SPACEDEV INC Form POS AM June 29, 2006

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 29, 2006.

Registration Statement No. 333-107360

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

POST EFFECTIVE AMENDMENT NO. 4
FORM SB-2
REGISTRATION STATEMENT
UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

SPACEDEV, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

COLORADO 3761 84-1374613

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(Primary standard Industrial (I.R.S. Employer Classification Code Number) Identification Number)

sification Code Number) Identification Number

13855 STOWE DRIVE POWAY, CALIFORNIA 92064 (858) 375-2000

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

RICHARD B. SLANSKY
PRESIDENT AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
SPACEDEV, INC.
13855 STOWE DRIVE
POWAY, CALIFORNIA 92064
(858) 375-2030

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to public: FROM TIME TO TIME AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT.

If the only securities being registered on this form are being offered pursuant to dividend or interest reinvestment plans, please check the following box: []

If any of the securities being registered on this form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, other than securities offered only in connection with dividend or interest reinvestment plans, please check the following box: [X]

If this form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective

registration statement for the same offering: []

If this form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering: []

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434 under the Securities Act, please check the following box: []

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

TITLE OF EACH CLASS OF SECURITIES TO BE REGISTERED			PROPOSED MAXIMUM OFFERING PRICE PER SHARE		PROPOSED MAXIMUM AGGREGATE OFFERING PRICE
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, underlying Convertible Note	1,818,182	(2)	0.550	(3)	1,000,000
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, underlying Warrants	125,000		0.630	(3)	78,750
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, underlying Warrants	50,000		0.690	(3)	34,500
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, underlying Warrants	25,000		0.800	(3)	20,000
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, underlying Warrants	158,333		0.750	(3)	118,750
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, underlying Warrants	23,419		0.854	(3)	20,000
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, underlying Warrants	818,248		0.490	(3)	400,942
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, underlying Warrants	196,079		0.510	(3)	100,000
Total	3,214,261				1,772,941

- (1) In the event of a stock split, stock dividend, or similar transaction involving common stock of the registrant, in order to prevent dilution, the number of shares registered shall be automatically increased to cover the additional shares in accordance with Rule 416(a) under the Securities Act. This registration statement covers an aggregate of 3,214,261 shares.
- (2) Represents 100% of the good faith estimate of the number of shares that are issuable to the selling security holder following the conversion of interest on and/or principal of a convertible note held by the selling security holder. If our good faith estimate is incorrect and we determine that additional common

stock will be required to cover all principal and interest payments, we will be required to file a new registration statement to register any such additional shares.

(3) Exercise prices fixed in each warrant agreement.

THE REGISTRANT HEREBY AMENDS THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT ON SUCH DATE OR DATES AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO DELAY ITS EFFECTIVE DATE UNTIL THE REGISTRANT SHALL FILE A FURTHER AMENDMENT WHICH SPECIFICALLY STATES THAT THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT SHALL THEREAFTER BECOME EFFECTIVE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 8(a) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED, OR UNTIL THE REGISTRATION STATEMENT SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE ON SUCH DATE AS THE COMMISSION, ACTING UNDER SECTION 8(a), MAY DETERMINE.

ii

PROSPECTUS

SPACEDEV, INC.

3,214,261 SHARES OF COMMON STOCK

This prospectus relates to the resale by security holders of up to 3,214,261 shares of our common stock underlying (1) common stock purchase warrants issued in a prior private placement of our securities to accredited investors representing 1,196,079 shares (the "Warrants"), (2) a three-year secured convertible note, or the Convertible Note, issued to Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. ("Laurus") in the principal amount of \$1,000,000, and (3) a common stock purchase warrant for up to 200,000 shares issued to Laurus in relation to the Convertible Note (the "Laurus Warrant"). We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares by the selling security holders. We have not retained any underwriter in connection with the sale of the securities. We have paid, on behalf of the selling security holders, the expenses of the offering estimated to be \$31,143.

Our common stock trades on The Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board under the symbol "SPDV." The last reported sale price of our common stock on May 31, 2006, was \$1.26 per share.

Our principal offices are located at 13855 Stowe Drive, Poway, California 92064, and our telephone number is (858) 375-2000.

INVESTING IN OUR COMMON STOCK INVOLVES RISKS. AS YOU REVIEW THE PROSPECTUS, YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY CONSIDER THE MATTERS DESCRIBED UNDER "RISK FACTORS" BEGINNING ON PAGE 6.

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with information different from that contained in this prospectus.

NEITHER THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED OF THESE SECURITIES OR DETERMINED IF THIS PROSPECTUS IS ACCURATE OR COMPLETE. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

THIS PROSPECTUS IS NOT AN OFFER TO SELL THESE SECURITIES AND IS NOT SOLICITING AN OFFER TO BUY THESE SECURITIES IN ANY STATE WHERE THE OFFER OR SALE IS NOT PERMITTED.

The date of this prospectus is June 29, 2006.

PAGE 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PROSPECTUS	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	
PROSPECTUS SUMMARY	
RISK FACTORS	
SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	1
SELLING SECURITY HOLDERS	1
PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION	1
USE OF PROCEEDS	2
DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS	2
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	;
DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	46
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	47
MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY & RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	49
DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS & CONTROL PERSONS	50
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	55
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	61
DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES	63
DISCLOSURE OF COMMISSION POSITION OF INDEMNIFICATION FOR SECURITIES ACT LIABILITIES .	66
CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS	66
EXPERTS	67
LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	67
WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION	67
UNOADITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONSOLIDATED STATMENTS	68
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATMENTS	. I

PAGE 2

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights some information from this prospectus. Because it is a summary, it necessarily does not contain all of the information necessary to your investment decision. To understand this offering fully, you should read carefully the entire prospectus, especially the risks of investing in our common stock discussed under "Risk Factors."

In connection with a strategic financing with Laurus Master Fund, Ltd., or simply Laurus, this prospectus covers the resale of up to 1,818,182 shares of our common stock that are issuable upon conversion of a three-year Secured Convertible Note, or the Convertible Note, in the principal amount of \$1,000,000, and up to 200,000 shares of common stock that are issuable upon the exercise by Laurus of a warrant, called the Laurus Warrant in this prospectus, that we provided to Laurus in connection with the strategic financing. In addition, this prospectus covers the resale of up to 1,196,079 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding warrants issued in a private placement offering from November 2000 to February 2003, referred to herein as the Warrants.

OUR COMPANY

We are engaged in the conception, design, development, manufacture, integration and operations of space technology systems, products and services. We are currently focused on the commercial development of low-cost micro-satellites, nano-satellites and related subsystems, hybrid rocket propulsion as well as the associated engineering technical services to government, aerospace and other commercial enterprises. Our products and solutions are sold directly to these customers and include sophisticated micro-and nano-satellites, hybrid rocket-based orbital Maneuvering and orbital Transfer Vehicles as well as safe sub-orbital and orbital hybrid rocket-based propulsion systems. We are also developing commercial hybrid rocket motors and small high performance space vehicles and subsystems.

Starsys Research Corporation was acquired by SpaceDev on January 31, 2006 in a tax-free forward triangular merger, renamed Starsys, Inc., and is now a wholly-owned subsidiary of SpaceDev. Starsys is engaged in the design and manufacture of mechanical and electromechanical subsystems and components for spacecraft. Starsys' subsystems enable critical spacecraft functions such as pointing solar arrays and communication antennas and restraining, deploying and actuating moving spacecraft components. Starsys manufactures a wide range of products that include bi-axis gimbals, flat plate gimbals, solar array pointing mechanisms, deployable booms, separation systems, thermal louvers, actuators, restraint devices and cover systems. Starsys' products are sold both as "off-the-shelf" catalog products, which represent previously qualified devices with spaceflight history, and as custom systems that are developed for specific applications. Starsys' products are typically sold directly to spacecraft manufacturers. Starsys' customer base is segregated into three major segments: (1) domestic and international commercial spacecraft (communication and imaging satellites), (2) civil spacecraft (NASA) that are primarily scientific in nature and (3) defense spacecraft that support the United States' military capability. Starsys also offers products to non-space customers, including aerospace, maritime, and industrial customers. See "Description of Business" for more information.

THE OFFERING

Common stock underlying the interest and/or principal of the Convertible Note

1,818,182 shares

Common stock underlying the Laurus Warrant and the Warrants

1,396,079 shares

Common Stock Outstanding after Exercise of outstanding Warrants, the Laurus Warrant and the Convertible Note on shares outstanding on May 31, 2006 28,910,516 shares

PAGE 3

Termination of the Offering

The offering will conclude upon the earlier of the sale of all 3,214,261 shares of common stock registered, the date the shares no longer need to be registered to be sold or the threeyear anniversary of the effective date of the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

Use of Proceeds

All proceeds from the sale of shares underlying the Warrants, the Convertible Note and the Laurus Warrant will be received by the selling security holders for their own accounts. See "Use of Proceeds."

Risk Factors

You should read the "Risk Factors" beginning on page 6, as well other cautionary statements throughout this prospectus, before investing in shares of our common stock.

PAGE 4

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following financial data is provided as of and for the fiscal quarters ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, and the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. The financial data as of and for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 is derived from, and is qualified by reference to, the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes to those consolidated financial statements which are a part of this prospectus. Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any future periods.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS DATA

		THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,		
	2006	2005	2005	
Net revenues	\$ 7,174,778	\$ 1,806,889	\$ 9,005,011	

Income/(loss) from operations	\$	(46,398)	\$ 65 , 567	\$ 311,500
Net income/(loss)	\$	7,017	\$ 101,223	\$ 501,264
Basic income/(loss) per share	\$	(0.00)	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.02
Weighted average shares outstanding, basic	:	27,276,451	21,291,972	22,270,997

-	AS AT MARCH	AS AT DECEMBE	
	2006	2005	2005
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,142,595	\$ 5,412,949	\$ 5,750,038
Working capital	\$ 2,646,002	\$ 5,126,732	\$ 6,195,086
Total assets	\$ 26,565,521	\$ 6,455,008	\$11,008,649
Long-term debt, net of current portion	\$ 914,641	\$ 919 044	\$ 830,677
Stockholders' Equity	\$ 18,156,656	\$ 4,618,393	\$ 7,969,213

PAGE 5

RISK FACTORS

AN INVESTMENT IN SHARES OF OUR COMMON STOCK INVOLVES A HIGH DEGREE OF RISK. IN ADDITION TO THE OTHER INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS PROSPECTUS, YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING RISK FACTORS BEFORE DECIDING TO INVEST OR MAINTAIN AN INVESTMENT IN SHARES OF OUR COMMON STOCK. THIS PROSPECTUS CONTAINS CERTAIN FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THAT INVOLVE RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES. OUR ACTUAL RESULTS COULD DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE ANTICIPATED IN THESE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AS A RESULT OF CERTAIN FACTORS, INCLUDING THOSE SET FORTH IN THE FOLLOWING RISK FACTORS AND ELSEWHERE IN THIS PROSPECTUS. IF ANY OF THE FOLLOWING RISKS ACTUALLY OCCURS, IT IS LIKELY THAT OUR BUSINESS, FINANCIAL CONDITION AND OPERATING RESULTS WOULD BE HARMED. AS A RESULT, THE TRADING PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK COULD DECLINE, AND YOU COULD LOSE PART OR ALL OF YOUR INVESTMENT.

SPACEDEV AND STARSYS HAVE EXPERIENCED LOSSES FROM OPERATIONS IN PRIOR PERIODS AND HAVE BEEN REQUIRED TO SEEK ADDITIONAL FINANCING TO SUPPORT THEIR BUSINESSES.

In prior years, both SpaceDev and Starsys have experienced operating losses and, in some periods, revenues from operations have not been sufficient to fund their respective operations. On a pro forma basis, the combined company would have had a net loss from operations of approximately \$5.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 and \$2.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, assuming the merger had occurred on January 1, 2004. The success of the combined company's business depends upon our ability to generate revenue from existing contracts, to execute programs cost-effectively, to attract and complete successfully additional government and commercial

contracts, and additional financing. The likelihood of our success must be considered in light of the expenses, difficulties and delays frequently encountered in connection with developing businesses, those historically encountered by us, and the competitive environment in which we operate.

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO RAISE CAPITAL, WE MAY BE UNABLE TO FUND OPERATING CASH SHORTFALLS AND FUTURE GROWTH OPPORTUNITIES.

Our future capital requirements will depend upon many factors, including but not limited to sales and marketing efforts, the development of new products and services, the successful completion of existing projects, possible future strategic acquisitions, the progress of our research and development efforts, and the status of competitive products and services. As of March 31, 2006 and 2005, we had a working capital of \$2,646,002, and \$5,126,732, respectively, and an accumulated deficit of \$14,710,427 and \$14,846,800, respectively. As of those dates, we had \$1,142,595 and \$5,412,949, respectively, in cash and cash equivalents and \$5,769,883 and \$620,048, respectively, of accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts.

In the past, both SpaceDev and Starsys have relied upon cash from financing activities to fund part of the cash requirements of their respective businesses. We may need additional financing to fund our projected operations. Additional financing may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all. Any financing may cause additional dilution to existing shareholders. Any debt financing or other issuance of securities senior to common stock likely will include financial and other covenants that will restrict our operating flexibility and our ability to pay dividends to shareholders. SpaceDev has not paid dividends on its common stock in the past and does not anticipate paying dividends on its common stock in the foreseeable future.

SOME OF OUR GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS ARE STAGED AND WE CANNOT GUARANTEE THAT ALL STAGES OF THE CONTRACTS WILL BE AWARDED TO US.

Some of our government contracts are phased contracts in which the customer may determine to terminate the contract between phases for any reason. Accordingly, the entire contract amount may not be realized by us. In the event that subsequent phases of some of our government contracts, including but not limited to the Missile Defense Agency contract, are not awarded to us, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

PAGE 6

WE PROVIDE OUR PRODUCTS AND SERVICES PRIMARILY THROUGH FIXED-PRICE AND COST PLUS FIXED FEE CONTRACTS. STARSYS HAS EXPERIENCED SIGNIFICANT LOSSES ON FIXED-PRICE CONTRACTS. COST OVERRUNS MAY RESULT IN FURTHER LOSSES AND, IF SIGNIFICANT, COULD IMPAIR OUR LIQUIDITY POSITION.

Under fixed-price contracts, our customers pay us for work performed and products shipped without adjustment for the costs we incur in the process. Therefore, we generally bear all or a significant portion of the risk of losses as a result of increased costs on these contracts. Starsys has experienced significant cost overruns on development projects under its fixed-price contracts, resulting in estimated losses on uncompleted contracts of \$2.7 million for Starsys' fiscal 2004, and an additional \$2.5 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005. As of December 31, 2005, based on a formal evaluation process, Starsys reserved approximately \$1.5 million for potential risks on these remaining development projects. Fixed-price contracts may provide for sharing of unexpected costs incurred or savings realized within specified limits and may provide for adjustments in price depending on actual

contract performance other than costs. We bear the entire risk of cost overruns in excess of the negotiated maximum amount of unexpected costs to be shared. Any significant overruns in the future could materially impair our liquidity and operations.

Under cost plus fixed fee contracts, we are reimbursed for allowable incurred costs plus a fee, which may be fixed or variable. There is no guarantee as to the amount of fee we will be awarded under a cost plus fixed fee contract with a variable fee. The price on a cost plus fixed fee reimbursable contract is based on allowable costs incurred, but generally is subject to contract funding limitations. Therefore, we could bear the amount of costs in excess of the funding limitation specified in the contract, and we may not be able to recover those cost overruns.

IF WE FAIL TO INTEGRATE OUR OPERATIONS EFFECTIVELY, THE COMBINATION OF SPACEDEV AND STARSYS WILL NOT REALIZE ALL THE POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF THE MERGER AND MAY BE COUNTER PRODUCTIVE.

The integration of SpaceDev and Starsys is ongoing and may be time consuming and expensive and may disrupt the combined company's operations if it is not completed in a timely and efficient manner. If this integration effort is not successful, the combined company's results of operations could be harmed. In addition, the combined company may not achieve anticipated synergies or other benefits of the merger. The combined company may encounter difficulties, costs and delays involved in integrating their operations, including but not limited to the following:

- failure to successfully manage relationships with customers and other important relationships;
- failure of customers to accept new services or to continue using the products and services of the combined company;
- difficulties in successfully integrating the management teams and employees of the two companies;
- potential incompatibility of business cultures;
- challenges encountered in managing larger, more geographically dispersed operations;
- the loss of key employees;
- diversion of the attention of management from other ongoing business concerns;
- potential incompatibilities of processes, technologies and systems; and,
- potential difficulties integrating and harmonizing financial reporting systems.

If the combined company's operations do not meet the expectations of existing customers of either company, these customers may reduce the amount of business or cease doing business with the combined company altogether, which would harm the results of operations and financial condition of the combined company.

If the anticipated benefits of the merger are not realized or do not meet the expectations of financial or industry analysts, the market price of SpaceDev common stock may decline. This could occur if, among other reasons:

- the integration of the two companies is unsuccessful;

- the combined company does not achieve the expected benefits of the merger as quickly as anticipated or the costs of or operational difficulties arising from the merger are greater than anticipated;
- the combined company's financial results after the merger are not consistent with the expectations of management or financial or industry analysts;
- the anticipated operating and product synergies of the merger are not realized; or
- the combined company experiences the loss of significant customers or employees as a result of the merger.

IF WE FAIL TO INTEGRATE STARSYS, INC., OUR NEW WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY, OUR CASH FLOW AND OPERATING RESULTS COULD BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

We recently acquired Starsys, Inc., as a subsidiary of SpaceDev. Starsys which was insolvent at the time of the merger and we have begun making post-acquisition cash investments into Starsys. As stated previously, SpaceDev and Starsys have experienced losses from operations in prior periods, requiring that we seek additional financing to support our businesses. Our operating plans assume revenue and cash growth from SpaceDev and Starsys. If we are unable to effectively integrate our new subsidiary, or if we are unable to create positive cash flow within SpaceDev or Starsys, our cash flow and operating results could be adversely affected.

PAGE 7

A SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF OUR NET SALES ARE GENERATED FROM GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS, WHICH MAKES US SUSCEPTIBLE TO THE UNCERTAINTIES INHERENT IN THE GOVERNMENT BUDGETING PROCESS. IN ADDITION, MANY OF OUR CONTRACTS CAN BE TERMINATED BY THE CUSTOMER.

Our concentration of government work makes us susceptible to government budget cuts and policy changes, which may impact the award of new contracts or future phases of existing contracts. Government budgets (both in general and as to space and defense projects) are subject to the prevailing political climate, which is subject to change at any time. Additionally, awarded contracts could be altered or terminated prior to the time we recognize our projected revenue. Many contracts are awarded in phases where future phases are not guaranteed to us. In addition, obtaining contracts and subcontracts from government agencies is challenging, and contracts often include provisions that are not standard in private commercial transactions. For example, government contracts may:

- include provisions that allow the government agency to terminate the contract without penalty under some circumstances;
- be subject to purchasing decisions of agencies that are subject to political influence;
- contain onerous procurement procedures; and
- be subject to cancellation if government funding becomes unavailable.

Securing government contracts can be a protracted process involving competitive bidding. In many cases, unsuccessful bidders may challenge contract

awards, which can lead to increased costs, delays and possible loss of the contract for the winning bidder.

OUR HISTORIC SPACEDEV BUSINESS' LIMITED OPERATING HISTORY AND LACK
OF EXPERIENCE IN OUR NEW OR PROPOSED LINES OF BUSINESS MAKES IT DIFFICULT TO
PREDICT OUR FUTURE PROSPECTS.

We have a limited operating history and, as a result, our historical financial information is of limited value in projecting our future success in these markets. We launched our first microsatellite, CHIPSat, in January 2003 and, in June, September and October, 2004, our hybrid rocket technology was first utilized in connection with SpaceShipOne. We hope to sell an increasing percentage of our products and services in commercial markets, but virtually all of our historical work has been from government contracts and government-related work. We recently announced our intention to enter the launch services market by providing a microsat bus, integration services, and a launch vehicle as a package. We will be dependent on the performance of Space Exploration Technologies, a small company with limited operating history which has not yet had a successful launch, for our first launch vehicle. Our microsatellites, nanosatellites and launch services may not achieve market acceptance, and our future prospects are therefore difficult to evaluate.

WE MAY NOT SUCCESSFULLY OR TIMELY DEVELOP PRODUCTS.

Many of our products and technologies are currently under various stages of development. Further development and testing of our products and technologies will be required to prove additional performance capability beyond current levels and to confirm commercial viability. Additionally, the final cost of development cannot be determined until development is complete. Our ongoing and future product development will depend, in part, on the ability to timely complete our projects within estimated cost parameters and ultimately deploy the product in a cost-effective manner. In addition, Starsys has contracted to execute development programs under fixed price contracts. Under these contracts, even if our costs begin to exceed the amount to be paid by the customer under the contract, we are required to complete the contract without receiving any additional payments from the customer. It is difficult to predict accurately the total cost of executing these programs. If the costs to complete these programs significantly exceed the payments from the customers under the contracts, our results of operations will be harmed.

THE MARKETPLACE FOR OUR TECHNOLOGY AND PRODUCTS IS UNCERTAIN.

The demand for our technology, products and services is uncertain and we may not obtain a sufficient market share to sustain our business or to increase profitability. Our business plan assumes that near-term revenues will be generated largely from government contracts for microsatellites and electromechanical systems for spacecraft with a long-term commercial market developing for private manned and unmanned space exploration. Microsatellites and commercial space exploration are still relatively new concepts, and it is difficult to predict accurately the ultimate size of the market. In addition, we are developing new product areas such as large deployable structures, solar array drives, slip rings and precision scanning assemblies for spacecraft. Many of our products and services are new and unproven, and the true level of customer demand is uncertain. Lack of significant market acceptance of our products and services, delays in such acceptance, or failure of our markets to develop or grow could negatively affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

OUR OPERATING RESULTS COULD FLUCTUATE ON A QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL BASIS, WHICH COULD CAUSE OUR STOCK PRICE TO FLUCTUATE OR DECLINE.

Our operating results may fluctuate from quarter-to-quarter and year-to-year for a variety of reasons, many of which are beyond our control. Factors that could affect our quarterly and annual operating results include those listed below as well as others listed in this "Risk Factors" section:

- we may not be awarded all stages of existing or future contracts;
- the timing of new technological advances and product announcements or introductions by us and our competitors;
- changes in the terms of our arrangements with customers or suppliers;
- our current reliance on a few customers for a significant portion of our net sales;

PAGE 8

- the failure of our key suppliers to perform as expected;
- general political conditions that could affect spending for the products that we offer;
- delays or failures to satisfy our obligations under our contracts on a timely basis;
- the failure of our products to successfully launch or operate;
- the uncertain market for our technology and products;
- the availability and cost of raw materials and components for our products; and
- the potential loss of key personnel.

As a result of these factors, period-to-period comparisons of our operating results may not be meaningful, and you should not rely on them as an indication of our future performance. In addition, our operating results may fall below the expectations of public market analysts or investors. In this event, our stock price could decline significantly.

WE FACE SIGNIFICANT COMPETITION AND MANY OF OUR COMPETITORS HAVE GREATER RESOURCES THAN WE DO.

We face significant competition for our government and commercial contracts. Many of our competitors have greater resources than we do and may be able to devote greater resources than us to research and development and marketing. Given the sophistication inherent in our operations, larger competitors may have a significant advantage and may be able to more efficiently adapt and implement technological advances. In addition, larger and financially stronger corporations have advantages over us in obtaining space and defense contracts due to their superior marketing (lobbying) resources and the perception that they may be a better choice than smaller companies for mission-critical projects because of the higher likelihood that they will be able to continue in business for the necessary future period. Furthermore, it is possible that other domestic or foreign companies or governments, some with greater experience in the space industry and many with greater financial resources than we possess, could seek to produce products or services that compete with our products or services, including new mechanisms and electromechanical subsystems using new technology which could render our products less viable. Some of our foreign competitors currently benefit from,

and others may benefit in the future from, subsidies from or other protective measures implemented by their home countries.

OUR PRODUCTS AND SERVICES MAY NOT FUNCTION WELL UNDER CERTAIN CONDITIONS.

Most of our products are technologically advanced and tested, but sometimes are not space qualified for performance under demanding operating conditions. Our products may not be successfully launched or operated, or perform as intended. Like most organizations that have launched satellite programs, we have experienced and in the future will likely experience some product and service failures, cost overruns, schedule delays, and other problems in connection with our products. Our products and services are and will continue to be subject to significant technological change and innovation. Our success will generally depend on our ability to continue to conceive, design, manufacture and market new products and services on a cost-effective and timely basis. We anticipate that we will incur significant expenses in the design and initial manufacture and marketing of new products and services.

LAUNCH FAILURES COULD HAVE SERIOUS ADVERSE EFFECTS ON OUR BUSINESS.

Launch failures or delays of our microsatellites could have serious adverse effects on our business. Microsatellite launches are subject to significant risks, the realization of which can cause disabling damage to or total loss of a microsatellite as well as damage to our reputation among actual and potential customers. Delays in the launch could also adversely affect our net sales. Delays could be caused by a number of factors, including:

- designing, constructing, integrating, or testing the microsatellite, microsatellite components, or related ground systems;
- delays in receiving the license necessary to operate the microsatellite systems;
- delays in obtaining the customer's payload;

PAGE 9

- delays related to the launch vehicle;
- weather; and
- other events beyond our control.

Delays and the perception of potential delay could negatively affect our marketing efforts and limit our ability to obtain new contracts and projects.

OUR U.S. GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS ARE SUBJECT TO AUDITS THAT COULD RESULT IN A MATERIAL ADVERSE AFFECT ON OUR FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS IF A MATERIAL ADJUSTMENT IS REQUIRED.

The accuracy and appropriateness of our direct and indirect costs and expenses under our contracts with the U.S. government are subject to extensive regulation and audit by the Defense Contract Audit Agency, by other agencies of the U.S. government or prime contractors. These entities have the right to audit our cost estimates and/or allowable cost allocations with respect to certain contracts. From time to time we may in the future be required to make adjustments and reimbursements as a result of these audits. Responding to governmental audits, inquiries or investigations may involve significant expense

and divert management attention. Also, an adverse finding in any such audit, inquiry or investigation could involve contract termination, suspension, fines, injunctions or other sanctions.

OUR SUCCESS DEPENDS ON OUR ABILITY TO RETAIN OUR KEY PERSONNEL. THE JANUARY 2006 IMPLEMENTATION OF FAS 123(R) AND THE RELATED DECEMBER 2005 ACCELERATION OF VESTING ALL OUTSTANDING STOCK OPTIONS REDUCED THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE STOCK OPTIONS AS A RETENTION DEVICE.

Our success will be dependent upon the efforts of key members of our management and engineering team, including our chairman and chief technology officer, James W. Benson, our chief executive officer and vice-chairman, Mark N. Sirangelo, our president and chief financial officer, Richard B. Slansky, our vice president of engineering, Frank Macklin, our vice president of programs and new business development, Randall K. Simpson, the managing director of SpaceDev, Scott Tibbitts, the president of Starsys, Inc. Robert Vacek, and certain other SpaceDev personnel. The loss of any of these persons, or other key employees, including personnel with security clearances required for classified work and highly skilled technicians and engineers, could have a material adverse effect on us. Our future success is likely to depend substantially on our continued ability to attract and retain highly qualified personnel. The competition for such personnel is intense, and our inability to attract and retain such personnel could have a material adverse effect on us. At this time we do not maintain key man life insurance on any of our key personnel.

One device we have historically used to enhance our ability to retain and incentivize key personnel is the grant of stock options which are subject to vesting. If the employee leaves us before the vesting period has been completed, he must forfeit a portion of the stock options. In December 2005, in order to avoid adverse financial reporting effects in future years under FAS 123(R), a new accounting standard, we eliminated all future vesting requirements on all of our 8,031,036 stock options then outstanding in the hands of employees, officers, and directors.

OUR GROWTH MAY NOT BE MANAGEABLE AND OUR BUSINESS COULD SUFFER AS A RESULT.

Even if we are successful in obtaining new business, failure to manage the growth could adversely affect our operations. We may experience extended periods of very rapid growth, which could place a significant strain on our management, operating, financial and other resources. Our future performance will depend in part on our ability to manage growth effectively. We must develop management information systems, including operating, financial, and accounting systems, improve project management systems and processes and expand, train, and manage our workforce to keep pace with growth. Our inability to manage growth effectively could negatively affect results of operations and the ability to meet obligations as they come due.

PAGE 10

WE MAY NOT ADDRESS SUCCESSFULLY THE PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN CONNECTION WITH ANY POTENTIAL FUTURE ACQUISITIONS.

We expect to consider opportunities to acquire or make investments in other technologies, products and businesses that could enhance our capabilities, complement our current products or expand the breadth of our markets or customer base. We have limited experience in acquiring other businesses and technologies; the Starsys acquisition was our first major acquisition. Potential and completed acquisitions and strategic investments involve numerous risks, including:

- problems assimilating the purchased technologies, products or business operations;
- problems maintaining uniform standards, procedures, controls and policies;
- unanticipated costs associated with the acquisition;
- diversion of management's attention from our core business;
- adverse effects on existing business relationships with suppliers and customers;
- risks associated with entering new markets in which we have no or limited prior experience;
- potential loss of key employees of acquired businesses; and
- increased legal and accounting costs as a result of the newly adopted rules and regulations related to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

IF OUR KEY SUPPLIERS FAIL TO PERFORM AS EXPECTED, OUR REPUTATION MAY BE DAMAGED. WE MAY EXPERIENCE DELAYS, LOSE CUSTOMERS AND EXPERIENCE DECLINES IN REVENUES, PROFITABILITY, AND CASH FLOW.

We purchase a significant percentage of our product components and subassemblies from third parties. If our subcontractors fail to perform as expected or encounter financial difficulties, we may have difficulty replacing them or identifying qualified replacements in a timely or cost effective manner. As a result, we may experience performance delays that could result in additional program costs, contract termination for default or damage to our customer relationships which may cause our revenues, profitability and cash flow to decline. In addition, negative publicity from any failure of one of our products or sub-systems as a result of a supplier failure could damage our reputation and prevent us from winning new contracts.

OUR LIMITED INSURANCE MAY NOT COVER ALL RISKS INHERENT IN OUR OPERATIONS.

We may find it difficult to insure certain risks involved in our operations, including our launch vehicle and satellite operations, accidental damage to high value customer hardware during the manufacturing process and damages to customer spacecraft caused by our products not working to specification. Insurance market conditions or factors outside of our control at the time insurance is purchased could cause premiums to be significantly higher than current estimates. Additionally, the U.S. Department of State has published regulations which could significantly affect the ability of brokers and underwriters to place insurance for certain launches. These factors could cause other terms to be significantly less favorable than those currently available, may result in limits on amounts of coverage that we can obtain, or may prevent us from obtaining insurance at all. Furthermore, proceeds from insurance may not be sufficient to cover losses.

SEVERAL YEARS OF LOW DEMAND AND OVERCAPACITY IN THE COMMERCIAL SATELLITE MARKET HAVE RESULTED IN SLOW GROWTH IN DEMAND FOR SPACE PRODUCTS.

The commercial satellite market has experienced pricing pressures due to excess capacity in the telecommunications industry and weakened demand over the past several years. Satellite demand, and thus subsystem and component orders, have also been impacted by the business difficulties encountered by the commercial satellite services industry. This has resulted in a reduction in the

total market size in the near term. While the market appears to be making a recovery, growth in the demand for our products may be limited.

OUR COMPETITIVE POSITION WILL BE SERIOUSLY DAMAGED IF WE CANNOT PROTECT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS IN OUR TECHNOLOGY.

Our success, in part, depends on our ability to obtain and enforce intellectual property protection for our technology. We rely on a combination of patents, trade secrets and contracts to establish and protect our proprietary rights in our technology. However, we may not be able to prevent misappropriation of our intellectual property, and the agreements we enter into may not be enforceable. In addition, effective intellectual property protection may be unavailable or limited in some foreign countries.

There is no guarantee any patent will be issued on any patent application that we have filed or may file. Further, any patent that we may obtain will expire, and it is possible that it may be challenged, invalidated or circumvented. If we do not secure and maintain patent protection for our technology and products, our competitive position will be significantly harmed because it will be much easier for competitors to sell products similar to ours. Alternatively, a competitor may independently develop or patent technologies that are substantially equivalent to or superior to our technology. In addition, it is possible that any patent that we may obtain may not provide adequateprotection and our competitive position could be significantly harmed.

As we expand our product line or develop new uses for our products, these products or uses may be outside the scope of our current patent applications, issued patents, and other intellectual property rights. In addition, if we develop new products or enhancements to existing products, there is no guarantee that we will be able to obtain patents to protect them. Even if we do receive patents for our existing or new products, these patents may not provide meaningful protection. In some countries outside of the United States, effective patent protection is not available. Moreover, some countries that do allow registration of patents do not provide meaningful redress for violations of patents. As a result, protecting intellectual property in these countries is difficult and our competitors may successfully sell products in those countries that have functions and features that infringe on our intellectual property.

We may initiate claims or litigation against third parties in the future for infringement of our proprietary rights or to determine the scope and validity of our proprietary rights or the proprietary rights of competitors. These claims could result in costly litigation and divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel. As a result, our operating results could suffer and our financial condition could be harmed, regardless of the outcome of the case.

CLAIMS BY OTHER COMPANIES THAT WE INFRINGE THEIR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OR THAT PATENTS ON WHICH WE RELY ARE INVALID COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR BUSINESS.

From time to time, companies may assert patent, copyright and other intellectual proprietary rights against our products or products using our technologies or other technologies used in our industry. These claims may result in our involvement in litigation. We may not prevail in such litigation given the complex technical issues and inherent uncertainties in intellectual property litigation. If any of our products were found to infringe on another company's intellectual property rights, we could be required to redesign our products or license such rights and/or pay damages or other compensation to such other company. If we were unable to redesign our products or license such

intellectual property rights used in our products, we could be prohibited from making and selling such products.

Other companies or entities also may commence actions seeking to establish the invalidity of our patents. In the event that one or more of our patents are challenged, a court may invalidate the patent or determine that the patent is not enforceable, which could harm our competitive position. If any of our key patents are invalidated, or if the scope of the claims in any of these patents is limited by court decision, we could be prevented from licensing the invalidated or limited portion of such patents. Even if such a patent challenge is not successful, it could be expensive and time consuming to address, divert management attention from our business and harm our reputation.

WE ARE SUBJECT TO SUBSTANTIAL REGULATION, SOME OF WHICH PROHIBITS US FROM SELLIING INTERNATIONALLY. ANY FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH EXISTING REGULATIONS, OR INCREASED LEVELS OF REGULATION, COULD HAVE A MATERIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON US.

Our business activities are subject to substantial regulation by various agencies and departments of the United States government and, in certain circumstances, the governments of other countries. Several government agencies, including NASA and the U.S. Air Force, maintain Export Control Offices to ensure that any disclosure of scientific and technical information complies with the Export Administration Regulations and the International Traffic in Arms Regulations or, "ITAR." Exports of our products, services and technical information require either Technical Assistance Agreements, manufacturing license agreements or licenses from the U.S. Department of State depending on the level of technology being transferred. This includes recently published regulations restricting the ability of U.S.-based companies to complete offshore launches, or to export certain satellite components and technical data to any country outside the United States. The export of information with respect to ground-based sensors, detectors, high-speed computers, and national security and missile technology items are controlled by the Department of Commerce. Failure to comply with the ITAR and/or the Commerce Department regulations may subject guilty parties to fines of up to \$1 million and/or up to 10 years imprisonment per violation.

In addition, the space industry has specific regulations with which we must comply. Command and telemetry frequency assignments for space missions are regulated internationally by the International Telecommunications Union, which we refer to as the ITU. In the United States, the Federal Communications Commission, which we refer to as the FCC, and the National Telecommunications Information Agency, which we refer to as NTIA, regulate command and telemetry frequency assignments. All launch vehicles that are launched from a launch site in the United States must pass certain launch range safety regulations that are administered by the U.S. Air Force. In addition, all commercial space launches that we would perform require a license from the Department of Transportation. Satellites that are launched must obtain approvals for command and frequency assignments. For international approvals, the FCC and NTIA obtain these approvals from the ITU. These regulations have been in place for a number of years to cover the large number of non-government commercial space missions that have been launched and put into orbit in the last 15 to 20 years. Any commercial deep space mission that we would perform would be subject to these regulations.

We are also subject to laws and regulations regulating the formation, administration and performance of, and accounting for, U.S. government contracts. With respect to such contracts, any failure to comply with applicable laws could result in contract termination, price or fee reductions, penalties, suspension or debarment from contracting with the U.S. government. We are also required to obtain permits, licenses, and other authorizations under federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations relating to the environment. Our

failure to comply with applicable law or government regulations, including any of the above-mentioned regulations, could have serious adverse effects on our business.

SPACEDEV'S STOCK PRICE HAS BEEN AND MAY CONTINUE TO BE VOLATILE, WHICH COULD RESULT IN SUBSTANTIAL LOSSES FOR INVESTORS PURCHASING SHARES OF OUR COMMON STOCK.

The market prices of securities of technology-based companies like ours particularly in industries (also like ours) where substantial value is ascribed to a hope for future increase in the size of the total market, are often highly volatile. The market price of SpaceDev common stock has fluctuated significantly in the past. Our market price may continue to exhibit significant fluctuations in response to a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control, including:

- deviations in our results of operations from estimates;
- changes in estimates of our financial performance;
- changes in our markets, including decreased government spending or the entry of new competitors;
- our inability to obtain financing necessary to operate our business and consummate the merger;

PAGE 12

- changes in technology;
- potential loss of key personnel;
- short selling;
- changes in market valuations of similar companies and stock market price;
- the Starsys merger; and
- volume fluctuations generally, including re-sales by former Starsys stockholders or by Laurus.

OUR NET OPERATING LOSS CARRYFORWARDS MAY BE SUBJECT TO AN ANNUAL LIMITATION ON THEIR UTILIZATION, WHICH MAY INCREASE OUR TAXES AND DECREASE NET INCOME AND CASH FLOWS.

We had a net deferred tax asset of approximately \$2,484,000 and \$2,075,000 at March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which consisted primarily of the income tax benefits from net operating loss and capital loss carryforwards, amortization of deferred gain on sale of building and research and development credits. Deferred income taxes represent temporary differences in recognizing certain income and expense items for financial and tax reporting purposes. A valuation allowance has been recorded to fully offset the deferred tax asset as it is more likely than not that the assets will not be utilized. The valuation allowance increased from \$2,075,000 at March 31, 2005 to \$2,484,000 at March 31, 2006.

We had federal and state tax net operating loss and capital loss carryforwards of approximately \$4,214,000 and \$2,316,000 at March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The federal tax loss carryforwards will expire in 2023 and the state tax loss carryforwards will expire in 2013, unless previously

utilized.

CHANGES IN STOCK OPTION ACCOUNTING RULES MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR REPORTED OPERATING RESULTS PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES, OUR STOCK PRICE AND OUR EFFORTS IN RECRUITING ADDITIONAL EMPLOYEES.

Technology companies, in general, and our company in particular, depend upon and use broad based employee stock option programs to hire, incent and retain employees in a competitive marketplace. Through fiscal 2005, we did not recognize compensation expense for stock options issued to employees or directors, except in limited cases involving modifications of stock options, and we instead disclosed in the notes to our financial statements information about what such charges would be if they were expensed. An accounting standard setting body has adopted a new accounting standard that will require us to record equity-based compensation expense for stock options and employee stock purchase plan rights granted to employees based on the fair value of the equity instrument at the time of grant. We are now recording these expenses beginning with the first quarter of 2006. The change in accounting rules will lead to a decrease in reported earnings, if we have earnings, or an increased loss, if we do not have earnings. This may negatively impact our future stock price. In addition, this change in accounting rules could impact our ability to utilize broad based employee stock plans to reward employees and could result in a competitive disadvantage to us in the employee marketplace.

THE CONCENTRATION OF OWNERSHIP OF OUR COMMON STOCK GIVES A FEW INDIVIDUALS SIGNIFICANT CONTROL OVER IMPORTANT POLICY DECISIONS AND COULD DELAY OR PREVENT CHANGES IN CONTROL.

As of May 1, 2006, our executive officers and directors together beneficially owned approximately 50.27% of the issued and outstanding shares of our common stock. As a result, these persons could have the ability to exert significant influence over matters concerning us, including the election of directors, changes in the size and composition of the board of directors, and mergers and other business combinations involving us. In addition, through control of the board of directors and voting power, our officers and directors may be able to control certain decisions, including decisions regarding the qualification and appointment of officers, dividend policy, access to capital (including borrowing from third-party lenders and the issuance of additional equity securities), and the acquisition or disposition of our assets. In addition, the concentration of voting power in the hands of those individuals could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of our company, even if the change in control would benefit our shareholders. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\mathtt{A}}}$ perception in the investment community of an anti-takeover environment at our company could cause investors to value our stock lower than in the absence of such a perception.

WE HAVE NOT PAID DIVIDENDS ON OUR COMMON STOCK IN THE PAST AND DO NOT ANTICIPATE PAYING DIVIDENDS ON OUR COMMON STOCK IN THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE.

We have not paid common stock dividends since our inception and do not anticipate paying dividends in the foreseeable future. Our current business plan provides for the reinvestment of earnings in an effort to complete development of our technologies and products, with the goal of increasing sales and long-term profitability and value. In addition, the revolving credit facility with Laurus Master Fund Ltd. and the terms of our preferred stock currently restrict, and any other credit or borrowing arrangements that we may enter into may in the future restrict or limit, our ability to pay common stock dividends to our shareholders.

OUR EXPANSION INTO OTHER NEW LINES OF BUSINESS MAY DIVERT MANAGEMENT'S ATTENTION FROM OUR EXISTING OPERATIONS AND PROVE TO BE TOO COSTLY.

Our current business plan contemplates the migration of SpaceDev's technology from projects into products for microsatellites and hybrid rocket motors over the next several years. In the meantime, we are investigating other applications of our technology and other markets for our technologies and prospective products. Our expansion into new lines of business may be difficult for us to manage because they may involve different disciplines and require different expertise than our core business. Consequently, this expansion may divert management's time and attention away from our core business, and we may need to incur significant expenses in order to develop the expertise, and reputation we desire. Any revenues generated by new lines of business may not be significant enough to offset the expenditures required to enter such business, or provide the anticipated return on investment.

SPACEDEV COMMON SHAREHOLDERS WILL EXPERIENCE DILUTION IF OUR PREFERRED STOCK IS CONVERTED OR OUR OUTSTANDING WARRANTS AND OPTIONS ARE EXERCISED.

As of May 1, 2006, SpaceDev is obligated to issue 9,776,177 shares of SpaceDev common stock if all of SpaceDev's outstanding warrants are exercised and shares of preferred stock converted. In addition, as of May 1, 2006, SpaceDev has outstanding stock options to purchase an aggregate of

PAGE 13

11,012,142 shares of SpaceDev common stock, of which 10,312,142 are currently vested. The total number of shares, issuable upon the exercise of currently vested warrants, options and preferred stock (20,088,319 shares) represents approximately 70% of SpaceDev's issued and outstanding shares of common stock as of May 1, 2006.

FUTURE SALES OF OUR COMMON STOCK MAY DEPRESS THE PRICE OF THE COMMON STOCK.

Sales by SpaceDev's current and future shareholders of a substantial number of shares, including sales by the Starsys shareholders following the merger, or the expectation that such sales may occur, could significantly reduce the market price of our common stock. As described in the immediately preceding risk factor, SpaceDev has a significant number of shares that are issuable upon exercise of options and warrants or upon conversion of shares of preferred stock. All of these shares are either registered with the SEC and may be sold without restriction (except for volume limitations applicable to our officers, directors and significant shareholders with respect to their option shares, and contractual lockup restrictions obtained from some of the Starsys shareholders) or have registration rights requiring us to register these shares with the SEC. In the future, we may issue additional shares of common stock, convertible securities, options and warrants.

CHANGES IN STOCK OPTION ACCOUNTING RULES MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR REPORTED OPERATING RESULTS PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES, OUR STOCK PRICE AND OUR EFFORTS IN RECRUITING ADDITIONAL EMPLOYEES.

Technology companies, in general, and our company in particular, depend upon and use broad based employee stock option programs to hire, incentivize and retain employees in a competitive marketplace. Currently, we do not recognize compensation expense for stock options issued to employees or directors, except in limited cases involving modifications of stock options, and we instead disclose in the notes to our financial statements information about what such charges would be if they were expensed. An accounting standard setting body has recently adopted a new accounting standard that will require us to record equity-based compensation expense for stock options and employee stock purchase plan rights granted to employees based on the fair value of the equity instrument at the time of grant. We are required to record these expenses

beginning with the first quarter of the year ending December 31, 2006. The change in accounting rules will lead to a decrease in reported earnings, if we have earnings, or an increased loss, if we do not have earnings. This may negatively impact our future stock price. In addition, this change in accounting rules could impact our ability to utilize broad based employee stock plans to reward employees and could result in a competitive disadvantage to us in the employee marketplace.

WE ARE SUBJECT TO NEW CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND INTERNAL CONTROL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS, AND OUR COSTS RELATED TO COMPLIANCE WITH, OR OUR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH EXISTING AND FUTURE REQUIREMENTS COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR BUSINESS.

We face new corporate governance requirements under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as well as new rules and regulations subsequently adopted by the SEC, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and any stock exchange on which our stock may be listed in the future. These laws, rules and regulations continue to evolve and may become increasingly stringent in the future. In particular, we will be required to include management and independent registered public accounting firm reports on internal controls as part of our annual report for the year ending December 31, 2007 pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. We are in the process of evaluating our control structure and processes to help ensure that we will be able to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. We cannot assure you that we will be able to fully comply with these laws, rules and regulations that address corporate governance, internal control reporting and similar matters. Failure to comply with these laws, rules and regulations could materially adversely affect our reputation, financial condition and the value of our securities.

THE TERMS OF OUR OUTSTANDING SHARES OF PREFERRED STOCK, AND ANY SHARES OF PREFERRED STOCK ISSUED IN THE FUTURE, MAY REDUCE THE VALUE OF YOUR COMMON STOCK.

We are authorized to issue up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more series. We currently have 248,460 outstanding shares of our Series C Convertible Preferred Stock and 5,150 shares of our Series D-1 Preferred Stock. Our board of directors may determine the terms of future preferred stock offerings without further action by our shareholders. If we issue additional preferred stock, it could affect your rights or reduce the

PAGE 14

value of your common stock. In particular, specific rights granted to future holders of preferred stock could be used to restrict our ability to merge with or sell our assets to a third party. These terms may include voting rights, preferences as to dividends and liquidation, conversion and redemption rights, and sinking fund provisions. Our Series C Preferred Stock and Series D-1 Preferred Stock rank senior to our common stock with respect to dividends and liquidation and have other important preferred rights.

BECAUSE SPACEDEV COMMON STOCK IS SUBJECT TO THE SEC'S PENNY STOCK RULES, BROKER-DEALERS MAY EXPERIENCE DIFFICULTY IN COMPLETING CUSTOMER TRANSACTIONS AND TRADING ACTIVITY IN SPACEDEV SECURITIES MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

Transactions in SpaceDev common stock are currently subject to the "penny stock" rules promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under these rules, broker-dealers who recommend SpaceDev securities to persons other than institutional accredited investors must:

- make a special written suitability determination for the purchaser;

- receive the purchaser's written agreement to a transaction prior to sale;
- provide the purchaser with risk disclosure documents which identify certain risks associated with investing in "penny stocks" and which describe the market for these "penny stocks" as well as a purchaser's legal remedies; and
- obtain a signed and dated acknowledgment from the purchaser demonstrating that the purchaser has actually received the required risk disclosure document before a transaction in a "penny stock" can be completed.

As a result of these rules, broker-dealers may find it difficult to effectuate customer transactions and trading activity in SpaceDev securities may be adversely affected. As a result, the market price of SpaceDev securities may be depressed, and you may find it more difficult to sell our securities.

RISKS RELATED TO THE MERGER WITH STARSYS RESEARCH CORPORATION

IF SPACEDEV AND STARSYS FAIL TO INTEGRATE THEIR OPERATIONS EFFECTIVELY, THE COMBINED COMPANY WILL NOT REALIZE ALL THE POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF THE MERGER.

The integration of SpaceDev and Starsys is ongoing and may be time consuming and expensive and may disrupt the combined company's operations if it is not completed in a timely and efficient manner. If this integration effort is not successful, the combined company's results of operations could be harmed, employee morale could decline, key employees could leave, customers could cancel existing orders or choose not to place new ones and the combined company could have difficulty entering into new contracts with customers and complying with regulatory requirements. In addition, the combined company may not achieve anticipated synergies or other benefits of the merger. The combined company may encounter difficulties, costs and delays involved in integrating their operations, including the following:

- failure to successfully manage relationships with customers and other important relationships;
- failure of customers to accept new services or to continue using the products and services of the combined company;
- difficulties in successfully integrating the management teams and employees of the two companies;
- challenges encountered in managing larger, more geographically dispersed operations;
- the loss of key employees;
- diversion of the attention of management from other ongoing business concerns;
- potential incompatibilities of technologies and systems;
- potential difficulties integrating and harmonizing financial reporting systems; and
- potential incompatibility of business cultures.

If the combined company's operations do not meet the expectations of existing customers of either company, these customers may reduce the amount of business or cease doing business with the combined company altogether, which would harm the results of operations and financial condition of the combined company.

If the anticipated benefits of the merger are not realized or do not meet the expectations of financial or industry analysts, the market price of SpaceDev common stock may decline. This could occur if, among other reasons:

- the integration of the two companies is unsuccessful;
- the combined company does not achieve the expected benefits of the merger as guickly as anticipated or the costs of or operational difficulties arising from the merger are greater than anticipated;
- the combined company's financial results after the merger are not consistent with the expectations of management or financial or industry analysts;
- the anticipated operating and product synergies of the merger are not realized; or
- the combined company experiences the loss of significant customers or employees as a result of the merger.

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. We intend that those forward-looking statements be subject to the safe harbors created by those sections. These forward-looking statements generally include the plans and objectives of management for future operations, including plans and objectives relating to our future economic performance, and can generally be identified by the use of the words "believe," "intend," "plan," "expect," "forecast," "project," "may," "should," "could," "seek," "pro forma," "estimates," "continues," "anticipate" and similar words. The forward-looking statements and associated risks may include, relate to, or be qualified by other important factors, including, without limitation:

our ability to return to profitability and obtain additional working capital, if required;

our ability to successfully implement our future business plans;

our ability to attract strategic partners, alliances and advertisers; our ability to hire and retain qualified personnel;

the risks of uncertainty of trademark protection;

PAGE 15

risks associated with existing and future governmental regulation to which we are subject; and,

uncertainties relating to economic conditions in the markets in which we currently operate and in which we intend to operate in the future.

These forward-looking statements necessarily depend upon assumptions and estimates that may prove to be incorrect. Although we believe that the assumptions and estimates reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee that we will achieve our plans, intentions or expectations. The forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to differ in significant ways from any future results expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. We do not undertake to update, revise or correct any forward-looking statements.

Any of the factors described above or in the "Risk Factors" section above could cause our financial results, including our net income (loss) or growth in net income (loss) to differ materially from prior results, which in turn could, among other things, cause the price of our common stock to fluctuate substantially.

SELLING SECURITY HOLDERS

Laurus may sell, from time to time under this prospectus, up to an aggregate of 2,018,182 shares of our common stock consisting of up to 1,818,182 shares of our common stock, representing 100% of the shares that may become issuable upon conversion of the principal of and interest on the Convertible Note at the fixed conversion price of \$0.55 per share and up to 200,000 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of the Laurus Warrant. Laurus may convert principal and interest on the Convertible Note into our common stock only to the extent that there are amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility described under "Description of Business - The Laurus Master Fund Ltd. Revolving Credit Facility" below and only if we have not repaid the outstanding amounts before Laurus exercises its conversion rights.

As of December 31, 2004, Laurus had converted the 1,818,182 shares under the revolving credit facility. Furthermore, after the initial conversion by Laurus of the first 1,818,182 shares, Laurus has a continuing right to convert, to the extent that we draw funds on the credit facility and have not repaid those funds, based on a fair market value formula specified in the agreement.

As of the date of this Prospectus, we had not drawn any additional funds under the revolving credit facility; and, therefore, no further conversion under the revolving credit facility have occurred.

The following table sets forth, to our knowledge, certain information about Laurus as of May 31, 2006. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and includes voting or investment power with respect to the securities. In computing the number of shares beneficially owned by a holder and the percentage ownership of that holder, shares of common stock subject to options or warrants or underlying convertible notes held by that holder that are currently exercisable or convertible or are exercisable or convertible within 60 days after the date of the table are deemed outstanding. To our knowledge, Laurus has sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by it, except that Laurus Capital Management, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, may be deemed a control person of the shares owned by Laurus. David Grin and Eugene Grin are the principals of Laurus Capital Management, LLC. The address for Messrs. David Grin and Eugene Grin is 152 West 57th Street, New York, NY 10019.

PAGE 16

Percentage of beneficial ownership is based on 28,877,452 shares of common stock outstanding as of May 31,2006. Actual ownership of the shares is subject to conversion of the Convertible Note and exercise of the Warrant.

Name Of Selling Stockholder	Shares Owned Prior To This Offering	Percentage			
LAURUS MASTER FUND, LTD.	2,814,234 (2)	4.99% (2)	200,000	2,614,234	

- (1) The amount assumes the sale of all shares being offered under this prospectus.
- (2) Under the terms of the certificate of designations for the Series D Preferred Stock and the warrants issued in the January 2006 private placement, holders of such Series D Preferred Stock and warrants may not convert their Series D Preferred Stock into common stock, or exercise such warrants, to the extent that, after giving effect to any such conversion or exercise, the holder would beneficially own more than 4.99% (or for holders of greater than 4.99%, the limitation is set at 9.99%) of our outstanding common stock. In addition, the terms of the certificate of designations for the Series C Preferred Stock and other warrants held by Laurus similarly limit conversions and exercises to the extent that, after giving effect to any such conversion or exercise, Laurus would beneficially own more than 4.99% of our outstanding common stock.

As of May 31, 2006, all other selling security holders named in this prospectus have exercised their warrants on our common stock through this prospectus, subject private placement that was exempt from registration under Section 4(2) and Rule 506 of the Securities Act of 1933.

The following table sets forth, as of the date of this prospectus, the equivalent information for each other selling security holder.

PAGE 17

Name Of Selling Stockholder			Total Number Of Shares To Be Offered For Selling Shareholders Account	to Be Owned	Shares Owned Upon Completion of
Charles H. Lloyd	(1)	50,000	0	50,000	*
Lunar Enterprises		133,334	0	66 , 667	*
Craig Haffner		66,666	0	66,666	*
Alex Duncan		95,166	0	95,166	*
Arthur Benson	(2)	128,470	0	128,470	*
Curt Dean Blake	(3)	61,224	0	61,224	*
John Gross		61,224	0	61,224	*
Edward Cuthbert		102,040	0	102,040	*
J. Mark Grosvenor	(4)	1,330,376	0	1,330,376	2.95%
Christopher McKellar	(5)	392,158	0	392,158	*
	_	2,420,658	0	2,353,991	

* Percentage owned is less than 1%.

- (1) Mr. Lloyd acted as Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer of SpaceDev, Inc., and Chief Executive Officer of Integrated Space Systems, Inc., our wholly owned subsidiary, during the period from November 1999 to June 2002. In addition to the Warrants, shares owned by Mr. Lloyd prior to this offering include 25,000 shares of our common stock.
- (2) Mr. Arthur Benson is the brother of our Chief TechnologyOfficer, James W. Benson. In addition to the Warrants, shares owned by Arthur Benson prior to this offering include 64,235 shares of our common stock.
- (3) Mr. Blake is a current member of our Board of Directors, and owns 61,224 shares of which, 30,612 warrants were represented in this offering.
- (4) In addition to the Warrants, shares owned by Mr. Grosvenor prior to this offering include 1,330,376 shares of our common stock.
- (5) Mr. McKellar is the owner of our principal business facilities. Upon sale of the building to Mr. McKellar, we executed a leaseback of the building for a term of 10 years. In addition to the Warrants, shares owned by Mr. McKellar prior to this offering include 392,158 shares of our common stock.

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

The selling security holders, and any of their donees, pledgees, assignees and other successors-in-interest, may, from time to time, sell any or all of their shares of common stock being offered under this prospectus on any stock exchange, market or trading facility on which the shares are traded or in private transactions. These sales, which may include block

PAGE 18

transactions, may be at fixed or negotiated prices. The selling security holders may use any one or more of the following methods when selling shares:

- ordinary brokerage transactions and transactions in which the broker-dealer solicits purchasers;
- block trades in which the broker-dealer will attempt to sell the shares as agent but may position and resell a portion of the block as principal to facilitate the transaction;
- purchases by a broker-dealer as principal and resales by the broker-dealer for its own account;
- an exchange distribution in accordance with the rules of the applicable exchange;
- privately negotiated transactions;
- broker-dealers may agree with the selling security holder to sell a specified number of shares at a stipulated price per share; a combination of any of these methods of sale; or
- any other method permitted by applicable law, except that Laurus has agreed that it has not engaged and will not engage or cause, advise, ask or assist any person or entity, directly or indirectly, or engage, in short sales of our common stock, which are contracts for the sale of shares of stock that the seller does not own, or certificates which are not within the seller's control, so as to be available for delivery at the time when, under

applicable rules, delivery must be made.

The sale price to the public may be:

- the market price prevailing at the time of sale;
- a price related to the prevailing market price;
- at negotiated prices; or
- a price the selling security holder determines from time to time.

Broker-dealers engaged by the selling security holders may arrange for other broker-dealers to participate in sales. Broker-dealers may receive commissions or discounts from the selling security holder (or, if any broker-dealer acts as agent for the purchaser of shares, from the purchaser) in amounts to be negotiated. The selling security holder does not expect these commissions and discounts to exceed what is customary in the types of transactions involved.

The selling security holders and any broker-dealers or agents that are involved in selling the shares may be deemed to be "underwriters" within the meaning of the Securities Act in connection with these sales. Commissions received by these broker-dealers or agents and any profit on the resale of the shares purchased by them may be deemed to be underwriting commissions or discounts under the Securities Act. Any broker-dealers or agents that are not deemed to be underwriters may not sell shares offered under this prospectus unless and until we set forth the names of the underwriters and the material details of their underwriting arrangements in a supplement to this prospectus

PAGE 19

or, if required, in a replacement prospectus included in a post-effective amendment to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

In the event sales are made to broker-dealers as principals, we would be required to file a post-effective amendment to the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part. In such post-effective amendment, we would be required to disclose the names of any participating broker-dealers and the compensation arrangements relating to such sales. In addition, if any shares of common stock or warrants offered for sale pursuant to this prospectus are transferred, subsequent holders could not use this prospectus until a post-effective amendment is filed, naming such holder.

The selling security holders, alternatively, may sell all or any part of the shares offered under this prospectus through an underwriter. To our knowledge, the selling security holders have not entered into any agreement with a prospective underwriter, and we cannot assure you as to whether any such agreement will be entered into. If any selling security holder informs us that it has entered into such an agreement or agreements, any material details will be set forth in a supplement to this prospectus or, if required, in a replacement prospectus included in a post-effective amendment to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

This prospectus does not cover the sale or other transfer of the Convertible Note, the Laurus Warrant or the Warrants. If the selling security holders transfer any such securities prior to conversion or exercise, the transferee of those derivative securities may not sell the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion or exercise of those derivative securities under

the terms of this prospectus unless we amend or supplement this prospectus to cover such sales.

For the period a holder holds the Convertible Note and/or the Laurus Warrant, with respect to Laurus, or the Warrants, with respect to all other selling security holders, the holder has the opportunity to profit from a rise in the market price of our common stock. The terms on which we could obtain additional capital during the period in which those derivative securities remain outstanding may be adversely affected. The holders of the derivative securities are most likely to voluntarily convert or exercise those derivative securities when the conversion price or exercise price is less than the market price for our common stock. However, we cannot assure you as to whether any of those derivative securities will be converted or exercised.

We have agreed with Laurus to keep the registration statement of which this prospectus constitutes a part effective until the earlier of three years or the termination of the Securities Purchase Agreement.

All costs, expenses and fees incurred in connection with the registration of the selling security holders' shares will be borne by us. All brokerage commissions, if any, attributable to the sale of shares by selling security holders will be borne by selling security holders.

USE OF PROCEEDS

We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the shares of our common stock offered by Laurus or the other selling security holders under this prospectus. Upon exercise of the Warrant, we will receive proceeds from the Warrant holder; however, upon selling the common stock underlying the Secured Convertible Note and/or the Warrant, the selling security holder will receive all proceeds directly.

PAGE 20

DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto and the other financial information appearing elsewhere in this document. Readers are also urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by us which attempt to advise interested parties of the factors which affect our business, including without limitation the disclosures made under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and in our General Registration Statement on Form 10SB12G/A filed January 28, 2000 and in our periodic reports, including our annual report filed on Form 10-KSB on March 29, 2005.

In addition to historical information, the following discussion and other parts of this document may contain forward-looking statements. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," or "continue," the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. These statements are only predictions.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assumes responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the forward-looking

statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this prospectus to conform such statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations.

Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated by such forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, the level of sales to key customers; the economic conditions affecting our industry; actions by competitors; fluctuations in the price of raw materials; the availability of outside contractors at prices favorable to us; our dependence on single-source or a limited number of suppliers; our ability to protect our proprietary technology; market conditions influencing prices or pricing; an adverse outcome in potential litigation, claims and other actions by or against us, technological changes and introductions of new competing products; terrorist attacks or acts of war, particularly given the acts of terrorism against the United States on September 11, 2001 and subsequent military responses by the United States; mission disasters such as the loss of the space shuttle Columbia on February 1, 2003 during its re-entry into earth's atmosphere; ability to retain key personnel; changes in market demand; exchange rates; productivity; weather; and market and economic conditions in the areas of the world in which we operate and market our products.

GENERAL

SpaceDev, Inc. (the "Company," "SpaceDev," "we," "us" or "our") is engaged in the conception, design, development, manufacture, integration and operations

PAGE 21

of space technology systems, products and services. We are currently focused on the commercial development of low-cost micro-satellites, nano-satellites and related subsystems, hybrid rocket propulsion as well as the associated engineering technical services to government, aerospace and other commercial enterprises. Our products and solutions are sold directly to these customers and include sophisticated micro- and nano-satellites, hybrid rocket-based orbital Maneuvering and orbital Transfer Vehicles ("MTVs") as well as safe sub-orbital and orbital hybrid rocket-based propulsion systems. We are also developing commercial hybrid rocket motors and small high performance space vehicles and subsystems.

Starsys Research Corporation was acquired by SpaceDev on January 31, 2006 in a tax-free forward triangular merger, renamed Starsys, Inc., and is now a wholly-owned subsidiary of SpaceDev. Starsys is engaged in the design and manufacture of mechanical and electromechanical subsystems and components for spacecraft. Starsys' subsystems enable critical spacecraft functions such as pointing solar arrays and communication antennas and restraining, deploying and actuating moving spacecraft components. Starsys manufactures a wide range of products that include bi-axis gimbals, flat plate gimbals, solar array pointing mechanisms, deployable booms, separation systems, thermal louvers, actuators, restraint devices and cover systems. Starsys' products are sold both as "off-the-shelf" catalog products, which represent previously qualified devices with spaceflight history, and as custom systems that are developed for specific applications. Starsys' products are typically sold directly to spacecraft manufacturers. Starsys' customer base is segregated into three major segments: (1) domestic and international commercial spacecraft (communication and imaging satellites), (2) civil spacecraft (NASA) that are primarily scientific in nature and (3) defense spacecraft that support the United States' military capability. Starsys also offers products to non-space customers, including aerospace, maritime, and industrial customers.

Starsys' engineering and manufacturing capabilities position the company to

provide both mechanical and electromechanical subsystems for spacecraft. Starsys' strategy is to identify opportunities to develop products from custom mechanical and electromechanical subsystems. To extend the product life cycle, Starsys has developed and expanded this "product platforms" business model. Product platforms are subsystems for which non-recurring and development engineering have been retired and for which there is continued customer demand. Starsys' product offerings currently include High Output Paraffin ("HOP") actuators, hinges, battery bypass switches, thermal louvers, bi-axial gimbals and solar array drives, among others. The product life cycle for this type of product within the space industry is approximately 15 years.

The acquisition of Starsys fundamentally changed our profile. Starsys is a mature operating company with 2005 revenues of approximately \$18 million and 2005 losses of approximately \$3.4 million. We believe there are numerous potential synergies between the historic SpaceDev business, and Starsys' business.

Our historic SpaceDev Business approach is to provide smaller spacecraft (generally 250 kg mass or less) and compatible small hybrid propulsion space systems to commercial, university and domestic government customers. We are developing smaller spacecraft and miniaturized subsystems using proven, lower cost,high- quality off-the-shelf components. Our space products are modular and reproducible, which allows us to create affordable space solutions for our customers. By utilizing our innovative technology and experience, and space-qualifying commercial industry-standard hardware, software and interfaces, we provide increased reliability at reduced costs.

We have been awarded, have successfully concluded or are successfully concluding contracts from such esteemed government, university and commercial customers as the Air Force Research Laboratory ("AFRL"), The Boeing Company, the California Space Authority ("CSA"), the Jet Propulsion Laboratory ("JPL"), Lockheed Martin, the National Reconnaissance Office ("NRO"), and the University of California at Berkeley ("UCB") via NASA.

We were incorporated under the laws of the State of Colorado on December 23, 1996 as Pegasus Development Group, Inc. ("PDGI"). SpaceDev, LLC of Colorado was originally formed in 1997 for commercial space exploration and was the sole owner of shares of common stock of SpaceDev (a Nevada corporation) ("SpaceDev"), formed on August 22, 1997. On October 22, 1997, PDGI issued 8,245,000 of its \$.0001 par value common stock for 100 percent (1,000,000 shares) of SpaceDev's common stock owned by SpaceDev, LLC. Upon the acquisition of the SpaceDev stock, SpaceDev was merged into PDGI and, on December 17, 1997, PDGI changed its name to SpaceDev, Inc. After the merger, SpaceDev, LLC, changed its name to SD Holdings, LLC on December 17, 1997. We became a publicly traded company in October 1997 and are trading on the NASD Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board ("OTCBB") under the symbol of "SPDV."

In February 1998, we acquired Integrated Space Systems, in San Diego. Most of the Integrated Space Systems employees were former commercial Atlas launch vehicle engineers and managers who worked for General Dynamics in San Diego. As SpaceDev employees, they primarily develop systems and products based on hybrid rocket motor technology and launch vehicle systems.

In August 1998, we acquired the patents and intellectual property produced by American Rocket Company ("AMROC"). The acquisition provided us access to a large cache of hybrid rocket documents, designs and test results. AMROC specialized in the design, development and testing of hybrid rocket technology (solid fuel plus liquid oxidizer) for small sounding rockets and launch vehicles.

In late 1998, we bid and won a government-sponsored research and development contract, which was directly related to our strategic commercial space interests. We competed with seven other industry teams and we were one of five firms selected by JPL to perform a mission and spacecraft feasibility assessment study for the proposed 200-kg Mars MicroMissions. The final report was delivered to JPL in March 1999 and, as a result, we are now able to offer lunar and Mars commercial deep-space missions based on this innovative space system design.

In mid-1999, we won an R&D contract from the NRO to study small hybrid-based "micro" kick-motors for small-satellite orbital transfer applications. During the contract, we successfully developed three Secondary Payload Orbital Transfer Vehicle design concepts. We subsequently created a prototype, which lead to the development of our capability to apply the Secondary Payload Orbital Transfer Vehicle concept to our subsequent Maneuvering and orbital Transfer Vehicle development programs.

In November 1999, we won a \$4.9 million mission contract by the Space Sciences Laboratory at the UCB . We were competitively selected to design, build, integrate, test and operate, for one year, a small NASA-sponsored scientific, Earth-orbiting spacecraft called CHIPSat. CHIPSat is the first and, to our knowledge, only successful mission of NASA's low-cost University-Class Explorer series to date. Due to additional NASA and customer reviews, additional work, schedule extensions and a fee for one year of satellite operations, the CHIPSat contract award was increased by approximately \$2.5 million in 2001 and 2002, bringing the total contract value for design, build, launch and operations to approximately \$7.4 million. CHIPSat launched as a secondary payload on a Delta-II rocket on January 12, 2003. CHIPSat is the world's first orbiting Internet node. The satellite achieved 3-axis stabilization with all individual components and systems successfully operating and continues to work well in orbit. The CHIPSat program generated approximately \$2.1 million, \$3.2 million, \$1.7 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.1 million of revenue in 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively.

On March 22, 2000, the California Spaceport Authority and the California Space and Technology Alliance awarded us a grant of approximately \$100,000 to be used for test firing our hybrid rocket motors. California's Western Commercial Space Center also awarded us approximately \$200,000 to help build and equip its satellite and space vehicle manufacturing facilities. These capabilities were used to expand our project and technology base.

In July 2000, the National Reconnaissance Office granted us two separate follow-on competitive awards of approximately \$400,000 each for further hybrid rocket engine design, test, evaluation, and development. Our work for the National Reconnaissance Office has helped fund two innovative hybrid rocket motor potential products:

- family of small versatile orbital Maneuver and orbit Transfer Vehicles using clean, safe hybrid rocket propulsion technology; and,
 - protoflight hybrid propulsion module for a 50-kg class micro- satellite.

Both of those contracts were successfully completed.

In September 2001, Scaled Composites awarded us a contract for a proprietary hybrid propulsion development program for Scaled's "SpaceShipOne," valued in excess of \$1 million. The entire contract, awarded upon the submitted designs, was valued at approximately \$2.2 million. The contract was indicative of an increased demand for our hybrid motor technology and expertise in the space industry. Work on this project generated approximately \$1.2 million and \$397,000 of revenue in 2002 and 2003, respectively. In September of 2003, SpaceDev was selected by Scaled Composites as the sole supplier of hybrid

propulsions systems, and was awarded the follow-on SpaceShipOne propulsion contract. We generated approximately \$115,000 of revenue in 2003 and \$686,000 of revenue in 2004 from this contract and related engineering change orders, with approximately \$180,000 from engineering change orders and approximately \$506,000 from the contract.

On December 17, 2003, which corresponded with the 100th anniversary of the Wright Brothers flight, our hybrid propulsion system, which we believe is the world's largest of its kind, aboard SpaceShipOne, successfully powered a pilot toward space on its historic first powered supersonic flight. After being released by the White Knight, a carrier aircraft, the SpaceShipOne Test Pilot flew the ship to a stable, 0.55 mach gliding flight condition, started a pull-up, and fired our hybrid rocket motor. Nine seconds later, SpaceShipOne broke the sound barrier and continued its steep powered ascent. The climb was very aggressive, accelerating forward at more than 3-g while pulling upward at more than 2.5-g. At motor shutdown, 15 seconds after ignition, SpaceShipOne was climbing at a 60-degree angle and flying near 1.2 Mach (930 mph). The test pilot then continued the maneuver to a vertical climb, achieving zero speed at an altitude of 68,000 feet.

On June 21, 2004, our proprietary hybrid rocket motor technology successfully powered SpaceShipOne on its fourth and most important history-making flight to space. SpaceDev powered SpaceShipOne well beyond the 50 mile altitude required to be considered a space flight, and helped to create the world's first private sector astronaut. After being released by the White Knight, SpaceShipOne's test pilot, Mike Melvill, fired the rocket motor at the planned altitude and the rocket motor then propelled SpaceShipOne to over 328,000 feet in approximately 80 seconds, flying near Mach 5.0.

PAGE 23

On September 29, 2004 and October 4, 2004, our hybrid propulsion technology helped propel Scaled Composites/Paul Allen's SpaceShipOne into space flight history as the craft garnered the \$10 Million Ansari X Prize, a contest created to stimulate the development of the private sector human space flight industry. We provided several critical components and the hybrid rocket technology for the craft's motor, including igniter, injector and main operating valve, which successfully performed as expected and powered SpaceShipOne on its historic manned flight. SpaceShipOne exceeded the altitude requirement on both scheduled flights as required by the Ansari X Prize competition. The hybrid propulsion system burned full duration and pilot Brian Binnie steered SpaceShipOne high above the Mojave, California desert to a height of 367,442 feet altitude (69.5 miles), which far exceeded the required 328,000 feet altitude – a sky-high goal required by the X Prize Foundation of St. Louis, Missouri.

Although we were not the recipient of the Ansari X Prize, it was a contest designed to jumpstart the space tourism industry through competition among the most talented entrepreneurs and rocket experts in the world. SpaceShipOne was built and launched with private funds from Paul Allen. The craft was able to carry equivalent weight of three people to 100 kilometers (62.5 miles) and return safely to earth. The competition followed in the footsteps of more than 100 aviation incentive prizes offered between 1905 and 1935 credited with spawning today's multibillion-dollar air transport industry. By helping SpaceShipOne succeed, we were instrumental in moving the private space community closer to realizing its vision of creating safe, affordable, commercial human space flight.

On April 30, 2002, we were awarded Phase I of a contract to develop

a Shuttle-compatible propulsion module for the Air Force Research Laboratory. We received an award for Phase II of the contract on March 28, 2003. We are using the project to further expand our Maneuvering and Orbital Transfer Vehicle technology and product line to satisfy government space transportation requirements. The first two phases of the contract have an estimated value of approximately \$2.5 million, of which \$100,000 was awarded for Phase I. Phase II of the contract is cost-plus fixed fee. In order to complete Phase II, we requested and were granted approximately four months of additional time and approximately \$240,000 of additional funding, memorialized by a contract amendment executed on July 7, 2004. In addition to the Phase I and Phase II awards, there is an option worth approximately \$800,000, which was initiated on May 3, 2004. The additional funding to complete AFRL Phase II came in part from the original \$1 million option; thereby reducing the option to approximately \$800,000. An additional effort to develop a miniaturized Shuttle-compatible propulsion module has been added to this contract and is worth approximately \$150,000.

On July 9, 2003, we were awarded a contract by the Missile Defense Agency to explore the use of microsatellites in national missile defense. It was a precursor contract to the \$43 million contract mentioned below. Our microsatellites are operated over the Internet and are capable of pointing and tracking targets in space or on the ground. This study explored fast response microsatellite launch and commissioning; small, low-power passive sensors; target acquisition and tracking; formation flying and local area networking within a cluster of microsatellites; and an extension of our proven use of the Internet for on-orbit command, control and data handling. The contract was successfully concluded on February 27, 2004. The total contract value was \$800,000. This contract was considered an investigatory phase by MDA.

Also, on July 9, 2003, we were awarded a Phase I Small Business Innovation Research contract by Air Force Research Lab to design and effectively begin the development of our small launch vehicle. The SpaceDev Small Launch Vehicle will be designed to responsively and affordably lift up to 1,000 pounds to Low Earth Orbit. The SpaceDev Small Launch Vehicle concept is based on a proprietary combination of technologies to increase the performance of hybrid rocket motor technology. Hybrid rocket motors are a combination of solid fuel and liquid oxidizer, and can be relatively safe, clean, non-explosive, and storable, and can be throttled, shut down and restarted. This contract was valued at approximately \$100,000, and was a fixed price, milestone-based agreement, which was completed in about one year. The Phase II of this SBIR was awarded on September 29, 2004 and is worth approximately \$1,557,000. The contract outlines the development and test firing of our large $\operatorname{\texttt{Common}}$ $\operatorname{\texttt{Core}}$ Booster for the SpaceDev Small Launch Vehicle. Congress has awarded us approximately \$3.0 million in additional funding for this project, which became available in late 2005. We believe that there is additional interest by Congress in providing further funding to expand and accelerate the scope of the work; however, there can be no assurance that such work will be awarded to us.

Also, on July 9, 2003, we were awarded a Phase I contract to develop micro and nanosatellite bus and subsystem designs. This Air Force Research Laboratory Small Business Innovation Research contract, valued at approximately \$100,000, has enabled us to explore the further miniaturization of our unique and innovative microsatellite subsystems. It has also enabled us to explore ways to reduce the time and cost to build small satellites through further standardization in order to help define de facto standards for payload hardware and software interfaces. The contract is fixed price, milestone-based and was completed in about one year. On August 23, 2004, we were awarded the Phase II of this Small Business Innovation Research grant, which was later amended on September 8, 2004 to shorten the length of the overall contract, worth approximately \$739,000 for carry-forward work.

On July 24, 2003, we were awarded a contract by Lunar Enterprise of

California for a first phase project to begin developing a conceptual mission and spacecraft design for a lunar lander program. The unmanned mission is being designed to put a small dish antenna near the south pole of the Moon. From that location it will be in near-constant sunlight for solar power generation, and should be able to perform multi-wavelength astronomy while communicating with ground stations on Earth. The contract value was \$100,000 and was completed by November 2003. We were awarded a follow-on phase to further analyze launch opportunities, spacecraft design, trajectory possibilities, potential landing areas, available technologies for a small radio astronomy system, and communications and data handling requirements on July 20, 2004 in the amount of \$150,000. The contract has been completed.

On December 18, 2003, we were awarded a contract by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency for the study of Novel Satcom Microsat Constellation Deployment. The contract was a milestone-based, fixed price contract with total consideration of approximately \$200,000. On August 6, 2004, an additional \$39,849 was added to the contract for increased scope, bringing the total contract value on this fixed price effort to approximately \$240,000. The contract has been completed.

On March 31, 2004, we were awarded a five-year, cost-plus-fixed fee indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity contract for up to \$43,362,271 to conduct a microsatellite distributed sensing experiment, an option for a laser communications experiment, and other microsatellite studies and experiments as required in support of the Advanced Systems Deputate of the Missile Defense Agency. This effort will be accomplished in a phased approach, with the first Task Order for approximately \$1.1 million awarded on April 1, 2004 and completed by September 30, 2004. The second Task Order for approximately \$8.3 million was awarded on October 20, 2004. The principal place of performance will be Poway, California. We expect to complete the work under the contract before March 2009. Government contract funds will not expire at the end of the current government fiscal year. The microsatellite distributed sensing experiment is intended to design and build up to six responsive, affordable, high performance microsatellites to support national missile defense. The milestone-based, multiyear, multiphase contract had an effective start date of March 1, 2004. Approximately \$1.14 million of revenue was generated under the first phase of this contract. The first phase or "Task Order," resulted in a detailed mission and microsatellite design. The second Task Order, originally expected to be completed by January 2006, was extended at the request of the Missile Defense Agency, and was completed in late March 2006. The overall contract calls for us to analyze, design, develop, fabricate, integrate, test, operate and support a networked cluster of three formation-flying boost phase and midcourse tracking microsatellites, with an option to design, develop, fabricate, integrate, test, operate and support a second cluster of three formation flying microsatellites to be networked on-orbit with high speed laser communications technology. The third phase began on April 1, 2006.

On July 18, 2005, we were awarded a subcontract to provide scientific, engineering, development and programmatic support to the development and demonstration of innovative SSA (space situational awareness) nanosatellite (