

ACADIA REALTY TRUST
Form DEF 14A
March 27, 2018

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 14A
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Acadia Realty Trust

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount Previously Paid:

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MAY 10, 2018
TO THE COMPANY'S SHAREHOLDERS:

Please take notice that the annual meeting of shareholders (the "Annual Meeting") of Acadia Realty Trust (the "Company", "we", "us" and "our") will be held on Thursday, May 10, 2018, at 1:00 p.m., EDT time. This year's Annual Meeting will be a completely "virtual meeting" of shareholders. You will be able to attend the Annual Meeting, vote and submit your questions during the Annual Meeting via live webcast by visiting www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/AKR18. Prior to the Annual Meeting, you will be able to vote at www.proxyvote.com for the purpose of considering and voting upon:

1. The election of eight Trustees to hold office until the next Annual Meeting or until their successors are duly elected and qualified;
2. The ratification of the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018;
3. The approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of Named Executive Officers as disclosed in the Company's 2018 Proxy Statement in accordance with compensation rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission;
4. Such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

The Board of Trustees of the Company recommends a vote "FOR" proposals 1 through 3. You should carefully review the accompanying Proxy Statement which contains additional information.

The Board of Trustees has fixed the close of business on March 16, 2018 as the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

By order of the Board of Trustees

Jason Blacksborg, Secretary
March 27, 2018

SHAREHOLDERS, WHETHER OR NOT THEY EXPECT TO ATTEND THE VIRTUAL MEETING, ARE REQUESTED TO VOTE THEIR SHARES ELECTRONICALLY VIA THE INTERNET OR BY COMPLETING AND RETURNING THE PROXY CARD, IF YOU REQUESTED PAPER PROXY MATERIALS. VOTING INSTRUCTIONS ARE PROVIDED IN THE NOTICE OF INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS, OR, IF YOU REQUESTED PRINTED MATERIALS, THE INSTRUCTIONS ARE PRINTED ON YOUR PROXY CARD AND INCLUDED IN THE ACCOMPANYING PROXY STATEMENT.

ANY PERSON GIVING A PROXY HAS THE POWER TO REVOKE IT AT ANY TIME PRIOR TO THE MEETING, AND SHAREHOLDERS WHO ATTEND THE MEETING MAY WITHDRAW THEIR PROXIES AND VOTE DURING THE MEETING. IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU VOTE YOUR COMMON SHARES. YOUR FAILURE TO PROMPTLY VOTE YOUR SHARES INCREASES THE OPERATING COSTS OF YOUR INVESTMENT.

YOU ARE CORDIALLY INVITED TO ATTEND THE VIRTUAL MEETING VIA LIVE WEBCAST BY VISITING WWW.VIRTUALSHAREHOLDERMEETING.COM/AKR18, BUT YOU SHOULD SUBMIT A PROXY BY INTERNET OR MAIL PRIOR TO THE MEETING, WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND.

ACADIA REALTY TRUST

411 THEODORE FREMD AVENUE, SUITE 300, RYE, NEW YORK 10580

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR THE

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD

May 10, 2018

GENERAL INFORMATION

This Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Trustees ("Board of Trustees," "Trustees" or "Board") of Acadia Realty Trust (the "Company") for use at the annual meeting of shareholders scheduled to be held on Thursday, May 10, 2018, at 1:00 p.m., EDT time, via live webcast at www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/AKR18, or any postponement or adjournment thereof (the "Annual Meeting"). This Proxy Statement and accompanying form of proxy were first sent to shareholders on or about March 27, 2018.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting to be held on May 10, 2018. This Proxy Statement and the Company's 2017 Annual Report to shareholders are available at www.acadiarealty.com/proxy.

The Company will bear the costs of the solicitation of its proxies in connection with the Annual Meeting, including the costs of retaining a third party that will assist the Company in preparing, assembling and mailing proxy materials and the handling and tabulation of proxies received. In addition to solicitation of proxies by mail, the Company's Board of Trustees, officers and employees may solicit proxies in connection with the Annual Meeting by e-mail, telephone, personal interviews or otherwise. Trustees, officers and employees will not be paid any additional compensation for soliciting proxies. Arrangements have been made with brokerage firms, custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to forward solicitation materials to the beneficial owners of common shares of beneficial interest, par value \$.001, of the Company, (the "Common Shares") held of record by such persons or firms with their nominees, and in connection therewith, such firms will be reimbursed for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in forwarding such materials.

All properly executed and unrevoked proxies in the accompanying form that are received in time for the Annual Meeting will be voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with the specification thereon. If no specification is made, signed proxies will be voted "FOR" each of proposals 1 through 3 set forth in the Notice of Annual Meeting.

You may revoke your proxy and reclaim your right to vote:

• by submitting a later-dated proxy either by Internet or telephone by following the instructions on your proxy or voting card;

• electronically during the Annual Meeting at www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/AKR18 when you enter your 16-Digit Control Number;

• by submitting a later-dated written proxy to the address shown on your proxy or voting card; or

• if you are a holder of record, by (i) delivering by mail to the Company's Corporate Secretary at or prior to the Annual Meeting an instrument revoking your proxy or (ii) delivering a subsequently dated proxy with respect to the same Common Shares to the Board at or prior to the Annual Meeting.

Any written notice revoking a proxy should be delivered at or prior to the Annual Meeting to the attention of the Corporate Secretary, Acadia Realty Trust, 411 Theodore Fremd Avenue, Suite 300, Rye, NY 10580.

The Board of Trustees recommends a vote "FOR" proposals 1 through 3.

OUTSTANDING SHARES AND VOTING RIGHTS

The outstanding capital shares of beneficial interest of the Company ("Shares") as of March 16, 2018 consisted of 82,602,889 Common Shares. Holders of Common Shares are entitled to one vote for each Common Share registered in their names on the record date. The Board of Trustees has fixed the close of business on March 16, 2018 as the record date for determination of shareholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting. The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of Common Shares entitled to cast a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast at the Annual Meeting on any matter will constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting.

The affirmative vote of a majority of all the votes cast by holders of Common Shares in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting at which a quorum is present is required for (i) the election of each Trustee, (ii) the ratification of the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2018, and (iii) the advisory (non-binding) resolution approving the Company's executive compensation program for Named Executive Officers. With respect to any of the foregoing, an "affirmative vote of a majority of all the votes cast" means that the number of shares voted for such Trustee-nominee or proposal must exceed the number of shares voted against such Trustee-nominee or proposal. There is no cumulative voting in the election of Trustees.

With respect to a particular Trustee-nominee or proposal, holders of Common Shares may vote for or against such Trustee-nominee or proposal by marking "FOR" or "AGAINST," respectively, on their proxy. Alternatively, holders of Common Shares may abstain from voting on a particular Trustee-nominee or proposal by marking "ABSTAIN" on their proxy. Proxies marked "ABSTAIN" (or for which no vote is indicated) are included in determining the presence of a quorum for the Annual Meeting. Except with respect to broker non-votes, proxies for which no vote is indicated are treated as votes cast and are voted in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Trustees as set forth in this Proxy Statement. Proxies marked "ABSTAIN," on the other hand, are not treated as votes cast and thus are not the equivalent of votes for or against a Trustee-nominee or any of the proposals, as the case may be, and will not affect the vote with respect to these matters.

A "broker non-vote" occurs when a nominee holding Common Shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because the nominee does not have discretionary voting power with respect to that proposal and has not received instructions with respect to that proposal from the beneficial owner (despite voting on at least one other proposal for which it does have discretionary authority or for which it has received instructions). Broker non-votes, if any, will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the result of the vote, although they will be considered present for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum. Of the proposals to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting, the only vote that a nominee may cast without receiving instructions from the beneficial owner is the vote to ratify the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm. Signed proxies returned without specific voting instructions will be voted "FOR" proposals 1-3.

MATTERS TO BE VOTED ON AT OUR 2018 ANNUAL MEETING

Proposal	Board Recommendation	Page
Proposal No. 1: Election of Trustees	FOR each nominee	X
Proposal No. 2: Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	FOR	X
Proposal No. 3: Advisory Approval of the Company's Executive Compensation	FOR	X

PROPOSAL 1 - ELECTION OF TRUSTEES

There are eight nominees for election as Trustees for one-year terms, expiring in 2019 or until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified. Election of each Trustee requires the approval of all the majority of the votes cast by the holders of Common Shares in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting.

The Company's Declaration of Trust provides that the Board of Trustees may be composed of up to a maximum of 15 members. Pursuant to a resolution of the Board, the Board of Trustees currently consists of eight Trustees, each of whom serves until the next annual meeting or until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified. As stated elsewhere herein, the enclosed proxy will be voted for the election as Trustee of each nominee whose name is set forth below unless a contrary instruction is given. All of the nominees currently serve as Trustees of the Company. Management believes that all of its nominees are willing and able to serve the Company as Trustees. If any nominee at the time of election is unable or unwilling to serve or is otherwise unavailable for election, and as a consequence thereof, other nominees are designated, the persons named in the enclosed proxy or their substitutes will have the discretion and authority to vote or refrain from voting for other nominees in accordance with their discretion. The

Board of Trustees has a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Trustee Independence

With seven independent Trustees out of eight, the Board has satisfied its objective that a majority of the Board should consist of independent Trustees. The Board of Trustees has affirmatively determined that each of Messrs. Crocker, Kellar, Spitz, Wielansky and Zoba, and Mss. Luscombe and Thurber is independent under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange. In determining this, the Board of Trustees considered transactions and relationships between each Trustee or any member of his or her immediate family and the Company and its subsidiaries and affiliates. The Board of Trustees has determined that each member of the Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees is independent under the criteria for independence set

forth in the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. Upon the election of all nominees, the Company will continue to meet the New York Stock Exchange requirement for a majority of independent Trustees serving on the Board of Trustees.

Nominees for Election as Trustees

The table below provides a summary of information about the nominees for election as Trustees of the Company:

Name	Age	Director Since	Committee Memberships			Investment/Capital Markets
			Independent	Audit Compensation	Nominating and Corporate Governance	
Kenneth F. Bernstein	56	1998	No			X(2)
Lee S. Wielansky	66	2000	Yes			X
Douglas Crocker II	77	2003	Yes	X	X	X(1)
Lorrence T. Kellar	80	2003	Yes	X(1)	X	
Wendy Luscombe	66	2004	Yes	X	X(1)	
William T. Spitz	66	2007	Yes	X	X(1)	X
Lynn C. Thurber	71	2016	Yes	X	X	
C. David Zoba	66	2015	Yes		X	X

Notes:

(1) Chairman of the committee.

(2) Ex-Officio member of the committee.

Kenneth F. Bernstein, age 56

Professional Experience: Mr. Bernstein has been Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") of the Company since January of 2001. He has been the President and a Trustee of the Company since August 1998, when the Company acquired substantially all of the assets of RD Capital, Inc. ("RDC") and affiliates. From 1990 to August 1998, Mr. Bernstein was the Chief Operating Officer of RDC. In such capacity, he was responsible for overseeing the day-to-day operations of RDC, its management companies, and its affiliated partnerships. Prior to joining RDC, Mr. Bernstein was an associate at the New York law firm of Battle Fowler, LLP. Mr. Bernstein received his Bachelor of Arts Degree from the University of Vermont and his Juris Doctorate from Boston University School of Law. Mr. Bernstein sits on the Board of Trustees of the International Council of Shopping Centers ("ICSC") and serves as its 2017/2018 Chairman. He has previously served as the co-chair of the Committee on Open-Air Centers, National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT"), Urban Land Institute ("ULI"), and the Real Estate Roundtable. He is a member of the World President's Organization (YPO-WPO), where he was the founding chairman of the Real Estate Network and currently sits on the Board of Advisors. Mr. Bernstein is a member of the Board of Trustees of Golub Capital.

Trustee Qualifications: The Board believes Mr. Bernstein's qualifications to sit on the Board include his extensive real estate, management and board experience. Highlights of these qualifications include Mr. Bernstein's:

•service as president and chief executive officer of the Company for the past 17 years;
•extensive network of contacts in the real estate industry and his leadership positions with various industry and
•business associations;
•five years of experience as a real estate attorney;
•eight years of experience as the Chief Operating Officer of a private real estate company; and
•three years of experience as the Chief Operating Officer of a public real estate company.

Douglas Crocker II, age 77

Professional Experience: Mr. Crocker has been a Trustee of the Company since November 2003. Mr. Crocker has been the managing partner of DC Partners LLC since 2013. He was the Chief Executive Officer of Equity Residential, a multi-family residential real estate investment trust ("REIT"), from December 1992 until his retirement in December of 2002. During Mr. Crocker's tenure, Equity Residential grew from 21,000 apartments with a total market capitalization of \$700 million to a \$17 billion company with over 225,000 apartments. Mr. Crocker was also a former Managing Director of Prudential Securities, and from 1982 to 1992 served as Chief Executive Officer of McKinley Finance Group, a privately held company involved with real estate, banking and corporate finance. From 1979 to 1982, Mr. Crocker was President of American Invesco, the nation's largest condominium conversion company, and from 1969 to 1979 served as Vice President of Arlen Realty and Development Company. He currently sits on the boards of the real estate investment trusts Colony Northstar. In addition, Mr. Crocker serves as a trustee of Milton Academy. Mr. Crocker has been a five-time recipient of Commercial Property News' Multifamily Executive of the Year Award, a three-time winner of their REIT Executive of the Year Award, a three-time winner of Realty Stock Review's Outstanding CEO Award, and received NAREIT's 2010 Edward H. Linde Industry Leadership Award. Mr. Crocker is also a member of the NACD.

Trustee Qualifications: The Board believes Mr. Crocker's qualifications to sit on the Board include his extensive CEO, board, financial and real estate experience. Highlights of these qualifications include Mr. Crocker's:

- service as CEO of Equity Residential, a publicly traded REIT, for ten years;
- current service on the boards of directors of other REITs;
- past service on the audit committees of the boards of directors of a number of publicly traded companies; and
- over 40 years of experience in the real estate industry.

Lorrence T. Kellar, age 80

Professional Experience: Mr. Kellar has been a Trustee of the Company since November 2003 and is an "audit committee financial expert" as that term is defined by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Mr. Kellar was Vice President at Continental Properties, a retail and residential developer from November 2002 until his retirement in November 2009. He is a director of Spar Group, Inc. and the recently retired chairman of Multi-Color Corporation. Prior to joining Continental Properties in November of 2002, Mr. Kellar served as Vice President of Real Estate with Kmart Corporation from 1996 to 2002. From 1965 to 1996, Mr. Kellar served with The Kroger Co., the country's largest supermarket company, where his final position was Group Vice President of Finance and Real Estate. Mr. Kellar is also a member of the NACD.

Trustee Qualifications: The Board believes Mr. Kellar's qualifications to sit on the Board include his extensive real estate development, public company board, asset management and mergers and acquisitions experience, as well as financial expertise. Highlights of these qualifications include Mr. Kellar's:

- over 40 years of real estate operating and development experience;
- extensive experience managing financial functions, including general accounting, audit, finance, and treasury;
- qualification as an "audit committee financial expert" as that term is defined by the SEC;
- service on the boards of directors of eight public companies, including his service as the chair on two of those boards;
- service as chair of both the City of Cincinnati and Kroger pension funds;
- past service as chair of the Bartlett Management Trust mutual fund group; and
- involvement in a number of mergers and acquisitions transactions while with Kroger, U.S. Shoe, BT Office Products International and Multi-Color Corporation.

Wendy Luscombe, age 66

Professional Experience: Ms. Luscombe has been a Trustee of the Company since May 2004. Since 1994 Ms. Luscombe has worked independently including being master advisor to the Prudential, PLC of the U.K. on its US real estate strategy and also asset managing its retail properties. From 1989 to 1994, Ms. Luscombe was based in London and was Chief Executive Officer of Taylor Woodrow Construction's urban renewal subsidiary, responsible for its London Dockland developments. She also worked on the Russian and Australian projects of a private developer. Prior to that, from 1979 to 1989, Ms. Luscombe was Chief Executive Officer of Pan American Properties, Inc. a New York based public REIT sponsored by the British Coal Pension Funds. During the same period she was also Chief Executive Officer and Chief Investment Officer of Buckingham Holdings, Inc. the U.S. private equity investor of the British Coal Pension Funds. Ms. Luscombe was responsible for the acquisition, management and disposition of all the assets of these two entities, including the unsolicited takeover of two publicly traded REITs. Ms. Luscombe has sat on the boards of both public and private companies in both the U.S. and Europe, including the Zweig Fund and Zweig Total Return Fund where she was Co-Lead Director from 2005-2013 and Deutsche Bank's International Real Estate Opportunity Funds (1A and B) where she was Chair of the Management Oversight Committee until 2014. She sat on the board of PXRE/Argo Reinsurance Company where she served as Chair of the Investment Committee from 1994 to 2007. Ms. Luscombe is also a member of the NACD and served as a member of NACD's teaching faculty, a Fellow of the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors and a Member of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators. Her government and not for profit board service includes the Board of Governors of NAREIT, the Real Estate Advisory Committee for the New York State Common Retirement Fund and the Commission for the New Towns, a U.K. Government entity. She received her degree in Estate Management from Oxford Brookes University.

Trustee Qualifications: The Board believes Ms. Luscombe's qualifications to sit on the Board include her extensive real estate operational background, CEO experience, asset management experience, extensive board service and strong corporate governance, information security and risk management background. Highlights of these qualifications include Ms. Luscombe's:

- experience as the CEO of a public equity REIT;
- experience as the CEO of a UK urban renewal developer;
- experience as the chief investment officer in the United States for a foreign pension fund and a real estate advisor to a U.S. pension fund;
- experience in a variety of real estate asset types including, among others, regional malls, community shopping centers and mixed use;
- service as an independent director for over 30 years, including service on audit, compensation, investment and nominating and corporate governance committees. and service as a co-lead director and committee chairs;
- experience as one of the first governors of NAREIT;
- successful launch of two successful contested REIT takeovers;
- qualification as an "audit committee financial expert" as that term is defined by the SEC; and
- all pre certification training for the information systems security professional (CISSP) and CERT in Certificate of Cyber Security Oversight.

William T. Spitz, age 66

Professional Experience: Mr. Spitz has been a Trustee of the Company since August 2007. Mr. Spitz has served as a Director of Diversified Trust Company, a private wealth management trust company, for 24 years and has served as a Director and Principal since March 2009. Previously, he was Vice Chancellor for Investments and Treasurer of Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee from 1985 to July 2007. As Vice Chancellor for Investments at Vanderbilt, Mr. Spitz was responsible for managing the University's \$3.5 billion endowment. He was also a member of the Senior Management Group of the University, which is responsible for the day- to-day operations of the

institution. During his tenure, the Vanderbilt endowment earned returns in the top 10% of a broad universe of endowments for multiple time frames. While at Vanderbilt, Mr. Spitz conducted asset allocation studies and implemented detailed investment objectives and guidelines, developed a comprehensive risk management plan, invested in approximately two hundred limited partnerships in five illiquid assets classes, selected new custodians for both the endowment fund and the University's charitable remainder trusts and implemented a more aggressive approach to working capital management which increased returns by 2% per annum. In addition, Mr. Spitz was also on the faculty of Vanderbilt University as Clinical Professor of Management- Owen Graduate School of Management. He has also held various high-level positions with successful asset management companies and has served on the board of several companies, including Cambium Global Timber Fund, The Common Fund, MassMutual Financial, and the Bradford Fund. He has also served on multiple advisory committees, including Acadia's Opportunity Fund Advisory Boards, on which he served from 2001 to July 2007. Mr. Spitz is a published author and frequent speaker at industry conferences and seminars.

Trustee Qualifications: The Board believes Mr. Spitz's qualifications to sit on the Board include his asset management experience as well as real estate development, board, fund, and REIT experience. Highlights of these qualifications include Mr. Spitz's:

- former role as Vice Chancellor for Investments and Treasurer of Vanderbilt University for over 20 years;
- former responsibilities managing Vanderbilt University's multi-billion dollar endowment fund;
- high-level positions with successful asset management companies;
- service on the boards of directors of several companies;
- service on multiple fund advisory committees, including, previously, the Company's fund advisory boards;
- involvement in numerous real estate development projects;
- former position as director of a private REIT;
- past service on the audit committee of MassMutual; and
- qualification as chartered financial analyst.

Lynn C. Thurber, 71

Professional Experience: Ms. Thurber has been a Trustee of the Company since March 2016. Ms. Thurber is past chairman (2007-2017) of LaSalle Investment Management, a global real estate money management firm with over \$55 billion of assets under management, investing in private real estate as well as publicly-traded real estate companies on behalf of institutional and individual investors. Prior to becoming chairman of LaSalle Investment Management, Ms. Thurber was the chief executive officer of LaSalle Investment Management from March 2000 to December 2006 and co-president from December 1994 to March 2000. Prior to Alex Brown, Kleinwort Benson ("ABKB") Realty Advisors' merger with LaSalle Partners in 1994, Ms. Thurber was chief executive officer of that company. Before joining ABKB in 1992, she was a principal at Morgan Stanley & Co. Ms. Thurber is chairman of the board of Jones Lang LaSalle Income Property Trust, an SEC registered, non-traded REIT. Ms. Thurber earned an M.B.A. from Harvard Business School and an A.B. from Wellesley College. Ms. Thurber is a member of the board of Duke Realty Corporation. Ms. Thurber is a board member and the past global Chairman of ULI-Urban Land Institute. In addition, Ms. Thurber is currently a member of the Wellesley College Business Leadership Council and a member of the board of the Bitterroot Land Trust. Ms. Thurber was the 2013 recipient of the Landauer White award from the Counselors of Real Estate and the 2015 recipient of the Lifetime Achievement Award from the ULI District Council of Chicago.

Trustee Qualifications: The Board believes Ms. Thurber's qualifications to sit on the Board include her extensive real estate investment, capital markets and Board experiences. Highlights of these qualifications include Ms. Thurber's:

- experience as CEO, Co-president or Chairman of real estate investment management companies for over twenty-two years;
- extensive experience investing in and managing real estate properties including retail shopping centers, neighborhood and community centers and mixed-use properties;
- experience in investing and managing real estate in private fund entities on behalf of institutional investors for twenty-six years;
- current service on two other public REIT boards and past service on another public real estate company board and numerous private real estate fund and company boards;
- service on audit, finance, nominating and compensation committees of real estate company boards; and
- over 35 years' experience in the real estate industry

Lee S. Wielansky, age 66

Professional Experience: Mr. Wielansky has been a Trustee of the Company since May 2000 and the Lead Trustee since 2004. Mr. Wielansky has been Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Midland Development Group, Inc., which focuses on the development of retail properties in the mid-west and southeast, since May 2003. From November 2000 to March 2003, Mr. Wielansky served as Chief Executive Officer and President of JDN Development Company, Inc. and a director of JDN Realty Corporation through its merger with Developers Diversified Realty Corporation in 2003. He was also a founding partner and Chief Executive Officer of Midland Development Group, Inc. from 1983 through 1998 when the company sold its assets to Regency Centers Corporation. Mr. Wielansky is also Chairman of the Board of Brookdale Senior Living and a member of the NACD.

Trustee Qualifications: The Board believes Mr. Wielansky's qualifications to sit on the Board include his real estate development, public company board, fund, asset management and CEO experience. Highlights of these qualifications include Mr. Wielansky's:

- over 38 years of real estate development experience;
- his role in developing over 150 shopping centers;
- his service as Chairman and CEO of Midland Development Group, Inc., which focuses on the development of retail properties in the mid-west and southeast, since May 2003;
- service on the boards of directors of four public companies, including two current public company directorships;
- service on compensation, nominating and corporate governance, and audit committees;
- current service as the Lead Trustee of the Company, a position he has held since 2004;
- responsibility for the asset management of 100 properties, accounting for over 11 million square feet;
- former position as CEO of JDN Development Company; and
- former position as Senior Vice President and Director of Regency Centers.

C. David Zoba, age 66

Professional Experience: Mr. Zoba has been a Trustee of the Company since August 2015. Mr. Zoba retired on January 31, 2016 from his position as Senior Real Estate Strategy advisor for Gap Inc. that he held since 2015, after having served, since 2009, as Senior Vice President of Global Real Estate and Store Development for Gap Inc., the \$15 billion retailer operating as Gap, Banana Republic, Old Navy, Athleta, Intermix and Outlet Brands. Immediately prior to joining Gap, Inc., Mr. Zoba was Principal and Chief Operating Officer for Steiner + Associates, one of the country's most respected mixed-use retail developers. From November 2004 through April 2006, Mr. Zoba served as president and chief operating officer of Premier Properties, a real estate development company. From 2001 through late 2004, Mr. Zoba worked for Galyan's Trading Company, Inc., where, as EVP, he helped create and launch a specialty sporting goods retailer that later became part of Dick's Sporting Goods. In the mid-1990s, Mr. Zoba was with The Limited (now L Brands) and served as chief transaction attorney, and then expanded his responsibilities significantly to other areas during his seven years there. Mr. Zoba earned his undergraduate degree from Harvard University and attended the London School of Economics for graduate studies. Mr. Zoba has a Juris Doctorate from Case Western Reserve University Law School. Since July 2015, Mr. Zoba has been Chairman (Non-Executive), Global Retail Leasing Board, with Jones Lang LaSalle Incorporated. Mr. Zoba is also an Executive Board Member and Chair of the Compensation Committee, International Council of Shopping Centers and serves on the governing board for this 65,000+ member shopping center real estate trade association. He serves as a consultant for Crown Acquisitions, Inc., serving as a non-employee consultant in connection with urban retail real estate acquisitions and in support of retailer relationships. Mr. Zoba also serves on the Board of PF Baseline Fitness, a franchisee of Planet Fitness. Mr. Zoba is also a co-founder and principal of Direct Brands Group, which is a start-up company that serves as a platform for operating international retail brands in North America under its "HiO" brand.

Trustee Qualifications: The Board believes Mr. Zoba's qualifications to sit on the Board include his extensive retail, real estate and Board experiences. Highlights of these qualifications include Mr. Zoba's:

- management of real estate transactions and professionals for Gap Inc.'s 3,300 retail stores operating in 10 countries;
- experience as a chief transaction attorney;
- experience in growing retail brands in both North America and globally;
- service on the boards of directors of several companies; and
- experience in supporting the strategy and growth of the retail leasing business for global real estate services and consulting businesses.

Vote Required; Recommendation

The election to the Board of Trustees of each of the eight nominees will require the affirmative vote of a majority of all the votes cast by the holders of Common Shares in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting.

7

The Board of Trustees unanimously recommends that the shareholders vote "FOR" the election of each of the eight nominees to the Board of Trustees.

Unless otherwise indicated by a shareholder on a proxy and except with respect to broker non-votes, shares will be voted "FOR" the election of each nominee.

Because the election of nominees to the Board of Trustees is a non-routine matter under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, brokerage firms, banks and other nominees who hold shares on behalf of clients in "street name" are not permitted to vote the Common Shares if the client does not provide instructions.

For additional information regarding voting requirements, please refer to "Outstanding Shares and Voting Rights" above.

PROPOSAL 2 - RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee of the Board of Trustees has selected BDO USA, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018 and has directed that the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm be submitted for ratification by the shareholders at the Annual Meeting.

Shareholder ratification of the selection of BDO USA, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm is not required by the Company's Declaration of Trust, Bylaws or otherwise. However, the Audit Committee is submitting the selection of BDO USA, LLP to the shareholders for ratification as a matter of what it considers to be good corporate practice. Notwithstanding the ratification of, or failure to, ratify the selection, the Audit Committee of the Board of Trustees in its discretion may direct the appointment of a different independent accounting firm at any time during the year if the Audit Committee determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its subsidiaries.

Representatives of BDO USA, LLP, the Company's auditors for 2017, are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting and will have the opportunity to make a statement if such representatives desire to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Vote Required; Recommendation

The affirmative vote of a majority of all the votes cast by holders of Common Shares in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting is required to ratify the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.

The Board of Trustees unanimously recommends that the shareholders vote "FOR" the ratification of BDO USA, LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.

Unless otherwise indicated by a shareholder on a proxy and except with respect to broker non-votes, shares will be voted "FOR" such ratification.

For additional information regarding voting requirements, please refer to "Outstanding Shares and Voting Rights" above.

PROPOSAL 3 - ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE COMPANY'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

As required under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), the Company is seeking a non-binding shareholder vote approving the compensation of Named Executive Officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement in accordance with SEC rules and as discussed in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," the compensation tables and any related material. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of the Company's Named Executive Officers and the policies and practices described in this Proxy Statement.

The Board and management have thoughtfully designed the Company's executive compensation philosophy, policies and programs tailored with the understanding of the Company's business and the strategic mission of the Company.

The Compensation Committee's executive compensation objectives are as follows:

1. Motivating the Company's Named Executive Officers to create maximum shareholder value.
2. Providing incentives to the Company's Named Executive Officers that reward dedication, hard work and success.

3. Providing a compensation program that ensures "pay for performance."
4. Aligning the interests of the Company's Named Executive Officers and shareholders as closely as possible.
5. Aligning the interests of the Company's Named Executive Officers and the Company's external fund investors as closely as possible.
6. Creating the right mix of long-term incentives to motivate and to retain the Company's Named Executive Officers.
7. Creating an incentive compensation program that can go beyond the Company's Named Executive Officers and be utilized throughout the organization.

Vote Required; Recommendation

The affirmative vote of a majority of all the votes cast by holders of Common Shares in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting is required to approve the advisory (non-binding) resolution approving the Company's executive compensation program for Named Executive Officers as set forth in this Proxy Statement. Because the shareholder vote is advisory, the results will not be binding upon the Board. However, the Compensation Committee will take the outcome of the vote expressed by the shareholders into consideration for future executive compensation arrangements.

The Board of Trustees unanimously recommends that the shareholders vote "FOR" the approval, on an advisory basis, of the Company's executive compensation program for Named Executive Officers as set forth in this Proxy Statement.

Unless otherwise indicated by a shareholder on a proxy and except with respect to broker non-votes, shares will be voted "FOR" the approval of the executive compensation.

Because this proposal is a non-routine matter under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, brokerage firms, banks and other nominees who hold Common Shares on behalf of clients in "street name" are not permitted to vote the Common Shares if the client does not provide instructions.

For additional information regarding voting requirements, please refer to "Outstanding Shares and Voting Rights" above.

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Trustee Meetings and Attendance

During 2017, the Board of Trustees held four in-person meetings, the Audit Committee held five telephonic meetings, the Compensation Committee held four in-person meetings and had a number of telephonic discussions, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held one in person meeting and two telephonic meetings and the Investment/Capital Markets Committee held numerous telephonic discussions to discuss potential transactions. The Board of Trustees believes consistent attendance with a minimum of missed meetings is important in carrying out the responsibilities of being a Trustee. The average attendance in the aggregate of the total number of Board of Trustees and committee meetings was 99%. No Trustee attended fewer than 91% of the aggregate of all meetings of the Board of Trustees and applicable committee meetings.

The Company does not have a formal policy requiring Trustees to be present at Annual Meetings, although the Company does encourage their attendance. All of the Company's Trustees attended the 2017 Annual Meeting.

Board Leadership Structure

The Board's Lead Trustee and the Company's Chief Executive Officer generally serve as the leadership of the Board. The Company does not have a chairperson of the Board. Mr. Wielansky, an independent Trustee who serves as a member of the Investment/Capital Markets Committee, has been selected by the Board to serve as the Lead Trustee. The duties of the Lead Trustee include, without limitation, the following:

- to chair and facilitate discussions among the independent Trustees;
- to facilitate communication between the independent Trustees, the Chief Executive Officer and management;
- to assist in the planning and preparation of meetings of the independent Trustees and meetings of the Board of Trustees, including the preparation of the agendas for such meetings;
- to be available to participate in any and all committee meetings, as needed;
- and
- to act as the spokesperson of the independent Trustees in matters dealing with the press and public when called upon.

The Lead Trustee has final say on the agenda for all Board meetings.

The Chief Executive Officer presides over the regular meetings of the Board of Trustees, calling each meeting to order and leading the Trustees through the agenda items. The Lead Trustee presides over all meetings of the non-management Trustees held in executive session. "Non-management" Trustees are all those who are not Company officers and include Trustees, if any, who are not "independent" by virtue of the existence of a material relationship with the Company (although all of the current non-management trustees are also independent). An executive session is held in conjunction with each regularly scheduled Board meeting and other executive sessions may be called by the Lead Trustee in his own discretion or at the request of the Board. The Lead Trustee's responsibilities are more fully described in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, which are available on the Company's website at www.acadiarealty.com in the "Investors - Corporate Governance" section. Please note that the information on the Company's website is not incorporated by reference in this Proxy Statement.

Because the Chief Executive Officer is the Trustee most familiar with the Company's business and industry and is the most capable of effectively identifying strategic priorities and leading the discussion regarding the execution of the Company's strategy, discussion at Board meetings is usually led by the Chief Executive Officer. Independent Trustees and management have different perspectives and roles in strategy development. The Company's independent Trustees bring experience, oversight and expertise from outside the Company, while the Chief Executive Officer brings company-specific experience and expertise. The Board believes that its leadership structure is appropriate because it combines an appropriate balance between independent leadership through the use of a Lead Trustee and strategy development, which results from the Chief Executive Officer leading the discussions on most Board topics.

Committees of the Board of Trustees

The Board of Trustees has standing Audit, Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance and Investment/Capital Markets Committees. The functions of each committee are detailed in its respective committee charter, which are available on the Company's website at www.acadiarealty.com in the "Investors - Corporate Governance" section. Please note that the information on the Company's website is not incorporated by reference in this Proxy Statement.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is empowered to engage the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and review the scope and results of the audit. The Audit Committee examines the accounting practices and methods of control and the manner of reporting financial results. These reviews and examinations include meetings with independent auditors, staff accountants and representatives of management. The results of the Audit Committee's examinations and the choice of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm are reported to the full Board of Trustees. The Audit Committee includes no officers or employees of the Company or any of its subsidiaries.

The Audit Committee held five telephonic meetings during the last fiscal year.

The Audit Committee Charter requires that the Audit Committee be comprised of at least three members, each of whom is "independent," as defined by the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and at least one of whom is an "audit committee financial expert," as that term is defined by the SEC.

The following Trustees are members of the Audit Committee: Mr. Kellar (Chair), Ms. Luscombe, Mr. Spitz and Ms. Thurber. Mr. Kellar and Ms. Luscombe have served as members of the Audit Committee since the 2004 Annual Meeting, Mr. Spitz was appointed a member in February 2010 and Ms. Thurber has been on the Audit Committee since 2016. The Board has determined that each of these members meets the independence requirements for members of audit committees prescribed by the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. Mr. Kellar serves on the audit committee of one other public company. The Board has determined that the participation by Mr. Kellar on this other audit committee does not impair his ability to serve effectively on the Company's Audit Committee. The Board has determined that Mr. Kellar and Ms. Luscombe are each an "audit committee financial expert," as that term is defined by the SEC. See the biographical information in "PROPOSAL 1 - ELECTION OF TRUSTEES" for their relevant experience.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for administering the 2006 Plan and recommending to the full Board the compensation of the executive officers of the Company. With respect to the Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee, either as a Committee or together with the other independent trustees (as directed by the Board), is responsible for determining and approving the Chief Executive Officer's compensation level. In addition, the Compensation Committee evaluates the Chief Executive Officer's performance, coordinates and reviews the Company's succession plans related to the Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers and reports the status of such plans to the Board annually.

The Compensation Committee held four in-person meetings and had a number of telephonic discussions during the last fiscal year.

The Compensation Committee Charter requires that the Compensation Committee be comprised of at least two members, with all committee members being "independent" as defined by the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange.

The members of the Compensation Committee during the last fiscal year were Messrs. Spitz (Chair), Kellar and Crocker and Ms. Thurber. Mr. Spitz and Mr. Crocker have served as members since 2007, Mr. Kellar has served as a member since 2004 and Ms. Thurber has served as a member since 2016. The Board of Trustees has determined that each of these members is independent within the meaning of the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. See "Acadia Realty Trust Compensation Committee Report" below.

For information relating to the compensation consultant hired by the Compensation Committee, please refer to the discussions under the headings "Role of the Independent Compensation Consultant and Use of Peer Group Data" in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" below.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During 2017, none of the Compensation Committee members (i) were officers or employees of the Company or any of its subsidiaries; (ii) are former officers of the Company or any of the Company's subsidiaries or (iii) had any relationship with the Company requiring disclosure under Item 404 of Regulation S-K. In addition, during the last completed fiscal year, none of the executive officers of the Company served as:

a member of the compensation committee (or other board committee performing equivalent functions or, in the absence of any such committee, the entire board of directors) of another entity, in an instance where one of such entities' executive officers served on the compensation committee (or other board committee performing equivalent functions or, in the absence of any such committee, the entire Board of Trustees) of the Company;

a director of another entity, in an instance where one of such entities' executive officers served on the Compensation Committee of the Company; or

a member of the compensation committee (or other board committee performing equivalent functions or, in the absence of any such committee, the entire board of directors) of another entity, in an instance where one of such entities' executive officers served as a Trustee of the Company.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for reviewing the qualifications and performance of the Board of Trustees and recommending nominees for Trustees and Board committees to the Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is also responsible for recommending to the Board changes in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter requires the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to be comprised of at least two members, each of whom is "independent" as defined by the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held one in person meetings and two telephonic meetings during the last fiscal year.

Members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee during the last fiscal year were Ms. Luscombe (Chair), who has served since 2005, Mr. Crocker, who has served since August 2005 and Mr. Zoba who has served since November 2015. The Board of Trustees has determined that these members are independent within the meaning of the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider all shareholder recommendations for candidates for the Board of Trustees. All shareholder recommendations should be sent to the Company's Corporate Secretary at Acadia Realty Trust, 411 Theodore Fremd Avenue, Suite 300, Rye, New York 10580, and should include all information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in a proxy statement for the election of Trustees or is otherwise required pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act. Shareholders must also include the nominee's written consent to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serving as a Trustee if elected. Furthermore, the shareholder giving the notice and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the nomination is made must include their names and addresses as they appear on the Company's books, as well as the class and number of Common Shares of the Company that they beneficially own. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may identify other candidates, if necessary, through recommendations from directors, management, employees or outside consultants. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will review candidates in the same manner regardless of the source of the recommendation. The Committee received no shareholder recommendations for candidates for the Board of Trustees for this Annual Meeting. Under the Company's Bylaws, if a shareholder wishes to put forward a nominee for Trustee, it must deliver notice of such nominee to the Company's Corporate Secretary not less than 120 days and no more than 150 days prior to the first anniversary date of the proxy statement for the preceding year's annual meeting, provided, however, that in the event that the date of the annual meeting is advanced or delayed by more than 30 days from the anniversary date of the preceding year's annual meeting, notice by the shareholder must be so delivered not earlier than the 150th day prior to such annual meeting and not later than 5:00 p.m., Eastern Time, on the later of the 120th day prior to such annual meeting or the tenth day following the day on which public announcement of the date of such annual meeting is first made. See "Submission of Shareholder Proposals" below.

Trustee Qualifications and Review of Trustee Nominees

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee makes recommendations to the Board of Trustees regarding the size and composition of the Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee annually reviews the composition of the Board as a whole and recommends, if necessary, measures to be taken so that the Board reflects the appropriate balance of knowledge, experience, skills, expertise and diversity of backgrounds, experience and competencies required for the Board as a whole and contains at least the minimum number of independent Trustees required by applicable laws and regulations. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for ensuring that the composition of the Board accurately reflects the needs of the Company to execute its strategic plan and achieve its objectives. In the event the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee determines that

additional expertise is needed on the Board, or if there is a vacancy, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee expects to use its network of contacts to compile a list of potential candidates, but may also engage, if it deems appropriate, a professional search firm.

The Company's strategic plan can be summarized in the following broad categories:

- Maintain a strong balance sheet;
- Maintain a strong core portfolio;
- Enhance the Company's external growth platform; and
- Utilize its experienced management team.

In evaluating a Trustee candidate, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers factors that are in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders, including the knowledge, experience, integrity and judgment of the candidate; the potential contribution of the candidate to the diversity of backgrounds, experience and competencies required by the Board; the candidate's ability to devote sufficient time and effort to his or her duties as a Trustee; independence and willingness to consider all strategic proposals and oversee the agreed-upon strategic direction of the Company; and any other criteria established by the Board, as well as other core competencies or technical expertise necessary to fill all of the committees of the Board.

Each nominee meets the foregoing criteria and also brings a strong and unique background and set of skills to the Board, giving the Board, as a whole, competence and experience in a wide variety of areas. The skills include:

- General real estate experience;
- Real estate investment experience;
- Asset management experience;
- REIT experience;
- Financial expertise;
- Real estate development experience;
- Public company board service;
- Information systems security and cyber risk oversight;
- Corporate governance expertise;
- CEO experience;
- Experience in risk management;
- Experience in mergers and acquisitions; and
- Experience in supporting strategy and growth of the retail leasing business.

Investment/Capital Markets Committee

The Investment/Capital Markets Committee (the "Investment Committee") has been established for the primary purpose of (i) screening all transactions that are within certain defined pre-approval limits to ensure such transactions are within such limits, (ii) acting as the pricing committee for all equity offerings and (iii) for other investments and capital market transactions, exercising such authority as is given to it from time to time by the Board of Trustees. The Investment Committee has the authority to obtain advice and assistance from outside legal, accounting or other advisors as deemed appropriate to perform its duties and responsibilities.

The Investment Committee held numerous informal telephonic discussions to discuss potential transactions during the last fiscal year.

The Investment Committee Charter requires that it be comprised of at least three members, each of whom is "independent" as defined by the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. The Company's Chief Executive Officer is an ex-officio member of the Investment Committee. Messrs. Crocker (Chair) and Wielansky have served as the members of the Investment Committee since the 2004 Annual Meeting, Mr. Spitz has served since 2007 and Mr. Zoba has served since November 2015. The Board of Trustees has determined that Messrs. Crocker, Wielansky, Spitz and Zoba are "independent" within the meaning of the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange.

Communication with Trustees

You may communicate directly with the Board of Trustees by sending correspondence to the Company's Corporate Secretary at Acadia Realty Trust, 411 Theodore Fremd Avenue, Suite 300, Rye, New York 10580. The sender should indicate in the address whether it is intended for the entire Board, the independent Trustees as a group, or to an

individual Trustee. Each communication intended for the Board, the independent Trustees or an individual Trustee received by the Corporate Secretary will be promptly forwarded to the intended recipients in accordance with the sender's instructions.

Other Corporate Governance Initiatives

The Company regularly monitors developments in the area of corporate governance and continues to enhance the Company's corporate governance structure based upon a review of new developments and recommended best practices.

On November 6, 2017, the Board of Trustees approved a resolution to opt out of Section 3-803 of Subtitle 8 of Title 3 of the Maryland General Corporation Law ("MGCL"), commonly referred to as the "Maryland Unsolicited Takeover Act" or "MUTA". MUTA contains statutory provisions that allow the Board, without shareholder approval, to elect to classify into three classes with staggered three-year terms. To effectuate the opt out, in accordance with Sections 3-802(c) and 3-802(d) of the MGCL, on November 9, 2017, the Company filed Articles Supplementary ("Articles Supplementary") with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland. The Articles Supplementary prohibit the Company, without the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on the matter by shareholders entitled to vote generally in the election of trustees, from classifying the Board.

The Company's corporate governance materials, including the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Whistle Blower Policy and standing committee charters may be found on the Company's website at www.acadiarealty.com in the "Investors - Corporate Governance" section. Please note that the information on the Company's website is not incorporated by reference in this Proxy Statement. Copies of these materials are also available to shareholders upon written request to the Company's Corporate Secretary, Acadia Realty Trust 411 Theodore Fremd Avenue, Suite 300, Rye, New York 10580.

Risk Oversight

The entire Board and each of its committees are involved in overseeing risk associated with the Company.

Financial and Accounting

The Board and the Audit Committee monitor the Company's financial and regulatory risk through regular reviews with management and internal and external auditors and other advisors. In its periodic meetings with the internal auditors and the independent registered public accounting firm, the Audit Committee discusses the scope and plan for the internal audit and the audit conducted by the independent registered accounting firm, and includes management in its review of accounting and financial controls and assessment of business risks.

Governance and Succession

The Board and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee monitor the Company's corporate governance policies and procedures by regular review with management and outside advisors. The Board and the Compensation Committee monitor CEO succession and the Company's compensation policies and related risks by regular reviews with management and the Committee's outside advisors.

Cyber Security

The Company is aware of the existence of threats to cyber security and issues related to cyber security form an integral part of the Board's and the Audit Committee's risk analysis and discussions with management. While the Company attempts to mitigate these risks by employing a number of measures, including a dedicated information technology team, employee training and background checks, comprehensive monitoring of the Company's networks and systems and maintenance of backup systems and redundancy along with purchasing available insurance coverage, the Company's systems, networks and services remain potentially vulnerable to advanced threats.

Compensation

As part of its oversight of the Company's executive compensation program, the Compensation Committee considers the impact of the Company's executive compensation program, and the incentives created by the compensation awards that it administers, on the Company's risk profile. In addition, the Company reviews all of its compensation policies and procedures, including the incentives that they create and factors that may reduce the likelihood of excessive risk taking, to determine whether they present a significant risk to the Company. Based on these reviews, the Company has concluded that its compensation policies and procedures are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

MANAGEMENT

Executive Officers

The executive officers of the Company as of the date of this Proxy Statement are as follows:

Name	Age	Office Held	Year First Became Officer/Trustee	Term Expires
Kenneth F. Bernstein	56	Trustee, Chief Executive Officer and President	1998	2018
John Gottfried	46	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2016	-
Joel Braun	66	Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer	1998	-
Christopher Conlon	58	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	2008	-
Joseph M. Napolitano	53	Senior Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer	1998	-
Jason Blacksberg	42	Senior Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Secretary	2014	-

Biographical information with respect to Mr. Bernstein is set forth under "PROPOSAL 1 - ELECTION OF TRUSTEES," above.

John Gottfried, age 46, joined the Company in June 2016 as Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Gottfried is responsible for all accounting, financial reporting, budgeting/forecasting, real estate finance, capital market activities, tax and treasury functions. Mr. Gottfried joined Acadia after 18 years at PwC, where he was a Partner and most recently served as the assurance leader of PwC's New York City Real Estate practice. He earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration from the University of Dayton and is a Certified Public Accountant and a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

Joel Braun, age 66, has been Chief Investment Officer of the Company since January 2007. Mr. Braun was a Senior Vice President of the Company from August 1998 until January 2007 when he was named Executive Vice President. Mr. Braun is responsible for all of the Company's merger and acquisition activities. Previously, Mr. Braun was Vice President of Acquisitions for RDC. Mr. Braun holds a Bachelor's Degree in Business Administration and Psychology from Boston University and a Master's Degree in Planning from The Johns Hopkins University.

Christopher Conlon, age 58, has been Executive Vice President/Chief Operating Officer of the Company since January 2012. From February 2008 through 2011, Mr. Conlon served as Senior Vice President - Leasing and Development. From 1992 to 2007, Mr. Conlon was a partner at Ripco Real Estate Corporation where he was responsible for the leasing and development/redevelopment of neighborhood shopping centers, vertical urban retail centers and mixed-use properties with retail components. Mr. Conlon received his Bachelor of Arts from the State University of New York at Stony Brook and his J.D. from St. John's University School of Law.

Joseph M. Napolitano, age 53, has been Senior Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of the Company since April 2007. He is accountable for managing the Company's property management, human resources, marketing and information technology disciplines. Mr. Napolitano has been with the Company since January 1995, and has 35 years of real estate experience. Mr. Napolitano has a bachelor's degree in Business Administration from Adelphi University, is a Master Human Capital Strategist (MHCS) as certified by the Human Capital Institute, is a Certified Property Manager (CPM) by the Institute of Real Estate Management, and is a Real Property Administrator (RPA) certified by the Building Owners and Managers Institute International. Mr. Napolitano is also a board member for DDI (Developmental Disabilities Institute), a non-profit, multi-site agency serving the special needs of children with

Autism and other developmental disabilities.

Jason Blacksberg, age 42, has been Senior Vice President and General Counsel for the Company since May 2014, as well as Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary since 2016. He is accountable for leading and executing Acadia's legal strategy and affairs. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Blacksberg was Senior Vice President of Investments & Assistant General Counsel at the Trump Organization. Prior to joining Trump, Mr. Blacksberg was an Associate at the law firm of Davis Polk & Wardwell. Mr. Blacksberg began his legal career as a law clerk to Chief Judge Marilyn Huff in the U.S. District Court, Southern District of CA. He received his law degree from Georgetown University Law Center and his bachelor's degree from the University of Pennsylvania.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this Proxy Statement presents the detailed compensation arrangements for the Company's Named Executive Officers ("NEOs") for fiscal year 2017, which were determined by the Compensation Committee. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, the Company's NEOs and their titles were as follows:

- Kenneth F. Bernstein - President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO")
- John Gottfried - Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO")
- Joel Braun - Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer ("CIO")
- Christopher Conlon - Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer ("COO")
- Jason Blacksberg - Senior Vice President, Chief Compliance Officer, Corporate Secretary and General Counsel ("GC")

The Link Between The Company's Business Model and Compensation Program

The Company's business model is unique (as compared to many other publicly-traded REITs) in that it uses dual platforms comprised of its core portfolio and fund platform. The Company's long-term growth strategy is based on the following key principles:

Core Portfolio	Fund Platform	Balance Sheet
Building a best-in-class core real estate portfolio with meaningful concentrations of assets in the nation's most dynamic urban and street retail corridors	Making profitable opportunistic and value-add investments through the Company's series of discretionary institutional funds	Safeguarding the Company's growth trajectory by maintaining appropriate leverage levels and interest rate protection

The Company attributes its success to its unique business model and to its uncompromising commitment to three core attributes:

1. Stay focused. The Company executes its strategy by focusing on four key business strengths: enhancing its core portfolio, maintaining a strong balance sheet, launching profitable external growth initiatives and energizing the Acadia Team.

2. Maintain discipline. The very nature of the Company's business is long-term, with horizons measured in years, not quarters. The Company waits patiently for the right opportunities to present themselves and makes strategic decisions that are driven by its commitment to sustain growth over the long term.

3. Provide value. The Company believes that the value it consistently creates and delivers is one of the attributes most admired by its varied constituency, consumers who shop in its centers, retailers who lease its properties, loyal shareholders who rely on its expertise, its business partners and the talented employees who are the face of Acadia.

Business Highlights

During 2017, the executive officers led the Company to achieve strong operational and financial results, including the following:

Funds from Operations ("FFO") per share, excluding net promote income which is subject to significant variation year-to-year given the timing discrepancy of fund investment acquisitions and dispositions, increased to \$1.49 in 2017

from \$1.42 the prior year (5% growth). Including net promote income, FFO per share decreased by 3% due to the fact that net promote income decreased from \$0.13 in 2016 to \$0.01 in 2017;

Same-property NOI was flat for the year, driven by the previously-reported recapture of occupancy during 2017 which impacted period-over-period comparability;

2017 Core occupancy of 95.3% as of December 31, 2017;

Continued to strengthen our strong, low-leveraged balance sheet. The Company's net debt to EBITDA ratio for the Core Portfolio was 4.5x;

Received repayments of approximately \$32 million of structured finance investments during 2017 and an additional \$26 million in January 2018;

Completed \$203 million of fund acquisitions and currently have more than \$1 billion of fund buying power;

- Completed \$346 million of dispositions across Acadia Strategic Opportunity Fund II, LLC, Fund III (as defined below) and Fund IV (as defined below);

Generated net promote income of approximately \$1 million, or \$0.01 per share, from its Fund III asset sales; and

The Company's long-term total shareholder return ("TSR") performance has consistently performed at or above the median of its peers (detailed in the "Total Shareholder Return" section below).

Total Shareholder Return

The Company believes that the true value creation of real estate should be assessed over the long-term horizon, thus its strategy has focused on long-term value creation. Over the ten-year period the Company has performed consistent with its executive compensation peer group (both retail peers and the larger real estate industry), while significantly outperforming the SNL US REIT Shopping Center Index.

	1-Year	3-Year	5-Year	10-Year
AKR	(13.15)%	(4.79)%	31.10%	64.30 %
Executive Compensation Peer Group Median	(11.61)%	(14.92)%	28.86%	28.84 %
MSCI US REIT (RMS) Index	5.07 %	16.98 %	56.29%	105.05 %
SNL US REIT Retail Index	(4.98)%	(0.06)%	31.76%	80.31 %
SNL US REIT Retail Shopping Center Index	(11.08)%	(3.06)%	34.21%	27.00 %

Source: SNL Financial LC

Executive Compensation Highlights

The Company's success depends on developing, motivating and retaining executives who have the skills and expertise to lead a REIT that uses both a traditional core portfolio and a fund platform. The Company seeks to design an executive compensation program that supports its business model and aligns management's interests with its shareholders and fund investors. During 2017, the Board of Trustees, in consultation with our independent compensation consultant, conducted a comprehensive review of compensation structure in the real estate industry and, based on this assessment, certain components have been redesigned. The Board's objectives in redesigning our executive compensation program were to:

- Create a compensation program aligned with best market practices and ensure market competitiveness;
- Simplify the overall structure, providing additional transparency to shareholders with regard to the compensation decision-making process;
- Provide target total compensation with the ability to earn more or less commensurate with Company performance;
- Ensure the program has appropriate rigor and reflects an appropriate pay-for-performance structure;
- Reallocate compensation to be more heavily equity-based; and
- Redesign the Company's performance-based equity to include more rigorous hurdles by eliminating the "either/or" concept of the prior grants

Our focus is and continues to be to maintain a strong link between our NEO's compensation and the Company's performance. Highlights of our 2017 executive compensation program are outlined below and the key changes to our compensation structure summarized in the chart:

Pay-for-Performance Alignment - We maintain strong pay-for-performance alignment with more than 86% of our CEO's 2017 approved compensation variable and subject to the Company's performance.

Formulaic Annual Cash Bonuses - 75% of our NEO's annual cash bonuses are formulaic and based on the achievement of pre-established corporate performance goals, with the remaining 25% based on individual performance goals set forth at the beginning of the year. Our cash bonus program employs challenging hurdles and may result in significant fluctuations in payouts depending on our financial and operating success each year.

Focus on Long-Term Performance and Alignment with Our Shareholders and Investors - For 2017 performance, approximately 55% of our CEO's compensation was paid in the form of long-term incentive units ("LTIP Units") that are subject to additional service-based and performance-based vesting conditions, which reflected a meaningful shift from 29% paid in LTIP Units in 2016. Half of the LTIP Units are subject to a long-term vesting period of five-years and half are subject to the achievement of relative TSR goals over a three-year performance period (plus an additional two-year vesting period on any earned LTIP Units). Additionally, our NEO's may receive fund-based compensation if a particular investment has achieved a preferred rate of return and requires a long-term commitment from our management team given that such payouts typically involve value creation over a seven- to ten- year period before payouts, if any, are realized.

Commitment to Strong Compensation Governance - Our executive compensation program is designed to achieve an appropriate balance between risk and reward and employs good compensation governance and risk mitigation features, including:

- Share ownership requirements, including 10x base salary for our CEO
- Anti-hedging and anti-pledging policies
- Long-term vesting requirements on equity awards
- Caps on annual cash awards and equity award payouts

Multiple performance factors

Range of payouts (not all or nothing)

18

	Previous Compensation Program	New Program
Base Salaries	Fixed cash compensation set at a level that promotes executive retention and recruitment	No change 2017 base salaries were modestly increased by 2.5% 2018 base salaries remained flat
	Variable compensation, payable in cash and LTIP Units, based on corporate performance goals and individual performance	Implemented a cash plan that correlates each individual payout to specific corporate and individual performance goals:
	Company-wide target bonus pool established at the beginning of each fiscal year and earned based on: Corporate financial targets (40% weighting); Executing the Strategic Plan (30% weighting); and Business unit and individual performance (30% weighting)	Corporate financial targets (50% weighting); Executing the Strategic Plan (25% weighting); and Individual performance (25% weighting)
Annual Incentives	Bonus pool allocations approved by the Compensation Committee may be adjusted upwards or downwards based on the results of corporate, business unit and individual performance objectives	Bonuses are designated as a percentage of base salary Awards are granted based on linear equation for each metric
	NEOs' annual incentives paid 60% in cash and 40% in equity awards, although to maximize the alignment between management and its shareholders, employees are given the option to exchange their cash bonus for restricted LTIP Units at a 20% discount	Continue to allow employees the option to elect to receive a portion of their cash bonus in restricted LTIP Units at a 20% discount Annual cash incentives generally resulted in payouts slightly below target in 2017
Equity Awards	Represented 40% of the annual incentives payable in restricted LTIP Units	Target annual equity awards that may be adjusted up/down at the Compensation Committee's discretion, with 2017 grants approved at target amounts for our NEOs (except Mr. Braun)
	50% granted in time-based LTIP Units that vest ratably over a five-year period based on continued service	
	50% granted in performance-based LTIP Units that vest upon the achievement of FFO or TSR hurdles at the end of a three-year performance period	50% granted in time-based LTIP Units that vest ratably over a five-year period based on continued service
	60% of any earned units vest at the end of the performance period, and the remaining 40% of earned units vest ratably over the next two years	50% granted in performance-based LTIP Units that vest between 0% and 200% of target performance based upon achievement of specified relative TSR hurdles at the end of a three-year performance period:
	The equity awards are designed to provide direct alignment between the Company's management team and its shareholders	2/3 based on relative TSR compared to the SNL U.S. REIT Retail Shopping Center Index 1/3 based on relative TSR compared to the SNL U.S. REIT Retail Index
		60% of any earned units vest at the end of the performance period, and the remaining 40% of earned units vest ratably over the next two years

Total restricted LTIP Unit awards were granted generally at target

A portion of the promote distributions from the Company's funds are allocated to management, which is designed to provide direct alignment between its management team and both its fund investors and its shareholders;

Long-Term Incentive Alignment Program	<p>The Company's long-term incentive alignment program is based on the fundamental principle that participants should only realize value if a particular investment has achieved significant success by generating more than a 6% preferred rate of return; The first allocation in this program was made in 2009 with respect to Fund III;</p> <p>Due to the long-term performance horizon of the Company's fund business, the second payout received by plan participants was in 2017 - 9 years after the initial allocation was made in 2009 in connection with the approximate \$1 million of promote income for the Company in 2017</p>	<p>No change to program structure</p> <p>In 2017, the second payout was received by plan participants, which was significantly less than amounts paid in 2016</p>
---------------------------------------	--	---

CEO Compensation Snapshot

The following table highlights the components of compensation that the Compensation Committee deemed most important in considering year-over-year changes to compensation for the CEO. The compensation amounts differ from the Summary Compensation Table due to the fact that (i) equity-based awards are reported in the Summary Compensation Table in the year of grant and not for the performance year to which the award related and (ii) the long-term incentive alignment program is fully reported in the Summary Compensation Table in the year the cash payout was received despite the fact that this program is designed to cover multi-year periods.

Component	2016	2017	% Change
Base Salary	\$597,300	\$612,000	2.5%
LTIP Units Granted in Lieu of Cash Bonus (1)	2,513,000	1,300,090	(48.3%)
Equity Awards (2)	1,340,000	2,500,000	86.6%
Long-Term Incentive Alignment Program (3)	228,320	166,333	(27.1%)
Total Compensation	\$4,678,620	\$4,578,405	(2.1%)

Notes:

(1) Represents the cash portion of the annual incentives in 2016 and the cash bonus plan payout in 2017 that was paid in restricted LTIP Units and vests ratably over five years, including the 20% cash exchange discount in 2016 and 2017. The Compensation Committee approved a \$2,010,000 and \$1,040,072 cash bonus for Mr. Bernstein in 2016 and 2017, respectively. The decrease is attributable to the change in the overall compensation program between 2016 and 2017.

(2) For 2016, represents 40% of the annual incentives paid in equity awards; for 2017, represents the approved value equity awards granted (both of which are granted in the next fiscal year for the previous year's performance).

(3) For 2016, represents the annualized portion of the \$1,826,563 earned under the long-term incentive alignment program (which related to the 8 year fund performance period - or \$228,320 in annualized compensation); for 2017, represents the total payout received in 2017.

Shareholder Say-on-Pay Vote

At the 2017 Annual Meeting, the Company's shareholders approved, on an advisory basis, the Company's executive compensation plan with a vote of approximately 96.4%, which the Company believes affirms shareholder support of its executive compensation program. The Compensation Committee is committed to reviewing the results of the advisory say-on-pay vote, market practices and governance standards on an annual basis and making changes as appropriate.

Compensation Program Objectives

Compensation Program Objectives and Rewards

The executive compensation program is designed to help the Company achieve the objectives that are reflected in the Compensation Committee's Charter that is available on the Company's website at www.acadiarealty.com in the "Investors - Corporate Governance" section. Please note that the information on the Company's website is not incorporated by reference in this Proxy Statement. The Compensation Committee's executive compensation objectives for NEOs are as follows:

- Motivating the Company's NEOs to create maximum shareholder value.
- Providing incentives to the Company's NEOs that reward dedication, hard work and success.
- Providing a compensation program that ensures "pay for performance".
- Aligning the interests of the Company's NEOs and shareholders as closely as possible.
- Aligning the interests of the Company's NEOs and the Company's external fund investors as closely as possible.
- Creating the right mix of long-term incentives to motivate and retain the Company's NEOs.
- Creating an incentive compensation program that can go beyond the Company's NEOs and be utilized throughout the organization.

The following sections describe the components of the Company's executive compensation program and the process for determining the compensation of the NEOs. The process includes input from the CEO (except with respect to his own compensation), the Compensation Committee and the Board of Trustees and an objective review of the Company's performance, the individual NEOs performance and the performance of the business unit that reports to each individual NEO. For a discussion of compensation for the members of the Board of Trustees, see "Board of Trustees Compensation," below.

Role of Management and the Chief Executive Officer in Setting Executive Compensation

On an annual basis, the Compensation Committee considers market competitiveness, business results, experience and individual performance when evaluating executive compensation. The process includes input from the CEO (except with respect to his own compensation), including recommending for Compensation Committee approval the financial performance goals for annual incentives. Targets are set in order to drive both annual performance and long-term value creation for shareholders. The CEO is subject to the same financial performance goals as other executives, all of which are approved by the Compensation Committee. Upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, all final decisions affecting executive compensation are made by the entire Board of Trustees.

Role of the Independent Compensation Consultant and Use of Peer Group Data

In 2017, the Compensation Committee engaged the services of an outside independent compensation consultant, FTI Consulting, Inc. ("FTI Consulting"), to assist it in redesigning the compensation program and determining the appropriate amounts, types and mix of compensation to executive officers in order to achieve the overall objectives as described above. The Compensation Committee has the sole authority to retain and terminate FTI Consulting as its compensation consultant and approve fees and other engagement terms. The Compensation Committee has determined that FTI Consulting is independent from management based upon the consideration of the final rules adopted by the SEC to implement Section 952 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.

The Compensation Committee, with the help of FTI Consulting, reviews the compensation practices of other REITs in order to evaluate market trends and compare the Company's compensation program with its competitors. Based in part on this data and analysis provided by FTI Consulting, the Compensation Committee develops a compensation plan

that is intended to maintain the link between corporate performance and shareholder returns while being generally competitive within the REIT industry. FTI Consulting also reviews proposed recommendations made to the Compensation Committee by management and provides a commentary regarding the reasonableness of such pay programs and pay level adjustments. The Compensation Committee considered FTI Consulting's peer group analysis when determining base salaries and bonuses paid out to the executives for 2017.

In selecting the targeted peer group, the Company considers REITs based upon the following characteristics:

Retail property focus (shopping centers and regional malls); and
Companies that are approximately no less than one-third (1/3) and no more than 3x the size of AKR in terms of implied market capitalization.

The following table provides the names and key information for each peer company at the time at which the Compensation Committee reviewed the peer group market data in October 2017.

(Dollars in millions)

Company	Implied Equity Market Cap ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Total Enterprise Value ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Headquarters	Sector
Brixmor Property Group Inc.	\$5,458.4	\$11,223.8	New York, NY	Shopping Center
CBL & Associates Properties, Inc	1,652.3	6,510.3	Chattanooga, TN	Regional Mall
DDR Corp.	2,918.3	7,604.6	Beachwood, OH	Shopping Center
Kite Realty Group Trust	1,638.0	3,318.7	Indianapolis, IN	Shopping Center
National Retail Properties, Inc.	6,181.8	9,339.2	Orlando, FL	Other Retail
Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust	786.5	2,806.0	Philadelphia, PA	Regional Mall
Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust	1,051.8	2,337.9	Farmington Hills, MI	Shopping Center
Retail Opportunity Investments Corp.	2,301.7	3,657.4	San Diego, CA	Shopping Center
Retail Properties of America, Inc.	2,832.8	4,819.0	Oak Brook, IL	Shopping Center
Seritage Growth Properties	2,416.4	3,739.9	New York, NY	Other Retail
Spirit Realty Capital, Inc.	3,670.0	7,505.4	Dallas, TX	Other Retail
Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc.	2,437.1	4,157.9	Greensboro, NC	Other Retail
Urban Edge Properties	3,037.1	4,201.5	New York, NY	Shopping Center
Washington Prime Group Inc.	1,817.5	4,967.5	Columbus, OH	Regional Mall
Weingarten Realty Investors	4,110.0	6,462.1	Houston, TX	Shopping Center
Acadia Realty Trust	2,548.8	4,639.9	Rye, NY	Shopping Center
Peer Group Median	2,437.1	4,819.0		

⁽¹⁾ Data as of October 25, 2017

Source: SNL Financial LC

Elements of Compensation

Historically, the Company's primary components of compensation for its executive officers have been base salary, annual incentive cash bonus, and annual long-term equity-based incentive compensation.

The Company seeks to maintain a competitive total compensation package that aligns the economic interest of the executives with that of shareholders while maintaining sensitivity to multiple factors including the Company's fiscal year budget, annual accounting cost and the impact to share dilution.

Base Salary

Utilizing market data supplied by FTI Consulting, the Compensation Committee reviewed the base salaries of the NEOs and consistent with the Company's philosophy to offer competitive salaries to key executives, the Compensation Committee recommended and the Board of Trustees approved increased base salaries to better align salary levels with peer group levels for all NEOs as follows:

Named Executive Officer	2016	2017	% Change
	Base Salaries	Base Salaries	
Ken Bernstein, President & CEO	\$597,300	\$612,000	2.5%
John Gottfried, SVP & CFO*	425,000	436,000	2.6%
Joel Braun, EVP & CIO	420,000	431,000	2.6%
Christopher Conlon, EVP & COO	420,000	431,000	2.6%
Jason Blackberg, SVP & GC	367,800	377,000	2.5%

* - For Mr. Gottfried, 2016 base salary represents the annualized 2016 base salary upon joining Acadia on June 27, 2016 of \$425,000 per his offer letter.

Annual Cash Bonus

For 2017, the Company's bonus pool concept was replaced with a formulaic annual bonus plan that is based in part upon achieving objective corporate financial performance goals during the year and on subjective and individual performance goals as evaluated by the Compensation Committee.

Each executive's annual cash bonus amount is based upon threshold, target and maximum percentages of base salary and were set at a level that would provide NEOs with total cash compensation depending on Company and individual performance. The threshold, target and maximum percentages of base salary for 2017 were as follows:

Executive	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Ken Bernstein, President & CEO	100%	175%	250%
John Gottfried, SVP & CFO	25%	75%	125%
Joel Braun, EVP & CIO	50%	125%	200%
Christopher Conlon, EVP & COO	50%	125%	250%
Jason Blackberg, SVP & GC	38%	75%	125%

The cash bonus plan is based in part on the achievement of several objective Company financial and strategic performance goals. The 2017 cash bonus program is based on the following measures, with actual results shown for each measure:

2017 Annual Cash Bonus Measures

Performance Criteria	Weighting	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Actual Results
FFO/share ⁽¹⁾	15%	\$1.44	\$1.49	\$1.54	\$1.50
Same-Store NOI growth ⁽²⁾	10%	0.00%	1.00%	2.00%	0.10%
Leverage - Core Debt to EBITDA	12.5%	6.50x	6.00x	5.50x	4.50x
Fund Acquisitions (in \$mm)	12.5%	\$200.00	\$400.00	\$600.00	\$202.59
Executing the Strategic Plan	25%	1.00	3.00	5.00	3.00

Maintain a high-quality core portfolio of retail assets

Maintain a disciplined growth strategy that enables
opportunistic investing

Individual	25%	1	3	5	See Below
Total	100%				

See table below for a reconciliation of Net Income (GAAP) to FFO. For the purposes of determining the (1) achievement of performance criteria, the Company did not include the impact of \$0.01 from a gain on change in control with respect to one of its properties, partially offset by impairment charges and acquisition expenses.

Same-Store NOI excludes various items included in operating income (GAAP) that are not indicative of the operating performance of a store. Same-Store NOI is calculated by starting with operating income and (i) adding (2) back general and administrative, depreciation and amortization, abandonment of project costs, reserve for notes receivable; and (ii) deducting management fee income; interest income; other income; lease termination income; and straight-line rent and other adjustments.

RECONCILIATION OF NET INCOME TO FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS	For the Year Ended December 31, 2017
(dollars in thousands, except per share data)	
Net income attributable to Acadia	\$61,470
Depreciation of real estate and amortization of leasing costs (net of noncontrolling interests' share)	83,515
Gain on disposition of properties (net of noncontrolling interests' share)	(15,565)
Income attributable to Common OP Unit holders	3,609
Impairment charges (net of noncontrolling interests' share)	1,088
Distributions - Preferred OP Units	550
Funds from operations attributable to Common Shareholders and Common OP Unit holders	\$134,667
Funds from operations per share - Diluted	
Weighted average Common Shares and OP Units	88,998
Funds from operations, per Common Share and Common OP Unit holders	\$1.51

Individual Performance Measures

The 2017 cash bonus program also contained an individual performance component comprising 25% of the total cash bonus award at target. These goals were different for each NEO based on the different Company disciplines for which each NEO was responsible and, while some could be objectively measured overall, they were more subjective in nature than the other Company performance measures. The 2017 individual performance measures were as follows:

President & CEO
Ensuring AKR's
long-term
financial stability
by raising new
sources of capital,
and by preserving
& expanding
AKR's liquidity
position
Developing short
& long-term
incentives
consistent with the
current economic
environment and
forecasts
Success in
interfacing with

Board of Trustees
to develop
Company strategy
to ensure
shareholder value
is maximized over
the long-term
Stewardship at the
top of the
organization, and
success in
interfacing with
major institutional
investors and JV
partners
Overall Score 3.00

EVP & CIO
Maintain and
improve morale of
the Acquisitions
team and
retain/attract new
and higher-level
talent to the team
Invested fund
dollars within the
timeframe
committed, and
continued to
reduce
investments in
non-strategic
markets
Communication:
interfacing with
JV partners &
support with
investment
transactions
Strong leadership
in the disciplines
Executive is
accountable
Overall Score 2.78

EVP & COO
Improve overall
occupancy, same
store lease spreads
and property

operating metrics
Summarize
financial detail on
all major
redevelopment
assets, and create
tracking
mechanism of
progress and
issues
Maintain and
enhance key
retailer and other
relationships
Strong leadership
in the disciplines
Executive is
accountable
Overall Score 2.94

SVP & GC

Increase
cross-training and
cross-assignments
within the Legal
discipline
Enhance
communication
and collaboration
between legal and
other groups and
foster a positive
working
relationship
Communication:
interfacing with
JV partners &
support with
investment
transactions
Strong leadership
in the disciplines
Executive is
accountable
Overall Score 3.10

SVP & CFO

Ensure sufficient
capital is available
to execute the
Company's growth
plans
Source both
equity and debt
capital at the
lowest cost
possible
Ensure effective
communication
with the Street
(Sellside, Buyside
and press releases)
Strong leadership
in the disciplines
Executive is
accountable
Overall Score 2.99

Edgar Filing: ACADIA REALTY TRUST - Form DEF 14A

On February 26, 2018, the Board of Trustees approved annual incentives payable at or below target (with the option for each NEO to elect to receive LTIP Units in lieu of cash at a 20% discount) as follows:

Named Executive Officer	2017	2017 Cash	
	Target Cash Bonus	Bonus Payout	Payout as a % of Target
Ken Bernstein, President & CEO	\$1,071,000	\$1,040,072	97.1%
John Gottfried, SVP & CFO	327,000	311,970	95.4%
Joel Braun, EVP & CIO	538,750	380,278	70.6%
Christopher Conlon, EVP & COO	538,750	514,444	95.5%
Jason Blacksberg, SVP & GC	282,750	282,885	100.05%

Consistent with the Company's long-standing policy adopted by the Board of Trustees, the Company's NEOs have the option of exchanging all or a portion of their cash bonus for restricted stock or LTIP Units that will vest on a ratable basis over five years. The LTIP Units granted in lieu of the cash bonus are granted based on a 20% discounted share price. Based on the election of each of the NEOs, the 2017 Annual Incentives were paid as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Cash ⁽¹⁾	Elective
		LTIP Units ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾
Ken Bernstein, President & CEO	\$	—\$1,373,376
John Gottfried, SVP & CFO	—	411,949
Joel Braun, EVP & CIO	—	502,146
Christopher Conlon, EVP & COO	400,000	151,130
Jason Blacksberg, SVP & GC	—	373,528

Notes:

(1) Amount reflects the amount elected in cash.

(2) Amount reflects the amount elected in LTIP Units, inclusive of the additional value in connection with the 20% discounted share price.

(3) The valuation of the awards is based on the Company's closing Common Share price of \$24.55 on the day preceding the grant date.

Long-Term Incentives

The Compensation Committee and the Board of Trustees continue to emphasize long-term performance in the form of:

Time-based Restricted Share Units or LTIP Units;
 Performance-based Restricted Share Units or LTIP Units; and
 The Long-Term Investment Alignment Program.

In keeping with the long-term, highly technical and cyclical nature of the Company's business, the Compensation Committee places significant emphasis on a long-term approach to executive compensation while balancing the short-term needs of its executives. The Company's long-term incentives are intended to (i) retain its management team through the inclusion of vesting provisions, (ii) emphasize share ownership, (iii) create direct alignment with shareholder interests, and (iv) motivate management to focus on sustained Company performance.

Annual Equity Compensation Awards

In 2017, the Board of Trustees, in consultation with FTI, introduced modifications to the equity compensation program to set forth an overall target equity grant for each NEO that may be adjusted up or down at the discretion of the Compensation Committee for over/under performance, with 50% of the target value being granted in the form of time-based Restricted Share Units or LTIP Units and the remaining 50% of the target value being granted in the form of three-year performance-based Restricted Share Units or LTIP Units. The overall target values for 2017 as well as the total equity award granted for 2017 performance in February 2018 is as follows:

Executive	2017 Target LTIP	Approved 2017 LTIP Unit Grant
Ken Bernstein	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000
John Gottfried	385,200	385,200
Joel Braun	675,000	512,600
Christopher Conlon	800,000	800,000
Jason Blacksberg	250,000	250,000

The total equity compensation awards are comprised of two components as follows:

Time-Based Long-Term Incentives

The time-based long-term incentives represent 50% of the annual equity award granted to each NEO and were issued in the form of Restricted Share Units or LTIP Units. As in years past, these shares vest ratably over five years for each of the NEOs.

Restricted LTIP Units are similar to Restricted Share Units but unlike Restricted Share Units, provide for a quarterly partnership distribution in a like amount as paid to holders of common partnership units in Acadia Realty Limited Partnership, the Company's operating partnership. The Restricted LTIP Units are convertible into common partnership units and, ultimately, Common Shares upon vesting.

Performance-Based Long-Term Incentives

The remaining 50% of the annual equity award is granted in performance-based Restricted Share Units or LTIP Units that may be earned based on the Company's attainment of specified relative TSR hurdles.

In 2017, the Board of Directors modified performance-based equity awards as compared to prior performance-based awards to remove the "all-or-nothing" approach in favor of a tiered payout structure that provides for LTIP Units to be earned between threshold and maximum based on performance between threshold and maximum. The performance hurdles and levels of opportunity for performance-based LTIP Units granted in 2018 (for 2017 performance) earned over a cumulative three-year performance period are as follows:

Three-Year Relative TSR ⁽¹⁾		
Level	Relative TSR Hurdles (Percentile)	Payout Percentage
Threshold	25 th Percentile	50%
Target	50 th Percentile	100%
Maximum	75 th Percentile	200%

Notes:

In the event the Relative TSR percentile falls between the 25th percentile and the 50th percentile, Relative TSR vesting percentage is determined using a straight line linear interpolation between 50% and 100% and in the event (1) that the Relative TSR percentile falls between the 50th percentile and 75th percentile, the Relative TSR vesting percentage is determined using a straight line linear interpolation between 100% and 200%.

Two-thirds (2/3) of the performance-based LTIP Units will vest based on the Company's TSR for the three-year forward-looking performance period ending December 31, 2020 relative to the constituents of the SNL U.S. REIT Retail Shopping Center Index and one-third (1/3) on the Company's TSR for the three-year forward-looking performance period as compared to the constituents of the SNL U.S. REIT Retail Index (both on a non-weighted basis).

If the Company's performance fails to achieve the aforementioned hurdles at the culmination of the three-year performance period, all performance-based shares will be forfeited. Any earned performance-based shares vest 60% at the end of the performance period, with the remaining 40% of shares vesting ratably over the next two years.

Long-Term Incentive Alignment Program

In 2009 the Compensation Committee and Board of Trustees approved the Company's Long-Term Incentive Alignment Program (the "Program"), a multi-year performance-based compensation program designed to provide an incentive for high, long-term performance by motivating management to create shareholder value by increasing earnings through the profitable management of investment funds and joint ventures. The Program is designed to accomplish the following:

- Reward management for true, long-term performance and not simply for making investment decisions without consideration of actual value realized;
- Motivate management to deliver superior returns to opportunity fund investors, as well as to the Company through its direct investment, strategic investments and successful liquidation of Acadia Strategic Opportunity Fund III LLC ("Fund III") and Acadia Strategic Opportunity Fund IV LLC ("Fund IV," and together with Fund III, the "Funds"), thereby increasing shareholder value;
- Provide a retention tool for years to come; and
- Further align the interests of management, shareholders and external investors.

The Program is directly tied to the actual performance of the Funds and is designed as follows: The Company is entitled to a profit participation (the "Promote") of 20% of all cash distributed from the Funds in excess of (i) the return of all invested capital and (ii) the 6% preferred rate of return. Under the Program, after the payment of the preferred return and return of all invested capital, the Company may award up to 25% of its Promote to senior executives, or 5% of each dollar distributed by the Funds after the preferred return has been paid to investors. Each individual's allocation of the 25% of the Promote is subject to time-based, annual vesting over a five-year period from the grant date with 10% in years one and two, 20% in years three and four and 40% in year five.

If the investors of the Funds do not receive a return of all their invested capital and the 6% preferred return, no Promote will be paid to the Company and senior executives will receive no compensation under the Program. There is no interim profit participation on a transaction by transaction basis and thus a greater emphasis is placed on all investments being carefully selected and managed for the long term. The Program increases the alignment between senior executives and the investors of the Funds. Additionally, it should be noted that the Company is a significant investor in the Funds, with a 25% investment in Fund III and approximately a 23% investment in Fund IV. The long term success of the Funds benefits the Company, and thus shareholders, through both its capital investment and the Promote.

Since 2009, the awards listed below, as a percentage of the potential Promote, were made as a result of the recommendation of the Compensation Committee and approval by the Board of Trustees. In the future, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Trustees may or may not recommend or approve awards to executive officers of additional allocations up to the permitted 25%.

Fund III Awards listed in the table below are reflective of each NEO's role in connection with investments made in Fund III. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had allocated the entire 25% of the Fund III Promote, including an aggregate amount of 7.7794% that was allocated to officers other than the NEOs.

Named Executive Officer	2009 Award Percentage	2010 Award Percentage	2011 Award Percentage	2012 Award Percentage	2013 Award Percentage	Total Percentage	
Ken Bernstein, President & CEO	6.2500	% 0.8350	% 0.8350	% 0.8350	% 1.4050	% 10.1600	%
John Gottfried, SVP & CFO	—	% —	% —	% —	% —	% —	%
Joel Braun, EVP & CIO	2.5000	% 0.3000	% 0.4000	% 0.4000	% 0.5750	% 4.1750	%
Christopher Conlon, EVP & COO	0.2500	% 0.5475	% 0.5475	% 0.5475	% 0.9931	% 2.8856	%
Jason Blacksberg, SVP & GC	—	% —	% —	% —	% —	% —	%
Total	9.0000	% 1.6825	% 1.7825	% 1.7825	% 2.9731	% 17.2206	%(1)

Notes:

(1) The total percentage of the Promote allocated may not be directly correlated with the amount of the Fund's invested capital. This provides the Promote recipients with incentive to acquire appropriate assets with the remaining funds in the Fund and to ensure that diligent efforts will be made to achieve stabilization of those assets.

Fund IV Awards listed in the table below are reflective of each NEO's role in connection with investments made in Fund IV. The Company has allocated a total of 4.4313% of the Company's 20% promote allocation (17.7250% of the allowable 25%) to one or more of the other officers of the Company.

Named Executive Officer	2013 Award Percentage	2014 Award Percentage	2015 Award Percentage	2016 Award Percentage	2017 Award Percentage	Total Percentage	
Ken Bernstein, President & CEO	0.8750	% 0.8750	% 1.7500	% 1.7500	% 3.5000	% 8.7500	%
John Gottfried, SVP & CFO	—	% —	% —	% 0.5000	% —	% 0.5000	%
Joel Braun, EVP & CIO	0.4000	% 0.4000	% 0.8000	% 0.8000	% 1.6000	% 4.0000	%
Christopher Conlon, EVP & COO	0.4000	% 0.4000	% 0.8000	% 0.8000	% 1.6000	% 4.0000	%
Jason Blacksberg, SVP & GC	—	% 0.1250	% 0.2500	% 0.2500	% 0.5000	% 1.1250	%
Total	1.6750	% 1.8000	% 3.6000	% 4.1000	% 7.2000	% 18.3750	%(1)

Notes:

(1) The total percentage of the Promote allocated may not be directly correlated with the amount of the Fund's invested capital. This provides the Promote recipients with incentive to acquire appropriate assets with the remaining funds in the Fund and to ensure that diligent efforts will be made to achieve stabilization of those assets.

Fund III Payouts

Due to the long-term performance horizon of the Company's fund business, the first payout received by plan participants was in 2016 (i.e., 8 years after the initial allocation was made in 2009) and was a result of the fact that the Company received 20% of all cash distributed from Fund III in excess of (i) the return of all invested capital and (ii) the 6% preferred rate of return. During 2016 and 2017, the Company generated approximately \$14 million and \$1 million, respectively, in Promote income of which the following was paid to its NEOs under the Program:

Named Executive Officer	2016 Payout	2017 Payout
Ken Bernstein, President & CEO	\$1,826,563	\$166,333
John Gottfried, SVP & CFO	—	—
Joel Braun, EVP & CIO	750,760	68,367
Christopher Conlon, EVP & COO	473,569	43,124
Jason Blacksborg, SVP & GC	—	—

Governance and Other Policies Relating to Compensation

Share Ownership Guidelines

In order to further foster the strong ownership culture among the Company's senior executive management team and ensure the continued direct alignment of management and shareholder interests, and consistent with emerging corporate governance trends, the Company has adopted a share ownership policy. The policy contains guidelines for NEOs and Trustees to own at all times a certain level of the Company's Common Shares (also includes Restricted Share Units, LTIP Units, restricted LTIP Units and OP units). The share ownership guidelines are not mandatory but rather are recommended targets as follows:

Title	Multiple
CEO	10 x Base Salary + Cash Bonus
CIO	4 x Base Salary + Cash Bonus
All other NEOs	3 x Base Salary + Cash Bonus
Non-Employee Trustees	3x total annual fees

New executive officers and non-employee Trustees have five years to reach the standard that applies to them. As of the date hereof, all NEOs, including the CEO, and non-employee Trustees met the recommended targets (other than the two newly appointed non-employee Trustees that were elected in late 2015 and early 2016 and the CFO who was appointed in June 2016).

Anti-Hedging and Anti-Pledging Policies

In addition, the Company has a corporate governance policy that prohibits Trustees and certain executive officers from engaging in the following activities with respect to the Company securities: (i) short sales, (ii) purchases or sales of derivative securities of the Company or any derivative securities that provide the economic equivalent, (iii) maintaining a margin account secured by shares of the Company and (iv) pledges as collateral for a loan. All Trustee and executive officers subject to this policy were in compliance as of the date hereof.

Standard Employment Benefits

The Company provides a variety of medical, dental, life, disability and accidental death and dismemberment insurance policies that are generally available to all of its full-time employees. The Company also provides a contributory 401(k) savings plan to employees of the Company, which provides for matching contributions of 50% up to the first 6% of the participant's base salary contributed to the 401(k) Plan. The All Other Compensation Table summarizes the matching contributions that the Company made to its NEOs for fiscal 2017, 2016 and 2015.

In 2003, the Company instituted the Acadia Realty Trust Employee Share Purchase Plan (the "Share Purchase Plan", and collectively with the 2006 Plan, "Share Incentive Plans"). The Share Purchase Plan allows eligible employees of the Company to purchase, through payroll deductions, Common Shares in the Company at a 15% discount to the closing price of the Company's Common Shares on either the first day or the last day of the quarter, whichever is lower. The Share Purchase Plan is designed to retain and motivate employees of the Company and its designated affiliates by encouraging them to acquire ownership in the Company. The Company has reserved 100,000 Common Shares for issuance under the Share Purchase Plan. The Share Purchase Plan is intended to be an "employee stock purchase plan" within the meaning of Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code"), as amended. During 2017, 2016 and 2015, 4,541, 4,016 and 3,761 Common Shares were purchased under the Share Purchase Plan, respectively. As of the date hereof, no NEOs participate in the Share Purchase Plan.

Impact of Accounting and Tax Treatment

Cash-based compensation, including salary and bonus, are generally charged as an expense in the period in which the amounts are earned by the NEO. The Company expenses the cost of share-based compensation, including Restricted Share Units and Restricted LTIP Units, in its financial statements in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 718, "Compensation - Stock Compensation" ("ASC Topic 718"). In accordance with ASC Topic 718, the grant date fair value of equity awards is amortized ratably into expense over the vesting period.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed the Company's compensation policies in light of Section 162(m) of the Code, which generally limits income tax deductions for compensation paid to certain executive officers to \$1,000,000 per annum. Although certain qualifying "performance-based compensation" was previously exempt from this deduction limit, the recently-exacted Tax Cuts and Jobs Act made certain changes to Section 162(m). Pursuant to such changes, "performance-based compensation" is no longer exempt under Section 162(m) effective for tax years beginning after January 1, 2017, subject to a transition rule for written binding contracts which were in effect on November 2, 2017 and which were not modified in any material respect on or after such date. We are continuing to assess the impact of Section 162(m) on our compensation arrangements; however, we believe that, because we intend to maintain our qualification as a REIT under the Code and pay distributions sufficient to minimize federal income taxes, the payment of compensation that does not satisfy the requirements of Section 162(m) will generally not affect our net income. To the extent that compensation does not qualify for a deduction under Section 162(m), a larger portion of shareholder distributions may be subject to federal income taxation as dividend income rather than return of capital. We do not believe that Section 162(m) will materially affect the taxability of stockholder distributions, although no assurance can be given in this regard due to the variety of factors that affect the tax position of each stockholder. For these reasons, the Compensation Committee's compensation policy and practices are not directly guided by considerations relating to Section 162(m); however, the Compensation Committee intends to continue to review the application of Section 162(m) to the Company with respect to any future compensation programs considered by the Company. In view of its overall executive compensation structure, the Compensation Committee has determined that it is appropriate for the CEO and certain other executive officers to have the potential to receive compensation that is not deductible under Section 162(m).

Timing of Equity Grants

The Company does not in any way time its share awards to the release of material non-public information. The CEO meets with the Compensation Committee in January, February or March of each year and recommends the share awards for the NEOs other than himself to be granted for the prior year. The Compensation Committee reviews the recommendations and then recommends the awards to the full Board of Trustees for approval. The awards are granted in the first quarter of the current year. There is no consequence for selling vested Common Shares but the Company does encourage NEOs and Trustees to hold in accordance with the guidelines for share ownership for NEOs and

Trustees.

Relationship of Compensation Policies and Practices to Risk Management

The Compensation Committee has considered the risks arising from the Company's compensation policies and practices for its employees, and does not believe those risks are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table lists the annual compensation for the fiscal years 2017, 2016 and 2015 awarded to the NEOs. Compensation included in the table relating to bonus and stock awards reflect amounts granted with respect to the periods reflected.

For information relating to the elements of compensation discussed in the Summary Compensation Table, please refer to the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" above.

Edgar Filing: ACADIA REALTY TRUST - Form DEF 14A

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)(3)	Total (\$)(4)
Kenneth F. Bernstein Chief Executive Officer and President (Principal Executive Officer)	2017	\$612,000	\$2,431,063	(2)\$1,296,606	\$ —	\$166,333	\$ —	\$8,161	\$4,514,163
	2016	\$597,300	\$2,075,340	(2)\$1,611,552	\$ —	\$1,826,563	\$ —	\$8,011	\$6,118,766
	2015	\$582,700	\$1,711,259	(2)\$1,551,778	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$8,011	\$3,853,748
John Gottfried Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President (Principal Financial Officer)	2017	\$436,000	\$ —	(2)\$135,476	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$8,161	\$579,637
	2016	\$212,500	\$210,000	(2)\$1,026,974	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$30	\$1,449,504
Joel Braun Chief Investment Officer and Executive Vice President	2017	\$431,000	\$942,659	(2)\$502,774	\$ —	\$68,367	\$ —	\$8,161	\$1,952,961
	2016	\$420,000	\$664,935	(2)\$691,207	\$ —	\$750,760	\$ —	\$8,011	\$2,534,913
	2015	\$404,000	\$541,560	(2)\$491,025	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$8,011	\$1,444,596
Christopher Conlon Chief Operating Officer and Executive Vice President	2017	\$431,000	\$1,302,045	(2)\$481,088	\$ —	\$43,124	\$ —	\$6,526	\$2,263,783
	2016	\$420,000	\$ —	(2)\$631,589	\$ —	\$473,569	\$ —	\$8,011	\$1,533,169
	2015	\$381,900	\$603,358	(2)\$264,524	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$8,011	\$1,257,793
Jason Blackberg General Counsel and Senior Vice President	2017	\$377,000	\$438,305	(2)\$233,775	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$8,161	\$1,057,241
	2016	\$367,800	\$259,583	(2)\$204,554	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$8,011	\$839,948
	2015	\$358,750	\$220,814	(2)\$110,407	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$8,011	\$697,982

Notes:

(1) Pursuant to SEC rules, the amounts disclosed in these columns reflect the grant date fair value of each Restricted Share Unit and Restricted LTIP Unit award calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 718. The Grant Date fair values of performance-based awards included in this table were calculated based on the outcome of performance measured at target levels at the time of the grant since such awards do not have threshold or maximum performance goals. For further information as to the Company's Restricted Share Unit and Restricted LTIP Unit awards issued to employees, see Note 13 (Share Incentive and Other Compensation) to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 filed with the SEC on February 27, 2018.

(2) Cash bonus was received in the form of Restricted LTIP Units. The number of Restricted LTIP Units granted in connection with this portion of the LTIP Unit award were at a 20% discount as discussed above. In the case of Mr. Conlon, the 2017 amounts include a \$400,000 cash bonus earned during 2017 but paid during 2017 and the 2015 amounts include a \$428,000 cash bonus earned during 2015 but paid during 2016. In the case of Mr. Gottfried, the 2016 amounts include a \$210,000 cash bonus earned during 2016 but paid during 2017.

(3) Detail reflected in the All Other Compensation Table.

(4) Actual total compensation earned by the NEOs, after giving effect to share and option awards in the year they were earned rather than in the year they were granted were as follows:

	2017	2016	2015
Mr. Bernstein	\$4,800,787	\$6,355,672	\$4,741,377
Mr. Gottfried	\$1,263,026	\$1,382,108	\$—
Mr. Braun	\$1,551,173	\$2,707,300	\$1,974,894
Mr. Conlon	\$1,876,864	\$2,708,859	\$1,659,518
Mr. Blacksberg	\$1,022,798	\$1,083,252	\$851,697

ALL OTHER COMPENSATION TABLE

	Kenneth F. Bernstein			John Gottfried			Joel Braun		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Perquisites and other personal benefits, unless the aggregate amount is less than \$10,000 (1)	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Annual Company contributions or allocations to vested and unvested defined contribution plans (2)	8,100	7,950	7,950	8,100	—	—	8,100	7,950	7,950
The dollar value of insurance premiums paid by the Company on life insurance policies for the benefit of the NEO	61	61	61	61	30	—	61	61	61
Total Other Compensation	\$8,161	\$8,011	\$8,011	\$8,161	\$30	\$—	\$8,161	\$8,011	\$8,011
				Christopher Conlon			Jason Blacksberg		
				2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Perquisites and other personal benefits, unless the aggregate amount is less than \$10,000				\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Annual Company contributions or allocations to vested and unvested defined contribution plans (1)				6,465	7,950	7,950	8,100	7,950	7,950
The dollar value of insurance premiums paid by the Company on life insurance policies for the benefit of the NEO				61	61	61	61	61	61
Total Other Compensation				\$6,526	\$8,011	\$8,011	\$8,161	\$8,011	\$8,011

Notes:

(1) Represents contributions made by the Company to the account of the NEO under a 401(k) Plan.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

Pursuant to the Company's Share Incentive Plans, the Company granted annual bonus unit awards and long-term incentive awards to the NEOs on February 28, 2017 (with respect to services rendered in the fiscal year ended 2016). The annual bonus unit awards consisted of time-based unvested Restricted LTIP Units. The total long-term incentive awards consisted of unvested Restricted LTIP Units which were allocated 50% as time-based awards and 50% as performance-based awards. For the 50% performance-based award, one of the following must occur: (i) the Company must achieve a 7% or greater increase in FFO; (ii) FFO growth must be equal to or greater than the top one-third of the Peer Group, as determined by the Compensation Committee; (iii) the Company must achieve an 8% annual TSR; or (iv) the TSR must be equal to or greater than the top one-third of the Peer Group. These measurements will be based on a cumulative three-year performance period.

The following table provides a summary of all grants awarded to the NEOs during 2017:

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Performance-Based Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Performance-Based Equity Incentive Plan Awards	Maximum	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares Stock or Units	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards
		Threshold	Target	Maximum						
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(\$/Sh)	(3)	
Kenneth F. Bernstein	2/28/2017	—	—	—	20,927	—	20,926	—	—	\$1,296,606
	2/28/2017	—	—	—	—	—	78,472	—	—	2,431,063
					20,927		99,398			\$3,727,669
John Gottfried	2/28/2017	—	—	—	2,187	2,186				\$135,476
					2,187	2,186				\$135,476
Joel Braun	2/28/2017	—	—	—	8,115	8,114				\$502,774
	2/28/2017	—	—	—	—	30,428				942,659
					8,115	38,542				\$1,445,433
Christopher Conlon	2/28/2017	—	—	—	7,765	7,764				\$481,088
	2/28/2017				—	29,117				902,045
					7,765	36,881				\$1,383,133
Jason Blacksberg	2/28/2017	—	—	—	3,773	3,773				\$233,775
	2/28/2017	—	—	—	—	14,148				438,305
					3,773	17,921				\$672,080

Notes:

(1) Represents the performance-based portion of the 2016 awards which will be earned over 5 years with 60% earned at the end of the third year subject to the Company meeting certain performance thresholds. The remaining 40% will be earned ratably over the following two years, provided that the initial 60% is earned as described above. The holders of these Restricted LTIP Units are entitled to receive distributions currently in an amount equal to the per share dividend paid to holders of Common Shares.

(2) The Restricted LTIP Units vest over 5 years with 20% vesting on January 6, 2018 and 20% on each anniversary thereof. The holders of these Restricted LTIP Units are entitled to receive distributions currently in an amount equal to the per share dividend paid to holders of Common Shares.

(3) Calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 718. The Grant Date fair values of performance-based awards were calculated based on the probable outcome of performance measured at target levels at the time of the grant.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards			Stock Awards			Equity Incentive Plan Awards:	Equity Incentive Plan Awards:
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Number of Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested	Market or Payout Value of Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested	
		(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(10)	(#)	(11)	
		Exercise Price	(\$)	(#)	(10)	(#)	(11)	(11)	
Kenneth F. Bernstein	1/31/2008	—	—	3,244	(2)	\$88,756	—	\$—	
	1/31/2008	—	—	2,246	(2)	61,451	2,246	61,451	
	2/22/2013	—	—	3,761	(3)	102,901	3,761	102,901	
	2/22/2013	—	—	15,044	(3)	411,604	—	—	
	2/28/2014	—	—	8,495	(4)	232,423	8,494	232,396	
	2/28/2014	—	—	33,977	(4)	929,611	—	—	
	3/31/2014	—	—	45,679	(5)	1,249,777	—	—	
	3/5/2015	—	—	10,587	(5)	289,660	17,643	482,712	
	3/5/2015	—	—	42,345	(6)	1,158,559	—	—	
	3/17/2016	—	—	15,930	(7)	435,845	19,913	544,820	
	3/17/2016	—	—	63,722	(7)	1,743,434	—	—	
	2/28/2017	—	—	78,472	(8)	2,146,994	—	—	
	2/28/2017	—	—	20,926	(8)	572,535	20,927	572,563	
		—	—	344,428		\$9,423,550	72,984	\$1,996,843	
John Gottfried	6/30/2016	—	—	19,642	(9)	\$537,405	—	\$—	
	2/28/2017	—	—	2,186	(8)	59,809	2,187	59,836	
			—	—	21,828		\$597,214	2,187	\$59,836
Joel Braun	2/22/2013	—	—	1,231	(3)	\$33,680	1,231	\$33,680	
	2/22/2013	—	—	4,923	(3)	134,693	—	—	
	2/28/2014	—	—	2,743	(4)	75,048	2,743	75,048	
	2/28/2014	—	—	10,972	(4)	300,194	—	—	
	3/5/2015	—	—	3,350	(5)	91,656	5,583	152,751	
	3/5/2015	—	—	13,401	(5)	366,651	—	—	
	3/17/2016	—	—	7,786	(7)	213,025	9,734	266,322	
	3/17/2016	—	—	20,416	(7)	558,582	—	—	
2/28/2017	—	—	8,114	(8)	221,999	8,115	222,026		

Edgar Filing: ACADIA REALTY TRUST - Form DEF 14A

	2/28/2017	—		30,428	(8)832,510	—	—
		—	—	103,364	\$2,828,038	27,406	\$749,827
Christopher Conlon	2/22/2013	—	—	3,268	(3)\$89,412	—	\$—
	2/22/2013	—	—	817	(3)22,353	817	22,353
	2/28/2014	—	—	1,820	(4)49,795	1,820	49,795

34

	2/28/2014	—	14,998	(4)	410,345	—	—
	3/5/2015	—	2,223	(5)	60,821	3,705	101,369
	3/5/2015	—	4,340	(5)	118,742	—	—
	3/17/2016	—	9,689	(7)	265,091	12,111	331,357
	2/28/2017	—	7,764	(7)	212,423	7,765	212,450
	2/28/2017	—	29,117	(8)	796,641	—	—
		—	74,036		\$2,025,623	26,218	\$717,324
Jason Blacksberg	3/5/2015	—	1,025	(5)	\$28,044	1,707	\$46,704
	3/5/2015	—	4,098	(5)	112,121	—	—
	3/17/2016	—	3,122	(7)	85,418	3,904	106,813
	3/17/2016	—	4,922	(7)	134,666	—	—
	2/28/2017	—	3,773	(8)	103,229	3,773	103,229
	2/28/2017	—	14,148	(8)	387,089	—	—
		—	31,088		\$850,567	9,384	\$256,746

Notes:

(1) Market value computed by multiplying the closing market price of the Company's Common Shares of \$27.36 as of December 29, 2017, the final trading day of the calendar year, by the number of Restricted Share Units or Restricted LTIP Units that have not vested.

(2) Restricted LTIP Units vest over ten years with the last vesting on January 6, 2018.

(3) Restricted LTIP Units vest over five years with the last vesting on January 6, 2018.

(4) Restricted LTIP Units vest over five years with the last vesting on January 6, 2019.

(5) Restricted LTIP Units vest over five years with the last vesting on January 6, 2020.

(6) Restricted LTIP Units vest over five years with the last vesting on March 31, 2019.

(7) Restricted LTIP Units vest over five years with the last vesting on January 6, 2021.

(8) Restricted LTIP Units vest over five years with the last vesting on January 6, 2022.

(9) Restricted LTIP Units vest over three years with the last vesting on January 6, 2019.

(10) Represents the time-based portion of the awards.

(11) Represents the performance-based portion of the awards.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED

The Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table provides a summary of all values realized by the NEOs upon the exercise of options and similar instruments or the vesting of Restricted Share Units or Restricted LTIP Units during the last fiscal year.

Name	Grant Date	Exercise/Vesting Date	Option	Stock Awards		
			Awards	Number	Value	
			Number	of	Realized	
			of	Shares	on Vesting	
			Value	Acquired	(\$)(1)	
			Realized	on		
			Shares	Vesting		
			Acquired	(#)		
			on Exercise			
			(\$)			
			(#)			
Kenneth F. Bernstein	1/31/2008	1/6/2017	—	\$	—7,735	\$255,796
	3/15/2012	1/6/2017	—	—	24,501	810,248
	2/22/2013	1/6/2017	—	—	22,565	746,225
	2/28/2014	1/6/2017	—	—	25,483	842,723
	3/31/2014	1/6/2017	—	—	22,840	755,319
	3/5/2015	1/6/2017	—	—	17,644	583,487
	3/17/2016	1/6/2017	—	—	19,913	658,523
			—	\$	—140,681	\$4,652,321
John Gottfried	6/30/2016	1/6/2017	—	\$	—9,675	\$319,952
			—	\$	—9,675	\$319,952
Joel Braun	3/15/2012	1/6/2017	—	\$	—5,557	\$183,770
	2/22/2013	1/6/2017	—	—	7,383	244,156
	2/28/2014	1/6/2017	—	—	8,230	272,166
	3/5/2015	1/6/2017	—	—	5,584	184,663
	3/17/2016	1/6/2017	—	—	7,051	233,177
			—	\$	—33,805	\$1,117,932
Christopher Conlon	3/15/2012	1/6/2017	—	\$	—2,764	\$91,405
	2/22/2013	1/6/2017	—	—	4,901	162,076
	2/28/2014	1/6/2017	—	—	9,318	308,146
	3/5/2015	1/6/2017	—	—	2,187	72,324
	3/17/2016	1/6/2017	—	—	2,422	80,096
			—	\$	—21,592	\$714,047
Jason Blacksborg	3/5/2015	1/6/2017	—	\$	—1,708	\$56,484
	3/17/2016	1/6/2017	—	—	2,176	71,960
			—	\$	—3,884	\$128,444

Note:

(1) Value based on the market value of the Company's common shares on the vesting date. The closing price of the Company's common shares was \$33.07 on January 6, 2017.

EMPLOYMENT AND SEVERANCE AGREEMENTS WITH NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The Company has entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Bernstein and severance agreements with each of the other NEOs.

Kenneth F. Bernstein Amended and Restated Employment Agreement

On March 31, 2014, the Company entered into an amended and restated employment agreement with Kenneth F. Bernstein, extending Mr. Bernstein's position as President and Chief Executive Officer for a minimum of three years and which is renewable for successive yearly periods. A new feature of the agreement is that Mr. Bernstein is now subject to a 15 month post-termination non-compete and non-poaching provision if his employment terminates under certain circumstances. Mr. Bernstein's base salary was \$568,500 and is subject to an annual review and adjustment by the Board of Trustees, based on recommendations of the Compensation Committee. In connection with the amendment and restatement of the employment agreement, the Company issued Mr. Bernstein an award of full-value shares with a fair value of \$3,000,000, subject to vesting in equal installments over five years.

Each year during the term of Mr. Bernstein's employment, the full Board of Trustees (excluding Mr. Bernstein), at the Compensation Committee's recommendation, considers Mr. Bernstein for an incentive bonus (to be determined by the Compensation Committee and recommended for approval to the full Board of Trustees) and discretionary bonuses payable in cash, Restricted Share Units, options, Restricted LTIP Units, or any combination thereof, as the Board of Trustees and the Compensation Committee may approve. Mr. Bernstein is also entitled to participate in all benefit plans, health insurance, disability, retirement and incentive compensation plans generally available to the Company's executives.

Severance Agreements for Named Executive Officers Other than Mr. Bernstein

The Company has entered into severance agreements with each of the NEOs other than Mr. Bernstein. These agreements provide for certain termination or severance payments to be made by the Company to a NEO in the event of his termination of employment as the result of his Death, Disability, discharge for Cause or Without Cause, resignation or a termination by the NEO for Good Reason or upon a Change of Control (the terms, "Death," "Disability," "Cause," "Without Cause," "Good Reason" and "Change of Control" each defined below). If the NEO's employment is terminated either because he is discharged without Cause or due to a termination by the NEO for Good Reason, the Company will be required to make a lump sum payment equal to among other things, unpaid salary and bonus, severance and pro rata bonus, each paid in accordance with the terms and conditions of such agreements. These payments will be reduced to the extent that they would otherwise be considered parachute payments within the meaning of Section 280G of the Code.

Cause

The Company has the right to terminate a NEO's employment for "Cause" upon the NEO's: (A) deliberate misrepresentation in connection with, or willful failure to cooperate with, a bona fide internal investigation or an investigation by regulatory or law enforcement authorities, after being instructed by the Company to cooperate, or the willful destruction or failure to preserve documents or other materials known to be relevant to such investigation or the willful inducement of others to fail to cooperate or to produce documents or other materials; (B) failure to perform his duties hereunder (other than any such failure resulting from NEO's incapacity due to physical or mental illness) which failure continues for a period of three business days after written demand for corrective action is delivered by the Company specifically identifying the manner in which the Company believes the NEO has not performed his duties; (C) conduct by the NEO constituting a material act of willful misconduct in connection with the performance of his duties, including, without limitation, misappropriation of funds or property of the Company other than the

occasional, customary and de minimis use of the Company's property for personal purposes; (D) material violation of a Company policy; (E) disparagement of the Company, its officers, Trustees, employees or partners; (F) soliciting any existing employee of the Company above the level of an administrative assistant to work at another company; or (G) the commission by the NEO of a felony or misdemeanor involving moral turpitude, deceit, dishonesty or fraud.

Death

A NEO's employment will terminate upon his death ("Death").

Disability

The Company has the right to terminate a NEO's employment due to "Disability" in the event that there is a determination by the Company that the NEO has become physically or mentally incapable of performing his duties under the agreement and such Disability has disabled the NEO for a cumulative period of 180 days within a twelve-month period.

Good Reason

A NEO has the right to terminate his employment for "Good Reason," which is defined to mean: (A) upon the occurrence of any material breach of the agreement by the Company which includes but is not limited to: a material, adverse alteration in the nature of NEO's duties, responsibilities or authority; (B) upon a reduction in NEO's annual base salary or a material reduction in other benefits (except for bonuses or similar discretionary payments) as in effect at the time in question, or a failure to pay such amounts when due which is not cured by the Company within ten days after written notice of such default by the NEO; (C) if the Company relocates the NEO's office requiring the NEO to increase his commuting time by more than one hour; or (D) the Company's failure to provide benefits comparable to those provided the NEO as of the effective date, other than any such failure which affects all comparably situated officers.

Without Cause

The Company has the right to terminate a NEO's employment without Cause.

Upon a Change of Control and Termination

The NEOs may be entitled to benefits upon a Change of Control and termination of their employment as discussed below.

"Change of Control" has the meaning as set forth in the employment agreement or severance agreements, as applicable.

SPECIFIC CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD TRIGGER PAYMENTS UNDER THE EMPLOYMENT AND SEVERANCE AGREEMENTS AND POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE OF CONTROL

The specific circumstances that would trigger payments and amount of compensation that would be paid by the Company under Mr. Bernstein's employment agreement and the other NEOs' severance agreements are as follows:

Compensation upon Termination of Employment by the Company for Cause or Voluntarily By the NEO

In the event the Company terminates a NEO's employment for Cause, or a NEO voluntarily terminates his employment, the Company will pay that NEO any unpaid annual base salary at the rate then in effect accrued through and including the date of termination and any accrued vacation pay. In addition, in such event, the NEO will be entitled to exercise any options which, as of the date of termination, have vested and are exercisable in accordance with the terms of the applicable option grant agreement or plan. All options and all Restricted Share Units granted to the executive which have not vested on the date of termination will automatically terminate.

Compensation under Mr. Bernstein's Employment Agreement upon Termination of Employment upon Death, Disability, Without Cause or for Good Reason or Change of Control and Termination of Employment

In the event of termination by the Company of Mr. Bernstein's employment as a result of his Death, Disability, Without Cause or by Mr. Bernstein for Good Reason, subject to the execution of a release of claims by Mr. Bernstein (other than in the case of Death), the Company is obligated to pay or provide to Mr. Bernstein, his estate or personal representative, the following: (i) any unpaid accrued salary through and including the date of termination; plus (ii) an amount equal to three times his current salary; (iii) an additional amount equal to three times the average of the cash

value of the bonuses (whether awarded as cash incentive bonuses or in Restricted Share Units or Restricted LTIP Units, the value of the latter to be calculated as of the date of the award) awarded to Mr. Bernstein for each of the last two years immediately preceding the year in which Mr. Bernstein's employment is terminated; (iv) a further amount computed at an annualized rate equal to the average of the cash incentive bonuses awarded to Mr. Bernstein for each of the last two years, pro-rated for the year of termination; (v) reimbursement of expenses incurred prior to date of termination; (vi) all incentive compensation payments whether share based or otherwise that are subject to a vesting schedule including Restricted Share Units, Restricted LTIP Units and options shall immediately vest as of the date of the termination; and (vii) continuation of health coverage for two years with the exception of termination upon Death. Following a Change of Control together with either a termination Without Cause or by Mr. Bernstein for Good Reason within 12 months thereafter, the Company will be obligated to make the same payments described above. Mr. Bernstein is not entitled to any additional Change of Control benefit.

Compensation under the Severance Agreements upon Termination of Employment upon Death, Disability, Without Cause or By Other Named Executive Officers for Good Reason or Change of Control and Termination of Employment

In the event of termination by the Company of the employment of a NEO as a result of the NEO's Death, Disability, Termination Without Cause or by such NEO for Good Reason, the Company is obligated to pay or to provide such NEO, the following: (i) any unpaid accrued salary through and including the date of termination; (ii) an amount equal to one year's salary at the then current annual base; (iii) reimbursement of expenses incurred prior to date of termination; (iv) a pro-rata portion of such NEO's bonus (based upon the average of the last two years' bonuses); and (v) all incentive compensation payments whether share based or otherwise that are subject to a vesting schedule including Restricted Share Units, Restricted LTIP Units and options will immediately vest as of the date of the termination.

Following a Change of Control together with either Termination Without Cause or for Good Reason, the Company is obligated to pay or provide to the NEO the full benefits described above. In addition, Messrs. Gottfried, Braun, Conlon and Blacksberg will be entitled to receive an amount equal to six months' base salary and the Company will continue the base salary and medical benefits of said NEO for a period not to exceed the earlier of (a) six months from the date of such termination or (b) the date when such NEO becomes reemployed.

Acceleration of Vesting and Forfeiture of Awards under Share Incentive Plans

In addition to the termination payments discussed above, the Share Incentive Plans provide for accelerated vesting of awards in certain circumstances involving a Change in Control of the Company. These plans also provide for forfeiture of awards in certain circumstances, such as in the event of a termination for cause.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS TO NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS UPON TERMINATION

The following table estimates the potential payments and benefits to the NEOs upon termination of employment and Change in Control, assuming the event occurred on December 31, 2017. These estimates do not reflect the actual amounts that would be paid to such persons, which would only be known at the time they become eligible for payment and would only be payable if the specified event occurs.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

Name	Cash Severance (\$)(1)	Bonus Severance (\$)	Options Awards (\$)	Stock Awards (4)(5)	Other Benefits (\$)(6)
Kenneth F. Bernstein					
For Cause or Voluntary Resignation	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Death	1,836,000	12,596,404(2)	—	11,420,393	21,953
Disability	1,836,000	12,596,404(2)	—	11,420,393	21,953
Good Reason	1,836,000	12,596,404(2)	—	11,420,393	21,953
Without Cause	1,836,000	12,596,404(2)	—	11,420,393	21,953
Change in Control and Termination	1,836,000	12,596,404(2)	—	11,420,393	