

COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC
Form 10-K
March 13, 2008

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-13695

COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

16-1213679

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

5790 Widewaters Parkway, DeWitt, New York

13214-1883

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(315) 445-2282

Registrant's telephone number, including area code
Securities registered pursuant of Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Common Stock, Par Value \$1.00

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment of this Form 10-K. .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer", "large accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes No .

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and ask price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter

\$ 580,390,070.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

29,765,278 shares of Common Stock, \$1.00 par value, were outstanding on February 29, 2008.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE.

Portions of Definitive Proxy Statement for Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 21, 2008 (the "Proxy Statement") is incorporated by reference in Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Exhibit Index is located on page 76 of 85

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item</u> 1. <u>Business</u>	3
<u>Item</u> 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	7
<u>Item</u> 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	8
<u>Item</u> 2. <u>Properties</u>	8
<u>Item</u> 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	8
<u>Item</u> 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	8
<u>Item</u> 4A. <u>Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	8
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item</u> 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Stock, Related Shareholders Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	9
<u>Item</u> 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	12
<u>Item</u> 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	13
<u>Item</u> 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	38
<u>Item</u> 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data:</u>	
<u>Consolidated Statements of Condition</u>	41
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	42
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders’ Equity</u>	43
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>	44
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	45
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	46
<u>Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u>	72
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	73
<u>Two Year Selected Quarterly Data</u>	74
<u>Item</u> 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	74
<u>Item</u> 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	74
<u>Item</u> 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	74
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item</u> 10. <u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	75
<u>Item</u> 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	75
<u>Item</u> 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	75
<u>Item</u> 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	75
<u>Item</u> 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	75
<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>Item</u> 15. <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K</u>	76
<u>Signatures</u>	79

Part I

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains certain forward-looking statements with respect to the financial condition, results of operations and business of Community Bank System, Inc. These forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements are set forth herein under the caption "Forward-Looking Statements." The share and per-share information in this document has been adjusted to give effect to a two-for-one stock split of the Company's common stock effected as of April 12, 2004.

Item 1. Business

Community Bank System, Inc. ("the Company") was incorporated on April 15, 1983, under the Delaware General Corporation Law. Its principal office is located at 5790 Widewaters Parkway, DeWitt, New York 13214. The Company maintains a website at communitybankna.com and firstlibertybank.com. Annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports, are available on the Company's web-site free of charge as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports or amendments are electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The information on the website is not part of this filing. Copies of all documents filed with the SEC can also be obtained by visiting the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 or by accessing the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

The Company's business philosophy is to operate as a community bank with local decision-making, principally in non-metropolitan markets, providing a broad array of banking and financial services to retail, commercial, and municipal customers.

Community Bank System, Inc. is a single bank holding company which wholly-owns five subsidiaries: Community Bank, N.A. ("the Bank"), Benefit Plans Administrative Services, Inc. ("BPAS"), CFSI Closeout Corp. ("CFSICC"), First of Jermyn Realty Co. ("FJRC") and Town & Country Agency LLC ("T&C"). BPAS owns three subsidiaries, Benefit Plans Administrative Services LLC ("BPA"), Harbridge Consulting Group LLC ("Harbridge") and Hand Benefit & Trust Company ("HBT"). BPAS provides administration, consulting and actuarial services to sponsors of employee benefit plans. CFSICC, FJRC and T&C are inactive companies. The Company also wholly-owns three unconsolidated subsidiary business trusts formed for the purpose of issuing mandatorily redeemable preferred securities which are considered Tier I capital under regulatory capital adequacy guidelines.

The Bank operates 131 customer facilities throughout 25 counties of Upstate New York and five counties of Northeastern Pennsylvania offering a range of commercial and retail banking services. The Bank owns the following subsidiaries: Community Investment Services, Inc. ("CISI"), CBNA Treasury Management Corporation ("TMC"), CBNA Preferred Funding Corporation ("PFC"), Nottingham Advisors, Inc. ("Nottingham"), First Liberty Service Corp. ("FLSC"), Brilie Corporation ("Brilie") and CBNA Insurance Agency, Inc ("CBNA Insurance"). CISI provides broker-dealer and investment advisory services. TMC provides cash management, investment, and treasury services to the Bank. PFC primarily acts as an investor in residential real estate loans. Nottingham provides asset management services to individuals, corporate pension and profit sharing plans, and foundations. FLSC provides banking-related services to the Pennsylvania branches of the Bank. Brilie is an inactive company. CBNA Insurance is a full-service property and casualty insurance agency.

Acquisition History (2003-2007)

Hand Benefits & Trust, Inc.

On May 18, 2007, Benefit Plan Administrative Services ("BPAS"), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, acquired Hand Benefits & Trust, Inc. ("HBT") in an all cash transaction. HBT is a Houston, Texas based provider of employee benefit plan administration and trust services.

TLNB Financial Corporation

On June 1, 2007, the Company acquired TLNB Financial Corporation, parent company of Tupper Lake National Bank ("TLNB"), in an all-cash transaction valued at approximately \$17.8 million. Based in Tupper Lake, N.Y., TLNB operated five branches in the northeastern New York State cities of Tupper Lake, Plattsburgh and Saranac Lake, as well as an insurance subsidiary, TLNB Insurance Agency, Inc.

ONB Corporation

On December 1, 2006, the Company acquired ONB Corporation ("ONB"), the parent company of Ontario National Bank, a federally-chartered national bank, in an all-cash transaction valued at approximately \$16 million. ONB operated four branches in the villages of Clifton Springs, Phelps, and Palmyra, New York.

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

ES&L Bancorp, Inc.

On August 11, 2006, the Company acquired ES&L Bancorp, Inc. (“Elmira”), the parent company of Elmira Savings and Loan, F.A., a federally-chartered thrift, in an all-cash transaction valued at approximately \$40 million. Elmira operated two branches in the cities of Elmira and Ithaca, New York.

Dansville Branch Acquisition

On December 3, 2004, the Company acquired a branch office in Dansville, N.Y. (“Dansville”) from HSBC Bank USA, N.A with deposits of \$32.6 million and loans of \$5.6 million.

First Heritage Bank

On May 14, 2004, the Company acquired First Heritage Bank (“First Heritage”), a closely held bank headquartered in Wilkes-Barre, PA with three branches in Luzerne County, Pennsylvania. First Heritage’s three branches operate as part of First Liberty Bank & Trust, a division of Community Bank, N.A. Consideration included 2,592,213 shares of common stock with a fair value of \$52 million, employee stock options with a fair value of \$3.0 million, and \$7.0 million of cash (including capitalized acquisition costs of \$1.0 million).

Grange National Banc Corp.

On November 24, 2003, the Company acquired Grange National Banc Corp. (“Grange”), a \$280 million-asset bank holding company based in Tunkhannock, P.A. Grange’s 12 branches operate as part of First Liberty Bank & Trust, a division of Community Bank, N.A. The Company issued approximately 2,294,000 shares of its common stock to certain of the former shareholders with a fair value of \$55 million. The remaining shareholders received \$21.25 per share in cash or approximately \$20.9 million. In addition, Grange stock options representing \$5.4 million of fair value were exchanged for options to purchase shares in the Company.

Peoples Bankcorp Inc.

On September 5, 2003, the Company acquired Peoples Bankcorp, Inc. (“Peoples”), a \$29 million-asset savings and loan holding company based in Ogdensburg, New York. Peoples’ single branch is being operated as a branch of the Bank’s network of branches in Northern New York.

Harbridge Consulting Group

On July 31, 2003, the BPAS acquired PricewaterhouseCoopers’ Upstate New York Global Human Resource Solutions consulting group. This practice has been renamed Harbridge Consulting Group (“Harbridge”) and is a leading provider of retirement and employee benefits actuarial consulting services throughout Upstate New York, and is complementary to BPA, the Company’s employee benefits plan administration subsidiary.

Services

The Bank is a community bank committed to the philosophy of serving the financial needs of customers in local communities. The Bank’s branches are generally located in smaller towns and cities within its geograph–ic market areas of Upstate New York and Northeastern Pennsylvania. The Company believes that the local character of its business, knowledge of the customers and their needs, and its comprehensive retail and business products, together with responsive decision-making at the branch and regional levels, enable the Bank to compete effectively in its geographic market. The Bank is a member of the Federal Reserve System and the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York (“FHLB”), and its deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) up to applicable limits.

Competition

The banking and financial services industry is highly competitive in the New York and Pennsylvania markets. The Company competes actively for loans, deposits and customers with other national and state banks, thrift institutions, credit unions, retail brokerage firms, mortgage bankers, finance companies, insurance companies, and other regulated and unregulated providers of financial services. In order to compete with other financial service providers, the Company stresses the community nature of its operations and the development of profitable customer relationships across all lines of business.

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

The table below summarizes the Bank's deposits and market share by the thirty counties of New York and Pennsylvania in which it has customer facilities. Market share is based on deposits of all commercial banks, credit unions, savings and loan associations, and savings banks.

County	State	Deposits as of 6/30/2007 (000's omitted) ⁽¹⁾	Market Share	Number of			Towns Where Company Has 1st or 2nd Market Position
				Facilities	ATM's	Towns/ Cities	
Allegany	NY	\$ 194,112	47.1%	9	8	8	8
Seneca	NY	159,547	40.6%	4	3	4	4
Lewis	NY	86,342	35.2%	4	2	3	3
Yates	NY	78,042	30.5%	2	2	1	1
Cattaraugus	NY	272,094	28.5%	10	7	8	7
Franklin	NY	138,827	26.4%	7	4	5	5
St. Lawrence	NY	347,245	24.8%	12	7	11	9
Wyoming	PA	88,475	22.6%	4	2	4	3
Chautauqua	NY	221,190	14.1%	12	11	10	6
Schuyler	NY	18,750	12.6%	1	1	1	0
Livingston	NY	82,315	12.4%	3	4	3	3
Steuben	NY	181,329	11.4%	9	6	8	5
Jefferson	NY	140,329	10.5%	5	5	4	2
Ontario	NY	158,286	10.5%	7	12	6	4
Lackawanna	PA	476,302	10.2%	12	12	8	4
Chemung	NY	115,501	8.4%	2	2	2	0
Tioga	NY	34,056	8.3%	2	2	2	1
Herkimer	NY	38,533	6.3%	1	1	1	1
Wayne	NY	57,825	6.0%	2	4	2	1
Luzerne	PA	260,249	4.4%	7	8	6	2
Oswego	NY	45,206	4.1%	2	2	2	2
Susquehanna	PA	22,601	4.1%	2	0	2	2
Cayuga	NY	32,907	3.7%	2	1	2	1
Essex	NY	16,965	3.5%	1	1	1	1
Bradford	PA	22,641	2.6%	2	2	2	1
		\$ 3,289,669	11.3%	124	109	106	76
Clinton	NY	15,404	1.2%	2	1	1	0
Oneida	NY	55,967	1.2%	1	1	1	1
Tompkins	NY	15,547	0.9%	1	0	1	0
Onondaga	NY	12,604	0.2%	1	2	1	0
Erie	NY	31,503	0.1%	2	2	2	1
Total		\$ 3,420,694	4.4%	131	115	112	78

⁽¹⁾ Deposit market share data as of June 30, 2007, the most recent information available. Source: SNL Financial LLC

Employees

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2007, the Company employed 1,453 full-time equivalent employees. The Company offers a variety of employment benefits and considers its relationship with its employees to be good.

Supervision and Regulation

Bank holding companies and national banks are regulated by state and federal law. The following is a summary of certain laws and regulations that govern the Company and the Bank. To the extent that the following information describes statutory or regulatory provisions, it is qualified in its entirety by reference to the actual statutes and regulations thereunder.

Federal Bank Holding Company Regulation

The Company is registered under, and is subject to, the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. This Act limits the type of companies that Community Bank System, Inc. may acquire or organize and the activities in which it or they may engage. In general, the Company and the Bank are prohibited from engaging in or acquiring direct or indirect control of any corporation engaged in non-banking activities unless such activities are so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident thereto. In addition, the Company must obtain the prior approval of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "FRB") to acquire control of any bank; to acquire, with certain exceptions, more than five percent of the outstanding voting stock of any other corporation; or to merge or consolidate with another bank holding company. As a result of such laws and regulation, the Company is restricted as to the types of business activities it may conduct and the Bank is subject to limitations on, among others, the types of loans and the amounts of loans it may make to any one borrower. The Financial Modernization Act of 1999 created, among other things, the "financial holding company", a new entity which may engage in a broader range of activities that are "financial in nature", including insurance underwriting, securities underwriting and merchant banking. Bank holding companies which are well capitalized and well managed under regulatory standards may convert to financial holding companies relatively easily through a notice filing with the FRB, which acts as the "umbrella regulator" for such entities. The Company may seek to become a financial holding company in the future.

Federal Reserve System

The Company is required by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System to maintain cash reserves against its deposits. After exhausting other sources of funds, the Company may seek borrowings from the Federal Reserve for such purposes. Bank holding companies registered with the FRB are, among other things, restricted from making direct investments in real estate. Both the Company and the Bank are subject to extensive supervision and regulation, which focus on, among other things, the protection of depositors' funds.

The Federal Reserve System also regulates the national supply of bank credit in order to influence general economic conditions. These policies have a significant influence on overall growth and distribution of loans, investments and deposits, and affect the interest rates charged on loans or paid for deposits.

Fluctuations in interest rates, which may result from government fiscal policies and the monetary policies of the Federal Reserve System, have a strong impact on the income derived from loans and securities, and interest paid on deposits and borrowings. While the Company and the Bank strive to anticipate changes and adjust their strategies for such changes, the level of earnings can be materially affected by economic circumstances beyond their control.

The Company and the Bank are subject to minimum capital requirements established, respectively, by the FRB, the OCC (as defined below) and the FDIC. For information on these capital requirements and the Company's and the Bank's capital ratios see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Capital" and Note P to the Financial Statements.

Office of Comptroller of the Currency

The Bank is supervised and regularly examined by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC"). The various laws and regulations administered by the OCC affect corporate practices such as payment of dividends, incurring debt, and acquisition of financial institutions and other companies. It also affects business practices, such as payment of interest on deposits, the charging of interest on loans, types of business conducted and location of offices. There are no regulatory orders or outstanding issues resulting from regulatory examinations of the Bank.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the "Sarbanes-Oxley Act") implemented a broad range of corporate governance, accounting and reporting reforms for companies that have securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. In particular, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act established, among other things: (i) new requirements for audit and other key Board of Directors committees involving independence, expertise levels, and specified responsibilities; (ii) additional responsibilities regarding the oversight of financial statements by the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the reporting company; (iii) the creation of an independent accounting oversight board for the accounting industry; (iv) new standards for auditors and the regulation of audits, including independence provisions which restrict non-audit services that accountants may provide to their audit clients; (v) increased disclosure and reporting obligations for the reporting company and its directors and executive officers including accelerated reporting of company stock transactions; (vi) a prohibition of personal loans to directors and officers, except certain loans made by insured financial institutions on nonpreferential terms and in compliance with other bank regulator requirements; and (vii) a range of new and increased civil and criminal penalties for fraud and other violation of the securities laws.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Community Bank System, Inc. and its subsidiaries could be adversely impacted by various risks and uncertainties, which are difficult to predict. The material risks and uncertainties that management believes affect the Company are described below. Adverse experience with these or other risks could have a material impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Company's income and cash flow depends to a great extent on the difference between the interest earned on loans and investment securities, and the interest paid on deposits and borrowings. Interest rates are largely beyond the Company's control, and they fluctuate in response to general economic conditions and the policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies, in particular, the Federal Reserve Board. Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, will influence the origination of loans, the purchase of investments, the generation of deposits and the rates received on loans and investment securities and paid on deposits.

The Company's main markets are located in the states of New York and Pennsylvania. The local economic conditions in these areas have a significant impact on the demand for the Company's products and services as well as the ability of the Company's customers to repay loans, the value of the collateral securing loans and the stability of the Company's deposit funding sources. A prolonged economic downturn in these markets could negatively impact the Company.

The Company's business depends on the creditworthiness of its customers. The Company periodically reviews the allowance for loan losses for adequacy considering economic conditions and trends, collateral values and credit quality indicators, including past charge-off experience and levels of past due loans and nonperforming assets. There is no certainty that the allowance for loan losses will be adequate over time to cover credit losses in the portfolio because of unanticipated adverse changes in the economy, market conditions or events adversely affecting specific customers, industries or markets.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to extensive state and federal regulation, supervision and legislation that govern nearly every aspect of its operations. Changes to these laws could affect the Company's ability to deliver or expand its services and adversely impact its operations and financial condition.

The business strategy of the Company includes growth through acquisition. Any future acquisitions will be accompanied by the risks commonly encountered in acquisitions. These risks include among other things: the difficulty of integrating operations and personnel, the potential disruption of our ongoing business, the inability of our management to maximize our financial and strategic position, and the inability to maintain uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies and the impairment of relationships with employees and customers as a result of changes in management.

The Company relies on communication, information, operating and financial control systems from third-party service providers. Any failure or interruption or breach in security of these systems could result in failures or interruptions in our customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, servicing and/or loan origination systems. While the Company has policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the effect of a failure, interruption or security breach, there can be no assurance that any such failures, interruptions or security breaches will not occur or, if they do occur, that their impact can be adequately mitigated.

The financial services industry is continually undergoing rapid technological change with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. The effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables financial institutions to better serve customers and to reduce costs. The Company's future success depends, in part, upon its ability to address the needs of its customers by using technology to provide products and services that will satisfy customer demands as well as to create additional efficiencies in the Company's operations.

The market price of the Company's common stock may fluctuate significantly in response to a number of other factors including, but not limited to:

- Changes in securities analysts' expectations of financial performance
- Volatility of stock market prices and volumes
- Incorrect information or speculation
- Changes in industry valuations

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

- Variations in operating results from general expectations
- Actions taken against the Company by various regulatory agencies
- Changes in authoritative accounting guidance by Financial Accounting Standards Board or other regulatory agencies
- Changes in general domestic economic conditions such as inflation rates, tax rates, unemployment rates, labor and healthcare cost trend rates, recessions, and changing government policies, laws and regulations
- Severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism and other external events

Readers are cautioned that it is not possible to predict or identify all of the risks, uncertainties and other factors that may affect future results and that the above list should not be considered to be a complete list.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None

Item 2. Properties

The Company's primary headquarters is located at 5790 Widewaters Parkway, Dewitt, New York, which is leased. In addition, the Company has 148 properties, of which 97 are owned and 51 are located in long-term leased premises. Real property and related banking facilities owned by the Company at December 31, 2007 had a net book value of \$46.9 million and none of the properties was subject to any material encumbrances. For the year ended December 31, 2007, rental fees of \$3.0 million were paid on facilities leased by the Company for its operations. The Company believes that its facilities are suitable and adequate for the Company's current operations.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject in the normal course of business to various pending and threatened legal proceedings in which claims for monetary damages are asserted. Management, after consultation with legal counsel, does not anticipate that the aggregate liability, if any, arising out of litigation pending against the Company or its subsidiaries will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

There were no matters submitted to a vote of the shareholders during the quarter ended December 31, 2007.

Item 4A. Executive Officers of the Registrant

The executive officers of the Company and the Bank who are elected by the Board of Directors are as follows:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>
Mark E. Tryniski	47	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and the Bank. Mr. Tryniski assumed his current position in August 2006. He served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer from March 2004 to July 2006 and as the Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer from June 2003 to March 2004. He previously served as a partner in the Syracuse office of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
Scott Kingsley	43	Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Bank. Mr. Kingsley joined the Company in August 2004 in his current position. He served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Carlisle Engineered Products, Inc., a subsidiary of the Carlisle Companies, Inc., from 1997 until joining the Company.
Brian D. Donahue	51	Executive Vice President and Chief Banking Officer. Mr. Donahue assumed his current position in August 2004. He served as the Bank's Chief Credit Officer from February 2000 to July 2004 and as the Senior Lending Officer for the Southern Region of the Bank from 1992 until June 2004.
George J. Getman	51	Executive Vice President and General Counsel. Mr. Getman assumed his current position in January 2008. Prior to joining the Company, he was a partner with Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC and served as corporate counsel to the Company.

Part II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Stock, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Company's common stock has been trading on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "CBU" since December 31, 1997. Prior to that, the common stock traded over-the-counter on the NASDAQ National Market under the symbol "CBSI" beginning on September 16, 1986. There were 29,634,733 shares of common stock outstanding on December 31, 2007, held by approximately 3,530 registered shareholders of record. The following table sets forth the high and low prices for the common stock, and the cash dividends declared with respect thereto, for the periods indicated. The prices do not include retail mark-ups, mark-downs or commissions.

Year / Qtr	High Price	Low Price	Quarterly Dividend
2007			
4 th	\$ 21.85	\$ 17.70	\$ 0.21
3 rd	\$ 21.69	\$ 16.61	\$ 0.21
2 nd	\$ 21.38	\$ 19.63	\$ 0.20
1 st	\$ 23.63	\$ 19.64	\$ 0.20
2006			
4 th	\$ 25.11	\$ 21.79	\$ 0.20
3 rd	\$ 22.84	\$ 19.45	\$ 0.20
2 nd	\$ 22.38	\$ 18.75	\$ 0.19
1 st	\$ 24.31	\$ 20.64	\$ 0.19

The Company has historically paid regular quarterly cash dividends on its common stock, and declared a cash dividend of \$0.21 per share for the first quarter of 2008. The Board of Directors of the Company presently intends to continue the payment of regular quarterly cash dividends on the common stock, as well as to make payment of regularly scheduled dividends on the trust preferred stock when due, subject to the Company's need for those funds. However, because substantially all of the funds available for the payment of dividends by the Company are derived from the Bank, future dividends will depend upon the earnings of the Bank, its financial condition, its need for funds and applicable governmental policies and regulations.

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

The following graph compares cumulative total shareholders returns on the Company's common stock over the last five fiscal years to the S&P Small Cap Commercial Banks Index, the NASDAQ Bank Index, the S&P 500 Index, and the KBW Regional Banking Index. Total return values were calculated as of December 31 of each indicated year assuming a \$100 investment on December 31, 2002 and reinvestment of dividends. The following table provides information as of December 31, 2007 with respect to shares of common stock that may be issued under the Company's existing equity compensation plans:

CBU Long-term Total Return Performance Vs. Indices

	12/31/02	12/31/03	12/31/04	12/31/05	12/31/06	12/31/07
Community Bank System, Inc.	100.00	161.13	191.13	157.44	166.44	149.76
NASDAQ Bank Index	100.00	133.04	151.18	148.26	168.72	135.16
S&P Small Cap Commercial Bank Index	100.00	134.03	162.59	148.07	159.20	118.52
KBW Regional Banking Index	100.00	128.43	151.51	152.28	160.83	121.54
S&P 500 Index	100.00	128.68	142.65	149.65	173.27	182.78

10

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2007 with respect to shares of common stock that may be issued under the Company's existing equity compensation plans.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights ⁽¹⁾	Weighted-average Exercise Price on Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders:			
1994 Long Term Incentive Plan	1,464,194	\$ 17.12	0
2004 Long Term Incentive Plan	1,314,325	\$ 22.79	2,626,579
Total	2,778,519	\$ 19.80	2,626,579

⁽¹⁾ The number of securities includes unvested restricted stock issued of 57,240. The following table shows treasury stock purchases during the fourth quarter 2007.

	Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 1-31, 2007 ⁽¹⁾	0	\$ 0.00	1,379,811	1,020,189
November 1-30, 2007 ⁽¹⁾	0	0.00	1,379,811	1,020,189
December 1-31, 2007 ⁽¹⁾	85,000	19.87	1,464,811	935,189
Total	85,000	\$ 19.87		

⁽¹⁾ All shares were repurchased through the Company's publicly announced share repurchase program. On April 20, 2005, the Company announced a twenty-month authorization to repurchase up to 1,500,000 of its outstanding shares in open market or privately negotiated transactions. On December 20, 2006, the Company extended the program through December 31, 2008. Also, on December 20, 2006, the Company announced an additional two-year authorization to repurchase up to 900,000 of its outstanding shares in open market or privately negotiated transactions. These repurchases will be for general corporate purposes, including those related to stock plan activities.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected consolidated historical financial data of the Company as of and for each of the years in the five-year period ended December 31, 2007. The historical information set forth under the captions "Income Statement Data" and "Balance Sheet Data" is derived from the audited financial statements while the information under the captions "Capital and Related Ratios", "Selected Performance Ratios" and "Asset Quality Ratios" for all periods is unaudited. All financial information in this table should be read in conjunction with the information contained in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes thereto included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Years Ended December 31,

(In thousands except per share data and ratios)

	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
Income Statement Data:					
Loan interest income	\$ 186,784	\$ 167,113	\$ 147,608	\$ 137,077	\$ 125,256
Investment interest income	69,453	64,788	71,836	75,770	65,915
Interest expense	120,263	97,092	75,572	61,752	59,301
Net interest income	135,974	134,809	143,872	151,095	131,870
Provision for loan losses	2,004	6,585	8,534	8,750	11,195
Noninterest income	63,260	51,679	48,401	44,321	37,887
Gain (loss) on investment securities & early retirement of long-term borrowings	(9,974)	(2,403)	12,195	72	(2,698)
Special charges/acquisition expenses	382	647	2,943	1,704	498
Noninterest expenses	141,692	126,556	124,446	118,195	102,213
Income before income taxes	45,182	50,297	68,545	66,839	53,153
Net income	42,891	38,377	50,805	50,196	40,380
Diluted earnings per share ⁽¹⁾					
	1.42	1.26	1.65	1.64	1.49
Diluted earnings per share – cash ^{(1) (3)}					
	1.62	1.47	1.84	1.81	1.64
Balance Sheet Data:					
Investment securities	1,391,872	1,229,271	1,303,117	1,584,633	1,329,645
Loans, net of unearned discount	2,821,055	2,701,558	2,411,769	2,358,420	2,128,446
Allowance for loan losses	(36,427)	(36,313)	(32,581)	(31,778)	(29,095)
Intangible assets	256,216	246,136	224,878	232,500	196,111
Total assets	4,697,502	4,497,797	4,152,529	4,393,295	3,854,984
Deposits	3,228,464	3,168,299	2,983,507	2,927,524	2,723,950
Borrowings	929,328	805,495	653,090	920,511	667,786
Shareholders' equity	478,784	461,528	457,595	474,628	404,828
Capital and Related Ratios:					
Cash dividend declared per share ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0.82	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.74	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.61
Book value per share ⁽¹⁾	16.16	15.37	15.28	15.49	14.29
Tangible book value per share ⁽¹⁾	7.51	7.17	7.77	7.90	7.37
Market capitalization (in millions)	589	690	676	866	694
Tier 1 leverage ratio	7.77%	8.81%	7.57%	6.94%	7.26%
Total risk-based capital to risk-adjusted assets	14.05%	15.47%	13.64%	13.18%	13.01%
Tangible equity to tangible assets	5.01%	5.07%	5.93%	5.82%	5.70%
Dividend payout ratio	57.1%	60.7%	43.9%	40.9%	40.2%
Period end common shares outstanding ⁽¹⁾	29,635	30,020	29,957	30,642	28,330
Diluted weighted-average shares outstanding ⁽¹⁾	30,232	30,392	30,838	30,670	27,035
Selected Performance Ratios:					
Return on average assets	0.93%	0.90%	1.19%	1.20%	1.16%

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

Return on average equity	9.20%	8.36%	10.89%	11.39%	11.78%
Net interest margin	3.64%	3.91%	4.17%	4.45%	4.68%
Noninterest income/operating income (FTE)	26.1%	24.8%	27.7%	21.1%	19.6%
Efficiency ratio ⁽²⁾	63.3%	59.9%	56.8%	52.8%	53.4%

Asset Quality Ratios:

Allowance for loan loss/total loans	1.29%	1.34%	1.35%	1.35%	1.37%
Nonperforming loans/total loans	0.32%	0.47%	0.55%	0.55%	0.62%
Allowance for loan loss/nonperforming loans	410%	288%	245%	245%	219%
Net charge-offs/average loans	0.10%	0.24%	0.33%	0.37%	0.54%
Loan loss provision/net charge-offs	76%	108%	110%	104%	109%

⁽¹⁾ All share and share-based amounts reflect the two-for-one stock split effected as a 100% stock dividend on April 12, 2004.

⁽²⁾ Efficiency ratio excludes intangible amortization, gain (loss) on investment securities & debt extinguishments and special charges/acquisition expenses.

⁽³⁾ Cash earnings are reconciled to GAAP net income in Table 1 on page 15.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") primarily reviews the financial condition and results of operations of Community Bank System, Inc. ("the Company") for the past two years, although in some circumstances a period longer than two years is covered in order to comply with Securities and Exchange Commission disclosure requirements or to more fully explain long-term trends. The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Selected Consolidated Financial Information on page 12 and the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes that appear on pages 41 through 71. All references in the discussion to the financial condition and results of operations are to the consolidated position and results of the Company and its subsidiaries taken as a whole.

Unless otherwise noted, all earnings per share ("EPS") figures disclosed in the MD&A refer to diluted EPS; interest income, net interest income and net interest margin are presented on a fully tax-equivalent ("FTE") basis. The term "this year" and equivalent terms refer to results in calendar year 2007, "last year" and equivalent terms refer to calendar year 2006, and all references to income statement results correspond to full-year activity unless otherwise noted.

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains certain forward-looking statements with respect to the financial condition, results of operations and business of Community Bank System, Inc. These forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements are set herein under the caption "Forward-Looking Statements" on page 37.

Critical Accounting Policies

As a result of the complex and dynamic nature of the Company's business, management must exercise judgment in selecting and applying the most appropriate accounting policies for its various areas of operations. The policy decision process not only ensures compliance with the latest generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), but also reflects on management's discretion with regard to choosing the most suitable methodology for reporting the Company's financial performance. It is management's opinion that the accounting estimates covering certain aspects of the business have more significance than others due to the relative importance of those areas to overall performance, or the level of subjectivity in the selection process. These estimates affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Management believes that the critical accounting estimates include:

- Allowance for loan losses – The allowance for loan losses reflects management's best estimate of probable loan losses in the Company's loan portfolio. Determination of the allowance for loan losses is inherently subjective. It requires significant estimates including the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans and the amount of estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans which is based on historical loss experience and consideration of current economic trends, all of which may be susceptible to significant change.
- Actuarial assumptions associated with pension, post-retirement and other employee benefit plans – These assumptions include discount rate, rate of future compensation increases and expected return on plan assets. Specific discussion of the assumptions used by management is discussed in Note K on pages 61 through 64.
- Provision for income taxes – The Company is subject to examinations from various taxing authorities. Such examinations may result in challenges to the tax return treatment applied by the Company to specific transactions. Management believes that the assumptions and judgments used to record tax-related assets or liabilities have been appropriate. Should tax laws change or the taxing authorities determine that management's assumptions were inappropriate, an adjustment may be required which could have a material effect on the Company's results of operations.
- Carrying value of goodwill and other intangible assets – The carrying value of goodwill and other intangible assets is based upon discounted cash flow modeling techniques that require management to make estimates regarding the amount and timing of expected future cash flows. It also requires them to select a discount rate that reflects the current return requirements of the market in relation to present risk-free interest rates, required equity market premiums and company-specific risk indicators.

A summary of the accounting policies used by management is disclosed in Note A, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies", starting on page 46.

Executive Summary

The Company's business philosophy is to operate as a community bank with local decision-making, principally in non-metropolitan markets, providing a broad array of banking and financial services to retail, commercial, and municipal customers.

The Company's core operating objectives are: (i) grow the branch network, primarily through a disciplined acquisition strategy, and certain selective de novo expansions, (ii) build profitable loan and deposit volume using both organic and acquisition strategies, (iii) increase the non-interest income component of total revenues through development of banking-related fee income, growth in existing financial services business units, and the acquisition of additional financial services and banking businesses, and (iv) utilize technology to deliver customer-responsive products and services and to reduce operating costs.

Significant factors management reviews to evaluate achievement of the Company's operating objectives and its operating results and financial condition include, but are not limited to: net income and earnings per share, return on assets and equity, net interest margins, noninterest income, operating expenses, asset quality, loan and deposit growth, capital management, performance of individual banking and financial services units, performance of specific product lines, liquidity and interest rate sensitivity, enhancements to customer products and services, technology advancements, market share, peer comparisons, and the performance of acquisition and integration activities.

The Company's reported net income for the year of \$42.9 million, or \$1.42 per share, was 12% above 2006's reported earnings of \$38.4 million, or \$1.26 per share. The 2007 results include a \$6.9 million benefit related to the settlement and a related change in a position taken on certain previously unrecognized tax positions, higher noninterest income, excluding securities gains and debt extinguishments costs, improved asset quality, and organic and acquired loan and deposit growth. This was partially offset by a \$9.9 million pretax charge related to the early redemption of \$25 million of variable-rate, trust preferred obligations, and the refinancing of \$150 million of Federal Home Loan Bank advances into lower cost instruments, and higher operating expenses. Last year's results included a \$2.4 million charge related to the early redemption of fixed rate, trust-preferred obligations. Noninterest income, excluding gain/loss on investment securities and debt extinguishments, increased 22% over 2006 as a result of strong growth from both banking and non-banking sources. Capital levels remained strong.

Asset quality continued to improve in 2007, with a reduction in the loan charge-off, delinquency and nonperforming loan ratios versus 2006. The Company experienced year-over-year loan growth in all portfolios: consumer installment, consumer mortgage and business lending, due to both the TLNB acquisition and organic loan growth. The investment portfolio increased from the prior year due to the reinvestment of portfolio cash flows as well as a \$200 million short-term investment leverage strategy implemented in the third quarter. Average deposits increased in 2007 as compared to 2006 as the result of organic growth and the TLNB, ONB and Elmira acquisitions. External borrowings increased from the end of December 2006 due primarily to the short-term investment leverage strategy, partially offset by the early redemption of the \$30 million of fixed-rate trust preferred securities in January 2007.

Net Income and Profitability

Net income for 2007 was \$42.9 million, or \$1.42 per share, up \$4.5 million, or 11.8%, from 2006's earnings of \$38.4 million, or \$1.26 per share. The 2007 results include a \$9.9 million, or \$0.20 per share, pre-tax charge related to the early redemption of \$25 million of variable-rate, trust preferred obligations, as well as the refinancing of \$150 million of Federal Home Loan Bank advances into lower cost instruments. The 2007 results also included a \$6.9 million, or \$0.23 per share, benefit related to the settlement and a related change in a position taken on certain previously unrecognized tax positions. The 2006 earnings included a \$2.4 million, or \$0.06 per share, charge related to the early redemption of fixed rate, trust-preferred obligations.

In addition to the earnings results presented above in accordance with GAAP, the Company provides cash earnings per share which excludes the after-tax effect of the amortization of intangible assets, the market value adjustments on net assets acquired in mergers, and the noncash portion of debt extinguishments costs. Management believes that this information helps investors understand the effect of acquisition activity and certain noncash transactions in reported results. Cash earnings per share for 2007 were \$1.62, up 10.2% from \$1.47 for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Net income and earnings per share for 2006 were \$38.4 million and \$1.26, down 24% from 2005 results. In 2005, the Company generated a \$0.29 per share after-tax gain through the sale of securities that had optimized their total return and interest-rate sensitivity characteristics. The 2006 earnings included incremental stock option expense of \$1.8 million, or \$0.05 per share, a \$2.4 million, or \$0.06 per share, charge related to the early redemption of fixed rate, trust-preferred obligations, as well as \$0.6 million, or \$0.02 per share, of acquisition expenses and special charges. The 2005 results were impacted by a \$2.9 million, or \$0.07 per share, nonrecurring charge related to the early retirement of certain executives.

Table 1: Reconciliation of GAAP Net Income To Non-GAAP Cash Net Income

(000's omitted)	Years Ended December 31,				
	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
Net income	\$ 42,891	\$ 38,377	\$ 50,805	\$ 50,196	\$ 40,380
After-tax adjustments:					
Net amortization of market value adjustments on net assets acquired in mergers	701	813	655	(126)	72
Amortization of intangible assets	4,808	4,598	5,281	5,568	3,869
Noncash portion of debt extinguishments charge	466	794	0	0	0
Net income – cash	\$ 48,866	\$ 44,582	\$ 56,741	\$ 55,638	\$ 44,321

Table 2: Condensed Income Statements

(000's omitted, except per share data)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Net interest income	\$ 135,974	\$ 134,809	\$ 143,872
Loan loss provision	2,004	6,585	8,534
Noninterest income	53,286	49,276	60,596
Operating expenses	142,074	127,203	127,389
Income before taxes	45,182	50,297	68,545
Income taxes	2,291	11,920	17,740
Net income	\$ 42,891	\$ 38,377	\$ 50,805
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 1.42	\$ 1.26	\$ 1.65
Diluted earnings per share-cash ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1.62	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.84

⁽¹⁾ Cash earnings are reconciled to GAAP net income in Table 1.

The primary factors explaining 2007 performance are discussed in detail in the remaining sections of this document and are summarized as follows:

- As shown in Table 2 above, net interest income increased \$1.2 million, or 0.9%, due to a \$321 million increase in average earning assets, partially offset by a 27 basis point decrease in the net interest margin. Average loans grew \$230 million or 9.1%, primarily due to strong consumer installment and retail mortgage growth as well as the addition of TLNB in June 2007, ONB in December 2006, and Elmira in August 2006. Average investments increased \$48 million, or 3.8% in 2007. Short-term cash equivalents also increased \$43 million as compared to the end of 2006. A majority of the growth in earning assets was funded by \$188 million, or 6.1%, higher average deposits, primarily due to the acquisitions of TLNB, ONB and Elmira. Average borrowings increased \$148 million due to the incremental investment leverage, as well as the three acquisitions completed since August 2006.

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

- The loan loss provision of \$2.0 million decreased \$4.6 million, or 70%, from the prior year level. Net charge-offs of \$2.6 million decreased by \$3.4 million from 2006, reducing the net charge-off ratio (net charge-offs / total average loans) to 0.10% for the year. The Company's asset quality became even more favorable in 2007 as evidenced by improvement in key metrics such as nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans, nonperforming assets as a percentage of loans and other real estate owned, and delinquent loans (30+ days through nonaccruing) as a percentage of total loans. Additional information on trends and policy related to asset quality is provided in the asset quality section on pages 28 through 31.
- Noninterest income for 2007 of \$53.3 million increased by \$4.0 million, or 8.1%, from 2006's level, due both to organic growth and the acquisitions of HBT, TLNB, ONB and Elmira, partially offset by a \$9.9 million debt refinancing charge, comprised of the refinance of certain Federal Home Loan Bank advances and the early redemption of \$25 million of trust preferred securities. Fees from banking services were up \$4.2 million or 14%, primarily due to several revenue enhancement initiatives implemented over the last two years, as well as the acquisitions completed in 2007 and 2006. Financial services revenue was \$7.4 million, or 36% higher, mostly from strong growth at the Company's benefit plan administration and consulting business and the acquisition of HBT. In 2006 the Company also incurred a \$2.4 million charge related to the early redemption of \$30 million of fixed-rate trust preferred obligations.

- Total operating expenses increased \$14.9 million or 11.7% in 2007 to \$142.1 million. The increase was primarily attributable to incremental operating expenses related to the TLNB, HBT, Elmira and ONB acquisitions. Additionally, expenses were up due to higher business development costs, a more robust marketing strategy, higher volume based processing costs, and increased expenses related to investments in the technology and facilities infrastructure.
- The Company's combined effective federal and state income tax rate decreased 18.6 percentage points in 2007 to 5.1%, primarily as a result of a settlement of certain previously unrecognized tax positions and a higher proportion of tax exempt income in part due to the higher debt restructuring charges in 2007.

Selected Profitability and Other Measures

Return on average assets, return on average equity, dividend payout and equity to asset ratios for the years indicated are as follows:

Table 3: Selected Ratios

	2007	2006	2005
Return on average assets	0.93%	0.90%	1.19%
Return on average equity	9.20%	8.36%	10.89%
Dividend payout ratio	57.1%	60.7%	43.9%
Average equity to average assets	10.14%	10.80%	10.93%

As displayed in Table 3 above, the return on average assets increased in 2007 as compared to 2006 and decreased as compared to 2005. The increase in comparison to 2006 was a result of higher net income primarily due to the 2007 and 2006 acquisitions and the improved asset quality. The decrease from 2005 to 2006 was a result of lower net income primarily due to lower gains on sale of investment securities and lower net interest income. Reported return on equity in 2007 was higher than 2006, but below 2005's level.

The dividend payout ratio for 2007 was below 2006's level due to a larger increase in net income than the 5.0% increase in dividends declared. The dividend payout ratio increased in 2006 as compared to 2005, due to the decrease in net income and the 5.3% increase in the quarterly dividend rate.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the amount that interest and fees on earning assets (loans and investments) exceeds the cost of funds, which consists primarily of interest paid to the Company's depositors and interest on external borrowings. Net interest margin is the difference between the gross yield on earning assets and the cost of interest-bearing funds as a percentage of earning assets.

As disclosed in Table 4, net interest income (with nontaxable income converted to a fully tax-equivalent basis) totaled \$150.8 million in 2007, up \$1.3 million, or 0.9%, from the prior year. A \$321 million increase in average interest-earning assets more than offset a \$337 million increase in average interest-bearing liabilities and a 27 basis point decrease in the net interest margin. As reflected in Table 5, the volume changes mentioned above increased net interest income by \$12.1 million, while the lower net interest margin had a \$10.8 million negative impact.

The net interest margin declined 27 basis points from 3.91% in 2006 to 3.64% in 2007. This decline was primarily attributable to a 35 basis point increase in the cost of funds having a greater impact than the nine basis point increase in earning-asset yields. The increased cost of funds was due to rising rates on deposit products, primarily time deposits in the first three quarters of the year, as the rates on new volume were above those of maturing time deposits, in part due to increases in short-term market rates in 2005 and 2006. The rates on external borrowings decreased throughout the year, as a result of the early redemption of fixed rate trust preferred securities in the first quarter of 2007 and four rate reductions by the Federal Reserve to the overnight federal funds rates since August of 2007. The yield on loans increased 16 basis points in 2007. The yield on investments decreased from 6.04% in 2006 to 5.98% in 2007 as the yields on the investments increased in the first half of the year and declined during the third and fourth quarters, due mostly to the leveraging strategy undertaken in mid-2007, as well as declines in short and medium term rates in the second half of the year.

The net interest margin in 2006 was 3.91%, compared to 4.17% in 2005. This 26 basis point decline was primarily attributable to the four rate hikes (25 basis points each) by the Federal Reserve to the overnight federal funds rates in the first half of 2006, having a greater impact on funding costs (up 58 basis points) than earning-asset yields (up 29 basis points). The rising short-term market rates resulted in steady increases to rates throughout the year (2006) on interest-bearing deposits (up 67 basis points) and total external borrowings (up 98 basis points). The yield on loans increased 43 basis points, with the majority of the increases occurring in the second and third quarters, reflective of the timing of the Federal Reserve's rate increases. The yield on investments increased slightly from 6.03% in 2005 to 6.04% in 2006 as the sold, maturing and called securities had yields similar to those of the overall portfolio.

As shown in Table 4, total interest income increased by \$24.5 million, or 9.9%, in 2007. Table 5 reveals that higher average earning assets contributed a positive \$21.0 million variance and higher yields contributed \$3.5 million. Average loans grew a total of \$229.6 million in 2007, as a result of \$186.5 million from the acquisitions of TLNB in June 2007, ONB in December 2006 and Elmira in August 2006 as well as \$43.1 million of organic growth in the consumer mortgage and consumer installment portfolios. Interest and fees on loans increased \$19.8 million or 11.8%. The increase was attributable to higher average loan balances as well as a 16 basis point increase in loan yields due to the increase in short-term rates in the first half of the year. Total interest income increased by \$12.8 million, or 5.5% in 2006. Table 5 indicates that higher average earning assets contributed a positive \$1.5 million variance and higher yields contributed \$11.3 million or 88% of the improvement. Average loans grew \$139.3 million in 2006 over 2005, as a result of \$78.9 million from the acquisitions of Elmira and ONB and \$60.4 million of total organic growth from all portfolios. Interest and fees on loans increased \$19.6 million, or 13.2%, in 2006 as compared to 2005. The increase was attributable to higher average loan balances, as well as a 43 basis point increase in loan yields due to increases in short-term rates.

In 2005, the Company sold certain investment securities in the then flat yield environment, to take advantage of market conditions to shorten the average life of the portfolio and to maximize its total return. In 2006, the portfolio continued to decline due to the contractual maturing and early calling of securities. The cash flows were used to pay down short-term borrowings and the excess funds invested in short-term cash equivalents, as long-term investments were not attractive due to market conditions. As a result, average investments for 2006 decreased \$142.3 million versus 2005, partially offset by an increase in cash equivalents of \$27.6 million. The expected life-to-maturity of the investment portfolio was reduced from 5.3 years at December 31, 2005 to 4.7 at December 31, 2006. Refer to the "Investments" section of the MD&A on pages 34 through 36 for further information. During the third quarter of 2007, a \$200 million short-term investment leverage strategy was initiated, which produced positive net interest income and served to demonstrate the company's ability to freely access liquidity sources despite tightened credit market conditions.

Investment interest income in 2007 of \$83.6 million was \$4.7 million, or 5.9%, higher than the prior year as a result of a larger portfolio (positive \$4.5 million impact), partially offset by a six basis point decrease in the investment yield. The increase in the portfolio was a result of the short-term leverage strategy implemented in the third quarter of 2007. Investment interest income in 2006 of \$78.9 million was \$6.8 million, or 7.9%, lower than the prior year as a result of a smaller portfolio (negative \$6.8 million impact). The performance of the investment portfolio in 2007 and 2006 remained strong despite the interest rate environment.

The average earning asset yield grew nine basis points to 6.54% in 2007 because of the previously mentioned increase in loan yields, partially offset by the decrease in the investment yields. The average earning asset yield grew 29 basis points to 6.45% in 2006 from 6.16% in 2005. During 2005, changes in market interest rates combined with the strategic investment portfolio actions previously discussed resulted in the yield on the loan portfolio being higher than the investment portfolio by 21 basis points. This gap widened in 2006 as the yield on the loan portfolio expanded and investment portfolio yield stabilized resulting in loan yields being 63 basis points higher than the yield on the investment portfolio. In 2007, the gap increased to 85 basis points as the yield on the loan portfolio continued to expand while the yield on the investment portfolio decreased slightly reflective of the loan portfolio having a significant proportion of variable and adjustable rate loans which benefited from higher rates, principally in the first half of the year, whereas the investment portfolio was predominately comprised of fixed rate instruments.

Total average funding (deposits and borrowings) in 2007 increased \$336.4 million or 9.0%. Deposits increased \$188.3 million, \$170.8 million attributable to the acquisitions of TLNB, ONB and Elmira and \$17.5 million to organic deposit growth. Interest bearing deposits increased \$188.8 million as a result of acquisitions, the continued emphasis of new interest bearing checking account products, and customers shifting funds from noninterest checking deposits to time and other higher rate deposit products as rates rose. Average external borrowings increased \$148.1 million in 2007 as compared to the prior year due primarily to the incremental leverage strategy in the third quarter. In 2006 total average funding remained consistent with 2005's level. Deposits increased \$88.5 million, \$52.6 million attributable to the acquisitions of Elmira and ONB and \$35.9 million due to organic deposit growth. Average external borrowings declined \$88.6 million in 2006 as compared to the prior year as cash flows from the maturing securities were used to reduce short-term borrowings.

The cost of funding increased 15 basis points during the first half of 2007 and decreased five basis points in the fourth quarter of 2007 reflective of the increases to short-term rates by the Federal Reserve in 2006 and the subsequent decreases experienced in the second half of 2007. Interest rates on deposit accounts were raised throughout the first half of the year, with increases in all product offerings. The primary drivers of the increase in deposit cost of funds were customers transferring funds from non interest checking and lower-rate interest accounts to higher yielding time deposit accounts, as well as transferring noninterest bearing accounts to new interest-bearing checking products. This trend is demonstrated by the percentage of average deposits that were in time deposit accounts and interest bearing checking accounts increasing from 44.0% and 11.3%, respectively, in 2006 to 44.8% and 13.6%, respectively, in 2007, while noninterest checking deposits, savings and money market accounts decreased from 18.5%, 15.2% and 11.0%, respectively, in 2006, to 17.4%, 14.1% and 10.1%, respectively, in 2007. The prepayment of trust preferred securities in early 2007 and the inverted yield curve that existed throughout 2007 contributed to the decrease in the interest rate differential between short and long-term debt instruments.

Total interest expense increased by \$23.2 million to \$120.3 million in 2007. As shown in Table 5, higher interest rates on deposits and external borrowings resulted in \$12.3 million of this increase, while the higher deposit and borrowings balances accounted for \$10.9 million of the increase in interest expense. Interest expense as a percentage of earning assets increased by 36 basis points to 2.90%. The rate on interest-bearing deposits increased 43 basis points to 2.89%, due largely to increases in time deposits and money market rates throughout 2007 and the previously discussed shifting of funds to higher rate deposit products. The rate on external borrowings decreased 10 basis points to 5.19% because of the aforementioned early redemption of fixed-rate trust preferred obligations as well as the favorable rates on borrowings associated with the leverage strategy undertaken in mid-2007. Total interest expense increased by \$21.5 million to \$97.1 million in 2006 as compared to 2005. Higher interest rates accounted for the vast majority of the increase. The rate on interest-bearing deposits increased 67 basis points to 2.46% and the rate on external borrowings increased 98 basis points to 5.29% in 2006.

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth information related to average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and their associated yields and rates for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005. Interest income and yields are on a fully tax-equivalent basis using marginal income tax rates of 38.8% in 2007, 38.4% in 2006, and 38.6% in 2005. Average balances are computed by totaling the daily ending balances in a period and dividing by the number of days in that period. Loan yields and amounts earned include loan fees. Average loan balances include nonaccrual loans and loans held for sale.

Table 4: Average Balance Sheet

(000's omitted except yields and rates)

	Year Ended December 31, 2007			Year Ended December 31, 2006			Year Ended December 31, 2005		
	Average Balance	Interest	Avg. Yield/Rate Paid	Average Balance	Interest	Avg. Yield/Rate Paid	Average Balance	Interest	Avg. Yield/Rate Paid
Interest-earning assets:									
Cash equivalents	\$ 79,827	\$ 4,019	5.03%	\$ 36,458	\$ 1,824	5.00%	\$ 8,867	\$ 281	3.17%
Taxable investment securities ⁽¹⁾	830,315	46,048	5.55%	754,618	41,702	5.53%	881,696	49,739	5.64%
Nontaxable investment securities ⁽¹⁾	488,154	33,540	6.87%	515,459	35,418	6.87%	530,639	35,704	6.73%
Loans (net of unearned discount)	2,743,804	187,480	6.83%	2,514,173	167,676	6.67%	2,374,832	148,075	6.24%
Total interest-earning assets	4,142,100	271,087	6.54%	3,820,708	246,620	6.45%	3,796,034	233,799	6.16%
Noninterest-earning assets	455,123			431,940			470,966		
Total assets	\$ 4,597,223			\$ 4,252,648			\$ 4,267,000		
Interest-bearing liabilities:									
Interest checking, savings and money market deposits	\$ 1,228,447	13,634	1.11%	\$ 1,149,236	11,792	1.03%	\$ 1,175,818	8,959	0.76%
Time deposits	1,457,768	64,048	4.39%	1,348,167	49,752	3.69%	1,214,719	33,793	2.78%
Short-term borrowings	257,874	10,644	4.13%	144,043	5,513	3.83%	366,775	11,249	3.07%
Long-term borrowings	562,672	31,937	5.68%	528,355	30,035	5.68%	394,195	21,571	5.47%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	3,506,761	120,263	3.43%	3,169,801	97,092	3.06%	3,151,507	75,572	2.40%
Noninterest-bearing liabilities:									
Noninterest checking deposits	566,981			567,500			585,913		
Other liabilities	57,283			56,149			63,004		
Shareholders' equity	466,198			459,198			466,576		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 4,597,223			\$ 4,252,648			\$ 4,267,000		

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

Net interest earnings	<u>\$ 150,824</u>		<u>\$ 149,528</u>		<u>\$ 158,227</u>
Net interest spread		3.11%		3.39%	3.76%
Net interest margin on interest-earning assets		3.64%		3.91%	4.17%
Fully tax-equivalent adjustment	\$ 14,850		\$ 14,719		\$ 14,355

⁽¹⁾ Averages for investment securities are based on historical cost and the yields do not give effect to changes in fair value that is reflected as a component of shareholders' equity and deferred taxes.

As discussed above, the change in net interest income (fully tax-equivalent basis) may be analyzed by segregating the volume and rate components of the changes in interest income and interest expense for each underlying category.

Table 5: Rate/Volume

(000's omitted)	2007 Compared to 2006			2006 Compared to 2005		
	Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in ⁽¹⁾			Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in ⁽¹⁾		
	Volume	Rate	Net Change	Volume	Rate	Net Change
Interest earned on:						
Time deposits in other banks	\$ 2,184	\$ 11	\$ 2,195	\$ 1,300	\$ 243	\$ 1,543
Taxable investment securities	4,197	149	4,346	(7,041)	(996)	(8,037)
Nontaxable investment securities	(1,876)	(2)	(1,878)	(1,034)	748	(286)
Loans (net of unearned discount)	15,611	4,193	19,804	8,965	10,636	19,601
Total interest-earning assets ⁽²⁾	20,994	3,473	24,467	1,529	11,292	12,821
Interest paid on:						
Interest checking, savings and money market deposits	843	999	1,842	(207)	3,040	2,833
Time deposits	4,276	10,020	14,296	4,017	11,942	15,959
Short-term borrowings	4,667	464	5,131	(8,033)	2,297	(5,736)
Long-term borrowings	1,947	(45)	1,902	7,598	866	8,464
Total interest-bearing liabilities ⁽²⁾	10,902	12,269	23,171	441	21,079	21,520
Net interest earnings ⁽²⁾	12,099	(10,803)	1,296	1,022	(9,721)	(8,699)

⁽¹⁾ The change in interest due to both rate and volume has been allocated to volume and rate changes in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amounts of change in each.

⁽²⁾ Changes due to volume and rate are computed from the respective changes in average balances and rates of the totals; they are not a summation of the changes of the components.

Noninterest Income

The Company's sources of noninterest income are of three primary types: general banking services related to loans, deposits and other core customer activities typically provided through the branch network; financial services, comprised of employee benefit plan administration, actuarial and consulting services (generated by BPAS which includes BPA, Harbridge and HBT), trust services, investment and insurance products (generated by CISI and CBNA Insurance), asset management (generated by Nottingham), and periodic transactions, most often net gains (losses) from the sale of investments and prepayment of debt instruments.

Table 6: Noninterest Income

(000's omitted except ratios)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Deposit service charges and fees	\$ 24,178	\$ 22,183	\$ 21,961
Benefit plan administration, consulting and actuarial fees	19,700	13,205	11,193
Trust, investment and asset management fees	8,264	7,396	7,307
Commissions and other	5,561	4,713	4,630
Electronic banking	4,595	3,443	2,788
Mortgage banking	962	739	522
Subtotal	63,260	51,679	48,401
Gain (loss) on investment securities & debt extinguishments	(9,974)	(2,403)	12,195
Total noninterest income	\$ 53,286	\$ 49,276	\$ 60,596

Noninterest income/operating income (FTE)

26.1% 24.8% 27.7%

As displayed in Table 6, noninterest income, excluding security gains and debt extinguishments costs, increased by 22% to \$63.3 million largely as a result of growth in recurring bank fees and benefit plan administration, consulting and actuarial fees and the acquisition of HBT in May 2007. The loss on the sale of investment securities and debt extinguishments increased \$7.6 million as 2007 included a one-time \$9.9 million charge related to the early redemption of \$25 million of variable-rate trust preferred obligations, as well as the refinance of \$150 million of Federal Home Loan Bank advances into lower cost instruments and 2006 included a \$2.4 million charge related to the early retirement of \$30 million of fixed-rate trust preferred securities. Refer to the "Investments" section of the MD&A on pages 34 through 36 for further information. Total noninterest income, excluding security gains and debt extinguishments costs, of \$51.7 million for 2006 increased by 6.8% over 2005, largely as a result of higher utilization of bank services and growth at BPAS.

Noninterest income as a percent of operating income (FTE basis) was 26.1% in 2007, up 1.3 percentage points from the prior year. Excluding the gain (loss) on investment securities and debt extinguishments, noninterest income as a percent of operating income (FTE basis) was 29.5% in 2007, a 3.8 percentage point increase from 25.7% for 2006. This increase was primarily driven by the aforementioned strong growth in recurring bank fees, BPAS income, as well as the adverse impact the 27-basis point decrease in the net interest margin had on that segment of revenue. This ratio is considered an important measure for determining the progress the Company is making on one of its primary long-term strategies, which is the expansion of noninterest income in order to diversify its revenue sources and reduce reliance on net interest margins that may be strongly impacted by general interest rate and other market conditions.

The largest portion of the Company's recurring noninterest income is the wide variety of fees earned from general banking services, which reached \$35.3 million in 2007, up 13.6% from the prior year. A large portion of the income growth was attributable to electronic banking fees, up \$1.2 million, or 33%, over 2006's level, due in large part to a concerted effort to increase the penetration and utilization of consumer debit cards as well as the introduction of a business debit card program. Overdraft fees were also up \$1.1 million, or 6.7%, over 2006's level, driven by core deposit account growth. Mortgage banking fees increased \$0.2 million, or 30%, primarily due to the addition of a \$300 million serviced loan portfolio in conjunction with the Elmira acquisition in August 2006. Fees from general banking services were \$31.1 million in 2006, up \$1.2 million or 3.9% from 2005, primarily driven by growth in overdraft fees, commissions and electronic banking, generated from several revenue enhancement initiatives put into place during 2005 and core deposit account growth.

As disclosed in Table 6, noninterest income from financial services (including revenues from benefit plan consulting and administration and wealth management services) rose \$7.4 million, or 36%, in 2007 to \$28.0 million. Financial services revenue now comprises 44% of total noninterest income, excluding net gains (losses) on the sale of investment securities and debt extinguishments. Strong performance at BPAS generated revenue growth of \$6.5 million, or 49%, for the 2007 year, achieved primarily through the acquisition of HBT, new product offerings and expanded market coverage. BPAS offers their clients daily valuation, actuarial and employee benefit consulting services on a national basis from offices in Upstate New York, Houston, and Pittsburgh. BPAS revenue of \$13.2 million in 2006 was \$2.0 million higher than 2005's results, driven by enhanced service offerings to both new and existing clients.

CISI generated revenue growth of \$652,000, or 17%, in 2007 primarily through the addition of new financial consultants and improved sales penetration. Nottingham generated revenue growth of 3.2%, in 2007, achieved primarily through the attraction of net new client assets and market appreciation. Revenue at personal trust declined \$188,000, or 8.5%, during 2007. Excluding certain estate fees generated in the first quarter of 2006, trust services income increased slightly. CBNA Insurance, acquired in June of 2007, generated revenue of \$364,000. In 2006, personal trust had positive revenue growth of \$210,000 or, 10.5%, achieved primarily through the generation of estate settlement fees. CISI generated revenue growth of \$117,000, or 3.1% in 2006 primarily through the addition of new financial consultants. Revenues at Nottingham declined \$238,000 or 16% during 2006 as it transitioned to a new management team, new branding and the broadening of its product offerings.

Assets under management and administration at the Company's financial services businesses rose considerably over the last two years, reaching \$4.7 billion at the end of 2007, up from \$3.2 billion at year-end 2006 and \$2.5 billion at year-end 2005. Market-driven gains in equity-based assets were augmented by attraction of new client assets and the acquisition of HBT. BPA, in particular, was successful at growing its asset base, as demonstrated by the approximately \$500 million increase in its assets under administration during 2007, excluding assets added through the acquisition of HBT.

In the fourth quarter of 2007, the Company incurred a \$2.1 million charge related to the early redemption of its \$25 million, variable-rate trust preferred obligations, which included a premium call provision at 6.15%. Additionally, the Company incurred a \$7.8 million charge to refinance \$150 million of Federal Home Loan Bank advances into similar duration, lower cost instruments. In 2006 the Company incurred a \$2.4 million charge related to the early redemption of its \$30 million, 9.75% fixed-rate trust preferred obligations, which included a premium call provision at 4.54%. There were no gains or losses on security transactions in 2006 as compared to gains of \$12.2 million in 2005, as the Company took advantage of market conditions in 2005 to sell certain securities in order to maximize their expected total return. Securities sold included \$173.2 million of U.S. Treasury and Agency securities, \$46.1 million of AAA-rated obligations of state and political subdivisions and \$24.4 million of investment grade corporate bonds. The corresponding gains recognized on these sales were \$7.0 million, \$2.2 million and \$3.0 million, respectively.

The security and debt gains and losses taken over the last three years are illustrative of the Company's active management of its investment portfolio and external borrowings to achieve a desirable total return through the combination of net interest income, transaction gains/losses and changes in market value across financial market cycles, as well as achieving an appropriate interest-rate sensitivity profile in changing rate environments.

Operating Expenses

As shown in Table 7, operating expenses increased \$14.9 million, or 11.7%, in 2007 to \$142.1 million primarily due to the four acquisitions completed in 2007 and 2006, as well as higher merit-based personnel expenses, business development and volume-based processing costs. Operating expenses in 2006 declined \$0.2 million or 0.1% from 2005. Excluding special charges/acquisition expenses, operating expenses were up \$2.1 million, or 1.7%, in 2006, primarily attributable to the effect of adopting SFAS 123(R), increased business development and marketing expenses, and operating expenses related to the acquisitions of Elmira and ONB, partially offset by lower amortization of intangible assets. Operating expenses for 2007 as a percent of average assets were 3.09%, up 10 basis points from 2.99% in both 2006 and 2005. This ratio was impacted by the comparatively high growth rates of the financial service businesses, which are less asset-intensive with higher efficiency ratio attributes.

The efficiency ratio, a performance measurement tool widely used by banks, is defined by the Company as operating expenses (excluding special charges/acquisition expenses and intangible amortization) divided by operating income (fully tax-equivalent net interest income plus noninterest income, excluding net securities and debt gains and losses). Lower ratios are often correlated to higher efficiency. In 2007 the efficiency ratio increased 3.4 percentage points to 63.3% due to a 12.4% increase in operating expenses having a greater impact than a 0.9% increase in net interest income and a 22% increase in noninterest income (excluding net securities gains and debt extinguishments costs). The efficiency ratio for 2006 was 3.1 percentage points higher than the 56.8% ratio for 2005 due to a 2.7% increase in operating expenses and a 5.5% decline in the net interest income having a greater impact than a 6.8% increase in noninterest income (excluding net securities gains and debt extinguishments costs). In both periods, operating income growth was inhibited by the contraction of the net interest margin. In addition, the efficiency ratios were adversely affected by the growing proportion of financial services activities, which due to the differing nature of their business carry high efficiency ratios.

Table 7: Operating Expenses

(000's omitted)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 75,714	\$ 67,103	\$ 65,059
Occupancy and equipment	18,961	17,884	17,756
Customer processing and communications	15,691	12,934	13,565
Amortization of intangible assets	6,269	6,027	7,125
Legal and professional fees	4,987	4,593	4,540
Office supplies and postage	4,303	4,035	3,804
Business development and marketing	5,420	4,251	2,771
Foreclosed property	382	858	1,312
Special charges/acquisition expenses	382	647	2,943
Other	9,965	8,871	8,514
Total operating expenses	\$ 142,074	\$ 127,203	\$ 127,389
Operating expenses/average assets	3.09%	2.99%	2.99%
Efficiency ratio	63.3%	59.9%	56.8%

Salaries and benefits increased \$8.6 million or 13% in 2007, of which approximately half was the result of the four acquisitions in the last two years. Additionally, approximately \$2.3 million of the increase can be attributed to annual merit increases, along with \$0.9 million higher medical costs and increased headcount, excluding the acquisitions. Salaries and benefits increased \$2.0 million or 3.1% in 2006 primarily due to costs associated with the acquisition of Elmira and ONB, higher stock option and retirement plan expense, partially offset by higher deferred loan origination costs. The increase of stock option expense of \$1.7 million related to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), which required the recognition of expense based on the fair value of the options on the grant date. Total full-time equivalent staff at the end of 2007 was 1,453 compared to 1,352 at December 31, 2006 and 1,299 at the end of 2005.

Medical expenses increased \$1.1 million in 2007, or 28%, due to a general rise in the cost of medical care, administration and insurance, as well as a greater number of insured employees. Additional vision and dental coverage were added in 2007 at an incremental cost of \$0.2 million to bring the Company's benefit offerings more closely in line with peers. Medical expenses declined 2.6% in 2006 as a result of proactive claims management, lower utilization, and a change in plan administrators. Qualified and nonqualified pension expenses decreased in 2007 principally due to the return on assets for contributions made to the plan in 2007, partially offset by increases in retiree medical expense due to the general rise in the cost of medical care. In 2006, qualified and nonqualified pension expense was \$1.0 million lower than 2005 due to the special charge taken in 2005 related to certain early retirement actions. The three assumptions that have the largest impact on the calculation of annual pension expense are the discount rate utilized, the rate applied to future compensation increases and the expected rate of return on plan assets. See Note K to the financial statements for further information concerning the pension plan.

Total non-personnel operating expense increased \$6.3 million or 10.4% in 2007. As displayed in Table 7, this was largely caused by higher customer processing and communication expense (up \$2.8 million), business development and marketing (up \$1.2 million), other expenses (up \$1.1 million), occupancy and equipment expense (up \$1.1 million), legal and professional (up \$0.4 million), office supplies and postage (up \$0.3 million), and, amortization of intangible assets (up \$0.2 million), partially offset by decreases in, foreclosed property expenses (down \$0.5 million). The increase in data processing and communications costs as well as the increase in business development and marketing expenses reflects the Company's continued investments in strategic technology and business development initiatives to grow and enhance its service offerings. A majority of the remaining increase in nonpersonnel operating costs is attributable to \$2.9 million of expenses added as a result of the four acquisitions in 2007 and 2006.

The Company continually evaluates all aspects of its operating expense structure and is diligent about identifying opportunities to improve operating efficiencies. Over the last two years, the Company has consolidated four of its branch offices. This realignment will reduce market overlap and further strengthen its branch network, and reflects management's focus on achieving long-term performance improvements through

proactive strategic decision making.

Total non-personnel operating expense decreased \$2.1 million or 1.7% in 2006, compared to 2005. Excluding special charges/acquisition expense, nonpersonnel expenses were consistent with 2005's level. As displayed in Table 7, this was largely caused by higher business development and marketing (up \$1.2 million), other expenses (up \$0.4 million), office and supplies (up \$0.2 million), and occupancy and equipment expense (up \$0.1 million), partially offset by decreases in amortization of intangible assets (down \$1.1 million), foreclosed property expenses (down \$0.5 million) and data processing and communication expense (down \$0.4 million). Business and marketing costs were up mostly due to the initiation of a bankwide core deposit generation program. A majority of the remaining increase in nonpersonnel operating costs is attributable to \$0.3 million of expenses added as a result of the Elmira and ONB acquisitions in the second half of 2006. The amortization of intangibles decreased as certain core deposit and customer relationship intangibles arising from prior acquisitions became fully amortized.

Special charges/acquisition expense totaled \$0.4 million in 2007, down \$0.3 million from 2006 and relate solely to acquisitions. Special charges/acquisition expenses totaled \$0.6 million in 2006, down \$2.3 million from \$2.9 million in 2005. The 2006 special charge related to early retirement of certain long-service employees and acquisition expenses of \$0.3 million. The 2005 special charge related to the early retirement of certain long-service executives and included severance and certain benefit plan enhancements.

Income Taxes

The Company estimates its tax expense based on the amount it expects to owe the respective tax authorities, plus the impact of deferred tax items. Taxes are discussed in more detail in Note I of the Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page 59. Accrued taxes represent the net estimated amount due or to be received from taxing authorities. In estimating accrued taxes, management assesses the relative merits and risks of the appropriate tax treatment of transactions taking into account statutory, judicial and regulatory guidance in the context of the Company's tax position. If the final resolution of taxes payable differs from its estimates due to regulatory determination or legislative or judicial actions, adjustments to tax expense may be required.

The effective tax rate for 2007 decreased by 18.6 percentage points to 5.1% as a result of a \$6.9 million benefit related to the settlement and a related change in a position taken on certain previously unrecognized tax positions and a higher proportion of tax exempt income, due in part to the higher debt restructuring charges in 2007. The effective tax rate for 2006 decreased by 2.2 percentage point from 2005's level to 23.7%. The lower effective tax rate for 2006 compared to 2005 was principally a result of a higher proportion of income being generated from tax-exempt securities and loans.

Capital

Shareholders' equity ended 2007 at \$478.8 million, up \$17.3 million, or 3.7%, from one year earlier. This increase reflects net income of \$42.9 million, \$3.3 million from the issuance of shares through employee stock plans, \$2.2 million from stock based compensation and a \$5.4 million increase in other comprehensive income. These increases were partially offset by common stock dividends declared of \$24.5 million and treasury share purchases of \$12.0 million. The other comprehensive income is comprised of a \$6.0 million increase in the market value adjustment ("MVA", represents the after-tax, unrealized change in value of available-for-sale securities in the Company's investment portfolio), a \$1.2 million benefit based on the funded status of the Company's employee retirement plans, partially offset by a \$1.8 million decrease in the fair value of interest rate swaps designated as a cash flow hedges.

Shareholders' equity ended 2006 at \$461.5, up \$3.9 million, or 0.9% from one year earlier. This increase reflects net income of \$38.4 million and \$7.5 million from the issuance of shares through employee stock plans. These increases were partially offset by common stock dividends declared of \$23.3 million, treasury share purchases of \$5.5 million and a \$13.1 million decrease in other comprehensive loss. The other comprehensive loss is comprised of a \$9.9 million charge for the adoption of SFAS 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans an Amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)* ("SFAS 158"), a \$3.6 million decline in the MVA, partially offset by a \$0.5 million increase in the fair value of interest rate swaps. The adoption of SFAS 158 required that the funded status of all defined benefit pension and postretirement plans be recorded as an asset or liability on the Company's consolidated statement of condition with a corresponding offset, net of taxes recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income within shareholders' equity. Excluding accumulated other comprehensive income in both 2007 and 2006, capital rose by \$11.9 million, or 2.5%. Shares outstanding decreased by 385,000 during the year, comprised of 227,000 added through employee stock plans, offset by the purchase of 612,000 treasury shares.

The Company's ratio of Tier 1 capital to assets (or tier 1 leverage ratio), the basic measure for which regulators have established a 5% minimum for an institution to be considered "well-capitalized," decreased 104 basis points at year-end 2007 to 7.77%. This was primarily the result of the early call of the \$30 million of fixed-rate trust preferred securities in the first quarter and assets from the ONB and TLNB being included in average assets for the entire quarter versus only one month of ONB assets included in the ratio for December 31, 2006. The tangible equity/tangible assets ratio was 5.00% at the end of 2007 versus 5.07% one year earlier. The decline was due to a larger increase in total assets as a result of the acquisition of HBT and TLNB, and organic growth resulting in the assets growing at a faster rate than shareholders' equity, whose growth was dampened by treasury stock purchases. The Company manages organic and acquired growth in a manner that enables it to continue to build upon its strong capital base, and maintain the Company's ability to take advantage of future strategic growth opportunities.

Cash dividends declared on common stock in 2007 of \$24.5 million represented an increase of 5.0% over the prior year. This growth was mostly a result of dividends per share of \$0.82 for 2007 increasing from \$0.78 in 2006, a result of quarterly dividends per share being raised from \$0.20 to \$0.21 (+5.0%) in the third quarter of 2007 and from \$0.19 to \$0.20 (+5.3%) in the third quarter of 2006. Partially offsetting the increase in the dividend was a 1.3% decrease in the number of shares outstanding due to treasury stock purchases. The dividend payout ratio for this year was 57.1% compared to 60.8% in 2006, and 43.9% in 2005. The change in 2007 is a result of the aforementioned increase in dividends declared being smaller than the 12% increase in net income. The significant change in 2006 was a result of a 4.6% increase in dividends declared combined with a 24% decrease in net income.

Liquidity

Liquidity risk is measured by the Company's ability to raise cash when needed at a reasonable cost and minimize any loss. The Company must be capable of meeting all obligations to its customers at any time and, therefore, the active management of its liquidity position is critical. Given the uncertain nature of our customers' demands as well as the Company's desire to take advantage of earnings enhancement opportunities, the Company must have available adequate sources of on and off-balance sheet funds that can be acquired in time of need. Accordingly, in addition to the liquidity provided by balance sheet cash flows, liquidity must be supplemented with additional sources such as credit lines from correspondent banks, the Federal Home Loan Bank, and Federal Reserve Bank. Other funding alternatives may also be appropriate from time to time, including wholesale and retail repurchase agreements, large certificates of deposit, and brokered CD relationships.

The Company's primary approach to measuring liquidity is known as the Basic Surplus/Deficit model. It is used to calculate liquidity over two time periods: first, the amount of cash that could be made available within 30 days (calculated as liquid assets less short-term liabilities as a percentage of total assets); and second, a projection of subsequent cash availability over an additional 60 days. As of December 31, 2007, this ratio was 12.6% and 12.5% for the respective time periods, excluding the Company's capacity to borrow additional funds from the Federal Home Loan Bank and other sources, as compared to the Bank policy that requires a minimum of 7.5%. There is currently \$318 million in additional Federal Home Loan Bank borrowing capacity based on the Company's year-end collateral levels. Additionally, the Company has \$11 million in unused capacity at the Federal Reserve Bank and \$100 million in unused capacity from unsecured lines of credit with other correspondent banks.

In addition to the 30 and 90-day basic surplus/deficit model, longer-term liquidity over a minimum of five years is measured and a liquidity analysis projecting sources and uses of funds is prepared. To measure longer-term liquidity, a baseline projection of loan and deposit growth for five years is made to reflect how liquidity levels could change over time. This five-year measure reflects ample liquidity for loan growth over the next five years.

Though remote, the possibility of a funding crisis exists at all financial institutions. Accordingly, management has addressed this issue by formulating a Liquidity Contingency Plan, which has been reviewed and approved by both the Board of Directors and the Company's Asset/Liability Management Committee. The plan addresses those actions the Company would take in response to both a short-term and long-term funding crisis.

A short-term funding crisis would most likely result from a shock to the financial system, either internal or external, which disrupts orderly short-term funding operations. Such a crisis should be temporary in nature and would not involve a change in credit ratings. A long-term funding crisis would most likely be the result of drastic credit deterioration at the Company. Management believes that both circumstances have been fully addressed through detailed action plans and the establishment of trigger points for monitoring such events.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets at the end of 2007 totaled \$256.2 million, an increase of \$10.1 million from the prior year-end due to \$16.3 million of additional intangible assets arising from the acquisitions of HBT and TLNB, and minor adjustments to the intangible assets from the Elmira and ONB acquisitions, offset by \$6.3 million of amortization during the year.

Intangible assets consist of goodwill, core deposit value and customer relationships arising from acquisitions. Goodwill represents the excess cost of an acquisition over the fair value of the net assets acquired. Goodwill at December 31, 2007 amounted to \$234 million, comprised of \$221 million related to banking acquisitions and \$13 million arising from the acquisition of financial services businesses. Goodwill is subjected to periodic impairment analysis to determine whether the carrying value of the acquired net assets exceeds their fair value, which would necessitate a write-down of the goodwill. The Company completed its goodwill impairment analyses during 2007 and 2006 and no adjustments were necessary. The impairment analysis was based upon discounted cash flow modeling techniques that require management to make estimates regarding the amount and timing of expected future cash flows. It also requires them to select a discount rate that reflects the current return requirements of the market in relation to present risk-free interest rates, required equity market premiums and company-specific risk indicators. Management believes that there is a low probability of future impairment with regard to the goodwill associated with whole-bank and branch acquisitions. The performance of Nottingham (previously Elias Asset Management) weakened subsequent to its acquisition in 2000 as a result of adverse market conditions, however, its performance stabilized in 2006 and improved in 2007. Certain organizational and structural changes were made late in 2005 and 2006, including re-branding efforts that included changing its name from Elias Asset Management to Nottingham, to underscore the enhanced product and service offerings it has recently developed. However, declines in Nottingham's operating results may cause future impairment to its recorded goodwill of \$7.3 million.

Core deposit intangibles represent the premium the Company has paid for deposits acquired in excess of the cost that would have been incurred had the funds been purchased in the capital markets. Core deposit intangibles are amortized on either an accelerated or straight-line basis over periods ranging from seven to twenty years. The recognition of customer relationship intangibles arose due to the acquisitions of Harbridge and HBT. These assets were determined based on a methodology that calculates the present value of the projected future revenue derived from the acquired customer base. These assets are being amortized over twelve years on an accelerated basis.

Loans

The Company's loans outstanding, by type, as of December 31 are as follows:

Table 8: Loans Outstanding

(000's omitted)	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
Consumer mortgage	\$ 977,553	\$ 912,505	\$ 815,463	\$ 801,069	\$ 739,318
Business lending	984,780	960,034	819,605	831,244	689,436
Consumer installment	858,722	829,019	776,701	726,107	699,692
Net loans	2,821,055	2,701,558	2,411,769	2,358,420	2,128,446
Allowance for loans	36,427	36,313	32,581	31,778	29,095
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses	\$ 2,784,628	\$ 2,665,245	\$ 2,379,188	\$ 2,326,642	\$ 2,099,351

As disclosed in Table 8 above, gross loans outstanding reached a record level of \$2.8 billion as of year-end 2007, up \$119.5 million or 4.4% compared to twelve months earlier. The acquisition of TLNB accounted for \$55.5 million of the growth. Excluding the impact of the TLNB, ONB and Elmira acquisitions, total loans rose \$82.3 million or 3.4%. The organic loan growth was produced in each of business lending, consumer mortgage and consumer installment portfolios.

The compounded annual growth rate ("CAGR") for the Company's total loan portfolio between 2003 and 2007 was 7.3% comprised of approximately 2.3% organic growth, with the remainder coming from acquisitions. The greatest overall expansion occurred in the business lending segment, which grew at a 9.1% CAGR (including the impact of acquisitions) over that time frame. The consumer mortgage segment grew at a compounded annual growth rate of 7.2% from 2003 to 2007. The consumer mortgage growth was primarily driven by record mortgage refinancing volumes over the last five years, as well as the acquisition of consumer-oriented banks and branches in that time period. Business

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

lending balances accounted for 35% of total loans at year-end 2007 compared to 32% at December 31, 2003, mostly due to the high proportion of business loans in the portfolios of two of the acquisitions completed during this time period. Consumer installment loans, largely home equity loans and borrowings originated in automobile, marine and recreational vehicle dealerships experienced a compounded annual growth rate of 5.3% over the last 5 years.

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

The weighting of retail lending in the Company's loan portfolio enables it to be highly diversified. Approximately 65% of loans outstanding at the end of 2007 were made to consumers borrowing on an installment, line of credit or residential mortgage loan basis. The business lending portfolio is also broadly diversified by industry type as demonstrated by the following distributions at year-end 2007: commercial real estate (27%), healthcare (10%), general services (9%), retail trade (8%), construction (6%), agriculture (6%), manufacturing (6%), motor vehicle and parts dealers (5%), restaurant & lodging (5%), and wholesale trade (4%). A variety of other industries with less than a 3% share of the total portfolio comprise the remaining 14%.

The consumer mortgage portion of the Company's loan portfolio is comprised of fixed (94%) and adjustable rate (6%) residential lending. Consumer mortgages increased \$65.0 million or 7.1% in 2007. Excluding the impact of the TLNB, ONB and Elmira acquisitions, the consumer mortgage portfolio was up \$39.4 million or 4.7% in 2007. During 2003 and 2004, record levels of refinancing activity were driven by mortgage rates that were at or near 40-year lows. Consumer mortgage growth has returned closer to historic levels since 2005, as the pace of refinancing slowed after an extended period of elevated demand in the low-rate environment. Consumer mortgage growth was comparatively stronger over the last year despite relatively stable long-term interest rates. The consumer real estate portfolio does not include exposure to subprime, Alt-A, or other higher-risk mortgage products. The Company's solid performance during a tumultuous period in the overall industry is a reflection of the stable, low-risk profile of its portfolio and its ability to successfully meet customer needs at a time when some national mortgage lenders are restricting their lending activities in many of the Company's markets. Growth in the consumer mortgage portfolio in 2007 would have been 9.1% if the sale of \$17.8 million of longer-term, fixed-rate mortgages in the secondary market had not been conducted. These mortgages were sold in the secondary market to improve the Company's interest rate risk position.

The combined total of general-purpose business lending, dealer floor plans and mortgages on commercial property is characterized as the Company's business lending activity. The business-lending portfolio increased \$24.7 million or 2.6% in 2007. Excluding the impact of the TLNB, ONB, and Elmira acquisitions, this segment increased \$18.4 million or 2.3% as compared to the prior year. The organic growth generated in 2007 was contributed by every major product line within business lending except dealer floor plans, where levels have been purposely managed downwards over the last few years due to the competitive weakness in this sector. The Company continues to face competitive conditions in most of its markets and it maintains its commitment to generating growth in its business portfolio in a manner that adheres to its twin goals of maintaining strong asset quality and producing profitable margins.

Consumer installment loans, both those originated directly (such as personal loans and home equity loans and lines of credit), and indirectly (originated predominantly in automobile, marine and recreational vehicle dealerships), rose \$29.7 million or 3.6% from one year ago. Excluding the impact of the TLNB, ONB and Elmira acquisitions, this segment increased \$24.5 million or 3.0%. Continued moderate interest rates by historical standards, aggressive dealer and manufacturer incentives on new vehicles, and enhanced business development efforts have helped drive strong growth in this segment over the last several years.

The following table shows the maturities and type of interest rates for business and construction loans as of December 31, 2006:

Table 9: Maturity Distribution of Business and Construction Loans ⁽¹⁾

(000's omitted)	Maturing in One Year or Less	Maturing After One but Within Five Years	Maturing After Five Years
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 317,499	\$ 462,477	\$ 183,810
Real estate – construction	20,994	0	0
Total	\$ 338,493	\$ 462,477	\$ 183,810
Fixed or predetermined interest rates	\$ 146,175	\$ 299,684	\$ 67,994
Floating or adjustable interest rates	192,318	162,793	115,816
Total	\$ 338,493	\$ 462,477	\$ 183,810

⁽¹⁾ Scheduled repayments are reported in the maturity category in which the payment is due.

Asset Quality

The following table presents information concerning nonperforming assets:

Table 10: Nonperforming Assets

(000's omitted)	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 7,140	\$ 10,107	\$ 10,857	\$ 11,798	\$ 11,940
Accruing loans 90+ days delinquent	622	1,207	1,075	1,158	1,307
Restructured loans	1,126	1,275	1,375	0	28
Total nonperforming loans	8,888	12,589	13,307	12,956	13,275
Other real estate	1,007	1,838	1,048	1,645	1,077
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 9,895	\$ 14,427	\$ 14,355	\$ 14,601	\$ 14,352

Allowance for loan losses / total loans	1.29%	1.34%	1.35%	1.35%	1.37%
Allowance for loan losses / nonperforming loans	410%	288%	245%	245%	219%
Nonperforming loans / total loans	0.32%	0.47%	0.55%	0.55%	0.62%
Nonperforming assets / total loans and other real estate	0.35%	0.53%	0.59%	0.62%	0.67%

The Company places a loan on nonaccrual status when the loan becomes ninety days past due or sooner, if management concludes collection of interest is doubtful, except when, in the opinion of management, it is well-collateralized and in the process of collection. As shown in Table 10 above, nonperforming loans, defined as nonaccruing loans plus accruing loans 90 days or more past due, ended 2007 at \$8.9 million, down approximately \$3.7 million or 29% from one year earlier. The ratio of nonperforming loans to total loans decreased 15 basis points from the prior year to 0.32%. The ratio of nonperforming assets (which includes troubled debt restructuring and other real estate owned, or "OREO", in addition to nonperforming loans) to total loans plus OREO decreased to 0.35% at year-end 2007, down 18 basis points from one year earlier. The improvement was driven by continued focus on maintaining strict underwriting standards, enhanced collection and recovery efforts, and the charge-off and disposition of certain problematic loans in prior years. Had nonaccrual loans for the year ended December 31, 2007 been current in accordance with their original terms, additional interest income of approximately \$0.8 million would have been recorded. At year-end 2007, the Company was managing 14 OREO properties with a value of \$1.0 million, as compared to 23 OREO properties with a value of \$1.8 million a year earlier.

Total delinquencies, defined as loans 30 days or more past due or in nonaccrual status, finished the current year at 1.10% of total loans outstanding versus 1.33% at the end of 2006. As of year-end 2007, total delinquency ratios for commercial loans, consumer loans, and real estate mortgages were 1.05%, 1.22%, and 1.04%, respectively. These measures were 1.62%, 1.33% and 1.03%, respectively, as of December 31, 2006. Delinquency levels, particularly in the 30 to 89 days category, tend to be somewhat volatile due to their measurement at a point in time, and therefore management believes that it is useful to evaluate this ratio over a longer period. The average quarter-end delinquency ratio for total loans in 2007 was 1.04%, down from an average of 1.24% in 2006.

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

The changes in the allowance for loan losses for the last five years is as follows:

Table 11: Allowance for Loan Loss Activity

(000's omitted except for ratios)	Years Ended December 31,				
	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
Allowance for loan losses at beginning of period	\$ 36,313	\$ 32,581	\$ 31,778	\$ 29,095	\$ 26,331
<i>Charge-offs:</i>					
Business lending	1,088	3,787	2,639	3,621	5,521
Consumer mortgage	387	344	522	535	239
Consumer installment	4,965	5,902	8,071	7,624	7,351
Total charge-offs	6,440	10,033	11,232	11,780	13,111
<i>Recoveries:</i>					
Business lending	844	930	730	871	417
Consumer mortgage	86	107	142	48	78
Consumer installment	2,873	2,925	2,629	2,437	2,353
Total recoveries	3,803	3,962	3,501	3,356	2,848
Net charge-offs	2,637	6,071	7,731	8,424	10,263
Provision for loan losses	2,004	6,585	8,534	8,750	11,195
Allowance on acquired loans ⁽¹⁾	747	3,218	0	2,357	1,832
Allowance for loan losses at end of period	\$ 36,427	\$ 36,313	\$ 32,581	\$ 31,778	\$ 29,095
Amount of loans outstanding at end of period	\$ 2,821,055	\$ 2,701,558	\$ 2,411,769	\$ 2,358,420	\$ 2,128,446
Daily average amount of loans (net of unearned discount)	2,743,804	2,514,173	2,374,832	2,264,791	1,885,541
Net charge-offs / average loans outstanding	0.10%	0.24%	0.33%	0.37%	0.54%

(1) This reserve addition is attributable to loans acquired from TLNB in 2007, Elmira and ONB in 2006, First Heritage Bank in 2004, and Peoples Bankcorp Inc. and Grange National Banc Corp in 2003.

As displayed in Table 11 above, total net charge-offs in 2007 were \$2.6 million, down \$3.4 million from the prior year, principally due to significantly improved results in the business lending and consumer installment portfolios, partially offset by a slight increase in consumer mortgage. Net charge-offs in 2006 were \$1.7 million below 2005's level, benefiting from improved results in the consumer installment portfolio, offset by increased net charge-offs in the business-lending portfolio. A period of economic weakness in our markets from late 2000 through early 2003 impacted the net charge-off levels in 2003, with the greatest impact being realized in the business loan and consumer installment segments.

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

Due to the significant increases in average loan balances over time due to acquisition and organic growth, management believes that net charge-offs as a percent of average loans ("net charge-off ratio") offers a more meaningful representation of asset quality trends. The net charge-off ratio for 2007 was down 14 basis points from 2006, to 0.10%. This year's ratio benefited from improved gross charge-off and recovery performance. Gross charge-offs as a percentage of average loans dropped 17 basis points to 0.23% in 2007. Enhanced recovery efforts were evidenced by recoveries of \$3.8 million, representing 46% of average gross charge-offs for the latest two years, compared to 37% in 2006.

Business loan net charge-offs decreased in 2007, totaling \$0.2 million or 0.03% of average business loans outstanding versus \$2.9 million or 0.33% in 2006. The higher net charge-off ratio in 2006 was primarily attributable to loans associated with three commercial relationships in the auto industry. Consumer installment loan net charge-offs decreased to \$2.1 million this year from \$3.0 million in 2006, reducing the 2007 net charge-off ratio 12 basis points to 0.25%, due to improved collection efforts and disciplined underwriting processes. Consumer mortgage net charge-offs increased \$0.1 million to \$0.3 million in 2007, and the net charge-off ratio remained consistent at 0.03%.

Management continually evaluates the credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio and conducts a formal review of the allowance for loan loss adequacy on a quarterly basis. The two primary components of the loan review process that are used to determine proper allowance levels are specific and general loan loss allocations.

Measurement of specific loan loss allocations is typically based on expected future cash flows, collateral values and other factors that may impact the borrower's ability to pay. Impaired loans greater than \$0.5 million are evaluated for specific loan loss allocations, as defined in SFAS No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*, as amended. Consumer mortgages and consumer installment loans are considered smaller balance homogeneous loans and are evaluated collectively. The Company considers a loan to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement or the loan is delinquent 90 days or more.

The second component of the allowance establishment process, general loan loss allocations, is composed of two calculations that are computed on the four main loan segments: business lending, consumer direct, consumer indirect and residential real estate. The first calculation determines an allowance level based on the latest three years of historical net charge-off data for each loan category (commercial loans exclude balances with specific loan loss allocations). The second calculation is qualitative and takes into consideration five major factors affecting the level of loan loss risk: portfolio risk migration patterns (internal credit quality trends); the growth of the segments of the loan portfolio; economic and business environment trends in the Company's markets (includes review of bankruptcy, unemployment, population, consumer spending and regulatory trends); industry, geographical and product concentrations in the portfolio; and the perceived effectiveness of managerial resources and lending practices and policies. These two allowance calculations are added together to determine the general loan loss allocation. The allowance levels computed from the specific and general loan loss allocation methods are combined to derive the necessary allowance for loan loss to be reflected on the Consolidated Statement of Condition.

The loan loss provision is calculated by subtracting the previous period allowance for loan loss, net of the interim period net charge-offs, from the current required allowance level. This provision is then recorded in the income statement for that period.

Members of senior management and the Loan/ALCO Committee of the Board of Directors review the adequacy of the allowance for loan loss quarterly. Management is committed to continually improving the credit assessment and risk management capabilities of the Company and has dedicated the resources necessary to ensure advancement in this critical area of operations.

The allowance for loan loss increased to \$36.4 million at year-end 2007 from \$36.3 million at the end of 2006. The \$0.1 million increase was due to the \$55 million additional loans from the TLNB acquisition as well as \$82 million of organic loan growth, partially offset by the Company's improving asset quality profile. The allowance level was also impacted by the increased proportion of low-risk consumer mortgage and home equity loans in the overall loan portfolio, as a result of both organic and acquired growth. The ratio of the allowance for loan loss to total loans decreased five basis points to 1.29% for year-end 2007 as compared to 1.34% for 2006 and 1.35% for 2005 primarily due to the improved asset quality profile. Management believes the year-end 2007 allowance for loan losses to be adequate in light of the probable losses inherent in the Company's loan portfolio.

The loan loss provision of \$2.0 million in 2007 decreased by \$4.6 million or 70% as a result of management's assessment of the probable losses in the loan portfolio, and the reduced level of charge-offs in 2007, as discussed above. The loan loss provision as a percentage of average loans decreased from 0.26% in 2006 to 0.07% this year in most part due to the improving asset quality trends. The loan loss provision was 76% of net charge-offs this year versus 108% in 2006, reflective of an improving asset quality profile, particularly in the commercial segment of the portfolio.

The following table sets forth the allocation of the allowance for loan losses by loan category as of the dates indicated, as well as the percentage of loans in each category to total loans. This allocation is based on management's assessment, as of a given point in time, of the risk characteristics of each of the component parts of the total loan portfolio and is subject to changes when the risk factors of each component part change. The allocation is not indicative of either the specific amounts of the loan categories in which future charge-offs may be taken, nor should it be taken as an indicator of future loss trends. The allocation of the allowance to each category does not restrict the use of the allowance to absorb losses in any category.

Table 12: Allowance for Loan Losses by Loan Type

(000's omitted except for ratios)	2007		2006		2005		2004		2003	
	Allowance	Loan Mix	Allowance	Loan Mix	Allowance	Loan Mix	Allowance	Loan Mix	Allowance	Loan Mix
Consumer mortgage	\$ 3,843	34.7%	\$ 3,519	33.8%	\$ 2,991	33.8%	\$ 1,810	34.0%	\$ 1,724	34.7%
Business lending	17,284	34.9%	17,700	35.5%	15,917	34.0%	16,439	35.2%	15,549	32.4%
Consumer installment	8,260	30.4%	10,258	30.7%	12,005	32.2%	11,487	30.8%	11,112	32.9%
Unallocated	7,040		4,836		1,668		2,042		710	
Total	\$ 36,427	100.0%	\$ 36,313	100.0%	\$ 32,581	100.0%	\$ 31,778	100.0%	\$ 29,095	100.0%

As demonstrated in Table 12 above and discussed previously, business lending by its nature carries higher credit risk than consumer mortgage or consumer installment loans, and as a result a disproportionate amount of the allowance for loan losses is deemed necessary for this portfolio. The unallocated allowance increased from \$4.8 million in 2006 to \$7.0 million in 2007. As in prior years, the unallocated allowance is maintained for inherent losses in the portfolio not reflected in the historical loss ratios, model imprecision and for the acquired loan portfolios, including TLNB, ONB and Elmira.

Funding Sources

The Company utilizes a variety of funding sources to support the earning asset base as well as to achieve targeted growth objectives. Overall funding is comprised of three primary sources that possess a variety of maturity, stability, and price characteristics: deposits of individuals, partnerships and corporations (IPC deposits); collateralized municipal deposits (public funds); and external borrowings.

The average daily amount of deposits and the average rate paid on each of the following deposit categories are summarized below for the years indicated:

Table 13: Average Deposits

(000's omitted, except rates)	2007		2006		2005	
	Average Balance	Average Rate Paid	Average Balance	Average Rate Paid	Average Balance	Average Rate Paid
Noninterest checking deposits	\$ 566,981	0.00%	\$ 567,500	0.00%	\$ 585,913	0.00%
Interest checking deposits	440,855	0.58%	346,618	0.44%	309,617	0.25%
Regular savings deposits	457,681	0.83%	465,058	0.76%	511,907	0.67%
Money market deposits	329,911	2.20%	337,560	2.00%	354,294	1.34%
Time deposits	1,457,768	4.39%	1,348,167	3.69%	1,214,719	2.78%
Total deposits	\$ 3,253,196	2.39%	\$ 3,064,903	2.01%	\$ 2,976,450	1.44%

As displayed in Table 13 above, total average deposits for 2007 equaled \$3.25 billion, up \$188.3 million or 6.1% from the prior year. Excluding the average deposits acquired from TLNB, ONB and Elmira, average deposits increased \$17.5 million or 0.6%. Average deposits in 2006 were up \$88.5 million or 3.0% from 2005. The majority of the increase was the result of deposits obtained through the Elmira and ONB acquisitions in the second and fourth quarters of 2006, respectively.

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

The Company's funding composition continues to benefit from a high level of IPC deposits, which reached an all-time high in 2007 with an average balance of \$3.04 billion, an increase of \$200.6 million or 7.1% over the comparable 2006 period. This increase was comprised of \$43.5 million from the acquisitions of TLNB, ONB and Elmira, with the remaining increase derived from organic growth. IPC deposits are frequently considered to be a bank's most attractive source of funding because they are generally stable, do not need to be collateralized, have a relatively low cost, and provide a strong customer base for which a variety of loan, deposit and other financial service-related products can be sold.

Full-year average deposits of local municipalities declined \$12.3 million or 5.4% during 2007, with the TLNB, ONB and Elmira acquisitions accounting for \$13.6 million of additional municipal deposits. Municipal deposit balances tend to be more volatile than IPC deposits because they are heavily impacted by the seasonality of tax collection and fiscal spending patterns, as well as the longer-term financial position of the government entities, which can change significantly from year to year. The Company is required to collateralize all local government deposits with marketable securities from its investment portfolio. Because of this stipulation, as well as the competitive bidding nature of this product, management considers municipal time deposit funding to be similar to external borrowings and thus prices these products on a consistent basis.

The mix of average deposits in 2007 changed slightly in comparison to 2006. The weightings of interest checking and time deposits increased from their 2006 levels, while noninterest checking deposits, savings, and money market weightings decreased. This change in deposit mix reflects new product introductions, proactive marketing and increasing yields on time deposit accounts throughout the year. The average balance for time deposit accounts increased from 44.0% of the total deposits in 2006 to 44.8% of total deposits this year. The average balance for interest checking accounts increased from 11.3% of the total deposits in 2006 to 13.6% of total deposits this year. This shift in mix, combined with higher average interest rates in all interest-bearing deposit product categories caused the cost of interest bearing deposits to rise to 2.89% in 2007, as compared to 2.46% in 2006 and 1.79% in 2005.

The remaining maturities of time deposits in amounts of \$100,000 or more outstanding as of December 31 are as follows:

Table 14: Time Deposit > \$100,000 Maturities

(000's omitted)	2007	2006
Less than three months	\$ 84,586	\$ 92,930
Three months to six months	53,741	40,358
Six months to one year	73,534	77,581
Over one year	69,155	54,915
Total	\$ 281,016	\$ 265,784

External borrowings are defined as funding sources available on a national market basis, generally requiring some form of collateralization. Borrowing sources for the Company include the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York and Federal Reserve Bank of New York, as well as access to the repurchase market through established relationships with primary market security dealers. The Company also had approximately \$128 million in fixed and floating-rate subordinated debt outstanding at the end of 2007 that is held by unconsolidated subsidiary trusts. In the first quarter of 2008, the Company elected to redeem early \$25 million of variable-rate trust preferred securities. The Company also elected to redeem early \$30 million of fixed-rate trust preferred securities in January 2007. In December 2006, the Company completed a sale of \$75 million of trust preferred securities. The securities mature on December 15, 2036 at an annual rate equal to the three-month LIBOR rate plus 1.65%. The Company used the net proceeds of the offering for general corporate purposes including the early call of the \$30 million of fixed-rate trust preferred securities. At the time of the offering, the Company also entered into an interest rate swap agreement to convert the variable rate trust preferred securities into a fixed rate obligation for a term of five years at a fixed rate of 6.43%.

External borrowings averaged \$821 million or 20% of total funding sources for all of 2007 as compared to \$672 million or 18% of total funding sources for 2006. The increase in this ratio was primarily attributable to both investment leveraging activities and the funding of acquisitions with cash over the past two years. As shown in Table 15 on page 33, at year-end 2007, \$486 million or 52% of external borrowings had remaining terms of one year or less, up considerably from \$186 million or 23% at December 31, 2006 and \$191 million or 29% at the end of 2005. This change in external funding mix is the result of a \$200 million short-term leverage strategy entered into in the third quarter of 2007 funded with certain callable debt obligations classified as short-term.

As displayed in Table 4 on page 19, the overall mix of funding has shifted in 2007. The percentage of funding derived from deposits decreased to 80% in 2007 from 82% in 2006 and 80% in 2005. FHLB borrowings increased during 2007 as the Company took advantage of improving spreads between short-term convertible advances and certain short-term investment opportunities. This strategy not only produced positive net interest income, but it also served to demonstrate the Company's ability to freely access liquidity sources despite tightened credit market conditions. At December 31, 2007, borrowings are up \$123.8 million from December 31, 2006.

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

The following table summarizes the outstanding balance of short-term borrowings of the Company as of December 31:

Table 15: Short-term Borrowings

(000's omitted, except rates)	2007	2006	2005
Federal funds purchased	\$ 27,285	\$ 0	\$ 36,300
Term borrowings at banks			
90 days or less	17,972	20,300	55,000
Over 90 days	415,000	135,000	100,000
Commercial loans sold with recourse	8	143	190
Capital lease obligation	37	0	0
Subordinated debt held by unconsolidated subsidiary trusts	25,774	30,928	0
Balance at end of period	\$ 486,076	\$ 186,371	\$ 191,490
Daily average during the year	\$ 257,874	\$ 144,043	\$ 366,775
Maximum month-end balance	\$ 486,076	\$ 192,000	\$ 552,500
Weighted-average rate during the year	4.13%	3.83%	3.07%
Weighted-average year-end rate	4.35%	4.90%	3.67%

The following table shows the maturities of various contractual obligations as of December 31, 2007:

Table 16: Maturities of Contractual Obligations

(000's omitted)	Maturing Within One Year Or Less	Maturing After One Year but Within Three Years	Maturing After Three Years but Within Five Years	Maturing After Five Years	Total
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	\$ 460,257	\$ 32,257	\$ 0	\$ 308,964	\$ 801,478
Subordinated debt held by unconsolidated subsidiary trusts	25,774	0	0	101,950	127,724
Commercial loans sold with recourse	8	18	14	12	52
Capital lease obligation	37	37	0	0	74
Operating leases	2,864	4,529	3,021	3,394	13,808
Total	\$ 488,940	\$ 36,841	\$ 3,035	\$ 414,320	\$ 943,136

Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments consist primarily of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to customers, generally having fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses that may require payment of a fee. These commitments consist principally of unused commercial and consumer credit lines. Standby letters of credit generally are contingent upon the failure of the customer to perform according to the terms of an underlying contract with a third party. The credit risks associated with commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit are essentially the same as that involved with extending loans to customers and are subject to normal credit policies. Collateral may be obtained based on management's assessment of the customer's creditworthiness. The fair

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

value of these commitments is immaterial for disclosure in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others".

The contract amount of these off-balance sheet financial instruments as of December 31 is as follows:

Table 17: Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

(000's omitted)	2007	2006
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 482,517	\$ 443,367
Standby letters of credit	10,121	10,082
Total	\$ 492,638	\$ 453,449

Investments

The objective of the Company's investment portfolio is to hold low-risk, high-quality earning assets that provide favorable returns and provide another effective tool to actively manage its asset/liability position to maximize future net interest income opportunities. This must be accomplished within the following constraints: (a) implementing certain interest rate risk management strategies which achieve a relatively stable level of net interest income; (b) providing both the regulatory and operational liquidity necessary to conduct day-to-day business activities; (c) considering investment risk-weights as determined by the regulatory risk-based capital guidelines; and (d) generating a favorable return without undue compromise of the other requirements.

As displayed in Table 18 below, the book value of the Company's investment portfolio increased \$153.2 million or 12.5% during the year to \$1.375 billion. In the second half of 2007, the Company took advantage of certain investment opportunities to increase the portfolio through a short-term leverage strategy. This strategy produced positive net interest income and served to demonstrate the Company's ability to freely access liquidity sources despite tightened credit market conditions. As of December 31, 2007, the expected life-to-maturity of the portfolio was 4.9 years versus 4.7 years as of December 31, 2006. Average investment balances (book value basis) for 2007 increased \$91.8 million or 7.0% versus the prior year. Investment interest income in 2007 was \$4.7 million or 5.9% higher than the prior year as a result of the higher average balances in the portfolio, partially offset by a six basis point decrease in the average investment yield from 6.04% to 5.98% due in part to having a higher proportion of cash equivalent securities that carried a comparatively lower yield than the overall investment portfolio.

The Company executed a number of sales strategies during 2005 with a focus on maximizing the total return performance of the portfolio. During 2005, sales of U.S. Treasury and Agency securities, AAA rated obligations of state and political subdivisions, and investment grade corporate bonds were \$173.2 million, \$46.1 million and \$24.4 million, respectively. The corresponding pre-tax gains on investment securities recognized on these sales were \$7.0 million, \$2.2 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. All proceeds from these sales were used to repay short-term borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York. During 2006, the investment portfolio continued to decline due to the contractual runoff of securities. Cash flows from the maturing securities were used to pay down short-term borrowings and the excess were invested in short-term interest bearing cash equivalents, as the long-term investments alternatives were not attractive in the then flat yield curve environment.

The investment portfolio has limited credit risk due to the composition continuing to heavily favor U.S. Agency debentures, U.S. Agency mortgage-backed pass-throughs, U.S. Agency CMOs and municipal bonds. The U. S. Agency debentures, U.S. Agency mortgage-backed pass-throughs and U.S. Agency CMOs are all AAA-rated (highest possible rating). The majority of the municipal bonds are AAA-rated. The portfolio does not include any private label mortgage backed securities (MBOs) or collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs).

Ninety percent of the investment portfolio was classified as available-for-sale at year-end 2007 versus 88% at the end of 2006. The net pre-tax market value gain over book value for the available-for-sale portfolio as of December 31, 2007 was \$17.2 million, up \$9.4 million from one year earlier. This increase is indicative of the interest rate movements during the respective time periods and the changes in the size and composition of the portfolio.

The following table sets forth the amortized cost and market value for the Company's investment securities portfolio:

Table 18: Investment Securities

(000's omitted)	2007		2006		2005	
	Amortized Cost/Book Value	Fair Value	Amortized Cost/Book Value	Fair Value	Amortized Cost/Book Value	Fair Value
<i>Held-to-Maturity Portfolio:</i>						
U.S. Treasury and agency securities	\$ 127,055	\$ 127,382	\$ 127,200	\$ 124,020	\$ 127,345	\$ 124,326
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	6,207	6,289	7,242	7,257	5,709	5,735
Other securities	3,988	3,988	11,417	11,417	9,451	9,451
Total held-to-maturity portfolio	137,250	137,659	145,859	142,694	142,505	139,512
<i>Available-for-Sale Portfolio:</i>						
U.S. Treasury and agency securities	432,832	438,526	372,706	370,787	420,062	420,808
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	532,431	543,963	502,677	514,647	519,661	532,708
Corporate debt securities	40,457	40,270	35,603	35,080	35,744	35,559
Collateralized mortgage obligations	34,451	34,512	43,768	43,107	78,710	78,468
Asset-backed securities	73,089	72,300	0	0	0	0
Mortgage-backed securities	72,655	73,525	76,266	75,181	53,019	53,363
Subtotal	1,185,915	1,203,096	1,031,020	1,038,802	1,107,196	1,120,906
Equity securities ⁽¹⁾	40,944	40,944	34,028	34,028	29,841	29,841
Federal Reserve Bank common stock	10,582	10,582	10,582	10,582	9,865	9,865
Total available-for-sale portfolio	1,237,441	1,254,622	1,075,630	1,083,412	1,146,902	1,160,612
Net unrealized gain on available-for-sale portfolio	17,181	0	7,782	0	13,710	0
Total	\$ 1,391,872	\$ 1,392,281	\$ 1,229,271	\$ 1,226,106	\$ 1,303,117	\$ 1,300,124

⁽¹⁾Includes \$39,770, \$32,717 and \$28,791 of FHLB common stock at December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth as of December 31, 2007, the maturities of investment securities and the weighted-average yields of such securities, which have been calculated on the cost basis, weighted for scheduled maturity of each security:

Table 19: Maturities of Investment Securities

(000's omitted, except rates)	Maturing Within One Year or Less	Maturing After One Year but Within Five Years	Maturing After Five Years but Within Ten Years	Maturing After Ten Years	Total Amortized Cost/Book Value
<i>Held-to-Maturity Portfolio:</i>					
U.S. Treasury and agency securities	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 112,055	\$ 15,000	\$ 127,055
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	4,595	1,536	76	0	6,207
Other securities	816	42	34	3,096	3,988
Total held-to-maturity portfolio	\$ 5,411	\$ 1,578	\$ 112,165	\$ 18,096	\$ 137,250
Weighted-average yield ⁽¹⁾	5.31%	5.15%	5.00%	5.42%	5.07%
<i>Available-for-Sale Portfolio:</i>					
U.S. Treasury and agency securities	\$ 162,655	\$ 91,258	\$ 136,117	\$ 42,802	\$ 432,832
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	6,422	134,472	224,266	167,271	532,431
Corporate debt securities	0	25,477	14,980	0	40,457
Collateralized mortgage obligations ⁽²⁾	362	0	29,168	4,921	34,451
Asset-backed securities	0	0	0	73,089	73,089
Mortgage-backed securities ⁽²⁾	40	430	6,520	65,665	72,655
Total available-for-sale portfolio	\$ 169,479	\$ 251,637	\$ 411,051	\$ 353,748	\$ 1,185,915
Weighted-average yield ⁽¹⁾	4.75%	4.65%	4.71%	5.34%	4.89%

⁽¹⁾ Weighted-average yields are an arithmetic computation of accrued income divided by average balance; they may differ from the yield to maturity, which considers the time value of money.

⁽²⁾ Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations are listed based on the contractual maturity. Actual maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay certain obligations with or without penalties.

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The Company's financial statements have been prepared in terms of historical dollars, without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. Unlike most industrial companies, virtually all of the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a more significant impact on a financial institution's performance than the effect of general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or in the same magnitude as the prices of goods and services. Notwithstanding this, inflation can directly affect the value of loan collateral, in particular real estate.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See "*New Accounting Pronouncements*" Section of Note A of the notes to the consolidated financial statements on page 51 for additional accounting pronouncements.

Forward-Looking Statements

This document contains comments or information that constitute forward-looking statements (within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995), which involve significant risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Moreover, the Company's plans, objectives and intentions are subject to change based on various factors (some of which are beyond the Company's control). Factors that could cause actual results to differ from those discussed in the forward-looking statements include: (1) risks related to credit quality, interest rate sensitivity and liquidity; (2) the strength of the U.S. economy in general and the strength of the local economies where the Company conducts its business; (3) the effect of, and changes in, monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including interest rate policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System; (4) inflation, interest rate, market and monetary fluctuations; (5) the timely development of new products and services and customer perception of the overall value thereof (including features, pricing and quality) compared to competing products and services; (6) changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits; (7) technological changes; (8) any acquisitions or mergers that might be considered or consummated by the Company and the costs and factors associated therewith; (9) the ability to maintain and increase market share and control expenses; (10) the effect of changes in laws and regulations (including laws and regulations concerning taxes, banking, securities and insurance) and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States; (11) changes in the Company's organization, compensation and benefit plans and in the availability of, and compensation levels for, employees in its geographic markets; (12) the costs and effects of litigation and of any adverse outcome in such litigation; (13) other risk factors outlined in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission from time to time; and (14) the success of the Company at managing the risks of the foregoing.

The foregoing list of important factors is not exclusive. Such forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made and the Company does not undertake any obligation to update any forward-looking statement, whether written or oral, to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made. If the Company does update or correct one or more forward-looking statements, investors and others should not conclude that the Company will make additional updates or corrections with respect thereto or with respect to other forward-looking statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss in a financial instrument arising from adverse changes in market rates, prices or credit risk. Credit risk associated with the Company's loan portfolio has been previously discussed in the asset quality section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations starting on page 28. Although more than a third of the securities portfolio at year-end 2007 was invested in municipal bonds, management believes that the tax risk of the Company's municipal investments associated with potential future changes in statutory, judicial and regulatory actions is minimal. The Company also believes that it has an insignificant amount of credit risk in its investment portfolio because essentially all of the fixed-income securities in the portfolio are AAA-rated (highest possible rating). The Company does not have any material foreign currency exchange rate risk exposure. Therefore, almost all the market risk in the investment portfolio is related to interest rates.

The ongoing monitoring and management of both interest rate risk and liquidity, in the short and long term time horizons is an important component of the Company's asset/liability management process, which is governed by limits established in the policies reviewed and approved annually by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors delegates responsibility for carrying out the policies to the Asset/Liability Committee ("ALCO"), which meets each month. The committee is made up of the Company's senior management as well as regional and line-of-business managers who oversee specific earning asset classes and various funding sources.

Asset/Liability Management

The primary objective of the Company's asset/liability management process is to maximize earnings and return on capital within acceptable levels of risk. As the Company does not believe it is possible to reliably predict future interest rate movements, it has maintained an appropriate process and set of measurement tools that enable it to identify and quantify sources of interest rate risk in varying rate environments. The primary tools used by the Company in managing interest rate risk are the income simulation model and economic value of equity modeling.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk ("IRR") can result from: the timing differences in the maturity/repricing of an institution's assets, liabilities, and off-balance sheet contracts; the effect of embedded options, such as loan prepayments, interest rate caps/floors, and deposit withdrawals; and differences in the behavior of lending and funding rates, sometimes referred to as basis risk. An example of basis risk would occur if floating rate assets and liabilities, with otherwise identical repricing characteristics, were based on market indexes that were imperfectly correlated.

Given the potential types and differing related characteristics of IRR, it is important that the Company maintain an appropriate process and set of measurement tools that enable it to identify and quantify its primary sources of IRR. The Company also recognizes that effective management of IRR includes an understanding of when potential adverse changes in interest rates will flow through the income statement. Accordingly, the Company will manage its position so that it monitors its exposure to net interest income over both a one year planning horizon and a longer-term strategic horizon.

It is the Company's objective to manage its exposure to interest rate risk, bearing in mind that it will always be in the business of taking on rate risk and that rate risk immunization is not possible. Also, it is recognized that as exposure to interest rate risk is reduced, so too may net interest margin be reduced.

Income Simulation

Income simulation is tested on a wide variety of balance sheet and treasury yield curve scenarios. The simulation projects changes in net interest income caused by the effect of changes in interest rates. The model requires management to make assumptions about how the balance sheet is likely to evolve through time in different interest rate environments. Loan and deposit growth rate assumptions are derived from management's outlook, as are the assumptions used for new loan yields and deposit rates. Loan prepayment speeds are based on a combination of current industry averages and internal historical prepayments. Balance sheet and yield curve assumptions are analyzed and reviewed by the ALCO Committee regularly.

The following table reflects the Company's one-year net interest income sensitivity, using December 31, 2007 asset and liability levels as a starting point.

The prime rate and federal funds rates are assumed to move up 200 basis points and down 100 basis points over a 12-month period while the treasury curve shifts to spreads over federal funds that are more consistent with historical norms. Deposit rates are assumed to move in a manner

that reflects the historical relationship between deposit rate movement and changes in the federal funds rate, generally reflecting 10%-65% of the movement of the federal funds rate.

Cash flows are based on contractual maturity, optionality and amortization schedules along with applicable prepayments derived from internal historical data and external sources.

Net Interest Income Sensitivity Model

Changes in Interest Rates	Calculated increase (decrease) in Projected Net Interest Income at December 31	
	2007	2006
+200 basis points	\$ 1,114,000	(\$ 668,000)
-100 basis points	(\$ 853,000)	(\$ 1,155,000)

In the 2007 model, the rising rate environment reflects an increase in net interest income (“NII”) from a flat rate environment while NII decreases if rates were to fall. The change in NII in both environments is largely due to assets repricing faster than corresponding liabilities. Over a longer time period the growth in NII improves significantly in a rising rate environment as lower yielding assets mature and are replaced at higher rates.

In the 2006 model, both the rising and falling rate environments reflect a reduction in NII from a flat rate environment. Initially, the rising rate environment reflects a decrease in NII from a flat rate environment largely due to short-term capital market borrowings repricing as rates rise. Over a longer time period the growth in NII improves significantly in a rising rate environment as lower yielding assets mature and are replaced at higher rates. In a falling rate environment, NII decreases as a result of assets repricing faster than liabilities.

The analysis does not represent a Company forecast and should not be relied upon as being indicative of expected operating results. These hypothetical estimates are based upon numerous assumptions including: the nature and timing of interest rate levels (including yield curve shape); prepayments on loans and securities; deposit decay rates; pricing decisions on loans and deposits; reinvestment/replacement of asset and liability cash flows; and other factors. While the assumptions are developed based upon current economic and local market conditions, the Company cannot make any assurances as to the predictive nature of these assumptions, including how customer preferences or competitor influences might change. Furthermore, the sensitivity analysis does not reflect actions that ALCO might take in responding to or anticipating changes in interest rates.

Management uses a “value of equity” model to supplement the modeling technique described above. Those supplemental analyses are based on discounted cash flows associated with on and off-balance sheet financial instruments. Such analyses are modeled to reflect changes in interest rates and shifts in the maturity curve of interest rates and provide management with a long-term interest rate risk metric.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The following consolidated financial statements and independent auditor's reports of Community Bank System, Inc. are contained on pages 41 through 71 of this item.

- Consolidated Statements of Condition,
December 31, 2007 and 2006
- Consolidated Statements of Income,
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005
- Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity,
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005
- Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income,
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005
- Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows,
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005
- Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements,
December 31, 2007
- Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting
- Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Quarterly Selected Data (Unaudited) for 2007 and 2006 are contained on page 74.

COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION
(In Thousands, Except Share Data)

	December 31,	
	2007	2006
Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 130,823	\$ 232,032
Available-for-sale investment securities	1,254,622	1,083,412
Held-to-maturity investment securities	137,250	145,859
Total investment securities (fair value of \$1,392,281 and \$1,226,106, respectively)	1,391,872	1,229,271
Loans	2,821,055	2,701,558
Allowance for loan losses	(36,427)	(36,313)
Net loans	2,784,628	2,665,245
Core deposit intangibles, net	19,765	24,665
Goodwill	234,449	220,290
Other intangibles, net	2,002	1,181
Intangible assets, net	256,216	246,136
Premises and equipment, net	69,685	66,199
Accrued interest receivable	25,531	26,797
Other assets	38,747	32,117
Total assets	\$ 4,697,502	\$ 4,497,797
Liabilities:		
Noninterest bearing deposits	\$ 584,921	\$ 578,951
Interest-bearing deposits	2,643,543	2,589,348
Total deposits	3,228,464	3,168,299
Borrowings	801,604	647,481
Subordinated debt held by unconsolidated subsidiary trusts	127,724	158,014
Accrued interest and other liabilities	60,926	62,475
Total liabilities	4,218,718	4,036,269

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM INC - Form 10-K

Commitments and contingencies (See Note N)

Shareholders' equity:

Preferred stock \$1.00 par value, 500,000 shares authorized, 0 shares issued	0	0
Common stock, \$1.00 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized; 32,999,544 and 32,773,320 shares issued in 2007 and 2006, respectively	33,000	32,773
Additional paid-in capital	208,429	203,197
Retained earnings	310,281	291,871
Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss)	702	(4,697)
Treasury stock, at cost (3,364,811 and 2,753,161 shares, respectively)	(73,628)	(61,616)
Total shareholders' equity	478,784	461,528
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 4,697,502	\$ 4,497,797

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(In Thousands, Except Per-Share Data)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Interest income:			
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 186,784	\$ 167,113	\$ 147,608
Interest and dividends on taxable investments	48,032	41,869	48,543
Interest and dividends on nontaxable investments	21,421	22,919	23,293
Total interest income	256,237	231,901	219,444
Interest expense:			
Interest on deposits	77,682	61,544	42,752
Interest on short-term borrowings	10,644	5,513	11,249
Interest on subordinated debt held by unconsolidated subsidiary trusts	9,936	8,022	6,676
Interest on long-term borrowings	22,001	22,013	14,895
Total interest expense	120,263	97,092	75,572
Net interest income	135,974	134,809	143,872
Less: provision for			