

First California Financial Group, Inc.
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 000-52498

FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

38-3737811
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

3027 Townsgate Road, Suite 300
Westlake Village, California
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

91361
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (805) 322-9655

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

29,220,893 shares of Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, as of August 8, 2012

FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
QUARTERLY REPORT ON
FORM 10-Q

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u> 3
<u>Item 2</u>	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> 37
<u>Item 3</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> 63
<u>Item 4</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u> 64
<u>PART II — OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u> 64
<u>Item 2</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> 64
<u>Item 3</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> 64
<u>Item 4</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> 64
<u>Item 5</u>	<u>Other Information</u> 64
<u>Item 6</u>	<u>Exhibits</u> 64
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	65

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited)

(in thousands, except share and per share data)	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Cash and due from banks	\$42,286	\$40,202
Interest bearing deposits with other banks	54,980	21,230
Securities available-for-sale, at fair value	522,213	453,735
Non-covered loans, net	1,021,521	918,356
Covered loans	114,722	135,412
Premises and equipment, net	18,294	18,480
Non-covered foreclosed property	16,124	20,349
Covered foreclosed property	9,530	14,616
Goodwill	60,720	60,720
Other intangibles, net	12,743	13,887
FDIC shared-loss receivable	55,469	68,083
Cash surrender value of life insurance	12,883	12,670
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	36,339	34,924
Total assets	\$1,977,824	\$1,812,664
Non-interest checking	\$609,320	\$482,156
Interest checking	115,020	107,077
Money market and savings	505,111	486,000
Certificates of deposit, under \$100,000	66,794	74,861
Certificates of deposit, \$100,000 and over	274,142	275,175
Total deposits	1,570,387	1,425,269
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	30,000	30,000
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	99,611	87,719
Junior subordinated debentures	26,805	26,805
Deferred tax liabilities, net	9,115	7,370
FDIC shared-loss liability	3,870	3,757
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	6,859	8,637
Total liabilities	1,746,647	1,589,557
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 12)		
Perpetual preferred stock; authorized 2,500,000 shares		
Series A - \$0.01 par value, 1,000 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	1,000	1,000
Series C - \$0.01 par value, 25,000 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	25,000	25,000
	292	292

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Common stock, \$0.01 par value; authorized 100,000,000 shares; 29,267,184 shares issued at June 30, 2012 and 29,220,079 shares issued at December 31, 2011; 29,226,928 and 29,220,079 shares outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively

Additional paid-in capital	174,437	173,062
Treasury stock, 40,256 shares at cost at June 30, 2012 and no shares at December 31, 2011	(215)	—
Retained earnings	30,572	25,427
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	91	(1,674)
Total shareholders' equity	231,177	223,107
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$1,977,824	\$1,812,664

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited)

(in thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 17,801	\$ 17,236	\$ 34,791	\$ 32,368
Interest on securities	1,826	1,680	3,597	2,991
Interest on federal funds sold and interest bearing deposits	67	111	103	180
Total interest income	19,694	19,027	38,491	35,539
Interest on deposits	1,399	2,316	2,770	4,658
Interest on borrowings	908	877	1,852	1,937
Interest on junior subordinated debentures	155	334	469	665
Total interest expense	2,462	3,527	5,091	7,260
Net interest income before provision for loan losses	17,232	15,500	33,400	28,279
Provision for non-covered loan losses	500	500	1,000	3,000
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	16,732	15,000	32,400	25,279
Service charges on deposit accounts and other banking-related fees	769	858	1,600	1,755
Gain on sale of loans	195	—	245	—
Net gain on sale of securities	593	490	594	490
Impairment loss on securities	—	—	(28)	(1,066)
Loss on non-hedged derivatives	(296)	—	(407)	—
Gain on acquisitions	—	466	—	35,202
Other income	2,107	1,376	3,810	1,718
Total noninterest income	3,368	3,190	5,814	38,099
Salaries and employee benefits	6,786	6,572	14,662	12,640
Premises and equipment	1,681	1,603	3,216	3,142
Data processing	820	814	1,621	1,875
Legal, audit, and other professional services	1,639	1,568	2,575	3,228
Printing, stationery and supplies	83	112	166	208
Telephone	217	208	444	374
Directors' expense	123	100	252	206
Advertising, marketing and business development	358	428	881	797
Postage	57	65	114	121
Insurance and regulatory assessments	599	750	1,080	1,413
Net loss on and expense of foreclosed property	838	486	593	5,738
Amortization of intangible assets	549	624	1,143	1,040
Other expenses	1,043	687	1,849	1,548
Total noninterest expense	14,793	14,017	28,596	32,330
Income before provision for income taxes	5,307	4,173	9,618	31,048
Provision for income taxes	2,122	1,756	3,848	13,043

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net income	\$ 3,185	\$ 2,417	\$ 5,770	\$ 18,005
Preferred stock dividends	\$ (313)	\$ (313)	\$ (625)	\$ (625)
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 2,872	\$ 2,104	\$ 5,145	\$ 17,380
Net income per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.61
Diluted	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.61

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Other comprehensive income:				
Unrealized loss on interest rate cap	\$ (68)	\$ (228)	\$ (114)	\$ (222)
Unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale	1,124	2,776	3,704	3,945
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income	(593)	(490)	(594)	(490)
Other comprehensive income, before tax	463	2,058	2,996	3,233
Income tax expense related to items of other comprehensive income	(178)	(852)	(1,231)	(1,348)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	285	1,206	1,765	1,885
Net income	3,185	2,417	5,770	18,005
Comprehensive income	\$ 3,470	\$ 3,623	\$ 7,535	\$ 19,890

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)

(in thousands)	Six Months Ended June	
	2012	30, 2011
Net income	\$5,770	\$18,005
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:		
Provision for non-covered loan losses	1,000	3,000
Stock-based compensation costs	1,172	232
Gain on acquisition	—	(35,202)
Gain on sales of securities	(594)	(490)
Gain on sales of loans	(245)	—
Net loss on sale and valuation adjustments of non-covered foreclosed property	1,463	5,301
Net gain on sale and valuation adjustments of covered foreclosed property	(1,025)	(18)
Impairment loss on securities	28	1,066
Amortization of net premiums on securities available-for-sale	3,060	1,917
Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment	1,063	1,017
Amortization of intangible assets	1,143	1,040
Change in FDIC shared-loss asset	(1,847)	(1,157)
Loss/(gain) on disposal of premises and equipment	23	(150)
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	(213)	(219)
Change in deferred taxes	1,745	1,446
Increase in accrued interest receivable and other assets, net of effects of acquisition	(2,186)	(11,187)
Decrease in accrued interest payable and other liabilities, net of effects of acquisition	(1,665)	(3,194)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	8,692	(18,593)
Purchases of securities available-for-sale, net of effects from acquisition	(219,787)	(85,858)
Proceeds from repayments and maturities of securities available-for-sale	97,607	63,300
Proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale	53,752	21,011
Net change in federal funds sold and interest bearing deposits, net of effects from acquisition	(33,750)	13,256
Loan originations, purchases and principal collections, net of effects of acquisition	(90,087)	46,343
Purchases of premises and equipment, net of effects of acquisition	(1,029)	(1,228)
Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment	1	1,267
Proceeds from redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank and other stock	748	969
Proceeds from FDIC shared-loss asset	14,461	6,545
Proceeds from sale of non-covered foreclosed property	2,760	865
Proceeds from sale of covered foreclosed property	12,546	8,828
Net cash acquired in acquisition	—	122,119
Net cash (used) provided by investing activities	(162,778)	197,417
Net increase (decrease) in noninterest-bearing deposits, net of effects of acquisition	127,164	(3,371)
Net increase (decrease) in interest-bearing deposits, net of effects of acquisition	17,954	(103,370)
Net increase (decrease) in FHLB advances and other borrowings, net of effects of acquisition	11,892	(50,415)

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Dividends paid on preferred stock	(625)	(625)
Purchases of treasury stock	(215)	—
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	156,170	(157,781)
Change in cash and due from banks	2,084	21,043
Cash and due from banks, beginning of period	40,202	25,487
Cash and due from banks, end of period	\$42,286	\$46,530
Supplemental cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest	\$5,175	\$7,109
Cash paid for income taxes	\$8,425	\$4,570
Supplemental disclosure of noncash items:		
Net change in fair value of securities available-for-sale, net of tax	\$1,802	\$2,014
Net change in fair value of cash flow hedges, net of tax	\$(37)	\$(129)
Non-covered loans transferred to non-covered foreclosed property	\$—	\$229
Covered loans transferred to covered foreclosed property	\$6,065	\$2,752
Acquisitions:		
Assets acquired	\$—	\$456,922
Liabilities assumed	\$—	\$436,498

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 1 – NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Organization and nature of operations – First California Financial Group, Inc., or First California, or the Company, is a bank holding company incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and headquartered in Westlake Village, California. The principal asset of the Company is the capital stock of First California Bank, or the Bank. The Bank is a full-service commercial bank headquartered in Westlake Village, California, chartered under the laws of the State of California and subject to supervision by the California Department of Financial Institutions and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or the FDIC. The FDIC insures the Bank's deposits up to the maximum legal limit.

On February 18, 2011, the Bank assumed certain liabilities and acquired certain assets and substantially all of the operations of San Luis Trust Bank, or SLTB, located in San Luis Obispo, California, from the FDIC. The Bank acquired, received and recognized certain assets with an estimated fair value of approximately \$367 million, including \$139 million of loans, \$99 million of cash and federal funds sold, \$70 million of a FDIC shared-loss asset, \$41 million of securities, \$13 million of foreclosed property and \$5 million of other assets. Liabilities with an estimated fair value of approximately \$346 million were also assumed and recognized, including \$266 million of deposits, \$62 million of Federal Home Loan Bank advances, \$15 million in a deferred tax liability, \$3 million of a FDIC shared-loss liability and \$0.4 million of other liabilities. The Bank recorded a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of \$36.5 million in connection with this transaction. This transaction increased the number of the Bank's full-service branch locations to 19 and the Bank fully integrated the former SLTB branch location into its full-service branch network in June 2011.

On April 8, 2011, the Bank completed the acquisition of the Electronic Banking Solutions division of Palm Desert National Bank. The transaction included the division's customer base, core deposits, and employees. The Electronic Payment Services Division, or the EPS division, its new name under the Bank, issues prepaid cards and sponsors merchant acquiring services for all national and regional networks, including Visa, MasterCard, and Discover throughout all 50 states and U.S. territories. The Bank acquired cash of \$85.4 million, recognized intangible assets of \$6.0 million, assumed \$91 million of deposits and recognized a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of \$0.5 million in connection with this transaction.

On February 28, 2012, the Bank entered into a definitive agreement and plan of merger to acquire Premier Service Bank, a state-chartered commercial bank headquartered in Riverside, California for \$2.0 million. As part of the merger, the Bank will acquire certain assets and assume certain liabilities and substantially all of the operations, including two full-service branches located in Riverside and Corona, California, of Premier Service Bank. The Bank will acquire approximately \$140 million of assets, including \$104 million of loans related to the transaction. The Bank will assume approximately \$112 million of deposits related to the transaction. The transaction is expected to close in the third or fourth quarter of 2012.

In the second quarter of 2012, the Bank closed four branches as a result of an evaluation which measured near-term growth potential in the current economic environment, as well as the Bank's ability to continue to service clients' needs at nearby First California Bank locations. The closing of the branches is expected to result in pre-tax cost savings of approximately \$1.4 million in 2012 and \$2.7 million annually, thereafter. All material amounts related to the branch closures have been accrued and expensed as of June 30, 2012.

The Bank serves the comprehensive financial needs of businesses and consumers in Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Diego, San Bernardino, San Luis Obispo and Ventura counties through 15 full-service branch locations.

Consolidation – The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, the accounts of the Company, the Bank, Wendy Road Office Development LLC, a subsidiary of the Bank which manages and disposes of real estate, and SC Financial, an inactive subsidiary of First California. The Company does not consolidate the accounts of FCB Statutory Trust I and

First California Statutory Trust I, or the Trusts, in the consolidated financial statements. The Company does include, however, the junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company to the Trusts on the consolidated balance sheets. Results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 include the effects of the FDIC-assisted SLTB transaction and the EPS division acquisition from the date of the acquisitions. All material intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

Basis of presentation – The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q as promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnote disclosures normally required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In our opinion, all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation are reflected in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. Operating results for the period ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that may be expected for any other interim period or for the year ending December 31, 2012. In preparing these financial statements, the Company has evaluated events and transactions subsequent to June 30, 2012 for potential recognition or disclosure. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Reclassifications – Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2011 consolidated financial statements to conform to the current year presentation. The effects of reclassification adjustments had no effect upon previously reported net income or net income per common share calculations.

Management's estimates and assumptions – The preparation of the consolidated financial statements, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported revenues and expenses for the reporting periods. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates. Significant estimations made by management primarily involve the calculation of the allowance for loan losses, the carrying amount of deferred tax assets, the carrying amount of covered loans, the carrying amount of foreclosed property, the carrying amount of the FDIC shared-loss receivable and liability, the assessments for impairment related to goodwill and securities, the estimated fair value of financial instruments and the effectiveness of derivative instruments in offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows of hedged items.

Allowance for loan losses – The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision charged to expense. Loans are charged against the allowance when management believes that the collectability of principal is unlikely. The allowance is an amount that management believes will be adequate to absorb estimated probable losses on existing loans that may become uncollectable, based on evaluations of the collectability of loans and prior loan loss experience. The evaluation includes an assessment of the following factors: any external loan review and any regulatory examination, estimated probable loss exposure on each pool of loans, concentrations of credit, value of collateral, the level of delinquent and nonaccrual loans, trends in the portfolio volume, effects of any changes in the lending policies and procedures, changes in lending personnel, present economic conditions at the local, state and national levels, the amount of undisbursed off-balance sheet commitments, and a migration analysis of historical losses and recoveries for the prior twenty-two quarters. Individual loans are also evaluated for impairment and if a portion of a loan is impaired, the impaired amount is charged-off or a specific reserve is allocated for that loan. Various regulatory agencies, as a regular part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Company to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examinations. The allowance for loan losses was \$18.3 million at June 30, 2012 and \$17.7 million at December 31, 2011.

Non-covered foreclosed property - We acquire, through foreclosure or through full or partial satisfaction of a loan, real or personal property. At the time of foreclosure, the Company obtains an appraisal of the property and records the property at its estimated fair value less costs to sell. We charge the allowance for loan losses for the loan amount in excess of the fair value of the foreclosed property received; we credit recoveries, up to the amount of previous charge-offs, if any, and then earnings for the fair value amount of the foreclosed property in excess of the loan due. Subsequent to foreclosure, the Company periodically assesses our disposition efforts and the estimated fair value of the foreclosed property. The Company establishes a valuation allowance through a charge to earnings for estimated declines in fair value subsequent to foreclosure. Operating income and operating expense related to foreclosed property is included in earnings as are any ultimate gains or losses on the sale of the foreclosed property. Our recognition of gain is however dependent on the buyer's initial investment in the purchase of foreclosed property meeting certain criteria. The estimated fair value of non-covered foreclosed property was \$16.1 million at June 30, 2012 and \$20.3 million at December 31, 2011.

Covered foreclosed property - All foreclosed property acquired in FDIC-assisted acquisitions that are subject to a FDIC shared-loss agreement are referred to as "covered foreclosed property" and reported separately in our consolidated balance sheets. Covered foreclosed property is reported exclusive of expected reimbursement cash flows from the FDIC. Foreclosed covered loan collateral is transferred into covered foreclosed property at the collateral's net realizable value, less estimated selling costs.

Covered foreclosed property was initially recorded at its estimated fair value on the acquisition date based on similar market comparable valuations less estimated selling costs. Any subsequent valuation adjustments due to declines in fair value will be charged to non-interest expense, and will be mostly offset by non-interest income representing the corresponding increase to the FDIC shared-loss asset for the offsetting loss reimbursement amount. Any recoveries of

previous valuation adjustments will be credited to non-interest expense with a corresponding charge to non-interest income for the portion of the recovery that is due to the FDIC. The estimated fair value of covered foreclosed property was \$9.5 million at June 30, 2012 and \$14.6 million at December 31, 2011.

Deferred income taxes – The Company recognizes deferred tax assets subject to our judgment that realization of such assets are more-likely-than-not. A valuation allowance is established when the Company determines that the realization of income tax benefits may not occur in future years. There was no valuation allowance at June 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011. There were net deferred tax liabilities of \$9.1 million at June 30, 2012 and \$7.4 million at December 31, 2011.

FDIC shared-loss asset – The FDIC shared-loss asset is initially recorded at fair value, based on the discounted value of expected future cash flows under the shared-loss agreements. The difference between the present value and the undiscounted cash flows the Company expects to collect from the FDIC will be accreted or amortized into non-interest income over the life of the FDIC shared-loss asset. Subsequent to initial recognition, the FDIC shared-loss asset is reviewed quarterly and adjusted for any changes in expected cash flows based on recent performance and expectations for future performance of the covered portfolio. These adjustments are measured on the same basis as the related covered loans, at a pool level, and covered foreclosed property. Generally, any increases in cash flow of the covered assets over those previously expected will result in prospective increases in the loan pool yield and amortization of the FDIC shared-loss asset. Any decreases in cash flow of the covered assets under those previously expected will trigger impairments on the underlying loan pools and will result in a corresponding gain on the FDIC shared-loss asset. Increases and decreases to the FDIC shared-loss asset are recorded as adjustments to non-interest income.

FDIC shared-loss liability – Forty-five days following the tenth anniversary of the Western Commercial Bank, or WCB, and SLTB acquisition dates, the Company will be required to perform a calculation and determine if a payment to the FDIC is necessary. The payment amount will be 50 percent of the excess, if any, of (i) 20 percent of the intrinsic loss estimate minus (ii) the sum of (a) 20 percent of the net loss amount, plus (b) 25 percent of the asset discount bid, plus (c) 3.5 percent of total loss share assets at acquisition. The Company's estimate for the present value of this liability was \$3.9 million at June 30, 2012 and \$3.8 million at December 31, 2011.

Derivative instruments and hedging – For derivative instruments designated in cash flow hedging relationships, we assess the effectiveness of the instruments in offsetting changes in the overall cash flows of designated hedged transactions on a quarterly basis. The Company recognizes the unrealized gains or losses of derivative instruments directly in current period earnings to the extent these instruments are not effective. At June 30, 2012, the Company had \$37.1 million notional interest rate caps to limit the variable interest rate payments on our \$26.8 million junior subordinated debentures. Our 2012 second quarter effectiveness assessment indicated that these instruments were effective.

At June 30, 2012, the Bank had \$240 million notional interest rate caps that do not meet the criteria for hedge accounting to manage the interest rate risk associated with its fixed rate securities and loans. The Company may enter into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge certain of its risks, even though hedge accounting does not apply or the Company elects not to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives not designated as hedges are marked-to-market each period through earnings.

Assessments of impairment – Goodwill is assessed for impairment on an annual basis or at interim periods if an event occurs or circumstances change which may indicate a change in the implied fair value of the goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is estimated by comparing the estimated fair value of the Company to the estimated fair value of the Company's individual assets, liabilities, and identifiable intangible assets. Impairment exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds this implied fair value.

First California uses independent data where possible in determining the fair value of the Company and in determining appropriate market factors used in the fair value calculations. At December 31, 2011, the annual assessment resulted in the conclusion that goodwill was not impaired. No events occurred or circumstances changed since December 31, 2011 which indicated there was a material change in the implied fair value of goodwill.

An impairment assessment is performed quarterly on the securities available-for-sale portfolio in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, accounting standards codification guidance related to the consideration of impairment related to certain debt and equity securities. All of the securities classified as available-for-sale are debt securities.

If the Company does not intend to sell, and it is more likely than not that the Company is not required to sell a debt security before recovery of its cost basis, other-than-temporary impairment is separated into (a) the amount representing credit loss and (b) the amount related to other factors. The amount of the other-than-temporary impairment related to credit loss is recognized in earnings and other-than-temporary impairment related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income (loss). Other-than-temporary declines in fair value are assessed based on the duration the security has been in a continuous unrealized loss position, the severity of the decline in value, the rating of the security, the long-term financial outlook of the issuer, the expected future cash flows from the security and the Company's ability and intent to hold the security until the fair value recovers. Please see Note 4-Securities in this document for a detailed explanation of the impairment analysis process. The Company will continue to evaluate the securities portfolio for other-than-temporary impairment at each reporting date and can provide no assurance there will not be an other-than-temporary impairment in future periods.

No other-than-temporary impairment loss was recorded in the three months ended June 30, 2012 or 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized an other-than-temporary impairment loss of \$28,000 on a \$1.0 million community development-related equity investment. For the same period in 2011, we recognized an other-than-temporary impairment loss of \$1.1 million on two private-label CMO securities.

NOTE 2 – RECENTLY ISSUED AND ADOPTED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurements (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. ASU 2011-04 was issued concurrently with IFRS 13, Fair Value Measurements, to provide mainly identical guidance about fair value measurement and disclosure requirements. For U.S. GAAP, most of the changes are clarifications of existing guidance or wording changes to align with IFRS 13. ASU 2011-04 is effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is not permitted. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU 2011-05 will require companies to present the components of net income and other comprehensive income either as one continuous statement or as two consecutive statements. This standard eliminates the option to present components of comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. This standard does not change the items which must be reported in other comprehensive income, how such items are measured, or when they must be reclassified to net income. This standard is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. This ASU was deferred with the issuance of ASU 2011-12 discussed below.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other – Testing Goodwill for Impairment. ASU 2011-08 provides guidance on the application of a qualitative assessment of impairment indicators in the review of goodwill impairment. The ASU provides that in the event that the qualitative review indicates that it is more likely than not that no impairment has occurred, the Company would not be required to perform a quantitative review. The provisions of ASU 2011-08 will be effective for years beginning after December 15, 2011 for both public and nonpublic entities, although earlier adoption is allowed. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. ASU 2011-11 provides convergence to International Financial Reporting Standards, or IFRS, to provide common disclosure requirements for the offsetting of financial instruments. Existing GAAP guidance allowing balance sheet offsetting, including industry-specific guidance, remains unchanged. The new guidance is effective on a retrospective basis, including all prior periods presented, for interim and annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Company does not expect that adoption of this standard will have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12, Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05. ASU 2011-12 defers the requirements of ASU 2011-05 to display reclassification adjustments for each component of other comprehensive income in both net income and other comprehensive income and to present the components of other comprehensive income in interim financial statements. During 2012, the FASB will reconsider the reclassification requirements and the timing of their implementation. Management is currently evaluating the impact both of these ASU's will have on the disclosures in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other. ASU 2012-02 provides guidance on the application of a qualitative assessment of impairment indicators in the review of impairment of indefinite-lived intangible assets. The ASU provides that in the event that the qualitative review indicates that it is more likely than not that no impairment has occurred, the Company would not be required to perform a quantitative review. The provisions of ASU 2012-02 will be effective for interim and annual impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after

September 15, 2012 for both public and nonpublic entities, although earlier adoption is permitted. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 3 – ACQUISITION

On April 8, 2011, or the EPS Transaction Date, the Bank completed the acquisition of the Electronic Banking Solutions division of Palm Desert National Bank. The transaction included the division's customer base, core deposits, and employees. The Bank paid cash consideration of \$5.5 million to purchase the EPS division. The Bank acquired cash of \$85.4 million, recognized intangible assets of \$6.0 million, assumed \$91 million of deposits and recognized a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of \$0.5 million in connection with this transaction. The Bank desired this transaction to expand its product and service offerings and diversify its sources of revenue.

Under the acquisition method of accounting, the Bank recorded the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values as of the EPS Transaction Date. Results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 included the effects of the EPS acquisition from the EPS Transaction Date.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired, received and recognized and the liabilities assumed and recognized as of the EPS Transaction Date.

	(Dollars in thousands)
Assets Acquired:	
Cash	\$ 85,389
Intangible assets	6,005
Other assets	89
Total assets acquired	\$ 91,483
Liabilities Assumed:	
Deposits	\$ 91,018
Deferred taxes	195
Total liabilities assumed	91,213
Net assets acquired (after-tax bargain purchase gain)	270
Total liabilities and net assets acquired	\$ 91,483

The Bank based the allocation of the purchase price above on the fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed. The net gain represents the excess of the estimated fair value of the assets acquired over the estimated fair value of the liabilities assumed. The gain was recognized as non-interest income in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. Non-interest expense for the second quarter of 2011 included integration and conversion expenses related to the EPS division acquisition of approximately \$350,000. The "Salaries and employee benefits", "Data processing" and "Legal, audit, and other professional services" categories were affected on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

On February 18, 2011, or the SLTB Transaction Date, the Bank assumed certain liabilities and acquired certain assets and substantially all of the operations of SLTB from the FDIC, acting in its capacity as receiver of SLTB, pursuant to the terms of a purchase and assumption agreement entered into by the Bank and the FDIC, or the Purchase Agreement. The Bank acquired, received, and recognized certain assets with a fair value of approximately \$367 million, including \$139 million in loans, \$99 million of cash and cash equivalents, \$41 million of securities and \$13 million of foreclosed property related to the transaction. These acquired assets represented approximately 20 percent of consolidated total assets at March 31, 2011. The Bank also assumed approximately \$266 million of deposits and \$62 million of FHLB advances related to the transaction. The Bank also recorded an FDIC shared-loss asset of \$70 million, a core deposit intangible of \$0.3 million, deferred tax liabilities of \$15 million, a FDIC shared-loss liability of \$2.6 million and a premium on time deposits acquired of \$0.8 million related to the transaction. The Bank continues to operate the one former SLTB branch location as part of the Bank's 15 branch locations. The Bank desired this transaction to expand its footprint into the California central coast region.

As part of the Purchase Agreement, the Bank and the FDIC entered into shared-loss agreements, whereby the FDIC will cover a substantial portion of any future losses on loans (and related unfunded loan commitments), foreclosed property and accrued interest on loans for up to 90 days. We refer to the acquired assets subject to the shared-loss agreements collectively as covered assets. Under the terms of the shared-loss agreements, the FDIC will absorb 80 percent of losses and share in 80 percent of loss recoveries. The shared-loss agreements for commercial and residential mortgage loans are in effect for 5 years and 10 years, respectively, from the SLTB Transaction Date and the loss recovery provisions are in effect for 8 years and 10 years, respectively, from the SLTB Transaction Date.

In March 2021, approximately ten years following the SLTB Transaction Date, the Bank is required to perform a calculation and determine if a payment to the FDIC is necessary. The payment amount will be 50 percent of the excess, if any, of (i) 20 percent of the intrinsic loss estimate (\$99.0 million) minus (ii) the sum of (a) 20 percent of the net loss amount, plus (b) 25 percent of the asset discount bid (\$58.0 million), plus (c) 3.5 percent of total loss share assets at acquisition. At the SLTB Transaction Date, the Bank estimated a liability, on a present value basis, of \$2.6 million under this provision.

Under the acquisition method of accounting, the Bank recorded the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values as of the SLTB Transaction Date. Results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 included the effects of the SLTB acquisition from the SLTB Transaction Date.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired, received and recognized and the liabilities assumed and recognized as of the SLTB Transaction Date.

	(Dollars in thousands)	
Assets Acquired:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	98,820
Securities		40,972
Covered loans		138,792
Covered foreclosed property		12,772
FDIC shared-loss asset		70,293
Other assets		5,510
Total assets acquired	\$	367,159
Liabilities Assumed:		
Deposits	\$	266,149
FHLB advances		61,541
FDIC shared-loss liability		2,564
Deferred taxes		15,316
Other liabilities		437
Total liabilities assumed		346,007
Net assets acquired (after-tax bargain purchase gain)		21,152
Total liabilities and net assets acquired	\$	367,159

The Bank based the allocation of the purchase price above on the fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed. The net gain represents the excess of the estimated fair value of the assets acquired over the estimated fair value of the liabilities assumed and is influenced significantly by the FDIC-assisted transaction process. Under the FDIC-assisted transaction process, only certain assets and liabilities are transferred to the acquirer and, depending on the nature and amount of the acquirer's bid, the FDIC may be required to make a cash payment to the acquirer. The Bank received a cash payment from the FDIC for \$34.4 million. The book value of net assets transferred to the Bank was \$23.6 million (i.e., the cost basis). The pre-tax gain of \$36.5 million or the after-tax gain of \$21.1 million recognized by the Company is considered a bargain purchase transaction under ASC 805 "Business Combinations" since the total acquisition-date fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired exceeded the fair value of the consideration transferred. The gain was recognized as non-interest income in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. Non-interest expense for the first quarter of 2011 included integration and conversion expenses related to the SLTB acquisition of approximately \$515,000. The "Salaries and employee benefits", "Data processing" and "Legal, audit, and other professional services" categories were affected on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

In August 2011, the Bank exercised its option to purchase at fair value approximately \$100,000 of furniture, fixtures and equipment related to the one SLTB branch location from the FDIC. The Bank also negotiated and executed a new five-year lease approximating current market rent for the one branch location.

The acquisition of assets and liabilities of SLTB were significant at a level to require disclosure of one year of historical financial information and related pro forma disclosure. However, given the pervasive nature of the shared-loss agreements entered into with the FDIC, the historical information of SLTB are much less relevant for purposes of assessing the future operations of the combined entity. In addition, prior to closure, SLTB had not completed an audit of their financial statements, and the Company determined that audited financial statements are not and will not be reasonably available for the year ended December 31, 2010. Given these considerations, the Company

requested, and received, relief from the Securities and Exchange Commission from submitting certain historical and pro forma financial information of SLTB.

NOTE 4 – SECURITIES

Securities have been classified in the consolidated balance sheets according to management's intent and ability as available-for-sale. The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses and estimated fair values of securities available-for-sale at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are summarized as follows:

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	June 30, 2012			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
		(in thousands)		
U.S. Treasury notes/bills	\$32,083	\$2	\$(2)	\$32,083
U.S. government agency notes	45,604	102	(7)	45,699
U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities	183,276	3,033	(76)	186,233
U.S. government agency collateralized mortgage obligations	211,042	478	(594)	210,926
Private label collateralized mortgage obligations	11,461	—	(1,625)	9,836
Municipal securities	31,031	1,249	(41)	32,239
Other domestic debt securities	7,109	—	(1,912)	5,197
Securities available-for-sale	\$521,606	\$4,864	\$(4,257)	\$522,213

	December 31, 2011			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
		(in thousands)		
U.S. Treasury notes/bills	\$45,151	\$14	\$(4)	\$45,161
U.S. government agency notes	59,212	257	(23)	59,446
U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities	132,141	1,616	(82)	133,675
U.S. government agency collateralized mortgage obligations	168,158	384	(368)	168,174
Private label collateralized mortgage obligations	15,853	—	(2,811)	13,042
Municipal securities	28,572	813	(60)	29,325
Other domestic debt securities	7,151	—	(2,239)	4,912
Securities available-for-sale	\$456,238	\$3,084	\$(5,587)	\$453,735

As of June 30, 2012, securities available-for-sale with a fair value of \$46.9 million were pledged as collateral for borrowings, public deposits and other purposes as required by various statutes and agreements.

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and amortized cost of the Company's securities aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

	At June 30, 2012					
	Less Than 12 Months		Greater Than 12 Months		Total	
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Losses
	(in thousands)					
U.S. Treasury notes/bills	\$18,053	\$(2)	\$—	\$—	\$18,053	\$(2)
U.S. government agency notes	5,000	(7)	—	—	5,000	(7)
U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities	40,804	(76)	—	—	40,804	(76)
U.S. government agency collateralized mortgage obligations	107,825	(594)	—	—	107,825	(594)
	—	—	11,461	(1,625)	11,461	(1,625)

Private-label collateralized mortgage obligations									
Municipal securities	2,365	(41)	—	—	2,365	(41)	
Other domestic debt securities	—	—		7,109	(1,912)	7,109	(1,912)
	\$174,047	\$(720)	\$18,570	\$(3,537)	\$192,617	\$(4,257)

	Less Than 12 Months		At December 31, 2011 Greater Than 12 Months		Total	
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Losses
	(in thousands)					
U.S. Treasury notes/bills	\$ 10,029	\$(4)	\$-	\$-	\$ 10,029	\$(4)
U.S. government agency notes	10,000	(23)	-	-	10,000	(23)
U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities	40,889	(82)	-	-	40,889	(82)
U.S. government agency collateralized mortgage obligations	99,894	(368)	-	-	99,894	(368)
Private-label collateralized mortgage obligations	-	-	15,853	(2,811)	15,853	(2,811)
Municipal securities	4,039	(60)	-	-	4,039	(60)
Other domestic debt securities	-	-	7,151	(2,239)	7,151	(2,239)
	\$ 164,851	\$(537)	\$ 23,004	\$(5,050)	\$ 187,855	\$(5,587)

Net unrealized holding gains were \$607,000 at June 30, 2012 and net unrealized holding losses were \$2.5 million at December 31, 2011. As a percentage of securities, at amortized cost, net unrealized holding gains were 0.12 percent and net unrealized holding losses were 0.55 percent at the end of each respective period. Securities are comprised largely of U.S. Treasury bills and notes, and U.S. government agency notes, mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations. On a quarterly basis, we evaluate our individual available-for-sale securities in an unrealized loss position for other-than-temporary impairment. As part of this evaluation, we consider whether we intend to sell each security and whether it is more-likely-than-not that we will be required to sell the security before the anticipated recovery of the security's amortized cost basis. Should a security meet either of these conditions, we recognize an impairment charge to earnings equal to the entire difference between the security's amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. For securities in an unrealized loss position that meet neither of these conditions, we consider whether we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security by comparing our best estimate, on a present value basis, of the expected future cash flows from the security with the amortized cost basis of the security. If our best estimate of expected future cash flows is less than the amortized cost basis of the security, we recognize an impairment charge to earnings for this estimated credit loss.

The Company will continue to evaluate the securities portfolio for other-than-temporary impairment at each reporting date and can provide no assurance there will not be further other-than-temporary impairments in future periods.

The following table presents the other-than-temporary impairment activity related to credit loss, which is recognized in earnings, and the other-than-temporary impairment activity related to all other factors, which are recognized in other comprehensive income.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Beginning balance	\$ 3,008	\$ 3,322	\$ 3,643	\$ 2,256
Reduction for securities sold	—	—	(663)	—
Additional increases to the amount related to the credit loss for which an other-than-temporary impairment was previously recognized	—	—	28	1,066

Ending balance	\$3,008	\$3,322	\$3,008	\$3,322
----------------	---------	---------	---------	---------

The Company owns one pooled trust preferred security, rated triple-A at purchase, with an amortized cost basis of \$4.6 million and an unrealized loss of \$1.9 million at June 30, 2012. The gross unrealized loss is mainly due to extraordinarily high investor yield requirements resulting from an illiquid market, causing this security to be valued at a discount to its acquisition cost. One credit rating agency has now rated the security Baa3 while another has rated the security triple-C. The senior tranche owned by the Company has a collateral balance well in excess of the amortized cost basis of the tranche at June 30, 2012. Nineteen of the fifty-six issuers in the security have deferred or defaulted on their interest payments as of June 30, 2012. The Company's analysis determined that approximately half of the issuers would need to default on their interest payments before the senior tranche owned by the Company would be at risk of loss. As the Company's estimated present value of expected cash flows to be collected is in excess of the amortized cost basis, the Company considers the gross unrealized loss on this security to be temporary.

The majority of unrealized losses at June 30, 2012 relate to a type of mortgage-backed security also known as private-label CMOs. As of June 30, 2012, the par value of these securities was \$13.0 million and the amortized cost basis, net of other-than-temporary impairment charges, was \$11.5 million. At June 30, 2012, the fair value of these securities was \$9.8 million, representing 2 percent of our securities portfolio. Gross unrealized losses related to these private-label CMO's were \$1.6 million, or 14 percent of the amortized cost basis of these securities as of June 30, 2012.

The gross unrealized losses associated with these securities were primarily due to extraordinarily high investor yield requirements resulting from an extremely illiquid market, significant uncertainty about the future condition of the mortgage market and the economy, and continued deterioration in the credit performance of loan collateral underlying these securities, causing these securities to be valued at significant discounts to their acquisition cost. Two private-label CMOs had credit agency ratings of less than investment grade at June 30, 2012. We performed discounted cash flow analyses for these two securities using the current month, last three month and last twelve month historical prepayment speed, the cumulative default rate and the loss severity rate to determine if there was other-than-temporary impairment as of June 30, 2012. Based upon this analysis, we determined that neither of the two private-label CMO's had further other-than-temporary impairment than what had been previously recognized. We had previously recognized an other-than-temporary loss of \$1.6 million on these two securities in prior periods. We do not intend to sell these securities and we do not believe it likely that we will be required to sell these securities before the anticipated recovery of the remaining amortized cost basis. If current conditions in the mortgage markets and general business conditions continue to deteriorate, the fair value of our private-label CMOs may decline further and we may experience further impairment losses. For the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we did not recognize an impairment loss on securities. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized a \$28,000 impairment on a \$1 million community development-related equity investment. We had previously recognized a credit loss of \$433,000 on this investment in prior periods. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, we recognized a credit loss of \$1.1 million related to our private-label CMO securities.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities by contractual maturities are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	At June 30, 2012	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
	(in thousands)	
Due in one year or less	\$41,268	\$41,270
Due after one year through five years	42,629	42,783
Due after five years through ten years	115,528	117,312
Due after ten years	322,181	320,848
Total	\$521,606	\$522,213

NOTE 5 – NON-COVERED LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR NON-COVERED LOAN LOSSES

The loans not acquired in the SLTB and WCB acquisitions and which are not covered by the related shared-loss agreements with the FDIC are referred to as non-covered loans. The non-covered loan portfolio by type consists of the following:

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

(in thousands)	At June 30, 2012	At December 31, 2011
Commercial mortgage	\$ 430,489	\$ 393,376
Multifamily	215,509	187,333
Commercial loans and lines	171,413	180,421
Home mortgage	155,559	106,350
Home equity loans and lines of credit	34,402	28,645
Construction and land	28,100	35,082
Installment and credit card	4,393	4,896
Total loans	1,039,865	936,103
Allowance for loan losses	(18,344)	(17,747)
Loans, net	\$ 1,021,521	\$ 918,356

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

At June 30, 2012, loans with a balance of \$742.1 million were pledged as security for Federal Home Loan Bank, or FHLB, advances. Loan balances include net deferred loan costs of \$6.2 million and \$3.4 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Most of the Company's lending activity is with customers located in Los Angeles, Orange, Ventura, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego and San Luis Obispo Counties and most loans are secured by or dependent on real estate. Although the Company has no significant exposure to any individual customer, economic conditions, particularly the recent sustained decline in real estate values in Southern California, could adversely affect customers and their ability to satisfy their obligations under their loan agreements.

Changes in the allowance for non-covered loan losses were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Beginning balance	\$18,154	\$18,666	\$17,747	\$17,033
Provision for loan losses	500	500	1,000	3,000
Loans charged-off	(408)	(1,134)	(626)	(2,027)
Recoveries on loans charged-off	98	274	223	300
Ending balance	\$18,344	\$18,306	\$18,344	\$18,306

The following table details activity in the allowance for non-covered loan losses by portfolio segment for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one segment of the loan portfolio does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other segments.

(in thousands)	Commercial Mortgage	Commercial Multifamily	Construction and Land	Home Mortgage	Home Equity	Installment	Total
Allowance for credit losses:							
Beginning balance	\$ 6,091	\$ 6,221	\$ 2,886	\$ 814	\$1,274	\$390	\$17,747
Charge-offs	(10)	(479)	–	–	(103)	–	(626)
Recoveries	2	213	–	–	3	–	223
Provision	(642)	1,161	47	(356)	687	28	1,000
Ending balance	\$ 5,441	\$ 7,116	\$ 2,933	\$ 458	\$1,861	\$418	\$18,344
Ending balance; individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 62	\$ 5,162	\$ 141	\$ 10	\$157	\$–	\$5,607
Ending balance; collectively evaluated for	5,379	1,954	2,792	448	1,704	418	12,737

impairment

Non-covered loan

balances:

Ending balance	\$ 430,489	\$ 171,413	\$ 215,509	\$ 28,100	\$ 155,559	\$ 34,402	\$ 4,393	\$ 1,039,865
----------------	------------	------------	------------	-----------	------------	-----------	----------	--------------

Ending balance;

individually

evaluated for

impairment

\$ 675	\$ 20,016	\$ 1,515	\$ 196	\$ 1,386	\$-	\$ 180	\$ 23,968
--------	-----------	----------	--------	----------	-----	--------	-----------

Ending balance;

collectively

evaluated for

impairment

\$ 429,814	\$ 151,397	\$ 213,994	\$ 27,904	\$ 154,173	\$ 34,402	\$ 4,213	\$ 1,015,897
------------	------------	------------	-----------	------------	-----------	----------	--------------

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following table details activity in the allowance for non-covered loan losses by portfolio segment for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one segment of the loan portfolio does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other segments.

(in thousands)	Commercial Mortgage	Commercial	Multifamily	Construction and Land	Home Mortgage	Home Equity	Installment	Total
Allowance for credit losses:								
Beginning balance	\$ 6,134	\$ 4,934	\$ 2,273	\$ 1,698	\$ 1,496	\$ 416	\$ 82	\$ 17,033
Charge-offs	(312)	(1,192)	(65)	(3)	(367)	–	(88)	(2,027)
Recoveries	–	291	–	4	–	–	5	300
Provision	1,197	1,436	348	(825)	722	10	112	3,000
Ending balance	\$ 7,019	\$ 5,469	\$ 2,556	\$ 874	\$ 1,851	\$ 426	\$ 111	\$ 18,306
Ending balance; individually evaluated for impairment	\$ –	\$ 1,827	\$ –	\$ –	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 2	\$ 1,829
Ending balance; collectively evaluated for impairment	7,019	3,642	2,556	874	1,851	426	109	16,477
Non-covered loan balances:								
Ending balance	\$ 392,312	\$ 200,863	\$ 134,091	\$ 49,325	\$ 107,509	\$ 28,414	\$ 6,393	\$ 918,907
Ending balance; individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,435	\$ 11,924	\$ 2,078	\$ 133	\$ 1,105	\$ –	\$ 5	\$ 16,680
Ending balance; collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 390,877	\$ 188,939	\$ 132,013	\$ 49,192	\$ 106,404	\$ 28,414	\$ 6,388	\$ 902,227

Nonaccrual loans are those loans for which management has discontinued accrual of interest because reasonable doubt exists as to the full and timely collection of either principal or interest. Nonaccrual loans are also considered impaired loans. Total non-covered nonaccrual loans totaled \$13.5 million at June 30, 2012 as compared to \$13.9 million at December 31, 2011. The allowance for loan losses maintained for nonaccrual loans was \$3.9 million and \$3.1 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Had these loans performed according to their original terms, additional interest income of \$0.3 million and \$1.0 million would have been recognized in the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following table sets forth the amounts and categories of our non-covered non-accrual loans at the dates indicated:

	At At June 30, 2012	At December 31, 2011
Non-accrual loans		
Aggregate loan amounts		

(Dollars in thousands)

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Multifamily	\$795	\$ 837
Commercial loans	11,152	11,594
Home mortgage	1,386	1,387
Installment	174	42
Total non-covered nonaccrual loans	\$13,507	\$ 13,860

Included in non-covered non-accrual loans at June 30, 2012 were twelve restructured loans totaling \$2.5 million. The twelve loans consist of three home mortgage loans, eight commercial loans and one multifamily loan. Interest income recognized on these loans was \$2,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2012. We had no commitments to lend additional funds to these borrowers.

Included in non-covered non-accrual loans at December 31, 2011 were nine restructured loans totaling \$2.8 million. The nine loans consist of one home mortgage loan and eight commercial loans. Interest income recognized on these loans was \$34,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. We had no commitments to lend additional funds to these borrowers.

Credit Quality Indicators

Loans are risk rated based on analysis of the current state of the borrower's credit quality. This analysis of credit quality includes a review of all sources of repayment, the borrower's current financial and liquidity status and all other relevant information. The Company utilizes a ten grade risk rating system, where a higher grade represents a higher level of credit risk. The ten grade risk rating system can be generally classified by the following categories: Pass, Special Mention, Substandard, Doubtful and Loss. The risk ratings reflect the relative strength of the sources of repayment.

Pass loans are generally considered to have sufficient sources of repayment in order to repay the loan in full in accordance with all terms and conditions. These borrowers may have some credit risk that requires monitoring, but full repayment is expected. Special Mention loans are considered to have potential weaknesses that warrant close attention by management. Special Mention is considered a transitory grade and generally, the Company does not have a loan stay graded Special Mention for longer than six months. If any potential weaknesses are resolved, the loan is upgraded to a Pass grade. If negative trends in the borrower's financial status or other information is presented that indicates the repayment sources may become inadequate, the loan is downgraded to a Substandard grade. Substandard loans are considered to have well-defined weaknesses that jeopardize the full and timely repayment of the loan. Substandard loans have a distinct possibility of loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Additionally, when management has assessed a potential for loss but a distinct possibility of loss is not recognizable, the loan is still classified as Substandard. Doubtful loans have insufficient sources of repayment and a high probability of loss. Loss loans are considered to be uncollectible and of such little value that they are no longer considered bankable assets. These internal risk ratings are reviewed continuously and adjusted due to changes in borrower status and likelihood of loan repayment.

The table below presents the non-covered loan portfolio by credit quality indicator as of June 30, 2012.

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total
	(in thousands)					
Home mortgage	\$152,805	\$974	\$1,780	\$-	\$-	\$155,559
Commercial mortgage	398,080	27,910	4,499	-	-	430,489
Construction and land	26,311	-	1,789	-	-	28,100
Multifamily	206,775	1,952	6,782	-	-	215,509
Commercial loans and lines	142,016	5,234	14,741	9,422	-	171,413
Home equity loans and lines	33,897	-	505	-	-	34,402
Installment	3,859	283	101	150	-	4,393
	\$963,743	\$36,353	\$30,197	\$9,572	\$-	\$1,039,865

The table below presents the non-covered loan portfolio by credit quality indicator as of December 31, 2011.

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total
	(in thousands)					
Home mortgage	\$103,239	\$1,326	\$1,785	\$-	\$-	\$106,350
Commercial mortgage	366,078	17,798	9,500	-	-	393,376
Construction and land	31,623	196	3,263	-	-	35,082
Multifamily	178,064	2,972	6,297	-	-	187,333
Commercial loans and lines	155,850	4,030	11,937	8,604	-	180,421
Home equity loans and lines	28,139	-	506	-	-	28,645

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Installment	4,516	268	112	–	–	4,896
	\$867,509	\$26,590	\$33,400	\$8,604	\$–	\$936,103

Loans are tracked by the number of days borrower payments are past due. The tables below present an age analysis of nonaccrual and past due non-covered loans, segregated by class of loan, as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

At June 30, 2012

	Accruing loans 30-59 days past due	Accruing loans 60-89 days past due	Accruing loans 90+ days past due	Total Accruing past due loans (in thousands)	Nonaccrual past due loans	Current loans	Total
Commercial loans and lines	\$386	\$-	\$-	\$386	\$11,152	\$159,875	\$171,413
Commercial mortgage	532	-	-	532	-	429,957	430,489
Multifamily	1,220	-	-	1,220	795	213,494	215,509
Construction and land	-	-	-	-	-	28,100	28,100
Home mortgage	-	-	-	-	1,386	154,173	155,559
Home equity loans and lines	-	-	-	-	-	34,402	34,402
Installment	6	7	-	13	174	4,206	4,393
Total	\$2,144	\$7	\$-	\$2,151	\$13,507	\$1,024,207	\$1,039,865

At December 31, 2011

	Accruing loans 30-59 days past due	Accruing loans 60-89 days past due	Accruing loans 90+ days past due	Total Accruing past due loans (in thousands)	Nonaccrual past due loans	Current loans	Total
Commercial loans and lines	\$41	\$1,071	\$-	\$1,112	\$11,594	\$167,715	\$180,421
Commercial mortgage	648	-	-	648	-	392,728	393,376
Multifamily	1,010	-	-	1,010	837	185,486	187,333
Construction and land	-	-	-	-	-	35,082	35,082
Home mortgage	-	-	-	-	1,387	104,963	106,350
Home equity loans and lines	406	100	-	506	-	28,139	28,645
Installment	172	1	-	173	42	4,681	4,896
Total	\$2,277	\$1,172	\$-	\$3,449	\$13,860	\$918,794	\$936,103

The Company considers a loan to be impaired when, based on current information and events, the Company does not expect to be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments. Impaired loans are determined by periodic evaluation on an individual loan basis. The average investment in non-covered impaired loans was \$18.7 million and \$20.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Non-covered impaired loans were \$24.0 million and \$13.9 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Of the \$24.0 million of non-covered impaired loans at June 30, 2012, \$23.0 million had specific reserves totaling \$5.6 million. Of the \$13.9 million of non-covered impaired loans at December 31, 2011, \$11.1 million had specific reserves totaling \$3.1 million.

Impaired non-covered loans as of June 30, 2012 are set forth in the following table.

(in 000's)	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment with no Allowance	Recorded Investment with Allowance	Total Recorded Investment	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
------------	--------------------------------	--	---	---------------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Commercial loans and lines	\$23,851	\$346	\$19,670	\$20,016	\$5,162	\$14,929	\$148
Commercial mortgage	675	–	675	675	62	678	21
Multifamily	1,515	–	1,515	1,515	141	1,533	28
Construction and land	196	–	196	196	10	129	–
Home mortgage	1,895	601	785	1,386	157	1,369	–
Installment	180	26	154	180	75	62	–
Total	\$28,312	\$973	\$22,995	\$23,968	\$5,607	\$18,700	\$197

Impaired non-covered loans as of December 31, 2011 are set forth in the following table.

(in 000's)	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment with no Allowance	Recorded Investment with Allowance	Total Recorded Investment	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
Commercial loans and lines	\$13,776	\$471	\$11,123	\$11,594	\$3,057	\$9,374	\$–
Multifamily	837	837	–	837	–	140	–
Home mortgage	1,887	1,387	–	1,387	–	1,035	–
Installment	42	42	–	42	–	4	–
Total	\$16,542	\$2,737	\$11,123	\$13,860	\$3,057	\$10,553	\$–

The average recorded investment in impaired non-covered loans shown in the above tables represents the average investment for the period in the non-covered loans impaired at each respective period-end.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

The Company offers a variety of modifications to borrowers. The modification categories offered can generally be described in the following categories:

Rate modification – A modification in which the interest rate is changed.

Term modification – A modification in which the maturity date, timing of payments, or frequency of payments is changed.

Interest only modification – A modification in which the loan is converted to interest only payments for a period of time.

Payment modification – A modification in which the dollar amount of the payment is changed, other than an interest only modification described above.

Combination modification – Any other type of modification, including the use of multiple categories above.

The following tables present non-covered loan troubled debt restructurings as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

	June 30, 2012		Nonaccrual Status		Total Modifications	
	Accrual Status #	\$	#	\$	#	\$
Commercial mortgage	2	\$675	–	\$–	2	\$675
Commercial loans & lines	16	8,863	8	1,609	24	10,472
Multifamily	1	720	1	220	2	940
Construction and land	1	196	–	–	1	196
Home Mortgage	–	–	3	685	3	685
Installment	1	4	–	–	1	4
Total	21	\$10,458	12	\$2,514	33	\$12,972

	December 31, 2011		Nonaccrual Status		Total Modifications	
	Accrual Status #	\$	#	\$	#	\$
Commercial mortgage	6	\$878	–	\$–	6	\$878
Commercial loans & lines	–	–	8	2,665	8	2,665
Multifamily	1	725	–	–	1	725
Home mortgage	–	–	1	158	1	158
Total	7	\$1,603	9	\$2,823	16	\$4,426

The Bank's policy is that loans placed on nonaccrual status will typically remain on nonaccrual status until all principal and interest payments are brought current and the prospect for future payment performance in accordance with the loan agreement appear relatively certain. The Bank's policy generally refers to six months of payment performance as sufficient to warrant a return to accrual status.

The following tables present newly restructured loans that occurred during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	Six months ended June 30, 2012							
	Term Modifications		Rate Modifications		Combo Modifications		Total Modifications	
	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
(in thousands)								
Pre-modification outstanding recorded investment:								
Commercial loans & lines	4	\$ 2,037	4	\$ 5,437	4	\$ 517	12	\$ 7,991
Commercial mortgage	–	–	–	–	1	26	1	26
Multifamily	–	–	–	–	1	237	1	237
Construction and land	1	196	–	–	–	–	1	196
Home mortgage	–	–	–	–	2	538	2	538
Installment	–	–	–	–	1	4	1	4
Total	5	\$ 2,233	4	\$ 5,437	9	\$ 1,322	18	\$ 8,992

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Six months ended June 30, 2012

	Term Modifications		Rate Modifications		Combo Modifications		Total Modifications	
	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
(in thousands)								
Post-modification outstanding recorded investment:								
Commercial loans & lines	4	\$ 2,037	4	\$ 5,483	4	\$ 517	12	\$ 8,037
Commercial mortgage	–	–	–	–	1	26	1	26
Multifamily	–	–	–	–	1	237	1	237
Construction and land	1	196	–	–	–	–	1	196
Home mortgage	–	–	–	–	2	538	2	538
Installment	–	–	–	–	1	4	1	4
Total	5	\$ 2,233	4	\$ 5,483	9	\$ 1,322	18	\$ 9,038

Six months ended June 30, 2011

	Term Modifications		Payment Modifications		Combo Modifications		Total Modifications	
	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
(in thousands)								
Pre-modification outstanding recorded investment:								
Commercial loans & lines	–	\$ –	1	\$ 44	1	\$ 18	2	\$ 62
Installment	–	–	–	–	1	5	1	5
Total	–	\$ –	1	\$ 44	2	\$ 23	3	\$ 67

Six months ended June 30, 2011

	Term Modifications		Payment Modifications		Combo Modifications		Total Modifications	
	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
(in thousands)								
Post-modification outstanding recorded investment:								
Commercial loans & lines	–	\$ –	1	\$ 44	1	\$ 18	2	\$ 62
Installment	–	–	–	–	1	5	1	5
Total	–	\$ –	1	\$ 44	2	\$ 23	3	\$ 67

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, no loans modified as a troubled debt restructuring had a payment default occurring within 12 months of the restructure date. There were no loans modified as a troubled debt restructuring and had a payment default occurring within 12 months of the restructure date during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

NOTE 6 – COVERED LOANS AND FDIC SHARED-LOSS ASSET

Covered assets consist of loans receivable and foreclosed property that we acquired in the FDIC-assisted SLTB and WCB acquisitions for which we entered into shared-loss agreements with the FDIC. The Bank will share in the losses with the FDIC, which begin with the first dollar of loss incurred on the loan pools (including single-family residential mortgage loans, commercial loans and foreclosed property) covered under our shared-loss agreements. We refer to all other loans not covered under our shared-loss agreements as non-covered loans.

Pursuant to the terms of the shared-loss agreements, the FDIC is obligated to reimburse the Bank for 80 percent of eligible losses with respect to covered assets. The Bank has a corresponding obligation to reimburse the FDIC for 80

percent of eligible recoveries with respect to covered loans. The shared-loss agreements for commercial and single-family residential mortgage loans are in effect for five years and ten years, respectively, from the acquisition date and the loss recovery provisions are in effect for eight years and ten years, respectively, from the acquisition date.

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following table reflects the estimated fair value of the acquired loans at the acquisition dates.

	Western Commercial November 5, 2010	San Luis Trust Bank February 18, 2011	Total
Home mortgage	\$ 2,484	\$64,524	\$67,008
Commercial mortgage	25,920	15,948	41,868
Construction and land loans	7,599	23,395	30,994
Multifamily	-	18,450	18,450
Commercial loans and lines of credit	19,486	2,353	21,839
Home equity loans and lines of credit	-	13,669	13,669
Installment and credit card	-	453	453
Total	\$ 55,489	\$ 138,792	\$ 194,281

In estimating the fair value of the covered loans at the acquisition date, we (a) calculated the amount and timing of contractual undiscounted principal and interest payments and (b) estimated the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal and interest payments. The difference between these two amounts represents the nonaccretable difference. On the acquisition date, the amount by which the undiscounted expected cash flows exceed the estimated fair value of the acquired loans is the “accretable yield.” The accretable yield is then measured at each financial reporting date and represents the difference between the remaining undiscounted expected cash flows and the current carrying value of the loans.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the undiscounted contractual cash flows, nonaccretable difference, accretable yield, and the fair value of covered loans for each respective acquired loan portfolio at the acquisition dates.

	Western Commercial November 5, 2010	San Luis Trust Bank February 18, 2011	Total
Undiscounted contractual cash flows	\$ 81,431	\$252,263	\$333,694
Undiscounted cash flows not expected to be collected (nonaccretable difference)	(20,094)	(81,586)	(101,680)
Undiscounted cash flows expected to be collected	61,337	170,677	232,014
Accretable yield at acquisition	(5,848)	(31,885)	(37,733)
Estimated fair value of loans acquired at acquisition	\$ 55,489	\$ 138,792	\$ 194,281

The following tables present the changes in the accretable yield for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 for each respective acquired loan portfolio.

	Three months ended June 30, 2012		Total
	Western Commercial	San Luis Trust Bank	

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Balance, beginning of period	\$8,955	\$46,774	\$55,729
Accretion to interest income	(1,134)	(3,911)	(5,045)
Reclassifications (to)/from nonaccretable difference	(267)	(9,215)	(9,482)
Balance, end of period	\$7,554	\$33,648	\$41,202

Three months ended June 30, 2011
 Western Commercial San Luis Trust Bank Total

Balance, beginning of period	\$4,253	\$30,808	\$35,061
Accretion to interest income	(588)	(3,645)	(4,233)
Reclassifications (to)/from nonaccretable difference	226	(2,272)	(2,046)
Balance, end of period	\$3,891	\$24,891	\$28,782

The following tables present the change in the accretable yield for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 for each respective acquired portfolio.

	Six months ended June 30, 2012		
	Western Commercial	San Luis Trust Bank	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$9,399	\$55,318	\$64,717
Accretion to interest income	(2,215)	(7,006)	(9,221)
Reclassifications (to)/from nonaccretable difference	370	(14,664)	(14,294)
Balance, end of period	\$7,554	\$33,648	\$41,202

	Six months ended June 30, 2011		
	Western Commercial	San Luis Trust Bank	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$5,457	\$-	\$5,457
Additions resulting from acquisition	—	31,885	31,885
Accretion to interest income	(1,792)	(4,722)	(6,514)
Reclassifications (to)/from nonaccretable difference	226	(2,272)	(2,046)
Balance, end of period	\$3,891	\$24,891	\$28,782

The following table sets forth the composition of the covered loan portfolio by type.

Covered loans by property type (in thousands)	At	At
	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Home mortgage	\$32,752	\$ 36,736
Commercial mortgage	32,395	37,804
Construction and land loans	19,325	22,875
Multifamily	12,652	15,944
Commercial loans and lines of credit	9,145	11,206
Home equity loans and lines of credit	8,453	10,841
Installment and credit card	—	6
Total covered loans	\$114,722	\$ 135,412

The FDIC shared-loss asset represents the present value of the amounts we expect to receive from the FDIC under our shared-loss agreements. We accrete into noninterest income over the life of the FDIC shared-loss asset the difference between the present value and the undiscounted cash flows we expect to collect from the FDIC. The FDIC shared-loss asset was \$55.5 million at June 30, 2012 and \$68.1 million at December 31, 2011.

The FDIC shared-loss asset was initially recorded at fair value, which represented the present value of the estimated cash payments from the FDIC for future losses on covered assets. The ultimate collectability of this asset is dependent upon the performance of the underlying covered assets, the passage of time and claims paid by the FDIC. The following table presents the changes in the FDIC shared-loss asset for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

(in thousands)	Six months ended June 30, 2012		
	WCB	SLTB	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$9,159	\$58,924	\$68,083
FDIC share of additional losses	661	920	1,581
Cash payments received from FDIC	(2,456)	(12,005)	(14,461)
Net accretion	14	252	266
Balance, end of period	\$7,378	\$48,091	\$55,469

Forty-five days following the tenth anniversary of the WCB and SLTB acquisition dates, the Company will be required to perform a calculation and determine if a payment to the FDIC is necessary. The payment amount will be 50 percent of the excess, if any, of (i) 20 percent of the intrinsic loss estimate minus (ii) the sum of (a) 20 percent of the net loss amount, plus (b) 25 percent of the asset discount bid, plus (c) 3.5 percent of total loss share assets at acquisition. The Company's estimate for the present value of this liability was \$3.9 million at June 30, 2012 and \$3.8 million at December 31, 2011.

We evaluated each of the acquired loans under ASC 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality, or ASC 310-30, to determine loans for which 1) there was evidence of credit deterioration since origination and 2) it was probable that we would not collect all contractually required payments receivable. We determined the best indicator of such evidence was an individual loan's accrual status. Therefore, an individual loan on nonaccrual at the acquisition date (generally 90 days or greater contractually past due) was deemed to be non-performing credit impaired and therefore within the scope of ASC 310-30. Acquired loans that were accruing at the acquisition date were separately identified and labeled performing credit impaired loans.

Pursuant to an AICPA letter dated December 18, 2009, the AICPA summarized the SEC Staff's view regarding the accounting in subsequent periods for discount accretion associated with non-credit impaired loans acquired in a business combination or asset purchase. Regarding the accounting for such loans, in the absence of further standard setting, the AICPA understands that the SEC Staff would not object to an accounting policy based on contractual cash flows or an accounting policy based on expected cash flows. We believe analogizing to ASC 310-30 is an appropriate method to follow in accounting for the credit-related portion of the fair value discount on the performing credit impaired loans. By doing so, these loans, which are labeled performing credit impaired, are only being accreted up to the cash flows that we expected to receive at acquisition of the loan. Given the lending practices of the institution from which the loans were acquired, and in estimating the expected cash flows for each designated pool, all loans acquired were recognized to have some degree of credit impairment.

On the acquisition dates, the amounts by which the undiscounted expected cash flows exceeded the estimated fair value of the acquired loans is the accretable yield. The accretable yield is taken into interest income over the life of the loans using the effective yield method. The accretable yield changes over time due to both accretion and as actual and expected cash flows vary from the acquisition date estimated cash flows. The accretable yield is then measured at each financial reporting date and represents the difference between the remaining undiscounted expected cash flows and the current carrying value of the loans. The remaining undiscounted expected cash flows are calculated at each financial reporting date based on information then currently available. Increases in expected cash flows over those originally estimated increase the carrying value of the pool and are recognized as interest income prospectively. Decreases in expected cash flows compared to those originally estimated decrease the carrying value of the pool and are recognized by recording a provision for credit losses and establishing an allowance for credit losses. As the accretable yield increases due to cash flow expectations, the offset is a change to the nonaccretable difference.

The acquired covered loans are and will continue to be subject to the Bank's internal and external credit review and monitoring practices. The covered loans have the same credit quality indicators, such as risk grade and classification, as the non-covered loans, to enable the monitoring of the borrower's credit and the likelihood of repayment. If credit deteriorates beyond the respective acquisition date fair value amount of covered loans under ASC 310-30, such deterioration will be reserved for and a provision for credit losses will be charged to earnings with a partially offsetting noninterest income item reflected in the increase of the FDIC shared-loss asset.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there was no allowance for the covered loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 related to deterioration, as the credit quality deterioration was not beyond the acquisition date fair value amounts of the covered loans.

Loans are tracked by the number of days borrower payments are past due. The tables below present an age analysis of nonaccrual and past due covered loans, segregated by class of loan, as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

At June 30, 2012						
Accruing loans 30-59 days past	Accruing loans 60-89	Accruing loans 90+	Total Accruing past due loans	Nonaccrual past due loans	Current loans	Total

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	due	days past due	days past due	(in thousands)			
Commercial loans and lines	\$ 380	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 380	\$ 865	\$ 7,900	\$ 9,145
Commercial mortgage	–	–	–	–	501	31,894	32,395
Multifamily	169	–	–	169	1,099	11,384	12,652
Construction and land	777	–	–	777	1,405	17,143	19,325
Home mortgage	239	–	–	239	5,457	27,056	32,752
Home equity loans and lines	200	100	–	300	145	8,008	8,453
Total	\$ 1,765	\$ 100	\$ –	\$ 1,865	\$ 9,472	\$ 103,385	\$ 114,722

24

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

At December 31, 2011

	Accruing loans 30-59 days past due	Accruing loans 60-89 days past due	Accruing loans 90+ days past due	Total Accruing past due loans	Nonaccrual past due loans	Current loans	Total
	(in thousands)						
Commercial loans and lines	\$ 109	\$—	\$—	\$ 109	\$ 1,338	\$ 9,759	\$ 11,206
Commercial mortgage	—	—	—	—	3,043	34,761	37,804
Multifamily	97	—	—	97	3,670	12,177	15,944
Construction and land	755	—	—	755	3,920	18,200	22,875
Home mortgage	793	909	511	2,213	6,389	28,134	36,736
Home equity loans and lines	243	—	—	243	187	10,411	10,841
Installment	—	—	—	—	—	6	6
Total	\$ 1,997	\$ 909	\$ 511	\$ 3,417	\$ 18,547	\$ 113,448	\$ 135,412

The table below presents the covered loan portfolio by credit quality indicator as of June 30, 2012.

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total
	(in thousands)					
Home mortgage	\$ 9,913	\$ 3,967	\$ 18,872	\$—	\$—	\$ 32,752
Commercial mortgage	22,117	5,033	5,245	—	—	32,395
Construction and land	3,518	3,242	12,565	—	—	19,325
Multifamily	7,822	—	4,830	—	—	12,652
Commercial loans and lines of credit	5,182	995	2,968	—	—	9,145
Home equity loans and lines	6,740	945	768	—	—	8,453
	\$ 55,292	\$ 14,182	\$ 45,248	\$—	\$—	\$ 114,722

The table below presents the covered loan portfolio by credit quality indicator as of December 31, 2011.

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total
	(in thousands)					
Home mortgage	\$ 11,213	\$ 5,953	\$ 19,570	\$—	\$—	\$ 36,736
Commercial mortgage	17,888	10,737	9,179	—	—	37,804
Construction and land	4,447	4,129	14,299	—	—	22,875
Multifamily	9,247	—	6,697	—	—	15,944
Commercial loans and lines of credit	3,076	2,057	5,952	121	—	11,206
Home equity loans and lines	8,513	1,327	1,001	—	—	10,841
Installment	2	—	4	—	—	6

\$54,386	\$24,203	\$ 56,702	\$ 121	\$-	\$135,412
----------	----------	-----------	--------	-----	-----------

NOTE 7 – FORECLOSED PROPERTY

Non-covered foreclosed property at June 30, 2012 consists of an \$11.6 million completed office complex project consisting of 14 buildings in Ventura County, \$2.8 million of unimproved property consisting of 161 acres located in an unincorporated section of western Los Angeles County known as Liberty Canyon and a \$0.7 million industrial building in Costa Mesa, California. The remainder represents one multifamily property and one single-family residence.

The following table presents the activity of our non-covered foreclosed property for the periods indicated.

(dollars in thousands)	Three months ended June 30,				Six months ended June 30,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
	# of Properties	\$ Amount	# of Properties	\$ Amount	# of Properties	\$ Amount	# of Properties	\$ Amount
Beginning balance	5	\$ 18,709	8	\$ 20,855	7	\$ 20,349	8	\$ 26,011
New properties added	-	-	1	229	-	-	1	229
Valuation allowances	-	(1,500)	-	(97)	-	(1,500)	-	(5,208)
Partial sale proceeds received	-	(1,085)	-	-	-	(2,161)	-	-
Sales of properties	-	-	(2)	(958)	(2)	(564)	(2)	(1,003)
Ending balance	5	\$ 16,124	7	\$ 20,029	5	\$ 16,124	7	\$ 20,029

Covered foreclosed property was \$9.5 million at June 30, 2012 and \$14.6 million at December 31, 2011. These are foreclosed properties from the Western Commercial Bank and San Luis Trust Bank covered loan portfolios.

The following table presents the activity of our covered foreclosed property for the periods indicated.

	Three months ended June 30,				Six months ended June 30,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
(dollars in thousands)	# of Properties	\$ Amount	# of Properties	\$ Amount	# of Properties	\$ Amount	# of Properties	\$ Amount
Beginning balance	34	\$ 12,868	21	\$ 11,096	49	\$ 14,616	2	\$ 977
New properties acquired	–	–	–	–	–	–	22	11,052
New properties added	4	2,158	6	1,351	9	6,065	7	2,752
Valuation allowances	–	–	–	(2,177)	–	–	–	(2,177)
Sales of properties	(19)	(5,496)	(6)	(4,634)	(39)	(11,151)	(10)	(6,968)
Ending balance	19	\$ 9,530	21	\$ 5,636	19	\$ 9,530	21	\$ 5,636

NOTE 8 – GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill was \$60.7 million at June 30, 2012 and at December 31, 2011. No impairment loss was recognized for the three-month or six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011.

Core deposit intangibles, net of accumulated amortization, were \$7.7 million at June 30, 2012 and \$8.5 million at December 31, 2011. Amortization expense for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was \$359,000 and for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$434,000. Amortization expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$763,000 and for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$750,000.

Trade name intangible, net of accumulated amortization, was \$1.9 million at June 30, 2012 and \$2.1 million at December 31, 2011. Amortization expense for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$100,000 in each period. Amortization expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$200,000 in each period.

The contracts and customer relationship intangible related to the EPS division, net of accumulated amortization, was \$3.2 million at June 30, 2012 and \$3.3 million at December 31, 2011. Amortization expense for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$90,000. Amortization expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$180,000 and for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$90,000.

NOTE 9 – DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING ACTIVITY

Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

The Company is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk, primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its assets and liabilities and through the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The Company's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company's known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally related to certain variable-rate loan assets and borrowings. The Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes.

Fair Values of Derivative Instruments on the Balance Sheet

The table below presents the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the balance sheets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

27

Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments

(in thousands)	Asset Derivatives				Liability Derivatives			
	As of June 30, 2012		As of December 31, 2011		As of June 30, 2012		As of December 31, 2011	
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments								
Interest rate products	Other Assets	\$ 61	Other Assets	\$ 175	Other Liabilities	\$ -	Other Liabilities	\$ -
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 61		\$ 175		\$ -		\$ -
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments								
Interest rate products	Other Assets	\$ 205	Other Assets	\$ 291	Other Liabilities	\$ -	Other Liabilities	\$ -
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$ 205		\$ 291		\$ -		\$ -

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Company's objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest income and expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the Company primarily uses interest rate caps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. For hedges of the Company's variable-rate borrowings, interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable amounts from a counterparty if interest rates rise above the strike rate on the contract in exchange for an up-front premium. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had three interest rate caps with a notional amount of \$37.1 million that were designated as cash flow hedges associated with the Company's variable-rate borrowings. One of the caps is forward-starting and was not in effect during the three or six months ended June 30, 2012.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in Other Comprehensive Income and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. During 2012 and 2011, such derivatives were used to hedge the forecasted variable cash outflows associated with subordinated debt related to trust preferred securities. No hedge ineffectiveness was recognized during the three or six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Amounts reported in Other Comprehensive Income related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the Company's variable-rate liabilities. During the next twelve months, the Company estimates that an additional \$100,374 will be reclassified as an addition to interest expense.

Non-designated Hedges

Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and are utilized as part of the Company's overall interest rate risk management strategy. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had twelve interest rate caps with an aggregate notional amount of \$240 million and hedge accounting does not apply; therefore, all changes in the fair value of the caps are recognized in earnings each period.

Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Operations

The tables below present the effect of the Company's derivative financial instruments on the statement of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

preferred stock. For the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, common stock equivalents totaling approximately 358,462 and 659,034 shares, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share, as their impact would be anti-dilutive. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, common stock equivalents totaling approximately 378,732 and 695,147 shares, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share, as their impact would be anti-dilutive.

The following table illustrates the computations of basic and diluted EPS for the periods indicated:

(in thousands, except per share data)	Three months ended June 30,				Six months ended June 30,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
	Diluted	Basic	Diluted	Basic	Diluted	Basic	Diluted	Basic
Net income as reported	\$3,185	\$3,185	\$2,417	\$2,417	\$5,770	\$5,770	\$18,005	\$18,005
Less preferred stock dividend declared	(313)	(313)	(313)	(313)	(625)	(625)	(625)	(625)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$2,872	\$2,872	\$2,104	\$2,104	\$5,145	\$5,145	\$17,380	\$17,380
Weighted average common shares outstanding-Basic	29,234	29,234	28,373	28,373	29,235	29,235	28,275	28,275
Restricted stock	–	–	56	–	–	–	46	–
Stock options	27	–	–	–	5	–	–	–
Convertible preferred stock	331	–	316	–	330	–	315	–
Weighted average common shares outstanding-Diluted	29,592	29,234	28,745	28,373	29,570	29,235	28,636	28,275
Net income per common share – basic and diluted	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0.07	\$0.07	\$0.17	\$0.18	\$0.61	\$0.61

NOTE 11 – FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

FASB accounting standards codification related to fair value measurements defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurement. This standard applies to all financial assets and liabilities that are being measured and reported at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis.

As defined in the FASB accounting standards codification, fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The following table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of June 30, 2012 and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical instruments that are highly liquid, observable and actively traded in over-the-counter markets. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable and can be corroborated by market data. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

The Company uses fair value to measure certain assets and liabilities on a recurring basis when fair value is the primary measure for accounting. This is done primarily for available-for-sale securities and derivatives. Fair value is used on a nonrecurring basis to measure certain assets when applying lower of cost or market accounting or when adjusting carrying values, such as for loans held-for-sale, impaired loans, and foreclosed property. Fair value is also used when evaluating impairment on certain assets, including securities, goodwill, core deposit and other intangibles, for valuing assets and liabilities acquired in a business combination and for disclosures of financial instruments as required by the FASB accounting standards codification related to fair value disclosure reporting.

The following tables present information on the assets measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis at June 30, 2012.

	Financial Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis at June 30, 2012, Using			
	Fair value at June 30, 2012	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
	(in thousands)			
U.S. Treasury notes/bills	\$32,083	\$-	\$32,083	\$-
U.S. government agency notes	45,699	-	45,699	-
U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities	186,233	-	186,233	-
U.S. government agency collateralized mortgage obligations	210,926	-	210,926	-
Private label collateralized mortgage obligations	9,836	-	9,836	-
Municipal securities	32,239	-	32,239	-
Other domestic debt securities	5,197	-	5,197	-
Interest rate caps	266	-	266	-
Total assets measured at fair value	\$522,479	\$-	\$522,479	\$-

	Financial Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis at June 30, 2012, Using				Total losses
	Fair value at June 30, 2012	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	
	(in thousands)				
Non-covered impaired loans	\$17,388	\$-	\$-	\$17,388	\$398
Non-covered foreclosed property	16,124	-	-	16,124	1,602
Covered foreclosed property	9,530	-	-	9,530	-
Total assets measured at fair value	\$43,042	\$-	\$-	\$43,042	\$2,000

The following tables present information on the assets measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis at and for the year ended December 31, 2011.

	Financial Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis at December 31, 2011, Using Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)				Other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	
	Fair value at December 31, 2011	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)			
	(in thousands)						
U.S. Treasury notes/bills	\$45,161	\$-	\$45,161	\$-			
U.S. government agency notes	59,446	-	59,446	-			
U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities	133,675	-	133,675	-			
U.S. government agency collateralized mortgage obligations	168,174	-	168,174	-			
Private label collateralized mortgage obligations	13,042	-	13,042	-			
Municipal securities	29,325	-	29,325	-			
Other domestic debt securities	4,912	-	4,912	-			
Interest rate caps	466	-	466	-			
Total assets measured at fair value	\$454,201	\$-	\$454,201	\$-			

	Financial Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis at December 31, 2011, Using Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)				Other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Total losses
	Fair value at December 31, 2011	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)			
	(in thousands)						
Non-covered impaired loans	\$ 8,066	\$-	\$-	\$ 8,066		\$520	
Non-covered foreclosed property	20,349	-	-	20,349		5,770	
Covered foreclosed property	14,616	-	-	14,616		-	
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 43,031	\$-	\$-	\$ 43,031		\$6,290	

There were no significant transfers of assets into or out of Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy during the quarter ended June 30, 2012. There have been no changes in valuation techniques for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 and are consistent with techniques used in prior periods.

The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument above:

Available-for-sale securities-Fair values for securities are based on quoted market prices of identical securities, where available (Level 1). When quoted prices of identical securities are not available, the fair value estimate is based on quoted market prices of similar securities, adjusted for differences between the securities (Level 2). Adjustments may include amounts to reflect differences in underlying collateral, interest rates, estimated prepayment speeds, and counterparty credit quality. In determining the fair value the securities categorized as Level 2, the Company obtains a report from a nationally recognized broker-dealer detailing the fair value of each security in our portfolio as of each reporting date. The broker-dealer uses observable market information to value our securities, with the primary source being a nationally recognized pricing service. The Company reviews the market prices provided by the broker-dealer for our securities for reasonableness based upon our understanding of the marketplace and we consider any credit issues relating to the bonds. As the Company has not made any adjustments to the market quotes provided to us and they are based on observable market data, they have been categorized as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy.

Impaired loans-Impaired loans are measured and recorded at the fair value of the loan's collateral on a nonrecurring basis as the impaired loans shown are collateral dependent. The fair value of each loan's collateral is generally based on estimated market prices from an independently prepared appraisal, which is then adjusted for the cost related to liquidating such collateral; such valuation inputs result in a nonrecurring fair value measurement that is categorized as a Level 3 measurement.

Foreclosed property-Foreclosed property is initially measured at fair value at acquisition and carried at the lower of this new cost basis or fair value on a nonrecurring basis. The foreclosed property shown is collateral dependent and, accordingly, is measured based on the fair value of such collateral. The fair value of collateral is generally based on estimated market prices from an independently prepared appraisal, which is then adjusted for the estimated cost related to liquidating such collateral; such valuation inputs result in a nonrecurring fair value measurement that is categorized as a Level 3 measurement.

The Company is required to disclose estimated fair values for our financial instruments during annual and interim reporting periods. Fair value estimates, methods and assumptions, set forth below for our financial instruments, are made solely to comply with the requirements of the disclosures regarding fair value of financial instruments. The following describes the methods and assumptions used in estimating the fair values of financial instruments, excluding financial instruments already recorded at fair value as described above.

Cash and cash equivalents- The carrying amounts of cash and interest bearing deposits at other banks is assumed to be the fair value given the liquidity and short-term nature of these deposits.

Loans-Loans are not measured at fair value on a recurring basis. Therefore, the following valuation discussion relates to estimating the fair value to be disclosed under fair value disclosure requirements. Loans were divided into four major groups. The loan groups included (1) loans that mature or re-price in three months or less, (2) loans that amortize or mature in more than three months, (3) impaired loans, and (4) loans acquired in the Western Commercial Bank and San Luis Trust Bank acquisitions. We estimated the fair value of the loans that mature or re-price within three months, impaired loans and loans acquired in the Western Commercial Bank and San Luis Trust Bank acquisitions at their carrying value. We used discounted cash flow methodology to estimate the fair value of loans that amortize or mature in more than three months. We developed pools of these loans based on similar characteristics such as underlying type of collateral, fixed or adjustable rate of interest, payment or amortization method, credit risk categories and other factors. We projected monthly principal and interest cash flows based on the contractual terms of the loan, adjusted for assumed prepayments and defaults, and discounted these at a rate that considered funding costs, a market participant's required rate of return and adjusted for servicing costs and a liquidity discount. Loans are not normally purchased and sold by the Company, and there are no active trading markets for much of this portfolio.

FDIC shared-loss asset-The fair value of the FDIC shared-loss asset represents the present value of the amounts we expect to receive from the FDIC under our shared-loss agreements and is based upon estimated cash flows from our covered assets discounted by a rate reflective of the creditworthiness of the FDIC as would be required by market participants.

Bank owned life insurance assets-Fair values of insurance policies owned are based on the insurance contract's cash surrender value.

Interest rate caps-The fair values of interest rate caps are estimated using the market standard methodology of discounting the future expected cash receipts that would occur if variable interest rates rise above the strike rate of the caps. The variable interest rates used in the calculations of projected receipts on the caps are based on an expectation of future interest rates derived from observable market interest rates curves and volatilities. In addition, the Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements

of its derivatives. The credit valuation adjustments are calculated by determining the total expected exposure of the derivatives (which incorporates both the current and potential future exposure) and then applying the counterparties' credit spreads to the exposure. The total expected exposure of a derivative is derived using market-observable inputs, such as yield curves and volatilities. For the counterparties' credit spreads, the credit spreads over LIBOR used in the calculations represent implied credit default swap spreads obtained from a third party credit data provider. In adjusting the estimated fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements. The Company made an accounting policy election to measure the credit risk of its derivative financial instruments that are subject to master netting agreements on a net basis by counterparty portfolio.

Deposits-The fair values disclosed for demand deposits are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (that is, their carrying amounts). The carrying amounts of variable-rate money market accounts and fixed-term certificates of deposit (CDs) approximate their fair values at the reporting date. Fair values for fixed-rate CDs are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits.

Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings-The fair value of the FHLB advances and other borrowings is estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Junior subordinated debentures-The fair value of the debentures is estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis based on current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Off-balance sheet instruments-Off-balance sheet instruments include unfunded commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. The fair value of these instruments is not considered practicable to estimate because of the lack of quoted market prices and the inability to estimate fair value without incurring excessive costs.

The following table estimates fair values and the related carrying amounts of the Company's financial instruments:

As of June 30, 2012	Carrying Amount	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Fair Value
Financial assets:					
Cash, due from banks and interest-bearing deposits with other banks	\$97,266	\$97,266	\$-	\$-	\$97,266
Securities available-for-sale	522,213	-	522,213	-	522,213
FHLB and other stock	10,795	-	-	10,795	10,795
Bank owned life insurance assets	12,883	12,883	-	-	12,883
Non-covered loans, net	1,021,521	-	-	939,107	939,107
Covered loans	114,722	-	-	131,655	131,655
FDIC shared-loss asset	55,469	-	-	55,469	55,469
Interest rate cap	266	-	-	266	266
Financial liabilities:					
Demand deposits, money market and savings	1,229,451	\$1,229,451	\$-	\$-	1,229,451
Time certificates of deposit	340,936	-	343,155	-	343,155
FHLB advances and other borrowings	129,611	-	133,473	-	133,473
Junior subordinated debentures	26,805	-	-	17,912	17,912
FDIC shared-loss liability	3,870	-	-	3,870	3,870

As of December 31, 2011	Carrying Amount	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Fair Value
Financial assets:					
Cash, due from banks and interest-bearing deposits with other banks	\$61,432	\$61,432	\$-	\$ -	\$61,432
Securities available-for-sale	453,735	-	453,735	-	453,735
FHLB and other stock	11,567	-	-	11,567	11,567
Bank owned life insurance assets	12,670	12,670	-	-	12,670
Non-covered loans, net	918,356	-	-	822,081	822,081
Covered loans	135,412	-	-	137,147	137,147
FDIC shared-loss asset	68,083	-	-	68,083	68,083
Interest rate cap	466	-	-	466	466
Financial liabilities:					
Demand deposits, money market and savings	\$1,075,233	\$1,075,233	\$-	\$ -	\$1,075,233
Time certificates of deposit	350,036	-	351,815	-	351,815
FHLB advances and other borrowings	117,719	-	123,375	-	123,375
Junior subordinated debentures	26,805	-	-	14,173	14,173
FDIC shared-loss liability	3,757	-	-	3,757	3,757

These fair value disclosures represent the Company's best estimates based on relevant market information and information about the financial instruments. Fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of the various instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in the above methodologies and assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

NOTE 12 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers, the Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and the issuance of letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the balance sheets. The contract amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Company's exposure to credit loss, in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and letters of credit written, is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. The Company may or may not require collateral or other security to support financial instruments with credit risk, depending on its loan underwriting guidelines.

The following summarizes the Company's outstanding commitments:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(in thousands)	
Financial instruments whose contract amounts contain credit risk:		
Commitments to extend credit	\$168,255	\$159,530
Commercial and standby letters of credit	1,601	1,475
	\$169,856	\$161,005

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Company upon an extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property and equipment, and income-producing properties.

Letters of credit written are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing, and similar transactions. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Company holds cash, marketable securities, or real estate as collateral supporting those commitments for which collateral is deemed necessary.

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company maintained a reserve for unfunded commitments of \$101,000. The reserve is included in accrued interest payable and other liabilities on the balance sheets.

The nature of the Company's business causes it to be involved in ordinary routine legal proceedings from time to time. Although the ultimate outcome and amount of liability, if any, with respect to these legal proceedings to which we are currently a party cannot presently be ascertained with certainty, in the opinion of management, based upon information currently available to us, except as described below, any resulting liability is not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flow.

In February 2011, First California Bank was named as a defendant in a putative class action alleging that the manner in which the Bank posted charges to its consumer demand deposit accounts breached an implied obligation of good faith and fair dealing and violates the California Unfair Competition Law. The action also alleges that the manner in which the Bank posted charges to its consumer demand deposit accounts is unconscionable, constitutes conversion and unjustly enriches the Bank. The action is pending in the Superior Court of Los Angeles County. The action seeks to establish a class consisting of all similarly situated customers of the Bank in the State of California. The case is in early stages, with no responsive pleadings or motions having been filed. No class has been certified in the case. The next status conference is scheduled for August 30, 2012. At this state of the case, the Company has not established an accrual for probable losses as the probability of a material adverse result cannot be determined and the Company cannot reasonably estimate a range of potential exposures, if any. The Company intends to defend the action vigorously.

Three lawsuits, entitled *Wardlaw, et al. v. First California Bank, et al.* (Case No. SC114232) (the "Wardlaw Action"), *Lou Correa for State Senate, et al. v. First California Bank, et al.* (Case No. BC479872) (the "Correa Action"), and *Committee to Re-elect Lorreta Sanchez, et al. v. First California Bank, et al.* (Case No. BC479873) (the "Sanchez Action") were filed in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles on September 23, 2011, February 29, 2012, and February 29, 2012, respectively, by various former clients of political campaign and non-profit organization treasurer Kinde Durkee. Plaintiffs in the three cases claim, among other things, that the Bank aided and abetted a fraud and unlawful conversion by Ms. Durkee and/or her affiliated company of funds held in accounts at the Bank. Based largely on the same alleged conduct, plaintiffs also assert claims for an alleged violation of California Business & Professions Code Section 17200 and for declaratory relief. Plaintiffs seek compensatory and punitive damages, as well as various forms of equitable and declaratory relief.

The Bank moved to dismiss the complaint in the Wardlaw Action on November 30, 2011. On December 6, 2011, the Wardlaw Action was designated as complex and transferred to the Superior Court's complex litigation

division. On April 19, 2012, the Sanchez and Correa Actions were deemed related to the Wardlaw Action and were assigned to the same judge. On May 31, 2012, the court denied the motion to dismiss. On June 21, 2012, the Bank answered the complaint in the Wardlaw Action. On July 5, 2012, the Bank answered the complaints in the Correa and Sanchez Actions. The parties in each of the actions are now engaged in discovery. A trial date has not yet been scheduled. At this state of the cases, the Company has not established an accrual for probable losses as the probability of a material adverse result cannot be determined and the Company cannot reasonably estimate a range of potential exposures, if any. The Company intends to defend the actions vigorously.

On September 23, 2011, the Bank filed a Complaint-in-Interpleader in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles (Case No. BC470182), pursuant to which the Bank interplead the sum of \$2,539,049 as the amounts on deposit in accounts at the Bank that were controlled by Ms. Durkee on behalf of the several hundred named defendants (the "Interpleader Action"). The Bank seeks an order requiring the defendants to interplead and litigate their respective claims, discharging the Bank from any and all liability, and restraining proceedings or actions against the Bank by the defendants. The Bank also seeks its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees. On December 6, 2011, the Interpleader Action was designated as complex and transferred to the Superior Court's complex litigation division. It has been related to the Wardlaw, Sanchez, and Correa Actions. On June 18, 2012, the Bank moved for summary judgment in the Interpleader Action. A hearing on the Bank's motion for summary judgment is currently scheduled for August 30, 2012.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

This discussion contains certain forward-looking statements about us; we intend these statements to fall under the safe harbor for "forward-looking statements" provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Such statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict and are generally beyond our control. We caution readers that a number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in, implied or projected by, such forward-looking statements. Risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

revenues are lower than expected;

credit quality deterioration, which could cause an increase in the provision for loan losses;

competitive pressure among depository institutions increases significantly;

changes in consumer spending, borrowings and savings habits;

our ability to successfully integrate acquired entities or to achieve expected synergies and operating efficiencies within expected time-frames or at all;

a slowdown in construction activity;

technological changes;

the cost of additional capital is more than expected;

a change in the interest rate environment reduces interest margins;

asset/liability repricing risks and liquidity risks;

general economic conditions, particularly those affecting real estate values, either nationally or in the market areas in which we do or anticipate doing business are less favorable than expected;

legislative, accounting or regulatory requirements or changes adversely affecting our business;

the effects of and changes in monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including the interest rate policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve, or the Federal Reserve Board;

recent volatility in the credit or equity markets and its effect on the general economy;

the costs and effects of legal, accounting and regulatory developments;

regulatory approvals for acquisitions cannot be obtained on the terms expected or on the anticipated schedule; and

demand for the products or services of First California and the Bank, as well as their ability to attract and retain qualified people.

If any of these risks or uncertainties materializes, or if any of the assumptions underlying such forward-looking statements proves to be incorrect, our results could differ materially from those expressed in, implied or projected by, such forward-looking statements. For information with respect to factors that could cause actual results to differ from the expectations stated in the forward-looking statements, see “Risk Factors” under Part I, Item 1A in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. We urge investors to consider all of these factors carefully in evaluating the forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. We make these forward-looking statements as of the date of this document and we do not intend, and assume no obligation, to update the forward-looking statements or to update the reasons why actual results could differ from those expressed in, or implied or projected by, the forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements contained in this document and all subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or any other person acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified by these cautionary statements.

Overview

First California Financial Group, Inc., or First California, or the Company, is a bank holding company which serves the comprehensive banking needs of businesses and consumers in Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Luis Obispo and Ventura counties through our wholly-owned subsidiary, First California Bank, or the Bank. The Bank is a state chartered commercial bank that provides traditional business and consumer banking products through 15 full-service branch locations. The Company also has two unconsolidated statutory business trust subsidiaries, First California Capital Trust I and FCB Statutory Trust I, which raised capital through the issuance of trust preferred securities.

In the second quarter of 2012, we closed four branches as a result of an evaluation which measured near-term growth potential in the current economic environment, as well as our ability to continue to service clients' needs at nearby locations. We expect the closing of the branches is expected to result in pre-tax cost savings of approximately \$1.4 million in 2012 and \$2.7 million annually, thereafter. All material amounts related to the branch closures have been accrued and expensed as of June 30, 2012.

At June 30, 2012, we had consolidated total assets of \$2.0 billion, total loans of \$1.1 billion, deposits of \$1.6 billion and shareholders' equity of \$231.2 million. At December 31, 2011, we had consolidated total assets of \$1.8 billion, total loans of \$1.1 billion, deposits of \$1.4 billion and shareholders' equity of \$223.1 million.

For the second quarter of 2012, we had net income of \$3.2 million, compared with net income of \$2.4 million for the second quarter of 2011. The increase in net income for the second quarter of 2012 was due principally to higher net interest revenues from improved net interest margins and higher average interest-earning assets. Our net income for the first six months of 2012 was \$5.8 million, compared with net income of \$18.0 million for the first six months of 2011. The higher net income for the 2011 six-month period was due largely to a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of \$34.7 million on the FDIC-assisted SLTB acquisition.

After a dividend payment on our Series C preferred shares of \$312,500 in the second quarter of 2012 and after a dividend payment on our Series B preferred shares of \$312,500 in the second quarter of 2011, we recorded net income per diluted common share of \$0.10 for the 2012 second quarter compared with \$0.07 for the 2011 second quarter. Our net income for the first six months of 2012, after Series C preferred dividends of \$625,000, was \$0.17 per diluted common share. Our net income for the first six months of 2011, after Series B preferred dividends of \$625,000, was \$0.61 per diluted common share.

Critical accounting policies

We base our discussion and analysis of our consolidated results of operations and financial condition on our unaudited consolidated interim financial statements and our audited consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, income and expense, and the related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of these consolidated financial statements. We believe these estimates and assumptions to be reasonably accurate; however, actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or circumstances. The following are our critical accounting policies and estimates.

Allowance for loan losses

We establish the allowance for loan losses through a provision charged to expense. We charge-off loan losses against the allowance when we believe that the collectability of the loan is unlikely. The allowance is an amount that we believe will be adequate to absorb probable losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible, based on evaluations of the collectability of loans and prior loan loss experience. Our evaluation includes an assessment of the following factors: any external loan review and any regulatory examination, estimated probable loss exposure on each pool of loans, concentrations of credit, value of collateral, the level of delinquent and nonaccrual loans, trends in the portfolio volume, effects of any changes in the lending policies and procedures, changes in lending personnel, present economic conditions at the local, state and national levels, the amount of undisbursed off-balance sheet commitments, and a migration analysis of historical losses and recoveries for the prior twenty-two quarters. We also evaluate individual loans for impairment and if a portion of a loan is impaired, we charge-off the impaired amount or allocate a specific reserve for that loan. Various regulatory agencies, as a regular part of their examination process, periodically review our allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require us to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examinations. The allowance for loan losses was

\$18.3 million at June 30, 2012 and was \$17.7 million at December 31, 2011.

Non-covered foreclosed property

We acquire, through foreclosure or through full or partial satisfaction of a loan, real or personal property. At the time of foreclosure, the Company obtains an appraisal of the property and records the property at its estimated fair value less costs to sell. We charge the allowance for loan losses for the loan amount in excess of the fair value of the foreclosed property received; we credit earnings for the fair value amount of the foreclosed property in excess of the loan due. Subsequent to foreclosure, the Company periodically assesses our disposition efforts and the estimated fair value of the foreclosed property. The Company establishes a valuation allowance through a charge to earnings for estimated declines in fair value subsequent to foreclosure. Operating income and operating expense related to foreclosed property is included in earnings as are any ultimate gains or losses on the sale of the foreclosed property. Our recognition of gain is however dependent on the buyer's initial investment in the purchase of foreclosed property meeting certain criteria. The estimated fair value of non-covered foreclosed property was \$16.1 million at June 30, 2012 and \$20.3 million at December 31, 2011.

Covered foreclosed property

All foreclosed property acquired in FDIC-assisted acquisitions that are subject to a FDIC shared-loss agreement are referred to as “covered foreclosed property” and reported separately in our consolidated balance sheets. We report covered foreclosed property exclusive of expected reimbursement cash flows from the FDIC. We transfer foreclosed covered loan collateral into covered foreclosed property at the collateral’s net realizable value, less estimated selling costs. We initially recorded covered foreclosed property recorded at its estimated fair value on the acquisition date based on similar market comparable valuations less estimated selling costs. We charge any subsequent valuation adjustments due to declines in fair value to non-interest expense, and we recognize a corresponding increase to the FDIC shared-loss asset for the reimbursable loss amount. We credit any recoveries of previous valuation adjustments to non-interest expense, and we recognize a corresponding increase to the FDIC shared-loss asset for the portion of the recovery due to the FDIC. The estimated fair value of covered foreclosed property was \$9.5 million at June 30, 2012 and \$14.6 million at December 31, 2011.

Deferred income taxes

We recognize deferred tax assets subject to our judgment that realization of such assets are more-likely-than-not. A valuation allowance is established when the Company determines that the realization of income tax benefits may not occur in future years. There was no valuation allowance at June 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011. There were net deferred tax liabilities of \$9.1 million at June 30, 2012 and \$7.4 million at December 31, 2011.

FDIC shared-loss asset

We initially recorded the FDIC shared-loss asset at fair value, based on the discounted value of expected future cash flows under the shared-loss agreements. We accrete into noninterest income over the life of the FDIC shared-loss asset the difference between the present value and the undiscounted cash flows the Company expects to collect from the FDIC. Subsequent to initial recognition, we review quarterly the FDIC shared-loss asset and adjust for any changes in expected cash flows based on recent performance and expectations for future performance of the covered portfolio. We measure these adjustments on the same basis as the related covered loans, at a pool level, and covered foreclosed property. Generally, any increases in cash flow of the covered assets over those previously expected will result in prospective increases in the loan pool yield and amortization of the FDIC shared-loss asset. Any decreases in cash flow of the covered assets under those previously expected will trigger impairments on the underlying loan pools and will result in a corresponding gain on the FDIC shared-loss asset. We record increases and decreases to the FDIC shared-loss asset as adjustments to non-interest income. The FDIC shared-loss asset was \$55.5 million at June 30, 2012 and \$68.1 million at December 31, 2011.

FDIC shared-loss liability

Forty-five days following the tenth anniversary of the WCB and SLTB acquisition dates, we will be required to perform a calculation and determine if a payment to the FDIC is necessary. The payment amount will be 50 percent of the excess, if any, of (i) 20 percent of the intrinsic loss estimate minus (ii) the sum of (a) 20 percent of the net loss amount, plus (b) 25 percent of the asset discount bid, plus (c) 3.5 percent of total loss share assets at acquisition. Our estimate for the present value of this liability was \$3.9 million at June 30, 2012 and \$3.8 million at December 31, 2011.

Derivative instruments and hedging

For derivative instruments designated in cash flow hedging relationships, we assess the effectiveness of the instruments in offsetting changes in the overall cash flows of the designated hedged transactions on a quarterly basis. We recognize the unrealized gains or losses of derivative instruments directly in current period earnings to the extent

these instruments are not effective. At June 30, 2012, we had \$37.1 million notional interest rate caps to limit the variable interest rate payments on our \$26.8 million junior subordinated debentures. Our 2012-second quarter effectiveness assessment indicated that these instruments were effective.

At June 30, 2012, the Bank had \$240 million notional interest rate caps that do not meet the criteria for hedge accounting to manage the interest rate risk associated with its fixed rate securities and loans. Derivatives not designated as hedges are marked-to-market each period through earnings. The estimated fair value of these interest rate caps was \$205,000 at June 30, 2012 and \$291,000 at December 31, 2011.

Assessments of impairment

We assess goodwill for impairment on an annual basis as of December 31, or at interim periods if an event occurs or circumstances change which may indicate a change in the implied fair value of the goodwill. We estimate the implied fair value of goodwill by comparing the estimated fair value of the Company to the estimated fair value of the Company's individual assets, liabilities, and identifiable intangible assets. Impairment exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds this implied fair value. No events occurred or circumstances changed since December 31, 2011, which indicated there was a change in the implied fair value of the goodwill.

We also undertake an impairment analysis on our debt and equity securities each quarter. When we do not intend to sell, and it is more likely than not that we are not required to sell, a debt security before recovery of its cost basis, we separate other-than-temporary impairment into (a) the amount representing credit loss and (b) the amount related to other factors. We recognize in earnings the amount of the other-than-temporary impairment related to credit loss. We recognize in other comprehensive income the amount of other-than-temporary impairment related to other factors. Our assessment of other-than-temporary declines in fair value considers the duration the security has been in a continuous unrealized loss position, the severity of the decline in value, the rating of the security, and the long-term financial outlook of the issuer. In addition, we consider the expected future cash flows from the security and our ability and intent to hold the security until the fair value recovers.

We did not recognize an other-than-temporary impairment loss for the three months ended June 30, 2012 or 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized a permanent impairment loss of \$28,000 on a \$1.0 million community development-related equity investment. For the same period in 2011, we recognized an other-than-temporary impairment loss of \$1.1 million on two private-label CMO securities.

Results of operations – for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Net interest income

Our earnings are derived predominantly from net interest income, which is the difference between interest and fees earned on loans, securities and federal funds sold (these asset classes are commonly referred to as interest-earning assets) and the interest paid on deposits, borrowings and debentures (these liability classes are commonly referred to as interest-bearing funds). The net interest margin is net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

Our net interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2012 increased to \$17.2 million from \$15.5 million for the same period last year. For the first six months of 2012, our net interest income increased to \$33.4 million from \$28.3 million for the same period a year ago. The increase in net interest income reflects higher interest-earning assets and an improved net interest margin. Interest income for the 2012 second quarter was \$19.7 million, up \$0.7 million from \$19.0 million for the 2011 second quarter. Interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$38.5 million, up from \$35.5 million for the same period a year ago. The increase was due primarily to higher average balances of loans and securities. Interest expense for the 2012 second quarter was \$2.5 million, down \$1.0 million from \$3.5 million for the 2011 second quarter. Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$5.1 million, down from \$7.3 million for the same period a year ago. The decrease was due to lower rates paid on interest-bearing deposits and borrowings.

Our net interest margin (tax equivalent) for the second quarter of 2012 was 4.12 percent compared with 3.95 percent for the same quarter last year. For the first half of 2012, our net interest margin was 4.13 percent compared with 3.82 percent for the first half of 2011. The increase in our net interest margin was primarily due to the positive effects of lower rates paid on interest-bearing deposits and borrowings. The yield on interest-earning assets for the second quarter of 2012 was 4.70 percent, down 14 basis points from 4.84 percent for the second quarter a year ago. The yield on interest-earning assets for the first six months of 2012 was 4.75 percent, down 4 basis points from 4.79 percent for the same period a year ago. The reduction in our earning-asset yield was primarily due to a reduction in the yield on our securities portfolio from the prior-year periods. The cost of our interest-bearing liabilities was 0.87 percent for the 2012 second quarter, down 31 basis points from 1.18 percent for the second quarter a year ago. The cost of our interest-bearing liabilities was 0.91 percent for the first six months of 2012, down 36 basis points from 1.27 percent for the same period a year ago.

The following table presents the distribution of our average assets, liabilities and shareholders' equity in combination with the total dollar amounts of interest income from average interest earning assets and the resultant yields, and the

dollar amounts of interest expense and average interest bearing liabilities, expressed in both dollars and rates for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Average Balance Sheet and Analysis of Net Interest Income

(dollars in thousands)	Three months ended June 30,							
	Average Balance	2012 Interest Income/Expense	Weighted Average Yield/Rate		Average Balance	2011 Interest Income/Expense	Weighted Average Yield/Rate	
Loans (1)	\$1,127,369	\$17,801	6.35	%	\$1,107,772	\$17,236	6.24	%
Securities	479,010	1,826	1.60	%	314,025	1,680	2.16	%
Federal funds sold and deposits with banks	84,796	67	0.31	%	154,631	111	0.29	%
Total earning assets	1,691,175	\$19,694	4.70	%	1,576,428	\$19,027	4.84	%
Non-earning assets	256,008				279,720			
Total average assets	\$1,947,183				\$1,856,148			
Interest bearing checking	\$116,722	\$61	0.21	%	\$97,699	\$99	0.41	%
Savings and money market	510,143	593	0.47	%	473,867	1,131	0.95	%
Certificates of deposit	345,739	745	0.87	%	460,840	1,086	0.94	%
Total interest bearing deposits	972,604	1,399	0.58	%	1,032,406	2,316	0.90	%
Borrowings	130,922	908	2.79	%	138,965	877	2.53	%
Junior subordinated debentures	26,805	155	2.31	%	26,805	334	4.99	%
Total borrowed funds	157,727	1,063	2.69	%	165,770	1,211	2.92	%
Total interest bearing funds	1,130,331	\$2,462	0.87	%	1,198,176	\$3,527	1.18	%
Noninterest checking	569,248				418,406			
Other liabilities	17,859				23,940			
Shareholders' equity	229,745				215,626			
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$1,947,183				\$1,856,148			
Net interest income		\$17,232				\$15,500		
Net interest margin (tax equivalent) (2)			4.12	%			3.95	%

- (1) Yields and amounts earned on loans include loan fees/discount accretion of \$2.3 million and \$0.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The average loan balance includes loans held-for-sale and nonaccrual loans; however, there is no interest income related to nonaccrual loans in the amount earned on loans. Average nonaccrual loans were \$14.1 million and \$59.3 million for the respective periods.

- (2) Includes tax equivalent adjustments primarily related to tax-exempt income on securities.

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

(dollars in thousands)	Six months ended June 30,							
	Average Balance	2012 Interest Income/Expense	Weighted Average Yield/Rate		Average Balance	2011 Interest Income/Expense	Weighted Average Yield/Rate	
Loans (1)	\$1,112,558	\$34,791	6.29	%	\$1,066,703	\$32,368	6.12	%
Securities	462,354	3,597	1.63	%	302,090	2,991	1.99	%
Federal funds sold and deposits with banks	61,075	103	0.34	%	126,268	180	0.29	%
Total earning assets	1,635,987	\$38,491	4.75	%	1,495,061	\$35,539	4.79	%
Non-earning assets	266,071				264,188			
Total average assets	\$1,902,058				\$1,759,249			
Interest bearing checking	\$111,203	\$115	0.21	%	\$95,266	\$189	0.40	%
Savings and money market	501,831	1,252	0.50	%	449,157	2,132	0.90	%
Certificates of deposit	346,621	1,403	0.81	%	447,640	2,337	0.99	%
Total interest bearing deposits	959,655	2,770	0.58	%	992,063	4,658	0.95	%
Borrowings	131,013	1,852	2.84	%	136,229	1,937	2.71	%
Junior subordinated debentures	26,805	469	3.49	%	26,805	665	4.96	%
Total borrowed funds	157,818	2,321	2.94	%	163,034	2,602	3.20	%
Total interest bearing funds	1,117,473	\$5,091	0.91	%	1,155,097	\$7,260	1.27	%
Noninterest checking	536,857				374,414			
Other liabilities	20,055				21,519			
Shareholders' equity	227,673				208,219			
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$1,902,058				\$1,759,249			
Net interest income		\$33,400				\$28,279		
Net interest margin (tax equivalent) (2)			4.13	%			3.82	%

(1) Yields and amounts earned on loans include loan fees/discount accretion of \$3.9 million and \$0.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The average loan balance includes loans held-for-sale and nonaccrual loans; however, there is no interest income related to nonaccrual loans in the amount earned on loans. Average nonaccrual loans were \$14.2 million and \$48.6 million for the respective periods.

(2) Includes tax equivalent adjustments primarily related to tax-exempt income on securities.

Our net interest income changes with the level and mix of average interest-earning assets and average interest-bearing funds. We call the changes between periods in interest-earning assets and interest-bearing funds balance changes. We measure the effect on our net interest income from balance changes by multiplying the change in the average balance between the current period and the prior period by the prior period average rate.

Our net interest income also changes with the average rate earned or paid on interest-earning assets and interest-bearing funds. We call the changes between periods in average rates earned and paid rate changes. We measure the effect on our net interest income from rate changes by multiplying the change in average rates earned or paid between the current period and the prior period by the prior period average balance.

We allocate the change in our net interest income attributable to both balance and rate on a pro rata basis to the change in average balance and the change in average rate. The following table presents the change in our interest income and interest expense.

(in thousands)	Increase (Decrease) in Net Interest Income/Expense Due to Change in Average Volume and Average Rate (1)		
	Three months ended June 30, 2012 to 2011 due to:		
	Rate	Volume	Total
Interest income			
Interest on loans (2)	\$260	\$305	\$565
Interest on securities	(737)	883	146
Interest on Federal funds sold and deposits with banks	6	(50)	(44)
Total interest income	(471)	1,138	667
Interest expense			
Interest on deposits	783	134	917
Interest on borrowings	(82)	51	(31)
Interest on junior subordinated debentures	179	–	179
Total interest expense	880	185	1,065
Net interest income	\$409	\$1,323	\$1,732
Six months ended June 30, 2012 to 2011 due to:			
(in thousands)	Rate	Volume	Total
Interest income			
Interest on loans (2)	\$1,024	\$1,399	\$2,423
Interest on securities	(981)	1,587	606
Interest on Federal funds sold and deposits with banks	16	(93)	(77)
Total interest income	59	2,893	2,952
Interest expense			
Interest on deposits	1,719	169	1,888
Interest on borrowings	10	75	85
Interest on junior subordinated debentures	196	–	196

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total interest expense	1,925	244	2,169
Net interest income	\$1,984		