding Series C Preference Ordinary Shares, which resulted in approximately 4.4 million Series C Preference Ordinary Shares with a liquidation value of $110.8 million being purchased by the Company for approximately $94.2 million. As a result, a book value gain of approximately $16.6 million was recorded in the first quarter of 2010 to ordinary shareholders.

On March 26, 2009, the Company completed a cash tender offer for its outstanding Series C Preference Ordinary Shares that resulted in approximately 12.7 million Series C Preference Ordinary Shares with a liquidation value of $317.3 million being purchased by the Company for approximately $104.7 million plus accrued and unpaid dividends, combined with professional fees totaling $0.8 million. As a result, a book value gain of approximately $211.8 million was recorded in the first quarter of 2009 to ordinary shareholders. In addition, see Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Share Capital, for further information.

Contingent Capital

At December 31, 2010, the Company had one contingent capital transaction where the outstanding put option has not been exercised. No up-front proceeds were received by the Company under this transaction. In the event that the associated irrevocable put option agreement is exercised, proceeds previously raised from investors from the issuance of pass-through trust securities would be received in return for the issuance of preferred shares. See below for further details on this transaction.

On December 5, 2006, the Company and certain operating subsidiaries (Ceding Insurers) entered into a securities issuance agreement (the Securities Issuance Agreement), and certain of the Company s foreign insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries (Ceding Insurers) entered into an excess of loss reinsurance agreement (the Reinsurance Agreement), with Stoneheath Re (Stoneheath). The net effect of these agreements to the Company is the creation of a contingent put option to issue $350.0 million of preference ordinary shares in the aggregate. The agreements provide the Company with a Reinsurance Collateral Account in support of certain covered perils named in the Reinsurance Agreement. The covered perils include United States wind, European wind, California earthquake and terrorism worldwide. After an initial three-month period, the covered perils as well as the attachment points and aggregate retention amounts may be changed by the Ceding Insurers in their sole discretion. This may result in a material increase or decrease in the likelihood of payment under the Reinsurance Agreement. On each date on which a Ceding

Insurer withdraws funds from the Reinsurance Collateral Account, the Company shall issue and deliver to Stoneheath an amount of XL-Cayman Series D Preference Shares (the XL Preferred Securities) having an aggregate liquidation preference that is equal to the amount of funds so withdrawn from the Collateral Account. The Company is obligated to reimburse Stoneheath for certain fees and ordinary expenses. The initial term of the Reinsurance Agreement was for the period from the Closing Date through June 30, 2007, with four annual mandatory extensions through June 30, 2011 (unless coverage is exhausted thereunder prior to such date). The Ceding Insurers may thereafter extend the Reinsurance Agreement at their option for additional calendar quarters without limit (unless coverage is exhausted thereunder). The fair value of the contingent put option recorded in earnings was nil at each of December 31, 2010 and 2009. The XL Preferred Securities, if issued, will pay dividends on a non-cumulative basis at a fixed rate of 6.868% per annum through October 15, 2011 and thereafter at a floating rate based on 3-month LIBOR, plus 3.12%.

Letter of Credit Facilities and other sources of collateral

At December 31, 2010, the Company had five letter of credit facilities in place with total availability of $5.0 billion, of which $2.4 billion was utilized.

Other Commercial Commitments *(U.S. dollars in thousands)*	**Commitment**	**In Use**	**Year of Expiry**	**Amount of Commitment Expiration per period** **Less than 1 Year**	**1 to 3 Years**	**3 to 5 Years**	**After 5 Years**
Letter of Credit Facility	$ 250,000	116,746	Continuous				
Letter of Credit Facility (1)	4,000,000	1,888,963	2012		4,000,000		
Letter of Credit Facility	21	21	Continuous				
Letter of Credit Facility	93	93	Continuous				
Letter of Credit Facility	750,000	389,419	Continuous				
Five letter of credit facilities	$ 5,000,114	$ 2,395,242			$ 4,000,000		

(1) This letter of credit facility includes $1 billion

that is also included in the revolvers under Notes Payable and Debt.

In the event that such credit support is insufficient, the Company could be required to provide alternative security to cedants. This could take the form of insurance trusts supported by the Company s investment portfolio or funds withheld (amounts retained by ceding companies to collateralize loss or premium reserves) using the Company s cash resources or combinations thereof. The face amount of letters of credit required is driven by, among other things, loss development of existing reserves, the payment pattern of such reserves, the expansion of business written by the Company and the loss experience of such business. In addition to letters of credit, the Company has established insurance trusts in the U.S. that provide cedants with statutory credit for reinsurance under state insurance regulation in the U.S.

The Company reviews current and projected collateral requirements on a regular basis, as well as new sources of collateral. Management s objective is to maintain an excess amount of collateral sources over expected uses. The Company also reviews its liquidity needs on a regular basis.

On June 22, 2010, a $2.3 billion five-year letter of credit facility expired and was not replaced.

On December 14, 2009, the £450 million letter of credit facility issued on November 14, 2007 that was supporting the Company s syndicates at Lloyd s of London terminated. This was replaced by a $750 million bilateral secured letter of credit facility.

Covenants

The Company s Credit Facilities contains a number of financial covenants that must be met and maintained, and that among other things, could restrict, subject to certain exceptions, our financial flexibility including the ability to:

- engage in mergers or consolidations;
- dispose of assets out with the ordinary course of business;
- create liens on assets; and
- engage in certain transactions with affiliates.

The following outlines the covenant requirements and actual amounts as of December 31, 2010:

	Covenant Requirement	Actual Ratio or Balance at December 31, 2010	Margin of Adverse Development from December 31, 2010 Levels
Ratio of Total Funded Debt to Total Capitalization (1)	Less than 0.35:1.00	0.19:1.00	$6.1 billion
Maximum Secured Indebtedness (2)	Less than 15% of consolidated net worth	0%	$1.6 billion
Consolidated Net Worth (3)	$6.5 billion	$10.6 billion	$4.1 billion
Financial Strength Ratings (4)	Better than B++ from A.M. Best	A (stable)	Two notch downgrade

(1) The ratio of total funded debt to total capitalization not to be greater than 0.35:1.00. This ratio is defined as total funded debt to the sum of total funded debt plus consolidated net worth.

(2) Secured indebtedness excludes secured letter of credit facilities as permitted under the schedules to the credit facilities. At December 31, 2010, such secured letter of credit

facilities amounted to $506.3 million.

(3) Consolidated net worth means, at any time, the consolidated stockholders equity of the Company excluding (a) the effect of any adjustments required under the authoritative accounting guidance for accounting for certain investments in debt and equity securities; and (b) any exempt indebtedness (and the assets relating thereto) in the event such exempt indebtedness is consolidated on the consolidated balance sheet the Company.

(4) Covenants require that none of XL Group, XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd or XL Re

Ltd has a financial strength ratings of less than A from A.M. Best. At December 31, 2010, the Company was in compliance with such covenants.

As noted in the table above, at December 31, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all covenants by significant margins, and the Company currently remains in compliance.

Cross-Default and Other Provisions in Debt Instruments

The following describes certain terms of the documents referred to below. All documents referred to below have been filed with the SEC and should be referred to for an assessment of the complete contractual obligations of the Company.

In general, all of the Company s bank facilities, indentures and other documents relating to the Company s outstanding indebtedness, including the credit facilities discussed above (collectively, the Company s Debt Documents), contain cross default provisions to each other and the Company s Debt Documents contain affirmative covenants. These covenants provide for, among other things, minimum required ratings of the Company s insurance and reinsurance operating subsidiaries and minimum required levels of secured indebtedness in the future. In addition, generally each of the Company s Debt Documents provide for an event of default in the event of a change of control of the Company or certain events involving bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization of the Company.

A downgrade below A of the Company s principal insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries by either S&P or A.M. Best, which is two notches below the current S&P financial strength rating of A (Negative) and the A.M. Best financial strength rating of A (Stable) of these subsidiaries, may trigger cancellation provisions in a significant amount of the Company s assumed reinsurance agreements and may potentially require the Company to return unearned premiums to cedants. In addition, due to collateral posting requirements under the Company s letter of credit and revolving credit facilities, such a downgrade may require the posting of cash collateral in support of certain in use portions of these facilities (see Liquidity and Capital Resources). Specifically, a downgrade below A by A.M. Best would trigger such collateral requirements for the Company s largest credit facility. In certain limited instances, such downgrades may require the Company to return cash or assets to counterparties or to settle derivative and/or other transactions with the respective counterparties. See Item 1A, Risk Factors, A downgrade or potential downgrade in our financial strength and credit ratings by one or more rating agencies could materially and negatively impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and/or liquidity.

Under the Company s five-year U.S. credit facility, in the event that XL Group, XL Insurance (Bermuda) Ltd and XL Re Ltd fail to maintain a financial strength rating of at least A from A.M. Best, an event of default would occur.

The 6.5% Guaranteed Senior Notes indenture contains a cross default provision. In general, in the event that the Company defaults in the payment of indebtedness in the amount of $50.0 million or more, an event of default would be triggered under the 6.5% Guaranteed Senior Notes indentures. Given that all of the Company s Debt Documents contain cross default provisions, this may result in all holders declaring such debt due and payable and an acceleration of all debt due under those documents. If this were to occur, the Company may not have funds sufficient at that time to repay any or all of such indebtedness.

Long-Term Contractual Obligations

The following table presents the Company s long term contractual obligations and related payments as at December 31, 2010, due by period. This table excludes further commitments of $137.5 million to the Company s related investment funds and certain limited partnerships, and letter of credit facilities of $2.4 billion. See Item 8, Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Derivative Instruments, and Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Commitments and Contingencies. See Item 8, Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Notes Payable and Debt and Financing Arrangements, for further information.

Contractual Obligations *(U.S. dollars in thousands)*	**Total**	**Less than 1 year**	**1 to 3 years**	**3 to 5 years**	**More than 5 years**
Long-term debt obligations	$ 2,450,000	$	$ 600,000	$ 600,000	$ 1,250,000
Interest on long-term debt	832,075	114,943	227,446	128,528	361,158
Contingent capital facilities	24,960	8,320	16,640		
Equity Units	511,333	48,163	142,967	142,313	177,890
Operating lease obligations	171,437	31,711	52,051	32,350	55,325
Capital lease obligations	237,081	10,968	22,765	23,917	179,431
Deposit liabilities (1)	2,794,147	189,380	275,442	321,072	2,008,253
Future policy benefits (2)	8,156,708	406,888	765,616	751,916	6,232,288
Unpaid losses and loss expenses property and casualty operations (3)	20,876,763	4,314,109	5,476,567	3,303,700	7,782,387

Total	$ 36,054,504	$ 5,124,482	$ 7,579,494	$ 5,303,796	$ 18,046,732

(1) Deposit liabilities on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2010 were $1,684,606. The difference from the amount included above relates to the discount on payments due in the future. The payment related to these liabilities varies primarily based on interest rates. The ultimate payments associated with these liabilities could differ from the Company s estimate. See Item 8, Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Deposit Liabilities, for further information.

(2) Future policy benefit reserves related to Life operations were $5,075,127 on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2010. Amounts reflected above include an allowance for future premiums in respect of contracts under which premiums are payable throughout the life of the underlying policy. The value of the discount is also included for those lines of business that have reserves where future claim payments and future premium receipts can be estimated using actuarial principles. The timing and amounts of actual claims payments and premium receipts related to

these reserves vary based on the underlying experience of the portfolio. Typical elements of the experience include mortality, morbidity and persistency. The ultimate amount of the claims payments and premium receipts could differ materially from the Company s estimated amounts.

(3) The unpaid loss and loss expenses were $20,531,607 on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2010. The difference from the amount included above relates to the discount on payments due in the future for certain workers compensation lines. The timing and amounts of actual claims

payments
related to
these P&C
reserves vary
based on
many factors
including
large
individual
losses,
changes in the
legal
environment,
as well as
general
market
conditions.
The ultimate
amount of the
claims
payments
could differ
materially
from the
Company s
estimated
amounts. For
information
regarding the
estimates for
unpaid loss
and loss
expenses as
well as factors
effecting
potential
payment
patterns of
reserves for
actual and
potential
claims related
the Company s
different lines
of business
see Critical
Accounting
Policies and
Estimates
above. Certain
lines of

business written by the Company, such as excess casualty, have loss experience characterized as low frequency and high severity. This may result in significant variability in loss payment patterns and, therefore, may impact the related asset/liability investment management process. In order to be in a position, if necessary, to make these payments, the Company s liquidity requirements are supported by having revolving lines of credit facilities available to the Company and significant reinsurance programs, in addition to the Company s general high grade fixed income investment portfolio.

Variable Interest Entities (VIEs) and Other Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At times, the Company has utilized VIEs both indirectly and directly in the ordinary course of the Company s business.

The Company invests in CDOs, and other investment vehicles that are issued through variable interest entities as part of the Company s investment portfolio. The activities of these VIEs are generally limited to holding the underlying collateral used to service investments therein. Our involvement in these entities is passive in nature and we are not the arranger of these entities. The Company has not been involved in establishing these entities. The Company is not the primary beneficiary of these variable interest entities as contemplated in current authoritative accounting guidance.

The company has a limited number of remaining outstanding credit enhancement exposures including written financial guarantee and credit default swap contracts. The obligations related to these transactions are often securitized through variable interest entities. The Company is not the primary beneficiary of these variable interest entities as contemplated in current authoritative accounting guidance. For further details on the nature of the obligations and the size of the company s maximum exposure see Item 8, Note 2(r), Recent Accounting Pronouncements, and Note 16, Derivative Instruments, to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company has utilized variable interest entities in certain instances as a means of accessing contingent capital. The Company has utilized unconsolidated entities in the formation of contingent capital facilities.

On December 5, 2006, the Company and certain operating subsidiaries (Ceding Insurers) entered into a securities issuance agreement (the Securities Issuance Agreement), and certain of the Company s foreign insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries (Ceding Insurers) entered into an excess of loss reinsurance agreement (the Reinsurance Agreement), with Stoneheath Re (Stoneheath). The net effect of these agreements to the Company is the creation of a contingent put option in the amount of $350.0 million in the aggregate. The Company s interests in Stoneheath represents an interest in a variable interest entity under current authoritative accounting guidance, however, the Company is not the primary beneficiary as contemplated in that guidance. Given that there are no contractual requirements or intentions to enter into additional variable interests in this entity; management considers the likelihood of consolidating Stoneheath in the future to be remote.

The agreements provide the Company with a Reinsurance Collateral Account in support of certain covered perils named in the Reinsurance Agreement. The covered perils include United States wind, European wind, California earthquake and terrorism worldwide. After an initial three-month period, the covered perils as well as the attachment points and aggregate retention amounts may be changed by the Ceding Insurers in their sole discretion. This may result in a material increase or decrease in the likelihood of payment under the Reinsurance Agreement. On each date on which a Ceding Insurer withdraws funds from the Reinsurance Collateral Account, the Company shall issue and deliver to the Issuer an amount of XL-Cayman Series D Preference Shares having an aggregate liquidation preference that is equal to the amount of funds so withdrawn from the Collateral Account. The Company is obligated to reimburse Stoneheath for certain fees and ordinary expenses. The initial term of the Reinsurance Agreement was for the period from the Closing Date through June 30, 2007, with four annual mandatory extensions thereafter through June 30, 2011 (unless coverage is exhausted there under prior to such date). The Ceding Insurers may thereafter extend the Reinsurance Agreement at their option for additional calendar quarters without limit (unless coverage is exhausted there under). The contingent put option is recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. The Stoneheath preferred securities and, if issued, the XL Series D Preference Shares will pay dividends on a non-cumulative basis at a fixed rate of 6.868% per annum through October 15, 2011 and thereafter at a floating rate based on 3-month LIBOR, plus 3.12%.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Item 8, Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Significant Accounting Policies, for a discussion of recent accounting pronouncements.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (PSLRA) provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements. Any prospectus, prospectus supplement, the Company s Annual Report to ordinary shareholders, any proxy statement, any other Form 10-K, Form 10-Q or Form 8-K of the Company or any

other written or oral statements made by or on behalf of the Company may include forward-looking statements that reflect the Company s current views with respect to future events and financial performance. Such statements include forward-looking statements both with respect to the Company in general, and to the insurance and reinsurance sectors in particular (both as to underwriting and investment matters). Statements that include the words expect, intend, plan, believe, project, anticipate, will, may and similar statements of a future or forward-looking nature identify forward-looking statements for purposes of the PSLRA or otherwise.

All forward-looking statements address matters that involve risks and uncertainties. Accordingly, there are or will be important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated in such statements. The Company believes that these factors include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) changes in the size of the Company s claims relating to natural or man-made catastrophe losses due to the preliminary nature of some reports and estimates of loss and damage to date; (ii) trends in rates for property and casualty insurance and reinsurance; (iii) the timely and full recoverability of reinsurance placed by the Company with third parties, or other amounts due to the Company; (iv) changes in ratings, rating agency policies or practices; (v) changes in the projected amount of ceded reinsurance recoverables and the ratings and creditworthiness of reinsurers; (vi) the timing of claims payments being faster or the receipt of reinsurance recoverables being slower than anticipated by the Company; (vii) the Company s ability to successfully implement its business strategy especially during the soft market cycle; (viii) increased competition on the basis of pricing, capacity, coverage terms or other factors, which could harm the Company s ability to maintain or increase its business volumes or profitability; (ix) greater frequency or severity of claims and loss activity than the Company s underwriting, reserving or investment practices anticipate based on historical experience or industry data; (x) the effects of inflation on the Company s business, including on pricing and reserving; (xi) developments, including uncertainties related to the depth and duration of the current recession, and future volatility, in the world s credit, financial and capital markets that adversely affect the performance and valuation of the Company s investments or access to such markets; (xii) the potential impact on the Company from government-mandated insurance coverage for acts of terrorism; (xiii) the potential for changes to methodologies, estimations and assumptions that underlie the valuation of the Company s financial instruments that could result in changes to investment valuations; (xiv) changes to the Company s assessment as to whether it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell, or has the intent to sell, available for sale debt securities before their anticipated recovery; (xv) availability of borrowings and letters of credit under the Company s credit facilities; (xvi) the ability of the Company s subsidiaries to pay dividends to XL Group plc; (xvii) the potential effect of regulatory developments in the jurisdictions in which the Company operates, including those which could impact the financial markets or increase the Company s business costs and required capital levels; (xviii) changes in regulation or laws applicable to XL Group plc or its subsidiaries, brokers or customers; (xix) acceptance of the Company s products and services, including new products and services; (xx) changes in the availability, cost or quality of reinsurance; (xxi) changes in the distribution or placement of risks due to increased consolidation of insurance and reinsurance brokers; (xxii) loss of key personnel; (xxiii) changes in accounting policies or practices or the application thereof; (xxiv) legislative or regulatory developments including, but not limited to, changes in regulatory capital balances that must be maintained by the Company s operating subsidiaries and governmental actions for the purpose of stabilizing the financial markets; (xxv) the effects of mergers, acquisitions and divestitures; (xvi) developments related to bankruptcies of companies insofar as they affect property and casualty insurance and reinsurance coverages or claims that the Company may have as a counterparty; (xxvii) changes in general economic conditions, including changes in interest rates, credit spreads, foreign currency exchange rates and other factors; (xxviii) changes in applicable tax laws, tax treaties or tax regulations or the interpretation or enforcement thereof; (xxix) the effects of business disruption or economic contraction due to war, terrorism or other hostilities; (xxx) the Company s ability to realize the expected benefits from the redomestication; (xxxi) any unanticipated costs in connection with the redomestication; and (xxxii) the other factors set forth in Item 1A, Risk Factors, and the Company s other documents on file with the SEC. The foregoing review of important factors should not be construed as exhaustive and should be read in conjunction with the other cautionary statements that are included herein or elsewhere. The Company undertakes no obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise, except as required by the federal securities laws.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The following risk management discussion and the estimated amounts generated from the sensitivity and value-at-risk (VaR) analyses presented in this document are forward-looking statements of market risk assuming certain adverse market conditions occur. Actual results in the future may differ materially from these estimated results due to, among other things, actual developments in the global financial markets and changes in the composition of the Company s investment portfolio. The results of analysis used by the Company to assess and mitigate risk should not be considered projections of future events of losses. See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

Market risk represents the potential for loss due to adverse changes in the fair value of financial and other instruments. The Company is principally exposed to the following market risks: interest rate risk; foreign currency exchange rate risk; equity price risk; credit risk; and legacy weather and energy-related risk; among others. For a discussion of related risks, see the risk factor titled We are exposed to significant capital markets risks related to changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices and foreign exchange rates which may adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows in Item 1A, Risk Factors , above.

The majority of the Company s market risk arises from its investment portfolio which consists of fixed income securities, alternative investments, public equities, private investments, derivatives, other investments, and cash, denominated in both U.S. and foreign currencies, which are sensitive to changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices, foreign currency exchange rates and other related market risks. The Company s fixed income and equity securities are primarily classified as available for sale; accordingly changes in interest rates, credit spreads on corporate and structured credit, equity prices, foreign currency exchange rates or other related market changes will have an immediate effect on comprehensive income and shareholders equity but will not ordinarily have an immediate effect on net income. Nevertheless, changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices and other related market changes effect consolidated net income when, and if, a security is sold or impaired.

On a limited basis the Company enters into derivatives and other financial instruments primarily for risk management purposes. The Company uses derivatives to hedge foreign exchange and interest rate risk related to its consolidated net exposures. From time to time, the Company also uses investment derivative instruments such as futures, options, interest rate swaps, credit default swaps and foreign currency forward contracts to manage the duration of its investment portfolio and foreign currency exposures and also to obtain exposure to a particular financial market. Historically, the Company entered into credit derivatives outside of the investment portfolio in conjunction with the legacy financial guarantee and financial products operations. The Company attempts to manage the risks associated with derivative use with guidelines established by senior management. Derivative instruments are carried at fair value with the resulting changes in fair value recognized in income in the period in which they occur. See Item 8, Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Derivative Instruments, for further information

This risk management discussion and the estimated amounts generated from the sensitivity and VaR analyses presented in this document are forward-looking statements of market risk assuming certain adverse market conditions occur. Actual results in the future may differ materially from these estimated results due to, among other things, actual developments in the global financial markets and changes in the composition of the Company s investment portfolio. The results of analysis used by the Company to assess and mitigate risk should not be considered projections of future events of losses. See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

Interest Rate Risk

The Company s aggregate fixed income portfolio is exposed to interest rate risk. Interest rate risk is the price sensitivity of a fixed income security to changes in interest rates. The Company manages interest rate risk within the context of its Strategic Asset Allocation process by specifying Benchmarks relative to the estimated duration of its liabilities, thus mitigating the overall economic effect of interest rate risk and within the constraints of the Company s risk appetite. Nevertheless, the Company remains exposed to

interest rate risk with respect to the Company s overall net asset position and more generally from an accounting standpoint since the assets are marked to market, thus subject to market conditions, while liabilities are accrued at a static rate.

In addition, while the Company s debt is not carried at fair value and not adjusted for market changes, changes in market interest rates could have an impact on debt values at the time of refinancing.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

Many of the Company s non-U.S. subsidiaries maintain both assets and liabilities in local currencies, therefore, foreign exchange risk is generally limited to net assets denominated in foreign currencies.

Foreign currency exchange rate gains and losses in the Company s Statement of Income arise for accounting purposes when net assets or liabilities are denominated in foreign currencies that differ from the functional currency of those subsidiaries. While unrealized foreign exchange gains and losses on underwriting balances are reported in earnings, the offsetting unrealized gains and losses on invested assets are recorded as a separate component of shareholders equity, to the extent that the asset currency does not match that entity s functional currency. This results in an accounting mismatch that will result in foreign exchange gains or losses in the consolidated statements of income depending on the movement in certain currencies. In order to improve administrative efficiencies as well as to address this accounting imbalance, the Company formed several branches with Euro and U.K. sterling functional currencies. Management continues to focus on attempting to limit this type of exposure in the future.

Foreign currency exchange rate risk in general is reviewed as part of the Company s risk management process. Within its asset liability framework for the investment portfolio, the Company pursues a general policy of holding the assets and liabilities in the same currency and as such the Company is not generally exposed to the risks associated with foreign exchange movements within its investment portfolio as currency impacts on the assets are generally matched by corresponding impacts on the related liabilities. Foreign exchange contracts within the investment portfolio are utilized to manage individual portfolio foreign exchange exposures, subject to investment management service providers guidelines established by management. These contracts are generally not designated as specific hedges for financial reporting purposes and, therefore, realized and unrealized gains and losses on these contracts are recorded in income in the period in which they occur. These contracts generally have maturities of three months or less. The Company also attempts to manage the foreign exchange volatility arising on certain transactions denominated in foreign currencies. These include, but are not limited to, premium receivable, reinsurance contracts, claims payable and investments in subsidiaries.

The principal currencies creating foreign exchange risk for the Company are the U.K. sterling, the Euro, the Swiss Franc and the Canadian dollar. The following tables provide more information on the Company s exposure to foreign exchange rate risk at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

December 31, 2010 *(Foreign Currency in millions)*	**Euro**	**U.K. Sterling**	**Swiss Franc**	**Canadian Dollar**
Net exposure to key foreign currencies	90.3	2.1	268.4	247.8

December 31, 2009 *(Foreign Currency in millions)*	**Euro**	**U.K. Sterling**	**Swiss Franc**	**Canadian Dollar**
Net exposure to key foreign currencies	258.6	(120.0)	261.9	508.1

Credit Risk

The Company is exposed to direct credit risk within its investment portfolio as well as through general counterparties, including customers and reinsurers. Credit risk relates to the uncertainty of an obligor s continued ability to make timely payments in accordance with the contractual terms of the instrument or contract. The Company manages credit risk through an overall investment strategy by way of its Strategic Asset Allocation framework and its established investment credit policies which address quality of obligors and counterparties, industry limits, and diversification requirements. The Company s exposure to market credit spreads primarily relates to market price and cash flow variability associated with changes in credit spreads.

Certain of the Company s underwriting activities expose it to indirect credit risk in that profitability of certain strategies can correlate with credit events at the issuer level, industry level or country level. The Company manages these risks through established underwriting policies which operate in accordance with established limit and escalation frameworks.

The Company has established Risk Governance processes by which oversight and decision-making authorities with respect to risks are granted to individuals by the Credit Committee and strategies within the enterprise. The Company s governance framework establishes accountabilities for tasks and outcomes as well as escalation criteria. Governance processes are designed to ensure that transactions and activities, individually and in the aggregate, are carried out in accordance with the Company s risk policies, philosophies, appetites, limits and risk concentrations, and in a manner consistent with expectations of excellence of integrity, accountability and client service.

Credit Risk Investment Portfolio

Credit risk in the investment portfolio is the exposure to adverse changes in the creditworthiness of individual investment holdings, issuers, groups of issuers, industries and countries. A widening of credit spreads will increase the net unrealized loss position, will increase losses associated with credit based non-qualifying derivatives where the Company assumes credit exposure, and, if issuer credit spreads increase significantly or for an extended period of time in a period of increasing defaults, would likely result in higher other-than-temporary impairments. All else held equal, credit spread tightening will reduce net investment income associated with new purchases of fixed maturities. In addition, market volatility can make it difficult to value certain of the Company s securities if trading becomes less frequent. As such, valuations may include assumptions or estimates that may have significant period to period changes which could have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated results of operations or financial condition. The credit spread duration in the Company s fixed income portfolio was 3.8 years at December 31, 2010.

The Company manages credit risk in the investment portfolio, including fixed income, alternative and short-term investment through the credit research performed primarily by the investment management service providers. The management of credit risk in the investment portfolio is also fully integrated in the Company s credit risk management governance framework and the management of credit exposures and concentrations within the investment portfolio are carried out in accordance with the Company s risk policies, philosophies, appetites, limits and risk concentrations delegated to the investment portfolio. In the investment portfolio, the Company reviews on a regular basis its asset concentration, credit quality and adherence to the Company s credit limit guidelines. Any issuer over its credit limits, experiencing financial difficulties, material credit quality deterioration or potentially subject to forthcoming credit quality deterioration is placed on a watch list for closer monitoring. Where appropriate, exposures are reduced or prevented from increasing.

The table below shows the Company s aggregate fixed income portfolio by credit rating in percentage terms of the Company s aggregate fixed income exposure (including fixed maturities, short-term investments, cash and cash equivalents and net payable for investments purchased) as at December 31, 2010:

	Percentage of Aggregate Fixed Income Portfolio
AAA	52.0 %
AA	15.5 %
A	22.3 %
BBB	6.7 %

BB & below	3.5 %
NR	%
Total	100.0 %

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the average credit quality of the Company s aggregate fixed income investment portfolio was AA, excluding operating cash. The Company s $11.7 billion portfolio of government and government related, agency, sovereign and cash holdings were rated AAA at

126

December 31, 2010. The Company s $12.6 billion portfolio of corporates is rated A. The Company s $9.5 billion structured credit portfolio is AA+ rated.

The table below summarizes the Company s significant exposures (defined as having an amortized cost in excess of $50.0 million) to corporate bonds of financial issuers held within its investment portfolio, representing both amortized cost and unrealized gains (losses):

(U.S. dollars in millions)

Issuer (by Global Ultimate Parent)	**Amortized Cost at December 31, 2010 (1)**	**Unrealized Gain (Loss) at December 31, 2010**
Rabobank Nederland NV	$ 173.3	$ (1.9)
Lloyd s Banking Group plc	168.6	0.9
Bank of America Corporation	162.5	(4.1)
The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.	138.0	0.2
JPMorgan Chase & Co.	128.9	(4.1)
Morgan Stanley	127.2	1.8
HSBC Holdings plc	122.3	(3.5)
Citigroup Inc.	120.8	(1.8)
Wells Fargo & Company	109.7	2.3
Banco Santander, S.A.	108.1	(20.7)
BNP Paribas	107.5	(3.5)
Barclays plc	106.2	(19.1)
Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Limited	100.8	1.1
National Australia Bank Limited	96.3	(2.8)
The Bank Of Nova Scotia	93.4	0.8
Credit Agricole S.A.	84.6	(4.7)
Credit Suisse Group AG	81.4	0.9
Aviva plc	79.3	(15.0)
UBS AG	77.8	(0.2)
Standard Chartered plc	72.8	(1.7)
The Bank Of New York Mellon Corporation	72.3	0.5
Canadian Imperial Bank Of Commerce	71.4	0.2
Nationwide Building Society	68.3	(5.6)
U.S. Bancorp	65.5	(1.2)
Westpac Banking Corporation	65.1	1.2
RFS Holdings B.V.	65.1	3.0
BPCE	64.4	(1.6)
Nordea Bank AB	57.8	(1.1)
Legal & General Group plc	55.9	(4.8)

Bank Of Montreal	55.8	0.7
Danske Bank A/S	53.3	(6.1)
Metlife, Inc.	52.4	2.5
Northern Rock plc (covered bond)	50.4	(1.2)

(1) Government-guaranteed paper has been excluded from the above figures.

Within the Company s corporate financial bond holdings, the Company is further monitoring its exposures to hybrid securities, representing Tier One and Upper Tier Two securities of various financial institutions. The following table summarizes the top ten exposures to hybrid securities, listed by amortized cost representing both amortized cost and unrealized (losses):

(U.S. dollars in millions)

Issuer (by Global Ultimate Parent)	Tier One Amortized Cost at December 31, 2010	Upper Tier Two Amortized Cost at December 31, 2010	Total Amortized Cost at December 31, 2010	Net Unrealized (Loss) at December 31, 2010
Barclays, Plc	$ 44.9	$ 58.2	$ 103.1	$ (18.7)
Banco Santander, S.A.	47.5	33.0	80.5	(18.5)
Aviva PLC	5.6	52.6	58.2	(12.4)
Danske Bank A/S	33.6	19.7	53.3	(6.0)
Credit Agricole SA	10.1	41.2	51.3	(4.9)
Assicurazioni Generali S.P.A	41.4		41.4	(8.7)
Unicredit S.P.A.	35.2		35.2	(7.5)
BNP Paribas	27.5		27.5	(3.6)
HSBC Holdings PLC	26.9		26.9	(3.1)
Zurich Financial Services		25.9	25.9	(1.8)
Total	$ 272.7	$ 230.6	$ 503.3	$ (85.2)

As at December 31, 2009, the top 10 corporate holdings, which exclude government guaranteed and government sponsored enterprises, represented approximately 4.9% of the aggregate fixed income portfolio and approximately 16.0% of all corporate holdings. The top 10 corporate holdings listed below represent the direct bond exposure to the corporations listed below, including their subsidiaries, and excludes any securitized, credit enhanced and collateralized asset or mortgage backed securities, cash and cash equivalents, pooled notes and any over-the-counter (OTC) derivative counterparty exposures, if applicable.

Top 10 Corporate Holdings (1)	Percentage of Aggregate Fixed Income Portfolio (2)
Pfizer Inc.	0.7 %
General Electric Company	0.6 %
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	0.6 %
Rabobank Nederland NV	0.5 %
Lloyd s Banking Group PLC	0.5 %
Bank of America Corporation	0.5 %

AT&T Inc.	0.5 %
Verizon Communications, Inc.	0.5 %
The Proctor & Gamble Company	0.5 %
The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.	0.4 %

(1) Corporate issuers exclude government-backed, government-sponsored enterprises and cash and cash equivalents.

(2) Includes fixed maturities, short-term investments, cash and cash equivalents and net payable for investments purchased and excludes government-guaranteed paper.

As at December 31, 2010, the top 5 corporate sector exposures listed below represented 29.6% of the aggregate fixed income investment portfolio and 79.3% of all corporate holdings.

(U.S. dollars in millions)

Top 5 Sector Exposures	**Fair Value**	**Percentage of Aggregate Fixed Income Portfolio**
Financials (1)	$ 4,436.3	13.2 %
Consumer, Non-Cyclical	2,280.1	6.8 %
Utilities	1,393.4	4.1 %
Communications	930.1	2.8 %
Energy	921.7	2.7 %
Total	$ 9,961.6	29.6 %

(1) Government-guaranteed paper has been excluded from the above figures.

128

The Company also has exposure to market movement related to credit risk associated with its mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities. The table below shows the breakdown of the $9.5 billion structured credit portfolio, of which 76.7% is AAA rated:

(U.S. dollars in millions)	Fair Value	Percentage of Structured Portfolio
CMBS	$ 1,177.4	12.4 %
Non-Agency RMBS	1,107.4	11.7 %
Core CDO (non-ABS CDOs and CLOs)	733.5	7.7 %
Other ABS	1,248.1	13.1 %
Agency RMBS	5,237.6	55.1 %
Total	$ 9,504.0	100.0 %

Credit Risk Other

Credit derivatives are purchased within the Company s investment portfolio, have been sold through a limited number of contracts written as part of the Company s previous XL Financial Solutions business, and were previously entered into through the Company s prior reinsurance agreements with Syncora, as described below. From time to time, the Company may purchase credit default swaps to hedge an existing position or concentration of holdings. The credit derivatives are recorded at fair value. See Item 8, Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Derivative Instruments, for further information.

The Company has exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and routinely executes transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks, alternatives and other investment funds and other institutions. Many of these transactions expose the Company to credit risk in the event of default of the Company s counterparty. In addition, with respect to secured transactions, the Company s credit risk may be exacerbated when the collateral held by the Company cannot be sold or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the loan or derivative exposure that is due. The Company also has exposure to financial institutions in the form of unsecured debt instruments, derivative transactions, revolving credit facility and letter of credit commitments and equity investments. There can be no assurance that any such losses or impairments to the carrying value of these assets would not materially and adversely affect the Company s business and results of operations.

With regards to unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable and reinsurance balances receivable, the Company has credit risk should any of its reinsurers be unable or unwilling to settle amounts due to the Company; however, these exposures are not marked to market. For further information relating to reinsurer credit risk, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Unpaid Losses and Loss Expenses Recoverable and Reinsurance Balances Receivable.

The Company is exposed to credit risk in the event of non-performance by the other parties to its derivative instruments in general; however, the Company does not anticipate non-performance. The difference between the notional principal amounts and the associated market value is the Company s maximum credit exposure.

Equity Price Risk

The Company s equity investment portfolio as well as other investments, primarily representing certain derivatives and certain affiliate investments, are exposed to mark to market movements associated with equity price risk. Equity price risk is the potential loss arising from changes in the market value of equities. At December 31, 2010, the Company s equity portfolio was approximately $84.8 million as compared to $12.0 million at December 31, 2009. This excludes fixed income fund investments that generally do not have the risk characteristics of equity investments. At December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company s direct allocation to equity securities was a negligible percentage of the total investment portfolio (including cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income and net payable for investments purchased). The Company also estimates the equity risk embedded in certain alternative and private investments. Such estimates are derived from market exposures provided to the Company by certain individual fund investments and/or internal statistical analyses.

Other Market Risks

The Company s private investment portfolio is invested in limited partnerships and other entities which are not publicly traded. In addition to normal market risks, these positions may also be exposed to liquidity risk, risks related to distressed investments, and risks specific to startup or small companies. As at December 31, 2010, the Company s exposure to private investments, excluding unfunded commitments, was $331.7 million representing 1.0% of the fixed income portfolio compared to $324.1 million as at December 31, 2009.

The Company s alternative investment portfolio, which is exposed to equity and credit risk as well as certain other market risks, had a total exposure of $933.5 million making up approximately 2.8% of the investment portfolio (including cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income and net payable for investments purchased) at December 31, 2010, as compared to December 31, 2009, where the Company had a total exposure of $800.2 million representing approximately 2.4% of the investment portfolio. The VaR associated with the alternative investment portfolio at December 31, 2010 was approximately $53.1 million using proxy indices. For further discussion of the VaR of the Company s investment portfolio see the Sensitivity and Value-at-Risk Analysis section below.

At December 31, 2010, bond and stock index futures outstanding had a net long position of $14.1 million as compared to a net long position of $81.8 million at December 31, 2009. The Company may reduce its exposure to these futures through offsetting transactions, including options and forwards.

Sensitivity and Value-at-Risk Analysis

Central to the Company s market risk management framework for Investments is VaR. VaR is a statistical risk measure, calculating the level of potential losses that could be expected to be exceeded, over a specified holding period and at a given level of confidence, in normal market conditions, due to adverse movements in the investment portfolio s underlying securities and investments valuations.

The Company uses VaR as a statistical risk measure of the two principal components of its investment portfolio: P&C investment portfolio and Life investment portfolio.

The Company calculates the VaR of the investment portfolio, the P&C investment portfolio and Life investment portfolio, using a one year holding period and a 95% level of confidence. This means that, on average, the Company could expect marked-to-market results greater than predicted by the VaR results 5% of the time, or once every 20 years. The calculation of VaR is performed monthly using an analytical, or variance-covariance approach, based on the linear sensitivity of the investment portfolio and individual securities to a broad set of systematic market risk factors and idiosyncratic risk factors. The Company computes the parametric sensitivity of every security in the investment portfolio to changes in key interest rates, spreads, implied volatility and equities. The parametric exposures are summed using the appropriate investment portfolio weights to compute the investment portfolio s exposure to these systematic and idiosyncratic market risk factors.

The modeling of the risk of any portfolio, as measured by VaR, involves a number of assumptions and approximations. While the Company believes that its assumptions and approximations are appropriate, there is no uniform industry methodology for calculating VaR. The Company notes that different VaR results can be produced for the same portfolio dependent not only on the approach used but also on the assumptions employed when implementing the approach.

The VaR approach uses historical data to determine the sensitivity of each of the underlying securities to the risk factors incorporated into the pricing models employed in the VaR calculations. In calculating these sensitivities, greater importance is placed on the more recent data points and information. Since the VaR approach is based on historical positions and market data, VaR results should not be viewed as an absolute and predictive gauge of future financial performance or as a way for the Company to predict risk. There is no assurance that the Company s actual

future losses will not exceed its VaR and the Company expects that 5% of the time the VaR will be exceeded.

Additionally, the Company acknowledges the fact that risks associated with abnormal market events can be significantly different from the VaR results and these are by definition not reflected or assessed in the VaR analysis, rather this is evaluated using the Company s stress testing framework.

The table below summarizes the Company s assessment of the estimated impact on the value of the Company s investment portfolio at December 31, 2010 associated with an immediate and hypothetical: +100bps increase in interest rates, a -10% decline in equity markets, a +100bps widening in spreads and a +10% widening in spreads. The table also reports the 95%, 1-year VaRs for the Company s investment portfolios at December 31, 2010, excluding foreign exchange.

The interest rate, spread risk, and VaR referenced in the table below include the impact of market movements on the Company s held to maturity fixed maturities from the Company s Life investment portfolios. While the market value of these holdings is sensitive to prevailing interest rates and credit spreads, the Company s book value is not impacted as these holdings are carried at amortized cost. At December 31, 2010, if the Company were to exclude these impacts in order to present the impact of these risks to the Company s book value, the interest rate risk would be reduced by approximately $283.5 million, absolute spread risk would be reduced by approximately $196.5 million, relative spread risk would be reduced by approximately $18.3 million, and VaR would be reduced by approximately $293.3 million.

The table below excludes the impact of foreign exchange rate risk on the Company s investment portfolio. The Company s investment portfolio is managed on an asset-liability matched basis, and, accordingly, any foreign exchange movements impact the assets and liabilities equally. See foreign exchange rate risk for further details. The Company considers that the investment portfolio VaR estimated results as well as P&C and Life investment portfolios VaR estimated results excluding foreign exchange rate risk are the more relevant and appropriate metrics to consider when assessing the actual risk of the investment portfolio. The estimated results below also do not include any risk contributions from our various operating affiliates (strategic, investment manager or financial operating affiliates) or other investments carried at amortized cost.

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**Interest Rate Risk (2)**	**Equity Risk (3)**	**Absolute Spread Risk (4)**	**Relative Spread Risk (5)**	**VaR (6), (7)**
Total Investment Portfolio (1)	**$ (1,261.8)**	**$ (60.0)**	**$ (1,270.7)**	**$ (214.3)**	**$ 1,752.6**
A. P&C Investment Portfolio	**$ (757.2)**	**$ (60.0)**	**$ (835.7)**	**$ (131.1)**	**$ 1,180.6**
(I) P&C Fixed Income Portfolio	(757.2)		(835.7)	(131.1)	1,165.7
(a) Cash & 0-1 Yr	(4.1)				5.6
(b) Total Government Related	(215.5)		(154.5)	(3.7)	275.9
(c) Total Corporate Credit	(333.7)		(376.5)	(53.5)	530.4
(d) Total Structured Credit	(204.0)		(308.4)	(74.0)	451.8
(II) P&C Non-Fixed Income Portfolio		(60.0)			77.6
(e) Equity Portfolio		(4.5)			10.4
		(22.1)			53.1

(f) Alternative Portfolio					
(g) Private Investments		(33.4)			54.7
B. Life Investment Portfolio	**$ (495.4)**	**$**	**$ (400.5)**	**$ (81.6)**	**$ $665.3**
(III) Life Fixed Income Portfolio	(495.4)		(400.5)	(81.6)	665.3
(i) Cash & 0-1 Yr	0.0				0.2
(j) Total Government Related	(200.5)		(74.7)	(3.0)	268.5
(k) Total Corporate Credit	(248.4)		(272.2)	(68.1)	354.9
(l) Total Structured Credit	(46.5)		(53.5)	(10.6)	69.8
(IV) Life Non-Fixed Income Portfolio					

(1) The Company s Total Investment Portfolio comprises the Company s P&C Investment Portfolio and Life Investment Portfolio as well as the Company s Business and Other Investments which do not form part of the Company s P&C Investment Portfolio or Life Investment Portfolio. The individual results reported

in the above table for the Company s Total Investment Portfolio therefore represent the aggregate impact on the Company s P&C Investment Portfolio, Life Investment Portfolio and the Company s Business and Other Investments.

(2) The estimated impact on the fair value of the Company s fixed income portfolio of an immediate hypothetical +100 bps adverse parallel shift in global bond curves.

(3) The estimated impact on the fair value of the Company s investment portfolio of an immediate hypothetical -10% change in the value of equity exposures in the Company s equity portfolio, certain equity-sensitive alternative investments and private equity

investments. This includes the Company s estimate of equity risk embedded in the alternative investments and private investment portfolio with such estimates utilizing market exposures provided to the Company by certain individual fund investments and/or internal statistical analyses.

(4) The estimated impact on the fair value of the Company s fixed income portfolio of an immediate hypothetical +100 basis point increase in all global corporate and structured credit spreads to which the Company s fixed income portfolio is exposed. This excludes exposure to credit spreads in the Company s alternative investments, private investments and counterparty exposure.

(5) The estimated impact on the fair value of the Company s fixed income portfolio of an immediate hypothetical +10% increase in all global corporate and structured credit spreads to which the Company s fixed income portfolio is exposed. This

excludes exposure to credit spreads in the Company s alternative investments, private investments and counterparty exposure.

(6) The VaR results are based on a 95% confidence interval, with a one year holding period, excluding foreign exchange rate risk. The Company s investment portfolio VaR at December 31, 2010 is not necessarily indicative of future VaR levels.

(7) The VaR results are the standalone VaRs, based on the prescribed methodology, for each component of the Company s Total Investment Portfolio. The standalone VaRs of the individual

components are non-additive, with the difference between the summation of the individual component VaRs and their respective aggregations being due to diversification benefits across the individual components. In the case of the VaR results for the Company s Total Investment Portfolio, the results also include the impact associated with the Company s Business and Other Investments.

Stress Testing

VaR does not provide the means to estimate the magnitude of the loss in the 5% of occurrences when the Company expects the VaR level to be exceeded. To complement the VaR analysis based on normal market environments, the Company considers the impact on the investment portfolio in several different stress scenarios to analyze the effect of unusual market conditions. The Company establishes certain stress scenarios which are applied to the actual investment portfolio. As these stress scenarios and estimated gains and losses are based on scenarios established by the Company, they will not necessarily reflect future stress events or gains and losses from such events. The results of the stress scenarios are reviewed on a regular basis to ensure they are appropriate, based on current shareholders equity, market conditions and the Company s total risk tolerance. It is important to note that when assessing the risk of the Company s investment portfolio, the Company does not take into account either the value or risk associated with the liabilities arising from the Company s operations.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements **Page**

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements	Page
Consolidated Balance Sheets as at December 31, 2010 and 2009	134
Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	135
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	136
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	137
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	138
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	140

XL GROUP PLC
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AS AT DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2009

(U.S. dollars in thousands, except share data)	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
ASSETS		
Investments:		
Fixed maturities, at fair value (amortized cost: 2010, $25,714,886; 2009, $28,798,504)	$ 25,544,179	$ 27,512,032
Equity securities, at fair value (cost: 2010, $56,737; 2009, $12,344)	84,767	17,779
Short-term investments, at fair value (amortized cost: 2010, $2,058,447; 2009, $1,767,197)	2,048,607	1,777,360
Total investments available for sale	27,677,553	29,307,171
Fixed maturities, held to maturity at amortized cost (fair value: 2010, $2,742,626; 2009, $530,319)	$ 2,728,335	$ 546,067
Investments in affiliates	1,069,028	1,185,604
Other investments	951,723	783,189
Total investments	32,426,639	31,822,031
Cash and cash equivalents	3,022,868	3,643,697
Accrued investment income	350,091	350,055
Deferred acquisition costs	633,035	654,065
Ceded unearned premiums	625,654	711,875
Premiums receivable	2,414,912	2,597,602
Reinsurance balances receivable	171,327	374,844
Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable	3,671,887	3,584,028
Net receivable from investments sold	21,716	122,279
Goodwill and other intangible assets	839,508	845,129
Deferred tax asset	143,525	240,425
Other assets	702,189	717,864
Total assets	$ 45,023,351	$ 45,663,894
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Liabilities:		
Unpaid losses and loss expenses	$ 20,531,607	$ 20,823,524
Deposit liabilities	1,684,606	2,208,699
Future policy benefit reserves	5,075,127	5,490,119
Unearned premiums	3,484,830	3,651,310
Notes payable and debt	2,464,410	2,451,417

Reinsurance balances payable		122,250		378,887
Net payable for investments purchased		34,315		74,641
Deferred tax liability		105,667		46,557
Other liabilities		835,590		923,650
Total liabilities	$	34,338,402	$	36,048,804
Commitments and Contingencies				
Redeemable Series C preference ordinary shares, 20,000,000 authorized, par value $0.01; Issued and outstanding: (2010, 2,876,000; 2009, 7,306,920)	$	71,900	$	182,673
Shareholders Equity:				
Series E preference ordinary shares, 1,000,000 authorized, par value $0.01; Issued and outstanding: (2010, 1,000,000; 2009, 1,000,000)		10		10
Ordinary shares, 999,990,000 authorized, par value $0.01; Issued and outstanding: (2010, 316,396,289; 2009, 342,118,986)		3,165		3,421
Additional paid in capital		9,993,006		10,474,688
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		100,795		(1,142,467)
Retained earnings		513,777		94,460
Shareholders equity attributable to XL Group plc	$	10,610,753	$	9,430,112
Non-controlling interest in equity of consolidated subsidiaries		2,296		2,305
Total shareholders equity	$	10,613,049	$	9,432,417
Total liabilities, redeemable preference ordinary shares and shareholders equity	$	45,023,351	$	45,663,894

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

XL GROUP PLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(U.S dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)	**2010**	**2009**	**2008**
Revenues:			
Net premiums earned	$ 5,414,061	$ 5,706,840	$ 6,640,102
Net investment income	1,198,038	1,319,823	1,768,977
Realized investment gains (losses):			
Net realized gains (losses) on investments sold	(65,670)	(108,979)	61,521
Other-than-temporary impairments on investments	(170,643)	(992,202)	(1,023,575)
Other-than-temporary impairments on investments transferred to (from) other comprehensive income	(34,490)	179,744	
Total net realized (losses) on investments	(270,803)	(921,437)	(962,054)
Net realized and unrealized (losses) on derivative instruments	(33,843)	(33,647)	(73,368)
Income (loss) from investment fund affiliates	51,102	78,867	(277,696)
Fee income and other	40,027	43,201	52,158
Total revenues	$ 6,398,582	$ 6,193,647	$ 7,148,119
Expenses:			
Net losses and loss expenses incurred	$ 3,211,800	$ 3,168,837	$ 3,962,898
Claims and policy benefits	513,833	677,562	769,004
Acquisition costs	788,258	853,558	944,460
Operating expenses	971,105	1,055,823	1,161,934
Exchange (gains) losses	(10,161)	84,813	(184,454)
Interest expense	213,643	216,504	351,800
Loss on termination of guarantee	23,500		
Extinguishment of debt			22,527
Impairment of goodwill			989,971
Amortization of intangible assets	1,858	1,836	2,968
Total expenses	$ 5,713,836	$ 6,058,933	$ 8,021,108
Income (loss) before income tax and income (loss) from operating affiliates	$ 684,746	$ 134,714	$ (872,989)
Provision for income tax	162,737	120,307	222,578

Income (loss) from operating affiliates		121,372		60,480		(1,458,246)
Net income (loss)	$	643,381	$	74,887	$	(2,553,813)
Non-controlling interest in net (income) loss of subsidiary		(4)		104		
Net income (loss) attributable to XL Group plc	$	643,377	$	74,991	$	(2,553,813)
Preference share dividends		(74,521)		(80,200)		(78,645)
Gain on redemption of Series C Preference Ordinary Shares		16,616		211,816		
Net income (loss) attributable to ordinary shareholders	$	585,472	$	206,607	$	(2,632,458)
Weighted average ordinary shares and ordinary share equivalents outstanding basic		336,283		340,612		240,657
Weighted average ordinary shares and ordinary share equivalents outstanding diluted		337,709		340,966		240,657
Earnings (loss) per ordinary share and ordinary share equivalent basic	$	1.74	$	0.61	$	(10.94)
Earnings (loss) per ordinary share and ordinary share equivalent diluted	$	1.73	$	0.61	$	(10.94)

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

XL GROUP PLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(U.S dollars in thousands)	**2010**	**2009**	**2008**
Net income (loss) attributable to XL Group plc	$ 643,377	$ 74,991	$ (2,553,813)
Impact of adoption of new authoritative OTTI guidance, net of taxes		(229,670)	
Impact of adoption of new authoritative embedded derivative guidance, net of taxes	31,917		
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on investments, net of tax	997,066	2,376,556	(2,846,989)
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on affiliate and other investments net of tax	44,314	14,464	(46,071)
Change in OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income, net of tax	124,906	(123,343)	
Change in underfunded pension liability	(2,619)	(3,427)	(2,124)
Change in value of cash flow hedge	439	438	439
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on future policy benefit reserves	(3,714)	6,554	(6,998)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	50,953	180,888	(472,343)
Comprehensive income (loss)	$ 1,886,639	$ 2,297,451	$ (5,927,899)

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

XL GROUP PLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**2010**	**2009**	**2008**
Non-controlling Interest in Equity of Consolidated Subsidiaries:			
Balance beginning of year	$ 2,305	$ 1,598	$ 2,419
Non-controlling interest in net income (loss) of subsidiary	4	(104)	
Non-controlling interest share in change in AOCI	(13)	811	(821)
Balance end of year	$ 2,296	$ 2,305	$ 1,598
Series A, B, and E Preference Ordinary Shares:			
Balance beginning of year	$ 10	$ 10	$ 10
Balance end of year	$ 10	$ 10	$ 10
Ordinary Shares:			
Balance beginning of year	$ 3,421	$ 3,308	$ 1,779
Issuance of ordinary shares		114	1,530
Buybacks of ordinary shares	(256)	(1)	(1)
Balance end of year	$ 3,165	$ 3,421	$ 3,308
Additional paid in capital:			
Balance beginning of year	$ 10,474,688	$ 9,792,371	$ 7,358,801
Issuance of ordinary shares	1,109	741,177	2,387,031
Buybacks of ordinary shares	(521,664)	(625)	(4,965)
Fair value of purchase contracts associated with equity security units			(37,860)
Outstanding accrued contingent capital put premium			51,064
Dividends on Series A, B and E preference ordinary shares		(42,126)	
Dividends on ordinary shares		(68,389)	
Exercise of stock options, net of tax	1,182		
Share based compensation expense	37,691	52,280	38,300

Balance end of year	$ 9,993,006	$ 10,474,688	$ 9,792,371
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income:			
Balance beginning of year	$ (1,142,467)	$ (3,364,927)	$ 9,159
Impact of adoption of new authoritative OTTI guidance, net of taxes		(229,670)	
Impact of adoption of new authoritative embedded derivative guidance, net of taxes	31,917		
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on investments, net of tax	997,066	2,376,556	(2,846,989)
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on affiliate and other investments, net of tax	44,314	14,464	(46,071)
Change in OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income, net of tax	124,906	(123,343)	
Change in underfunded pension liability	(2,619)	(3,427)	(2,124)
Change in value of cash flow hedge	439	438	439
Change in net unrealized gain (loss) on future policy benefit reserves	(3,714)	6,554	(6,998)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	50,953	180,888	(472,343)
Balance end of year	$ 100,795	$ (1,142,467)	$ (3,364,927)
Retained (Deficit) Earnings:			
Balance beginning of year	$ 94,460	$ (315,529)	$ 2,578,393
Impact of adoption of new authoritative OTTI guidance, net of tax		229,670	
Impact of adoption of new authoritative embedded derivative guidance, net of taxes	(31,917)		
Net income (loss) attributable to XL Group plc	643,377	74,991	(2,553,813)
Dividends on ordinary shares	(134,238)	(68,415)	(261,464)
Dividends on Series A, B and E preference ordinary shares	(74,521)	(38,073)	(78,645)
Gain on redemption of Series C preference ordinary shares	16,616	211,816	
Balance end of year	$ 513,777	$ 94,460	$ (315,529)
Total shareholders equity	$ 10,613,049	$ 9,432,417	$ 6,116,831

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

XL GROUP PLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	**2010**	**2009**	**2008**
Cash Flows Provided by (used in) Operating Activities:			
Net income (loss)	$ 643,381	$ 74,887	$ (2,553,813)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Non-controlling interest in net (income) loss of subsidiary	(4)	104	
Net realized losses on sales of investments	270,803	921,437	962,054
Net realized and unrealized losses on derivative instruments	33,843	33,647	73,368
Amortization of premiums (discounts) on fixed maturities	60,869	(8,183)	(47,201)
(Income) loss from investment and operating affiliates	(172,474)	(139,347)	1,735,942
Impairment of goodwill			989,971
Share based compensation	31,291	32,231	57,617
Accretion of convertible debt	1,002	999	1,003
Depreciation expense	40,423	56,078	41,236
Accretion of deposit liabilities	104,311	88,752	146,588
Cash paid to Syncora			(1,775,000)
Unpaid losses and loss expenses	(207,526)	(1,120,074)	(849,069)
Unearned premiums	(133,955)	(675,946)	(266,732)
Premiums receivable	94,649	634,893	111,789
Unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable	(74,242)	443,510	644,968
Future policy benefit reserves	(197,570)	(340,690)	30,996
Ceded unearned premiums	83,246	204,442	33,350
Reinsurance balances receivable	201,479	191,462	239,052
Reinsurance balances payable	(253,213)	(368,928)	(13,229)
Deferred acquisition costs	12,235	64,736	(29,583)
Deferred tax asset	104,111	(3,959)	129,890
Other assets	(22,483)	70,331	(53,450)
Other liabilities	(78,176)	(132,951)	(210,483)
Derivatives	123,027	(202,162)	293,381
Other	(70,271)	131,971	(119,905)

Total adjustments	$ (48,625)	$ (117,647)	$ 2,126,553
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	$ 594,756	$ (42,760)	$ (427,260)
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities:			
Proceeds from sale of fixed maturities and short-term investments	$ 5,206,690	$ 12,049,552	$ 13,692,768
Proceeds from redemption of fixed maturities and short-term investments	2,851,815	4,594,672	3,065,326
Proceeds from sale of equity securities	115,839	394,002	866,346
Purchases of fixed maturities and short-term investments	(8,098,862)	(17,481,304)	(13,620,024)
Purchases of equity securities	(157,963)	(19,827)	(659,911)
Net dispositions of investment affiliates	319,108	770,883	384,130
(Acquisition) disposition of subsidiaries, net of cash acquired		41,446	
Other investments, net	24,854	(134,781)	80,598
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	$ 261,481	$ 214,643	$ 3,809,233

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

XL GROUP PLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008 (Continued)

(U.S. dollars in thousands)	2010	2009	2008
Cash Flows (Used in) Provided by Financing Activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of ordinary shares and exercise of stock options	$ 1,182	$ 745,000	$ 2,231,000
Proceeds from issuance of redeemable Series C preference ordinary shares			500,000
Redemption of redeemable Series C preference ordinary shares	(94,157)	(104,718)	
Buybacks of ordinary shares	(522,024)	(626)	(4,966)
Dividends paid on ordinary shares	(133,748)	(136,757)	(261,373)
Dividends paid on preference ordinary shares	(77,777)	(88,251)	(65,000)
Proceeds from issuance of debt			557,750
Repayment of debt		(745,000)	(255,000)
Deposit liabilities	(646,819)	(389,575)	(5,628,177)
Collateral received on securities lending		108,906	3,088,755
Collateral returned on securities lending		(351,568)	(2,992,286)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	$ (1,473,343)	$ (962,589)	$ (2,829,297)
Effects of exchange rate changes on foreign currency cash	(3,723)	80,577	(78,880)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(620,829)	(710,129)	473,796
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of year	3,643,697	4,353,826	3,880,030
Cash and cash equivalents end of year	$ 3,022,868	$ 3,643,697	$ 4,353,826
Net taxes paid	$ 75,429	$ 134,948	$ 154,216
Interest paid on notes payable and debt	$ 162,086	$ 172,927	$ 181,944

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

XL GROUP PLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

1. General

XL Group plc, through its operating subsidiaries (collectively the Company or XL), is a leading provider of insurance and reinsurance coverages to industrial, commercial and professional firms, insurance companies and other enterprises on a worldwide basis. The Company and its various subsidiaries operate globally in 25 countries, through its three business segments: Insurance, Reinsurance and Life Operations. These segments are further discussed in Note 6, Segment Information.

For periods prior to July 1, 2010, unless the context otherwise indicates, references herein to the Company are to, and these financial statements include the accounts of, XL Group Ltd. (formerly, XL Capital Ltd), a Cayman Islands exempted company (XL-Cayman), and its consolidated subsidiaries. For periods subsequent to July 1, 2010, unless the context otherwise indicates, references herein to the Company are to, and these financial statements include the accounts of, XL Group plc, an Irish public limited company (XL-Ireland), and its consolidated subsidiaries.

On July 1, 2010, XL-Ireland and XL-Cayman completed a redomestication transaction in which all of the ordinary shares of XL-Cayman were exchanged for all of the ordinary shares of XL-Ireland (the Redomestication). As a result, XL-Cayman became a wholly owned subsidiary of XL-Ireland. On July 23, 2010, the Irish High Court approved XL-Ireland s creation of distributable reserves, subject to the completion of certain formalities under Irish Company law. These formalities were completed in early August 2010.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Basis of Preparation and Consolidation

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and all of its subsidiaries. These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). To facilitate period-to-period comparisons, certain reclassifications have been made to prior year consolidated financial statement amounts to conform to current year presentation. There was no effect on net income from this change in presentation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The Company s most significant areas of estimation include:

- unpaid losses and loss expenses and unpaid losses and loss expenses recoverable;
- future policy benefit reserves;

- valuation and other than temporary impairments of investments;
- income taxes;
- reinsurance premium estimates; and
- goodwill carrying value.

While management believes that the amounts included in the consolidated financial statements reflect the Company s best estimates and assumptions, actual results could differ from these estimates.

(b) Fair Value Measurements

Financial Instruments subject to Fair Value Measurements

Accounting guidance over fair value measurements requires that a fair value measurement reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability based on the best information available. Assumptions include the risks inherent in a particular valuation technique (such as a pricing model) and/or the risks inherent in the inputs to the model. The fair value of a financial instrument is the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction

XL GROUP PLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(b) Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

between market participants at the measurement date (the exit price). Instruments that the Company owns (long positions) are marked to bid prices and instruments that the Company has sold but not yet purchased (short positions) are marked to offer prices. Fair value measurements are not adjusted for transaction costs.

Basis of Fair Value Measurement

Fair value measurements accounting guidance also establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to the respective valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). An asset or liability s classification within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of significant input to its valuation. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described further below:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (unadjusted); no blockage factors.

Level 2 Other observable inputs (quoted prices in markets that are not active or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly) include quoted prices for similar assets/liabilities (adjusted) other than quoted prices in Level 1; quoted prices in markets that are not active;

or other inputs that are observable or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Unobservable inputs reflect the reporting entity s own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as

instruments for
which the
determination of
fair value
requires
significant
management
judgment or
estimation.

Details on assets and liabilities that have been included under the requirements of authoritative guidance on fair value measurements to illustrate the bases for determining the fair values of these items held by the Company are detailed in each respective significant accounting policy section of this note.

Fair values of investments and derivatives are based on published market values if available, estimates of fair values of similar issues, estimates of fair values provided by independent pricing services and brokers. Fair values of financial instruments for which quoted market prices are not available or for which the company believes current trading conditions represent distressed markets are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. The fair values estimated using such techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rates and the estimated amounts and timing of future cash flows. In such instances, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that would be realized in a current market exchange.

(c) Total Investments

Investments Available For Sale

Investments that are considered available for sale (comprised of the Company s fixed maturities, equity securities and short-term investments) are carried at fair value. The fair values for available for sale investments are generally sourced from third parties. The fair value of fixed income securities is based upon quoted market values where available, evaluated bid prices provided by third party pricing services (pricing services) where quoted market values are not available, or by reference to broker or underwriter

XL GROUP PLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(c) Total Investments (Continued)

bid indications where pricing services do not provide coverage for a particular security. To the extent the Company believes current trading conditions represent distressed transactions, the Company may elect to utilize internally generated models. The pricing services use market approaches to valuations using primarily Level 2 inputs in the vast majority of valuations, or some form of discounted cash flow analysis, to obtain investment values for a small percentage of fixed income securities for which they provide a price. Pricing services indicate that they will only produce an estimate of fair value if there is objectively verifiable information available to produce a valuation. Standard inputs to the valuations provided by the pricing services listed in approximate order of priority for use when available include: reported trades, benchmark yields, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers, and reference data. The pricing services may prioritize inputs differently on any given day for any security, and not all inputs listed are available for use in the evaluation process on any given day for each security evaluation; however, the pricing services also monitor market indicators, industry and economic events. Information of this nature is a trigger to acquire further corroborating market data. When these inputs are not available, they identify buckets of similar securities (allocated by asset class types, sectors, sub-sectors, contractual cash flows/structure, and credit rating characteristics) and apply some form of matrix or other modeled pricing to determine an appropriate security value which represents their best estimate as to what a buyer in the marketplace would pay for a security in a current sale. While the Company receives values for the majority of the investment securities it holds from pricing services, it is ultimately management s responsibility to determine whether the values received and recorded in the financial statements are representative of appropriate fair value measurements. It is common industry practice to utilize pricing services as a source for determining the fair values of investments where the pricing services are able to obtain sufficient market corroborating information to allow them to produce a valuation at a reporting date. In addition, in the majority of cases, although a value may be obtained from a particular pricing service for a security or class of similar securities, these values are corroborated against values provided by other pricing services.

Broker quotations are used to value fixed maturities where prices are unavailable from pricing services due to factors specific to the security such as limited liquidity, lack of current transactions, or trades only taking place in privately negotiated transactions. These are considered Level 3 valuations, as significant inputs utilized by brokers may be difficult to corroborate with observable market data, or sufficient information regarding the specific inputs utilized by the broker was not available to support a Level 2 classification.

Prices provided by independent pricing services and independent broker quotes can vary widely even for the same security. The use of different methodologies and assumptions may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts. During periods of market disruption including periods of significantly rising or high interest rates, rapidly widening credit spreads or illiquidity, it may be difficult to value certain of the Company s securities, for example, collateralized loan obligations (CLOs), Alt-A and sub-prime mortgage backed securities, if trading becomes less frequent and/or market data becomes less observable. There may be certain asset classes that were in active markets with significant observable data that become illiquid due to the current financial environment. In such cases, more securities may fall to Level 3, meaning that more subjectivity and management judgment is required with regard to fair value. As such, valuations may include inputs and assumptions that are less observable or require greater estimation as well as valuation methods which are more sophisticated or require greater estimation, thereby resulting in values which may be different than the value at which the investments may be ultimately sold.

The net unrealized gain or loss on investments, net of tax, is included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Short-term investments comprise investments with a remaining maturity of less than one year and are valued using the same external factors and in the same manner as fixed income securities.

XL GROUP PLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(c) Total Investments (Continued)

Equity securities include investments in open end mutual funds and shares of publicly traded alternative funds. The fair value of equity securities is based upon quoted market values (Level 1), or monthly net asset value statements provided by the investment managers upon which subscriptions and redemptions can be executed (Level 2).

All investment transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses on sales of equities and fixed income investments are determined on the basis of average cost. Investment income is recognized when earned and includes interest and dividend income together with the amortization of premium and discount on fixed maturities and short-term investments. Amortization of discounts on fixed maturities includes amortization to expected recovery values for investments which have previously been recorded as other than temporarily impaired. For mortgage-backed securities, and any other holdings for which there is a prepayment risk, prepayment assumptions are evaluated and revised as necessary. Prepayment fees or call premiums that are only payable to the Company when a security is called prior to its maturity are earned when received and reflected in net investment income.

Investments Held to Maturity

Investments classified as held to maturity include securities for which the Company has the ability and intent to hold to maturity and are carried at amortized cost. During the current year, certain securities were transferred from an available for sale designation into held to maturity. For details see Note 8, Investments.

Investment In Affiliates

Investments in which the Company has significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee are classified as investments in affiliates on the Company s balance sheet and are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Under this method, the Company records its proportionate share of income or loss from such investments in its results for the period as well as its portion of movements in certain of the investee shareholders equity balances. When financial statements of the affiliate are not available on a timely basis to record the Company s share of income or loss for the same reporting periods as the Company, the most recently available financial statements are used. This lag in reporting is applied consistently.

The Company records its alternative and private fund affiliates on a one month and three month lag, respectively, and its operating affiliates on a three month lag. Significant influence is generally deemed to exist where the Company has an investment of 20% or more in the common stock of a corporation or an investment of 3% or more in closed end funds, limited partnerships, LLCs or similar investment vehicles. Significant influence is considered for other strategic investments on a case-by-case basis. Investments in affiliates are not subject to fair value measurement guidance as they are not considered to be fair value measured investments under U.S. GAAP. However, impairments associated with investments in affiliates that are deemed to be other-than-temporary are calculated in accordance with fair value measurement guidance and appropriate disclosures included within the financial statements during the period the losses are recorded.

Other Investments

Contained within this asset class are equity interests in investment funds, limited partnerships and unrated tranches of collateralized debt obligations for which the Company does not have sufficient rights or ownership interests to follow

the equity method of accounting. The Company accounts for equity securities that do not have readily determinable market values at estimated fair value as it has no significant influence

XL GROUP PLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(c) Total Investments (Continued)

over these entities. Also included within other investments are structured transactions which are carried at amortized cost.

Fair values for other investments, principally other direct equity investments, investment funds and limited partnerships, are primarily based on the net asset value provided by the investment manager, the general partner or the respective entity, recent financial information, available market data and, in certain cases, management judgment may be required. These entities generally carry their trading positions and investments, the majority of which have underlying securities valued using Level 1 or Level 2 inputs, at fair value as determined by their respective investment managers; accordingly, these investments are generally classified as Level 2. Private equity investments are classified as Level 3. The net unrealized gain or loss on investments, net of tax, is included in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Any unrealized loss in value considered by management to be other than temporary is charged to income in the period that it is determined.

Overseas deposits include investments in private funds related to Lloyd s syndicates in which the underlying instruments are primarily cash equivalents. The funds themselves do not trade on an exchange and therefore are not included within available for sale securities. Also included in overseas deposits are restricted cash and cash equivalent balances held by Lloyd s syndicates for solvency purposes. Given the restricted nature of these cash balances, they are not included within the cash and cash equivalents line in the balance sheet. Each of these investment types is considered a Level 2 valuation.

The Company historically participated in structured transactions which include cash loans supporting project finance transactions, providing liquidity facility financing to a structured project deal in 2009 and the Company also invested in a payment obligation with an insurance company. These transactions are carried at amortized cost. For further details see Note 3, Fair Value Measurements, and Note 10, Other Investments.

Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents include fixed interest deposits placed with a maturity of under 90 days when purchased. Bank deposits are not considered to be fair value measurements and as such are not subject to fair value measurement disclosures. Money market funds are classified as Level 1 as these instruments are considered actively traded and values are quoted, however, certificates of deposit are classified as Level 2.

(d) Premiums and Acquisition Costs

Insurance premiums written are recorded in accordance with the terms of the underlying policies. Reinsurance premiums written are recorded at the inception of the policy and are estimated based upon information received from ceding companies and any subsequent differences arising on such estimates are recorded in the period they are determined.

Premiums are earned on a pro-rata basis over the period the coverage is provided. Unearned premiums represent the portion of premiums written applicable to the unexpired terms of policies in force. Net premiums earned are presented after deductions for reinsurance ceded, as applicable.

Mandatory reinstatement premiums are recognized and earned at the time a loss event occurs.

Life and annuity premiums from long duration contracts that transfer significant mortality or morbidity risks are recognized as revenue and earned when due from policyholders. Life and annuity premiums from long duration contracts that do not subject the Company to risks arising from policyholder mortality or morbidity are accounted for as investment contracts and presented within deposit liabilities.

The Company has periodically written retroactive loss portfolio transfer (LPT) contracts. These contracts are evaluated to determine whether they meet the established criteria for reinsurance accounting,

XL GROUP PLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(d) Premiums and Acquisition Costs (Continued)

and if so, at inception, written premiums are fully earned and corresponding losses and loss expense recognized. The contracts can cause significant variances in gross premiums written, net premiums written, net premiums earned, and net incurred losses in the years in which they are written. Reinsurance contracts sold not meeting the established criteria for reinsurance accounting are recorded using the deposit method.

Acquisition costs, which vary with and are directly related to the acquisition of policies, consist primarily of commissions paid to brokers and cedants, and are deferred and amortized over the period that the premiums are earned. Acquisition costs are shown net of commissions earned on reinsurance ceded. Future earned premiums, the anticipated losses and other costs (and in the case of a premium deficiency, investment income) related to those premiums, are also considered in determining the level of acquisition costs to be deferred.

(e) Reinsurance

In the normal course of business, the Company seeks to reduce the potential amount of loss arising from claims events by reinsuring certain levels of risk assumed in various areas of exposure with other insurers or reinsurers. Reinsurance premiums ceded are expensed (and any commissions recorded thereon are earned) on a monthly pro-rata basis over the period the reinsurance coverage is provided. Ceded unearned reinsurance premiums represent the portion of premiums ceded applicable to the unexpired term of policies in force. Mandatory reinstatement premiums ceded are recorded at the time a loss event occurs. Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the claim liability associated with the reinsured policy. Provisions are made for estimated unrecoverable reinsurance.

(f) Fee Income and Other

Fee income and other includes fees received for insurance and product structuring services provided and is earned over the service period of the contract. Any adjustments to fees earned or the service period are reflected in income in the period when determined.

(g) Other Than Temporary Impairments (OTTI) of Available for Sale and Held to Maturity Securities

The Company s process for identifying declines in the fair value of investments that are other than temporary involves consideration of several factors. These primary factors include (i) an analysis of the liquidity, business prospects and financial condition of the issuer including consideration of credit ratings, (ii) the significance of the decline, (iii) an analysis of the collateral structure and other credit support, as applicable, of the securities in question, and (iv), for debt securities, whether the Company intends to sell such securities. In addition, the authoritative guidance requires that OTTI for certain asset backed and mortgage backed securities are recognized if the fair value of the security is less than its discounted cash flow value and there has been a decrease in the present value of the expected cash flows since the last reporting period. Where the Company s analysis of the above factors results in the Company s conclusion that declines in fair values are other than temporary, the cost of the security is written down to discounted cash flow and a portion of the previously unrealized loss is therefore realized in the period such determination is made.

If the Company intends to sell an impaired debt security, or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the impairment is other-than-temporary and is recognized

currently in earnings in an amount equal to the entire difference between fair value and amortized cost.

From April 1, 2009, in instances in which the Company determines that a credit loss exists but the Company does not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be

XL GROUP PLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(g) Other Than Temporary Impairments (OTTI) of Available for Sale and Held to Maturity Securities (Continued)

required to sell the security before the anticipated recovery of its remaining amortized cost basis, the OTTI is separated into (1) the amount of the total impairment related to the credit loss and (2) the amount of the total impairment related to all other factors (i.e. the noncredit portion). The amount of the total OTTI related to the credit loss is recognized in earnings and the amount of the total OTTI related to all other factors is recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss. The total OTTI is presented in the income statement with an offset for the amount of the total OTTI that is recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss. Absent the intent or requirement to sell a security, if a credit loss does not exist, any impairment is considered to be temporary.

The noncredit portion of any OTTI losses on securities classified as available for sale is recorded as a component of other comprehensive income with an offsetting adjustment to the carrying value of the security. The fair value adjustment could increase or decrease the carrying value of the security. The noncredit portion of any OTTI losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss for debt securities classified as held to maturity would be accreted over the remaining life of the debt security (in a pro rata manner based on the amount of actual cash flows received as a percentage of total estimated cash flows) as an increase in the carrying value of the security until the security is sold, the security matures, or there is an additional OTTI that is recognized in earnings.

In periods subsequent to the recognition of an OTTI loss, the other-than-temporarily impaired debt security is accounted for as if it had been purchased on the measurement date of the OTTI at an amount equal to the previous amortized cost basis less the credit-related OTTI recognized in earnings. For debt securities for which credit-related OTTI is recognized in earnings, the difference between the new cost basis and the cash flows expected to be collected is accreted into interest income over the remaining life of the security in a prospective manner based on the estimated amount and timing of future estimated cash flows.

With respect to securities where the decline in value is determined to be temporary and the security s amortized cost is not written down, a subsequent decision may be made to sell that security and realize a loss. Subsequent decisions on security sales are made within the context of overall risk monitoring, changing information, market conditions generally and assessing value relative to other comparable securities. Day-to-day management of the Company s investment portfolio is outsourced to third party investment manager service providers. While these investment manager service providers may, at a given point in time, believe that the preferred course of action is to hold securities with unrealized losses that are considered temporary until such losses are recovered, the dynamic nature of the portfolio management may result in a subsequent decision to sell the security and realize the loss, based upon a change in market and other factors described above. The Company believes that subsequent decisions to sell such securities are consistent with the classification of the Company s portfolio as available for sale.

There are risks and uncertainties associated with determining whether declines in the fair value of investments are other than temporary. These include subsequent significant changes in general economic conditions as well as specific business conditions affecting particular issuers, the Company s liability profile, subjective assessment of issue-specific factors (seniority of claims, collateral value, etc.), future financial market effects, stability of foreign governments and economies, future rating agency actions and significant disclosure of accounting, fraud or corporate governance issues that may adversely affect certain investments. In addition, significant assumptions and management judgment are involved in determining if the decline is other than temporary. If management determines that a decline in fair value is temporary, then a security s value is not written down at that time. However, there are potential effects upon the

Company s future earnings and financial position should management later conclude that some of the current declines in the fair value of the investments are other than temporary declines. For further details on the factors considered in evaluation other than temporary impairment see Note 8, Investments.

XL GROUP PLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(h) Derivative Instruments

The Company recognizes all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheet and measures those instruments at fair value. The changes in fair value of derivatives are shown in the consolidated statement of income as net realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments unless the derivatives are designated as hedging instruments. The accounting for derivatives which are designated as hedging instruments is discussed below. Changes in fair value of derivatives may create volatility in the Company s results of operations from period to period. Amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral (a receivable) or the obligation to return cash collateral (a payable) are offset against net fair value amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet for derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under the same netting arrangement.

Derivative contracts can be exchange-traded or over-the-counter (OTC). Exchange-traded derivatives (futures and options) typically fall within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy depending on whether they are deemed to be actively traded or not. OTC derivatives are valued using market transactions and other market evidence whenever possible, including market-based inputs to models, model calibration to market clearing transactions, broker or dealer quotations or alternative pricing sources where an understanding of the inputs utilized in arriving at the valuations is obtained. Where models are used, the selection of a particular model to value an OTC derivative depends upon the contractual terms and specific risks inherent in the instrument as well as the availability of pricing information in the market. The Company generally uses similar models to value similar instruments. Valuation models require a variety of inputs, including contractual terms, market prices, yield curves, credit curves, measures of volatility, prepayment rates and correlations of such inputs. For OTC derivatives that trade in liquid markets, such as generic forwards, interest rate swaps and options, model inputs can generally be verified and model selection does not involve significant management judgment. Such instruments comprise the majority of derivatives held by the Company and are typically classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Certain OTC derivatives trade in less liquid markets with limited pricing information, or required model inputs which are not directly market corroborated, which causes the determination of fair value for these derivatives to be inherently more subjective. Accordingly, such derivatives are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The valuations of less standard or liquid OTC derivatives are typically based on Level 1 and/or Level 2 inputs that can be observed in the market, as well as unobservable Level 3 inputs. Level 1 and Level 2 inputs are regularly updated to reflect observable market changes. Level 3 inputs are only changed when corroborated by evidence such as similar market transactions, pricing services and/or broker or dealer quotations. The Company conducts its non-hedging derivatives activities in four main areas: investment related derivatives, credit derivatives, other non-investment related derivatives, and until late 2008 it also utilized weather and energy derivatives.

The Company uses derivative instruments, primarily interest rate swaps, to manage the interest rate exposure associated with certain assets and liabilities. These derivatives are recorded at fair value. On the date the derivative contract is entered into, the Company may designate the derivative as a hedge of the fair value of a recognized asset or liability (fair value hedge); a hedge of the variability in cash flows of a forecasted transaction or of amounts to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability (cash flow hedge); or a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation; or the Company may not designate any hedging relationship for a derivative contract. In addition, the Company previously wrote a number of resettable strike swaps contracts relating to an absolute return index and diversified basket of funds which are recorded within Investment Related Derivatives Financial Market Exposures.

Fair Value Hedges

Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated and qualifies as a fair value hedge, along with the changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that is attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in current period earnings (through net realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivative

XL GROUP PLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(h) Derivative Instruments (Continued)

instruments) with any differences between the net change in fair value of the derivative and the hedged item representing the hedge ineffectiveness. Periodic derivative net coupon settlements are recorded in net investment income with the exception of hedges of Company issued debt, which are recorded in interest expense. The Company may designate fair value hedging relationships where interest rate swaps are used to hedge the changes in fair value of certain fixed rate liabilities and fixed maturity securities due to changes in the designated benchmark interest rate.

Cash Flow Hedges

Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated and qualifies as a cash flow hedge are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) and are reclassified into earnings when the variability of the cash flow of the hedged item impacts earnings. Gains and losses on derivative contracts that are reclassified from AOCI to current period earnings are included in the line item in the consolidated statements of operations in which the cash flows of the hedged item are recorded. Any hedge ineffectiveness is recorded immediately in current period earnings as net realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments. Periodic derivative net coupon settlements are recorded in net investment income. The Company may designate cash flow hedging relationships where interest rate swaps are used to mitigate interest rate risk associated with anticipated issuances of debt or other forecasted transactions.

Hedges of the Net Investment in a Foreign Operation

Changes in fair value of a derivative used as a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation, to the extent effective as a hedge, are recorded in the foreign currency translation adjustments account within AOCI. Cumulative changes in fair value recorded in AOCI are reclassified into earnings upon the sale or complete or substantially complete liquidation of the foreign entity. Any hedge ineffectiveness is recorded immediately in current period earnings as net realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments.

Hedge Documentation and Effectiveness Testing

To qualify for hedge accounting treatment, a derivative must be highly effective in mitigating the designated changes in value or cash flow of the hedged item. At hedge inception, the Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking each hedge transaction. The documentation process includes linking derivatives that are designated as fair value, cash flow, or net investment hedges to specific assets or liabilities on the balance sheet or to specific forecasted transactions. The Company also formally assesses, both at the hedge s inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of hedged items. In addition, certain hedging relationships are considered highly effective if the changes in the fair value or discounted cash flows of the hedging instrument are within a ratio of 80-125% of the inverse changes in the fair value or discounted cash flows of the hedged item. Hedge ineffectiveness is measured using qualitative and quantitative methods. Qualitative methods may include comparison of critical terms of the derivative to the hedged item. Depending on the hedging strategy, quantitative methods may include the Change in Variable Cash Flows Method, the Change in Fair Value Method, the Hypothetical Derivative Method and the Dollar Offset Method.

Discontinuance of Hedge Accounting

The Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively when it is determined that the derivative is no longer highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of a hedged item; the

XL GROUP PLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(h) Derivative Instruments (Continued)

derivative is dedesignated as a hedging instrument; or the derivative expires or is sold, terminated or exercised. When hedge accounting is discontinued because it is determined that the derivative no longer qualifies as an effective fair-value hedge, the derivative continues to be carried at fair value on the balance sheet with changes in its fair value recognized in current period earnings through net realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments. When hedge accounting is discontinued because the Company becomes aware that it is not probable that the forecasted transaction will occur, the derivative continues to be carried on the balance sheet at its fair value, and gains and losses that were accumulated in AOCI are recognized immediately in earnings.

(i) Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents include fixed interest deposits placed with a maturity of under 90 days when purchased. Bank deposits are not considered to be fair value measurements and as such are not subject to the authoritative guidance on fair value measurement disclosures. Money market funds are classified as Level 1 as these instruments are considered actively traded; however, certificates of deposit are classified as Level 2.

(j) Foreign Currency Translation

Assets and liabilities of foreign operations whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar are translated at prevailing year end exchange rates. Revenue and expenses of such foreign operations are translated at average exchange rates during the year. The net effect of the translation adjustments for foreign operations, net of applicable deferred income taxes, as well as any gains or losses on intercompany balances for which settlement is not planned or anticipated in the foreseeable future, are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of the applicable entity are revalued at the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date and revenues and expenses are translated at the exchange rate on the date the transaction occurs with the resulting foreign exchange gains and losses on settlement or revaluation recognized in income.

(k) Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The Company has recorded goodwill in connection with various acquisitions in prior years. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired. In accordance with accounting guidance over goodwill and other intangible assets, the Company tests goodwill for potential impairment annually as of June 30 and between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that may indicate that potential exists for the fair value of a reporting unit to be reduced to a level below its carrying amount.

The Company s other intangible assets consist of both amortizable and non-amortizable intangible assets. The Company s amortizable intangible assets consist primarily of acquired customer relationships and acquired software. All of the Company s amortizable intangible assets are carried at net book value and are amortized over their estimated useful lives. The amortization periods approximate the periods over which the Company expects to generate future net cash inflows from the use of these assets. Accordingly, customer relationships are amortized over a useful life of 10 years and acquired software is amortized over a useful life of 5 years. The Company s policy is to amortize intangibles on a straight-line basis.

All of the Company s amortizable intangible assets, as well as other amortizable or depreciable long-lived assets such as premises and equipment, are subject to impairment testing in accordance with authoritative guidance for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets when events or conditions indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be fully recoverable from future cash flows. A test for

XL GROUP PLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(k) Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (Continued)

recoverability is done by comparing the asset s carrying value to the sum of the undiscounted future net cash inflows expected to be generated from the use of the asset over its remaining useful life. In accordance with the authoritative guidance on property, plant and equipment under GAAP, impairment exists if the sum of the undiscounted expected future net cash inflows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. Impairment would result in a write-down of the asset to its estimated fair value. The estimated fair values of these assets are based on the discounted present value of the stream of future net cash inflows expected to be derived over their remaining useful lives. If an impairment write-down is recorded, the remaining useful life of the asset will be evaluated to determine whether revision of the remaining amortization or depreciation period is appropriate.

The Company s indefinite lived intangible assets consist primarily of acquired insurance and reinsurance licenses. These assets are deemed to have indefinite useful lives and are therefore not subject to amortization. In accordance with the authoritative guidance on intangibles and goodwill and other assets under GAAP, all of the Company s non-amortized intangible assets are subject to a test for impairment annually, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. Pursuant to the authoritative guidance, if the carrying value of a non-amortized intangible asset is in excess of its fair value, the asset must be written down to its fair value through the recognition of an impairment charge to earnings.

(l) Losses and Loss Expenses

Unpaid losses and loss expenses include reserves for reported unpaid losses and loss expenses and for losses incurred but not reported. The reserve for reported unpaid losses and loss expenses for the Company s property and casualty operations is established by management based on amounts reported from insureds or ceding companies, and represents the estimated ultimate cost of events or conditions that have been reported to or specifically identified by the Company.

The reserve for losses incurred but not reported is estimated by management based on loss development patterns determined by reference to the Company s underwriting practices, the policy form, type of program and historical experience. The Company s actuaries employ a variety of generally accepted methodologies to determine estimated ultimate loss reserves, including the Bornhuetter-Ferguson incurred loss method and frequency and severity approaches.

Certain workers compensation and financial guarantee case reserve contracts are considered fixed and determinable and are subject to tabular reserving. Reserves associated with these liabilities are discounted.

Management believes that the reserves for unpaid losses and loss expenses are sufficient to cover losses that fall within coverages assumed by the Company. However, there can be no assurance that losses will not exceed the Company s total reserves. The methodology of estimating loss reserves is periodically reviewed to ensure that the assumptions made continue to be appropriate and any adjustments resulting from such reviews are reflected in income of the year in which the adjustments are made.

(m) Deposit liabilities

Contracts entered into by the Company which are not deemed to transfer significant underwriting and/or timing risk are accounted for as deposits, whereby liabilities are initially recorded at an amount equal to the assets received. The Company uses a portfolio rate of return of equivalent duration to the liabilities in determining risk transfer. An initial accretion rate is established based on actuarial estimates whereby the deposit liability is increased to the estimated amount payable over the term of the contract.

The deposit accretion rate is the rate of return required to fund expected future payment obligations (this is equivalent to the best estimate of future cash flows), which are determined actuarially based upon the nature of the underlying indemnifiable losses. Accretion of the liability is recorded as interest expense.

XL GROUP PLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(m) Deposit liabilities (Continued)

The Company periodically reassesses the estimated ultimate liability. Any changes to this liability are reflected as adjustments to interest expense to reflect the cumulative effect of the period the contract has been in force, and by an adjustment to the future accretion rate of the liability over the remaining estimated contract term.

Funding agreements, when previously written by the Company, were initially recorded at an amount equal to the value of assets received. In relation to the payments to be made under these contracts, the Company used derivative instruments in order to hedge the Company s exposure to fluctuations in interest rates related to these contracts. As described in Note 2(g), in relation to hedges in place on the remaining funding agreements, changes in the fair value of the hedging instrument are recognized in income. The change in the fair value of the hedged item, attributable to the hedged risk, is recorded as an adjustment to the carrying amount of the hedged item and is recognized in income.

(n) Future policy benefit reserves

The Company estimates the present value of future policy benefits related to long duration contracts using assumptions for investment yields, mortality, and expenses, including a provision for adverse deviation.

The assumptions used to determine future policy benefit reserves are best estimate assumptions that are determined at the inception of the contracts and are locked-in throughout the life of the contract unless a premium deficiency develops. As the experience on the contracts emerges, the assumptions are reviewed. If such review would produce reserves in excess of those currently held then the lock-in assumptions will be revised and a claim and policy benefit is recognized at that time.

Certain life insurance and annuity contracts provide the holder with a guarantee that the benefit received upon death will be no less than a minimum prescribed amount. The contracts are accounted for in accordance with the authoritative guidance on Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Long- Duration Contracts and for Separate Accounts, which requires that the best estimate of future experience be combined with actual experience to determine the benefit ratio used to calculate the policy benefit reserve.

(o) Income Taxes

The Company utilizes the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effect of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The deferral of tax losses is evaluated based upon management s estimates of the future profitability of the Company s taxable entities based on current forecasts and the period for which losses may be carried forward. A valuation allowance is established for any portion of a deferred tax asset that management believes will not be realized. The Company continues to evaluate income generated in future periods by its subsidiaries in different jurisdictions in determining the recoverability of its deferred tax asset. If it is determined that future income generated by these subsidiaries is insufficient to cause the realization of the net operating losses within a reasonable period, a valuation allowance is established at that time.

(p) Stock Plans

The Company adopted authoritative guidance on the fair value recognition provisions for accounting for stock-based compensation, under the prospective method for options granted subsequent to January 1, 2003. Prior to 2003, the Company accounted for options under the disclosure-only provisions of the guidance and no stock-based employee compensation cost was included in net income as all options granted

XL GROUP PLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(p) Stock Plans (Continued)

had an exercise price equal to the market value of the Company s ordinary shares on the date of the grant. At December 31, 2009, the Company had several stock based Performance Incentive Programs, which are described more fully in Item 8, Note 20, Share Capital. Stock-based compensation issued under these plans generally have a life of not longer than ten years and vest as set forth at the time of grant. Awards currently vest annually over three or four years from the date of grant. The Company recognizes compensation costs for stock-based awards on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period (usually the vesting period) for each award.

Share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, are recognized in the financial statements over the vesting period based on their grant date fair values.

Authoritative guidance requires that compensation costs be recognized for unvested stock-based compensation awards over the period through the date that the employee is no longer required to provide future services to earn the award, rather than over the explicit service period. Accordingly, the Company follows a policy of recognizing compensation cost to coincide with the date that the employee is eligible to retire, rather than the actual retirement date, for all stock based compensation granted.

(q) Per Share Data

Basic earnings per ordinary share is based on weighted average ordinary shares outstanding and excludes any dilutive effects of options and convertible securities. Diluted earnings per ordinary share assumes the exercise of all dilutive stock options and conversion of convertible securities where the contingency for conversion has occurred or been satisfied.

(r) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued final authoritative guidance over accounting for transfers of financial assets that removed the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity from existing accounting guidance over transfers of financial assets and also removes the exception from applying guidance surrounding consolidation of variable interest entities to qualifying special-purpose entities. This new guidance was applied by the Company from January 1, 2010; however, it did not have an impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

In June 2009, the FASB issued final authoritative accounting guidance in an effort to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities. This guidance retains the scope of the previous standard covering variable interest entities except, as noted above, with the addition of entities previously considered qualifying special-purpose entities. The new guidance requires an enterprise to perform an analysis to determine whether the enterprise s variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity under revised guidance that are more qualitative than under previous guidance and amends previous guidance to require ongoing reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. Before this update, previous guidance required reconsideration of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity only when specific events occurred. The new guidance also amends previous guidance to require enhanced disclosures that provide users of financial statements with more transparent information about an enterprise s involvement with a variable interest entity. The enhanced disclosures are required for any enterprise that holds a variable interest in a variable interest entity. The content of the enhanced disclosures required by this new guidance is

generally consistent with that required by the previous standards. The Company applied this new guidance from January 1, 2010; however, it did not have an impact on the Company s financial condition and results of operations. See Note 18, Variable Interest Entities, for the disclosures required by this guidance.

XL GROUP PLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(r) Recent Accounting Pronouncements (Continued)

In January 2010, the FASB issued an accounting standards update on Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. The provisions of this authoritative guidance require new disclosures about recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements including significant transfers into and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and information on purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a gross basis in the reconciliation of Level 3 fair value measurements. This guidance was effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2010, except for the Level 3 reconciliation disclosures which are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. This standard affects disclosures only and accordingly did not have an impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

In March 2010, the FASB issued authoritative guidance relating to derivative accounting. Under this guidance, all entities that enter into contracts containing an embedded credit derivative feature related to the transfer of credit risk that is not solely in the form of subordination of one financial instrument to another are required to separately account for the embedded credit derivative feature. This guidance has been applied effective July 1, 2010. The Company has investments in senior tranches of Synthetic collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) as well as certain CDO Squared structures which in turn hold Synthetic CDOs. The derivative instruments held within these structures require the application of this new guidance. Upon initial adoption of this guidance the Company elected the fair value option for impacted securities, which resulted in a decrease being recorded to opening retained earnings of $31.9 million. For further information on these securities see Note 8, Investments.

In July 2010, the FASB amended the general accounting principles for receivables as they relate to the disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses. This amendment requires additional disclosures that provide a greater level of disaggregated information about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses. It also requires the disclosure of credit quality indicators, past due information, and modifications of financing receivables. The new disclosures are required for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2010, although the disclosures of reporting period activity (i.e., allowance roll-forward and modification disclosures) are required for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. This standard affects disclosures only and, accordingly, did not have an impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations. See Note 10, Other Investments, and Note 12, Reinsurance, for disclosures provided related to this guidance.

In October 2010, the FASB issued authoritative guidance to address disparities in practice regarding the interpretation of which costs relating to the acquisition of new or renewal insurance contracts qualify for deferral. The amendments in the updated guidance specify that incremental direct costs of contract acquisition and certain costs related directly to the acquisition activities incurred in the acquisition of new or renewal contracts should be capitalized in accordance with the amendments in the updated guidance. Costs directly related to those activities include only the portion of an employee s total compensation (excluding any compensation that is capitalized as incremental direct costs of contract acquisition) and payroll-related fringe benefits related directly to time spent performing those activities for actual acquired contracts, and other costs related directly to those activities that would not have been incurred if the contract had not been acquired. Administrative costs, rent, depreciation, occupancy, equipment and all other general overhead costs are considered indirect costs and should be charged to expense as incurred. This guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The amendments in this guidance should be applied prospectively upon adoption. Retrospective application is also permitted. Early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of the fiscal year. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of this

guidance; however, it is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

XL GROUP PLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

3. Fair Value Measurements

The following tables set forth the Company s assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 by level within the fair value hierarchy (for further information, see Note 2 (b), Significant Accounting Policies Fair Value Measurements :

December 31, 2010 *(U.S. dollars in thousands)*	**Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)**	**Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)**	**Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)**	**Collateral and Counterparty Netting**	**Balance as of December 31, 2010**
Assets					
U.S. Government and Government-Related/Supported	$	$ 2,127,491	$		