Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK INVESTMENT QUALITY MUNICIPAL TRUST INC. - Form N-CSR BLACKROCK INVESTMENT QUALITY MUNICIPAL TRUST INC. Form N-CSR July 01, 2015 UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM N-CSR CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Name of Fund: BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust, Inc. (BKN)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Date of fiscal year end: 04/30/2015

Investment Company Act file number: 811-07354

Date of reporting period: 04/30/2015

Item 1 – Report to Stockholders

APRIL 30, 2015

ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust, Inc. (BKN)

BlackRock Long-Term Municipal Advantage Trust (BTA)

BlackRock Municipal 2020 Term Trust (BKK)

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust (BFK)

BlackRock Strategic Municipal Trust (BSD)

Not FDIC Insured May Lose Value No Bank Guarantee

Table of Contents

Page

The Markets in Review	3
Annual Report:	
Municipal Market Overview	4
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	5
Derivative Financial Instruments	5
Trust Summaries	6
Financial Statements	
Schedules of Investments	16
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	44
Statements of Operations	45
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	46
Statements of Cash Flows	48
Financial Highlights	49
Notes to Financial Statements	54
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	66
Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plan	67
Officers and Trustees	68
Additional Information	71
2 ANNUAL REPORT APRIL 30, 2015	

The Markets in Review

Dear Shareholder.

Financial market performance was generally positive for the 6- and 12-month periods ended April 30, 2015, although volatility increased from the remarkably low levels seen in recent years. In 2014, as the U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed) gradually reduced its bond buying program (which ultimately ended in October), U.S. interest rates surprisingly trended lower and stock prices forged ahead despite high valuations on the back of a multi-year bull market.

Around mid-year, however, geopolitical tensions intensified in Ukraine and the Middle East and oil prices became highly volatile, stoking worries about economic growth outside the United States. As the U.S. economy continued to post stronger data, investors grew concerned that the Fed would raise short-term rates sooner than previously anticipated. The U.S. dollar appreciated and global credit markets tightened, ultimately putting a strain on investor flows, and financial markets broadly weakened in the third quarter.

U.S. economic growth picked up considerably in the fourth quarter while the broader global economy showed signs of slowing. U.S. markets significantly outperformed international markets during this period even as the European Central Bank (ECB) and the Bank of Japan eased monetary policy, which drove further strengthening in the U.S. dollar. Oil prices plummeted due to a global supply-and-demand imbalance, sparking a selloff in energy-related assets and stress in emerging markets. Fixed income investors piled into U.S. Treasuries as their persistently low yields became attractive as compared to international sovereign debt.

Equity markets reversed in 2015, with U.S. stocks underperforming international markets. Investors had held high expectations for the U.S. economy, but after a harsh winter, first-quarter data disappointed and high valuations took their toll on U.S. stocks. The continued appreciation of the dollar was an additional headwind for exporters. Although U.S. economic momentum had broadly weakened, the labor market a key determinant for the Fed's decision on the future of interest rate policy showed improvement, keeping investors on edge about when to expect the first rate hike.

In contrast, economic reports in Europe and Asia easily beat investors—very low expectations, and accommodative policies from central banks in those regions helped international equities rebound. The ECB—s asset purchase program was the largest in scale and effect on the markets. Global sentiment improved with a ceasefire in Ukraine and an improving outlook for Greece—s continued membership in the eurozone. Emerging market stocks rebounded in April as oil prices appeared to stabilize.

At BlackRock, we believe investors need to think globally, extend their scope across a broad array of asset classes and be prepared to move freely as market conditions change over time. We encourage you to talk with your financial advisor and visit **blackrock.com** for further insight about investing in today s markets.

Sincerely,

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Total Returns as of April 30, 2015

U.S. large cap equities (S&P 500[®] Index)

6-month 12-month 4.40 % 12.98 %

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK INVESTMENT QUALITY MUNICIPAL TRUST INC. - Form N-CSR

U.S. small cap equities (Russell 2000® Index)	4.65	9.71
International equities	6.81	1.66
(MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East Index) Emerging market equities (MSCI Emerging	3.92	7.80
Markets Index)		
3-month Treasury bill	0.01	0.02
(BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month U.S. Treasury Bill Index) U.S. Treasury securities (BofA Merrill Lynch	3.59	8.03
10-Year U.S.		
Treasury Index)		
U.S. investment grade	2.06	4.46
bonds (Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index)		
Tax-exempt municipal	1.27	4.86
bonds (S&P Municipal		
Bond Index)	. =-	
U.S. high yield bonds	1.52	2.59
(Barclays U.S. Corporate		
High Yield 2% Issuer		
Capped Index)		

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT 3

Municipal Market Overview

For the Reporting Period Ended April 30, 2015

Municipal Market Conditions

Municipal bonds generated strong performance throughout most of the period, due to a favorable supply-and-demand environment and declining interest rates. (Bond prices rise as rates fall.) Interest rates moved lower in 2014 even as the Fed scaled back its open-market bond purchases. This surprising development, coupled with reassurance from the Fed that short-term rates would remain low for a considerable amount of time, resulted in strong demand for fixed income investments in 2014, with municipal bonds being one of the stronger performing sectors for the year. This trend continued into the beginning of 2015 until rate volatility ultimately increased in February as a result of uneven U.S. economic data and widening central bank divergence, i.e., rate cuts outside the United States while the Fed poised for normalizing U.S. rates. During the 12 months ended April 30, 2015, municipal bonds garnered net inflows of approximately \$34 billion (based on data from the Investment Company Institute).

For the same 12-month period, total new issuance remained relatively strong from a historical perspective at \$387 billion (considerably higher than the \$302 billion issued in the prior 12-month period). A noteworthy portion (roughly 60%) of new supply during this period was attributable to refinancing activity as issuers took advantage of low interest rates and a flatter yield curve to reduce their borrowing costs.

S&P Municipal Bond Index Total Returns as of April 30, 2015

6 months: 1.27% 12 months: 4.86%

A Closer Look at Yields

From April 30, 2014 to April 30, 2015, yields on AAA-rated 30-year municipal bonds decreased by 44 basis points (bps) from 3.49% to 3.05%, while 10-year rates decreased 18 bps from 2.30% to 2.12% and 5-year rates increased 7 bps from 1.23% to 1.30% (as measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data). Overall, the municipal yield curve remained relatively steep over the 12-month period even as the spread between 2- and 30-year maturities flattened by 62 bps and the spread between 2- and 10-year maturities flattened by 36 bps.

During the same time period, U.S. Treasury rates fell by 71 bps on 30-year bonds, 60 bps on 10-year bonds and 24 bps in 5-year issues. Accordingly, tax-exempt municipal bonds underperformed Treasuries across the yield curve, most notably in the intermediate portion of the curve as a result of increased supply. Municipals largely moved in line with Treasuries in the very short end of the curve as expectations around future Fed policy changes pressured short-term prices in a similar fashion. In absolute terms, positive performance of muni bonds on the long end of the curve was driven largely by a supply/demand imbalance within the municipal market as investors sought income and incremental yield in an environment where opportunities had become scarce. More broadly, municipal bonds benefited from the greater appeal of tax-exempt investing in light of the higher tax rates implemented in 2014. The asset class is known for its lower relative volatility and preservation of principal with an emphasis on income as tax rates rise. We believe that the municipal market continues to be an attractive avenue for investors seeking yield in the low-rate environment.

Financial Conditions of Municipal Issuers

Following an extended period of nation-wide austerity and de-leveraging as states sought to balance their budgets, solid revenue growth exceeding pre-recession levels coupled with the elimination of more than 625,000 jobs in recent years have put state and local governments in a better financial position. Many local municipalities, however, continue to face increased health care and pension costs passed down from the state level. BlackRock maintains the view that municipal bond defaults will remain minimal and in the periphery while the overall market is fundamentally sound. We continue to advocate careful credit research and believe that a thoughtful approach to structure and security selection remain imperative amid uncertainty in a modestly improving economic environment.

Investing involves risk including loss of principal. Bond values fluctuate in price so the value of your investment can go down depending on market conditions. Fixed income risks include interest-rate and credit risk. Typically, when interest rates rise, there is a corresponding decline in bond values. Credit risk refers to the possibility that the bond issuer will not be able to make principal and interest payments. There may be less information on the financial condition of municipal issuers than for public corporations. The market for municipal bonds may be less liquid than for taxable bonds. Some investors may be subject to Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT). Capital gains distributions, if any, are taxable.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

4ANNUAL REPORT APRIL 30, 2015

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Trusts may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the yield and net asset value (NAV) of their common shares (Common Shares). However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of leverage, which will be based on short-term interest rates, is normally lower than the income earned by a Trust on its longer-term portfolio investments purchased with the proceeds from leverage. To the extent that the total assets of the Trust (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, the Trust s shareholders benefit from the incremental net income. The interest earned on securities purchased with the proceeds from leverage is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share NAV.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Trust s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it utilizes leverage for an additional \$30 million, creating a total value of \$130 million available for investment in longer-term income securities. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and longer-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Trust s financing costs on the \$30 million of proceeds obtained from leverage are based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Trust with the proceeds from leverage earn income based on longer-term interest rates. In this case, the Trust s financing cost of leverage is significantly lower than the income earned on the Trust s longer-term investments acquired from leverage proceeds, and therefore the holders of Common Shares (Common Shareholders) are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

However, in order to benefit Common Shareholders, the return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds must exceed the ongoing costs associated with the leverage. If interest and other costs of leverage exceed the Trust is return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds, income to shareholders is lower than if the Trust had not used leverage. Furthermore, the value of the Trust is portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the value of the Trust is obligations under its leverage arrangement generally does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Trusts NAVs positively or negatively. Changes in the

future direction of interest rates are very difficult to predict accurately, and there is no assurance that a Trust s intended leveraging strategy will be successful.

Leverage also generally causes greater changes in the Trusts NAVs, market prices and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. In a declining market, leverage is likely to cause a greater decline in the net asset value and market price of a Trust s Common Shares than if the Trust were not leveraged. In addition, the Trust may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause the Trust to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit the Trust s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies. The Trust incurs expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares. Moreover, to the extent the calculation of the Trusts investment advisory fees includes assets purchased with the proceeds of leverage, the investment advisory fees payable to the Trusts investment advisor will be higher than if the Trusts did not use leverage.

To obtain leverage, each Trust has issued Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (VMTP Shares) or Auction Market Preferred Shares (AMPS) (collectively, Preferred Shares) and/or leveraged its assets through the use of tender option bond trusts (TOB Trusts) as described in the Notes to Financial Statements.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), each Trust is permitted to issue debt up to 33% of its total managed assets or equity securities (e.g., Preferred Shares) up to 50% of its total managed assets. A Trust may voluntarily elect to limit its leverage to less than the maximum amount permitted under the 1940 Act. In addition, a Trust may also be subject to certain asset coverage, leverage or portfolio composition requirements imposed by the Preferred Shares governing instruments or by agencies rating the Preferred Shares, which may be more stringent than those imposed by the 1940 Act.

If a Trust segregates or designates on its books and records cash or liquid assets having a value not less than the value of the Trust s obligations under the TOB Trust (including accrued interest), a TOB Trust is not considered a senior security and is not subject to the foregoing limitations and requirements under the 1940 Act.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Trusts may invest in various derivative financial instruments. Derivative financial instruments are used to obtain exposure to a security, index and/or market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to manage market, equity, credit, interest rate, foreign currency exchange rate, commodity and/or other risks. Derivative financial instruments may give rise to a form of economic leverage. Derivative financial instruments also involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the derivative

financial instrument. The Trusts ability to use a derivative financial instrument successfully depends on the investment advisor s ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative financial instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may limit the amount of appreciation a Trust can realize on an investment and/or may result in lower distributions paid to shareholders. The Trusts investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT APRIL 30, 2015 5

Trust Summary as of April 30, 2015 **Trust Overview**

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust, Inc.

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust, Inc. s (BKN) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide high current income exempt from regular federal income tax consistent with the preservation of capital. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in securities rated investment grade at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended April 30, 2015, the Trust returned 11.52% based on market price and 11.43% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 11.00% based on market price and 9.96% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions. The Trust s discount to NAV, which narrowed slightly during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds generated positive performance during the 12-month period, as the combination of falling U.S. Treasury yields and strengthening municipal finances fueled healthy investor demand. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) The yield curve flattened during the period, with longer-term bonds generally outperforming shorter-term issues. Higher-rated bonds, while delivering positive absolute performance, lagged the return of lower-rated issues.

In the environment of declining yields, the Trust s holdings in longer-duration and longer-dated bonds tended to provide the strongest returns. (Duration is a measure of interest-rate sensitivity.) The Trust s allocations to the tax-backed (states, local and school districts), health care and transportation sectors made positive contributions to performance. The Trust s positions in lower-coupon and zero-coupon bonds, which outperformed the overall market, also benefited returns.

At a time in which lower-rated debt outperformed, the Trust s positions in higher-yielding and lower investment-grade credits generated the best returns. The Trust s positions in high-quality pre-refunded bonds also made a positive contribution to performance.

Income in the form of coupon payments made up a meaningful portion of the Trust s total return for the period. In addition, the Trust s use of leverage provided both incremental return and income at a time of declining interest rates.

There were no material detractors from the Trust s performance during the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange(NYSE)	BKN
	February 19,
Initial Offering Date	1993
Yield on Closing Market Price as of April 30, 2015 (\$15.60) ¹	5.92%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.46%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.077
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.924
Economic Leverage as of April 30, 2015 ⁴	36%

- ¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

DIAL

- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.
- 6 ANNUAL REPORT APRIL 30, 2015

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust, Inc.

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	4/30/15	4/30/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$15.60	\$14.86	4.98%	\$16.83	\$14.74
Net Asset Value	\$16.09	\$15.34	4.89%	\$16.75	\$15.34
Market Price and Net Asset	Value History For the l	Past Five Years			

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation	4/30/15	4/30/14
Health	23%	24%
Education	17	15
County/City/Special District/School District	15	15
Transportation	12	14
State	12	10
Utilities	12	11
Corporate	6	8
Tobacco	3	2
Housing		1

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector subclassifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector subclassifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule³ Calendar Year Ended December 31, 2015 2016 2017 3

2018 9 2019 10

Excludes short-term securities.

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	4/30/15	4/30/14
AAA/Aaa	5%	6%

Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

AA/Aa	46	41
A	31	37
BBB/Baa	9	8
BB/Ba	2	2
В	1	1
N/R ²	6	5

- For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor s (S&P) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of April 30, 2015 and April 30, 2014, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade represents 3% and 2%, respectively, of the Trust s total investments.

ANNUAL REPORT APRIL 30, 2015 7

Trust Summary as of April 30, 2015 **Trust Overview**

BlackRock Long-Term Municipal Advantage Trust

BlackRock Long-Term Municipal Advantage Trust s (BTA) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations and derivative instruments with exposure to such municipal obligations, in each case that are exempt from federal income tax (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, primarily in long-term municipal bonds with a maturity of more than ten years at the time of investment and, under normal market conditions, the Trust s municipal bond portfolio will have a dollar-weighted average maturity of greater than 10 years. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended April 30, 2015, the Trust returned 7.65% based on market price and 10.86% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 11.00% based on market price and 9.96% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds generated positive performance during the 12-month period, as the combination of falling U.S. Treasury yields and strengthening municipal finances fueled healthy investor demand. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) The yield curve flattened during the period, with longer-term bonds generally outperforming shorter-term issues. Higher-rated bonds, while delivering positive absolute performance, lagged the return of lower-rated issues.

Income in the form of coupon payments made up a meaningful portion of the Trust s total return for the period. In addition, the Trust s use of leverage provided both incremental return and income in an environment of declining interest rates. The Trust s positioning with respect to duration (sensitivity to interest rate movements) helped performance. The Trust s positioning along the yield curve, which favored longer-dated bonds, also aided performance as longer-dated bonds generally delivered higher returns than those with shorter maturities.

Positions in lower-rated investment-grade bonds contributed to performance, as did the Trust sexposure to the lower end of the credit spectrum (non-investment grade and unrated securities). Lower-rated bonds generated both attractive income and strong price appreciation during the period.

Concentrations in the utilities, health care and transportation sectors were among the top contributors to performance.

There were no material detractors from the Trust s performance during the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BTA
	February 28,
Initial Offering Date	2006
Yield on Closing Market Price as of April 30, 2015 (\$11.41) ¹	6.10%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.78%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.058
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.696
Economic Leverage as of April 30, 20154	34%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will

result in lower tax equivalent yields.

- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.
 8 ANNUAL REPORT APRIL 30, 2015

BlackRock Long-Term Municipal Advantage Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	4/30/15	4/30/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$11.41	\$11.29	1.06%	\$11.77	\$10.86
Net Asset Value	\$12.51	\$12.02	4.08%	\$12.92	\$12.02
Market Price and Net Asset	Value History For the l	Past Five Years			

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation	4/30/15	4/30/14
Health	21%	22%
Utilities	19	18
Transportation	16	16
State	12	16
Education	10	10
County/City/Special District/School District	9	5
Corporate	7	7
Tobacco	5	3
Housing	1	3

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector subclassifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector subclassifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule³ Calendar Year Ended December 31,

2015	9%
2016	11
2017	3
2018	2
2019	18

Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

^{*} Excludes short-term securities.

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	4/30/15	4/30/14
AAA/Aaa	14%	14%

AA/Aa	51	48
A	14	19
BBB/Baa	10	8
BB/Ba	2	3
В	2	3
N/R ²	7	5

- For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P s or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of April 30, 2015 and April 30, 2014, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade represents 1% and less than 1%, respectively, of the Trust s total investments.

ANNUAL REPORT APRIL 30, 2015 9

Trust Summary as of April 30, 2015 **Trust Overview**

BlackRock Municipal 2020 Term Trust

BlackRock Municipal 2020 Term Trust s (BKK) (the Trust) investment objectives are to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and to return \$15 per Common Share (the initial offering price per Common Share) to holders of Common Shares on or about December 31, 2020. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objectives will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended April 30, 2015, the Trust returned 1.90% based on market price and 4.67% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Intermediate Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 6.81% based on market price and 7.02% based on NAV. The Trust is scheduled to terminate on or about December 31, 2020, which requires the Trust to invest in bonds that mature near that scheduled termination date. In contrast, the Trust s Lipper peer group includes funds that generally have longer durations than the Trust. Therefore, declining yields had a greater benefit to funds with longer durations and exposure further out on the yield curve. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions. The Trust moved from a premium to NAV to a discount by period end, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds generated positive performance during the 12-month period, as the combination of falling U.S. Treasury yields and strengthening municipal finances fueled healthy investor demand. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) The yield curve flattened during the period, with longer-term bonds generally outperforming shorter-term issues. Higher-rated bonds, while delivering positive absolute performance, lagged the return of lower-rated issues.

In the environment of declining yields, the Trust s duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) contributed positively to performance. The Trust s positions in zero-coupon bonds, which generated stronger price performance than current coupon bonds, also benefited returns. Positions in the transportation, corporate, health care and utilities sectors were among the top contributors to performance.

Income in the form of coupon payments made up a meaningful portion of the Trust s total return for the period. In addition, the Trust s use of leverage provided both incremental return and income at a time of declining interest rates There were no material detractors from the Trust s absolute performance during the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BKK
	September 30,
Initial Offering Date	2003
	December 31,
Termination Date (on or about)	2020
Yield on Closing Market Price as of April 30, 2015 (\$16.25) ¹	3.99%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	7.05%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.054
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.648
Economic Leverage as of April 30, 2015 ⁴	15%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents AMPS and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to AMPS and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

10 ANNUAL REPORT APRIL 30, 2015

BlackRock Municipal 2020 Term Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	4/30/15	4/30/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$16.25	\$16.61	(2.17)%	\$16.96	\$15.70
Net Asset Value	\$16.30	\$16.22	0.49%	\$16.61	\$16.21
Market Price and Net Asset	Value History For the	Past Five Years	S		

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation	4/30/15	4/30/14
Transportation	17%	16%
Utilities	16	16
Corporate	14	15
County/City/Special District/School District	12	11
State	12	15
Health	11	12
Education	9	7
Housing	5	5
Tobacco	4	3

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector subclassifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector subclassifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2015	3%
2016	11
2017	4
2018	5
2019	17

Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

^{*} Excludes short-term securities.

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	4/30/15	4/30/14
AAA/Aaa	6%	9%

AA/Aa	22	25
A	45	44
BBB/Baa	17	14
BB/Ba	2	1
В	1	
N/R ²	7	7

- ¹ For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P s or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- ² The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of April 30, 2015 and April 30, 2014, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade each represents 2%, respectively, of the Trust s total investments.

ANNUAL REPORT APRIL 30, 2015 11

Trust Summary as of April 30, 2015 **Trust Overview**

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust s (BFK) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended April 30, 2015, the Trust returned 12.54% based on market price and 11.43% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 11.00% based on market price and 9.96% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions. The Trust s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds generated positive performance during the 12-month period, as the combination of falling U.S. Treasury yields and strengthening municipal finances fueled healthy investor demand. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) The yield curve flattened during the period, with longer-term bonds generally outperforming shorter-term issues. Higher-rated bonds, while delivering positive absolute performance, lagged the return of lower-rated issues.

Income in the form of coupon payments made up a meaningful portion of the Trust s total return for the period. In addition, the Trust s use of leverage provided both incremental return and income in an environment of declining interest rates. The Trust s positioning with respect to duration (sensitivity to interest rate movements) helped performance. The Trust s positioning along the yield curve, which favored longer-dated bonds, also aided performance as longer-dated bonds generally delivered higher returns than those with shorter maturities.

Positions in lower-rated investment-grade bonds contributed to performance, as did the Trust s exposure to the lower end of the credit spectrum (non-investment grade and unrated securities). Lower-rated bonds generated both attractive income and strong price appreciation during the period.

Concentrations in the transportation, health care, utilities, tax-backed and corporate-related sectors were among the top contributors to performance.

There were no material detractors from the Trust s performance during the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no quarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BFK
	July 27,
Initial Offering Date	2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of April 30, 2015 (\$14.32) ¹	6.29%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.11%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0751
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9012
Economic Leverage as of April 30, 2015 ⁴	37%

- ¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

12 ANNUAL REPORT APRIL 30, 2015

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	4/30/15	4/30/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$14.32	\$13.57	5.53%	\$14.99	\$13.38
Net Asset Value	\$14.91	\$14.27	4.48%	\$15.43	\$14.27
Market Price and Net Asset	Value History For the l	Past Five Years			

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation	4/30/15	4/30/14
Transportation	22%	19%
Utilities	14	16
County/City/Special District/School District	14	11
Health	14	18
Corporate	11	10
State	10	11
Education	9	9
Tobacco	6	4
Housing		2

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector subclassifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector subclassifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2015	8%
2016	5
2017	3
2018	4
2019	18

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

^{*} Excludes short-term securities.

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	4/30/15	4/30/14
--	---------	---------

AAA/Aaa	9%	11%
AA/Aa	40	34
A	24	28
BBB/Baa	14	14
BB/Ba	3	3
В	1	2
N/R ²	9	8

- ¹ For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P s or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of April 30, 2015 and April 30, 2014, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade each represents 1%, respectively, of the Trust s total investments.

ANNUAL REPORT APRIL 30, 2015 13

Trust Summary as of April 30, 2015 **Trust Overview**

BlackRock Strategic Municipal Trust

BlackRock Strategic Municipal Trust s (BSD) (the Trust) investment objectives are to provide current income that is exempt from regular federal income tax and to invest in municipal bonds that over time will perform better than the broader municipal bond market. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in investments exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in investment grade quality securities at the time of investment and, under normal market conditions, primarily invests in municipal bonds with long-term maturities in order to maintain a weighted average maturity of 15 years or more. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objectives will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended April 30, 2015, the Trust returned 12.54% based on market price and 11.50% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 11.00% based on market price and 9.96% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions. The Trust s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds generated positive performance during the 12-month period, as the combination of falling U.S. Treasury yields and strengthening municipal finances fueled healthy investor demand. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) The yield curve flattened during the period, with longer-term bonds generally outperforming shorter-term issues. Higher-rated bonds, while delivering positive absolute performance, lagged the return of lower-rated issues.

Income in the form of coupon payments made up a meaningful portion of the Trust s total return for the period. In addition, the Trust s use of leverage provided both incremental return and income in an environment of declining interest rates. The Trust s positioning with respect to duration (sensitivity to interest rate movements) helped performance. The Trust s positioning along the yield curve, which favored longer-dated bonds, also aided performance as longer-dated bonds generally delivered higher returns than those with shorter maturities.

Positions in lower-rated investment-grade bonds contributed to performance, as did the Trust s exposure to the lower end of the credit spectrum (non-investment grade and unrated securities). Lower-rated bonds generated both attractive income and strong price appreciation during the period.

Concentrations in the transportation, health care and utilities sectors were among the top contributors to performance.

There were no material detractors from the Trust s performance during the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BSD
	August 25,
Initial Offering Date	1999
Yield on Closing Market Price as of April 30, 2015 (\$14.00) ¹	6.09%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.76%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.071
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.852
Economic Leverage as of April 30, 2015 ⁴	37%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will

result in lower tax equivalent yields.

- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

14 ANNUAL REPORT APRIL 30, 2015

BlackRock Strategic Municipal Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	4/30/15	4/30/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$14.00	\$13.26	5.58%	\$14.28	\$13.01
Net Asset Value	\$14.76	\$14.11	4.61%	\$15.26	\$14.11
Market Price and Net Asset	Value History For the l	Past Five Years			

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation	4/30/15	4/30/14
Transportation	25%	21%
Health	17	20
Utilities	13	13
County/City/Special District/School District	11	10
Corporate	10	10
Education	10	11
State	9	11
Tobacco	5	4

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector subclassifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector subclassifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule ³	
Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2015	3%
2016	6
2017	4
2018	7
2019	19
3 Schodulad maturity dates and/or hands that are subject	to not ontial calle by iscurare over the payt five

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

^{*} Excludes short-term securities.

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	4/30/15	4/30/14
AAA/Aaa	10%	12%

AA/Aa	39	36
A	25	26
BBB/Baa	12	12
BB/Ba	4	4
В	2	3
N/R ²	8	7

- ¹ For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P s or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- ² The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of April 30, 2015 and April 30, 2014, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade each represents 2%, respectively, of the Trust s total investments.

ANNUAL REPORT APRIL 30, 2015 15

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc. (BKN) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Schedule of Investments April 30, 2015

Municipal Bonds Alabama 1.4%	Par (000)	Value
City of Birmingham Alabama Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Children s Hospital (AGC):		
6.00%, 6/01/34 6.00%, 6/01/39 City of Hoover Alabama Board of Education, Refunding, Special Tax, Capital	\$1,745 500	\$ 2,022,734 578,515
City of Hoover Alabama Board of Education, Refunding, Special Tax, Capital Outlay Warrants, 4.25%, 2/15/40	1,275	1,312,256 3,913,505
Arizona 6.5%		
Arizona Board of Regents, RB, Arizona State University, Series C, 5.50%, 7/01/26	475	553,080
Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Phoenix Children s Hospital, Series A, 5.00%, 2/01/42 City of Phoenix Arizona IDA, RB, Basis Schools, Inc. Projects, Series A, 5.00%,	3,300	3,515,523
7/01/45 (a) County of Pinal Arizona Electric District No. 3, Refunding RB, Electric System,	455	456,411
4.75%, 7/01/31 Salt Verde Financial Corp., RB, Senior:	3,750	4,053,300
5.00%, 12/01/32	1,035	1,174,632
5.00%, 12/01/37	4,585	5,203,471
University Medical Center Corp., RB, 6.50%, 7/01/19 (b)	750	904,372
University Medical Center Corp., Refunding RB, 6.00%, 7/01/21 (b)	1,600	2,006,672 17,867,461
Arkansas 2.9%		17,007,401
Arkansas State University, RB, Jonesboro Campus, Series B, 4.00%, 12/01/28	600	634,578
City of Benton Arkansas, RB, 4.00%, 6/01/39	1,355	1,422,384
City of Hot Springs Arkansas, RB, Wastewater, 5.00%, 12/01/38	1,800	2,024,262
City of Little Rock Arkansas, RB, 4.00%, 7/01/41	3,230	3,301,609
County of Pulaski Arkansas Public Facilities Board, RB, 5.00%, 12/01/42	465	516,969
California 15 00/		7,899,802
California 15.8% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Sutter Health, Series B,		
5.88%, 8/15/31	2,300	2,736,908
Carlsbad California Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B,	2,000	2,700,000
0.00%, 5/01/34 (c)	1,500	1,392,780
City of San Jose California, Refunding ARB, Series A-1, AMT, 5.75%, 3/01/34 County of Stanislaus California Tobacco Securitization Agency, RB, CAB,	3,000	3,431,340
Sub-Series C, 0.00%, 6/01/55 (d) Dinuba California Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006 (AGM), 5.75%,	7,090	88,341
8/01/33	535	624,233
Hartnell Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002,	0.475	0.000.700
Series D, 0.00%, 8/01/34 (c) Norwalk La Mirada Unified School District GO, Refunding CAR, Floation of	2,475	2,022,793
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 2002, Series E (AGC), 0.00%, 8/01/38 (d)	12,000	4,292,880
Municipal Bonds	. =,000	Value

	Par (000)	
California (concluded)	()	
Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B:		
0.00%, 8/01/30 (d)	\$2,270	\$ 1,276,489
0.00%, 8/01/33 (d)	4,250	1,546,278
0.00%, 8/01/39 (c)	3,000	2,268,270
San Diego Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 0.00%,		
8/01/33 (c)	4,200	4,130,616
State of California, GO, Various Purposes:		
5.75%, 4/01/31	3,000	3,486,000
6.00%, 3/01/33	2,270	2,722,434
6.50%, 4/01/33	2,900	3,457,206
5.50%, 3/01/40	3,650	4,267,178
State of California, Refunding, GO, Various Purposes:		
5.00%, 2/01/38	2,000	2,243,880
4.00%, 10/01/44	3,500	3,571,610
		43,559,236

Colorado 0.7%

Park Creek Metropolitan District, Refunding RB, Senior