

ASPEN INSURANCE HOLDINGS LTD
Form 10-Q
August 05, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934
For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2014
Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934
Commission file number 001-31909

ASPEN INSURANCE HOLDINGS LIMITED
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Bermuda
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

Not Applicable
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

141 Front Street
Hamilton, Bermuda
(Address of principal executive offices)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code
(441) 295-8201

HM 19
(Zip Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Edgar Filing: ASPEN INSURANCE HOLDINGS LTD - Form 10-Q

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

As of July 31, 2014, there were 65,463,377 outstanding ordinary shares, with a par value of 0.15144558¢ per ordinary share, outstanding.

INDEX

	Page
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Notes to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>9</u>
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>42</u>
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>65</u>
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>66</u>
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>67</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>67</u>
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>67</u>
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>67</u>
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>67</u>
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	<u>67</u>
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>69</u>
<u>CERTIFICATIONS</u>	

PART I
FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

ASPEN INSURANCE HOLDINGS LIMITED
UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

As at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013

(\$ in millions, except share and per share amounts)

	As at June 30, 2014	As at December 31, 2013
ASSETS		
Investments:		
Fixed income securities, available for sale at fair value (amortized cost — \$5,354.1 and \$5,449.9)	\$5,526.8	\$5,569.1
Fixed income securities, trading at fair value (amortized cost — \$749.4 and \$712.1)	770.9	716.2
Equity securities, available for sale at fair value (cost — \$102.3 and \$112.2)	142.1	149.5
Equity securities, trading at fair value (cost — \$383.9 and \$281.6)	432.1	310.9
Short-term investments, available for sale at fair value (amortized cost — \$335.7 and \$160.3)	335.7	160.3
Short-term investments, trading at fair value (amortized cost — \$14.0 and \$Nil)	14.0	—
Catastrophe bonds, trading at fair value (cost — \$30.0 and \$5.8)	30.0	5.8
Other investments, equity method	8.7	48.0
Total investments	7,260.3	6,959.8
Cash and cash equivalents (including \$72.0 and \$50.0 within consolidated variable interest entities)	1,345.2	1,293.6
Reinsurance recoverables		
Unpaid losses	360.0	332.7
Ceded unearned premiums	217.9	151.9
Receivables		
Underwriting premiums	1,192.7	999.0
Other	106.4	90.3
Funds withheld	47.8	46.5
Deferred policy acquisition costs	306.4	262.2
Derivatives at fair value	1.6	7.0
Receivable for securities sold	9.1	5.2
Office properties and equipment	65.1	60.1
Deferred taxation	2.8	1.6
Other assets	1.5	2.2
Intangible assets	18.2	18.4
Total assets	\$10,935.0	\$10,230.5

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

ASPEN INSURANCE HOLDINGS LIMITED
 UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 As at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013
 (\$ in millions, except share and per share amounts)

	As at June 30, 2014	As at December 31, 2013
LIABILITIES		
Insurance reserves		
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$4,795.8	\$4,678.9
Unearned premiums	1,568.5	1,280.6
Total insurance reserves	6,364.3	5,959.5
Payables		
Reinsurance premiums	93.5	88.2
Current taxation	28.0	15.7
Accrued expenses and other payables	284.5	265.6
Liabilities under derivative contracts	5.4	2.9
Total payables	411.4	372.4
Loan notes issued by variable interest entities, at fair value	56.0	50.0
Long-term debt	549.1	549.0
Total liabilities	\$7,380.8	\$6,930.9
Commitments and contingent liabilities (see Note 16)	—	—
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Ordinary shares:		
65,462,919 shares of par value 0.15144558¢ each (December 31, 2013 — 65,546,976)	\$0.1	0.1
Preference shares:		
11,000,000 5.95% shares of par value 0.15144558¢ each (December 31, 2013 — 11,000,000)	—	—
5,327,500 7.401% shares of par value 0.15144558¢ each (December 31, 2013 — 5,327,500)	—	—
6,400,000 7.250% shares of par value 0.15144558¢ each (December 31, 2013 — 6,400,000)	—	—
Non-controlling interest	(0.2) (0.3
Additional paid-in capital	1,276.7	1,297.4
Retained earnings	1,990.7	1,783.3
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes	286.9	219.1
Total shareholders' equity	3,554.2	3,299.6
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$10,935.0	\$10,230.5

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

ASPEN INSURANCE HOLDINGS LIMITED

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
AND OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(\$ in millions, except share and per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Revenues				
Net earned premium	\$616.2	\$544.0	\$1,182.7	\$1,054.9
Net investment income	46.1	45.9	95.6	94.2
Realized and unrealized investment gains	34.6	14.4	52.5	30.7
Other income	3.2	0.9	3.8	2.0
Total revenues	700.1	605.2	1,334.6	1,181.8
Expenses				
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	337.1	333.4	625.2	602.1
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	108.9	107.2	220.9	211.8
General, administrative and corporate expenses	108.8	87.7	204.4	174.3
Interest on long-term debt	7.3	7.8	14.7	15.5
Change in fair value of derivatives	4.6	2.9	3.5	7.1
Change in fair value of loan notes issued by variable interest entities	2.6	—	6.0	—
Realized and unrealized investment losses	3.3	21.0	7.6	22.1
Net realized and unrealized foreign exchange (gains)/losses	(10.7) 4.1	(10.8) 9.5
Other expenses	1.2	—	1.9	0.6
Total expenses	563.1	564.1	1,073.4	1,043.0
Income from operations before income tax	137.0	41.1	261.2	138.8
Income tax expense	(6.2) (1.0) (10.0) (6.9
Net income	\$130.8	\$40.1	\$251.2	\$131.9
(Deduct)/Add: (profit)/loss attributable to non-controlling interest	—	—	(0.1) —
Net income attributable to Aspen Insurance Holdings Limited's ordinary shareholders	\$130.8	\$40.1	\$251.1	\$131.9
Other Comprehensive Income:				
Available for sale investments:				
Reclassification adjustment for net realized (gains) on investments included in net income	\$(1.9) \$(5.9) \$(2.3) \$(12.4
Change in net unrealized gains/(losses) on available for sale securities held	35.0	(132.4) 58.0	(143.4
Amortization of loss on derivative contract	—	0.1	—	0.2
Change in foreign currency translation adjustment	22.8	(18.9) 18.0	(24.4
Other comprehensive income/(loss), gross of tax	55.9	(157.1) 73.7	(180.0
Tax thereon:				
Reclassification adjustment for net realized gains on investments included in net income	(0.1) 0.4	0.1	0.4
Change in net unrealized (losses)/gains on available for sale securities held	(3.5) 10.4	(6.0) 11.7
Total tax on other comprehensive income/(loss)	(3.6) 10.8	(5.9) 12.1
Other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax	52.3	(146.3) 67.8	(167.9
	\$183.1	\$(106.2) \$318.9	\$(36.0

Total comprehensive income attributable to Aspen
Insurance Holdings Limited's ordinary shareholders
Per Share Data

Weighted average number of ordinary share and share
equivalents

Basic	65,447,128	66,191,426	65,368,675	67,601,046
Diluted	66,700,368	69,291,324	66,646,319	71,086,906
Basic earnings per ordinary share adjusted for preference share dividends	\$1.85	\$0.38	\$3.55	\$1.60
Diluted earnings per ordinary share adjusted for preference share dividends	\$1.82	\$0.36	\$3.48	\$1.52

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

ASPEN INSURANCE HOLDINGS LIMITED
 UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF
 CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
 (\$ in millions)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013
Ordinary shares		
Beginning and end of the period	\$0.1	\$0.1
Preference shares		
Beginning and end of the period	—	—
Non-controlling interest		
Beginning of the period	(0.3) 0.2
Net change attributable to non-controlling interest for the period	0.1	—
End of the period	(0.2) 0.2
Additional paid-in capital		
Beginning of the period	1,297.4	1,516.7
New ordinary shares issued	1.8	12.8
Ordinary shares repurchased and canceled	(30.9) (240.1
Preference shares issued	—	270.4
PIERS redeemed and canceled	—	(230.0
PIERS redemption ⁽¹⁾	—	7.1
Share-based compensation	8.4	9.8
End of the period	1,276.7	1,346.7
Retained earnings		
Beginning of the period	1,783.3	1,544.0
Net income for the period	251.2	131.9
Dividends on ordinary shares	(24.8) (23.8
Dividends on preference shares	(18.9) (16.6
PIERS redemption ⁽¹⁾	—	(7.1
Net profit attributable to non-controlling interest for the period	(0.1) —
End of the period	1,990.7	1,628.4
Accumulated other comprehensive income:		
Cumulative foreign currency translation adjustments, net of taxes:		
Beginning of the period	88.6	112.7
Change for the period, net of income tax	18.0	(24.4
End of the period	106.6	88.3
Loss on derivatives, net of taxes:		
Beginning of the period	—	(0.5
Reclassification to interest on long-term debt	—	0.2
End of the period	—	(0.3
Unrealized appreciation on investments, net of taxes:		
Beginning of the period	130.5	315.2
Change for the period, net of taxes	49.8	(143.7
End of the period	180.3	171.5
Total accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes	286.9	259.5
Total shareholders' equity	\$3,554.2	\$3,234.9

The \$7.1 million reclassification from additional paid-in capital to retained earnings is the difference between the (1) capital raised upon issuance of the 5.625% Perpetual Preferred Income Equity Replacement Securities (“PIERS”), net of the original issuance costs, and the final redemption of \$230.0 million on May 30, 2013.

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

6

ASPEN INSURANCE HOLDINGS LIMITED
 UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 (\$ in millions)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$251.2	\$131.9
Proportion due to non-controlling interest	(0.1) —
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows from operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	7.9	18.1
Share-based compensation	8.4	9.8
Realized and unrealized investment (gains)	(52.5) (30.7
Realized and unrealized investment losses	7.6	22.1
Change in fair value of loan notes issued by variable interest entities	6.0	—
Net realized and unrealized investment foreign exchange (gains)/losses	21.3	17.1
Loss on derivative contracts	—	0.2
Changes in:		
Insurance reserves:		
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	96.5	10.9
Unearned premiums	286.0	260.4
Reinsurance recoverables:		
Unpaid losses	(24.6) 22.5
Ceded unearned premiums	(64.7) (105.1
Other receivables	(16.1) (7.6
Deferred policy acquisition costs	(44.1) (42.0
Reinsurance premiums payable	(20.6) 73.2
Funds withheld	(1.3) 2.8
Premiums receivable	(196.4) (151.2
Deferred taxes	(0.1) 5.3
Income tax payable	11.7	(2.6
Accrued expenses and other payable	15.0	8.9
Fair value of derivatives and settlement of liabilities under derivatives	7.9	6.6
Long-term debt	0.1	0.1
Intangible assets	0.1	(0.1
Other assets	(2.1) (2.4
Net cash generated by operating activities	\$297.1	\$248.2
See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.		

ASPEN INSURANCE HOLDINGS LIMITED
 UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 (\$ in millions)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013
Cash flows (used in) investing activities:		
(Purchases) of fixed income securities — Available for sale	\$(918.1) \$(1,181.8
(Purchases) of fixed income securities — Trading	(398.9) (272.3
Proceeds from sales and maturities of fixed income securities — Available for sale	1,015.9	985.8
Proceeds from sales and maturities of fixed income securities — Trading	372.2	208.5
(Purchases) of equity securities — Available for sale	—	(2.5
(Purchases) of equity securities — Trading	(118.9) (236.8
Net (purchases) of catastrophe bonds — Trading	(24.3) —
Proceeds from sales of equity securities — Available for sale	15.6	32.1
Proceeds from sales of equity securities — Trading	18.2	10.1
Net (purchases)/sales of short-term investments — Available for sale	(176.0) 188.4
Net (purchases)/sales of short-term investments — Trading	(14.0) (8.2
Net change in receivable for securities sold	19.8	(1.0
Net proceeds of other investments	37.3	—
Purchase/(sale) of equipment	0.6	(6.5
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(170.6) (284.2
Cash flows (used in) financing activities:		
Proceeds from the issuance of ordinary shares, net of issuance costs	1.8	12.8
Proceeds from the issuance of preference shares, net of issuance costs	—	270.4
PIERS repurchased and cancelled	—	(230.0
Ordinary shares repurchased	(30.9) (240.1
Dividends paid on ordinary shares	(24.8) (23.8
Dividends paid on preference shares	(18.9) (16.6
Net cash (used in) financing activities	(72.8) (227.3
Effect of exchange rate movements on cash and cash equivalents	(2.1) (11.4
Increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	51.6	(274.7
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,293.6	1,463.6
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$1,345.2	\$1,188.9
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Net cash paid/(received) during the period for income tax	\$4.0	\$(13.3
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$14.6	\$15.0
See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.		

ASPEN INSURANCE HOLDINGS LIMITED

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. History and Organization

Aspen Insurance Holdings Limited (“Aspen Holdings”) was incorporated on May 23, 2002 and holds subsidiaries that provide insurance and reinsurance on a worldwide basis. Its principal operating subsidiaries are Aspen Insurance UK Limited (“Aspen U.K.”), Aspen Bermuda Limited (“Aspen Bermuda”), Aspen Specialty Insurance Company (“Aspen Specialty”), Aspen American Insurance Company (“AAIC”) and Aspen Underwriting Limited (corporate member of Lloyd’s Syndicate 4711, “AUL”) (collectively, the “Operating Subsidiaries”). We have also established Aspen Capital Management, Ltd and other related entities (“ACM”) which are used to leverage our existing franchise and underwriting expertise to offer investors access to diversified products. In such regard, Silverton Re Ltd. (“Silverton”), a sidecar, was established in 2013 to attract third-party capital and to provide additional collateralized capacity to support Aspen Re’s global reinsurance business. References to the “Company,” “we,” “us” or “our” refer to Aspen Holdings or Aspen Holdings and its subsidiaries.

2. Basis of Preparation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (“U.S. GAAP”) for interim financial information and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2014. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Aspen Holdings and its subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated on consolidation.

The balance sheet as at December 31, 2013 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date, but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2013 contained in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission on February 20, 2014 (File No. 001-31909).

Assumptions and estimates made by management have a significant effect on the amounts reported within the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. The most significant of these relate to losses and loss adjustment expenses, the value of investments, reinsurance recoverables and the fair value of derivatives. All material assumptions and estimates are regularly reviewed and adjustments made as necessary, but actual results could be significantly different from those expected when the assumptions or estimates were made.

New Accounting Policies Adopted in 2014

In June 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2014-12, “Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period” to provide guidance on how to account for those share-based payments where performance targets could be achieved after the requisite service period. ASU 2014-12 can be applied prospectively to all awards granted or modified after the effective date or using a modified retrospective approach. The modified retrospective approach would apply to all awards outstanding on or after the beginning of the first annual period presented as of the adoption date. The effective date would be for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted. None of the Company’s outstanding share-based awards contain performance targets that are achievable after the requisite service period. The Company adopted this ASU in the second quarter of 2014 and applied the guidance to all of its awards that are outstanding as of June 30, 2014. Therefore, this ASU does not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-06, “Technical Corrections and Improvements Related to Glossary Terms” which includes technical corrections related to glossary links, glossary term deletions, and glossary term name changes. In addition, ASU 2014-06 includes more substantive, limited-scope improvements to reduce instances of the same term appearing multiple times in the Master Glossary with similar, but not entirely identical, definitions. The amendments apply to all reporting entities within the scope of the affected accounting guidance, do not have transition guidance and are effective upon issuance for both public entities and nonpublic entities. The Company adopted this ASU in the first quarter of 2014 and it does not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-04, “Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation is Fixed at the Reporting Date” which provides guidance for the recognition, measurement, and disclosure of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this guidance is fixed at the reporting date. Examples of obligations include debt arrangements, other contractual obligations and settled litigation and judicial rulings. ASU 2013-04 is applied retrospectively and is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2013, and interim periods within those years. The Company adopted this ASU in the first quarter of 2014 and this standard does not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-05, “Parent’s Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment Upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets Within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity” to standardize the release of the cumulative translation adjustment into net income when a parent either sells a part or all of its investment in its foreign entities or no longer holds a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary. ASU 2013-05 is applied prospectively and is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2013, and interim periods within those years. The Company adopted this ASU in the first quarter of 2014 and this standard does not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements unless it should choose to sell its investments in its foreign entities or cease to hold a controlling financial interest.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, “Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists” which provides guidance on financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. ASU 2013-11 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2013 or interim periods within those years. The Company adopted this ASU in the first quarter of 2014 and it does not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements as its presentation of unrecognized tax benefits is already as required by ASU 2013-11.

Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

On April 10, 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, “Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360)” which improves the definition of discontinued operations by limiting discontinued operations reporting to disposals of components of an entity that represent strategic shifts that have a major effect on an entity’s operations and financial results. The amendments in this ASU require expanded disclosures for discontinued operations. ASU 2014-08 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2014 and interim periods therein. Early application is permitted, but only for disposals that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued or available for issuance. The Company does not expect this ASU to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements as no disposals were made by the Company for the six months ended June 30, 2014.

On June 12, 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-11, “Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures” which provides guidance on accounting and disclosures for repurchase agreements and similar transactions. ASU 2014-11 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2014 and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Early application for a public business entity is prohibited. The Company does not expect this ASU to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

On June 27, 2013, the FASB issued a proposed ASU, “Insurance Contracts (Topic 834)”. The FASB invited individuals and organizations to comment on the proposed Update and the comment period has now closed. The main objectives of the guidance in this proposed update is to increase the usefulness of the information about an entity’s insurance liabilities, including the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of cash flows related to those liabilities, and the related effect on the statement of comprehensive income, and to provide comparability, regardless of the type of entity issuing the contract. The guidance in this proposed update would require an entity to measure its insurance contracts

under one of two measurement models, referred to as the building block approach and the premium allocation approach.

The FASB met on June 4, 2014 and provided additional clarification on the nature and extent of the proposed standard without setting a specific adoption date. Under the proposed FASB guidance, there would be changes to the earnings pattern of underwriting and net investment margins and changes in the pattern and amount of revenue. In addition, there would likely be increased income statement volatility due to the requirement to update assumptions each period. Income statement volatility would be somewhat mitigated by the requirement that the impact of changes in discount rate assumptions be recorded in other comprehensive income. Adoption will have significant impacts on business strategy, investor education, key performance indicators, underlying processes, systems, internal controls, valuation models, and other fundamental aspects of the business. An entity would apply the guidance in this proposed update retrospectively, restating all comparative periods presented. Early adoption of the guidance in this proposed update would be prohibited.

3. Reclassifications from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The following tables set out the components of the Company's accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI") that are reclassified into the unaudited condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

Details about the AOCI Components	Amount Reclassified from AOCI		Affected Line Item in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations
	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013	
	(\$ in millions)		
Available for sale securities:			
Realized gains on sale of securities	\$3.7	\$5.9	Realized and unrealized investment gains
Realized (losses) on sale of securities	(1.8) —	Realized and unrealized investment losses
	1.9	5.9	Income from operations before tax
Tax on net realized gains of securities	0.1	(0.4) Income tax expense
	\$2.0	\$5.5	Net income
Foreign currency translation adjustments:			
Foreign currency translation adjustments, before tax	\$—	\$(0.3) Net realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains/(losses)
Tax on foreign currency translation adjustments	—	0.1	Income tax expense
	\$—	\$(0.2) Net income
Amortization of derivatives:			
Amortization of long-term debt associated expenses, before tax	\$—	\$(0.1) Interest expense
	\$—	\$(0.1) Net income
Total reclassifications from AOCI to the statement of operations, net of tax	\$2.0	\$5.2	Net income

Details about the AOCI Components	Amount Reclassified from AOCI		Affected Line Item in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations
	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013	
	(\$ in millions)		
Available for sale securities:			
Realized gains on sale of securities	\$6.7	\$12.6	Realized and unrealized investment gains
Realized (losses) on sale of securities	(4.4) (0.2) Realized and unrealized investment losses
Tax on net realized gains of securities	2.3	12.4	Income from operations before tax
	(0.1) (0.4) Income tax expense
	\$2.2	\$12.0	Net income
Foreign currency translation adjustments:			
Foreign currency translation adjustments, before tax	\$—	\$(4.3) Net realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains/(losses)
Tax on foreign currency translation adjustments	—	1.0	Income tax expense
	\$—	\$(3.3) Net income
Amortization of derivatives:			
Amortization of long-term debt associated expenses, before tax	\$—	\$(0.2) Interest expense
	\$—	\$(0.2) Net income
Total reclassifications from AOCI to the statement of operations, net of tax	\$2.2	\$8.5	Net income

4. Earnings per Ordinary Share

Basic earnings per ordinary share are calculated by dividing net income available to holders of Aspen Holdings' ordinary shares by the weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per ordinary share are based on the weighted average number of ordinary shares and dilutive potential ordinary shares outstanding during the period of calculation using the treasury stock method. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(\$ in millions, except share and per share amounts)			
Net income	\$130.8	\$40.1	\$251.2	\$131.9
Preference share dividends	(9.4) (8.0) (18.9) (16.6
Change in redemption value ⁽¹⁾	—	(7.1) —	(7.1
Net profit attributable to non-controlling interest	—	—	(0.1) —
Basic and diluted net income available to ordinary shareholders	121.4	25.0	232.2	108.2
Ordinary shares:				
Basic weighted average ordinary shares	65,447,128	66,191,426	65,368,675	67,601,046
Weighted average effect of dilutive securities ⁽²⁾	1,253,240	3,099,898	1,277,644	3,485,860
Total diluted weighted average ordinary shares	66,700,368	69,291,324	66,646,319	71,086,906
Earnings per ordinary share:				
Basic	\$1.85	\$0.38	\$3.55	\$1.60
Diluted	\$1.82	\$0.36	\$3.48	\$1.52

The \$7.1 million reduction in the net income available to ordinary shareholders is the difference between the

⁽¹⁾ capital raised upon issuance of the PIERS, net of the original issuance costs, and the final redemption of \$230.0 million on May 30, 2013.

Dilutive securities comprise: investor options, employee options, restricted share units and performance shares

⁽²⁾ associated with the Company's long term incentive plan, employee share purchase plans and director restricted stock units as described in Note 14, in addition to the PIERS that were fully redeemed on May 30, 2013.

Dividends. On April 23, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors declared the following quarterly dividends:

	Dividend	Payable on:	Record Date:
Ordinary shares	\$0.20	August 27, 2014	August 11, 2014
7.401% preference shares	\$0.462563	October 1, 2014	September 15, 2014
7.250% preference shares	\$0.4531	October 1, 2014	September 15, 2014
5.95% preference shares	\$0.3719	October 1, 2014	September 15, 2014

5. Segment Reporting

The Company has two reporting business segments: Insurance and Reinsurance. In arriving at these reporting segments, the Company has considered similarities in economic characteristics, products, customers, distribution, the regulatory environment of the Company's operating segments and quantitative thresholds to determine the Company's reportable segments. Segment profit or loss for each of the Company's operating segments is measured by underwriting profit or loss. Underwriting profit is the excess of net earned premiums over the sum of losses and loss expenses, amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and general and administrative expenses. Underwriting profit or loss provides a basis for management to evaluate the segment's underwriting performance.

Reinsurance Segment. The reinsurance segment consists of property catastrophe reinsurance, other property reinsurance (risk excess, pro rata, facultative and engineering), casualty reinsurance (U.S. treaty, international treaty and global facultative) and specialty reinsurance (credit and surety, agriculture and other specialty). Our recently established ACM forms part of our property catastrophe reinsurance line of business, as it currently focuses entirely

on property catastrophe business through the use of alternative capital. For a more detailed description of this segment, see Part I, Item 1, “Business — Business Segments

— Reinsurance” in the Company’s 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

Insurance Segment. The insurance segment consists of property and casualty insurance, marine, aviation and energy insurance and financial and professional lines insurance. As previously stated, we announced on November 8, 2013 that our property and casualty insurance lines of business would be integrated into a combined property and casualty line. This includes the programs business, previously reported separately. For a more detailed description of this segment, see Part I, Item 1 “Business — Business Segments — Insurance” in the Company’s 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

Non-underwriting Disclosures. The Company has provided additional disclosures for corporate and other (non-underwriting) income and expenses. Corporate and other income and expenses include net investment income, net realized and unrealized investment gains or losses, expenses associated with managing the group, certain strategic and non-recurring costs, changes in fair value of derivatives and changes in fair value of the loan notes issued by variable interest entities, interest expenses, net realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains or losses and income taxes, which are not allocated to the underwriting segments. Corporate expenses are not allocated to the Company’s operating segments as they typically do not fluctuate with the levels of premiums written and are not directly related to the Company’s segment operations. The Company does not allocate its assets by segment as it evaluates underwriting results of each segment separately from the results of the Company’s investment portfolio.

The following tables provide a summary of gross and net written and earned premiums, underwriting results, ratios and reserves for each of the Company's business segments for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014			
	Reinsurance	Insurance	Total	
	(\$ in millions)			
Underwriting Revenues				
Gross written premiums	\$298.4	\$480.9	\$779.3	
Net written premiums	286.9	399.5	686.4	
Gross earned premiums	289.7	404.5	694.2	
Net earned premiums	278.8	337.4	616.2	
Underwriting Expenses				
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	125.0	212.1	337.1	
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	49.8	59.1	108.9	
General and administrative expenses	35.8	51.1	86.9	
Underwriting income	\$68.2	\$15.1	83.3	
Corporate expenses			(21.9)	
Net investment income			46.1	
Realized and unrealized investment gains			34.6	
Realized and unrealized investment losses			(3.3)	
Change in fair value of loan notes issued by variable interest entities			(2.6)	
Change in fair value of derivatives			(4.6)	
Interest expense on long term debt			(7.3)	
Net realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains			10.7	
Other income			3.2	
Other expenses			(1.2)	
Income before tax			\$137.0	
Net reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses	\$2,584.8	\$1,851.0	\$4,435.8	
Ratios				
Loss ratio	44.8	% 62.9	% 54.7	%
Policy acquisition expense ratio	17.9	17.5	17.7	
General and administrative expense ratio	12.8	15.1	17.7	(1)
Expense ratio	30.7	32.6	35.4	
Combined ratio	75.5	% 95.5	% 90.1	%

(1) The general and administrative expense ratio in the total column includes corporate expenses.

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013			
	Reinsurance	Insurance	Total	
	(\$ in millions)			
Underwriting Revenues				
Gross written premiums	\$298.6	\$388.7	\$687.3	
Net written premiums	288.6	324.1	612.7	
Gross earned premiums	288.4	331.3	619.7	
Net earned premiums	275.8	268.2	544.0	
Underwriting Expenses				
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	158.4	175.0	333.4	
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	56.6	50.6	107.2	
General and administrative expenses	30.4	42.1	72.5	
Underwriting income	\$30.4	\$0.5	30.9	
Corporate expenses			(15.2))
Net investment income			45.9	
Realized and unrealized investment gains			14.4	
Realized and unrealized investment losses			(21.0))
Change in fair value of derivatives			(2.9))
Interest expense on long term debt			(7.8))
Net realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains			(4.1))
Other income			0.9	
Other expenses			—	
Income before tax			\$41.1	
Net reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses	\$2,715.9	\$1,546.7	\$4,262.6	
Ratios				
Loss ratio	57.4	% 65.2	% 61.3	%
Policy acquisition expense ratio	20.5	18.9	19.7	
General and administrative expense ratio	11.0	15.7	16.1	(1)
Expense ratio	31.5	34.6	35.8	
Combined ratio	88.9	% 99.8	% 97.1	%

(1) The general and administrative expense ratio in the total column includes corporate expenses.

The following tables provide a summary of gross and net written and earned premiums, underwriting results, ratios and reserves for each of the Company's business segments for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

Six Months Ended June 30, 2014

Reinsurance Insurance Total
(\$ in millions)

Underwriting Revenues				
Gross written premiums	\$770.6	\$864.2	\$1,634.8	
Net written premiums	729.5	654.4	1,383.9	
Gross earned premiums	568.2	778.1	1,346.3	
Net earned premiums	545.5	637.2	1,182.7	
Underwriting Expenses				
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	235.4	389.8	625.2	
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	100.2	120.7	220.9	
General and administrative expenses	68.6	97.0	165.6	
Underwriting income	\$141.3	\$29.7	171.0	
Corporate expenses			(38.8))
Net investment income			95.6	
Realized and unrealized investment gains			52.5	
Realized and unrealized investment losses			(7.6))
Change in fair value of loan notes issued by variable interest entities			(6.0))
Change in fair value of derivatives			(3.5))
Interest expense on long term debt			(14.7))
Net realized and unrealized foreign exchange (losses)			10.8	
Other income			3.8	
Other expenses			(1.9))
Income before tax			\$261.2	
Net reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses	\$2,584.8	\$1,851.0	\$4,435.8	
Ratios				
Loss ratio	43.2	% 61.2	% 52.9	%
Policy acquisition expense ratio	18.4	18.9	18.7	
General and administrative expense ratio	12.6	15.2	17.3	(1)
Expense ratio	31.0	34.1	36.0	
Combined ratio	74.2	% 95.3	% 88.9	%

(1) The general and administrative expense ratio in the total column includes corporate expenses.

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013			
	Reinsurance	Insurance	Total	
	(\$ in millions)			
Underwriting Revenues				
Gross written premiums	\$738.2	\$722.5	\$1,460.7	
Net written premiums	689.1	520.6	1,209.7	
Gross earned premiums	560.3	644.2	1,204.5	
Net earned premiums	532.5	522.4	1,054.9	
Underwriting Expenses				
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	272.7	329.4	602.1	
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	111.9	99.9	211.8	
General and administrative expenses	62.6	84.5	147.1	
Underwriting income	\$85.3	\$8.6	93.9	
Corporate expenses			(27.2))
Net investment income			94.2	
Realized and unrealized investment gains			30.7	
Realized and unrealized investment losses			(22.1))
Change in fair value of derivatives			(7.1))
Interest expense on long term debt			(15.5))
Net realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains			(9.5))
Other income			2.0	
Other expenses			(0.6))
Income before tax			\$138.8	
Net reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses	\$2,715.9	\$1,546.7	\$4,262.6	
Ratios				
Loss ratio	51.2	% 63.1	% 57.1	%
Policy acquisition expense ratio	21.0	19.1	20.1	
General and administrative expense ratio	11.8	16.2	16.5	(1)
Expense ratio	32.8	35.3	36.6	
Combined ratio	84.0	% 98.4	% 93.7	%

(1) The general and administrative expense ratio in the total column includes corporate expenses.

6. Investments
Income Statement

Investment Income. The following table summarizes investment income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013
	(\$ in millions)		(\$ in millions)	
Fixed income securities — Available for sale	\$37.3	\$38.9	\$75.8	\$79.0
Fixed income securities — Trading	6.6	3.9	13.2	8.1
Short-term investments — Available for sale	0.3	0.5	0.6	1.6
Fixed term deposits (included in cash and cash equivalents)	1.1	1.0	1.9	2.7
Equity securities — Available for sale	1.0	1.8	2.5	3.5
Equity securities — Trading	3.1	2.1	7.0	3.7
Catastrophe bonds — Trading	0.2	—	0.4	—
Total	\$49.6	\$48.2	\$101.4	\$98.6
Investment expenses	(3.5) (2.3) (5.8) (4.4
Net investment income	\$46.1	\$45.9	\$95.6	\$94.2

The following table summarizes the net realized and unrealized investment gains and losses recorded in the statement of operations and the change in unrealized gains and losses on investments recorded in other comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013
	(\$ in millions)		(\$ in millions)	
Available for sale:				
Fixed income securities — gross realized gains	\$2.0	\$2.2	\$6.4	\$8.8
Fixed income securities — gross realized (losses)	(1.4) (0.7) (4.5) (1.2
Equity securities — gross realized gains	4.2	6.9	5.5	7.5
Trading:				
Fixed income securities — gross realized gains	2.8	3.3	4.7	5.8
Fixed income securities — gross realized (losses)	(1.0) (0.3) (2.2) (0.6
Equity securities — gross realized gains	0.7	0.5	1.7	0.5
Equity securities — gross realized (losses)	(0.2) (0.1) (0.2) (0.4
Catastrophe bonds — trading	(0.1) —	—	—
Net change in gross unrealized gains (losses)	25.0	(18.4) 34.2	(11.8
Impairments:				
Total other-than-temporary impairments	(0.7) \$—	(0.7) \$—
Total net realized and unrealized investment gains recorded in the statement of operations	\$31.3	\$(6.6) \$44.9	\$8.6
Change in available for sale net unrealized gains (losses):				
Fixed income securities	31.4	(129.8) 53.5	(165.4
Equity securities	1.7	(8.5) 2.2	9.6
Total change in pre-tax available for sale unrealized gains(losses)	33.1	(138.3) 55.7	(155.8
Change in taxes	(3.6) 10.8	(5.9) 12.1
Total change in net unrealized gains (losses), net of taxes recorded in other comprehensive income	\$29.5	\$(127.5) \$49.8	\$(143.7

Other-than-temporary Impairments. A security is potentially impaired when its fair value is below its amortized cost. The Company reviews its available for sale fixed income and equity portfolios on an individual security basis for potential other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) each quarter based on criteria including issuer-specific circumstances, credit ratings actions and general macro-economic conditions. For a more detailed description of OTTI, please refer to Note 2(c) of the “Notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements” in the Company’s 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. The OTTI charge recognized for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$0.7 million and \$0.7 million, respectively (2013 — \$Nil and \$Nil).

Balance Sheet

Fixed Income Securities, Short-Term Investments and Equities — Available For Sale. The following tables present the cost or amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and estimated fair market value of available for sale investments in fixed income securities, short-term investments and equity securities as at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	As at June 30, 2014			
	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Market Value
	(\$ in millions)			
U.S. government	\$972.1	\$23.0	\$(1.1)) \$994.0
U.S. agency	195.5	9.6	(0.1)) 205.0
Municipal	25.1	1.8	—) 26.9
Corporate	2,158.4	92.3	(5.8)) 2,244.9
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	82.9	1.1	(0.1)) 83.9
Foreign government	757.7	11.7	(2.9)) 766.5
Asset-backed	137.6	2.7	—) 140.3
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed	50.2	4.7	—) 54.9
Agency mortgage-backed	974.6	39.9	(4.1)) 1,010.4
Total fixed income securities — Available for sale	5,354.1	186.8	(14.1)) 5,526.8
Total short-term investments — Available for sale	335.7	—	—) 335.7
Total equity securities — Available for sale	102.3	40.0	(0.2)) 142.1
Total	\$5,792.1	\$226.8	\$(14.3)) \$6,004.6

	As at December 31, 2013			
	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Market Value
	(\$ in millions)			
U.S. government	\$1,004.7	\$21.2	\$(5.5)) \$1,020.4
U.S. agency	258.5	11.4	(0.8)) 269.1
Municipal	32.3	0.9	(0.4)) 32.8
Corporate	2,005.6	82.5	(18.7)) 2,069.4
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	83.4	1.4	(0.2)) 84.6
Foreign government	772.0	11.2	(4.3)) 778.9
Asset-backed	119.8	2.8	(0.3)) 122.3
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed	56.9	5.7	—) 62.6
Agency mortgage-backed	1,116.7	30.6	(18.3)) 1,129.0
Total fixed income securities — Available for sale	5,449.9	167.7	(48.5)) 5,569.1
Total short-term investments — Available for sale	160.3	—	—) 160.3
Total equity securities — Available for sale	112.2	37.8	(0.5)) 149.5
Total	\$5,722.4	\$205.5	\$(49.0)) \$5,878.9

Fixed Income Securities, Short-Term Investments, Equities and Catastrophe Bonds — Trading. The following tables present the cost or amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and estimated fair market value of trading investments in fixed income securities, short-term investments, equity securities and catastrophe bonds as at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	As at June 30, 2014			
	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Market Value
	(\$ in millions)			
U.S. government	\$12.7	\$0.2	\$—) \$12.9
U.S. agency	0.2	—	—) 0.2
Municipal	1.2	—	—) 1.2
Corporate	509.0	16.4	(0.9)) 524.5
Foreign government	133.6	5.8	(0.1)) 139.3
Asset-backed	11.3	0.1	—) 11.4
Bank loans	81.4	0.2	(0.2)) 81.4
Total fixed income securities — Trading	749.4	22.7	(1.2)) 770.9
Total short-term investments — Trading	14.0	—	—) 14.0
Total equity securities — Trading	383.9	53.8	(5.6)) 432.1
Total catastrophe bonds — Trading	30.0	—	—) 30.0
Total	\$1,177.3	\$76.5	\$(6.8)) \$1,247.0

	As at December 31, 2013			
	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Market Value
	(\$ in millions)			
U.S. government	\$22.7	\$—	\$(0.7) \$22.0
U.S. agency	0.2	—	—	0.2
Municipal	1.1	—	—	1.1
Corporate	469.8	10.3	(5.3) 474.8
Foreign government	136.5	1.2	(1.5) 136.2
Asset-backed	12.7	0.1	—	12.8
Bank loans	69.1	0.3	(0.3) 69.1
Total fixed income securities — Trading	712.1	11.9	(7.8) 716.2
Total equity securities — Trading	281.6	34.0	(4.7) 310.9
Total catastrophe bonds — Trading	5.8	—	—	5.8
Total	\$999.5	\$45.9	\$(12.5) \$1,032.9

The Company classifies these financial instruments as held for trading as this most closely reflects the facts and circumstances of the investments held. Unrealized foreign exchange gains and losses included in the tables above are included within net realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains/(losses) within the statement of operations and other comprehensive income.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company had invested \$81.4 million in a U.S. Dollar bank loans trading portfolio and increased its investment in equities by \$80.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2014 in a minimum volatility equity portfolio. In August 2013, the Company invested in a \$200.0 million BBB rated Emerging Market Debt portfolio (“EMD”), which is reported above in corporate and foreign government securities.

Catastrophe Bonds. The Company has invested in catastrophe bonds with a total value of \$30.0 million as of June 30, 2014. The bonds receive quarterly interest payments based on variable interest rates with scheduled maturities ranging from 2016 to 2020. The redemption value of the bonds will adjust based on the occurrence of a covered event, such as windstorms and earthquakes which occur in the geographic region of the United States, Canada, the North Atlantic, Japan and Australia.

Other Investments. The Company previously had an equity accounted investment in Cartesian Iris Offshore Fund L.P (“Cartesian”), which provided capital to Iris Re, a Class 3 Bermuda reinsurer. On June 29, 2013, the Company notified Cartesian Capital Group of its intention to withdraw the Company’s investment in Cartesian and to terminate the services provided to Iris Re. This took effect on January 1, 2014. For more information regarding the Company’s investment in Cartesian, refer to Note 6 of the “Notes to Audited Consolidated Financial Statements” in the Company’s 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

On October 2, 2012, the Company established a subsidiary, Aspen Recoveries Limited, to take ownership of a 58.5% shareholding in Chaspark Maritime Holdings Ltd., a Singaporean registered company (“Chaspark”), with the remaining shareholding owned by other insurers. The investment is accounted under the equity method. For more information regarding the Company’s investment in Chaspark, refer to Note 7, Variable Interest Entities.

The tables below show the Company’s investments in Cartesian and Chaspark for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 :

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014		
	Cartesian	Chaspark	Total
	(\$ in millions)		
Opening undistributed value of investment as at March 31, 2014	\$—	\$8.7	\$8.7
Distribution for the three months to June 30, 2014	—	—	—
Closing value of investment as at June 30, 2014	\$—	\$8.7	\$8.7

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014		
	Cartesian	Chaspark	Total
	(\$ in millions)		
Opening undistributed value of investment as at January 1, 2014	\$39.3	\$8.7	\$48.0
Distribution for the six months to June 30, 2014	(39.3) —	(39.3
Closing value of investment as at June 30, 2014	\$—	\$8.7	\$8.7

Fixed Income Securities. The scheduled maturity distribution of available for sale fixed income securities as at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 is set forth below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers of securities may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	As at June 30, 2014		
	Amortized Cost or Cost	Fair Market Value	Average S&P Ratings by Maturity
	(\$ in millions)		
Due one year or less	\$609.0	\$613.6	AA
Due after one year through five years	2,539.7	2,620.0	AA-
Due after five years through ten years	940.6	974.6	AA-
Due after ten years	102.4	113.0	A+
Subtotal	4,191.7	4,321.2	
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed	50.2	54.9	AA+
Agency mortgage-backed	974.6	1,010.4	AA+
Asset-backed	137.6	140.3	AA+
Total fixed income securities — Available for sale	\$5,354.1	\$5,526.8	

	As at December 31, 2013		
	Amortized Cost or Cost	Fair Market Value	Average S&P Ratings by Maturity
	(\$ in millions)		
Due one year or less	\$694.8	\$700.0	AA
Due after one year through five years	2,376.1	2,438.0	AA-
Due after five years through ten years	1,003.9	1,032.8	A+
Due after ten years	81.7	84.4	AA-
Subtotal	4,156.5	4,255.2	
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed	56.9	62.6	AA+
Agency mortgage-backed	1,116.7	1,129.0	AA+
Asset-backed	119.8	122.3	AAA
Total fixed income securities — Available for sale	\$5,449.9	\$5,569.1	

Guaranteed Investments. As at June 30, 2014, the Company held \$2.3 million (December 31, 2013 — \$1.5 million) in investments which are guaranteed by mono-line insurers, excluding those with explicit government guarantees, and the Company's holding was limited to two municipal securities, both rated Caa2 or higher (December 31, 2013 — two municipal securities, both rated BBB- or higher). The standalone rating (rating without guarantee) is determined as the senior unsecured debt rating of the issuer. Where the credit ratings were split between the two main rating agencies, Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC ("S&P") and Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's"), the lowest rating was used. The Company's exposure to other third-party guaranteed debt is primarily to investments backed by non-U.S. government guaranteed issuers.

Edgar Filing: ASPEN INSURANCE HOLDINGS LTD - Form 10-Q

Gross Unrealized Loss. The following tables summarize as at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 by type of security, the aggregate fair value and gross unrealized loss by length of time the security has been in an unrealized loss position for the Company's available for sale portfolio:

	June 30, 2014						
	0-12 months		Over 12 months		Total	Gross Unrealized Loss	Number of Securities
	Fair Market Value	Gross Unrealized Loss	Fair Market Value	Gross Unrealized Loss	Fair Market Value		
	(\$ in millions)						
U.S. government	\$43.7	\$(0.2)	\$80.3	\$(0.9)	\$124.0	\$(1.1)	32
U.S. agency	6.8	—	26.2	(0.1)	33.0	(0.1)	6
Corporate	193.9	(1.0)	246.6	(4.8)	440.5	(5.8)	238
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	11.6	(0.1)	5.0	—	16.6	(0.1)	5
Foreign government	153.9	(0.7)	113.1	(2.2)	267.0	(2.9)	35
Asset-backed	12.2	—	11.0	—	23.2	—	23
Agency mortgage-backed	25.8	(0.4)	146.2	(3.7)	172.0	(4.1)	61
Total fixed income securities — Available for sale	447.9	(2.4)	628.4	(11.7)	1,076.3	(14.1)	400
Total short-term investments — Available for sale	7.3	—	—	—	7.3	—	6
Total equity securities — Available for sale	3.7	(0.2)	—	—	3.7	(0.2)	3
Total	\$458.9	\$(2.6)	\$628.4	\$(11.7)	\$1,087.3	\$(14.3)	409

	December 31, 2013						
	0-12 months		Over 12 months		Total	Gross Unrealized Loss	Number of Securities
	Fair Market Value	Gross Unrealized Loss	Fair Market Value	Gross Unrealized Loss	Fair Market Value		
	(\$ in millions)						
U.S. government	\$293.9	\$(5.5)	\$—	\$—	\$293.9	\$(5.5)	51
U.S. agency	72.1	(0.8)	—	—	72.1	(0.8)	18
Municipal	5.5	(0.2)	1.3	(0.2)	6.8	(0.4)	7
Corporate	695.4	(16.8)	23.4	(1.9)	718.8	(18.7)	372
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	21.8	(0.2)	4.9	—	26.7	(0.2)	8
Foreign government	239.7	(4.1)	8.5	(0.2)	248.2	(4.3)	44
Asset-backed	50.2	(0.3)	—	—	50.2	(0.3)	51
Agency mortgage-backed	491.8	(18.3)	1.2	—	493.0	(18.3)	123
Total fixed income securities — Available for sale	1,870.4	(46.2)	39.3	(2.3)	1,909.7	(48.5)	674
Total short-term investments — Available for sale	7.7	—	—	—	7.7	—	6
Total equity securities — Available for sale	6.0	(0.4)	2.3	(0.1)	8.3	(0.5)	7
Total	\$1,884.1	\$(46.6)	\$41.6	\$(2.4)	\$1,925.7	\$(49.0)	687

Investment Purchases and Sales. The following table summarizes investment purchases, sales and maturities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013
	(\$ in millions)		(\$ in millions)	
(Purchases) of fixed income securities — Available for sale	\$ (380.9)) \$ (537.3)) \$ (918.1)) \$ (1,181.8)
(Purchases) of fixed income securities — Trading	(206.8)) (157.3)) (398.9)) (272.3)
(Purchases) of equity securities — Available for sale	—) (0.1)) —) (2.5)
(Purchases) of equity securities — Trading	(67.1)) (32.2)) (118.9)) (236.8)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of fixed income securities — Available for sale	417.1	346.7	1,015.9	985.8
Proceeds from sales and maturities of fixed income securities — Trading	190.9	107.3	372.2	208.5
Proceeds from sales of equity securities — Available for sale	11.6	23.8	15.6	32.1
Proceeds from sales of equity securities — Trading	12.9	5.6	18.2	10.1
Net change in receivable/(payable)/ for securities sold/(purchased)	6.2	(12.9)) 19.8	(1.0)
Net (purchases)/sales of short-term investments — Available for sale	(114.1)) 117.1	(176.0)) 188.4
Net (purchases)/sales of short-term investments — Trading	(14.0)) (9.8)) (14.0)) (8.2)
Net (purchases) of catastrophe bonds — Trading	(12.2)) —	(24.3)) —
Net sales of other investments	—	—	37.3	—
Net (purchases)/sales for the period	\$ (156.4)) \$ (149.1)) \$ (171.2)) \$ (277.7)

7. Variable Interest Entities

As at June 30, 2014, the Company had two investments in two variable interest entities (“VIE”), Chaspark and Silverton.

Chaspark. On October 2, 2012, the Company established a subsidiary, Aspen Recoveries Limited, to take ownership of a 58.5% shareholding in Chaspark, with the remaining shareholding owned by other insurers. The shareholding in Chaspark was received as a settlement for subrogation rights associated with a contract frustration claim settlement. The Company has determined that Chaspark has the characteristics of a VIE as addressed by the guidance in ASC 810, Consolidation. As discussed further in Note 6 of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, the investment in Chaspark is accounted for under the equity method. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, there was no change in the value of the Company’s investment in Chaspark (June 30, 2013 — \$Nil and \$Nil). The adjusted carrying value approximates fair value.

Silverton. On September 10, 2013, the Company established Silverton, a Bermuda domiciled special purpose insurer, formed to provide additional collateralized capacity to support Aspen Re’s reinsurance business. In respect of the debt issued by Silverton to investors, Silverton has entered into a retrocession agreement with Aspen Bermuda effective January 1, 2014. Under this agreement, Silverton receives a quota share of Aspen Bermuda’s catastrophe business. Silverton is a non-rated insurer and the risks have been fully collateralized by way of funds held in trust for the benefit of Aspen Bermuda. Silverton is able to provide investors with access to diversified natural catastrophe risk backed by the distribution, underwriting, analysis and research expertise of Aspen Re.

The Company has determined that Silverton has the characteristics of a VIE that are addressed by the guidance in ASC 810, Consolidation. The Company concluded that it is the primary beneficiary and has consolidated the subsidiary upon its formation as it owns 100% of the voting shares, 100% of the issued share capital and has a significant financial interest and the power to control Silverton. The Company has no other obligation to provide financial support to Silverton. Neither the creditors nor beneficial interest holders of Silverton have recourse to the

Company's general credit.

In the event of either an extreme catastrophic property reinsurance event or severe credit related event there is a risk that Aspen Bermuda would be unable to recover losses from Silverton. These two risks are mitigated as follows:

- i. Silverton has collateralized the aggregate limit provided to Aspen Bermuda by way of a trust in favor of Aspen Bermuda as the beneficiary;

26

- ii. the trustee is a large, well-established regulated entity; and
- iii. all funds within the trust account are bound by investment guidelines restricting investments to one of the institutional class money market funds run by large international investment managers.

Silverton issued \$65.0 million of loan notes on December 27, 2013 (of which \$50.0 million was issued to third parties and \$15.0 million to Aspen Holdings), which provides quota share support for Aspen Re's global property catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance business. The operations of Silverton commenced on January 1, 2014. The Company's maximum loss exposure to Silverton is its \$15.0 million loan note holdings as at June 30, 2014 (December 31, 2013 — \$15.0 million) due to mature on September 16, 2016. The fair value of the loan notes held by the Company has increased \$0.8 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. The total aggregate unpaid balance of the loan notes is \$72.8 million.

The tables below show the Company's liabilities associated with the third-party investments in Silverton for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 (\$ in millions)
Beginning balance as at March 31, 2014	\$(53.4)
Total change in fair value included in the statement of operations	\$(2.6)
Balance as at June 30, 2014	\$(56.0)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 (\$ in millions)
Beginning balance as at January 1, 2014	\$(50.0)
Total change in fair value included in the statement of operations	\$(6.0)
Balance as at June 30, 2014	\$(56.0)

Cartesian. The Company previously had an equity accounted investment in Cartesian, which provided capital to Iris Re, a Class 3 Bermuda reinsurer. On June 29, 2013, the Company notified Cartesian Capital Group of its intention to withdraw the Company's investment in Cartesian and to terminate the services provided to Iris Re. This took effect on January 1, 2014. For more information regarding the Company's investments in Cartesian and Chaspart, refer to Note 6 of the "Notes to Audited Consolidated Financial Statements" in the Company's 2013 Annual Report filed on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

8. Fair Value Measurements

The Company's estimates of fair value for financial assets and liabilities are based on the framework established in the fair value accounting guidance included in ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. The framework prioritizes the inputs, which refer broadly to assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, into three levels.

The Company considers prices for actively traded securities to be derived based on quoted prices in an active market for identical assets, which are Level 1 inputs in the fair value hierarchy. The majority of these securities are valued using prices supplied by index providers.

The Company considers prices for other securities that may not be as actively traded which are priced via pricing services, index providers, vendors and broker-dealers, or with reference to interest rates and yield curves, to be derived based on inputs that are observable for the asset, either directly or indirectly, which are Level 2 inputs in the fair value hierarchy. The majority of these securities are also valued using prices supplied by index providers.

The Company considers securities, other financial instruments and derivative insurance contracts subject to fair value measurement whose valuation is derived by internal valuation models to be based largely on unobservable inputs, which are Level 3 inputs in the fair value hierarchy.

The following tables present the level within the fair value hierarchy at which the Company's financial assets and liabilities are measured on a recurring basis as at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively:

	As at June 30, 2014			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
	(\$ in millions)			
Available for sale financial assets, at fair value				
U.S. government	\$994.0	\$—	\$—	\$994.0
U.S. agency	—	205.0	—	205.0
Municipal	—	26.9	—	26.9
Corporate	—	2,244.9	—	2,244.9
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	—	83.9	—	83.9
Foreign government	561.3	205.2	—	766.5
Asset-backed	—	140.3	—	140.3
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed	—	54.9	—	54.9
Agency mortgage-backed	—	1,010.4	—	1,010.4
Total fixed income securities available for sale, at fair value	1,555.3	3,971.5	—	5,526.8
Short-term investments available for sale, at fair value	297.9	37.8	—	335.7
Equity investments available for sale, at fair value	142.1	—	—	142.1
Held for trading financial assets, at fair value				
U.S. government	12.9	—	—	12.9
U.S. agency	—	0.2	—	0.2
Municipal	—	1.2	—	1.2
Corporate	—	524.5	—	524.5
Foreign government	37.3	102.0	—	139.3
Asset-backed	—	11.4	—	11.4
Bank loans	—	81.4	—	81.4
Total fixed income securities trading, at fair value	50.2	720.7	—	770.9
Short-term investments trading, at fair value	14.0	—	—	14.0
Equity investments trading, at fair value	432.1	—	—	432.1
Catastrophe bonds trading, at fair value	—	30.0	—	30.0
Other financial assets and liabilities, at fair value				
Derivatives at fair value — foreign exchange contracts	—	1.6	—	1.6
Liabilities under derivative contracts — foreign exchange contracts	—	(1.0)	—	(1.0)
Liabilities under derivative contracts — interest rate swaps	—	(4.4)	—	(4.4)
Loan notes issued by variable interest entities, at fair value	—	—	(56.0)	(56.0)
Total	\$2,491.6	\$4,756.2	\$(56.0)	\$7,191.8

There were no maturities, settlements or transfers between Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and no assets or liabilities were classified as Level 3 as at June 30, 2014, except for the loan notes issued by the VIEs.

	As at December 31, 2013			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
	(\$ in millions)			
Available for sale financial assets, at fair value				
U.S. government	\$1,020.4	\$—	\$—	\$1,020.4
U.S. agency	—	269.1	—	269.1
Municipal	—	32.8	—	32.8
Corporate	—	2,069.4	—	2,069.4
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	—	84.6	—	84.6
Foreign government	596.2	182.7	—	778.9
Asset-backed	—	122.3	—	122.3
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed	—	62.6	—	62.6
Agency mortgage-backed	—	1,129.0	—	1,129.0
Total fixed income securities available for sale, at fair value	1,616.6	3,952.5	—	5,569.1
Short-term investments available for sale, at fair value	129.5	30.8	—	160.3
Equity investments available for sale, at fair value	149.5	—	—	149.5
Held for trading financial assets, at fair value				
U.S. government	22.0	—	—	22.0
U.S. agency	—	0.2	—	0.2
Municipal	—	1.1	—	1.1
Corporate	—	474.8	—	474.8
Foreign government	44.2	92.0	—	136.2
Asset-backed	—	12.8	—	12.8
Bank loans	—	69.1	—	69.1
Total fixed income securities trading, at fair value	66.2	650.0	—	716.2
Equity investments trading, at fair value	310.9	—	—	310.9
Catastrophe bonds trading, at fair value	—	5.8	—	5.8
Other financial assets and liabilities, at fair value				
Derivatives at fair value – foreign exchange contracts	—	5.9	—	5.9
Derivatives at fair value – interest rate swaps	—	1.1	—	1.1
Liabilities under derivative contracts – foreign exchange contracts	—	(2.9)	(2.9)
Loan notes issued by variable interest entities, at fair value	—	—	(50.0)	(50.0)
Total	\$2,272.7	\$4,643.2	\$(50.0)	\$6,865.9

There were no maturities, settlements or transfers between Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3 during the twelve months ended December 31, 2013. There were no assets or liabilities that were classified as Level 3 as at December 31, 2013, except for the loan notes issued by the VIEs.

The following tables present a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 3 inputs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014:

Reconciliation of Liabilities Using Level 3 Inputs	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 (\$ in millions)
Beginning balance as at March 31, 2014	\$(53.4)
Total change in fair value included in the statement of operations	\$(2.6)
Balance as at June 30, 2014	\$(56.0)
Reconciliation of Liabilities Using Level 3 Inputs	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 (\$ in millions)
Beginning balance as at January 1, 2014	\$(50.0)
Total change in fair value included in the statement of operations	\$(6.0)
Balance as at June 30, 2014	\$(56.0)

Valuation of Fixed Income Securities. The Company's fixed income securities are classified as either available for sale or trading and carried at fair value. As at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company's fixed income securities were valued by pricing services, index providers or broker-dealers using standard market conventions. The market conventions utilize market quotations, market transactions in comparable instruments and various relationships between instruments including, but not limited to, yield to maturity, dollar prices and spread prices in determining value.

Independent Pricing Services and Index Providers. The underlying methodology used to determine the fair value of securities in the Company's available for sale and trading portfolios by the pricing services and index providers the Company uses is very similar. Pricing services will gather observable pricing inputs from multiple external sources, including buy and sell-side contacts and broker-dealers, in order to develop their internal prices. Index providers are those firms which provide prices for a range of securities within one or more asset classes, typically using their own in-house market makers (traders) as the primary pricing source for the indices, although ultimate valuations may also rely on other observable data inputs to derive a dollar price for all index-eligible securities. Index providers without in-house trading desks will function similarly to a pricing service in that they will gather their observable pricing inputs from multiple external sources. All prices for the Company's securities attributed to index providers are for an individual security within the respective indices.

Pricing services and index providers provide pricing for less complex, liquid securities based on market quotations in active markets. Pricing services and index providers supply prices for a broad range of securities including those for actively traded securities, such as Treasury and other Government securities, in addition to those that trade less frequently or where valuation includes reference to credit spreads, pay down and pre-pay features and other observable inputs. These securities include Government Agency, Municipals, Corporate and Asset-Backed Securities. For securities that may trade less frequently or do not trade on a listed exchange, these pricing services and index providers may use matrix pricing consisting of observable market inputs to estimate the fair value of a security. These observable market inputs include: reported trades, benchmark yields, broker-dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers, reference data, and industry and economic factors. Additionally, pricing services and index providers may use a valuation model such as an option adjusted spread model commonly used for estimating fair values of mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities. Neither the Company, nor its index providers, derives dollar prices using an index as a pricing input for any individual security.

Broker-Dealers. The Company obtains quotes from broker-dealers who are active in the corresponding markets when prices are unavailable from independent pricing services or index providers. Generally, broker-dealers value securities through their trading desks based on observable market inputs. Their pricing methodologies include mapping securities based on trade data, bids or offers, observed spreads and performance of newly issued securities. They may also establish pricing through observing secondary trading of similar securities. Quotes from broker-dealers are non-binding.

The Company obtains prices for all of its fixed income investment securities via its third-party accounting service provider, and in the majority of cases receiving a number of quotes so as to obtain the most comprehensive information available to determine a security's fair value. A single valuation is applied to each security based on the vendor hierarchy maintained by the Company's third-party accounting service provider.

As at June 30, 2014, the Company obtained an average of 2.0 quotes per fixed income investment, compared to 2.6 quotes as at December 31, 2013. Pricing sources used in pricing fixed income investments as at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were as follows:

	As at June 30, 2014		As at December 31, 2013	
Index providers	85	%	85	%
Pricing services	11		12	
Broker-dealers	4		3	
Total	100	%	100	%

A summary of securities priced using pricing information from index providers as at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 is provided below:

	As at June 30, 2014		As at December 31, 2013		
	Fair Market Value Determined using Prices from Index Providers	% of Total Fair Value by Security Type	Fair Market Value Determined using Prices from Index Providers	% of Total Fair Value by Security Type	
	(\$ in millions, except for percentages)				
U.S. government	\$1,006.9	100	% \$998.5	96	%
U.S. agency	194.1	95	% 255.3	95	%
Municipal	13.1	47	% 14.5	43	%
Corporate	2,635.5	95	% 2,400.8	94	%
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	60.1	71	% 55.9	66	%
Foreign government	556.5	61	% 605.8	66	%
Asset-backed	143.2	95	% 130.6	97	%
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed	54.2	99	% 61.0	97	%
Agency mortgage-backed	694.0	69	% 830.6	74	%
Total fixed income securities	\$5,357.6	85	% \$5,353.0	85	%
Equities	\$574.2	100	% \$460.4	100	%
Total fixed income securities and equity investments	\$5,931.8	86	% \$5,813.4	86	%

The Company, in conjunction with its third-party accounting service provider, obtains an understanding of the methods, models and inputs used by the third-party pricing service and index provider to assess the ongoing appropriateness of vendors' prices. The Company and its third-party accounting service provider also have controls in place to validate that amounts provided represent fair values. Processes to validate and review pricing include, but are not limited to:

- quantitative analysis (e.g., comparing the quarterly return for each managed portfolio to its target benchmark, with significant differences identified and investigated);
- comparison of market values obtained from pricing services, index providers and broker-dealers against alternative price sources for each security where further investigation is completed when significant differences exist for pricing of individual securities between pricing sources;
- initial and ongoing evaluation of methodologies used by outside parties to calculate fair value; and
- comparison of the fair value estimates to the Company's knowledge of the current market.

Prices obtained from pricing services, index providers and broker-dealers are not adjusted by us; however, prices provided by a pricing service, index provider or broker-dealer in certain instances may be challenged based on market or information available from internal sources, including those available to the Company's third-party investment accounting service provider. Subsequent to any challenge, revisions made by the pricing service, index provider or broker-dealer to the quotes are supplied to the Company's investment accounting service provider.

Management reviews the vendor hierarchy maintained by the Company's third-party accounting service provider in order to determine which price source provides the most appropriate fair value (i.e., a price obtained from a pricing service with more seniority in the hierarchy will be used over a less senior one in all cases). The hierarchy level assigned to each security in the

31

Company's available for sale and trading portfolios is based upon its assessment of the transparency and reliability of the inputs used in the valuation as of the measurement date. The hierarchy of index providers and pricing services is determined using various qualitative and quantitative points arising from reviews of the vendors conducted by the Company's third-party accounting service provider. Vendor reviews include annual onsite due diligence meetings with index providers and pricing services vendors covering valuation methodology, operational walkthroughs and legal and compliance updates. Index providers are assigned the highest priority in the pricing hierarchy due primarily to availability and reliability of pricing information.

Fixed Income Securities. The Company's fixed income securities are traded on the over-the-counter ("OTC") market based on prices provided by one or more market makers in each security. Securities such as U.S. Government, U.S. Agency, Foreign Government and investment grade corporate bonds have multiple market makers in addition to readily observable market value indicators such as expected credit spread, except for Treasury securities, over the yield curve. The Company uses a variety of pricing sources to value fixed income securities including those securities that have pay down/prepay features such as mortgage-backed securities and asset-backed securities in order to ensure fair and accurate pricing. The fair value estimates for the investment grade securities in the Company's portfolio do not use significant unobservable inputs or modeling techniques.

U.S. Government and Agency. U.S. government and agency securities consist primarily of bonds issued by the U.S. Treasury and corporate debt issued by agencies such as the Federal National Mortgage Association ("FNMA"), the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC") and the Federal Home Loan Bank. As the fair values of U.S. Treasury securities are based on unadjusted market prices in active markets, they are classified within Level 1. The fair values of U.S. government agency securities are priced using the spread above the risk-free yield curve. As the yields for the risk-free yield curve and the spreads for these securities are observable market inputs, the fair values of U.S. government agency securities are classified within Level 2.

Municipals. The Company's municipal portfolio comprises bonds issued by U.S. domiciled state and municipality entities. The fair value of these securities is determined using spreads obtained from broker-dealers, trade prices and the new issue market which are Level 2 inputs in the fair value hierarchy. Consequently, these securities are classified within Level 2.

Foreign Government. The issuers for securities in this category are non-U.S. governments and their agencies. The fair values of non-U.S. government bonds, primarily sourced from international indices, are based on unadjusted market prices in active markets and are therefore classified within Level 1. The fair values of the non-U.S. agency securities, again primarily sourced from international indices, are priced using the spread above the risk-free yield curve. As the yields for the risk-free yield curve and the spreads for these securities are observable market inputs, the fair values of non-U.S. agency securities are classified within Level 2. In addition, foreign government securities includes a portion of the EMD portfolio which is also classified within Level 2.

Corporate. Corporate securities consist primarily of U.S. and foreign corporations covering a variety of industries and are for the most part priced by index providers and pricing vendors. Some issuers may participate in the FDIC program or other similar non-U.S. government programs which guarantee timely payment of principal and interest in the event of a default. The fair values of these securities are generally determined using the spread above the risk-free yield curve. Inputs used in the evaluation of these securities include credit data, interest rate data, market observations and sector news, broker-dealer quotes and trade volumes. In addition, corporate securities includes the Company's BB High Yield Bonds and a portion of the BBB Emerging Market Debt portfolio. The Company classifies all of these securities within Level 2.

Mortgage-backed Securities. The Company's residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities consist of bonds issued by the Government National Mortgage Association, the FNMA and the FHLMC as well as private non-agency issuers. The fair values of these securities are determined through the use of a pricing model (including Option Adjusted Spread) which uses prepayment speeds and spreads to determine the appropriate average life of the mortgage-backed security. These spreads are generally obtained from broker-dealers, trade prices and the new issue market. As the significant inputs used to price mortgage-backed securities are observable market inputs, these securities are classified within Level 2.

Asset-backed Securities. The underlying collateral for the Company's asset-backed securities consists mainly of student loans, automobile loans and credit card receivables. These securities are primarily priced by index providers and pricing vendors. Inputs to the valuation process include broker-dealer quotes and other available trade information, prepayment speeds, interest rate data and credit spreads. The Company classifies these securities within Level 2.

Bank Loans. These are variable rate, senior secured debt instruments issued by non-investment grade companies that are not publicly registered but are the most senior debt in a capital structure and are generally secured by company assets. Although these assets do not trade in as liquid a market as traditional fixed income instruments, they are valued in similar fashion to other fixed maturities, using similar inputs such as yield curves, interest rates and credit spreads. These securities are primarily priced by a third party pricing vendor. Bank loans are therefore classified within Level 2.

Short-term Investments. Short-term investments comprise highly liquid debt securities with a maturity greater than three months but less than one year from the date of purchase. Short-term investments are valued in a manner similar to the Company's fixed maturity investments and are classified within Levels 1 and 2.

Equity Securities. Equity securities include U.S. and foreign common stocks and are classified either as trading or available for sale and carried at fair value. These securities are classified within Level 1 as their fair values are based on quoted market prices in active markets from independent pricing sources. As at June 30, 2014, the Company obtained an average of 3.0 quotes per equity investment, compared to 4.9 quotes as at December 31, 2013. Pricing sources used in pricing equities as at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were all provided by index providers.

Catastrophe Bonds. Catastrophe bonds held by the Company are variable rate fixed income instruments with redemption values adjusted based on the occurrence of a covered event, usually windstorms and earthquakes. These bonds have been classified as trading and carried at fair value. Bonds are priced using an average of multiple broker-dealer quotes and, as such, are classified as Level 2.

Foreign Exchange Contracts. The foreign exchange contracts which the Company uses to mitigate currency risk are characterized as OTC due to their customized nature and the fact that they do not trade on a major exchange. These instruments trade in a deep liquid market, providing substantial price transparency and accordingly are classified as Level 2.

Interest Rate Swaps. The interest rate swaps which the Company uses to mitigate interest rate risk are also characterized as OTC and are valued by the counterparty using quantitative models with multiple market inputs. The market inputs, such as interest rates and yield curves, are observable and the valuation can be compared for reasonableness with third party pricing services. Consequently, these instruments are classified as Level 2.

Loan Notes Issued by Variable Interest Entities. Silverton, a licensed special purpose insurer, is consolidated into the Company's group accounts as a VIE. Additionally, in the fourth quarter of 2013, Silverton issued \$65.0 million (\$50.0 million third-party funded) loan notes with a maturity date of September 16, 2016. The Company has elected to account for the loan notes at fair value using the guidance as prescribed under ASC 825, Financial Instruments as the Company believes it represents the most meaningful measurement basis for these liabilities. The loan notes are recorded at fair value at each reporting period and, as they are not quoted on an active market and contain significant unobservable inputs, they have been classified as a Level 3 instrument in the fair value hierarchy. The loan notes are unique because they are linked to the specific risks of the Company's property catastrophe book.

To determine the fair value of the loan notes, the Company runs an internal model which considers the seasonality of the risk assumed under the retrocessional agreement. The seasonality used in the model is determined by applying the percentage of property catastrophe losses planned by the Company's actuaries to the estimated written premium to determine earned premium for each quarter. The inputs to the internal valuation model are based on Company specific data due to the lack of availability of observable market inputs. Reserves for losses is the most significant unobservable input. An increase in reserves for losses would normally result in a decrease in the fair value of the loan notes while a decrease in reserves would normally result in an increase in the fair value of the loan notes. The observable and unobservable inputs used to determine the fair value of the loan notes as at June 30, 2014 are presented in the table below:

At June 30, 2014	Fair Value Level 3 (\$ in millions)	Valuation Method	Observable (O) and Unobservable (U) inputs	Low	High
				(\$ in millions)	
Loan notes held by third parties	\$56.0	Internal Valuation Model	Gross premiums written (O)	\$—	\$40.0
			Reserve for losses (U)	\$—	\$1.4
			Contract period (O)	N/A	365 days
			Initial value of issuance (O)	\$50.0	\$50.0

9. Reinsurance

The Company purchases retrocession and reinsurance to limit and diversify the Company's risk exposure and increase its own insurance and reinsurance underwriting capacity. These agreements provide for recovery of a portion of losses and loss adjustment expenses from reinsurers. As is the case with most reinsurance contracts, the Company remains liable to the extent that reinsurers do not meet their obligations under these agreements, and therefore, in line with its risk management objectives, the Company evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers and monitors concentrations of credit risk. The largest concentrations of reinsurance recoverables as at June 30, 2014 were 26.8% with Lloyd's syndicates (December 31, 2013 — 23.5%) and 18.1% with Munich Re (December 31, 2013 — 17.1%).

10. Derivative Contracts

The following table summarizes information on the location and amounts of derivative fair values on the consolidated balance sheet as at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments Under ASC 815	Balance Sheet Location	As at June 30, 2014		As at December 31, 2013	
		Notional Amount	Fair Value	Notional Amount	Fair Value
		(\$ in millions)		(\$ in millions)	
Interest Rate Swaps	Derivatives at Fair Value	\$—	\$—	\$1,000.0	\$1.1
Interest Rate Swaps	Liabilities under Derivative Contracts	\$1,000.0	\$(1.0)	\$—	\$—
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Derivatives at Fair Value	\$137.0	\$1.6	\$224.4	\$5.9
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Liabilities under Derivative Contracts	\$230.7	\$(4.4)	\$57.5	\$(2.9)

Net of \$28.6 million of cash collateral provided to counterparties, Goldman Sachs International (\$500.0 million ⁽¹⁾ notional) and Crédit Agricole CIB (\$500.0 million notional) under respective International Swap Dealers Association agreements, as security for the Company's net liability position (December 31, 2013 — \$34.3 million). The following table provides the unrealized and realized gains/(losses) recorded in the statement of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively:

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments Under ASC 815	Location of Income/(Loss) Recognized in the Statement of Operations	Amount of Income/(Loss) Recognized in the Statement of Operations for the Three Months Ended	
		June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013
		(\$ in millions)	
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Change in Fair Value of Derivatives	\$(1.1)	\$(9.7)
Interest Rate Swaps	Change in Fair Value of Derivatives	\$(3.5)	\$6.8

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments Under ASC 815	Location of Income/(Loss) Recognized in the Statement of Operations	Amount of Income/(Loss) Recognized in the Statement of Operations for the Six Months Ended	
		June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013
		(\$ in millions)	
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Change in Fair Value of Derivatives	\$1.9	\$(14.5)
Interest Rate Swaps	Change in Fair Value of Derivatives	\$(5.4)	\$7.4

Foreign Exchange Contracts. The Company uses foreign exchange contracts to manage foreign currency risk. A foreign exchange contract involves an obligation to purchase or sell a specified currency at a future date at a price set at the time of the contract. Foreign exchange contracts will not eliminate fluctuations in the value of the Company's assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies but rather allow it to establish a rate of exchange for a future

point in time.

As at June 30, 2014, the Company held foreign exchange contracts with an aggregate notional value of \$367.7 million. The foreign exchange contracts are recorded as derivatives at fair value with changes recorded as a change in fair value of derivatives in the statement of operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the impact of foreign exchange contracts on net income was a charge of \$1.1 million (June 30, 2013 — charge of \$9.7 million) and a gain of \$1.9 million (June 30, 2013 — charge of \$14.5 million), respectively.

Interest Rate Swaps. As at June 30, 2014, the Company held fixed for floating interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$1.0 billion (December 31, 2013 — \$1.0 billion) that are due to mature between August 4, 2014 and November 9, 2020. The interest rate swaps are used in the ordinary course of the Company's investment activities to partially mitigate the negative impact of rises in interest rates on the market value of the Company's fixed income portfolio. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, there was a charge in respect of the interest rate swaps of \$3.5 million (June 30, 2013 — gain of \$6.8 million) and a charge of \$5.4 million (June 30, 2013 — gain of \$7.4 million), respectively.

34

As at June 30, 2014, cash collateral with a fair value of \$28.6 million was held by the Company's counterparties to support the current valuation of the interest rate swaps (December 31, 2013 — \$34.3 million). As at June 30, 2014, no non-cash collateral was transferred to the Company by its counterparties (December 31, 2013 — \$Nil). Transfers of cash collateral are recorded on the consolidated balance sheet within Derivatives at Fair Value, while transfers in respect of non-cash collateral are disclosed but not recorded. As at June 30, 2014, no amount was recorded in the consolidated balance sheet for the pledged assets.

None of the derivatives mentioned above meet the requirements for hedge accounting as per ASC 815 Derivatives and Hedging and therefore changes in the estimated fair value are included in the consolidated statement of operations.

11. Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

The following table represents a reconciliation of beginning and ending deferred policy acquisition costs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(\$ in millions)		(\$ in millions)	
Balance at the beginning of the period	\$289.6	\$238.5	\$262.2	\$223.0
Acquisition costs deferred	125.7	133.1	265.1	253.2
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	(108.9)	(107.2)	(220.9)	(211.8)
Balance at the end of the period	\$306.4	\$264.4	\$306.4	\$264.4

12. Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

The following table represents a reconciliation of beginning and ending consolidated loss and loss adjustment expenses ("LAE") reserves for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and twelve months ended December 31, 2013:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013
	(\$ in millions)	
Provision for losses and LAE at the start of the year	\$4,678.9	\$4,779.7
Less reinsurance recoverable	(332.7)	(499.0)
Net loss and LAE at the start of the year	4,346.2	4,280.7
Net loss and LAE expenses assumed/(disposed)	(24.2)	(34.6)
Provision for losses and LAE for claims incurred:		
Current year	685.2	1,331.4
Prior years	(60.0)	(107.7)
Total incurred	625.2	1,223.7
Losses and LAE payments for claims incurred:		
Current year	(63.1)	(172.8)
Prior years	(471.6)	(912.3)
Total paid	(534.7)	(1,085.1)
Foreign exchange losses/(gains)	23.3	(38.5)
Net losses and LAE reserves at period end	4,435.8	4,346.2
Plus reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses at period end	360.0	332.7
Provision for losses and LAE at the end of the relevant period	\$4,795.8	\$4,678.9

For the six months ended June 30, 2014, there was a reduction of \$60.0 million in the Company's estimate of the ultimate claims to be paid in respect of prior accident years compared to a reduction of \$53.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The Company had no commutations during the six months ended June 30, 2014 (June 30, 2013 — \$17.3 million). For additional information on the reserve releases, please refer to "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment

Expenses” below.

35

13. Capital Structure

The following table provides a summary of the Company's authorized and issued share capital as at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	As at June 30, 2014		As at December 31, 2013	
	Number	\$ in Thousands	Number	\$ in Thousands
Authorized share capital:				
Ordinary Shares 0.15144558¢ per share	969,629,030	1,469	969,629,030	1,469
Non-Voting Shares 0.15144558¢ per share	6,787,880	10	6,787,880	10
Preference Shares 0.15144558¢ per share	100,000,000	152	100,000,000	152
Total authorized share capital		1,631		1,631
Issued share capital:				
Issued ordinary shares of 0.15144558¢ per share	65,462,919	99	65,546,976	99
Issued 7.401% preference shares of 0.15144558¢ each with a liquidation preference of \$25 per share	5,327,500	8	5,327,500	8
Issued 7.250% preference shares of 0.15144558¢ each with a liquidation preference of \$25 per share	6,400,000	10	6,400,000	10
Issued 5.95% preference shares of 0.15144558¢ each with a liquidation preference of \$25 per share	11,000,000	17	11,000,000	17
Total issued share capital		134		134

Additional paid-in capital as at June 30, 2014 was \$1,276.7 million (December 31, 2013 — \$1,297.4 million).

Additional paid-in capital includes the aggregate liquidation preferences of the Company's preference shares of \$568.2 million (December 31, 2013 — \$568.2 million) less issue costs of \$12.4 million (December 31, 2013 — \$12.4 million).

Ordinary Shares. The following table summarizes transactions in the Company's ordinary shares during the six months ended June 30, 2014:

	Number of Ordinary Shares
Ordinary shares in issue at December 31, 2013	65,546,976
Ordinary share transactions in the six months ended June 30, 2014	
Ordinary shares issued to employees under the 2003 and 2013 share incentive plans and/or 2008 share purchase plan	685,139
Ordinary shares issued to non-employee directors	1,309
Ordinary shares repurchased	(770,505)
Ordinary shares in issue at June 30, 2014	65,462,919

Ordinary Share Repurchases. On February 7, 2013, the Company's Board of Directors replaced the then existing share repurchase authorization of \$400.0 million with a new authorization of \$500.0 million. The total ordinary share repurchase authorization, which was effective immediately through February 7, 2015, permits the Company to effect the repurchases from time to time through a combination of transactions, including open market repurchases, privately negotiated transactions and accelerated share repurchase transactions.

Under open market repurchases, the Company acquired and cancelled for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 Nil and 770,505 ordinary shares, respectively. The total consideration paid for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$Nil and \$30.9 million, respectively, with the average price for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 being \$Nil and \$40.08, respectively. Under open market repurchases, the Company acquired and cancelled 800,042 and 2,481,375 ordinary shares, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. The total consideration paid for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$29.9 million and \$88.1 million, respectively and the average price was \$37.38 and \$35.52, respectively.

Preference Shares Redemption. During 2005 and 2006, the Company issued 4.6 million 5.625% Perpetual Preferred Income Equity Replacement Securities ("PIERS"). The PIERS were convertible at the Company's option if, at any time

on or after January 1, 2009, the closing sale price of the Company's ordinary shares equaled or exceeded 130% of the then prevailing

36

conversion price for 20 trading days during any consecutive 30-trading day period, as well as the last day of such 30-day period.

The PIERS were dilutive to the Company's ordinary shares when the Company's share price exceeded the prevailing conversion price and therefore, as the Company's share price was generally above the 130% conversion price test, they were included in the Company's fully diluted share count until the Company announced it would mandatorily redeem the PIERS.

On April 25, 2013, the Company announced it would mandatorily redeem all of its PIERS outstanding based on the terms of the PIERS. Each holder of a PIERS unit received \$50.00, equating to a total payment of \$230.0 million in cash plus a number of the Company's ordinary shares based on the conversion rate calculated in accordance with the average trading price of the Company's ordinary shares over a 20-trading day settlement period following the Company's issuance of the press release announcing the mandatory conversion. The conversion rate was 1.7121 shares of the Company's ordinary shares per \$50.00 liquidation preference of the PIERS equating to a total issuance of 1,835,860 ordinary shares. The Company settled the amount on May 30, 2013. In accordance with the terms of the PIERS, no further dividends were paid on the PIERS following the announcement of their mandatory redemption. Additionally, upon redemption of the PIERS, the \$7.1 million difference between the capital raised upon issuance of the PIERS, net of original issuance costs, and the final redemption of the PIERS in the amount of \$230.0 million was reclassified from additional paid-in capital to retained earnings.

Preference Shares Issuance. On May 2, 2013, the Company issued 11.0 million shares of 5.95% of Fixed-to-Floating Perpetual Non-Cumulative Preference Shares (the "5.95% Preference Shares"). Each preference shareholder will receive dividends on a non-cumulative basis only when declared by the Board of Directors initially at an annual fixed rate of 5.95% until July 1, 2023 at which time a floating rate, reset quarterly, of 3-month LIBOR plus 4.06% will commence per annum. The 5.95% Preference Shares have a liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share and net proceeds were \$270.4 million (comprising \$275.0 million of total liquidation preference less \$4.6 million of issue expenses). The Company used \$230.0 million of the net proceeds from this offering for settling the cash portion of the mandatory conversion of the PIERS.

The 5.95% Preference Shares rank equally with preference shares previously issued by the Company and have no fixed maturity date. The Company may redeem all or a portion of the 5.95% Preference Shares at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share on or after July 1, 2023. The Company has listed the 5.95% Preference Shares on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "AHLPRC."

Rights Agreement. On April 17, 2014, the Board of Directors of the Company resolved to issue one preferred share purchase right (a "Right") for each outstanding ordinary share, and adopted a shareholder rights plan, as set forth in the Rights Agreement dated as of April 17, 2014. Each Right will allow its holder to purchase from the Company one one-thousandth of a share of Series A Junior Participating Preference Shares for \$160, once the Rights become exercisable. The Rights will not be exercisable until 10 business days after the public announcement that a person or group has acquired the beneficial ownership of 10% or more of the outstanding ordinary shares of the Company (or 15% in the case of passive institutional investors). The Rights may be redeemed at any time at the discretion of the Board of Directors of the Company. As of June 30, 2014, no Rights have been exercisable or exercised.

14. Share-Based Payments

The Company has issued options and other equity incentives under two arrangements: the employee incentive plans and the non-employee director plan. When options are exercised or other equity awards have vested, new shares are issued as the Company does not currently hold treasury shares.

Employee and Non-Employee Director Awards. Employee options and other awards were granted under the Aspen 2003 Share Incentive Plan, as amended (the "2003 Share Incentive Plan"), prior to April 24, 2013 and thereafter under the 2013 Share Incentive Plan (the "2013 Share Incentive Plan"). The total number of ordinary shares that may be issued under the 2013 Share Incentive Plan is 2,845,683 shares, which includes 595,683 shares available to grant under the 2003 Share Incentive Plan as of February 25, 2013. The number of ordinary shares that may be issued under the 2013 Share Incentive Plan is adjusted per the number of awards that may be forfeited under the 2003 Share Incentive Plan. The non-employee director awards are granted under the 2006 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors.

Stock options were granted with an exercise price equivalent to the fair value of the share on the grant date. The weighted average value at grant date is determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Stock options typically vest over a three-year period with a ten-year contract period (except for options granted in 2007 which have a seven-year exercise period) with vesting dependent on time and performance conditions established at the time of grant. No options were granted during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 (2013 — Nil and Nil) and 33,587 and 53,436 options, respectively, were exercised and issued in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 (2013 — 300,953 and 542,695 options). No charges against income were made in respect of employee options for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 (2013 — \$Nil and \$Nil).

Restricted share units (“RSUs”) granted to employees vest over a three-year period typically, based on continued service. Some of the grants vest at year-end, while some other grants vest on the anniversary of the date of grant or when the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors agrees to deliver them. The fair value of the RSUs is based on the closing price on the date of the grant adjusted for illiquidity and is expensed through the income statement evenly over the vesting period. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company granted 259,640 and 259,640 RSUs to its employees, respectively (2013 — 40,691 and 298,870). Compensation costs charged against income in respect of employee RSUs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 were \$3.0 million and \$5.8 million, respectively (2013 — \$4.0 million and \$4.6 million).

In the case of non-employee directors, generally one-twelfth of the RSUs vest on each one month anniversary of the date of grant, with 100% of the RSUs becoming vested on the first anniversary of the date of grant. On February 6, 2014 (with a grant date of February 10, 2014), the Board of Directors approved a total of 27,180 RSUs for the non-employee directors (February 11, 2013 — 25,533 and April 26, 2013 — 2,110) and 13,590 RSUs to the Chairman (February 11, 2013 — 14,188). Compensation costs charged against income in respect of non-employee director RSUs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 were \$0.4 million and \$0.8 million, respectively (2013 — \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million).

The total fair value adjustment for all RSUs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$0.6 million and \$1.2 million respectively (2013 — \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million). The total tax credit recognized by the Company in relation to RSUs in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$0.7 million and \$1.3 million, respectively (2013 — \$0.5 million and \$1.0 million).

Performance Shares. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company granted 315,389 and 315,389 performance shares, respectively (three and six months ended June 30, 2013 — Nil and 250,066). The performance shares will be subject to a three-year vesting period with a separate annual diluted book value per share (“BVPS”) growth test for each year, adjusted to add back ordinary dividends and movements in AOCI to shareholders’ equity at the end of the relevant year. One-third of the grant will be eligible for vesting each year based on a formula, and will only be issuable at the end of the three-year period.

If the diluted BVPS growth achieved in 2014 is:

- less than 5.2%, then the portion of the performance shares subject to the vesting conditions in such year will be forfeited (i.e., one-third of the initial grant);

- between 5.2% and 10.4%, then the percentage of the performance shares eligible for vesting in such year will be between 10% and 100% on a straight-line basis; or

- between 10.4% and 20.8%, then the percentage of the performance shares eligible for vesting in such year will be between 100% and 200% on a straight-line basis.

In calculating BVPS for 2014, the entire movement in AOCI will be excluded. Interest rate movements, and credit spread movements in AOCI can be fairly significant and adversely impact growth in BVPS which management does not have any control over. The Compensation Committee also agreed that it will review the impact of any capital management actions undertaken during 2014, including share repurchases and special dividends, and consider whether any further adjustments to growth in BVPS should be made in the context of such actions. The Compensation Committee also further agreed to exclude from the calculation of BVPS for 2014 the costs payable to third-party service providers resulting from the Company’s current response to the proposals received from Endurance Specialty Holdings Ltd. (“Endurance”). The Compensation Committee believes that it would not be appropriate for employee’s performance-related compensation to be impacted by these costs.

The Compensation Committee will determine the vesting conditions for the 2015 and 2016 portions of the grant in such years taking into consideration the market conditions and the Company’s business plans at the commencement of the years concerned. Notwithstanding the vesting criteria for each given year, if the shares eligible for vesting in 2015 and 2016 are greater than 100% for the portion of such year’s grant and the average diluted BVPS growth over such year and the preceding year is less than the average of the minimum vesting thresholds for such year and the preceding year, then only 100% (and no more) of the shares that are eligible for vesting in such year shall vest. Notwithstanding

the foregoing, if in the judgment of the Compensation Committee the main reason for the BVPS metric in the earlier year falling below the minimum threshold is due to the impact of rising interest rates and bond yields, then the Compensation Committee may, in its discretion, disapply this limitation on 100% vesting.

The fair value of performance share awards is based on the value of the closing share price on the date of the grant adjusted for illiquidity less a deduction for expected dividends which would not accrue during the vesting period. Compensation costs charged against income in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 in respect of performance shares

were \$2.8 million and \$4.2 million, respectively (2013 — \$2.2 million and \$5.6 million). The total tax credit recognized by the Company in relation to performance shares in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$1.9 million and \$3.7 million, respectively (2013 — \$0.7 million and \$1.3 million).

Phantom Shares. On April 22, 2014, the Compensation Committee approved the grant of 154,512, phantom shares with a grant date of April 25, 2014 to its employees (February 6, 2013 — 152,541 with additional grants of 6,521 and 542 phantom shares being made on April 8, 2013 and June 11, 2013, respectively.) The phantom shares are subject to a three-year vesting period with a separate annual diluted BVPS growth test for each year, in accordance with the test described above for the 2014 performance shares, with the difference being that any vested amount would be paid in cash in lieu of shares. As shares are not issued, these instruments have no dilutive effect.

The fair value of the phantom shares is based on the closing share price on the date of the grant adjusted for illiquidity, less estimated dividends payable over the vesting period. The fair value is expensed through the consolidated income statement evenly over the vesting period, but as the payment to beneficiaries will ultimately be in cash rather than shares, an adjustment is required each quarter to revalue the accumulated liability to the balance sheet date fair value. Compensation costs charged against income in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 in respect of phantom shares were \$0.8 million and \$2.4 million, respectively (2013 — a credit of \$0.5 million and a charge of \$1.6 million) with a fair value adjustment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 of \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million, respectively (2013 — \$0.9 million and \$1.7 million). The total tax credit recognized by the Company in relation to phantom shares in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million, respectively (2013 — \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million).

Employee Share Purchase Plans. On April 30, 2008, the shareholders of the Company approved the Employee Share Purchase Plan, the 2008 Sharesave Scheme and the International Employee Share Purchase Plan (collectively, the “ESPP”), which are implemented by a series of consecutive offering periods as determined by the Board of Directors. In respect of the Employee Share Purchase Plan, employees can save up to \$500 per month over a two-year period, at the end of which they will be eligible to purchase Company shares at a discounted price, subject to a further one year holding period. In respect of the 2008 Sharesave Scheme, employees can save up to £250 per month over a three-year period (and effective April 6, 2014, £500 per month), at the end of which they will be eligible to purchase Company shares at a discounted price. The purchase price will be eighty-five percent (85%) of the fair market value of a share on the offering date which may be adjusted upon changes in capitalization of the Company. Under the ESPP, 1,200 and 11,194 ordinary shares, respectively, were issued during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 (2013 — 939 and 14,354 shares). Compensation costs charged against income in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 in respect of the ESPP were \$Nil and \$0.1 million respectively (2013 — \$Nil and \$0.1 million).

15. Intangible Assets

The following tables provide a summary of the Company’s intangible assets for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014				Three Months Ended June 30, 2013			
	Trade Mark	Insurance Licenses	Other	Total	Trade Mark	Insurance Licenses	Other	Total
	(\$ in millions)				(\$ in millions)			
Intangible Assets								
Beginning of the period	\$1.6	\$16.6	\$0.1	\$18.3	\$1.6	\$16.6	\$0.6	\$18.8
Amortization	—	—	(0.1)	(0.1)	—	—	(0.1)	(0.1)
End of the period	\$1.6	\$16.6	\$—	\$18.2	\$1.6	\$16.6	\$0.5	\$18.7
	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014				Six Months Ended June 30, 2013			
	Trade Mark	Insurance Licenses	Other	Total	Trade Mark	Insurance Licenses	Other	Total
	(\$ in millions)				(\$ in millions)			
Intangible Assets								
Beginning of the period	\$1.6	\$16.6	\$0.2	\$18.4	\$1.6	\$16.6	\$0.8	\$19.0
Amortization	—	—	(0.2)	(0.2)	—	—	(0.3)	(0.3)

End of the period	\$1.6	\$16.6	\$—	\$18.2	\$1.6	\$16.6	\$0.5	\$18.7
-------------------	-------	--------	-----	--------	-------	--------	-------	--------

License to use the “Aspen” Trademark. On April 5, 2005, the Company entered into an agreement with Aspen (Actuaries and Pension Consultants) Plc to acquire the right to use the Aspen trademark in the United Kingdom. The consideration paid was approximately \$1.6 million. As at June 30, 2014, the value of the license to use the Aspen trademark was \$1.6 million

(December 31, 2013 — \$1.6 million). The trademark has an indefinite useful life and is tested for impairment annually or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired.

Insurance Licenses. The total value of the licenses as at June 30, 2014 was \$16.6 million (December 31, 2013 — \$16.6 million). This includes \$10.0 million of acquired licenses held by AAIC, \$4.5 million of acquired licenses held by Aspen Specialty and \$2.1 million of acquired licenses held by Aspen U.K. The insurance licenses are considered to have an indefinite life and are not being amortized. The licenses have an indefinite life and are tested for impairment annually or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired.

Other. In 2010, the Company purchased APJ Continuation Limited and its subsidiaries (“APJ”) for an aggregate consideration of \$4.8 million. The directors of Aspen Holdings assessed the fair value of the net tangible and financial assets acquired at \$1.2 million. The \$3.6 million intangible asset represented the Company’s assessment of the value of renewal rights and distribution channels (\$2.2 million) and the lock-in period for employees associated with the business (\$1.4 million). The asset was amortized over a five-year period and the value as at June 30, 2014 was \$Nil (December 31, 2013 — \$0.2 million).

16. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

(a) Restricted assets

The Company is obliged by the terms of its contractual obligations to U.S. policyholders and by obligations to certain regulatory authorities to facilitate issue of letters of credit or maintain certain balances in trust funds for the benefit of policyholders.

The following table details the forms and value of Company’s restricted assets as at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	As at June 30, 2014	As at December 31, 2013	
	(\$ in millions, except percentages)		
Regulatory trusts and deposits:			
Affiliated transactions	\$727.0	\$685.8	
Third party	2,181.7	2,236.4	
Letters of credit / guarantees ⁽¹⁾	785.9	830.4	
Total restricted assets	\$3,694.6	\$3,752.6	
Total as percent of cash and invested assets	42.9	% 45.5	%

⁽¹⁾ As of June 30, 2014, the Company had pledged funds of \$758.3 million and £16.2 million (December 31, 2013 — \$803.7 million and £16.1 million) as collateral for the secured letters of credit.

Funds at Lloyd’s. AUL operates at Lloyd’s as the corporate member for Syndicate 4711. Lloyd’s determines Syndicate 4711’s required regulatory capital principally through the syndicate’s annual business plan. Such capital, called Funds at Lloyd’s, comprises cash, investments and a fully collateralized letter of credit. The fully collateralized letter of credit has been provided by Aspen Bermuda and is disclosed in the above table as an affiliated transaction.

The amounts provided as Funds at Lloyd’s will be drawn upon and become a liability of the Company in the event of Syndicate 4711 declaring a loss at a level that cannot be funded from other resources, or if Syndicate 4711 requires funds to cover a short term liquidity gap. The amount which the Company provides as Funds at Lloyd’s is not available for distribution to the Company for the payment of dividends. Aspen Managing Agency Limited, the managing agent to Syndicate 4711, is also required by Lloyd’s to maintain a minimum level of capital which as at June 30, 2014 was \$0.7 million (December 31, 2013 — \$0.7 million). This is not available for distribution by the Company for the payment of dividends.

Letters of Credit Facility. On June 30, 2014, Aspen Bermuda and Citibank Europe plc (“Citi Europe”) replaced an existing letter of credit facility, dated July 30, 2012, in a maximum aggregate amount of up to \$950.0 million (the “LOC Facility”) comprised of two maturity tranches (Tranche I with a limit of \$650.0 million and Tranche II with a limit of \$300.0 million) which expired on its own terms on June 30, 2014. The LOC Facility was replaced with a new letter of credit facility in a maximum aggregate amount of up to \$575.0 million (the “New LOC Facility”). Under the New LOC Facility, which will expire on June 30, 2016, Aspen Bermuda will pay to Citi Europe (a) a letter of credit

fee based on the available amounts of each letter of credit and (b) a commitment fee, which varies based upon usage, on the unutilized portion of the New LOC Facility. Aspen Bermuda will also pay interest on the amount drawn by any beneficiary under a credit provided under the New LOC Facility at a rate per annum of LIBOR plus 1% (plus reserve asset costs, if any) from the date of drawing until the date of reimbursement by Aspen Bermuda. The New LOC Facility is used to secure obligations of Aspen Bermuda to its policyholders. In addition to the New LOC Facility, we also use regulatory trusts to secure our obligations to policyholders.

The terms of a Pledge Agreement between Aspen Bermuda and Citi Europe (pursuant to an Assignment Agreement dated October 11, 2006) dated January 17, 2006, as amended, were also amended on June 30, 2014 to change the types of securities or other assets that are acceptable as collateral under the New LOC Facility. All other agreements relating to Aspen Bermuda's LOC Facility, which now apply to the New LOC Facility with Citi Europe, as previously filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, remain in full force and effect.

Aspen U.K. and Aspen Bermuda have a \$100.0 million secured letter of credit facility agreement with Barclays Bank PLC. As at June 30, 2014, the Company had \$12.4 million of outstanding collateralized letters of credit under this facility (December 31, 2013 — \$18.9 million).

Interest Rate Swaps. As at June 30, 2014, cash collateral with a fair value of \$28.6 million was held by the Company's counterparties to support the current valuation of the interest rate swaps (December 31, 2013 — \$34.3 million). For more information, please refer to Note 10 of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

(b) Operating leases

Amounts outstanding under operating leases net of subleases as of June 30, 2014 were:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Later Years	Total
	(\$ in millions)						
Operating Lease Obligations	\$3.5	\$12.6	\$8.6	\$7.5	\$6.2	\$7.1	\$45.5

(c) Contingent liabilities

In common with the rest of the insurance and reinsurance industry, the Company is also subject to litigation and arbitration in the ordinary course of business. The Company's Operating Subsidiaries are regularly engaged in the investigation, conduct and defense of disputes, or potential disputes, resulting from questions of insurance or reinsurance coverage or claims activities. Pursuant to insurance and reinsurance arrangements, many of these disputes are resolved by arbitration or other forms of alternative dispute resolution. Such legal proceedings are considered in connection with estimating the Company's Insurance Reserves — Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses, as provided on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

In some jurisdictions, noticeably the U.S., a failure to deal with such disputes or potential disputes in an appropriate manner could result in an award of "bad faith" punitive damages against the Company's Operating Subsidiaries. In accordance with ASC 450-20-50-4b, for (a) reasonably possible losses for which no accrual is made because any of the conditions for accrual in ASC 450-20-25-2 are not met and (b) reasonably possible losses in excess of the amounts accrued pursuant to ASC 450-20-30-1, the Company will provide an estimate of the possible loss or range of possible loss or state that such an estimate cannot be made.

As of June 30, 2014, based on available information, it was the opinion of the Company's management that the probability of the ultimate resolution of pending or threatened litigation or arbitrations having a material effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or liquidity would be remote.

17. Subsequent Events

On July 30, 2014, Endurance issued a press release that it has terminated its offer to acquire all of the Company's ordinary shares. In connection with Endurance's termination of its acquisition offer, Endurance also stated that it will not be pursuing shareholder support for an increase in the size of the Company's Board of Directors and will not be seeking shareholder support for its petition to the Bermudian courts to implement a Scheme of Arrangement. In addition, the press release confirmed that Endurance had instructed its exchange agent for the exchange offer (which offered the Company's shareholders the possibility of exchanging the Company's shares for those of Endurance and was previously due to expire on August 29, 2014) to promptly return all the Company's ordinary shares to tendering shareholders.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following is a discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in this report and the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, as well as the discussions of critical accounting policies, contained in our Audited Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

Some of the information contained in this discussion and analysis or set forth elsewhere in this report, including information with respect to our plans and strategy for our business and in "Outlook and Trends" below, includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Please see the section captioned "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" in this report, the "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information on factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results described in, or implied by, any forward-looking statements contained in this discussion and analysis.

Overview

We are a Bermuda holding company and write insurance and reinsurance business through our wholly-owned subsidiaries in Bermuda, the U.K. and the U.S.

Some of the key results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 were:

Gross written premiums of \$779.3 million for the second quarter of 2014, an increase of 13.4% from the second quarter of 2013. Gross written premiums in reinsurance remained consistent with the prior year while insurance grew by 23.7% as a result of the continued strategic growth of our U.S. and international insurance teams;

Net favorable development on prior year loss reserves of \$31.8 million for the second quarter of 2014 compared with \$27.4 million in the second quarter of 2013, which had a 5.2 percentage point impact on the combined ratio in the second quarter of 2014 and a 5.0 percentage point impact on the combined ratio in the second quarter of 2013;

Combined ratio of 90.1% for the second quarter of 2014 compared with a combined ratio of 97.1% for the second quarter of 2013. There were \$22.1 million, or 3.6 combined ratio points, of pre-tax catastrophe losses net of reinsurance recoveries and reinstatement premiums in the second quarter of 2014 compared with \$58.7 million or 10.9 percentage points, of pre-tax catastrophe losses net of reinsurance recoveries and reinstatement premiums in the second quarter of 2013;

Diluted net income per share of \$1.82 for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 compared with diluted net income per share of \$0.36⁽¹⁾ in the same quarter last year;

Gross written premiums of \$1,634.8 million for the first half of 2014, an increase of 11.9% from the first half of 2013. Gross written premiums have increased in the reinsurance segment predominantly from increased catastrophe and pro rata business while the insurance segment has experienced growth across lines of business both internationally and in the U.S.;

Combined ratio of 88.9% for the first half of 2014 compared with a combined ratio of 93.7% for the first half of 2013. There were \$32.7 million, or 2.8 percentage points, of catastrophe losses pre-tax net of reinsurance recoveries and reinstatement premiums in the first half of 2014 compared with \$58.7 million, or 5.6 percentage points in the first half of 2013;

Annualized net income return on average equity of 16.8% for the second quarter of 2014 compared with 4.4% for the second quarter of 2013 and annualized net income return on average equity of 16.2% for the first half of 2014 compared with 8.0% for the first half of 2013; and

Diluted book value per share⁽²⁾ of \$44.84 as at June 30, 2014, up 9.6% from December 31, 2013.

We continue to execute on our strategies to expand the Aspen Capital Markets division and improve the efficiency of our reinsurance purchasing. In our reinsurance segment we have been able to increase our catastrophe business on a gross basis while maintaining similar net exposures through \$135 million of new third party collateralized reinsurance capacity established by our capital markets team. In the insurance segment, we have reduced our ceded reinsurance spend from 27.9% of gross written premiums in the first half of 2013 to 24.3% of premiums in 2014 due to more efficient purchasing and by retaining more risk.

Total shareholders' equity increased by \$167.4 million to \$3,554.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014. The most significant movements were:

- \$207.4 million increase in retained earnings for the period; and
- net unrealized gains on investments, net of taxes, of \$49.8 million.

Diluted net income per share for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 after deducting the \$7.1 million difference (1) between the capital raised upon issuance of the PIERS, net of original issuance costs, and the final redemption of \$230.0 million.

Diluted book value per ordinary share is based on total shareholders' equity less preference shares (liquidation (2) preference less issue expenses), divided by the total number of issued and potentially dilutive ordinary shares at the end of the period.

Ordinary shareholders' equity as at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was:

	As at June 30, 2014	As at December 31, 2013
	(\$ in millions, except for share amounts)	
Total shareholders' equity	\$3,554.2	\$3,299.6
Preference shares less issue expenses	(555.8) (555.8
Non-controlling interests	0.2	0.3
Net assets attributable to ordinary shareholders	\$2,998.6	\$2,831.3
Issued ordinary shares	65,462,919	65,546,976
Issued and potentially dilutive ordinary shares	66,871,353	67,089,572

Recent Developments

On July 30, 2014, Endurance issued a press release that it has terminated its offer to acquire all of our ordinary shares. In connection with Endurance's termination of its acquisition offer, Endurance also stated that it will not be pursuing shareholder support for an increase in the size of our Board of Directors and will not be seeking shareholder support for its petition to the Bermudian courts to implement a Scheme of Arrangement. In addition, the press release confirmed that Endurance had instructed its exchange agent for the exchange offer (which offered our shareholders the possibility of exchanging Aspen shares for those of Endurance and was previously due to expire on August 29, 2014) to promptly return all our ordinary shares to tendering shareholders.

Outlook and Trends

Overall, the market has seen pressure on rates in certain insurance and reinsurance lines. In reinsurance, downward pressure on rates is most notable in property catastrophe, although a degree of downward rate pressure is also being felt in casualty, specialty and the less catastrophe peril exposed areas of property. Within insurance, aviation and energy property are the most profoundly affected. Following the recent Malaysia Airlines losses, however, we expect that there will be meaningful increases in rates on the aviation war accounts in both insurance and reinsurance. At this stage, we do not expect the recent aviation losses to turn the broader aviation market but this may stem the existing downward pressure. In the insurance market in the U.S., property rates are more challenging though we are experiencing generally rate stability across the U.S. In some areas such as primary casualty we occasionally achieve some rate increases.

See "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" included in this report.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies

Our condensed consolidated financial statements are based on the selection of accounting policies and require management to make significant estimates and assumptions. Some of the more critical judgments in the areas of accounting estimates and assumptions that affect our financial condition and results of operations are related to insurance reserves, premiums receivable in respect of assumed reinsurance, the fair value of derivatives and the value of investments, including the extent of any other-than-temporary impairment. For a detailed discussion of our critical accounting policies, please refer to Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Critical Accounting Policies" in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and the notes to the consolidated condensed unaudited financial statements

contained in this report.

We have discussed the application of these critical accounting policies with our Board of Directors and Audit Committee.

43

Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 Compared to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013

The following is a discussion and analysis of our consolidated results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, starting with a summary of our consolidated results and followed by a segmental analysis.

Total Income Statement

Our statements of operations consolidate the underwriting results of our two business segments and include certain other revenue and expense items that are not allocated to segments.

Gross written premiums. Gross written premiums increased by \$92.0 million, or 13.4%, in the second quarter of 2014 compared to the second quarter of 2013. Premiums from our reinsurance segment were in line with the second quarter of 2013 with growth in catastrophe as we continue to leverage our underwriting expertise to offer third-party investors access to diversified products, offset by planned reductions in U.S. casualty and lower production in specialty and credit and surety lines due to worsening conditions and increased competition. Our insurance segment's premiums increased by 23.7% principally due to continued growth across our U.S. lines in addition to growth in our international financial and professional lines. The table below shows our gross written premiums for each segment for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and the percentage change in gross written premiums for each segment:

Business Segment	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 (\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 (\$ in millions)	% increase/(decrease)	
Reinsurance	\$298.4	\$298.6	(0.1)%
Insurance	480.9	388.7	23.7	%
Total	\$779.3	\$687.3	13.4	%

Ceded reinsurance. Total reinsurance ceded for the quarter of \$92.9 million increased by \$18.3 million from the second quarter of 2013 mainly as a result of the timing of ceded written premium recognized in U.S. property and casualty insurance lines. For the year to date, ceded reinsurance costs are consistent with the prior year and have reduced as a percentage of gross written premiums due to our strategy to retain more risk and despite increasing our gross catastrophe reinsurance line sizes and ceding more risk to third-party investors.

Net premiums earned. Net premiums earned in the second quarter of 2014 increased by 13.3% from the second quarter of 2013, consistent with the increase in gross written premiums in the period.

Losses and loss adjustment expenses. The loss ratio for the quarter of 54.7% reduced by 6.6 percentage points compared to the second quarter of 2013 due to lower current year losses and a \$4.4 million increase in reserve releases. There were \$22.1 million, or 3.6 combined ratio points, of pre-tax catastrophe losses net of reinsurance recoveries in the second quarter of 2014 compared with \$58.7 million, or 10.9 percentage points net of reinsurance recoveries and net of \$5.2 million of reinstatement premiums in the second quarter of 2013. Catastrophe losses in the second quarter of 2014 included \$17.1 million for U.S. weather-related events and \$5.0 million for Japanese snowstorms.

In the reinsurance segment, the reduction in the loss ratio was due predominantly to lower catastrophe losses. In the second quarter of 2013, we reported \$57.1 million of catastrophe losses associated with floods in Central Europe, Canada and India as well as tornadoes and hailstorms in the U.S. while in the current quarter we recognized \$11.9 million of catastrophe losses associated with U.S. storms and Japanese snowstorms. In addition, there was a \$4.3 million increase in prior year reserve releases from \$24.1 million in the second quarter of 2013 to \$28.4 million in the current period.

In the insurance segment, the loss ratio for the second quarter of 2014 decreased by 2.3 percentage points from 65.2% to 62.9% despite catastrophe losses increasing from \$6.9 million in the second quarter of 2013 to \$10.2 million in the second quarter of 2014, both as a result of U.S. storms. Reserve releases remained stable at \$3.4 million for the second quarter compared to \$3.3 million for the comparative quarter last year. The improvement in the loss ratio in the quarter is due to improved results from our U.S. operations, lower non-catastrophe attritional losses and higher levels of retention.

We monitor the ratio of losses and LAE to net earned premium (the “loss ratio”) as a measure of relative underwriting performance where a lower ratio represents a better result than a higher ratio. The loss ratios for our two business segments for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

Business Segment	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013
Reinsurance	44.8	% 57.4
Insurance	62.9	% 65.2
Total Loss Ratio	54.7	% 61.3

We also present, in the table below, loss ratios both including and excluding the impact from catastrophe losses to aid in the analysis of the underlying performance of our segments. For this purpose, we have defined the major second quarter 2014 catastrophe losses as losses associated with winter storms in the U.S. and Japan. Catastrophe losses in the second quarter of 2013 related to floods in Central Europe, Canada and India as well as tornadoes and hailstorms in the U.S.

The underlying changes in loss ratios by segment are shown in the tables below for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. The total loss ratio represents the calendar year U.S. GAAP loss ratio. The current year adjustments represent the effect on the loss ratio of net claims and reinstatement premiums from catastrophe loss events.

For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014	Total Loss Ratio	Current Year Adjustments	Loss Ratio Excluding Current Year Adjustments
Reinsurance	44.8	% (4.3))% 40.5
Insurance	62.9	% (3.0))% 59.9
Total	54.7	% (3.6))% 51.1

For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013	Total Loss Ratio	Current Year Adjustments	Loss Ratio Excluding Current Year Adjustments
Reinsurance	57.4	% (20.0))% 37.4
Insurance	65.2	% (2.5))% 62.7
Total	61.3	% (11.3))% 50.0

Reserve releases in our reinsurance segment increased from \$24.1 million in the second quarter of 2013 to \$28.4 million in the current period. Favorable reserve development in our specialty and other property reinsurance lines was the main contributor to the release. The insurance segment had a \$3.4 million reserve release this quarter, due primarily to favorable development in property and casualty business lines. The release of \$3.3 million in the comparative quarter of 2013 was due primarily to favorable development in property and casualty lines, but partially offset by strengthening in marine, aviation and energy lines.

Expense ratio. We monitor the ratio of expenses to net earned premium (the “expense ratio”) as a measure of the cost effectiveness of our amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs, general, administrative and corporate expenses. The table below splits the net expense ratio between the amortized deferred policy acquisition costs, general, administrative and corporate expenses and the effect of reinsurance for each of the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

Ratios Based on Gross Earned Premium	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014			Three Months Ended June 30, 2013		
	Reinsurance	Insurance	Total	Reinsurance	Insurance	Total
Policy acquisition expense ratio	17.2	% 14.6	% 15.7	% 19.6	% 15.3	% 17.3
General and administrative expense ratio ⁽¹⁾	12.4	12.6	14.9	10.5	12.7	14.2

Edgar Filing: ASPEN INSURANCE HOLDINGS LTD - Form 10-Q

Gross expense ratio	29.6	27.2	30.6	30.1	28.0	31.5	
Effect of reinsurance	1.1	5.4	4.8	1.4	6.6	4.3	
Total net expense ratio	30.7	% 32.6	% 35.4	% 31.5	% 34.6	% 35.8	%

(1) The total group general and administrative expense ratio includes corporate expenses.

45

Policy acquisition expenses are broadly flat when compared to the second quarter of 2013. However, the acquisition ratio decreased when compared to the second quarter of 2013 as the prior quarter was impacted by a number of commutation adjustments which reduced earned premiums without any equivalent reduction in commissions. General, administrative and corporate expenses increased by \$21.1 million from \$87.7 million in the second quarter of 2013. The increase includes \$5.3 million of non-recurring corporate expenses associated with the cost of defending the unsolicited approach by Endurance. Excluding the costs related to Endurance's unsolicited approach, the general, administrative and corporate expense ratio increased by 0.7 percentage points due to increases in headcount associated with growth in our business, increased U.S. dollar to Sterling exchange rates and higher performance-related accruals. Net investment income. Net investment income for the quarter of \$46.1 million increased by 0.4% compared to \$45.9 million in the second quarter of 2013 due primarily to increases in fixed income interest and equity dividends. Change in fair value of derivatives. In the three months ended June 30, 2014, we recorded a loss of \$3.5 million (2013 — gain of \$6.8 million) in respect of interest rate swaps due to declining interest rates and a loss of \$1.1 million (2013 — loss of \$9.7 million) in respect of foreign exchange contracts. Income before tax. In the second quarter of 2014, income before tax was \$137.0 million (2013 — \$41.1 million) comprising the amounts set out in the table below:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013
	(\$ in millions)	
Underwriting income	\$83.3	\$30.9
Corporate expenses	(21.9) (15.2
Other income	2.0	0.9
Net investment income	46.1	45.9
Change in fair value of derivatives	(4.6) (2.9
Change in fair value of loan notes issued by variable interest entities	(2.6) —
Realized and unrealized investment gains	34.6	14.4
Realized and unrealized investment losses ⁽¹⁾	(3.3) (21.0
Net realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains	10.7	(4.1
Interest expense	(7.3) (7.8
Income before tax	\$137.0	\$41.1

⁽¹⁾ OTTI of \$0.7 million is included within realized and unrealized investment losses for the three months ended June 30, 2014, in the table above.

Taxes. Income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2014 was \$6.2 million (2013 — \$1.0 million) equating to an estimated effective tax rate of 4.5% (2013 — 2.4%). The effective tax rate represents an estimate of the tax rate which will apply to our pre-tax income for 2014 including adjustments to prior period estimates. The effective tax rate for the year is subject to revision in future periods if circumstances change and depends on the relative profitability of those parts of business underwritten in Bermuda (where the rate of tax on corporate profits is zero), the U.K. (where the corporate tax rate was 23%, and decreased to 21% from April 1, 2014) and the U.S. (where the corporate tax rate is 34%). The increase in tax rate for the quarter is due predominantly to a greater proportion of group profits being derived from our U.K.-based operations relative to Bermuda.

Net income after tax. Net income after tax for the three months ended June 30, 2014 was \$130.8 million, equivalent to basic earnings per share of \$1.85 adjusted for the \$9.4 million preference share dividends. Fully diluted earnings per ordinary share were \$1.82. Net income after tax for the three months ended June 30, 2013 was \$40.1 million, equivalent to basic earnings per ordinary share of \$0.38 after deducting \$8.0 million in preference share dividends and \$7.1 million difference between the capital raised upon issuance and the final redemption of the PIERS. Fully diluted earnings per ordinary share were \$0.36.

Investment gains. Realized and unrealized investment gains for the three months ended June 30, 2014 were \$31.3 million (2013 — loss of \$6.6 million) comprising the amounts set out in the table below:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 (\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013	
Net realized gains/(losses):			
Fixed income securities — Available for sale	\$0.6	\$1.5	
Fixed income securities — Trading	1.8	3.0	
Equity securities — Available for sale	4.2	6.9	
Equity securities — Trading	0.5	0.4	
Net unrealized gains/(losses):			
Fixed income securities — Trading	9.8	(17.1)
Short-term investments — Trading	—	—	
Equity securities — Trading	15.1	(1.3)
OTTI	(0.7)	—
Total realized and unrealized investment gains	\$31.3	\$(6.6)

(1) Excludes \$1.9 million of unrealized foreign exchange gains (June 30, 2013 — \$4.1 million loss) which are included in net realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains/(losses) in the statement of operations.

Other comprehensive income. Total other comprehensive income was \$52.3 million (2013 — loss of \$146.3 million), net of taxes, for the three months ended June 30, 2014. This is comprised of a \$31.5 million gain in the net unrealized available for sale investment portfolio (2013 — \$122.0 million net unrealized loss largely attributable to the impact of rising interest rates on our bond portfolios), a \$2.0 million reclassification of net realized gains to net income (2013 — \$5.5 million reclassified net realized gains), net unrealized gains in foreign currency translation of \$22.8 million (2013 — \$18.9 million net unrealized losses) and an amortization of loss on derivative contracts of \$Nil (2013 — \$0.1 million).

Dividends. As announced on April 23, 2014, the dividend on our ordinary shares increased from \$0.18 per ordinary share to \$0.20 per ordinary share. Dividends paid on our ordinary and preference shares in the three months ended June 30, 2014 were \$13.1 million and \$9.4 million, respectively (2013 — \$11.9 million and \$8.0 million).

Underwriting Results by Operating Segments — Second Quarter

We are organized into two business segments: Reinsurance and Insurance. The reinsurance segment consists of property catastrophe reinsurance, other property reinsurance, casualty reinsurance and specialty reinsurance. The insurance segment consists of property and casualty insurance, marine, aviation and energy insurance and financial and professional lines insurance. As previously stated, we announced on November 8, 2013 that our property and casualty insurance lines of business would be integrated into a combined property and casualty line. This includes the programs business, previously reported separately.

We have provided additional disclosures for corporate and other (non-underwriting) income and expenses in Note 5 of our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this report. Corporate and other income includes net investment income, net realized and unrealized investment gains or losses, corporate expenses, interest expense, net realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains or losses and income taxes, none of which are allocated to the business segments.

Please refer to the tables in Note 5 in our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of this report for a summary of gross and net written and earned premiums, underwriting results and combined ratios and reserves for our two business segments for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. The contributions of each segment to gross written premiums in the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

Business Segment	Gross Written Premiums			
	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013		
	(% of total gross written premiums)			
Reinsurance	38.3	% 43.4		%
Insurance	61.7	56.6		
Total	100.0	% 100.0		%

Business Segment	Gross Written Premiums			
	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013		
	(\$ in millions)			
Reinsurance	\$298.4	\$298.6		
Insurance	480.9	388.7		
Total	\$779.3	\$687.3		

Reinsurance

Our reinsurance segment consists of property catastrophe reinsurance, other property reinsurance (risk excess, pro rata, facultative and engineering), casualty reinsurance (U.S. treaty, international treaty and global facultative) and specialty reinsurance (credit and surety, agriculture and other specialty). For a more detailed description of this segment, see Part I, Item 1, "Business — Business Segments — Reinsurance" in the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

Gross written premiums. Gross written premiums in our reinsurance segment reduced by 0.1% compared to the three months ended June 30, 2013. The table below shows our gross written premiums for each line of business for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and the percentage change in gross written premiums for each such line:

Lines of Business	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013	% increase/ (decrease)	
	(\$ in millions)	(\$ in millions)		
Property catastrophe reinsurance	\$91.6	\$83.2	10.1	%
Other property reinsurance	89.8	91.0	(1.3))%
Casualty reinsurance	60.6	63.4	(4.4))%
Specialty reinsurance	56.4	61.0	(7.5))%
Total	\$298.4	\$298.6	(0.1))%

The increase in property catastrophe premiums in the second quarter of 2014 is mainly attributable to the impact of our new capital markets team which has enabled us to leverage our underwriting expertise to increase line sizes and cede risk to third party investors. The decrease in other property reinsurance is due to reductions in our risk excess and facultative lines while gross written premiums in casualty reinsurance decreased due to planned reductions in some casualty lines. Specialty reinsurance reduced due to increased competition in credit and surety and agriculture lines in addition to reductions in space and aviation premiums.

Losses and loss adjustment expenses. The loss ratio for the three months ended June 30, 2014 was 44.8% compared to 57.4% in the equivalent period in 2013. The reduction in the loss ratio is primarily attributable to lower catastrophe losses in the quarter and increased reserve releases. In the second quarter of 2014, we experienced \$11.9 million of natural catastrophe losses in the quarter: \$6.9 million of losses associated with U.S. storms and \$5.0 million of losses associated with Japanese snowstorms. The comparable quarter of 2013 was adversely affected by \$57.1 million of

losses associated with the floods in Central Europe, Canada and India as well as tornadoes and hailstorms in the U.S. There was a \$4.3 million increase in prior year reserve releases from \$24.1 million in the second quarter of 2013 to \$28.4 million in the current period. Reserve releases for the current quarter were mainly as a result of favorable development in the property catastrophe and specialty lines. Further information relating to the movement of prior year reserves is found below under “Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses.”

Policy acquisition, general and administrative expenses. Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs was \$49.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 equivalent to 17.9% of net premiums earned (2013 — \$56.6 million or 20.5% of net premiums earned). The expenses in the prior year were adversely affected by increased profit commission accruals in our specialty and casualty lines. The general and administrative expense ratio of 12.8% increased from 11.0% for the same period in 2013 due predominantly to an increase in performance-related accruals.

Insurance

Our insurance segment consists of property and casualty insurance, marine, aviation and energy insurance and financial and professional lines insurance. For a more detailed description of this segment, see Part I, Item 1 “Business — Business Segments — Insurance” in the Company’s 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

Gross written premiums. Gross written premiums in our insurance segment increased by 23.7% for the quarter from \$388.7 million in the equivalent period in 2013. The table below shows our gross written premiums for each line of business for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and the percentage change in gross written premiums for each line:

Lines of Business	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 (\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 (\$ in millions)	% increase/ (decrease)	
Property and casualty insurance	\$221.3	\$176.8	25.2	%
Marine, aviation and energy insurance	153.6	143.8	6.8	%
Financial and professional lines insurance	106.0	68.1	55.7	%
Total	\$480.9	\$388.7	23.7	%

The increase in gross written premiums came from across our U.S. lines in addition to our international financial and professional lines.

Losses and loss adjustment expenses. The loss ratio for the quarter was 62.9% compared to 65.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2013, with the decrease in the loss ratio due to improved non-catastrophe attritional loss experiences. The loss ratio for the current quarter has been adversely affected by \$10.2 million of catastrophe losses associated with U.S. weather-related events while the comparative period in 2013 experienced \$6.9 million of U.S. storm losses. Reserve releases in insurance were broadly consistent year on year.

The reserve releases in the current quarter were principally from our property and casualty lines. The releases, in the comparative quarter in 2013 were due primarily to favorable development in casualty, energy physical damage and aviation lines, but offset by strengthening in other lines within marine, aviation and energy.

Policy acquisition, general and administrative expenses. Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs for the three months ended June 30, 2014 reduced to 17.5% of net premiums earned compared to 18.9% in the second quarter of 2013 as a result of changes in business mix and increased over-rider commissions for ceded reinsurance sessions. Our general and administrative expenses increased by \$9.0 million to \$51.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 from \$42.1 million in the second quarter of 2013 due to growth in our U.S. business and higher performance-related accruals however the expense ratio has reduced by 0.6 percentage points commensurate with the increase in net earned premium.

Results of Operations for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 Compared to the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013
The following is a discussion and analysis of our consolidated results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, starting with a summary of our consolidated results and followed by a segmental analysis.

Total Income Statement

Our statements of operations consolidate the underwriting results of our two business segments and include certain other revenue and expense items that are not allocated to segments.

Gross written premiums. Gross written premiums increased by \$174.1 million, or 11.9%, in the six months of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013. Premiums from our reinsurance segment increased by 4.4% reflecting growth in catastrophe and other property lines offset by planned reductions in casualty lines and challenging market conditions in some specialty lines. Our insurance segment's premiums increased by 19.6% principally due to growth from all our U.S. teams and our international financial and professional lines. The table below shows our gross written premiums for each segment for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and the percentage change in gross written premiums for each segment:

Business Segment	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 (\$ in millions)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 (\$ in millions)	% increase	
Reinsurance	\$770.6	\$738.2	4.4	%
Insurance	864.2	722.5	19.6	%
Total	\$1,634.8	\$1,460.7	11.9	%

Ceded reinsurance. Total reinsurance ceded for the first half of 2014 of \$250.9 million decreased by \$0.1 million from the first half of 2013. The ceded reinsurance premiums have decreased as a percentage of gross written premiums from 17.2% in the first half of 2013 to 15.3% in the first half of 2014 due to our strategy to retain more risk and despite increasing our gross catastrophe reinsurance line sizes and ceding more risk to third-party investors.

Net premiums earned. Net premiums earned in the first six months of 2014 increased by 12.1% from first six months of 2013, broadly consistent with the increase in gross written premiums in the period.

Losses and loss adjustment expenses. The loss ratio for the first six months of 2014 of 52.9% reduced by 4.2 percentage points compared to the first six months of 2013 due to lower current year losses and higher reserve releases.

In the reinsurance segment, the reduction in the loss ratio was predominantly due to a \$39.7 million reduction in catastrophe losses and a \$5.4 million increase in prior year reserve releases from \$44.2 million in the first half of 2013 to \$49.6 million in the current period. In the first half of 2014, we recognized \$17.4 million of catastrophe losses associated with U.S. storms and Japanese snowstorms compared to \$57.1 million of losses associated with floods in Central Europe, Canada and India as well as the hailstorms and tornadoes in the U.S for the same period in 2013.

In the insurance segment, the loss ratio for the first six months of 2014 of 61.2% decreased by 1.9 percentage points compared to the first six months of 2013. In the first half of 2014, we recognized \$15.3 million of catastrophe losses from U.S. and U.K. storms compared to \$6.9 million of catastrophe losses related to the tornadoes and hailstorms in the U.S. in the first half of 2013. In addition, 2013 was impacted by a higher frequency of medium-sized fire-related losses in our property portfolio. The improvement in loss ratio in the period was also the result of improved results from our U.S. operations, lower attritional losses and higher risk retentions. Prior year reserve releases have increased by \$1.0 million from \$9.4 million in the first half of 2013 to \$10.4 million in the current period.

We monitor the ratio of losses and LAE to net earned premium (the "loss ratio") as a measure of relative underwriting performance where a lower ratio represents a better result than a higher ratio. The loss ratios for our two business segments for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

Business Segment	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013		
Reinsurance	43.2	% 51.2		%
Insurance	61.2	% 63.1		%
Total Loss Ratio	52.9	% 57.1		%

We also present, in the table below, loss ratios both including and excluding the impact from catastrophe losses to aid in the analysis of the underlying performance of our segments. For this purpose, we have defined the major 2014 catastrophe

50

losses as losses associated with U.S. storms and Japan snowstorms and flood losses in the U.K. We have defined major 2013 catastrophe losses as losses associated with floods in Central Europe, Canada and India as well as tornadoes and hailstorms in the U.S., which occurred in the first half of 2013.

The underlying changes in loss ratios by segment are shown in the tables below for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. The total loss ratio represents the calendar year U.S. GAAP loss ratio. The current year adjustments represent the effect on the loss ratio of net claims and reinstatement premiums from catastrophe loss events.

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014	Total Loss Ratio	Current Year Adjustments	Loss Ratio Excluding Current Year Adjustments
Reinsurance	43.2	% (3.2))% 40.0 %
Insurance	61.2	% (2.4))% 58.8 %
Total	52.9	% (2.8))% 50.1 %

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013	Total Loss Ratio	Current Year Adjustments	Loss Ratio Excluding Current Year Adjustments
Reinsurance	51.2	% (10.3))% 40.9 %
Insurance	63.1	% (1.4))% 61.7 %
Total	57.1	% (5.8))% 51.3 %

Reserve releases in our reinsurance segment increased from \$44.2 million in the first half of 2013 to \$49.6 million in the current period. The insurance segment had a \$9.4 million reserve release in the first half of 2013 compared to a \$10.4 million reserve release in the first half of 2014. Refer to “Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses” below for a description of the key elements giving rise to these reserve releases.

Expense ratio. We monitor the ratio of expenses to net earned premium (the “expense ratio”) as a measure of the cost effectiveness of our amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and, general, administrative and corporate expenses. The table below splits the net expense ratio between the amortized deferred policy acquisition costs, general, administrative and corporate expenses and the effect of reinsurance for each of the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

Ratios Based on Gross Earned Premium	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014			Six Months Ended June 30, 2013			
	Reinsurance	Insurance	Total	Reinsurance	Insurance	Total	
Policy acquisition expense ratio	17.6	% 15.5	% 16.4	% 20.0	% 15.5	% 17.6	%
General and administrative expense ratio ⁽¹⁾	12.1	12.5	13.5	11.2	13.1	14.5	
Gross expense ratio	29.7	28.0	29.9	31.2	28.6	32.1	
Effect of reinsurance	1.3	6.1	5.4	1.6	6.7	4.5	
Total net expense ratio	31.0	% 34.1	% 35.3	% 32.8	% 35.3	% 36.6	%

⁽¹⁾ The total group general and administrative expense ratio includes corporate expenses.

Policy acquisition expenses have increased by \$9.1 million for the current half year due primarily to growth in premiums written. The growth in commissions payable did not result in an increase in the acquisition ratio as lower reinsurance costs have increased net earned premiums which combined with changes in the business mix have resulted in a 1.4 percentage point reduction in the acquisition ratio compared to the first half of 2013.

General, administrative and corporate expenses increased by \$30.1 million, for the first half of 2014 compared to the first half of 2013, due to \$8.3 million in non-recurring corporate expenses associated with the cost of defending the unsolicited approach by Endurance, increases in headcount associated with growth in our business, increased U.S. dollar to Sterling exchange rates and higher performance-related accruals.

Net investment income. Net investment income for the first half of 2014 was \$95.6 million, an increase of 1.5% compared to \$94.2 million in the first half of 2013 due primarily to increased fixed income interest and equity dividend income.

Change in fair value of derivatives. In the six months ended June 30, 2014, we recorded a loss of \$5.4 million (2013 — gain of \$7.4 million) in respect of interest rate swaps due to declining interest rates and a gain of \$1.9 million (2013 — loss of \$14.5 million) in respect of foreign exchange contracts.

Income before tax. In the the six months ended June 30, 2014, income before tax was \$261.2 million (2013 — \$138.8 million) comprising the amounts set out in the table below:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013
	(\$ in millions)	
Underwriting income	\$171.0	\$93.9
Corporate expenses	(38.8) (27.2
Other (expenses)/income	1.9	1.4
Net investment income	95.6	94.2
Change in fair value of derivatives	(3.5) (7.1
Change in fair value of loan notes issued by variable interest entities	(6.0) —
Realized and unrealized investment gains	52.5	30.7
Realized and unrealized investment (losses)	(7.6) (22.1
Net realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains	10.8	(9.5
Interest expense	(14.7) (15.5
Income before tax	\$261.2	\$138.8

Taxes. Income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$10.0 million (2013 — \$6.9 million) equating to an estimated effective tax rate of 3.8% (2013 — 5.0%). The effective tax rate represents an estimate of the tax rate which will apply to our pre-tax income for 2014 including adjustments to prior period estimates. The effective tax rate for the year is subject to revision in future periods if circumstances change and depends on the relative profitability of those parts of business underwritten in Bermuda (where the rate of tax on corporate profits is zero), the U.K. (where the corporate tax rate was 23%, and decreased to 21% from April 1, 2014) and the U.S. (where the corporate tax rate is 34%).

Net income after tax. Net income after tax for the six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$251.2 million, equivalent to basic earnings per share of \$3.55 adjusted for the \$18.9 million preference share dividends. Net income after tax for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$131.9 million, equivalent to basic earnings per ordinary share of \$1.60, adjusted for the \$16.6 million preference share dividend and the \$7.1 million difference between the capital raised upon issuance of the PIERS and the final redemption of the PIERS. Fully diluted earnings per ordinary share were \$3.48 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$1.52 for the equivalent period in 2013 .

Investment gains. Realized and unrealized investment gains for the six months ended June 30, 2014 were \$44.9 million (2013 — \$8.6 million) comprising the amounts set out in the table below:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013
	(\$ in millions)	
Net realized gains/(losses):		
Fixed income securities — Available for sale	\$1.9	\$7.6
Fixed income securities — Trading	2.5	5.2
Equity securities — Available for sale	5.5	7.5
Equity securities — Trading	1.5	0.1
Net unrealized gains/(losses):		
Fixed income securities — Trading	17.4	(19.9
Equity securities — Trading	16.8	8.1
OTTI	(0.7) —
Total realized and unrealized investment gains	\$44.9	\$8.6

(1) Excludes \$2.2 million of unrealized foreign exchange gains (June 30, 2013 — \$4.1 million loss) which are included in net realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains/losses in the statement of operations.

Other-than-temporary impairments. A security is impaired when its fair value is below its amortized cost. We review our aggregate investment portfolio, including equities, on an individual security basis for potential OTTI each quarter

based on criteria including issuer-specific circumstances, credit ratings actions and general macro-economic conditions. The total OTTI

52

charge for the six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$0.7 million (2013 — \$Nil). For a more detailed description of OTTI, please refer to Part II, Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in the Company’s 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

Other comprehensive income. Total other comprehensive income was \$67.8 million (2013 — loss of \$167.9 million), net of taxes, for the six months ended June 30, 2014. This is comprised of a \$52.0 million gain in the net unrealized available for sale investment portfolio (2013 — \$131.7 million net unrealized loss) largely attributable to the impact of rising interest rates on our bond portfolios, less a \$2.2 million reclassification of net realized gains to net income (2013 — \$12.0 million reclassified net realized gains), net unrealized gains in foreign currency translation of \$18.0 million (2013 — \$24.4 million net unrealized losses) and an amortization of loss on derivative contracts of \$Nil (2013 — \$0.2 million).

Dividends. As announced on April 23, 2014, the dividend on our ordinary shares increased from \$0.18 per ordinary share to \$0.20 per ordinary share. Dividends paid on our ordinary and preference shares in the six months ended June 30, 2014 were \$24.8 million and \$18.9 million, respectively (2013 — \$23.8 million and \$16.6 million).

Underwriting Results by Operating Segments — Half Year

Please refer to the tables in Note 5 in our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of this report for a summary of gross and net written and earned premiums, underwriting results and combined ratios and reserves for our two business segments for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. The contributions of each segment to gross written premiums in the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

Business Segment	Gross Written Premiums			
	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014		Six Months Ended June 30, 2013	
	(% of total gross written premiums)			
Reinsurance	47.1	%	50.5	%
Insurance	52.9		49.5	
Total	100.0	%	100.0	%

Business Segment	Gross Written Premiums	
	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013
	(\$ in millions)	
Reinsurance	\$770.6	\$738.2
Insurance	864.2	722.5
Total	\$1,634.8	\$1,460.7

Reinsurance

Gross written premiums. Gross written premiums in our reinsurance segment increased by 4.4% compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013. The table below shows our gross written premiums for each line of business for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and the percentage change in gross written premiums for each such line:

Lines of Business	Six Months Ended	Six Months Ended	% increase/	
	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	(decrease)	
	(\$ in millions)	(\$ in millions)		
Property catastrophe reinsurance	\$260.4	\$228.7	13.9	%
Other property reinsurance	192.2	170.5	12.8	%
Casualty reinsurance	173.9	188.7	(7.9))%
Specialty reinsurance	144.1	150.3	(4.1))%
Total	\$770.6	\$738.2	4.4	%

The increase in property catastrophe premiums is mainly attributable to the impact of our new capital markets team which has enabled us to increase line sizes. The increase in other property reinsurance is predominantly due to growth in our pro rata business which had a strong renewal season during the first quarter of 2014 across most regions. Gross written premiums in casualty reinsurance decreased primarily due to reductions in prior year premium estimates and

planned reductions in some casualty lines. Specialty premiums have reduced due to increased competition and challenging market conditions.

53

Losses and loss adjustment expenses. The loss ratio for the six months ended June 30, 2014 was 43.2% compared to 51.2% in the equivalent period in 2013. The reduction in the loss ratio is primarily attributable to lower current year losses and increased reserve releases. In the the first half of 2014, we experienced \$17.4 million of natural catastrophe losses comprising \$9.4 million of losses associated with U.S. storms and \$8.0 million of losses associated with Japanese snowstorms. The comparable period in 2013 experienced \$57.1 million of losses associated with floods in Central Europe, Canada and India as well as the hailstorms and tornadoes in the U.S. There was a \$5.4 million increase in prior year reserve releases from \$44.2 million in the first half of 2013 to \$49.6 million in the current period. Reserve releases for the current period were mainly as a result of favorable development in the property catastrophe and specialty lines. Further information relating to the movement of prior year reserves is found below under "Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses."

Policy acquisition, general and administrative expenses. Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs were \$100.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 equivalent to 18.4% of net premiums earned (2013 — \$111.9 million or 21.0% of net premiums earned). The reduction in the acquisition expense ratio is largely due to the comparative period in 2013 including commutation adjustments which reduced earned premiums without any equivalent reduction in commissions. The general and administrative expense ratio of 12.6% increased from 11.8% for the same period in 2013 due predominantly to an increase in performance-related accruals.

Insurance

Gross written premiums. Gross written premiums in our insurance segment for the six months ended June 30, 2014 increased by 19.6% from \$722.5 million in the equivalent period in 2013. The table below shows our gross written premiums for each line of business for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and the percentage change in gross written premiums for each line:

Lines of Business	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 (\$ in millions)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 (\$ in millions)	% increase/ (decrease)	
Property and casualty insurance	\$397.6	\$308.0	29.1	%
Marine, aviation and energy insurance	285.3	280.6	1.7	%
Financial and professional lines insurance	181.3	133.9	35.4	%
Total	\$864.2	\$722.5	19.6	%

The increase in gross written premium is mainly due to continued higher contribution from all our U.S. teams and our international financial and professional lines.

Losses and loss adjustment expenses. The loss ratio of 61.2% compared to 63.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2013, with the reduction due to lower current year non-catastrophe losses and higher reserve releases. The loss ratio for the first six months of 2014 has been adversely affected by \$15.3 million of catastrophe losses associated with U.S. and U.K weather-related events. Losses for the six months ended June 30, 2013 included \$6.9 million of catastrophe losses related to the tornadoes and hailstorms in the U.S. in addition to a higher frequency of losses in our property and casualty lines of business. There has been a \$1.0 million increase in prior year reserve releases from \$9.4 million in the first half of 2013 to \$10.4 million in the current period.

The reserve releases in the six-month period were principally from our property and casualty lines. The release in the comparative period in 2013 was due primarily from better than expected development from property and casualty, energy physical damage and aviation lines offsetting adverse development in other marine, aviation and energy lines of business.

Policy acquisition, general and administrative expenses. Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs for the six months ended June 30, 2014 decreased marginally to 18.9% of net premiums earned compared to 19.1% in the comparable period as a result of changes in business mix and some commission adjustments in our financial and professional lines written in the U.K. Our general and administrative expenses increased by \$12.5 million to \$97.0 million from \$84.5 million in the first half of 2013 due to growth in our U.S. business, increased U.S. dollar to Sterling exchange rates and higher performance-related accruals. The expense ratio decreased in the period as the increase in expenses was less significant than the increase in net earned premiums.

Cash and investments

As at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, total cash and investments, including accrued interest receivable, were \$8.6 billion and \$8.3 billion, respectively. The composition of our investment portfolio is summarized below:

	As at June 30, 2014		As at December 31, 2013		
	Estimated Fair Value	Percentage of Total Cash and Investments	Estimated Fair Value	Percentage of Total Cash and Investments	
	(\$ in millions except for percentages)				
Fixed income securities — available for sale					
U.S. government	\$994.0	11.5	% \$1,020.4	12.4	%
U.S. agency	205.0	2.4	269.1	3.2	
Municipal	26.9	0.3	32.8	0.4	
Corporate	2,244.9	26.0	2,069.4	24.9	
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	83.9	1.0	84.6	1.0	
Foreign government	766.5	8.9	778.9	9.4	
Asset-backed	140.3	1.6	122.3	1.4	
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed	54.9	0.6	62.6	0.8	
Agency mortgage-backed	1,010.4	11.7	1,129.0	13.7	
Total fixed income securities — available for sale	\$5,526.8	64.0	% \$5,569.1	67.2	%
Fixed income securities — trading					
U.S. government	12.9	0.1	22.0	0.3	
U.S. agency	0.2	—	0.2	—	
Municipal	1.2	—	1.1	—	
Corporate	524.5	6.1	474.8	5.7	
Foreign government	139.3	1.6	136.2	1.6	
Asset-backed	11.4	0.1	12.8	0.1	
Bank loans	81.4	0.9	69.1	0.8	
Total fixed income securities — trading	\$770.9	8.8	% \$716.2	8.5	%
Total other investments	8.7	0.1	48.0	0.6	
Total catastrophe bonds — trading	30.0	0.3	5.8	0.1	
Total equity securities — available for sale	142.1	1.7	149.5	1.8	
Total equity securities — trading	432.1	5.0	310.9	3.7	
Total short-term investments — available for sale	335.7	3.9	160.3	1.9	
Total short-term investments — trading	14.0	0.2	—	—	
Total cash and cash equivalents	1,345.2	15.6	1,293.6	15.6	
Total net (payable)/receivable for securities (purchased)/sold	(16.6)) (0.2)) 1.1	—	
Total accrued interest receivable	49.7	0.6	47.9	0.6	
Total cash and investments	\$8,638.6	100.0	% \$8,302.4	100.0	%

Fixed Income Securities. As at June 30, 2014, the average credit quality of our fixed income portfolio was “AA-,” with 87.7% of the portfolio being rated “A” or higher. As at December 31, 2013, the average credit quality of our fixed income portfolio was “AA-,” with 88.3% of the portfolio being rated “A” or higher. Where the credit ratings were split between the two main rating agencies, S&P and Moody’s, the lowest rating was used. Our fixed income portfolio duration as at June 30, 2014 was 3.41 years compared to 3.50 years as at December 31, 2013 excluding the impact of the interest rate swaps, and 3.13 years including the impact of interest rate swaps (December 31, 2013 — 3.17 years). As of June 30, 2014, we had invested \$81.4 million in a U.S. Dollar bank loans trading portfolio and increased our investment in equities by \$80.0 million in a new minimum volatility equity portfolio. In May 2014 we sold our BB High Yield portfolio for net proceeds of \$25.1 million. In August 2013, we invested in a \$200.0 million BBB rated EMD portfolio, which is reported above in corporate and foreign government securities.

Mortgage-Backed Securities. The following table summarizes the fair value of our mortgage-backed securities by rating and class as at June 30, 2014:

	AAA (\$ in millions)	AA and Below	Total
Agency	\$—	\$1,010.4	\$1,010.4
Non-agency commercial	29.5	25.4	54.9
Total mortgage-backed securities	\$29.5	\$1,035.8	\$1,065.3

Our mortgage-backed portfolio is supported by loans diversified across a number of geographic and economic sectors. Equity Securities. Equity securities are comprised of U.S. and foreign equity securities and are classified as available for sale or trading. The portfolio targets high quality global equity securities with attractive dividend yields. In January 2013, we increased our investment in our trading equities portfolio by \$200.0 million. In 2014, we increased our investment in equities by a total of \$80 million in a minimum volatility equity portfolio. The portfolio was funded in two tranches of \$40 million each in March 2014 and May 2014. The total investment return from the available for sale and trading equity portfolios for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013
Available for Sale Equity Portfolio	(\$ in millions)		(\$ in millions)	
Dividend income	\$1.0	\$1.8	\$2.5	\$3.5
Realized investment gains	4.2	6.9	5.5	7.5
Change in net unrealized gains/(losses), gross of tax	1.6	(8.5)	2.2	9.6
Realized foreign exchange gains	0.1	0.2	0.1	—
Unrealized foreign exchange gains/(losses)	0.4	3.3	0.4	(0.4)
Total investment return from the available for sale equity portfolio	\$7.3	\$3.7	\$10.7	\$20.2
Trading Equity Portfolio	(\$ in millions)		(\$ in millions)	
Dividend income	\$3.1	\$2.1	\$7.0	\$3.7
Realized investment gains/(losses)	0.5	0.4	1.5	0.1
Change in net unrealized gains, gross of tax	15.2	(5.8)	16.8	3.6
Unrealized foreign exchange gains/(losses)	1.9	(0.4)	2.2	(4.5)
Total investment return from the trading equity portfolio	\$20.7	\$(3.7)	\$27.5	\$2.9

Interest rate swaps. We continue to maintain our \$1.0 billion interest rate swaps program to partially mitigate the negative impact of rising interest rates on the market value of our fixed income portfolio. During the quarter, our interest rate swap position was down \$3.5 million which consisted of a \$0.6 million mark to market loss less \$2.9 million of net interest payments. As at June 30, 2014, our interest-rate swap position reduced the duration of the fixed-income portfolio from 3.41 years to 3.13 years and the duration of the aggregate portfolio from 2.79 years to 2.56 years.

Edgar Filing: ASPEN INSURANCE HOLDINGS LTD - Form 10-Q

The tables below summarize our European holdings by country (Eurozone and non-Eurozone), rating and sector as at June 30, 2014. Equity investments included in the table below are not rated (“NR”). Where the credit ratings were split between the two main rating agencies, S&P and Moody’s, the lowest rating was used.

As at June 30, 2014 by Ratings

Country	AAA	AA	A	BBB	BB	NR	Market Value	Market Value %	
	(\$ in millions except percentages)								
Austria	\$—	\$13.1	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$13.1	1.1 %	
Belgium	—	—	31.0	—	—	12.5	43.5	3.8	
Denmark	2.6	—	—	0.7	—	3.5	6.8	0.6	
Finland	23.8	—	—	—	—	5.2	29.0	2.5	
France	—	35.7	12.9	2.7	—	36.9	88.2	7.7	
Germany	70.6	25.2	51.5	3.6	—	7.0	157.9	13.8	
Ireland	—	—	—	—	1.0	—	1.0	0.1	
Italy	—	—	—	—	—	7.2	7.2	0.6	
Luxembourg	—	—	—	0.3	1.0	—	1.3	0.1	
Netherlands	—	63.5	8.6	1.2	1.5	0.2	75.0	6.6	
Norway	10.0	13.9	—	—	—	—	23.9	2.1	
Spain	—	—	—	2.2	—	—	2.2	0.2	
Sweden	3.0	22.6	—	1.0	—	19.1	45.7	4.0	
Switzerland	12.4	44.3	49.7	3.4	—	61.0	170.8	15.0	
United Kingdom	14.4	237.4	91.9	38.4	3.5	89.9	475.5	41.7	
Total European Exposures	\$136.8	\$455.7	\$245.6	\$53.5	\$7.0	\$242.5	\$1,141.1	100.0 %	

As at June 30, 2014 by Sectors

Country	Sovereign	ABS	Government Guaranteed Bonds	Agency	Local Government	Corporate Financial Issuers	Corporate Non- Financial Issuers	Covered Bonds	Equity	Bank Loans	Market Value	Unrealized Pre-tax Gain/Loss
	(\$ in millions except percentages)											
Austria	\$3.2	\$—	\$9.9	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$13.1	\$0.1
Belgium	—	—	—	—	—	—	31.0	—	12.5	—	43.5	3.3
Denmark	—	—	—	—	2.6	—	0.7	—	3.5	—	6.8	0.2
Finland	14.3	—	—	—	9.4	—	—	—	5.2	—	28.9	1.1
France	—	—	5.6	27.7	—	—	18.0	—	36.9	—	88.2	7.9
Germany	20.0	—	38.5	11.1	23.8	—	57.5	—	7.0	—	157.9	3.6
Ireland	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.0	1.0	—
Italy	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7.2	—	7.2	0.9
Luxembourg	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.3	—	—	1.0	1.3	—
Netherlands	12.7	—	—	30.2	—	2.9	27.4	—	0.2	1.5	74.9	1.4
Norway	—	—	—	23.9	—	—	—	—	—	—	23.9	1.1
Spain	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.2	—	—	—	2.2	—
Sweden	—	—	—	10.5	3.0	13.0	—	—	19.1	—	45.6	4.6
Switzerland	8.6	—	—	—	—	31.5	66.0	3.8	61.0	—	170.9	15.4
United Kingdom	217.1	0.7	12.8	0.2	—	16.6	120.4	14.3	90.1	3.5	475.7	12.7
Total European	\$275.9	\$0.7	\$66.8	\$103.6	\$38.8	\$64.0	\$323.5	\$18.1	\$242.7	\$7.0	\$1,141.1	\$52.3

Exposures

57

European Fixed Income & Equity Exposures. As at June 30, 2014, we had \$1,141.1 million, or 13.2% of our total cash and investments, invested in European issuers, including the U.K. Our European exposures consisted of sovereigns, agencies, government guaranteed bonds, covered bonds, corporate bonds and equities. We have no exposure to the sovereign debt of Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal or Spain (“GIIPS”), and de minimis holdings of Spanish corporate bonds and Italian equities.

We manage our European fixed income exposures by proactively adapting our investment guidelines to our views on the European debt crisis. In August 2010, we amended our investment guidelines to prohibit purchases of GIIPS sovereign or guaranteed debt. We also prohibited purchases of peripheral European bank issuers. In November 2010, we amended our investment guidelines to prohibit purchases of corporate bonds issued by companies domiciled in any of the GIIPS countries. In May 2011, we amended our investment guidelines to prohibit purchases of European and U.K. corporate financial issuers including covered bonds. We also added Belgium to our list of prohibited sovereign investments. We do not actively hedge any of our European exposures.

Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

As of June 30, 2014, we had total net loss and loss adjustment expense reserves of \$4,435.8 million (December 31, 2013 — \$4,346.2 million). This amount represented our best estimate of the ultimate liability for payment of losses and loss adjustment expenses. The following tables analyze gross and net loss and loss adjustment expense reserves by segment as at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively:

Business Segment	As at June 30, 2014		
	Gross	Reinsurance Recoverable	Net
	(\$ in millions)		
Reinsurance	\$2,639.5	\$(54.7)) \$2,584.8
Insurance	2,156.3	(305.3)) 1,851.0
Total losses and loss expense reserves	\$4,795.8	\$(360.0)) \$4,435.8

Business Segment	As at December 31, 2013		
	Gross	Reinsurance Recoverable	Net
	(\$ in millions)		
Reinsurance	\$2,707.0	\$(60.2)) \$2,646.8
Insurance	1,971.9	(272.5)) 1,699.4
Total losses and loss expense reserves	\$4,678.9	\$(332.7)) \$4,346.2

For the six months ended June 30, 2014, there was a reduction of our estimate of the ultimate net claims to be paid in respect of prior accident years of \$60.0 million. An analysis of this reduction by business segment is as follows for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

Business Segment	For the Three Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013
	(\$ in millions)		(\$ in millions)	
Reinsurance	\$28.4	\$24.1	\$49.6	\$44.2
Insurance	3.4	3.3	10.4	9.4
Total losses and loss expense reserves reductions	\$31.8	\$27.4	\$60.0	\$53.6

The key elements which gave rise to the net positive development during the three months ended June 30, 2014 were as follows:

Reinsurance. Net reserve releases of \$28.4 million in the current quarter were attributable to all business lines. The largest releases in the quarter were \$16.7 million from catastrophe lines due to a reduction in reserving margins held against 2012 and prior catastrophe events and a \$6.4 million release from specialty reinsurance lines where we have experienced better than expected claims development on the aviation and space accounts. In addition, there was a \$3.6 million reduction in reserves for other property lines due to better than expected development.

Insurance. Net reserve releases of \$3.4 million in the current quarter were mainly attributable to our U.S. and U.K. property, marine hull and excess casualty lines. These releases were partially offset by a \$9.3 million net strengthening in the

58

marine, aviation and energy business lines, although this strengthening has been mitigated by the receipt of loss-related additional premiums.

The key elements which gave rise to the net positive development during the six months ended June 30, 2014 were as follows:

Reinsurance. Net reserve releases of \$49.6 million in the period were attributable to our catastrophe, specialty and casualty lines. The largest releases in the six months ended June 30, 2014 were \$20.3 million from catastrophe lines due primarily to a reduction in reserving margins held against 2012 and prior catastrophe events and better than planned experience, \$22.2 million from specialty reinsurance due to a combination of a reduction in 2011 prior claim estimates, mainly from short-tail lines and the final settlement of a large contract and \$6.6 million from casualty reinsurance lines due to favorable development.

Insurance. Net reserve releases of \$10.4 million in the period were mainly attributable to our U.S. and U.K. property, marine hull and excess casualty lines. These releases were partially offset by a \$9.2 million net strengthening in the marine, aviation and energy business lines, although this strengthening has been mitigated by the receipt of loss-related additional premiums.

We did not make any significant changes in assumptions used in our reserving process. However, because the period of time we have been in operation is relatively short for longer tail lines our loss experience is limited and reliable evidence of changes in trends of numbers of claims incurred, average settlement amounts, numbers of claims outstanding and average losses per claim will necessarily take years to develop.

For a more detailed description see Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Critical Accounting Policies” and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses,” included in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

Capital Management

The following table shows our capital structure as at June 30, 2014 compared to December 31, 2013:

	As at June 30, 2014	As at December 31, 2013
	(\$ in millions)	
Share capital, additional paid-in capital, retained income and accumulated other comprehensive income attributable to ordinary shareholders	\$2,998.4	\$2,744.0
Preference shares (liquidation preferences net of issue costs)	555.8	555.8
Long-term debt	549.1	549.0
Loan notes issued by variable interest entities	56.0	50.0
Total capital	\$4,159.3	\$3,898.8

As at June 30, 2014, total shareholders’ equity was \$3,554.2 million compared to \$3,299.6 million at December 31, 2013. Our total shareholders’ equity as at June 30, 2014 includes three classes of preference shares with a total value as measured by their respective liquidation preferences of \$555.8 million net of share issuance costs (December 31, 2013 — \$555.8 million).

On April 24, 2013, we announced a 5.9% increase in our normal quarterly dividend to our ordinary shareholders from \$0.17 per share to \$0.18 per ordinary share, and a further 11.1% increase to \$0.20 per ordinary share was declared on April 23, 2014.

We acquired and cancelled for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, 770,505 ordinary shares. No ordinary share repurchases were made in the second quarter of 2014. The total consideration paid for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$30.9 million, with the average price for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 being \$40.08. As at June 30, 2014, we had \$193.3 million remaining under our current share repurchase authorization of \$500.0 million granted on February 7, 2013.

The amount outstanding under our senior notes, as of June 30, 2014, less amortization of expenses was \$549.1 million (December 31, 2013 — \$549.0 million). In addition to the senior debt issued by Aspen Holdings, we have also reported

a liability of \$56.0 million associated with the loan issued by Silverton in December 2013 (December 31, 2013 — \$50.0 million). Management monitors the ratio of debt to total capital, with total capital being defined as shareholders' equity plus outstanding debt. As at June 30, 2014, this ratio was 14.5% (December 31, 2013 — 15.4%). Our preference shares are classified in our balance sheet as equity but may receive a different treatment in some cases under the capital adequacy assessments made by certain rating agencies. Such securities are often referred to as "hybrids" as they have certain attributes of both debt and equity.

We also monitor the ratio of the total of debt and hybrids to total capital which was 27.9% as of June 30, 2014 (December 31, 2013 — 29.6%).

Access to capital. Our business operations are in part dependent on our financial strength and the market's perception thereof, as measured by total shareholders' equity, which was \$3,554.2 million as at June 30, 2014 (December 31, 2013 — \$3,299.6 million). We believe our financial strength provides us with the flexibility and capacity to obtain funds through debt or equity financing. Our continuing ability to access the capital markets is dependent on, among other things, our operating results, market conditions and our perceived financial strength. We regularly monitor our capital and financial position, as well as investment and securities market conditions, both in general and with respect to Aspen Holdings' securities. Our ordinary shares and all of our preference shares are listed on the New York Stock Exchange.

Liquidity

Liquidity is a measure of a company's ability to generate cash flows sufficient to meet short-term and long-term cash requirements of its business operations. Management monitors the liquidity of Aspen Holdings and of each of its Operating Subsidiaries and arranges credit facilities to enhance short-term liquidity resources on a stand-by basis. Holding Company. We monitor the ability of Aspen Holdings to service debt, to finance dividend payments to ordinary and preference shareholders and to provide financial support to the Operating Subsidiaries.

As at June 30, 2014, Aspen Holdings held \$111.3 million of cash and cash equivalents (December 31, 2013 — \$94.2 million) with the increase due to the receipt of dividend income from subsidiary companies less a total of \$30.9 million of ordinary share repurchases in the six months ended June 30, 2014. Management considers the current cash and cash equivalents, taken together with dividends declared or expected to be declared by subsidiary companies and our credit facilities, to be sufficient to appropriately satisfy the liquidity requirements of Aspen Holdings. Aspen Holdings' liquidity depends on dividends, capital distributions and interest payments from our Operating Subsidiaries. Aspen Holdings has recourse to the credit facility described under "Credit Facility" below.

The ability of our Operating Subsidiaries to pay us dividends or other distributions is subject to the laws and regulations applicable to each jurisdiction, as well as the Operating Subsidiaries' need to maintain capital requirements adequate to maintain their insurance and reinsurance operations and their financial strength ratings issued by independent rating agencies. On October 21, 2013, and in line with usual market practice for regulated institutions, the Prudential Regulation Authority (the "PRA"), the regulatory agency which oversees the prudential regulation of insurance companies in the U.K. such as Aspen U.K., requested that it be afforded the opportunity to provide a prior "non-objection" to all future dividend payments made by Aspen U.K. For a further discussion of the various restrictions on our ability and our Operating Subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends, see Part I, Item 1 "Business — Regulatory Matters" in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. For a more detailed discussion of our Operating Subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends, see Note 15 of the "Notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements" in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange.

Operating Subsidiaries. As of June 30, 2014, the principal Operating Subsidiaries held \$1,373.7 million (December 31, 2013 — \$1,150.2 million) in cash and short-term investments that are readily realizable securities. Management monitors the value, currency and duration of cash and investments held by its principal Operating Subsidiaries to ensure that they are able to meet their insurance and other liabilities as they become due and was satisfied that there was a comfortable margin of liquidity as at June 30, 2014 and for the foreseeable future.

On an ongoing basis, our principal Operating Subsidiaries' sources of funds primarily consist of premiums written, investment income and proceeds from sales and redemptions of investments.

Cash is used primarily to pay reinsurance premiums, losses and loss adjustment expenses, brokerage commissions, general and administrative expenses, taxes, interest and dividends and to purchase new investments.

The potential for individual large claims and for accumulations of claims from single events means that substantial and unpredictable payments may need to be made within relatively short periods of time.

We manage these risks by making regular forecasts of the timing and amount of expected cash outflows and ensuring that we maintain sufficient balances in cash and short-term investments to meet these estimates. Notwithstanding this policy, if our cash flow forecast is incorrect, we could be forced to liquidate investments prior to maturity, potentially

at a significant loss.

60

The liquidity of our Operating Subsidiaries is also affected by the terms of our contractual obligations to policyholders and by undertakings to certain regulatory authorities to facilitate the issue of letters of credit or maintain certain balances in trust funds for the benefit of policyholders. The following table shows the forms of collateral or other security provided in respect of these obligations and undertakings as at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	As at June 30, 2014	As at December 31, 2013	
	(\$ in millions, except percentages)		
Regulatory trusts and deposits:			
Affiliated transactions	\$727.0	\$685.8	
Third party	2,181.7	2,236.4	
Letters of credit / guarantees	785.9	830.4	
Total restricted assets	\$3,694.6	\$3,752.6	
Total as percent of cash and invested assets	42.9	% 45.5	%

For more information on these arrangements, see Note 19(a) of the “Notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements” in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

Consolidated Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014. Total net cash flow from operations was \$297.1 million, an increase of \$48.9 million from the comparative period in 2013. The increase is mainly attributable to reduced claim payments made in the first half of 2014 in respect of 2013 losses compared to claim payments made in the first half of 2013 in respect of 2012 losses. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, our cash flow from operations provided us with sufficient liquidity to meet our operating requirements.

Letter of Credit Facilities. On June 12, 2013, we and certain of our subsidiaries and its direct and indirect subsidiaries (collectively, the “Borrowers”) entered into an amended and restated credit agreement (the “credit agreement”) with various lenders and Barclays Bank PLC, as administrative agent, which amends and restates the credit agreement dated as of July 30, 2010 among us, certain of our subsidiaries, various lenders and Barclays Bank PLC, as administrative agent. The credit facility will be used primarily for letters of credit in connection with our insurance and reinsurance businesses to finance our working capital needs and those of our subsidiaries and for other general corporate purposes. Initial availability under the credit facility is \$200.0 million and we have the option (subject to obtaining commitments from acceptable lenders) to increase the facility by up to \$100.0 million. The facility will expire on June 12, 2017. As of June 30, 2014, no borrowings were outstanding under the credit facility. The fees and interest rates on the loans and the fees on the letters of credit payable by the Borrowers increase based on the consolidated leverage ratio of the Company.

The fees and interest rates on the loans and the fees on the letters of credit payable by the Borrowers under the Credit Agreement are based upon the credit ratings for the Company’s long-term unsecured senior debt by S&P and Moody’s. In addition, the fees for a letter of credit vary based upon whether the applicable Borrower has provided collateral (in the form of cash or qualifying debt securities) to secure its reimbursement obligations with respect to such letter of credit.

Under the credit facility, we must not permit (a) consolidated tangible net worth to be less than approximately \$2,428.6 million plus 50% of consolidated net income and 50% of aggregate net cash proceeds from the issuance by the Company of its capital stock, in each case after January 1, 2013, (b) the ratio of our total consolidated debt to the sum of such debt plus our consolidated tangible net worth to exceed 35% or (c) any material insurance subsidiary to have a financial strength rating of less than B++ from A.M. Best. In addition, the credit facility contains other customary affirmative and negative covenants as well as certain customary events of default, including with respect to a change in control. The various affirmative and negative covenants, include, among others, covenants that, subject to various exceptions, restrict the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries to: incur indebtedness; create or permit liens on assets; engage in mergers or consolidations; dispose of assets; pay dividends or other distributions; purchase or redeem the Company’s equity securities or those of its subsidiaries and make other restricted payments; make certain investments; agree with others to limit the ability of the Company’s subsidiaries to pay dividends or other restricted payments or to make loans or transfer assets to the Company or another of its subsidiaries. In addition, the

credit facility has customary events of default, including (subject to certain materiality thresholds and grace periods) payment default, failure to comply with covenants, material inaccuracy of representation or warranty, bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings, change of control and cross-default to other debt agreements. Our credit facility also contains customary provisions in respect of successor companies resulting from mergers and acquisitions assuming obligations thereunder.

On June 30, 2014, Aspen Bermuda and Citi Europe replaced an existing letter of credit facility, dated July 30, 2012, in a maximum aggregate amount of up to \$950.0 million (the "LOC Facility") comprised of two maturity tranches (Tranche I with a limit of \$650.0 million and Tranche II with a limit of \$300.0 million) which expired on its own terms on June 30, 2014. The

LOC Facility was replaced with a new letter of credit facility in a maximum aggregate amount of up to \$575.0 million (the “New LOC Facility”). Under the New LOC Facility, which will expire on June 30, 2016, Aspen Bermuda will pay to Citi Europe (a) a letter of credit fee based on the available amounts of each letter of credit and (b) a commitment fee, which varies based upon usage, on the unutilized portion of the New LOC Facility. Aspen Bermuda will also pay interest on the amount drawn by any beneficiary under the New LOC Facility at a rate per annum of LIBOR plus 1% (plus reserve asset costs, if any) from the date of drawing until the date of reimbursement by Aspen Bermuda. The New LOC Facility is used to secure obligations of Aspen Bermuda to its policyholders. In addition to the New LOC Facility, we also use regulatory trusts to secure our obligations to policyholders.

The terms of a Pledge Agreement between Aspen Bermuda and Citi Europe (pursuant to an Assignment Agreement dated October 11, 2006) dated January 17, 2006, as amended, were also amended on June 30, 2014 to change the types of securities or other assets that are acceptable as collateral under the New LOC Facility. All other agreements relating to Aspen Bermuda’s LOC Facility, which now apply to the New LOC Facility with Citi Europe, as previously filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, remain in full force and effect. As at June 30, 2014, we had \$437.7 million of outstanding collateralized letters of credit under the New LOC Facility (December 31, 2013 — \$516.8 million under the LOC Facility).

In addition, Aspen U.K. and Aspen Bermuda have a \$100.0 million secured letter of credit facility agreement with Barclays Bank PLC. As at June 30, 2014, we had \$12.4 million of outstanding collateralized letters of credit under this facility (December 31, 2013 — \$18.9 million).

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations under long-term debt, operating leases (net of subleases) and reserves relating to insurance and reinsurance contracts as of June 30, 2014:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Later Years	Total
	(\$ in millions)						
Operating Lease Obligations	\$3.5	\$12.6	\$8.6	\$7.5	\$6.2	\$7.1	\$45.5
Long-Term Debt Obligations ⁽¹⁾	—	—	—	—	—	550.0	550.0
Reserves for losses and LAE ⁽²⁾	1,015.1	1,072.5	723.5	511.0	361.7	1,112.0	4,795.8
Total	\$1,018.6	\$1,085.1	\$732.1	\$518.5	\$367.9	\$1,669.1	\$5,391.3

The long-term debt obligations disclosed above do not include the \$32.3 million annual interest payments on our ⁽¹⁾ outstanding senior notes or dividends payable to holders of our preference shares or the loan notes issued by Silverton in the amount of \$50.0 million.

In estimating the time intervals into which payments of our reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses fall, as set out above, we have utilized actuarially assessed payment patterns. By the nature of the insurance and reinsurance contracts under which these liabilities are assumed, there can be no certainty that actual payments will fall in the periods shown and there could be a material acceleration or deceleration of claims payments depending ⁽²⁾ on factors outside our control. This uncertainty is heightened by the relatively short time in which we have operated (relevant in particular to longer-tail lines), thereby providing limited Company-specific claims loss payment patterns. The total amount of payments in respect of our reserves, as well as the timing of such payments, may differ materially from our current estimates for the reasons set out in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K under Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Critical Accounting Policies — Reserves for Losses and Loss Expenses.”

Further information on operating leases is given in Item 2, “Properties” in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

For a discussion of derivative instruments we have entered into, please see Note 10 to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 included in this report.

Effects of Inflation

Inflation may have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations by its effect on interest rates and on the cost of settling claims. The potential exists, after a catastrophe or other large property loss, for the development of

inflationary pressures in a local economy as the demand for services such as construction typically surges. The cost of settling claims may also be increased by global commodity price inflation. We seek to take both these factors into account when setting reserves for any events where we think they may be material.

Our calculation of reserves for losses and loss expenses in respect of casualty business includes assumptions about future payments for settlement of claims and claims-handling expenses, such as medical treatments and litigation costs. We write casualty business in the United States, the United Kingdom and Australia and certain other territories, where claims inflation has in many years run at higher rates than general inflation. To the extent inflation causes these costs to increase above reserves established for these claims, we will be required to increase our loss reserves with a corresponding reduction in earnings. The actual effects of inflation on our results cannot be accurately known until claims are ultimately settled.

In addition to general price inflation we are exposed to a persisting long-term upwards trend in the cost of judicial awards for damages. We seek to take this into account in our pricing and reserving of casualty business.

We also seek to take into account the projected impact of inflation on the likely actions of central banks in the setting of short-term interest rates and consequent effects on the yields and prices of fixed income securities. As of June 30, 2014, we consider that although inflation is currently low, in the medium-term there is a risk that inflation, interest rates and bond yields may rise, resulting in a decrease in the market value of certain of our fixed interest investments.

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q contains, and the Company may from time to time make other verbal or written, forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), that involve risks and uncertainties, including statements regarding our capital needs, business strategy, expectations and intentions.

Statements that use the terms “believe,” “do not believe,” “anticipate,” “expect,” “assume,” “objective,” “target,” “could,” “would,” “should,” “plan,” “estimate,” “project,” “outlook,” “trends,” “seek,” “will,” “may,” “aim,” “likely,” “continue,” “intend,” “guidance” expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements reflect our current views with respect to future events and because our business is subject to numerous risks, uncertainties and other factors, our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements. The risks, uncertainties and other factors set forth in the Company’s 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and other cautionary statements made in this report, as well as the factors set forth below, should be read and understood as being applicable to all related forward-looking statements wherever they appear in this report.

All forward-looking statements rely on a number of assumptions, estimates and data concerning future results and events and are subject to a number of uncertainties and other factors, many of which are outside Aspen’s control that could cause actual results to differ materially from such statements.

All forward-looking statements address matters that involve risks and uncertainties. Accordingly, there are or will be important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated in these statements. We believe that these factors include, but are not limited to, those set forth under “Risk Factors” in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and the following:

- our ability to successfully implement steps to further optimize the business portfolio, ensure capital efficiency and enhance investment returns;
- the possibility of greater frequency or severity of claims and loss activity, including as a result of natural or man-made (including economic and political risks) catastrophic or material loss events, than our underwriting, reserving, reinsurance purchasing or investment practices have anticipated;
- the assumptions and uncertainties underlying reserve levels that may be impacted by future payments for settlements of claims and expenses or by other factors causing adverse or favorable development;
- the reliability of, and changes in assumptions to, natural and man-made catastrophe pricing, accumulation and estimated loss models;
- decreased demand for our insurance or reinsurance products and cyclical changes in the insurance and reinsurance industry;
- increased competition from existing insurers and reinsurers and from alternative capital providers and insurance-linked funds on the basis of pricing, capacity, coverage terms, new capital binding authorities to brokers, or other factors and the related demand and supply dynamics as contracts come up for renewal;
- changes in the availability, cost or quality of reinsurance or retrocessional coverage;

changes in general economic conditions, including inflation, deflation, foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and other factors that could affect our financial results;

- the risk of a material decline in the value or liquidity of all or parts of our investment portfolio;
- evolving issues with respect to interpretation of coverage after major loss events;
- our ability to adequately model and price the effects of climate cycles and climate change;

any intervening legislative or governmental action and changing judicial interpretation and judgments on insurers' liability to various risks;

- the effectiveness of our risk management loss limitation methods, including our reinsurance purchasing;
- changes in the total industry losses, or our share of total industry losses, resulting from past events such as the various catastrophes that occurred in 2014 and prior years and, with respect to such events, our reliance on loss reports received from cedants and loss adjustors, our reliance on industry loss estimates and those generated by modeling techniques, changes in rulings on flood damage or other exclusions as a result of prevailing lawsuits and case law;
- the impact of one or more large losses from events other than natural catastrophes or by an unexpected accumulation of attritional losses and deterioration in loss estimates;
- the impact of acts of terrorism, acts of war and related legislation;
- any changes in our reinsurers' credit quality and the amount and timing of reinsurance recoverables;
- the continuing and uncertain impact of the current depressed lower growth economic environment in many of the countries in which we operate;
- the level of inflation in repair costs due to limited availability of labor and materials after catastrophes;
- a decline in our Operating Subsidiaries' ratings with S&P, A.M. Best or Moody's;
- the failure of our reinsurers, policyholders, brokers or other intermediaries to honor their payment obligations;
- our ability to execute our business plan to enter new markets, introduce new products and develop new distribution channels, including their integration into our existing operations;
- our reliance on the assessment and pricing of individual risks by third parties;
- our dependence on a few brokers for a large portion of our revenues;
- the persistence of heightened financial risks, including excess sovereign debt, the banking system and the Eurozone debt crisis;
- changes in our ability to exercise capital management initiatives (including our share repurchase program) or to arrange banking facilities as a result of prevailing market conditions or changes in our financial position;
- changes in government regulations or tax laws in jurisdictions where we conduct business;
- changes in accounting principles or policies or in the application of such accounting principles or policies;
- Aspen Holdings or Aspen Bermuda becoming subject to income taxes in the United States or the United Kingdom;
- loss of one or more of our senior underwriters or key personnel;
- our reliance on information technology and third-party service providers for our operations and systems;
- and
- increased counterparty risk due to the credit impairment of financial institutions.

In addition, any estimates relating to loss events involve the exercise of considerable judgment and reflect a combination of ground-up evaluations, information available to date from brokers and cedants, market intelligence, initial tentative loss reports and other sources. Due to the complexity of factors contributing to losses and the preliminary nature of the information used to prepare estimates, there can be no assurance that our ultimate losses will remain within stated amounts.

The rate changes described in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Outlook and Trends" reflect management's assessment of changes in exposure-adjusted rates on renewals only. This does not include contracts with fundamental changes to terms and conditions. The calculation involves a degree of judgment in relation to comparability of contracts in the different business lines. Due to changes in assumptions underlying the pricing of contracts, the trends in premium rates reflected in our outlook and trends may not be comparable over time. The future profitability of each business line is dependent upon many factors besides the trends in premium rates.

The foregoing review of important factors should not be construed as exhaustive and should be read in conjunction with the other cautionary statements that are included in this report. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or review any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise or disclose any difference between our actual results and those reflected in such statements.

If one or more of these or other risks or uncertainties materialize, or if our underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, actual results may vary materially from what we projected. Any forward-looking statements you read in this report reflect our current views with respect to future events and are subject to these and other risks, uncertainties and assumptions relating to our operations, results of operations, growth strategy and liquidity. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or individuals acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the points made above. You should specifically consider the factors identified in this report which could cause actual results to differ before making an investment decision.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Interest rate risk. Our investment portfolio consists primarily of fixed income securities. Accordingly, our primary market risk exposure is to changes in interest rates. Fluctuations in interest rates have a direct impact on the market valuation of these securities. As interest rates rise, the market value of our fixed income portfolio falls, and the converse is also true. Our strategy for managing interest rate risk includes maintaining a high quality portfolio with a relatively short duration to reduce the effect of interest rate changes on book value. In addition, the Company partially mitigates its exposure to interest rates by entering into interest rate swaps with financial institution counterparties in the ordinary course of its investment activities.

As at June 30, 2014, our fixed income portfolio had an approximate duration of 3.41 years excluding the impact of interest rate swaps. The table below depicts interest rate change scenarios and the effects on our interest rate sensitive invested assets:

Effect of Changes in Interest Rates on Portfolio Given a Parallel Shift in the Yield Curve

Movement in Rates in Basis Points	-100	-50	—	50	100
	(\$ in millions, except percentages)				
Market value \$ in millions	7,108.2	6,991.0	6,873.8	6,756.6	6,639.4
Gain/(loss) \$ in millions	234.4	117.2	—	(117.2)	(234.4)
Percentage of portfolio	3.4	% 1.7	% —	% (1.7)	% (3.4)

Equity risk. We have invested in equity securities which had a fair market value of \$574.2 million as at June 30, 2014, equivalent to 6.7% of the total of investments, cash and cash equivalents at that date (December 31, 2013 — \$460.4 million, 5.6%). These equity investments are exposed to equity price risk, defined as the potential for loss in market value due to a decline in equity prices. We believe that the effects of diversification and the relatively small size of our investments in equities relative to total invested assets mitigate our exposure to equity price risk.

Foreign currency risk. Our reporting currency is the U.S. Dollar. The functional currencies of our operations are U.S. Dollars, British Pounds, Euros, Canadian Dollars, Swiss Francs, Australian Dollars and Singaporean Dollars. As of June 30, 2014, 78.4% (December 31, 2013 — 82.1%) of our cash, cash equivalents and investments were held in U.S. Dollars, 8.7% (December 31, 2013 — 7.6%) were in British Pounds and 13.0% (December 31, 2013 — 10.3%) were in other currencies. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, 18.0% (December 31, 2013 — 16.4%) of our gross premiums were written in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar and the British Pound and we expect that a similar proportion will be written in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar and the British Pound in the remainder of 2014. Other foreign currency amounts are re-measured to the appropriate functional currency and the resulting foreign exchange gains or losses are reflected in the statement of operations. Functional currency amounts of assets and liabilities are then translated into U.S. Dollars. The unrealized gain or loss from this translation, net of tax, is recorded as part of shareholders' equity. The change in unrealized foreign currency translation gain or loss during the period, net of tax, is a component of comprehensive income. Both the re-measurement and translation are calculated using current exchange rates for the balance sheets and average exchange rates for the statement of operations. We may experience exchange losses to the extent our foreign currency exposure is not hedged, which in turn would adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Management estimates that a 10% change in the exchange rate between British Pounds and U.S. Dollars as at June 30, 2014 would have impacted our net reportable British Pound net assets by approximately \$5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 (June 30, 2013 — approximately \$10 million).

We manage our foreign currency risk by seeking to match our liabilities under insurance and reinsurance policies that are payable in foreign currencies with investments that are denominated in these currencies. This may involve the use of foreign exchange contracts from time to time. A foreign exchange contract involves an obligation to purchase or sell a specified currency at a future date at a price set at the time of the contract. Foreign exchange contracts will not eliminate fluctuations in the value of our assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies, but rather allow us to establish a rate of exchange for a future point in time. All realized gains and losses on foreign exchange contracts are recognized in the statement of operations as changes in fair value of derivatives. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, the impact of foreign currency contracts on net income was a gain of \$1.9 million (2013 — loss of \$14.5 million). Credit risk. We have exposure to credit risk primarily as a holder of fixed income securities. Our risk management strategy and investment policy is to invest predominantly in debt instruments of high credit quality issuers and to limit the amount of credit exposure with respect to particular ratings categories, business sectors and any one issuer. As at June 30, 2014, the average rating of fixed income securities in our investment portfolio was “AA-” (December 31, 2013 — “AA-”). We also have credit risk through exposure to our interest rate swap counterparties who are Goldman Sachs Group (senior unsecured rating of “Baa1” by Moody’s and “A-” by S&P) and Crédit Agricole CIB (senior unsecured rating of “A2” by Moody’s and long term issuer credit rating of “A” by S&P).

In addition, we are exposed to the credit risk of our insurance and reinsurance brokers to whom we make claims payments for our policyholders, as well as to the credit risk of our reinsurers and retrocessionaires who assume business from us. Other than fully collateralized reinsurance, the substantial majority of our reinsurers have a rating of “A” (Excellent), the third highest of fifteen rating levels, or better by A.M. Best and the minimum rating of any of our material reinsurers is “A-” (Excellent), the fourth highest of fifteen rating levels, by A.M. Best.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company’s management, including the Company’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the design and operation of the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period of this report. Our management does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. As a result of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons or by collusion of two or more people. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. As a result of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatement due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Accordingly, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the disclosure requirements are met. Based on the evaluation of the disclosure controls and procedures, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed in the reports filed or submitted to the Commission under the Exchange Act by the Company is recorded, processed, summarized and reported in a timely fashion, and is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company’s management has performed an evaluation, with the participation of the Company’s Chief Executive Officer and the Company’s Chief Financial Officer, of changes in the Company’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. Based upon that evaluation, the

Company's management is not aware of any change in its internal control over financial reporting that occurred during three and six months ended June 30, 2014 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In common with the rest of the insurance and reinsurance industry, we are also subject to litigation and arbitration in the ordinary course of our business. Our Operating Subsidiaries are regularly engaged in the investigation, conduct and defense of disputes, or potential disputes, resulting from questions of insurance or reinsurance coverage or claims activities. Pursuant to our insurance and reinsurance arrangements, many of these disputes are resolved by arbitration or other forms of alternative dispute resolution. In some jurisdictions, noticeably the U.S., a failure to deal with such disputes or potential disputes in an appropriate manner could result in an award of “bad faith” punitive damages against our Operating Subsidiaries.

While any legal or arbitration proceedings contain an element of uncertainty, we do not believe that the eventual outcome of any specific litigation, arbitration or alternative dispute resolution proceedings to which we are currently a party will have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of our business as a whole.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no significant changes in the Company’s risk factors as discussed in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. Please refer to the “Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements” provided elsewhere in this report.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table provides information about purchases by the Company during the quarter ended June 30, 2014 of the Company’s equity securities. No share repurchases were made in the second quarter of 2014.

	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased	Weighted Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (\$ in millions)
April 1, 2014 to April 30, 2014	—	\$—	—	\$193.3
May 1, 2014 to May 31, 2014	—	\$—	—	\$193.3
June 1, 2014 to June 30, 2014	—	\$—	—	\$193.3
Total	—	\$—	—	\$193.3

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) The following sets forth those exhibits filed pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K:

Exhibit Number	Description
4.1	Rights Agreement, dated as of April 17, 2014, between Aspen Insurance Holdings Limited and Computershare Inc., which includes the form of Certificate of Designations as Exhibit A, the form of Right Certificate as Exhibit B and the Summary of Rights to Purchase Preference Shares as Exhibit C (incorporated herein by reference to exhibit 4.1 to the Company’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 17, 2014).
4.2	Form 8-A, dated April 17, 2014, relating to the preferred share purchase rights attached to each of Aspen Insurance Holdings Limited’s outstanding ordinary shares (incorporated herein by reference to the Form 8-A filed on April 17, 2014).
10.1	Form of 2014 Performance Share Award Agreement, filed with this report.
31.1	Officer Certification of Christopher O’Kane, Chief Executive Officer of Aspen Insurance Holdings Limited, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed with this report.
31.2	Officer Certification of John Worth, Chief Financial Officer of Aspen Insurance Holdings Limited, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed with this report.
32.1	Officer Certification of Christopher O’Kane, Chief Executive Officer of Aspen Insurance Holdings Limited, and John Worth, Chief Financial Officer of Aspen Insurance Holdings Limited, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, submitted with this report.
101	The following financial information from Aspen Insurance Holdings Limited’s quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2014 formatted in XBRL: (i) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013; (ii) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013; (iii) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Shareholders’ Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013; (iv) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013; and (v) Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text and in detail.*

* As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is “furnished” herewith and not “filed” for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act and Section 18 of the Exchange Act. Such exhibit will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act unless Aspen Holdings specifically incorporates it by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ASPEN INSURANCE HOLDINGS LIMITED
(Registrant)

Date: August 5, 2014

By: /s/ Christopher O'Kane
Christopher O'Kane
Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 5, 2014

By: /s/ John Worth
John Worth
Chief Financial Officer