

EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES
Form 10-Q
February 09, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2011

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 1-11263

EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

13000 Deerfield Parkway,

Building 200

Milton, Georgia
(Address of principal executive offices)

23-0552730
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

30004
(Zip Code)

(678) 566-9000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by a check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

As of January 27, 2012, 78,283,690 shares of common stock were outstanding.

Table of Contents

EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES AND SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | 3 |
| Item 1. <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> | 3 |
| <u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND 2010</u> | 3 |
| <u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND MARCH 31, 2011</u> | 4 |
| <u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND 2010</u> | 5 |
| <u>NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> | 6 |
| Item 2. <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u> | 18 |
| Item 3. <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u> | 29 |
| Item 4. <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u> | 29 |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u> | 32 |
| Item 1A. <u>RISK FACTORS</u> | 32 |
| Item 2. <u>UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u> | 33 |
| Item 3. <u>DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u> | 33 |
| Item 4. <u>MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE</u> | 33 |
| Item 5. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> | 33 |
| Item 6. <u>EXHIBITS</u> | 33 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 34 |

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(Unaudited, in thousands, except per-share data)**

| | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Nine Months Ended | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | December 31, | December 31, | December 31, | December 31, |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net sales | \$ 784,051 | \$ 800,296 | \$ 2,302,099 | \$ 2,112,970 |
| Cost of sales | 657,540 | 639,965 | 1,940,325 | 1,706,996 |
| Gross profit | 126,511 | 160,331 | 361,774 | 405,974 |
| Selling and administrative expenses | 96,182 | 106,598 | 295,058 | 302,015 |
| Restructuring and impairments, net | 2,145 | 4,081 | 3,722 | 17,524 |
| Operating income | 28,184 | 49,652 | 62,994 | 86,435 |
| Other expense (income), net | 3,403 | (3,480) | 9,273 | (2,111) |
| Interest expense, net | 17,194 | 15,298 | 52,929 | 45,441 |
| Income before income taxes | 7,587 | 37,834 | 792 | 43,105 |
| Income tax (benefit) provision | (60,313) | 6,613 | (57,685) | 2,800 |
| Net income | 67,900 | 31,221 | 58,477 | 40,305 |
| Net (loss) income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (315) | 11 | (958) | 181 |
| Net income attributable to Exide Technologies | \$ 68,215 | \$ 31,210 | \$ 59,435 | \$ 40,124 |
| Earnings per share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.88 | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.52 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.84 | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.72 | \$ 0.50 |
| Weighted average shares | | | | |
| Basic | 77,738 | 76,675 | 77,628 | 76,508 |
| Diluted | 81,610 | 81,479 | 82,198 | 80,893 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited, in thousands, except per-share data)

| | December 31, 2011 | March 31, 2011 |
|--|----------------------|-------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 102,668 | \$ 161,363 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 489,473 | 508,937 |
| Inventories | 516,420 | 519,909 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 21,693 | 22,476 |
| Deferred income taxes | 30,034 | 31,115 |
| Total current assets | 1,160,288 | 1,243,800 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 594,002 | 611,635 |
| Other assets: | | |
| Goodwill and intangibles, net | 162,259 | 178,418 |
| Deferred income taxes | 149,320 | 81,036 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 57,538 | 68,775 |
| | 369,117 | 328,229 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,123,407 | \$ 2,183,664 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Short-term borrowings | \$ 19,935 | \$ 9,088 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 1,334 | 2,132 |
| Accounts payable | 367,582 | 417,156 |
| Accrued expenses | 257,435 | 273,387 |
| Total current liabilities | 646,286 | 701,763 |
| Long-term debt | 755,648 | 746,938 |
| Noncurrent retirement obligations | 190,743 | 214,236 |
| Deferred income taxes | 8,125 | 15,898 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | 96,159 | 98,940 |
| Total liabilities | 1,696,961 | 1,777,775 |
| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 1,000 shares authorized, 0 shares issued and outstanding | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 200,000 shares authorized, 78,128 and 77,498 shares issued and outstanding | 781 | 775 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,132,008 | 1,127,124 |
| Accumulated deficit | (713,217) | (772,652) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 6,502 | 49,540 |

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Total stockholders' equity attributable to Exide Technologies | 426,074 | 404,787 |
| Noncontrolling interests | 372 | 1,102 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 426,446 | 405,889 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 2,123,407 | \$ 2,183,664 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(Unaudited, in thousands)

| | For the Nine Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 58,477 | \$ 40,305 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 63,990 | 62,998 |
| Asset impairments, net | 1,618 | (856) |
| Deferred income taxes | (78,340) | (4,174) |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 986 | (170) |
| Non-cash stock compensation | 3,684 | 5,345 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 3,233 | 3,711 |
| Currency remeasurement loss (gain) | 12,949 | (4,036) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities | | |
| Receivables | (10,804) | (16,650) |
| Inventories | (32,200) | (35,088) |
| Other current assets | 1,219 | (7,047) |
| Payables | (24,326) | 28,838 |
| Accrued expenses | (886) | (6,714) |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | (11,447) | (248) |
| Other, net | 10,159 | (1,520) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (1,688) | 64,694 |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (71,931) | (52,401) |
| Proceeds from sales of assets, net | 563 | 10,635 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (71,368) | (41,766) |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities: | | |
| Increase in short-term borrowings, net | 13,722 | 1,106 |
| Decrease in borrowings under Senior Secured Credit Facility | | (8,302) |
| Increase (decrease) in other debt | 5,439 | (1,442) |
| Acquisition of noncontrolling interests / other | (486) | (14,924) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 18,675 | (23,562) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (4,314) | 3,415 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (58,695) | 2,781 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 161,363 | 89,558 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 102,668 | \$ 92,339 |

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:

| | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash paid during the period | | |
| Interest | \$ 37,357 | \$ 27,747 |
| Income taxes (net of refunds) | \$ 21,698 | \$ 7,153 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2011

(Unaudited)

(1) BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Exide Technologies (referred to together with its subsidiaries, unless the context requires otherwise, as Exide or the Company) and all of its majority-owned subsidiaries. These statements are presented in accordance with the requirements of Form 10-Q and consequently do not include all of the disclosures normally required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP), or those disclosures normally made in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K. Accordingly, the reader of this Form 10-Q should refer to the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 for further information.

The financial information has been prepared in accordance with the Company s customary accounting practices. In the Company s opinion, the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include all adjustments of a normal recurring nature necessary for a fair statement of the results of operations, cash flows, and financial position for the periods presented. This includes accounting and disclosures related to any subsequent events occurring from the balance sheet date through the date the financial statements were issued.

Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context otherwise requires, references to fiscal year refer to the period ended March 31 of that year (e.g., fiscal 2012 refers to the period beginning April 1, 2011 and ending March 31, 2012). Certain comparative prior period amounts in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation. Specifically, the Company reclassified approximately \$5.3 million and \$16.7 million from selling and administrative expenses to cost of sales in the prior year three and nine month periods, respectively, to conform the classification of certain Industrial Energy Europe and Rest of World (ROW) headcount and related expenses to that of other operating segments. This reclassification did not impact operating income or cash flows.

On October 11, 2011, the Company was apprised of allegations of intentional misstatement of production and inventory entries at the Company s Portugal recycling facility. The Company immediately commenced an investigation into the allegations, which has since been concluded. As a result of the investigation, the Company has determined that intentional misstatements of production and inventories were made, which resulted in overstatements of inventory and understatements of cost of sales over a multi-year period. The Company has concluded that the amounts necessary to correct these errors are not material to expected fiscal 2012 full year results and the Company has concluded that the amounts associated with each of the relevant prior fiscal periods impacted are not material. Accordingly, the Company s financial results for the nine months ended December 31, 2011 include an out of period adjustments of \$5.1 million, recorded in the second fiscal quarter, for the Transportation Europe and ROW segment to correct these errors.

Table of Contents**(2) STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

The stockholders equity accounts for both the Company and noncontrolling interests consist of:

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (In thousands) | Noncontrolling Interests | Total Stockholders Equity |
|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Balance at March 31, 2011 | \$ 775 | \$ 1,127,124 | \$ (772,652) | \$ 49,540 | \$ 1,102 | \$ 405,889 |
| Net income (loss) | | | 59,435 | | (958) | 58,477 |
| Defined benefit plans, net of tax of \$4 | | | | (306) | | (306) |
| Translation adjustment | | | | (41,281) | 433 | (40,848) |
| Net recognition of unrealized gain on derivatives, net of tax \$567 | | | | (1,451) | | (1,451) |
| Common stock issuance/other | 6 | 1,200 | | | (205) | 1,001 |
| Stock compensation | | 3,684 | | | | 3,684 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 781 | \$ 1,132,008 | \$ (713,217) | \$ 6,502 | \$ 372 | \$ 426,446 |

Total comprehensive income and its components are as follows:

| | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Nine Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Net income | \$ 67,900 | \$ 31,221 | \$ 58,477 | \$ 40,305 |
| Defined benefit plans | (236) | 344 | (306) | 476 |
| Cumulative translation adjustment | (7,359) | (2,519) | (40,848) | 2,496 |
| Derivatives qualifying as hedges | 2,283 | 1,073 | (1,451) | 2,778 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 62,588 | \$ 30,119 | \$ 15,872 | \$ 46,055 |

(3) ACCOUNTING FOR DERIVATIVES

The Company uses derivative contracts to hedge the volatility arising from changes in the fair value of certain assets and liabilities that are subject to market risk, such as interest rates on debt instruments, foreign currency exchange rates, and certain commodities. The Company does not enter into derivative contracts for trading or speculative purposes.

The Company recognizes outstanding derivative instruments as assets or liabilities, based on measurements of their fair values. If a derivative qualifies for hedge accounting, gains or losses in its fair value that offset changes in the fair value of the asset or liability being hedged (effective gains or losses) are reported in accumulated other comprehensive income, and subsequently recorded in earnings only as the related variability on the hedged transaction is recorded in earnings. If a derivative does not qualify for hedge accounting, changes in its fair value are reported in earnings immediately upon occurrence, and the classification of cash flows from these instruments is consistent with that of the transactions being hedged. Derivatives qualify for hedge accounting if they are designated as hedging instruments at their inception, and if they are highly effective in achieving fair value changes that offset the fair value changes of the assets or liabilities being hedged. Regardless of a derivative's accounting designation, changes in its fair value that are not offset by fair value changes in the asset or liability being hedged are considered ineffective, and are recognized in earnings immediately.

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

The Company enters into commodity swap and forward contracts for various time periods usually not exceeding one year. The Company uses these contracts to mitigate the effects of its exposure to price variability on certain raw materials and other costs included in the delivered cost of its products. These contracts have generally been designated as cash flow hedging instruments. Changes in the fair value of these contracts are recognized in cost of sales as the products containing the hedged commodities are sold to customers.

The Company enters into foreign currency forward contracts for various time periods ranging from one month to several years. The Company uses these contracts to mitigate the effect of its exposure to foreign currency remeasurement gains and losses on selected transactions that will be settled in a currency other than the functional currency of the transacting entity. These contracts have been designated as fair value hedging instruments. Changes in the fair value of these currency forward contracts are recognized immediately in earnings.

Table of Contents

The Company also enters into interest rate swaps for time periods ranging from one month to several years in order to mitigate exposures related to interest rates on long-term debt. During the second quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company entered into interest rate swap contracts, which were designated as fair value hedging instruments, to convert a portion of its \$675.0 million Senior Secured Notes from a fixed rate of 8.625% to floating rates through February 1, 2018. As of December 31, 2011, the Company has outstanding interest rate swaps with a total notional value of \$90.0 million, which were designated as economic hedges in the third quarter of fiscal 2012.

The following tables set forth information on the presentation of these derivative instruments in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:

| | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value As of | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | | December 31, 2011 | March 31, 2011 |
| (In thousands) | | | |
| Asset Derivatives: | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | Current assets | \$ 2,441 | \$ |
| Commodity swaps | Current assets | 427 | 1,564 |
| Interest rate swaps | Noncurrent assets | 2,432 | |
| Liability Derivatives: | | | |
| Foreign currency forwards | Current liabilities | 236 | 2,555 |
| Commodity swaps / forwards | Current liabilities | 944 | 1,263 |

| | Statement of Operations Location | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Nine Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| (In thousands) | | | | | |
| Foreign currency forwards | Other (income) | | | | |
| (Gain) loss | expense, net | \$ (3,124) | \$ (2,019) | \$ (9,287) | \$ (4,843) |
| Commodity swaps / forwards | | | | | |
| Loss (gain) | Cost of goods sold | 2,998 | 4 | 3,808 | (74) |
| Interest Rate Swaps | | | | | |
| (gain) loss | Interest expense, net | (1,028) | 1,467 | (1,546) | 4,365 |

(4) GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill and intangible assets consist of:

| | Goodwill (not subject to amortization) | Trademarks and Tradenames (not subject to amortization) | Trademarks and Tradenames (subject to amortization) | Customer Relationships | Technology | Total |
|--------------------------|--|--|---|---------------------------|------------|------------|
| (In thousands) | | | | | | |
| As of December 31, 2011 | | | | | | |
| Gross amount | \$ 3,837 | \$ 60,175 | \$ 13,674 | \$ 113,084 | \$ 30,297 | \$ 221,067 |
| Accumulated amortization | | | (8,279) | (35,963) | (14,566) | (58,808) |
| Net | \$ 3,837 | \$ 60,175 | \$ 5,395 | \$ 77,121 | \$ 15,731 | \$ 162,259 |

As of March 31, 2011

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Gross amount | \$ 4,568 | \$ 63,561 | \$ 14,444 | \$ 119,454 | \$ 31,986 | \$ 234,013 |
| Accumulated amortization | | | (7,891) | (34,273) | (13,431) | (55,595) |
| Net | \$ 4,568 | \$ 63,561 | \$ 6,553 | \$ 85,181 | \$ 18,555 | \$ 178,418 |

Table of Contents

Amortization of intangible assets for the third quarter of fiscal 2012 and 2011 was \$1.9 million and \$2.2 million, respectively and for the first nine months of fiscal 2012 and 2011, was \$6.5 million and \$6.5 million, respectively. Excluding the impact of future acquisitions (if any), the Company anticipates annual amortization of intangible assets for each of the next five years to be approximately \$8.0 million to \$9.0 million. Goodwill and intangible assets have been recorded at the legal entity level and are subject to foreign currency fluctuation.

(5) INVENTORIES

Inventories, valued using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method, consist of:

| | December 31, 2011 | March 31, 2011 |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Raw materials | \$ 82,271 | \$ 83,584 |
| Work-in-process | 129,196 | 128,003 |
| Finished goods | 304,953 | 308,322 |
| | \$ 516,420 | \$ 519,909 |

(6) OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS

Other noncurrent assets consist of the following:

| | December 31, 2011 | March 31, 2011 |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Deposits (a) | \$ 6,900 | \$ 21,813 |
| Deferred financing costs | 21,391 | 23,982 |
| Investment in affiliates | 2,050 | 1,988 |
| Capitalized software, net | 1,965 | 3,102 |
| Loan to affiliate | 1,005 | 1,005 |
| Retirement plans | 17,181 | 12,523 |
| Financial instruments | 2,432 | |
| Other | 4,614 | 4,362 |
| | \$ 57,538 | \$ 68,775 |

(a) Deposits principally represent amounts held by beneficiaries as cash collateral for the Company's contingent obligations with respect to certain environmental matters and operating lease commitments.

(7) DEBT

At December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011, short-term borrowings of \$19.9 million and \$9.1 million, respectively, consisted of borrowings under various operating lines of credit and working capital facilities maintained by certain of the Company's non-U.S. subsidiaries. Certain of these borrowings are collateralized by receivables, inventories and/or property. These borrowing facilities, which are typically for one-year renewable terms, generally bear interest at current local market rates plus up to one percent per annum. The weighted average interest rate on short-term borrowings was approximately 5.5% and 4.7% at December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents

Total long-term debt consists of:

| | December 31, 2011 | March 31, 2011 |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| 8 5/8% Senior Secured Notes due 2018 | \$ 675,000 | \$ 675,000 |
| Floating Rate Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013 | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| Other, including capital lease obligations and other loans at interest rates averaging approximately 6.6% | 18,486 | 14,070 |
| | 753,486 | 749,070 |
| Fair value adjustments on hedged debt (see Note 3 interest rate swaps) | 3,496 | |
| Total | 756,982 | 749,070 |
| Less-current maturities | 1,334 | 2,132 |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 755,648 | \$ 746,938 |

Total debt at December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011 was \$776.9 million and \$758.2 million, respectively.

(8) INTEREST EXPENSE, NET

Interest income of \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million is included in interest expense, net for the three months ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$1.1 million and \$0.4 million for the nine months ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(9) OTHER EXPENSE (INCOME), NET

Other expense (income), net consists of:

| | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Nine Months Ended | |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Currency remeasurement loss (gain) (a) | \$ 3,566 | \$ (4,262) | \$ 12,949 | \$ (4,036) |
| Reorganization items (b) | 204 | 826 | 1,069 | 2,328 |
| Gain on interest rate swaps | (208) | | (4,503) | |
| Other | (159) | (44) | (242) | (403) |
| | \$ 3,403 | \$ (3,480) | \$ 9,273 | \$ (2,111) |

- (a) The currency remeasurement loss (gain) relates primarily to intercompany loans to foreign subsidiaries denominated in Euros, the Australian dollar, Polish Zloty, Belarus ruble, and various other foreign currencies.
- (b) Reorganization items primarily consist of professional fees and claim settlements related to the Company's prior bankruptcy filing from which the successor Company emerged in May 2004.

Table of Contents**(10) EMPLOYEE BENEFITS**

The components of the Company's net periodic pension and other post-retirement benefit costs are as follows:

| | Pension Benefits | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| | For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | For the Nine Months Ended December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost: | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 618 | \$ 812 | \$ 1,847 | \$ 2,389 |
| Interest cost | 8,182 | 8,366 | 24,509 | 24,867 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (7,727) | (7,221) | (23,139) | (21,540) |
| Amortization of: | | | | |
| Prior service cost | 21 | 3 | 64 | 7 |
| Actuarial loss | 168 | 266 | 503 | 796 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 1,262 | \$ 2,226 | \$ 3,784 | \$ 6,519 |

| | Other Post-Retirement Benefits | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| | For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | For the Nine Months Ended December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost: | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 126 | \$ 46 | \$ 381 | \$ 137 |
| Interest cost | 278 | 255 | 837 | 761 |
| Amortization of: | | | | |
| Prior service cost | (122) | (122) | (367) | (367) |
| Actuarial loss | 123 | 27 | 371 | 82 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 405 | \$ 206 | \$ 1,222 | \$ 613 |

The estimated fiscal 2012 pension plan contributions are \$28.7 million and other post-retirement contributions are \$2.0 million. Payments aggregating \$21.2 million were made during the nine months ended December 31, 2011.

(11) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**Claims Reconciliation**

On April 15, 2002, the Petition Date, Exide Technologies, together with certain of its subsidiaries (the Debtors), filed voluntary petitions for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy laws (Bankruptcy Code or Chapter 11) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (Bankruptcy Court). The Debtors continued to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors-in-possession throughout the course of the bankruptcy case. The Debtors, along with the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, filed a Joint Plan of Reorganization (the Plan) with the Bankruptcy Court on February 27, 2004 and, on April 21, 2004, the Bankruptcy Court confirmed the Plan.

Under the Plan, holders of general unsecured claims were eligible to receive collectively 2.5 million shares of common stock and warrants to purchase up to approximately 6.7 million shares of common stock at \$29.84 per share. Approximately 13.4% of such common stock and

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

warrants were initially reserved for distribution for disputed claims. The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, in consultation with the Company, established such reserve to provide for a pro rata distribution of new common stock and warrants to holders of disputed claims as they become allowed. As claims are evaluated and processed, the Company will object to some claims or portions thereof, and upward adjustments (to the extent common stock and warrants not previously distributed remain) or downward adjustments to the reserve will be made pending or following adjudication of such objections. Predictions regarding the allowance and classification of claims are difficult to make. With respect to environmental claims in particular, it is difficult to assess the Company's potential liability due to the large number of other potentially responsible parties. For example, a demand for the total cleanup costs of a landfill used by many entities may be asserted by the government using joint and several liability theories. Although the Company believes that there is a reasonable basis to believe that it will ultimately be responsible for only its proportional share of these remediation costs, there can be no assurance that the Company will prevail on these claims. In addition, the scope of remedial costs, or other environmental injuries, is highly variable and estimating these costs

Table of Contents

involves complex legal, scientific and technical judgments. Many of the claimants who have filed disputed claims, particularly environmental and personal injury claims, produce little or no proof of fault on which the Company can assess its potential liability. Such claimants often either fail to specify a determinate amount of damages or provide little or no basis for the alleged damages. In some cases, the Company is still seeking additional information needed for a claims assessment and information that is unknown to the Company at the current time may significantly affect the Company's assessment regarding the adequacy of the reserve amounts in the future.

As general unsecured claims have been allowed in the Bankruptcy Court, the Company has distributed approximately one share of common stock per \$383.00 in allowed claim amount and approximately one warrant per \$153.00 in allowed claim amount. These rates were established based upon the assumption that the common stock and warrants allocated to holders of general unsecured claims on the effective date, including the reserve established for disputed claims, would be fully distributed so that the recovery rates for all allowed unsecured claims would comply with the Plan without the need for any redistribution or supplemental issuance of securities. Effective May 6, 2011, all outstanding warrants expired and were cancelled. No more warrants will be issued to resolve any remaining pre-petition claims. If the amount of general unsecured claims that is eventually allowed exceeds the amount of claims anticipated in the setting of the reserve, additional common stock will be issued for the excess claim amounts at the same rates as used for the other general unsecured claims. If this were to occur, additional common stock would also be issued to the holders of pre-petition secured claims to maintain the ratio of their distribution in common stock at nine times the amount of common stock distributed for all unsecured claims.

Based on information available as of January 27, 2012, approximately 69.4% of common stock and warrants reserved for this purpose has been distributed. The Company also continues to resolve certain non-objected claims.

Private Party Lawsuits and other Legal Proceedings

In 2003, the Company served notices to reject certain executory contracts with EnerSys, which the Company contended were executory, including a 1991 Trademark and Trade Name License Agreement (the "Trademark License"), pursuant to which the Company had licensed to EnerSys use of the Exide trademark on certain industrial battery products in the United States and 80 foreign countries. EnerSys objected to the rejection of certain of those contracts, including the Trademark License. In 2006, the Bankruptcy Court granted the Company's request to reject certain of the contracts, including the Trademark License. EnerSys appealed those rulings. On June 1, 2010, the Third Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the Bankruptcy Court ruling, and remanded to the lower courts, holding that certain of the contracts, including the Trademark License, were not executory contracts and, therefore, were not subject to rejection. On August 27, 2010, acting on the Third Circuit's mandate, the Bankruptcy Court vacated its prior orders and denied the Company's motion to reject the contracts on the grounds that the agreements are not executory. On September 20, 2010, the Company filed a complaint in the Bankruptcy Court seeking a declaratory judgment that EnerSys does not have enforceable rights under the Trademark License under Bankruptcy Code provisions which the Company believes are relevant to non-executory contracts. EnerSys has filed a motion to dismiss that complaint, which the Company has opposed, and the motion remains pending.

Environmental Matters

As a result of its multinational manufacturing, distribution and recycling operations, the Company is subject to numerous federal, state, and local environmental, occupational health, and safety laws and regulations, as well as similar laws and regulations in other countries in which the Company operates (collectively, "EH&S laws").

The Company received a number of notices of violation issued by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection ("PADEP") for alleged violations of pollution control laws at its Reading, Pennsylvania recycling facility. To resolve these notices of violation, the Company negotiated a settlement agreement with PADEP that included monetary sanctions of \$0.12 million to PADEP. The settlement also included an agreement to perform an emission control project.

The Company is exposed to liabilities under such EH&S laws arising from its past handling, release, storage and disposal of materials now designated as hazardous substances and hazardous wastes. The Company previously has received notification from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), equivalent state and local agencies or others alleging or indicating that the Company is or may be responsible for performing and/or investigating environmental remediation, or seeking the repayment of the costs spent by governmental entities or others performing investigations and/or remediation at certain U.S. sites under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act or similar state laws.

The Company monitors and responds to inquiries from the EPA, equivalent state and local agencies and others at approximately 50 federally defined Superfund or state equivalent sites. While the ultimate outcome of these environmental matters is uncertain due to several factors, including the number of other parties that also may be responsible, the scope of investigation performed at such sites and the remediation

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

alternatives pursued by such federal and equivalent state and local agencies, the Company presently believes any liability for these matters, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, cash flows or results of operations.

Table of Contents

The Company is also involved in the assessment and remediation of various other properties, including certain currently and formerly owned or operating facilities. Such assessment and remedial work is being conducted pursuant to applicable EH&S laws with varying degrees of involvement by appropriate regulatory authorities. In addition, certain environmental matters concerning the Company are pending in various courts or with certain environmental regulatory agencies with respect to these currently or formerly owned or operating locations. While the ultimate outcome of these environmental matters is uncertain, the Company presently believes the resolution of these known environmental matters, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, cash flows or results of operations.

The Company has established liabilities for on-site and off-site environmental remediation costs where such costs are probable and reasonably estimable and believes that such liabilities are adequate. As of December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011, the amount of such liabilities on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets was approximately \$27.7 million and \$28.2 million respectively. Because environmental liabilities are not accrued until a liability is determined to be probable and reasonably estimable, not all potential future environmental liabilities have been included in the Company's environmental liabilities and, therefore, additional earnings charges are possible. Also, future findings or changes in estimates could have a material adverse effect on the recorded reserves and cash flows.

The sites that currently have the largest reserves include the following:

Tampa, Florida

The Tampa site is a former secondary lead recycling plant, lead oxide production facility, and sheet lead-rolling mill that operated from 1943 to 1989. Under a RCRA Part B Closure Permit and a Consent Decree with the State of Florida, Exide is required to investigate and remediate certain historic environmental impacts to the site. Cost estimates for remediation (closure and post-closure) are expected to range from \$13.0 million to \$20.0 million depending on final State of Florida requirements. The remediation activities are expected to occur over the course of several years.

Columbus, Georgia

The Columbus site is a former secondary lead recycling plant that was taken out of service in 1999, but remains part of a larger facility that includes an operating lead-acid battery manufacturing facility. Groundwater remediation activities began in 1988. Costs for supplemental investigations, remediation and site closure are currently estimated at \$6.0 million to \$9.0 million.

Guarantees

At December 31, 2011, the Company had outstanding letters of credit with a face value of \$47.3 million and surety bonds with a face value of \$41.9 million. The majority of the letters of credit and surety bonds have been issued as collateral or financial assurance with respect to certain liabilities the Company has recorded including, but not limited to, environmental remediation obligations and self-insured workers' compensation reserves. Failure of the Company to satisfy its obligations with respect to the primary obligations secured by the letters of credit or surety bonds could entitle the beneficiary of the related letter of credit or surety bond to demand payments pursuant to such instruments. The letters of credit generally have terms up to one year. Collateral held by the sureties in the form of letters of credit at December 31, 2011, pursuant to the terms of the agreement, totaled approximately \$39.8 million.

Certain of the Company's European and Asia Pacific subsidiaries have issued bank guarantees as collateral or financial assurance in connection with environmental obligations, income tax claims and customer contract requirements. At December 31, 2011, bank guarantees with an aggregate face value of \$14.1 million were outstanding.

Sales Returns and Allowances

The Company provides for an allowance for product returns and/or allowances. Based upon product examination in the manufacturing re-work process, the Company believes that the majority of its product returns are not the result of product defects. The Company recognizes the estimated cost of product returns as a reduction of net sales in the period in which the related revenue is recognized. The product return estimates are based upon historical trends and claims experience, and include an assessment of the anticipated lag between the date of sale and claim/return date.

Table of Contents

Changes in the Company's sales returns and allowances liability (in thousands) are as follows:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Balance at March 31, 2011 | \$ 35,707 |
| Accrual for sales returns and allowances | 32,869 |
| Settlements made (in cash or credit) and currency translation | (32,715) |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 35,861 |

(12) INCOME TAXES

The effective tax rate for the first nine months of fiscal year 2012 and fiscal year 2011 is (7,283.5%) and 6.5% respectively. The effective tax rate for the first nine months of fiscal 2012 included the recognition of taxes on income and losses in almost all of the Company's jurisdictions with the primary exception of the United Kingdom and Spain, on which full valuation allowances are recorded. The Company released the valuation allowance for France in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 after determining that it was more likely than not that the Company would realize all deductible temporary differences and carryforwards in the foreseeable future. In fiscal 2011, the Company released full valuation allowances for Australia and Italy based on this same more-likely-than-not criteria.

The effective tax rate for the first nine months of fiscal 2012 was impacted by the following discrete items: release of a valuation allowance in France of (\$76.7) million; the settlement of the 2003-2010 Spanish audit for \$13.4 million; and recording of a valuation allowance on a Portugal deferred tax asset of \$1.6 million.

Each quarter, the Company reviews the need to report the future realization of tax benefits of deductible temporary differences or loss carryforwards on its financial statements. All available evidence is considered to determine whether a valuation allowance should be established against these future tax benefits or previously established valuation allowances should be released. This review is performed on a jurisdiction by jurisdiction basis. As global market conditions and the Company's financial results in certain jurisdictions change, the continued release or establishment of related valuation allowances may occur.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state and foreign jurisdictions. With limited exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examinations by tax authorities for years ended before March 31, 2009. With respect to state and local jurisdictions and countries outside of the United States, with limited exceptions, the Company and its subsidiaries are no longer subject to income tax audits for years ended before March 31, 2005. Although the outcome of tax audits is always uncertain, the Company believes that adequate amounts of tax, interest and penalties have been provided for any adjustments that could result from these years.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2011, the Company recorded a \$13.4 million settlement with the Spanish tax authorities regarding its current and certain former Spanish subsidiaries. The settlement permanently closes income tax audits for fiscal years 2003 through 2006, and open tax audits for fiscal years 2007 through 2010. As part of the settlement, the Company agreed to withdraw its appeal of audit results for the periods 2003 through 2006. This withdrawal resulted in the forfeiture of the \$13.4 million previously paid during the appeal process. The Company and the tax authorities reached an agreement on the major issue raised during the audit.

The Company's unrecognized tax benefits decreased from \$51.5 million to \$49.4 million during the first nine months of fiscal 2012 due primarily to the effects of foreign currency translation plus unrecognized tax benefits established during the period less unrecognized tax benefits released during the period due to expiration of statute of limitations. The amount, if recognized, that would affect the Company's effective tax rate at December 31, 2011 is \$40.7 million.

The Company classifies interest and penalties on uncertain tax benefits as income tax expense. At both December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011, before any tax benefits, the Company had \$2.7 million of accrued interest and penalties on unrecognized tax benefits.

During the next twelve months, the Company does not expect the resolution of any tax audits which could potentially reduce unrecognized tax benefits by a material amount. However, expiration of the statute of limitations for a tax year in which the Company has recorded an uncertain tax benefit will occur in the next twelve months. The removal of this uncertain tax benefit would affect the Company's effective tax rate by \$0.4 million.

(13) RESTRUCTURING AND IMPAIRMENTS, NET

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

During the nine months of fiscal 2012, the Company has continued to implement operational changes to streamline and rationalize its structure in an effort to simplify the organization and eliminate redundant and/or unnecessary costs.

Table of Contents

Summarized restructuring reserve and asset impairment activity:

| | Severance Costs | Closure Costs | Total Restructuring (In thousands) | Asset Impairments | Total Expenses |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------|
| Balance at March 31, 2011 | \$ 18,732 | \$ 4,607 | \$ 23,339 | | |
| Expenses | 2,812 | (708) | 2,104 | \$ 1,618 | \$ 3,722 |
| Payments and Currency Translation | (13,417) | (34) | (13,451) | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 8,127 | \$ 3,865 | \$ 11,992 | | |

Remaining expenditures principally represent (i) severance and related benefits payable per employee agreements and/or regulatory requirements, (ii) lease commitments for certain closed facilities, branches and offices, as well as leases for excess and permanently idle equipment payable in accordance with contractual terms, and (iii) certain other closure costs including dismantlement and costs associated with removal obligations incurred in connection with the exit of facilities.

Summarized restructuring and asset impairment expenses by segment:

| | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Nine Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Transportation Americas | \$ 1,325 | \$ 3,477 | \$ 1,949 | \$ 5,298 |
| Transportation Europe & ROW | 438 | (1,434) | (16) | 902 |
| Industrial Energy Americas | 209 | 779 | 555 | 978 |
| Industrial Energy Europe & ROW | 331 | 1,162 | 1,014 | 9,650 |
| Unallocated | (158) | 97 | 220 | 696 |
| TOTAL | \$ 2,145 | \$ 4,081 | \$ 3,722 | \$ 17,524 |

(14) EARNINGS PER SHARE

The Company computes basic earnings per share by dividing net earnings by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net earnings, after adding back the after-tax amount of interest recognized in the period associated with the Company's Floating Rate Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes, by diluted weighted average shares outstanding. For the three and nine month periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, market rates were below the level at which interest payments for these notes are required.

Potentially dilutive shares include the assumed exercise of stock options and the assumed vesting of restricted stock and stock unit awards (using the treasury stock method) as well as the assumed conversion of the convertible debt, if dilutive (using the if-converted method). Shares which are contingently issuable under the Company's plan of reorganization have been included as outstanding common shares for purposes of calculating basic earnings per share.

Table of Contents

Basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and nine month periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 are summarized as follows:

| | For the Three Months Ended December 31, | | For the Nine Months Ended December 31, | |
|---|--|-----------|---|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands, except per share amounts) | | | |
| Net income attributable to Exide Technologies | \$ 68,215 | \$ 31,210 | \$ 59,435 | \$ 40,124 |
| Interest expense on Floating Rate Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes | | | | |
| | \$ 68,215 | \$ 31,210 | \$ 59,435 | \$ 40,124 |
| Basic weighted average shares outstanding | 77,738 | 76,675 | 77,628 | 76,508 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Floating Rate Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes | 3,697 | 3,697 | 3,697 | 3,697 |
| Employee stock options | | 696 | 380 | 516 |
| Employee restricted stock awards (non-vested) | 175 | 411 | 493 | 172 |
| | 3,872 | 4,804 | 4,570 | 4,385 |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding | 81,610 | 81,479 | 82,198 | 80,893 |
| Basic earnings per share: | \$ 0.88 | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.52 |
| Diluted earnings per share: | \$ 0.84 | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.72 | \$ 0.50 |

For the three and nine months ended December 31, 2011, approximately 3.0 million and 1.8 million stock options, respectively, were excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation because their exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the related common stock for the periods, and their inclusion would be antidilutive. For the three and nine months ended December 31, 2010, 1.6 million and 2.2 million stock options, respectively, were similarly excluded. The remaining options, if any, were included in the treasury stock method calculation, and the resulting incremental shares were included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share.

(15) FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The Company uses available market information and appropriate methodologies to estimate the fair value of its financial instruments. Considerable judgment is required in interpreting market data to develop these estimates. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that the Company could realize in a current market exchange. Certain of these financial instruments are with major financial institutions and expose the Company to market and credit risks and may at times be concentrated with certain counterparties or groups of counterparties. The creditworthiness of counterparties is continually reviewed, and full performance is currently anticipated.

Table of Contents

The Company's cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and short-term borrowings all have carrying amounts that are a reasonable estimate of their fair values. The carrying values and estimated fair values of the Company's long-term obligations and other financial instruments are as follows:

| | December 31, 2011 | | March 31, 2011 | |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| | Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value | Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| (Liability) Asset: | | | | |
| Senior Secured Notes due 2018 (a) | \$ (678,496) | \$ (517,219) | \$ (675,000) | \$ (718,031) |
| Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013 | (60,000) | (48,300) | (60,000) | (55,425) |
| Interest Rate Swaps (b) | 4,873 | 4,873 | | |
| Foreign Currency Forwards (b) | (236) | (236) | (2,555) | (2,555) |
| Commodity swaps / forwards (b) | | | | |
| Asset | 427 | 427 | 1,564 | 1,564 |
| Liability | (944) | (944) | (1,263) | (1,263) |

- (a) Carrying value at December 31, 2011 includes \$3.5 million increase related to fair value adjustment for the \$100.0 million interest rate swaps. See Notes 3 and 7.
- (b) These financial instruments are required to be measured at fair value, and are based on inputs as described in the three-tier hierarchy that prioritizes inputs used in measuring fair value as of the reported date:

Level 1 Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities;

Level 2 Inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are observable either directly or indirectly; and

Level 3 Inputs from valuation techniques in which one or more key value drivers are not observable, and must be based on the reporting entity's own assumptions.

The following table represents our financial instruments that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, and the basis for that measurement:

| | Total Fair Value Measurement | Quoted Price in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ 4,873 | \$ | \$ 4,873 | \$ |
| Commodity swaps / forwards | 427 | | 427 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Foreign currency forwards | 236 | | 236 | |
| Commodity swaps / forwards | 944 | | 944 | |

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

March 31, 2011:

Assets:

| | | |
|-----------------|-------|-------|
| Commodity Swaps | 1,564 | 1,564 |
|-----------------|-------|-------|

Liabilities:

| | | |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|
| Foreign currency forwards | 2,555 | 2,555 |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|

| | | |
|-----------------|-------|-------|
| Commodity Swaps | 1,263 | 1,263 |
|-----------------|-------|-------|

The Company uses a market approach to determine the fair values of all of its derivative instruments subject to recurring fair value measurements. The fair value of each financial instrument was determined based upon observable forward prices for the related underlying financial index or commodity price, and each has been classified as Level 2 based on the nature of the underlying markets in which those derivatives are traded. For additional discussion of the Company's derivative instruments and hedging activities, see Note 3.

Table of Contents**(16) SEGMENT INFORMATION**

The Company reports its results in four business segments: Transportation Americas, Transportation Europe and Rest of World (ROW), Industrial Energy Americas and Industrial Energy Europe and ROW. The Company is a global producer and recycler of lead-acid batteries. The Company's four business segments provide a comprehensive range of stored electrical energy products and services for transportation and industrial applications.

Transportation markets include original-equipment and aftermarket batteries for cars, trucks, off-road vehicles, agricultural and construction vehicles, motorcycles, recreational vehicles, marine, and other applications. Industrial markets include batteries for motive power and network power applications. Motive power batteries are used in the materials handling industry for electric forklift trucks, and in other industries, including floor cleaning machinery, powered wheelchairs, railroad locomotives, mining and the electric road vehicles market. Network power batteries are used for backup power for use with telecommunications systems, computer installations, hospitals, air traffic control, security systems, utility, railway and military applications.

The Company's four reportable segments are determined based upon the nature of the markets served and the geographic regions in which they operate. The Company's chief operating decision-maker monitors and manages the financial performance of these four business groups. Costs of certain shared services and other corporate costs are not allocated or charged to the business groups.

Selected financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments is as follows:

| | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Nine Months Ended | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Net sales | | | | |
| Transportation Americas | \$ 234,487 | \$ 240,186 | \$ 676,521 | \$ 694,278 |
| Transportation Europe & ROW | 269,104 | 282,715 | 743,802 | 669,507 |
| Industrial Energy Americas | 75,973 | 78,420 | 255,512 | 216,799 |
| Industrial Energy Europe & ROW | 204,487 | 198,975 | 626,264 | 532,386 |
| | \$ 784,051 | \$ 800,296 | \$ 2,302,099 | \$ 2,112,970 |
| Operating income (loss) | | | | |
| Transportation Americas | \$ 3,021 | \$ 23,075 | \$ (716) | \$ 52,197 |
| Transportation Europe & ROW | 19,451 | 24,251 | 38,300 | 46,293 |
| Industrial Energy Americas | 11,578 | 8,496 | 32,486 | 19,558 |
| Industrial Energy Europe & ROW | 5,777 | 10,133 | 19,442 | 17,049 |
| Unallocated corporate expenses | (9,498) | (12,222) | (22,796) | (31,138) |
| | 30,329 | 53,733 | 66,716 | 103,959 |
| Less: Restructuring and Impairment Costs (a) | 2,145 | 4,081 | 3,722 | 17,524 |
| Total Operating Income | \$ 28,184 | \$ 49,652 | \$ 62,994 | \$ 86,435 |

(a) See Note 13 for detail by segment.

Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis provides information which management believes is relevant to an assessment and understanding of the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial condition. The discussion should be read in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

Some of the statements contained in the following discussion of the Company's financial condition and results of operations refer to future expectations or include other forward-looking information. Those statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause the actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by these statements. The forward-looking information is based on various factors and was derived from numerous assumptions. See

Table of Contents

Cautionary Statement for Purposes of the Safe Harbor Provision of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, included in this Report on Form 10-Q for a discussion of factors to be considered when evaluating forward-looking information detailed below. These factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward looking statements. For a discussion of certain legal contingencies, see Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Executive Overview

The Company is a global producer and recycler of lead-acid batteries. The Company's four business segments, Transportation Americas, Transportation Europe and Rest of World (ROW), Industrial Energy Americas, and Industrial Energy Europe and ROW provide a comprehensive range of stored electrical energy products and services for transportation and industrial applications.

Transportation markets include Original Equipment (OE) and aftermarket automotive, heavy-duty truck, agricultural and marine applications, and new technologies for hybrid vehicles. Industrial markets include batteries for telecommunications systems, electric utilities, railroads, uninterruptible power supply (UPS), lift trucks, mining, and other commercial vehicles.

The Company's four reportable segments are determined based upon the nature of the markets served and the geographic regions in which they operate. The Company's chief operating decision-maker monitors and manages the financial performance of these four business groups.

Factors Which Affect the Company's Financial Performance

Lead and Other Raw Materials. Lead represented approximately 47.8% of the Company's cost of goods sold. The market price of lead fluctuates. Generally, when lead prices decrease, customers may seek disproportionate price reductions from the Company, and when lead prices increase, customers may resist price increases. Either of these situations may cause customer demand for the Company's products to be reduced and the Company's net sales and gross margins to decline. The average price of lead as quoted on the London Metals Exchange (LME) has increased 9.6% from \$2,123 per metric ton for the nine months ended December 31, 2010 to \$2,327 per metric ton for the nine months ended December 31, 2011. During the first nine months of fiscal 2012, the LME lead price has decreased from \$2,719 per metric ton at March 31, 2011 to \$1,980 per metric ton at December 31, 2011. At January 27, 2012, the quoted price on the LME was \$2,287 per metric ton. To the extent that lead prices continue to be volatile and the Company is unable to maintain existing pricing or pass higher material costs resulting from this volatility to its customers, its financial performance will be adversely impacted.

Energy Costs. The Company relies on various sources of energy to support its manufacturing and distribution process, principally natural gas at its recycling facilities, electricity in its battery assembly facilities, and diesel fuel for distribution of its products. The Company seeks to recoup increases in energy costs through price increases or surcharges. To the extent the Company is unable to pass on these higher energy costs to its customers, its financial performance will be adversely impacted.

Competition. The global transportation and industrial energy battery markets are highly competitive. In recent years, competition has continued to intensify and has affected the Company's ability to pass along increased prices to keep pace with rising production costs. The effects of this competition have been exacerbated by excess capacity in certain of the Company's markets, fluctuating lead prices and low-priced Asian imports in certain of the Company's markets.

Exchange Rates. The Company is exposed to foreign currency risk in most European countries, principally from fluctuations in the Euro. For the first nine months of fiscal 2012, the exchange rate of the Euro to the U.S. Dollar increased 6.9% on average to \$1.40 compared to \$1.31 for first nine months of fiscal 2011. At December 31, 2011, the Euro was \$1.30 or 8.5% lower as compared to \$1.42 at March 31, 2011. Fluctuations in foreign currencies impacted the Company's results for the periods presented herein. For the first nine months ended December 31, 2011, approximately 59.5% of the Company's net sales were generated in Europe and ROW. Further, approximately 65.1% of the Company's aggregate accounts receivable and inventory as of December 31, 2011 were held by its European and ROW subsidiaries.

The Company is also exposed, although to a lesser extent, to foreign currency risk in Canada, Mexico, the U.K., Poland, Australia, and various countries in the Pacific Rim. Fluctuations of exchange rates against the U.S. Dollar can result in variations in the U.S. Dollar value of non-U.S. sales, expenses, assets, and liabilities. In some instances, gains in one currency may be offset by losses in another.

Markets. The Company is subject to concentrations of customers and sales in a few geographic locations and is dependent on customers in certain industries, including the automotive, communications and data and material handling markets. Economic difficulties experienced in these markets and geographic locations impact the Company's financial results. In addition, capital spending by major customers in our network power channels continue to be below historic levels.

Table of Contents

Seasonality and Weather. The Company sells a disproportionate share of its transportation aftermarket batteries during the fall and early winter (the Company's third and a portion of its fourth fiscal quarters). Retailers and distributors buy automotive batteries during these periods so they will have sufficient inventory for cold weather periods. The impact of seasonality on sales has the effect of increasing the Company's working capital requirements and also makes the Company more sensitive to fluctuations in the availability of liquidity.

Unusually cold winters or hot summers may accelerate battery failure and increase demand for transportation replacement batteries. Mild winters and cool summers may have the opposite effect. As a result, if the Company's sales are reduced by an unusually warm winter or cool summer, the Company typically does not recover these sales in later periods. Further, if the Company's sales are adversely affected by the weather, the Company typically cannot make offsetting cost reductions to protect its liquidity and gross margins in the short-term because a large portion of the Company's manufacturing and distribution costs are fixed.

Third quarter of Fiscal 2012 Highlights and Outlook

In the Americas, the Company obtains the vast majority of its lead requirements from five Company-owned and operated secondary lead recycling plants. These facilities reclaim lead by recycling spent lead-acid batteries, which are obtained for recycling from the Company's customers and outside spent-battery collectors. Recycling helps the Company in the Americas control the cost of its principal raw material as compared to purchasing lead at prevailing market prices. Similar to the fluctuation in lead prices, however, the cost of spent battery purchases has also fluctuated. The Company obtains cores from multiple sources including customer returns of spent batteries, battery brokers, and battery collectors. The Company's average cost of purchased spent batteries including spent batteries returned from customers increased approximately 15.0% in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 versus the third quarter of fiscal 2011. In response, the Company takes pricing actions as allowed by the market and is attempting to secure higher captive spent battery return rates to help mitigate the risks associated with this price volatility.

In Europe, the Company's lead requirements are mainly fulfilled by third-party suppliers. Because of the Company's exposure to the historically volatile lead market prices in Europe, the Company has implemented several measures to offset changes in lead prices, including selective pricing actions, lead hedging, and lead price escalators. The Company has automatic lead price escalators with virtually all OEM customers. The Company currently obtains a small portion of its lead requirements from recycling in its European facilities.

The Company expects that volatility in lead and other commodity costs, which affect all business segments, will continue to put pressure on the Company's financial performance. The Company has, however, utilized selective pricing actions, lead price escalators and fuel surcharges in certain contracts, and selective lead hedging strategies to help mitigate these risks. The implementation of selective pricing actions and price escalators generally lag the rise in market prices of lead and other commodities. Both lead price escalators and fuel surcharges may not be accepted by our customers, and if the price of lead decreases, our customers may seek disproportionate price reductions.

In addition to managing the impact of fluctuations in lead and other commodity costs on the Company's results, the key elements of the Company's underlying business plans and continued strategies are:

- (i) Leveraging the company's resources through the global implementation of a "One Exide" approach to better utilize factory capacity, encourage cross selling between business segments and facilitate use of key talent.
- (ii) Actions designed to improve the Company's liquidity and operating cash flow through working capital reduction plans, the sale of non-strategic assets and businesses, streamlining cash management processes, implementing plans to minimize the cash costs of the Company's restructuring initiatives, and closely managing capital expenditures.
- (iii) Continued productivity improvements throughout the entire value chain utilizing tools that include Lean, Six Sigma, Maintenance Excellence and Value Analysis Value Engineering (VAVE).
- (iv) Successful execution and completion of the Company's restructuring plan to reduce manufacturing capacity for the America's transportation business to lower fixed costs.
- (v) Continued investment in production capacity to meet evolving needs for enhanced batteries (AGM and Micro-Hybrid Flooded) required for the increasing numbers of Stop & Start and Micro-Hybrid vehicles.
- (vi) Selected research and development and engineering investments designed to develop enhanced lead-acid products to meet the customer-driven needs and create revenue growth opportunities.

Table of Contents**Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The Company's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations is based upon the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates its estimates based on its historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

The Company believes that the critical accounting policies and estimates disclosed in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 affect the preparation of its Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The reader of this report should refer to the Company's annual report for further information.

Results of Operations**Three months ended December 31, 2011 compared with three months ended December 31, 2010***Net Sales*

Net sales were \$784.1 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2012 versus \$800.3 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2011. Foreign currency translation (primarily the Euro against the U.S. dollar) unfavorably impacted net sales in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 by approximately \$12.0 million. Excluding the foreign currency translation impact, net sales decreased by approximately \$4.2 million, or 0.5% primarily due to a decrease in unit sales in some of the Company's markets partially offset by an estimated \$45.1 million in lead related price increases.

Net sales by business segment were as follows:

| | For the Three Months Ended | | FAVORABLE / (UNFAVORABLE) | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | TOTAL | Currency Related | Non-Currency Related |
| | | | (In thousands) | | |
| Transportation Americas | \$ 234,487 | \$ 240,186 | \$ (5,699) | \$ (1,111) | \$ (4,588) |
| Transportation Europe & ROW | 269,104 | 282,715 | (13,611) | (9,539) | (4,072) |
| Industrial Energy Americas | 75,973 | 78,420 | (2,447) | (243) | (2,204) |
| Industrial Energy Europe & ROW | 204,487 | 198,975 | 5,512 | (1,109) | 6,621 |
| TOTAL | \$ 784,051 | \$ 800,296 | \$ (16,245) | \$ (12,002) | \$ (4,243) |

Transportation Americas net sales, excluding the foreign currency translation impact, decreased 1.9% due to a 18.6% decrease in aftermarket unit sales partially offset by a 132.4% increase in OEM unit sales and \$10.9 million impact of lead related price increases. Third-party lead sales for the third quarter of fiscal 2012 were approximately \$9.4 million lower than such third-party sales in the third quarter of fiscal 2011.

Transportation Europe and ROW net sales, excluding foreign currency translation impact, decreased 1.4% mainly due to 7.8% lower unit sales in the aftermarket channel partially offset by 5.9% higher unit sales in the OEM channel and \$30.1 million impact of lead related pricing actions. Unusually warm weather across most of the European continent negatively impacted the sale of replacement batteries throughout the period.

Industrial Energy Americas net sales, excluding the foreign currency translation impact, decreased 2.8% primarily due to 19.7% lower unit sales in the Motive Power market while unit sales in the Network Power market were flat.

Industrial Energy Europe and ROW net sales, excluding foreign currency translation impact, increased 3.3% due to 8.7% higher unit sales in the Motive Power market and \$3.9 million of lead related pricing actions. Network Power sales in Europe continue to be lower due to depressed

market conditions.

Gross Profit

Gross profit was \$126.5 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 versus \$160.3 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2011, unfavorably impacted by lower unit sales and higher commodity costs. Gross margin decreased to 16.1% from 20.0% in the third quarter of fiscal 2011. Gross profit was unfavorably impacted by 98 basis points or \$7.9 million resulting from unrecovered lead costs. Foreign currency translation unfavorably impacted gross profit in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 by \$2.2 million.

Table of Contents*Operating Expenses*

- i. Selling and administrative expenses decreased \$10.4 million, to \$96.2 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 from \$106.6 million in fiscal 2011. Excluding favorable foreign currency translation impact of \$0.2 million, the expenses decreased \$10.2 million primarily due to headcount reductions and lower salary related expenses. Included in selling and administrative expenses were general and administrative expenses of \$41.3 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 and \$48.2 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2011.
- ii. Restructuring and impairment expenses decreased by \$1.9 million, to \$2.2 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 from \$4.1 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2011, and included non-cash asset impairment related items of \$1.2 million and a gain of (\$2.2) million in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Operating Income

Operating income was \$28.2 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 versus \$49.7 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2011. Operating income was unfavorably impacted by lower gross profit, partially offset by \$1.9 million lower restructuring and impairment expenses, and selling and administrative expenses. Foreign currency translation unfavorably impacted operating income in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 by \$2.0 million.

Operating income by segment was as follows:

| | For the Three Months Ended | | | | FAVORABLE / (UNFAVORABLE) | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2011 | Percent of Net Sales | December 31, 2010 | Percent of Net Sales | TOTAL | Currency Related | Non-Currency Related |
| | TOTAL | | TOTAL | | | | |
| | (In thousands) | | | | | | |
| Transportation Americas | \$ 3,021 | 1.3% | \$ 23,075 | 9.6% | \$ (20,054) | \$ 92 | \$ (20,146) |
| Transportation Europe & ROW | 19,451 | 7.2% | 24,251 | 8.6% | (4,800) | (1,965) | (2,835) |
| Industrial Energy Americas | 11,578 | 15.2% | 8,496 | 10.8% | 3,082 | (40) | 3,122 |
| Industrial Energy Europe & ROW | 5,777 | 2.8% | 10,133 | 5.1% | (4,356) | 119 | (4,475) |
| Unallocated corporate | (9,498) | n/a | (12,222) | n/a | 2,724 | (206) | 2,930 |
| | 30,329 | 3.9% | 53,733 | 6.7% | (23,404) | (2,000) | (21,404) |
| Less: Restructuring and Impairment Costs | 2,145 | n/a | 4,081 | n/a | 1,936 | 29 | 1,907 |
| Total Operating Income | \$ 28,184 | 3.6% | \$ 49,652 | 6.2% | \$ (21,468) | \$ (1,971) | \$ (19,497) |

Gross margins by segment are shown below:

| | For the Three Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| Transportation Americas | 14.1% | 22.5% |
| Transportation Europe & ROW | 15.9% | 18.0% |
| Industrial Energy Americas | 28.7% | 25.7% |
| Industrial Energy Europe & ROW | 14.1% | 17.7% |

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------|-------|-------|
| TOTAL | 16.1% | 20.0% |
|-------|-------|-------|

Transportation Americas operating income, excluding the foreign currency translation impact, decreased primarily due to 18.6% lower aftermarket unit sales and higher commodity costs including lead. The increase in net sales and cost of sales from lead related pricing had a 70 basis point unfavorable impact on gross margin.

Transportation Europe and ROW operating income, excluding foreign currency translation impact, decreased mainly due to lower unit sales in the aftermarket channel, partially offset by pricing actions and higher unit sales in the OEM channel. The increase in net sales and cost of sales from lead related pricing had a 200 basis point unfavorable impact on gross margin.

Table of Contents

Industrial Energy Americas operating income increased mostly due to improved manufacturing efficiencies and lower warranty expenses.

Industrial Energy Europe and ROW operating income, excluding foreign currency translation impact, decreased mainly due to higher commodity costs. Lead related pricing impacted the gross margin unfavorably by 30 basis points.

Unallocated corporate operating expenses, excluding the foreign currency translation impact, decreased mainly due to lower professional fees, headcount reductions and lower salary related expenses.

Other Expense (Income)

Other expense (income) was \$3.4 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 versus (\$3.5) million in the third quarter of fiscal 2011. The unfavorable change primarily relates to \$3.6 million of currency remeasurement loss in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 versus \$4.3 million of currency remeasurement gain in the third quarter of fiscal 2011.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased \$1.9 million, to \$17.2 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 from \$15.3 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2011 primarily due to increased borrowings resulting from our January, 2011 debt refinancing.

Income Taxes

| | For the Three Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | December 31, | December 31, |
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Pre-tax income | \$ 7,587 | \$ 37,834 |
| Income tax (benefit) provision | (60,313) | 6,613 |
| Effective tax rate | -795.0% | 17.5% |

The effective tax rate for the third quarter of fiscal 2012 included the recognition of taxes on income and losses in almost all of the Company's jurisdictions with the primary exception of the United Kingdom and Spain, on which full valuation allowances are recorded. The Company released the valuation allowance for France in third quarter fiscal 2012 after determining that it was more likely than not that the Company would realize all deductible temporary differences and carryforwards in the foreseeable future.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2011, the Company recorded a \$13.4 million settlement with the Spanish tax authorities regarding its current and certain former Spanish subsidiaries. The settlement permanently closes income tax audits for fiscal years 2003 through 2006, and open tax audits for fiscal years 2007 through 2010. As part of the settlement, the Company agreed to withdraw its appeal of audit results for the periods 2003 through 2006. This withdrawal resulted in the forfeiture of the \$13.4 million previously paid during the appeal process. The Company and the tax authorities reached an agreement on the major issue raised during the audit. See Note 12 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of the Company's effective tax rate.

The effective tax rate for the third quarter of fiscal 2012 was impacted by the following discrete items: release of a valuation allowance in France of (\$76.7) million; the settlement of the 2003-2010 Spanish audit for \$13.4 million; and recording of a valuation allowance on a Portugal deferred tax asset of \$1.6 million; and the utilization of (\$1.2) million in valuation allowances on current period tax expense generated mostly in the United Kingdom and Spain. The effective tax rate for the third quarter of fiscal year 2011 was primarily affected by the impact of various items related to uncertain tax positions of \$1.8 million and changes in jurisdictional tax laws of (\$3.7) million.

*Nine months ended December 31, 2011 compared with nine months ended December 31, 2010**Net Sales*

Net sales were \$2.30 billion in the first nine months of fiscal 2012 versus \$2.11 billion in the first nine months of fiscal 2011. Foreign currency translation favorably impacted net sales in the first nine months of fiscal 2012 by approximately \$78.9 million.

Table of Contents

Excluding the foreign currency translation impact, net sales increased by approximately \$110.2 million, or 5.2% primarily as a result of an estimated \$127.8 million in lead related price increases partially offset by a slight decrease in unit sales in some of the Company's markets.

Net sales by business segment were as follows:

| | For the Nine Months Ended | | FAVORABLE / (UNFAVORABLE) | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | TOTAL (In thousands) | Currency Related | Non-Currency Related |
| Transportation Americas | \$ 676,521 | \$ 694,278 | \$ (17,757) | \$ 2,964 | \$ (20,721) |
| Transportation Europe & ROW | 743,802 | 669,507 | 74,295 | 34,569 | 39,726 |
| Industrial Energy Americas | 255,512 | 216,799 | 38,713 | 371 | 38,342 |
| Industrial Energy Europe & ROW | 626,264 | 532,386 | 93,878 | 41,037 | 52,841 |
| TOTAL | \$ 2,302,099 | \$ 2,112,970 | \$ 189,129 | \$ 78,941 | \$ 110,188 |

Transportation Americas net sales, excluding the foreign currency translation impact, decreased 3.0% due to a 17.8% decrease in aftermarket unit sales partially offset by a 83.0% increase in OEM unit sales and \$45.0 million impact of lead related price increases. Third-party lead sales in the first nine months of fiscal 2012 were approximately \$1.1 million higher than such third-party sales in the first nine months of fiscal 2011.

Transportation Europe and ROW net sales, excluding foreign currency translation impact, increased 5.9% mainly due to 8.2% higher unit sales in the OEM channel and \$65.6 million impact of lead related pricing actions, partially offset by 6.6% lower unit sales in the aftermarket channel.

Industrial Energy Americas net sales, excluding the foreign currency translation impact, increased 17.7% due to 10.0% higher unit sales in both the Motive Power and Network Power markets.

Industrial Energy Europe and ROW net sales, excluding foreign currency translation impact, increased 9.9% due to 24.5% higher unit sales in the Motive Power market and \$17.3 million of lead related pricing actions. Network Power sales in Europe continue to be relatively depressed.

Gross Profit

Gross profit was \$361.8 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2012 versus \$406.0 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2011. Gross margin decreased to 15.7% from 19.2% in the first nine months of fiscal 2011. Gross profit was unfavorably impacted by 92 basis points resulting from unrecovered lead costs. Foreign currency translation favorably impacted gross profit in the first nine months of fiscal 2012 by \$11.8 million.

Operating Expenses

- i. Selling and administrative expenses decreased \$6.9 million, to \$295.1 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2012 from \$302.0 million in fiscal 2011. Excluding unfavorable foreign currency translation impact of \$11.1 million, the expenses decreased \$18.0 million primarily due to savings due to headcount reductions and lower salary related expenses. Included in selling and administrative expenses were general and administrative expenses of \$125.0 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2012 and \$134.3 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2011.
- ii. Restructuring and impairment expenses decreased by \$13.8 million, to \$3.7 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2012 from \$17.5 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2011, and included non-cash asset impairment related items of \$1.6 million and (\$0.9) million gain in the first nine months of fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Operating Income

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

Operating income was \$63.0 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2012 versus \$86.4 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2011. Operating income was unfavorably impacted by lower gross profit, partially offset by manufacturing efficiencies, lower selling and administrative expenses and \$13.8 million of lower restructuring and impairment expenses. Foreign currency translation favorably impacted operating income in the first nine months of fiscal 2012 by \$0.6 million.

Table of Contents

Operating income by segment was as follows:

| | For the Nine Months Ended | | December 31, 2010 | | FAVORABLE / (UNFAVORABLE) | | |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2011 | Percent of Net Sales | TOTAL | Percent of Net Sales | TOTAL | Currency Related | Non-Currency Related |
| | TOTAL | | TOTAL | | (In thousands) | | |
| Transportation Americas | \$ (716) | -0.1% | \$ 52,197 | 7.5% | \$ (52,913) | \$ 207 | \$ (53,120) |
| Transportation Europe & ROW | 38,300 | 5.1% | 46,293 | 6.9% | (7,993) | 77 | (8,070) |
| Industrial Energy Americas | 32,486 | 12.7% | 19,558 | 9.0% | 12,928 | (52) | 12,980 |
| Industrial Energy Europe & ROW | 19,442 | 3.1% | 17,049 | 3.2% | 2,393 | 1,632 | 761 |
| Unallocated corporate | (22,796) | n/a | (31,138) | n/a | 8,342 | (1,242) | 9,584 |
| | 66,716 | 2.9% | 103,959 | 4.9% | (37,243) | 622 | (37,865) |
| Less: Restructuring and Impairment Costs | 3,722 | n/a | 17,524 | n/a | 13,802 | 15 | 13,787 |
| Total Operating Income | \$ 62,994 | 2.7% | \$ 86,435 | 4.1% | \$ (23,441) | \$ 637 | \$ (24,078) |

Gross margins by segment were as follows:

| | For the Nine Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| Transportation Americas | 13.4% | 20.4% |
| Transportation Europe & ROW | 15.1% | 18.1% |
| Industrial Energy Americas | 25.0% | 24.6% |
| Industrial Energy Europe & ROW | 15.1% | 17.0% |
| TOTAL | 15.7% | 19.2% |

Transportation Americas operating income, excluding the foreign currency translation impact, decreased primarily due to 17.8% lower aftermarket unit sales and higher commodity costs including lead. The increase in net sales and cost of sales from lead related pricing had a 100 basis point unfavorable impact on gross margin.

Transportation Europe and ROW operating income, excluding foreign currency translation impact, decreased mainly due to higher commodity costs including lead, partially offset by pricing actions and higher unit sales in the OEM channel. The increase in net sales and cost of sales from lead related pricing had a 150 basis point unfavorable impact on gross margin. Also negatively impacting year-to-date results is an out-of-period adjustment of \$5.0 million as discussed in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Industrial Energy Americas operating income increased primarily due to higher unit sales in the Motive Power and Network Power markets as well as improved manufacturing efficiencies, lower warranty expenses and expense reductions.

Industrial Energy Europe and ROW operating income, excluding foreign currency translation impact, increased mainly due to higher unit sales, principally in the Motive Power market. The increase in net sales and cost of sales from lead related pricing had a 40 basis point unfavorable impact on gross margin.

Unallocated corporate operating expenses, excluding the foreign currency translation impact, decreased mainly due to lower salary related expenses, professional fees, and stock compensation expenses.

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

Other Expense

Other expense (income) was \$9.3 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2012 versus (\$2.1) million in the first nine months of fiscal 2011. The increase primarily relates to a currency remeasurement loss of \$12.9 million in the fiscal 2012 period versus a currency remeasurement gain of (\$4.0) in the fiscal 2011 period , partially offset by lower reorganization costs of \$1.2 million and a \$4.6 million gain on certain interest rate swaps (see Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements), .

Table of Contents*Interest Expense*

Interest expense increased \$7.5 million, to \$52.9 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2012 from \$45.4 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2011 primarily due to increased borrowings.

Income Taxes

| | For the Nine Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | December 31, | December 31, |
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Pre-tax income | \$ 792 | \$ 43,105 |
| Income tax (benefit) provision | (57,685) | 2,800 |
| Effective tax rate | -7283.5% | 6.5% |

The effective tax rate for the first nine months of fiscal 2012 was impacted by the recognition of taxes on income and losses in almost all of the Company's jurisdictions with the primary exception of the United Kingdom and Spain, on which full valuation allowances are recorded. In addition, the Company released the valuation allowance for France in third quarter fiscal 2012 after determining that it was more likely than not that the Company would realize all deductible temporary differences and carryforwards in the foreseeable future. For fiscal 2011, the Company released the full valuation allowances for Australia and Italy.

The effective tax rate for the first nine months of fiscal 2012 was impacted by the following discrete items: release of a valuation allowance in France of (\$76.7) million; the settlement of the 2003-2010 Spanish audit for \$13.4 million; and recording of a valuation allowance on a Portugal deferred tax asset of \$1.6 million; and the utilization of (\$1.2) million in valuation allowances on current period tax expense generated mostly in the United Kingdom and Spain. The effective tax rate for the first nine months of fiscal year 2011 was impacted by the: release of valuation allowances of (\$10.2) million in Australia and Italy and the impact of various items related to uncertain tax positions of \$1.8 million. Additionally, the tax rate in fiscal year 2011 was impacted by a benefit of \$4.2 million established through a Polish adjustment to tax basis in intangibles.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$102.7 million and availability under the Company's senior secured asset-backed revolving credit facility (the ABL facility) of \$152.7 million. This compared to cash and cash equivalents of \$161.4 million and availability under the ABL facility of \$144.0 million as of March 31, 2011.

In January 2011, the Company issued \$675.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 8 5/8% senior secured notes (Senior Secured Notes) due 2018. Concurrently with the issuance of the Senior Secured Notes, the Company entered into the five-year ABL facility with commitments of an aggregate borrowing capacity of \$200.0 million.

The Senior Secured Notes

Borrowings under the Senior Secured Notes bear interest at a rate of 8 5/8% per annum, payable semi-annually in arrears in the months of February and August.

The notes are secured by (i) a first-priority lien on the notes priority collateral, which includes the Company's existing and after-acquired equipment, stock of the Company's direct subsidiaries, certain intercompany loans, certain real property, and substantially all of the Company's other assets that do not secure the ABL facility on a first-priority basis, and (ii) a second-priority lien on the ABL priority collateral, which includes the Company's assets that secure the ABL facility on a first-priority basis, including the Company's receivables, inventory, intellectual property rights, deposit accounts, tax refunds, certain intercompany loans and certain other related assets and proceeds thereof. The ABL facility is secured by a first-priority lien on the ABL priority collateral and a second-priority lien on the notes priority collateral. The value of the collateral at any time will depend on market and other economic conditions, including the availability of suitable buyers for the collateral.

The notes contain provisions by which the Company may elect to repay some or all of the principal balance prior to its 2018 maturity date:

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

Prior to February 1, 2014, the Company may on one or more occasions redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes at a redemption price equal to 108.625 % of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest, with the net cash proceeds of certain equity offerings. The Company may make such a redemption only if, after such redemption, at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes issued under the indenture remains outstanding and the Issuer issues a redemption notice in respect thereof not more than 60 days of the date of the equity offering closing.

Table of Contents

Prior to February 1, 2015, the Company may:

- i. redeem in whole or in part the notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, and a make-whole premium.
- ii. redeem, no more than once in any twelve-month period, up to 10% of the original aggregate principal amount of the notes at a redemption price equal to 103% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

On or after February 1 of the years indicated below, the Company may redeem, in whole or in part, the notes at the redemption prices set forth in the following table (expressed as a percentage of the principal amount thereof):

| Year | Percentage |
|---------------------|------------|
| 2015 | 104.313% |
| 2016 | 102.16% |
| 2017 and thereafter | 100.00% |

Upon a change of control the Company will be required to make an offer to repurchase the notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of repurchase.

The indenture for these notes contains certain covenants which limit the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries ability to, among other things, incur debt, pay dividends, make investments, create liens or use assets as security, and sell assets including the capital stock of subsidiaries.

Asset-Backed Revolving Credit Facility

The ABL facility has a borrowing capacity of \$200 million, and includes a letter of credit sub-facility of \$75.0 million, a swingline sub-facility of \$25.0 million and an accordion feature that permits the Company to increase the revolving credit commitments by an amount up to \$50.0 million (for an aggregate revolving credit commitment of up to \$250.0 million) if the Company obtains commitments from existing or new lenders for such increase. Revolving loans and letters of credit under the ABL facility will be available in U.S. Dollars and Euros. The ABL facility will mature January 25, 2016. The ABL facility (not including the swingline sub-facility) bears interest at a rate equal to (1) the base rate plus an interest margin or (2) LIBOR (for U.S. Dollar or Euro denominated revolving loans, as applicable) plus an interest margin. The base rate will be a rate per annum equal to the greatest of (a) the U.S. Federal Funds Rate plus 0.50%, (b) the prime commercial lending rate of the administrative agent, and (c) a rate equal to LIBOR for a one-month interest period plus 1.00%. The swingline sub-facility will bear interest at a rate per annum equal to the applicable floating rate (base rate or LIBOR for a one-month interest period) plus an interest margin. The interest margin will be adjusted quarterly based on the average amount available for drawing under the ABL facility and will range between 2.75% and 3.25% per annum for LIBOR borrowings and 1.75% and 2.25% per annum for base rate borrowings.

The Company's ability to obtain revolving loans and letters of credit under the ABL facility will be subject to a borrowing base comprising the following: (1) a domestic borrowing base comprising 85% of the Company's eligible accounts receivable and those of the Company's domestic subsidiaries, plus 85% of the net orderly liquidation value of the Company's eligible inventory and such domestic subsidiaries less, in each case, certain reserves and subject to certain limitations, and (2) a foreign borrowing base comprising 85% of the combined eligible accounts receivable of the Company's foreign subsidiaries, plus 85% of the net orderly liquidation value of eligible inventory of the Company's Canadian subsidiaries less, in each case, certain reserves and subject to certain limitations. The maximum amount of credit that will be available to the Company under the foreign borrowing base will be limited to the U.S. Dollar equivalent of \$40.0 million plus the availability generated by the eligible accounts receivable and inventory of our Canadian subsidiaries.

The obligations under the ABL facility are guaranteed by certain of the Company's domestic subsidiaries. The obligations of Exide C.V. under the ABL facility will be guaranteed by the Company's domestic subsidiary and certain foreign subsidiaries.

The obligations under the ABL facility will be secured by a lien on substantially all of the assets of Exide Technologies and domestic subsidiaries, and the obligations of Exide C.V. and the foreign subsidiaries under the ABL facility will be secured by a lien on substantially all

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

of the assets of Exide Technologies and domestic subsidiaries, and on substantially all of the personal property of Exide C.V. and the foreign subsidiaries. Subject to certain permitted liens, the liens securing the obligations under the ABL facility will be first priority liens on all assets other than notes priority collateral and will be second priority liens on all notes priority collateral.

Table of Contents

The ABL facility contains customary conditions including restrictions on, among other things, the incurrence of indebtedness and liens, dividends and other distributions, consolidations and mergers, the purchase and sale of assets, the issuance or redemption of equity interests, loans and investments, acquisitions, intercompany transactions, a change of control, voluntary payments and modifications of indebtedness, modification of organizational documents and material contracts, affiliate transactions, and changes in lines of business. The ABL facility also contains a financial covenant requiring the Company to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.00 to 1.00, tested monthly on a trailing twelve-month basis, if at any time the Company's excess availability under the ABL facility is less than the greater of \$30.0 million and 15% of the aggregate commitments of the lenders.

The Convertible Notes

In March 2005, the Company issued floating rate convertible senior subordinated notes due September 18, 2013, with an aggregate principal amount of \$60.0 million. These notes bear interest at a per annum rate equal to the 3-month LIBOR, adjusted quarterly, minus a spread of 1.5%. The interest rate at December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011 was 0%. Interest is payable quarterly. The notes are convertible into the Company's common stock at a conversion rate of 61.6143 shares per one thousand dollars principal amount at maturity, subject to adjustments for any common stock splits, dividends on the common stock, tender and exchange offers by the Company for the common stock and third-party tender offers, and in the case of a change in control in which 10% or more of the consideration for the common stock is cash or non-traded securities, the conversion rate increases, depending on the value offered and timing of the transaction, to as much as 70.2247 shares per one thousand dollars principal amount.

At December 31, 2011, the Company was in compliance with covenants contained in the ABL Facility and indenture governing the 8 5/8% Senior Secured Notes and floating rate convertible subordinated notes.

At December 31, 2011, the Company had outstanding letters of credit with a face value of \$47.3 million and surety bonds with a face value of \$41.9 million. The majority of the letters of credit and surety bonds have been issued as collateral or financial assurance with respect to certain liabilities that the Company has recorded, including but not limited to environmental remediation obligations and self-insured workers compensation reserves. Failure of the Company to satisfy its obligations with respect to the primary obligations secured by the letters of credit or surety bonds could entitle the beneficiary of the related letter of credit or surety bond to demand payments pursuant to such instruments. The letters of credit generally have terms up to one year. Collateral held by the surety in the form of letters of credit at December 31, 2011, pursuant to the terms of the agreement, was \$39.8 million.

Risks and uncertainties could cause the Company's performance to differ from management's estimates. As discussed above under *Factors Which Affect the Company's Financial Performance - Seasonality and Weather*, the Company's business is seasonal. During the Company's first and second fiscal quarters, the Company builds inventory in anticipation of increased sales in the winter months. This inventory build increases the Company's working capital needs. During these quarters, because working capital needs are already high, unexpected costs or increases in costs beyond predicted levels would place a strain on the Company's liquidity.

Sources and Uses of Cash

The Company's liquidity requirements have been met historically through its cash and cash equivalents balance, cash provided by operations, borrowed funds, its ABL facility and the proceeds of sales of accounts receivable. Additional cash has been generated in the past several years through rights offerings, common stock issuances, and the sale of non-core businesses and assets. The Company believes it has sufficient financial resources and liquidity to fund operations and meet its obligations for the foreseeable future.

The Company's liquidity needs arise primarily from the funding of working capital, obligations on indebtedness, pension contributions, and capital expenditures. Because of the seasonality of the Company's business, more cash has typically been generated in the third and fourth fiscal quarters than the first and second fiscal quarters. Greatest cash demands from operations have historically occurred during the months of June through October to support seasonal inventory builds.

Going forward, the Company's principal sources of liquidity are expected to be cash on hand, cash from operations, borrowings under the ABL facility, and the sale of idled assets, principally closed facilities, in certain foreign countries.

Cash (used in) provided by operating activities were (\$1.7) million and \$64.7 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, respectively. This decrease primarily relates to lower operating income and increased working capital usage versus the prior year period primarily associated with lower accounts payable due to timing of disbursements.

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

Cash used in investing activities primarily consisted of capital expenditures of \$71.9 million and \$52.4 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents

Cash provided by (used in) financing activities was \$18.7 million and (\$23.6) million in the first nine months of fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, respectively. The increased cash flow from financing activities was primarily due to increase in short-term borrowings. The prior year included payments under the Company's Credit Agreement and increased ownership interests in certain subsidiaries not wholly owned.

The Company has remaining accrued restructuring costs of approximately \$12.0 million as of December 31, 2011. For further discussion see Note 13 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The estimated fiscal 2012 pension plan contributions are \$28.7 million and other post-retirement contributions are \$2.0 million. Payments aggregating \$21.2 million were made during the first nine months of fiscal 2012.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company reached a settlement with the Spanish tax authorities closing tax years 2003 through 2010. As a result of the settlement, previously funded deposits aggregating \$13.4 million were expensed. No further cash payments relating to tax years 2002 through 2010 will be required.

Financial Instruments and Market Risk

From time to time, the Company has used forward contracts to economically hedge certain commodity price exposures, including lead. The forward contracts are entered into for periods consistent with related underlying exposures and do not constitute positions independent of those exposures. The Company expects that it may increase the use of financial instruments, including fixed and variable rate debt as well as swaps, forward and option contracts to finance its operations and to hedge interest rate, currency and certain commodity purchasing requirements in the future. The swap, forward, and option contracts would be entered into for periods consistent with related underlying exposures and would not constitute positions independent of those exposures. The Company has not entered into, and does not intend to enter into, contracts for speculative purposes nor be a party to any leveraged instruments. See Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company's ability to utilize financial instruments may be restricted because of tightening, and/or elimination of unsecured credit availability with counter-parties. If the Company is unable to utilize such instruments, the Company may be exposed to greater risk with respect to its ability to manage exposures to fluctuations in foreign currencies, interest rates, lead prices, and other commodities.

Accounts Receivable Factoring Arrangements

In the ordinary course of business, the Company utilizes accounts receivable factoring arrangements in countries where programs of this type are typical. Under these arrangements, the Company may sell certain of its trade accounts receivable to financial institutions. The arrangements do not contain recourse provisions against the Company for its customers' failure to pay. The Company sold approximately \$71.9 million and \$67.3 million of foreign currency trade accounts receivable as of December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011, respectively. Changes in the level of receivables sold from year to year are included in the change in accounts receivable within cash flow from operations in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks

Changes to the quantitative and qualitative market risks as of December 31, 2011 are described in Item 2 above, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Instruments and Market Risk. Also, see the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 for further information.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act, that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of senior management, including the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer, of the effectiveness of the

Table of Contents

design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(b) and 15d-15(b). Based upon, and as of the date of this evaluation, the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2011.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2011 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR PURPOSES OF THE SAFE HARBOR

PROVISION OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

Except for historical information, this report may be deemed to contain forward-looking statements. The Company desires to avail itself of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the Act) and is including this cautionary statement for the express purpose of availing itself of the protection afforded by the Act.

Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to (a) projections of revenues, cost of raw materials, income or loss, earnings or loss per share, capital expenditures, growth prospects, dividends, the effect of currency translations, capital structure, and other financial items, (b) statements of plans and objectives of the Company or its management or Board of Directors, including the introduction of new products, or estimates or predictions of actions by customers, suppliers, competitors or regulating authorities, (c) statements of future economic performance, and (d) statements of assumptions, such as the prevailing weather conditions in the Company s market areas, underlying other statements and statements about the Company or its business.

Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from these forward looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following general factors such as: (i) the fact that lead, a major constituent in most of the Company s products, experiences significant fluctuations in market price and is a hazardous material that may give rise to costly environmental and safety claims, (ii) the Company s ability to implement and fund business strategies based on current liquidity, (iii) the Company s ability to realize anticipated efficiencies and avoid additional unanticipated costs related to its restructuring activities, (iv) the cyclical nature of the industries in which the Company operates and the impact of current adverse economic conditions on those industries, (v) unseasonable weather (warm winters and cool summers) which adversely affects demand for automotive and some industrial batteries, (vi) the Company s substantial debt and debt service requirements which may restrict the Company s operational and financial flexibility, as well as imposing significant interest and financing costs, (vii) the litigation proceedings to which the Company is subject, the results of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company and its business, (viii) the realization of the tax benefits of the Company s net operating loss carry forwards, which is dependent upon future taxable income, (ix) the negative results of tax audits in the U.S. and Europe which could require the payment of significant cash taxes, (x) competitiveness of the battery markets in the Americas and Europe, (xi) risks involved in foreign operations such as disruption of markets, changes in import and export laws, currency restrictions, currency exchange rate fluctuations and possible terrorist attacks against U.S. interests, (xii) the ability to acquire goods and services and/or fulfill later needs at budgeted costs, (xiii) general economic conditions, (xiv) the Company s ability to successfully pass along increased material costs to its customers, and (xv) recently adopted U.S. lead emissions standards and the implementation of such standards by applicable states, and (xvi) those risk factors described in the Company s fiscal 2011 Form 10-K filed on June 1, 2011.

The Company cautions each reader of this report to carefully consider those factors set forth above. Such factors have, in some instances, affected and in the future could affect the ability of the Company to achieve its projected results and may cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed herein.

Table of Contents**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

See Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this document.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The Company is subject to costly regulation in relation to environmental and occupational, health and safety matters, which could adversely affect its business, financial condition, cash flows or results of operations.

Throughout the world, the Company manufactures, distributes, recycles, and otherwise uses large amounts of potentially hazardous materials, especially lead and acid. As a result, the Company is subject to a substantial number of costly regulations. In particular, the Company is required to comply with increasingly stringent requirements of federal, state, and local environmental, occupational health and safety laws and regulations in the U.S. and other countries, including those governing (1) emissions to air, discharges to water, noise and odor emissions; (2) the generation, handling, storage, transportation, treatment, and disposal of waste materials; and (3) the cleanup of contaminated properties and (4) human health and safety. Compliance with these laws and regulations results in ongoing costs. The Company could also incur substantial costs, including cleanup costs, fines, and civil or criminal sanctions, third-party property damage or personal injury claims, or costs to upgrade or replace existing equipment, as a result of violations of or liabilities under environmental laws or non-compliance with environmental permits required at its facilities. In addition, many of the Company's current and former facilities are located on properties with histories of industrial or commercial operations. Because some environmental laws can impose liability for the entire cost of cleanup upon any of the current or former owners or operators, regardless of fault, the Company could become liable for the cost of investigating or remediating contamination at these properties if contamination requiring such activities is discovered in the future. There is also inherent difficulty in assessing the Company's potential liability due to the large number of other potentially responsible parties. For example, a demand for the total cleanup costs of a landfill used by many entities may be asserted by the government using joint and several liability theories. Although the Company believes that there is a reasonable basis in law to believe that it will ultimately be responsible for only its share of these remediation costs, there can be no assurance that the Company will prevail on these claims. In addition, the scope of remedial costs or other environmental injuries are highly variable, and estimating these costs involves complex legal, scientific and technical judgments. The Company may become obligated to pay material remediation-related costs at its closed Tampa, Florida facility in the amount of approximately \$13.2 million to \$19.9 million, and at the Columbus, Georgia facility in the amount of approximately \$5.7 million to \$8.5 million.

The Company cannot be certain that it has been, or will at all times be, in complete compliance with all environmental requirements, or that the Company will not incur additional material costs or liabilities in connection with these requirements in excess of amounts it has reserved. Private parties, including current or former employees, could bring personal injury or other claims against the Company due to the presence of, or exposure to, hazardous substances used, stored or disposed of by it, or contained in its products, especially lead. Environmental requirements are complex and have tended to become more stringent over time. These requirements or their enforcement may change in the future in a manner that could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, cash flows or results of operations. The Company has made and will continue to make expenditures to comply with environmental requirements. These requirements, responsibilities and associated expenditures, if they continue to increase, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, cash flows or results of operations. While the Company's costs to defend and settle claims arising under environmental laws in the past have not been material, the Company cannot provide assurance that this will remain so in the future.

On November 12, 2008, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published new lead emissions standards under the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), which became effective on January 12, 2009. The new standards further restrict lead emissions by reducing the off-site concentration standards for lead in air from 1.5 micrograms per cubic meter to 0.15 micrograms per cubic meter. The Company believes that the new standards could impact a number of its U.S. facilities. Under the Clean Air Act (CAA), publication by the EPA of these ambient air quality standards initiates a process by which the states develop rules implementing the standards. Recently, some states have accelerated their implementation and the Company is working with these states to meet their requirements. Although the final impact on the Company's operations cannot be reasonably determined at the current time, the Company believes that the financial impact of compliance with these lead emissions standards on its U.S. facilities will be funded through normal operations. Noncompliance with these standards could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, cash flows or results of operations.

On January 17, 2012, the City of Frisco, Texas initiated actions that may prevent the Company from proceeding with projects designed to comply with certain NAAQS implementation requirements related to the Company's Frisco, Texas recycling facility and that seek to impose an involuntary closure of the recycling facility. If the City is successful, the closure of the recycling facility could temporarily reduce the Company's recycling capacity and require that it seek to increase recycling capacity at existing facilities or reduce external lead sales, which could have an adverse effect on the Company's recycling business. Although the timing of any potential closure is currently unknown, the Company intends to

contest the City's actions.

Table of Contents

Other than the risk factor described above, the risk factors which were disclosed in the Company's fiscal 2011 Form 10-K have not materially changed since we filed our fiscal 2011 Form 10-K. See Item 1A to Part I of the Company's fiscal 2011 Form 10-K for a complete discussion of these risk factors.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

| Period | (a) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased (1) | (b) Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit) | (c) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | (d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|--------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| October 1 through October 31 | | | | |
| November 1 through November 30 | | | | |
| December 1 through December 31 | 224 | \$ 2.45 | | |

- (1) Acquired by the Company in exchange for payment of U.S. tax obligations for certain participants in the Company's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan that elected to surrender a portion of their shares in connection with vesting of restricted stock awards.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosure

None

Item 5. Other Information

None

Item 6. Exhibits

| | |
|---------|---|
| 10.1 | Offer of Employment to Paul Hirt, Jr., dated September 16, 2011 |
| 10.2 | Separation Agreement and General Release between Exide Technologies and Edward R. Tetreault dated October 25, 2011. |
| 31.1 | Certification of James R. Bolch, President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Phillip A. Damaska, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32 | Certifications pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |

Edgar Filing: EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

| | |
|---------|--|
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES

By: /s/ Phillip A. Damaska

Phillip A. Damaska
Executive Vice President and

Chief Financial Officer

Date: February 9, 2012