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## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## ROCKY BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS:
CURRENT ASSETS:
Cash and cash equivalents
Trade receivables - net
Other receivables
Inventories
Income tax receivable
Deferred income taxes
Prepaid expenses
Total current assets
FIXED ASSETS - net
IDENTIFIED INTANGIBLES
OTHER ASSETS
TOTAL ASSETS

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:
CURRENT LIABILITIES:
Accounts payable
Current maturities - long term debt
Accrued expenses:
Salaries and wages $\quad 765,143 \quad 2,310,906 \quad 961,408$
Taxes - other
Accrued freight
Commissions
Current portion of pension funding
Other
Total current liabilities

| March 31, | December 31, | March 31, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2012 | 2011 | 2011 |
| (Unaudited) |  | (Unaudited) |


| $\$ 2,424,864$ | $\$ 3,650,291$ | $\$ 2,230,661$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $38,587,112$ | $45,008,793$ | $37,459,868$ |
| 783,349 | 946,686 | $1,010,981$ |
| $64,113,346$ | $65,019,048$ | $61,654,840$ |
| 947,575 | $1,164,664$ | 260,555 |
| $1,154,040$ | $1,154,040$ | $1,218,101$ |
| $2,842,105$ | $2,561,941$ | $3,033,002$ |
| $110,852,391$ | $119,505,463$ | $106,868,008$ |
|  |  |  |
| $24,572,535$ | $23,557,102$ | $22,631,554$ |
| $30,498,545$ | $30,493,107$ | $30,512,025$ |
| 468,692 | 510,293 | $1,548,308$ |
| $\$ 166,392,163$ | $\$ 174,065,965$ | $\$ 161,559,895$ |


| \$ 12,643,640 | \$5,696,363 | \$ 10,368,817 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  | 463,749 |
| 765,143 | 2,310,906 | 961,408 |
| 481,847 | 609,992 | 554,680 |
| 463,325 | 633,254 | 729,659 |
| 490,331 | 709,201 | 596,293 |
| - | - | 680,000 |
| 1,056,597 | 970,806 | 1,499,918 |
| 15,900,883 | 10,930,522 | 15,854,524 |
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| LONG TERM DEBT - less current maturities | $21,512,650$ | $35,000,000$ | $27,300,087$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEFERRED INCOME TAXES | $10,987,395$ | $10,987,395$ | $9,374,685$ |
| DEFERRED PENSION LIABILITY | - | - | $2,738,374$ |
| DEFERRED LIABILITIES | 488,437 | 488,437 | 179,560 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | $48,889,365$ | $57,406,354$ | $55,447,230$ |

## COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:
Common stock, no par value;
25,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding March 31, 2012
$-7,503,568$; December 31, 2011-7,489,995 and March 31, 2011 - 69,694,770 69,572,270 69,546,028
7,489,995

Accumulated other comprehensive loss
Retained earnings
-

Total shareholders' equity
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
47,808,028 47,087,341 39,322,062
$117,502,798 \quad 116,659,611 \quad 106,112,665$
\$166,392,163 \$174,065,965 \$161,559,895

See notes to the interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## ROCKY BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(UNAUDITED)
$\left.\begin{array}{lll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Three Months Ended } \\ \text { March 31, }\end{array} \\ \text { NET SALES } & 2012 & 2011 \\ & \$ 53,325,918 & \$ 52,306,275 \\ \text { COST OF GOODS SOLD } & 35,303,837 & 33,040,330 \\ & 18,022,081 & 19,265,945 \\ \text { GROSS MARGIN } & & \\ \text { SELLING, GENERAL AND } & 16,742,058 & 18,229,351 \\ \text { ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES } & 1,280,023 & 1,036,594 \\ \text { INCOME FROM OPERATIONS } & & \\ & (144,347 & (215,532\end{array}\right)$
service and net loss
TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
\$720,687 \$615,180

See notes to the interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

## ROCKY BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (UNAUDITED)

| Three Months Ended <br> March 31, <br> 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | :--- |
| \$720,687 | $\$ 541,616$ |
|  |  |
| $1,344,368$ | $1,454,857$ |
| - | 105,889 |
| $(16,844$ | 36,526 |
| 122,500 | 122,500 |
|  |  |
| $6,585,018$ | $10,034,061$ |
| 905,702 | $(2,802,284)$ |
| $(63,075$ | $(1,499,705)$ |
| 41,601 | $(325,596$ |
| $6,631,539$ | $1,416,419$ |
| $(1,976,916)$ | $(2,172,949)$ |
| $14,294,580$ | $6,911,334$ |

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { Purchase of fixed assets } & (2,038,016) & (2,055,667) \\ \text { Investment in trademarks and patents } & (17,782 & ) & (28,706\end{array}\right)$

See notes to the interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.
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# ROCKY BRANDS, INC. 

AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE INTERIM UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE THREE-MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2012 AND 2011

## 1.INTERIM FINANCIAL REPORTING

In the opinion of management, the accompanying interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments that are necessary for a fair presentation of the financial results. All such adjustments reflected in the unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements are considered to be of a normal and recurring nature. The results of the operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the whole year. Accordingly, these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Certain amounts from prior year have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

## 2.TRADE RECEIVABLES

Trade receivables are presented net of the related allowance for uncollectible accounts of approximately $\$ 466,000$, $\$ 556,000$ and $\$ 847,000$ at March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011, respectively. The allowance for uncollectible accounts is calculated based on the relative age and size of trade receivable balances. Our credit policy generally provides that trade receivables will be deemed uncollectible and written-off once we have pursued all reasonable efforts to collect on the account.

## 3.INVENTORIES

Inventories are comprised of the following:

|  | $2012$ <br> (Unaudited) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 31, \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | $2011$ <br> (Unaudited) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw materials | \$ 10,998,966 | \$8,303,064 | \$ 10,759,817 |
| Work-in-process | 628,803 | 476,991 | 847,197 |
| Finished goods | 52,601,357 | 56,342,273 | 50,192,066 |
| Reserve for obsolescence or lower of cost or market | (115,780 ) | (103,280 ) | (144,240 ) |
| Total | \$64,113,346 | \$65,019,048 | \$61,654,840 |
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## 4.SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Supplemental cash flow information is as follows:

|  | (Unaudited) <br> Three Months Ended <br> March 31, <br> 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## 5.PER SHARE INFORMATION

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is computed by dividing net income applicable to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. The diluted earnings per share computation includes common share equivalents, when dilutive. There are no adjustments to net income necessary in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share.

A reconciliation of the shares used in the basic and diluted income per common share computation for the three-months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

|  | (Unaudited) <br> Three Months Ended <br> March 31, <br> 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weighted average <br> shares outstanding | $7,503,270$ | $7,476,448$ |
| Dilutive stock options | - | 2,163 |
| Dilutive weighted average <br> shares outstanding | $7,503,270$ | $7,478,611$ |

Anti-dilutive stock options/weighted average shares outstanding 13,626 146,389
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## 6. RECENT FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

## Recently adopted accounting standards

In April 2011, the Financial Accounting and Standards Board (FASB) issued ASU No. 2011-02, Receivables (Topic 310): A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring. The FASB believes the guidance in this ASU will improve financial reporting by creating greater consistency in the way GAAP is applied for various types of debt restructurings. The ASU clarifies which loan modifications constitute troubled debt restructurings. It is intended to assist creditors in determining whether a modification of the terms of a receivable meets the criteria to be considered a troubled debt restructuring, both for purposes of recording an impairment loss and for disclosure of troubled debt restructurings. In evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring, a creditor must separately conclude that both of the following exist: (a) the restructuring constitutes a concession; and (b) the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The amendments to FASB Accounting Standards Codification ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ (Codification) Topic 310, Receivables, clarify the guidance on a creditor's evaluation of whether it has granted a concession and whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The guidance was effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and applies retrospectively to restructurings occurring on or after the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. This ASU represents the converged guidance of the FASB and the IASB (the Boards) on fair value measurement. The collective efforts of the Boards and their staffs, reflected in ASU 2011-04, have resulted in common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements, including a consistent meaning of the term "fair value." The Boards have concluded the common requirements will result in greater comparability of fair value measurements presented and disclosed in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The amendments in this ASU are to be applied prospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other - (Topic 350) Testing Goodwill for Impairment. The amendments in this update will allow an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. Under these amendments, an entity would not be required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines, based on a qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. The amendments include a number of events and circumstances for an entity to consider in conducting the qualitative assessment. The amendments are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.
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In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. Under the amendments to Topic 220, an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. This update eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The amendments in this update do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. The amendments in this update should be applied retrospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted, because compliance with the amendments is already permitted. The amendments do not require any transition disclosures. The adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

## Accounting standards not yet adopted

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-12, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220):
Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05. The amendments in this update supersede certain pending paragraphs in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05,Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income, to effectively defer only those changes in Update 2011-05 that relate to the presentation of reclassification adjustments out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The amendments will be temporary to allow the FASB time to redeliberate the presentation requirements for reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income for annual and interim financial statements for public, private, and non-profit entities.. We are currently assessing the potential impact of the adoption of this amendment on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.
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## 7.INCOME TAXES

We file income tax returns in the U.S. Federal jurisdiction and various state and foreign jurisdictions. We are no longer subject to U.S. Federal tax examinations for years before 2008. State jurisdictions that remain subject to examination range from 2007 to 2010. Foreign jurisdiction tax returns that remain subject to examination range from 2005 to 2010 for Canada and from 2005 to 2010 for Puerto Rico. We do not believe there will be any material changes in our unrecognized tax positions over the next 12 months.

Our policy is to recognize interest and penalties accrued on any unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense. As of March 31, 2012, accrued interest or penalties were not material, and no such expenses were recognized during the quarter. We provided for income taxes at an estimated effective tax rate of $36 \%$ and $35 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The estimated effective tax rate for 2012 is higher than the estimated rate for 2011 as we made additional permanent capital investment in our operations in the Dominican Republic in 2011, which reduced the amount of dividends that we needed to provide for U.S. income taxes. We do not expect to make additional permanent capital investment in 2012.

## 8.INTANGIBLE ASSETS

A schedule of intangible assets is as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{llll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Gross } \\ \text { March 31, } 2012 \text { (unaudited) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Accumulated } \\ \text { Amount }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Carrying } \\ \text { Amortization }\end{array} \\ \text { Amount }\end{array}\right\}$

|  | Gross <br> Amount | Accumulated <br> Amortization | Carrying <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Trademarks: |  |  |  |


|  | Gross | Accumulated <br> Amortization | Carrying <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| March 31, 2011 (unaudited) | Amount |  | $\$ 27,243,578$ |
| Trademarks: | $\$ 27,243,578$ | $\$-$ | $2,900,000$ |
| $\quad$ Wholesale | $2,900,000$ | - | 368,447 |
| $\quad$ Retail | $2,443,398$ | $2,074,951$ | 3,000 |
| Patents | $1,000,000$ | $1,000,000$ | - |
| Customer relationships | Total Identified Intangibles | $\$ 33,586,976$ | $\$ 3,074,951$ |$\$ 30,512,025$

Amortization
expense for
intangible
assets was
\$12,343 and
\$12,165 for
the three
months ended
March 31,
2012 and
2011,
respectively.
The weighted
average
amortization
period for
patents is 15
years
Estimate of
Aggregate
Amortization
Expense for the years ending
December
31,:

2013 \$48,508
2014 47,735
2015 47,160
2016 44,386
2017 39,818
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## 9.CAPITAL STOCK

On May 11, 2004, our shareholders approved the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. The Plan includes 750,000 of our common shares that may be granted for stock options and restricted stock awards. As of March 31, 2012, we were authorized to issue approximately 334,250 shares under our existing plans.

The Plan generally provides for grants with the exercise price equal to fair value on the date of grant, graduated vesting periods of up to five years, and lives not exceeding ten years. The following summarizes stock option transactions from January 1, 2012 through March 31, 2012:

|  | Shares | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Options outstanding at January 1, 2012 | 100,000 | $\$ 21.31$ |
| Issued | - | - |
| Exercised | - | - |
| Forfeited | $(89,000)$ | $\$ 20.93$ |
| Options outstanding at March 31, 2012 | 11,000 | $\$ 24.36$ |
|  |  |  |
| Options exercisable at: | 100,000 | $\$ 21.31$ |
| January 1, 2012 | 11,000 | $\$ 24.36$ |
| March 31, 2012 | - |  |
| Unvested options at March 31, 2012 | - |  |

During the three-month period ended March 31, 2012, we issued 13,573 shares of common stock to members of our Board of Directors. We recorded compensation expense of $\$ 122,500$, which was the fair market value of the shares on the grant date. The shares are fully vested but cannot be sold for one year.

In June 2009, our Board of Directors adopted a Rights Agreement, which provides for one preferred share purchase right to be associated with each share of our outstanding common stock. Shareholders exercising these rights would become entitled to purchase shares of Series B Junior Participating Cumulative Preferred Stock. The rights are exercisable after the time when a person or group of persons without the approval of the Board of Directors acquire beneficial ownership of 20 percent or more of our common stock or announce the initiation of a tender or exchange offer which if successful would cause such person or group to beneficially own 20 percent or more of our common stock. Such exercise would ultimately entitle the holders of the rights to purchase at the exercise price, shares of
common stock of the surviving corporation or purchaser, respectively, with an aggregate market value equal to two times the exercise price. The person or groups effecting such 20 percent acquisition or undertaking such tender offer would not be entitled to exercise any rights. These rights expire during June 2012.
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## 10. RETIREMENT PLANS

Prior to the fourth quarter of 2011, we sponsored a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan covering non-union workers in our Ohio and Puerto Rico operations. Benefits under the non-union plan are based upon years of service and highest compensation levels as defined. On December 31, 2005, we froze the noncontributory defined benefit pension plan for all non-U.S. territorial employees. In the fourth quarter of 2011, we made a determination to fully fund and terminate the pension plan.

Net pension cost of the Company's plan is as follows:

| (Unaudited) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Three Months |  |
| Ended |  |
| March 31,$2012011$ |  |
| \$- \$30,840 |  |
| 156,330 |  |
| - (156,591) |  |
| - 54,763 |  |
| - - |  |
|  | 18,801 |
|  | \$ 104,143 |
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## 11.SEGMENT INFORMATION

We have identified three reportable segments: Wholesale, Retail and Military. Wholesale includes sales of footwear and accessories to several classifications of retailers, including sporting goods stores, outdoor specialty stores, mail order catalogs, independent retailers, mass merchants, retail uniform stores, and specialty safety shoe stores. Retail includes all sales from our stores and all sales in our Lehigh division, which includes sales via shoemobiles to individual customers. Military includes sales to the U.S. Military. The following is a summary of segment results for the Wholesale, Retail, and Military segments.

|  | (Unaudited) <br> Three Months Ended <br> March 31, <br> 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NET SALES: |  |  |
| Wholesale | $\$ 42,368,412$ | $\$ 39,794,825$ |
| Retail | $10,496,171$ | $11,743,667$ |
| Military | 461,335 | 767,783 |
| $\quad$ Total Net Sales | $\$ 53,325,918$ | $\$ 52,306,275$ |
|  |  |  |
| GROSS MARGIN: |  |  |
| Wholesale | $\$ 12,932,839$ | $\$ 13,340,154$ |
| Retail | $5,071,602$ | $5,824,626$ |
| Military | 17,640 | 101,165 |
| Total Gross Margin | $\$ 18,022,081$ | $\$ 19,265,945$ |

Segment asset information is not prepared or used to assess segment performance.

## 12.LONG-TERM DEBT

In October 2010, we entered into a financing agreement with PNC Bank ("PNC") to provide a $\$ 70$ million credit facility. The term of the facility is five years and the current interest rate is generally LIBOR plus $1.50 \%$.

Our credit facility contains a restrictive covenant which requires us to maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio. This restrictive covenant is only in effect upon a triggering event taking place (as defined in the credit facility agreement). At March 31, 2012, no triggering event had occurred and the covenant was not in effect.

The total amount available under our revolving credit facility is subject to a borrowing base calculation based on various percentages of accounts receivable and inventory. As of March 31, 2012, we had $\$ 21.5$ million in borrowings under this facility and total capacity of $\$ 62.0$ million.

In April 2011, we repaid the remaining balance of approximately $\$ 1.8$ million on our mortgage loans by borrowing under a sub-facility on the PNC credit facility. The sub-facility is secured by real estate owned by us. In connection with this transaction, we incurred approximately $\$ 0.1$ million of prepayment and other fees that were reported as additional interest expense in the second quarter of 2011 . The mortgage loans were incurring interest at $8.28 \%$ and were replaced with borrowings under the credit facility for a current interest rate of LIBOR plus $1.50 \%$.
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## 13.FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The fair values of cash, accounts receivable, other receivables and accounts payable approximated their carrying values because of the short-term nature of these instruments. Accounts receivable consists primarily of amounts due from our customers, net of allowances. Other receivables consist primarily of amounts due from employees (sales persons' advances in excess of commissions earned and employee travel advances); other customer receivables, net of allowances; and expected insurance recoveries. The carrying amounts of our revolving line of credit, our mortgages and other short-term financing obligations also approximate fair value, as they are comparable to the available financing in the marketplace during the year.
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# ITEM 2 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS 

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, information derived from our Interim Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, expressed as a percentage of net sales. The discussion that follows the table should be read in conjunction with our Interim Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2011

Net sales. Net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were $\$ 53.3$ million compared to $\$ 52.3$ million for the same period in 2011. Wholesale sales for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were $\$ 42.4$ million compared to $\$ 39.8$ million for the same period in 2011. The $\$ 2.6$ million increase in wholesale sales was the result of a $\$ 1.5$ million or $21.6 \%$ increase in our western footwear category, a $\$ 1.2$ million or $27.9 \%$ increase in our commercial military footwear category, a $\$ 1.2$ million or $5.7 \%$ increase in our work footwear category and a $\$ 0.1$ million or $4.7 \%$ increase in our outdoor footwear category, which was partially offset by a $\$ 0.8$ million or $19.6 \%$ decrease in our duty footwear category, a $\$ 0.1$ million or $7.9 \%$ decrease in apparel and accessories and a $\$ 0.5$ million decline in other. Retail sales for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were $\$ 10.5$ million compared to $\$ 11.7$ million for the same period in 2011. The $\$ 1.2$ million decrease in retail sales resulted from our ongoing transition to more internet driven transactions and the decision to remove a portion of our Lehigh mobile stores from operations to help lower operating
expenses and improve margins. Military segment sales for the three months ended March 31, 2012, were $\$ 0.4$ million, compared to $\$ 0.8$ million in the same period in 2011. From time to time, we bid on military contracts when they become available. Our sales under such contracts are dependent on us winning the bids for these contracts.

Gross margin. Gross margin for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was $\$ 18.0$ million, or $33.8 \%$ of net sales, compared to $\$ 19.3$ million, or $36.8 \%$ of net sales, in the same period last year. Wholesale gross margin for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was $\$ 12.9$ million, or $30.5 \%$ of net sales, compared to $\$ 13.3$ million, or $33.5 \%$ of net sales, in the same period last year. The 300 basis point decrease is primarily the result of a rollout to all stores for one of our largest national accounts. This initial roll out negatively impacted gross margins during the quarter due to temporary price concessions and the higher concentration of sales to this large account in the quarter. Retail gross margin for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was $\$ 5.1$ million, or $48.3 \%$ of net sales, compared to $\$ 5.8$ million, or $49.6 \%$ of net sales, for the same period in 2011 . The 130 basis point decrease is primarily the result of lower average selling prices. Military gross margin for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was less than $\$ 0.1$ million, or $3.8 \%$ of net sales, compared to $\$ 0.1$ million, or $13.2 \%$ of net sales, for the same period in 2011.
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SG\&A expenses. SG\&A expenses were $\$ 16.7$ million, or $31.4 \%$ of net sales, for the three months ended March 31, 2012 , compared to $\$ 18.2$ million, or $34.9 \%$ of net sales for the same period in 2011 . The net change primarily reflects decreases in compensation and benefits expense of $\$ 1.0$ million and decreased operating expenses of $\$ 0.6$ million for our retail operations due to the continued closing of mobile stores, which was partially offset by increases in advertising expenses of $\$ 0.4$ million.

Interest expense. Interest expense was $\$ 0.1$ million in the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared to $\$ 0.2$ million for the same period in the prior year. The decrease of $\$ 0.1$ million is primarily the result of lower average borrowings.

Income taxes. Income tax expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was $\$ 0.4$ million, compared to $\$ 0.3$ million for the same period a year ago. We provided for income taxes at effective tax rates of $36 \%$ in 2011 and $35 \%$ in 2011. The estimated effective tax rate for 2012 is higher than the estimated rate for 2011 as we were anticipating making additional permanent capital investment in our operations in the Dominican Republic in 2011, which reduced the amount of dividends that we needed to provide for U.S. income taxes. We do not expect to make additional permanent capital investment in 2012.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal sources of liquidity have been our income from operations and borrowings under our credit facility.

Over the last several years our principal uses of cash have been for working capital and capital expenditures to support our growth. Our working capital consists primarily of trade receivables and inventory, offset by accounts payable and accrued expenses. Our working capital fluctuates throughout the year as a result of our seasonal business cycle and business expansion and is generally lowest in the months of January through March of each year and highest during the months of May through October of each year. We typically utilize our revolving credit facility to fund our seasonal working capital requirements. As a result, balances on our revolving credit facility will fluctuate significantly throughout the year. Our capital expenditures relate primarily to projects relating to our property, merchandising fixtures, molds and equipment associated with our manufacturing operations, retail sales fleet and for information technology. Capital expenditures were $\$ 2.0$ million for the first three months of 2012, compared to $\$ 2.1$ million for the same period in 2011. Total capital expenditures for 2012 are anticipated to be approximately $\$ 6.3$ million.

In October 2010, we entered into a financing agreement with PNC Bank ("PNC") to provide a $\$ 70$ million credit facility. The term of the facility is five years and the current interest rate is generally LIBOR plus $1.50 \%$.

Our credit facility contains a restrictive covenant which requires us to maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio. This restrictive covenant is only in effect upon a triggering event taking place (as defined in the credit facility agreement). At March 31, 2012, no triggering event had occurred and the covenant was not in effect.
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The total amount available under our revolving credit facility is subject to a borrowing base calculation based on various percentages of accounts receivable and inventory. As of March 31, 2012, we had $\$ 21.5$ million in borrowings under this facility and total capacity of $\$ 62.0$ million.

In April 2011, we repaid the remaining balance of approximately $\$ 1.8$ million on our mortgage loans by borrowing under a sub-facility on the PNC credit facility. The sub-facility is secured by real estate owned by us. In connection with this transaction, we incurred approximately $\$ 0.1$ million of prepayment and other fees that were reported as additional interest expense in the second quarter of 2011. The mortgage loans were incurring interest at $8.28 \%$ and were replaced with borrowings under the credit facility for a current interest rate of LIBOR plus $1.50 \%$.

We believe that our existing credit facility coupled with cash generated from operations will provide sufficient liquidity to fund our operations for at least the next twelve months. Our continued liquidity, however, is contingent upon future operating performance, cash flows and our ability to meet financial covenants under our credit facility.

Operating Activities. Cash provided by operating activities totaled $\$ 14.3$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared to $\$ 6.9$ million in the same period of 2011. Cash provided by operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was primarily impacted by decreases in accounts receivable, increases in accounts payable and decreases in inventory, partially offset by decreases in accrued expenses. Cash provided by operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was primarily impacted by reductions in accounts receivable and increases in accounts payable, partially offset by higher inventory.

Investing Activities. Cash used in investing activities was $\$ 2.0$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared to $\$ 2.1$ million in the same period of 2011. Cash used in investing activities reflects an investment in property, plant and equipment of $\$ 2.0$ million in 2012 and $\$ 2.1$ million in 2011. Our 2012 and 2011 expenditures primarily relate to investments in molds and equipment associated with our manufacturing operations and for information technology.

Financing Activities. Cash used in financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was $\$ 13.5$ million and reflects a net reduction under the revolving credit facility of $\$ 13.5$ million. Cash used in financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was $\$ 7.0$ million and reflects a net reduction under the revolving credit facility of $\$ 7.2$ million and repayments on long-term debt of $\$ 0.1$ million.

## Inflation

We cannot determine the precise effects of inflation; however, inflation continues to have an influence on the cost of materials, salaries, and employee benefits. We attempt to offset the effects of inflation through increased selling prices, productivity improvements, and reduction of costs.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

"Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" discusses our interim condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these interim condensed consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the interim condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. A summary of our significant accounting policies is included in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.
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Our management regularly reviews our accounting policies to make certain they are current and also to provide readers of the interim condensed consolidated financial statements with useful and reliable information about our operating results and financial condition. These include, but are not limited to, matters related to accounts receivable, inventories, intangibles and income taxes. Implementation of these accounting policies includes estimates and judgments by management based on historical experience and other factors believed to be reasonable. This may include judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities based on considerations that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Our management believes the following critical accounting policies are most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and require more significant judgments and estimates in the preparation of our interim condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Revenue recognition

Revenue principally consists of sales to customers, and, to a lesser extent, license fees. Revenue is recognized when the risk and title passes to the customer, while license fees are recognized when earned. Customer sales are recorded net of allowances for estimated returns, trade promotions and other discounts, which are recognized as a deduction from sales at the time of sale.

## Accounts receivable allowances

Management maintains allowances for uncollectible accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. The allowance for uncollectible accounts is calculated based on the relative age and size of trade receivable balances.

